

National Grid

# Pre-Design Investigation Report

### Gloversville (Hill Street) Former Manufactured Gas Plant Gloversville, New York Site No. 5-18-021

January 2022; Revised February 2024

# **Pre-Design Investigation Report**

**Gloversville (Hill Street) Former Manufactured Gas Plant Gloversville, New York** Site No. 5-18-021

January 2022; Revised February 2024

**Prepared By:** Arcadis of New York, Inc. One Lincoln Center, 110 West Fayette Street, Suite 300 Syracuse New York 13202 Phone: 315 446 9120 Fax: 315 449 0017

**Prepared For:** National Grid

#### Our Ref: 30044656

I, Michael Benoit, certify that I am currently a New York State-registered Professional Engineer, that this Pre-Design Investigation Report was prepared in accordance with all applicable statutes and regulations and in substantial conformance with the DER Technical Guidance for Site Investigation and Remediation (DER-10), and that all activities were performed in full accordance with the DER-approved work plan and any DER-approved modifications.



Michael Benoit, PE **Principal Engineer** 

Date

This document is intended only for the use of the individual or entity for which it was prepared and may contain information that is privileged, confidential and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. Any dissemination, distribution or copying of this document is strictly prohibited.

#### www.arcadis.com

https://arcadiso365.sharepoint.com/leams/project-30044656/Shared Documents/10 Final Reports and Presentations/2024/PDI Report/Gloversville Former MGP Site\_PDI Report\_FINAL docx

# Contents

Acre	onym	ns and A	bbreviati	ons	iv
1	Intro	oductio	n		1
	1.1	PDI Re	port Orga	nization	1
	1.2	Descrip	otion of S	elected Remedy	1
2	Pre-	Design	Investiga	tion	5
	2.1	PDI Tas	sk 1 – Util	ity Survey	5
	2.2	PDI Tas	sk 2 – Pur	ifier Waste Area Investigation	6
	2.3	PDI Tas	sk 3 – Sou	thern Excavation Area and NAPL Barrier Wall Investigation	6
		2.3.1	Geotech	nical Soil Sampling	7
		2.3.2	Upland [	Direct-Push Soil Borings	8
		2.3.3	Cayadut	ta Creek Borings	9
		2.3.4	Soil Ana	lytical Sampling	9
		2.3.5	Monitori	ng Well Installation	. 10
		2.3.6	Fluid-Le	vel Monitoring	. 10
			2.3.6.1	Supplemental PDI Sampling at MW-15S	. 11
		2.3.7	Hydrauli	c-Conductivity Testing	. 12
		2.3.8	Storm W	ater and Detention Basin Sediment Sampling	. 12
		2.3.9	Groundv	vater Treatability Sampling	. 13
	2.4	PDI Tas	sk 4 – Cay	vadutta Creek Investigation	. 13
		2.4.1	Creek Fl	ow Study	. 14
		2.4.2	Creek Re	econnaissance	. 14
		2.4.3	Sedimen	t Sampling	. 15
		2.4.4	Bank So	il Sampling	. 16
		2.4.5	Supplem	ental PDI Bank Soil Sampling	. 17
			2.4.5.1	Background Bank Soil Sampling	. 17
			2.4.5.2	PAH Source Sampling	. 18
	2.5	PDI Ta	sk 5 – Ecc	ological Characterization	. 18
3	Pre-	Design	Investiga	tion Findings	. 19
	3.1	Southe	rn Excava	ation Area Limits	. 19
	3.2	NBW A	lignment		. 20
	3.3	Cayadı	utta Creek	Investigation Results	. 21

	3.3.1	Creek F	low Study	22
	3.3.2	Creek R	econnaissance	22
	3.3.3	Sedime	nt Sampling	22
	3.3.4	Bank So	bil Sampling	24
	3.3.5	Suppler	nental PDI Background Bank Soil Sampling	24
		3.3.5.1	Statistically Derived Background Bank Soil PAH-16 Concentration	24
		3.3.5.2	Average Total PAH-16 Concentration Spatial Pattern Evaluation	25
		3.3.5.3	Supplemental PDI Forensic Evaluation	26
		3.3.5.4	Supplemental PDI Background Bank Soil Conclusions	28
	3.3.6	Propose	ed Cayadutta Creek Sediment Remedial Action	28
	3.4 Ecolog	gical Char	racterization	29
4	Remedial D	esign Sc	hedule	30
5	References	5		31

# **Tables (In-Text)**

Table 1.1	PDI Report Organization1
Table 4.1	Anticipated Remedial Design Schedule 30

# **Tables**

Table 1	Fluid-Level Measurements
Table 2	MW-15S Groundwater Sampling Results
Table 3	Hydraulic Conductivity Estimates
Table 4	Detention Basin Storm Water Sampling Analytical Results
Table 5	Detention Basin Sediment Sampling Analytical Results
Table 6	Groundwater Treatability Sampling Analytical Results
Table 7	PAH Subsurface Soil Sampling Analytical Results
Table 8	Creek Flow Study Measurements
Table 9	Supplemental PDI Sampling Summary
Table 10	Cayadutta Creek Sediment Sampling Analytical Results
Table 11	Cayadutta Creek Bank Soil Sampling Analytical Results
Table 12	Supplemental PDI Background Bank Soil Sampling Analytical Results
Table 13	Supplemental PDI Site Source Sampling Analytical Results

# **Figures**

Figure 1	Investigation Locations
Figure 2	Groundwater Contours for the Lowest, Highest, and Average Water-Level Condition
Figure 3	Cayadutta Creek Investigation – Reaches and Bank Soil Sampling Transects
Figure 4	Reach 1
Figure 5	Reach 2
Figure 6	Reach 3
Figure 7	Reach 4
Figure 8	Reach 5
Figure 9	Bank Soil Sampling Locations Adjacent to Site
Figure 9a	Background Bank Soil Sampling Locations
Figure 10	Revised Excavation Area Limits and NBW Alignment
Figure 11	Silt Surface Topography
Figure 12	Isopach Map of Silt Unit
Figure 13	Depth to Top of Silt

# **Appendices**

Appendix A	Supplemental PDI Correspondence
Appendix B	Soil Boring and Monitoring Well Construction Logs
Appendix C	Geotechnical Testing Results
Appendix D	Direct Image Logs
Appendix E	NBW Alignment Hydrographs
Appendix F	AQTESOLV Slug Test Solution Plots
Appendix G	Sediment Sampling Correspondence
Appendix H	Ecological Characterization Report

Appendix I Sediment Background and Forensic Evaluation

# **Acronyms and Abbreviations**

Arcadis	Arcadis of New York, Inc.
ASP	Analytical Services Protocol
ASTM	American Society for Testing and Materials
ATV	all-terrain vehicle
bgs	Below Ground Surface
BOD5	5-day biological oxygen demand
CAMP	Community Air Monitoring Plan
CFR	Code of Federal Regulations
cfs	cubic feet per second
COC	constituent of concern
COD	chemical oxygen demand
су	cubic yard
Dig Safely	Dig Safely of New York
DUSR	Data Usability Summary Reports
EC	Electric Conductivity
FSP	Field Sampling Plan
GPR	ground-penetrating radar
HASP	Health and Safety Plan
HPT	Hydraulic Profiling Tool
HSA	hollow-stem auger
IRM	Interim Remedial Measure
К	hydraulic conductivity
MB	mid-bank
MGP	Manufactured Gas Plant
MHWL	mean high-water level
NAPL	non-aqueous phase liquid
NBW	NAPL barrier wall
NWP	Nationwide Permit
NYSDEC	New York State Department of Environmental Conservation
NYSDOH	New York State Department of Health

https://arcadiso365.sharepoint.com/teams/project-30044656/Shared Documents/10 Final Reports and Presentations/2024/PDI Report/Gloversville Former MGP Site\_PDI Report\_FINAL.docx

#### PRE-DESIGN INVESTIGATION REPORT

OD	outside diameter
O&M	operation and maintenance
OIP	Optical Imaging Profiler
PAH	polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons
Parratt-Wolff	Parratt-Wolff, Inc.
PCA	principle component analysis
PDI	Pre-Design Investigation
PID	photoionization detector
PVC	polyvinyl chloride
QAPP	Quality Assurance Project Plan
RDWP	Remedial Design Work Plan
RF	radio frequency
RI/FS	Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study
ROD	Record of Decision
SCOs	soil clean-up objectives
SMP	Site Management Plan
SPT	standard penetration testing
SVOC	semi-volatile organic compound
TAL	target analyte list
TCL	Target Compound List
TDS	total dissolved solids
TIC	total ion chromatograms
TKN	total kjeldahl nitrogen
тос	total organic carbon
TSS	total suspended solids
тто	total toxic organics
UMC	unresolved mixture curve
USEPA	United States Environmental Protection Agency
USGS	United States Geological Survey
VOC	volatile organic compound

# **1** Introduction

This Pre-Design Investigation (PDI) report summarizes the PDI activities and findings for the Gloversville (Hill Street) Former Manufactured Gas Plant (MGP) site (the site) located in Gloversville, New York (Site No. 5-18-021). This report supersedes the January 2022 PDI Report and incorporates the results of a Supplemental PDI conducted in 2022. The Supplemental PDI was conducted at the request of the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (NYSDEC) based on their review of the January 2022 report. Appendix A provides the correspondence with the NYSDEC regarding the scope, results, and conclusions of Supplemental PDI. Arcadis of New York, Inc. (Arcadis) conducted the initial PDI field activities between September 2020 and April 2021 and Supplemental PDI activities in November and December 2022. The PDI was performed in accordance with the Remedial Design Work Plan (Arcadis, 2020) ("the RDWP"), which was approved by the NYSDEC in a letter to National Grid dated July 9, 2020. The overall PDI objective is to obtain necessary information to support remedial design preparation. This goal was achieved by the activities summarized herein.

# 1.1 PDI Report Organization

This report has been organized as presented in Table 1.1, below.

Table 1.1 PDI Report Organization	Table 1.1	PDI Report Organization
-----------------------------------	-----------	-------------------------

Section	Description
Section 1 – Introduction	Presents PDI objective and a summary of the selected site remedy
Section 2 – Pre-Design Investigation Activities	Presents the scope and rationale for PDI activities completed in support of the remedial design
Section 3 – Pre-Design Investigation Results	Describes the findings of the PDI and presents conclusions and recommendations based on the PDI results
Section 4 – Remedial Design Schedule	Presents the anticipated project schedule for preparing the remedial design and implementing the proposed remedy
Section 5 – References	Presents a list of the documents cited in the report

# 1.2 Description of Selected Remedy

The NYSDEC-selected site remedy, as presented in the Record of Decision (ROD), consists of the remedial components listed below. Soil removal volumes presented below will be revised in the remedial design to incorporate the PDI findings.

- Excavating and transporting the following contaminant source materials for off-site disposal:
  - Approximately 17,100 cubic yards (cy) of source material containing non aqueous phase liquid (NAPL) identified above the silt layer approximately 14 feet below grade in the impacted area downgradient of the NAPL barrier wall and within the western bank of Cayadutta Creek
  - Approximately 3,000 cy of shallow purifier waste located along the eastern service center area fence line to approximately 5 feet below grade
  - o Approximately 520 cy of soil to facilitate the construction of a permeable NAPL barrier wall (NBW)

- Shallow soil (to 2 feet below grade) containing constituents of concern (COCs) at concentrations exceeding residential use Soil Cleanup Objectives (SCOs) presented in 6 NYCRR Part 375-6 residential located on-site but outside the fenced service center area
- Shallow soil (to 2 feet below grade) containing COCs at concentrations exceeding protection of ecological resources SCOs located in the southern area
- Off-site soils containing COCs at concentrations exceed unrestricted SCOs, as defined by 6 NYCRR Part 375-6.8(a)
- Backfilling excavation areas with fill materials meeting 6 NYCRR Part 375-6.7(d) as follows:
  - o Commercial Use in the fenced service center limits
  - o Protection of ecological resources In the ecological resources area
  - Unrestricted use in off-site areas
- Constructing a site cover consisting of (but not limited to) pavement, concrete, paved surface parking areas, sidewalks, building foundations and building slabs or soil cover in areas where the upper 1 foot of exposed surface soil will exceed the applicable SCOs. Where the soil cover is required, it will consist of a minimum of 1 foot of soil meeting the applicable SCOs for cover material as set forth in 6 NYCRR Part 375-6.7(d). The soil cover will be placed over a demarcation layer, with the upper 6 inches of the soil of sufficient quality to maintain a vegetation layer.
- Excavating and transporting for off-site disposal Cayadutta Creek bank soil and sediment:
  - that is grossly contaminated, as defined in 6 NYCRR Part 375-1.2(u)
  - that contains visual impacts of non-aqueous phase liquids, sheen or which produce a visible sheen when agitated in-situ
  - o that are discolored and smell like coal tar
  - bank soils adjacent to upland NAPL containing areas<sup>1</sup>
  - sediment impacted by site-related polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) at concentrations greater than background levels
- Restoring disturbed portions of the Cayadutta Creek bed and bank with materials consistent to existing and meeting protection of ecological resource SCOs specified in 6 NYCRR Part 375-6.8(b) in accordance with a habitat restoration plan. The goal of the habitat restoration is goal of the restoration plan to restore in kind to the extent practical the bed bathymetry and floodplain topography including appropriate stream bed material, natural stream channel design techniques, and replacement plantings. If present, submerged aquatic vegetation in the remediation area will also be restored. The design will include a monitoring plan for areas disturbed by the remedy and all activities will be consistent with the requirements of 6 NYCRR Part 608.
- Constructing an NBW perpendicular to the groundwater flow in the northwest corner of the southern area to
  prevent future off-site migration of NAPL to Cayadutta Creek and enable NAPL recovery to the extent
  practicable. The NBW will be keyed into the silt unit to intercept and collect mobile NAPL, if present, in
  recovery wells.
- Installing and operating NAPL recovery wells in the NBW and in service center locations where NAPL was previously observed to collect in groundwater monitoring wells to facilitate NAPL collection and recovery.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> A modification to the ROD was approved by the NYSDEC, as documented in a December 19, 2023 letter (Appendix A), to change bank soil remediation from "excavating bank soils which exceed unrestricted use SCOs to "excavating bank soils adjacent to upland NAPL containing areas".

- Continued operation of the existing groundwater and storm water collection and treatment systems.
- Implementing institutional controls in the form of an environmental easement for the controlled property that:
  - requires the remedial party or site owner to complete and submit to the NYSDEC a periodic certification of institutional and engineering controls in accordance with Part 375-1.8 (h)(3)
  - allows the use and development of the controlled property for commercial and industrial uses as defined by Part 375-1.8(g), although land use is subject to local zoning laws
  - restricts the use of groundwater as a source of potable or process water, without necessary water quality treatment as determined by the New York State Department of Health (NYSDOH) or County Department of Health
  - requires compliance with the NYSDEC-approved Site Management Plan (SMP)
- Developing an SMP that includes the following:
  - An Institutional and Engineering Control Plan identifying all use restrictions and engineering controls for the site and any offsite impacts, and details the steps and media-specific requirements necessary to ensure the following institutional and/or engineering controls remain in place and effective:
    - Institutional Controls (as described above)
    - Engineering Controls (the soil cover, NBW, NAPL recovery system, and existing groundwater and storm water collection and treatment).

This plan includes, but may not be limited to:

- an Excavation Plan detailing the provisions for management of future excavations in areas of remaining impacted material
- descriptions of the provisions in the environmental easement including land use and groundwater use restrictions
- a provision for further investigation and remediation should large scale redevelopment occur, if any of the existing structures are demolished, or if the subsurface is otherwise made accessible
- a provision for evaluation of the potential for soil vapor intrusion for any buildings developed on the site, including provision for implementing actions recommended to address exposures related to soil vapor intrusion
- provisions for the management and assessment of implemented engineering controls
- maintaining site access controls and NYSDEC notification
- the steps necessary for the periodic reviews and certification of the institutional and/or engineering controls
- A Monitoring Plan to assess the performance and effectiveness of the remedy. The plan includes, but may not be limited to:
  - groundwater and surface water monitoring and NAPL monitoring and recovery to assess the remedy performance and effectiveness of the remedy
  - Cayadutta Creek monitoring to assess the stream and habitat restoration effectiveness, including repair and replacement action as necessary
  - vapor intrusion monitoring for any occupied existing or future buildings on the site, as may be required by the Institutional and Engineering Control Plan discussed above

- a monitoring schedule and frequency of submittals to the Department
- engineering controls
- An Operation and Maintenance (O&M) Plan to assess the performance and effectiveness of the remedy. The plan includes, but may not be limited to:
  - procedures for operating and maintaining the remedy
  - procedures for, and collection of appropriate data to optimize the system on a periodic basis
  - compliance monitoring of treatment systems to ensure proper O&M as well as providing the data for any necessary permit or permit equivalent reporting
  - maintaining site access controls and NYSDEC notification
  - providing the NYSDEC access to the site and O&M records

# 2 Pre-Design Investigation

This section summarizes the PDI activities and results. The PDI was conducted to address the following general data needs:

- · Location and extent of utilities in the vicinity of the remediation areas
- Soil and sediment sampling and analysis to further delineate removal areas and support the NBW design
- · Geotechnical soil and sediment sampling and testing to facilitate excavation support and NBW design
- Ecological characterization to identify potential impacts to the remediation area vegetative and wildlife species
- Site surveying to document PDI locations and develop a design-level topographic site plan

The above data needs were addressed by completing the following PDI tasks:

- PDI Task 1 Utility Survey
- PDI Task 2 Purifier Waste Area Investigation
- PDI Task 3 Southern Excavation Area and NAPL Barrier Wall Investigation
- PDI Task 4 Cayadutta Creek Investigation
- PDI Task 5 Ecological Characterization
- PDI Task 6 Survey

Figure 1 presents the locations of the various PDI investigations.

The various methodologies and procedures followed during the PDI are presented in the following RDWP supporting documents:

- Field Sampling Plan (FSP; RDWP Appendix A)
- Quality Assurance Project Plan (QAPP; RDWP Appendix B)
- Health and Safety Plan (HASP; RDWP Appendix C)

Community air monitoring activities were performed consistent with DER-10 Appendix 1A – NYSDOH Generic Community Air Monitoring Plan (CAMP) general requirements (RDWP Appendix D).

Descriptions of the work completed under each PDI task are presented below.

# 2.1 PDI Task 1 – Utility Survey

Utility presence and location that may impact remedial construction were identified in coordination with National Grid, City of Gloversville, and a telecommunication company. Utilities known to be at the site include overhead and sub-grade electrical transmission and distribution lines, and subsurface communication, natural gas, water, storm sewer, sanitary sewer lines, and associated manholes/vaults. Prior to implementing intrusive PDI activities, the following activities were conducted to identify overhead and subsurface utilities/structures within and near the proposed remedial limits:

- Reviewed available drawings showing utility locations
- · Performed a detailed visual site inspection to identify existing utilities present in the area
- Flagged/marked proposed subsurface investigation locations with white paint

- Contacted Dig Safely of New York (Dig Safely) to identify and mark locations of all underground utilities at and near the proposed investigation/remediation areas
- Marked out utilities by utility owners
- Performed geophysical survey using ground-penetrating radar (GPR) and radio frequency (RF) methods (i.e., non-intrusive methods) in vicinity of the proposed investigation/remediation areas

Although most of the identified utilities are not present in the remediation areas, two soil boring locations (PDI-DPB-1 and PDI-GTB-6) were adjusted to maintain safe setback distances from identified utilities. Locations of utilities will be included on the Remedial Design drawings.

### 2.2 PDI Task 2 – Purifier Waste Area Investigation

As discussed in the RDWP, based on previous investigation activity results, additional pre-design investigations were not warranted to delineate the purifier waste extent. The only PDI activity conducted in the Purifier Waste Area was the identification of the natural gas main running roughly north to south in this area. The gas line was located using RF and GPR geophysical surveying techniques. The gas line location will be shown on Remedial Design drawings. National Grid is currently evaluating an approach to relocate the gas line during remedial activities.

# 2.3 PDI Task 3 – Southern Excavation Area and NAPL Barrier Wall Investigation

The following PDI activities were conducted under this task to further delineate excavation extent, obtain geotechnical and hydrogeologic data to facilitate excavation support and NBW design components, and obtain groundwater quality data to support temporary treatment system design:

- Geotechnical soil sampling
- Direct-push soil boring
- Cayadutta Creek boring
- Soil sample analytical analysis
- Monitoring well installation
- Fluid-level monitoring
- Hydraulic conductivity testing
- Storm water and detention basin sediment sampling
- Groundwater treatability sampling

Arcadis' drilling subcontractor, Parratt-Wolff, Inc. (Parratt-Wolff) conducted PDI drilling activities. An Arcadis field geologist was onsite full-time to characterize soil recovered from borings, evaluate real-time data collected using direct-push instruments and imaging, and collect and process samples for laboratory analysis in accordance with the RDWP.

Eleven soil borings locations PDI-DPB-2, PDI-DPB-3, PDI-DPB-4 PDI-DPB-5, PDI-DPB-11, PDI-DPB-14, PDI-DPB-18, PDI-GTB-4, PDI-GTB-5, PDI-MW-6, and PDI-MW-10 were relocated (relative to the proposed locations

depicted in the RDWP) due to access issues primarily associated with uneven/steep terrain. Prior to drilling, Parratt-Wolff precleared each boring to a depth of approximately 5 feet below ground surface (bgs) using an air knife/vacuum excavator as an additional precautionary measure beyond the geophysical survey and the other above-described subsurface utility location efforts.

Activities performed under this task are described in the following subsections.

### 2.3.1 Geotechnical Soil Sampling

Geotechnical soil sampling and associated laboratory testing were conducted to support the remedial design for the southern excavation areas (both east and west of Cayadutta Creek) and the NBW. The geotechnical borings were completed to:

- evaluate geologic stratigraphy with a focus on the silt unit thickness and surface topography along the proposed NBW alignment and in the excavation areas
- develop a soil strength profile to facilitate excavation shoring system, excavation stability, and excavation technique evaluation
- generate soil data and collect soil samples to facilitate NBW collection system (e.g., backfill gradation, perforated piping) design and support the NBW depth and configuration evaluation

Ten geotechnical borings (PDI-GTB-1 through PDI-GTB-10) were drilled through the silt unit to depths ranging from approximately 20 to 49 feet below grade. The surveyed locations of the geotechnical borings are presented on Figure 1. The borings were positioned to supplement existing borings (i.e., borings already having geotechnical data) in this area of the site. Parratt-Wolff drilled five geotechnical borings (PDI-GTP-1, PDI-GTB-3, PDI-GTB-4, PDI-GTB-6, and PDI-GTB-9) at approximate 100-foot intervals along the proposed NBW alignment. The remaining five geotechnical borings (PDI-GTP-2, PDI-GTB-5, PDI-GTB-7, PDI-GTB-8, and PDI-GTB-10) to supplement existing geotechnical information in the excavation areas.

Geotechnical borings were drilled using an all-terrain vehicle- (ATV-) mounted drill rig equipped with 4.25-inch inner diameter hollow-stem augers (HSAs). Continuous soil samples were collected using 2-inch-diameter split-spoon sampling equipment advanced ahead of the HSA. Standard penetration testing (SPT) was conducted following American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) D1586. Soil recovered from each sample interval was visually characterized for soil type, color, texture, and moisture content and field screened with a photoionization detector (PID). A field geologist noted the presence of visible staining, sheen, NAPL, and obvious odors encountered in the soil. Following completion, each soil boring was tremie-grouted to grade.

The following samples were collected for geotechnical testing:

- Two undisturbed soil samples (PDI-GTB-3 (20-22 ft) and PDI-GTB-5 (14-16 ft)) were collected within the silt unit using a thin-walled sampler (i.e., Shelby Tube) in accordance with ASTM D1587 and submitted for laboratory testing for:
  - o unit weight (ASTM D2937)
  - o unconsolidated-undrained triaxial strength testing (ASTM D2850)
- Four samples (PDI-GTB-3 (5-6), (6-7), (12-14), and (32-34)) were selected to represent each of the geologic units present within the southern excavation limits. These geotechnical soil samples were submitted for laboratory analysis for:

- o classification (ASTM D2487)
- o grain size (ASTM D6913)
- moisture content (ASTM D2216)
- Atterberg limits (ASTM D4318)
- specific gravity (ASTM D854)

The SPT data, field descriptions, and geotechnical laboratory testing results will be used to facilitate excavation shoring system, excavation stability, and NBW design. Geotechnical boring logs are presented in Appendix B. Geotechnical testing results are provided Appendix C.

### 2.3.2 Upland Direct-Push Soil Borings

Parratt-Wolff advanced 27 soil borings using direct-push technology (DPT) in the southern excavation area and along the proposed NBW alignment (Figure 1). These upland direct-push soil borings included 21 borings equipped with direct-image-equipped tooling (PDI-DPB-1A and PDI-DPB-1 through PDI-DPB-20), five collocated direct-push soil confirmation borings (PDI-DPB-2, PDI-DPB-4, PDI-DPB-5, PDI-DPB-16, and PDI-DPB-18) and one step-out confirmation boring (PDI-DPB-2(SO)) to delineate the extent of NAPL in the northwest corner of the area. These soil borings were advanced to:

- facilitate additional soil permeability evaluation
- evaluate silt thickness and surface topography
- further evaluate/delineate the presence and extent of NAPL impacted soil

The information from the DPT drilling will be used to support the excavation depth, support, and stability design; and NBW depth and backfill material design.

High-resolution real-time data were collected at the 21 locations using the following direct-image tooling:

- Hydraulic Profiling Tool (HPT): The HPT is a logging tool that measures the pressure required to inject water into the soil as the probe is advanced into the subsurface. The resulting injection pressure log provides an indicator of formation permeability. The HPT also measures hydrostatic pressure under a "zero flow" condition, allowing the development of an absolute piezometric pressure profile for the log and predicts the position of the water table. The piezometric profile can be used to calculate the corrected HPT pressure, which along with the flow rate, can then be used to calculate a saturated formation hydraulic conductivity (K) estimate.
- Electrical Conductivity (EC): The EC probe measures the electrical conductance of the formation and was used to evaluate stratigraphy, specifically the top and bottom of the silt unit.
- Optical Imaging Profiler (OIP): The OIP equipped with an OIP-G (green wavelength) probe was used to detect
  and delineate the presence of coal tar. As the probe is advanced into the subsurface, the green light source
  induces coal tar-associated PAH fluorescence. An onboard camera captures this fluorescence at 30 images
  per second (~20 per foot). The soil fluorescence images and still photos are saved throughout the
  advancement of the log and can be reviewed after the log is complete.

Except in locations where silt was not found, each direct-push boring was advanced until the silt unit was fully penetrated (ranging from approximately 12 to 44 feet below grade) as evidenced by data collected using the HPT, EC, and OIP tooling. Once completed, each boring was tremie-grouted to grade.

As indicated above, collocated direct push soil borings were advanced at five locations to confirm information obtained with the direct-image tooling. Confirmation boring logs are included as Appendix B and the direct imaging logs are included as Appendix D.

### 2.3.3 Cayadutta Creek Borings

Parratt-Wolff advanced thirty-one direct-push soil borings in Cayadutta Creek to delineate the extent of MGPrelated impacts beneath the creek (Figure 1). These creek borings included 11 direct push borings (PDI-CRB-1 through PDI-CRB-11) equipped with the same direct-image tooling as described in Section 2.3.2, 11 collocated (PDI-CRB-1 through PDI-CRB-11) and one additional (PDI-CRB-12) direct-push confirmation soil borings, and 6 step-out direct-push soil borings (PDI-CRB-4(SO), PDI-CRB-5(SO), PDI-CRB-7(SO), PDI-CRB-9(SO), PDI-CRB-10/11(SO), and PDI-CRB-10/11(SO-2)) located on the opposite bank of the confirmation borings where impacts were observed.

Drilling in the creek was completed following the requirements of Nationwide Permit 6 (NWP-6) Survey Activities. The borings were positioned approximately 5 to 10 feet from the eastern creek bank. A track-mounted rig with a platform designed for drilling in shallow surface water was used to access and drill borings at each location. The following measures were implemented to limit potential impacts to the creek prior to drilling:

- A surface casing was driven several feet into the creek bed at each boring location.
- An oil absorbent boom was placed downstream of the work area.

The direct push borings equipped with direct imaging tooling were advanced until the silt unit was fully penetrated as evidenced by data collected using the HPT, EC, and OIP tooling. In locations where the silt unit was not found, each boring was advanced to approximately 40 feet bgs. Confirmation and step-out borings were advanced into the upper few feet of the silt layer. Once completed, each boring was tremie-grouting to the creek bed. Confirmation and step out borings Direct push confirmation and step-out creek boring logs are presented in Appendix B and direct imaging creek boring logs are included in Appendix D.

### 2.3.4 Soil Analytical Sampling

Arcadis' field geologist collected and submitted soil samples from the following locations (as shown on Figure 1) for laboratory analysis to further delineate soil removal limits:

- Four Geotechnical Soil Borings:
  - PDI-GTB-1 and PDI-GTB-2: to define the extent of impacts along the northern boundary of the southern excavation area
  - o PDI-GTB-6: to define the extent of impacts along southeast edge of the southern excavation area
  - PDI-GTB-10: to define the extent of impacts along the western edge of the small excavation area on the west side of Cayadutta Creek
- Six Direct-Push Soil Borings:
  - o PDI-DPB-2(SO): to delineate the northwest extent of NAPL observed at boring PDI-DPB-2
  - PDI-DPB-13, PDI-DPB-14, and PDI-DPB-15: to define the extent of impacts along the southern edge of the southern excavation area

- PDI-DPB-16 and PDI-DPB-18: to define the extent of impacts in the northern and southern portion of the small excavation area on the west side of Cayadutta Creek
- PDI-MW-10(SO): to define the southeast extent of impacts observed in monitoring well PDI-MW-10 soil samples
- Eleven Cayadutta Creek Soil Borings:
  - Seven borings (PDI-CRB-1 through PDI-CRB-3, PDI-CRB-6, PDI-CRB-8, PDI-CRB-9, and PDI-CRB-12) to evaluate potential impacts in the soil beneath Cayadutta Creek
  - Four step-out borings (PDI-CRB-4(SO), PDI-CRB-5(SO), PDI-CRB-7(SO), and PDI-CRB-10/11(SO-2)) to evaluate the western extent of impacts observed in the original creek boring locations

The majority of the samples were collected from the interval immediately above, or a few feet into, the silt unit. Samples were submitted to Eurofins in South Burlington, Vermont for laboratory analysis for semi-volatile organic compounds (SVOCs) using United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) SW-846 Method 8270. Laboratory analytical results were validated, and Data Usability Summary Reports (DUSRs) were prepared in accordance with NYSDEC Analytical Services Protocol (ASP). The data validation indicated that the results are usable for the intended purpose. Soil sampling results are provided in Table 7.

### 2.3.5 Monitoring Well Installation

Ten new monitoring wells (PDI-MW-1 through PDI-MW-10) were installed to supplement the existing monitoring well network in the southern excavation and NBW area (Figure 1). The new and existing monitoring wells in this area were incorporated into a fluid-level monitoring program (detailed in Section 2.3.6 below) to evaluate the groundwater flow field near the proposed NBW alignment and monitor for accumulated NAPL.

Monitoring well borings were drilled using an ATV track-mounted drill rig equipped with a 4.25-inch HSA. Following SPT protocols (ASTM D1586), the drill crew advanced 2-foot long, 2-inch-diameter split-spoon sampling equipment ahead of the HSA continuously collecting soil samples to the depth of the soil boring. A field geologist field screened recovered soil samples with a PID and visually characterized soil from each sample interval for visible staining, sheen, NAPL, and odors along with soil type, color, texture, and moisture content.

Monitoring wells were constructed using 2-inch outside diameter (OD) Schedule 40 polyvinyl chloride (PVC) with 5-foot or 10-foot long, 0.020-inch slotted screens and a 2-foot long sump fitted to the bottom of each well. Monitoring wells were installed to monitor water levels and NAPL accumulations above the silt unit, and as such, the bottom few inches of each well screen were positioned below the top of silt and the sump was tremie-grouted in place from the bottom of the borting to the bottom of the well screen. Grade #1 filter sand was installed above the grouted sump in the annular space between the PVC well screen and riser pipe to approximately 2 feet above the top of the well screen. An approximate 2-foot bentonite seal was installed above the sand pack and the remaining annular space above the bentonite seal was tremie-grouted to grade with a cement/bentonite seal. A locking well cap was installed on the PVC stick-up pipe. A permanent steel outer protective casing was not installed as these wells are temporary and will be abandoned prior to remedial construction. Monitoring well construction logs are provided in Appendix B.

### 2.3.6 Fluid-Level Monitoring

Arcadis implemented a fluid-level monitoring program over approximately 5 months (November 12, 2020 to April 8, 2021). Seven events were conducted over the course of the 5 months of monitoring, During each monitoring

#### PRE-DESIGN INVESTIGATION REPORT

event, field personnel measured water levels and monitored for NAPL accumulations at the 10 new monitoring wells (PDI-MW-1 through PDI-MW-10) and seven existing monitoring wells (monitoring wells MW-9S, MW-15S, MW-16S, MW-17S, MW-32S, MW-38, and PZ-416) located in and around the southern excavation area and NBW alignment, and a new creek gauge (SG-1) installed adjacent to the southern excavation area. Water levels were measured and recorded using a combination of automatic pressure transducers/data loggers (continuously at one-hour intervals) and manual measurements (biweekly/twice per month). A barometric pressure logger was deployed and used to compensate for the effects of atmospheric pressure on water levels. During each manual fluid-level measuring round, the total well depth and the NAPL accumulation presence/thickness/volume were also checked. For instances where NAPL thicknesses were greater than the length of the sump (i.e., NAPL was over-topping the sump), the NAPL was removed and stored in a 55-gallon drum using a bottom-loading bailer and the approximate volume removed was recorded. Measurable quantities of NAPL were observed in wells MW-15S, PDI-MW-4 and PDI-MW-5 during all seven monitoring events; however, NAPL was only removed from PDI-MW-4 and PDI-MW-5 on March 3, 2021.

A summary of manual fluid-level measurements (including NAPL accumulation measurements and removal volumes) is provided in Table 1.

The pressure transducer data was evaluated by preparing a series of hydrographs organized into five well "transects" with each transect consisting of a set of three monitoring wells oriented perpendicular to the proposed NBW aligned (i.e., approximately parallel with groundwater flow). The transects, from north to south along the approximate NBW alignment, consist of:

- Transect 1: MW-15S, PDI-MW-1, PDI-MW-2
- Transect 2: MW-9S, PDI-MW-3, PZ-416
- Transect 3: MW-16S, PDI-MW-4, PDI-MW-5
- Transect 4: MW-17S, PDI-MW-6, PDI-MW-10
- Transect 5: PDI-MW-7, PDI-MW-8, PDI-MW-9

The wells were organized into transects as a means to evaluate changes to the hydraulic flow field over the course of the 5-month monitoring period. Hydrographs for the transects and the transect locations are provided in Appendix E.

Water-level contour maps were prepared to represent the groundwater flow field for high, low, and average water levels measured during the 5-month monitoring program. The water-level measurements exhibiting the highest levels was obtained on March 12, 2021, and the water-level measurements exhibiting the lowest levels were obtained on February 8, 2021. The average water level condition was calculated by averaging the full transducer data set for each well for the 5-month period. The water-level contour maps based on these three water level conditions are presented on Figure 2.

The hydrographs and contour maps will be evaluated to determine the final NBW alignment. The NBW will be positioned approximately perpendicular to groundwater flow (i.e., approximately parallel with an equipotential line) above the silt unit.

#### 2.3.6.1 Supplemental PDI Sampling at MW-15S

Arcadis' January 2022 PDI Report indicated that "Measurable quantities of NAPL were observed in wells MW-15S, PDI-MW-4 and PDI-MW-5 during all seven monitoring events..." NYSDEC correctly noted in their March 3, 2022 PDI comment letter (Appendix A) that MW-15S is located outside the delineated "Probable NAPL Containing Area" and requested that the area around MW-15S be further investigated and the probable NAPL containing limits be adjusted. Arcadis noted that these MW-15S observations appeared inconsistent as previous collected groundwater samples at the well did not contain site-related constituents at concentrations exceeding the NYSDEC drinking water standards presented in the Technical and Operational Guidance Series Memorandum 1.1.1. As discussed in the March 18, 2022 response letter (Appendix A), Arcadis proposed, as part of the supplemental PDI activities, additional gauging and groundwater sampling at MW-15S to confirm NAPL presence. Further, Arcadis postulated that if coal tar NAPL had entered the well at any point in the past and/or is present in the formation near the well screen, it would be reasonable to expect elevated dissolved-phase benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylenes (BTEX) and PAH concentrations would be detected in the groundwater sample.

Arcadis conducted supplemental PDI monitoring well MW-15S gauging and groundwater sampling on November 1, 2022. No indications of NAPL were noted during the well gauging. Arcadis collected a groundwater sample using low flow sampling techniques in compliance with the RDWP. Arcadis submitted the groundwater sample to Eurofins in Buffalo, NY for laboratory analysis for Target Compound List (TCL) volatile organic compounds (VOCs) and TCL SVOCs. With the exception of acetone, no SVOCs or VOCs were detected at concentrations above quantitation limits. Acetone was detected at a concentration of 5 parts per billion (ppb) but is not a noted site constituent of concern and is a common laboratory contaminant. Groundwater analytical results are included in Table 2.

### 2.3.7 Hydraulic-Conductivity Testing

The NBW backfill material will be designed with a hydraulic conductivity at least one order of magnitude higher than the hydraulic conductivity of the formation material in which NAPL is moving. Therefore, the hydraulic conductivity of the NAPL-impacted formation near the NBW alignment will be evaluated using a combination of the HPT data collected during the direct-push drilling (described above in Section 2.3.2) and in-situ hydraulic-conductivity tests performed on the ten new monitoring wells installed along the NBW alignment (PDI-MW-1 through PDI-MW-10). The in-situ hydraulic conductivity tests were performed using the slug-test procedures described in the FSP (Appendix A of the RDWP). Slug test data were collected using a combination of dataloggers/transducers and manual measurements. The datalogger and manual measurement data were analyzed using AQTESOLV for Windows<sup>®</sup> (Duffield, 2007) to obtain near-well hydraulic conductivity estimates. The hydraulic conductivity estimates are summarized in Table 3 and AQTESOLV solution plots are provided in Appendix F.

### 2.3.8 Storm Water and Detention Basin Sediment Sampling

One storm water grab sample (DETENTION\_BASIN\_GW\_120220) and one sediment grab sample (DETENTION\_BASIN\_SO\_120220) were collected from the stormwater detention basin located in the southwestern portion of the fenced service center area. The storm water and sediment samples were submitted for analysis of the following:

- Target Compound List (TCL) volatile organic compounds (VOCs)
- TCL SVOCs
- Target Analyte List (TAL) inorganics and cyanide (SW846 6010, 7470, 9012)

The results of these analyses will be used to support preparation of a permit for discharging storm water directly to Cayadutta Creek during remedial construction and potentially to facilitate a realigned and permanent new post-remediation discharge/outfall. Analytical results for the storm water and detention basin sediment samples are provided in Tables 4 and 5, respectively.

#### 2.3.9 Groundwater Treatability Sampling

Groundwater samples were collected and submitted for laboratory analysis to support the design of a temporary water treatment system. Arcadis anticipates the need for a temporary water treatment system to support soil excavation activities based on the elevation of the water table and a review of the existing soil characteristics. Five groundwater samples were collected from monitoring wells (MW-16S, MW-38, PDI-MW-5, PDI-MW-10, and PZ-416) within the proposed excavation limits to characterize groundwater quality within the southern excavation areas. Groundwater sampling procedures are described in the FSP (see Appendix A of the RDWP). Groundwater samples were submitted for analysis of the following treatability parameters:

- Total Toxic Organics (TTO) (EPA 608/624/625)
- TAL inorganics and cyanide (filtered and unfiltered samples) (SW846 6010/9012)
- Oil and grease (EPA 1664)
- Total suspended solids (TSS) (SM2540D)
- Total dissolved solids (TDS) (SM2540C)
- 5-Day biological oxygen demand (BOD5) (SM5210B)
- Chemical oxygen demand (COD) (EPA 410.4)
- Bioactivity (via iron-reducing, sulfate-reducing, and slime-forming bacteria)
- Total kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN) (EPA 351.3)
- Hardness (EPA 130.1)
- pH (EPA 150.1)

Analytical results will be used to evaluate and select components of a temporary water treatment system that will treat groundwater removed during remedial excavation. Analytical results for the groundwater treatability samples are presented in Table 6.

# 2.4 PDI Task 4 – Cayadutta Creek Investigation

PDI investigations were conducted to characterize Cayadutta Creek sediment and bank soils upstream, adjacent to, and/or downstream of the delineated upland probable NAPL containing area. Figure 3 shows the location of the reaches of creek that were investigated during the PDI. The PDI activities completed in Cayadutta Creek consisted of:

- Creek Flow Study
- Creek Reconnaissance
- Sediment Sampling
- Bank Soil Sampling

These activities are summarized below.

### 2.4.1 Creek Flow Study

A creek flow study was conducted to support the design of a potential temporarily bypass system to enable work in Cayadutta Creek during remedial construction. A flow gauging station (SG-1) was established just downstream of where a small perennial stream enters the creek in Reach 3 (Figure 3). The station consisted of an automatic pressure transducer inserted into the bottom of a stream gauge and a transect for manually measuring stream velocities (at the approximate midpoint of the water column) and stream depths. Stream flow was measured at 2-foot intervals along the SG-1 transect during seven monitoring events from December 12, 2020 to April 8, 2021. The flow calculated at the 2-foot intervals was summed to calculate the flow for the entire cross section of the creek. The transducer was programmed to measure the creek stage at a frequency of one reading every 30 minutes for a period of approximately 5 months (November 19, 2020 to April 8, 2021). The SG-1 stream stage and manual stream flow data are provided in Table 8.

A rating curve will be developed to support the remedial design using the data collected at SG-1 (Appendix E). The stream stage data and creek flow measurements will be compared to estimated flows under similar conditions predicted by United States Geological Survey (USGS) StreamStats model. StreamStats is a webbased tool that provides streamflow statistics, drainage-basin characteristics, and other information for USGS stream gauging stations and for user-selected ungauged sites on streams.

#### 2.4.2 Creek Reconnaissance

Arcadis conducted creek reconnaissance from August 17 to 20, 2020 at 30 probing transects established in five defined "reaches" (Reaches 1 through 5) extending from approximately 1,700 feet upstream from the site to the Harrison Street bridge approximately 2,600 feet downstream from the site, as follows:

- Reach 1 and Reach 2: Upstream
- Reach 3: Adjacent
- Reach 4 and Reach 5: Downstream

Spot probing was completed between transects to characterize the creek, identify depositional areas and check for obvious impacts to sediments in areas between the transects. Figures 4 through 8 show the reach locations and probing transects.

The reconnaissance was conducted to characterize streambed morphology, map sediment deposits and identify the locations of existing outfalls and drains to Cayadutta Creek. The following information was recorded and/or mapped during the reconnaissance:

- Sediment and Creek Characteristics:
  - Substrate and bottom characteristics, including locations of aggrading (depositional)/degrading (erosional) conditions and silt presence/surface
  - Sediment visual impacts Manually probing sediment deposits and measuring and recording extent, depth, sediment classification, and the presence of potential impacts such as NAPL, sheen, or obvious odors
  - Channel dimensions and orientation
  - Water surface elevation
  - o Bank-full and mean high-water level (MHWL) indicators

- o Pool and riffle sequencing
- o Presence of in-stream structures
- Bank Characteristics:
  - o Bank reaches stabilized by vegetation or riprap
  - o Bank reaches potentially prone to erosion during high flow events
  - o Areas of potential fill

During the creek reconnaissance, sediment deposits were probed and in-stream structures and bank characteristics were observed while walking the creek reaches of interest. Periodic measurements (e.g., channel dimensions/orientation, surface water elevation, bank-full/MHWL indicators) were recorded every 75 feet in the upper portion of Reach 3, every 100 feet in the lower portion of Reach 3, and every 200 feet in Reaches 1, 2, 4 and 5.

Upon completion of the creek reconnaissance, National Grid submitted an email proposal for selected sediment sampling locations to the NYSDEC on October 2, 2020 including a figure showing sediment depositional areas, observed impacted areas, and proposed sampling locations. The NYSDEC provided comments in an October 13, 2020 email and National Grid provided an October 15, 2020 response that was subsequently approved by NYSDEC on October 16, 2020. The October 2020 correspondence regarding the sediment sampling locations is provided in Appendix G. Approved sampling locations consisted of the following:

- Twenty background locations (Reaches 1 and 2)
  - o Twelve depositional
  - o Eight riffle/run
- Twenty adjacent locations (Reach 3)
  - o Ten depositional
  - o Ten riffle/run
- Twenty downstream locations (Reaches 4 and 5)
  - o Reach 4
    - Six depositional
    - Six riffle/run
  - o Reach 5
    - Four depositional
    - Four riffle/run

#### 2.4.3 Sediment Sampling

Arcadis conducted sediment sampling from January 19 through January 21, 2021 at the NYSDEC-approved locations. Sediment cores were collected by manually driving a 2-inch diameter steel sampling barrel containing a disposable PVC liner through sediment to refusal using a slide hammer. Refusal was encountered within 2 feet of the sediment surface at each sampling location. Each core was divided into 1-foot segments (or increments defined by notable changes in stratigraphy). Field personnel field screened each sample with a PID prior to visually characterizing samples for the presence of NAPL, sheen, and staining. A total of 69 samples were collected, as follows:

- Reach 1: 8 cores/8 samples
- Reach 2: 12 cores/12 samples
- Reach 3: 19 cores/20 samples
- Reach 4: 13 cores/17 samples
- Reach 5: 8 cores/12 samples

Following sediment core retrieval, field personnel surveyed each sampling location and elevation using GPS relative to New York State Plane East Zone coordinate system (North American Datum 1983) (NAD 83) and North American Vertical Datum 1988 (NAVD 88), respectively.

Samples were submitted for laboratory analysis for:

- The 16 parent and 18 groups of alkyl PAHs (PAH<sub>34</sub>) by USEPA SW-846 Method 8270
- Total Organic Carbon (TOC) by USEPA SW-846 Method 9060A

Laboratory analytical results were validated, and DUSRs were prepared in accordance with NYSDEC ASP. The data validation indicated that the results are usable for the intended purpose.

Geotechnical samples were also selected from three depositional areas and two riffle run areas from Reach 3, and one depositional area and one riffle run area (respectively) from Reaches 4 and 5. Each sample was tested for grain size analysis using sieve and hydrometer, as necessary, to facilitate the creek restoration design. Geotechnical samples were selected from sample cores collected at R3-PDI-SED-24, 25, 28, 29, 36, R4-PDI-SED-43, 46, R5-PDI-SED-55 and 60. Grain size data for these samples are provided in Appendix C.

### 2.4.4 Bank Soil Sampling

Arcadis collected bank soil samples in Reach 3 on February 3 and 4, 2021 from the following locations:

- Along the western bank within the portion of Reach 3 adjacent to the eastern probable NAPL containing area
- Along the eastern bank within the portion of Reach 3 adjacent to the western probable NAPL containing area
- Along both banks within Reach 3 starting at the downstream end of the probable NAPL containing area and extending approximately 200 feet downstream

A total of 31 bank soil samples were collected from 18 soil cores along six transects, as follows:

- Transect T-11: 1 mid-bank (MB) and 1 mean high-water level (MHWL) on the western bank
- Transect T-12: 2 MB and 2 MHWL on the western bank
- Transect T-15: 2 MB and 2 MHWL on the eastern bank
- Transect T-16: 2 MB and 1 MHWL on the western bank
- Transect T-16: 1 MB and 3 MHWL on the eastern bank
- Transect T-17: 2 MB and 2 MHWL on the western bank
- Transect T-17: 2 MB and 1 MHWL on the eastern bank
- Transect T-18: 2 MB and 1 MHWL on the western bank
- Transect T-18: 2 MB and 2 MHWL on the eastern bank

Bank soil sampling locations are shown on Figure 9.

#### PRE-DESIGN INVESTIGATION REPORT

Arcadis field personnel collected vertical soil cores at each location by manually driving a 2-inch diameter steel sampling barrel containing a disposable PVC liner through bank soils to refusal using a slide hammer (generally encountered 1 to 2 feet below ground surface). The MHWL was determined prior to sampling by an Arcadis biologist. MHWL cores were collected at the location of the MHWL along the transect and the MB cores were collected approximately halfway between the MHWL elevation and the top of the bank. Each core was segmented into 0-6, 6-12, and 12-24 inch sample intervals (or other intervals less than 6 inches if changes in stratigraphy were noted or if refusal was encountered). Field personnel visually characterized each soil core for the presence of NAPL, sheen, staining, and field screen for elevated PID readings. Following retrieval of the bank soil cores, a surveyor used a GPS to record the position and elevation of each sampling location relative to New York State Plane East Zone coordinate system NAD 83 and NAVD 88, respectively.

Per the RDWP, samples from the top two intervals were generally submitted for PAHs using USEPA SW-846 Method 8270. Laboratory analytical results were validated, and DUSRs were prepared in accordance with NYSDEC ASP. The data validation indicated that the results are usable for the intended purpose.

Bank soil samples were also selected for grain size testing at three locations on the eastern bank (PDI-T-12-RB-MHWL, PDI-T-13-RB-MB, and PDI-T-14-RB-MHWL) and three locations on the western bank (PDI-T-13-LB-MHWL, PDI-T-14-LB-MB, and PDI-T-15-LB-MHWL). Samples were collected and submitted for grainsize testing from the two upper-most sampling intervals (typically 0-6 and 6-12 inch below grade) at each location (with the exception of PDI-T-12-RB-MHWL and PDI-T-12-LB-MHWL, where only samples from the shallowest interval were submitted). Grain size testing results are provided in Appendix C. The grain size data will be used in the restoration design for the creek.

### 2.4.5 Supplemental PDI Bank Soil Sampling

Arcadis conducted Supplemental PDI bank soil sampling activities in November and December 2022 in accordance with the Supplemental PDI Letter Work Plan dated August 24, 2022. The purpose of the additional bank soil sampling was to:

- Establish a site-specific background PAH concentration in upstream Cayadutta Creek bank soils.
- Obtain a current PAH source signature in upland impacted site-soils.

The results of the Supplemental PDI activities were presented in the May 16, 2023 Interim Supplemental PDI Submittal (Appendix A). The additional sampling consisted of:

- Collecting background samples from banks upstream of the NAPL-impacted area (i.e., Reach 2).
- Collecting fresh soil samples containing heavy Site-related impacts.
- Conducting statistical and forensic evaluations to determine the extent of bank soils adjacent to the Site and bordering NAPL containing upland areas (i.e., Reach 3) that may be impacted by MGP-related residuals.

A description of the activities is provided below. Figure 9a shows the background bank soil sampling locations.

#### 2.4.5.1 Background Bank Soil Sampling

Arcadis collected samples along PDI sediment sampling Transects 5, 6, and 7 (Figure 9a). Two 2-foot (or to refusal) vertical soil cores were collected on each bank along each transect for a total of 12 cores. Cores were collected at the mean high-water level (MHWL) and along the bank approximately halfway between the MHWL

elevation and the top of the bank (referred to as "mid-bank"). The cores were segmented into 0-0.5 foot, 0.5-1 foot, and 1-1.5 foot sample increments. A sampling summary including soil descriptions is presented in Table 9.

Field personnel did not observe visual impacts (sheen, staining, NAPLs, odor) in the background bank soil samples. Arcadis submitted soil samples to Eurofins laboratory in Buffalo, NY (Eurofins) for laboratory analysis. The upper two sampling intervals (i.e., 0-6 and 6-12 inches) were analyzed for a target compound list of 16 parent (non-alkylated) PAHs (PAH-16) using USEPA SW-846 Method 8270, while the lower sampling increments (i.e., 12-24 inches) were archived for potential analysis. Based on the analytical results, none of the 12-24 sampling interval samples were released for analysis.

#### 2.4.5.2 PAH Source Sampling

Arcadis advanced three soil borings (PDI-DPB-4, PDI-DPB-7, and PDI-DPB-8) in known impacted areas and at the same locations as the initial 2020 PDI efforts using a direct-push drilling rig to approximately 12 feet below ground surface (bgs). Boring logs are provided in Appendix B. Sample depths from each boring were selected based on the presence of visually impacted media. A sampling summary is presented in Table 9.

Arcadis submitted three samples to Eurofins for laboratory analysis for 18 specific non-alkylated PAHs (or parent PAHs) and 16 generic alkylated PAHs (PAH-34) using USEPA SW-846 Method 8270. PAH-34 represents the PAHs identified in the Procedures for the Derivation of Equilibrium Partitioning Sediment Benchmarks (ESBs) for the Protection of Benthic Organisms: PAH Mixtures (USEPA 2003) and referenced in Screening and Assessment of Contaminated Sediment (NYSDEC 2014).

### 2.5 PDI Task 5 – Ecological Characterization

Ecological characterization activities were conducted during the PDI to identify potential federally regulated wetlands, existing aquatic drainage features, vegetative communities, and potential threatened and endangered species that could be impacted by the remedial activities. An Ecological Characterization Report is included as Appendix H.

# **3 Pre-Design Investigation Findings**

This section summarizes the PDI investigation results. PDI monitoring and analytical data (i.e., geotechnical, hydraulic, ecological characterization, temporary water treatment system, creek pumping bypass) are provided in the appendices, and will be evaluated and incorporated into the remedial design. The remaining PDI data was used to delineate the soil and sediment removal limits and support the NAPL barrier wall alignment. A PDI data summary supporting soil and sediment removal and the NBW alignment are presented in the following sections:

- Southern Excavation Area Limits
- NBW Alignment
- Cayadutta Creek Investigation Results

### 3.1 Southern Excavation Area Limits

Arcadis completed soil borings and collected soil samples within and around the perimeter of the proposed soil removal areas east and west of Cayadutta Creek and within the creek below the sediment to confirm/refine the horizontal and vertical excavation limits. PDI soil boring locations are shown on Figure 1, which also shows the approximate excavation limits and NBW alignment as presented in the RDWP; the revised excavation limits and NBW alignment based on the PDI findings are presented on Figures 10 through 13. As presented in Section 2, soil borings were drilled to evaluate the following in the previously defined "probable NAPL-containing area" southwest of the NBW:

- PAH concentrations in soil above the silt unit and at the edges of the area
- Presence/absence of visual impacts (i.e., NAPL) within the area
- Silt unit presence/absence, topographic surface, and thickness

Figures 10 through 13 present the revised excavation limits and silt unit presence, thickness, and surface topography interpretations based on a review of the PDI data and historical data collected in the southern area, as follows:

- Table 7 Presents soil sample PAH analytical results to refine the lateral limits of excavation.
- Figure 10 Presents PDI soil sampling locations; locations where NAPL was observed; and the proposed revised excavation limits (i.e., soil exceeding total PAH concentrations greater than 500 ppm or exhibiting NAPL presence).
- Figure 11 Presents silt unit surface topography. The silt generally serves as a confining unit to the downward migration of NAPL. The top of silt along the NBW alignment will also be considered during the Remedial Design for locating NAPL collection points.
- Figure 12 Presents a silt unit isopach illustrating thickness variations within the silt unit. The silt thickness is important when considering the technical feasibility of various excavation shoring designs.
- Figure 13 Presents contours of the depth to the silt unit below grade. The depth to silt is important for understanding the depth of excavations to be considered while developing the remedial design.

Key observations based on review of these figures are summarized below:

- The previously defined excavation limits (as presented in the ROD) are expanded to include NAPL-impacted soil observed beneath Cayadutta Creek at borings PDI-CRB-10, PDI-CRB-11, PDI-10/11(SO) in the north and PDI-CRB-4, PDI-CRB-5, and PDI-CRB-7 in the south. The only upland area requiring expansion is at PDI-MW-10 in the east. Total PAHs were not detected at concentration greater than 500 ppm in any of the 22 soil samples collected/analyzed to delineate excavation limits.
- NAPL was encountered above the silt at the majority of PDI locations. The exception is at PDI-DPB-4, PDI-DPB-5, and PDI-CRB-10, where NAPL was encountered above the silt and several feet into the silt. Review of the site history suggests that NAPL was conveyed from the service center area to the PDI-DPB-4/PDI-DPB-5/PDI-CRB-10 area via an open ditch thus providing a continual source of NAPL to the area. The area has since undergone two interim remedial measures (IRMs) to mitigate NAPL discharge to the area.
- The elevation of the top of the silt in the southern excavation area generally ranges from approximately 732 to 741 feet AMSL. A depression is apparent in the southern portion of the area (at SB-274/PZ-279) where the silt surface is approximately 10 feet lower (722 feet AMSL) than the rest of the area. As observed during previous investigations, the PDI confirmed that a silt window is present mostly south of the excavation area; however, it appears a small portion of the excavation area overlaps with the silt window at PDI-CRB-4 and previous boring SB-441.
- The depth to the top silt in the excavation area ranges from approximately 2 to 14 feet bgs. The exception is silt surface depression at SB-274/PZ-279 where the depth to the silt is approximately 21 ft bgs. The depth to the silt layer is least in borings advanced within Cayadutta creek with an average depth of approximately 3.5 feet bgs.
- The silt thickness across the southern excavation area ranges from approximately 2 to 37 feet. The silt is thinnest near the silt window and in the southern excavation area on the west side of the creek. The average thickness of the silt is approximately 16 feet.

# 3.2 NBW Alignment

A component of the selected remedy consists of a passive NBW that will prevent future NAPL migration toward Cayadutta Creek and facilitate collection and removal of potentially mobile NAPL. The NBW will be installed by excavating a linear trench oriented perpendicular to groundwater flow and installing a NAPL collection system in the trench consisting of permeable backfill material, collection piping, and recovery sumps. The hydraulic conductivity of the trench backfill material will be considerably higher than that of the native sand and gravel located above the silt, allowing groundwater to flow freely through the trench. DNAPL entering the trench will settle to the bottom due to its density contrast with the groundwater and the decrease in hydraulic gradient in the trench caused by the permeable backfill. The trench will be keyed into the top of the silt unit and collection sumps will be positioned at low points in the silt surface. The following PDI activities were completed to support the NBW design:

- Drilling 14 (DPT, geotechnical, and well borings) soil borings along the approximate NBW alignment to evaluate the silt surface topography and thickness
- Collecting high-resolution real-time hydraulic and lithologic data at several DPT borings to evaluate the silt surface topography and thickness and subsurface soil permeability

- Installing 10 monitoring wells along the approximate NBW alignment to facilitate collecting hydraulic data to support the backfill material design and NBW alignment
- Collecting soil samples across four depth intervals at PDI-GTB-3 for grain size analysis to support the permeable backfill material design
- Conducting a 5-month water-level monitoring program to evaluate the groundwater flow field along the approximate NBW alignment
- Conducting in-situ hydraulic conductivity tests at the 10 new monitoring wells to support the permeable backfill design

Hydraulic conductivity and geotechnical data collected during the PDI and previous investigation activities will be evaluated in the remedial design. The silt surface/thickness data (Figures 11 through 13) discussed in Section 3.1 will be evaluated to determine the depth of the passive NBW and positioning of collection sumps within the wall. The remainder of this section focuses on the passive NBW alignment based on the results of the water-level monitoring program. The NBW will be positioned perpendicular to groundwater flow (along a line of equal hydraulic head) to create a "zero" gradient condition along the length of the wall. The approximate location of the NBW was shown on figures presented in the FS, ROD, and RDWP. The revised position of the NBW based on the PDI data is shown on Figures 10 through 13. The NBW location was determined based on review of 5 months of transducer data/manual water-level measurements, hydrographs presented in Appendix E, and the low, high, and average condition water-level contours presented on Figure 2. Based on the observations stated below, the 743 ft AMSL contour represented by the average groundwater level condition was chosen as the final alignment of the NBW.

Key observations based on review of transducer data, hydrographs, and Figure 2 are summarized below:

- Summary statistics for the 5 months of transducer water-level data indicate that there is little seasonal variability in the water levels along the NBW alignment. Water levels along the NBW ranged by an average approximately 2 feet with a standard deviation of 0.49 feet.
- With the exception of PDI-MW-9, increases and decreases in water levels due to precipitation events and dry
  periods were approximately the same magnitude and responded in unison at each well in the data set (i.e., if
  well X increased by 0.2 feet on a given date, well Y also increased by approximately 0.2 feet on the same
  date). Water levels at PDI-MW-9 appear to be influenced by an elevated silt surface combined with a
  relatively thin saturated sand and gravel above the silt in the area.
- Given that water levels along the NBW alignment tend to act in unison due to stresses, the horizontal hydraulic is not expected to change significantly during stresses to the flow system. This suggests that a NBW located along a line of equal head (as determined by a single gauging event) should remain along a line of equal head regardless of stresses on the flow system.
- The low, high, and average condition water-level contours presented on Figure 2 exhibit essentially the same flow pattern, confirming the validity of the viewpoint in the above bullet.

# 3.3 Cayadutta Creek Investigation Results

The Cayadutta Creek PDI investigation was conducted to evaluate creek flow near the site and characterize sediment and bank soils upstream, adjacent to, and/or downstream of the delineated upland probable NAPL containing area. Figure 3 shows the creek flow gauging location (SG-1) and creek reaches that were investigated during the PDI. Creek flow study and sediment and bank soil sampling results are presented below.

### 3.3.1 Creek Flow Study

A creek flow study was conducted to support the design of a potential temporarily bypass system to enable work in Cayadutta Creek during remedial construction. A flow gauging station (SG-1) was established just downstream of where the perennial stream enters the creek in Reach 3 (Figure 3). During seven events from December 2, 2020 to April 8, 2021, field personnel manually measured creek flow using an instrument that calculated flow based on creek cross-sectional area. Creek flow/discharge was measured at the SG-1 station location for each of these events, and the results are included in Table 8 and Appendix E. As shown in Appendix E, stream discharge measurements ranged from approximately 10 cubic feet per second (cfs) on February 11, 2021 to 31 cfs on December 2, 2020. A 20 cfs average stream discharge was measured for the seven monitoring events.

### 3.3.2 Creek Reconnaissance

As discussed in Section 2.4.2, a creek reconnaissance was conducted from August 17 to 20, 2020 to map various physical creek characteristics and select sediment sampling locations. A total of 20 outfalls, 11 bridge drains (Hill Street bridge), three drainage swales, and 30 depositional areas were identified during the reconnaissance, as shown on Figures 4 through 8. Based on the reconnaissance, field personnel noted gravel and cobble as the dominant creek bed substrate. Sands and silts were also noted in relatively small quantities in the cobble matrix. There are few soft-sediment deposits present, with the larger deposits located where perturbation of flow is present due to obstructions like bridge structures and woody debris. Smaller deposits are generally located along the banks as point bars or aggrading terraces and some mid-channel deposits. Where present, soft sediment deposits are generally thin with thicknesses ranging from approximately 0.1 to 1 foot.

The reconnaissance identified areas exhibiting sheen mostly along the edge of water starting in Reach 3 and extending to the end of Reach 5 (Figures 6 through 8). Sheen was also generated while probing sediment deposits in the following seven isolated areas located in Reaches 3 through 5:

- Reach 3: One large sand bar deposit along the eastern bank just downstream from Transect 13 and two small deposits located along the east and west banks between Transects 15 and 16. NAPL blebs were also observed in the large deposit located along the site side (east bank) of the creek downstream from Transect T13.
- Reach 4: One large sand bar deposit located along the west bank near Transect 24.
- **Reach 5**: A cut bank area between Transects 27 and 28 exhibiting a heavy sheen and strong petroleum-like odor. The area appeared to be an active ongoing source of impacts to the creek.
- Reach 5: Two large sand bar deposits located near the Harrison Street bridges by Transect 30.

Sheen was also observed after agitating the sediment/edge of water during probing conducted during the reconnaissance. The sheen along the water's edge is interpreted to be a trace quantity and transient in nature. The sheen along the water's edge does not appear to extend into the creek banks, rather is thin coating along the edge.

### 3.3.3 Sediment Sampling

Arcadis conducted sediment sampling from January 19 through January 21, 2021 at the NYSDEC-approved locations per the October 2020 correspondence. A total of 69 samples were collected from five reaches. Twenty of these samples were collected from Reaches 1 and 2 to represent background conditions upstream from the

#### PRE-DESIGN INVESTIGATION REPORT

site. Samples were analyzed for PAH<sub>34</sub> and TOC. All but two samples were collected from less than 1-foot below the sediment surface due to refusal. Sediment sampling PAH results are presented in Table 10 and on Figures 4 through 8. Figures 4 through 8 present total priority pollutant PAH (PAH<sub>16</sub>) concentrations.

PAHs were detected at relatively low concentrations in sediment samples collected from all five reaches of the creek. Total PAH<sub>34</sub> and PAH<sub>16</sub> concentrations ranged from 0.20 to 181.4 mg/kg and 0.09 to 85 mg/kg, respectively. Arcadis prepared a technical memorandum summarizing the methods and results of a statistical background evaluation and forensic analysis for the sediment and bank soil PAH results. The technical memorandum is provided in Appendix I.

As presented in the memorandum provided in Appendix I, a statistical analysis was performed to evaluate spatial trends in the data and calculate a representative background concentration (from Reach 1 and 2 samples) to provide a basis for comparing Reach 3 through Reach 5 sample analytical results. The evaluation found the average sediment sample total PAH<sub>16</sub> and PAH<sub>34</sub> concentrations were qualitatively higher in the downstream direction of the creek, with lower concentrations in Reach 1 samples and generally higher concentrations in Reach 5. However, a statistical evaluation (by lognormal ANOVA) concluded that there is a potential PAH source in Reach 5 that is different than background sources. The statistical evaluation also found that depositional sediments had statistically significant higher average total PAH concentrations than riffle/run sediments, although riffle/run sediments had higher minimum and maximum total concentrations.

The statistically derived 95<sup>th</sup> percentile (USEPA 2015) PAH<sub>34</sub> and PAH<sub>16</sub> background concentrations (65.6 and 56.4 mg/kg, respectively) for Reach 1 and Reach 2 (upstream) samples are selected as the representative statistically derived sediment background as they are the most conservative (i.e., lowest) of the statistical methods typically used to develop background concentrations in New York. As discussed in Appendix I, total PAHs (PAH<sub>34</sub>) were detected in four of 69 sediment samples collected from Reaches 1 through 5 at concentrations exceeding the 65.6 mg/kg background concentration: one background sample in Reach 2 (R2-PDI-SED-18DEP(0-0.4)), one sample in Reach 3 (R3-PDI-SED-28DEP(0-0.8)), and two samples in Reach 5 (R5-PDI-SED-55RR(0-1) and R5-PDI-SED-55RR(1-1.3)). Total PAHs (PAH<sub>16</sub>) were detected in two of 69 sediment samples exceeding 56.4 mg/kg background concentration: one background sample in Reach 2 (R2-PDI-SED-55RR(0-1)) and ne sample in Reach 5 (R5-PDI-SED-55RR(1-1.3)). The samples collected in Reach 5 (R5-PDI-SED-55RR(0-1)) and R5-PDI-SED-55RR(1-1.3)) are located immediately downstream from a creek area where heavy petroleum-like impacts were observed emanating from a cut bank on the west side of the creek (see Figure 8). It's likely that that background exceedances exhibited by these samples may be associated with the apparent petroleum-related impacts.

The forensic evaluation found that sediment samples in Reaches 3 through 5 appear to have dominant contributions from the background pyrogenic PAHs detected in Reaches 1 and 2. Some samples collected in Reaches 3 through 5 appear to have a mixture of pyrogenic and petrogenic sources. The forensic evaluation concluded that it is possible that multiple PAH sources are present, particularly in Reach 5; however, six Reach 3 samples closest to the site (R3-PDI-SED-25DEP, R3-PDI-SED-26DEP, R3-PDI-SED-27RR, R3-PDI-SED-28DEP, R3-PDI-SED-29RR, and R3-PDI-SED-30DEP) have compositional patterns and PAH signatures closer to the site source samples. No other sediment samples collected during the PDI have a chemical signature consistent with impacted upland site soil samples.

### 3.3.4 Bank Soil Sampling

As presented in Section 2.4.4, bank soil sampling was conducted adjacent to the Site in Reach 3 on February 3 and 4, 2021. A total of 31 bank soil samples were collected and submitted for PAH analysis from 18 soil cores along six transects. Banks soil sampling locations and analytical results are shown in Table 11 and on Figure 9. Total PAH<sub>16</sub> in bank soil samples ranged from non-detect to 53.5 mg/kg. As shown in Table 11, 19 of the 31 bank soil samples contained PAHs at concentrations exceeding NYSDEC Part 375 unrestricted SCOs; however, visual (staining, sheen, NAPL) impacts or odors were not observed and PID readings were non-detect in the collected bank soil samples.

The forensic analysis (Appendix I) also evaluated bank soil sampling results as they compare to site – related PAHs and PAHs in sediments. As discussed in Appendix I, bank soils have statistically significant higher total PAH<sub>16</sub> concentrations than sediments. The forensic evaluation concluded that bank soil samples have a pyrogenic signature but lack naphthalene signatures present in the impacted upland samples. This indicates that the PAHs detected in bank soils are not related to site sources.

### 3.3.5 Supplemental PDI Background Bank Soil Sampling

The Supplemental PDI background bank soil sampling results were presented in the May 16, 2023 Interim Supplemental PDI Submittal (Appendix A). The discussion below is largely from the Interim Supplemental PDI Submittal. Figure 9a shows the background bank soil sampling locations in Reach 2 and Table 12 provides the background bank soil sampling results. Arcadis reviewed the supplemental PDI sampling analytical results and conducted the following statistical evaluations:

- Upper limit statistics to establish a statistically derived site-specific background concentration and comparison of this site-specific background concentration to bank soil samples adjacent to and downstream from the Site,
- Hypothesis testing to determine spatial patterns between the total PAH-16 concentrations in background bank soils to the total PAH-16 concentrations within the investigation area,

#### 3.3.5.1 Statistically Derived Background Bank Soil PAH-16 Concentration

Arcadis statistically derived a total PAH-16 background bank soil concentration using the supplemental PDI Reach 2 bank soil sampling results. Statistical analyses were performed in the 'R' statistical language (R Core Team 2022) and with ProUCL version 5.2 (USEPA 2022). The following statistical metrics were considered:

- 95th percentiles
- 95th percent Upper Prediction Limits (95 UPLs)
- 95/95 Upper Threshold Limits (95/95 UTLs)

No significant outliers were identified by Dixon's test or examination of QQ plots. The data were normally distributed by Shapiro Wilk and Lilliefors tests, so the background upper limit statistics were computed using normal distribution methods. The background concentration statistical evaluation upper limit results are summarized in the following table:

PAH Mixture	95 <sup>th</sup> Percentile <sup>1</sup> (mg/kg)	95 UPL² (mg/kg)	95/95 UTL <sup>3</sup> (mg/kg)
Background Bank Soil			
Total PAH-16	31.4	29.5	36.7

#### Upper Limits of Background Bank Soil Total PAH-16 Concentration - Statistical Results Summary

#### Notes:

1. 95th percentiles were calculated using the Type 7 Method (USEPA 2015).

 Bank soil dataset was normally distributed by Shapiro Wilk and Lilliefors tests. 95 Upper Prediction Limits (UPLs) were calculated using the normal 95<sup>th</sup> Percentile (z) method (USEPA 2015).

3. 95/95 Upper Tolerance Limits (UTLs) were calculated using the 95% BCA Bootstrap UTL with 95% Coverage method (USEPA 2015).

The 95 UPL total PAH-16 concentration of 29.5 mg/kg was selected to use as the statistically derived sediment background concentrations from Reach 2, as it is the most conservative of the upper tail estimates. The statistically derived background concentrations were used as a basis against which Reach 3 bank soil sample total PAH-16 concentrations were compared.

Total PAH-16 were detected at concentrations exceeding the 29.5 mg/kg background concentration in the following 6 of 55 PDI Reach 2 and 3 bank soil samples:

Reach	Sample ID	Total PAH-16 (mg/kg)
<b>2</b> 2	T-05-LB-MHWL (0-0.5)	32 J <sup>1</sup>
2	T-07-LB-MHWL (0-0.5)	37 J
	PDI-T-17-RB-MB (0.5-0.7)	36.9 J
23	PDI-T-18-LB-MHWL (0-0.5)	53.5 J
5	PDI-T-18-LB-MB (0-0.5)	30.9 J
	PDI-T-18-RB-MB (0-0.5)	32.9 J

#### Notes:

1. J = indicates that individual PAHs in the sample were positively identified; however, the associated numerical value is an estimated concentration only.

2. Reach 2 is background (i.e., upstream") of the delineated upland NAPL containing area where it encounters Cayadutta Creek, due west of the service center area.

 Reach 3 is adjacent to the NAPL containing area where it encounters Cayadutta Creek and extends approximately 500 feet beyond the National Grid-owned southern property boundary.

#### 3.3.5.2 Average Total PAH-16 Concentration Spatial Pattern Evaluation

Arcadis used hypothesis testing to evaluate similarities or differences between the Reach 2 and Reach 3 bank soil total PAH-16 datasets. Due to the non-detect total PAH-16 result at PDI-T-16-LB-MB (0.5-0.8'), Arcadis conducted this evaluation using generalized Wilcoxon test methods for censored data for the Reach 2 and Reach 3 data distributions. The generalized Wilcoxon test, as formulated by Peto and Peto, can be used to evaluate differences between the distributions of two or more groups of independent censored observations (Peto and Peto 1972). The results of the hypothesis testing showed no statistically significant differences (p-value = 0.711) between Reach 2 background bank soil total PAH-16 concentrations and Reach 3 bank soil total PAH-16 concentrations. A summary

of total PAH-16 concentrations in Reach 2 background bank soils, Reach 3 bank soils is presented in the following table.

Detected Bank Soil T	otal PAH-16 Concentrations
----------------------	----------------------------

Sample Media	Median Total PAH-16 (mg/kg)	Total PAH-16 Range (mg/kg)
Reach 2 Bank Soil	11.6	0.18 – 36.7
Reach 3 Bank Soil	15.3	0.73 – 53.5

#### 3.3.5.3 Supplemental PDI Forensic Evaluation

Arcadis conducted a supplemental forensic evaluation consisting of the following:

- · Bank soil versus Site source soil PAH-16 compositional fingerprint distribution comparison
- Bank soil versus Site source soils PAH Double Ratio Plot comparison
- Principal Component Analysis (PCA) and cluster analyses to group sampling locations based on their overall similarity.

Descriptions and evaluation findings are presented below.

#### **Compositional Fingerprint Distribution**

PAH-16 compositional fingerprints (i.e., distributions) were evaluated for similarities between bank soils and Site source soils. Table 13 presents the site source sampling results for samples collected as discussed in Section 2.5.4.2. A visual comparison of the PAH compositional fingerprints (see Attachment 2 of the May 16, 2023 Interim Supplemental PDI Submittal [Appendix A]) indicates that the Reach 2 background bank soils ("Background") and Reach 3 bank soils ("Site") have similar PAH distributions. This distribution is characterized by the presence and compositional similarities of parent PAH compounds with medium to heavy molecular weights (3-6 rings), such as phenanthrene ("PO"), fluoranthene ("FLO"), pyrene ("PYO"), benzo(a)anthracene ("BAO"), chrysene (CO"), benzofluoranthenes ("BBF" and "BKF"), benzo(a)pyrene ("BAP"), indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene ("IND"), and benzo(g,h,i)perylene ("GHI"). The PAH compounds with low molecular weights, such as naphthalene, acenaphthylene, acenaphthene, anthracene, and fluorene, are generally not present in the soil bank samples or are present at low concentrations near their respective detection limits in both Reach 2 background and Reach 3 samples.

In contrast, the Site source samples PAH compositional fingerprints are characterized by parent PAH compounds with low to medium molecular weights (2-3 rings) such as naphthalene ("NO"), acenaphthene ("AY"), acenaphthylene ("AE"), anthracene ("AO") and fluorene ("FO") with naphthalene as the most dominant PAH. The PAH compounds with high molecular weights (4-6 rings), such as benzofluoranthenes, benzo(a)pyrene, indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene, and benzo(g,h,i)perylene, are generally not detected in the source soil samples or are present at low concentrations. Figure 2 of the May 16, 2023 Interim Supplemental PDI Submittal (Appendix A) illustrates the Reach 2 and 3 bank soils average PAH compositional fingerprint similarities and distinct bank soil and Site source soil PAH compositional fingerprint differences.

#### **PAH Double Ratio Plots**

Select PAH diagnostic ratio values were calculated and plotted for each bank soil and Site source soil sample. Arcadis prepared benzo(a)anthracene/chrysene versus fluoranthene/pyrene and benzo(a)anthracene/

www.arcadis.com https://arcadiso365.sharepoint.com/leams/project-30044656/Shared Documents/10 Final Reports and Presentations/2024/PDI Report/Gloversville Former MGP Site\_PDI Report\_FINAL.doc

#### PRE-DESIGN INVESTIGATION REPORT

(benzo(a)anthracene + chrysene) versus anthracene / (anthracene + phenanthrene) PAH double-ratio plots, which are the most common ratios used in PAH source evaluations (Costa et al. 2004; Costa and Sauer 2005; Yunker et al. 2002).

As indicated on Figure 3 of the May 16, 2023 Interim Supplemental PDI Submittal (Appendix A), the diagnostic ratio plot of benzo(a)anthracene/chrysene versus fluoranthene/pyrene concentrations shows a cluster of bank soil samples from both Reach 2 background and Reach 3 that is distinctly different than the similar PAH concentration ratios for Site source area soils. Although both bank soils and source soil ratios both fell in the pyrogenic and mixed source zones, bank soils had distinctly higher fluoranthene/pyrene ratios than Site source soil samples.

Anthracene was only detected in 6 of 24 (25%) supplemental PDI background bank soils. Where detected, anthracene concentrations were below NYSDEC Part 375-6 Unrestricted Use Soil Cleanup Objectives (SCOs). Therefore, the diagnostic ratio plot of benzo(a)anthracene/ (benzo(a)anthracene + chrysene) versus anthracene / (anthracene + phenanthrene) has limited value for evaluation purposes and is not presented in this report.

#### **PCA Statistical Analysis**

Arcadis completed PCA and hierarchical cluster analyses (HCA) to further evaluate the bank and source soil data sets. PCA is used to reduce the dimensionality of a dataset with many interrelated variables. The HCA was conducted to group similar PCA results into subsets (or clusters). Statistical analyses were performed in the 'R' statistical language (R Core Team 2022).

Prior to PCA analysis, detected PAH-16 compound concentrations were transformed to a fraction of total PAH concentration, to focus the evaluation on the PAH signature rather than concentration magnitude, then scaled and centered to a mean of zero and unit variance. These normalization procedures remove bias due to different PAH concentrations between samples (EPRI 2008). In support of these evaluations, non-detect PAH concentrations in a sample were set to zero in the fraction of total PAH calculation.

Two principal components (i.e., PC-1 and PC-2) explaining 71.7% of the variance were retained through the PCA to the HCA. Arcadis then conducted an agglomerative HCA on the two retained PCs using the Ward Method. The HCA identified two distinct data clusters. Figure 4 of the May 16, 2023 Interim Supplemental PDI Submittal (Appendix A) presents the PCA scores and loadings along with the data clusters.

Cluster 1 is characterized by samples with:

- Higher proportions of acenaphthene, anthracene, acenaphthylene, fluorene, naphthalene, and phenanthrene.
- Lower proportions of benzo(b)fluoranthene, benzo(a)pyrene, benzo(g,h,i)perylene, benzo(a)anthracene, benzo(k)fluoranthene, chrysene, dibenzo(a,h) anthracene, fluoranthene, indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene, and pyrene.

Cluster 2 is characterized by samples with:

- Higher proportions of benzo(g,h,i)perylene, benzo(k)fluoranthene, benzo(b)fluoranthene, benzo(a)pyrene, benzo(a)anthracene, chrysene, dibenzo(a,h) anthracene, fluoranthene, indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene, and pyrene.
- Lower proportions of acenaphthene, anthracene, acenaphthylene fluorene, naphthalene, and phenanthrene.

A data cluster evaluation relative to sample location indicates that Cluster 1 is associated with the Site source soil samples while Cluster 2 is primarily associated with the Reach 2 background soil samples.

#### 3.3.5.4 Supplemental PDI Background Bank Soil Conclusions

The results of the statistical and forensic bank soil PAH-16 evaluations indicate that the low-level PAH concentrations detected in Reach 3 bank soil samples during the initial PDI effort are related to background sources and not the former MGP Site based on the following lines of evidence:

- Only four of 31 Reach 3 bank soil samples and two of 24 Reach 2 background bank soils contain total PAH-16 at concentrations exceeding the lowest statistical background concentration.
- The total PAH-16 concentrations and ranges are similar between Reach 2 background and Reach 3 bank samples.
- Hypothesis testing show no statistically significant differences between Reach 2 background and Reach 3 bank soil total PAH-16 concentrations.
- PAH compositional fingerprints indicate that the Reach 2 background and Reach 3 bank soils have similar higher ring PAH distributions, while the Site source samples were characterized by lower ring PAHs with naphthalene dominance.
- Reach 2 background and Reach 3 bank soils have distinctly higher fluoranthene/pyrene ratios compared to Site source soil samples.
- PCA statistical analysis indicates that the Reach 2 background and Reach 3 bank soils are associated with one cluster with higher proportions of higher ring PAHs (Cluster 2) while the Site source soils are associated with higher proportions of lower ring PAHs (Cluster 1).

Based on these evaluations, in the May 16, 2023 Interim Supplemental PDI Submittal National Grid requested NYSDEC approve a ROD modification to revise the bank soil cleanup objective from "[excavating] bank soils which exceed unrestricted use SCOs" to "excavating bank soils adjacent to upland NAPL containing areas". The NYSDEC approved this request as documented in their December 19, 2023 letter (Appendix A).

### 3.3.6 **Proposed Cayadutta Creek Sediment Remedial Action**

The NYSDEC-selected remedy for Cayadutta Creek sediments, as presented in the ROD, consists of excavating and transporting for off-site disposal sediment:

- that is grossly contaminated, as defined in 6 NYCRR Part 375-1.2(u)
- that contains visual impacts of non-aqueous phase liquids, sheen or which produce a visible sheen when agitated in-situ
- that are discolored and smell like coal tar
- sediment impacted by site-related PAHs at concentrations greater than background levels

It is apparent that site-related impacts are present in Cayadutta Creek sediments in the form of sheens and trace amounts of NAPL (adjacent to the site); however, all but 1 of the 49 sediment samples collected from Reaches 3 through 5 contain concentrations less than the 95<sup>th</sup> percentile PAH<sub>16</sub> background concentration of 56.4 mg/kg. The one sample ((R5-PDI-SED-55RR(1-1.3); 57 J mg/kg) was collected from Reach 5 in an area immediately downstream from an apparent ongoing petroleum-like source. Furthermore, the forensic evaluation (Appendix I) concluded there is likely another source of PAHs in Reach 5. The forensic evaluation combined with the visual/olfactory observations immediately upstream from this sample suggests that the PAHs in this sample are not site-related. The forensic evaluation also concluded that only six samples located adjacent to the site in

Reach 3 (R3-PDI-SED-25DEP, R3-PDI-SED-26DEP, R3-PDI-SED-27RR, R3-PDI-SED-28DEP, R3-PDI-SED-29RR, and R3-PDI-SED-30DEP) likely contain PAHs related to the site. Two of these samples (R3-PDI-SED-26DEP and R3-PDI-SED-28DEP) also contained visible evidence of impacts in the form of sheen and trace amounts of NAPL; however, the PAH<sub>16</sub> concentration for these samples was below the 95<sup>th</sup> percentile PAH<sub>16</sub> background concentration of 56.4 mg/kg (23 J mg/kg and 38 J mg/kg, respectively). Trace amounts of sheen were also observed at the edge of water in several areas and within six isolated sediment deposits in Reaches 3 through 5. The sheen at the edge of water is interpreted to be transient and not present further into the creek bank. The sheen in the sediment deposits could persist for longer periods given the size and depth of the deposits.

Given this information, in the January 2022 PDI Report National Grid proposed the following remedial actions for Cayadutta Creek sediments:

- Remove sediments located near/at the two sediment samples containing sheen/trace NAPL and PAHs with a site signature (R3-PDI-SED-26DEP and R3-PDI-SED-28DEP).
- Remove the three sediment deposits in Reach 3 and one sediment deposit in Reach 4 that contain sheen.
- Monitor the absence/presence of sheen on a periodic basis after the upland remediation and impacted creek sediments (above two bullets) have been removed.
- Evaluate potential additional future remedial measures in the creek should sheen persist along the water's edge as evidenced by the post-remediation monitoring program.

The NYSDEC approved this proposal as documented in their December 19, 2023 letter (Appendix A).

# 3.4 Ecological Characterization

Arcadis conducted an ecological characterization study to determine the extent and location of waters of the United States and characterize the vegetative community at/near the project area. Field visits were conducted on August 17, 27, 28, and 31, 2020 to support the study. The field visits consisted of:

- characterizing and delineating wetlands as defined by the United States Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) and the USEPA
- characterizing site upland and riparian vegetation communities
- characterizing the unnamed tributary in the southern portion of the site including characterization of the wetted width, water depth, habitats, substrate, bank conditions, vegetation, and observations of aquatic biota

Study methods, findings, and conclusions are presented in the Ecological Characterization Report included as Appendix H.
# 4 Remedial Design Schedule

The PDI data are sufficient to proceed with the Remedial Design preparation. The anticipated Remedial Design schedule is presented in Table 4.1, below.

Table 4.1 Anticipated Remedial Design Schedule

Schedule Component	Date
Submit Final PDI Report	By February 5, 2024
NYSDEC PDI Report Approval	Q1 2024
Submit Preliminary Remedial Design Report	Q4 2024
Receive NYSDEC Comments	Q1 2025
Submit Draft Final Remedial Design Report	Q2 2025
Receive NYSDEC Comments	Q3 2025
Submit Final Remedial Design Report	Q4 2025
NYSDEC Approval of Final Remedial Design Report	Q4 2025
Remedial Contractor Procurement (includes bid document preparation)	Q4 2025 – Q2 2026
Initiate Remedial Construction	2026

The project schedule is subject to change based on NYSDEC review/approval schedule.

# **5** References

Arcadis 2020 *Remedial Design Work Plan*, Gloversville (Hill Street) Former Manufactured Gas Plant Site No. 5-18-021, Prepared for National Grid, June 2020.

Duffield 2007 AQTESOLV for Windows User's Guide. Version 4.5, HydroSOLVE, Inc., Reston.

# **Tables**

### Table 1Fluid-Level Measurements



### Pre-Design Investigation Report National Grid Gloversville (Hill Street) Former MGP Site Gloversville, New York

	Measuring	11/17/2	2020	12/1/2	020	12/18/2	2020	1/12/2	.021	2/11/2	021	3/3/20	)21	4/8/20	021
	Point	Groundwater	NAPL												
Monitoring	Elevation	Elevation	Thickness												
Well ID	(Ft AMSL)	(Ft AMSL)	(Ft)												
MW-9S	747.96	742.92	0.0	743.59	0.0	742.14	0.0	742.28	0.0	742.12	0.0	742.80	0.0	742.62	0.0
MW-15S	753.64	743.25	0.7*	743.52	0.4*	743.12	0.4*	742.68	0.2*	742.60	0.0	742.96	0.0	742.75	0.0
MW-16S	746.06	739.53	0.0	739.63	0.0	739.35	0.0	739.41	0.0	739.24	0.0	739.52	0.0	739.47	0.0
MW-17S	748.35	743.19	0.0	743.33	0.0	742.54	0.0	742.50	0.0	742.66	0.0	742.97	0.0	742.79	0.0
MW-32S	748.68	744.19	0.0	744.44	0.0	743.59	0.0	743.85	0.0	743.56	0.0	744.23	0.0	744.11	0.0
MW-38	750.38	741.92	0.1	742.10	0.0	741.88	0.0	740.15	0.0	745.37	0.0	741.17	0.0	744.84	0.0
PZ-416	748.27	740.26	0.0	740.55	0.0	739.95	0.0	740.00	0.0	739.86	0.0	740.22	0.0	740.06	0.0
PDI-MW-1	750.20	746.85	0.0	747.18	0.0	746.81	0.0	745.98	0.0	746.58	0.0	746.68	0.0	746.02	0.0
PDI-MW-2	750.90	746.36	0.0	746.77	0.0	746.29	0.0	745.58	0.0	746.33	0.0	746.15	0.0	745.58	0.0
PDI-MW-3	750.24	746.06	0.0	746.41	0.0	745.55	0.0	745.78	0.0	745.48	0.0	746.07	0.0	746.06	0.0
PDI-MW-4	748.40	742.99	0.5	743.27	0.5	742.38	0.6	742.56	0.5	742.26	2.1	742.98	2.8	742.90	0.4
PDI-MW-5	747.68	741.35	1.4	741.67	1.3	740.84	1.5	740.94	1.3	740.70	2.6	741.26	3.5	741.11	1.7
PDI-MW-6	749.74	745.68	0.0	745.96	0.0	745.32	0.0	745.32	0.0	745.08	0.0	745.59	0.0	745.38	0.0
PDI-MW-7	749.88	744.36	0.0	744.41	0.0	743.07	0.0	743.30	0.0	742.92	0.0	743.95	0.0	743.89	0.0
PDI-MW-8	749.48	745.34	0.0	745.94	0.0	744.46	0.0	744.62	0.0	744.36	0.0	745.23	0.0	744.63	0.0
PDI-MW-9	749.32	744.45	0.0	745.03	0.0	742.68	0.0	743.17	0.0	741.96	0.0	744.10	0.0	743.06	0.0
PDI-MW-10	748.19	739.18	0.0	739.38	0.0	738.93	0.0	739.14	0.0	738.83	0.0	739.28	0.0	739.42	0.0
SG-1	742.72	738.08	NA	738.33	NA	738.01	NA	738.02	NA	737.94	NA	738.03	NA	738.03	NA
SG-2	760.21	748.06	NA	748.71	NA	747.83	NA	747.35	NA	748.01	NA	748.28	NA	748.33	NA
SG-3	747.31	739.61	NA	740.08	NA	739.49	NA	739.48	NA	738.61	NA	739.83	NA	739.82	NA
SG-4	734.40	728.56	NA	726.88	NA	728.37	NA	724.93	NA	725.48	NA	725.76	NA	725.77	NA

### Notes:

1. AMSL = Above Mean Sea Level

2. Ft = Feet

3. NAPL = Non-Aqueous Phase Liquid

4. Approximately 0.79 and 0.87 gallons of NAPL were removed by bailer from PDI-MW-4 and PDI-MW-5 on 3/3/2021

5. \* The NAPL thickness measurements at MW-15S were erroneous. NAPL gauging and groundwater sampling were conducted at MW-15S during a Supplemental PDI. The results of the additional gauging and sampling indicated NAPL was not present at the well and groundwater did not contain detected MGP-related constituents. Table 2 provides the groundwater sampling results.

Table 2MW-15 Groundwater Sampling Results



Pre-Design Investigation Report National Grid Gloversville (Hill Street) Former MGP Site Gloversville, New York

Location ID:		MW-15S
Date Collected:	Units	11/1/22
Volatile Organics		
1.1.1-Trichloroethane	ua/L	0.82 U
1.1.2.2-Tetrachloroethane	ua/L	0.21 U
1.1.2-trichloro-1.2.2-trifluoroethane	ug/L	0.31 U
1 1 2-Trichloroethane	ug/L	0.23 []
1 1-Dichloroethane	ug/L	0.38 U
1 1-Dichloroethene	ug/L	0.29 []
1.2.4-Trichlorobenzene	ug/L	0.41 U
1.2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane	ua/L	0.39 U
1.2-Dibromoethane	ug/l	0.73 U
1.2-Dichlorobenzene	ua/L	0.79 U
1.2-Dichloroethane	ua/L	0.21 U
1.2-Dichloropropane	ug/L	0.72 U
1.3-Dichlorobenzene	ua/L	0.78 U
1.4-Dichlorobenzene	ua/L	0.84 U
2-Butanone	ua/L	1.3 U
2-Hexanone	ua/L	1.2 U
4-Methyl-2-pentanone	ua/L	2.1 U
Acetone	ua/L	5.0 J
Benzene	ua/L	0.41 U
Bromodichloromethane	ug/L	0.39 U
Bromoform	ug/L	0.26 U
Bromomethane	ug/L	0.69 U
Carbon Disulfide	ug/L	0.19 U
Carbon Tetrachloride	ug/L	0.27 U
Chlorobenzene	ug/L	0.75 U
Chloroethane	ug/L	0.32 U
Chloroform	ug/L	0.34 U
Chloromethane	ug/L	0.35 U
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	ug/L	0.81 U
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	ug/L	0.36 U
Cyclohexane	ug/L	0.18 U
Dibromochloromethane	ug/L	0.32 U
Dichlorodifluoromethane	ug/L	0.68 U
Ethylbenzene	ug/L	0.74 U
Isopropylbenzene	ug/L	0.79 U
Methyl acetate	ug/L	1.3 U
Methyl tert-butyl ether	ug/L	0.16 U
Methylcyclohexane	ug/L	0.16 U
Methylene Chloride	ug/L	0.44 U
Styrene	ug/L	0.73 U
Tetrachloroethene	ug/L	0.36 U
Toluene	ug/L	0.51 U
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	ug/L	0.90 U
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	ug/L	0.37 U
Trichloroethene	ug/L	0.46 U
Trichlorofluoromethane	ug/L	0.88 U
Vinyl Chloride	ug/L	0.90 U
Xylenes (total)	ug/L	0.66 U
Semivolatile Organics		
1,1'-Biphenyl	ug/L	0.65 U
2,2'-Oxybis(1-Chloropropane)	ug/L	0.52 U
2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	ug/L	0.48 U
2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	ug/L	0.61 U

# Table 2MW-15 Groundwater Sampling Results



Pre-Design Investigation Report National Grid Gloversville (Hill Street) Former MGP Site Gloversville, New York

Location ID:		MW-15S
	Unite	11/1/22
Semivolatile Organics (cont.)	onits	11/1/22
2.4 Dichlorophonol	ug/l	0.51.11
2,4-Dichiolophenol	ug/L	0.51 0
2,4-Dimetrophonol	ug/L	0.50 0
2,4-Dinitrophenoi	ug/L	0.45.11
2,4-Dinitrotoluono	ug/L	0.45 0
2,0-Dimitoloidene	ug/L	0.40 0
2 Chlorophonol	ug/L	0.40 0
2-Methylpaphthalene	ug/L	0.00 U
2-Methylnaphilaene 2-Methylnaphilaene	ug/L	0.00 0
2-Netrophilipe	ug/L	0.40 0
2-Nitronhenol	ug/L	0.42 0
	ug/L	0.40 U
3.Nitroaniline	ug/L	0.40 0
4 6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol	ug/L	2211
4.Bromonhenyl-phenylether	ug/L	0.45 []
4-Chloro-3-Methylphenol	ug/L	0.45 U
	ug/L	0.45 0
	ug/L	0.35 U
4-Oniorophenyi-phenyiether	ug/L	0.35 0
4-Methylphenol 4-Nitroaniline	ug/L	0.25 U
	ug/L	1511
	ug/L	0.4111
	ug/L	0.410
	ug/L	0.50 0
Anthracene	ug/L	0.34 0
Atrazine	ug/L	0.46 U
Benzaldehvde	ug/L	0.40 0
Benzo(a)anthracene	ug/L	0.27.0
Benzo(a)pyrene	ug/L	0.47 []
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	ug/L	0.47.0
Benzo(a h i)pervlene	ug/L	0.35 []
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	ug/L	0.00 0
his(2-Chloroethoxy)methane	ug/L	0.75 U
his(2-Chloroethyl)ether	ug/L	0.40 []
his(2-Ethylbexyl)phthalate	ug/L	2211
Butylbenzylphthalate	ug/L	1011
Caprolactam	ug/L	2211
Carbazole	ug/L	0.30 U
Chrysene	ug/L	0.33 U
Dibenzo(a,b)anthracene	ug/L	0.42 U
Dibenzofuran	ug/L	0.51 U
Diethylphthalate	ug/L	0.22 U
Dimethylphthalate	ug/L	0.36 U
Di-n-Butylphthalate	ua/L	0.31 U
Di-n-Octvlphthalate	ua/L	0.47 U
Fluoranthene	ua/L	0.40 U
Fluorene	ua/L	0.36 U
Hexachlorobenzene	ua/L	0.51 U
Hexachlorobutadiene	ua/l	0.68 U
Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	ua/l	0.59 U
Hexachloroethane	ua/l	0.59 U
Indeno(1.2.3-cd)pyrene	ua/l	0.47 U
Isophorone	ug/L	0.43 U

# Table 2MW-15 Groundwater Sampling Results



Pre-Design Investigation Report National Grid Gloversville (Hill Street) Former MGP Site Gloversville, New York

	Location ID:		MW-15S
	Date Collected:	Units	11/1/22
Semivolatile Organics (cont.)			
Naphthalene		ug/L	0.76 U
Nitrobenzene		ug/L	0.29 U
N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine		ug/L	0.54 U
N-Nitrosodiphenylamine		ug/L	0.51 U
Pentachlorophenol		ug/L	2.2 U
Phenanthrene		ug/L	0.44 U
Phenol		ug/L	0.39 U
Pyrene		ug/L	0.34 U
Total PAH16		ug/L	0.76 U

### Notes:

- 1. Arcadis collected samples on date indicated.
- 2. Samples analyzed by Eurofins laboratory in Buffalo, NY.
- 3. Results are presented in micrograms per liter (ug/L), which is equivalent to parts per billion (ppb).
- 4. J detected concentration is less than the calibration level but greater than the instrument detection limit. This value is estimated.
- 5. U Compound was analyzed for but not detected. The presented value is the compound quantitation limit.

# Table 3 Hydraulic Conductivity Estimates



### Pre-Design Investigation Report National Grid Gloversville (Hill Street) Former MGP Site Gloversville, New York

Monitoring Wells	Test Type	Analytical Solution	Hydraulic Conductivity, K (ft/day)	Geomean K (ft/day)
		Bouwer-Rice	0.87	
PDI-MW-1	Rising Head	Hvorslev	1.4	1.2
		Springer-Gelhar	1.3	
		Bouwer-Rice	0.07	
PDI-MW-2	Rising Head	Hvorslev	0.13	0.11
		Springer-Gelhar	0.15	
		Bouwer-Rice	1.2	
PDI-MW-3	Rising Head	Hvorslev	1.8	1.6
		Springer-Gelhar	1.8	
	-4 Rising Head	Bouwer-Rice	3.7	
PDI-MW-4		Hvorslev	4.8	4.4
		Springer-Gelhar	4.9	
	Dising Llag d	Hvorslev	26	07
PDI-IVIVV-5	Rising Head	Springer-Gelhar	29	27
		Bouwer-Rice	1.0	
PDI-MW-6	Rising Head	Hvorslev	1.4	1.4
		Springer-Gelhar	2.1	
	Dising Llag d	Bouwer-Rice	0.72	0.00
PDI-IVIVV-7	Rising Head	Hvorslev	1.1	0.89
	Distantia	Bouwer-Rice	0.21	4.0
PDI-MW-8	Rising Head	Hvorslev	0.41	1.2
	Dising Llagd	Bouwer-Rice	0.078	0.000
PDI-MVV-9	Kising Head	Hvorslev	0.11	0.093
		Bouwer-Rice	2.9	
PDI-MW-10	Rising Head	Hvorslev	4.2	4.8
		Springer-Gelhar	8.9	

#### Notes:

ft²/day = square feet per day ft/day = feet per day

# Table 4 Detention Basin Storm Water Sampling Analytical Results



### Pre-Design Investigation Report National Grid Gloversville (Hill Street) Former MGP Site Gloversville, New York

Locatic Samp Date Colle	n ID: le ID: cted: Units	Storm Water Detention Basin DETENTION_BASIN_GW_120220 12/02/20
Valatila Orregian		12/02/20
Volatile Organics		0.00083.11
	mg/L	0.00082 0
1,1,2,2-Tetrachioroethane	mg/L	0.000210
1,1,2-thchloroethane	mg/L	0.000310
1 1-Dichloroethane	mg/L	0.00023.0
1 1-Dichloroethene	mg/L	0,00029 U
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	mg/L	0.00041 U
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane	mg/L	0.00039 U
1,2-Dibromoethane	mg/L	0.00073 U
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	mg/L	0.00079 U
1,2-Dichloroethane	mg/L	0.00021 U
1,2-Dichloropropane	mg/L	0.00072 U
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	mg/L	0.00078 U
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	mg/L	0.00084 U
2-Butanone	mg/L	0.0013 U
2-Hexanone	mg/L	0.0012 U
4-Methyl-2-pentanone	mg/L	0.0021 U
Acetone	mg/L	0.0030 U
Benzene	mg/L	0.00054 J
Bromodichioromethane	mg/L	0.00039 U
Bromotorm	mg/L	0.00026 U
Bromometnane	mg/L	0.00069 0
Carbon Totrachlarida	mg/L	0.00019 0
Chlorobonzono	mg/L	0.00027 0
Chloroethane	mg/L	0.00073.0
Chloroform	mg/L	0.00032.0
Chloromethane	mg/L	0.00034 0
cis-1 2-Dichloroethene	mg/L	0.00081 U
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	mg/L	0.00036 U
Cvclohexane	mg/L	0.00018 U
Dibromochloromethane	mg/L	0.00032 U
Dichlorodifluoromethane	mg/L	0.00068 U
Ethylbenzene	mg/L	0.00081 J
Isopropylbenzene	mg/L	0.00079 U
Methyl acetate	mg/L	0.0013 U
Methyl tert-butyl ether	mg/L	0.00016 U
Methylcyclohexane	mg/L	0.00016 U
Methylene Chloride	mg/L	0.00044 U
Styrene	mg/L	0.00073 U
Tetrachloroethene	mg/L	0.00036 U
Toluene	mg/L	0.00051 U
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	mg/L	0.00090 U
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	mg/L	0.00037 U
	mg/L	0.00046 U
	mg/L	0.00088 U
Vinyi Chionde	mg/L	0.00090 0
Semiveletile Organice	IIIg/∟	0.00000 0
1 1' Dishanul		0.00065 11
1,1-Bipnenyi	mg/L	0.00053 U
2.4 5 Triphorophonol	mg/L	0.00052 0
2.4.6-Trichlorophenol	mg/L	
	mg/L	0.00001 0
2 4-Dimethylphenol	mg/L	0.00050 U
2 4-Dinitrophenol	mg/L	0.002211
2.4-Dinitrotoluene	mg/L	0.00045 U
2.6-Dinitrotoluene	ma/L	0.00040 U
2-Chloronaphthalene	ma/L	0.00046 U
2-Chlorophenol	ma/L	0.00053 U
2-Methylnaphthalene	ma/L	0.00060 U
2-Methylphenol	mg/L	0.00040 U
2-Nitroaniline	mg/L	0.00042 U

# Table 4 Detention Basin Storm Water Sampling Analytical Results



### Pre-Design Investigation Report National Grid Gloversville (Hill Street) Former MGP Site Gloversville, New York

Location Sample	ID: ID:	Storm Water Detention Basin DETENTION_BASIN_GW_120220
Date Collec	ted: Units	12/02/20
Semivolatile Organics (cont'd)	0	0.0004011
2-Nitrophenol	mg/L	0.00048 U
3.3-Dichlorobenzidine	mg/L	0.00040 0
4 6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol	mg/L	0.002211
4-Bromonhenyl-nhenylether	mg/L	0.0022.0
4-Chloro-3-Methylphenol	mg/L	0.00045 U
4-Chloroaniline	mg/L	0.00059 U
4-Chlorophenyl-phenylether	mg/L	0.00035 U
4-Methylphenol	mg/L	0.00036 U
4-Nitroaniline	mg/L	0.00025 U
4-Nitrophenol	mg/L	0.0015 U
Acenaphthene	mg/L	0.00075 J
Acenaphthylene	mg/L	0.00038 U
Acetophenone	mg/L	0.00054 U
Anthracene	mg/L	0.00028 U
Atrazine	mg/L	0.00046 0
Benzela)anthracono	mg/L	0.00027 0
Benzo(a)pyrene	mg/L	0.00030 0
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	mg/L	0.0003411*+
Benzo(g h i)pervlene	mg/L	0.00035 U
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	mg/L	0.00073 U*+
bis(2-Chloroethoxy)methane	mg/L	0.00035 U
bis(2-Chloroethyl)ether	mg/L	0.00040 U
bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate	mg/L	0.0022 U
Butylbenzylphthalate	mg/L	0.0010 U
Caprolactam	mg/L	0.0022 U
Carbazole	mg/L	0.00030 U
Chrysene	mg/L	0.00033 U
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	mg/L	0.00042 U
Dibenzofuran	mg/L	0.00051 U
Dietnyiphthalate	mg/L	0.00022 0
	mg/L	0.00030 0
Di-n-Octylphthalate	mg/L	0.000310
Fluoranthene	mg/L	0.00040 U
Fluorene	ma/L	0.00036 U
Hexachlorobenzene	mg/L	0.00051 U
Hexachlorobutadiene	mg/L	0.00068 U
Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	mg/L	0.00059 U
Hexachloroethane	mg/L	0.00059 U
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	mg/L	0.00047 U
Isophorone	mg/L	0.00043 U
Naphthalene	mg/L	0.00076 U
Nitrobenzene	mg/L	0.00029 U
N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine	mg/L	0.00054 U
N-Nitrosodiphenylamine	mg/L	0.00051 U
Pentachiorophenol	mg/L	0.0005 J
Phenal	mg/L	0.00044 0
Pyrene	mg/L	0.00039.0
Total SVOCs	mg/L	0.0073.1
Total TPAHs	mg/L	0.00075.1
Inorganics	<u>9</u> ,	
Aluminum	ma/L	0.870
Antimony	ma/L	0.00680 U
Arsenic	ma/L	0.00560 U
Barium	mg/L	0.280 ^
Beryllium	mg/L	0.000300 U
Cadmium	mg/L	0.000500 U
Calcium	mg/L	53.4
Chromium	mg/L	0.00190 J
Cobalt	mg/L	0.000630 U

# Table 4 Detention Basin Storm Water Sampling Analytical Results



### Pre-Design Investigation Report National Grid Gloversville (Hill Street) Former MGP Site Gloversville, New York

Location ID: Sample ID: Date Collected:	Units	Storm Water Detention Basin DETENTION_BASIN_GW_120220 12/02/20
Inorganics (cont'd)		
Copper	mg/L	0.00230 J
Cyanide	mg/L	0.0530 F1
Iron	mg/L	2.30
Lead	mg/L	0.00300 U
Magnesium	mg/L	9.00
Manganese	mg/L	0.160
Mercury	mg/L	0.000120 U
Nickel	mg/L	0.00150 J
Potassium	mg/L	2.20
Selenium	mg/L	0.00870 U
Silver	mg/L	0.00170 U
Sodium	mg/L	377
Thallium	mg/L	0.0100 U
Vanadium	mg/L	0.00230 J
Zinc	mg/L	0.0330

#### Notes:

1. U = The compound was analyzed for but not detected. The associated value is the compound quantitation limit. 2. J = Estimated Concentration. Presented concentration is less than the method detection limit but greater than the

instrument detection limit.

3. \*+ = LCS and/or LCSD is outside acceptable limits.

 A = ICV, CCV, ICB, CCB, ISA, ISB, CRI, CRA, DLCK, or MRL standard: Instrument related QC is outside acceptance limit.

5. F1 = MS and/or MSD recovery exceeds control limits.

# Table 5 Detention Basin Sediment Sampling Analytical Results



### Pre-Design Investigation Report National Grid Gloversville (Hill Street) Former MGP Site Gloversville, New York

	Location ID: Sample ID:	11-21-a	Storm Water Detention Basin DETENTION_BASIN_SO_120220
D	ate Collected:	Units	12/02/20
Volatile Organics			0.00070.11
1,1,1-I richloroethane		mg/kg	0.00070 UVs
1,1,2,2-1 etrachioroethane		mg/kg	0.0016 UVS
1,1,2-trichloroothano		mg/kg	0.0022 0VS
1 1-Dichloroethane		mg/kg	0.0013 0VS
1 1-Dichloroethene		mg/kg	0.0012 Uvs
1 2 4-Trichlorobenzene		mg/kg	0.00059 Uvs
1.2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane		ma/ka	0.0048 Uvs
1,2-Dibromoethane		mg/kg	0.0012 Uvs
1,2-Dichlorobenzene		mg/kg	0.00076 Uvs
1,2-Dichloroethane		mg/kg	0.00049 Uvs
1,2-Dichloropropane		mg/kg	0.0048 Uvs
1,3-Dichlorobenzene		mg/kg	0.00050 Uvs
1,4-Dichlorobenzene		mg/kg	0.0014 Uvs
2-Butanone		mg/kg	0.015 Jvs
2-Hexanone		mg/kg	0.0048 Uvs
4-Methyl-2-pentanone		mg/kg	0.0032 Uvs
Acetone		mg/kg	0.062 vs
Benzene		mg/kg	0.00048 Uvs
Bromodichloromethane		mg/kg	0.0013 Uvs
Bromotorm		mg/kg	0.0048 UVs
Bromometnane		mg/kg	0.00087 UVS
Carbon Disullide		mg/kg	0.0046 UVS
Chlorobonzono		mg/kg	0.00094 0VS
Chloroethane		mg/kg	0.0013.003
Chloroform		mg/kg	0.00060 Llvs
Chloromethane		ma/ka	0.00059 Uvs
cis-1.2-Dichloroethene		ma/ka	0.0012 Uvs
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene		mg/kg	0.0014 Uvs
Cyclohexane		mg/kg	0.0014 Uvs
Dibromochloromethane		mg/kg	0.0012 Uvs
Dichlorodifluoromethane		mg/kg	0.00080 Uvs
Ethylbenzene		mg/kg	0.00067 Uvs
Isopropylbenzene		mg/kg	0.0015 Uvs
Methyl acetate		mg/kg	0.0059 Uvs
Methyl tert-butyl ether		mg/kg	0.00095 Uvs
Methylcyclohexane		mg/kg	0.0015 Uvs
Methylene Chloride		mg/kg	0.0045 Uvs
Styrene		mg/kg	0.00048 Uvs
l etrachloroethene		mg/kg	0.0013 Uvs
I oluene		mg/kg	0.00073 UVs
trans-1,2-Dichloropropopo		mg/kg	0.0010 0VS
Trichloroothono		mg/kg	0.0043 0VS
Trichlorofluoromothano		mg/kg	0.0021003
Vipyl Chloride		mg/kg	0.00032.0V3
Xylenes (total)		mg/kg	0.0012.003
Somivolatilo Organice		ing/kg	0.0010 003
1 1'-Biphenyl		ma/ka	0.96.11
2 2'-Oxybis(1-Chloropropage)		mg/kg	1311
2 4 5-Trichlorophenol		mg/kg	1811
2 4 6-Trichlorophenol		mg/kg	13U
2.4-Dichlorophenol		ma/ka	0.69 U
2,4-Dimethylphenol		mg/kg	1.6 U
2,4-Dinitrophenol		mg/kg	30 U
2,4-Dinitrotoluene		mg/kg	1.3 U
2,6-Dinitrotoluene		mg/kg	0.77 U
2-Chloronaphthalene		mg/kg	1.1 U
2-Chlorophenol		mg/kg	1.2 U
2-Methylnaphthalene		mg/kg	1.3 U
2-Methylphenol		mg/kg	0.77 U

# Table 5 Detention Basin Sediment Sampling Analytical Results



### Pre-Design Investigation Report National Grid Gloversville (Hill Street) Former MGP Site Gloversville, New York

Locat Sam	ion ID: ple ID:	Storm Water Detention Basin DETENTION_BASIN_SO_120220
Date Col	lected: Units	12/02/20
Semivolatile Organics (cont'd)		
2-Nitroaniline	mg/kg	0.96 U
2-Nitrophenol	mg/kg	1.8 U
3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	mg/kg	7.7 U
3-Nitroaniline	mg/kg	1.8 U
4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol	mg/kg	0.02 U
4-Chloro-3-Methylphenol	mg/kg	1611
4-Chloroaniline	mg/kg	1.00
4-Chlorophenyl-phenylether	mg/kg	0.81 U
4-Methylphenol	ma/ka	0.77 U
4-Nitroaniline	mg/kg	3.4 U
4-Nitrophenol	mg/kg	4.6 U
Acenaphthene	mg/kg	0.96 U
Acenaphthylene	mg/kg	0.85 U
Acetophenone	mg/kg	0.89 U
Anthracene	mg/kg	1.6 U
Atrazine	mg/kg	2.3 U
Benzaldehyde	mg/kg	5.2 U
Benzo(a)anthracene	mg/kg	0.65 U
Benzo(a)pyrene	mg/kg	0.96 U
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	mg/kg	1.0 U
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	mg/kg	0.69 U
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	mg/kg	0.85 U
bis(2-Chloroethoxy)methane	mg/kg	1.4 U
bis(2-Chioroethyi)ether	mg/kg	0.85 0
Dis(2-Ethylnexyl)phthalate	mg/kg	2.2 0
	mg/kg	2011
Carbazole	mg/kg	0.77.11
Chrysene	mg/kg	151
Dibenzo(a h)anthracene	mg/kg	12U
Dibenzofuran	ma/ka	0.77 U
Diethylphthalate	ma/ka	0.85 U
Dimethylphthalate	mg/kg	0.77 U
Di-n-Butylphthalate	mg/kg	1.1 U
Di-n-Octylphthalate	mg/kg	0.77 U
Fluoranthene	mg/kg	0.93 J
Fluorene	mg/kg	0.77 U
Hexachlorobenzene	mg/kg	0.89 U
Hexachlorobutadiene	mg/kg	0.96 U
Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	mg/kg	0.89 U
Hexachloroethane	mg/kg	0.85 U
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	mg/kg	0.81 U
Isophorone	mg/kg	1.4 U
Naphthalene	mg/kg	0.85 U
Nitropenzene	mg/kg	0.73 U
N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine	mg/kg	5.211
Pontachlorophonol	mg/kg	6511
Phononthrono	mg/kg	0.0611
Phenol	mg/kg	1011
Pyrene	mg/kg	0.77.11
Total SVOCs	mg/kg	0.93.1
Total TPAHs	ma/ka	0.93 J
Inorganics		
Aluminum	ma/ka	14.800
Antimony	ma/ka	0.760 U
Arsenic	mg/kg	8.20
Barium	mg/kg	108 ^6+
Beryllium	mg/kg	0.930
Cadmium	mg/kg	0.280 J
Calcium	mg/kg	58,500 B

# Table 5 Detention Basin Sediment Sampling Analytical Results



### Pre-Design Investigation Report National Grid Gloversville (Hill Street) Former MGP Site Gloversville, New York

Location ID: Sample ID: Date Collected:	Units	Storm Water Detention Basin DETENTION_BASIN_SO_120220 12/02/20
Inorganics (cont'd)		
Chromium	mg/kg	23.9
Cobalt	mg/kg	10.7
Copper	mg/kg	24.1
Cyanide	mg/kg	2.10
Iron	mg/kg	22,800
Lead	mg/kg	31.0
Magnesium	mg/kg	19,100
Manganese	mg/kg	635
Mercury	mg/kg	0.0430
Nickel	mg/kg	23.6
Potassium	mg/kg	4,330
Selenium	mg/kg	1.90 J
Silver	mg/kg	0.390 J
Sodium	mg/kg	2,870 B
Thallium	mg/kg	0.570 U
Vanadium	mg/kg	35.6
Zinc	mg/kg	201

#### Notes:

1. U = The compound was analyzed for but not detected. The associated value is the compound quantitation limit.

2. J = Estimated Concentration. Presented concentration is less than the method detection limit but greater than the instrument detection limit.

3. B = Compound was found in blank and sample.

4. vs = Reported analyte concentration are below 200 ug/kg and may be biased low due to not being collected according to 5035A-L low-level specifications.

5. ^6+ = Interference check standard (ICSA and/or ICSAB) is outside acceptance limits, high biased.

