Second Half 2015
Semi-Annual Groundwater Monitoring Report
Patchogue Former MGP Site
NYSDEC Site No. 1-52-182
Village of Patchogue, Suffolk County, New York

Prepared for National Grid USA Hicksville, New York March 2016

Second Half 2016 Semi-Annual Groundwater Monitoring Report Patchogue Former MGP Site NYSDEC Site No. 1-52-182 Village of Patchogue, Suffolk County, New York

Prepared for National Grid USA 175 East Old Country Road Hicksville, New York 11801

March 2016

Project Number: 142128.720.005



Brown and Caldwell Associates 2 Park Way, Suite 2A Upper Saddle River, New Jersey 07458

Table of Contents

Αp	pendices	
	st of Tables	
	st of Figures	
	Introduction	
	1.1 Background	
	Scope of Work	
3.	Results and Findings	3-1
	3.1 Water Level Data	3-1
	3.2 NAPL Gauging	3-1
	3.3 Groundwater Quality Data	
	Summary and Conclusions	
5.	References	5-1

Appendices

Appendix A	Field Sampling Sheets
Appendix B	Laboratory Data Report (CD-ROM)
Appendix C	Data Usability Summary Report (DUSR
Appendix D	Electronic Data Deliverable (CD-ROM)



List of Tables

- Table 1. Water Elevation and NAPL Monitoring Data
- Table 2. Groundwater Analysis Results
- Table 3. Summary of Historical BTEX Concentrations
- Table 4. Summary of Historical PAH Concentrations

List of Figures

Figure 1. Water Table Elevation Contour Map - December 21, 2015



Section 1

Introduction

This Semi-Annual Groundwater Monitoring Report documents the implementation and summarizes the results of the groundwater monitoring activities conducted during the second half of 2015 at the Patchogue Former Manufactured Gas Plant (MGP) Site (hereinafter referred to as the "Site"). The groundwater monitoring activities included the performance of the water level measurements, non-aqueous phase liquid (NAPL) gauging and groundwater sampling activities.

The groundwater monitoring event and the preparation of this report are part of the routine groundwater monitoring program being conducted at the Site. This report has been prepared for submittal to the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (NYSDEC) and includes the following:

- Description of the scope of the field activities, methods and procedures;
- Table summarizing the results of the water level measurements and the gauging of the monitoring wells and piezometers for the presence of NAPL (see Table 1);
- Table summarizing the analytical results for the groundwater samples obtained during the second half 2015 monitoring event including a comparison to the applicable groundwater quality criteria (see Table 2);
- Comparison of data from this monitoring period to data from historical monitoring events (Tables 3 and 4);
- Discussion of the results and findings from the groundwater monitoring data;
- A water table elevation contour map depicting the generalized direction of groundwater flow based on groundwater elevation data obtained from monitoring wells and piezometers, as well as surface water elevation data obtained from staff gauges installed in the Patchogue River (Figure 1);
- Field Sampling Data Sheets (Appendix A);
- Laboratory Data Report (Appendix B);
- Data Usability Summary Report (Appendix C); and
- Electronic Data Deliverable (Appendix D).

1.1 Background

Groundwater monitoring events have been conducted at the Site since March 2008 including two monitoring events conducted as part of the Remedial Investigation (RI) in March 2008 and July 2008. The groundwater monitoring event conducted in December 2015 is the subject of this report. The results of previous monitoring events have had fairly consistent concentrations and areal distribution of constituents in groundwater. Prior to the March 2010 groundwater monitoring event, site-related dissolved phase constituents [e.g., benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, xylenes (BTEX) and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs)] were detected at concentrations above the Class GA groundwater quality criteria [i.e., standards from the 6 NYCRR Part 703 Standards and guidance values from the Division of Water Technical and Operational Guidance Series (TOGS) 1.1.1] in a limited area near the center of the Site. These elevated concentrations did not extend downgradient to the wells closer to the Patchogue River. However, during the March 2010 and September 2010 monitoring events, detections of BTEX and PAH compounds were more widely distributed than during previous events. It was surmised that this change was the result of a temporary dewatering operation at a construction project conducted by



the Village of Patchogue at their wastewater treatment facility (WWTF) directly across the river from the Site. Based on the understanding of Site conditions, it was anticipated that when the dewatering operations had ceased, concentrations in groundwater would re-equilibrate with steady-state (i.e., predewatering) groundwater flow conditions, and eventually return to levels similar to those prior to dewatering. To confirm this, National Grid increased the frequency of the groundwater monitoring from semi-annually to quarterly. The subsequent six quarterly monitoring events documented the return of groundwater flow and groundwater quality to conditions consistent with those prior to the dewatering operations.

Based on this finding, in a May 24, 2012 email, National Grid proposed to the NYSDEC that the frequency of groundwater sampling and analysis return to a semi-annual basis with the schedule for water level monitoring and NAPL gauging remaining on a quarterly basis. NYSDEC agreed with this proposal. Collection of water level data remained on a quarterly schedule to provide additional water level data from the piezometers that had been installed in the first half of 2012 in support of the Pre-Remedial Design Investigation. Subsequently, in an October 8, 2013 letter to the NYSDEC, National Grid proposed that that the frequency of all components of the groundwater monitoring program (i.e., water level measurements, NAPL gauging and groundwater sampling) be returned to the semi-annual schedule. This proposal was made because the data from the water level measurements and NAPL gauging, including data from the newer piezometers, continued to indicate very consistent findings from quarter to quarter and confirmed the understanding of groundwater flow conditions and NAPL occurrence at the Site. The NYSDEC concurred with this proposal in a December 9, 2013 email.



Section 2

Scope of Work

Field activities for the second half 2015 groundwater monitoring were conducted by Brown and Caldwell Associates (BC) on December 21 and 22, 2015. The activities conducted during this monitoring event are described below. Locations of the monitoring wells, piezometers and staff gauges referenced below are depicted on Figure 1.

Prior to groundwater sampling, water level measurements and NAPL gauging was performed in the piezometers and monitoring wells associated with the Site. The level of the Patchogue River was measured at two staff gauges. Water level measurements and NAPL gauging were made using an electronic oil/water interface probe, and measured to the nearest 0.01 foot. Monitoring wells MW-8S and MW-8D, located on the adjacent off-site property to the east, were not accessible during this monitoring event. MW-8S and MW-8D were blocked by a large roll-off container placed by the property owner. At the locations where NAPL was detected using the oil/water interface probe, a 3-foot long threaded rod attached to a nylon mason line was lowered into the monitoring well or piezometer to confirm the presence of the NAPL. The threaded rod was lowered to the bottom of the monitoring well to measure the approximate thickness of the NAPL accumulation.

Groundwater sampling was conducted at ten monitoring wells following the water level and NAPL gauging activities. Monitoring wells MW-5 and MW-6 were not sampled during this monitoring period due to the presence of NAPL in these wells. The presence of NAPL in these wells is consistent with observations during previous gauging activities. The standard protocol is that if NAPL is observed in a well during gauging or sampling, groundwater samples are not submitted for laboratory analyses. Groundwater sampling was conducted using low flow purging and sampling techniques in accordance with the United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) protocol (USEPA, July 1996, Revised January 2010). Samples were submitted to Aqua Pro-Tech Laboratories (APL) located in Fairfield, New Jersey. APL is certified (Certification No. 11634) through the New York State Department of Health (NYSDOH) Environmental Laboratory Approval Program (ELAP).

The groundwater samples were analyzed for: BTEX compounds and methyl tertiary-butyl ether (MTBE) using USEPA SW-846 Method 8260B; and PAHs using USEPA SW-846 Method 8270C. The groundwater samples were also analyzed in the field for pH, specific conductivity, temperature, turbidity, oxidation-reduction potential (ORP), and dissolved oxygen (see Appendix A for field data sheets).

The laboratory report from APL is provided in Appendix B. Laboratory analytical data were provided to BC in electronic form by APL and have been incorporated into the environmental database maintained by BC for the Site.

In addition to the samples described above, quality assurance/quality control (QA/QC) samples were also collected. The QA/QC samples included: trip blanks (one per cooler containing samples for BTEX and MTBE analysis), a field duplicate, and an equipment blank. Also, extra sample volume was collected from one location to provide for matrix spike/matrix spike duplicate (MS/MSD) analysis. The trip blanks were analyzed for BTEX and MTBE only. The other QA/QC samples were analyzed for BTEX, MTBE, and PAHs.



Laboratory results for the groundwater sample analyses were forwarded to a data validator, Environmental Data Services, Inc. of Williamsburg, Virginia, for review and preparation of a Data Usability Summary Report (DUSR). The DUSR presents a summary of data usability including a discussion of qualified data. The DUSR is provided as Appendix C. As described in the DUSR, the data were considered by the validator to be valid and usable. An Electronic Data Deliverable (EDD) of the validated analytical data, prepared in accordance with NYSDEC requirements, is provided in Appendix D.



Section 3

Results and Findings

3.1 Water Level Data

Table 1 provides the water level data and calculated water elevations from the December 21, 2015 measurements. Figure 1 illustrates the elevation contours of the water table based on these data. The contours were developed using water level elevation data from the shallow monitoring wells and shallow piezometers at the Site (i.e., those with screens that straddle, or are just below, the water table) and the two surface water staff gauges in the Patchogue River. The water level elevations used for contouring are representative of water table elevations at the Site. The groundwater elevation (hydraulic head) values for the wells and piezometers screened in deeper intervals are also depicted for reference on Figure 1. The water table is relatively shallow and is typically positioned in the fill that overlies the native alluvial deposits and outwash deposits. The water table contours indicate that lateral groundwater flow is from northwest to southeast across the Site toward the Patchogue River. Comparisons of the groundwater elevations in the monitoring wells to the river elevation, as measured at staff gauge locations, demonstrate that groundwater elevations are higher than the river level indicating that groundwater is discharging to the Patchogue River. The upward vertical hydraulic gradient measured at well pairs adjacent to the river (well pairs MW-4S and MW-4D, and MW-9S and MW-9D) is indicative of a discharge area and provides further support to the conclusion that groundwater is discharging to the Patchogue River. The general configuration of the water table contours, developed using the December 21, 2015 data, and the interpreted groundwater flow patterns are consistent with those from previous rounds of water level measurements with one exception. The exception occurred during the March 2010 sampling event when the large-scale dewatering activities were being conducted on the WWTF site located east of the Site on the opposite side of the river (see discussion in Section 1.1). Operation of this dewatering system temporarily altered groundwater flow patterns and levels at the Site (see "Groundwater Monitoring Report, Second Semiannual 2010 Sampling Event" [GEI, November 2010]).

3.2 NAPL Gauging

Table 1 presents the results of the NAPL gauging conducted in the monitoring wells and piezometers associated with the Site during the December 2015 groundwater monitoring event. NAPL was identified in the following wells during the gauging activities:

- MW-5: NAPL with moth ball-like odor on the lower 0.45 feet of the threaded rod.
- MW-6: Sporadic NAPL blebs on the 3-foot threaded rod.

NAPL had been observed in MW-5 and MW-6 in previous gauging events.

3.3 Groundwater Quality Data

Table 2 provides the results of the laboratory analyses of the groundwater samples collected during the December 2015 monitoring event and a comparison of the data to the New York State Class GA groundwater quality criteria. Comparisons of total BTEX and total PAH concentrations from this sampling event to previous sampling events are provided as Tables 3 and 4, respectively.

As previously stated, NAPL was identified in two of the 12 monitoring wells (MW-5 and MW-6) associated with the Site. These two wells are located in the central part of the Site in the area of former MGP



operations (refer to Figure 1). As discussed in Section 2, because they contained NAPL, groundwater samples were not collected from MW-5 and MW-6. Groundwater samples were collected from the remaining ten monitoring wells and submitted to the laboratory for analysis.

In general, the constituent concentrations in groundwater samples collected during the December 2015 monitoring event were consistent with those measured during previous monitoring events. BTEX compounds, MTBE and PAH compounds were either not detected or were detected at concentrations below the Class GA groundwater quality criteria at all ten monitoring wells that sampled during the December 2015 monitoring event.



Section 4

Summary and Conclusions

As noted in previous monitoring events, NAPL was identified in two of the monitoring wells, MW-5 and MW-6 during the December 2015 event. MW-5 and MW-6 are located in the center of the Site in the area of former MGP operations where NAPL has been identified in the soil.

BTEX compounds, MTBE and PAH compounds were either not detected or were detected at concentrations below the Class GA groundwater quality criteria at all ten monitoring wells that sampled during the December 2015 monitoring event. Monitoring will continue in order to confirm these conditions.



Section 5

References

- Brown and Caldwell Associates, December 2012, Construction Completion Report Utility Corridor Work Plan Implementation, Patchogue Former MGP Site, Village of Patchogue, Suffolk County, New York, Site ID No. 1-52-182.
- GEI, November 2010. Groundwater Monitoring Report, Second Semiannual 2010 Sampling Event, Patchogue Former MGP Site, Town of Brookhaven, Suffolk County, Long Island, New York, Site ID No. 1-52-182.
- USEPA, July 1996; Revised January 2010. Low-Stress (low flow) Purging and Sampling Procedure for the Collection of Groundwater Samples from Monitoring Wells.



Second Half 2015 - Semi-Annua	l Groundwater Monitorir	econd Half 2015 – Semi-Annual Groundwater Monitoring Report				

Tables



TABLE 1 WATER ELEVATIONS AND NAPL MONITORING DATA **SECOND HALF 2015** PATCHOGUE FORMER MGP SITE PATCHOGUE, NEW YORK

			12/21	<u>/2015</u>		
Well ID	Top of Casing Elevation ^(a)	Depth to Water	Water Elevation	Depth to NAPL	Total Depth of Well	Remarks
	(ft., NAVD)	(ft., BTOC)	(ft., NAVD)	(ft., BTOC)	(ft., BGS)	
MW-1	11.47	5.82	5.65	NI	15.19	
MW-3	5.56	2.22	3.34	NI	10.4	
MW-4S	7.97	4.98	2.99	NI	12.23	
MW-4D	7.79	4.75	3.04	NI	26.65	
MW-5	8.66	4.58	4.08	10.05	10.5	NAPL on lower 0.45' of threaded rod.
MW-6	5.03	0.44	4.59	NI	18.4	Sporadic NAPL blebs on 3-foot threaded rod. Used threaded rod due to historical NAPL Detections at this well.
MW-7S	8.45	4.53	3.92	NI	12.46	
MW-7D	8.31	4.33	3.98	NI	28.2	
MW-8S	5.08					Access to monitoring well blocked by roll-off container.
MW-8D	4.98					Access to monitoring well blocked by roll-off container.
MW-9S	4.47	1.42	3.05	NI	10.22	
MW-9D	4.66	1.37	3.29	NI	22.9	
SG-1	5.23	3.71	1.52	NI		
SG-2	5.17	3.41	1.76	NI		
PZ-1A	8.05	3.63	4.42	NI	10.03	
PZ-1B	8.91	4.54	4.37	NI	22.49	
PZ-2A	8.77	4.41	4.36	NI	8.05	
PZ-2B	8.29	3.88	4.41	NI	18	
PZ-3A	8.78	4.83	3.95	3.65	8.86	Slight moth ball-like odor on oil/water interface probe. Black silt with sheen on lower 0.3° of threaded rod.
PZ-3B	8.9	5.13	3.77	NI	21.21	Slight fuel-like odor on oil/water interface probe.
PZ-4A	4.79	1.64	3.15	NI	4.88	

Notes: NAVD - North American Vertical Datum

BGS - Below Ground Surface

BTOC - Below Top of Casing

NAPL - Non-aqueous phase liquid

NI - NAPL Not Indicated by Oil/Water Interface Probe

(a) - Monitoring wells resurveyed on 7/3/12 following utility corridor construction activities. See "Construction Completion Report, Utility Corridor Work Plan Implementation (Brown and Caldwell, December 2012)". Above ground casing at MW-5 was lowered during utility corridor construction activities and was resurveyed in September 2015.

