

STATE OF NEW YORK DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION REGION EIGHT 4 BURNETT BOULEVARD POUGHKEEPSIE, NEW YORK 12603 WWW.nysdot.gov

WILLIAM J. GORTON, P.E. ACTING REGIONAL DIRECTOR STANLEY GEE ACTING COMMISSIONER

May 2, 2013

Jamie L. Verrigni Project Manager NYS Department of Environmental Conservation Division of Environmental Remediation, BURC 625 Broadway Albany, NY 12233-7014

> RE: SITE MANAGEMENT PERIODIC REVIEW REPORT SITE NUMBER 360035 NYSDOT HARRISON SUBRESIDENCY ROUTE 120 TOWN OF HARRISON WESTCHESTER COUNTY

Dear Ms. Verrigni:

Attached please find the Site Management Periodic Review Report for the Harrison Sub-Residency in the Town of Harrison, Westchester County.

Please feel free to contact me at 845.431.7932 or <u>gretchen.fitzgerald@dot.ny.gov</u> if you have any questions or concerns.

Very truly yours,

Arth

Gretchen Fitzgerald Regional Construction Environmental Coordinator, Region 8

Enclosures

cc: C. Kochersberger, Environmental Science Bureau, Main Office, NYSDOT, POD 4-1 P. Teliska, Maintenance, Region 8, NYSDOT

C. Kappeller, Maintenance, Region 8, NYSDOT

S. Quadri, Residency 8-9, Maintenance, Region 8, NYSDOT



Enclosure 2 NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL CONSERVATION Site Management Periodic Review Report Notice Institutional and Engineering Controls Certification Form



Site	e No. 360035	Box 1	
Site	e Name Harrison Subresidency		
Site City Cou Site	e Address: Route 120 Zip Code: 10528 //Town: Harrison unty: Westchester e Acreage: 5.0		
Rep	porting Period: May 14, 2010 to May 14, 2013		
		YES	NO
1.	Is the information above correct?	X	Ο.
	If NO, include handwritten above or on a separate sheet.		
 2.	Has some or all of the site property been sold, subdivided, merged, or undergone a tax map amendment during this Reporting Period?		X
3.	Has there been any change of use at the site during this Reporting Period (see 6NYCRR 375-1.11(d))?		×
4.	Have any federal, state, and/or local permits (e.g., building, discharge) been issued for or at the property during this Reporting Period?		X
	If you answered YES to questions 2 thru 4, include documentation or evidence that documentation has been previously submitted with this certification form		
5.	If you answered YES to questions 2 thru 4, include documentation or evidence that documentation has been previously submitted with this certification form Is the site currently undergoing development?	•	X
5.	If you answered YES to questions 2 thru 4, include documentation or evidence that documentation has been previously submitted with this certification form Is the site currently undergoing development?		X
5.	If you answered YES to questions 2 thru 4, include documentation or evidence that documentation has been previously submitted with this certification form Is the site currently undergoing development?	Box 2	X
5.	If you answered YES to questions 2 thru 4, include documentation or evidence that documentation has been previously submitted with this certification form Is the site currently undergoing development?	Box 2 YES	Ň
5.	If you answered YES to questions 2 thru 4, include documentation or evidence that documentation has been previously submitted with this certification form Is the site currently undergoing development?	Box 2 YES	NO D
5. 6. 7.	If you answered YES to questions 2 thru 4, include documentation or evidence that documentation has been previously submitted with this certification form Is the site currently undergoing development? Is the current site use consistent with the use(s) listed below? Closed Landfill Are all ICs/ECs in place and functioning as designed?	Box 2 YES	NO
5. 6. 7.	If you answered YES to questions 2 thru 4, include documentation or evidence that documentation has been previously submitted with this certification form Is the site currently undergoing development? Is the current site use consistent with the use(s) listed below? Closed Landfill Are all ICs/ECs in place and functioning as designed? IF THE ANSWER TO EITHER QUESTION 6 OR 7 IS NO, sign and date below a DO NOT COMPLETE THE REST OF THIS FORM. Otherwise continue.	Box 2 YES	NO
5. 6. 7.	If you answered YES to questions 2 thru 4, include documentation or evidence that documentation has been previously submitted with this certification form Is the site currently undergoing development? Is the current site use consistent with the use(s) listed below? Closed Landfill Are all ICs/ECs in place and functioning as designed? IF THE ANSWER TO EITHER QUESTION 6 OR 7 IS NO, sign and date below a DO NOT COMPLETE THE REST OF THIS FORM. Otherwise continue.	Box 2 YES	NO
5. 6. 7. Sign	If you answered YES to questions 2 thru 4, include documentation or evidence that documentation has been previously submitted with this certification form Is the site currently undergoing development? Is the current site use consistent with the use(s) listed below? Closed Landfill Are all ICs/ECs in place and functioning as designed? IF THE ANSWER TO EITHER QUESTION 6 OR 7 IS NO, sign and date below a DO NOT COMPLETE THE REST OF THIS FORM. Otherwise continue. Corrective Measures Work Plan must be submitted along with this form to address to mature of Owner, Remedial Party or Designated Representative Date	Box 2 YES	NO