# Table 6 Groundwater Treatability Sampling Analytical Results



### Pre-Design Investigation Report National Grid Gloversville (Hill Street) Former MGP Site Gloversville, New York

Location ID:		MW-16S	MW-38	PDI-MW-5	PDI-MW-10	PZ-416
Date Collected:	Units	12/03/20	12/03/20	12/03/20	12/03/20	12/04/20
Volatile Organics						
1 1 1-Trichloroethane	ma/l	0 00024 U	0 00024 U	0 00048 U	0 00024 U	0.00048 U
1.1.2.2-Tetrachloroethane	ma/L	0.00037 U	0.00037 U	0.00073 U	0.00037 U	0.00073 U
1.1.2-Trichloroethane	ma/L	0.00015 U	0.00015 U	0.00030 U	0.00015 U	0.00030 U
1,1-Dichloroethane	mg/L	0.00026 U	0.00026 U	0.00053 U	0.00026 U	0.00053 U
1,1-Dichloroethene	mg/L	0.00012 U	0.00012 U	0.00023 U	0.00012 U	0.00023 U
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	mg/L	0.00019 U	0.00019 U	0.00037 U	0.00019 U	0.00037 U
1,2-Dichloroethane	mg/L	0.00084 U	0.00084 U	0.0017 U	0.00084 U	0.0017 U
1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	mg/L	0.00044 U	0.00044 U	0.00087 U	0.00044 U	0.00087 U
1,2-Dichloropropane	mg/L	0.00035 U	0.00035 U	0.00071 U	0.00035 U	0.00071 U
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	mg/L	0.00013 U	0.00013 U	0.00026 U	0.00013 U	0.00026 U
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	mg/L	0.00018 U	0.00018 U	0.00035 U	0.00018 U	0.00035 U
2-Chloroethylvinylether	mg/L	0.00091 U	0.00091 U	0.0018 U	0.00091 U	0.0018 U
Acrolein	mg/L	0.0011 U	0.0011 U	0.0022 U	0.0011 U	0.0022 U
Acrylonitrile	mg/L	0.00077 U	0.00077 U	0.0015 U	0.00077 U	0.0015 U
Benzene	mg/L	0.0026	0.020	0.24	0.00068 J	0.30
Bromodichloromethane	mg/L	0.00034 U	0.00034 U	0.00069 U	0.00034 U	0.00069 U
Bromoform	mg/L	0.00054 U*	0.00054 U*	0.0011 U*	0.00054 U*	0.0011 U*
Bromomethane	mg/L	0.00045 U	0.00045 U	0.00090 U	0.00045 U	0.00090 U
Carbon Tetrachloride	mg/L	0.00021 U*	0.00021 U*	0.00042 U*	0.00021 U*	0.00042 U*
Chlorobenzene	mg/L	0.00038 U	0.00038 U	0.00075 U	0.00038 U	0.00075 U
Chloroethane	mg/L	0.00032 U	0.00032 U	0.00064 U	0.00032 U	0.00064 U
Chloroform	mg/L	0.00033 U	0.00033 U	0.00065 U	0.00033 U	0.00065 U
Chloromethane	mg/L	0.00043 U	0.00043 U	0.00087 U	0.00043 U	0.00087 U
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	mg/L	0.00046 U	0.00046 U	0.00091 U	0.00046 U	0.00091 U
Dibromochloromethane	mg/L	0.00013 U	0.00013 U	0.00026 U	0.00013 U	0.00026 U
Ethylbenzene	mg/L	0.0047	0.020	0.91	0.0063	0.66
Methylene Chloride	mg/L	0.00032 U	0.00032 U	0.00078 J	0.00032 U	0.00065 J
Tetrachloroethene	mg/L	0.00025 U	0.00025 U	0.00050 U	0.00025 U	0.00050 U
I oluene	mg/L	0.00038 U	0.00083 J	0.037	0.00038 U	0.021
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	mg/L	0.00024 U	0.00024 U	0.00047 U	0.00024 U	0.00047 U
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	mg/L	0.00022 U	0.00022 U	0.00043 U	0.00022 U	0.00043 U
	mg/L	0.00031 U	0.00031 U	0.00063 U	0.00031 U	0.00063 U
Vinyl Chloride	mg/L	0.00034 U	0.00034 U	0.00068 U	0.00034 U	0.00068 U
Pesticides/PCBs						
4,4'-DDD	mg/L	0.000004 U				
4,4'-DDE	mg/L	0.000002 U				
4,4'-DD I	mg/L	0.000004 U				
Aldrin	mg/L	0.000003 U				
Alpha-BHC	mg/L	0.000013 U				
Aroclor-1016	mg/L	0.00014 U				
Aroclor-1221	mg/L	0.00014 U				
Aroclor-1232	mg/L	0.00014 U				
Aroclor-1242	mg/L	0.00014 U				
Arodor 1254	mg/L	0.00014 U	0.00014 U	0.00014 0	0.00014 U	0.00014 0
Aroclor-1254	mg/L	0.000069 U				
Aroclar 1260	mg/L	0.000069 U	0.000069 U	0.000069 U	0.000069 U	0.000069 0
Aroclar 1262	mg/L	0.000069 U	0.000069 U	0.000069 U	0.000069 U	0.000069 0
Reta-BHC	mg/L	0.000009.0			0.000009.0	0.000009.0
	mg/L	0.000013.0	0.000013.0	0.000013.0	0.000013.0	0.000013 0
Dieldrin	mg/L	0.000002 0	0.000002 0	0.000002 0	0.000002.0	0.000002 0
Endosulfan I	mg/L	0.000008.0	0.000008 U	0.000008 0	0.000008.0	0.000008 0
Endosulfan II	mg/L	0.000023.0	0.000023.0	0.000023.0	0.000023.0	0.000023.0
Endosulfan Sulfato	mg/L	0.000006 U				
Endrin	mg/L	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.0000000	0.0000000	0.00000000
Endrin Aldehyde	ma/l	0.000023.0	0.000023.0	0.000023.0	0.000023.0	0.000023.0
Gamma-BHC (Lindane)	ma/l	0.00000311	0.00000311	0.00000311	0.000003.0	0.00000311
Heptachlor	ma/l	0.00000811	0.00000811	0.00000811	0.00000811	0.00000811
Heptachlor Epoxide	ma/L	0.00000000	0.0000000	0.0000000	0.00000000	0.0000000
Technical Chlordane	ma/l	0.0002211	0.0002211	0.0002211	0.0002211	0.0002211
Toxaphene	ma/l	0.000035 U	0.00003511	0.00003511	0.00003511	0.000022.0
Semivolatile Organics	g/ L	0.0000000	0.0000000	0.0000000	0.0000000	0.0000000
1 2 4-Trichlorobenzene	ma/l	0.001311	0.002511	0.025.11	0.001311	0.0063.11
1.2-Dichlorobenzene	ma/L	0.0013.0	0.0023.0	0.023 0	0.0013.0	0.0000 0
1 2-Diphenylhydrazine	ma/l	0.0003711	0.0012.0	0.07411	0.0003711	0.001911
.,,_,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		0.00001 0	5.5001 PO	0.00110	3.30001 0	

# Table 6 Groundwater Treatability Sampling Analytical Results



### Pre-Design Investigation Report National Grid Gloversville (Hill Street) Former MGP Site Gloversville, New York

Location ID:		MW-16S	MW-38	PDI-MW-5	PDI-MW-10	PZ-416
Date Collected:	Units	12/03/20	12/03/20	12/03/20	12/03/20	12/04/20
Semivolatile Organics (cont'd)						
1.3-Dichlorobenzene	ma/l	0.0020 U	0 0041 U	0.041 U	0.0020 U	0.010 U
1.4-Dichlorobenzene	ma/L	0.00052 U	0.0010 U	0.010 U	0.00052 U	0.0026 U
2.2'-Oxybis(1-Chloropropane)	ma/L	0.00063 U	0.0013 U	0.013 U	0.00063 U	0.0031 U
2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	mg/L	0.00070 U	0.0014 U	0.014 U	0.00070 U	0.0035 U
2,4-Dichlorophenol	mg/L	0.0012 U	0.0025 U	0.025 U	0.0012 U	0.0062 U
2,4-Dimethylphenol	mg/L	0.00066 U	0.0013 U	0.013 U	0.00066 U	0.0033 U
2,4-Dinitrophenol	mg/L	0.0020 U	0.0039 U	0.039 U	0.0020 U	0.0098 U
2,4-Dinitrotoluene	mg/L	0.0010 U	0.0021 U	0.021 U	0.0010 U	0.0052 U
2,6-Dinitrotoluene	mg/L	0.0014 U	0.0028 U	0.028 U	0.0014 U	0.0071 U
2-Chloronaphthalene	mg/L	0.0012 U	0.0024 U	0.024 U	0.0012 U	0.0059 U
2-Chlorophenol	mg/L	0.00038 U	0.00075 U	0.0075 U	0.00038 U	0.0019 U
2-Nitrophenol	mg/L	0.0019 U	0.0038 U	0.038 U	0.0019 U	0.0096 U
3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	mg/L	0.0033 U	0.0066 U	0.066 U	0.0033 U	0.017 U
4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol	mg/L	0.0034 U	0.0069 U	0.069 U	0.0034 U	0.017 U
4-Bromophenyl-phenylether	mg/L	0.00075 U	0.0015 U	0.015 U	0.00075 U	0.0037 U
4-Chloro-3-Methylphenol	mg/L	0.0012 U	0.0025 U	0.025 U	0.0012 U	0.0062 U
4-Chlorophenyl-phenylether	mg/L	0.0013 U	0.0026 U	0.026 U	0.0013 U	0.0064 U
	mg/L	0.0017 0	0.0034 0	0.034 0	0.0017 0	0.0086 0
Acenaphthulana	mg/L	0.0011 0	0.057	0.11 J	0.020	0.085
Acteriaphinipiene	mg/L	0.0002 0	0.0010 0	0.016 U	0.0002 0	0.00410
Renzidine	mg/L	0.0013 0	0.0033 J	0.020 0	0.0013 0	0.0005 0
Benzo(a)anthracene	mg/L	0.00070 0 1	0.0014 0 1	0.014 0 1	0.00070 0 1	0.0035.0 1
Benzo(a)pyrene	mg/L	0.00059.0	0.0012.0	0.012.0	0.00059.0	0.0030 0
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	mg/L	0.0000000	0.0014 0	0.014 0	0.000000	0.0034 0
Benzo(g h i)pervlene	mg/L	0.0013 U	0.0026 U	0.025 U	0.0013 U	0.0066 U
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	ma/l	0.00067 U	0.0013 U	0.013 U	0.00067 U	0.0034 U
bis(2-Chloroethoxy)methane	ma/L	0.00064 U	0.0013 U	0.013 U	0.00064 U	0.0032 U
bis(2-Chloroethyl)ether	ma/L	0.00069 U	0.0014 U	0.014 U	0.00069 U	0.0034 U
bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate	mg/L	0.0010 U	0.0020 U	0.020 U	0.0010 U	0.0051 U
Butylbenzylphthalate	mg/L	0.00085 U	0.0017 U	0.017 U	0.00085 U	0.0043 U
Chrysene	mg/L	0.00091 U	0.0018 U	0.018 U	0.00091 U	0.0045 U
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	mg/L	0.00074 U	0.0015 U	0.015 U	0.00074 U	0.0037 U
Diethylphthalate	mg/L	0.00098 U	0.0020 U	0.020 U	0.00098 U	0.0049 U
Dimethylphthalate	mg/L	0.0016 U	0.0033 U	0.033 U	0.0016 U	0.0082 U
Di-n-Butylphthalate	mg/L	0.00075 U	0.0015 U	0.015 U	0.00075 U	0.0038 U
Di-n-Octylphthalate	mg/L	0.0014 U	0.0029 U	0.029 U	0.0014 U	0.0072 U
Fluoranthene	mg/L	0.00084 U	0.0017 U	0.017 U	0.00084 U	0.0042 U
Fluorene	mg/L	0.00091 U	0.020	0.028 J	0.0036 J	0.022 J
Hexachlorobenzene	mg/L	0.00091 U	0.0018 U	0.018 U	0.00091 U	0.0045 U
Hexachiorobutadiene	mg/L	0.00044 0	0.00088 0	0.0088 0	0.00044 0	0.0022 0
Hexachiorocyclopentadiene	mg/L	0.0017 U	0.0034 U	0.034 U	0.0017 U	0.0086 U
	mg/L	0.0012 U	0.0024 0	0.024 0	0.0012 U	0.0065 U
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	mg/L	0.0013 0	0.0020 0	0.020 0	0.0013.0	0.0005.0
Nanhthalene	mg/L	0.0013 0	0.0000 0	23	0.0019 0	0.0033 0
Nitrobenzene	mg/L	0.0016 U	0.0031 U	0.031 U	0.0000	0.0078 U
N-Nitrosodimethylamine	ma/l	0.00064 U	0.0013 U	0.001 U	0.00064 U	0.0032 U
N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine	ma/L	0.00098 U	0.0020 U	0.020 U	0.00098 U	0.0049 U
N-Nitrosodiphenvlamine	ma/L	0.00089 U	0.0018 U	0.018 U	0.00089 U	0.0045 U
Pentachlorophenol	mg/L	0.0030 U	0.0061 U	0.061 U	0.0030 U	0.015 U
Phenanthrene	mg/L	0.0015 U	0.019 J	0.030 U	0.0015 U	0.017 J
Phenol	mg/L	0.0012 U	0.0025 U	0.025 U	0.0012 U	0.0062 U
Pyrene	mg/L	0.0016 U	0.0033 U	0.033 U	0.0016 U	0.0082 U
Total SVOCs	mg/L	0.0034 U	0.32 J	2.4 J	0.031 J	0.80 J
Total TPAHs	mg/L	0.0016 U	0.32 J	2.4 J	0.031 J	0.80 J
Inorganics						
Aluminum	mg/L	0.0207 U	0.0224 J	0.0453 J	0.0207 U	1.20
Antimony	mg/L	0.00590 U	0.00590 U	0.00740 J	0.00640 J	0.00590 U
Arsenic	mg/L	0.00720 J	0.00680 J	0.00830 J	0.00360 U	0.00450 J
Barium	mg/L	0.0183 J	0.222	0.305	0.0722 J	0.310
Beryllium	mg/L	0.000300 U	0.000300 U	0.000300 U	0.000300 U	0.000300 U
Cadmium	mg/L	0.000310 U	0.000310 U	0.000310 U	0.000310 U	0.000310 U
Calcium	mg/L	73.4	300	267	195	184
Chromium	mg/L	0.00570 U	0.00570 U	0.00570 U	0.00570 U	0.00570 U

### Table 6 Groundwater Treatability Sampling Analytical Results



### **Pre-Design Investigation Report** National Grid **Gloversville (Hill Street) Former MGP Site Gloversville, New York**

Location ID:		MW-16S	MW-38	PDI-MW-5	PDI-MW-10	PZ-416
Date Collected:	Units	12/03/20	12/03/20	12/03/20	12/03/20	12/04/20
Inorganics (cont'd)						
Cobalt	mg/L	0.00150 U	0.00150 U	0.00150 U	0.00150 U	0.00160 J
Copper	mg/L	0.00550 U	0.00550 U	0.00550 U	0.00550 U	0.00700 J
Iron	mg/L	0.206	11.4	12.2	4.99	2.60
Lead	mg/L	0.00310 U	0.00340 J	0.00510	0.00350 J	0.0110
Magnesium	mg/L	17.0	26.4	37.3	17.9	34.3
Manganese	mg/L	0.102	0.557	1.69	1.36	0.330
Nickel	mg/L	0.00210 U	0.00210 U	0.00210 U	0.00210 U	0.00300 J
Potassium	mg/L	0.912 J	2.14 J	8.00	3.58 J	5.60
Selenium	mg/L	0.00490 U	0.00490 U	0.00590 J	0.00490 U	0.00490 U
Silver	mg/L	0.00500 U				
Sodium	mg/L	22.4	200	495	53.1	182
Thallium	mg/L	0.00280 U	0.00460 J	0.00690 J	0.00540 J	0.00320 J
Vanadium	mg/L	0.00390 U	0.00390 U	0.00390 U	0.00390 U	0.00470 J
Zinc	mg/L	0.00260 U	0.00410 J	0.00340 J	0.00440 J	0.0330
Inorganics-Filtered						
Aluminum	mg/L	0.0207 U	0.0207 U	0.0207 U	0.0207 U	0.0210 U
Antimony	mg/L	0.00590 U	0.00590 U	0.00720 J	0.00630 J	0.00590 U
Arsenic	mg/L	0.00560 J	0.00360 U	0.00360 U	0.00360 U	0.00360 U
Barium	mg/L	0.0190 J	0.173 J	0.240	0.0581 J	0.100 J
Beryllium	mg/L	0.000300 U				
Cadmium	mg/L	0.000310 U				
Calcium	mg/L	73.4	266	241	167	77.2
Chromium	mg/L	0.00570 U				
Cobalt	mg/L	0.00150 U				
Copper	mg/L	0.00550 U				
Iron	mg/L	0.0768 U	0.101 J	0.238	0.0768 U	0.0770 U
Lead	mg/L	0.00310 U				
Magnesium	mg/L	16.7	23.8	33.1	16.7	15.0
Manganese	mg/L	0.0743	0.522	1.53	1.16	0.140
Nickel	mg/L	0.00210 U				
Potassium	mg/L	0.875 J	2.13 J	6.93	3.10 J	2.10 J
Selenium	mg/L	0.00490 U	0.00490 U	0.00530 J	0.00490 U	0.00490 U
Silver	mg/L	0.00500 U				
Sodium	mg/L	26.6	186	433	47.0	75.1
Thallium	mg/L	0.00280 U	0.00440 J	0.00600 J	0.00540 J	0.00320 J
Vanadium	mg/L	0.00390 U				
Zinc	mg/L	0.00260 U	0.00290 J	0.00450 J	0.00440 J	0.00280 J
Miscellaneous						
Biochemical Oxygen Demand	mg/L	1.1	6.5	46.2	1.6	7.6
Chemical Oxygen Demand	mg/L	4.9 J	32.2	96	14.1	47.1
Corrosivity	SU	8.2 HF	7.6 HF	7.6 HF	7.5 HF	7.7 HF
Cyanide	mg/L	0.012	0.29	0.66	0.031 F1	0.36
Hardness	mg/L	240	752	760	540	556
Mercury	mg/L	0.000091 U				
Oil & Grease	mg/L	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U
Temperature	°C	19.9 HF	19.8 HF	20 HF	20.1 HF	20.4 HF
Total Dissolved Solids	mg/L	438	1,590	2,560	760	1,400
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen	mg/L	0.2	1.1	2.1	1	1.6
Total Suspended Solids	mg/L	2.5 U	33	31.2	8.6	47.3
Miscellaneous-Filtered						
Cyanide	ma/L	0.014	0.27	0.65	0.033	0.36
Mercury	mg/L	0.000091 U				
TOC	5					
Total Toxic Organics	ma/l	0.0073.1	0.36	3.6	0.037	18
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		0.00700	0.00	0.0		

#### Notes:

1. U = The compound was analyzed for but not detected. The associated value is the compound quantitation limit.

2. J = Estimated Concentration. Presented concentration is less than the method detection limit but greater than the instrument detection limit.

3. HF = Field parameter with a holding time of 15 minutes. Test performed beyond holding time.

4. U\* = Laboratory Control Sample (LCS) or Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate (LCSD) is outside acceptance limits. 5. U \*1 = LCS / LCSD Relative Percent Difference (RPD) exceeds control limits.

6. F1 = MS and/or MSD recovery exceeds control limits.



### Pre-Design Investigation Report National Grid Gloversville (Hill Street) Former MGP Site Gloversville, New York

Location ID:		PDI-CRB-1	PDI-CRB-2	PDI-CRB-3	PDI-CRB-4 (SO)	PDI-CRB-5 (SO)	PDI-CRB-6	PDI-CRB-7 (SO)	PDI-CRB-8	PDI-CRB-9	PDI-CRB-10/11(SO)
Sample Depth(Feet):		6 - 8	4 - 6	8 - 10	0-2	0 - 1.75	2 - 4	0.5 - 2.5	0 - 1.5	1-3	10 - 12
Date Collected:	Units	11/05/20	11/05/20	11/05/20	11/05/20	11/05/20	11/06/20	11/06/20	11/06/20	11/09/20	11/10/20
Semivolatile Organics											
1.1'-Biphenyl	ma/ka	0.054 J	0.029 U [0.029 U]	0.028 U	0.20 J [0.39]	0.28 U	0.033 U	0.14 U	0.14 U	0.032 U [0.032 U]	0.027 U
2 2'-Oxybis(1-Chloropropane)	ma/ka	0.037 U	0 040 U [0 039 U]	0.038 U	0 18 U [0 038 U]	0.39 U	0.045 U.I	0 19 UJ	0 19 U.I	0 044 U [0 044 U]	0.037 UJ
2.4.5-Trichlorophenol	ma/ka	0.050 U	0.054 U [0.053 U]	0.051 U	0.25 U [0.052 U]	0.52 U	0.060 U	0.25 U	0.25 U	0.059 U [0.059 U]	0.050 U
2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	mg/kg	0.037 U	0.040 U [0.039 U]	0.038 U	0.18 U [0.038 U]	0.39 U	0.045 U	0.19 U	0.19 U	0.044 U [0.044 U]	0.037 U
2,4-Dichlorophenol	mg/kg	0.020 U	0.021 U [0.021 U]	0.020 U	0.097 U [0.020 U]	0.20 U	0.024 U	0.099 U	0.10 U	0.023 U [0.023 U]	0.019 U
2,4-Dimethylphenol	mg/kg	0.044 U	0.048 U [0.047 U]	0.046 U	0.22 U [0.046 U]	0.47 U	0.054 U	0.23 U	0.23 U	0.053 U 0.053 U	0.044 U
2,4-Dinitrophenol	mg/kg	0.85 U	0.92 U [0.91 U]	0.87 U	4.2 U [0.88 U]	8.9 U	1.0 U	4.3 U	4.3 U	1.0 U [1.0 U]	0.84 U
2,4-Dinitrotoluene	mg/kg	0.038 U	0.041 U [0.040 U]	0.039 U	0.19 U [0.039 U]	0.40 U	0.046 U	0.19 U	0.19 U	0.045 U [0.045 U]	0.038 U
2,6-Dinitrotoluene	mg/kg	0.022 U	0.023 U [0.023 U]	0.022 U	0.11 U [0.022 U]	0.23 U	0.026 U	0.11 U	0.11 U	0.026 U [0.026 U]	0.022 U
2-Chloronaphthalene	mg/kg	0.030 U	0.033 U [0.032 U]	0.031 U	0.15 U [0.031 U]	0.32 U	0.037 U	0.15 U	0.16 U	0.036 U [0.036 U]	0.030 U
2-Chlorophenol	mg/kg	0.034 U	0.036 U [0.036 U]	0.035 U	0.17 U [0.035 U]	0.35 U	0.041 U	0.17 U	0.17 U	0.040 U [0.040 U]	0.033 U
2-Methylnaphthalene	mg/kg	0.055 J	0.040 U [0.039 U]	0.038 U	0.18 U [0.087 J]	0.39 U	0.045 U	0.19 U	0.19 U	0.044 U [0.044 U]	0.037 U
2-Methylphenol	mg/kg	0.022 U	0.023 U [0.023 U]	0.022 U	0.11 U [0.022 U]	0.23 U	0.026 U	0.11 U	0.11 U	0.026 U [0.026 U]	0.022 U
2-Nitroaniline	mg/kg	0.027 U	0.029 U [0.029 U]	0.028 U	0.13 U [0.028 U]	0.28 U	0.033 U	0.14 U	0.14 U	0.032 U [0.032 U]	0.027 U
2-Nitrophenol	mg/kg	0.052 U	0.056 U [0.055 U]	0.054 U	0.26 U [0.054 U]	0.55 U	0.063 U	0.26 U	0.27 U	0.062 U [0.062 U]	0.052 U
3.3'-Dichlorobenzidine	ma/ka	0.22 U	0.23 U [0.23 U]	0.22 U	1.1 U [0.22 U]	2.3 U	0.26 U	1.1 U	1.1 U	0.26 U [0.26 U]	0.22 U
3-Nitroaniline	ma/ka	0.051 U	0.055 U [0.054 U]	0.052 U	0.25 U [0.053 U]	0.53 U	0.062 U	0.26 U	0.26 U	0.060 U [0.060 U]	0.051 U
4.6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol	ma/ka	0.18 U	0.20 U [0.20 U]	0.19 U	0.91 U [0.19 U]	1.9 U	0.22 U	0.93 U	0.94 U	0.22 U [0.22 U]	0.18 U
4-Bromophenyl-phenylether	ma/ka	0.026 U	0.028 U [0.028 U]	0.027 U	0.13 U [0.027 U]	0.27 U	0.031 U	0.13 U	0.13 U	0.031 U [0.031 U]	0.026 U
4-Chloro-3-Methylphenol	ma/ka	0.046 U	0.049 U [0.049 U]	0.047 U	0.23 U [0.047 U]	0.48 U	0.055 U	0.23 U	0.23 U	0.054 U [0.054 U]	0.045 U
4-Chloroaniline	ma/ka	0.046 U	0.049 U [0.049 U]	0.047 U	0.23 U [0.047 U]	0.48 U	0.055 U	0.23 U	0.23 U	0.054 U [0.054 U]	0.045 U
4-Chlorophenyl-phenylether	ma/ka	0.023 U	0.025 U [0.024 U]	0.023 U	0.11 U [0.024 U]	0.24 U	0.027 U	0.12 U	0.12 U	0.027 U [0.027 U]	0.023 U
4-Methylphenol	ma/ka	0.022 U	0.023 U [0.023 U]	0.022 U	0.11 U [0.022 U]	0.23 U	0.026 U	0.11 U	0.11 U	0.026 U [0.026 U]	0.022 U
4-Nitroaniline	ma/ka	0.096 U	0.10 U [0.10 U]	0.099 U	0.48 U [0.10 U]	1.0 U	0.12 U	0.49 U	0.49 U	0.11 U [0.11 U]	0.096 U
4-Nitrophenol	ma/ka	0.13 U	0.14 U [0.14 U]	0.13 U	0.64 U [0.13 U]	1.4 U	0.16 U	0.65 U	0.66 U	0.15 U [0.15 U]	0.13 U
Acenaphthene	ma/ka	0.045 J	0.029 U [0.029 U]	0.028 U	1.8 [3.2]	0.28 U	0.033 U	0.14 U	0.14 U	0.045 J [0.052 J]	0.027 U
Acenaphthylene	ma/ka	0.062.J	0 026 U [0 025 U]	0.025 U	0.93 [1.1]	0.25 U	0.029 U	0.12 U	0.12 U	0 028 U [0 028 U]	0.024 U
Acetophenone	ma/ka	0.025 U	0.027 U [0.027 U]	0.026 U	0.12 U [0.066 J]	0.26 U	0.030 U	0.13 U	0.13 U	0.030 U [0.030 U]	0.025 U
Anthracene	ma/ka	0.26	0.049 U [0.049 U]	0.047 U	0.38 J [0.097 J]	0.48 U	0.055 U	0.23 U	0.23 U	0.054 U [0.054 U]	0.045 U
Atrazine	ma/ka	0.064 U	0.069 U [0.068 U]	0.066 U	0.32 U [0.066 U]	0.67 U	0 077 U	0.32 U	0.33 U	0 076 U [0 076 U]	0.064 U
Benzaldehvde	ma/ka	0.15 U	0.16 U [0.16 U]	0.15 U	0.72 U [0.15 U]	1.5 U	0.18 U	0.74 U	0.75 U	0.17 U [0.17 U]	0.15 UJ
Benzo(a)anthracene	ma/ka	1.1	0.020 U [0.020 U]	0.019 U	1.3 [0.35]	0.19 U	0.022 U	0.23 J	0.33 J	0.022 U [0.022 U]	0.018 U
Benzo(a)pyrene	ma/ka	0.20	0 029 U [0 029 U]	0.028 U	1 0 [0 30]	0.28 U	0.033 U	0.23 J	0.37 J	0 032 U [0 032 U]	0.027 U
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	ma/ka	0.19	0.032 U [0.031 U]	0.030 U	0.66 J [0.21]	0.31 U	0.035 U	0.37 J	0.37 J	0.035 U [0.035 U]	0.029 U
Benzo(g,h,i)pervlene	ma/ka	0.020 U	0.021 U [0.021 U]	0.020 U	0.34 J [0.12 J]	0.20 U	0.024 U	0.13 J	0.21 J	0.023 U [0.023 U]	0.019 U
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	ma/ka	0.059.J	0 026 U [0 025 U]	0.025 U	0.30 J [0.086 J]	0.25 U	0.029 U	0.12 U	0.20.J	0 028 U [0 028 U]	0.024 U
bis(2-Chloroethoxy)methane	ma/ka	0.039 U	0.042 U [0.042 U]	0.040 U	0.19 U [0.040 U]	0.41 U	0.047 U	0.20 U	0.20 U	0.046 U [0.046 U]	0.039 U
bis(2-Chloroethyl)ether	ma/ka	0.024 U	0.026 U [0.025 U]	0.025 U	0.12 U [0.025 U]	0.25 U	0.029 U	0.12 U	0.12 U	0.028 U [0.028 U]	0.024 U
bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate	ma/ka	0.063 U	0.068 U [0.067 U]	0.065 U	0.31 U [0.065 U]	0.66 U	0.076 U	0.32 U	0.32 U	0.074 U [0.075 U]	0.062 U
Butylbenzylphthalate	ma/ka	0.030 U	0.033 U [0.032 U]	0.39 J	0.15 U [0.031 U]	0.32 U	0.037 U	0.15 U	0.16 U	0.036 U [0.036 U]	0.030 U
Caprolactam	ma/ka	0.055 U	0.060 U [0.059 U]	0.057 U	0.27 U [0.057 U]	0.58 U	0.067 U	0.28 U	0.28 U	0.065 U [0.066 U]	0.055 U
Carbazole	ma/ka	0.022 U	0.023 U [0.023 U]	0.022 U	0.11 U [0.022 U]	0.23 U	0.026 U	0.11 U	0.11 U	0.026 U [0.026 U]	0.022 U
Chrysene	mg/ka	0.67	0.045 U [0.044 U]	0.042 U	1.0 [0.33]	0.43 U	0.050 U	0.23 J	0.34 J	0.049 U [0.049 U]	0.041 U



### Pre-Design Investigation Report National Grid Gloversville (Hill Street) Former MGP Site Gloversville, New York

Location ID: Sample Depth(Feet):		PDI-CRB-1	PDI-CRB-2	PDI-CRB-3	PDI-CRB-4 (SO)	PDI-CRB-5 (SO)	PDI-CRB-6	PDI-CRB-7 (SO)	PDI-CRB-8	PDI-CRB-9	PDI-CRB-10/11(SO)
Date Collected:	Units	11/05/20	11/05/20	11/05/20	11/05/20	11/05/20	11/06/20	11/06/20	11/06/20	11/09/20	11/10/20
Semivolatile Organics											
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	mg/kg	0.033 U	0.035 U [0.035 U]	0.033 U	0.16 U [0.036 J]	0.34 U	0.039 U	0.16 U	0.17 U	0.039 U [0.039 U]	0.032 U
Dibenzofuran	mg/kg	0.022 U	0.023 U [0.023 U]	0.022 U	0.11 U [0.033 J]	0.23 U	0.026 U	0.11 U	0.11 U	0.026 U [0.026 U]	0.022 U
Diethylphthalate	mg/kg	0.024 U	0.026 U [0.025 U]	0.025 U	0.12 U [0.025 U]	0.25 U	0.029 U	0.12 U	0.12 U	0.028 U [0.028 U]	0.024 U
Dimethylphthalate	mg/kg	0.022 U	0.023 U [0.023 U]	0.022 U	0.11 U [0.022 U]	0.23 U	0.026 U	0.11 U	0.11 U	0.026 U [0.026 U]	0.022 U
Di-n-Butylphthalate	mg/kg	0.031 U	0.034 U [0.034 U]	0.032 U	0.16 U [0.033 U]	0.33 U	0.038 U	0.16 U	0.16 U	0.037 U [0.037 U]	0.031 U
Di-n-Octylphthalate	mg/kg	0.022 U	0.023 U [0.023 U]	0.022 U	0.11 U [0.022 U]	0.23 U	0.026 U	0.11 U	0.11 U	0.026 U [0.026 U]	0.022 U
Fluoranthene	mg/kg	0.95	0.023 J [0.021 U]	0.024 J	1.4 [0.36]	0.21 J	0.024 U	0.59 J	0.61 J	0.027 J [0.033 J]	0.019 U
Fluorene	mg/kg	0.16 J	0.023 U [0.023 U]	0.022 U	0.41 J [0.52]	0.23 U	0.026 U	0.11 U	0.11 U	0.026 U [0.026 U]	0.022 U
Hexachlorobenzene	mg/kg	0.025 U	0.027 U [0.027 U]	0.026 U	0.12 U [0.026 U]	0.26 U	0.030 U	0.13 U	0.13 U	0.030 U [0.030 U]	0.025 U
Hexachlorobutadiene	mg/kg	0.027 U	0.029 U [0.029 U]	0.028 U	0.13 U [0.028 U]	0.28 U	0.033 U	0.14 U	0.14 U	0.032 U [0.032 U]	0.027 U
Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	mg/kg	0.025 U	0.027 U [0.027 U]	0.026 U	0.12 U [0.026 U]	0.26 U	0.030 U	0.13 U	0.13 U	0.030 UJ [0.030 U]	0.025 UJ
Hexachloroethane	mg/kg	0.024 U	0.026 U [0.025 U]	0.025 U	0.12 U [0.025 U]	0.25 U	0.029 U	0.12 U	0.12 U	0.028 U [0.028 U]	0.024 U
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	mg/kg	0.023 U	0.025 U [0.024 U]	0.023 U	0.25 J [0.086 J]	0.24 U	0.027 U	0.15 J	0.18 J	0.027 U [0.027 U]	0.023 U
Isophorone	mg/kg	0.039 U	0.042 U [0.042 U]	0.040 U	0.19 U [0.040 U]	0.41 U	0.047 U	0.20 U	0.20 U	0.046 U [0.046 U]	0.039 U
Naphthalene	mg/kg	0.12 J	0.092 J [0.058 J]	0.033 J	0.83 J [1.5]	0.25 U	0.029 UJ	0.12 U	0.12 U	0.060 J [0.049 J]	0.024 U
Nitrobenzene	mg/kg	0.021 U	0.022 U [0.022 U]	0.021 U	0.10 U [0.021 U]	0.22 U	0.025 U	0.10 U	0.11 U	0.024 U [0.024 U]	0.020 U
N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine	mg/kg	0.031 U	0.034 U [0.034 U]	0.032 U	0.16 U [0.033 U]	0.33 U	0.038 U	0.16 U	0.16 U	0.037 U [0.037 U]	0.031 U
N-Nitrosodiphenylamine	mg/kg	0.15 U	0.16 U [0.16 U]	0.15 U	0.74 U [0.16 U]	1.6 U	0.18 U	0.76 U	0.76 U	0.18 U [0.18 U]	0.15 U
Pentachlorophenol	mg/kg	0.18 U	0.20 U [0.20 U]	0.19 U	0.91 U [0.19 U]	1.9 U	0.22 U	0.93 U	0.94 U	0.22 U [0.22 U]	0.18 U
Phenanthrene	mg/kg	0.93	0.029 U [0.029 U]	0.028 U	0.22 J [0.15 J]	0.28 U	0.033 U	0.29 J	0.44 J	0.052 J [0.076 J]	0.027 U
Phenol	mg/kg	0.028 U	0.030 U [0.030 U]	0.029 U	0.14 U [0.029 U]	0.30 U	0.034 U	0.14 U	0.14 U	0.033 U [0.033 U]	0.028 U
Pyrene	mg/kg	1.9	0.023 U [0.023 U]	0.048 J	2.4 [0.61]	0.23 U	0.026 U	0.43 J	0.56 J	0.026 U [0.036 J]	0.022 U
Total SVOCs	mg/kg	6.8 J	0.12 J [0.058 J]	0.50 J	13 J [9.6 J]	0.21 J	1.0 U	2.7 J	3.6 J	0.18 J [0.25 J]	0.84 U
Total TPAHs	mg/kg	6.7 J	0.12 J [0.058 J]	0.11 J	13 J [9.1 J]	0.21 J	0.055 U	2.7 J	3.6 J	0.18 J [0.25 J]	0.045 U



### Pre-Design Investigation Report National Grid Gloversville (Hill Street) Former MGP Site Gloversville, New York

Location ID:		PDI-CRB-12	PDI-DPB-2	PDI-DPB-13	PDI-DPB-14	PDI-DPB-15	PDI-DPB-16	PDI-DPB-18	PDI-GTB-1	PDI-GTB-2	PDI-GTB-6	PDI-GTB-10	PDI-MW-10 (SO)
Sample Depth(Feet):		10 - 12	7 - 9	6 - 8	10 - 12	6.5 - 8	9 - 10	2 - 4	10 - 11.5	12 - 14	12 - 13.5	5 - 6.5	4.5 - 6.5
Date Collected:	Units	11/10/20	11/04/20	10/23/20	10/23/20	10/23/20	11/03/20	11/03/20	10/06/20	10/06/20	09/29/20	10/07/20	11/02/20
Semivolatile Organics													
1.1'-Biphenvl	ma/ka	0.026 U	0.027 U	0.032 U	0.030 U	0.87 J	0.70 J	0.027 U	0.20 U	0.22 U	1.1	0.20 U	0.029 U
2.2'-Oxybis(1-Chloropropane)	ma/ka	0.035 UJ	0.036 U	0.043 UJ	0.041 UJ	1.1 UJ	0.18 U	0.036 U	0.20 U	0.22 U	0.99 U	0.20 U	0.040 U
2.4.5-Trichlorophenol	ma/ka	0.048 U	0.049 U	0.059 U	0.056 U	1.5 U	0.24 U	0.049 U	0.20 U	0.22 U	0.99 U	0.20 U	0.054 U
2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	mg/kg	0.035 U	0.036 U	0.043 U	0.041 U	1.1 U	0.18 U	0.036 U	0.20 U	0.22 U	0.99 U	0.20 U	0.040 U
2,4-Dichlorophenol	mg/kg	0.019 U	0.019 U	0.023 U	0.022 U	0.60 U	0.095 U	0.019 U	0.20 U	0.22 U	0.99 U	0.20 U	0.021 U
2,4-Dimethylphenol	mg/kg	0.043 U	0.044 U	0.052 U	0.050 U	1.4 U	0.22 U	0.044 U	0.20 U	0.22 U	0.99 U	0.20 U	0.048 U
2,4-Dinitrophenol	mg/kg	0.82 U	0.84 U	1.0 U	0.95 U	26 U	4.1 U	0.83 U	1.9 U	2.2 U	9.7 U	1.9 U	0.92 U
2,4-Dinitrotoluene	mg/kg	0.037 U	0.037 U	0.045 U	0.043 U	1.2 U	0.18 U	0.037 U	0.20 U	0.22 U	0.99 U	0.20 U	0.041 U
2,6-Dinitrotoluene	mg/kg	0.021 U	0.021 U	0.026 U	0.024 U	0.66 U	0.11 U	0.021 U	0.20 U	0.22 U	0.99 U	0.20 U	0.023 U
2-Chloronaphthalene	mg/kg	0.029 U	0.030 U	0.036 U	0.034 U	0.93 U	0.15 U	0.030 U	0.20 U	0.22 U	0.99 U	0.20 U	0.033 U
2-Chlorophenol	mg/kg	0.032 U	0.033 U	0.040 U	0.038 U	1.0 U	0.16 U	0.033 U	0.39 U	0.44 U	1.9 U	0.38 U	0.036 U
2-Methylnaphthalene	mg/kg	0.035 U	0.036 U	0.043 U	0.041 U	1.1 U	0.68 J	0.036 U	0.10 J	0.22 U	3.8	0.20 U	0.040 U
2-Methylphenol	mg/kg	0.021 U	0.021 U	0.026 U	0.024 U	0.66 U	0.11 U	0.021 U	0.20 U	0.22 U	0.99 U	0.20 U	0.023 U
2-Nitroaniline	mg/kg	0.026 U	0.027 U	0.032 U	0.030 U	0.83 U	0.13 U	0.027 U	0.39 U	0.44 U	1.9 U	0.38 U	0.029 U
2-Nitrophenol	mg/kg	0.050 U	0.051 U	0.061 U	0.058 U	1.6 U	0.25 U	0.051 U	0.20 U	0.22 U	0.99 U	0.20 U	0.056 U
3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	mg/kg	0.21 U	0.21 U	0.26 U	0.24 U	6.6 U	1.1 U	0.21 U	0.39 U	0.44 U	1.9 U	0.38 U	0.23 U
3-Nitroaniline	mg/kg	0.049 U	0.050 U	0.060 U	0.057 U	1.6 U	0.25 U	0.050 U	0.39 U	0.44 U	1.9 U	0.38 U	0.055 U
4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol	mg/kg	0.18 U	0.18 U	0.22 U	0.21 U	5.6 U	0.89 U	0.18 U	0.39 U	0.44 U	1.9 U	0.38 U	0.20 U
4-Bromophenyl-phenylether	mg/kg	0.025 U	0.026 U	0.031 U	0.029 U	0.80 U	0.13 U	0.025 U	0.20 U	0.22 U	0.99 U	0.20 U	0.028 U
4-Chloro-3-Methylphenol	mg/kg	0.044 U	0.045 U	0.054 U	0.051 U	1.4 U	0.22 U	0.045 U	0.20 U	0.22 U	0.99 U	0.20 U	0.049 U
4-Chloroaniline	mg/kg	0.044 U	0.045 U	0.054 U	0.051 U	1.4 U	0.22 U	0.045 U	0.20 U	0.22 U	0.99 U	0.20 U	0.049 U
4-Chlorophenyl-phenylether	mg/kg	0.022 U	0.022 U	0.027 U	0.026 U	0.70 U	0.11 U	0.022 U	0.20 U	0.22 U	0.99 U	0.20 U	0.025 U
4-Methylphenol	mg/kg	0.021 U	0.021 U	0.026 U	0.024 U	0.66 U	0.11 U	0.021 U	0.39 U	0.44 U	1.9 U	0.38 U	0.023 U
4-Nitroaniline	mg/kg	0.093 U	0.095 U	0.11 U	0.11 U	3.0 U	0.47 U	0.095 U	0.39 U	0.44 U	1.9 U	0.38 U	0.10 U
4-Nitrophenol	mg/kg	0.12 U	0.13 U	0.15 UJ	0.14 UJ	3.9 UJ	0.63 U	0.13 U	0.39 UJ	0.44 UJ	1.9 U	0.38 UJ	0.14 U
Acenaphthene	mg/kg	0.026 U	0.045 J	0.032 U	0.030 U	5.2 J	8.5	0.027 U	0.15 J	0.22 U	6.5	0.031 J	0.029 U
Acenaphthylene	mg/kg	0.023 U	0.023 U	0.028 U	0.027 U	5.5 J	2.7	0.023 U	0.20 U	0.22 U	1.8	0.20 U	0.048 J
Acetophenone	mg/kg	0.024 U	0.025 U	0.029 U	0.028 U	0.76 U	0.12 U	0.024 U	0.20 U	0.22 U	0.99 U	0.20 U	0.027 U
Anthracene	mg/kg	0.044 U	0.045 U	0.054 U	0.051 U	13	5.5	0.045 U	0.20 U	0.22 U	7.4	0.052 J	0.049 U
Atrazine	mg/kg	0.062 U	0.063 U	0.075 U	0.072 U	2.0 U	0.31 U	0.063 U	0.20 U	0.22 U	0.99 U	0.20 U	0.069 U
Benzaldehyde	mg/kg	0.14 UJ	0.14 U	0.17 U	0.16 U	4.5 U	0.71 U	0.14 U	0.20 U	0.22 U	0.99 U	0.20 U	0.16 U
Benzo(a)anthracene	mg/kg	0.018 U	0.018 U	0.022 U	0.021 U	18	7.8	0.018 U	0.028 J	0.22 U	5.5	0.14 J	0.020 U
Benzo(a)pyrene	mg/kg	0.026 U	0.027 U	0.032 U	0.030 U	11	4.9	0.027 U	0.20 U	0.22 U	3.1	0.14 J	0.088 J
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	mg/kg	0.028 U	0.029 U	0.035 U	0.033 U	7.2	3.1	0.029 U	0.20 U	0.22 U	2.1	0.14 J	0.049 J
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	mg/kg	0.019 U	0.019 U	0.023 U	0.022 U	3.5 J	1.7	0.019 U	0.20 U	0.22 U	1.2	0.062 J	0.032 J
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	mg/kg	0.023 U	0.023 U	0.028 U	0.027 U	2.6 J	1.5	0.023 U	0.20 U	0.22 U	0.83 J	0.060 J	0.026 U
bis(2-Chloroethoxy)methane	mg/kg	0.038 U	0.038 U	0.046 U	0.044 U	1.2 U	0.19 U	0.038 U	0.20 U	0.22 U	0.99 U	0.20 U	0.042 U
bis(2-Chloroethyl)ether	mg/kg	0.023 U	0.023 U	0.028 U	0.027 U	0.73 U	0.12 U	0.023 U	0.20 U	0.22 U	0.99 U	0.20 U	0.026 U
bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate	mg/kg	0.061 U	0.062 U	0.074 U	0.070 U	1.9 U	0.30 U	0.062 U	0.20 U	0.22 U	0.99 U	0.20 U	0.068 U
Butylbenzylphthalate	mg/kg	0.029 U	0.030 U	0.036 U	0.034 U	0.93 U	0.15 U	0.030 U	0.20 U	0.22 U	0.99 U	0.20 U	0.033 U
Caprolactam	mg/kg	0.053 U	0.054 U	0.065 U	0.062 U	1.7 U	0.27 U	0.054 U	0.20 U	0.22 U	0.99 U	0.20 U	0.060 U
Carbazole	mg/kg	0.021 U	0.021 U	0.026 U	0.024 U	0.66 U	0.11 U	0.021 U	0.20 U	0.22 U	0.99 U	0.20 U	0.023 U
Chrysene	mg/kg	0.040 U	0.041 U	0.049 U	0.046 U	17	8.1	0.040 U	0.20 U	0.22 U	5.2	0.12 J	0.045 U



### Pre-Design Investigation Report National Grid Gloversville (Hill Street) Former MGP Site Gloversville, New York

Location ID:		PDI-CRB-12	PDI-DPB-2	PDI-DPB-13	PDI-DPB-14	PDI-DPB-15	PDI-DPB-16	PDI-DPB-18	PDI-GTB-1	PDI-GTB-2	PDI-GTB-6	PDI-GTB-10	PDI-MW-10 (SO)
Sample Depth(Feet):		10 - 12	7 - 9	6 - 8	10 - 12	6.5 - 8	9 - 10	2 - 4	10 - 11.5	12 - 14	12 - 13.5	5 - 6.5	4.5 - 6.5
Date Collected:	Units	11/10/20	11/04/20	10/23/20	10/23/20	10/23/20	11/03/20	11/03/20	10/06/20	10/06/20	09/29/20	10/07/20	11/02/20
Semivolatile Organics													
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	mg/kg	0.031 U	0.032 U	0.038 U	0.036 U	1.4 J	0.73 J	0.032 U	0.20 U	0.22 U	0.45 J	0.20 U	0.035 U
Dibenzofuran	mg/kg	0.021 U	0.021 U	0.026 U	0.024 U	0.66 U	0.21 J	0.021 U	0.20 U	0.22 U	0.99 U	0.20 U	0.023 U
Diethylphthalate	mg/kg	0.023 U	0.023 U	0.028 U	0.027 U	0.73 U	0.12 U	0.023 U	0.20 U	0.22 U	0.99 U	0.20 U	0.026 U
Dimethylphthalate	mg/kg	0.021 U	0.021 U	0.026 U	0.024 U	0.66 U	0.11 U	0.021 U	0.20 U	0.22 U	0.99 U	0.20 U	0.023 U
Di-n-Butylphthalate	mg/kg	0.030 U	0.031 U	0.037 U	0.035 U	0.96 U	0.15 U	0.031 U	0.20 U	0.22 U	0.99 U	0.20 U	200 UB
Di-n-Octylphthalate	mg/kg	0.021 U	0.021 U	0.026 UJ	0.024 UJ	0.66 UJ	0.11 U	0.021 U	0.20 U	0.22 U	0.99 U	0.20 U	0.023 U
Fluoranthene	mg/kg	0.019 U	0.019 U	0.023 U	0.096 J	26	8.7	0.020 J	0.031 J	0.22 U	7.0	0.24	0.029 J
Fluorene	mg/kg	0.021 U	0.021 U	0.026 U	0.024 U	6.6	3.1	0.021 U	0.059 J	0.22 U	5.2	0.20 U	0.023 U
Hexachlorobenzene	mg/kg	0.024 U	0.025 U	0.029 U	0.028 U	0.76 U	0.12 U	0.024 U	0.20 U	0.22 U	0.99 U	0.20 U	0.027 U
Hexachlorobutadiene	mg/kg	0.026 U	0.027 U	0.032 U	0.030 U	0.83 U	0.13 U	0.027 U	0.20 U	0.22 U	0.99 U	0.20 U	0.029 U
Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	mg/kg	0.024 U	0.025 U	0.029 UJ	0.028 UJ	0.76 UJ	0.12 U	0.024 U	0.20 UJ	0.22 UJ	0.99 U	0.20 UJ	0.027 U
Hexachloroethane	mg/kg	0.023 U	0.023 U	0.028 U	0.027 U	0.73 U	0.12 U	0.023 U	0.20 U	0.22 U	0.99 U	0.20 U	0.026 U
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	mg/kg	0.022 U	0.022 U	0.027 U	0.026 U	2.8 J	1.3	0.022 U	0.20 U	0.22 U	0.89 J	0.054 J	0.025 U
Isophorone	mg/kg	0.038 U	0.038 U	0.046 U	0.044 U	1.2 U	0.19 U	0.038 U	0.20 U	0.22 U	0.99 U	0.20 U	0.042 U
Naphthalene	mg/kg	0.023 U	0.023 U	1.2	0.027 U	0.73 U	1.7	0.023 U	0.20	0.22 U	2.7	0.20 U	0.026 U
Nitrobenzene	mg/kg	0.020 U	0.020 U	0.024 U	0.023 U	0.63 U	0.10 U	0.020 U	0.20 U	0.22 U	0.99 U	0.20 U	0.022 U
N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine	mg/kg	0.030 U	0.031 U	0.037 U	0.035 U	0.96 U	0.15 U	0.031 U	0.20 U	0.22 U	0.99 U	0.20 U	0.034 U
N-Nitrosodiphenylamine	mg/kg	0.14 U	0.15 U	0.18 U	0.17 U	4.6 U	0.73 U	0.15 U	0.20 U	0.22 U	1.2	0.20 U	0.16 U
Pentachlorophenol	mg/kg	0.18 U	0.18 U	0.22 UJ	0.21 UJ	5.6 UJ	0.89 U	0.18 U	0.39 U	0.44 U	1.9 U	0.38 U	0.20 U
Phenanthrene	mg/kg	0.026 U	0.027 U	0.032 U	0.15 J	37	15	0.027 U	0.086 J	0.22 U	22	0.14 J	0.14 J
Phenol	mg/kg	0.027 U	0.028 U	0.033 U	0.032 U	0.86 U	0.14 U	0.028 U	0.20 U	0.22 U	0.99 U	0.20 U	0.030 U
Pyrene	mg/kg	0.021 U	0.021 U	0.026 U	0.24	40	14	0.021 U	0.042 J	0.22 U	11	0.19 J	0.030 J
Total SVOCs	mg/kg	0.82 U	0.045 J	1.2	0.49 J	200 J	90 J	0.020 J	0.70 J	2.2 U	89 J	1.4 J	0.46 J
Total TPAHs	mg/kg	0.044 U	0.045 J	1.2	0.49 J	200 J	89 J	0.020 J	0.70 J	0.22 U	87 J	1.4 J	0.42 J

#### Notes:

1. Samples collected by Arcadis on the dates shown.

2. Samples analyzed by Eurofins of South Burlington, Vermont.

3. U = The compound was analyzed for but not detected. The associated value is the compound quantitation limit.

4. J = Estimated Concentration. Presented concentration is less than the method detection limit but greater than the instrument detection limit.

5. B = Compound was found in blank and sample.

## Table 8Creek Flow Study Measurements



### Pre-Design Investigation Report National Grid Gloversville (Hill Street) Former MGP Site Gloversville, New York

Date	12/2/2020	12/3/2020	12/18/2020	1/12/2021	2/11/2021	3/3/2021	4/8/2021
SG-1 Depth to Water (ft)	4.52	4.65	4.71	4.70	4.78	4.69	4.69
SG-1 Elevation (ft AMSL)	738.20	738.07	738.01	738.02	737.94	738.03	738.03
Average Stage Above Stream Bottom (ft)	0.72	0.67	0.62	0.57	0.53	0.58	0.62
Total Cross-Sectional Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )	22.10	19.60	21.90	18.30	17.10	19.70	18.40
Stream Discharge (cfs)	31.40	25.10	15.78	13.75	9.97	20.42	20.83

### Notes:

1. AMSL = Above Mean Sea Level

2. ft = Feet

3. ft<sup>2</sup> = Square Feet 4. cfs = Cubic Feet Per Second



### Pre-Design Investigation Report National Grid Gloversville (Hill Street) Former MGP Site Gloversville, New York

	Sample Interval	PAF	16	PAH	TCL	TCL	
Sample ID	(in)	Analyzed	Arch	34	VOC	SVOC	Description
Groundwater						•	
MW-15S					х	х	
Bank Soil							
	0-6	х					
T-05-LB-MB	6-12	x					Very dark grayish brown medium fine sand, little slit,
	12-24		Х				little organics (roots), wet, medium firm
	0-6	х					
T-05-LB-MHWL	6-12	х					Very dark grayish brown medium line sand, little slit,
	12-24		Х				inne organics (roots), wet, son
	0-6	х					Vary dark gravish brown madium fina good, some
T-05-RB-MB	6-12	x					fine sub round groupl little silt, wet loose/soft
	12-24		х				The Sub-round graver, hule sin, wet, loose/son
	0-6	х					Dark brown medium fine sand. Some silt, little
T-05-RB-MHWL	6-12	х					organics (roots), trace fine sub-round gravel, wet,
	12-24		Х				soft loose
	0-6	х					Very dark grayish brown medium fine sand and silt,
T-06-LB-MB	6-12	x					little organics (roots), trace fine sub-round gravel,
	12-24		Х				wet, soft/loose
	0-6	х					Very dark gray silt and fine sand little organics
T-06-LB-MHWL	6-12	х					(roots) soft some fine sub-round gravel wet/loose
	12-24		Х				
	0-6	Х					Very dark gravish brown fine sand, some silt, little
T-06-RB-MB	6-12	x					organics (roots) wet soft
	12-24		Х				
	0-6	x					Very dark gray silt and medium-fine sand, some
T-06-RB-MHWL	6-12	x					organics (roots, leaves), wet, soft
	12-24		Х				
	0-6	x					Very dark grayish brown silt and medium fine sand,
T-07-LB-MB	6-12	x					little organics (roots), trace fine sub-rounded gravel,
	12-24		Х				little silt, wet, loose
	0-6	X					Very dark gray medium fine sand, some silt, little
T-07-LB-MHWL	6-12	X					organics (roots, leaves), wet, soft
	12-24		Х				
	0-6	X					Very dark gray silt, some fine sand, little organics
1-07-RB-MB	6-12	X					(roots), wet soft
	12-24		Х				
	0-6	X					Dark gray silt and medium fine sand, some to little
I-07-RB-MHWL	6-12	X					organics (roots, leaves), wet, soft
014 0 17	12-24		X				
Site Source (Impa	acted) Soil						
PDI-DPB-4	3-12			Х		Х	Sheen, odor, PID (≤ 10 ppm)
PDI-DPB-7	4-8.5			Х		Х	Sheen, odor, PID (≤ 40 ppm)
PDI-DPR-8	0.8-8.5			X		X	Sneen, odor, PID (≤ 10.1 ppm)

### Notes:

1. Samples submitted to Eurofins laboratory in Buffalo, NY.

2. Samples submitted to Eurofins laboratory in Buffalo, NY.

3. PAH 16 - target compound list of 16 parent (non-alkylated) PAHs analyzed using USEPA SW-846 Method 8270.

4. PAH 34 - 18 specific non-alkylated PAHs (or parent PAHs) and 16 generic alkylated PAHs (PAH-34) analyzed using USEPA SW-846 Method 8270.

### Acronyms and Abbreviations:

T = Transect LB = Left Bank RB = Right Bank MB = Mid-Bank MHWL = Mean High Water Level ppm = parts per million



Location ID:			R1-PDI-SED-1RR	R1-PDI-SED-2DEP	R1-PDI-SED-3RR	R1-PDI-SED-4DEP	R1-PDI-SED-5RR
Sample Depth(feet):			0 - 0.3	0 - 0.9	0 - 0.3	0 - 0.2	0 - 0.2
Date Collected:	95th Percentile		01/21/21	01/21/21	01/21/21	01/21/21	01/21/21
Sample Name:	Background <sup>1</sup>	Units	R1-PDI-SED-1RR(0-0.3)	R1-PDI-SED-2DEP(0-0.9)	R1-PDI-SED-3RR(0-0.3)	R1-PDI-SED-4DEP(0-0.2)	R1-PDI-SED-5RR(0-0.2)
SVOCs-SIM							
1-Methylnaphthalene		mg/kg	0.00340 U	0.00720 J	0.00180 U	0.00230 J	0.00180 U
2-Methylnaphthalene		mg/kg	0.00390 U	0.00850	0.00200 U	0.00310 J	0.00200 U
Acenaphthene		mg/kg	0.0100 J	0.0600	0.00360 J	0.0110	0.00850
Acenaphthylene		mg/kg	0.00480 J	0.0120	0.00230 U	0.00240 J	0.00230 U
Anthracene		mg/kg	0.0550	0.250	0.0330	0.0350	0.0400
Benzo(a)anthracene		mg/kg	0.250	2.00 D	0.330	0.160	0.220
Benzo(a)pyrene		mg/kg	0.240	2.00 D	0.280	0.170	0.220
Benzo(b)fluoranthene		mg/kg	0.300	2.20 D	0.340	0.210	0.270
Benzo(e)pyrene		mg/kg	0.160	1.30 D	0.180	0.120	0.150
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene		mg/kg	0.0730	0.700 D	0.0760	0.0510	0.0700
Benzo(k)fluoranthene		mg/kg	0.180	1.80 D	0.240	0.140	0.180
C1-Chrysenes		mg/kg	0.0670	0.360	0.0570	0.0430	0.0530
C1-Fluoranthenes/pyrene		mg/kg	0.220	1.20	0.220	0.130	0.150
C1-Fluorenes		mg/kg	0.0160 U	0.0350	0.00840 U	0.00750 U	0.00850 U
C1-Phenanthrenes/Anthracenes		mg/kg	0.100	0.520	0.0590	0.0530	0.0500
C2-Chrysenes		mg/kg	0.0360	0.140	0.0220	0.0280	0.0320
C2-Fluorenes		mg/kg	0.0160 U	0.0520	0.00840 U	0.00750 U	0.00850 U
C2-Naphthalenes		mg/kg	0.0160 U	0.0270	0.00840 U	0.0110	0.00850 U
C2-Phenanthrenes/Anthracenes		mg/kg	0.0560	0.280	0.0360	0.0300	0.0290
C3-Chrysenes		mg/kg	0.0250	0.0550	0.00840 U	0.0210	0.0160
C3-Fluorenes		mg/kg	0.0160 U	0.310	0.0180	0.0170	0.0250
C3-Naphthalenes		mg/kg	0.0160 U	0.0390	0.00840 U	0.0110	0.00850 U
C3-Phenanthrenes/Anthracenes		mg/kg	0.0280	0.130	0.0150	0.0150	0.0150
C4-Chrysenes		mg/kg	0.0160 U	0.0230	0.00840 U	0.0100	0.00850 U
C4-Naphthalenes		mg/kg	0.0160 U	0.0360	0.00840 U	0.00800	0.00850 U
C4-Phenanthrenes/Anthracenes		mg/kg	0.0160	0.0510	0.00840 U	0.00940	0.00850 U
Chrysene		mg/kg	0.260	2.20 D	0.290	0.170	0.210
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene		mg/kg	0.0280 J	0.200 J	0.0330 J	0.0200 J	0.0260 J
Fluoranthene		mg/kg	0.560	5.00 D	0.550 D	0.360	0.530
Fluorene		mg/kg	0.0210	0.110	0.00510 J	0.0120	0.0110
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene		mg/kg	0.0750	0.790 D	0.0860	0.0550	0.0760
Naphthalene		mg/kg	0.0100 U	0.0100	0.00540 U	0.00480 U	0.00540 U
Perylene		mg/kg	0.0590	0.350	0.0630	0.0460	0.0540
Phenanthrene		mg/kg	0.370	2.60 D	0.120	0.190	0.210
Pyrene		mg/kg	0.430	3.90 D	0.450 D	0.320	0.430
Total PAH16	56.4	mg/kg	2.86 J	23.8 J	2.84 J	1.91 J	2.50 J
Total PAH34		mg/kg	3.62 J	28.8 J	3.51 J	2.46 J	3.08 J
Miscellaneous							
Total Organic Carbon		mg/kg	4,320 J	3,440 J	3,650 J	2,980 J	2,770 J



Location ID:			R1-PDI-SED-6DEP	R1-PDI-SED-7DEP	R1-PDI-SED-8RR	R2-PDI-SED-9DEP	R2-PDI-SED-10DEP
Sample Depth(feet):			0 - 0.5	0 - 0.4	0 - 0.2	0 - 0.5	0 - 0.3
Date Collected:	95th Percentile		01/21/21	01/21/21	01/21/21	01/21/21	01/21/21
Sample Name:	Background	Units	R1-PDI-SED-6DEP(0-0.5)	R1-PDI-SED-7DEP(0-0.4)	R1-PDI-SED-8RR(0-0.2)	R2-PDI-SED-9DEP(0-0.5)	R2-PDI-SED-10DEP(0-0.3)
SVOCs-SIM							
1-Methylnaphthalene		mg/kg	0.00640 J	0.00100 J	0.000810 J	0.0360 J	0.0500 UJ
2-Methylnaphthalene		mg/kg	0.00510 J	0.00150 J	0.00150 J	0.0400 UJ	0.0570 UJ
Acenaphthene		mg/kg	0.0470	0.00130 U	0.000870 J	0.230 J	0.240 J
Acenaphthylene		mg/kg	0.00690 J	0.000840 U	0.000890 J	0.0450 UJ	0.0640 UJ
Anthracene		mg/kg	0.150	0.00520	0.00420	0.780 J	0.700 J
Benzo(a)anthracene		mg/kg	0.840 D	0.0360	0.0240	2.10 J	3.10 J
Benzo(a)pyrene		mg/kg	0.810 D	0.0460	0.0310	2.00 J	3.00 J
Benzo(b)fluoranthene		mg/kg	1.00 D	0.0650	0.0490	2.30 J	3.90 J
Benzo(e)pyrene		mg/kg	0.540 D	0.0380	0.0300	1.30 J	2.00 J
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene		mg/kg	0.230	0.0160	0.0130	0.600 J	0.920 J
Benzo(k)fluoranthene		mg/kg	0.700 D	0.0380	0.0310	1.70 J	2.50 J
C1-Chrysenes		mg/kg	0.200	0.0120	0.0130	0.410 J	0.740 J
C1-Fluoranthenes/pyrene		mg/kg	0.590	0.0280	0.0230	1.70 J	2.50 J
C1-Fluorenes		mg/kg	0.0190	0.00310 U	0.00160 U	0.170 UJ	0.240 UJ
C1-Phenanthrenes/Anthracenes		mg/kg	0.260	0.0100	0.00730	0.820 J	1.10 J
C2-Chrysenes		mg/kg	0.0890	0.00990	0.0140	0.170 UJ	0.260 J
C2-Fluorenes		mg/kg	0.0250	0.00310 U	0.00160 U	0.170 UJ	0.240 UJ
C2-Naphthalenes		mg/kg	0.0250	0.00520	0.00500	0.170 UJ	0.240 UJ
C2-Phenanthrenes/Anthracenes		mg/kg	0.130	0.00750	0.00730	0.370 J	0.610 J
C3-Chrysenes		mg/kg	0.0250	0.00890	0.0130	0.170 UJ	0.240 UJ
C3-Fluorenes		mg/kg	0.130	0.00310 U	0.00160 U	0.220 J	0.400 J
C3-Naphthalenes		mg/kg	0.0260	0.00490	0.00440	0.170 UJ	0.240 UJ
C3-Phenanthrenes/Anthracenes		mg/kg	0.0540	0.00520	0.00740	0.170 UJ	0.250 J
C4-Chrysenes		mg/kg	0.00960 U	0.00310 U	0.00830	0.170 UJ	0.240 UJ
C4-Naphthalenes		mg/kg	0.0170	0.00310 U	0.00290	0.170 UJ	0.240 UJ
C4-Phenanthrenes/Anthracenes		mg/kg	0.0190	0.00400	0.00620	0.170 UJ	0.240 UJ
Chrysene		mg/kg	0.900 D	0.0480	0.0350	2.10 J	3.20 J
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene		mg/kg	0.100 J	0.00550 J	0.00490 J	0.250 J	0.390 J
Fluoranthene		mg/kg	2.00 D	0.0800	0.0520	5.60 J	7.40 J
Fluorene		mg/kg	0.0600	0.00150 U	0.00120 J	0.330 J	0.320 J
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene		mg/kg	0.270	0.0160	0.0130	0.640 J	1.00 J
Naphthalene		mg/kg	0.00620 U	0.00200 U	0.00130 J	0.110 UJ	0.150 UJ
Perylene		mg/kg	0.180	0.0130	0.00890	0.490 J	0.670 J
Phenanthrene		mg/kg	1.00 D	0.0250	0.0150	3.60 J	4.10 J
Pyrene		mg/kg	1.60 D	0.0640	0.0440	4.10 J	5.70 J
Total PAH16	56.4	mg/kg	9.71 J	0.445 J	0.320 J	26.3 J	36.5 J
Total PAH34		mg/kg	12.1 J	0.594 J	0.473 J	31.7 J	45.0 J
Miscellaneous							
Total Organic Carbon		mg/kg	4,290	5,510	6,270	5,180	29,500



Least's D							
Location ID:			R2-PDI-SED-11RR	R2-PDI-SED-12DEP	R2-PDI-SED-13RR	R2-PDI-SED-14DEP	R2-PDI-SED-15RR
Sample Depth(feet):	95th Percentilo		0 - 0.3	0 - 0.3	01/01/01	0 - 0.5	0 - 0.2
Date Collected:	Background <sup>1</sup>	Unite					
Sample Name:	Баскугочно	Units	R2-PDI-SED-TIRR(0-0.3)	R2-PDI-SED-12DEP(0-0.3)	R2-PDI-SED-13RR(0-0.3)	R2-PDI-SED-14DEP(0-0.5)	R2-PDI-SED-15RR(0-0.2)
SVOCS-SIM							
1-Methylnaphthalene		mg/kg	0.00120 J	0.0100 UJ	0.00100 U	0.130 J	0.00110 U
2-Methylnaphthalene		mg/kg	0.00190 J	0.0120 UJ	0.00120 U	0.110 J	0.00120 U
Acenaphthene		mg/kg	0.00320 J	0.0730 J	0.00430 J	1.20 J	0.00220 J
Acenaphthylene		mg/kg	0.00110 U	0.0130 UJ	0.00160 J	0.110 UJ	0.00140 U
Anthracene		mg/kg	0.0290	0.220 J	0.0150	3.30 J	0.0200
Benzo(a)anthracene		mg/kg	0.0750	0.980 J	0.0920	3.50 J	0.120
Benzo(a)pyrene		mg/kg	0.0630	1.10 J	0.100	3.10 J	0.130
Benzo(b)fluoranthene		mg/kg	0.0710	1.40 J	0.140	2.90 J	0.160
Benzo(e)pyrene		mg/kg	0.0510	0.710 J	0.0740	1.80 J	0.0920
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene		mg/kg	0.0180	0.330 J	0.0330	0.900 J	0.0370
Benzo(k)fluoranthene		mg/kg	0.0540	0.900 J	0.0970	2.90 J	0.0980
C1-Chrysenes		mg/kg	0.0300	0.250 J	0.0270	0.550 J	0.0290
C1-Fluoranthenes/pyrene		mg/kg	0.0740	0.800 J	0.0720	3.10 J	0.0900
C1-Fluorenes		mg/kg	0.00410 U	0.0490 UJ	0.00490 U	0.420 UJ	0.00510 U
C1-Phenanthrenes/Anthracenes		mg/kg	0.0290	0.310 J	0.0250	1.70 J	0.0250
C2-Chrysenes		mg/kg	0.0310	0.0700 J	0.00980	0.420 UJ	0.0170
C2-Fluorenes		mg/kg	0.00460	0.0490 UJ	0.00490 U	0.420 UJ	0.00510 U
C2-Naphthalenes		mg/kg	0.00670	0.0490 UJ	0.00490 U	0.420 UJ	0.00860
C2-Phenanthrenes/Anthracenes		mg/kg	0.0230	0.150 J	0.0150	0.590 J	0.0150
C3-Chrysenes		mg/kg	0.0330	0.0490 UJ	0.00490 U	0.420 UJ	0.0130
C3-Fluorenes		mg/kg	0.00610	0.0490 UJ	0.00490 U	0.420 UJ	0.00510 U
C3-Naphthalenes		mg/kg	0.00820	0.0490 UJ	0.00550	0.420 UJ	0.00510 U
C3-Phenanthrenes/Anthracenes		mg/kg	0.0180	0.0650 J	0.00760	0.420 UJ	0.00930
C4-Chrysenes		mg/kg	0.0170	0.0490 UJ	0.00490 U	0.420 UJ	0.00510 U
C4-Naphthalenes		mg/kg	0.00950	0.0490 UJ	0.00490 U	0.420 UJ	0.00510 U
C4-Phenanthrenes/Anthracenes		mg/kg	0.0150	0.0490 UJ	0.00490 U	0.420 UJ	0.00660
Chrysene		mg/kg	0.0690	1.10 J	0.110	3.30 J	0.120
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene		mg/kg	0.00710 J	0.110 J	0.0110 J	0.260 J	0.0130 J
Fluoranthene		mg/kg	0.150	2.40 J	0.190	11.0 J	0.220
Fluorene		mg/kg	0.00630	0.0950 J	0.00480 J	1.60 J	0.00380 J
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene		mg/kg	0.0180	0.340 J	0.0350 J	0.870 J	0.0400 J
Naphthalene		mg/kg	0.00270 U	0.0320 UJ	0.00310 U	0.270 UJ	0.00330 U
Perylene		mg/kg	0.0210	0.270 J	0.0240	0.790 J	0.0370
Phenanthrene		mg/kg	0.0820	1.20 J	0.0770	11.0 J	0.0720
Pyrene		mg/kg	0.150	2.00 J	0.170	9.10 J	0.190
Total PAH16	56.4	mg/kg	0.796 J	12.2 J	1.08 J	54.9 J	1.23 J
Total PAH34		mg/ka	1.18 J	14.9 J	1.34 J	63.7 J	1.57 J
Miscellaneous		5.5					
Total Organic Carbon		ma/ka	30.100	7.360	2.190	3.840	3.820



Location ID:			R2-PDI-SED-16DEP	R2-PDI-SED-17DEP	R2-PDI-SED-18DEP	R2-PDI-SED-19DEP	R2-PDI-SED-20RR
Sample Depth(feet):	05th Boroontilo		0 - 0.3	0 - 0.7	0 - 0.4	0 - 0.5	0 - 0.3
Date Collected:	Sour Percentile	11-26-					
Sample Name:	васкдгоило	Units	R2-PDI-SED-16DEP(0-0.3)	R2-PDI-SED-17DEP(0-0.7)	R2-PDI-SED-18DEP(0-0.4)	R2-PDI-SED-19DEP(0-0.5)	R2-PDI-SED-20RR(0-0.3)
SVOCs-SIM							
1-Methylnaphthalene		mg/kg	0.00220 J	0.00120 J	0.160 J	0.0180 J	0.000800 J
2-Methylnaphthalene		mg/kg	0.00260 J	0.00160 J	0.160 J	0.0220	0.00130 J
Acenaphthene		mg/kg	0.00880	0.00570 J	1.50 J	0.0580	0.000760 J
Acenaphthylene		mg/kg	0.00150 U	0.00240 J	0.0980 UJ	0.00650 J	0.00130 J
Anthracene		mg/kg	0.0220	0.0210	3.30 J	0.160	0.00420
Benzo(a)anthracene		mg/kg	0.100	0.100	6.50 J	0.440	0.0360
Benzo(a)pyrene		mg/kg	0.110	0.110	5.80 J	0.420	0.0420
Benzo(b)fluoranthene		mg/kg	0.140	0.140	6.10 J	0.450	0.0580
Benzo(e)pyrene		mg/kg	0.0890	0.0820	3.60 J	0.270	0.0360
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene		mg/kg	0.0380	0.0390	1.70 J	0.130	0.0160
Benzo(k)fluoranthene		mg/kg	0.0930	0.0980	5.00 J	0.380	0.0370
C1-Chrysenes		mg/kg	0.0470	0.0330	1.30 J	0.120	0.0160
C1-Fluoranthenes/pyrene		mg/kg	0.0980	0.0900	4.80 J	0.340	0.0310
C1-Fluorenes		mg/kg	0.00570 U	0.00580 U	0.360 UJ	0.0190 U	0.00180 U
C1-Phenanthrenes/Anthracenes		mg/kg	0.0380	0.0320	2.30 J	0.170	0.0100
C2-Chrysenes		mg/kg	0.0400	0.0180	0.360 UJ	0.0450	0.0150
C2-Fluorenes		mg/kg	0.00570 U	0.00580 U	0.360 UJ	0.0190 U	0.00190
C2-Naphthalenes		mg/kg	0.00870	0.00610	0.360 J	0.0350	0.00490
C2-Phenanthrenes/Anthracenes		mg/kg	0.0270	0.0190	1.00 J	0.0900	0.00980
C3-Chrysenes		mg/kg	0.0360	0.0130	0.360 UJ	0.0190	0.0170
C3-Fluorenes		mg/kg	0.00570 U	0.00580 U	0.360 UJ	0.0190 U	0.00410
C3-Naphthalenes		mg/kg	0.00800	0.00650	0.360 UJ	0.0280	0.00510
C3-Phenanthrenes/Anthracenes		mg/kg	0.0190	0.0120	0.400 J	0.0400	0.00740
C4-Chrysenes		mg/kg	0.0200	0.00580 U	0.360 UJ	0.0190 U	0.0110
C4-Naphthalenes		mg/kg	0.00680	0.00580 U	0.360 UJ	0.0190 U	0.00330
C4-Phenanthrenes/Anthracenes		mg/kg	0.0180	0.00850	0.360 UJ	0.0190	0.00590
Chrysene		mg/kg	0.130	0.130	6.10 J	0.440	0.0450
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene		mg/kg	0.0140 J	0.0130 J	0.500 J	0.0440 J	0.00570 J
Fluoranthene		mg/kg	0.230	0.270	18.0 J	0.880	0.0640
Fluorene		mg/kg	0.0120	0.00810	1.50 J	0.0700	0.00120 J
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene		mg/kg	0.0400 J	0.0400 J	1.80 J	0.140 J	0.0160
Naphthalene		mg/kg	0.00370 U	0.00450 J	0.330 J	0.0480	0.00150 J
Perylene		mg/kg	0.0320	0.0270	1.40 J	0.0920	0.0130
Phenanthrene		mg/kg	0.130	0.130	13.0 J	0.560	0.0190
Pyrene		mg/kg	0.200	0.220	14.0 J	0.710	0.0530
Total PAH16	56.4	mg/kg	1.27 J	1.33 J	85.1 J	4.94 J	0.401 J
Total PAH34		mg/ka	1.76 J	1.68 J	101 J	6.24 J	0.594 J
Miscellaneous							
Total Organic Carbon		ma/ka	18.800	2.950 UBJ	13.100	5.100 J	3.460 UBJ



Location ID:			R3-PDI-SED-21RR	R3-PDI-SED-22DEP	R3-PDI-SED-23DEP	R3-PDI-SED-24DEP	R3-PDI-SED-24DEP
Sample Depth(feet):			0 - 0.3	0 - 0.3	0 - 0.6	0 - 1	1 - 1.8
Date Collected:	95th Percentile		01/20/21	01/20/21	01/20/21	01/20/21	01/20/21
Sample Name:	Background <sup>1</sup>	Units	R3-PDI-SED-21RR(0-0.3)	R3-PDI-SED-22DEP(0-0.3)	R3-PDI-SED-23DEP(0-0.6)	R3-PDI-SED-24DEP(0-1)	R3-PDI-SED-24DEP(1-1.8)
SVOCs-SIM							
1-Methylnaphthalene		mg/kg	0.000860 J	0.00400 J	0.0430 UJ	0.0360 UJ	0.00810 UJ
2-Methylnaphthalene		mg/kg	0.00180	0.00450 J	0.0490 UJ	0.0410 UJ	0.00920 UJ
Acenaphthene		mg/kg	0.000710 U	0.0190	0.200 J	0.0870 J	0.0280 J
Acenaphthylene		mg/kg	0.000810 J	0.00960 J	0.0550 UJ	0.0470 UJ	0.0180 J
Anthracene		mg/kg	0.00320	0.0860	0.730 J	0.810 J	0.120 J
Benzo(a)anthracene		mg/kg	0.0320	0.490	2.60 J	1.80 J	0.510 J
Benzo(a)pyrene		mg/kg	0.0410	0.520	2.50 J	1.40 J	0.520 J
Benzo(b)fluoranthene		mg/kg	0.0580	0.500	2.70 J	1.50 J	0.550 J
Benzo(e)pyrene		mg/kg	0.0380	0.310	1.60 J	0.910 J	0.340 J
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene		mg/kg	0.0180	0.170	0.890 J	0.400 J	0.180 J
Benzo(k)fluoranthene		mg/kg	0.0380	0.430	2.20 J	1.30 J	0.430 J
C1-Chrysenes		mg/kg	0.0180	0.150	0.650 J	0.420 J	0.150 J
C1-Fluoranthenes/pyrene		mg/kg	0.0270	0.300	1.80 J	1.20 J	0.350 J
C1-Fluorenes		mg/kg	0.00180 U	0.0180 U	0.200 UJ	0.170 UJ	0.0390 UJ
C1-Phenanthrenes/Anthracenes		mg/kg	0.0100	0.0970	0.880 J	0.650 J	0.130 J
C2-Chrysenes		mg/kg	0.0170	0.0720	0.200 J	0.170 UJ	0.0620 J
C2-Fluorenes		mg/kg	0.00230	0.0180 U	0.200 UJ	0.170 UJ	0.0390 UJ
C2-Naphthalenes		mg/kg	0.00570	0.0180 U	0.200 UJ	0.170 UJ	0.0390 UJ
C2-Phenanthrenes/Anthracenes		mg/kg	0.0100	0.0720	0.420 J	0.310 J	0.0820 J
C3-Chrysenes		mg/kg	0.0190	0.0430	0.200 UJ	0.170 UJ	0.0390 UJ
C3-Fluorenes		mg/kg	0.00540	0.0180 U	0.200 UJ	0.170 UJ	0.0390 UJ
C3-Naphthalenes		mg/kg	0.00610	0.0180 U	0.200 UJ	0.170 UJ	0.0390 UJ
C3-Phenanthrenes/Anthracenes		mg/kg	0.00860	0.0430	0.200 UJ	0.170 UJ	0.0430 J
C4-Chrysenes		mg/kg	0.0120	0.0180 U	0.200 UJ	0.170 UJ	0.0390 UJ
C4-Naphthalenes		mg/kg	0.00390	0.0180 U	0.200 UJ	0.170 UJ	0.0390 UJ
C4-Phenanthrenes/Anthracenes		mg/kg	0.00740	0.0260	0.200 UJ	0.170 UJ	0.0390 UJ
Chrysene		mg/kg	0.0420	0.450	2.70 J	1.70 J	0.520 J
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene		mg/kg	0.00670 J	0.0650 J	0.280 J	0.150 J	0.0580 J
Fluoranthene		mg/kg	0.0600	0.720	6.60 J	4.40 J	1.10 J
Fluorene		mg/kg	0.00120 J	0.0230	0.260 J	0.150 J	0.0320 J
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene		mg/kg	0.0180	0.200 J	0.910 J	0.430 J	0.190 J
Naphthalene		mg/kg	0.00130 J	0.0120 U	0.130 UJ	0.110 UJ	0.0250 UJ
Perylene		mg/kg	0.0120	0.120	0.590 J	0.370 J	0.140 J
Phenanthrene		mg/kg	0.0170	0.250	3.70 J	2.40 J	0.520 J
Pyrene		mg/kg	0.0480	0.550	4.90 J	3.20 J	0.910 J
Total PAH16	56.4	mg/kg	0.385 J	4.48 J	31.2 J	19.7 J	5.69 J
Total PAH34		mg/kg	0.590 J	5.72 J	37.3 J	23.6 J	6.98 J
Miscellaneous							
Total Organic Carbon		mg/kg	4,660 J	11,200 J	11,500 J	3,380	4,910 J



Location ID: Sample Depth(feet): Date Collected:	95th Percentile		R3-PDI-SED-25DEP 0 - 0.3 01/20/21	R3-PDI-SED-26DEP 0 - 0.4 01/20/21	R3-PDI-SED-27RR 0 - 0.4 01/20/21	R3-PDI-SED-28DEP 0 - 0.8 01/20/21	R3-PDI-SED-29RR 0 - 0.2 01/20/21
Sample Name:	Background <sup>1</sup>	Units	R3-PDI-SED-25DEP(0-0.3)	R3-PDI-SED-26DEP(0-0.4)	R3-PDI-SED-27RR(0-0.4)	R3-PDI-SED-28DEP(0-0.8)	R3-PDI-SED-29RR(0-0.2)
SVOCs-SIM							
1-Methylnaphthalene		mg/kg	0.0410	2.50 J	0.260	4.60 J	0.100
2-Methylnaphthalene		mg/kg	0.0350	0.950 J	0.300	2.00 J	0.0690
Acenaphthene		mg/kg	0.0390	2.50 J	0.190	5.50 J	0.140
Acenaphthylene		mg/kg	0.0110	0.220 J	0.0170	0.680 J	0.120
Anthracene		mg/kg	0.0260	1.00 J	0.0660	2.70 J	0.170
Benzo(a)anthracene		mg/kg	0.0470	1.50 J	0.150	2.10 J	0.410
Benzo(a)pyrene		mg/kg	0.0460	1.40 J	0.140	1.60 J	0.360
Benzo(b)fluoranthene		mg/kg	0.0400	1.40 J	0.120	1.20 J	0.250
Benzo(e)pyrene		mg/kg	0.0340	0.840 J	0.0880	0.910 J	0.200
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene		mg/kg	0.0150	0.400 J	0.0600	0.410 J	0.0840
Benzo(k)fluoranthene		mg/kg	0.0310	1.00 J	0.110	1.10 J	0.230
C1-Chrysenes		mg/kg	0.0280	0.510 J	0.0690	1.40 J	0.320
C1-Fluoranthenes/pyrene		mg/kg	0.0800	1.60 J	0.150	4.60 J	0.820
C1-Fluorenes		mg/kg	0.0140	0.770 J	0.0290	3.30 J	0.150
C1-Phenanthrenes/Anthracenes		mg/kg	0.0760	1.70 J	0.130	7.40 J	0.560
C2-Chrysenes		mg/kg	0.0200	0.190 UJ	0.0370	0.480 J	0.150
C2-Fluorenes		mg/kg	0.0160	0.390 J	0.0170	1.90 J	0.160
C2-Naphthalenes		mg/kg	0.0600	6.90 J	0.200	14.0 J	0.460
C2-Phenanthrenes/Anthracenes		mg/kg	0.0480	0.770 J	0.0610	3.50 J	0.430
C3-Chrysenes		mg/kg	0.0200	0.190 UJ	0.0310	0.190 UJ	0.0600
C3-Fluorenes		mg/kg	0.00800	0.240 J	0.0110 U	0.610 J	0.0870
C3-Naphthalenes		mg/kg	0.0310	2.80 J	0.0810	10.0 J	0.370
C3-Phenanthrenes/Anthracenes		mg/kg	0.0190	0.300 J	0.0260	1.20 J	0.210
C4-Chrysenes		mg/kg	0.0120	0.190 UJ	0.0110 U	0.190 UJ	0.0270
C4-Naphthalenes		mg/kg	0.0150	0.510 J	0.0280	2.20 J	0.160
C4-Phenanthrenes/Anthracenes		mg/kg	0.00800	0.190 UJ	0.0130	0.280 J	0.0560
Chrysene		mg/kg	0.0470	1.40 J	0.150	2.00 J	0.400
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene		mg/kg	0.00520 J	0.150 J	0.0210 J	0.180 J	0.0360
Fluoranthene		mg/kg	0.0750	3.40 J	0.280	3.70 J	0.520
Fluorene		mg/kg	0.0190	1.30 J	0.0510	3.50 J	0.100
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene		mg/kg	0.0140 J	0.380 J	0.0600 J	0.410 J	0.0890
Naphthalene		mg/kg	0.0620	0.610 J	0.340	0.260 J	0.100
Perylene		mg/kg	0.0130	0.310 J	0.0320	0.300 J	0.0630
Phenanthrene		mg/kg	0.0590	3.70 J	0.220	8.50 DJ	0.480
Pyrene		mg/kg	0.0870	2.80 J	0.240	4.60 J	0.730
Total PAH16	56.4	mg/kg	0.623 J	23.2 J	2.22 J	38.4 J	4.22
Total PAH34		mg/kg	1.20 J	44.3 J	3.77 J	97.1 J	8.67
Miscellaneous							
Total Organic Carbon		mg/kg	7,640	3,500	5,610	13,000	5,700 J



Location ID: Sample Depth(feet):			R3-PDI-SED-30DEP 0 - 0.6	R3-PDI-SED-31DEP 0 - 0.6	R3-PDI-SED-32RR 0 - 0.4	R3-PDI-SED-33RR 0 - 0.3	R3-PDI-SED-34DEP 0 - 0.4
Date Collected:	95th Percentile		01/20/21	01/20/21	01/20/21	01/20/21	01/20/21
Sample Name:	Background <sup>1</sup>	Units	R3-PDI-SED-30DEP(0-0.6)	R3-PDI-SED-31DEP(0-0.6)	R3-PDI-SED-32RR(0-0.4)	R3-PDI-SED-33RR(0-0.3)	R3-PDI-SED-34DEP(0-0.4)
SVOCs-SIM							
1-Methylnaphthalene		mg/kg	0.770 J	0.0660 J	0.0340 J	0.170 J	0.0480
2-Methylnaphthalene		mg/kg	0.770 J	0.0740 J	0.0330 J	0.120 J	0.0490
Acenaphthene		mg/kg	0.650 J	0.140 J	0.0720 J	0.260 J	0.0610
Acenaphthylene		mg/kg	0.140 J	0.0860 J	0.0320 J	0.0370 J	0.0420
Anthracene		mg/kg	0.500 J	0.220 J	0.160 J	0.590 J	0.0800
Benzo(a)anthracene		mg/kg	0.640 J	0.810 J	0.390 J	1.10 J	0.240
Benzo(a)pyrene		mg/kg	0.560 J	0.770 J	0.420 J	0.810 J	0.230
Benzo(b)fluoranthene		mg/kg	0.410 J	0.760 J	0.370 J	0.790 J	0.260
Benzo(e)pyrene		mg/kg	0.320 J	0.470 J	0.270 J	0.450 J	0.160
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene		mg/kg	0.280 J	0.180 J	0.240 J	0.210 J	0.0580
Benzo(k)fluoranthene		mg/kg	0.330 J	0.670 J	0.290 J	0.570 J	0.190
C1-Chrysenes		mg/kg	0.410 J	0.260 J	0.170 J	0.310 J	0.100
C1-Fluoranthenes/pyrene		mg/kg	1.30 J	0.950 J	0.430 J	0.940 J	0.350
C1-Fluorenes		mg/kg	0.350 J	0.0860 J	0.0540 J	0.110 J	0.0420
C1-Phenanthrenes/Anthracenes		mg/kg	1.40 J	0.480 J	0.300 J	0.730 J	0.220
C2-Chrysenes		mg/kg	0.150 J	0.0710 J	0.0760 J	0.110 J	0.0390
C2-Fluorenes		mg/kg	0.310 J	0.0860 J	0.0460 J	0.0950 J	0.0450
C2-Naphthalenes		mg/kg	1.70 J	0.210 J	0.130 J	0.260 J	0.130
C2-Phenanthrenes/Anthracenes		mg/kg	0.840 J	0.330 J	0.170 J	0.340 J	0.150
C3-Chrysenes		mg/kg	0.0480 J	0.0440 UJ	0.0440 J	0.0500 J	0.0150
C3-Fluorenes		mg/kg	0.150 J	0.0840 J	0.0520 J	0.120 J	0.0380
C3-Naphthalenes		mg/kg	1.00 J	0.170 J	0.110 J	0.200 J	0.0900
C3-Phenanthrenes/Anthracenes		mg/kg	0.300 J	0.140 J	0.0850 J	0.130 J	0.0680
C4-Chrysenes		mg/kg	0.0420 UJ	0.0440 UJ	0.0290 UJ	0.0470 UJ	0.00810 U
C4-Naphthalenes		mg/kg	0.380 J	0.0890 J	0.0600 J	0.0870 J	0.0400
C4-Phenanthrenes/Anthracenes		mg/kg	0.0980 J	0.0480 J	0.0390 J	0.0480 J	0.0240
Chrysene		mg/kg	0.600 J	0.760 J	0.390 J	0.920 J	0.240
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene		mg/kg	0.0850 J	0.0590 J	0.0800 J	0.0900 J	0.0200
Fluoranthene		mg/kg	1.20 J	1.60 J	0.770 J	2.20 J	0.460 D
Fluorene		mg/kg	0.390 J	0.130 J	0.0750 J	0.300 J	0.0460
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene		mg/kg	0.250 J	0.180 J	0.230 J	0.240 J	0.0620
Naphthalene		mg/kg	0.460 J	0.0610 J	0.0280 J	0.260 J	0.0810
Perylene		mg/kg	0.110 J	0.180 J	0.100 J	0.170 J	0.0550
Phenanthrene		mg/kg	1.40 J	0.950 J	0.540 J	2.10 J	0.270
Pyrene		mg/kg	1.30 J	1.60 J	0.700 J	1.80 J	0.460 D
Total PAH16	56.4	mg/kg	9.20 J	8.98 J	4.79 J	12.3 J	2.80
Total PAH34		mg/kg	19.6 J	12.8 J	6.99 J	16.7 J	4.46
Miscellaneous							
Total Organic Carbon		mg/kg	20,400 B^2	12,500	4,170 J	3,930 J	5,310 J



Location ID:			R3-PDI-SED-35RR	R3-PDI-SED-36RR	R3-PDI-SED-37RR	R3-PDI-SED-38DEP	R3-PDI-SED-39RR
Sample Depth(feet):			0 - 0.2	0 - 0.3	0 - 0.3	0 - 0.6	0 - 0.3
Date Collected:	95th Percentile		01/20/21	01/20/21	01/20/21	01/20/21	01/20/21
Sample Name:	Background	Units	R3-PDI-SED-35RR(0-0.2)	R3-PDI-SED-36RR(0-0.3)	R3-PDI-SED-37RR(0-0.3)	R3-PDI-SED-38DEP(0-0.6)	R3-PDI-SED-39RR(0-0.3)
SVOCs-SIM							
1-Methylnaphthalene		mg/kg	0.0280	0.0620	0.0230 J	0.0290 J [0.0430 J]	0.00860 J
2-Methylnaphthalene		mg/kg	0.0150	0.0210	0.0170 J	0.0280 J [0.0350 J]	0.00430 J
Acenaphthene		mg/kg	0.0290	0.0820	0.0280 J	0.110 J [0.0890 J]	0.0220
Acenaphthylene		mg/kg	0.0220	0.0660	0.210 J	0.290 J [0.330 J]	0.0210
Anthracene		mg/kg	0.0530	0.100	0.0790 J	0.410 J [0.380 J]	0.130
Benzo(a)anthracene		mg/kg	0.110	0.170	0.620 J	1.80 J [1.20 J]	0.410
Benzo(a)pyrene		mg/kg	0.120	0.190	0.420 J	1.70 J [1.30 J]	0.360
Benzo(b)fluoranthene		mg/kg	0.110	0.150	0.250 J	1.60 J [1.30 J]	0.390
Benzo(e)pyrene		mg/kg	0.0790	0.110	0.220 J	1.00 J [0.780 J]	0.220
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene		mg/kg	0.0350	0.0400	0.0700 J	0.400 J [0.320 J]	0.0940
Benzo(k)fluoranthene		mg/kg	0.0960	0.140	0.280 J	1.30 J [0.870 J]	0.290
C1-Chrysenes		mg/kg	0.0510	0.130	0.500 J	0.920 J [0.720 J]	0.130
C1-Fluoranthenes/pyrene		mg/kg	0.180	0.370	1.70 J	2.80 J [1.90 J]	0.400
C1-Fluorenes		mg/kg	0.0220	0.0610	0.120 J	0.120 J [0.170 J]	0.0200
C1-Phenanthrenes/Anthracenes		mg/kg	0.140	0.270	0.590 J	1.10 J [1.00 J]	0.230
C2-Chrysenes		mg/kg	0.0190	0.0530	0.150 J	0.270 J [0.290 J]	0.0470
C2-Fluorenes		mg/kg	0.0230	0.0620	0.340 J	0.290 J [0.260 J]	0.0330
C2-Naphthalenes		mg/kg	0.0700	0.190	0.110 J	0.130 J [0.200 J]	0.0250
C2-Phenanthrenes/Anthracenes		mg/kg	0.0780	0.170	1.10 J	1.10 J [0.810 J]	0.150
C3-Chrysenes		mg/kg	0.00930	0.0220	0.0360 UJ	0.0890 UJ [0.0850 J]	0.0200
C3-Fluorenes		mg/kg	0.0190	0.0340	0.190 J	0.260 J [0.200 J]	0.0410
C3-Naphthalenes		mg/kg	0.0530	0.180	0.270 J	0.220 J [0.300 J]	0.0370
C3-Phenanthrenes/Anthracenes		mg/kg	0.0350	0.0880	0.450 J	0.530 J [0.450 J]	0.0650
C4-Chrysenes		mg/kg	0.00800 U	0.00860 U	0.0360 UJ	0.0890 UJ [0.0450 UJ]	0.0180 U
C4-Naphthalenes		mg/kg	0.0270	0.0730	0.390 J	0.300 J [0.220 J]	0.0320
C4-Phenanthrenes/Anthracenes		mg/kg	0.0140	0.0320	0.130 J	0.180 J [0.140 J]	0.0240
Chrysene		mg/kg	0.110	0.170	0.570 J	1.80 J [1.30 J]	0.380
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene		mg/kg	0.0100	0.0170	0.0270 J	0.140 J [0.140 J]	0.0380
Fluoranthene		mg/kg	0.240	0.250	0.710 J	3.20 J [2.20 J]	0.800
Fluorene		mg/kg	0.0200	0.0540	0.0170 UJ	0.140 J [0.110 J]	0.0330
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene		mg/kg	0.0330	0.0420	0.0620 J	0.400 J [0.350 J]	0.100
Naphthalene		mg/kg	0.0130	0.0170	0.0260 J	0.0570 UJ [0.0290 J]	0.0110 U
Perylene		mg/kg	0.0310	0.0350	0.0550 J	0.390 J [0.250 J]	0.0780
Phenanthrene		mg/kg	0.200	0.260	0.120 J	1.60 J [1.30 J]	0.500
Pyrene		mg/kg	0.270	0.340	1.30 J	3.30 J [2.10 J]	0.740
Total PAH16	56.4	mg/kg	1.47	2.09	4.77 J	18.2 J [13.3 J]	4.31
Total PAH34		mg/kg	2.36	4.05	11.1 J	27.9 J [21.2 J]	5.87 J
Miscellaneous						· · · · ·	
Total Organic Carbon		mg/kg	3,980 J	5,440 J	10,800	5,710 J [3,250]	4,580 J



Location ID:			R4-PDI-SED-40RR	R4-PDI-SED-41RR	R4-PDI-SED-42DEP	R4-PDI-SED-43DEP	R4-PDI-SED-43DEP
Sample Depth(feet):	95th Porcontilo		0 - 0.3	0 - 0.02	0 - 0.2	0 - 1	1 - 1.2
Sample Name:	Background <sup>1</sup>	Units	01/20/21 R4-PDI-SED-40RR(0-0-3)	01/20/21 R4-PDI-SED-41RR(0-0.2)	01/20/21 R4-PDI-SED-42DEP(0-0.2)	01/20/21 R4-PDI-SED-43DEP(0-1)	01/20/21 R4-PDI-SED-43DEP(1-1-2)
SVOCs-SIM	Buonground	onno					
1-Methylnaphthalene		ma/ka	0.00490	0.0140.1	0.00650	0.0930.1	0.120.1
2-Methylnaphthalene		ma/ka	0.00360	0.0120.1	0.00480	0.0740.1	0.0500.1
Acenaphthene		ma/ka	0.00370	0.0210	0.0100	0.220.1	0.360.1
Acenaphthylene		ma/ka	0.0100	0.0770	0.0250	0.110 J	0.160 J
Anthracene		ma/ka	0.0130	0.150	0.0210	0.370 J	0.980 J
Benzo(a)anthracene		ma/ka	0.0530	0.520	0.0850	1.10 J	2.80 J
Benzo(a)pyrene		ma/ka	0.0570	0.430	0.0840	0.980 J	2.60 J
Benzo(b)fluoranthene		ma/ka	0.0510	0.340	0.0790	1.00 J	3.00 J
Benzo(e)pyrene		mg/kg	0.0370	0.230	0.0590	0.590 J	1.60 J
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene		mg/kg	0.0210	0.0850	0.0210	0.290 J	0.790 J
Benzo(k)fluoranthene		mg/kg	0.0410	0.330	0.0620	0.710 J	1.90 J
C1-Chrysenes		mg/kg	0.0330	0.300	0.0720	0.410 J	0.880 J
C1-Fluoranthenes/pyrene		mg/kg	0.0750	0.720	0.170	1.30 J	2.80 J
C1-Fluorenes		mg/kg	0.00630	0.0390	0.0140	0.150 J	0.340 J
C1-Phenanthrenes/Anthracenes		mg/kg	0.0400	0.300	0.0730	0.900 J	1.90 J
C2-Chrysenes		mg/kg	0.0170	0.100	0.0380	0.120 J	0.260 J
C2-Fluorenes		mg/kg	0.00890	0.0580	0.0210	0.170 J	0.320 J
C2-Naphthalenes		mg/kg	0.0130	0.0680	0.0290	0.330 J	0.780 J
C2-Phenanthrenes/Anthracenes		mg/kg	0.0320	0.280	0.0740	0.550 J	1.00 J
C3-Chrysenes		mg/kg	0.0110	0.0230	0.0210	0.0460 UJ	0.0930 UJ
C3-Fluorenes		mg/kg	0.00750	0.0470	0.0190	0.150 J	0.370 J
C3-Naphthalenes		mg/kg	0.0160	0.0740	0.0340	0.330 J	0.930 J
C3-Phenanthrenes/Anthracenes		mg/kg	0.0180	0.160	0.0500	0.220 J	0.400 J
C4-Chrysenes		mg/kg	0.00710	0.0150 U	0.0110	0.0460 UJ	0.0930 UJ
C4-Naphthalenes		mg/kg	0.0110	0.0480	0.0220	0.170 J	0.380 J
C4-Phenanthrenes/Anthracenes		mg/kg	0.00730	0.0580	0.0210	0.0710 J	0.120 J
Chrysene		mg/kg	0.0550	0.420	0.0900	1.00 J	2.70 J
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene		mg/kg	0.00800	0.0390	0.00790	0.100 J	0.290 J
Fluoranthene		mg/kg	0.0720	0.670	0.110	2.10 J	6.20 DJ
Fluorene		mg/kg	0.00320	0.0400	0.00980	0.170 J	0.420 J
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene		mg/kg	0.0210	0.0930	0.0190	0.300 J	0.800 J
Naphthalene		mg/kg	0.00490	0.0160	0.00560	0.0670 J	0.0600 UJ
Perylene		mg/kg	0.0130	0.0890	0.0180	0.210 J	0.550 J
Phenanthrene		mg/kg	0.0330	0.340	0.0610	1.40 J	4.00 J
Pyrene		mg/kg	0.0790	0.690	0.150	2.10 J	5.50 DJ
Total PAH16	56.4	mg/kg	0.526	4.26	0.840	12.0 J	32.5 J
Total PAH34		mg/kg	0.887	6.88 J	1.60	17.9 J	45.3 J
Miscellaneous							
Total Organic Carbon		mg/kg	3,250 UBJ	3,730 J	19,400 J	2,760 J	11,800 J



Leasting ID.							
Location ID:			R4-PDI-SED-44DEP	R4-PDI-SED-44DEP	R4-PDI-SED-45RR	R4-PDI-SED-46RR	R4-PDI-SED-47RR
Sample Depth(reet):	95th Percentile		U - 1 01/20/21	1 - 1.4	0 - 0.2	0 - 0.2	0 - 0.2
Sample Name:	Packground <sup>1</sup>	Unito					
Sample Name.	Баскугоции	Units	K4-PDI-SED-44DEP(0-1)	K4-PDI-3ED-44DEP(1-1.4)	R4-PDI-SED-45RR(0-0.2)	R4-PDI-SED-40RR(0-0.2)	R4-PDI-SED-4/RR(0-0.2)
SVOCS-SIM							
1-Methylnaphthalene		mg/kg	0.0250 J [0.0270]	0.0830	0.0240 J	0.0170	0.0600 J
2-Methylnaphthalene		mg/kg	0.0160 J [0.0160]	0.0170	0.0150 J	0.0160	0.0450 J
Acenaphthene		mg/kg	0.0460 J [0.0470]	0.100	0.0400 J	0.0210	0.240 J
Acenaphthylene		mg/kg	0.0300 J [0.0350]	0.00960	0.0350 J	0.0130	0.0560 J
Anthracene		mg/kg	0.0920 [0.0930]	0.0500	0.120 J	0.0470	0.430 J
Benzo(a)anthracene		mg/kg	0.240 [0.270]	0.200	0.780 J	0.180	1.10 J
Benzo(a)pyrene		mg/kg	0.250 [0.250]	0.190	0.740 J	0.160	1.10 J
Benzo(b)fluoranthene		mg/kg	0.260 [0.280]	0.240	0.910 J	0.180	0.970 J
Benzo(e)pyrene		mg/kg	0.160 [0.160]	0.140	0.520 J	0.110	0.620 J
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene		mg/kg	0.0880 [0.0700]	0.0640	0.260 J	0.0480	0.410 J
Benzo(k)fluoranthene		mg/kg	0.170 [0.180]	0.160	0.610 J	0.130	0.760 J
C1-Chrysenes		mg/kg	0.110 [0.120]	0.0660	0.230 J	0.0750	0.340 J
C1-Fluoranthenes/pyrene		mg/kg	0.310 [0.320]	0.170	0.580 J	0.180	0.960 J
C1-Fluorenes		mg/kg	0.0590 [0.0620]	0.0220	0.0440 UJ	0.0180	0.0800 UJ
C1-Phenanthrenes/Anthracenes		mg/kg	0.290 [0.260]	0.0960	0.270 J	0.120	0.540 J
C2-Chrysenes		mg/kg	0.0450 [0.0510]	0.0300	0.0700 J	0.0310	0.130 J
C2-Fluorenes		mg/kg	0.0620 [0.0600]	0.0150	0.0440 UJ	0.0190	0.0800 UJ
C2-Naphthalenes		mg/kg	0.140 [0.140]	0.210	0.0630 J	0.0600	0.160 J
C2-Phenanthrenes/Anthracenes		mg/kg	0.170 [0.170]	0.0510	0.180 J	0.0740	0.350 J
C3-Chrysenes		mg/kg	0.0200 [0.0220]	0.0190	0.0440 UJ	0.0190	0.0800 UJ
C3-Fluorenes		mg/kg	0.0440 [0.0420]	0.0230	0.0920 J	0.0200	0.160 J
C3-Naphthalenes		mg/kg	0.180 [0.170]	0.0800	0.0820 J	0.0560	0.130 J
C3-Phenanthrenes/Anthracenes		mg/kg	0.0670 [0.0750]	0.0240	0.0880 J	0.0340	0.170 J
C4-Chrysenes		mg/kg	0.00880 U [0.00870 U]	0.00920 U	0.0440 UJ	0.00730 U	0.0800 UJ
C4-Naphthalenes		mg/kg	0.0800 [0.0720]	0.0230	0.0510 J	0.0290	0.0800 UJ
C4-Phenanthrenes/Anthracenes		mg/kg	0.0230 [0.0230]	0.0130	0.0440 UJ	0.0140	0.0800 UJ
Chrysene		mg/kg	0.250 [0.270]	0.210	0.820 J	0.170	1.00 J
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene		mg/kg	0.0330 J [0.0280]	0.0210	0.100 J	0.0190	0.120 J
Fluoranthene		mg/kg	0.440 D [0.470 D]	0.430	1.60 J	0.300	2.80 J
Fluorene		mg/kg	0.0460 J [0.0470]	0.0510	0.0360 J	0.0180	0.240 J
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene		mg/kg	0.0930 [0.0790]	0.0650	0.280 J	0.0530	0.420 J
Naphthalene		mg/kg	0.0130 [0.00960]	0.00790 J	0.0280 UJ	0.0150	0.0510 UJ
Perylene		mg/kg	0.0580 J [0.0540]	0.0440	0.180 J	0.0380	0.240 J
Phenanthrene		mg/kg	0.310 [0.320]	0.230	0.580 J	0.160	2.00 J
Pyrene		mg/kg	0.440 [0.450 D]	0.370	1.30 J	0.280	2.30 J
Total PAH16	56.4	mg/kg	2.80 J [2.90]	2.40 J	8.21 J	1.79	13.9 J
Total PAH34		mg/kg	4.66 J [4.74]	3.52 J	10.7 J	2.72	17.9 J
Miscellaneous							
Total Organic Carbon		mg/kg	2,990 UBJ [1,910]	3,690 J	2,730 J	5,860 J	3,240 J



Location ID:			R4-PDI-SED-48RR	R4-PDI-SED-49DEP	R4-PDI-SED-50RR	R4-PDI-SED-51DEP	R4-PDI-SED-51DEP
Sample Depth(feet):			0 - 0.3	0 - 0.1	0 - 1	0 - 1	1 - 1.2
Date Collected:	95th Percentile		01/20/21	01/19/21	01/19/21	01/19/21	01/19/21
Sample Name:	Background <sup>1</sup>	Units	R4-PDI-SED-48RR(0-0.3)	R4-PDI-SED-49DEP(0-1)	R4-PDI-SED-50RR(0-1)	R4-PDI-SED-51DEP(0-1)	R4-PDI-SED-51DEP(1-1.2)
SVOCs-SIM							
1-Methylnaphthalene		mg/kg	0.0490 J	0.0100	0.0470	0.00410 J	0.00430
2-Methylnaphthalene		mg/kg	0.0430 J	0.00820 J	0.0170	0.00480	0.00580
Acenaphthene		mg/kg	0.200 J	0.0120	0.0690	0.00790	0.00460
Acenaphthylene		mg/kg	0.0410 J	0.0490	0.0630	0.00860	0.00210
Anthracene		mg/kg	0.430 J	0.0610	0.160	0.0330	0.00470
Benzo(a)anthracene		mg/kg	1.30 J	0.270	0.520	0.0790	0.00610
Benzo(a)pyrene		mg/kg	1.20 J	0.240	0.470	0.0570	0.00390
Benzo(b)fluoranthene		mg/kg	1.20 J	0.190	0.520	0.0470	0.00550
Benzo(e)pyrene		mg/kg	0.770 J	0.140	0.300	0.0330	0.00380
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene		mg/kg	0.480 J	0.0850	0.130	0.0120 J	0.000920 J
Benzo(k)fluoranthene		mg/kg	0.910 J	0.180	0.330	0.0450	0.00290
C1-Chrysenes		mg/kg	0.340 J	0.170	0.230	0.0310	0.00560
C1-Fluoranthenes/pyrene		mg/kg	1.00 J	0.390	0.580	0.0880	0.0140
C1-Fluorenes		mg/kg	0.0920 UJ	0.0320	0.0840	0.00650	0.00400
C1-Phenanthrenes/Anthracenes		mg/kg	0.560 J	0.200	0.420	0.0510	0.0140
C2-Chrysenes		mg/kg	0.100 J	0.0650	0.0820	0.0110	0.00250
C2-Fluorenes		mg/kg	0.0920 UJ	0.0500	0.0900	0.0120	0.00530
C2-Naphthalenes		mg/kg	0.170 J	0.0420	0.190	0.0110	0.0110
C2-Phenanthrenes/Anthracenes		mg/kg	0.240 J	0.180	0.260	0.0370	0.0100
C3-Chrysenes		mg/kg	0.0920 UJ	0.0240	0.0260	0.00480 U	0.00110 U
C3-Fluorenes		mg/kg	0.0920 UJ	0.0420	0.0830	0.00890	0.00420
C3-Naphthalenes		ma/ka	0.130 J	0.0860	0.230	0.0110	0.0100
C3-Phenanthrenes/Anthracenes		mg/kg	0.100 J	0.0920	0.120	0.0210	0.00610
C4-Chrysenes		mg/kg	0.0920 UJ	0.00930 U	0.0170 U	0.00480 U	0.00110 U
C4-Naphthalenes		mg/kg	0.0920 UJ	0.0700	0.110	0.00950	0.00520
C4-Phenanthrenes/Anthracenes		mg/kg	0.0920 UJ	0.0340	0.0410	0.00850	0.00450
Chrysene		mg/kg	1.30 J	0.250	0.530	0.0680	0.00690
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene		mg/kg	0.160 J	0.0340	0.0500	0.00440 J	0.000270 J
Fluoranthene		mg/kg	3.20 J	0.440	1.10 D	0.180	0.0120
Fluorene		mg/kg	0.250 J	0.0130	0.0680	0.0110	0.00360
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene		mg/kg	0.480 J	0.0860	0.150	0.0120	0.000490 J
Naphthalene		ma/ka	0.0590 UJ	0.00870 J	0.0110 J	0.00510	0.00400
Perylene		mg/kg	0.310 J	0.0460	0.0970	0.0150	0.00650
Phenanthrene		mg/kg	2.40 J	0.160	0.640	0.120	0.0150
Pyrene		mg/ka	2.60 J	0.430	1.00 D	0.150	0.0140
Total PAH16	56.4	mg/kg	16.2 J	2.51 J	5.81 J	0.840 J	0.0870 J
Total PAH34		mg/ka	20.0 J	4.19 J	8.82 J	1.20 J	0.204 J
Miscellaneous	·						
Total Organic Carbon		ma/ka	5.330.1	2 520 .1	2 460 ^2B	4 120 .1	4 370 .1
. s.a. s. guino ourborr		i ng/ng	0,000 0	2,0200	2,100 20	1,1200	1,0100



Location ID:							
Sample Denth(feet):			0 - 1	1 - 1 7	0 - 0 7	0-05	0 - 1
Date Collected:	95th Percentile		01/19/21	01/19/21	01/19/21	01/19/21	01/19/21
Sample Name:	Background <sup>1</sup>	Units	R4-PDI-SED-52DEP(0-1)	R4-PDI-SED-52DEP(1-1.7)	R5-PDI-SED-53RR-(0-0.7)	R5-PDI-SED-54RR(0-0.5)	R5-PDI-SED-55RR(0-1)
SVOCs-SIM							
1-Methylnaphthalene		ma/ka	0 0740 .1 [0 620 .1]	0.350.1	0 140	1 70 .1	2.50.1
2-Methylnaphthalene		ma/ka	0.0710.1[0.840.1]	0.380.1	0.0900	0.600.1	0.670.1
Acenaphthene		ma/ka	0 180 . [ [0 970 . ]]	0.390.1	0 140	0.220.1	1 70 .1
Acenaphthylene		ma/ka	0 150 J [0 200 J]	0.140.1	0.0410	0.190 J	0.410.1
Anthracene		ma/ka	0.480 J [0.980 J]	0.610 J	0.130	0.120 J	3.20 J
Benzo(a)anthracene		ma/ka	1.40 J [1.20 J]	2.10 J	0.280	0.400 J	1.70 J
Benzo(a)pyrene		ma/ka	1.20 J [1.00 J]	2.10 J	0.230	0.340 J	1.10 J
Benzo(b)fluoranthene		ma/ka	1.10 J [0.760 J]	1.90 J	0.200	0.340 J	0.940 J
Benzo(e)pyrene		mg/kg	0.730 J [0.580 J]	1.30 J	0.130	0.280 J	0.750 J
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene		mg/kg	0.330 J [0.300 J]	0.980 J	0.0590 J	0.110 J	0.320 J
Benzo(k)fluoranthene		mg/kg	0.930 J [0.810 J]	1.60 J	0.160	0.280 J	0.750 J
C1-Chrysenes		mg/kg	0.540 J [0.460 J]	0.820 J	0.150	0.810 J	2.40 J
C1-Fluoranthenes/pyrene		mg/kg	1.60 J [1.80 J]	2.30 J	0.440	0.870 J	4.50 J
C1-Fluorenes		mg/kg	0.190 J [0.440 J]	0.280 J	0.0830	0.500 J	3.00 J
C1-Phenanthrenes/Anthracenes		mg/kg	1.10 J [2.10 J]	1.70 J	0.390	1.00 J	8.90 J
C2-Chrysenes		mg/kg	0.160 J [0.140 J]	0.340 J	0.0560	0.770 J	1.80 J
C2-Fluorenes		mg/kg	0.210 J [0.340 J]	0.320 J	0.0830	1.10 J	4.20 J
C2-Naphthalenes		mg/kg	0.390 J [1.60 J]	1.30 J	0.350	10.0 J	23.0 J
C2-Phenanthrenes/Anthracenes		mg/kg	0.710 J [1.10 J]	1.10 J	0.240	1.80 J	7.20 J
C3-Chrysenes		mg/kg	0.0770 UJ [0.0970 UJ]	0.110 UJ	0.0160 U	0.420 J	0.790 J
C3-Fluorenes		mg/kg	0.150 J [0.190 J]	0.270 J	0.0480	1.20 J	3.60 J
C3-Naphthalenes		mg/kg	0.400 J [0.850 J]	0.900 J	0.290	13.0 J	37.0 J
C3-Phenanthrenes/Anthracenes		mg/kg	0.310 J [0.340 J]	0.460 J	0.120	2.30 J	6.50 J
C4-Chrysenes		mg/kg	0.0770 UJ [0.0970 UJ]	0.110 UJ	0.0160 U	0.180 J	0.430 UJ
C4-Naphthalenes		mg/kg	0.220 J [0.340 J]	0.380 J	0.130	10.0 J	28.0 J
C4-Phenanthrenes/Anthracenes		mg/kg	0.0950 J [0.110 J]	0.170 J	0.110	2.00 J	5.00 J
Chrysene		mg/kg	1.30 J [1.10 J]	2.20 J	0.240	0.500 J	1.70 J
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene		mg/kg	0.120 J [0.0970 J]	0.330 J	0.0210	0.0370 J	0.0910 J
Fluoranthene		mg/kg	2.60 J [2.30 J]	4.50 J	0.490	0.690 J	6.70 J
Fluorene		mg/kg	0.160 J [0.670 J]	0.310 J	0.110	0.260 J	2.90 J
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene		mg/kg	0.360 J [0.300 J]	1.00 J	0.0620	0.130 J	0.330 J
Naphthalene		mg/kg	0.0490 J [0.300 J]	0.120 J	0.0250	0.620 J	1.60 J
Perylene		mg/kg	0.260 J [0.210 J]	0.530 J	0.0710	0.0680 J	0.200 J
Phenanthrene		mg/kg	1.50 J [3.10 J]	2.40 J	0.430	0.470 J	12.0 J
Pyrene		mg/kg	2.30 J [2.30 J]	3.90 J	0.480	0.750 J	5.80 J
Total PAH16	56.4	mg/kg	14.2 J [16.4 J]	24.6 J	3.10 J	5.46 J	41.2 J
Total PAH34		mg/kg	21.4 J [28.4 J]	37.5 J	6.02 J	54.1 J	181 J
Miscellaneous							
Total Organic Carbon		mg/kg	11,200 [9,300 J]	22,900	22,000	79,300	135,000 J



Location ID:			R5-PDI-SED-55RR	R5-PDI-SED-56DEP	R5-PDI-SED-56DEP	R5-PDI-SED-57DEP	R5-PDI-SED-58RR
Sample Depth(feet):			1 - 1.3	0 - 1	1 - 1.2	0 - 0.5	0 - 0.4
Date Collected:	95th Percentile		01/19/21	01/19/21	01/19/21	01/19/21	01/19/21
Sample Name:	Background	Units	R5-PDI-SED-55RR(1-1.3)	R5-PDI-SED-56DEP(0-1)	R5-PDI-SED-56DEP(1-1.2)	R5-PDI-SED-57DEP(0-0.5)	R5-PDI-SED-58RR(0-0.4)
SVOCs-SIM							
1-Methylnaphthalene		mg/kg	0.270 J	0.0700 J [0.0220 J]	0.110 J	0.0350 J	0.0240
2-Methylnaphthalene		mg/kg	0.200 J	0.0410 J [0.0140 J]	0.0530 UJ	0.0180 J	0.0230
Acenaphthene		mg/kg	0.350 J	0.210 J [0.0810 J]	0.700 J	0.0420 J	0.0190
Acenaphthylene		mg/kg	0.530 J	0.110 J [0.0430 J]	0.0700 J	0.0590 J	0.00780 J
Anthracene		mg/kg	1.30 J	0.490 J [0.180 J]	1.70 J	0.150 J	0.0390
Benzo(a)anthracene		mg/kg	6.40 J	1.30 J [0.500 J]	2.60 J	0.400 J	0.130
Benzo(a)pyrene		mg/kg	5.00 J	1.10 J [0.470 J]	1.90 J	0.380 J	0.120
Benzo(b)fluoranthene		mg/kg	4.00 J	1.00 J [0.480 J]	1.80 J	0.360 J	0.120
Benzo(e)pyrene		mg/kg	2.70 J	0.660 J [0.300 J]	1.20 J	0.240 J	0.0840
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene		mg/kg	1.40 J	0.320 J [0.130 J]	0.640 J	0.120 J	0.0550
Benzo(k)fluoranthene		mg/kg	3.40 J	0.830 J [0.380 J]	1.70 J	0.270 J	0.0880
C1-Chrysenes		mg/kg	3.70 J	0.550 J [0.170 J]	0.500 J	0.210 J	0.0460
C1-Fluoranthenes/pyrene		mg/kg	9.50 J	1.50 J [0.490 J]	1.60 J	0.510 J	0.130
C1-Fluorenes		mg/kg	1.10 J	0.140 J [0.0480 J]	0.220 UJ	0.0680 J	0.0140
C1-Phenanthrenes/Anthracenes		mg/kg	6.40 J	0.900 J [0.300 J]	1.20 J	0.370 J	0.0820
C2-Chrysenes		mg/kg	1.40 J	0.180 J [0.0610 J]	0.220 UJ	0.0860 J	0.0230
C2-Fluorenes		mg/kg	2.40 J	0.140 J [0.0470 J]	0.220 UJ	0.0740 J	0.0130
C2-Naphthalenes		mg/kg	1.30 J	0.250 J [0.0810 J]	0.250 J	0.160 J	0.0680
C2-Phenanthrenes/Anthracenes		mg/kg	7.10 J	0.580 J [0.180 J]	0.430 J	0.220 J	0.0440
C3-Chrysenes		mg/kg	0.340 J	0.0860 UJ [0.0360 UJ]	0.220 UJ	0.0310 J	0.0190
C3-Fluorenes		mg/kg	1.70 J	0.140 J [0.0710 J]	0.220 UJ	0.0580 J	0.0150
C3-Naphthalenes		mg/kg	2.70 J	0.240 J [0.0790 J]	0.220 UJ	0.200 J	0.0450
C3-Phenanthrenes/Anthracenes		mg/kg	4.10 J	0.260 J [0.0810 J]	0.220 UJ	0.110 J	0.0210
C4-Chrysenes		mg/kg	0.300 UJ	0.0860 UJ [0.0360 UJ]	0.220 UJ	0.0200 UJ	0.00810 U
C4-Naphthalenes		mg/kg	3.60 J	0.150 J [0.0450 J]	0.220 UJ	0.0990 J	0.0180
C4-Phenanthrenes/Anthracenes		mg/kg	1.80 J	0.0870 J [0.0360 UJ]	0.220 UJ	0.0380 J	0.0100
Chrysene		mg/kg	5.70 J	1.30 J [0.500 J]	2.20 J	0.410 J	0.130
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene		mg/kg	0.540 J	0.130 J [0.0530 J]	0.210 J	0.0490 J	0.0170
Fluoranthene		mg/kg	9.80 J	2.70 J [1.20 J]	7.00 J	0.800 J	0.260
Fluorene		mg/kg	0.610 J	0.230 J [0.0980 J]	0.810 J	0.0530 J	0.0150
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene		mg/kg	1.40 J	0.350 J [0.130 J]	0.650 J	0.140 J	0.0530
Naphthalene		mg/kg	2.10 J	0.0550 UJ [0.0230 UJ]	0.140 UJ	0.0460 J	0.0240
Perylene		mg/kg	0.940 J	0.250 J [0.100 J]	0.570 J	0.0780 J	0.0320
Phenanthrene		mg/kg	2.40 J	2.10 J [0.880 J]	6.80 J	0.530 J	0.150
Pyrene		mg/kg	12.0 J	2.30 J [0.890 J]	5.10 J	0.720 J	0.260
Total PAH16	56.4	mg/kg	56.9 J	14.5 J [6.02 J]	33.9 J	4.53 J	1.49 J
Total PAH34		mg/kg	108 J	20.6 J [8.10 J]	39.7 J	7.13 J	2.20 J
Miscellaneous							
Total Organic Carbon		mg/kg	70,100	3,870 ^2B [3,700 J]	11,700	5,960 J	3,480 J


Pre-Design Investigation Report National Grid Gloversville (Hill Street) Former MGP Site Gloversville, New York

Location ID:			R5-PDI-SED-59DEP	R5-PDI-SED-59DEP	R5-PDI-SED-60DEP	R5-PDI-SED-60DEP
Sample Depth(feet):			0 - 1	1 - 1.2	0 - 1	1 - 1.9
Date Collected:	95th Percentile		01/19/21	01/19/21	01/19/21	01/19/21
Sample Name:	Background	Units	R5-PDI-SED-59DEP(0-1)	R5-PDI-SED-59DEP(1-1.2)	R5-PDI-SED-60DEP(0-1)	R5-PDI-SED-60DEP(1-1.9)
SVOCs-SIM						
1-Methylnaphthalene		mg/kg	0.0230 J	0.140 J	0.0680 J [0.840 J]	1.50 J
2-Methylnaphthalene		mg/kg	0.0200 UJ	0.100 J	0.0510 J [0.760 J]	1.50 J
Acenaphthene		mg/kg	0.0960 J	0.530 J	0.170 J [0.550 J]	1.10 J
Acenaphthylene		mg/kg	0.0700 J	0.100 J	0.0410 J [0.0950 J]	0.280 J
Anthracene		mg/kg	0.300 J	1.70 J	0.470 J [0.710 J]	1.20 J
Benzo(a)anthracene		mg/kg	0.970 J	3.50 J	1.10 J [1.70 J]	2.40 J
Benzo(a)pyrene		mg/kg	0.900 J	2.90 J	1.00 J [1.50 J]	2.50 J
Benzo(b)fluoranthene		mg/kg	0.770 J	2.60 J	0.890 J [1.70 J]	2.70 J
Benzo(e)pyrene		mg/kg	0.560 J	1.60 J	0.600 J [0.960 J]	1.60 J
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene		mg/kg	0.350 J	1.00 J	0.420 J [0.510 J]	1.10 J
Benzo(k)fluoranthene		mg/kg	0.730 J	2.30 J	0.800 J [1.20 J]	1.70 J
C1-Chrysenes		mg/kg	0.320 J	0.950 J	0.300 J [0.570 J]	1.00 J
C1-Fluoranthenes/pyrene		mg/kg	0.840 J	2.80 J	0.950 J [1.70 J]	3.00 J
C1-Fluorenes		mg/kg	0.0830 UJ	0.230 J	0.0890 UJ [0.280 J]	0.680 J
C1-Phenanthrenes/Anthracenes		mg/kg	0.450 J	2.00 J	0.550 J [1.40 J]	3.00 J
C2-Chrysenes		mg/kg	0.100 J	0.310 J	0.0890 UJ [0.170 J]	0.320 J
C2-Fluorenes		mg/kg	0.0830 UJ	0.230 UJ	0.0890 UJ [0.230 J]	0.530 J
C2-Naphthalenes		mg/kg	0.110 J	0.380 J	0.190 J [1.70 J]	3.80 J
C2-Phenanthrenes/Anthracenes		mg/kg	0.270 J	0.920 J	0.300 J [0.720 J]	1.50 J
C3-Chrysenes		mg/kg	0.0830 UJ	0.230 UJ	0.0890 UJ [0.110 J]	0.220 UJ
C3-Fluorenes		mg/kg	0.0830 UJ	0.230 UJ	0.130 J [0.190 J]	0.440 J
C3-Naphthalenes		mg/kg	0.120 J	0.400 J	0.200 J [0.910 J]	2.20 J
C3-Phenanthrenes/Anthracenes		mg/kg	0.140 J	0.390 J	0.140 J [0.290 J]	0.600 J
C4-Chrysenes		mg/kg	0.0830 UJ	0.230 UJ	0.0890 UJ [0.110 UJ]	0.220 UJ
C4-Naphthalenes		mg/kg	0.0830 UJ	0.230 UJ	0.110 J [0.340 J]	0.720 J
C4-Phenanthrenes/Anthracenes		mg/kg	0.0830 UJ	0.230 UJ	0.0890 UJ [0.110 UJ]	0.220 UJ
Chrysene		mg/kg	0.950 J	3.20 J	1.00 J [1.70 J]	2.70 J
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene		mg/kg	0.120 J	0.390 J	0.140 J [0.170 J]	0.370 J
Fluoranthene		mg/kg	2.30 J	8.60 J	2.60 J [3.60 J]	5.90 J
Fluorene		mg/kg	0.100 J	0.750 J	0.180 J [0.370 J]	0.720 J
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene		mg/kg	0.360 J	1.00 J	0.420 J [0.520 J]	1.10 J
Naphthalene		mg/kg	0.0530 UJ	0.230 J	0.0570 UJ [0.330 J]	0.680 J
Perylene		mg/kg	0.220 J	0.630 J	0.260 J [0.370 J]	0.580 J
Phenanthrene		mg/kg	1.40 J	7.20 J	1.60 J [2.50 J]	4.70 J
Pyrene		mg/kg	1.80 J	6.50 J	2.00 J [3.00 J]	5.10 J
Total PAH16	56.4	mg/kg	11.2 J	42.5 J	12.8 J [20.2 J]	34.3 J
Total PAH34		mg/kg	14.4 J	53.4 J	16.7 J [31.7 J]	57.2 J
Miscellaneous						
Total Organic Carbon		mg/kg	4,880 J	22,300	4,080 J [10,800 J]	23,200

Pre-Design Investigation Report National Grid Gloversville (Hill Street) Former MGP Site Gloversville, New York

#### Notes:

- 1. Calculated 95th percentile background concentration.
- 2. Duplicate samples are in brackets [].
- 3. U The compound was analyzed for but not detected. The associated value is the compound quantitation limit.
- 4. J Indicates an estimated value.
- 5. mg/kg milligrams per kilogram.
- 6. SIM = Selective Ion Monitoring.
- 7. PAH Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons.





