

CLOSURE PLAN

**IMC MAGNETICS
570 MAIN STREET
WESTBURY, NEW YORK**

DECEMBER 3, 1993

Prpared by:

**Anson Environmental Ltd.
33 Gerard Street
Huntington, New York 11743**

**Closure Plan for 570 Main Street
Westbury, New York
December 3, 1993**

Executive Summary

Federal regulations require facilities that use hazardous materials or generate hazardous wastes to have a Closure Plan which identifies how these hazardous substances will be disposed of when the facilities close. IMC Magnetix had such a Plan for its operations at 570 Main Street, Westbury, New York (SITE).

Part of the Plan calls for collecting samples of soils and floor materials for laboratory analysis. Analysis indicates that these samples were contaminated with solvents and metals used by IMC Magnetix during operations at 570 Main Street. Sampling performed on SITE indicates that soil contamination does not extend to groundwater.

The costs associated with SITE remediation are attached and identify two alternative approaches. Alternative One removes the maximum amount of contaminated soil while Alternative Two removes a minimal amount and

treats the residual contamination in the ground.

Introduction

To comply with RCRA, New York State passed legislation identifying New York State requirements in 6 NYCRR Part 373-3.7(c) (1). This legislation requires operations that use hazardous materials or generate hazardous wastes to have a "Closure Plan" which identifies how the facilities will handle, store and dispose of all residual hazardous materials and wastes when operations cease. The Plan also identifies sampling locations and laboratory analyses to be performed to demonstrate that the site has not been contaminated during the use of the hazardous chemical compounds.

During its operations at 570 Main Street, Westbury, Long Island, IMC Magnetix Corp. (IMC) stored and used hazardous materials in, at least its painting, alodine and degreasing operations. Regulation of this usage and storage falls under the Federal Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA), the implementation of which has been delegated to NYS Department of Environmental Conservation (NYSDEC). As part of the RCRA requirements, IMC had secured New York State and Nassau County permits

to operate the SITE. In 1992, IMC moved from the Westbury site to 435 Wireless Boulevard, Hauppauge.

In 1992, Anson Environmental Ltd. developed a Closure Plan which was approved by the state RCRA compliance group. The Plan was implemented in the same year. Regulation 6 NYCRR Part 373-3.7(c) (1) is administered by the NYSDEC RCRA compliance group located in Region 1, Stony Brook, Long Island. The Plan calls for a report of our findings to be submitted to the NYSDEC RCRA compliance group. Following their review of our findings, they will oversee the next step of the project which will be SITE remediation.

Current Status of the SITE

Sampling at the SITE has identified contamination in the soil and concrete remaining on SITE which will be a concern to the RCRA compliance group.

The situation could be more complicated because 570 Main Street is located within the NYSDEC designated New Cassel Industrial Area Inactive Hazardous Waste Disposal site. In New York State, Inactive Hazardous Waste Disposal sites are commonly called "State Superfund Sites".

New Cassel Industrial Area was designated as an Inactive Hazardous Waste Disposal site when Nassau County Department of Health performed a groundwater investigation in the area and identified contamination with volatile organic compounds, the most common of which are found in degreasers, such as those used by IMC. The responsibility for indentifying the parties responsible for the groundwater contamination falls on the shoulders of the Inactive Hazardous Waste Disposal Department located in Albany, New York. This group recently performed groundwater and soil sampling in New Cassel including adjacent to 570 Main Street.

In discusssions with John Swartout, manager of the IHWDS section in Albany, Mr. Swartout recommended that the site remediation be undertaken with the RCRA compliance group. The Mr. Swartout and the IHWDS should be made aware of our activities. If this done, Mr. Swartout agreed not to either designate 570 Main Street as an IHWD site or pursue remediation of 570 Main Street. I recommend we follow Mr. Swartout's advise, if we do, Mr. Swartout said we will not have to enter into a consent order with New York State.

