


New York State Department of Environmental Conservation
Division of Environmental Remediation, 12th Floor
625 Broadway, Albany, New York 12233-7011
Phone: (518) 402-9706 • **FAX:** (518) 402-9020
Website: www.dec.state.ny.us



MEMORANDUM

OK
10/1

TO : Michael J. O'Toole, Director, Division of Environmental Remediation
FROM : Salvatore Ervolina, Assistant Director, Division of Environmental Remediation
SUBJECT : Cardwell Condenser Site, Site No. 1-52-035
DATE : *Nov* 9 2001

FILE COPY

Attached is the Proposed Remedial Action Plan (PRAP) for the Cardwell Condenser Site. The Department is proposing no further action with continued groundwater monitoring. The estimated annual cost of groundwater monitoring is \$10,300. The estimated present worth costs to continue the groundwater monitoring program for 30 years is \$185,000.

An IRM succeeded in reducing soil contamination in on-site leaching pools to levels close to or below NYSDEC soil cleanup objectives. Contaminant concentrations in groundwater have also decreased since the IRM.

I met with BERA staff and Dave Smith on October 23, 2001 to discuss the PRAP. The State and Nassau County Departments of Health have approved of the proposed remedy. A concurrence letter from Gary Litwin of NYSDOH is attached.

A PRAP Summary Sheet is attached. I recommend that you approve the PRAP.

Attachments

NOV - 9 2001

**Proposed Remedial Action Plan
Summary Sheet**

Site No: 1-52-035

Name of Site: Cardwell Condenser

Town & County: Lindenhurst, Nassau County

Prepared by: Robert Filkins

Description of the Problem: This manufacturer of electrical components has operated at this location since 1957. Process wastewater from plating operations was disposed of in on-site leaching pools. The PRP conducted an RI/FS which indicated the leaching pool sediments were contaminated by VOCs (primarily PCE and its breakdown products) and metals (primarily copper, zinc, chromium and lead). Total VOCs in leaching pool sediments were up to 3,500 ppm.

Groundwater was impacted only by VOCs, with the exception of one sample that marginally exceeded the groundwater standard for chromium. Total VOC concentrations in groundwater were as high as 1,100 ppb. Groundwater appears to discharge to a small surface stream which empties into an arm of the Great South Bay only a few hundred yards from the site. No impacts to the surface stream were identified.

A sediment removal IRM for the leaching pools was conducted in 3 phases in late 1999/early 2000. Based on end point sampling, the IRM was successful in markedly reducing the concentrations of VOCs and metals in the leaching pool sediments.

Subsequent to the IRM, concentrations of VOCs in groundwater dropped considerably. The most recent round of sampling (May 2001) indicated that the most contaminated monitoring well contained approximately 350 ppb of total volatiles.

Description of the Remedy: Since the IRM appears to have successfully removed the source area resulting in a significant improvement in groundwater quality, and any remaining contamination does not present a significant threat to human health or the environment, the remedy proposed for this site is no further action with continued groundwater monitoring. The site would be reclassified as a Class 4. The estimated cost for a 30 year groundwater monitoring program is \$174,000.

Issues: None



STATE OF NEW YORK DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH

Flanigan Square, 547 River Street, Troy, New York 12180-2216

Antonia C. Novello, M.D., M.P.H., Dr.P.H.
Commissioner

Dennis P. Whalen
Executive Deputy Commissioner

November 8, 2001

Mr. Michael O'Toole, P.E., Director
Division of Environmental Remediation
NYS Dept. of Environmental Conservation
625 Broadway, 11th Floor
Albany, New York 12233-7011

Re: Proposed Remedial Action Plan
Cardwell Condenser
Site #152035
Lindenhurst, Suffolk County


Dear Mr. O'Toole:

Staff reviewed the November 2001 Proposed Remedial Action Plan for the Cardwell Condenser site in Lindenhurst, Suffolk County. Based on that review, I understand No Further Action is proposed for the site since the Interim Remedial Measures performed in November 1999 and January 2000 removed the source of volatile organic compound (VOC) contamination from impacted leaching pools. Groundwater monitoring will continue on a bi-annual basis to monitor remediation effectiveness.

With this understanding, I believe these actions will be protective of human health.

If you should have any questions concerning this issue, please contact Mr. Richard Fedigan at (518) 402-7880.

Sincerely,



Gary A. Litwin, Director
Bureau of Environmental Exposure Investigation

cc: Dr. G. A. Carlson
Mr. R. Fedigan/Mr. W. Gilday/Ms. W. Kuehner/File
Mr. R. Weitzman, NCDOH
Mr. S. Ervolina, DEC Central
Mr. W. Parish, DEC Reg.1


P:\Bureau\Sites\Region_1\SUFFOLK\152035\Cardwell PRAP.doc

CARDWELL CONDENSER

Lindenhurst (V), Suffolk County, New York
Site No. 1-52-035

PROPOSED REMEDIAL ACTION PLAN

November 2001



Prepared by:

Division of Environmental Remediation
New York State Department of Environmental Conservation

PROPOSED REMEDIAL ACTION PLAN

CARDWELL CONDENSER

Lindenhurst, Suffolk County, New York

Site No. 152035

November 2001

SECTION 1: SUMMARY AND PURPOSE OF THE PROPOSED PLAN

The New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (NYSDEC) in consultation with the New York State Department of Health (NYSDOH) is proposing a remedy for the Cardwell Condenser site, a class 2 inactive hazardous waste disposal site. As more fully described in Sections 3 and 4 of this document, discharge of process wastewater from plating operations into on-site leaching pools resulted in the disposal of a number of hazardous wastes, including tetrachloroethene (PCE) and trichloroethene, at the site, some of which were released or migrated from the site to surrounding areas, including the Neguntatogue Creek. These disposal activities resulted in the following significant threats to the public health and/or the environment:

- a significant threat to human health associated with the possibility of consumption of groundwater impacted by contamination from the site.
- a potential threat to human health associated with subsurface contaminants at the site.

- a potential environmental threat associated with the impacts of contaminants to the groundwater resource.

During the course of the investigation certain actions, known as Interim Remedial Measures (IRMs), were undertaken at the Cardwell Condenser site in response to the threats identified above. An IRM is conducted at a site when a source of contamination or exposure pathway can be effectively addressed before completion of the RI/FS. The IRMs undertaken at this site included the removal of contaminated soils from the on-site leaching pools on three occasions between November of 1999 and January 2000.

Based on the success of the above IRMs, the findings of the investigation for this site indicate that the site no longer poses a significant threat to human health or the environment, therefore No Further Action with continued groundwater monitoring is proposed as the remedy for this site. In addition, the Department proposes to reclassify the site to a Class 4 site on the New York State Registry of Inactive Hazardous Waste Disposal Sites (the Registry).