SITE NO. 360035		Box 3
Description of	Institutional Controls	
Parcel	Owner	Institutional Control
971-22	NYS Department of Transportation	
		O&M Plan
The NYSDOT is cond	lucting operation and maintenance of the land	fill which includes surface water,
The NYSDOT is cond sediment and ground nspections are condu	lucting operation and maintenance of the land water monitoring and visual inspections of the ucted semi-annually and groundwater sample	fill which includes surface water, cover material. Landfill s are collected every fifth quarter. Box 4
The NYSDOT is cond sediment and ground inspections are condu Description of	lucting operation and maintenance of the land water monitoring and visual inspections of the ucted semi-annually and groundwater samples Engineering Controls	dfill which includes surface water, e cover material. Landfill s are collected every fifth quarter. Box 4
The NYSDOT is cond sediment and ground inspections are condu Description of Parcel	lucting operation and maintenance of the land water monitoring and visual inspections of the ucted semi-annually and groundwater samples Engineering Controls Engineering Control	ffill which includes surface water, e cover material. Landfill s are collected every fifth quarter. Box 4
The NYSDOT is cond sediment and ground inspections are condu Description of Parcel 971-22	lucting operation and maintenance of the land water monitoring and visual inspections of the ucted semi-annually and groundwater samples Engineering Controls <u>Engineering Control</u>	ffill which includes surface water, e cover material. Landfill s are collected every fifth quarter. Box 4
The NYSDOT is cond sediment and ground inspections are condu Description of Parcel 971-22	lucting operation and maintenance of the land water monitoring and visual inspections of the acted semi-annually and groundwater samples Engineering Controls Engineering Control Cover System Fencing/Access Control	ffill which includes surface water, e cover material. Landfill s are collected every fifth quarter. Box 4

Periodic Review Report (PRR) Certification Statements

1. I certify by checking "YES" below that:

a) the Periodic Review report and all attachments were prepared under the direction of, and reviewed by, the party making the certification;

b) to the best of my knowledge and belief, the work and conclusions described in this certification are in accordance with the requirements of the site remedial program, and generally accepted engineering practices; and the information presented is accurate and compete.

 If this site has an IC/EC Plan (or equivalent as required in the Decision Document), for each Institutional or Engineering control listed in Boxes 3 and/or 4, I certify by checking "YES" below that all of the following statements are true:

(a) the Institutional Control and/or Engineering Control(s) employed at this site is unchanged since the date that the Control was put in-place, or was last approved by the Department;

(b) nothing has occurred that would impair the ability of such Control, to protect public health and the environment;

(c) access to the site will continue to be provided to the Department, to evaluate the remedy, including access to evaluate the continued maintenance of this Control;

(d) nothing has occurred that would constitute a violation or failure to comply with the Site Management Plan for this Control; and

(e) if a financial assurance mechanism is required by the oversight document for the site, the mechanism remains valid and sufficient for its intended purpose established in the document.

YES NO

IF THE ANSWER TO QUESTION 2 IS NO, sign and date below and DO NOT COMPLETE THE REST OF THIS FORM. Otherwise continue.

A Corrective Measures Work Plan must be submitted along with this form to address these issues.

Signature of Owner, Remedial Party or Designated Representative

Date

IC CERTIFI	CATIONS
SITE NO.	360035

Box 6

SITE OWNER OR DESIGNATED REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE

I certify that all information and statements in Boxes 1,2, and 3 are true. I understand that a false statement made herein is punishable as a Class "A" misdemeanor, pursuant to Section 210.45 of the Penal Law.

I Gretchen print na	Fitzgeral	at <u>4 Burnett B</u> print busi	ness address
am certifying as	owner	(NYSDOT)	(Owner or Remedial Party)
for the Site named	in the Site Details	Section of this form.	

Hatter to

5/2/13 Date

Signature of Owner, Remedial Party, or Designated Representative Rendering Certification

IC/EC CERTIFICATIONS

Box 7

Qualified Environmental Professional Signature

I certify that all information in Boxes 4 and 5 are true. I understand that a false statement made herein is punishable as a Class "A" misdemeanor, pursuant to Section 210.45 of the Penal Law.

