

Mr. Steven Scharf, P.E. New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (NYSDEC) Division of Environmental Remediation 625 Broadway Albany, New York 12233-7015

Subject:

Results of First Quarter 2011 Groundwater Monitoring, Operable Unit 2, Northrop Grumman Systems Corporation and Naval Weapons Industrial Reserve Plant (NWIRP) Sites, Bethpage, New York. (NYSDEC Site #s 1-30-003A and B)

Dear Mr. Scharf:

On behalf of Northrop Grumman Systems Corporation (Northrop Grumman), ARCADIS is providing the NYSDEC with the validated results of Operable Unit 2 (OU2) groundwater monitoring, performed in accordance with the approved groundwater monitoring plan (ARCADIS G&M, Inc. 2006) and the Public Water Supply Contingency Plan (PWSCP) (ARCADIS G&M, Inc. 2003). Table 1 provides OU2 remedial system performance operational data and water balance. Tables 2 and 3 provide the validated analytical results of monitoring for this period. Figure 1 shows the site plan with well locations.

Please contact us if you have any questions or comments.

Sincerely,

ARCADIS of New York, Inc.

David E. Stern Senior Hydrogeologist

701 GLOVONM Carlo San Giovanni

Project Manager

Enclosures

ARCADIS of New York, Inc. Two Huntington Quadrangle Suite 1S10 Melville New York 11747 Tel 631.249.7600 Fax 631.249.7610 www.arcadis-us.com

ENVIRONMENT

Date: June 30, 2011

Contact: David Stern

Phone: 631-391-5284

Email: david.stern@arcadis-us.cc

Our ref: NY001496.0311.GWMI4

Imagine the result

Copies:

John Cofman – Northrop Grumman Kent Smith – Northrop Grumman Ed Hannon – Northrop Grumman Carol Henry, EMAGIN Walter Parish - NYSDEC Region 1 Bill Spitz - NYSDEC Region 1 Steven Karpinski - New York State Department of Health Michael Alarcon - Nassau County Department of Health Joseph DeFranco - Nassau County Department of Health Lora Fly – NAVFAC Midlant Environmental David Brayack - TetraTech NUS, Inc. Jeffrey Kogut – Glenn Springs Holdings, Inc. Kevin Lumpe – Steel Equities Thomas Taccone – USEPA Matthew Russo - Town of Oyster Bay Anthony J. Sabino Frank Flood – Massapequa Water District Matthew Snyder – Aqua New York William Bier – South Farmingdale Water District John Reinhardt – Town of Hempstead Water District Michael Boufis – Bethpage Water District Lois Lovisolo – Bethpage Public Library (Public Repository) File

Table 1. Operational Summary for the On-Site Portion of the Operable Unit 2 Groundwater Remedy, First Quarter 2011, Northrop Grumman Systems Corporation, Bethpage, New York.

		Current Actual			Current			1st Quarter			
	Design	Average	Design	Current Actual	Percent of	Current	Current	2011			
	Pumping/	Pumping/Recharge	Total	Total	Design	TCE	TVOC	VOC Mass			
	Recharge Rate (a)	Rate (b)	Pumpage/Recharge	Pumpage/Recharge	Pumpage/	Concentration	Concentration (c)	Removed ^(d)			
dentification	(gpm)	(gpm)	(MG)	(MG)	Recharge	(ug/L)	(ug/L)	(lbs)			
Remedial Wells	Groundwater Removed from Aquifer										
Well 1	800	801	96.8	15.7	16%	540	623	81			
Vell 3	700	622	84.7	46.9	55%	2,300	2,614	1,021			
Well 17 1,000		1,006	121.0	118.0	98%	240	284	279			
Nell 18	600	678	72.6	75.5	104%	81	104	65			
Vell 19	700	767	84.7	90.9	107%	180	211	160			
Rounded Totals:	3,800	3,874	460	347	75%			1,606			
Recharge Basins ^(a)		Treated	Water Recharged to	Aquifer							
West Recharge Basins	0	1,400	0	59.3							
South Recharge Basins	2,231	2,345	269.9	283.7	105%						
Rounded Totals:	2,231	3,745	270	343							
Freated Water Sent to C	alpine										
Calpine Demand	100-400	22	12 - 48	2.7							
Treatment Efficiencies		Ave	erage SPDES Outfall T	VOC Concentrations Fi	rst Quarter 2011	'ug/L) ^(f)					
Tower 96 System Efficien	су ^(e) .	>99.9%		<0.5							

see footnotes on last page

Table 1. Operational Summary for the On-Site Portion of the Operable Unit 2 Groundwater Remedy, First Quarter 2011, Northrop Grumman Systems Corporation, Bethpage, New York.

