

engineering and constructing a better tomorrow

December 30, 2021

Christine Delorier, Geologist/Sr. Project Manager U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (ACOE), Upstate Regulatory Field Office 1 Buffington St. Building 10, 3rd Floor North Watervliet, New York 12189-4000

Subject: Annual Monitoring Report - Year 1 (2021) Permit Application No NAN-2017-00440-UDE Saranac Lake Gas Co., NYSDEC Site No. 516008 Village of Saranac Lake, Essex County, New York

Dear Ms. Delorier:

1.0 INTRODUCTION

MACTEC Engineering and Geology, P.C., (MACTEC), under contract with the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (NYSDEC), is submitting this letter report describing the annual monitoring of the restored wetlands impacted by the remediation activities associated with the remediation of the Saranac Lake Gas Company Site, NYSDEC Site No. 516008 (Site) in Saranac Lake, New York (NY). The purpose of this letter report is to fulfill the requirements of the Army Corps of Engineers authorization to complete remediation and restoration activities within jurisdictional areas under the Department of the Army Nationwide General Permit Number 38.

2.0 SITE DESCRIPTION AND HISTORY

The Site is listed in the New York State (NYS) Inactive Hazardous Waste Disposal Site Remedial Program, under Site No. 516008, which is administered by NYSDEC. The Site is comprised of three operable units (OUs): OU01, the former manufactured gas plant (MGP) property where the release of contaminants occurred; OU02, a 0.75 mile stretch of Brandy Brook which is situated adjacent to the northern boundary of OU01 and flows generally northwestward to Pontiac Bay of Lake Flower; and OU03, the Pontiac Bay portion of Lake Flower. OU02 and OU03 were remediated in accordance with the Records of Decision (RODs) dated March 2016 and March 2015 (NYSDEC, 2016; NYSDEC 2015), respectively. OU01 remediation began in April 2021 and final restoration activities

are anticipated to be completed spring of 2022. This work is being conducted in accordance with the ROD dated March 2017.

The Saranac Lake Gas Company manufactured lighting gas (coal gasification) for the Village of Saranac Lake from the late 1800s to approximately the 1940s. Based on the operational age of this MGP site, the most likely method of gas manufacturing was via the Carbureted Water Gas process. In general, this method involved:

- Coal heated in closed retorts in which the coal was prevented from combusting by limiting the oxygen.
- During the heating process, steam was injected into the retort and a chemical reaction occurred that produced a flammable gas mixture.
- Liquid petroleum hydrocarbons were sprayed into the hot gas mixture creating additional methane.
- The gas was collected, cooled, and purified before being used.
- Condensed tar (coal tar) was produced as a by-product.

While the former MGP was operating, releases of MGP-derived waste to the environment occurred within OU01. It appears direct surface discharge of waste to Brandy Brook (OU02) occurred, and the waste migrated to Pontiac Bay of Lake Flower (OU03). Non-aqueous phase liquids (NAPL) and residual MGP by-product are present within OU01 and impacting groundwater migrating from the Site.

A remedial investigation (RI) completed by MACTEC from August 2013 to October 2014 evaluated the nature and extent of contamination present in the environment related to historical activities at the former MGP. An RI report was completed in January 2015, summarizing the findings of the RI (MACTEC, 2015).

The RI concluded the following:

 OU01 - Soil and groundwater are impacted with MGP waste. Volatile organic compounds (VOCs) and semi-volatile organic compounds (SVOCs) were detected in soils at concentrations exceeding the New York State Part 375 Soil Cleanup Objectives for residential, commercial, and industrial use scenarios (NYS 2006). Groundwater concentrations within and downgradient from OU01 exceed the NYS Part 703 Class GA water quality standards (NYS 1999). The volume of MGP-impacted soil is estimated to be approximately 38,500 cubic yards (cy). Remedial activities are underway to address contamination at OU1, which will be completed in Spring 2022.

- OU02 Sediment in Brandy Brook is impacted with MGP waste at concentrations exceeding both NYS Class A and B Sediment Guidance Values (SGVs) and therefore meets the definition of a Class C sediment which has a high potential to be toxic to aquatic life. Contaminants were not detected in surface water at concentrations exceeding applicable NYS Standards, Criteria, and Guidance (SCG) values. The volume of MGP-impacted sediment exceeding Class A SGVs within the stretch of OU02 is estimated to be approximately 4,800 cy. Impacted sediment and soil was removed during remedial construction activities completed in 2018.
- OU03 Sediment in Pontiac Bay of Lake Flower was found to be visually impacted with MGP waste at concentrations exceeding both Class A and B SGVs and therefore meets the definition of a Class C sediment which has a high potential to be toxic to aquatic life. Contaminants were not detected in surface water at concentrations exceeding SCGs. The volume of MGP-impacted sediment exceeding Class A SGVs is estimated to be approximately 16,900 cy. Impacted sediment was removed during remedial construction activities completed in 2018.

The by-product coal tar does not readily dissolve in water. Most coal tars are slightly denser than water. Consequently, they can either float or sink when in contact with water. Coal tar is a reddish brown, oily, liquid by-product resulting from manufacturing of coal gas and contains a number of different chemical constituents that are a cause for concern when left untreated in the environment. The contaminants of concern resulting from the by-products in the MGP process include VOCs, SVOCs, benzene, toluene, ethyl benzene, and xylene (BTEX) compounds, and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs). Naphthalene, a PAH, is present in coal tar in relatively high concentrations and used as an indicator compound for detecting MGP-related waste in media.

3.0 SUMMARY OF REMEDIAL ACTIONS

Between May and December 2018, OUs 02 and 03 were remediated in accordance with the RODs dated March 2016 and March 2015 (NYSDEC 2016; NYSDEC 2015), respectively. Remediation of the Site commenced with OU03, Pontiac Bay, which included the removal of contaminated sediments via dredging, solidification/stabilization of contaminated sediments in on-site staging areas, and offsite disposal of contaminated sediment at an approved disposal facility. In areas where the extent of contamination could not be dredged along the shoreline, and residual contamination remains, AquaBlok® was placed prior to backfilling. AquaBlok® is an impermeable, patented, composite-aggregate technology typically comprised of a dense aggregate core, clay or clay-sized

materials, and polymers. After installation of AquaBlok®, backfill and/or riprap was placed to restore the excavated area.

Remediation of OU02 was conducted by isolating and dewatering Brandy Brook, excavating contaminated sediment via a long reach excavator, and backfilling with certified clean material. In select areas, visual and/or olfactory evidence identified potential areas of inaccessible contamination. In these areas, reactive core mat (RCM) was installed. RCM is a permeable material that absorbs NAPL but allows water to pass through. Confirmation sampling later indicated that some of these areas did not exceed the sediment guidance values. RCM was also used in Brandy Brook near OU01 where seeps with visual contamination were identified flowing into the brook. Multiple layers of RCM were installed in this area, and clean backfill and monitoring points were installed between them. These monitoring points allow for visual/olfactory inspection of mid-RCM-layer water for potential RCM breakthrough prior to implementing the OU01 remedy.

Remediation of OU01 took place from April 2021 through December 2021, with final restoration planned for May 2022. Remediation of OU01 included conducted in-situ solidification (ISS) of approximately 39,500 cubic yards of impacted soil, excavation and off-site disposal of impacted soil outside of the ISS area and covering the ISS area with imported clean fill to protect from freeze/thaw conditions. Excavation included an area adjacent to and south of Brandy Brook and was conducted using sheet piles for excavation support so that Brandy Brook was not impacted. Excavation in this area required disturbing portions of OU02 that was previously restored. During excavation activities layers of RCM previously placed during OU02 remediation were encountered and soil between the layer of RCM was removed as well as the soil between the RCM. The outer, more southern layer of RCM was removed as well as the soil between the RCM layers. This area was backfilled with clean fill and wetland soil, and riparian/wetland seed mix has been placed in the disturbed areas and covered with jute maps. But final restoration including replanting trees and shrubs will take place in Spring 2022. Therefore, the focus of this report is the completed restoration prior to remediation activities in the portion of OU01 that further disturbed these areas. The 2022 annual report will include all restored area of the Site.

4.0 ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEER PERMIT REQUIREMENTS

The following subsections discuss the requirements of the Army Corps Permit including special

conditions. The remediation and subsequent restoration of wetlands on site are subject to terms and conditions in the letter by the ACOE, authorizing the work. This work is being done under the Department of the Army Nationwide General Permit Number (NWP) 38. It shall be noted that the extension of the use of this permit to include wetland impacts during OU01 activities was granted through March 18, 2022, the date at which NWP 38 will be reissued or modified. However, as indicated in 33 CFR 330.6 (b), activities which have commenced or are under contract to commence in reliance upon an NWP will remain authorized provided the activity is completed within twelve months of the date of the NWP's expiration. The final restoration work at OU01 is under contract and will be complete in Spring 2022.

4.1 Restoration

The wetlands impacted on site were restored as described and depicted in the "Restoration Plan, Brandy Brook (OU02) and Pontiac Bay on Lake Flower (OU03), Saranac Lake Gas Company, Inc, NYSDEC Site No. 516008" and drawings prepared by MACTEC Engineering and Consulting, P.C. "Remedial Action, Saranac Lake Gas Co., Inc., Saranac Lake, New York, NYSDEC Site Number 516008" to the extent practicable. The final conditions of OU02 and OU03 are documented in the Remedial Action "Record Drawings" dated March 31, 2020, which are included in Attachment 1. It shall be noted that the record drawings include additional information beyond the that required for this report, and therefore restoration activities are also depicted in Figures 1, 2 and 3. The following sections address the specific special conditions in the ACOE authorization letter. Photographs of the restored wetlands, stream channel, and bay are included in Attachment 2, and Army Corps of Engineers Wetland Determination Data forms, completed in representative areas of the restored wetlands, are included in Attachment 3.

4.2 Special Conditions

A) The State (i.e., NYSDEC permittee) accepts that the ACOE is authorized to request that any of the work done under the Permit is subject to their jurisdiction and any future alteration, relocation or removal required by the ACOE must be completed at no cost to the United States as clearly stated in the permit conditions "No claim shall be made against the United States on account of any such removal or alteration".

