14 April 2021

Mr. Scott Sokolowski
Remedial Project Manager
Naval Facilities Engineering Command, Mid Atlantic
9324 Virginia Avenue, Building Z-144
Norfolk, VA 23511-3095

Subject: **US NAVY CONTRACT NO. N40085-16-D-2288**
CONTRACT TASK ORDER NO. 0005
2020 ANNUAL OPERATIONS REPORT
GWTP GM-38 AREA REMEDIATION
NAVAL WEAPONS INDUSTRIAL RESERVE PLANT, BETHPAGE, NY

Dear Mr. Sokolowski:

An electronic copy of the *2020 Annual Operations Report, Groundwater Treatment Plant, GM-38 Area Groundwater Remediation, Naval Weapons Industrial Reserve Plant, Bethpage, New York,* has been submitted to your attention via email.

Please contact me at rgregory@komangs.com or 610.400.0636 if you have any questions or comments regarding this submittal.

Sincerely,
KOMAN Government Solutions, LLC (KGS)

Robert G. Gregory
Project Manager

Cc: Mr. Jason Pelton (NYSDEC) – electronic only
Mr. Steven Scharf (NYSDEC) – electronic only
Mr. William Cords (NAVAIR) – electronic only
Mr. James Sullivan (NYSDOH) – 1 hard copy, 1 CD
Ms. Monica Marrow (CH2M Hill - NIRIS) – 1 hard copy, 1 CD
Mr. Vin Varricchio (NWIRP Bethpage) – 2 hard copies, 2 CDs
Mr. Ed Hannon (Northrop Grumman) – 1 CD
Mr. David Stern (ARCADIS) – 1 CD
Mr. David Brayack (Tetra Tech) – electronic only

KOMAN Government Solutions, LLC
180 Gordon Drive, Suite 110
Exton, Pennsylvania 19341
Phone (610) 363-3000
Fax (610) 363-3001
www.komangs.com

2020 Annual Operations Report

Groundwater Treatment Plant
GM-38 Area Groundwater Remediation
Naval Weapons Industrial Reserve Plant
Bethpage, New York

Contract No. N40085-16-D-2288
Contract Task Order No. 0005

April 2021

Prepared for:

Naval Facilities Engineering Systems Command Mid-Atlantic
9324 Virginia Avenue
Norfolk, VA 23511

Prepared by:

KOMAN Government Solutions, LLC
180 Gordon Drive, Suite 110
Exton, Pennsylvania 19341
(610) 363-3000

2020 Annual Operations Report

Groundwater Treatment Plant
GM-38 Area Groundwater Remediation
Naval Weapons Industrial Reserve Plant
Bethpage, New York

Contract No. N40085-16-D-2288
Contract Task Order No. 0005

April 2021

Prepared for:

Naval Facilities Engineering Systems Command Mid-Atlantic
9324 Virginia Avenue
Norfolk, VA 23511

4/13/2021

Patrick Schauble
Program Manager

Date



4/13/2021

Robert Gregory
Project Manager

Date

TABLE OF CONTENTS

FIGURES

TABLES

APPENDICES

Acronyms and Abbreviations

ARAR	Applicable or Relevant and Appropriate Requirement
AS	air stripper
ASE	air stripper effluent
BFE	bag filter effluent
bgs	below ground surface
CERCLA	Comprehensive Environmental Response Compensation and Liability Act
DAR	Division of Air Resources
DCA	dichloroethane
DCE	dichloroethene
DMR	Discharge Monitoring Report
DO	dissolved oxygen
DTW	depth to water
ECL	Environmental Conservation Law
EQ	equalization
GOCO	Government Owned Contractor Operated
gpm	gallon per minute
GWTP	groundwater treatment plant
KGS	KOMAN Government Solutions, LLC
HMI	human-machine interface
IRP	Installation Restoration Program
L	liter
lb	pound
LGAC	liquid-phase granular activated carbon
NAVFAC	Naval Facilities Engineering Systems Command Mid-Atlantic
Navy	United States Department of the Navy
NELAC	National Environmental Accreditation Conference
NG	Northrop Grumman
NWIRP	Naval Weapons Industrial Reserve Plant
NYSDEC	New York State Department of Environmental Conservation
O&M	Operation and Maintenance
OU	operable unit
%	percent
PCE	tetrachloroethene

PLC	programmable logic controller
ROD	Record of Decision
scfm	standard cubic feet per minute
SPDES	State Pollution Discharge Elimination System
TCE	trichloroethene
TE	treated effluent
Tetra Tech	Tetra Tech, Inc.
TtEC	Tetra Tech EC, Inc.
USEPA	United States Environmental Protection Agency
VC	vinyl chloride
VGAC	vapor–phase granular activated carbon
VOC	volatile organic compound

1.0 INTRODUCTION

KOMAN Government Solutions, LLC (KGS) has prepared this Annual Operations Report for the GM-38 Area Groundwater Treatment Plant (GWTP) at the Naval Weapons Industrial Reserve Plant (NWIRP) in Bethpage, New York. This report has been prepared for the United States Department of the Navy (Navy), Naval Facilities Engineering Systems Command (NAVFAC), Mid-Atlantic, under Contract No. N40085-16-D-2288, Contract Task Order No. 0005. This 2020 Annual Operations Report summarizes activities that occurred during 2020, and also further details activities that occurred during the Fourth Quarter 2020 (October 2020 through December 2020). Data were collected and operational activities were performed by KGS in accordance with the following documents:

- *Final Operation, Maintenance & Monitoring Plan for Groundwater Treatment Plant GM-38 Area Groundwater Remediation, Naval Weapons Industrial Reserve Plant, Bethpage, New York* prepared by Tetra Tech EC, Inc. (TtEC) in 2010, hereafter referred to as the "O&M Manual."
- *Final Sampling and Analysis Plan (Field Sampling Plan and Quality Assurance Project Plan), UFP-SAP for Operations, Maintenance, and Monitoring of the Groundwater Treatment Plant, GM-38 Area, Naval Weapons Industrial Reserve Plant, Bethpage, New York* prepared by TtEC in 2010.

The following quarterly reports, along with data collected during the Fourth Quarter (October through December), are used as a basis for this 2020 Annual Operations Report:

- *Quarterly Operations Report, First Quarter 2020, Groundwater Treatment Plant, GM-38 Area Groundwater Remediation, Naval Weapons Industrial Reserve Plant, Bethpage, New York* prepared by KGS in June 2020.
- *Quarterly Operations Report, Second Quarter 2020, Groundwater Treatment Plant, GM-38 Area Groundwater Remediation, Naval Weapons Industrial Reserve Plant, Bethpage, New York* prepared by KGS in August 2020.
- *Quarterly Operations Report, Third Quarter 2020, Groundwater Treatment Plant, GM-38 Area Groundwater Remediation, Naval Weapons Industrial Reserve Plant, Bethpage, New York* prepared by KGS in January 2021.

1.1 Background

NWIRP Bethpage is located in east central Nassau County, Long Island, New York, approximately 30 miles east of New York City (**Figure 1**) and is currently listed by New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (NYSDEC) as an "inactive hazardous waste site" (#1-30-003B). In the late 1990s, the Navy's property totaled approximately 109.5 acres and was a Government Owned Contractor Operated (GOCO) facility that was operated by Northrop Grumman (NG) until September 1998. NWIRP Bethpage was bordered on the north, west, and south by property owned, or formerly owned, by NG that covered approximately 550 acres, and on the east by a residential neighborhood.

The GM-38 Area refers to a cluster of monitoring wells installed in the 1990s by NG. The GM-38 Area

is approximately 8,500 feet south, southeast and hydraulically downgradient of NWIRP Bethpage. The GWTP is located within a utility easement with a street address of 100 Broadway, Bethpage, NY.

The "hot spot" cleanup remedy for the GM-38 Area groundwater was originally set forth in Record of Decision (ROD) documents for Operable Unit (OU) 2. Groundwater for the NG and NWIRP Sites (New York State Registry Site Numbers 1-30-003A & 1-30-003B, respectively) issued by NYSDEC Division of Environmental Remediation in March 2001 and for the NWIRP Bethpage Site by NAVFAC in April 2003 (Revision 1). The selected remedy was chosen in accordance with the New York State Environmental Conservation Law (ECL) and the Navy's Installation Restoration Program (IRP). It is also consistent with the Comprehensive Environmental Response Compensation and Liability Act (CERCLA), as amended, 42 U.S.C. §§ 9601-9675.

1.2 GWTP Overview

Currently, groundwater is extracted from recovery wells RW-1 and RW-3 (pumping at RW-3 was suspended from July 2015 to June 2018 as described below) and treated in the GWTP. The treatment process consists of flow equalization, air stripping and vapor-phase carbon treatment, bag filtration, and liquid-phase carbon treatment. During the first quarter of 2021, it is anticipated that pumping will be initiated at recovery well RW-4 following connection of this well to the GWTP. Pumping at RW-3 will be suspended. The GWTP was originally equipped with a pH adjustment system utilizing sodium hydroxide; however, it was subsequently determined that pH adjustment is not necessary. The equipment has been taken off-line and sodium hydroxide sent off site for beneficial reuse. A process flow diagram is presented as **Figure 2**. The treated water is either re-injected into injection well IW-1 or discharged into the Nassau County Recharge Basin #495. Under CERCLA, the Navy is required to meet the effluent requirement in the NYSDEC State Pollution Discharge Elimination System (SPDES) Permit Equivalent Application as an Applicable or Relevant and Appropriate Requirement (ARAR).

The GWTP was designed to operate at an average flow rate of 1,100 gallons per minute (gpm) (800 gpm from RW-1 and 300 gpm from RW-3), as measured by the average discharge flow rate. It was determined that this flow rate would be necessary to effectively contain the higher concentration of contamination in the GM-38 Area groundwater. With the activation of RW-4, the targeted average flow rate of 1,100 gpm will be generated by pumping approximately 400 gpm from RW-4 and 800 gpm from RW-1. Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs) in the influent groundwater consist of trichloroethene (TCE), tetrachloroethene (PCE), vinyl chloride (VC), cis-1,2-dichloroethene (DCE), 1,2-dichloroethane (DCA), benzene, toluene, and total xylenes.

The air stripper (AS) is a structural aluminum tower that is packed with 3.5-inch diameter polypropylene Jaeger Tripack. Groundwater is pumped to the AS distribution port and sprayed over the column of Jaeger Tripack at a design flow rate of approximately 1,100 gpm. Previously, 100 gpm of recirculated water was also rerouted through the AS, but as of October 2010, recirculation was no longer deemed necessary to the operation of the system. An induced draft countercurrent flow of air enters the AS below the base of the packing material at a rate of 8,000 standard cubic feet per minute (scfm). The large surface area of the packing material allows for a mass transfer of the VOCs from the groundwater into the air stream. The VOCs in the off-gas, except for VC, are removed via two 20,000-pound (lb) vapor phase granular activated

carbon (VGAC) units (VGAC-1 and VGAC-2). VC is oxidized into potassium chloride and carbon dioxide via treatment in a 20,000-lb vessel (VGAC-3) containing zeolite impregnated with potassium permanganate. The potassium chloride remains in the pore structure of the zeolite substrate. The treated off-gas is discharged from the stack.

Water treated by the AS is subsequently processed through three 8,000-lb liquid phase granular activated carbon (LGAC) units in parallel prior to discharge in the recovery basin (or injection well, if necessary).

The GWTP is controlled by a programmable logic controller (PLC)-based digital and analog control system, with instrumentation that monitors pH, pressure, tank level, flow and differential pressure transmitters, water level in recovery wells, and motor operational status. The information in the PLC is made available to an operator via a human-machine interface (HMI) program. By using this program, the status of the GWTP can be displayed in real time and adjusted, if necessary, by the operator.

A 2014 evaluation of the GM-38 Area, conducted in order to better determine the capture zone of the recovery wells, recommended that use of recovery well RW-3 be discontinued ("*Capture Zone Evaluation and Path Forward, GM-38 Area Groundwater Treatment Plant*" [Tetra Tech, Inc. [Tetra Tech], 2014]). The report was sent to NYSDEC in March 2014 and recommended ceasing operation of recovery well RW-3 and increasing the pumping rate of recovery well RW-1. These system modifications would maintain the existing GWTP pumping rate of 1,000 to 1,100 gpm while maintaining the desired capture zone of the GWTP (Tetra Tech, 2014). NYSDEC concurred with the implementation of this path forward and associated system modifications on 20 April 2015. On 1 July 2015, in accordance with the approved path forward, recovery well RW-3 was taken off-line. The flowrate of recovery well RW-1 was increased from approximately 800 gpm to approximately 1,000 gpm. Pumping at RW-3 was once again resumed in June 2018 to address persistent VOC concentrations at this well. Pumping rates were adjusted so that approximately 80 percent (%) of the total groundwater extracted is from RW-1 with the remaining 20% extracted from RW-3.

2.0 GWTP OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE

While designed to run autonomously, the GWTP requires regular visits by an operator to record and adjust operational parameters and to perform scheduled maintenance. The GWTP is equipped with telemetry that will alert an on-call operator in the event of a plant shutdown.

2.1 Routine Maintenance Activities

Routine maintenance activities at the GWTP were performed during the operator's visits. These activities include general site inspections, collection of operational data (water and vapor flowrates, differential pressures across the AS, carbon units, bag filter units and blower discharge pressures, tank levels and totalizer readings), measurement of water levels in the recovery wells, adjustment of pump signal settings, collection of vapor and process water samples, changing out of bag filters, switching of lead/lag pump assignments, and preventive maintenance of system equipment.

In addition, the following maintenance tasks were also performed during 2020:

- 7 January - Operator backwashed all three LGAC units.
- 8 April - Operator backwashed LGAC-1.
- 18 May - Operator backwashed LGAC-2 and LGAC-3.
- 1 July - Operator backwashed LGAC-2 and LGAC-3.
- 29 July - Operator backwashed LGAC-1 and LGAC-2.
- 29 December - Operator backwashed all three LGAC units.

2.2 Non-routine Maintenance / Site Activities

During routine maintenance tasks at GWTP, the operator observed that both LGAC backwashing and bag filter changes were required more frequently than usual to maintain the desired process flowrate. In addition, the backwash water from the LGACs in the plant sump was increasingly turbid. Following pumping of the water to the equalization (EQ) tank, a light gray coating was observed on the walls of the sump and on the sump pump and associated piping. In addition, the operation of the sump pump was compromised by the accumulation of solids from the backwash water. Collection and investigation of solids from the floor of the sump identified dark gray clay-sized material that dried and hardened to a light gray solid. Chemical analysis of the solid identified inorganics and elements typical of clay materials. This material was presumed to be accumulating within the LGAC vessels resulting in the increase in backwash frequency and damage to the sump pump. Discussions between Navy and the project team resulted in a phased approach to determine if one or both of the active recovery wells (RW-1 and RW-3) was the source of the solids observed in the plant influent as discussed below.

The following non-routine activities occurred at the GWTP during 2020:

- 18 January - The damaged Y-strainer screens at Pumps 4A and 4B were replaced.

- 4 February - The valve on the AS sump and associated cracked flange were replaced with a butterfly valve. A new check valve was installed on the AS discharge line before Pumps 4A and 4B at the plant floor level in an accessible location. The system was down for 29.5 hours while repairs were completed.
- 21 February - A high air pressure alarm on the AS blower was received from at PSH-102. The system was down between 0205 and 0650 (total of 4.75 hours) after which the operator responded and restarted the system.
- 2 June – A vapor-phase carbon changeout was conducted on VGAC-1 and VGAC-2.
- 16 July - The operator installed a new sump pump. The pump was not operated to prevent damage; water in the sump was pumped to the EQ tank via a separate pump drawing water from the top of the water column to minimize the amount of suspended solids reintroduced to the treatment train.
- 28 August - There was a power outage causing the plant to be offline for 9.5 hours.
- 1 September - RW-3 was taken offline and was temporarily diverted directly into a portable containment tank to observe if turbidity and/or solid materials collect in the tank. A total of approximately 20,000 gallons of water were collected in the tank. This volume of water was allowed to settle over several days, with turbidity readings recorded several times per day from a port located at the mid depth of the tank.
- 4 September - This first tank volume was pumped to the GWTP for processing, and a second volume of approximately 20,000 gallons was pumped into the tank. This volume of water was also allowed to settle over several days, with turbidity measurements recorded several times per day.
- 8 September - The second tank volume was pumped to the GWTP. The turbidity readings for both tank volumes were inconclusive with respect to measurable settling of suspended solids in the contained water, and no solids were present at the base of the tank following dewatering.
- During the period of time in which RW-3 was offline and RW-1 was the only source of influent to the GWTP, the previously observed increase in the frequency of the required bag filter changes continued at the GWTP.
- 10 September - RW-3 was operated at approximately 390 gpm for one hour, resulting in two bag filter changes attributable to significant iron-colored deposits that had accumulated in the well while inactive. RW-1 remained online during this temporary test of RW-3 but was not considered to be the source of the iron-colored materials.
- 14 September - RW-1 was taken offline and RW-3 was restarted at a reduced rate of approximately 320 gpm, which also resulted in multiple bag filter changes over the next several days.

- 18 September - The flow rate for RW-3 was reduced to approximately 210 gpm, at which point the bag filter change frequency resulting from the operation of RW-3 alone was substantially reduced. Following a bag filter change on the previous day (17 September), this set of bag filters remained in place throughout the remainder of the reporting period and into October 2020.
- 5 October - Well rehabilitation and redevelopment was initiated on well RW-1. This was completed on 15 October.
- 16 October - The downhole video of RW-1 was conducted.
- 4 November - The pump within RW-1 was replaced.
- 5 November – RW-1 was placed back online at a flow rate of 320 gpm; a bag filter change was required following startup of RW-1.
- 6 and 8 November - With RW-1 at a flow rate of 290 gpm, additional bag filter changes were required.
- 10 November - The pump rate of RW-1 was increased to 340 gpm.
- 12 November - A process was initiated to incrementally increase the pump rate of RW-1 to achieve a bag filter change out frequency of one per week. RW-1 pump rates would increase in 100 gpm increments until the target change frequency was achieved.
- 12 November - Operator increased the flowrate of RW-1 to 400 gpm.
- 23 November - Operator increased the flowrate of RW-1 to 500 gpm.
- 30 November - Operator increased the flowrate of RW-1 to 600 gpm.
- 18 December - Operator increased the flowrate of RW-1 to 650 gpm.
- 29 December - Operator backwashed LGACs 1, 2, and 3.

As of the end of the December 2020 reporting period, the target frequency for the bag filter changes had not been reached, indicating that the pump rate of RW-1 would continue to be incrementally increased in the upcoming reporting periods.

3.0 GWTP MONITORING

The intent of the GWTP is to remove contaminant mass and reduce elevated VOC levels to levels similar to those in the surrounding aquifer. It is anticipated that GWTP operation will minimize contaminant impacts on water supply wells and currently unaffected portions of the groundwater aquifer. The GWTP is not intended to remediate groundwater contamination in the local aquifer to non-detectable levels (TtEC, 2010). Various process samples (water and vapor) are collected on a monthly basis to monitor GWTP efficiency and to ensure compliance with Federal and State effluent discharge and air emission requirements. In addition, groundwater samples are collected semi-annually to monitor water quality and determine the effectiveness of the remediation activities and monitor the hydraulic containment and capture of impacted groundwater by the recovery wells.

3.1 Process Water Quality Monitoring

Processed groundwater is analyzed to comply with calculations submitted by the Navy and documented in the NYSDEC SPDES Equivalent Application for the effluent limitations and monitoring requirements. These results are also submitted to NYSDEC on a monthly basis in the form of a Discharge Monitoring Report (DMR). A copy of the current NYSDEC effluent limitations, monitoring constituents, and the reporting forms are included in **Appendix A**.

Monthly aqueous samples are collected from the active recovery wells (RW-1 and RW-3), and the treated effluent (TE) discharge line. In addition, various intermediary process system samples are collected monthly, consisting of air stripper effluent (ASE), bag filter effluent (BFE), and effluent samples (LC1, LC2, and LC3) of each of the three LGAC units.

3.1.1 Fourth Quarter 2020 Summary

The analytical results of monthly process water samples collected during the Fourth Quarter are presented in **Table 1**. The data demonstrate that all permitted constituents were in compliance with regulatory requirements. **Table 1** also summarizes the average monthly flowrates along with the total volume of water processed during each month of the Fourth Quarter. Monthly DMRs for the Fourth Quarter (October – December 2020) are included in **Appendix A**. DMRs for January – September 2020 are included in previously submitted quarterly operations reports, as outlined in Section 1.0.

Based on NYSDEC's interest with several non-VOC parameters in groundwater near Bethpage Water District Plant 4, the Navy has agreed to sample and analyze groundwater for 1,4-dioxane, using United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) Method 8270D, on a monthly basis from the system's treated effluent. Analytical results for 1,4-dioxane are also provided in **Table 1**.

3.1.2 2020 Annual Summary

Flow Totals

Annual flow volumes and system operation for 2020 are summarized in **Table 2**. The total volume of groundwater treated in 2020 based on effluent flow totals was 455,787,300 gallons. During 2020, GM-38 operated with an average uptime of 99% at an average effluent flowrate of 875 gpm.

Mass Removal

Mass removal was calculated based on monthly influent concentrations combined with monthly influent flow totals. During 2020, approximately 361.5 lbs of VOCs were removed by the GWTP, for an average monthly mass removal rate of approximately 30.1 lbs per month. Mass removal calculations are presented in **Table 3**.

3.2 Air Quality Monitoring

Treated off-gas discharged at the stack of the GWTP is subject to emissions limitations. Original discharge goals were derived from calculations submitted by the Navy and approved by the NYSDEC Division of Air Resources (DAR) in July 2009. In November 2011, the Navy submitted an evaluation proposing revised discharge goals, which NYSDEC approved in October 2013. A copy of this documentation is included as **Appendix B**.

3.2.1 Fourth Quarter 2020 Summary

While only sampling of the stack emissions is required for NYSDEC compliance, process vapor samples are also collected using 6-liter (L) summa canisters at various locations to monitor for breakthrough of the VGAC units. The analytical results of monthly influent and effluent vapor samples as well as midfluent samples (VC12 and VC23) collected during the Fourth Quarter are presented in **Table 4**. Air emissions calculations using the stack vapor concentrations along with discharge flowrates are presented in **Table 5**. The calculations demonstrate that all constituents were within the regulatory requirements during the Fourth Quarter based on the calculated emission rates.

3.2.2 2020 Annual Summary

Table 6 summarizes annual air emissions based on monthly emissions during the 12-month period. During 2020, total air emissions of permitted constituents consisted of 0.25 lbs of TCE, 0.16 lbs of VC, 6.65 lbs of 1,2-DCE, and 0.02 lbs of PCE, well below the discharge goals approved by NYSDEC in October 2013.

3.3 Groundwater Quality Monitoring

The groundwater monitoring well system at the GM-38 Groundwater Remediation Area consists of 14 monitoring wells, three recovery wells (RW-1, RW-2, RW-3) and one injection well (IW-1). Well locations are depicted on **Figure 3**. Recovery well RW-4, scheduled to be brought on-line during the first quarter of 2021, is located approximately one mile to the west of the GWTP (**Figure 4**). Although RW-2 was installed in 2005, a pump was never installed in this well and the well is not operated as a recovery well because of concerns expressed by the Bethpage Water District. As mentioned in Section 1.2, pumping at RW-3 was suspended between July 2015 and June 2018. RW-3 was reactivated on 1 June 2018 to address persistent VOC concentrations at this location. More recently, RW-1 was offline during the months of October and November 2020 as a result of the rehabilitation/redevelopment process conducted at that time. Groundwater level measurements were collected from the current groundwater monitoring well system on 23 December and are summarized in **Table 7**.

Depth to water (DTW) measurements are collected from 12 of the monitoring wells on a quarterly basis. Prior to 2014, water quality samples were collected from eight of the monitoring wells on a quarterly basis; beginning in 2014, the sample collection frequency was reduced to semi-annually, with sample collection generally in the March and September timeframes. The monitoring network includes well clusters located near the recovery and injection wells as described below and as shown on **Figure 3**. Two additional wells, GM-38D and GM-38D2, located at the corner of Arthur Avenue and Broadway, are monitored by others.

Semi-annual groundwater samples were collected from eight monitoring wells (RW1-MW1, RW1-MW3, RW2-MW1, RW3-MW1, RW3-MW2, RW3-MW3, RW3-MW4, and TP-01) and from two recovery wells (RW-1 and RW-3). Samples are collected from monitoring wells using bladder pumps in accordance with USEPA low-flow sampling methodologies. Samples were collected from recovery wells RW-1 and RW-3 using the dedicated extraction pump as it is normally done during routine O&M sampling. Results of the groundwater sampling for the Fourth Quarter are presented in Section 3.3.1 below, and descriptions of monitoring well locations are as follows:

Recovery Well 1 (RW-1) Monitoring Wells
The RW-1 cluster consists of three monitoring wells screened between 395 and 435 feet below ground surface (bgs). RW1-MW1 is located approximately 140 feet northwest of RW-1 and RW1-MW2 is located approximately 50 feet north of RW-1. RW1-MW3 is located approximately 400 feet northeast of RW-1, on the eastern side of Seaford Oyster Bay Expressway. All three wells are hydraulically monitored while only RW1-MW1 and RW1-MW3 are also monitored for water quality.

Recovery Well 2 (RW-2) Monitoring Wells
The RW-2 cluster consists of three monitoring wells screened between 470 and 510 feet bgs. RW2-MW1 is located approximately 60 feet northwest of RW-2, RW2-MW2 is located approximately 20 feet west of RW-2, and RW2-MW3 is located approximately 100 feet west of RW-2. All three wells are hydraulically monitored while only RW2-MW1 is monitored for water quality.

Recovery Well 3 (RW-3) Monitoring Wells
The RW-3 cluster consists of four monitoring wells. RW3-MW2 and RW3-MW4 are screened between 475 and 495 feet bgs. RW3-MW1 and RW3-MW3 are screened between 330 and 350 feet bgs and 320 and 340 feet bgs, respectively. RW3-MW1 and RW3-MW2 are located approximately 500 feet west of the GM-38 cluster, at the intersection of Arthur Avenue and Leroy Avenue. RW3-MW3 and RW3-MW4 are located approximately 400 feet north of the intersection of Arthur Avenue and Broadway. All four wells are both hydraulically monitored and monitored for water quality.

TP-01
TP-01 is screened between 450 and 470 feet bgs and is located approximately 25 feet north of the GWTP building, inside the fenced area. It is hydraulically monitored to observe the change in water levels associated with the influence from the pumping rates at the neighboring public water supply well field adjacent to the hot spot area and is also monitored for water quality.

Injection Well 1 (IW-1) Monitoring Well

There is one monitoring well associated with injection well IW-1. IW1-MW1 is screened between 20 and 150 feet bgs, is located approximately 20 feet south of IW-1, and is only hydraulically monitored on a quarterly basis.

3.3.1 Groundwater Quality Results

Groundwater samples were collected monthly from recovery well RW-3 throughout the Fourth Quarter 2020. Groundwater samples from RW-1 were not collected in October and November 2020 because of on-going well rehabilitation and redevelopment activities. Analytical results are summarized in **Table 1**.

Quarterly groundwater level monitoring of the 12 monitoring wells was performed on 23 December 2020. Results are summarized in **Table 7**. A copy of the field log is included in **Appendix C**.

3.3.2 Groundwater Concentration Trends

Historical groundwater analytical results through the Fourth Quarter are presented in **Table 8**. As previously mentioned, no monitoring wells were sampled in the Fourth Quarter, as sampling occurs on a semi-annual basis. Groundwater analytical results of select VOCs (cis-1,2-DCE, PCE, TCE, and VC) for the 2020 monitoring events are presented graphically as **Figure 5**. Additionally, concentration trends of select VOCs (cis-1,2-DCE, TCE, and PCE, as well as VC) over time for each recovery well and the eight monitoring wells sampled during the 2020 semi-annual monitoring events are presented in **Figures 6 through 15** and discussed below.

