

CIRCUITRON CORPORATION SITE PILOT SOURCE AREA TREATMENT SYSTEM

Latitude 40.749787 °,
Longitude -73.418753 °

REPORT TITLE

Site Management Report No. 05

REPORTING PERIOD

August 2013 - January 2014

CLIENT

New York State Department of
Environmental Conservation

Jeffrey E. Trad, P.E., Project Manager
email: jetrad@gw.dec.state.ny.us

CONSULTANT

Dvirka and Bartilucci
Consulting Engineers

Robbin Petrella, Project Manager
email: rpetrella@db-eng.com



NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL CONSERVATION

Division of Environmental Remediation

625 Broadway, 12th Floor, Albany, New York 12233

Site

NYSDEC Site No. 152082, Circuitron Corporation Site, Pilot Source Area Treatment System. East Farmingdale, Town of Babylon, Suffolk County, New York. Refer to [Figure 1](#) for a site location map.

Project Background and Site Description

The Circuitron Corporation Site (Site) Pilot Source Area Treatment System (PSTS) consists of a single integrated groundwater circulation well with an in-well vapor stripping and soil vapor extraction (GCW/IVS/SVE) system. The system was placed into operation by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) in March 2008 to address moderate levels of residual contamination (chlorinated solvents) within soil and groundwater in the southwest corner of the Site. The GCW/IVS/SVE system was operated and maintained by the USEPA through June 2011 when site management responsibilities were transferred to the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (NYSDEC) consistent with Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act (CERCLA) requirements. Site management activities are now performed by the NYSDEC with funds allocated under the New York State Superfund Program. A copy of the Site Transfer Agreement is provided in [Appendix A](#). Under D&B's State Superfund Contract with the NYSDEC, D&B has been authorized to perform site management activities at the Site.



During this reporting period the only activities occurring at the Site were on-site and off-site groundwater sampling. Based upon the results of the June 2013 sampling event it was decided by the NYSDEC and D&B that the entire PSTS system would be taken off-line to further evaluate the possibility of contaminant rebound in groundwater. As such, monitoring and reporting activities are limited this monitoring period.

Pilot Source Area Treatment System Overview

The PSTS consists of a single integrated groundwater circulation well with an in-well vapor stripping and soil vapor extraction system. The overall process, which is an extension of the air sparging technology, involves the creation of groundwater circulation cells around a well through which contaminated groundwater is cycled. As can be seen on [Figure 2](#), the groundwater circulation well installed at the Site consists of a single well with separate upper and lower screened intervals. Nitrogen or air is injected at the base of the well, decreasing the density of the groundwater, driving it upward and out of the upper screened zone into the vadose and/or saturated zones while simultaneously drawing groundwater in through the lower screened zone. In the process, groundwater contaminants are transferred from the dissolved phase to the



vapor phase by the rising air bubbles via air stripping. Once discharged, the air-stripped groundwater flows downward, eventually reaching the lower portion of the saturated zone where it is cycled back through the well, replacing the water that rose resulting from the density gradient. This process creates a circulation pattern or cell that allows continuous cycling of groundwater through the well and air stripping process.

The portion of the well screen above the saturated zone is utilized by the SVE system to extract contaminants stripped from the groundwater. Extracted vapor is directed through a moisture “knockout” drum to remove any entrained water/moisture. Extracted soil vapor is subsequently processed through a series of vapor phase carbon adsorption vessels to remove targeted contaminants prior to being discharged to the atmosphere. It should be noted, however, that the process piping was reconfigured in August 2011 to bypass the vapor phase carbon adsorption vessels per the direction of the NYSDEC based on historic low contaminant concentrations detected in extracted soil vapor.

All treatment system components are located on-site within a mobile trailer. The treatment system is equipped with instrumentation and controls to allow for automated start-up and operation, as well as an autodial remote notification system. Refer to [Figure 3](#) for a schematic of the PSTS. Refer to [Figure 4](#) for a Process and Instrumentation Diagram of the PSTS.