This Proposed Remedial Action Plan (PRAP) identifies the preferred remedy and discusses the reasons for this preference. The NYSDEC will select a final remedy for the site only after careful consideration of all comments received during the public comment period.

The NYSDEC has issued this PRAP as a component of the citizen participation plan developed pursuant to the New York State Environmental Conservation Law and 6 NYCRR Part 375. This document is a summary of the information that can be found in greater detail in the Focused Remedial Investigation Report (October, 1998), Interim Remedial Measures Report (May, 2000), and the Focussed Feasibility Study (August 2001), available at the document repositories listed below.

To better understand the site and the investigations conducted, the public is encouraged to review the project documents at the following repositories:

{Include: Name, address, phone #, hours available for all repositories including Region and Central Office}

{Include project manager name at appropriate address}

The NYSDEC seeks input from the community on all PRAPs. A public comment period has been set from {DATES} to provide an opportunity for public participation in the remedy selection process for this site. A public meeting is scheduled for {DATE} at the {LOCATION} beginning at {Time}.

At the meeting, the results of the investigation and IRMs at the site will be presented along with a summary of the proposed remedy.

After the presentation, a question-and-answer period will be held, during which you can submit verbal or written comments on the PRAP.

The NYSDEC may modify the preferred alternative or select another based on new information or public comments. Therefore, the public is encouraged to review and comment on all of the alternatives identified here.

Comments will be summarized and responses provided in the Responsiveness Summary section of the Record of Decision. The Record of Decision is the NYSDEC's final selection of the remedy for this site. Written comments may be sent to Mr. Filkins at the above address through {add date comment period closes}.

SECTION 2: SITE LOCATION AND DESCRIPTION

The Cardwell Condenser site is located on approximately 1.2 acres at 80 Montauk Highway in the Village of Lindenhurst, New York. (See Figures 1 and 2) The site is bordered by Montauk Highway to the south, Lincoln Avenue to the west, an undeveloped parcel to the north, and by the Neguntatogue Creek and a small plastics manufacturing facility (Strux Inc.) to the east.

A 17,000 square foot manufacturing and office building and a small storage building are located on the site (see Figure 2). The site property is owned by Normilt Realty.

SECTION 3: SITE HISTORY

3.1: Operational/Disposal History

Cardwell Condenser has operated a facility at this location since 1957. Previously the site was home to Lindenhurst Brewery, which operated at the site from 1933 until the mid 1950s.

Cardwell Condenser manufactures electrical components. The manufacturing process includes chrome plating of the brass and/or aluminum components. Process wastewater from the plating operations was discharged to leaching pools north of the manufacturing building. In 1987 the industrial and sanitary wastewater discharges at the site were connected to public sewers. Due to changes in operations at Cardwell since that time industrial wastewater is no longer generated. Twelve of the fourteen on-site leaching pools were backfilled sometime after 1987. The remaining two leaching pools (LP- 4 & 10 - See Figure 3) receive only non-contact cooling water from the two pumping wells (shown on Figure 6).

3.2: Remedial History

In 1986, NYSDEC contracted with a consultant to perform a Phase I investigation of the site. This report concluded that the potential existed for contamination of groundwater.

A Phase II investigation was then performed later in 1986. During this investigation four shallow, water table monitoring wells were installed and groundwater samples taken. The water table is approximately 4 to 7 feet below the ground surface in the vicinity of the site. Contamination, primarily volatile organic compounds such as trichloroethane and

methylene chloride, was found in all the monitoring wells. However, the highest concentration of contaminants was found in a well upgradient of the site, and some of the sampling results were questionable. Therefore, the chemical analytical results were considered suspect.

In 1992 a Supplemental Phase II Investigation was conducted to collect additional information needed to classify the site for further action. Three additional deep (65 foot) monitoring wells (MW-5, 6, & 7 - See Figure 6) were installed and all seven wells were sampled in May of 1992. The results indicated that one well (MW-2) was contaminated with volatile organic compounds. Samples from well MW-2 contained 700 parts per billion (ppb) of PCE and 43 ppb of 1,2 dichloroethene (DCE) in the first sampling round, well above the NYSDEC and NYSDOH groundwater standard of 5 ppb. Based on the results of this sampling the four shallow wells (MW-1, 2, 3, & 4) were resampled in November 1992 and June of 1993. In those two subsequent rounds groundwater samples did not exceed standards for any volatile organic compounds in any of the monitoring wells. The report recommended that the site be classified as a Class 2 inactive hazardous waste disposal site due to the leaching pool contamination and a Remedial Investigation (RI) and Feasibility Study (FS) be performed. The site was listed on the Registry as a Class 2 in February, 1994.

In October 1994, Cardwell Condenser retained a consultant to conduct a soil and groundwater investigation of the site. Two more monitoring wells (MW-8 & 9) were installed during this investigation. The investigation confirmed groundwater contamination,

primarily by PCE, and an area of contaminated soil that might have been a source of the groundwater contamination. The "Groundwater and Soil Investigation Report" (January 1995) from this investigation recommended additional investigation to determine the extent of soil and groundwater contamination.

SECTION 4: SITE CONTAMINATION

To evaluate the contamination present at the site and to evaluate alternatives to address the significant threat to human health or the environment posed by the presence of hazardous waste, Cardwell Condenser has recently conducted a Remedial Investigation and Feasibility Study (RI/FS).

4.1: Summary of the Remedial Investigation

The purpose of the RI was to define the nature and extent of any contamination resulting from previous activities at the site.

The RI was conducted between May 1998 and July 1998. A report entitled Focused Remedial Investigation Report (October, 1998) has been prepared which describes the field activities and findings of the RI in detail.

The RI included the following activities:

- Geophysical survey to determine the locations of the leaching pools present at the site
- Excavation to uncover the leaching pools and sampling of those pools

- Soil samples taken from a former drum storage area at the site
- Installation of two monitoring wells
- Groundwater sampling of the two new monitoring wells and five previously existing wells
- Direct sampling of groundwater using direct hydraulic push sampling techniques

To determine which media (soil, groundwater, etc.) are contaminated at levels of concern, the RI analytical data was compared to environmental Standards, Criteria, and Guidance values (SCGs). Groundwater, drinking water and surface water SCGs identified for the Cardwell Condenser site are based on NYSDEC Ambient Water Quality Standards and Guidance Values and Part 5 of the New York State Sanitary Code. For soils, NYSDEC Technical and Administrative Guidance Memorandum (TAGM) 4046 provides soil cleanup guidelines for the protection of groundwater, background conditions, and health-based exposure scenarios. In addition, for soils, site specific background concentration levels can be considered for metal contaminants.

Based on the RI results, in comparison to the SCGs and potential public health and environmental exposure routes, certain media and areas of the site require remediation. These are summarized in the next pages. More complete information can be found in the RI Report.

