

225 Schilling Circle, Suite 400 Hunt Valley, MD 21031 Telephone: 410-584-7000 Fax: 410-771-1625

Date: 25 February 2022

Letter Work Plan for Investigation-Derived Material Disposal Camp Smith 11 Bear Mountain Bridge Road, Cortlandt, New York 10567

This letter Work Plan details the specific disposal requirements for investigation-derived material (IDM) disposal of soil and purge/decon water containing per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS) related to the 2019 Site Investigation (SIs) and 2021 Supplemental Site Investigation (SSI) performed at Camp Smith by AECOM. Based upon records generated during the SI and Supplemental SI, 2 drums of soil, and 14 drums of water remain at the site for disposal. Currently, 1 drum of soil and 13 water drums from SSI are located in a Conex box off North Camp Road and 1 drum of soil and 1 drum of water are located in the Combined Support Maintenance Shop A (CMSA) (see figures below). Currently, the Conex box is locked and to gain access, the contractor (EA) will need to cut the lock. After treating all drums of water, all drums will be consolidated to the Conex box for secure storage. A new lock will be added to the conex box by the contractor (EA). This letter work plan and attachments are intended to provide the necessary steps for treatment and disposal of IDM remaining on site. A summary of planned disposal activities is listed in Table 1 below. Data tables from the 2022 Site Inspection report are provided in Attachment 3. Activities will be coordinated with the installation upon notification of approval with this plan.

	TABLE 1 SUMMARY OF PLANNED DISPOSAL ACTIVITIES												
Media	# of	Disposal Instructions (Per local regulatory coordination with NYSDEC)											
	Drums												
Soil	2 (1	• The Office of the Secretary of Defense (OSD) screening levels (SLs) for soil are 130 micrograms per kilogram											
	from	(µg/kg) perfluorooctanesulfonic acid (PFOS) and perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA), and 1,900 µg/kg											
	SI, 1	Perfluorobutanesulfonic acid (PFBS) (Assistant Secretary of Defense, 2021).											
	from	• The NYSDEC proposed soil guidance values for the protection of groundwater are considered for IDM											
	SSI)	management purposes; as outlined in "Sampling, Analysis, and Assessment of Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl											
		Substances (PFAS)", the values are 1.1 μ g/kg for PFOA and 3.7 μ g/kg for PFOS (NYSDEC, 2021)											
		• Per records, the soil drum from the 2021 SSI activities was generated from drilling fluids recovered from											
		location CS-MW004S. The solids were tested for PFAS; the only detections were PFBA at 0.090											
		micrograms per kilogram (µg/kg) and PFHxA at 0.032 µg/kg. Soil from this drum is proposed to be											
		distributed at the point of generation, CS-MW004S.											
		• Per records, soil from the 2019 SI borings was combined into one drum. Distribution is proposed at the											
		location of the highest detected soil concentration during the 2019 SI. The highest detection of PFOS in											
		soil generated during the 2019 SI activities was 1.02μ g/kg at location AOI 3-SB2, in surface soil. Soil											
		from this drum is proposed to be distributed at AOI 3-SB2.											
		• Per 2021 SSI coordination with NYSDEC, soil can be discharged directly to the ground surface at the											
		point of generation. Soil concentrations are below both OSD SLs and NYSDEC guidance values. Soils											
		from Camp Smith will be discharged directly to the ground surface in a manner to prevent mounding, as											
		described above.											
		• Should regulatory approval not be granted for disposing of the soil as described above, USACE and											
		ARNG will be contacted to determine the path forward for offsite disposal.											

¹ EA Engineering, P.C. is affiliated with EA Engineering, Science, and Technology, Inc., PBC who does business as EA Science and Technology in State of New York.

	14 (1	• Purge/decon water from all drums, will be pumped from current containers and treated with pre-hydrated
	from	granular activated carbon (GAC) filters in accordance with the attached EA SOP 042A. Pre-hydration
	SI, 13	eliminates the need for an onsite potable water source.
	from	• Treated water will be collected and re-containerized in its original drum. One confirmatory sample will
	SSI)	be collected at the end of treatment, or from the treated water from the drum originally containing the
D		highest PFAS concentration if known. The sample will be submitted for analysis of PFAS compounds
Purge		(existingTable B-15 PFAS list) with a turnaround time of 28-days. Sample results from the PFAS
Decen		sampling will be provided to NYSDEC for agency approval prior to surface discharge.
Weter		• If sample results have concentrations below the applicable NYSDEC MCL of 10 ng/L for PFOA and
water		PFOS, and approval to discharge has been obtained, EA will re-mobilize to the site to dispose of the treated
		water.
		• Treated water must be discharged directly to the ground surface in a manner that does not cause ponding and
		allows for adequate percolation into the ground. No treated water may be discharged directly into a surface
		water body. Alternatively, if no ground discharge is permitted by NYSDEC, treated water may be discharged
		into the Wastewater Treatment Plant (WWTP) onsite. The facility has no means to move the drums from the
		connex box to the WWTP thus EA will need to utilize a drum dolly and lift gate pickup truck to move the
		drums to the disposal location.
		• If sample results are still above screening levels, USACE and ARNG will be contacted for pathforward.