The entire PSTS was not operational during this reporting period in an effort to evaluate possible groundwater contaminant rebound on-site.

Regulatory Requirements/Cleanup Goals

In line with the objectives and requirements of the Operable Unit 1 (OU-1) Record of Decision (ROD) and Operable Unit 2 (OU-2) ROD included in [Appendix B](#), the PSTS was constructed and put into routine operation focusing on the following goals:

OU-1 ROD

- Reduce the concentrations of contaminants in Site soil and sediment to levels which are protective of human health and the environment; and,
- Prevent further deterioration of the area groundwater.

OU-2 ROD

- Prevent potential future ingestion of site-related contaminated groundwater;
- Restore the quality of the groundwater contaminated from the site-related activities to levels consistent with the Federal and State drinking water and groundwater quality standards; and,
- Mitigate the off-site migration of the site-related contaminated groundwater.

System Performance Summary

Since the PSTS system was off-line during this reporting period, a system performance evaluation was not undertaken. Review and evaluation of system performance will resume in future reports, as needed, based on the operational status of the PSTS system and the results of the groundwater sampling.

System Runtime/Downtime Summary

The total elapsed time for this reporting period was 4,416 hours (August 1, 2013 through January 31, 2014). During this time the entire system was shut down, therefore maintenance activities were not conducted. If the existing system should be restarted then an evaluation of runtime/downtime will be performed.



If the system is brought back on-line a tentative schedule for the performance of routine system maintenance for the next reporting period is presented below.

Major System Component	Manufacturer	Model Number	Maintenance Frequency	Subsequent Reporting Periods ⁽¹⁾					
				Feb-14	Mar-14	Apr-14	May-14	Jun-14	Jul-14
Air Sparge Compressor	Quincy Compressor	QR-25® Series, Model F325	Bi-Monthly	⌚		⌚		⌚	
High Temperature Refrigerated Dryer	Parker	ZDHHT15-100 (60Hz)	Every Four Months	⌚				⌚	
Nitrogen Generator	O2N2 SITE Gas Systems	NM Model	Quarterly	⌚			⌚		
Vacuum Blower	Ametek	EN909BG72WL	Bi-Monthly	⌚		⌚		⌚	
Vapor Phase Carbon Adsorption Vessels	Carbtrol Corporation	G-3	As Needed						

Notes:

1. The following represents tentative schedules for performance of routine maintenance of major system components.

Vapor Phase Discharge Summary

Vapor-phase discharge samples were not collected this reporting period due to the system being shut-down. Review and evaluation of sample results will resume in future reports, as needed, based on the operational status of the PSTS system and the results of the groundwater sampling.

Operational Cost Summary

Operational costs this reporting period include monthly utility, maintenance, and engineering charges. Capital construction costs and NYSDEC project management effort are not included in the evaluation.

The total operational cost incurred during the period from August 1, 2013 through January 31, 2014 was approximately \$21,990. Of this amount, approximately \$16,978 was related to engineering charges and approximately \$5,011 was related to utilities and laboratory charges. Operational costs were not evaluated relative to the pounds of VOCs removed since the PSTS was off-line this reporting period. Operational costs will be evaluated during future reporting periods to evaluate efficiency and effectiveness of the treatment system.

Groundwater Monitoring Summary

Eighteen "on-site" groundwater monitoring wells were sampled during this monitoring period on October 29, 2013 to determine groundwater quality at the Site and evaluate if rebounding was occurring. Groundwater samples were collected from six monitoring wells (GW-N15S, GW-N15M, GW-N15D, GW-N45S, GW-N45M, and GW-N45D) located upgradient of the GCW/IVS/SVE system, nine monitoring wells (MW-4S, MW-4D, GW-SW45S, GW-SW45M, GW-SW45D, GCW-SPY-S, GCW-SPY-D, GW-SE07S, and GW-SE15S) in the vicinity of the GCW/IVS/SVE system, and three monitoring wells (GW-SE30S, GW-SE30M, and GW-SE30D) downgradient of the GCW/IVS/SVE system. Groundwater samples were collected from shallow, intermediate, and deep zones. All samples were analyzed for VOCs. The location of the groundwater monitoring wells and GCW/IVS/SVE system are depicted on [Figure 5](#).