<u>Gretchen Fitzgerald</u> at <u>4 Burnett Blud., Poughkeepsie, NY 12603</u> print name print business address

am certifying as a Qualified Environmental Professional for the <u>MYSDoT</u> (owner) (Owner or Remedial Party)

Archol

Signature of Qualified Environmental Professional, for the Owner or Remedial Party, Rendering Certification Stamp (Required for PE)

12/13 Date

Site Management Periodic Review Report NYSDOT Harrison Sub-Residency Harrison, New York Site Number 360035 May 2, 2013

Introduction

The New York State Department of Transportation (DOT) Harrison sub-residency site is located east of Route 120 and west of the Westchester County Airport in the Town of Harrison, Westchester County (see Attachment 1).

Currently, there are two environmental concerns at the Harrison sub-residency:

- a 2.6 acre landfill, which is listed in the New York State Registry of Inactive Hazardous Waste Sites and is classified as a "Category 4", meaning that it has been remediated and only ongoing monitoring is required, and
- a "closed, does not meet standards" petroleum spill (#94-07349) which, at present, still requires monitoring.

Over the past 13 years, results from extensive environmental monitoring (surface water, groundwater, sediment, landfill gas, petroleum-remediation gas emissions) indicate that these areas do not represent a significant threat to the environment, including the nearby Kensico Reservoir.

Site History

The Harrison sub-residency facility was built in 1967 to support the construction and operation of Interstate 684. Roadside and highway construction debris were disposed on-site in a landfill between 1967 and 1976. The area was fenced in 1972. In April 1993 a 55-gallon drum of road striping paint was removed from the landfill during a test pit excavation. Because the paint contained toluene (a solvent), the site was placed on the New York State Registry of Inactive Hazardous Waste Sites.

DOT consulted with the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (DEC) and the New York State Department of Health (DOH) and performed an expedited, comprehensive investigation (i.e., Preliminary Site Assessment) of the landfill and its vicinity in 1995. Several drums containing hazardous or suspected hazardous waste, mostly paint products, were encountered and removed from the landfill. Based on the results of the investigation, DEC, DOH and DOT indicated that the landfill was not a significant threat to the reservoir.

After consulting with DEC, DOH, and the New York City Department of Environmental Protection (DEP), the landfill was re-graded and capped in accordance with stringent DEC landfill closure requirements on August 31, 1999. The cap includes a durable polyethylene liner to prevent the infiltration of water and subsequent leaching of contaminants into the

SMPRR – Site # 360035 Harrison Sub-Residency May 2, 2013

environment. A small stream that drains into the reservoir was rerouted around the landfill so that it could not act as a collector trench for contaminants (see Attachment 2). The plastic liner extends beneath the repositioned stream, so that any leachate generated within the fill cannot discharge into surface water. Environmental monitoring results have shown no evidence of toxic contaminants from the landfill with the potential of reaching the reservoir.

DOT also identified a petroleum spill at the vicinity of the fuel tank area. With DEC approval, in August 1994, DOT removed three underground petroleum storage tanks (two 400-gallon and one 2000-gallon), 130 cubic yards of contaminated soil, and 8,000 gallons of contaminated water. There are currently no tanks remaining on this property. Monitoring results at the petroleum spill area suggested that the spill had not migrated off-site and was not a significant threat to the reservoir.

Landfill monitoring has been conducted on a quarterly basis since 2000. In February 2010, with concurrence from DOH and DEP, DEC granted permission to DOT to change this frequency to semi-annually. These monitoring reports have been and continue to be submitted to DEC, DOH and DEP.

Beginning in 2000, DOT completed quarterly groundwater, surface water, and sediment sampling and reports at the landfill area, and groundwater sampling and reports at the petroleum spill area. The quarterly reports changed to every-5th quarter reports in October 2005. These reports have been and continue to be submitted to DEC, DOH and DEP.

At the request of DOH and in coordination with DEC, a sub-slab soil gas investigation was conducted in March 2006 at two locations within the sub-residency building beneath the concrete slab foundation. The purpose of the sub-slab soil gas investigation was to assess the potential for vapor intrusion into the sub-residency building from the potential presence of volatile organic compounds in the groundwater and/or sub-surface soil adjacent to and/or beneath the building. DEC reviewed the results of the sub-slab investigation and concluded that the low levels of site-related volatile organic compounds detected in the sub-slab vapor of the sub-residency building indicated that the potential for exposure to site-related compounds via indoor vapor intrusion was unlikely. In addition, the presence of non-site related compounds at the levels detected in the sub-slab soil vapor did not represent an exposure concern considering the current use of the building.

