PERFORMANCE TESTING PLAN

1

CLAREMONT POLYCHEMICAL, INC. BETHPAGE, NEW YORK

PREPARED FOR:

UNITED STATES ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS KANSAS CITY DISTRICT

PREPARED BY:

DOW ENVIRONMENTAL

.

MAY 15, 1996 USACE CONTRACT DACW41-95-B-0011 DEI PROJECT 6044 ROCKVILLE, MARYLAND

TABLE OF CONTENTS

SECTION	. <u>P</u> A	<u>IGE</u>
1.0	INTRODUCTION	1-1
1.1	Scope of Work	
1.2	Summary of Activities	1-1
2.0	REGIONAL SETTING	
2.1	Climate and Topography	2-1
3.0	REGULATORY REQUIREMENTS	3-1
3.1	Federal Standards	3-1
3.2	State and Local Standards	3-1
3.2.1	Ambient Air Quality Monitoring	3-1
4.0	AIR EMISSION ESTIMATES	
4.1	Emission Rates For Target Compounds	4-1
4.2	Air Guide - 1 Requirements	4-2
5.0	PERFORMANCE TEST MONITORING PROGRAM	
5.1	Constituents to be Monitored	
5.2	Soil Pretesting	
5.3	Performance Testing Plan	
5.3.1	Air Emissions Monitoring Plan	
5.3.2	Waste Feed/Residuals Test Plan	
5.4	Meteorological Monitoring	
5.4.1	Siting of the Meteorological Station	
5.4.2	Duration of Meteorological Monitoring	
5.4.3	Meteorological Monitoring Parameters	
5.5	Performance Testing of Sources	
5.6	Quality Assurance and Quality Control	
5.6.1	Quality Assurance for CEMS	
5.6.2	Quality Assurance for Manual Methods.	
5.6.3	Sample Tracking and Documentation Procedures.	5-19
6.0	DATA MANAGEMENT AND REPORTING	
6.1	Air Monitoring Documentation	
6.2	Meteorological Data	
6.3	Monitoring Program Reports	6-3

List of Tables

and the second

4-1	Estimated LTEVS Emission Rates for Target Compounds
5-1	Estimated Emissions Levels for LTEVS
5-2	Soil pretesting Protocol
5-3	LTEV Performance Test Procedure
5-4	Performance Criteria for LTEVS
5-5	Performance Test Matrix for Air Emissions Measurements
5-6	Recommended System Accuracies and Resolutions
5-7	Recommended Response Characteristics for Meteorological Sensors 5-14
5-8	Summary of Acceptance Criteria, Control Limits, and Corrective Action 5-16

LIST OF APPENDICES

- A CALCULATIONS USING STANDARD PINT SOURCE METHOD FOR PREDICTING AN IMPACT AT MAXIMUM PONT OF CONCENTRATION FROM AIR GUIDE - 1
- B SCREENING CRITERIA FOR METEOROLOGICAL DATA
- C FIELD SAMPLING DATA SHEET

1.0 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Scope of Work

的情况的过去时,

This Performance Testing Plan has been prepared by Dow Environmental Inc. (DEI) to define low temperature enhanced volatilization (LTEV) operations and air monitoring procedures to be implemented at the Claremont Polychemical Superfund Site. There is a potential for air emissions containing volatile organic compounds (VOC.) to be generated during this phase of remedial activity. The purpose of this Performance Test Plan is to define the procedures for evaluating the efficiency of the Low Temperature Enhanced Volatilization System (LTEVS) operations. Testing and reporting will be performed in accordance with the guidelines outlined in the job specifications. Any deviation from the specifications will require prior approval of the ACOE and the NY DEC.

1.2 <u>Summary of Activities</u>

Activities to be completed at the Claremont Polychemical Superfund Site included:

SITE WORK

Clearing and Grubbing Grading and Excavation Utilities Installation

GROUNDWATER TREATMENT

an and the second

Treatment Plant Building Groundwater Collection Plant O&M

BUILDING DECONTAMINATION

Asbestos Removal Debris Removal Decontamination

THERMAL TREATMENT

Mobilization and Testing of the LTEV Thermal Treatment On Site Disposal

2.0 REGIONAL SETTING

This section provides an overview of the climatic and topographic conditions at the site that will be factored into the air quality impact evaluation.

2.1 <u>Climate and Topography</u>

The Claremont Polychemical site (9.5 acres) is located in Central Long Island at 501 Winding Road, Old Beth Page, New York and contains one 35,000 SF one story building Situated in a broad, low-lying valley which trends north-south. The valley is approximately 2 miles wide and slopes gently southward towards the Great South Bay. The site is relatively flat with elevations ranging from 160 ft to 117 ft above mean sea level along the eastern and southwestern boundaries, respectively. Steep slopes, approximately 20 to 25 ft high, bound the site to the east and south suggesting that the property was once a borrow pit of some kind. The Old Beth Page Landfill creates approximately 200 ft of relief to the west of the site. The groundwater flow gradient is from the northwest to the southeast. At the southwest corner of the site are the Park Stables, to the east is a trucking and crane company to the north is a recycler/ waste hauler and to the west is the Bethpage State Park.

The Claremont Polychemical site is located in the coastal weather region of New York. The surrounding area receives about 48 in. of rain a year with the leased falling Feb. and the most falling in June. The average temperature is 52' F. with lows in January and highs in July. The prevailing wind direction is from the northwest during most of the year, except during summer when winds are from the south and southwest predominantly.

3.0 REGULATORY REQUIREMENTS

Air quality standards established by the Federal government and by the State of New York are to be used as the primary applicable or relevant and appropriate requirements (ARARs) for the control of emissions during the operation of the LTEVS. These standards, in addition to other pertinent guidelines, are discussed below.