(--) - Not Measured

TABLE 2
GROUNDWATER ANALYSIS RESULTS
PATCHOGUE FORMER MGP SITE
PATCHOGUE, NEW YORK

		ndwater Criteria			8004/4	1414 0	10V 40	101/ 45	NAVA 70
0	TOGS 1.1.1	NYS Part 703		Loc ID	MW-1	MW-3	MW-4S	MW-4D	MW-7S
Constituent	Guidance	Standard	Units	Date	12/21/2015	12/21/2015	12/21/2015	12/21/2015	12/21/2015
Volatile Organic Compounds	(VUCS)								
BTEX					0.400.11	0.400.11	0.400.11	0.400.11	0.540.1
Benzene	NE	1	µg/L		0.129 U	0.129 U	0.129 U	0.129 U	0.549 J
Ethylbenzene	NE	5	μg/L		0.244 U				
m&p-Xylenes	NE	5	µg/L		0.461 U				
o-Xylene	NE	5	µg/L		0.244 U				
Toluene	NE	5	µg/L		0.205 U				
Xylenes, Total	NE	NE	µg/L		0.705 U				
Total BTEX	NE	NE	µg/L		ND	ND	ND	ND	0.549
Other VOCs									
Methyl Tertiary Butyl Ether	10	NE	µg/L		0.596 U	0.596 U	0.596 U	0.596 U	0.596 ไ
Tert-Butyl alcohol					8.17 U				
Semi-Volatile Organic Comp	ounds (SVOCs)								
Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocar	rbons (PAHs)								
Acenaphthene	20	NE	µg/L		0.652 U	0.652 U	0.626 U	0.639 U	0.632 L
Acenaphthylene	NE	NE	µg/L		0.288 U	0.288 U	0.277 U	0.282 U	0.279 U
Anthracene	50	NE	µg/L		0.339 U	0.339 U	0.326 U	0.332 U	0.329 L
Benzo(a)anthracene	0.002	NE	µg/L		0.502 U	0.502 U	0.482 U	0.492 U	0.487 L
Benzo(a)pyrene	NE	0	µg/L		0.373 U	0.373 U	0.358 U	0.366 U	0.362 L
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	0.002	NE	µg/L		0.45 U	0.45 U	0.432 U	0.441 U	0.436 L
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	NE	NE	µg/L		0.527 U	0.527 U	0.505 U	0.516 U	0.51 L
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	0.002	NE	μg/L		0.461 U	0.461 U	0.442 U	0.451 U	0.446 L
Chrysene	0.002	NE	μg/L		0.459 U	0.459 U	0.44 U	0.449 U	0.444 L
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	NE	NE	μg/L		0.427 U	0.427 U	0.409 U	0.418 U	0.413 L
Fluoranthene	50	NE	μg/L		0.32 U	0.32 U	0.307 U	0.314 U	0.31 เ
Fluorene	50	NE	μg/L		0.19 U	0.19 U	0.183 U	0.186 U	0.185 เ
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	0.002	NE	μg/L		0.456 U	0.456 U	0.438 U	0.447 U	0.442 L



TABLE 2 GROUNDWATER ANALYSIS RESULTS PATCHOGUE FORMER MGP SITE PATCHOGUE, NEW YORK

	Class GA Grou	ndwater Criteria							
	TOGS 1.1.1	NYS Part 703		Loc ID	MW-1	MW-3	MW-4S	MW-4D	MW-7S
Constituent	Guidance	Standard	Units	Date	12/21/2015	12/21/2015	12/21/2015	12/21/2015	12/21/2015
Naphthalene	10	NE	µg/L		0.577 U	0.577 U	0.553 U	0.565 U	0.935 J
Phenanthrene	50	NE	µg/L		0.491 U	0.491 U	0.471 U	0.481 U	0.476 U
Pyrene	50	NE	µg/L		0.395 U	0.395 U	0.379 U	0.386 U	0.382 U
Total PAHs	NE	NE	µg/L		ND	ND	ND	ND	0.935

TABLE 2
GROUNDWATER ANALYSIS RESULTS
PATCHOGUE FORMER MGP SITE
PATCHOGUE, NEW YORK

		ndwater Criteria								
	TOGS 1.1.1	NYS Part 703		Loc ID	MW-7D	MW-8S	MW-8S DUP	MW-8D	MW-9S	MW-9D
Constituent	Guidance	Standard	Units	Date	12/21/2015	12/22/2015	12/22/2015	12/22/2015	12/22/2015	6/22/2015
Volatile Organic Compounds	(VOCs)									
BTEX										
Benzene	NE	1	µg/L		0.129 U	0.129 U				
Ethylbenzene	NE	5	µg/L		0.244 U	0.244 U				
m&p-Xylenes	NE	5	µg/L		0.461 U	0.461 U				
o-Xylene	NE	5	µg/L		0.244 U	0.244 U				
Toluene	NE	5	µg/L		0.205 U	0.205 U				
Xylenes, Total	NE	NE	µg/L		0.705 U	0.705 U				
Total BTEX	NE	NE	μg/L		ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Other VOCs										
Methyl Tertiary Butyl Ether	10	NE	μg/L		0.596 U	0.596 เ				
Tert-Butyl alcohol					8.17 U	8.17 U				
Semi-Volatile Organic Comp	ounds (SVOCs)									
Polycyclic Aromatic Hydroca	rbons (PAHs)									
Acenaphthene	20	NE	μg/L		0.613 U	0.639 U	0.613 U	0.613 U	2.6	0.632 U
Acenaphthylene	NE	NE	μg/L		0.271 U	0.282 U	0.271 U	0.271 U	0.311 U	0.279 U
Anthracene	50	NE	µg/L		0.319 U	0.332 U	0.319 U	0.319 U	0.367 U	0.329 U
Benzo(a)anthracene	0.002	NE	μg/L		0.472 U	0.492 U	0.472 U	0.472 U	0.543 U	0.487 U
Benzo(a)pyrene	NE	0	μg/L		0.351 U	0.366 U	0.351 U	0.351 U	0.403 U	0.362 U
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	0.002	NE	μg/L		0.423 U	0.441 U	0.423 U	0.423 U	0.486 U	0.436 U
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	NE	NE	μg/L		0.495 U	0.516 U	0.495 U	0.495 U	0.569 U	0.51 U
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	0.002	NE	μg/L		0.433 U	0.451 U	0.433 U	0.433 U	0.498 U	0.446 U
Chrysene	0.002	NE	μg/L		0.431 U	0.449 U	0.431 U	0.431 U	0.495 U	0.444 U
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	NE	NE	μg/L		0.401 U	0.418 U	0.401 U	0.401 U	0.461 U	0.413 U
Fluoranthene	50	NE	μg/L		0.301 U	0.314 U	0.301 U	0.301 U	0.74 J	0.31 U
Fluorene	50	NE	μg/L		0.179 U	0.186 U	0.179 U	0.179 U	0.206 U	0.185 U
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	0.002	NE	μg/L		0.429 U	0.447 U	0.429 U	0.429 U	0.493 U	0.442 U



TABLE 2 GROUNDWATER ANALYSIS RESULTS PATCHOGUE FORMER MGP SITE PATCHOGUE, NEW YORK

	Class GA Grou	ndwater Criteria								
	TOGS 1.1.1	NYS Part 703		Loc ID	MW-7D	MW-8S	MW-8S DUP	MW-8D	MW-9S	MW-9D
Constituent	Guidance	Standard	Units	Date	12/21/2015	12/22/2015	12/22/2015	12/22/2015	12/22/2015	6/22/2015
Naphthalene	10	NE	μg/L		0.542 U	0.565 U	0.542 U	0.542 U	0.623 U	0.559 U
Phenanthrene	50	NE	μg/L		0.462 U	0.481 U	0.462 U	0.462 U	0.531 U	0.476 U
Pyrene	50	NE	μg/L		0.371 U	0.386 U	0.371 U	0.371 U	0.584 J	0.382 U
Total PAHs	NE	NE	µg/L		ND	ND	ND	ND	3.924	ND

Notes

Boxed concentrations are above New York State Class GA Groundwater Quality Criteria (Standards or Guidance values).

J - Estimated concentration. The result is below the practical quantitation limit but above the method detection limit.

U - The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected.

μg/L - micrograms per liter

ND - Not detected.

NE - Not established.

NS- Not sampled

TABLE 3 SUMMARY OF HISTORICAL BTEX CONCENTRATIONS PATCHOGUE FORMER MGP SITE PATCHOGUE, NEW YORK

							Total BTEX C	Concentratio	ons (µg/L) ^{(a})					
Sampling Date		Monitoring Well													
	MW-1	MW-2S	MW-2D	MW-3	MW-4S	MW-4D	MW-5	MW-6	MW-7S	MW-7D	MW-8S	MW-8D	MW-9S	MW-9D	PZ-4A
Mar-08	0	0	0	0	3.4	0	1016	57	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NI
Jul-08	NS	0	0	0	0	0	678	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	NI
Mar-09	0	0	0	0	0	0	975	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	NI
Sep-09	0	0	0	0	0	0	1257	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	NI
Mar-10	0	0	0	0	0	0	637	2	0	9	0	0	0	0	NI
Sep-10	0	0	0	0	0	0	NS	0	0	0	0	0	27	0	NI
Jan-11	1.7	0	0	0	0	0	NS	NS	0	0	0	0	1	0	NI
Apr-11	0	0	0	0	0	0	NS	NS	0	0	0	0	0	0	NI
Aug-11	0	0	0	0	0	0	NS	NS	0	0	0	0	0	0	NI
Nov-11	0	0	0	0	0	0	NS	NS	0	0	0	0	0	0	NI
Feb-12	0	0	0	0	0	0	NS	NS	0	0	0	0	0	0	NI
May-12	0	0	0	0	0	0	NS	NS	0	0	0	0	0	0	NI
Nov-12	0	^(b)	^(a)	0	12	0	NS	NS	1	0	0	0	NS	NS	NI
Jun-13	0	^(b)	^(b)	0	0.8	0	NS	NS	0.7	0	0	0	0	NS	NI
Dec-13	0	^(b)	^(b)	NS	0	0	NS	NS	0.8	0	0	0	NS	NS	NI
Jun-14	0	^(b)	^(b)	0	0	0	NS	NS	0.8	0	0	0	NS	NS	0
Dec-14	0	^(b)	^(b)	0	0	0	NS	NS	1.3	0	0	0	0	0	NS
Jun-15	0	^(b)	^(b)	0	0	0	NS	NS	0	0	0	0	0	0	NS
Dec-15	0	^(b)	^(b)	0	0	0	NS	NS	0.549	0	0	0	0	0	NS
Minimum	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	637.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Maximum	1.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	12.0	0.0	1257.0	57.3	1.3	9.0	0.0	0.0	27.0	0.0	0.0
Mean	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.9	0.0	912.6	10.1	0.3	0.6	0.0	0.0	1.9	0.0	0.0

Notes

BTEX - Benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene and xylene isomers

µg/L - micrograms per liter

NS - Not sampled.

NI - Piezometer not installed at time of sampling.

(a) - To calculate Total BTEX concentration, a value of zero is used for non-detect values.

 $(b) - Monitoring \ well \ was \ decommissioned \ on \ 6/4/12 \ as \ part \ of \ the \ Utility \ Corridor \ Construction \ activities. \ See \ "Construction \ Completion \ Completion \ Completion \ Construction \ Completion \ Construction \ Completion \ Construction \ Construction \ Construction \ Completion \ Construction \ Construc$

Report, Utility Corridor Work Plan Implementation (Brown and Caldwell, December 2012)".



TABLE 4
SUMMARY OF HISTORICAL PAH CONCENTRATIONS
PATCHOGUE FORMER MGP SITE
PATCHOGUE, NEW YORK

								oncentration							
Sampling Date	Monitoring Well														
	MW-1	MW-2S	MW-2D	MW-3	MW-4S	MW-4D	MW-5	MW-6	MW-7S	MW-7D	MW-8S	MW-8D	MW-9S	MW-9D	PZ-4A
Mar-08	0	0	0	0.76	0.6	4.3	1774	214	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NI
Jul-08	NS	0.7	0	0	8.0	0	1799	154	0	0.47	0	0	12.0	0	NI
Mar-09	0	0	0	0	0	0	2730	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	NI
Sep-09	0	0	0	0	0	0	3373	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	NI
Mar-10	0	0	0	0	0	39	2390	17	0	0	22	0	2	0	NI
Sep-10	0	0	0	128	0	6	NS	14	0	0	11	0	396	0	NI
Jan-11	22	0	0	17	0	12	NS	NS	0	0	6	0	42	5	NI
Apr-11	0	0	0	6	0	20	NS	NS	0	0	0	0	9	0	NI
Aug-11	0	0	0.1	14	0.1	0	NS	NS	0	0	0.4	0	16	1.2	NI
Nov-11	0	0	0.2	10	0.4	0	NS	NS	0	0	0.8	0.2	8	3.4	NI
Feb-12	0.2	0	0	6	0.6	4	NS	NS	0.1	0	0.6	0	5	2.9	NI
May-12	0.4	0.1	0.6	5	0	5.8	NS	NS	0.1	0.3	1	0	6	2.8	NI
Nov-12	0.1	^(b)	^(b)	5.6	0.4	11.7	NS	NS	2.5	2.6	0.8	1.2	NS	NS	NI
Jun-13	0.8	^(b)	^(b)	NS	0.3	3.7	NS	NS	1.3	0.4	0.4	0.6	2	NS	NI
Dec-13	0	^(b)	^(b)	NS	0	2.5	NS	NS	0.8	0.4	0.3	0	NS	NS	NI
Jun-14	0	^(b)	^(b)	2.2	0.9	0	NS	NS	0.8	0.3	0.2	0	NS	NS	0.3
Dec-14	0.1	^(b)	^(b)	1.2	0.4	0	NS	NS	3	0	0.1	0	21.4	0.3	NS
Jun-15	0	^(b)	^(b)	1.1	0.9	0	NS	NS	0.9	0	0.3	0	10.4	0.3	NS
Dec-15	0	^(b)	^(b)	0	0	0	NS	NS	0.935	0	0	0	3.924	0	NS
Min	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1773.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.3
Max	22.0	0.7	0.6	128.0	8.0	39.0	3373.0	214.2	3.0	2.6	22.0	1.2	396.0	5.0	0.3
Mean	1.3	0.1	0.1	11.6	0.7	5.7	2413.1	66.7	0.6	0.2	2.4	0.1	35.6	1.1	0.3

Notes:

PAH - Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons

µg/L - micrograms per liter

NS - Not sampled.