Chemical concentrations are reported in parts per billion (ppb) or parts per million (ppm). For comparison purposes, where applicable, SCGs are provided for each medium.

4.1.1: Site Geology and Hydrogeology

The site is underlain by sand and gravel glacial outwash deposits approximately 80 feet thick. The aquifer in these deposits is referred to as the Upper Glacial aquifer. All the monitoring wells at the site are screened in the Upper Glacial aquifer.

Beneath the Upper Glacial aquifer is the Gardiners Clay, estimated to be approximately 40 feet thick in the vicinity of the site. The Gardiners Clay confines the underlying Magothy aquifer. The Magothy is the primary water supply aquifer on Long Island and is approximately 800 feet thick in the vicinity of the site.

Shallow groundwater from the site flows east southeast toward the Neguntatogue Creek (See Figure 2)

4.1.2: Nature of Contamination

As described in the RI report, many soil and groundwater samples were collected at the site to characterize the nature and extent of contamination. The main categories of contaminants which exceed their SCGs are volatile organic compounds (VOCs), and inorganics (metals).

The primary VOCs of concern in soil and groundwater were tetrachloroethene (PCE), and its breakdown products trichloroethene (TCE), dichloroethene (DCE) and vinyl

chloride. However, many other VOCs such as trichloroethane, dichloroethane, and toluene were also present at lower concentrations.

The inorganic contaminants of concern are copper, zinc, chromium and lead. These contaminants were found in the leaching pool soils at concentrations exceeding NYSDECs recommended soil cleanup objectives, but do not appear to be significantly impacting groundwater.

4.1.3: Extent of Contamination

Tables 1, 2 and 3 summarize the extent of contamination for the contaminants of concern in groundwater and compare the data with the SCGs for the site. The following are the media which were investigated and a summary of the findings of the investigation. Table 9 provides an overview of the sampling results.

Groundwater

The primary impact of site contamination is to groundwater. Groundwater samples were taken from 7 shallow (13 to 28 foot deep) monitoring wells (MW-2, 4, 6, 8, 9, 10, & 11) and 4 temporary Geoprobe well locations (GP-7, 8, 9, & 10 - See Figure 4 and Tables 1, 2 and 3). Groundwater samples taken from 4 of the 7 monitoring wells (MW-2, 6, 8, & 9) and all 4 Geoprobe locations contained VOCs at concentrations in excess of NYSDEC groundwater standards. As no contaminants were present above groundwater standards in the deep monitoring wells at the site during previous investigations, these wells were not sampled during the RI.

Groundwater from monitoring well MW-9 contained 11 VOCs at concentrations above groundwater standards. The most noteworthy were PCE (279 ppb), and its breakdown products TCE (62.3 ppb), DCE (580 ppb), and vinyl chloride (57.2 ppb). The NYSDEC groundwater standard for PCE, TCE and DCE is 5 ppb. For vinyl chloride the groundwater standard is 2 ppb. Chromium, with a concentration of 51.4 ppb, slightly exceeded the groundwater standard of 50 ppb.

Groundwater from monitoring well MW-8 contained 3 VOCs at concentrations above groundwater standards: PCE (368 ppb), TCE (21.9 ppb), and DCE (23.9 ppb). TCE also slightly exceeded groundwater standards in MW-2 (16.8 ppb).

As shown in Figure 6, four temporary Geoprobe wells (GP-7 through GP-10) were installed along the east edge of the site along the west bank of the Neguntatogue Creek. Shallow groundwater samples from each of these locations exceeded groundwater standards for VOCs. The locations with the highest contamination were GP-9, which contained 660 ppb of DCE, 130 ppb of vinyl chloride, and lesser amounts of PCE and TCE, and GP-8, which contained 180 ppb of DCE and 91 ppb of vinyl chloride.

Soil

Two soil samples, S-1 and S-2, were collected at a depth of 0.5 to 1 foot from two locations within a former drum storage area at the site (See Figure 3 and Tables 4 and 5). Neither of the samples contained contamination in excess of NYSDEC soil cleanup objectives for any VOCs.

NYSDEC's soil cleanup objective is 25 ppm for copper and 20 ppm for zinc or soil background. Sample S-1 contained 387 ppm of copper and 146 ppm of zinc. Sample S-2 contained 310 ppm of copper and 313 ppm of zinc. The levels of copper and zinc detected in these samples are within the ranges commonly observed in surface soil samples from developed areas.

Leaching Pools

Fourteen leaching pools (pools LP-1 through LP-14) were uncovered and soil samples were taken via hand auger 0.5 to 1 foot below the leaching pool bottom. These samples were then analyzed for VOC and metals contamination (See Figure 3). The results of this sampling indicated that VOC and metals contamination was present.

VOC concentrations above NYSDEC's recommended soil cleanup objectives were present in three of those leaching pools (See Table 4). LP-14 had the greatest concentration of VOCs. PCE was present at 2,170 ppm (cleanup objective 1.4 ppm), DCE was present at 244 ppm (cleanup objective 0.25 ppm) and TCE was present at 124 ppm (cleanup objective 0.7 ppm). 1,3-dichlorobenzene, 1,1-dichloroethene, trichloroethane and toluene were also present at concentrations above cleanup objectives.

Detection limits were high for some of the samples taken due to high concentration of contaminants. High concentrations can mask low concentrations of other contaminants and can require that the sample be diluted prior to analysis. Both of these circumstances can result in increased detection limits.

Soils from LP-3 contained 2.7 ppm of DCE and 1.6 ppm of PCE. Soils from LP-11 contained 0.894 ppm of DCE, and 7 ppm of total xylenes (cleanup objective 1.2 ppm). In addition the LP-11 sample contained dichlorobenzenes in excess of cleanup objectives.

All 14 leaching pools exceeded soil cleanup objectives for copper, zinc, chromium and/or lead (See Table 5). The most noteworthy exceedances for each metal were as follows. Copper (cleanup objective 25 ppm) was present at 12,500 ppm in LP-3. Zinc (cleanup objective 20 ppm) was present at 4830 ppm in LP-3. Chromium (cleanup objective 50 ppm) was present in LP-3 at 162 ppm. Lead (cleanup objective 400 ppm) was present in LP-14 at 636 ppm.

Surface Water

Two surface water samples were taken in the Neguntatogue Creek, one upstream and one downstream of the site. Concentrations of VOC contaminants were similar in the upstream and downstream samples, suggesting that the site is not significantly impacting water quality in the Creek. None of the contaminants found in either sample exceeded NYSDEC Class C Water Quality Standards.

4.2: Interim Remedial Measures

An Interim Remedial Measure (IRM) is conducted at a site when a source of contamination or exposure pathway can be effectively addressed before completion of the RI/FS.

