

**Quarterly Operations Report  
First Quarter 2020**

**Groundwater Treatment Plant  
GM-38 Area Groundwater Remediation  
Naval Weapons Industrial Reserve Plant  
Bethpage, New York**

**Contract No. N40085-16-D-2288  
Contract Task Order No. 0005**

June 2020

Prepared for:



Naval Facilities Engineering Command Mid-Atlantic  
9324 Virginia Avenue  
Norfolk, VA 23511

Prepared by:



**KOMAN Government Solutions, LLC  
180 Gordon Drive, Suite 110  
Exton, Pennsylvania 19341  
(610) 363-3000**

**Quarterly Operations Report  
First Quarter 2020**

**Groundwater Treatment Plant  
GM-38 Area Groundwater Remediation  
Naval Weapons Industrial Reserve Plant  
Bethpage, New York**

**Contract No. N40085-16-D-2288  
Contract Task Order No. 0005**

**June 2020**

Prepared for:

Naval Facilities Engineering Command Mid-Atlantic  
9324 Virginia Avenue  
Norfolk, VA 23511



Patrick Schauble  
Program Manager

06/08/2020

Date

Robert Gregory  
Project Manager

06/08/2020

Date

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>1.0</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION.....</b>	<b>1-1</b>
1.1	Background.....	1-1
1.2	GWTP Overview .....	1-1
<b>2.0</b>	<b>GWTP OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE.....</b>	<b>2-1</b>
2.1	Routine Maintenance Activities .....	2-1
2.2	Non-routine Maintenance / Site Activities .....	2-1
<b>3.0</b>	<b>GWTP MONITORING.....</b>	<b>3-1</b>
3.1	Process Water Quality Monitoring.....	3-1
3.2	Air Quality Monitoring.....	3-1
3.3	Groundwater Quality Monitoring.....	3-2
3.3.1	Groundwater Quality Results.....	3-3
3.3.2	Quality Assurance/Quality Control Sampling.....	3-4
3.3.3	Groundwater Concentration Trends.....	3-4
<b>4.0</b>	<b>CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS.....</b>	<b>4-1</b>
<b>5.0</b>	<b>REFERENCES.....</b>	<b>5-1</b>

### **TABLES**

TABLE 1	Discharge Monitoring Results – First Quarter 2020
TABLE 2	Summary of Radiochemistry Analytical Results
TABLE 3	Air Sampling Results – First Quarter 2020
TABLE 4	Stack Emissions – First Quarter 2020
TABLE 5	Groundwater Level Measurements – First Quarter 2020
TABLE 6	Summary of Groundwater Chemistry Results – First Quarter 2020
TABLE 7	Summary of Groundwater Analytical Results – First Quarter 2020
TABLE 8	Summary of Historical Groundwater Analytical Results through First Quarter 2020

### **FIGURES**

FIGURE 1	Site Map
FIGURE 2	Process Flow Diagram
FIGURE 3	GM-38 Area Site Map
FIGURE 4	First Quarter 2020 Groundwater Analytical Map – Select VOC Concentrations
FIGURE 5	Groundwater Concentrations Trends of Select VOCs – RW-1
FIGURE 6a	Groundwater Concentrations Trends of Select VOCs – RW-3 (PCE, TCE, cis-1,2-DCE)

- FIGURE 6b Groundwater Concentrations Trends of Select VOCs – RW-3 (PCE, cis-1,2-DCE)
- FIGURE 7 Groundwater Concentrations Trends of Select VOCs - RW1-MW1
- FIGURE 8 Groundwater Concentrations Trends of Select VOCs - RW1-MW3
- FIGURE 9 Groundwater Concentrations Trends of Select VOCs - RW2-MW1
- FIGURE 10 Groundwater Concentrations Trends of Select VOCs - RW3-MW1
- FIGURE 11 Groundwater Concentrations Trends of Select VOCs – RW3-MW2
- FIGURE 12 Groundwater Concentrations Trends of Select VOCs – RW3-MW3
- FIGURE 13 Groundwater Concentrations Trends of Select VOCs – RW3-MW4
- FIGURE 14 Groundwater Concentrations Trends of Select VOCs - TP-01

## **APPENDICES**

- APPENDIX A NYSDEC Effluent Limitations and Monitoring Requirements and January 2020 – March 2020 DMRs
- APPENDIX B NYSDEC Air Discharge Limit Documentation
- APPENDIX C Field Logs and Chain of Custody Documentation – First Quarter 2020
- APPENDIX D Data Validation Reports – First Quarter 2020

## Acronyms and Abbreviations

%	percent
ARAR	Applicable or Relevant and Appropriate Requirement
AS	air stripper
ASE	air stripper effluent
BFE	bag filter effluent
bgs	below ground surface
CERCLA	Comprehensive Environmental Response Compensation and Liability Act
DAR	Division of Air Resources
DCA	dichloroethane
DCE	dichloroethene
DMR	Discharge Monitoring Report
DO	dissolved oxygen
DoD	Department of Defense
DTW	depth to water
ECL	Environmental Conservation Law
EB	equipment rinsate blank
ELAP	Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program
GOCO	Government Owned Contractor Operated
gpm	gallon per minute
GWTP	groundwater treatment plant
KGS	KOMAN Government Solutions, LLC
HMI	human-machine interface
IRP	Installation Restoration Program
lb	pound
LGAC	liquid-phase granular activated carbon
MS/MSD	matrix spike/matrix spike duplicate
NAVFAC	Naval Facilities Engineering Command
Navy	United States Department of the Navy
NELAC	National Environmental Accreditation Conference
NG	Northrop Grumman
NWIRP	Naval Weapons Industrial Reserve Plant
NYSDEC	New York State Department of Environmental Conservation
NYSDOH	New York State Department of Health

---

O&M	Operation and Maintenance
ORP	oxidation reduction potential
OU	operable unit
%	percent
PCE	tetrachloroethene
PLC	programmable logic controller
QA/QC	quality assurance / quality control
ROD	Record of Decision
RPD	relative percent difference
RW	recovery well
SC	standard conductivity
scfm	standard cubic feet per minute
SPDES	Storm Pollution Discharge Elimination System
TB	trip blank
TCE	trichloroethene
TE	treated effluent
TIC	tentatively identified compound
TSS	total suspended solids
Tetra Tech	Tetra Tech, Inc.
TtEC	Tetra Tech EC, Inc.
USEPA	United States Environmental Protection Agency
VC	vinyl chloride
VGAC	vapor-phase granular activated carbon
VOC	volatile organic compound

## 1.0 INTRODUCTION

KOMAN Government Solutions, LLC (KGS) has prepared this Quarterly Operations Report for the GM-38 Area Groundwater Treatment Plant (GWTP) at the Naval Weapons Industrial Reserve Plant (NWIRP) in Bethpage, New York. This report has been prepared for the United States Department of the Navy (Navy), Naval Facilities Engineering Command (NAVFAC), Mid-Atlantic, under Contract No. N40085-16-D-2288, Contract Task Order No. 0005. This First Quarter 2020 Operations Report details activities that occurred from January to March 2020. Data were collected and operational activities were performed by KGS in accordance with the following documents:

- *Final Operation, Maintenance & Monitoring Plan for Groundwater Treatment Plant GM-38 Area Groundwater Remediation, Naval Weapons Industrial Reserve Plant, Bethpage, New York* Tetra Tech EC, Inc. (TtEC), 2010, hereafter referred to as the “O&M Manual”.
- *Final Sampling and Analysis Plan (Field Sampling Plan and Quality Assurance Project Plan), UFP-SAP for Operations, Maintenance, and Monitoring of the Groundwater Treatment Plant, GM-38 Area, Naval Weapons Industrial Reserve Plant, Bethpage, New York*, TtEC, 2010.

### 1.1 Background

NWIRP Bethpage is located in east central Nassau County, Long Island, New York, approximately 30 miles east of New York City (**Figure 1**) and is currently listed by New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (NYSDEC) as an “inactive hazardous waste site” (#1-30-003B). In the late 1990s, the Navy's property totaled approximately 109.5 acres and was a Government Owned Contractor Operated (GOCO) facility that was operated by Northrop Grumman (NG) until September 1998. NWIRP Bethpage was bordered on the north, west, and south by property owned, or formerly owned, by NG that covered approximately 550 acres, and on the east by a residential neighborhood.

The GM-38 Area refers to a cluster of monitoring wells installed in the 1990s by NG. The GM-38 Area is approximately 8,500 feet south-southeast and hydraulically downgradient of NWIRP Bethpage. The GWTP is located within a utility easement with a street address of 100 Broadway, Bethpage, New York.

The “hot spot” cleanup remedy for the GM-38 Area groundwater was originally set forth in Record of Decision (ROD) documents for Operable Unit (OU) 2. Groundwater for the NG and NWIRP Sites (New York State Registry Site Numbers 1-30-003A & 1-30-003B, respectively) issued by NYSDEC Division of Environmental Remediation in March 2001 and for the NWIRP Bethpage Site by NAVFAC in April 2003 (Revision 1). The selected remedy was chosen in accordance with the New York State Environmental Conservation Law (ECL) and the Navy's Installation Restoration Program (IRP). It is also consistent with the Comprehensive Environmental Response Compensation and Liability Act (CERCLA), as amended, 42 U.S.C. §§ 9601-9675.

### 1.2 GWTP Overview

Currently, groundwater is extracted from recovery wells RW-1 and RW-3 (pumping at RW-3 was suspended from July 2015 to June 2018 as described below) and treated in the GWTP. The treatment

process consists of flow equalization, air stripping and vapor-phase carbon treatment, bag filtration, and liquid-phase carbon treatment. Though the GWTP was originally equipped with a pH adjustment system utilizing sodium hydroxide, it has since been determined that pH adjustment is not necessary, and the equipment has been taken off-line and sodium hydroxide sent off site for beneficial reuse. A process flow diagram is presented as **Figure 2**. The treated water is either re-injected into injection well IW-1 or discharged into the Nassau County Recharge Basin #495. Under CERCLA, the Navy is required to meet the effluent requirement in the NYSDEC's Storm Pollution Discharge Elimination System (SPDES) Permit Equivalent Application as an Applicable or Relevant and Appropriate Requirement (ARAR).

The GWTP was designed to operate at an average flow rate of 1,100 gallons per minute (gpm) (800 gpm from RW-1 and 300 gpm from RW-3), as measured by the average discharge flow rate. It was determined that this flow rate would be necessary to effectively contain the higher concentration of contamination in the GM-38 Area groundwater. Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs) in the influent groundwater consist of trichloroethene (TCE), tetrachloroethene (PCE), vinyl chloride (VC), cis-1,2-dichloroethene (DCE), 1,2-dichloroethane (DCA), benzene, toluene, and total xylenes.

The air stripper (AS) is a structural aluminum tower that is packed with 3.5-inch diameter polypropylene Jaeger Tripack. Groundwater is pumped to the AS distribution port and sprayed over the column of Jaeger Tripack at a flow rate of approximately 1,100 gpm. Previously, 100 gpm of recirculated water was also rerouted through the AS, but as of October 2010, recirculation was no longer deemed necessary to the operation of the system. An induced draft countercurrent flow of air enters the AS below the base of the packing material at a rate of 8,000 standard cubic feet per minute (scfm). The large surface area of the packing material allows for a mass transfer of the VOCs from the groundwater into the air stream. The VOCs in the off-gas, except for VC, are removed via two 20,000-pound (lb) vapor phase granular activated carbon (VGAC) units (VGAC-1 and VGAC-2). VC is oxidized into potassium chloride and carbon dioxide via treatment in a 20,000-lb vessel (VGAC-3) containing zeolite impregnated with potassium permanganate. The potassium chloride remains in the pore structure of the zeolite substrate. The treated off-gas is discharged from the stack.

Water treated by the AS is subsequently processed through three 8,000-lb liquid phase granular activated carbon (LGAC) units in parallel prior to discharge in the recovery basin (or injection well, if necessary).

The GWTP is controlled by a programmable logic controller (PLC)-based digital and analog control system, with instrumentation that monitors pH, pressure, tank level, flow and differential pressure transmitters, water level in recovery wells, and motor operational status. The information in the PLC is made available to an operator via a human-machine interface (HMI) program. By using this program, the status of the GWTP can be displayed in real time and adjusted, if necessary, by the operator.

A 2014 evaluation of the GM-38 Area, conducted in order to better determine the capture zone of the recovery wells, recommended that use of recovery well RW-3 be discontinued ("*Capture Zone Evaluation and Path Forward, GM-38 Area Groundwater Treatment Plant*" [Tetra Tech Inc. (Tetra Tech), 2014]). The report was sent to NYSDEC in March 2014 and recommended ceasing operation of recovery well RW-3 and increasing the pumping rate of recovery well RW-1. These system modifications would maintain the existing GWTP pumping rate of 1,000 to 1,100 gpm while maintaining



the desired capture zone of the GWTP (Tetra Tech, 2014). NYSDEC concurred with the implementation of this path forward and associated system modifications on 20 April 2015. On 1 July 2015, in accordance with the approved path forward, recovery well RW-3 was taken off-line. The flowrate of recovery well RW-1 was increased from approximately 800 gpm to approximately 1,000 gpm. Pumping at RW-3 was once again resumed in June 2018 to address persisting VOC concentrations at this well. Pumping rates were adjusted so that approximately 80 percent (%) of the total groundwater extracted is from RW-1 with the remaining 20% extracted from RW-3.

## **2.0 GWTP OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE**

While designed to run autonomously, the GWTP requires regular visits by an operator to record and adjust operational parameters and to perform scheduled maintenance. The GWTP is equipped with telemetry that will alert an on-call operator in the event of a plant shutdown.

### **2.1 Routine Maintenance Activities**

Routine maintenance activities at the GWTP were performed during the operator's visits. These activities include general site inspections, collection of operational data (water and vapor flowrates, differential pressures across the AS, carbon units, bag filter units and blower discharge pressures, tank levels and totalizer readings), measurement of water levels in the recovery wells, adjustment of pump signal settings, collection of vapor and process water samples, changing out of bag filters, switching of lead/lag pump assignments, and preventive maintenance of system equipment.

In addition, the following maintenance tasks were also performed during this reporting period:

- On 7 January, the operator backwashed all three LGAC units.

### **2.2 Non-routine Maintenance / Site Activities**

The following non-routine activities occurred during this reporting period:

- On 18 January, the damaged Y-strainer screens at Pumps 4A and 4B were replaced.
- On 4 February, the valve on the AS sump and associated cracked flange were replaced with a butterfly valve. A new check valve was installed on the AS discharge line before Pumps 4A and 4B at the plant floor level in an accessible location. The system was down for 29.5 hours while repairs were completed.
- On 21 February, a high air pressure alarm on the air stripper blower was received from at PSH-102. The system was down between 0205 and 0650 (total of 4.75 hours) after which the operator responded and restarted the system.

### 3.0 GWTP MONITORING

The intent of the GWTP is to remove contaminant mass and reduce elevated VOC levels to levels similar to those in the surrounding aquifer. It is anticipated that GWTP operation will minimize contaminant impacts on water supply wells and currently unaffected portions of the groundwater aquifer. The GWTP is not intended to remediate groundwater contamination in the local aquifer to non-detectable levels (TtEC, 2010). Various process samples (water and vapor) are collected on a monthly basis to monitor GWTP efficiency and to ensure compliance with Federal and State effluent discharge and air emission requirements. In addition, groundwater samples are collected semi-annually to monitor water quality and determine the effectiveness of the remediation activities and monitor the hydraulic containment and capture of impacted groundwater by the recovery wells.

#### 3.1 Process Water Quality Monitoring

Processed groundwater is analyzed to comply with calculations submitted by the Navy and documented in the NYSDEC Water Division SPDES Equivalent Application for the effluent limitations and monitoring requirements. These results are also submitted to NYSDEC on a monthly basis in the form of a Discharge Monitoring Report (DMR). A copy of the current NYSDEC effluent limitation, monitoring constituents, and the reporting forms are included in **Appendix A**.

Monthly aqueous samples are collected from the active recovery wells (RW-1 and RW-3), and the treated effluent (TE) discharge line. In addition, various intermediary process system samples are collected monthly, consisting of air stripper effluent (ASE), bag filter effluent (BFE), and effluent of each of the three LGAC units (LC1, LC2, and LC3).

The analytical results of monthly process water samples collected during the First Quarter are presented in **Table 1**. The data demonstrate that all permitted constituents were in compliance with regulatory requirements. **Table 1** also summarizes the average monthly flowrates along with the total volume of water processed during each month of the First Quarter. Monthly DMRs for the First Quarter (January – March 2020) are included in **Appendix A**.

Based on NYSDEC's interest with several non-VOC parameters in groundwater near Bethpage Water District Plant 4, the Navy has agreed to sample and analyze groundwater for 1,4-dioxane, using United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) Method 8270D, on a monthly basis from the GWTP effluent. Analytical results for 1,4-dioxane are provided as **Table 1**.

Groundwater samples are collected and analyzed for radium 226 and radium 228 (USEPA Method 903.1 and 904.0/9320, respectively) on an annual basis from RW-1, RW3, and the GWTP effluent. Radium analytical results from March 2020 and previous sampling events are presented in **Table 2**.

#### 3.2 Air Quality Monitoring

Treated off-gas discharged at the stack of the GWTP is subject to emissions limitations. Original discharge goals were derived from calculations submitted by the Navy and approved by the NYSDEC Division of Air Resources (DAR) in July 2009. In November 2011, the Navy submitted an evaluation

proposing revised discharge goals, which NYSDEC approved in October 2013. A copy of this documentation is included as **Appendix B**.

While only sampling of the stack emissions is required for NYSDEC compliance, process vapor samples are also collected using 6-L summa canisters at various locations to monitor for breakthrough of the VGAC units. The analytical results of monthly influent and effluent vapor samples as well as midfluent samples (VC12 and VC13) collected during the First Quarter are presented in **Table 3**. Air emissions calculations using the stack vapor concentrations along with discharge flowrates are presented in **Table 4**. The calculations demonstrate that all constituents were within the regulatory requirements during the First Quarter based on the calculated emission rates.

### 3.3 Groundwater Quality Monitoring

The groundwater monitoring well system at the GM-38 Groundwater Remediation Area consists of 14 monitoring wells, three recovery wells (RW-1, RW-2, RW-3), and one injection well (IW-1). Groundwater level measurements were collected and are summarized in **Table 5**. Although RW-2 was installed in 2005, a pump was never installed in this well and the well is not operated as a recovery well because of concerns expressed by the Bethpage Water District. As mentioned above, pumping at RW-3 was suspended between July 2015 and June 2018. RW-3 was reactivated on 1 June 2018 to address persistent VOC concentrations at this location. Well locations are depicted on **Figure 3**.

Depth to water (DTW) measurements are collected from 12 of the monitoring wells on a quarterly basis. Prior to 2014, water quality samples were collected from eight of the monitoring wells on a quarterly basis; beginning in 2014, the sample collection frequency was reduced to semi-annually, with sample collection generally in the March and September timeframe. The monitoring network includes well clusters located near the recovery and injection wells as described below and as shown on **Figure 3**. In addition, two wells, GM-38D and GM-38D2, located at the corner of Arthur Avenue and Broadway, are monitored by others.

Semi-annual groundwater samples are collected from eight monitoring wells (RW1-MW1, RW1-MW3, RW2-MW1, RW3-MW1, RW3-MW2, RW3-MW3, RW3-MW4, and TP-01) and from two recovery wells (RW-1 and RW-3). Samples are collected from monitoring wells using bladder pumps in accordance with USEPA low-flow sampling methodologies. Samples are collected from recovery wells RW-1 and RW-3 using the dedicated extraction pump as it is normally done during routine O&M sampling. Results of the groundwater sampling for the First Quarter are presented in Section 3.3.1 below, and descriptions of monitoring well locations are as follows:

#### Recovery Well (RW)-1 Monitoring Wells

The RW-1 cluster consists of three monitoring wells screened between 395 and 435 feet below ground surface (bgs). RW1-MW1 is located approximately 140 feet northwest of RW-1 and RW1-MW2 is located approximately 50 feet north of RW-1. RW1-MW3 is located approximately 400 feet northeast of RW-1, on the eastern side of Seaford Oyster Bay Expressway. All three wells are hydraulically monitored while only RW1-MW1 and RW1-MW3 are also monitored for water quality.

### Recovery Well 2 (RW-2) Monitoring Wells

The RW-2 cluster consists of three monitoring wells screened between 470 and 510 feet bgs. RW2-MW1 is located approximately 60 feet northwest of RW-2, RW2-MW2 is located approximately 20 feet west of RW-2, and RW2-MW3 is located approximately 100 feet west of RW-2. All three wells are hydraulically monitored while only RW2-MW1 is sampled for water quality.

### Recovery Well 3 (RW-3) Monitoring Wells

The RW-3 cluster consists of four monitoring wells. RW3-MW2 and RW3-MW4 are screened between 475 and 495 feet bgs. RW3-MW1 and RW3-MW3 are screened between 330 and 350 feet bgs and 320 and 340 feet bgs, respectively. RW3-MW1 and RW3-MW2 are located approximately 500 feet west of the GM-38 cluster, at the intersection of Arthur Avenue and Leroy Avenue. RW3-MW3 and RW3-MW4 are located approximately 400 feet north of the intersection of Arthur Avenue and Broadway. All four wells are both hydraulically monitored and sampled for water quality.

### TP-01

TP-01 is screened between 450 and 470 feet bgs and is located approximately 25 feet north of the GWTP building, inside the fenced area. It is hydraulically monitored to observe the change in water levels associated with the influence from the pumping rates at the neighboring public water supply well field near the hot spot area and is also sampled for water quality.

### Injection Well (IW)-1 Monitoring Well

There is one monitoring well associated with injection well IW-1. IW1-MW1 is screened between 20 and 150 feet bgs, is located approximately 20 feet south of IW-1 and is only hydraulically monitored on a quarterly basis.

## **3.3.1 Groundwater Quality Results**

Groundwater samples for the First Quarter were collected on 11-12 March 2020. Field parameters measured during well purging, which consisted of pH, specific conductance (SC), temperature, oxidation-reduction potential (ORP), dissolved oxygen (DO), and turbidity, are summarized in **Table 6**. Following stabilization of field parameters, groundwater samples were collected. Copies of the field logs and chain of custody documentation are presented in **Appendix C**.

Groundwater samples were submitted to a laboratory accredited in accordance with National Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Conference (NELAC) requirements and the Department of Defense (DoD) Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program (ELAP). The samples were sent to Analytical Laboratories Services, located in Rochester, NY. The samples were analyzed for VOCs (including tentatively identified compounds [TICs]) via USEPA Method 624, mercury via USEPA Method 245.1, total suspended solids (TSS) via USEPA Method SM20 2540D, and 1,4-dioxane via USEPA Method 8270D. Validated analytical sampling results collected during the First Quarter monitoring event are summarized in **Table 7**. Data validation reports are presented in **Appendix D**. Raw analytical data are provided under separate cover.

### 3.3.2 Quality Assurance/Quality Control Sampling

Quality assurance/quality control (QA/QC) samples were collected during the semi-annual groundwater monitoring event in accordance with the *Final Sampling and Analysis Plan* (TtEC, 2010a). These samples consisted of field duplicates (collected from RW3-MW3 during the First Quarter), matrix spike/matrix spike duplicate (MS/MSD) samples, equipment rinsate blanks (EB) collected at a rate of one per sampling event, and trip blanks (TB) submitted at a rate of one per sample cooler.

VOC analytes were not detected in the TB, EB, or laboratory method blank; no qualification was required. Mercury was not detected in either the EB or the laboratory method blank; no qualification was required. TSS were measured at a low level in the EB along with six of the associated samples; the result from one of these associated samples was qualified as non-detect based on the concentration found in the EB.

For field duplicate samples, the precision between the original sample and its duplicate is evaluated by calculating the relative percent difference (RPD). RPDs for the First Quarter sampling event are presented in the data validation report in **Appendix D**. As indicated, RPDs for all analytes were well below the guideline of 50%. This overall consistency between the samples and their duplicates verifies that proper sample collection methods were followed.

The MS/MSD recoveries for TCE were below the laboratory QC limits for the sample collected from RW3-MW3-0320; the resulting concentration (178 µg/L) was qualified as bias low (J-). All other MS/MSD recoveries were within QC limits.

### 3.3.3 Groundwater Concentration Trends

Historical groundwater analytical results through the First Quarter are presented in **Table 8**. Groundwater analytical results of select VOCs (cis-1,2-DCE, PCE, TCE, and VC) for the First Quarter monitoring event are presented graphically as **Figure 4**. Additionally, concentration trends of select VOCs (cis-1,2-DCE, TCE, and PCE, as well as VC) over time for each recovery well and the eight monitoring wells sampled during the First Quarter monitoring event are presented in **Figures 5 through 14** and discussed below.

**Figure 5** presents concentrations measured at recovery well RW-1. TCE concentrations have decreased from initial concentrations in early 2010 (747 µg/L measured in April 2010), remaining below 300 µg/L since the latter half of 2012 and decreasing to a minimum concentration of 58.6 µg/L in November 2019 and increasing to 70.8 µg/L in March 2020. Concentrations of cis-1,2-DCE have followed a similar trend, decreasing from a maximum of 160 µg/L in February 2010 to a minimum of 3.92 µg/L in November 2019 and have remained below 5.0 µg/L since February 2019. PCE concentrations have also exhibited decreasing trends over time, with concentrations decreasing from 180 µg/L in February 2010 to a minimum of 15.2 µg/L in November 2019 before increasing to 20.3 µg/L in March 2020. Concentrations of VC have decreased below initial concentrations in 2010. After reaching a maximum concentration of 61 µg/L in February 2010, VC concentrations have remained below 5.0 µg/L since the final quarter of 2011 and below 1.0 µg/L since June 2013.

**Figure 6a and Figure 6b** presents concentrations measured at recovery well RW-3. TCE concentrations at this location have decreased from initial concentrations in February 2010 (660 µg/L), remaining below 300 µg/L from the latter half of 2012 through the Third Quarter 2015. RW-3 was temporarily taken off-line between July 2015 and June 2018, which may have contributed to the increase to 371 µg/L in March 2016. However, since March 2016, TCE concentrations have decreased from 371 µg/L to a minimum of 120 µg/L in March 2018. The TCE concentrations for the First Quarter 2020 (147 µg/L to 163 µg/L) were similar to those measured in the Fourth Quarter. Concentrations of cis-1,2-DCE have remained consistently below 4.0 µg/L, and below 2.0 µg/L since June 2018. Cis-1,2-DCE was measured at 1.3 J µg/L during the First Quarter 2020. PCE has only been detected infrequently at this location, with the most recent detection of 0.245 J µg/L in March 2020. Vinyl chloride has not been detected during any sampling event.

**Figure 7** presents concentrations measured at RW1-MW1. TCE concentrations at this location have varied widely since the initial sampling in May 2005 (53.6 µg/L). The concentration of TCE in March 2020 (71.4 µg/L) was higher than the concentration reported in May 2005, but less than the maximum concentration observed in September 2013 (175 µg/L). The concentration of cis-1,2-DCE in March 2020 (3.86 J µg/L) decreased from the concentration observed in March 2014 (92.6 µg/L) and remains well below the initial concentration observed in May 2005 (78.6 µg/L). PCE concentrations have remained consistently below 1.0 µg/L.

**Figure 8** presents concentrations measured at RW1-MW3. TCE concentrations at this location have consistently remained below 5.0 µg/L. Concentrations of cis-1,2-DCE have consistently remained below 1.0 µg/L. PCE concentrations have typically been below 1.0 µg/L; however, a concentration of 2.95 J µg/L was measured in March 2020.

**Figure 9** presents concentrations measured at RW2-MW1. TCE concentrations at this location have varied since the initial sampling in May 2005 (37.6 µg/L). The concentration of TCE in March 2020 (1.49 J µg/L) was below the initial concentration and the maximum concentration observed in March 2016 (43.9 µg/L). The concentration of cis-1,2-DCE measured in March 2020 (1.66 J µg/L) was above initial concentrations observed in May 2005 (non-detect) but below the maximum concentration observed in the March 2016 (15.3 µg/L). PCE has not been detected during any sampling events.

**Figure 10** presents concentrations measured at RW3-MW1. The TCE concentration in March 2020 (18.4 µg/L) was below the initial concentration observed in January 2010 (35.0 µg/L) and is the lowest concentration measured to date. Cis-1,2-DCE has not been detected since September 2014. PCE concentrations have remained consistently near or below 2.0 µg/L, with a concentration of 1.73 J µg/L measured in March 2020.

**Figure 11** presents concentrations measured at RW3-MW2. The TCE concentration observed in March 2020 (128 µg/L) was below the initial concentration observed in January 2010 (160 µg/L) and below the maximum concentration observed in April 2010 (211 µg/L). Concentrations of cis-1,2-DCE at this location have consistently remained below 2.0 µg/L. PCE has only been detected infrequently at this location, with concentrations ranging from 0.28 J µg/L in August 2012 to 0.66 J µg/L in March 2016.

**Figure 12** presents concentrations measured at RW3-MW3. The TCE concentration observed in March 2020 (178 µg/L) was well below the initial concentration observed in January 2010 (350 µg/L) and the maximum concentration measured in June 2013 (410 µg/L). Concentrations of cis-1,2-DCE have remained near or below 2.0 µg/L since March 2012. PCE has remained below 1.0 µg/L for all events.

**Figure 13** presents concentrations measured at RW3-MW4. TCE concentrations have decreased since the initial sampling event in January 2010 (21 µg/L), with a concentration of 2.15 J µg/L in March 2020. PCE was detected for the first time in the Third Quarter 2015 at a concentration of 0.31 J µg/L but has not been detected since the March 2016 sampling event. Cis-1,2-DCE has been detected infrequently since the initial sampling event in January 2010 (0.46 µg/L), and was measured in March 2020 at a concentration of 0.465 J µg/L.

**Figure 14** presents concentrations measured at TP-01. The TCE concentration observed in March 2020 (12.7 µg/L) was below initial and maximum concentration observed in January 2010 (65 µg/L) and is the lowest concentration to date. Concentrations of cis-1,2-DCE have generally decreased from an initial value of 190 µg/L in January 2010 to the current lowest concentration measured in March 2020 (3.01 J µg/L). PCE has remained below 1.0 µg/L since September 2013 and have not been detected since March 2017.



#### **4.0 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

The intent of the groundwater treatment system at GM-38 is to remove contaminant mass and reduce elevated VOC concentrations to levels similar to those in the surrounding aquifer, and in doing so minimize the impacts on downgradient water supply wells and currently unaffected portions of the aquifer. Based on the removal of VOCs by the GWTP and decreasing contaminant concentration trends observed in the recovery wells and several of the monitoring wells, progress toward these goals is apparent. Based on the concentrations in the groundwater wells, the GWTP should continue to be operated. In accordance with the O&M Manual, the groundwater sampling frequency for the eight monitoring wells has been reduced to semi-annually. Water levels for the 14 monitoring wells will continue to be monitored on a quarterly basis.

## 5.0 REFERENCES

Tetra Tech, Inc. (Tetra Tech). 2014. *Capture Zone Evaluation and Path Forward, GM-38 Area Groundwater Treatment Plant, Naval Weapons Industrial Reserve Plant, Bethpage, New York*. March.

Tetra Tech EC, Inc. (TtEC). 2010. *Final Operation, Maintenance & Monitoring Plan for Groundwater Treatment Plant GM-38 Area Groundwater Remediation, Naval Weapons Industrial Reserve Plant, Bethpage, New York*. April.

Tetra Tech EC, Inc. (TtEC). 2010a. *Final Sampling and Analysis Plan (Field Sampling Plan and Quality Assurance Project Plan), UFP-SAP for Operations, Maintenance, and Monitoring of the Groundwater Treatment Plant, GM-38 Area, Naval Weapons Industrial Reserve Plant, Bethpage, New York*. September.