Figure 6 presents concentrations measured at recovery well RW-1. No samples were collected from RW-1 in October and November 2020 because of the rehabilitation and redevelopment of the well, and the replacement of the pump conducted during that time period. TCE concentrations have decreased from initial concentrations in early 2010 (747 µg/L measured in April 2010), remaining below 300 µg/L since the latter half of 2012, decreasing to a minimum concentration of 58.6 µg/L in November 2019. TCE concentrations remained stable in 2020 ranging between 56.7 µg/L in December 2020 to 66.2 µg/L in June 2020. Concentrations of cis-1,2-DCE have followed a similar trend, decreasing from a maximum of 160 µg/L in February 2010 to a minimum of 3.23 µg/L in August 2020 and have remained below 5.0 µg/L since February 2019. PCE concentrations have also exhibited decreasing trends over time, with concentrations decreasing from 180 µg/L in February 2010 to a minimum of 15.2 µg/L in November 2019. PCE concentrations increased slightly to 17.2 µg/L in the Fourth Quarter 2020. Concentrations of VC have decreased below initial concentrations in 2010. After reaching a maximum concentration of 61 µg/L in February 2010, VC concentrations have remained below 5.0 µg/L since the final quarter of 2011 and below 1.0 µg/L since June 2013.

Figure 7a and Figure 7b presents concentrations measured at recovery well RW-3. TCE concentrations at this location have decreased from initial concentrations in February 2010 (660 µg/L), remaining below 300 µg/L from the latter half of 2012 through the Third Quarter 2015. RW-3 was temporarily taken off-line between July 2015 and June 2018, which may have contributed to the increase to 371 µg/L in March 2016. However, since March 2016, TCE concentrations decreased from 371 µg/L to a minimum of 120 µg/L in March 2018. The TCE concentrations for 2020 remain stable (122 µg/L to 163 µg/L).

Concentrations of cis-1,2-DCE have remained consistently below 4.0 µg/L, and below 2.5 µg/L since June 2018. PCE has only been detected infrequently at this location, with the most recent detection of 0.224 J µg/L in September 2020. VC has not been detected during any sampling event.

Figure 8 presents concentrations measured at RW1-MW1. TCE concentrations have varied widely since the initial sampling in May 2005 (53.6 µg/L). The concentration of TCE in October 2020 (86.8 µg/L) was higher than the concentration reported in May 2005, but less than the maximum concentration observed in September 2013 (175 µg/L). Concentrations of cis-1,2-DCE have remained consistently below 5.0 µg/L since September 2018, well below the initial concentration observed in May 2005 (78.6 µg/L). PCE concentrations have remained consistently below 1.0 µg/L. VC has not been detected since the September 2011 sampling event.

Figure 9 presents concentrations measured at RW1-MW3. TCE concentrations have consistently remained below 5.0 µg/L since monitoring was initiated in January 2010. Concentrations of cis-1,2-DCE and PCE have consistently remained below 1.0 µg/L since January 2010 with one exception: PCE was detected at 2.95 µg/L in March 2020. VC has not been detected during any sampling event.

Figure 10 presents concentrations measured at RW2-MW1. TCE concentrations have varied since the initial sampling in May 2005 (37.6 µg/L). The concentration of TCE in October 2020 (2.82 J µg/L) was below the initial concentration and the maximum concentration observed in March 2016 (43.9 µg/L). The concentration of cis-1,2-DCE measured in October 2020 (4.01 J µg/L) was above initial concentrations observed in May 2005 (non-detect) but below the maximum concentration observed in the March 2016 (15.3 µg/L). PCE has not been detected during any sampling events.

Figure 11 presents concentrations measured at RW3-MW1. TCE concentrations have decreased since reaching a maximum in November 2010 (77.6 µg/L). The TCE concentration in October 2020 (23.1 µg/L) was also below the initial concentration observed in January 2010 (35.0 µg/L). Cis-1,2-DCE has not been detected since September 2014. PCE concentrations have remained consistently near or below 2.0 µg/L since May 2005, with a concentration of 2.50 J µg/L measured in October 2020, equal to the previous maximum in March 2016.

Figure 12 presents concentrations measured at RW3-MW2. TCE concentrations have remained consistent since June 2012 ranging from 118 µg/L to 209 µg/L. The TCE concentration observed in October 2020 (119 µg/L) was below the initial concentration observed in January 2010 (160 µg/L) and below the maximum concentration observed in April 2010 (211 µg/L). Concentrations of cis-1,2-DCE at this location have consistently remained below 2.0 µg/L. PCE has only been detected infrequently at this location, with concentrations ranging from 0.28 J µg/L in August 2012 to 0.66 J µg/L in March 2016.

Figure 13 presents concentrations measured at RW3-MW3. TCE concentrations have decreased since the maximum concentration was observed in June 2013 (410 µg/L). The TCE concentration observed in October 2020 (180 µg/L) was well below both the initial concentration observed in January 2010 (350 µg/L) and the maximum concentration measured in June 2013. Concentrations of cis-1,2-DCE have remained near or below 2.0 µg/L since March 2012. PCE has remained below 1.0 µg/L for all events.

Figure 14 presents concentrations measured at RW3-MW4. TCE concentrations have decreased since the initial sampling event in January 2010 (21 µg/L), with a concentration of 3.02 J µg/L in October 2020. PCE was detected for the first time in September 2015 at a concentration of 0.31 J µg/L but has not been detected since the March 2016 sampling event. Cis-1,2-DCE has been detected infrequently since the initial sampling event in January 2010 (0.46 µg/L), and was last detected in March 2020 at a concentration of 0.465 J µg/L.

Figure 15 presents concentrations measured at TP-01. TCE concentrations have steadily decreased since the initial sampling event in January 2010. The TCE concentration observed in October 2020 (11.1 µg/L) represents the minimum value reported to date and was well below initial and maximum concentration observed in January 2010 (65 µg/L). Concentrations of cis-1,2-DCE have generally decreased from an initial value of 190 µg/L in January 2010 to the current concentration measured in October 2020 (3.14 J µg/L). PCE has remained below 1.0 µg/L since September 2013 and has not been detected since March 2017.

4.0 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The intent of the groundwater treatment system at GM-38 is to remove contaminant mass and reduce elevated VOC concentrations to levels similar to those in the surrounding aquifer, and in doing so minimize the impacts on downgradient water supply wells and currently unaffected portions of the aquifer. Based on the removal of VOCs by the GWTP and decreasing contaminant concentration trends observed in the recovery wells and several of the monitoring wells, progress toward these goals is apparent. Based on the concentrations in the groundwater wells, the GWTP should continue to be operated. Groundwater sampling frequency for the eight monitoring wells is currently performed on a semi-annual basis in accordance with the O&M Manual. Water levels for the 14 monitoring wells continue to be monitored on a quarterly basis.

5.0 REFERENCES

KOMAN Government Solutions, LLC. (KGS), 2020. *Quarterly Operations Report, First Quarter 2020, Groundwater Treatment Plant, GM-38 Area Groundwater Remediation, Naval Weapons Industrial Reserve Plant, Bethpage, New York*. June.

KGS, 2020a. *Quarterly Operations Report, Second Quarter 2020, Groundwater Treatment Plant, GM-38 Area Groundwater Remediation, Naval Weapons Industrial Reserve Plant, Bethpage, New York*. August.

KGS, 2021. *Quarterly Operations Report, Third Quarter 2020, Groundwater Treatment Plant, GM-38 Area Groundwater Remediation, Naval Weapons Industrial Reserve Plant, Bethpage, New York*. January.

Tetra Tech, Inc. (Tetra Tech), 2014. *Capture Zone Evaluation and Path Forward, GM-38 Area Groundwater Treatment Plant, Naval Weapons Industrial Reserve Plant, Bethpage, New York.* March.

Tetra Tech EC, Inc. (TtEC), 2010. *Final Operation, Maintenance & Monitoring Plan for Groundwater Treatment Plant GM-38 Area Groundwater Remediation, Naval Weapons Industrial Reserve Plant, Bethpage, New York.* April.

Tech EC, Inc. (TtEC), 2010a. *Final Sampling and Analysis Plan (Field Sampling Plan and Quality Assurance Project Plan), UFP-SAP for Operations, Maintenance, and Monitoring of the Groundwater Treatment Plant, GM-38 Area, Naval Weapons Industrial Reserve Plant, Bethpage, New York.* September.

FIGURES



P:\LantDiv\Bethpage\CAD - GIS\Dwg\O&M Manual\Site Location Map.dwg, 6/29/2009 3:33:52 PM

NOTES:

1. FOR SYMBOL AND ABBREVIATION LISTS SEE DRAWING P-2.



STREAM NO.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
COMPOSITION (UG/L, UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED)																
BENZENE	4	4	4	4	3	-	-	-	-		-					
TOLUENE	15	15	15	15	12	-	-	-	-		-					
XYLENES, TOTAL	16	16	16	16	12	-	-	-	-		-					
1,2-DICHLOROETHANE	3	3	3	3	2.8						2.7 E-07					
cis 1,2-DICHLOROETHENE	1100	1100	1100	1100	1008	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10		1.0 E-04					
VINYL CHLORIDE	300	300	300	300	275	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03		2.7 E-05					
TETRACHLOROETHENE (PCE)	900	900	900	900	825	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08		8.2 E-05					
TRICHLOROETHENE (TCE)	3400	3400	3400	3400	3117	3.12	3.12	3.12	3.12		3.1 E-03					
WATER FLOW RATE (GPM)	800	300	1100	1100	1200	1200	1100	100	1100	1.1 gpd	1100					
TEMPERATURE (°F)	55	55	55	55	55	55	55	55	55	60	55					
PRESSURE (PSIG)												-0.27	1.50	1.36	1.18	0.53
DENSITY (lb/ft^3)										95.5		0.077	0.085	0.084	0.082	0.079
MASS FLOW RATE (lb/hr)	400364	150136	550500	550500	600545	600545	550500	50,045	550500	0.59	550500	36,960	40,800	40,320	39,360	37,920
RELATIVE HUMIDITY (%)												50	50	100	50	50
STATIC PRESSURE (PSIA)												0.214	0.214	0.214	0.275	0.275
pH (S.U.)	5.5	5.5	5.5	5.5	5.5	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	14	7.0					
VAPOR FLOW RATE (CFM)												8000	8000	8000	8000	8000
TOTAL VAPOR VOC (PPMV)												-	-	25.5	25.5	1.2
TOTAL VAPOR VOC (LBS/HR)												-	-	3.18	3.18	0.15

TETRA TECH ENGINEERING CORPORATION PC

REV	DESCRIPTION	PREP BY	DATE	APPRVD
0	FINAL DESIGN	DL	05/05/06	BKB
1	ADDED RECOVERY WELL RW-3 CHANGED RW-2 TO FUTURE CONNECTION	DLB	03/31/08	BKB
2	REVISED BASED ON VENDOR SUBMITTALS. DRAWING UPDATES FOR CONSTRUCTION	DLB	02/24/09	BKB

DSGN: DR: CHK:
SCHD: CH ENG: (TITLE)
SUBMITTED BY: (PRIN MEMBER) (DATE)
NORTHDIV DIV: HO: RO: FPC: DIR:
OFFICER IN CHARGE
APPROVED
DATE

DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
NAVAL FACILITIES ENGINEERING COMMAND
NAVFAC LANTDIV
NAVAL FACILITIES ENGINEERING COMMAND NORTHEAST
LESTER, PENNSYLVANIA
NAVAL WEAPONS INDUSTRIAL RESERVE PLANT
BETHPAGE, NEW YORK

ENGINEERING FIELD ACTIVITY - NORTHEAST

GM-38 AREA
GROUNDWATER TREATMENT PLANT
PROCESS FLOW DIAGRAM - GROUNDWATER AND OFF-GAS TREATMENT

EFANE FOR COMMANDER, NAVFAC
DATE
APPROVED

THIS DRAWING PRODUCED ON AUTOCAD
DO NOT REVISE MANUALLY

SAT TO DATE 05/05/06
CODE I.D. NO. 80091
SCALE : AS SHOWN
SPEC. NO.
CONSTRN. CONTR. NO. N62472-99-D-0032
NAVFAC DRAWING NO. Figure 2
SHEET OF
SIZE: D
DIS. SH. NO. 1-4

Legend

- Monitoring Well (Monitored by Navy)
- Monitoring Well (Monitored by Northrop Grumman)
- Recovery Well
- Injection Well

SOPHIA STREET
NORTH WINDHORST
NORTH HERMAN AVENUE
LEROY AVENUE
ARTHUR AVENUE
MAIN STREET (BROADWAY)
SOUTH WINDHORST
SOUTH HERMAN AVENUE
WILSON LANE
(SEAFORD-OYSTER BAY EXPRESSWAY - RTE 135)
RECHARGE BASIN
GROUNDWATER TREATMENT PLANT

RW3-MW-4
RW3-MW-3
RW3-MW-1
RW3-MW-2
RW-3
GM-38D
GM-38D-2
RW2-MW-1
RW2-MW-2
RW2-MW-3
RW-2
TP-1
RW1-MW-3
RW1-MW-2
RW1-MW-1
RW-1
IW-1
IW1-MW-1

0 160
APPROXIMATE SCALE IN FEET

SITE MAP

NWIRP BETHPAGE GM-38 AREA
BETHPAGE, NEW YORK

KOMAN Government Solutions, LLC
180 Gordon Drive, Suite 110, Exton, PA 19341

SCALE	DATE	FIGURE
SEE BARSCALE	01/15/2018	3

X:\HS_Environmental\Bethpage-GM38\As-Built-PM.dwg 4/26/2016



RECOVERY WELL LOCATIONS

NWIRP BETHPAGE GM-38 AREA
BETHPAGE, NEW YORK

KOMAN Government Solutions, LLC
180 Gordon Drive, Suite 110, Exton, PA 19341

SCALE	DATE	FIGURE
SEE BARSCALE	2/8/2021	4

X:\HS_Environmental\Bethpage-GM38\2021\BP_GM38_2020-GW-VOC.dwg 2/8/2021



Legend

- Monitoring Well (Monitored by Navy)
- Monitoring Well (Monitored by Northrop Grumman)
- Recovery Well
- Injection Well
- J Estimated value
- ND Not Detected above laboratory method detection limit
- NS Not Sampled
- DCE Dichloroethene
- PCE Tetrachloroethane
- TCE Trichloroethane
- VC Vinyl Chloride

Notes:

All concentrations reported in µg/L.

Monitoring wells were sampled on a semi-annual basis. Recovery well RW-1 was sampled on a monthly basis. Recovery well RW-3, previously an active extraction well sampled on a monthly basis, was taken off-line on 7/1/15. RW-3 is now sampled semi-annually, in conjunction with the semi-annual LTM events.

2020 GROUNDWATER ANALYTICAL MAP SELECT VOC CONCENTRATIONS

NWIRP BETHPAGE GM-38 AREA BETHPAGE, NEW YORK

KOMAN Government Solutions, LLC
180 Gordon Drive, Suite 110, Exton, PA 19341

SCALE	DATE	FIGURE
SEE BARSCALE	3/16/2021	5

X:\HS_Environmental\Bethpage-GM38\2021\BP_GM38_2020-GW-VOC.dwg 2/8/2021

Figure 6

GM-38 Area Groundwater Remediation

Naval Weapons Industrial Reserve Plant - Bethpage, NY

Groundwater Concentration Trends of Select VOCs

RW1



Figure 7a
GM-38 Area Groundwater Remediation
Naval Weapons Industrial Reserve Plant - Bethpage, NY
Groundwater Concentration Trends of Select VOCs

RW3



Figure 7b
GM-38 Area Groundwater Remediation
Naval Weapons Industrial Reserve Plant - Bethpage, NY
Groundwater Concentration Trends of Select VOCs

RW3



Figure 8
GM-38 Area Groundwater Remediation
Naval Weapons Industrial Reserve Plant - Bethpage, NY
Groundwater Concentration Trends of Select VOCs

RW1-MW1



Figure 9

GM-38 Area Groundwater Remediation

Naval Weapons Industrial Reserve Plant - Bethpage, NY

Groundwater Concentration Trends of Select VOCs

RW1-MW3



Figure 10
GM-38 Area Groundwater Remediation
Naval Weapons Industrial Reserve Plant - Bethpage, NY
Groundwater Concentration Trends of Select VOCs

RW2-MW1



Figure 11
GM-38 Area Groundwater Remediation
Naval Weapons Industrial Reserve Plant - Bethpage, NY
Groundwater Concentration Trends of Select VOCs

RW3-MW1



Figure 12
GM-38 Area Groundwater Remediation
Naval Weapons Industrial Reserve Plant - Bethpage, NY
Groundwater Concentration Trends of Select VOCs

RW3-MW2



Figure 13
GM-38 Area Groundwater Remediation
Naval Weapons Industrial Reserve Plant - Bethpage, NY
Groundwater Concentration Trends of Select VOCs

RW3-MW3



Figure 14
GM-38 Area Groundwater Remediation
Naval Weapons Industrial Reserve Plant - Bethpage, NY
Groundwater Concentration Trends of Select VOCs

RW3-MW4



Figure 15
GM-38 Area Groundwater Remediation
Naval Weapons Industrial Reserve Plant - Bethpage, NY
Groundwater Concentration Trends of Select VOCs

TP-01



TABLES

Table 1
GM-38 Area Groundwater Remediation
Groundwater Treatment Plant
Naval Weapons Industrial Reserve Plant - Bethpage, NY
Discharge Monitoring Results
Fourth Quarter 2020

SPDES Parameters	Daily Maximum Goal	Units	October 2020									
Process Stream			RW-1	RW-3	Combined Influent	Treated Effluent	Treated Effluent DUPLICATE	Air Stripper Effluent (ASE)	Bag Filter Effluent (BFE)	Liquid Carbon 1 Effluent (LC1)	Liquid Carbon 2 Effluent (LC2)	Liquid Carbon 3 Effluent (LC3)
Well Depth		ft	445	530	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Screened Interval		ft	335-395 410-430	392-412 442-504	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Sampling Date			10/5/20									
Average Flowrate	1100	GPM	0	217	217	215	NR	NR	294	NR	NR	NR
Total Flow	NA	gallons	4,187,300	9,694,875	13,882,175	9,592,100	NR	NR	13,136,625	NR	NR	NR
pH	5.5 - 8.5	SU	NS	5.84	5.84	6.65	6.66	6.58	6.61	6.63	6.63	6.64
Chloroform	5	µg/L	NS	0.425 J	0.425 J	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)
1,1-Dichloroethane	5	µg/L	NS	2.65 J	2.65 J	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)
1,2-Dichloroethane	0.6	µg/L	NS	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)
1,1-Dichloroethene	5	µg/L	NS	1.45 J	1.450 J	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)
cis 1,2-Dichloroethene	5	µg/L	NS	1.79 J	1.79 J	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)
trans 1,2-Dichloroethene	5	µg/L	NS	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)
Tetrachloroethene	5	µg/L	NS	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5	µg/L	NS	0.566 J	0.566 J	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)
Trichloroethene	5	µg/L	NS	140	140.0	0.309 J	0.296 J	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	0.270 J	0.451 J	0.230 J
1,1,2-Trichlorotrifluoroethane	5	µg/L	NS	0.551 J	0.55 J	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)
Vinyl Chloride	2	µg/L	NS	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)
1,4-Dioxane	--	µg/L	NS	6.3	6.30	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
Mercury	0.00025	mg/L	NS	ND (0.00010)	ND (0.00010)	ND (0.00010)	ND (0.00010)	ND (0.00010)	ND (0.00010)	ND (0.00010)	ND (0.00010)	ND (0.00010)
Total Suspended Solids (TSS)	NA	mg/L	NS	1.2	1.2	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	6.2	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)

Table 1
GM-38 Area Groundwater Remediation
Groundwater Treatment Plant
Naval Weapons Industrial Reserve Plant - Bethpage, NY
Discharge Monitoring Results
Fourth Quarter 2020

SPDES Parameters	Daily Maximum Goal	Units	November 2020									
Process Stream			RW-1	RW-3	Combined Influent	Treated Effluent	Treated Effluent DUPLICATE	Air Stripper Effluent (ASE)	Bag Filter Effluent (BFE)	Liquid Carbon 1 Effluent (LC1)	Liquid Carbon 2 Effluent (LC2)	Liquid Carbon 3 Effluent (LC3)
Well Depth		ft	445	530	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Screened Interval		ft	335-395 410-430	392-412 442-504	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Sampling Date			11/2/20									
Average Flowrate	1100	GPM	439	235	674	633	NR	NR	609	NR	NR	NR
Total Flow	NA	gallons	15,692,000	10,155,525	25,847,525	27,341,600	NR	NR	26,303,275	NR	NR	NR
pH	5.5 - 8.5	SU	NS	5.14	1.79	6.52	6.52	6.47	6.49	6.51	6.51	6.52
Chloroform	5	µg/L	NS	0.465 J	0.162 J	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)
1,1-Dichloroethane	5	µg/L	NS	2.70 J	0.94 J	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)
1,2-Dichloroethane	0.6	µg/L	NS	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)
1,1-Dichloroethene	5	µg/L	NS	1.56 J	0.544 J	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)
cis 1,2-Dichloroethene	5	µg/L	NS	2.48 J	0.87 J	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)
trans 1,2-Dichloroethene	5	µg/L	NS	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)
Tetrachloroethene	5	µg/L	NS	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5	µg/L	NS	0.792 J	0.276 J	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)
Trichloroethene	5	µg/L	NS	133	46.4	0.268 J	0.270 J	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	0.216 J	0.504 J	ND (1.0)
1,1,2-Trichlorotrifluoroethane	5	µg/L	NS	0.633 J	0.22 J	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)
Vinyl Chloride	2	µg/L	NS	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)
1,4-Dioxane	--	µg/L	NS	5.0	1.74	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
Mercury	0.00025	mg/L	NS	ND (0.00010)	ND (0.00010)	ND (0.00010)	ND (0.00010)	ND (0.00010)	ND (0.00010)	ND (0.00010)	ND (0.00010)	ND (0.00010)
Total Suspended Solids (TSS)	NA	mg/L	NS	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	1.9	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)

Table 1
GM-38 Area Groundwater Remediation
Groundwater Treatment Plant
Naval Weapons Industrial Reserve Plant - Bethpage, NY
Discharge Monitoring Results
Fourth Quarter 2020

SPDES Parameters	Daily Maximum Goal	Units	December 2020									
Process Stream			RW-1	RW-3	Combined Influent	Treated Effluent	Treated Effluent DUPLICATE	Air Stripper Effluent (ASE)	Bag Filter Effluent (BFE)	Liquid Carbon 1 Effluent (LC1)	Liquid Carbon 2 Effluent (LC2)	Liquid Carbon 3 Effluent (LC3)
Well Depth		ft	445	530	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Screened Interval		ft	335-395 410-430	392-412 442-504	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Sampling Date			12/1/20									
Average Flowrate	1100	GPM	612	174	786	843	NR	NR	787	NR	NR	NR
Total Flow	NA	gallons	27,300,000	7,750,700	35,050,700	37,449,900	NR	NR	34,987,800	NR	NR	NR
pH	5.5 - 8.5	SU	5.36	5.91	5.48	6.74	6.74	6.67	6.69	6.71	6.71	6.73
Chloroform	5	µg/L	0.287 J	0.564 J	0.348 J	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)
1,1-Dichloroethane	5	µg/L	1.11 J	2.81 J	1.49 J	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)
1,2-Dichloroethane	0.6	µg/L	ND (1.0)	0.220 J	0.05 J	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)
1,1-Dichloroethene	5	µg/L	0.908 J	1.40 J	1.02 J	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)
cis 1,2-Dichloroethene	5	µg/L	4.16 J	2.12 J	3.71 J	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)
trans 1,2-Dichloroethene	5	µg/L	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)
Tetrachloroethene	5	µg/L	17.2	ND (1.0)	13.38	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5	µg/L	0.440 J	0.572 J	0.469 J	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)
Trichloroethene	5	µg/L	56.7	131	73.19	0.337 J	0.341 J	0.405 J	0.431 J	0.238 J	0.610 J	0.372 J
1,1,2-Trichlorotrifluoroethane	5	µg/L	ND (1.0)	0.624 J	0.14 J	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)
Vinyl Chloride	2	µg/L	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)
1,4-Dioxane	--	µg/L	1.8	5.8	2.69	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
Mercury	0.00025	mg/L	ND (0.00010)	ND (0.00010)	ND (0.00010)	ND (0.00010)	ND (0.00010)	ND (0.00010)	ND (0.00010)	ND (0.00010)	ND (0.00010)	ND (0.00010)
Total Suspended Solids (TSS)	NA	mg/L	ND (1.0)	1.3	0.29	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	1.2	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)

Notes:
J - Estimated result between laboratory method detection limit and reporting limit
NA - Not Applicable
ND - Not detected above laboratory method detection limit. Limit of detection (LOD) given in parentheses.
NR - Not Recorded
NS - Not Sampled
gpm - gallons per minute

(1) Wastewater discharge equivalence permit renewed on 18 August 2017. Discharge limits established for 10 years. Chloroform, 1,4-dioxane and 1,1,2-trichlorotrifluoroethane are now monitored under the new permit.