B) The permittee will successfully restore 1,360 linear feet of Brandy Brook, 0.58 acres of riparian

emergent and scrub shrub wetland, 0.09 acres of upland riparian area and stream bank, 71,650 square feet of Pontiac Bay and 175 feet of shoreline.

Brandy Brook and Pontiac Bay have been restored as described in the aforementioned restoration plan and as shown in the Record Drawings and attached figures. The portion of the OU01 remedy that disturbed OU02 restoration will undergo final restoration (replanting of trees and shrubs) in Spring 2022.

C) The permittee will ensure that all the plantings in the restored upland and wetland have an 85% survival rate and less than or equal to 5% of the restored area is being impacted by invasive species.

Based on our general observations there is currently a 91% survival rate of planted shrubs and trees. All disturbed areas are vegetated, with vigorous herbaceous growth. All the disturbed areas appear stable (i.e., no undue erosion observed). Some Japanese knotweed was observed, but less than 5% of the restored area is being impacted by this invasive species.

D) The permittee will provide Annual Monitoring Reports to describe the condition of the restored wetlands for a period of five years. The report will include plant data from representative plots within the restored wetlands, vegetative cover maps, representative photographs of the restored wetlands, wetland delineation data sheets for representative wetlands, surface water and groundwater elevations collected twice a month during the growing season, as built drawings, and a written description of the condition of the restoration wetland. A remediation plan to address any short comings related to the success of the restored wetland.

This report has been prepared to address this special condition specifically.

E) All backfilling, grading, planting, and seeding in conjunction with the restoration of the bay, brook, wetlands and shoreline was complete by June 30, 2019. Withing 30 days of completing work the ACOE was provided an as-built drawing and photographs of the site.

The OU02 and OU03 work was completed in the May 2019 and the Record Drawings and photos are included in this report as Attachment 1 and 2. Additional drawings and photos will be submitted following completion of the Spring 2022 restoration activities associated with OU01.

F) The State will ensure no mowing of the wetland or riparian buffer area occur outside of the areas

Page 6 of 11

that are being restored as lawn.

There has been no maintenance or mowing in the wetland or riparian buffer. Areas of the village park restored as lawn are being maintained by the city.

G) The permittee placed back fill in a manner to reduce impacts to the general environment.

Backfilling was accomplished as planned in the wetland stream section. Backfilling in Brandy Brook was done in the "dry" with a by-pass system managing the stream flows and well-points managing groundwater. During backfilling Pontiac Bay turbid water was generated. The turbid water was contained within the turbidity curtain, and a second curtain was placed for extra security.

H) The synthetic erosion control features used during construction activities have been removed from work areas, and it should be noted that they were excluded from sensitive areas (i.e., wetlands).

All the erosion and sediment control features installed in the wetland and brook areas are constructed of biodegradable materials (i.e., jute mat and jute rolls).

I) The State assumes all liability for accomplishing the restoration activities and accepts that may result in an extension of monitoring to address any necessary remedial work.

The State agrees with this statement.

J) The State assures that all tree removal and any future tree removal will occur between November 1 and March 31.

All tree removal work was accomplished in the allotted time.

5.0 SUMMARY OF RESTORATION WETLAND MONITORING

All the conditions set forth in the ACOE permit application No. NAN-2017-0040-UDE have been met to the extent practicable, the following sections address each of the special conditions outlined in the permit. This report is provided to address Special Condition D, annual report.

A site visit was conducted on June 7, 2021 to photograph and document the conditions of the restored wetlands on site. The site visit included a review of Brandy Brook, Pontiac Bay and bordering riparian and upland habitats. During the site visit data was collected on vegetation, hydrology and

soils. Army Corps of Engineers Wetland Determination Data forms were filled out in representative areas of the restored wetlands and are included in Attachment 3. Vegetative cover maps are included as Figure 1 (Brandy Brook-East), Figure 2 (Brandy Brook-West) and Figure 3 (Pontiac Bay). A list of the dominant plant species identified within the restored wetlands is included in Table 1. Photographs of the restored wetlands and in-stream structures installed as part of the wetland restoration are included in Attachment 2 and the photograph locations and direction are shown on Figures 4 and 5. Groundwater table and surface water elevation data collected through the growing season (April 1 through October 31) per special condition D are summarized in Table 2. The asbuilt/record drawings showing the extent of restoration including in-stream structures are included in Attachment 1.

5.1 Existing Conditions

The areas of impacted wetland included stream channel, emergent marsh and forested wetland. The area of emergent marsh and stream channel have been restored to preconstruction conditions. The stream channel was reconstructed and now forms a continuous channel with the sections that were not impacted. Jute rolls (12" diameter) were successfully installed to recreate the steep bank stream channel which seamlessly transitions to unimpacted stream channel. All the in-stream features installed are in place and functioning as designed as shown in Photo #1 Photo #5 Photo #16 drop log structure, Photo #6 log vain, Photo #12 Photo #16 stream channel and jute roll banking.

The vegetative cover map shows the areas that have been restored. The wetland restoration included restoring the stream channel and bordering wetland habitat. The area adjacent to the stream channel included forested wetland bordering Brandy Brook. This area is designated the "tree planting area" and was restored with planted trees and a wetland seed mix (i.e., Riparian/Wetland Seed Mix). As shown in attached photographs #33, #34, and #35 the herbaceous growth completely covered the ground surface, the stream channel was reconstructed, and the planted trees all had signs of vigorous growth. During the site visit it was noted that up to 86 trees in the tree planting area could be disturbed for remediation as part of OU01, of which 10 were observed to be dead or dying. This results in a survival rate in this area of 91.4%, which is representative of the trees and shrubs planted.

This area, although restored, was partially disturbed by the remedial work at OU01. Work in this area, south of Brandy Brook included excavation of impacted soil backfilling with clean fill and wetland soil, and riparian/wetland seed mix has been placed in the disturbed areas and covered with

Page 8 of 11

jute maps. Due to the timing of the work in this area, tree and shrub planting will take place in Spring 2022 as they otherwise would not survive the winter months.

The next area of Brandy Brook downstream from OU01 is the stream emergent marsh complex. This section exists from the tree planting area to the former railroad bridge culvert and includes stream channel and bordering emergent marsh. The emergent marsh is bordered by an area of existing alders that was not disturbed during remediation activities. The emergent marsh is completely vegetated (except for the stream channel) and includes three distinct areas of differing dominant vegetation, grass/sedge dominated (i.e., fowl grass, hop sedge, fringe sedge), Grass dominated (i.e., fowl grass) and sedge/rush dominated (i.e., hop sedge, green bullrush and soft rush). Vigorous growth was observed, no issues were identified in this portion of the remediated brook/wetland complex. The in-stream features were installed and are functioning as designed.

Downstream of the railroad culvert the restoration included stream channel and bank habitat. This portion of the brook had several culverts that were successfully replaced with open bottom square culverts. This allows the stream channel to maintain continuity throughout this reach. The jute rolls installed along this section of brook are shown in Photographs #19 and Photograph #20 and Photograph #21 and are successful in creating and stabilizing the stream channel through this section. The bank habitat along this reach of the stream was remediated and restored. There are several areas along this reach that were designated for soil choked rip rap, however a more granular gravely coarse sandy material was used instead. In addition, installed shrubs along this reach were reportedly (by local residents) eaten by deer. The stream channel has been restored and is providing habitat for small fish and macroinvertebrates which were observed in the restored stream.

As shown on Figure 3 Pontiac Bay and bordering upland habitat have been restored. The upland bordering the bay is a town park and boat launch area. Areas that were previously managed grass park were returned to this condition. The bank along the Bay was restored with rip rap and planted with trees and live stakes as well as potted shrubs. These plantings replaced existing shrubs and trees impacted during the remediation project. In addition, 34 cedar trees were planted along the bay shore opposite the park replacing existing trees that were removed during the remediation work. As shown in the drawings and figures, rip rap was placed around the perimeter of the remediated bay and "fish cribs" and "rock piles" were placed in the bottom of the bay to provide fish and macroinvertebrate habitat. As noted above, related to Special Condition G, a large portion of the proposed backfill was

not placed in the bay due to turbidity issues when backfilling.

Fish and wildlife were observed using the restored wetland including observed deer, racoon tracks, small mammals, invertebrates, amphibians (green frogs) throughout the stream and emergent wetland birds were observed using the park (geese, crows, and sea gulls) and near shore areas in the bay. In addition, small passerine birds (i.e, warblers, robins, blue jays) were observed nesting, feeding, and foraging in the restored wetland.

6.0 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on activities conducted at the Site between April 2018 and May 2019 and results of the June 2021 inspection, the following is concluded:

- The section of Brandy Brook and bordering wetlands impacted during remediation activities associated with OU02 have been restored. The stream channel and in-stream features are functioning as designed. No excessive or undue stream channel erosion was observed.
- The emergent wetlands bordering the brook have been restored and nearly 100 percent vegetated with various grass, sedge and rush species as well as other herbaceous plants including ferns, jewel weed and bottlebrush.
- Pontiac Bay (OU03) which includes the bay, bank and bordering uplands has been restored to the extent practicable. All the shoreline rip rap and plantings along the shore and upland were installed as designed. The lawn area in the park bordering Pontiac Bay was re-planted and trees were replaced in kind.

Recommendations regarding restoration activities at the Site include:

- Activities to restore wetlands and bordering upland in support of OU01 remediation activities will be documented in the "OU01 Record Drawing" and in the Year 2 monitoring report.
- Several areas of invasive Japanese knotweed were observed in close proximity to the restored wetlands and Brook (as shown on Figure 2), it is recommended that these be eradicated to eliminate the potential for future spreading of this invasive across the site.
- Soil choked rip rap was replaced with a coarse gravelly sand mix in areas along the portion of restored Brandy Brook between the railroad culvert and the culvert discharging to Pontiac

Bay. The material used in place of soil choked rip rap is stable, however, additional shrub planting in these areas, or trees at the top of the slopes should occur to provide shade for the brook.

If you have questions or concerns, please feel free to contact us at (207) 775-5401.