## **TABLES**

**Table 1**  
**GM-38 Area Groundwater Remediation**  
**Groundwater Treatment Plant**  
**Naval Weapons Industrial Reserve Plant - Bethpage, NY**  
**Discharge Monitoring Results**  
**First Quarter 2020**

SPDES Parameters	Daily Maximum Goal	Units	January 2020									
			RW-1	RW-3	Combined Influent	Treated Effluent	Treated Effluent DUPLICATE	Air Stripper Effluent (ASE)	Bag Filter Effluent (BFE)	Liquid Carbon 1 Effluent (LC1)	Liquid Carbon 2 Effluent (LC2)	Liquid Carbon 3 Effluent (LC3)
Process Stream												
Well Depth		ft	445	530	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Screened Interval		ft	335-395 410-430	392-412 442-504	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Sampling Date			1/2/20									
Average Flowrate	1100	GPM	636	160	796	844	NR	NR	801	NR	NR	NR
Total Flow		gallons	28,404,400	7,149,200	35,553,600	37,661,600	NR	NR	35,769,100	NR	NR	NR
pH	5.5 - 8.5	SU	5.07	5.37	5.13	6.45	6.44	6.39	6.40	6.42	6.44	6.45
Chloroform	NA	µg/L	0.293 J	0.350 J	0.30 J	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)
1,1-Dichloroethane	5	µg/L	1.33 J	2.27 J	1.52 J	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)
1,2-Dichloroethane	0.6	µg/L	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)
1,1-Dichloroethene	5	µg/L	0.704 J	1.15 J	0.794 J	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)
cis 1,2-Dichloroethene	5	µg/L	4.34 J	1.29 J	3.73 J	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)
trans 1,2-Dichloroethene	5	µg/L	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)
Tetrachloroethene	5	µg/L	18.5	ND (1.0)	14.78	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5	µg/L	0.441 J	0.521 J	0.457 J	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)
Trichloroethene	5	µg/L	72	163	90.3	0.333 J	0.316 J	0.969 J	0.864 J	ND (1.0)	0.365 J	ND (1.0)
1,1,2-Trichlorotrifluoroethane	5	µg/L	ND (1.0)	0.602 J	0.12 J	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)
Vinyl Chloride	2	µg/L	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)
1,4-Dioxane	--	µg/L	2.1	5.4	2.8	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
Mercury	0.00025	mg/L	ND (0.00010)	ND (0.00010)	ND (0.00010)	ND (0.00010)	ND (0.00010)	ND (0.00010)	ND (0.00010)	ND (0.00010)	ND (0.00010)	ND (0.00010)
Total Suspended Solids (TSS)	NA	mg/L	ND (1.0)	4.7	0.9	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	1.4	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)

**Table 1**  
**GM-38 Area Groundwater Remediation**  
**Groundwater Treatment Plant**  
**Naval Weapons Industrial Reserve Plant - Bethpage, NY**  
**Discharge Monitoring Results**  
**First Quarter 2020**

SPDES Parameters	Daily Maximum Goal	Units	February 2020									
			RW-1	RW-3	Combined Influent	Treated Effluent	Treated Effluent DUPLICATE	Air Stripper Effluent (ASE)	Bag Filter Effluent (BFE)	Liquid Carbon 1 Effluent (LC1)	Liquid Carbon 2 Effluent (LC2)	Liquid Carbon 3 Effluent (LC3)
Process Stream												
Well Depth		ft	445	530	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Screened Interval		ft	335-395 410-430	392-412 442-504	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Sampling Date			2/3/20									
Average Flowrate	1100	GPM	680	167	847	878	NR	NR	851	NR	NR	NR
Total Flow		gallons	28,376,825	6,988,600	35,365,425	36,675,975	NR	NR	35,546,250	NR	NR	NR
pH	5.5 - 8.5	SU	5.14	5.43	5.20	6.47	6.45	6.41	6.42	6.44	6.45	6.45
Chloroform	NA	µg/L	ND (1.0)	0.359 J	0.07 J	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)
1,1-Dichloroethane	5	µg/L	1.31 J	2.25 J	1.50 J	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)
1,2-Dichloroethane	0.6	µg/L	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)
1,1-Dichloroethene	5	µg/L	0.696 J	1.140 J	0.784 J	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)
cis 1,2-Dichloroethene	5	µg/L	4.18 J	1.10 J	3.57 J	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)
trans 1,2-Dichloroethene	5	µg/L	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)
Tetrachloroethene	5	µg/L	17.5	ND (1.0)	14.04	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5	µg/L	0.453 J	0.488 J	0.460 J	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)
Trichloroethene	5	µg/L	66.6	147	82.5	0.319 J	0.275 J	ND (1.0)	0.837 J	0.230 J	0.376 J	ND (1.0)
1,1,2-Trichlorotrifluoroethane	5	µg/L	ND (1.0)	0.786 J	0.16 J	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)
Vinyl Chloride	2	µg/L	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)
1,4-Dioxane	--	µg/L	2.1	5.2	2.7	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
Mercury	0.00025	mg/L	ND (0.00010)	ND (0.00010)	ND (0.00010)	ND (0.00010)	ND (0.00010)	ND (0.00010)	ND (0.00010)	ND (0.00010)	ND (0.00010)	ND (0.00010)
Total Suspended Solids (TSS)	NA	mg/L	ND (1.0)	24.9	4.9	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	3.0	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)

**Table 1**  
**GM-38 Area Groundwater Remediation**  
**Groundwater Treatment Plant**  
**Naval Weapons Industrial Reserve Plant - Bethpage, NY**  
**Discharge Monitoring Results**  
**First Quarter 2020**

SPDES Parameters	Daily Maximum Goal	Units	March 2020										
			RW-1	RW-3	Combined Influent	Treated Effluent	Treated Effluent DUPLICATE	Air Stripper Effluent (ASE)	Bag Filter Effluent (BFE)	Liquid Carbon 1 Effluent (LC1)	Liquid Carbon 2 Effluent (LC2)	Liquid Carbon 3 Effluent (LC3)	
Process Stream													
Well Depth		ft	445	530	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Screened Interval		ft	335-395 410-430	392-412 442-504	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Sampling Date			3/2/20										
Average Flowrate	1100	GPM	824	184.6	1,009	1,049	NR	NR	1,013	NR	NR	NR	NR
Total Flow		gallons	36,791,475	8,240,800	45,032,275	46,818,025	NR	NR	45,234,250	NR	NR	NR	NR
pH	5.5 - 8.5	SU	8.55	9.28	8.68	6.55	6.54	6.47	6.49	6.54	6.55	5.88	
Chloroform	NA	µg/L	0.328 J	0.362 J	0.33 J	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)
1,1-Dichloroethane	5	µg/L	1.38 J	2.41 J	1.57 J	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)
1,2-Dichloroethane	0.6	µg/L	0.215 J	ND (1.0)	0.18 J	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)
1,1-Dichloroethene	5	µg/L	0.846 J	1.20 J	0.911 J	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)
cis 1,2-Dichloroethene	5	µg/L	4.70 J	1.33 J	4.08 J	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)
trans 1,2-Dichloroethene	5	µg/L	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)
Tetrachloroethene	5	µg/L	20.3	0.245 J	16.63	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	0.295 J	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5	µg/L	0.577 J	0.627 J	0.586 J	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)
Trichloroethene	5	µg/L	70.8	153	85.8	0.398 J	0.350 J	1.49 J	1.21 J	0.253 J	0.424 J	0.267 J	
1,1,2-Trichlorotrifluoroethane	5	µg/L	ND (1.0)	0.676 J	0.12 J	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)
Vinyl Chloride	2	µg/L	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)
1,4-Dioxane	--	µg/L	2.3	5.7	2.9	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
Mercury	0.00025	mg/L	ND (0.00010)	ND (0.00010)	ND (0.00010)	ND (0.00010)	ND (0.00010)	ND (0.00010)	ND (0.00010)	ND (0.00010)	ND (0.00010)	ND (0.00010)	ND (0.00010)
Total Suspended Solids (TSS)	NA	mg/L	ND (1.0)	9.3	1.7	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	4.4	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)

**Notes:**

J - Estimated result between laboratory method detection limit and reporting limit  
NA - Not Applicable  
ND - Not detected above laboratory method detection limit. Limit of detection (LOD) given in parentheses.  
NR - Not Recorded  
gpm - gallons per minute

(1) Wastewater discharge equivalence permit renewed on 18 August 2017. Discharge limits established for 10 years. Chloroform, 1,4-dioxane and 1,1,2-trichlorotrifluoroethane are now monitored under the new permit.

**Table 2**  
**Summary of Radiochemistry Analytical Results**  
**Groundwater Treatment Plant**  
**Naval Weapons Industrial Reserve Plant - Bethpage, NY**  
**First Quarter 2020**

Sample Location ID	Radium 226 (June 2013)			Radium 228 (June 2013)			Radium 226 (March 2019)			Radium 228 (March 2019)			Radium 226 (March 2020)			Radium 228 (March 2020)		
	Result	(+/-)	MDC	Result	(+/-)	MDC	Result	(+/-)	MDC	Result	(+/-)	MDC	Result	(+/-)	MDC	Result	(+/-)	MDC
<b>Monitoring Wells - Quarterly LTM</b>																		
RW1-MW1	<b>2.43</b>	0.500	0.305	0.0924 U	0.784	1.26	NS			NS			NS			NS		
RW1-MW3	<b>1.07</b>	0.347	0.354	<b>1.79</b>	0.873	1.21	NS			NS			NS			NS		
RW2-MW1	<b>3.99</b>	0.637	0.391	<b>2.81</b>	0.886	0.997	NS			NS			NS			NS		
RW3-MW1	<b>1.11</b>	0.350	0.353	0.957 U	0.813	1.30	NS			NS			NS			NS		
RW3-MW1 - Duplicate	<b>1.02</b>	0.369	0.403	<b>1.35</b>	0.846	1.26	NS			NS			NS			NS		
RW3-MW2	<b>0.772</b>	0.309	0.357	0.539 U	0.683	1.16	NS			NS			NS			NS		
RW3-MW3	<b>1.40</b>	0.449	0.430	<b>1.58</b>	0.784	1.05	NS			NS			NS			NS		
RW3-MW4	<b>2.17</b>	0.483	0.385	<b>2.81</b>	1.31	1.93	NS			NS			NS			NS		
TP1	<b>0.452</b>	0.299	0.429	0.613 U	1.13	1.96	NS			NS			NS			NS		
Equipment/Rinsate Blank	0.101 U	0.222	0.408	1.10 U	1.01	1.66	NS			NS			NS			NS		
<b>Monitoring Wells - Remaining Wells not in Quarterly LTM</b>																		
RW1-MW2	<b>1.74</b>	0.495	0.468	0.733 U	0.741	1.22	NS			NS			NS			NS		
RW2-MW2	<b>0.829</b>	0.359	0.432	0.296 U	0.774	1.39	NS			NS			NS			NS		
RW2-MW3	<b>3.49</b>	0.606	0.255	<b>1.74</b>	0.819	1.08	NS			NS			NS			NS		
IW1-MW1	<b>0.769</b>	0.349	0.429	0.635 U	0.913	1.57	NS			NS			NS			NS		
<b>Recovery Wells</b>																		
RW1	<b>1.13</b>	0.355	0.347	<b>1.38</b>	0.804	1.16	<b>2.07</b>	0.62	0.599	1.44 U	1.03	1.65	<b>1.49</b>	0.44	0.366	0.0222 U	0.77	1.47
RW3	<b>1.22</b>	0.409	0.428	0.488 U	0.753	1.31	<b>1.46</b>	0.56	0.62	<b>2.08</b>	1.13	1.74	<b>1.43</b>	0.43	0.383	-0.507 U	1.07	2.06
<b>GWTP Process Samples</b>																		
GWTP Treated Effluent	<b>0.948</b>	0.317	0.285	1.40 U	0.965	1.49	<b>0.84</b>	0.47	0.575	0.0796 U	0.88	1.61	<b>1.09</b>	0.40	0.365	0.461 U	0.98	1.75
GWTP Treated Effluent - Duplicate	<b>1.16</b>	0.383	0.397	2.00 U	1.30	2.06	<b>1.90</b>	0.68	0.632	<b>1.63</b>	0.81	1.15	<b>1.15</b>	0.36	0.338	0.649 U	0.74	1.25

Notes:

GWTP = groundwater treatment plant

LTM = long-term monitoring

MDC = minimum detectable concentration

All value reported as pCi/L = picoCurie per liter

U = Analyte not detected above associated MDC, MDL, MDA, or LOD.

NS = Not Sampled

Radium 226 analyzed by EPA 903.1 Modified with a RL of 1.00 pCi/L.

Radium 228 analyzed by EPA 904.0/SW846 9320 Modified with a RL of 3.00 pCi/L.

Bold highlight indicates detected constituent.

Uncertainty is calculated at the 95% confidence interval.

A negative value denotes that the sample counts are lower than the weekly background count used as reference.

**Table 3**  
**GM-38 Area Groundwater Remediation**  
**Groundwater Treatment Plant**  
**Naval Weapons Industrial Reserve Plant - Bethpage, NY**  
**Air Sampling Results**  
**First Quarter 2020**

DAR Parameters	Discharge Goal <sup>(3)</sup>	Units	January 2020				
			Influent (VC11)	Effluent	Effluent Duplicate	VC12	VC23
Process Stream							
Sampling Date			1/2/20				
Average Flowrate		CFM	NR	8,666	NR	NR	NR
Total Flow <sup>(1)</sup>		ft <sup>3</sup>	NR	370,092,206	NR	NR	NR
Total Flow <sup>(2)</sup>		m <sup>3</sup>	NR	10,479,844	NR	NR	NR
1,2-Dichloroethane	NA	µg/m <sup>3</sup>	1.9 J	2.4 J	3.3 J	ND	2.0 J
cis 1,2-Dichloroethene	≤ 100,000 <sup>(4)</sup>	µg/m <sup>3</sup>	46	64	66	45	48
trans 1,2-Dichloroethene		µg/m <sup>3</sup>	1.4 J	ND	ND	ND	ND
1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	≤ 100,000	µg/m <sup>3</sup>	45	63	67	44	48
Toluene	N/A	µg/m <sup>3</sup>	1.2 J	ND	ND	ND	31
Total Xylene	N/A	µg/m <sup>3</sup>	ND	ND	ND	ND	10.0
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	N/A	µg/m <sup>3</sup>	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Trichloroethene	≤ 2600	µg/m <sup>3</sup>	1000	ND	ND	1000	31
Vinyl Chloride	≤ 560	µg/m <sup>3</sup>	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Tetrachloroethene	≤ 5100	µg/m <sup>3</sup>	150	ND	ND	2.5 J	4.7

Notes:

NA - Not applicable

ND - Not detected

NR - Not recorded

NS - Not sampled

SGC - Short-term Guideline Concentration

µg/m<sup>3</sup> - micrograms per cubic meter

CFM - cubic feet per minute

DAR - Division of Air Resources

(1) Total Flow (ft<sup>3</sup>) = avg flowrate (cfm) \* operational time (min)

(2) Total Flow (m<sup>3</sup>) = total flow (ft<sup>3</sup>) \* (0.3048<sup>3</sup>)m<sup>3</sup>/ft<sup>3</sup>

(3) Discharge goal approved by NYSDEC's letter dated 10/31/2013.

(4) Discharge goal is for total 1,2-Dichloroethene.



**Table 3**  
**GM-38 Area Groundwater Remediation**  
**Groundwater Treatment Plant**  
**Naval Weapons Industrial Reserve Plant - Bethpage, NY**  
**Air Sampling Results**  
**First Quarter 2020**

DAR Parameters	Discharge Goal <sup>(3)</sup>	Units	February 2020					
			Influent (VC11)	Effluent	Effluent Duplicate	VC12	VC23	
Process Stream								
Sampling Date			2/3/20					
Average Flowrate		CFM	NR	9,533	NR	NR	NR	NR
Total Flow <sup>(1)</sup>		ft <sup>3</sup>	NR	378,563,246	NR	NR	NR	NR
Total Flow <sup>(2)</sup>		m <sup>3</sup>	NR	10,719,717	NR	NR	NR	NR
1,2-Dichloroethane	NA	µg/m <sup>3</sup>	1.9 J	3.1	3.0 J	1.7 J	2.9 J	
cis 1,2-Dichloroethane	≤ 100,000 <sup>(4)</sup>	µg/m <sup>3</sup>	44	56	55	52	65	
trans 1,2-Dichloroethane		µg/m <sup>3</sup>	0.90 J	ND	0.70 J	1.2 J	1.2 J	
1,2-Dichloroethane (total)	≤ 100,000	µg/m <sup>3</sup>	44	56	56	52	65	
Toluene	N/A	µg/m <sup>3</sup>	1.9 J	ND	ND	ND	ND	
Total Xylene	N/A	µg/m <sup>3</sup>	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	N/A	µg/m <sup>3</sup>	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	
Trichloroethene	≤ 2600	µg/m <sup>3</sup>	1000	ND	0.98 J	930	0.57 J	
Vinyl Chloride	≤ 560	µg/m <sup>3</sup>	0.84 J	0.67 J	0.89 J	1.1 J	0.89 J	
Tetrachloroethene	≤ 5100	µg/m <sup>3</sup>	170	ND	ND	2.3 J	ND	

Notes:

NA - Not applicable

ND - Not detected

NR - Not recorded

NS - Not sampled

SGC - Short-term Guideline Concentration

µg/m<sup>3</sup> - micrograms per cubic meter

CFM - cubic feet per minute

DAR - Division of Air Resources

(1) Total Flow (ft<sup>3</sup>) = avg flowrate (cfm) \* operational time (min)

(2) Total Flow (m<sup>3</sup>) = total flow (ft<sup>3</sup>) \* (0.3048<sup>3</sup>)m<sup>3</sup>/ft<sup>3</sup>

(3) Discharge goal approved by NYSDEC's letter dated 10/31/2013.

(4) Discharge goal is for total 1,2-Dichloroethene.

**Table 3**  
**GM-38 Area Groundwater Remediation**  
**Groundwater Treatment Plant**  
**Naval Weapons Industrial Reserve Plant - Bethpage, NY**  
**Air Sampling Results**  
**First Quarter 2020**

DAR Parameters	Discharge Goal <sup>(3)</sup>	Units	March 2020				
			Influent (VC11)	Effluent	Effluent Duplicate	VC12	VC23
Process Stream							
Sampling Date			3/2/20				
Average Flowrate		CFM	NR	9,481	NR	NR	NR
Total Flow <sup>(1)</sup>		ft <sup>3</sup>	NR	423,243,000	NR	NR	NR
Total Flow <sup>(2)</sup>		m <sup>3</sup>	NR	11,984,907	NR	NR	NR
1,2-Dichloroethane	NA	µg/m <sup>3</sup>	3.1 J	5.3	5.1	2.6 J	5.1 J
cis 1,2-Dichloroethene	≤ 100,000 <sup>(4)</sup>	µg/m <sup>3</sup>	49	49	48	41	58
trans 1,2-Dichloroethene		µg/m <sup>3</sup>	1.9 J	ND	ND	1.9 J	2.2 J
1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	≤ 100,000	µg/m <sup>3</sup>	51	49	48	43	60
Toluene	N/A	µg/m <sup>3</sup>	2.5 J	ND	ND	ND	ND
Total Xylene	N/A	µg/m <sup>3</sup>	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	N/A	µg/m <sup>3</sup>	2.0 J	ND	ND	ND	ND
Trichloroethene	≤ 2600	µg/m <sup>3</sup>	1500	ND	ND	1400	ND
Vinyl Chloride	≤ 560	µg/m <sup>3</sup>	1.8 J	1.50 J	1.5 J	1.7 J	1.4 J
Tetrachloroethene	≤ 5100	µg/m <sup>3</sup>	220	ND	14.0	2.4 J	ND

Notes:

NA - Not applicable

ND - Not detected

NR - Not recorded

NS - Not sampled

SGC - Short-term Guideline Concentration

µg/m<sup>3</sup> - micrograms per cubic meter

CFM - cubic feet per minute

DAR - Division of Air Resources

(1) Total Flow (ft<sup>3</sup>) = avg flowrate (cfm) \* operational time (min)

(2) Total Flow (m<sup>3</sup>) = total flow (ft<sup>3</sup>) \* (0.3048<sup>3</sup>)m<sup>3</sup>/ft<sup>3</sup>

(3) Discharge goal approved by NYSDEC's letter dated 10/31/2013.

(4) Discharge goal is for total 1,2-Dichloroethene.

**Table 4**  
**GM-38 Area Groundwater Remediation**  
**Groundwater Treatment Plant**  
**Naval Weapons Industrial Reserve Plant - Bethpage, NY**  
**Stack Emissions**  
**First Quarter 2020**

DAR Parameters	Discharge Goal <sup>(1)</sup>	Units	January 2020	February 2020	March 2020
Sampling Date			1/2/20	2/3/20	3/2/20
Average Flowrate	N/A	CFM	8,666	9,533	9,481
Total Flow	N/A	ft <sup>3</sup>	370,092,206	378,563,246	423,243,000
Total Flow	N/A	m <sup>3</sup>	10,479,844	10,719,717	11,984,907
Trichloroethene	≤ 0.09	lb/hr	0.00000	0.000000	0.00000
Vinyl Chloride	≤ 0.02	lb/hr	0.00000	0.00002	0.00005
1,2 Dichloroethene	≤ 11	lb/hr	0.00199	0.00187	0.00174
1,2-Dichloroethane	N/A	lb/hr	0.00007	0.00011	0.00019
Toluene	N/A	lb/hr	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000
Total Xylene	N/A	lb/hr	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	N/A	lb/hr	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000
Tetrachloroethene	≤ 0.18	lb/hr	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000

Notes:

NA - Not applicable

lb/hr - pounds per hour

DAR - Division of Air Resources

CFM - Cubic feet per minute

Stack Emissions (lb/hr) = average flowrate (cfm) \* (0.3048<sup>^3</sup>)m<sup>3</sup>/ft<sup>3</sup> \* conc.(ug/m<sup>3</sup>) \* 1 lb/453592370 ug \* 60 min/hr

(1) Discharge goal as approved by NYSDEC's letter dated 31 October 2013.

**Table 5**  
**GM-38 Area Groundwater Remediation**  
**Groundwater Treatment Plant**  
**Naval Weapons Industrial Reserve Plant - Bethpage, NY**  
**Groundwater Level Measurements**  
**First Quarter 2020**

<b>Monitoring Well ID</b>	<b>Date</b>	<b>Well Elevation (ft amsl)</b>	<b>Total Depth (ft)</b>	<b>Screen Interval (ft)</b>	<b>Depth to Water (ft)</b>	<b>Groundwater Elevation (ft amsl)</b>
RW1-MW1	3/12/2020	85.86	435	395-435	33.17	52.69
RW1-MW2	3/12/2020	87.35	435	395-435	37.44	49.91
RW1-MW3	3/12/2020	80.34	435	395-435	26.25	54.09
RW2-MW1	3/12/2020	90.75	510	470-510	36.54	54.21
RW2-MW2	3/12/2020	90.15	510	470-510	36.20	53.95
RW2-MW3	3/12/2020	89.75	510	470-510	35.78	53.97
RW3-MW1	3/12/2020	92.22	350	330-350	34.85	57.37
RW3-MW2	3/12/2020	91.98	495	475-495	36.52	55.46
RW3-MW3	3/12/2020	92.98	340	320-340	36.25	56.73
RW3-MW4	3/12/2020	92.92	495	475-495	37.45	55.47
TP-01	3/12/2020	85.91	470	450-470	31.56	54.35
IW1-MW1	3/12/2020	89.41	150	20-150	36.74	52.67
RW-1	NA	91.37	340	320-340	NA	NA
RW-3	NA	91.57	495	475-495	NA	NA

**Notes:**

amsl - above mean sea level

ft - feet

NA - Not Applicable

**Table 6**  
**Summary of Final Groundwater Chemistry Data**  
**GM-38 Area Groundwater Remediation**  
**Groundwater Treatment Plant**  
**Naval Weapons Industrial Reserve Plant - Bethpage, NY**  
**Summary of Groundwater Chemistry Results**  
**First Quarter 2020**

Location	Temp (°C)	pH (SU)	S.C. (uS/cm <sup>3</sup> )	DO (mg/L)	ORP (mV)	Turbidity (NTU)	Color (Visual)
RW1-MW1	14.58	5.02	158	1.50	82.80	1.21	Clear
RW1-MW3	12.92	4.95	185	0.25	58.00	4.31	Clear
RW2-MW1	12.44	7.58	173	0.20	11.90	12.19	Clear
RW3-MW1	13.34	5.09	205	6.13	112.80	11.10	Clear
RW3-MW2	13.88	5.11	96	0.36	54.30	1.33	Clear
RW3-MW3	13.51	5.26	130	1.43	76.90	7.28	Clear
RW3-MW4	13.79	5.03	174	0.76	73.70	3.16	Clear
TP-01	12.88	6.04	285	6.79	73.60	0.37	Clear

**Notes:**

S.C. = Specific Conductance  
 mS/cm = milliSiemens per centimeter  
 NTU = nephelometric turbidity units  
 mg/L = milligrams per liter  
 °C = degrees celsius  
 mV = millivolts  
 SU = standard units  
 ORP = oxidation/reduction potential

**Table 7**  
**GM-38 Area Groundwater Remediation**  
**Groundwater Treatment Plant**  
**Naval Weapons Industrial Reserve Plant - Bethpage, NY**  
**Summary of Detected Groundwater Analytical Results**  
**First Quarter 2020**

Sample ID	RW1-MW1	RW1-MW3	RW2-MW1	RW3-MW1	RW3-MW2	RW3-MW3	RW3-MW3	RW3-MW4	TP-01	RW-1	RW-3
Sample Date	3/11/2020	3/12/2020	3/12/2020	3/11/2020	3/11/2020	3/11/2020	3/11/2020	3/11/2020	3/12/2020	3/2/2020	3/2/2020
Comments								Duplicate			
<b>VOCS (EPA 624) ug/L <sup>(1)</sup></b>											
Chloroform	0.476 J	0.631 J	ND	ND	ND	0.269 J	0.324 J	0.954 J	ND	0.328 J	0.362 J
1,1-dichloroethane	6.26	4.03 J	2.18 J	ND	0.203 J	2.01 J	2.06 J	6.99	0.335 J	1.38 J	2.41 J
1,2-dichloroethane	ND	ND	0.260 J	ND	ND	ND	0.223 J	ND	ND	0.215 J	ND
1,1-dichloroethene	1.76 J	1.10 J	0.269 J	ND	ND	1.13 J	1.26 J	1.70 J	ND	0.846 J	1.20 J
1,4-Dioxane	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	2.3	5.7
cis-1,2-dichloroethene	3.86 J	0.390 J	1.66 J	ND	1.00 J	0.910 J	0.983 J	0.465 J	3.01 J	4.70 J	1.33 J
trans-1,2-dichloroethene	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Tetrachloroethene	0.308 J	2.95 J	ND	1.73 J	0.367 J	0.446 J	0.471 J	ND	ND	20.3	0.245 J
1,1,1-trichloroethane	0.841	0.754 J	ND	ND	ND	0.472 J	0.388 J	0.727 J	ND	0.577 J	0.627 J
1,1,2-trichloroethane	ND	0.312 J	ND	ND	0.240 J	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	0.676 J
Trichloroethene	74.1	3.21 J	1.49 J	18.4	128	178 J-	183	2.15 J	12.7	70.8	153
Mercury (EPA 245.1) ug/L	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
TSS (SM20 2540D) mg/L	ND	ND	36.2	15.3	ND	2.3	2.3	2.6	ND	ND	9.3

**Notes:**

J = estimated value

J- =biased low estimated value

ND = Not detected above laboratory method detection limit

mg/L = milligrams per liter

µg/L = micrograms per liter

NS = Not Sampled

(1) Only those VOCs detected are presented above.





















## **FIGURES**



**GM-38 AREA**




Source: U.S.G.S. Topographic Maps (7.5 Minute)  
Amityville, Freeport, Hicksville, Huntington, NY Quadrangles

U.S. Navy RAC Engineering Field Activity, Northeast GM-38 Area (Offsite) NWIRP Bethpage Bethpage, NY
Figure 1 Site Location Map

P:\LantDiv\Bethpage\CAD - GIS\Dwg\O&M Manual\Site Location Map.dwg, 6/29/2009 3:33:52 PM





NOTES:  
1. FOR SYMBOL AND ABBREVIATION LISTS SEE DRAWING P-2.

LEGEND:  
- - - VAPOR PIPING  
— LIQUID PIPING

STREAM NO.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
COMPOSITION (UG/L, UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED)																
BENZENE	4	4	4	4	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TOLUENE	15	15	15	15	12	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
XYLENES, TOTAL	16	16	16	16	12	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
1,2-DICHLOROETHANE	3	3	3	3	2.8	-	-	-	-	-	2.7 E-07	-	-	-	-	-
cis 1,2-DICHLOROETHENE	1100	1100	1100	1100	1008	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	-	1.0 E-04	-	-	-	-	-
VINYL CHLORIDE	300	300	300	300	275	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	-	2.7 E-05	-	-	-	-	-
TETRACHLOROETHENE (PCE)	900	900	900	900	825	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08	-	8.2 E-05	-	-	-	-	-
TRICHLOROETHENE (TCE)	3400	3400	3400	3400	3117	3.12	3.12	3.12	3.12	-	3.1 E-03	-	-	-	-	-
WATER FLOW RATE (GPM)	800	300	1100	1100	1200	1200	1100	100	1100	1.1 gpd	1100	-	-	-	-	-
TEMPERATURE (°F)	55	55	55	55	55	55	55	55	55	60	55	-	-	-	-	-
PRESSURE (PSIG)												-0.27	1.50	1.36	1.18	0.53
DENSITY (lb/ft <sup>3</sup> )										95.5		0.077	0.085	0.084	0.082	0.079
MASS FLOW RATE (lb/hr)	400364	150136	550500	550500	600545	600545	550500	50,045	550500	0.59	550500	36,960	40,800	40,320	39,360	37,920
RELATIVE HUMIDITY (%)												50	50	100	50	50
STATIC PRESSURE (PSIA)												0.214	0.214	0.214	0.275	0.275
pH (S.U.)	5.5	5.5	5.5	5.5	5.5	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	14	7.0					
VAPOR FLOW RATE (CFM)												8000	8000	8000	8000	8000
TOTAL VAPOR VOC (PPMV)												-	-	25.5	25.5	1.2
TOTAL VAPOR VOC (LBS/HR)												-	-	3.18	3.18	0.15

THIS DRAWING PRODUCED ON AUTOCAD DO NOT REVISE MANUALLY

THIS DOCUMENT IS THE PROPERTY OF NAVAL FACILITIES ENGINEERING COMMAND, PREPARED BY TETRA TECH EC, INC., AND IS PROVIDED UPON THE CONDITION THAT IT WILL NOT BE REPRODUCED, COPIED, OR ISSUED TO A THIRD PARTY, AND WILL BE USED SOLELY FOR THE ORIGINAL INTENDED PURPOSE AND SOLELY FOR THE EXECUTION OR REVIEW OF THE ENGINEERING CONSTRUCTION OF THE PROJECT.

IT IS A VIOLATION OF THE NEW YORK STATE EDUCATION LAW, ARTICLE 145, FOR ANY PERSON UNLESS UNDER THE DIRECTION OF A NEW YORK STATE LICENSED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER, TO ALTER AN ITEM ON THIS DOCUMENT IN ANY WAY.

DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY  
NAVAL WEAPONS INDUSTRIAL RESERVE PLANT  
LESTER

ENGINEERING FIELD ACTIVITY - NORTHEAST  
PENNSYLVANIA  
BETHPAGE, NEW YORK

GM-38 AREA  
GROUNDWATER TREATMENT PLANT  
PROCESS FLOW DIAGRAM - GROUNDWATER AND OFF-GAS TREATMENT

APPROVED: [Signature]  
DATE: 05/05/06

PREP BY: DLB  
DATE: 03/31/08  
REVISED: 02/24/09

DESCRIPTION: ADDITIONAL RECOVERY WELL #1 CONNECTION REVISED BASED ON VENDOR SUBMITTALS. DRAWING UPDATES FOR CONSTRUCTION.

REV: 0  
1  
2

APPROVED: [Signature]  
DATE: 05/05/06


DATE: 05/05/06

CODE ID NO.: 80091  
SCALE: AS SHOWN  
SPEC. NO.:  
CONSTR. CONTR. NO.: N62472-99-D-0032  
NAVFAC DRAWING NO.: Figure 2


SHEET: D OF 1  
DIS. SH. NO.: 1-4

**Legend**

- Monitoring Well (Monitored by Navy)
- Monitoring Well (Monitored by Northrop Grumman)
- ⊠ Recovery Well
- ⊠ Injection Well



(SEAFORD-OYSTER BAY EXPRESSWAY - RTE 135)



<b>SITE MAP</b>			
<b>NWIRP BETHPAGE GM-38 AREA BETHPAGE, NEW YORK</b>			
KOMAN Government Solutions, LLC 180 Gordon Drive, Suite 110, Exton, PA 19341			
SCALE SEE BARSCALE	DATE 04/15/2020	FIGURE 3	

- Legend**
- Monitoring Well (Monitored by Navy)
  - Monitoring Well (Monitored by Northrop Grumman)
  - ⊠ Recovery Well
  - ⊞ Injection Well
  - J Estimated value
  - ND Not Detected above laboratory method detection limit
  - NS Not Sampled
  - DCE Dichloroethene
  - PCE Tetrachloroethane
  - TCE Trichloroethane
  - VC Vinyl Chloride

**Notes:**  
 All concentrations reported in µg/L.

Monitoring wells were sampled on a semi-annual basis. Recovery well RW-1 was sampled on a monthly basis. Recovery well RW-3, previously an active extraction well sampled on a monthly basis, was off-line from July 2015 until June 2018. RW-3 is now sampled on a monthly basis.