$\frac{Attachments}{1 - Figures}$

2 – Standard Operating Procedure No. 042A, For Treating Liquid Investigation-Derived Material
 3 – Soil Analytical Summary Result Tables from 2019 SI and 2021 Supplemental SI

Attachment 1 Figures This page is intentionally left blank.





Attachment 2

Standard Operating Procedure No. 042A, For Treating Liquid Investigation-Derived Material

This page is intentionally left blank.



Standard Operating Procedure No. 042A For Treating Liquid Investigation-Derived Material (Purge water, drilling water, and decontamination fluids)

Prepared by

EA Engineering, Science, and Technology, Inc., PBC 225 Schilling Circle, Suite 400 Hunt Valley, Maryland 21031

> Revision 2 September 2021

This page intentionally left blank

PROJECT-SPECIFIC VARIANCE FORM

This form is to be completed to indicate if there are any client-, project-, or site-specific variances to this Standard Operating Procedure (SOP).

	SOP No. 042A
SOP Section	Variance
General	Please note that all procedures and materials used for the treatment of Investigation-Derived Material (IDM) will be be confirmed to be Per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS)-free.
General	This SOP covers disposal of liquid IDM associated with the PFAS Site Inspection (SI) sampling at Army National Guard (ARNG) sites. This SOP does not cover disposal of Solid IDM.
9/14/2021	Updated Section 3.1 to clarify screening criteria for disposal may be site specific as agreed to during TPPs.

Michael O'Neill

Project Manager (Name)

Michael O' Nuill Project Manager (Signature)

09/14/2021

Date

CONTENTS

Page

1.	SCOPE AND APPLICATION	6
2.	MATERIALS	6
3.	PROCEDURE	6
3.1	GENERAL	6
3.2	PROCEDURES FOR LIQUID INVESTIGATION-DERIVEDMATERIAL DISPOSAL	7
3.3 EQU	PROCEDURES FOR DECONTAMINATION SOLUTION AND PERSONAL PROTECTIVE JIPMENT DISPOSAL	9
4.	MAINTENANCE	9
5.	REFERENCES	11



This page intentionally left blank



1. SCOPE AND APPLICATION

The purpose of this Standard Operating Procedure is to define the required steps for staging and treating liquid investigation-derived material (IDM) generated during Per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS) Site Investigation (SI) field activities. IDM, as used herein, includes purged groundwater and decontamination fluids (liquids) associated with PFAS sampling. This SOP also addresses the management of residuals left in the drum.

2. MATERIALS

The following materials may be required:

Department of Transportation (DOT)	Drum labels and paint pen
approved 55-gallon open top drum	
(steel) containers	
55-gallon container containing charcoal	Drum Dolly
granular activated carbon (GAC)	
PFAS free transfer pump(s) and hoses	Field logbook (bound)
Prefilter for sediment removal	Waste identification labels (Non-
	Haz, Non-RCRA" labels)
Drum Generation Information (provided by	Previous sampling results from
generator)	purge/decontamination water
Any additional equipment that may be	Sampling Equipment and
dictated by project, site location, or site-	containers (i.e., hoses, gauges, 5-
specific plans	gallon buckets, etc.)
Level D personal protective equipment [long	
sleeves, gloves, safety vest, safety glasses,	
and steel toe shoes	

3. PROCEDURE

3.1 GENERAL

No container will be labeled as a "Hazardous Waste" unless the contents are in fact known to be hazardous as defined by 40 Code of Federal Regulation 261. If contents are considered hazardous (as supported by generator knowledge or analytical results), the U.S. army Corps of Engineers (USACE) and ARNG will be contacted and no handling or treatment will occur.



Generally, the IDM is considered impacted with PFAS if analytical results indicate that perfluorooctanoic acid [PFOA], perfluorooctanesulfonic acid [PFOS] and perfluorobutanesulfonic acid (PFBS) analytes are present at or above the 2019 Office of the Secretary of Defense (OSD) screening levels (SLs) (Deputy Assistant Director of Defense, 2019). IDM impacted with PFAS at or above appropriate OSD SLs will be treated after which it may be discharged onsite if approved by USACE,¹ ARNG, and regulatory personnel and documented in an approved work plan for the site or in a separate written approval notice.

It is noted that in some circumstances, state regulatory agencies may have required that ARNG meet state specific discharge criteria in order to discharge water. Sampling results, disposal criteria and approval will be verified with ARNG prior to initiating disposal.

3.2 PROCEDURES FOR LIQUID INVESTIGATION-DERIVED MATERIAL DISPOSAL

The following general conditions are anticipated prior to moving forward with handling and disposal of liquid IDM.

- Water/fluids from the initial development of new SI wells, decontamination, and purge water generated during soil and groundwater sampling, has been containerized in DOT approved 55-gallon drums and staged in accessible areas.
- Water/fluid which is containerized will remain on-site until analytical results are received, and an agreeable path forward is determined.
- The disposal method after treatment (i.e., containerize and sample again, disposal to the ground surface, or other) will be approved by the USACE, ARNG, and regulatory personnel and documented in an approved work plan for the site or in a separate written approval notice prior to EA Engineering, Science, and Technology, Inc., PBC (EA) engaging in the handling and disposal of liquid IDM .

The following general sequence is anticipated for handling and disposal of liquid IDM.

