ROUX ASSOCIATES INC



1377 MOTOR PARKWAY ISLANDIA, NEW YORK 11788 TEL 516 232-2600 FAX 516 232-9898

September 20, 1995

Mr. Robert Noonan Senior Director, Environmental Control National Railroad Passenger Corporation 400 North Capitol Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20001

Re: Preliminary Remediation Cost Estimates for PCB-Contaminated Soils at Sunnyside Yard, Queens, New York

Dear Mr. Noonan:

Based on discussions held during the June 7, 1995 meeting between National Railroad Passenger Corporation (AMTRAK), New Jersey Transit, Roux Associates, Inc. (Roux Associates), Remedial Engineering, P.C. (Remedial Engineering), the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (NYSDEC) and the New York State Department of Health (NYSDOH), we have, as you requested, developed preliminary cost estimates for soil remediation at the Sunnyside Yard, Queens, New York (Yard). A Site Map of the Yard is presented in Figure 1. These estimates preliminarily establish the relative cost range for soil remediation (i.e., excavation, transportation, disposal and track work) work under potential cleanup scenarios at the Yard that would achieve cleanup levels of less than 1, 10, 25 and 50 parts per million (ppm) of total polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) in soil. It should be noted that these remediation costs do not include the costs for other remedial measures which will be required for other media such as separate-phase product and ground water at the Yard. The costs for the anticipated additional remedial measures is estimated to range from \$4,000,000 to \$10,000,000 (excluding operation and maintenance cost).

As we discussed, the soil remediation cost estimates are based on excavation and off-site disposal, so no other remediation technologies were evaluated for this estimate. The cost estimates (rounded to the nearest thousand) are presented in Table 1 and a summary is presented below:

- \$255,000 for Yard-wide remediation to less than 50 ppm total PCBs;
- \$510,000 for Yard-wide remediation to less than 25 ppm total PCBs;

- \$5,646,000 for Yard-wide remediation to less than 10 ppm total PCBs;
 and
- \$88,848,000 for Yard-wide remediation to less than 1 ppm total PCBs.

The cost estimates presented have been developed based primarily on the data included in the Phase II Remedial Investigation (RI) for the Yard prepared by Roux Associates and submitted to the NYSDEC on February 15, 1995. The PCB soil results (laboratory and immunoassay test kits) of the Phase II RI were evaluated to define the vertical and horizontal extent of contamination in soil for each of the potential PCB cleanup scenarios. Once the estimated extent of contamination was determined, a soil excavation volume was established. The estimated extent of PCB contamination in soil for the less than 10 ppm, 25 ppm and 50 ppm scenarios as well as the amount of track removal and replacement required for each of the affected areas of concern are presented in Figures 2 An estimated cost of \$200 per linear foot for track work was determined by the AMTRAK Engineering Department. Lastly, the likely disposal scenario for the specific material was developed so a remediation cost could be estimated. The disposal scenarios include RCRA hazardous, TSCA hazardous, and nonhazardous/contaminated. While the extent of the remediation was established based on PCB concentrations only, the disposal scenarios and costs were estimated based on the concentrations of PCBs present as well as the presence of other hazardous constituents such as lead. The unit cost for each disposal scenario is presented below.

- RCRA Hazardous (subject to land ban) \$500/cubic yard;
- TSCA Hazardous \$400/cubic yard; and
- Nonhazardous/Contaminated \$275/cubic yard.

The breakdown of the volumes of soil and the linear feet of track work for remediation to less than 10 ppm, 25 ppm and 50 ppm is presented in Tables 2, 3, and 4, respectively. Within Tables 2 through 4, the results are summarized for each area of concern requiring remediation. Presentation of a "breakdown" table for the less than 1 ppm scenario is not practical, however, a summary of the assumptions utilized is provided below.

The open area (i.e., no buildings or pavement) of the Yard was determined and the volume of soil to be remediated under the less than 1 ppm scenario is estimated to be 70 percent of the Yard's open area. The estimate is based on excavation from 0 to 2 feet below land surface and the assumption that all of the track area would be included in this scenario.

Costs for remediation to less than 10 ppm include volumes of soil actually delineated to 10 ppm and areas which, although no analytical data are provided to a detection limit of 10 ppm, are suspected to contain PCBs greater than 1 ppm

Mr. Robert Noonan September 20, 1995 Page 3

and less than 10 ppm. The less than 10 ppm scenario is based on a conservative volume which represents areas that were detected at less than 25, but were not delineated below 25 ppm.

It must also be noted that the cost estimates include the cost for the removal and replacement of all track within the areas of excavation, but do not include any costs for dewatering and dewatering water treatment which could be significant, if needed. The cost for engineering design for each potential cleanup scenario has been assumed to be 15 percent of the remediation costs. The contingency cost has been calculated for each proposed cleanup level as shown in Table 1.

As you know, we will continue to refine these estimates of remediation costs during the Feasibility Study process, as more data become available or as a NYSDEC-accepted cleanup level is established, however, we believe that these cost estimates provide a valid basis for initial comparison of the impact of varying cleanup levels on soil remediation costs.

Should you have any questions or require additional information, please do not hesitate to call.

Sincerely,

ROUX ASSOCIATES, INC.