3.1 Federal Standards

The federal regulations that pertain to the excavation and treatment of contaminated soil are the following:

- 1. Clean Water Act Stormwater (40 CFR 122)
- 2. Clean Air Act National Ambient Air Quality Standards (40 CFR 50)
- 3. OSHA (29 CFR 1910 & 1926)
- 4. RCRA Hazwaste Regs (40 CFR 260 series)
- 5. DOT (49 CFR series)

. Alleri

3.2 State and Local Standards

This plan has been written in accordance with the guidelines contained in the New York State Air Guide-1 and based on the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation Process, Exhaust, or Ventilation System Application for Permit to Construct or Certificate to Operate which has already been submitted to, and, been reviewed by, the USACOE and the NYDEC.

3.2.1 Ambient Air Quality Monitoring

Continuous emissions monitoring will be conducted during the testing of the LTEVS for the following target contaminants which have either established federal and state ambient air quality standards or operational standards.

 1.
 O2
 3.
 CO
 5.
 Total Hydrocarbons

 2.
 CO2
 4.
 NOX
 5.
 Total Hydrocarbons

4.0 AIR EMISSION ESTIMATES

4.1 Emission Rates For Target Compounds

Potential air emissions of target compounds are calculated to be less than 150 lbs per year during the remediation of the 3,900 tons of tetrachloroethylene (PCE) contaminated soil.

This estimate was based on the following assumptions:

- The use of average historical soil concentrations of VOC. as 12.5 ppm.
- That 12.3 ppm VOC. will be removed during treatment and volatilized to the gas stream with a maximum of 0.2 ppm VOC. remaining in the soil.
- The assumption that 3,900 tons of contaminated soil can be processed at a rate of up to 18 tons per hour for a total running time of 217 hours.
- A LTEV system destruction/removal efficiency of 99.99%.

Estimated emission rates for various contaminants appear in Table 4-1.

Chemical Contaminant	Hour Emissions (lbs/hour)	Annual Emissions (lbs/year)	
Tetrachloroethylene	4.14 x 10 ⁻⁵	8.97 x 10 ⁻³	
Nitrogen Dioxide	0.553	119.81	
Sulfur Dioxide	0.07	15.17	
Hydrogen Chloride	2x10 ⁻⁴	0.0396	

Table 4-1Claremont Polychemical Superfund SiteEstimated LTEVS Emission Rates for Target Compounds

4.2 <u>Air Guide - 1 Requirements</u>

In accordance with "New York State Air Guide - 1 (1991 Edition) and the referenced Title 6 Chapter III Subchapter A "Prevention and Control of Air Contamination and Air Pollution" "Official Compilation of Codes, Rules, and Regulations of the State of New York" Part 231-1.2 "Applicability" and Part 231-1.6 "Air quality impact evaluation" the following is noted:

Part 231-1.2

- (a) (1) Not Applicable (Permit to construct was not approved before 11-15-92)
 - (2) Not Applicable (tied to (3) below)

N. 73. 195

- Not Applicable (not a major facility and does not exceed the "de minimis" emission limits of section 231-1.9 of this subpart)
- (4) Not Applicable (Emissions do not exceed 100 tons per year as listed in the paragraph)
- (b) Not Applicable (lead is not a constituent in the listing of contaminants and is not expected to be emitted at 0.6 tons per year)
- [©] Not Applicable (no existing facility)

Part 231-1.6

- (a) (1) Evaluation of C_a , C_p , and C_{st} completed
- (b) Not Applicable (emissions not greater than "de minimis")
- [©] Not Applicable (per (b) above)

Calculations using Standard Point Source Method for Predicting an Impact at Maximum Point of Concentration From Air Guide-1 (see Appendix A).

5.0 PERFORMANCE TEST MONITORING PROGRAM

This section describes the Performance Testing Plan for the LTEVS to be used at the Claremont Polychemical Superfund Site. The Performance Test Plan is presented in terms of the constituents to be monitored, the monitoring phases, meteorological monitoring, air monitoring, air monitoring methods, and quality assurance/quality control (QA/QC).

and start

5.1 <u>Constituents to be Monitored</u>

The following constituents will be monitored from the vent stack during the Performance Test:

- O₂, CO₂, CO, NO_x, and THC using continuous emissions monitoring systems (CEMS);
- SO_x emissions will be evaluated using fuel gas analyses of sulfur species. (Note: The fuel source for the LTEVS, propane, is expected to contain negligible concentrations of sulfur species; therefore, continuous monitoring of SO_x is not practical for this application.);
- Tetrachloroethylene (PCE) will be measured from two points in the system to demonstrate control efficiency: a) in the combined vent upstream of the catalytic oxidation units and b) in the vent stream to the atmosphere.
- HCl emissions will be measured from the vent stream to the atmosphere.

Upon successful demonstration of the control efficiency of the system and assuming that all applicable emissions limitations are met, only O_2 , CO_2 , and CO will be monitored continuously during operation of the LTEVS. Table 5-1 shows the estimated emissions levels for PCE, HCl, NO₁, and SO₂.

CONSTITUENT	ESTIMATED EMISSIONS LEVEL, LB/HR	OTHER REQUIREMENTS
Tetrachloroethylene	4.14 x 10 ⁻⁵	99.99% control efficiency
HC1	2 x 10 ⁻⁴	
NO _x	0.553	
SO _x	0.07	

Table 5-1Estimated Emissions Levels for LTEVS

85 J

Samples of scrubber effluent (blowdown) will be collected during performance testing and analyzed for PCE and for total metals. Both waste feed and treated soils will be sampled and analyzed during Performance Testing. Only treated wastes will be sampled and analyzed during normal operations.

5.2 <u>Soil Pretesting</u>

Soil from the spill area will be selected, sampled, and analyzed in accordance with the guideline outlined in Table 5-2.

Table 5-2Claremont Polychemical Superfund Site
Soil Pretesting Protocol

- Select soil samples having the least favorable physical characteristics for processing.
- Collect soil samples and screen for principal organic hazardous constituent (Tetrachloroethylene PÇE)
- If site logistics prevent the timely identification of performance test soil with the desired PCE concentration, then the DRE will be calculated. Soils will not be spiked to achieve higher levels of contamination in waste feed.

5.3 <u>Performance Test Plan</u>

Table 5-3 outlines the test plan to be followed during the Performance Test, including:

- Waste feed sampling and analysis;
- Air emissions monitoring; and
- Residuals sampling and analysis.

