DOCUMENTATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL INDICATOR DETERMINATION

RCRA Corrective Action Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRAInfo code (CA750) Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control

Facility Name:

Safety-Kleen Corporation, North Amityville Service Center

Facility Address:

60 Seabro Avenue, North Amityville, New York

Facility EPA ID #:

NYD000708198

Definition of Environmental Indicators (for the RCRA Corrective Action)

Environmental Indicators (EI) are measures being used by the RCRA Corrective Action program to go beyond programmatic activity measures (e.g., reports received and approved, etc.) to track changes in the quality of the environment. The two EI developed to-date indicate the quality of the environment in relation to current human exposures to contamination and the migration of contaminated groundwater. An EI for non-human (ecological) receptors is intended to be developed in the future.

Definition of "Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control" EI

A positive "Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control" EI determination ("YE" status code) indicates that the migration of "contaminated" groundwater has stabilized, and that monitoring will be conducted to confirm that contaminated groundwater remains within the original "area of contaminated groundwater" (for all groundwater "contamination" subject to RCRA corrective action at or from the identified facility (i.e., site-wide)).

Relationship of EI to Final Remedies

While Final remedies remain the long-term objective of the RCRA Corrective Action program the EI are near-term objectives which are currently being used as Program measures for the Government Performance and Results Act of 1993, GPRA). The "Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control" EI pertains ONLY to the physical migration (i.e., further spread) of contaminated ground water and contaminants within groundwater (e.g., non-aqueous phase liquids or NAPLs). Achieving this EI does not substitute for achieving other stabilization or final remedy requirements and expectations associated with sources of contamination and the need to restore, wherever practicable, contaminated groundwater to be suitable for its designated current and future uses.

Duration / Applicability of EI Determinations

EI Determinations status codes should remain in RCRAInfo national database ONLY as long as they remain true (i.e., RCRAInfo status codes must be changed when the regulatory authorities become aware of contrary information).

1.	Has all available relevant/significant information on known and reasonably suspected releases to the groundwater media, subject to RCRA Corrective Action (e.g., from Solid Waste Management		
		Regulated Units (RU), and Areas of Concern (AOC)), been considered in this El	
	determination?	The form all volumes direct and and are of the considered in this En	
	X	If yes - check here and continue with #2 below.	
	-	If no - re-evaluate existing data, or - 11 - 12 - 13 - 14 - 14 - 14 - 14 - 14 - 14 - 14	
	a state of a state of	If data is not available, skip to #8 and enter "IN" (more information needed) status code.	
		nadity of the parties manus, the it will incredeped in-dark indicate. To again it after	
BACK	GROUND		
	The state of the s	If for requirement (consequence provided to be developed to a familial and the	
	of virgin and w	tyville Service center is an operating facility involved in the collection and storage aste solvents. The facility contains above ground storage tanks, drum storage areas or facilities. During facility tank system upgrades in December 1995, impacted	
		overed to be present beneath the then existing concrete containment to the two waste mineral spirits tanks. Corrective action, consisting of limited soils excavation,	
	of the waste tan injection of oxy 2002, groundwa	As part of a RCRA Facility investigation, groundwater contamination in the vicinity also was identified. Subsequent to the RFI, passive remedial measures consisting of ygen releasing compounds into the subsurface were implemented. In February ater sampling results indicated a 100 fold increase on mineral spirits concentrations.	
	determined the	This event triggered additional investigation and remedial efforts. Investigations increase was related to spills at the facility. Additional investigations of the facility	
	additional area response, the fa	ducted as part of closure of the hazardous waste storage and handling areas. An of contamination was identified in the vicinity of the waste loading dock. In cility corrective action system was modified and expanded in the fall of 2009.	
		ontomicrants with a groundwater (c.g. notes an oas phase liquids of N. V. Los Aci	
2. 1110	Is groundwate protective "leve	r known or reasonably suspected to be "contaminated" above appropriately els" (i.e., applicable promulgated standards, as well as other appropriate standards, dance, or criteria) from releases subject to RCRA Corrective Action, anywhere at,	
		juvacija i gapšeability of El Peter napytans	
	endten spanken. 2	If yes - continue after identifying key contaminants, citing appropriate "levels," and referencing supporting documentation.	
	1 introduction 10	on a contract of the Amilia status codes must be changed when it reported on our	
		If no - skip to #8 and enter "YE" status code, after citing appropriate "levels," and referencing supporting documentation to demonstrate that groundwater is not known or reasonably suspected to be "contaminated."	

¹ "Contamination" and "contaminated" describes media containing contaminants (in any form, NAPL and/or dissolved, vapors, or solids, that are subject to RCRA) in concentrations in excess of appropriate "levels" (appropriate for the protection of the groundwater resource and its beneficial uses).