RW3-MW2	3/11/2020
cis-1,2-DCE	1.00 J
PCE	0.367 J
TCE	128
VC	ND

RW3-MW1	3/11/2020
cis-1,2-DCE	ND
PCE	1.73 J
TCE	18.4
VC	ND

RW3-MW4	3/11/2020
cis-1,2-DCE	0.465 J
PCE	ND
TCE	2.15 J
VC	ND

RW3-MW3	3/11/2020	3/11/2020-Dup
cis-1,2-DCE	0.910 J	0.983 J
PCE	0.446 J	0.471 J
TCE	178 J	183
VC	ND	ND

RW2-MW1	3/12/2020
cis-1,2-DCE	1.66 J
PCE	ND
TCE	1.49 J
VC	ND




TP-01	3/12/2020
cis-1,2-DCE	3.01 J
PCE	ND
TCE	12.7
VC	ND

RW1-MW3	3/12/2020
cis-1,2-DCE	0.390 J
PCE	2.95 J
TCE	3.21 J
VC	ND

RW-3	1/02/2020	2/03/2020	3/02/2020
cis-1,2-DCE	1.29 J	1.10 J	1.33 J
PCE	ND	ND	0.245 J
TCE	163	147	153
VC	ND	ND	ND

RW1-MW1	3/11/2020
cis-1,2-DCE	3.86 J
PCE	0.308 J
TCE	74.1
VC	ND

RW-1	1/02/2020	2/03/2020	3/02/2020
cis-1,2-DCE	4.34 J	4.18 J	4.70 J
PCE	18.5	17.5	20.3
TCE	72.0	66.6	70.8
VC	ND	ND	ND



<b>1st QUARTER 2020 GROUNDWATER ANALYTICAL MAP SELECT VOC CONCENTRATIONS</b>			
<b>NWIRP BETHPAGE GM-38 AREA BETHPAGE, NEW YORK</b>			
KOMAN Government Solutions, LLC 180 Gordon Drive, Suite 110, Exton, PA 19341			
SCALE	DATE	FIGURE	
SEE BARSCALE	04/14/2020	4	

Figure 5  
GM-38 Area Groundwater Remediation  
Naval Weapons Industrial Reserve Plant - Bethpage, NY  
Groundwater Concentration Trends of Select VOCs  
RW1




Figure 6a  
GM-38 Area Groundwater Remediation  
Naval Weapons Industrial Reserve Plant - Bethpage, NY  
Groundwater Concentration Trends of Select VOCs  
RW3




Figure 6b  
GM-38 Area Groundwater Remediation  
Naval Weapons Industrial Reserve Plant - Bethpage, NY  
Groundwater Concentration Trends of Select VOCs  
RW3




Figure 7  
GM-38 Area Groundwater Remediation  
Naval Weapons Industrial Reserve Plant - Bethpage, NY  
Groundwater Concentration Trends of Select VOCs  
RW1-MW1






Figure 8  
GM-38 Area Groundwater Remediation  
Naval Weapons Industrial Reserve Plant - Bethpage, NY  
Groundwater Concentration Trends of Select VOCs  
RW1-MW3





**Figure 9**  
**GM-38 Area Groundwater Remediation**  
**Naval Weapons Industrial Reserve Plant - Bethpage, NY**  
**Groundwater Concentration Trends of Select VOCs**  
**RW2-MW1**



**Figure 10**  
**GM-38 Area Groundwater Remediation**  
**Naval Weapons Industrial Reserve Plant - Bethpage, NY**  
**Groundwater Concentration Trends of Select VOCs**  
**RW3-MW1**




Figure 11  
GM-38 Area Groundwater Remediation  
Naval Weapons Industrial Reserve Plant - Bethpage, NY  
Groundwater Concentration Trends of Select VOCs  
RW3-MW2




Figure 12  
GM-38 Area Groundwater Remediation  
Naval Weapons Industrial Reserve Plant - Bethpage, NY  
Groundwater Concentration Trends of Select VOCs  
RW3-MW3




Figure 13  
GM-38 Area Groundwater Remediation  
Naval Weapons Industrial Reserve Plant - Bethpage, NY  
Groundwater Concentration Trends of Select VOCs  
RW3-MW4





Figure 14  
GM-38 Area Groundwater Remediation  
Naval Weapons Industrial Reserve Plant - Bethpage, NY  
Groundwater Concentration Trends of Select VOCs  
TP-01



**APPENDIX A**

**NYSDEC EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS AND MONITORING  
REQUIREMENTS AND MONTHLY DMRS**

**New York State Department of Environmental Conservation**


**Division of Water**

**Bureau of Water Permits, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor**


625 Broadway, Albany, New York 12233-3505

Phone: (518) 402-8111 • FAX: (518) 402-9029

Website: www.dec.state.ny.us



Alexander-B. Grannis  
Commissioner



**MEMORANDUM**

**TO:** Steven Scharf, DER

**FROM:** Jean Occidental, DOW, Bureau of Water Permits JO

**SUBJECT:** Naval Weapons Industrial Reserve Plant (NWIRP); DER Site # 1-01-001

**DRAINAGE BASIN:** na

**DATE:** June 6, 2008

In response to your request and the permittee's SPDES Permit Equivalent Application dated April 27, 2008, attached is the effluent criteria for the above noted groundwater remediation discharge.

The Division of Water does not have any regulatory authority over a discharge from a State, PRP, or Federal Superfund Site. The Division of Environmental Remediation will be responsible for ensuring compliance with the attached effluent criteria and approval of all engineering submissions. Additional Condition (1) identifies the contact to send all effluent results, engineering submissions, and modification requests. The Regional Water Engineer should be kept appraised of the status of these discharges and, in accordance with the attached criteria, receive a copy of the effluent results for informational purposes.

If you have any questions, please call me at (518) 402-8116.

Attachment

cc: (w/att) RWE, Region 1  
 C. Webber  
 BWP Permit Coordinator



Naval Weapons Industrial Reserve Plant

DER site # 1-01-001

Page 1 of 2

## EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS AND MONITORING REQUIREMENTS

During the period beginning: April 1, 2009and lasting until: April 1, 2014

the discharges from the treatment facility to Groundwater shall be limited and monitored by the operator as specified below:

Outfall and Parameters	Limitations		Units	Minimum Monitoring Requirements	
	Daily Avg.	Daily Max.		Measurement Frequency	Sample Type
Treated Groundwater Remediation Discharge from: Recovery Wells 1, 2, and 3					
Flow	Monitor	1100	GPM	Continuous	Recorder
pH (range)	5.5 - 8.5		SU	Weekly	Grab
1,1-Dichloroethane	NA	5	µg/l	Monthly <sup>1</sup>	Grab
1,2-Dichloroethane	NA	0.6	µg/l	Monthly <sup>1</sup>	Grab
1,1-Dichloroethene	NA	5	µg/l	Monthly <sup>1</sup>	Grab
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	NA	5	µg/l	Monthly <sup>1</sup>	Grab
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	NA	5	µg/l	Monthly <sup>1</sup>	Grab
Tetrachloroethene	NA	5	µg/l	Monthly <sup>1</sup>	Grab
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	NA	5	µg/l	Monthly <sup>1</sup>	Grab
Trichloroethene	NA	5	µg/l	Monthly <sup>1</sup>	Grab
Vinyl chloride	NA	2	µg/l	Monthly <sup>1</sup>	Grab
Mercury	NA	0.25	µg/l	Monthly <sup>1</sup>	Grab

Footnotes:

- (1) The minimum measurement frequency shall be monthly following a period of 24 consecutive weekly sampling events showing no exceedances of the stated discharge limitations.

Naval Weapons Industrial Reserve Plant

DER site # 1-01-001

Page 1 of 2

Additional Conditions:

- (1) Discharge is not authorized until such time as an engineering submission showing the method of treatment is approved by the Department. The discharge rate may not exceed the effective or design treatment system capacity. All monitoring data, engineering submissions and modification requests must be submitted to:

Steven Scharf  
Division of Environmental Remediation  
NYSDEC, 625 Broadway  
Albany, NY 12233-7015  
Phone: (518) 402-9620

With a copy sent to:

Regional Water Engineer  
NYSDEC - Region 1  
Building 40, SUNY Campus  
Stony Brook, New York 11790-2356  
Phone: (631) 444-0354

- (2) Only site generated wastewater is authorized for treatment and discharge.
- (3) Authorization to discharge is valid only for the period noted above but may be renewed if appropriate. A request for renewal must be received 6 months prior to the expiration date to allow for a review of monitoring data and reassessment of monitoring requirements.
- (4) Any use of corrosion/scale inhibitors, biocidal-type compounds, or other water treatment chemicals used in the treatment process must be approved by the department prior to use.
- (5) This discharge and administration of this discharge must comply with the substantive requirements of 6NYCRR Part 750.

# NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL CONSERVATION

Division of Environmental Remediation, Remedial Bureau D

625 Broadway, 12th Floor, Albany, NY 12233-7013

P: (518) 402-9676 | F: (518) 402-9773

[www.dec.ny.gov](http://www.dec.ny.gov)

August 31, 2017

Ms. Lora Fly  
Remedial Project Manager  
Naval Facilities Engineering Command  
9324 Virginia Ave.  
Bldg. Z-144, Code OPTE3-6  
Norfolk, VA 23511

Re: SPDES Permit Equivalent Application,  
Naval Weapons Industrial Reserve  
Plant Site (NWIRP), Bethpage.  
NYSDEC Site No 130003B

Lora:

The Department of the Navy (Navy) has requested to renew the State Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (SPDES) effluent for the GM-38 groundwater extraction and treatment system. The New York State Department Environmental Conservation (NYSDEC) has reviewed this request and has established discharge limits for the GM-38 system. These discharge limits, and associated reporting requirements, are detailed in the attached memorandum from the NYSDEC Division of Water.

Thanks and please do not hesitate to contact me at (518) 402-9478 or [jason.pelton@dec.ny.gov](mailto:jason.pelton@dec.ny.gov) with any questions.

Sincerely,

Jason M. Pelton  
Project Manager  
Remedial Section B, Remedial Bureau D  
Division of Environmental Remediation

ec: B. Caldwell, EnSafe/Resolution Consultants  
S. Edwards, NYSDEC  
D. Hesler, NYSDEC  
C. Haas, NYSDEC Region 1  
W. Parish, NYSDEC Region 1  
S. Karpinski, NYSDOH  
J. DeFranco/J. Lovejoy, NCDOH  
L. Thantu, USEPA Region 2

# NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL CONSERVATION

Division of Water, Bureau of Permits

625 Broadway, Albany, New York 12233-3505

P: (518) 402-8111 | F: (518) 402-9029

www.dec.ny.gov

## MEMORANDUM

**TO:** Jason Pelton, DER  
**FROM:** Robert Wither, Chief, South Permits Section, DOW  
**SUBJECT:** Naval Weapons Industrial Reserve Plant, DER Site #1-30-003B  
**DATE:** August 18, 2017

In response to your request received July 13, 2017, attached please find effluent limitations and monitoring requirements for the above noted remediation discharge.

The DOW does not have any regulatory authority over a discharge from a State, PRP, or Federal Superfund Site. DER will be responsible for ensuring compliance with the attached effluent limitations and monitoring requirements, and approval of all engineering submissions. Footnote 1 identifies the appropriate DER contact as the place to send all effluent results, engineering submissions, and modification requests. The Regional Water Engineer should be kept appraised of the status of this discharge and, in accordance with the attached criteria, receive a copy of the effluent results for informational purposes.

If you have any questions, please call me at 518-402-8123.

Attachment (Effluent Limitations and Monitoring Requirements)

cc: Cathy Haas, RWE, Region 1

## EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS AND MONITORING REQUIREMENTS

During the period beginning **September 1, 2017** and lasting until **August 31, 2027** the discharges from the wastewater treatment facility to groundwater, Class GA shall be limited and monitored by the operator as specified below:

Outfall Number and Parameter	Discharge Limitations		Units	Minimum Monitoring Requirements	
	Monthly Avg.	Daily Max		Measurement Frequency	Sample Type
Outfall 001 - Treated Remediation Discharge:					
Flow	Monitor	1100	GPM	Continuous	Recorder
pH (range)	5.5 - 8.5		SU	Monthly	Grab
1,1-Dichloroethane	NA	5	µg/l	Monthly	Grab
1,2-Dichloroethane	NA	0.6	µg/l	Monthly	Grab
1,1-Dichloroethene	NA	5	µg/l	Monthly	Grab
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	NA	5	µg/l	Monthly	Grab
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	NA	5	µg/l	Monthly	Grab
Tetrachloroethene	NA	5	µg/l	Monthly	Grab
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	NA	5	µg/l	Monthly	Grab
Trichloroethene	NA	5	µg/l	Monthly	Grab
Vinyl Chloride	NA	2	µg/l	Monthly	Grab
Mercury	NA	0.25	µg/l	Monthly	Grab
Chloroform	NA	5	µg/l	Monthly	Grab
Trichlorotrifluoroethane (Freon 113)	NA	5	µg/l	Monthly	Grab
1,4 Dioxane	NA	Monitor	µg/l	Monthly	Grab

Additional Conditions:

1. The discharge rate may not exceed the effective or design treatment system capacity. All monitoring data, engineering submissions and modification requests must be submitted to:

Jason Pelton  
Division of Environmental Remediation  
NYSDEC  
625 Broadway  
Albany, New York 12233- 7015  
518-402-9870

With a copy sent to:

Regional Water Engineer, Region 1  
NYSDEC  
SUNY @ Stony Brook  
50 Circle Road  
Stony Brook, NY 11790-3409

2. Only site generated wastewater is authorized for treatment and discharge.
3. Authorization to discharge is valid only for the period noted above but may be renewed if appropriate. A request for renewal must be received 6 months prior to the expiration date to allow for a review of monitoring data and reassessment of monitoring requirements.
4. Both concentration (mg/l or µg/l) and mass loadings (lbs/day) must be reported to the Department for all parameters except flow and pH.
5. Any use of corrosion/scale inhibitors, biocidal-type compounds, or other water treatment chemicals used in the treatment process must be approved by the department prior to use.
6. This discharge and administration of this discharge must comply with the substantive requirements of 6NYCRR Part 750.

**JANUARY 2020**



10 February 2020

Mr. Jason Pelton  
New York State Department of Environmental Conservation  
Division of Solid & Hazardous Materials  
625 Broadway  
Albany, NY 12233-7252

**Subject: GROUNDWATER DISCHARGE MONITORING/AIR EMISSION REPORT  
GM-38 AREA, NWIRP BETHPAGE, NY; DER SITE # 1-30-003B-OU 2  
JANUARY 2020 REPORTING PERIOD**

Dear Mr. Pelton:

KOMAN Government Solutions, LLC (KGS) is submitting this monthly monitoring report of the groundwater discharge and air emission results for the Groundwater Treatment Plant (GWTP) located at the Former Naval Weapons Industrial Reserve Plant (NWIRP), Bethpage, NY, GM-38 Area. This report was prepared in accordance with GWTP operational requirements for DER Site # 1-30-003B-OU 2, and the SPDES Permit Equivalent # 13003B.

GWTP operational data from 1 January to 31 January 2020 are presented in Attachment A. The plant was down for approximately 32.25 hours over the course of the reporting period because of the replacement of the Y-Strainer screens at pumps 4A and 4B.

As indicated in Attachment A, all SPDES permitted constituents are in compliance with regulatory guidelines during this reporting period.

Please contact me at 610-400-0636 with any questions or concerns you may have regarding this report.

Sincerely,

***KOMAN Government Solutions, LLC***

Robert Gregory  
Project Manager

Attachment A: Groundwater and Air Sampling Results from January 2020

Cc: S. Edwards, NYSDEC  
D. Hesler, NYSDEC  
C. Haas, NYSDEC Region 1  
W. Parish, NYSDEC Region 1



J. Pilewski, NYSDEC – Region 1 Water Engineer  
S. Karpinski, NYSDOH  
J. Lovejoy, NCDH  
G. Ennis, Nassau County Department of Public Works  
T. Licata, Town of Oyster Bay  
M. Russo, Town of Oyster Bay  
L. Fly, NAVFAC Mid-Atlantic  
B. Murray, NAVFAC Mid-Atlantic RPM  
G. Pearman, NWIRP Bethpage  
P. Schauble, KGS  
GM-38 Copy

**ATTACHMENT A**  
**GROUNDWATER AND AIR SAMPLING RESULTS**  
**JANUARY 2020**

**GM-38 Area Groundwater Remediation  
Groundwater Treatment Plant  
Naval Weapons Industrial Reserve Plant - Bethpage, NY  
Discharge Monitoring Report  
January 2020**

SPDES Parameters			January 2020 <sup>(1)</sup>			
Process Stream	Daily Treated Effluent Maximum <sup>(1)</sup>	Units	RW-1	RW-3 <sup>(2)</sup>	Combined Influent <sup>(3)</sup> (RW-1 + RW-3)	Treated Effluent
Well Depth	N/A	ft	445	530	N/A	N/A
Screened Interval	N/A	ft bgs	335-395 410-430	392-412 442-504	N/A	N/A
Sampling Date	N/A		1/2/20			
Effective Flowrate	1100	GPM	636	160	796	844
Total Flow	N/A	gallons	28,404,400	7,149,200	35,553,600	37,661,600
pH	5.5 - 8.5	SU	5.07	5.37	5.13	6.45
Chloroform	5	µg/L	0.293 J	0.350 J	0.30 J	ND (1.0)
1,1-Dichloroethane	5	µg/L	1.33 J	2.27 J	1.52 J	ND (1.0)
1,2-Dichloroethane	0.6	µg/L	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)
1,1-Dichloroethene	5	µg/L	0.704 J	1.15 J	0.794 J	ND (1.0)
cis 1,2-Dichloroethene	5	µg/L	4.34 J	1.29 J	3.73 J	ND (1.0)
trans 1,2-Dichloroethene	5	µg/L	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)
Tetrachloroethene	5	µg/L	18.5	ND (1.0)	14.78	ND (1.0)
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5	µg/L	0.441 J	0.521 J	0.457 J	ND (1.0)
Trichloroethene	5	µg/L	72.0	163	90.3	0.333 J
1,1,2-Trichlorotrifluoroethane	5	µg/L	ND (1.0)	0.602 J	0.12 J	ND (1.0)
Vinyl Chloride	2	µg/L	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)
1,4-Dioxane	--	µg/L	2.1	5.4	2.8	NS
Mercury	0.00025	mg/L	ND (0.00010)	ND (0.00010)	ND (0.00010)	ND (0.00010)
Total Suspended Solids (TSS)	N/A	mg/L	ND (1.0)	4.7	0.9	ND (1.0)

**Notes:**

J - Estimated result between laboratory method detection limit and reporting limit

D - Concentration is a result of a dilution.

ND - Not detected above laboratory method detection limit. Reporting Limit (RL) given in parentheses.

NR - Not Recorded

N/A - Not Applicable

NS - Not Sampled

(1) Wastewater discharge equivalence permit renewed on 18 August 2017. Discharge limits established for 10 years. Chloroform, 1,4-dioxane and 1,1,2-trichlorotrifluoroethane are now monitored under the new permit.

(2) Well RW-3 was placed back in operation on 1 June, 2018.

(3) Influent concentrations presented are the weighted average concentrations of RW-1 and RW-3.

**GM-38 Area Groundwater Remediation  
Groundwater Treatment Plant  
Naval Weapons Industrial Reserve Plant - Bethpage, NY  
Air Sampling Results  
January 2020**

DAR Parameters			January 2020	
Process Stream	Units	Discharge Goal <sup>(1)</sup>	Influent	Effluent
Sampling Date			1/2/20	
Average Flowrate	CFM	N/A	NR	8,666
Total Flow	ft <sup>3</sup>	N/A	NR	371,262,150
Total Flow	m <sup>3</sup>	N/A	NR	10,512,973
1,2-Dichloroethane	µg/m <sup>3</sup>	N/A	1.9 J	2.4 J
cis 1,2-Dichloroethene	µg/m <sup>3</sup>	≤ 100,000 <sup>(2)</sup>	46	64
trans 1,2-Dichloroethene	µg/m <sup>3</sup>		1.4 J	ND
1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	µg/m <sup>3</sup>	≤ 100,000	45	63
Toluene	µg/m <sup>3</sup>	N/A	1.2 J	ND
Total Xylene	µg/m <sup>3</sup>	N/A	ND	ND
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	µg/m <sup>3</sup>	N/A	ND	ND
Trichloroethene	µg/m <sup>3</sup>	≤ 2600	1000	ND
Vinyl Chloride	µg/m <sup>3</sup>	≤ 560	ND	ND
Tetrachloroethene	µg/m <sup>3</sup>	≤ 5100	150	ND

Notes:

CFM - cubic feet per minute

DAR - Division of Air Resources

J - Estimated result between laboratory method detection limit and reporting limit

N/A - Not Applicable

NR - Not recorded

(1) Discharge goal as approved by NYSDEC's letter dated 31 October 2013.

(2) Discharge goal is for total 1,2-Dichloroethene.

**GM-38 Area Groundwater Remediation  
Groundwater Treatment Plant  
Naval Weapons Industrial Reserve Plant - Bethpage, NY  
Controlled Stack Emissions  
January 2020**

<b>DAR Parameters</b>	<b>Units</b>	<b>Discharge Goal <sup>(1)</sup></b>	<b>January 2020</b>
Sampling Date			1/2/20
Average Flowrate	CFM	N/A	8,666
Total Flow	ft <sup>3</sup>	N/A	371,262,150
Total Flow	m <sup>3</sup>	N/A	10,512,973
Trichloroethene	lb/hr	≤ 0.09	0.00000
Vinyl Chloride	lb/hr	≤ 0.02	0.00000
1,2 Dichloroethene	lb/hr	≤ 11	0.00199
1,2-Dichloroethane	lb/hr	N/A	0.00007
Toluene	lb/hr	N/A	0.00000
Total Xylene	lb/hr	N/A	0.00000
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	lb/hr	N/A	0.00000
Tetrachloroethene	lb/hr	≤ 0.18	0.00000

Notes:

CFM - cubic feet per minute

DAR - Division of Air Resources

N/A - Not Applicable

(1) Discharge goal as approved by NYSDEC's letter dated 31 October 2013.

**FEBRUARY 2020**



9 March 2020

Mr. Jason Pelton  
New York State Department of Environmental Conservation  
Division of Solid & Hazardous Materials  
625 Broadway  
Albany, NY 12233-7252

**Subject: GROUNDWATER DISCHARGE MONITORING/AIR EMISSION REPORT  
GM-38 AREA, NWIRP BETHPAGE, NY; DER SITE # 1-30-003B-OU 2  
FEBRUARY 2020 REPORTING PERIOD**

Dear Mr. Pelton:

KOMAN Government Solutions, LLC (KGS) is submitting this monthly monitoring report of the groundwater discharge and air emission results for the Groundwater Treatment Plant (GWTP) located at the Former Naval Weapons Industrial Reserve Plant (NWIRP), Bethpage, NY, GM-38 Area. This report was prepared in accordance with GWTP operational requirements for DER Site # 1-30-003B-OU 2, and the SPDES Permit Equivalent # 13003B.

GWTP operational data from 1 February to 29 February 2020 are presented in Attachment A. The plant was down for approximately 34.18 hours over the course of the reporting period because of the replacement of the valve at the base of the air stripper and installation of a downstream check valve and because of a high-pressure alarm.

As indicated in Attachment A, all SPDES permitted constituents are in compliance with regulatory guidelines during this reporting period.

Please contact me at 610-400-0636 with any questions or concerns you may have regarding this report.

Sincerely,

***KOMAN Government Solutions, LLC***

Robert Gregory  
Project Manager

Attachment A: Groundwater and Air Sampling Results from February 2020

Cc: S. Edwards, NYSDEC  
D. Hesler, NYSDEC  
C. Haas, NYSDEC Region 1

W. Parish, NYSDEC Region 1  
J. Pilewski, NYSDEC – Region 1 Water Engineer  
S. Karpinski, NYSDOH  
J. Lovejoy, NCDH  
G. Ennis, Nassau County Department of Public Works  
T. Licata, Town of Oyster Bay  
M. Russo, Town of Oyster Bay  
L. Fly, NAVFAC Mid-Atlantic  
B. Murray, NAVFAC Mid-Atlantic RPM  
G. Pearman, NWIRP Bethpage  
P. Schauble, KGS  
GM-38 Copy



**ATTACHMENT A**  
**GROUNDWATER AND AIR SAMPLING RESULTS**  
**FEBRUARY 2020**

**GM-38 Area Groundwater Remediation  
Groundwater Treatment Plant  
Naval Weapons Industrial Reserve Plant - Bethpage, NY  
Discharge Monitoring Report  
February 2020**

SPDES Parameters			February 2020 <sup>(1)</sup>			
Process Stream	Daily Treated Effluent Maximum <sup>(1)</sup>	Units	RW-1	RW-3 <sup>(2)</sup>	Combined Influent <sup>(3)</sup> (RW-1 + RW-3)	Treated Effluent
Well Depth	N/A	ft	445	530	N/A	N/A
Screened Interval	N/A	ft bgs	335-395 410-430	392-412 442-504	N/A	N/A
Sampling Date	N/A		2/3/20			
Effective Flowrate	1100	GPM	680	167	847	878
Total Flow	N/A	gallons	28,376,825	6,988,600	35,365,425	36,675,975
pH	5.5 - 8.5	SU	5.14	5.43	5.20	6.47
Chloroform	5	µg/L	ND (1.0)	0.359 J	0.07 J	ND (1.0)
1,1-Dichloroethane	5	µg/L	1.31 J	2.25 J	1.50 J	ND (1.0)
1,2-Dichloroethane	0.6	µg/L	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)
1,1-Dichloroethene	5	µg/L	0.696 J	1.14 J	0.784 J	ND (1.0)
cis 1,2-Dichloroethene	5	µg/L	4.18 J	1.10 J	3.57 J	ND (1.0)
trans 1,2-Dichloroethene	5	µg/L	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)
Tetrachloroethene	5	µg/L	17.5	ND (1.0)	14.04	ND (1.0)
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5	µg/L	0.453 J	0.488 J	0.460 J	ND (1.0)
Trichloroethene	5	µg/L	66.6	147	82.5	0.319 J
1,1,2-Trichlorotrifluoroethane	5	µg/L	ND (1.0)	0.786 J	0.16 J	ND (1.0)
Vinyl Chloride	2	µg/L	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)
1,4-Dioxane	--	µg/L	2.1	5.2	2.7	NS
Mercury	0.00025	mg/L	ND (0.00010)	ND (0.00010)	ND (0.00010)	ND (0.00010)
Total Suspended Solids (TSS)	N/A	mg/L	ND (1.0)	24.9	4.9	ND (1.0)

**Notes:**

J - Estimated result between laboratory method detection limit and reporting limit

D - Concentration is a result of a dilution.

ND - Not detected above laboratory method detection limit. Reporting Limit (RL) given in parentheses.

NR - Not Recorded

N/A - Not Applicable

NS - Not Sampled

(1) Wastewater discharge equivalence permit renewed on 18 August 2017. Discharge limits established for 10 years. Chloroform, 1,4-dioxane and 1,1,2-trichlorotrifluoroethane are now monitored under the new permit.

(2) Well RW-3 was placed back in operation on 1 June, 2018.

(3) Influent concentrations presented are the weighted average concentrations of RW-1 and RW-3.

**GM-38 Area Groundwater Remediation  
Groundwater Treatment Plant  
Naval Weapons Industrial Reserve Plant - Bethpage, NY  
Air Sampling Results  
February 2020**

DAR Parameters			February 2020	
Process Stream	Units	Discharge Goal <sup>(1)</sup>	Influent	Effluent
Sampling Date			2/3/20	
Average Flowrate	CFM	N/A	NR	9,533
Total Flow	ft <sup>3</sup>	N/A	NR	378,563,246
Total Flow	m <sup>3</sup>	N/A	NR	10,719,717
1,2-Dichloroethane	µg/m <sup>3</sup>	N/A	1.9 J	3.1
cis 1,2-Dichloroethene	µg/m <sup>3</sup>	≤ 100,000 <sup>(2)</sup>	44	56
trans 1,2-Dichloroethene	µg/m <sup>3</sup>		0.90 J	ND
1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	µg/m <sup>3</sup>	≤ 100,000	44	56
Toluene	µg/m <sup>3</sup>	N/A	1.9 J	ND
Total Xylene	µg/m <sup>3</sup>	N/A	ND	ND
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	µg/m <sup>3</sup>	N/A	ND	ND
Trichloroethene	µg/m <sup>3</sup>	≤ 2600	1000	ND
Vinyl Chloride	µg/m <sup>3</sup>	≤ 560	0.84 J	0.67 J
Tetrachloroethene	µg/m <sup>3</sup>	≤ 5100	170	ND

Notes:

CFM - cubic feet per minute

DAR - Division of Air Resources

J - Estimated result between laboratory method detection limit and reporting limit

N/A - Not Applicable

NR - Not recorded

(1) Discharge goal as approved by NYSDEC's letter dated 31 October 2013.

(2) Discharge goal is for total 1,2-Dichloroethene.

**GM-38 Area Groundwater Remediation  
Groundwater Treatment Plant  
Naval Weapons Industrial Reserve Plant - Bethpage, NY  
Controlled Stack Emissions  
February 2020**

<b>DAR Parameters</b>	<b>Units</b>	<b>Discharge Goal <sup>(1)</sup></b>	<b>February 2020</b>
Sampling Date			2/3/20
Average Flowrate	CFM	N/A	9,533
Total Flow	ft <sup>3</sup>	N/A	378,563,246
Total Flow	m <sup>3</sup>	N/A	10,719,717
Trichloroethene	lb/hr	≤ 0.09	0.00000
Vinyl Chloride	lb/hr	≤ 0.02	0.00002
1,2 Dichloroethene	lb/hr	≤ 11	0.00187
1,2-Dichloroethane	lb/hr	N/A	0.00011
Toluene	lb/hr	N/A	0.00000
Total Xylene	lb/hr	N/A	0.00000
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	lb/hr	N/A	0.00000
Tetrachloroethene	lb/hr	≤ 0.18	0.00000

Notes:

CFM - cubic feet per minute

DAR - Division of Air Resources

N/A - Not Applicable

(1) Discharge goal as approved by NYSDEC's letter dated 31 October 2013.

**MARCH 2020**



21 April 2020

Mr. Jason Pelton  
New York State Department of Environmental Conservation  
Division of Solid & Hazardous Materials  
625 Broadway  
Albany, NY 12233-7252

**Subject: GROUNDWATER DISCHARGE MONITORING/AIR EMISSION REPORT  
GM-38 AREA, NWIRP BETHPAGE, NY; DER SITE # 1-30-003B-OU 2  
MARCH 2020 REPORTING PERIOD**

Dear Mr. Pelton:

KOMAN Government Solutions, LLC (KGS) is submitting this monthly monitoring report of the groundwater discharge and air emission results for the Groundwater Treatment Plant (GWTP) located at the Former Naval Weapons Industrial Reserve Plant (NWIRP), Bethpage, NY, GM-38 Area. This report was prepared in accordance with GWTP operational requirements for DER Site # 1-30-003B-OU 2, and the SPDES Permit Equivalent # 13003B.

GWTP operational data from 1 March to 31 March 2020 are presented in Attachment A. No significant downtime was recorded during this period.

As indicated in Attachment A, all SPDES permitted constituents are in compliance with regulatory guidelines during this reporting period.

Please contact me at 610-400-0636 with any questions or concerns you may have regarding this report.

Sincerely,

***KOMAN Government Solutions, LLC***

Robert Gregory  
Project Manager

Attachment A: Groundwater and Air Sampling Results from March 2020

Cc: S. Edwards, NYSDEC  
D. Hesler, NYSDEC  
C. Haas, NYSDEC Region 1  
W. Parish, NYSDEC Region 1  
J. Pilewski, NYSDEC – Region 1 Water Engineer

S. Karpinski, NYSDOH  
J. Lovejoy, NCDH  
G. Ennis, Nassau County Department of Public Works  
T. Licata, Town of Oyster Bay  
M. Russo, Town of Oyster Bay  
L. Fly, NAVFAC Mid-Atlantic  
B. Murray, NAVFAC Mid-Atlantic RPM  
G. Pearman, NWIRP Bethpage  
P. Schauble, KGS  
GM-38 Copy

**ATTACHMENT A**  
**GROUNDWATER AND AIR SAMPLING RESULTS**  
**MARCH 2020**



**GM-38 Area Groundwater Remediation  
Groundwater Treatment Plant  
Naval Weapons Industrial Reserve Plant - Bethpage, NY  
Discharge Monitoring Report  
March 2020**

SPDES Parameters			March 2020 <sup>(1)</sup>			
Process Stream	Daily Treated Effluent Maximum <sup>(1)</sup>	Units	RW-1	RW-3 <sup>(2)</sup>	Combined Influent <sup>(3)</sup> (RW-1 + RW-3)	Treated Effluent
Well Depth	N/A	ft	445	530	N/A	N/A
Screened Interval	N/A	ft bgs	335-395 410-430	392-412 442-504	N/A	N/A
Sampling Date	N/A		3/2/20			
Effective Flowrate	1100	GPM	824	185	1,009	1,049
Total Flow	N/A	gallons	36,791,475	8,240,800	45,032,275	46,818,025
pH	5.5 - 8.5	SU	8.55	9.28	8.68	6.55
Chloroform	5	µg/L	0.328 J	0.362 J	0.33 J	ND (1.0)
1,1-Dichloroethane	5	µg/L	1.38 J	2.41 J	1.57 J	ND (1.0)
1,2-Dichloroethane	0.6	µg/L	0.215 J	ND (1.0)	0.18 J	ND (1.0)
1,1-Dichloroethene	5	µg/L	0.846 J	1.20 J	0.911 J	ND (1.0)
cis 1,2-Dichloroethene	5	µg/L	4.70 J	1.33 J	4.08 J	ND (1.0)
trans 1,2-Dichloroethene	5	µg/L	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)
Tetrachloroethene	5	µg/L	20.3	0.245 J	16.63	ND (1.0)
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5	µg/L	0.577 J	0.627 J	0.586 J	ND (1.0)
Trichloroethene	5	µg/L	70.8	153	85.8	0.398 J
1,1,2-Trichlorotrifluoroethane	5	µg/L	ND (1.0)	0.676 J	0.12 J	ND (1.0)
Vinyl Chloride	2	µg/L	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)
1,4-Dioxane	--	µg/L	2.3	5.7	2.9	NS
Mercury	0.00025	mg/L	ND (0.00010)	ND (0.00010)	ND (0.00010)	ND (0.00010)
Total Suspended Solids (TSS)	N/A	mg/L	ND (1.0)	9.3	1.7	ND (1.0)

**Notes:**

J - Estimated result between laboratory method detection limit and reporting limit

D - Concentration is a result of a dilution.