Closure Plan Findings

During the implementation of the closure plan, AEL identified contamination in several locations at 570 Main Street. These locations included the three leaching fields, several floor drains and concrete flooring in the former locations of the paint storage room, drum storage area, maintenance area, alodine room and spray painting area. Types of contamination are as follows:

leaching pools solvents and metals

floor drains solvents and metals

concrete floors solvents and metals

Chemical compounds in the leaching pools, floor drains and concrete floors are very similar in that there are solvents (tetrachloroethene) and metals (chromium, cadmium, lead and mercury) in most of these samples. These chemical compounds were used during the operation of 570 Main Street.

The levels of contamination in the soils and concrete are such that the NYSDEC will require cleanup of all three areas. In each area, there are two cleanup alternatives and costs presented.

Data gathered thus far do not indicate that the SITE has caused contamination of the groundwater under the SITE. However, the NYSDEC will most likely require installation and sampling of groundwater monitoring wells to determine the quality of the groundwater under the SITE. If groundwater sampling identifies the same solvents and metals in the groundwater as are present in the soils and concrete, IMC could be held accountable for remediating groundwater contamination.

Remediation Alternatives

As was stated above three areas of the SITE which need to be cleaned up are: leaching pools, floor drains and concrete floors. The key to deciding which remedial technique to choose is based on both price and effectiveness of the remedial technique. Each of the two alternatives presented below would effectively address the contamination.

Alternative two will require a longer period of time to complete and will cleanup a larger area and "better address" the contamination present in the soil.

LEACHING POOLS

Alternative One

Pump out septic tanks
Excavate all contamination
 to approx. 10 feet in area 1
 approx. 20 feet in area 2
 approx. 12 feet in area 3
Waste soil hauled from site

Alternative Two

Pump out septic tanks
Excavate minimal
 contaminated soil
 approx. 10 feet area 1
 approx. 12 feet area 3
Install VES

FLOOR DRAINS

Alternative One

Excavate the drains and areas under
 building to approx. six feet
 in vicinity of six floor drains

Alternative Two

Cut floors and pump waste
Install VES

CONCRETE FLOORS

Alternative One

Demolish floors in areas where
 hazardous materials used/stored

Alternative Two

Seal floors in areas where
 hazardous materials
 used/stored

Cost of Alternatives

The estimated cost of the alternatives is presented on the attached sheets. In summary, the cost of the two alternatives is approximately the same. The major difference is two-thirds of the cost of Alternative One (\$412,000) is incurred in the first year. Alternative Two minimizes first year costs and spreads the costs over the four years of the project.

Recommendations

The Closure Plan report should be submitted to the NYSDEC Region 1 RCRA compliance group identifying the soil and concrete contamination and the recommended remedial technique. All work should be performed with oversight by the RCRA compliance group. This group has in the past not required a consent order. Negotiation of a consent order will cost additional monies.

In addition to working with the RCRA compliance group, the IHWDS group in Albany should be officially notified of the remediation project with oversight by the RCRA group.

In addition, the following items should be accomplished:

1. Submit the Closure Plan Report of Findings to the RCRA group in Stony Brook, New York.
2. Implement remediation alternative 2 in each of the three areas. This will reduce the year one costs and spread out remediation costs.
3. Install groundwater monitoring wells to determine the quality of the

groundwater.

4. All waste generated during remediation should be accounted for under the EPA generators identification number for 570 Main Street.

IMC Magnetics Corporation
570 Main Street
Westbury, NY
March 10, 1993

FLOOR DRAINS
Sampling Results
All Results in $\mu\text{g/Kg}$ (ppb)

Volatile organic compounds

Method 8010/8020

	NYSDEC				
	standards (ppb)	FD 2	FD 4	FD 5	FD 6
Benzene	60				
Ethylbenzene	5500	56100			
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	7900	38600			
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	1600	34800			
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	8500	37000			
Toluene	1500	41200			
Xylene (Total)	1200	131000	3240	3970	
Chlorobenzene	1700	77200	1760	2000	
Chloromethane	N/A				
Tetrachlorethene	1400	1420000	169000	134000	1270
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	800	9910			
Trichloroethene	700	73600			