Pre-Design Investigation Report National Grid Gloversville (Hill Street) Former MGP Site Gloversville, New York

Location ID:	NYSDEC Table 375-6:		PDI-T-11-LB-MB	PDI-T-11-LB-MHWL	PDI-T-1	2-LB-MB	PDI-T-12-	LB-MHWL
Sample Depth(feet): Date Collected:	Unrestricted Use SCO	Units	0 - 0.3 02/04/21	0 - 0.4 02/04/21	0 - 0.5 02/04/21	0.5 - 0.8 02/04/21	0 - 0.5 02/04/21	0.5 - 0.7 02/04/21
Semivolatile Organics								
Acenaphthene	20	mg/kg	0.16 U	0.70 U	0.15 U	0.15 U	0.18 U	0.041 U
Acenaphthylene	100	mg/kg	0.14 U	0.61 U	0.13 U	0.13 U	0.16 U	0.036 U
Anthracene	100	mg/kg	0.27 U	1.2 U	0.25 U	0.25 U	0.30 U	0.10 J
Benzo(a)anthracene	1	mg/kg	0.76 J	1.7 J	0.63 J	0.35 J	0.95 J	0.45
Benzo(a)pyrene	1	mg/kg	0.77 J	1.8 J	0.52 J	0.34 J	0.66 J	0.47
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	1	mg/kg	0.92 J	2.1 J	0.77 J	0.38 J	0.91 J	0.50
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	100	mg/kg	0.11 U	1.3 J	0.37 J	0.25 J	0.40 J	0.33
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	0.8	mg/kg	0.46 J	1.2 J	0.13 U	0.17 J	0.38 J	0.30
Chrysene	1	mg/kg	0.89 J	2.1 J	0.50 J	0.34 J	0.80 J	0.50
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	0.33	mg/kg	0.19 U	0.84 U	0.18 U	0.18 U	0.21 U	0.087 J
Fluoranthene	100	mg/kg	2.2	4.7 J	1.1	0.50 J	1.6	0.95
Fluorene	30	mg/kg	0.13 U	0.56 U	0.12 U	0.12 U	0.14 U	0.051 J
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	0.5	mg/kg	0.46 J	1.2 J	0.32 J	0.19 J	0.39 J	0.31
Naphthalene	12	mg/kg	0.14 U	0.61 U	0.13 U	0.13 U	0.16 U	0.11 J
Phenanthrene	100	mg/kg	1.0 J	2.8 J	0.57 J	0.22 J	1.2	0.76
Pyrene	100	mg/kg	1.6	3.7 J	0.94 J	0.53 J	1.4	0.92
Total SVOCs		mg/kg	9.1 J	23 J	5.7 J	3.3 J	8.7 J	5.8 J
Total TPAHs		mg/kg	9.1 J	23 J	5.7 J	3.3 J	8.7 J	5.8 J
SVOCs-SIM								
Total PAH16		mg/kg	9.06 J	22.6 J	5.72 J	3.27 J	8.69 J	5.84 J



Pre-Design Investigation Report National Grid Gloversville (Hill Street) Former MGP Site Gloversville, New York

Location ID:	NYSDEC Table 375-6:		PDI-T-1	5-RB-MB	PDI-T-15-	RB-MHWL	PDI-T-1	6-LB-MB	PDI-T-16-LB-MHWL	PDI-T-16-RB-MB
Sample Depth(feet):	Unrestricted		0 - 0.5	0.5 - 0.7	0 - 0.5	0.5 - 0.8	0 - 0.5	0.5 - 0.8	0 - 0.3	0 - 0.5
Date Collected:	Use SCO	Units	02/03/21	02/03/21	02/03/21	02/03/21	02/03/21	02/03/21	02/03/21	02/03/21
Semivolatile Organics										
Acenaphthene	20	mg/kg	0.39 U	0.42 U	0.36 U	0.33 U	0.032 U	0.032 U	0.43 U	0.17 U
Acenaphthylene	100	mg/kg	0.34 U	0.37 U	0.32 U	0.43 J	0.028 U	0.028 U	0.38 U	0.17 J
Anthracene	100	mg/kg	0.65 U	0.70 U	0.61 U	0.56 U	0.053 U	0.053 U	0.72 U	0.41 J
Benzo(a)anthracene	1	mg/kg	1.2 J	1.7 J	1.8 J	1.3 J	0.26	0.021 U	2.2 J	1.3
Benzo(a)pyrene	1	mg/kg	1.5 J	1.6 J	2.2 J	1.4 J	0.28	0.032 U	2.5 J	1.3
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	1	mg/kg	1.7 J	1.6 J	2.7	1.3 J	0.34	0.034 U	2.9	1.4
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	100	mg/kg	1.1 J	1.1 J	1.7 J	0.86 J	0.21	0.023 U	1.9 J	0.84 J
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	0.8	mg/kg	0.81 J	0.90 J	1.4 J	0.65 J	0.17 J	0.028 U	1.5 J	0.75 J
Chrysene	1	mg/kg	1.7 J	1.7 J	2.5	1.5 J	0.31	0.048 U	2.9	1.4
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	0.33	mg/kg	0.46 U	0.50 U	0.45 J	0.40 U	0.054 J	0.038 U	0.51 U	0.25 J
Fluoranthene	100	mg/kg	3.2	3.0	4.7	1.7 J	0.54	0.023 U	5.3	2.5
Fluorene	30	mg/kg	0.31 U	0.33 U	0.29 U	0.27 U	0.025 U	0.025 U	0.34 U	0.13 U
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	0.5	mg/kg	1.0 J	1.1 J	1.4 J	0.77 J	0.18 J	0.027 U	1.6 J	0.74 J
Naphthalene	12	mg/kg	0.34 U	0.37 U	0.32 U	0.29 U	0.028 U	0.028 U	0.38 U	0.20 J
Phenanthrene	100	mg/kg	1.5 J	1.8 J	1.8 J	0.95 J	0.19 J	0.032 U	2.5 J	1.5
Pyrene	100	mg/kg	2.3 J	2.3 J	3.5	1.3 J	0.41	0.025 U	4.1	1.9
Total SVOCs		mg/kg	16 J	17 J	24 J	12 J	2.9 J	0.053 U	27 J	15 J
Total TPAHs		mg/kg	16 J	17 J	24 J	12 J	2.9 J	0.053 U	27 J	15 J
SVOCs-SIM										
Total PAH16		mg/kg	16.0 J	16.8 J	24.2 J	12.2 J	2.94 J	0.0530 U	27.4 J	14.7 J



Pre-Design Investigation Report National Grid Gloversville (Hill Street) Former MGP Site Gloversville, New York

Location ID:	NYSDEC			PDI-T-16-RB-MHWL		PDI-T-17-	LB-MB	PDI-T-17-	LB-MHWL
Sample Depth(feet):	Unrestricted	Units	0 - 0.5 02/03/21	0.5 - 1 02/03/21	1 - 1.8 02/03/21	0 - 0.5 02/03/21	0.5 - 0.8 02/03/21	0 - 0.5 02/03/21	0.5 - 0.9 02/03/21
Semivolatile Organics		onits	02/03/21	02/00/21	02/00/21	02/00/21	02/03/21	02/00/21	02/03/21
Acenaphthene	20	mg/kg	0.15 U [0.52 U]	0.035 U	0.035 U	0.17 U [0.35 U]	0.17 U	0.68 J	0.35 J
Acenaphthylene	100	mg/kg	0.71 J [0.95 J]	0.031 U	0.030 U	0.15 U [0.31 U]	0.15 U	0.34 U	0.31 U
Anthracene	100	mg/kg	0.25 U [0.87 U]	0.059 U	0.058 U	0.29 UJ [0.60 U]	0.28 U	0.65 U	0.60 U
Benzo(a)anthracene	1	mg/kg	0.98 J [2.6 J]	0.052 J	0.088 J	1.2 J [1.9 J]	0.64 J	1.5 J	1.4 J
Benzo(a)pyrene	1	mg/kg	1.2 [2.8 J]	0.048 J	0.073 J	1.3 J [2.2 J]	0.66 J	1.6 J	1.5 J
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	1	mg/kg	0.98 J [2.4 J]	0.055 J	0.093 J	1.6 J [2.4]	0.54 J	2.0 J	1.7 J
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	100	mg/kg	0.40 J [1.4 J]	0.035 J	0.048 J	0.83 J [1.2 J]	0.32 J	0.90 J	0.88 J
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	0.8	mg/kg	0.34 J [1.1 J]	0.031 U	0.044 J	0.71 J [1.0 J]	0.44 J	0.61 J	0.84 J
Chrysene	1	mg/kg	1.2 [2.8 J]	0.059 J	0.10 J	1.4 J [2.4]	0.67 J	1.8 J	1.7 J
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	0.33	mg/kg	0.18 U [0.62 U]	0.042 U	0.042 U	0.22 J [0.43 U]	0.20 U	0.47 U	0.43 U
Fluoranthene	100	mg/kg	1.1 [3.4 J]	0.080 J	0.19 J	2.9 J [4.5]	1.3	3.3	2.9
Fluorene	30	mg/kg	0.12 U [0.42 U]	0.028 U	0.028 U	0.14 U [0.28 U]	0.14 U	0.38 J	0.28 U
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	0.5	mg/kg	0.32 J [1.2 J]	0.034 J	0.046 J	0.72 J [1.1 J]	0.27 J	0.71 J	0.79 J
Naphthalene	12	mg/kg	0.13 U [0.46 U]	0.22 J	0.030 U	0.15 U [0.31 U]	0.15 U	0.34 U	0.31 U
Phenanthrene	100	mg/kg	0.33 J [0.83 J]	0.046 J	0.15 J	1.3 J [2.2 J]	0.75 J	2.8	1.9 J
Pyrene	100	mg/kg	1.4 [3.7]	0.10 J	0.15 J	2.4 J [3.6]	1.1 J	3.5	2.9
Total SVOCs		mg/kg	9.0 J [23 J]	0.73 J	0.98 J	15 J [23 J]	6.7 J	20 J	17 J
Total TPAHs		mg/kg	9.0 J [23 J]	0.73 J	0.98 J	15 J [23 J]	6.7 J	20 J	17 J
SVOCs-SIM									
Total PAH16		mg/kg	8.96 J [23.2 J]	0.729 J	0.982 J	14.6 J [22.5 J]	6.69 J	19.8 J	16.9 J



Pre-Design Investigation Report National Grid Gloversville (Hill Street) Former MGP Site Gloversville, New York

Location ID:	NYSDEC Table 375-6:		PDI-T-1	7-RB-MB	PDI-T-17-RB-MHWL	PDI-T-1	8-LB-MB	PDI-T-18-LB-MHWL
Sample Depth(feet): Date Collected:	Unrestricted Use SCO	Units	0 - 0.5 02/03/21	0.5 - 0.7 02/03/21	0 - 0.4 02/03/21	0 - 0.5 02/03/21	0.5 - 0.9 02/03/21	0 - 0.5 02/03/21
Semivolatile Organics								
Acenaphthene	20	mg/kg	0.37 U	0.46 J	0.34 U	0.26 U	0.17 U	0.85 J
Acenaphthylene	100	mg/kg	0.50 J	0.82 J	0.34 J	0.23 J	0.15 U	1.2 J
Anthracene	100	mg/kg	0.63 U	1.2 J	0.58 U	0.43 U	0.28 U	1.3 J
Benzo(a)anthracene	1	mg/kg	1.5 J	3.1	2.0 J	1.9	0.59 J	4.1
Benzo(a)pyrene	1	mg/kg	1.7 J	3.2	2.3	2.0	0.70 J	4.8
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	1	mg/kg	1.8 J	4.3	2.7	2.9	0.83 J	4.2
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	100	mg/kg	0.86 J	1.3 J	1.3 J	1.3 J	0.45 J	2.6 J
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	0.8	mg/kg	0.95 J	0.28 U	0.85 J	1.0 J	0.29 J	2.1 J
Chrysene	1	mg/kg	1.9 J	3.2	2.4	3.0	0.73 J	5.3
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	0.33	mg/kg	0.45 U	0.43 J	0.42 J	0.31 U	0.20 U	0.61 U
Fluoranthene	100	mg/kg	2.9	6.5	3.9	7.6	1.4	8.9
Fluorene	30	mg/kg	0.30 U	0.59 J	0.28 U	0.20 U	0.13 U	0.83 J
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	0.5	mg/kg	0.85 J	1.3 J	1.1 J	1.2 J	0.38 J	2.1 J
Naphthalene	12	mg/kg	0.33 U	0.37 J	0.30 U	0.23 U	0.15 U	0.44 U
Phenanthrene	100	mg/kg	1.3 J	5.0	1.6 J	4.2	0.60 J	5.9
Pyrene	100	mg/kg	2.5	5.1	3.3	5.6	1.2	9.3
Total SVOCs		mg/kg	17 J	37 J	22 J	31 J	7.2 J	53 J
Total TPAHs		mg/kg	17 J	37 J	22 J	31 J	7.2 J	53 J
SVOCs-SIM								
Total PAH16		mg/kg	16.8 J	36.9 J	22.2 J	30.9 J	7.17 J	53.5 J

**ARCADIS** 

Pre-Design Investigation Report National Grid Gloversville (Hill Street) Former MGP Site Gloversville, New York

Location ID:	NYSDEC Table 375-6:		PDI-T-18	3-RB-MB	PDI-T-18-F	RB-MHWL
Sample Depth(feet): Date Collected:	Unrestricted Use SCO	Units	0 - 0.5 02/03/21	0.5 - 0.6 02/03/21	0 - 0.5 02/03/21	0.5 - 1 02/03/21
Semivolatile Organics						
Acenaphthene	20	mg/kg	0.25 J	0.35 U	0.17 U	0.17 U
Acenaphthylene	100	mg/kg	0.24 J	0.31 U	0.15 U	0.15 U
Anthracene	100	mg/kg	0.71 J	0.60 J	0.29 U	0.29 U
Benzo(a)anthracene	1	mg/kg	2.4	1.9 J	1.4	0.12 U
Benzo(a)pyrene	1	mg/kg	2.6	2.1 J	1.4	0.40 J
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	1	mg/kg	2.8	2.5	1.6	0.49 J
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	100	mg/kg	1.7	1.4 J	0.95 J	0.25 J
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	0.8	mg/kg	1.5	1.2 J	0.75 J	0.19 J
Chrysene	1	mg/kg	3.1	2.2 J	1.5	0.46 J
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	0.33	mg/kg	0.52 J	0.42 U	0.21 U	0.21 U
Fluoranthene	100	mg/kg	6.6	4.4	3.2	0.80 J
Fluorene	30	mg/kg	0.31 J	0.28 U	0.14 U	0.14 U
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	0.5	mg/kg	1.5	1.2 J	0.83 J	0.19 J
Naphthalene	12	mg/kg	0.19 U	0.31 U	0.15 U	0.15 U
Phenanthrene	100	mg/kg	3.6	2.4	1.4	0.38 J
Pyrene	100	mg/kg	5.1	3.8	2.9	0.80 J
Total SVOCs		mg/kg	33 J	24 J	16 J	4.0 J
Total TPAHs		mg/kg	33 J	24 J	16 J	4.0 J
SVOCs-SIM						
Total PAH16		mg/kg	32.9 J	23.7 J	15.9 J	3.96 J

#### Notes:

1. Screening criteria unrestricted use soil cleanup objectives (SCOs) from NYSDEC (2006) 6 NYCRR Part 375-6.

2. Shaded and bold indicates data exceeded associated unrestricted use SCO.

3. U = The compound was analyzed for but not detected. The associated value is the compound quantitation limit.

4. J = Estimated Concentration. Presented concentration is less than the method detection limit but greater than the instrument detection limit.



# Table 12 Supplemental PDI Background Bank Soil Sampling Analytical Results

Pre-Design Investigation Report National Grid Gloversville (Hill Street) Former MGP Site Gloversville, New York

Location ID:	Unrestricted		T-05-L	B-MB	T-05-LB	-MHWL	T-05-F	B-MB	T-05-RE	B-MHWL	T-06-L	B-MB	T-06-LE	-MHWL
Sample Depth(feet):	Use		0 - 6	6 - 12	0 - 6	6 - 12	0 - 6	6 - 12	0 - 6	6 - 12	0 - 6	6 - 12	0 - 6	6 - 12
Date Collected:	SCOs	Units	12/12/22	12/12/22	12/12/22	12/12/22	12/12/22	12/12/22	12/12/22	12/12/22	12/12/22	12/12/22	12/12/22	12/12/22
Semivolatile Organics														
Acenaphthene	20	mg/kg	0.32 U	0.31 U	0.36 U	0.39 U	0.030 U	0.028 U	0.16 U	0.027 U	0.15 U	0.14 U	0.15 U	0.14 U
Acenaphthylene	100	mg/kg	0.28 U	0.27 U	0.31 U	0.34 U	0.026 U	0.025 U	0.14 U	0.024 U	0.13 U	0.12 U	0.13 U	0.12 U
Anthracene	100	mg/kg	0.53 U	0.51 U	0.85 J	0.66 U	0.050 U	0.048 U	0.27 U	0.046 U	0.28 J	0.23 U	0.25 U	0.23 UJ
Benzo(a)anthracene	1	mg/kg	1.3 J	0.79 J	2.3 J	1.1 J	0.044 J	0.024 J	0.77 J	0.044 J	1.1	0.59 J	1.0	0.57 J
Benzo(a)pyrene	1	mg/kg	1.4 J	0.94 J	2.2 J	1.2 J	0.047 J	0.028 J	0.85 J	0.055 J	0.96 J	0.55 J	1.0	0.52 J
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	1	mg/kg	2.0 J	1.3 J	3.1	1.6 J	0.058 J	0.035 J	1.2	0.078 J	1.2	0.65 J	1.3	0.67 J
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	100	mg/kg	0.99 J	0.63 J	1.4 J	0.75 J	0.032 J	0.020 U	0.58 J	0.042 J	0.52 J	0.29 J	0.54 J	0.31 J
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	0.8	mg/kg	0.81 J	0.53 J	1.2 J	0.60 J	0.026 J	0.025 U	0.47 J	0.033 J	0.51 J	0.30 J	0.56 J	0.29 J
Chrysene	1	mg/kg	1.6 J	1.0 J	2.6	1.3 J	0.047 J	0.043 U	0.93 J	0.059 J	0.97 J	0.56 J	1.1	0.54 J
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	0.33	mg/kg	0.38 U	0.37 U	0.48 J	0.47 U	0.036 U	0.034 U	0.19 J	0.033 U	0.20 J	0.17 U	0.22 J	0.16 U
Fluoranthene	100	mg/kg	3.6	2.2	6.7	3.0	0.087 J	0.051 J	2.0 J	0.10 J	1.9	1.1	1.9	0.99 J
Fluorene	30	mg/kg	0.25 U	0.24 U	0.38 J	0.31 U	0.024 U	0.023 U	0.13 U	0.022 U	0.12 U	0.11 U	0.12 U	0.11 U
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	0.5	mg/kg	0.91 J	0.59 J	1.3 J	0.71 J	0.028 J	0.024 U	0.47 J	0.039 J	0.55 J	0.27 J	0.52 J	0.30 J
Naphthalene	12	mg/kg	0.28 U	0.27 U	0.31 U	0.34 U	0.026 U	0.025 U	0.14 U	0.024 U	0.13 U	0.12 U	0.13 U	0.12 U
Phenanthrene	100	mg/kg	1.5 J	0.98 J	4.4	1.5 J	0.033 J	0.028 U	0.92 J	0.036 J	0.80 J	0.37 J	0.63 J	0.46 J
Pyrene	100	mg/kg	2.6	1.6 J	4.8	2.2 J	0.066 J	0.039 J	1.5	0.084 J	1.4	0.86 J	1.5	0.80 J
Total TPAHs		mg/kg	17 J	11 J	32 J	14 J	0.47 J	0.18 J	9.9 J	0.57 J	10 J	5.5 J	10 J	5.5 J

# Table 12 Supplemental PDI Background Bank Soil Sampling Analytical Results



#### Pre-Design Investigation Report National Grid Gloversville (Hill Street) Former MGP Site Gloversville, New York

Location ID:	Unrestricted		T-0	6-RB-MB	T-06-RB	-MHWL	T-07-L	.B-MB	T-07-LB	-MHWL	T-07-RB-	MB	T-07-RB	-MHWL
Sample Depth(feet): Date Collected:	Use SCOs	Units	0 - 6 12/12/22	6 - 12 12/12/22										
Semivolatile Organics														
Acenaphthene	20	mg/kg	0.41 U	0.38 U [0.38 U]	0.41 U	0.41 U	0.42 U	0.40 U	0.89 U	0.20 U	0.19 U [0.37 U]	0.32 U	0.17 J	0.16 U
Acenaphthylene	100	mg/kg	0.36 U	0.34 U [0.33 U]	0.36 U	0.36 U	0.37 U	0.35 U	0.78 U	0.18 U	0.16 U [0.33 U]	0.28 U	0.15 J	0.14 U
Anthracene	100	mg/kg	0.70 U	0.65 U [0.63 U]	0.69 U	0.68 U	0.71 U	0.67 U	1.5 U	0.41 J	0.31 U [0.63 U]	0.62 J	0.58 J	0.30 J
Benzo(a)anthracene	1	mg/kg	1.1 J	0.71 J [1.1 J]	1.5 J	2.2 J	1.0 J	1.0 J	2.9 J	1.7	0.91 J [1.0 J]	1.8 J	1.8	1.4
Benzo(a)pyrene	1	mg/kg	1.3 J	0.81 J [1.4 J]	1.7 J	2.5 J	1.1 J	1.1 J	3.4 J	1.7	1.0 J [1.1 J]	1.8 J	1.8	1.4
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	1	mg/kg	1.7 J	1.1 J [1.8 J]	2.3 J	3.3	1.5 J	1.3 J	4.6 J	2.1	1.3 [1.4 J]	2.2	2.2	1.7
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	100	mg/kg	0.75 J	0.46 J [0.89 J]	1.1 J	1.3 J	0.61 J	0.51 J	2.3 J	0.99 J	0.62 J [0.67 J]	1.1 J	1.1 J	0.84 J
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	0.8	mg/kg	0.72 J	0.44 J [0.78 J]	0.99 J	1.4 J	0.61 J	0.60 J	1.9 J	0.90 J	0.55 J [0.65 J]	0.96 J	0.88 J	0.72 J
Chrysene	1	mg/kg	1.4 J	0.88 J [1.4 J]	1.9 J	2.9	1.2 J	1.1 J	3.5 J	1.8	0.95 J [1.1 J]	1.7 J	1.8	1.5
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	0.33	mg/kg	0.50 U	0.46 U [0.45 U]	0.49 U	0.49 U	0.50 U	0.48 U	1.1 U	0.33 J	0.22 U [0.45 U]	0.38 U	0.34 J	0.27 J
Fluoranthene	100	mg/kg	3.0	1.8 J [3.2]	4.5	6.5	2.4 J	2.3 J	7.5	3.6	1.9 [2.2 J]	3.8	3.9	2.8
Fluorene	30	mg/kg	0.33 U	0.31 U [0.30 U]	0.33 U	0.33 U	0.34 U	0.32 U	0.71 U	0.16 U	0.15 U [0.30 U]	0.26 J	0.23 J	0.12 U
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	0.5	mg/kg	0.71 J	0.43 J [0.79 J]	0.98 J	1.3 J	0.59 J	0.53 J	2.0 J	0.88 J	0.60 J [0.68 J]	1.0 J	0.99 J	0.82 J
Naphthalene	12	mg/kg	0.36 U	0.34 U [0.33 U]	0.36 U	0.36 U	0.37 U	0.35 U	0.78 U	0.18 U	0.16 U [0.33 U]	0.28 U	0.15 J	0.14 U
Phenanthrene	100	mg/kg	1.2 J	0.80 J [1.5 J]	2.3 J	3.5	1.1 J	1.1 J	3.0 J	1.7	0.93 J [0.87 J]	2.3	2.5	1.1
Pyrene	100	mg/kg	2.0 J	1.3 J [2.3 J]	3.2	4.5	1.9 J	1.7 J	5.6 J	2.9	1.5 [1.6 J]	2.8	3.2	2.1
Total TPAHs		mg/kg	14 J	8.7 J [15 J]	20 J	29 J	12 J	11 J	37 J	19 J	10 J [11 J]	20 J	22 J	15 J

#### Notes:

1. Arcadis collected samples on dates indicated.

2. Samples analyzed by Eurofins laboratory in Buffalo, NY.

3. Results are presented in milligrams per kilogram (mg/kg), which is equivalent to parts per million (ppm).

4. Results compared to NYSDEC Part 375-6 Unrestricted Use Soil Cleanup Objectives (SCOs).

5. Shaded and bolded values indicate concentrations exceeding NYSDEC Part 365 unrestricted SCOs.

6. J - detected concentration is less than the calibration level but greater than the instrument detection limit. This value is estimated.

7. U - Compound was analyzed for but not detected. The presented value is the compound quantitation limit.

# Table 13 Supplemental PDI Site Source Sampling Analytical Results



### Pre-Design Investigation Report National Grid Gloversville (Hill Street) Former MGP Site Gloversville, New York

Location ID:		PDI-DPB-4	PDI-DPB-7	PDI-DPB-8
Sample Depth(feet):		3 - 12	4 - 8.5	0.8 - 8.5
Date Collected:	Units	11/1/22	11/1/22	11/1/22
PAHs				
Total PAH16	mg/kg	140 J	360 J	220 J
Total PAH-34	mg/kg	180 J	470 J	280 J
PAHs-SIM				
1-Methylnaphthalene	mg/kg	24.0 J	94.0 J	51.0 J
2-Methylnaphthalene	mg/kg	34.0 J	110 J	61.0 J
Acenaphthene	mg/kg	16.0 J	48.0 J	33.0 J
Acenaphthylene	mg/kg	2.40 J	4.20 J	2.20 J
Anthracene	mg/kg	11.0 J	24.0 J	16.0 J
Benzo(a)anthracene	mg/kg	5.10 J	11.0 J	8.80 J
Benzo(a)pyrene	mg/kg	3.30 J	6.20 J	4.90 J
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	mg/kg	1.60 J	4.20 UJ	2.40 J
Benzo(e)pyrene	mg/kg	1.80 J	3.50 J	2.80 J
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	mg/kg	0.450 J	1.60 UJ	0.690 J
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	mg/kg	2.00 J	3.80 J	2.80 J
C1-Chrysenes	mg/kg	3.90 J	8.80 J	8.60 J
C1-Fluoranthenes/pyrene	mg/kg	17.0 J	33.0 J	33.0 J
C1-Fluorenes	mg/kg	8.50 J	27.0 J	18.0 J
C1-Phenanthrenes/Anthracenes	mg/kg	26.0 J	70.0 J	53.0 J
C2-Chrysenes	mg/kg	1.60 J	8.30 UJ	4.20 J
C2-Fluorenes	mg/kg	6.60 J	17.0 J	12.0 J
C2-Naphthalenes	mg/kg	49.0 J	180 J	99.0 J
C2-Phenanthrenes/Anthracenes	mg/kg	13.0 J	30.0 J	32.0 J
C3-Chrysenes	mg/kg	0.780 UJ	8.30 UJ	1.10 UJ
C3-Fluorenes	mg/kg	2.20 J	8.30 UJ	5.90 J
C3-Naphthalenes	mg/kg	20.0 J	88.0 J	50.0 J
C3-Phenanthrenes/Anthracenes	mg/kg	4.10 J	9.40 J	12.0 J
C4-Chrysenes	mg/kg	1.00 J	8.30 UJ	1.10 UJ
C4-Naphthalenes	mg/kg	6.10 J	23.0 J	17.0 J
C4-Phenanthrenes/Anthracenes	mg/kg	1.30 J	8.30 UJ	3.60 J
Chrysene	mg/kg	4.50 J	12.0 J	8.50 J
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	mg/kg	0.180 J	1.60 UJ	0.380 J
Fluoranthene	mg/kg	8.50 J	18.0 J	14.0 J
Fluorene	mg/kg	10.0 J	25.0 J	18.0 J
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	mg/kg	0.470 J	2.00 UJ	0.770 J
Naphthalene	mg/kg	37.0 J	110 J	38.0 J
Perylene	mg/kg	0.450 J	2.00 UJ	1.00 J
Phenanthrene	mg/kg	29.0 J	74.0 J	43.0 J
Pyrene	mg/kg	12.0 J	28.0 J	22.0 J

#### Notes:

1. Arcadis collected samples on dates indicated.

2. Samples analyzed by Eurofins laboratory in Buffalo, NY.

3. Results are presented in milligrams per kilogram (mg/kg), which is equivalent to parts per million (ppm).

4. J - detected concentration is less than the calibration level but greater than the instrument detection limit. This value is estimated.

5. U - Compound was analyzed for but not detected. The presented value is the compound quantitation limit.

# **Figures**

C:\Users\mwasilewski\ACCDocs\Arcadis\AUS-NATIONAL GRID-GLOVERSVILLE FORMER MGP-GLOVERSVILLE New York\Project Files\2021\01-In Progress\01-DWG\PDIR-FIG01 INVESTIGATION LOCATIONS.DWG LAYOUT: 1 SAVED: 8/19/2021 5:08 PM ACADVER: 23.1S (LMS TECH) PAGESETUP: ---- PLOTSTYLETABLE: ---- PLOTTED: 8/19/2021 5:25 PM BY: WASILEWSKI, MATT



### LEGEND:

- A PDI DIRECT-PUSH BORING
- PDI CREEK BORING
- PDI GEOTECHNICAL BORING
- PDI BORING SELECTED FOR SVOC SOIL SAMPLING
- PDI MONITORING WELL
- PDI STAFF GAUGE
- PZ-434 **•** PREVIOUS MONITORING WELL
- PZ-279 PREVIOUS PIEZOMETER
  - ABANDONED MONITORING WELL / PIEZOMETER

### NOTES:

- 1. ALL ELEVATIONS ARE BASED ON NATIONAL GEODETIC VERTICAL DATUM OF 1929.
- 2. THE NATIONAL GRID PROPERTY LINE SHOWN IS APPROXIMATE.
- 3. ALL LOCATIONS ARE APPROXIMATE.
- 4. BASEMAP PREPARED FROM ELECTRONIC SURVEY FILE PROVIDED BY NIAGARA MOHAWK ON 7/23/01. PRIMARY SURVEY CONTROL FOR PHOTOGRAMMETIC MAPPING WAS ESTABLISHED FROM AN ON THE GROUND SURVEY, PERFORMED ON JULY 28, 1994 USING THE GLOBAL POSITIONING SYSTEM (GPS) AND CONFORMS TO ORDER 2 CLASS 2 FGCS ACCURACY SPECIFICATIONS. THE HORIZONTAL REFERENCE DATUM NORTH AMERICAN DATUM OF 1983 (NAD 83) ON REFERENCE ELLIPSOID ASSOCIATED WITH THE GEODETIC REFERENCE SYSTEM OF 1980 (GRS 80). THE COORDINATES ARE BASED ON THE NEW YORK STATE PLANE GEODETIC SYSTEM OF 1983 (FASTERN ZONE 3101).

PREVIOUS SOIL BORING	5		
	υ.	. ALL SVOC SOIL SAMPLES WERE COLLECTED BETWEEN	N SEPTEMBER 29, 2020 AND
PREVIOUS ANGLED SOIL BORING, ARROW INDICATES DIRECTION OF ANGLE		ANALYSIS.	TESTAMERICA BUFFALU FUR
PDI TRANSECT FOR MANUALLY MEASURING CREEK VELOCITIES AND DEPTHS			
PROBABLE NAPL-CONTAINING AREA			
PROPOSED NAPL BARRIER WALL ALIGNMENT AS PRESENTED IN THE RDWP			
EXCAVATION AREA LIMITS AS PRESENTED IN THE RDWP			
LIMITS OF SURFACE SOIL EXCAVATION AND SOIL COVER AS PRESENTED IN THE RDWP			
APPROXIMATE PROPERTY LINE LIMITS			
PAVED BIKE PATH			
WATER			0 30' 60'
TREE LINE			GRAPHIC SCALE
FENCE			NATIONAL GRID
UTILITY POLE			GLOVERSVILLE (HILL STREET) FORMER MGP SITE GLOVERSVILLE, NEW YORK
SANITARY SEWER			PRE-DESIGN INVESTIGATION REPORT
			INVESTIGATION LOCATIONS
	PREVIOUS ANGLED SOIL BORING, ARROW INDICATES DIRECTION OF ANGLE PDI TRANSECT FOR MANUALLY MEASURING CREEK VELOCITIES AND DEPTHS PROBABLE NAPL—CONTAINING AREA PROPOSED NAPL BARRIER WALL ALIGNMENT AS PRESENTED IN THE RDWP EXCAVATION AREA LIMITS AS PRESENTED IN THE RDWP LIMITS OF SURFACE SOIL EXCAVATION AND SOIL COVER AS PRESENTED IN THE RDWP APPROXIMATE PROPERTY LINE LIMITS PAVED BIKE PATH WATER TREE LINE FENCE UTILITY POLE SANITARY SEWER	PREVIOUS ANGLED SOIL BORING, ARROW INDICATES DIRECTION OF ANGLE PDI TRANSECT FOR MANUALLY MEASURING CREEK VELOCITIES AND DEPTHS PROBABLE NAPL—CONTAINING AREA PROPOSED NAPL BARRIER WALL ALIGNMENT AS PRESENTED IN THE RDWP EXCAVATION AREA LIMITS AS PRESENTED IN THE RDWP LIMITS OF SURFACE SOIL EXCAVATION AND SOIL COVER AS PRESENTED IN THE RDWP APPROXIMATE PROPERTY LINE LIMITS PAVED BIKE PATH WATER TREE LINE FENCE UTILITY POLE SANITARY SEWER	PREVOUS ANGLED SOIL BORING, ANALYSIS. ARROW INDICATES DIRECTION OF ANGLE POI TRANSECT FOR MANUALLY MEASURING CREEK VELOCITES AND DEPTHS PROPABLE NAPL-CONTAINING AREA PROPOSED NAPL BARRIER WALL ALIGNMENT AS PRESENTED IN THE ROWP EXCAVATION AREA LIMITS AS PRESENTED IN THE RDWP LIMITS OF SURFACE SOIL EXCAVATION AND SOIL COVER AS PRESENTED IN THE ROWP APPROXIMATE PROPERTY LINE LIMITS PAVED BIKE PATH WATER TREE LINE FENCE UTILITY POLE SANITARY SEWER





# **ARCADIS**

FIGURE 2

GROUNDWATER CONTOURS FOR THE LOWEST, HIGHEST, AND AVERAGE WATER-LEVEL CONDITION

NATIONAL GRID GLOVERSVILLE (HILL STREET) FORMER MGP SITE GLOVERSVILLE, NEW YORK PRE-DESIGN INVESTIGATION REPORT





T:\\_ENV\NationalGrid\GloversvilleNY\PDIR\mxd\Reaches\_mapbook.mxd 10/7/2021 9:21:39 AMLast Saved By: ksinsabaugh



T:\\_ENV\NationalGrid\GloversvilleNY\PDIR\mxd\Reaches\_mapbook.mxd 10/7/2021 9:21:39 AMLast Saved By: ksinsabaugh



CONCRETE ABUTMENT ALONG L	EFT BANK	Depth(feet)	(0 - 0.5')
A STREET STREET	All and the	Total PAH16 (mg/Kg)	4.9 J
and the second second	Sector Sector		and the Part
and the second se	1 life		and the second
and the second second second	and the second s	11 State 2	The second water
R3-PDI-	SED-21RR	R2-PDI-SED-2	ORR
Denth(feet)	(0-03')	Depth(feet)	(0 - 0.3')
Total PAH16 (m	a/Kg) 0.391	Total PAH16 (mg/Kg)	0.40 J
		Print Brown	and the state of t
and the share		State of the state	Carlos Carlos Dec
The second se		N. S. S. S. S.	And Call Contracts
COLUMN COLUMN		A PARTY AND	MATCHLINE
EXTENS	SIVE GRAVEL BAR ALONG RIGHT BANK	50000000000	the state states and the
LEGEND:	NOTES:	NA	TIONAL GRID
▲ DEPOSITIONAL SEDIMENT SAMPLING LOCATION	<ol> <li>PHOTOGRAMMETRIC BASE MAPPING SUPPLIED BY NIAGARA MOHAWK AND WA COMPILED FROM AERIAL PHOTOGRAPHS DATED APRIL 1994 AND DECEMBER 15</li> </ol>	S GLOVERSVILLE (HIL	
RIFFLE/RUN SEDIMENT SAMPLING LOCATION		PRE-DESIGN I	NVESTIGATION REPORT
OUTFALL LOCATION	CSP = CORRUGATED FLASTIC FIPE CSP = CORRUGATED STEEL PIPE CTP = CLAY TILE PIPE CTP = CLAY TILE PIPE CTP = CLAY TILE PIPE		
CREEK RECONNAISANCE TRANSECT	3. ESRI IMAGERY FROM NYS ITS GIS PROGRAM OFFICE DATED 2017.	I F	REACH 2
DEPOSITIONAL AREA	4. ALL SVOC REACH SEDIMENT SAMPLES WERE COLLECTED BETWEEN JANUARY	19,	
	2021 AND JANUARY 21, 2021 AND WERE SUBMITTED TO EUROFINS TESTAMERIC BUFFALO FOR ANALYSIS.	4	
	0 60 120		
	GRAPHIC SCALE		5

T:\\_ENV\NationalGrid\GloversvilleNY\ArcPro\PDIR\PDIR.aprx Mapbook Page 6 Reaches\_mapbook BY: ksinsabaugh 1/11/2024



T:\\_ENV\NationalGrid\GloversvilleNY\PDIR\mxd\Reaches\_mapbook.mxd 10/7/2021 9:21:39 AMLast Saved By: ksinsabaugh

٠

	1 3
	20
	30
1 million and the	- H
Carlot and	
- Contraction	
1] The second	
AND AND THE TRANSPORT	
All the for	
10 112.51	
<u>0.3')</u>	
	Ser 2
Constant and	
the states and	
and the hard	
RIS	-24
and a Va	Cores and
The All States of Martin	and a
Harper	
the second	
R4-PDI-SED-41RR	) ()2')
Total PAH16 (mg/Kg) 4	.3
Aller	A. Kell
the states	
	1000
R4-PDI-SED-42DEP	
Lepth(feet) (U-)	3.2 <sup>-</sup> ) 84
10(411)(1120(118)(18))	Shel
0000000000	
RANSLEGT 243	
the state with	32
P LINE HE	10.00
R4-PDI-SED-45RR	k
R4-PDI-SED-45RR Depth(feet) (0 - 1	0.2')
R4-PDI-SED-45RRDepth(feet)(0 -Total PAH16 (mg/Kg)8.	D.2') 2 J
R4-PDI-SED-45RRDepth(feet)(0 -Total PAH16 (mg/Kg)8.	D.2') 2 J
R4-PDI-SED-45RR Depth(feet) (0 - 1 Total PAH16 (mg/Kg) 8.	0.2') 2 J
R4-PDI-SED-45RR         Depth(feet)       (0 -         Total PAH16 (mg/Kg)       8.         R4-PDI-SED-47RR         Depth(feet)       (2 -	0.2') 2 J
R4-PDI-SED-45RR         Depth(feet)       (0 -         Total PAH16 (mg/Kg)       8.         R4-PDI-SED-47RR         Depth(feet)       (0         Total PAH16 (mg/Kg)       0	0.2') 2 J - 0.2') 14 J
R4-PDI-SED-45RRDepth(feet)(0 -Total PAH16 (mg/Kg)8.R4-PDI-SED-47RRDepth(feet)Depth(feet)(0Total PAH16 (mg/Kg)0	0.2') 2 J - 0.2') 14 J
	)       )         )

		• OF- <u>13</u>	and the second	1000
s/*		ALC: NOT	R4-PDI-SED-48	3RR
7 .	A DECK DECK DECK DECK DECK DECK DECK DECK		- Depth(feet)	(0 - 0.3')
			Total PAH16 (mg/Kg)	16 J
		100 100	Lafter 2	And Anna
		100		
		No. of Concession, Name	R4-PDI-SED-49	DEP
	A REAL PROPERTY OF A READ REAL PROPERTY OF A REAL P	State of the state	Depth(feet)	(0-0.1')
			Total PAH16 (mg/Kg)	2.5 J
	SAND BAR ALONG LEFT BANK		CHART CONTRACT	1000
755	A STATE OF A			1.
	TRANSECT 26			1 600
	<b>टान्-13 (47 GTP)</b>	A MAR	ALC HOLD	2000
LEGEND:	NOTES:		NATIONAL GRID	
▲ DEPOSITIONAL SEDIMENT SAMPLING LOCATION	1. PHOTOGRAMMETRIC BASE MAPPING SUPPLIED BY NIAGARA MOHAWK AND WAS COMPILED FROM AERIAL PHOTOGRAPHS DATED APRIL 1994 AND DECEMBER 1995.	GLOVERSVILLE	E (HILL STREET) FORME	R MGP SITE
RIFFLE/RUN SEDIMENT SAMPLING LOCATION	2. ACRONYMS: CPP - COPPLICATED PLASTIC PIPE ma/Ka = MILIGRAMS PER KILOGRAM	PRE-DESI	<b>GN INVESTIGATION R</b>	EPORT
OUTFALL LOCATION	CSP = CORRUGATED STEEL PIPE CTP = CI AV TI F PIPF CTP = CI AV TI F PIPF CTP = CI AV TI F PIPF			
CREEK RECONNAISANCE TRANSECT	3. ESRI IMAGERY FROM NYS ITS GIS PROGRAM OFFICE DATED 2017.		REACH 4	
VISUALLY OBSERVED IMPACTS	4. ALL SVOC REACH SEDIMENT SAMPLES WERE COLLECTED BETWEEN JANUARY 19.			
DEPOSITIONAL AREA	2021 AND JANUARY 21, 2021 AND WERE SUBMITTED TO EUROFINS TESTAMERICA			
AREA PROPOSED FOR REMOVAL	0 60 120	σ Λ		FIGURE
	Feet			· 7
	GRAPHIC SCALE			

T:\\_ENV\NationalGrid\GloversvilleNY\PDIR\mxd\Reaches\_mapbook.mxd 10/7/2021 9:21:39 AMLast Saved By: ksinsabaugh



PROBING ALONG LEFT BANK PRODUCES SHEEN		
R5-PDI-SED-60DEP	A REAL PROPERTY AND A REAL	PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF
Depth(feet) (0 - 1')	(1 - 1.9')	SALAR STREET
Total PAH16 (mg/Kg) 13 J [20 J	] 34J	A DO NOT THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE
THE SECTION	-	
LEGEND:	NOTES:	NATIONAL GRID
▲ DEPOSITIONAL SEDIMENT SAMPLING LOCATION	<ol> <li>PHOTOGRAMMETRIC BASE MAPPING SUPPLIED BY NIAGARA MOHAWK AND WAS COMPILED FROM AERIAL PHOTOGRAPHS DATED APRIL 1994 AND DECEMBER 1995.</li> </ol>	GLOVERSVILLE (HILL STREET) FORMER MGP SITE

**GRAPHIC SCALE** 

- RIFFLE/RUN SEDIMENT SAMPLING LOCATION
- OUTFALL LOCATION
- CREEK RECONNAISANCE TRANSECT
- VISUALLY OBSERVED IMPACTS
- DEPOSITIONAL AREA

 1. PHOTOGRAMMETRIC BASE MAPPING SUPPLIED BY NIAGARA MOHAWK AND WAS COMPILED FROM AERIAL PHOTOGRAPHS DATED APRIL 1994 AND DECEMBER 1995.
 2. ACRONYMS: CPP = CORRUGATED PLASTIC PIPE CPP = CORRUGATED PLASTIC PIPE CTP = CLAY TILE PIPE S. ESRI IMAGERY FROM NYS ITS GIS PROGRAM OFFICE DATED 2017.
 3. ESRI IMAGERY FROM NYS ITS GIS PROGRAM OFFICE DATED 2017.
 4. ALL SVOC REACH SEDIMENT SAMPLES WERE COLLECTED BETWEEN JANUARY 19, 2021 AND JANUARY 21, 2021 AND WERE SUBMITTED TO EUROFINS TESTAMERICA BUFFALO FOR ANALYSIS.

 0
 60
 120
 Feet



C:\Users\bdeclercq\DC\ACCDocs\Arcadis ACC US\AUS-99999999-NATL GRID\_HILL ST\_GLOVERSVILLE\_NY\Project Files\10\_WIP\10T\_ARC\_ENV\2024\01-DWG\Pre-Design Report\_Fig. 9\_Bank Sampling.DWG SAVED: 1/10/2024 1:43 PM BY: DECLERCQ, BRIAN

### NOTES:

- 1. ALL ELEVATIONS ARE BASED ON NATIONAL GEODETIC VERTICAL DATUM OF 1929.
- 2. THE NATIONAL GRID PROPERTY LINE SHOWN IS APPROXIMATE.
- 3. ALL LOCATIONS ARE APPROXIMATE.
- BASEMAP PREPARED FROM ELECTRONIC SURVEY FILE PROVIDED BY NIAGARA MOHAWK ON 4. 7/23/01. PRIMARY SURVEY CONTROL FOR PHOTOGRAMMETIC MAPPING WAS ESTABLISHED FROM AN ON THE GROUND SURVEY, PERFORMED ON JULY 28, 1994 USING THE GLOBAL POSITIONING SYSTEM (GPS) AND CONFORMS TO ORDER 2 CLASS 2 FGCS ACCURACY SPECIFICATIONS. THE HORIZONTAL REFERENCE DATUM NORTH AMERICAN DATUM OF 1983 (NAD 83) ON REFERENCE ELLIPSOID ASSOCIATED WITH THE GEODETIC REFERENCE SYSTEM OF 1980 (GRS 80). THE COORDINATES ARE BASED ON THE NEW YORK STATE PLANE GEODETIC SYSTEM OF 1983 (EASTERN ZONE 3101).
- 5. CONCENTRATIONS PROVIDED IN MILLIGRAMS PER KILOGRAM (MG/KG), EQUIVALENT TO PARTS PER MILLION (PPM).
- SCREENING CRITERIA UNRESTRICTED USE SOIL CLEANUP OBJECTIVES (SCOS) FROM NYSDEC 6. (2006) 6 NYCRR PART 375-6.
- SHADING INDICATES VALUE EXCEEDS ASSOCIATED UNRESTRICTED USE SCO. 7.
- U = THE COMPOUND WAS ANALYZED FOR BUT NOT DETECTED. THE ASSOCIATED VALUE IS 8. THE COMPOUND QUANTITATION LIMIT.
- J = ESTIMATED CONCENTRATION. PRESENTED CONCENTRATION IS LESS THAN THE METHOD 9. DETECTION LIMIT BUT GREATER THAN THE INSTRUMENT DETECTION LIMIT.

LEGEND:

- MEAN HIGH WATER LEVEL BANK SOIL SAMPLE LOCATION
- MID-BANK SOIL SAMPLE LOCATION
- PROBABLE NAPL-CONTAINING AREA
- PROPOSED NAPL BARRIER WALL ALIGNMENT



EXCAVATION AREA LIMITS



LIMITS OF SURFACE SOIL EXCAVATION

- APPROXIMATE PROPERTY LINE LIMITS
- PAVED BIKE PATH \_\_\_\_
- · · · WATER
- TREE LINE
- - O UTILITY POLE

	NYSDEC	
	Table 375-6:	
PAH Compound	Unrestricted	
	Use SCO (ppm)	
Acenaphthene	20	
Acenaphthylene	100	
Anthracene	100	
Benzo(a)anthracene	1	
Benzo(a)pyrene	1	
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	1	
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	100	
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	0.8	
Chrysene	1	
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	0.33	
Fluoranthene	100	
Fluorene	30	
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	0.5	
Naphthalene	12	
Phenanthrene	100	
Pyrene	100	

0	30'	60'
	GRAPHIC SCA	LE

NATIONAL GRID GLOVERSVILLE (HILL STREET) FORMER MGP SITE GLOVERSVILLE, NEW YORK **PRE-DESIGN INVESTIGATION REPORT** 

**BANK SOIL SAMPLING LOCATIONS ADJACENT TO SITE** 



FIGURE

9

C:\Users\bdeclercq\DC\ACCDocs\Arcadis ACC US\AUS-99999999-NATL GRID\_HILL ST\_GLOVERSVILLE\_NY\Project Files\10\_WIP\10T\_ARC\_ENV\2024\01-DWG\Pre-Design Report\_Fig. 9a\_Background Bank Sampling.dwg SAVED: 1/16/2024 9:53 AM BY: DECLERCQ, BRIAN

	XREFS: IMAGES:		
$\frac{ p_{1}-p_{2}-p_{2}-p_{3}-p$	Pre-Design Report_X_Title aerial.jpg	and the second	
$\frac{1}{12} \frac{1}{12} \frac$	Arcadis Logo.prig	$\frac{PDI-T-5-LB-MB}{Depth(Inches)}$	LEGEND:
P0-T-dR-MMR       P0-T-dR-MMR       Performance (rg/g)       1 d d d d d d d d d d d d d d d d d d	TRANSECT 5	SVOCs Benzo(a)anthracene (ma/kg) 1.3 J 0.79 J	MEAN HIGH WATER LEVEL BANK SOIL
	PDI-T-5-RB-MHWL PDI-T-5-RB-MHWL	$\frac{\text{Benzo(a)pyrene (mg/kg)}}{\text{Benzo(a)pyrene (mg/kg)}} \frac{1.4 \text{ J}}{1.4 \text{ J}} \frac{0.94 \text{ J}}{1.4 \text{ J}}$	SAMPLE LOCATION
$\left \sum_{\substack{n=1\\n=1\\n=1\\n=1\\n=1\\n=1\\n=1\\n=1\\n=1\\n=1\\$		Benzo(b)fluoranthene (mg/kg) 2.0 J 1.3 J Benzo(k)fluoranthene (mg/kg) 0.81 J 0.53 J	MID-BANK SOIL SAMPLE LOCATION
$\frac{p_{D}r_{1}-s_{-1}g_{-1}w_{0}}{\frac{p_{D}r_{1}}{\frac{p_{D}}r_{1}}{\frac{p_{D}r_{1}}{\frac{p_{D}r_{1}}{\frac{p_{D}r_{1}}{\frac{p_{D}r_{1}}{\frac{p_{D}}r_{1}}{\frac{p_{D}r_{1}}{\frac{p_{D}r_{1}}{\frac{p_{D}}r_{1}}{\frac{p_{D}r_{1}}{\frac{p_{D}}r_{1}}{\frac{p_{D}r_{1}}{\frac{p_{D}r_{1}}{\frac{p_{D}}r_{1}}{\frac{p_{D}r_{1}}{\frac{p_{D}}r_{1}}{\frac{p_{D}r_{1}}{\frac{p_{D}}r_{1}}{\frac{p_{D}}r_{1}}{\frac{p_{D}r_{1}}{\frac{p_{D}$		Chrysene (mg/kg)         1.6 J         1.0 J           Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene (mg/kg)         0.91 J         0.59 J	CREEK RECONNAISSANCE TRANSECT
	PDI-T-6-LB-MHWL		NOTE
Image: (ng/sg)       13       0.67       1       0.67       0	$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$\frac{\text{PDI}-\text{T}-\text{5}-\text{LB}-\text{MHWL}}{\text{Depth(Inches)}}$	NOTE:
$\frac{ D berze(1,2,3-col)pyrene (m_2/k_0)}{ D c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Benzo(b)fluoranthene (mg/kg)         1.3         0.67 J           Chrysene (mg/kg)         1.1         0.54 J           Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene (mg/kg)         0.52 J         0.30 J	SVOCs(012)Benzo(a)anthracene (mg/kg)2.3 J1.1 JBenzo(a)pyrene (mg/kg)2.2 J1.2 JBenzo(b)fluoranthene (mg/kg)3.11.6 JBenzo(k)fluoranthene (mg/kg)1.2 J0.60 JChrysene (mg/kg)2.61.3 J	ESRI IMAGERY FROM NYS ITS GIS PROGRAM OFFICE DATED 2017.
P0:-T-6-B-M8       P0:-T-6-B-M8       P0:-T-6-B-M8       P0:-T-6-B-M8         Dottf(nches)       10 - 6)       10 - 6)       10 - 12         Berzo(b)huoranthese       (mg/kg)       1.2       0.55       0.65         Incerden(1,2,3-ce)pyrese       (mg/kg)       1.2       0.75       0.6       1.2         Berzo(b)huoranthese       (mg/kg)       1.3       0.71       1.3       0.8       0.0         Depth(inches)       0.0       6       -12       0.8       0.0       0.6       0.0         Berzo(b)huoranthese       (mg/kg)       1.3       0.4       0.8       0.0       0.0         Depth(inches)       0.6       0.6       -12       0.8       0.0       0.0       0.0 <t< td=""><td></td><td>Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene (mg/kg) 0.48 J 0.47 U</td><td>NYSDEC</td></t<>		Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene (mg/kg) 0.48 J 0.47 U	NYSDEC
$\frac{P_{D}-T_{c}-R_{B}-M_{B}}{\frac{P_{D}-T_{c}-R_{B}$		Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene (mg/kg)   1.3 J   0.71 J	PAH Compound Table 375-6:
$\frac{P_{D} - T - E - L_B - MB}{Depth((nches)} \frac{P_{D} - T - E - B - MB}{(0 - 6)} \frac{P_{D} - T - 5 - B - MH}{(0 - 6)} P_{D$	PDI-T-6-LB-MB		
PDI-T-6-LB-MB       Depth(Inches)       (0 - 6)       (6 - 12)       All Analytes       No Exceedances       According to the constraint of the	TRANSECT 0	PDI-T-5-RB-MB	Acenaphthene 20
Depth(Inches)       (0 - 6)       (6 - 12)         Benzo(c)onthracene (mg/kg)       11       0.59       J         Benzo(c)intracene (mg/kg)       12       0.65       J         Miden(1,2,3-col)gymen (mg/kg)       0.55       J         Depth(Inches)       (0 - 6)       (6 - 12)         Benzo(c)intracene (mg/kg)       1.1       0.59         Depth(Inches)       (0 - 6)       (6 - 12)         Benzo(c)prene (mg/kg)       1.5       0.6         Depth(Inches)       (0 - 6)       (6 - 12)         Benzo(c)prene (mg/kg)       1.1       0.3         Benzo(c)prene (mg/kg)       1.3       1.4       0.86         Benzo(c)prene (mg/kg)       1.3       0.64       1.1       0.71         Benzo(c)prene (mg/kg)       0.55       0.65       0.66       1.3       0.81       1.4         Benzo(c)prene (mg/kg)       0.55       0.65       0.66       1.1       0.71       1.1       0.13       1.1       0.8         Benzo(c)prene (mg/kg)       0.55       0.65       0.66       1.2       0.5       0.66       1.2       0.5       0.66       1.2       0.5       0.66       1.2       0.5       0.66       1.6       1.2	PDI-T-6-LB-MB	$\frac{\text{Depth(Inches)}}{\text{Depth(Inches)}} (0 - 6) (6 - 12)$	Acenaphthylene 100
SVOCs       PDI-T-5-R8-MHW.         Benzo(a)onthracene (mg/kg)       1.2       0.65 J         Indenc(1,2,3-cd)pyrene (mg/kg)       1.2       0.65 J         Benzo(b)fluoranthene (mg/kg)       1.2       0.65 J         Benzo(b)fluoranthene (mg/kg)       1.2       0.65 J         Benzo(b)fluoranthene (mg/kg)       1.2       0.67 J         Benzo(b)fluoranthene (mg/kg)       1.3       1.4 J         Benzo(b)fluoranthene (mg/kg)       1.3       0.81 J       I.4 J         Benzo(b)fluoranthene (mg/kg)       1.3       0.81 J       I.4 J         Benzo(b)fluoranthene (mg/kg)       0.85 J       I.1 J       I.8 J         Benzo(c)fluoranthene (mg/kg)       0.65 J       0.65 J       0.6 - 12 J         Benzo(b)fluoranthene (mg/kg)       0.63 J       0.7 J       I.1 J       I.8 J         Chrysene (mg/kg)       0.65 J       0.66 J       1.0 J       I.8 J       I.8 J       I.4	Depth(Inches) $(0 - 6) (6 - 12)$	All Analytes   No Exceedances   No Exceedances	Anthracene 100
Benza(c) Juntracene (mg/kg)       1.1       0.59 J Indeno(1,2,3-od)pyrene (mg/kg)       0.65 J 0.55 J       0.627 J         PDI-T-7-RB-MB       Depth(Inches)       (0 - 6)       (6 - 12) SVOCs       SVOCs       Benza(b) Junranthene (mg/kg)       1.2       0.078 J         Benza(b) Junranthene (mg/kg)       1.0 J       1.1 J       1.8 J         Benza(b) Junranthene (mg/kg)       1.1 J       1.8 J         Benza(b) Junranthene (mg/kg)       1.2 J       0.078 J         SVOCs       Depth(Inches)       (0 - 6)       (6 - 12) SVOCs       Benza(b) Junranthene (mg/kg)       1.2 J         Benza(b) Junranthene (mg/kg)       1.0 J       1.1 J       1.8 J       Benza(c) Junranthene (mg/kg)       1.3 J       1.4 J         Benza(c) Junranthene (mg/kg)       1.0 J       1.1 J       1.8 J       Benza(c) Junranthene (mg/kg)       1.3 J       1.4 J       0.88 J       J.4 J         Benza(c) Junranthene (mg/kg)       0.65 J       0.65 J       0.66 J       0.66 - 12)       SVOCs       SV	SVOCs		Benzo(a)anthracene 1
betrac(0)/Indendineter (Ing/kg)       1.2       0.25       0.27       J         inderec(1,2,3-cd)pyrene (mg/kg)       0.55       0.27       J	Benzo(a)anthracene (mg/kg) 1.1 0.59 J	PDI-T-5-RB-MHWL	Benzo(a)pyrene 1
PDI-T-7-RB-MB       PDI-T-7-RB-MB       Berzc(b)fluoranthene (mg/kg)       1.2       0.078 J         Benzc(b)fluoranthene (mg/kg)       0.91 J (1.0 J 1.8 J       Benzc(b)fluoranthene (mg/kg)       1.2       0.078 J         Benzc(b)fluoranthene (mg/kg)       0.91 J (1.0 J 1.8 J       Benzc(b)fluoranthene (mg/kg)       1.1 J 0.71 J (1.1 J)       Benzc(b)fluoranthene (mg/kg)       1.3 J 0.81 J (1.4 J)         Benzc(b)fluoranthene (mg/kg)       0.95 J (1.1 J)       1.7 J       1.1 J 0.71 J (1.1 J)       Benzc(b)fluoranthene (mg/kg)       1.3 J 0.81 J (1.4 J)         Benzc(b)fluoranthene (mg/kg)       0.60 J 0.68 J (1.0 J)       PDI-T-6-RB-MHWL       Dibenze(a,h)anthracene (1.2, 3-cd)pyrene (mg/kg)       1.1 J 0.71 J (1.1 J)         Depth(Inches)       0.60 J 0.68 J (1.0 J)       PDI-T-6-RB-MHWL       Dibenze(a,h)anthracene (1.2, 3-cd)pyrene (	Benzo(b) indorantinene (mg/kg) $1.2$ $0.65$ J	$\frac{\text{Depth(Inches)}}{\text{SVOCs}} = \frac{(0-6)(6-12)}{(0-6)}$	Benzo(b)fluoranthene 1
PDI-T-7-RB-MB       PDI-T-7-RB-MB         Dapth(Inches)       (0 - 6)       (6 - 12)         SVO2s       PDI-T-6-RB-MB         Benzo(a)anthracene (mg/kg)       1.3       1.4         Benzo(k)fluoranthene (mg/kg)       1.5       2.2         Benzo(k)fluoranthene (mg/kg)       0.55       10.65         0.35       1.1       1.7         Benzo(k)fluoranthene (mg/kg)       0.55       10.65         0.35       1.1       1.7         Dapth(Inches)       (0 - 6)       (6 - 12)         SVO2s       Benzo(k)fluoranthene (mg/kg)       1.5       0.85       1.4         Depth(Inches)       (0 - 6)       (6 - 12)       Naphthalene       100         Fluorene       100       1.5       2.2       Naphthalene       100         Indeno(1.2,3-cd)pyrene (mg/kg)       1.5       2.2       Naphthalene       100         Pyrene       100       1.5       2.2       Benzo(c)fluoranthene (mg/kg)       1.5       2.2         SVO2s       Benzo(a)pyrene (mg/kg)       1.5       2.2       Benzo(c)fluoranthene (mg/kg)       1.4       Depth(nches)         SVO2s       Benzo(c)pyrene (mg/kg)       1.7       2.5       J       Benzo(c)pyrene (mg/kg)		Benzo(b)fluoranthene (ma/ka) 1.2 0.078 J	Benzo(g,h,i)perylene 100
PDI-T-7-R8-M8         Depth(Inches)       (0 - 6)       (6 - 12)         SVOCs       Depth(Inches)       (0 - 6)       (6 - 12)         Benzo(a)pyrene (mg/kg)       1.0 J [1.1 J]       1.8 J       Depth(Inches)       (0 - 6)       (6 - 12)         Benzo(k)fluoranthene (mg/kg)       0.55 J [0.65 J]       0.96 J       J       Depth(Inches)       (0 - 6)       (6 - 12)         Benzo(k)fluoranthene (mg/kg)       0.55 J [0.65 J]       0.96 J       J       Depth(Inches)       (0 - 6)       (6 - 12)         Menzo(h)fuoranthene (mg/kg)       0.65 J [0.66 J]       0.96 J       J <thj< th=""></thj<>			Benzo(k)fluoranthene 0.8
Depth(inches)       IOI-1	PDI-T-7-RB-MB	CONTRACTOR OF THE OWNER OF	Chrysene 1
SVOCs       PDI-T-O-NO-MD         Benzo(a)onthracene (mg/kg)       0.91 J [1.0 J [1.1 J]       1.8 J         Benzo(b)fluoranthene (mg/kg)       1.3 [1.4 J]       2.2         Benzo(b)fluoranthene (mg/kg)       1.3 [1.4 J]       2.2         Benzo(b)fluoranthene (mg/kg)       0.95 J [.65 J]       0.65 J]       0.66 J         Chrysene (mg/kg)       0.95 J [.1 J]       1.7 J       1.1 J       0.71 J       1.4 J         Benzo(b)fluoranthene (mg/kg)       0.66 J [0.68 J]       1.0 J       Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene (mg/kg)       0.71 J       0.43 J       0.79 J         Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene (mg/kg)       0.66 J [0.66 - 12)       SvOCs       SvOCs       Naphthalene       12         Photonenthene (mg/kg)       0.66 J [0.66 J]       1.0 J       Fluoranthene (mg/kg)       0.71 J       0.43 J       0.79 J         Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene (mg/kg)       0.66 J [0.66 - 12)       SvOCs       SvOCs       Point-F-6-RB-MHWL         Depth(Inches)       (0 - 6) (6 - 12)       SvOCs       SvOCs       Benzo(a)onthracene (mg/kg)       1.5 J       2.2 J         Benzo(a)onthracene (mg/kg)       1.8 1.4       Benzo(a)onthracene (mg/kg)       1.7 J       2.5 J       Benzo(a)onthracene (mg/kg)       1.4 J         Benzo(a)onthracene (mg/kg)       1.8 1.4       Benzo(a	Depth(Inches) $(0-6)$ $(6-12)$		Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene 0.33
Benzo(a)onthracene (mg/kg)       1.0 J       1.8 J         Benzo(a)pyrene (mg/kg)       1.0 J       1.1 J       1.8 J         Benzo(b)fluoranthene (mg/kg)       1.3 [1.4 J]       2.2         Benzo(k)fluoranthene (mg/kg)       0.55 J       0.65 J       0.96 J         Chrysene (mg/kg)       0.95 J       1.1 J       1.7 J       1.1 J       1.8 J         Benzo(k)fluoranthene (mg/kg)       0.66 J       0.66 J       0.68 J       1.0 J         Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene (mg/kg)       0.60 J       0.68 J       1.0 J         PDI-T-7-RB-MHWL       Depth(Inches)       (0 - 6)       (6 - 12)         SVOCs       Benzo(a)pyrene (mg/kg)       1.8       1.4         Benzo(a)pyrene (mg/kg)       1.8       1.4       0.88 J       1.5 J       2.2 J         Benzo(a)pyrene (mg/kg)       0.61 (6 - 12)       SVOCs       0.61 (6 - 12)       Pole-748-MHWL       Pole-748-MHWL         Depth(Inches)       (0 - 6) (6 - 12)       Benzo(a)pyrene (mg/kg)       1.5 J       2.2 J       Benzo(a)pyrene (mg/kg)       1.5 J       2.2 J         Benzo(a)pyrene (mg/kg)       1.8       1.4       Benzo(a)pyrene (mg/kg)       2.3 J       3.3 J       Benzo(a)pyrene (mg/kg)       2.3 J       3.3 J         Benzo(a)pyrene (mg/kg)	SVOCs	Depth(Inches) $(0 - 6) (6 - 12)$	Fluoranthene 100
Benzo(d)pyrene (mg/kg)       1.0 J       1.8 J       Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene (mg/kg)       1.1 J       0.71 J       [1.1 J]         Benzo(k)fluoranthene (mg/kg)       1.3 [1 4 J]       2.2         Benzo(k)fluoranthene (mg/kg)       0.55 J       [0.65 J]       0.96 J         Chrysene (mg/kg)       0.60 J       [0.68 J]       1.0 J         PDI-T-7-RB-MHWL       PDI-T-2RB-MHWL       PDI-T-2RB-MHWL       PDI-T-6-RB-MHWL         Depth(Inches)       (0 - 6)       (6 - 12)       SVOCs       Benzo(a)pyrene (mg/kg)       1.5 J       2.2 J         Benzo(a)pyrene (mg/kg)       1.8       1.4       Benzo(a)pyrene (mg/kg)       1.7 J       2.5 J         Benzo(a)pyrene (mg/kg)       1.8       1.4       Benzo(a)pyrene (mg/kg)       1.7 J       2.5 J         Benzo(a)pyrene (mg/kg)       1.8       1.4       Benzo(a)pyrene (mg/kg)       1.7 J       2.5 J         Benzo(a)pyrene (mg/kg)       1.8       1.4       Benzo(k)fluoranthene (mg/kg)       2.3 J       3.3 J         Benzo(a)pyrene (mg/kg)       1.8       1.4       Benzo(k)fluoranthene (mg/kg)       0.99 J       1.4 J	Benzo(a)anthracene (mg/kg) 0.91 J [1.0 J] 1.8 J	SVOCs	Fluorene 30
Benzo(k)fluoranthene (mg/kg)       1.5       1.7       1.7       1.1       1.4       J       Benzo(k)fluoranthene (mg/kg)       1.7       J       1.1       J       1.8       J       Dept frame       Dept frame <thdept frame<="" th="">       Dept frame       Dept</thdept>	Benzo(d)pyrene (mg/kg) 1.0 J [1.1 J] 1.8 J Benzo(b)fluoranthene (mg/kg) 1.3 [1.4 J] 2.2	Benzo(a)anthracene (mg/kg) 1.1 J 0.71 J [1.1 J]	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene 0.5
Chrysene (mg/kg)       0.95 J       1.1 J       1.7 J       PDI-T-7-RB-MHWL         Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene (mg/kg)       0.60 J       0.68 J       1.0 J         PDI-T-7-RB-MHWL       PDI-T-6-RB-MHWL       PDI-T-6-RB-MHWL       PDI-T-6-RB-MHWL         Depth(Inches)       (0 - 6)       (6 - 12)         SVOCs       Benzo(a)anthracene (mg/kg)       1.7 J       2.5 J         Benzo(a)anthracene (mg/kg)       1.8       1.4         Benzo(a)anthracene (mg/kg)       1.8       1.4         Benzo(a)anthracene (mg/kg)       1.8       1.4         Benzo(b)fluoranthene (mg/kg)       0.99 J       1.4 J	Benzo(k)fluoranthene (mg/kg)         0.55 J [0.65 J]         0.96 J	$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Naphthalene 12
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene (mg/kg)       0.60 J [0.68 J] 1.0 J         Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene (mg/kg)       0.71 J       0.43 J [0.79 J]         PDI-T-7-RB-MHWL       PDI-T-6-RB-MHWL         Depth(Inches)       (0 - 6) (6 - 12)         SVOCs       Benzo(a)anthracene (mg/kg)       1.7 J       2.5 J         Benzo(a)anthracene (mg/kg)       1.8       1.4         Benzo(a)pyrene (mg/kg)       1.8       1.4         Benzo(a)pyrene (mg/kg)       0.99 J       1.4 J	Chrysene (mg/kg) 0.95 J [1.1 J] 1.7 J	$\begin{bmatrix} \text{Benzo(b) fluorantnene (mg/kg)} & 1.7 & 1.1 & 1.8 & J \\ \hline \text{Chrysene (mg/kg)} & 1.4 & 0.88 & 1 & 1.4 & J \\ \end{bmatrix}$	Phenanthrene 100
PDI-T-7-RB-MHWL       PDI-T-6-RB-MHWL         Depth(Inches)       (0 - 6) (6 - 12)         SVOCs       0         Benzo(a)anthracene (mg/kg)       1.8         Benzo(a)pyrene (mg/kg)       1.8         1.8       1.4         Benzo(a)pyrene (mg/kg)       1.8         1.8       1.4	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene (mg/kg) 0.60 J [0.68 J] 1.0 J	[Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene (mg/kg) 0.71 J 0.43 J [0.79 J]	Pyrene 100
Benzo(b)fluoranthene (mg/kg) 2.2 1.7 Constant Co	PDI-T-7-RB-MHWL       PDI-T-7-LB-MHWL         Depth(Inches)       (0 - 6)       (6 - 12)         SVOCs	$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	

	0.00 0	0.72 0
Chrysene (mg/kg)	1.8	1.5
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene (mg/k	g) 0.34 J	0.27 J
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene (mg/k	g) 0.99 J	0.82 J

### Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene (mg/kg) 0.98 J 1.3 J

PDI-T-7-I B-MHWI			
Depth(Inches)	(0 - 6)	(6 - 12)	
SVOCs			
Benzo(a)anthracene (mg/kg)	2.9 J	1.7	
Benzo(a)pyrene (mg/kg)	3.4 J	1.7	
Benzo(b)fluoranthene (mg/kg)	4.6 J	2.1	
Benzo(k)fluoranthene (mg/kg)	1.9 J	0.90 J	
Chrysene (mg/kg)	3.5 J	1.8	
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene (mg/kg)	2.0 J	0.88 J	

	PDI-T-7-LB-MB			
	Depth(Inches)	(0 - 6)	(6 - 12)	
	SVOCs			
	Benzo(a)pyrene (mg/kg)	1.1 J	1.1 J	
Ч	Benzo(b)fluoranthene (mg/kg)	1.5 J	1.3 J	
	Chrysene (mg/kg)	1.2 J	1.1 J	
	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene (mg/kg)	0.59 J	0.53 J	



C:\Users\mwasilewski\ACCDocs\Arcadis\AUS-NATIONAL GRID-GLOVERSVILLE FORMER MGP-GLOVERSVILLE New York\Project Files\2021\01-In Progress\01-DWG\PDIR-FIG10 EXCAVATION AND NBW.DWG LAYOUT: 10 SAVED: 8/25/2021 2:08 PM ACADVER: 23.1S (LMS TECH) PAGESETUP: ---- PLOTSTYLETABLE: ---- PLOTTED: 8/25/2021 2:09 PM BY: WASILEWSKI, MATT



### LEGEND:

- A PDI DIRECT-PUSH BORING
- PDI CREEK BORING
- PDI GEOTECHNICAL BORING
- PDI BORING SELECTED FOR SVOC SOIL SAMPLING
- PDI BORING LOCATIONS WITH VISUALLY OBSERVED NAPL
- PDI MONITORING WELL
- PDI STAFF GAUGE
- PZ-434 **PREVIOUS MONITORING WELL**
- PZ-279 PREVIOUS PIEZOMETER

### NOTES:

- 1. ALL ELEVATIONS ARE BASED ON NATIONAL GEODETIC VERTICAL DATUM OF 1929.
- 2. THE NATIONAL GRID PROPERTY LINE SHOWN IS APPROXIMATE.
- 3. ALL LOCATIONS ARE APPROXIMATE.
- 4. BASEMAP PREPARED FROM ELECTRONIC SURVEY FILE PROVIDED BY NIAGARA MOHAWK ON 7/23/01. PRIMARY SURVEY CONTROL FOR PHOTOGRAMMETIC MAPPING WAS ESTABLISHED FROM AN ON THE GROUND SURVEY, PERFORMED ON JULY 28, 1994 USING THE GLOBAL POSITIONING SYSTEM (GPS) AND CONFORMS TO ORDER 2 CLASS 2 FGCS ACCURACY SPECIFICATIONS. THE HORIZONTAL REFERENCE DATUM NORTH AMERICAN DATUM OF 1983 (NAD 83) ON REFERENCE ELLIPSOID ASSOCIATED WITH THE GEODETIC REFERENCE SYSTEM OF 1980 (GRS 80). THE COORDINATES ARE BASED ON THE NEW YORK STATE PLANE GEODETIC SYSTEM OF 1983 (EASTERN ZONE 3101).





C:\Users\mwasilewski\ACCDocs\Arcadis\AUS-NATIONAL GRID-GLOVERSVILLE FORMER MGP-GLOVERSVILLE New York\Project Files\2021\01-In Progress\01-DWG\PDIR-FIG11 SILT SURFACE.dwg LAYOUT: 11 SAVED: 8/25/2021 2:59 PM ACADVER: 23.1S (LMS TECH) PAGESETUP: ---- PLOTSTYLETABLE: ---- PLOTTED: 8/25/2021 3:00 PM BY: WASILEWSKI, MATT

C:\Users\mwasilewski\ACCDocs\Arcadis\AUS-NATIONAL GRID-GLOVERSVILLE FORMER MGP-GLOVERSVILLE New York\Project Files\2021\01-In Progress\01-DWG\PDIR-FIG12 ISOPACH SILT UNIT.dwg LAYOUT: 12 SAVED: 8/25/2021 3:03 PM ACADVER: 23.1S (LMS TECH) PAGESETUP: ---PLOTSTYLETABLE: ---- PLOTTED: 8/25/2021 3:03 PM BY: WASILEWSKI, MATT



XREFS: IMAGES: RDWP-X-BASEMAP GB-48 GB-16 SB-216 **RDWP-X-CONTOURS** • SB-412 15 RDWP-X-UTILS-1 PDIR-X-TITLE-DP 16 GB-63 10.5 SB-233 SB-257 SB-207  $\times$ SB-20 22 8 16 15 SB-258 **GB-36** GB-75 PZ-291 7 14.5 6 SB-232 PDI-MW-1 15 • PZ-290 DDB-4 69 10 76 GB-9.5 N + 20 SB-261 SB-256 PDI-MW-2 SB-231 SB-244 PDI-GTB-1 11.5 PDI-DPB-1 NĀ SB-253 SB-254 PDI-DPB-2(SO) GB-49 SB-267 SB-255 SB-205 17 9 SB-209 9 PDI-DPB-2 SB-206 SB-297 GB-18 16 10 2 12 28 SB-251 MW-15 る MW-151 16 PDI-GTB-2 SB-262 GB-17 SB-247 SB-265 SB-4 ter SB-264 PDI-MW-3 16 SB-249 19.5 PDI-DPB-3 20 20 SB-268 PDI-DPB-20 SB-410 0 SB-418 18 SB-248 6 /W-9I SB-425 ● SB-411 ● GB-62 DI-DPB-4 GB-51 PDI-CRB-1 PDI-GTB-3 SB-416 SB-287 SB-288 PDI-CRB-10/11(SO) SB-278 0  $\mathbf{0}$ い PDI-DPB-5 7.25 PDI-CRB-12 5 V 19 PDI-CRB-10 PDI-DPB-6 PDI-CRB-9(SO) **GB-39** SB-289\_ 8 PDI-CRB-10/11(SO-2) SB-419 13 SB-426 -CRB-9 SB-427 SB-277 GB-53 🔴 MW-191 SB-420 PDI-MW-4 GB-57 PDI-CRB-8 PDI-DPB-7 7.5 SB-429 SΒ-B SB-428 PDI-GTB-4 SB-276 ~~ PDI-MW-5 4 PDI-CRB-7(SO) 13.5 PDI-CRB-7 4 MW-16S PDI-MW-6 STR PDI-DPB-9 PDI-GTB-5 10.0 11 GB-56 ĢB-60 **SB-431** NA GB-78 PDI-CRB-6 • SB-432 SB-430 6 PDI-GTB-7 PDI-DPB-10 0.5 SB-443 10 8 MW-17I PDI-GTB-6 SB-224 10-

C:\Users\mwasilewski\ACCDocs\Arcadis\AUS-NATIONAL GRID-GLOVERSVILLE FORMER MGP-GLOVERSVILLE New York\Project Files\2021\01-In Progress\01-DWG\PDIR-FIG13 DEPTH TO T-O SILT.dwg LAYOUT: 13 SAVED: 8/25/2021 4:05 PM ACADVER: 23.1S (LMS TECH) PAGESETUP: ---- PLOTSTYLETABLE: ---- PLOTTED: 8/25/2021 4:06 PM BY: WASILEWSKI, MATT





Supplemental PDI Correspondence

### NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL CONSERVATION

Division of Environmental Remediation, Remedial Bureau C 625 Broadway, 12th Floor, Albany, NY 12233-7014 P: (518) 402-9662 I F: (518) 402-9679 www.dec.ny.gov

March 3, 2022

### Transmitted Via E-mail ONLY

Mr. Steven Dilella Project Manager Nation Grid 300 Erie Boulevard, West A-3 Syracuse, NY 13202 Steven.Dilella@nationalgrid.com

Reference:National Grid, GloversvilleHill Street former MGP siteSite Id: 518021Subject:Draft Pre-Design Investigation Report, January 2022

Dear Mr. Dilella,

The New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (the Department) in consultation with New York State Department of Health (DOH) has received and reviewed Pre-Design Investigation Report dated January 2022 prepared by Arcadis on behalf of National Grid. The Department is requesting that the draft PDI report be modified to address below comments:

- 1. Section 2.3.3 Cayadutta Creek borings: Please confirm 31 direct push soil borings were installed in the creek, only 29 are described in the text.
- 2. Section 2.3.6 Fluid level monitoring: The text indicates that non-aqueous phase liquid (NAPL) was observed in well MW-15S. The extent of NAPL around MW-15S will need to be delineated. Consequently, the boundary of the NAPL containing area will need to be revised and addressed in the remedial design.
- 3. Section 2.4.2 Creek Reconnaissance: Please provide the creek characteristics data collected during the reconnaissance including any field notes, photographs taken to record the potential impacts (e.g. NAPL, sheen, odor etc.). Channel dimensions, Mean high water level (MHWL) indicators, and any data not captured in Figures 4-8, should be provided. Additionally, any data associated with the delineated MHWL, as described in Section 2.4.4, should be provided (e.g., coordinates of MHWL flagging if available, any photographs taken).
- 4. Section 3.3.5 Proposed Cayadutta Creek Remedial Action: In the Remedial Design Workplan (RDWP), poly-cyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAH)



fingerprinting was not proposed or approved for bank soils. Further, as stated in the RDWP, the fingerprinting analysis was performed to determine if sediment PAHs are above background concentrations, which is a condition in the Record of Decision (ROD) for remediation of sediments only. Therefore, PAH fingerprinting of bank soils is not supported by the ROD and should not be used to determine the extent of bank soil removal. The extent of bank soil removal should be determined by the criteria provided in the ROD (i.e., gross contamination, PAH concentrations above unrestricted soil cleanup objectives [SCOs]).

Note that PAHs exceed unrestricted SCOs at the most downstream bank soil Transect 18, and so additional downstream bank soil delineation is needed.

- 5. Table 5: Please highlight the constituents exceeding groundwater standards.
- 6. Table 6: Please highlight the compounds detected and exceeded applicable SOCs.
- 7. Figure 4: It appears that the labels for R1-PDI-SED-7DEP, R1-PDI-SED-8RR, and R2-PDI-SED-9DEP are incorrectly placed. Please correct this. Per the October 13, 2020, comments from the Department (shown in Appendix F), sample R2-PDI-SED-9DEP was moved so that it was not located directly downstream of outfall OF-9. However, in Figure 4, the sample appears to be located directly downstream of the outfall again. Please confirm the location of this sample. If it was taken directly downstream of the outfall, it should be removed from the dataset and the background concentration should be recalculated without it.
- 8. Figure 6: Per the ROD, sediment must be removed if it is grossly contaminated, sheen-producing, or containing PAH concentrations greater than background. Between Transects 11 and 16, sediments are attributed to the site and sheens are produced along the eastern bank during probing. However, only a few small depositional areas are proposed for sediment remediation. All sheen-producing sediments in this area should be remediated, according to the ROD.
- 9. Figure 9: In the RDWP, 8 bank soil transects were proposed. Please provide an explanation for why only 6 transects were completed. It appears the western bank samples from transects 13 and 14 are missing.
- 10. Appendix H: Sediment Background and Forensic Evaluation: General comment: The number, location, and depths of the impacted upland soil samples were not proposed or approved in the RDWP. It is unclear how these sample locations and depths were chosen. The number of impacted upland soil samples (n = 4) is low and the depths of most samples were deep (>6 ft), compared to the surface samples taken from the creek. While these samples

may be used in this analysis, direct comparisons between creek samples and these impacted upland soil samples should be avoided.

- 11. Appendix H, Section 2.2 Evaluation Techniques: Setting non-detects to 0 may incorrectly bias the analyses. Using a value one-half the detection limit is more appropriate. There are also more sophisticated statistical techniques that can be employed to generate a proxy ND value. Also, include the table showing ratio calculations.
- 12. Appendix H, Section 3.1 Background Evaluation: Per the RDWP, outliers were supposed to be calculated as any value greater than the 75<sup>th</sup> percentile plus 1.5 times the interquartile range or less than the 25<sup>th</sup> percentile minus 1.5 times the interquartile range. Please redo the outlier determination using this methodology and recalculate the background concentration.

Additionally, per the RDWP, the 90<sup>th</sup> percentile was supposed to be used as the background concentration, not the 95<sup>th</sup> percentile. Please recalculate the background concentration using the correct methodology and show the calculations performed to derive the percentiles.

13. Appendix H, Conclusions: If multiple PAH sources are present, particularly in Reach 5, is there confidence that the site is not one of these sources? It is unclear whether PAHs in the downstream reaches are not attributed to the site at all or if the PAH signatures suggest mixed sources, including the site. Please clarify this.

In accordance with the Order on Consent and 6NYCRR 375-1.6(d), please indicate within 15 days whether you will modify the PDI report and submit modified report within 30 days of the date of this letter. Along with the revised PDI report and itemized response, also please submit the redline and strikeout version of the document to show the revisions made to address above comments. If you have any questions, please contact me at 518-402-9662 or write to me at parag.amin@dec.ny.gov.

Sincerely,

Parag Amin Project Manager

ec: J. Brien, Arcadis (<u>Jason.Brien@arcadis.com</u>) K. Pochini, DFW, DEC (<u>katherine.pochini@dec.ny.gov</u>) G. Rys, DOH (<u>gregory.rys@health.ny.gov</u>) M. Schuck, DOH (<u>maureen.schuck@health.ny.gov</u>) K. Carpenter, DER (<u>kevin.carpenter@dec.ny.gov</u>) DecDocs



Mr. Parag Amin Project Manager New York State Department of Environmental Conservation Division of Environmental Remediation, Remedial Bureau C 625 Broadway, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor Albany, NY 12233-7014

Date: March 18, 2022 Our Ref: 30044656 Subject: National Grid Gloversville (Hill St.) former MGP Site Draft Pre-Design Investigation Report Arcadis of New York, Inc. One Lincoln Center 110 West Fayette Street Suite 300 Syracuse New York 13202 Phone: 315 446 9120 Fax: 315 449 0017 www.arcadis.com

Dear Mr. Amin,

Arcadis has prepared this letter on behalf of National Grid providing responses to New York State Department of Environmental Conservation's (NYSDEC's) March 3, 2022 letter providing comments on the Draft Pre-design Investigation Letter Report for the Gloversville (Hill St.) former Manufactured Gas Plant Site in Gloversville, New York.

NYSDEC's comments are presented below followed by National Grid's responses.

**Comment 1. Section 2.3.3 Cayadutta Creek borings:** Please confirm 31 direct push soil borings were installed in the creek, only 29 are described in the text.

#### National Grid Response:

Twenty-nine (29) direct-push borings were drilled in the creek. Section 2.3.3 of the PDI Report will be revised accordingly.

**Comment 2. Section 2.3.6 Fluid level monitoring:** The text indicates that non-aqueous phase liquid (NAPL) was observed in well MW-15S. The extent of NAPL around MW-15S will need to be delineated. Consequently, the boundary of the NAPL containing area will need to be revised and addressed in the remedial design.

#### National Grid Response:

The PDI Report text indicates the presence of NAPL at MW-15S; however, previous groundwater sampling events conducted at this well show that groundwater from this well does not contain site related constituents at concentrations exceeding the NYSDEC drinking water standards presented in the Technical and Operational Guidance Series Memorandum 1.1.1 (refer to the 2016 Remedial Investigation Report). We believe the NAPL measurements presented in the PDI Report may be erroneous. National Grid proposes to collect a groundwater sample from this well to confirm/refute the presence of NAPL. The sample will be analyzed for Target Compound List (TCL) Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs) and TCL Semi-Volatile Organic Compounds (SVOCs). The PDI Report will be revised accordingly based on the results of the sampling event.

**Comment 3. Section 2.4.2 Creek Reconnaissance:** Please provide the creek characteristics data collected during the reconnaissance including any field notes, photographs taken to record the potential impacts (e.g. NAPL, sheen, odor etc.). Channel dimensions, Mean high water level (MHWL) indicators, and any data not captured in Figures 4-8, should be provided. Additionally, any data associated with the delineated MHWL, as described in Section 2.4.4, should be provided (e.g., coordinates of MHWL flagging if available, any photographs taken).

### National Grid Response:

Arcadis will upload available field notes, photographs, and coordinates via NYSDEC's file transfer service. We will notify Mr. Parag Amin when the files have been uploaded.

Arcadis performed a Cayadutta Creek MHWL assessment on January 21, 2021. MHWL determination was recorded every 75 feet in the upper portion of Reach 3, every 100 feet in the lower portion of Reach 3, and every 200 feet in Reaches 1, 2, 4 and 5. This corresponded to delineation of MHWL at each of the established transect locations to support bank soil sampling from T11 to T18. MHWL determination was made on both banks at each transect. Visual indicators on the banks were determined consistent with the definition from 6 NYCRR Part 608.1. The MHWL is defined as the level for a given body of water at a given location that distinguishes between predominantly aquatic and predominantly terrestrial habitat. These include known hydrological data on recurrence intervals for the water body, vegetation characteristics (e.g., location, presence, absence, or destruction of terrestrial or aquatic vegetation), and physical characteristics (e.g., clear natural line impressed on a bank, scouring, shelving, or the presence of sediments, litter or debris).

Arcadis field staff met with NYSDEC oversight to discuss the MHWL activities being conducted. NYSDEC staff (Mr. Parag Amin) observed the assessment of the MHWL along the initial stretch of shoreline of near T11 and T12. Elevations relative to existing flow conditions and general delineation notes were made at each location. Clear vegetation distinctions were made on natural banks and physical evaluation of indentations and stone stain lines were made on banks influenced by man-made rock wall retaining structures. Arcadis MHWL elevations were marked on the bank with pin flags to support formal survey and aid in collection of future bank sampling. Arcadis will provide MHWL flagging coordinates in the files uploaded to the NYSDEC's file transfer service.

**Comment 4. Section 3.3.5 Proposed Cayadutta Creek Remedial Action:** In the Remedial Design Workplan (RDWP), poly-cyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAH) fingerprinting was not proposed or approved for bank soils. Further, as stated in the RDWP, the fingerprinting analysis was performed to determine if sediment PAHs are above background concentrations, which is a condition in the Record of Decision (ROD) for remediation of sediments only. Therefore, PAH fingerprinting of bank soils is not supported by the ROD and should not be used to determine the extent of bank soil removal. The extent of bank soil removal should be determined by the criteria provided in the ROD (i.e., gross contamination, PAH concentrations above unrestricted soil cleanup objectives [SCOs]).

Note that PAHs exceed unrestricted SCOs at the most downstream bank soil Transect 18, and so additional downstream bank soil delineation is needed.

#### National Grid Response:

Bank soils include sediment deposited when the creek was at a higher stage. Total PAH16 bank soil concentrations are all less than sediment background concentrations. The forensic evaluation provides further support that urban background is the source of bank soil PAHs and not the former MGP site.

Although the ROD indicates that bank soils exceeding Unrestricted Use SCOs require remediation, there needs to be a mechanism to distinguish site-related PAHs from PAHs attributed to urban runoff so that National Grid is not remediating bank soils attributed to other (background) sources. The forensic evaluation of bank soils was performed to distinguish site-related PAHs from background PAHs.

Comment 5. Table 5: Please highlight the constituents exceeding groundwater standards.

### National Grid Response:

Table 5 of the PDI Report will be revised accordingly.

Comment 6. Table 6: Please highlight the compounds detected and exceeded applicable SOCs.

### National Grid Response:

Table 6 of the PDI Report will be revised to include a column for the soil cleanup criteria defined in the Record of Decision (ROD).

**Comment 7. Figure 4:** It appears that the labels for R1-PDI-SED-7DEP, R1-PDI-SED-8RR, and R2-PDI-SED-9DEP are incorrectly placed. Please correct this. Per the October 13, 2020, comments from the Department (shown in Appendix F), sample R2-PDI-SED-9DEP was moved so that it was not located directly downstream of outfall OF-9. However, in Figure 4, the sample appears to be located directly downstream of the outfall again. Please confirm the location of this sample. If it was taken directly downstream of the outfall, it should be removed from the dataset and the background concentration should be recalculated without it.

#### National Grid Response:

Figure 4 of the PDI Report will be revised to reflect the correct sediment sample IDs. The location of the sandbar sediment sample downstream from OF-9 is correctly depicted on Figure 4. Arcadis did not identify sufficient fine-grained sediment at the proposed sampling location. Therefore, the location was moved downstream from OF-9 on the western edge of the sandbar. This location was selected based on:

- OF-9 contained no effluent and no obvious indication of containing effluent (see attached photo).
- OF-9 appears to be an old defunct drain for the dilapidated remnant stone and mortar wall along the east bank. Due to the condition of the wall, it is likely that water moves freely through the wall and no longer through the pipe.
- Stream water moves past the sandbar on both the west and east sides of the sandbar, therefore, any potential effluent that could come from the pipe should move past the east side of the bar under normal stream flow conditions.

National Grid believes this location is representative of upstream background conditions and is not likely influenced by OF-9; however, if NYSDEC disagrees, National Grid will remove the location from the background calculation or attempt resampling the location upstream from OF-9.

**Comment 8. Figure 6:** Per the ROD, sediment must be removed if it is grossly contaminated, sheenproducing, or containing PAH concentrations greater than background. Between Transects 11 and 16, sediments are attributed to the site and sheens are produced along the eastern bank during probing. However, only a few small depositional areas are proposed for sediment remediation. All sheen-producing sediments in this area should be remediated, according to the ROD.

### National Grid Response:

The sheen-producing depositional areas proposed for remediation were selected as they likely contain a larger mass of site-related constituents entrained in the sediments and that the mass could remain in the deposits after the upland soils are removed from the site. The sheen observed along the edge of water is interpreted to be minor accumulation and transient in nature. It is reasonable to assume that this sheen will dissipate and naturally degrade after the source (i.e., upland soils and larger depositional areas) is removed because it is shallow and exposed to the atmosphere. As discussed in the PDI Report, National Grid proposes to monitor the sheen along the water's edge as part of post-construction monitoring to determine if the sheen persists or naturally degrades. If the sheen is determined to persist, National Grid will propose an active remedy to remove sheen generating material along the water's edge. This approach to remediation is compliant with the intent of the ROD.

**Comment 9. Figure 9:** In the RDWP, 8 bank soil transects were proposed. Please provide an explanation for why only 6 transects were completed. It appears the western bank samples from transects 13 and 14 are missing.

### National Grid Response:

The bank soil samples that were eliminated from the sampling program were proposed in creek bank areas that will be removed during remediation. These include samples on the eastern bank of Transect 11 and 12, all samples of Transects 13 and 14, and the samples on the western bank of Transect 15. The data generated from these samples would not be used for any purpose (i.e., it has already been determined that the soil beneath the banks of these areas is impacted and will be removed during remediation).

### Comment 10. Appendix H: Sediment Background and Forensic Evaluation:

General comment: The number, location, and depths of the impacted upland soil samples were not proposed or approved in the RDWP. It is unclear how these sample locations and depths were chosen. The number of impacted upland soil samples (n = 4) is low and the depths of most samples were deep (>6 ft), compared to the surface samples taken from the creek. While these samples may be used in this analysis, direct comparisons between creek samples and these impacted upland soil samples should be avoided.

#### National Grid Response:

The upland soil samples were selected from impacted samples (collected in 1994) with visual NAPL impacts and elevated PAH concentrations indicative of NAPL to represent MGP source materials that are present at the site. Comparing the PAH characteristics of source materials to those in sediments and soils is standard procedure in forensic evaluations. This procedure will be explained further in Appendix H, and the upland soil samples will be referred to as MGP source samples.

**Comment 11. Appendix H, Section 2.2 Evaluation Techniques:** Setting non-detects to 0 may incorrectly bias the analyses. Using a value one-half the detection limit is more appropriate. There are also more sophisticated statistical techniques that can be employed to generate a proxy ND value. Also, include the table showing ratio calculations.

#### National Grid Response:

Non-detects are typically set to zero for PAH analysis because of low but variable detection limits across samples and compounds. Particularly when detected values are close to non-detect reporting limits (e.g., J-flagged data). Using half the detection limit for non-detects can potentially bias the fingerprinting analyses by

distorting (inflating) the relative contribution of the non-detect compounds. For example, a sample with only pyrogenic PAHs detected a low level may incorrectly appear to have mixed sources if including all the non-detect petrogenic PAHs at half their reporting limits. For this and other reasons, both EPA Unified Guidance (2009) and the ProUCL Technical Guide (2015) agree that the 1/2RL method is not always appropriate and is only recommended in specific circumstances.

More sophisticated statistical techniques such as rROS or Kaplan Meier estimation are available, but those are not substitution methods, don't generate a meaningful value for an individual non-detect, and are only useful at the level of a sample distribution. Thus, while they are suitable for summary statistics such as background estimation upper percentiles and not fingerprinting or double ratios.

We could use a different non-detect imputation method for the background evaluation (referenced in comment 12) but selecting 0 instead of half the reporting limit or another technique is conservative, as it biases the estimates low as compared to other methods, as well as being consistent with our fingerprinting methods.

**Comment 12. Appendix H, Section 3.1 Background Evaluation:** Per the RDWP, outliers were supposed to be calculated as any value greater than the 75th percentile plus 1.5 times the interquartile range or less than the 25th percentile minus 1.5 times the interquartile range. Please redo the outlier determination using this methodology and recalculate the background concentration.

Additionally, per the RDWP, the 90th percentile was supposed to be used as the background concentration, not the 95th percentile. Please recalculate the background concentration using the correct methodology and show the calculations performed to derive the percentiles.

### National Grid Response:

Arcadis ran a preliminary IQR testing of the log-transformed background data using the method above did not reveal any significant outliers, consistent with the other outlier tests. The background concentrations don't need to be recalculated because of outliers.

If NYSDEC is requesting IQR testing of the untransformed data, it doesn't work. Although an IQR test is quasi-non-parametric, it does assume a roughly symmetrical dataset. As the background data are lognormally distributed, they are highly right-skewed and this assumption is not valid unless the data are log transformed, as with our other outlier testing. When IQR testing is conducted for untransformed data, three additional data points are identified as outliers, but if you remove them and do IQR testing again, three additional points get labeled as outliers. Eventually, 7 of the 20 data points get removed, which is clearly erroneous.

Arcadis understands the concern about using the 90th percentile, but the 95th percentile is already a very conservative estimate of background concentrations as compared to UPLs or UTLs. A 90th percentile of background is extremely conservative, as a full 10% of true background samples would exceed this value. This represents, in our view, an unacceptably high false positive rate; 10% of true background sites would require remediation based on this criterion.

**Comment 13. Appendix H, Conclusions:** If multiple PAH sources are present, particularly in Reach 5, is there confidence that the site is not one of these sources? It is unclear whether PAHs in the downstream reaches are not attributed to the site at all or if the PAH signatures suggest mixed sources, including the site. Please clarify this.

### National Grid Response:

The forensic evaluation's compositional and principal component analyses indicate a different origin of alkyl PAHs in Reach 5 at locations R5-PDI-SED-54RR and R5-PDI-SED-55RR compared to locations in Reach 3 adjacent to the site. These results are further supported by field observations of sheens and petroleum odors during probing in Reach 5. Therefore, the source of PAHs above background concentrations at R5-PDI-SED-55RR is not attributed to the site.

Following NYSDEC review, National Grid would be willing to have a call to discuss further comments to minimize further back and forth written correspondence. National Grid plans on submitting a revised PDI to incorporate proposed revisions and additional data (as appropriate). Please feel free to contact Steven DiLella (585.520.5192) or Jason Brien (313.671.9114) if you have any questions.

Sincerely, Arcadis of New York, Inc.

Jason Brien, P.E. Principal Engineer

Email: jason.brien@arcadis.com Direct Line: 315.671.9114 Mobile: 315.263.5898

CC. Steven Dilella, National Grid Scott Powlin, Arcadis
#### NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL CONSERVATION

Division of Environmental Remediation, Remedial Bureau C 625 Broadway, 12th Floor, Albany, NY 12233-7014 P: (518) 402-9662 I F: (518) 402-9679 www.dec.ny.gov

April 28, 2022

#### Transmitted Via E-mail ONLY

Mr. Steven Dilella **Project Manager** Nation Grid 300 Erie Boulevard, West A-3 Syracuse, NY 13202 Steven.Dilella@nationalgrid.com

Reference: National Grid, Gloversville Hill Street former MGP site Site Id: 518021 Subject: Draft Pre-Design Investigation (PDI) Report, January 2022

Dear Mr. Dilella,

The New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (the Department) has received and reviewed the correspondence dated March 18<sup>th</sup>, 2022, prepared by Arcadis on behalf of National Grid (NG) in response to the Department's letter dated March 3<sup>rd</sup>, 2002, pertaining to subject draft PDI report. Following are the Department's comments on the NG's response to unresolved issues:

1. Section 2.3.6 Fluid level monitoring: The referenced Table 1 indicates that the NAPL was observed in well MW-15S during four out of seven fluid measurement events. Hence non-aqueous phase liquid (NAPL) is present in the well MW-15S.

However, as proposed, NG may perform additional rounds of periodic fluid measurement and/or collect a groundwater sample(s) from well MW-15S for chemical analysis to definitively confirm the presence or absence of NAPL. However, please note that regardless of the analytical results, if the NAPL is observed during any of the periodic fluid measurement events, additional investigation will be warranted to delineate the full extent of NAPL around MW-15S.

2. Section 3.3.5 Proposed Cayadutta Creek Remedial Action-Bank Soil: The Record of Decision (ROD) requires that the bank soil which exceeds unrestricted soil cleanup objective (USCOs) must be excavated and disposed off-site. However, Arcadis in their response indicates that the total PAH16 bank soil concentrations are all less than sediment background concentrations. The



NEW YORK Department of Environmental Conservation

forensic evaluation provides further support that urban background is the source of bank soil PAHs and not the former MGP site. As a result, NG is objecting to remediating bank soils attributed to background conditions.

The Department believes that the additional data is needed to further substantiate that the PAHs detected in the bank soils are not associated with the site. More recent upland PAH data is needed to perform meaningful fingerprint analysis. Secondly, the Department also believes that background bank soil PAH data from the upstream stretches is needed (as supposed to sediment data) to demonstrate that the PAHs detected in bank soil abutting the site is attributable to background conditions. Any such background evaluation will need to comply with the requirement of DER-10 Chapter 3.5.3.

3. Figure 6-8 Sheen along the water edge: The ROD requires that all sheen-producing sediments must be excavated and disposed off-site. However, Arcadis in their response indicates that sheen observed along the water's edge in downstream reaches 3 through 5 are minor and transient and expected to dissipate and naturally degrade once the sources are remediated. The Department accepts NG's proposal to monitor the sheen along the water's edge as part of post-construction monitoring to determine if the sheen persists or naturally degrades. If the sheen is determined to persist, NG will be required to propose an active remedy to remove sheen generating material along the water's edge. The Department will require that the Site Management Plan (SMP) contains provisions for periodic monitoring of the sheen in the creek and along the water's edges downstream of the site and active remediation, if required, to the Department's satisfaction.

If NG chose to perform the supplemental PDI to address comment #1 and 2 above, please submit the work plan containing an implementation schedule and submission of the revised PDI report within 30 days of the date of this letter. Also, please note that based on the site visit scheduled for May 24<sup>th</sup>, 2022, the Department may provide additional comments. If you have any questions, please contact me at 518-402-9662 or write to me at <u>parag.amin@dec.ny.gov</u>.

Sincerely,

Parag Amin, P.E. Project Manager

ec: J. Brien, Arcadis (<u>Jason.Brien@arcadis.com</u>) K. Pochini, DFW, DEC (<u>katherine.pochini@dec.ny.gov</u>) G. Rys, DOH (<u>gregory.rys@health.ny.gov</u>) M. Schuck, DOH (<u>maureen.schuck@health.ny.gov</u>) K. Carpenter, DER (<u>kevin.carpenter@dec.ny.gov</u>) DecDocs

#### NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL CONSERVATION

Division of Environmental Remediation, Remedial Bureau C 625 Broadway, 12th Floor, Albany, NY 12233-7014 P: (518) 402-9662 I F: (518) 402-9679 www.dec.ny.gov

Transmitted Via Email Only

July 5, 2022

Mr. Steven Dilella Project Manager Nation Grid 300 Erie Boulevard, West A-3 Syracuse, NY 13202 Steven.Dilella@nationalgrid.com

Reference:	National Grid, Gloversville
	Hill Street former MGP site
	Site Id: 518021
Subject:	Draft Pre-Design Investigation (PDI) Report, January 2022
Reference:	Arcadis response dated June 7 <sup>th</sup> , 2022

Dear Mr. Dilella,

The New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (the Department) has received and reviewed the correspondence dated June 7th, 2022, prepared by Arcadis on behalf of National Grid (NG) in response to the Department's letter dated April 28th, 2002, pertaining to subject draft PDI report and accepts the response except:

**Response to NYSDEC Comment 2: PAH Source Sampling:** To ensure a more robust forensic evaluation, the Department requests to add one additional PAH source sample for a total of three samples.

If NG chose to perform the supplemental PDI to address above comment, please submit the work plan containing an implementation schedule and submission of the revised PDI report within 30 days of the date of this letter. If you have any questions, please contact me at 518-402-9662 or write to me at parag.amin@dec.ny.gov.

Your sincerely

Parag Amin, P.E. Project Manager



ec: J. Brien, Arcadis (<u>Jason.Brien@arcadis.com</u>) K. Pochini, DFW, DEC (<u>katherine.pochini@dec.ny.gov</u>) G. Rys, DOH (<u>gregory.rys@health.ny.gov</u>) C. Bethoney. DOH (<u>charlotte.bethoney@health.ny.gov</u>) DecDocs



Mr. Parag Amin Project Manager New York State Department of Environmental Conservation Division of Environmental Remediation, Remedial Bureau C 625 Broadway, 12th Floor Albany, NY 12233-7014

Date: August 24, 2022 Our Ref: 30044656 Subject: National Grid Gloversville (Hill St.) former MGP Site Supplemental PDI Letter Work Plan Arcadis of New York, Inc. One Lincoln Center 110 West Fayette Street Suite 300 Syracuse New York 13202 Phone: 315 446 9120 Fax: 315 449 0017 www.arcadis.com

Dear Mr. Amin,

Arcadis of New York, Inc. (Arcadis) has prepared this Supplemental Pre-Design Investigation (PDI) work plan (Work Plan) on behalf of National Grid to support additional pre-design efforts at the National Grid Gloversville (Hill St.) former Manufactured Gas Plant Site in Gloversville, New York. The purpose of the Supplemental PDI is to address data gaps and provide additional rationale/data to support the remedial limits prior to proceeding with the remedial design. Arcadis prepared and submitted to New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (NYSDEC) a draft PDI Report (Arcadis, November 2021). The supplemental PDI tasks presented in this work plan address NYSDEC comments presented in their April 28, 2022 and July 5, 2022 letters.

#### **Supplemental PDI Activities**

Supplemental PDI activities will be conducted to further investigate:

- The potential presence of non-aqueous phase liquid in monitoring well MW-15S
- Background polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon (PAH) concentrations in Cayadutta Creek bank soils
- Upland PAH source signature

Supplemental PDI field activities will be conducted consistent with the protocols presented in the Field Sampling Plan (FSP, Appendix A of the RDWP [Remedial Design Work Plan]). Laboratory analysis will be conducted in accordance with the Quality Assurance Project Plan (QAPP, Appendix B of the RDWP). Arcadis will update the existing site-specific Health and Safety Plan (HASP; Appendix C of the RDWP). Arcadis will also conduct community air monitoring upwind and downwind of the work area during invasive activities to monitor for VOCs and airborne particulates in accordance with the New York State Department of health Generic Community Air Monitoring Plan (CAMP; Appendix D of the RDWP). Proposed supplemental PDI activities are presented in the following subsections.

#### **MW-15S Monitoring**

Initial PDI field documentation indicated the presence of non-aqueous phase liquid (NAPL) in monitoring well MW-15S. Previous groundwater samples collected at monitoring well MW-15S (i.e., samples collected on 2/15/00, 5/31/00, 8/16/00, and 5/12/04) did not contain site-related constituents at concentrations exceeding the NYSDEC drinking water standards presented in the Technical and Operational Guidance Series Memorandum 1.1.1 (refer

to the 2016 Remedial Investigation Report). This suggests that the interpretation of NAPL in this location is not consistent with previous groundwater sampling results. The objective of the scope of work will be to confirm/refute the presence of dense nonaqueous phase liquid (DNAPL) at MW-15S as reported in the draft PDI Report). Arcadis will conduct the following to confirm the potential presence of NAPL at this well location:

- Gauge MW-15S for the presence of DNAPL using an oil-water interface probe. If DNAPL is present in the well, no additional work will be required to determine DNAPL presence/absence.
- If DNAPL is not observed while gauging MW-15S using an oil-water interface probe, collect a groundwater sample from the well and analyze the sample for Target Compound List (TCL) Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs) and TCL Semi-Volatile Organic Compounds (SVOCs). The pump intake will be lowered to the approximate bottom foot of the well screen and water will be purged and sampled using low-flow sampling techniques. If coal tar DNAPL had entered the well at any point in the past and/or is present in the formation near the well screen, one would expect that elevated levels of dissolved-phase benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylenes (BTEX) and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) would be detected in the groundwater sample.

If coal tar is observed or elevated BTEX and/or PAHs are detected in the groundwater sample, National Grid will submit, under separate cover, a proposal for delineating DNAPL in the MW-15S area. The results of the delineation efforts will be incorporated into the Remedial Design. If DNAPL or elevated BTEX and/or PAHs in the groundwater sample are not observed, Arcadis proposes that the draft PDI Report be revised to reflect that DNAPL was not observed at MW-15S.

#### **Creek Bank Soil Sampling**

As presented in the NYSDEC Record of Decision (NYSDEC March 2019), the selected remedy includes removal of bank soils containing constituents of concern exceeding unrestricted use soil cleanup objectives (SCOs). As indicated in the draft PDI Report, 19 of the 31 bank soil samples contained PAHs at concentrations exceeding NYSDEC Part 375 unrestricted use SCOs; however, visual (staining, sheen, NAPL) impacts or odors were not observed and photoionization detector (PID) readings were non-detect in the collected bank soil samples. Arcadis also presented a forensic evaluation indicating that different PAH signatures were present in bank soils relative to those detected in upland soils, especially noting the absence of a naphthalene spike in bank soils. Finally, Arcadis noted a statistically higher PAH concentrations than those found in sediment samples.

National Grid is proposing to implement the following supplemental PDI activities to further assess the potential presence of site-related PAHs in bank soils at concentrations exceeding unrestricted SCOs:

- Collect background PAH samples from the creek bank at three transects upstream of the NAPL-impacted area (as shown on the figures presented in the draft PDI Report)
- Collect fresh soil samples containing heavy impacts from the site

#### Background Bank Soil Sampling

Arcadis will collect background bank soil samples along existing PDI sediment sampling Transects 5, 6, and 7 as shown on Figure 1. Two 2-foot (or to refusal) vertical soil cores will be collected on each bank along each transect for a total of 12 soil cores. Similar to the PDI bank soil sampling protocols, on each bank and along each transect, field personnel will collect one core at the approximate Mean High Water Level (MHWL) and a second core at the approximate mid-point between the MHWL and the top of bank. Field personnel will segment the core samples into 0-to-6-inch, 6-to-12-inch, and 12-to-24-inch increments (or other increments if changes in stratigraphy are noted or refusal encountered). Arcadis will visually characterize each core for the presence of NAPL, sheen, staining, and field screen with a PID for elevated VOC levels. The 0-to-6-inch and 6-to-12-inch samples at each

location will be submitted for laboratory analysis for PAH16 and the 12-to-24-inch samples will be archived for potential PAH16 analysis pending the results of the overlying sample intervals.

#### PAH Source Sampling

Arcadis will drill three new direct push soil borings near the locations of existing soil borings PDI-DPB-4, PDI-DPB-7, and PDI-DPB-8 (based on the NAPL impacted soil conditions encountered at these borings from 6.5-11', 4-8', and 0-8', respectively). Proposed site source PAH sampling locations are shown on Figure 2. Arcadis will advance the soil borings until a heavily NAPL-impacted sample is encountered and collect and submit one NAPLimpacted soil sample from each boring for PAH34 analysis using USEPA SW-846 Method 8270. The analytical results from these samples will establish a "fresh" site source PAH fingerprint for site-related PAHs. The site source PAH fingerprint will then be compared to background bank soil sampling results and previously collected bank soil samples (as presented in the draft PDI Report) in a forensic evaluation to distinguish background PAHs from site-related PAHs.

#### Reporting

Arcadis will prepare a Data Usability Summary Report (DUSR) for the background PAH and site source PAH laboratory data packages in accordance with NYSDEC Analytical Services Protocol (ASP). Arcadis will conduct a statistical analysis on the newly collected background PAH data to establish a representative background bank soil PAH concentration. Arcadis will also conduct a bank soil PAH forensic evaluation to compare the signature of bank soils relative to the signature of the MGP source samples.

Initially, Arcadis will prepare and email the NYSDEC a Supplemental PDI data summary package. The data summary package will transmit relevant tables and figures and include a brief discussion of:

- Monitoring well MW-15S NAPL presence/absence gauging and/or groundwater sampling results
- A PDI bank soil Total PAH16 to background bank soil sample Total PAH16 comparison as the primary evaluation step with additional supporting evaluations below (if needed)
- Principal Component Analysis for bank soil individual PAH16 to sediment and source material individual PAH16
- As appropriate, double ratio plots for bank soil sample vs. source material sample PAH results:
  - o benzo(a)anthracene/chrysene vs. fluoranthene/pyrene
  - benzo(a)anthracene/(benzo(a)anthracene + chrysene) vs. anthracene/(anthracene + phenanthrene)

Following this initial submittal, Arcadis and National Grid will participate in a teleconference with the NYSDEC to discuss the results and preliminary conclusions of the Supplemental PDI activities.

Finally, Arcadis will incorporate the Supplemental PDI results into a revised PDI Report. Arcadis will conduct the statistical and forensic evaluations consistent with the approaches used for the PDI sediment data as described in Appendix H of the draft PDI Report.

National Grid is requesting NYSDEC's approval of the proposed Supplemental PDI activities described in this letter. National Grid will implement this scope of work within approximately one-month following the NYSDEC's approval. Please feel free to contact Steven DiLella (585.520.5192) or Jason Brien (313.671.9114) if you have any questions.

Sincerely, Arcadis of New York, Inc.

Jason Brien Principal Engineer

Email: jason.brien@arcadis.com Direct Line: 315.671.9114 Mobile: 315.263.5898

CC. Steven DiLella, National Grid Scott Powlin, Arcadis Terry Young, Arcadis

Enclosures:

Figures

- 1 Proposed Background Bank Sampling Transects
- 2 Proposed Site Source PAH Sampling Locations

## **Figures**

C:\Users\lposenauer\ACCDocs\Arcadis\AUS-NATIONAL GRID-GLOVERSVILLE FORMER MGP-GLOVERSVILLE New York\Project Files\2022\01-In Progress\01-DWG\GEN-F01-PP BG BANK SAMPLING TRANSECTS.dwg LAYOUT: 1 SAVED: 5/4/2022 10:15 AM ACADVER: 24.0S (LMS TECH) PAGESETUP: DP-PDF PLOTSTYLETABLE: PLTFULL.CTB PLOTTED: 5/4/2022 10:54 AM BY: POSENAUER, LISA





#### NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL CONSERVATION

Division of Environmental Remediation, Remedial Bureau C 625 Broadway, 12th Floor, Albany, NY 12233-7014 P: (518) 402-9662 I F: (518) 402-9722 www.dec.ny.gov

Transmitted Via Email Only

September 28, 2022

Mr. Steven Dilella Project Manager National Grid 300 Erie Boulevard, West A-3 Syracuse, NY 13202 Steven.Dilella@nationalgrid.com

Re: National Grid, Gloversville Hill Street former MGP site Site Number: 518021 Supplemental Pre-Design Investigation (PDI) Work Plan

Dear Mr. Dilella:

The New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (the Department) in consultation with New York State Department of Health (DOH) has reviewed the Supplemental PDI Work Plan, dated August 2022, prepared by Arcadis on behalf of National Grid. The Supplemental PDI Work Plan is hereby approved.

Please provide at least seven (7) days advance notice prior to mobilizing on site to perform field work in connection with the approved workplan. Please place the approved work plan and this letter in the document repository established for the site.

If you have any questions, please contact me at 518-402-9662 or via email at <u>parag.amin@dec.ny.gov</u>.

Sincerely,

Parag Amin, P.E. Project Manager

Ec:

J. Brien, Arcadis (<u>Jason.Brien@arcadis.com</u>) K. Pochini, DFW, DEC (<u>katherine.pochini@dec.ny.gov</u>) G. Rys, DOH (<u>gregory.rys@health.ny.gov</u>) C. Bethoney. DOH (<u>charlotte.bethoney@health.ny.gov</u>) K. Maloney, DER, DEC (<u>Kerry.maloney@dec.ny.gov</u>) DecDocs





Mr. Parag Amin Project Manager New York State Department of Environmental Conservation Division of Environmental Remediation, Remedial Bureau C 625 Broadway, 12th Floor Albany, NY 12233-7014

Date: May 16, 2023 Our Ref: 30044656 Subject: National Grid Gloversville (Hill St.) Former MGP Site Interim Supplemental PDI Submittal Arcadis of New York, Inc. One Lincoln Center 110 West Fayette Street Suite 300 Syracuse New York 13202 Phone: 315 446 9120 Fax: 315 449 0017 www.arcadis.com

Dear Mr. Amin,

This interim supplemental pre-design investigation (PDI) submittal presents the results of Arcadis' supplemental PDI for the Gloversville (Hill St.) former Manufactured Gas Plant (MGP) Site in Gloversville, New York as set forth in National Grid's August 24, 2022 Supplemental PDI Letter Work Plan (Work Plan) to the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (NYSDEC). The investigation provides supplemental data and evaluations to address NYSDEC comments presented in their April 28, 2022 and July 5, 2022 letters to National Grid.

Arcadis conducted a supplemental PDI to:

- Reevaluate the potential presence of non-aqueous phase liquid (NAPL) in monitoring well MW-15S.
- Establish a site-specific background polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon (PAH) concentration in upstream Cayadutta Creek bank soils.
- Obtain a current PAH source signature in upland impacted site-soils.

The primary supplemental PDI objective was to determine background Cayadutta Creek bank soil conditions to provide a basis for removing MGP-impacted bank soils. The work scope consisted of three tasks:

- 1. Gauging monitoring well MW-15 for the presence of coal tar NAPL and collecting a groundwater sample for laboratory analysis.
- 2. Collecting background samples from banks upstream of the NAPL-impacted area (i.e., Reach 2).
- 3. Collecting fresh soil samples containing heavy Site-related impacts.
- 4. Conducting statistical and forensic evaluations to determine the extent of bank soils adjacent to the Site and bordering NAPL containing upland areas (i.e., Reach 3) that may be impacted by MGP-related residuals.

Supplemental PDI sampling activities are presented below followed by sampling results and the statistical and forensic evaluation results.

#### **Supplemental PDI Activities and Results**

Arcadis conducted activities in accordance with the Work Plan. Supplemental PDI activities and results are presented below followed by statistical and forensic evaluations.

#### Monitoring Well MW-15S Gauging

Arcadis' November 2021 Draft PDI Summary Report indicated that "Measurable quantities of NAPL were observed in wells MW-15S, PDI-MW-4 and PDI-MW-5 during all seven monitoring events..." NYSDEC correctly noted in their March 3, 2022 PDI comment letter that MW-15S is located outside the delineated "Probable NAPL Containing Area" and requested that the area around MW-15S be further investigated and the probable NAPL containing limits be adjusted. Arcadis noted that these MW-15S observations appeared inconsistent as previous collected groundwater samples at the well did not contain site-related constituents at concentrations exceeding the NYSDEC drinking water standards presented in the Technical and Operational Guidance Series Memorandum 1.1.1. Arcadis proposed, as part of the supplemental PDI activities, additional gauging and groundwater sampling at MW-15S to confirm NAPL presence. Further, Arcadis postulated that if coal tar NAPL had entered the well at any point in the past and/or is present in the formation near the well screen, it would be reasonable to expect elevated dissolved-phase benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylenes (BTEX) and PAH concentrations would be detected in the groundwater sample.