ND - Not detected above laboratory method detection limit. Reporting Limit (RL) given in parentheses.

NR - Not Recorded

N/A - Not Applicable

NS - Not Sampled

(1) Wastewater discharge equivalence permit renewed on 18 August 2017. Discharge limits established for 10 years. Chloroform, 1,4-dioxane and 1,1,2-trichlorotrifluoroethane are now monitored under the new permit.

(2) Well RW-3 was placed back in operation on 1 June, 2018.

(3) Influent concentrations presented are the weighted average concentrations of RW-1 and RW-3.

**GM-38 Area Groundwater Remediation  
Groundwater Treatment Plant  
Naval Weapons Industrial Reserve Plant - Bethpage, NY  
Air Sampling Results  
March 2020**

DAR Parameters			March 2020	
Process Stream	Units	Discharge Goal <sup>(1)</sup>	Influent	Effluent
Sampling Date			3/2/20	
Average Flowrate	CFM	N/A	NR	9,481
Total Flow	ft <sup>3</sup>	N/A	NR	423,243,000
Total Flow	m <sup>3</sup>	N/A	NR	11,984,907
1,2-Dichloroethane	µg/m <sup>3</sup>	N/A	3.1 J	5.3
cis 1,2-Dichloroethene	µg/m <sup>3</sup>	≤ 100,000 <sup>(2)</sup>	49	49
trans 1,2-Dichloroethene	µg/m <sup>3</sup>		1.9 J	ND
1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	µg/m <sup>3</sup>	≤ 100,000	51	49
Toluene	µg/m <sup>3</sup>	N/A	2.5 J	ND
Total Xylene	µg/m <sup>3</sup>	N/A	ND	ND
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	µg/m <sup>3</sup>	N/A	2.0 J	ND
Trichloroethene	µg/m <sup>3</sup>	≤ 2600	1500	ND
Vinyl Chloride	µg/m <sup>3</sup>	≤ 560	1.8 J	1.5 J
Tetrachloroethene	µg/m <sup>3</sup>	≤ 5100	220	ND

Notes:

CFM - cubic feet per minute

DAR - Division of Air Resources

J - Estimated result between laboratory method detection limit and reporting limit

N/A - Not Applicable

NR - Not recorded

(1) Discharge goal as approved by NYSDEC's letter dated 31 October 2013.

(2) Discharge goal is for total 1,2-Dichloroethene.

**GM-38 Area Groundwater Remediation  
Groundwater Treatment Plant  
Naval Weapons Industrial Reserve Plant - Bethpage, NY  
Controlled Stack Emissions  
March 2020**

<b>DAR Parameters</b>	<b>Units</b>	<b>Discharge Goal <sup>(1)</sup></b>	<b>March 2020</b>
Sampling Date			3/2/20
Average Flowrate	CFM	N/A	9,481
Total Flow	ft <sup>3</sup>	N/A	423,243,000
Total Flow	m <sup>3</sup>	N/A	11,984,907
Trichloroethene	lb/hr	≤ 0.09	0.00000
Vinyl Chloride	lb/hr	≤ 0.02	0.00005
1,2 Dichloroethene	lb/hr	≤ 11	0.00174
1,2-Dichloroethane	lb/hr	N/A	0.00019
Toluene	lb/hr	N/A	0.00000
Total Xylene	lb/hr	N/A	0.00000
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	lb/hr	N/A	0.00000
Tetrachloroethene	lb/hr	≤ 0.18	0.00000

Notes:

CFM - cubic feet per minute

DAR - Division of Air Resources

N/A - Not Applicable

(1) Discharge goal as approved by NYSDEC's letter dated 31 October 2013.

**APPENDIX B**

**NYSDEC AIR DISCHARGE LIMIT  
DOCUMENTATION**

**New York State Department of Environmental Conservation**  
**Division of Environmental Remediation**  
**Remedial Action Bureau A, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor**  
625 Broadway, Albany, New York 12233-7015  
Phone: (518) 402-9620 FAX: (518) 402-9022



Joseph Martens  
Commissioner

October 31, 2013

Lora Fly  
Remedial Program Manager  
NAVFAC Mid-Atlantic  
Northeast IPT  
9742 Maryland Avenue  
Norfolk, VA, 23511-3095

RE: Northrop Grumman, Naval Weapons Industrial Reserve Plant (NWIRP) and Grumman Steel Los Sites, NYSDEC Site No.'s I-30-003 A & B.

Dear Ms. Fly:

Tetra Tech NUS Inc., on behalf of the Department of the Navy NAVFAC Midlantic, has submitted an application to remove the GM 38 Area Groundwater Extraction and Treatment system impregnated Xeolite™ resin from the air discharge treatment system. Currently, the air treatment system uses a combined activated carbon with permanganate impregnated resin treatment train. The New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (NYSDEC) has reviewed the Department of the Navy application and concurs with the findings presented.

The routine monitoring, as detailed in Table 1, clearly indicates that vinyl chloride, one of the main contaminants of concern, has diminished to almost non-detect, and discharge concentrations have dropped to below the limit to require air treatment for the other contaminants as well. However, NAVFAC Midlantic is still proposing activated carbon to reduce the other discharge contaminant levels. Therefore, the NYSDEC hereby approves the proposed changes to the GM 38 Area air treatment. The Xeolite™ resin beds will remain in place should reactivation, based on routine monitoring, be required.

If you have any questions in the interim, please contact me at (518)402-9620.

Sincerely,

Steven M. Scharf, P.E.  
Project Engineer  
Remedial Action Bureau A  
Division of Environmental Remediation

EC: J. Swartwout  
S. Scharf  
W. Parish, Region 1  
S. Karpinski, NYSDOH  
E. Hannon, NGC  
D. Stern, Arcadis  
D. Brayack, TTNUS



NOR-01264

November 21, 2011

Mr. Stephen Scharf  
New York Department of Environmental Conservation  
Division of Environmental Remediation  
Bureau of Remedial Action A  
625 Broadway, 11<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Albany, New York 12233-7015

Reference: CLEAN Contract No. N62470-08-D-1001  
Contract Task Order WE06

Subject: Proposed Modification to Discharge Limits for Off Gas Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs)  
for Air Stripping Tower  
GM-38 Offsite Groundwater Treatment Plant,  
NWIRP Bethpage, New York

Dear Mr. Scharf:

On behalf of the Navy, please find enclosed a copy of the subject document. This document presents an evaluation of current concentrations of off gas VOCs from the GM-38 groundwater treatment plant air-stripping tower (prior to treatment with granular activated carbon). Maximum emission rates were re-evaluated due to decreasing maximum concentrations of target VOCs in un-treated air stripper AS-1 off gas. In addition, breakthrough of target contaminants (e.g., cis-1,2-dichloroethene) is beginning to occur in the granular activated carbon bed. Maximum emission rates were re-evaluated to provide a determination if breakthrough of contaminants would trigger the need for a replacement of the granular activated carbon bed.

Existing Discharge Goals were established in the "Final Operation, Maintenance and Monitoring Plan for Groundwater Treatment Plant GM-38 Area Groundwater Remediation" prepared by Tetra Tech EC (April 2010). Existing goals were based on emission estimates for a 95% reduction (see Attachment A), instead of being based on the original DAR-1 analysis of air stripper off gas. Emission estimates were calculated using the air stripper design flow rate of 8,000 cubic feet per minute (cfm), and previous contaminant discharge rates in pounds per hour (lb/hr). Original emission estimates are provided in Attachment B.

Proposed Revised Discharge Goals were calculated using an average flow rate of 9,200 cfm, January to March 2011 VOC loading rates (taken from the Quarterly Operations Report First Quarter 2011 from ECOR Federal Services), and the Actual Annual % of Annual Guideline Concentrations (AGCs), taken from the revised DAR-1 Model Output. The revised DAR-1 Model Output is provided in Attachment C. Existing Discharge Goals and Proposed Revised Discharge Goals are compared in tabular format in the first page of the attachment. Proposed Revised Discharge Goals for trichloroethene (TCE) are the same as previous. The proposed limit for tetrachloroethene (PCE) is approximately 10 times the previous limit, and vinyl chloride is approximately 2 times the previous limit. Revised Discharge Goals for 1,2-dichloroethene (goals are the same for cis-1,2-dichloroethene) are 100 times greater than previously established limits. It is recommended that these revised limits replace previous discharge goals, and treatment of air stripper off gas by granular activated carbon is recommended to continue for TCE and PCE, with no treatment required for vinyl chloride and 1,2-dichloroethene.

If you have any questions please contact Ms. Lora Fly, NAVFAC Mid-LANT, at (757) 341-2012.

Sincerely,



David D. Brayack, P.E.  
Project Manager

Enclosure: (1) Proposed Modification to Discharge Limits for Off Gas Volatile Organic Compounds  
(VOCs) for Air Stripping Tower  
GM-38 Offsite Groundwater Treatment Plant

Distribution:

Mid-Lant, Lora Fly  
NYSDEC (Albany), Henry Wilkie  
NYSDOH (Troy), Steve Karpinski  
NAVAIR, Richard Smith  
USEPA, Carol Stein  
NGC, Kent Smith  
Tetra Tech NUS, Dave Brayack  
ECOR Solutions, Al Taormina  
Administrative Record  
Public Repository  
Project File

Tetra Tech NUS, Inc.

5700 Lake Wright Drive, Suite 309, Norfolk, VA 23502  
Tel 757.461.3768 Fax 757.461.4148 www.ttnus.com

**TABLE 1**  
**COMPARISON OF EXISTING DISCHARGE GOALS WITH ACTUAL EMISSIONS AND PROPOSED DISCHARGE GOALS**  
**AIR STRIPPING TOWER GM-38 OFFSITE GROUNDWATER TREATMENT PLANT**  
**NWIRP BETHPAGE, NEW YORK**

Chemical	Existing Discharge Goal		Actual January to March 2011 Values (Pre-Off Gas Treatment)		Proposed Revised Discharge Goals based on DAR-1 Analysis	
	Existing Discharge Loading Rate (pounds (lbs)/hour) <sup>(1)</sup>	Equivalent Existing Discharge Goals ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ ) <sup>(2)</sup>	Actual Jan-Mar 2011 Concentration ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ ) <sup>(3)</sup>	Actual VOC Loading Pre-Off Gas Treatment (lbs/hour) <sup>(4)</sup>	Proposed Discharge Loading Rate (lbs/hour) <sup>(5)</sup>	Equivalent Proposed Discharge Goal ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ ) <sup>(5)</sup>
TCE	0.09	2,600	10,000	0.345	0.09	2,600
PCE	0.02	580	6,800	0.234	0.18	5,100
Vinyl Chloride	0.01	290	76	0.003	0.02	560
1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	0.03	870	750	0.026	11	greater than 100,000

**Notes:**

<sup>(1)</sup>Existing Discharge Goals are based on the design flow rate of 8,000 cfm. Existing Discharge Goals were taken from the Final Operations and Maintenance Plan for GM-38 Area Groundwater Remediation from Tetra Tech EC. Existing goals were based on emission estimates for a 95% reduction, and not the previous DAR-1 Analysis. Attachment B (provided at the end of this package) provides the original emission estimates.

<sup>(2)</sup>Existing Discharge Goals were calculated using the actual flow rate of 9,200 cfm and the existing discharge loading rate in pounds per hour (lb/hr).

<sup>(3)</sup>Values were taken from the Quarterly Operations Report First Quarter 2011 from ECOR Federal Services. Values were the maximum effluent concentration in off gas from air stripper stack AS-1 prior to treatment with vapor phase granular activated carbon (GAC), for the months of January, February and March 2011.

<sup>(4)</sup>Actual VOC Loading was calculated using an average flow rate of 9,200 cfm and the January-March 2011 concentrations. Existing off gas treatment consists of two stage vapor phase GAC followed by potassium permanganate zeolite media to provide additional treatment for vinyl chloride.

<sup>(5)</sup>Values were calculated using an average flow rate of 9,200 cfm, and the Actual Annual % of the AGCs from the 2011 DAR-1 Model Output to achieve air quality requirements.



**ATTACHMENT A**  
**2008 AIR PERMIT SUBMITTAL**

# New York State Department of Environmental Conservation Air Permit Application



DEC ID									
-									

APPLICATION ID									
-						/			

OFFICE USE ONLY									
/	/	/							

## Section I - Certification

Title V Certification	
I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gather and evaluate the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons directly responsible for gathering the information [required pursuant to 6 NYCRR 201-6.3(d)] I believe the information is, true, accurate and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fines and imprisonment for knowing violations.	
Responsible Official	Title
Signature	Date ____ / ____ / ____

State Facility Certification	
I certify that this facility will be operated in conformance with all provisions of existing regulations.	
Responsible Official	Title
Signature	Date ____ / ____ / ____

## Section II - Identification Information

Title V Facility Permit <u>N/A</u> <input type="checkbox"/> New <input type="checkbox"/> Significant Modification <input type="checkbox"/> Renewal <input type="checkbox"/> Minor Modification	<input type="checkbox"/> Administrative Amendment General Permit Title: _____	State Facility Permit <u>N/A</u> <input type="checkbox"/> New <input type="checkbox"/> Modification General Permit Title: _____
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Application involves construction of new facility		<input type="checkbox"/> Application involves construction of new emission unit(s)

Owner/Firm			
Name <u>US Navy/NAVFAC Midlant</u>			
Street Address <u>9742 Maryland Ave, Bldg Z-144</u>			
City <u>Norfolk</u>	State <u>VA</u>	Country <u>US</u>	Zip <u>23511-3095</u>
Owner Classification <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Federal	<input type="checkbox"/> State	<input type="checkbox"/> Municipal	
<input type="checkbox"/> Corporation/Partnership	<input type="checkbox"/> Individual	Taxpayer ID _____	
Facility			<input type="checkbox"/> Confidential
Name <u>Naval Weapons Industrial Reserve Plant (NWIRP) GM-38 Area</u>			
Location Address <u>Bethpage</u>			
<input type="checkbox"/> City / <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Town / <input type="checkbox"/> Village <u>Oyster Bay, New York</u>			Zip <u>11714</u>
Project Description			<input type="checkbox"/> Continuation Sheet(s)
<u>Air stripping of groundwater to remove VOCs</u>			

Owner/Firm Contact Mailing Address			
Name (Last, First, Middle Initial) <u>Fly, Lora</u>		Phone No. (757) 444-0781	
Affiliation <u>Department of the Navy</u>	Title <u>Remedial PM</u>	Fax No. ( ) _____	
Street Address <u>9742 Maryland Ave. Bldg Z-144</u>			
City <u>Norfolk</u>	State <u>VA</u>	Country <u>US</u>	Zip <u>23511-3095</u>
Facility Contact Mailing Address			
Name (Last, First, Middle Initial) <u>Same</u>		Phone No. ( ) _____	
Affiliation	Title	Fax No. ( ) _____	
Street Address _____			
City	State	Country	Zip

New York State Department of Environmental Conservation  
Air Permit Application



DEC ID									
-									

**Section III - Facility Information**

Classification					
<input type="checkbox"/> Hospital	<input type="checkbox"/> Residential	<input type="checkbox"/> Educational/Institutional	<input type="checkbox"/> Commercial	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Industrial	<input type="checkbox"/> Utility

Affected States (Title V Only) N/A					
<input type="checkbox"/> Vermont	<input type="checkbox"/> Massachusetts	<input type="checkbox"/> Rhode Island	<input type="checkbox"/> Pennsylvania	Tribal Land: _____	
<input type="checkbox"/> New Hampshire	<input type="checkbox"/> Connecticut	<input type="checkbox"/> New Jersey	<input type="checkbox"/> Ohio	Tribal Land: _____	

SIC Codes									
9999									

Facility Description		<input type="checkbox"/> Continuation Sheet(s)
Groundwater Remediation by Air Stripping followed by Vapor-Phase GAC for emission control		

Compliance Statements (Title V Only) N/A	
<p>I certify that as of the date of this application the facility is in compliance with all applicable requirements: <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO</p> <p>If one or more emission units at the facility are not in compliance with all applicable requirements at the time of signing this application (the 'NO' box must be checked), the noncomplying units must be identified in the "Compliance Plan" block on page 8 of this form along with the compliance plan information required. For all emission units at this facility that are operating <u>in compliance</u> with all applicable requirements complete the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><input type="checkbox"/> This facility will continue to be operated and maintained in such a manner as to assure compliance for the duration of the permit, except those units referenced in the compliance plan portion of Section IV of this application.</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> For all emission units, subject to any applicable requirements that will become effective during the term of the permit, this facility will meet all such requirements on a timely basis.</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> Compliance certification reports will be submitted at least once a year. Each report will certify compliance status with respect to each requirement, and the method used to determine the status.</li> </ul>	

Facility Applicable Federal Requirements N/A										<input type="checkbox"/> Continuation Sheet(s)
Title	Type	Part	Sub Part	Section	Sub Division	Paragraph	Sub Paragraph	Clause	Sub Clause	
	CERCLA	all substantive requirements								

Facility State Only Requirements										<input type="checkbox"/> Continuation Sheet(s)
Title	Type	Part	Sub Part	Section	Sub Division	Paragraph	Sub Paragraph	Clause	Sub Clause	



DEC ID										
-										

**Section III - Facility Information (continued)**

Facility Compliance Certification N/A								<input type="checkbox"/> Continuation Sheet(s)	
Rule Citation									
Title	Type	Part	Sub Part	Section	Sub Division	Paragraph	Sub Paragraph	Clause	Sub Clause
<input type="checkbox"/> Applicable Federal Requirement	<input type="checkbox"/> Capping		CAS No.			Contaminant Name			
<input type="checkbox"/> State Only Requirement									
Monitoring Information									
<input type="checkbox"/> Ambient Air Monitoring		<input type="checkbox"/> Work Practice Involving Specific Operations			<input type="checkbox"/> Record Keeping/Maintenance Procedures				
Description									
Work Practice		Process Material				Reference Test Method			
Type	Code	Description							
		Parameter				Manufacturer Name/Model No.			
Code		Description							
Limit				Limit Units					
Upper		Lower		Code	Description				
Averaging Method				Monitoring Frequency			Reporting Requirements		
Code	Description			Code	Description		Code	Description	

Facility Emissions Summary							<input type="checkbox"/> Continuation Sheet(s)	
CAS No.	Contaminant Name	PTE		Actual (lbs/yr)				
		(lbs/yr)	Range Code					
NY075 - 00 - 5	PM-10							
NY075 - 00 - 0	PARTICULATES							
7446 - 09 - 5	SULFUR DIOXIDE							
NY210 - 00 - 0	OXIDES OF NITROGEN							
630 - 08 - 0	CARBON MONOXIDE							
7439 - 92 - 1	LEAD							
NY998 - 00 - 0	VOC	117						
NY100 - 00 - 0	HAP	110						
0079 - 01 - 6	Trichloroethylene	99						
00075 - 01 - 4	Vinyl Chloride	3.7						
00540 - 59 - 0	1,2-Dichloroethylene	7.3						
- -								
- -								

New York State Department of Environmental Conservation  
Air Permit Application



DEC ID									
-									

**Section IV - Emission Unit Information**

Emission Unit Description										<input type="checkbox"/> Continuation Sheet(s)
EMISSION UNIT	0	-	0	0	E	U	1			
Air Stripper AS-1 for groundwater remediation, provided with activated carbon for emission control.										
The emission point is stack 00ST-1. The 2-stage VGAC is followed by a 3rd vessel containing a potassium permanganate zeolite media for increased VC capacity.										

Building					<input type="checkbox"/> Continuation Sheet(s)	
Building	Building Name			Length (ft)	Width (ft)	Orientation
BLDG-1	Treatment Plant			75	75	0

Emission Point							<input type="checkbox"/> Continuation Sheet(s)
EMISSION PT.	00ST1						
Ground Elev. (ft)	Height (ft)	Height Above Structure (ft)	Inside Diameter (in)	Exit Temp. (°F)	Cross Section		
90	40	15	36	80	Length (in)	Width (in)	
Exit Velocity (FPS)	Exit Flow (ACFM)	NYTM (E) (KM)	NYTM (N) (KM)	Building	Distance to Property Line (ft)	Date of Removal	
19	8020			BLDG-1	50		
EMISSION PT.							
Ground Elev. (ft)	Height (ft)	Height Above Structure (ft)	Inside Diameter (in)	Exit Temp. (°F)	Cross Section		
					Length (in)	Width (in)	
Exit Velocity (FPS)	Exit Flow (ACFM)	NYTM (E) (KM)	NYTM (N) (KM)	Building	Distance to Property Line (ft)	Date of Removal	

Emission Source/Control							<input type="checkbox"/> Continuation Sheet(s)
Emission Source		Date of Construction	Date of Operation	Date of Removal	Control Type		Manufacturer's Name/Model No.
ID	Type				Code	Description	
AS-1	I				048	Granular Act. Carbon	Air Stripping Column
Design Capacity	Design Capacity Units			Waste Feed		Waste Type	
	Code	Description		Code	Description	Code	Description
Emission Source		Date of Construction	Date of Operation	Date of Removal	Control Type		Manufacturer's Name/Model No.
ID	Type				Code	Description	
Design Capacity	Design Capacity Units			Waste Feed		Waste Type	
	Code	Description		Code	Description	Code	Description

New York State Department of Environmental Conservation  
Air Permit Application



DEC ID									
-									

**Section IV - Emission Unit Information (continued)**

Process Information										<input type="checkbox"/> Continuation Sheet(s)	
EMISSION UNIT 0 - 00 E U 1								PROCESS		PR 1	
Description											
The remedial system is air stripping, using a packed column at a groundwater flow rate of 1,100 gpm (plus 100 gpm recycle, for a total of 1,200 gpm). Vapor phase treatment includes the use of 3 vessels, a 2-stage GAC unit, followed by a 3rd vessel containing a potassium permanganate impregnated zeolite for increased VC capacity. Prior to entering the vapor-phase GAC adsorption system, the humidity of the air stripper exhaust is reduced to approximately 50 percent or less to optimize the efficiency of the vapor-phase GAC.											
Air Stripper AS-1: Existing. Type: Vertical, Cylindrical Construction: Aluminum											
Packing: 25-foot Jaeger Tripack. Dimensions: 10.0 ft. Dia x 47 ft. H											
Source Classification Code (SCC)		Total Thruput		Thruput Quantity Units							
		Quantity/Hr	Quantity/Yr	Code	Description						
<input type="checkbox"/> Confidential <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Operating at Maximum Capacity <input type="checkbox"/> Activity with Insignificant Emissions		Operating Schedule		Building		Floor/Location					
		Hrs/Day	Days/Yr								
		24	365	BLDG-1		Main					
Emission Source/Control Identifier(s)											
AS-1											
EMISSION UNIT -								PROCESS			
Description											
Source Classification Code (SCC)		Total Thruput		Thruput Quantity Units							
		Quantity/Hr	Quantity/Yr	Code	Description						
<input type="checkbox"/> Confidential <input type="checkbox"/> Operating at Maximum Capacity <input type="checkbox"/> Activity with Insignificant Emissions		Operating Schedule		Building		Floor/Location					
		Hrs/Day	Days/Yr								
Emission Source/Control Identifier(s)											

New York State Department of Environmental Conservation  
Air Permit Application



DEC ID									
-									

**Section IV - Emission Unit Information (continued)**

Emission Unit	Emission Point	Process	Emission Source	Emission Unit Applicable Federal Requirements										<input type="checkbox"/> Continuation Sheet(s)	
				Title	Type	Part	Sub Part	Section	Sub Division	Parag.	Sub Parag.	Clause	Sub Clause		
-															
-															
-															
-															

Emission Unit	Emission Point	Process	Emission Source	Emission Unit State Only Requirements										<input type="checkbox"/> Continuation Sheet(s)	
				Title	Type	Part	Sub Part	Section	Sub Division	Parag.	Sub Parag.	Clause	Sub Clause		
-															
-															
-															
-															

Emission Unit Compliance Certification											<input type="checkbox"/> Continuation Sheet(s)
<b>Rule Citation</b>											
Title	Type	Part	Sub Part	Section	Sub Division	Paragraph	Sub Paragraph	Clause	Sub Clause		
6	NYCRR	212									
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Applicable Federal Requirement				<input type="checkbox"/> State Only Requirement				<input type="checkbox"/> Capping			
Emission Unit	Emission Point	Process	Emission Source	CAS No.			Contaminant Name				
0-00EU1	00ST1	PR1	AS-1	00079 - 01 - 6			Trichloroethylene				
<b>Monitoring Information</b>											
<input type="checkbox"/> Continuous Emission Monitoring				<input type="checkbox"/> Monitoring of Process or Control Device Parameters as Surrogate							
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Intermittent Emission Testing				<input type="checkbox"/> Work Practice Involving Specific Operations							
<input type="checkbox"/> Ambient Air Monitoring				<input type="checkbox"/> Record Keeping/Maintenance Procedures							
<b>Description</b>											
Monthly grab samples analyzed for VOCs from the vapor phase treatment system influent, effluent and two intermediate locations.											
Work Practice		Process Material					Reference Test Method				
Type	Code	Description									
Parameter		Manufacturer Name/Model No.									
Code	Description										
23	Concentration										
Limit			Limit Units								
Upper	Lower	Code	Description								
3,125		255	micrograms per cubic meter								
Averaging Method			Monitoring Frequency			Reporting Requirements					
Code	Description	Code	Description	Code	Description						
01	Instantaneous	05	Monthly	10	Upon Request						

New York State Department of Environmental Conservation  
Air Permit Application



DEC ID									
-									

**Section IV - Emission Unit Information (continued)**

Determination of Non-Applicability (Title V Only) N/A <input type="checkbox"/> Continuation Sheet(s)										
Rule Citation										
Title	Type	Part	Sub Part	Section	Sub Division	Paragraph	Sub Paragraph	Clause	Sub Clause	
Emission Unit	Emission Point	Process	Emission Source			<input type="checkbox"/> Applicable Federal Requirement <input type="checkbox"/> State Only Requirement				
Description										
Rule Citation										
Title	Type	Part	Sub Part	Section	Sub Division	Paragraph	Sub Paragraph	Clause	Sub Clause	
Emission Unit	Emission Point	Process	Emission Source			<input type="checkbox"/> Applicable Federal Requirement <input type="checkbox"/> State Only Requirement				
Description										
Process Emissions Summary <input type="checkbox"/> Continuation Sheet(s)										
EMISSION UNIT	0 - 0 0 E U 1						PROCESS	P	R	1
CAS No.	Contaminant Name			% Thruput	% Capture	% Control	ERP (lbs/hr)	ERP How Determined		
0079 - 01 - 6	Trichloroethylene					95	1.87	02		
PTE			Standard Units	PTE How Determined		Actual				
(lbs/hr)	(lbs/yr)	(standard units)				(lbs/hr)	(lbs/yr)			
0.09	99			02						
EMISSION UNIT	0 - 0 0 E U 1						PROCESS	P	R	1
CAS No.	Contaminant Name			% Thruput	% Capture	% Control	ERP (lbs/hr)	ERP How Determined		
00075 - 01 - 4	Vinyl Chloride					95	0.17	03		
PTE			Standard Units	PTE How Determined		Actual				
(lbs/hr)	(lbs/yr)	(standard units)				(lbs/hr)	(lbs/yr)			
0.01	3.7			02						
EMISSION UNIT	0 - 0 0 E U 1						PROCESS	P	R	1
CAS No.	Contaminant Name			% Thruput	% Capture	% Control	ERP (lbs/hr)	ERP How Determined		
000540 - 59 - 0	1,2-Dichloroethylene					95	0.6	02		
PTE			Standard Units	PTE How Determined		Actual				
(lbs/hr)	(lbs/yr)	(standard units)				(lbs/hr)	(lbs/yr)			
0.03	7.3			02						



New York State Department of Environmental Conservation  
Air Permit Application



DEC ID									
-									

**Section IV - Emission Unit Information (continued)**

EMISSION UNIT		Emission Unit Emissions Summary				<input type="checkbox"/> Continuation Sheet(s)
0	-	0	0	E	U	1
CAS No.		Contaminant Name				
00107- 06 - 2		1,2-Dichloroethane				
ERP (lbs/yr)	PTE Emissions		Actual			
	(lbs/hr)	(lbs/yr)	(lbs/hr)	(lbs/yr)		
13.4	Below Reporting Threshold BRT					
CAS No.		Contaminant Name				
00108 - 88 - 3		Toluene				
ERP (lbs/yr)	PTE Emissions		Actual			
	(lbs/hr)	(lbs/yr)	(lbs/hr)	(lbs/yr)		
72.7	BRT		BRT			
CAS No.		Contaminant Name				
01330- 20 - 7		Xylene				
ERP (lbs/yr)	PTE Emissions		Actual			
	(lbs/hr)	(lbs/yr)	(lbs/hr)	(lbs/yr)		
77.1	BRT		BRT			
CAS No.		Contaminant Name				
-		1,1,2-Trichloroethane				
ERP (lbs/yr)	PTE Emissions		Actual			
	(lbs/hr)	(lbs/yr)	(lbs/hr)	(lbs/yr)		
	BRT		BRT			

Compliance Plan													<input type="checkbox"/> Continuation Sheet(s)
For any emission units which are <u>not in compliance</u> at the time of permit application, the applicant shall complete the following													
Consent Order			Certified progress reports are to be submitted every 6 months beginning ____ / ____ / ____										
Emission Unit	Process	Emission Source	Applicable Federal Requirement										
			Title	Type	Part	Sub Part	Section	Sub Division	Parag.	Sub Parag.	Clause	Sub Clause	
Remedial Measure / Intermediate Milestones										R/I	Date Scheduled		

New York State Department of Environmental Conservation  
Air Permit Application



DEC ID											
-											

**Section IV - Emission Unit Information (continued)**

Request for Emission Reduction Credits										<input type="checkbox"/> Continuation Sheet(s)	
EMISSION UNIT -											
Emission Reduction Description											
Contaminant Emission Reduction Data											
Baseline Period ____ / ____ / ____ to ____ / ____ / ____						Reduction					
						Date		Method			
						/ /					
CAS No.			Contaminant Name			ERC (lbs/yr)					
						Netting			Offset		
-											
-											
-											
Facility to Use Future Reduction											
Name						APPLICATION ID					
						- / -					
Location Address											
<input type="checkbox"/> City / <input type="checkbox"/> Town / <input type="checkbox"/> Village						State			Zip		

Use of Emission Reduction Credits										<input type="checkbox"/> Continuation Sheet(s)	
EMISSION UNIT -											
Proposed Project Description											
Contaminant Emissions Increase Data											
CAS No.			Contaminant Name			PEP (lbs/yr)					
-											
Statement of Compliance											
<input type="checkbox"/> All facilities under the ownership of this "ownership/firm" are operating in compliance with all applicable requirements and state regulations including any compliance certification requirements under Section 114(a)(3) of the Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990, or are meeting the schedule of a consent order.											
Source of Emission Reduction Credit - Facility											
Name						PERMIT ID					
						- / -					
Location Address											
<input type="checkbox"/> City / <input type="checkbox"/> Town / <input type="checkbox"/> Village						State			Zip		
Emission Unit		CAS No.		Contaminant Name		ERC (lbs/yr)					
						Netting			Offset		
-		-									
-		-									
-		-									



DEC ID									
-									

Supporting Documentation

- P.E. Certification (form attached)
- List of Exempt Activities (form attached)
- Plot Plan
- Methods Used to Determine Compliance (form attached)
- Calculations
- Air Quality Model ( \_\_\_\_ / \_\_\_\_ / \_\_\_\_ )
- Confidentiality Justification
- Ambient Air Monitoring Plan ( \_\_\_\_ / \_\_\_\_ / \_\_\_\_ )
- Stack Test Protocols/Reports ( \_\_\_\_ / \_\_\_\_ / \_\_\_\_ )
- Continuous Emissions Monitoring Plans/QA/QC ( \_\_\_\_ / \_\_\_\_ / \_\_\_\_ )
- MACT Demonstration ( \_\_\_\_ / \_\_\_\_ / \_\_\_\_ )
- Operational Flexibility: Description of Alternative Operating Scenarios and Protocols
- Title IV: Application/Registration
- ERC Quantification (form attached)
- Use of ERC(s) (form attached)
- Baseline Period Demonstration
- Analysis of Contemporaneous Emission Increase/Decrease
- LAER Demonstration ( \_\_\_\_ / \_\_\_\_ / \_\_\_\_ )
- BACT Demonstration ( \_\_\_\_ / \_\_\_\_ / \_\_\_\_ )
- Other Document(s): \_\_\_\_\_ ( \_\_\_\_ / \_\_\_\_ / \_\_\_\_ )  
 \_\_\_\_\_ ( \_\_\_\_ / \_\_\_\_ / \_\_\_\_ )  
 \_\_\_\_\_ ( \_\_\_\_ / \_\_\_\_ / \_\_\_\_ )  
 \_\_\_\_\_ ( \_\_\_\_ / \_\_\_\_ / \_\_\_\_ )  
 \_\_\_\_\_ ( \_\_\_\_ / \_\_\_\_ / \_\_\_\_ )  
 \_\_\_\_\_ ( \_\_\_\_ / \_\_\_\_ / \_\_\_\_ )  
 \_\_\_\_\_ ( \_\_\_\_ / \_\_\_\_ / \_\_\_\_ )  
 \_\_\_\_\_ ( \_\_\_\_ / \_\_\_\_ / \_\_\_\_ )  
 \_\_\_\_\_ ( \_\_\_\_ / \_\_\_\_ / \_\_\_\_ )  
 \_\_\_\_\_ ( \_\_\_\_ / \_\_\_\_ / \_\_\_\_ )  
 \_\_\_\_\_ ( \_\_\_\_ / \_\_\_\_ / \_\_\_\_ )  
 \_\_\_\_\_ ( \_\_\_\_ / \_\_\_\_ / \_\_\_\_ )