NI - Piezometer not installed at time of sampling.


- (a) To calculate Total PAH concentration, a value of zero is used for non-detect values.
- (b) Monitoring well was decommissioned on 6/4/12 as part of the Utility Corridor Construction activities. See "Construction Completion Report, Utility Corridor Work Plan Implementation (Brown and Caldwell, December 2012)".



Second Half 2015 -	Comi Annual	Croundwater	Manitaring Danart	
3660110 Hall 2013 -	Sellii-Alliluai	dibulluwatel	MOUNTAINE MEDOLE	

Figures





Brown AND Caldwell

142128

DATE: February 24, 2016

NATIONAL GRID PATCHOGUE FORMER MGP SITE VILLAGE OF PATCHOGUE, NEW YORK

WATER TABLE ELEVATION CONTOUR MAP DECEMBER 21, 2015

Appendix A: Field Sampling Data Sheets



LOW-FLOW GROUNDWATER SAMPLING FIELD DATA

Well Number: WW-

Upper Saddle River, NJ Office Sample I.D.: MW-1-2015 1202 (nor red no)

-	
	Personnel: Thus TIP Date: 12 21 5 Time: 24 Weather: Usually Air Temp.: 50°
	WELL DATA: Casing Diameter:
	PURGE DATA: METHOD: Bailer, Size:
	MATERIALS Pumpi Bailer: Stainless Steel PVC PVC Dibing/Rope: Polyethylene Polypropylene Other: Other: Volume Pumped: Mas well Evacuated? Yes No Number of Well Volumes Removed: NA PURGING EQUIPMENT: Dedicated Prepared Off-Site Field Cleaned
	SAMPLING DATA: METHOD: Bailer, Size: Bladder Pump 2" Submersible Pump 4" Submersible Pump Syringe Sampler Peristaltic Pump Inertial Lift Pump Other:
	MATERIALS: Pump/Bailer: Teflon® Stainless Steel SAMPLING EQUIPMENT: Dedicated Prepared Off-Site Field Cleaned Metals samples field filtered? Prepared Off-Site Field Cleaned APPEARANCE: Clear Turbid Color: Contains Immiscible Liquid FIELD DETERMINATIONS: See attached form for field parameter data.
	DUP: No Pes Name Mu-1 3015 1321 - 1/15 1435
	Signature: Date: 12/21/15



2 Park Way, Upper Saddle River, NJ 07458 Phone (201) 574-4700 Fax (201) 236 1607

NJ FIELD LAB ID# 02023 LOW-FLOW GROUNDWATER FIELD DATA SHEET

Prope/Sar	oject Name: Client: Personnel: nple Depth:	NG Pa No Th	Lehoge Lianel. 13 (-	and TJP	Project Number: 142128 Date: 12/21/15 Well ID: 12015/1221 Sample ID: 12015/1221				
Actual Time	рН	Cert Temp (°C)	Cond (M/u)	meters DO (mg/L)	Turbidity (NTU)	ORP (mV)	DTW (ft)	Pumping Rate (mL/min)	Comments
112 7 113 0 113 3 113 6 113 7 114 2 114 5 114 5 115 1 115 7	4.83 6.94 7.09 7.10 7.13 7.15 7.15 7.15 7.15 7.11 5.11 5.11 5.11	13.77 19.22 14.50 14.70 14.71 14.71 14.89 14.98 15.12 14.98	1.35 1.34 1.34 1.34 1.35 1.35 1.35 1.40 W-1-6	2.25 1.34 0.95 0.48 0.18 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	409 549 530 944 247 96:2 88:9 83:9 75:0 64:0 85:3 221	127 97 48 35 23 12 87 4 3 3 3 4	5.88		empthed Hanba
Instrumen A	f Sample t Data: //anufactur	er/Model	57	a U-5	2	Analyst S	Signature.	my	Ms

Are low-flow parameters subject to field lab certification?

Yes No (not required for CERCLA sites or sites outside of NJ)

If yes, low-flow data must be accompanied by a completed "Field Calibration Record, Horiba U-52" form or equivalent.

Brown AND Caldwell

LOW-FLOW GROUNDWATER SAMPLING FIELD DATA

Well Number: MW - 3

Upper Saddle River, NJ Office

Sample I.D.: MIJ -7- 241512 (fiderent from And no)

	1 20 - 2- 2012 12-21
Project Patchogue Personnel: TOP/TMB	Date: 12/21/5 Time: 12/2 Weather: Cloudy Air Temp: 50°
WELL DATA: Casing Diameter: Intake Diameter: Stainless Steel Steel Plantake Diameter: DEPTH TO: Static Water Level: DATUM: Top of Protective Casing Top of Well Casing CONDITION: Is Well clearly labeled? Is Prot. Casing/Surface Mount in Good Cond.? (In Does Weep Hole adequately drain well head? Is Concrete Pad Intact? (not cracked or frost head is Padlock Functional? YOLUME OF WATER: Standing in well: Steel Programment Padlock Steel Steel Programment Padlock Steel Steel Programment Programme	Den rock PVC Teflon® Open rock Other:
☐ Centrifugal Pump ☐ Peristaltic Pum ☐ Teflon®	2" Submersible Pump 4" Submersible Pump Inertial Lift Pump Other: Tubing/Rope: Polyethylene Polypropylene Other: Volume Pumped: 2.75 gas Imber of Well Volumes Removed: Site P Field Cleaned
SAMPLING DATA: METHOD: Bailer, Size: Plant Peristaltic Pump Inert MATERIALS: Pump Bailer: Teflon® Stainless Steel SAMPLING EQUIPMENT: Dedicated Prepared Compared	Tubing/Rope: Teflon® Polyethylene Off-Site Field Cleaned Contains Immiscible Liquid neter data.



2 Park Way, Upper Saddle River, NJ 07458 Phone (201) 574-4700 Fax (201) 236-1607

NJ FIELD LAB ID# 02023 LOW-FLOW GROUNDWATER FIELD DATA SHEET

Pro Purge/Sam	Project Name: NGL Patcheque Client: National Brid Personnel: TUB TJP rge/Sample Depth: 18				Proj	Date: Well ID:	142128, 12/21 mw-3-	15 3 2015122	
Actual		Cert Temp	ified Parai Cond	meters DO	Turbidity	ORP	DTW	Pumping Rate	
Time	рН	(°C)	(on fan)	(mg/L)	(NTU)	(mV)	(ft)	(mL/min)	Comments
222	7.44		0.845	0.33	50.8	37	2.3		
125	7.27	13.11	0,844	0.00	534	22		0.000	
231	1.13	13,24	0.811	0.00	32.4	20		2	
1234	7.11	13.33	0.802	0.00	14.3	27	2.30		
1240	7.00	13,34	0.801	0.00	11.7	24 27 27 30 30 31	F-30		
1243	7.09	15.34	0.84	0.00	7.5	27	NO.		
1246	7.09	13.34	0.802	0.00	4.0	30			
1253	7.09	13.38	0,802 0,801 U-3-2	0.00	3,5	3			
255	Samp	u m	W 3-2	013122	1				
									7.00
				10					116
	72 -2			700					
	Maria T			262010000000000000000000000000000000000					
		-	-	98 0					77.00
	Trans.								
				0 (1-3)					1
									- Continue
				- 4					<u></u>
Time of strument	Sample:	ormation:	1255	ba U	(-59	Analyst	Signature (TEN	W P

Are low-flow parameters subject to field lab certification?
Yes SNo (not required for CERCLA sites or sites outside of NJ) If yes, low-flow data must be accompanied by a completed "Field Calibration Record, Horiba U-52" form or equivalent.

Brown AND Caldwell

LOW-FLOW GROUNDWATER SAMPLING FIELD DATA

Upper Saddle River, NJ Office Sample I.D.:

Well Number: MW-4D

If different from #e7 no 1

Project: NG Pathogue Personnel: TWB (TTP) Date: [22] S Time: 1430 Weather: Claudy Air Temp.: 50°
WELL DATA: Casing Diameter: Intake Diameter: Stainless Steel Galv. Steel PVC Teflon® Other: Intake Diameter: Stainless Steel Galv. Steel PVC Teflon® Open rock DEPTH TO: Static Water Level: 4, 75 ft Bottom of Well 20, 45ft DATUM: Top of Protective Casing Top of Well Casing Other: CONDITION: Is Well clearly labeled? Yes No Is Prot. Casing/Surface Mount in Good Cond.? (not bent or corroded) Yes No Does Weep Hole adequately drain well head? Yes No Is Concrete Pad Intact? (not cracked or frost heaved) Yes No Is Padlock Functional? Yes No Is Inner Casing Intact? Yes No VOLUME OF WATER: Standing in well: To be purged:
PURGE DATA: METHOD: Bailer, Size: Centrifugal Pump Peristaltic Pump Inertial Lift Pump Other: MATERIALS: Pump/Bailer: Teflon® Stainless Steel PVC Other: Pumping Rate: Pumping Rate: Pumping Rate: Volume Pumped: Was well Evacuated? Yes No Number of Well Volumes Removed: Purping Rate: Pumping R
SAMPLING DATA: METHOD:



2 Park Way, Upper Saddle River, NJ 07458 Phone (201) 574-4700 Fax (201) 236 1507

NJ FIELD LAB ID# 02023 LOW-FLOW GROUNDWATER FIELD DATA SHEET

Project Name: NG Falchogue Client: Notand Grid Personnel: TWR / TJP Purge/Sample Depth: ~ 23'							Project Number: 147128 Date: 12724/15 Well ID: MW-4D-205/224				
Actual Time	рН	Cert Temp (°C)	Cond ("((4))	meters DO (mg/L)	Turbidity (NTU)	ORP (mV)	DTW (ft)	Pumping Rate (mL/min)	Comments		
437) 433 436 435 442 445 1451 1457 1457 1503	6.34 6.34 6.34 6.34 6.08 6.08 6.08 6.08 6.08	13.80 13.82 13.79 13.74 13.79 13.67 13.67	0.675 0.601 0.635 0.639 0.639 0.639 0.639 0.639 0.639	0.31 6.15 6.00 0.00 0.00	81.0 124 123 962 76.0 63.7 23.7 28.6 34.1 32.6 221	100 11 4 123 130 140 143 145 147 147	4.78 4.78 4.78 4.79 4.79 4.79 4.79 4.79 4.80				

Are low-flow parameters subject to field lab certification?
Yes No (not required for CERCLA sites or sites outside of NJ)
If yes, low-flow data must be accompanied by a completed "Field Calibration Record, Horiba U-52" form or equivalent.

Calibration Date/Time

Brown AND Caldwell

LOW-FLOW GROUNDWATER SAMPLING FIELD DATA

Well Number: MW -45

Upper Saddle River, NJ Office Sample I.D.: MW-45-20151225 Project: Patcheeve Personnel TJP Weather: Air Temp.: **WELL DATA:** Casing Diameter: ☐ Stainless Steel ☐ Steel ☐ PVC ☐ Teflon® ☐ Other: Intake Diameter: ☐ Stainless Steel ☐ Galv, Steel ☑ PVC ☐ Teflon® ☐ Open rock DEPTH TO: Static Water Level: 5 ft Bottom of Well: 1236 ft

DATUM: Top of Protective Casing Top of Well Casing Other:

CONDITION: Is Well clearly labeled? Yes No Is well clean to bottom? Yes No Is Prot. Casing/Surface Mount in Good Cond.? (not bent or corroded) Yes No Does Weep Hole adequately drain well head? Yes No Is Concrete Pad Intact? (not cracked or frost heaved) Yes No Is Padlock Functional? Yes No No NA Is Inner Casing Intact? Yes No Is Inner Casing Properly Capped and Vented? Yes No Standing in well: VOLUME OF WATER: To be purged: **PURGE DATA:** Bladder Pump 📵 2" Submersible Pump 🔘 4" Submersible Pump METHOD: ☐ Centrifugal Pump ☐ Peristaltic Pump ☐ Inertial Lift Pump ☐ Other Teflon® Stainless Steel MATERIALS: Fump/Bailer. Polyethylene PVC Polypropylene Other: Other: Elapsed Time: Som Volume Pumped: 3 3 Pumping Rate: 500ml Yes No Was well Evacuated? Number of Well Volumes Removed: PURGING EQUIPMENT:

Dedicated

Prepared Off-Site ✓ Field Cleaned SAMPLING DATA: ☐ Bailer, Size: ☐ A Bladder Pump ☐ 2" Submersible Pump ☐ 4" Submersible Pump ☐ Syringe Sampler ☐ Peristaltic Pump ☐ Inertial Lift Pump ☐ Other: METHOD: ☐ Teflon® Teflon® Stainless Steel Polvethylene SAMPLING EQUIPMENT: ☐ Dedicated ☐ Prepared Off-Site Field Cleaned Metals samples field filtered? ☐ Yes Zī No Method: Clear Turbid Color: APPEARANCE: Contains Immiscible Liquid FIELD DETERMINATIONS See attached form for field parameter data. DUP: Z No ☐ Yes Name: MS/MSD : Z No ☐ Yes Name: I certify that this sample was collected and handled in accordance with applicable regulatory and project protocols Signature:



2 Park Way, Upper Saddle River, NJ 07458 Phone (201) 574-4700 Fax (201) 236-1607

NJ FIELD LAB ID# 02023 LOW-FLOW GROUNDWATER FIELD DATA SHEET

Pro	Client:	Na	Parte	and	1	Proje	ect Number Date	142128 12/21 MW-	
Purge/San		~9,5	TJ	P	1		Well ID: Sample ID:	MW-45	5-2015122
		Cert	ified Para	meters	30.00				
Actual Time	рН	Temp (°C)	Cond (*Yan)	DO (mg/L)	Turbidity (NTU)	ORP (mV)	DTW (ft)	Pumping Rate (mL/min)	Comments
1515	4.41	13.3D	0.586	0.20	492	73	5.11		
1518	6.97	13.51	6,579	0,00	259	14	- V. III - III	with the same of t	
1521	7110	13.54	0.578	0,00	104	-20			
1527	7.21	13.65	0.584	0.00	92.1	- 28			
1550	7.23	13.45	0.580	6.00	90.7	-32			
1533	7.24	13.69	0.587	0.00	78.8	-36			
1534	7.20	13.49	0,589	0.00	70,7	-40			
1542	7.27	13.72	0.590	0.00	40.7	-47			
1545	7.28	13 94	0,594	0,00	513	-47			
1548	Sam	ole RA		20151	221				
					9.672	- 10 - 34 -	11140-10	8	
			TT					E = 8 E 1	
		112					Taganta-i		
		1794-2							
		=							
						30			
				= =					
									\
Certified S	ample 1-5	nemoti						<u></u>	2
	f Sample		548			Analyst 9	Signature:	KAT	
Instrumen				4.1		raidiyat	July Indiane		VV
N	/lanufactur		Horil	on Uri	<u>>2</u>				
	Serial	No. Unit				Serial No. I	landheld:		

Are low-flow parameters subject to field lab certification?