- 1. Prior to arrival onsite:
 - a. Review sampling results and confirm PFAS levels and quantity of material to be treated. If there are any other hazardous substances (as designated by EPA) or contaminants of concern in IDM other than PFAS that require management other than on site GAC treatment, immediately contact USACE, ARNG, and generator to resolve any discrepancy and confirm path forward.
 - b. Based on the volume of IDM liquid and maximum PFAS concentrations, the GAC treatment cannister(s) will be sized to achieve the site-specific treatment levels with an appropriate safety factor for the type of GAC used

¹ Site-specific maximum PFAS levels detected at the site and total volume of IDM fluids will be used to size GAC treatment canisters with safety factor necessary to achieve treatment levels established for the site. USACE will be contacted if PFAS levels detected onsite or the volume of water to be treated cannot be treated with a single GAC unit.



to prevent PFAS breakthrough.

- c. Review/determine site logistics including access requirements, drum locations and general conditions (hardstand or other), and discharge requirements (i.e., containerize after treatment, dispose on ground surface in vicinity, or disposal in alternate location). Immediately contact USACE, ARNG, and generator to resolve any discrepancy and confirm path forward.
- d. Determine if work requires any changes to SOP (i.e., use of additional equipment [i.e., Photoionization detector for VOC monitoring] or personal protective equipment beyond what is specified in SOP).
- 2. Upon arrival onsite:
 - a. Confirm containers as to type of media, generation date of the container, point-of- generation, and points-of-contact and condition (still sealed, any damage, leaking etc.).
 - b. Confirm the sampling and container identification with the description provided by the generator. If containers do not match or if there is evidence of damage, leaking, non-matching drum count is observed, immediately contact USACE, ARNG, and generator to resolve any discrepancy and confirm a path forward.
 - c. Confirm site logistics and confirm treatment and discharge approach with ARNG to ensure it matches previous documentation/understanding (i.e., team not receiving new instructions).
- 3. Treatment of IDM will proceed in the following general sequence:
 - a. Open existing 55-gallon IDM containers and confirm contents. If conditions do not match or there is evidence of damage/leaks, or if non-matching drum count is observed, immediately contact USACE, ARNG, and generator to resolve any discrepancy and confirm path forward.
 - b. Set up equipment, pump, prefilter, influent and effluent hoses, and empty drum (if containerizing after treatment).
 - c. Lower pump intake hose into drum being careful to keep it above any sediment/soils.
 - d. Pump the liquid media through the prefilter and then through the GAC. Dispose via the approved disposal method after treatment and described in the work plan. Flow rates should be monitored with a maximum flow rate of 5 gallons per minute unless authorized by the engineer for empty bed contact time of 15 mins or greater. Treatment flow rate is likely to be lower due to filter pressure.
 - e. Monitor discharge and pressure gauges to confirm back pressure.
 - f. Monitor filter and stop pumping to change filter when pressures increase, or filter media is observed to be built up with sediment.
 - g. Empty remaining sediment/liquids into existing soils/sediment drums onsite. Consolidate residual material per sampling location. Document the quantity, type of material, and source of material emptied into existing soil/sediment drums onsite.
 - h. Rinse the drum with PFAS free water, treat the rinsate, and collect the effluent.

Label drums as clean/empty. Final drum disposition is discussed below.

- i. If water is pumped into drums instead of being discharged to the ground surface after GAC treatment, one water sample will be collected from one drum of water (likely the final drum of treated water). Samples will be shipped next day for analysis of PFAS compounds (existing Table B-15 PFAS list).
- j. Document process/remaining conditions. Collect photographs of treatment activities, empty drums, and site conditions if appropriate.
- k. Provide a written summary of treatment activities to the client.
- 1. Post treatment GAC will be moved to a secure location as identified by the installation and left for future use.
- m. Adhere a drum label to remaining drums and as a backup also label drums with a paint pen. Label drums as "GAC filtered liquid".
- 4. When discharge is approved by USACE and ARNG the following process will be followed:
 - a. Discharge should be in an area deemed appropriate by the ARNG and regulatory personnel as documented.
 - b. Discharge should conform to any received written instructions. Generally, if a discrepancy arises immediately contact USACE, ARNG, and generator to resolve any discrepancy and confirm path forward.
 - c. Discharge water may be gradually infiltrated into the ground. Disposal locations must allow percolation of the water and prohibit "ponding." No discharge into a stream or municipal system.
 - d. Upon completion of water discharge to ground, enter type of media, amount of media, date of disposal, and discharge point(s) in a bound Field Logbook.
 Collect photographs of discharge activities and discharge locations.
 - e. Provide a written summary of discharge activities to the client.
 - f. Confirm location and move empty drums. Adhere a drum label 2) As a backup also label with a paint pen. Installation to dispose of or recycle empty drums.

3.3 PROCEDURES FOR DECONTAMINATION SOLUTION AND PERSONAL PROTECTIVE EQUIPMENT DISPOSAL

Decontamination solutions include catch water from drill rigs, as well as smaller quantities of soapy water and rinse solutions used in decontaminating field sampling equipment. The decontamination solution will be treated as liquid IDM and combined with other liquid wastes and addressed as noted above (Section 3.2).

Personal protective equipment and disposable sampling equipment will be containerized onsite, appropriately labeled, and disposed in a designated trash receptacle.

4. MAINTENANCE

The waste disposal records collected during operations will be incorporated into the project file as soon as possible in either hard copy or electronic format. Refer to EA's Records



Retention Policy for archiving information. Records will be distributed to USACE and ARNG as noted in previous sections.