Performance testing will consist of two days of continuous running, during which tests consisting of three one hour runs will be performed. Continuous running includes about 16.5 to 18 hours per day of processing time with the remainder of the day used for maintenance and, when applicable, fine tuning of the LTEV system. The first test will be performed at a lower treated soil exit temperature in the range of 400 to 450°F. The second test will be performed at a higher treated soil exit temperature in the range of 500 to 550°F.

The Performance Test will demonstrate the ability of the LTEV system to meet the soil treatment and backfill criteria, emissions requirements, and will establish a range of standard operating conditions. The results of the test will show:

- Treated soil residual PCE level less than 200 ug/Kg.
- Continuous soil treatment for 2 days.
- All performance criteria outlined in Table 5-4 are met.
- Treated soil fulfills backfill criteria.
- All alarms and interlocks are working properly.

Treated soil waste feed rates, discharge temperatures, catalyst inlet temperature, catalyst exit temperature, drum draft, and scrubber water conductivity will be continuously monitored during testing. These are the primary operational parameters that will be monitored in order to determine optimal parameters to be used during continuous operation of the LTEV system.

Table 5.3
Claremont Polychemical Superfund Site
LTEV Performance Test Procedure

		F			
		FREQUENCY OF SAMPLING/MONITOR ING	OFF SITE TESTING	PARAMETERS	TEST METHOD(S)
Waste Feed		*1 Composite Sample per 1 Hr. Test Run 2 Grab Samples per Composite Sample (taken @ appx. 15 min &45 min)	l Composite Sample per Test Run (i.e., 3 Samples per Test)	PCE	Method 8240
		Continuous Monitoring @	Control Panel	Waste Feed Rate	LTEV Continuous Weight Belt
				Total Hydrocarbon (THCs)	Method 25A
				Oxygen (O ₂)	Method 3A
		Continuous		Carbon Dioxide	Method 3A
	•	Emissions	None	Carbon Monoxide	Method 10
	Air ssions	(CEMs)		Nitrogen Oxides	Method 7E
				Moisture	Method 4
		See Table 5-5	None	Volume/Velocity	Method 2
				HCl	Method 26A
		2 Samples/Test Run (1 Sample from Upstream of Catalyst and 1 Sample Downstream of Catalyst)	2 Samples/Test Run	PCE	SW 846 Method 0030
	Treated	*1 Composite Sample per <u>1 Hr. Test Run</u> 5 Grab Samples per Composite Sample (taken from treated soil pile)	l Composite Sample per Test Run (i.e., 3 Samples per Test Condition)	PCE	Method 8240
Residuals	Soils	* 1 Composite Sample <u>per</u> <u>3 Hr. Test Run</u> 1 Grab Sample per each 1 hr. Test Run	1 Composite Sample per Test Run (i.e., 3 Samples per Test Condition)	TCLP	Method 6010/ 7000
	Scrubber Blowdown	*1 Composite Sample per <u>1 Hr. Test Run</u> 2 Grab Samples per Composite Sample (taken @ 30 min & 60 min)	1 Composite Sample per Test Run (i.e., 3 Samples per Test Condition)	PCE	Method 8240
				TCLP Metals	Method 6010. 7000

*Grab samples will be collected separately and composited in the laboratory.

Table 5.4Claremont Polychemical Superfund SitePerformance Criteria for LTEVS

- Treated soil shall contain no more than 200 ug/kg of PCE.
- All applicable air emission criteria are met.

1.2

- The system shall be capable of treating 3,900 cubic yards of contaminated soil.
- All contaminated soil processing will be complete within the project contract period.
- All excavated soils will be pretreated to an appropriate size and, if required, with appropriate blending for efficient operation of the LTEVS.
- The LTEVS will be equipped with a VOC removal unit to meet 99.99% control efficiency of PCE.
- Fugitive dust will be controlled by keeping the LTEV unit under negative pressure using induced draft fans.
- Continuous emissions monitoring equipment will be used to monitor for O_2 , CO_2 , CO_2 , NO_X , and THC during the performance test and used to monitor O_2 , CO_2 and CO during normal operations.
- HCl will be monitored only during the performance test to assure that regulatory limits are not being exceeded.
- There shall be no visible fugitive emissions of solids, liquids or gases from the LTEV units.
- "Clean" fuels (i.e., propane or natural gas) will be used as a heat source for the LTEVS units.
- The conveyor portion of the LTEVS will be controlled to minimize dust generation and meet regulatory requirements.
- There will be an automatic cut off system to stop waste feed to the LTEV when conditions deviate from critical limits established during performance testing.

5.3.1 Air Emissions Monitoring Plan

This subsection describes the test matrix and sampling/analytical methods to be used for air emissions monitoring of the LTEV system during (a) the Performance Test, and (b) LTEV operation.

1

12-14 54

Performance Test Plan

The constituents to be monitored (Section 5.1), the sampling/analytical methods used, and the number of test runs performed during the Performance Test are shown in the test matrix (Table 5-5).

				CE d 0030		Outl	et Sample Po	int	
Day	Test Condition	Run Number	Inlet ^b	Outlet ^c	HCl Method 26A	CEMS	Moisture Method [*] 4	Velocity Traverse Method 1	Volumetric Flow Method 2
1	400-450°F	1	1	√°	✓f	1	d 🖌	1	1
		2	. 🗸	1	1	1		1	1
		3	1 i	1	1	1		1	1
2	500-550°F	1	1	√°	✓f	1	d 🖌	1	1
		2	1	1	1	1		✓	• 🗸
		3	1	1	1	 ✓ 		 ✓ 	1

Table 5.5Performance Test Matrix for Air Emissions Measurements

^aFor NO_x, CO, CO₂, O₂, and THC.

^bInlet to catalytic oxidation unit.

^cVent stack to atmosphere (downstream of scrubber).

^dFor each set of measurements, 3-10 minutes runs will be performed to avoid saturation. The start time of the runs will be concurrent with the outlet runs (see footnote e).

•For each set of measurements, 3-40 minute runs will be performed.

^fFor each set of measurements, 3-60 minutes runs will be performed.