Yes No (not required for CERCLA sites or sites outside of NJ) If yes, low-flow data must be accompanied by a completed "Field Calibration Record, Horiba U-52" form or equivalent.

Calibration Date/Time

Brown AND Caldwell

Upper Saddle River, NJ Office

LOW-FLOW GROUNDWATER SAMPLING FIELD DATA

Well Number: MW-75

Sample I.D.: Mw - 75-2015/22 from + 82 no.)

Project: NG Partchague Personnel: TWB TJP	Date: 12 21 15 Time: 16/2 Weather: Clouds Air Temp.: 50
WELL DATA: Casing Diameter: Intake Diameter: Static Water Level: DEPTH TO: Static Water Level: Top of Protective Casing Top of Well Casing CONDITION: Is Well clearly labeled? Is Prot. Casing/Surface Mount in Good Cond. Does Weep Hole adequately drain well head? Is Concrete Pad Intact? (not cracked or frost Is Padlock Functional? Yes No No No Is Is Inner Casing Properly Capped and Vented VOLUME OF WATER: Standing in well:	ng Other; well clean to bottom? Pres No ? (not bent or corroded) Pres No ? Pres No heaved) Pres No Is Inner Casing Intact? Pres No ? Pres No
Centrifugal Purrip. Peristaltic F	2" Submersible Pump 4" Submersible Pump Pump Inertial Lift Pump Other: Teflon® Polyethylene Polypropylene Other: Volume Pumped: Number of Well Volumes Removed: Off-Site Field Cleaned
Metals samples field filtered? ☐ Yes ☑ No Met	Tubing/Rope: Teflon® Polyethylene ed Off-Site Field Cleaned thod: Contains Immiscible Liquid rameter data



2 Park Way, Upper Saddle River, NJ 07458 Phone: (201) 574-4700 Fax: (201) 236-1607

NJ FIELD LAB ID# 02023 LOW-FLOW GROUNDWATER FIELD DATA SHEET

	oject Name: Client: Personnel: nple Depth:	Th	Patch trans	Grid TJP		- Proj	Date: Well ID:	142128 12/2 12/2 12/2 12/2 12/2	2015 1221
						70			
Actual Time	pH	Cert Temp (°C)	Cond (MS/cu)	DO (mg/L)	Turbidity (NTU)	ORP (mV)	DTW (ft)	Pumping Rate (mL/min)	Comments
417 1415 1418 1421 1627 1627 1633 1632 1632 1643	7.55	12.08 12.71 12.72 12.75 12.76 12.90 12.90 12.90	0.725 0.724 0.727 0.727 0.726 0.725 0.725 0.725 0.725 0.725 0.725 0.725 0.725 0.725 0.725	0.41 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	251 195 124 105 18.3 81.1 68.2 71.0 64.0 50.9 44.4 51221	-57 -66 -74 -76 -79 -83 -84 -89 -90 -91	4.66	300-4	
				12)	21/15	m	3		
Time o	f Sample	ormation	164 Hori		-52	Analyst	Signature:	ne of the second	2

Are low-flow parameters subject to field lab certification?

Yes No (not required for CERCLA sites or sites outside of NJ) If yes, low-flow data must be accompanied by a completed "Field Calibration Record, Horiba U-52" form or equivalent.

Calibration Date/Time

Brown AND Caldwell

LOW-FLOW GROUNDWATER SAMPLING FIELD DATA

Well Number: MW-7A Upper Saddle River, NJ Office

Sample 1.Dinw 7D-2015122

Project: Date: 12/21/5 Time: 16/50 Personnel: This 1+P Weather: Cloudy Air Temp: 50
WELL DATA: Casing Diameter:
PURGE DATA: METHOD: □ Bailer, Size: □ Bladder Pump □ 2" Submersible Pump □ 4" Submersible Pump □ Centrifugal Pump □ Peristaltic Pump □ Inertial Lift Pump □ Other: □
MATERIALS: Pump/Bailer:
SAMPLING DATA: METHOD: Bailer, Size: Bladder Pump 2" Submersible Pump 4" Submersible Pump Syringe Sampler Peristaltic Pump Inertial Lift Pump Other:
MATERIALS: Pump Bailer: Teflon® Stainless Steel SAMPLING EQUIPMENT: Dedicated Prepared Off-Site Field Cleaned Metals samples field filtered? No Method: APPEARANCE: Clear Turbid Color: Contains Immiscible Liquid FIELD DETERMINATIONS See attached form for field parameter data
DUP: No Yes Name: No Yes Name:
I certify that this sample was collected and handled in accordance with applicable regulatory and project protocols Signature: Date: 12/21/5



2 Park Way, Upper Saddle River, NJ 07458 Phone (201) 574-4700 Fax (201) 236-1607

NJ FIELD LAB ID# 02023 LOW-FLOW GROUNDWATER FIELD DATA SHEET

Project Name: No Calchague Client: Mahaul Gard Personnel: Turis TTP Purge/Sample Depth: ~25						Project Number: 14288 Date: 14/21/15 Well ID: MW-7D Sample ID: MW-7D-20(51)22(
Actual Time	рН	Cert Temp (°C)	Cond (Fa)	DO (mg/L)	Turbidity (NTU)	ORP (mV)	DTW (ft)	Pumping Rate (mL/min)	Comments	
(450) (453) (454) (454) (470)	7.5h 7.11 6.83 6.70 6.50 6.47 6.47 6.47 6.47 6.41 6.41 5am	14.05 14.01 14.01 14.02 14.03 14.03 14.01 14.03 14.03 14.03 14.03 14.03	0.460 0.510 0.512 0.553 0.572 0.504 0.554 0.565 0.565 0.565 0.557 0.557 0.557	3.82 2.16 1.71 1.57 1.54 3.04 2.16 1.72 1.18 1.07 1.07 1.07 1.07 1.07 1.07	336 366 366 355 370 331 279 242 191 140 140 140 140 140 140 140 140 140 14	-80 -31 -17 -17 -17 -17 -17 -22 -25 -28 -31 -33 -33 -33 -33 -34 -31 -31 -31 -31 -31 -31 -31 -31 -31 -31	4.35 4.35 4.37 4.37 4.35 4.35 4.35 4.35 4.36 4.35 4.36 4.36 4.36 4.36 4.36 4.36 4.36 4.37		appred alund	

Are low-flow parameters subject to field lab certification?

Yes No (not required for CERCLA sites or sites outside of NJ)

If yes, low-flow data must be accompanied by a completed "Field Calibration Record, Horiba U-52" form or equivalent.

Calibration Date/Time

Brown AND Caldwell

LOW-FLOW GROUNDWATER SAMPLING FIELD DATA


Upper Saddle River, NJ Office

Well Number: MW-8D

Sample I.D.:

(if different from well no

Project: NG Patchague Personnel: TWB TJP Date: 12 22 15 Time: 0925 Weather: Clouds/Pain Air Temp: 53°
Casing Diameter: Stainless Steel Steel PVC Teflon® Other: Intake Diameter: Stainless Steel Galv. Steel PVC Teflon® Other: DEPTH TO: Static Water Level: He Bottom of Well 5.10ft DATUM: Top of Protective Casing Top of Well Casing Other: CONDITION: Is Well clearly labeled? Sees No Is well clean to bottom? Yes No Is Prot. Casing/Surface Mount in Good Cond.? (not bent or corroded) Yes No Does Weep Hole adequately drain well head? Yes No Is Concrete Pad Intact? (not cracked or frost heaved) Yes No Is Padlock Functional? Yes No No Is Inner Casing Intact? Yes No Is Inner Casing Properly Capped and Vented? Yes No No VOLUME OF WATER: Standing in well: To be purged:
PURGE DATA: METHOD: Bailer, Size: Bladder Pump 2 2" Submersible Pump 4" Submersible Pump Centrifugal Pump Peristaltic Pump 1 Inertial Lift Pump 1 Other:
MATERIALS: Pump Bailer: Teflon® Stainless Steel Time: Tolum Volume Pumped: Teflon® Polyethylene Polypropylene Other: Pumping Rate: 100 M. Filapsed Time: 100 Mumber of Well Volumes Removed: 100 MA PURGING EQUIPMENT: Dedicated Prepared Off-Site Field Cleaned
SAMPLING DATA: METHOD: Bailer, Size: Bladder Pump 2" Submersible Pump 4" Submersible Pump Syringe Sampler Peristaltic Pump Inertial Lift Pump Other:
MATERIALS: Pump/Bailer: Teflon® Stainless Steel SAMPLING EQUIPMENT: Dedicated Prepared Off-Site Field Cleaned Metals samples field filtered? No Method: APPEARANCE: Clear Turbid Color: Contains Immiscible Liquid
DUP: A No Pyes Name: MS/MSD: No No Name: MW-8D - 2015/22 - MS/MSD: No Name: MW-8D - 2015/22 - MS/MSD
Signature: Date: 2/22/5



2 Park Way, Upper Saddle River, NJ 07458 Phone (201) 574-4700 Fax (201) 236 1607

NJ FIELD LAB ID# 02023 LOW-FLOW GROUNDWATER FIELD DATA SHEET

Pro	ject Name:			ugue		Proje	ect Number:	土生328	142128
	Client	No.	tional	Brid			Date:		5
	Personnel:	Th	UB, T	TP.			Well ID.	MW-81	>
Purge/Sam	ple Depth:	٠,	20'				Sample ID:	m 10-80	20151722
					a A union		081-3080		THIS/MEX
		Cert	ified Para	meters	in a	- daday - 1		Section 2	<i>3</i> / <i>3</i> / <i>3</i> /
Actual	11 121	Temp	Coad	DO	Turbidity	ORP	DTW	Pumping Rate	
Time	pН	(°C)	(milcy	(mg/L)	(NTU)	(mV)	(ft)	(mL/min)	Comments
	35	Bu X							
0925	6.63	1471	0.414	11.71	203	129	0.7		
8978	6.04	14.91	0,432	2.06	187	178	OFF	de Se	
0931	4.46	14.93	0.447	0.88	1+1	124	0.7		
0937	10.104	14910	0,459	031	148	123	0,7		
10940	14 119	11199	0.474	0.00	131	170	017		
0943	4,73	14,90	0.462	0.00	120	121			
0946	6.71	14.93	0.452	0.00	111	122		Name and Address of the Owner, where the Owner, which is the Owner, where the Owner, which is the Owner, where the Owner, which is the Owner, whic	
0949	1,70	14.92	0.452	0.00	03	121			
0952	4.47	14.92	0,484	0,00	0816	121			
0955	6.48	14,92	0,485	0,00	893	1200			
0958	4-1018	14.95	0.485	0,00	01.2	120			
1001	San	ys 4 1	w -	M-3	01512	72 Y	mg/	MSD	
		1					,		
					1				
					1. = =				Maria de la companya
			11		0	40.40.		1- 3000	
= _		. = -				111			
					10	1000	>	- 1	
				HEET.	_/_				
1					7/7-		=		
,					166	1			
				\$		1-1-3-			
		-							
							== ==		
							-		
							,		
Certified S	ample Inf	ormation							
	Sample		0			Analyst :	Signature	172 -	
Instrument			1.1 1.	11	ro				
	lanufactur		MOYIL	u ll-	じん	10.100-			
		No Unit				Serial No. I	Handheld:		
Ca	libration D	ate/Time							
Are low-flow	parameters	subject to	field lab cer	tification? [Yes (XNo	(not required	for CERCL	A sites or sites out:	side of NJ)

If yes, low-flow data must be accompanied by a completed "Field Calibration Record, Horiba U-52" form or equivalent.

Brown AND

LOW-FLOW GROUNDWATER SAMPLING FIELD DATA

Well Number: /\ Upper Saddle River, NJ Office Sample I.D.: Project: Date: 12 Time: 1025 Personnel: 100 Weather: _ Air Temp : 53 **WELL DATA:** Stainless Steel Steel PVC Teflon® Other:
Stainless Steel Galv. Steel PVC Teflon® Open rock
Stainless Steel Galv. Steel PVC Teflon® Open rock
Stainless Steel Galv. Steel PVC Teflon® Open rock
Stainless Steel Galv. Steel Open rock Casing Diameter: Intake Diameter: DEPTH TO: Static Water Level: _0.8 ft DATUM: ☐ Top of Protective Casing ☐ Top of Well Casing ☐ Other:
CONDITION: Is Well clearly labeled? ☐ Yes ☐ No Is Prot. Casing/Surface Mount in Good Cond.? (not bent or corroded) Z Yes No Does Weep Hole adequately drain well head? Yes No Is Concrete Pad Intact? (not cracked or frost heaved) Z Yes No Is Padlock Functional? Tes INO IN NA Is Inner Casing Intact? A Yes No Is Inner Casing Properly Capped and Vented? Yes O No VOLUME OF WATER: Standing in well: To be purged: **PURGE DATA:** □ Bailer, Size: ☑ Bladder Pump ☐ 2" Submersible Pump ☐ 4" Submersible Pump METHOD: ☐ Centrifugal Pump ☐ Peristaltic Pump ☐ Inertial Lift Pump ☐ Other: Teflon® Teflon® Stainless Steel 2 MATERIALS: Pumø/Bailer: Polyethylene PVC Polypropylene Other: Other: Pumping Rate: 44 Flapsed Time: 40 W Volume Pumped Number of Well Volumes Removed: Was well Evacuated? Yes 12 No **PURGING EQUIPMENT:** ☐ Dedicated Tield Cleaned ☐ Prepared Off-Site **SAMPLING DATA:** ☐ Bailer, Size: ☐ ☐ Bladder Pump ☐ 2" Submersible Pump ☐ 4" Submersible Pump ☐ Syringe Sampler ☐ Peristattic Pump ☐ Inertial Lift Pump ☐ Other: ☐ METHOD: ☐ Teflon® MATERIALS: Pump Bailer: Teflon® Stainless Steel Polvethylene SAMPLING EQUIPMENT: □ Dedicated □ Prepared Off-Site Field Cleaned Metals samples field filtered? ☐ Yes ☑ No Method: Clear Turbid Color: APPEARANCE: Contains Immiscible Liquid See attached form for field parameter data. FIELD DETERMINATIONS DUP: 2 Yes ☐ No Name: MS/MSD: No ☐ Yes Name: I certify that this sample was collected and handled in accordance with applicable regulatory and project protocols Signature:



2 Park Way, Upper Saddle River, NJ 07458 Phone: (201) 574-4700 Fax. (201) 236-1607

NJ FIELD LAB ID# 02023 LOW-FLOW GROUNDWATER FIELD DATA SHEET

	oject Name: Client Personnel: nple Depth:	N	Gr Pat at I G	elion My D	4	_ Proj - -	ect Number Date Well ID Sample ID	12-12	2/15 85 8-201511222
Actual Time	рН	Temp (°C)	Cond (Ma)	DO (mg/L)	Turbidity (NTU)	ORP (mV)	DTW (ft)	Pumping Rate (mL/min)	Comments
1034 1037 1040 1043 1049 1052 1055 1058 1104 1107 11107 11107	6.88 6.89 6.92 6.92 6.95 6.95 6.95 6.95 6.95		6. 40- 5. 709 6. 709 6. 693 6. 693	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.25 0.27 9.87 9.87 7.93 7.93 7.25 0.4(0.25	168 632 481 310 234 240 280 215 100 130 115 19 8 82 7 79 3 -201	12 3 -3 -4 -3 -4 -12 -12 -13 -14 -16 -16 -16	2	7 Digo	emptred cha
Instrument N	f Sample t Data: Ianufactur	er/Model No. Unit:	13	iba U	-52	Analyst	Signature:	Tefl	W To the second

Are low-flow parameters subject to field lab certification?