[Table 1](#) presents tabulated analytical results relative to SCGs. [Figure 5](#) summarizes exceedances of SCGs in groundwater by well location.



VOCs were detected in excess of SCGs in four of the eighteen “on-site” groundwater samples. The VOCs were detected in samples collected from the shallow and intermediate groundwater zones. 1,1,1-Trichloroethane was detected in excess of its SCG (5 µg/L) in three shallow wells (MW-4S, GW-SE07S, and GCW-SPY-S) in the vicinity of the GCW/IVS/SVE system at concentrations of 8.5 µg/L, 27 µg/L, and 10 µg/L, respectively. Chlorobenzene was detected in excess of its SCG (5 µg/L) in one deep well (GW-NSE30D) downgradient of the GCW/IVS/SVE system at a concentration of 5.8 µg/L.

Sixteen “off-site” groundwater monitoring wells were sampled this monitoring period on October 30, 2013 to determine groundwater quality in the vicinity of the Site. Groundwater samples were collected from one monitoring well (MW-1D) located upgradient of the Site, three monitoring wells (MW-3S, MW-3D, and MW-15) in the immediate vicinity of the Site, and twelve monitoring wells (MW-5D, MW-6S, MW-6D, MW-7S, MW-7D, MW-13, MW-14, MW-16, MW-17, MW-18, MW-19S and MW19D) downgradient of the Site. Groundwater samples were collected from shallow and deep zones. All samples were analyzed for VOCs. The groundwater monitoring well locations are depicted on [Figure 6](#) and [Figure 7](#).

VOCs were detected in excess of SCGs in two of the sixteen “off-site” groundwater samples. 1,1-Dichloroethene was detected in excess of its SCG (5 µg/L) in one downgradient deep well (MW-19D) at a concentration of 8.3 µg/L. 1,1,1-Trichloroethane was detected in excess of its SCG (5 µg/L) in one downgradient shallow well (MW-13) at a concentration of 88 µg/L. Trichloroethene was detected in excess of its SCG (5 µg/L) in one downgradient deep well (MW-19D) at a concentration of 12 µg/L. Chlorobenzene was detected in excess of its SCG (5 µg/L) in one shallow downgradient well (MW-13) at a concentration of 8.3 µg/L. [Table 2](#) presents tabulated analytical results relative to SCGs. [Figure 6](#) and [Figure 7](#) summarize exceedances of SCGs in groundwater by well location.

In an effort to evaluate if rebounding has occurred with the system off-line, the data from the June 2013 and October 2013 events were compared and is presented on [Table 3](#). Based on these results, all concentrations from the October 2013 sampling event were comparable or lower than the June 2013 sampling event which is indicative of no rebounding.

Data Validation Summary

Eighteen on-site and 16 off-site groundwater samples were collected this reporting period. All groundwater samples were analyzed by Contest Laboratories, Inc. in accordance with USEPA 8260 for VOCs.

The data packages were reviewed for contract and method compliance to determine the usability of the sample results. The findings of the review process are summarized below:

Groundwater Samples

1. October 29, 2013 and October 30, 2013
 - o No performance issues were noted.

No problems were found with the sample results and all results are deemed usable for environmental assessment purposes as qualified above.

All analytical data associated with the Site has been submitted to the NYSDEC in the required EQulS format and within 30 days of receipt of the data from the laboratory.