For PCE, one set of three runs will be conducted during each test period using SW846 Method 0030. Two sample points [upstream of the catalytic oxidizer (i.e., uncontrolled) and at the vent to atmosphere (i.e., controlled)] will be measured to provide a determination of control efficiency for PCE. The controlled and uncontrolled samples will be collected concurrently, so that minor variations in unit operation are not a factor in determining control efficiency. Based on the predicted concentrations of PCE in the streams, the sampling time per run for Method 0030 will be 10 minutes for the uncontrolled sample point (to avoid saturation of the absorbant at higher concentrations) and 40 minutes for the controlled sample point (approximately 5 times the detection limit of the method).

1.84.2.895

For HCl, one set of three 60-minute runs will be conducted for each test condition using Method 26A. The sampling point for HCl will be the vent stream to the atmosphere in order to compare the measured emissions levels with the levels shown in the permit to construct.

For SO_x, a maximum emissions rate will be determined using fuel analysis by ASTM Method D3246 prior to the test period after fuel tank loading. NO_x, CO, THC, CO₂, and O₂ will be continuously monitored during each of the test runs. EPA Method 1 procedures will be used to determine the number and location of sampling traverse points required for each sample location and EPA Method 2 will be used to perform volumetric flow rate determinations. In addition, the average moisture content of the source gas will be measured using the EPA Method 4 procedures or collected as part of the Method 26A sampling train. An overview of each method is provided below.

SW846 Method 0030. The volatile organics sampling train (VOST) Method 0030 found in SW846 will be used to sample for PCE. This method utilizes Tenax and Tenax/Charcoal traps to absorb volatile organic compounds (bp $< 100^{\circ}$ C) from the sample stream. After sampling, the Tenax traps are sent to a laboratory for analyses using thermal desorption purge-and-trap by gas chromatography/mass spectrometry (Method 5040). In accordance with the method, three runs are conducted for a single test condition.

For the sampling point upstream of the catalytic oxidation unit, the sampling time per run will be 10 minutes in duration to avoid saturation of the absorbant. For the outlet exhaust sampling point, the sampling time per run will be 40 minutes in duration at a sampling rate of 1 liter per minute. The 40 minute sampling period was established based on the expected emissions rate of PCE to provide a level that is at least 5 times the detection limit of the method. A total of three runs will be performed for each test condition.

Section 5

 $= b_1 \left(\frac{1}{2} + c_1 \right)$

EPA Method 26A. Method 26A is an isokinetic procedure to absorb gaseous hydrogen halides and halogens in alkaline or acidic solutions. Method 5 type impingers are used for collecting the HCl sample. The isokinetic method is used when water droplets are present, such as after a scrubber, where it is necessary to account for the bias of the halides in the scrubber water. Samples are recovered in the field and sent to a laboratory for ion chromatography analysis.

A continuous HCL monitor (e.g., TEI Model 15 HCl Analyzer) uses a dilution method for monitoring the gas stream, which does not have a sufficiently low detection limit to monitor the expected HCl levels during LTEV operations. Therefore, continuous monitoring of the HCl level in the exhaust is not practical.

EPA Method 1. The number and location of sampling traverse points necessary for isokinetic sampling will be determined according to EPA Method 1 protocol. EPA Method 1 parameters are based upon the length of duct separating the sampling ports from the closest downstream and upstream flow disturbances. The minimum number of traverse points for a circular duct less than 24 inches is 4 (8 total sampling points). Traverse point locations are determined for each sample port depending on the distances to duct disturbances. Method 1 procedures will be implemented where isokinetic sampling is required.

EPA Method 2. Volumetric flow rate will be measured according to EPA Method 2. A Type K thermocouple and S-type pitot tube will be used to measure flue gas temperature and velocity, respectively. Method 2 procedures will be implemented where isokinetic sampling is required. The velocity measured during the Performance Test will be compared to the unit's velocity meter located between the catalyst and quench systems. This comparison will be used to develop a method for calculating velocity from the stack during normal operations based on readings from the control panel continuous velocity monitor.

EPA Method 4. The average moisture content of the sample gas will be determined using EPA Method 4. Before sampling, the initial weight of the impingers are recorded. When sampling is completed, the final weights of the impingers are recorded, and the weight gain is calculated. The weight gain and the volume of gas sampled are used to calculate the average moisture content (percent) of the sample gas. Since the stack gas is always expected to be saturated, the Method 4 data can be used in developing the method for calculating flow rate using data from the control panel continuous velocity monitor.

Continuous Emissions Monitoring. CEMS which meet EPA performance specifications will be used for continuous monitoring of NO_x , CO, CO₂, THC, and O₂. The CEMS configuration is comprised of four sub-systems, including:

- Sample gas extraction and transfer equipment;
- Conditioned sample gas analysis instrumentation $(NO_x, CO, CO_2, and O_2)$;
- Unconditioned sample gas analysis instrumentation (THC); and
- Calibration and QA standards delivery equipment.

The sample gas conditioning equipment is used to remove particulates, moisture, and other condensibles from the sample gas stream prior to measurement via a series of glass condensers/chillers.

Measurements of O_2 and CO_2 will be conducted according to the specifications of EPA Method 3A ("Determination of Oxygen and Carbon Dioxide Concentrations in Emissions from Stationary Sources"). For O_2 analysis, Amtek LS or an equivalent will be used. For CO_2 , a Servomex 1400 or an equivalent will be used.

Measurements of NO_x will be conducted according to the specifications of EPA Method 7E ("Determination of Nitrogen Oxides Emissions from Stationary Sources"). The NO_x analysis instrument will be a TECO Model 42 or an equivalent.

Measurements of CO will be conducted according to the specifications of EPA Method 10 ("Determination of Carbon Monoxide Emissions from Stationary Sources"). The CO analysis instrument will be a TECO Model 48 or an equivalent.

Measurement of THC will be made on a wet basis from an unconditioned sample gas stream according to the specifications of EPA Method 25A ("Determination of Total Gaseous Organic Concentration Using a Flame Ionization Analyzer"). The THC analysis instrument will be a JUM VE-7 or an equivalent.