(a)	well pumpage (minus Calpine demand, Oxy biosparge system Current average recharge rates have been determined using pumping rates, which account for varying amounts of downtim have been determined by using the average current percent of	pumping and recharge r n demand, incidental irrig the entire 84-day span o ne, as indicated below. T of design for Wells 1 and	nc. 2003c). Acceptable design recharge rates based ates were modified in April, 2005. Recharge includes remedial jation use, and pipe loss), plus incidental runoff from precipitation. f time for the South Recharge Basin as opposed to current average he current average recharge rates for the West Recharge Basins 3. Well 1 not operational due to maintenance during part of this period. iirs, which accounts for lower than normal up-time for Wells 1 and 3.							
(b)	- OU2 wells were operational during the First Quarter 2011, at t and Well-19 (98%). The Actual Average Pumping Rates and	••••••	s: Well-1 (16.2%), Well-3 (62.3%); Well-17 (97%), Well-18 (92%), It to Calpine are for when the wells are pumping.							
(c)	- The TVOC concentration for each well was calculated based	on First Quarter 2011 gro	oundwater monitoring data (Table 2).							
(d)	- TVOC mass removed is based on the TVOC data given abov	e and the following form	ula:							
	(TVOC concentration in ug/L) X (gallons pumped) X (3.785 L/gal) X (1 x 10^{-6} g/ug) X (2.2 x 10^{-3} lb/g)									
(e)	Air Stripping Efficiency calculated from values above-using the	following formula:								
	Average SP	DES TVOC Concentration $Q_{Well 1}$ + (TVOC _{Well 3} X ($Q_{Well 1}$ + $Q_{Well 3}$ etc)	(Q _{Well 3}) etc]							
	-When non-detectable levels of VOCs are found in the effluent	, a value of zero is used	to estimate the efficiency of the air stripper.							
(f)	-Towers 102 and 96 outfalls are identified as Outfalls 005 and 0 Basins, respectively). Complete SPDES reporting provided to		only known as the South Recharge Basins and Plant 5 Recharge r separate cover.							
(g)	- Well 1 was off line during the First Quarter sampling event, Fourth Quarter 2010 TCE and TVOC concentrations were used for the current time period.									
	Not Available or Not Applicable	lb/g	pounds per kilogram							
TCE	Trichloroethelyene	lbs	pounds							
TVOC	Total Volatile Organic Compounds	MG	million gallons							
g/ug	grams per microgram	ug/L	micrograms per liter							
gpm	gallons per minute	OU2	Operable Unit 2							
L/gal	Liters per gallon	Q	pumping rate							

 Table 2. Concentrations of Volatile Organic Compounds Detected in Groundwater Remedial Wells,

 First Quarter 2011, Operable Unit 2, Northrop Grumman Systems Corporation, Bethpage, New York.

Well: CONSTITUENT Sample ID: (Units in ug/L) Date:	-	96 EFFLUENT T96 EFF. 2/14/2011	WELL 17	WELL 18 WELL 18 2/14/2011	WELL 19	WELL 19 (REP) REP 021411 2/14/2011	102 EFFLUENT T102 EFF. 2/14/2011
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	< 100	< 5	< 10	1.2 J	0.62 J	0.74 J	< 5
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	< 100	< 5	< 10 < 10	< 5	< 5	< 5	< 5
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	< 100	< 5	< 10 < 10	< 5	< 5	< 5	< 5
1.1-Dichloroethane	< 100	< 5	1.1 J	1.1 J	0.91 J	0.91 J	< 5
1,1-Dichloroethene	14 J	< 5	2.9 J	4.2 J	1.6 J	1.7 J	< 5
1,2-Dichloroethane	< 100	< 5	< 10	< 5	0.65 J	0.59 J	< 5
1,2-Dichloropropane	< 100	< 5	< 10 < 10	< 5	< 5	< 5	< 5
2-Butanone	< 1000	< 50	< 100	< 50	< 50	< 50	< 50
2-Hexanone	< 1000	< 50 < 50	< 100	< 50	< 50	< 50 < 50	< 50 < 50
4-methyl-2-pentanone	< 1000	< 50 < 50	< 100	< 50	< 50	< 50 < 50	< 50 < 50
Acetone	< 1000	< 50 < 50	< 100	< 50	< 50	< 50 < 50	< 50 < 50
Benzene	< 14	< 0.7	< 1.4	< 0.7	< 0.7	< 0.7	< 0.7
Bromodichloromethane	< 100	< 5	< 10	< 5	< 5	< 5	< 5
Bromoform	< 100	< 5	< 10	< 5	< 5	< 5	< 5
Bromomethane	< 100	< 5	< 10 < 10	< 5	< 5	< 5	< 5
Carbon Disulfide	< 100	< 5	< 10 < 10	< 5	< 5	< 5	< 5
Carbon tetrachloride	< 100 < 100	< 5	< 10 < 10	< 5 < 5	< 5	< 5	< 5
Chlorobenzene	< 100 < 100	< 5 < 5	< 10 < 10	< 5 < 5	< 5 < 5	< 5 < 5	< 5 < 5
	< 100 < 100	< 5	< 10 < 10	< 5 0.42 J	< 5 0.43 J	< 5 0.4 J	< 5
Chlorodifluoromethane (Freon 22) Chloroethane	< 100 8.4 J	< 5 < 5	< 10 < 10	0.42 J < 5	0.43 J < 5	0.4 J < 5	< 5 < 5
Chloroform	6.4 J < 100	< 5	< 10 < 10	< 5 < 5	< 5 0.59 J	0.63 J	< 5
	< 100 < 100	< 5 < 5	-	< 5 < 5		0.03 J < 5	< 5 < 5
Chloromethane	< 100 13 J	< 5 < 5	< 10 3.9 J	< 5 1.5 J	< 5 18	< 5 18	< 5 < 5
cis-1,2-dichloroethene	< 100	< 5 < 5	3.9 J < 10	1.5 J < 5	< 5	10 < 5	< 5 < 5
cis-1,3-dichloropropene	< 100 < 100	< 5 < 5	< 10 < 10	< 5 < 5	< 5 < 5	< 5 < 5	< 5 < 5
Dibromochloromethane		-	-			-	-
Dichlorodifluoromethane (Freon 12)	< 100	< 5	< 10	< 5 < 5	< 5 < 5	< 5	< 5
Ethylbenzene Mathulana Chlarida	< 100	< 5	< 10	-	-	< 5	< 5
Methylene Chloride	< 100	< 5	< 10	< 5	< 5	< 5	< 5
Styrene	< 100	< 5	< 10	< 5	< 5	< 5	< 5
Tetrachloroethene	69 J	< 5	29	13	6.9	7.7	< 5
Toluene	< 100	< 5	< 10	< 5	< 5	< 5	< 5
trans-1,2-dichloroethene	< 100	< 5	< 10	< 5	< 5	< 5	< 5
trans-1,3-dichloropropene	< 100	< 5	< 10	< 5	< 5	< 5	< 5
Trichloroethylene	2300	< 5	240	81	180 D	200	< 5
Trichlorotrifluoroethane (Freon 113)	9.6 J	< 5	6.6 J	1.5 J	0.93 J	0.91 J	< 5
Vinyl Chloride	200	< 2	< 4	< 2	< 2	< 2	< 2
Xylene-o	< 100	< 5	< 10	< 5	< 5	< 5	< 5
Xylenes - m,p	< 100	< 5	< 10	< 5	< 5	< 5	< 5
Total VOCs	2614	0	284	104	211	232	0