Table 2
GM-38 Area Groundwater Remediation
Groundwater Treatment Plant
Naval Weapons Industrial Reserve Plant - Bethpage, NY
2020 Annual Flow Summary

Monthly Flow Totals		
Month	**Total GWTP Influent Flow (gal)**	**Total GWTP Effluent Flow (gal)**
Jan-20	35,553,600	37,661,000
Feb-20	35,365,425	36,675,975
Mar-20	45,032,275	46,818,025
Apr-20	45,371,800	46,850,500
May-20	27,765,633	48,799,767
Jun-20	45,470,967	46,456,433
Jul-20	47,098,800	47,969,300
Aug-20	45,187,200	46,974,700
Sep-20	18,518,700	23,198,000
Oct-20	13,882,175	9,592,100
Nov-20	25,847,525	27,341,600
Dec-20	35,050,700	37,449,900
Annual Flow Summary		
	GWTP Influent	**GWTP Effluent**
2020 Total (gal)	420,144,800	455,787,300
2020 Monthly Average (gal)	35,012,067	37,982,275
2020 Effective Flowrate (gpm)	797	865
2020 Average Flowrate (gpm)	806	875

Notes:
gpm = gallons per minute
Effective Flowrate = total flow volume (gal) / total time period (min)
Average Flowrate = total flow volume (gal) / total system run time (min)

Table 3
GM-38 Area Groundwater Remediation
Groundwater Treatment Plant
Naval Weapons Industrial Reserve Plant - Bethpage, NY
2020 Mass Removal Summary

	Total Flow (gal)			CCl_4			1,1-DCA			1,2-DCA			1,1-DCE			cis-1,2-DCE		
Month	GWTP Effluent	GWTP Influent	2020 Cumulative Influent	Influent Concentration (µg/l)	Mass Removal (lb)	2020 Cumulative Mass Removal (lb)	Influent Concentration (µg/l)	Mass Removal (lb)	2020 Cumulative Mass Removal (lb)	Influent Concentration (µg/l)	Mass Removal (lb)	2020 Cumulative Mass Removal (lb)	Influent Concentration (µg/l)	Mass Removal (lb)	2020 Cumulative Mass Removal (lb)	Influent Concentration (µg/l)	Mass Removal (lb)	2020 Cumulative Mass Removal (lb)
Jan-20	37,661,600	35,553,600	35,553,600	0.00	0.0000	0.0000	1.52	0.4507	0.4507	0.000	0.0000	0.0000	0.794	0.2355	0.2355	3.73	1.1056	1.1056
Feb-20	36,675,975	35,365,425	70,919,025	0.00	0.0000	0.0000	1.50	0.4414	0.8921	0.000	0.0000	0.0000	0.784	0.2313	0.4668	3.57	1.0539	2.1596
Mar-20	46,818,025	45,032,275	115,951,300	0.00	0.0000	0.0000	1.57	0.5894	1.4815	0.176	0.0660	0.0660	0.911	0.3422	0.8090	4.08	1.5344	3.6939
Apr-20	46,850,500	45,371,800	161,323,100	0.00	0.0000	0.0000	1.33	0.5032	1.9847	0.191	0.0724	0.1384	0.887	0.3359	1.1449	3.56	1.3471	5.0411
May-20	48,799,767	47,765,633	209,088,733	0.00	0.0000	0.0000	1.60	0.6380	2.6227	0.000	0.0000	0.1384	0.970	0.3865	1.5314	3.80	1.5163	6.5574
Jun-20	46,456,433	45,470,967	254,559,700	0.00	0.0000	0.0000	1.38	0.5240	3.1467	0.000	0.0000	0.1384	0.798	0.3028	1.8342	3.44	1.3064	7.8637
Jul-20	47,098,800	47,969,300	302,529,000	0.00	0.0000	0.0000	1.60	0.6423	3.7890	0.000	0.0000	0.1384	0.858	0.3433	2.1775	3.84	1.5360	9.3997
Aug-20	46,974,700	45,187,200	347,716,200	0.00	0.0000	0.0000	1.10	0.4163	4.2053	0.000	0.0000	0.1384	0.748	0.2822	2.4597	2.84	1.0710	10.4707
Sep-20	23,198,000	18,518,700	366,234,900	0.00	0.0000	0.0000	1.50	0.2319	4.4372	0.139	0.0215	0.1599	0.975	0.1507	2.6104	3.13	0.4831	10.9538
Oct-20 [1]	9,592,100	13,882,175	380,117,075	0.00	0.0000	0.0000	2.65	0.3070	4.7442	0.000	0.0000	0.1599	1.450	0.1680	2.7784	1.79	0.2074	11.1611
Nov-20 [1]	27,341,600	25,847,525	405,964,600	0.00	0.0000	0.0000	0.94	0.2032	4.9473	0.000	0.0000	0.1599	0.544	0.1174	2.8958	0.87	0.1866	11.3477
Dec-20	37,449,900	35,050,700	441,015,300	0.00	0.0000	0.0000	1.49	0.4350	5.3823	0.049	0.0143	0.1741	1.017	0.2975	3.1933	3.71	1.0843	12.4320
2020 Totals	**454,917,400**	**441,015,300**			**0.0000**			**5.3823**			**0.1741**			**3.1933**			**12.4320**	

	Total Flow (gal)			trans-1,2-DCE			PCE			1,1,1-TCA			TCE			VC		
Month	GWTP Effluent	GWTP Influent	2020 Cumulative Influent	Influent Concentration (µg/l)	Mass Removal (lb)	2020 Cumulative Mass Removal (lb)	Influent Concentration (µg/l)	Mass Removal (lb)	2020 Cumulative Mass Removal (lb)	Influent Concentration (µg/l)	Mass Removal (lb)	2020 Cumulative Mass Removal (lb)	Influent Concentration (µg/l)	Mass Removal (lb)	2020 Cumulative Mass Removal (lb)	Influent Concentration (µg/l)	Mass Removal (lb)	2020 Cumulative Mass Removal (lb)
Jan-20	37,661,600	35,553,600	917,584,200	0.00	0.0000	0.0000	14.78	4.3849	4.3849	0.457	0.1356	0.1356	90.3	26.7894	26.7894	0.00	0.0000	0.0000
Feb-20	36,675,975	35,365,425	952,949,625	0.00	0.0000	0.0000	14.04	4.1438	8.5287	0.460	0.1357	0.2713	82.5	24.3427	51.1322	0.00	0.0000	0.0000
Mar-20	46,818,025	45,032,275	997,981,900	0.00	0.0000	0.0000	16.63	6.2491	14.7778	0.586	0.2203	0.4916	85.8	32.2571	83.3893	0.00	0.0000	0.0000
Apr-20	46,850,500	45,371,800	1,043,353,700	0.00	0.0000	0.0000	13.38	5.0671	19.8449	0.544	0.2061	0.6976	82.7	31.3009	114.6902	0.00	0.0000	0.0000
May-20	48,799,767	47,765,633	1,091,119,333	0.00	0.0000	0.0000	12.82	5.1103	24.9552	0.673	0.2683	0.9660	75.6	30.1153	144.8055	0.00	0.0000	0.0000
Jun-20	46,456,433	45,470,967	1,136,590,300	0.00	0.0000	0.0000	13.94	5.2876	30.2428	0.458	0.1736	1.1396	81.9	31.0594	175.8649	0.00	0.0000	0.0000
Jul-20	47,098,800	47,969,300	1,184,559,600	0.00	0.0000	0.0000	12.84	5.1408	35.3836	0.528	0.2115	1.3511	70.2	28.0935	203.9584	0.00	0.0000	0.0000
Aug-20	46,974,700	45,187,200	1,229,746,800	0.00	0.0000	0.0000	13.18	4.9707	40.3543	0.401	0.1512	1.5023	75.0	28.2924	232.2508	0.00	0.0000	0.0000
Sep-20	23,198,000	18,518,700	1,248,265,500	0.00	0.0000	0.0000	12.18	1.8817	42.2360	0.537	0.0830	1.5852	80.5	12.4392	244.6900	0.00	0.0000	0.0000
Oct-20	9,592,100	13,882,175	1,262,147,675	0.00	0.0000	0.0000	0.00	0.0000	42.2360	0.566	0.0656	1.6508	140.0	16.2175	260.9076	0.00	0.0000	0.0000
Nov-20	27,341,600	25,847,525	1,287,995,200	0.00	0.0000	0.0000	0.00	0.0000	42.2360	0.276	0.0596	1.7104	46.4	10.0076	270.9152	0.00	0.0000	0.0000
Dec-20	37,449,900	35,050,700	1,323,045,900	0.00	0.0000	0.0000	13.38	3.9141	46.1501	0.469	0.1373	1.8476	73.2	21.4067	292.3219	0.00	0.0000	0.0000
2020 Totals	**454,917,400**	**441,015,300**			**0.0000**			**46.1501**			**1.8476**			**292.3219**			**0.0000**	

2020 Cumulative Mass (VOCs) Removed (lbs)	**361.50**
2020 Average Monthly Mass (VOCs) Removed (lbs)	**30.13**

Notes:
CCl_4 = carbon tetrachloride
DCA = dichloroethane
TCA = trichloroethane
DCE = dichloroethene
TCE = trichloroethene
PCE = tetrachloroethene

Mass removal (lb) = Influent Concentration (ug/L) * Influent Flow (gal) * (2.20462 lb/kg) * (3.785 L/gal) * (10^9 ug/kg)
(1) Only well RW-3 was running at the time of sampling

Table 4
GM-38 Area Groundwater Remediation
Groundwater Treatment Plant
Naval Weapons Industrial Reserve Plant - Bethpage, NY
Air Sampling Results
Fourth Quarter 2020

DAR Parameters	Discharge Goal [3]	Units	October 2020				
Process Stream			Influent (VCI1)	Effluent	Effluent Duplicate	VC12	VC23
Sampling Date			10/5/20				
Average Flowrate		CFM	NR	8,858	NR	NR	NR
Total Flow [1]		ft^3	NR	395,409,960	NR	NR	NR
Total Flow [2]		m^3	NR	11,196,763	NR	NR	NR
1,2-Dichloroethane	NA	$\mu g/m^3$	0.72 J	ND	ND	ND	ND
cis 1,2-Dichloroethene	≤ 100,000 [4]	$\mu g/m^3$	6.8	ND	ND	14	ND
trans 1,2-Dichloroethene		$\mu g/m^3$	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	≤ 100,000	$\mu g/m^3$	6.7	ND	ND	14	ND
Toluene	N/A	$\mu g/m^3$	0.76 J	ND	ND	ND	0.31 J
Total Xylene	N/A	$\mu g/m^3$	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	N/A	$\mu g/m^3$	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Trichloroethene	≤ 2600	$\mu g/m^3$	380	ND	ND	7.7	ND
Vinyl Chloride	≤ 560	$\mu g/m^3$	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Tetrachloroethene	≤ 5100	$\mu g/m^3$	2.2 J	ND	ND	6.0	ND

Notes:
NA - Not applicable
ND - Not detected
NR - Not recorded
NS - Not sampled
SGC - Short-term Guideline Concentration
$\mu g/m^3$ - micrograms per cubic meter
CFM - cubic feet per minute
DAR - Division of Air Rescources
(1) Total Flow (ft^3) = avg flowrate (cfm) * operational time (min)
(2) Total Flow (m^3) = total flow (ft^3) * (0.3048^3)m^3/ft^3
(3) Disharge goal approved by NYSDEC's letter dated 10/31/2013.
(4) Discharge goal is for total 1,2-Dichloroethene.

Table 4
GM-38 Area Groundwater Remediation
Groundwater Treatment Plant
Naval Weapons Industrial Reserve Plant - Bethpage, NY
Air Sampling Results
Fourth Quarter 2020

DAR Parameters	Discharge Goal [3]	Units	November 2020				
Process Stream			Influent (VCI1)	Effluent	Effluent Duplicate	VC12	VC23
Sampling Date			11/2/20				
Average Flowrate		CFM	NR	8,875	NR	NR	NR
Total Flow [1]		ft^3	NR	383,378,400	NR	NR	NR
Total Flow [2]		m^3	NR	10,856,067	NR	NR	NR
1,2-Dichloroethane	NA	$\mu g/m^3$	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
cis 1,2-Dichloroethene	≤ 100,000 [4]	$\mu g/m^3$	6.0	ND	ND	28	ND
trans 1,2-Dichloroethene		$\mu g/m^3$	ND	ND	ND	1.2 J	ND
1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	≤ 100,000	$\mu g/m^3$	ND	ND	ND	29	ND
Toluene	N/A	$\mu g/m^3$	ND	16	ND	11	ND
Total Xylene	N/A	$\mu g/m^3$	ND	8.0	ND	7.4	ND
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	N/A	$\mu g/m^3$	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Trichloroethene	≤ 2600	$\mu g/m^3$	380	ND	ND	6.6	ND
Vinyl Chloride	≤ 560	$\mu g/m^3$	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Tetrachloroethene	≤ 5100	$\mu g/m^3$	ND	ND	ND	5.4	ND

Notes:
NA - Not applicable
ND - Not detected
NR - Not recorded
NS - Not sampled
SGC - Short-term Guideline Concentration
$\mu g/m^3$ - micrograms per cubic meter
CFM - cubic feet per minute
DAR - Division of Air Rescources
(1) Total Flow (ft^3) = avg flowrate (cfm) * operational time (min)
(2) Total Flow (m^3) = total flow (ft^3) * (0.3048^3)m^3/ft^3
(3) Disharge goal approved by NYSDEC's letter dated 10/31/2013.
(4) Discharge goal is for total 1,2-Dichloroethene.

Table 4
GM-38 Area Groundwater Remediation
Groundwater Treatment Plant
Naval Weapons Industrial Reserve Plant - Bethpage, NY
Air Sampling Results
Fourth Quarter 2020

DAR Parameters	Discharge Goal [3]	Units	December 2020				
Process Stream			Influent (VCI1)	Effluent	Effluent Duplicate	VC12	VC23
Sampling Date			12/1/20				
Average Flowrate		CFM	NR	9,117	NR	NR	NR
Total Flow [1]		ft^3	NR	405,046,095	NR	NR	NR
Total Flow [2]		m^3	NR	11,469,628	NR	NR	NR
1,2-Dichloroethane	NA	$\mu g/m^3$	1.5 J	ND	ND	ND	ND
cis 1,2-Dichloroethene	≤ 100,000 [4]	$\mu g/m^3$	33	ND	ND	41	ND
trans 1,2-Dichloroethene		$\mu g/m^3$	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	≤ 100,000	$\mu g/m^3$	33	ND	ND	41	ND
Toluene	N/A	$\mu g/m^3$	11	ND	3.2	1.5 J	ND
Total Xylene	N/A	$\mu g/m^3$	36	ND	19.0	ND	ND
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	N/A	$\mu g/m^3$	0.79 J	ND	ND	ND	ND
Trichloroethene	≤ 2600	$\mu g/m^3$	770	ND	ND	3.5 J	ND
Vinyl Chloride	≤ 560	$\mu g/m^3$	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Tetrachloroethene	≤ 5100	$\mu g/m^3$	120	ND	ND	1.3 J	ND

Notes:
NA - Not applicable
ND - Not detected
NR - Not recorded
NS - Not sampled
SGC - Short-term Guideline Concentration
$\mu g/m^3$ - micrograms per cubic meter
CFM - cubic feet per minute
DAR - Division of Air Rescources
(1) Total Flow (ft^3) = avg flowrate (cfm) * operational time (min)
(2) Total Flow (m^3) = total flow (ft^3) * (0.3048^3)m^3/ft^3
(3) Disharge goal approved by NYSDEC's letter dated 10/31/2013.
(4) Discharge goal is for total 1,2-Dichloroethene.

Table 5
GM-38 Area Groundwater Remediation
Groundwater Treatment Plant
Naval Weapons Industrial Reserve Plant - Bethpage, NY
Stack Emissions
Fourth Quarter 2020

DAR Parameters	Discharge Goal (1)	Units	October 2020	November 2020	December 2020
Sampling Date			10/5/20	11/2/20	12/1/20
Average Flowrate	N/A	CFM	8,858	8,875	9,117
Total Flow	N/A	ft^3	395,409,960	383,378,400	405,046,095
Total Flow	N/A	m^3	11,196,763	10,856,067	11,469,628
Trichloroethene	≤ 0.09	lb/hr	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000
Vinyl Chloride	≤ 0.02	lb/hr	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000
1,2 Dichloroethene	≤ 11	lb/hr	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000
1,2-Dichloroethane	N/A	lb/hr	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000
Toluene	N/A	lb/hr	0.00000	0.00053	0.00000
Total Xylene	N/A	lb/hr	0.00000	0.00027	0.00000
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	N/A	lb/hr	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000
Tetrachloroethene	≤ 0.18	lb/hr	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000

Notes:
NA - Not applicable
lb/hr - pounds per hour
DAR - Divison of Air Resources
CFM - Cubic feet per minute
Stack Emissions (lb/hr) = average flowrate (cfm) * (0.3048^3)m^3/ft^3 * conc.(ug/m^3) * 1 lb/453592370 ug * 60 min/hr

(1) Discharge goal as approved by NYSDEC's letter dated 31 October 2013.

Table 6
GM-38 Area Groundwater Remediation
Groundwater Treatment Plant
Naval Weapons Industrial Reserve Plant - Bethpage, NY
2020 Air Emission Summary

	TCE Effluent Emission Rate		VC Effluent Emission Rate		1,2-DCE Effluent Emission Rate		PCE Effluent Emission Rate	
Month	**lb/hr**	**lb/mo**	**lb/hr**	**lb/mo**	**lb/hr**	**lb/mo**	**lb/hr**	**lb/mo**
Jan-20	0.00000	0.000000	0.00000	0.000000	0.00199	1.478663	0.00000	0.000000
Feb-20	0.00000	0.000000	0.00002	0.015834	0.00187	1.299811	0.00000	0.000000
Mar-20	0.00000	0.000000	0.00005	0.039633	0.00174	1.294688	0.00000	0.000000
Apr-20	0.00003	0.018010	0.00003	0.020800	0.00194	1.395132	0.00000	0.000000
May-20	0.00012	0.087310	0.00003	0.025679	0.00159	1.181254	0.00000	0.000000
Jun-20	0.00016	0.113414	0.00000	0.000000	0.00000	0.000000	0.00000	0.000000
Jul-20	0.00004	0.027136	0.00001	0.010361	0.00000	0.000000	0.00003	0.021462
Aug-20	0.00000	0.000000	0.00007	0.050809	0.00000	0.000000	0.00000	0.000000
Sep-20	0.00000	0.000000	0.00000	0.000000	0.00000	0.000000	0.00000	0.000000
Oct-20	0.00000	0.000000	0.00000	0.000000	0.00000	0.000000	0.00000	0.000000
Nov-20	0.00000	0.000000	0.00000	0.000000	0.00000	0.000000	0.00000	0.000000
Dec-20	0.00000	0.000000	0.00000	0.000000	0.00000	0.000000	0.00000	0.000000

	TCE	**VC**	**1,2-DCE**	**PCE**
Discharge Goal (lb/yr)	**770**	**170**	**98,000**	**1,500**
2020 Total Emissions (lb/yr)	**0.25**	**0.16**	**6.65**	**0.02**

Notes:
lb/hr = pounds per hour
lb/mo = pounds per month
lb/yr = pounds per year
DCE = dichloroethene
PCE = tetrachloroethene
TCE = trichloroethene
VC = vinyl chloride

Emissions = average flowrate (cfm) * $(0.3048^{\wedge 3})m^3/ft^3$ * conc.(mg/m^3) * 0.000001 g/mg * 0.002205 lbs/g *
60 min/hr * operational time (hr)

Table 7
GM-38 Area Groundwater Remediation
Groundwater Treatment Plant
Naval Weapons Industrial Reserve Plant - Bethpage, NY
Groundwater Level Measurements
Fourth Quarter 2020

Monitoring Well ID	Date	Well Elevation (ft amsl)	Total Depth (ft)	Screen Interval (ft)	Depth to Water (ft)	Groundwater Elevation (ft amsl)
RW1-MW1	12/23/2020	85.86	435	395-435	34.17	51.69
RW1-MW2	12/23/2020	87.35	435	395-435	38.81	48.54
RW1-MW3	12/23/2020	80.34	435	395-435	28.17	52.17
RW2-MW1	12/23/2020	90.75	510	470-510	37.44	53.31
RW2-MW2	12/23/2020	90.15	510	470-510	37.16	52.99
RW2-MW3	12/23/2020	89.75	510	470-510	36.11	53.64
RW3-MW1	12/23/2020	92.22	350	330-350	37.28	54.94
RW3-MW2	12/23/2020	91.98	495	475-495	36.94	55.04
RW3-MW3	12/23/2020	92.98	340	320-340	39.04	53.94
RW3-MW4	12/23/2020	92.92	495	475-495	40.41	52.51
TP-01	12/23/2020	85.91	470	450-470	36.08	49.83
IW1-MW1	12/23/2020	89.41	150	20-150	33.88	55.53
RW-1	NA	91.37	340	320-340	56.14	NA
RW-3	NA	91.57	495	475-495	NA	NA

Notes:
amsl - above mean sea level
ft - feet
NA - Not Applicable

Table 8
GM-38 Area Groundwater Remediation
Groundwater Treatment Plant
Naval Weapons Industrial Reserve Plant - Bethpage, NY
Summary of Historical Groundwater Analytical Results
Through Fourth Quarter 2020

Sample ID	RW1-MW1																																	
Sample Date	5/4/2005	7/22/2005	5/27/2009	1/21/2010	4/21/2010	7/28/2010	11/10/2010	3/25/2011	6/14/2011[1]	6/14/2011	9/28/2011	11/30/2011	3/8/2012	6/6/2012	6/6/2012	8/21/2012	12/4/2012	3/13/2013	6/19/2013[2]	9/17/2013	12/16/2013	3/24/2014	3/24/2014	9/22/2014	3/25/2015	9/15/2015	3/22/2016	9/14/2016	3/1/2017	9/12/2017	3/5/2018	9/11/2018	3/7/2019	9/26/2019	3/11/2020	10/6/2020
Comments										Duplicate					Duplicate								Duplicate													
Well Depth (Ft)	435																																	
Screened Interval (Ft)	395-435																																	
VOCS (EPA 624) ug/L[4]																																		
Acrolein	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	30 R	ND	ND	NR	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	NR	NR	NR
Acrylonitrile	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Acetone	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
Benzene	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Bromodichloromethane	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Bromoform	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Bromomethane	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
2-butanone	R	R	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	ND	NR	NR	ND	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
carbon disulfide	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
Carbon tetrachloride	ND	ND	0.32J	ND	ND	ND	0.17J	ND	NR	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Chlorobenzene	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Dibromochloromethane	NR	NR	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Chloroethane	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
2-chloroethylvinyl ether	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	2.0 R	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	NR	NR	NR
Chloroform	ND	0.7J	1.1	ND	0.70J	0.65J	0.56J	0.55J	NR	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	0.55 J	0.39 J	ND	ND	0.48 J	0.48 J	0.50 J	0.58 J	0.55 J	0.500 J	0.573 J	ND	0.476 J	0.454 J
Chloromethane	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
cyclohexane	NR	NR	ND	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
1,2-dibromo-3-chloro-propane	ND	ND	ND	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
1,2-dibromomethane	ND	ND	ND	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
1,2-dichlorobenzene	NR	NR	ND	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
1,3-dichlorobenzene	NR	NR	ND	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
1,4-dichlorobenzene	NR	NR	ND	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
dichlorodifluoromethane	NR	NR	ND	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	ND	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
1,1-dichloroethane	0.74J	0.79J	3.3	2.9J	2.8	2.8	3.0	3.6	1.6 J	4.2 J	4.0 J	4.1	5.2	4.8	4.3	5.3	4.9	5.3	4.8 J	4.7 J	5.2	5.3	5.3	4.1 J	5.1	5.1	6.5	7.0	6.6	7.7	7.5	7.67	7.89	6.30	6.26	5.54
1,2-dichloroethane	ND	ND	0.29J	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	0.35 J	0.26 J	0.220 J	ND	0.227 J	ND	ND
1,1-dichloroethene	1.3	2.8	3.1	1.7J	1.9	1.7	1.7	1.9	0.85 J	2.1 J	2.3 J	2.1	2.7	2.5	2.3	2.8	2.0	2.8	ND	2.5	2.6	2.8	2.7	2.2 J	2.2 J	1.9	2.2	1.8	1.6	2.1	2.4	2.16	2.19 J	1.79 J	1.76 J	1.81 J
cis-1,2-dichloroethene	78.6	80.4	180D	130	121	118	108	121	55.8 J	145 J	164	132	179	165	145	167	108	91.7	64	86.2 J	84.4	92.6 J	94.2	49.8	39.5	22.0	20.0	16	11	9.8	7.1	3.00	3.86 J	4.70 J	3.86 J	4.33 J
trans-1,2-dichloroethene	2.0	1.3J	2.8	4J	2.9	2.1	1.3	4.2	0.71 J	2.0 J	2.0 J	1.7	3.0	3.7	2.6	2.4	1.8	1.7	ND	ND	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.0	0.79 J	0.50 J	0.51 J	0.42 J	0.26 J	0.35 J	0.20 J	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
1,2-dichloropropane	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
cis-1,3-dichloropropene	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
trans-1,3-dichloropropene	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
1,4-dioxane	1.75J	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	ND	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
Ethylbenzene	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
2-hexanone	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	ND	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
isopropylbenzene	NR	NR	ND	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
methyl acetate	NR	NR	ND	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
Methylene chloride	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
4-methyl-2-pentanone	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	ND	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
styrene	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	ND	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
1,1,2,2-tetrachloroethane	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
1,2,4-trichlorobenzene	NR	NR	ND	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
Tetrachloroethene	ND	ND	0.72J	ND	0.42J	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	0.36 J	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	0.35 J	0.67 J	0.33 J	0.37 J	0.76 J	0.30 J	0.62 J	0.67 J	0.45 J	0.46 J	0.59 J	0.50 J	0.300 J	0.323 BJ	0.401 J	0.308 J	0.395 J		
Toluene	ND	0.33J	0.68	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
1,1,1-trichloroethane	ND	ND	0.71J	ND	0.52J	0.43J	0.53J	0.79J	ND	0.63 J	1.1 J	0.66 J	0.96 J	0.98 J	0.89 J	0.99 J	0.88 J	1.1	ND	1.2	1.5	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	1.0	0.94 J	1	1.2	0.920 J	1.16 J	0.988 J	0.841 J	0.819 J	
1,1,2-trichloroethane	ND	ND	0.58J	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	NR	ND	0.33 J	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Trichloroethene	53.6	52.7	140.0	79.0	116	95.4	84.2	97.6	26.6 J	73.8 J	129	84.5	115	107	102	126	85	101	78	175	128	101	103	94.3	99.5	98.9	114	110	86	110	170	118	110	95.4	74.1	86.8	
Vinyl chloride	ND	ND	1.6	ND	ND	ND	0.17J	ND	ND	0.38 J	0.29 J	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
xylenes (total)	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
Mercury (EPA 245.1) ug/L	NR	NR	ND	0.20	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
TSS (SM20 2540D) mg/L	NR	NR	2.8	2.8	6.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	ND	6	ND	11	16	9	5	6	ND	ND	ND	ND	11	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	3.7

Table 8
GM-38 Area Groundwater Remediation
Groundwater Treatment Plant
Naval Weapons Industrial Reserve Plant - Bethpage, NY
Summary of Historical Groundwater Analytical Results
Through Fourth Quarter 2020

Sample ID	RW1-MW2				RW1-MW3																														
Sample Date	**5/4/2005**	**7/22/2005**	**5/28/2009**	**6/18/2013[D]**	**1/20/2010**	**4/21/2010**	**7/29/2010**	**11/10/2010**	**3/25/2011**	**6/14/2011**	**9/28/2011**	**11/30/2011**	**3/8/2012**	**6/7/2012**	**8/22/2012**	**12/7/2012**	**3/14/2013**	**6/19/2013[D]**	**9/17/2013**	**12/17/2013**	**3/25/2014**	**9/23/2014**	**3/25/2015**	**9/14/2015**	**3/21/2016**	**9/14/2016**	**3/1/2017**	**9/13/2017**	**3/5/2018**	**9/12/2018**	**3/7/2019**	**9/26/2019**	**3/12/2020**	**10/6/2020**
Comments																																			
Well Depth (Ft)	**435**				**435**																														
Screened Interval (Ft)	**395-435**				**395-435**																														
VOCS (EPA 624) ug/L [A]																																			
Acrolein	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	30 R	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	NR	NR	NR
Acrylonitrile	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Acetone	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
Benzene	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Bromodichloromethane	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Bromoform	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Bromomethane	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
2-butanone	R	R	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	ND	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
carbon disulfide	ND	ND	ND	NR	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
Carbon tetrachloride	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	0.41 J	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Chlorobenzene	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Dibromochloromethane	NR	NR	ND	ND	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Chloroethane	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
2-chloroethylvinyl ether	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
Chloroform	ND	1.4	ND	ND	0.67J	0.80J	0.47J	0.69J	0.73J	NR	0.97 J	ND	0.73 J	0.64 J	ND	1.2 J	ND	0.82	ND	ND	0.74 J	0.67 J	0.79 J	ND	0.79 J	0.80 J	0.61 J	0.69 J	0.67 J	0.720 J	0.725 J	ND	0.631 J	0.623 J	
Chloromethane	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	0.29 J	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	D	ND	ND	ND	ND	
cyclohexane	NR	NR	ND	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
1,2-dibromo-3-chloro-propane	ND	ND	ND	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
1,2-dibromomethane	ND	ND	ND	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
1,2-dichlorobenzene	NR	NR	ND	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
1,3-dichlorobenzene	NR	NR	ND	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
1,4-dichlorobenzene	NR	NR	ND	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
dichlorodifluoromethane	NR	NR	ND	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
1,1-dichloroethane	4.6	5.5	3.4	3.9	2.4	4.6	1.5	2.3	2.4	9.3	10.1 J	2.1	8.4	5.7	9.4	9.3	8.5	10	9.7 J	8.1	8.6	6.1 J	8.1	7.7	7.4	7.0	4.5	4.4	4.1	3.47	4.14 J	2.86 J	4.03 J	4.00 J	
1,2-dichloroethane	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	0.18 J	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
1,1-dichloroethene	3.2	12.3	ND	ND	0.42J	1.10	ND	0.28J	ND	1.8	2.2 J	ND	1.8	0.86 J	2.4	2.2	1.7	1.8	1.6	1.9	2.1	1.6 J	2.3 J	2.3	2.5	1.7	1.1	1.2	0.97 J	0.950 J	1.08 J	0.888 J	1.1 J	1.22 J
cis-1,2-dichloroethene	181.0	47.6	160.0	120	0.54J	0.48J	0.36J	0.55J	0.58J	0.59 J	0.43 J	0.55 J	0.68 J	0.33 J	0.56 J	0.46 J	0.53 J	0.46 J	0.72 J	0.60 J	0.57 J	0.44 J	0.54 J	0.49 J	0.58 J	0.44 J	0.29 J	0.37 J	0.36 J	0.310 J	0.398 J	0.363 J	0.39 J	0.275 J	
trans-1,2-dichloroethene	2.5	7.6	2.5	1.9 J	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
1,2-dichloropropane	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
cis-1,3-dichloropropene	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
trans-1,3-dichloropropene	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
1,4-dioxane	4.01	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	ND	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
Ethylbenzene	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
2-hexanone	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	ND	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
isopropylbenzene	NR	NR	ND	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
methyl acetate	NR	NR	ND	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
Methylene chloride	1.0	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
4-methyl-2-pentanone	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	ND	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
styrene	ND	ND	ND	NR	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
1,1,2,2-tetrachloroethane	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	0.23 J	ND	ND	ND	0.20 J	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	0.25 J	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
1,2,4-trichlorobenzene	NR	NR	ND	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
Tetrachloroethene	ND	134.0	19.0	5.9	ND	049J	ND	ND	ND	0.33 J	0.62 J	ND	0.65 J	0.30 J	0.97 J	0.40 J	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	0.50 J	ND	0.35 J	ND	0.22 J	0.23 J	0.290 J	ND	0.397 J	0.295 J	0.324 J	
Toluene	0.32J	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
1,1,1-trichloroethane	1.3	1.0	ND	ND	0.41J	0.98J	ND	0.26J	0.33J	1.6	2.7 J	ND	ND	1.1 J	1.9	1.7	1.4	1.8	1.5	2.0	1.7	1.2 J	1.5	1.6	2.1	1.6	1	1.1	0.87 J	0.810 J	1.27 J	0.711 J	0.754 J	0.817 J	
1,1,2-trichloroethane	ND	0.65J	ND	ND	0.62J	0.60J	0.36J	0.55J	0.41J	NR	0.57 J	0.63 J	0.70 J	0.61 J	0.56 J	0.54 J	0.61 J	0.46 J	ND	0.55 J	0.46 J	0.46 J	0.43 J	0.44 J	0.47 J	0.41 J	0.51 J	0.35 J	0.37 J	0.400 J	0.296 J	0.284 J	0.312 J	0.346 J	
Trichloroethene	158.0	198.0	200.0	64	1.2	1.6	0.58J	0.91J	1.0	1.4	1.8 J	1.0 J	2.2	1.3	2.3	1.6	1.9	1.7	2.5	3.2	2.5	1.9	2.0	2.4	4.5	3.5	2.3	2.8	2.2	2.24	4.49 J	3.34 J	3.21 J	3.13 J	
Vinyl chloride	12.9	187.0	4.1	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
xylenes (total)	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
Mercury (EPA 245.1) ug/L	NR	NR	0.20	NR	NR	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
TSS (SM20 2540D) mg/L	NR	NR	4.0	NR	NR	8.0	<4.0	<4.0	<4.0	ND	ND	ND	5	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	5	ND	ND	ND	ND	1.8	2.1	5.6	7.1	2.7	8.6	2.2	ND	2.3	