LTEV Operations

During operation of the LTEV system, after completion of the Performance Test, monitoring of CO, CO₂, and O₂ will be performed. Assuming that HCl, NO_x, and SO_x levels are found to be within the permitted levels during the Performance Test, no additional monitoring will be implemented during operation of the LTEV system. Likewise, if the control efficiency for PCE is within the permitted level (99.99%), no additional testing will be conducted for PCE from the exhaust.

5.3.2 Waste Feed/Residuals Test Plan

During the Performance Test, grab samples from treated soils will be collected approximately 5 to 8 minutes after the grab samples of waste feed are obtained. Since soil residence time in the desorption chamber is about 4 to 6 minutes, with a residence time of 1 to 2 minutes in the discharge auger, this approach is most likely to result in sampling of the same soils before and after treatment. All soil pretreatment grab samples will be collected from the cold feed belt or from the loader bucket immediately prior to placement in the hopper. Approximate grab samples will be composited by analytical laboratory personnel at the lab as opposed to being conducted on site. Post-treatment grab samples will be collected at the exit of the moisturizing auger in a 5 gallon metal container and held until the soil is cool enough to be safely placed in sample containers by sampling personnel. As with the pretreatment samples, post-treatment samples will be composited by the laboratory.

It is expected that very low concentrations of metals will be found in residuals during the Performance Test. If these levels are shown to be within regulatory limits, then testing for metals from the residuals will not be performed during normal operations. However, if these levels are found to be of concern during the Performance Test, they will continue to be monitored during normal operations until the levels are shown to be reduced to acceptable levels. PCE in the waste feed and in the treated soils will be monitored during the Performance Test to establish that the performance criteria of 200 g/kg of PCE is achieved. VOCs and PCE in scrubber blowdown will be monitored to establish that the catalyst is performing properly and to demonstrate that contaminants are not being collected in the quench and scrubber. Waste feed will also be analyzed for sulfur to assure that it is not a source for the production of unacceptable levels of sulfur dioxides.

If the level of target compound contamination in test soils is not sufficient to determine the destruction and removal efficiency (DRE) of the target compound, then the DRE will be calculated. Soils will not be spiked with PCE from off site sources.

5.4 <u>Meteorological Monitoring</u>

A meteorological monitoring program will be an integral part of the Claremont Polychemical air monitoring program. The data obtained will be used to estimate the potential migration of target compounds by using a diffusion model. A meteorological system will be installed for the air monitoring program. Sections 5.3.1, 5.3.2, and 5.3.3 address siting criteria for such a meteorological monitoring station, monitoring duration, and system parameters, respectively.

5.4.1 Siting of the Meteorological Station

The primary objective of instrument siting will be to obtain measurements that are representative of the area. Representative data are obtained by adhering to guidelines for minimum sensor height above the surface, and distances from natural and manmade obstructions.

The meteorological station would be located on level and open terrain away from interferences. Interferences are unwanted local effects that distort the actual conditions at the site. Interferences may be buildings that disrupt the normal flow of winds or direct solar radiation that falsely elevates ambient air temperature readings. Conventions have been adopted by the U.S. EPA to aid in the collection of comparable data by avoiding interferences. These conventions would be adhered to for parameters that are dependent on height, such as wind speed, wind direction, relative humidity, temperature, and precipitation. Table 5-4 summarizes these conventions.

METEOROLOGICAL VARIABLE	SYSTEM ACCURACY	MEASUREMENT RESOLUTION
Wind Speed	$\pm 0.2 \text{ m/s}$	0.1 m/s
Wind Direction	± 5 degrees	1 degree
Ambient Temperature	±0.5°C	0.1°C
Dew Point Temperature	±1.5°C	0.1°C
Precipitation	$\pm 10\%$ of observed	0.3 mm
Pressure	±3 mb (0.3 kPa)	0.5 mb
Time	± 5 minutes	

 Table 5-6

 Recommended System Accuracies and Resolutions^(a)

^(a) U.S. EPA, June 1987. <u>On-Site Meteorological Program Guidance for Regulatory Modeling</u> <u>Applications</u>. EPA-450/4-87-013. Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards. Research Triangle Park, North Carolina 27711.

5.4.2 Duration of Meteorological Monitoring

The meteorological data will be collected starting at least two weeks prior to the initiation of baseline monitoring. Data will be collected for the duration of the remedial activity. If a significant lag occurs during the remedial activity, meteorological monitoring may be ceased until resuming treatment work.

5.4.3 Meteorological Monitoring Parameters

The meteorological system for the site will monitor the following parameters: wind speed, wind direction (with sigma theta), ambient temperature, precipitation, and barometric pressure. The meteorological equipment specifications will comply with the recommended accuracies, resolution, and response characteristics outlined in Tables 5-4 and 5-5.

The meteorological data will be recorded continuously by a data logger and/or a strip chart recorder and will be available in hourly averages to site personnel.

The meteorological equipment installation and operation specifications will be performed according to the manufacturer's recommendations and the U.S. EPA's On-site Meteorological Program Guidance for Regulatory Modeling Applications (U.S. EPA 450/4-87-013, June 1987).

Recommended Response Characteristics For Meteorological Sensors			
METEOROLOGICAL VARIABLE	SENSOR SPECIFICATION ^(a)		
Wind Speed	Starting speed ≤0.5 m/s; Distance constant £5 m		
Wind Direction	Starting speed ≤ 0.5 m/s at 10° deflection; Damping Ratio 0.4 to 0.7; Delay distance ≤ 5 m		
Temperature	Time Constant ≤1 minute		
Dew Point Temperature	Time Constant ≤30 minutes; operating temperature range -30°C to +30°C		

 Table 5-7

 Claremont Polychemical Superfund Site

 ecommended Response Characteristics For Meteorological Sensors

(a) U.S. EPA, June 1987. <u>On-Site Meteorological Program Guidance for Regulatory Modeling Applications</u>, EPA-450/4-87-013, Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina 27711, Table 5-2.