The results of the Remedial Investigation indicated that VOC and/or metals

contamination in leaching pools LP-1, LP-3, LP-8, LP-11, LP-12, LP-13, LP-14 and LP-15 merited immediate remediation via an IRM. Soils from within these eight impacted leaching pools were excavated and disposed of at an off-site facility as the first IRM in November 1999. Based on the results of confirmatory soil samples taken after this removal, more soils were excavated from some of the leaching pools (LP-1, 3, & 15) in later November 1999 and again in January 2000 (LP-1 & 3).

Final endpoint samples showed a marked decrease on the concentration of contaminants in leaching pool soils (See Tables 6 and 7). After the IRMs were completed only LP-1 still contained soils with VOC concentrations exceeding soil cleanup objectives. The remaining concentrations at LP-1 were: PCE 3.5 ppm (down from 240 ppm before the IRMs, soil cleanup objective 1.4 ppm), 2-butanone 2.5 ppm (down from 6.1 ppm, soil cleanup objective 0.3 ppm), and acetone 0.61 ppm (down from 11 ppm, soil cleanup objective 0.2 ppm). Metals concentrations showed similar reductions.

Soil samples were also taken from 3 points just outside one of the more contaminated leaching pools at a depth of 4 to 6 feet. These samples were analyzed for VOCs to determine whether contamination from the leaching pools was migrating to surrounding soils. VOC concentrations in these three samples were well below soil cleanup objectives.

Two additional monitoring wells, MW-12 and MW-13, were also installed during the IRM, one 15 feet north and one 15 feet south of Geoprobe location GP-9 (See Figure 6). Samples from these wells were taken in

January 2000, and along with existing monitoring wells MW-8 and MW-9, again in December 2000 and May 2001. These samples were used to determine the effectiveness of the IRM in reducing VOC concentrations in groundwater.

VOC concentrations in groundwater have decreased in most cases since the IRM (See Table 8 and Figure 5) and are anticipated to decrease further over time. The highest remaining concentrations in the May 2001 sampling round were found in MW-9 as 182 ppb of DCE and 86 ppb of PCE, with lower concentrations of vinyl chloride, TCE and trichloroethane that exceeded groundwater standards. The highest remaining concentration in the two new wells adjacent to the Neguntatogue Creek was 24 ppb of DCE in MW-12.

4.3: Summary of Human Exposure Pathways:

This section describes the types of human exposures that may present added health risks to persons at or around the site. A more detailed discussion of the health risks can be found in Section 3.9 of the RI report.

An exposure pathway is the manner by which an individual may come in contact with a contaminant. The five elements of an exposure pathway are 1) the source of contamination; 2) the environmental media and transport mechanisms; 3) the point of exposure; 4) the route of exposure; and 5) the receptor population. These elements of an exposure pathway may be based on past, present, or future events.

Pathways which are known to or may exist at the site include:

- Direct contact with and ingestion of subsurface soils is no longer a potential threat as a result of the IRMs conducted at this site.
- Ingestion of groundwater - A survey of public and private water supply wells within one mile downgradient of the site did not identify any supply wells. Groundwater from the site appears to discharge to the Neguntatogue Creek east-southeast of the site. Concentrations of VOCs in groundwater appear to be decreasing since the IRM was conducted. Therefore, no current threat to drinking water supplies exists, nor is likely to exist in the future, due to the the absence of any existing water supply wells in this area, the decreasing VOC concentrations in groundwater, and the proximity to saline groundwater (making any new supply wells very unlikely). However, some VOCs still exceed groundwater standards which would necessitate continued groundwater monitoring.

4.4: Summary of Environmental Exposure Pathways

This section describes the types of environmental exposures and ecological risks which may be presented by the site. The following pathway for environmental exposure and/or ecological risks has been identified:

- impact to the groundwater resource above standards.

Although the groundwater in the immediate vicinity of the site is impacted above standards, with the source area now remediated, NYSDEC expects groundwater standards will be achieved through natural attenuation. Continued monitoring of the groundwater is expected to confirm this.

SECTION 5: ENFORCEMENT STATUS

Potentially Responsible Parties (PRPs) are those who may be legally liable for contamination at a site. This may include past or present owners and operators, waste generators, and haulers.

The NYSDEC and Cardwell Condenser entered into a Consent Order on March 10, 1998 (Civil Action No. 97-5121). The Order obligates the responsible parties to implement a RI/FS program. Upon issuance of the Record of Decision the NYSDEC will approach Cardwell Condenser to implement the selected remedy under an Order on Consent.

SECTION 6: SUMMARY OF THE REMEDIAL GOALS AND PROPOSED ACTION

The selected remedy for any site should, at a minimum, eliminate or mitigate all significant threats to the public health or the environment presented by the hazardous waste present at the site. The State believes that previous remedial activities, which are described in Section 4.2 Interim Remedial Measures, have accomplished this objective provided that

future groundwater monitoring shows a continued decline in groundwater contaminant concentrations.

Based on the results of the investigations and the IRMs that have been performed at the site, the NYSDEC is proposing No Further Action with continued groundwater monitoring as the preferred remedial alternative for the site.

Once an operation and maintenance plan is in place, the Department would also reclassify the site from a Class 2 to a Class 4, which means the site is properly closed but requires continued management, on the New York State Registry of Inactive Hazardous Waste Disposal Sites.

Continued groundwater monitoring would consist of sampling groundwater twice a year from five monitoring wells. These wells would include four currently existing wells (MW-8, 9, 12, & 13) and one new monitoring well, MW-14, to be installed immediately downgradient of leaching pool LP-1 (See Figure 6). The screen for new monitoring well would be 5 feet long and would be installed straddling the water table. The estimated annual cost for groundwater monitoring is \$10,300. The estimated present worth costs to continue groundwater monitoring for a 30 year period would be \$185,000. However, the groundwater monitoring program would be reevaluated periodically and may be modified before that date if concentrations of VOCs in groundwater continue to significantly decline and either meet or asymptotically approach standards.