5. REFERENCES

Deputy Assistant Secretary of Defense. 2019. Investigation Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances within The Department of Defense Cleanup Program. United States Department of Defense. 19 October.

Environment Article Section 7-201(t).

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 1991. Management of Investigation-Derived Wastes during Site Inspections PB91-921331, OERR Directive 9345.3-02. Office of Emergency and Remedial Response U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C. May.



This page intentionally left blank

Attachment 3

Soil Analytical Summary Result Tables from 2019 SI and 2021 Supplemental SI²

² AECOM, 2022. Draft Final Site Inspection Report Camp Smith Training Site, Cortlandt, New York. Perfluorooctanesulfonic Acid (PFOS) and Perfluorooctanoic Acid (PFOA) Impacted Sites ARNG Installations, Nationwide. January.

This page intentionally left blank

Table 6-2 PFAS Detections in Surface Soil Site Inspection Report, Camp Smith

	Area of Interest	AOI1												AOI2						
	Sample ID	AOI 1-S	B1-0.5-1	AOI 1-SB2-0.5-1 AOI 1-SB3-0.5-1 AOI 1-SB3-1-2		SB3-1-2	CS-MW001S-SB-00-02 CS-MW001S-SB-00-02-D					AOI 2-SB1-0.5-1		AOI2-SB2-00-02		33-00-02				
Sample Date Depth		12/11	/2019	12/11	/2019	12/11	/2019	12/11	/2019	07/20	0/2021	07/20	0/2021	12/10	/2019	07/24	/2021 07/24/2021		/2021	
		0.5	- 1 ft	0.5	- 1 ft	0.5	- 1 ft	1 -	2 ft	0 -	2 ft	0 -	2 ft	0.5	- 1 ft	0 - 2 ft		0 - 2 ft		
Analyte	OSD Screening	Result	Qual	Result	Qual	Result	Qual	Result	Qual	Result	Qual	Result	Qual	Result	Qual	Result	Qual	Result	Qual	
	Level ^a																			
Soil, PFAS by LCMSMS	compliant with Q	SM 5.3 Tak	ole B-15 (µo	g/kg)†			_													
6:2 FTS	-	ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		0.460	J	
8:2 FTS	-	ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		0.077	J	7.65		
PFBA	-	ND		ND		0.146	J	0.325	J	0.224	J	0.207	J	0.143	J	ND		ND		
PFBS	1900	ND		ND		ND		ND		0.034	J	0.034	J	ND		ND		ND		
PFDA	-	ND		ND		ND		ND		0.093	J	0.085	J	ND		ND		0.111	J	
PFDoA	-	ND		ND		ND		ND		0.032	J	0.030	J	ND		0.025	J	ND		
PFHpA	-	ND		ND		ND		ND		0.134	J	0.126	J	ND		ND		0.061	J	
PFHxA	-	ND		ND		ND		ND		0.123	J	0.113	J	ND		ND		0.033	J	
PFHxS	-	ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		0.053	J	0.150	J	
PFNA	-	ND		ND		ND		ND		0.217	J	0.197	J	ND		ND		0.071	J	
PFOA	130	ND		ND		ND		0.919	J	0.669	J	0.627	J	ND		ND		0.126	J	
PFOS	130	0.260	J	0.345	J	ND		0.339	J	0.396	J	0.334	J	0.432	J	4.56		34.2		
PFPeA	-	ND		ND		ND		ND		0.129	J	0.110	J	ND		ND		0.021	J	
PFUnDA	-	ND		ND		ND		ND		0.073	J	0.072	J	ND		0.027	J	0.021	J	

Grey Fill Detected concentration exceeded OSD Screening Levels

†Samples collected during Mobilization 1 were analyzed by QSM 5.1 (which was the most current version at the time of the event)

References

a. Assistant Secretary of Defense, 2021. Risk Based Screening Levels Calculated for PFOS and PFOA in Groundwater or Soil using USEPA's Regional Screening Level Calculator. HQ=0.1. 15 September 2021. Soil screening levels based on residential scenario for direct ingestion of contaminated soil.

Interpreted Qualifiers

J = Estimated concentration

Chemical Abbreviations

6:2 FTS	6:2 fluorotelomer sulfonate
8:2 FTS	8:2 fluorotelomer sulfonate
PFBA	perfluorobutanoic acid
PFBS	perfluorobutanesulfonic acid
PFDA	perfluorodecanoic acid
PFDoA	perfluorododecanoic acid
PFHpA	perfluoroheptanoic acid
PFHxA	perfluorohexanoic acid
PFHxS	perfluorohexanesulfonic acid
PFNA	perfluorononanoic acid
PFOA	perfluorooctanoic acid
PFOS	perfluorooctanesulfonic acid
PFPeA	perfluoropentanoic acid
PFUnDA	perfluoro-n-undecanoic acid