Yes No (not required for CERCLA sites or sites outside of NJ)

If yes, low-flow data must be accompanied by a completed "Field Calibration Record, Horiba U-52" form or equivalent.

Brown AND Caldwell

Upper Saddle River, NJ Office

LOW-FLOW GROUNDWATER SAMPLING FIELD DATA

Well Number: MW-95-Jo157 11 different from, April no.)

Project: NG Pattlegue Personnel: This JP Date: 12 22 15 Time: 1 S Air Temp.:	50°
WELL DATA: Casing Diameter: Intake Diameter: Stainless Steel Galv. Steel PVC Teflon® Other: Intake Diameter: Stainless Steel Galv. Steel PVC Teflon® Open rock DEPTH TO: Static Water Level: Stainless Steel Galv. Steel PVC Teflon® Open rock DEPTH TO: Static Water Level: Static Water Level: Stainless Steel Galv. Steel PVC Teflon® Open rock Depth To: Static Water Level: Static W	
PURGE DATA: METHOD: Bailer, Size: Bladder Pump 2 2" Submersible Pump 4" Submersible Pump Centrifugal Pump Peristaltic Pump Inertial Lift Pump Other:	
MATERIALS: Pump/Bailer: Teflon® Stainless Steel PVC Other: Pumping Rate: 2004 Wr Elapsed Time: 45 MV Volume Pumped: 2.5 Was well Evacuated? Yes No Number of Well Volumes Removed: PURGING EQUIPMENT: Dedicated Prepared Off-Site & Field Cleaned	
SAMPLING DATA: METHOD: Bailer, Size: Bladder Pump 2" Submersible Pump 4" Submersible Pump Syringe Sampler Peristaltic Pump Inertial Lift Pump Other:	
MATERIALS: Pump/Bailer: Teflon® Stainless Steel SAMPLING EQUIPMENT: Dedicated Prepared Off-Site Metals samples field filtered? No Method: Teflon® Polyethylene	
APPEARANCE:	
DUP: I No I Yes Name No I Yes Name	
I certify that this sample was collected and handled in accompance with applicable regulatory and project protocols	
Signature: Date: 12/27)	- 1



2 Park Way Upper Saddle River, NJ 07458 Phone (201) 574-4700 Fax (201) 236 1607

NJ FIELD LAB ID# 02023 LOW-FLOW GROUNDWATER FIELD DATA SHEET

	oject Name Client Personnel ople Depth:	Na	3 Pati trand ne 1-	elican BP	8		ect Number Date Well ID Sample ID	12/22 MW	15 18 5-20151222
Actual Time	рΗ	Cert Temp (°C)	ified Parai Cond ("Su)	DO (mg/L)	Turbidity (NTU)	ORP (mV)	DTW (ft)	Pumping Rate (mL/min)	Comments
	1.97 1.89 1.93 1.00 7.01 7.01 7.01 7.05 7.09 5.09 5.09		0.605 0.612 0.612 0.607 0.603 0.603 0.603 0.603 0.603 0.603 0.603 0.603 0.603 0.603 0.603	5.34 0.55 0.60 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	829 791 704 589 432 354 281 258 217 177 141 1926 73.7 18.0 15/22	-27 -27 -27 -29 -31 -32 -32 -39 -41 -43 -43 -43 -43 -43 -43 -43 -43 -43 -43	LSS	200	Empfred Cha
Instrumen A	f Sample t Data: //anufactur	er/Model: No. Unit:		a U-S	2	Analyst S		gu	N. C.

Are low-flow parameters subject to field lab certification?

Yes No (not required for CERCLA sites or sites outside of NJ) If yes, low-flow data must be accompanied by a completed "Field Calibration Record, Horiba U-52" form or equivalent.

Brown AND Caldwell

LOW-FLOW GROUNDWATER SAMPLING FIELD DATA

Well Number: MW-9D

Upper Saddle River, NJ Office Sample I.D.: MW. 915-2015/222 Project: Personnel: TWIS TYP Weather: Air Temp: 5 7 º **WELL DATA:** Casing Diameter: ☐ Stainless Steel ☐ Steel ☐ PVC ☐ Teflon® ☐ Other: Intake Diameter: ☐ Stainless Steel ☐ Galv. Steel ☑ PVC ☐ Teflon® ☐ Open rock DEPTH TO: Static Water Level: 137 ft Bottom of Well 22.9ft DATUM: Top of Protective Casing Top of Well Casing Other: Is Well clearly labeled? Yes ANo Is well clean to bottom? AYes No Is Prot. Casing/Surface Mount in Good Cond.? (not bent or corroded) A Yes No CONDITION: Does Weep Hole adequately drain well head? Yes No Is Concrete Pad Intact? (not cracked or frost heaved), 2 Yes No Is Padlock Functional? Yes No NA Is Inner Casing Intact? Yes No Is Inner Casing Properly Capped and Vented? Yes No Standing in well: VOLUME OF WATER: To be purged: **PURGE DATA:** □ Bailer, Size: ☐ Bladder Pump ☐ 2" Submersible Pump ☐ 4" Submersible Pump METHOD: ☐ Centrifugal Pump ☐ Peristaltic Pump ☐ Inertial Lift Pump ☐ Other Teflon® Teflon® Stainless Steel MATERIALS: /Pumb/Bailer: Polyethylene PVC Polypropylene Other: Other: Pumping Rate: Elapsed Time: Volume Pumped: Yes X No Was well Evacuated? Number of Well Volumes Removed: PURGING EQUIPMENT: Dedicated ☐ Prepared Off-Site Field Cleaned SAMPLING DATA: METHOD: Teflon®
Stainless Steel MATERIALS: Teflon® Polyethylene ☐ Dedicated ☐ Prepared Off-Site ☐ Field Cleaned SAMPLING EQUIPMENT: Metals samples field filtered? ☐ Yes ☑ No Method: APPEARANCE: ☑ Clear ☐ Turbid ☐ Color: Contains Immiscible Liquid FIELD DETERMINATIONS: See attached form for field parameter data. ☐ Yes Name: ☐ Yes Name: collected and handled in accordance with applicable regulatory and project protocols I certify that this sample has Signature: Date:



2 Park Way, Upper Saddle River, NJ 07458 Phone (201) 574-4700 Fax (201) 236 1607

NJ FIELD LAB ID# 02023 LOW-FLOW GROUNDWATER FIELD DATA SHEET

Pro	ect Name:	Pal	Thon		0	Proj	ect Number:		8
	Client:	No	MOONE	100	<u> </u>		Date:		12
	Personnel:		MB	1/1/			Well ID:		112
Purge/Sam	ple Depth:		2/8				Sample ID:	MW-97	2015122
									C-1000
	=	Cert	ified Parai	meters				RESIDENCE TO THE	A 10-
Actual		Temp	Cond	DO	Turbidity	ORP	DTW	Pumping Rate	
Time	ρН	(°C)	(mo)cut	(mg/L)	(NTU)	(mV)	(ft)	(mL/min)	Comments
110		10171	6	10 27		2.0	1 40 17		
240	4.78	19.50	6.4870	2.85	75.2	-30	1.37		
व्यर	6-10	14,53	0.494	104	84.2	1,9			
	6.80	14.55	0.495	0.32	139	45			
	007	14.42	0,483	2.11	109.	48			
	12,07	141.41	0.4+6	C 83	52.2	54			
255	6.05	14,17	0478	3,43	49.9	57			
258	5 87	14,72	0.483	15	85.9	47	1		
301	5.70	14.66	0.484	0.33	92.1	79	should be set out to		
204		14.60	A485	6,00	97,1	76			
307	5,51	14.58	0.485	8.0D	95.4	70			
1310	5,48	14.52	6.485	6.00	93.6	82			
1310	Sam	ole N	W-9D	-2015	1222				
	4								
				11					
			91					a III III Oa	1=
				/	~				
					1011				
						7			
	-			= =,					
			_	() /]					
				1/-/	22/1				
					~//				
			III-		— (' ')			
		= ,							
		11 1 10	(= \u00100)						
						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			4
ertified S	ample Inf	ormation	1.2					11/1.	
Time of	Sample	13	15			Analyst	Signature:	YKIM	1-
strument	Data:			ba l	1 -		_	/ /	

Are low-flow parameters subject to field lab certification?
Yes No (not required for CERCLA sites or sites outside of NJ) If yes, low-flow data must be accompanied by a completed "Field Calibration Record, Horiba U-52" form or equivalent.

Calibration Date/Time:

Appendix B: Laboratory Reports (CD-ROM)



Appendix C: Data Usability Summary Report (DUSR)





DATA USABILITY SUMMARY REPORT NATIONAL GRID, PATCHOGUE, NEW YORK

Client:

Brown and Caldwell, Upper Saddle River, New Jersey

SDG:

5120721

Laboratory:

Aqua Pro-Tech Laboratories, Fairfield, New Jersey

Site:

National Grid, Patchogue, New York

Date:

February 18, 2016

EDS ID	Client Sample ID	Laboratory Sample ID	Matrix
1	MW-1-20151221	5120721-01	Water
2	MW-3-20151221	5120721-02	Water
3	MW-4D-20151221	5120721-03	Water
4	MW-4S-20151221	5120721-04	Water
5	MW-7S-20151221	5120721-05	Water
6	MW-7D-20151221	5120721-06	Water
7	MW-8D-20151222	5120721-07	Water
7MS	MW-8D-20151222MS	5120721-07MS	Water
7MSD	MW-8D-20151222MSD	5120721-07MSD	Water
8	MW-8S-20151222	5120721-08	Water
9	FB-20151222	5120721-09	Water
10	DUP-20151222	5120721-10	Water
11	MW-9S-20151222	5120721-11	Water
12	MW-9D-20151222	5120721-12	Water
13*	TRIP BLANK	5120721-13	Water

^{* -} VOC only

A Data Usability Summary Review was performed on the analytical data for eleven water samples, one aqueous field blank sample, and one aqueous trip blank sample collected on December 21-22, 2015 by Brown and Caldwell at the National Grid, Patchogue, New York Site. The samples were analyzed under Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) "Test Methods for the Evaluation of Solid Waste, USEPA SW-846, Third Edition, September 1986, with revisions".

Specific method references are as follows:

<u>Analysis</u>

Method References

VOC (BTEX and MTBE)

USEPA SW-846 Method 8260B

SVOC (PAH)

USEPA SW-846 Method 8270C

The data have been validated according to the protocols and quality control (QC) requirements of the analytical methods and the USEPA Region II Data Review Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs) as follows:

- SOP Number HW-24, Revision 4, September 2014: Validating Volatile Organic Compounds by SW-846 Method 8260B & 8260C;
- SOP Number HW-22, Revision 4, August 2008: Validating Semivolatile Organic Compounds by SW-846 Method 8270D;
- and the reviewer's professional judgment.

The following items/criteria were reviewed:

Organics

- Data Completeness
- · Holding times and sample preservation
- Surrogate Spike recoveries
- Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate (MS/MSD) recoveries
- Laboratory Control Sample (LCS) recoveries
- Method blank and field blank contamination
- Gas Chromatography (GC)/Mass Spectroscopy (MS) tuning
- Initial and continuing calibration summaries
- Compound Quantitation
- Internal standard area and retention time summary forms
- Field Duplicate sample precision

Overall Usability Issues:

There were no rejections of data.

Overall the data is acceptable for the intended purposes as qualified for the following deficiencies.

- All PAH compounds were qualified as estimated in one sample due to a low surrogate recovery.
- Five PAH compounds were qualified as estimated in one sample due to low MS/MSD recoveries.

Data Completeness

• The data is a complete Category B data package as defined under the requirements for the NYS Department of Environmental Conservation Analytical Services Protocol.

Volatile Organic Compounds (BTEX and MTBE)

Holding Times

• All samples were analyzed within 14 days for preserved water samples.

Surrogate Spike Recoveries

• All samples exhibited acceptable surrogate %R values.

Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate (MS/MSD) Recoveries

• The MS/MSD sample exhibited acceptable percent recoveries (%R) and/or relative percent differences (RPD).

Laboratory Control Samples

• The LCS sample exhibited acceptable %R values.

Method Blank

• The method blanks were free of contamination.

Field Blank

• The following table summarizes field blank contamination.

Blank ID	Compound	Conc. ug/L	Action Level ug/L	Qualifier	Affected Samples
FB-20151222	None - ND	-	=		
TRIP BLANK	None - ND		= =	(e)	9

GC/MS Tuning

• All criteria were met.

Initial Calibration

• All %RSD and average RRF criteria were met.

Continuing Calibration

• All %D and RRF criteria were met.

Compound Quantitation

• All criteria were met.

Internal Standard (IS) Area Performance

• All internal standards met response and retention time (RT) criteria.

Field Duplicate Sample Precision

• Field duplicate results are summarized below. The precision was acceptable.

		VOC		
Compound	MW-8S-20151222	DUP-20151222	RPD	Qualifier
	ug/L	ug/L		
None	ND	ND	5	

Semivolatile Organic Compounds (PAH)

Holding Times

• All samples were extracted within 7 days for water samples and analyzed within 40 days.

Surrogate Spike Recoveries

• The following table presents surrogate percent recoveries (%R) outside the QC limits. A low %R may indicate a potential low bias while a high %R may indicate a potential high bias. For a low %R, positive results are considered estimated and qualified (J) while non-detects are estimated and qualified (UJ). For a high %R, positive results are considered estimated and qualified (J).

Sample ID	Surrogate	%R	Qualifier
12	Nitrobenzene-d5	27.2%	J/UJ

Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate (MS/MSD) Recoveries

• The following table presents MS/MSD samples that exhibited percent recoveries (%R) outside the QC limits and/or relative percent differences (RPD) above QC limits. A low %R may indicate a potential low bias while a high %R may indicate a potential high bias. For a low %R, positive results are considered estimated and qualified (J) while non-detects are estimated and qualified (UJ). For a high %R, positive results are considered estimated and qualified (J). Results are valid and usable, however possibly biased.

MS/MSD Sample ID	Compound	MS %R/MSD %R/ RPD	Qualifier
7	Benzo(b)fluoranthene	69.6%/OK/OK	J/UJ
	Benzo(k)fluoranthene	OK/67.7%/OK	
	Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	59.7%/OK/OK	
	Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	59.7%/67.3%/OK	
	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	63.2%/66.7%/OK	

Laboratory Control Samples

The LCS samples exhibited acceptable %R values.