SCALE: 1" = 2,000'


Fanning, Phillips & Molnar
Engineers

Figure 1

SITE LOCATION MAP

CARDWELL CONDENSER
LINDENHURST, NEW YORK

Drawn By: J.S. | Checked By: L.B. | Date: 8/18/98



LEGEND:


2.02 Groundwater elevation in feet above mean sea level

Groundwater contour, dotted where inferred

Monitoring well location

Geophysics boring location

a - Noted as possibly anomalous reading in field




SOURCE: LAWLER, MATUSKY & SKELLY
ENGINEERS, DECEMBER 1993

Fanning, Phillips & Molnar
Engineers

Figure 2
GROUNDWATER CONTOUR MAP
JUNE 15, 1993
CARDWELL CONDENSER
LINDENHURST, NEW YORK

Drawn By: J.S.	Checked By:	Date:
----------------	-------------	-------



LEGEND


- FORMER SEPTIC TANK
- X POSSIBLE CATCH BASIN ASSOCIATED WITH A FORMER ROOF DRAIN
- LEACHING POOLS EXCAVATED AND SAMPLED
- S-1, S-2 SOIL SAMPLES FROM DRUM STORAGE AREA
- NON-CONTACT COOLING WATER
- CURRENT CONNECTIONS
- SEWER CONNECTION

Fanning, Phillips & Molnar
Engineers

Figure 3
LOCATIONS OF LEACHING POOLS SAMPLED
CARDWELL CONDENSER
LINDENHURST, NEW YORK

Drawn By: L.G. | Checked By: L.B. | Date: 5/21/98

MW-11
21.1



LEGEND:

SCALE: 1"=50'


- MW-8 GROUNDWATER WELL LOCATION
 - 500— TOTAL VOCs CONCENTRATION CONTOUR (µg/L)
 - GP-7 ADDITIONAL GROUNDWATER GEOPROBE LOCATIONS
 - ⊕ GP-1 PREVIOUS GEOPROBE LOCATIONS
- BASE MAP FROM: LMS ENGINEERS

Fanning, Phillips & Molnar
Engineers

Figure 4
TOTAL VOC CONCENTRATION
ISOPLETH MAP
CARDWELL CONDENSER
LINDENHURST, NEW YORK

Drawn By: H.C. J.S. Checked By: L.B. Date: 9/30/98

● MW-11



SCALE (in feet):
0 25 50

LEGEND:


- MW-8 (134.9) GROUNDWATER WELL LOCATION WITH TOTAL VOCs IN MICROGRAMS PER LITER
- 100— TOTAL VOCs CONCENTRATION CONTOUR (ug/L)

FPM GROUP


Figure 5
MAY 2001 TOTAL VOC
CONCENTRATION CONTOURS
CARDWELL CONDENSER
LINDENHURST, NEW YORK

BASE MAP FROM: LMS ENGINEERS

Drawn By: H.C. | Checked By: S.D. | Date: 7/30/01



SCALE (in feet):



LEGEND:

- ⊕ MW-8 GROUNDWATER WELL LOCATION
- GP-7 GEOPROBE GROUNDWATER SAMPLE LOCATIONS

BASE MAP FROM: LMS ENGINEERS

Fanning, Phillips & Molnar
Engineers

Figure 6
GROUNDWATER SAMPLING
LOCATIONS
CARDWELL CONDENSER
LINDENHURST, NEW YORK

Drawn By: H.C. J.S. Checked By: S.D. Date: 10/31/00

Table 1
Volatile Organic Compounds
June 3, 1998 Groundwater Sampling Results
Cardwell Condenser, 80 East Montauk Highway, Lindenhurst, New York

Sample ID Dilution Factor Units	MW-2 1.0 ug/L	MW-4 1.0 ug/L	MW-6 1.0 ug/L	MW-8 6.0 ug/L	MW-9 6.0 ug/L	MW-10 1.0 ug/L	MW-11 1.0 ug/L	FB-3 1.0 ug/L	Trip Blank 1.0 ug/L	NYSDEC Class GA Ambient Water Quality Standard or Guidance Value (ug/L)
Volatile Organic Compounds:										
Vinyl Chloride	10.0 U	10.0 U	10.0 U	50.0 U	67.2 J	10.0 U	10.0 U	10.0 U	10.0 U	2.0
Chloroethane	10.0 U	10.0 U	10.0 U	50.0 U	9.22 J	10.0 U	10.0 U	10.0 U	10.0 U	5.0
1,1-Dichloroethane	10.0 U	10.0 U	10.0 U	50.0 U	21.0 J	10.0 U	10.0 U	10.0 U	10.0 U	5.0
1,1-Dichloroethane	10.0 U	10.0 U	10.0 U	50.0 U	32.8 J	10.0 U	10.0 U	10.0 U	10.0 U	5.0
1,2-Dichloroethane(total)	1.94 J	10.0 U	10.0 U	23.9 J	680	10.0 U	10.0 U	10.0 U	10.0 U	5.0
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	10.0 U	10.0 U	10.0 U	50.0 U	41.6 J	10.0 U	10.0 U	10.0 U	10.0 U	5.0
Trichloroethene	16.8	0.92 J	10.0 U	21.9 J	62.3 J	0.722 J	10.0 U	10.0 U	10.0 U	5.0
Benzene	10.0 U	10.0 U	10.0 U	50.0 U	13.9 J	10.0 U	10.0 U	10.0 U	10.0 U	1.0
Tetrachloroethene	10.0 U	10.0 U	10.0 U	368	279	0.652 J	10.0 U	10.0 U	10.0 U	5.0
Toluene	10.0 U	10.0 U	10.0 U	50.0 U	13.9 J	10.0 U	10.0 U	10.0 U	10.0 U	5.0
Chlorobenzene	10.0 U	10.0 U	10.0 U	50.0 U	10.4 J	10.0 U	10.0 U	10.0 U	10.0 U	5.0
Tentatively Identified Volatile Organic Compounds:										
Propane, 2-methoxy-2-methyl-	U	U	U	U	U	U	21.1 J	U	U	-

Notes:

U = The compound was not detected at the indicated detection limit.

J = Data indicates the presence of a compound that meets the identification criteria. The result is less than the quantitation limit but greater than zero. The concentration given is an approximate value.

ug/L = micrograms per liter. = parts per billion (ppb)

- = No NYSDEC Class GA Ambient Water Quality Standard or Guidance Value established for this compound.

Bold values exceed the NYSDEC Class GA Ambient Water Quality Standard or Guidance Value.

FB = Field Blank

Table 2

Volatile Organic Compounds
August 17, 1998 Groundwater Geoprobe Sampling Results
Cardwell Condenser, 80 East Montauk Highway, Lindenhurst, New York

Sample ID	GP-7	GP-8	GP-11 (GP-8 dup)	GP-9	GP-10	FB-1	Trip Blank	NYSDEC
Dilution Factor	1.0	1.0	1.0	5.0	5.0	1.0	1.0	Class GA Ambient Water Quality
Units	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	Standard or Guidance Value (ug/L)
Volatile Organic Compounds:								
Vinyl Chloride	120	91	100	130	3.0 J	10.0 U	10.0 U	2.0
Methylene Chloride	10.0 U	10.0 U	10.0 U	10 JB	10.0 U	10.0 U	10.0 U	5.0
Acetone	10.0 U	12	34 J	27 J	10.0 U	8.0 J	10.0 U	-
1,1-Dichloroethene	10.0 U	0.7 J	10.0 U	10.0 U	10.0 U	10.0 U	10.0 U	5.0
1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	14	180	210	660	17	10.0 U	10.0 U	5.0
Trichloroethene	10.0 U	10.0 U	10.0 U	7.0 J	2.0 J	10.0 U	10.0 U	5.0
Benzene	10.0 U	10.0 U	10.0 U	10.0 U	0.7 J	10.0 U	10.0 U	1.0
Tetrachloroethene	10.0 U	10.0 U	10.0 U	53	10.0 U	10.0 U	10.0 U	5.0
Chlorobenzene	10.0 U	0.7 J	10.0 U	3.0 J	10.0 U	10.0 U	10.0 U	5.0
Tentatively Identified Volatile Organic Compounds:								
2-Propanol 7.27	24 NJ	U	U	U	U	U	U	-
2-Propanol 7.33	U	16 NJ	U	U	U	U	U	-

Notes:

U = The compound was not detected at the indicated detection limit.