Acronyms and Abbreviation	<u>15</u>
AOI	Area of Interest
D/FD	duplicate
ft	feet
HQ	hazard quotient
LCMSMS	liquid chromatography with
LOD	limit of detection
ND	analyte not detected above
OSD	Office of the Secretary of De
QSM	Quality Systems Manual
Qual	interpreted qualifier
SB	soil boring
USEPA	United States Environmenta
µg/kg	micrograms per kilogram
-	not applicable

acid

tandem mass spectrometry

e the LOD efense

tal Protection Agency

Table 6-2 PFAS Detections in Surface Soil Site Inspection Report, Camp Smith

	Area of Interest	t AOI 2							AOI3						
	Sample ID	AOI2-S	B4-00-02	AOI2-SE	AOI2-SB5-00-02		AOI 3-SB1-0.5-1		AOI 3-SB1-1-2		AOI 3-SB1-1-2-FD		SB2-0.5-1	CS-MW004S-SB-00-02	
Sample Date		07/24	07/24/2021		07/24/2021		12/10/2019		12/10/2019		0/2019	12/10/2019		07/19/2021	
	Depth	0 -	2 ft	0 - 2 ft		0.5 - 1 ft		1 - 2 ft		1 -	· 2 ft	0.5 - 1 ft		0 - 2 ft	
Analyte	OSD Screening	Result Qual		Result	Qual	Result	Qual	Result	Qual	Qual Result		Result	Qual	Result	Qual
	Level ^a														
Soil, PFAS by LCMSMS	compliant with C	SM 5.3 Ta	ble B-15 (µg	g/kg)†		_			_				_		
6:2 FTS	-	ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		ND	
8:2 FTS	-	0.065	J	ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		ND	
PFBA	-	ND		ND		0.270	J	0.304	J	0.207	J	0.301	J	0.059	J
PFBS	1900	ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		ND	
PFDA	-	ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		ND	
PFDoA	-	ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		ND	
PFHpA	-	ND		0.030	J	ND		ND		ND		ND		0.033	J
PFHxA	-	ND		0.095	J	ND		ND		ND		ND		0.042	J
PFHxS	-	0.067	J	ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		0.048	J
PFNA	-	ND		0.032	J	ND		ND		ND		0.130	J	ND	
PFOA	130	ND		ND		0.172	J	ND		ND		0.173	J	0.110	J
PFOS	130	1.25		0.099	J	0.194	J	ND		ND		1.02	J	0.283	J
PFPeA	-	ND		0.095	J	ND		ND		ND		ND		0.040	J
PFUnDA	-	ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		ND	

Grey Fill Detected concentration exceeded OSD Screening Levels

†Samples collected during Mobilization 1 were analyzed by QSM 5.1 (which was the most current version at the time of the event)

References

a. Assistant Secretary of Defense, 2021. Risk Based Screening Levels Calculated for PFOS and PFOA in Groundwater or Soil using USEPA's Regional Screening Level Calculator. HQ=0.1. 15 September 2021. Soil screening levels based on residential scenario for direct ingestion of contaminated soil.

Interpreted Qualifiers

J = Estimated concentration

Chemical Abbreviations

6:2 FTS

8:2 FTS PFBA PFBS

PFDA PFDoA PFHpA PFHxA PFHxS PFNA

PFOA

PFOS PFPeA PFUnDA

6:2 fluorotelomer sulfonate
8:2 fluorotelomer sulfonate
perfluorobutanoic acid
perfluorobutanesulfonic acid
perfluorodecanoic acid
perfluorododecanoic acid
perfluoroheptanoic acid
perfluorohexanoic acid
perfluorohexanesulfonic acid
perfluorononanoic acid
perfluorooctanoic acid
perfluorooctanesulfonic acid
perfluoropentanoic acid
perfluoro-n-undecanoic acid

Acronyms and Abbreviations	
AOI	Area of Interest
D/FD	duplicate
ft	feet
HQ	hazard quotient
LCMSMS	liquid chromatography with tar
LOD	limit of detection
ND	analyte not detected above the
OSD	Office of the Secretary of Defe
QSM	Quality Systems Manual
Qual	interpreted qualifier
SB	soil boring
USEPA	United States Environmental F
µg/kg	micrograms per kilogram
-	not applicable

ndem mass spectrometry

ne LOD ense

Protection Agency

Table 6-3 PFAS Detections in Shallow Subsurface Soil Site Inspection Report, Camp Smith