Findings, Conclusions and Recommendations

Findings:

- The only site management activities performed this reporting period were on-site and off-site groundwater sampling. Based upon the results of the June 2013 sampling event, it was decided by the NYSDEC and D&B that the PSTS system be taken off-line to evaluate the possibility of contaminant rebound in groundwater.
- An evaluation of system performance was not undertaken this reporting period since the PSTS system was shut-down. Instead, the sample results were evaluated to determine if any rebounding was occurring based on the system being shut down.
- Vapor-phase discharge samples were not collected this reporting period.
- Total operational cost during the period from August 2013 through January 2014 was approximately \$21,990 and included engineering, utility and subcontractor costs.
- Eighteen groundwater samples were collected and analyzed for VOCs in October 2013 from “on-site” wells. The VOCs, 1,1,1-trichloroethane and chlorobenzene were detected in excess of SCGs in at least one of the samples at concentrations ranging from 5.8 µg/L to 27 µg/L. The VOCs were detected in samples collected from the shallow and deep groundwater zones.
- Sixteen groundwater samples were collected and analyzed for VOCs in October 2013 from “off-site” wells. The VOCs 1,1-dichloroethene, 1,1,1-trichloroethane, trichloroethene, tetrachloroethene and chlorobenzene were detected in excess of SCGs in at least one sample at concentrations ranging from 5.1 µg/L to 88 µg/L.
- The wells which exhibited exceedances of SCGS were the same as those detected in the June 2013 event.

Conclusions:

- 1,1,1-Trichloroethane levels in on-site monitoring wells were slightly lower this reporting period than the previous reporting period.
- Chlorinated VOC levels in off-site monitoring wells were essentially the same as the previous reporting period.
- The entire system was off-line during this reporting period and based on a comparison of the sample results from June 2013 and October 2013 there is no evidence of rebounding.
- The PSTS system has been effective at reducing contaminant levels in groundwater on-site. However, contaminant levels remain elevated above site cleanup goals in on- and off-site groundwater. 1,1,1-Trichloroethane was detected at a concentration of 88 µg/L or one order of magnitude higher than the cleanup standard in an off-site downgradient monitoring well MW-13. Given the current data set, it is unclear if the PSTS system is capable of achieving OU-2 cleanup goals, which pertain to restoration of groundwater quality to levels consistent with federal and state drinking water and groundwater standards and prevention of off-site migration of groundwater contamination.

Recommendations:

- Based on the data from the June and October 2013 sampling events, rebounding of chlorinated VOC concentrations within both on-site and off-site wells was not evident. At this time, D&B recommends that the following actions be taken in support/consideration of the GCW/IVS/SVE system currently installed at the site:
 - ◊ Schedule more frequent sampling events of on-site and off-site wells.
 - ◊ Evaluate remedial alternatives to polish the downgradient groundwater in an effort to remove the residual contamination.
 - ◊ Evaluate decommissioning of the existing GCP/IVS/SVE system based on an evaluation of the groundwater results.



Reclassification/Delisting Evaluation

USEPA finalized the NPL Listing for the Site on March 31, 1989. Since that time, completion of the following project phases has occurred, as summarized below:


Project Phase	Completion Dates
Operable Unit 01A	
IRM Waste Removal	04/1989
Operable Unit 01	
Remedial Investigation	03/1991
Remedial Design	09/1994
Remedial Action	01/1997
Operable Unit 02	
Remedial Investigation	09/1994
Remedial Design	09/1996
Remedial Action	06/2000

Given the above, NYSDEC reclassified the Site pursuant to the requirements identified in 6 NYCRR §375-2.7 as a Class 4 Site on July 21, 2011 since the residual contamination does not appear to constitute a significant threat to public health or the environment based on remedial efforts performed to date. Site delisting is not feasible at this time, as all remediation and post-remediation activities have not been completed.

Report Certification:

I have personally examined and am familiar with the information submitted in the referenced Report. To the best of my knowledge and belief, and based upon my inquiry of those individuals immediately responsible for obtaining the information reported therein, I certify that the submitted information is true, accurate, and complete.

Project Director:


Richard M. Walka
Senior Vice President

3.24.14
Date

Project Manager:


Robbin Petrella
Associate

3/24/14
Date