J = Data indicates the presence of a compound that meets the identification criteria. The result is less than the quantitation limit but greater than zero. The concentration given is an approximate value.

B = The analyte was found in the laboratory blank as well as the sample. This indicates possible laboratory contamination of the environmental sample.

N = The spiked sample recovery is not within control limits.

ug/L = micrograms per liter = parts per billion (ppb)

- = No NYSDEC Class GA Ambient Water Quality Standard or Guidance Value established for this compound.

Bold values exceed the NYSDEC Class GA Ambient Water Quality Standard or Guidance Value.

FB = Field Blank

Table 3
Total Metals
June 3, 1998 Groundwater Sampling Results
Cardwell Condenser
80 East Montauk Highway, Lindenhurst, New York

Sample ID	MW-2	MW-4	MW-6	MW-8	MW-9	MW-10	MW-11	FB-3	NYSDEC Class GA Ambient Water Quality Standard or Guidance Value (ug/l)
Units	ug/l	ug/l	ug/l	ug/l	ug/l	ug/l	ug/l	ug/l	
Metals:									
Arsenic	3.5 U	3.5 U	3.5 U	3.5 U	6.8 B	3.6 B	3.5 U	3.5 U	25
Chromium	4.6 B	1.7 U	1.7 U	14.7	51.4	5.0 B	1.7 U	1.7 U	50
Copper	44.7	9.9 B	8.2 B	7.1 B	53.6	24.3 B	5.0 U	5.0 U	200
Lead	9.9	2.9 U	3.3	2.9 U	2.9 U	4.4	2.9 U	2.9 U	25
Zinc	70.9	29.0	27.9	233	935	269	57.7	5.8 U	-

Notes:

U = The compound was not detected at the indicated detection limit.

B = Reported value is less than the Contract Required Detection Limit but greater than or equal to the Instrument Detection Limit.

ug/L = micrograms per liter = parts per billion (ppb)

- = No NYSDEC Class GA Ambient Water Quality Standard or Guidance value established for this compound.

Bold values exceed the NYSDEC Class GA Ambient Water Quality Standard or Guidance Value.

FB = Field Blank

Table 4

Volatile Organic Compounds
June 2, 1998 Soil Sampling Results
Cardwell Condenser, 80 East Montauk Highway, Lindenhurst, New York

Sample ID	LP-1 solid ug/Kg	LP-2 solid ug/Kg	LP-3 solid ug/Kg	LP-4 solid ug/Kg	LP-5 solid ug/Kg	LP-6 solid ug/Kg	LP-7 solid ug/Kg	LP-8 solid ug/Kg	LP-9 solid ug/Kg	LP-10 solid ug/Kg	LP-11 solid ug/Kg	LP-12 solid ug/Kg	LP-13 solid ug/Kg	LP-14 solid ug/Kg	E-1 solid ug/Kg	E-2 solid ug/Kg	E-2 Dup (S-1) solid ug/Kg	FB-1 water ug/Kg	Trip Blank water ug/L	NYSDC Recommended Soil Cleanup Objective ug/Kg
Volatile Organic Compounds:																				
Vinyl Chloride	1220 U	12 U	1680 U	13 U	14 U	12 U	26 U	62 U	13 U	12 U	217 J	2440 U	2480 U	170000 U	57 U	13 U	10 U	10 U	10 U	200
Methylene Chloride	1220 U	12 U	1680 U	13 U	14 U	12 U	26 U	62 U	13 U	12 U	1620 U	2440 U	2480 U	170000 U	10 J	13 U	10 U	10 U	10 U	100
Acetone	1220 U	56	1680 U	18	19	20	51	120	13 U	19	1140 J	2440 U	2480 U	101000 J	57 U	13 U	10 U	10 U	10 U	200
1,1-Dichloroethane	1220 U	12 U	1680 U	13 U	14 U	12 U	26 U	62 U	13 U	12 U	1620 U	2440 U	2480 U	43800 J	57 U	13 U	10 U	10 U	10 U	100
1,2-Dichloroethane (total)	1220 U	12 U	1680 U	13 U	14 U	12 U	26 U	62 U	13 U	12 U	884 J	2440 U	2480 U	244000 J	57 U	13 U	10 U	10 U	10 U	250
2-Butanone	1220 U	17	1680 U	13 U	14 U	12 U	26 U	62 U	13 U	12 U	1620 U	2440 U	2480 U	170000 U	57 U	13 U	10 U	10 U	10 U	100
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	1220 U	12 U	1680 U	13 U	14 U	12 U	26 U	62 U	13 U	12 U	1620 U	2440 U	2480 U	170000 U	57 U	13 U	10 U	10 U	10 U	300
Trichloroethane	1220 U	12 U	1680 U	13 U	14 U	12 U	26 U	62 U	13 U	12 U	232 J	2440 U	2480 U	170000 U	57 U	13 U	10 U	10 U	10 U	600
Tetrachloroethane	1220 U	12 U	1680 U	13 U	14 U	12 U	26 U	62 U	13 U	12 U	1620 U	2440 U	2480 U	170000 U	57 U	13 U	10 U	10 U	10 U	700
Toluene	159 J	12 U	1680 U	13 U	27	9 J	150	12 J	13 U	6 J	601 J	2440 U	2480 U	2170000 J	350	27	170	10 U	10 U	1400
Ethylbenzene	1220 U	12 U	1680 U	13 U	14 U	12 U	26 U	62 U	13 U	12 U	1500 J	2440 U	2480 U	170000 U	57 U	13 U	10 U	10 U	10 U	1500
Xylenes (Total)	1220 U	12 U	1680 U	13 U	14 U	12 U	26 U	62 U	13 U	12 U	7020 J	2440 U	2480 U	170000 U	57 U	13 U	10 U	10 U	10 U	5500
Tentatively Identified Volatile Organic Compounds:																				
C10H22 Alkanes	U	U	11,100 J	U	U	U	37 J	840 J	U	U	8,590 J	30,600 J	39,270 J	U	U	U	U	U	U	U
C11H24 Alkanes	U	U	7,000 J	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	13,900 J	40,400 J	40,400 J	U	U	U	U	U	U	U
C12H26 Alkanes	U	U	23,040 J	U	U	U	68 J	U	U	U	U	8,210 J	86,000 J	U	U	U	U	U	U	U
C13H28 Alkanes	35,228 J	U	116,120 J	U	U	U	474 J	26,200 J	U	U	13,100 J	879,600 J	386,200 J	215,000 J	U	U	U	U	U	U
Unknown Alkanes	3,000 J	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	37,200 J	U	U	U	U	U	U	U
Unknown Alkanes/Unknown	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U
Benzene, 1,2-Dichloro	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	27,800 J	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U
Benzene, 1,3-Dichloro	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	11,800 J	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U
Benzene, 1,4-Dichloro	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	11,800 J	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U
Coating Unknowns	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	34,600 J	21,400 J	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U
C8H16 Cycloalkanes	2,991 J	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	14,200 J	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U
C9H18 Cycloalkanes	30,980 J	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	36,590 J	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U
C10H20 Cycloalkanes	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	29,600 J	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U
C11H22 Cycloalkanes	7,400 J	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	41,800 J	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U
Decahydrodithienophthalene Isomers	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U
Decahydrodithienophthalene Isomers	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U
Decahydrodithienophthalene Isomers	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U
M-Menthyls, (1S, 3R), (+)	U	U	11,400 J	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U
Methoxybenzene Isomers	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	6,190 J	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U
Trimethylbenzene Isomers	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	6,760 J	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U
Unknown Hydrocarbons	2,870 J	U	6,360 J	U	U	U	U	1,640 J	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U
Unknown Organic Acids	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U
Unknowns	15,930 J	U	27,140 J	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	199,000 J	U	U	U	U	U	U
Total Volatile Organic Compounds	88,358 J	73	205,874 J	31	47	29	790	28,737 J	6	19.9	285,744 J	1,227,810 J	648,400 J	3,489,470 J	367	27	170	U	U	10,000