Institutional and Engineering Controls

• <u>Air Sparge/Soil Vapor Extraction System</u>

On October 31, 2000, DOT started operating an Air Sparge/Soil Vapor Extraction (AS/SVE) system at the petroleum spill area. This system was highly successful: a 90% overall reduction of contaminants was achieved in less than a year due to volatilization and bioremediation of

SMPRR – Site # 360035 Harrison Sub-Residency May 2, 2013

the petroleum product. Because contaminant levels significantly decreased in the first year and then remained relatively constant (i.e., natural attenuation processes were/are effectively reducing and containing the plume), and it was agreed that the maximum benefits of the system had been achieved, the remedial system was taken off-line in October 2002. The pipes were plugged by DOT Maintenance in October 2006.

In March 2008, DEC determined that the remedial work performed at the site, specifically the past operations of the AS/SVE system and the monitoring program which is ongoing, had addressed the open spill number 94-07349. The spill was declared "Closed, does not meet standards". (The official spill closure date is 10/10/02, which is when the AS/SVE system was shut down). The ongoing monitoring program serves to document the status of the residual contamination.

In May –June 2008, after discussions among DOT, DEC, DOH, and DEP, thirteen wells in the petroleum spill area were "closed" or decommissioned. In June of 2008, two new wells, MW-12 and MW-13, were installed (see Attachments 6, 7, 13).

In November 2011 and May 2012, again after discussions among DOT, DEC, DOH, and DEP, five more wells were "closed" or decommissioned (three in the petroleum spill area, one in the landfill area, and one "outlier"). The existing well in the petroleum spill area which has been left open is MW-11 (see Attachments 8, 14).

• <u>Fence</u>

The Harrison sub-residency site is secured with a fence and a locked gate. There is also a fence surrounding the entire perimeter of the landfill. The Town of Harrison operates a leaf transfer station at the Harrison sub-residency. The Town operates out of the old sub-residency garage that is on-site. While the Town is not involved in the groundwater monitoring or landfill inspections, they are responsible for maintaining the area where they work. Any damage to the landfill fence is noted on the semi-annual landfill inspection reports. Any necessary repairs to the fence are made by DOT. On occasion, the Town of Harrison has been asked to fix portions of the fence that have been damaged by their operations.

Landfill Cap

The landfill was re-graded and capped in accordance with stringent DEC landfill closure requirements on August 31, 1999. The cap includes a durable polyethylene liner to prevent the infiltration of water and subsequent leaching of contaminants into the environment. Minor erosion on the western slope of the landfill became an issue in 2006. DOT Region 8 Maintenance applied wood chips to the area in the Spring of 2007. A permanent fix to the erosion/soil slump took place in May 2009 when it was repaired by DOT Residency 8-9 personnel. Approximately 6 inches of #2 crushed stone (NYSDOT Standard Spec. 623.12) was placed on the slump then covered with approximately 12 inches of fine stone fill (NYSDOT

SMPRR – Site # 360035 Harrison Sub-Residency May 2, 2013

Standard Spec. 620.02). Repair suggestions were provided by the DOT Region 8 Geotechnical Group.

• Operations and Maintenance Plan

An Operations and Maintenance (O+M) plan was developed by DOT when well sampling and landfill monitoring began. The O+M plan has been updated throughout the years as necessary and is always shared with and agreed upon by DEC, DOH, and DEP. The most current O+M plan is dated February 2010 (see Attachment 10).

Please see the attachments for more information:

Attachment 1 – Site Location Map

Attachment 2 – Site Map

Attachment 3 – Landfill Groundwater Sample Locations

Attachment 4 – Landfill Surface Water and Sediment Sample Locations

Attachment 5 – Landfill Gas Vent Locations

Attachment 6 – Petroleum Spill Area Historic Well Locations

Attachment 7 – Landfill and Petroleum Spill Area Monitoring Well Locations as of June 2008

Attachment 8 – Landfill and Petroleum Spill Area Monitoring Well Locations as of May 2012

Attachment 9 – Petroleum Spill Area Monitoring Well Locations as of May 2012

Attachment 10 – Operations and Maintenance Plan for the Landfill and Petroleum Spill Area – February 2010

Attachment 11 – Groundwater Data Summary for the Petroleum Spill Area – July 2012

Attachment 12 – Groundwater, Surface Water, and Sediment Data Summaries for the Landfill – July 2012

Attachment 13 – Plugging Report for Well Closures in May and June 2008

Attachment 14 – Plugging Report for Well Closures in November 2011 and May 2012



FIGURE 1 Harrison Subresidency Post Closure Quarterly Monitoring Report Site Location

NYSDOT PIN: 8806.51.101



Attachment 2



Attachment 3



Attachment 4



Attachment 5







200 Feet



200 Feet







Figure 2 Harrison Subresidency Spill Site Monitoring Well Locations NYSDOT Harrison, N.Y.