Table 8
GM-38 Area Groundwater Remediation
Groundwater Treatment Plant
Naval Weapons Industrial Reserve Plant - Bethpage, NY
Summary of Historical Groundwater Analytical Results
Through Fourth Quarter 2020

Sample ID	RW2-MW1																																		
Sample Date	**5/4/2005**	**7/20/2005**	**5/27/2009**	**1/18/2010**	**4/21/2010**	**7/28/2010**	**11/3/2010**	**3/24/2011**	**6/14/2011**	**9/27/2011**	**11/29/2011**	**3/7/2012**	**6/6/2012**	**8/21/2012**	**12/7/2012**	**3/13/2013**	**6/17/2013[2]**	**9/17/2013**	**12/17/2013**	**12/17/2013**	**3/25/2014**	**9/23/2014**	**3/26/2015**	**9/14/2015**	**3/21/2016**	**3/21/2016**	**9/15/2016**	**3/1/2017**	**9/13/2017**	**3/5/2018**	**9/11/2018**	**3/7/2019**	**9/25/2019**	**3/12/2020**	**10/6/2020**
Comments																				**Duplicate**						**Duplicate**									
Well Depth (Ft)	**510**																																		
Screened Interval (Ft)	**470-510**																																		
VOCS (EPA 624) ug/L [4]																																			
Acrolein	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	30 R	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	NR	NR	NR
Acrylonitrile	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Acetone	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
Benzene	ND	ND	ND	ND	0.15J	0.69J	0.58J	0.30J	NR	0.22 J	0.27 J	0.22 J	ND	ND	0.68 J	0.54 J	ND	0.59 J	ND	ND	0.21 J	0.21 J	0.56 J	ND	ND	0.18 J	ND	0.51 J	ND	ND	0.250 J	ND	ND	0.233 J	0.208 J
Bromodichloromethane	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Bromoform	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Bromomethane	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	1.8 J	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
2-butanone	R	R	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	ND	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
carbon disulfide	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
Carbon tetrachloride	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Chlorobenzene	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	7.0 J	1.6 J	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Dibromochloromethane	NR	NR	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Chloroethane	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
2-chloroethylvinyl ether	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	2.0 R	2.0 R	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
Chloroform	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	0.38 J	ND	ND	ND	2.9	ND	ND	ND	2.8 J	1.5	0.46 J	2.2	3.4	3.5	2.4	0.25 J	2	1.0	0.550 J	2.03 J	ND	ND	ND
Chloromethane	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	0.68 J	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
cyclohexane	NR	NR	ND	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
1,2-dibromo-3-chloro-propane	ND	ND	ND	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
1,2-dibromomethane	ND	ND	ND	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
1,2-dichlorobenzene	NR	NR	ND	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
1,3-dichlorobenzene	NR	NR	ND	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
1,4-dichlorobenzene	NR	NR	ND	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
dichlorodifluoromethane	NR	NR	ND	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
1,1-dichloroethane	0.53J	0.93J	1.2J	0.82J	0.60J	0.58J	0.42J	ND	0.61 J	0.64 J	ND	0.50 J	4.2	4.8	0.58 J	0.52 J	7.0	ND	5.8	6.4	5.1	ND	2.1	6.3	8.7	8.5	6.4	1.7	6.6	6.5	2.75	7.81	6.03	2.18 J	2.38
1,2-dichloroethane	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	1.3	ND	1.9 J	1.7 J	1.3	0.69 J	0.41 J	1.4	1.4	1.3	0.93 J	ND	0.71 J	0.39 J	0.330 J	0.552 J	0.627 J	0.26 J	0.393 J
1,1-dichloroethene	ND	0.58J	0.55J	0.63J	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	0.55 J	0.95 J	0.19 J	ND	1.9	ND	2.6	2.6	1.8	1.3 J	0.61 J	2.6	3.7	3.4	1.6	0.27 J	1.3	1.5	0.470 J	1.67 J	1.87 J	0.269 J	0.532 J
cis-1,2-dichloroethene	ND	0.55J	1.9	1.0	0.78J	0.80J	0.55J	0.43J	0.56 J	0.32 J	0.39 J	0.34 J	0.32 J	0.39 J	0.33 J	0.29 J	7.7	0.77 J	11.0 J	11.1 J	8.0	4.0	2.6	13.3	15.3	15.0	6.1	1.3	2.6	1.2	1.09	2.42 J	7.18	1.66 J	4.01 J
trans-1,2-dichloroethene	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
1,2-dichloropropane	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
cis-1,3-dichloropropene	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
trans-1,3-dichloropropene	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
1,4-dioxane	5.34	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	ND	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
Ethylbenzene	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
2-hexanone	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	ND	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
isopropylbenzene	NR	NR	ND	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
methyl acetate	NR	NR	ND	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
Methylene chloride	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
4-methyl-2-pentanone	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	ND	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
styrene	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
1,1,2,2-tetrachloroethane	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
1,2,4-trichlorobenzene	NR	NR	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
Tetrachloroethene	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Toluene	ND	0.85J	1.0	ND	0.52J	0.49J	0.50J	ND	NR	0.24 J	0.29 J	0.19 J	ND	ND	0.27 J	ND	ND	0.31 J	ND	ND	ND	ND	0.26 J	ND	0.20 J	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
1,1,1-trichloroethane	ND	0.37J	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	0.33 J	ND	ND	0.84	ND	0.94 J	0.94 J	ND	0.39 J	ND	ND	ND	ND	0.56 J	ND	0.49 J	0.43 J	ND	0.761 J	0.712 J	ND	ND
1,1,2-trichloroethane	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Trichloroethene	37.6	34.6	12.0	15.0	0.42J	ND	ND	1.7	1.6	0.89 J	0.67 J	0.67 J	9.0	20.8	0.73 J	0.67 J	14	1.5	34.6	33.5	23.4	18.3	4.3	27.5	43.9	44.2	18	2.1	8.6	7.1	2.61	9.65	15.7	1.49 J	2.82 J
Vinyl chloride	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
xylenes (total)	ND	1.4J	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
Mercury (EPA 245.1) ug/L	NR	NR	0.05J	NR	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
TSS (SM20 2540D) mg/L	NR	NR	2260.0	NR	58.0	<4.0	<4.0	<4.0	181	5	36	6	25	12	10	ND	13	12	30	24	12	6	17	11	24	26	3.8	13.8	8.7	12.1	7.6	24.2	14	36.2	116

Table 8
GM-38 Area Groundwater Remediation
Groundwater Treatment Plant
Naval Weapons Industrial Reserve Plant - Bethpage, NY
Summary of Historical Groundwater Analytical Results
Through Fourth Quarter 2020

Sample ID	RW2-MW2			RW2-MW3				RW3-MW1																																			
Sample Date	5/4/2005	7/21/2005	6/17/2013[2]	5/3/2005	7/20/2005	5/28/2009	6/18/2013[2]	1/19/2010	4/22/2010	7/29/2010	11/9/2010	3/25/2011	3/25/2011	6/14/2011	9/27/2011	11/30/2011	11/30/2011	3/7/2012	6/7/2012	8/22/2012	12/6/2012	3/14/2013	6/20/2013[2]	6/20/2013[2]	9/18/2013	12/17/2013	3/25/2014	9/23/2014	3/25/2015	9/15/2015	3/22/2016	9/14/2016	3/2/2017	9/12/2017	3/6/2018	9/11/2018	3/5/2019	9/25/2019	3/11/2020	10/6/2020
Comments													Duplicate				Duplicate							Duplicate																		
Well Depth (Ft)	510			510																				350																		
Screened Interval (Ft)	470-510			470-510				330-350																																		
VOCS (EPA 624) ug/L[4]																																										
Acrolein	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	30 R	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	30 R	ND	ND	NR	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	NR	NR	NR
Acrylonitrile	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Acetone	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
Benzene	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Bromodichloromethane	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Bromoform	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Bromomethane	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
2-butanone	R	R	ND	R	R	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
carbon disulfide	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	NR	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
Carbon tetrachloride	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	0.19J	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Chlorobenzene	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Dibromochloromethane	NR	NR	ND	NR	NR	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Chloroethane	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
2-chloroethylvinyl ether	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	NR	NR	NR
Chloroform	ND	ND	0.55	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	0.20J	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	0.63 J	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Chloromethane	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
cyclohexane	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	ND	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
1,2-dibromo-3-chloro-propane	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
1,2-dibromomethane	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
1,2-dichlorobenzene	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	ND	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
1,3-dichlorobenzene	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	ND	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
1,4-dichlorobenzene	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	ND	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
dichlorodifluoromethane	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	ND	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
1,1-dichloroethane	ND	0.78J	4.9	0.68J	0.31J	1.4	7.4	1.6	1.5	1.7	1.4	1.3	1.3	1.1	1.0 J	0.96 J	0.93 J	0.90 J	0.80 J	0.87 J	0.98 J	1.2	ND	ND	1.2 J	1.2	1.1	0.69 J	0.64 J	0.76 J	0.40 J	0.33 J	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
1,2-dichloroethane	ND	ND	0.32 J	ND	ND	ND	ND	0.27J	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	0.57 J	ND	ND	0.43 J	ND	ND	0.50 J	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
1,1-dichloroethene	ND	0.41J	0.72	ND	ND	0.42J	ND	1.2	1.3	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.1	0.85 J	0.65 J	0.64 J	0.66 J	0.47 J	0.19 J	0.54 J	0.65 J	0.68 J	ND	ND	0.57 J	0.69 J	0.74 J	0.43 J	0.42 J	0.41 J	0.29 J	0.21 J	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
cis-1,2-dichloroethene	0.33J	0.41J	4.6	0.40J	0.66J	2.3	ND	0.37J	ND	0.32J	0.45J	0.47J	0.45J	0.48 J	0.31 J	0.36 J	0.43 J	0.37 J	0.39 J	0.36 J	0.44 J	0.38 J	ND	ND	0.43 J	0.41 J	0.38 J	0.30 J	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
trans-1,2-dichloroethene	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
1,2-dichloropropane	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
cis-1,3-dichloropropene	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
trans-1,3-dichloropropene	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
1,4-dioxane	7.45J	NR	NR	7.42J	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
Ethylbenzene	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
2-hexanone	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	ND	ND	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
isopropylbenzene	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	ND	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	ND	ND	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
methyl acetate	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	ND	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
Methylene chloride	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
4-methyl-2-pentanone	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	ND	ND	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
styrene	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	NR	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
1,1,2,2-tetrachloroethane	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
1,2,4-trichlorobenzene	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	ND	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
Tetrachloroethene	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	0.49J	0.81J	0.73J	1.5	1.4	1.6	1.2	1.3 J	1.0	1.1	1.0	0.33 J	ND	0.44 J	1.6	1.8 J	1.7 J	1.2	1.6	1.5	1.6	2.2	1.6	2.5	2.3	1.9	1.6	1.7	1.71	ND	1.69 J	1.73 J	2.50 J		
Toluene	0.33J	0.53J	ND	ND	0.50J	0.39J	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	0.26 J	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
1,1,1-trichloroethane	ND	ND	0.34 J	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	0.98J	0.84J	1.2	1.1	1.1	0.78 J	1.0 J	0.59 J	0.63 J	0.58 J	0.54 J	0.42 J	0.34 J	0.49 J	ND	ND	0.61 J	0.66 J	0.66 J	0.39 J	0.35 J	0.36 J	0.30 J	0.21 J	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
1,1,2-trichloroethane	D	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Trichloroethene	7.8	13.8	12	16.2	20.6	18.0	60	35.0	53.2	52.3	77.6	76.2	77.9	63.1	72.4 J	51.0	55.2	59.0	42.5	37.7	42.8	46.6	49	48	62.7	60.5	60.0	43.4	41.8	45.4	37.6	40	27	22	19	19.2	19.3	21.1	18.4	23.1		
Vinyl chloride	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
xylenes (total)	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
Mercury (EPA 245.1) ug/L	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	ND	NR	NR	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	0.04 J	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
TSS (SM20 2540D) mg/L	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	14.8	NR	NR	<4.0	<4.0	<4.0	<4.0	<4.0	5160	ND	ND	ND	NR	17	ND	ND	16	ND	9.5 J	ND	15	14	8	12	ND	ND	ND	3.3	2.9	1.3	3.3	16.4	16	15.3	1.1		

Table 8
GM-38 Area Groundwater Remediation
Groundwater Treatment Plant
Naval Weapons Industrial Reserve Plant - Bethpage, NY
Summary of Historical Groundwater Analytical Results
Through Fourth Quarter 2020

Sample ID	RW3-MW2																																			
Sample Date	1/19/2010	1/19/2010	4/22/2010	7/29/2010	11/9/2010	11/9/2010	3/25/2011	6/14/2011	9/27/2011	11/30/2011	3/8/2012	6/7/2012	8/22/2012	8/22/2012	12/4/2012	12/4/2012	3/14/2013	6/20/2013[2]	9/17/2013	12/17/2013	3/25/2014	9/23/2014	9/23/2014	3/25/2015	9/14/2015	3/22/2016	9/14/2016	9/14/2016	3/2/2017	3/2/2017	9/12/2017	3/6/2018	9/11/2018	3/5/2019	9/25/2019	3/11/2020	10/6/2020
Comments		Duplicate			Duplicate									Duplicate		Duplicate							Duplicate					Duplicate		Duplicate							
Well Depth (Ft)	495																																			
Screened Interval (Ft)	475-495																																			
VOCS (EPA 624) ug/L [4]																																				
Acrolein	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	30 R	30 R	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Acrylonitrile	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Acetone	NR	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
Benzene	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Bromodichloromethane	NR	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Bromoform	NR	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Bromomethane	NR	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
2-butanone	NR	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	ND	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
carbon disulfide	NR	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
Carbon tetrachloride	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Chlorobenzene	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Dibromochloromethane	NR	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Chloroethane	NR	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
2-chloroethylvinyl ether	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	NR	NR	NR
Chloroform	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	0.23 J	ND	ND	0.62 J	0.64 J	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	0.27 J	0.24 J	0.23 J	0.26 J	0.24 J	0.24 J	0.23 J	ND	ND	ND	ND
Chloromethane	NR	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
cyclohexane	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
1,2-dibromo-3-chloro-propane	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
1,2-dibromomethane	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
1,2-dichlorobenzene	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
1,3-dichlorobenzene	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
1,4-dichlorobenzene	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
dichlorodifluoromethane	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
1,1-dichloroethane	ND	ND	0.54J	ND	ND	ND	ND	0.52 J	0.37 J	ND	0.41 J	0.66 J	0.74 J	0.73 J	0.69 J	0.71 J	0.68 J	ND	0.65 J	0.59 J	0.62 J	0.51 J	0.51 J	0.56 J	0.47 J	0.52 J	0.39 J	0.34 J	0.39 J	0.47 J	0.36 J	0.29 J	0.290 J	0.364 J	ND	0.203 J	0.287 J
1,2-dichloroethane	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
1,1-dichloroethene	ND	ND	1.2	ND	ND	ND	ND	0.57 J	0.45 J	0.27 J	0.27 J	0.36 J	0.49 J	0.49 J	0.40 J	0.43 J	0.53 J	ND	0.29 J	0.45 J	0.44 J	0.38 J	0.33 J	0.33 J	0.30 J	0.46 J	0.31 J	0.37 J	0.25 J	ND	0.26 J	ND	ND	0.256 J	ND	ND	ND
cis-1,2-dichloroethene	1.5J	1.6J	2.4	1.1	0.92J	0.92J	1.6	1.7	1.1	1.4	1.3	1.5	1.6	1.5	1.6	1.6	1.6	ND	1.3 J	1.9	1.7	1.4	1.3	1.5	1.4	1.7	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.3	1.3	1.2	0.990 J	1.10 J	0.994 J	1.00 J	0.809 J
trans-1,2-dichloroethene	ND	ND	0.43 J	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
1,2-dichloropropane	NR	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	0.69 J	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
cis-1,3-dichloropropene	NR	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
trans-1,3-dichloropropene	NR	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
1,4-dioxane	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	ND	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
Ethylbenzene	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
2-hexanone	NR	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	ND	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
isopropylbenzene	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	ND	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
methyl acetate	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
Methylene chloride	NR	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
4-methyl-2-pentanone	NR	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	ND	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
styrene	NR	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
1,1,2,2-tetrachloroethane	NR	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
1,2,4-trichlorobenzene	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
Tetrachloroethene	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	0.28 J	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	0.29 J	ND	ND	ND	0.52 J	0.66 J	0.48 J	0.54 J	0.44 J	0.38 J	0.43 J	0.38 J	0.430 J	0.328 J	0.477 J	0.367 J	0.317 J
Toluene	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
1,1,1-trichloroethane	ND	ND	0.58J	ND	ND	ND	ND	0.39 J	0.43 J	ND	ND	0.54 J	0.52 J	0.49 J	0.42 J	0.43 J	0.41 J	ND	0.47 J	0.50 J	0.43 J	0.36 J	0.39 J	0.38 J	0.41 J	0.47 J	0.44 J	0.47 J	0.41 J	0.34 J	0.26 J	0.33 J	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
1,1,2-trichloroethane	ND	ND	ND	ND	0.25 J	0.27J	ND	NR	0.32 J	0.32 J	0.32 J	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	0.32 J	0.31 J	0.21 J	0.32 J	0.24 J	0.26 J	ND	ND	0.240 J	0.204 J	ND	ND
Trichloroethene	160	170	211	73	58.2	60.9	110	135	151	71.9	96.5	209	198	192	173 J	171	155	140	174	176	164	148	151	159	169	204	190	190	160	150	140	130	131	118	131	128	119
Vinyl chloride	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
xylenes (total)	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
Mercury (EPA 245.1) ug/L	NR	NR	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
TSS (SM20 2540D) mg/L	NR	NR	5.0	6.0	ND	10.0	10.0	7	6	ND	8	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND

Table 8
GM-38 Area Groundwater Remediation
Groundwater Treatment Plant
Naval Weapons Industrial Reserve Plant - Bethpage, NY
Summary of Historical Groundwater Analytical Results
Through Fourth Quarter 2020

Sample ID	RW3-MW3																																							
Sample Date	1/20/2010	4/22/2010	4/22/2010	7/28/2010	11/3/2010 [1]	3/25/2011	6/15/2011	9/28/2011	11/29/2011	3/7/2012	3/7/2012	6/7/2012	8/22/2012	12/4/2012	3/14/2013	6/21/2013 [2]	9/18/2013	12/17/2013	3/26/2014	9/23/2014	3/25/2015	3/25/2015	9/15/2015	3/21/2016	9/15/2016	3/2/2017	9/12/2017	9/12/2017	3/6/2018	3/6/2018	9/12/2018	9/12/2018	3/5/2019	3/5/2019	9/25/2019	9/25/2019	3/11/2020	3/11/2020	10/6/2020	10/6/2020
Comments			Duplicate								Duplicate											Duplicate						Duplicate		Duplicate		Duplicate		Duplicate		Duplicate		Duplicate		Duplicate
Well Depth (Ft)	340																																							
Screened Interval (Ft)	320-340																																							
VOCS (EPA 624) ug/L [4]																																								
Acrolein	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	150 R	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
Acrylonitrile	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Acetone	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	ND	NR	NR
Benzene	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Bromodichloromethane	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Bromoform	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Bromomethane	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
2-butanone	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	ND	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
carbon disulfide	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
Carbon tetrachloride	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Chlorobenzene	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Dibromochloromethane	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Chloroethane	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
2-chloroethylvinyl ether	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	2.0 R	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
Chloroform	ND	ND	0.40J	0.46J	ND	0.33J	NR	0.48 J	ND	0.42 J	0.42 J	2.3 J	ND	0.88 J	ND	ND	ND	3.4 J	ND	0.27 J	0.40 J	0.33 J	ND	ND	0.48 J	0.45 J	0.35 J	0.27 J	0.33 J	0.37 J	0.400 J	0.400 J	0.420 J	0.370 J	ND	ND	0.269 J	0.324 J	0.328 J	0.342 J
Chloromethane	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
cyclohexane	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
1,2-dibromo-3-chloro-propane	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
1,2-dibromomethane	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
1,2-dichlorobenzene	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
1,3-dichlorobenzene	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
1,4-dichlorobenzene	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
dichlorodifluoromethane	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
1,1-dichloroethane	ND	1.6	1.6	2.3	1.0	1.5	7.1	3.2 J	1.5	3.3	3.3	2.6 J	ND	4.2	4.5 J	ND	ND	3.7 J	4.9 J	1.3 J	1.8	1.8	1.2	4.0	3.5	2.9	2.5	2.2	2.0	2.3	2.08	2.24	2.21 J	1.97 J	1.85 J	1.80 J	2.01 J	2.06 J	1.78 J	1.90 J
1,2-dichloroethane	ND	0.52J	0.54J	ND	ND	ND	0.37 J	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	0.30 J	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	0.255 J	ND	ND	0.223 J	ND	ND
1,1-dichloroethene	ND	1.1	1.3	1.2	ND	0.96J	2.6	1.8 J	0.96 J	1.9	1.9	1.7 J	1.4 J	1.9	2.1 J	ND	ND	ND	2.4 J	0.94 J	1.5 J	1.4 J	1.1	2.4	2.0	1.3	ND	0.78 J	1.1	1.2	1.00	1.14	1.17 J	1.14 J	1.52 J	1.36 J	1.13 J	1.26 J	1.38 J	1.39 J
cis-1,2-dichloroethene	ND	2.1	2.1	1.7	ND	2.3	1.2	1.9	2.1	2.1	2.1	1.4 J	1.8 J	1.2	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	1.2	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.1	1.1	0.83 J	0.8 J	0.76 J	0.63 J	0.59 J	0.590 J	0.640 J	0.840 J	0.805 J	1.07 J	1.05 J	0.91 J	0.983 J	0.803 J	0.840 J
trans-1,2-dichloroethene	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
1,2-dichloropropane	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
cis-1,3-dichloropropene	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
trans-1,3-dichloropropene	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
1,4-dioxane	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	ND	ND	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
Ethylbenzene	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
2-hexanone	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	ND	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
isopropylbenzene	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
methyl acetate	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
Methylene chloride	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	3.2 J	ND	6.2 J	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
4-methyl-2-pentanone	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	ND	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
styrene	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
1,1,2,2-tetrachloroethane	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
1,2,4-trichlorobenzene	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
Tetrachloroethene	ND	0.45J	0.49J	ND	ND	ND	0.40 J	0.50 J	ND	0.72 J	0.69 J	ND	ND	0.43 J	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	0.36 J	0.37 J	0.77 J	0.71 J	0.58 J	0.43 J	0.31 J	0.44 J	0.36 J	0.32 J	0.390 J	0.390 J	ND	ND	0.672 J	0.727 J	0.446 J	0.471 J	0.533 J	0.545 J
Toluene	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
1,1,1-trichloroethane	ND	0.95J	1.0J	0.72J	ND	0.62J	1.3	1.0 J	0.49 J	0.84 J	0.87 J	ND	ND	0.85 J	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	0.40 J	0.48 J	0.45 J	0.36 J	1.1	0.75 J	0.69 J	0.46 J	0.38 J	0.61 J	0.57 J	0.550 J	0.530 J	0.594 J	0.599 J	0.518 J	0.467 J	0.472 J	0.388 J	0.402 J	0.384 J
1,1,2-trichloroethane	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Trichloroethene	350	397	382	297	8.5	288	331	215 J	250	312	325	285	248	291	347	410	322	322	350	147	182	184	138	284	260	200	100	95	150	160	124	155	151	147	176	172	178 J-	183	180	180
Vinyl chloride	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
xylenes (total)	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
Mercury (EPA 245.1) ug/L	NR	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	0.88 J	0.122 J	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
TSS (SM20 2540D) mg/L	NR	4.0	5.0	<4.0	<4.0	<4.0	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	13	10	5	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	1.1	2.4	3	1.9	4.7 J	2.8 J	5.7	6.0	5.1	1.6	2.6 J	1.7	2.3	2.3	6.5	5.9

Table 8
GM-38 Area Groundwater Remediation
Groundwater Treatment Plant
Naval Weapons Industrial Reserve Plant - Bethpage, NY
Summary of Historical Groundwater Analytical Results
Through Fourth Quarter 2020

Sample ID	RW3-MW4																														
Sample Date	**1/20/2010**	**4/22/2010**	**7/28/2010**	**7/28/2010**	**11/3/2010 [1]**	**3/24/2011**	**6/15/2011**	**9/28/2011**	**11/29/2011**	**3/7/2012**	**6/7/2012**	**8/22/2012**	**12/4/2012**	**3/14/2013**	**6/21/2013 [2]**	**9/17/2013**	**12/17/2013**	**3/26/2014**	**9/23/2014**	**3/25/2015**	**9/15/2015**	**3/21/2016**	**9/15/2016**	**3/2/2017**	**9/12/2017**	**3/6/2018**	**9/12/2018**	**3/5/2019**	**9/25/2019**	**3/11/2020**	**10/5/2020**
Comments				**Duplicate**																											
Well Depth (Ft)	**495**																														
Screened Interval (Ft)	**475-495**																														
VOCS (EPA 624) ug/L [4]																															
Acrolein	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	30 R	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	NR	NR	NR
Acrylonitrile	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	NR	NR	NR
Acetone	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
Benzene	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Bromodichloromethane	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Bromoform	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Bromomethane	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
2-butanone	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	ND	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
carbon disulfide	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
Carbon tetrachloride	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Chlorobenzene	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Dibromochloromethane	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Chloroethane	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
2-chloroethylvinyl ether	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	NR	NR	NR	NR
Chloroform	ND	ND	ND	ND	0.32J	ND	NR	0.87 J	ND	0.38 J	ND	ND	0.71 J	ND	1.2	ND	ND	1.2 J	0.38 J	1.2	ND	0.64 J	ND	ND	0.21 J	0.47 J	ND	0.996 J	ND	0.954 J	ND
Chloromethane	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
cyclohexane	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
1,2-dibromo-3-chloro-propane	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
1,2-dibromomethane	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
1,2-dichlorobenzene	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
1,3-dichlorobenzene	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
1,4-dichlorobenzene	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
dichlorodifluoromethane	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
1,1-dichloroethane	2.5	0.6	0.54J	0.50J	1.8	0.81	0.78 J	5.4 J	0.84 J	1.8	0.50 J	ND	1.2	3.8	4.6	2.9	4.9	5.5	2.7 J	6.9	0.88 J	4.9	2.0	1.5	2.6	3.9	1.47	6.22	5.72	6.99	1.50 J
1,2-dichloroethane	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	0.23 J	ND	ND	0.37 J	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	0.235 J	0.253 J	ND	ND
1,1-dichloroethene	1.0	ND	ND	ND	0.86J	ND	0.20 J	0.53 J	ND	0.21 J	ND	ND	0.19 J	0.38 J	0.42 J	ND	0.39 J	0.95 J	0.37 J	1.3 J	0.21 J	0.85 J	0.40 J	0.27 J	0.41 J	0.70 J	0.340 J	0.981 J	1.37 J	1.7 J	0.409 J
cis-1,2-dichloroethene	0.46J	ND	ND	ND	1.6	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	0.21 J	ND	ND	0.351 J	0.475 J	0.465 J	ND
trans-1,2-dichloroethene	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
1,2-dichloropropane	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
cis-1,3-dichloropropene	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
trans-1,3-dichloropropene	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
1,4-dioxane	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
Ethylbenzene	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
2-hexanone	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	ND	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
isopropylbenzene	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
methyl acetate	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
Methylene chloride	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	0.43 J	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
4-methyl-2-pentanone	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	ND	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
styrene	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
1,1,2,2-tetrachloroethane	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
1,2,4-trichlorobenzene	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
Tetrachloroethene	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	0.31 J	0.46 J	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Toluene	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
1,1,1-trichloroethane	ND	ND	ND	ND	0.67J	ND	ND	0.66 J	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	0.29 J	ND	0.39 J	0.48 J	ND	0.60 J	ND	0.48 J	0.24 J	ND	0.26 J	0.40 J	ND	0.481 J	0.668 J	0.727 J	ND
1,1,2-trichloroethane	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Trichloroethene	21	11	7.5	8.0	308	7.7	6.7	3.4 J	5.6	4.6	5.4	5.5	4.5	2.3	1.8	5.0	4.4	3.3	2.5	2.7	4.1	2.9	4.3	4.1	5.4	1.5	2.66	2.12 J	1.30 J	2.15 J	3.02 J
Vinyl chloride	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
xylenes (total)	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
Mercury (EPA 245.1) ug/L	NR	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
TSS (SM20 2540D) mg/L	NR	16.0	<4.0	<4.0	<4.0	<4.0	ND	11	6	5	ND	ND	ND	22	ND	ND	ND	9	5	5	ND	ND	1.4	ND	1.3	ND	ND	1.3	2.7	2.6	2.2