Notes:

U = The compound was not detected at the indicated detection limit.
J = Data indicates the presence of a compound that meets the identification criteria. The result is less than the quantitation limit but greater than zero. The concentration given is an approximate value.
B = The analyte was found in the laboratory blank as well as the sample. This indicates possible laboratory contamination of the environmental sample.
ug/L = micrograms per liter = parts per billion (ppb)
ug/Kg = micrograms per kilogram

* The lower of the NYSDC Recommended Soil Cleanup Objective established for this compound.

† The lower of the NYSDC Recommended Soil Cleanup Objectives for 1,2-dichloroethane isomers.

‡ Bold values exceed the NYSDC Class GA Ambient Water Quality Standard or Guidance Value established for this compound.

FB = Field Blank

Table 5

Total Metals

June 2, 1998 Soil Sampling Results
Cardwell Condenser, 80 East Montauk Highway, Lindenhurst, New York

Sample ID	LP-1	LP-2	LP-3	LP-4	LP-5	LP-6	LP-7	LP-8	LP-9	LP-10	LP-11	LP-12	LP-13	LP-14	S-1	S-2	S-3	FB-1	NYSDEC Recommended Soil Cleanup Objective mg/kg
Matrix	solid	solid	solid	solid	solid	solid	solid	solid	solid	solid	solid	solid	solid	solid	solid	solid	solid	water	
Units:	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	ug/L	mg/kg
Metals:																			
Arsenic	0.66 U	0.67 U	0.94 U	0.74 U	0.72 U	0.69 U	1.2 B	0.72 B	0.72 U	1.4 B	0.88 U	0.65 U	0.70 U	2.4 U	3.1	2.9	2.7	3.5 U	7.5 or SB
Chromium	72.4	18.7	182	74.8	129	35.9	144	157	46.9	20.9	7	5	33.1	50.1	20.1	11.9	9.6	1.7 U	50
Copper	231	1350	12500	814	428	172	763	410	60.8	3700	338	16.4	104	1850	387	310	293	5.0 U	25 or SB
Lead	18.8	55.8	411	9.1	54.8	10.8	241	83.7	11.4	212	108	7.8	29.4	636	239	181	161	2.9 U	400*
Zinc	96.7	341	4830	33.6	132	44.2	455	98.5	24.3	474	1000	105	914	2480	146	351	313	7.4 B	20 or SB

Notes:

U = The compound was not detected at the indicated concentration.

B = Reported value is less than the Contract Required Detection Limit but greater than or equal to the Instrument Detection Limit.

mg/kg = milligrams per kilogram = parts per billion (ppb)

mg/L = milligrams per liter

SB = site background

Bold values exceed the NYSDEC Recommended Soil Cleanup Objective.

*The USEPA's Interim Lead Hazard Guidance (July 14, 1994) establishes a residential screening level of 400 ppm.

FB = Field Blank

Table 6
SUMMARY OF CHEMICAL ANALYTICAL RESULTS
LEACHING POOL END-POINT SAMPLES
CARDWELL CONDENSER SITE
LINDENHURST, NEW YORK

Sample No.	LP-1			LP-3			LP-8	LP-11	LP-12	LP-13	LP-14	LP-15		NYSDEC Recommended Soil Cleanup Objectives
Sample Date	11/9/99	11/15/99	1/19/00	11/9/99	11/15/99	1/19/00	11/9/99	11/8/99	11/8/99	11/8/99	11/8/99	11/8/99	1/19/00	
Target Compound List Volatile Organic Compounds in micrograms per kilogram = parts per billion (ppb)														
Chloromethane	6,200 J	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	-
Methylene chloride	1,100 JB	450 J	U	220 JB	230 J	2 J	1 J	U	1 J	1 J	1 J	5,800 JB	1 J	100
Styrene	U	U	U	U	280 J	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	-
Acetone	11,000 JB	2,300 B	610 J	710 JB	2,800 B	U	12	9 JB	35 B	8 JB	27 B	11,000 JB	6 JB	200
1,1-Dichloroethane	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	0.5 J	U	0.6 J	U	U	200
1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	1 J	1 J	3 J	U	14	300
2-Butanone	6,100 J	1,600	2,500	1,400	1,800	U	2 J	2 J	4 J	U	3 J	4,500 J	3 J	300
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	12	2 J	7 J	8,000 J	U	800
Trichloroethene	U	U	U	U	U	U	3 J	0.6 J	9 J	2 J	10 J	14,000 J	4 J	700
Tetrachloroethene	240,000	19,000	3,500	5,600	15,000	4 J	48	2 J	67	26	210	300,000	76	1,400
Toluene	U	U	U	U	U	0.5 J	0.5 J	U	2 J	U	0.5 J	2,400 J	0.4 J	1,500
Carbon disulfide	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	2 J	U	U	U	2,700
4-Methyl-2- pentanone	U	U	U	U	U	U	1 J	U	U	U	U	U	U	1,000
Ethylbenzene	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	1 J	U	U	U	U	5,500
Xylene (total)	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	3 J	U	U	U	0.3 J	1,200
Benzene	U	U	U	U	U	0.2 J	U	U	U	U	U	U	0.5 J	60