5.5 <u>Performance Testing of Sources</u>

Before remedial action can begin in full operation, performance testing will be performed for the exhaust gas in the common stack used for both of the LTEV units using the U.S. EPA Methods and the requirements listed in Tables 5-1, 5-2, and 5-3. Copies of the various testing methods appearing in Table 5-2 are included in Appendices D through N. Appendix H includes the Method used for a fuel, gas analysis for sulfur.

Engineering analysis of the treatment system and results of performance testing, combined with expert engineering judgment, are commonly used to indicate appropriate operating controls for a given unit such that the unit effectively treats the contaminants of concern while operating under conditions that are protective of human health and the environment.

Testing for contaminants of concern and control of key parameters, some of which can be monitored continuously, are necessary to characterize each LTEV unit, and to ensure that such unit(s) are operated within the conditions demonstrated during the Performance Test.

A complete system performance evaluation or Performance Test is normally performed to:

- Demonstrate that the treatment unit can meet performance levels (e.g., ARARs and site-specific clean-up goals).
- Demonstrate that the unit would be operated in a manner that is protective of human health and the environment.

If the results indicate the emissions criteria can not be met consistently under steady state conditions, DEI will submit revised operating protocols within 3 days to demonstrate how any identified deficiencies will be corrected.

ないため、日本の教授権

Stack sampling for analysis at an off-site laboratory for the LTEVS will be as indicated in Table 5-2. This sampling and testing program will ensure continuous compliance with all applicable air emissions regulations.

5.6 Quality Assurance and Quality Control

The goal of the quality assurance/quality control (QA/QC) procedures is to ensure the collection of samples representative of the stream, control of data quality during sample collection and analysis, and the use of valid data handling procedures to provide a link between the analytical results and the physical conditions they represent. The QA/QC checks and procedures described in this section are an integral part of the overall sampling scheme. The acceptance criteria, control limits and corrective action that were followed are summarized in Table 5-6.

All data will be reviewed and independently validated by the Contractor's Analytical QA Officer before preparation of the final air monitoring phase reports.

Table 5.8Summary of Acceptance Criteria,Control Limits, and Corrective Action

Criteria	Control Limits	Corrective Action
Manual Sampling		
Final Leak Rate (after each port)	\leq 0.02 acfm or 4% of sampling rate whichever is less	Adjust sample volume for port
Dry Gas Meter Calibration	Post average factor (γ) agree $\pm 5\%$ of pre-factor	Adjust sample volumes using the γ that gives smallest volume
Individual Correction Factors (Y _i)	Agree within 2% of average factor	Redo correction factor
Average Correction Factor	1.00 ±1%	Adjust the dry gas meter and recalibrate
Intermediate Dry Gas Meter	Calibrated every 6 months against EPA standard	
CEM Measurements		
Linearity Multipoint Calibration (four points)	Response should be within 2% of span value	Adjust instrument, redo multipoint
Daily Drift (zero and span)	±2.0% of span	Data not adjusted for drift
Sampling System Bias	$\pm 5\%$ of span	Check heat tracing and/or clean sample line
QC Check (midrange)	$\pm 2.0\%$ of span	Redo initial calibration
Response Time	Less than 1 minute	Increase sample flow rate
Line Leak Check	<0.5% O ₂	Locate and repair leak, recheck
Manifold Leak Check	<0.5% O ₂	Locate and repair leak, recheck
NO ₂ to NO Conversion Efficiency	>90% conversion	Replace convertor, recheck

5.6.1 Quality Assurance for CEMS

Quality assurance for the CEMS will be conducted according to the applicable specifications of the Title 40-Subpart 60, Appendix F of the Code of Federal Regulations entitled, "Quality Assurance Procedures."

승규가 가장 좋아요?

Applicable specifications of this appendix that will be used to assess and assure the quality of the CEMS data obtained include:

- Calibration procedures;
- Calibration drift;
- • Relative accuracy; and
- Relative error.

Calibration Procedures. The CEMS will be calibrated before and after each test using vendor certified gas standards of the component(s) of interest in a nitrogen or air mixture. Procedures for calibration will be as follows:

- Zero Gas -- Introduce zero gas (i.e., concentration of 0.0% of the range of each specific instrument) and electronically adjust the instrument output to reflect a zero concentration measurement.
- Span Gas -- Introduce span gas (i.e., concentration of approximately 80-90% of the range of the specific instrument) and electronically adjust the instrument output to reflect the span concentration measurement.
- Response Factor -- Calculate the response factor of each instrument based on the instrument specific zero and span adjusted measurements.

Relative Accuracy and Error. Directly after completion of instrument calibration, an instrument specific QC standard (i.e., known concentration gas at the approximate concentration expected in the source gas for each target species) will be introduced through the entire CEMS and measured by the corresponding instrumentation. The calculated percent difference between

the measured concentration of the QC standard and the known concentration of the QC standard for each species measured is the relative error value.

Calibration Drift. Directly after the completion of the test run, the instrument specific QC standards will again be introduced through the entire CEMS and measured by the corresponding instrumentation. The percent drift of each instrument is determined by calculating the percent difference between the pre-sampling and post-sampling measured values for the QC standards.

5.6.2 Quality Assurance for Manual Methods

Quality control procedures for the manual sampling and analysis methods will consist of some, if not all, of the following procedures in accordance with the method: field blank samples, field spiked samples, replicate samples, matrix spike, and laboratory control sample. A field blank for each type of sampling medium will be used for 10 percent of the sampling activities. These blanks will be sent to the field and handled exactly as actual sample media, but then returned without having been used to collect a sample. The analytical laboratory will perform spike sample analyses at a frequency of one in 20.

All applicable quality assurance procedures specified in EPA Method 26A, 1, 2, and 4 and SW846 Method 0030 will be followed. These include nozzle and pitot calibrations, temperature readout certification, pre and post test dry gas meter calibration, and sample train leak check provisions.

SW846 Method 0030 Quality Control Procedures. Quality control procedures found in Method 0030 and analytical Method 5041 will be followed for the samples collected using the VOST technique. Triplicate samples and a field blank will be collected from the site. Laboratory blanks and method spikes will also be analyzed. All procedures specified in the method will be followed.