Arcadis conducted supplemental PDI monitoring well MW-15S gauging and groundwater sampling on November 1, 2022. No indications of NAPL were noted during the well gauging. Arcadis collected a groundwater sample using low flow sampling techniques in compliance with the June 2020 NYSDEC-approved Remedial Design Work Plan (RDWP). As presented in Table 1, Arcadis submitted the groundwater sample to Eurofins in Buffalo, NY for laboratory analysis for TCL VOCs and TCL SVOCs. With the exception of acetone, no SVOCs or VOCs were detected at concentrations above quantitation limits. Acetone was detected at a concentration of 5 parts per billion but is not a noted site constituent of concern and is a common laboratory contaminant. Groundwater analytical results are included in Table 2 and a DUSR prepared in accordance with NYSDEC ASP is provided in Attachment 1.

#### **Background Bank Soil Sampling**

Arcadis field personnel collected bank soil samples using the sampling approach described in the RDWP. Field personnel collected samples along PDI sediment sampling Transects 5, 6, and 7 (Figure 1). Two 2-foot (or to refusal) vertical soil cores were collected on each bank along each transect for a total of 12 cores. Cores were collected at the mean high-water level (MHWL) and along the bank approximately halfway between the MHWL elevation and the top of the bank (referred to as "mid-bank"). The cores were segmented into 0-0.5 foot, 0.5-1 foot, and 1-1.5 foot sample increments. A sampling summary including soil descriptions is presented in Table 1.

Field personnel did not observe visual impacts (sheen, staining, NAPLs, odor) in the background bank soil samples. Arcadis submitted soil samples to Eurofins laboratory in Buffalo, NY (Eurofins) for laboratory analysis. The upper two sampling intervals (i.e., 0-6 and 6-12 inches) were analyzed for a target compound list of 16 parent (non-alkylated) PAHs (PAH-16) using USEPA SW-846 Method 8270, while the lower sampling increments (i.e., 12-24 inches) were archived for potential analysis. Based on the analytical results, none of the 12-24 sampling interval samples were released for analysis. The bank soil analytical results are provided in Table 3 and a Data Usability Summary Report (DUSR) prepared in accordance with NYSDEC Analytical Services Protocol (ASP) is provided in Attachment 1.

#### **PAH Source Sampling**

Arcadis advanced three soil borings (PDI-DPB-4, PDI-DPB-7, and PDI-DPB-8) in known impacted areas and at the same locations as the initial 2020 PDI efforts using a direct-push drilling rig to approximately 12 feet below ground surface (bgs). Boring logs are provided in Attachment 2. Sample depths from each boring were selected based on the presence of visually impacted media. A sampling summary is presented in Table 1.

Arcadis submitted three samples to Eurofins for laboratory analysis for 18 specific non-alkylated PAHs (or parent PAHs) and 16 generic alkylated PAHs (PAH-34) using USEPA SW-846 Method 8270. PAH-34 represents the PAHs identified in the Procedures for the Derivation of Equilibrium Partitioning Sediment Benchmarks (ESBs) for the Protection of Benthic Organisms: PAH Mixtures (USEPA 2003) and referenced in Screening and Assessment of Contaminated Sediment (NYSDEC 2014). The source soil analytical results are provided in Table 4 and a DUSR prepared in accordance with NYSDEC ASP is provided in Attachment 1.

#### Supplemental PDI Statistical Background Evaluation

Arcadis reviewed the supplemental PDI sampling analytical results and conducted the following statistical evaluations:

- Upper limit statistics to establish a statistically derived site-specific background concentration and comparison of this site-specific background concentration to bank soil samples adjacent to and downstream from the Site
- Hypothesis testing to determine spatial patterns between the total PAH-16 concentrations in background bank soils to the total PAH-16 concentrations within the investigation area

#### Statistically Derived Background Bank Soil PAH-16 Concentration

Arcadis statistically derived a total PAH-16 background bank soil concentration using the supplemental PDI Reach 2 bank soil sampling results. Statistical analyses were performed in the 'R' statistical language (R Core Team 2022) and with ProUCL version 5.2 (USEPA 2022). The following statistical metrics were considered:

- 95th percentiles
- 95th percent Upper Prediction Limits (95 UPLs)
- 95/95 Upper Threshold Limits (95/95 UTLs)

No significant outliers were identified by Dixon's test or examination of QQ plots. The data were normally distributed by Shapiro Wilk and Lilliefors tests, so the background upper limit statistics were computed using normal distribution methods. The background concentration statistical evaluation upper limit results are summarized in the following table:

Upper Limits of Background Bank Soil Total PAH-16 Concentration – Statistical Results Summary

	95th Percentile1	95 UPL <sup>2</sup>	95/95 UTL <sup>3</sup>					
	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)					
Background Bank Soil								
Total PAH-16	31.4	29.5	36.7					

Notes:

1. 95th percentiles were calculated using the Type 7 Method (USEPA 2015).

- 2. Bank soil dataset was normally distributed by Shapiro Wilk and Lilliefors tests. 95 Upper Prediction Limits (UPLs) were calculated using the normal 95<sup>th</sup> Percentile (z) method (USEPA 2015).
- 3. 95/95 Upper Tolerance Limits (UTLs) were calculated using the 95% BCA Bootstrap UTL with 95% Coverage method (USEPA 2015).

The 95 UPL total PAH-16 concentration of 29.5 mg/kg was selected to use as the statistically derived sediment background concentrations from Reach 2, as it is the most conservative of the upper tail estimates. The statistically derived background concentrations were used as a basis against which Reach 3 bank soil sample total PAH-16 concentrations were compared.

Total PAH-16 were detected at concentrations exceeding the 29.5 mg/kg background concentration in the following 6 of 55 PDI Reach 2 and 3 bank soil samples:

Reach	Sample ID	Total PAH-16 (mg/kg)
<b>2</b> 2	T-05-LB-MHWL (0-0.5)	32 J <sup>1</sup>
2-	T-07-LB-MHWL (0-0.5)	37 J
	PDI-T-17-RB-MB (0.5-0.7)	36.9 J
03	PDI-T-18-LB-MHWL (0-0.5)	53.5 J
33	PDI-T-18-LB-MB (0-0.5)	30.9 J
	PDI-T-18-RB-MB (0-0.5)	32.9 J

Notes:

1. J = indicates that individual PAHs in the sample were positively identified; however, the associated numerical value is an estimated concentration only.

- 2. Reach 2 is background (i.e., upstream") of the delineated upland NAPL containing area where it encounters Cayadutta Creek, due west of the service center area.
- Reach 3 is adjacent to the NAPL containing area where it encounters Cayadutta Creek and extends approximately 500 feet beyond the National Grid-owned southern property boundary.

#### Average Total PAH-16 Concentration Spatial Pattern Evaluation

Arcadis used hypothesis testing to evaluate similarities or differences between the Reach 2 and Reach 3 bank soil total PAH-16 datasets. Due to the non-detect total PAH-16 result at PDI-T-16-LB-MB (0.5-0.8'), Arcadis conducted this evaluation using generalized Wilcoxon test methods for censored data for the Reach 2 and Reach 3 data distributions. The generalized Wilcoxon test, as formulated by Peto and Peto, can be used to evaluate differences between the distributions of two or more groups of independent censored observations (Peto and Peto 1972). The results of the hypothesis testing showed no statistically significant differences (p-value = 0.711) between Reach 2 background bank soil total PAH-16 concentrations and Reach 3 bank soil total PAH-16 concentrations. A summary of total PAH-16 concentrations in Reach 2 background bank soils, Reach 3 bank soils is presented in the following table.

#### Detected Bank Soil Total PAH-16 Concentrations

Sample Media	Median Total PAH- 16 (mg/kg)	Total PAH-16 Range (mg/kg)
Reach 2 Bank Soil	11.6	0.18 – 36.7
Reach 3 Bank Soil	15.3	0.73 – 53.5

#### **Supplemental PDI Forensic Evaluation**

Arcadis conducted a supplemental forensic evaluation consisting of the following:

- Bank soil versus Site source soil PAH-16 compositional fingerprint distribution comparison
- Bank soil versus Site source soils PAH Double Ratio Plot comparison
- Principal Component Analysis (PCA) and cluster analyses to group sampling locations based on their overall similarity.

Descriptions and evaluation findings are presented in the following subsections.

#### **Compositional Fingerprint Distribution**

PAH-16 compositional fingerprints (i.e., distributions) were evaluated for similarities between bank soils and Site source soils. A visual comparison of the PAH compositional fingerprints (Attachment 2) indicates that the Reach 2 background bank soils ("Background") and Reach 3 bank soils ("Site") have similar PAH distributions. This distribution is characterized by the presence and compositional similarities of parent PAH compounds with medium to heavy molecular weights (3-6 rings), such as phenanthrene ("PO"), fluoranthene ("FLO"), pyrene ("PYO"), benzo(a)anthracene ("BAO"), chrysene (CO"), benzofluoranthenes ("BBF" and "BKF"), benzo(a)pyrene ("BAP"), indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene ("IND"), and benzo(g,h,i)perylene ("GHI"). The PAH compounds with low molecular weights, such as naphthalene, acenaphthylene, acenaphthene, anthracene, and fluorene, are generally not present in the soil bank samples or are present at low concentrations near their respective detection limits in both Reach 2 background and Reach 3 samples.

In contrast, the Site source samples PAH compositional fingerprints are characterized by parent PAH compounds with low to medium molecular weights (2-3 rings) such as naphthalene ("NO"), acenaphthene ("AY"), acenaphthylene ("AE"), anthracene ("AO") and fluorene ("FO") with naphthalene as the most dominant PAH. The PAH compounds with high molecular weights (4-6 rings), such as benzofluoranthenes, benzo(a)pyrene, indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene, and benzo(g,h,i)perylene, are generally not detected in the source soil samples or are present at low concentrations. Figure 2 illustrates the Reach 2 and 3 bank soils average PAH compositional fingerprint similarities and distinct bank soil and Site source soil PAH compositional fingerprint differences.

#### **PAH Double Ratio Plots**

Select PAH diagnostic ratio values were calculated and plotted for each bank soil and Site source soil sample. Arcadis prepared benzo(a)anthracene/chrysene versus fluoranthene/pyrene and benzo(a)anthracene/ (benzo(a)anthracene + chrysene) versus anthracene / (anthracene + phenanthrene) PAH double-ratio plots, which are the most common ratios used in PAH source evaluations (Costa et al. 2004; Costa and Sauer 2005; Yunker et al. 2002).

As indicated on Figure 3, the diagnostic ratio plot of benzo(a)anthracene/chrysene versus fluoranthene/pyrene concentrations shows a cluster of bank soil samples from both Reach 2 background and Reach 3 that is distinctly different than the similar PAH concentration ratios for Site source area soils. Although both bank soils and source soil ratios both fell in the pyrogenic and mixed source zones, bank soils had distinctly higher fluoranthene/pyrene ratios than Site source soil samples.

Anthracene was only detected in 6 of 24 (25%) supplemental PDI background bank soils. Where detected, anthracene concentrations were below NYSDEC Part 375-6 Unrestricted Use Soil Cleanup Objectives (SCOs). Therefore, the diagnostic ratio plot of benzo(a)anthracene/ (benzo(a)anthracene + chrysene) versus anthracene / (anthracene + phenanthrene) has limited value for evaluation purposes and is not presented in this report.

#### **PCA Statistical Analysis**

Arcadis completed PCA and hierarchical cluster analyses (HCA) to further evaluate the bank and source soil data sets. PCA is used to reduce the dimensionality of a dataset with many interrelated variables. The HCA was conducted to group similar PCA results into subsets (or clusters). Statistical analyses were performed in the 'R' statistical language (R Core Team 2022).

Prior to PCA analysis, detected PAH-16 compound concentrations were transformed to a fraction of total PAH concentration, to focus the evaluation on the PAH signature rather than concentration magnitude, then scaled and centered to a mean of zero and unit variance. These normalization procedures remove bias due to different PAH

concentrations between samples (EPRI 2008). In support of these evaluations, non-detect PAH concentrations in a sample were set to zero in the fraction of total PAH calculation.

Two principal components (i.e., PC-1 and PC-2) explaining 71.7% of the variance were retained through the PCA to the HCA. Arcadis then conducted an agglomerative HCA on the two retained PCs using the Ward Method. The HCA identified two distinct data clusters. Figure 4 presents the PCA scores and loadings along with the data clusters.

Cluster 1 is characterized by samples with:

- Higher proportions of acenaphthene, anthracene, acenaphthylene, fluorene, naphthalene, and phenanthrene.
- Lower proportions of benzo(b)fluoranthene, benzo(a)pyrene, benzo(g,h,i)perylene, benzo(a)anthracene,

benzo(k)fluoranthene, chrysene, dibenzo(a,h) anthracene, fluoranthene, indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene, and pyrene. Cluster 2 is characterized by samples with:

- Higher proportions of benzo(g,h,i)perylene, benzo(k)fluoranthene, benzo(b)fluoranthene, benzo(a)pyrene, benzo(a)anthracene, chrysene, dibenzo(a,h) anthracene, fluoranthene, indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene, and pyrene.
- Lower proportions of acenaphthene, anthracene, acenaphthylene fluorene, naphthalene, and phenanthrene.

A data cluster evaluation relative to sample location indicates that Cluster 1 is associated with the Site source soil samples while Cluster 2 is primarily associated with the Reach 2 background soil samples.

#### Conclusions

The results of the statistical and forensic bank soil PAH-16 evaluations indicate that the low-level PAH concentrations detected in Reach 3 bank soil samples during the initial PDI effort are related to background sources and not the former MGP Site based on the following lines of evidence:

- Only four of 31 Reach 3 bank soil samples and two of 24 Reach 2 background bank soils contain total PAH-16 at concentrations exceeding the lowest statistical background concentration.
- The total PAH-16 concentrations and ranges are similar between Reach 2 background and Reach 3 bank samples.
- Hypothesis testing show no statistically significant differences between Reach 2 background and Reach 3 bank soil total PAH-16 concentrations.
- PAH compositional fingerprints indicate that the Reach 2 background and Reach 3 bank soils have similar higher ring PAH distributions, while the Site source samples were characterized by lower ring PAHs with naphthalene dominance.
- Reach 2 background and Reach 3 bank soils have distinctly higher fluoranthene/pyrene ratios compared to Site source soil samples.
- PCA statistical analysis indicates that the Reach 2 background and Reach 3 bank soils are associated with one cluster with higher proportions of higher ring PAHs (Cluster 2) while the Site source soils are associated with higher proportions of lower ring PAHs (Cluster 1).

Based on these evaluations, National Grid is requesting NYSDEC approval and potential Record of Decision modification to revise the bank soil cleanup objective from "[excavating] bank soils which exceed unrestricted use SCOs" to "excavating bank soils adjacent to upland NAPL containing areas". This ROD revision would result in removing the extent of bank soils shown on attached Figure 5.

Please contact me or Steve DiLella (585.520.5192) if you have any questions or require additional information. Thank you.

Sincerely, Arcadis of New York, Inc.

Jason Brien Principal Engineer

Email: jason.brien@arcadis.com Direct Line: 315.671.9114 Mobile: 315.263.5898

CC. Nancy Gensky, Arcadis Scott Powlin, Arcadis David Profusek, Arcadis

#### Enclosures:

#### Tables

- 1 Supplemental PDI Sampling Summary
- 2 Supplemental PDI MW-15 Groundwater Sampling Results
- 3 Supplemental PDI Bank Soil Sampling Results
- 4 Supplemental PDI Source Sample Results

#### Figures

- 1 Supplemental PDI Sampling Locations
- 2 Average PAH-16 Composition by Sample Area
- 3 Double Ratio Plot Benzo(a)anthracene/Chrysene vs Fluoranthene/Pyrene
- 4 Principal Component and Hierarchical Clustering Plot of PAH-16 in Soil Samples
- 5 Anticipated Bank Soil Removal Areas

#### Attachments

- 1 Data Usability Summary Report (DUSR)
- 2 Supplemental PDI Source Area Soil Boring Logs
- 3 Bank and Source Material Soil PAH Profiles

#### References:

Costa, H.J. and Sauer, T.C. (2005), Forensic Approaches and Considerations in Identifying PAH Background Environmental Forensics.

Costa, H.J. and Sauer, T.C. (2004), Forensic Approaches and Considerations in Identifying PAH Background.

Yunker et. al. (2002), PAHs in the Fraser River basin: a critical appraisal of PAH ratios as indicators of PAH source and composition.

### **Tables**

# Table 1Supplemental PDI Sampling SummaryNational GridGloversville (Hill St.) Former Manufactured Gas Plant (Site No. 5-18-021)Gloversville, NY

		PAH	16				
	Sample			PAH	TCL	TCL	
Sample ID	Interval (in)	Anlyzd	Arch	34	VOC	svoc	Description
Groundwater				•			
MW-15S					х	х	
Bank Soil							
	0-6	х					
T-05-LB-MB	6-12	х					Very dark grayish brown medium fine sand, little silt,
	12-24		х				inthe organics (roots), wet, medium inm
	0-6	х					Van ded we is her we we dive for sead little site
T-05-LB-MHWL	6-12	х					little organics (roots) wet soft
	12-24		х				
	0-6	х					Very dark growich brown medium fine cond. come
T-05-RB-MB	6-12	х					fine sub-round gravel little silt wet loose/soft
	12-24		х				
	0-6	х					Dark brown medium fine sand. Some silt, little
T-05-RB-MHWL	6-12	х					organics (roots), trace fine sub-round gravel, wet,
	12-24		х				soft loose
	0-6	х					Very dark grayish brown medium fine sand and silt,
T-06-LB-MB	6-12	х					little organics (roots), trace fine sub-round gravel,
	12-24		х				wet, soft/loose
	0-6	х					Very dark grow ailt and find and little organize
T-06-LB-MHWL	6-12	х					(roots) soft some fine sub-round gravel wet/loose
	12-24		х				(10013), sont, some line sub-round graver, webloose
	0-6	х					Vory dark gravish brown fing sand, some silt, little
T-06-RB-MB	6-12	х					organics (roots) wet soft
	12-24		x				
	0-6	x					Very dark gray silt and medium-fine sand, some
T-06-RB-MHWL	6-12	x					organics (roots leaves) wet soft
	12-24		x				
	0-6	х					Very dark grayish brown silt and medium fine sand,
T-07-LB-MB	6-12	х					little organics (roots), trace fine sub-rounded gravel,
	12-24		x				little silt, wet, loose
	0-6	х					Very dark gray medium fine sand, some silt, little
T-07-LB-MHWL	6-12	х					organics (roots, leaves), wet, soft
	12-24		x				
	0-6	х					Very dark gray silt, some fine sand, little organics
T-07-RB-MB	6-12	х					(roots), wet soft
	12-24		x				
	0-6	х					Dark grav silt and medium fine sand, some to little
T-07-RB-MHWL	6-12	х					organics (roots, leaves), wet. soft
	12-24		Х				
Site Source (In	npacted) Soil						
PDI-DPB-4	3-12			Х		х	Sheen, odor, PID (≤ 10 ppm)
PDI-DPB-7	4-8.5			X		х	Sheen, odor, PID (≤ 40 ppm)
PDI-DPB-8	0.8- 8.5			x		x	Sheen, odor, PID (≤ 10.1 ppm)



#### Table 1 Supplemental PDI Sampling Summary National Grid Gloversville (Hill St.) Former Manufactured Gas Plant (Site No. 5-18-021) Gloversville, NY



#### Notes:

- 1. Samples submitted to Eurofins laboratory in Buffalo, NY.
- 2. Samples submitted to Eurofins laboratory in Buffalo, NY.
- 3. PAH 16 target compound list of 16 parent (non-alkylated) PAHs analyzed using USEPA SW-846 Method 8270.
- 4. PAH 34 18 specific non-alkylated PAHs (or parent PAHs) and 16 generic alkylated PAHs (PAH-34) using USEPA SW-846 Method 8270analyzed using USEPA SW-846 Method 8270.

#### Acronyms and Abbreviations:

T = Transect LB = Left Bank RB = Right Bank MB = Mid-Bank MHWL = Mean High Water Level ppm = parts per million

#### Table 2

### Supplemental PDI - MW-15 Groundwater Sampling Results National Grid



Gloversville (Hill St.) Former Manufactured Gas Plant (Site No. 5-18-021) Gloversville, NY

Date Collected: Units 11/1/22	Location ID:		MW-15S
	Date Collected:	Units	11/1/22
Volatile Organics	Volatile Organics		
1,1,1-Trichloroethane ug/L 0.82 U	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	ug/L	0.82 U
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane ug/L 0.21 U	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	ug/L	0.21 U
1,1,2-trichloro-1,2,2-trifluoroethane ug/L 0.31 U	1,1,2-trichloro-1,2,2-trifluoroethane	ug/L	0.31 U
1,1,2-Trichloroethane ug/L 0.23 U	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	ug/L	0.23 U
1,1-Dichloroethane ug/L 0.38 U	1,1-Dichloroethane	ug/L	0.38 U
1,1-Dichloroethene ug/L 0.29 U	1,1-Dichloroethene	ug/L	0.29 U
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene ug/L 0.41 U	1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	ug/L	0.41 U
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane ug/L 0.39 U	1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane	ug/L	0.39 U
1,2-Dibromoethane ug/L 0.73 U	1,2-Dibromoethane	ug/L	0.73 U
1,2-Dichlorobenzene ug/L 0.79 U	1,2-Dichlorobenzene	ug/L	0.79 U
1,2-Dichloroethane ug/L 0.21 U	1,2-Dichloroethane	ug/L	0.21 U
1,2-Dichloropropane ug/L 0.72 U	1,2-Dichloropropane	ug/L	0.72 U
1,3-Dichlorobenzene ug/L 0.78 U	1,3-Dichlorobenzene	ug/L	0.78 U
1,4-Dichlorobenzene ug/L 0.84 U	1,4-Dichlorobenzene	ug/L	0.84 U
2-Butanone ug/L 1.3 U	2-Butanone	ug/L	1.3 U
2-Hexanone ug/L 1.2 U	2-Hexanone	ug/L	1.2 U
4-Methyl-2-pentanone ug/L 2.1 U	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	ug/L	2.1 U
Acetone ug/L 5.0 J	Acetone	ug/L	5.0 J
Benzene ug/L 0.41 U	Benzene	ug/L	0.41 U
Bromodichloromethane ug/L 0.39 U	Bromodichloromethane	ug/L	0.39 U
Bromoform ug/L 0.26 U	Bromoform	ug/L	0.26 U
Bromomethane ug/L 0.69 U	Bromomethane	ug/L	0.69 U
Carbon Disulfide ug/L 0.19 U	Carbon Disulfide	ug/L	0.19 U
Carbon Tetrachloride ug/L 0.27 U	Carbon Tetrachloride	ug/L	0.27 U
Chlorobenzene ug/L 0.75 U	Chlorobenzene	ug/L	0.75 U
Chloroethane ug/L 0.32 U	Chloroethane	ug/L	0.32 U
Chloroform ug/L 0.34 U	Chloroform	ug/L	0.34 U
Chloromethane ug/L 0.35 U	Chloromethane	ug/L	0.35 U
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene ug/L 0.81 U	cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	ug/L	0.81 U
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene ug/L 0.36 U	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	ug/L	0.36 U
Cyclohexane ug/L 0.18 U	Cyclohexane	ug/L	0.18 U
Dibromochloromethane ug/L 0.32 U	Dibromochloromethane	ug/L	0.32 U
Dichlorodifluoromethane ug/L 0.68 U	Dichlorodifluoromethane	ug/L	0.68 U
Ethylbenzene ug/L 0.74 U	Ethylbenzene	ug/L	0.74 U
Isopropylbenzene ug/L 0.79 U	Isopropylbenzene	ug/L	0.79 U
Methyl acetate ug/L 1.3 U	Methyl acetate	ug/L	1.3 U
Methyl tert-butyl ether ug/L 0.16 U	Methyl tert-butyl ether	ug/L	0.16 U
Methylcyclohexane ug/L 0.16 U	Methylcyclohexane	ug/L	0.16 U
Methylene Chloride ug/L 0.44 U	Methylene Chloride	ug/L	0.44 U
Styrene ug/L 0.73 U	Styrene	ug/L	0.73 U
Tetrachloroethene ug/L 0.36 U	Tetrachloroethene	ug/L	0.36 U
Toluene ug/L 0.51 U	Toluene	ug/L	0.51 U
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene ug/L 0.90 U	trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	ug/L	0.90 U
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene ug/L 0.37 U	trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	ug/L	0.37 U
Trichloroethene ug/L 0.46 U	Trichloroethene	ug/L	0.46 U
Trichlorofluoromethane ug/L 0.88 U	Trichlorofluoromethane	ug/L	0.88 U
Vinyl Chloride ug/L 0.90 U	Vinyl Chloride	ug/L	0.90 U

## Table 2Supplemental PDI - MW-15 Groundwater Sampling ResultsNational GridGloversville (Hill St.) Former Manufactured Gas Plant (Site National Grid)



Gloversville (Hill St.) Former Manufactured Gas Plant (Site No. 5-18-021) Gloversville, NY

Location ID:		MW-15S
Date Collected:	Units	11/1/22
Xylenes (total)	ug/L	0.66 U
Semivolatile Organics	-	
1,1'-Biphenyl	ug/L	0.65 U
2,2'-Oxybis(1-Chloropropane)	ug/L	0.52 U
2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	ug/L	0.48 U
2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	ug/L	0.61 U
2,4-Dichlorophenol	ug/L	0.51 U
2,4-Dimethylphenol	ug/L	0.50 U
2,4-Dinitrophenol	ug/L	2.2 U
2,4-Dinitrotoluene	ug/L	0.45 U
2,6-Dinitrotoluene	ug/L	0.40 U
2-Chloronaphthalene	ug/L	0.46 U
2-Chlorophenol	ug/L	0.53 U
2-Methylnaphthalene	ug/L	0.60 U
2-Methylphenol	ug/L	0.40 U
2-Nitroaniline	ua/L	0.42 U
2-Nitrophenol	ua/L	0.48 U
3.3'-Dichlorobenzidine	ua/L	0.40 U
3-Nitroaniline	ua/L	0.48 U
4.6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol	ua/L	2.2 U
4-Bromophenyl-phenylether	ua/L	0.45 U
4-Chloro-3-Methylphenol	ua/l	0.45 U
4-Chloroaniline	ua/l	0.59 U
4-Chlorophenyl-phenylether	ua/L	0.35 U
4-Methylphenol	ua/L	0.36 U
4-Nitroaniline	ua/L	0.25 U
4-Nitrophenol	ua/L	1.5 U
Acenaphthene	ua/L	0.41 U
Acenaphthylene	ua/l	0.38 U
Acetophenone	ua/L	0.54 U
Anthracene	ua/L	0.28 U
Atrazine	ua/l	0.46 U
Benzaldehvde	ug/L	0.100
Benzo(a)anthracene	ug/L	0.36 U
Benzo(a)pyrene	ua/l	0 47 11
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	ug/L	0.3411
Benzo(a h i)pervlene	ug/L	0.35 U
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	ua/l	0.73 []
his(2-Chloroethoxy)methane	ug/L	0.35 U
his(2-Chloroethyl)ether	ug/L	0.00 0
his(2-Ethylbexyl)phthalate	ug/L	2211
Butylbenzylphthalate	ug/L	1011
Caprolactam	ug/L	2211
Carbazole	ug/L	0.3011
Chrysene	ug/L	0.30 0
Dibenzo(a h)anthracene	ug/L	0.00
Dibenzoluran	ug/L	0.42 0
Disthylahthalata	ug/L	0.010
Dieutyiphilialale	ug/L	0.22 0

## Table 2Supplemental PDI - MW-15 Groundwater Sampling ResultsNational GridGloversville (Hill St.) Former Manufactured Gas Plant (Site Note)



Gloversville (Hill St.) Former Manufactured Gas Plant (Site No. 5-18-021) Gloversville, NY

Location ID:		MW-15S
Date Collected:	Units	11/1/22
Dimethylphthalate	ug/L	0.36 U
Di-n-Butylphthalate	ug/L	0.31 U
Di-n-Octylphthalate	ug/L	0.47 U
Fluoranthene	ug/L	0.40 U
Fluorene	ug/L	0.36 U
Hexachlorobenzene	ug/L	0.51 U
Hexachlorobutadiene	ug/L	0.68 U
Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	ug/L	0.59 U
Hexachloroethane	ug/L	0.59 U
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	ug/L	0.47 U
Isophorone	ug/L	0.43 U
Naphthalene	ug/L	0.76 U
Nitrobenzene	ug/L	0.29 U
N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine	ug/L	0.54 U
N-Nitrosodiphenylamine	ug/L	0.51 U
Pentachlorophenol	ug/L	2.2 U
Phenanthrene	ug/L	0.44 U
Phenol	ug/L	0.39 U
Pyrene	ug/L	0.34 U
Total PAH16	ug/L	0.76 U

#### Notes:

1. Arcadis collected samples on date indicated.

2. Samples analyzed by Eurofins laboratory in Buffalo, NY.

3. Results are presented in micrograms per liter (ug/L), which is equivalent to parts per billion (ppb).

4. J - detected concentration is less than the calibration level but greater than the instrument detection limit. This value is estimated.

5. U - Compound was analyzed for but not detected. The presented value is the compound quantitation limit.

#### Table 3 Supplemental PDI Bank Soil Sampling Results National Grid Gloversville (Hill St.) Former Manufactured Gas Plant (Site No. 5-18-021) Gloversville, NY

Location ID:	Unrestricted		T-05-L	.B-MB	T-05-LB	-MHWL	T-05-R	B-MB	T-05-RB	-MHWL	T-06-L	B-MB
Sample Depth(feet):	Use		0 - 6	6 - 12	0 - 6	6 - 12	0 - 6	6 - 12	0 - 6	6 - 12	0 - 6	6 - 12
Date Collected:	SCOs		12/12/22	12/12/22	12/12/22	12/12/22	12/12/22	12/12/22	12/12/22	12/12/22	12/12/22	12/12/22
Semivolatile Organics												
Acenaphthene	20	mg/kg	0.32 U	0.31 U	0.36 U	0.39 U	0.030 U	0.028 U	0.16 U	0.027 U	0.15 U	0.14 U
Acenaphthylene	100	mg/kg	0.28 U	0.27 U	0.31 U	0.34 U	0.026 U	0.025 U	0.14 U	0.024 U	0.13 U	0.12 U
Anthracene	100	mg/kg	0.53 U	0.51 U	0.85 J	0.66 U	0.050 U	0.048 U	0.27 U	0.046 U	0.28 J	0.23 U
Benzo(a)anthracene	1	mg/kg	1.3 J	0.79 J	2.3 J	1.1 J	0.044 J	0.024 J	0.77 J	0.044 J	1.1	0.59 J
Benzo(a)pyrene	1	mg/kg	1.4 J	0.94 J	2.2 J	1.2 J	0.047 J	0.028 J	0.85 J	0.055 J	0.96 J	0.55 J
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	1	mg/kg	2.0 J	1.3 J	3.1	1.6 J	0.058 J	0.035 J	1.2	0.078 J	1.2	0.65 J
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	100	mg/kg	0.99 J	0.63 J	1.4 J	0.75 J	0.032 J	0.020 U	0.58 J	0.042 J	0.52 J	0.29 J
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	0.8	mg/kg	0.81 J	0.53 J	1.2 J	0.60 J	0.026 J	0.025 U	0.47 J	0.033 J	0.51 J	0.30 J
Chrysene	1	mg/kg	1.6 J	1.0 J	2.6	1.3 J	0.047 J	0.043 U	0.93 J	0.059 J	0.97 J	0.56 J
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	0.33	mg/kg	0.38 U	0.37 U	0.48 J	0.47 U	0.036 U	0.034 U	0.19 J	0.033 U	0.20 J	0.17 U
Fluoranthene	100	mg/kg	3.6	2.2	6.7	3.0	0.087 J	0.051 J	2.0 J	0.10 J	1.9	1.1
Fluorene	30	mg/kg	0.25 U	0.24 U	0.38 J	0.31 U	0.024 U	0.023 U	0.13 U	0.022 U	0.12 U	0.11 U
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	0.5	mg/kg	0.91 J	0.59 J	1.3 J	0.71 J	0.028 J	0.024 U	0.47 J	0.039 J	0.55 J	0.27 J
Naphthalene	12	mg/kg	0.28 U	0.27 U	0.31 U	0.34 U	0.026 U	0.025 U	0.14 U	0.024 U	0.13 U	0.12 U
Phenanthrene	100	mg/kg	1.5 J	0.98 J	4.4	1.5 J	0.033 J	0.028 U	0.92 J	0.036 J	0.80 J	0.37 J
Pyrene	100	mg/kg	2.6	1.6 J	4.8	2.2 J	0.066 J	0.039 J	1.5	0.084 J	1.4	0.86 J
Total TPAHs		mg/kg	17 J	11 J	32 J	14 J	0.47 J	0.18 J	9.9 J	0.57 J	10 J	5.5 J





#### Table 3 Supplemental PDI Bank Soil Sampling Results National Grid Gloversville (Hill St.) Former Manufactured Gas Plant (Site No. 5-18-021) Gloversville, NY

Location ID:	Unrestricted		T-06-LB	-MHWL	T-0	6-RB-MB	T-06-RE	-MHWL	T-07-L	B-MB	T-07-LB	-MHWL
Sample Depth(feet):	Use		0 - 6	6 - 12	0 - 6	6 - 12	0 - 6	6 - 12	0 - 6	6 - 12	0 - 6	6 - 12
Date Collected:	SCOs		12/12/22	12/12/22	12/12/22	12/12/22	12/12/22	12/12/22	12/12/22	12/12/22	12/12/22	12/12/22
Semivolatile Organics												
Acenaphthene	20	mg/kg	0.15 U	0.14 U	0.41 U	0.38 U [0.38 U]	0.41 U	0.41 U	0.42 U	0.40 U	0.89 U	0.20 U
Acenaphthylene	100	mg/kg	0.13 U	0.12 U	0.36 U	0.34 U [0.33 U]	0.36 U	0.36 U	0.37 U	0.35 U	0.78 U	0.18 U
Anthracene	100	mg/kg	0.25 U	0.23 UJ	0.70 U	0.65 U [0.63 U]	0.69 U	0.68 U	0.71 U	0.67 U	1.5 U	0.41 J
Benzo(a)anthracene	1	mg/kg	1.0	0.57 J	1.1 J	0.71 J [1.1 J]	1.5 J	2.2 J	1.0 J	1.0 J	2.9 J	1.7
Benzo(a)pyrene	1	mg/kg	1.0	0.52 J	1.3 J	0.81 J [1.4 J]	1.7 J	2.5 J	1.1 J	1.1 J	3.4 J	1.7
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	1	mg/kg	1.3	0.67 J	1.7 J	1.1 J [1.8 J]	2.3 J	3.3	1.5 J	1.3 J	4.6 J	2.1
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	100	mg/kg	0.54 J	0.31 J	0.75 J	0.46 J [0.89 J]	1.1 J	1.3 J	0.61 J	0.51 J	2.3 J	0.99 J
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	0.8	mg/kg	0.56 J	0.29 J	0.72 J	0.44 J [0.78 J]	0.99 J	1.4 J	0.61 J	0.60 J	1.9 J	0.90 J
Chrysene	1	mg/kg	1.1	0.54 J	1.4 J	0.88 J [1.4 J]	1.9 J	2.9	1.2 J	1.1 J	3.5 J	1.8
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	0.33	mg/kg	0.22 J	0.16 U	0.50 U	0.46 U [0.45 U]	0.49 U	0.49 U	0.50 U	0.48 U	1.1 U	0.33 J
Fluoranthene	100	mg/kg	1.9	0.99 J	3.0	1.8 J [3.2]	4.5	6.5	2.4 J	2.3 J	7.5	3.6
Fluorene	30	mg/kg	0.12 U	0.11 U	0.33 U	0.31 U [0.30 U]	0.33 U	0.33 U	0.34 U	0.32 U	0.71 U	0.16 U
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	0.5	mg/kg	0.52 J	0.30 J	0.71 J	0.43 J [0.79 J]	0.98 J	1.3 J	0.59 J	0.53 J	2.0 J	0.88 J
Naphthalene	12	mg/kg	0.13 U	0.12 U	0.36 U	0.34 U [0.33 U]	0.36 U	0.36 U	0.37 U	0.35 U	0.78 U	0.18 U
Phenanthrene	100	mg/kg	0.63 J	0.46 J	1.2 J	0.80 J [1.5 J]	2.3 J	3.5	1.1 J	1.1 J	3.0 J	1.7
Pyrene	100	mg/kg	1.5	0.80 J	2.0 J	1.3 J [2.3 J]	3.2	4.5	1.9 J	1.7 J	5.6 J	2.9
Total TPAHs		mg/kg	10 J	5.5 J	14 J	8.7 J [15 J]	20 J	29 J	12 J	11 J	37 J	19 J

#### Table 3 Supplemental PDI Bank Soil Sampling Results National Grid Gloversville (Hill St.) Former Manufactured Gas Plant (Site No. 5-18-021) Gloversville, NY

Location ID:	Unrestricted		T-07-RB-	МВ	T-07-RB-MHWL		
Sample Depth(feet):	Use		0 - 6	6 - 12	0 - 6	6 - 12	
Date Collected:	3005		12/12/22	12/12/22	12/12/22	12/12/22	
Semivolatile Organics							
Acenaphthene	20	mg/kg	0.19 U [0.37 U]	0.32 U	0.17 J	0.16 U	
Acenaphthylene	100	mg/kg	0.16 U [0.33 U]	0.28 U	0.15 J	0.14 U	
Anthracene	100	mg/kg	0.31 U [0.63 U]	0.62 J	0.58 J	0.30 J	
Benzo(a)anthracene	1	mg/kg	0.91 J [1.0 J]	1.8 J	1.8	1.4	
Benzo(a)pyrene	1	mg/kg	1.0 J [1.1 J]	1.8 J	1.8	1.4	
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	1	mg/kg	1.3 [1.4 J]	2.2	2.2	1.7	
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	100	mg/kg	0.62 J [0.67 J]	1.1 J	1.1 J	0.84 J	
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	0.8	mg/kg	0.55 J [0.65 J]	0.96 J	0.88 J	0.72 J	
Chrysene	1	mg/kg	0.95 J [1.1 J]	1.7 J	1.8	1.5	
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	0.33	mg/kg	0.22 U [0.45 U]	0.38 U	0.34 J	0.27 J	
Fluoranthene	100	mg/kg	1.9 [2.2 J]	3.8	3.9	2.8	
Fluorene	30	mg/kg	0.15 U [0.30 U]	0.26 J	0.23 J	0.12 U	
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	0.5	mg/kg	0.60 J [0.68 J]	1.0 J	0.99 J	0.82 J	
Naphthalene	12	mg/kg	0.16 U [0.33 U]	0.28 U	0.15 J	0.14 U	
Phenanthrene	100	mg/kg	0.93 J [0.87 J]	2.3	2.5	1.1	
Pyrene	100	mg/kg	1.5 [1.6 J]	2.8	3.2	2.1	
Total TPAHs		mg/kg	10 J [11 J]	20 J	22 J	15 J	

#### Notes:

1. Arcadis collected samples on dates indicated.

2. Samples analyzed by Eurofins laboratory in Buffalo, NY.

3. Results are presented in milligrams per kilogram (mg/kg), which is equivalent to parts per million (ppm).

4. Results compared to NYSDEC Part 375-6 Unrestricted Use Soil Cleanup Objectives (SCOs).

5. Shaded and bolded values indicate concentrations exceeding NYSDEC Part 365 unrestricted SCOs.

6. J - detected concentration is less than the calibration level but greater than the instrument detection limit. This value is estimated.

7. U - Compound was analyzed for but not detected. The presented value is the compound quantitation limit.



#### Table 4 Supplemental PDI - Source Sample Results National Grid Gloversville (Hill St.) Former Manufactured Gas Plant (Site No. 5-18-021) Gloversville, NY



Location ID: Sample Depth(feet): Date Collected:		PDI-DPB-4 3 - 12 11/1/22	PDI-DPB-7 4 - 8.5 11/1/22	PDI-DPB-8 0.8 - 8.5 11/1/22
PAHs				
Total PAH16	mg/kg	140 J	360 J	220 J
Total PAH-34	mg/kg	180 J	470 J	280 J
PAHs-SIM				
1-Methylnaphthalene	mg/kg	24.0 J	94.0 J	51.0 J
2-Methylnaphthalene	mg/kg	34.0 J	110 J	61.0 J
Acenaphthene	mg/kg	16.0 J	48.0 J	33.0 J
Acenaphthvlene	ma/ka	2.40 J	4.20 J	2.20 J
Anthracene	ma/ka	11.0 J	24.0 J	16.0 J
Benzo(a)anthracene	ma/ka	5.10 J	11.0 J	8.80 J
Benzo(a)pyrene	ma/ka	3.30.1	6 20 .1	4 90 .1
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	ma/ka	1.60.1	4 20 11.1	2 40 .1
Benzo(e)nvrene	ma/ka	1.80 1	3 50 1	2.100
Benzo(a, h. i)pervlene	ma/ka	0.450 1	1 60 111	0.690 1
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	ma/ka	2 00 1	3.80 1	2 80 1
	mg/kg	2.00 3	8 80 1	2.00 J 8.60 J
C1-Chrysenes	mg/kg	3.90 J	0.00 J	0.00 J
	mg/kg	17.0 J	33.0 J	33.0 J
C1-Fluorenes	mg/kg	8.50 J	27.0 J	18.0 J
C1-Phenanthrenes/Anthracenes	mg/kg	26.0 J	70.0 J	53.0 J
C2-Chrysenes	mg/kg	1.60 J	8.30 UJ	4.20 J
C2-Fluorenes	mg/kg	6.60 J	17.0 J	12.0 J
C2-Naphthalenes	mg/kg	49.0 J	180 J	99.0 J
C2-Phenanthrenes/Anthracenes	mg/kg	13.0 J	30.0 J	32.0 J
C3-Chrysenes	mg/kg	0.780 UJ	8.30 UJ	1.10 UJ
C3-Fluorenes	mg/kg	2.20 J	0.30 UJ	5.90 J
C3 Phononthronoc/Anthroconoc	mg/kg	20.0 J	00.0 J	12 0 J
C4-Chrysenes	mg/kg	4.10 J	9.40 J 8 30 I I I	1 10 111
C4-Nanhthalenes	ma/ka	6.10.1	23.0.1	17.0.1
C4-Phenanthrenes/Anthracenes	ma/ka	1.30.1	8 30 U.I	3 60 J
Chrysene	ma/ka	4.50 J	12.0 J	8.50 J
Dibenzo(a.h)anthracene	ma/ka	0.180 J	1.60 UJ	0.380 J
Fluoranthene	ma/ka	8.50 J	18.0 J	14.0 J
Fluorene	mg/kg	10.0 J	25.0 J	18.0 J
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	mg/kg	0.470 J	2.00 UJ	0.770 J
Naphthalene	mg/kg	37.0 J	110 J	38.0 J
Perylene	mg/kg	0.450 J	2.00 UJ	1.00 J
Phenanthrene	mg/kg	29.0 J	74.0 J	43.0 J
Pyrene	mg/kg	12.0 J	28.0 J	22.0 J

#### Notes:

1. Arcadis collected samples on dates indicated.

- 2. Samples analyzed by Eurofins laboratory in Buffalo, NY.
- 3. Results are presented in milligrams per kilogram (mg/kg), which is equivalent to parts per million (ppm).
- 4. J detected concentration is less than the calibration level but greater than the instrument detection limit. This value is estimated.
- 5. U Compound was analyzed for but not detected. The presented value is the compound quantitation limit.

## **Figures**



C:\Users\bdeclercq\ACCDocs\Arcadis\AUS-NATIONAL GRID-GLOVERSVILLE FORMER MGP-GLOVERSVILLE New York\Project Files\2023\01-In Progress\01-DWG\General\_Fig. 1\_Background Bank Transects.dwg SAVED: 4/5/2023 10:48 AM BY: DECLERCQ, BRIAN










- FENCE
  - MEAN HIGH WATER LEVEL BANK SOIL SAMPLE LOCATION
  - MID-BANK SOIL SAMPLE LOCATION
  - CREEK RECONNAISSANCE TRANSECT

BANK REMOVAL

- 3. BASEMAP PREPARED FROM ELECTRONIC SURVEY FILE PROVIDED BY NIAGARA MOHAWK ON 7/23/01. PRIMARY SURVEY CONTROL FOR PHOTOGRAMMETIC MAPPING WAS ESTABLISHED FROM AN ON THE GROUND SURVEY, PERFORMED ON JULY 28, 1994 USING THE GLOBAL POSITIONING SYSTEM (GPS) AND CONFORMS TO ORDER 2 CLASS 2 FGCS ACCURACY SPECIFICATIONS. THE HORIZONTAL REFERENCE DATUM NORTH AMERICAN DATUM OF 1983 (NAD 83) ON REFERENCE ELLIPSOID ASSOCIATED WITH THE GEODETIC REFERENCE SYSTEM OF 1980 (GRS 80). THE COORDINATES ARE BASED ON THE NEW YORK STATE PLANE GEODETIC SYSTEM OF 1983 (EASTERN ZONE 3101).

# ANTICIPATED BANK SOIL REMOVAL AREAS



FIGURE

5

## **Attachment 1**

Data Usability Summary Report (DUSR)



## National Grid - Gloversville

## DATA USABILITY SUMMARY REPORT (DUSR)

Gloversville, New York

Volatile and Semi-Volatile Analyses

SDG #480-203413-1, 480-204731-1

Analyses Performed By: Eurofins TestAmerica Buffalo, NY & South Burlington, VT

Report #48390R Review Level: Tier III Project: 30044656. 710

## **SUMMARY**

This data quality assessment summarizes the review of Sample Delivery Group (SDG) # 480-203413-1 and 480-204731-1 for samples collected in association with the National Grid Gloversville Site. The review was conducted as a Tier III evaluation and included review of data package completeness. Only analytical data associated with constituents of concern were reviewed for this validation. Field documentation was not included in this review. Included with this assessment are the validation annotated sample result sheets, and chain of custody. Analyses were performed on the following samples:

				Sample		Analysis	
SDG Number	Sample ID		Matrix	Collection Date	Parent Sample	voc	svoc
	PDI-DPB-4 (3.0-12.0)	480-203413-1	Soil	11/01/2022			Х
	PDI-DPB-7 (4-8.5)	480-203413-2	Soil	11/01/2022			Х
480-203413-1	PDI-DPB-8 (0.8-8.5)	480-203413-3	Soil	11/01/2022			Х
	MW-15S	480-203413-4	Water	11/01/2022		Х	Х
	ТВ	480-203413-5	Water	11/01/2022		Х	
	T-05-LB-MB (0-6)	480-204731-1	Soil	12/12/2022			Х
	T-05-LB-MB (6-12)	480-204731-2	Soil	12/12/2022			Х
	T-05-LB-MHWL (0-6)	480-204731-4	Soil	12/12/2022			Х
	T-05-LB-MHWL (6-12)	480-204731-5	Soil	12/12/2022			Х
	T-05-RB-MB (0-6)	480-204731-7	Soil	12/12/2022			Х
	T-05-RB-MB (6-12)	480-204731-8	Soil	12/12/2022			Х
	T-05-RB-MHWL (0-6)	480-204731-10	Soil	12/12/2022			Х
	T-05-RB-MHWL (6-12)	480-204731-11	Soil	12/12/2022			Х
	T-06-LB-MB (0-6)	480-204731-13	Soil	12/12/2022			Х
	T-06-LB-MB (6-12)	480-204731-14	Soil	12/12/2022			Х
400 004704 4	T-06-LB-MHWL (0-6)	480-204731-16	Soil	12/12/2022			Х
480-204731-1	T-06-LB-MHWL (6-12)	480-204731-17	Soil	12/12/2022			Х
	T-06-RB-MB (0-6)	480-204731-19	Soil	12/12/2022			Х
	T-06-RB-MB (6-12)	480-204731-20	Soil	12/12/2022			Х
	T-06-RB-MHWL (0-6)	480-204731-22	Soil	12/12/2022			Х
	T-06-RB-MHWL (6-12)	480-204731-23	Soil	12/12/2022			Х
	T-07-LB-MB (0-6)	480-204731-25	Soil	12/12/2022			Х
	T-07-LB-MB (6-12)	480-204731-26	Soil	12/12/2022			Х
	T-07-LB-MHWL (0-6)	480-204731-28	Soil	12/12/2022			Х
	T-07-LB-MHWL (6-12)	480-204731-29	Soil	12/12/2022			Х
	T-07-RB-MB (0-6)	480-204731-31	Soil	12/12/2022			Х
	T-07-RB-MB (6-12)	480-204731-32	Soil	12/12/2022			Х

arcadis.com

g:\project\_data\project chemistry\data validation reports\2023\48001-48500\48390r\_480-203413-1, 480-204731-1.docx

				Sample		Analysis			
SDG Number	Sample ID	Lab ID	Matrix Collection Date		Matrix Collection Date		Parent Sample	voc	svoc
	T-07-RB-MHWL (0-6)	480-204731-34	Soil	12/12/2022			х		
	T-07-RB-MHWL (6-12)	480-204731-35	Soil	12/12/2022			х		
	DUP-121222-01	480-204731-37	Soil	12/12/2022	T-06-RB-MB (6-12)		Х		
	DUP-121222-02	480-204731-38	Soil	12/12/2022	T-07-RB-MB (0-6)		Х		

Note:

- 1. Sample locations MW-15S (Lab ID 480-203413-4) and the associated Trip Blank, TB (Lab ID 480-203413-5) were not included in this evaluation.
- 2. The matrix spike/matrix spike duplicate (MS/MSD) analysis was performed on sample location T-05-RB-MHWL (0-6) and T-06-LB-MHWL (6-12).

#### ANALYTICAL DATA PACKAGE DOCUMENTATION

The table below is the evaluation of the data package completeness.

	Items Reviewed		orted	Perfor Acce	mance ptable	Not
		No	Yes	No	Yes	Required
1.	Sample receipt condition		Х		Х	
2.	Requested analyses and sample results		Х		Х	
3.	Master tracking list		Х		Х	
4.	Methods of analysis		Х		Х	
5.	Reporting limits		Х		Х	
6.	Sample collection date		Х		Х	
7.	Laboratory sample received date		Х		Х	
8.	Sample preservation verification (as applicable)		Х		Х	
9.	Sample preparation/extraction/analysis dates		Х		Х	
10.	Fully executed Chain-of-Custody (COC) form		Х		Х	
11.	Narrative summary of QA or sample problems provided		Х		Х	
12.	Data Package Completeness and Compliance		Х		Х	
No	te:					

QA - Quality Assurance

#### **ORGANIC ANALYSIS INTRODUCTION**

Analyses were performed according to United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) SW-846 Methods 8270D and 8270E SIM. Data were reviewed in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines for Organic Superfund Methods Data Review, EPA 540-R-20-005, November 2020 (with reference to the historical USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Organic Data Review, OSWER 9240.1-05A-P, October 1999), and applicable Region II SOPs. USEPA NFGs and Region II SOPs were followed for qualification purposes.

The data review process is an evaluation of data on a technical basis rather than a determination of contract compliance. As such, the standards against which the data are being weighed may differ from those specified in the analytical method. It is assumed that the data package represents the best efforts of the laboratory and had already been subjected to adequate and sufficient quality review prior to submission.

During the review process, laboratory qualified and unqualified data are verified against the supporting documentation. Based on this evaluation, qualifier codes may be added, deleted, or modified by the data reviewer. Results are qualified with the following codes in accordance with USEPA National Functional Guidelines:

- Concentration (C) Qualifiers
  - U The compound was analyzed for but not detected. The associated value is the compound quantitation limit.
  - B The compound has been found in the sample as well as its associated blank, its presence in the sample may be suspect.
- Quantitation (Q) Qualifiers
  - E The compound was quantitated above the calibration range.
  - D Concentration is based on a diluted sample analysis.
- Validation Qualifiers
  - J The compound was positively identified; however, the associated numerical value is an estimated concentration only.
  - UJ The compound was not detected above the reported sample quantitation limit. However, the reported limit is approximate and may or may not represent the actual limit of quantitation.
  - JN The analysis indicates the presence of a compound for which there is presumptive evidence to make a tentative identification. The associated numerical value is an estimated concentration only.
  - UB Compound considered non-detect at the listed value due to associated blank contamination.
  - N The analysis indicates the presence of a compound for which there is presumptive evidence to make a tentative identification.
  - R The sample results are rejected.

Two facts should be noted by all data users. First, the "R" flag means that the associated value is unusable. In other words, due to significant quality control (QC) problems, the analysis is invalid and provides no information as to whether the compound is present or not. "R" values should not appear on data tables because they cannot be relied upon, even as a last resort. The second fact to keep in mind is

arcadis.com g:\project\_data\project chemistry\data validation reports\2023\48001-48500\48390\48390r\_480-203413-1, 480-204731-1.docx

that no compound concentration, even if it has passed all QC tests, is guaranteed to be accurate. Strict QC serves to increase confidence in data but any value potentially contains error.

#### SEMIVOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUND (SVOC) ANALYSES

#### 1. Holding Times

The specified holding times for the following methods are presented in the following table.

Method	Matrix	Holding Time	Preservation
SW-846 8270	Soil	14 days from collection to extraction and 40 days from extraction to analysis	Cool to <6 °C

SDG# 480-203413-1, 480-204731-1: All samples were analyzed within the specified holding time criteria.

#### 2. Blank Contamination

Quality assurance (QA) blanks (i.e., method and rinse blanks) are prepared to identify any contamination which may have been introduced into the samples during sample preparation or field activity. Method blanks measure laboratory contamination. Rinse blanks measure contamination of samples during field operations.

A blank action level (BAL) of five times the concentration of a detected compound in an associated blank (common laboratory contaminant compounds are calculated at ten times) is calculated for QA blanks containing concentrations greater than the method detection limit (MDL). The BAL is compared to the associated sample results to determine the appropriate qualification of the sample results, if needed.

<u>SDG# 480-203413-1</u>: Compounds were detected in the associated QA blanks; however, the associated sample results were greater than the BAL and/or were non-detect. Therefore, sample results greater than the BAL resulted in the removal of the laboratory qualifier (B). No other qualification of the sample results was required.

<u>SDG# 480-204731-1</u>: Compounds were not detected above the MDL in the associated blanks; therefore, detected sample results were not associated with blank contamination.

#### 3. Mass Spectrometer Tuning

Mass spectrometer performance was acceptable and all analyses were performed within a 12-hour tune clock.

System performance and column resolution were acceptable.

#### 4. Calibration

Satisfactory instrument calibration is established to ensure that the instrument is capable of producing acceptable quantitative data. An initial calibration demonstrates that the instrument is capable of acceptable performance at the beginning of an experimental sequence. The continuing calibration verifies that the instrument daily performance is satisfactory.

#### 4.1 Initial Calibration

The method specifies percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) and relative response factor (RRF) limits for select compounds only. A technical review of the data applies limits to all compounds with no exceptions.

All target compounds associated with the initial calibration standards must exhibit a %RSD less than the control limit (20%) or a correlation coefficient greater than 0.99 and an RRF value greater than control limit (0.05).

#### 4.2 Continuing Calibration

All target compounds associated with the continuing calibration standard must exhibit a percent difference (%D) less than the control limit (20%) and RRF value greater than control limit (0.05).

SDG# 480-203413-1, 480-204731-1: All compounds associated with the calibrations were within the specified control limits.

#### 5. Surrogates/System Monitoring Compounds

All samples to be analyzed for organic compounds are spiked with surrogate compounds prior to sample preparation to evaluate overall laboratory performance and efficiency of the analytical technique. SVOC analysis requires that two of the three SVOC surrogate compounds within each fraction exhibit recoveries within the laboratory-established acceptance limits and that all SVOC surrogate recoveries be greater than ten percent.

<u>SDG# 480-203413-1, 480-204731-1:</u> Sample locations associated with surrogates exhibiting recoveries outside of the control limits presented in the following table.

SDGs	Sample IDs	Surrogate	Recovery
		2-methylnaphthalene-d10	
480-203413-1	PDI-DPB-4 (3.0-12.0) PDI-DPB-7 (4-8.5) PDI-DPB-8 (0.8-8.5)	Benzo(a)pyrene-d12	5
		Fluoranthene-d10	
		Fluorene-d10	

Notes:

UL Upper control limit

D Diluted

The criteria used to evaluate the surrogate recoveries are presented in the following table. In the case of a surrogate deviation, the sample results are qualified as documented in the table below.

Control Limit	Sample Result	Qualification
S10	Non-detect	No Action
	Detect	J
< 11 but > 10%	Non-detect	UJ
	Detect	J
~ 10%	Non-detect	R
	Detect	J
Surrogates diluted below the calibration curve due to the high	Non-detect	UJ <sup>1</sup>
concentration of a target compounds	Detect	J <sup>1</sup>

arcadis.com

g:\project\_data\project chemistry\data validation reports\2023\48001-48500\48390\48390r\_480-203413-1, 480-204731-1.docx

Control Limit	Sample Result	Qualification
Note:		

<sup>1</sup> A more concentrated analysis was not performed with surrogate compounds within the calibration range; therefore, no determination of extraction efficiency could be made.

#### 6. Internal Standard Performance

Internal standard performance criteria ensure that the GC/MS sensitivity and response are stable during every sample analysis. The criteria require the internal standard compounds associated with the SVOC exhibit area counts that are not greater than two times (+100%) or less than one-half (-50%) of the area counts of the associated continuing calibration standard.

SDG# 480-203413-1, 480-204731-1: All internal standard responses were within control limits.

#### 7. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate (MS/MSD) Analysis

MS/MSD data are used to assess the precision and accuracy of the analytical method. The compounds used to perform the MS/MSD analysis must exhibit a percent recovery within the laboratory-established acceptance limits. The relative percent difference (RPD) between the MS/MSD recoveries must exhibit an RPD within the laboratory-established acceptance limits.

Note: The MS/MSD recovery control limits do not apply for MS/MSD performed on sample locations where the compound concentration detected in the parent sample exceeds the MS/MSD concentration by a factor of four or greater.

SDG# 480-203413-1: MS/MSD analysis was not performed on a sample from this SDG.

<u>SDG# 480-204731-1:</u> MS/MSD analysis was performed on a sample T-05-RB-MHWL (0-6) and T-06-LB-MHWL (6-12). MS/MSD analysis exhibited recoveries and RPDs within the control limits with the exceptions noted below.

SDGs	Sample IDs	Compound	MS Recovery	MSD Recovery
	T-06-LB-MHWL (6-12)	Anthracene	> UL	AC
		Benzo[a]anthracene	> UL	AC
		Benzo[a]pyrene	> UL	AC
		Chrysene	> UL	AC
460-204731-1		Fluoranthene	> UL	AC
		Fluorene	> UL	AC
		Phenanthrene	> UL	AC
		Pyrene	> UL	AC

Note:

#### AC Acceptable

The criteria used to evaluate the MS/MSD recoveries are presented in the following table. In the case of an MS/MSD deviation, the sample results are qualified as documented in the table below.

Control Limit	Sample Result	Qualification
> the upper control limit (LIL)	Non-detect	No Action
	Detect	J
< the lower control limit (11) but > 10%	Non-detect	UJ
	Detect	J
< 10%	Non-detect	R
	Detect	J
Parent sample concentration > four times the MS/MSD spiking	Detect	
solution concentration.	Non-detect	INU ACTION

Sample locations associated with MS/MSD recoveries exhibiting an RPD greater than of the control limit presented in the following table.

SDGs	Sample IDs Compound	
	T-05-RB-MHWL (0-6)	Fluoranthene
480-204731-1		Anthracene
		Benzo[a]anthracene
		Benzo[b]fluoranthene
	1-06-LB-IVIHVVL (6-12)	Chrysene
		Fluoranthene
		Phenanthrene

The criteria used to evaluate the RPD between the MS/MSD recoveries are presented in the following table. In the case of an RPD deviation, the sample results are qualified as documented in the table below.

Control Limit	Sample Result	Qualification
	Non-detect	UJ
> UL	Detect	J

#### 8. Laboratory Control Sample / Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate (LCS/ LCSD) Analysis

The LCS/LCSD analysis is used to assess the accuracy and precision of the analytical method independent of matrix interferences. The compounds associated with the LCS/LCSD analysis must exhibit a percent recovery and RPDs within the laboratory-established acceptance limits.

SDG# 480-203413-1, 480-204731-1: Sample locations associated with LCS/LCSD analysis exhibited recoveries and RPDs within the control limits.

#### 9. Field Duplicate Analysis

Field duplicate analysis is used to assess the overall precision of the field sampling procedures and analytical method. A control limit of 30% for water matrices and 50% for soil matrices is applied to the RPD between the parent sample and the field duplicate. In the instance when the parent and/or duplicate

arcadis.com g:\project\_data\project chemistry\data validation reports\2023\48001-48500\48390\48390r\_480-203413-1, 480-204731-1.docx sample concentrations are less than or equal to 5 times the RL, a control limit of two times the RL is applied for water matrices or three times the RL is applied for soil matrices.

SDG# 480-203413-1: A field duplicate sample was not collected from this SDG.

Results for duplicate samples are summarized in the following table.

SDGs	Sample ID/ Duplicate ID	Compound	Sample Result	Duplicate Result	RPD
		Benzo[a]anthracene	710 J	1100 J	AC
		Benzo[a]pyrene	810 J	1400 J	AC
		Benzo[b]fluoranthene	1100 J	1800 J	AC
		Benzo[g,h,i]perylene	460 J	890 J	RPD         AC         AC
	T-06-RB-MB (6-12) /	Benzo[k]fluoranthene	440 J	780 J	
	DUP-121222-01	Chrysene	880 J	1400 J	AC
		Fluoranthene	1800 J	3200	AC
		Indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene	430 J	790 J	AC
		Phenanthrene	800 J	1500 J	AC
400.004704.4		Pyrene	1300 J	2300 J	AC
480-204731-1		Benzo[a]anthracene	910 J	1000 J	AC
		Benzo[a]pyrene	1000 J	1100 J	RPD         AC         AC
		Benzo[b]fluoranthene	1300	1400 J	AC
		Benzo[g,h,i]perylene	620 J	670 J	AC         AC
	T-07-RB-MB (0-6) /	Benzo[k]fluoranthene	550 J	650 J	AC
	DUP-121222-02	Chrysene	950 J	1100 J	AC
		Fluoranthene	1900	2200 J	AC
		Indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene	600 J	680 J	AC
		Phenanthrene	930 J	870 J	AC
		Pyrene	1500	1600 J	AC

Note:

AC Acceptable

The calculated RPDs between the parent sample and field duplicate were acceptable.

#### 10. Compound Identification

Compounds are identified on the GC/MS by using the analytes relative retention time and ion spectra.

Sample results associated with compound that exhibited a concentration greater than the linear range of the instrument calibration are summarized in the following table.

#### arcadis.com

SDG	Sample ID	Compound	Original Analysis	Diluted Analysis	Reported Analysis
480-203413-1	PDI-DPB-8 (0.8-8.5)	2-Methylnaphthalene		61000	61000 D

Note: In the instance where both the original analysis and the diluted analysis sample results exhibited a concentration greater than and/or less than the calibration linear range of the instrument; the sample result exhibiting the greatest concentration will be reported as the final result.

Sample results associated with compounds exhibiting concentrations greater than the linear range are qualified as documented in the table below when reported as the final reported sample result.

Reported Sample Results	Qualification
Diluted sample result within calibration range	D
Diluted sample result less than the calibration range	DJ
Diluted sample result greater than the calibration range	EDJ
Original sample result greater than the calibration range	EJ

Please note the laboratory states in the following in the case narrative regarding the Alkyl PAHs:

Method 8270E SIM: Total alkyl homologue results are considered estimated. These compounds are identified as eluting within a retention time window established by examining a qualitative mixture of coal tar and crude oil. Quantitation is estimated using a parent PAH response factor. For the total alkyl PAHs, the reporting limit (RL) for the parent PAH is used for both the MDL and the RL. Results for the total alkyl PAHs are not reported below the RL of the parent PAH. These compounds were not included in the calibration standards.

As noted in the case narrative, samples T-07-LB-MHWL (0-6) and T-07-LB-MHWL (6-12) were decanted prior to sample preparation.

#### 11. System Performance and Overall Assessment

Overall system performance was acceptable. Other than for those deviations specifically mentioned in this review, the overall data quality is within the guidelines specified in the method.

#### DATA VALIDATION CHECKLIST FOR SVOCs

SVOCs: SW-846 8270	Repo	orted	Perforr Accep	nance table	Not
	No	Yes	No	Yes	Required
GAS CHROMATOGRAPHY/MASS SPECTROM	ETRY (GC	/MS)			
Tier II Validation					
Holding times		Х		Х	
Reporting limits (units)		Х		Х	
Blanks		-			
A. Method blanks		X	Х		
B. Equipment blanks	Х				Х
Laboratory Control Sample (LCS) %R		Х		Х	
Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate (LCSD) %R		Х		Х	
LCS/LCSD Precision (RPD)		Х		Х	
Matrix Spike (MS) %R		х	Х		
Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD) %R		Х		Х	
MS/MSD Precision (RPD)		Х	Х		
Field/Lab Duplicate (RPD)		Х		Х	
Surrogate Spike Recoveries		Х	Х		
Dilution Factor		Х		Х	
Moisture Content		Х		Х	
Tier III Validation					
System performance and column resolution		Х		Х	
Initial calibration %RSDs		Х		Х	
Continuing calibration RRFs		Х		Х	
Continuing calibration %Ds		Х		Х	
Instrument tune and performance check		Х		Х	
Ion abundance criteria for each instrument used		Х		Х	
Internal standard		Х		Х	
Compound identification and quantitation					
A. Reconstructed ion chromatograms		Х		Х	
B. Quantitation Reports		X		Х	
C. RT of sample compounds within the established RT windows		x		Х	

arcadis.com

SVOCs: SW-846 8270		Repo	orted	Perforr Accep	nance table	Not	
		No	Yes	No	Yes	Required	
GAS	CHROMATOGRAPHY/MASS SPECTROM	ETRY (GC	/MS)				
D.	Quantitation transcriptions/calculations		Х		Х		
E.	Reporting limits adjusted to reflect sample dilutions		х		х		

Notes:

%RSD Relative standard deviation

%R Percent recovery

RPD Relative percent difference

%D Percent difference

#### SAMPLE COMPLIANCE REPORT

					Comp	oliancy <sup>1</sup>		
Sample Delivery Group (SDG)	Sampling Date	Protocol	Sample ID	Matrix	voc	SVOC	Noncompliance	
	11/01/2022	SW846	PDI-DPB-4 (3.0-12.0)	Soil	-	No	SVOC: Surrogate %R	
480-203413-1	11/01/2022	SW846	PDI-DPB-8 (0.8-8.5)	Soil	-	No	SVOC: Surrogate %R	
	11/01/2022	SW846	PDI-DPB-7 (4-8.5)	Soil	-	No	SVOC: Surrogate %R	
	12/12/2022	SW846	T-05-LB-MB (0-6)	Soil	-	Yes		
	12/12/2022	SW846	T-05-LB-MB (6-12)	Soil	-	Yes		
	12/12/2022	SW846	T-05-LB-MHWL (0-6)	Soil	-	Yes		
	12/12/2022	SW846	T-05-LB-MHWL (6-12)	Soil	-	Yes		
	12/12/2022	SW846	T-05-RB-MB (0-6)	Soil	-	Yes		
	12/12/2022	SW846	T-05-RB-MB (6-12)	Soil	-	Yes		
	12/12/2022	SW846	T-05-RB-MHWL (0-6)	Soil	-	No	SVOC: MS/MSD RPD	
	12/12/2022	SW846	T-05-RB-MHWL (6-12)	Soil	-	Yes		
480-204731-1	12/12/2022	SW846	T-06-LB-MB (0-6)	Soil	-	Yes		
400-204731-1	12/12/2022	SW846	T-06-LB-MB (6-12)	Soil	-	Yes		
	12/12/2022	SW846	T-06-LB-MHWL (0-6)	Soil	-	Yes		
	12/12/2022	SW846	T-06-LB-MHWL (6-12)	Soil	-	No	SVOC: MS%R, MS/MSD RPD	
	12/12/2022	SW846	T-06-RB-MB (0-6)	Soil	-	Yes		
	12/12/2022	SW846	T-06-RB-MB (6-12)	Soil	-	Yes		
	12/12/2022	SW846	T-06-RB-MHWL (0-6)	Soil	-	Yes		
	12/12/2022	SW846	T-06-RB-MHWL (6-12)	Soil	-	Yes		
	12/12/2022	SW846	T-07-LB-MB (0-6)	Soil	-	Yes		
	12/12/2022	SW846	T-07-LB-MB (6-12)	Soil	-	Yes		

#### arcadis.com

g:\project\_data\project chemistry\data validation reports\2023\48001-48500\48390\48390r\_480-203413-1, 480-204731-1.docx

					Com	oliancy <sup>1</sup>	
Sample Delivery Group (SDG)	Sampling Date	Protocol	Sample ID	Matrix	voc	svoc	Noncompliance
	12/12/2022	SW846	T-07-LB-MHWL (0-6)	Soil	-	Yes	
	12/12/2022	SW846	T-07-LB-MHWL (6-12)	Soil	-	Yes	
	12/12/2022	SW846	T-07-RB-MB (0-6)	Soil	-	Yes	
	12/12/2022	SW846	T-07-RB-MB (6-12)	Soil	-	Yes	
	12/12/2022	SW846	T-07-RB-MHWL (0-6)	Soil	-	Yes	
	12/12/2022	SW846	T-07-RB-MHWL (6-12)	Soil	-	Yes	
	12/12/2022	SW846	DUP-121222-01	Soil	-	Yes	
	12/12/2022	SW846	DUP-121222-02	Soil	-	Yes	

#### Note:

Samples which are compliant with no added validation qualifiers are listed as "yes". Samples which are non-compliant or which have added qualifiers are listed as "no". A "no" designation does not necessarily indicate that the data have been rejected or are otherwise unusable

#### Note:

SDG#480-203413-1: Sample locations MW-15S and TB were not included in this evaluation.

VALIDATION PERFORMED BY: Hareesha Naik

SIGNATURE:

RE: Haline

DATE: January 20, 2023

PEER REVIEW: Joe Houser

DATE: January 25, 2023

## CHAIN OF CUSTODY CORRECTED SAMPLE ANALYSIS DATA SHEETS



10 Hazelwood Drive

Amherst, NY 14228-2298 Phone: 716-691-2600 Fax: 716-691-7991 Chain of Custody Record

Syracuse		eurofins
----------	--	----------

Environment Testing

The last

Numerical State         No. 30         Distance         Distance <thdistance< th=""></thdistance<>	Client Information	Sampler:	Mari	(92 bo	Lab	PM:	1					Carrier 1	t ano	25		COC No:	194
And All and A	Client Contact:	Phone: 215	-900-	0510	E-M	ail:	Jonn	R				State of (	Origin:	17		480-178868-382 Page	72.5
ARACHER US is inc         Analysis Requested         Def         Market Stream         Market St	Company:	5/3	112-0	PWSID:	Joh	n.Sch	iove@	get.eu	irofinsi	us.cor	m		A	19		Page of	
Disc Lindon Center 110 West Fayette St. Suite 300       Data Data Respective // / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / /	ARCADIS U.S. Inc									Ana	alysis Re	queste	d			Job #:	1
Openand Difference         The Measure Star A Control         The Measure Star A Control A Control         The Measure Star A Control A Contro         The Measure Star Control	One Lincoln Center 110 West Fayette St, Suite 300	Due Date Request	ed:		U.S.											Preservation Cod	es:
Single Light Light         Single Address         Single Address         Single Light         Sin	City: Svracuse	TAT Requested (d	ays)n	0.0.								1, 1				1881	M - Hexane N - None
V1. 1322       Comparison Project: a Yee A No       0.2.0243 (2.0.m)	State, Zip:	_ Standa	~~ //	v Day													O - AsNaO2 P - Na2O4S
195.677-1902.Ten)       00000822.0009       000000000000000000000000000000000000	NY, 13202 Phone:	Compliance Project	ct: A Yes	A No				÷									Q - Na2SO3
Date:       Or #	315-671-9162(Tel)	B0036652.0009	)					AH 3									S - H2SO4
Non-Mark         Head B         Head	<sub>l</sub> Email: makenna.guarnieri@arcadis.com	WO #:				- N	) H16)	Hs (P			48	0-20341	3 Chair	n of Cus	tody		U - Acetone
Aladona Caloversvile, NY 40020236 Modeland Grid Glowersvile, NY 40020236 Sample Cantification Sample Date Sample Matrix Special Instructions/Note: Presenvalue Sample Matrix Special Instructions/Note: Preservalue Code N In N A I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	Project Name:	Project #:				68 0	PAI	PA					1 1	1 1		K - EDTA	V - MCAA W - pH 4-5
No-Hond       Grit of Glow/GSUIILe       Store       Bample       Bample <th< td=""><td>National Grid - Gloversville,NY Site:</td><td>48020236</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>₹ S</td><td>atiles</td><td>ylate</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>taine</td><td>L - EDA</td><td>Y - Trizma Z - other (specify)</td></th<>	National Grid - Gloversville,NY Site:	48020236				₹ S	atiles	ylate							taine	L - EDA	Y - Trizma Z - other (specify)
Sample Identification         Sample Date         Sample Date         Matrix for the presentation Construction of t	National Grid Glovesville	550W#:				amp	SD-O	- Alk	S						fcon	Other:	
Sample Identification       Sample Date       Time       Memory of the mark of themark of the mark of the mark of themark of the				Sample	Matrix	red S	S Sei	ALK	SVO	200					ler of		
Sample Identification         Sample Obto         Sample Occession         Sample Occession<				Туре	(W=water,	Filte	PA.	SIM	- 10	- TCL					Iumt		
Piper Harmonic Code       N       A	Sample Identification	Sample Date	Sample Time	(C=comp,	O=waste/oll,	ield	270D	270E	270D	260C					otal N		
PDI-DPB-4(3.0-1/2.0)       IH-D2       IO45       Solid       I         PDI-DPB-7(4-8-5)       IH-1.22       IJ30       C       Solid       I         PUI-DPB-7(H-8-5)       IH-1.22       IJ30       C       Solid       I         PUI-DPB-7(H-8-5)       IH-1.22       IJ30       C       Solid       I         PUI-DPB-7(H-8-5)       IH-1.22       IJ30       C       Solid       I         PUI-DPB-7(H-8-20)       IH-1.22       IJ30       C       Solid       I         PUI-DPB-7(H-8-20)       IH-1.22       IJ30       C       Solid       I         PUI-DPB-7(H-8-20)       IH-1.22       IJ30       C       Solid       I       I         PUI-1.102       IJ40       G       Water       I       I       I       I         Pui-1.102       IJ40       G       Water       I       I       I       I       I         Pui-1.102		> <	> <	Preserva	ation Code:	X	XN	N	N	A					-F	Special In	structions/Note:
PD1 - DP3 - 4 (30-1/2.0)       IH - 22       IO4 S C       Solid       Solid       Image: Soli	Phi-to-or				Solid	T									-		
PDI - DPB - 7 (4-9.5)       II-I-21       III30       C       Solid       III         PDI - DPB - 8 (0.8 - 9.5)       II-I-1-22       III30       C       Solid       III         MU - 15.5       II-I-22       III30       C       Solid       III         TRIP BLANK       Water       III       IIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII	PDT-DPB-4 (3.0-12.0)	11-1-22	1045	C	Solid	╉╋	+-	1				++-	+ +		-		
Internal	2NT- NPB-7/4-8.5	11197	1120	C	Colid	++		10				++	+				
Implementation       Implementation       Implementation       Implementation       Implementation         Second Prison       Implementation       Implementation       Implementation       Implementation       Implementation         Second Prison       Implementation       Implementation       Implementation       Implementation       Implementation       Implementation         Second Printime       Implementation	PNT-DPP-80995	11-1-20	1150	6	5010	++		13							1		
Image: Second Structure       Image: Second Structure <td>FUL OFB 0(0.8-0.0)</td> <td>11-1-22</td> <td>1050</td> <td>C</td> <td>Solid</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>3</td> <td>1  </td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>1</td> <td></td> <td></td>	FUL OFB 0(0.8-0.0)	11-1-22	1050	C	Solid			3	1						1		
TK2P BLANK       Water       Water       2         Water       Water       2         Water <td>MV-155</td> <td>11-1-22</td> <td>1240</td> <td>G</td> <td>Water</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>X</td> <td>X</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>5</td> <td>5</td> <td></td>	MV-155	11-1-22	1240	G	Water				X	X					5	5	
Possible Hazard Identification       Sample Disposal (A fee may be assessed if samples are retained longer than 1 month)         Possible Hazard Identification       Radiological         Non-Hazard Irianmable       Skin Initiant         Poison B       Unknown         Redulogical       Redulogical         Sample Disposal (A fee may be assessed if samples are retained longer than 1 month)         Deliverable Requested: I, II, III, IV, Other (specify)         Special Instructions/CR Requirements:         retinguished by:       Date/Time:         View Ab       Date/Time:         Date/Time:       Date/Time:         Influence       Company         Received by:       Date/Time:         Date/Time:       Date/Time:         Date/Time:       Company         A Yes A No       Coler Temperature(s)*C and Other Remarks         Coler Temperature(s)*C and Other Remarks       Coler Temperature(s)*C and Other Remarks	TRIP BLANK				Water	Т				V					2		
Sessible Hazard Identification       Sample Disposal (A fee may be assessed if samples are retained longer than 1 month)         Possible Hazard Identification       Non-Hazard       Flammable       Skin Irritant       Poison B       Unknown       Radiological         Sessible Hazard Identification       Non-Hazard       Flammable       Skin Irritant       Poison B       Unknown       Radiological         Semple Disposal (A fee may be assessed if samples are retained longer than 1 month)       Return To Client       Disposal By Lab       Archive For       Months         Special Instructions/QC Requirements       Special Instructions/QC Requirements       Instructions/QC Requirements       Company       Received By:       Date/Time       Company         elinquished by:       Date/Time       Date/Time       Company       Received by:       Date/Time       If 2122 0 cc       Company         elinquished by:       Date/Time       Company       Received by:       Date/Time       If 2122 0 cc       Company         elinquished by:       Date/Time       Company       Received by:       Date/Time       If 2122 0 cc       Company         elinquished by:       Date/Time       Company       Received by:       Date/Time       If 2122 0 cc       Company         Custody Seals Intact:       Custody Seal No::       Cooler Tempa						++	+	+-		~	-+-+-	+++	+		2		
Possible Hazard Identification Non-Hazard       Poison B       Unknown       Radiological         Possible Hazard Identification Non-Hazard       Sample Disposal (A fee may be assessed if samples are retained longer than 1 month)         Peliverable Requested: I, III, III, IV, Other (specify)       Sample Disposal (A fee may be assessed if samples are retained longer than 1 month)         Peliverable Requested: I, III, III, IV, Other (specify)       Date:       Time:         Impulsited by:       Date:       Time:         Impulsited by:       Date:       Time:         Impulsited by:       Date:       Time:         Impulsited by:       Date:       Company         Impulsited by:       Date:       Time:         Impulsited by:       Date:       Company         Impulsited by:       Company       Received by:						++	+					+-+-	+ +				
Possible Hazard Identification Non-Hazard       Poison B       Unknown       Radiological       Sample Disposal (A fee may be assessed if samples are retained longer than 1 month)         Peliverable Requested: I. III, III, VO, Other (specify)       Special Instructions/QC Requirements:       Archive For       Months         Simulation by Control (specify)       Date/Time:       Date/Time:       Method of Shipment.         Simulation by Control (specify)       Date/Time:       Company       Date/Time:       Company         Feinquished by:       Date/Time:       Date/Time:       Company       Company       Received by:       Date/Time:       Company         elinquished by:       Date/Time:       Date/Time:       Company       Received by:       Method of Shipment.         elinquished by:       Date/Time:       Date/Time:       Company       Received by:       Date/Time:       Company         elinquished by:       Date/Time:       Company       Received by:       Method of Shipment.       Company         elinquished by:       Date/Time:       Company       Received by:       Method of Shipment.       Company         elinquished by:       Date/Time:       Company       Received by:       Method of Shipment.       Company         elinquished by:       Date/Time:       Company       Receiv	P					++						+	+				
Possible Hazard Identification       Sample Disposal (A fee may be assessed if samples are retained longer than 1 month)         Non-Hazard       Flammable       Skin Irritant       Poison B       Unknown       Radiological       Sample Disposal (A fee may be assessed if samples are retained longer than 1 month)         Deliverable Requested: J. II. III. IV. Other (specify)       Special Instructions/QC Requirements:       Archive For       Months         mpty Kit Relinquished by:       Date:       Time:       Method of Shipment:         relinquished by:       Date:       Company       Received by:       MutA         relinquished by:       Date:       Time:       Method of Shipment:         relinquished by:       Date:       Company       Received by:       MutA       Method of Shipment:         relinquished by:       Date:       Company       Received by:       MutA       MutA       Company         elinquished by:       Date:       Company       Received by:						++	_										
Possible Hazard Identification       Sample Disposal (A fee may be assessed if samples are retained longer than 1 month)         Deliverable Requested: I, II, III, IV, Other (specify)       Sample Disposal (A fee may be assessed if samples are retained longer than 1 month)         Return To Client       Disposal By Lab       Archive For       Months         Special Instructions/QC Requirements:       Special Instructions/QC Requirements:       Method of Shipment:         relinquished by:       Date/Time:       Method of Shipment:       Date/Time:         ielinquished by:       Date/Time:       Ocmpany       Received by:       Method of Shipment:         ielinquished by:       Date/Time:       Date/Time:       Date/Time:       Date/Time:         ielinquished by:       Date/Time:       Company       Received by:       Method of Shipment:         ielinquished by:       Date/Time:       Date/Time:       Company       Company         ielinquished by:       Date/Time:       Company       Received by:       Date/Time:       Company <td></td>																	
Possible Hazard Identification       Non-Hazard       Flammable       Skin Irritant       Poison B       Unknown       Radiological       Sample Disposal (A fee may be assessed if samples are retained longer than 1 month)         Deliverable Requested: I, II, III, IV, Other (specify)       Date/Time:       Months         Impty Kit Relinquished by:       Date       Time:       Method of Shipment:         Telinquished by:       Date/Time:       Date/Time:       Date/Time:       Date/Time:         Impty Kit Relinquished by:       Date/Time:       Impty Kit Relinquished by:       Date/Time:       Date/Time:         Impty Kit Relinquished by:       Date/Time:       Date/Time:       Impty Kit Relinquished by:       Date/Time:       Date/Time:         Impty Kit Relinquished by:       Date/Time:       Date/Time:       Impty Kit Relinquished by:       Date/Time:       Date/Time:       Impty Kit Relinquished by:       Date/Time:       Impty Kit Received by:       Date/Time:       Impty Kit Received by:       Date/Time:       Impty Kit Received by:       Impty Kit Received by:       Date/Time:       Impty Kit Received by:       Impty Kit Received by:       Impty Kit Received by:       Impty Kit Received by:       Date/Time:       Company         elinquished by:       Date/Time:       Date/Time:       Company       Received by:       Impty Kit Kit Kit Kit Kit Kit Kit Kit K																	
Non-Hazard       Planmable       Skin Irritant       Poison B       Unknown       Radiological       Return To Client       Disposal By Lab       Archive For       Months         Deliverable Requested: I, II, III, IV, Other (specify)       Special Instructions/QC Requirements:       Special Instructions/QC Requirements:       Method of Shipment:         Empty Kit Relinquished by:       Date/Time:       Date/Time:       Method of Shipment:         telinquished by:       Date/Time:       Company       Received by:       Date/Time:       Company         ielinquished by:       Date/Time:       Date/Time:       Company       Company       Received by:       Date/Time:       Company         ielinquished by:       Date/Time:       Date/Time:       Company       Received by:       Date/Time:       Company         ielinquished by:       Date/Time:       Date/Time:       Company       Received by:       Date/Time:       Company         ielinquished by:       Date/Time:       Date/Time:       Company       Received by:       Date/Time:       Company         ielinquished by:       Date/Time:       Costody Seals Intact:       Custody Seals No.:       Cooler Temperature(s) °C and Other Remarks.       Ci (H ittice)         A Yes       A No       Cooler Temperature(s) °C and Other Remarks.       Ci						5	Samp	le Dis	posal	(Af	ee may be	assesse	d if san	nples are	e retain	led longer than 1	month)
Empty Kit Relinquished by:     Date:     Time:     Method of Shipment:       telinquished by:     Date/Time:     Date/Time:     Date/Time:     Date/Time:       ielinquished by:     Date/Time:     Date/Time:     Company     Received by:       ielinquished by:     Date/Time:     Date/Time:     Company     Company       ielinquished by:     Date/Time:     Date/Time:     Company     Company       ielinquished by:     Date/Time:     Date/Time:     Company     Company       ielinquished by:     Date/Time:     Company     Received by:     MMULTUR [IX of D       ielinquished by:     Date/Time:     Company     Received by:     Date/Time:     Company       ielinquished by:     Date/Time:     Company     Received by:     Date/Time:     Company       ielinquished by:     Date/Time:     Company     Received by:     Date/Time:     Company       ielinquished by:     Date/Time:     Costody Seals Intact:     Custody Seal No:     Cooler Temperature(s) °C and Other Remarks: <td>Deliverable Requested: I, II, III, IV, Other (specify)</td> <td>son B 🔛 Unkr</td> <td>iown - I</td> <td>Radiologica</td> <td>1</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>Retur</td> <td>n To C</td> <td>Client</td> <td></td> <td>Disposal</td> <td>By Lab</td> <td></td> <td>Arcl</td> <td>hive For</td> <td> Months</td>	Deliverable Requested: I, II, III, IV, Other (specify)	son B 🔛 Unkr	iown - I	Radiologica	1			Retur	n To C	Client		Disposal	By Lab		Arcl	hive For	Months
Date:     Time:     Method of Shipment:       Relinquished by:     Date/Time:     Date/Time:     Date/Time:     Date/Time:     Date/Time:     Date/Time:     Company       telinquished by:     Received by:     Received by:     NAA     Received by:     Date/Time:     Date/Time:     Company       telinquished by:     Date/Time:     Date/Time:     Date/Time:     Company     Received by:     Multicum [ILC] / 2.2.16.00     Company       telinquished by:     Date/Time:     Date/Time:     Date/Time:     Company     Received by:     Multicum [ILC] / 2.2.16.00     Company       telinquished by:     Date/Time:     Date/Time:     Company     Received by:     Multicum [ILC] / 2.2.16.00     Company       telinquished by:     Date/Time:     Date/Time:     Company     Received by:     Multicum [ILC] / 2.2.16.00     Company       telinquished by:     Date/Time:     Date/Time:     Company     Received by:     Date/Time:     Company       telinquished by:     Date/Time:     Company     Received by:     Date/Time:     Company       telinquished by:     Date/Time:     Company     Received by:     Date/Time:     Company       telinquished by:     Date/Time:     Company     Received by:     Date/Time:     Company       tel	Empty Kit Belinguished by:					5	specia	al Inst	ruction	ns/QC	Requirem	ents:					
Custody Seals Intact:     Custody Seal Intact: </td <td>Relinquished by:</td> <td>Data (Time)</td> <td>Date:</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>Tim</td> <td>e:</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>Me</td> <td>thod of SI</td> <td>hipment:</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Relinquished by:	Data (Time)	Date:			Tim	e:					Me	thod of SI	hipment:			
Received by:     Date/Time:     Date/Time:     Company       Itelinquished by:     Date/Time:     II-I-ZZ, IIGO     Company       Received by:     MMULTIN [IKdp Date/Time:     IIIZZZQC       Company     Received by:     Date/Time:       Custody Seals Intact:     Custody Seal No.:     Cooler Temperature(s) °C and Other Remarks.       Δ Yes     Δ No	tin PleerNoso	11-1-22	1600	D	Company AAA		Re	ceived	by:		1 ,			Date/Time:	-	16.1	Company
Relinquished by:     Date/Time:     Company     Received by:     Date/Time:     Company       Custody Seals Intact:     Custody Seals No.:     Cooler Temperature(s) °C and Other Remarks.     Coler Temperature(s) °C and Other Remarks.     Cl [ # 1 ± C ]	Relinquished by:	Date/Time:	10		Company		Re	ceived	by:	9	11 p	fr.	Lin	Date/Time:	66	10.00	Company
Custody Seals Intact:     Custody Seal No.:     Company $\Delta$ Yes $\Delta$ No     Cooler Temperature(s) °C and Other Remarks: $21$ [ # 1 ± C /2	Relinquished by:	Date/Time:	170	2	Company		Re	ceived	by:	//W	NUTIO	114	9P		112	122400	TA
Δ Yes Δ No	Custody Seals Intact Custody Soci No.				7			Corved	~y.					Date/Time:			Company
	Δ Yes Δ No				6		Co	oler Tei	mperatu	ure(s) °(	C and Other F	emarks			11	4 1+1	/>
														<	-11	# 1111	Ver: 06/08/2021

14

### **Definitions/Glossary**

Client: ARCADIS U.S. Inc Project/Site: National Grid - Gloversville,NY

Relative Error Ratio (Radiochemistry)

Toxicity Equivalent Factor (Dioxin)

Too Numerous To Count

Toxicity Equivalent Quotient (Dioxin)

Reporting Limit or Requested Limit (Radiochemistry)

Relative Percent Difference, a measure of the relative difference between two points

Job ID: 480-203413-1

### Qualifiers

RER

RPD

TEF TEQ

TNTC

RL

Qualifiers		3
GC/MS VOA		
Qualifier	Qualifier Description	4
J	Result is less than the RL but greater than or equal to the MDL and the concentration is an approximate value.	
GC/MS Semi	VOA	5
Qualifier	Qualifier Description	
В	Compound was found in the blank and sample.	6
J	Result is less than the RL but greater than or equal to the MDL and the concentration is an approximate value.	
S1-	Surrogate recovery exceeds control limits, low biased.	
S1+	Surrogate recovery exceeds control limits, high biased.	
Glossary		8
Abbreviation	These commonly used abbreviations may or may not be present in this report.	С
¤	Listed under the "D" column to designate that the result is reported on a dry weight basis	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
%R	Percent Recovery	
CFL	Contains Free Liquid	
CFU	Colony Forming Unit	
CNF	Contains No Free Liquid	
DER	Duplicate Error Ratio (normalized absolute difference)	
Dil Fac	Dilution Factor	
DL	Detection Limit (DoD/DOE)	
DL, RA, RE, IN	Indicates a Dilution, Re-analysis, Re-extraction, or additional Initial metals/anion analysis of the sample	
DLC	Decision Level Concentration (Radiochemistry)	
EDL	Estimated Detection Limit (Dioxin)	
LOD	Limit of Detection (DoD/DOE)	
LOQ	Limit of Quantitation (DoD/DOE)	
MCL	EPA recommended "Maximum Contaminant Level"	
MDA	Minimum Detectable Activity (Radiochemistry)	
MDC	Minimum Detectable Concentration (Radiochemistry)	
MDL	Method Detection Limit	
ML	Minimum Level (Dioxin)	
MPN	Most Probable Number	
MQL	Method Quantitation Limit	
NC	Not Calculated	
ND	Not Detected at the reporting limit (or MDL or EDL if shown)	
NEG	Negative / Absent	
POS	Positive / Present	
PQL	Practical Quantitation Limit	
PRES	Presumptive	
QC	Quality Control	

#### Client Sample ID: PDI-DPB-4 (3.0-12.0) Date Collected: 11/01/22 10:45 Date Received: 11/02/22 09:00

Method: SW846 8270E SIM - S	Semivolatile	Organic C	compounds (	GC/MS	SIM)				
Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1-Methylnaphthalene	24000		780	530	ug/Kg	☆	11/07/22 14:30	12/06/22 01:04	1000
2-Methylnaphthalene	34000		780	200	ug/Kg	☆	11/07/22 14:30	12/06/22 01:04	1000
Acenaphthene	16000		780	90	ug/Kg	☆	11/07/22 14:30	12/06/22 01:04	1000
Acenaphthylene	2400		780	190	ug/Kg	☆	11/07/22 14:30	12/06/22 01:04	1000
Anthracene	11000	<b>β</b> J	780	99	ug/Kg	¢	11/07/22 14:30	12/06/22 01:04	1000
Benzo[a]anthracene	5100	B	780	140	ug/Kg	¢	11/07/22 14:30	12/06/22 01:04	1000
Benzo[a]pyrene	3300		780	96	ug/Kg	¢	11/07/22 14:30	12/06/22 01:04	1000
Benzo[b]fluoranthene	1600		780	400	ug/Kg	¢	11/07/22 14:30	12/06/22 01:04	1000
Benzo[e]pyrene	1800	$\vee$	780	210	ug/Kg	¢	11/07/22 14:30	12/06/22 01:04	1000
Benzo[g,h,i]perylene	450	J	780	150	ug/Kg	¢	11/07/22 14:30	12/06/22 01:04	1000
Benzo[k]fluoranthene	2000	1	780	110	ug/Kg	¢	11/07/22 14:30	12/06/22 01:04	1000
C1-Chrysenes	3900		780	780	ug/Kg	¢	11/07/22 14:30	12/06/22 01:04	1000
C1-Fluoranthenes/pyrene	17000		780	780	ug/Kg	¢	11/07/22 14:30	12/06/22 01:04	1000
C1-Fluorenes	8500		780	780	ug/Kg	¢	11/07/22 14:30	12/06/22 01:04	1000
C1-Phenanthrenes/Anthracenes	26000	J	780	780	ug/Kg	¢	11/07/22 14:30	12/06/22 01:04	1000
C2-Chrysenes	1600		780	780	ug/Kg	₽	11/07/22 14:30	12/06/22 01:04	1000
C2-Fluorenes	6600		780	780	ug/Kg	¢	11/07/22 14:30	12/06/22 01:04	1000
C2-Naphthalenes	49000		780	780	ug/Kg	¢	11/07/22 14:30	12/06/22 01:04	1000
C2-Phenanthrenes/Anthracenes	13000	V	780	780	ug/Kg	₽	11/07/22 14:30	12/06/22 01:04	1000
C3-Chrysenes	ND	UJ	780	780	ug/Kg	¢	11/07/22 14:30	12/06/22 01:04	1000
C3-Fluorenes	2200	1	780	780	ug/Kg	¢	11/07/22 14:30	12/06/22 01:04	1000
C3-Naphthalenes	20000	B	780	780	ug/Kg	¢	11/07/22 14:30	12/06/22 01:04	1000
C3-Phenanthrenes/Anthracenes	4100	·	780	780	ug/Kg	¢	11/07/22 14:30	12/06/22 01:04	1000
C4-Chrysenes	1000		780	780	ug/Kg	¢	11/07/22 14:30	12/06/22 01:04	1000
C4-Naphthalenes	6100		780	780	ug/Kg	¢	11/07/22 14:30	12/06/22 01:04	1000
C4-Phenanthrenes/Anthracenes	1300		780	780	ug/Kg	¢	11/07/22 14:30	12/06/22 01:04	1000
Chrysene	4500	₹√	780	79	ug/Kg	¢	11/07/22 14:30	12/06/22 01:04	1000
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	180	J	780	150	ug/Kg	¢	11/07/22 14:30	12/06/22 01:04	1000
Fluoranthene	8500	<b>B</b>	780	140	ug/Kg	¢	11/07/22 14:30	12/06/22 01:04	1000
Fluorene	10000	₿₩ਁ	780	150	ug/Kg	¢	11/07/22 14:30	12/06/22 01:04	1000
Indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene	470	J	780	190	ug/Kg	¢	11/07/22 14:30	12/06/22 01:04	1000
Naphthalene	37000	J	780	460	ug/Kg	¢	11/07/22 14:30	12/06/22 01:04	1000
Perylene	450	J	780	190	ug/Kg	¢	11/07/22 14:30	12/06/22 01:04	1000
Phenanthrene	29000	B .	780	410	ug/Kg	¢	11/07/22 14:30	12/06/22 01:04	1000
Pyrene	12000	₿₩°	780	160	ug/Kg	¢	11/07/22 14:30	12/06/22 01:04	1000
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits				Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
2-methylnaphthalene-d10	214	S1+	30 - 120				11/07/22 14:30	12/06/22 01:04	1000
Benzo(a)pyrene-d12	0	S1-	20 - 130				11/07/22 14:30	12/06/22 01:04	1000
Fluoranthene-d10	207	S1+	10 - 165				11/07/22 14:30	12/06/22 01:04	1000
Fluorene-d10 (Surr)	208	S1+	30 - 130				11/07/22 14:30	12/06/22 01:04	1000

Percent Solids: 84.2

Matrix: Solid

Lab Sample ID: 480-203413-1

12/7/2022



Client: ARCADIS U.S. Inc Project/Site: National Grid - Gloversville,NY

#### Client Sample ID: PDI-DPB-7 (4-8.5) Date Collected: 11/01/22 11:30 Date Received: 11/02/22 09:00

#### Lab Sample ID: 480-203413-2 Matrix: Solid

Percent Solids: 80.5

5

6

Method: SW846 8270E SIM - 3	Semivolatile	Organic (	Compounds (	GC/MS	SIM)				
Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1-Methylnaphthalene	94000		8300	5600	ug/Kg	<u></u>	11/07/22 14:30	12/05/22 00:47	10000
2-Methylnaphthalene	110000	J	8300	2100	ug/Kg	¢	11/07/22 14:30	12/05/22 00:47	10000
Acenaphthene	48000	$\mathbf{V}$	8300	950	ug/Kg	¢	11/07/22 14:30	12/05/22 00:47	10000
Acenaphthylene	4200	J	8300	2000	ug/Kg	₽	11/07/22 14:30	12/05/22 00:47	10000
Anthracene	24000	<b>B</b> 1	8300	1100	ug/Kg	¢	11/07/22 14:30	12/05/22 00:47	10000
Benzo[a]anthracene	11000	₿Vँ	8300	1500	ug/Kg	¢	11/07/22 14:30	12/05/22 00:47	10000
Benzo[a]pyrene	6200	Ĵ	8300	1000	ug/Kg	₽	11/07/22 14:30	12/05/22 00:47	10000
Benzo[b]fluoranthene	ND	UJ	8300	4200	ug/Kg	¢	11/07/22 14:30	12/05/22 00:47	10000
Benzo[e]pyrene	3500	J	8300	2200	ug/Kg	¢	11/07/22 14:30	12/05/22 00:47	10000
Benzo[g,h,i]perylene	ND	UJ	8300	1600	ug/Kg	☆	11/07/22 14:30	12/05/22 00:47	10000
Benzo[k]fluoranthene	3800	J	8300	1100	ug/Kg	¢	11/07/22 14:30	12/05/22 00:47	10000
C1-Chrysenes	8800	1	8300	8300	ug/Kg	¢	11/07/22 14:30	12/05/22 00:47	10000
C1-Fluoranthenes/pyrene	33000		8300	8300	ug/Kg	₽	11/07/22 14:30	12/05/22 00:47	10000
C1-Fluorenes	27000	l °	8300	8300	ug/Kg	¢	11/07/22 14:30	12/05/22 00:47	10000
C1-Phenanthrenes/Anthracenes	70000	$\checkmark$	8300	8300	ug/Kg	¢	11/07/22 14:30	12/05/22 00:47	10000
C2-Chrysenes	ND	UJ	8300	8300	ug/Kg	¢	11/07/22 14:30	12/05/22 00:47	10000
C2-Fluorenes	17000	1	8300	8300	ug/Kg	¢	11/07/22 14:30	12/05/22 00:47	10000
C2-Naphthalenes	180000	UJ	8300	8300	ug/Kg	¢	11/07/22 14:30	12/05/22 00:47	10000
C2-Phenanthrenes/Anthracenes	30000	V	8300	8300	ug/Kg	₽	11/07/22 14:30	12/05/22 00:47	10000
C3-Chrysenes	ND	Lin	8300	8300	ug/Kg	¢	11/07/22 14:30	12/05/22 00:47	10000
C3-Fluorenes	ND	$\sqrt{0}$	8300	8300	ug/Kg	¢	11/07/22 14:30	12/05/22 00:47	10000
C3-Naphthalenes	88000	<b>B</b> .	8300	8300	ug/Kg	¢	11/07/22 14:30	12/05/22 00:47	10000
C3-Phenanthrenes/Anthracenes	9400	V J	8300	8300	ug/Kg	¢	11/07/22 14:30	12/05/22 00:47	10000
C4-Chrysenes	ND	UJ	8300	8300	ug/Kg	¢	11/07/22 14:30	12/05/22 00:47	10000
C4-Naphthalenes	23000	J	8300	8300	ug/Kg	☆	11/07/22 14:30	12/05/22 00:47	10000
C4-Phenanthrenes/Anthracenes	ND	UJ	8300	8300	ug/Kg	☆	11/07/22 14:30	12/05/22 00:47	10000
Chrysene	12000	🖪 J	8300	840	ug/Kg	☆	11/07/22 14:30	12/05/22 00:47	10000
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	ND	UJ	8300	1600	ug/Kg	₽	11/07/22 14:30	12/05/22 00:47	10000
Fluoranthene	18000	₿  J	8300	1500	ug/Kg	☆	11/07/22 14:30	12/05/22 00:47	10000
Fluorene	25000	b₩	8300	1600	ug/Kg	¢	11/07/22 14:30	12/05/22 00:47	10000
Indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene	ND	UJ	8300	2000	ug/Kg	¢	11/07/22 14:30	12/05/22 00:47	10000
Naphthalene	110000	J	8300	4800	ug/Kg	☆	11/07/22 14:30	12/05/22 00:47	10000
Perylene	ND	UJ	8300	2000	ug/Kg	¢	11/07/22 14:30	12/05/22 00:47	10000
Phenanthrene	74000	₿ UJ	8300	4300	ug/Kg	☆	11/07/22 14:30	12/05/22 00:47	10000
Pyrene	28000	₿V	8300	1700	ug/Kg	☆	11/07/22 14:30	12/05/22 00:47	10000
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits				Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
2-methylnaphthalene-d10	0	S1-	30 - 120				11/07/22 14:30	12/05/22 00:47	10000
Benzo(a)pyrene-d12	0	S1-	20 - 130				11/07/22 14:30	12/05/22 00:47	10000
Fluoranthene-d10	0	S1-	10 - 165				11/07/22 14:30	12/05/22 00:47	10000
Fluorene-d10 (Surr)	1022	S1+	30 - 130				11/07/22 14:30	12/05/22 00:47	10000

#### Client Sample ID: PDI-DPB-8 (0.8-8.5) Date Collected: 11/01/22 12:30 Date Received: 11/02/22 09:00

Method: SW846 8270E SIM - S	Semivolatile	Organic	Compounds (	GC/MS S	SIM)				
Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1-Methylnaphthalene	51000		1100	720	ug/Kg	¢	11/07/22 14:30	12/06/22 01:57	1000
Acenaphthene	33000		1100	120	ug/Kg	¢	11/07/22 14:30	12/06/22 01:57	1000
Acenaphthylene	2200	,	1100	260	ug/Kg	¢	11/07/22 14:30	12/06/22 01:57	1000
Anthracene	16000	F J	1100	140	ug/Kg	¢	11/07/22 14:30	12/06/22 01:57	1000
Benzo[a]anthracene	8800	B	1100	190	ug/Kg	¢	11/07/22 14:30	12/06/22 01:57	1000
Benzo[a]pyrene	4900		1100	130	ug/Kg	¢	11/07/22 14:30	12/06/22 01:57	1000
Benzo[b]fluoranthene	2400		1100	540	ug/Kg	¢	11/07/22 14:30	12/06/22 01:57	1000
Benzo[e]pyrene	2800	$\vee$	1100	290	ug/Kg	¢	11/07/22 14:30	12/06/22 01:57	1000
Benzo[g,h,i]perylene	690	J	1100	210	ug/Kg	¢	11/07/22 14:30	12/06/22 01:57	1000
Benzo[k]fluoranthene	2800		1100	150	ug/Kg	¢	11/07/22 14:30	12/06/22 01:57	1000
C1-Chrysenes	8600		1100	1100	ug/Kg	☆	11/07/22 14:30	12/06/22 01:57	1000
C1-Fluoranthenes/pyrene	33000		1100	1100	ug/Kg	¢	11/07/22 14:30	12/06/22 01:57	1000
C1-Fluorenes	18000	J	1100	1100	ug/Kg	¢	11/07/22 14:30	12/06/22 01:57	1000
C1-Phenanthrenes/Anthracenes	53000	Ĭ	1100	1100	ug/Kg	☆	11/07/22 14:30	12/06/22 01:57	1000
C2-Chrysenes	4200		1100	1100	ug/Kg	¢	11/07/22 14:30	12/06/22 01:57	1000
C2-Fluorenes	12000		1100	1100	ug/Kg	₽	11/07/22 14:30	12/06/22 01:57	1000
C2-Naphthalenes	99000		1100	1100	ug/Kg	☆	11/07/22 14:30	12/06/22 01:57	1000
C2-Phenanthrenes/Anthracenes	32000	V	1100	1100	ug/Kg	☆	11/07/22 14:30	12/06/22 01:57	1000
C3-Chrysenes	ND	UJ	1100	1100	ug/Kg	¢	11/07/22 14:30	12/06/22 01:57	1000
C3-Fluorenes	5900		1100	1100	ug/Kg	¢	11/07/22 14:30	12/06/22 01:57	1000
C3-Naphthalenes	50000	₿ J	1100	1100	ug/Kg	¢	11/07/22 14:30	12/06/22 01:57	1000
C3-Phenanthrenes/Anthracenes	12000	V	1100	1100	ug/Kg	¢	11/07/22 14:30	12/06/22 01:57	1000
C4-Chrysenes	ND	UJ	1100	1100	ug/Kg	¢	11/07/22 14:30	12/06/22 01:57	1000
C4-Naphthalenes	17000		1100	1100	ug/Kg	¢	11/07/22 14:30	12/06/22 01:57	1000
C4-Phenanthrenes/Anthracenes	3600	J	1100	1100	ug/Kg	¢	11/07/22 14:30	12/06/22 01:57	1000
Chrysene	8500	`₿ 🗸	1100	110	ug/Kg	¢	11/07/22 14:30	12/06/22 01:57	1000
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	380	J	1100	210	ug/Kg	¢	11/07/22 14:30	12/06/22 01:57	1000
Fluoranthene	14000	<b>B</b>  J	1100	190	ug/Kg	₽	11/07/22 14:30	12/06/22 01:57	1000
Fluorene	18000	₿V	1100	210	ug/Kg	¢	11/07/22 14:30	12/06/22 01:57	1000
Indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene	770	J	1100	260	ug/Kg	☆	11/07/22 14:30	12/06/22 01:57	1000
Naphthalene	38000	J	1100	620	ug/Kg	¢	11/07/22 14:30	12/06/22 01:57	1000
Perylene	1000	J	1100	260	ug/Kg	¢	11/07/22 14:30	12/06/22 01:57	1000
Phenanthrene	43000	₿   _	1100	560	ug/Kg	☆	11/07/22 14:30	12/06/22 01:57	1000
Pyrene	22000	BV	1100	220	ug/Kg	¢	11/07/22 14:30	12/06/22 01:57	1000
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits				Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
2-methylnaphthalene-d10	279	S1+	30 - 120				11/07/22 14:30	12/06/22 01:57	1000
Benzo(a)pyrene-d12	0	S1-	20 - 130				11/07/22 14:30	12/06/22 01:57	1000
Fluoranthene-d10	560	S1+	10 - 165				11/07/22 14:30	12/06/22 01:57	1000
Fluorene-d10 (Surr)	211	S1+	30 - 130				11/07/22 14:30	12/06/22 01:57	1000

## Method: SW846 8270E SIM - Semivolatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS SIM) - DL

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
2-Methylnaphthalene	61000	DJ	2100	540	ug/Kg	¢	11/07/22 14:30	12/06/22 02:24	2000
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits				Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
2-methylnaphthalene-d10	385	S1+	30 - 120				11/07/22 14:30	12/06/22 02:24	2000
Benzo(a)pyrene-d12	176	S1+	20 - 130				11/07/22 14:30	12/06/22 02:24	2000
Fluoranthene-d10	506	S1+	10 - 165				11/07/22 14:30	12/06/22 02:24	2000
Fluorene-d10 (Surr)	325	S1+	30 - 130				11/07/22 14:30	12/06/22 02:24	2000

**Eurofins Buffalo** 

Job ID: 480-203413-1

5

6

## \_\_\_\_\_

#### Lab Sample ID: 480-203413-3 Matrix: Solid

Percent Solids: 62.5

## Lab Sample ID: 480-203413-4

Matrix: Water

5

6

#### Client Sample ID: MW-15S Date Collected: 11/01/22 12:40 Date Received: 11/02/22 09:00

Method: SW846 8260C - Volatil	e Organic	Compounds	by GC/MS						
Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	ND		1.0	0.82	ug/L			11/07/22 23:09	1
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND		1.0	0.21	ug/L			11/07/22 23:09	1
1,1,2-Trichloro-1,2,2-trifluoroethane	ND		1.0	0.31	ug/L			11/07/22 23:09	1
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	ND		1.0	0.23	ug/L			11/07/22 23:09	1
1,1-Dichloroethane	ND		1.0	0.38	ug/L			11/07/22 23:09	1
1,1-Dichloroethene	ND		1.0	0.29	ug/L			11/07/22 23:09	1
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	ND		1.0	0.41	ug/L			11/07/22 23:09	1
1,2-Dibromo-3-Chloropropane	ND		1.0	0.39	ug/L			11/07/22 23:09	1
1,2-Dibromoethane	ND		1.0	0.73	ug/L			11/07/22 23:09	1
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	ND		1.0	0.79	ug/L			11/07/22 23:09	1
1,2-Dichloroethane	ND		1.0	0.21	ug/L			11/07/22 23:09	1
1,2-Dichloropropane	ND		1.0	0.72	ug/L			11/07/22 23:09	1
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	ND		1.0	0.78	ug/L			11/07/22 23:09	1
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	ND		1.0	0.84	ug/L			11/07/22 23:09	1
2-Butanone (MEK)	ND		10	1.3	ug/L			11/07/22 23:09	1
2-Hexanone	ND		5.0	1.2	ug/L			11/07/22 23:09	1
4-Methyl-2-pentanone (MIBK)	ND		5.0	2.1	ug/L			11/07/22 23:09	1
Acetone	5.0	J	10	3.0	ug/L			11/07/22 23:09	1
Benzene	ND		1.0	0.41	ug/L			11/07/22 23:09	1
Bromodichloromethane	ND		1.0	0.39	ug/L			11/07/22 23:09	1
Bromoform	ND		1.0	0.26	ug/L			11/07/22 23:09	1
Bromomethane	ND		1.0	0.69	ug/L			11/07/22 23:09	1
Carbon disulfide	ND		1.0	0.19	ug/L			11/07/22 23:09	1
Carbon tetrachloride	ND		1.0	0.27	ug/L			11/07/22 23:09	1
Chlorobenzene	ND		1.0	0.75	ug/L			11/07/22 23:09	1
Chloroethane	ND		1.0	0.32	ug/L			11/07/22 23:09	1
Chloroform	ND		1.0	0.34	ug/L			11/07/22 23:09	1
Chloromethane	ND		1.0	0.35	ug/L			11/07/22 23:09	1
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND		1.0	0.81	ug/L			11/07/22 23:09	1
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	ND		1.0	0.36	ug/L			11/07/22 23:09	1
Cyclohexane	ND		1.0	0.18	ug/L			11/07/22 23:09	1
Dibromochloromethane	ND		1.0	0.32	ug/L			11/07/22 23:09	1
Dichlorodifluoromethane	ND		1.0	0.68	ug/L			11/07/22 23:09	1
Ethylbenzene	ND		1.0	0.74	ug/L			11/07/22 23:09	1
lsopropylbenzene	ND		1.0	0.79	ug/L			11/07/22 23:09	1
Methyl acetate	ND		2.5	1.3	ug/L			11/07/22 23:09	1
Methyl tert-butyl ether	ND		1.0	0.16	ug/L			11/07/22 23:09	1
Methylcyclohexane	ND		1.0	0.16	ug/L			11/07/22 23:09	1
Methylene Chloride	ND		1.0	0.44	ug/L			11/07/22 23:09	1
Styrene	ND		1.0	0.73	ug/L			11/07/22 23:09	1
Tetrachloroethene	ND		1.0	0.36	ug/L			11/07/22 23:09	1
Toluene	ND		1.0	0.51	ug/L			11/07/22 23:09	1
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND		1.0	0.90	ug/L			11/07/22 23:09	1
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	ND		1.0	0.37	ug/L			11/07/22 23:09	1
Trichloroethene	ND		1.0	0.46	ug/L			11/07/22 23:09	1
Trichlorofluoromethane	ND		1.0	0.88	ug/L			11/07/22 23:09	1
Vinyl chloride	ND		1.0	0.90	ug/L			11/07/22 23:09	1
Xylenes, Total	ND		2.0	0.66	ug/L			11/07/22 23:09	1

Eurofins Buffalo

#### Client Sample ID: MW-15S Date Collected: 11/01/22 12:40 Date Received: 11/02/22 09:00

Lab	Sample	ID:	480-20341	3-4
			Matrix: Wa	ater

Matrix: Water

Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits				Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac	
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	104		77 - 120					11/07/22 23:09	1	J
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)	94		73 - 120					11/07/22 23:09	1	•
Dibromofluoromethane (Surr)	98		75 - 123					11/07/22 23:09	1	0
Toluene-d8 (Surr)	99		80 - 120					11/07/22 23:09	1	7
Mathad: SW/946 9270D Sa	mivolatilo Ora	ania Com	ounde (CC/							
Method. 50040 6270D - 50	Result			мо) МО	Unit	п	Prepared	Analyzod	Dil Fac	Q
2 4 5-Trichlorophenol		quamer	<u> </u>	0.48		<u> </u>	11/03/22 08:38	11/04/22 22:20	1	0
2 4 6-Trichlorophenol	ND		5.0	0.10	ug/L		11/03/22 08:38	11/04/22 22:20	1	0
2 4-Dichlorophenol	ND		5.0	0.51	ug/L		11/03/22 08:38	11/04/22 22:20	1	3
2 4-Dimethylphenol	ND		5.0	0.50	ug/L		11/03/22 08:38	11/04/22 22:20		
2 4-Dinitrophenol	ND		10	22	ug/L		11/03/22 08:38	11/04/22 22:20	1	
2.4-Dinitrotoluene	ND		50	0.45	ug/L		11/03/22 08:38	11/04/22 22:20	1	
2.6-Dinitrotoluene	ND		5.0	0.40	ug/L		11/03/22 00:30	11/04/22 22:20	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
2-Chloronanhthalene			5.0	0.46	ug/L		11/03/22 00:30	11/04/22 22:20	1	
			5.0	0.40	ug/∟ ug/l		11/03/22 00:30	11/04/22 22:20	1	
2 Mothylpaphthalono			5.0	0.00	ug/L		11/03/22 08:38	11/04/22 22.20	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
			5.0	0.00	ug/∟		11/03/22 00:30	11/04/22 22.20	1	13
2-Methylphenol	ND		5.0	0.40	ug/∟		11/03/22 06:36	11/04/22 22:20	1	
2-Nitroachanad	ND		10	0.42	ug/L		11/03/22 06:36	11/04/22 22:20	ا م	
	ND		5.0	0.48	ug/L		11/03/22 08:38	11/04/22 22:20	1	
	ND		5.0	0.40	ug/L		11/03/22 08:38	11/04/22 22:20	1	
3-Nitroaniline	ND		10	0.48	ug/L		11/03/22 08:38	11/04/22 22:20	1	
4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol	ND		10	2.2	ug/L		11/03/22 08:38	11/04/22 22:20	1	
4-Bromophenyl phenyl ether	ND		5.0	0.45	ug/L		11/03/22 08:38	11/04/22 22:20	1	
4-Chloro-3-methylphenol	ND		5.0	0.45	ug/L		11/03/22 08:38	11/04/22 22:20	1	
4-Chloroaniline	ND		5.0	0.59	ug/L		11/03/22 08:38	11/04/22 22:20	1	
4-Chlorophenyl phenyl ether	ND		5.0	0.35	ug/L		11/03/22 08:38	11/04/22 22:20	1	
4-Methylphenol	ND		10	0.36	ug/L		11/03/22 08:38	11/04/22 22:20	1	
4-Nitroaniline	ND		10	0.25	ug/L		11/03/22 08:38	11/04/22 22:20	1	
4-Nitrophenol	ND		10	1.5	ug/L		11/03/22 08:38	11/04/22 22:20	1	
Acenaphthene	ND		5.0	0.41	ug/L		11/03/22 08:38	11/04/22 22:20	1	
Acenaphthylene	ND		5.0	0.38	ug/L		11/03/22 08:38	11/04/22 22:20	1	
Acetophenone	ND		5.0	0.54	ug/L		11/03/22 08:38	11/04/22 22:20	1	
Anthracene	ND		5.0	0.28	ug/L		11/03/22 08:38	11/04/22 22:20	1	
Atrazine	ND		5.0	0.46	ug/L		11/03/22 08:38	11/04/22 22:20	1	
Benzaldehyde	ND		5.0	0.27	ug/L		11/03/22 08:38	11/04/22 22:20	1	
Benzo[a]anthracene	ND		5.0	0.36	ug/L		11/03/22 08:38	11/04/22 22:20	1	
Benzo[a]pyrene	ND		5.0	0.47	ug/L		11/03/22 08:38	11/04/22 22:20	1	
Benzo[b]fluoranthene	ND		5.0	0.34	ug/L		11/03/22 08:38	11/04/22 22:20	1	
Benzo[g,h,i]perylene	ND		5.0	0.35	ug/L		11/03/22 08:38	11/04/22 22:20	1	
Benzo[k]fluoranthene	ND		5.0	0.73	ug/L		11/03/22 08:38	11/04/22 22:20	1	
Biphenyl	ND		5.0	0.65	ug/L		11/03/22 08:38	11/04/22 22:20	1	
bis (2-chloroisopropyl) ether	ND		5.0	0.52	ug/L		11/03/22 08:38	11/04/22 22:20	1	
Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane	ND		5.0	0.35	ug/L		11/03/22 08:38	11/04/22 22:20	1	
Bis(2-chloroethyl)ether	ND		5.0	0.40	ug/L		11/03/22 08:38	11/04/22 22:20	1	
Bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate	ND		5.0	2.2	ug/L		11/03/22 08:38	11/04/22 22:20	1	
Butyl benzyl phthalate	ND		5.0	1.0	ug/L		11/03/22 08:38	11/04/22 22:20	1	
Caprolactam	ND		5.0	2.2	ug/L		11/03/22 08:38	11/04/22 22:20	1	
Carbazole	ND		5.0	0.30	ug/L		11/03/22 08:38	11/04/22 22:20	1	
Chrysene	ND		5.0	0.33	ug/L		11/03/22 08:38	11/04/22 22:20	1	

Eurofins Buffalo

Client: ARCADIS U.S. Inc Project/Site: National Grid - Gloversville,NY

#### Lab Sample ID: 480-203413-4 Matrix: Water

11/03/22 08:38 11/04/22 22:20

Date Collected: 11/01/22 12:40 Date Received: 11/02/22 09:00

p-Terphenyl-d14 (Surr)

**Client Sample ID: MW-15S** 

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	, D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac	5
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene			5.0	0.42	ua/L		11/03/22 08:38	11/04/22 22:20	1	
Dibenzofuran	ND		10	0.51	ug/L		11/03/22 08:38	11/04/22 22:20	1	6
Diethyl phthalate	ND		5.0	0.22	ug/L		11/03/22 08:38	11/04/22 22:20	1	
Dimethyl phthalate	ND		5.0	0.36	ug/L		11/03/22 08:38	11/04/22 22:20	1	
Di-n-butyl phthalate	ND		5.0	0.31	ug/L		11/03/22 08:38	11/04/22 22:20	1	
Di-n-octyl phthalate	ND		5.0	0.47	ug/L		11/03/22 08:38	11/04/22 22:20	1	0
Fluoranthene	ND		5.0	0.40	ug/L		11/03/22 08:38	11/04/22 22:20	1	0
Fluorene	ND		5.0	0.36	ug/L		11/03/22 08:38	11/04/22 22:20	1	
Hexachlorobenzene	ND		5.0	0.51	ug/L		11/03/22 08:38	11/04/22 22:20	1	9
Hexachlorobutadiene	ND		5.0	0.68	ug/L		11/03/22 08:38	11/04/22 22:20	1	
Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	ND		5.0	0.59	ug/L		11/03/22 08:38	11/04/22 22:20	1	
Hexachloroethane	ND		5.0	0.59	ug/L		11/03/22 08:38	11/04/22 22:20	1	
Indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene	ND		5.0	0.47	ug/L		11/03/22 08:38	11/04/22 22:20	1	
Isophorone	ND		5.0	0.43	ug/L		11/03/22 08:38	11/04/22 22:20	1	
Naphthalene	ND		5.0	0.76	ug/L		11/03/22 08:38	11/04/22 22:20	1	
Nitrobenzene	ND		5.0	0.29	ug/L		11/03/22 08:38	11/04/22 22:20	1	
N-Nitrosodi-n-propylamine	ND		5.0	0.54	ug/L		11/03/22 08:38	11/04/22 22:20	1	
N-Nitrosodiphenylamine	ND		5.0	0.51	ug/L		11/03/22 08:38	11/04/22 22:20	1	
Pentachlorophenol	ND		10	2.2	ug/L		11/03/22 08:38	11/04/22 22:20	1	
Phenanthrene	ND		5.0	0.44	ug/L		11/03/22 08:38	11/04/22 22:20	1	
Phenol	ND		5.0	0.39	ug/L		11/03/22 08:38	11/04/22 22:20	1	
Pyrene	ND		5.0	0.34	ug/L		11/03/22 08:38	11/04/22 22:20	1	
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits				Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac	
2,4,6-Tribromophenol (Surr)	92		41 - 120				11/03/22 08:38	11/04/22 22:20	1	
2-Fluorobiphenyl (Surr)	91		48 - 120				11/03/22 08:38	11/04/22 22:20	1	
2-Fluorophenol (Surr)	60		35 - 120				11/03/22 08:38	11/04/22 22:20	1	
Nitrobenzene-d5 (Surr)	80		46 - 120				11/03/22 08:38	11/04/22 22:20	1	
Phenol-d5 (Surr)	48		22 - 120				11/03/22 08:38	11/04/22 22:20	1	