Table 7
SUMMARY OF CHEMICAL ANALYTICAL RESULTS
LEACHING POOL END-POINT SAMPLES
CARDWELL CONDENSER SITE
LINDENHURST, NEW YORK

Sample No.	LP-1			LP-3			LP-8	LP-11	LP-12	LP-13	LP-14	LP-15		NYSDEC Recommended Soil Cleanup Objectives
Sample Date	11/9/99	11/15/99	1/19/00	11/9/99	11/15/99	1/19/00	11/9/99	11/8/99	11/8/99	11/8/99	11/8/99	11/8/99	1/19/00	
Select Metals in milligrams per kilogram = parts per billion (ppb)														
Arsenic	U	U	0.75 B	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	1.4	U	7.5
Chromium	38.2	20.0	20.6	22.3	48.7	32.0	12.4	32.4	1.6	1.0 B	7.4	10.1	9.5	50
Copper	236	121	55.1	759	916	61.0	26.3	18.5	10.8	16.8	11.8	530	14.3	25
Lead	19.0	10.8	4.5	34.5	84.7	4.9	5.4	2.0	4.0	U	U	134	1.4	200-500*
Zinc	111	59.8	13.2	266	456	16.2	18.7	10.7	53.8	8.0	14.7	713	15.1	20

Notes:

U = Not detected at or above instrument detection limit.

J = Estimated concentration less than the quantitation limit but greater than zero.

B = For volatile organic compounds, compound detected in an associated blank sample. For metals, detected concentration is above the instrument detection limit and below the contract-required detection limit.

- = Not established.

* = Average background levels for lead in metropolitan or suburban areas near highways typically range from 200 to 500 milligrams per kilogram.

NYSDEC = New York State Department of Environmental Conservation.

Bold values exceed NYSDEC Recommended Soil Cleanup Objectives.

Table 8
GROUNDWATER CHEMICAL ANALYTICAL DATA
CARDWELL CONDENSER SITE
LINDENHURST, NEW YORK

Well	MW-8			MW-9			MW-12			MW-13			NYSDEC Class GA Ambient Water Quality Standards
Sample Date	6/3/98	12/5/00	5/21/01	6/3/98	12/5/00	5/21/01	1/5/00	12/7/00	5/21/01	1/5/00	12/5/00	5/21/01	
Volatile Organic Compounds in micrograms per liter = parts per billion (ppb)													
Vinyl Chloride	ND	0.5 J	0.5 J	57.2	7 J	24	ND	0.5 J	2 J	ND	ND	ND	2
Methylene Chloride	ND	0.4 JB	ND	ND	0.2 JB	ND	ND	0.4 J	ND	ND	3 JB	ND	5
Chloroethane	ND	ND	ND	9.22 J	ND	0.8 J	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	5
Acetone	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	10	ND	7 J	ND	ND	5 JB	ND	-
1,1-Dichloroethene	ND	ND	ND	21.0 J	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	5
1,1-Dichloroethane	ND	ND	ND	32.8 J	2 J	11	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	5
1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	23.9 J	8 J	3 J	580	53	182	3 J	3 J	24	2 J	1 J	0.8 J	5
Chloroform	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	0.4 J	ND	0.2 J	0.3 J	ND	ND	ND	7
1,1,1- Trichloroethane	ND	ND	ND	41.6 J	1 J	10	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	5
Trichloroethene	21.9 J	6 J	4 J	62.3	2 J	20	8 J	5 J	5 J	20	7 J	6 J	5
Benzene	ND	ND	ND	13.9 J	ND	0.2 J	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	1
Tetrachloroethene	368	120	80	279	27	86	270	2 J	5 J	6 J	4 J	4 J	5
Toluene	ND	ND	ND	13.9 J	ND	ND	0.5 J	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	5
Chlorobenzene	ND	ND	ND	10.4 J	ND	0.3 J	0.7 J	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	5
Styrene	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	0.4 J	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	5
4-Methyl-2- pentanone	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	2 J	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	50
Xylene (total)	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	0.6 J	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	5
2-Butanone	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	2 J	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	50

Notes:

ND = Not detected at or above instrument detection limit.

J = Estimated concentration less than the quantitation limit but greater than zero.

B = Compound detected in an associated blank sample.

- = Not established.

NYSDEC = New York State Department of Environmental Conservation.

Bold values exceed the NYSDEC Class GA Ambient Water Quality Standard.

Table 9
Nature and Extent of Contamination

MEDIA	CLASS	CONTAMINANT OF CONCERN	CONCENTRATION RANGE	FREQUENCY of EXCEEDING SCGs	SCG
Groundwater (ppb)	Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs)	Tetrachloroethene	ND (10) to 368	9 of 22	5
		Trichloroethene	ND (10) to 62	10 of 22	5
		1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	ND (10) to 660	11 of 22	5
		Vinyl Chloride	ND (10) to 57	7 of 22	2
		Chloroethane	ND (10) to 9	1 of 22	5
		1,1-Dichloroethene	ND (10) to 21	1 of 22	5
		1,1-Dichloroethane	ND (10) to 33	2 of 22	5
		1,1,1-Trichloroethane	ND (10) to 42	2 of 22	5
		Benzene	ND (10) to 14	1 of 22	1
		Toluene	ND (10) to 14	1 of 22	5
		Chlorobenzene	ND (10) to 10	1 of 22	5
	Metals	Chromium	ND (1.7) to 51.4	1 of 7	50
Soils (ppm)	Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs)	Tetrachloroethene	ND (12) to 2,170	8 of 30	1.4
		Trichloroethene	ND (12) to 124	2 of 30	0.7
		1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	ND (12) to 244	3 of 30	0.3
		1,1-Dichloroethene	ND (12) to 44	1 of 30	0.2
		1,1,1-Trichloroethane	ND (12) to 59	2 of 30	0.8
		Toluene	ND (12) to 7	2 of 30	1.5
		Xylenes (total)	ND (12) to 7	1 of 30	1.2
		1,2-Dichlorobenzene	ND to 28	1 of 30	7.9
		1,3-Dichlorobenzene	ND to 367	2 of 30	1.6
		1,4-Dichlorobenzene	ND to 12	1 of 30	8.5
	Metals	Chromium	1.6 to 162	7 of 30	50
		Copper	11 to 12,500	24 of 30	25
		Lead	ND to 636	5 of 30	SB*
		Zinc	8 to 4,830	23 of 30	20

* SB = Soil background, typically 200-500 ppm