**ATTACHMENT B**

**2008 EMISSION ESTIMATES BASED ON 95% REMOVAL**

**ATTACHMENT 1  
Emission Estimate**

POTENTIAL EMISSION ESTIMATES,  
USED TO DEVELOP 95% REDUCTION  
OF EMISSION VALUES AS BASED ON  
INFLUENT GROUNDWATER CONCENTRATIONS  
(95% REDUCTION OF EMISSION  
VALUES ARE PROVIDED  
ON PAGE 7 OF THE 2008 AIR  
PERMIT APPLICATION PROCESS  
EMISSIONS SUMMARY)

Feed Water Flow 1,100 gpm: max or normal  
250 m<sup>3</sup>/hr  
Water Flow Including Recycle 1,200 gpm: max or normal  
273 m<sup>3</sup>/hr  
Air Flow 8,000 cfm  
13,592 m<sup>3</sup>/hr  
A/W vol ratio 50

EXAMPLE EMISSION CALC: Vinyl Chloride  
4.8 ug/L x 1000 L/m<sup>3</sup> x 250 m<sup>3</sup> water/13,623 m<sup>3</sup> air = 88 ug/m<sup>3</sup>

Name	CAS Number	Toxicity: H/M/L <sup>2</sup>	VOC <sup>3</sup>	HAP <sup>4</sup>	GW Conc. <sup>1</sup>		Effluent Conc. <sup>1</sup>		Uncontrolled Stripper Exhaust							
					Max ug/L	Avg ug/L	Max ug/L	Avg ug/L	Max lb/day	Avg lb/day	Max lb/hr	Avg lb/hr	Max gm/sec	Avg gm/sec	Max ug/m <sup>3</sup>	Avg ug/m <sup>3</sup>
1,1,1-Trichloroethane (Methyl Chloroform)	00071-55-6	L	No	Yes	3	3.0			0.04	0.04	0.00	0.00	2.08E-04	2.08E-04	55	55
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	00079-00-5	M	Yes	Yes	3.5	0.3			0.05	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.43E-04	2.08E-05	64	6
1,1-Dichloroethane	00075-34-3	L	Yes	Yes	4	0.7			0.05	0.01	0.00	0.00	2.77E-04	4.85E-05	74	13
1,2-Dichloroethane	00107-06-2	M	Yes	Yes	3	1.0	0.3	0.1	0.04	0.01	0.00	0.00	1.87E-04	6.24E-05	55	18
1,1-Dichloroethylene (Vinylidene Chloride)	00075-35-4	M	Yes	Yes	9	1.6			0.12	0.02	0.00	0.00	6.24E-04	1.11E-04	165	29
1,2-Dichloroethylene	00540-59-0	M	Yes	No	1,100	31.5	1.3	0.0	14.51	0.42	0.60	0.02	7.62E-02	2.18E-03	20,219	579
Benzene	00071-43-2	H	Yes	Yes	4	0.1			0.05	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.77E-04	6.94E-06	74	2
Carbon Tetrachloride	00056-23-5	H	Yes	Yes	4	0.1			0.05	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.77E-04	6.94E-06	74	2
Chlorobenzene (Monochlorobenzene)	00108-90-7	M	Yes	Yes	1	0.1			0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	6.94E-05	6.94E-06	18	2
Chloroform	00067-66-3	M	Yes	Yes	2	0.8			0.03	0.01	0.00	0.00	1.39E-04	5.55E-05	37	15
Methyl Tert Butyl Ether	01634-04-4	M	Yes	Yes	2	0.1			0.03	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.39E-04	6.94E-06	37	2
Tetrachloroethylene	00127-18-4	M	Yes	Yes	900	33.8	0.9	0.0	11.88	0.45	0.49	0.02	6.24E-02	2.34E-03	16,543	621
Toluene	00108-88-3	L	Yes	Yes	15	0.7			0.20	0.01	0.01	0.00	1.04E-03	4.85E-05	276	13
Trichloroethylene	00079-01-6	M	Yes	Yes	3,400	411.5	4.5	0.5	44.86	5.43	1.87	0.23	2.35E-01	2.85E-02	62,494	7,564
Vinyl chloride	00075-01-4	H	Yes	Yes	300	4.8	0.0	0.0	3.96	0.06	0.17	0.00	2.08E-02	3.33E-04	5,514	88
Xylenes	01330-20-7	M	Yes	Yes	16	0.2			0.21	0.00	0.01	0.00	1.11E-03	1.39E-05	294	4
Total VOCs					5,764	487.3	7.0	0.6	76.05	6.43	3.17	0.27				
Total HAPs					4,667	458.8	5.7	0.6	61.57	6.05	2.57	0.25				

Total Uncontrolled VOC 2,347 lb/yr  
Total Uncontrolled HAP 2,209 lb/yr

1. Source: "GM-38 Groundwater Remedy Analysis Report", February 2003
2. Source: DAR-1 AGC/SGC Tables, NYSDEC Division of Air Resources, Air Toxics Section, September 10, 2007.
3. Source: 6 NYCRR Part 200.1(cg)
4. Source: 6 NYCRR Part 200.1(ag)

**ATTACHMENT 1  
Emission Estimate**

Feed Water Flow 1,100 gpm: max or normal  
250 m<sup>3</sup>/hr  
Water Flow Including Recycle 1,200 gpm: max or normal  
273 m<sup>3</sup>/hr  
Air Flow 8,000 cfm  
13,592 m<sup>3</sup>/hr  
A/W vol ratio 50

Controlled Stripper Exhat

Name	CAS Number	Toxicity: H/M/L <sup>2</sup>	VOC <sup>3</sup>	HAP <sup>4</sup>	Control by	Max	Avg	Max	Avg
					GAC	lb/day	lb/day	gm/sec	gm/sec
1,1,1-Trichloroethane (Methyl Chloroform)	00071-55-6	L	No	Yes	95%	0.00	0.00	1.04E-05	1.04E-05
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	00079-00-5	M	Yes	Yes	95%	0.00	0.00	1.21E-05	1.04E-06
1,1-Dichloroethane	00075-34-3	L	Yes	Yes	95%	0.00	0.00	1.39E-05	2.43E-06
1,2-Dichloroethane	00107-06-2	M	Yes	Yes	95%	0.00	0.00	9.36E-06	3.12E-06
1,1-Dichloroethylene (Vinylidene Chloride)	00075-35-4	M	Yes	Yes	95%	0.01	0.00	3.12E-05	5.55E-06
1,2-Dichloroethylene	00540-59-0	M	Yes	No	95%	0.73	0.02	3.81E-03	1.09E-04
Benzene	00071-43-2	H	Yes	Yes	95%	0.00	0.00	1.39E-05	3.47E-07
Carbon Tetrachloride	00056-23-5	H	Yes	Yes	95%	0.00	0.00	1.39E-05	3.47E-07
Chlorobenzene (Monochlorobenzene)	00108-90-7	M	Yes	Yes	95%	0.00	0.00	3.47E-06	3.47E-07
Chloroform	00067-66-3	M	Yes	Yes	95%	0.00	0.00	6.94E-06	2.77E-06
Methyl Tert Butyl Ether	01634-04-4	M	Yes	Yes	95%	0.00	0.00	6.94E-06	3.47E-07
Tetrachloroethylene	00127-18-4	M	Yes	Yes	95%	0.59	0.02	3.12E-03	1.17E-04
Toluene	00108-88-3	L	Yes	Yes	95%	0.01	0.00	5.20E-05	2.43E-06
Trichloroethylene	00079-01-6	M	Yes	Yes	95%	2.24	0.27	1.18E-02	1.43E-03
Vinyl chloride	00075-01-4	H	Yes	Yes	95%	0.20	0.00	1.04E-03	1.66E-05
Xylenes	01330-20-7	M	Yes	Yes	95%	0.01	0.00	5.55E-05	6.94E-07
Total VOCs						3.80	0.32		
Total HAPs						3.08	0.30		
						Total Controlled VOC	117 lb/yr		
						Total Controlled HAP	110 lb/yr		

1. Source: "GM-38 Groundwater Remedy Analysis Report", February 2003
2. Source: DAR-1 AGC/SGC Tables, NYSDEC Division of Air Resources, Air Tox
3. Source: 6 NYCRR Part 200.1(cg)
4. Source: 6 NYCRR Part 200.1(ag)

**ATTACHMENT C**  
**2011 DISCHARGE GOALS AND 2011 DAR-1 ANALYSIS**

Tetra Tech NUS		STANDARD CALCULATION SHEET	
CLIENT: US CLEAN	FILE No:	BY: SK	PAGE: 1 of 1
SUBJECT: Calculation of Current Discharge Goals GM-38 Area NWIRP Bethpage, New York		CHECKED BY:	DATE: 9/7/2011

**1. Purpose:**

To calculate current discharge goals for Trichloroethene (TCE), Tetrachloroethene (PCE), Vinyl Chloride, cis 1,2-Dichloroethene, and 1,2-Dichloroethene (total), for treatment of off-gas from the air stripper stack AS-1.

**2. Approach:**

From the Contaminant Assessment Summary of the DAR-1 Model output for TCE, PCE, Vinyl Chloride, cis 1,2-Dichloroethene, and 1,2-Dichloroethene (total) (see DAR-1 output for analysis inputs), use the Actual Annual % of the Annual Guideline Concentration (AGC), a current average flow rate of 9,200 cubic feet per minute (cfm), and influent chemical emission rates in pounds per hour (lb/hour) and pounds per year (lb/year) to back calculate current discharge goals.

**3. Calculation of Current Discharge Goals:**

Chemical	Current Actual Annual % of AGC <sup>(1)</sup>	Current Maximum Concentration (µg/m <sup>3</sup> ) <sup>(2)</sup>	Current Chemical Emission Rate Prior to Treatment (lb/hour) <sup>(3)</sup>	Current Chemical Emission Rate Prior to Treatment (lb/year) <sup>(3)</sup>	Calculated Discharge Goal (lb/hr) <sup>(4)</sup>	Calculated Discharge Goal (lb/year) <sup>(4)</sup>	Maximum Allowable Concentration (µg/m <sup>3</sup> ) <sup>(4)</sup>
TCE	390.6	10,000	0.3446	3,019	0.0882	770	2,600
PCE	132.8	6,800	0.2344	2,053	0.1764	1,500	5,100
Vinyl Chloride	13.49	76	0.0026	22.94	0.0194	170	560
cis 1,2-Dichloroethene	0.2322	750	0.0258	226.4	11.13	98,000	320,000
1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	0.2322	750	0.0258	226.4	11.13	98,000	320,000

**Notes:**

<sup>(1)</sup>Actual Annual % of the AGCs is from the attached DAR-1 Model Output.

<sup>(2)</sup>Values were taken from the Quarterly Operations Report First Quarter 2011 (June 2011) from ECOR Federal Services. Values were the maximum effluent concentration in off gas from air stripper stack AS-1 for the months of January, February, and March 2011.

<sup>(3)</sup>Chemical Emission Rates were calculated from maximum concentrations and an average flow rate of 9,200 cfm.

<sup>(4)</sup>Discharge Goals are based on a flow of 9,200 cfm, and calculated from the Actual Annual % of the AGCs from the DAR-1 Model Output to achieve air quality requirements. The summary of additional inputs for this model run is provided in the DAR-1 Model Output. Stack height is 40 feet, and the property line was evaluated at a distance of 50 feet.



BETHPAGE SITE GM-38 OFF-SITE GROUNDWATER AIR STRIPPER STACK EMISSIONS  
 DAR-1 MODEL OUTPUT, POINT SOURCE (STACK EMISSIONS) TYPE  
 INCLUDES ISCLT MODELING SUMMARY

- I. Summary of Inputs for Model Run to Nearest Property Line (50 feet), worst case scenario (highest contaminant concentrations seen in first quarter 2011 in untreated effluent from Air Stripper AS-1 prior to treatment with granular activated carbon (GAC))

Chemical	CAS No. 00079-01-6 (TCE)	CAS No. 00127-18-4 (PCE)	CAS No. 00075-01-4 (Vinyl Chloride)	CAS No. 00156-59-2 (cis 1,2- Dichloroethene)	CAS No. 00540-59-0 (1,2- Dichloroethene, total)
Emission Rate Prior to Treatment <sup>(1)</sup> (lb/hour)	0.3444	0.2342	0.0026	0.0258	0.0258
Emission Rate Prior to Treatment <sup>(1)</sup> (lb/year)	3,017	2,052	22.93	226.0	226.0
Maximum Concentration of Untreated Off Gas ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ ) <sup>(1)</sup>	10,000	6,800	76	750	750
Annual Guideline Concentration (AGC) ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )	0.5	1.0	0.11	63	63
Short-term Guideline Concentration (SGC) ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )	14,000	1,000	180,000	--	--

HA	Height Above stack/ maximum height of plume (HA, feet)	15
SH	Stack Height/Treatment Building Air Stack (SH, feet)	40
D	Stack Diameter (D, inches)	36
T	Stack Exit Temperature (T, degrees Fahrenheit)	80
V	Stack Exit Velocity (V, ft/sec)	21.69
Q <sup>(2)</sup>	Stack Exit Flow Rate [Q, Actual Cubic Feet per Minute (ACFM)]	9,200
Dpl	Shortest Distance from Source Building (Treatment Building) to Property Line (Dpl, feet) for point sources	50
BW	Building Width (BW, feet) of Source Building (Treatment Building) for point sources	75
BL	Building Length (BL, feet) of Source Building (Treatment Building)	75
Q	Actual Hourly Emission Rate (lbs/hour) for source contaminant	Chemical specific, see above
Qa	Actual Annual Emission Rate (lbs/year) for source contaminant	Chemical specific, see above

<sup>(1)</sup> Emission rates and maximum concentration values were taken from the Quarterly Operations Report First Quarter (June 2011) as provided by ECOR Services, using January, February, and March 2011 maximum rates of untreated off gas from Air Stripper AS-1 in the

GM-38 Treatment Building. Emission rates are based on continuous operation 24 hours per day, 7 days a week, 52 weeks a year, or approximately 8,760 hours of operation.

<sup>(2)</sup> "Q" is an average value of January and February 2011 monthly flow rates. Effective water and vapor flow rates were reduced during the reporting period of March due to a shutdown of the Treatment Plant on March 23, 2011.

II. Contaminant Assessment Summary of TCE, PCE, Vinyl Chloride, cis 1,2-Dichloroethene, and 1,2-Dichloroethene (total):

CONTAMINANT ASSESSMENT SUMMARY OF DAR-1 ANALYSIS						9/ 8/11
						Page
						1
	SHORT-TERM	CAVITY	POINT or AREA SOURCE			
	AGC	MAXIMUM	ACTUAL	POTENTIAL	ACTUAL	
CAS NUMBER	ug/m3	(Cav. Pt. Area)	ANNUAL	ANNUAL	ANNUAL	
		% OF SGC	% OF AGC	% OF AGC	% OF AGC	
00075-01-4	0.11000000	0.0005	0.0000	13.3889	13.4948	
00079-01-6	0.50000000	0.7757	0.0000	390.1734	390.6266	
00127-18-4	1.00000000	7.3852	0.0000	132.6635	132.8415	
00156-59-2	63.00000000	0.0000	0.0000	0.2320	0.2322	
00540-59-0	63.00000000	0.0000	0.0000	0.2320	0.2322	
<b>SUMMARY TOTALS</b>		<b>8.1614</b>	<b>0.0000</b>	<b>536.6897</b>	<b>537.4274</b>	

III. Contaminant Impact Summary of TCE, PCE, Vinyl Chloride, cis 1,2-Dichloroethene, and 1,2-Dichloroethene (total):

CONTAMINANT IMPACT SUMMARY OF DAR-1 ANALYSIS						9/ 8/11
						Page
						1
	SHORT-TERM	CAVITY	POINT or AREA SOURCE			
	AGC	MAXIMUM	ACTUAL	POTENTIAL	ACTUAL	
CAS NUMBER	ug/m3	(Cav. Pt. Area)	ANNUAL	ANNUAL	ANNUAL	
		ug/m3	ug/m3	ug/m3	ug/m3	
00075-01-4	0.11000000	0.81988204	0.00000000	0.01472780	0.01484433	
00079-01-6	0.50000000	108.60282900	0.00000000	1.95086694	1.95313296	
00127-18-4	1.00000000	73.85244750	0.00000000	1.32663476	1.32841504	
00156-59-2	63.00000000	8.13575172	0.00000000	0.14614509	0.14630693	
00540-59-0	63.00000000	8.13575172	0.00000000	0.14614509	0.14630693	

IV. Contaminant Impact Summary Step by Step Menu for TCE:

```

*****
NWIRP BETHPAGE GM-38 AREA      BETHPAGE      OYSTER BAY, NEW
EMISSION POINT =          TOTAL      CAS NUMBER = 00079-01-6      SIC = 0
  AGC =          0.500000000 ug/m3      SGC =          14000.000000 ug/m3
  STACK: HA=    15., SH=   40., D=   36., T=   80., U=   21.69, q=  9200.00
BUILDING: Dpl=   50., BW=   75., BL=   75., %CONTROL=  0.0000
** Reported Hourly Emission Rate <Q> is equal to          0.344400000 lbs/hour.
** Reported Annual Emission Rate <Qa> is equal to          3017.000000 lbs/year.
II.B.  REFINED CAVITY IMPACT METHOD <DAR-1, APPENDIX B>.
II.B.1.  Shortest Distance from building to Property Line <  50. feet >
         is less than or equal to the cavity length, or 3 building
         heights <  75. feet >. Therefore, this building will have
         cavity impacts <if they occur> at receptors off plant property.
II.B.2.  The largest building dimension <  75. feet > is greater than or
         equal to the building height <  25. feet >. Therefore, the
         computer will NOT redefine the cavity length.
II.B.3.  Stack height <  40. feet > is greater than cavity height
         <  38. feet >. Therefore, this source does not contribute to
         the buildings cavity impact. The Computer will assume the
         CAVITY Annual Impact equals 0.00 ug/m3.
II.C.  CAVITY Annual Impact <  0.000 ug/m3 > is less than AGC
         <  0.500 ug/m3 >.
III.A.  STANDARD POINT SOURCE METHOD <DAR-1, APPENDIX B>.
III.A.1.b.  Momentum flux, Fm, is equal to  1000.331 ft<4>/sec<2>.
III.A.1.b.  Effective stack height, he, is equal to  51.001 feet.
III.A.2.  STANDARD POINT SOURCE Actual Annual Impact is equal
         to  2.604 ug/m3 for  8760. hours/year of operation.
III.A.3.  STANDARD POINT SOURCE Potential Annual Impact is equal
         to  2.601 ug/m3 assuming 8,760 hours/year of operation.
III.A.4.a.  Stack height to building height ratio is greater than
         1.5, but less than 2.5. Computer will multiply actual
         annual & potential annual impacts by 0.75 factor.

```

III.A.5. STANDARD POINT SOURCE Short-Term Impact is calculated below using the DAR-1 SOFTWARE PROGRAM SHORT-TERM METHOD.

III.D. STANDARD POINT SOURCE Actual Annual Impact < 1.953 ug/m3 > is greater than AGC < 0.500 ug/m3 >.

\*\*\*\* Refer to DAR-1 Section III.D.1. A refined site specific modeling analysis may be required. \*\*\*\*

III.D. STANDARD POINT SOURCE Potential Annual Impact < 1.951 ug/m3 > is greater than AGC < 0.500 ug/m3 >.

\*\*\*\* Potential Annual Impact is based upon 8760 hours/year operation instead of reported 8760. hours/year. \*\*\*\*

2.0 DAR-1 SOFTWARE PROGRAM SHORT-TERM METHOD.  
See "Technical Reference for the Screening Procedures of the DAR-1 Software Program, Wade/Sedefian," 1/11/94.

2.2 CAVITY Short-Term Impact is equal to 0.00 ug/m3 as the plume escaped the cavity region:  $h_s < 40. \text{ feet} > > h_c < 26. \text{ feet} >$ .

II.C. CAVITY Short-Term Impact < 0.000 ug/m3 > is less than SGC < 14000.000 ug/m3 >.

2.3 Momentum flux,  $F_m$ , is equal to 1000.331 ft(4)/sec(2).

2.3 Effective stack height,  $h_e$ , is equal to 51.001 feet.

2.4 Maximum non-downwash GEP stack Short-Term Impact (CSTP) is equal to 38.826 ug/m3, for  $h_s/h_b = 1.60$

2.5 Maximum downwash Short-Term Impact (CSTD) is equal to 129.908 ug/m3, for:  $h_s/h_b = 1.60$  and ESH = 51. feet.

2.6 Adjusted maximum downwash Short-Term (CSTD) is equal to 108.603 ug/m3, for: RF = 0.84

III.D. Maximum non-cavity Short-Term Impact (CST: 108.603 ug/m3 > is less than the SGC < 14000.000 ug/m3 > for the point source.

2.7 Maximum Short-Term cavity, point, or area source impact (SHORT-TERM MAXIMUM, (Cav,Pt,Area)) equals 108.603 ug/m3 and is reported in the ANALYSIS MENU. This value is less than the SGC < 14000.000 ug/m3 >.

V. Contaminant Impact Summary Step by Step Menu for PCE:

```

*****
NWIRP BETHPAGE GM-38 AREA          BETHPAGE          OYSTER BAY, MEV
EMISSION POINT =          TOTAL          CAS NUMBER = 00127-18-4          SIC = 0
AGC =          1.000000000 ug/m3          SGC =          1000.000000 ug/m3
STACK: HA=          15., SH=          40., D=          36., T=          80., U=          21.69, q=          9200.00
BUILDING: Dpl=          50., BW=          75., BL=          75., %CONTROL=          0.0000
** Reported Hourly Emission Rate (Q) is equal to          0.234200000 lbs/hour.
** Reported Annual Emission Rate (Qa) is equal to          2052.000000 lbs/year.
II.B. REFINED CAVITY IMPACT METHOD (DAR-1, APPENDIX B).
II.B.1. Shortest Distance from building to Property Line ( 50. feet )
is less than or equal to the cavity length, or 3 building
heights ( 75. feet ). Therefore, this building will have
cavity impacts (if they occur) at receptors off plant property.
II.B.2. The largest building dimension ( 75. feet ) is greater than or
equal to the building height ( 25. feet ). Therefore, the
computer will NOT redefine the cavity length.
II.B.3. Stack height ( 40. feet ) is greater than cavity height
( 38. feet ). Therefore, this source does not contribute to
the buildings cavity impact. The Computer will assume the
CAVITY Annual Impact equals 0.00 ug/m3.
II.C. CAVITY Annual Impact ( 0.000 ug/m3 ) is less than AGC
( 1.000 ug/m3 ).
III.A. STANDARD POINT SOURCE METHOD (DAR-1, APPENDIX B).
III.A.1.b. Momentum flux, Fm, is equal to 1000.331 ft<4>/sec<2>.
III.A.1.b. Effective stack height, he, is equal to 51.001 feet.
III.A.2. STANDARD POINT SOURCE Actual Annual Impact is equal
to 1.771 ug/m3 for 8762. hours/year of operation.
III.A.3. STANDARD POINT SOURCE Potential Annual Impact is equal
to 1.769 ug/m3 assuming 8,760 hours/year of operation.
III.A.4.a. Stack height to building height ratio is greater than
1.5, but less than 2.5. Computer will multiply actual
annual & potential annual impacts by 0.75 factor.

```

III.A.5. STANDARD POINT SOURCE Short-Term Impact is calculated below using the DAR-1 SOFTWARE PROGRAM SHORT-TERM METHOD.

III.D. STANDARD POINT SOURCE Actual Annual Impact ( 1.328 ug/m3 ) is greater than AGC ( 1.000 ug/m3 ).

\*\*\*\* Refer to DAR-1 Section III.D.1. A refined site specific modeling analysis may be required. \*\*\*\*

III.D. STANDARD POINT SOURCE Potential Annual Impact ( 1.327 ug/m3 ) is greater than AGC ( 1.000 ug/m3 ).

\*\*\*\* Potential Annual Impact is based upon 8760 hours/year operation instead of reported 8762. hours/year. \*\*\*\*

2.0 DAR-1 SOFTWARE PROGRAM SHORT-TERM METHOD. See "Technical Reference for the Screening Procedures of the DAR-1 Software Program, Wade/Sedefian," 1/11/94.

2.2 CAVITY Short-Term Impact is equal to 0.00 ug/m3 as the plume escaped the cavity region: hc( 40. feet ) > hc( 26. feet ).

II.C. CAVITY Short-Term Impact ( 0.000 ug/m3 ) is less than SGC ( 1000.000 ug/m3 ).

2.3 Momentum Flux,  $F_m$ , is equal to 1000.331 ft<sup>4</sup>/sec<sup>2</sup>.

2.3 Effective stack height,  $h_e$ , is equal to 51.001 feet.

2.4 Maximum non-downwash GEP stack Short-Term Impact (CSTP) is equal to 26.403 ug/m3, for  $h_c/h_b = 1.60$

2.5 Maximum downwash Short-Term Impact (CSTD) is equal to 88.340 ug/m3, for:  $h_c/h_b = 1.60$  and ESH = 51. feet.

2.6 Adjusted maximum downwash Short-Term (CSTD) is equal to 73.852 ug/m3, for: RF = 0.84

III.D. Maximum non-cavity Short-Term Impact (CST: 73.852 ug/m3 ) is less than the SGC ( 1000.000 ug/m3 ) for the point source.

2.7 Maximum Short-Term cavity, point, or area source impact (SHORT-TERM MAXIMUM, (Cav,Pt,Area)) equals 73.852 ug/m3 and is reported in the ANALYSIS MENU. This value is less than the SGC ( 1000.000 ug/m3 ).

VI. Contaminant Impact Summary Step by Step Menu for Vinyl Chloride:

```

*****
NWIRP BETHPAGE GM-38 AREA          BETHPAGE          OYSTER BAY, NEW
EMISSION POINT =          TOTAL          CAS NUMBER = 00075-01-4          SIC = 0
AGC =          0.110000000 ug/m3          SGC =          180000.000000 ug/m3
STACK: HA=          15., SH=          40., D=          36., I=          80., U=          21.69, q=          9200.00
BUILDING: Dpl=          50., BW=          75., BL=          75., %CONTROL=          0.0000
** Reported Hourly Emission Rate <Q> is equal to          0.002600000 lbs/hour.
** Reported Annual Emission Rate <Qa> is equal to          22.930000 lbs/year.
II.B. REFINED CAVITY IMPACT METHOD <DAR-1, APPENDIX B>.
II.B.1. Shortest Distance from building to Property Line < 50. feet >
is less than or equal to the cavity length, or 3 building
heights < 75. feet >. Therefore, this building will have
cavity impacts <if they occur> at receptors off plant property.
II.B.2. The largest building dimension < 75. feet > is greater than or
equal to the building height < 25. feet >. Therefore, the
computer will NOT redefine the cavity length.
II.B.3. Stack height < 40. feet > is greater than cavity height
< 38. feet >. Therefore, this source does not contribute to
the buildings cavity impact. The Computer will assume the
CAVITY Annual Impact equals 0.00 ug/m3.
II.C. CAVITY Annual Impact < 0.000 ug/m3 > is less than AGC
< 0.110 ug/m3 >.
III.A. STANDARD POINT SOURCE METHOD <DAR-1, APPENDIX B>.
III.A.1.b. Momentum flux, Fm, is equal to 1000.331 ft<4>/sec<2>.
III.A.1.b. Effective stack height, he, is equal to 51.001 feet.
III.A.2. STANDARD POINT SOURCE Actual Annual Impact is equal
to 0.020 ug/m3 for 8819. hours/year of operation.
III.A.3. STANDARD POINT SOURCE Potential Annual Impact is equal
to 0.020 ug/m3 assuming 8,760 hours/year of operation.
III.A.4.a. Stack height to building height ratio is greater than
1.5, but less than 2.5. Computer will multiply actual
annual & potential annual impacts by 0.75 factor.

```

III.A.5. STANDARD POINT SOURCE Short-Term Impact is calculated below using the DAR-1 SOFTWARE PROGRAM SHORT-TERM METHOD.

III.D. STANDARD POINT SOURCE Actual Annual Impact  $\langle 0.015 \text{ ug/m}^3 \rangle$  is less than AGC  $\langle 0.110 \text{ ug/m}^3 \rangle$ .

III.D. STANDARD POINT SOURCE Potential Annual Impact  $\langle 0.015 \text{ ug/m}^3 \rangle$  is less than AGC  $\langle 0.110 \text{ ug/m}^3 \rangle$ .

\*\*\*\* Potential Annual Impact is based upon 8760 hours/year \*\*\*\*  
 \*\*\*\* operation instead of reported 8819. hours/year. \*\*\*\*

2.0 DAR-1 SOFTWARE PROGRAM SHORT-TERM METHOD.  
 See "Technical Reference for the Screening Procedures of the DAR-1 Software Program, Wade/Sedefian," 1/11/94.

2.2 CAVITY Short-Term Impact is equal to  $0.00 \text{ ug/m}^3$  as the plume escaped the cavity region:  $hs \langle 40. \text{ feet} \rangle > hc \langle 26. \text{ feet} \rangle$ .

II.C. CAVITY Short-Term Impact  $\langle 0.000 \text{ ug/m}^3 \rangle$  is less than SGC  $\langle 180000.000 \text{ ug/m}^3 \rangle$ .

2.3 Momentum flux,  $F_m$ , is equal to  $1000.331 \text{ ft}^4/\text{sec}^2$ .

2.3 Effective stack height,  $h_e$ , is equal to  $51.001 \text{ feet}$ .

2.4 Maximum non-downwash GEP stack Short-Term Impact  $\langle \text{CSTP} \rangle$  is equal to  $0.293 \text{ ug/m}^3$ , for  $hs/hb = 1.60$

2.5 Maximum downwash Short-Term Impact  $\langle \text{CSTD} \rangle$  is equal to  $0.981 \text{ ug/m}^3$ , for:  $hs/hb = 1.60$  and  $ESH = 51. \text{ feet}$ .

2.6 Adjusted maximum downwash Short-Term  $\langle \text{CSTD} \rangle$  is equal to  $0.820 \text{ ug/m}^3$ , for:  $RF = 0.84$

III.D. Maximum non-cavity Short-Term Impact  $\langle \text{CST} : 0.820 \text{ ug/m}^3 \rangle$  is less than the SGC  $\langle 180000.000 \text{ ug/m}^3 \rangle$  for the point source.

2.7 Maximum Short-Term cavity, point, or area source impact  $\langle \text{SHORT-TERM MAXIMUM, } \langle \text{Cav,Pt,Area} \rangle \rangle$  equals  $0.820 \text{ ug/m}^3$  and is reported in the ANALYSIS MENU. This value is less than the SGC  $\langle 180000.000 \text{ ug/m}^3 \rangle$ .



VII. Contaminant Impact Summary Step by Step Menu for cis 1,2-Dichloroethene:

```

*****
NWIRP BETHPAGE GM-38 AREA          BETHPAGE          OYSTER BAY, NEW
EMISSION POINT =          TOTAL          CAS NUMBER = 00156-59-2          SIC = 0
AGC =          63.000000000 ug/m3          SGC =          0.000000 ug/m3
STACK: HA=          15., SH=          40., D=          36., I=          80., U=          21.69, q=          9200.00
BUILDING: Dpl=          50., BW=          75., BL=          75., %CONTROL=          0.0000
** Reported Hourly Emission Rate <Q> is equal to          0.025800000 lbs/hour.
** Reported Annual Emission Rate <Qa> is equal to          226.000000 lbs/year.
II.B. REFINED CAVITY IMPACT METHOD <DAR-1, APPENDIX B>.
II.B.1. Shortest Distance from building to Property Line < 50. feet >
is less than or equal to the cavity length, or 3 building
heights < 75. feet >. Therefore, this building will have
cavity impacts <if they occur> at receptors off plant property.
II.B.2. The largest building dimension < 75. feet > is greater than or
equal to the building height < 25. feet >. Therefore, the
computer will NOT redefine the cavity length.
II.B.3. Stack height < 40. feet > is greater than cavity height
< 38. feet >. Therefore, this source does not contribute to
the buildings cavity impact. The Computer will assume the
CAVITY Annual Impact equals 0.00 ug/m3.
II.C. CAVITY Annual Impact < 0.000 ug/m3 > is less than AGC
< 63.000 ug/m3 >.
III.A. STANDARD POINT SOURCE METHOD <DAR-1, APPENDIX B>.
III.A.1.b. Momentum flux, Fm, is equal to 1000.331 ft<4>/sec<2>.
III.A.1.b. Effective stack height, he, is equal to 51.001 feet.
III.A.2. STANDARD POINT SOURCE Actual Annual Impact is equal
to 0.195 ug/m3 for 8760. hours/year of operation.
III.A.3. STANDARD POINT SOURCE Potential Annual Impact is equal
to 0.195 ug/m3 assuming 8,760 hours/year of operation.
III.A.4.a. Stack height to building height ratio is greater than
1.5, but less than 2.5. Computer will multiply actual
annual & potential annual impacts by 0.75 factor.