Sincerely, MACTEC Engineering and Geology, P.C.

mie Welch

Jamie Welch Project Manager

Charles H. Lyman Senior Scientist 2

Enclosures:

Figure 1	Brandy Brook Area – East Vegetative Cover Map
Figure 2	Brandy Brook Area – West Vegetative Cover Map
Figure 3	Pontiac Bay – Vegetative Cover Map
Figure 4	Photograph Location and Direction
Figure 5	Photograph Location and Direction
Table 1	Plant List
Table 2	Groundwater and Surface Water Measurements
Attachment 1	ACOE Wetland Determination Data Forms
Attachment 2	Site Photographs/Figure
Attachment 3	Wetland Determination Data Form

FIGURES











PDF.

General NYSDEC In

cts\NYSDEC\

sis 2021\Figure 5 - Photos - Pontiac Bay Area.pdf 10-25-2021 1:26 PM brian.peters

TABLES

Table 1 - Plants Observed in Restoration Areas

Common Name	Genus/Species
Broom Sedge	Carex scoparia
Hop Sedge	Carex lupulina
Fowl Grass	Poa palustris
Green Bulrush	Scirpus atrovirens
Spike Rush	Eleocharis palustris
Soft Rush	Juncus effusus
Tickseed sunflower	Bidens aristosa
Rattles snake grass	Glyceria canadensis
Jewel Weed	Impatiens capensis
Grassleaved Golden Rod	Euthamia gaminifolia
Blue Flag	Iris versicolor
Sensitive fern	Onoclea sensibilis
Cinnamon fern	Osmunda cinnamomea
Vetch	Vicia cracca
Cattails	Typha latifolia
Mustard	Allaria sp.
Bottle Brush	Equisetum
Alder	Alnus incanna
Dogwood	Cornus
Highbush Blueberry	Vaccinium coryumbosum
Highbush Cranberry	Vaccinium trilobum
Balsam Fir	Abies balsamea
Red Maple	Acer rubrum
Service Berry	Ammelanchier
Birch	Betula papyrifera
Red Spruce	Picea rubens
White Pine	Pinus strobus
Red Pine	Pinus resinosa
Black Cherry	Prunus serotina
Aspen	Populus tremuloides

	Brook Water Measurements						
	Bro	Brook 1		Brook 2		Brook 3	
	Depth to Water	Water Elevation	Depth to Water	Water Elevation	Depth to Water	Water Elevation	
Date	(feet)	(feet)	(feet)	(feet)	(feet)	(feet)	
4/13/2021	2.23	1538.46	2.31	1535.89	2.24	1534.32	
4/28/2021	2.15	1538.54	2.23	1535.97	2.21	1534.35	
5/14/2021	2.22	1538.47	2.27	1535.93	2.26	1534.3	
5/26/2021	2.24	1538.45	2.31	1535.89	2.29	1534.27	
6/16/2021	2.26	1538.43	2.34	1535.86	2.31	1534.25	
6/30/2021	2.75 (dry)	Dry	2.53	1535.67	2.51	1534.05	
7/19/2021	2.23	1538.46	2.3	1535.9	2.26	1534.3	
8/13/2021	2.35	1538.34	2.38	1535.82	2.38	1534.18	
8/30/2021	2.29	1538.4	2.33	1535.87	2.32	1534.24	
9/17/2021	2.31	1538.38	2.38	1535.82	3.35	1533.21	
9/30/2021	2.31	1538.38	2.39	1535.81	3.37	1533.19	
10/15/2021	2.25	1538.44	2.31	1535.89	3.25	1533.31	
10/29/2021	2.21	1538.48	2.3	1535.9	2.3	1534.26	

Table 2 - Stream and Groundwater Elevations During 2021 Growing Season

	Monitoring Well Measurements					
	OBS-BB05		MW-104		PZ-301	
	Depth to Water	Water Elevation	Depth to Water	Water Elevation	Depth to Water	Water Elevation
Date	(feet)	(feet)	(feet)	(feet)	(feet)	(feet)
4/13/2021	2.24	1538.81	7.1	1538.17	7.08	1533.97
4/28/2021	1.19	1539.86	7.16	1538.11	5.93	1535.12
5/14/2021	2.2	1538.85	7.16	1538.11	5.94	1535.11
5/26/2021	2.23	1538.82	7.19	1538.08	5.96	1535.09
6/16/2021	2.44	1538.61	7.21	1538.06	6.85	1534.2
6/30/2021	3.32	1537.73	7.82	1537.45	7.4	1533.65
7/19/2021	1.94	1539.11	7.18	1538.09	6.01	1535.04
8/13/2021	2.46	1538.59	7.33	1537.94	6.58	1534.47
8/30/2021	2.4	1538.65	7.28	1537.99	6.49	1534.56
9/17/2021	2.45	1538.6	7.31	1537.96	6.54	1534.51
9/30/2021	2.46	1538.59	7.33	1537.94	6.55	1534.5
10/15/2021	2.15	1538.9	7.29	1537.98	6.72	1534.33
10/29/2021	2.06	1538.99	7.16	1538.11	5.95	1535.1

ATTACHMENT 1 ACOE Wetland Determination Data Forms



KFY	MAP
NEI	

INCLUDED THIS SUBMITTAL	SHEET NUMBER	DRAWING TITLE	DISCIPLINE NUMBER
•	1	COVER SHEET	G-001
٠	2	NOTES, LEGENDS, AND ABBREVIATIONS	G-002
•	3	BOTTOM OF EXCAVATION - OU02	C-201
•	4	BOTTOM OF EXCAVATION - OU02	C-202
•	5	PLAN AND BASELINE PROFILE – OU02	C-203
•	6	PLAN AND BASELINE PROFILE – OU02	C-204
•	7	PLAN AND BASELINE PROFILE – OU03	C-205
•	8	FINAL PLAN BOAT LANCH	C-206
•	9	BOTTOM OF ISS AREA	C-207
•	10	TOP OF MONOLITH/SAND WEDGE SURVEY ISS AREA	G-208
•	11	FINAL GRADE OF ISS AREA	C-209

CONSTRUCTION CONTRACTOR:

74 HUDSON RIVER ROAD

WATERFORD, NY 12188

LAND REMEDIATION

DRAWING INDEX

STATISTICS STATISTICS	NEEK X	Charles Charle	March ESSION P)3/31/20 🤍	VDITION TO THIS DOCUMENT IS
		P MJS	APVD			ATION OR AD
		MA	BY	APVD		UTHORIZED ALTER
		RAWING	NO	~	MAP	
		RECORD DF	REVISIO	CH	BRT	
				DR	MAP	MENT NOT TO
		1 3/31/20	NO. DATE	DSGN		ON THE USERS AGREE
NEW YORK Department of STATEOR OPPORTUNITY Environmental Conservation	REMEDIAL ACTION	SARANAC LAKE GAS CO., INC.	SARANAC LAKE, NEW YORK	NYSDEC SITE NUMBER - 516008		NFIDENTIAL INFORMATION AND ITS USE IS CONDITIONED UF
MACTEC Engineering and Geology, P.C. P.O. Box 7050, 511 Congress Street Portland, Maine 04112-7050 (207) 775-5401	:RAL		/ER			AND PATENTABLE FEATURES, AND/OR CON SCRIBED THEREON NOR THE LISE OF THE
MACTEC	GENE		COV			ERTY OF MACTEC, INCLUDING ALL PATENTED IN WHOLE OF PART NOP THE MATERIAL DES
VER BAR IS ORIGI 0 DATE	S ONE I	CAL NCH RAWI	E NG. ∎ 1" AN	202	20	NG IS THE PROPI
PROJ DWG SHEET	3	611-	-16- C	-119 3-00	93 01 11	HIS DRAW