Table 8
GM-38 Area Groundwater Remediation
Groundwater Treatment Plant
Naval Weapons Industrial Reserve Plant - Bethpage, NY
Summary of Historical Groundwater Analytical Results
Through Fourth Quarter 2020

Sample ID	TP-01																													IW-1 MW-1		IW-1	RW-3 [3]				
Sample Date	1/21/2010	6/15/2011	9/27/2011	9/27/2011	11/30/2011	3/8/2012	6/6/2012	8/22/2012	12/4/2012	3/13/2013	3/13/2013	6/17/2013[2]	9/17/2013	9/17/2013	12/16/2013	3/25/2014	9/22/2014	3/25/2015	9/14/2015	9/14/2015	3/21/2016	9/14/2016	3/1/2017	9/13/2017	3/5/2018	9/11/2018	3/6/2019	9/25/2019	3/12/2020	10/6/2020	5/3/2005	6/18/2013[2]	5/27/2009	9/15/2015	3/22/2016	9/15/2016	3/2/2017	9/13/2017
Comments				Duplicate							Duplicate			Duplicate						Duplicate																		
Well Depth (Ft)	470																													150		230	530				
Screened Interval (Ft)	450-470																													20-150		200-230	392-412				
VOCs (EPA 624) ug/L [4]																																					
Acrolein	NR	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	30 R	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
Acrylonitrile	NR	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	NR	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	NR	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND
Acetone	NR	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	NR
Benzene	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Bromodichloromethane	NR	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	0.34 J	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	0.53 J	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Bromoform	NR	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Bromomethane	NR	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
2-butanone	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	ND	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	R	ND	ND	NR	NR	NR	NR
carbon disulfide	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	ND	NR	ND	NR	NR	NR	NR
Carbon tetrachloride	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Chlorobenzene	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Dibromochloromethane	NR	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Chloroethane	NR	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
2-chloroethylvinyl ether	NR	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	2.0 R	2.0 R	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	2.0 R	ND	ND	ND	NR	NR	ND	ND	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	ND	ND	ND	NR	NR
Chloroform	ND	NR	0.68 J	0.74 J	ND	0.74 J	0.82 J	ND	2.5 J	1.2	1.1	11	5.2 J	ND	7.4	6.8 J	1.9	2.6	1.3	1.3	1.7	1.6	1.2	6.3	2.0	1.08	0.684 J	ND	ND	0.292 J	0.94J	ND	0.98J	ND	0.46 J	0.26 J	ND	0.28 J
Chloromethane	NR	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND
cyclohexane	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	ND	NR	NR	NR	NR
1,2-dibromo-3-chloro-propane	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	ND	NR	ND	NR	NR	NR	NR
1,2-dibromomethane	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	ND	NR	ND	NR	NR	NR	NR
1,2-dichlorobenzene	NR	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
1,3-dichlorobenzene	NR	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
1,4-dichlorobenzene	NR	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
dichlorodifluoromethane	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	ND	NR	NR	NR	NR
1,1-dichloroethane	3.6J	5.0	3.7	3.7	2.9	3.7	3.7	3.4	1.1	1.5	1.4	3.2	2.1 J	2.8	1.5	ND	1.3 J	2.5	2.1	2.0	1.8	2.1	0.78 J	1.3	1.2	1.24	0.717 J	0.381 J	0.335 J	0.363 J	0.39J	0.51	0.22J	1.9	2.1	1.8	1.4 J	1.5
1,2-dichloroethane	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	0.35 J	0.36 J	0.37 J	0.30 J	ND	ND	ND	ND	0.67 J	0.88 J	0.82 J	0.82 J	0.82 J	0.86 J	0.70 J	0.45 J	0.79	0.79 J	0.650 J	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
1,1-dichloroethene	ND	1.7	1.1	1.0	1.0	1.2	1.4	1.1	0.23 J	0.44 J	0.42 J	0.77	0.66 J	0.74 J	0.33 J	0.22 J	0.47 J	1.2 J	0.77 J	0.83 J	0.75 J	0.68 J	0.23 J	0.36 J	0.46 J	0.420 J	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	1.9	2.5	1.5	1.3 J	1.4
cis-1,2-dichloroethene	190	43.4	40.4	40.2	74.9	53.3	29.9	16.1	4.2	5.8	5.8	8.7	14.1 J	14.7	8.0	5.3	7.6	13.4	11.3	11.6	10.8	12	5	11	12	12.1	6.01	3.75 J	3.01 J	3.14 J	ND	ND	ND	1.6	2.4	1.4	1.6 J	1.9
trans-1,2-dichloroethene	3.0J	1.1	1.0 J	0.92 J	1.1	0.87 J	0.79 J	0.35 J	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	0.23 J	ND	ND	ND
1,2-dichloropropane	NR	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
cis-1,3-dichloropropene	NR	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
trans-1,3-dichloropropene	NR	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
1,4-dioxane	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	ND	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	ND
Ethylbenzene	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
2-hexanone	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	ND	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	ND	ND	ND	NR	NR	NR	NR
isopropylbenzene	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	ND	NR	NR	NR	NR
methyl acetate	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	ND	NR	NR	NR	NR
Methylene chloride	NR	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	0.37 J	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	0.64 J	ND	ND	ND
4-methyl-2-pentanone	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	ND	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	ND	ND	ND	NR	NR	NR	NR
styrene	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	ND	NR	ND	NR	NR	NR	NR
1,1,2,2-tetrachloroethane	NR	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
1,2,4-trichlorobenzene	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	ND	NR	NR	NR	NR
Tetrachloroethene	3.4J	3.3	4.4	4.4	3.6	4.7	6.0	4.0	0.42 J	0.34 J	0.32 J	1.6	0.77 J	1.5 J	0.57 J	ND	ND	0.48 J	0.82 J	0.88 J	0.72 J	0.37 J	0.22 J	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	0.55	ND	0.68 J	0.79 J	0.64 J	0.60 J	0.65 J
Toluene	ND	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	0.19J	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
1,1,1-trichloroethane	ND	0.63 J	0.73 J	0.76 J	0.29 J	0.57 J	1.1 J	0.86 J	ND	0.35 J	0.35 J	0.62	0.66 J	0.66 J	0.50 J	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	0.49 J	0.25 J	0.29 J	0.27 J	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	0.47	0.92	0.49J	0.96 J	1.3	0.95 J	ND	0.83 J
1,1,2-trichloroethane	ND	NR	0.31 J	0.31 J	0.32 J	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	0.30 J	0.49 J	0.29 J	ND	0.45 J
Trichloroethene	65	35.3	41.0	39.6	38.0	38.1	40.4	27.9	22.0	25.9	25.4	25	27.0	26.7	29.8	21.7	31.9	52.3	53.0	53.9	61.7	47	21	54	55	38.2	28.3	14.4	12.7	11.1	ND	ND	0.17J	237	371	230	230	220
Vinyl chloride	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
xylenes (total)	ND	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	ND	NR	ND	NR	NR	NR	NR
Mercury (EPA 245.1) ug/L	NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NR	NR	0.20	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
TSS (SM20 2540D) mg/L	NR	63	18	NR	ND	7	6	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	1.1	ND	ND	1.0	NR	NR	2.4	ND	ND	ND	2.4	8.1

Note:
VOC analysis changed from SW846 8260B to EPA Method 624 in January 2010.
D = Dilution
J = estimated value
J- =biased low estimated value
ND = not detected
NR = not reported / required
R = rejected
mg/L - milligrams per liter
µg/L - micrograms per liter

(1) Analytical results presented above for samples collected from RW3-MW3 and RW3-MW4 in November 2010 are not consistent with historical trends, indicating samples may have been switched. For trend analysis, concentrations for RW3-MW3 were used for RW3-MW4 for November 2010 and vise versa.
(2) VOCs were analyzed by USEPA Method 524.2 (as opposed to Method 624) in June 2013 to correlate with samples collected under the Bethpage Regional Plume Comprehensive Groundwater Sampling Plan conducted in June 2013.
(3) RW-3, previously an active extraction well sampled on a monthly basis, was taken off-line on 7/1/15. While off-line, RW-3 was sampled semi-annually in conjunction with the semi-annual LTM events.
(4) Samples were analyzed for TCL VOCs, including tentatively identified compounds (TICs), beginning in March 2016. No TICs were detected, unless otherwise indicated.

Data prior to June 2011 were collected by others.

APPENDIX A

NYSDEC EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS AND MONITORING REQUIREMENTS AND MONTHLY DMRS

New York State Department of Environmental Conservation
Division of Water
Bureau of Water Permits, 4th Floor
625 Broadway, Albany, New York 12233-3505
Phone: (518) 402-8111 • **FAX:** (518) 402-9029
Website: www.dec.state.ny.us

Alexander B. Grannis
Commissioner

MEMORANDUM

TO: Steven Scharf, DER

FROM: Jean Occidental, DOW, Bureau of Water Permits JO

SUBJECT: Naval Weapons Industrial Reserve Plant (NWIRP); DER Site # 1-01-001

DRAINAGE BASIN: na

DATE: June 6, 2008

In response to your request and the permittee's SPDES Permit Equivalent Application dated April 27, 2008, attached is the effluent criteria for the above noted groundwater remediation discharge.

The Division of Water does not have any regulatory authority over a discharge from a State, PRP, or Federal Superfund Site. The Division of Environmental Remediation will be responsible for ensuring compliance with the attached effluent criteria and approval of all engineering submissions. Additional Condition (1) identifies the contact to send all effluent results, engineering submissions, and modification requests. The Regional Water Engineer should be kept appraised of the status of these discharges and, in accordance with the attached criteria, receive a copy of the effluent results for informational purposes.

If you have any questions, please call me at (518) 402-8116.

Attachment

cc: (w/att) RWE, Region 1
C. Webber
BWP Permit Coordinator

Naval Weapons Industrial Reserve Plant

DER site # 1-01-001
Page 1 of 2

EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS AND MONITORING REQUIREMENTS

During the period beginning: April 1, 2009

and lasting until: April 1, 2014

the discharges from the treatment facility to Groundwater shall be limited and monitored by the operator as specified below:

Outfall and Parameters	Limitations		Units	Minimum Monitoring Requirements	
	Daily Avg.	Daily Max.		Measurement Frequency	Sample Type
Treated Groundwater Remediation Discharge from: Recovery Wells 1, 2, and 3					
Flow	Monitor	1100	GPM	Continuous	Recorder
pH (range)	5.5 - 8.5		SU	Weekly	Grab
1,1-Dichloroethane	NA	5	µg/l	Monthly [1]	Grab
1,2-Dichloroethane	NA	0.6	µg/l	Monthly [1]	Grab
1,1-Dichloroethene	NA	5	µg/l	Monthly [1]	Grab
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	NA	5	µg/l	Monthly [1]	Grab
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	NA	5	µg/l	Monthly [1]	Grab
Tetrachloroethene	NA	5	µg/l	Monthly [1]	Grab
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	NA	5	µg/l	Monthly [1]	Grab
Trichloroethene	NA	5	µg/l	Monthly [1]	Grab
Vinyl chloride	NA	2	µg/l	Monthly [1]	Grab
Mercury	NA	0.25	µg/l	Monthly [1]	Grab

Footnotes:

(1) The minimum measurement frequency shall be monthly following a period of 24 consecutive weekly sampling events showing no exceedances of the stated discharge limitations.

Additional Conditions:

(1) Discharge is not authorized until such time as an engineering submission showing the method of treatment is approved by the Department. The discharge rate may not exceed the effective or design treatment system capacity. All monitoring data, engineering submissions and modification requests must be submitted to:

Steven Scharf
Division of Environmental Remediation
NYSDEC, 625 Broadway
Albany, NY 12233-7015
Phone: (518) 402-9620

With a copy sent to:

Regional Water Engineer
NYSDEC - Region 1
Building 40, SUNY Campus
Stony Brook, New York 11790-2356
Phone: (631) 444-0354

(2) Only site generated wastewater is authorized for treatment and discharge.

(3) Authorization to discharge is valid only for the period noted above but may be renewed if appropriate. A request for renewal must be received 6 months prior to the expiration date to allow for a review of monitoring data and reassessment of monitoring requirements.

(4) Any use of corrosion/scale inhibitors, biocidal-type compounds, or other water treatment chemicals used in the treatment process must be approved by the department prior to use.

(5) This discharge and administration of this discharge must comply with the substantive requirements of 6NYCRR Part 750.

NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL CONSERVATION

Division of Environmental Remediation, Remedial Bureau D
625 Broadway, 12th Floor, Albany, NY 12233-7013
P: (518) 402-9676 I F: (518) 402-9773
www.dec.ny.gov

August 31, 2017

Ms. Lora Fly
Remedial Project Manager
Naval Facilities Engineering Command
9324 Virginia Ave.
Bldg. Z-144, Code OPTE3-6
Norfolk, VA 23511

Re: SPDES Permit Equivalent Application,
Naval Weapons Industrial Reserve
Plant Site (NWIRP), Bethpage.
NYSDEC Site No 130003B

Lora:

The Department of the Navy (Navy) has requested to renew the State Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (SPDES) effluent for the GM-38 groundwater extraction and treatment system. The New York State Department Environmental Conservation (NYSDEC) has reviewed this request and has established discharge limits for the GM-38 system. These discharge limits, and associated reporting requirements, are detailed in the attached memorandum from the NYSDEC Division of Water.

Thanks and please do not hesitate to contact me at (518) 402-9478 or jason.pelton@dec.ny.gov with any questions.

Sincerely,

Jason Pelton

Digitally signed by Jason Pelton
DN: cn=Jason Pelton, o=NYSDEC, ou=Division of Environmental Remediation, email=jason.pelton@dec.ny.gov, c=US
Date: 2017.08.31 07:13:24 -04'00'

Jason M. Pelton
Project Manager
Remedial Section B, Remedial Bureau D
Division of Environmental Remediation

ec: B. Caldwell, EnSafe/Resolution Consultants
S. Edwards, NYSDEC
D. Hesler, NYSDEC
C. Haas, NYSDEC Region 1
W. Parish, NYSDEC Region 1
S. Karpinski, NYSDOH
J. DeFranco/J. Lovejoy, NCDOH
L. Thantu, USEPA Region 2

NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL CONSERVATION

Division of Water, Bureau of Permits
625 Broadway, Albany, New York 12233-3505
P: (518) 402-8111 | F: (518) 402-9029
www.dec.ny.gov

M E M O R A N D U M

TO: Jason Pelton, DER
FROM: Robert Wither, Chief, South Permits Section, DOW
SUBJECT: Naval Weapons Industrial Reserve Plant, DER Site #1-30-003B
DATE: August 18, 2017

In response to your request received July 13, 2017, attached please find effluent limitations and monitoring requirements for the above noted remediation discharge.

The DOW does not have any regulatory authority over a discharge from a State, PRP, or Federal Superfund Site. DER will be responsible for ensuring compliance with the attached effluent limitations and monitoring requirements, and approval of all engineering submissions. Footnote 1 identifies the appropriate DER contact as the place to send all effluent results, engineering submissions, and modification requests. The Regional Water Engineer should be kept appraised of the status of this discharge and, in accordance with the attached criteria, receive a copy of the effluent results for informational purposes.

If you have any questions, please call me at 518-402-8123.

Attachment (Effluent Limitations and Monitoring Requirements)

cc: Cathy Haas, RWE, Region 1

EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS AND MONITORING REQUIREMENTS

During the period beginning **September 1, 2017** and lasting until **August 31, 2027** the discharges from the wastewater treatment facility to groundwater, Class GA shall be limited and monitored by the operator as specified below:

Outfall Number and Parameter	Discharge Limitations		Units	Minimum Monitoring Requirements	
	Monthly Avg.	Daily Max		Measurement Frequency	Sample Type
Outfall 001 - Treated Remediation Discharge:					
Flow	Monitor	1100	GPM	Continuous	Recorder
pH (range)	5.5 - 8.5		SU	Monthly	Grab
1,1-Dichloroethanrie	NA	5	µg/l	Monthly	Grab
1,2-Dichloroethane	NA	0.6	µg/l	Monthly	Grab
1,1-Dichloroethene	NA	5	µg/l	Monthly	Grab
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	NA	5	µg/l	Monthly	Grab
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	NA	5	µg/l	Monthly	Grab
Tetrachloroethene	NA	5	µg/l	Monthly	Grab
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	NA	5	µg/1	Monthly	Grab
Trichloroethene	NA	5	µg/l	Monthly	Grab
Vinyl Chloride	NA	2	µg/l	Monthly	Grab
Mercury	NA	0.25	µg/l	Monthly	Grab
Chloroform	NA	5	µg/l	Monthly	Grab
Trichlorotrifluoroethane (Freon 113)	NA	5	µg/l	Monthly	Grab
1,4 Dioxane	NA	Monitor	µg/l	Monthly	Grab

Additional Conditions:

1. The discharge rate may not exceed the effective or design treatment system capacity. All monitoring data, engineering submissions and modification requests must be submitted to:

   Jason Pelton
   Division of Environmental Remediation
   NYSDEC
   625 Broadway
   Albany, New York 12233- 7015
   518-402-9870

   With a copy sent to:

   Regional Water Engineer, Region 1
   NYSDEC
   SUNY @ Stony Brook
   50 Circle Road
   Stony Brook, NY 11790-3409

2. Only site generated wastewater is authorized for treatment and discharge.

3. Authorization to discharge is valid only for the period noted above but may be renewed if appropriate. A request for renewal must be received 6 months prior to the expiration date to allow for a review of monitoring data and reassessment of monitoring requirements.

4. Both concentration (mg/l or µg/l) and mass loadings (lbs/day) must be reported to the Department for all parameters except flow and pH.

5. Any use of corrosion/scale inhibitors, biocidal-type compounds, or other water treatment chemicals used in the treatment process must be approved by the department prior to use.

6. This discharge and administration of this discharge must comply with the substantive requirements of 6NYCRR Part 750.

OCTOBER 2020

9 November 2020

Mr. Jason Pelton
New York State Department of Environmental Conservation
Division of Solid & Hazardous Materials
625 Broadway
Albany, NY 12233-7252

Subject: GROUNDWATER DISCHARGE MONITORING/AIR EMISSION REPORT GM-38 AREA, NWIRP BETHPAGE, NY; DER SITE # 1-30-003B-OU 2 OCTOBER 2020 REPORTING PERIOD

Dear Mr. Pelton:

KOMAN Government Solutions, LLC (KGS) is submitting this monthly monitoring report of the groundwater discharge and air emission results for the Groundwater Treatment Plant (GWTP) located at the Former Naval Weapons Industrial Reserve Plant (NWIRP), Bethpage, NY, GM-38 Area. This report was prepared in accordance with GWTP operational requirements for DER Site # 1-30-003B-OU 2, and the SPDES Permit Equivalent # 13003B.

GWTP operational data from 1 October to 31 October 2020 are presented in Attachment A. No plant downtime was recorded during this period. During the entire October 2020 reporting period, recovery well RW-1 was not in operation, as it was undergoing evaluation of casing integrity and pump assessment/replacement. Recovery well RW-3 operated continuously during the reporting period.

As indicated in Attachment A, all SPDES permitted constituents are in compliance with regulatory guidelines during this reporting period.

Please contact me at 610-400-0636 with any questions or concerns you may have regarding this report.

Sincerely,

KOMAN Government Solutions, LLC

Robert Gregory
Project Manager

Attachment A: Groundwater and Air Sampling Results from October 2020

KOMAN Government Solutions, LLC
180 Gordon Drive, Suite 110
Exton, Pennsylvania 19341

Phone (610) 363-3000
Fax (610) 363-3001
www.komangs.com

cc: S. Edwards, NYSDEC
D. Hesler, NYSDEC
C. Haas, NYSDEC Region 1
C. Engelhardt, NYSDEC Region 1
J. Pilewski, NYSDEC – Region 1 Water Engineer
J. Sullivan, NYSDOH
J. Lovejoy, NCDOH
G. Ennis, Nassau County Department of Public Works
T. Licata, Town of Oyster Bay
M. Russo, Town of Oyster Bay
S. Sokolowski, NAVFAC Mid-Atlantic
B. Murray, NAVFAC Mid-Atlantic
V. Varricchio, NWIRP Bethpage Facilities Management
P. Schauble, KGS
GM-38 Copy

ATTACHMENT A

GROUNDWATER AND AIR SAMPLING RESULTS

OCTOBER 2020

GM-38 Area Groundwater Remediation
Groundwater Treatment Plant
Naval Weapons Industrial Reserve Plant - Bethpage, NY
Discharge Monitoring Report
October 2020

SPDES Parameters			October 2020 [1]			
Process Stream	**Daily Treated Effluent Maximum[1]**	**Units**	**RW-1**	**RW-3 [2]**	**Combined Influent [3] (RW-1 + RW-3)**	**Treated Effluent**
Well Depth	N/A	ft	445	530	N/A	N/A
Screened Interval	N/A	ft bgs	335-395 410-430	392-412 442-504	N/A	N/A
Sampling Date	N/A		10/5/20			
Effective Flowrate	1100	GPM	0	217	217	215
Total Flow	N/A	gallons	4,187,300	9,694,875	13,882,175	9,592,100
pH	5.5 - 8.5	SU	NS	5.84	5.84	6.65
Chloroform	5	µg/L	NS	0.425 J	0.425 J	ND (1.0)
1,1-Dichloroethane	5	µg/L	NS	2.65 J	2.65 J	ND (1.0)
1,2-Dichloroethane	0.6	µg/L	NS	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)
1,1-Dichloroethene	5	µg/L	NS	1.45 J	1.450 J	ND (1.0)
cis 1,2-Dichloroethene	5	µg/L	NS	1.79 J	1.79 J	ND (1.0)
trans 1,2-Dichloroethene	5	µg/L	NS	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)
Tetrachloroethene	5	µg/L	NS	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5	µg/L	NS	0.566 J	0.566 J	ND (1.0)
Trichloroethene	5	µg/L	NS	140	140.0	0.309 J
1,1,2-Trichlorotrifluoroethane	5	µg/L	NS	0.551 J	0.55 J	ND (1.0)
Vinyl Chloride	2	µg/L	NS	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)
1,4-Dioxane	--	µg/L	NS	6.3	6.30	NS
Mercury	0.00025	mg/L	NS	ND (0.00010)	ND (0.00010)	ND (0.00010)
Total Suspended Solids (TSS)	N/A	mg/L	NS	1.2	1.2	ND (1.0)

Notes:

J - Estimated result between laboratory method detection limit and reporting limit

D - Concentration is a result of a dilution.

ND - Not detected above laboratory method detection limit. Reporting Limit (RL) given in parentheses.

NR - Not Recorded

N/A - Not Applicable

NS - Not Sampled

(1) Wastewater discharge equivalence permit renewed on 18 August 2017. Discharge limits established for 10 years. Chloroform, 1,4-dioxane and 1,1,2-trichlorotrifluoroethane are now monitored under the new permit.

(2) Well RW-3 was placed back in operation on 1 June, 2018.

(3) Influent concentrations presented are the weighted average concentrations of RW-1 and RW-3.

GM-38 Area Groundwater Remediation
Groundwater Treatment Plant
Naval Weapons Industrial Reserve Plant - Bethpage, NY
Air Sampling Results
October 2020

DAR Parameters			**October 2020**	
Process Stream	**Units**	**Discharge Goal** [(1)]	**Influent**	**Effluent**
Sampling Date			10/5/20	
Average Flowrate	CFM	N/A	NR	8,858
Total Flow	ft^3	N/A	NR	395,409,960
Total Flow	m^3	N/A	NR	11,196,763
1,2-Dichloroethane	$\mu g/m^3$	N/A	0.72 J	ND
cis 1,2-Dichloroethene	$\mu g/m^3$	≤ 100,000 [(2)]	6.8	ND
trans 1,2-Dichloroethene	$\mu g/m^3$		ND	ND
1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	$\mu g/m^3$	≤ 100,000	6.7	ND
Toluene	$\mu g/m^3$	N/A	0.76 J	ND
Total Xylene	$\mu g/m^3$	N/A	ND	ND
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	$\mu g/m^3$	N/A	ND	ND
Trichloroethene	$\mu g/m^3$	≤ 2600	380	ND
Vinyl Chloride	$\mu g/m^3$	≤ 560	ND	ND
Tetrachloroethene	$\mu g/m^3$	≤ 5100	2.2 J	ND

Notes:
CFM - cubic feet per minute
DAR - Division of Air Resources
J - Estimated result between laboratory method detection limit and reporting limit
N/A - Not Applicable
NR - Not recorded
(1) Discharge goal as approved by NYSDEC's letter dated 31 October 2013.
(2) Discharge goal is for total 1,2-Dichloroethene.

GM-38 Area Groundwater Remediation
Groundwater Treatment Plant
Naval Weapons Industrial Reserve Plant - Bethpage, NY
Controlled Stack Emissions
October 2020

DAR Parameters	Units	Discharge Goal [(1)]	October 2020
Sampling Date			10/5/20
Average Flowrate	CFM	N/A	8,858
Total Flow	ft^3	N/A	395,409,960
Total Flow	m^3	N/A	11,196,763
Trichloroethene	lb/hr	≤ 0.09	0.00000
Vinyl Chloride	lb/hr	≤ 0.02	0.00000
1,2 Dichloroethene	lb/hr	≤ 11	0.00000
1,2-Dichloroethane	lb/hr	N/A	0.00000
Toluene	lb/hr	N/A	0.00000
Total Xylene	lb/hr	N/A	0.00000
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	lb/hr	N/A	0.00000
Tetrachloroethene	lb/hr	≤ 0.18	0.00000

Notes:
CFM - cubic feet per minute
DAR - Division of Air Resources
N/A - Not Applicable
(1) Discharge goal as approved by NYSDEC's letter dated 31 October 2013.

NOVEMBER 2020

8 December 2020

Mr. Jason Pelton
New York State Department of Environmental Conservation
Division of Solid & Hazardous Materials
625 Broadway
Albany, NY 12233-7252

Subject: GROUNDWATER DISCHARGE MONITORING/AIR EMISSION REPORT GM-38 AREA, NWIRP BETHPAGE, NY; DER SITE # 1-30-003B-OU 2 NOVEMBER 2020 REPORTING PERIOD

Dear Mr. Pelton:

KOMAN Government Solutions, LLC (KGS) is submitting this monthly monitoring report of the groundwater discharge and air emission results for the Groundwater Treatment Plant (GWTP) located at the Former Naval Weapons Industrial Reserve Plant (NWIRP), Bethpage, NY, GM-38 Area. This report was prepared in accordance with GWTP operational requirements for DER Site # 1-30-003B-OU 2, and the SPDES Permit Equivalent # 13003B.