Method Blank

• The method blanks were free of contamination.

Field Blanks

• The following table summarizes field blank contamination.

Blank ID	Compound	Conc. ug/L	Action Level ug/L	Qualifier	Affected Samples
FB-20151222	None - ND	-		250	

GC/MS Tuning

• All criteria were met.

Initial Calibration

• All %RSD and mean RRF criteria were met.

Continuing Calibration

• All %D and RRF criteria were met.

Compound Quantitation

All criteria were met.

Internal Standard (IS) Area Performance

• All internal standards met response and retention time (RT) criteria.

Field Duplicate Sample Precision

• Field duplicate results are summarized below. The precision was acceptable.

		PAH		
Compound	MW-8S-20151222	DUP-20151222	RPD	Qualifier
-	ug/L	ug/L		
None	ND	ND	5	8

Please contact the undersigned at (757) 564-0090 if you have any questions or need further information.

Signed:


| Dated: 2 | 19|16 |
| Nancy Weaver | Senior Chemist

Environmental Data Services, Inc. February 18, 2016 7 of 8

Data Qualifiers

- J = The analyte was positively identified; the associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
- UJ = The analyte was not detected above the sample reporting limit; and the reporting limit is approximate.
- U = The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected above the sample reporting limit.
- R = The sample results is rejected due to serious deficiencies. The presence or absence of the analyte cannot be verified.

8 of 8



Brown and Caldwell USR

Client Sample ID:

MW-1-20151221

Lab Sample ID:

5120721-01

Project: Work Order: NG-Patchogue 5120721

Date Sampled:

12/21/15 11:57

Prep Date:

12/31/15 13:37

File ID:

4V18075.D

Init/Final Vol:

5 mL / 5 mL

Prep Batch:

B6A0417

Analyzed:

12/31/15 13:37

Dilution:

Matrix: Ground Water

Sequence:

S6A0407

Prep Method: PURGE & TRAP 8000

CAS NO.	COMPOUND	CONC. (ug/L)	MDL	RL	Q
71-43-2	Benzene	ND	0.129	1.00	U
100-41-4	EthylBenzene	ND	0.244	1.00	U
179601-23-1	m+p-Xylenes	ND	0.461	2.00	U
1634-04-4	Methyl tert-Butyl Ether	ND	0.596	1.00	U
95-47-6	o-Xylene	ND	0.244	1.00	U
75-65-0	tert-Butyl alcohol	ND	8.17	10.0	U
108-88-3	Toluene	ND	0.205	1.00	U
1330-20-7	Total Xylenes	ND	0.705	1.00	U



ND - Indicates compound analyzed for but not detected

J - Indicates estimated value

B - Indicates compound found in associated blank

E - Concentration exceeds highest calibration standard

D - Indicates result is based on a dilution

P - Greater than 25% diffi between 2 GC columns:

MDL - Minimum detection limit

Volatile Organics - GC/MS - SW 846 8260B

Client: Brown and Caldwell USR

Client Sample ID: MW-3-20151221
Lab Sample ID: 5120721-02
Project: NG-Patchogue
Work Order: 5120721

4V18076.D Date Sampled: 12/21/15 12:55 Prep Date: 12/31/15 14:02 File ID: 12/31/15 14:02 Init/Final Vol: 5 mL / 5 mL B6A0417 Prep Batch: Analyzed: Dilution: Matrix: **Ground Water** S6A0407 Sequence:

Prep Method: PURGE & TRAP 8000

CAS NO.	COMPOUND	CONC. (ug/L)	MDL	RL	Q
71-43-2	Benzene	ND	0.129	1.00	U
100-41-4	EthylBenzene	ND	0.244	1.00	U
179601-23-1	m+p-Xylenes	ND	0.461	2.00	U
1634-04-4	Methyl tert-Butyl Ether	ND	0.596	1.00	U
95-47-6	o-Xylene	ND	0.244	1.00	U
75-65-0	tert-Butyl alcohol	ND	8.17	10.0	U
108-88-3	Toluene	ND	0.205	1.00	U
1330-20-7	Total Xylenes	ND	0.705	1.00	U



J - Indicates estimated value

B - Indicates compound found in associated blank

E - Concentration exceeds highest calibration standard

D - Indicates result is based on a dilution

P - Greater than 25% diff. between 2 GC columns.

MDL - Minimum detection limit

Volatile Organics - GC/MS - SW 846 8260B

Client:

Brown and Caldwell USR

Client Sample ID:

MW-4D-20151221

Lab Sample ID:

5120721-03

Project: Work Order: NG-Patchogue 5120721

Date Sampled:

12/21/15 15:03

Prep Date:

12/31/15 14:28

File ID:

4V18077.D

Init/Final Vol:

5 mL / 5 mL

Prep Batch:

B6A0417

Analyzed:

12/31/15 14:28

Dilution:

Matrix:

Ground Water

Prep Method:

PURGE & TRAP 8000

Sequence: S6A0407

CAS NO.	COMPOUND	CONC. (ug/L)	MDL	RL	Q
71-43-2	Benzene	ND	0.129	1.00	U
100-41-4	EthylBenzene	ND	0.244	1.00	U
179601-23-1	m+p-Xylenes	ND	0.461	2.00	U
1634-04-4	Methyl tert-Butyl Ether	ND	0.596	1.00	U
95-47-6	o-Xylene	ND	0.244	1.00	U
75-65-0	tert-Butyl alcohol	ND	8.17	10.0	U
108-88-3	Toluene	ND	0.205	1.00	U
1330-20-7	Total Xylenes	ND	0.705	1.00	U



ND - Indicates compound analyzed for but not detected

J - Indicates estimated value

B - Indicates compound found in associated blank
E - Concentration exceeds highest calibration standard

D - Indicates result is based on a dilution

P - Greater than 25% diff, between 2 GC columns.

MDL - Minimum detection limit

RL - Reporting limit

Volatile Organics - GC/MS - SW 846 8260B

Client:

Brown and Caldwell USR

Client Sample ID:

MW-4S-20151221

Lab Sample ID:

5120721-04

Project: Work Order: NG-Patchogue 5120721

Date Sampled:

12/21/15 15:48

Prep Date:

12/31/15 14:53

File ID:

4V18078.D

Init/Final Vol:

5 mL / 5 mL

B6A0417

Analyzed:

12/31/15 14:53

Prep Batch:

Dilution:

Matrix:

Ground Water

Sequence

S6A0407

Prep Method: PURGE & TRAP 8000

CAS NO.	COMPOUND	CONC. (ug/L)	MDL	RL	Q
71-43-2	Benzene	ND	0.129	1.00	U
100-41-4	EthylBenzene	ND	0.244	1.00	U
179601-23-1	m+p-Xylenes	ND	0.461	2.00	U
1634-04-4	Methyl tert-Butyl Ether	ND	0.596	1.00	U
95-47-6	o-Xylene	ND	0.244	1.00	U
75-65-0	tert-Butyl alcohol	ND	8.17	10.0	U
108-88-3	Toluene	ND	0.205	1.00	U
1330-20-7	Total Xylenes	ND	0.705	1.00	U



ND - Indicates compound analyzed for but not detected

J - Indicates estimated value

B - Indicates compound found in associated blank

E - Concentration exceeds highest calibration standard

D - Indicates result is based on a dilution

P - Greater than 25% diff_ between 2 GC columns_

MDL - Minimum detection limit

Volatile Organics - GC/MS - SW 846 8260B

Client:

Brown and Caldwell USR

Client Sample ID:

MW-7S-20151221 5120721-05

Lab Sample ID:

NG-Patchogue

Project: Work Order:

5120721

Date Sampled:

12/21/15 16:45

Prep Date:

12/31/15 15:19

File ID:

4V18079.D 12/31/15 15:19

Init/Final Vol:

5 mL / 5 mL

Prep Batch:

B6A0417

Analyzed:

Dilution:

Matrix:

Ground Water

Sequence: S6A0407

Prep Method: PURGE & TRAP 8000

CAS NO.	COMPOUND	CONC. (ug/L)	MDL	RL	Q
71-43-2	Benzene	0.549	0.129	1.00	J
100-41-4	EthylBenzene	ND	0.244	1.00	U
179601-23-1	m+p-Xylenes	ND	0.461	2.00	U
1634-04-4	Methyl tert-Butyl Ether	ND	0.596	1.00	U
95-47-6	o-Xylene	ND	0.244	1.00	U
75-65-0	tert-Butyl alcohol	ND	8.17	10.0	U
108-88-3	Toluene	ND	0.205	1.00	U
1330-20-7	Total Xylenes	ND	0.705	1.00	U



ND - Indicates compound analyzed for but not detected

J - Indicates estimated value

B - Indicates compound found in associated blank

E - Concentration exceeds highest calibration standard

D - Indicates result is based on a dilution

P - Greater than 25% diff. between 2 GC columns.

MDL - Minimum detection limit



Volatile Organics - GC/MS - SW 846 8260B

Client:

Brown and Caldwell USR

Client Sample ID: Lab Sample ID:

MW-7D-20151221 5120721-06

Project:

NG-Patchogue

Work Order:

5120721

Total Xylenes

Date Sampled: Init/Final Vol:

12/21/15 17:48 5 mL / 5 mL

Prep Date: Prep Batch: 12/31/15 15:45

B6A0417

File ID: Analyzed:

0.705

ND

4V18080.D 12/31/15 15:45

1.00

U

Dilution:

1330-20-7

Matrix:

Ground Water

Sequence: S6A0407

Prep Method: PURGE & TRAP 8000

CAS NO.	COMPOUND	CONC. (ug/L)	MDL	RL	Q
71-43-2	Benzene	ND	0.129	1.00	U
100-41-4	EthylBenzene	ND	0.244	1.00	U
179601-23-1	m+p-Xylenes	ND	0.461	2.00	U
1634-04-4	Methyl tert-Butyl Ether	ND	0.596	1.00	U
95-47-6	o-Xylene	ND	0.244	1.00	U
75-65-0	tert-Butyl alcohol	ND	8.17	10.0	U
108-88-3	Toluene	ND	0.205	1.00	U







J - Indicates estimated value

B - Indicates compound found in associated blank

E - Concentration exceeds highest calibration standard

D - Indicates result is based on a dilution

P - Greater than 25% diff. between 2 GC columns.

MDL - Minimum detection limit

RL - Reporting limit

res 2/18/16

Volatile Organics - GC/MS - SW 846 8260B

Client:

Brown and Caldwell USR

Client Sample ID:

MW-8D-20151222

Lab Sample ID:

5120721-07 **NG-Patchogue**

Project: Work Order:

5120721

Date Sampled: Init/Final Vol:

12/22/15 10:01

Prep Date: 12/31/15 16:11 File ID: Analyzed:

4V18081.D 12/31/15 16:11

5 mL / 5 mL

Prep Batch:

B6A0417

Dilution:

Matrix: Ground Water

Sequence:

S6A0407

Prep Method: PURGE & TRAP 8000

CAS NO.	COMPOUND	CONC. (ug/L)	MDL	RL	Q
71-43-2	Benzene	ND	0.129	1.00	U
100-41-4	EthylBenzene	ND	0.244	1.00	U
179601-23-1	m+p-Xylenes	ND	0.461	2.00	U
1634-04-4	Methyl tert-Butyl Ether	ND	0.596	1.00	U
95-47-6	o-Xylene	ND	0.244	1.00	U
75-65-0	tert-Butyl alcohol	ND	8.17	10.0	U
108-88-3	Toluene	ND	0.205	1.00	U
1330-20-7	Total Xylenes	ND	0.705	1.00	U



ND - Indicates compound analyzed for but not detected

J - Indicates estimated value

B - Indicates compound found in associated blank

E - Concentration exceeds highest calibration standard

D - Indicates result is based on a dilution

P - Greater than 25% diff. between 2 GC columns.

MDL - Minimum detection limit

Volatile Organics - GC/MS - SW 846 8260B

Client:

Brown and Caldwell USR

Client Sample ID:

MW-8S-20151222

Lab Sample ID:

5120721-08

Project: Work Order: NG-Patchogue 5120721

Date Sampled: Init/Final Vol.

12/22/15 11:13

Prep Date:

12/31/15 16:36

B6A0417

File ID: Analyzed: 4V18082.D

 $5 \, mL \, / \, 5 \, mL$

Prep Batch:

12/31/15 16:36

Dilution:

Matrix:

Ground Water

Sequence:

S6A0407

Prep Method: PURGE & TRAP 8000

CAS NO.	COMPOUND	CONC. (ug/L)	MDL	RL	Q
71-43-2	Benzene	ND	0.129	1.00	U
100-41-4	EthylBenzene	ND	0.244	1.00	U
179601-23-1	m+p-Xylenes	ND	0.461	2.00	U
1634-04-4	Methyl tert-Butyl Ether	ND	0.596	1.00	U
95-47-6	o-Xylene	ND	0.244	1.00	U
75-65-0	tert-Butyl alcohol	ND	8.17	10.0	U
108-88-3	Toluene	ND	0.205	1.00	U
1330-20-7	Total Xylenes	ND	0.705	1.00	U



ND - Indicates compound analyzed for but not detected

J - Indicates estimated value

B - Indicates compound found in associated blank

E - Concentration exceeds highest calibration standard

D - Indicates result is based on a dilution

P - Greater than 25% diff. between 2 GC columns.

MDL - Minimum detection limit

Volatile Organics - GC/MS - SW 846 8260B

Client:

Brown and Caldwell USR

Client Sample ID:

FB-20151222

Lab Sample ID:

5120721-09 NG-Patchogue

Project: Work Order:

5120721

Date Sampled:

12/22/15 11:30

Prep Date:

12/31/15 18:42

File ID:

4V18087.D

Init/Final Vol:

5 mL / 5 mL

Prep Batch:

B6A0417

Analyzed:

12/31/15 18:42

Dilution:

Matrix:

Ground Water

Prep Method: PURGE & TRAP 8000

S6A0407 Sequence:

CAS NO.	COMPOUND	CONC. (ug/L)	MDL	RL	Q
71-43-2	Benzene	ND	0.129	1.00	U
100-41-4	EthylBenzene	ND	0.244	1.00	U
179601-23-1	m+p-Xylenes	ND	0.461	2.00	U
1634-04-4	Methyl tert-Butyl Ether	ND	0.596	1.00	U
95-47-6	o-Xylene	ND	0.244	1.00	U
75-65-0	tert-Butyl alcohol	ND	8.17	10.0	U
108-88-3	Toluene	ND	0.205	1.00	U
1330-20-7	Total Xylenes	ND	0.705	1.00	U



ND - Indicates compound analyzed for but not detected

J - Indicates estimated value

B - Indicates compound found in associated blank

E - Concentration exceeds highest calibration standard

D - Indicates result is based on a dilution

P - Greater than 25% diff, between 2 GC columns.