	Area of Interest			ŀ	AOI1							AC	DI2					AOI3				
	Sample ID	AOI 1-	SB1-6-8	AOI 1-S	B1-12-14	CS-MW001	D-SB-08-10	AOI 2-SI	B1-11-13	AOI2-SE	2-02-04	AOI2-SE	33-02-04	AOI2-SE	34-02-04	AOI2-SE	35-02-04	AOI 3-S	B2-7-9	CS-MW004	S-SB-07-09	
	Sample Date	12/1 ⁻	1/2019	12/11	/2019	07/20	/2021	12/10	/2019	07/24	/2021	07/24	/2021	07/24	/2021	07/24	/2021	12/10/	/2019	07/19	/2021	
	Depth	6 -	- 8 ft	12 -	14 ft	8 - 1	10 ft	11 -	13 ft	2 -	4 ft	2 -	4 ft	2 -	4 ft	2 -	4 ft	7 -	9 ft	7 -	9 ft	
Analyte	OSD Screening	Result	Qual	Result	Qual	Result	Qual	Result	Qual	Result	Qual	Result	Qual	Result	Qual	Result	Qual	Result	Qual	Result	Qual	
	Level ^a																					
Soil, PFAS by LCMSMS	compliant with Q	SM 5.3 Ta	ble B-15 (µg	g/kg)†								_										
6:2 FTS	-	ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		3.10		ND		ND		ND		ND		
8:2 FTS	-	ND		ND		ND		ND		0.670	J	30.2		2.08		0.426	J	ND		ND		
PFBA	-	0.313	J	0.257	J	ND		0.299	J	ND		0.050	J	ND		ND		0.274	J	ND		
PFBS	25000	ND		ND		0.025	J	ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		
PFDA	-	ND		ND		ND		ND		0.066	J	0.199	J	ND		ND		ND		ND		
PFDoA	-	ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		0.025	J	ND		ND		ND		ND		
PFHpA	-	ND		ND		ND		ND		0.031	J	0.195	J	0.022	J	ND		ND		ND		
PFHxA	-	ND		ND		0.034	J	ND		0.033	J	0.198	J	ND		0.033	J	ND		ND		
PFHxS	-	ND		ND		ND		ND		0.168	J	0.860	J	0.079	J	0.213	J	ND		ND		
PFNA	-	ND		ND		ND		ND		0.046	J	0.285	J	ND		ND		ND		ND		
PFOA	1600	ND		ND		0.129	J	ND		ND		0.588	J	ND		ND		ND		ND		
PFOS	1600	ND		ND		0.117	J	ND		24.4		191		17.6		1.42	J-	ND		ND		
PFPeA	-	ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		0.121	J	0.025	J	ND		ND		ND		
PFUnDA	-	ND		ND		ND		ND		0.037	J	0.028	J	0.022	J	ND		ND		ND		

Grey Fill Detected concentration exceeded OSD Screening Levels

†Samples collected during Mobilization 1 were analyzed by QSM 5.1 (which was the most current version at the time of the event)

References

a. Assistant Secretary of Defense, 2021. Risk Based Screening Levels Calculated for PFOS and PFOA in Groundwater or Soil using USEPA's Regional Screening Level Calculator. HQ=0.1. 15 September 2021. Soil screening levels based on industrial/commercial composite worker scenario for incidental ingestion of contaminated soil.

Interpreted Qualifiers

J = Estimated concentration

J- = Estimated concentration, biased low

Chemical Abbreviations

6:2 FTS	6:2 fluorotelomer sulfonate
8:2 FTS	8:2 fluorotelomer sulfonate
PFBA	perfluorobutanoic acid
PFBS	perfluorobutanesulfonic acid
PFDA	perfluorodecanoic acid
PFDoA	perfluorododecanoic acid
PFHpA	perfluoroheptanoic acid
PFHxA	perfluorohexanoic acid
PFHxS	perfluorohexanesulfonic acid
PFNA	perfluorononanoic acid
PFOA	perfluorooctanoic acid
PFOS	perfluorooctanesulfonic acid
PFPeA	perfluoropentanoic acid
PFUnDA	perfluoro-n-undecanoic acid

Acronyms and Abbreviation	<u>IS</u>
AOI	Area of Interest
D	duplicate
ft	feet
HQ	hazard quotient
LCMSMS	liquid chromatography with tander
LOD	limit of detection
ND	analyte not detected above the LC
OSD	Office of the Secretary of Defense
QSM	Quality Systems Manual
Qual	interpreted qualifier
SB	soil boring
USEPA	United States Environmental Prote
µg/kg	micrograms per kilogram
-	not applicable

em mass spectrometry

.OD

tection Agency

Table 6-4PFAS Detections in Deep Subsurface SoilSite Inspection Report, Camp Smith

Area of Interest	A	DI2	AOI 2/3						AOI3	
Sample ID	AOI 2-S	B1-21-23	CS-MW002	D-SB-39-41	CS-MW003	3S-SB-40-42	CS-MW0038	S-SB-40-42-D	AOI 3-SB2-15-17	
Sample Date	12/10/2019		07/23/2021		07/25/2021		07/25/2021		12/10/2019	
Depth	Depth 21 - 23 ft		39 - 41 ft		40 - 42 ft		40 - 42 ft		15 - 17 ft	
Analyte	Result	Qual	Result	Qual	Result	Qual	Result	Qual	Result	Qual
Soil, PFAS by LCMSMS	compliant	with QSM 5	5.3 Table B-15	5 (µg/kg)†						
PFBA	0.347	J	ND		ND		ND		0.234	J
PFHxA	ND		ND		0.026	J	ND	UJ	ND	
PFOS	ND		0.151	J	0.131	J	0.101	J	ND	

†Samples collected during Mobilization 1 were analyzed by QSM 5.1 (which was the most current version at the time of the event)

Interpreted Qualifiers

J = Estimated concentration

UJ = The analyte was not detected at a level greater than or equal to the adjusted detection limit (DL). However, the reported adjusted DL is approximate and may be inaccurate or imprecise.

