

June 14, 2011

RECEIVED JUN 15 2011 REGION IV HEADQUARTERS SCHENECTADY, NY 12306

New York State Department of Environmental Conservation 1130 N. Westcott Road Schenectady, New York 12306 Attn: Mr. Howard Brezner

Ouality Assurance Project Plan for the Remedial Design Work Plan, Phase 1 Site RE:

Preparation, Operable Unit Number 2, for the Congress Street Facility of SI Group, Inc.

NYSDEC Site Code: HW447007 CHA Project #: 15091.4007.44000

Dear Mr. Brezner:

On behalf of SI Group, enclosed is the revised Quality Assurance Project Plan (QAPP) for the Remedial Design Work Plan for Phase I Site Preparation, Operable Unit Number 2 at the Congress Street Facility of SI Group, Inc. The OAPP was revised based on the comments received from New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (NYSDEC) on June 8, 2011. Also enclosed are responses to the comments that have been incorporated into the QAPP.

Revised pages to the QAPP are being provided and should be inserted in Appendix I of the Remedial Design Work Plan with the original pages removed and discarded. In addition, an electronic copy of the latest revision of the Remedial Design Work Plan, including the revised QAPP, is being provided on the enclosed CD. Hard copies of the complete Revised Remedial Design Work Plan can be provided upon request.

If you have any questions, please call me at (518) 453-2897.

Laury Bibighaus Associate

Lawy Beberhaur

cc:

Mr. Robert Cozzy Remedial Bureau B Division of Environmental Remediation New York State Department of Environmental Conservation 625 Broadway, 12th Floor Albany, New York 12233-7016

Mr. Howard Brezner June 14, 2011

Chief USEPA NY Section, RCRA Program Branch 290 Broadway New York, NY 10007

ecc:

Mr. Christopher M. Doroski, NYSDOH, cmd16@health.state.ny.us Mr. Charles Gardner, SI Group, chuck.gardner@siigroup.com

Mr. Kevin Kogut, SI Group, kevin.kogut@siigroup.com
Mr. Andy Barrett, SI Group, andy.barrett@siigroup.com
Mr. Keith Cowan, CHA, kcowan@chacompanies.com



Response to DEC Comments on the

Quality Assurance Project Plan for the Remedial Design Work Plan, Phase 1 Site Preparation, Operable Unit 2 SI Group's Congress Street Facility Site #447007 Dated June 8, 2011

Comment 1:

On page 18 of the QAPP, Table 1: Analytical Methods/Quality Assurance Summary:

- a. The technical holding time for waste soil TCLP VOCs of 14 days is incorrect and should be corrected to 7 days as stated in the NYSDEC ASP (2005).
- b. The technical holding time for virgin soil VOCs (EPA Method 8260B) of 7 days to extract and 14 days to analyze is incorrect and should be corrected to 14 days since VOCs are either purged directly or extracted (for high level soils) and analyzed the same day.

Response:

The technical holding times for soil TCLP VOCs and virgin soil VOCs have been revised as noted.

Comment 2:

On page 24 of the QAPP, Section 7.3 Laboratory Reporting: Reporting and deliverables should be in accordance with NYSDEC July 2005 ASP, not the June 2000 ASP.

Response:

Section 7.3 has been revised as noted.

Comment 3:

On page 25 of the QAPP, Section 7.5 Data Validation: The data validation should be performed in accordance with "USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Organic Data Review EPA 540/R-99-008, October 1999" and "USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review EPA 540/R-04-004, October 2004". These are the most recent versions of this document.

Response:

Section 7.5 has been revised as noted.

Remedial Design Work Plan

Phase 1 Site Preparation Operable Unit No. 2

SI Group Congress Street Facility Site No. 447007

CHA Project Number: 15091

Prepared for: SI Group, Inc. 100 Main Street, Route 5S Rotterdam Junction, New York

Prepared by:



III Winners Circle Albany, New York 12205 (518) 453-4500 (518) 453-4773 - Fax

> January 2011 Revised April 2011 Revised June 2011

WORK PLAN REVISION LOG

Work Plan Revision Date #		Date Submitted to NYSDEC	Description of Revisions			
January 2011 0		February 3, 2011	Original			
April 2011	1	April 25, 2011	Major modifications made to the Work Plan, including the submission of a QAPP, based on comments received from NYSDEC in a letter dated February 24, 2011			
June 2011	2	June 14, 2011	Minor revisions were made to the QAPP based on comments received from NYSDEC in a letter dated June 8, 2011 (Work Plan was not recertified since the changes were not technically significant)			
Э.						

QUALITY ASSURANCE PROJECT PLAN

Phase 1 Site Preparation Operable Unit No. 2

SI Group Congress Street Facility SITE NO. 447007

CHA Project Number: 15091

Prepared for:

SI Group, Inc. Rotterdam Junction Facility Rotterdam Junction, New York

Prepared by:

CHA
III Winners Circle

Albany, New York 12205 Phone: (518) 453-4500

> April 2011 Revised June 2011



N/A	30 Days	7 days	7 days extract; 40 days analyze	365 days	7 days extract; 40 days analyze		
Z	301	7 4	7 d extr 40 c	365	7 d extr		
N/A	Compound Specific (0.5 – 5 ppbv)	Compound Specific (1-40 µg/L)	Compound Specific (5-800 µg/L)	0.5 µg/L	2 µg/L		
Cool to 4°C	None	Cool to 4°C	Cool to 4°C	Cool to 4°C	Cool to 4°C		
Clear glass 4oz. wide mouth	1 L Summa Canister	4 oz. glass jar	16 oz. glass jar	16 oz. glass jar	16 oz. glass jar		
Composite sample from holding tank	SVE1-11 SVE2-11 SVE3-11	1 discrete grab sample	Composite sample comprised of 5 discrete samples	Composite sample comprised of 5 discrete samples	Composite sample comprised of 5 discrete samples		
0/0	0/0	0/0	0/0	0/0	0/0		
0/0	1/0	0/0	0/0	0/0	0/0		
*	Three (3) samples from each extraction well during the SVE ROI test	*_	*_	*	*_		
Corrosivity	VOCs	TCLP VOCs	TLCP SVOCs	TCLP	TCLP Herbicides		
EPA Method 9045C	EPA Method TO-15	EPA Method 1311/	EPA Method 1311/	EPA Method 8082	EPA Method 8081		
Wastewater	Wastewater Soil Vapor		Waste Soil	Waste Soil	Waste Soil		
Water	Air	Solid					



7 days extract; 40 days analyze	180 days (6010) 28 days (mercury) 14 days (cyanide)	N/A	14 days	14 days extract; 40 days analyze	14 days extract; 40 days analyze	7 days extraction 40 days analyze
0.2 to 2 μg/L 0.001 to 0.015 mg/L for 6010; 0.0002 mg/L for mercury; 0.01 mg/L for cyanide		N/A	10 µg/kg	Compound Specific (330-830 µg/kg)	Compound Specific (33-67 µg/kg)	2 µg/kg
Cool to 4°C		Cool to 4°C	Cool to 4°C	Cool to 4°C	Cool to 4°C	Cool to 4°C
16 oz. glass jar 16 oz. glass jar		4 oz. clear glass jar	4 oz. glass jar	8 oz. glass jar	8 oz. glass jar	8 oz. glass jar
Composite sample comprised of 5 discrete samples	Composite sample comprised of 5 discrete samples	Composite sample comprised of 5 discrete samples	One per every 5,000 yd ³ of soilt	One per every 5,000 yd ³ of soil†	One per every 5,000 yd³ of soil†	One per every 5,000 yd ³ of soil†
0/0	0/0		0/0	0/0	0/0	0/0
0/0		0/0	0/0	0/0	0/0	0/0
* * *		*	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD
TCLP Pesticides TCLP Metals		Ignitability	VOCs	SVOCs	PCBs	Herbicides
EPA Method 8151 EPA Method 6010, 7471, 9012		EPA Method 1030	EPA Method 8260B	EPA Method 8270C	EPA Method 8082	EPA Method 8081
Waste Soil	Waste Soil	Waste Soil	Virgin Soil	Virgin Soil	Virgin Soil	Virgin Soil
Solid						



7.0 DATA REDUCTION, VALIDATION, ASSESSMENT AND REPORTING

7.1 General

The Contract Laboratory will perform analytical data reduction and validation in-house under the direction of the laboratory QA Officer. The laboratory's QA Officer will be responsible for assessing data quality and advising of any data which were rated "preliminary" or "unacceptable" or other qualifications based on the QC criteria outlined in the methods, which would caution the data user of possible unreliability.

Assessment of analytical and field data will include checks for data consistency by looking for comparability of duplicate analyses, laboratory QA procedures, adherence to accuracy and precision criteria, transmittal errors and anomalously high or low parameter values. The results of these data validations will be reported to the project managers, noting any discrepancies and their effect upon acceptability of the data.

7.2 Field Data

Raw data from field measurements and sample collection activities that are used in project reports will be appropriately identified and appended to the report. Where data have been reduced or summarized, the method of reduction will be documented in the report. Field data will be reviewed for anomalously high or low values that may appear to be inconsistent with other data.

Field sampling data will be reviewed by the CHA QA/QC Officer in order to ensure the following information has been properly documented:

- Sample identification;
- Source:
- Date and time of sampling;
- · Sampling equipment;
- Person(s) collecting the sample; and
- Results of field monitoring and/or observations.

In addition, the field sampling data will be evaluated to ensure:

- The use of approved sampling and sample handling procedures;
- · Proper packing/shipping procedures were used; and
- Proper Chain-of-Custody was maintained.

7.3 Laboratory Reporting

Reporting and deliverables for groundwater and air samples will be in accordance with NYSDEC July 2005 ASP, Category B. Reports will be received by CHA within 30 days of the last day of sampling. Sample data and its corresponding QA/QC data shall be maintained accessible to CHA



either in hard copy or on disk. All other reporting and deliverables (i.e. waste characterization samples) will be in accordance with Standard Laboratory Procedure.

7.4 Electronic Data

The laboratory will also provide the analytical data in an electronic format. The data will be added into the existing database maintained by CHA staff. From there the data can be processed and compared to existing standards using the existing software. An electronic copy of the analytical data in Category B format and in EQuIS format will be provided to NYSDEC.

7.5 Data Validation

A qualified third party will conduct an independent evaluation of the Category B data reduction and reporting by the laboratory. The data validation will be performed in accordance with the following documents: "USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Organic Data Review EPA 540/R-99-008, October 1999" and "USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review EPA 540/R-04-004, October 2004". Data analyzed using methods not covered in these documents will be validated using the general principles used in these documents, and the analytical requirements specified in the methods pertaining to USEPA Region 2 Data Validation.