MDL - Minimum detection limit

Volatile Organics - GC/MS - SW 846 8260B

Client:

Brown and Caldwell USR

Client Sample ID: Lab Sample ID:

DUP-20151222 5120721-10

Project:

NG-Patchogue

Work Order:

5120721

Date Sampled:

12/22/15 00:00

Prep Date:

12/31/15 17:01

File ID:

4V18083.D

Init/Final Vol:

5 mL / 5 mL

Prep Batch:

B6A0417

Analyzed:

12/31/15 17:01

Dilution:

Matrix:

Ground Water

Sequence:

S6A0407

Prep Method: PURGE & TRAP 8000

CAS NO.	COMPOUND	CONC. (ug/L)	MDL	RL	Q
71-43-2	Benzene	ND	0.129	1.00	U
100-41-4	EthylBenzene	ND	0.244	1.00	U
179601-23-1	m+p-Xylenes	ND	0.461	2.00	U
1634-04-4	Methyl tert-Butyl Ether	ND	0.596	1.00	U
95-47-6	o-Xylene	ND	0.244	1.00	U
75-65-0	tert-Butyl alcohol	ND	8.17	10.0	U
108-88-3	Toluene	ND	0.205	1.00	U
1330-20-7	Total Xylenes	ND	0.705	1.00	U



ND - Indicates compound analyzed for but not detected

J - Indicates estimated value

B - Indicates compound found in associated blank E - Concentration exceeds highest calibration standard D - Indicates result is based on a dilution

P - Greater than 25% diff. between 2 GC columns:

MDL - Minimum detection limit

Volatile Organics - GC/MS - SW 846 8260B

Client:

Brown and Caldwell USR

Client Sample ID:

MW-9S-20151222

Lab Sample ID:

5120721-11 NG-Patchogue

Project: Work Order:

5120721

Date Sampled:

12/22/15 12:33

Prep Date:

12/31/15 17:26

File ID:

4V18084.D

Init/Final Vol:

5 mL / 5 mL

Prep Batch:

B6A0417

Analyzed:

12/31/15 17:26

Dilution:

Matrix:

Ground Water

Sequence:

Prep Method: PURGE & TRAP 8000

S6A0407

CAS NO.	COMPOUND	CONC. (ug/L)	MDL	RL	Q
71-43-2	Benzene	ND	0.129	1.00	U
100-41-4	EthylBenzene	ND	0.244	1.00	U
179601-23-1	m+p-Xylenes	ND	0.461	2.00	U
1634-04-4	Methyl tert-Butyl Ether	ND	0.596	1.00	U
95-47-6	o-Xylene	ND	0.244	1.00	U
75-65-0	tert-Butyl alcohol	ND	8.17	10.0	U
108-88-3	Toluene	ND	0.205	1.00	U
1330-20-7	Total Xylenes	ND	0.705	1.00	U



ND - Indicates compound analyzed for but not detected

J - Indicates estimated value

B - Indicates compound found in associated blank

E - Concentration exceeds highest calibration standard

D - Indicates result is based on a dilution

P - Greater than 25% diff, between 2 GC columns,

MDL - Minimum detection limit

Client Sample ID: MW-9D-20151222
Lab Sample ID: 5120721-12
Project: NG-Patchogue
Work Order: 5120721

File ID: 4V18085.D Date Sampled: 12/22/15 13:13 Prep Date: 12/31/15 17:51 12/31/15 17:51 Init/Final Vol: 5 mL / 5 mL Prep Batch: B6A0417 Analyzed: Matrix: Dilution: Ground Water Sequence: S6A0407

Prep Method: PURGE & TRAP 8000

CAS NO.	COMPOUND	CONC. (ug/L)	MDL	RL	Q
71-43-2	Benzene	ND	0.129	1.00	U
100-41-4	EthylBenzene	ND	0.244	1.00	U
179601-23-1	m+p-Xylenes	ND	0.461	2.00	U
1634-04-4	Methyl tert-Butyl Ether	ND	0.596	1.00	U
95-47-6	o-Xylene	ND	0.244	1.00	U
75-65-0	tert-Butyl alcohol	ND	8.17	10.0	U
108-88-3	Toluene	ND	0.205	1.00	U
1330-20-7	Total Xylenes	ND	0.705	1.00	U



J - Indicates estimated value

B - Indicates compound found in associated blank

E - Concentration exceeds highest calibration standard

D - Indicates result is based on a dilution

P - Greater than 25% diff, between 2 GC columns.

MDL - Minimum detection limit

Volatile Organics - GC/MS - SW 846 8260B

Client: Brown and Caldwell USR

Client Sample ID: Trip Blank
Lab Sample ID: 5120721-13
Project: NG-Patchogue
Work Order: 5120721

 Date Sampled:
 12/22/15 00:00
 Prep Date:
 12/31/15 18:16
 File ID:
 4V18086.D

 Init/Final Vol:
 5 mL / 5 mL
 Prep Batch:
 B6A0417
 Analyzed:
 12/31/15 18:16

Dilution: 1 Matrix: Ground Water Sequence: S6A0407

Prep Method: PURGE & TRAP 8000

CAS NO.	COMPOUND	CONC. (ug/L)	MDL	RL	Q
71-43-2	Benzene	ND	0.129	1.00	U
100-41-4	EthylBenzene	ND	0.244	1.00	U
179601-23-1	m+p-Xylenes	ND	0.461	2.00	U
1634-04-4	Methyl tert-Butyl Ether	ND	0.596	1.00	U
95-47-6	o-Xylene	ND	0.244	1.00	U
75-65-0	tert-Butyl alcohol	ND	8.17	10.0	U
108-88-3	Toluene	ND	0.205	1.00	U
1330-20-7	Total Xylenes	ND	0.705	1.00	U





MDL - Minimum detection limit

ND - Indicates compound analyzed for but not detected


J - Indicates estimated value

B - Indicates compound found in associated blank

E - Concentration exceeds highest calibration standard

D - Indicates result is based on a dilution

P - Greater than 25% diff_ between 2 GC columns



Semivolatile Organics - GC/MS - SW 846 8270C

Client:

Brown and Caldwell USR

Client Sample ID: Lab Sample ID:

MW-1-20151221 5120721-01

Project: Work Order: NG-Patchogue 5120721

Date Sampled: Init/Final Vol:

12/21/15 11:57

Prep Date:

12/28/15 08:23

File ID:

DS06413.D 12/28/15 19:11

940 mL / 1 mL

Prep Batch:

B5L2807

Analyzed:

Dilution:

Matrix:

Ground Water

Sequence:

S6A0408

Prep Method: Sep Funnel MS 8000

CAS NO.	COMPOUND	CONC. (ug/L)	MDL	RL	Q
83-32-9	Acenaphthene	ND	0.652	2.13	U
208-96-8	Acenaphthylene	ND	0.288	2.13	U
120-12-7	Anthracene	ND	0.339	2.13	U
56-55-3	Benzo(a)anthracene	ND	0.502	2.13	U
50-32-8	Benzo(a)pyrene	ND	0.373	2.13	U
205-99-2	Benzo(b)fluoranthene	ND	0.450	2.13	U
191-24-2	Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	ND	0.527	2.13	U
207-08-9	Benzo(k)fluoranthene	ND	0.461	2.13	U
218-01-9	Chrysene	ND	0.459	2.13	U
53-70-3	Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	ND	0.427	2.13	U
206-44-0	Fluoranthene	ND	0.320	2.13	U
86-73-7	Fluorene	ND	0.190	2.13	U
193-39-5	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	ND	0.456	2.13	U
91-20-3	Naphthalene	ND	0.577	2.13	U
85-01-8	Phenanthrene	ND	0.491	2.13	U
129-00-0	Pyrene	ND	0.395	2.13	U

ND - Indicates compound analyzed for but not detected

J - Indicates estimated value

B - Indicates compound found in associated blank

E - Concentration exceeds highest calibration standard

D - Indicates result is based on a dilution

P - Greater than 25% diff between 2 GC columns

MDL - Minimum detection limit

Semivolatile Organics - GC/MS - SW 846 8270C

Client:

Brown and Caldwell USR

Client Sample ID: Lab Sample ID:

MW-3-20151221 5120721-02

Project:

NG-Patchogue

Work Order:

5120721

Date Sampled: Init/Final Vol:

12/21/15 12:55

Prep Date:

12/28/15 08:23

File ID:

DS06414.D 12/28/15 19:38

940 mL / 1 mL

Prep Batch:

B5L2807 **Ground Water** Analyzed: Sequence:

Dilution:

Matrix: Prep Method: Sep Funnel MS 8000

S6A0408

CAS NO.	COMPOUND	CONC. (ug/L)	MDL	RL	Q
83-32-9	Acenaphthene	ND	0,652	2.13	U
208-96-8	Acenaphthylene	ND	0.288	2.13	U
120-12-7	Anthracene	ND	0.339	2.13	U
56-55-3	Benzo(a)anthracene	ND	0.502	2.13	U
50-32-8	Benzo(a)pyrene	ND	0.373	2.13	U
205-99-2	Benzo(b)fluoranthene	ND	0.450	2.13	U
191-24-2	Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	ND	0.527	2.13	U
207-08-9	Benzo(k)fluoranthene	ND	0.461	2.13	U
218-01-9	Chrysene	ND	0.459	2.13	U
53-70-3	Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	ND	0.427	2.13	U
206-44-0	Fluoranthene	ND	0.320	2.13	U
86-73-7	Fluorene	ND	0.190	2.13	U
193-39-5	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	ND	0.456	2.13	U
91-20-3	Naphthalene	ND	0.577	2.13	U
85-01-8	Phenanthrene	ND	0.491	2.13	U
129-00-0	Pyrene	ND	0.395	2.13	U

ND - Indicates compound analyzed for but not detected

J - Indicates estimated value

B - Indicates compound found in associated blank

E - Concentration exceeds highest calibration standard

D - Indicates result is based on a dilution

P - Greater than 25% diff. between 2 GC columns.

MDL - Minimum detection limit

Semivolatile Organics - GC/MS - SW 846 8270C

Client:

Brown and Caldwell USR

Client Sample ID:

MW-4D-20151221 5120721-03

Lab Sample ID: Project:

NG-Patchogue

Work Order:

5120721

Date Sampled: Init/Final Vol:

12/21/15 15:03

Prep Date:

12/28/15 08:23

File ID:

DS06415.D

960 mL / 1 mL

Prep Batch:

B5L2807

Analyzed:

12/28/15 20:05

Dilution:

Matrix:

Ground Water

Prep Method: Sep Funnel MS 8000

Sequence:

S6A0408



CAS NO.	COMPOUND	CONC. (ug/L)	MDL	RL	Q
83-32-9	Acenaphthene	ND	0.639	2.08	U
208-96-8	Acenaphthylene	ND	0.282	2.08	U
120-12-7	Anthracene	ND	0.332	2.08	U
56-55-3	Benzo(a)anthracene	ND	0.492	2.08	U
50-32-8	Benzo(a)pyrene	ND	0.366	2.08	U
205-99-2	Benzo(b)fluoranthene	ND	0.441	2.08	U
191-24-2	Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	ND	0.516	2.08	U
207-08-9	Benzo(k)fluoranthene	ND	0.451	2.08	U
218-01-9	Chrysene	ND	0.449	2.08	U
53-70-3	Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	ND	0.418	2.08	U
206-44-0	Fluoranthene	ND	0.314	2.08	U
86-73-7	Fluorene	ND	0.186	2.08	U
193-39-5	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	ND	0.447	2.08	U
91-20-3	Naphthalene	ND	0.565	2.08	U
85-01-8	Phenanthrene	ND	0.481	2.08	U
129-00-0	Pyrene	ND	0.386	2.08	U


ND - Indicates compound analyzed for but not detected

J - Indicates estimated value

B - Indicates compound found in associated blank E - Concentration exceeds highest calibration standard D - Indicates result is based on a dilution

P - Greater than 25% diff, between 2 GC columns.

MDL - Minimum detection limit



Semivolatile Organics - GC/MS - SW 846 8270C

Client:

Brown and Caldwell USR

Client Sample ID: Lab Sample ID:

MW-4S-20151221 5120721-04

Project:

NG-Patchogue

Work Order:

5120721

Date Sampled: Init/Final Vol:

12/21/15 15:48

Prep Date:

12/28/15 08:23

File ID:

DS06416.D 12/28/15 20:32

980 mL / 1 mL

Prep Batch: B5L2807

Analyzed:

Dilution:

Matrix: **Ground Water** Prep Method: Sep Funnel MS 8000

\$6A0408 Sequence:

N

CAS NO.	COMPOUND	CONC. (ug/L)	MDL	RL	Q
83-32-9	Acenaphthene	ND	0.626	2.04	U
208-96-8	Acenaphthylene	ND	0.277	2.04	U
120-12-7	Anthracene	ND	0.326	2.04	U
56-55-3	Benzo(a)anthracene	ND	0.482	2.04	U
50-32-8	Benzo(a)pyrene	ND	0.358	2.04	U
205-99-2	Benzo(b)fluoranthene	ND	0.432	2.04	U
191-24-2	Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	ND	0.505	2.04	U
207-08-9	Benzo(k)fluoranthene	ND	0.442	2.04	U
218-01-9	Chrysene	ND	0.440	2.04	U
53-70-3	Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	ND	0.409	2.04	U
206-44-0	Fluoranthene	ND	0.307	2.04	U
86-73-7	Fluorene	ND	0.183	2.04	U
193-39-5	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	ND	0.438	2.04	U
91-20-3	Naphthalene	ND	0.553	2.04	U
85-01-8	Phenanthrene	ND	0.471	2.04	U
129-00-0	Pyrene	ND	0.379	2.04	U


ND - Indicates compound analyzed for but not detected

J - Indicates estimated value
B - Indicates compound found in associated blank
E - Concentration exceeds highest calibration standard

D - Indicates result is based on a dilution

P - Greater than 25% diff: between 2 GC columns.