	BASE MAP SOURCES:	
	1. MAP ENTITLED "REMEDIAL ACTION NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL CONSERVATION SARANAC LAKE GAS CO., INC., SITE NO. 516008 OUO2: BRANDY BROOK AND OUO3 PONTIAC BAY ON LAKE FLOWER", DATED NOVEMBER 29, 2017 AND PREPARED BY MACTEC ENGINEERING AND CONSULTING, P.C.	
	2. FINAL AS-BUILT SURVEYS BY NMB LAND SURVEYING PLC, WYNANTSKILL, NY WITH VARIOUS SURVEY DATES FROM MA 2018 TO JUNE 2019.	Y.
	3. SURVEY SUBJECT TO ANY SUBSURFACE CONDITIONS THAT MAY EXIST, IF ANY.	
•	 NO UNDERGROUND UTILITY INVESTIGATION WAS PERFORMED. THE DATUM USED FOR THIS SURVEY IS BASED ON MAP REFERENCE 1. 	
А	<u>GENERAL NOTES:</u>	
	1. THE NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL CONSERVATION IS RESPONSIBLE FOR COORDINATING PERMISSIONS AND SECURING ACCESS AGREEMENTS TO PERMIT WORK AND CONSTRUCTION SUPPORT ACTIVITIES ON THE PROPERTIES ADJACENT TO THE LIMIT OF WORK.	1
	2. WATER SURFACE ELEVATIONS SHOWN ARE APPROXIMATE AND BASED ON FIELD OBSERVATIONS OF THE WORK AREA DURING COMPLETION OF THE 2017 SURVEY. ACTUAL WATER ELEVATIONS MAY VARY IN THE FIELD.	
	3. SELECT A CONSTRUCTION SEQUENCE AND METHODOLOGY THAT MINIMIZES IMPACTS TO BUSINESSES AND PUBLIC AREAS IN THE VICINITY OF THE WORK.	
	4. THE LOCATION OF EXISTING UNDERGROUND UTILITIES AND STRUCTURES SHOULD BE CONSIDERED APPROXIMATE. OTHER UNIDENTIFIED UNDERGROUND FEATURES MAY BE PRESENT. VERIFY THE LOCATION OF ALL EXISTING UTILITIES OR STRUCTURES WITHIN THE LIMIT OF WORK PRIOR TO THE COMMENCEMENT OF EARTH DISTURBING ACTIVITIES. DIG SAFELY NEW YORK: 811 OF 1-800-962-7962.	٢
	5. SHOULD UNCHARTED, OR INCORRECTLY CHARTED, PIPING OR OTHER UTILITIES BE ENCOUNTERED DURING EARTH DISTURBING ACTIVITIES, CONSULT THE UTILITY OWNER AND ENGINEER IMMEDIATELY FOR DIRECTION. REPAIR OR COORDINATE REPAIR OF CONTRACTOR-DAMAGED UTILITIES TO THE SATISFACTION OF THE UTILITY OWNER, PROPERTY OWNER, AND ENGINEER.	
	6. DO NOT INTERRUPT EXISTING UTILITIES SERVING OCCUPIED FACILITIES WITHOUT ADVANCED NOTIFICATION TO THE DEPARTMENT A THE OWNER. PROVIDE COORDINATION AND TIMELY NOTIFICATION TO THE AFFECTED UTILITY OWNER FOR SHUT-OFF AND RE-CONNECTION OF SERVICES FOR TEMPORARY REMOVAL AND REPLACEMENT DURING AND FOLLOWING EARTH DISTURBING ACTIVITIES. PROVIDE TEMPORARY FACILITIES DURING CONSTRUCTION.	ND
В	7. CONTROL DUST GENERATION THROUGHOUT THE DURATION OF THE WORK IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE CONTRACT DOCUMENTS. DU MONITORING WILL CONSIST OF CONTINUOUS PARTICULATE/DUST VISUAL OBSERVATION FOR DUST GENERATION DURING EXCAVATION/CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES. DURING NON-WORKING HOURS, LEAVE THE SITE IN A CONDITION THAT WILL PREVENT DUST FROM BEING GENERATED. MONITOR WEATHER REPORTS FOR DRY AND/OR WINDY CONDITIONS AND PREPARE THE SITE ACCORDINGLY.	JST
	8. AIR MONITORING WILL BE UNDERTAKEN BY THE RA CONTRACTOR AT THE PERIMETER OF THE WORK AREA TO DETERMINE WHEN ADDITIONAL ENGINEERING CONTROLS (E.G., WATER SPRAY) ARE REQUIRED TO SUPPRESS DUST EMISSION DURING THE EXECUTION OF THE WORK. AIR MONITORING WILL ALSO BE CONDUCTED TO MEASURE AMOUNTS OF VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS (VOCS) ASSOCIATED WITH MGP WASTE, INCLUDING BENZENE AND NAPHTHALENE, ANTICIPATED TO BE RELEASED DURING THE RA.	ЭN
	9. CONTROL ODOR GENERATION THROUGHOUT THE DURATION OF THE WORK IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE CONTRACT DOCUMENTS. TYPICAL ODOR CONTROL MEASURES INCLUDE APPLYING HYDROCARBON VAPOR SUPPRESSING AGENTS, DETERGENTS, OR ODOR-SUPPRESSING FOAMS TO ACTIVE EXCAVATION AREAS AND STOCKPILED WASTES, AS WELL AS CONDUCTING SOIL STABILIZATION OPERATIONS IN TEMPORARY ENCLOSURES.	
	10. SEGREGATE CLEAN MATERIALS FROM MGP IMPACTED SOIL AND SEDIMENT AND STOCKPILE SEPARATELY.	
	11. COVER STOCKPILES WITH TARPS AND SANDBAG DURING NON-WORKING PERIODS.	ITY
	CHOSEN FOR OFF-SITE DISPOSAL. HISTORICAL FILTER BAG TEST RESULTS OF PONTIAC BAY SEDIMENTS ARE PROVIDED IN THE PRE-DESGN INVESTIGATION REPORT FOR THE CONTRACTOR'S REFERENCE. SUBMIT A MIX DESIGN FOR STABILIZING FINE-GRAINE DEPOSITS AS PART OF THE CONSTRUCTION WORK PLAN TO ENGINEER FOR APPROVAL IF STABILIZATION METHODS ARE USED.	.D
	13. COLLECT ALL CONSTRUCTION WATER, INCLUDING SURFACE WATER ENTERING THE WORK ZONE, WATER FROM DECONTAMINATION VEHICLES AND EQUIPMENT, AND WATER FROM EXCAVATION DEWATERING. CONSTRUCTION WATER SHALL BE TREATED ON-SITE AN DISCHARGED TO THE LOCAL POTW AT THE REQUIRED TREATMENT STANDARDS OR ALTERNATIVELY TO SURFACE WATER IF AVAILAN PERMIT CRITERIA ARE MET. SUBMIT CONSTRUCTION WATER MANAGEMENT PLAN TO ENGINEER FOR APPROVAL.	OF 1D 3LE
	14. PROVIDE APPROPRIATE PROTECTION FOR SITE WORKERS AND TRESPASSERS WHEN THERE IS DANGER OF FALLING INTO AN OPE EXCAVATION.	.N
C	15. ROADS SHALL BE KEPT CLEAN OF MUD AND DEBRIS AT ALL TIMES. ROADSIDE DRAINAGE SHALL BE MAINTAINED TO ASSURE EXISTING ROADWAY DRAINAGE IS NOT ADVERSELY IMPACTED.	
0	16. MATERIALS, EQUIPMENT AND VEHICLES SHALL NOT BE STORED OR PARKED WITHIN ROADWAY RIGHT OF WAY.	
	17. WORK ZONE TRAFFIC CONTROL SHALL BE PROVIDED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE MOST RECENT NYSDOT STANDARD SPECIFICATIO SECTION 619 WORK ZONE TRAFFIC CONTROL AND THE NATIONAL MANUAL ON UNIFORM TRAFFIC CONTROL DEVICES (MUTCD) FO STREETS AND HIGHWAYS LATEST EDITION AND THE NEW YORK STATE SUPPLEMENT.	N)R
D		

1

FILE NAME: Z:\Projects\nysdec1\Saranac Lake\REC-G-002-Notes-Legend.dwg PLOT DATE: Fri, 13 Mar 2020 PLOT TIME: 2:51 PM

₩V |

Ş

W

0

 $\overline{\mathbf{0}}$

¢

LEGEND:

WATER VALVE

FIRE HYDRANT

SIGN (1 POST)

SIGN (2 POST)

LIGHT POST

+ 1538.7 GROUND SPOT ELEVATIONS

------- E ------- UNDERGROUND ELECTRIC LINE

SEWER MANHOLE

_____OH_____OVERHEAD UTILITY LINE

RAIL ROAD TRACKS

GAS VALVE

ASPHALT

GRAVEL AREA

- REACTIVE CORE MATTING AREA

BASELINE CONTROL POINT

CONCRETE

DROP LOG STRUCTURES

----- EDGE OF STREAM

— SHORE LINE

---- LIMIT OF ISS

RIP RAP AREA

— — — — LIMIT OF EXCAVATION

DRAINAGE MANHOLE

× 1538.4 TOP OF PIPE ELEVATION

BOLLARD

_____X ____ CHAINLINK FENCE

______________________________WATER_LINE _____ G _____ GAS LINE

S

 \bigcirc

GV

 \triangle

₽

ES

A CAN MA

MONITORING WELL

UTILITY POLE

TREE LINE

ABBREVIATIONS:

IN	INCH(ES)		
FT	FOOT OR FEET		
APPROX	APPROXIMATE		
BM	BENCHMARK		
СВ	CATCH BASIN		
CL	CENTER LINE		
СМР	CORRUGATED METAL PIPE		
DIA	DIAMETER		
DWG	DRAWING		
EL	ELEVATION		
GPM	GALLON(S) PER MINUTE		
HDPE	HIGH DENSITY POLYETHYLENE		
INV	INVERT		
ISS	IN SITU STABILIZATION/SOLIDIFICATION		
MAX	MAXIMUM		
MGP	MANUFACTURED GAS PLANT		
MIN	MINIMUM		
MW	MONITORING WELL		
NAVD	NORTH AMERICAN VERTICAL DATUM		
NTS	NOT TO SCALE		
NYSDEC	NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF		
	ENVIRONMENTAL CONSERVATION		
OC	ON CENTER		
ОН	OVERHEAD UTILITY		
OZ	OUNCE		
PEM	PALUSTRINE, EMERGENT WETLANDS		
PSS	PALUSTRINE, SCRUB-SHRUB WETLANDS		
PCB	POLYCHLORINATED BIPHENYL		
TSCA	TOXIC SUBSTANCES CONTROL ACT		
TYP	TYPICAL		
RCM	REACTIVE CORE MAT		
PP	POWER POLE		

Control ID
2984
2988
2990
2992
2995
2996
3391
3394
3395
3396
999997

PLACED DOGWOOD

PLACED ASPEN

SOIL CHOKED STONE/ANGULAR STONE

ACM S S ASP CH CH PLACED CHERRY

FISH CRIB

FISH ROCK PILE

PLACED MAPLE

CCV2 CCV2 DW

CAN POP

PLACED POPLAR

PLACED BIRCH

PLACED SPRUCE

PLACED WHITE PINE

4

CONTROL POINTS:

Northing	Easting	Elevation	Description
1999774.077	592685.332	1545.690	CBP
1999314.956	592741.973	1550.385	СВР
1999792.272	592658.264	1548.420	BM X CUT HEADWALL
2000430.759	591021.880	1535.420	BM X FLANGE BOLT
2000427.836	590997.877	1533.149	CBP
2000521.899	591344.323	1536.652	СВР
2000553.347	590025.228	1533.671	CBP
2000185.758	590794.364	1532.487	CBP
2000591.134	589991.283	1531.622	USMH
2000456.145	590110.605	1530.588	BL50 MAG
2000472.213	590116.367	1531.863	BASE CIR