If unknown - skip to #8 and enter "IN" status code.
4. Does 'costante that product' ever discharge into surface water bodies?
Rationale: Rationale: Remarks of the house percentage of the summare see the
Mineral Spirits: 10 – 20 ppm
References:
Groundwater Monitoring Report, 4th quarter 2010, January 2011
3. Has the migration of contaminated groundwater stabilized (such that contaminated groundwater is expected to remain within "existing area of contaminated groundwater" as defined by the monitoring locations designated at the time of this determination)?
monitoring locations designated at the time of this determination)?
If yes - continue, after presenting or referencing the physical evidence (e.g., groundwater sampling/measurement/migration barrier data) and rationale why contaminated groundwater is expected to remain within the (horizontal or vertical) dimensions of the "existing area of groundwater contamination".
If no (contaminated groundwater is observed or expected to migrate beyond the designated locations defining the "existing area of groundwater contamination" ²) - skip to #8 and enter "NO" status code, after providing an explanation.
If unknown - skip to #8 and enter "IN" status code.
do unicimpeso batageta deixocario o reasonale suspented contentantes et
not to supply any " be not because the first open beginning to the mediator (2). Rationale:
Remedial action has been initiated for contaminated groundwater at the facility. The remediation has recently consisted of injection of ozone and oxygen releasing compounds into the subsurface. These efforts have reduced the magnitude and extent of contamination. The remedial system was recently expanded in an effort to accelerate clean-up of the groundwater and quarterly monitoring of groundwater continues to assess conditions.

References:

Groundwater Monitoring Report, 4th quarter 2010, January 2011

²"existing area of contaminated groundwater" is an area (with horizontal and vertical dimensions) that has been verifiably demonstrated to contain all relevant groundwater contamination for this determination, and is defined by designated (monitoring) locations proximate to the outer perimeter of "contamination" that can and will be sampled/tested in the future to physically verify that all "contaminated" groundwater remains within this area, and that the further migration of "contaminated" groundwater is not occurring. Reasonable allowances in the proximity of the monitoring locations are permissible to incorporate formal remedy decisions (i.e., including public participation) allowing a limited area for natural attenuation.

4. Does	"contaminated" groundwater discharge into surface water bodies?
	If yes - continue after identifying potentially affected surface water bodies.
	X If no - skip to #7 (and enter a "YE" status code in #8, if #7 = yes) after providing an explanation and/or referencing documentation supporting that groundwater "contamination" does not enter surface water bodies.
	If unknown - skip to #8 and enter "IN" status code.
Rationale:	
The	
I nere are no s	urface water drainageways in the vicinity of the facility.
References:	are thirting to always designated at the time of this determinates.)
Suburface Ass	sessment Report, Safety-Kleen Service Center, North Amityville NY, 10/3/03
(i.e., the state of the po	discharge of "contaminated" groundwater into surface water likely to be "insignificant" he maximum concentration ³ of each contaminant discharging into surface water is less than less their appropriate groundwater "level," and there are no other conditions (e.g., the nature, number, of discharging contaminants, or environmental setting), which significantly increase stential for unacceptable impacts to surface water, sediments, or eco-systems at these intrations)?
•	If yes - skip to #7 (and enter "YE" status code in #8 if #7 = yes), after
esd contract oxac i acab conditioner	documenting: 1) the maximum known or reasonably suspected concentration ³ of key contaminants discharged above their groundwater "level," the value of the appropriate "level(s)," and if there is evidence that the concentrations are increasing; and 2) provide a statement of professional judgement/explanation (or reference documentation) supporting that the discharge of groundwater contaminants into the surface water is not anticipated to have unacceptable impacts to the receiving surface water, sediments, or eco-system.
landitether our	If no - (the discharge of "contaminated" groundwater into surface water is potentially significant) - continue after documenting: 1) the maximum known or reasonably suspected concentration ³ of <u>each</u> contaminant discharged above its groundwater "level," the value of the appropriate "level(s)," and if there is evidence that the concentrations are increasing; and 2) for any contaminants discharging into surface water in concentrations ³ greater than 100 times their appropriate groundwater "levels," the estimated total amount (mass in kg/yr) of each of these contaminants that are being discharged (loaded) into the surface water body (at the time of the determination), and identify if there is evidence that

³As measured in groundwater prior to entry to the groundwater-surface water/sediment interaction (e.g., hyporheic) zone.

	the amount of discharging contaminants is increasing.	
	If unknown - enter "IN" status code in #8.	
Rationale:	_ II thiknown - chter inv status code in #0.	
D.C		
References:		
		Heler ca.
acceptable" (i	arge of "contaminated" groundwater into surface water be shown to be "cur i.e., not cause impacts to surface water, sediments or eco-systems that should natious until a final remedy decision can be made and implemented ⁴)?	
Thin of actions in the property of the contract of the contrac	If yes - continue after either: 1) identifying the Final Remedy decision incorporating these conditions, or other site-specific criteria (developed f protection of the site's surface water, sediments, and eco-systems), and resupporting documentation demonstrating that these criteria are not exceed the discharging groundwater; OR 2) providing or referencing an interim-assessment, appropriate to the perfor impact, that shows the discharge of groundwater contaminants into the water is (in the opinion of a trained specialists, including ecologist) adequates protective of receiving surface water, sediments, and eco-systems, until sewhen a full assessment and final remedy decision can be made. Factors as should be considered in the interim-assessment (where appropriate to held the impact associated with discharging groundwater) include: surface was size, flow, use/classification/habitats and contaminant loading limits, other sources of surface water/sediment contamination, surface water and sediment "levels," as well as any other factors, such as effects on ecological sediment "levels," as well as any other factors, such as effects on ecological Assessments), that the overseeing regulatory agency would deem appropriate making the EI determination.	eferencing ded by otential are surface uately uch time which pridentify ter body er ment er and cal Risk
ransol (consulate of honorous Mo	If no - (the discharge of "contaminated" groundwater can not be shown to "currently acceptable") - skip to #8 and enter "NO" status code, after documenting the currently unacceptable impacts to the surface water bod sediments, and/or eco-systems.	