```

III.A.5. STANDARD POINT SOURCE Short-Term Impact is calculated below using the DAR-1 SOFTWARE PROGRAM SHORT-TERM METHOD.

III.D. STANDARD POINT SOURCE Actual Annual Impact < 0.146 ug/m3 > is less than AGC < 63.000 ug/m3 >.

III.D. STANDARD POINT SOURCE Potential Annual Impact < 0.146 ug/m3 > is less than AGC < 63.000 ug/m3 >.

\*\*\*\* Potential Annual Impact is based upon 8760 hours/year \*\*\*\*  
\*\*\*\* operation instead of reported 8760. hours/year. \*\*\*\*

2.0 DAR-1 SOFTWARE PROGRAM SHORT-TERM METHOD.  
See 'Technical Reference for the Screening Procedures of the DAR-1 Software Program, Wade/Sedefian,' 1/11/94.

2.2 CAVITY Short-Term Impact is equal to 0.00 ug/m3 as the plume escaped the cavity region: hs( 40. feet ) > hc( 26. feet).

II.C. CAVITY Short-Term Impact is equal to 0.000 ug/m3.  
There is no SGC for this contaminant.

2.3 Momentum flux, Fm, is equal to 1000.331 ft(4)/sec(2).

2.3 Effective stack height, he, is equal to 51.001 feet.

2.4 Maximum non-downwash GEP stack Short-Term Impact (CSTP) is equal to 2.909 ug/m3, for hs/hb = 1.60

2.5 Maximum downwash Short-Term Impact (CSTD) is equal to 9.732 ug/m3, for: hs/hb = 1.60 and ESH = 51. feet.

2.6 Adjusted maximum downwash Short-Term (CSTD) is equal to 8.136 ug/m3, for: RF = 0.84

III.D. Maximum non-cavity Short-Term Impact (CST) equals 8.136 ug/m3 for the point source. There is no SGC for this contaminant.

2.7 Maximum Short-Term cavity, point, or area source impact (SHORT-TERM MAXIMUM, (Cav,Pt,Area)) equals 8.136 ug/m3 and is reported in the ANALYSIS MENU.

VIII. Contaminant Impact Summary Step by Step Menu for 1,2-Dichloroethene (total):

```

*****
NWIRP BETHPAGE GM-38 AREA          BETHPAGE          OYSTER BAY, NEW
EMISSION POINT =          TOTAL          CAS NUMBER = 00540-59-0          SIC = 0
AGC =          63.000000000 ug/m3          SGC =          0.000000 ug/m3
STACK: HA=          15., SH=          40., D=          36., T=          80., U=          21.69, q=          9200.00
BUILDING: Dpl=          50., BW=          75., BL=          75., %CONTROL=          0.0000
** Reported Hourly Emission Rate <Q> is equal to          0.025800000 lbs/hour.
** Reported Annual Emission Rate <Qa> is equal to          226.000000 lbs/year.
II.B. REFINED CAVITY IMPACT METHOD <DAR-1, APPENDIX B>.
II.B.1. Shortest Distance from building to Property Line < 50. feet >
is less than or equal to the cavity length, or 3 building
heights < 75. feet >. Therefore, this building will have
cavity impacts <if they occur> at receptors off plant property.
II.B.2. The largest building dimension < 75. feet > is greater than or
equal to the building height < 25. feet >. Therefore, the
computer will NOT redefine the cavity length.
II.B.3. Stack height < 40. feet > is greater than cavity height
< 38. feet >. Therefore, this source does not contribute to
the buildings cavity impact. The Computer will assume the
CAVITY Annual Impact equals 0.00 ug/m3.
II.C. CAVITY Annual Impact < 0.000 ug/m3 > is less than AGC
< 63.000 ug/m3 >.
III.A. STANDARD POINT SOURCE METHOD <DAR-1, APPENDIX B>.
III.A.1.b. Momentum flux, Pm, is equal to 1000.331 ft<4>/sec<2>.
III.A.1.b. Effective stack height, he, is equal to 51.001 feet.
III.A.2. STANDARD POINT SOURCE Actual Annual Impact is equal
to 0.195 ug/m3 for 8760. hours/year of operation.
III.A.3. STANDARD POINT SOURCE Potential Annual Impact is equal
to 0.195 ug/m3 assuming 8,760 hours/year of operation.
III.A.4.a. Stack height to building height ratio is greater than
1.5, but less than 2.5. Computer will multiply actual
annual & potential annual impacts by 0.75 factor.

```

```

III.A.5. STANDARD POINT SOURCE Short-Term Impact is calculated below
         using the DAR-1 SOFTWARE PROGRAM SHORT-TERM METHOD.

III.D. STANDARD POINT SOURCE Actual Annual Impact < 0.146 ug/m3 > is
         less than AGC < 63.000 ug/m3 >.

III.D. STANDARD POINT SOURCE Potential Annual Impact < 0.146 ug/m3 >
         is less than AGC < 63.000 ug/m3 >.

**** Potential Annual Impact is based upon 8760 hours/year ****
**** operation instead of reported 8760. hours/year. ****

2.0 DAR-1 SOFTWARE PROGRAM SHORT-TERM METHOD.
    See "Technical Reference for the Screening Procedures of the
    DAR-1 Software Program, Wade/Sedefian," 1/11/94.

2.2 CAVITY Short-Term Impact is equal to 0.00 ug/m3 as the plume
     escaped the cavity region: hs< 40. feet > hc< 26. feet >.

II.C. CAVITY Short-Term Impact is equal to 0.000 ug/m3.
       There is no SGC for this contaminant.

2.3 Momentum flux, Fm, is equal to 1000.331 ft<4>/sec<2>.

2.3 Effective stack height, he, is equal to 51.001 feet.

2.4 Maximum non-downwash GEP stack Short-Term Impact <CSTP> is equal
     to 2.909 ug/m3, for hs/hb = 1.60

2.5 Maximum downwash Short-Term Impact <CSTD> is equal
     to 9.732 ug/m3, for: hs/hb = 1.60 and ESH = 51. feet.

2.6 Adjusted maximum downwash Short-Term <CSTD> is equal
     to 8.136 ug/m3, for: RF = 0.84

III.D. Maximum non-cavity Short-Term Impact <CST> equals 8.136 ug/m3
       for the point source. There is no SGC for this contaminant.

2.7 Maximum Short-Term cavity, point, or area source impact
     <SHORT-TERM MAXIMUM, <Cav.Pt.Area>> equals 8.136 ug/m3
     and is reported in the ANALYSIS MENU.

```

IX. AGCs and SGCs for TCE, PCE, Vinyl Chloride, cis 1,2-Dichloroethene, and 1,2-Dichloroethene (total):

AGCs & SGCs				9/ 8/11	
				Page 1	
CAS NUMBER	CONTAMINANT NAME	SGC ug/m3	II O V	AGC ug/m3	II I O O V X CODES
00075-01-4	VINYL CHLORIDE	18000.00000	D	0.110000000	E H U HA
00079-01-6	TRICHLOROETHYLENE	14000.00000	Z	0.500000000	D M O HO
00127-10-4	TETRACHLOROETHYLENE	1000.00000	H	1.000000000	H M O HI
00156-59-2	DICHLOROETHYLENE, cis	0.00000		63.000000000	D M
00540-59-0	DICHLOROETHYLENE, 12	0.00000		63.000000000	D M

X. Contaminant Emissions Summary for TCE, PCE, Vinyl Chloride, cis 1,2-Dichloroethene, and 1,2-Dichloroethene (total):

CONTAMINANT EMISSIONS SUMMARY				9/ 8/11
				Page 1
CAS NUMBER	CONTAMINANT NAME	NUM. OF EPs PER CONTAM.	EMISSIONS (lbs/hour)	EMISSIONS (lbs/year)
00075-01-4	VINYL CHLORIDE	1	0.0026000	22.93000
00079-01-6	TRICHLOROETHYLENE	1	0.3444000	3017.00000
00127-10-4	TETRACHLOROETHYLENE	1	0.2342000	2052.00000
00156-59-2	DICHLOROETHYLENE, cis	1	0.0258000	226.00000
00540-59-0	DICHLOROETHYLENE, 12	1	0.0258000	226.00000
SUMMARY TOTALS		5	0.6328000	5543.93000

XI. Meter Grid Modeling Results for Maximum Annual Concentrations of TCE, within 25 meters:

CONCENTRATIONS x 10 <sup>-2</sup> (ug/m3) for 00079-01-6													09/08/11
AGC =													13:17:58
	367000.	368000.	369000.	370000.	371000.	372000.	373000.	374000.	375000.	376000.	377000.	378000.	
TIME +													
UTM Y													
4511000.	0.04	0.06	0.08	0.14	0.23	0.32	0.41	0.30	0.14	0.10	0.08	0.06	0.05
4510000.	0.03	0.05	0.08	0.13	0.25	0.43	0.60	0.40	0.17	0.12	0.09	0.07	0.06
4509000.	0.02	0.03	0.06	0.11	0.24	0.58	1.01	0.52	0.22	0.14	0.11	0.08	0.06
4508000.	0.02	0.03	0.04	0.06	0.18	0.62	2.16	0.64	0.31	0.19	0.13	0.11	0.09
4507000.	0.02	0.03	0.04	0.06	0.11	0.26	7.27	1.43	0.60	0.34	0.22	0.15	0.12
4506000.	0.03	0.03	0.05	0.07	0.13	0.33	2.58	2.99	1.12	0.51	0.30	0.20	0.14
4505000.	0.03	0.04	0.05	0.08	0.20	0.45	0.94	0.81	0.60	0.45	0.33	0.23	0.16
4504000.	0.03	0.04	0.07	0.12	0.20	0.22	0.47	0.43	0.33	0.27	0.24	0.20	0.16

TOP 100 CONTRIBUTORS TO MAXIMUM CONCENTRATION FOR 00079-01-6							09/08/11
@ UTMX: 373000. UTMN: 4507000.							13:17:58
Emission Point	Facility Name (shortened)	EP DIR	Distance to Max.(m)	CONC. ug/m3	Percent of Max.		
TOTAL	NWIRP BETHPAGE GM-38 AREA	SSE	539.	0.727E-01	100.000		
TOTAL OF ALL	1 CONTRIBUTORS			0.727E-01	100.000		

XII. ISCLT Model Run Information, within 25 meters:

```

                                                                09/08/11
                                                                13:17:58
                                MODEL RUN INFORMATION

1. Current GRID SPACING equals      1000. meters.
2. Maximum Concentration (flashing) equals      0.0727115273 ug/m3
   @ UTME:      373000.      UTMN:      4507000.

3. RUN FILE:  TEMP?.RUN
4. METEOROLOGICAL FILE:  ALB.MET
5. RUN MODE:  URBAN
6. HALF-LIVES:  not used to account for pollutant removal from air.
7. BLD. WAKE EFFECTS:  AG-1 METHOD, All data KNOWN (hb, hv, hl, orientation)
8. EMISSIONS:  ACTUAL ANNUAL EMISSIONS
9. SOURCES:  All sources within      25. meters of
   UTME:      373275.      UTMN:      4506537.
10. CONTAMINANT CAS NUMBER(s):  00079-01-6
11. EMISSION POINT - CONTAMINANT(s) found by computer:      1
12. No data is being copied to DUMP file.
```

**APPENDIX C**

**FIELD LOGS AND  
CHAIN OF CUSTODY DOCUMENTATION**

Date: March 2020



### Groundwater Level Measurement Sheet

Project Site: NWIPR Bethpage – GM-38

Water Level Meter: Solinst

Location: Bethpage, NY

Weather: \_\_\_\_\_

Field Crew: Seiter + Hoffmaster

Time of Low Tide: N/A

Time of High Tide: N/A

Well ID	Time	Depth to Water (Ft.)	Total Depth of Well/ Screened Interval (Ft.)	Comments
RW1-MW1		33.17	435 / 395 – 435	
RW1-MW2		37.44	435 / 395 – 435	
RW1-MW3		26.25	435 / 395 – 435	
RW2-MW1		36.54	510 / 470 – 510	
RW2-MW2		36.20	510 / 470 – 510	
RW2-MW3		35.78	510 / 470 – 510	
RW3-MW1		34.85	350 / 330 – 350	
RW3-MW2		36.52	495 / 475 – 495	
RW3-MW3		36.25	340 / 320 – 340	
RW3-MW4		37.45	495 / 475 – 495	
TP1		31.56	470 / 450 – 470	
IW1-MW1		36.74	470 / 450 – 470	
RW-1		—		Open vault and check integrity of piping, etc.
RW-3		—		Open vault and check integrity of piping, etc.

Signature: *Bob Seiter*

Date: 3/13/2020



# Koman Government Solutions, LLC

## Low Flow/ Low Stress Groundwater Sampling Log

Project: NWIRP Bethpage - GM38  
 Location: Bethpage, NY  
 Well ID: RW1-MW1

Date: 03/ 11 /2020  
 Sampler: Seiler + Hoffmaster  
 PID: -----



Start Time: 1435 End Time: 1530  
 Well Construction: 4" PVC Flushmount  
 Depth to Water: 33.17  
 Well Depth: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Water Column: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Total Volume Removed (L): 10.5  
 Dedicated Pump in Well?: No

### Field Testing Equipment

Make	Model	Serial #
YSI	556 MPS	11K100353
LaMotte	2020e	8249-4116
Marschalk Bladder Pump	24"	15179

Time (hh:mm)	Volume Removed (L)	Flow Rate (ml/min)	Depth to Water (ft)	Temp (°C)	pH (STD)	SPC (µS/cm)	DO (mg/L)	ORP (mv)	Turbidity (NTU)	Salinity (ppm)	Color
1450	—	300	33.21	14.79	5.13	160	2.48	91	2.71		clear
1455		300	33.22	14.55	5.08	159	1.65	82.8	1.45		clear
1500		300	33.23	14.54	5.03	160	1.63	84.5	0.63		clear
1505		300	33.22	14.63	5.03	158	1.81	85.2	0.69		clear
1510		300	33.22	14.60	5.02	159	1.61	84.8	1.45		clear
1515		300	33.23	14.57	5.02	158	1.60	84.6	0.98		clear
1520		300	33.23	14.58	5.02	157	1.53	82.6	0.78		clear
1525	10.5	300	33.23	14.68	5.02	158	1.50	82.8	1.21		clear

Acceptance Criteria:      <0.3ft      3%      ±0.1      3%      10%      ± 10mv      10%

2" Screen Volume = 0.163 gal/ft or 616 ml per foot

### Sample Collection

Time	Sample ID	Container	# Bottles	Preservative	Analysis
1530	GM-38-GW-RW1-MW1-0320				
		40 mL CG	3	---	TCL VOCs (624)
		500 mL PL	1	HNO <sub>3</sub>	Hg (245.1)
		250 mL PL	1	---	TSS (SM2540D)

### Comments

\_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_

Erin Seiler

Signature

3/11/2020

Date

# Koman Government Solutions, LLC

## Low Flow/ Low Stress Groundwater Sampling Log

Project: NWIRP Bethpage - GM38  
 Location: Bethpage, NY  
 Well ID: RW1-MW3

Date: 03/12/2020  
 Sampler: Seiler + Hoffmaster  
 PID: -----



Start Time: 1020 End Time: 1110  
 Well Construction: 4" pvc Flushmount  
 Depth to Water: 26.25  
 Well Depth: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Water Column: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Total Volume Removed (L): 12.25  
 Dedicated Pump in Well?: No

### Field Testing Equipment

Make	Model	Serial #
YSI	556 MPS	11K100353
LaMotte	2020e	8249-4116
Marschalk Bladder Pump	24"	15179

Time (hh:mm)	Volume Removed (L)	Flow Rate (ml/min)	Depth to Water (ft)	Temp (°C)	pH (STD)	SPC (µS/cm)	DO (mg/L)	ORP (mv)	Turbidity (NTU)	Salinity (ppm)	Color
1025	—	350	26.26	12.59	5.06	186	7.33	82.3	1.46		clear
1030		350	26.26	12.65	4.93	189	1.66	88.9	4.09		clear
1035		350	26.26	12.70	4.97	187	0.67	85.9	4.52		clear
1040		350	26.26	12.81	4.95	187	0.41	73.3	4.25		clear
1045		350	26.27	12.83	4.96	186	0.32	66.5	4.19		clear
1050		350	26.27	12.84	4.95	186	0.30	62.8	4.02		clear
1055		350	26.27	12.98	4.95	185	0.27	59.8	4.17		clear
1100	12.25	350	26.27	12.92	4.95	185	0.25	58.0	4.31		clear

Acceptance Criteria: <0.3ft 3% ±0.1 3% 10% ±10mv 10%

2" Screen Volume = 0.163 gal/ft or 616 ml per foot

### Sample Collection

Time	Sample ID	Container	# Bottles	Preservative	Analysis
1105	GM-38-GW-RW1-MW3-0320	40 mL CG	3	---	TCL VOCs (624)
		500 mL PL	1	HNO <sub>3</sub>	Hg (245.1)
		250 mL PL	1	---	TSS (SM2540D)

### Comments

\_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_

Esch Seiler

Signature

3/12/2020

Date

# Koman Government Solutions, LLC

Low Flow/ Low Stress Groundwater Sampling Log

Project: NWIRP Bethpage - GM38  
 Location: Bethpage, NY  
 Well ID: RW2-MW1

Date: 03/12/2020  
 Sampler: Seiler + Hoffmaster  
 PID: -----



Start Time: 1135 End Time: 1220  
 Well Construction: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Depth to Water: 36.54  
 Well Depth: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Water Column: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Total Volume Removed (L): 7.0  
 Dedicated Pump in Well?: No

### Field Testing Equipment

Make	Model	Serial #
YSI	556 MPS	11K100353
LaMotte	2020e	8249-4116
Marschalk Bladder Pump	24"	15179

Time (hh:mm)	Volume Removed (L)	Flow Rate (ml/min)	Depth to Water (ft)	Temp (°C)	pH (STD)	SPC (µS/cm)	DO (mg/L)	ORP (mv)	Turbidity (NTU)	Salinity (ppm)	Color
1140	—	200	37.58	12.50	7.17	172	4.02	55.4	11.77		clear
1145		200	37.58	12.37	7.87	172	0.63	21.1	13.69		clear
1150		200	37.58	12.43	7.96	173	0.43	21.9	14.54		clear
1155		200	37.58	12.35	7.88	173	0.33	16.5	13.65		clear
1200		200	37.58	12.27	7.75	173	0.28	16.6	12.76		clear
1205		200	37.58	12.34	7.68	173	0.27	20.9	12.02		clear
1210		200	37.58	12.43	7.61	173	0.22	13.8	11.97		clear
1215	7.0	200	37.58	12.44	7.58	173	0.20	11.9	12.19		clear

Acceptance Criteria: <0.3ft 3% ±0.1 3% 10% ± 10mv 10%

2" Screen Volume = 0.163 gal/ft or 616 ml per foot

### Sample Collection

Time	Sample ID	Container	# Bottles	Preservative	Analysis
1220	GM-38-GW-RW2-MW1-0320				
		40 mL CG	3	---	TCL VOCs (624)
		500 mL PL	1	HNO <sub>3</sub>	Hg (245.1)
		250 mL PL	1	---	TSS (SM2540D)

### Comments

#small bits of iron particles settled at the bottom of the flow through cell

Ech Seiler

Signature

3/12/2020

Date

# Koman Government Solutions, LLC

## Low Flow/ Low Stress Groundwater Sampling Log

Project: NWIRP Bethpage - GM38  
 Location: Bethpage, NY  
 Well ID: RW3-MW1

Date: 03/ 11 /2020  
 Sampler: Seiler + Hoffmaster  
 PID: -----



Start Time: 1310 End Time: 1400  
 Well Construction: 4" pvc Flushmant  
 Depth to Water: 34.85  
 Well Depth: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Water Column: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Total Volume Removed (L): 10.5  
 Dedicated Pump in Well?: No

### Field Testing Equipment

Make	Model	Serial #
YSI	556 MPS	11K100353
LaMotte	2020e	8249-4116
Marschalk Bladder Pump	24"	15179

Time (hh:mm)	Volume Removed (L)	Flow Rate (ml/min)	Depth to Water (ft)	Temp (°C)	pH (STD)	SPC (µS/cm)	DO (mg/L)	ORP (mv)	Turbidity (NTU)	Salinity (ppm)	Color
1315	—	300	34.91	13.94	5.52	189	6.00	124.6	1335	X	clear
1320		300	34.92	13.43	5.07	191	4.74	108.6	10.95		clear
1325		300	34.92	13.37	5.07	194	5.63	110.2	11.84		clear
1330		300	34.92	13.37	5.09	205	5.75	110.5	10.92		clear
1335		300	34.92	13.30	5.08	204	6.02	111.1	9.99		clear
1340		300	34.92	13.38	5.07	203	6.05	112.1	10.94		clear
1345		300	34.92	13.26	5.07	205	6.11	112.4	11.1		clear
1350	10.5	300	34.92	13.34	5.09	205	6.13	112.8	11.1		clear

Acceptance Criteria: <0.3ft 3% ±0.1 3% 10% ± 10mv 10%

2" Screen Volume = 0.163 gal/ft or 616 ml per foot

### Sample Collection

Time	Sample ID	Container	# Bottles	Preservative	Analysis
1355	GM-38-GW-RW3-MW 1-0320				
		40 mL CG	3	---	TCL VOCs (624)
		500 mL PL	1	HNO <sub>3</sub>	Hg (245.1)
		250 mL PL	1	---	TSS (SM2540D)

### Comments

\_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_

[Signature]

Signature

3/11/2020

Date

# Koman Government Solutions, LLC

## Low Flow/ Low Stress Groundwater Sampling Log

Project: NWIRP Bethpage - GM38  
 Location: Bethpage, NY  
 Well ID: RW3-MW2

Date: 03/11/2020  
 Sampler: Seiler + Hoffmaster  
 PID: -----



Start Time: 1200 End Time: 1251  
 Well Construction: 4" PVC flushment  
 Depth to Water: 36.52  
 Well Depth: 36.52  
 Water Column: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Total Volume Removed (L): 12.25  
 Dedicated Pump in Well?: No

### Field Testing Equipment

Make	Model	Serial #
YSI	556 MPS	11K100 353
LaMotte	2020e	8249-4116
Marschalk Bladder Pump	24"	15179

Time (hh:mm)	Volume Removed (L)	Flow Rate (ml/min)	Depth to Water (ft)	Temp (°C)	pH (STD)	SPC (µS/cm)	DO (mg/L)	ORP (mv)	Turbidity (NTU)	Salinity (apm)	Color
1205	—	360	36.97	13.36	5.11	101	3.88	132.0	2.55	X	clear
1210		360	37.05	13.71	5.15	99	1.13	85.3	1.29		clear
1215		350	37.11	13.75	5.12	96	0.61	66.0	1.93		clear
1220		350	37.11	13.77	5.12	94	0.44	58.8	1.72		clear
1225		350	37.11	13.78	5.11	95	0.37	57.6	1.36		clear
1230		350	37.11	13.84	5.11	96	0.31	54.0	0.88		clear
1235		350	37.11	13.86	5.11	97	0.34	53.8	1.45		clear
1240	<u>12.25</u>	350	37.11	13.88	5.11	96	0.36	54.3	1.33		clear

Acceptance Criteria: <0.3ft 3% ±0.1 3% 10% ± 10mv 10%

2" Screen Volume = 0.163 gal/ft or 616 ml per foot

### Sample Collection

Time	Sample ID	Container	# Bottles	Preservative	Analysis
1245	GM-38-GW-RW3-MW2-0320	40 mL CG	3	---	TCL VOCs (624)
		500 mL PL	1	HNO <sub>3</sub>	Hg (245.1)
		250 mL PL	1	---	TSS (SM2540D)

### Comments

\_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_

Paul Seiler

Signature

3/11/2020

Date

# Koman Government Solutions, LLC

## Low Flow/ Low Stress Groundwater Sampling Log

Project: NWIRP Bethpage - GM38  
 Location: Bethpage, NY  
 Well ID: RW3-MW3

Date: 03/11/2020  
 Sampler: Seiler + Hoffmaster  
 PID: -----



Start Time: 0853 End Time: 1010  
 Well Construction: 4" pvc flushmount  
 Depth to Water: 36.25  
 Well Depth: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Water Column: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Total Volume Removed (L): 13.5  
 Dedicated Pump in Well?: No

### Field Testing Equipment

Make	Model	Serial #
YSI	556 MPS	11K100353
LaMotte	2020e	8249-4116
Marschalk Bladder Pump	24"	15179

Time (hh:mm)	Volume Removed (L)	Flow Rate (ml/min)	Depth to Water (ft)	Temp (°C)	pH (STD)	SPC (µS/cm)	DO (mg/L)	ORP (mv)	Turbidity (NTU)	Salinity (ppm)	Color
0900	-	300	36.25	12.56	5.35	142	2.79	124.0	2.50		clear
0905		300	36.25	12.95	5.26	136	1.57	78.9	6.59		clear
0910		300	36.25	13.16	5.24	132	1.72	75.2	10.59		clear
0915		300	36.25	13.18	5.25	130	1.58	75.6	8.66		clear
0920		300	36.25	13.31	5.25	129	1.50	77.1	7.18		clear
0925		300	36.25	13.34	5.26	129	1.49	76.6	8.01		clear
0930		300	36.25	13.35	5.26	129	1.44	76.4	6.67		clear
0935		300	36.25	13.39	5.25	130	1.41	76.8	7.97		clear
0940		300	36.25	13.45	5.25	130	1.41	77.3	7.02		clear
0945	13.5	300	36.25	13.51	5.26	130	1.43	76.9	7.28		clear

Acceptance Criteria: <0.3ft 3% ±0.1 3% 10% ±10mv 10%

2" Screen Volume = 0.163 gal/ft or 616 ml per foot

### Sample Collection

Time	Sample ID	Container	# Bottles	Preservative	Analysis
0950	GM-38-GW-RW3-MW3-0320	40 mL CG	19	---	TCL VOCs (624)
		500 mL PL	13	HNO <sub>3</sub>	Hg (245.1)
		250 mL PL	13	---	TSS (SM2540D)
0955	GM-38-GW-RW3-MW3-DUP-0320				

### Comments

ms/msd collected here

Esch Sil

Signature

3/11/2020

Date

# Koman Government Solutions, LLC

## Low Flow/ Low Stress Groundwater Sampling Log

Project: NWIRP Bethpage - GM38  
 Location: Bethpage, NY  
 Well ID: RW3-MW4

Date: 03/11/2020  
 Sampler: Seiler + Hoffmaster  
 PID: -----



Start Time: 1040 End Time: 1130

Well Construction: 4" PVC, Flushmount

Depth to Water: 37.45

Well Depth: \_\_\_\_\_

Water Column: \_\_\_\_\_

Total Volume Removed (L): 10.5

Dedicated Pump in Well?: No

### Field Testing Equipment

Make	Model	Serial #
YSI	556 MPS	11K140353
LaMotte	2020e	8249-4116
Marschalk Bladder Pump	24"	15179

Time (hh:mm)	Volume Removed (L)	Flow Rate (ml/min)	Depth to Water (ft)	Temp (°C)	pH (STD)	SPC (µS/cm)	DO (mg/L)	ORP (mv)	Turbidity (NTU)	Salinity (ppm)	Color
1045	-	300	37.45	13.81	5.75	185	5.61	145.4	4.46	X	clear
1050		300	37.45	13.85	5.13	172	1.40	89.3	3.11		clear
1055		300	37.46	13.77	5.05	168	0.87	79.0	2.41		clear
1100		300	37.46	13.78	5.06	157	0.64	71.1	3.10		clear
1105		300	37.47	13.79	5.02	167	0.71	75.1	3.21		clear
1110		300	37.47	13.83	5.02	172	0.74	75.6	3.15		clear
1115		300	37.47	13.82	5.03	174	0.76	74.7	3.16		clear
1120	10.5	300	37.47	13.79	5.03	174	0.76	73.7	3.14		

Acceptance Criteria: <0.3ft 3% ±0.1 3% 10% ± 10mv 10%

2" Screen Volume = 0.163 gal/ft or 616 ml per foot

### Sample Collection

Time	Sample ID	Container	# Bottles	Preservative	Analysis
1125	GM-38-GW-RW 3-MW4-0320	40 mL CG	3	---	TCL VOCs (624)
		500 mL PL	1	HNO <sub>3</sub>	Hg (245.1)
		250 mL PL	1	---	TSS (SM2540D)

### Comments

\_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_

Eric Seiler

Signature

3/11/2020

Date

# Koman Government Solutions, LLC

## Low Flow/ Low Stress Groundwater Sampling Log

Project: NWIRP Bethpage - GM38  
 Location: Bethpage, NY  
 Well ID: TP-01

Date: 03/12/2020  
 Sampler: Seiler + Hoffmaster  
 PID: -----



Start Time: 0837 End Time: 0950  
 Well Construction: 4" pre flush mount  
 Depth to Water: 31.56  
 Well Depth: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Water Column: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Total Volume Removed (L): 10.5  
 Dedicated Pump in Well?: No

### Field Testing Equipment

Make	Model	Serial #
YSI	556 MPS	11K100353
LaMotte	2020e	8249-4116
Marschalk Bladder Pump	24"	15179

Time (hh:mm)	Volume Removed (L)	Flow Rate (ml/min)	Depth to Water (ft)	Temp (°C)	pH (STD)	SPC (µS/cm)	DO (mg/L)	ORP (mv)	Turbidity (NTU)	Salinity (ppm)	Color
0903	-	300	31.60	12.54	5.84	312	7.29	134.3	3.73	X	clear
0910		300	31.62	12.77	5.97	291	6.93	89.6	1.47		clear
0915		300	31.63	12.80	6.01	280	6.90	79.7	0.84		clear
0920		300	31.64	12.84	6.01	285	6.90	76.0	0.73		clear
0925		300	31.65	12.86	6.02	285	6.85	74.8	0.76		clear
0930		300	31.65	12.89	6.03	285	6.86	73.9	0.51		clear
0935		300	31.65	12.89	6.03	285	6.85	73.6	0.49		clear
0940	10.5	300	31.65	12.88	6.04	285	6.79	73.4	0.37		clear

Acceptance Criteria: <0.3ft 3% ±0.1 3% 10% ± 10mv 10%

2" Screen Volume = 0.163 gal/ft or 616 ml per foot

### Sample Collection

Time	Sample ID	Container	# Bottles	Preservative	Analysis
0945	<del>GM-38-GW-RW</del> MW-0320	<del>40 mL CG</del>	3	---	TCL VOCs (624)
		500 mL PL	1	HNO <sub>3</sub>	Hg (245.1)
		250 mL PL	1	---	TSS (SM2540D)

### Comments

\_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_

Seiler + Hoffmaster

Signature

3/12/2020

Date



**APPENDIX D**

**DATA VALIDATION REPORTS**

**VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS**  
USEPA Region II –Data Validation

**Project Name:** Naval Weapons Industrial Reserve Plant, GM-38 Area-LTM  
**Location:** 100 Broadway, Bethpage, NY  
**SDG #:** R2002226  
**Client:** KOMAN Government Solutions, LLC  
**Date:** 3/26/2020  
**Laboratory:** ALS Environmental, Middletown, PA  
**Reviewer:** Sherri Pullar

**Summary:**

1. Data validation was performed on the data for nine (9) water samples, two (2) trip blanks and one (1) equipment blank analyzed for Volatiles by EPA Method 624.1.
2. The samples were collected on 3/11-12/2020. The samples were submitted to ALS Environmental, Middletown, PA on 3/13/2020 for analysis.
3. The USEPA Region II SOP HW-34, Revision No.: 3, Trace Volatile Data Validation; USEPA National Functional Guidelines for Organic Data Review, EPA 540/R-2017-002, January 2017; EPA Method 624 and Quality Assurance Project Plan for GM-38 Area, Naval Weapons Industrial Reserve Plant, Bethpage, NY; September 3, 2009 were used in evaluating the Volatiles data in this summary report.
4. In general, the data are valid as reported and may be used for decision making purposes. Selected data points were qualified due to nonconformance of certain Quality Control criteria (See discussion below).

**Samples:**

The samples included in this review are listed below:

<b>Client Sample ID</b>	<b>Laboratory Sample ID</b>	<b>Collection Date</b>	<b>Matrix</b>	<b>Sample Status</b>
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW3-0320	R2002226-001	3/11/2020	Water	
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW3-DUP-0320	R2002226-002	3/11/2020	Water	Field Duplicate of sample GM-38-GW-RW3-MW3-0320
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW4-0320	R2002226-003	3/11/2020	Water	
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW2-0320	R2002226-004	3/11/2020	Water	
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW1-0320	R2002226-005	3/11/2020	Water	
GM-38-GW-RW1-MW1-0320	R2002226-006	3/11/2020	Water	
GM-38-GW-TP-01-0320	R2002226-007	3/12/2020	Water	
GM-38-GW-RW1-MW3-0320	R2002226-008	3/12/2020	Water	
GM-38-GW-RW2-MW1-0320	R2002226-009	3/12/2020	Water	
Trip Blank	R2002226-010	3/12/2020	Water	Trip Blank
GM-38-EB-0320	R2002226-011	3/12/2020	Water	Equipment Blank

**Sample Conditions/Problems:**

1. The Traffic Reports/Chain-of-Custody Records, Sampling Report and/or Laboratory Case Narrative did not indicate any problems with sample receipt, condition of samples, analytical problems or special circumstances affecting the quality of the data. No qualifications were required.