General Control of the control of t	BAR I ORIG 0 DATE PROJ DWG	MACTEC Engineering and G P.O. Box 7050, 511 Cong Portland, Maine 04112 (207) 775-540	Geology, P.C. ngress Street 12-7050 401	NEW YORK Department of State of State of Environmental Conservation							OF NEW MARESSIGN
Description Saranac Lake Gas Co., INC. 1 3/31/20 Record Drawing Record Drawing Saranac Lake Gas Co., INC. 1 3/31/20 Record Drawing Record Drawing NOTES, LEGEND AND ABBREVIATIONS Saranac Lake, New York No. Date Revision Round Stress NYSDEC SITE NUMBER - 516008 DSGN DR CHK DR CHK	S ONE INAL DF	GENERAL		REMEDIAL ACTION						V KLICE	JEEB X
0 1 2 1 0	INCH RAWI J 611	CAL		SARANAC LAKE GAS CO., INC.	1 3/5	11/20	RECORD DRAWING		MAP M.	NSEC NSEC	Nora 1 CAN
NYSDEC SITE NUMBER - 516008 DSGN DR CHK APVD	ON NG. ∎ 1" AN -16-	" NOTES, LEGEND AND ABBREVIATION	IONS	SARANAC LAKE, NEW YORK	NO.	ATE ATE	REVISION		BY AP		DFESSION P
	20) •119 Ə-00			NYSDEC SITE NUMBER - 516008	DSGN	DR	CHK	APV	Q		
	20 93 02					MAP	BRT	MAP	Σ	1JS 03/31/2	50



			1						2					
A														
														JH
							N							
							N (DVER 24	" HDPE	F	PP NM26			P.
								INV. =	1533.0'		OH	T		
					MANHOL	E PAVE							04	
					INV. =	4 HDP1 1533.3	s' Off				PP NM2	6-1	FO O	
								~	OOK					1120
				PP LI1	NM25			Br					-1528.91	3+00
	/			- OH		`	ð		OH			1529		
		LI1 NG24				\backslash			-	<i>[</i>]_	1529	♦ 528.9		X
				IDY	PP N	G25-5	ROCK			\$1528	3.6 102	A		
			BRAI						-14+0	0		Y		
						/		⊕ 1528,2				9		
	\bowtie			TOF F	XCAVATION								- SHED	
						1520	¢1528.3							
	$\langle \cap$		1527		₩ + + + + - - - - - - - - - - - - -									
			\$ 152	5.6	15+0		The second second							
		L ⊕1526				404		\backslash						
в	R	16+00				/		\backslash						
		te la	CATCH BASIN	٨							,			
	Z		RIM = 1533	.08'	/				\backslash					
		`			/									
		\			1									
	CONFIE	RMATION SAME	PLF LOCAT	IONS:										
	Line	<u>svsloccode</u>	latitude	longitude	surfelev	Line	<u>systoccode</u>	latitude	longitude	surfelev	Line	Systoccode	latitude 44 201631	longitud
	2	OC-2 SOIL-131	44.323056	-74.121266	1524.977	57	OU2-SED-15-BOT	44.322233	-74.11555	1533.525	111	OU2-SED-66-SIDE OU2-SED-66-SIDE	44.321551 44.321543	-74.11538
	3	OU-2 SOIL-133	44.323102	-74.121395	1527.012	58	OU2-SED-19-BOT	44.322332	-74.118534	1532,159	113	OU2-SED-67-SIDE	44.321932	-74.11543
	4	OU-2 SOIL-134 OU-2 SOIL-135	44.323054 44.323092	-74.121494 -74.121515	1525.74	59 60	OU2-SED-2BOT OU2-SED-20-BOT	44.321278 44.322365	-74.118026 -74.118679	1534.378	114	OU2-SED-63-SIDE OU2-SED-69-SIDE	44.32192 44.322005	-74.118469
	6	OU2 SED-126	44.323194	-74.120985	1528.653	61	OU2-SED-21-BOT	44.322403	-74.118605	1531.435	116	OU2-SED-7	44.321617	-74.11528;
	7	OU2 SED-127	44.323165	-74.12097	1525.996	62	OU2-SED-22-BOT	44.32245	-74.1157	1532,439	117	OU2-SED-70-SIDE	44.321991	-74.11851/
	9	OU2 SED-129	44.323173	-74.12103	1527.506	64	OU2-SED-24-BOT	44.322571	-74.118844	1531,945	119	OU2-SED-72-SIDE	44.322089	-74.11555
	10	OU2 SED-130	44.323104	-74.121139	1528.432	65	OU2-SED-25-BOT	44.322638	-74.118897	1532.085	120	OU2-SED-73-SIDE	44.322179	-74.11534
	11	OU2 SED-144 OU2 SED-145	44.3231 4 1 44.3231 2 5	-74.121093 -74.121195	1525.904 1525.65	66 67	OU2-SED-26-BOT OU2-SED-27-SIDE	44.322702 44.322777	-74.118962 -74.119023	1532.005	121	OU2-SED-74-SIDE OU2-SED-75-SIDE	44.322157 44.322276	-74.11860 -74.11542
	13	OU2 SED-46	44.323152	-74.120979	1528.279	68	OU2-SED-2S-BOT	44.322525	-74.119116	1531.667	123	OU2-SED-76-SIDE	44.322347	-74.11848
	14	OU2 SED-47	44.323157 44.323117	-74.J21037	1526.271	69 70	OU2-SED-29-BOT	44.32259 44.321204	-74.119191 -74.118096	1530.909	124	OU2-SED-77-SIDE	44.322259	-74.11570/
С	16	OU2 SOIL-162	44.323123	-74.121150	1520.124	71	002-5ED-30-BOT	44.322945	-74.119271	1531.165	125	OU2-SED-79-SIDE	44.322344	-74.11573
	17	OU2 SOIL-163	44.323156	-74.121109	1527.294	72	OU2-SED-31-BOT	44.323007	-74.11935	1531.025	127	OU2-SED-8BOT	44.321693	-74.11533
	18	OU2-SED-0164-BOT OU2-SED-1BOT	44.321679 44.321217	-74.118525 -74.117939	1533.097 1534.672	73 74	OU2-SED-32-BOT OU2-SED-34-BOT	44.323065 44.323185	-74.119425 -74.119589	1531.324 1529.902	128	OU2-SED-S0-SIDE OU2-SED-S1-SIDE	44.322454 44.322396	-74.11568
	20	OU2-SED-10-BOT	44.321547	-74.11841	1531.311	75	OU2-SED-35-BOT	44.323244	-74.11967	1531.479	130	OU2-SED-52-SIDE	44.322557	-74.11571:
	21	OU2-SED-100-SIDE	44.323079	-74.119409	1532.252	76	OU2-SED-36-BOT	44.323303	-74.119749	1530.655	131	OU2-SED-53-SIDE	44.322472	-74.11581
	22	OU2-SED-102-SIDE	44.323136	-74.119493	1532.021	78	OU2-SED-35-BOT	44.323393	-74.119907	1526.433	132	OU2-SED-54-SIDE	44.32250S	-74.11585
	24	OU2 SED 103 SIDE	44.323116	74.119521	1531.75	79	OU2 SED 39 BOT	44.32344	74.119985	1525.769	134	OU2 SED \$6 SIDE	44.322658	74.11556
	25 26	OU2-SED-104-SIDE OU2 SED 105 SIDE	44.323195 44.323173	-74.119575 74.11951	1532.312	80 81	OU2-SED-3A-BOT OU2-SED-4BOT	44.321325 44.321391	-74.118085 74.118152	1533.655	135	OU2-SED-S7-SIDE OU2-SED-S8-SIDE	44.322629 44.322722	-74.11593
	27	OU2-SED-106-SIDE	44.323231	-74.119689	1532.603	82	OU2-SED-40-BOT	44.323374	-74.120115	1529.194	L 3 7	OU2-SED-59-SIDE	44.322688	-74.11901
	28	OU2 SED 10S SIDE	44.323289	74.119771	1532.301	83	OU2 SED 40A	44.323365	74.120151	1527.434	138	OU2 SED 9BOT	44.321771	74.11836
	29 30	OU2-SED TO BOT	44.321925	-74.119517 74.118447	1526.133	85	OU2 SED 42	44.32332	74.120211 74.120402	1525.745	139	OU2 SED 91 SIDE	44.322755	74.119003
	31	OU2-SED-110-SIDE	14.323315	-74.119544	1527.611	86	OU2-SED-43	44.323305	-74.120495	1526.269	111	OU2-SED-92-SIDE	14.322554	-74.11908
	32	OU2-SED-U1-SIDE	44.323407	-74.119877	1526.853	87 ହହ	0U2-SED-44	44.323278	-74.120622	1529.008	142	OU2-SED-93-SIDE	44.322512	-74.11913
	33	OU2-SED-U3-SIDE	44.323445	-74.119993	1525.852	89	002-SED-49	44.323102	-74.121256	1527.407	[44	OU2-SED-95-SIDE	44.322575	-74.119203
	35	OU2-SED-114-SIDE	11.323127	-74.119986	1528.326	90	OU2-SED-4A-BOT	44.321394	-74.118152	1531.935	145	OU2-SED-96-SIDE	14.322962	-74.119255
	36 37	OU2-SED-115-SIDE OU2-SED-116-SIDE	44.32336	-74.J20102 -74.J20217	1530.031 1528.518	91 92	OU2-SED-5 OU2-SED-50	44.321465 44.323074	-74.118207 -74.121403	1533.483	146 147	OU2-SED-97-SIDE OU2-SED-98-SIDE	44.322944 44.32301%	-74.11928
	38	OU2-SED-U7-SIDE	44.323366	-74.120219	1529.417	93	OU2-SED-51	44.323068	-74.12152	1526.363	L 48	OU2-SED-99-SIDE	44.322995	-74.119365
	39	OU2-SED-LIS	14.323302	-74.120403	1527,498	91	OU2-SED-52	44.323055	-74.121626	1526.318	149	OU2-SOIL-122	14.323293	-74.12063
	40	OU2-SED-119 OU2-SED-12-BOT	44.323335 14.322002	-74.120405 -74.118488	1525.292 1532.923	95 96	OU2-SED-53 OU2-SED-53A-SIDE	44.321186 44.321183	-74.117942 -74.117945	1535.677	150	002-SOIL-123 002-SOIL-124	44.32326 14.323229	-74.120603
	42	OU2-SED-120	44.323291	-74.120476	1526.711	97	OU2-SED-54	44.321252	-74.117935	1535.612	152	OU2-SOIL-125	44.323252	-74.12076
ע	43	OU2-SED-121	44.323322	-74.120508	1527.052	98	OU2-SED-55	44.321256	-74.118055	1536.926	153	0U2-SOIL-134	44.323054	-74.12149
	44 45	002-3ED-13-BOT	44.32217	-74.118444	1532.543	100	OU2-SED-57	44.321236	-74.118105	1535.05	154	002-5011-136	44.323044	-74.12151
	46	OU2-SED-140BOT	44.321725	-74.115343	1525.543	101	OU2-SED-58	44.321336	-74.118069	1534.95	156	OU2-SOIL-137	44.32305	-74.12162
	47	OU2-SED-143	44.323212	-74.120889	1528.478	102	OU2-SED-59	44.321408	-74.118119	1535.178	157	0U2-SOIL-138	44.321436	-74.11521
	48	OU2-SED-155-SIDE	44.323359	-74.120113	15531.007	103	OU2-SED-60	44.321342	-74.118178	1536.31	158	002-8011-139	44.323334	-74.11525
	50	OU2-SED-16-BOT	44.322255	-74.115455	1532,589	105	OU2-SED-6I	44.321545	-74.115223	1335,13	160	OU2-SOIL-146	44.321275	-74.115063
	51	OU2-SED-165-BOT OU2-SED-165-SIDE	44.321739 44.321638	-74.11856 -74.118407	1533.361	106	OU2-SED-62 OU2-SED-62A-SUDE	44.321623	-74.118269	1535.101	161 162	0U2-SOIL-147BOT 0U2-SOIL-148	44.321366	-74.115163
	53	OU2-SED-167-SIDE	44.321668	-74.118557	1534.037	108	OU2-SED-63SIDE	44.3217	-74.115301	1530.553	163	OU2-SOIL-149	44.321453	-74.11523
	54	OU2-SED-168-SIDE	44.321729	-74.118592	1534.123	109	OU2-SED-64SIDE	44.321778	-74.1183336	1531.314	164	OU2-SOIL-150	44.321534	-74.11827
ļ	55	J OU2-SED-169-SIDE	44.32175	-74.118572	1534.213	110	UC2-SED-64SIDEWALL			0	165	UC2-SOIL-151	44.321609	-74.11531-