⁴Note, because areas of inflowing groundwater can be critical habitats (e.g., nurseries or thermal refugia) for many species, appropriate specialist (e.g., ecologist) should be included in management decisions that could eliminate these areas by significantly altering or reversing groundwater flow pathways near surface water bodies.

⁵The understanding of the impacts of contaminated groundwater discharges into surface water bodies is a rapidly developing field and reviewers are encouraged to look to the latest guidance for the appropriate methods and scale of demonstration to be reasonably certain that discharges are not causing currently unacceptable impacts to the surface waters, sediments or eco-systems.

	If unknown - skip to 8 and enter "IN" status code.
	The property status of the status and the status of the st
Rationale:	
	1,28427 5
References:	
vimentage" oil or nive	tati the disclaringe of "destantinates" groundwater in a spring water be spe
necessary) be of the horizontal (groundwater?"	ter monitoring / measurement data (and surface water/sediment/ecological data, as collected in the future to verify that contaminated groundwater has remained within (or vertical, as necessary) dimensions of the "existing area of contaminated
steX: 1, and referencing me not exceeded by raise to the potential magas into the surface	If yes - continue after providing or citing documentation for planned activities or future sampling/measurement events. Specifically identify the well/measurement locations which will be tested in the future to verify the expectation (identified in #3) that groundwater contamination will not be migrating horizontally (or vertically, as necessary) beyond the "existing area of groundwater contamination.
	If no - enter "NO" status code in #8.
viction that of strange	If unknown - enter "IN" status code in #8.
Rationale:	
tare refew mobilities o	dirimon qui ba se standi e of antenderimanto della constitue della constitue
sampled on a quarterly	lity permit and corrective action program, groundwater monitoring wells are basis. In addition, a groundwater remedial system has been installed to address
Under Control	ropriate RCRAInfo status codes for the Migration of Contaminated Groundwater EI (event code CA750), and obtain Supervisor (or appropriate Manager) signature EI determination below (attach appropriate supporting documentation as well as a lity).
X Ongoi en common essere de la constante de l	YE - Yes, "Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control" has been verified. Based on a review of the information contained in this EI determination, it has been determined that the "Migration of Contaminated Groundwater" is "Under Control" at Safety-Kleen Service Center EPA ID# NYD000708198, Located at 60 Seabro Avenue, North

Amityville New York Specifically, this determination indicates that the migration of known or reasonably suspected to be "contaminated" groundwater is under control, and that monitoring will be conducted, as necessary, to confirm that contaminated groundwater remains within the

"existing area of contaminated groundwater". This determination re-evaluated when the Agency becomes aware of significant charthe facility.				
	NO - Unacceptable migration of contaminate expected.	of contaminated groundwater is observed o		
_ =	IN - More information is needed to make a de	etermination.		
Completed by:	Kent D. Johnson	Date: 3-28-2011		
	Engineering Geologist 2			
Supervisor:		Date: 3-29-2011		
	Denise Radtke			
	Engineering Geologist 3			
Director:	Mulfor	Date: 3-29-2011		
	Michael Cruden, P.E Director			
	Remedial Bureau E			
	Division of Environmental Remediation			
Location	ons where References may be found:			
	ork State Department of Environmental Conse	ervation, Central Office		
	on of Environmental Remediation			
	roadway 12 th Floor			
Albany	y, New York 12233-7252			

Contact, telephone number and e-mail:

Mr. Kent Johnson (518) 402-9813

kdjohnso@gw.dec.state.ny.us

ignation of Contratantion Groundwiter Faster Control
Environmental In Strater (EI) RCRAInforcede (CA756)

Fage 7

"existing area of contaminated procadwales". This decommetion will op-	
NO - I seconable magnetion of contaminated ground water is abserved	
. Par equiv	
I's - More observation is receized to make a determination	
Kert D. John Co. J. 45 April 2011 Date 3-28-3011	
Straightenin die deglegen 2	
Date: 3-29-2011,	
Derive audie	
E ragalesco y inseriand	
Date 3:29-2011	
Wichard Course P.E Director	
Remediat Standard F. Dynston of Ferrand Remediation	
Talographic Total State (Control of Control	
is kinge Reference may no found:	
all State Department of Environmental Conservation, Central Office	
col Unversammental Remarkation	
	(213) 40