60 - 148

87

1

## Lab Sample ID: 480-203413-5

Matrix: Water

5

6

#### Client Sample ID: TB Date Collected: 11/01/22 00:00 Date Received: 11/02/22 09:00

	e Organic	Compounds	by GC/MS						
Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	ND		1.0	0.82	ug/L			11/07/22 23:33	1
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND		1.0	0.21	ug/L			11/07/22 23:33	1
1,1,2-Trichloro-1,2,2-trifluoroethane	ND		1.0	0.31	ug/L			11/07/22 23:33	1
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	ND		1.0	0.23	ug/L			11/07/22 23:33	1
1,1-Dichloroethane	ND		1.0	0.38	ug/L			11/07/22 23:33	1
1,1-Dichloroethene	ND		1.0	0.29	ug/L			11/07/22 23:33	1
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	ND		1.0	0.41	ug/L			11/07/22 23:33	1
1,2-Dibromo-3-Chloropropane	ND		1.0	0.39	ug/L			11/07/22 23:33	1
1,2-Dibromoethane	ND		1.0	0.73	ug/L			11/07/22 23:33	1
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	ND		1.0	0.79	ug/L			11/07/22 23:33	1
1,2-Dichloroethane	ND		1.0	0.21	ug/L			11/07/22 23:33	1
1,2-Dichloropropane	ND		1.0	0.72	ug/L			11/07/22 23:33	1
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	ND		1.0	0.78	ug/L			11/07/22 23:33	1
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	ND		1.0	0.84	ug/L			11/07/22 23:33	1
2-Butanone (MEK)	ND		10	1.3	ug/L			11/07/22 23:33	1
2-Hexanone	ND		5.0	1.2	ug/L			11/07/22 23:33	1
4-Methyl-2-pentanone (MIBK)	ND		5.0	2.1	ug/L			11/07/22 23:33	1
Acetone	ND		10	3.0	ug/L			11/07/22 23:33	1
Benzene	ND		1.0	0.41	ug/L			11/07/22 23:33	1
Bromodichloromethane	ND		1.0	0.39	ug/L			11/07/22 23:33	1
Bromoform	ND		1.0	0.26	ug/L			11/07/22 23:33	1
Bromomethane	ND		1.0	0.69	ug/L			11/07/22 23:33	1
Carbon disulfide	ND		1.0	0.19	ug/L			11/07/22 23:33	1
Carbon tetrachloride	ND		1.0	0.27	ug/L			11/07/22 23:33	1
Chlorobenzene	ND		1.0	0.75	ug/L			11/07/22 23:33	1
Chloroethane	ND		1.0	0.32	ug/L			11/07/22 23:33	1
Chloroform	ND		1.0	0.34	ug/L			11/07/22 23:33	1
Chloromethane	ND		1.0	0.35	ug/L			11/07/22 23:33	1
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND		1.0	0.81	ug/L			11/07/22 23:33	1
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	ND		1.0	0.36	ug/L			11/07/22 23:33	1
Cyclohexane	ND		1.0	0.18	ug/L			11/07/22 23:33	1
Dibromochloromethane	ND		1.0	0.32	ug/L			11/07/22 23:33	1
Dichlorodifluoromethane	ND		1.0	0.68	ug/L			11/07/22 23:33	1
Ethylbenzene	ND		1.0	0.74	ug/L			11/07/22 23:33	1
Isopropylbenzene	ND		1.0	0.79	ug/L			11/07/22 23:33	1
Methyl acetate	ND		2.5	1.3	ug/L			11/07/22 23:33	1
Methyl tert-butyl ether	ND		1.0	0.16	ug/L			11/07/22 23:33	1
Methylcyclohexane	ND		1.0	0.16	ug/L			11/07/22 23:33	1
Methylene Chloride	ND		1.0	0.44	ug/L			11/07/22 23:33	1
Styrene	ND		1.0	0.73	ug/L			11/07/22 23:33	1
Tetrachloroethene	ND		1.0	0.36	ug/L			11/07/22 23:33	1
Toluene	ND		1.0	0.51	ug/L			11/07/22 23:33	1
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND		1.0	0.90	ug/L			11/07/22 23:33	1
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	ND		1.0	0.37	ug/L			11/07/22 23:33	1
Trichloroethene	ND		1.0	0.46	ug/L			11/07/22 23:33	1
Trichlorofluoromethane	ND		1.0	0.88	ug/L			11/07/22 23:33	1
Vinyl chloride	ND		1.0	0.90	ug/L			11/07/22 23:33	1
Xylenes, Total	ND		2.0	0.66	ug/L			11/07/22 23:33	1

Eurofins Buffalo

Job ID: 480-203413-1

#### Client Sample ID: TB Date Collected: 11/01/22 00:00 Date Received: 11/02/22 09:00

## Lab Sample ID: 480-203413-5

Matrix: Water

5 6

Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	102		77 - 120		11/07/22 23:33	1
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)	99		73 - 120		11/07/22 23:33	1
Dibromofluoromethane (Surr)	98		75 - 123		11/07/22 23:33	1
Toluene-d8 (Surr)	101		80 - 120		11/07/22 23:33	1

Chain of Custody Record

Syracuse & eurofins

Environment Testing

Amherst, NY 14228-2298 Phone: 716-691-2600 Fax: 716-691-7991

	Sampler One	Man	0.00	Leb F	M					Carrier Tarbang	NO(SIL	COC No:		
Client Information	Phone: Z LC Or CO C C/2 E-Mai				ove, John	R				11 6		480-178868-38272.4		
Ms. Makenna Guarnieri	315-	992-0	568	Johr	n.Schove(	Det.eu	urofins	us.com		State or Origin:	JY	Page tens	4	
Company: ARCADIS U.S. Inc			PWSID:					Ana	lvsis R	equested		Job #		
Address: One Lincoln Center 110 West Favette St. Suite 300	Due Date Request	ed:			S IDG	T		T	TT			Preservation Codes:		
City:	TAT Requested (de	aya):	0									A - HCL N - None B - NaOH N - None		
State, Zip:	_ Stonday	8 10 1	Joy		10							C - Zn Acetate D - Na2O4S	;	
NY, 13202	Compliance Projec	ct: A Yes	Δ No			17			11	1.1.1.	I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	Q - Na2SO3 R - Na2S2O	33	
315-671-9162(Tel)	B0036652.0009	)				AH 3			111			S - H2SO4 T - TSP Doo	decahydrate	
Email: makenna.guamieri@arcadis.com	WO #				20	i) eHA						U - Acetone V - MCAA		
Project Name	Project #				8	a pe						W - pH 4-5 Y - Trizma		
Site:	48020236 SSOW#				nple	Alkylar			48	30-204731 Cha	in of Custody	Z - other (sp	xecify)	
					od Sa		svoc	VOCe		111	erot			
			Sample Type	(W-water,	Filter	SIM	헏	-TCL			dunb			
Sample Identification	Sample Date	Sample	(C=Comp,	S=solid, O=weste/oft,	P	270E	270D	2600	ē		otal			
	Sample Date		Preservatio	on Code:	XXN	o eo	N	A	3.50		F X	Special Instructions	/Note:	
T-05-LB-MB (0-6)	12-12-22	1030	C	Solid		1								
T-05- LI3-MB (6-12)	1	1035	C	Solid		)						x		
T-05-63-MB (12-24)		1040	C	Solid		21						-		
T-05-LI3-MHUL (0-6)		1045	6	Solid										
T-05- LB-MHUL (6-12)		1050	C	Solid		31			+-+-		1			
T-05- LI3- MHUL (12-24)		1055	C	Solid		31-			7		5			
T-05-12B-MB (0-6)		1100	C	Solid		X		-						
T-05-R13-MB (6-12)		1105	G	Solid		X								
T.05-RB-NB (12-24)		1110	C	Solid		X			x		1	3 1		
T-05-RB-MHUL (0-6)		1115	6	Solid	N	X					2			
T-05-RB-HHUL (6-12)		1120	6	Solid		Ż					1			
Possible Hazard Identification					Sam	ple Dis	sposa	I ( A fe	e may be	assessed if sa	mples are retain	ed longer than 1 month)		
Non-Hazard Flammable Skin Irritant	Poison B Unkr	nown	Radiological		Spec	Retu	rn To (	Client	Boguiror	Disposal By La	b Arc	hive For Months	\$	
Empty Kit Policewiched by:		ID-1		_	Spec			15/QC	Requiren	ients.				
Relinguished by:	Date/Time:	Date.		000904	Lime:	coived	16.			Method of	Shipment:	10		
Dint	12-13	.22 1	436	A	M		20	IN	sli	li	12-13.22	14/30 Company	r	
Reinquisned by RENglick	Date/Time:	2. 1	Sos C	ompany	R	evolved	My/	610	/		Date/Time	1 [000] company	3	
Relinquished by:	Date/Time:	,	c	ompany	R	eceived	by:				Date/Time:	Company		
Custody Seals Intact: Custody Seal No.: Δ Yes Δ No	······				c	ooler Te	emperat	ure(s) °C	and Other	Remarks:	20	#A 100	_	
						-	-				~~~~	Ver: 06/08	8/2021	
							<u> </u>				0	6 (J A (		

Chain of Custody Record



eurofins Environment Testing

Amherst, NY 14228-2298 Phone: 716-691-2600 Fax: 716-691-7991

Client Chart         Phone         3/5-9(1/2056'2)         E.Mail           Company         Address         Image: State 200         Image:	John R	#225	COC No: 480-178868-38272.1
Company ARCADIS US. Inc.         PWSID           Address One Lincoln Center 110 West Fayette St, Suite 300         Due Date Requested:         I/O         Due State: 2p.           State: Zp.         State: State: 300         TAT Requested (days): State: 3162/1-9162(Tel)         Due Date: 3162/1-9162(Tel)         Due Date: 3162/1-9162(Tel)         Due Date: 3162/1-9162(Tel)         Due Date: 3162/1-9162(Tel)         Tate: 3162/1-9162(Tel)           Sample: Identification         Project # 48020236         Sample: Date: 3162/1-9162(Tel)         Tare: 3162/1-9162(Tel)         Tare: 3162/1-9162(Tel)           Sample: Identification         Sample: Date: 3162/1-9162(Tel)         Boodsess: 300/#         Tare: 3162/1-9162(Tel)         Tare: 3162/1-9162(Tel)           Sample: Identification         Sample: Date: 3162/1-9162(Tel)         Tare: 3162/1-9162(Tel)         Tare: 3162/1-9162(Tel)         Tare: 3162/1-9162(Tel)           T-06 - L/3 - N/13         (D - 24)         1/J - D - 22         1/J 2.5         C         Solid           T-06 - L/3 - N/13         (D - 6)         1/J 35         C         Solid         X           T-06 - L/3 - N/13         (D - 6)         1/J 4.5         Solid         X           T-06 - L/3 - N/13         (D - 6)         1/J 4.5         Solid         X           T-06 - L/3 - N/13         (D - 6)         1/J 2.0         Solid	ove@et eurofinsus com	State of Origin	Page: 2 - 4 4
Address         Due Date Requested:           Chy         TAT Requested (days):         (J) Dary           Syracuse         Strude C         (J) Dary           Syracuse         Compilance Project: Δ Ves Δ No           Phone         B0038652 0009           Ball         Boold Dary           Mora         Project Ame           Sample Identification         Sample Date           T = 06 - L/S - N/13 (0-6)         1           T = 06 - L/S - N/13 (0-6)         1           T = 06 - L/S - N/13 (0-6)         1           T = 06 - L/S - N/13 (0-6)         1           T = 06 - L/S - N/13 (0-6)         1           T = 06 - L/S - N/13 (0-6)         1           T = 06 - L/S - N/13 (0-6)         1           T = 06 - L/S - N/14 (0-6)         1           T = 06 - L/S - N/14 (0-6)         1           T = 06 - L/S - N/14 (0-6)	Analysis Por	uested	Job #
City         TAT Requested (davit)         I O D ut           Stee         Steward         I O D ut           Stee         Steward         I O D ut           Prote         B0036652 0009         III O D ut           Stea         B0036652 0009         III O D ut           Pole         B0036652 0009         III O D ut           Imakeman guarnien@arcadis.com         Pole         B0036652 0009           Project #         48020236         Sample         Type           National Grid - Gloversville.NY         48020236         Sample         Transmitted           Sample Identification         Sample Date         Sample Greenward         Transmitted         Transmitted           T-05 - R.B-MH.WL (IQ-24)         IJ-I2-12         III 25         C         Solid         Transmitted           T-06 - L/3 - V/13         (12-24)         III 35         C         Solid         III 35         C			Preservation Codes:
Silin. 2p.       Strukt CC       10 U aug         VY. 13202       Compliance Project: a Yes A No         Prone.       B0036652 0009         Silin. 2p.       PO #         Bottoma guarrieri@arcadis.com       WO #         Project #       No         Project #       Sample dentification         Sample Identification       Sample Date         T-05 - R.B - M.H.W.L (12-24)       1/2-12-22         T-06 - L/S - M/B (6-12)       1/125         C Solid       Solid         T-06 - L/S - M/B (6-12)       1/135         T-06 - L/S - M/B (6-12)       1/135         T-06 - L/S - M/B (6-12)       1/143         C O- L/S - M/B (6-12)       1/143         T-06 - L/S - M/B (0-6)       1/143         T-06 - L/S - M/B (0-6)       1/143         C O- L/S - M/B (0-6)       1/200       Solid         T-06 - L/S - M/B (0-6)       1/200       Solid         T-06 - L/S - M/B (0-6)       1/200       Solid         <			A - HCL M - Hexane B - NaOH O AshaO2
NY, 13202       Compliance Project:       A Yes       A No         Phone       B0036652.0009       B0036652.0009       B0036652.0009       B0036652.0009         Sample James makenna guarnieri@arcadis.com       WO #       B0036652.0009       B0036652.0009         Project #       Project #       Sample       Sample       Matrix         Sample Identification       Sample Date       Sample Identification       Sample Identification       Sample Date       Matrix         T=06 - L/B - N/13       (0-6)       1/12.5       C       Solid       Solid       Freservation Code         T=06 - L/B - N/13       (0-6)       1/12.5       C       Solid       Solid       Freservation Code         T=06 - L/B - N/13       (12-2.4)       1/14.5       C       Solid       Freservation Code         T=06 - L/B - N/14.1       (12-2.4)       1/14.5       C       Solid       Freservation Code         T=06 - L/B - N/14.1       (0-6)       1/14.5       C       Solid       K       K         T=06 - L/B - N/14.1       (12-2.4)       1/14.5       C       Solid       K       K         T=06 - L/B - N/14.1       (0-6)       1/14.5       C       Solid       K       K       K       K       K <td></td> <td></td> <td>C - Zn Acetate P - Na2O4S D - Nitric Acid O - Ma3SO2</td>			C - Zn Acetate P - Na2O4S D - Nitric Acid O - Ma3SO2
315-671-9162(Tel)       B0036652 0009       VO #         Email:       WO #       WO #       WO #         makerna guarnieri@arcadis.com       Propert #       48020236       Stemple       Sample       Matrix       Body Stemple       Sample       Sample       Sample       Matrix       Body Stemple       Sample       Samp	<b>R</b>	No.	E - NaHSO4 R - Na2SO3 F - MeOH R - Na2S2O3
Email:         WO #         <	РАН		G - Amchlor S - H2SO4 H - Ascorbic Acid T - TSP Dodecahydrate
Project Name       Project Mational Grid - Gloversville, NY       Project Mathematical Structure       Project Mathematical Structure <th< td=""><td>AHIG</td><td></td><td>I - Ice U - Acetone I - DI Water V - MCAA</td></th<>	AHIG		I - Ice U - Acetone I - DI Water V - MCAA
Valuation Giver Solver Solver       Valuation Giver Solver Solver       Valuation Giver Solver Solver         Site       Sample Identification       Sample Date       Sample Time Group G	d) sa		K - EDTA W - pH 4-5 L - EDA Y - Trizma
Sample Identification         Sample Date         Sample Type (C-Comp, G-Comp, G-Comp	olatik Ikrylat	Tette	Z - other (specify)
Sample Identification         Sample Date         Sample Type Sample Type Sample Type Sample Type Sample Identification         Matrix (www.s.g. Comp., Genome, Identification Code Sample Identification Identification Identification Identification Identification           1-05-RB-MHUL (IQ-Q4)         10-Q-Q2         112.5         C         Solid           1-06-LI3-MIS         0-6         112.5         C         Solid           1-06-LI3-MIS         6-12         113.5         C         Solid           1-06-LI3-MIS         6-12         113.5         C         Solid           1-06-LI3-MIUL (0-6)         1140         Solid         M           1-06-LI3-MIUL (0-6)         1145         Solid         M           1-06-LI3-MIUL (0-6)         1145         Solid         M           1-06-LI3-MIUL (0-6)         1145         Solid         M           1-06-LI3-MIUL (0-6)         1150         Solid         M           1-06-LI3-MIUL (0-6)         1200         Solid         M           1-06-LI3-MIUL (0-6)         1200         Solid         M           1-06-123-MIS         12.04         Solid         M           1-06-123-MIS         12.04         Solid         Solid           1-06-123-MIS         12.04         Solid	K - A	of c	
Image: Preservation Code           Image: Total Structure         Image: Preservation Code           Image: Total Structure         Image: Preservation Code         Image: Preservation Code           Image: Total Structure         Image: Preservation Code         Image: Preservation Code         Image: Preservation Code           Image: Total Structure         Image: Preservation Code         Image: Preservation Code         Image: Preservation Code         Image: Preservation Code           Image: Total Structure         Image: Preservation Code         Image:	2700 - PAH S 2706 - SIM AL 22706 - TCL VC 2260C - TCL VC	Total Number	Special Instructions/Note:
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	XN N N A		Sector and the sector of the s
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Y X		
T-06-LI3-MB       (6-12)       1135       Solid         T-06-LI3-NI3       (12-24)       1140       Solid         T-06-LI3-NIAUL       (6-6)       1145       Solid         T-06-LI3-NIAUL       (6-6)       1145       Solid         T-06-LI3-NIAUL       (6-12)       1150       Solid         T-06-LB-MHUL       (6-12)       1150       Solid       X         T-06-LB-MHUL       (6-12)       1150       Solid       X         T-06-LB-MHUL       (12-24)       1165       Solid       X         T-06-LB-MHUL       (12-24)       11200       Solid       X         T-06-1213-MI3       (0-6)       1200       Solid       X         T-06-1213-MI3       (12-24)       1210       Solid       X         Possible Hazard Identification       X       X       Sal       X         Deliverable Requested: I. II. III. IV. Other (specify)       Specifime:       X       X       X         Relinq	X		
$T - 06 - L/3 - N/13$ $(12 - 24)$ $1/40$ $\zeta$ solid $T - 06 - L/3 - N/H/UL$ $(6 - 6)$ $1/145$ $\zeta$ solid $T - 06 - L/3 - N/H/UL$ $(6 - 12)$ $1/150$ $\zeta$ solid $T - 06 - L/3 - M/H/UL$ $(12 - 24)$ $1/150$ $\zeta$ solid $T - 06 - L/3 - M/H/UL$ $(12 - 24)$ $1/150$ $\zeta$ solid $T - 06 - L/3 - M/3$ $(0 - 6)$ $1/200$ $\zeta$ solid $T - 06 - 12/3 - M/3$ $(0 - 6)$ $1/200$ $\zeta$ solid $T - 06 - 12/3 - M/3$ $(12 - 34)$ $1/210$ $\zeta$ solid $T - 06 - 12/3 - M/3$ $(12 - 34)$ $1/210$ $\zeta$ solid $T - 06 - 12/3 - M/3$ $(12 - 34)$ $1/210$ $\zeta$ solid $T - 06 - 12/3 - M/3$ $(12 - 34)$ $1/210$ $\zeta$ solid $T - 06 - 12/3 - M/3$ $(12 - 34)$ $1/210$ $\zeta$ solid $T - 06 - 12/3 - M/3$ $(12 - 34)$ $1/210$ $\zeta$ solid $T - 06 - 12/3 - M/3$ $(12 - 34)$ $1/210$ $\zeta$ solid $T - 06 - 12/3 - M/3$ $(12 - 34)$ $1/210$ $\zeta$ solid $T - 06 - 12/3 - M/3$ $(12 - 34)$ $1/210$ $\zeta$ solid $T - 06 - 12/3 - M/3$ $(12 - 34)$ $1/210$ $\zeta$ solid $T - 06 - 12/3 - M/3$ $(12 - 34)$ $1/210$ $\zeta$ solid $T - 06 - 12/3 - M/3$ $(12 - 34)$ $1/210$ $\zeta$ solid $T - 06 - 12/3 - M/3$ $(12 - 34)$ $1/210$ $\zeta$ solid <tr<tr><math>T - 10</math></tr<tr>	X		
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		1.	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			
I = 06 - LB - F ) HWL (Id - dA) $II = 5 C$ Solid $I = 06 - RB - MB$ (0-6) $I200$ $C$ Solid $I = 06 - RB - MB$ (0-6) $I205$ $C$ Solid $I = 06 - I2B - MB$ (12.94) $I205$ $C$ Solid $I = 06 - I2B - MB$ (12.94) $I205$ $C$ Solid $I = 06 - I2B - MB$ (12.94) $I205$ $C$ Solid $I = 06 - I2B - MB$ (12.94) $I210$ $C$ Solid $I = 06 - I2B - MB$ (12.94) $I210$ $C$ Solid $I = 06 - I2B - MB (12.94)$ $I210$ $C$ Solid $I = 06 - I2B - MB (12.94)$ $I2.05$ $C$ Solid $I = 06 - I2B - MB (12.94)$ $I2.05$ $C$ Solid $I = 06 - I2B - MB (12.94)$ $I2.05$ $C$ Solid $I = 06 - I2B - MB (12.94)$ $I2.05$ $C$ Solid $I = 06 - I2B - MB (12.94)$ $I2.94$ $I2.15$ $C$ Solid $I = 06 - I2B - I2B$			
$7 - 06 - 1213 - M15$ $(0-6)$ $1200$ $C$ Solid $7 - 06 - 1213 - M13$ $(12 \cdot 34)$ $1205$ $C$ Solid $T - 06 - 1213 - M13$ $(12 \cdot 34)$ $1210$ $C$ Solid $T - 06 - 1213 - M13$ $(12 \cdot 34)$ $1210$ $C$ Solid $T - 06 - 1213 - M13$ $(12 \cdot 34)$ $1210$ $C$ Solid $T - 06 - 1213 - M13$ $(12 \cdot 34)$ $1210$ $C$ Solid $T - 06 - 1213 - M13$ $(12 \cdot 34)$ $1210$ $C$ Solid $T - 06 - 1213 - M13$ $(12 \cdot 34)$ $1210$ $C$ Solid $T - 06 - 1213 - M13$ $(12 \cdot 34)$ $1210$ $C$ Solid $T - 06 - 1213 - M13$ $(12 \cdot 34)$ $1210$ $C$ Solid         Possible Hazard Identification $Bis in Initiant$ Poison B       Unknown       Radiological       Sal         Deliverable Requested: 1, II, III, IV, Other (specify)       Spec $322 - 211$ $4330$ Company         Relinquished by: $D = 10^{-7} - 11$ $D = 10^{-7} - 12 - 22$ $1430$ Company         Relinquished by:		/	
T-06-1213-MB       (6-12)       1205       C       Solid         T-06-1213-MB       (12-04)       1210       Solid       I         Possible Hazard Identification       Skin Irritant       Poison B       Unknown       Radiological       Sal         Deliverable Requested: 1, III, III, IV, Other (specify)       Specify       Specify       Specify       Specify         Empty Kit Relinquished by:       13 n Date/Time:       Date/Time:       Company       ANH         Relinquished by:       Provide and Provide an	X	1	
T-06-1213 - MB       (12.04)       1210        Solid         T-06-1213 - MB       (12.04)       1210        Solid         T-06-128 - MHUL       (0-6)       1215        Solid         Possible Hazard Identification       Skin Irritant       Poison B       Unknown       Radiological         Non-Hazard       Flammable       Skin Irritant       Poison B       Unknown       Radiological       Sai         Deliverable Requested: 1, II, III, IV, Other (specify)       Specify       Specify       Specify       Specify         Empty Kit Relinquished by:       13:0       Date:       Time:       Time:       Company         Relinquished by:       0       12:12-12-12, 1430       Company       ANH         Relinquished by:       0       0       12:-12-22, 19:05       Company         Relinquished by:       0       0       0       0       Company <td>X</td> <td></td> <td></td>	X		
T-06-28-NHUL (0-6)       V       1215       Solid         Possible Hazard Identification         Non-Hazard       Flammable       Skin Irritant       Poison B       Unknown       Radiological       Sau         Deliverable Requested: 1, II, III, IV, Other (specify)       Specify       Specify       Specify         Empty Kit Relinquished by:       ////////////////////////////////////	X		
Possible Hazard Identification       Skin Irritant       Poison B       Unknown       Radiological       Sa         Image: Deliverable Requested: I, II, III, IV, Other (specify)       Spi       Spi       Spi         Empty Kit Relinquished by:       13 n Date:       Time:         Relinquished by:       13 n Date:       Time:         Relinquished by:       12 - 12 - 22, 14 03       Company         Relinquished by:       Date/Time:       Company	X		
Non-Hazard       Flammable       Skin Irritant       Poison B       Unknown       Radiological       I         Deliverable Requested: I, II, III, IV, Other (specify)       Specify       Specify       Specify       Specify         Empty Kit Relinquished by:       /3,1,0       Date:       Time:       Time:         Relinquished by:       Date/Time:       /2,1/1/43/       Company       ANH         Relinquished by:       Date/Time:       Date/Time:       Company         Relinquished by:       Date/Time:       Company       Company         Relinquished by:       Date/Time:       Company         Relinquished by:       Date/Time:       Company         Relinquished by:       Date/Time:       Company         Relinquished by:       Date/Time:       Company	Sample Disposal ( A fee may be a	ssessed if samples are retain	ed longer than 1 month)
Empty Kit Relinquished by:     Image: Spin Spin Spin Spin Spin Spin Spin Spin	Return To Client	isposal By Lab 🛛 Arct	hive For Months
Empty Kit Relinquished by:     13 ng Date:     Time:       Relinquished by:     Date/Time:     1430     Company       Relinquished by:     Date/Time:     12-12-22, 1403     Company       Relinquished by:     Date/Time:     Company       Relinquished by:     Date/Time:     Company       Relinquished by:     Date/Time:     Company       Relinquished by:     Date/Time:     Company	Special Instructions/QC Requirement	ts:	
Relinquished by: Relinquished by: Relinquished by: Relinquished by: Relinquished by: Date/Time: Date/Time: Date/Time: Date/Time: Date/Time: Company	e:	Method of Shipment:	
Relinquished by: Relinquished by: Relinquished by: Date/Time: Date/Time: Date/Time: Company Company	Receivedby	Date/Time:	Company
Relinquished by: Date/Time: Company	Received by:	Dater (ime)	L TSO Company
Company	Received by		ration tot
			Cômpány
Custody Seals Intact: Custody Seal No.: Δ Yes Δ No	Cooler Temperature(s) °C and Other Ren	narks:	
	1		Ver: 06/08/2021

4

10 Hazelwood Drive

Chain of Custody Record

## Syracuse

eurofins Environment Testing

Amherst, NY 14228-2298 Phone: 716-691-2600 Fax: 716-691-7991

Client Information	Sampler Dan Mean (50 Lab PM Schove					, John R						Carried Free Page				COC No. 480-178868-38272.2		
Client Contact. Ms. Makenna Guamieri	Phone: 315	-942-	0568	E-Mai John	il: n.Schov	e@e	t.eun	ofinsu	us.com		State o	f Origin:	NY	•	Page: Page 2 of	5	304	9
Company: ARCADIS U.S. Inc			PWSID.						Ana	veie E	Poqueet	od	1.		Job #			
Address:	Due Date Request	ed:							Alla	y 815 F	(equest	- De	TT		Preservatio	on Code	18:	
City	TAT Requested (d	RVS):												-	A - HCL		M - Hexane	
Syracuse	- Stand	Sa	10 Da	и										5	B - NaOH C - Zn Aceta	te	O - AsNaO2	
NY, 13202	Compliance Proje	t: A Yes	No	1											D - Nitric Acia E - NaHSO4	t	Q - Na2SO3	
Phone: 315-671-0162(Tel)	PO#						P H							1	F - MeOH G - Amchior		S - H2SO4	
Email	WO #:	,		-	(ON	16)	s (PA								H - Ascorbic	Acid	U - Acetone	scahydrate
makenna.guarnieri@arcadis.com	Drojant #				No)	(PAH	PAH							2	J - DI Water		V - MCAA W - pH 4-5	
National Grid - Gloversville, NY	48020236				s of	tiles	lated							taine	L - EDA		Y - Trizma Z - other (spi	ecify)
Site:	SSOW#				à	nivola	- Alky	3						ron	Other:			
			Sample	Matrix	tered Si MS/II	AH Sen	M_ALK	CL SVO	CL VOC					mher of		-		
		Sample	(C=Comp,	8=solid, 0=waste/oll,	Horn	- 00	S S	00	- 20					al Nu				
Sample Identification	Sample Date	Time	G=grab) at	Tissus, A-Air)	Ē,	827	827	827	826			_		Tot	Spec	cial Ins	tructions/	Note:
T-06-08-MHUI (6-12)	12 0 22	1220	Preservatio	n Code:	AX	N	N	N	A			-						
T-06- RB-MHW (12-24)	10-10-20	1225		Solid		X			-									
1-02-1R-MR (0-6)		1220		Solid	╉╌╂──					++								
F-07-118-VR (6-12)		1200		Solid	┠┨─	X				+			+	+ +				
		1205	C	Solid	H	X			-	+			+	1				
1-07 - LB-FIB (11-24)		1240	C	Solid	↓		-					-						
1-07-LIS-MAUL (0-6)		1245	C	Solid		X								1	~			
1-07-LB-MHWL (6.12)		1250	C	Solid		$\boldsymbol{\chi}$												
T-07-LB-MH4L (12-24)		1255	C	Solid					D	1				11	(a)			
T-07-RB-MB (0-6)		1300	2	Solid		X								)				
T-07-12B-MB (6-12)		1305	C	Solid		X								1				
T-07-RB-MB (12-24)	V	1316	4	Solid		-			D					1				
Possible Hazard Identification		·	hh_		Sa	mple	Disp	oosal	(Afe	may b	e assess	ed if s	amples a	are retain	ned longer t	han 1 (	month)	
Non-Hazard Flammable Skin Irritant	Poison B Unki	nown	Radiological			R	eturn	ToC	Client		Dispos	al By L	ab	Arc	chive For		Months	
Deriverable Requested. 1, 1, 11, 14, Other (specify)					Spe	ecial	Instru	uction	is/QC	Require	ments:							
Empty Kit Relinquished by:	13	Denez			Time:					_	N	lethod o	f Shipment					
KENNDUISINGO DY	Date/Time	12 1	430 00	mpany	H	Rece	ived 0	2	5	a /	4		Date/Tim	-17- 1	72 IL	3.	Company	1.4
Relinquished by:	Date/Time	.77	19 00	mpany	-1	Rece	iver	Y	00	12	1	-	Date/Tim	ie:			Company	
Relinquished by:	Date/Time:	14	Co	mpany	L	Rece	ived b	y	1	0			Date/Tim	10:			Company	
Custody Seals Intact: Custody Seal No.:				1		Coole	er Tem	peratu	100(s) °C	and Othe	r Remarks:							
					_	L		-					-				Var Acion	/2021
							<b>x</b>										ver: 06/08/	2021
						4	<b>\</b>	ω					9 1 0	215		01		

10 Hazelwood Drive Amherst, NY 14228-2298 Phone: 716-691-2600 Fax: 716-691-7991	Ch	cord Syracuse eurofins									Environment Testi				
Client Information	Sampler: Dan	Meu	NOTO	Lab F Sch	ove, Jo	hn R				Ca	HO	25		COC No 480-178868-382	72.3
Client Contact: Ms. Makenna Guarnieri	Phone: 315-99	2-01	568	E-Ma	il Schou	000	1 01100			Sta	te of Origin	114	/	Page:	4 21
		T	WSID:			rewe	Leure	/11/303						Job #:	
ddress	Due Date Requested:							- T	Analysi	s Reque	sted	1 1 1		Preservation Cod	
Die Lincoln Center 110 West Fayette St, Suite 300	TAT Peruseted (days):												3	A - HCL	M - Hexane
Syracuse	- Stundar	2 1	0-Dan	1	1000								0	B - NaOH C - Zn Acetate	N - None O - AsNaO2
NY, 13202	Compliance Project:	Δ Yes Δ	No	}	60		_						14	D - Nitric Acid E - NaHSO4	P - Na2O4S Q - Na2SO3
hone: 15-671-9162(Tel)	PO#: B0036652.0009						H 34						1	F - MeOH G - Amchlor	R - NB2S203 S - H2SO4
	WO #				L No)	H16)	Hs (P/						3	H - Ascorbic Acid	U - Acetone
roject Name	Project #				Ro o	(PA	A PAI						2	J - DI Water K - EDTA	W - pH 4-5
National Grid - Gloversville, NY	48020236 SSOW#				2 2	Latile	ylate						itaine	L - EDA	Z - other (specify)
	330***				Samp SD (	Inivo	C-Alt	8 8					10	Other:	
	Sa	ample	Sample Type (C=comp, 0	Natrix Newster, Besolid, rougstefoil	Id Filtered	OD - PAH Se	DE_SIM_ALM	00 - TCL SV	4 ichiv				al Number o		
sample Identification	Sample Date	Time	G=grab) BT=T	SSUE, A-Alr)	Ê	827	827	827					Tot	Special In	structions/Note:
TOZ RR- WHIN (D-6)	10 10 00 11	215	Preservation	Code:	AX	N	N, I	A			1	-	X		
TOT OD MILLI (CO)	N D DA	20	0		╟╂╴	l 子	-				+	+ $+$ $+$			
107 72 AUNU (10 AU)	10-10-00 1	SLO		Solid	$\left  \right $	M	-			+			.)		
107-12B-FIAUL (12-24)	Pd-12-22 1	325	۷	Solid	$\square$		_		X				1		
00P-121211-01	12-12-22 -		C	Solid		X							1		
DUP-121222-02	12-12-22 -	-	С	Solid		X							1	4	
				Solid											
				Solid									de.		
				Solid	$\square$			1					3		
				Solid			-	+		+++					
				Solid			-	+	++			+	-		
				Solid			-+	+	++	+					
ossible Hazard Identification					Sa	mple	Disp	osal (	A fee ma	v be asse	ssed if a		ratala	ed longer than 4	monthl
Non-Hazard Flammable Skin Imitant Deliverable Requested: I, II, III, IV, Other (specify)	Poison B 🛄 Unknown		adiological		Spi	Recial I	eturn nstrue	To Clin	ent QC Requ	Disp irements:	osal By L	ab	Arcl	hive For	Months
mpty Kit Relinquished by	3 Date	e:			Time:		_				Method o	f Shipment:			
elinquished by	Date/Time: 22	2 14	130 Com	pany	(A)	Recei	ved by	71	1	. /.		Date/Time:	17.7	7 11/2.	Company
elinquished by: R2- 111	Date/Time:		Q Com	pany	V	Rece	on by	ar	01	<u>n</u>		Date/Time:	3.6	41950	Company
elinquished by:	Date/Time:	+1	7011 Com	pany		Recei	C ved by:	C	10			Date/Time:			Company
Custody Seals Intact: Custody Seal No. Δ Yes Δ No				_		Coole	r Temp	erature	(s) °C and (	ther Remar	(5				

**1**4

Ver: 06/09/2021

## **Definitions/Glossary**

3

5

#### Qualifiers

GC/MS Semi VOA										
Qualifier	Qualifier Description									
F1	MS and/or MSD recovery exceeds control limits.									
F2	MS/MSD RPD exceeds control limits									
J	Result is less than the RL but greater than or equal to the MDL and the concentration is an approximate value.									

#### Glossary

Abbreviation	These commonly used abbreviations may or may not be present in this report.
¤	Listed under the "D" column to designate that the result is reported on a dry weight basis
%R	Percent Recovery
CFL	Contains Free Liquid
CFU	Colony Forming Unit
CNF	Contains No Free Liquid
DER	Duplicate Error Ratio (normalized absolute difference)
Dil Fac	Dilution Factor
DL	Detection Limit (DoD/DOE)
DL, RA, RE, IN	Indicates a Dilution, Re-analysis, Re-extraction, or additional Initial metals/anion analysis of the sample
DLC	Decision Level Concentration (Radiochemistry)
EDL	Estimated Detection Limit (Dioxin)
LOD	Limit of Detection (DoD/DOE)
LOQ	Limit of Quantitation (DoD/DOE)
MCL	EPA recommended "Maximum Contaminant Level"
MDA	Minimum Detectable Activity (Radiochemistry)
MDC	Minimum Detectable Concentration (Radiochemistry)
MDL	Method Detection Limit
ML	Minimum Level (Dioxin)
MPN	Most Probable Number
MQL	Method Quantitation Limit
NC	Not Calculated
ND	Not Detected at the reporting limit (or MDL or EDL if shown)
NEG	Negative / Absent
POS	Positive / Present
PQL	Practical Quantitation Limit
PRES	Presumptive
QC	Quality Control
RER	Relative Error Ratio (Radiochemistry)
RL	Reporting Limit or Requested Limit (Radiochemistry)
RPD	Relative Percent Difference, a measure of the relative difference between two points
TEF	Toxicity Equivalent Factor (Dioxin)
TEQ	Toxicity Equivalent Quotient (Dioxin)
TNTC	Too Numerous To Count

Client: ARCADIS U.S. Inc Project/Site: National Grid - Gloversville,NY

#### Client Sample ID: T-05-LB-MB (0-6) Date Collected: 12/12/22 10:30 Date Received: 12/14/22 10:00

#### Lab Sample ID: 480-204731-1 Matrix: Solid

Percent Solids: 78.2

5

6

Method: SW846 8270D - Semivolatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)										
Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac	
Acenaphthene	ND		2200	320	ug/Kg	— — —	12/14/22 15:54	12/15/22 21:59	10	
Acenaphthylene	ND		2200	280	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/15/22 21:59	10	
Anthracene	ND		2200	530	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/15/22 21:59	10	
Benzo[a]anthracene	1300	J	2200	220	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/15/22 21:59	10	
Benzo[a]pyrene	1400	J	2200	320	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/15/22 21:59	10	
Benzo[b]fluoranthene	2000	J	2200	340	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/15/22 21:59	10	
Benzo[g,h,i]perylene	990	J	2200	230	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/15/22 21:59	10	
Benzo[k]fluoranthene	810	J	2200	280	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/15/22 21:59	10	
Chrysene	1600	J	2200	480	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/15/22 21:59	10	
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	ND		2200	380	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/15/22 21:59	10	
Fluoranthene	3600		2200	230	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/15/22 21:59	10	
Fluorene	ND		2200	250	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/15/22 21:59	10	
Indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene	910	J	2200	270	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/15/22 21:59	10	
Naphthalene	ND		2200	280	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/15/22 21:59	10	
Phenanthrene	1500	J	2200	320	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/15/22 21:59	10	
Pyrene	2600		2200	250	ug/Kg	☆	12/14/22 15:54	12/15/22 21:59	10	
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits				Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac	
2-Fluorobiphenyl	89		60 - 120				12/14/22 15:54	12/15/22 21:59	10	
Nitrobenzene-d5 (Surr)	84		53 - 120				12/14/22 15:54	12/15/22 21:59	10	
p-Terphenyl-d14 (Surr)	105		79 - 130				12/14/22 15:54	12/15/22 21:59	10	

Eurofins Buffalo
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits
2-Fluorobiphenyl	79		60 - 120
Nitrobenzene-d5 (Surr)	79		53 - 120
p-Terphenyl-d14 (Surr)	97		79 - 130

Date Received: 12/14/22 10:0	0	
Method: SW846 8270D - Se	mivolatile Organic C	ompounds (GC/MS)
Analyte	Result Qualifie	er RL

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Acenaphthene	ND		2100	310	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/15/22 22:23	10
Acenaphthylene	ND		2100	270	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/15/22 22:23	10
Anthracene	ND		2100	510	ug/Kg	☆	12/14/22 15:54	12/15/22 22:23	10
Benzo[a]anthracene	790	J	2100	210	ug/Kg	₿	12/14/22 15:54	12/15/22 22:23	10
Benzo[a]pyrene	940	J	2100	310	ug/Kg	₽	12/14/22 15:54	12/15/22 22:23	10
Benzo[b]fluoranthene	1300	J	2100	330	ug/Kg	☆	12/14/22 15:54	12/15/22 22:23	10
Benzo[g,h,i]perylene	630	J	2100	220	ug/Kg	₽	12/14/22 15:54	12/15/22 22:23	10
Benzo[k]fluoranthene	530	J	2100	270	ug/Kg	₽	12/14/22 15:54	12/15/22 22:23	10
Chrysene	1000	J	2100	470	ug/Kg	₽	12/14/22 15:54	12/15/22 22:23	10
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	ND		2100	370	ug/Kg	₽	12/14/22 15:54	12/15/22 22:23	10
Fluoranthene	2200		2100	220	ug/Kg	☆	12/14/22 15:54	12/15/22 22:23	10
Fluorene	ND		2100	240	ug/Kg	₽	12/14/22 15:54	12/15/22 22:23	10
Indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene	590	J	2100	260	ug/Kg	₽	12/14/22 15:54	12/15/22 22:23	10
Naphthalene	ND		2100	270	ug/Kg	☆	12/14/22 15:54	12/15/22 22:23	10
Phenanthrene	980	J	2100	310	ug/Kg	₽	12/14/22 15:54	12/15/22 22:23	10
Pyrene	1600	J	2100	240	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/15/22 22:23	10
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits				Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
2-Fluorobiphenyl	79		60 - 120				12/14/22 15:54	12/15/22 22:23	10
Nitrobenzene-d5 (Surr)	79		53 - 120				12/14/22 15:54	12/15/22 22:23	10

# **Client Sample Results**

Client: ARCADIS U.S. Inc Project/Site: National Grid - Gloversville,NY

## Client Sample ID: T-05-LB-MB (6-12) Date Collected: 12/12/22 10:35

Job ID: 480-204731-1

### Lab Sample ID: 480-204731-2 Matrix: Solid

12/14/22 15:54 12/15/22 22:23

Percent Solids: 79.7

5

6

### Client Sample ID: T-05-LB-MHWL (0-6) Date Collected: 12/12/22 10:45 Date Received: 12/14/22 10:00

Method: SW846 8270D - Semivolatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)	
······································	

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Acenaphthene	ND		2400	360	ug/Kg	₽	12/14/22 15:54	12/15/22 22:47	10
Acenaphthylene	ND		2400	310	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/15/22 22:47	10
Anthracene	850	J	2400	600	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/15/22 22:47	10
Benzo[a]anthracene	2300	J	2400	240	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/15/22 22:47	10
Benzo[a]pyrene	2200	J	2400	360	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/15/22 22:47	10
Benzo[b]fluoranthene	3100		2400	380	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/15/22 22:47	10
Benzo[g,h,i]perylene	1400	J	2400	260	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/15/22 22:47	10
Benzo[k]fluoranthene	1200	J	2400	310	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/15/22 22:47	10
Chrysene	2600		2400	540	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/15/22 22:47	10
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	480	J	2400	430	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/15/22 22:47	10
Fluoranthene	6700		2400	260	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/15/22 22:47	10
Fluorene	380	J	2400	280	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/15/22 22:47	10
Indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene	1300	J	2400	300	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/15/22 22:47	10
Naphthalene	ND		2400	310	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/15/22 22:47	10
Phenanthrene	4400		2400	360	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/15/22 22:47	10
Pyrene	4800		2400	280	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/15/22 22:47	10
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits				Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
2-Fluorobiphenyl	80		60 - 120				12/14/22 15:54	12/15/22 22:47	10
Nitrobenzene-d5 (Surr)	84		53 - 120				12/14/22 15:54	12/15/22 22:47	10
p-Terphenyl-d14 (Surr)	96		79 - 130				12/14/22 15:54	12/15/22 22:47	10

### Client Sample ID: T-05-LB-MHWL (6-12) Date Collected: 12/12/22 10:50 Date Received: 12/14/22 10:00

Mothod: SW846 8270D	- Somivolatilo Organia	Compounds (GC/MS)
WELTIOU. 30040 02/0D	- Semivolatile Organit	

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Acenaphthene	ND		2700	390	ug/Kg	₽	12/14/22 15:54	12/15/22 23:11	10
Acenaphthylene	ND		2700	340	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/15/22 23:11	10
Anthracene	ND		2700	660	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/15/22 23:11	10
Benzo[a]anthracene	1100	J	2700	270	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/15/22 23:11	10
Benzo[a]pyrene	1200	J	2700	390	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/15/22 23:11	10
Benzo[b]fluoranthene	1600	J	2700	420	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/15/22 23:11	10
Benzo[g,h,i]perylene	750	J	2700	280	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/15/22 23:11	10
Benzo[k]fluoranthene	600	J	2700	340	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/15/22 23:11	10
Chrysene	1300	J	2700	590	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/15/22 23:11	10
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	ND		2700	470	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/15/22 23:11	10
Fluoranthene	3000		2700	280	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/15/22 23:11	10
Fluorene	ND		2700	310	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/15/22 23:11	10
Indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene	710	J	2700	330	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/15/22 23:11	10
Naphthalene	ND		2700	340	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/15/22 23:11	10
Phenanthrene	1500	J	2700	390	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/15/22 23:11	10
Pyrene	2200	J	2700	310	ug/Kg	₽	12/14/22 15:54	12/15/22 23:11	10
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits				Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
2-Fluorobiphenyl	77		60 - 120				12/14/22 15:54	12/15/22 23:11	10
Nitrobenzene-d5 (Surr)	79		53 - 120				12/14/22 15:54	12/15/22 23:11	10
p-Terphenyl-d14 (Surr)	97		79 - 130				12/14/22 15:54	12/15/22 23:11	10

Percent Solids: 62.6

Matrix: Solid

Lab Sample ID: 480-204731-5

### Client Sample ID: T-05-RB-MB (0-6) Date Collected: 12/12/22 11:00 Date Received: 12/14/22 10:00

### Lab Sample ID: 480-204731-7 Matrix: Solid

Percent Solids: 82.7

Method: SW846 8270D - S	Semivolatile Org	anic Com	pounds (GC/I	MS)						
Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac	5
Acenaphthene	ND		200	30	ug/Kg	₽	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 00:00	1	
Acenaphthylene	ND		200	26	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 00:00	1	6
Anthracene	ND		200	50	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 00:00	1	
Benzo[a]anthracene	44	J	200	20	ug/Kg	₽	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 00:00	1	
Benzo[a]pyrene	47	J	200	30	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 00:00	1	_
Benzo[b]fluoranthene	58	J	200	32	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 00:00	1	8
Benzo[g,h,i]perylene	32	J	200	21	ug/Kg	₽	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 00:00	1	
Benzo[k]fluoranthene	26	J	200	26	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 00:00	1	0
Chrysene	47	J	200	45	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 00:00	1	3
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	ND		200	36	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 00:00	1	
Fluoranthene	87	J	200	21	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 00:00	1	
Fluorene	ND		200	24	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 00:00	1	
Indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene	28	J	200	25	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 00:00	1	
Naphthalene	ND		200	26	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 00:00	1	
Phenanthrene	33	J	200	30	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 00:00	1	
Pyrene	66	J	200	24	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 00:00	1	13
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits				Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac	
2-Fluorobiphenyl	61		60 - 120				12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 00:00	1	
Nitrobenzene-d5 (Surr)	56		53 - 120				12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 00:00	1	
p-Terphenyl-d14 (Surr)	87		79 - 130				12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 00:00	1	

### Client Sample ID: T-05-RB-MB (6-12) Date Collected: 12/12/22 11:05 Date Received: 12/14/22 10:00

### Lab Sample ID: 480-204731-8 Matrix: Solid

Percent Solids: 87.1

5

11 12 13

Method: SW846 8270D - 3	Semivolatile Org	anic Com	pounds (GC/N	AS)						
Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac	5
Acenaphthene	ND		190	28	ug/Kg	— — —	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 00:24	1	
Acenaphthylene	ND		190	25	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 00:24	1	6
Anthracene	ND		190	48	ug/Kg	₽	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 00:24	1	
Benzo[a]anthracene	24	J	190	19	ug/Kg	₽	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 00:24	1	
Benzo[a]pyrene	28	J	190	28	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 00:24	1	
Benzo[b]fluoranthene	35	J	190	31	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 00:24	1	8
Benzo[g,h,i]perylene	ND		190	20	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 00:24	1	
Benzo[k]fluoranthene	ND		190	25	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 00:24	1	0
Chrysene	ND		190	43	ug/Kg	₽	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 00:24	1	3
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	ND		190	34	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 00:24	1	
Fluoranthene	51	J	190	20	ug/Kg	₽	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 00:24	1	
Fluorene	ND		190	23	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 00:24	1	
Indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene	ND		190	24	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 00:24	1	
Naphthalene	ND		190	25	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 00:24	1	
Phenanthrene	ND		190	28	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 00:24	1	
Pyrene	39	J	190	23	ug/Kg	☆	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 00:24	1	12
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits				Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac	
2-Fluorobiphenyl	77		60 - 120				12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 00:24	1	
Nitrobenzene-d5 (Surr)	64		53 - 120				12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 00:24	1	
p-Terphenyl-d14 (Surr)	85		79 - 130				12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 00:24	1	

### Client Sample ID: T-05-RB-MHWL (0-6) Date Collected: 12/12/22 11:15 Date Received: 12/14/22 10:00

### Lab Sample ID: 480-204731-10 Matrix: Solid

Percent Solids: 77.2

5

6

13

Method: SW846 8270D - S	Semivolatile Org	anic Com	pounds (GC/N	NS)					
Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Acenaphthene	ND		1100	160	ug/Kg	— — ¤	12/14/22 15:54	12/15/22 21:35	5
Acenaphthylene	ND		1100	140	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/15/22 21:35	5
Anthracene	ND		1100	270	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/15/22 21:35	5
Benzo[a]anthracene	770	J	1100	110	ug/Kg		12/14/22 15:54	12/15/22 21:35	5
Benzo[a]pyrene	850	J	1100	160	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/15/22 21:35	5
Benzo[b]fluoranthene	1200		1100	170	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/15/22 21:35	5
Benzo[g,h,i]perylene	580	J	1100	120	ug/Kg		12/14/22 15:54	12/15/22 21:35	5
Benzo[k]fluoranthene	470	J	1100	140	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/15/22 21:35	5
Chrysene	930	J	1100	240	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/15/22 21:35	5
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	190	J	1100	190	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/15/22 21:35	5
Fluoranthene	2000	<b>F2</b> J	1100	120	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/15/22 21:35	5
Fluorene	ND		1100	130	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/15/22 21:35	5
Indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene	470	J	1100	140	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/15/22 21:35	5
Naphthalene	ND		1100	140	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/15/22 21:35	5
Phenanthrene	920	J	1100	160	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/15/22 21:35	5
Pyrene	1500		1100	130	ug/Kg	☆	12/14/22 15:54	12/15/22 21:35	5
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits				Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
2-Fluorobiphenyl	76		60 - 120				12/14/22 15:54	12/15/22 21:35	5
Nitrobenzene-d5 (Surr)	72		53 - 120				12/14/22 15:54	12/15/22 21:35	5
p-Terphenyl-d14 (Surr)	92		79 - 130				12/14/22 15:54	12/15/22 21:35	5

### Client Sample ID: T-05-RB-MHWL (6-12) Date Collected: 12/12/22 11:20 Date Received: 12/14/22 10:00

### Lab Sample ID: 480-204731-11 Matrix: Solid

Percent Solids: 90.7

Method: SW846 8270D - S	Semivolatile Org	anic Com	pounds (GC/N	AS)						
Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac	5
Acenaphthene	ND		190	27	ug/Kg	₽	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 00:49	1	
Acenaphthylene	ND		190	24	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 00:49	1	6
Anthracene	ND		190	46	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 00:49	1	
Benzo[a]anthracene	44	J	190	19	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 00:49	1	
Benzo[a]pyrene	55	J	190	27	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 00:49	1	
Benzo[b]fluoranthene	78	J	190	29	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 00:49	1	8
Benzo[g,h,i]perylene	42	J	190	20	ug/Kg	₽	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 00:49	1	
Benzo[k]fluoranthene	33	J	190	24	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 00:49	1	0
Chrysene	59	J	190	41	ug/Kg	₽	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 00:49	1	3
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	ND		190	33	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 00:49	1	
Fluoranthene	100	J	190	20	ug/Kg	₽	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 00:49	1	
Fluorene	ND		190	22	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 00:49	1	
Indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene	39	J	190	23	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 00:49	1	
Naphthalene	ND		190	24	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 00:49	1	
Phenanthrene	36	J	190	27	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 00:49	1	
Pyrene	84	J	190	22	ug/Kg	₽	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 00:49	1	13
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits				Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac	
2-Fluorobiphenyl	73		60 - 120				12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 00:49	1	
Nitrobenzene-d5 (Surr)	65		53 - 120				12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 00:49	1	
p-Terphenyl-d14 (Surr)	87		79 - 130				12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 00:49	1	

### Client Sample ID: T-06-LB-MB (0-6) Date Collected: 12/12/22 11:30 Date Received: 12/14/22 10:00

	Matha J. CM/04C 0070D	Complexed at the Owner	
I		- Semivolatile Urgar	IIC COMPOUNDS (GC/NS)
1			

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac	
Acenaphthene	ND		1000	150	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 01:37	5	÷
Acenaphthylene	ND		1000	130	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 01:37	5	
Anthracene	280	J	1000	250	ug/Kg	₽	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 01:37	5	2
Benzo[a]anthracene	1100		1000	100	ug/Kg	₽	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 01:37	5	
Benzo[a]pyrene	960	J	1000	150	ug/Kg	₽	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 01:37	5	
Benzo[b]fluoranthene	1200		1000	160	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 01:37	5	
Benzo[g,h,i]perylene	520	J	1000	110	ug/Kg	₽	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 01:37	5	
Benzo[k]fluoranthene	510	J	1000	130	ug/Kg	₽	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 01:37	5	
Chrysene	970	J	1000	220	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 01:37	5	
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	200	J	1000	180	ug/Kg	₽	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 01:37	5	
Fluoranthene	1900		1000	110	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 01:37	5	
Fluorene	ND		1000	120	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 01:37	5	
Indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene	550	J	1000	120	ug/Kg	₽	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 01:37	5	
Naphthalene	ND		1000	130	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 01:37	5	
Phenanthrene	800	J	1000	150	ug/Kg	₽	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 01:37	5	
Pyrene	1400		1000	120	ug/Kg	☆	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 01:37	5	
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits				Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac	
2-Fluorobiphenyl	76		60 - 120				12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 01:37	5	
Nitrobenzene-d5 (Surr)	62		53 - 120				12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 01:37	5	
p-Terphenyl-d14 (Surr)	99		79 - 130				12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 01:37	5	

Job ID: 480-204731-1

**Eurofins Buffalo** 

Lab Sample ID: 480-204731-13

Matrix: Solid Percent Solids: 83.7

### Client Sample ID: T-06-LB-MB (6-12) Date Collected: 12/12/22 11:35 Date Received: 12/14/22 10:00

### Lab Sample ID: 480-204731-14 Matrix: Solid

Percent Solids: 88.1

5

Method: SW846 8270D - Semivolatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)											
Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac		
Acenaphthene	ND		950	140	ug/Kg	☆	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 02:01	5		
Acenaphthylene	ND		950	120	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 02:01	5		
Anthracene	ND		950	230	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 02:01	5		
Benzo[a]anthracene	590	J	950	95	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 02:01	5		
Benzo[a]pyrene	550	J	950	140	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 02:01	5		
Benzo[b]fluoranthene	650	J	950	150	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 02:01	5		
Benzo[g,h,i]perylene	290	J	950	100	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 02:01	5		
Benzo[k]fluoranthene	300	J	950	120	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 02:01	5		
Chrysene	560	J	950	210	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 02:01	5		
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	ND		950	170	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 02:01	5		
Fluoranthene	1100		950	100	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 02:01	5		
Fluorene	ND		950	110	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 02:01	5		
Indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene	270	J	950	120	ug/Kg	₽	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 02:01	5		
Naphthalene	ND		950	120	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 02:01	5		
Phenanthrene	370	J	950	140	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 02:01	5		
Pyrene	860	J	950	110	ug/Kg	☆	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 02:01	5		
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits				Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac		
2-Fluorobiphenyl	82		60 - 120				12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 02:01	5		
Nitrobenzene-d5 (Surr)	74		53 - 120				12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 02:01	5		
p-Terphenyl-d14 (Surr)	90		79 - 130				12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 02:01	5		

# **Client Sample Results**

Client: ARCADIS U.S. Inc Project/Site: National Grid - Gloversville,NY

p-Terphenyl-d14 (Surr)

### Client Sample ID: T-06-LB-MHWL (0-6) Date Collected: 12/12/22 11:50 Date Received: 12/14/22 10:00

Mathead, CM/04C 0070D Combinated	ile Ormenie Commennele (CC/MC)
wiethod: Sw846 8270D - Semivolat	lie Organic Compounds (GC/WS)

89

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Acenaphthene	ND		1000	150	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 02:26	5
Acenaphthylene	ND		1000	130	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 02:26	5
Anthracene	ND		1000	250	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 02:26	5
Benzo[a]anthracene	1000		1000	100	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 02:26	5
Benzo[a]pyrene	1000		1000	150	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 02:26	5
Benzo[b]fluoranthene	1300		1000	160	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 02:26	5
Benzo[g,h,i]perylene	540	J	1000	110	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 02:26	5
Benzo[k]fluoranthene	560	J	1000	130	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 02:26	5
Chrysene	1100		1000	230	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 02:26	5
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	220	J	1000	180	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 02:26	5
Fluoranthene	1900		1000	110	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 02:26	5
Fluorene	ND		1000	120	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 02:26	5
Indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene	520	J	1000	120	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 02:26	5
Naphthalene	ND		1000	130	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 02:26	5
Phenanthrene	630	J	1000	150	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 02:26	5
Pyrene	1500		1000	120	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 02:26	5
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits				Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
2-Fluorobiphenyl	69		60 - 120				12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 02:26	5
Nitrobenzene-d5 (Surr)	58		53 - 120				12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 02:26	5

79 - 130

**Eurofins Buffalo** 

Job ID: 480-204731-1

12/14/22 15:54 12/16/22 02:26

Lab Sample ID: 480-204731-16 Matrix: Solid Percent Solids: 83.0

5

### Client Sample ID: T-06-LB-MHWL (6-12) Date Collected: 12/12/22 11:55 Date Received: 12/14/22 10:00

### Lab Sample ID: 480-204731-17 Matrix: Solid

Percent Solids: 89.0

5

6

Method: SW846 8270D - Semivolatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)											
Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac		
Acenaphthene	ND		930	140	ug/Kg	⇒ ¢	12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 16:59	5		
Acenaphthylene	ND		930	120	ug/Kg	¢	12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 16:59	5		
Anthracene	ND	F1F2 UJ	930	230	ug/Kg	¢	12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 16:59	5		
Benzo[a]anthracene	570	J <del>F1 F2</del>	930	93	ug/Kg	₽	12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 16:59	5		
Benzo[a]pyrene	520	J <del>F1</del>	930	140	ug/Kg	¢	12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 16:59	5		
Benzo[b]fluoranthene	670	J F2	930	150	ug/Kg	¢	12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 16:59	5		
Benzo[g,h,i]perylene	310	J	930	99	ug/Kg	⇔	12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 16:59	5		
Benzo[k]fluoranthene	290	J	930	120	ug/Kg	¢	12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 16:59	5		
Chrysene	540	J <del>F1 F2</del>	930	210	ug/Kg	¢	12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 16:59	5		
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	ND		930	160	ug/Kg	₽	12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 16:59	5		
Fluoranthene	990	F <del>1F2</del> J	930	99	ug/Kg	¢	12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 16:59	5		
Fluorene	ND	F1	930	110	ug/Kg	¢	12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 16:59	5		
Indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene	300	J	930	120	ug/Kg	⇔	12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 16:59	5		
Naphthalene	ND		930	120	ug/Kg	¢	12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 16:59	5		
Phenanthrene	460	J F <del>1 F</del> 2	930	140	ug/Kg	¢	12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 16:59	5		
Pyrene	800	J Ft	930	110	ug/Kg	¢	12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 16:59	5		
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits				Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac		
2-Fluorobiphenyl	104		60 - 120				12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 16:59	5		
Nitrobenzene-d5 (Surr)	101		53 - 120				12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 16:59	5		
p-Terphenyl-d14 (Surr)	116		79 - 130				12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 16:59	5		

### Client Sample ID: T-06-RB-MB (0-6) Date Collected: 12/12/22 12:05 Date Received: 12/14/22 10:00

### Lab Sample ID: 480-204731-19 Matrix: Solid

Percent Solids: 59.6

5

6

Method: SW846 8270D - Semivolatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)										
Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac	
Acenaphthene	ND		2800	410	ug/Kg		12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 02:50	10	
Acenaphthylene	ND		2800	360	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 02:50	10	
Anthracene	ND		2800	700	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 02:50	10	
Benzo[a]anthracene	1100	J	2800	280	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 02:50	10	
Benzo[a]pyrene	1300	J	2800	410	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 02:50	10	
Benzo[b]fluoranthene	1700	J	2800	450	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 02:50	10	
Benzo[g,h,i]perylene	750	J	2800	300	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 02:50	10	
Benzo[k]fluoranthene	720	J	2800	360	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 02:50	10	
Chrysene	1400	J	2800	630	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 02:50	10	
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	ND		2800	500	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 02:50	10	
Fluoranthene	3000		2800	300	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 02:50	10	
Fluorene	ND		2800	330	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 02:50	10	
Indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene	710	J	2800	350	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 02:50	10	
Naphthalene	ND		2800	360	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 02:50	10	
Phenanthrene	1200	J	2800	410	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 02:50	10	
Pyrene	2000	J	2800	330	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 02:50	10	
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits				Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac	
2-Fluorobiphenyl	72		60 - 120				12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 02:50	10	
Nitrobenzene-d5 (Surr)	68		53 - 120				12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 02:50	10	
p-Terphenyl-d14 (Surr)	94		79 - 130				12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 02:50	10	

### Client Sample ID: T-06-RB-MB (6-12) Date Collected: 12/12/22 12:10 Date Received: 12/14/22 10:00

Job ID: 480-204731-1

### Lab Sample ID: 480-204731-20 Matrix: Solid

Percent Solids: 64.0

5

6

Method: SW846 8270D - Semivolatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)										
Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac	
Acenaphthene	ND		2600	380	ug/Kg		12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 03:14	10	
Acenaphthylene	ND		2600	340	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 03:14	10	
Anthracene	ND		2600	650	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 03:14	10	
Benzo[a]anthracene	710	J	2600	260	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 03:14	10	
Benzo[a]pyrene	810	J	2600	380	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 03:14	10	
Benzo[b]fluoranthene	1100	J	2600	420	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 03:14	10	
Benzo[g,h,i]perylene	460	J	2600	280	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 03:14	10	
Benzo[k]fluoranthene	440	J	2600	340	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 03:14	10	
Chrysene	880	J	2600	580	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 03:14	10	
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	ND		2600	460	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 03:14	10	
Fluoranthene	1800	J	2600	280	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 03:14	10	
Fluorene	ND		2600	310	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 03:14	10	
Indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene	430	J	2600	320	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 03:14	10	
Naphthalene	ND		2600	340	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 03:14	10	
Phenanthrene	800	J	2600	380	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 03:14	10	
Pyrene	1300	J	2600	310	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 03:14	10	
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits				Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac	
2-Fluorobiphenyl	75		60 - 120				12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 03:14	10	
Nitrobenzene-d5 (Surr)	65		53 - 120				12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 03:14	10	
p-Terphenyl-d14 (Surr)	96		79 - 130				12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 03:14	10	

### Client Sample ID: T-06-RB-MHWL (0-6) Date Collected: 12/12/22 12:20 Date Received: 12/14/22 10:00

### Lab Sample ID: 480-204731-22 Matrix: Solid

Percent Solids: 60.1

5

6

Method: SW846 8270D - Semivolatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)										
Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac	
Acenaphthene	ND		2800	410	ug/Kg	☆	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 03:38	10	
Acenaphthylene	ND		2800	360	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 03:38	10	
Anthracene	ND		2800	690	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 03:38	10	
Benzo[a]anthracene	1500	J	2800	280	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 03:38	10	
Benzo[a]pyrene	1700	J	2800	410	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 03:38	10	
Benzo[b]fluoranthene	2300	J	2800	440	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 03:38	10	
Benzo[g,h,i]perylene	1100	J	2800	300	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 03:38	10	
Benzo[k]fluoranthene	990	J	2800	360	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 03:38	10	
Chrysene	1900	J	2800	630	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 03:38	10	
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	ND		2800	490	ug/Kg	₽	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 03:38	10	
Fluoranthene	4500		2800	300	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 03:38	10	
Fluorene	ND		2800	330	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 03:38	10	
Indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene	980	J	2800	350	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 03:38	10	
Naphthalene	ND		2800	360	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 03:38	10	
Phenanthrene	2300	J	2800	410	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 03:38	10	
Pyrene	3200		2800	330	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 03:38	10	
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits				Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac	
2-Fluorobiphenyl	72		60 - 120				12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 03:38	10	
Nitrobenzene-d5 (Surr)	68		53 - 120				12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 03:38	10	
p-Terphenyl-d14 (Surr)	97		79 - 130				12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 03:38	10	

### Client Sample ID: T-06-RB-MHWL (6-12) Date Collected: 12/12/22 12:25 Date Received: 12/14/22 10:00

### Lab Sample ID: 480-204731-23 Matrix: Solid

Percent Solids: 60.3

5

6

13

Method: SW846 8270D - Semivolatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)										
Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac	
Acenaphthene	ND		2800	410	ug/Kg	— — —	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 04:02	10	
Acenaphthylene	ND		2800	360	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 04:02	10	
Anthracene	ND		2800	680	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 04:02	10	
Benzo[a]anthracene	2200	J	2800	280	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 04:02	10	
Benzo[a]pyrene	2500	J	2800	410	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 04:02	10	
Benzo[b]fluoranthene	3300		2800	440	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 04:02	10	
Benzo[g,h,i]perylene	1300	J	2800	290	ug/Kg	☆	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 04:02	10	
Benzo[k]fluoranthene	1400	J	2800	360	ug/Kg	☆	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 04:02	10	
Chrysene	2900		2800	620	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 04:02	10	
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	ND		2800	490	ug/Kg	☆	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 04:02	10	
Fluoranthene	6500		2800	290	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 04:02	10	
Fluorene	ND		2800	330	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 04:02	10	
Indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene	1300	J	2800	340	ug/Kg	☆	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 04:02	10	
Naphthalene	ND		2800	360	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 04:02	10	
Phenanthrene	3500		2800	410	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 04:02	10	
Pyrene	4500		2800	330	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 04:02	10	
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits				Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac	
2-Fluorobiphenyl	74		60 - 120				12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 04:02	10	
Nitrobenzene-d5 (Surr)	69		53 - 120				12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 04:02	10	
p-Terphenyl-d14 (Surr)	91		79 - 130				12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 04:02	10	

### Client Sample ID: T-07-LB-MB (0-6) Date Collected: 12/12/22 12:35 Date Received: 12/14/22 10:00

Method: SW846 8270D - S	Semivolatile Org	anic Com	pounds (GC/N	NS)					
Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Acenaphthene	ND		2900	420	ug/Kg	— — —	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 04:26	10
Acenaphthylene	ND		2900	370	ug/Kg	₽	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 04:26	10
Anthracene	ND		2900	710	ug/Kg	₽	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 04:26	10
Benzo[a]anthracene	1000	J	2900	290	ug/Kg	₽	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 04:26	10
Benzo[a]pyrene	1100	J	2900	420	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 04:26	10
Benzo[b]fluoranthene	1500	J	2900	450	ug/Kg	₽	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 04:26	10
Benzo[g,h,i]perylene	610	J	2900	300	ug/Kg	☆	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 04:26	10
Benzo[k]fluoranthene	610	J	2900	370	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 04:26	10
Chrysene	1200	J	2900	640	ug/Kg	₽	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 04:26	10
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	ND		2900	500	ug/Kg	☆	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 04:26	10
Fluoranthene	2400	J	2900	300	ug/Kg	₽	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 04:26	10
Fluorene	ND		2900	340	ug/Kg	₽	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 04:26	10
Indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene	590	J	2900	350	ug/Kg	☆	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 04:26	10
Naphthalene	ND		2900	370	ug/Kg	₽	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 04:26	10
Phenanthrene	1100	J	2900	420	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 04:26	10
Pyrene	1900	J	2900	340	ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 04:26	10
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits				Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
2-Fluorobiphenyl	74		60 - 120				12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 04:26	10
Nitrobenzene-d5 (Surr)	69		53 - 120				12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 04:26	10
p-Terphenyl-d14 (Surr)	89		79 - 130				12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 04:26	10

# Lab Sample ID: 480-204731-25 Matrix: Solid Percent Solids: 58.2 5 6

Job ID: 480-204731-1

**Eurofins Buffalo** 

12/28/2022

### Client Sample ID: T-07-LB-MB (6-12) Date Collected: 12/12/22 12:40 Date Received: 12/14/22 10:00

Method: SW846 8270D - Semivolatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)										
Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D				
Acenaphthene	ND		2700	400	ug/Kg	— <del>—</del>				

Acenaphthene	ND		2700	400 ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 04:50	10
Acenaphthylene	ND		2700	350 ug/Kg	₽	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 04:50	10
Anthracene	ND		2700	670 ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 04:50	10
Benzo[a]anthracene	1000	J	2700	270 ug/Kg	₽	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 04:50	10
Benzo[a]pyrene	1100	J	2700	400 ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 04:50	10
Benzo[b]fluoranthene	1300	J	2700	430 ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 04:50	10
Benzo[g,h,i]perylene	510	J	2700	290 ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 04:50	10
Benzo[k]fluoranthene	600	J	2700	350 ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 04:50	10
Chrysene	1100	J	2700	600 ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 04:50	10
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	ND		2700	480 ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 04:50	10
Fluoranthene	2300	J	2700	290 ug/Kg	₽	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 04:50	10
Fluorene	ND		2700	320 ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 04:50	10
Indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene	530	J	2700	330 ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 04:50	10
Naphthalene	ND		2700	350 ug/Kg	₽	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 04:50	10
Phenanthrene	1100	J	2700	400 ug/Kg	₽	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 04:50	10
Pyrene	1700	J	2700	320 ug/Kg	¢	12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 04:50	10
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits			Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
2-Fluorobiphenyl	79		60 - 120			12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 04:50	10
Nitrobenzene-d5 (Surr)	73		53 - 120			12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 04:50	10
p-Terphenyl-d14 (Surr)	97		79 - 130			12/14/22 15:54	12/16/22 04:50	10

Job ID: 480-204731-1

Percent Solids: 61.3

Analyzed

Matrix: Solid

Dil Fac

Lab Sample ID: 480-204731-26

Prepared

### Client Sample ID: T-07-LB-MHWL (0-6) Date Collected: 12/12/22 12:50 Date Received: 12/14/22 10:00

wethod: Sw846 82/0D - Semivolatile Or	ganic Compounds (GC/MS)

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Acenaphthene	ND		6000	890	ug/Kg	¢	12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 17:23	10
Acenaphthylene	ND		6000	780	ug/Kg	¢	12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 17:23	10
Anthracene	ND		6000	1500	ug/Kg	¢	12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 17:23	10
Benzo[a]anthracene	2900	J	6000	600	ug/Kg	¢	12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 17:23	10
Benzo[a]pyrene	3400	J	6000	890	ug/Kg	¢	12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 17:23	10
Benzo[b]fluoranthene	4600	J	6000	960	ug/Kg	¢	12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 17:23	10
Benzo[g,h,i]perylene	2300	J	6000	640	ug/Kg	¢	12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 17:23	10
Benzo[k]fluoranthene	1900	J	6000	780	ug/Kg	¢	12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 17:23	10
Chrysene	3500	J	6000	1400	ug/Kg	¢	12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 17:23	10
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	ND		6000	1100	ug/Kg	¢	12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 17:23	10
Fluoranthene	7500		6000	640	ug/Kg	¢	12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 17:23	10
Fluorene	ND		6000	710	ug/Kg	¢	12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 17:23	10
Indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene	2000	J	6000	750	ug/Kg	¢	12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 17:23	10
Naphthalene	ND		6000	780	ug/Kg	¢	12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 17:23	10
Phenanthrene	3000	J	6000	890	ug/Kg	¢	12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 17:23	10
Pyrene	5600	J	6000	710	ug/Kg	¢	12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 17:23	10
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits				Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
2-Fluorobiphenyl	78		60 - 120				12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 17:23	10
Nitrobenzene-d5 (Surr)	80		53 - 120				12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 17:23	10
p-Terphenyl-d14 (Surr)	91		79 - 130				12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 17:23	10

Eurofins Buffalo

12/28/2022

Job ID: 480-204731-1

### Lab Sample ID: 480-204731-28 Matrix: Solid

Percent Solids: 27.9

С	li	er	١t	Sa	m	pla	e F	Re	su	Its
-		•••				Γ			~~	

### Client Sample ID: T-07-LB-MHWL (6-12) Date Collected: 12/12/22 12:55 Date Received: 12/14/22 10:00

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Acenaphthene	ND		1400	200	ug/Kg	<u>ф</u>	12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 17:47	5
Acenaphthylene	ND		1400	180	ug/Kg	¢	12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 17:47	5
Anthracene	410	J	1400	340	ug/Kg	¢	12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 17:47	5
Benzo[a]anthracene	1700		1400	140	ug/Kg	¢	12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 17:47	5
Benzo[a]pyrene	1700		1400	200	ug/Kg	¢	12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 17:47	5
Benzo[b]fluoranthene	2100		1400	220	ug/Kg	¢	12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 17:47	5
Benzo[g,h,i]perylene	990	J	1400	150	ug/Kg	¢	12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 17:47	5
Benzo[k]fluoranthene	900	J	1400	180	ug/Kg	¢	12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 17:47	5
Chrysene	1800		1400	310	ug/Kg	¢	12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 17:47	5
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	330	J	1400	240	ug/Kg	¢	12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 17:47	5
Fluoranthene	3600		1400	150	ug/Kg	¢	12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 17:47	5
Fluorene	ND		1400	160	ug/Kg	¢	12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 17:47	5
Indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene	880	J	1400	170	ug/Kg	¢	12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 17:47	5
Naphthalene	ND		1400	180	ug/Kg	¢	12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 17:47	5
Phenanthrene	1700		1400	200	ug/Kg	¢	12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 17:47	5
Pyrene	2900		1400	160	ug/Kg	¢	12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 17:47	5
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits				Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
2-Fluorobiphenyl	78		60 - 120				12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 17:47	5
Nitrobenzene-d5 (Surr)	74		53 - 120				12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 17:47	5
p-Terphenyl-d14 (Surr)	87		79 - 130				12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 17:47	5

Percent Solids: 60.4

Matrix: Solid

Lab Sample ID: 480-204731-29

# 5 6

### Client Sample ID: T-07-RB-MB (0-6) Date Collected: 12/12/22 13:05 Date Received: 12/14/22 10:00

### Lab Sample ID: 480-204731-31 Matrix: Solid

Percent Solids: 67.0

5

Method: SW846 8270D - Semivolatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)										
Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac	
Acenaphthene	ND		1300	190	ug/Kg	— — ¤	12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 18:11	5	
Acenaphthylene	ND		1300	160	ug/Kg	¢	12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 18:11	5	
Anthracene	ND		1300	310	ug/Kg	¢	12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 18:11	5	
Benzo[a]anthracene	910	J	1300	130	ug/Kg	¢	12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 18:11	5	
Benzo[a]pyrene	1000	J	1300	190	ug/Kg	¢	12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 18:11	5	
Benzo[b]fluoranthene	1300		1300	200	ug/Kg	¢	12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 18:11	5	
Benzo[g,h,i]perylene	620	J	1300	130	ug/Kg	\$	12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 18:11	5	
Benzo[k]fluoranthene	550	J	1300	160	ug/Kg	¢	12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 18:11	5	
Chrysene	950	J	1300	280	ug/Kg	¢	12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 18:11	5	
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	ND		1300	220	ug/Kg	₽	12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 18:11	5	
Fluoranthene	1900		1300	130	ug/Kg	¢	12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 18:11	5	
Fluorene	ND		1300	150	ug/Kg	¢	12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 18:11	5	
Indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene	600	J	1300	160	ug/Kg	₽	12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 18:11	5	
Naphthalene	ND		1300	160	ug/Kg	¢	12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 18:11	5	
Phenanthrene	930	J	1300	190	ug/Kg	₽	12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 18:11	5	
Pyrene	1500		1300	150	ug/Kg	¢	12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 18:11	5	
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits				Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac	
2-Fluorobiphenyl	99		60 - 120				12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 18:11	5	
Nitrobenzene-d5 (Surr)	94		53 - 120				12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 18:11	5	
p-Terphenyl-d14 (Surr)	113		79 - 130				12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 18:11	5	

### Client Sample ID: T-07-RB-MB (6-12) Date Collected: 12/12/22 13:10 Date Received: 12/14/22 10:00

Job ID: 480-204731-1

### Lab Sample ID: 480-204731-32 Matrix: Solid

Percent Solids: 76.5

5

Method: SW846 8270D - Semivolatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)										
Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac	
Acenaphthene	ND		2200	320	ug/Kg	— — —	12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 18:35	10	
Acenaphthylene	ND		2200	280	ug/Kg	¢	12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 18:35	10	
Anthracene	620	J	2200	540	ug/Kg	₽	12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 18:35	10	
Benzo[a]anthracene	1800	J	2200	220	ug/Kg	¢	12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 18:35	10	
Benzo[a]pyrene	1800	J	2200	320	ug/Kg	₽	12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 18:35	10	
Benzo[b]fluoranthene	2200		2200	340	ug/Kg	₽	12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 18:35	10	
Benzo[g,h,i]perylene	1100	J	2200	230	ug/Kg	⇔	12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 18:35	10	
Benzo[k]fluoranthene	960	J	2200	280	ug/Kg	₽	12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 18:35	10	
Chrysene	1700	J	2200	480	ug/Kg	₽	12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 18:35	10	
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	ND		2200	380	ug/Kg	⇔	12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 18:35	10	
Fluoranthene	3800		2200	230	ug/Kg	₽	12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 18:35	10	
Fluorene	260	J	2200	260	ug/Kg	₽	12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 18:35	10	
Indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene	1000	J	2200	270	ug/Kg	⇔	12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 18:35	10	
Naphthalene	ND		2200	280	ug/Kg	¢	12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 18:35	10	
Phenanthrene	2300		2200	320	ug/Kg	₽	12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 18:35	10	
Pyrene	2800		2200	260	ug/Kg	¢	12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 18:35	10	
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits				Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac	
2-Fluorobiphenyl	85		60 - 120				12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 18:35	10	
Nitrobenzene-d5 (Surr)	83		53 - 120				12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 18:35	10	
p-Terphenyl-d14 (Surr)	100		79 - 130				12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 18:35	10	

### Client Sample ID: T-07-RB-MHWL (0-6) Date Collected: 12/12/22 13:20 Date Received: 12/14/22 10:00

Mothod: CIN/0/6 0270D	Somivolatila Orga	nic Compounde l	
	- Semivolatile Orga		GUINIS

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Acenaphthene	170	J	1200	170	ug/Kg	₽	12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 18:59	5
Acenaphthylene	150	J	1200	150	ug/Kg	¢	12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 18:59	5
Anthracene	580	J	1200	290	ug/Kg	¢	12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 18:59	5
Benzo[a]anthracene	1800		1200	120	ug/Kg	¢	12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 18:59	5
Benzo[a]pyrene	1800		1200	170	ug/Kg	¢	12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 18:59	5
Benzo[b]fluoranthene	2200		1200	190	ug/Kg	¢	12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 18:59	5
Benzo[g,h,i]perylene	1100	J	1200	120	ug/Kg	¢	12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 18:59	5
Benzo[k]fluoranthene	880	J	1200	150	ug/Kg	¢	12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 18:59	5
Chrysene	1800		1200	260	ug/Kg	¢	12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 18:59	5
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	340	J	1200	210	ug/Kg	¢	12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 18:59	5
Fluoranthene	3900		1200	120	ug/Kg	¢	12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 18:59	5
Fluorene	230	J	1200	140	ug/Kg	¢	12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 18:59	5
Indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene	990	J	1200	150	ug/Kg	¢	12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 18:59	5
Naphthalene	150	J	1200	150	ug/Kg	¢	12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 18:59	5
Phenanthrene	2500		1200	170	ug/Kg	¢	12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 18:59	5
Pyrene	3200		1200	140	ug/Kg	₽	12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 18:59	5
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits				Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
2-Fluorobiphenyl	96		60 - 120				12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 18:59	5
Nitrobenzene-d5 (Surr)	86		53 - 120				12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 18:59	5
p-Terphenyl-d14 (Surr)	108		79 - 130				12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 18:59	5

Percent Solids: 71.5

Matrix: Solid

Lab Sample ID: 480-204731-34

12/28/2022

### Client Sample ID: T-07-RB-MHWL (6-12) Date Collected: 12/12/22 13:25 Date Received: 12/14/22 10:00

### Lab Sample ID: 480-204731-35 Matrix: Solid

Percent Solids: 80.1

5

Method: SW846 8270D - Semivolatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)										
Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac	
Acenaphthene	ND		1100	160	ug/Kg	☆	12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 19:23	5	
Acenaphthylene	ND		1100	140	ug/Kg	¢	12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 19:23	5	
Anthracene	300	J	1100	260	ug/Kg	¢	12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 19:23	5	
Benzo[a]anthracene	1400		1100	110	ug/Kg	¢	12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 19:23	5	
Benzo[a]pyrene	1400		1100	160	ug/Kg	¢	12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 19:23	5	
Benzo[b]fluoranthene	1700		1100	170	ug/Kg	¢	12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 19:23	5	
Benzo[g,h,i]perylene	840	J	1100	110	ug/Kg	₽	12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 19:23	5	
Benzo[k]fluoranthene	720	J	1100	140	ug/Kg	¢	12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 19:23	5	
Chrysene	1500		1100	240	ug/Kg	¢	12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 19:23	5	
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	270	J	1100	190	ug/Kg	₽	12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 19:23	5	
Fluoranthene	2800		1100	110	ug/Kg	¢	12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 19:23	5	
Fluorene	ND		1100	120	ug/Kg	¢	12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 19:23	5	
Indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene	820	J	1100	130	ug/Kg	₽	12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 19:23	5	
Naphthalene	ND		1100	140	ug/Kg	¢	12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 19:23	5	
Phenanthrene	1100		1100	160	ug/Kg	¢	12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 19:23	5	
Pyrene	2100		1100	120	ug/Kg	¢	12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 19:23	5	
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits				Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac	
2-Fluorobiphenyl	88		60 - 120				12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 19:23	5	
Nitrobenzene-d5 (Surr)	85		53 - 120				12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 19:23	5	
p-Terphenyl-d14 (Surr)	97		79 - 130				12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 19:23	5	

### Client Sample ID: DUP-121222-01 Date Collected: 12/12/22 00:00 Date Received: 12/14/22 10:00

### Lab Sample ID: 480-204731-37 Matrix: Solid

Percent Solids: 66.2

5

Method: SW846 8270D - S	Method: SW846 8270D - Semivolatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)										
Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac		
Acenaphthene	ND		2600	380	ug/Kg		12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 19:48	10		
Acenaphthylene	ND		2600	330	ug/Kg	¢	12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 19:48	10		
Anthracene	ND		2600	630	ug/Kg	¢	12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 19:48	10		
Benzo[a]anthracene	1100	J	2600	260	ug/Kg	¢	12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 19:48	10		
Benzo[a]pyrene	1400	J	2600	380	ug/Kg	¢	12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 19:48	10		
Benzo[b]fluoranthene	1800	J	2600	410	ug/Kg	¢	12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 19:48	10		
Benzo[g,h,i]perylene	890	J	2600	270	ug/Kg	¢	12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 19:48	10		
Benzo[k]fluoranthene	780	J	2600	330	ug/Kg	¢	12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 19:48	10		
Chrysene	1400	J	2600	570	ug/Kg	¢	12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 19:48	10		
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	ND		2600	450	ug/Kg	¢	12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 19:48	10		
Fluoranthene	3200		2600	270	ug/Kg	¢	12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 19:48	10		
Fluorene	ND		2600	300	ug/Kg	¢	12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 19:48	10		
Indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene	790	J	2600	320	ug/Kg	¢	12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 19:48	10		
Naphthalene	ND		2600	330	ug/Kg	¢	12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 19:48	10		
Phenanthrene	1500	J	2600	380	ug/Kg	¢	12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 19:48	10		
Pyrene	2300	J	2600	300	ug/Kg	¢	12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 19:48	10		
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits				Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac		
2-Fluorobiphenyl	74		60 - 120				12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 19:48	10		
Nitrobenzene-d5 (Surr)	76		53 - 120				12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 19:48	10		
p-Terphenyl-d14 (Surr)	86		79 - 130				12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 19:48	10		

### Client Sample ID: DUP-121222-02 Date Collected: 12/12/22 00:00 Date Received: 12/14/22 10:00

### Lab Sample ID: 480-204731-38 Matrix: Solid

Percent Solids: 65.8

5

6

Method: SW846 8270D - S	Method: SW846 8270D - Semivolatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)										
Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac		
Acenaphthene	ND		2500	370	ug/Kg	☆	12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 20:12	10		
Acenaphthylene	ND		2500	330	ug/Kg	¢	12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 20:12	10		
Anthracene	ND		2500	630	ug/Kg	¢	12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 20:12	10		
Benzo[a]anthracene	1000	J	2500	250	ug/Kg	¢	12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 20:12	10		
Benzo[a]pyrene	1100	J	2500	370	ug/Kg	¢	12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 20:12	10		
Benzo[b]fluoranthene	1400	J	2500	400	ug/Kg	¢	12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 20:12	10		
Benzo[g,h,i]perylene	670	J	2500	270	ug/Kg	¢	12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 20:12	10		
Benzo[k]fluoranthene	650	J	2500	330	ug/Kg	¢	12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 20:12	10		
Chrysene	1100	J	2500	570	ug/Kg	¢	12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 20:12	10		
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	ND		2500	450	ug/Kg	¢	12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 20:12	10		
Fluoranthene	2200	J	2500	270	ug/Kg	¢	12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 20:12	10		
Fluorene	ND		2500	300	ug/Kg	¢	12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 20:12	10		
Indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene	680	J	2500	310	ug/Kg	¢	12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 20:12	10		
Naphthalene	ND		2500	330	ug/Kg	¢	12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 20:12	10		
Phenanthrene	870	J	2500	370	ug/Kg	¢	12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 20:12	10		
Pyrene	1600	J	2500	300	ug/Kg	☆	12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 20:12	10		
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits				Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac		
2-Fluorobiphenyl	76		60 - 120				12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 20:12	10		
Nitrobenzene-d5 (Surr)	74		53 - 120				12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 20:12	10		
p-Terphenyl-d14 (Surr)	95		79 - 130				12/15/22 07:52	12/16/22 20:12	10		

# Attachment 2

Supplemental PDI Source Area Soil Boring Logs

Date Start/Finish:11/02/2022Drilling Company:ArcadisDriller's Name:D Richmond, T PlattDrilling Method:Direct PushSampling Method:4' MacrocoreRig Type:AMS Power Probe VTR 9520					latt e VTR	9520	Northing: 1530756.597' Easting: 531913.411' Casing Elevation: NA Surface Elevation: 745.981' Borehole Depth: 12' Descriptions By: Dan Meandro	Well/Boring ID: PDI-DPB-4 Client: National Grid Location: Former MGP Site Hill Street Gloversville, NY
Elevation (feet AMSL)	Sample Run Number	Sample/Int/Type	Recovery (feet)	PID Headspace (ppm)	Analytical Sample	Geologic Column	Stratigraphic Description	Well/Boring Construction
- 745 -							Very dark grayish brown (10YR 3/2) fine - medium SAND, some Si rounded Gravel, moist, medium firm. Black (10YR 2/1) SILT, little fine Sand, trace fine sub angular Grave	t, trace - little fine sub I, moist, medium firm.
	1	0-4'	3.9	1.5			Brown (10 YR 4/3) fine - medium SAND, little Silt, moist - wet, odor Sheen on soil (3.1-5.4' bgs).	
- 740 - -	2	4-8'	3.8	2.7			Black (10YR 2/1) fine - coarse SAND, some fine - medium sub ang wet, medium firm, visible sheen, odor. Dark gray (10YR 4/1) CLAY, some Silt, little fine sub angular Grave plasticity. SVOC soil sample collected from 3-12' bgs	ular - angular Gravel, backfilled with bentonite chips.
- - 735 -	3	8-12'	2.0	10			Very dark gray (10YR 3/1) fine - medium SAND and SILT, some fin - sub rounded Gravel, moist - wet, medium firm, non-plastic, sheen	e - medium sub angular odor.
-							End of boring at 12' bgs.	
A	R	CA	DIS	5 Desi for n built	gn & Cons atural an assets	sultancy d	Remarks: AMSL = Above Mean Sea Level; bgs = belo parts per million; ' = Feet; " = Inches. Northing and easting provided in U.S. surve (NAD83). Elevations are in U.S. survey refr (NAVD88).Inches.	l w ground surface; NA = Not Applicable/Available; ppm = ey feet refrenced to New York State Plane Central Zone enced to North American Vertical Datum of 1988
	Starting Cc er's NM ing Md pling Type:	P Start/Finishing Company er's Name: ing Method: ipling Method Type:	Start/Finish:   1     ing Company:   4     er's Name:   1     ing Method:   4     Type:   4     Type:   4     1   1     1   0.4'     1   0.4'     3   8-12'     745   3     1   0.4'     1   0.4'     1   0.4'     1   0.4'     1   1     1   1     1   1     1   1     1   1	Start/Finish:   11/02/202     ing Company:   Arcadis     ing Method:   D Richman     ing Method:   4' Macroat     Type:   adminishing     (1)   adminishing     (2)   adminishing     (2)   adminishing     (2)   admin <td>Start/Finish:   11/02/2022     Arcadis   D Richmond, T P     ing Method:   D Richmond, T P     ing Method:   4' Macrocore     Type:   AMS Power Prob     (ISWH Jagen Method:   4' Macrocore     (ISWH Jagen Method:   4' Macrocore     (ISWH Jagen Method:   9d, Ling     (</td> <td>Start/Finish:   11/02/2022     ing Company:   Arcadis     er's Name:   D Richmond, T Platt     ing Method:   D'irect Push     ipling Method:   4' Macrocore     Type:   AMS Power Probe VTR     Image: Start (International Start)   ed. (International Start)     Image: Start)</td> <td>Start/Finish:   11/02/2022     ing Company:   Arcadis     er's Name:   D Richmond, T Platt     ing Method:   A'Macrocore     Type:   AMS Power Probe VTR 9520     Image: Start/Finish and Start American Start Ame</td> <td>Start/Finiti::   11/0.2022     ing Company::   Arcadis     ing Company::   Arcadis     ing Company::   Arcadis     Direct Pash   Direct Pash     ping Methici::   Inter Pash     Type::   AMS Power Probe VTR 9520     Image::   Direct Pash     Image::   Image::     Image::   Image::</td>	Start/Finish:   11/02/2022     Arcadis   D Richmond, T P     ing Method:   D Richmond, T P     ing Method:   4' Macrocore     Type:   AMS Power Prob     (ISWH Jagen Method:   4' Macrocore     (ISWH Jagen Method:   4' Macrocore     (ISWH Jagen Method:   9d, Ling     (	Start/Finish:   11/02/2022     ing Company:   Arcadis     er's Name:   D Richmond, T Platt     ing Method:   D'irect Push     ipling Method:   4' Macrocore     Type:   AMS Power Probe VTR     Image: Start (International Start)   ed. (International Start)     Image: Start)	Start/Finish:   11/02/2022     ing Company:   Arcadis     er's Name:   D Richmond, T Platt     ing Method:   A'Macrocore     Type:   AMS Power Probe VTR 9520     Image: Start/Finish and Start American Start Ame	Start/Finiti::   11/0.2022     ing Company::   Arcadis     ing Company::   Arcadis     ing Company::   Arcadis     Direct Pash   Direct Pash     ping Methici::   Inter Pash     Type::   AMS Power Probe VTR 9520     Image::   Direct Pash     Image::   Image::     Image::   Image::

Date Start/Finish:11/01/2022Drilling Company:ArcadisDriller's Name:D Richmond, T PlattDrilling Method:Direct PushSampling Method:4' MacrocoreRig Type:AMS Power Probe VTR 9520					22 ond, T P sh core ver Prob	latt e VTR	9520	Northing:1530675.836'Well/Boring ID:PEasting:531952.764'Client:National GrCasing Elevation:NALocation:Surface Elevation:744.678'Location:Borehole Depth:12'Former MGP SiteHill StreetGloversville, NY		<b>'DI-DPB-7</b> id	
Depth (feet bgs)	Elevation (feet AMSL)	Sample Run Number	Sample/Int/Type	Recovery (feet)	PID Headspace (ppm)	Analytical Sample	Geologic Column	Stratigraphic Description		Well/Boring Construction	
- - - -		1	0-4'	1.8	0			Brown (10YR 4/3) fine - medium SAND and SILT, little Organic ub angular - Gravel, moist, medium firm. .ight brownish gray (10YR 6/2) fine - coarse SAND, moist, loo Dark grayish brown (10YR 4/2) SILT and fine - medium SAND, Bravel, moist, medium firm.	es (roots), trace - little fine se. little fine sub angular		
- 5	740 -	2	4-8'	2.1	40			/ery dark grayish brown (10YR 3/2) fine - coarse SAND, some ingular Gravel, little Silt, wet, loose - medium firm, strong odor Dark grayish brown (10YR 4/2) fine - medium SAND, light she SVOC soil sample collected from 4-8.5' bgs	fine sub rounded - sub r, sheen. en, light odor.	Borehole backfilled with bentonite chips.	
- 10	- 735	3	8-12'	3.7	1.2			/ery dark gray (10YR 3/1) fine SAND, some Silt, little Clay, tra noist, firm, slight sheen. /ery dark gray (10YR 3/1) CLAY, trace - little fine Sand, moist. End of boring at 12' bgs.	ce fine sub rounded Gravel,		
-15	730 -	R	CA	DIS	5 Desi forn	gn & Cons atural an assets	sultancy	Remarks: AMSL = Above Mean Sea Level; bgs = parts per million; ' = Feet; " = Inches. Northing and easting provided in U.S. survey (NAD83). Elevations are in U.S. survey	below ground surface; NA = No survey feet refrenced to New Yo refrenced to North American V	t Applicable/Available; ppm = rk State Plane Central Zone ertical Datum of 1988	
			. 700		1 out			(IVAVD88).Inches.		D.t. 5/(0/000	

Data File: PDI-DPB-7.Idat8

Created/Edited by: Evan Green Template: G:\Div11\Rockware\Logplot 8 Templates

Date Start/Finish:11/01/2022Drilling Company:ArcadisDriller's Name:D Richmond, T PlattDrilling Method:Direct PushSampling Method:4' MacrocoreRig Type:AMS Power Probe VTR 9520					22 ond, T P ish core ver Prob	Platt e VTR	9520	Northing: 1530648.741' Easting: 532016.11' Casing Elevation: NA Surface Elevation: 745.335' Borehole Depth: 12' Descriptions By: Dan Meandro	DI-DPB-8		
Depth (feet bgs)	Elevation (feet AMSL)	Sample Run Number	Sample/Int/Type	Recovery (feet)	PID Headspace (ppm)	Analytical Sample	Geologic Column	Stratigraphic Description		Well/Boring Construction	
-	- - 745 -							Dark brown (10YR 3/3) fine - coarse SAND, little Organics (r 3ravel, moist, medium firm.	pots), trace fine sub rounded		
-	-	1	0-4'	2.8	10.1			/ery dark gray (10YR 3/1) SILT some fine - medium Sand, s ine sub angular - sub rounded Gravel, slight sheen, slight oo Brown (10YR 4/3) fine - medium SAND, little Silt, moist, med	ome Organics (roots), little lor. lium firm, sheen, odor.		
- 	740 -	2	4-8'	2.2	5.0			/ery dark brown (10YR 2/2) medium SAND, little Silt, trace - vet, medium firm, sheen, slight odor. SVOC soil sample collected from 0.8-8.5' bgs	little fine sub rounded Gravel,	Borehole backfilled with bentonite chips.	
	_					$\left  \right $		Dark gray (10YR 4/1) medium SAND, little Silt, little fine sub	rounded Gravel, moist,		
- 10	- 735 -	3	8-12'	3.8	2.2			nedium firm, sneen, slight odor. /ery dark grayish brown (10YR 4/1) CLAY, some Silt, little fi	ne Sand, dry - moist, firm.		
	-		-					End of boring at 12' bgs.		P.A	
- 15	- 730 -										
9	A	R	CA	DIS	S Desi for built	<mark>ign &amp; Con</mark> natural ar t assets	isultancy	Remarks: AMSL = Above Mean Sea Level; bgs parts per million; ' = Feet; " = Inches. Northing and easting provided in U.S (NAD83). Elevations are in U.S. surve (NAVD88).Inches.	= below ground surface; NA = N survey feet refrenced to New Y ey refrenced to North American	lot Applicable/Available; ppm = 'ork State Plane Central Zone Vertical Datum of 1988	
Project: 30044656 720							_	Created/Edited by: Evan Green Data: 5/40/000			

Data File: PDI-DPB-8.Idat8

Created/Edited by: Evan Green Template: G:\Div11\Rockware\Logplot 8 Templates

# **Attachment 3**

**Bank and Source Material Soil PAH Profiles** 



























































































































# Gloversville Former MGP Site – PDI Findings Hill Street Site No. 5-18-021

NYSDEC Meeting September 15, 2023 national**grid** 

## Agenda

- 1. Safety Moment
- 2. Background
- 3. Initial PDI Scope
- 4. Initial PDI Findings
- 5. Supplemental PDI Scope
- 6. Supplemental PDI Findings
- 7. Supplemental PDI Conclusion
- 8. NYSDEC 8/16/23 Email
- 9. Next Steps
- 10. Open Discussion

## Slips, Trips & Falls (at home)

Falls are the #1 cause of death for older adults. Fall proof your home if residents are older than 65.

- Keep floors and surfaces clear of clutter
- Do not use mats or "throw rugs"
- Keep drawers and cabinets closed
- Keep electrical and phone cords out of traffic areas
- Arrange furniture to create open pathways
- Install handrails on stairways
- Maintain good lighting indoors and out
- Focus on your actions/movements



#### Background

- Site is approximately 13 acres and owned by National Grid
- Active Service Center, gas operations
- MGP operated 1898 1952 as CWG
- MGP by-products in soil, groundwater, and sediment, investigated since the mid-1980s
- Several IRMs completed to remove holder, purifier waste, NAPL, and separate NAPL from storm water.
- Treatment system collects water and NAPL from underdrains beneath and near service center building.



## **Background - Geology**



#### **Background - Hydrogeology**

- Steep horizontal gradient toward creek, 30 ft groundwater elevation drop from NE to SW
- Strong upward gradient through silt/clay unit, artesian wells in deep sand and gravel near creek
- Water table ~ 10 ft bgs in northern area and ~
  1 5 ft bgs in southern area
- Permeability of upper and lower sand and gravel higher, silt/clay lower, flow velocities at least 60 ft/day in upper sand and gravel



## Background – Cayadutta Creek

- Thin deposits (0.5 to 1 foot) of soft sediment over 5 to 10 percent of channel.
- Creek bed primarily composed of riffle/run areas with medium to coarse sand and gravel with small amounts of silt/clay.
- Adjacent and downstream from site, creek bed is straight and composed of gravel and cobble armoring.
- Creek flow approximately 20 cubic feet per second (cfs).



### **Background - Impacts**

#### • Soil/Groundwater

 Soil and groundwater concentrations exceed criteria within orange line, above silt/clay

#### • Sediments

 Sheen occasionally observed in creek sediments, depositional areas and along water edge



# Background – Selected Remedy

- NAPL Barrier Wall (NBW)
- Removal downgradient from NBW, including beneath the creek
- Shallow soil removal upgradient from NBW
- NAPL removal from recovery wells in NBW and site
- Creek bank soil and sediment removal: sed > background and bank soil > unrestricted SCOs
- Continued operation of treatment system
- Annual groundwater monitoring/NAPL recovery
- Establishing ICs
- SMP



# Initial PDI Scope 2020 - 2021

#### **Purifier Waste Area Investigation**

• Locate utilities in Purifier Waste Area

## Southern Excavation Area and NAPL Barrier Wall Investigation\*\*\*

- · Geotechnical sampling to support design
- Drilling to collect soil samples to confirm extent of impacts and define topographic surface and thickness of silt/clay
- Monitoring well installation for fluid-level monitoring (manual and transducers) and hydraulic testing to support NBW construction/alignment
- Storm water and detention basin sediment sampling for potential future discharge permit
- · Groundwater treatability sampling

#### Cayadutta Creek Investigation\*\*\*

- · Creek flow study to evaluate flow for potential bypass
- Creek reconnaissance (probing transects) to evaluate areas for sampling sediment and bank soil sampling to define extent of impacts
- · Sediment and bank soil sampling
- Forensic and statistical evaluation

#### **Ecological Characterization**

• Identify potential wetlands, drainage features, vegetation communities, and potential threatened and endangered species

### Initial PDI Scope – Southern Area and NBW



•

# Initial PDI Scope – Creek and Bank Sampling

- Reaches 1 through 5
- 30 sediment probing transects with spot probing between
- 60 sediment cores
- 69 sediment samples
- 18 bank soil cores
- 31 bank soil samples



### **Initial PDI Findings – Southern Area and NBW**



#### **National Grid**

0

(

(6.5-11')

(1-15.25')

SB-423 A

SB-445 A

PZ-434 💮

PZ-279

# Initial PDI Findings – Reach 1 Creek Sampling

- Upstream background locations
- No sheen or NAPL observed
- PAH16 ranged up to 37 mg/kg and PAH34 up to 45 mg/kg



#### LEGEND:

- ▲ DEPOSITIONAL SEDIMENT SAMPLING LOCATION
- RIFFLE/RUN SEDIMENT SAMPLING LOCATION
- OUTFALL LOCATION
- CREEK RECONNAISANCE TRANSECT DEPOSITIONAL AREA
- DEI CONTONAL A

# Initial PDI Findings – Reach 2 Creek Sampling

- Upstream background locations
- No sheen or NAPL observed
- PAH16 ranged up to 85 mg/kg and PAH34 up to 100.6 mg/kg



#### LEGEND:

- DEPOSITIONAL SEDIMENT SAMPLING LOCATION
- RIFFLE/RUN SEDIMENT SAMPLING LOCATION
- OUTFALL LOCATION
- CREEK RECONNAISANCE TRANSECT
- DEFOOTHOUTHER

# Initial PDI Findings – Reach 3 Creek Sampling

- Reach 3 partially adjacent site
- Sheens and trace blebs observed in 3 sediment deposits downstream of site to T-16
- Sheens observed during probing along banks
- PAH16 ranged up to 38 mg/kg and PAH 34 ranged up to 97.1 mg/kg


# Initial PDI Findings – Reach 4 Creek Sampling

- Downstream reach
- Sheens observed in one sandbar near T-24
- Sheens observed during probing along banks
- PAH16 ranged up to 33 mg/kg and PAH34 ranged up to 45.3 mg/kg



# Initial PDI Findings – Reach 5 Creek Sampling

- Downstream reach
- Heavy petroleum sheens observed in bank near industrial facility; highest PAH16 concentrations (57 mg/kg) and PAH34 concentrations (181.3 mg/kg)
- Sheens observed in sediment deposits and along bank near bridge
- PAH16 ranged from 0.09 to 43 mg/kg except near petroleum sheens



### Initial PDI Findings – Sediment PAH Concentrations

- PAH16 detected in low concentrations in all reaches (0.09 to 85 mg/kg)
- PAH34 detected in low concentrations in all reaches (0.20 to 181.3 mg/kg)
- Lowest PAH concentrations in Reaches 1 and 2; highest concentrations in Reach 5
- Higher PAH concentrations in soft sediment deposits
- No statistically significant differences between background and Reach 3 PAH concentrations
- 95<sup>th</sup> percentile (most conservative statistic) background concentrations - 56.4 mg/kg (PAH16) and 65.6 mg/kg (PAH34)



## Initial PDI Findings – Sediment PAH Forensics

- PAHs in Reaches 3, 4, and 5 resemble the background PAH signature of pyrogenic PAHs in Reaches 1 and 2
- Some samples in Reaches
   3, 4, and 5 have a mix of pyrogenic and petrogenic
   PAH signature
- Six samples from deposits in Reach 3 have compositional signature similar to upland source signature
- Reach 5 appears to contain PAHs of different source, possibly from petroleum impacts observed



# Initial PDI Findings – Bank Soil

- PAH16 ranged from ND to 53.5 mg/kg
- No impacts observed
- 18 of 31 samples exceed unrestricted SCOs
- Bank soils have pyrogenic signature, but lack low molecular weight PAHs (e.g., naphthalene) present in upland source samples
- Bank soil PAHs not related to site



## Initial PDI Findings – NYSDEC Follow-Up

### **MW-15S**

• Reevaluate the potential presence of NAPL in MW-15S.

### **Bank Soil**

• Establish a site-specific background PAH concentration in upstream bank soils.

### **Source Sampling**

• Obtain a current PAH source signature in upland impacted site-soils to determine background bank soil conditions to provide a basis for removing MGP-impacted bank soils

# Supplemental PDI (Nov/Dec 2022)

#### **MW-15S**

Gauged MW-15S for NAPL and collect groundwater sample

#### **Bank Soil**

 Collected 24 background samples from banks upstream of the NAPLimpacted area (Reach 2)

#### Site Source Soil

- Collected three fresh soil samples containing heavy site-related impacts
- Conducted statistical and forensic evaluation to determine the extent of bank soils adjacent to the site and bordering NAPL containing upland areas (i.e., Reach 3) that may be impacted by MGP-related residuals



### Supplemental PDI Results

#### **MW-15S**

 No NAPL present and no exceedances of site COCs

#### Bank Soil (Initial and Supplemental)

- PAH16 detected in low concentrations in Reach 2 (0.018 to 37 mg/kg) and Reach 3 (ND to 53.5 mg/kg)
- 95th percent Upper Prediction Limit (most conservative statistic) background concentration – 29.5 mg/kg (PAH16)
- PAH16 concentrations and ranges are similar between Reach 2 background and Reach 3 bank samples
- No statistically significant difference between Reach 2 background and Reach 3 bank soil PAH16 concentrations



### Supplemental PDI Results

#### **Bank Soil (Initial and Supplemental)**

- Reach 2 background and Reach 3 bank soils have similar higher ring PAH distributions, while the site source samples were characterized by lower ring PAHs with naphthalene dominance
- Reach 2 background and Reach 3 bank soils have distinctly higher fluoranthene/pyrene ratios compared to site source soil and plot in a cluster
- Comparison of Reach 2 to Reach 3 bank soils for PAH16 concentrations, PAH distributions, and forensic PAH ratios all indicate Reach 3 is similar to background conditions



### Supplemental PDI Summary and Conclusions

Requesting NYSDEC prepare ROD modification to revise the bank soil cleanup objective from "[excavating] bank soils which exceed unrestricted use SCOs" to "excavating bank soils adjacent to upland NAPL containing areas". This ROD revision would result in removing the extent of bank soils shown below.



### NYSDEC 8/16/23 E-Mail

"Specifically the yellow text in below paragraphs denotes the additional removal than what is being proposed in PDI report the Department will be requiring:

- 1. bank soils adjacent to the site and in downstream stretches which exceed background concentration of total PAH16 in top two feet of the ground surface i.e. bank soils along the right bank of transect 17 and right and left bank soils along transect 18 (refer to attached figure, area is shown in bright purple).
- 2. sediment impacted by site-related PAHs at concentrations greater than background levels and/or has similar composition to the source PAHs i.e. sample locations R3-PDI-SED-25DEP, R3-PDI-SED-26DEP, R3-PDI-SED-27RR, R3-PDI-SED 28DEP, R3-PDI-SED-29RR, and R3-PDI-SED-30DEP) which has compositional patterns and PAH signatures closer to the site source samples (refer to attach figure, area shown in bright purple).
- 3. Remediation of the bank soil and creek sediment shall occur in two phases. First phase shall consist of removing all the bank soil and sediments specified in the PDI report and in above two paragraphs. Upon implementation of first phase, post remediation monitoring program (to be included in the remedial design) shall be implemented to determine if the sheen present along the water edge downstream of the site is transient in nature. If it is, Phase II shall not be implemented. If Phase II is required, it shall consist of excavation and off-site disposal of Cayadutta Creek sediments and/or bank soils located downstream of the site that continues to contain sheen, or which produces sheen when agitated in-situ along the water edge as evidenced by the Phase I post remediation monitoring data."



### NYSDEC 8/16/23 E-Mail Comment #1

- All Reach 3 bank soil samples are similar to background PAH compositions and PAH ratios in Reach 2 background bank soils
- PAH16 concentrations in the three Reach 3 bank soil sample locations are below or near the most conservative background PAH16 bank soil statistic of 29.5 mg/kg and similar in concentration to Reach 2 (up to 37 mg/kg)



### NYSDEC 8/16/23 E-Mail Comment #2

- All PAH16 concentrations in the six Reach 3 sediment samples are below the background PAH16 sediment: 56.4 mg/kg
- PAH34 concentrations in five of the six Reach 3 sediment samples (i.e. except for R3-PDI-SED 28DEP) are below the background PAH34 sediment: 65.6 mg/kg
- R3-PDI-SED-26DEP, R3-PDI-SED-27RR, R3-PDI-SED 28DEP, and R3-PDI-SED-29RR already located in subsurface removal areas which will include removing overlying sediments
- Remaining two locations have PAH16 and PAH34 concentrations << background</li>



### **Next Steps**

National Grid response to 8/16/23 email

NYSDEC review/approval response

ROD amendment for bank soils

Initiate Remedial Design in fall 2023

### **Open Discussion**



# **THANK YOU!**



#### NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL CONSERVATION

Division of Environmental Remediation, Remedial Bureau C 625 Broadway, 12th Floor, Albany, NY 12233-7014 P: (518) 402-9662 I F: (518) 402-9722 www.dec.ny.gov

#### **Transmitted Via Email**

December 19, 2023

Mr. Steven Dilella Project Manager National Grid 300 Erie Boulevard, West A-3 Syracuse, NY 13202 steven.dilella@nationalgrid.com

#### Re: National Grid, Gloversville Hill Street former MGP site Site Id: 518021 Pre-Design Investigation (PDI) Report, January 2022 Interim Supplemental PDI Submittal, May 2023

Dear Mr. Dilella,

The New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (the Department), in consultation with New York State Department of Health (DOH), has reviewed the subject reports, submittals and other letters/correspondences exchanged in connection with the pre-design investigation, prepared by Arcadis on behalf of National Grid (NG).

Through the Interim Supplemental PDI Submittal, May 2023 National Grid requested the Department grant a request to modify Section 7.4 of the Record of Decision (ROD), dated March 2019, revising the bank soil cleanup objective from "[excavating] bank soils which exceed unrestricted use SCOs" to "excavating bank soils adjacent to upland NAPL containing areas". The Department hereby grants the request. The Department has determined this modification to the ROD, as per policy DER-2 (Making Changes to Selected Remedies), is minor and does not warrant issuing a modified ROD.

Additionally, NG has proposed to undertake additional remediation of the sediment/bank soil along the water edge in downstream stretch of the Cayadutta creek, which continues to produce a visible sheen when agitated in-situ, after the source of the contamination is remediated. The Department accepts NG's above proposal, however requires the Remedial Design contain a program to investigate the continuing presence of sheen along the water's edge in the downstream stretches of the Cayadutta creek to be implemented after the completion of the first phase of remedy construction and prior to initiating site management activities. The Remedial Design must also have a provision for submission of the appropriate workplan to accommodate additional investigation and/or remediation of the bank soil and sediments which continue to contain sheen, if deemed necessary.

Please submit the final copy of the PDI report, incorporating the Interim Supplemental PDI Submittal dated May 16, 2023, with the certification meeting the requirements of Chapter 1.5 (Certification) of DEC Program Policy DER-10: Technical Guidance for Site Investigation and Remediation within 15 days of the date of this letter. Please place the final approved report, correspondences exchanged in connection with pre-design investigation, and this letter in the



document repository established for the site. Please proceed with the preparation and submission of the Preliminary Remedial Design report as per the approved Remedial Design Work Plan.

If you have any questions, please contact me at 518-402-9648 or write to me at parag.amin@dec.ny.gov.