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE PLAN FOR THE HARRISON SUBRESIDENCY LANDFILL AND PETROLEUM SPILL AREA

February 2010

Semi-annual (DOT)

- 1. Inspect monitoring wells.
- 2. Landfill cap (including cap vegetation) inspection.
- 3. Inspect landfill gas vents.
- 4. Inspect perimeter fence, gates, and signs.

Every 5 Quarters (Consultant)

5. Measure water level from monitoring wells.

Landfill Area Only:

- 6. Vector and vermin inspection.
- 7. Perimeter drainage swales.
- 8. Gas monitoring at gas vents and property line perimeter.
- 9. Sample ground- and surface- water for field parameters: Temperature, turbidity, DO, pH, and specific conductivity. In addition, sample groundwater only for: Eh (or ReDox; field parameter). (*See* Note 1 *below*).
- 10. Sample all the media for TCL VOCs, SVOCs, TAL-Metals (including cyanide and chloride). (*See* **Note 2, Note 3,** *and* **Note 4** *below*).

Petroleum Spill Area Only:

- 11. Sample groundwater for field parameters: Temperature, turbidity, DO, pH, and specific conductivity, and Eh. (*See* Note 1 *below*).
- 12. Sample groundwater for BTEX, MTBE, and natural attenuation parameters: Iron (dissolved; Fe-II), Manganese (dissolved; Mn-II), Bicarbonate Alkalinity (reported as HCO3), Alkalinity (Total), Nitrate (NO3), and Sulfate (SO4). Parameters can be modified based on discussions with DEC.

Other (DOT):

- 13. Twice a year during the growing season (e.g., End of June, Mid September): mow cap grass to a height of no lower than 15 cm.
- 14. After major rainfall events (5-year storms): inspect cap and drainage swales.

• Well purge water can be discharged immediately downgradient from the well.

Note 1: A flow cell meter must be used to measure all field parameters.

Note 2: *Groundwater*: filter samples for TAL-Metal analyses. *Surface Water*: unfiltered samples; filter samples for TAL-Metal analyses if *samples have been significantly disturbed* (e.g., the consultant dug a hole to collect a sample and water turbidity resulted from digging the hole; no unfiltered "disturbed" samples are to be collected for TAL-Metal analyses).

Note 3: Testing for pesticides and PCBs has been suspended after October 2005, because we have no evidence of any disposal of these contaminants at the site, and levels of these contaminants have not been detected or not significantly detected at site monitoring points.

Note 4: If field duplicate samples are collected, they will be performed on water samples only (not on sediment samples), since water samples are expected to be more homogeneous.

	COL S	CLIENT ID: LECTION DATE: AMPLE MATRIX:	MW-11 7/24/2012 Aqueous	PC-1 7/24/2012 Aqueous	Trip Blank 7/24/2012 Aqueous
Analyte	Units	NYSDEC Class GA Standard			
Metals					
Dissolved Iron	ug/L	300	5,500	ND	ND
Dissolved Manganese	ug/L	300	8,800	790	ND
Volatiles					
Benzene	ug/L	1	1.9	ND	ND
Ethylbenzene	ug/L	5	32	ND	ND
m&p-Xylenes	ug/L	5	11	ND	ND
Methyl-t-butyl ether	ug/L	50	ND	ND	ND
o-Xylene	ug/L	5	2.3	ND	ND
Toluene	ug/L	5	ND	ND	ND
Wet Chemistry					
Alkalinity (Total)	mg CaCO3/I	NA	360	210	ND
Alkalinity Bicarbonate	mgHCO3/L	NA	360	200	ND
Nitrate	ug/L	10,000	ND	ND	ND
Sulfate	ug/L	250,000	3,300	1,400	ND
Field Parameters					
рН		NA	6.67	7.08	NA
Temperature	Celcius	NA	16.6	18.12	NA
Specific Conductivity	umhos	NA	2.05	0.777	NA
Dissolved Oxygen	mg/L	NA	5.03	5.13	NA
Turbidity	NTUs	NA	16.7	33.3	NA

Table 1. Analytical Results of Groundwater Monitoring WellsHarrison Sub-Residency Spill Site, July 2012

Notes:

BOLD indicates a concentration exceeding NYSDEC Class GA Standard

ND – Non Detect

NA – Not Applicabl

			Table T. P	marylical Res		iuwaler Sam	Jies		
		Well ID:	LMW-2	PC-1	PC-2	LMW-4	PC-3	LF-1	Trip Blank
		Depth to Water:	12.18	7.77	4.3	5.0	10.5		
		Location:	background	downgradient	downgradient	downgradient	off-site downgradient	PC-1 Duplicate	
		Depth of Well:	21	17	11.2	15.5	18		
Analyte	Units	NYSDEC CLASS GA STD/GV							
Volatiles									
Total VOCs	ug/L	5	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
SemiVolatiles									
Total SVOCs	ug/L	50	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Metals									
Mercury	ug/L	0.7	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Aluminum	ug/L	NS	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Antimony	ug/L	3	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Arsenic	ug/L	25	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Barium	ug/L	1000	120	120	130	160	120	110	ND
Beryllium	ug/L	3	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Cadmium	ug/L	5	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Calcium	ug/L	NS	74,000	60,000	77,000	60,000	56,000	58,000	ND
Chromium	ug/L	50	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Cobalt	ug/L	NA	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Copper	ug/L	200	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Iron	ug/L	300	ND	ND	25,000	72,000	1700	ND	ND
Lead	ug/L	25	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Magnesium	ug/L	35,000	29,000	8,800	22,000	25,000	16,000	8,400	ND
Manganese	ug/L	300	210	710	8,800	14,000	560	670	ND
Nickel	ug/L	100	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Potassium	ug/L	NS	3,700	3,800	4,800	3,800	4,900	3,700	ND
Selenium	ug/L	10	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Silver	ug/L	50	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Sodium	ug/L	20,000	27,000	87,000	43,000	31,000	64,000	85,000	ND
Thallium	ug/L	0.5	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Vanadium	ug/L	NS	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Zinc	ug/L	2000	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Chloride	mg/L	250	13	130	23	15	140	130	ND
Cyanide	mg/L	200	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Water Quality Parar	neters								
рН			6.78	7.08	6.56	6.58	6.71	NA	NA
Temperature	Celsius		12.9	18.12	15.02	13.59	14.25	NA	NA
Conductivity	ms/cm	 	0.68	0.777	0.887	0.951	0.83	NA	NA
	ma/l		8.23	5.13	6.04	3 34	3.01	NA	ΝA
	NTUs		21.7	33.3	42	20.7	0.01	NA	
	11105	╂─────┤	400	33.5	70	20.1	0		
UKP	1		120	38	-13	-71	- 34	NA	NA

Table 1. Analytical Nesulis of Groundwater Samples	Table 1. Analy	vtical	Results	of	Groundwater	Sample
--	----------------	--------	---------	----	-------------	--------

Notes: BOLD - indicates a concentration exceeding NYSDEC Standard or Guidance Value ND - not detected at analytical detection limit NS - no standard NA – not applicable

			Sample ID:	SW-1	SW-2	SW-4	Field Blank
			Depth:	0-4"	0-4"	0-4"	
Analyte	Units	NYSDEC Class GA Std.	NYSDEC Class A Std.				
Volatiles							
Total VOCs	ug/L	NA	NA	ND	ND	ND	ND
SemiVolatiles							
Total SVOCs	ug/L	NA	NA	ND	ND	ND	ND
Metals							
Mercury	ug/L	0.7	0.7^1 , 7e-4 ⁵ , 0.77 ² , 1.4 ³ , 0.0026 ⁶	ND	ND	ND	ND
Aluminum	ug/L	NS	100 ²	910	24000	21000	ND
Antimony	ug/L	3	3 ¹	ND	ND	ND	ND
Arsenic	ug/L	25	50 ¹ , 150 ² , 340 ³	ND	ND	ND	ND
Barium	ug/L	1,000	1,000 ¹	120	4500	1100	ND
Beryllium	ug/L	3	3 ¹	ND	ND	ND	ND
Cadmium	ug/L	5	5 ¹	ND	5.3	3.9	ND
Calcium	ug/L	NS	NS	45,000	120,000	85,000	ND
Chromium	ug/L	50	50 ¹	ND	ND	46	ND
Cobalt	ug/L	NS	5 ²	ND	41	30	ND
Copper	ug/L	200	200 ¹	ND	160	81	ND
Iron	ug/L	300	300 ^{2,4}	7100	140000	85000	ND
Lead	ug/L	25	50 ¹	ND	130	160	ND
Magnesium	ug/L	35,000	35,000 ¹	14,000	33,000	31,000	ND
Manganese	ug/L	300	300 ⁴	5000	120000	39000	ND
Nickel	ug/L	100	100 ¹	ND	75	51	ND
Potassium	ug/L	NS	NS	3,200	7,200	7,200	ND
Selenium	ug/L	10	10 ¹ , 4.6 ²	ND	ND	ND	ND
Silver	ug/L	50	50 ¹	ND	ND	ND	ND
Sodium	ug/L	20,000	NS	9,400	13,000	12,000	ND
Thallium	ug/L	0.5	0.5 ¹ , 8 ²	ND	ND	ND	ND
Vanadium	ug/L	NS	14 ²	ND	66	63	ND
Zinc	ug/L	2000	2,000 ¹ , 5,000 ⁴	ND	1200	450	ND
Chloride	mg/L	250	250,000 ¹	6	7	7	ND
Cyanide	mg/L	200	200 ¹ , 9000 ⁵ , 5.2 ² , 22 ³	ND	0.03	ND	ND
Water Quality Para	meters						
рН				7.41	8.5	4.63	NA
Temperature	Celsius			18.07	19.39	22.53	NA
Conductivity	ms/cm			0.374	0.365	0.367	NA
Dissolved Oxygen	mg/L			14.21	7.67	5.77	NA
Turbidity	NTUs			41.1	201	207	NA
Flow	CFS			0	0	0	NA