**Holding Times:**

1. All water samples were analyzed within 14 days from sample collection. No qualifications were required.
2. All water samples were properly preserved (pH<2.0). No qualifications were required.



**Surrogates:**

1. All surrogates %REC values for all water samples and associated QC were within the laboratory control limits. No qualifications were required.

**Method Blank (MB), Storage Blank (SB), Trip Blank (TB), Field Blank (FB), Rinsate Blank (RB) and Equipment Blank (EB):**

1. Method Blank (RQ2002531-05) analyzed on 3/16/2020 was free of contamination. No qualifications were required.
2. Equipment Blank (GM-38-EB-0320) (R2002226-011) analyzed on 3/16/2020 was free of contamination. No qualifications were required.
3. Trip Blank (R2002226-010) analyzed on 3/16/2020 was free of contamination. No qualifications were required.

**Laboratory Control Sample (LCS)/ Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate (LCSD):**

1. Laboratory Control Sample (RQ2002531-04) was analyzed on 03/16/2020. All %RECs were within the laboratory control limits. No qualifications were required.

**Field Duplicate:**

1. Sample GM-38-GW-RW3-MW3-DUP-0320 (R2002226-002) was collected as field duplicate for sample GM-38-GW-RW3-MW3-0320 (R2002226-001). All RPDs were ≤50.0%. Results for 1,2-dichloroethane was detected in the field duplicate sample but non-detect in the field sample.

Field Sample	Compound	Analytical Method	Result	Units	Field Duplicate	Result	Units	RPD	Qualifier
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW3-0320	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	EPA 624	0.472	µg/l	GM-38-GW-RW3-MW3-DUP-0320	0.388	µg/l	19.5	NONE
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW3-0320	1,1-Dichloroethane	EPA 624	2.01	µg/l	GM-38-GW-RW3-MW3-DUP-0320	2.06	µg/l	2.5	NONE
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW3-0320	1,1-Dichloroethene	EPA 624	1.13	µg/l	GM-38-GW-RW3-MW3-DUP-0320	1.26	µg/l	10.9	NONE
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW3-0320	1,2-Dichloroethane	EPA 624	ND	µg/l	GM-38-GW-RW3-MW3-DUP-0320	0.223	µg/l	NC	UJ/J
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW3-0320	Chloroform	EPA 624	0.269	µg/l	GM-38-GW-RW3-MW3-DUP-0320	0.324	µg/l	18.5	NONE
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW3-0320	Cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	EPA 624	0.910	µg/l	GM-38-GW-RW3-MW3-DUP-0320	0.983	µg/l	7.7	NONE
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW3-0320	Tetrachloroethene	EPA 624	0.446	µg/l	GM-38-GW-RW3-MW3-DUP-0320	0.471	µg/l	5.5	NONE
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW3-0320	Trichloroethene	EPA 624	178	µg/l	GM-38-GW-RW3-MW3-DUP-0320	183	µg/l	2.8	NONE



**Matrix Spike (MS)/ Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD):**

1. Matrix Spike (MS) and Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD) were performed on sample GM-38-GW-RW3-MW3-0320 (R2002226-001). All RPDs were within the laboratory control limits. %RECs were within laboratory control limits with the exception of trichloroethene (68%).

Result for trichloroethene was qualified as estimated bias low (J-) in Sample GM-38-GW-RW3-MW3-0320 (R2002226-001).

**Compound Quantitation and Reported Contract Required Quantitation Limits (CRQLs):**

1. All results were within the linear calibration range. No qualifications were required.

**Target Compound Identification:**

1. All Relative Retention Times (RRTs) of the reported compounds were within  $\pm 0.06$  RRT units of the standard (opening CCV).
2. Sample compound spectra were compared against the laboratory standard spectra.
3. No QC deviations were observed.

**Comments:**

1. Validation qualifiers (if required) were entered into the EDD for SDG: R2002226.
2. Summary of the qualified data is listed in the Qualification Summary Table for SDG: R2002226 at the end of the data validation report.

**NWIRP BETHPAGE GM-38  
MARCH 2020 EVENT  
QUALIFICATION SUMMARY TABLE  
AQUEOUS  
SDG: R2002226  
VOC**

Sample Name	Lab ID	Analytical Method	Analyte	Unit	Reported Result	Lab Qualifier	Validated Value	DV Qualifier	Reason Code
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW3-0320	R2002226-001	624.1	1,2-Dichloroethane	UG L	1	U	1	UJ	FD
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW3-DUP-0320	R2002226-002	624.1	1,2-Dichloroethane	UG L	0.223	J	0.223	J	FD
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW3-0320	R2002226-001	624.1	Trichloroethene	UG L	178		178	J-	MS/MSD

NOTE: Only results that had qualifications added after validation are listed in this table.

Reason Codes

FD	Qualification due to field duplicate criteria exceedance.
MS/MSD	Qualification due to MS/MSD recovery outside QC criteria.

**MERCURY**  
USEPA Region II – Data Validation

**Project Name:** Naval Weapons Industrial Reserve Plant, GM-38 Area-LTM  
**Location:** 100 Broadway, Bethpage, NY  
**SDG #:** R2002226  
**Client:** KOMAN Government Solutions, LLC  
**Date:** 03/26/2020  
**Laboratory:** ALS Environmental, Middletown, PA  
**Reviewer:** Sherri Pullar

**Summary:**

1. Data validation was performed on the data for nine (9) water samples and one (1) field blank analyzed for Mercury by EPA Method 245.1.
2. The samples were collected on 03/11-12/2020. The samples were submitted to ALS Environmental, Middletown, PA on 03/13/2020 for analysis.
3. The USEPA Region II SOP No. HW-2C, Revision 15, December 2012, Mercury and Cyanide Data Validation; USEPA National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review, EPA 540-R-2017-001, January 2017 and Quality Assurance Project Plan for GM-38 Area, Naval Weapons Industrial Reserve Plant, Bethpage, NY; September 3, 2009 were used in evaluating the Mercury data in this summary report.
4. In general, the data are valid as reported and may be used for decision making purposes. Selected data points were qualified due to nonconformance of certain Quality Control criteria (See discussion below).

**Samples:**

The samples included in this review are listed below:

Client Sample ID	Laboratory Sample ID	Collection Date	Matrix	Sample Status
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW3-0320	R2002226-001	3/11/2020	Water	
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW3-DUP-0320	R2002226-002	3/11/2020	Water	Field Duplicate of sample GM-38-GW-RW3-MW3-0320
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW4-0320	R2002226-003	3/11/2020	Water	
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW2-0320	R2002226-004	3/11/2020	Water	
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW1-0320	R2002226-005	3/11/2020	Water	
GM-38-GW-RW1-MW1-0320	R2002226-006	3/11/2020	Water	
GM-38-GW-TP-01-0320	R2002226-007	3/12/2020	Water	
GM-38-GW-RW1-MW3-0320	R2002226-008	3/12/2020	Water	
GM-38-GW-RW2-MW1-0320	R2002226-009	3/12/2020	Water	
GM-38-EB-0320	R2002226-011	3/12/2020	Water	Equipment Blank

**Sample Conditions/Problems:**

1. The Traffic Reports/Chain-of-Custody Records, Sampling Report and/or Laboratory Case Narrative did not indicate any problems with sample receipt, condition of samples, analytical problems or special circumstances affecting the quality of the data. No qualifications were required.

**Holding Times:**

1. All water samples were digested and analyzed within the 28 days holding times for Mercury. No qualifications were required.

**Blanks (Method Blank, ICB and CCB):**

1. All ICBs and CCBs were free of contamination. No qualifications were required.
2. Method Blank digested on 3/19/2020 was free of contamination. No qualifications were required.





**Field Blank (FB) and Equipment Blank (EB):**

1. Field Blank (GM-38-EB-0320) (R2002226-011) analyzed on 03/19/2020 was free of contamination. No qualifications were required.

**Laboratory Control Sample (LCS)/ Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate (LCSD):**

1. Mercury %REC in Laboratory Control Sample analyzed on 3/19/2020 was within the laboratory control limits. No qualifications were required.

**Field Duplicate:**

1. Sample GM-38-GW-RW3-MW3-DUP-0320 (R2002226-002) was collected as field duplicate for sample GM-38-GW-RW3-MW3-0320 (R2002226-001). Results for mercury were non-detect in the field duplicate pair. No qualifications were required.

**Matrix Spike (MS)/ Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD) and Duplicate/Laboratory Duplicate:**

1. Matrix Spike (MS) and Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD) were performed on sample GM-38-GW-RW3-MW3-0320 (R2002226-001). All %RECs and RPD were within the laboratory control limits. No qualifications were required.

**Compound Quantitation and Reported Detection Limits:**

1. All sample results were reported within the linear calibration range.

**Comments:**

1. Validation qualifiers (if required) were entered into the EDD for SDG: R2002226.
2. Summary of the qualified data is listed in the Qualification Summary Table for SDG: R2002226 at the end of the data validation report.

**NWIRP BETHPAGE GM-38  
MARCH 2020 EVENT  
QUALIFICATION SUMMARY TABLE  
AQUEOUS  
SDG: R2002226  
MERCURY**

<b>Sample Name</b>	<b>Lab ID</b>	<b>Analytical Method</b>	<b>Analyte</b>	<b>Unit</b>	<b>Reported Result</b>	<b>Lab Qualifier</b>	<b>Validated Value</b>	<b>DV Qualifier</b>	<b>Reason Code</b>
No qualifiers were added after data validation.									

NOTE: Only results that had qualifications added after validation are listed in this table.

**GENERAL CHEMISTRY**  
USEPA Region II – Data Validation

**Project Name:** Naval Weapons Industrial Reserve Plant, GM-38 Area-LTM  
**Location:** 100 Broadway, Bethpage, NY  
**SDG #:** R2002226  
**Client:** KOMAN Government Solutions, LLC  
**Date:** 03/26/2020  
**Laboratory:** ALS Environmental, Middletown, PA  
**Reviewer:** Sherri Pullar

**Summary:**

1. Data validation was performed on the data for nine (9) water samples and one (1) equipment blank (EB) sample analyzed for Solids, Total Suspended (TSS) by SM2540D.
2. The samples were collected on 03/11-12/2020. The samples were submitted to ALS Environmental, Middletown, PA on 03/13/2020 for analysis.
3. Quality Assurance Project Plan for GM-38 Area, Naval Weapons Industrial Reserve Plant, Bethpage, NY; September 3, 2009 was used in evaluating the Solids, Total Suspended data in this summary report.
4. In general, the data are valid as reported and may be used for decision making purposes. No data points were qualified due to nonconformance of Quality Control criteria (See discussion below).

**Samples:**

The samples included in this review are listed below:

<b>Client Sample ID</b>	<b>Laboratory Sample ID</b>	<b>Collection Date</b>	<b>Matrix</b>	<b>Sample Status</b>
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW3-0320	R2002226-001	3/11/2020	Water	
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW3-DUP-0320	R2002226-002	3/11/2020	Water	Field Duplicate of sample GM-38-GW-RW3-MW3-0320
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW4-0320	R2002226-003	3/11/2020	Water	
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW2-0320	R2002226-004	3/11/2020	Water	
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW1-0320	R2002226-005	3/11/2020	Water	
GM-38-GW-RW1-MW1-0320	R2002226-006	3/11/2020	Water	
GM-38-GW-TP-01-0320	R2002226-007	3/12/2020	Water	
GM-38-GW-RW1-MW3-0320	R2002226-008	3/12/2020	Water	
GM-38-GW-RW2-MW1-0320	R2002226-009	3/12/2020	Water	
GM-38-EB-0320	R2002226-011	3/12/2020	Water	Equipment Blank

**Sample Conditions/Problems:**

1. The Traffic Reports/Chain-of-Custody Records, Sampling Report and/or Laboratory Case Narrative did not indicate any problems with sample receipt, condition of samples, analytical problems or special circumstances affecting the quality of the data. No qualifications were required.

**Holding Times:**

1. All water samples were analyzed within the 7 days holding times for Solids, Total Suspended. No qualifications were required.

**Method Blank (MB), Storage Blank (SB), Field Blank (FB), Rinsate Blank (RB) and Equipment Blank (EB):**

1. Method Blank (R2002226-MB) analyzed on 03/17/2020 was free of contamination. No qualifications were required.



- Equipment Blank (GM-38-EB1-0919) (R1909403-002) analyzed on 10/1/2019 contained TSS (2.1 mg/L).

Result for TSS in sample GM-38-GW-RW1-MW3-0320 was qualified as non-detect (U).

**Field Duplicate:**

- Sample GM-38-GW-RW3-MW3-DUP-0320 (R2002226-002) was collected as field duplicate for sample GM-38-GW-RW3-MW3-0320 (R2002226-001). RPD was <50.0%.

Field Sample	Compound	Analytical Method	Result	Units	Field Duplicate	Result	Units	RPD	Qualifier
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW3-0320	TSS	2540D	2.3	mg/l	GM-38-GW-RW3-MW3-DUP-0320	2.3	mg/l	0	None

**Laboratory Control Sample (LCS)/ Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate (LCSD):**

- Laboratory Control Sample (R2002226-LCS) was analyzed on 03/17/2020. All %RECs were within the laboratory control limits. No qualifications were required.

**Laboratory Duplicate:**

- Sample Duplicate was performed on sample GM-38-GW-RW3-MW3-0320 (R2002226-001). TSS RPD was within the laboratory control limits. No qualifications were required.

**Compound Quantitation and Reported Detection Limits:**

- All sample results were reported within the linear calibration range.

**Comments:**

- Validation qualifiers (if required) were entered into the EDD for SDG: R2002226.
- Summary of the qualified data is listed in the Qualification Summary Table for SDG: R2002226 at the end of the data validation report.



**NWIRP BETHPAGE GM-38**  
**MARCH 2020 EVENT**  
**QUALIFICATION SUMMARY TABLE**  
**AQUEOUS**  
**SDG: R2002226**  
**GENERAL CHEMISTRY**

Sample Name	Lab ID	Analytical Method	Analyte	Unit	Reported Result	Lab Qualifier	Validated Value	DV Qualifier	Reason Code
GM-38-GW-RW1-MW3-0320	R2002226-008	2540D	Total Suspended (TSS)	MG L	2.0		2.0	U	EB

NOTE: Only results that had qualifications added after validation are listed in this table.

Reason Codes

EB

Qualification due to equipment blank contamination.



**NWIRP BETHPAGE GM-38**  
**MARCH 2020 EVENT**  
**DATA SUMMARY TABLE**  
**AQUEOUS**  
**SDG: R2002226**

Sample Name	Lab ID	Analytical Method	Collection Date	Dilution Factor	Analyte	Result	Unit	Qualifier	LOD	LOQ
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW3-0320	R2002226-001	2540D	3/11/2020	1	Solids, Total Suspended (TSS)	2.3	MG_L			
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW3-0320	R2002226-001	624.1	3/11/2020	1	1,1,1-Trichloroethane (TCA)	0.472	UG_L	J	0.21	1
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW3-0320	R2002226-001	624.1	3/11/2020	1	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	1	UG_L	U	0.2	1
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW3-0320	R2002226-001	624.1	3/11/2020	1	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	1	UG_L	U	0.2	1
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW3-0320	R2002226-001	624.1	3/11/2020	1	1,1-Dichloroethane (1,1-DCA)	2.01	UG_L	J	0.2	1
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW3-0320	R2002226-001	624.1	3/11/2020	1	1,1-Dichloroethene (1,1-DCE)	1.13	UG_L	J	0.25	1
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW3-0320	R2002226-001	624.1	3/11/2020	1	1,2-Dichlorobenzene	1	UG_L	U	0.2	1
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW3-0320	R2002226-001	624.1	3/11/2020	1	1,2-Dichloroethane	1	UG_L	UJ	0.2	1
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW3-0320	R2002226-001	624.1	3/11/2020	1	1,2-Dichloropropane	1	UG_L	U	0.2	1
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW3-0320	R2002226-001	624.1	3/11/2020	1	1,3-Dichlorobenzene	1	UG_L	U	0.2	1
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW3-0320	R2002226-001	624.1	3/11/2020	1	1,4-Dichlorobenzene	1	UG_L	U	0.2	1
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW3-0320	R2002226-001	624.1	3/11/2020	1	Acrylonitrile	100	UG_L	U	0.9	
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW3-0320	R2002226-001	624.1	3/11/2020	1	Benzene	1	UG_L	U	0.2	1
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW3-0320	R2002226-001	624.1	3/11/2020	1	Bromodichloromethane	1	UG_L	U	0.22	1
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW3-0320	R2002226-001	624.1	3/11/2020	1	Bromoform	1	UG_L	U	0.25	1
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW3-0320	R2002226-001	624.1	3/11/2020	1	Bromomethane	1	UG_L	U	0.7	1
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW3-0320	R2002226-001	624.1	3/11/2020	1	Carbon Tetrachloride	1	UG_L	U	0.34	1
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW3-0320	R2002226-001	624.1	3/11/2020	1	Chlorobenzene	1	UG_L	U	0.2	1
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW3-0320	R2002226-001	624.1	3/11/2020	1	Chloroethane	1	UG_L	U	0.23	1
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW3-0320	R2002226-001	624.1	3/11/2020	1	Chloroform	0.269	UG_L	J	0.24	1
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW3-0320	R2002226-001	624.1	3/11/2020	1	Chloromethane	1	UG_L	U	0.28	1
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW3-0320	R2002226-001	624.1	3/11/2020	1	Dibromochloromethane	1	UG_L	U	0.2	1
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW3-0320	R2002226-001	624.1	3/11/2020	1	Dichloromethane (Methylene Chlo	1	UG_L	U	0.36	1
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW3-0320	R2002226-001	624.1	3/11/2020	1	Ethylbenzene	1	UG_L	U	0.2	1
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW3-0320	R2002226-001	624.1	3/11/2020	1	Tetrachloroethene (PCE)	0.446	UG_L	J	0.21	1
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW3-0320	R2002226-001	624.1	3/11/2020	1	Toluene	1	UG_L	U	0.2	1
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW3-0320	R2002226-001	624.1	3/11/2020	1	Trichloroethene (TCE)	178	UG_L	J-	0.2	1
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW3-0320	R2002226-001	624.1	3/11/2020	1	Trichlorofluoromethane (CFC 11)	1	UG_L	U	0.24	1
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW3-0320	R2002226-001	624.1	3/11/2020	1	Vinyl Chloride	1	UG_L	U	0.2	1
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW3-0320	R2002226-001	624.1	3/11/2020	1	cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.91	UG_L	J	0.23	1
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW3-0320	R2002226-001	624.1	3/11/2020	1	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	1	UG_L	U	0.2	1
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW3-0320	R2002226-001	624.1	3/11/2020	1	trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	1	UG_L	U	0.2	1
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW3-0320	R2002226-001	624.1	3/11/2020	1	trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	1	UG_L	U	0.23	1
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW3-0320	R2002226-001	624.1	3/11/2020	1	1,3-Dichloropropene, Total	10	UG_L	U		
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW3-0320	R2002226-001	7470A	3/11/2020	1	Mercury	0.1	UG_L	U	0.077	0.1



**NWIRP BETHPAGE GM-38**  
**MARCH 2020 EVENT**  
**DATA SUMMARY TABLE**  
**AQUEOUS**  
**SDG: R2002226**

Sample Name	Lab ID	Analytical Method	Collection Date	Dilution Factor	Analyte	Result	Unit	Qualifier	LOD	LOQ
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW3-DUP-0320	R2002226-002	2540D	3/11/2020	1	Solids, Total Suspended (TSS)	2.3	MG_L			
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW3-DUP-0320	R2002226-002	624.1	3/11/2020	1	1,1,1-Trichloroethane (TCA)	0.388	UG_L	J	0.21	1
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW3-DUP-0320	R2002226-002	624.1	3/11/2020	1	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	1	UG_L	U	0.2	1
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW3-DUP-0320	R2002226-002	624.1	3/11/2020	1	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	1	UG_L	U	0.2	1
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW3-DUP-0320	R2002226-002	624.1	3/11/2020	1	1,1-Dichloroethane (1,1-DCA)	2.06	UG_L	J	0.2	1
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW3-DUP-0320	R2002226-002	624.1	3/11/2020	1	1,1-Dichloroethene (1,1-DCE)	1.26	UG_L	J	0.25	1
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW3-DUP-0320	R2002226-002	624.1	3/11/2020	1	1,2-Dichlorobenzene	1	UG_L	U	0.2	1
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW3-DUP-0320	R2002226-002	624.1	3/11/2020	1	1,2-Dichloroethane	0.223	UG_L	J	0.2	1
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW3-DUP-0320	R2002226-002	624.1	3/11/2020	1	1,2-Dichloropropane	1	UG_L	U	0.2	1
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW3-DUP-0320	R2002226-002	624.1	3/11/2020	1	1,3-Dichlorobenzene	1	UG_L	U	0.2	1
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW3-DUP-0320	R2002226-002	624.1	3/11/2020	1	1,4-Dichlorobenzene	1	UG_L	U	0.2	1
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW3-DUP-0320	R2002226-002	624.1	3/11/2020	1	Acrylonitrile	100	UG_L	U	0.9	
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW3-DUP-0320	R2002226-002	624.1	3/11/2020	1	Benzene	1	UG_L	U	0.2	1
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW3-DUP-0320	R2002226-002	624.1	3/11/2020	1	Bromodichloromethane	1	UG_L	U	0.22	1
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW3-DUP-0320	R2002226-002	624.1	3/11/2020	1	Bromoform	1	UG_L	U	0.25	1
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW3-DUP-0320	R2002226-002	624.1	3/11/2020	1	Bromomethane	1	UG_L	U	0.7	1
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW3-DUP-0320	R2002226-002	624.1	3/11/2020	1	Carbon Tetrachloride	1	UG_L	U	0.34	1
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW3-DUP-0320	R2002226-002	624.1	3/11/2020	1	Chlorobenzene	1	UG_L	U	0.2	1
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW3-DUP-0320	R2002226-002	624.1	3/11/2020	1	Chloroethane	1	UG_L	U	0.23	1
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW3-DUP-0320	R2002226-002	624.1	3/11/2020	1	Chloroform	0.324	UG_L	J	0.24	1
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW3-DUP-0320	R2002226-002	624.1	3/11/2020	1	Chloromethane	1	UG_L	U	0.28	1
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW3-DUP-0320	R2002226-002	624.1	3/11/2020	1	Dibromochloromethane	1	UG_L	U	0.2	1
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW3-DUP-0320	R2002226-002	624.1	3/11/2020	1	Dichloromethane (Methylene Chlo	1	UG_L	U	0.36	1
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW3-DUP-0320	R2002226-002	624.1	3/11/2020	1	Ethylbenzene	1	UG_L	U	0.2	1
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW3-DUP-0320	R2002226-002	624.1	3/11/2020	1	Tetrachloroethene (PCE)	0.471	UG_L	J	0.21	1
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW3-DUP-0320	R2002226-002	624.1	3/11/2020	1	Toluene	1	UG_L	U	0.2	1
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW3-DUP-0320	R2002226-002	624.1	3/11/2020	1	Trichloroethene (TCE)	183	UG_L		0.2	1
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW3-DUP-0320	R2002226-002	624.1	3/11/2020	1	Trichlorofluoromethane (CFC 11)	1	UG_L	U	0.24	1
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW3-DUP-0320	R2002226-002	624.1	3/11/2020	1	Vinyl Chloride	1	UG_L	U	0.2	1
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW3-DUP-0320	R2002226-002	624.1	3/11/2020	1	cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.983	UG_L	J	0.23	1
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW3-DUP-0320	R2002226-002	624.1	3/11/2020	1	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	1	UG_L	U	0.2	1
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW3-DUP-0320	R2002226-002	624.1	3/11/2020	1	trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	1	UG_L	U	0.2	1
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW3-DUP-0320	R2002226-002	624.1	3/11/2020	1	trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	1	UG_L	U	0.23	1
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW3-DUP-0320	R2002226-002	624.1	3/11/2020	1	1,3-Dichloropropene, Total	10	UG_L	U		
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW3-DUP-0320	R2002226-002	7470A	3/11/2020	1	Mercury	0.1	UG_L	U	0.077	0.1





**NWIRP BETHPAGE GM-38**  
**MARCH 2020 EVENT**  
**DATA SUMMARY TABLE**  
**AQUEOUS**  
**SDG: R2002226**

Sample Name	Lab ID	Analytical Method	Collection Date	Dilution Factor	Analyte	Result	Unit	Qualifier	LOD	LOQ
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW4-0320	R2002226-003	2540D	3/11/2020	1	Solids, Total Suspended (TSS)	2.6	MG_L			
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW4-0320	R2002226-003	624.1	3/11/2020	1	1,1,1-Trichloroethane (TCA)	0.727	UG_L	J	0.21	1
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW4-0320	R2002226-003	624.1	3/11/2020	1	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	1	UG_L	U	0.2	1
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW4-0320	R2002226-003	624.1	3/11/2020	1	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	1	UG_L	U	0.2	1
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW4-0320	R2002226-003	624.1	3/11/2020	1	1,1-Dichloroethane (1,1-DCA)	6.99	UG_L		0.2	1
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW4-0320	R2002226-003	624.1	3/11/2020	1	1,1-Dichloroethene (1,1-DCE)	1.7	UG_L	J	0.25	1
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW4-0320	R2002226-003	624.1	3/11/2020	1	1,2-Dichlorobenzene	1	UG_L	U	0.2	1
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW4-0320	R2002226-003	624.1	3/11/2020	1	1,2-Dichloroethane	1	UG_L	U	0.2	1
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW4-0320	R2002226-003	624.1	3/11/2020	1	1,2-Dichloropropane	1	UG_L	U	0.2	1
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW4-0320	R2002226-003	624.1	3/11/2020	1	1,3-Dichlorobenzene	1	UG_L	U	0.2	1
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW4-0320	R2002226-003	624.1	3/11/2020	1	1,4-Dichlorobenzene	1	UG_L	U	0.2	1
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW4-0320	R2002226-003	624.1	3/11/2020	1	Acrylonitrile	100	UG_L	U	0.9	
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW4-0320	R2002226-003	624.1	3/11/2020	1	Benzene	1	UG_L	U	0.2	1
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW4-0320	R2002226-003	624.1	3/11/2020	1	Bromodichloromethane	1	UG_L	U	0.22	1
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW4-0320	R2002226-003	624.1	3/11/2020	1	Bromoform	1	UG_L	U	0.25	1
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW4-0320	R2002226-003	624.1	3/11/2020	1	Bromomethane	1	UG_L	U	0.7	1
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW4-0320	R2002226-003	624.1	3/11/2020	1	Carbon Tetrachloride	1	UG_L	U	0.34	1
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW4-0320	R2002226-003	624.1	3/11/2020	1	Chlorobenzene	1	UG_L	U	0.2	1
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW4-0320	R2002226-003	624.1	3/11/2020	1	Chloroethane	1	UG_L	U	0.23	1
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW4-0320	R2002226-003	624.1	3/11/2020	1	Chloroform	0.954	UG_L	J	0.24	1
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW4-0320	R2002226-003	624.1	3/11/2020	1	Chloromethane	1	UG_L	U	0.28	1
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW4-0320	R2002226-003	624.1	3/11/2020	1	Dibromochloromethane	1	UG_L	U	0.2	1
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW4-0320	R2002226-003	624.1	3/11/2020	1	Dichloromethane (Methylene Chlo	1	UG_L	U	0.36	1
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW4-0320	R2002226-003	624.1	3/11/2020	1	Ethylbenzene	1	UG_L	U	0.2	1
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW4-0320	R2002226-003	624.1	3/11/2020	1	Tetrachloroethene (PCE)	1	UG_L	U	0.21	1
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW4-0320	R2002226-003	624.1	3/11/2020	1	Toluene	1	UG_L	U	0.2	1
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW4-0320	R2002226-003	624.1	3/11/2020	1	Trichloroethene (TCE)	2.15	UG_L	J	0.2	1
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW4-0320	R2002226-003	624.1	3/11/2020	1	Trichlorofluoromethane (CFC 11)	1	UG_L	U	0.24	1
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW4-0320	R2002226-003	624.1	3/11/2020	1	Vinyl Chloride	1	UG_L	U	0.2	1
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW4-0320	R2002226-003	624.1	3/11/2020	1	cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.465	UG_L	J	0.23	1
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW4-0320	R2002226-003	624.1	3/11/2020	1	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	1	UG_L	U	0.2	1
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW4-0320	R2002226-003	624.1	3/11/2020	1	trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	1	UG_L	U	0.2	1
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW4-0320	R2002226-003	624.1	3/11/2020	1	trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	1	UG_L	U	0.23	1
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW4-0320	R2002226-003	624.1	3/11/2020	1	1,3-Dichloropropene, Total	10	UG_L	U		
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW4-0320	R2002226-003	7470A	3/11/2020	1	Mercury	0.1	UG_L	U	0.077	0.1



**NWIRP BETHPAGE GM-38**  
**MARCH 2020 EVENT**  
**DATA SUMMARY TABLE**  
**AQUEOUS**  
**SDG: R2002226**

Sample Name	Lab ID	Analytical Method	Collection Date	Dilution Factor	Analyte	Result	Unit	Qualifier	LOD	LOQ
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW2-0320	R2002226-004	2540D	3/11/2020	1	Solids, Total Suspended (TSS)	1	MG_L	U		
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW2-0320	R2002226-004	624.1	3/11/2020	1	1,1,1-Trichloroethane (TCA)	1	UG_L	U	0.21	1
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW2-0320	R2002226-004	624.1	3/11/2020	1	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	1	UG_L	U	0.2	1
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW2-0320	R2002226-004	624.1	3/11/2020	1	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	1	UG_L	U	0.2	1
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW2-0320	R2002226-004	624.1	3/11/2020	1	1,1-Dichloroethane (1,1-DCA)	0.203	UG_L	J	0.2	1
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW2-0320	R2002226-004	624.1	3/11/2020	1	1,1-Dichloroethene (1,1-DCE)	1	UG_L	U	0.25	1
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW2-0320	R2002226-004	624.1	3/11/2020	1	1,2-Dichlorobenzene	1	UG_L	U	0.2	1
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW2-0320	R2002226-004	624.1	3/11/2020	1	1,2-Dichloroethane	1	UG_L	U	0.2	1
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW2-0320	R2002226-004	624.1	3/11/2020	1	1,2-Dichloropropane	1	UG_L	U	0.2	1
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW2-0320	R2002226-004	624.1	3/11/2020	1	1,3-Dichlorobenzene	1	UG_L	U	0.2	1
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW2-0320	R2002226-004	624.1	3/11/2020	1	1,4-Dichlorobenzene	1	UG_L	U	0.2	1
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW2-0320	R2002226-004	624.1	3/11/2020	1	Acrylonitrile	100	UG_L	U	0.9	
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW2-0320	R2002226-004	624.1	3/11/2020	1	Benzene	1	UG_L	U	0.2	1
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW2-0320	R2002226-004	624.1	3/11/2020	1	Bromodichloromethane	1	UG_L	U	0.22	1
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW2-0320	R2002226-004	624.1	3/11/2020	1	Bromoform	1	UG_L	U	0.25	1
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW2-0320	R2002226-004	624.1	3/11/2020	1	Bromomethane	1	UG_L	U	0.7	1
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW2-0320	R2002226-004	624.1	3/11/2020	1	Carbon Tetrachloride	1	UG_L	U	0.34	1
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW2-0320	R2002226-004	624.1	3/11/2020	1	Chlorobenzene	1	UG_L	U	0.2	1
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW2-0320	R2002226-004	624.1	3/11/2020	1	Chloroethane	1	UG_L	U	0.23	1
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW2-0320	R2002226-004	624.1	3/11/2020	1	Chloroform	1	UG_L	U	0.24	1
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW2-0320	R2002226-004	624.1	3/11/2020	1	Chloromethane	1	UG_L	U	0.28	1
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW2-0320	R2002226-004	624.1	3/11/2020	1	Dibromochloromethane	1	UG_L	U	0.2	1
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW2-0320	R2002226-004	624.1	3/11/2020	1	Dichloromethane (Methylene Chlo	1	UG_L	U	0.36	1
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW2-0320	R2002226-004	624.1	3/11/2020	1	Ethylbenzene	1	UG_L	U	0.2	1
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW2-0320	R2002226-004	624.1	3/11/2020	1	Tetrachloroethene (PCE)	0.367	UG_L	J	0.21	1
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW2-0320	R2002226-004	624.1	3/11/2020	1	Toluene	1	UG_L	U	0.2	1
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW2-0320	R2002226-004	624.1	3/11/2020	1	Trichloroethene (TCE)	128	UG_L		0.2	1
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW2-0320	R2002226-004	624.1	3/11/2020	1	Trichlorofluoromethane (CFC 11)	1	UG_L	U	0.24	1
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW2-0320	R2002226-004	624.1	3/11/2020	1	Vinyl Chloride	1	UG_L	U	0.2	1
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW2-0320	R2002226-004	624.1	3/11/2020	1	cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	1	UG_L	J	0.23	1
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW2-0320	R2002226-004	624.1	3/11/2020	1	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	1	UG_L	U	0.2	1
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW2-0320	R2002226-004	624.1	3/11/2020	1	trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	1	UG_L	U	0.2	1
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW2-0320	R2002226-004	624.1	3/11/2020	1	trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	1	UG_L	U	0.23	1
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW2-0320	R2002226-004	624.1	3/11/2020	1	1,3-Dichloropropene, Total	10	UG_L	U		
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW2-0320	R2002226-004	7470A	3/11/2020	1	Mercury	0.1	UG_L	U	0.077	0.1