EPA Method 26A. Quality control procedures found in Method 26A will be followed for sample preparation and collection, field recovery, and laboratory analysis. Triplicate samples and a field blank will be collected from the field. Laboratory blanks and method spikes will also be analyzed.

Other Manual Methods. Quality control procedures for EPA Methods 1,2, and 4 will include calibration of the flow measurement apparatus and leak checks of the sampling equipment. All procedures specified in the methods will be followed.

5.6.3 Sample Tracking and Documentation Procedures

Sample handling procedures, including labeling, preserving, storing, and shipping, will be conducted in such a manner to ensure the integrity of the samples and to provide a link between the analytical results and the conditions they represent. Accurate documentation of field sampling procedures, sampling and process data, and sample collection and handling records will be maintained throughout the project. All sampling data, including sample times, locations, identification codes, and other pertinent and specific sample or process information will be recorded on preformatted data sheets or in bound notebooks.

A master logbook will be kept for tracking and identifying samples collected during field activities. Information on sample volumes, sampling duration, process conditions, and notes or comments will be entered by hand in this logbook.

Each sample will be given a unique log number containing four fields which will identify the site, method, run number, and sample replicate or spike sample designation. Samples sent from the field to a laboratory for analysis will be accompanied by a chain of custody form. This form will accompany the samples until their final disposition.

Samples collected for PCE and HCl will be transported to the laboratory via overnight express courier for next day delivery.



6.0 DATA MANAGEMENT AND REPORTING

6.1 <u>Air Monitoring Documentation</u>

Complete and detailed documentation of field and laboratory QA/QC activities will be a key factor in the air monitoring program. Required documentation would include the following:

- Field logs.
- Sample information sheets.
- Calibration data records.
- Chain-of-custody forms.
- Sample analysis sheets.

A field log will be used by the field technician to maintain a record of sample identification numbers, dates deployed, and sample conditions. Notes will also address equipment condition, sampling problems or equipment failures, observed weather conditions, and unusual Site activities. Copies of field logs from each sampling phase will be included in the respective Air Monitoring Program Reports described in Section 7.3 and with the daily QC report.

Sample information sheets (equivalent to those in the respective standard methods) will be completed for each sample by the field technician. The information included will be similar to that required for field log entries. However, the sample information sheets will be sample-specific and will be considered the primary sample collection documentation. Conversely, the field log is back-up of documentation sources and presents information on a chronological basis. Copies of each sample information sheet will be submitted as part of the daily QC report unless an action level trigger is exceeded. In the latter case, the information sheet will be delivered to the Project Manager at the earliest opportunity. Appendix O contains a copy of a Field Sampling Data Sheet.

Calibration data records (as specified in the respective standard methods) will document required periodic calibrations and any other maintenance activities for individual instruments. Calibration data records will be submitted with the Daily QC Report.

Chain-of-custody forms will be initiated by the analytical laboratory when issuing sample containers. The chain-of-custody will be continued through container acquisition and sampling and returned to the analytical laboratory upon submittal of samples. Individuals who receive and handle each sample assure sample integrity until delivery to the laboratory. Information to be recorded on the chain-of-custody forms includes the date, time, sample identification, sampling method, individuals who handle each sample and the analysis requested. The chain-of-custody is maintained by the laboratory through final analysis and recording of the results.

Within 72 hours of sample receipt by the laboratory, verbal analytical results shall be provided to DEI. The results will be confirmed in a written sample analysis sheet with one original and three copies provided to DEI within 48 hours of providing the verbal results. Used VOC sampling canisters must be certified clean, by the laboratory, before re-use.

6.2 <u>Meteorological Data</u>

Fifteen minute and hourly average meteorological data will be generated by a data logger. The hourly averages will be printed and saved onsite for reference and used by DEI and USACE personnel. The data sheets will include wind speed, wind direction, precipitation, temperature, and barometric pressure.

The data will be scanned for validity by using out of range tests and no variability in measurements over a time except for precipitation. Appendix C provides a screening criteria for meteorological data.

Electronic checks on equipment, as specified by the equipment manufacturer, will be performed weekly and monthly to maintain accuracy of data.

6.3 Monitoring Program Reports

The Air Monitoring Program Reports will be submitted to the USACE within 30 days of the conclusion of a sampling and testing activity. Each report will provide a tabular summary of monitoring results and all associated QA/QC documentation and laboratory data. All occurrences of air concentrations in excess of the established action level trigger, will be identified. In addition, a discussion of mitigating measures that were applied in response to any action level accedences will be provided.

APPENDIX A

11211

 $\mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{X}$

.

CALCULATIONS USING STANDARD POINT SOURCE METHOD FOR PREDICTING AN IMPACT AT THE MAXIMUM POINT OF CONCENTRATION FROM AIR GUIDE-1

CLAREMONT POLYCHEMICAL SUPERFUND SITE

CALCULATIONS USING STANDARD POINT SOURCE METHOD FOR PREDICTING AN IMPACT AT THE MAXIMUM POINT OF CONCENTRATION-FROM NEW YORK STATE AIR GUIDE-1

Tetrachloroethylene (Perchloroethylene), (PCE) CAS Registry Number 00127-18-4

SCG (ug/m ³) = 81,000.0	(T) SGC Derived from ACGIH TLV-TWA (90-91)
AGC (ug/m ³) = 7.5 E-02	(D,U) ^(D) AGC derived by NYSDEC, Division of Air Resources,
	Bureau of Air Toxics Assessment Section
	^(U) AGC is the ambient air concentration which
	correspondsto an excess cancer risk of one in one
	million after life-time exposure

APPENDIX A: High = 99 + DRE and MAAAI < AGC and MOHSTI < SCG

- III.C.3 'Must have BACT due to (U) designation and must be under 10⁻⁵ risk (per Sec. III.C.3.a.) Moderate receives an initial rating of "B" (per 6 NYCRR 212, Appendix 414) Assuming 3,900 yds³ of soil @ 2,000 lbs/yd³ = 7,800,000 lbs or 3,900 tons (3,900 tons) / (18 tons per hour (tph)) = 216.66 hrs of operation
- III.A. (216.66 hrs of operation) X (4.1 X 10^{-5} lbs/hr PCE) = 0.0089 lbs of PCE during ops. Passed because is < 1 lb
- III.B. Annual Impact $(4.1 \times 10^{-5} \text{ lbs/hr PCE}) \times (8,760 \text{ hrs/yr}) = 0.3592 \text{ lbs of PCE Annually}$ Passed because is < 1 lb