GWTP operational data from 1 November to 30 November 2020 are presented in Attachment A. No plant downtime was recorded during this period. During the October reporting period, recovery well RW-1 was not in operation, as it was undergoing evaluation of casing integrity and pump assessment/replacement. RW-1 was brought back online on 5 November. Recovery well RW-3 operated continuously during the October and November reporting periods.

As indicated in Attachment A, all SPDES permitted constituents are in compliance with regulatory guidelines during this reporting period.

Please contact me at 610-400-0636 with any questions or concerns you may have regarding this report.

Sincerely,

KOMAN Government Solutions, LLC

Robert Gregory
Project Manager

Attachment A: Groundwater and Air Sampling Results from November 2020

KOMAN Government Solutions, LLC
180 Gordon Drive, Suite 110
Exton, Pennsylvania 19341

Phone (610) 363-3000
Fax (610) 363-3001
www.komangs.com

cc: S. Edwards, NYSDEC
D. Hesler, NYSDEC
C. Haas, NYSDEC Region 1
C. Engelhardt, NYSDEC Region 1
J. Pilewski, NYSDEC – Region 1 Water Engineer
J. Sullivan, NYSDOH
J. Lovejoy, NCDOH
G. Ennis, Nassau County Department of Public Works
T. Licata, Town of Oyster Bay
M. Russo, Town of Oyster Bay
S. Sokolowski, NAVFAC Mid-Atlantic
B. Murray, NAVFAC Mid-Atlantic
V. Varricchio, NWIRP Bethpage Facilities Management
P. Schauble, KGS
GM-38 Copy

ATTACHMENT A

GROUNDWATER AND AIR SAMPLING RESULTS

NOVEMBER 2020

GM-38 Area Groundwater Remediation
Groundwater Treatment Plant
Naval Weapons Industrial Reserve Plant - Bethpage, NY
Discharge Monitoring Report
November 2020

SPDES Parameters			November 2020 (1)			
Process Stream	**Daily Treated Effluent Maximum (1)**	**Units**	**RW-1**	**RW-3 (2)**	**Combined Influent (3) (RW-1 + RW-3)**	**Treated Effluent**
Well Depth	N/A	ft	445	530	N/A	N/A
Screened Interval	N/A	ft bgs	335-395 410-430	392-412 442-504	N/A	N/A
Sampling Date	N/A		11/2/20			
Effective Flowrate	1100	GPM	439	235	674	633
Total Flow	N/A	gallons	15,692,000	10,155,525	25,847,525	27,341,600
pH	5.5 - 8.5	SU	NS	5.14	1.79	6.52
Chloroform	5	µg/L	NS	0.465 J	0.162 J	ND (1.0)
1,1-Dichloroethane	5	µg/L	NS	2.70 J	0.94 J	ND (1.0)
1,2-Dichloroethane	0.6	µg/L	NS	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)
1,1-Dichloroethene	5	µg/L	NS	1.56 J	0.544 J	ND (1.0)
cis 1,2-Dichloroethene	5	µg/L	NS	2.48 J	0.87 J	ND (1.0)
trans 1,2-Dichloroethene	5	µg/L	NS	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)
Tetrachloroethene	5	µg/L	NS	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5	µg/L	NS	0.792 J	0.276 J	ND (1.0)
Trichloroethene	5	µg/L	NS	133	46.4	0.268 J
1,1,2-Trichlorotrifluoroethane	5	µg/L	NS	0.633 J	0.22 J	ND (1.0)
Vinyl Chloride	2	µg/L	NS	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)
1,4-Dioxane	--	µg/L	NS	5.0	1.74	NS
Mercury	0.00025	mg/L	NS	ND (0.00010)	ND (0.00010)	ND (0.00010)
Total Suspended Solids (TSS)	N/A	mg/L	NS	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)

Notes:

J - Estimated result between laboratory method detection limit and reporting limit

D - Concentration is a result of a dilution.

ND - Not detected above laboratory method detection limit. Reporting Limit (RL) given in parentheses.

NR - Not Recorded

N/A - Not Applicable

NS - Not Sampled

(1) Wastewater discharge equivalence permit renewed on 18 August 2017. Discharge limits established for 10 years. Chloroform, 1,4-dioxane and 1,1,2-trichlorotrifluoroethane are now monitored under the new permit.

(2) Well RW-3 was placed back in operation on 1 June, 2018.

(3) Influent concentrations presented are the weighted average concentrations of RW-1 and RW-3.

GM-38 Area Groundwater Remediation
Groundwater Treatment Plant
Naval Weapons Industrial Reserve Plant - Bethpage, NY
Air Sampling Results
November 2020

DAR Parameters			**November 2020**	
Process Stream	**Units**	**Discharge Goal** [(1)]	**Influent**	**Effluent**
Sampling Date			11/2/20	
Average Flowrate	CFM	N/A	NR	8,875
Total Flow	ft^3	N/A	NR	383,378,400
Total Flow	m^3	N/A	NR	10,856,067
1,2-Dichloroethane	$\mu g/m^3$	N/A	ND	ND
cis 1,2-Dichloroethene	$\mu g/m^3$	≤ 100,000 [(2)]	6.0	ND
trans 1,2-Dichloroethene	$\mu g/m^3$		ND	ND
1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	$\mu g/m^3$	≤ 100,000	ND	ND
Toluene	$\mu g/m^3$	N/A	ND	ND
Total Xylene	$\mu g/m^3$	N/A	ND	ND
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	$\mu g/m^3$	N/A	ND	ND
Trichloroethene	$\mu g/m^3$	≤ 2600	380	ND
Vinyl Chloride	$\mu g/m^3$	≤ 560	ND	ND
Tetrachloroethene	$\mu g/m^3$	≤ 5100	ND	ND

Notes:
CFM - cubic feet per minute
DAR - Division of Air Resources
J - Estimated result between laboratory method detection limit and reporting limit
N/A - Not Applicable
NR - Not recorded
(1) Discharge goal as approved by NYSDEC's letter dated 31 October 2013.
(2) Discharge goal is for total 1,2-Dichloroethene.

GM-38 Area Groundwater Remediation
Groundwater Treatment Plant
Naval Weapons Industrial Reserve Plant - Bethpage, NY
Controlled Stack Emissions
November 2020

DAR Parameters	Units	Discharge Goal (1)	November 2020
Sampling Date			11/2/20
Average Flowrate	CFM	N/A	8,875
Total Flow	ft^3	N/A	383,378,400
Total Flow	m^3	N/A	10,856,067
Trichloroethene	lb/hr	≤ 0.09	0.00000
Vinyl Chloride	lb/hr	≤ 0.02	0.00000
1,2 Dichloroethene	lb/hr	≤ 11	0.00000
1,2-Dichloroethane	lb/hr	N/A	0.00000
Toluene	lb/hr	N/A	0.00053
Total Xylene	lb/hr	N/A	0.00027
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	lb/hr	N/A	0.00000
Tetrachloroethene	lb/hr	≤ 0.18	0.00000

Notes:
CFM - cubic feet per minute
DAR - Division of Air Resources
N/A - Not Applicable
(1) Discharge goal as approved by NYSDEC's letter dated 31 October 2013.

DECEMBER 2020

14 January 2021

Mr. Jason Pelton
New York State Department of Environmental Conservation
Division of Solid & Hazardous Materials
625 Broadway
Albany, NY 12233-7252

Subject: GROUNDWATER DISCHARGE MONITORING/AIR EMISSION REPORT GM-38 AREA, NWIRP BETHPAGE, NY; DER SITE # 1-30-003B-OU 2 DECEMBER 2020 REPORTING PERIOD

Dear Mr. Pelton:

KOMAN Government Solutions, LLC (KGS) is submitting this monthly monitoring report of the groundwater discharge and air emission results for the Groundwater Treatment Plant (GWTP) located at the Former Naval Weapons Industrial Reserve Plant (NWIRP), Bethpage, NY, GM-38 Area. This report was prepared in accordance with GWTP operational requirements for DER Site # 1-30-003B-OU 2, and the SPDES Permit Equivalent # 13003B.

GWTP operational data from 1 December to 31 December 2020 are presented in Attachment A. No plant downtime was recorded during this period.

As indicated in Attachment A, all SPDES permitted constituents are in compliance with regulatory guidelines during this reporting period.

Please contact me at 610-400-0636 with any questions or concerns you may have regarding this report.

Sincerely,

KOMAN Government Solutions, LLC

Robert Gregory
Project Manager

Attachment A: Groundwater and Air Sampling Results from December 2020

cc: S. Edwards, NYSDEC
D. Hesler, NYSDEC
C. Haas, NYSDEC Region 1

KOMAN Government Solutions, LLC
180 Gordon Drive, Suite 110
Exton, Pennsylvania 19341
Phone (610) 363-3000
Fax (610) 363-3001
www.komangs.com

C. Engelhardt, NYSDEC Region 1
J. Pilewski, NYSDEC – Region 1 Water Engineer
J. Sullivan, NYSDOH
J. Lovejoy, NCDOH
G. Ennis, Nassau County Department of Public Works
T. Licata, Town of Oyster Bay
M. Russo, Town of Oyster Bay
S. Sokolowski, NAVFAC Mid-Atlantic
B. Murray, NAVFAC Mid-Atlantic
V. Varricchio, NWIRP Bethpage Facilities Management
P. Schauble, KGS
GM-38 Copy

ATTACHMENT A

GROUNDWATER AND AIR SAMPLING RESULTS

DECEMBER 2020

GM-38 Area Groundwater Remediation
Groundwater Treatment Plant
Naval Weapons Industrial Reserve Plant - Bethpage, NY
Discharge Monitoring Report
December 2020

SPDES Parameters			December 2020 [1]			
Process Stream	**Daily Treated Effluent Maximum[1]**	**Units**	**RW-1**	**RW-3 [2]**	**Combined Influent [3] (RW-1 + RW-3)**	**Treated Effluent**
Well Depth	N/A	ft	445	530	N/A	N/A
Screened Interval	N/A	ft bgs	335-395 410-430	392-412 442-504	N/A	N/A
Sampling Date	N/A		12/1/20			
Effective Flowrate	1100	GPM	612	174	786	843
Total Flow	N/A	gallons	27,300,000	7,750,700	35,050,700	37,449,900
pH	5.5 - 8.5	SU	5.36	5.91	5.48	6.74
Chloroform	5	µg/L	0.287 J	0.564 J	0.35 J	ND (1.0)
1,1-Dichloroethane	5	µg/L	1.11 J	2.81 J	1.49 J	ND (1.0)
1,2-Dichloroethane	0.6	µg/L	ND (1.0)	0.220 J	0.05	ND (1.0)
1,1-Dichloroethene	5	µg/L	0.908 J	1.40 J	1.02 J	ND (1.0)
cis 1,2-Dichloroethene	5	µg/L	4.16 J	2.12 J	3.71 J	ND (1.0)
trans 1,2-Dichloroethene	5	µg/L	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)
Tetrachloroethene	5	µg/L	17.2	ND (1.0)	13.40	ND (1.0)
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5	µg/L	0.440 J	0.572 J	0.469 J	ND (1.0)
Trichloroethene	5	µg/L	56.7	131	73.13	0.337 J
1,1,2-Trichlorotrifluoroethane	5	µg/L	ND (1.0)	0.624 J	0.14 J	ND (1.0)
Vinyl Chloride	2	µg/L	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)	ND (1.0)
1,4-Dioxane	--	µg/L	1.8	5.8	2.68	NS
Mercury	0.00025	mg/L	ND (0.00010)	ND (0.00010)	ND (0.00010)	ND (0.00010)
Total Suspended Solids (TSS)	N/A	mg/L	ND (1.0)	1.3	0.29	ND (1.0)

Notes:

J - Estimated result between laboratory method detection limit and reporting limit

D - Concentration is a result of a dilution.

ND - Not detected above laboratory method detection limit. Reporting Limit (RL) given in parentheses.

NR - Not Recorded

N/A - Not Applicable

NS - Not Sampled

(1) Wastewater discharge equivalence permit renewed on 18 August 2017. Discharge limits established for 10 years. Chloroform, 1,4-dioxane and 1,1,2-trichlorotrifluoroethane are now monitored under the new permit.

(2) Well RW-3 was placed back in operation on 1 June, 2018.

(3) Influent concentrations presented are the weighted average concentrations of RW-1 and RW-3.

GM-38 Area Groundwater Remediation
Groundwater Treatment Plant
Naval Weapons Industrial Reserve Plant - Bethpage, NY
Air Sampling Results
December 2020

DAR Parameters			**December 2020**	
Process Stream	**Units**	**Discharge Goal** [1]	**Influent**	**Effluent**
Sampling Date			12/1/20	
Average Flowrate	CFM	N/A	NR	9,117
Total Flow	ft^3	N/A	NR	405,046,095
Total Flow	m^3	N/A	NR	11,469,628
1,2-Dichloroethane	$\mu g/m^3$	N/A	1.5 J	ND
cis 1,2-Dichloroethene	$\mu g/m^3$	≤ 100,000 [2]	33	ND
trans 1,2-Dichloroethene	$\mu g/m^3$		ND	ND
1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	$\mu g/m^3$	≤ 100,000	33	ND
Toluene	$\mu g/m^3$	N/A	11	ND
Total Xylene	$\mu g/m^3$	N/A	36	ND
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	$\mu g/m^3$	N/A	0.79 J	ND
Trichloroethene	$\mu g/m^3$	≤ 2600	770	ND
Vinyl Chloride	$\mu g/m^3$	≤ 560	ND	ND
Tetrachloroethene	$\mu g/m^3$	≤ 5100	120	ND

Notes:
CFM - cubic feet per minute
DAR - Division of Air Resources
J - Estimated result between laboratory method detection limit and reporting limit
N/A - Not Applicable
NR - Not recorded
(1) Discharge goal as approved by NYSDEC's letter dated 31 October 2013.
(2) Discharge goal is for total 1,2-Dichloroethene.

GM-38 Area Groundwater Remediation
Groundwater Treatment Plant
Naval Weapons Industrial Reserve Plant - Bethpage, NY
Controlled Stack Emissions
December 2020

DAR Parameters	Units	Discharge Goal (1)	December 2020
Sampling Date			12/1/20
Average Flowrate	CFM	N/A	9,117
Total Flow	ft^3	N/A	405,046,095
Total Flow	m^3	N/A	11,469,628
Trichloroethene	lb/hr	≤ 0.09	0.00000
Vinyl Chloride	lb/hr	≤ 0.02	0.00000
1,2 Dichloroethene	lb/hr	≤ 11	0.00000
1,2-Dichloroethane	lb/hr	N/A	0.00000
Toluene	lb/hr	N/A	0.00000
Total Xylene	lb/hr	N/A	0.00000
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	lb/hr	N/A	0.00000
Tetrachloroethene	lb/hr	≤ 0.18	0.00000

Notes:
CFM - cubic feet per minute
DAR - Division of Air Resources
N/A - Not Applicable
(1) Discharge goal as approved by NYSDEC's letter dated 31 October 2013.

APPENDIX B

NYSDEC AIR DISCHARGE LIMIT DOCUMENTATION

New York State Department of Environmental Conservation
Division of Environmental Remediation
Remedial Action Bureau A, 12th Floor
625 Broadway, Albany, New York 12233-7015
Phone: (518) 402-9620 FAX: (518) 402-9022

Joseph Martens
Commissioner

October 31, 2013

Lora Fly
Remedial Program Manager
NAVFAC Mid-Atlantic
Northeast IPT
9742 Maryland Avenue
Norfolk, VA, 23511-3095

RE: Northrop Grumman, Naval Weapons Industrial Reserve Plant (NWIRP) and Grumman Steel Los Sites, NYSDEC Site No.'s 1-30-003 A & B.

Dear Ms. Fly:

Tetra Tech NUS Inc., on behalf of the Department of the Navy NAVFAC Midlantic, has submitted an application to remove the GM 38 Area Groundwater Extraction and Treatment system impregnated Xeolite[tm] resin from the air discharge treatment system. Currently, the air treatment system uses a combined activated carbon with permanganate impregnated resin treatment train. The New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (NYSDEC) has reviewed the Department of the Navy application and concurs with the findings presented.

The routine monitoring, as detailed in Table 1, clearly indicates that vinyl chloride, one of the main contaminants of concern, has diminished to almost non-detect, and discharge concentrations have dropped to below the limit to require air treatment for the other contaminants as well. However, NAVFAC Midlantic is still proposing activated carbon to reduce the other discharge contaminant levels. Therefore, the NYSDEC hereby approves the proposed changes to the GM 38 Area air treatment. The Xeolite[tm] resin beds will remain in place should reactivation, based on routine monitoring, be required.

If you have any questions in the interim, please contact me at (518)402-9620.

Sincerely,

Steven M. Scharf, P.E.
Project Engineer
Remedial Action Bureau A
Division of Environmental Remediation

EC: J. Swartwout
S. Scharf
W. Parish, Region 1
S. Karpinski, NYSDOH
E. Hannon, NGC
D. Stern, Arcadis
D. Brayack, TTNUS

NOR-01264

November 21, 2011

Mr. Stephen Scharf
New York Department of Environmental Conservation
Division of Environmental Remediation
Bureau of Remedial Action A
625 Broadway, 11th Floor
Albany, New York 12233-7015

Reference: CLEAN Contract No. N62470-08-D-1001
Contract Task Order WE06

Subject: Proposed Modification to Discharge Limits for Off Gas Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs) for Air Stripping Tower
GM-38 Offsite Groundwater Treatment Plant,
NWIRP Bethpage, New York

Dear Mr. Scharf:

On behalf of the Navy, please find enclosed a copy of the subject document. This document presents an evaluation of current concentrations of off gas VOCs from the GM-38 groundwater treatment plant air-stripping tower (prior to treatment with granular activated carbon). Maximum emission rates were re-evaluated due to decreasing maximum concentrations of target VOCs in un-treated air stripper AS-1 off gas. In addition, breakthrough of target contaminants (e.g., cis-1,2-dichloroethene) is beginning to occur in the granular activated carbon bed. Maximum emission rates were re-evaluated to provide a determination if breakthrough of contaminants would trigger the need for a replacement of the granular activated carbon bed.

Existing Discharge Goals were established in the "Final Operation, Maintenance and Monitoring Plan for Groundwater Treatment Plant GM-38 Area Groundwater Remediation" prepared by Tetra Tech EC (April 2010). Existing goals were based on emission estimates for a 95% reduction (see Attachment A), instead of being based on the original DAR-1 analysis of air stripper off gas. Emission estimates were calculated using the air stripper design flow rate of 8,000 cubic feet per minute (cfm), and previous contaminant discharge rates in pounds per hour (lb/hr). Original emission estimates are provided in Attachment B.

Proposed Revised Discharge Goals were calculated using an average flow rate of 9,200 cfm, January to March 2011 VOC loading rates (taken from the Quarterly Operations Report First Quarter 2011 from ECOR Federal Services), and the Actual Annual % of Annual Guideline Concentrations (AGCs), taken from the revised DAR-1 Model Output. The revised DAR-1 Model Output is provided in Attachment C. Existing Discharge Goals and Proposed Revised Discharge Goals are compared in tabular format in the first page of the attachment. Proposed Revised Discharge Goals for trichloroethene (TCE) are the same as previous. The proposed limit for tetrachloroethene (PCE) is approximately 10 times the previous limit, and vinyl chloride is approximately 2 times the previous limit. Revised Discharge Goals for 1,2-dichloroethene (goals are the same for cis-1,2-dichloroethene) are 100 times greater than previously established limits. It is recommended that these revised limits replace previous discharge goals, and treatment of air stripper off gas by granular activated carbon is recommended to continue for TCE and PCE, with no treatment required for vinyl chloride and 1,2-dichloroethene.

Tetra Tech NUS, Inc.
5700 Lake Wright Drive, Suite 309, Norfolk, VA 23502
Tel 757.461.3768 Fax 757.461.4148 www.ttnus.com

If you have any questions please contact Ms. Lora Fly, NAVFAC Mid-LANT, at (757) 341-2012.

Sincerely,

David D. Brayack, P.E.
Project Manager

Enclosure: (1) Proposed Modification to Discharge Limits for Off Gas Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs) for Air Stripping Tower
GM-38 Offsite Groundwater Treatment Plant

Distribution:

Mid-Lant, Lora Fly
NYSDEC (Albany), Henry Wilkie
NYSDOH (Troy), Steve Karpinski
NAVAIR, Richard Smith
USEPA, Carol Stein
NGC, Kent Smith
Tetra Tech NUS, Dave Brayack
ECOR Solutions, Al Taormina
Administrative Record
Public Repository
Project File

Tetra Tech NUS, Inc.
5700 Lake Wright Drive, Suite 309, Norfolk, VA 23502
Tel 757.461.3768 Fax 757.461.4148 www.ttnus.com

TABLE 1
COMPARISON OF EXISTING DISCHARGE GOALS WITH ACTUAL EMISSIONS AND PROPOSED DISCHARGE GOALS
AIR STRIPPING TOWER GM-38 OFFSITE GROUNDWATER TREATMENT PLANT
NWIRP BETHPAGE, NEW YORK

	Existing Discharge Goal		Actual January to March 2011 Values (Pre-Off Gas Treatment)		Proposed Revised Discharge Goals based on DAR-1 Analysis	
Chemical	Existing Discharge Loading Rate (pounds (lbs)/hour)[(1)]	Equivalent Existing Discharge Goals ($\mu g/m^3$)[(2)]	Actual Jan-Mar 2011 Concentration ($\mu g/m^3$)[(3)]	Actual VOC Loading Pre-Off Gas Treatment (lbs/hour)[(4)]	Proposed Discharge Loading Rate (lbs/hour)[(5)]	Equivalent Proposed Discharge Goal ($\mu g/m^3$)[(5)]
TCE	0.09	2,600	10,000	0.345	0.09	2,600
PCE	0.02	580	6,800	0.234	0.18	5,100
Vinyl Chloride	0.01	290	76	0.003	0.02	560
1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	0.03	870	750	0.026	11	greater than 100,000

Notes:

[(1)]Existing Discharge Goals are based on the design flow rate of 8,000 cfm. Existing Discharge Goals were taken from the Final Operations and Maintenance Plan for GM-38 Area Groundwater Remediation from Tetra Tech EC. Existing goals were based on emission estimates for a 95% reduction, and not the previous DAR-1 Analysis. Attachment B (provided at the end of this package) provides the original emission estimates.

[(2)]Existing Discharge Goals were calculated using the actual flow rate of 9,200 cfm and the existing discharge loading rate in pounds per hour (lb/hr).

[(3)]Values were taken from the Quarterly Operations Report First Quarter 2011 from ECOR Federal Services. Values were the maximum effluent concentration in off gas from air stripper stack AS-1 prior to treatment with vapor phase granular activated carbon (GAC), for the months of January, February and March 2011.

[(4)]Actual VOC Loading was calculated using an average flow rate of 9,200 cfm and the January-March 2011 concentrations. Existing off gas treatment consists of two stage vapor phase GAC followed by potassium permanganate zeolite media to provide additional treatment for vinyl chloride.

[(5)]Values were calculated using an average flow rate of 9,200 cfm, and the Actual Annual % of the AGCs from the 2011 DAR-1 Model Output to achieve air quality requirements.

ATTACHMENT A

2008 AIR PERMIT SUBMITTAL

New York State Department of Environmental Conservation
Air Permit Application

DEC ID	APPLICATION ID	OFFICE USE ONLY
- -	- /	/ /

Section I - Certification

Title V Certification

I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gather and evaluate the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons directly responsible for gathering the information [required pursuant to 6 NYCRR 201-6.3(d)] I believe the information is, true, accurate and complete I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fines and imprisonment for knowing violations

Responsible Official	Title
Signature	Date / /

State Facility Certification

I certify that this facility will be operated in conformance with all provisions of existing regulations.

Responsible Official	Title
Signature	Date / /

Section II - Identification Information

Title V Facility Permit N/A	State Facility Permit N/A
❑ New ❑ Significant Modification ❑ Administrative Amendment ❑ Renewal ❑ Minor Modification General Permit Title:	❑ New ❑ Modification General Permit Title:
☒ Application involves construction of new facility ❑ Application involves construction of new emission unit(s)	

Owner/Firm

Name	US Navy/NAVFAC Midlant		
Street Address	9742 Maryland Ave, Bldg Z-144		
City Norfolk	State VA	Country US	Zip 23511-3095
Owner Classification ☒ Federal ❑ State ❑ Municipal ❑ Corporation/Partnership ❑ Individual			Taxpayer ID

Facility ❑ Confidential

Name	Naval Weapons Industrial Reserve Plant (NWIRP) GM-38 Area	
Location Address	Bethpage	
❑ City / ☒ Town / ❑ Village	Oyster Bay, New York	Zip 11714

Project Description ❑ Continuation Sheet(s)

Air stripping of groundwater to remove VOCs

Owner/Firm Contact Mailing Address

Name (Last, First, Middle Initial)	Fly, Lora		Phone No. (757) 444-0781
Affiliation	Department of the Navy	Title Remedial PM	Fax No. ( )
Street Address	9742 Maryland Ave. Bldg Z-144		
City Norfolk	State VA	Country US	Zip 23511-3095

Facility Contact Mailing Address

Name (Last, First, Middle Initial)	Same		Phone No. ( )
Affiliation		Title	Fax No. ( )
Street Address			
City	State	Country	Zip

New York State Department of Environmental Conservation
Air Permit Application

DEC ID
- -

Section III - Facility Information

Classification

❑ Hospital ❑ Residential ❑ Educational/Institutional ❑ Commercial ☒ Industrial ❑ Utility

Affected States (Title V Only) N/A

❑ Vermont ❑ Massachusetts ❑ Rhode Island ❑ Pennsylvania Tribal Land:_____
❑ New Hampshire ❑ Connecticut ❑ New Jersey ❑ Ohio Tribal Land:_____

SIC Codes

9999												

Facility Description ❑ Continuation Sheet(s)

Groundwater Remediation by Air Stripping followed by Vapor-Phase GAC for emission control

Compliance Statements (Title V Only) N/A

I certify that as of the date of this application the facility is in compliance with all applicable requirements: ❑ YES ❑ NO

If one or more emission units at the facility are not in compliance with all applicable requirements at the time of signing this application (the 'NO' box must be checked), the noncomplying units must be identified in the "Compliance Plan" block on page 8 of this form along with the compliance plan information required. For all emission units at this facility that are operating in compliance with all applicable requirements complete the following:

- ❑ This facility will continue to be operated and maintained in such a manner as to assure compliance for the duration of the permit, except those units referenced in the compliance plan portion of Section IV of this application.
- ❑ For all emission units, subject to any applicable requirements that will become effective during the term of the permit, this facility will meet all such requirements on a timely basis.
- ❑ Compliance certification reports will be submitted at least once a year. Each report will certify compliance status with respect to each requirement, and the method used to determine the status.

Facility Applicable Federal Requirements N/A ❑ Continuation Sheet(s)

Title	Type	Part	Sub Part	Section	Sub Division	Paragraph	Sub Paragraph	Clause	Sub Clause
	CERCLA	all substantive requirements							

Facility State Only Requirements ❑ Continuation Sheet(s)

Title	Type	Part	Sub Part	Section	Sub Division	Paragraph	Sub Paragraph	Clause	Sub Clause

New York State Department of Environmental Conservation
Air Permit Application

DEC ID
- - - - - - - - - -

Section III - Facility Information (continued)

Facility Compliance Certification N/A ❑ Continuation Sheet(s)

Rule Citation

Title	Type	Part	Sub Part	Section	Sub Division	Paragraph	Sub Paragraph	Clause	Sub Clause

❑ Applicable Federal Requirement ❑ State Only Requirement	❑ Capping	CAS No.	Contaminant Name
		- -	

Monitoring Information

❑ Ambient Air Monitoring ❑ Work Practice Involving Specific Operations ❑ Record Keeping/Maintenance Procedures

Description

Work Practice Type	Process Material Code	Process Material Description	Reference Test Method

Parameter Code	Parameter Description	Manufacturer Name/Model No.