Note: Well 1 was not operational due to maintenance during this sampling event.

Bold Constituent detected

VOCs Volatile Organic Compounds

ug/L Micrograms per liter

J Constituent value is estimated

REP Replicate Sample

 Table 3.
 Concentrations of Site-Related Volatile Organic Compounds Detected in Outpost Wells, First Quarter 2011, Operable Unit 2, Northrop Grumman Systems Corporation, Bethpage, New York.

		BPOW 1-1 (3)		REP BPOW 1-2 ⁽³⁾		BPOW 2-1	BPOW 2-2	BPOW 3-1	BPOW 3-2	BPOW 4-1	BPOW 4-2
CONSTITUENT S	ample ID:	BPOW 1-1	BPOW 1-2	REP02082011	BPOW 1-3	BPOW 2-1	BPOW 2-2	BPOW 3-1	BPOW 3-2	BPOW 4-1	BPOW 4-2
(Units in ug/L)	Date:	2/9/2011	2/8/2011	2/8/2011	2/9/2011	2/10/2011	2/10/2011	2/11/2011	2/11/2011	2/15/2011	2/14/2011
1,1,1-Trichloroethane		0.36 J	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane		< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5
1,1,2-Trichloroethane		< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5
1,1-Dichloroethane		< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	0.71	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5
1,1-Dichloroethene		< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	0.36 J	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5
1,2-Dichloroethane		< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5
Carbon Tetrachloride		< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5
Chlorobenzene		< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5
Chloroform		< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene		< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5
Trichlorotrifluoroethane (Freor	า 113)	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	0.93	0.28 J
Tetrachloroethene		< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene		< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5
Trichloroethene		1.1	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	0.85	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5
Total Site-Related VOCs (1) :		1.46	0	0	0	0	1.92	0	0	0.93	0.28
TVOC Trigger Value (2):		0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6	NE	NE	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5

Note:

⁽¹⁾ Site-related VOCs were established in the Public Water Supply Contingency Plan (PWSCP) (ARCADIS G&M, Inc. 2003).

⁽²⁾ TVOC Trigger Values were established in the PWSCP (ARCADIS G&M, Inc. 2003).

⁽³⁾ The TVOC Trigger Value for Cluster 1 was initially exceeded on April 23, 2004; confirmatory sampling and reporting was conducted as per the PWSCP (ARCADIS G&M, Inc. 2003).

⁽⁵⁾ Benzene and Methyl tert-butyl ether (MTBE), which are not site-related VOCs, were detected in Outpost Well OW 2-1 on 3/7/07 at 130 ug/L and 10 ug/L, respectively.

NE Not established

ug/L Micrograms per liter

Bold Constituent detected

TVOC Total Volatile Organic Compounds

J Constituent value is estimated

REP Replicate sample