	NYSDEC				
Metals	Standards	FD-2	FD-4	FD-5	FD-6
Method: 8 RCRA metals					
Arsenic	7500				
Mercury	100	25000	650	2300	1200
Barium	300000	530000		330000	
Cadmium	1000	62000	17000	230000	7100
Chromium	10000	290000	24000000	830000	
Lead	30000	550000	6100000	390000	590000
Silver	SB				
Selenium	2000				

FD=Floor Drain

ND=Not Detected at Minimum Detection Limit

U=undetected

IMC Magnetix Corporation
 570 Main Street
 Westbury, NY
 March 16, 1993

AREA 1
 Sampling Results
 All Results in $\mu\text{g/Kg}$ (ppb)

Volatile organic compounds

Method 8010/8020	NYSDEC	LP1A	LP1B	ST 1
	standards (ppb)	(18'-20')	(18'-20')	(3')
Benzene	60			
Ethylbenzene	5500			
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	7900			
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	1600			
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	8500			
Toluene	1500			
Xylene (Total)	1200			
Chlorobenzene	1700			
Chloromethane	N/A			
Tetrachlorethene	1400			94300
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	800			
Trichloroethene	700			

	NYSDEC	LP1A	LP1B	ST 1
<u>Metals</u>	<u>Standards</u>	<u>(18'-20')</u>	<u>(18'-20')</u>	<u>(3')</u>
Arsenic	7500			
Mercury	100			
Barium	300000			
Cadmium	1000			
Chromium	10000		26000	27000
Lead	30000			
Silver	SB			
Selenium	2000			

LP=Leaching Pool

ST=Septic Tank

ND=Not Detected at Minimum Detection Limit

U=Undetected

IMC Magnetics Corporation
 570 Main Street
 Westbury, NY
 March 17, 1993

AREA 2
 Sampling Results
 All Results in µg/Kg (ppb)

Volatile organic compounds

Method: 8010/8020

	NYSDEC	LP2A	LP2B	LP2B	LP2B
	standards (ppb)	(18'-20')	(7')	(12')	(18'-20')
Benzene	60				
Ethylbenzene	5500				
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	7900				
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	1600				
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	8500				
Toluene	1500			5090000	
Xylene (Total)	1200			3000000	
Chlorobenzene	1700				
Chloromethane	N/A				
Tetrachlorethene	1400		7700000	1.390E+8	2970000
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	800		79700	668000	
Trichloroethene	700				

Metals

Method: 8 RCRA metals

	NYSDEC	LP2A	LP2B	LP2B	LP2B
	Standards (ppb)	(18'-20')	(7')	(12')	(18'-20')
Arsenic	7500				
Mercury	100			2300	
Barium	300000				
Cadmium	1000		6300	21000	
Chromium	10000	18000	4700000	7500000	40000
Lead	30000			190000	
Silver	SB				
Selenium	2000				

LP=Leaching Pool

ST=Septic Tank

ND=Not Detected at Minimum Detection Limit

Method 8010/8020

IMC Magnetics Corporation**570 Main Street****Westbury, NY****March 17, 1993****Volatile organic compounds****Analytic method: 8010/8020****AREA 3****Sampling Results****All Results in µg/Kg (ppb)**

	NYSDEC	LP3A	LP3B	ST 3
	standards (ppb)	(18'-20')	(18'-20')	(10'-12')
Benzene	60			
Ethylbenzene	5500			
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	7900			
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	1600			
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	8500			
Toluene	1500			60200
Xylene (Total)	1200			
Chlorobenzene	1700			
Chloromethane	N/A			
Tetrachlorethene	1400			112000
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	800			
Trichloroethene	700			