Yours sincerely,

June 16

Parag Amin, P.E. Project Manager

ec: S. Powlin, Arcadis (<u>scott.powlin@arcadis.com</u>)

J. Deming, DOH (justin.deming@health.ny.gov)

A.Perretta, DOH (anthony.perretta@health.ny.gov)

R. Quail, DFW, CO (<u>rebecca.quail@dec.ny.gov</u>)

K. Pochini, DFW, CO (<u>katherine.pochini@dec.ny.gov</u>)

B. Huyck, RHWRE (brian.huyck@dec.ny.gov)

S. Deyette, DER, CO (<u>scott.deyette@dec.ny.gov</u>)

K. Maloney, DER, CO (kerry.maloney@dec.ny.gov)



Soil Boring and Monitoring Well Construction Logs

Date Drill Drill Sam Rig	e Start ing Co er's Na ing Mo ipling Type:	/Finis ompa ame: ethod Meth	h: 1 ny: F V : C od: 4	1/05/20 Parratt-V V Nielse Direct Pu ' Macroo Track Mo	Volff en, M Eav ish core punted G	ves eoprol	De	Northing:1530417.257'Well/Boring ID:Easting:531873.076'Client:Casing Elevation:NAClient:Surface Elevation:735.655'Location:Borehole Depth:12'Former MGP SHill StreetGloversville, N'	PDI-CRB-1 I Grid ite
Depth (feet bgs)	Elevation (feet AMSL)	Sample Run Number	Sample/Int/Type	Recovery (feet)	PID Headspace (ppm)	Analytical Sample	Geologic Column	Stratigraphic Description	Well/Boring Construction
-								Light olive brown (2.5Y 5/6) coarse SAND, little sub rounded fine Gravel, trace fine Sand	
-	735 -	1	0-4'	1.3	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0			loose, wet [Upper Sand and Gravel].	
- 5	- 730	2	4-8'	2.7	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0			Dark grayish brown (2.5Y 4/2) sub angular fine GRAVEL, little fine - coarse Sand, trace Silt, loose - medium dense, wet [Upper Sand and Gravel]. SVOC soil sample collect from 6-8' bgs. Grayish brown (2.5Y 5/2) fine - coarse SAND, trace - little sub rounded fine Gravel, loose medium dense, wet [Upper Sand and Gravel].	- Borehole tremie-
- 10	- 725 -	3	8-11.5'	1.9	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0			End of boring at 11.5' bgs.	grouted with bentonite- cement grout to grade
- 15	- - 720 -							<b>Remarks:</b> AMSL = Above Mean Sea Level; bgs = below ground surface; parts per million; ' = Feet; " = Inches.	NA = Not Applicable/Available; ppm =
Project	A	R	<b>CA</b>	DIS	S Designation	<mark>an &amp; Con</mark> atural an assets	sultancy d	Northing and easting provided in U.S. survey feet refrenced to (NAD83). Elevations are in U.S. survey refrenced to North Am (NAVD88).Inches.	New York State Plane Central Zone erican Vertical Datum of 1988

Date Drill Drill Sam Rig	e Start ing Co er's Na ing Mo pling Type:	t/Finis ompai ame: ethod Metho	h: 1 ny: F V : C od: 4 T	1/05/20 Parratt-W V Nielse Direct Pu I' Macroo Track Mo	Volff en, M Eav ush core punted G	ves eoprol	be	Northing:1530455.785'Well/Boring ID:Easting:531879.252'Client:Casing Elevation:NALocation:Surface Elevation:736.2Location:Borehole Depth:12'Former MGP SiteHill StreetGloversville, NY	PDI-CRB-2 Grid
Depth (feet bgs)	Elevation (feet AMSL)	Sample Run Number	Sample/Int/Type	Recovery (feet)	PID Headspace (ppm)	Analytical Sample	Geologic Column	Stratigraphic Description	Well/Boring Construction
-	-								
-	- 735 - -	. 1	0-4'	0.6	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0			Dark grayish brown (2.5Y 4/2) fine - coarse SAND, little sub rounded fien Gravel, loose, wet [Upper Sand and Gravel].	
- 5 -	- - 730 -	2	4-8'	2.9	0.0 0.0 0.0	X		Grayish brown (2.5Y 5/2) fine - medium SAND, trace fine Gravel, loose, wet [Upper Sand and Gravel]. SVOC soil sample and duplicate soil sample collect from 4-6' bgs. Dark grayish brown (2.5Y 4/2) sub angular fine GRAVEL, little fine - coarse Sand, medium dense, wet [Upper Sand and Gravel].	
- 10	-	3	8-12'	2.4	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	-		Grayish brown (2.5Y 5/2) fine - coarse SAND, loose - medium dense, wet [Upper Sand and Gravel].	Borehole tremie- grouted with bentonite- cement grout to grade
- 15	725 -				0.0	-		Grayish brown (2.5Y 5/2) fine - medium, sub rounded - sub angular GRAVEL, little coarse Sand, loose - medium dense, wet [Upper Sand and Gravel]. End of boring at 12' bgs.	
9	Α	R	CA	DIS	5 Desig for na built	gn & Con atural an assets	sultancy	Remarks: AMSL = Above Mean Sea Level; bgs = below ground surface; N parts per million; ' = Feet; " = Inches. Northing and easting provided in U.S. survey feet refrenced to N (NAD83). Elevations are in U.S. survey refrenced to North Amer (NAVD88).	A = Not Applicable/Available; ppm = ew York State Plane Central Zone ican Vertical Datum of 1988

Date Drill Drill Sam Rig	e Start ing Co er's Na ing Mo ipling Type:	/Finis ompa ame: ethod Meth	h: 1 ny: F V : C od: 4 T	1/05/20 Parratt-W V Nielse Direct Pu ' Macroo	Volff en, M Eav ish core punted G	/es eoprol	0e	Northing:1530492.487'Well/Boring ID:Easting:531886.569'Client: NationalCasing Elevation:NALocation:Surface Elevation:736.152'Location:Borehole Depth:12'Former MGP SitDescriptions By:E GreenGloversville, NY	PDI-CRB-3 Grid e
Depth (feet bgs)	Elevation (feet AMSL)	Sample Run Number	Sample/Int/Type	Recovery (feet)	PID Headspace (ppm)	Analytical Sample	Geologic Column	Stratigraphic Description	Well/Boring Construction
-	i i								
-	- 735 -	1	0-4'	10	0.0 0.0		0000	Light olive brown (2.5Y 5/4) sub angular fine GRAVEL, little fine - coarse Sand, medium dense, wet [Upper Sand and Gravel].	
-	-				0.0	_		Dark olive brown (2.5Y 3/3) fine - coarse SAND, little sub angular fine Gravel, trace Silt, medium dense, wet [Upper Sand and Gravel].	
-5	- 730 -	2	4-8'	2.3	0.0 0.0 0.0			dense, wet [Upper Sand and Gravel].	
-	-				0.0	X		SVOC soil sample and MS/MSD soil samples collect from 8-10' bgs.	Borehole tremie- grouted with bentonite- cement grout to grade
- 10 -	- 725 -	3	8-12'	2.9	0.0			Dark grayish brown (2.5Y 4/2) sub rounded fine GRAVEL, little medium - coarse Sand, medium dense, wet [Upper Sand and Grave]]. End of boring at 12' bos	
-	-							Lind of boring at 12 bys.	
- 15	_								
9	Α	R	CA	DIS	5 Desig for na built	<mark>gn &amp; Con</mark> atural an assets	sultancy d	Remarks: AMSL = Above Mean Sea Level; bgs = below ground surface; N parts per million; ' = Feet; " = Inches. Northing and easting provided in U.S. survey feet refrenced to I (NAD83). Elevations are in U.S. survey refrenced to North Ame (NAVD88).	IA = Not Applicable/Available; ppm = New York State Plane Central Zone rican Vertical Datum of 1988
Project	ot: 30	04465	56.710					Created/Edited by: E Green	Date: 8/17/2021

Date Drill Drill San Rig	e Start ing Co er's N ing Mo ipling Type:	/Finis ompa ame: ethod Meth	h: 1 ny: P V : D od: 4 T	1/05/20 Parratt-V V Nielse Direct Pu ' Macroo Track Mo	Volff en, M Eav ish core punted Ge	es eoprol	be	Northing: 1530530.81' Easting: 531889.688' Casing Elevation: NA Surface Elevation: 737.098' Borehole Depth: 16' Descriptions By: E Green	Well/Boring ID: Client: National G Location: Former MGP Site Hill Street Gloversville, NY	PDI-CRB-4 rid
Depth (feet bgs)	Elevation (feet AMSL)	Sample Run Number	Sample/Int/Type	Recovery (feet)	PID Headspace (ppm)	Analytical Sample	Geologic Column	Stratigraphic Description		Well/Boring Construction
-	- 140									
-	-				0.0			Very dark grayish brown (2.5Y 3/2) fine - coarse SAND, some s fine Gravel, loose, wet, very light rainbow sheen, very faint coal and Gravel].	ub rounded - sub angular tar like odor [Upper Sand	
-	735 -	1	0-4'	0.7	0.5					
-	_				0.5					
-	-				0.6			Dark grayish brown (2.5Y 4/2) fine - coarse SAND, little sub rou	nded fine Gravel, loose -	
-5	_				24.0			moderate rainbow sheen from 5.25-5.75' bgs, light - moderate of Sand and Gravel].	oal tar like odor [Upper	
-	-	2	4-8'	2.6	6.2					
-	730 -				5.3					Borehole tremie-
-	-				1.7			Dark grayish brown (2.5Y 4/2) fine - coarse SAND, trace fine Gr dense, wet, light rainbow sheen at 8.25' bgs [Upper Sand and C	avel, loose - medium Gravel].	grouted with bentonite- cement grout to grade
- 10	-	2	0 10	2.0	3.1					
-			0-12	2.0	1.1					
-	725 -				0.4					
-	-				0.0					
-		4	12-16'	3.4	0.0					
-15	-				0.0					
-					0.0		••••	End of boring at 16' bgs. Remarks: AMSL = Above Mean Sea Level; bas = b	elow ground surface; NA	= Not Applicable/Available; ppm =
9	Α	R	CA	DIS	5 Desig for na built a	n & Con: Itural an assets	sultancy d	parts per million; ' = Feet; " = Inches. Northing and easting provided in U.S. sr (NAD83). Elevations are in U.S. survey (NAVD88).	urvey feet refrenced to Ne refrenced to North Americ	ew York State Plane Central Zone can Vertical Datum of 1988
Proje	-t· 30	04464	6 710					Created/Edited by: E Green		Date: 8/17/2021

Date Drill Drill San Rig	e Start ing Co er's N ing M ing M ipling Type:	/Finis ompai ame: ethod Metho	h: 1 hy: P V : D od: 4 T	1/05/20 arratt-V V Nielse irect Pu Macroo rack Mo	/olff en, M Eav ish core punted Ge	res eoprol	be	Nort East Casi Surf Bore Desc	hing: 1530533 ing: 531873. ng Elevation: ace Elevation: hole Depth: rriptions By:	.08' 173' NA 737.109' 8' E Green		Well/Boring ID: Client: National G Location: Former MGP Site Hill Street Gloversville, NY	PDI-CRB-4(	SO)	
Depth (feet bgs)	Elevation (feet AMSL)	Sample Run Number	Sample/Int/Type	Recovery (feet)	PID Headspace (ppm)	Analytical Sample	Geologic Column		Stratigraph	ic Description			We Con	II/Boring struction	
- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	740 = - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -		0-4'	2.5	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0			Light olive bro coarse Sand, I SVOC so Dark gray (2.5 and Gravel). Dark gray (2.5 [Upper Sand a	vn (2.5Y 5/4) sub ro oose, wet [Upper Si il sample and duplic Y 4/1) SILT, some n Y 4/1) sub rounded i nd Gravel].	unded - sub angular f and and Gravel]. ate soil sample collec nedium plasticity Clay	ine GRAVE cted from C , medium s ine - coarse	EL, little - some fine - -2' bgs tiff, moist [Upper Sand		Bo tree cer to s	rehole mie- puted with ntonite- ment grout grade
Prois	A	R	6 710	DIS	5 Desig for na built	n & Con atural an assets	sultancy d	Remarks:	AMSL = Above parts per millio Northing and e (NAD83). Elev (NAVD88).	e Mean Sea Level; ł n; ' = Feet; " = Incł easting provided in ations are in U.S. s	bgs = bele hes. U.S. surv survey refi	ow ground surface; NA ey feet refrenced to Ne renced to North Americ	= Not Applicab w York State Pl can Vertical Dat	le/Available ane Centra um of 1988	e; ppm =

Date Drill Drill Sam Rig	e Start ling Co ler's N ling M npling Type:	/Finis ompa ame: ethod Meth	h: 1 ny: F V : C od: 4 T	1/05/20 Parratt-W V Nielse Direct Pu ' Macroo	Volff en, M Eav ish core punted Ge	es eoprot	0e	Northing:1530575.269'Well/Boring ID:Easting:531893.622'Client:National CCasing Elevation:NALocation:Surface Elevation:737.264'Location:Borehole Depth:12'Former MGP SiteDescriptions By:E GreenGloversville, NY	PDI-CRB-5 Grid
Depth (feet bgs)	Elevation (feet AMSL)	Sample Run Number	Sample/Int/Type	Recovery (feet)	PID Headspace (ppm)	Analytical Sample	Geologic Column	Stratigraphic Description	Well/Boring Construction
-	740 - -								
-	- 735 -	1	0-4'	1.0	26.3 11.4 5.8 4.1			Grayish brown (2.5Y 5/2) sub angular fine GRAVEL, little coarse Sand, medium dense, wet, moderate rainbow sheen, trace NAPL blebs, moderate coal tar like odor [Upper Sand and Gravel]. Grayish brown (2.5Y 5/2) SILT, little medium plasticity Clay, little fine Sand, very light	
-5	- - 730 -	2	4-8'	2.3	2.2 0.3 0.5 0.0			Very light rainbow sheen from 4-6' bgs.	Borehole tremie- grouted with bentonite- cement grout to grade
- 10	-	3	8-12'	3.9	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0			Grayish brown (2.5Y 5/2) SILT, little medium plasticity Clay, stiff, moist [Silt].	
- 15	725 -						•	End of boring at 12' bgs.	
Proied	A	R	<b>CA</b>	DIS	5 Desig for na built	<mark>n &amp; Cons</mark> Itural an assets	sultancy d	Remarks:       AMSL = Above Mean Sea Level; bgs = below ground surface; N         " = Inches.       Northing and easting provided in U.S. survey feet refrenced to N         (NAD83). Elevations are in U.S. survey refrenced to North Amer       (NAVD88).         Created/Edited by:       E Green	A = Not Applicable/Available; ' = Feet; ew York State Plane Central Zone ican Vertical Datum of 1988

Dat Dril Dril San Rig	e Start ling Co ler's N ling M npling Type:	t/Finis ompa lame: lethod Meth	h: 1 ny: P V : C od: 4 T	1/05/20 Parratt-V V Nielse Direct Pu ' Macroo Track Mo	Volff en, M Eav ish core punted G	/es eoprol	be	Northing: 1530576.443' Easting: 531880.186' Casing Elevation: NA Surface Elevation: 737.032' Borehole Depth: 8' Descriptions By: E Green	Well/Boring ID: PDI-CRB-5(SO) Client: National Grid Location: Former MGP Site Hill Street Gloversville, NY	
Depth (feet bgs)	Elevation (feet AMSL)	Sample Run Number	Sample/Int/Type	Recovery (feet)	PID Headspace (ppm)	Analytical Sample	Geologic Column	Stratigraphic Description	Well/Boring Construction	
-	-	-								
-	- 735 - -	- 1	0-4'	2.9	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	X		ight olive brown (2.5Y 5/4) sub rounded fine - med bose, wet [Upper Sand and Gravel]. SVOC soil sample collected from 0-1.75' bgs Bray (2.5Y 5/1) SILT, little medium plasticity Clay, tr hoist [Silt].	race fine Sand, medium stiff - stiff,	orehole mie- outed with
5 - -	- - 730 - -	2	4-8'	3.6	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0			End of boring at 9' bac	be cei to	ntonite- ment grout grade
- 10	- - 725 -	-						Lind of boiling at 6 bgs.		
- 15	-									
Proio	• A	R	<b>CA</b>	DIS	5 Desi for n built	<mark>gn &amp; Con</mark> atural an assets	sultancy d	Remarks: AMSL = Above Mean Sea Lev parts per million; ' = Feet; " = Northing and easting provider (NAD83). Elevations are in U. (NAVD88).	vel; bgs = below ground surface; NA = Not Applicable/Available Inches. d in U.S. survey feet refrenced to New York State Plane Centra .S. survey refrenced to North American Vertical Datum of 1988	e; ppm = al Zone 3

Date Drill Drill Sam Rig	e Start ing Co er's N ing M ing M ipling Type:	/Finis ompar ame: ethod Metho	h: 1 ny: F V : C od: 4 T	1/06/20 Parratt-V V Nielse Direct Pu ' Macroo Track Mo	Volff en, M Eav ush core punted G	ves eoprol	0e	Northing:1530607.061'Well/Boring:Easting:531899.214'Client:Casing Elevation:NAClient:Surface Elevation:737.365'Location:Borehole Depth:8'Former MuHill StreetGloversvill	ID: PDI-CRB-6 tional Grid GP Site e, NY
Depth (feet bgs)	Elevation (feet AMSL)	Sample Run Number	Sample/Int/Type	Recovery (feet)	PID Headspace (ppm)	Analytical Sample	Geologic Column	Stratigraphic Description	Well/Boring Construction
-	740 -								
-	- 735 - -	1	0-4'	3.1	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	X	•   •   •   •   •   •   •   •   •   •	Dark grayish brown (2.5Y 5/2) fine - coarse SAND, some sub rounded fine Gravel, wet [Upper Sand and Gravel]. Dark grayish brown (2.5Y 5/2) SILT, little - some medium plasticity Clay, trace - litt Sand, stiff, moist [Silt]. SVOC soil sample and MS/MSD soil sample collected from 2-4' bgs	loose, le fine Borehole tremie- arouted with
-5	- - 730 -	2	4-8'	3.5	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0			End of boying at 9' bog	bentonite- cement grout to grade
- 10									
- 15	725								
Proier	A	R	<b>CA</b>	DIS	S Designed	<mark>gn &amp; Con:</mark> atural an assets	sultancy d	Remarks:       AMSL = Above Mean Sea Level; bgs = below ground supparts per million; ' = Feet; " = Inches.         Northing and easting provided in U.S. survey feet refreme (NAD83). Elevations are in U.S. survey refrenced to Nor (NAVD88).	face; NA = Not Applicable/Available; ppm = ed to New York State Plane Central Zone th American Vertical Datum of 1988

Date Drill Drill San Rig	e Start ling Co ler's N ling M npling Type:	/Finis ompai ame: ethod Metho	h: 1 ny: P V : D od: 4 T	1/06/20 arratt-V V Nielse irect Pu Macroo rack Mo	Volff en, M Eav ush core punted Ge	es eoprot	De	N C S B D	Northing: Easting: Casing Elev: Surface Elev Borehole De Descriptions	1530645 531906. ation: vation: epth: s By:	5.297' 435' NA 738.128 8' E Green	ľ		Well/Boring ID: Client: National C Location: Former MGP Site Hill Street Gloversville, NY	PDI-CRB-7		
Depth (feet bgs)	Elevation (feet AMSL)	Sample Run Number	Sample/Int/Type	Recovery (feet)	PID Headspace (ppm)	Analytical Sample	Geologic Column		St	ratigraph	ic Descrip	ion			W Co	'ell/Boring nstruction	
-	- 740 - -																
-	- - 735 -	. 1	0-4'	1.7	11.8 33.6 8.2			Dark grayi moderate macrocore Gray (2.5Y	ish brown (2.5 rainbow shee e sleeve, stron Y 4/1) SILT, litt	Y 5/2) sub n, heavy b g coal tar tle mediun	o rounded fin black staining like odor [U like odor [U	e GRAVEL, g, trace NAP oper Sand ar lay, stiff, mo	little coa L blebs, nd Grave	rse Sand, loose, wet, NAPL coating inside of IJ. erate coal tar like odor		В	orehole
- 5		. 2	4-8'	3.8	6.2 0.3 0.1 0.1			Louid.								tr bb ca to	emie- routed with entonite- ement grout grade
- 10	730 - - -							End c	of boring at 8'	bgs.							
- - 15	725 -																
9	Α	R	CA	DIS	5 Desig for na built a	n & Cons itural an assets	sultancy d	Remar	ks: AMSL parts North (NAD (NAV	_ = Above per millio ning and e 083). Elev D88).	e Mean Sea on; ' = Fee easting pro vations are	a Level; bg ;; " = Inche: vided in U. in U.S. sur	s = belc s. S. surve vey refr	ow ground surface; N/ ey feet refrenced to N enced to North Ameri	 A = Not Applica ew York State F can Vertical Da	ble/Availab Plane Centr atum of 198	le; ppm = al Zone 8

Date Drill Drill San Rig	e Start ling Cc ler's Na ling Ma npling Type:	/Finis ompar ame: ethod Meth	h: 1 ny: P V : D od: 4 T	1/06/20 Parratt-W V Nielse Direct Pu ' Macroo Track Mc	Volff en, M Eav ish core punted G	/es eoprol	be	Northi Eastin Casin Surfac Boreh Descri	ng: 153068 g: 531888 g Elevation: e Elevation: ole Depth: ole Depth:	50.336' 3.114' NA 738.924' 8' E Green		Well/Boring ID: Client: National G Location: Former MGP Site Hill Street Gloversville, NY	PDI-CRB-7(SO	)
Depth (feet bgs)	Elevation (feet AMSL)	Sample Run Number	Sample/Int/Type	Recovery (feet)	PID Headspace (ppm)	Analytical Sample	Geologic Column		Stratigrap	ohic Descriptio	on		Well/Bc Construc	pring otion
-	740 -													
-		1	0-4'	2.5	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	X		Light olive brown [Upper Sand and SVOC soil s Gray (2.5Y 4/1) S	n (2.5Y 5/6) fine I Gravel sample collecte SILT, little low -	- coarse SAND d from 0.5-2.5' l medium plastic	, little sub rounde ogs. ty Clay, little fine	d fine Gravel, loose, wet Sand stiff, moist [Silt].		Borehole tremie- grouted with
5	-	2	4-8'	3.9	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0									bentonite- cement grout to grade
- 10	730 -							End of born	ıg at 8' bgs.					
- 	725 -													
Proio	A	R		DIS	5 Desi for n built	<mark>gn &amp; Con:</mark> atural an assets	sultancy d	Remarks:	AMSL = Abo parts per mil Northing and (NAD83). Ele (NAVD88).	ve Mean Sea llion; ' = Feet; d easting prov evations are in	Level; bgs = ba " = Inches. ided in U.S. su n U.S. survey re	elow ground surface; NA rvey feet refrenced to Ne frenced to North Americ	. = Not Applicable/Av ew York State Plane can Vertical Datum o	vailable; ppm = Central Zone of 1988

Dat Dril Dril San Rig	e Start ling Co ler's N ling M npling Type:	t/Finis ompa lame: lethod Meth	h: 1 ny: F V : C od: 4	1/06/20 Parratt-V V Nielse Direct Pu ' Macroo Track Mo	Volff en, M Eav ish core punted G	/es eoprol	De	Northi Eastin Casing Surfac Boreho Descri	ng: 1530681. g: 531914.6 g Elevation: e Elevation: ble Depth: ptions By:	.057' 648' NA 738.471' 8' E Green		Well/Boring ID: Client: National G Location: Former MGP Site Hill Street Gloversville, NY	PDI-CRB-8 rid		
Depth (feet bgs)	Elevation (feet AMSL)	Sample Run Number	Sample/Int/Type	Recovery (feet)	PID Headspace (ppm)	Analytical Sample	Geologic Column		Stratigraphi	c Description			Wel	II/Boring struction	
-	- 740 -	-													
-	- - 735 -	1	0-4'	1.3	0.8 0.4 0.0	X		Light olive brown very light rainbow SVOC soil s Grayish brown (2	(2.5Y 5/6) fine - c v sheen, very faint cample collected fr .5Y 5/2) SILT, trac	coarse SAND, little s coal tar like odor [U rom 0.0-1.5' bgs. ce - little low plasticit	ub rounded t pper Sand a y Clay, stiff	fine Gravel, loose, wet, nd Gravel]. - very stiff, moist [Silt].		Bor tren	ehole nie-
- 5	-	2	4-8'	3.9	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0									grou ben cen to g	uted with itonite- nent grout irade
- 10	730 - - -							End of borin	g at 8' bgs.						
- 15	- 725 - -	-													
Prot	A	R		DIS	5 Desi for n built	<mark>gn &amp; Con:</mark> atural an assets	sultancy d	Remarks:	AMSL = Above parts per millio Northing and e (NAD83). Eleva (NAVD88).	Mean Sea Level; n; ' = Feet; " = Inc asting provided in ations are in U.S.	bgs = belo hes. U.S. survo survey refr	ow ground surface; NA ey feet refrenced to Ne enced to North Americ	= Not Applicabl w York State Pla an Vertical Datu	e/Available ane Central um of 1988	; ppm =

Date Drill Drill Sam Rig	e Start ing Co er's Na ing Me ipling Type:	/Finis ompar ame: ethod Metho	h: 1 ny: F N : C od: 4 T	1/09/20 Parratt-W 1 Eaves, Direct Pu ' Macroo Track Mc	/olff R Nolvk ish core punted G	a eoprol	be	North Eastir Casin Surfa Boreh Descr	ing: 153070 ng: 531893 g Elevation: ce Elevation: ole Depth: iptions By:	99.724' 3.086' NA 739.249' 8' E Green		Well/Boring ID: Client: National G Location: Former MGP Site Hill Street Gloversville, NY	PDI-CRB-9 rid	
Depth (feet bgs)	Elevation (feet AMSL)	Sample Run Number	Sample/Int/Type	Recovery (feet)	PID Headspace (ppm)	Analytical Sample	Geologic Column		Stratigrap	hic Description			We Con	II/Boring struction
-	- 740 -													
-	-	1	0-4'	3.7	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	X	•   •   •   •   •   •   •   •   •   •	Dark gray (2.5Y	4/1) fine - coarse wm (2.5Y 4/2) Sl sample and dup	e SAND, little sub ILT, little fine Sand licate soil sample	rounded fine Gr	avel, loose, wet. noist [Silt]. I-3' bgs.		Borehole tremie-
- 5	735 -	2	4-8'	3.9	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0									grouted with bentonite- cement grout to grade
- 10	- 730 -							End of bori	ng at 8' bgs.					
- 15	- 725 -													
9	A	R	CA	DIS	5 Desig for na built	<mark>an &amp; Con</mark> atural an assets	sultancy d	Remarks:	AMSL = Abo parts per mil Northing and (NAD83). Ele (NAVD88).	ve Mean Sea Le lion; ' = Feet; " = easting provide evations are in U	evel; bgs = bel = Inches. ed in U.S. surv J.S. survey ref	ow ground surface; NA rey feet refrenced to Ne renced to North Americ	= Not Applicab w York State Pl can Vertical Dat	le/Available; ppm = ane Central Zone um of 1988

Date Start/Finish:11/10/20Drilling Company:Parratt-WolffDriller's Name:M Eaves, R NolvkaDrilling Method:Direct PushSampling Method:4' MacrocoreRig Type:Track Mounted Geoprobe								North Eastir Casin Surfa Boreh Descr	ing: 1530713 ng: 531911 g Elevation: ce Elevation: nole Depth: iptions By:	8.863' .078' NA 739.231' 8' E Green		Well/Boring ID: Client: National G Location: Former MGP Site Hill Street Gloversville, NY	PDI-CRB-9(\$	50)
Depth (feet bgs)	Elevation (feet AMSL)	Sample Run Number	Sample/Int/Type	Recovery (feet)	PID Headspace (ppm)	Analytical Sample	Geologic Column		Stratigraph	nic Description	We Con:	II/Boring struction		
-	- 740 -							Dark gray (2.5Y	4/1) sub rounded	fine GRAVEL, sc	me fine - coars	e Sand, loose, wet.		
-	-	1	0-4'	2.2	0.8 0.0 0.0 0.0			Gray (2.5Y 5/1)	SILT, trace - little	low plasticity Clay	/, stiff, moist [Si	it].		Borehole tremie- grouted with
- 5	735 -	2	4-8'	3.7	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0			End of bori	ng at 8' bas					bentonite- cement grout to grade
- 10	730 -								ng at o bgo.					
- 15	- 725 -													
ARCADIS Design & Consultancy for natural and built assets								Remarks:	AMSL = Abov parts per milli Northing and (NAD83). Eler (NAVD88).	re Mean Sea Le ion; ' = Feet; " = easting provide vations are in U	vel; bgs = bel Inches. d in U.S. surv .S. survey ref	ow ground surface; NA ey feet refrenced to Ne renced to North Ameri	= Not Applicabl w York State Pla can Vertical Date	e/Available; ppm = ane Central Zone um of 1988

Date Start/Finish:11/09/20Drilling Company:Parratt-WolffDriller's Name:M Eaves, R NolvkaDrilling Method:Direct PushSampling Method:4' MacrocoreRig Type:Track Mounted Geoprobe							De .	Northing: 1530731.198' Easting: 531898.722' Casing Elevation: NA Surface Elevation: 739.333' Borehole Depth: 20' Descriptions By: E Green	ng:     1530731.198'     Well/Boring ID:     PDI-CRB-10       g:     531898.722'     Client:     National Grid       Elevation:     NA     Location:       e Elevation:     739.333'     Former MGP Site       ptions By:     E Green     Gloversville, NY		
Depth (feet bgs)	Elevation (feet AMSL)	Sample Run Number	Sample/Int/Type	Recovery (feet)	PID Headspace (ppm)	Analytical Sample	Geologic Column	Stratigraphic Description	Well/Boring Construction		
-	- - 740 -										
-	_				1.9		000	Black (2.5Y 2.5/1) sub rounded - sub angular fine GRAVEL, little wet.	fine - coarse Sand, loose,		
-	_	1	0-4'	1.7	1.3		0000				
-	-	-			14.8 60.2		000000000000000000000000000000000000000	Moderate - heavy rainbow sheen, heavy black staining, stro odor, NAPL blebs and globules (approximately 10-15% gra	ng coal tar like in coating) from		
-	735 –		4-8'		80.9		00	2-4' bgs. Black (2.5Y 2.5/1) fine - medium SAND, little sub rounded fine 0 moderate - heavy black staining, very strong coal tar like odor. NAPL (approximately 15-20% grain coating) from 4.4.5 km	Gravel, loose, wet,		
5	-	2		17	219.2	.5		NAPL (approximately 10-20% grain coating) from 5.5-6' bg:			
-	_	-	- 0		84.5			Dark gray (2.5Y 4/1) SILT, little fine Sand, medium stiff, moist, v [Silt]. NAPL (approximately 15-20% grain coating) from 6-7.5'	ery strong coal tar like odor	Borehole	
-	-				49.1 6.9	_		Dark grayish brown (2.5Y 4/2) SILT, little medium plasticity Clay moist, very strong coal tar like odor [Silt].	, little fine Sand, stiff,	grouted with bentonite- cement grout	
-	730 -				6.9 20.8 17.4		Trace NAPL blebs at 8.5' bgs.		to grade		
-10	-	3	8-12'	3.5				Trace NAPL blebs at 10' bgs.			
	_				6.6						
-	_				4.8						
-	725 -	4	12-16'	3.7	7.2	•- •- •-		Trace NAPL blebs at 14' bgs.			
- 15	_				0.3						
ARCADIS Design & Consultancy for natural and built assets								Remarks: AMSL = Above Mean Sea Level; bgs = b parts per million; ' = Feet; " = Inches. Northing and easting provided in U.S. su (NAD83). Elevations are in U.S. survey r (NAVD88).	elow ground surface; NA Irvey feet refrenced to Ne efrenced to North Americ	■ Not Applicable/Available; ppm = w York State Plane Central Zone an Vertical Datum of 1988	
Project: 30044656.710								Created/Edited by: E Green		Date: 3/25/2021	

Data File: PDI-CRB-10.ldat8

Client: National Grid								Well/Boring ID:	PDI-CRB-10
	Site Lo	catio	1:					Borehole Depth:	20'
	Forn	ner M	GP Site						
	Glov	Street ersvil	le, NY						
-									
	(ISI	ber			(mq				
(sbq	et AN	Num I	Type	eet)	ace (p	ample	umn		Well/Boring
(feet	ion (fe	le Rur	le/Int/	ery (f	sadsp	ical S	gic Co	Stratigraphic Description	Construction
Depth	Elevat	Samp	Samp	Recov	ΗΩ	Analyt	Geolo		
	-				0.9		• • •		
-	_				005000				Borehole
		5	16-20'	38	0.3				tremie- grouted with
	-				0.0		•		cement grout to grade
	720 -				0.0		•		
- 20					0.0	-	<u></u>	End of boring at 20' bgs.	
	-								
-	-								
-									
	-								
	715 -								
- 25	_								
	-								
-	-								
-									
	710 -								
- 30	_								
-									
	-								
	-								
-									
ļ									
	705 -								
35	-								
[ 									IA - Not Applicable/Aveilable
1								parts per million; ' = Feet; " = Inches.	A – Not Applicable/Available; ppm =
C	Λ	P		אר	S Desig	an & Con atural an	sultancy	Northing and easting provided in U.S. survey feet refrenced to l (NAD83). Elevations are in U.S. survey refrenced to North Ame	Vew York State Plane Central Zone rican Vertical Datum of 1988
	, ,-				built	assets		(NAVD88).	
1									
Project: 30044656.710 Created								Created/Edited by: E Green	 Date: 3/25/2021

Date Start/Finish:11/09/20Drilling Company:Parratt-WolffDriller's Name:M Eaves, R NolvkaDrilling Method:Direct PushSampling Method:4' MacrocoreRig Type:Track Mounted Geoprobe								Northing:1530730.289'Well/Boring ID:Easting:531879.641'Client: NationalCasing Elevation:NAClient: NationalSurface Elevation:737.07'Location:Borehole Depth:12'Former MGP SitDescriptions By:E GreenGloversville, NY	ng: 1530730.289' g: 531879.641' g Elevation: NA te Elevation: 737.07' tole Depth: 12' iptions By: E Green Well/Boring ID: PDI-CRB-10/11(SO) Client: National Grid Location: Former MGP Site Hill Street Gloversville, NY			
Depth (feet bgs)	Elevation (feet AMSL)	Sample Run Number	Sample/Int/Type	Recovery (feet)	PID Headspace (ppm)	Analytical Sample	Geologic Column	Stratigraphic Description	Well/Boring Construction			
-	- 140											
-	- 735 - -	- 1	0-4'	0.9	0.0 0.0 0.0 0,0			Olive brown (2.5Y 4/4) sub angular fine GRAVEL, some fine - coarse Sand, loose, wet.				
-5	- - 730 -	- 2	4-8'	2.8	100.7 9.9 32.5 15.2			Light rainbow sheen, strong coal tar like odor, heavy NAPL (approximately 75% grain coating) from 4-5' bgs. Gray (2.5Y 4/1) SILT, some medium plasticity Clay, medium stiff, moist [Silt].	Borehole			
- 10	-	- 3	8-12'	3.6	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	-			bentonite- cement grout to grade			
- 15	725 - - -	-						End of boring at 12' bgs.				
Proio	λ			DIS	5 Desig for na built	n & Con atural an assets	sultancy d	Remarks: AMSL = Above Mean Sea Level; bgs = below ground surface; N parts per million; ' = Feet; " = Inches. Northing and easting provided in U.S. survey feet refrenced to I (NAD83). Elevations are in U.S. survey refrenced to North Ame (NAVD88).	IA = Not Applicable/Available; ppm = New York State Plane Central Zone rican Vertical Datum of 1988			

Data File: PDI-CRB-10/11(SO).ldat8

Created/Edited by: E Green Template: G:\Div11\Rockware\Logplot 8 Templates

Date Start/Finish:11/10/20Drilling Company:Parratt-WolffDriller's Name:M Eaves, R NolvkaDrilling Method:Direct PushSampling Method:4' MacrocoreRig Type:Track Mounted Geoprobe								Northing:531871.694'Well/Boring ID:Easting:531911.078'Client:Casing Elevation:NALocation:Surface Elevation:746.66'Location:Borehole Depth:16'Former MGP SitDescriptions By:E GreenGloversville, NY	Well/Boring ID: PDI-CRB-10/11(SO-2) Client: National Grid Location: Former MGP Site Hill Street Gloversville, NY		
Depth (feet bgs)	Depth (feet bgs) Elevation (feet AMSL) Bample Run Number Bample/Int/Type Recovery (feet) PID Headspace (ppm) Analytical Sample Seologic Column							Stratigraphic Description	Well/Boring Construction		
-	-							Olive yellow (2.5Y 6/6) fine SAND, little Woody Organics, trace coarse Sand, loose, moist			
-	- 745 - -	1	0-4'	2.6	0.0						
-5	- 740 -	2	4-8'	2.0	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.7			Grayish brown (2.5Y 5/2) fine - coarse SAND, some sub rounded fine - medium Gravel, loose, wet [Upper Sand and Gravel].	Borehole tremie-		
- - - 10 -	- - 735 -	3	8-12'	1.9	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	X		Olive brown (2.5Y 4/4) fine - medium SAND, little coarse Sand, little sub rounded fine Gravel, loose, wet [Upper Sand and Gravel]. Dark gray (2.5Y 4/1) SILT, little fine - coarse Sand, little sub rounded fine Gravel, medium stiff, moist [Silt]. SVOC and MS/MSD soil sample collected from 10-12' bgs.	grouted with bentonite- cement grout to grade		
15	-	4	12-16'	3.8	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0			Gray (2.5Y 5/1) SILT, some medium - high plasticity Clay, stiff, moist [Silt]. End of boring at 16' bgs.			
ARCADIS Design & Consultancy for natural and built assets								Remarks:       AMSL = Above Mean Sea Level; bgs = below ground surface; N parts per million; ' = Feet; " = Inches.         Northing and easting provided in U.S. survey feet refrenced to N (NAD83). Elevations are in U.S. survey refrenced to North Ame (NAVD88).         Created/Edited by:       E Green	A = Not Applicable/Available; ppm = New York State Plane Central Zone rican Vertical Datum of 1988 Date: 3/26/2021		
Date Drill Drill San Rig	e Start ling Co ler's N ling M npling Type:	/Finis ompar ame: ethod Metho	h: 1 ny: P M : D od: 4 T	1/09/20 arratt-V I Eaves, irect Pu Macroo rack Mo	Volff , R Nolvka ish core punted Ge	a eoprot	)e	Northing: 1530748.546' Easting: 531890.103' Casing Elevation: NA Surface Elevation: 737.464' Borehole Depth: 16' Descriptions By: E Green	Well/Boring ID: PDI-CRB-11 Client: National Grid Location: Former MGP Site Hill Street Gloversville, NY		
--------------------------------------	--	---	---	--	---	--	-----------------	--	--		
Depth (feet bgs)	Elevation (feet AMSL)	Sample Run Number	Sample/Int/Type	Recovery (feet)	PID Headspace (ppm)	Analytical Sample	Geologic Column	Stratigraphic Description	Well/Boring Construction		
-	740 -										
-	- 735 -	1	0-4'	1.9	3.5 9.9 59.2			Dark grayish brown (2.5Y 4/2) fine - coarse SAND, little sub rounde medium dense, wet, moderate coal tar like odor. NAPL blebs (approximatel 10% grain coatin) at 0.5' bgs. NAPL blebs (approximatel 10% grain coatin) at 3' bgs.	d fine Gravel, loose -		
		2	4-8'	2.2	22.8 10.8 100.8			Gray (2.5Y 5/1) SILT, some rine - medium Sand, little sub rounded i stiff, moist - wet, moderate coal tar like odor. Gray (2.5Y 5/1) SILT, little sub rounded fine Gravel, little fine - coars moderate to strong coal tar like odor [Silt]. NAPL blebs (approximatel 5-10% grain coatin) at 5' bgs.	e Sand, stiff, moist,		
-	- 730 -				35.1 14.3 75.9			NAPL blebs (approximatel 5-10% grain coatin) at 7' bgs. Dark gray (2.5Y 4/1) fine - coarse SAND, some sub rounded fine G medium dense, wet, strong coal tar like odor, light rainbow sheen.	avel, little - some Silt, avel, little - some Silt,		
- 10	_	3	8-12'	2.6	13.2 6.1			NAPL blebs (approximatel 5-10% grain coatin) at 8.5' bgs. Gray (2.5Y5/1) Sllt, little medium plasticity Clay, medium stiff, mois [Silt].	, faint coal tar like odor		
-	- 725 -				4.8 0.3			Light rainbow sheen at 11.5' bgs.			
- 15	-	4	12-16'	3.8	0.0			End of boring at 16' bgs.			
G	Α	R	CAI	DIS	5 Desig for na built a	<mark>n &amp; Cons</mark> tural and assets	sultancy	Remarks: AMSL = Above Mean Sea Level; bgs = belo parts per million; ' = Feet; " = Inches. Northing and easting provided in U.S. surve (NAD83). Elevations are in U.S. survey refr (NAVD88).	w ground surface; NA = Not Applicable/Available; ppm = ey feet refrenced to New York State Plane Central Zone enced to North American Vertical Datum of 1988		
Proje	ct: 30	04465	6.710					Created/Edited by: E Green	Date: 3/26/202		

Dat Dril Dril San Rig	e Start ling Co ler's N ling Ma npling Type:	/Finis ompar ame: ethod Meth	h: 1 ny: P M : D od: 4" T	1/10/20 arratt-W I Eaves, irect Pu ' Macroo rack Mo	Volff , R Nolvk ish core punted G	a eoprol	be	Northing: 1530732.992' Easting: 531852.127' Casing Elevation: NA Surface Elevation: 745.679' Borehole Depth: 20' Descriptions By: E Green	Well/Boring ID: F Client: National Gr Location: Former MGP Site Hill Street Gloversville, NY	PDI-CRB-12 rid
Depth (feet bgs)	Elevation (feet AMSL)	Sample Run Number	Sample/Int/Type	Recovery (feet)	PID Headspace (ppm)	Analytical Sample	Geologic Column	Stratigraphic Description		Well/Boring Construction
-	-									
-	745 -				0.0			Dark olive brown (2.5Y 4/4) fine - coarse SAND, trace - little sub Woody Organics, loose, moist [Fill].	rounded fine Gravel, trace	
-	_	1	0-4'	1.0	0.0					
-	_				0.0					
-	-				0.0		••••	Wet at 4' bgs. Dark olive brown (2.5Y 3/3) SILT, some fine Sand, little Woody (	Drganics, soft, wet [Peat].	
-5	-				0.0					
-	740 -	2	4-8'	2.4	0.0					
-	_				0.0		000	Gray (2.5Y 5/1) Sub angular fine Gravel, little fine - coarse Sand and Gravel].	, loose, moist [Upper sand	Borehole
-	-				0.0	-		Dark gray (2.5Y 4/1) SILT, some sub rounded fine - medium Gra	ivel, little fine - coarse	tremie- grouted with bentonite-
-	-				0.0			Gena, mealan sun, moist - ver fong.		to grade
-10	_	3	8-12'	2.1	0.0	$\nabla$		SVOC soil sample collected from 10-12' bgs.		
-	735 -				0.0	X				
-	-				0.0			Dark gray (2.5Y 4/1) SILT, little fine - coarse Sand, trace sub rou moist [Silt].	nded fine Gravel, stiff,	
-	-				0.0					
-	_	4	12-16'	2.0	0.0					
- 15	730 -				0.0					
9	Α	R	CAI	DIS	S Desig for n built	<mark>gn &amp; Con</mark> atural an assets	sultancy d	Remarks: AMSL = Above Mean Sea Level; bgs = b parts per million; ' = Feet; " = Inches. Northing and easting provided in U.S. su (NAD83). Elevations are in U.S. survey r (NAVD88).	elow ground surface; NA Irvey feet refrenced to Ne efrenced to North Americ	= Not Applicable/Available; ppm = w York State Plane Central Zone an Vertical Datum of 1988
Proie	ct: 30	04465	6.710					Created/Edited by: E Green		Date: 8/17/202

Data File: PDI-CRB-12.ldat8

0	Client:	Nati	onal Grid	ł				Well/Boring ID: F	'DI-CRB-12
	Site Lo	ocatio	ı:					Borehole Depth:	20'
	Forn	ner M	GP Site						
	Glov	Street /ersvil	le, NY						
$\vdash$									
	(ISI	her			(mq				
(sbq	eet AN	n Num	Type	eet)	ace (I	ample	umlo		Well/Boring
(feet	tion (f	le Rui	le/Int/	/ery (I	eadsp	tical S	gic C	Stratigraphic Description	Construction
Depth	Eleva	Samp	Samp	Reco	H OId	Analy	Geolo		
					0.0			Grayish brown (2.5Y 5/2) SILT, little fine Sand, little low plasticty Clay, soft - medium stiff, moist - wet [Silt].	
-							••••		Borebole
	-	5	16-20'	33	0.0				tremie- grouted with
	_		10 20	0.0	0.0				bentonite- cement grout to grade
-					0.0		••••		
- 20	-				0.0	-		End of boring at 20' bgs.	
	725 -								
	-								
-									
-	-								
	_								
	_								
- 25									
-	720 -								
	_	-							
-									
Ļ	-								
	_	-							
- 30	21.5								
-	/15 -								
	-								
	_	-							
-									
F	_								
- 35	-								
	710 -								
ľ		1 1				<u> </u>	_	Remarks: AMSL = Above Mean Sea Level; bgs = below ground surface; N	A = Not Applicable/Available; ppm =
	_	_						parts per minion; = reet; = incries.	ew York State Plane Central Zone
9	A	R	CAI	DIS	5 Designed for na built	gn & Con atural ar assets	isultancy id	(NAD83). Elevations are in U.S. survey refrenced to North Amer (NAVD88).	ican Vertical Datum of 1988
								· · · · ·	
Proje	ct: 30	04465	6.710					Created/Edited by: E Green	Date: 8/17/2021

Date Drill Drill Sam Rig	e Start ing Co er's Na ing Mo ipling Type:	/Finis ompa ame: ethod Meth	h: 1 ny: P V : D od: 4 T	1/04/20 arratt-W V Nielse irect Pu Macroo rack Mo	Volff en, M Eav ish core punted Ge	es eoprot	0e	Northing: 1530812.449' Easting: 531871.97' Casing Elevation: NA Surface Elevation: 748.439' Borehole Depth: 28' Descriptions By: E Green	Well/Boring ID: PDI-DPB-2 Client: National Grid Location: Former MGP Site Hill Street Gloversville, NY
Depth (feet bgs)	Elevation (feet AMSL)	Sample Run Number	Sample/Int/Type	Recovery (feet)	PID Headspace (ppm)	Analytical Sample	Geologic Column	Stratigraphic Description	Well/Boring Construction
-	- 750 -								
-	- - 745 -	1	0-4'	2.7	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0			Light olive brown (2.5Y 5/3) fine SAND, trace coarse Sand, trace Wo fine Gravel, loose, moist [Upper Sand and Gravel]. Boring hand cleared to 5' bgs.	oody Organics, trace
- 5	1 1 1	2	4-8'	3.0	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	•		Wet at 5.5' bgs. Dark olive brown (2.5Y 3/3) fine SAND, little - some Silt, trace coarse medium stiff, wet [Upper Sand and Gravel].	e Sand, loose - Borehole tremie-
- 10	740 -	3	8-12'	2.0	1.9 14.4 1.1 0.4			Black (2.5Y 2.5/1) sub angular fine GRAVEL, little fine - coarse Sand wet, moderate - heavy rainbow sheen, moderate coal tar like odor, N interval [Upper Sand and Gravel]. Gray (2.5Y 5/1) SILT, little fine Sand, trace Clay, trace fine Gravel, sti	I, trace Silt, loose, IAPL globules acros
- 15	- 735 - -	4	12-16'	3.9	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0			Dark gray (2.5Y 4/1) SILT, little medium plasticity Clay, trace fine Sar [Silt].	nd, very stiff, moist
				DIS	5 Desig for na built:	<mark>in &amp; Cons</mark> atural an assets	sultancy	Remarks: AMSL = Above Mean Sea Level; bgs = below parts per million; ' = Feet; " = Inches. Northing and easting provided in U.S. survey (NAD83). Elevations are in U.S. survey refre (NAVD88).Inches.	v ground surface; NA = Not Applicable/Available; ppm = / feet refrenced to New York State Plane Central Zone nced to North American Vertical Datum of 1988

(	Client: National Grid Well/Boring ID: PDI-DPB-2										
	Site Lo	ocatio	n:					Borehole Depth:	28'		
	Forr	ner M	GP Site								
	Glov	Street /ersvi	lle, NY								
	MSL)	nber			(mqq	e					
t bgs)	feet A	in Nur	/Type	(feet)	pace (	Sampl	Solum	Stratigraphic Description	Well/Boring		
h (fee	ation (	ple Ru	ple/Int	overy	leads	ytical 3	logic C	on angraphic besonphon	Construction		
Dept	Elev	Sam	Sam	Rec	ICII	Anal	Geo				
	-				0.0						
-	-				0.0		•				
-	720	5	16-20'	2.6	0.0		•				
-	730 -				0.0		•				
20	-				0.0		• • •				
20	-				0.0						
-	-				0.0		• • • •		Borehole		
-		6	20-24'	3.6	0.0				grouted with bentonite-		
-	-				0.0		•		cement grout to grade		
	725 -				0.0		••••				
	-				0.0		•	SAND, trace fine Gravel, medium dense, wet [Lower Sand and Gravel]			
- 25	_				0.0						
-		7	24-28'	3.3	0.0						
-	_				0.0						
	-				0.0						
	720 -	-						End of boring at 28' bgs.			
-	_										
- 30											
-											
	-										
	-	-									
F	715 -										
-											
- 35	-										
	-										
								Remarks: AMSL = Above Mean Sea Level; bgs = below ground surface; NA parts per million; ' = Feet; " = Inches.	<pre>A = Not Applicable/Available; ppm =</pre>		
C	۸	D	<u>רא</u> ו	סור	Desig	gn & Cor	sultancy	Northing and easting provided in U.S. survey feet refrenced to Net (NAD83) Elevations are in U.S. survey refranced to Neth Ameri	ew York State Plane Central Zone		
	• /-				built	assets	14	(NAVD88).Inches.			
Proje	ct: 30	0446	56.710					Created/Edited by: E Green	Date: 5/21/2021		

Date Drill Drill Sam Rig	e Start ing Co er's N ing M pling Type:	t/Finis ompa lame: lethod Meth	h: 1 ny: F V : C od: 4	1/04/20 Parratt-V V Nielse Direct Pu I' Macroo Track Mo	Volff en, M Eav ish core punted G	ves eoprol	be	Northing:1530830.252'Well/Boring IEasting:531861.819'Client:NationCasing Elevation:NAClient:NationSurface Elevation:747.298Location:Borehole Depth:12'Former MGIHill StreetGloversville,	D: <b>PDI-DPB-2(SO)</b> onal Grid P Site NY
Depth (feet bgs)	Elevation (feet AMSL)	Sample Run Number	Sample/Int/Type	Recovery (feet)	PID Headspace (ppm)	Analytical Sample	Geologic Column	Stratigraphic Description	Well/Boring Construction
-	750 -	-							
-	- 745 -	1	0-4'	3.4	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0			Dark olive brown (2.5Y 3/3) fine SAND, little Woody Organics, trace coarse Sand, low moist [Fill]. Boring hand cleared to 5' bgs. Olive brown (2.5Y 4/4) fine SAND, little Silt, medium dense, wet [Upper Sand and Gr Wet at 3' bgs.	avel].
-5	- - 740 -	2	4-8'	2.9	0.0 0.0 0.0			Dark grayish brown (2.5Y 4/2) fine - coarse SAND, little sub rounded fine Gravel, loo medium dense, wet [Upper Sand and Gravel].	se - Borehole
- 10	-	3	8-12'	3.8	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	Å		Dark gray (2.5Y 4/1) SILT, little low plasticity Clay, little fine Sand, stiff, moist [Silt].	grouted with bentonite- cement grout to grade
- 15	735 - - -	-				-		End of boring at 12' bgs.	
Proied	A	R	<b>CA</b>	DIS	5 Desi for n built	gn & Con atural an assets	sultancy d	Remarks: AMSL = Above Mean Sea Level; bgs = below ground surfa parts per million; ' = Feet; " = Inches. Northing and easting provided in U.S. survey feet refrence (NAD83). Elevations are in U.S. survey refrenced to North (NAVD88).Inches.	ce; NA = Not Applicable/Available; ppm = d to New York State Plane Central Zone American Vertical Datum of 1988

Data File: PDI-DPB-2(SO).ldat8

Date Drill Drill Sam Rig	e Start, ing Co er's Na ing Mo ipling Type:	/Finis ompai ame: ethod Metho	h: 1 ny: P V : D od: 4 T	1/03/20 arratt-V V Nielse irect Pu ' Macroo rack Mo	Volff en, M Eav ush core punted Ge	es eoprot	be	Northing: 1530756.597' Easting: 531913.411' Casing Elevation: NA Surface Elevation: 745.981' Borehole Depth: 20' Descriptions By: E Green	Well/Boring ID: Client: National G Location: Former MGP Site Hill Street Gloversville, NY	PDI-DPB-4 <sup>Irid</sup>
Depth (feet bgs)	Elevation (feet AMSL)	Sample Run Number	Sample/Int/Type	Recovery (feet)	PID Headspace (ppm)	Analytical Sample	Geologic Column	Stratigraphic Description		Well/Boring Construction
-	-									
	745 -				0.0			Dark grayish brown (2.5Y 4/2) fine SAND, little coarse Sand, litt moist [Fill].	le Woody Organics, loose,	
	_	7	0.4	20	0.0			Olive yellow (2.5Y 6/6) fine - medium SAND, trace Woody Orga	nics, loose, moist [Fill].	
	_		0-4	5.0	0.0			Boring hand cleared to 5' bgs.		
	_				0.0					
_5	_				0.0					
	740 -		4.01		0.0			Wet at 6' bgs		
		2	4-0	2.4	1.3			Dark grayish brown (2.5Y 4/2) SILT, little sub rounded fine Grave moist, faint - moderate coal tar like odor [Silt].	el, trace fine Sand, stiff,	
					1.9			INTE EIGDS at 0.5 bys.		Borehole tremie- grouted with
					36.5			NAPL Blebs at 8.5' bgs.		source with bentonite- cement grout to grade
					7.5					
-10		3	8-12'	2.3	31.4					
	735 -				97.7			NAPL Blebs at 11' bgs.		
					6.0			Grayish brown (2.5Y 5/2) SILT, little medium plasticity Clay, trac moderate coal tar like odor [Silt].	e fine Sand, stiff, moist,	
Ī	-				2.2					
-	_	4	12-16'	3.8	2.8					
- 15	_				0.9					
9	A	R	CA	DIS	S Desig for na built	<mark>n &amp; Cons</mark> atural an assets	sultancy d	Remarks: AMSL = Above Mean Sea Level; bgs = b parts per million; ' = Feet; " = Inches. Northing and easting provided in U.S. su (NAD83). Elevations are in U.S. survey i (NAVD88).Inches.	velow ground surface; NA urvey feet refrenced to Ne refrenced to North Americ	w York State Plane Central Zone can Vertical Datum of 1988

Data File: PDI-DPB-4.Idat8

Client: National Grid								Well/Boring ID:	PDI-DPB-4	
	Site Lo	catio	n:					Borehole Depth:	20'	
	Forn	ner M	GP Site							
	Hill S Glov	Street /ersvil	le, NY							
	(ISI	her			(mq					
(sbq	eet AN	n Nur	Type	(eet	ace (I	ample	olumn		Well/Boring	
ı (feet	tion (f	le Ru	le/Int/	very (i	eadsp	tical S	gic C	Stratigraphic Description	Construction	
Depth	Eleva	Samp	Samp	Reco	H OI4	Analy	Geolo			
					1.1		••••			
F	_						••••	No odor after 17' bgs.	Borehole	
-	_	5	16-20'	3.7	0.0		•		tremie- grouted with bentonite-	
					0.0		• • • •		cement grout to grade	
	23				0.0		•			
- 20	_					-	<u>• • • •</u>	End of boring at 20' bgs.		
-	725 -									
	_									
-	-									
Ļ	_									
- 25	-									
F	720 -									
Ļ	_									
	_									
-	-									
- 30	_									
	715									
	/15 -									
-	-									
ŀ	_									
[	-									
- 35	-									
	710 -									
								Remarks: AMSL = Above Mean Sea Level; bgs = below ground surface; N parts per million; ' = Feet; " = Inches.	A = Not Applicable/Available; ppm =	
C	Λ	D	<u>م</u>	אר	C Desig	n & Con atural an	sultancy d	Northing and easting provided in U.S. survey feet refrenced to N (NAD83), Elevations are in U.S. survey refrenced to North Ame	lew York State Plane Central Zone rican Vertical Datum of 1988	
	<b>,</b> ,-1				built	assets	~	(NAVD88).Inches.		
Proje	oject: 30044656.710 Created/Edited by: E Green Date: 3/26/2021									

Date Drill Drill Sam Rig	e Start ing Cc er's Na ing Mo pling Type:	/Finis ompai ame: ethod Meth	h: 1 лу: F V : С Dd: 4 Т	1/02/20 Parratt-W V Nielse Direct Pu I' Macroo	/olff n, M Eav sh core ounted Ge	es eoprot	0e	Northing:1530737.775'Well/IEasting:531918.189'ClientCasing Elevation:NAClientSurface Elevation:745.437'LocatBorehole Depth:20'FormDescriptions By:E GreenGlov	Boring ID: PDI-DPB-5 nt: National Grid ntion: mer MGP Site Street versville, NY
Depth (feet bgs)	Elevation (feet AMSL)	Sample Run Number	Sample/Int/Type	Recovery (feet)	PID Headspace (ppm)	Analytical Sample	Geologic Column	Stratigraphic Description	Well/Boring Construction
-	1								
-	745 -				0.0 0.0			Grayish brown (2.5Y 5/2) fine - medium SAND, trace Woody Organics, trac medium dense, moist [Fill].	ce fine Gravel,
-	-	1	0-4'	2.5	0.0 0.0			Boring hand cleared to 5' bgs.	
- 	- 740 - -	2	4-8'	2.6	0.0 2.0 25.5			Black (2.5Y 2.5/1) fine - coarse SAND, little sub rounded fine Gravel, mediu moderate rainbow sheen, heavy black staining, strong coal tar like odor, he coating (approximately 60% grain coating) [Upper Sand and Gravel]. Wet at 5' bgs.	ium dense, wet, eavy NAPL
	- - 735 -	3	8-12'	3.8	92.4 6.5 35.3 5.2		 	Grayish brown (2.5Y 5/2) SILT, little medium plasticity Clay, trace fine Sand NAPL blebs across entire interval but, more frequent over 8-10' bgs [Silt].	d, siff, moist, d, siff, moist,
-	-				5.6 4.3			Higher fine Sand content (12-13.5' bgs)	
- 15	-	4	12-16'	3.2	0.4 0.0			No impacts after 13.5' bgs.	
Proiec	730 -		6 710	DIS	0.0	n & Cons Itural an assets	sultancy	Remarks: AMSL = Above Mean Sea Level; bgs = below grouparts per million; ' = Feet; " = Inches. Northing and easting provided in U.S. survey feet (NAD83). Elevations are in U.S. survey refrenced (NAVD88).Inches.	und surface; NA = Not Applicable/Available; ppm = refrenced to New York State Plane Central Zone to North American Vertical Datum of 1988

c	Client:	Nati	onal Grid	ł				Well/Boring ID:	PDI-DPB-5	
	Site Lo	catio	ı:					Borehole Depth:	20'	
	Forn	ner M	GP Site							
	Glov	Street ersvil	le, NY							
<u> </u>										
	(ISI	ber			(mq					
(sbq	eet AN	nun r	Type	eet)	ace (I	ample	umic		Well/Boring	
ı (feet	tion (f	le Rui	le/Int/	very (1	eadsp	tical S	gic C	Stratigraphic Description	Construction	
Depth	Eleva	Samp	Samp	Reco	H Old	Analy	Geolo			
	-				0.4					
-							•		Borehole	
-		5	16-20'	3.8	0.0		••••		tremie- grouted with	
	-				0.0				cement grout to grade	
Ē	_				0.0					
- 20	705					-	••••	End of boring at 20' bgs.		
	125 -									
	-									
-	-									
-										
	-									
	-									
- 25	720 -									
-										
	-									
-										
-										
	-									
- 30	715 -									
-										
	_									
	-									
-										
F										
25	-									
	710 -									
<b></b>								Remarks: AMSL = Above Mean Sea Level; bgs = below ground surface; N	l A = Not Applicable/Available; ppm =	
								parts per million; ' = Feet; " = Inches.		
9	Α	R	CAI		5 Desig	<mark>an &amp; Con</mark> atural an assets	isultancy nd	Northing and easting provided in U.S. survey feet refrenced to N (NAD83). Elevations are in U.S. survey refrenced to North Ame (NAUD93).Lepton	lew York State Plane Central Zone rican Vertical Datum of 1988	
1			-					(INAVDOD).Inclies.		
1										
Projec	bject: 30044656.710 Created/Edited by: E Green Date: 3/26/2021									

Date Drill Drill San Rig	e Start ing Co er's Na ing Mo ipling Type:	/Finis ompar ame: ethod Metho	h: 1 hy: P V : C od: 4 T	1/03/20 'arratt-W V Nielse Direct Pu ' Macroo ' rack Mc	/olff en, M Eav ish core ounted Ge	es eoprot	De	Northing:1530465.881'Well/Boring ID:Easting:531840.543'Client:National CCasing Elevation:NALocation:Surface Elevation:744.237'Location:Borehole Depth:12'Former MGP SiteDescriptions By:E GreenGloversville, NY	PDI-DPB-16 Srid
Depth (feet bgs)	Elevation (feet AMSL)	Sample Run Number	Sample/Int/Type	Recovery (feet)	PID Headspace (ppm)	Analytical Sample	Geologic Column	Stratigraphic Description	Well/Boring Construction
-	- 745 -								
-	_	1	0-4'	2.7	0.0 0.0			Olive brown (2.5Y 4/4) fine SAND, trace Silt, trace Woody Organics, loose, moist [Fill].	
-	-				0.0 0.0			Boring hand cleared to 5' bgs. Light brownish gray (2.5Y 6/2) SILT, some medium plasticity Clay, trace fine Sand, medium stiff - stiff, moist [Silt].	
-5	- 140	2	4-8'	2.9	0.0 0.0			Wet at 6' bgs.	Borehole tremie- grouted with bottorito
-	-				0.0 0.0			- medium stiff, wet [Lower Sand and Gravel].	cement grout to grade
- 10	- 735 -	3	8-12'	3.0	0.0 0.9			coarse Sand, trace Siti, loose, wet, light - moderate rainbow sheen and faint - moderate coal tar like odor from 9 - 10' bgs. [Lower Sand and Gravel].	
-	-				0.0 0.0			End of boring at 12' bas.	
-	- 730 -								
- 15	-								
9	Α	R	CA	DIS	5 Desig for na built a	<mark>in &amp; Cons</mark> atural an assets	sultancy d	Kemarks: AMSL = Above Mean Sea Level; bgs = below ground surface; N. parts per million; ' = Feet; " = Inches. Northing and easting provided in U.S. survey feet refrenced to N (NAD83). Elevations are in U.S. survey refrenced to North Amer (NAVD88).Inches.	A = NOT Applicable/Available; ppm = ew York State Plane Central Zone can Vertical Datum of 1988
Proje	ot: 30	04465	6.710					Created/Edited by: E Green	Date: 3/26/2021

Data File: PDI-DPB-16.Idat8

Date Drill Drill San Rig	e Start ling Co ler's Na ling Mo npling Type:	/Finis ompa ame: ethod Meth	h: 1 ny: F V : C od: 4 T	1/03/20 Parratt-V V Nielse Direct Pu ' Macroo Track Mo	Volff en, M Eav ish core punted Go	es eoprol	De	Northing:1530421.91'Well/Boring ID:Easting:531830.625'Client: NationalCasing Elevation:NALocation:Surface Elevation:744.436'Location:Borehole Depth:16'Former MGP SiDescriptions By:E GreenGloversville, NY	PDI-DPB-18 Grid e
Depth (feet bgs)	Elevation (feet AMSL)	Sample Run Number	Sample/Int/Type	Recovery (feet)	PID Headspace (ppm)	Analytical Sample	Geologic Column	Stratigraphic Description	Well/Boring Construction
-	- 745 -								
	-				0.0			Olive brown (2.5Y 4/4) fine SAND, trace Woody Organics, trace fine Gravel, trace Coal Cinder, loose, moist [Fill].	
	-	1	0.4	2.5	0.0				
	-		0-4	0.0	0.0			Boring hand cleared to 5' bgs.	
-	-				0.0	-			
-5	740 —				0.0			Grayish brown (2.5Y 5/2) SILT, little medium plasticity Clay, stiff, moist [Sift].	
-	-	2	4-8'	3.8	0.0				
-	-				0.0				Borehole tremie- grouted with bentonite-
-	-				0.0	-			cement grout to grade
-	-				0.0			Wet at 9' bgs. Very dark grayish brown (2.5Y 3/2) fine - coarse SAND, little sub rounded fine Gravel,	_
-10	/35 -	3	8-12'	2.4	0.0			loose - medium dense, wet [Lower Sand and Gravel].	
-					0.0				
-	-				0.0	-			
-	_				0.0				
-	730 -	4	12-16'	1.8	0.0				
- 15	-				0.0			End of boring at 16' bas	
								Remarks: AMSL = Above Mean Sea Level; bgs = below ground surface; f parts per million; ' = Feet; " = Inches.	IA = Not Applicable/Available; ppm =
9	A	R	CA	DIS	5 Desig for na built	<mark>in &amp; Con</mark> atural an assets	sultancy d	Northing and easting provided in U.S. survey feet refrenced to (NAD83). Elevations are in U.S. survey refrenced to North Ame (NAVD88).Inches.	Vew York State Plane Central Zone rican Vertical Datum of 1988
Proje	ct: 30	04465	6 710					Created/Edited by: E Green	Date: 3/26/2021

Data File: PDI-DPB-18.Idat8

Dat Dril Dril San Rig	e Start ling Co ler's N ling M npling Type:	/Fini ompa ame: etho Meth	sh: iny: d: iod:		J F Tra	P Price and 2" x 2' ack Mount	10 D Shi 4 1/4 Split ted Cl	/06/2 -Wol erma " HS Spoo ME-5	0 Nortl ff Easti <sup>n</sup> Casin A Surfa 5 Bore Desc	ning:1530834.431'Well/Boring ID:ng:531879.051Client: National Gng Elevation:NALocation:nole Depth:28' bgsFormer MGP Siteriptions By:E GreenGloversville, NY	PDI-GTB-1 <sup>rid</sup>
Depth (feet bgs)	Elevation (ft AMSL)	Sample Run Number	Sample Interval	Recovery (feet)	PID Headspace (ppm)	Blow Count	N-Value	Analytical Sample	Geologic Column	Stratigraphic Description	Well/Boring Construction
-	 750 -										
-	- - 745 -									Boring hand cleared to 5' bgs.	
-	_	1	5-6'	0.6	0.0	5 6 6 8	N A	-		Light olive brown (2.5Y 5/4) fine - medium SAND, trace fine Gravel, loose - medium dense, wet [Fill]. Olive brown (2.5Y 4/4) fine - coarse SAND, little sub rounded fine Gravel, little Silt, loose, wet [Upper Sand and Gravel].	
-	740 -	2	6-8' 8-10'	0.6	0.0 NA	8 15 8 9	16	_		Wet at 5' bgs. No Recovery	Borehole tremie-grouted with bentonite-cement grout to grade
- 10	_	. 4	10-12'	2.0	0.0	10 15 5 12 15 23	27		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Olive brown (2.5Y 4/4) fine - coarse SAND, little sub rounded fine Gravel, little Silt, loose, wet [Upper Sand and Gravel]. Grayish brown (2.5Y 5/2) SILT, little medium plasticity Clay, little fine	
-	- 735 -	. 5	12-14'	2.0	0.0	5 5 8 4	13			Grayish brown (2.5Y 5/2) fine - coarse SAND, some Silt, little sub rounded fine Gravel, loose, wet [Silt].	
— 15	-	6	14-16'	0.0	NA	5 5 8 4	16			No Recovery	
C	Α	R	CA	DI	S bu	<mark>sign &amp; Consul</mark> natural and ilt assets	ltancy	Rer	narks:	AMSL = Above Mean Sea Level; bgs = below ground surface; NA parts per million; ' = Feet; " = Inches. Northing and easting provided in U.S. survey feet refrenced to Net (NAD83). Elevations are in U.S. survey refrenced to North America (NAVD88).	= Not Applicable/Available; ppm = w York State Plane Central Zone can Vertical Datum of 1988
Proje	ct: 30	0446	56.710					Creat	ed/Edite	d by: EGreen	Date: 2/17/2021

C	Client:	Na	tional G	rid						Well/Boring ID: P	DI-GTB-1
ę	Site Lo	ocatio	on:							Borehole Depth:	28' bgs
	Hill St Glove	treet rsvill	e, NY								
Depth (feet bgs)	Elevation (ft AMSL)	Sample Run Number	Sample/Int/Type	Recovery (feet)	PID Headspace (ppm)	Blow Count	N-Value	Analytical Sample	Geologic Column	Stratigraphic Description	Well/Boring Construction
-	-	7	16-18'	1.7	0.0	5 6 5 5	11			Grayish brown (2.5Y 5/2) fine SAND, loose - medium dense, wet. Grayish brown (2.5Y 5/2) SILT, some medium plasticity Clay, medium stiff,	
-	730 -	8	18-20'	1.6	0.0	3 3 2 4	5			moist (Siių.	
— 20 -	_	9	20-22'	2.0	0.0	2 1 1 3	2			Grayish brown (2.5Y 5/2) SILT, little fine Sand, trace Clay, soft - medium stiff, moist - wet [Silt].	
-	- 725 -	10	22-24'	1.9	0.0	6 7 7 13	14			Grayish brown (2.5Y 5/2) finee SAND, little sub rounded fine Gravel, trace Silt, loose, wet [Silt].	
- 25 -	-	. 11	24-26'	1.2	0.0	10 10 15 20	25			No Silt 24-26' bgs.	Borehole tremie-grouted
-	-	12	26-28'	1.6	0.0	8 18 19 23	27			Grayish brown (2.5Y 5/2) fine - coarse SAND, some sub rounded fine Gravel, medium dense - dense, wet [Lower Sand and Gravel].	bentonite-cement grout to grade
- 		-								End of boring at 28' bgs.	
-	- 715 -	-									
- 35											
ARCADIS Design & Consultance for natural and built assets							ltancy	Rer	marks:	AMSL = Above Mean Sea Level; bgs = below ground surface; NA parts per million; ' = Feet; " = Inches. Northing and easting provided in U.S. survey feet refrenced to Ne (NAD83). Elevations are in U.S. survey refrenced to North Americ (NAVD88).	= Not Applicable/Available; ppm = w York State Plane Central Zone an Vertical Datum of 1988
Proje	ect: 30044656.710								ted/Edite	d by: EGreen	Date: 2/17/2021

Driller Drillin Sampl Rig Ty	g Co 's Na g Me ling ľ /pe:	mpa ime: thoc Vleth	ny: I: od:		J F Tra	P Price and 2" x 2' ack Mount	arratt D She 4 1/4 Split : ted Cl	-Wol erma " HS, Spoo ME-5	ff Easti n Casin A Surfa 5 Bore Desc	ning:     1530/97/961*     Weil/Boring ID:       ng:     531870.8*       ng Elevation:     NA       nce Elevation:     747.674*       hole Depth:     30' bgs       riptions By:     E Green	PDI-GTB-2 rid
Depth (feet bgs)	Elevation (ft AMSL)	Sample Run Number	Sample Interval	Recovery (feet)	PID Headspace (ppm)	Blow Count	N-Value	Analytical Sample	Geologic Column	Stratigraphic Description	Well/Boring Construction
7	50 -										
- 7	- 45 - -									Boring hand cleared to 5' bgs.	
-5	40 -	1	5-6' 6-8'	0.9	0.0	10 11 8 9 12	N A 21	-		Light olive brown (2.5Y 5/4) fine - medium SAND, trace Woody Organics, trace Silt, loose, moist [Fill]. Little Silt, little sub rounded fine Gravel 6-8' bgs.	Borehole
-	-	3	8-10'	0.6	0.0	50/3"	N A			Olive brown (2.5Y 4/4) fine SAND, little - some Silt, trace fine Gravel, loose - medium dense, moist [Fill]. Wet at 8.5' bgs.	with bentonite-cement grout to grade
-	-	4	10-12'	0.2	0.0	50/3"	N A			No Recovery. Granitic Gneiss chips in the shoe of the split spoon sampler.	
7	35 -	5	12-14'	1.6	0.0	10 6 8 6	14			Dark gray (2.5Y 4/1) SILT, little fine Sand, trace Clay, stiff - very stif, moist [Silt].	
- 15	_	6	14-16'	1.7	0.0	2 8 8 4	16			Higher Clay content 14-15' bgs. Grayish brown (2.5Y 5/2) fine SAND, trace Silt, dense, wet.	
Rema										AMSL = Above Mean Sea Level; bgs = below ground surface; NA parts per million; ' = Feet; " = Inches. Northing and easting provided in U.S. survey feet refrenced to Ne (NAD83). Elevations are in U.S. survey refrenced to North Americ (NAVD88).Inches.	= Not Applicable/Available; ppm = w York State Plane Central Zone an Vertical Datum of 1988

(	Client:	Na	ional G	rid						Well/Boring ID: P	DI-GTB-2
	Site Lo	catio	n:							Borehole Depth:	30' bgs
	Forme	er Mo	GP Site								
	Hill St Glove	treet rsvill	e, NY								
	Ć	ber			(mq						
(sbc	AMSI	Num	ype	eet)	ace (p			ample	lumn		Well/Boring
(feet t	on (ft	e Run	L/tnt/e	ery (fé	adsp	Count	e	cal Sa	Jic Co	Stratigraphic Description	Construction
Depth	levati	sample	Sample	Recov	H Clo	Blow (	V-Valt	Vnalyti	Seolog		
	ш	0)	0)	<u> </u>	LL.	6	2	4			
-	-	7	16-18'	2.0	0.0	8	14				
	7 <i>30 –</i>					6				Grayish brown (2.5Y 5/2) medium - high plasticity SILT and CLAY, little fine Sand, medium stiff, moist [Silt].	
-						4		1			
-	_	8	18-20'	1.8	0.0	4	8				
_ 20	-					4					
20						5					
-		9	20-22'	1.9	0.0	9	16				
	-					10					
	725 -					8			•••••	Grayish brown (2.5Y 5/2) SILT, little medium plasticity Clay, trace fine Sand, soft - medium stiff, moist, [Silt].	
-		10	22-24'	1.6	0.0	5	12		••••		
-	-					8					
	_					11 13			•	Light olive brown (2.5Y 5/4) fine - medium SAND, trace fine Gravel, medium dense, wet [Lower Sand and Gravel].	
- 25		11	24-26'	2.0	0.0	8	21				Barabala
-	-					10					tremie-grouted with
	-					13 15					bentonite-cement grout to grade
-	200	12	26-28'	0.6	0.0	18	33				
-	720 -					12		-		Olive brown (2.5 4/4) medium SAND, little sub rounded fine Gravel,	
	-		00.001			10	10			medium dense, wet [Lower Sand and Gravel].	
	_	13	28-30	1.9	0.0	9	19				
- 30						15		1	····	End of boring at 30' bgs.	
-	-										
	-										
-											
-	715 -										
	-										
[											
- 35	_										
	-										
								Rei	marks:	AMSL = Above Mean Sea Level; bgs = below ground surface; NA parts per million; ' = Feet; " = Inches.	x = Not Applicable/Available;
9	Α	R	CA	D	S	esign & Consul r natural and iilt assets	tancy			Northing and easting provided in U.S. survey feet refrenced to Net (NAD83). Elevations are in U.S. survey refrenced to North Americ (NAVD88).Inches.	ew York State Plane Central Zone can Vertical Datum of 1988
Drob	ot. 00	0440	56 740					0	tod/E-lit	d by ECroon	Data: 0/47/0204
roje	ut. 30 Filov I	0446	00./1U	ate				urea Tom	ieu/⊏dite	u by. EGreen	Date: 8/17/2021

Date Drilli Drilli Sam Rig	Start ing Co er's N ing Mo pling Type:	/Finisompa ame: ethoo Meth	sh: iny: d: iod:		J Tra	P Price and 2'' x 2' ack Mount	9 d M N 4 1/4 Split ted Cl	½29/20       Northing:       1530746.769'       Well/Boring ID:         t-Wolff       Easting:       531953.803'       Client:       National G         leyhart       Casing Elevation:       NA       National G         4" HSA       Surface Elevation:       745.183'       Location:         Borehole Depth:       34.75' bgs       Former MGP Site         Descriptions By:       E Green       Gloversville, NY			PDI-GTB-3 Grid e
Depth (feet bgs)	Elevation (ft AMSL)	Sample Run Number	Sample Interval	Recovery (feet)	PID Headspace (ppm)	Blow Count	N-Value	Analytical Sample	Geologic Column	Stratigraphic Description	Well/Boring Construction
-										Boring hand cleared to 5' bgs.	
-5	740 -	1	5-6'	0.4	0.0	6 14	N A			Olive brown (2.5Y 4/4) SILT, some fine Sand, little Woody Organics, soft, moist [Fill].	
-		2	6-8'	1.2	7.3, 3.5	6 12 11 14	23			Very dark grayish brown (2.5Y 3/2) fine SAND, little sub rounded fine Gravel, loose, wet, moderate rainbow sheen, strong coal tar like odor [Upper Sand and Gravel] Very dark grayish brown (2.5Y 3/2) SILT, little fine Sand, trace Clay, stiff, wet, moderate rainbow sheen, light black staining, strong coal tar like odor [Silt].	Borehole tremie-grouted
- 10	-	3	8-10'	1.6	1.8, 2.6	10 12 12 15	24			Dark grayish brown (2.5Y 4/2) SILT, come fine Sand, stiff, moist - wet, light rainbow sheen, light black staining, moderate coal tar like odor [Silt]. Wet at 6' bgs.	with bentonite-cement grout to grade
-	735 -	4	10-12'	1.7	2.6, 0.6	12 12 14 13	26			Trace Clay 10-12' bgs. No impacts after 10.5' bgs.	
-	_	5	12-14'	1.6	0.2, 0.0	7 12 10 13	22	-		Grayish brown (2.5Y 5/2) SILT, little low plasticity Clay, trace fine Sand, stiff - very stiff, moist [Silt].	
— 15	- 730 -	6	14-16'	0.7	0.0	8 21 10 8	31				
ARCADIS Design & Consultar for natural and built assets									narks:	AMSL = Above Mean Sea Level; bgs = below ground surface; N parts per million; ' = Feet; " = Inches. Northing and easting provided in U.S. survey feet refrenced to N (NAD83). Elevations are in U.S. survey refrenced to North Ame (NAVD88).	IA = Not Applicable/Available; ppm = New York State Plane Central Zone rican Vertical Datum of 1988
Projec	:t: 30	0446	56.710					Creat	ed/Edite	d by: EGreen	Date: 8/17/2021

C	Client:     National Grid     Well/Boring ID:     PDI-GTB-3       Site Location:     Borehole Depth:     34.75' bgs												
	site L c	ncatio	n.							Borehole Depth:	34.75' bgs		
	Forme	er MO	SP Site										
	Hill St Glove	treet rsvill	e, NY										
	-	er			(mc								
(sß	AMSL	dmuN	/be	et)	ld) eo			mple	um		Well/Boring		
feet b	n (ft /	Run	/Int/Ty	ry (fe	adspa	ount	0	al Sa	ic Col	Stratigraphic Description	Construction		
epth (	levatic	ample	ample	ecove	DHe	low C	-Value	nalytic	eologi				
Δ	<u> </u>	ű	ű	Ŷ	<u> </u>	ш 17	z	A	<u> </u>	Grayish brown (2.5Y 5/2) SILT, some fine Sand, soft, wet [SILT].			
_		7	16-18'	0.9	0.0	17	38						
	-					21 20							
-	-					5				Grayish brown (2.5Y 5/2) SILT, trace - little low plasticity Clay, trace fine Sand medium stiff moist [Silt]			
-		8	18-20'	1.7	0.0	10	20						
	_					10 13							
-20	725 -									Collected Shelby Tube sample			
-	_	9	20-22'	NA	NA	NA	N						
-	-					10				Grayish brown (2.5Y 5/2) SILT, little - some low plasticity Clay, little fine Sand, soft - medium stiff, moist - wet [Silt].			
-	_	10	22-24'	2.0	0.0	11	22						
						15							
	-					2				Dark grayish brown (2.5Y 4/2) SILT, trace Clay, trace fine Sand, medium stiff, moist - wet [Silt].			
- 25	720 -	11	24-26'	2.0	0.0	2	5						
						5					Borehole tremie-grouted		
	-					5					bentonite-cement grout to grade		
-	-	12	26-28'	1.7	0.0	5	9						
_						3							
	-					8							
-	-	13	28-30'	1.9	0.0	5	13		•				
- 30	716					10							
	113 -					11 11				Silayish brown (2.51 5/2) line - medium SAND, trace fine Gravel, trace Silt, medium dense, wet [Lower Sand and Gravel].			
F	-	14	30-32'	1.1	0.0	15	26						
-	_					18							
						30 30							
-	-	15	32-34'	1.2	0.0	22	42						
-	_					18				Little sub angular fine Gravel 34 - 34,75' bos.			
25		16	34-36'	1.0	0.0	50/4"	N A						
- 35	710 -									End of boring at 34.75' bgs.			
								Rer	narks	AMSL = Above Mean Sea Level; bgs = below ground surface: NA	 \ = Not Applicable/Available; ppm =		
										parts per million; ' = Feet; " = Inches.			
9	Δ	R	C۵	D	S for	sign & Consul natural and	tancy			Northing and easting provided in U.S. survey feet refrenced to Net (NAD83). Elevations are in U.S. survey refrenced to North Ameri	ew York State Plane Central Zone can Vertical Datum of 1988		
						nt assets				(NAVD88).			
Projec	ot: 30	0446	56.710				(	Creat	ted/Edite	d by: EGreen	Date: 8/17/2021		

Data File: PDI-GTB-3.ldat8

Date Drilli Drilli Sam Rig	e Start ing Co er's N ing Mo pling Type:	/Finisompa ame: ethoo Meth	sh: iny: d: iod:		J Tra	P Price and 2" x 2' ack Mount	9 Parratt d M N 4 1/4 Split ted Cl	/28/2 i-Wol leyha I" HS/ Spoo ME-5	0 Norti ff Easti <sup>rt</sup> Casin A Surfa 5 Bore Desc	ning:1530673.418'Well/Boring ID:ing:531985.86'Client: National Gng Elevation:NALocation:ace Elevation:745.233'Location:hole Depth:48.75' bgsFormer MGP Siteriptions By:E GreenGloversville, NY	PDI-GTB-4
Depth (feet bgs)	Elevation (ft AMSL)	Sample Run Number	Sample Interval	Recovery (feet)	PID Headspace (ppm)	Blow Count	N-Value	Analytical Sample	Geologic Column	Stratigraphic Description	Well/Boring Construction
-										Boring hand cleared to 5' bgs.	
-5	740 -	1	5-6'	0.4	0.0	2	N A			Olive brown (2.5Y 4/4) fine SAND, little Silt, trace Woody Orgnaics, loose, moist [Fill].	
-	-	2	6-8'	0.9	20.9, 11.6	8 10 6 6	16			Olive brown (2.5Y 4/4) very fine - fine SAND, little Silt, trace Woody Organics, loose, wet, heavy rainbow sheen, moderate coal tar like odor [Fill]. Wet at 6' bgs. Very dark grayish brown (2.5Y 3/2) fine - coarse SAND, little sub angular	Borehole tremie-grouted
- 10	-	3	8-10'	1.7	16.2, 3.6	3 4 7 7	11			Gravish brown (2.5Y 5/2) SILT, trace Clay, trace fine Sand, very stiff, moist [Sitt]. Light rainbow sheen, light coal tar like odor 8.5-9' bgs.	with bentonite-cement grout to grade
-	735 -	4	10-12'	1.8	10.5, 1.9	4 5 5 8	10			Light rainbow sheen, faint coal tar like odor 10-10.5' bgs.	
-	_	5	12-14'	2.0	11.6, 21.5	6 4 7 6	11			Dark grayish brown (2.5Y 4/2) fine SAND, trace - little sub rounded fine Gravel, loose - medium dense, wet, moderate rainbow sheen, moderate coal tar like odor.	
- 15	- 730 -	6	14-16'	1.8	0.2, 0.0	2 3 5 12	8			Dark grayish brown (2.5Y 4/2) SILT, some fine Sand, trace Clay, wet, medium stiff - stiff, very faint coal tar like odor [Silt]	
9	Α	R	CA	D	S bu	sign & Consul natural and ilt assets	ltancy	Rer	narks:	AMSL = Above Mean Sea Level; bgs = below ground surface; NA parts per million; ' = Feet; " = Inches. Northing and easting provided in U.S. survey feet refrenced to Net (NAD83). Elevations are in U.S. survey refrenced to North Americ (NAVD88).	a = Not Applicable/Available; ppm = ew York State Plane Central Zone can Vertical Datum of 1988
Projec	:t: 30	0446	56.710					Creat	ed/Edite	d by: EGreen	Date: 5/21/2021

Client: National Grid										Well/Boring ID: P	DI-GTB-4
	Site Lo	catio	.n.							Borehole Depth:	48.75' bgs
	Forme	er M	GP Site								
	Hill St Glove	treet	e, NY								
	2.010		-,								
		5			Ê						
s)	(ISL)	umbe	е	(	e (ppi			ple	ш		Woll/Boring
et bg:	(ft An	un N	t/Typ	(feet	Ispac	Ţ		Sam	Colur	Stratigraphic Description	Construction
th (fe	ation	ple F	ıl/əldı	overy	Heac	v Col	alue	lytica	logic		
Dep	Elev	San	San	Rec	뎹립	Blo	²- z	Ana	0 O		
	_					6 10					
-	-	7	16-18'	1.3	0.0	9	19		•		
-						12					
	-					5					
F	-	8	18-20'	1.7	0.0	6	12				
20						9					
	725 -					2					
F	_	9	20-22'	2.0	0.2, 0.4	5	11				
						7					
	-					2				Dark grayish brown (2.5Y 4/2) SILT, little low plasticity Clay, trace fine Sand, stiff, moist, very faint coal tar like odor [Silt].	
-	_	10	22-24'	2.0	0.0	6	13				
						7					
	-					1				Moderate coal tar like odor 24-26' bgs.	
- 25	720 -	11	24-26'	1.9	3.4, 0.9	1	4				
						4					Borehole tremie-grouted
[	-					8				No odor after 26' bgs.	with bentonite-cement
-	_	12	26-28'	2.0	0.0	10	22				grout to grade
						12					
	-					2					
-	_	13	28-30'	1.9	0.0	1	2				
10101						4					
- 30	715 -					2					
ŀ		14	30-32'	2.0	0.0	1	2		•		
	_					1 5					
F	-					8				Very dark grayish brown (2.5Y 3/2) SILT, little - some very fine Sand, trace Clay, soft - medium stiff, moist - wet, no odor [Sill1	
ŀ		15	32-34'	2.0	0.0	8	20			27	
	-					12 10			•		
F	_					5			0°	Very dark grayish brown (2.5Y 3/2) sub angular fine Gravel, little fine - coarse Sand, medium dense, wet.	
- 35		16	34-36'	1.5	0.0	7	14			Very dark grayish brown (2.5Y 3/2) SILT some fine Sand, trace Clay, soft - medium stiff, moist - wet [Silt]	
	/10 -					7					
					1	1 17	<u> </u>	Rer	narks:	AMSL = Above Mean Sea Level; bgs = below ground surface; NA	= Not Applicable/Available; ppm =
C	Λ	D	C٨	וח		sign & Consul	tancy			Northing and easting provided in U.S. survey feet refrenced to Net (NAD83). Elevations are in U.S. survey refrenced to North Americ	w York State Plane Central Zone an Vertical Datum of 1988
	, ,-	UT V			bui	ilt assets				(NAVD88).	
1											
Proje	ct. 20	0446	56 710					Crea	ted/Edito	d hv: EGreen	Date: 5/21/2024
- oje	J. 30	/0440	55.710					JIGS	teu/⊑uite		Date. 5/21/2021

Data File: PDI-GTB-4.ldat8

	Client:	Na	tional G	rid						Well/Boring ID: P	DI-GTB-4
	Sita La	ootic								Borehole Depth:	48.75' bgs
	Forme	er M	GP Site								
	Hill St	treet	≏ NY								
	Clove	I	o, 111					_	1		
		L.			Ê						
s)	(ISN	umbe	ЭС	æ	dd) e			ple	E		Well/Boring
et bg	ו (ft Al	Sun N	nt/Tyl	y (fee	dspac	nut		ll San	Colu	Stratigraphic Description	Construction
pth (fé	vatior	mple	mple/I	cover	) Hea	NO O	Value	alytice	ologic		
Ď	Ше	Sa	Sa	Re	DIG	ă	ź	Αn	ë	No Clav after 36' bos	
						30 10			•		
-	-	17	36-38'	1.9	0.0	11	21		•		Borehole
-	_	_				6			•		tremie-grouted with heatenite company
			00.40			1			•		grout to grade
	-	18	38-40	2.0	0.0	2	3		• • • • •		
- 4 0	705 -	<u> </u>				4					
L		19	40-42'	17	0.0	1	4				
	_		40 42	1.7	0.0	3	-		• • • • •		
-	_	-				7				Grayish brown (2.5Y 5/2) fine - medium Sand, little - some Silt, loose -	
-		20	42-44'	2.0	0.0	5	9			medium dense, wet.	
						4				Sand, medium stiff, moist - wet [Silt].	
F	-					11				Grayish brown (2.5Y 5/2) fine - medium SAND, trace Silt, medium dense, wet [Lower Sand and Gravel]	
- 45	700 -	21	44-46'	0.8	0.0	8	13				
						7					
[	-					30		1			
-	_	22	46-48'	1.5	0.0	50/3"	N A				
	-	23	48-50'	1.0	0.0	30 50/3"	N A			Black Shale Fragments in the shoe of the split spoon sampler 48.75' bgs.	
F	-									End of boring at 48.75' bgs.	
- 50	695 -										
	000										
Ē	-										
ŀ	_										
	-										
-	-										
- 55											
	690 -	1									
				1		1		Rer	marks	AMSL = Above Mean Sea Level; bgs = below ground surface; NA	a = Not Applicable/Available; ppm =
6	•		<b>C</b> ^	יח	C	sign & Consul	tancy			Northing and easting provided in U.S. survey feet refrenced to Net (NAD83). Elevations are in U.S. survey reframed to Neth Ameri	ew York State Plane Central Zone
	7/-		-U			iltassets				(NAVD88).	
Project: 30044656 710 Created/Edited by: EGreen										d bv: EGreen	Date: 5/21/2021
			TD 411								D 0 00

Dat Dril Dril San Rig	ate Start/Finish: rilling Company: Pa riller's Name: J Price and rilling Method: ampling Method: 2" x 2' 5 ig Type: Track Mount								0 Nort ff East Casi Surfa 5 Bore Desc	hing:1530629.0230'Well/Boring ID:ing:531922.8520'Client: National Gng Elevation:NALocation:ace Elevation:744.099'Location:hole Depth:39.25' bgsFormer MGP Siterriptions By:E GreenGloversville, NY	PDI-GTB-5 rid
Depth (feet bgs)	Elevation (ft AMSL)	Sample Run Number	Sample Interval	Recovery (feet)	PID Headspace (ppm)	Blow Count	N-Value	Analytical Sample	Geologic Column	Stratigraphic Description	Well/Boring Construction
-	- 745 -	-									
-	- 740 -									Boring hand cleared to 5' bgs.	
—5 -	-	1	5-6'	0.9	0.0	5 11 8	N A	-		Olive brown (2.5Y 4/4) fine SAND, trace fine Gravel, loose, moist [Fill]. Grayish brown (2.5 Y 5/2) SILT, some low - medium plasticity Clay, trace fine Sand stiff moist [Silt]	
-	-	2	6-8'	1.6	0.0	9 9 12	18	-		nne Ganu, sun, moist ping.	Borehole tremie-grouted with
-	735 -	3	8-10'	1.7	0.0	5 5 5	10			No fine Sand 8-12' bgs. Light black staining, faint coal tar like odor at 8.5' bgs.	bentonite-cement grout to grade
- 10	_	4	10-12'	2.0	0.0	4 4 5 4	9	-		Light rainbow sheen at 11' bgs.	
-	-	5	12-14'	2.0	0.0	4 5 7 7	12	-			
_15	730 -	6	14-16'	NA	NA	NA	N A			Shelby Tube collected 14-16' bgs.	
P		R		D	S bu	r <mark>sign &amp; Consu</mark> rnatural and iit assets	ltancy	Rer	narks:	AMSL = Above Mean Sea Level; bgs = below ground surface; NA parts per million; ' = Feet; " = Inches. Northing and easting provided in U.S. survey feet refrenced to Ne (NAD83). Elevations are in U.S. survey refrenced to North Americ (NAVD88).	= Not Applicable/Available; ppm = w York State Plane Central Zone can Vertical Datum of 1988

(	Client:     National Grid     Well/Boring ID:     PDI-GTB-5       Borehole Depth:     39.25' bgs											
	Site Lo	catio	n.							Borehole Depth:	39.25' bgs	
	Forme	er M	GP Site									
	Hill St	reet										
	Giove	5011	5, IN I	-				_				
					Ê							
	ISL)	Inder	е		ıdd) e			ple	E			
et bgs	(ft AN	nn Nt	t/Typ	(feet)	space	ŧ		Sam	Colun	Stratigraphic Description	Well/Boring Construction	
h (fee	ation	ple R	ple/In	very	Head	v Cou	alue	ytical	ogic (			
Dept	Eleva	Sam	Sam	Reco	I OId	Blow	N-V	Anal	Geol			
	_					4				Grayish brown (2.5Y 5/2) low - medium plasticity SILT and CLAY, little fine Sand, medium stiff, moist - wet [Silt].		
-	-	7	16-18'	1.7	0.0	5	12					
						8						
	-					5						
-	725 -	8	18-20'	2.0	0.0	6	12					
						6 9						
- 20	-					4						
-	_	9	20-22'	2.0	0.0	5	11					
						6						
-	-					3						
Ļ	_	10	22-24'	1.0	0.0	5	12					
						7						
-	720 -	-				4						
- 25		11	24-26'	20	0.0	4	Q					
	_		24-20	2.0	0.0	5					Borehole	
-	-					5					with bentonite-cement	
		10	26.28	20	0.0	5	14				grout to grade	
	-	12	20-20	2.0	0.0	9	14					
-	-					8			••••	Grayish brown (2.5Y 5/2) SILT, little low plasticity Clay, little fine Sand,		
						3	_			stiff, moist - wet [Silt].		
[	715 -	13	28-30	2.0	0.0	4						
- 30	_					5						
						4			• • • • •			
[	-	14	30-32'	1.9	0.0	7	11					
F	-					8				Increased fine Sand contnet 32-32.5' bgs.		
			1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1	22. 7	201.0	5						
ſ	-	15	32-34'	2.0	0.0	4	9					
-	710 -					5						
						9						
35	-	16	34-36'	2.0	0.0	7	16			Grayish (2.5Y 5/2) SILT, some medium plasticity Clay, trace fine Sand, stiff, moist [Silt].		
						8	<b>T</b>					
								Ker	marks:	ANISL = Above Mean Sea Level; bgs = below ground surface; NA parts per million; ' = Feet; " = Inches.	a = NOT Applicable/Available; ppm =	
9	Α	R	CA	D	S for	sign & Consul natural and ilt assets	tancy			Northing and easting provided in U.S. survey feet refrenced to Net (NAD83). Elevations are in U.S. survey refrenced to North Americ (NAVD88).	ew York State Plane Central Zone can Vertical Datum of 1988	
Ļ		_									_	
Proje	ct: 30	0446	56.710	o+9			-	Crea Tom	ted/Edite	d by: E Green	Date: 5/21/2021	

<b>_</b>	lient:	Na	ional G	rid						Well/Boring ID: P	DI-GTB-5
9	ite Lo	catic	n:							Borehole Depth:	39.25' bgs
	Forme	er MO	SP Site								
	Glove	reet rsvill	e, NY								
	SL)	nber			(mqq)			e	_		
t bgs)	ft ams	in Nur	/Type	(feet)	pace	t t		Sampl	columr	Stratigraphic Description	Well/Boring
h (fee	ation (	ple Rı	ple/Int	very (	Heads	v Cour	alue	vtical \$	ogic C		Construction
Dept	Elevi	Sam	Sam	Reco	DIA	Blov	°∧-N	Anal	Geo		
	_					9 30			0.93	Grayish brown (2.5Y 5/2) sub angular - sub rounded fine GRAVEL, some	
F	-	17	36-38'	2.0	0.0	20	59			fine - coarse Sand, trace Silt, loose, wet [Lower Sand and Gravel].	Borehole
-	-					8				Grayish brown (2.5Y 5/2) SILT, little - some fine Sand, trace Clay, trace	tremie-grouted with
L	705	18	38-40'	12	0.0	20	N		==	fine Gravel, soft, wet [Lower Sand and Gravel].	grout to grade
	705 -		56-40	1.2	0.0	50/3"	A			End of boring at 39.25' bgs.	
- 40	-										
-	-										
	_										
-	-										
-	700 -										
_15											
-45	-										
-	-										
-											
Ē	-										
-	695 -										
- 50	_										
F	-										
-											
-											
-	690 -										
- 55	-										
1								Rer	marks:	AMSL = Above Mean Sea Level; bgs = below ground surface; NA parts per million; ' = Feet; " = Inches.	x = Not Applicable/Available; ppm =
G	٨	P	۲۷	יח		sign & Consul	tancy			Northing and easting provided in U.S. survey feet refrenced to Net (NAD83). Elevations are in U.S. survey refrenced to North Americ	ew York State Plane Central Zone can Vertical Datum of 1988
	/-		C-			iltassets				(NAVD88).	
1											
Projec	Project: 30044656.710 C									d by: E Green	Date: 5/21/2021

Dat Dril Dril San Rig	Date Start/Finish: 9/29/20 Drilling Company: Pa Driller's Name: J Price and Drilling Method: 2 Sampling Method: 2" x 2' S Rig Type: Track Mounte								0 Nortl ff Easti <sup>rt</sup> Casin A Surfa 5 Bore Desc	ning:1530599.772'Well/Boring ID:ng:532053.435'Client: National Gng Elevation:NALocation:nole Depth:28' bgsFormer MGP Siteriptions By:E GreenGloversville, NY	PDI-GTB-6 rid
Depth (feet bgs)	Elevation (ft AMSL)	Sample Run Number	Sample Interval	Recovery (feet)	PID Headspace (ppm)	Blow Count	N-Value	Analytical Sample	Geologic Column	Stratigraphic Description	Well/Boring Construction
-	-	-									
-	745 - - -	-								Boring hand cleared to 5' bgs.	
—5 -	-	1	5-6'	0.8	1.9, 0.8	17 6	N A		••••	Dark grayish brown (2.5Y 4/2) SILT, little sub rounded fine Gravel, little Woody Organics, little fine Sand, soft, moist, faint coal tar like odor [Fill].	
-	- 140	2	6-8'	0.0	NA	12 15 18 17	33			No Recovery	Borehole tremie-grouted
-	-	3	8-10'	1.9	1.6, 1.1	4 6 7 9	13			Dark grayish brown (2.5Y 4/2) SILT, some medium plasticity Clay, trace fine Sand, stiff - very stiff, moist, very faint coal tar like odor [Silt]. Wet at 8' bgs.	with bentonite-cement grout to grade
- 10	- 735 -	4	10-12'	1.8	0.2, 0.3	6 10 10 8	20				
-	-	5	12-14'	1.9	4.5, 1.5	5 8 5	13			Very dark grayish brown (2.5Y 3/2) fine - coarse SAND, little Silt, trace fine Gravel, loose - medium dense, moderate rainbow sheen, light black staining, moderate coal tar like odor [Silt].	
- 15	_	6	14-16'	1.2	0.2, 0.1	8 8 10 10 <u>15</u>	20			fine Sand, stiff, moist, faint coal tar like odor [Silt].	
Re ARCADIS Design & Consultancy for natural and built assets										AMSL = Above Mean Sea Level; bgs = below ground surface; NA parts per million; ' = Feet; " = Inches. Northing and easting provided in U.S. survey feet refrenced to Net (NAD83). Elevations are in U.S. survey refrenced to North America (NAVD88).	= Not Applicable/Available; ppm = w York State Plane Central Zone can Vertical Datum of 1988
Proje	ct: 30	0446	56.710					Creat	ed/Edite	d by: E Green	Date: 8/17/2021

Ċ	Client:	Na	ional G	rid						Well/Boring ID: P	DI-GTB-6	
	Site L c	ncatio	n.							Borehole Depth:	28' bgs	
	Forme	er MO	GP Site									
	Hill St Glove	treet rsvill	e, NY									
	$\hat{}$	ber			(udd							
(sɓq	AMSI	MuM r	Type	eet)	ace (I			ample	lumn	And investige Description	Well/Boring	
(feet	tion (fl	le Rur	le/Int/	/ery (f	eadsp	Count	en	tical S	gic Co	Straugraphic Description	Construction	
Depth	Eleva	Samp	Samp	Recov	H CIId	Blow	N-Val	Analy	Geolo			
	730 -					18			020	Grayish brown (2.5Y 5/2) sub rounded fine GRAVEL, little - some fine - coarse Sand, little Silt, loose, wet.		
-	-	7	16-18'	0.9	0.0	10 10	20		č P			
-	_					13			ŏQ,	Gravish brown (2.5Y.5/2) SILT little fine Sand soft - medium stiff wet		
						8				[Sit].		
	-	8	18-20'	0.5	0.0	28	37					
- 20	_					9 10						
-	725 -	9	20-22'	1.0	0.0	11	22		••••	Very dark gravish brown (2.57 3/2) fine - coarse SAND little sub rounded		
						11 15				fine Gravel, loose - medium dense, wet [Lower Sand and Gravel].		
	-					5						
-	-	10	22-24'	1.1	0.0	9 11	20					
-	_					15						
- 25			04.001			13						
25	_	11	24-26	1.0	0.0	10	21				Borehole	
-	720 -					12				Some sub rounded fine Gravel 26-28' bgs.	with bentonite-cement	
-	_	12	26-28'	1.2	0.0	20	41				grout to grade	
_						20						
	-									End of boring at 28' bgs.		
-	-											
- 30	-	-										
-	715											
	/15 -											
	-											
-	_											
-	_											
25												
	-											
	Remarks: AMSL = Above Mean Sea Level; bgs = below ground surface; NA = Not Applicable/Available; ppm = parts per million: ' = Feet: " = Inches.											
9	Δ	R	CA	D	S for	<mark>esign &amp; Consu</mark> r natural and iilt assets	ltancy			Northing and easting provided in U.S. survey feet refrenced to Net (NAD83). Elevations are in U.S. survey refrenced to North Ameri (NAVD88).	ew York State Plane Central Zone can Vertical Datum of 1988	
Proje	ct: 30	0446	56.710					Crea	ted/Edite	d by: E Green	Date: 8/17/2021	

Date Drill Drill San Rig	ate Start/Finish: rilling Company: Pariller's Name: J Price and rilling Method: ampling Method: 2" x 2' 5 ig Type: Track Mount								0 North ff Easti <sup>rt</sup> Casir A Surfa 5 Bore Desc	ing:1530603.142'Well/Boring ID:ng:531971.237'Client: National Gng Elevation:NALocation:ce Elevation:743.675'Location:nole Depth:34'Former MGP Siteriptions By:E GreenGloversville, NY	PDI-GTB-7 rid
Depth (feet bgs)	Elevation (ft AMSL)	Sample Run Number	Sample Interval	Recovery (feet)	PID Headspace (ppm)	Blow Count	N-Value	Analytical Sample	Geologic Column	Stratigraphic Description	Well/Boring Construction
-	- 745 -										
-	- - 740 -									Boring hand cleared to 5' bgs.	
-5	_	1	5-6'	0	NA	7	NA			No Recovery Wet at 6.5' bgs.	
-	_	2	6-8'	1.0	0.0	7 7 8 10	15			Very dark gray (2.5Y 3/1) SILT, some sub rounded Cobble, little medium Sand, loose, wet [Fill]. Very dark gray (2.5Y 3/1) very fine SAND, some Silt, medium dense, wet, moderate organic odor [Silt].	Borehole tremie-grouted
-	735 -	3	8-10'	1.9	0.0	5 7 13 15	20			Dark grayish brown (2.5Y 3/1) SILT, little very fine Sand, little low plasticity Clay, stiff - very stiff, moist, moderate organic odor [Silt].	with bentonite-cement grout to grade
-	_	4	10-12'	1.5	0.0	8 6 6 12	12			Dark grayish brown (2.5Y 2.5Y 4/2) SILT, little - some low - medium plasticity Clay, trace very fine Sand, stiff - very stiff, moist [Silt].	
-	- 730 -	5	12-14'	2.0	0.0	6 6 5 13	11				
- 15	-	6	14-16'	0.6	0.0	11 22 13 8	35			No Clay, little very fine Sand 14-16' bgs.	
Remarks:       AMSL = Above Mean Sea Level; bgs = below ground surface; NA = Not Applicable/Available; ppm : parts per million; ' = Feet; " = Inches.         Northing and easting provided in U.S. survey feet refrenced to New York State Plane Central Zone (NAD83). Elevations are in U.S. survey refrenced to North American Vertical Datum of 1988 (NAVD88).Inches.											

	Client: National Grid Well/Boring ID: PDI-GTB-7 Site Location: Borehole Depth: 34'													
	Site I c	ncatio	m.							Borehole Depth:	34'			
	Forme	er Mo	GP Site											
	Hill St Glove	treet rsvill	e, NY											
							Γ	1						
	_	er			(m									
(sf	(NSL)	Iumb	be	at)	ce (pp			nple	u		Well/Boring			
eet bç	ר (ft A	Run	Int/Ty	y (fee	dspa	onut		al Sar	Colt	Stratigraphic Description	Construction			
pth (f	evatio	mple	mple/	covel	0 Hee	Ŭ No	Value	alytic	ologi					
å	Ш	Sa	Sa	Å	III	ā	ź	An	 ∺⊒:-⊒:-⊒					
Ĺ	-	_	10.10			6								
	_		16-18	1.6	0.0	7	13							
-		<u> </u>				7		-	BBB	No Recovery				
	725 -	-				5								
_		8	18-20'	0	NA	7	12							
- 20	-	<b> </b>				8				Dark gravish brown (2.5V 4/2) SILT little some medium plasticity Clay				
	-					2				stiff, moist [Silt].				
-		9	20-22'	1.6	0.0	7	12							
Ļ	-					5								
	-					5								
-		10	22-24'	1.9	0.0	5	12							
	720 -					7								
[						7								
- 25	-	11	24-26'	1.9	0.0	8	11							
	-	-				3 15					Borehole tremie-grouted			
-						7		1		Dark grayish brown (2.5Y 4/2) fine - coarse SAND (increase grain size with denth) little sub rounded fine Gravel loose - medium dense, wet	with bentonite-cement			
-	-	12	26-28'	1.0	0.0	18	33			[Lower Sand and Gravel].	grout to grade			
	-					15								
-						10				Dark gravish brown (2.5Y 4/2) sub angular fine GRAVEL, little fine -				
	715 -	12	<u>, 18 30</u> ,	0.4	0.0	10	10		60	coarse Sand, loose, wet [Lower Sand and Gravel].				
	_		20-00	0.4	0.0	9	10							
- 30		<u> </u>				10 5				Dark grayish brown (2.5Y 4/2) fine - coarse SAND, little sub rounded fine				
L	-					5				Gravel, loose - medium dense, wet [Lower Sand and Gravel].				
		14	30-32	0.8	0.0	7	12							
-	-	<u> </u>				10	-	-						
	-					7								
		15	32-34'	0.6	0.0	5	12							
-	710 -	<b> </b>				5				End of boring at 34' bas				
	-													
- 35														
[	-	1												
								Rer	marks:	ANISL = Above Mean Sea Level; bgs = below ground surface; NA parts per million; ' = Feet; " = Inches.	x = ivot Applicable/Available; ppm =			
C	•					sign & Consul	ltancy			Northing and easting provided in U.S. survey feet refrenced to Ne	ew York State Plane Central Zone			
	//	K	L L		J for bu	natural and ilt assets				(NAU83). Elevations are in U.S. survey refrenced to North Americ (NAVD88).Inches.	can Vertical Datum of 1988			
Proje	ct: 30 File	0446	56.710	at8				Crea Tem	ted/Edite	d by: E Green	Date: 3/26/2021			
ald	, ne.	. DI-C	נטו. <i>ז</i> -ט ויק	alU				1 CIII	plate. G	. La rando kware Logpior o Templates	Faye. 2012			

Date Drill Drill San Rig	e Start ing Co er's N ing M ipling Type:	t/Finisompa ame: ethoo Meth	sh: iny: d: iod:		J Tra	P Price and 2" x 2' ack Mount	9. d M N 4 1/4 Split 3 ted Cl	/24/2 -Wol eyha " HS Spoo VIE-5	0 Nort ff East t <sup>t</sup> Casi A Surfa 5 Bore Desc	hing:1530552.831'Well/Boring ID:ing:531916.605'Client: National Gng Elevation:NALocation:ace Elevation:742.979Location:hole Depth:40' bgsFormer MGP Siterriptions By:E GreenGloversville, NY	PDI-GTB-8 rid
Depth (feet bgs)	Elevation (ft AMSL)	Sample Run Number	Sample Interval	Recovery (feet)	PID Headspace (ppm)	Blow Count	N-Value	Analytical Sample	Geologic Column	Stratigraphic Description	Well/Boring Construction
-	745 -										
-	- 740 -									Boring hand cleared to 5' bgs.	
-5	-	1	5-6'	0.8	0.0	10	N A		<u></u>	Gray (2.5Y 5/1) SILT, little low plasticity Clay, little very fine Sand, soft - moderately stiff, moist - wet [Fill].	
-	- 725	2	6-8'	0.9	2.2, 2.3	13 13 8 10	21			Olive brown (2:5Y 4/4) fine - medium SAND, little sub rounded fine Gravel, medium dense, moist [Upper Sand and Gravel]. Wet at 6' bgs Moderate rainbow sheen and moderate petroleum like odor 6-8' bgs.	Borehole tremie-grouted
- 10		3	8-10'	0.7	0.0	16 10 12 11	22			Olive brown (2.5Y 4/4) fine - coarse SAND, trace fine Gravel, trace Silt, loose, wet, very light rainbow sheen, no odor [Upper Sand and Gravel]. Very dark gray (2.5Y 4/2) SILT, little medium plasticity Clay, trace very fine Sand, stiff, moist [Silt].	with bentonite-cement grout to grade
-	-	4	10-12'	1.7	0.0	8 9 9 12	18			Little very fine Sand 10-12' bgs	
-	730 -	5	12-14'	0.8	1.8, 2.7	21 22 19 20	41		0000	Very dark gray (2.5Y 4/2) fine - coarse SAND, some sub rounded fine Gravel, trace Silt, loose, wet, moderate rainbow sheen, moderate coal tar like odor [Lower Sand and Gravel].	
- 15	-	6	14-16'	0.9	0.4, 0.9	13 9 9	18			Grayish brown (2.5Y 5/2) SILT, some fine - medium Sand, little sub rounded fine Gravel, soft - moderately stiff, wet, very light rainbow sheen, no odor [Lower Sand and Gravel]. Very dark grayish brown (2.5Y 3/2) fine - coarse SAND, little sub rounded fine Gravel, medium dense, wet, very light rainbow sheen, no odor [Lower Sand and Gravel].	
Proio	A	R	<b>6</b> 710	D	S bu	sign & Consul natural and lit assets	ltancy	Rer	narks:	AMSL = Above Mean Sea Level; bgs = below ground surface; NA parts per million; ' = Feet; " = Inches. Northing and easting provided in U.S. survey feet refrenced to Ne (NAD83). Elevations are in U.S. survey refrenced to North Americ (NAVD88).	= Not Applicable/Available; ppm = w York State Plane Central Zone can Vertical Datum of 1988 Date: 2/15/2021

C	Client: National Grid Well/Boring ID: PDI-GTB-8 Site Location: Borehole Depth: 40' bgs													
	ite I c	catio	n.							Borehole Depth:	40' bgs			
	Forme	er MO	SP Site											
	Hill St Glove	reet rsvill	e, NY											
	$\widehat{}$	ber			(mqc									
(sɓq	AMSI	Num	Type	eet)	ace (I			ample	umn		Well/Boring			
(feet	ion (ft	le Run	le/Int/	ery (fi	eadsp	Count	ne	ical S	gic Cc	Stratigraphic Description	Construction			
Depth	Elevat	Samp	Samp	Recov	H OId	Blow	N-Val	Analyt	Geolo					
						26								
-	-	7	16-18'	0.9	0.0	18 10	28							
_	725 -					5								
						5				Very dark grayish brown (2.5Y 3/2) fine - medium SAND, loose, wet, moderate rainbow sheen, moderate coal tar like odor [Lower Sand and				
-	-	8	18-20'	0.6	1.9, 4.1	5 8	13			Gravelj.				
_ 20	_					6								
- 20						3				Grayish brown (2.5Y 5/2) fine - coarse SAND, little sub rounded fine Gravel, loose - moderately dense, wet, faint coal tar like odor [Lower Sand				
-	-	9	20-22'	1.2	0.4, 3.6	2	4			and Gravel]. Light rainbow sheen 21-24' bgs				
						2 5								
						8								
-	720 -	10	22-24'	1.9	0.9, 1.4	9	17							
						12								
						8				Grayish brown (2.5Y 5/2) medium SAND, trace fine Gravel, loose, medium dense, wet, light rainbow sheen, faint coal tar lik odor [Lower				
- 25	-	11	24-26'	0.7	1.4, 1.8	8 18	26			Sand and Gravel].				
						12					Borehole tremie-grouted			
_						10					with bentonite-cement grout to grade			
-	-	12	26-28'	2.0	1.7, 11.4	11 11	22			Heavy rainbow sheen, moderately strong coal tar like odor 27-28'	grout to grade			
	715					13				bgs				
	125					9				Gravish brown (2.5Y 5/2) fine - coarse SAND, little sub rounded fine Gravel, loose - medium dense, wet, very light rainbow sheen, no odor				
-	-	13	28-30'	1.4	0.0	11 11	22			[Lower Sand and Gravel].				
20						18								
- 50						21								
-	-	14	30-32'	2.0	0.2	15 11	26							
	_					15								
						9				No impacts after 32' bgs.				
-	710 -	15	32-34'	1.4	0.0	5	11							
						5								
	_					18	_							
— 35		16	34-36'	1.4	0.0	16 8	24							
						8								
								Rer	narks:	AMSL = Above Mean Sea Level; bgs = below ground surface; NA parts per million; ' = Feet; " = Inches.	= Not Applicable/Available; ppm =			
9	Α	R	CA	D	S for	sign & Consult natural and ilt assets	ancy			Northing and easting provided in U.S. survey feet refrenced to Ne (NAD83). Elevations are in U.S. survey refrenced to North Americ (NAV/D88)	w York State Plane Central Zone can Vertical Datum of 1988			
per d														
Projec	et: 30	0446	56.710				Ċ	Creat	ed/Edite	d by: EGreen	Date: 2/15/202			

	Client:	lient: National Grid Well/Boring ID: PDI-GTB-8 Borehole Depth: 40' bgs											
	Site Lo	catio	on:							Borehole Depth:	40' bgs		
	Forme	er Mo	GP Site										
	Glove	rsvill	e, NY										
					ê								
<i>(</i>	(ISI	umber	e	0	ndq) ə			ple	u		)A/oll/Device		
set bg:	ון AN	Run N	Int/Typ	y (feet	dspace	nut		al Sam	: Colur	Stratigraphic Description	Construction		
epth (f	evatio	ample	ample/	ecover	D Hea	low CC	-Value	alytic	eologic				
ă	Ξ	ů	ů	Ř	Ē	8 8	Ż	Ā	Ō				
-	-	17	36-38'	1.6	0.0	8	16						
	705 -					0 13					Borehole tremie-grouted with		
						10 10					bentonite-cement grout to grade		
F	_	18	38-40'	2.0	0.0	20	30						
- 40	-					25			·····	End of boring at 40' bgs.			
-	-												
_	_												
	700 -												
-	-												
- 45	-												
	_												
-	695 —												
-	-												
- 50	-												
	_												
F													
-	690 -												
-	-												
EF													
<b></b>													
		1			I	1		Rer	narks:	AMSL = Above Mean Sea Level; bgs = below ground surface; NA	= Not Applicable/Available; ppm =		
			~-	-		sign & Const	Itaneu			Northing and easting provided in U.S. survey feet refrenced to Net	ew York State Plane Central Zone		
6	A	R	CA	D	5 for	r natural and iilt assets	concy			(NAD83). Elevations are in U.S. survey refrenced to North Americ (NAVD88).	can Vertical Datum of 1988		
Proje	ct: 30	t: 30044656.710 Created/Edited by: EGreen Date: 2/15/2021											

Date Drill Drill Sam Rig	Date Start/Finish: Drilling Company: Pa Driller's Name: J Price and Drilling Method: 2 Sampling Method: 2" x 2' S Rig Type: Track Mounte								0 Norti ff Easti <sup>rt</sup> Casin A Surfa 5 Bore Desc	ning:1530565.037 rg:Well/Boring ID:Fng:532125.41 NAClient:National Grng Elevation:746.493 39.25'Location:hole Depth:39.25' Gloversville, NYFormer MGP Site Hill Street Gloversville, NY	PDI-GTB-9 rid
Depth (feet bgs)	Elevation (ft AMSL)	Sample Run Number	Sample Interval	Recovery (feet)	PID Headspace (ppm)	Blow Count	N-Value	Analytical Sample	Geologic Column	Stratigraphic Description	Well/Boring Construction
0	1										
-	- 745 -									Boring hand cleared to 5' bgs.	
—5 -	- 740	1	5-6'	0.7	0.0	6 4 10	N A			Dark brown (10YR 3/3) SILT, some Woody Debris, loose, moist, moderate organic odor [Fill]. Dark greenish gray (GLEY1 4/1) very fine - fine SAND, little Silt, loose, moist [Fill].	
-	- 140	2	6-8'	1.2	0.0	7 7 15	14			Very dark greenish gray (GLEY1 3/1) SILT, little low plasticity Clay, moderately stiff - stiff, wet [Silt] Wet at 6' bgs.	Borehole tremie-grouted with
-	-	3	8-10'	1.2	0.0	8 10 15 15	25			Very dark greenish gray (GLEY1 3/1) Sic1, some very fine - fine Sand, nute woody Organics, soft - medium stiff, moist [Silt]. Pale brown (10YR 6/3) Cobble, hard moist [Lower Sand and Gravel] Very dark greenish gray (GLEY1 3/1) fine - coarse SAND, little sub rounded fine Gravel, dense, wet [Lower Sand and Gravel].	bentonite-cement grout to grade
- 10	- 735 -	4	10-12'	1.5	0.0	11 6 5	11			Dark greenish gray (GLEY1 4/1) fine SAND, little Silt, little medium - coarse Sand, trace Coble, dense, moist - wet [Lower Sand and Gravel].	
-	_	5	12-14'	1.5	0.0	7 10 9 9 18	18			wet [Lower Sand and Gravel]. Trace sub rounded fine Gravel 12-14' bgs.	
- 15	_	6	14-16'	1.9	0.0	3 4 4 6	8			Dark grayish brown (2.5 Y 4/2) fine - coarse SAND (becomes coarser with depth), trace fine Gravel, trace Cobble, medium dense, wet [Lower Sand and Gravel].	
		R		D	S	<mark>sign &amp; Consul</mark> natural and lit assets	ltancy	Rer	narks:	AMSL = Above Mean Sea Level; bgs = below ground surface; NA parts per million; ' = Feet; " = Inches. Northing and easting provided in U.S. survey feet refrenced to Ne (NAD83). Elevations are in U.S. survey refrenced to North Americ (NAVD88).	= Not Applicable/Available; ppm = w York State Plane Central Zone an Vertical Datum of 1988

0	Client:	DI-GTB-9									
										Borehole Depth:	39.25'
	Site Lo	ocatio									
	Hill St	treet	ST SILE								
	Glove	rsville	e, NY								
					(						
	ĴĽ)	nber			udd)			e	_		
(sɓq	AMS	Nur ר	Type	eet)	ace			ampl	olumr	Chatiman bia Daga sintian	Well/Boring
(feet	ion (fl	e Rui	e/Int/	ery (f	eadsp	Coun	e	ical S	jic C	Strangraphic Description	Construction
bepth	levat	ampl	ampl	tecov	Й	3low (	Valı	nalyt	jeolo <u>ç</u>		
	ш	S	S	Ľ	<u> </u>	9		∢	••••••		
L	730 -	7	16 18	1.65	0.0	9	20				
	-	ŕ	10-10	1.05	0.0	20	20		०४०४०	Very dark greenish brown (2.5Y 3/2) fine - coarse sub rounded GRAVEL,	
-						15 34			<u>°0°0</u> 9	Ittle Coarse Sand, trace Cobble, dense, wet, [Lower Sand and Gravel]. Dark grayish brown (2.5 Y 4/2) fine - coarse SAND, trace fine Gravel,	
	-					24				trace Cobble, dense, wet [Lower Sand and Gravel].	
-	_	8	18-20'	2.0	0.0	14	38				
- 20						18					
	-					15 18					
-	705 -	9	20-22'	1.7	0.0	18	36			Very dark groepich grov (CLEV1.2(4) cub ongular, ongular fina CRAVEL	
_	725 -					19				little fine Sand, loose - medium dense, wet [Lower Sand and Grave]].	
	-					12				Dark grayish brown (2.5Y 4/2) fine - coarse SAND, trace fine Gravel, trace Cobble, desne - very dense, wet [Lower Sand and Gravel].	
-		10	22-24'	1.9	0.0	50/3"	N A				
_	-										
	_					15					
- 25		11	24-26'	1.9	0.0	18	33				
	-					15			• •	Very dark grayish brown (2.5Y 3/2 coarse SAND, little sub angular - sub rounded fine Gravel, medium dense, wet [Lower Sand and Gravel].	Borehole tremie-grouted
-	720 -					16				Dark grayish brown (2.5Y 3/2) fine - coarse SAND, trace fine Gravel, dense, wet II ower Sand and Gravel]	with bentonite-cement
-	120	12	26-28'	1.9	0.0	18	44				grout to grade
	-					26 27				Increased sub rounded fine Gravel content 27.5 - 30' bgs.	
-	16-1					5					
_		13	28-30'	1.4	0.0	8	18				
	-					10					
- 30						10					
_	-	1	30 30'	12	0.0	15	21				
	715 -	14	JU-JZ	1.3	0.0	16					
-						20					
	-		1000 C 100		201.0	22					
_	-	15	32-34'	1.8	0.0	26	48			Dark gravish brown (2.5Y 4/2) coarse SAND, little sub rounded fine	
-						28			••	Gravel, wet, dense [Lower Sand and Gravel].	
	-					11   11				Gravel, dense, wet [Lower Sand anf Gravel].	
- 35	1.000	16	34-36'	1.9	0.0	12	23				
	-					25	<u> </u>		•••••		
								Rer	marks:	AMSL = Above Mean Sea Level; bgs = below ground surface; NA parts per million; ' = Feet; " = Inches.	A = Not Applicable/Available; ppm =
9	Δ	R	CA	DI	S	sign & Consul natural and ilt assets	ltancy			Northing and easting provided in U.S. survey feet refrenced to Net (NAD83). Elevations are in U.S. survey refrenced to North America (NAVD82)	ew York State Plane Central Zone can Vertical Datum of 1988
Proje	ct: 30	0446	56.710					Crea	ted/Edite	d by: EGreen	Date: 2/16/2021
Data	<b>-</b>						2	T		Div(14) Declavere)   comfet 8 Templates	D

-	Client:     National Grid     Well/Boring ID:     PDI-GTB-9       Site Location:     Borehole Depth:     39.25'										
	Site Lo	ocatio	on:							Borehole Depth:	39.25'
	Forme	er Mo	GP Site								
	Glove	rsvill	e, NY								
					Ê						
s)	(ISM	Inmbei	be	()	ce (ppr			Jple	ш		Well/Boring
feet bg	n (ft A	Run	/Int/Ty	rry (fee	adspac	ount	0	al San	ic Colu	Stratigraphic Description	Construction
Depth (	Elevatic	Sample	Sample	Recove	olD He	Blow O	N-Valu	Analytic	Geolog		
	710 -	0)	0)	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	20		4			
-	_	17	36-38'	2.0	0.0	26 19	45				Borehole
-						18 20		-			tremic-grouted with bontonite company
-	-	18	38-40'	2.0	0.0	48	N				grout to grade
40	-					50/3"				End of boring at 39.25' bgs.	
10	-	-									
-	705 -	-									
-	_										
-											
-	-										
-45	-										
	-										
	700 -										
-	_										
-	_										
-											
- 50	_										
_	-										
	695 <b>—</b>										
-	_										
-											
- 55	_										
	-						 				
								Ker	narks:	ANISL = Above Mean Sea Level; bgs = below ground surface; NA parts per million; ' = Feet; " = Inches.	x = ινοτ Applicable/Available; ppm =
9	A	R	CA	D	S	esign & Consu r natural and iilt assets	ltancy			Northing and easting provided in U.S. survey feet refrenced to Net (NAD83). Elevations are in U.S. survey refrenced to North Ameri (NAVR88)	ew York State Plane Central Zone can Vertical Datum of 1988
	0.7									(1997). (1997)	
Ļ		0.1.12	F0 710					0.		dhuu Foreau	
Proje	ect: 30	<i>i</i> U446	ob./10					∪rea	ted/Edite	a by: EGreen	Date: 2/16/2021