Limit Upper	Limit Lower	Limit Units Code	Limit Units Description

Averaging Method Code	Averaging Method Description	Monitoring Frequency Code	Monitoring Frequency Description	Reporting Requirements Code	Reporting Requirements Description

Facility Emissions Summary ❑ Continuation Sheet(s)

CAS No.	Contaminant Name	PTE (lbs/yr)	PTE Range Code	Actual (lbs/yr)
NY075 - 00 - 5	PM-10			
NY075 - 00 - 0	PARTICULATES			
7446 - 09 - 5	SULFUR DIOXIDE			
NY210 - 00 - 0	OXIDES OF NITROGEN			
630 - 08 - 0	CARBON MONOXIDE			
7439 - 92 - 1	LEAD			
NY998 - 00 - 0	VOC	117		
NY100 - 00 - 0	HAP	110		
0079 - 01 - 6	Trichloroethylene	99		
00075 - 01 - 4	Vinyl Chloride	3.7		
00540 - 59 - 0	1,2-Dichloroethylene	7.3		
- -				
- -				

New York State Department of Environmental Conservation
Air Permit Application

DEC ID
- - -

Section IV - Emission Unit Information

Emission Unit Description

❑ Continuation Sheet(s)

EMISSION UNIT: 0-00EU1

Air Stripper AS-1 for groundwater remediation, provided with activated carbon for emission control. The emission point is stack 00ST-1. The 2-stage VGAC is followed by a 3rd vessel containing a potassium permanganate zeolite media for increased VC capacity.

Building

❑ Continuation Sheet(s)

Building	Building Name	Length (ft)	Width (ft)	Orientation
BLDG-1	Treatment Plant	75	75	0

Emission Point

❑ Continuation Sheet(s)

EMISSION PT.: 00ST1

Ground Elev. (ft)	Height (ft)	Height Above Structure (ft)	Inside Diameter (in)	Exit Temp. (°F)	Cross Section	
					Length (in)	Width (in)
90	40	15	36	80		
Exit Velocity (FPS)	**Exit Flow (ACFM)**	**NYTM (E) (KM)**	**NYTM (N) (KM)**	**Building**	**Distance to Property Line (ft)**	**Date of Removal**
19	8020			BLDG-1	50	

EMISSION PT.:

Ground Elev. (ft)	Height (ft)	Height Above Structure (ft)	Inside Diameter (in)	Exit Temp. (°F)	Cross Section	
					Length (in)	Width (in)
Exit Velocity (FPS)	**Exit Flow (ACFM)**	**NYTM (E) (KM)**	**NYTM (N) (KM)**	**Building**	**Distance to Property Line (ft)**	**Date of Removal**

Emission Source/Control

❑ Continuation Sheet(s)

Emission Source ID	Type	Date of Construction	Date of Operation	Date of Removal	Control Type Code	Control Type Description	Manufacturer's Name/Model No.
AS-1	I				048	Granular Act. Carbon	Air Stripping Column

Design Capacity	Design Capacity Units Code	Design Capacity Units Description	Waste Feed Code	Waste Feed Description	Waste Type Code	Waste Type Description

Emission Source ID	Type	Date of Construction	Date of Operation	Date of Removal	Control Type Code	Control Type Description	Manufacturer's Name/Model No.

Design Capacity	Design Capacity Units Code	Design Capacity Units Description	Waste Feed Code	Waste Feed Description	Waste Type Code	Waste Type Description

New York State Department of Environmental Conservation
Air Permit Application

DEC ID
- -

Section IV - Emission Unit Information (continued)

Process Information

❑ Continuation Sheet(s)

EMISSION UNIT 0-00EU1 PROCESS PR1

Description

The remedial system is air stripping, using a packed column at a groundwater flow rate of 1,100 gpm (plus 100 gpm recycle, for a total of 1,200 gpm). Vapor phase treatment includes the use of 3 vessels, a 2-stage GAC unit, followed by a 3rd vessel containing a potassium permanganate impregnated zeolite for increased VC capacity. Prior to entering the vapor-phase GAC adsorption system, the humidity of the air stripper exhaust is reduced to approximately 50 percent or less to optimize the efficiency of the vapor-phase GAC.

Air Stripper AS-1: Existing. Type: Vertical, Cylindrical Construction: Aluminum

Packing: 25-foot Jaeger Tripack. Dimensions: 10.0 ft. Dia x 47 ft. H

Source Classification Code (SCC)	Total Thruput		Thruput Quantity Units	
	Quantity/Hr	Quantity/Yr	Code	Description

❑ Confidential ☒ Operating at Maximum Capacity ❑ Activity with Insignificant Emissions	Operating Schedule		Building	Floor/Location
	Hrs/Day	Days/Yr		
	24	365	BLDG-1	Main

Emission Source/Control Identifier(s)

AS-1							

EMISSION UNIT - PROCESS

Description

Source Classification Code (SCC)	Total Thruput		Thruput Quantity Units	
	Quantity/Hr	Quantity/Yr	Code	Description

❑ Confidential ❑ Operating at Maximum Capacity ❑ Activity with Insignificant Emissions	Operating Schedule		Building	Floor/Location
	Hrs/Day	Days/Yr		

Emission Source/Control Identifier(s)

New York State Department of Environmental Conservation
Air Permit Application

DEC ID
- -

Section IV - Emission Unit Information (continued)

Emission Unit	Emission Point	Process	Emission Source	Emission Unit Applicable Federal Requirements ❑ Continuation Sheet(s)									
				Title	Type	Part	Sub Part	Section	Sub Division	Parag.	Sub Parag.	Clause	Sub Clause
-													
-													
-													
-													

Emission Unit	Emission Point	Process	Emission Source	Emission Unit State Only Requirements ❑ Continuation Sheet(s)									
				Title	Type	Part	Sub Part	Section	Sub Division	Parag.	Sub Parag.	Clause	Sub Clause
-													
-													
-													
-													

Emission Unit Compliance Certification ❑ Continuation Sheet(s)

Rule Citation

Title	Type	Part	Sub Part	Section	Sub Division	Paragraph	Sub Paragraph	Clause	Sub Clause
6	NYCRR	212							

☒ Applicable Federal Requirement ❑ State Only Requirement ❑ Capping

Emission Unit	Emission Point	Process	Emission Source	CAS No.	Contaminant Name
0-00EU1	00ST1	PR1	AS-1	00079 - 01 - 6	Trichloroethylene

Monitoring Information

❑ Continuous Emission Monitoring
☒ Intermittent Emission Testing
❑ Ambient Air Monitoring
❑ Monitoring of Process or Control Device Parameters as Surrogate
❑ Work Practice Involving Specific Operations
❑ Record Keeping/Maintenance Procedures

Description

Monthly grab samples analyzed for VOCs from the vapor phase treatment system influent, effluent and two intermediate locations.

Work Practice Type	Process Material Code	Process Material Description	Reference Test Method

Parameter Code	Parameter Description	Manufacturer Name/Model No.
23	Concentration	

Limit Upper	Limit Lower	Limit Units Code	Limit Units Description
3,125		255	micrograms per cubic meter

Averaging Method Code	Averaging Method Description	Monitoring Frequency Code	Monitoring Frequency Description	Reporting Requirements Code	Reporting Requirements Description
01	Instantaneous	05	Monthly	10	Upon Request

DEC ID
- -

Section IV - Emission Unit Information (continued)

Determination of Non-Applicability (Title V Only) N/A ❑ Continuation Sheet(s)

Rule Citation

Title	Type	Part	Sub Part	Section	Sub Division	Paragraph	Sub Paragraph	Clause	Sub Clause

Emission Unit	Emission Point	Process	Emission Source	❑ Applicable Federal Requirement ❑ State Only Requirement
-				

Description

Rule Citation

Title	Type	Part	Sub Part	Section	Sub Division	Paragraph	Sub Paragraph	Clause	Sub Clause

Emission Unit	Emission Point	Process	Emission Source	❑ Applicable Federal Requirement ❑ State Only Requirement
-				

Description

Process Emissions Summary ❑ Continuation Sheet(s)

EMISSION UNIT	0-00EU1				PROCESS	P R 1
CAS No.	Contaminant Name	% Thruput	% Capture	% Control	ERP (lbs/hr)	ERP How Determined
0079 - 01 - 6	Trichloroethylene			95	1.87	02

PTE (lbs/hr)	PTE (lbs/yr)	PTE (standard units)	Standard Units	PTE How Determined	Actual (lbs/hr)	Actual (lbs/yr)
0.09	99			02		

EMISSION UNIT	0-00EU1				PROCESS	P R 1
CAS No.	Contaminant Name	% Thruput	% Capture	% Control	ERP (lbs/hr)	ERP How Determined
00075- 01 - 4	Vinyl Chloride			95	0.17	03

PTE (lbs/hr)	PTE (lbs/yr)	PTE (standard units)	Standard Units	PTE How Determined	Actual (lbs/hr)	Actual (lbs/yr)
0.01	3.7			02		

EMISSION UNIT	0-00EU1				PROCESS	P R 1
CAS No.	Contaminant Name	% Thruput	% Capture	% Control	ERP (lbs/hr)	ERP How Determined
000540 - 59 - 0	1,2-Dichloroethylene			95	0.6	02

PTE (lbs/hr)	PTE (lbs/yr)	PTE (standard units)	Standard Units	PTE How Determined	Actual (lbs/hr)	Actual (lbs/yr)
0.03	7.3			02		

DEC ID
- - -

Section IV - Emission Unit Information (continued)

EMISSION UNIT 0-00EU1	Emission Unit Emissions Summary		❑ Continuation Sheet(s)	
CAS No.	Contaminant Name			
00107- 06 - 2	1,2-Dichloroethane			
ERP (lbs/yr)	PTE Emissions		Actual	
	(lbs/hr)	(lbs/yr)	(lbs/hr)	(lbs/yr)
13.4	Below Reporting Threshold BRT			
CAS No.	Contaminant Name			
00108 - 88 - 3	Toluene			
ERP (lbs/yr)	PTE Emissions		Actual	
	(lbs/hr)	(lbs/yr)	(lbs/hr)	(lbs/yr)
72.7	BRT	BRT		
CAS No.	Contaminant Name			
01330- 20 - 7	Xylene			
ERP (lbs/yr)	PTE Emissions		Actual	
	(lbs/hr)	(lbs/yr)	(lbs/hr)	(lbs/yr)
77.1	BRT	BRT		
CAS No.	Contaminant Name			
- -	1,1,2-Trichloroethane			
ERP (lbs/yr)	PTE Emissions		Actual	
	(lbs/hr)	(lbs/yr)	(lbs/hr)	(lbs/yr)
	BRT	BRT		

Compliance Plan

❑ Continuation Sheet(s)

For any emission units which are not in compliance at the time of permit application, the applicant shall complete the following

Consent Order — Certified progress reports are to be submitted every 6 months beginning ____/____/____

Emission Unit	Process	Emission Source	Applicable Federal Requirement									
			Title	Type	Part	Sub Part	Section	Sub Division	Parag.	Sub Parag.	Clause	Sub Clause
-												

Remedial Measure / Intermediate Milestones	R/I	Date Scheduled

DEC ID

Section IV - Emission Unit Information (continued)

Request for Emission Reduction Credits

❑ Continuation Sheet(s)

EMISSION UNIT

Emission Reduction Description

Contaminant Emission Reduction Data

Baseline Period ___/___/___ to ___/___/___

Reduction Date	Reduction Method
/ /	

CAS No.	Contaminant Name	ERC (lbs/yr) Netting	ERC (lbs/yr) Offset
- -			
- -			
- -			

Facility to Use Future Reduction

Name | APPLICATION ID

Location Address

❑ City / ❑ Town / ❑ Village | State | Zip

Use of Emission Reduction Credits

❑ Continuation Sheet(s)

EMISSION UNIT

Proposed Project Description

Contaminant Emissions Increase Data

CAS No.	Contaminant Name	PEP (lbs/yr)
- -		

Statement of Compliance

❑ All facilities under the ownership of this "ownership/firm" are operating in compliance with all applicable requirements and state regulations including any compliance certification requirements under Section 114(a)(3) of the Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990, or are meeting the schedule of a consent order.

Source of Emission Reduction Credit - Facility

Name | PERMIT ID

Location Address

❑ City / ❑ Town / ❑ Village | State | Zip

Emission Unit	CAS No.	Contaminant Name	ERC (lbs/yr) Netting	ERC (lbs/yr) Offset
-	- -			
-	- -			
-	- -			

DEC ID
- -

Supporting Documentation

- ☒ P.E. Certification (form attached)
- ❑ List of Exempt Activities (form attached)
- ☒ Plot Plan
- ❑ Methods Used to Determine Compliance (form attached)
- ☒ Calculations
- ❑ Air Quality Model (_____ / _____ / _____)
- ❑ Confidentiality Justification
- ❑ Ambient Air Monitoring Plan (_____ / _____ / _____)
- ❑ Stack Test Protocols/Reports (_____ / _____ / _____)
- ❑ Continuous Emissions Monitoring Plans/QA/QC (_____ / _____ / _____)
- ❑ MACT Demonstration (_____ / _____ / _____)
- ❑ Operational Flexibility: Description of Alternative Operating Scenarios and Protocols
- ❑ Title IV: Application/Registration
- ❑ ERC Quantification (form attached)
- ❑ Use of ERC(s) (form attached)
- ❑ Baseline Period Demonstration
- ❑ Analysis of Contemporaneous Emission Increase/Decrease
- ❑ LAER Demonstration (_____ / _____ / _____)
- ❑ BACT Demonstration (_____ / _____ / _____)
- ❑ Other Document(s): _____ (/ /)

ATTACHMENT B

2008 EMISSION ESTIMATES BASED ON 95% REMOVAL

ATTACHMENT 1
Emission Estimate

POTENTIAL EMISSION ESTIMATES, USED TO DEVELOP 95% REDUCTION OF EMISSION VALUES AS BASED ON INFLUENT GROUNDWATER CONCENTRATIONS (95% REDUCTION OF EMISSION VALUES ARE PROVIDED ON PAGE 7 OF THE 2008 AIR PERMIT APPLICATION PROCESS EMISSIONS SUMMARY)

Feed Water Flow	1,100	gpm: max or normal
	250	m^3/hr
Water Flow Including Recycle	1,200	gpm: max or normal
	273	m^3/hr
Air Flow	8,000	cfm
	13,592	m^3/hr
A/W vol ratio	50	

EXAMPLE EMISSION CALC: Vinyl Chloride
4.8 ug/L x 1000 L/m^3 x 250 m^3 water/13,623 m^3 air = 88 ug/m^3

Name	CAS Number	Toxicity: H/M/L[2]	VOC[3]	HAP[4]	GW Conc.[1] Max ug/L	GW Conc.[1] Avg ug/L	Effluent Conc[1] Max ug/L	Effluent Conc[1] Avg ug/L	Uncontrolled Stripper Exhaust Max lb/day	Avg lb/day	Max lb/hr	Avg lb/hr	Max gm/sec	Avg gm/sec	Max ug/m^3	Avg ug/m^3
1,1,1-Trichloroethane (Methyl Chloroform)	00071-55-6	L	**No**	Yes	3	3.0			0.04	0.04	0.00	0.00	2.08E-04	2.08E-04	55	55
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	00079-00-5	M	Yes	Yes	3.5	0.3			0.05	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.43E-04	2.08E-05	64	6
1,1-Dichloroethane	00075-34-3	L	Yes	Yes	4	0.7			0.05	0.01	0.00	0.00	2.77E-04	4.85E-05	74	13
1,2-Dichloroethane	00107-06-2	M	Yes	Yes	3	1.0	0.3	0.1	0.04	0.01	0.00	0.00	1.87E-04	6.24E-05	55	18
1,1-Dichloroethylene (Vinylidene Chloride)	00075-35-4	M	Yes	Yes	9	1.6			0.12	0.02	0.00	0.00	6.24E-04	1.11E-04	165	29
1,2-Dichloroethylene	00540-59-0	M	Yes	**No**	1,100	31.5	1.3	0.0	14.51	0.42	0.60	0.02	7.62E-02	2.18E-03	20,219	579
Benzene	00071-43-2	H	Yes	Yes	4	0.1			0.05	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.77E-04	6.94E-06	74	2
Carbon Tetrachloride	00056-23-5	H	Yes	Yes	4	0.1			0.05	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.77E-04	6.94E-06	74	2
Chlorobenzene (Monochlorobenzene)	00108-90-7	M	Yes	Yes	1	0.1			0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	6.94E-05	6.94E-06	18	2
Chloroform	00067-66-3	M	Yes	Yes	2	0.8			0.03	0.01	0.00	0.00	1.39E-04	5.55E-05	37	15
Methyl Tert Butyl Ether	01634-04-4	M	Yes	Yes	2	0.1			0.03	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.39E-04	6.94E-06	37	2
Tetrachloroethylene	00127-18-4	M	Yes	Yes	900	33.8	0.9	0.0	11.88	0.45	0.49	0.02	6.24E-02	2.34E-03	16,543	621
Toluene	00108-88-3	L	Yes	Yes	15	0.7			0.20	0.01	0.01	0.00	1.04E-03	4.85E-05	276	13
Trichloroethylene	00079-01-6	M	Yes	Yes	3,400	411.5	4.5	0.5	44.86	5.43	1.87	0.23	2.35E-01	2.85E-02	62,494	7,564
Vinyl chloride	00075-01-4	H	Yes	Yes	300	4.8	0.0	0.0	3.96	0.06	0.17	0.00	2.08E-02	3.33E-04	5,514	88
Xylenes	01330-20-7	M	Yes	Yes	16	0.2			0.21	0.00	0.01	0.00	1.11E-03	1.39E-05	294	4
Total VOCs					5,764	487.3	7.0	0.6	76.05	6.43	3.17	0.27				
Total HAPs					4,667	458.8	5.7	0.6	61.57	6.05	2.57	0.25				

Total Uncontrolled VOC 2,347 lb/yr
Total Uncontrolled HAP 2,209 lb/yr

1. Source: "GM-38 Groundwater Remedy Analysis Report", February 2003
2. Source: DAR-1 AGC/SGC Tables, NYSDEC Division of Air Resources, Air Toxics Section, September 10, 2007.
3. Source: 6 NYCRR Part 200 1(cg)
4. Source: 6 NYCRR Part 200.1(ag)

ATTACHMENT 1
Emission Estimate

Feed Water Flow	1,100	gpm: max or normal
	250	m^3/hr
Water Flow Including Recycle	1,200	gpm: max or normal
	273	m^3/hr
Air Flow	8,000	cfm
	13,592	m^3/hr
A/W vol ratio	50	

					Controlled Stripper Exhaust				
Name	CAS Number	Toxicity: H/M/L[2]	VOC[3]	HAP[4]	Control by GAC	Max lb/day	Avg lb/day	Max gm/sec	Avg gm/sec
1,1,1-Trichloroethane (Methyl Chloroform)	00071-55-6	L	**No**	Yes	95%	0.00	0.00	1.04E-05	1.04E-05
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	00079-00-5	M	Yes	Yes	95%	0.00	0.00	1.21E-05	1.04E-06
1,1-Dichloroethane	00075-34-3	L	Yes	Yes	95%	0.00	0.00	1.39E-05	2.43E-06
1,2-Dichloroethane	00107-06-2	M	Yes	Yes	95%	0.00	0.00	9.36E-06	3.12E-06
1,1-Dichloroethylene (Vinylidene Chloride)	00075-35-4	M	Yes	Yes	95%	0.01	0.00	3.12E-05	5.55E-06
1,2-Dichloroethylene	00540-59-0	M	Yes	**No**	95%	0.73	0.02	3.81E-03	1.09E-04
Benzene	00071-43-2	H	Yes	Yes	95%	0.00	0.00	1.39E-05	3.47E-07
Carbon Tetrachloride	00056-23-5	H	Yes	Yes	95%	0.00	0.00	1.39E-05	3.47E-07
Chlorobenzene (Monochlorobenzene)	00108-90-7	M	Yes	Yes	95%	0.00	0.00	3.47E-06	3.47E-07
Chloroform	00067-66-3	M	Yes	Yes	95%	0.00	0.00	6.94E-06	2.77E-06
Methyl Tert Butyl Ether	01634-04-4	M	Yes	Yes	95%	0.00	0.00	6.94E-06	3.47E-07
Tetrachloroethylene	00127-18-4	M	Yes	Yes	95%	0.59	0.02	3.12E-03	1.17E-04
Toluene	00108-88-3	L	Yes	Yes	95%	0.01	0.00	5.20E-05	2.43E-06
Trichloroethylene	00079-01-6	M	Yes	Yes	95%	2.24	0.27	1.18E-02	1.43E-03
Vinyl chloride	00075-01-4	H	Yes	Yes	95%	0.20	0.00	1.04E-03	1.66E-05
Xylenes	01330-20-7	M	Yes	Yes	95%	0.01	0.00	5.55E-05	6.94E-07
Total VOCs						3.80	0.32		
Total HAPs						3.08	0.30		

Total Controlled VOC	117	lb/yr
Total Controlled HAP	110	lb/yr

1. Source: "GM-38 Groundwater Remedy Analysis Report", February 2003
2. Source: DAR-1 AGC/SGC Tables, NYSDEC Division of Air Resources, Air Tox
3. Source: 6 NYCRR Part 200.1(cg)
4. Source: 6 NYCRR Part 200.1(ag)

ATTACHMENT C

2011 DISCHARGE GOALS AND 2011 DAR-1 ANALYSIS

Tetra Tech NUS		***STANDARD CALCULATION SHEET***	
CLIENT: US CLEAN	FILE No:	BY: SK	PAGE: 1 of 1
SUBJECT: Calculation of Current Discharge Goals GM-38 Area NWIRP Bethpage, New York		CHECKED BY:	DATE: 9/7/2011

1. Purpose:

To calculate current discharge goals for Trichloroethene (TCE), Tetrachloroethene (PCE), Vinyl Chloride, cis 1,2-Dichloroethene, and 1,2-Dichloroethene (total), for treatment of off-gas from the air stripper stack AS-1.

2. Approach:

From the Contaminant Assessment Summary of the DAR-1 Model output for TCE, PCE, Vinyl Chloride, cis 1,2-Dichloroethene, and 1,2-Dichloroethene (total) (see DAR-1 output for analysis inputs), use the Actual Annual % of the Annual Guideline Concentration (AGC), a current average flow rate of 9,200 cubic feet per minute (cfm), and influent chemical emission rates in pounds per hour (lb/hour) and pounds per year (lb/year) to back calculate current discharge goals.

3. Calculation of Current Discharge Goals:

Chemical	Current Actual Annual % of AGC[(1)]	Current Maximum Concentration ($\mu g/m^3$)[(2)]	Current Chemical Emission Rate Prior to Treatment (lb/hour)[(3)]	Current Chemical Emission Rate Prior to Treatment (lb/year)[(3)]	Calculated Discharge Goal (lb/hr)[(4)]	Calculated Discharge Goal (lb/year)[(4)]	Maximum Allowable Concentration ($\mu g/m^3$)[(4)]
TCE	390.6	10,000	0.3446	3,019	0.0882	770	2,600
PCE	132.8	6,800	0.2344	2,053	0.1764	1,500	5,100
Vinyl Chloride	13.49	76	0.0026	22.94	0.0194	170	560
cis 1,2-Dichloroethene	0.2322	750	0.0258	226.4	11.13	98,000	320,000
1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	0.2322	750	0.0258	226.4	11.13	98,000	320,000

Notes:

[(1)]Actual Annual % of the AGCs is from the attached DAR-1 Model Output.

[(2)]Values were taken from the Quarterly Operations Report First Quarter 2011 (June 2011) from ECOR Federal Services. Values were the maximum effluent concentration in off gas from air stripper stack AS-1 for the months of January, February, and March 2011.

[(3)]Chemical Emission Rates were calculated from maximum concentrations and an average flow rate of 9,200 cfm.

[(4)]Discharge Goals are based on a flow of 9,200 cfm, and calculated from the Actual Annual % of the AGCs from the DAR-1 Model Output to achieve air quality requirements. The summary of additional inputs for this model run is provided in the DAR-1 Model Output. Stack height is 40 feet, and the property line was evaluated at a distance of 50 feet.

BETHPAGE SITE GM-38 OFF-SITE GROUNDWATER AIR STRIPPER STACK EMISSIONS
DAR-1 MODEL OUTPUT, POINT SOURCE (STACK EMISSIONS) TYPE
INCLUDES ISCLT MODELING SUMMARY

I. Summary of Inputs for Model Run to Nearest Property Line (50 feet), worst case scenario (highest contaminant concentrations seen in first quarter 2011 in untreated effluent from Air Stripper AS-1 prior to treatment with granular activated carbon (GAC))

Chemical	CAS No. 00079-01-6 (TCE)	CAS No. 00127-18-4 (PCE)	CAS No. 00075-01-4 (Vinyl Chloride)	CAS No. 00156-59-2 (cis 1,2-Dichloroethene)	CAS No. 00540-59-0 (1,2-Dichloroethene, total)
Emission Rate Prior to Treatment[(1)] (lb/hour)	0.3444	0.2342	0.0026	0.0258	0.0258
Emission Rate Prior to Treatment[(1)] (lb/year)	3,017	2,052	22.93	226.0	226.0
Maximum Concentration of Untreated Off Gas ($\mu g/m^3$)[(1)]	10,000	6,800	76	750	750
Annual Guideline Concentration (AGC) ($\mu g/m^3$)	0.5	1.0	0.11	63	63
Short-term Guideline Concentration (SGC) ($\mu g/m^3$)	14,000	1,000	180,000	--	--

HA	Height Above stack/ maximum height of plume (HA, feet)	15
SH	Stack Height/Treatment Building Air Stack (SH, feet)	40
D	Stack Diameter (D, inches)	36
T	Stack Exit Temperature (T, degrees Fahrenheit)	80
V	Stack Exit Velocity (V, ft/sec)	21.69
Q[(2)]	Stack Exit Flow Rate [Q, Actual Cubic Feet per Minute (ACFM)]	9,200
Dpl	Shortest Distance from Source Building (Treatment Building) to Property Line (Dpl, feet) for point sources	50
BW	Building Width (BW, feet) of Source Building (Treatment Building) for point sources	75
BL	Building Length (BL, feet) of Source Building (Treatment Building)	75
Q	Actual Hourly Emission Rate (lbs/hour) for source contaminant	Chemical specific, see above
Qa	Actual Annual Emission Rate (lbs/year) for source contaminant	Chemical specific, see above

[(1)] Emission rates and maximum concentration values were taken from the Quarterly Operations Report First Quarter (June 2011) as provided by ECOR Services, using January, February, and March 2011 maximum rates of untreated off gas from Air Stripper AS-1 in the

GM-38 Treatment Building. Emission rates are based on continuous operation 24 hours per day, 7 days a week, 52 weeks a year, or approximately 8,760 hours of operation.
(2) "Q" is an average value of January and February 2011 monthly flow rates. Effective water and vapor flow rates were reduced during the reporting period of March due to a shutdown of the Treatment Plant on March 23, 2011.