Table 2. Anal	vtical Results	s of Surface	Water Samples

Notes: BOLD - indicates a concentration exceeding NYSDEC Standard or Guidance Value

NS - no standard

ND - not detected at analytical detection limit ¹Class A Standards for Surface Water as a source of Drinking Water ^{2,3,4,5,6} Other Class A Standards: Fish Propagation², Fish Survival³, Aesthetic⁴, Human Consumption of Fish⁵, Wildlife Protection 6

		•	Sample ID:	SD-1	SD-2	SD-4
	Sediment Criteria					
Analyte	Units	LEL	SEL			
Metals						
Mercury	mg/Kg	0.15	1.3	ND	ND	ND
Aluminum	mg/Kg	NS	NS	6,900	11,000	11,000
Antimony	mg/Kg	2	25	ND	ND	ND
Arsenic	mg/Kg	6	33	ND	ND	ND
Barium	mg/Kg	NS	NS	79	820	220
Beryllium	mg/Kg	NS	NS	ND	ND	ND
Cadmium	mg/Kg	0.6	9	ND	ND	ND
Calcium	mg/Kg	NS	NS	1,500	16,000	17,000
Chromium	mg/Kg	26	110	13	ND	27
Cobalt	mg/Kg	NS	NS	4.5	ND	8.8
Copper	mg/Kg	16	110	11	37	25
Iron	mg/Kg	20,000	40,000	16,000	78,000	33,000
Lead	mg/Kg	31	110	ND	61	57
Magnesium	mg/Kg	NS	NS	3,700	5,800	12,000
Manganese	mg/Kg	460	1,100	1,300	35,000	8,000
Nickel	mg/Kg	16	50	11	ND	23
Potassium	mg/Kg	NS	NS	2,100	ND	1,800
Selenium	mg/Kg	NS	NS	ND	ND	ND
Silver	mg/Kg	1	2.2	ND	ND	ND
Sodium	mg/Kg	NS	NS	ND	ND	ND
Thallium	mg/Kg	NS	NS	ND	ND	ND
Vanadium	mg/Kg	NS	NS	19	ND	38
Zinc	mg/Kg	120	270	35	240	140
Chloride	mg/Kg	NS	NS	ND	ND	ND
Cyanide	mg/Kg	NS	NS	ND	2.3	ND

Table 3.	Analytical	Results o	f Sediment	Samples	for Metals
	/ in a strought			oumpioo	I OI INIOLUIO

Notes: BOLD - indicates a concentration exceeding NYSDEC Standard or Guidance Value ND - not detected at analytical detection limit NS – no standard

	Human Health Bioaccum.	Benthic Aquatic Life Acute Toxicity	Benthic Aquatic Life Chronic Toxicity	Wildlife Bioaccum.	SD-1	SD-2	SD-4
Analyte	Sediment Criteria mg/gOC	Sediment Criteria mg/gOC	Sediment Criteria mg/gOC	Sediment Criteria mg/gOC	mg/Kg	mg/Kg	mg/Kg
Semi-Volatiles							
Total SVOCs					ND	ND	0.28
Pyrene	NS	0.877	NS	0.961	0.1	ND	0.28
Volatiles							
Total VOCs					ND	ND	0.1259
Acetone	NS	NS	NS	NS	ND	ND	0.12
Toluene	NS	0.235	NS	NS	ND	ND	0.0059

Table 4 Analytical Results of Sodimont Samples for SVOCs and VOCs

Notes:

BOLD indicates a concentration exceeding NYSDEC Standard

NS - No Standard

ND - Not detected

Station ID	% LEL CGI	PID Equiv.	FID (ppm)	H2S (ppm)	% O2	% CO2
V-1	0	0.0	431.6	1.8	19.4	0
V-2	>100	0.0	>4,951	1.7	6	0
V-3	>100	0.0	>4,951	1.9	6.2	0
V-4	18.1	0.0	3,794	1.9	18.1	0
S Perimeter	0	0.0	0	0	NA	NA
E Perimeter	0	0.0	0	0	NA	NA
N Perimeter	0	0.0	0	0	NA	NA
W Perimeter	0	0.0	0	0	NA	NA