**NWIRP BETHPAGE GM-38**  
**MARCH 2020 EVENT**  
**DATA SUMMARY TABLE**  
**AQUEOUS**  
**SDG: R2002226**

Sample Name	Lab ID	Analytical Method	Collection Date	Dilution Factor	Analyte	Result	Unit	Qualifier	LOD	LOQ
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW1-0320	R2002226-005	2540D	3/11/2020	1	Solids, Total Suspended (TSS)	15.3	MG_L			
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW1-0320	R2002226-005	624.1	3/11/2020	1	1,1,1-Trichloroethane (TCA)	1	UG_L	U	0.21	1
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW1-0320	R2002226-005	624.1	3/11/2020	1	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	1	UG_L	U	0.2	1
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW1-0320	R2002226-005	624.1	3/11/2020	1	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	1	UG_L	U	0.2	1
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW1-0320	R2002226-005	624.1	3/11/2020	1	1,1-Dichloroethane (1,1-DCA)	1	UG_L	U	0.2	1
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW1-0320	R2002226-005	624.1	3/11/2020	1	1,1-Dichloroethene (1,1-DCE)	1	UG_L	U	0.25	1
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW1-0320	R2002226-005	624.1	3/11/2020	1	1,2-Dichlorobenzene	1	UG_L	U	0.2	1
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW1-0320	R2002226-005	624.1	3/11/2020	1	1,2-Dichloroethane	1	UG_L	U	0.2	1
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW1-0320	R2002226-005	624.1	3/11/2020	1	1,2-Dichloropropane	1	UG_L	U	0.2	1
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW1-0320	R2002226-005	624.1	3/11/2020	1	1,3-Dichlorobenzene	1	UG_L	U	0.2	1
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW1-0320	R2002226-005	624.1	3/11/2020	1	1,4-Dichlorobenzene	1	UG_L	U	0.2	1
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW1-0320	R2002226-005	624.1	3/11/2020	1	Acrylonitrile	100	UG_L	U	0.9	
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW1-0320	R2002226-005	624.1	3/11/2020	1	Benzene	1	UG_L	U	0.2	1
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW1-0320	R2002226-005	624.1	3/11/2020	1	Bromodichloromethane	1	UG_L	U	0.22	1
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW1-0320	R2002226-005	624.1	3/11/2020	1	Bromoform	1	UG_L	U	0.25	1
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW1-0320	R2002226-005	624.1	3/11/2020	1	Bromomethane	1	UG_L	U	0.7	1
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW1-0320	R2002226-005	624.1	3/11/2020	1	Carbon Tetrachloride	1	UG_L	U	0.34	1
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW1-0320	R2002226-005	624.1	3/11/2020	1	Chlorobenzene	1	UG_L	U	0.2	1
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW1-0320	R2002226-005	624.1	3/11/2020	1	Chloroethane	1	UG_L	U	0.23	1
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW1-0320	R2002226-005	624.1	3/11/2020	1	Chloroform	1	UG_L	U	0.24	1
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW1-0320	R2002226-005	624.1	3/11/2020	1	Chloromethane	1	UG_L	U	0.28	1
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW1-0320	R2002226-005	624.1	3/11/2020	1	Dibromochloromethane	1	UG_L	U	0.2	1
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW1-0320	R2002226-005	624.1	3/11/2020	1	Dichloromethane (Methylene Chlo	1	UG_L	U	0.36	1
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW1-0320	R2002226-005	624.1	3/11/2020	1	Ethylbenzene	1	UG_L	U	0.2	1
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW1-0320	R2002226-005	624.1	3/11/2020	1	Tetrachloroethene (PCE)	1.73	UG_L	J	0.21	1
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW1-0320	R2002226-005	624.1	3/11/2020	1	Toluene	1	UG_L	U	0.2	1
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW1-0320	R2002226-005	624.1	3/11/2020	1	Trichloroethene (TCE)	18.4	UG_L		0.2	1
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW1-0320	R2002226-005	624.1	3/11/2020	1	Trichlorofluoromethane (CFC 11)	1	UG_L	U	0.24	1
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW1-0320	R2002226-005	624.1	3/11/2020	1	Vinyl Chloride	1	UG_L	U	0.2	1
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW1-0320	R2002226-005	624.1	3/11/2020	1	cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	1	UG_L	U	0.23	1
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW1-0320	R2002226-005	624.1	3/11/2020	1	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	1	UG_L	U	0.2	1
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW1-0320	R2002226-005	624.1	3/11/2020	1	trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	1	UG_L	U	0.2	1
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW1-0320	R2002226-005	624.1	3/11/2020	1	trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	1	UG_L	U	0.23	1
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW1-0320	R2002226-005	624.1	3/11/2020	1	1,3-Dichloropropene, Total	10	UG_L	U		
GM-38-GW-RW3-MW1-0320	R2002226-005	7470A	3/11/2020	1	Mercury	0.1	UG_L	U	0.077	0.1



**NWIRP BETHPAGE GM-38**  
**MARCH 2020 EVENT**  
**DATA SUMMARY TABLE**  
**AQUEOUS**  
**SDG: R2002226**

Sample Name	Lab ID	Analytical Method	Collection Date	Dilution Factor	Analyte	Result	Unit	Qualifier	LOD	LOQ
GM-38-GW-RW1-MW1-0320	R2002226-006	2540D	3/11/2020	1	Solids, Total Suspended (TSS)	1	MG_L	U		
GM-38-GW-RW1-MW1-0320	R2002226-006	624.1	3/11/2020	1	1,1,1-Trichloroethane (TCA)	0.841	UG_L	J	0.21	1
GM-38-GW-RW1-MW1-0320	R2002226-006	624.1	3/11/2020	1	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	1	UG_L	U	0.2	1
GM-38-GW-RW1-MW1-0320	R2002226-006	624.1	3/11/2020	1	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	1	UG_L	U	0.2	1
GM-38-GW-RW1-MW1-0320	R2002226-006	624.1	3/11/2020	1	1,1-Dichloroethane (1,1-DCA)	6.26	UG_L		0.2	1
GM-38-GW-RW1-MW1-0320	R2002226-006	624.1	3/11/2020	1	1,1-Dichloroethene (1,1-DCE)	1.76	UG_L	J	0.25	1
GM-38-GW-RW1-MW1-0320	R2002226-006	624.1	3/11/2020	1	1,2-Dichlorobenzene	1	UG_L	U	0.2	1
GM-38-GW-RW1-MW1-0320	R2002226-006	624.1	3/11/2020	1	1,2-Dichloroethane	1	UG_L	U	0.2	1
GM-38-GW-RW1-MW1-0320	R2002226-006	624.1	3/11/2020	1	1,2-Dichloropropane	1	UG_L	U	0.2	1
GM-38-GW-RW1-MW1-0320	R2002226-006	624.1	3/11/2020	1	1,3-Dichlorobenzene	1	UG_L	U	0.2	1
GM-38-GW-RW1-MW1-0320	R2002226-006	624.1	3/11/2020	1	1,4-Dichlorobenzene	1	UG_L	U	0.2	1
GM-38-GW-RW1-MW1-0320	R2002226-006	624.1	3/11/2020	1	Acrylonitrile	100	UG_L	U	0.9	
GM-38-GW-RW1-MW1-0320	R2002226-006	624.1	3/11/2020	1	Benzene	1	UG_L	U	0.2	1
GM-38-GW-RW1-MW1-0320	R2002226-006	624.1	3/11/2020	1	Bromodichloromethane	1	UG_L	U	0.22	1
GM-38-GW-RW1-MW1-0320	R2002226-006	624.1	3/11/2020	1	Bromoform	1	UG_L	U	0.25	1
GM-38-GW-RW1-MW1-0320	R2002226-006	624.1	3/11/2020	1	Bromomethane	1	UG_L	U	0.7	1
GM-38-GW-RW1-MW1-0320	R2002226-006	624.1	3/11/2020	1	Carbon Tetrachloride	1	UG_L	U	0.34	1
GM-38-GW-RW1-MW1-0320	R2002226-006	624.1	3/11/2020	1	Chlorobenzene	1	UG_L	U	0.2	1
GM-38-GW-RW1-MW1-0320	R2002226-006	624.1	3/11/2020	1	Chloroethane	1	UG_L	U	0.23	1
GM-38-GW-RW1-MW1-0320	R2002226-006	624.1	3/11/2020	1	Chloroform	0.476	UG_L	J	0.24	1
GM-38-GW-RW1-MW1-0320	R2002226-006	624.1	3/11/2020	1	Chloromethane	1	UG_L	U	0.28	1
GM-38-GW-RW1-MW1-0320	R2002226-006	624.1	3/11/2020	1	Dibromochloromethane	1	UG_L	U	0.2	1
GM-38-GW-RW1-MW1-0320	R2002226-006	624.1	3/11/2020	1	Dichloromethane (Methylene Chlo	1	UG_L	U	0.36	1
GM-38-GW-RW1-MW1-0320	R2002226-006	624.1	3/11/2020	1	Ethylbenzene	1	UG_L	U	0.2	1
GM-38-GW-RW1-MW1-0320	R2002226-006	624.1	3/11/2020	1	Tetrachloroethene (PCE)	0.308	UG_L	J	0.21	1
GM-38-GW-RW1-MW1-0320	R2002226-006	624.1	3/11/2020	1	Toluene	1	UG_L	U	0.2	1
GM-38-GW-RW1-MW1-0320	R2002226-006	624.1	3/11/2020	1	Trichloroethene (TCE)	74.1	UG_L		0.2	1
GM-38-GW-RW1-MW1-0320	R2002226-006	624.1	3/11/2020	1	Trichlorofluoromethane (CFC 11)	1	UG_L	U	0.24	1
GM-38-GW-RW1-MW1-0320	R2002226-006	624.1	3/11/2020	1	Vinyl Chloride	1	UG_L	U	0.2	1
GM-38-GW-RW1-MW1-0320	R2002226-006	624.1	3/11/2020	1	cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	3.86	UG_L	J	0.23	1
GM-38-GW-RW1-MW1-0320	R2002226-006	624.1	3/11/2020	1	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	1	UG_L	U	0.2	1
GM-38-GW-RW1-MW1-0320	R2002226-006	624.1	3/11/2020	1	trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	1	UG_L	U	0.2	1
GM-38-GW-RW1-MW1-0320	R2002226-006	624.1	3/11/2020	1	trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	1	UG_L	U	0.23	1
GM-38-GW-RW1-MW1-0320	R2002226-006	624.1	3/11/2020	1	1,3-Dichloropropene, Total	10	UG_L	U		
GM-38-GW-RW1-MW1-0320	R2002226-006	7470A	3/11/2020	1	Mercury	0.1	UG_L	U	0.077	0.1



**NWIRP BETHPAGE GM-38**  
**MARCH 2020 EVENT**  
**DATA SUMMARY TABLE**  
**AQUEOUS**  
**SDG: R2002226**

Sample Name	Lab ID	Analytical Method	Collection Date	Dilution Factor	Analyte	Result	Unit	Qualifier	LOD	LOQ
GM-38-GW-TP01-0320	R2002226-007	2540D	3/12/2020	1	Solids, Total Suspended (TSS)	1	MG_L	U		
GM-38-GW-TP01-0320	R2002226-007	624.1	3/12/2020	1	1,1,1-Trichloroethane (TCA)	1	UG_L	U	0.21	1
GM-38-GW-TP01-0320	R2002226-007	624.1	3/12/2020	1	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	1	UG_L	U	0.2	1
GM-38-GW-TP01-0320	R2002226-007	624.1	3/12/2020	1	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	1	UG_L	U	0.2	1
GM-38-GW-TP01-0320	R2002226-007	624.1	3/12/2020	1	1,1-Dichloroethane (1,1-DCA)	0.335	UG_L	J	0.2	1
GM-38-GW-TP01-0320	R2002226-007	624.1	3/12/2020	1	1,1-Dichloroethene (1,1-DCE)	1	UG_L	U	0.25	1
GM-38-GW-TP01-0320	R2002226-007	624.1	3/12/2020	1	1,2-Dichlorobenzene	1	UG_L	U	0.2	1
GM-38-GW-TP01-0320	R2002226-007	624.1	3/12/2020	1	1,2-Dichloroethane	1	UG_L	U	0.2	1
GM-38-GW-TP01-0320	R2002226-007	624.1	3/12/2020	1	1,2-Dichloropropane	1	UG_L	U	0.2	1
GM-38-GW-TP01-0320	R2002226-007	624.1	3/12/2020	1	1,3-Dichlorobenzene	1	UG_L	U	0.2	1
GM-38-GW-TP01-0320	R2002226-007	624.1	3/12/2020	1	1,4-Dichlorobenzene	1	UG_L	U	0.2	1
GM-38-GW-TP01-0320	R2002226-007	624.1	3/12/2020	1	Acrylonitrile	100	UG_L	U	0.9	
GM-38-GW-TP01-0320	R2002226-007	624.1	3/12/2020	1	Benzene	1	UG_L	U	0.2	1
GM-38-GW-TP01-0320	R2002226-007	624.1	3/12/2020	1	Bromodichloromethane	1	UG_L	U	0.22	1
GM-38-GW-TP01-0320	R2002226-007	624.1	3/12/2020	1	Bromoform	1	UG_L	U	0.25	1
GM-38-GW-TP01-0320	R2002226-007	624.1	3/12/2020	1	Bromomethane	1	UG_L	U	0.7	1
GM-38-GW-TP01-0320	R2002226-007	624.1	3/12/2020	1	Carbon Tetrachloride	1	UG_L	U	0.34	1
GM-38-GW-TP01-0320	R2002226-007	624.1	3/12/2020	1	Chlorobenzene	1	UG_L	U	0.2	1
GM-38-GW-TP01-0320	R2002226-007	624.1	3/12/2020	1	Chloroethane	1	UG_L	U	0.23	1
GM-38-GW-TP01-0320	R2002226-007	624.1	3/12/2020	1	Chloroform	1	UG_L	U	0.24	1
GM-38-GW-TP01-0320	R2002226-007	624.1	3/12/2020	1	Chloromethane	1	UG_L	U	0.28	1
GM-38-GW-TP01-0320	R2002226-007	624.1	3/12/2020	1	Dibromochloromethane	1	UG_L	U	0.2	1
GM-38-GW-TP01-0320	R2002226-007	624.1	3/12/2020	1	Dichloromethane (Methylene Chlo	1	UG_L	U	0.36	1
GM-38-GW-TP01-0320	R2002226-007	624.1	3/12/2020	1	Ethylbenzene	1	UG_L	U	0.2	1
GM-38-GW-TP01-0320	R2002226-007	624.1	3/12/2020	1	Tetrachloroethene (PCE)	1	UG_L	U	0.21	1
GM-38-GW-TP01-0320	R2002226-007	624.1	3/12/2020	1	Toluene	1	UG_L	U	0.2	1
GM-38-GW-TP01-0320	R2002226-007	624.1	3/12/2020	1	Trichloroethene (TCE)	12.7	UG_L		0.2	1
GM-38-GW-TP01-0320	R2002226-007	624.1	3/12/2020	1	Trichlorofluoromethane (CFC 11)	1	UG_L	U	0.24	1
GM-38-GW-TP01-0320	R2002226-007	624.1	3/12/2020	1	Vinyl Chloride	1	UG_L	U	0.2	1
GM-38-GW-TP01-0320	R2002226-007	624.1	3/12/2020	1	cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	3.01	UG_L	J	0.23	1
GM-38-GW-TP01-0320	R2002226-007	624.1	3/12/2020	1	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	1	UG_L	U	0.2	1
GM-38-GW-TP01-0320	R2002226-007	624.1	3/12/2020	1	trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	1	UG_L	U	0.2	1
GM-38-GW-TP01-0320	R2002226-007	624.1	3/12/2020	1	trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	1	UG_L	U	0.23	1
GM-38-GW-TP01-0320	R2002226-007	624.1	3/12/2020	1	1,3-Dichloropropene, Total	10	UG_L	U		
GM-38-GW-TP01-0320	R2002226-007	7470A	3/12/2020	1	Mercury	0.1	UG_L	U	0.077	0.1



**NWIRP BETHPAGE GM-38  
MARCH 2020 EVENT  
DATA SUMMARY TABLE  
AQUEOUS  
SDG: R2002226**

Sample Name	Lab ID	Analytical Method	Collection Date	Dilution Factor	Analyte	Result	Unit	Qualifier	LOD	LOQ
GM-38-GW-RW1-MW3-0320	R2002226-008	2540D	3/12/2020	1	Solids, Total Suspended (TSS)	2	MG_L	U		
GM-38-GW-RW1-MW3-0320	R2002226-008	624.1	3/12/2020	1	1,1,1-Trichloroethane (TCA)	0.754	UG_L	J	0.21	1
GM-38-GW-RW1-MW3-0320	R2002226-008	624.1	3/12/2020	1	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	1	UG_L	U	0.2	1
GM-38-GW-RW1-MW3-0320	R2002226-008	624.1	3/12/2020	1	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	0.312	UG_L	J	0.2	1
GM-38-GW-RW1-MW3-0320	R2002226-008	624.1	3/12/2020	1	1,1-Dichloroethane (1,1-DCA)	4.03	UG_L	J	0.2	1
GM-38-GW-RW1-MW3-0320	R2002226-008	624.1	3/12/2020	1	1,1-Dichloroethene (1,1-DCE)	1.1	UG_L	J	0.25	1
GM-38-GW-RW1-MW3-0320	R2002226-008	624.1	3/12/2020	1	1,2-Dichlorobenzene	1	UG_L	U	0.2	1
GM-38-GW-RW1-MW3-0320	R2002226-008	624.1	3/12/2020	1	1,2-Dichloroethane	1	UG_L	U	0.2	1
GM-38-GW-RW1-MW3-0320	R2002226-008	624.1	3/12/2020	1	1,2-Dichloropropane	1	UG_L	U	0.2	1
GM-38-GW-RW1-MW3-0320	R2002226-008	624.1	3/12/2020	1	1,3-Dichlorobenzene	1	UG_L	U	0.2	1
GM-38-GW-RW1-MW3-0320	R2002226-008	624.1	3/12/2020	1	1,4-Dichlorobenzene	1	UG_L	U	0.2	1
GM-38-GW-RW1-MW3-0320	R2002226-008	624.1	3/12/2020	1	Acrylonitrile	100	UG_L	U	0.9	
GM-38-GW-RW1-MW3-0320	R2002226-008	624.1	3/12/2020	1	Benzene	1	UG_L	U	0.2	1
GM-38-GW-RW1-MW3-0320	R2002226-008	624.1	3/12/2020	1	Bromodichloromethane	1	UG_L	U	0.22	1
GM-38-GW-RW1-MW3-0320	R2002226-008	624.1	3/12/2020	1	Bromoform	1	UG_L	U	0.25	1
GM-38-GW-RW1-MW3-0320	R2002226-008	624.1	3/12/2020	1	Bromomethane	1	UG_L	U	0.7	1
GM-38-GW-RW1-MW3-0320	R2002226-008	624.1	3/12/2020	1	Carbon Tetrachloride	1	UG_L	U	0.34	1
GM-38-GW-RW1-MW3-0320	R2002226-008	624.1	3/12/2020	1	Chlorobenzene	1	UG_L	U	0.2	1
GM-38-GW-RW1-MW3-0320	R2002226-008	624.1	3/12/2020	1	Chloroethane	1	UG_L	U	0.23	1
GM-38-GW-RW1-MW3-0320	R2002226-008	624.1	3/12/2020	1	Chloroform	0.631	UG_L	J	0.24	1
GM-38-GW-RW1-MW3-0320	R2002226-008	624.1	3/12/2020	1	Chloromethane	1	UG_L	U	0.28	1
GM-38-GW-RW1-MW3-0320	R2002226-008	624.1	3/12/2020	1	Dibromochloromethane	1	UG_L	U	0.2	1
GM-38-GW-RW1-MW3-0320	R2002226-008	624.1	3/12/2020	1	Dichloromethane (Methylene Chlo	1	UG_L	U	0.36	1
GM-38-GW-RW1-MW3-0320	R2002226-008	624.1	3/12/2020	1	Ethylbenzene	1	UG_L	U	0.2	1
GM-38-GW-RW1-MW3-0320	R2002226-008	624.1	3/12/2020	1	Tetrachloroethene (PCE)	0.295	UG_L	J	0.21	1
GM-38-GW-RW1-MW3-0320	R2002226-008	624.1	3/12/2020	1	Toluene	1	UG_L	U	0.2	1
GM-38-GW-RW1-MW3-0320	R2002226-008	624.1	3/12/2020	1	Trichloroethene (TCE)	3.21	UG_L	J	0.2	1
GM-38-GW-RW1-MW3-0320	R2002226-008	624.1	3/12/2020	1	Trichlorofluoromethane (CFC 11)	1	UG_L	U	0.24	1
GM-38-GW-RW1-MW3-0320	R2002226-008	624.1	3/12/2020	1	Vinyl Chloride	1	UG_L	U	0.2	1
GM-38-GW-RW1-MW3-0320	R2002226-008	624.1	3/12/2020	1	cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.39	UG_L	J	0.23	1
GM-38-GW-RW1-MW3-0320	R2002226-008	624.1	3/12/2020	1	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	1	UG_L	U	0.2	1
GM-38-GW-RW1-MW3-0320	R2002226-008	624.1	3/12/2020	1	trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	1	UG_L	U	0.2	1
GM-38-GW-RW1-MW3-0320	R2002226-008	624.1	3/12/2020	1	trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	1	UG_L	U	0.23	1
GM-38-GW-RW1-MW3-0320	R2002226-008	624.1	3/12/2020	1	1,3-Dichloropropene, Total	10	UG_L	U		
GM-38-GW-RW1-MW3-0320	R2002226-008	7470A	3/12/2020	1	Mercury	0.1	UG_L	U	0.077	0.1



**NWIRP BETHPAGE GM-38**  
**MARCH 2020 EVENT**  
**DATA SUMMARY TABLE**  
**AQUEOUS**  
**SDG: R2002226**

Sample Name	Lab ID	Analytical Method	Collection Date	Dilution Factor	Analyte	Result	Unit	Qualifier	LOD	LOQ
GM-38-GW-RW2-MW1-0320	R2002226-009	2540D	3/12/2020	1	Solids, Total Suspended (TSS)	36.2	MG_L			
GM-38-GW-RW2-MW1-0320	R2002226-009	624.1	3/12/2020	1	1,1,1-Trichloroethane (TCA)	1	UG_L	U	0.21	1
GM-38-GW-RW2-MW1-0320	R2002226-009	624.1	3/12/2020	1	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	1	UG_L	U	0.2	1
GM-38-GW-RW2-MW1-0320	R2002226-009	624.1	3/12/2020	1	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	1	UG_L	U	0.2	1
GM-38-GW-RW2-MW1-0320	R2002226-009	624.1	3/12/2020	1	1,1-Dichloroethane (1,1-DCA)	2.18	UG_L	J	0.2	1
GM-38-GW-RW2-MW1-0320	R2002226-009	624.1	3/12/2020	1	1,1-Dichloroethene (1,1-DCE)	0.269	UG_L	J	0.25	1
GM-38-GW-RW2-MW1-0320	R2002226-009	624.1	3/12/2020	1	1,2-Dichlorobenzene	1	UG_L	U	0.2	1
GM-38-GW-RW2-MW1-0320	R2002226-009	624.1	3/12/2020	1	1,2-Dichloroethane	0.26	UG_L	J	0.2	1
GM-38-GW-RW2-MW1-0320	R2002226-009	624.1	3/12/2020	1	1,2-Dichloropropane	1	UG_L	U	0.2	1
GM-38-GW-RW2-MW1-0320	R2002226-009	624.1	3/12/2020	1	1,3-Dichlorobenzene	1	UG_L	U	0.2	1
GM-38-GW-RW2-MW1-0320	R2002226-009	624.1	3/12/2020	1	1,4-Dichlorobenzene	1	UG_L	U	0.2	1
GM-38-GW-RW2-MW1-0320	R2002226-009	624.1	3/12/2020	1	Acrylonitrile	100	UG_L	U	0.9	
GM-38-GW-RW2-MW1-0320	R2002226-009	624.1	3/12/2020	1	Benzene	0.233	UG_L	J	0.2	1
GM-38-GW-RW2-MW1-0320	R2002226-009	624.1	3/12/2020	1	Bromodichloromethane	1	UG_L	U	0.22	1
GM-38-GW-RW2-MW1-0320	R2002226-009	624.1	3/12/2020	1	Bromoform	1	UG_L	U	0.25	1
GM-38-GW-RW2-MW1-0320	R2002226-009	624.1	3/12/2020	1	Bromomethane	1	UG_L	U	0.7	1
GM-38-GW-RW2-MW1-0320	R2002226-009	624.1	3/12/2020	1	Carbon Tetrachloride	1	UG_L	U	0.34	1
GM-38-GW-RW2-MW1-0320	R2002226-009	624.1	3/12/2020	1	Chlorobenzene	1	UG_L	U	0.2	1
GM-38-GW-RW2-MW1-0320	R2002226-009	624.1	3/12/2020	1	Chloroethane	1	UG_L	U	0.23	1
GM-38-GW-RW2-MW1-0320	R2002226-009	624.1	3/12/2020	1	Chloroform	1	UG_L	U	0.24	1
GM-38-GW-RW2-MW1-0320	R2002226-009	624.1	3/12/2020	1	Chloromethane	1	UG_L	U	0.28	1
GM-38-GW-RW2-MW1-0320	R2002226-009	624.1	3/12/2020	1	Dibromochloromethane	1	UG_L	U	0.2	1
GM-38-GW-RW2-MW1-0320	R2002226-009	624.1	3/12/2020	1	Dichloromethane (Methylene Chlo	1	UG_L	U	0.36	1
GM-38-GW-RW2-MW1-0320	R2002226-009	624.1	3/12/2020	1	Ethylbenzene	1	UG_L	U	0.2	1
GM-38-GW-RW2-MW1-0320	R2002226-009	624.1	3/12/2020	1	Tetrachloroethene (PCE)	1	UG_L	U	0.21	1
GM-38-GW-RW2-MW1-0320	R2002226-009	624.1	3/12/2020	1	Toluene	1	UG_L	U	0.2	1
GM-38-GW-RW2-MW1-0320	R2002226-009	624.1	3/12/2020	1	Trichloroethene (TCE)	1.49	UG_L	J	0.2	1
GM-38-GW-RW2-MW1-0320	R2002226-009	624.1	3/12/2020	1	Trichlorofluoromethane (CFC 11)	1	UG_L	U	0.24	1
GM-38-GW-RW2-MW1-0320	R2002226-009	624.1	3/12/2020	1	Vinyl Chloride	1	UG_L	U	0.2	1
GM-38-GW-RW2-MW1-0320	R2002226-009	624.1	3/12/2020	1	cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.66	UG_L	J	0.23	1
GM-38-GW-RW2-MW1-0320	R2002226-009	624.1	3/12/2020	1	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	1	UG_L	U	0.2	1
GM-38-GW-RW2-MW1-0320	R2002226-009	624.1	3/12/2020	1	trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	1	UG_L	U	0.2	1
GM-38-GW-RW2-MW1-0320	R2002226-009	624.1	3/12/2020	1	trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	1	UG_L	U	0.23	1
GM-38-GW-RW2-MW1-0320	R2002226-009	624.1	3/12/2020	1	1,3-Dichloropropene, Total	10	UG_L	U		
GM-38-GW-RW2-MW1-0320	R2002226-009	7470A	3/12/2020	1	Mercury	0.1	UG_L	U	0.077	0.1



**NWIRP BETHPAGE GM-38**  
**MARCH 2020 EVENT**  
**DATA SUMMARY TABLE**  
**AQUEOUS**  
**SDG: R2002226**

Sample Name	Lab ID	Analytical Method	Collection Date	Dilution Factor	Analyte	Result	Unit	Qualifier	LOD	LOQ
GM-38-EB-0320	R2002226-011	2540D	3/12/2020	1	Solids, Total Suspended (TSS)	2.1	MG_L			
GM-38-EB-0320	R2002226-011	624.1	3/12/2020	1	1,1,1-Trichloroethane (TCA)	1	UG_L	U	0.21	1
GM-38-EB-0320	R2002226-011	624.1	3/12/2020	1	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	1	UG_L	U	0.2	1
GM-38-EB-0320	R2002226-011	624.1	3/12/2020	1	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	1	UG_L	U	0.2	1
GM-38-EB-0320	R2002226-011	624.1	3/12/2020	1	1,1-Dichloroethane (1,1-DCA)	1	UG_L	U	0.2	1
GM-38-EB-0320	R2002226-011	624.1	3/12/2020	1	1,1-Dichloroethene (1,1-DCE)	1	UG_L	U	0.25	1
GM-38-EB-0320	R2002226-011	624.1	3/12/2020	1	1,2-Dichlorobenzene	1	UG_L	U	0.2	1
GM-38-EB-0320	R2002226-011	624.1	3/12/2020	1	1,2-Dichloroethane	1	UG_L	U	0.2	1
GM-38-EB-0320	R2002226-011	624.1	3/12/2020	1	1,2-Dichloropropane	1	UG_L	U	0.2	1
GM-38-EB-0320	R2002226-011	624.1	3/12/2020	1	1,3-Dichlorobenzene	1	UG_L	U	0.2	1
GM-38-EB-0320	R2002226-011	624.1	3/12/2020	1	1,4-Dichlorobenzene	1	UG_L	U	0.2	1
GM-38-EB-0320	R2002226-011	624.1	3/12/2020	1	Acrylonitrile	100	UG_L	U	0.9	
GM-38-EB-0320	R2002226-011	624.1	3/12/2020	1	Benzene	1	UG_L	U	0.2	1
GM-38-EB-0320	R2002226-011	624.1	3/12/2020	1	Bromodichloromethane	1	UG_L	U	0.22	1
GM-38-EB-0320	R2002226-011	624.1	3/12/2020	1	Bromoform	1	UG_L	U	0.25	1
GM-38-EB-0320	R2002226-011	624.1	3/12/2020	1	Bromomethane	1	UG_L	U	0.7	1
GM-38-EB-0320	R2002226-011	624.1	3/12/2020	1	Carbon Tetrachloride	1	UG_L	U	0.34	1
GM-38-EB-0320	R2002226-011	624.1	3/12/2020	1	Chlorobenzene	1	UG_L	U	0.2	1
GM-38-EB-0320	R2002226-011	624.1	3/12/2020	1	Chloroethane	1	UG_L	U	0.23	1
GM-38-EB-0320	R2002226-011	624.1	3/12/2020	1	Chloroform	1	UG_L	U	0.24	1
GM-38-EB-0320	R2002226-011	624.1	3/12/2020	1	Chloromethane	1	UG_L	U	0.28	1
GM-38-EB-0320	R2002226-011	624.1	3/12/2020	1	Dibromochloromethane	1	UG_L	U	0.2	1
GM-38-EB-0320	R2002226-011	624.1	3/12/2020	1	Dichloromethane (Methylene Chlo	1	UG_L	U	0.36	1
GM-38-EB-0320	R2002226-011	624.1	3/12/2020	1	Ethylbenzene	1	UG_L	U	0.2	1
GM-38-EB-0320	R2002226-011	624.1	3/12/2020	1	Tetrachloroethene (PCE)	1	UG_L	U	0.21	1
GM-38-EB-0320	R2002226-011	624.1	3/12/2020	1	Toluene	1	UG_L	U	0.2	1
GM-38-EB-0320	R2002226-011	624.1	3/12/2020	1	Trichloroethene (TCE)	1	UG_L	U	0.2	1
GM-38-EB-0320	R2002226-011	624.1	3/12/2020	1	Trichlorofluoromethane (CFC 11)	1	UG_L	U	0.24	1
GM-38-EB-0320	R2002226-011	624.1	3/12/2020	1	Vinyl Chloride	1	UG_L	U	0.2	1
GM-38-EB-0320	R2002226-011	624.1	3/12/2020	1	cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	1	UG_L	U	0.23	1
GM-38-EB-0320	R2002226-011	624.1	3/12/2020	1	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	1	UG_L	U	0.2	1
GM-38-EB-0320	R2002226-011	624.1	3/12/2020	1	trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	1	UG_L	U	0.2	1
GM-38-EB-0320	R2002226-011	624.1	3/12/2020	1	trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	1	UG_L	U	0.23	1
GM-38-EB-0320	R2002226-011	624.1	3/12/2020	1	1,3-Dichloropropene, Total	10	UG_L	U		
GM-38-EB-0320	R2002226-011	7470A	3/12/2020	1	Mercury	0.1	UG_L	U	0.077	0.1