



National Grid
Site Investigation and Remediation
175 East Old Country Road
Hicksville, NY 11801

July 6, 2015

R. Scott Deyette, Project Manager
New York State Department Environmental Conservation
Division of Environmental Remediation
625 Broadway
Albany, NY 12233-7014

Re: East Garden City Former Stewart Avenue Holder Station
Site Management Routine Annual Groundwater Well Sampling Report
D&B No. 3008

Dear Mr. Deyette:

The purpose of this letter is to document the groundwater sampling activities completed by D&B Engineers and Architects, P.C. (D&B) on May 20, 2015 at the East Garden City Former Stewart Avenue Holder Station (the Site) located in Nassau County, New York. A site location map is provided as Figure 1 in Attachment 1.

The sampling activities discussed below were completed pursuant to the requirements of the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (NYSDEC)-approved March 2013 Site Management Plan (SMP). The SMP was prepared to document the processes to be followed to monitor and manage residual contamination at the Site, including some low-level manufactured gas plant (MGP)-related residual contamination and other low-level contaminants (polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons [PAHs] target analyte list [TAL] metals and polychlorinated biphenyls [PCBs]), in surface and subsurface soil at the Site. In addition, elevated concentrations of total cyanide above the NYSDEC Class GA Groundwater Standards and Guidance Values have been identified in one monitoring well (EGCMW-06) located in the southern portion of the Site. Site-wide monitoring well locations are depicted on Figure 2, provided in Attachment 2.

Based on elevated concentrations of total cyanide detected in groundwater samples collected from monitoring well EGCMW-06, the March 2013 SMP for the Site included provisions for the sampling of three groundwater monitoring wells (EGCMW-03, EGCMW-06 and EGCMW-07) for total cyanide analysis on an annual basis for an initial period of three years. Note that this is the only sampling required by the NYSDEC-approved March 2013 SMP. Wells EGCMW-01 and EGCMW-03 are located on the downgradient perimeter of the Site. Per the requirements of the March 2013 SMP, the frequency of future sampling events will be determined by the NYSDEC based on an evaluation of the associated analytical data generated throughout this initial three-year period.

The following discussion provides a summary of the completed field activities and a detailed evaluation of the groundwater analytical results generated as part of the May 2015 groundwater sampling event.

Summary of Field Activities

Groundwater sampling activities utilizing low-flow sampling techniques were completed on May 20, 2015. Water level measurements were obtained using an electronic water level indicator. The depth to groundwater within each well was measured in reference to the top of the PVC casing in order to calculate the liquid volume necessary for well purging. A peristaltic pump and poly tubing was then utilized to purge and sample each well. The tubing was inserted into the well, within the area of the well screens (15 to 25 feet, 12.5 to 22.5 feet and 16 to 26 feet below grade at EGCMW-03, EGCMW-06 and EGCMW-07, respectively). The purge water generated from the wells was contained in a labeled 55-gallon drum and overpack for subsequent proper off-site disposal by National Grid.

The purge water was monitored for conductivity, dissolved oxygen, pH, temperature and turbidity utilizing a calibrated Horiba U-52 water quality meter. Results were recorded in a dedicated field book. Purging continued until the pH, temperature and conductivity had stabilized to within 10 percent for three consecutive readings, and the minimum purge water volume requirements had been removed from each well.

Samples were transferred directly to the laboratory-supplied sample containers and sent to the analytical laboratory, Chemtech Laboratories, within 24 hours of sample collection and were for total cyanide analysis. Field quality control (QC) samples were collected during the groundwater sampling event, including one matrix spike/matrix spike duplicate (MS/MSD) set and a field blank.

Findings/Analysis of Analytical Results

Based on the water level measurements, groundwater is located approximately 21 feet below grade and the groundwater flow direction beneath the Site, as determined during the 2011 SC investigation, is generally to the south.

The total cyanide analytical results are provided below:

Sample ID	EGCMW-03	EGCMW-06	EGCMW-07	NYSDEC Class
Sampling Date	5/20/15	5/20/15	5/20/15	GA Standard or
Dilution Factor	1	1	1	Guidance Value
Units	ug/l	ug/l	ug/l	ug/l
Total Cyanide	74.0	1,020	8.0	200

Note:

Bold text denotes an exceedance of the Class GA Groundwater Standard.

R. Scott Deyette, Project Manager
New York State Department Environmental Conservation
July 6, 2015

Page 3

As can be seen on the above table, monitoring well EGCMW-06 exhibited an exceedance of total cyanide at a concentration of 1,020 micrograms per liter (ug/l), above the groundwater standard of 200 ug/l. It should be noted that the total cyanide concentration detected in EGCMW-06 represents an increase since this well was last sampled in April 2014 (271 ug/l). However, the total cyanide concentration of 1,020 ug/l is within the range of concentrations previously detected in EGCMW-06 during the SC investigation completed in 2011, when total cyanide was detected at concentrations of 972 ug/l and 1,590 ug/l during two separate sampling events.