Date Drill Drill San Rig	ate Start/Finish: 10/07/20 rilling Company: P riller's Name: J Price and rilling Method: ampling Method: 2" x 2' ig Type: Track Mount								0 Norti ff Easti <sup>rt</sup> Casin A Surfa 5 Bore Desc	ning:1530429.379' 531824.152'Well/Boring ID:Fng:531824.152' S31824.152'Client:National Grng Elevation:744.715' 20' bgsLocation:hole Depth:20' bgs E GreenFormer MGP Site Hill Street Gloversville, NY	'DI-GTB-10 <sup>id</sup>
Depth (feet bgs)	Elevation (ft AMSL)	Sample Run Number	Sample Interval	Recovery (feet)	PID Headspace (ppm)	Blow Count	N-Value	Analytical Sample	Geologic Column	Stratigraphic Description	Well/Boring Construction
-	- - 745 -	-									
-	- - 740 -	-								Boring hand cleared to 5' bgs.	
-5	-	1	5-6'	1.0	0.0	13 6	N A			Light yellowish brown (2.5Y 6/3) fine SAND, little Silt, trace fine Gravel, loose - medium dense, moist [Fill].	
-	-	2	6-8'	1.6	0.0	10 10 15 21	25			Gray (2.5Y 5/1) SILT, little low - medium plasticity Clay (Increasing clay content with depth), trace fine Sand, medium stiff, moist [Silt]. Wet at 7' bgs.	Borehole tremie-grouted
-	- 735 -	3	8-10'	0.0	NA	8 8 13 11	21			No Recovery	bentonite-cement grout to grade
-	-	4	10-12'	0.4	0.0	13 12 50/2"	N A	-		Olive brown (2.5Y 4/4) fine SAND, little rounded fine Gravel, loose, moist [Lower Sand and Gravel]. Very dark grayish brown (2.5Y 3/2) fine - coarse SAND, some sub rounded - sub angular fine Gravel, little Silt, loose - medium dense, moist - wet [Lower Sand and Gravel].	
-	-	5	12-14'	0.0	NA	NA	N A			No Recovery	
- 15	730 -	6	14-16'	0.8	0.0	8 18 19 15	37			Dark reddish brown (2.5Y 4/2) fine SAND, little Silt, little sub angular fine Gravel, medium dense, wet [Lower Sand and Gravel].	
9		R		D	S	rign & Consul raturaland iit assets	ltancy	Rer	narks:	AMSL = Above Mean Sea Level; bgs = below ground surface; NA parts per million; ' = Feet; " = Inches. Northing and easting provided in U.S. survey feet refrenced to New (NAD83). Elevations are in U.S. survey refrenced to North Americ (NAVD88).Inches.	<ul> <li>Not Applicable/Available; ppm =</li> <li>w York State Plane Central Zone an Vertical Datum of 1988</li> </ul>

	Client:	Na	tional G	rid						Well/Boring ID: P	DI-GTB-10
	Site Lo	ocatio	on:							Borehole Depth:	20' bgs
	Form	er Mo	GP Site								
	Glove	ersvill	e, NY								
Γ					(L						
s)	(ISN	umber	ЭС	(1	nqq) ə			ple	ш		Well/Boring
eet bg:	ו (ft Al	Run N	Int/Typ	y (feet	dspac	ount		al Sam	: Colur	Stratigraphic Description	Construction
epth (f	evatio	ample	ample/	ecover	D Hea	low CC	-Value	alytic	eologia		
ă	ū	Š	ŝ	ž	Ē	23	Ż	Ā	ق مکر	Dark gravish brown (2.5Y 4/2) sub rounded - sub angular fine GRAVEL,	
-	-	7	16-18'	1.4	0.0	21	36			some nne - coalse Sand, medium dense, wet [Lower Sand and Graver].	
	-					20					Borehole tremie-grouted with
	-					11 11			β Ω		bentonite-cement grout to grade
F	725 -	8	18-20'	0.6	NA	15	26				
- 20	125 -					10				End of boring at 20' bgs.	
ŀ	-	-									
Ļ	-	-									
	-	-									
-	_										
ŀ											
- 25	720 -	-									
	-	-									
	-										
-											
-											
ŀ	-										
- 30	715 -	-									
	-	-									
F	_										
-											
ŀ	-										
Ļ	-	-									
25	710 -	-									
<b>-</b> 35	-										
		1				<u> </u>		Rer	narks:	AMSL = Above Mean Sea Level; bgs = below ground surface; NA	A = Not Applicable/Available; ppm =
6		R	CA	D	S	e <mark>sign &amp; Consu</mark> r natural and ilt assets	ltancy			Northing and easting provided in U.S. survey feet refrenced to Net (NAD83). Elevations are in U.S. survey refrenced to North Ameri (NAVD88).Inches.	ew York State Plane Central Zone can Vertical Datum of 1988
Proj	ect: 30	00446	56.710				(	Crea	ted/Edite	d by: E Green	Date: 2/17/2021

Data File: PDI-GTB-10.Idat8
Date Drill Drill San Rig	e Start ling Co ler's N ling M npling Type:	t/Fini ompa ame: ethoo Meth	sh: iny: d: nod:		J F Tra	P Price and 2" x 2' ick Mount	10 Parratt D Sh 4 1/4 Split ted C	/07/2 erma I" HS Spoo ME-5	0 North ff Easti A Casin 5 Bore Desc	ning:1530859.243'Well/Boring ID:ing:531899.85'Client: National Gng Elevation:750.195Location:ace Elevation:748.195Location:hole Depth:14' bgsFormer MGP Siteriptions By:E GreenGloversville, NY	PDI-MW-1 rid
Depth (feet bgs)	Elevation (ft AMSL)	Sample Run Number	Sample Interval	Recovery (feet)	PID Headspace (ppm)	Blow Count	N-Value	Analytical Sample	Geologic Column	Stratigraphic Description	Well/Boring Construction
-	-										Locking J-Plug
-	750 - - - -	-								Boring hand cleared to 5' bgs.	2" Sch 40 PVC Riser (2' ags - 5.5' bgs) Bentonite Seal (0-3.5' bgs)
-5	745 -	5 - 1 5-6' 1.0 0.0 3 - 7								Olive brown (2.5Y 4/4) fine SAND, some Silt, trace Woody Organics, trace fine Gravel, loose, moist [Fill].	
-	_	2	6-8'	1.4	0.7, 1.8	7 15 10 10	25			Light olive brown (2.5Y 5/4) fine - medium SAND, loose - medium dense, wet [Upper Sand and Gravel]. Wet at 5.5' bgs. Very dark grayish brown (2.5Y 3/2) fine - coarse SAND, some sub rounded - sub angular fine Gravel, loose - medium dense, wet, very light black staining, faint coal tar like odor [Upper Sand and Gravel].	#1 Silica Sand Pack (3.5-10.5' bgs) 2'' Sch 40 PVC 0.020'' Slot
- 10		3	8-10'	0.5	0.0	31 20 50/3"	N A			Dark grayish brown (2.5Y 4/2) fine - medium SAND, little sub rounded fine Gravel, little Silt, loose, wet [Upper Sand and Gravel].	Screen (5.5-10.5' bgs)
-	- 12	4	10-12'	1.4	0.0	11 12 5 6	17	-		Dark grayish brown (2.5Y 4/2) SILT, little medium plasticity Clay, trace fine Sand, stff - very stiff, moist [Silt].	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
-	-	5	12-14'	2.0	0.0	10 10 11 15	21			End of boring at 14' bas.	Sump (10.5-12.5' bgs) Bentonite backfill (12.5-14' bgs)
- 15	735 -										
Proje	A	<b>R</b>	56.710	D	S Been	<mark>sign &amp; Consu</mark> natural and it assets	ltancy	Rer	narks:	ags = above ground surface; AMSL = Above Mean Sea Level; bg Applicable/Available; ppm = parts per million; ' = Feet; " = Inches Northing and easting provided in U.S. survey feet refrenced to Net (NAD83). Elevations are in U.S. survey refrenced to North Americ (NAVD88).	s = below ground surface; NA = Not

Date Drill Drill San Rig	e Star ling C ler's N ling M npling Type:	t/Fini ompa lame: letho Meth	sh: ıny: d: ıod:		J F Tra	F Price and 2" x 2' ack Moun	10 D Shi 4 1/4 Split ted Cl	/07/2 erma I" HS Spoo ME-5	0 Norti ff Easti <sup>n</sup> Casin A Surfa 5 Bore Desc	ning:1530837.956'Well/Boring ID:ng:531883.42'Client: National Gng Elevation:750.899'Location:ice Elevation:748.899Location:hole Depth:14' bgsFormer MGP Siteriptions By:E GreenGloversville, NY	PDI-MW-2 irid
Depth (feet bgs)	Elevation (ft AMSL)	Sample Run Number	Sample Interval	Recovery (feet)	PID Headspace (ppm)	Blow Count	N-Value	Analytical Sample	Geologic Column	Stratigraphic Description	Well/Boring Construction
-	-	-									Locking J-Plug
-	750 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -									Boring hand cleared to 5' bgs.	2" Sch 40 PVC Riser (2' ags - 5.5' bgs) Bentonite Seal (0-3.5' bgs)
-5	745 -	1	5-6'	0.6	0.6	4 2 4 4	N A	-		Gray (2.5Y 5/1) fine SAND, little Silt, little Woody Debris, trace fine Gravel, loose - medium dense, moist, faint coal tar like odor [Fill]. Light olive brown (2.5Y 5/3) fine SAND, trace fine Gravel, loose - medium dense, wet [Upper Sand and Gravel].	#1 Silica Sand
-	-	3	6-8' 8-10'	0.7	0.2, 0.4	5 5 12 13 21	9 25	_		Wet at 6' bgs. Dark gray (2.5Y 4/1) medium plasticity SILT and CLAY, little sub rounded fine Gravel, little fine Sand, soft, moist - wet [Silt]	Pack (3.5-10.5' bgs) 2' Sch 40 PVC 0.020' Slot Screen (5.5-10.5' bgs)
-	740 -	4	10-12'	1.4	0.0	5 5 7 9	12	-		Dark grayish brown (2.5Y 4/2) SILT, some fine Sand, trace Clay, soft, moist [Silt]. Dark grayish brown (2.5Y 4/2) SILT, trace Clay, trace fine Sand, very stiff, moist [Silt].	Bentonite - Cement Grout (10.5-12.5' bgs)
-		5	12-14'	2.0	0.0	15 15 19 21	34	-		End of boring at 14' bos	22" Sch 40 PVC Sump (10-12" bgs) Bentonite backfill (12.5-14" bgs)
- 15	- 7 <u>35 -</u>										
9	Δ	R	C	D	S B	<mark>sign &amp; Consu</mark> natural and lit assets	iltancy	Rer	narks:	ags = above ground surface; AMSL = Above Mean Sea Level; bg Applicable/Available; ppm = parts per million; ' = Feet; " = Inches Northing and easting provided in U.S. survey feet refrenced to Ne (NAD83). Elevations are in U.S. survey refrenced to North Ameri (NAVD88).	s = below ground surface; NA = Not s. ew York State Plane Central Zone can Vertical Datum of 1988
Proje	ct: 30	00446	56.710				_	Creat	ed/Edite	d by: E Green	Date: 2/17/2021

Dat Dril Dril San Rig	e Start ling Co ler's N ling M npling Type:	/Finisompa ame: ethoo Meth	sh: iny: d: iod:		J F Tra	P Price and 2" x 2' ack Mount	10. Parratt D She 4 1/4 Split t ted Cl	/08/2 -Wol erma " HS, Spoo VIE-5	0 North ff Easti <sup>n</sup> Casin A Surfa 5 Bore Desc	hing:1530789.824'Well/Boring ID:ing:531970.578'Client: National Gng Elevation:750.239Location:ace Elevation:748.239'Location:hole Depth:16' bgsFormer MGP Siteriptions By:E GreenGloversville, NY	PDI-MW-3 <sup>Irid</sup>
Depth (feet bgs)	Elevation (ft AMSL)	Sample Run Number	Sample Interval	Recovery (feet)	PID Headspace (ppm)	Blow Count	N-Value	Analytical Sample	Geologic Column	Stratigraphic Description	Well/Boring Construction
-	-										Locking J-Plug
-	750 - - - -									Boring hand cleared to 5' bgs.	2" Sch 40 PVC Riser (2' ags - 3' bgs) Bentonite Seal (0-3' bgs)
-5	745 -	1	5-6'	0.9	21.5	1	N A	-	H H H	Dark olive brown (2.5Y 3/3) fine SAND, some Silt, little Woody Organics, loose, moist, heavy black staining, strong coal tar like odor from 5.5 - 6' bgs [Fill].	
-	-	2	6-8'	0.8	20.4, 5.8	5 8 8 13	16			Dark olive brown (2.5Y 3/3) SILT, some fine Sand, trace Woody Organics, soft, wet, heavy black staining, moderate rainbow sheen, strong coal tar like odor [Fil]. Wet at 6.5' bgs.	2" Sch 40 PVC 0.020" Slot
-	-	3	8-10'	1.3	17.7, 10.7	19 20 15 11	35			Dark grayish brown (2.5Y 4/2) fine - coarse SAND, little sub rounded fine Gravel, loose - medium dense, wet, light - moderate rainbow sheen, faint coal tar like odor [Upper Sand and Gravel].	Screen (3-13' bgs) #1 Silica Sand Pack (3-10' bgs)
- 10	740 - -	4	10-12'	1.1	3.4, 5.8	19 21 20 20	41				
-	-	5	12-14'	1.6	2.8, 2.0	10 15 20 21	35			Grayish brown (2.5Y 5/2) SILT, little - some medium plasticity Clay, trace fine Sand, stiff, moist, faint coal tar like odor, [Silt].	Bentonite - Cement Grout
- 15	- 735 -	6	14-16'	1.6	2.1, 2.4	5 5 4 7	9				(13-15' bgs) 2" Sch 40 PVC Sump (13-15' bgs) Bentonite backfill (15-16' bgs)
Proje	A	<b>R</b>	56.710	D	S Dec	sign & Consu natural and iit assets	ltancy	Rer	narks:	ags = above ground surface; AMSL = Above Mean Sea Level; bg Applicable/Available; ppm = parts per million; ' = Feet; " = Inches Northing and easting provided in U.S. survey feet refrenced to Net (NAD83). Elevations are in U.S. survey refrenced to North Ameri (NAVD88).	s = below ground surface; NA = Not  ew York State Plane Central Zone can Vertical Datum of 1988 Date: 2/17/2021

Dat Dril Dril San Rig	e Start ling Co ler's Na ling Mo npling Type:	/Fini ompa ame: ethoo Meth	sh: iny: d: iod:		J F Tra	P Price and 2" x 2' ck Mount	10 Parratt D Sh 4 1/4 Split ted Cl	/01/2 erma I" HS Spoo ME-5	0 Norti ff Easti <sup>n</sup> Casin Surfa 5 Bore Desc	ning:1530678.72'Well/Boring ID:ng:531996.298'Client: National Gng Elevation:748.397'Location:nce Elevation:746.397'Location:hole Depth:14' bgsFormer MGP Siteriptions By:E GreenGloversville, NY	P <b>DI-MW-4</b> rid
Depth (feet bgs)	Elevation (ft AMSL)	Sample Run Number	Sample Interval	Recovery (feet)	PID Headspace (ppm)	Blow Count	N-Value	Analytical Sample	Geologic Column	Stratigraphic Description	Well/Boring Construction
-	750 -										Locking J-Plug
-	- - 745 -									Boring hand cleared to 5' bgs.	2" Sch 40 PVC Riser (2' ags - 6' bgs) Bentonite Seal (0-4' bgs)
-	-	1	5-6' 6-8'	1.0	0.0, 4.6	8 2 7 5 5 8	N A 10			Olive brown (2.5Y 4/4) fine SAND, trace - little sub angular fine Gravel, medium dense, wet, faint coal tar like odor, light black staining from 5.75 - 6' bgs [Upper Sand and Gravel]. Black (2.5Y 2.5/1) fine - coarse SAND, little sub rounded fine Gravel, loose, wet, heavy black staining, heavy rainbow sheen, strong coal tar like odor, [Upper Sand and Gravel]. Wet at 5' bgs.	#1 Silica Sand Pack (3-10' bgs)
- 10	740 -	3	8-10'	2.0	9.4, 0.3	9 8 8 10 7	16	-		Dark grayish brown (2.5Y 4/2) SILT, little medium plasticity Clay, stiff, moist. Black (2.5Y 2.5/1) fine - coarse SAND, trace fine Gravel, loose, wet, heavy	2" Sch 40 PVC 0.020" Slot Screen (6-11' bgs)
-		4	10-12'	2.0	19.8, 0.6	5 6 7 5 5	9	_		black staining, neavy rainbow sneen, strong coal tar like odor [Upper Sand and Gravel]. Grayish brown (2.5Y 5/2) SILT, little medium plasticity Clay, stiff, moist [SILT].	2" Sch 40 PVC Sump (11-13' bgs) Bentonite - Cement Grout (11-13' bgs)
- 15	735 -	75				4 5		-		End of boring at 14' bgs.	Bentonite backfill (13-14' bgs.)
P		R		D	S bui	s <mark>ign &amp; Consul</mark> natural and It assets	ltancy	Rer	narks:	AMSL = Above Mean Sea Level; bgs = below ground surface; NA parts per million; ' = Feet; " = Inches. Northing and easting provided in U.S. survey feet refrenced to Net (NAD83). Elevations are in U.S. survey refrenced to North Americ (NAVD88).	N = Not Applicable/Available; ppm =

Date Drill Drill San Rig	e Start ing Co er's N ing M opling Type:	/Fini ompa ame: ethoo Meth	sh: any: d: nod:		J F Tra	P Price and 2" x 2' ick Mount	10 Parratt D Sh 4 1/4 Split ted Cl	/01/2 erma I" HS Spoo ME-5	0 North ff Easti A Surfa 5 Bore Desc	ning:1530661.717'Well/Boring ID:ing:531967.308'Client: National Gng Elevation:747.677'Location:ace Elevation:745.677'Location:hole Depth:16' bgsFormer MGP Siteriptions By:E GreenGloversville, NY	PDI-MW-5 rid
Depth (feet bgs)	Elevation (ft AMSL)	Sample Run Number	Sample Interval	Recovery (feet)	PID Headspace (ppm)	Blow Count	N-Value	Analytical Sample	Geologic Column	Stratigraphic Description	Well/Boring Construction
-	750 -										Locking J-Plug
-	-										2'' Sch 40 PVC Riser (2' ags - 3.5' bgs) Bentonite Seal
-	745 -									Boring hand cleared to 5' bgs.	(0-1.5' bgs)
-5	_	1	5-6'	0.5	0.0	10 12	N A			Olive brown (2.5Y 4/4) fine SAND, little Silt, little Woody Organics, loose, moist [Fill].	
-	- 740 -	2	6-8'	0.8	1.0, 7.8	15 10 5 5	15			Grayish brown (2.5Y 5/2) fine - coarse SAND, little - some sub angular fine Gravel, loose, wet, moderate coal tar like odor [Upper Sand and Gravel]. Black (2.5Y 2.5/1) fine - coarse SAND, little - some sub angular fine Gravel, loose, wet, NAPL blebs, heavy rainbow sheen, black staining, moderate coal tar like odor [Upper Sand and Gravel].	#1 Silica Sand Pack (1.5-13.5' bgs)
- 10	-	3	8-10'	0.6	3.1, 2.8	7 19 13 10	32	-		Grayish brown (2.5Y 5/2) fine SAND, little Silt, loose - medium dense, wet, very light rainbow sheen [Upper Sand and Gravel].	2" Sch 40 PVC 0.020" Slot Screen (3.5-13.5" bgs)
-	-	4	10-12'	0.0	NA	23 10 10 13	20			Gravish brown (2.57 5/2) fine medium SAND trace Silt loose medium	
-	735 -	5	12-14'	2.0	0.7, 0.4	9 10 10 13	20			Grayish brown (2.5Y 5/2) SILT, some medium plasticity Clay, trace fine Sand, medium stiff, moist [Silt].	
- 15	-	6	14-16'	2.0	0.0	10 9 9 8	18			End of boring at 16' bgs.	2" Sch 40 PVC Sump (13.5-15.5' bgs) Bentonite - Cement Grout (13.5-15.5' bgs)
Projee	<b>A</b>	<b>R</b>	56.710	D	S bui	sign & Consul natural and It assets	ltancy	Rer	narks:	ags = above ground surface: AMSL = Above Mean Sea Level; bg Applicable/Available; ppm = parts per million; ' = Feet; " = Inches Northing and easting provided in U.S. survey feet refrenced to Net (NAD83). Elevations are in U.S. survey refrenced to North Americ (NAVD88).	s = below ground surface; NA = Not ew York State Plane Central Zone can Vertical Datum of 1988 Date: 3/26/2021

Date Drill Drill San Rig	e Stari ling C ler's N ling M npling Type:	t/Fini ompa lame: etho Meth	sh: any: d: nod:		J I Tra	P Price and 2'' x 2' ack Mount	9 D Shi 4 1/4 Split : ted Cl	/30/2 i-Wol erma I" HS Spoo ME-5	0 North ff Easti n Casin A Surfa 5 Bore Desc	ning:1530635.88'Well/Boring ID:ing:532087.197'Client: National Gng Elevation:749.741'Location:ace Elevation:747.741Location:hole Depth:15' bgsFormer MGP Siteriptions By:E GreenGloversville, NY	PDI-MW-6 irid
Depth (feet bgs)	Elevation (ft AMSL)	Sample Run Number	Sample Interval	Recovery (feet)	PID Headspace (ppm)	Blow Count	N-Value	Analytical Sample	Geologic Column	Stratigraphic Description	Well/Boring Construction
-	- - 750 -	-									Locking J-Plug
-	- - 745 -	-								Boring hand cleared to 5' bgs.	2" Sch 40 PVC Riser (2' ags - 4' bgs) Bentonite Seal (0-2' bgs)
- 5	-	1	5-6' 6-8'	0.9	0.0	6 8 7 14 10	N A 24	-		Dark grayish brown (2.5Y 4/2) fine SAND, little Silt, little Woody Organics, loose, moist [Fill]. Olive brown (2.5Y 4/4) very fine - fine SAND, little Silt, medium dense, moist [Upper Sand and Gravel]. Olive brown (2.5Y 4/4) fine - medium SAND, little sub rounded fine Gravel, loose - medium dense, wet [Upper Sand and Gravel].	#1 Silica Sand Pack (2-9' bgs) 2'' Sch 40 PVC 0.020'' Slot Screen (4-9' bgs)
- 10	- 740 -	3	8-10'	1.4	0.0	6 10 11 12 12 9	23 N	-		Grayish brown (2.5Y 5/2) SILT, little medium plasticity Clay, stiff, moist - wet [Silt]. No Recovery	Bentonite - Cement Grout (9-11' bgs)
-	-	5	10-11'	0	0.0	20 10 11 5 5	A 16	-			2" Sch 40 PVC Sump (9-11' bgs) Bentonite Backfill
- 15	- 735 -	6	13-15'	1.5	0.0	6 8 6 3	14	-		Gray (2.5Y 5/1) SILT, little medium plasticity Clay, trace fine Sand, medium stiff, moist [Silt]. End of boring at 15' bgs.	(11-15' bgs)
P		R		D	S for	sign & Consu rnatural and iilt assets	ltancy	Rer	narks:	ags = above ground surface; AMSL = Above Mean Sea Level; bg Applicable/Available; ppm = parts per million; ' = Feet; " = Inches Northing and easting provided in U.S. survey feet refrenced to Ne (NAD83). Elevations are in U.S. survey refrenced to North Americ (NAVD88).	s = below ground surface; NA = Not s. ew York State Plane Central Zone can Vertical Datum of 1988
Proje	ct: 30	0446	56.710					Creat	ted/Edite	d by: E Green	Date: 3/26/2021

Date Drilli Drilli Sam Rig	e Start ing Co er's N ing M pling Type:	t/Fini ompa lame: ethoo Meth	sh: iny: d: iod:		J F Tra	F Price and 2" x 2' ack Moun	10 D Sh 4 1/4 Split ted Cl	/01/2 erma I" HS Spoo ME-5	0 Norti ff East <sup>n</sup> Casi A Surfa 5 Bore Desc	hing:1530593.377'Well/Boring ID:ing:532142.114Client: National Gng Elevation:749.883Location:ace Elevation:747.883Location:hole Depth:12' bgsFormer MGP Sitecriptions By:E GreenGloversville, NY	PDI-MW-7 rid
Depth (feet bgs)	Elevation (ft AMSL)	Sample Run Number	Sample Interval	Recovery (feet)	PID Headspace (ppm)	Blow Count	N-Value	Analytical Sample	Geologic Column	Stratigraphic Description	Well/Boring Construction
-	- 750 -	-									Locking J-Plug
-	-	-								Boring hand cleared to 5' bgs.	2" Sch 40 PVC Riser (2' ags - 5' bgs) Bentonite Seal (0-3' bgs)
-5	745 -	1	5-6'	0.7	0.0	5	NA		т. т. <u>-</u>	Dark olive brown (2.5Y 3/3) fine SAND, little - some Silt, little Woody Organics, loose, moist [Fill].	
-	-	2	6-8'	0.7	0.0	9 9 5 10	14	-		Gravish brown (2.5Y 5/2) fine SAND, little Silt, trace fine Gravel, soft, wet [Upper Sand and Gravel]. Dark gravish brown (2.5Y 4/2) SILT, some medium plasticity Clay, trace fome Sand, stiff, moist [Silt]. Wet at 5.5' bgs.	#1 Silica Sand Pack (3-10' bgs) 2'' Sch 40 PVC 0.020'' Slot Screen (5-10' bgs)
- 10	10           3         8-10'         2.0         0.0         9           740         17         17						17				
-	-	4	10-12'	2.0	0.0	NA	N A	-		End of boring at 12' bos	Bentonite - Cement Grout (10-12' bgs) 2'' Sch 40 PVC Sump (10-12'
-	-	-									bgs)
- 15	735 -	_									
9	Δ	R		D	S	esign & Consu r natural and nilt assets	iltancy	Rer	narks:	ags = above ground surface; AMSL = Above Mean Sea Level; bg: Applicable/Available; ppm = parts per million; ' = Feet; " = Inches Northing and easting provided in U.S. survey feet refrenced to Ne (NAD83). Elevations are in U.S. survey refrenced to North Americ (NAVD88).	s = below ground surface; NA = Not w York State Plane Central Zone can Vertical Datum of 1988
Projec	:t: 30	0446	56.710					Creat	ed/Edite	d by: E Green	Date: 2/17/2021

Data File: PDI-MW-7.Idat8

Template: G:\Div11\Rockware\Logplot 8 Templates

	Date S Drilling Driller Drilling Sampl Rig Ty	Start/ g Co 's Na g Me ling l /pe:	/Finis ompa ame: ethoo Meth	sh: iny: d: nod:		J F Tra	P Price and 2" x 2' ack Mount	10 Parratt D Sh 4 1/4 Split ted C	/02/2 erma I" HS Spoo ME-5	0 Nort ff East n Casi A Surfa 5 Bore Desc	hing:1530569.28'Well/Boring ID:ing:532127.616'Client: National Gng Elevation:749.481'Location:ace Elevation:747.481Location:hole Depth:10' bgsFormer MGP Sitetriptions By:E GreenGloversville, NY	PDI-MW-8 rid
	Lepur (reer bgs)	Elevation (ft AMSL)	Sample Run Number	Sample Interval	Recovery (feet)	PID Headspace (ppm)	Blow Count	N-Value	Analytical Sample	Geologic Column	Stratigraphic Description	Well/Boring Construction
	7. 0 7. 5 7. 7. 7. 7. 7. 7. 7. 7. 7. 7. 7. 7. 7.	<b>u</b> - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	1 3	5-6' 6-8' 8-10'	0.8 1.3 1.0	0.0	8 5 10 10 12 14 7 13 14 16	<ul> <li>N</li> <li>A</li> <li>14</li> <li>17</li> <li>17</li> </ul>	Rer	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	Boring hand cleared to 5' bgs. Wet at 5.5' bgs. Olive brown (2.5Y 4/4) fine SAND, little Silt, little Woody Organics, loose, moist [Fill]. Dark gray (2.5Y 4/2) fine SAND, tace fine Gravel, loose - medium dense, moist - wet [Upper Sand and Gravel]. Very dark gray (2.5Y 3/2) fine - coarse SAND, little sub rounded fine Gravel, loose - medium dense, wet [Upper Sand and Gravel]. Dark grayish brown (2.5Y 4/2) SILT, little fine Sand, trave Clay, stiff, moist [Silt]. Dark grayish brown (2.5Y 4/2) fine - coarse SAND, little sub angular fine Gravel, medium dense - dense, wet [Lower Sand and Grave]. End of boring at 10' bgs. End of boring at 10' bgs.	Locking J-Plug 2" Sch 40 PVC Riser (2 ags - 2' bgs) Bentonite Seal (0-2' bgs) 2" Sch 40 PVC 0.020" Slot Screen (2-7' bgs) #1 Silica Sand Pack (2-7' bgs) Bentonite - Cement Grout (7-9' bgs) Bentonite backfill (9-10' bgs) Sertonite - Cement Grout (7-9' bgs) Bentonite backfill (9-10' bgs)
	9	A	R	CA	D	S bu	esign & Consul r natural and ilit assets	ltancy			Applicable/Available; ppm = parts per million; ' = Feet; " = Inches Northing and easting provided in U.S. survey feet refrenced to Ne (NAD83). Elevations are in U.S. survey refrenced to North Americ (NAVD88).	w York State Plane Central Zone can Vertical Datum of 1988
Pr	roject: 30044656.710									ed/Edite	d by: E Green	Date: 3/26/2

Date Drill Drill Sam Rig	e Start ing Co er's N ing Mo pling Type:	t/Finis ompa ame: ethoo Meth	sh: ny: d: lod:		J F Tra	P Price and 2" x 2' ack Mount	10 Parratt D Shi 4 1/4 Split ted Cl	/02/2 -Wol erma " HS, Spoo VE-5	0 North ff Easti A Casin 5 Bore Desc	hing:1530540.917'Well/Boring ID:ing:532109.226'Client: National Gng Elevation:749.323'Location:ace Elevation:747.323Location:hole Depth:10' bgsFormer MGP Siteriptions By:E GreenGloversville, NY	PDI-MW-9 rid
Depth (feet bgs)	Elevation (ft AMSL)	Sample Run Number	Sample Interval	Recovery (feet)	PID Headspace (ppm)	Blow Count	N-Value	Analytical Sample	Geologic Column	Stratigraphic Description	Well/Boring Construction
- 0		- - - - - - - - -	5-6'	0.9	0.0	8 6 5 10 9	N A 19	4		Boring hand cleared to 5' bgs.         Olive brown (2.5Y 4/4) SILT, little fine Sand, little Woody Organics, soft, moist - wet [Fill].         Gravish brown (2.5Y 5/2) fine - coarse SAND, little sub rounded fine Gravel, loose - medium dense, wet [Upper Sand and Gravel].         Dark gravish brown (2.5Y 4/2) SILT, little low plasticity Clay, trace fine Sand, medium stiff - stiff, moist [Silt].	Locking J-Plug 2" Sch 40 PVC Riser (2" ags - 3" bgs) Bentonite Seal (0-2" bgs) #1 Silica Sand Pack (2-8" bgs) 2" Sch 40 PVC 0.020" Slot Screen (3-8" bgs)
- 10	- - - - 735 - -	3	8-10'	2.0	0.0 S	9 3 8 7 8	15	Rer		Wet at 5.75' bgs. Dark grayish brown (2.5Y 4/2) SILT, little fine Sand, stiff, moist [Silt]. End of boring at 10' bgs. End of boring at 10' bgs. ags = above ground surface; AMSL = Above Mean Sea Level; bgs Applicable/Available; ppm = parts per million; ' = Feet; " = Inches Northing and easting provided in U.S. survey feet refrenced to Ner (NAVD88).	S = below ground surface; NA = Not w York State Plane Central Zone can Vertical Datum of 1988
Projec	et: 30	0446	56.710					Creat	ted/Edite	d bv: E Green	Date: 2/17/2022

Dat Dril Dril San Rig	e Start ling Co ler's N ling M npling Type:	t/Fini ompa ame: etho Meth	sh: iny: d: nod:		J F Tra	P Price and 2" x 2' ack Mount	9 Parratt D Sh 4 1/4 Split ted Cl	/30/2 erma I" HS Spoo ME-5	0 North ff Easti A Casin 5 Bore Desc	ning:1530576.026Well/Boring ID:ing:532051.645Client: National Gng Elevation:748.193Location:ace Elevation:746.193Location:hole Depth:14' bgsFormer MGP Siteriptions By:E GreenGloversville, NY	PDI-MW-10 rid
Depth (feet bgs)	Elevation (ft AMSL)	Sample Run Number	Sample Interval	Recovery (feet)	PID Headspace (ppm)	Blow Count	N-Value	Analytical Sample	Geologic Column	Stratigraphic Description	Well/Boring Construction
-	- 750 - -										Locking J-Plug
-	- - 745 -									Boring hand cleared to 5' bgs.	2" Sch 40 PVC Riser (2' ags - 5' bgs) Bentonite Seal (0-3' bgs)
-	_	1	5-6'	0	NA	1 1 9 9	N A	-		No Recovery NAPL blebs, light rainbow sheen, black staining, and strong coal tar like odor in slough from above interval. Dark grayish brown (2.5Y 4/2) SILT, trace fine Sand, trace Clay, stiff, moist [Silt]	#1 Silica Sand Pack (3-10' bgs)
-	 740 -	3	6-8' 8-10'	1.4	0.0	7 10 10 11 11 13	16 22	-		Wet at 7 <sup>r</sup> bgs. Grayish brown (2.5Y 3/2) SILT, some medium plasticity Clay, medium stiff, moist [Silt].	2" Sch 40 PVC 0.020" Slot Screen (5-10' bgs)
-	-	4	10-12'	2.0	0.0	9 8 5 10 10	13			Grayish brown (2.5Y 3/2) SILT, little low plasticity Clay, little fine Sand, stiff, moist [Silt]. Grayish brown (2.5Y 3/2) SILT, little - some fine Sand, medium stiff, wet	Bentonite - Cement Grout (10-12' bgs) 2" Sch 40 PVC Sump (10-12' bgs)
-	- 7 <i>35</i>	5	12-14'	1.2	0.0	10 14 10	24	-		End of boring at 14' bgs.	Bentonite Backfill (12-14' bgs)
- 15	-										
Proie	A		56,710	D	S bu	esign & Consu r natural and iilt assets	ltancy	Rer	narks:	ags = above ground surface; AMSL = Above Mean Sea Level; bg: Applicable/Available; ppm = parts per million; ' = Feet; " = Inches Northing and easting provided in U.S. survey feet refrenced to Net (NAD83). Elevations are in U.S. survey refrenced to North America (NAVD88).	s = below ground surface; NA = Not w York State Plane Central Zone can Vertical Datum of 1988 Date: 3/26/2021

Date Drill Drill San Rig	e Start ling Co ler's N ling Ma npling Type:	/Finis ompa ame: ethod Meth	h: 1 ny: P V : D od: 4 T	1/02/20 'arratt-V' V Nielse Virect Pu ' Macroo ' rack Mo	/olff en, M Eav ish core punted G	/es eoprol	0e	Northing:1530564.4280'Well/Boring IDEasting:532066.571'Client:Casing Elevation:NALocation:Surface Elevation:746.47'Location:Borehole Depth:16'Former MGPHill StreetGloversville, I	PDI-MW-10(SO) nal Grid Site NY
Depth (feet bgs)	Elevation (feet AMSL)	Sample Run Number	Sample/Int/Type	Recovery (feet)	PID Headspace (ppm)	Analytical Sample	Geologic Column	Stratigraphic Description	Well/Boring Construction
-								Olive brown (2.5Y 4/4) fine SAND, trace - little Woody Organics, trace fein Gravel, trace	e
-	- 745 - -	1	0-4'	3.2	0.0 0.0 0.0			Coal Cinder, loose - medium dense, moist [Fill]. Olive yellow (2.5Y 6/6) very fine - fine SAND, trace Silt, trace fine Gravel, loose, moist wet [Upper Sand and Gravel].	
- 5	_	2	4-8'	3.5	0.0	X		Boring hand cleared to 5' bgs. Grayish brown (2.5Y 5/2) fine - medium SAND, little sub rounded fine Gravel, trace Co Cinder, medium dense, wet [Upper Sand and Gravel] Wet at 4' bgs. Soil sample collected for SVOCs (4.5-6.5' bgs)	ai 🖉
-	740 - -				0.0	-		Grayish brown (2.5Y 5/2) SILT, little low - medium plasticity Clay, trace fine Sand, stiff moist [Silt].	Borehole tremie- grouted with bentonite- cement grout to grade
- 10	- 735 -	3	8-12'	3.7	0.0 0.0 0.0				
-	-	4	12-16'	1.8	0.0 0.0 0.0			Grayish brown (2.5Y 5/2) fine - coarse SAND, little sub rounded fine Gravel, loose - medium dense, wet [Lower Sand and Gravel].	
-15	_				0.0	an & Con	sultancy	End of boring at 16' bgs.  Remarks: AMSL = Above Mean Sea Level; bgs = below ground surface parts per million; ' = Feet; " = Inches.  Northing and easting provided in U.S. survey feet refrenced	e; NA = Not Applicable/Available; ppm = to New York State Plane Central Zone
Proie	<b>A</b>	0446	6.710		<b>D</b> for n built	atural an assets	d	(NAD83). Elevations are in U.S. survey refrenced to North A (NAVD88).Inches. Created/Edited by: E Green	merican Vertical Datum of 1988

Data File: PDI-MW-10(SO).ldat8

Created/Edited by: E Green Template: G:\Div11\Rockware\Logplot 8 Templates

e Start/ ing Cc er's Na ing Me pling Type:	/Finisl ompan ame: ethod: Metho	n: 1 ly: A C D d: 4 A	1/02/202 arcadis ) Richmo )irect Pu irect Pu ' Macroo MS Pow	22 ond, T P sh core ver Prob	latt e VTR	9520	Northing: 1530756.597' Easting: 531913.411' Casing Elevation: NA Surface Elevation: 745.981' Borehole Depth: 12' Descriptions By: Dan Meandro	Well/Boring ID: PDI-DPB-4 Client: National Grid Location: Former MGP Site Hill Street Gloversville, NY
Elevation (feet AMSL)	Sample Run Number	Sample/Int/Type	Recovery (feet)	PID Headspace (ppm)	Analytical Sample	Geologic Column	Stratigraphic Description	Well/Boring Construction
- 745 -							Very dark grayish brown (10YR 3/2) fine - medium SAND, some Si rounded Gravel, moist, medium firm. Black (10YR 2/1) SILT, little fine Sand, trace fine sub angular Grave	t, trace - little fine sub
	1	0-4'	3.9	1.5			Brown (10 YR 4/3) fine - medium SAND, little Silt, moist - wet, odo Sheen on soil (3.1-5.4' bgs).	
- 740 - -	2	4-8'	3.8	2.7			Black (10YR 2/1) fine - coarse SAND, some fine - medium sub ang wet, medium firm, visible sheen, odor. Dark gray (10YR 4/1) CLAY, some Silt, little fine sub angular Grave plasticity. SVOC soil sample collected from 3-12' bgs	ular - angular Gravel, ular - ingular Gravel, ular - angular Gravel, backfilled with bentonite chips.
- - 735 -	3	8-12'	2.0	10			Very dark gray (10YR 3/1) fine - medium SAND and SILT, some fin - sub rounded Gravel, moist - wet, medium firm, non-plastic, sheer	e - medium sub angular , odor.
-							End of boring at 12' bgs.	
A	R	CA	DIS	5 Desi for n built	gn & Cons atural an assets	sultancy d	Remarks: AMSL = Above Mean Sea Level; bgs = belo parts per million; ' = Feet; " = Inches. Northing and easting provided in U.S. surve (NAD83). Elevations are in U.S. survey refr (NAVD88).Inches.	k w ground surface; NA = Not Applicable/Available; ppm = ey feet refrenced to New York State Plane Central Zone enced to North American Vertical Datum of 1988
	e Startting Cc er's NA ing Mu pling Type:	Start/Finishing Companier's Name: ing Method: ing Method: ing Method: Type:	e Start/Finish:       1         ing Company:       A         e's Name:       I         ing Method:       A         appling Method:       A         rype:       A         appling Method:       <	Start/Finish:       11/02/202         ing Company::       Arcadis         e's Name:       D Richman         ing Method:       4' Macroat         npling Method:       4' Macroat         Type:       additional         ing Method:       11/02/202         radius       additional         ing Method:       4' Macroat         mark       additional         ing Method:       additiona         ing Method:	Start/Finish:       11/02/2022         ing Company:       Arcadis         er's Name:       D Richmond, T P         ing Method:       4' Macrocore         Type:       AMS Power Prob         (I)       additional and the set of	Start/Finish:       11/02/2022         ing Company:       Arcadis         er's Name:       D Richmond, T Platt         ing Method:       D'irect Push         apling Method:       4' Macrocore         Type:       AMS Power Probe VTR         (instruction)       add function         (instruction)       add function <td>Start/Finish:       11/02/2022         ing Company:       Arcadis         e's Name:       D Richmond, T Platt         ing Method:       4' Macrocore         Type:       AMS Power Probe VTR 9520         Image: Start/Finish:       Miss Power Probe VTR 9520         Image: Start/Finish:       AMS Power Probe VTR 9520         Image: Start/Finish:<!--</td--><td>Start/Finiti:       1102/02/2         ing Company:       Acadis         ing Company:       Acadis         ing Mithod:       Dirach Pash         ing Mithod:       Dirach Pash         Type:       AMS Power Probe VTR 9520         ing Mithod:       Ing Mithod:         ing Mithod:       Macrocore         Type:       AMS Power Probe VTR 9520         ing Mithod:       Ing Mithod:         ing Mithod:       Ing Mithod:<!--</td--></td></td>	Start/Finish:       11/02/2022         ing Company:       Arcadis         e's Name:       D Richmond, T Platt         ing Method:       4' Macrocore         Type:       AMS Power Probe VTR 9520         Image: Start/Finish:       Miss Power Probe VTR 9520         Image: Start/Finish:       AMS Power Probe VTR 9520         Image: Start/Finish: </td <td>Start/Finiti:       1102/02/2         ing Company:       Acadis         ing Company:       Acadis         ing Mithod:       Dirach Pash         ing Mithod:       Dirach Pash         Type:       AMS Power Probe VTR 9520         ing Mithod:       Ing Mithod:         ing Mithod:       Macrocore         Type:       AMS Power Probe VTR 9520         ing Mithod:       Ing Mithod:         ing Mithod:       Ing Mithod:<!--</td--></td>	Start/Finiti:       1102/02/2         ing Company:       Acadis         ing Company:       Acadis         ing Mithod:       Dirach Pash         ing Mithod:       Dirach Pash         Type:       AMS Power Probe VTR 9520         ing Mithod:       Ing Mithod:         ing Mithod:       Macrocore         Type:       AMS Power Probe VTR 9520         ing Mithod:       Ing Mithod:         ing Mithod:       Ing Mithod: </td

Date Start/Finish:11/01/2022Drilling Company:ArcadisDriller's Name:D Richmond, T PlattDrilling Method:Direct PushSampling Method:4' MacrocoreRig Type:AMS Power Probe VTR 9520							9520	Northing: 1530675.836' Easting: 531952.764' Casing Elevation: NA Surface Elevation: 744.678' Borehole Depth: 12' Descriptions By: Dan Meandro	Well/Boring ID: PDI- Client: National Grid Location: Former MGP Site Hill Street Gloversville, NY	DPB-7	
Depth (feet bgs)	Elevation (feet AMSL)	Sample Run Number	Sample/Int/Type	Well/Bo Stratigraphic Description Construc							
- - -		1	0-4'	1.8	0		ERTEREEREEREEREEREEREEREEREEREEREEREEREE	Brown (10YR 4/3) fine - medium SAND and SILT, little Organic ub angular - Gravel, moist, medium firm. .ight brownish gray (10YR 6/2) fine - coarse SAND, moist, loo Jark grayish brown (10YR 4/2) SILT and fine - medium SAND, Bravel, moist, medium firm.	es (roots), trace - little fine se. little fine sub angular		
- 5	5 740 - 2 4-8° 2.1 40				40			/ery dark grayish brown (10YR 3/2) fine - coarse SAND, some ingular Gravel, little Silt, wet, loose - medium firm, strong odor Dark grayish brown (10YR 4/2) fine - medium SAND, light she SVOC soil sample collected from 4-8.5' bgs	fine sub rounded - sub r, sheen. en, light odor.	Borehole backfilled with bentonite chips.	
- 10	- 735	3	8-12'	3.7	1.2			/ery dark gray (10YR 3/1) fine SAND, some Silt, little Clay, tra noist, firm, slight sheen. /ery dark gray (10YR 3/1) CLAY, trace - little fine Sand, moist. End of boring at 12' bgs.	ce fine sub rounded Gravel,		
-15	730 -	R	CA	DIS	5 Desi forn	gn & Cons atural an assets	sultancy	Remarks: AMSL = Above Mean Sea Level; bgs = parts per million; ' = Feet; " = Inches. Northing and easting provided in U.S. survey (NAD83). Elevations are in U.S. survey	below ground surface; NA = No survey feet refrenced to New Yo refrenced to North American V	t Applicable/Available; ppm = rk State Plane Central Zone ertical Datum of 1988	
			0 700		, south					5.10000	

Data File: PDI-DPB-7.Idat8

Created/Edited by: Evan Green Template: G:\Div11\Rockware\Logplot 8 Templates

Date Start/Finish:11/01/2022Drilling Company:ArcadisDriller's Name:D Richmond, T PlattDrilling Method:Direct PushSampling Method:4' MacrocoreRig Type:AMS Power Probe VTR 9520							9520	Northing: 1530648.741' Easting: 532016.11' Casing Elevation: NA Surface Elevation: 745.335' Borehole Depth: 12' Descriptions By: Dan Meandro	Well/Boring ID: PD Client: National Grid Location: Former MGP Site Hill Street Gloversville, NY	I-DPB-8				
Depth (feet bgs)	Elevation (feet AMSL)	Sample Run Number	Sample/Int/Type	Recovery (feet)	PID Headspace (ppm)	Analytical Sample	Geologic Column	Stratigraphic Description		Well/Boring Construction				
-	- 745 -							Dark brown (10YR 3/3) fine - coarse SAND, little Organics (ro Sravel, moist, medium firm.	pots), trace fine sub rounded					
-	1 1	1	0-4'	2.8	10.1			Yery dark gray (10YR 3/1) SILT some fine - medium Sand, s ne sub angular - sub rounded Gravel, slight sheen, slight oc Brown (10YR 4/3) fine - medium SAND, little Silt, moist, med	ome Organics (roots), little lor. lium firm, sheen, odor.					
- 		2	4-8'	2.2	5.0			/ery dark brown (10YR 2/2) medium SAND, little Silt, trace - /et, medium firm, sheen, slight odor. SVOC soil sample collected from 0.8-8.5' bgs	little fine sub rounded Gravel,	Borehole backfilled with bentonite chips.				
	_					$\left  \right $		Dark gray (10YR 4/1) medium SAND, little Silt, little fine sub	rounded Gravel, moist,					
- 10	- 735 -	3	8-12'	3.8	2.2			nedium firm, sheen, slight odor. /ery dark grayish brown (10YR 4/1) CLAY, some Silt, little fi	ne Sand, dry - moist, firm.					
	-	-	-					End of boring at 12' bgs.						
- 15	- - 730 -													
9	ARCADIS Design & Consultance for natural and built assets					<mark>ign &amp; Con</mark> natural ar t assets	isultancy nd	Remarks: AMSL = Above Mean Sea Level; bgs = below ground surface; NA = Not Applicable/Available; ppm parts per million; ' = Feet; " = Inches. Northing and easting provided in U.S. survey feet refrenced to New York State Plane Central Zone (NAD83). Elevations are in U.S. survey refrenced to North American Vertical Datum of 1988 (NAVD88).Inches.						
Draia	Project: 30044656 720							Created/Edited by: Evan Green Data: 54						

Data File: PDI-DPB-8.Idat8

Created/Edited by: Evan Green Template: G:\Div11\Rockware\Logplot 8 Templates



**Geotechnical Testing Results** 



October 14, 2020

Mr. Jason Brien Arcadis | Arcadis of New York, Inc One Lincoln Center 110 West Fayette Street - Suite 300 Syracuse, New York 13202

Re: L-20113 Laboratory Testing National Grid Gloversville (Hill Street) Former Manufactured Gas Plant Site Work Auth No. #AUS-PWLabs30044656-WA1

Dear Jason [jason.brien@arcadis.com]:

Enclosed are the results of laboratory testing performed at your request on four (4) Bag Soil samples and one (1) Shelby Tube sample delivered to PW Laboratories, Inc. on **10/2/2020** for the above referenced project. Results include:

1.)	Natural Moisture Content (ASTM D2216) Laboratory I.D. #40179 - 40182	4 Each
2.)	Sieve Analysis (ASTM D6913 – Method A) Laboratory I.D. #40179 – 40182	4 Each
3.)	Specific Gravity (ASTM D854) Laboratory I.D. #40179 – 40182	4 Each
4.)	Atterberg Limits (ASTM D4318) Laboratory I.D. #40179 – 40182	4 Each
5.)	Unified Soil Classification System (ASTM D2487) Laboratory I.D. #40179 – 40182	4 Each
6.)	Bulk [Natural] Density – Displacement Method (ASTM D7263) Laboratory I.D. #40183	1 Each
7.)	Unconsolidated Undrained (UU) Triaxial Test – 1 Point (ASTM D2850) Laboratory I.D. #40183	1 Each

All requested tests have been completed on the previously received sample(s) for the above project. All sample remains are scheduled to be disposed of on 11/14/2020. Please notify PW Laboratories, Inc. by letter or telephone prior to 11/14/2020 if you would prefer to pick up the sample(s) or that the sample(s) be retained by PW Laboratories, Inc. for an additional period.

Thank you for this opportunity to work with you.

PW LABORATORIES, INC.

Patrick J. Edmiston Laboratory Manager PJE/BLL



October 14, 2020

L-20113 Laboratory Testing National Grid Gloversville (Hill Street) Former Manufactured Gas Plant Site Work Auth No. #AUS-PWLabs30044656-WA1

#### Natural Moisture Content (ASTM D2216)

Lahin #	Comula LD	Donth (Foot)	Meisture Coutout of Demout of Dem Weight
Lao I.D. #	Sample I.D.	Depth (reet)	Moisture Content as a Percent of Dry weight
40179	PDI-GTB-3	5.0 - 6.0	40.8
40180	PDI-GTB-3	6.0 - 7.0	23.7
40181	PDI-GTB-3	12.0 - 14.0	38.1
40182	PDI-GTB-3	32.0 - 34.0	21.0



### Sieve Analysis of Soil/Aggregate

Project Title:	Laboratory Testing							
-	National Grid							
-	Gloversville (Hill Street) Former Manufactured Gas Plant Site							
-	Work Auth No. #AUS-PWLabs30044656-WA1							

**Project #:** L-20113

Test Method: ASTM D6913 - Method A

Report #: 1

Report Date: October 14, 2020

			Sieve Size - Percent Passing Sieve													
Lab I.D. #	Sample I.D.	Depth (Feet)	3/4"	1/2"	3/8"	1/4"	#4	#10	#30	#40	#60	#100	#200			
40179	PDI-GTB-3	5.0 - 6.0	100	90.9	90.9	90.0	87.6	83.2	73.1	64.7	47.6	31.0	16.7			
40180	PDI-GTB-3	6.0 - 7.0	100	94.6	92.6	87.4	85.8	80.2	71.2	61.0	34.8	14.1	5.9			
40181	PDI-GTB-3	12.0 - 14.0	-	-	-	-	100	99.8	99.5	99.2	98.3	97.5	96.3			
40182	PDI-GTB-3	32.0 - 34.0	-	-	100	99.9	99.9	99.6	98.9	89.9	48.3	19.6	5.2			

Sample mass, as received, meets minimum mass requirements of test method:	Yes	Х	No	

Prewashed

Х

Performed By: MS

Remarks:

Patrick Edmiston

Entire Sample

Mass Retained on #200 Only

Not Prewashed:



October 14, 2020

L-20113 Laboratory Testing National Grid Gloversville (Hill Street) Former Manufactured Gas Plant Site Work Auth No. #AUS-PWLabs30044656-WA1

#### Specific Gravity of Soils (ASTM D854)

Lab I.D. #	Sample I.D.	Depth (Feet)	Specific Gravity of Soils (G)
40179	PDI-GTB-3	5.0 - 6.0	1.68
40180	PDI-GTB-3	6.0 - 7.0	2.73
40181	PDI-GTB-3	12.0 - 14.0	2.76
40182	PDI-GTB-3	32.0 - 34.0	2.74



October 14, 2020

L-20113 Laboratory Testing National Grid Gloversville (Hill Street) Former Manufactured Gas Plant Site Work Auth No. #AUS-PWLabs30044656-WA1

#### Atterberg Limits (ASTM D4318) & Unified Soil Classification (ASTM D2487)

Ich ID #	Sample I D	Denth (Feet)	Plastic Limit	Liquid Limit	Placticity Index	Classification
Lau 1.D. #	Sample I.D.	Deptil (reet)	Plastic Linnit	Liquia Linni	Flashicity muex	Classification
40179	PDI-GTB-3	5.0 - 6.0	Non-Plastic	-	-	SM
40180	PDI-GTB-3	6.0 - 7.0	Non-Plastic	-	-	SP-SM
40181	PDI-GTB-3	12.0 - 14.0	26	55	29	СН
40182	PDI-GTB-3	32.0 - 34.0	Non-Plastic	-	-	SP-SM



October 14, 2020

L-20113 Laboratory Testing National Grid Gloversville (Hill Street) Former Manufactured Gas Plant Site Work Auth No. #AUS-PWLabs30044656-WA1

#### Bulk [Natural] Density Displacement Method (ASTM D7263)

			Bulk [Natural] S	oil Density (PCF)
Laboratory I.D. #	Sample I.D.	Depth (Feet)	Moist	Dry
40183	PDI-GTB-3	20.0 - 22.0	119.0	89.9

		U	IN	C	٨C	IS	0		DA	٩T	E	DI	U١	JD	R	AI	IN	E	) -	ΓE	S	Т			
	30							-		-	-							-							
	25										-														
										-	-														
os.	20																								
, S																			${\sub}$			1			
tres						_	_	-	$\square$																
Ó O	15					/																			
sić.	15				$\square$																				
les																									
dua				+							-														
Ö	10			/																					
			/								-														
	5		$\mid$																						
		$\vdash$									-														
	0						_										_								
		0				2	.5					5				1	.5				10				
										Axia	al S	trai	n, %	, 0											
Sample No.														1											
Fail. Stress, psi													19	.34											
Ult. Stress, psi													18	.05											
Cell pressure, psi													7.	50											
Strain rate, in./min.													0.	06											
Water content, %													41	.7											
Wet density, pcf													11	2.2											
Dry density, pcf													79	0.2											
Saturation, %													99	9.8											
Void ratio													1.1	282											
Specimen diameter, in	۱.												2.	88											
Specimen height, in.													6.	70		_									
Height/diameter ratio													2.	33											
Description: Gray moi	<b>Description:</b> Gray moist stiff SILT and CLAY																								
LL = PL = PI =												As	sun	ned	G	S= :	2.70	)		Тур	be:	INTACT	1		
Project No.: L-20113									Clie	ent: /	ARC/	ADIS	S												
Date Received: 10/2/202	0								Pro	ject:	Nat	iona	l Gri	dGlo	overs	sville	e (H	ill S	tree	t) F	orm	er Manufa	actured	Gas Plan	t Site
Remarks:									W0I	rk A	uth   •#10	א0. # 192	#AUS	S-PV	VLa	0830	J04	4656	6-W/	41					
									Location: GLOVERSVILLE.NY																
									Sample I.D.: PDI-GTB-3																
									UNCONSOLIDATED UNDRAINED TEST																
Figure TWO						PW Laboratories, Inc. East Syracuse, NY																			

		TRIAXIAL CON Unconsolida	IPRESSION TES ated Undrained	ST	1/1/2008 1:10 AM
Date:	RECEIVED: 10/	2/2020			
Client:	ARCADIS				
Project:	FORMER MPG	SITE			
Project No.:	L-20113				
Location:	GLOVERSVILL	E, NY			
Depth:	20 TO 22 FEET		Sample I.D.:	PDI-GTB-3	
Description:	Gray moist stiff	SILT and CLAY	Lab I.D. #:	40183	
Remarks:					
Type of Sample:	INTACT				
Assumed Specific G	ravity=2.70	LL=	PL=	PI=	
Test Method:	ASTM D 2850				
		Parameters fo	r Specimen No.	1	
Specimen Parame	ter	Initial	Final		
Moisture content: M	oist soil+tare, gm	5.	1295.300		
Moisture content: D	ry soil+tare, gms.		995.900		
Moisture content: Ta	are, gms.		88.500		
Moisture, %		41.7	33.0		
Moist specimen weig	ght, gms.	1285.7			
Diameter, in.		2.88			
Area, in. <sup>2</sup>		6.51			
Height, in.		6.70			
Wet density, pcf		112.2			
Dry density, pcf		79.2			
Void ratio		1.1282			
Saturation, %		99.8			
	1	Fest Readings f	or Specimen No	<b>5.</b> 1	
Cell pressure = 7.50	psi				
Back pressure = $0.0$	0 psi				

Back pressure = 0.00 psi Strain rate, in./min. = 0.06 Fail. Stress = 19.34 psi at reading no. 12 Ult. Stress = 18.05 psi at reading no. 16

					Test Re	adings for	Specimen N	lo. 1		
No.	Def. Dial in.	Load Dial	Load Ibs.	Strain %	Deviator Stress psi	Minor Princ. Stress psi	Major Princ. Stress psi	1:3 Ratio	P psi	Q psi
0	0.0060	19.000	0.0	0.0	0.00	7.50	7.50	1.00	7.50	0.00
1	0.0120	24.000	5.0	0.1	0.77	7.50	8.27	1.10	7.88	0.38
2	0.0180	30.000	11.0	0.2	1.69	7.50	9.19	1.22	8.34	0.84
3	0.0240	37.000	18.0	0.3	2.76	7.50	10.26	1.37	8.88	1.38
4	0.0300	44.000	25.0	0.4	3.82	7.50	11.32	1.51	9.41	1.91
5	0.0600	71.000	52.0	0.8	7.92	7.50	15.42	2.06	11.46	3.96
6	0.0900	98.000	79.0	1.3	11.97	7.50	19.47	2.60	13.49	5.99
7	0.1200	118.000	99.0	1.7	14.94	7.50	22.44	2.99	14.97	7.47
8	0.1500	129.000	110.0	2.1	16.52	7.50	24.02	3.20	15.76	8.26
9	0.1800	132.000	113.0	2.6	16.90	7.50	24.40	3.25	15.95	8.45
10	0.2400	137.000	118.0	3.5	17.48	7.50	24.98	3.33	16.24	8.74
11	0.3000	148.000	129.0	4.4	18.93	7.50	26.43	3.52	16.97	9.47
12	0.3600	152.000	133.0	5.3	19.34	7.50	26.84	3.58	17.17	9.67
13	0.4200	153.000	134.0	6.2	19.30	7.50	26.80	3.57	17.15	9.65
14	0.4800	154.000	135.0	7.1	19.26	7.50	26.76	3.57	17.13	9.63
15	0.5400	153.000	134.0	8.0	18.93	7.50	26.43	3.52	16.97	9.47
16	0.6000	148.000	129.0	8.9	18.05	7.50	25.55	3.41	16.52	9.02



October 13, 2020

Mr. Jason Brien Arcadis | Arcadis of New York, Inc One Lincoln Center 110 West Fayette Street - Suite 300 Syracuse, New York 13202

Re: L-20113

Laboratory Testing National Grid Gloversville (Hill Street) Former Manufactured Gas Plant Site Work Auth No. #AUS-PWLabs30044656-WA1

DearJason [jason.brien@arcadis.com]:

Enclosed are the results of laboratory testing performed at your request on one (1) Shelby Tube sample delivered to PW Laboratories, Inc. on **9/28/2020** for the above referenced project. Results include:

1.)	Bulk [Natural] Density – Displacement Method (ASTM D7263)	
	Laboratory I.D. #40178	1 Each
2.)	Unconsolidated Undrained (UU) Triaxial Test – 1 Point (ASTM D2850)	
	Laboratory I.D. #40178	1 Each

All requested tests have been completed on the previously received sample(s) for the above project. All sample remains are scheduled to be disposed of on 11/13/2020. Please notify PW Laboratories, Inc. by letter or telephone prior to 11/13/2020 if you would prefer to pick up the sample(s) or that the sample(s) be retained by PW Laboratories, Inc. for an additional period.

Thank you for this opportunity to work with you.

PW LABORATORIES, INC.

Patrick J. Edmiston Laboratory Manager PJE/BLL



October 13, 2020

L-20113 Laboratory Testing National Grid Gloversville (Hill Street) Former Manufactured Gas Plant Site Work Auth No. #AUS-PWLabs30044656-WA1

#### Bulk [Natural] Density Displacement Method (ASTM D7263)

			Bulk [Natural] S	oil Density (PCF)
Laboratory I.D. #	Sample I.D.	Depth (Feet)	Moist	Dry
40178	PDI-GTB-5	14 - 16	118.7	89.3

# UNCONSOLIDATED UNDRAINED TEST

	30																					
	25																					
							-															
sd	20																					
es s						_	-				_		$\triangleleft$		_		_					
Str								$\geq$												—7		
sive	15																					
less						4																
du					A		_															
ပိ	10				,																	
				/																		
			H																			
	5																					
	0	$\square$																				
	0	0				5				1	0				15	5			20			
									Axia	al St	trair	n, %	, D									
Sample No.												1			_							
Fail. Stress, psi												18.	28 12		-							
Olt. Stress, psi												1/.	$\frac{13}{10}$		+							
Strain rate in /min												0.0	)6		+							
Water content, %												29	.5									
Wet density, pcf												120	).2									
Dry density, pcf												92	.8									
Saturation, %												97	.5		_							
Void ratio												0.8	160		_				_			
Specimen diameter, in	า.									_		2.8	33		-							
Specimen neight, In. Height/diameter ratio												0.:	08 33		-							
Description: Grav mo	ist et	iff S	тп	ິ ສ <b>ກ</b>	d CI	AV						2	55								1	
LL = Pl	_ =		/11/1	an		21 = -	-				As	sun	ned	GS	<b>5=</b> 2	.70		Тур	be:	INTACT		
<b>Proiect #:</b> L-20113								Clie	nt: A	RCAE	DIS						I					
Date Received: 9/28/2020	)							Proj	ect:	Natio	onal	Grid	t) [/	ormo	n M	nufa	turod	Gas	Dlant	t Sito		
Damarka:	0							Wor	k Au	th No	). #A	US-F	WLa	abs30	0044	1656-\	NA1	Gas	riaiii	. Site		
rellidi KS;								Lab	1.D.: ation	#40 : GLC	178 )VFR	SVI	F	NY								
								Sam	ple	I.D.:	PDI-	GTB	5									
													INC	:01	ISC		ATF	DI	INC	RAINED TES	T	
Figure ONE												U			PV	/ Labo	oratori	es, In	ic E	East Syracuse, NY	•	
							μ	PVV Laboratories, Inc East Syracuse, INY														

		TRIAXIAL CON Unconsolida	IPRESSION TES ated Undrained	т	1/1/2008 1:09 AM
Date:	RECEIVED:9/2	28/2020			
Client:	ARCADIS				
Project:	FORMER MPO	<b>G SITE</b>			
Project No.:	L-20113				
Location:	GLOVERSVIL	LE, NY			
Depth:	14 TO 16 FEET	ſ	Sample I.D.::	PDI-GTB-5	
Description:	Gray moist stiff	SILT and CLAY	Lab I.D. #:	40178	
Remarks:					
Type of Sample:	INTACT				
Assumed Specific G	ravity=2.70	LL=	PL=	PI=	
Test Method:	ASTM D 2850				
		Parameters fo	r Specimen No. <sup>,</sup>	1	
Specimen Paramet	ter	Initial	Final		
Moisture content: Me	oist soil+tare, gn	ıs.	1405.400		
Moisture content: Dr	y soil+tare, gms		1108.400		
Moisture content: Ta	are, gms.		100.000		
Moisture, %		29.5	29.5		
Moist specimen weig	ght, gms.	1305.6			
Diameter, in.		2.83			
Area, in. <sup>2</sup>		6.29			
Height, in.		6.58			
Wet density, pcf		120.2			
Dry density, pcf		92.8			
Void ratio		0.8160			
Saturation, %		97.5			
		Test Readings f	or Specimen No	. 1	
<b>Cell pressure =</b> 5.00	psi				
Back pressure = 0.00	0 psi				
Strain rate, in./min. =	<b>=</b> 0.06				

Strain rate, in./min. = 0.06Fail. Stress = 18.28 psi at reading no. 18Ult. Stress = 17.13 psi at reading no. 22

					Test Re	adings for	Specimen N	lo. 1		
No.	Def. Dial in.	Load Dial	Load Ibs.	Strain %	Deviator Stress psi	Minor Princ. Stress psi	Major Princ. Stress psi	1:3 Ratio	P psi	Q psi
0	0.0060	17.000	0.0	0.0	0.00	5.00	5.00	1.00	5.00	0.00
1	0.0120	21.000	4.0	0.1	0.64	5.00	5.64	1.13	5.32	0.32
2	0.0180	28.000	11.0	0.2	1.75	5.00	6.75	1.35	5.87	0.87
3	0.0240	30.000	13.0	0.3	2.06	5.00	7.06	1.41	6.03	1.03
4	0.0300	33.000	16.0	0.4	2.53	5.00	7.53	1.51	6.27	1.27
5	0.0600	43.000	26.0	0.8	4.10	5.00	9.10	1.82	7.05	2.05
6	0.0900	52.000	35.0	1.3	5.49	5.00	10.49	2.10	7.75	2.75
7	0.1200	62.000	45.0	1.7	7.03	5.00	12.03	2.41	8.52	3.52
8	0.1500	73.000	56.0	2.2	8.71	5.00	13.71	2.74	9.35	4.35
9	0.1800	78.000	61.0	2.6	9.44	5.00	14.44	2.89	9.72	4.72
10	0.2400	92.000	75.0	3.6	11.50	5.00	16.50	3.30	10.75	5.75
11	0.3000	103.000	86.0	4.5	13.06	5.00	18.06	3.61	11.53	6.53
12	0.3600	113.000	96.0	5.4	14.44	5.00	19.44	3.89	12.22	7.22
13	0.4200	120.000	103.0	6.3	15.34	5.00	20.34	4.07	12.67	7.67
14	0.4800	128.000	111.0	7.2	16.38	5.00	21.38	4.28	13.19	8.19
15	0.5400	133.000	116.0	8.1	16.94	5.00	21.94	4.39	13.47	8.47
16	0.6000	139.000	122.0	9.0	17.64	5.00	22.64	4.53	13.82	8.82
17	0.6600	143.000	126.0	9.9	18.04	5.00	23.04	4.61	14.02	9.02
18	0.7200	146.000	129.0	10.9	18.28	5.00	23.28	4.66	14.14	9.14
19	0.7800	147.000	130.0	11.8	18.24	5.00	23.24	4.65	14.12	9.12
20	0.8400	144.000	127.0	12.7	17.63	5.00	22.63	4.53	13.82	8.82
21	0.9000	144.000	127.0	13.6	17.45	5.00	22.45	4.49	13.72	8.72
22	0.9600	143.000	126.0	14.5	17.13	5.00	22.13	4.43	13.56	8.56



February 1, 2021

Mr. David A. Cornell, PG, CWD Arcadis | Arcadis of New York, Inc One Lincoln Center 110 West Fayette Street - Suite 300 Syracuse, New York 13202

Re: L-21003 Laboratory Testing National Grid – Gloversville, New York

Dear Mr. Cornell [david.cornell@arcadis.com]:

Enclosed are the results of laboratory testing performed at your request on nine (9) Bulk Soil samples delivered to our laboratory on 1/22/2021 for the above referenced project. Results include:

1.)	Sieve Analysis (ASTM D422 & D1140) Laboratory I.D. #40573 – 40581	9 Each
2.)	Hydrometer Analysis (ASTM D422) Laboratory I.D. #40573, 40574, 40579	3 Each

All requested tests have been completed on the previously received sample(s) for the above project. All sample remains are scheduled to be disposed of on 3/1/2021. Please notify PW Laboratories, Inc. by letter or telephone prior to 3/1/2021 if you would prefer to pick up the sample(s) or that the sample(s) be retained by PW Laboratories, Inc. for an additional period.

Thank you for this opportunity to work with you.

PW LABORATORIES, INC.

 Patrick J. Edmiston

 Laboratory Manager

 PJE/BLL

 Cc:
 Daniel.Meandro@arcadis.com



## Sieve Analysis of Soil/Aggregate

Project Title: Laboratory Testing National Grid - Gloversville, New York

Project #:

Test Method: ASTM D422 & D1140

		Sieve Size - Percent Passing Sieve															
Lab I.D. #	Sample I.D.	3"	2"	1 1/2"	1"	3/4"	1/2"	3/8"	1/4"	#4	#10	#30	#40	#60	#100	#200	
40573	R5-PDI-SED-60DEP	-	100	92.7	91.5	90.8	89.7	89.1	87.7	87.0	84.5	77.0	69.5	46.9	20.9	7.8	
40574	R5-PDI-SED-55RR	I	-	-	-	I	100	99.4	99.0	98.9	83.1	57.7	46.4	34.7	27.2	20.0	
40575	R4-PDI-SED-46RR	100	78.7	66.8	58.2	51.1	46.6	44.4	39.9	36.1	23.9	9.0	6.1	3.2	1.7	1.1	
40576	R4-PDI-SED-43DEP	-	-	100	99.3	97.9	97.4	96.9	96.0	95.1	91.6	64.0	42.0	15.5	4.9	1.6	
40577	R3-PDI-SED-36RR	I	100	97.4	96.6	96.1	93.2	91.3	83.4	75.5	52.1	26.4	16.4	5.8	1.8	0.6	
40578	R3-PDI-SED-29RR	-	100	88.9	68.7	62.2	55 <b>.</b> 8	53.4	42.9	42.5	24.8	11.4	7.6	2.9	0.9	0.2	
40579	R3-PDI-SED-28DEP	-	-	-	-	100	99.1	98.5	95.1	92.6	84.4	69.6	58.4	34.3	14.3	5.8	
40580	R3-PDI-SED-25DEP	100	95.5	85.8	69.1	57.5	46.0	41.6	34.3	29.9	18.7	6.4	3.8	1.9	1.2	0.8	
40581	R3-PDI-SED-24DEP	-	-	-	100	99.6	98.9	98.5	97.5	96.5	91.4	50.9	26.1	8.2	3.8	2.7	

Sample mass, as rec	eived, meets minimum mass requirements of test method:	Yes	No	Х	Prev	washed
Performed By: EA	Checked By: Patrick Edmiston				Entire Sample	х
Remarks:					Mass Retained on #200 Only	

Not Prewashed:

Report Date: February 1, 2021

**Report #:** 1

L-21003

	P-	W																									Pro	ject #			L-:	21003		
	lat	)S																									Rej	port #	:			1		
W Labo	oratories,	Inc.																										Date		F	ebrua	ry 1,	2021	
544 Fre	mont Roa	id - E	ast S	yrac	use,	New Y	ork	: 130	)57		.1																							
mice 31	5.437.142	0~F	ax 3	15.50	3-30	58~ p	wiai	osin	c@nc	otma	11.con	1																						
													H	ydro	ome	ter	Analy	ysis																
	Sieves	3 2	½ 2	1%	1 3/4	1/2	3/8		4	8		16		30	5	0	100		200			Hyd	rom	ete	r									_
100	Π	Ш	TT	Ы		-	Π	Ш	П	Ι	T	Π	Ш	Π					$\prod$															
ght ght	Π	Ш	T	Π		0	F	P	P	-	0		Π	Π				П	Π	Π														
Nei 80	1		$\mathbf{H}$	$\square$			Ħ	HT	11	1				R					T	IT	T					Π	T	T			Ш	Π	Π	
A 70	H		Ħ	++	-		Ħ	Ht	++	+	1	-#		11					Ħ	Ħ	T						1	1	1		ĦT	IT	Π	
10 60	H		++	++	-+		₩	H	++	+	+	-#		$^{++}$				-#	Ħ	Ħ	+	1					+	+	+	-	ĦĦ	Ħ	+	-
11 50	·H		₩	+	+		₩	₩	++	+	+	-		$^{++}$	+	9		-#	₩	┼┼	+	+	+		╟┼		+	+	+	-		╟╴	+	
80 40	H	$\left\{ \right\}$	++	+	-		₩	₩	++	+	+	-+	╟╫	╂╋	+			-#	₩	┼┼	+	+	+		╟┼		+	+	+	-	₩	╂╋╴	H	-
30 Jta	H	++++	++	+	-		₩	₩	++	+	+	-+	₩	₩	+		1		₩	╂╋	+	+	+		₩	H	+	+	+	-	+++	╂┼╴	++	-
20	H	╫╫	++	+	-		₩	₩	++	+	+	-+	₩	₩	+		0	H	₩	₩	+	+	+		₩		+	+	+	-	₩	╂	+	-
<b>e</b> 10	H	₩₩	++	+			₩	₩	++	+	+	-+	₩	++	+			-	H		+	+	+		₩	$\left  \right $	+	+	+	-	+++	₩	+	-
	4	Ш	+1				Ш	Щ	Ш		+		Ш	11			-	1	Ш	T	10	10	+	0			ele	4	+	9	111	μ		4
	200		60		20	)		6			2			0.6 Grain	Size	0. in N	.2 /iillim	eter	0. s	.06		0	.02			0.00	5	0	.002					
	Boulde	rs	c	oarse		Mediun	n		Fine		T	Coarse	e	M	edium	1	Fin	e	Т						_				Τ					Γ
	Cobble	es	G	ravel		Gravel			Grave	el		Sand			Sand		San	d						Silt							Cla	Y		
	228	76.2	2		25.4		9.5	2			2.0			0.59		0.25			0.07	4								0	.002 m	m				_
_	9 in.	3 in			1 in.		3/8	in.			No. 10			No. 30		No. 6	0		No.	200														
		Lab I	.D. :	4	0573																Proi	ect #:	I-210	03										
		Samp	ole I.I	).: R	5-PDI	-SED-60	DEP													Pro	ject '	Title:	Labo	rator	у Те	sting								
-																-					-		Natio	onal	Grid	- Glo	versvi	lle						
		Sieve	Ana	lysis A	STM	D422 &	D11	40						_																				

Hydrometer Analysis ASTM D422



Project #:	L-21003	
Report #:	2	
Date:	February 1, 2021	

Hydrometer Analysis





Project #:	L-21003	_
Report #:	3	
Date:	February 1, 2021	-

1



Hydrometer Analysis

Hydrometer Analysis ASTM D422



February 11, 2021

Mr. Joshua Sinay EIT Arcadis | Arcadis of New York, Inc One Lincoln Center 110 West Fayette Street - Suite 300 Syracuse, New York 13202

Re: L-21003

Laboratory Testing National Grid – Gloversville, New York Arcadis Project #48020236 P.O. #B0036652.0009

Dear Mr. Sinay [Josh.Sinay@arcadis.com]:

Enclosed are the results of laboratory testing performed at your request on ten (10) Bulk Soil samples delivered to our laboratory on 2/5/2021 for the above referenced project. Results include:

1.)	Sieve Analysis (ASTM D422 & D1140)	
	Laboratory I.D. #40599 - 40608	10 Each
2.)	Hydrometer Analysis (ASTM D422)	
	Laboratory I.D. #40599, 40600, 40603, 40604, 40605, 40606	6 Each

All requested tests have been completed on the previously received sample(s) for the above project. All sample remains are scheduled to be disposed of on 3/11/2021. Please notify PW Laboratories, Inc. by letter or telephone prior to 3/11/2021 if you would prefer to pick up the sample(s) or that the sample(s) be retained by PW Laboratories, Inc. for an additional period.

Thank you for this opportunity to work with you.

PW LABORATORIES, INC.

Khutto

Patrick J. Edmiston Laboratory Manager PJE/BLL



#### Sieve Analysis of Soil/Aggregate

Project Title: Laboratory Testing National Grid - Gloversville, New York Arcadis Project #48020236 P.O. #B0036652.0009

Project #: L-21003 ASTM D422 & D1140

Test Method:

**Report #:** 2

Report Date: February 11, 2021

				Sieve Size - Percent Passing Sieve														
Lab I.D. #	Sample I.D.	Depth (Feet)	3"	2"	1 1/2"	1"	3/4"	1/2"	3/8"	1/4"	#4	#10	#30	#40	#60	#100	#200	
40599	PDI-T-15-LB-MHWL	0.0 - 0.5	-	-	-	100	98.0	92.0	90.4	87.5	86.3	83.7	79.7	74.6	55.0	33.3	14.8	
40600	PDI-T-15-LB-MHWL	0.5 - 1.0	-	-	-	100	95.8	95.1	93.3	91.3	90.0	87.7	83.3	77.6	59.3	37.2	17.7	
40601	PDI-T-14-LB-MB	0.0 - 0.5	-	-	-	-	I	-	-	-	-	100	98.9	92.5	61.2	27.4	11.1	
40602	PDI-T-14-LB-MB	0.5 - 1.0	-	-	-	-	I	-	-	-	-	100	97.5	85.2	51.4	22.5	7.7	
40603	PDI-T-14-RB-MHWL	0.0 - 0.5	-	-	-	-	I	100	99.1	98.7	98.4	97.4	95.6	93.4	83.7	58.5	25.7	
40604	PDI-T-14-RB-MHWL	0.5 - 0.8	-	-	100	94.8	92.2	88.6	87.3	85.7	84.8	82.3	78.8	76.1	66.4	45.1	19.8	
40605	PDI-T-13-RB-MB	0.0 - 0.5	-	-	-	100	97.2	96.2	95.3	93.9	92.9	89.4	80.2	73.7	62.5	43.1	19.1	
40606	PDI-T-13-RB-MB	0.5 - 1.0	-	-	-	100	97.9	95.6	94.7	91.7	90.8	88.9	83.8	78.7	70.0	46.2	19.6	
40607	PDI-T-13-LB-MHWL	0.0 - 0.3	100	64.9	64.9	60.2	59.7	59.3	57.4	55.0	53.5	49.6	41.8	38.3	31.2	20.3	9.3	
40608	PDI-T-12-RB-MHWL	0.0 - 0.4	100	72.5	51.1	35.7	28.6	20.5	18.3	15.3	14.4	11.9	8.1	6.7	4.7	3.3	2.0	

Sample mass, as received, meets minim	um mass requirements of test method:	Yes	No	Х	Pr
Performed By: EA	Checked By: Patrick Edmiston				Entire Sample
Remarks:					Mass Retained on #200 Only

Not Prewashed:

Prewashed

Х


PW Laboratories, Inc.

6544 Fremont Road - East Syracuse, New York 13057

Hydrometer Analysis ASTM D422

Office 315.437.1420 ~ Fax 315.503-3058~ pwlabsinc@hotmail.com

Project #:	L-21003		
Report #:	4		
Date:	February 11, 2021		





PW Laboratories, Inc.

6544 Fremont Road - East Syracuse, New York 13057

Office 315.437.1420 ~ Fax 315.503-3058 ~ pwlabsinc@hotmail.com

Project #:	L-21003	
Report #:	5	
Date:	February 11, 2021	





PW Laboratories, Inc. 6544 Fremont Road - East Syracuse, New York 13057 Office 315.437.1420 ~ Fax 315.503-3058~ pwlabsinc@hotmail.com

Project #:	L-21003	
Report #:	6	
Date:	February 11, 2021	





PW Laboratories, Inc. 6544 Fremont Road - East Syracuse, New York 13057 Office 315.437.1420 ~ Fax 315.503-3058~ pwlabsinc@hotmail.com

Project #:	L-21003	
Report #:	7	
Date:	February 11, 2021	





PW Laboratories, Inc.

6544 Fremont Road - East Syracuse, New York 13057

Office 315.437.1420 ~ Fax 315.503-3058~ pwlabsinc@hotmail.com





Lab I.D.: 40605	Project #: L-21003
Sample I.D.: PDI-T-13-RB-MB	Project Title: Laboratory Testing
Depth (Feet): 0.0 - 0.5	National Grid - Gloversville
	Arcadis Project #48020236
	P.O. #B0036652.0009
Sieve Analysis ASTM D422 & D1140	
Hydrometer Analysis ASTM D422	



PW Laboratories, Inc. 6544 Fremont Road - East Syracuse, New York 13057 Office 315.437.1420 ~ Fax 315.503-3058~ pwlabsinc@hotmail.com

Project #:	L-21003	
Report #:	9	
Date:	February 11, 2021	

Sieves 3 2 1/2 2 1 1/2 1 3/4 1/2 3/8 Hydrometer 100 200 100 0 11 90 Percentages Finer by Weight  $\mathbf{b}$ 80 Ø 70 60 50 0 40 30 20 10 200 60 20 6 2 0.6 0.2 0.06 0.02 0.006 0.002 **Grain Size in Millimeters** Boulders Coarse Medium Fine Coarse Medium Fine Silt Clay Cobbles Gravel Gravel Gravel Sand Sand Sand 228 76.2 9.52 25.4 2.0 0.59 0.25 0.074 0.002 mm 9 in. 3 in. 1 in. 3/8 in. No. 10 No. 30 No. 60 No. 200 Lab I.D.: 40606 Project #: L-21003 Sample I.D.: PDI-T-13-RB-MB Project Title: Laboratory Testing Depth (Feet): 0.5 - 1.0 National Grid - Gloversville Arcadis Project #48020236 P.O. #B0036652.0009

Sieve Analysis ASTM D422 & D1140 Hydrometer Analysis ASTM D422



**Direct Image Logs** 



Direct.III.			PDI-CRB-1.0IHP
	Company:	Operator:	Date:
ImagetW	Parratt-Wolff, Inc.	Wayne Nielson	10/27/2020
By Geoprope Systems*	Project ID:	Client:	Location:
by deoptobe systems	20089	Arcadis	Gloversville

```
PDI-CRB-1.zip
```

SITE INFORMATION -- DIRECT IMAGE OIP+HPT PROBE

Geoprobe DI Acquisition Software for Windows Version: 3.3 Build: 19087

Pre-Log EC Load Tests

Test	Target (mS/m)	Actual (mS/m)	% Diff	P/F
Low	55.0	59.4	8.0	PASS
High	360.0	370.6	2.9	PASS

COMPANY: Parratt-Wolff, Inc. OPERATOR: Wayne Nielson PROJECT ID: 20089 CLIENT: Arcadis UNITS: ENGLISH PROBE AND ARRAY: OH6720 OIHPT GL520 IR with Top Dipole LOCATION: Gloversville 100 INCH STRING POT USED ROD LENGTH: 4 feet

FILTER SETTINGS
Filter:
FILTER NAME: 520GPFilterGB002
FILTER GUID: 7cecbdf7-568b-41ab-a593-1fb75c212e47
T1: H[0-45, 225-255] S[140-255] V[125-255]
T2: H[0-45, 225-255] S[0-139] V[165-255]

LOGGING MODE: 520

OIP PRE-LOG TEST Visual Target IR 1.0 Black Box 520nm 0.0 Diesel 520nm 97.4 Motor Oil 520nm 95.1

#### PRE TEST TIME: Tue Oct 27 2020 08:00:10

TEST	HPT PRESSURE (psi)	FLOW (mL/min)	HPT PRESSURE (kPa)
TOP with FLOW=0	13.628	0.0	93.960
TOP with FLOW>0	13.897	228.2	95.820
BOTTOM with FLOW=0	13.417	0.0	92.510
BOTTOM with FLOW>0	13.688	222.8	94.370

### EXPECTED FLOW=0 HPT DIFF.: 0.22 psi (1.5 kPa) +/- 10% ACTUAL FLOW=0 HPT DIFF.: 0.21 psi (1.5 kPa)

TRANSDUCER TEST PASSED

HPT IDEAL COEFFS: 2.2696e1,-2.2356 HPT SENSOR CAL NUMBERS: G-F3450H,0.0000,0.0000,0.0000,0.0000,2.5000,-2.6070e1 Probe advancement with HPT flow valve and/or pump switch turned off at 3.55 ft (1.082 m). Probe advancement with HPT flow valve and/or pump switch turned off at 3.60 ft (1.097 m). LOG START TIME: Tue Oct 27 2020 08:47:28

Probe advancement with HPT flow valve and/or pump switch turned off at 4.30 ft (1.311 m). LOG END DEPTH: 39.05 ft (11.902 m) LOG END TIME: Tue Oct 27 2020 09:37:35

LATITUDE: 0.00000000 LONGITUDE: 0.00000000 ELEVATION: 0.000 METERS 0.00 FEET GPS Quality: None

### OIP PRE/POST-LOG TEST RESULTS

Name	Light	Pre	Post	Dif
Visual Target	IR	1.0	63.2	62.3
Black Box	520nm	0.0	0.0	0.0
Diesel	520nm	97.4	94.5	2.9
Motor Oil	520nm	95.1	98.6	3.5

Frame grabber settings: Brightness: 111 Contrast: 140 Hue: 0 Saturation: 200

POST-LOG HPT REFERENCE TEST VALUES

POST TEST TIME: Tue Oct 27 2020 10:37:03

TEST	HPT PRESSURE (psi)	FLOW (mL/min)	HPT PRESSURE (kPa)
TOP with FLOW=0	13.591	0.0	93.710
TOP with FLOW>0	13.862	246.9	95.570
BOTTOM with FLOW=0	13.381	0.0	92.260
BOTTOM with FLOW>0	13.665	248.3	94.220

EXPECTED FLOW=0 HPT DIFF.: 0.22 psi (1.5 kPa) +/- 10% ACTUAL FLOW=0 HPT DIFF.: 0.21 psi (1.5 kPa)

TRANSDUCER TEST PASSED

Post-Log EC Load Tests

Test	Target (mS/m)	Actual (mS/m)	% Diff	P/F
Low	55.0	56.8	3.2	PASS
High	360.0	368.9	2.5	PASS

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* USER NOTES \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

Refusal at 39 feet



			File:
Direct.III.			PDI-CRB-2.0IHP
	Company:	Operator:	Date:
mage™∭	Parratt-Wolff, Inc.	Wayne Nielson	10/26/2020
(Geoprope Systems*	Project ID:	Client:	Location:
deoplose systems	20089	Arcadis	Gloversville

```
PDI-CRB-2.zip
```

SITE INFORMATION -- DIRECT IMAGE OIP+HPT PROBE

Geoprobe DI Acquisition Software for Windows Version: 3.3 Build: 19087

Pre-Log EC Load Tests

Test	Target (mS/m)	Actual (mS/m)	% Diff	P/F
Low	55.0	58.9	7.0	PASS
High	360.0	354.7	1.5	PASS

COMPANY: Parratt-Wolff, Inc. OPERATOR: Wayne Nielson PROJECT ID: 20089 CLIENT: Arcadis UNITS: ENGLISH PROBE AND ARRAY: OH6720 OIHPT GL520 IR with Top Dipole LOCATION: Gloversville 100 INCH STRING POT USED ROD LENGTH: 4 feet

FILTER SETTINGS
Filter:
FILTER NAME: 520GPFilterGB002
FILTER GUID: 7cecbdf7-568b-41ab-a593-1fb75c212e47
T1: H[0-45, 225-255] S[140-255] V[125-255]
T2: H[0-45, 225-255] S[0-139] V[165-255]

LOGGING MODE: 520

OIP PRE-LOG TEST Visual Target IR 24.1 Black Box 520nm 0.0 Diesel 520nm 92.8 Motor Oil 520nm 95.7

#### PRE TEST TIME: Mon Oct 26 2020 14:00:33

HPT PRESSURE (psi)	FLOW (mL/min)	HPT PRESSURE (kPa)
13.592	0.0	93.710
13.900	241.0	95.840
13.380	0.0	92.250
13.702	251.3	94.470
	HPT PRESSURE (psi) 13.592 13.900 13.380 13.702	HPT PRESSURE (psi)FLOW (mL/min)13.5920.013.900241.013.3800.013.702251.3

# EXPECTED FLOW=0 HPT DIFF.: 0.22 psi (1.5 kPa) +/- 10% ACTUAL FLOW=0 HPT DIFF.: 0.21 psi (1.5 kPa)

TRANSDUCER TEST PASSED

```
HPT IDEAL COEFFS: 2.2696e1,-2.2356
HPT SENSOR CAL NUMBERS: G-F3450H,0.0000,0.0000,0.0000,0.0000,2.5000,-2.6070e1
LOG START TIME: Mon Oct 26 2020 14:11:45
```

LOG END DEPTH: 43.55 ft (13.274 m) LOG END TIME: Mon Oct 26 2020 15:03:25

LATITUDE: 0.00000000 LONGITUDE: 0.00000000 ELEVATION: 0.000 METERS 0.00 FEET GPS Quality: None

OIP PRE/POST-LOG	G TEST	RESULTS		
Name	Light	Pre	Post	Dif
Visual Target	IR	24.1	0.4	23.7
Black Box	520nm	0.0	0.4	0.4
Diesel	520nm	92.8	96.9	4.1
Motor Oil	520nm	95.7	97.7	2.0

### POST TEST TIME: Mon Oct 26 2020 15:45:50

TEST	HPT PRESSURE (psi)	FLOW (mL/min)	HPT PRESSURE (kPa)
TOP with FLOW=0	13.549	0.0	93.420
TOP with FLOW>0	13.797	248.9	95.130
BOTTOM with FLOW=0	13.333	0.0	91.930
BOTTOM with FLOW>0	13.585	277.3	93.660

EXPECTED FLOW=0 HPT DIFF.: 0.22 psi (1.5 kPa) +/- 10% ACTUAL FLOW=0 HPT DIFF.: 0.22 psi (1.5 kPa)

TRANSDUCER TEST PASSED

Post-Log EC Load Tests

Test	Target (mS/m)	Actual (mS/m)	% Diff	P/F
Low	55.0	58.4	6.1	PASS
High	360.0	372.5	3.5	PASS



1			File:
Direct III			PDI-CRB-3.0IHP
	Company:	Operator:	Date:
magetW	Parratt-Wolff, Inc.	Wayne Nielson	10/27/2020
	Project ID:	Client:	Location:
y deoprobe systems	20089	Arcadis	Gloversville

```
PDI-CRB-3.zip
```

SITE INFORMATION -- DIRECT IMAGE OIP+HPT PROBE

Geoprobe DI Acquisition Software for Windows Version: 3.3 Build: 19087

Pre-Log EC Load Tests

Test	Target (mS/m)	Actual (mS/m)	% Diff	P/F
Low	55.0	59.6	8.4	PASS
High	360.0	365.9	1.6	PASS

COMPANY: Parratt-Wolff, Inc. OPERATOR: Wayne Nielson PROJECT ID: 20089 CLIENT: Arcadis UNITS: ENGLISH PROBE AND ARRAY: OH6720 OIHPT GL520 IR with Top Dipole LOCATION: Gloversville 100 INCH STRING POT USED ROD LENGTH: 4 feet

FILTER SETTINGS
Filter:
FILTER NAME: 520GPFilterGB002
FILTER GUID: 7cecbdf7-568b-41ab-a593-1fb75c212e47
T1: H[0-45, 225-255] S[140-255] V[125-255]
T2: H[0-45, 225-255] S[0-139] V[165-255]

LOGGING MODE: 520

OIP PRE-LOG TEST Visual Target IR 83.6 Black Box 520nm 0.0 Diesel 520nm 89.2 Motor Oil 520nm 95.9

#### PRE TEST TIME: Tue Oct 27 2020 14:34:46

TEST	HPT PRESSURE (psi)	FLOW (mL/min)	HPT PRESSURE (kPa)
TOP with FLOW=0	13.550	0.0	93.420
TOP with FLOW>0	13.858	243.0	95.550
BOTTOM with FLOW=0	13.337	0.0	91.950
BOTTOM with FLOW>0	13.629	234.8	93.970

### EXPECTED FLOW=0 HPT DIFF.: 0.22 psi (1.5 kPa) +/- 10% ACTUAL FLOW=0 HPT DIFF.: 0.21 psi (1.5 kPa)

#### TRANSDUCER TEST PASSED

```
HPT IDEAL COEFFS: 2.2696e1,-2.2356
HPT SENSOR CAL NUMBERS: G-F3450H,0.0000,0.0000,0.0000,0.0000,2.5000,-2.6070e1
LOG START TIME: Tue Oct 27 2020 14:47:09
```

LOG END DEPTH: 43.60 ft (13.289 m) LOG END TIME: Tue Oct 27 2020 15:35:24

LATITUDE: 0.00000000 LONGITUDE: 0.00000000 ELEVATION: 0.000 METERS 0.00 FEET GPS Quality: None

#### OIP PRE/POST-LOG TEST RESULTS Name Light Pre Post Dif Visual Target IR 83.6 46.5 37.1 Black Box 520nm 0.0 0.0 0.0 Diesel 520nm 89.2 99.3 10.1 Motor Oil 520nm 95.9 94.1 1.8

### POST TEST TIME: Tue Oct 27 2020 16:00:45

TEST	HPT PRESSURE (psi)	FLOW (mL/min)	HPT PRESSURE (kPa)
TOP with FLOW=0	13.562	0.0	93.510
TOP with FLOW>0	13.838	250.8	95.410
BOTTOM with FLOW=0	13.338	0.0	91.970
BOTTOM with FLOW>0	13.635	252.0	94.010
BOTTOM with FLOW=0 BOTTOM with FLOW>0	13.338 13.635	0.0 252.0	91.970 94.010

EXPECTED FLOW=0 HPT DIFF.: 0.22 psi (1.5 kPa) +/- 10% ACTUAL FLOW=0 HPT DIFF.: 0.22 psi (1.5 kPa)

TRANSDUCER TEST PASSED

Post-Log EC Load Tests

Test	Target (mS/m)	Actual (mS/m)	% Diff	P/F
Low	55.0	57.6	4.7	PASS
High	360.0	371.5	3.2	PASS

### \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* USER NOTES \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

The 10 percent spike is a false reading. Paused the log to take the probe out of the ground to check the window and the sun light gave us a reading.



			File:
Direct.III.			PDI-CRB-4.0IHP
	Company:	Operator:	Date:
mage₩	Parratt-Wolff, Inc.	Wayne Nielson	10/28/2020
	Project ID:	Client:	Location:
sy deoprobe systems 11	20089	Arcadis	Gloversville

```
PDI-CRB-4.zip
```

SITE INFORMATION -- DIRECT IMAGE OIP+HPT PROBE

Geoprobe DI Acquisition Software for Windows Version: 3.3 Build: 19087

Pre-Log EC Load Tests

Test	Target (mS/m)	Actual (mS/m)	% Diff	P/F
Low	55.0	59.3	7.9	PASS
High	360.0	349.0	3.0	PASS

COMPANY: Parratt-Wolff, Inc. OPERATOR: Wayne Nielson PROJECT ID: 20089 CLIENT: Arcadis UNITS: ENGLISH PROBE AND ARRAY: OH6720 OIHPT GL520 IR with Top Dipole LOCATION: Gloversville 100 INCH STRING POT USED ROD LENGTH: 4 feet

FILTER SETTINGS
Filter:
FILTER NAME: 520GPFilterGB002
FILTER GUID: 7cecbdf7-568b-41ab-a593-1fb75c212e47
T1: H[0-45, 225-255] S[140-255] V[125-255]
T2: H[0-45, 225-255] S[0-139] V[165-255]

LOGGING MODE: 520

OIP PRE-LOG TEST Visual Target IR 0.0 Black Box 520nm 0.0 Diesel 520nm 90.5 Motor Oil 520nm 95.4

#### PRE TEST TIME: Wed Oct 28 2020 08:11:00

HPT PRESSURE (psi)	FLOW (mL/min)	HPT PRESSURE (kPa)
13.506	0.0	93.120
13.844	262.9	95.450
13.300	0.0	91.700
13.648	258.1	94.100
	HPT PRESSURE (psi) 13.506 13.844 13.300 13.648	HPT PRESSURE (psi)FLOW (mL/min)13.5060.013.844262.913.3000.013.648258.1

# EXPECTED FLOW=0 HPT DIFF.: 0.22 psi (1.5 kPa) +/- 10% ACTUAL FLOW=0 HPT DIFF.: 0.21 psi (1.4 kPa)

TRANSDUCER TEST PASSED

```
HPT IDEAL COEFFS: 2.2696e1,-2.2356
HPT SENSOR CAL NUMBERS: G-F3450H,0.0000,0.0000,0.0000,0.0000,2.5000,-2.6070e1
LOG START TIME: Wed Oct 28 2020 08:24:06
```

LOG END DEPTH: 43.35 ft (13.213 m) LOG END TIME: Wed Oct 28 2020 09:16:04

LATITUDE: 0.00000000 LONGITUDE: 0.00000000 ELEVATION: 0.000 METERS 0.00 FEET GPS Quality: None

OIP PRE/POST-LO	G TEST	RESULTS		
Name	Light	Pre	Post	Dif
Visual Target	IR	0.0	68.0	68.0
Black Box	520nm	0.0	0.0	0.0
Diesel	520nm	90.5	94.5	4.1
Motor Oil	520nm	95.4	98.1	2.8

### POST TEST TIME: Wed Oct 28 2020 09:46:17

HPT PRESSURE (psi)	FLOW (mL/min)	HPT PRESSURE (kPa)
13.518	0.0	93.200
13.821	262.0	95.290
13.304	0.0	91.730
13.615	264.3	93.880
	HPT PRESSURE (psi) 13.518 13.821 13.304 13.615	HPT PRESSURE (psi)FLOW (mL/min)13.5180.013.821262.013.3040.013.615264.3

EXPECTED FLOW=0 HPT DIFF.: 0.22 psi (1.5 kPa) +/- 10% ACTUAL FLOW=0 HPT DIFF.: 0.21 psi (1.5 kPa)

TRANSDUCER TEST PASSED

Post-Log EC Load Tests

Test	Target (mS/m)	Actual (mS/m)	% Diff	P/F
Low	55.0	60.2	9.4	PASS
High	360.0	367.5	2.1	PASS

# Post-Log EC Troubleshooting Tests

	Test	Valu	le	P/F
Inst	rument	Calibration	Tests	
10	Ohms:	10.2	Ohms	PASS
100	Ohms:	100.2	Ohms	PASS
1000	Ohms:	1023.1	Ohms	PASS



			rile:
irect.III.			PDI-CRB-5.0IHP
	Company:	Operator:	Date:
nageNW	Parratt-Wolff, Inc.	Wayne Nielson	10/28/2020
annohe Systems"	Project ID:	Client:	Location:
toprobe systems	20089	Arcadis	Gloversville

```
PDI-CRB-5.zip
```

SITE INFORMATION -- DIRECT IMAGE OIP+HPT PROBE

Geoprobe DI Acquisition Software for Windows Version: 3.3 Build: 19087

Pre-Log EC Load Tests

Test	Target (mS/m)	Actual (mS/m)	% Diff	P/F
Low	55.0	60.0	9.2	PASS
High	360.0	368.2	2.3	PASS

COMPANY: Parratt-Wolff, Inc. OPERATOR: Wayne Nielson PROJECT ID: 20089 CLIENT: Arcadis UNITS: ENGLISH PROBE AND ARRAY: OH6720 OIHPT GL520 IR with Top Dipole LOCATION: Gloversville 100 INCH STRING POT USED ROD LENGTH: 4 feet

FILTER SETTINGS
Filter:
FILTER NAME: 520GPFilterGB002
FILTER GUID: 7cecbdf7-568b-41ab-a593-1fb75c212e47
T1: H[0-45, 225-255] S[140-255] V[125-255]
T2: H[0-45, 225-255] S[0-139] V[165-255]

LOGGING MODE: 520

OIP PRE-LOG TEST Visual Target IR 0.8 Black Box 520nm 0.0 Diesel 520nm 90.0 Motor Oil 520nm 98.4

#### PRE TEST TIME: Wed Oct 28 2020 10:07:46

TEST	HPT PRESSURE (psi)	FLOW (mL/min)	HPT PRESSURE (kPa)
TOP with FLOW=0	13.517	0.0	93.190
TOP with FLOW>0	13.838	265.3	95.410
BOTTOM with FLOW=0	13.301	0.0	91.710
BOTTOM with FLOW>0	13.629	262.3	93.970

# EXPECTED FLOW=0 HPT DIFF.: 0.22 psi (1.5 kPa) +/- 10% ACTUAL FLOW=0 HPT DIFF.: 0.22 psi (1.5 kPa)

TRANSDUCER TEST PASSED

```
HPT IDEAL COEFFS: 2.2696e1,-2.2356
HPT SENSOR CAL NUMBERS: G-F3450H,0.0000,0.0000,0.0000,0.0000,2.5000,-2.6070e1
LOG START TIME: Wed Oct 28 2020 10:44:20
```

LOG END DEPTH: 42.95 ft (13.091 m) LOG END TIME: Wed Oct 28 2020 11:18:07

LATITUDE: 0.00000000 LONGITUDE: 0.00000000 ELEVATION: 0.000 METERS 0.00 FEET GPS Quality: None

OIP PRE/POST-LO	G TEST	RESULTS		
Name	Light	Pre	Post	Dif
Visual Target	IR	0.8	0.7	0.1
Black Box	520nm	0.0	0.0	0.0
Diesel	520nm	90.0	93.3	3.3
Motor Oil	520nm	98.4	100.0	1.5

### POST TEST TIME: Wed Oct 28 2020 11:44:00

TEST	HPT PRESSURE (psi)	FLOW (mL/min)	HPT PRESSURE (kPa)
TOP with FIOW-0	13 522	0 0	03 230
TOP WICH FLOW-0	12 052		95.230
TOP WITH FLOW>0	13.852	258.9	95.510
BOTTOM with FLOW=0	13.300	0.0	91.700
BOTTOM with FLOW>0	13.642	258.0	94.060

EXPECTED FLOW=0 HPT DIFF.: 0.22 psi (1.5 kPa) +/- 10% ACTUAL FLOW=0 HPT DIFF.: 0.22 psi (1.5 kPa)

TRANSDUCER TEST PASSED

Post-Log EC Load Tests

Test	Target (mS/m)	Actual (mS/m)	% Diff	P/F
Low	55.0	60.2	9.5	PASS
High	360.0	369.2	2.6	PASS



			File:
Direct.III.			PDI-CRB-6.0IHP
	Company:	Operator:	Date:
mageNW	Parratt-Wolff, Inc.	Wayne Nielson	10/28/2020
Geoprope Systems <sup>*</sup>	Project ID:	Client:	Location:
deoprobe systems	20089	Arcadis	Gloversville

PDI-CRB-6.zip

SITE INFORMATION -- DIRECT IMAGE OIP+HPT PROBE

Geoprobe DI Acquisition Software for Windows Version: 3.3 Build: 19087

Pre-Log EC Load Tests

Test	Target (mS/m)	Actual (mS/m)	% Diff	P/F
Low	55.0	59.6	8.4	PASS
High	360.0	331.4	8.0	PASS

COMPANY: Parratt-Wolff, Inc. OPERATOR: Wayne Nielson PROJECT ID: 20089 CLIENT: Arcadis UNITS: ENGLISH PROBE AND ARRAY: OH6720 OIHPT GL520 IR with Top Dipole LOCATION: Gloversville 100 INCH STRING POT USED ROD LENGTH: 4 feet

FILTER SETTINGS
Filter:
FILTER NAME: 520GPFilterGB002
FILTER GUID: 7cecbdf7-568b-41ab-a593-1fb75c212e47
T1: H[0-45, 225-255] S[140-255] V[125-255]
T2: H[0-45, 225-255] S[0-139] V[165-255]

LOGGING MODE: 520

OIP PRE-LOG TEST Visual Target IR 28.8 Black Box 520nm 0.0 Diesel 520nm 95.0 Motor Oil 520nm 97.4

#### PRE TEST TIME: Wed Oct 28 2020 13:48:25

TEST	HPT PRESSURE (psi)	FLOW (mL/min)	HPT PRESSURE (kPa)
TOP with FLOW=0	13.516	0.0	93.190
TOP with FLOW>0	13.864	255.3	95.590
BOTTOM with FLOW=0	13.298	0.0	91.690
BOTTOM with FLOW>0	13.659	256.6	94.170

### EXPECTED FLOW=0 HPT DIFF.: 0.22 psi (1.5 kPa) +/- 10% ACTUAL FLOW=0 HPT DIFF.: 0.22 psi (1.5 kPa)

TRANSDUCER TEST PASSED

```
HPT IDEAL COEFFS: 2.2696e1,-2.2356
HPT SENSOR CAL NUMBERS: G-F345OH,0.0000,0.0000,0.0000,0.0000,2.5000,-2.6070e1
Probe advancement with HPT flow valve and/or pump switch turned off at 3.05 ft (0.930 m).
Probe advancement with HPT flow valve and/or pump switch turned off at 3.20 ft (0.975 m).
LOG START TIME: Wed Oct 28 2020 13:57:23
```

LOG END DEPTH: 43.00 ft (13.106 m) LOG END TIME: Wed Oct 28 2020 14:42:08

LATITUDE: 0.00000000 LONGITUDE: 0.00000000 ELEVATION: 0.000 METERS 0.00 FEET GPS Quality: None

OIP PRE/POST-LC	G TEST	RESULTS		
Name	Light	Pre	Post	Dif
Visual Target	IR	28.8	30.5	1.7
Black Box	520nm	0.0	0.0	0.0
Diesel	520nm	95.0	84.0	11.0
Motor Oil	520nm	97.4	87.6	9.9

Frame grabber settings: Brightness: 111 Contrast: 140 Hue: 0

# Saturation: 200

# POST-LOG HPT REFERENCE TEST VALUES

# POST TEST TIME: Wed Oct 28 2020 15:21:48

TEST	HPT PRESSURE (psi)	FLOW (mL/min)	HPT PRESSURE (kPa)
TOP with FLOW=0	13.488	0.0	93.000
TOP with FLOW>0	13.788	261.0	95.070
BOTTOM with FLOW=0	13.272	0.0	91.510
BOTTOM with FLOW>0	13.582	261.3	93.650

# EXPECTED FLOW=0 HPT DIFF.: 0.22 psi (1.5 kPa) +/- 10% ACTUAL FLOW=0 HPT DIFF.: 0.22 psi (1.5 kPa)

# TRANSDUCER TEST PASSED

# Post-Log EC Load Tests

Test	Target (mS/m)	Actual (mS/m)	% Diff	P/F
Low	55.0	59.9	8.9	PASS
High	360.0	371.9	3.3	PASS



PDI-CRB-7.zip

SITE INFORMATION -- DIRECT IMAGE OIP+HPT PROBE

Geoprobe DI Acquisition Software for Windows Version: 3.3 Build: 19087

Pre-Log EC Load Tests

Test	Target (mS/m)	Actual (mS/m)	% Diff	P/F
Low	55.0	60.3	9.6	PASS
High	360.0	342.3	4.9	PASS

COMPANY: Parratt-Wolff, Inc. OPERATOR: Wayne Nielson PROJECT ID: 20089 CLIENT: Arcadis UNITS: ENGLISH PROBE AND ARRAY: OH6720 OIHPT GL520 IR with Top Dipole LOCATION: Gloversville 100 INCH STRING POT USED ROD LENGTH: 4 feet

FILTER SETTINGS
Filter:
FILTER NAME: 520GPFilterGB002
FILTER GUID: 7cecbdf7-568b-41ab-a593-1fb75c212e47
T1: H[0-45, 225-255] S[140-255] V[125-255]
T2: H[0-45, 225-255] S[0-139] V[165-255]

LOGGING MODE: 520

OIP PRE-LOG TEST Visual Target IR 0.5 Black Box 520nm 0.0 Diesel 520nm 88.2 Motor Oil 520nm 93.5

#### PRE TEST TIME: Thu Oct 29 2020 07:51:41

TEST	HPT PRESSURE (psi)	FLOW (mL/min)	HPT PRESSURE (kPa)
TOP with FLOW=0	13.460	0.0	92.800
TOP with FLOW>0	13.782	251.2	95.020
BOTTOM with FLOW=0	13.260	0.0	91.420
BOTTOM with FLOW>0	13.575	236.9	93.600

# EXPECTED FLOW=0 HPT DIFF.: 0.22 psi (1.5 kPa) +/- 10% ACTUAL FLOW=0 HPT DIFF.: 0.20 psi (1.4 kPa)

TRANSDUCER TEST PASSED

```
HPT IDEAL COEFFS: 2.2696e1,-2.2356
HPT SENSOR CAL NUMBERS: G-F3450H,0.0000,0.0000,0.0000,0.0000,2.5000,-2.6070e1
LOG START TIME: Thu Oct 29 2020 08:27:43
```

LOG END DEPTH: 43.20 ft (13.167 m) LOG END TIME: Thu Oct 29 2020 09:05:49

LATITUDE: 0.00000000 LONGITUDE: 0.00000000 ELEVATION: 0.000 METERS 0.00 FEET GPS Quality: None

OIP PRE/POST-LO	G TEST	RESULTS		
Name	Light	Pre	Post	Dif
Visual Target	IR	0.5	64.3	63.7
Black Box	520nm	0.0	0.0	0.0
Diesel	520nm	88.2	85.2	2.9
Motor Oil	520nm	93.5	89.9	3.7

### POST TEST TIME: Thu Oct 29 2020 09:47:42

TEST	HPT PRESSURE (psi)	FLOW (mL/min)	HPT PRESSURE (kPa)
TOP with FLOW=0	13 467	0 0	92 850
TOP with FLOW>0	13.794	237.3	95.110
BOTTOM with FLOW=0	13.258	0.0	91.410
BOTTOM with FLOW>0	13.576	235.5	93.610

EXPECTED FLOW=0 HPT DIFF.: 0.22 psi (1.5 kPa) +/- 10% ACTUAL FLOW=0 HPT DIFF.: 0.21 psi (1.4 kPa)

TRANSDUCER TEST PASSED

Post-Log EC Load Tests

Test	Target (mS/m)	Actual (mS/m)	% Diff	P/F
Low	55.0	55.6	1.0	PASS
High	360.0	376.6	4.6	PASS



Direct.III.			PDI-CRB-8.0IHP
	Company:	Operator:	Date:
ImagetW	Parratt-Wolff, Inc.	Wayne Nielson	10/29/2020
By Geoprope Systems?	Project ID:	Client:	Location:
by deoptobe systems	20089	Arcadis	Gloversville
```
PDI-CRB-8.zip
```

SITE INFORMATION -- DIRECT IMAGE OIP+HPT PROBE

Geoprobe DI Acquisition Software for Windows Version: 3.3 Build: 19087

Pre-Log EC Load Tests

Test	Target (mS/m)	Actual (mS/m)	% Diff	P/F
Low	55.0	54.0	1.8	PASS
High	360.0	386.3	7.3	PASS

COMPANY: Parratt-Wolff, Inc. OPERATOR: Wayne Nielson PROJECT ID: 20089 CLIENT: Arcadis UNITS: ENGLISH PROBE AND ARRAY: OH6720 OIHPT GL520 IR with Top Dipole LOCATION: Gloversville 100 INCH STRING POT USED ROD LENGTH: 4 feet

FILTER SETTINGS
Filter:
FILTER NAME: 520GPFilterGB002
FILTER GUID: 7cecbdf7-568b-41ab-a593-1fb75c212e47
T1: H[0-45, 225-255] S[140-255] V[125-255]
T2: H[0-45, 225-255] S[0-139] V[165-255]

LOGGING MODE: 520

OIP PRE-LOG TEST Visual Target IR 78.6 Black Box 520nm 0.0 Diesel 520nm 82.7 Motor Oil 520nm 98.0

#### PRE TEST TIME: Thu Oct 29 2020 11:17:57

TEST	HPT PRESSURE (psi)	FLOW (mL/min)	HPT PRESSURE (kPa)
TOP with FLOW=0	13.524	0.0	93.240
TOP with FLOW>0	13.935	245.7	96.080
BOTTOM with FLOW=0	13.312	0.0	91.790
BOTTOM with FLOW>0	13.731	244.4	94.670

# EXPECTED FLOW=0 HPT DIFF.: 0.22 psi (1.5 kPa) +/- 10% ACTUAL FLOW=0 HPT DIFF.: 0.21 psi (1.5 kPa)

TRANSDUCER TEST PASSED

```
HPT IDEAL COEFFS: 2.2696e1,-2.2356
HPT SENSOR CAL NUMBERS: G-F3450H,0.0000,0.0000,0.0000,0.0000,2.5000,-2.6070e1
LOG START TIME: Thu Oct 29 2020 11:26:45
```

LOG END DEPTH: 38.00 ft (11.582 m) LOG END TIME: Thu Oct 29 2020 11:55:59

LATITUDE: 0.00000000 LONGITUDE: 0.00000000 ELEVATION: 0.000 METERS 0.00 FEET GPS Quality: None

OIP PRE/POST-LOG	G TEST	RESULTS		
Name	Light	Pre	Post	Dif
Visual Target	IR	78.6	97.7	19.1
Black Box	520nm	0.0	0.0	0.0
Diesel	520nm	82.7	98.3	15.6
Motor Oil	520nm	98.0	96.5	1.5

### POST TEST TIME: Thu Oct 29 2020 12:26:36

TEST	HPT PRESSURE (psi)	FLOW (mL/min)	HPT PRESSURE (kPa)
	12 500	0 0	
TOP WICH FLOW=0	13.500	0.0	93.080
TOP with FLOW>0	13.873	248.4	95.650
BOTTOM with FLOW=0	13.297	0.0	91.680
BOTTOM with FLOW>0	13.667	246.6	94.230

EXPECTED FLOW=0 HPT DIFF.: 0.22 psi (1.5 kPa) +/- 10% ACTUAL FLOW=0 HPT DIFF.: 0.20 psi (1.4 kPa)

TRANSDUCER TEST PASSED

Post-Log EC Load Tests

Test	Target (mS/m)	Actual (mS/m)	% Diff	P/F
Low	55.0	57.3	4.1	PASS
High	360.0	338.8	5.9	PASS



```
PDI-CRB-9.zip
```

SITE INFORMATION -- DIRECT IMAGE OIP+HPT PROBE

Geoprobe DI Acquisition Software for Windows Version: 3.3 Build: 19087

Pre-Log EC Load Tests

Test	Target (mS/m)	Actual (mS/m)	% Diff	P/F
Low	55.0	52.8	3.9	PASS
High	360.0	340.1	5.5	PASS

COMPANY: Parratt-Wolff, Inc. OPERATOR: Wayne Nielson PROJECT ID: 20089 CLIENT: Arcadis UNITS: ENGLISH PROBE AND ARRAY: OH6720 OIHPT GL520 IR with Top Dipole LOCATION: Gloversville 100 INCH STRING POT USED ROD LENGTH: 4 feet

FILTER SETTINGS
Filter:
FILTER NAME: 520GPFilterGB002
FILTER GUID: 7cecbdf7-568b-41ab-a593-1fb75c212e47
T1: H[0-45, 225-255] S[140-255] V[125-255]
T2: H[0-45, 225-255] S[0-139] V[165-255]

LOGGING MODE: 520

OIP PRE-LOG TEST Visual Target IR 52.0 Black Box 520nm 0.0 Diesel 520nm 85.8 Motor Oil 520nm 99.7

#### PRE TEST TIME: Thu Oct 29 2020 14:14:44

TEST	HPT PRESSURE (psi)	FLOW (mL/min)	HPT PRESSURE (kPa)
TOP with FLOW=0	13.468	0.0	92.860
TOP with FLOW>0	13.866	253.2	95.600
BOTTOM with FLOW=0	13.248	0.0	91.340
BOTTOM with FLOW>0	13.652	252.6	94.120

### EXPECTED FLOW=0 HPT DIFF.: 0.22 psi (1.5 kPa) +/- 10% ACTUAL FLOW=0 HPT DIFF.: 0.22 psi (1.5 kPa)

TRANSDUCER TEST PASSED

```
HPT IDEAL COEFFS: 2.2696e1,-2.2356
HPT SENSOR CAL NUMBERS: G-F345OH,0.0000,0.0000,0.0000,0.0000,2.5000,-2.6070e1
Probe advancement with HPT flow valve and/or pump switch turned off at 3.00 ft (0.914 m).
Probe advancement with HPT flow valve and/or pump switch turned off at 3.05 ft (0.930 m).
LOG START TIME: Thu Oct 29 2020 14:26:43
```

LOG END DEPTH: 37.55 ft (11.445 m) LOG END TIME: Thu Oct 29 2020 15:07:44

LATITUDE: 0.00000000 LONGITUDE: 0.00000000 ELEVATION: 0.000 METERS 0.00 FEET GPS Quality: None

OIP PRE/POST-LO	)G TEST	RESULTS		
Name	Light	Pre	Post	Dif
Visual Target	IR	52.0	1.2	50.8
Black Box	520nm	0.0	0.0	0.0
Diesel	520nm	85.8	79.8	6.0
Motor Oil	520nm	99.7	98.4	1.3

Frame grabber settings: Brightness: 111 Contrast: 140 Hue: 0

# Saturation: 200

# POST-LOG HPT REFERENCE TEST VALUES

# POST TEST TIME: Thu Oct 29 2020 15:40:45

TEST	HPT PRESSURE (psi)	FLOW (mL/min)	HPT PRESSURE (kPa)
TOP with FLOW=0	13.507	0.0	93.130
TOP with FLOW>0	13.882	250.2	95.720
BOTTOM with FLOW=0	13.298	0.0	91.680
BOTTOM with FLOW>0	13.667	249.5	94.230

# EXPECTED FLOW=0 HPT DIFF.: 0.22 psi (1.5 kPa) +/- 10% ACTUAL FLOW=0 HPT DIFF.: 0.21 psi (1.4 kPa)

# TRANSDUCER TEST PASSED

# Post-Log EC Load Tests

Test	Target (mS/m)	Actual (mS/m)	% Diff	P/F
Low	55.0	57.9	5.2	PASS
High	360.0	346.8	3.7	PASS



Direct.III.			PDI-CRB-10.OIHP
	Company:	Operator:	Date:
ImageW	Parratt-Wolff, Inc.	Wayne Nielson	10/30/2020
By Geoprope Systems?	Project ID:	Client:	Location:
by deoplose systems	20089	Arcadis	Gloversville

PDI-CRB-10.zip

SITE INFORMATION -- DIRECT IMAGE OIP+HPT PROBE

Geoprobe DI Acquisition Software for Windows Version: 3.3 Build: 19087

Pre-Log EC Load Tests

Test	Target (mS/m)	Actual (mS/m)	% Diff	P/F
Low	55.0	54.3	1.4	PASS
High	360.0	352.9	2.0	PASS

COMPANY: Parratt-Wolff, Inc. OPERATOR: Wayne Nielson PROJECT ID: 20089 CLIENT: Arcadis UNITS: ENGLISH PROBE AND ARRAY: OH6720 OIHPT GL520 IR with Top Dipole LOCATION: Gloversville 100 INCH STRING POT USED ROD LENGTH: 4 feet

FILTER SETTINGS
Filter:
FILTER NAME: 520GPFilterGB002
FILTER GUID: 7cecbdf7-568b-41ab-a593-1fb75c212e47
T1: H[0-45, 225-255] S[140-255] V[125-255]
T2: H[0-45, 225-255] S[0-139] V[165-255]

LOGGING MODE: 520

OIP PRE-LOG TEST Visual Target IR 2.6 Black Box 520nm 0.0 Diesel 520nm 79.0 Motor Oil 520nm 97.7

#### PRE TEST TIME: Fri Oct 30 2020 08:25:19

TEST	HPT PRESSURE (psi)	FLOW (mL/min)	HPT PRESSURE (kPa)
TOP with FLOW=0	13.539	0.0	93.350
TOP with FLOW>0	13.970	254.3	96.320
BOTTOM with FLOW=0	13.325	0.0	91.870
BOTTOM with FLOW>0	13.767	255.2	94.920

# EXPECTED FLOW=0 HPT DIFF.: 0.22 psi (1.5 kPa) +/- 10% ACTUAL FLOW=0 HPT DIFF.: 0.21 psi (1.5 kPa)

### TRANSDUCER TEST PASSED

```
HPT IDEAL COEFFS: 2.2696e1,-2.2356
HPT SENSOR CAL NUMBERS: G-F3450H,0.0000,0.0000,0.0000,0.0000,2.5000,-2.6070e1
LOG START TIME: Fri Oct 30 2020 08:54:36
```

LOG END DEPTH: 34.00 ft (10.363 m) LOG END TIME: Fri Oct 30 2020 09:31:10

LATITUDE: 0.00000000 LONGITUDE: 0.00000000 ELEVATION: 0.000 METERS 0.00 FEET GPS Quality: None

ATD DDE /DAGE I				
OIP PRE/POSI-LC	G IESI	RESULIS		
Name	Light	Pre	Post	Dif
Visual Target	IR	2.6	23.0	20.5
Black Box	520nm	0.0	0.0	0.0
Diesel	520nm	79.0	85.2	6.1
Motor Oil	520nm	97.7	98.7	1.0

### POST TEST TIME: Fri Oct 30 2020 09:59:54

TEST	HPT PRESSURE (psi)	FLOW (mL/min)	HPT PRESSURE (kPa)
TOP with FLOW=0	13.529	0.0	93,280
TOP with FLOW>0	13.942	254.7	96.130
BOTTOM with FLOW=0	13.322	0.0	91.850
BOTTOM with FLOW>0	13.742	255.5	94.750

EXPECTED FLOW=0 HPT DIFF.: 0.22 psi (1.5 kPa) +/- 10% ACTUAL FLOW=0 HPT DIFF.: 0.21 psi (1.4 kPa)

TRANSDUCER TEST PASSED

Post-Log EC Load Tests

Test	Target (mS/m)	Actual (mS/m)	% Diff	P/F
Low	55.0	57.0	3.6	PASS
High	360.0	342.4	4.9	PASS

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* USER NOTES \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

Refusal 34 feet



			File.
Direct.III.			PDI-CRB-11.OIHP
	Company:	Operator:	Date:
nage₩₩	Parratt-Wolff, Inc.	Wayne Nielson	10/30/2020
Geonrope Systems?	Project ID:	Client:	Location:
deoplobe systems	20089	Arcadis	Gloversville