FILE NAME: Z:\Projects\nysdec1\Saranac Lake\REC-C-202-BOTTOM OF EXC - OU2.dwg PLOT DATE: Fri, 13 Mar 2020 PLOT TIME: 2:54 PM

















ATTACHMENT 2 Site Photographs/Figure



	Saranac Lake (OU2 a	and OU3) Photograph	15
Client: NY	SDEC	Project Number:	3617207518.02
<i>Site Name:</i> Saranac Lake	Gas Co. Site #516008	Site Location.Sarana	ac Lake, New York
<i>Photographer:</i> Charles Lyman			
Date: 06/07/2021			
Photograph: 3			
<i>Description:</i> View of restored Brandy Brook. Note staked jute logs establishing the stream bank.			06 07 2021
<i>Photographer:</i> Charles Lyman			
Date: 06/07/2021			
Photograph: 4		ARKE	
<i>Description:</i> Deer run crossing restored stream/wetland.			



























		Saranac Lake (OU2 a	and OU3) Photogra	phs
Client:	NYS	SDEC	Project Number:	3617207518.02
Site Name:	Saranac Lake	Gas Co. Site #516008	Site Location.Sara	nnac Lake, New York
Photograp Charles	<i>her:</i> s Lyman			
Date: 06/07	7/2021			
Photograph	: 31			
<i>Description:</i> View of plan town pa	nted trees in rk area.			
Photograph Charles	<i>er:</i> 5 Lyman			
Date: 06/	/07/2021			
Photograph	: 32			
Description: Area alor Brook whe sand materia place in st choked rip ra curbing or buffer to int flow acros and direct	ng Brandy ere gravelly al was put in tead of soil ap. Note add r vegetated tercept sheet s pavement tly into the pok.			06 07 2021











ATTACHMENT 3 Wetland Determination Data Form

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FOR	RM – Northcentral and Northeast Region
Project/Site: SARANAC LAKE GAS Co. DU2/DU3 City/C	County: SARANAC Latte 15555X Sampling Date: 6/7/2/
Applicant/Owner: NUSDEC	State: NV Sampling Point; Wet Plot 1
Investigator(s): Charles Lumm	on, Township, Range:
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Valley Local reli	ief (concave, convex, none); NONC Slope (%); D-2
Subregion (I BB or MI BA): 143 Lat: 44' 19' 19'	Long: 74°07'06" Datum:
Soil Man Linit Name: ADAMS (Web Soil Schlande)	
Are elimetic (budgelegic conditions on the site typical for this time of year?)	
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year?	
Are vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology significantly distur	Vie Normal Circumstances present? Yes No
Are Vegetation, Soli, or Hydrology naturally problema	atic? No (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sam	pling point locations, transects, important features, etc.
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes No Hydric Soil Present? Yes No Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes No Remarks: (Explain alternative procedures here or in a separate report) No	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes No If yes, optional Wetland Site ID:
HYDROLOGY Wetland Hydrology Indicators:	Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)
Printary indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)	
High Water Table (A2)	Moss Trim Lines (B16)
Saturation (A3) Marl Deposits (B15)	Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
Water Marks (B1) Hydrogen Sulfide Od	or (C1) Crayfish Burrows (C8)
Sediment Deposits (B2)	es on Living Roots (C3) Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
✓ Drift Deposits (B3) ✓ Presence of Reduced	J Iron (C4) Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4) Recent Iron Reductio	n In Tilled Soils (C6) Geomorphic Position (D2)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) Other (Explain in Rer	narks) Microtopographic Relief (D4)
Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)	FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
Field Observations:	
Surface Water Present? Yes No Depth (inches):	
Water Table Present? Yes // No Depth (inches):	
Saturation Present? Yes Ves Depth (inches): 6 (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, pre	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>No</u> No Vious inspections), if available:
Remarks:	
	1

Ñ

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

.

٠

Sampling Point: wet Plot 1

- inly int	Absolute	Dominar	nt Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:	1
Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 x 10)	<u>% Cover</u>	Species	<u>Status</u>	Number of Dominant Species	
1. NONE PRESENT,				That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:	(A)
2. (PEM/PSS WetlAND				Total Number of Deminent	
3.				Species Across All Strata:	(B)
4	·				<u> </u>
4				Percent of Dominant Species	(A/D)
5					(~0)
6				Prevalence Index worksheet:	
7				Total % Cover of: Multiply by:	
		= Total Co	over	OBL species x 1 =	
Conting/Shruh Stratum (Plataiza: 10' x10')	<u> </u>			FACW species x 2 =	
Saping/shrub Stratum (Plot size. 10 K 10)	5%	No	FAC 1)	FAC species x3 =	
1. AIDER (AINUS TUGOSA)	-170	NO	TAL #		
2					
3					<i>(</i>) (
4				Column Totals: (A)	(B)
				Prevalence index = B/A =	
5	<u> </u>				
.6				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:	
7				Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation	
	5%	= Total Co	over	Dominance Test is >50%	
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 10 10 10				Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹	
El Dala (harrister)	75%			Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting	ng
1. Pott-Rush (VUNCUS erne US)	12/10			data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)	
2. Jenne Weed (7, capensis)	6590			Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation' (Explain)
3. HOD SCORE (CAREX IUDULING)	40%				
4. Bullersh (Scipping attoning)	25%			Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology mi	ust
= Ballles will apare (Charles & Constanting	20%				
S. THEFTESHILL THIS (GIGEN CARTERING	NON			Definitions of Vegetation Strata:	
6. Spiloco Koo (Sti Dayo st)	107.			Tree – Woody plants 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diar	neter
7		·		at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.	
8	 ,			Sanling/shrub - Woody plants less than 3 in DBI	ч
9.				and greater than 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.	
10					
10				of size, and woody plants less than 3 28 ft tat	lless
11		·			
12	·			Woody vines – All woody vines greater than 3.28	ft in
		= Total Co	over	neight.	
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:					
(i lot 0.20)					
1,		<u></u>			
2		<u></u>			
3				Hydrophytic	
4.				Vegetation	
				Present? Yes No No	
Pemarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate	heet)			L	
Remarks. (include prioto numbers here of on a separate		1	1 sector	the Wetland	
Alder Dominates in undisturbed	HPATION	- 07	TUYA		
•					
<					



	avintians (Describe 4	the deer	th noodod to door	montik	india-te-	or confirm	the absence	Sampling Point: WG 10
Profile Des	cription: (Describe to Matrix	o the dep	In needed to docu Red	ox Feature	indicator	or contirn	n the absence of	of indicators.)
(inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	_Loc ²	Texture	Remarks
2-0	DRK BROWN	100	1 . 				OPGANIC	Fiziable
2-12	BLACK	100					HUCKY SAND	
12-16	grayich Brown	100	-91cy	40	\mathcal{D}	M	SAND	▼
							·	
Type: C=C Iydric Soil Histoso	oncentration, D=Deple Indicators: I (A1) pipedon (A2)	etion, RM=	Reduced Matrix, C Polyvalue Bek MLRA 1498	S=Covere	d or Coate	ed Sand G	rains. ² Loca Indicators 1 2 cm M Coast F	ation: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix. for Problematic Hydric Soils ³ : uck (A10) (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B) Prairie Redox (A16) (LRR K, L, R)
 Black H Hydroge Stratifie Deplete Thick D Sandy N Sandy G Sandy F Sandy F Dark SL 	istic (A3) en Sulfide (A4) d Layers (A5) d Below Dark Surface ark Surface (A12) Mucky Mineral (S1) Gleyed Matrix (S4) Redox (S5) d Matrix (S6) urface (S7) (LRR R, MI	(A11) LRA 149E	Thin Dark Suri Loamy Mucky Loamy Gleyed Depleted Matr Redox Dark Si Depleted Dark Redox Depres () () () () () () () () () () () () ()	face (S9) (i Mineral (F I Matrix (F2 ix (F3) urface (F6) : Surface (F sions (F8) ust be pres	LRR R, M 1) (LRR K 2) - -7) ent, unles:	LRA 149B , L) s disturbed) 5 cm M Dark Su Polyvale Thin Da Iron-Ma Piedmo Nesic S Red Pa Very St Other (I	ucky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR K, L, R) urface (S7) (LRR K, L) ue Below Surface (S8) (LRR K, L) irk Surface (S9) (LRR K, L) inganese Masses (F12) (LRR K, L, R int Floodplain Solls (F19) (MLRA 149 Spodic (TA6) (MLRA 144A, 145, 149E rent Material (TF2) hallow Dark Surface (TF12) Explain in Remarks)
lestrictive	Layer (if observed):	NON	e observed	•				
Type: Depth (in	iches):						Hydric Soil I	Present? Yes <u>No</u> No
- Soile 6 bsc 5 moll Bor D	s in Aten M wed Bre c to be May beling Wetlan	opped b NDS 1	by Necs A text with y. Necs. S nove type	s Ada this oils ob	ms l Soil S >serve > Ro	DAlo MNC	sand. U Wetton ng Bran y time sa	plann soils D MAP unt too May BROOK & May LOAM.