IV.A.	Short Term Air Quality Passed	(SGC) Allowable = $5.057 \times 10^{-6} \text{ lbs/ft}^3$ emissions per unit = $1.380 \times 10^{-10} \text{ lbs/ft}^3$
VI.B.3.	AGC = (7.5 X 10 ⁻² ug/m ³)	$(7.5 \times 10^{-2} \text{ ug/m}^3) \times (1 \times 10^{-6} \text{ g/ug}) = 7.5 \times 10^{-8} \text{ g/m}^3$ $(7.5 \times 10^{-8} \text{ g/m}^3) / (1,000 \text{ g/kg}) = (7.5 \times 10^{-11} \text{ kg/m}^3)$
	(7.5 X 10	$^{-11}$ kg/m ³) X (16.018 m ³ -lbs/kg-ft ³) = 1.2014 X 10 ⁻⁹ lbs/ft ³

Passed

APPENDIX B:

- III.A.2.Maximum Actual Annual Impact Ca
 $C_a (ug/m^3) = 0.482 Q_a / (h_e X 2.16)$ assumes Q_a in Ibs/yr and h_e in ft
 $C_a (ug/m^3) = (0.482 X 0.3592) / (40 \text{ ft } X 2.16) = 0.3409$ and AGC = 7.5 X 10⁻² ug/m³
- III.A.3. Maximum Potential Annual Impact C_p $C_p (ug/m^3) = (4218 \times Q) / (h_e \times 2.16) = (4218 \times (4.1 \times 10^{-5} \text{ lbs/hr})) / (40 \text{ ft } \times 2.16)$ $C_n (ug/m^3) = 0.0020 \text{ or } 2 \times 10^{-3} \text{ ug/m}^{3}$

$C_p < AGC$ and $C_a > AGC$

Unit is to operate appx. 220 hrs on soil, therefore, annual emissions should reflect 220 hrs / 8,760 hrs = 0.0251 or 2.15% Hence the annual emissions are actually (4.1 X 10⁻⁵ lbs/hr) X (220 hrs) = 0.0090 lbs/yr or conversly $C_a = ((0.482) \times (9 \times 10^{-3} \text{ lbs/yr})) / (40 \text{ ft } X 2.16)$ $C_a = 5.03 \times 10^{-6} \text{ ug/m}^3$

III.A.4. Maximum Short-Term Impact Cst

 $\begin{array}{l} C_{st} \ (ug/m^3) = C_p \ 420 \\ 0.8400 \ ug/m^3 = (2 \ X \ 10^{-3}) \ X \ (420) \\ h_s \ / \ h_b = \ Stack \ height \ h_s \ / \ Building \ height \ h_b = 40 \ ft \ / \ 12 \ ft = \ 3.33 \\ therefore \ C_{st} = \ 0.8400 \ ug/m^3 \ / \ 2 = \ 0.4200 \ ug/m^3 \\ Stack \ exit \ velocity = \ V_{fm} = (q_m \ in \ cfm) \ / \ (A \ in \ ft^2) \\ 5.723.7428 \ cfm \ / \ 5.5851 \ ft^2 = \ 1.024 \ ft/min = \ 17.08 \ ft/sec. \end{array}$

Operations:

220 hrs 12 hrs/day 18.33 days Fall 100%

Page A-2

APPENDIX B

SCREENING CRITERIA FOR METEOROLOGICAL DATA

٠	~~	•	 	٠.	

DRAFT

SCREI	ENING CRITERIA FOR METEOROLOGICAL DATA
PARAMETER	SCREENING CRITERIA
Wind Speed	> 20 m/s (1 hour average)
	Unchanged for 12 or more consecutive hours (within ± 0.5 m/s)
Wind Direction	Any reported with calm or no wind speed
	Same 10° sector for 18 or more consecutive hours
Air Temperature	> 45°C
	< -35°C
	> $+5^{\circ}$ or -5° change/1 hour
	Unchanged for 12 or more consecutive hours (within $\pm 0.5^{\circ}$ C)
Dew Point	> air temperature at that hour (same location)
	> $+3^{\circ}C$ or $< -3^{\circ}C$ change/1 hour
	Unchanged for 12 or more consecutive hours (within 0.5°C)
	= air temperature for 12 or more consecutive hours (within 0.1° C)
Pressure	> 1,096 mb (sea level)
4. IT	< 940 mb (sea level)
	> + 6 or < -6 mb change/3 hours
Reinfal	> 15 cm/24 hours
	< 5 cm/3 months

Source:

U.S. EPA. 1983. <u>Onality Assurance Handbook for Air Pollution Measurement</u> Systems: Volume IV. Meteorological Measurements. EPA-60/4-82+060. Research Triangle Park, North Carolina. February.

.

ADIAL PROPERTY

APPENDIX C

FIELD SAMPLING DATA SHEET

FIELD SAMPLING DATA SHEET

A. General Information							
Site Name/Location:							
Sampling Date:							
Shipping Date:							
Sampling Station ID:							
Canister ID:							
Canister Cleaning Certification:							
Sampler No.:							
Field Operator:							
B. Sampling Information							
Type of Sample:							
Sampling Flow Rate, cm ³ /min:							
Clock time							
Start, Hrs: Stop, Hrs: Min Elapsed:							
Pressure Gauge Reading							
Start, mm Hg: Stop, mm Hg:							
Ambient Temperature							
Start, *C: Stop, *C:							
Barometric Pressure							
Start, mm Hg: Stop, mm Hg:							

FIELD SAMPLING DATA SHEET

PAGE 2 OF 2

C. Laboratory Information
Data Received:
Received By:
Analysis Method:
Date of Analysis:
Analyzed By:
Results:
·
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
D. Comments