Chemical Abbreviations	
PFBA	perfluorobutanoic acid
PFHxA	perfluorohexanoic acid
PFOS	perfluorooctanesulfonic acid
Acronyms and Abbreviation	<u>IS</u>
AOI	Area of Interest
D	duplicate
ft	feet
LCMSMS	liquid chromatography with ta
LOD	limit of detection
ND	analyte not detected above th
QSM	Quality Systems Manual
Qual	interpreted qualifier
SB	soil boring
µg/kg	micrograms per Kilogram

ith tandem mass spectrometry

ve the LOD

Table 6-5 **PFAS Detections in Groundwater** Site Inspection Report, Camp Smith

		Area of Interest						A	OI1							AO	2/3		AC	DI 3
		Sample ID	AOI 1	I-GW1	AOI 1	-GW2	AOI 1	-GW3	CS-MW	001D-GW	CS-MW0	001S-GW	CS-MW00	01S-GW-D	CS-MW0	002D-GW	CS-MW0	03S-GW	CS-MW	004S-GW
		Sample Date	12/11	1/2019	12/11	/2019	12/11	1/2019	07/24	1/2021	07/24	l/2021	07/24	/2021	07/28	3/2021	07/27	/2021	07/22	2/2021
Analyte	OSD Screening	USEPA HA ^b	Result	Qual	Result	Qual	Result	Qual	Result	Qual	Result	Qual	Result	Qual	Result	Qual	Result	Qual	Result	Qual
	Level ^a																			
Water, PFAS by LCMSM	S compliant with	QSM 5.3 Table B	3-15 (ng/l)†																	
6:2 FTS	-	-	2.10	J	ND		ND		ND		9.15		8.61		10.1		12.6		224	J-
PFBA	-	-	7.28	J	4.73	J	35.4		ND		ND		ND		ND		14.3		ND	
PFBS	600	-	2.87	J	ND		3.39	J	ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		ND	
PFDA	-	-	1.68	J	ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		ND	
PFHpA	-	-	4.77	J	3.50	J	9.51	J	3.00	J	1.74	J	1.85	J	2.75	J	27.0		ND	
PFHxA	-	-	9.81	J	3.42	J	11.4		ND		ND		ND		ND		43.2		ND	
PFHxS	-	-	14.6		3.45	J	10.2		7.05		3.81	J	3.80	J	17.9		64.1		ND	
PFNA	-	-	4.18	J	4.23	J	5.17	J	ND		ND		ND		ND		1.70	J	ND	
PFOA	40	70	29.0		24.0	J-	58.4		16.1		14.3		14.5		ND		21.2		ND	
PFOS	40	70	37.8		13.2	J+	12.5		10.8		ND		ND		37.7		147		ND	
PFPeA	-	-	ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		48.5		ND	
Total PFOA+PFOS	-	70	66.8		37.2		70.9		26.9		14.3		14.5		37.7		168		ND	

Grey Fill Detected concentration exceeded OSD Screening Levels

Bold Font Detected concentration exceeded USEPA HA Screening Levels

†Samples collected during Mobilization 1 were analyzed by QSM 5.1 (which was the most current version at the time of the event)

References a. Assistant Secretary of Defense, 2021. Risk Based Screening Levels Calculated for PFOS and PFOA in Groundwater or Soil using USEPA's Regional Screening Level Calculator. HQ=0.1. 15 September 2021. Groundwater screening levels based on residential scenario for direct ingestion of groundwater.

b. USEPA, 2016. Drinking Water Health Advisory for PFOA. Office of Water (4304T). Health and Ecological Criteria Division, Washington, DC 20460. EPA Document Number: 822-R-16-005. May 2016. / EPA. 2016. Drinking Water Health Advisory for PFOS. Office of Water (4304T). Health and Ecological Criteria Division, Washington, DC 20460. EPA Document Number: 822-R-16-004. May 2016.

Interpreted Qualifiers

J = Estimated concentration

J- = Estimated concentration, biased low

J+ = Estimated concentration, biased high

Chemical Abbreviations	
6:2 FTS	6:2 fluorotelomer sulfonate
PFBA	perfluorobutanoic acid
PFBS	perfluorobutanesulfonic acid
PFDA	perfluorodecanoic acid
PFHpA	perfluoroheptanoic acid
PFHxA	perfluorohexanoic acid
PFHxS	perfluorohexanesulfonic acid
PFNA	perfluorononanoic acid
PFOA	perfluorooctanoic acid
PFOS	perfluorooctanesulfonic acid
PFPeA	perfluoropentanoic acid

Acron	yms	and	Abbrev	/iations	

AOI	Area of Interest
D/FD	duplicate
GW	groundwater
HA	Health Advisory
HQ	hazard quotient
LCMSMS	liquid chromatography with
LOD	limit of detection
ND	analyte not detected above
OSD	Office of the Secretary of De
QSM	Quality Systems Manual
Qual	interpreted qualifier
USEPA	United States Environmenta
ng/l	nanogram per liter
-	not applicable

id

tandem mass spectrometry

the LOD Defense

al Protection Agency

Table 6-5 **PFAS Detections in Groundwater** Site Inspection Report, Camp Smith

		Area of Interest		AOI3						
		Sample ID	AOI 3	3-GW1	AOI 3-0	GW1-FD	AOI 3-GW2			
		Sample Date	12/1	0/2019	12/10	0/2019	12/10/2019			
Analyte	OSD Screening Level ^a	USEPA HA ^b	Result	Qual	Result	Qual	Result	Qual		
Water, PFAS by LCMSM	S compliant with	QSM 5.3 Table E	3-15 (ng/l)†							
6:2 FTS	-	-	ND		ND		2.60	J		
PFBA	-	-	12.9		12.5		4.50	J		
PFBS	600	-	3.77	J	3.69	J	2.66	J		
PFDA	-	-	ND		ND		ND			
PFHpA	-	-	8.83	J	8.09	J	ND			
PFHxA	-	-	22.0		21.3		4.49	J		
PFHxS	-	-	6.19	J	5.93	J	ND			
PFNA	-	-	3.57	J	4.25	J	ND			
PFOA	40	70	35.5		39.9		10.3			
PFOS	40	70	15.3		14.8		19.7			
PFPeA	-	-	21.9		22.2		ND			
Total PFOA+PFOS	-	70	50.8		54.7		30.0			