Metals**Method: 8 RCRA metals**

	NYSDEC	LP3A	LP3B	ST3
	Standards (ppb)	(18'-20')	(18'-12')	(10'-12')
Arsenic	7500			
Mercury	100			
Barium	300000			
Cadmium	1000			
Chromium	10000	27000	14000	50000
Lead	30000			
Silver	SB			
Selenium	2000			

LP=Leaching Pool

ST=Septic Tank

ND=Not Detected at Minimum Detection Limit

U=Undetected

IMC Magnetix Corporation**570 Main Street****Westbury, NY****Samples collected on 5/14/93****Floor drains****Sampling Results****Method 8010/8020****All Results in $\mu\text{g/Kg}$ (ppb)**

Parameter	FD-2A-12'	FD-4A-1	FD-5A-8'	FD-7-6'
Benzene	NONE OF THE CONSTITUENTS EXCEEDED NYSDEC STANDARDS			
Ethylbenzene				
1,2-Dichlorobenzene				
1,3-Dichlorobenzene				
1,4-Dichlorobenzene				
Toluene				
Xylene (Total)				
Bromodichloromethane				
Bromoform				
Bromomethane				
Carbon Tetrachloride				
Chlorobenzene				
Chlorethane				
Chloroform				
Chloromethane				
Dichlorodifluoromethane				
1,1 Dichloroethane				
1,2 Dichloroethane				
Cis-1,3-Dichloropropene				
Trans-1,3-Dichloropropene				
Methylene Chloride				
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane				
Tetrachloroethene				
1,1,1,-Trichloroethane				
1,1,2-Trichloroethane				
Trichloroethene				
Trichlorofluoromethane				
Vinyl Chloride				

ND=Not Detected at Minimum Detection Limit

Method 8010/8020

IMC Magnetlcs Corporation
570 Main Street
Westbury, NY
Samples collected 5/13/93
Area 1

Sampling Results
Method 8120

All Results In µg/Kg (ppb)

Parameter	1-2-36'	1-3-30'	1-4-40'	1-5-34'	1-6-34'
Benzene	NONE OF THE CONSTITUENTS EXCEEDED NYSDEC STANDARDS				
Ethylbenzene					
1,2-Dichlorobenzene					
1,3-Dichlorobenzene					
1,4-Dichlorobenzene					
Toluene					
Bromomethane					
Chlorobenzene					
Chloroethane					
Chloromethane					
Dichlorodifluoromethane					
1,1 Dichloroethene					
1,2 Dichloroethane					
Methylene Chloride					
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane					
Trichlorofluoromethane					
Vinyl Chloride					
Dibromochloromethane					
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane					
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene					
meta¶-Xylene					
ortho-Xylene					
Carbon tetrachloride					
1,1-Dichloroethane					
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene					
1,1,2-Trichloroethane					
Bromoform					
Tetrachloroethene					
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene					
Chloroform					
1,1,1-Trichloroethane					
Bromodichloromethane					
1,2-Dichloropropane					
cis-1,3-Dichloropropane					
Trichloroethane					

ND=Not Detected at Minimum Detection Limit
Method 8120

IMC Magnetics Corporation
 570 Main Street
 Westbury, NY
 Samples collected 5/10/93
 Area 2

Sampling Results
 Method 8010/8020

All Results in $\mu\text{g/Kg}$ (ppb)

	NYSDEC	(2-1-50	(2-2-40')	(2-3-30')	(2-3-40')
Volatile organic compounds	STANDARDS				
Benzene	60				
Ethylbenzene	1200	None of the constituents exceeded NYSDEC standards			
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	7900				
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	1600				
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	8500				
Toluene	1500				
Xylene (Total)	1200				
Bromodichloromethane	N/A				
Bromoform	N/A				
Bromomethane	N/A				
Carbon Tetrachloride	600				
Chlorobenzene	1700				
Chlorethane	1900				
Chloroform	300				
Chloromethane	N/A				
Dichlorodifluoromethane	N/A				
1,1 Dichloroethane	200				
1,2 Dichloroethane	100				
Cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	N/A				
Trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	N/A				
Methylene Chloride	100				
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	600				
Tetrachloroethene	1400				
1,1,1,-Trichloroethane	800				
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	N/A				
Trichloroethene	700				
Trichlorofluoromethane	N/A				
Vinyl Chloride	200				
1,2 Dichloropropane	N/A				