Joseph D. Duminuco

Principal Hydrogeologist/

Project Manager

REMEDIAL ENGINEERING, P.C.

Peter J. Gerbasi, P.E. Principal Engineer

cc: J. Roberts, Esq., AMTRAK

C. Lin, AMTRAK

R. Mohlenhoff, P.E., AMTRAK

M. Pollick, AMTRAK

C. Warren, Esq., Robinson, Silverman, et al.

S. Jurow, New Jersey Transit

K. Worton, Esq., New Jersey Transit

L. Wilson, Roux Associates, Inc.

S. Lock, Ph.D., DABT, Roux Associates, Inc.

ırk
w Yo
rs, X
Quee
ard,
ide Y
unnys
oil, S
s in S
henyl
d Bip
inate
ychlor
r Poly
sts fo
ou Co
ediati
Rem
nated
Estin
ary of
สนกนก
1. S
Table

Volume Volume Volume Total Cost Required TSCA Nonhazardous/ contaminated Total Cost Track Itazardous contaminated (cu.yd) Removal Work (lf) 315 148,400 \$59,486,000 63,000 315 3,795 \$2,999,625 3,705	Total Cost Track Work Cost			•
TSCA Nonhazardous/ Total Cost Required		_		
(cu.yd) (cu.yd) Removal (cu.yd) 315 148,400 \$59,486,000 63,000 315 3,795 \$2,999,625 3,705		Engineering (15%)	Contingency ¹	Total Cost
315 148,400 \$59,486,000 63,000 315 3,795 \$2,999,625 3,705				000 010 000
315 3,795 \$2,999,625 3,705	\$12,600,000 \$72,086,000	\$10,812,900	\$5,948,600	\$5,948,600 \$88,848,000
315 3,795 \$2,999,625				000 010 24
315 5,77	\$741,000 \$3,740,625	\$561,094	\$1,344,488	\$5,646,000
	L		300	000 0133
415 \$252.625 625	\$125,000 \$377,625	\$56,645	675,678	3010,000
			007	000 3303
315 00 \$131,000 365	\$73,000 \$204,000	\$30,600	\$20,400	000°CC7¢

cu. yd If

cubic yard linear feet

parts per million шdd

NOTE:

Unit Costs are based on the following:

Soil Remediation (excavation, transportation, and disposal)

Nonhazardous/ Contaminated - \$275/cubic yard

RCRA Hazardous (Subject to LandBan) -\$500/cubic yard

TSCA Hazardous - \$400/cubic yard

Track Work (removal and replacement) - \$200/linear foot

Contingency for each cleanup level is calculated as follows:
I ppm - 10% soil, 0% track
10 ppm - 30% soil, 30% track
25 ppm - 20% soil, 20% track
50 ppm - 10% soil, 10% track

All dollar amounts rounded to the nearest thousand

2. Summary of Soil Volumes and Linear Feet of Track Work for Proposed Cleanup Level of Less Than I Polychlorinated Biphenyls, Sunnyside Yard, Queens, New York	
Table	

		RCRA Hazardous	TSCA Hazardous (cu. vd)	Nonhazardous/ Contaminated (cu. yd)	Track Work (If)
Area of Concern	Total Volume (cu. ya.)	(ca. ja)			072.1
1 00-4	2.500	350	115	2,035	1,10
Alea I	2011			250	0
Area 4	1,200	950			
	000	c	175	1,055	1,305
Area 8	067,1			ć	c
0 000 0	40	0	10	30	
Alea 9				425	099
Area 17	2,800	2,360	1.5	C74	
Alca I.		1	L C	1 795	3,705
TOTAL	7.770	3,660	515	57,60	

cu. yd – cubic yard If – linear feet

Table 3. Summary of Soil Volumes and Linear Feet of Track Work for Proposed Cleanup Level of Less Than 25 ppm Polychlorinated Biphenyls, Sunnyside Yard, Queens, New York

A son of	Total Volume (cu. vd.)	RCRA Hazardous	TSCA Hazardous (cu. vd)	Nonhazardous/ Contaminated (cu. yd)	Track Work (if)
Area 1	375	0	115	260	370
Area 4	15	15	0	0	0
Area 8	305	0	175	130	255
Area 9	10	0	10	0	0
Area 17	50	10	15	25	0
TOTAL	755	25	315	415	625

cu. yd – cubic yard lf – linear feet

Table 4. Summary of Soi Biphenyls, Sunn	Table 4. Summary of Soil Volumes and Linear Feet of Track Work for Proposed Cleanup Level of Less Than 50 ppm Polychlorinated Biphenyls, Sunnyside Yard, Queens, New York	k Work for Proposed Cleanup	Level of Less Than 50 ppm Pc	olychlorinated
Area of Concern	Total Volume (cu. yd.)	RCRA Hazardous (cu. yd)	TSCA Hazardous	
Area 1	115	0	(nf. :nx)	Tack Work (II)
A res. 7	c		611	110
7124 2	0	0	0	0
Area 8	175	0	175	255
Area 9	10	0	10	667
Area 17	25	10	31	0
TOTAL	325	10	315	998
				200

cu. yd - cubic yard If - linear feet