II. Contaminant Assessment Summary of TCE, PCE, Vinyl Chloride, cis 1,2-Dichloroethene, and 1,2-Dichloroethene (total):

CONTAMINANT ASSESSMENT SUMMARY OF DAR-1 ANALYSIS 9/ 8/11
Page 1

		SHORT-TERM	CAVITY	POINT or AREA SOURCE	
CAS NUMBER	AGC ug/m3	MAXIMUM (Gav,Pt,Area) % OF SGC	ACTUAL ANNUAL % OF AGC	POTENTIAL ANNUAL % OF AGC	ACTUAL ANNUAL % OF AGC
00075-01-4	0.11000000	0.0005	0.0000	13.3889	13.4948
00079-01-6	0.50000000	0.7757	0.0000	390.1734	390.6266
00127-18-4	1.00000000	7.3852	0.0000	132.6635	132.8415
00156-59-2	63.00000000	0.0000	0.0000	0.2320	0.2322
00540-59-0	63.00000000	0.0000	0.0000	0.2320	0.2322
SUMMARY TOTALS		8.1614	0.0000	536.6897	537.4274

III. Contaminant Impact Summary of TCE, PCE, Vinyl Chloride, cis 1,2-Dichloroethene, and 1,2-Dichloroethene (total):

CONTAMINANT IMPACT SUMMARY OF DAR-1 ANALYSIS 9/ 8/11
Page 1

		SHORT-TERM	CAVITY	POINT or AREA SOURCE	
CAS NUMBER	AGC ug/m3	MAXIMUM (Gav,Pt,Area) ug/m3	ACTUAL ANNUAL ug/m3	POTENTIAL ANNUAL ug/m3	ACTUAL ANNUAL ug/m3
00075-01-4	0.11000000	0.81988204	0.00000000	0.01472780	0.01484433
00079-01-6	0.50000000	108.60282900	0.00000000	1.95086694	1.95313276
00127-18-4	1.00000000	73.85244750	0.00000000	1.32663476	1.32841504
00156-59-2	63.00000000	8.13575172	0.00000000	0.14614509	0.14630693
00540-59-0	63.00000000	8.13575172	0.00000000	0.14614509	0.14630693

IV. Contaminant Impact Summary Step by Step Menu for TCE:



```
**********************************************************************************
 NWIRP BETHPAGE GM-38 AREA      BETHPAGE                              OYSTER BAY, NEW

EMISSION POINT =           TOTAL     CAS NUMBER = 00079-01-6     SIC =    0

   AGC =            0.500000000 ug/m3        SGC =       14000.000000 ug/m3

   STACK: HA=    15., SH=   40., D=   36., T=    80., V=   21.69, q=   9200.00
BUILDING: Dpl=     50., BW=   75., BL=   75., %CONTROL=   0.0000

**  Reported Hourly Emission Rate (Q) is equal to         0.344400000 lbs/hour.

**  Reported Annual Emission Rate (Qa) is equal to     3017.000000 lbs/year.

II.B.   REFINED CAVITY IMPACT METHOD (DAR-1, APPENDIX B).

II.B.1.       Shortest Distance from building to Property Line (   50. feet )
              is less than or equal to the cavity length, or 3 building
              heights (   75. feet ).  Therefore, this building will have
              cavity impacts (if they occur) at receptors off plant property.

II.B.2.       The largest building dimension (   75. feet ) is greater than or
              equal to the building height (   25. feet ).  Therefore, the
              computer will NOT redefine the cavity length.
```



```
II.B.3.       Stack height (   40. feet ) is greater than cavity height
              (   38. feet ).  Therefore, this source does not contribute to
              the buildings cavity impact.   The Computer will assume the
              CAVITY Annual Impact equals 0.00 ug/m3.

II.C.   CAVITY Annual Impact (      0.000 ug/m3 ) is less than AGC
        (      0.500 ug/m3 ).

III.A.  STANDARD POINT SOURCE METHOD (DAR-1, APPENDIX B).

III.A.1.b.          Momentum flux, Fm, is equal to   1000.331 ft(4)/sec(2).

III.A.1.b.          Effective stack height, he, is equal to     51.001 feet.

III.A.2.      STANDARD POINT SOURCE Actual Annual Impact is equal
              to       2.604 ug/m3 for  8760. hours/year of operation.

III.A.3.      STANDARD POINT SOURCE Potential Annual Impact is equal
              to       2.601 ug/m3 assuming 8,760 hours/year of operation.

III.A.4.a.          Stack height to building height ratio is greater than
                    1.5, but less than 2.5.   Computer will multiply actual
                    annual & potential annual impacts by 0.75 factor.
```

```
III.A.5.      STANDARD POINT SOURCE Short-Term Impact is calculated below
              using the DAR-1 SOFTWARE PROGRAM SHORT-TERM METHOD.

III.D.  STANDARD POINT SOURCE Actual Annual Impact (      1.953 ug/m3 ) is
        greater than AGC (        0.500 ug/m3 ).

        **** Refer to DAR-1 Section III.D.1.  A refined site          ****
        **** specific modeling analyis may be required.                ****

III.D.  STANDARD POINT SOURCE Potential Annual Impact (      1.951 ug/m3 )
        is greater than AGC (        0.500 ug/m3 ).

        **** Potential Annual Impact is based upon 8760 hours/year         ****
        **** operation instead of reported 8760. hours/year.               ****

2.0     DAR-1 SOFTWARE PROGRAM SHORT-TERM METHOD.
        See "Technical Reference for the Screening Procedures of the
        DAR-1 Software Program, Wade/Sedefian,' 1/11/94.

2.2           CAVITY Short-Term Impact is equal to  0.00 ug/m3 as the plume
              escaped the cavity region:  hs(  40. feet) > hc(  26. feet).

II.C.   CAVITY Short-Term Impact (      0.000 ug/m3 ) is less
        than SGC (  14000.000 ug/m3 ).
```

```
2.3           Momentum flux, Fm, is equal to   1000.331 ft(4)/sec(2).

2.3           Effective stack height, he, is equal to     51.001 feet.

2.4           Maximum non-downwash GEP stack Short-Term Impact (GSTP) is equal
              to        38.826 ug/m3,  for   hs/hb =   1.60

2.5           Maximum downwash Short-Term Impact (GSTD) is equal
              to      129.908 ug/m3, for:  hs/hb =   1.60 and ESH =   51. feet.

2.6           Adjusted maximum downwash Short-Term (GSTD) is equal
              to      108.603 ug/m3,  for:  RF =   0.84

III.D.  Maximum non-cavity Short-Term Impact (CST:       108.603 ug/m3 ) is
        less than the SGC (    14000.000 ug/m3 ) for the point source.

2.7           Maximum Short-Term cavity, point, or area source impact
              (SHORT-TERM MAXIMUM, (Cav,Pt,Area)) equals      108.603 ug/m3
              and is reported in the ANALYSIS MENU.  This value is less than
              the SGC (    14000.000 ug/m3 ).
```

V. Contaminant Impact Summary Step by Step Menu for PCE:

```
**********************************************************************************
 NWIRP BETHPAGE GM-38 AREA      BETHPAGE                          OYSTER BAY, NEW
EMISSION POINT =          TOTAL     CAS NUMBER = 00127-18-4     SIC =    0
   AGC =            1.000000000 ug/m3        SGC =         1000.000000 ug/m3
   STACK: HA=    15., SH=   40., D=   36., T=    80., V=   21.69, q=   9200.00
BUILDING: Dpl=     50., BW=   75., BL=   75., %CONTROL=   0.0000
**  Reported Hourly Emission Rate (Q) is equal to         0.234200000 lbs/hour.
**  Reported Annual Emission Rate (Qa) is equal to     2052.000000 lbs/year.
II.B.   REFINED CAVITY IMPACT METHOD (DAR-1, APPENDIX B).
II.B.1.       Shortest Distance from building to Property Line (   50. feet )
              is less than or equal to the cavity length, or 3 building
              heights (   75. feet ).  Therefore, this building will have
              cavity impacts (if they occur) at receptors off plant property.
II.B.2.       The largest building dimension (   75. feet ) is greater than or
              equal to the building height (   25. feet ).  Therefore, the
              computer will NOT redefine the cavity length.
```

```
II.B.3.       Stack height (   40. feet ) is greater than cavity height
              (   38. feet ).  Therefore, this source does not contribute to
              the buildings cavity impact.   The Computer will assume the
              CAVITY Annual Impact equals 0.00 ug/m3.
II.C.   CAVITY Annual Impact (      0.000 ug/m3 ) is less than AGC
        (      1.000 ug/m3 ).
III.A.  STANDARD POINT SOURCE METHOD (DAR-1, APPENDIX B).
III.A.1.b.        Momentum flux, Fm, is equal to   1000.331 ft(4)/sec(2).
III.A.1.b.        Effective stack height, he, is equal to     51.001 feet.
III.A.2.      STANDARD POINT SOURCE Actual Annual Impact is equal
              to      1.771 ug/m3 for  8762. hours/year of operation.
III.A.3.      STANDARD POINT SOURCE Potential Annual Impact is equal
              to      1.769 ug/m3 assuming 8,760 hours/year of operation.
III.A.4.a.        Stack height to building height ratio is greater than
                  1.5, but less than 2.5.   Computer will multiply actual
                  annual & potential annual impacts by 0.75 factor.
```

```
III.A.5.      STANDARD POINT SOURCE Short-Term Impact is calculated below
              using the DAR-1 SOFTWARE PROGRAM SHORT-TERM METHOD.

III.D.  STANDARD POINT SOURCE Actual Annual Impact (      1.328 ug/m3 ) is
        greater than AGC (        1.000 ug/m3 ).

        **** Refer to DAR-1 Section III.D.1.  A refined site          ****
        **** specific modeling analyis may be required.                ****

III.D.  STANDARD POINT SOURCE Potential Annual Impact (      1.327 ug/m3 )
        is greater than AGC (        1.000 ug/m3 ).

        ***** Potential Annual Impact is based upon 8760 hours/year        *****
        ***** operation instead of reported 8762. hours/year.              *****

2.0     DAR-1 SOFTWARE PROGRAM SHORT-TERM METHOD.
        See "Technical Reference for the Screening Procedures of the
        DAR-1 Software Program, Wade/Sedefian," 1/11/94.

2.2           CAVITY Short-Term Impact is equal to  0.00 ug/m3 as the plume
              escaped the cavity region:  hs(  40. feet) > hc(  26. feet).

II.C.   CAVITY Short-Term Impact (      0.000 ug/m3 ) is less
        than SGC (   1000.000 ug/m3 ).
```

```
2.3           Momentum flux, Fm, is equal to   1000.331 ft(4)/sec(2).

2.3           Effective stack height, he, is equal to     51.001 feet.

2.4           Maximum non-downwash GEP stack Short-Term Impact (CSTP) is equal
              to        26.403 ug/m3,  for   hs/hb =   1.60

2.5           Maximum downwash Short-Term Impact (CSTD) is equal
              to        88.340 ug/m3, for:  hs/hb =   1.60 and ESH =   51. feet.

2.6           Adjusted maximum downwash Short-Term (CSTD) is equal
              to        73.852 ug/m3,  for:  RF =   0.84

III.D.  Maximum non-cavity Short-Term Impact (CST:        73.852 ug/m3 ) is
        less than the SGC (     1000.000 ug/m3 ) for the point source.

2.7           Maximum Short-Term cavity, point, or area source impact
              (SHORT-TERM MAXIMUM, (Cav,Pt,Area)) equals       73.852 ug/m3
              and is reported in the ANALYSIS MENU.  This value is less than
              the SGC (     1000.000 ug/m3 ).
```

VI. Contaminant Impact Summary Step by Step Menu for Vinyl Chloride:



```
**********************************************************************
 NWIRP BETHPAGE GM-38 AREA      BETHPAGE                    OYSTER BAY, NEW
EMISSION POINT =          TOTAL     CAS NUMBER = 00075-01-4     SIC =    0
   AGC =            0.110000000 ug/m3        SGC =      180000.000000 ug/m3
   STACK: HA=    15., SH=   40., D=   36., T=    80., V=   21.69, q=   9200.00
BUILDING: Dpl=     50., BW=   75., BL=   75., %CONTROL=   0.0000
**  Reported Hourly Emission Rate (Q) is equal to        0.002600000 lbs/hour.
**  Reported Annual Emission Rate (Qa) is equal to       22.930000 lbs/year.
II.B.   REFINED CAVITY IMPACT METHOD (DAR-1, APPENDIX B).
II.B.1.      Shortest Distance from building to Property Line (   50. feet )
             is less than or equal to the cavity length, or 3 building
             heights (   75. feet ).  Therefore, this building will have
             cavity impacts (if they occur) at receptors off plant property.
II.B.2.      The largest building dimension (   75. feet ) is greater than or
             equal to the building height (   25. feet ).  Therefore, the
             computer will NOT redefine the cavity length.
```



```
II.B.3.      Stack height (   40. feet ) is greater than cavity height
             (   38. feet ).  Therefore, this source does not contribute to
             the buildings cavity impact.   The Computer will assume the
             CAVITY Annual Impact equals 0.00 ug/m3.
II.C.   CAVITY Annual Impact (      0.000 ug/m3 ) is less than AGC
        (      0.110 ug/m3 ).
III.A.  STANDARD POINT SOURCE METHOD (DAR-1, APPENDIX B).
III.A.1.b.       Momentum flux, Fm, is equal to   1000.331 ft(4)/sec(2).
III.A.1.b.       Effective stack height, he, is equal to     51.001 feet.
III.A.2.     STANDARD POINT SOURCE Actual Annual Impact is equal
             to      0.020 ug/m3 for  8819. hours/year of operation.
III.A.3.     STANDARD POINT SOURCE Potential Annual Impact is equal
             to      0.020 ug/m3 assuming 8,760 hours/year of operation.
III.A.4.a.       Stack height to building height ratio is greater than
                 1.5, but less than 2.5.   Computer will multiply actual
                 annual & potential annual impacts by 0.75 factor.
```

```
III.A.5.      STANDARD POINT SOURCE Short-Term Impact is calculated below
              using the DAR-1 SOFTWARE PROGRAM SHORT-TERM METHOD.

III.D.  STANDARD POINT SOURCE Actual Annual Impact (      0.015 ug/m3 ) is
        less than AGC (        0.110 ug/m3 ).

III.D.  STANDARD POINT SOURCE Potential Annual Impact (      0.015 ug/m3 )
        is less than AGC (        0.110 ug/m3 ).

        **** Potential Annual Impact is based upon 8760 hours/year        ****
        **** operation instead of reported 8819. hours/year.              ****

2.0     DAR-1 SOFTWARE PROGRAM SHORT-TERM METHOD.
        See "Technical Reference for the Screening Procedures of the
        DAR-1 Software Program, Wade/Sedefian,' 1/11/94.

2.2           CAVITY Short-Term Impact is equal to  0.00 ug/m3 as the plume
              escaped the cavity region:  hs(  40. feet) > hc(  26. feet).

II.C.   CAVITY Short-Term Impact (      0.000 ug/m3 ) is less
        than SGC ( 180000.000 ug/m3 ).

2.3           Momentum flux, Fm, is equal to   1000.331 ft(4)/sec(2).
```

```
2.3           Effective stack height, he, is equal to     51.001 feet.

2.4           Maximum non-downwash GEP stack Short-Term Impact (CSTP) is equal
              to         0.293 ug/m3,  for   hs/hb =   1.60

2.5           Maximum downwash Short-Term Impact (CSTD) is equal
              to         0.981 ug/m3, for:  hs/hb =   1.60 and ESH =   51. feet.

2.6           Adjusted maximum downwash Short-Term (CSTD) is equal
              to         0.820 ug/m3,  for:  RF =   0.84

III.D.  Maximum non-cavity Short-Term Impact (CST:         0.820 ug/m3 ) is
        less than the SGC (   180000.000 ug/m3 ) for the point source.

2.7           Maximum Short-Term cavity, point, or area source impact
              (SHORT-TERM MAXIMUM, (Cav,Pt,Area)) equals         0.820 ug/m3
              and is reported in the ANALYSIS MENU.  This value is less than
              the SGC (   180000.000 ug/m3 ).
```

VII. Contaminant Impact Summary Step by Step Menu for cis 1,2-Dichloroethene:

```
**********************************************************************************
 NWIRP BETHPAGE GM-38 AREA      BETHPAGE                              OYSTER BAY, NEW
EMISSION POINT =          TOTAL     CAS NUMBER = 00156-59-2     SIC =    0
   AGC =          63.000000000 ug/m3        SGC =           0.000000 ug/m3
   STACK: HA=    15., SH=   40., D=   36., T=    80., V=   21.69, q=   9200.00
BUILDING: Dpl=    50., BW=   75., BL=   75., %CONTROL=   0.0000
**  Reported Hourly Emission Rate (Q) is equal to          0.025800000 lbs/hour.
**  Reported Annual Emission Rate (Qa) is equal to       226.000000 lbs/year.
II.B.   REFINED CAVITY IMPACT METHOD (DAR-1, APPENDIX B).
II.B.1.      Shortest Distance from building to Property Line (   50. feet )
             is less than or equal to the cavity length, or 3 building
             heights (   75. feet ).  Therefore, this building will have
             cavity impacts (if they occur) at receptors off plant property.
II.B.2.      The largest building dimension (   75. feet ) is greater than or
             equal to the building height (   25. feet ).  Therefore, the
             computer will NOT redefine the cavity length.
```

```
II.B.3.      Stack height (   40. feet ) is greater than cavity height
             (   38. feet ).  Therefore, this source does not contribute to
             the buildings cavity impact.   The Computer will assume the
             CAVITY Annual Impact equals 0.00 ug/m3.
II.C.   CAVITY Annual Impact (      0.000 ug/m3 ) is less than AGC
        (     63.000 ug/m3 ).
III.A.  STANDARD POINT SOURCE METHOD (DAR-1, APPENDIX B).
III.A.1.b.       Momentum flux, Fm, is equal to   1000.331 ft(4)/sec(2).
III.A.1.b.       Effective stack height, he, is equal to     51.001 feet.
III.A.2.     STANDARD POINT SOURCE Actual Annual Impact is equal
             to      0.195 ug/m3 for  8760. hours/year of operation.
III.A.3.     STANDARD POINT SOURCE Potential Annual Impact is equal
             to      0.195 ug/m3 assuming 8,760 hours/year of operation.
III.A.4.a.       Stack height to building height ratio is greater than
                 1.5, but less than 2.5.   Computer will multiply actual
                 annual & potential annual impacts by 0.75 factor.
```

```
III.A.5.      STANDARD POINT SOURCE Short-Term Impact is calculated below
              using the DAR-1 SOFTWARE PROGRAM SHORT-TERM METHOD.

III.D.  STANDARD POINT SOURCE Actual Annual Impact (      0.146 ug/m3 ) is
        less than AGC (       63.000 ug/m3 ).

III.D.  STANDARD POINT SOURCE Potential Annual Impact (      0.146 ug/m3 )
        is less than AGC (       63.000 ug/m3 ).

        ***** Potential Annual Impact is based upon 8760 hours/year        *****
        ***** operation instead of reported 8760. hours/year.              *****

2.0     DAR-1 SOFTWARE PROGRAM SHORT-TERM METHOD.
        See "Technical Reference for the Screening Procedures of the
        DAR-1 Software Program, Wade/Sedefian,' 1/11/94.

2.2           CAVITY Short-Term Impact is equal to  0.00 ug/m3 as the plume
              escaped the cavity region:  hs(  40. feet) > hc(  26. feet).

II.C.   CAVITY Short-Term Impact is equal to       0.000 ug/m3.
        There is no SGC for this contaminant.

2.3           Momentum flux, Fm, is equal to   1000.331 ft(4)/sec(2).
```

```
2.3           Effective stack height, he, is equal to     51.001 feet.

2.4           Maximum non-downwash GEP stack Short-Term Impact (CSTP) is equal
              to         2.909 ug/m3,  for   hs/hb =   1.60

2.5           Maximum downwash Short-Term Impact (CSTD) is equal
              to         9.732 ug/m3, for:  hs/hb =   1.60 and ESH =   51. feet.

2.6           Adjusted maximum downwash Short-Term (CSTD) is equal
              to         8.136 ug/m3,  for:  RF =   0.84

III.D.  Maximum non-cavity Short-Term Impact (CST) equals        8.136 ug/m3
        for the point source.  There is no SGC for this contaminant.

2.7           Maximum Short-Term cavity, point, or area source impact
              (SHORT-TERM MAXIMUM, (Cav,Pt,Area)) equals        8.136 ug/m3
              and is reported in the ANALYSIS MENU.
```

VIII. Contaminant Impact Summary Step by Step Menu for 1,2-Dichloroethene (total):

```
**********************************************************************************
 NWIRP BETHPAGE GM-38 AREA      BETHPAGE                          OYSTER BAY, NEW
EMISSION POINT =          TOTAL     CAS NUMBER = 00540-59-0     SIC =    0
   AGC =          63.000000000 ug/m3        SGC =          0.000000 ug/m3
   STACK: HA=    15., SH=   40., D=   36., T=    80., V=   21.69, q=   9200.00
BUILDING: Dpl=    50., BW=   75., BL=   75., %CONTROL=   0.0000
**  Reported Hourly Emission Rate (Q) is equal to       0.025800000 lbs/hour.
**  Reported Annual Emission Rate (Qa) is equal to     226.000000 lbs/year.
II.B.   REFINED CAVITY IMPACT METHOD (DAR-1, APPENDIX B).
II.B.1.      Shortest Distance from building to Property Line (   50. feet )
             is less than or equal to the cavity length, or 3 building
             heights (   75. feet ).  Therefore, this building will have
             cavity impacts (if they occur) at receptors off plant property.
II.B.2.      The largest building dimension (   75. feet ) is greater than or
             equal to the building height (   25. feet ).  Therefore, the
             computer will NOT redefine the cavity length.
```

```
II.B.3.      Stack height (   40. feet ) is greater than cavity height
             (   38. feet ).  Therefore, this source does not contribute to
             the buildings cavity impact.   The Computer will assume the
             CAVITY Annual Impact equals 0.00 ug/m3.
II.C.   CAVITY Annual Impact (      0.000 ug/m3 ) is less than AGC
        (     63.000 ug/m3 ).
III.A.  STANDARD POINT SOURCE METHOD (DAR-1, APPENDIX B).
III.A.1.b.       Momentum flux, Fm, is equal to   1000.331 ft(4)/sec(2).
III.A.1.b.       Effective stack height, he, is equal to     51.001 feet.
III.A.2.     STANDARD POINT SOURCE Actual Annual Impact is equal
             to      0.195 ug/m3 for  8760. hours/year of operation.
III.A.3.     STANDARD POINT SOURCE Potential Annual Impact is equal
             to      0.195 ug/m3 assuming 8,760 hours/year of operation.
III.A.4.a.       Stack height to building height ratio is greater than
                 1.5, but less than 2.5.   Computer will multiply actual
                 annual & potential annual impacts by 0.75 factor.
```

```
III.A.5.     STANDARD POINT SOURCE Short-Term Impact is calculated below
             using the DAR-1 SOFTWARE PROGRAM SHORT-TERM METHOD.

III.D.  STANDARD POINT SOURCE Actual Annual Impact (       0.146 ug/m3 ) is
        less than AGC (       63.000 ug/m3 ).

III.D.  STANDARD POINT SOURCE Potential Annual Impact (       0.146 ug/m3 )
        is less than AGC (       63.000 ug/m3 ).

        **** Potential Annual Impact is based upon 8760 hours/year          ****
        **** operation instead of reported 8760. hours/year.                ****

2.0     DAR-1 SOFTWARE PROGRAM SHORT-TERM METHOD.
        See "Technical Reference for the Screening Procedures of the
        DAR-1 Software Program, Wade/Sedefian," 1/11/94.

2.2          CAVITY Short-Term Impact is equal to  0.00 ug/m3 as the plume
             escaped the cavity region:  hs(  40. feet) > hc(  26. feet).

II.C.   CAVITY Short-Term Impact is equal to       0.000 ug/m3.
        There is no SGC for this contaminant.

2.3          Momentum flux, Fm, is equal to   1000.331 ft(4)/sec(2).
```

```
2.3          Effective stack height, he, is equal to      51.001 feet.

2.4          Maximum non-downwash GEP stack Short-Term Impact (CSTP) is equal
             to          2.909 ug/m3.  for   hs/hb =    1.60

2.5          Maximum downwash Short-Term Impact (CSTD) is equal
             to         9.732 ug/m3, for:  hs/hb =   1.60 and ESH =   51. feet.

2.6          Adjusted maximum downwash Short-Term (CSTD) is equal
             to         8.136 ug/m3.  for:  RF =    0.84

III.D.  Maximum non-cavity Short-Term Impact (CST) equals        8.136 ug/m3
        for the point source.  There is no SGC for this contaminant.

2.7          Maximum Short-Term cavity, point, or area source impact
             (SHORT-TERM MAXIMUM, (Cav,Pt,Area)) equals          8.136 ug/m3
             and is reported in the ANALYSIS MENU.
```

IX. AGCs and SGCs for TCE, PCE, Vinyl Chloride, cis 1,2-Dichloroethene, and 1,2-Dichloroethene (total):

AGCs & SGCs — 9/ 8/11 — Page 1

CAS NUMBER	CONTAMINANT NAME	SGC ug/m3	H O W	AGC ug/m3	H O W	T O X	CODES
00075-01-4	VINYL CHLORIDE	180000.00000	D	0.110000000	E	H	U HA
00079-01-6	TRICHLOROETHYLENE	14000.00000	Z	0.500000000	D	M	U HB
00127-18-4	TETRACHLOROETHYLENE	1000.00000	H	1.000000000	H	M	U HI
00156-59-2	DICHLOROETHYLENE,cis	0.00000		63.000000000	D	M	
00540-59-0	DICHLOROETHYLENE, 12	0.00000		63.000000000	D	M	

X. Contaminant Emissions Summary for TCE, PCE, Vinyl Chloride, cis 1,2-Dichloroethene, and 1,2-Dichloroethene (total):'

CONTAMINANT EMISSIONS SUMMARY 9/ 8/11
Page 1

CAS NUMBER	CONTAMINANT NAME	NUM. OF EPs PER CONTAM.	EMISSIONS (lbs/hour)	EMISSIONS (lbs/year)
00075-01-4	VINYL CHLORIDE	1	0.0026000	22.93000
00079-01-6	TRICHLOROETHYLENE	1	0.3444000	3017.00000
00127-18-4	TETRACHLOROETHYLENE	1	0.2342000	2052.00000
00156-59-2	DICHLOROETHYLENE,cis	1	0.0258000	226.00000
00540-59-0	DICHLOROETHYLENE, 12	1	0.0258000	226.00000
SUMMARY TOTALS		5	0.6328000	5543.93000

XI. Meter Grid Modeling Results for Maximum Annual Concentrations of TCE, within 25 meters:

CONCENTRATIONS x 10 (-2) (ug/m3) for 00079-01-6 09/08/11 13:17:58
AGC = 0.500000000 ug/m3

UTMN ▼ \ UTME ▶	367000.	368000.	369000.	370000.	371000.	372000.	373000.	374000.	375000.	376000.	377000.	378000.	379000.
4511000.	0.04		0.06		0.08		0.14		0.23		0.32		0.41
4510000.	0.03		0.05		0.08		0.13		0.25		0.43		0.60
4509000.	0.02		0.03		0.06		0.11		0.24		0.58		1.01
4508000.	0.02		0.03		0.04		0.06		0.18		0.62		2.16
4507000.	0.02		0.03		0.04		0.06		0.11		0.26		7.27
4506000.	0.03		0.03		0.05		0.07		0.13		0.33		2.58
4505000.	0.03		0.04		0.05		0.08		0.20		0.45		0.94
4504000.	0.03		0.04		0.07		0.12		0.20		0.22		0.47

UTMN ▼ \ UTME ▶	380000.	381000.	382000.	383000.	384000.	385000.	386000.	387000.	388000.	389000.	390000.	391000.	392000.
4511000.	0.30		0.14		0.10		0.08		0.06		0.05		0.04
4510000.	0.40		0.17		0.12		0.09		0.07		0.06		0.04
4509000.	0.52		0.22		0.14		0.11		0.08		0.06		0.04
4508000.	0.64		0.31		0.19		0.13		0.11		0.09		0.06
4507000.	1.43		0.60		0.34		0.22		0.15		0.12		0.08
4506000.	2.99		1.12		0.51		0.30		0.20		0.14		0.10
4505000.	0.81		0.60		0.45		0.33		0.23		0.16		0.12
4504000.	0.43		0.33		0.27		0.24		0.20		0.16		0.13

TOP 100 CONTRIBUTORS TO MAXIMUM CONCENTRATION FOR 00079-01-6 09/08/11 13:17:58
@ UTME: 373000. UTMN: 4507000.

Emission Point	Facility Name (shortened)	EP DIR	Distance to Max.(m)	CONC. ug/m3	Percent of Max.
TOTAL	NWIRP BETHPAGE GM-38 AREA	SSE	539.	0.727E-01	100.000
TOTAL OF ALL 1 CONTRIBUTORS				0.727E-01	100.000

XII. ISCLT Model Run Information, within 25 meters:



APPENDIX C

FIELD LOGS

Date: 12-23-2020

Groundwater Level Measurement Sheet

Project Site: NWIRP Bethpage – GM-38
Location: Bethpage, NY
Field Crew: RH

Water Level Meter: Solinst
Weather: Sunny, 46°F, 2mph SSW, 30.4 in Hg
Time of Low Tide: N/A
Time of High Tide: N/A

Well ID	Time	Depth to Water (ft.)	Total Depth of Well / Screed Interval (ft.)	PID (ppm)	Comments
RW1-MW1		34.17'	435 / 395-435	---	
RW1-MW2		38.81'	435 / 395-435	---	
RW1-MW3		25.17'	435 / 395-435	---	
RW2-MW1		37.44'	510 / 470-510	---	
RW2-MW2		37.16'	510 / 470-510	---	
RW2-MW3		36.11'	510 / 470-510	---	
RW3-MW1		37.28'	350 / 330-350	---	
RW3-MW2		36.94'	495 / 475-495	---	
RW3-MW3		39.04'	340 / 320-340	---	
RW3-MW4		40.41'	495 / 475-495	---	
TP1		36.08'	470 / 450-470	---	
IW1-MW1		33.88'	470 / 450-470	---	
RW-1		32.14	Open vault and check integrity of piping, etc.		ok
RW-3			Open vault and check integrity of piping, etc.		ok

Signature: _____

Date: 12-23-2020