Grey Fill Detected concentration exceeded OSD Screening Levels

Bold Font Detected concentration exceeded USEPA HA Screening Levels

†Samples collected during Mobilization 1 were analyzed by QSM 5.1 (which was the most current version at the time of the event)

References a. Assistant Secretary of Defense, 2021. Risk Based Screening Levels Calculated for PFOS and PFOA in Groundwater or Soil using USEPA's Regional Screening Level Calculator. HQ=0.1. 15 September 2021. Groundwater screening levels based on residential scenario for direct ingestion of groundwater.

b. USEPA, 2016. Drinking Water Health Advisory for PFOA. Office of Water (4304T). Health and Ecological Criteria Division, Washington, DC 20460. EPA Document Number: 822-R-16-005. May 2016. / EPA. 2016. Drinking Water Health Advisory for PFOS. Office of Water (4304T). Health and Ecological Criteria Division, Washington, DC 20460. EPA Document Number: 822-R-16-004. May 2016.

Interpreted Qualifiers

J = Estimated concentration

J- = Estimated concentration, biased low

J+ = Estimated concentration, biased high

Chemical Abbreviations	
6:2 FTS	6:2 fluorotelomer sulfonate
PFBA	perfluorobutanoic acid
PFBS	perfluorobutanesulfonic acid
PFDA	perfluorodecanoic acid
PFHpA	perfluoroheptanoic acid
PFHxA	perfluorohexanoic acid
PFHxS	perfluorohexanesulfonic acid
PFNA	perfluorononanoic acid
PFOA	perfluorooctanoic acid
PFOS	perfluorooctanesulfonic acid
PFPeA	perfluoropentanoic acid

Acronyms and Abbreviations

AOI	Area of Interest
D/FD	duplicate
GW	groundwater
HA	Health Advisory
HQ	hazard quotient
LCMSMS	liquid chromatography with
LOD	limit of detection
ND	analyte not detected above
OSD	Office of the Secretary of De
QSM	Quality Systems Manual
Qual	interpreted qualifier
USEPA	United States Environmenta
ng/l	nanogram per liter
-	not applicable

tandem mass spectrometry

the LOD Defense

al Protection Agency

Appendix F Laboratory Data Investigation Derived Waste Site Inspection Report, Camp Smith

Sample ID	CS-ST-04S-072621						
Sample Date	07/26/2021						
Analyte	Result	LOD	LOQ	Qual			
Soil, PFAS by LCMSMS	compliant w	ith QSM 5	.3 Table B-	15 (µg/k			
6:2 FTS	<	0.316	1.58	U			
8:2 FTS	<	0.158	1.58	U			
NEtFOSAA	<	0.158	1.58	U			
NMeFOSAA	<	0.079	1.58	U			
PFBA	0.090	0.158	1.58	J			
PFBS	<	0.079	1.58	U			
PFDA	<	0.158	1.58	U			
PFDoA	<	0.079	1.58	U			
PFHpA	<	0.079	1.58	U			
PFHxA	0.032	0.079	1.58	J			
PFHxS	<	0.158	1.58	U			
PFNA	<	0.079	1.58	U			
PFOA	<	0.316	1.58	U			
PFOS	<	0.316	1.58	U			
PFPeA	<	0.079	1.58	U			
PFTeDA	<	0.079	1.58	U			
PFTrDA	<	0.158	1.58	U			
PFUnDA	<	0.079	1.58	U			

Interpreted Qualifiers

J = Estimated concentration

U = The analyte was not detected at a level greater than or equal to the adjusted detection limit

Chemical Abbreviations

6:2 FTS	6:2 fluorotelomer sulfonate
8:2 FTS	8:2 fluorotelomer sulfonate
NEtFOSAA	N-ethyl perfluorooctane- sulfonamidoacetic acid
NMeFOSAA	N-methyl perfluorooctanesulfonamidoacetic acid
PFBA	perfluorobutanoic acid
PFBS	perfluorobutanesulfonic acid
PFDA	perfluorodecanoic acid
PFDoA	perfluorododecanoic acid
PFHpA	perfluoroheptanoic acid
PFHxA	perfluorohexanoic acid
PFHxS	perfluorohexanesulfonic acid
PFNA	perfluorononanoic acid
PFOA	perfluorooctanoic acid
PFOS	perfluorooctanesulfonic acid
PFPeA	perfluoropentanoic acid
PFTeDA	perfluorotetradecanoic acid
PFTrDA	perfluorotridecanoic acid
PFUnDA	perfluoro-n-undecanoic acid

Acronyms and Abbreviations

CS	Camp Smith
LCMSMS	liquid chromatography with tandem mass spectrometry
LOD	limit of detection
LOQ	limit of quantitation
QSM	Quality Systems Manual
Qual	interpreted qualifier
µg/kg	micrograms per kilogram