Table 5. Gas Monitoring Results

PLUGGING REPORT Page 1 of 2								
SPILL NO. 94-07349 SITE: HARRISON COUNTY: 1							STCHESTER	
Plugging Date: May and June, 2008 Plugged By: Greg P. & Eric D.								
Well Number	MW-2	MW-3	MW-4	MW-5	MW-6	MW-7	MW-8	
Date Drilled	3-Apr-95	3-Apr-95	3-Apr-95	27-Oct-95	27-Oct-95	27-Oct-95		
Inside Diameter of well	4"	4"	4"	4"	4"	4"	See Below	
Length of Riser	2.0 Ft.	2.0 Ft.	2.0 Ft.	2.0 Ft.	5.0 Ft.	5.0 Ft.		
Length of Screen	10.0 Ft.							
Total Depth	12.0 Ft.	12.0 Ft.	12.0 Ft.	12.0 Ft.	15.0 Ft.	15.0 Ft.		
Surface Equipment	Flush Mount	Flush Mount	Flush Mount	Flush Mount	Flush Mount	Flush Mount		
Minimum Volume of Grout Required	9.9 Gal.	9.9 Gal.	9.9 Gal.	9.9 Gal.	12.4 Gal.	12.4 Gal.		
Well Number	MW-9	MW-10B*	SP-01	SP-02	SP-3	SP-4		
Date Drilled	17-Mar-99	16-Mar-99	14-Apr-97	14-Apr-97	17-Mar-99	17-Mar-99		
Inside Diameter of well	1.5"	1.5"	2"	2"	1.5"	1.5"		
Length of Riser	4.0 Ft.	22.5 Ft.	Unknown	Unknown	18.0 Ft.	19.0 Ft.		
Length of Screen	10.0 Ft.	4.0 Ft.	Unknown	Unknown	2.5 Ft.	2.5 Ft.		
Total Depth	14.0 Ft.	26.5 Ft.	20.0 Ft.	20.0 Ft.	20.5 Ft.	21.5 Ft.		
Surface Equipment	Flush Mount	Flush Mount	Flush Mount	Flush Mount	Flush Mount	Flush Mount		
Minimum Volume of Grout Required	1.6 Gal.	3.0 Gal.	4.6 Gal.	4.6 Gal.	2.3 Gal.	2.4 Gal.		
Remarks								

A review of GEB records shows the following:

The above listed wells were plugged in May and June of 2008 using 160 gallons of grout.

MW-8 was probably 4" in diameter with a 15 Ft. Depth. This information is from the attached cross section.

The cross section shows MW-8 having the same depth as MW-7.

* MW-10B was drilled as SP-1B

The following wells remained unplugged MW-1, MW-11, GP-1 and GP-2

MW-12 and MW-13 were installed in June 2008 and also remained.



PLUGGING REPORT						
SPILL NO.	SITE: HA	ARRISON	COUNTY: WESTCHESTER			
Plugging Date: 29 Nov 2011 a			Plugged By: NYS DOT, Bob Rickard			
		-				
Well Number	MW-1	MW-12	MW-13	GP-1	GP-2	
Inside Diameter of well	4"	2"	2"	1 1/2"	2"	
Length of Riser	1 Ft.	8.2 Ft.	6.2 Ft.	5.1 Ft.	3.5 Ft.	
Length of Screen	7.5 Ft.	10.3 Ft.	10.3 Ft.	1.5 Ft.	2.0 Ft.	
Total Depth	8.5 Ft.	15.5 Ft. BGS	13.5 Ft. BGS	3.45 Ft. BGS	3.5 Ft. BGS	
Surface Equipment	Flush Mount	Protective Cover	Protective Cover	None	None	
Minimum Volume of Grout Required	7.0 Gal.	3.7 Gal.	3.1 Gal.	0.4 Gal.	0.8 Gal.	

Remarks

29 Nov 2011 Pluggings:

MW-13: The protective cover was extracted using a farm jack. The PVC had separated at the intersection of

the screen and riser (3.2 Ft. BGS). The soil was excavated to the top of the screen and 4.5 gallons of grout

was tremie poured, allowed to settle, then topped off.

GP-1 and GP-2: The PVC pipe was removed using a farm jack. Three gallons of grout were then top poured in

the voids, allowed to settle, then topped off.

2 May 2012 Pluggings:

MW-12: The protective cover and PVC were extracted using a farm jack. Six gallons of grout was then tremie

poured, allowed to settle, then topped off.

MW-1: The PVC pipe was removed using a farm jack. Eight gallons of grout were then tremie poured, allowed

to settle, then topped off. The flush mount cover and concrete pad were then removed using a jackhammer

and hand tools.

The surface for both wells was then restored using compacted topsoil.