	TROJ Phonting Aren
WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FO	RM – Northcentral and Northeast Region
Project/Site: SARANA LALLE GAS Co OUZ/OUS city/C	County: SAVING Like CSSEX Sampling Date: 6/7/2/
Applicant/Owner: NYSDEC	State: <u>NY</u> Sampling Point: <u>Wet Plot 2</u>
Investigator(s): Charles Lynn Section	on, Township, Range:
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.):Local rel	ief (concave, convex, none): Nore Slope (%): 0-3
Subregion (LRR or MLRA): <u>143</u> Lat: <u>44°/9'/6"</u>	Long: <u>74° 7'3"</u> Datum:
Soil Map Unit Name: ADAMS LS (Web soil SURVEY)	NWI classification: PEMOI
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Y	′es 🔀 No (If no, explain in Remarks.)
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology significantly distur	bed? 🔥 Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes 🔀 No
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology naturally problem.	atic? 🙌 (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing san	pling point locations, transects, important features, etc.
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes _ X No	Is the Sampled Area
Hydric Soil Present? Yes 🔀 No	within a Wetland? Yes <u>X</u> No
Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No	If yes, optional Wetland Site ID:
Remarks: (Explain alternative procedures here or in a separate report.)	
HYDROLOGY	
Wetland Hydrology Indicators:	Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)
Surface Water (A1) Water A4	
High Water Table (A2) Crowd Aquatic Fauna (B13)	Moss Trim Lines (B16)
Saturation (A3)	Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
Weiter Marks (B1) Hydrogen Sulfide Od	or (C1) Crayfish Burrows (C8)
Sediment Deposits (B2) Oxidized Rhizospher	es on Living Roots (C3)
Drift Deposits (B3)	d Iron (C4) Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	on in Tilled Solis (C6) Geomorphic Position (D2)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	narks)
Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)	FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
Field Observations:	
Surface Water Present? YesNo Depth (inches):	
Water Table Present? YesNo Depth (inches):	
Saturation Present? Yes <u>V</u> No <u>Depth (inches)</u> : <u>(inches)</u> :	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes X No
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, pre	vious inspections), if available:
- 6 Parto Burgo Table Dide allected a	walnut an attaching it
Parata	INCLUDER AS ATTRUM CNI
· ·	

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: Utet Plot Z

1 1	Absolute Dominant Indicator	Demission Testimologies
Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 × 10)	% Cover Species? Status	Dominance lest worksheet:
1 Ren) Maple (Acer ruhrun)	10 No	Number of Dominant Species
Belever for 114 a halowed		
2. DAISAM PIA LADICS DAISONCA		Total Number of Dominant
3		Species Across All Strata: (B)
4 Notel Planted trees		Percent of Dominant Species
		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A/B)
0		
6		Prevalence Index worksheet:
7		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
	= Total Cover	OBL species x 1 =
Carling (Chauth Stratum (Plataina) 10 × 10'		FACW species x 2 =
1. <u>NA</u>		
2		rAct species x 4 =
3.		UPL species x 5 =
		Column Totals: (A) (B)
4		Prevalence Index = B/A =
5		
6		Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
7.		Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
		Dominance Test is >50%
i olivini	= Total Cover	Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹
Herb Stratum (Plot size: DXD)		Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
1. Hop Gedge (CAREX [VIULINA)	50% Yes	data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
2 5 A Rich (Juneus efficies)	HOP Yos	Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
Clar Pul (El para El)	200- 16	
3. Golden Kel Collimno 17.)		¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
4. BULRUSH (SCIRPUS attoVIRENS)	1070	be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
5. Locsestrife (Ly Arm Salicania)	5%	Definitions of Vegetation Strata:
6 Raseberry (RNDUE ER.)	5%	Seminorio er vogetation ettatat
- Vaterby	10/ 1	Tree - Woody plants 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter
и. <u></u>		at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.
. 8		Sapling/shrub – Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH
9		and greater than 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.
10.		Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless
11		of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
12		beight
	= Total Cover	
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)		
1	•	
· ·	want want we	
2		
3		Hydrophytic
4.		Vegetation
к :-	= Total Cover	Present? Yes No
Pamarke: (Include photo numbers here or on a congreta	= 10101000001	
The marks. (Include proto numbers here or on a separate	an 	
•		
		· •