MDL - Minimum detection limit



Semivolatile Organics - GC/MS - SW 846 8270C

Client:

Brown and Caldwell USR

Client Sample ID:

MW-7S-20151221

Lab Sample ID: Project:

5120721-05 NG-Patchogue

Work Order:

5120721

Date Sampled:

12/21/15 16:45

Prep Date:

12/28/15 08:23

File ID:

DS06417.D

Init/Final Vol:

970 mL / 1 mL

Prep Batch:

B5L2807

Analyzed:

12/28/15 20:59

Dilution:

Matrix:

Ground Water

Prep Method: Sep Funnel MS 8000

Sequence:

S6A0408

CAS NO.	COMPOUND	CONC. (ug/L)	MDL	RL	Q
83-32-9	Acenaphthene	ND	0.632	2.06	U
208-96-8	Acenaphthylene	ND	0.279	2.06	U
120-12-7	Anthracene	ND	0.329	2.06	U
56-55-3	Benzo(a)anthracene	ND	0.487	2.06	U
50-32-8	Benzo(a)pyrene	ND	0.362	2.06	U
205-99-2	Benzo(b)fluoranthene	ND	0.436	2.06	U
191-24-2	Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	ND	0.510	2.06	U
207-08-9	Benzo(k)fluoranthene	ND	0.446	2.06	U
218-01-9	Chrysene	ND	0.444	2.06	U
53-70-3	Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	ND	0.413	2.06	U
206-44-0	Fluoranthene	ND	0.310	2.06	U
86-73-7	Fluorene	ND	0.185	2.06	U
193-39-5	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	ND	0.442	2.06	U
91-20-3	Naphthalene	0.935	0.559	2.06	J
85-01-8	Phenanthrene	ND	0.476	2.06	U
129-00-0	Pyrene	ND	0.382	2.06	U

ND - Indicates compound analyzed for but not detected

J - Indicates estimated value


B - Indicates compound found in associated blank

E - Concentration exceeds highest calibration standard

D - Indicates result is based on a dilution

P - Greater than 25% diff, between 2 GC columns

MDL - Minimum detection limit



Semivolatile Organics - GC/MS - SW 846 8270C

Client:

Brown and Caldwell USR

Client Sample ID:

MW-7D-20151221

Lab Sample ID:

5120721-06

Project: Work Order: NG-Patchogue 5120721

Date Sampled:

12/21/15 17:48

Prep Date:

12/28/15 08:23

File ID:

DS06418.D

Init/Final Vol:

1000 mL / 1 mL

Prep Batch:

B5L2807

Analyzed:

12/28/15 21:26

Dilution:

Matrix:

Ground Water

Sequence:

S6A0408

Prep Method: Sep Funnel MS 8000

CAS NO.	COMPOUND	CONC. (ug/L)	MDL	RL	Q
83-32-9	Acenaphthene	ND	0.613	2.00	U
208-96-8	Acenaphthylene	ND	0.271	2.00	U
120-12-7	Anthracene	ND	0.319	2.00	U
56-55-3	Benzo(a)anthracene	ND	0.472	2.00	U
50-32-8	Benzo(a)pyrene	ND	0.351	2.00	U
205-99-2	Benzo(b)fluoranthene	ND	0.423	2.00	U
191-24-2	Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	ND	0.495	2.00	U
207-08-9	Benzo(k)fluoranthene	ND	0.433	2.00	U
218-01-9	Chrysene	ND	0.431	2.00	U
53-70-3	Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	ND	0.401	2.00	U
206-44-0	Fluoranthene	ND	0.301	2.00	U
86-73-7	Fluorene	ND	0.179	2.00	U
193-39-5	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	ND	0.429	2.00	U
91-20-3	Naphthalene	ND	0.542	2.00	U
85-01-8	Phenanthrene	ND	0.462	2.00	U
129-00-0	Pyrene	ND	0.371	2.00	U

ND - Indicates compound analyzed for but not detected

J - Indicates estimated value

B - Indicates compound found in associated blank E - Concentration exceeds highest calibration standard

D - Indicates result is based on a dilution

P - Greater than 25% diff. between 2 GC columns.

MDL - Minimum detection limit RL - Reporting limit

LW 2/18/16

Client:

Brown and Caldwell USR

Client Sample ID:

MW-8D-20151222

Lab Sample ID:

5120721-07

Project: Work Order: NG-Patchogue 5120721

Date Sampled: Init/Final Vol:

12/22/15 10:01

Prep Date:

12/28/15 08:23

File ID:

DS06419.D

1000 mL / 1 mL

Prep Batch: Matrix:

B5L2807

Analyzed:

12/28/15 21:52

Dilution:

Ground Water

Sequence:

Prep Method Sep Funnel MS 8000

S6A0408

9.2

CAS NO.	COMPOUND	CONC. (ug/L)	MDL	RL	Q
83-32-9	Acenaphthene	ND	0.613	2.00	U
208-96-8	Acenaphthylene	ND	0.271	2.00	U
120-12-7	Anthracene	ND	0.319	2.00	U
56-55-3	Benzo(a)anthracene	ND	0.472	2.00	U
50-32-8	Benzo(a)pyrene	ND	0.351	2.00	U
205-99-2	Benzo(b)fluoranthene	ND	0.423	2.00	カ ロユ
191-24-2	Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	ND	0.495	2.00	y UJ
207-08-9	Benzo(k)fluoranthene	ND	0.433	2.00	y UJ
218-01-9	Chrysene	ND	0.431	2.00	U
53-70-3	Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	ND	0.401	2.00	d UJ
206-44-0	Fluoranthene	ND	0.301	2.00	U
86-73-7	Fluorene	ND	0,179	2.00	U
193-39-5	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	ND	0.429	2.00	y UJ
91-20-3	Naphthalene	ND	0.542	2.00	U
85-01-8	Phenanthrene	ND	0.462	2.00	U
129-00-0	Pyrene	ND	0.371	2.00	U

ND - Indicates compound analyzed for but not detected

J - Indicates estimated value
B - Indicates compound found in associated blank

E - Concentration exceeds highest calibration standard

D - Indicates result is based on a dilution

P - Greater than 25% diff. between 2 GC columns MDL - Minimum detection limit RL - Reporting limit

Semivolatile Organics - GC/MS - SW 846 8270C

Client:

Brown and Caldwell USR

Client Sample ID:

MW-8S-20151222

Lab Sample ID: Project:

5120721-08 NG-Patchogue

Work Order:

5120721

Date Sampled: Init/Final Vol:

12/22/15 11:13 960 mL / 1 mL

Prep Date:

12/28/15 08:23

File ID:

DS06420.D 12/28/15 22:19

Prep Batch:

B5L2807

Analyzed:

Dilution:

Matrix: **Ground Water** Prep Method: Sep Funnel MS 8000

Sequence:

S6A0408

CAS NO.	COMPOUND	CONC. (ug/L)	MDL	RL	Q
83-32-9	Acenaphthene	ND	0.639	2.08	U
208-96-8	Acenaphthylene	ND	0.282	2.08	U
120-12-7	Anthracene	ND	0.332	2.08	U
56-55-3	Benzo(a)anthracene	ND	0.492	2.08	U
50-32-8	Benzo(a)pyrene	ND	0.366	2,08	U
205-99-2	Benzo(b)fluoranthene	ND	0.441	2.08	U
191-24-2	Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	ND	0.516	2.08	U
207-08-9	Benzo(k)fluoranthene	ND	0.451	2.08	U
218-01-9	Chrysene	ND	0.449	2.08	U
53-70-3	Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	ND	0,418	2.08	U
206-44-0	Fluoranthene	ND	0.314	2.08	U
86-73-7	Fluorene	ND	0.186	2.08	U
193-39-5	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	ND	0.447	2.08	U
91-20-3	Naphthalene	ND	0.565	2.08	U
85-01-8	Phenanthrene	ND	0.481	2.08	U
129-00-0	Pyrene	ND	0.386	2.08	U

ND - Indicates compound analyzed for but not detected

J - Indicates estimated value


B - Indicates compound found in associated blank

E - Concentration exceeds highest calibration standard

D - Indicates result is based on a dilution

P - Greater than 25% diff_between 2 GC columns

MDL - Minimum detection limit



Semivolatile Organics - GC/MS - SW 846 8270C

Client:

Brown and Caldwell USR

Client Sample ID: Lab Sample ID:

FB-20151222

Project:

5120721-09 **NG-Patchogue**

Work Order:

5120721

Date Sampled: Init/Final Vol:

12/22/15 11:30 1000 mL / 1 mL Prep Date: Prep Batch: 12/28/15 08:23

B5L2807

File ID: Analyzed: DS06421.D 12/28/15 22:46

Matrix:

Ground Water

Dilution:

Prep Method: Sep Funnel MS 8000

S6A0408 Sequence:



CAS NO.	COMPOUND	CONC. (ug/L)	MDL	RL	Q
83-32-9	Acenaphthene	ND	0.613	2.00	U
208-96-8	Acenaphthylene	ND	0.271	2.00	U
120-12-7	Anthracene	ND	0.319	2.00	U
56-55-3	Benzo(a)anthracene	ND	0.472	2.00	U
50-32-8	Benzo(a)pyrene	ND	0.351	2.00	U
205-99-2	Benzo(b)fluoranthene	ND	0.423	2.00	U
191-24-2	Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	ND	0.495	2.00	U
207-08-9	Benzo(k)fluoranthene	ND	0.433	2.00	U
218-01-9	Chrysene	ND	0.431	2.00	U
53-70-3	Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	ND	0.401	2.00	U
206-44-0	Fluoranthene	ND	0.301	2.00	U
86-73-7	Fluorene	ND	0.179	2.00	U
193-39-5	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	ND	0.429	2.00	U
91-20-3	Naphthalene	ND	0.542	2.00	U
85-01-8	Phenanthrene	ND	0.462	2.00	U
129-00-0	Pyrene	ND	0.371	2.00	U

ND - Indicates compound analyzed for but not detected

J - Indicates estimated value

B - Indicates compound found in associated blank

E - Concentration exceeds highest calibration standard

D - Indicates result is based on a dilution

D - indicates result is based on a citution
P - Greater than 25% diff, between 2 GC columns.
MDL - Minimum detection limit
RL - Reporting limit

pu 2/18/16

Semivolatile Organics - GC/MS - SW 846 8270C

Client:

Brown and Caldwell USR

Client Sample ID:

DUP-20151222

Lab Sample ID: Project:

5120721-10 NG-Patchogue

Work Order:

5120721

Date Sampled:

12/22/15 00:00

Prep Date:

Prep Method:

12/28/15 08:23

File ID:

DS06422.D

Init/Final Vol:

1000 mL / 1 mL

B5L2807

Analyzed:

12/28/15 23:12

Dilution:

Prep Batch: Matrix:

Ground Water

Sequence:

S6A0408

Sep Funnel MS 8000

CAS NO.	COMPOUND	CONC. (ug/L)	MDL	RL	9
83-32-9	Acenaphthene	ND	0.613	2.00	U
208-96-8	Acenaphthylene	ND	0.271	2.00	U
120-12-7	Anthracene	ND	0.319	2.00	U
56-55-3	Benzo(a)anthracene	ND	0.472	2.00	U
50-32-8	Benzo(a)pyrene	ND	0.351	2.00	U
205-99-2	Benzo(b)fluoranthene	ND	0.423	2.00	U
191-24-2	Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	ND	0.495	2.00	U
207-08-9	Benzo(k)fluoranthene	ND	0.433	2.00	U
218-01-9	Chrysene	ND	0.431	2.00	U
53-70-3	Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	ND	0.401	2.00	U
206-44-0	Fluoranthene	ND	0.301	2.00	U
86-73-7	Fluorene	ND	0.179	2.00	U
193-39 - 5	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	ND	0.429	2.00	U
91-20-3	Naphthalene	ND	0.542	2.00	U
85-01-8	Phenanthrene	ND	0.462	2.00	U
129-00-0	Pyrene	ND	0.371	2.00	U

ND - Indicates compound analyzed for but not detected

J - Indicates estimated value

B - Indicates compound found in associated blank

E - Concentration exceeds highest calibration standard

D - Indicates result is based on a dilution

P - Greater than 25% diff. between 2 GC columns.

MDL - Minimum detection limit

RL - Reporting limit

m 3/10/16

Client Sample ID:

Brown and Caldwell USR MW-9S-20151222

Lab Sample ID: Project:

5120721-11

Work Order:

NG-Patchogue 5120721

Date Sampled:

12/22/15 12:33

Prep Date: Prep Batch: 12/28/15 08:23

File ID:

DS06423.D

Init/Final Vol:

870 mL / 1 mL

B5L2807

Analyzed:

12/28/15 23:39

Dilution:

Matrix:

Ground Water

Sequence:

S6A0408

Prep Method: Sep Funnel MS 8000

- 61	
•)

CAS NO.	COMPOUND	CONC. (ug/L)	MDL	RL	Q
83-32-9	Acenaphthene	2.60	0.705	2.30	
208-96-8	Acenaphthylene	ND	0.311	2.30	U
120-12-7	Anthracene	ND	0.367	2.30	U
56-55-3	Benzo(a)anthracene	ND	0.543	2.30	U
50-32-8	Benzo(a)pyrene	ND	0.403	2,30	U
205-99-2	Benzo(b)fluoranthene	ND	0.486	2.30	U
191-24-2	Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	ND	0.569	2.30	U
207-08-9	Benzo(k)fluoranthene	ND	0.498	2.30	U
218-01-9	Chrysene	ND	0.495	2.30	U
53-70-3	Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	ND	0.461	2.30	U
206-44-0	Fluoranthene	0.740	0.346	2.30	J
86-73-7	Fluorene	ND	0.206	2.30	U
193-39-5	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	ND	0.493	2.30	U
91-20-3	Naphthalene	ND	0.623	2.30	U
85-01-8	Phenanthrene	ND	0.531	2.30	U
129-00-0	Pyrene	0.584	0.426	2.30	J

ND - Indicates compound analyzed for but not detected

J - Indicates estimated value

B - Indicates compound found in associated blank

E - Concentration exceeds highest calibration standard

D - Indicates result is based on a dilution

P - Greater than 25% diff, between 2 GC columns.

MDL - Minimum detection limit

Semivolatile Organics - GC/MS - SW 846 8270C

Client:

Brown and Caldwell USR

Client Sample ID:

MW-9D-20151222

Lab Sample ID: Project:

5120721-12 NG-Patchogue

Work Order:

5120721

Date Sampled:

12/22/15 13:13

Prep Date:

12/28/15 08:23

File ID:

DS06424.D

Init/Final Vol

970 mL / 1 mL

Prep Batch:

B5L2807

Analyzed:

12/29/15 00:06

Dilution:

1

Matrix:

Ground Water

Prep Method: Sep Funnel MS 8000

S6A0408 Sequence:

CAS NO.	COMPOUND	CONC. (ug/L)	MDL	RL	Q
83-32-9	Acenaphthene	ND	0.632	2.06	y uJ
208-96-8	Acenaphthylene	ND	0.279	2.06	Ψ
120-12-7	Anthracene	ND	0.329	2.06	ψ
56-55-3	Benzo(a)anthracene	ND	0.487	2.06	ψ
50-32-8	Benzo(a)pyrene	ND	0.362	2.06	U
205-99-2	Benzo(b)fluoranthene	ND	0.436	2.06	Ų
191-24-2	Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	ND	0.510	2.06	Ų
207-08-9	Benzo(k)fluoranthene	ND	0.446	2.06	ψ
218-01-9	Chrysene	ND	0.444	2.06	ψ
53-70-3	Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	ND	0.413	2.06	ψ
206-44-0	Fluoranthene	ND	0.310	2.06	Ų
86-73-7	Fluorene	ND	0.185	2.06	ψ
193-39-5	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	ND	0.442	2.06	Ψ
91-20-3	Naphthalene	ND	0.559	2.06	U
85-01-8	Phenanthrene	ND	0.476	2.06	Ψ
129-00-0	Pyrene	ND	0.382	2.06	ψ

ND - Indicates compound analyzed for but not detected

J - Indicates estimated value

B - Indicates compound found in associated blank

E - Concentration exceeds highest calibration standard

D - Indicates result is based on a dilution

P - Greater than 25% diff between 2 GC columns.

MDL - Minimum detection limit

RL - Reporting limit

per stillip

Appendix D: Electronic Data Deliverable (CD-ROM)