As shown above, total cyanide was detected well below the Class GA Standard in wells EGCMW-03 and EGCMW-07, at concentrations of 74.0 ug/l and 8.0 ug/l, respectively. These concentrations represent slight increases, as compared to the previous sampling event, when total cyanide was detected at concentrations of 63.0 ug/l and 7.0 ug/l in EGCMW-03 and EGCMW-07, respectively.

Sample locations and the May 2015 cyanide concentrations are depicted on Figure 2, provided in Attachment 2. Data validation checklists are provided in Attachment 3.

Based on the total cyanide exceedance detected at monitoring well EGCMW-06 and as per the requirements of the March 2013 SMP, National Grid recommends that sampling of monitoring wells EGCMW-03, EGCMW-06 and EGCMW-07 for total cyanide analysis be continued on an annual basis.

Please do not hesitate to contact me at (516) 545-2568, if you have any questions and/or comments.

Very truly yours,



Sarah Alridge
Project Manager

SETF/MRD/nc


Attachments

cc: P. Van Rossem (National Grid)
T. Fox (D&B)
S Tauss (D&B)

◆3008\Misc070115_SA(R01)

ATTACHMENT 1


SITE LOCATION MAP



ATTACHMENT 2

**SAMPLE LOCATION AND CYANIDE
CONCENTRATION SUMMARY MAP**

F:\3008-C03.dwg(3008-3-FIG 2-5-20-15 CONC.dwg, 7/1/2015 8:27:15 AM, DWG to PDF.pc3



ATTACHMENT 3

DATA VALIDATION CHECKLIST

DATA VALIDATION CHECKLIST

Project Name:	East Garden City	
Project Number:	3008-C03	
Sample Date(s):	May 20, 2015	
Sample Team:	PB	
Matrix/Number of Samples:	<u>Water/ 3</u> <u>Field Duplicates/ 0</u> <u>Trip Blanks / 0</u> <u>Field Blanks/ 1</u>	
Analyzing Laboratory:	Chemtech, Mountainside, NJ	
Analyses:	<u>Cyanide:</u> by SW846 Method 9012B	
Laboratory Report No:	G2332	Date: 6/1/2015

ANALYTICAL DATA PACKAGE DOCUMENTATION GENERAL INFORMATION

	Reported		Performance		Not Required
	No	Yes	No	Yes	
1. Sample results		X		X	
2. Parameters analyzed		X		X	
3. Method of analysis		X		X	
4. Sample collection date		X		X	
5. Laboratory sample received date		X		X	
6. Sample analysis date		X		X	
7. Copy of chain-of-custody form signed by Lab sample custodian		X		X	
8. Narrative summary of QA or sample problems provided		X		X	

QA - quality assurance

Comments:

The data packages have been reviewed in accordance with the NYSDEC 6/05 ASP Quality Assurance/ Quality Control (QA/QC) requirements. A validation was conducted on the data package and any applicable qualification of the data was determined using the USEPA National Functional Guidelines of Inorganic Data Review, August 2014, method performance criteria, and D&B Engineers and Architects, P.C. professional judgment. The qualification of data discussed within this data validation checklist did not impact the usability of the sample results.

Custody Numbers:G2332
SAMPLE AND ANALYSIS LIST

Sample ID	Lab ID	Sample Collection Date	Analysis			
			VOC	SVOC	Cyanide	MISC
EGCMW-06	G2332-01	05/20/15			X	
EGCMW-03	G2332-04	05/20/15			X	
EGCMW-07	G2332-05	05/20/15			X	
FIELD BLANK	G2332-06	05/20/15			X	

INORGANIC ANALYSES

Cyanide

	Reported		Performance Acceptable		Not Required
	No	Yes	No	Yes	
1. Holding times		X		X	
2. Blanks					
A. Preparation and calibration blanks		X		X	
B. Field blanks		X		X	
3. Initial calibration verification %R		X		X	
4. Continuing calibration verification %R		X		X	
5. Laboratory control sample %R		X		X	
6. Spike sample %R		X		X	
7. Duplicate %RPD		X		X	
8. Field duplicates RPD					X

%R - percent recovery

%D - percent difference

RPD - relative percent difference

Comments:

Performance was acceptable.

**DATA VALIDATION AND
QUALIFICATION SUMMARY**

Laboratory Numbers:G2332

Sample ID	Analyte(s)	Qualifier	Reason(s)
Cyanide			
No qualification of the data was necessary.			

VALIDATION PERFORMED BY & DATE:	Donna M. Brown 6/23/2015
VALIDATION PERFORMED BY SIGNATURE:	