, ¹

Z

Matix Redox Features 120 Color moletin % Color moletin % Track re Remarks 14 ¹¹ BLACK 90% 91Ay 20% D A GRAMULy Static Alterel/Alterel 14 ¹¹ BLACK 90% 91Ay 20% D A GRAMULy Static Alterel/Alterel 14 ¹¹ BLACK 90% 91Ay 20% D A GRAMULy Static Alterel/Alterel 14 ¹¹ BLACK 90% 91Ay 20% D A GRAMULy Static Alterel/Alterel 14 ¹¹ BLACK 90% 91Ay 20% D Alterel Alterel/Alterel 14 ¹¹ BLACK 90% Mark Alege Indicators Indic	Depth (inches) Matrix Redox Features Color (molst) % Type' Loc' Texture Remain of the second secon	el/hlled
Determination S. Color (molet) S. Color (molet) S. Total Load Totale PRAGE IM BLACK 90% 91% 90% 91% 90% 91%	Color (moist) % Color (moist) % Type ¹ Loc ² Texture Remi -O -O <t< th=""><th>el/Hlled</th></t<>	el/Hlled
O Dik/At/C 14" BLACK 90% 97.49 20% D A Shakely Sano Alteral/Akled 14" BLACK 90% 97.49 20% D A Shakely Sano Alteral/Akled 14" BLACK 90% 97.49 20% D A Shakely Sano Alteral/Akled 14" BLACK 90% 97.49 20% D Alteral/Akled 14" BLACK 90% 97.49 20% A Shakely Sano Alteral/Akled 14" BLACK 90% 97.40 20% Alteral Alteral Alteral 14" BLACK 90% Marka 1489 Alteral Altera Altera	-O DRGANIC -IH" BLACK 90% Gray 20% D M GRAVELLY SAND Alter -IH" BLACK 90% Gray 20% D M GRAVELLY SAND Alter 	el/hlled
14 ¹⁰ Black 90% 97.4y 20% D A gravely Sano Alterel/Alled	-14" BLACK 90% Gray 20% D M GRAWILLY SAND Alter	el/hlled
e: C=Concentration. D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix. CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains. *Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix. fright Indicators: Indicators: Indicators: Microsci (A1) Polyvalue Below Surface (S9) (LRR K, IL R) Indicators: Microsci (A2) MLRA 1498) Coast Problematic Hydric Solie: Microsci (A2) MLRA 1498) Coast Praine Reduck (A10) (LRR K, LRR A1498) Microsci (A2) MLRA 1498) Coast Praine Reduck (A10) (LRR K, LRR A1498) Strained Layres (A3) Loarry Mudry Mineral (F1) (LRR K, L) Polyvalue Below Surface (S9) (LRR K, L) Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11) Depleted Dark Surface (F7) Polyvalue Below Surface (S9) (LRR K, L) Strained Layres (A3) Depleted Dark Surface (F7) Polyvalue Below Surface (S9) (LRR K, L) Strained Matrix (S6) Intro-Marganese Masses (F12) (MLRA 144, 145, 149) Mesic Spocia (CA) (MLRA 144, 145, 149) Dark Surface (S7) (LRR M, MLRA 1489) Uher (Explain in Remarks) Coast or hydrorehydro vegation and welland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. rind: Inter Care (F1) Polyvalue Sci (F12) Very Shallow Dark Surface (F7) ype:		
e: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains, *Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix, Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils'. Mitosol (A1) Polyvalue Below Surface (S0) (LRR R, MLRA 149B) Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils'. Mitosol (A2) MLRA 148B) Coast Praitin Reduced (A10) (LRR K, L, R) Mitosol (A2) MLRA 149B) Coast Praitin Reduced (A10) (LRR K, L, R) Mitoson Surface (A10) Learny Mucky Mineral (F1) (LRR K, L) Dark Surface (S0) (LRR K, L) Stattified Layers (A5) Learny Gleved Matrix (F3) Dark Surface (S0) (LRR K, L) Thin Dark Surface (A11) Depleted Dark Surface (F6) Inno Dark Surface (S0) (LRR K, L) Stattified Layers (A5) Red x Dark Surface (F7) Hedmont Floodiphin Solis (F10) (MLRA 145, 149) Sandy Oleyed Matrix (S6) Red X Depressions (F8) Mesic Spodic (TA8) (MLRA 144, 145, 149) Starder C(S5) Hart A149B) Coher (Explain In Remarks) cators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. Trictive Layer (If observed): N=************************************		
e: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains. ²¹ Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix. fr & Soll Indicators: Indicators for Problematic Hydric Solls? Indicators of Hydrophylic Vergetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. Indicators of Hydrophylic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. Indicators of Hydrophylic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. Indicators AperA is Also to be. ReureDiated As A part to DU I Redex Solls? Indicators AperA is Also to be. ReureDiated As A part to DU I ReureDiated Dats Date Corported As A part to DU I ReureDiated Date Apert Matrix A As A As A Part to DU I ReureDiated Date As Apert to DU I ReureDiated Date As A A As A As A Part to DU I ReureDiated Date Aber Corporter A in Solls? Indicators AperA is Also to be. ReureDiated As A part to DU I ReureDiated Date Apert to DU I ReureDiated Date As A part to DU I ReureDiated Date Aber Corporter A in Solls? Soil S in the As As A Date Aber As A part to DU I ReureDiated Date As A part to DU I ReureDiated Date As A part to DU I ReureDiated Date Corporter A in Solls Solls. Indicators Intervention As Apert and Duce to MGP Musters Corporter A in Solls. Soil S in the As A Duce to MGP Musters Corporter A in Solls. Indicators Aperation America Intervention As A Part Soll As A Part I DU I Soil S in the As A Duce to MGP Musters Corporter A in Solls. Indicators As Aperatic As A Part		
e: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains. ¹ Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix. Tridicators: ¹ Indicators: ¹ Indicators: ¹ Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils ¹ : ¹ Coation: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix. Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils ¹ : ² Communic Hydric Soil Present? ² Communi		
e: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains, ² Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix, the Soil Indicators: Mistosol (A1) Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (LRR R, Histosol (A2) Thin Dark Surface (S9) (LRR R, MLRA 1498) Casal Praite Reduced (A10) (LRR K, L, MLRA 1498) Black Histic (A2) Thin Dark Surface (S9) (LRR R, MLRA 1498) Casal Praite Reduced (A10) (LRR K, L, MLRA 1498) Depleted Matrix (F2) Dark Surface (S9) (LRR K, L) Depleted Matrix (F2) Polyvalue Below Surface (S9) (LRR K, L) Depleted Matrix (S1) Depleted Matrix (F2) Polyvalue Below Surface (S9) (LRR K, L) Sandy Mucky Minerai (S1) Depleted Matrix (F2) Polyvalue Below Surface (S9) (LRR K, L) Sandy Mucky Minerai (S1) Depleted Dark Surface (F7) Polyvalue Below Surface (S12) (LRR K, L) Sandy Mucky Minerai (S1) Depleted Dark Surface (F7) Polyvalue Below Surface (F12) (LRR K, L) Sandy Mucky Matrix (S6) Red ox Depressions (F8) Network Surface (F12) Very Shalow Dark Surface (F12) Chrome Material (TF2) Very Shalow Dark Surface (F12) Other (Explain in Remarks) cators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. trictive Layer (If observed): Nove because K istructure of problematic. trictive Layer (If observed): Nove because K istructure Milly fulleD /Allweal Not Nathraal Gon Darhords & AREA hrove because K istructure A S & PARet & DU I Recensitional Precupated; Dark to MGP wustkes concountered is Soills in the precupated; Dark to MGP wustkes concountered is Soills in the precupated; Dark to MGP wustkes concountered is Soills in the precupated; Dark to MGP wustkes concountered is Soills in the precupated Dark to MGP wustkes concountered is Soills in the precupated Dark to MGP wustkes concountered is Soills in the precupated Dark to MGP		
e: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains. *Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix. Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils': Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils': 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR K, L, R) (LR K4, 1498) Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (LRR K, L, R) (Coast Or Peat (S3) (LRR K, L, R) (LR K4, L) (LR K4, 1498) Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (LRR K, L, R) (LR K, L, R) (LR K4, L) (LR		
in Soil Indicators: Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (LRR R, MLRA 149B) Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils? Missic Epipedon (A2) MLRA 149B) Coast Praine Redox (A16) (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B) Missic Epipedon (A2) Thin Dark Surface (S9) (LRR R, MLRA 149B) Coast Praine Redox (A16) (LRR K, L, R) Missic Epipedon (A2) Thin Dark Surface (S9) (LRR R, MLRA 149B) Coast Praine Redox (A16) (LRR K, L, R) Missic Soil (A4) Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2) Polyvalue Below Surface (S7) (LRR K, L) Depleted Below Dark Surface (A12) Redox Dark Surface (F6) Thin Dark Surface (S12) (LRR K, L) Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1) Depleted Dark Surface (F7) Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 144A, 145, 149) Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S6) Redox Depressions (F8) Mesic Spoid (TA6) (MLRA 144A, 145, 149) Sandy Kock (S5) Stripeed Matrix (S6) Wery Shallow Dark Surface (TF12) Dark Surface (S7) (LRR R, MLRA 149B) Other (Explain in Remarks) Coast Praine Redox Andrea (TF12) Dark Surface (S7) (LRR R, MLRA 149B) Wery Shallow Dark Surface (TF12) Other (Explain in Remarks) cators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic, trictive Layer (if observed): No=e & & Scuped. Mydric Soil Present? Yes No	per C=Concentration D=Depletion RM=Reduced Matrix CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains ² 1 ocation: PL=Pore Lini	ng M=Matrix
Mistosol (A1)Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (LRR R,2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B) Histo Epipedon (A2)	Indicators: Indicators:	dric Soils ³ :
Mydrogen Sulfide (A4) Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (LRR K, L) Dark Surface (S7) (LRR K, L) Depited Matrix (F2) Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (LRR K, L) Depited Matrix (F2) Thin Dark Surface (S7) (LRR K, L) Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (LRR K, L) Thin Dark Surface (S9) (LRR K, L) Polyvalue Below Surface (S9) (LRR K, L) Thin Dark Surface (S9) (LRR K, L) Polyvalue Below Surface (S9) (LRR K, L) Thin Dark Surface (S9) (LRR K, L) Thin Dark Surface (S9) (LRR K, L) Polyvalue Below Surface (S9) (LRR K, L) Thin Dark Surface (S9) (LRR K, L) Polyvalue Below Surface (S9) (LRR K, L) Polyvalue Below Surface (S9) (LRR K, L) Thick Dark Surface (S1) (LRR K, L) Polyvalue Below Surface (S9) (LRR K, L) Polyvalue Below Surface (S9) (LRR K, L) Thick Dark Surface (S1) (LRR K, L) Polyvalue Below Surface (S1) (LR K, L, R) Polyvalue Below Surface (S1) (LR K, L, R) Polyvalue Below Surface (S1) (LR K, L, R) Polyvalue Below Dark Surface (S1) (LR K, L, R) Polyvalue Als Surface (S1)	Histosol (A1) Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (LRR R, 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR K, Histic Epipedon (A2) MLRA 149B) Coast Prairie Redox (A16) Black Histic (A3) Thin Dark Surface (S9) (LRR R, MLRA 149B) cm Mucky Peat or Peat (L, MLRA 149B) (LRR K, L, R) S3) (LRR K, L, R)
Surance Layers (no)	Mydrogen Sulfide (A4) Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (LRR K, L) Dark Surface (S7) (LRR K,	
International Control (Control (Contro) (Control (Control (Control (Control (Con	Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11) Depleted Matrix (F3) Thin Dark Surface (S9) (LF	>0) (LKK K, L) RR K. L)
Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1) Depleted Dark Surface (F7) Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 144, 145, 149) Mesic Spodic (TA8) (MLRA 144A, 145, 149) Red Parent Material (TF2) Shipped Matrix (S6) Red Parent Material (TF2) Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12) Other (Explain in Remarks) No	Thick Dark Surface (A12) Redox Dark Surface (F6) Iron-Manganese Masses (I	=12) (LRR K, L, R)
Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4) Redox Depressions (F8) Mesic Spodic (TA6) (MLRA 144A, 145, 149) Red Parent Material (TF2) Sandy Redox (S6) Red Parent Material (TF2) Red Parent Material (TF2) Over Shallow Dark Surface (TF12) No No Hydric Soil Present? Yes X No No Gover Shallow Dark Surface (TF12) No No	Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1) Depleted Dark Surface (F7) Piedmont Floodplain Soils	(F19) (MLRA 149E
Salay records) Single Material (1-2) - Ref Pateria Material (1-2) - Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12) - Other (Explain in Remarks) - Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12) - Other (Explain in Remarks) - Center (Explai	Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4) Redox Depressions (F8) Mesic Spodic (TA6) (MLR/	A 144A, 145, 149B)
Dark Surface (S7) (LRR R, MLRA 149B) cators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. trictive Layer (If observed): Nowe observed. ype: hydric Soil Present? Yes X No hydric Soil Present? Yes X No Soills in the Area have been historically filled/Alfored wot Notwal CONDitions. AREA is also to be Remediated As A part of DUI Remediation Project; Excavatrow Was Limited Due to MGP wastes encountered in Soills.	Sandy Redox (S5) Red Parent Material (TP2) Stripped Matrix (S6) Very Shallow Dark Surface	(TF12)
cators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. trictive Layer (If observed): Nome observed. ype: hydric Soill Present? Yes X No hydric Soill Present? Yes X No beth (inches): tarks: Soills in the Area have been historically filled/Albored Not Notwal CONDitions. 426A is also to be Remediated As A paret of OUI Remediation PreoJect; Excavation Was Limited Due to MGP wistes encountered; D Soills.	Dark Surface (S7) (LRR R, MLRA 149B) Other (Explain in Remarks))
ype:	icators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.	
Hydric Soil Present? Yes X No_ narks: Soils in this Area have been historically filled /Altered Not Naturel CONDITIONS. AREA is 4150 to be Remediated AS A part of DUI Remediation Project. Excavation was Limited Due to MGP wastes encountered in Soils.		
BOILS IN this AREA have been historically filled / Altered - Not Notice CONDITIONS. AREA is 4150 to be Remediated AS & part of DUI Remediation Project. Excavation was Limited Due to MGP mastes encountered in Soils.	Depth (inches): Hydric Soil Present? Yes	× №
Soils in this Area more been historically filled /Altered not noticed CONDITIONS. AREA IS Also to be Remediated AS A part of DUI Remediation Project. Excavation was Limited Due to MGP musics encountered in Soils.	arks:	
CONDITIONS. AREA is also to be Remediated as a part of OUI Remediation Project. Excavation was Limited Due to MGP wastes encountered in Soils.	Soils in this Area mue been historically filled / Alfred -M	ot notural
Remembrishing Project. Excavation was Limited Due to MGP musics encountered in Soils.	CONDITIONS. AREA IS A150 to be Remediated as a part of O	01
Excavation was Limited Due to MGP musics encountered in Sofils.	Remembrishion Project.	
	Excavation was Limited Due to MGP musics encountered	ć,
		•

3

,

• :,