ND=Not Detected at Minimum Detection Limit
 Method 8010/8020

IMC Magnetix Corporation**570 Main Street****Westbury, NY****Samples collected on 5/10/93****Area 2****Sampling Results****Method 8010/8020****All Results In $\mu\text{g/Kg}$ (ppb)**

Parameter	2-4-40'	2-5-40'	2-6-40'
Benzene	NONE OF THE CONSTITUENTS EXCEEDED NYSDEC STANDARDS		
Ethylbenzene			
1,2-Dichlorobenzene			
1,3-Dichlorobenzene			
1,4-Dichlorobenzene			
Toluene			
Xylene (Total)			
Bromodichloromethane			
Bromoform			
Bromomethane			
Carbon Tetrachloride			
Chlorobenzene			
Chlorethane			
Chloroform			
Chloromethane			
Dichlorodifluoromethane			
1,1 Dichloroethane			
1,2 Dichloroethane			
Cis-1,3-Dichloropropene			
Trans-1,3-Dichloropropene			
Methylene Chloride			
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane			
Tetrachloroethene			
1,1,1,-Trichloroethane			
1,1,2-Trichloroethane			
Trichloroethene			
Trichlorofluoromethane			
Vinyl Chloride			

ND=Not Detected at Minimum Detection Limit

Method 8010/8020

IMC Magnetlcs Corporation
570 Main Street
Westbury, NY
Samples collected 5/13/93
Area 3

Sampling Results
Method 8120

All Results in µg/Kg (ppb)

Parameter	3-1-30'	3-1-50'	3-2-30'
Benzene	NONE OF THE CONSTITUENTS EXCEEDED NYSDEC STANDARDS		
Ethylbenzene			
1,2-Dichlorobenzene			
1,3-Dichlorobenzene			
1,4-Dichlorobenzene			
Toluene			
Bromomethane			
Chlorobenzene			
Chloroethane			
Chloromethane			
Dichlorodifluoromethane			
1,1 Dichloroethene			
1,2 Dichloroethane			
Methylene Chloride			
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane			
Trichlorofluoromethane			
Vinyl Chloride			
Dibromochloromethane			
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane			
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene			
meta¶-Xylene			
ortho-Xylene			
Carbon tetrachloride			
1,1-Dichloroethane			
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene			
1,1,2-Trichloroethane			
Bromoform			
Tetrachloroethene			

ND=Not Detected at Minimum Detection Limit
Method 8120

IMC Magnetlcs Corporation**570 Main Street****Westbury, NY****Samples collected on 5/15/93****Area 3****Sampling Results****Method 8010/8020****All Results in µg/Kg (ppb)**

Parameter	3-2-39'	3-3-40'	3-3-30'
Benzene	NONE OF THE CONSTITUENTS EXCEEDED NYSDEC STANDARDS		
Ethylbenzene			
1,2-Dichlorobenzene			
1,3-Dichlorobenzene			
1,4-Dichlorobenzene			
Toluene			
Xylene (Total)			
Bromodichloromethane			
Bromoform			
Bromomethane			
Carbon Tetrachloride			
Chlorobenzene			
Chlorethane			
Chloroform			
Chloromethane			
Dichlorodifluoromethane			
1,1 Dichloroethane			
1,2 Dichloroethane			
Cis-1,3-Dichloropropene			
Trans-1,3-Dichloropropene			
Methylene Chloride			
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane			
Tetrachloroethene			
1,1,1,-Trichloroethane			
1,1,2-Trichloroethane			
Trichloroethene			
Trichlorofluoromethane			
Vinyl Chloride			

ND=Not Detected at Minimum Detection Limit

Method 8010/8020