PDI-CRB-11.zip

SITE INFORMATION -- DIRECT IMAGE OIP+HPT PROBE

Geoprobe DI Acquisition Software for Windows Version: 3.3 Build: 19087

Pre-Log EC Load Tests

Test	Target (mS/m)	Actual (mS/m)	% Diff	P/F
Low	55.0	57.3	4.1	PASS
High	360.0	342.4	4.9	PASS

COMPANY: Parratt-Wolff, Inc. OPERATOR: Wayne Nielson PROJECT ID: 20089 CLIENT: Arcadis UNITS: ENGLISH PROBE AND ARRAY: OH6720 OIHPT GL520 IR with Top Dipole LOCATION: Gloversville 100 INCH STRING POT USED ROD LENGTH: 4 feet

FILTER SETTINGS
Filter:
FILTER NAME: 520GPFilterGB002
FILTER GUID: 7cecbdf7-568b-41ab-a593-1fb75c212e47
T1: H[0-45, 225-255] S[140-255] V[125-255]
T2: H[0-45, 225-255] S[0-139] V[165-255]

LOGGING MODE: 520

OIP PRE-LOG TEST Visual Target IR 7.2 Black Box 520nm 0.0 Diesel 520nm 83.4 Motor Oil 520nm 95.5

#### PRE TEST TIME: Fri Oct 30 2020 10:10:04

TEST	HPT PRESSURE (psi)	FLOW (mL/min)	HPT PRESSURE (kPa)
TOP with FLOW=0	13.540	0.0	93.360
TOP with FLOW>0	13.969	259.4	96.320
BOTTOM with FLOW=0	13.327	0.0	91.890
BOTTOM with FLOW>0	13.766	265.9	94.910

### EXPECTED FLOW=0 HPT DIFF.: 0.22 psi (1.5 kPa) +/- 10% ACTUAL FLOW=0 HPT DIFF.: 0.21 psi (1.5 kPa)

#### TRANSDUCER TEST PASSED

HPT IDEAL COEFFS: 2.2696e1,-2.2356 HPT SENSOR CAL NUMBERS: G-F345OH,0.0000,0.0000,0.0000,0.0000,2.5000,-2.6070e1 Probe advancement with HPT flow valve and/or pump switch turned off at 4.00 ft (1.219 m). LOG START TIME: Fri Oct 30 2020 10:13:04

LOG END DEPTH: 30.30 ft (9.235 m) LOG END TIME: Fri Oct 30 2020 11:50:27

LATITUDE: 0.00000000 LONGITUDE: 0.00000000 ELEVATION: 0.000 METERS 0.00 FEET GPS Quality: None

OIP PRE/POST-LOG	G TEST	RESULTS		
Name	Light	Pre	Post	Dif
Visual Target	IR	7.2	41.3	34.2
Black Box	520nm	0.0	0.0	0.0
Diesel	520nm	83.4	85.4	2.0
Motor Oil	520nm	95.5	96.6	1.1

# POST TEST TIME: Fri Oct 30 2020 12:13:30

TEST	HPT PRESSURE (psi)	FLOW (mL/min)	HPT PRESSURE (kPa)
TOP with FLOW=0	13.562	0.0	93.510
TOP with FLOW>0	13.947	262.3	96.160
BOTTOM with FLOW=0	13.342	0.0	91.990
BOTTOM with FLOW>0	13.743	262.5	94.750

# EXPECTED FLOW=0 HPT DIFF.: 0.22 psi (1.5 kPa) +/- 10% ACTUAL FLOW=0 HPT DIFF.: 0.22 psi (1.5 kPa)

# TRANSDUCER TEST PASSED

# Post-Log EC Load Tests

Test	Target (mS/m)	Actual (mS/m)	% Diff	P/F
Low	55.0	58.5	6.4	PASS
High	360.0	342.8	4.8	PASS

#### \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* USER NOTES \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

Refusal 30.3



			File:
Direct.III.			PDI-DPB-1.OIHP
	Company:	Operator:	Date:
ImagetW	Parratt-Wolff, Inc.	Wayne Nielson	11/4/2020
By Geoprohe Systems*	Project ID:	Client:	Location:
by deoprose systems	20089	Arcadis	Gloversville

PDI-DPB-1.zip

SITE INFORMATION -- DIRECT IMAGE OIP+HPT PROBE

Geoprobe DI Acquisition Software for Windows Version: 3.3 Build: 19087

Pre-Log EC Load Tests

Test	Target (mS/m)	Actual (mS/m)	% Diff	P/F
Low	55.0	50.5	8.2	PASS
High	360.0	336.2	6.6	PASS

COMPANY: Parratt-Wolff, Inc. OPERATOR: Wayne Nielson PROJECT ID: 20089 CLIENT: Arcadis UNITS: ENGLISH PROBE AND ARRAY: OH6720 OIHPT GL520 IR with Top Dipole LOCATION: Gloversville 100 INCH STRING POT USED ROD LENGTH: 4 feet

FILTER SETTINGS
Filter:
FILTER NAME: 520GPFilterGB002
FILTER GUID: 7cecbdf7-568b-41ab-a593-1fb75c212e47
T1: H[0-45, 225-255] S[140-255] V[125-255]
T2: H[0-45, 225-255] S[0-139] V[165-255]

LOGGING MODE: 520

OIP PRE-LOG TEST Visual Target IR 91.1 Black Box 520nm 0.0 Diesel 520nm 83.5 Motor Oil 520nm 99.1

#### PRE TEST TIME: Wed Nov 4 2020 08:06:25

TEST	HPT PRESSURE (psi)	FLOW (mL/min)	HPT PRESSURE (kPa)
TOP with FLOW=0	13.773	0.0	94.960
TOP with FLOW>0	14.145	257.4	97.530
BOTTOM with FLOW=0	13.554	0.0	93.450
BOTTOM with FLOW>0	13.944	257.6	96.140

### EXPECTED FLOW=0 HPT DIFF.: 0.22 psi (1.5 kPa) +/- 10% ACTUAL FLOW=0 HPT DIFF.: 0.22 psi (1.5 kPa)

#### TRANSDUCER TEST PASSED

HPT IDEAL COEFFS: 2.2696e1,-2.2356 HPT SENSOR CAL NUMBERS: G-F345OH,0.0000,0.0000,0.0000,0.0000,2.5000,-2.6070e1 Probe advancement with HPT flow valve and/or pump switch turned off at 0.05 ft (0.015 m). LOG START TIME: Wed Nov 4 2020 08:13:00

LOG END DEPTH: 11.80 ft (3.597 m) LOG END TIME: Wed Nov 4 2020 08:32:10

LATITUDE: 0.00000000 LONGITUDE: 0.00000000 ELEVATION: 0.000 METERS 0.00 FEET GPS Quality: None

OIP PRE/POST-LOG	G TEST	RESULTS		
Name	Light	Pre	Post	Dif
Visual Target	IR	91.1	n/a	n/a
Black Box	520nm	0.0	0.0	0.0
Diesel	520nm	83.5	83.2	0.2
Motor Oil	520nm	99.1	99.7	0.6

#### POST TEST TIME: Wed Nov 4 2020 08:44:07

TEST	HPT PRESSURE (psi)	FLOW (mL/min)	HPT PRESSURE (kPa)
TOP with FLOW=0	13.733	0.0	94.680
TOP with FLOW>0	14.081	272.0	97.090
BOTTOM with FLOW=0	13.518	0.0	93.200
BOTTOM with FLOW>0	13.873	278.1	95.650
TOP with FLOW>0 BOTTOM with FLOW=0 BOTTOM with FLOW>0	14.081 13.518 13.873	272.0 0.0 278.1	97.090 93.200 95.650

# EXPECTED FLOW=0 HPT DIFF.: 0.22 psi (1.5 kPa) +/- 10% ACTUAL FLOW=0 HPT DIFF.: 0.22 psi (1.5 kPa)

# TRANSDUCER TEST PASSED

# Post-Log EC Load Tests

Test	Target (mS/m)	Actual (mS/m)	% Diff	P/F
Low	55.0	58.2	5.9	PASS
High	360.0	380.0	5.6	PASS

#### \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* USER NOTES \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

Refusal 12 feet



				File:
Direct.III.				PDI-DPB-1A.OIHP
		Company:	Operator:	Date:
Image WW		Parratt-Wolff, Inc.	Wayne Nielson	11/4/2020
Bu Goopproho Surtomet		Project ID:	Client:	Location:
by deoprobe systems	/	20089	Arcadis	Gloversville

PDI-DPB-1a.zip

SITE INFORMATION -- DIRECT IMAGE OIP+HPT PROBE

Geoprobe DI Acquisition Software for Windows Version: 3.3 Build: 19087

Pre-Log EC Load Tests

Test	Target (mS/m)	Actual (mS/m)	% Diff	P/F
Low	55.0	60.0	9.1	PASS
High	360.0	337.3	6.3	PASS

COMPANY: Parratt-Wolff, Inc. OPERATOR: Wayne Nielson PROJECT ID: 20089 CLIENT: Arcadis UNITS: ENGLISH PROBE AND ARRAY: OH6720 OIHPT GL520 IR with Top Dipole LOCATION: Gloversville 100 INCH STRING POT USED ROD LENGTH: 4 feet

FILTER SETTINGS
Filter:
FILTER NAME: 520GPFilterGB002
FILTER GUID: 7cecbdf7-568b-41ab-a593-1fb75c212e47
T1: H[0-45, 225-255] S[140-255] V[125-255]
T2: H[0-45, 225-255] S[0-139] V[165-255]

LOGGING MODE: 520

OIP PRE-LOG TEST Visual Target IR 47.5 Black Box 520nm 0.0 Diesel 520nm 87.0 Motor Oil 520nm 91.6

#### PRE TEST TIME: Wed Nov 4 2020 09:01:48

TEST	HPT PRESSURE (psi)	FLOW (mL/min)	HPT PRESSURE (kPa)
TOP with FLOW=0	13.743	0.0	94.750
TOP with FLOW>0	14.089	274.0	97.140
BOTTOM with FLOW=0	13.523	0.0	93.240
BOTTOM with FLOW>0	13.887	275.7	95.750

### EXPECTED FLOW=0 HPT DIFF.: 0.22 psi (1.5 kPa) +/- 10% ACTUAL FLOW=0 HPT DIFF.: 0.22 psi (1.5 kPa)

#### TRANSDUCER TEST PASSED

HPT IDEAL COEFFS: 2.2696e1,-2.2356 HPT SENSOR CAL NUMBERS: G-F345OH,0.0000,0.0000,0.0000,0.0000,2.5000,-2.6070e1 Probe advancement with HPT flow valve and/or pump switch turned off at 0.05 ft (0.015 m). LOG START TIME: Wed Nov 4 2020 09:06:10

LOG END DEPTH: 26.10 ft (7.955 m) LOG END TIME: Wed Nov 4 2020 09:35:39

LATITUDE: 0.00000000 LONGITUDE: 0.00000000 ELEVATION: 0.000 METERS 0.00 FEET GPS Quality: None

OIP PRE/POST-LOG	G TEST	RESULTS		
Name	Light	Pre	Post	Dif
Visual Target	IR	47.5	n/a	n/a
Black Box	520nm	0.0	n/a	n/a
Diesel	520nm	87.0	n/a	n/a
Motor Oil	520nm	91.6	n/a	n/a

#### POST TEST TIME: Wed Nov 4 2020 09:50:41

HPT PRESSURE (psi)	FLOW (mL/min)	HPT PRESSURE (kPa)
13.751	0.0	94.810
14.071	257.9	97.020
13.534	0.0	93.310
13.859	265.0	95.560
	HPT PRESSURE (psi) 13.751 14.071 13.534 13.859	HPT PRESSURE (psi)FLOW (mL/min)13.7510.014.071257.913.5340.013.859265.0

# EXPECTED FLOW=0 HPT DIFF.: 0.22 psi (1.5 kPa) +/- 10% ACTUAL FLOW=0 HPT DIFF.: 0.22 psi (1.5 kPa)

### TRANSDUCER TEST PASSED

# Post-Log EC Load Tests

Test	Target (mS/m)	Actual (mS/m)	% Diff	P/F
Low	55.0	52.7	4.2	PASS
High	360.0	365.7	1.6	PASS

#### \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* USER NOTES \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

Window broke at 25 feet



			File:
Direct.III.			PDI-DPB-2.OIHP
	Company:	Operator:	Date:
nage₩₩	Parratt-Wolff, Inc.	Wayne Nielson	11/3/2020
Geographe Systems*	Project ID:	Client:	Location:
deoplobe systems	20089	Arcadis	Gloversville

### PDI-DPB-2.zip

SITE INFORMATION -- DIRECT IMAGE OIP+HPT PROBE

Geoprobe DI Acquisition Software for Windows Version: 3.3 Build: 19087

Pre-Log EC Load Tests

Test	Target (mS/m)	Actual (mS/m)	% Diff	P/F
Low	55.0	59.7	8.5	PASS
High	360.0	353.8	1.7	PASS

COMPANY: Parratt-Wolff, Inc. OPERATOR: Wayne Nielson PROJECT ID: 20089 CLIENT: Arcadis UNITS: ENGLISH PROBE AND ARRAY: OH6720 OIHPT GL520 IR with Top Dipole LOCATION: Gloversville 100 INCH STRING POT USED ROD LENGTH: 4 feet

FILTER SETTINGS
Filter:
FILTER NAME: 520GPFilterGB002
FILTER GUID: 7cecbdf7-568b-41ab-a593-1fb75c212e47
T1: H[0-45, 225-255] S[140-255] V[125-255]
T2: H[0-45, 225-255] S[0-139] V[165-255]

LOGGING MODE: 520

OIP PRE-LOG TEST Visual Target IR 6.2 Black Box 520nm 0.0 Diesel 520nm 86.9 Motor Oil 520nm 94.4

#### PRE TEST TIME: Tue Nov 3 2020 15:13:50

TEST	HPT PRESSURE (psi)	FLOW (mL/min)	HPT PRESSURE (kPa)
TOP with FLOW=0	13.621	0.0	93.920
TOP with FLOW>0	14.055	257.1	96.910
BOTTOM with FLOW=0	13.404	0.0	92.420
BOTTOM with FLOW>0	13.845	258.1	95.460

### EXPECTED FLOW=0 HPT DIFF.: 0.22 psi (1.5 kPa) +/- 10% ACTUAL FLOW=0 HPT DIFF.: 0.22 psi (1.5 kPa)

TRANSDUCER TEST PASSED

HPT IDEAL COEFFS: 2.2696e1,-2.2356 HPT SENSOR CAL NUMBERS: G-F345OH,0.0000,0.0000,0.0000,0.0000,2.5000,-2.6070e1 Probe advancement with HPT flow valve and/or pump switch turned off at 0.00 ft (0.000 m). LOG START TIME: Tue Nov 3 2020 15:17:54

LOG END DEPTH: 33.45 ft (10.196 m) LOG END TIME: Tue Nov 3 2020 15:57:05

LATITUDE: 0.00000000 LONGITUDE: 0.00000000 ELEVATION: 0.000 METERS 0.00 FEET GPS Quality: None

OIP PRE/POST-LOG	G TEST	RESULTS		
Name	Light	Pre	Post	Dif
Visual Target	IR	6.2	n/a	n/a
Black Box	520nm	0.0	0.0	0.0
Diesel	520nm	86.9	9.0	77.9
Motor Oil	520nm	94.4	10.0	84.4

POST TEST TIME: Tue Nov 3 2020 16:27:43

POST-LOG HPT REFERENCE TESTS BYPASSED

# Post-Log EC Load Tests

Test	Target (mS/m)	Actual (mS/m)	% Diff	P/F
Low	55.0	59.8	8.8	PASS
High	360.0	360.4	0.1	PASS

# \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* USER NOTES \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

Window broke in probe



			File:
irect.III.			PDI-DPB-3.0IHP
	Company:	Operator:	Date:
nage*₩₩	Parratt-Wolff, Inc.	Wayne Nielson	10/22/2020
	Project ID:	Client:	Location:
oprobe systems	20089	Arcadis	Gloversville

### PDI-DPB-3.zip

SITE INFORMATION -- DIRECT IMAGE OIP+HPT PROBE

Geoprobe DI Acquisition Software for Windows Version: 3.3 Build: 19087

Pre-Log EC Load Tests

Test	Target (mS/m)	Actual (mS/m)	% Diff	P/F
Low	55.0	57.9	5.4	PASS
High	360.0	369.5	2.6	PASS

COMPANY: Parratt-Wolff, Inc. OPERATOR: Wayne Nielson PROJECT ID: 20089 CLIENT: Arcadis UNITS: ENGLISH PROBE AND ARRAY: OH6720 OIHPT GL520 IR with Top Dipole LOCATION: Gloversville 100 INCH STRING POT USED ROD LENGTH: 4 feet

FILTER SETTINGS
Filter:
FILTER NAME: 520GPFilterGB002
FILTER GUID: 7cecbdf7-568b-41ab-a593-1fb75c212e47
T1: H[0-45, 225-255] S[140-255] V[125-255]
T2: H[0-45, 225-255] S[0-139] V[165-255]

LOGGING MODE: 520

OIP PRE-LOG TEST Visual Target IR 54.2 Black Box 520nm 0.4 Diesel 520nm 98.4 Motor Oil 520nm 99.1

#### PRE TEST TIME: Thu Oct 22 2020 14:49:49

TEST	HPT PRESSURE (psi)	FLOW (mL/min)	HPT PRESSURE (kPa)
TOP with FLOW=0	13.641	0.0	94.050
TOP with FLOW>0	13.912	255.7	95.920
BOTTOM with FLOW=0	13.428	0.0	92.580
BOTTOM with FLOW>0	13.710	254.8	94.530

### EXPECTED FLOW=0 HPT DIFF.: 0.22 psi (1.5 kPa) +/- 10% ACTUAL FLOW=0 HPT DIFF.: 0.21 psi (1.5 kPa)

### TRANSDUCER TEST PASSED

```
HPT IDEAL COEFFS: 2.2696e1,-2.2356
HPT SENSOR CAL NUMBERS: G-F3450H,0.0000,0.0000,0.0000,0.0000,2.5000,-2.6070e1
LOG START TIME: Thu Oct 22 2020 14:55:27
```

LOG END DEPTH: 34.05 ft (10.378 m) LOG END TIME: Thu Oct 22 2020 15:45:19

LATITUDE: 0.00000000 LONGITUDE: 0.00000000 ELEVATION: 0.000 METERS 0.00 FEET GPS Quality: None

#### OIP PRE/POST-LOG TEST RESULTS Name Light Pre Post Dif Visual Target IR 54.2 0.3 54.0 Black Box 520nm 0.4 0.0 0.4 Diesel 520nm 98.4 97.6 0.9 98.9 Motor Oil 520nm 99.1 0.2

### POST TEST TIME: Thu Oct 22 2020 16:03:26

TEST	HPT PRESSURE (psi)	FLOW (mL/min)	HPT PRESSURE (kPa)
TOP with FLOW=0	13.623	0.0	93.930
TOP with FLOW>0	13.893	253.5	95.790
BOTTOM with FLOW=0	13.407	0.0	92.440
BOTTOM with FLOW>0	13.685	253.6	94.350

EXPECTED FLOW=0 HPT DIFF.: 0.22 psi (1.5 kPa) +/- 10% ACTUAL FLOW=0 HPT DIFF.: 0.22 psi (1.5 kPa)

TRANSDUCER TEST PASSED

Post-Log EC Load Tests

Test	Target (mS/m)	Actual (mS/m)	% Diff	P/F
Low	55.0	57.2	4.1	PASS
High	360.0	366.2	1.7	PASS



```
PDI-DPB-4.zip
```

SITE INFORMATION -- DIRECT IMAGE OIP+HPT PROBE

Geoprobe DI Acquisition Software for Windows Version: 3.3 Build: 19087

Pre-Log EC Load Tests

Test	Target (mS/m)	Actual (mS/m)	% Diff	P/F
Low	55.0	59.3	7.8	PASS
High	360.0	361.9	0.5	PASS

COMPANY: Parratt-Wolff, Inc. OPERATOR: Wayne Nielson PROJECT ID: 20089 CLIENT: Arcadis UNITS: ENGLISH PROBE AND ARRAY: OH6720 OIHPT GL520 IR with Top Dipole LOCATION: Gloversville 100 INCH STRING POT USED ROD LENGTH: 4 feet

FILTER SETTINGS
Filter:
FILTER NAME: 520GPFilterGB002
FILTER GUID: 7cecbdf7-568b-41ab-a593-1fb75c212e47
T1: H[0-45, 225-255] S[140-255] V[125-255]
T2: H[0-45, 225-255] S[0-139] V[165-255]

LOGGING MODE: 520

OIP PRE-LOG TEST Visual Target IR 56.4 Black Box 520nm 0.2 Diesel 520nm 97.0 Motor Oil 520nm 98.8

#### PRE TEST TIME: Thu Oct 22 2020 13:23:34

TEST	HPT PRESSURE (psi)	FLOW (mL/min)	HPT PRESSURE (kPa)
TOP with FLOW=0	13.649	0.0	94.110
TOP with FLOW>0	13.937	258.1	96.090
BOTTOM with FLOW=0	13.428	0.0	92.590
BOTTOM with FLOW>0	13.725	234.1	94.630

### EXPECTED FLOW=0 HPT DIFF.: 0.22 psi (1.5 kPa) +/- 10% ACTUAL FLOW=0 HPT DIFF.: 0.22 psi (1.5 kPa)

TRANSDUCER TEST PASSED

HPT IDEAL COEFFS: 2.2696e1,-2.2356 HPT SENSOR CAL NUMBERS: G-F3450H,0.0000,0.0000,0.0000,0.0000,2.5000,-2.6070e1 Probe advancement with HPT flow valve and/or pump switch turned off at 0.00 ft (0.000 m). LOG START TIME: Thu Oct 22 2020 13:25:52

Probe advancement with HPT flow valve and/or pump switch turned off at 6.00 ft (1.829 m). Probe advancement with HPT flow valve and/or pump switch turned off at 6.00 ft (1.829 m). LOG END DEPTH: 36.25 ft (11.049 m) LOG END TIME: Thu Oct 22 2020 14:20:56

LATITUDE: 0.00000000 LONGITUDE: 0.00000000 ELEVATION: 0.000 METERS 0.00 FEET GPS Quality: None

# OIP PRE/POST-LOG TEST RESULTS

Name	Lıght	Pre	Post	Dıf
Visual Target	IR	56.4	20.7	35.7
Black Box	520nm	0.2	0.4	0.2
Diesel	520nm	97.0	99.6	2.6
Motor Oil	520nm	98.8	99.0	0.2

Frame grabber settings: Brightness: 111 Contrast: 140 Hue: 0 Saturation: 200

POST-LOG HPT REFERENCE TEST VALUES

POST TEST TIME: Thu Oct 22 2020 14:39:50

TEST	HPT PRESSURE (psi)	FLOW (mL/min)	HPT PRESSURE (kPa)
TOP with FLOW=0	13.657	0.0	94.160
TOP with FLOW>0	13.919	250.3	95.970
BOTTOM with FLOW=0	13.432	0.0	92.610
BOTTOM with FLOW>0	13.698	253.1	94.450

EXPECTED FLOW=0 HPT DIFF.: 0.22 psi (1.5 kPa) +/- 10% ACTUAL FLOW=0 HPT DIFF.: 0.22 psi (1.5 kPa)

TRANSDUCER TEST PASSED

Post-Log EC Load Tests

Test	Target (mS/m)	Actual (mS/m)	% Diff	P/F
Low	55.0	57.7	4.9	PASS
High	360.0	369.5	2.6	PASS

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* USER NOTES \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

Refusal at 36.25



			File:
Direct.III.			PDI-DPB-5.0IHP
	Company:	Operator:	Date:
lmage WW	Parratt-Wolff, Inc.	Wayne Nielson	10/22/2020
By Geonrohe Systems <sup>*</sup>	Project ID:	Client:	Location:
by deoptobe systems 11	20089	Arcadis	Gloversville
```
PDI-DPB-5.zip
```

SITE INFORMATION -- DIRECT IMAGE OIP+HPT PROBE

Geoprobe DI Acquisition Software for Windows Version: 3.3 Build: 19087

Pre-Log EC Load Tests

Test	Target (mS/m)	Actual (mS/m)	% Diff	P/F
Low	55.0	60.3	9.5	PASS
High	360.0	369.7	2.7	PASS

COMPANY: Parratt-Wolff, Inc. OPERATOR: Wayne Nielson PROJECT ID: 20089 CLIENT: Arcadis UNITS: ENGLISH PROBE AND ARRAY: OH6720 OIHPT GL520 IR with Top Dipole LOCATION: Gloversville 100 INCH STRING POT USED ROD LENGTH: 4 feet

FILTER SETTINGS
Filter:
FILTER NAME: 520GPFilterGB002
FILTER GUID: 7cecbdf7-568b-41ab-a593-1fb75c212e47
T1: H[0-45, 225-255] S[140-255] V[125-255]
T2: H[0-45, 225-255] S[0-139] V[165-255]

LOGGING MODE: 520

OIP PRE-LOG TEST Visual Target IR 95.9 Black Box 520nm 0.0 Diesel 520nm 99.3 Motor Oil 520nm 99.7

#### PRE TEST TIME: Thu Oct 22 2020 11:02:15

TEST	HPT PRESSURE (psi)	FLOW (mL/min)	HPT PRESSURE (kPa)
TOP with FLOW=0	13.684	0.0	94.350
TOP with FLOW>0	13.956	253.6	96.220
BOTTOM with FLOW=0	13.461	0.0	92.810
BOTTOM with FLOW>0	13.751	253.3	94.810

### EXPECTED FLOW=0 HPT DIFF.: 0.22 psi (1.5 kPa) +/- 10% ACTUAL FLOW=0 HPT DIFF.: 0.22 psi (1.5 kPa)

TRANSDUCER TEST PASSED

HPT IDEAL COEFFS: 2.2696e1,-2.2356 HPT SENSOR CAL NUMBERS: G-F345OH,0.0000,0.0000,0.0000,0.0000,2.5000,-2.6070e1 Probe advancement with HPT flow valve and/or pump switch turned off at 0.05 ft (0.015 m). LOG START TIME: Thu Oct 22 2020 11:11:21

LOG END DEPTH: 37.55 ft (11.445 m) LOG END TIME: Thu Oct 22 2020 12:08:07

LATITUDE: 0.00000000 LONGITUDE: 0.00000000 ELEVATION: 0.000 METERS 0.00 FEET GPS Quality: None

OIP PRE/POST-LOG	G TEST	RESULTS		
Name	Light	Pre	Post	Dif
Visual Target	IR	95.9	9.3	86.5
Black Box	520nm	0.0	0.1	0.0
Diesel	520nm	99.3	99.8	0.5
Motor Oil	520nm	99.7	98.6	1.1

## POST TEST TIME: Thu Oct 22 2020 12:24:13

TEST	HPT PRESSURE (psi)	FLOW (mL/min)	HPT PRESSURE (kPa)
TOP with FLOW=0	13.683	0.0	94.340
TOP with FLOW>0	13.933	253.4	96.070
BOTTOM with FLOW=0	13.464	0.0	92.830
BOTTOM with FLOW>0	13.729	252.6	94.660

## EXPECTED FLOW=0 HPT DIFF.: 0.22 psi (1.5 kPa) +/- 10% ACTUAL FLOW=0 HPT DIFF.: 0.22 psi (1.5 kPa)

## TRANSDUCER TEST PASSED

## Post-Log EC Load Tests

Test	Target (mS/m)	Actual (mS/m)	% Diff	P/F
Low	55.0	57.8	5.0	PASS
High	360.0	369.5	2.7	PASS

#### \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* USER NOTES \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

Refusal at 37.5



Direct.III.			File: PDI-DPB-6.OIHP
	Company:	Operator:	Date:
ImageW	Parratt-Wolff, Inc.	Wayne Nielson	10/22/2020
By Geoprope Systems*	Project ID:	Client:	Location:
by deoprose systems	20089	Arcadis	Gloversville

```
PDI-DPB-6.zip
```

SITE INFORMATION -- DIRECT IMAGE OIP+HPT PROBE Geoprobe DI Acquisition Software for Windows Version: 3.3 Build: 19087

Pre-Log EC Load Tests

Test	Target (mS/m)	Actual (mS/m)	% Diff	P/F
Low	55.0	59.8	8.7	PASS
High	360.0	368.0	2.2	PASS

COMPANY: Parratt-Wolff, Inc. OPERATOR: Wayne Nielson PROJECT ID: 20089 CLIENT: Arcadis UNITS: ENGLISH PROBE AND ARRAY: OH6720 OIHPT GL520 IR with Top Dipole LOCATION: Gloversville 100 INCH STRING POT USED ROD LENGTH: 4 feet

FILTER SETTINGS
Filter:
FILTER NAME: 520GPFilterGB002
FILTER GUID: 7cecbdf7-568b-41ab-a593-1fb75c212e47
T1: H[0-45, 225-255] S[140-255] V[125-255]
T2: H[0-45, 225-255] S[0-139] V[165-255]

LOGGING MODE: 520

OIP PRE-LOG TEST Visual Target IR 70.7 Black Box 520nm 0.4 Diesel 520nm 99.8 Motor Oil 520nm 98.7

#### PRE TEST TIME: Thu Oct 22 2020 09:44:03

TEST	HPT PRESSURE (psi)	FLOW (mL/min)	HPT PRESSURE (kPa)
TOP with FLOW=0	13.657	0.0	94.160
TOP with FLOW>0	13.959	256.6	96.240
BOTTOM with FLOW=0	13.440	0.0	92.670
BOTTOM with FLOW>0	13.737	254.2	94.720

### EXPECTED FLOW=0 HPT DIFF.: 0.22 psi (1.5 kPa) +/- 10% ACTUAL FLOW=0 HPT DIFF.: 0.22 psi (1.5 kPa)

TRANSDUCER TEST PASSED

HPT IDEAL COEFFS: 2.2696e1,-2.2356 HPT SENSOR CAL NUMBERS: G-F3450H,0.0000,0.0000,0.0000,0.0000,2.5000,-2.6070e1 Probe advancement with HPT flow valve and/or pump switch turned off at 0.05 ft (0.015 m). LOG START TIME: Thu Oct 22 2020 09:46:22

LOG END DEPTH: 42.40 ft (12.924 m) LOG END TIME: Thu Oct 22 2020 10:35:35

LATITUDE: 0.00000000 LONGITUDE: 0.00000000 ELEVATION: 0.000 METERS 0.00 FEET GPS Quality: None

OIP PRE/POST-LOG	G TEST	RESULTS		
Name	Light	Pre	Post	Dif
Visual Target	IR	70.7	69.5	1.2
Black Box	520nm	0.4	0.1	0.3
Diesel	520nm	99.8	100.0	0.2
Motor Oil	520nm	98.7	99.4	0.7

### POST TEST TIME: Thu Oct 22 2020 10:54:23

TEST	HPT PRESSURE (psi)	FLOW (mL/min)	HPT PRESSURE (kPa)
TOP with FLOW=0	13.682	0.0	94.330
TOP with FLOW>0	13.945	252.9	96.150
BOTTOM with FLOW=0	13.459	0.0	92.790
BOTTOM with FLOW>0	13.741	255.2	94.740

## EXPECTED FLOW=0 HPT DIFF.: 0.22 psi (1.5 kPa) +/- 10% ACTUAL FLOW=0 HPT DIFF.: 0.22 psi (1.5 kPa)

## TRANSDUCER TEST PASSED

Test	Target (mS/m)	Actual (mS/m)	% Diff	P/F
Low	55.0	60.0	9.0	PASS
High	360.0	370.0	2.8	PASS



 Direct
 File:

 Direct
 PDI-DPB-7.0IHP

 Date:
 Parratt-Wolff, Inc.
 Operator:

 Project ID:
 Operator:
 Date:

 20089
 Arcadis
 Gloversville

```
PDI-DPB-7.zip
```

SITE INFORMATION -- DIRECT IMAGE OIP+HPT PROBE

Geoprobe DI Acquisition Software for Windows Version: 3.3 Build: 19087

Pre-Log EC Load Tests

Test	Target (mS/m)	Actual (mS/m)	% Diff	P/F
Low	55.0	58.0	5.5	PASS
High	360.0	363.1	0.9	PASS

COMPANY: Parratt-Wolff, Inc. OPERATOR: Wayne Nielson PROJECT ID: 20089 CLIENT: Arcadis UNITS: ENGLISH PROBE AND ARRAY: OH6720 OIHPT GL520 IR with Top Dipole LOCATION: Gloversville 100 INCH STRING POT USED ROD LENGTH: 4 feet

FILTER SETTINGS
Filter:
FILTER NAME: 520GPFilterGB002
FILTER GUID: 7cecbdf7-568b-41ab-a593-1fb75c212e47
T1: H[0-45, 225-255] S[140-255] V[125-255]
T2: H[0-45, 225-255] S[0-139] V[165-255]

LOGGING MODE: 520

OIP PRE-LOG TEST Visual Target IR 34.5 Black Box 520nm 0.1 Diesel 520nm 97.9 Motor Oil 520nm 97.9

#### PRE TEST TIME: Thu Oct 22 2020 07:42:24

HPT PRESSURE (psi)	FLOW (mL/min)	HPT PRESSURE (kPa)
13.632	0.0	93.990
13.973	262.2	96.340
13.428	0.0	92.580
13.773	260.0	94.960
	HPT PRESSURE (psi) 13.632 13.973 13.428 13.773	HPT PRESSURE (psi)FLOW (mL/min)13.6320.013.973262.213.4280.013.773260.0

## EXPECTED FLOW=0 HPT DIFF.: 0.22 psi (1.5 kPa) +/- 10% ACTUAL FLOW=0 HPT DIFF.: 0.20 psi (1.4 kPa)

TRANSDUCER TEST PASSED

```
HPT IDEAL COEFFS: 2.2696e1,-2.2356
HPT SENSOR CAL NUMBERS: G-F3450H,0.0000,0.0000,0.0000,0.0000,2.5000,-2.6070e1
LOG START TIME: Thu Oct 22 2020 07:49:27
```

LOG END DEPTH: 42.95 ft (13.091 m) LOG END TIME: Thu Oct 22 2020 09:03:43

LATITUDE: 0.00000000 LONGITUDE: 0.00000000 ELEVATION: 0.000 METERS 0.00 FEET GPS Quality: None

OIP PRE/POST-LOG	G TEST	RESULTS		
Name	Light	Pre	Post	Dif
Visual Target	IR	34.5	76.1	41.6
Black Box	520nm	0.1	0.1	0.0
Diesel	520nm	97.9	88.9	9.0
Motor Oil	520nm	97.9	96.8	1.0

### POST TEST TIME: Thu Oct 22 2020 09:28:08

TEST	HPT PRESSURE (psi)	FLOW (mL/min)	HPT PRESSURE (kPa)
TOP with FLOW=0	13.651	0.0	94.120
TOP with FLOW>0	13.972	255.4	96.330
BOTTOM with FLOW=0	13.442	0.0	92.680
BOTTOM with FLOW>0	13.742	251.7	94.750

EXPECTED FLOW=0 HPT DIFF.: 0.22 psi (1.5 kPa) +/- 10% ACTUAL FLOW=0 HPT DIFF.: 0.21 psi (1.4 kPa)

TRANSDUCER TEST PASSED

Test	Target (mS/m)	Actual (mS/m)	% Diff	P/F
Low	55.0	58.8	7.0	PASS
High	360.0	369.4	2.6	PASS



Direct III			File: PDI-DPB-8.OIHP
DILECTAN	Company:	Operator:	Date:
Image WV	Parratt-Wolff, Inc.	Wayne Nielson	10/21/2020
	Project ID:	Client:	Location:
by deoplote systems	20089	Arcadis	Gloversville

```
PDI-DPB-8.zip
```

SITE INFORMATION -- DIRECT IMAGE OIP+HPT PROBE

Geoprobe DI Acquisition Software for Windows Version: 3.3 Build: 19087

Pre-Log EC Load Tests

Test	Target (mS/m)	Actual (mS/m)	% Diff	P/F
Low	55.0	57.3	4.1	PASS
High	360.0	365.3	1.5	PASS

COMPANY: Parratt-Wolff, Inc. OPERATOR: Wayne Nielson PROJECT ID: 20089 CLIENT: Arcadis UNITS: ENGLISH PROBE AND ARRAY: OH6720 OIHPT GL520 IR with Top Dipole LOCATION: Gloversville 100 INCH STRING POT USED ROD LENGTH: 4 feet

FILTER SETTINGS
Filter:
FILTER NAME: 520GPFilterGB002
FILTER GUID: 7cecbdf7-568b-41ab-a593-1fb75c212e47
T1: H[0-45, 225-255] S[140-255] V[125-255]
T2: H[0-45, 225-255] S[0-139] V[165-255]

LOGGING MODE: 520

OIP PRE-LOG TEST Visual Target IR 27.3 Black Box 520nm 0.2 Diesel 520nm 98.4 Motor Oil 520nm 98.4

#### PRE TEST TIME: Wed Oct 21 2020 15:05:18

TEST	HPT PRESSURE (psi)	FLOW (mL/min)	HPT PRESSURE (kPa)
TOP with FLOW=0	13.607	0.0	93.810
TOP with FLOW>0	13.865	246.6	95.600
BOTTOM with FLOW=0	13.374	0.0	92.210
BOTTOM with FLOW>0	13.656	245.2	94.160

### EXPECTED FLOW=0 HPT DIFF.: 0.22 psi (1.5 kPa) +/- 10% ACTUAL FLOW=0 HPT DIFF.: 0.23 psi (1.6 kPa)

#### TRANSDUCER TEST PASSED

HPT IDEAL COEFFS: 2.2696e1,-2.2356 HPT SENSOR CAL NUMBERS: G-F3450H,0.0000,0.0000,0.0000,0.0000,2.5000,-2.6070e1 LOG START TIME: Wed Oct 21 2020 15:09:38

Probe advancement with HPT flow valve and/or pump switch turned off at 10.45 ft (3.185 m). LOG END DEPTH: 42.40 ft (12.924 m) LOG END TIME: Wed Oct 21 2020 15:58:25

LATITUDE: 0.00000000 LONGITUDE: 0.00000000 ELEVATION: 0.000 METERS 0.00 FEET GPS Quality: None

# OIP PRE/POST-LOG TEST RESULTS Name Light Pre Post Dif

Visual Target	IR	27.3	26.6	0.7
Black Box	520nm	0.2	0.0	0.2
Diesel	520nm	98.4	95.6	2.7
Motor Oil	520nm	98.4	92.1	6.2

## POST TEST TIME: Wed Oct 21 2020 16:16:34

TEST	HPT PRESSURE (psi)	FLOW (mL/min)	HPT PRESSURE (kPa)
TOP with FLOW=0	13.598	0.0	93.750
TOP with FLOW>0	13.871	255.7	95.640
BOTTOM with FLOW=0	13.383	0.0	92.270
BOTTOM with FLOW>0	13.668	254.8	94.240

## EXPECTED FLOW=0 HPT DIFF.: 0.22 psi (1.5 kPa) +/- 10% ACTUAL FLOW=0 HPT DIFF.: 0.22 psi (1.5 kPa)

TRANSDUCER TEST PASSED

Test	Target (mS/m)	Actual (mS/m)	% Diff	P/F
Low	55.0	59.2	7.6	PASS
High	360.0	370.0	2.8	PASS



### PDI-DPB-9.zip

SITE INFORMATION -- DIRECT IMAGE OIP+HPT PROBE

Geoprobe DI Acquisition Software for Windows Version: 3.3 Build: 19087

Pre-Log EC Load Tests

Test	Target (mS/m)	Actual (mS/m)	% Diff	P/F
Low	55.0	56.4	2.5	PASS
High	360.0	366.6	1.8	PASS

COMPANY: Parratt-Wolff, Inc. OPERATOR: Wayne Nielson PROJECT ID: 20089 CLIENT: Arcadis UNITS: ENGLISH PROBE AND ARRAY: OH6720 OIHPT GL520 IR with Top Dipole LOCATION: Gloversville 100 INCH STRING POT USED ROD LENGTH: 4 feet

FILTER SETTINGS
Filter:
FILTER NAME: 520GPFilterGB002
FILTER GUID: 7cecbdf7-568b-41ab-a593-1fb75c212e47
T1: H[0-45, 225-255] S[140-255] V[125-255]
T2: H[0-45, 225-255] S[0-139] V[165-255]

LOGGING MODE: 520

OIP PRE-LOG TEST Visual Target IR 78.0 Black Box 520nm 0.2 Diesel 520nm 99.0 Motor Oil 520nm 95.8

#### PRE TEST TIME: Wed Oct 21 2020 10:37:19

HPT PRESSURE (psi)	FLOW (mL/min)	HPT PRESSURE (kPa)
13.560	0.0	93.490
13.886	268.4	95.740
13.356	0.0	92.090
13.673	269.8	94.270
	HPT PRESSURE (psi) 13.560 13.886 13.356 13.673	HPT PRESSURE (psi)FLOW (mL/min)13.5600.013.886268.413.3560.013.673269.8

## EXPECTED FLOW=0 HPT DIFF.: 0.22 psi (1.5 kPa) +/- 10% ACTUAL FLOW=0 HPT DIFF.: 0.20 psi (1.4 kPa)

### TRANSDUCER TEST PASSED

HPT IDEAL COEFFS: 2.2696e1,-2.2356 HPT SENSOR CAL NUMBERS: G-F3450H,0.0000,0.0000,0.0000,0.0000,2.5000,-2.6070e1 LOG START TIME: Wed Oct 21 2020 10:39:29

Probe advancement with HPT flow valve and/or pump switch turned off at 8.85 ft (2.697 m). LOG END DEPTH: 27.00 ft (8.230 m) LOG END TIME: Wed Oct 21 2020 11:29:17

LATITUDE: 0.00000000 LONGITUDE: 0.00000000 ELEVATION: 0.000 METERS 0.00 FEET GPS Quality: None

OIP PRE/POST-LO	G TEST	RESULTS		
Name	Light	Pre	Post	Dif
Visual Target	IR	78.0	91.5	13.5
Black Box	520nm	0.2	0.2	0.0
Diesel	520nm	99.0	99.4	0.4
Motor Oil	520nm	95.8	98.9	3.1

POST TEST TIME: Wed Oct 21 2020 11:47:02

POST-LOG HPT REFERENCE TESTS BYPASSED

## Post-Log EC Load Tests

Test	Target (mS/m)	Actual (mS/m)	% Diff	P/F
Low	55.0	56.7	3.1	PASS
High	360.0	365.9	1.6	PASS

## \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* USER NOTES \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

Probems with HPT at 26 feet pulled probe to repair.



				File:
Direct.III.				PDI-DPB-9A.OIHP
	Comp	any:	Operator:	Date:
magetW		Parratt-Wolff, Inc.	Wayne Nielson	10/21/2020
By Geoprope Systems*	Projec	et ID:	Client:	Location:
by deoplobe systems	/	20089	Arcadis	Gloversville

### PDI-DPB-9a.zip

SITE INFORMATION -- DIRECT IMAGE OIP+HPT PROBE

Geoprobe DI Acquisition Software for Windows Version: 3.3 Build: 19087

Pre-Log EC Load Tests

Test	Target (mS/m)	Actual (mS/m)	% Diff	P/F
Low	55.0	57.8	5.2	PASS
High	360.0	365.3	1.5	PASS

COMPANY: Parratt-Wolff, Inc. OPERATOR: Wayne Nielson PROJECT ID: 20089 CLIENT: Arcadis UNITS: ENGLISH PROBE AND ARRAY: OH6720 OIHPT GL520 IR with Top Dipole LOCATION: Gloversville 100 INCH STRING POT USED ROD LENGTH: 4 feet

FILTER SETTINGS
Filter:
FILTER NAME: 520GPFilterGB002
FILTER GUID: 7cecbdf7-568b-41ab-a593-1fb75c212e47
T1: H[0-45, 225-255] S[140-255] V[125-255]
T2: H[0-45, 225-255] S[0-139] V[165-255]

LOGGING MODE: 520

OIP PRE-LOG TEST Visual Target IR 41.5 Black Box 520nm 0.1 Diesel 520nm 94.8 Motor Oil 520nm 90.4

#### PRE TEST TIME: Wed Oct 21 2020 13:44:57

TEST	HPT PRESSURE (psi)	FLOW (mL/min)	HPT PRESSURE (kPa)
TOP with FLOW=0	13.569	0.0	93.560
TOP with FLOW>0	13.925	247.1	96.010
BOTTOM with FLOW=0	13.355	0.0	92.080
BOTTOM with FLOW>0	13.701	251.2	94.460

### EXPECTED FLOW=0 HPT DIFF.: 0.22 psi (1.5 kPa) +/- 10% ACTUAL FLOW=0 HPT DIFF.: 0.21 psi (1.5 kPa)

#### TRANSDUCER TEST PASSED

HPT IDEAL COEFFS: 2.2696e1,-2.2356 HPT SENSOR CAL NUMBERS: G-F345OH,0.0000,0.0000,0.0000,0.0000,2.5000,-2.6070e1 Probe advancement with HPT flow valve and/or pump switch turned off at 0.05 ft (0.015 m). LOG START TIME: Wed Oct 21 2020 13:47:24

LOG END DEPTH: 42.55 ft (12.969 m) LOG END TIME: Wed Oct 21 2020 14:25:50

LATITUDE: 0.00000000 LONGITUDE: 0.00000000 ELEVATION: 0.000 METERS 0.00 FEET GPS Quality: None

/				
OIP PRE/POST-LOC	G TEST	RESULTS		
Name	Light	Pre	Post	Dif
Visual Target	IR	41.5	19.6	21.9
Black Box	520nm	0.1	0.1	0.0
Diesel	520nm	94.8	99.6	4.9
Motor Oil	520nm	90.4	93.6	3.2

### POST TEST TIME: Wed Oct 21 2020 14:46:31

TEST	HPT PRESSURE (psi)	FLOW (mL/min)	HPT PRESSURE (kPa)
TOP with FLOW=0	13.594	0.0	93.720
TOP with FLOW>0	13.894	256.5	95.790
BOTTOM with FLOW=0	13.365	0.0	92.150
BOTTOM with FLOW>0	13.684	258.4	94.350

## EXPECTED FLOW=0 HPT DIFF.: 0.22 psi (1.5 kPa) +/- 10% ACTUAL FLOW=0 HPT DIFF.: 0.23 psi (1.6 kPa)

TRANSDUCER TEST PASSED

Test	Target (mS/m)	Actual (mS/m)	% Diff	P/F
Low	55.0	57.7	5.0	PASS
High	360.0	364.6	1.3	PASS



			File:
Direct.III.			PDI-DPB-10.0IHP
	Company:	Operator:	Date:
lmage∛₩	Parratt-Wolff, Inc.	Wayne Nielson	10/21/2020
	Project ID:	Client:	Location:
by deoprobe systems	20089	Arcadis	Gloversville

```
PDI-DPB-10.zip
```

SITE INFORMATION -- DIRECT IMAGE OIP+HPT PROBE

Geoprobe DI Acquisition Software for Windows Version: 3.3 Build: 19087

Pre-Log EC Load Tests

Test	Target (mS/m)	Actual (mS/m)	% Diff	P/F
Low	55.0	57.2	4.1	PASS
High	360.0	365.7	1.6	PASS

COMPANY: Parratt-Wolff, Inc. OPERATOR: Wayne Nielson PROJECT ID: 20089 CLIENT: Arcadis UNITS: ENGLISH PROBE AND ARRAY: OH6720 OIHPT GL520 IR with Top Dipole LOCATION: Gloversville 100 INCH STRING POT USED ROD LENGTH: 4 feet

FILTER SETTINGS
Filter:
FILTER NAME: 520GPFilterGB002
FILTER GUID: 7cecbdf7-568b-41ab-a593-1fb75c212e47
T1: H[0-45, 225-255] S[140-255] V[125-255]
T2: H[0-45, 225-255] S[0-139] V[165-255]

LOGGING MODE: 520

OIP PRE-LOG TEST Visual Target IR 19.4 Black Box 520nm 0.1 Diesel 520nm 98.9 Motor Oil 520nm 98.7

#### PRE TEST TIME: Wed Oct 21 2020 09:07:45

TEST	HPT PRESSURE (psi)	FLOW (mL/min)	HPT PRESSURE (kPa)
TOP with FLOW=0	13.590	0.0	93.700
TOP with FLOW>0	13.922	271.0	95.990
BOTTOM with FLOW=0	13.381	0.0	92.260
BOTTOM with FLOW>0	13.719	271.5	94.590

### EXPECTED FLOW=0 HPT DIFF.: 0.22 psi (1.5 kPa) +/- 10% ACTUAL FLOW=0 HPT DIFF.: 0.21 psi (1.4 kPa)

TRANSDUCER TEST PASSED

HPT IDEAL COEFFS: 2.2696e1,-2.2356 HPT SENSOR CAL NUMBERS: G-F3450H,0.0000,0.0000,0.0000,0.0000,2.5000,-2.6070e1 Probe advancement with HPT flow valve and/or pump switch turned off at 0.00 ft (0.000 m). LOG START TIME: Wed Oct 21 2020 09:10:41

LOG END DEPTH: 34.60 ft (10.546 m) LOG END TIME: Wed Oct 21 2020 10:01:55

LATITUDE: 0.00000000 LONGITUDE: 0.00000000 ELEVATION: 0.000 METERS 0.00 FEET GPS Quality: None

OIP PRE/POST-LOG	G TEST	RESULTS		
Name	Light	Pre	Post	Dif
Visual Target	IR	19.4	60.6	41.2
Black Box	520nm	0.1	0.1	0.0
Diesel	520nm	98.9	99.4	0.5
Motor Oil	520nm	98.7	99.5	0.9

### POST TEST TIME: Wed Oct 21 2020 10:17:09

TEST	HPT PRESSURE (psi)	FLOW (mL/min)	HPT PRESSURE (kPa)
TOP with FLOW=0	13.547	0.0	93.400
TOP with FLOW>0	13.867	264.7	95.610
BOTTOM with FLOW=0	13.333	0.0	91.930
BOTTOM with FLOW>0	13.634	265.4	94.010

## EXPECTED FLOW=0 HPT DIFF.: 0.22 psi (1.5 kPa) +/- 10% ACTUAL FLOW=0 HPT DIFF.: 0.21 psi (1.5 kPa)

TRANSDUCER TEST PASSED

Test	Target (mS/m)	Actual (mS/m)	% Diff	P/F
Low	55.0	58.2	5.8	PASS
High	360.0	366.6	1.8	PASS



			File:
Direct.III.			PDI-DPB-11.OIHP
	Company:	Operator:	Date:
mage™	Parratt-Wolff, Inc.	Wayne Nielson	10/21/2020
	Project ID:	Client:	Location:
receptose systems	20089	Arcadis	Gloversville

PDI-DPB-11.zip

SITE INFORMATION -- DIRECT IMAGE OIP+HPT PROBE

Geoprobe DI Acquisition Software for Windows Version: 3.3 Build: 19087

Pre-Log EC Load Tests

Test	Target (mS/m)	Actual (mS/m)	% Diff	P/F
Low	55.0	57.2	3.9	PASS
High	360.0	364.9	1.4	PASS

COMPANY: Parratt-Wolff, Inc. OPERATOR: Wayne Nielson PROJECT ID: 20089 CLIENT: Arcadis UNITS: ENGLISH PROBE AND ARRAY: OH6720 OIHPT GL520 IR with Top Dipole LOCATION: Gloversville 100 INCH STRING POT USED ROD LENGTH: 4 feet

FILTER SETTINGS
Filter:
FILTER NAME: 520GPFilterGB002
FILTER GUID: 7cecbdf7-568b-41ab-a593-1fb75c212e47
T1: H[0-45, 225-255] S[140-255] V[125-255]
T2: H[0-45, 225-255] S[0-139] V[165-255]

LOGGING MODE: 520

OIP PRE-LOG TEST Visual Target IR 0.0 Black Box 520nm 0.2 Diesel 520nm 95.0 Motor Oil 520nm 96.4

#### PRE TEST TIME: Wed Oct 21 2020 07:51:20

TEST	HPT PRESSURE (psi)	FLOW (mL/min)	HPT PRESSURE (kPa)
TOP with FLOW=0	13.633	0.0	94.000
TOP with FLOW>0	13.934	267.5	96.070
BOTTOM with FLOW=0	13.401	0.0	92.400
BOTTOM with FLOW>0	13.731	268.2	94.670

## EXPECTED FLOW=0 HPT DIFF.: 0.22 psi (1.5 kPa) +/- 10% ACTUAL FLOW=0 HPT DIFF.: 0.23 psi (1.6 kPa)

TRANSDUCER TEST PASSED

```
HPT IDEAL COEFFS: 2.2696e1,-2.2356
HPT SENSOR CAL NUMBERS: G-F3450H,0.0000,0.0000,0.0000,0.0000,2.5000,-2.6070e1
LOG START TIME: Wed Oct 21 2020 07:58:23
```

LOG END DEPTH: 30.55 ft (9.312 m) LOG END TIME: Wed Oct 21 2020 08:40:44

LATITUDE: 0.00000000 LONGITUDE: 0.00000000 ELEVATION: 0.000 METERS 0.00 FEET GPS Quality: None

OIP PRE/POST-LO	G TEST	RESULTS		
Name	Light	Pre	Post	Dif
Visual Target	IR	0.0	49.6	49.6
Black Box	520nm	0.2	0.3	0.1
Diesel	520nm	95.0	99.2	4.2
Motor Oil	520nm	96.4	99.0	2.6

### POST TEST TIME: Wed Oct 21 2020 08:57:28

HPT PRESSURE (psi)	FLOW (mL/min)	HPT PRESSURE (kPa)
13 577	0 0	03 610
13 929	269.6	96.030
13 364	205.0	92 140
13.721	266.1	94.600
	HPT PRESSURE (psi) 13.577 13.929 13.364 13.721	HPT PRESSURE (psi)FLOW (mL/min)13.5770.013.929269.613.3640.013.721266.1

EXPECTED FLOW=0 HPT DIFF.: 0.22 psi (1.5 kPa) +/- 10% ACTUAL FLOW=0 HPT DIFF.: 0.21 psi (1.5 kPa)

TRANSDUCER TEST PASSED

Test	Target (mS/m)	Actual (mS/m)	% Diff	P/F
Low	55.0	57.8	5.1	PASS
High	360.0	365.9	1.6	PASS



### PDI-DPB-12.zip

SITE INFORMATION -- DIRECT IMAGE OIP+HPT PROBE

Geoprobe DI Acquisition Software for Windows Version: 3.3 Build: 19087

Pre-Log EC Load Tests

Test	Target (mS/m)	Actual (mS/m)	% Diff	P/F
Low	55.0	57.1	3.9	PASS
High	360.0	364.5	1.2	PASS

COMPANY: Parratt-Wolff, Inc. OPERATOR: Wayne Nielson PROJECT ID: 20089 CLIENT: Arcadis UNITS: ENGLISH PROBE AND ARRAY: OH6720 OIHPT GL520 IR with Top Dipole LOCATION: Gloversville 100 INCH STRING POT USED ROD LENGTH: 4 feet

FILTER SETTINGS
Filter:
FILTER NAME: 520GPFilterGB002
FILTER GUID: 7cecbdf7-568b-41ab-a593-1fb75c212e47
T1: H[0-45, 225-255] S[140-255] V[125-255]
T2: H[0-45, 225-255] S[0-139] V[165-255]

LOGGING MODE: 520

OIP PRE-LOG TEST Visual Target IR 24.4 Black Box 520nm 1.0 Diesel 520nm 98.7 Motor Oil 520nm 98.7

#### PRE TEST TIME: Tue Oct 20 2020 06:26:17

TEST	HPT PRESSURE (psi)	FLOW (mL/min)	HPT PRESSURE (kPa)
TOP with FLOW=0	13.656	0.0	94.150
TOP with FLOW>0	14.016	239.4	96.640
BOTTOM with FLOW=0	13.423	0.0	92.550
BOTTOM with FLOW>0	13.809	232.0	95.210

### EXPECTED FLOW=0 HPT DIFF.: 0.22 psi (1.5 kPa) +/- 10% ACTUAL FLOW=0 HPT DIFF.: 0.23 psi (1.6 kPa)

#### TRANSDUCER TEST PASSED

HPT IDEAL COEFFS: 2.2696e1,-2.2356 HPT SENSOR CAL NUMBERS: G-F3450H,0.0000,0.0000,0.0000,0.0000,2.5000,-2.6070e1 Probe advancement with HPT flow valve and/or pump switch turned off at 0.00 ft (0.000 m). LOG START TIME: Tue Oct 20 2020 06:39:16

LOG END DEPTH: 26.25 ft (8.001 m) LOG END TIME: Tue Oct 20 2020 07:19:01

LATITUDE: 0.00000000 LONGITUDE: 0.00000000 ELEVATION: 0.000 METERS 0.00 FEET GPS Quality: None

OIP PRE/POST-LOG	G TEST	RESULTS		
Name	Light	Pre	Post	Dif
Visual Target	IR	24.4	6.5	17.9
Black Box	520nm	1.0	0.6	0.4
Diesel	520nm	98.7	98.8	0.1
Motor Oil	520nm	98.7	97.9	0.9

## POST TEST TIME: Tue Oct 20 2020 07:37:30

TEST	HPT PRESSURE (psi)	FLOW (mL/min)	HPT PRESSURE (kPa)
TOP with FLOW=0	13.596	0.0	93.740
TOP with FLOW>0	13.847	346.6	95.470
BOTTOM with FLOW=0	13.359	0.0	92.110
BOTTOM with FLOW>0	13.616	305.2	93.880
BOTTOM with FLOW-0 BOTTOM with FLOW>0	13.616	305.2	93.880

## EXPECTED FLOW=0 HPT DIFF.: 0.22 psi (1.5 kPa) +/- 10% ACTUAL FLOW=0 HPT DIFF.: 0.24 psi (1.6 kPa)

TRANSDUCER TEST PASSED

Test	Target (mS/m)	Actual (mS/m)	% Diff	P/F
Low	55.0	58.4	6.2	PASS
High	360.0	367.0	2.0	PASS



Direct III			PDI-DPB-13.0IHP
DITECT	Company:	Operator:	Date:
ImageW	Parratt-Wolff, Inc.	Wayne Nielson	10/20/2020
By Geoprope Systems*	Project ID:	Client:	Location:
by deoprose systems	20089	Arcadis	Gloversville
PDI-DPB-13.zip

SITE INFORMATION -- DIRECT IMAGE OIP+HPT PROBE

Geoprobe DI Acquisition Software for Windows Version: 3.3 Build: 19087

Pre-Log EC Load Tests

Test	Target (mS/m)	Actual (mS/m)	% Diff	P/F
Low	55.0	57.7	5.0	PASS
High	360.0	366.8	1.9	PASS

COMPANY: Parratt-Wolff, Inc. OPERATOR: Wayne Nielson PROJECT ID: 20089 CLIENT: Arcadis UNITS: ENGLISH PROBE AND ARRAY: OH6720 OIHPT GL520 IR with Top Dipole LOCATION: Gloversville 100 INCH STRING POT USED ROD LENGTH: 4 feet

FILTER SETTINGS
Filter:
FILTER NAME: 520GPFilterGB002
FILTER GUID: 7cecbdf7-568b-41ab-a593-1fb75c212e47
T1: H[0-45, 225-255] S[140-255] V[125-255]
T2: H[0-45, 225-255] S[0-139] V[165-255]

LOGGING MODE: 520

OIP PRE-LOG TEST Visual Target IR 41.8 Black Box 520nm 0.7 Diesel 520nm 95.0 Motor Oil 520nm 97.1

#### PRE TEST TIME: Tue Oct 20 2020 07:55:53

TEST	HPT PRESSURE (psi)	FLOW (mL/min)	HPT PRESSURE (kPa)
TOP with FLOW=0	13.591	0.0	93.710
TOP with FLOW>0	13.878	214.7	95.680
BOTTOM with FLOW=0	13.355	0.0	92.080
BOTTOM with FLOW>0	13.651	220.0	94.120

# EXPECTED FLOW=0 HPT DIFF.: 0.22 psi (1.5 kPa) +/- 10% ACTUAL FLOW=0 HPT DIFF.: 0.24 psi (1.6 kPa)

#### TRANSDUCER TEST PASSED

```
HPT IDEAL COEFFS: 2.2696e1,-2.2356
HPT SENSOR CAL NUMBERS: G-F3450H,0.0000,0.0000,0.0000,0.0000,2.5000,-2.6070e1
LOG START TIME: Tue Oct 20 2020 08:01:43
```

LOG END DEPTH: 17.75 ft (5.410 m) LOG END TIME: Tue Oct 20 2020 08:46:08

LATITUDE: 0.00000000 LONGITUDE: 0.00000000 ELEVATION: 0.000 METERS 0.00 FEET GPS Quality: None

OIP PRE/POST-LO	G TEST	RESULTS		
Name	Light	Pre	Post	Dif
Visual Target	IR	41.8	8.7	33.0
Black Box	520nm	0.7	0.2	0.4
Diesel	520nm	95.0	96.0	1.0
Motor Oil	520nm	97.1	97.9	0.8

### POST TEST TIME: Tue Oct 20 2020 08:59:24

TEST	HPT PRESSURE (psi)	FLOW (mL/min)	HPT PRESSURE (kPa)
TOP with FLOW=0	13.577	0 - 0	93.610
TOP with FLOW>0	13.922	271.9	95.990
BOTTOM with FLOW=0	13.359	0.0	92.110
BOTTOM with FLOW>0	13.729	275.8	94.660

EXPECTED FLOW=0 HPT DIFF.: 0.22 psi (1.5 kPa) +/- 10% ACTUAL FLOW=0 HPT DIFF.: 0.22 psi (1.5 kPa)

TRANSDUCER TEST PASSED

Test	Target (mS/m)	Actual (mS/m)	% Diff	P/F
Low	55.0	58.3	5.9	PASS
High	360.0	367.2	2.0	PASS



			File:
Direct.III.			PDI-DPB-14.OIHP
	Company:	Operator:	Date:
ImageWW	Parratt-Wolff, Inc.	Wayne Nielson	10/20/2020
By Geoprope Systems?	Project ID:	Client:	Location:
by deoplose systems	20089	Arcadis	Gloversville

```
PDI-DPB-14.zip
```

SITE INFORMATION -- DIRECT IMAGE OIP+HPT PROBE

Geoprobe DI Acquisition Software for Windows Version: 3.3 Build: 19087

Pre-Log EC Load Tests

Test	Target (mS/m)	Actual (mS/m)	% Diff	P/F
Low	55.0	57.6	4.7	PASS
High	360.0	365.4	1.5	PASS

COMPANY: Parratt-Wolff, Inc. OPERATOR: Wayne Nielson PROJECT ID: 20089 CLIENT: Arcadis UNITS: ENGLISH PROBE AND ARRAY: OH6720 OIHPT GL520 IR with Top Dipole LOCATION: Gloversville 100 INCH STRING POT USED ROD LENGTH: 4 feet

FILTER SETTINGS
Filter:
FILTER NAME: 520GPFilterGB002
FILTER GUID: 7cecbdf7-568b-41ab-a593-1fb75c212e47
T1: H[0-45, 225-255] S[140-255] V[125-255]
T2: H[0-45, 225-255] S[0-139] V[165-255]

LOGGING MODE: 520

OIP PRE-LOG TEST Visual Target IR 2.6 Black Box 520nm 0.1 Diesel 520nm 94.1 Motor Oil 520nm 98.0

#### PRE TEST TIME: Tue Oct 20 2020 12:58:10

TEST	HPT PRESSURE (psi)	FLOW (mL/min)	HPT PRESSURE (kPa)
TOP with FLOW=0	13.587	0.0	93.680
TOP with FLOW>0	13.928	276.5	96.030
BOTTOM with FLOW=0	13.362	0.0	92.130
BOTTOM with FLOW>0	13.721	273.3	94.600

### EXPECTED FLOW=0 HPT DIFF.: 0.22 psi (1.5 kPa) +/- 10% ACTUAL FLOW=0 HPT DIFF.: 0.22 psi (1.5 kPa)

TRANSDUCER TEST PASSED

HPT IDEAL COEFFS: 2.2696e1,-2.2356 HPT SENSOR CAL NUMBERS: G-F3450H,0.0000,0.0000,0.0000,0.0000,2.5000,-2.6070e1 Probe advancement with HPT flow valve and/or pump switch turned off at 0.00 ft (0.000 m). LOG START TIME: Tue Oct 20 2020 13:06:58

LOG END DEPTH: 42.10 ft (12.832 m) LOG END TIME: Tue Oct 20 2020 13:58:32

LATITUDE: 0.00000000 LONGITUDE: 0.00000000 ELEVATION: 0.000 METERS 0.00 FEET GPS Quality: None

OIP PRE/POST-LOG	G TEST	RESULTS		
Name	Light	Pre	Post	Dif
Visual Target	IR	2.6	47.9	45.2
Black Box	520nm	0.1	0.3	0.2
Diesel	520nm	94.1	99.1	5.1
Motor Oil	520nm	98.0	98.0	0.0

# POST TEST TIME: Tue Oct 20 2020 14:19:05

TEST	HPT PRESSURE (psi)	FLOW (mL/min)	HPT PRESSURE (kPa)
TOP with FLOW=0	13.584	0.0	93.660
TOP with FLOW>0	13.902	273.8	95.850
BOTTOM with FLOW=0	13.360	0.0	92.110
BOTTOM with FLOW>0	13.703	273.6	94.480

# EXPECTED FLOW=0 HPT DIFF.: 0.22 psi (1.5 kPa) +/- 10% ACTUAL FLOW=0 HPT DIFF.: 0.22 psi (1.5 kPa)

# TRANSDUCER TEST PASSED

Test	Target (mS/m)	Actual (mS/m)	% Diff	P/F
Low	55.0	57.9	5.2	PASS
High	360.0	365.9	1.6	PASS



Direct.III.			PDI-DPB-15.OIHP
	Company:	Operator:	Date:
ImagetW	Parratt-Wolff, Inc.	Wayne Nielson	10/20/2020
By Geoprope Systems*	Project ID:	Client:	Location:
by deoplote systems	20089	Arcadis	Gloversville

PDI-DPB-15.zip

SITE INFORMATION -- DIRECT IMAGE OIP+HPT PROBE

Geoprobe DI Acquisition Software for Windows Version: 3.3 Build: 19087

Pre-Log EC Load Tests

Test	Target (mS/m)	Actual (mS/m)	% Diff	P/F
Low	55.0	57.8	5.0	PASS
High	360.0	365.9	1.6	PASS

COMPANY: Parratt-Wolff, Inc. OPERATOR: Wayne Nielson PROJECT ID: 20089 CLIENT: Arcadis UNITS: ENGLISH PROBE AND ARRAY: OH6720 OIHPT GL520 IR with Top Dipole LOCATION: Gloversville 100 INCH STRING POT USED ROD LENGTH: 4 feet

FILTER SETTINGS
Filter:
FILTER NAME: 520GPFilterGB002
FILTER GUID: 7cecbdf7-568b-41ab-a593-1fb75c212e47
T1: H[0-45, 225-255] S[140-255] V[125-255]
T2: H[0-45, 225-255] S[0-139] V[165-255]

LOGGING MODE: 520

OIP PRE-LOG TEST Visual Target IR 66.2 Black Box 520nm 0.2 Diesel 520nm 98.2 Motor Oil 520nm 98.5

#### PRE TEST TIME: Tue Oct 20 2020 14:35:52

TEST	HPT PRESSURE (psi)	FLOW (mL/min)	HPT PRESSURE (kPa)
TOP with FLOW=0	13.582	0.0	93.650
TOP with FLOW>0	13.923	272.2	95.990
BOTTOM with FLOW=0	13.363	0.0	92.130
BOTTOM with FLOW>0	13.720	274.1	94.590

# EXPECTED FLOW=0 HPT DIFF.: 0.22 psi (1.5 kPa) +/- 10% ACTUAL FLOW=0 HPT DIFF.: 0.22 psi (1.5 kPa)

TRANSDUCER TEST PASSED

```
HPT IDEAL COEFFS: 2.2696e1,-2.2356
HPT SENSOR CAL NUMBERS: G-F3450H,0.0000,0.0000,0.0000,0.0000,2.5000,-2.6070e1
LOG START TIME: Tue Oct 20 2020 14:46:09
```

LOG END DEPTH: 41.55 ft (12.664 m) LOG END TIME: Tue Oct 20 2020 15:31:14

LATITUDE: 0.00000000 LONGITUDE: 0.00000000 ELEVATION: 0.000 METERS 0.00 FEET GPS Quality: None

OIP PRE/POST-LO	G TEST	RESULTS		
Name	Light	Pre	Post	Dif
Visual Target	IR	66.2	27.6	38.6
Black Box	520nm	0.2	0.0	0.2
Diesel	520nm	98.2	98.9	0.7
Motor Oil	520nm	98.5	94.1	4.4

# POST TEST TIME: Tue Oct 20 2020 15:55:21

TEST	HPT PRESSURE (psi)	FLOW (mL/min)	HPT PRESSURE (kPa)
TOP with FLOW=0	13.598	0.0	93.750
TOP with FLOW>0	13.890	269.4	95.770
BOTTOM with FLOW=0	13.384	0.0	92.280
BOTTOM with FLOW>0	13.690	268.8	94.390

EXPECTED FLOW=0 HPT DIFF.: 0.22 psi (1.5 kPa) +/- 10% ACTUAL FLOW=0 HPT DIFF.: 0.21 psi (1.5 kPa)

TRANSDUCER TEST PASSED

Test	Target (mS/m)	Actual (mS/m)	% Diff	P/F
Low	55.0	58.0	5.4	PASS
High	360.0	366.7	1.9	PASS



```
PDI-DPB-16.zip
```

SITE INFORMATION -- DIRECT IMAGE OIP+HPT PROBE

Geoprobe DI Acquisition Software for Windows Version: 3.3 Build: 19087

Pre-Log EC Load Tests

Test	Target (mS/m)	Actual (mS/m)	% Diff	P/F
Low	55.0	59.8	8.6	PASS
High	360.0	351.5	2.4	PASS

COMPANY: Parratt-Wolff, Inc. OPERATOR: Wayne Nielson PROJECT ID: 20089 CLIENT: Arcadis UNITS: ENGLISH PROBE AND ARRAY: OH6720 OIHPT GL520 IR with Top Dipole LOCATION: Gloversville 100 INCH STRING POT USED ROD LENGTH: 4 feet

FILTER SETTINGS
Filter:
FILTER NAME: 520GPFilterGB002
FILTER GUID: 7cecbdf7-568b-41ab-a593-1fb75c212e47
T1: H[0-45, 225-255] S[140-255] V[125-255]
T2: H[0-45, 225-255] S[0-139] V[165-255]

LOGGING MODE: 520

OIP PRE-LOG TEST Visual Target IR 43.1 Black Box 520nm 0.0 Diesel 520nm 82.5 Motor Oil 520nm 93.2

#### PRE TEST TIME: Tue Nov 3 2020 13:06:19

TEST	HPT PRESSURE (psi)	FLOW (mL/min)	HPT PRESSURE (kPa)
TOP with FLOW=0	13.599	0.0	93.760
TOP with FLOW>0	14.053	272.2	96.900
BOTTOM with FLOW=0	13.388	0.0	92.310
BOTTOM with FLOW>0	13.849	276.8	95.490

### EXPECTED FLOW=0 HPT DIFF.: 0.22 psi (1.5 kPa) +/- 10% ACTUAL FLOW=0 HPT DIFF.: 0.21 psi (1.5 kPa)

#### TRANSDUCER TEST PASSED

```
HPT IDEAL COEFFS:
                        2.2696e1,-2.2356
HPT SENSOR CAL NUMBERS: G-F3450H, 0.0000, 0.0000, 0.0000, 0.0000, 2.5000, -2.6070e1
LOG START TIME: Tue Nov 3 2020 13:08:50
```

0.0

LOG END DEPTH: 14.20 ft (4.328 m) LOG END TIME: Tue Nov 3 2020 13:36:29

LATITUDE: 0.00000000 LONGITUDE: 0.00000000 ELEVATION: 0.000 METERS 0.00 FEET GPS Quality: None

#### OIP PRE/POST-LOG TEST RESULTS Name Light Pre Post Dif Visual Target IR 43.1 50.8 7.7 Black Box 520nm 0.0 0.0

Diesel	520nm	82.5	73.0	9.5
Motor Oil	520nm	93.2	94.4	1.1

## POST TEST TIME: Tue Nov 3 2020 13:47:03

TEST	HPT PRESSURE (psi)	FLOW (mL/min)	HPT PRESSURE (kPa)
	12 600	0.0	
TOP with FLOW=0	13.609	0.0	93.830
TOP with FLOW>0	14.032	263.8	96.740
BOTTOM with FLOW=0	13.399	0.0	92.380
BOTTOM with FLOW>0	13.827	267.8	95.340

EXPECTED FLOW=0 HPT DIFF.: 0.22 psi (1.5 kPa) +/- 10% ACTUAL FLOW=0 HPT DIFF.: 0.21 psi (1.4 kPa)

TRANSDUCER TEST PASSED

Test	Target (mS/m)	Actual (mS/m)	% Diff	P/F
Low	55.0	54.1	1.6	PASS
High	360.0	357.0	0.8	PASS



PDI-DPB-17.zip

SITE INFORMATION -- DIRECT IMAGE OIP+HPT PROBE

Geoprobe DI Acquisition Software for Windows Version: 3.3 Build: 19087

Pre-Log EC Load Tests

Test	Target (mS/m)	Actual (mS/m)	% Diff	P/F
Low	55.0	58.7	6.7	PASS
High	360.0	353.3	1.9	PASS

COMPANY: Parratt-Wolff, Inc. OPERATOR: Wayne Nielson PROJECT ID: 20089 CLIENT: Arcadis UNITS: ENGLISH PROBE AND ARRAY: OH6720 OIHPT GL520 IR with Top Dipole LOCATION: Gloversville 100 INCH STRING POT USED ROD LENGTH: 4 feet

FILTER SETTINGS
Filter:
FILTER NAME: 520GPFilterGB002
FILTER GUID: 7cecbdf7-568b-41ab-a593-1fb75c212e47
T1: H[0-45, 225-255] S[140-255] V[125-255]
T2: H[0-45, 225-255] S[0-139] V[165-255]

LOGGING MODE: 520

OIP PRE-LOG TEST Visual Target IR 39.6 Black Box 520nm 2.0 Diesel 520nm 71.8 Motor Oil 520nm 89.5

#### PRE TEST TIME: Tue Nov 3 2020 11:12:51

TEST	HPT PRESSURE (psi)	FLOW (mL/min)	HPT PRESSURE (kPa)
TOP with FLOW=0	13.570	0.0	93.560
TOP with FLOW>0	14.003	266.7	96.550
BOTTOM with FLOW=0	13.366	0.0	92.160
BOTTOM with FLOW>0	13.798	271.0	95.130

### EXPECTED FLOW=0 HPT DIFF.: 0.22 psi (1.5 kPa) +/- 10% ACTUAL FLOW=0 HPT DIFF.: 0.20 psi (1.4 kPa)

TRANSDUCER TEST PASSED

```
HPT IDEAL COEFFS: 2.2696e1,-2.2356
HPT SENSOR CAL NUMBERS: G-F345OH,0.0000,0.0000,0.0000,0.0000,2.5000,-2.6070e1
Probe advancement with HPT flow valve and/or pump switch turned off at 0.05 ft (0.015 m).
LOG START TIME: Tue Nov 3 2020 11:16:08
```

LOG END DEPTH: 17.80 ft (5.425 m) LOG END TIME: Tue Nov 3 2020 11:38:00

LATITUDE: 0.00000000 LONGITUDE: 0.00000000 ELEVATION: 0.000 METERS 0.00 FEET GPS Quality: None

OIP PRE/POST-LOG	G TEST	RESULTS		
Name	Light	Pre	Post	Dif
Visual Target	IR	39.6	13.1	26.5
Black Box	520nm	2.0	0.0	2.0
Diesel	520nm	71.8	74.9	3.1
Motor Oil	520nm	89.5	88.1	1.4

# POST TEST TIME: Tue Nov 3 2020 12:00:51

TEST	HPT PRESSURE (psi)	FLOW (mL/min)	HPT PRESSURE (kPa)
TOP with FLOW=0	13.587	0.0	93.680
TOP with FLOW>0	14.035	264.0	96.770
BOTTOM with FLOW=0	13.377	0.0	92.230
BOTTOM with FLOW>0	13.832	268.8	95.370

# EXPECTED FLOW=0 HPT DIFF.: 0.22 psi (1.5 kPa) +/- 10% ACTUAL FLOW=0 HPT DIFF.: 0.21 psi (1.4 kPa)

# TRANSDUCER TEST PASSED

Test	Target (mS/m)	Actual (mS/m)	% Diff	P/F
Low	55.0	59.7	8.6	PASS
High	360.0	356.5	1.0	PASS



### PDI-DPB-18.zip

SITE INFORMATION -- DIRECT IMAGE OIP+HPT PROBE

Geoprobe DI Acquisition Software for Windows Version: 3.3 Build: 19087

Pre-Log EC Load Tests

Test	Target (mS/m)	Actual (mS/m)	% Diff	P/F
Low	55.0	57.9	5.3	PASS
High	360.0	343.8	4.5	PASS

COMPANY: Parratt-Wolff, Inc. OPERATOR: Wayne Nielson PROJECT ID: 20089 CLIENT: Arcadis UNITS: ENGLISH PROBE AND ARRAY: OH6720 OIHPT GL520 IR with Top Dipole LOCATION: Gloversville 100 INCH STRING POT USED ROD LENGTH: 4 feet

FILTER SETTINGS
Filter:
FILTER NAME: 520GPFilterGB002
FILTER GUID: 7cecbdf7-568b-41ab-a593-1fb75c212e47
T1: H[0-45, 225-255] S[140-255] V[125-255]
T2: H[0-45, 225-255] S[0-139] V[165-255]

LOGGING MODE: 520

OIP PRE-LOG TEST Visual Target IR 7.2 Black Box 520nm 1.9 Diesel 520nm 92.0 Motor Oil 520nm 90.2

#### PRE TEST TIME: Tue Nov 3 2020 10:17:29

TEST	HPT PRESSURE (psi)	FLOW (mL/min)	HPT PRESSURE (kPa)
TOP with FLOW=0	13.567	0.0	93.540
TOP with FLOW>0	14.009	262.1	96.590
BOTTOM with FLOW=0	13.345	0.0	92.010
BOTTOM with FLOW>0	13.806	264.5	95.190

### EXPECTED FLOW=0 HPT DIFF.: 0.22 psi (1.5 kPa) +/- 10% ACTUAL FLOW=0 HPT DIFF.: 0.22 psi (1.5 kPa)

#### TRANSDUCER TEST PASSED

HPT IDEAL COEFFS: 2.2696e1,-2.2356 HPT SENSOR CAL NUMBERS: G-F3450H,0.0000,0.0000,0.0000,0.0000,2.5000,-2.6070e1 Probe advancement with HPT flow valve and/or pump switch turned off at 0.00 ft (0.000 m). LOG START TIME: Tue Nov 3 2020 10:20:48

LOG END DEPTH: 18.10 ft (5.517 m) LOG END TIME: Tue Nov 3 2020 10:45:02

LATITUDE: 0.00000000 LONGITUDE: 0.00000000 ELEVATION: 0.000 METERS 0.00 FEET GPS Quality: None

OIP PRE/POST-LOG	G TEST	RESULTS		
Name	Light	Pre	Post	Dif
Visual Target	IR	7.2	16.5	9.3
Black Box	520nm	1.9	0.1	1.8
Diesel	520nm	92.0	76.7	15.3
Motor Oil	520nm	90.2	95.1	4.8

#### POST TEST TIME: Tue Nov 3 2020 10:56:41

TEST	HPT PRESSURE (psi)	FLOW (mL/min)	HPT PRESSURE (kPa)
TOP with FLOW=0	13.572	0.0	93.580
TOP with FLOW>0	13.980	263.3	96.390
BOTTOM with FLOW=0	13.359	0.0	92.110
BOTTOM with FLOW>0	13.762	269.6	94.880

# EXPECTED FLOW=0 HPT DIFF.: 0.22 psi (1.5 kPa) +/- 10% ACTUAL FLOW=0 HPT DIFF.: 0.21 psi (1.5 kPa)

### TRANSDUCER TEST PASSED

### Post-Log EC Load Tests

Test	Target (mS/m)	Actual (mS/m)	% Diff	P/F
Low	55.0	59.1	7.5	PASS
High	360.0	356.0	1.1	PASS

#### \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* USER NOTES \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

False readind in the first few inches due to dirty rod wiper.



```
PDI-DPB-19.zip
```

SITE INFORMATION -- DIRECT IMAGE OIP+HPT PROBE

Geoprobe DI Acquisition Software for Windows Version: 3.3 Build: 19087

Pre-Log EC Load Tests

Test	Target (mS/m)	Actual (mS/m)	% Diff	P/F
Low	55.0	52.0	5.5	PASS
High	360.0	330.1	8.3	PASS

COMPANY: Parratt-Wolff, Inc. OPERATOR: Wayne Nielson PROJECT ID: 20089 CLIENT: Arcadis UNITS: ENGLISH PROBE AND ARRAY: OH6720 OIHPT GL520 IR with Top Dipole LOCATION: Gloversville 100 INCH STRING POT USED ROD LENGTH: 4 feet

FILTER SETTINGS
Filter:
FILTER NAME: 520GPFilterGB002
FILTER GUID: 7cecbdf7-568b-41ab-a593-1fb75c212e47
T1: H[0-45, 225-255] S[140-255] V[125-255]
T2: H[0-45, 225-255] S[0-139] V[165-255]

LOGGING MODE: 520

OIP PRE-LOG TEST Visual Target IR 13.9 Black Box 520nm 0.1 Diesel 520nm 80.0 Motor Oil 520nm 96.5

#### PRE TEST TIME: Mon Nov 2 2020 09:43:05

TEST	HPT PRESSURE (psi)	FLOW (mL/min)	HPT PRESSURE (kPa)
TOP with FLOW=0	13.462	0.0	92.820
TOP with FLOW>0	13.896	249.6	95.810
BOTTOM with FLOW=0	13.250	0.0	91.350
BOTTOM with FLOW>0	13.697	246.3	94.440

### EXPECTED FLOW=0 HPT DIFF.: 0.22 psi (1.5 kPa) +/- 10% ACTUAL FLOW=0 HPT DIFF.: 0.21 psi (1.5 kPa)

#### TRANSDUCER TEST PASSED

HPT IDEAL COEFFS: 2.2696e1,-2.2356 HPT SENSOR CAL NUMBERS: G-F345OH,0.0000,0.0000,0.0000,0.0000,2.5000,-2.6070e1 Probe advancement with HPT flow valve and/or pump switch turned off at 0.05 ft (0.015 m). LOG START TIME: Mon Nov 2 2020 09:52:04

LOG END DEPTH: 30.40 ft (9.266 m) LOG END TIME: Mon Nov 2 2020 10:30:56

LATITUDE: 0.00000000 LONGITUDE: 0.00000000 ELEVATION: 0.000 METERS 0.00 FEET GPS Quality: None

OIP PRE/POST-LOG	G TEST	RESULTS		
Name	Light	Pre	Post	Dif
Visual Target	IR	13.9	85.6	71.7
Black Box	520nm	0.1	0.2	0.1
Diesel	520nm	80.0	78.2	1.8
Motor Oil	520nm	96.5	89.9	6.6

## POST TEST TIME: Mon Nov 2 2020 10:54:57

TEST	HPT PRESSURE (psi)	FLOW (mL/min)	HPT PRESSURE (kPa)
TOP with FLOW=0	13.459	0.0	92.790
TOP with FLOW>0	13.905	253.0	95.870
BOTTOM with FLOW=0	13.245	0.0	91.320
BOTTOM with FLOW>0	13.701	255.6	94.460

# EXPECTED FLOW=0 HPT DIFF.: 0.22 psi (1.5 kPa) +/- 10% ACTUAL FLOW=0 HPT DIFF.: 0.21 psi (1.5 kPa)

TRANSDUCER TEST PASSED

Test	Target (mS/m)	Actual (mS/m)	% Diff	P/F
Low	55.0	56.7	3.1	PASS
High	360.0	340.0	5.5	PASS



Direct.III.	·		PDI-DPB-20.0IHP
	Company:	Operator:	Date:
Image W	Parratt-Wolff, Inc.	Wayne Nielson	11/4/2020
By Geoptrope Systems*	Project ID:	Client:	Location:
by deoprote systems	20089	Arcadis	Gloversville

## PDI-DPB-20.zip

SITE INFORMATION -- DIRECT IMAGE OIP+HPT PROBE

Geoprobe DI Acquisition Software for Windows Version: 3.3 Build: 19087

Pre-Log EC Load Tests

Test	Target (mS/m)	Actual (mS/m)	% Diff	P/F
Low	55.0	57.8	5.1	PASS
High	360.0	334.4	7.1	PASS

COMPANY: Parratt-Wolff, Inc. OPERATOR: Wayne Nielson PROJECT ID: 20089 CLIENT: Arcadis UNITS: ENGLISH PROBE AND ARRAY: OH6720 OIHPT GL520 IR with Top Dipole LOCATION: Gloversville 100 INCH STRING POT USED ROD LENGTH: 4 feet

FILTER SETTINGS
Filter:
FILTER NAME: 520GPFilterGB002
FILTER GUID: 7cecbdf7-568b-41ab-a593-1fb75c212e47
T1: H[0-45, 225-255] S[140-255] V[125-255]
T2: H[0-45, 225-255] S[0-139] V[165-255]

LOGGING MODE: 520

OIP PRE-LOG TEST Visual Target IR 22.3 Black Box 520nm 0.0 Diesel 520nm 95.0 Motor Oil 520nm 99.8

#### PRE TEST TIME: Wed Nov 4 2020 15:36:08

TEST	HPT PRESSURE (psi)	FLOW (mL/min)	HPT PRESSURE (kPa)
TOP with FLOW=0	13.707	0.0	94.510
TOP with FLOW>0	14.072	264.3	97.020
BOTTOM with FLOW=0	13.480	0.0	92.940
BOTTOM with FLOW>0	13.856	265.1	95.530

# EXPECTED FLOW=0 HPT DIFF.: 0.22 psi (1.5 kPa) +/- 10% ACTUAL FLOW=0 HPT DIFF.: 0.23 psi (1.6 kPa)

#### TRANSDUCER TEST PASSED

```
HPT IDEAL COEFFS: 2.2696e1,-2.2356
HPT SENSOR CAL NUMBERS: G-F3450H,0.0000,0.0000,0.0000,0.0000,2.5000,-2.6070e1
LOG START TIME: Wed Nov 4 2020 15:40:29
```

LOG END DEPTH: 32.55 ft (9.921 m) LOG END TIME: Wed Nov 4 2020 16:13:53

LATITUDE: 0.00000000 LONGITUDE: 0.00000000 ELEVATION: 0.000 METERS 0.00 FEET GPS Quality: None

OIP PRE/POST-LO	G TEST	RESULTS		
Name	Light	Pre	Post	Dif
Visual Target	IR	22.3	81.9	59.6
Black Box	520nm	0.0	0.0	0.0
Diesel	520nm	95.0	89.0	6.0
Motor Oil	520nm	99.8	97.7	2.1

### POST TEST TIME: Wed Nov 4 2020 16:31:37

TEST	HPT PRESSURE (psi)	FLOW (mL/min)	HPT PRESSURE (kPa)
	10 000	0.0	
TOP with FLOW=0	13./2/	0.0	94.640
TOP with FLOW>0	14.058	264.5	96.920
BOTTOM with FLOW=0	13.509	0.0	93.140
BOTTOM with FLOW>0	13.853	263.5	95.510

EXPECTED FLOW=0 HPT DIFF.: 0.22 psi (1.5 kPa) +/- 10% ACTUAL FLOW=0 HPT DIFF.: 0.22 psi (1.5 kPa)

TRANSDUCER TEST PASSED

Post-Log EC Load Tests

Test	Target (mS/m)	Actual (mS/m)	% Diff	P/F
Low	55.0	49.5	9.9	PASS
High	360.0	356.5	1.0	PASS

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* USER NOTES \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

Refusal 32 feet



```
PDI-MW-10(SO).zip
```

SITE INFORMATION -- DIRECT IMAGE OIP+HPT PROBE

Geoprobe DI Acquisition Software for Windows Version: 3.3 Build: 19087

Pre-Log EC Load Tests

Test	Target (mS/m)	Actual (mS/m)	% Diff	P/F
Low	55.0	57.5	4.5	PASS
High	360.0	344.9	4.2	PASS

COMPANY: Parratt-Wolff, Inc. OPERATOR: Wayne Nielson PROJECT ID: 20089 CLIENT: Arcadis UNITS: ENGLISH PROBE AND ARRAY: OH6720 OIHPT GL520 IR with Top Dipole LOCATION: Gloversville 100 INCH STRING POT USED ROD LENGTH: 4 feet

FILTER SETTINGS
Filter:
FILTER NAME: 520GPFilterGB002
FILTER GUID: 7cecbdf7-568b-41ab-a593-1fb75c212e47
T1: H[0-45, 225-255] S[140-255] V[125-255]
T2: H[0-45, 225-255] S[0-139] V[165-255]

LOGGING MODE: 520

OIP PRE-LOG TEST Visual Target IR 78.1 Black Box 520nm 0.0 Diesel 520nm 83.1 Motor Oil 520nm 97.5

#### PRE TEST TIME: Mon Nov 2 2020 11:08:02

HPT PRESSURE (psi)	FLOW (mL/min)	HPT PRESSURE (kPa)
13.468	0.0	92.860
13.904	251.9	95.860
13.266	0.0	91.470
13.700	269.8	94.450
	HPT PRESSURE (psi) 13.468 13.904 13.266 13.700	HPT PRESSURE (psi)FLOW (mL/min)13.4680.013.904251.913.2660.013.700269.8

### EXPECTED FLOW=0 HPT DIFF.: 0.22 psi (1.5 kPa) +/- 10% ACTUAL FLOW=0 HPT DIFF.: 0.20 psi (1.4 kPa)

#### TRANSDUCER TEST PASSED

HPT IDEAL COEFFS: 2.2696e1,-2.2356 HPT SENSOR CAL NUMBERS: G-F345OH,0.0000,0.0000,0.0000,0.0000,2.5000,-2.6070e1 Probe advancement with HPT flow valve and/or pump switch turned off at 0.05 ft (0.015 m). LOG START TIME: Mon Nov 2 2020 11:13:21

LOG END DEPTH: 22.45 ft (6.843 m) LOG END TIME: Mon Nov 2 2020 11:38:53

LATITUDE: 0.00000000 LONGITUDE: 0.00000000 ELEVATION: 0.000 METERS 0.00 FEET GPS Quality: None

OIP PRE/POST-LOG	G TEST	RESULTS		
Name	Light	Pre	Post	Dif
Visual Target	IR	78.1	87.1	9.0
Black Box	520nm	0.0	0.0	0.0
Diesel	520nm	83.1	84.3	1.2
Motor Oil	520nm	97.5	99.2	1.7

## POST TEST TIME: Mon Nov 2 2020 11:53:57

TEST	HPT PRESSURE (psi)	FLOW (mL/min)	HPT PRESSURE (kPa)
TOP with FLOW=0	13.459	0.0	92.790
TOP with FLOW>0	13.946	273.0	96.150
BOTTOM with FLOW=0	13.259	0.0	91.420
BOTTOM with FLOW>0	13.734	275.5	94.690

# EXPECTED FLOW=0 HPT DIFF.: 0.22 psi (1.5 kPa) +/- 10% ACTUAL FLOW=0 HPT DIFF.: 0.20 psi (1.4 kPa)

# TRANSDUCER TEST PASSED

Test	Target (mS/m)	Actual (mS/m)	% Diff	P/F
Low	55.0	58.3	6.1	PASS
High	360.0	345.7	4.0	PASS



**NBW Alignment Hydrographs**
5/27/2021 8:09 AM BY: WASILEWSKI, MATT IMAGES: XREFS: RDWP-X-BASEMAP RDWP-X-CONTOURS RDWP-X-UTILS-1 PDIR-X-TITLE-DP PZ-218 MW-PZ302S WW-PZ3021  $\bigcirc$  $MW - 3 \bigcirc$ PZ-291 PDI-MW-1 MONITORING WELL **O**PZ-290 **TRANSECT #1** DI-MW-2 MONITORING WELL STORMWATER TRANSECT #2 MW-15 DETENTION PDI-MW-3 W-38 BASIN MW-MW-ASG-3 ₽Z-421 MW-321 MW-32S MONITORING WELL **TRANSECT #3** PDI-MW-CREEK PDI-MW-5 MW-16S MONITORING WELL -STREAM MW-24∕GB-89€ PDI-MW-6 TRANSECT #4 MW-17 >MW-17S/MW-12

C:\Users\mwasilewski\BIM 360\Arcadis\ANA - National Grid\Project Files\Gloversville (Hill St) Former MGP Site\2021\01-DWG\PDIR-FIG01 MW TRANSECT LOCATIONS.DWG LAYOUT: 1 SAVED: 5/27/2021 8:09 AM ACADVER: 23.1S (LMS TECH) PAGESETUP: ---- PLOTSTYLETABLE: ---- PLOTTED:



1983 (EASTERN ZONE 3101).

NORTH AMERICAN DATUM OF 1983 (NAD 83) ON REFERENCE ELLIPSOID

ASSOCIATED WITH THE GEODETIC REFERENCE SYSTEM OF 1980 (GRS 80). THE COORDINATES ARE BASED ON THE NEW YORK STATE PLANE GEODETIC SYSTEM OF

PDI TRANSECT FOR MANUALLY MEASURING CREEK VELOCITIES AND DEPTHS

PROBABLE NAPL-CONTAINING AREA

PROPOSED NAPL BARRIER WALL ALIGNMENT

APPROXIMATE PROPERTY LINE LIMITS

PAVED BIKE PATH

WATER

TREE LINE

FENCE

O UTILITY POLE



















**AQTESOLV Slug Test Solution Plots** 







## WELL DATA (PDI-MW-1)

Initial Displacement: <u>2.278</u> ft Total Well Penetration Depth: <u>9.26</u> ft Casing Radius: <u>0.083</u> ft Static Water Column Height: <u>9.26</u> ft Screen Length: <u>9.26</u> ft Well Radius: <u>0.18</u> ft

#### SOLUTION

Aquifer Model: Unconfined

Solution Method: Springer-Gelhar

K = 1.343 ft/day

Le = 0.1 ft













WELL DATA (PDI-MW-3)

Initial Displacement: <u>2.092</u> ft Total Well Penetration Depth: <u>10.91</u> ft Casing Radius: <u>0.083</u> ft Static Water Column Height: <u>10.92</u> ft Screen Length: <u>10.</u> ft Well Radius: <u>0.18</u> ft

S	0	L	U	T	IO	Ν	

Aquifer Model: Unconfined

Solution Method: Springer-Gelhar

K = 1.81 ft/day

Le = 0.1 ft







Initial Displacement: <u>2.006</u> ft Total Well Penetration Depth: 7.68 ft

Static Water Column Height: <u>7.68</u> ft Screen Length: <u>5.</u> ft Well Radius: <u>0.18</u> ft

Solution Method: Springer-Gelhar

### SOLUTION

Aquifer Model: Unconfined

Casing Radius: 0.083 ft

Le = 0.1 ft

K = 4.878 ft/day





Initial Displacement: <u>2.864</u> ft Total Well Penetration Depth: <u>9.39</u> ft Casing Radius: <u>0.083</u> ft Static Water Column Height: <u>9.39</u> ft Screen Length: <u>9.39</u> ft Well Radius: <u>0.18</u> ft

Solution Method: Springer-Gelhar

### SOLUTION

Aquifer Model: Unconfined

Le = 0.1 ft

 $K = \underline{29.09} \text{ ft/day}$ 







Test Date: <u>12/02/2020</u>							
AQUIFER DATA							
Saturated Thickness: 7.04 ft	Anisotropy Ratio (Kz/Kr): <u>1.</u>						
WELL DATA (PDI-MW-6)							
Initial Displacement: <u>1.844</u> ft Total Well Penetration Depth: <u>7.04</u> ft Casing Radius: <u>0.083</u> ft	Static Water Column Height: <u>7.04</u> ft Screen Length: <u>5.</u> ft Well Radius: <u>0.18</u> ft						
SOLUTION							
Aquifer Model: Unconfined	Solution Method: Springer-Gelhar						
K = 2.136 ft/day	Le = 0.1 ft						



















Total Well Penetration Depth: <u>2.86</u> ft Casing Radius: <u>0.083</u> ft Static Water Column Height: <u>37.77</u> f Screen Length: <u>2.86</u> ft Well Radius: <u>0.18</u> ft

# SOLUTION

Aquifer Model: Unconfined

Solution Method: Springer-Gelhar

K = 8.933 ft/day

Le = <u>0.1</u> ft



Sediment Sampling Correspondence
From:Brien, JasonSent:Friday, October 2, 2020 7:28 AMTo:Amin, Parag B (DEC)Cc:Steven DiLella; Powlin, Scott; Cornell, DavidSubject:National Grid Gloversville - Proposed Cayadutta Creek Sediment Sampling LocationsAttachments:CC-ISR\_01-05\_REACHES rev 9.17.20.pdf

### Parag,

Arcadis, on behalf of National Grid, completed the Cayadutta Creek reconnaissance (recon) from August 17 through 20, 2020 in accordance with Section 2.4.2 of the June 2020 Remedial Design Work Plan (RDWP) for National Grid's Gloversville Former MGP site Pre-Design Investigation (PDI). Arcadis conducted the recon as a component of the Pre-Design Investigation (PDI) to map various physical creek characteristics and select sediment sampling locations.

Arcadis personnel measured and recorded full channel dimensions, water depths, sediment thicknesses and bank descriptions at 30 probing transects established in five defined "reaches" (Reaches 1 through 5) extending from approximately 1,700 feet upstream from the site to the Harrison Street bridge approximately 2,600 feet downstream from the site as follows:

- Reaches 1 and 2 upstream from the site
- Reaches 3 adjacent to the site
- Reaches 4 and 5 downstream from the site

Spot probing was completed between transects to characterize the creek, identify depositional areas and check for obvious impacts to sediments as described in section 2.4.2 of the RDWP.

Field personnel identified and surveyed (using GPS) a total of 20 outfalls, 11 bridge drains (Hill Street bridge), 3 drainage swales, and 30 depositional areas. Potential sediment impacts (i.e., sheen) were observed along the water's edge during probing adjacent to the former site and at various points downstream from the site on both sides of the creek as depicted on the attached figures with a red line.

In accordance with RDWP Section 2.4.3, Arcadis identified 60 proposed sediment sampling locations as follows:

- 20 background locations (Reaches 1 and 2)
  - o 12 depositional
  - o 8 riffle/run
- 20 adjacent to the site (Reach 3)
  - o 10 deposition
  - o 10 riffle/run
- 20 downstream locations (Reaches 4 and 5)
  - o Reach 4
    - 6 depositional
    - 6 riffle/run
  - o Reach 5
    - 4 depositional
    - 4 riffle/run

Transect locations, observed impacts, pertinent site features and proposed sediment sampling locations are depicted on the attached figures. Arcadis will schedule the sediment sampling activities following DEC's proposed sediment sampling location review and approval. Please let me or Steve DiLella know if you require additional information or if you would like to set up a call to discuss the recon activities or proposed locations. Thank you.

Jason

Jason Brien PE | Principal Engineer | jason.brien@arcadis.com Arcadis of New York, Inc. 110 West Fayette, Suite 300 Syracuse, NY | 13202 | USA T. +1 315 671 9114 | M. + 1 315 263 5898

Professional Registration / PE-NY, #084067

Connect with us! www.arcadis.com | LinkedIn | Twitter | Facebook



Be green, leave it on the screen.

This e-mail and any files transmitted with it are the property of Arcadis and its affiliates. All rights, including without limitation copyright, are reserved. This e-mail contains information which may be confidential and may also be privileged. It is for the exclusive use of the intended recipient(s). If you are not the intended recipient(s) please note that any form of distribution, copying or use of this communication or the information in it is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If you have received this communication in error please return it to the sender and then delete the e-mail and destroy any copies of it. While reasonable precautions have been taken to ensure no software viruses are present in our emails we cannot guarantee that this e-mail or any attachment is virus-free or has not been intercepted or changed. Any opinions or other information in this e-mail that do not relate to the official business of Arcadis are neither given nor endorsed by it.

From:	Amin, Parag B (DEC) <parag.amin@dec.ny.gov></parag.amin@dec.ny.gov>
Sent:	Tuesday, October 13, 2020 11:53 AM
То:	Brien, Jason
Cc:	Steven DiLella; Powlin, Scott; Cornell, David; Carpenter, Kevin J (DEC); Pochini, Katherine M (DEC)
Subject:	RE: National Grid Gloversville - Proposed Cayadutta Creek Sediment Sampling Locations

Hi Jason,

We have following comments on the proposed sediment sampling location plan:

- Sample point R2-PDI-SED-9DEP is located very close to and directly downstream of an outfall. Please relocate this sample point. It appears that this depositional area may be too small to relocate this point upstream of the outfall. If moving the point upstream is not possible, this depositional sample may be replaced with an additional riffle run sample.
- 2. The segment/portion of the creek which is not going to be proposed to be excavated but where visual impacts (e.g. sheen etc.) and/or PAHs is detected during PDI, is NM plan to demonstrate that it is not related to the site, if so how e.g. via PAH fingerprint analysis?
- 3. The symbols for depositional v/s riffle sediment sampling locations are difficult to read in the legend without zooming way in and are too similar when they are readable. We suggest that making the symbols more distinguishable.

Please revise the figure and resubmit. If you have any questions, please contact me.

### Parag Amin P.E.

Project Manager, Division of Environmental Remediation

New York State Department of Environmental Conservation 625 Broadway, Albany, NY 12233-7014 P: (518) 402-9648 | F: (518) 402-9679 | parag.amin@dec.ny.gov www.dec.ny.gov | f | g | 0



From: Brien, Jason <Jason.Brien@arcadis.com>
Sent: Friday, October 02, 2020 7:28 AM
To: Amin, Parag B (DEC) <parag.amin@dec.ny.gov>
Cc: Steven DiLella <Steven.Dilella@nationalgrid.com>; scott.powlin@arcadis.com; Cornell, David <David.Cornell@arcadis.com>
Subject: National Grid Gloversville - Proposed Cayadutta Creek Sediment Sampling Locations

ATTENTION: This email came from an external source. Do not open attachments or click on links from unknown senders or unexpected emails. Parag,

Arcadis, on behalf of National Grid, completed the Cayadutta Creek reconnaissance (recon) from August 17 through 20, 2020 in accordance with Section 2.4.2 of the June 2020 Remedial Design Work Plan (RDWP) for National Grid's Gloversville Former MGP site Pre-Design Investigation (PDI). Arcadis conducted the recon as a component of the Pre-Design Investigation (PDI) to map various physical creek characteristics and select sediment sampling locations.

Arcadis personnel measured and recorded full channel dimensions, water depths, sediment thicknesses and bank descriptions at 30 probing transects established in five defined "reaches" (Reaches 1 through 5) extending from approximately 1,700 feet upstream from the site to the Harrison Street bridge approximately 2,600 feet downstream from the site as follows:

- Reaches 1 and 2 upstream from the site
- Reaches 3 adjacent to the site
- Reaches 4 and 5 downstream from the site

Spot probing was completed between transects to characterize the creek, identify depositional areas and check for obvious impacts to sediments as described in section 2.4.2 of the RDWP.

Field personnel identified and surveyed (using GPS) a total of 20 outfalls, 11 bridge drains (Hill Street bridge), 3 drainage swales, and 30 depositional areas. Potential sediment impacts (i.e., sheen) were observed along the water's edge during probing adjacent to the former site and at various points downstream from the site on both sides of the creek as depicted on the attached figures with a red line.

In accordance with RDWP Section 2.4.3, Arcadis identified 60 proposed sediment sampling locations as follows:

- 20 background locations (Reaches 1 and 2)
  - o 12 depositional
  - o 8 riffle/run
- 20 adjacent to the site (Reach 3)
  - $\circ$  10 deposition
  - o 10 riffle/run
- 20 downstream locations (Reaches 4 and 5)
  - o Reach 4
    - 6 depositional
    - 6 riffle/run
  - o Reach 5
    - 4 depositional
    - 4 riffle/run

Transect locations, observed impacts, pertinent site features and proposed sediment sampling locations are depicted on the attached figures. Arcadis will schedule the sediment sampling activities following DEC's proposed sediment sampling location review and approval. Please let me or Steve DiLella know if you require additional information or if you would like to set up a call to discuss the recon activities or proposed locations. Thank you.

Jason

Jason Brien PE | Principal Engineer | jason.brien@arcadis.com Arcadis of New York, Inc. 110 West Fayette, Suite 300 Syracuse, NY | 13202 | USA T. +1 315 671 9114 | M. + 1 315 263 5898

Professional Registration / PE-NY, #084067

Connect with us! <u>www.arcadis.com</u> | LinkedIn | Twitter | Facebook



#### Be green, leave it on the screen.

This e-mail and any files transmitted with it are the property of Arcadis and its affiliates. All rights, including without limitation copyright, are reserved. This e-mail contains information which may be confidential and may also be privileged. It is for the exclusive use of the intended recipient(s). If you are not the intended recipient(s) please note that any form of distribution, copying or use of this communication or the information in it is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If you have received this communication in error please return it to the sender and then delete the e-mail and destroy any copies of it. While reasonable precautions have been taken to ensure no software viruses are present in our emails we cannot guarantee that this e-mail or any attachment is virus-free or has not been intercepted or changed. Any opinions or other information in this e-mail that do not relate to the official business of Arcadis are neither given nor endorsed by it.

This email and any files transmitted with it are the property of Arcadis and its affiliates. All rights, including without limitation copyright, are reserved. This email contains information that may be confidential and may also be privileged. It is for the exclusive use of the intended recipient(s). If you are not an intended recipient, please note that any form of distribution, copying or use of this communication or the information in it is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If you have received this communication in error, please return it to the sender and then delete the email and destroy any copies of it. While reasonable precautions have been taken to ensure that no software or viruses are present in our emails, we cannot guarantee that this email or any attachment is virus free or has not been intercepted or changed. Any opinions or other information in this email that do not relate to the official business of Arcadis are neither given nor endorsed by it.

From:	Amin, Parag B (DEC) <parag.amin@dec.ny.gov></parag.amin@dec.ny.gov>
Sent:	Friday, October 16, 2020 10:29 AM
То:	Brien, Jason
Cc:	Steven DiLella; Powlin, Scott; Cornell, David; Carpenter, Kevin J (DEC)
Subject:	RE: National Grid Gloversville - Proposed Cayadutta Creek Sediment Sampling Locations

Hi Jason,

We don't have any further comments on sediment sampling location plan. However, we feel still the symbols used to show depositional and riffle sampling locations are not readily distinguishable. Please ensure that it is not the case in the PDI report.

Please provide advance notice prior to sampling sediments and bank soils.

Parag Amin P.E.

Project Manager, Division of Environmental Remediation

### New York State Department of Environmental Conservation

625 Broadway, Albany, NY 12233-7014

P: (518) 402-9648 F: (518) 402-9679 | parag.amin@dec.ny.gov

www.dec.ny.gov | 🗗 | 💟 | 🞯



From: Brien, Jason <Jason.Brien@arcadis.com>

Sent: Thursday, October 15, 2020 6:36 AM

**To:** Amin, Parag B (DEC) <parag.amin@dec.ny.gov>

**Cc:** Steven DiLella < Steven.Dilella@nationalgrid.com>; scott.powlin@arcadis.com; Cornell, David

<David.Cornell@arcadis.com>; Carpenter, Kevin J (DEC) <kevin.carpenter@dec.ny.gov>; Pochini, Katherine M (DEC) <Katherine.Pochini@dec.ny.gov>

Subject: RE: National Grid Gloversville - Proposed Cayadutta Creek Sediment Sampling Locations

ATTENTION: This email came from an external source. Do not open attachments or click on links from unknown senders or unexpected emails.

Parag,

Please see my responses to your comments below. Please let me know if you have any other comments or questions. Thanks.

Jason

Jason Brien PE | Principal Engineer | jason.brien@arcadis.com Arcadis of New York, Inc. 110 West Fayette, Suite 300 Syracuse, NY | 13202 | USA T. +1 315 671 9114 | M. + 1 315 263 5898

Professional Registration / PE-NY, #084067



Be green, leave it on the screen.

This e-mail and any files transmitted with it are the property of Arcadis and its affiliates. All rights, including without limitation copyright, are reserved. This e-mail contains information which may be confidential and may also be privileged. It is for the exclusive use of the intended recipient(s). If you are not the intended recipient(s) please note that any form of distribution, copying or use of this communication or the information in it is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If you have received this communication in error please return it to the sender and then delete the e-mail and destroy any copies of it. While reasonable precautions have been taken to ensure no software viruses are present in our emails we cannot guarantee that this e-mail or any attachment is virus-free or has not been intercepted or changed. Any opinions or other information in this e-mail that do not relate to the official business of Arcadis are neither given nor endorsed by it.

From: Amin, Parag B (DEC) <<u>parag.amin@dec.ny.gov</u>>
Sent: Tuesday, October 13, 2020 11:53 AM
To: Brien, Jason <<u>Jason.Brien@arcadis.com</u>>
Cc: Steven DiLella <<u>Steven.Dilella@nationalgrid.com</u>>; Powlin, Scott <<u>Scott.Powlin@arcadis.com</u>>; Cornell, David
<<u>David.Cornell@arcadis.com</u>>; Carpenter, Kevin J (DEC) <<u>kevin.carpenter@dec.ny.gov</u>>; Pochini, Katherine M (DEC)
<<u>Katherine.Pochini@dec.ny.gov</u>>
Subject: RE: National Grid Gloversville - Proposed Cayadutta Creek Sediment Sampling Locations

Subject: RE: National Grid Gloversville - Proposed Cayadutta Creek Sediment Sampling L

### Hi Jason,

We have following comments on the proposed sediment sampling location plan:

- Sample point R2-PDI-SED-9DEP is located very close to and directly downstream of an outfall. Please relocate this sample point. It appears that this depositional area may be too small to relocate this point upstream of the outfall. If moving the point upstream is not possible, this depositional sample may be replaced with an additional riffle run sample. As indicated on the attached revised sediment sampling figures, proposed sampling point R2-PDI-SED-9DEP has been relocated within the same depositional area upstream of the outfall.
- 2. The segment/portion of the creek which is not going to be proposed to be excavated but where visual impacts (e.g. sheen etc.) and/or PAHs is detected during PDI, is NM plan to demonstrate that it is not related to the site, if so how e.g. via PAH fingerprint analysis? Yes, per the RDWP, a PAH source fingerprinting screening evaluation will be performed. National Grid will develop a statistically derived site-specific PAH<sub>34</sub> background concentration using Reaches 1 and 2 sediment sample analytical results to which Reaches 3, 4, and 5 sediment sample detected PAH<sub>34</sub> concentrations will be performed as described in RDWP Section 2.4.3.2 to evaluate which samples represent "sediment impacted by site-related PAHs at concentrations greater than background levels" as defined by the ROD. The forensic evaluation will look at multiple lines of evidence. As indicated in the RDWP, the specific PAHs, ratios of PAH concentrations, distribution of PAH concentrations, and spatial relationship of PAH concentrations will all be evaluated and presented in a summary report to the NYSDEC. The summary report will be accompanied by a figure(s) indicating proposed revised sediment removal limits based on the PDI results.

3. The symbols for depositional v/s riffle sediment sampling locations are difficult to read in the legend without zooming way in and are too similar when they are readable. We suggest that making the symbols more distinguishable. Please see the revised figures.

Please revise the figure and resubmit. If you have any questions, please contact me.

### Parag Amin P.E.

Project Manager, Division of Environmental Remediation

New York State Department of Environmental Conservation

625 Broadway, Albany, NY 12233-7014 P: (518) 402-9648 | F: (518) 402-9679 | parag.amin@dec.ny.gov

www.dec.ny.gov | 📭 | 💟 | 🞯



From: Brien, Jason <<u>Jason.Brien@arcadis.com</u>>
Sent: Friday, October 02, 2020 7:28 AM
To: Amin, Parag B (DEC) <<u>parag.amin@dec.ny.gov</u>>
Cc: Steven DiLella <<u>Steven.Dilella@nationalgrid.com</u>>; <u>scott.powlin@arcadis.com</u>; Cornell, David
<<u>David.Cornell@arcadis.com</u>>
Subject: National Grid Gloversville - Proposed Cayadutta Creek Sediment Sampling Locations

ATTENTION: This email came from an external source. Do not open attachments or click on links from unknown senders or unexpected emails.

Parag,

Arcadis, on behalf of National Grid, completed the Cayadutta Creek reconnaissance (recon) from August 17 through 20, 2020 in accordance with Section 2.4.2 of the June 2020 Remedial Design Work Plan (RDWP) for National Grid's Gloversville Former MGP site Pre-Design Investigation (PDI). Arcadis conducted the recon as a component of the Pre-Design Investigation (PDI) to map various physical creek characteristics and select sediment sampling locations.

Arcadis personnel measured and recorded full channel dimensions, water depths, sediment thicknesses and bank descriptions at 30 probing transects established in five defined "reaches" (Reaches 1 through 5) extending from approximately 1,700 feet upstream from the site to the Harrison Street bridge approximately 2,600 feet downstream from the site as follows:

- Reaches 1 and 2 upstream from the site
- Reaches 3 adjacent to the site
- Reaches 4 and 5 downstream from the site

Spot probing was completed between transects to characterize the creek, identify depositional areas and check for obvious impacts to sediments as described in section 2.4.2 of the RDWP.

Field personnel identified and surveyed (using GPS) a total of 20 outfalls, 11 bridge drains (Hill Street bridge), 3 drainage swales, and 30 depositional areas. Potential sediment impacts (i.e., sheen) were observed along the water's edge during probing adjacent to the former site and at various points downstream from the site on both sides of the creek as depicted on the attached figures with a red line.

In accordance with RDWP Section 2.4.3, Arcadis identified 60 proposed sediment sampling locations as follows:

- 20 background locations (Reaches 1 and 2)
  - o 12 depositional
  - o 8 riffle/run
- 20 adjacent to the site (Reach 3)
  - $\circ$  10 deposition
  - o 10 riffle/run
- 20 downstream locations (Reaches 4 and 5)
  - o Reach 4
    - 6 depositional
    - 6 riffle/run
  - o Reach 5
    - 4 depositional
    - 4 riffle/run

Transect locations, observed impacts, pertinent site features and proposed sediment sampling locations are depicted on the attached figures. Arcadis will schedule the sediment sampling activities following DEC's proposed sediment sampling location review and approval. Please let me or Steve DiLella know if you require additional information or if you would like to set up a call to discuss the recon activities or proposed locations. Thank you.

Jason

Jason Brien PE | Principal Engineer | jason.brien@arcadis.com Arcadis of New York, Inc. 110 West Fayette, Suite 300 Syracuse, NY | 13202 | USA T. +1 315 671 9114 | M. + 1 315 263 5898

Professional Registration / PE-NY, #084067

Connect with us! www.arcadis.com | LinkedIn | Twitter | Facebook

#### 

#### Be green, leave it on the screen.

This e-mail and any files transmitted with it are the property of Arcadis and its affiliates. All rights, including without limitation copyright, are reserved. This e-mail contains information which may be confidential and may also be privileged. It is for the exclusive use of the intended recipient(s). If you are not the intended recipient(s) please note that any form of distribution, copying or use of this communication or the information in it is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If you have received this communication in error please return it to the sender and then delete the e-mail and destroy any copies of it. While reasonable precautions have been taken to ensure no software viruses are present in our emails we cannot guarantee that this e-mail or any attachment is virus-free or has not been intercepted or changed. Any opinions or other information in this e-mail that do not relate to the official business of Arcadis are neither given nor endorsed by it.

This email and any files transmitted with it are the property of Arcadis and its affiliates. All rights, including without limitation copyright, are reserved. This email contains information that may be confidential and may also be privileged. It is for the exclusive use of the intended recipient(s). If you are not an intended recipient, please note that any form of distribution, copying or use of this communication or the information in it is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If you have received this communication in error, please return it to the sender and then delete the email and destroy any copies of it. While reasonable precautions have been taken to ensure that no software or viruses are present in our emails, we cannot guarantee that this email or any attachment is virus free or has not been intercepted or changed. Any opinions or other information in this email that do not relate to the official business of Arcadis are neither given nor endorsed by it.

This email and any files transmitted with it are the property of Arcadis and its affiliates. All rights, including without limitation copyright, are reserved. This email contains information that may be confidential and may also be privileged. It is for the exclusive use of the intended recipient(s). If you are not an intended recipient, please note that any form of distribution, copying or use of this communication or the information in it is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If you have received this communication in error, please return it to the sender and then delete the email and destroy any copies of it. While reasonable precautions have been taken to ensure that no software or viruses are present in our emails, we cannot guarantee that this email or any attachment is virus free or has not been intercepted or changed. Any opinions or other information in this email that do not relate to the official business of Arcadis are neither given nor endorsed by it.



**Ecological Characterization Evaluation** 



National Grid

# **Ecological Characterization Report**

Gloversville (Hill Street) Former Manufactured Gas Plant Gloversville, New York Site No. 5-18-021

November 2021

### **Gloversville Former MGP Site Ecological Characterization Report**

Gloversville (Hill Street) Former Manufactured Gas Plant Gloversville, New York Site No. 5-18-021

November 2021

### **Prepared By:**

Arcadis of New York, Inc. One Lincoln Center, 110 West Fayette Street, Suite 300 Syracuse New York 13202 Phone: 315 446 9120 Fax: 315 449 0017 Prepared For: National Grid

### Our Ref:

30044656

This document is intended only for the use of the individual or entity for which it was prepared and may contain information that is privileged, confidential and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. Any dissemination, distribution or copying of this document is strictly prohibited.

### Contents

1	Introduction	. 1
2	Wetland Boundary Delineation and Characterization	. 1
3	Upland and Riparian Vegetation Community Characterization	. 4
4	Tributary Characterization	. 8
5	Conclusions	. 9
6	References	. 9

### **Tables**

Table 1	Vegetation Characterization Plots: Herbaceous
Table 2	Vegetation Characterization Plots: Tree and Shrub
Table 3	Tree Inventory
Table 4	Tree Inventory Summary

### **Figures**

- Figure 1 Project Location Map
- Figure 2 NWI Wetlands Map
- Figure 3 NYSDEC Wetlands Map
- Figure 4 USDA NRCS Soils Map
- Figure 5 Wetland Delineation Map
- Figure 6 Habitat and Vegetation Characterization Map
- Figure 7 Tree Inventory Map
- Figure 8 Tree Inventory Bar Graph

### **Attachments**

- Attachment 1 Photograph Log
- Attachment 2 Wetland Determination Data Forms
- Attachment 3 Unnamed Tributary Photograph Log

### **1** Introduction

This report presents Arcadis of New York, Inc. (Arcadis') ecological characterization study (study) results for the National Grid Former MGP (Hill St.) Site in Gloversville, NY. The study area covered approximately 5.5 acres expected to be within and near the planned construction area. The approximate center of the survey is located at 43° 2'2.91"N, 74°21'2.10"W (Figure 1).

Arcadis conducted the study on August 17, 27, 28, and 31, 2020) to determine the extent and location of waters of the United States and characterize the project area's vegetative community. The location and quantification of natural resources surrounding the project area will be used to minimize the project's environmental impact and create a suitable ecological restoration plan following remedial activities.

Wetland boundary delineation and characterization methods and results are presented in Section 2 including a desktop survey of mapped National Wetland Inventory (NWI) Wetlands (Figure 2), New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (NYSDEC) Wetlands (Figure 3), and United States Department of Agricultural Natural Resources Conservation Service (USDA NRCS) Soils (Figure 4), and field delineated conditions (Figure 5).

Upland and Riparian Vegetation Community Characterization methods and results are presented in Section 3 including radial-area vegetation plot sampling (Figure 6) and a site tree inventory (Figure 7). Vegetation data is summarized in Tables 1 through 3.

### 2 Wetland Boundary Delineation and Characterization

### Methods

The study area is located within the Northeast and North Central subregion corresponding to Land Resource Region (LRR) R (USACE, 2011). As such, the delineation was conducted in accordance with the Regional Supplement to the Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual: Northcentral and Northeast Region (Version 2.0) (Regional Supplement). The Regional Supplement is intended to be utilized in conjunction with the Corps of Engineers Wetlands Delineation Manual (Environmental Laboratory, 1987) (Manual).

The United States Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) and the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) jointly define wetlands as, "...those areas that are inundated or saturated by surface or groundwater at a frequency and duration sufficient to support, and that under normal circumstances do support, a prevalence of vegetation typically adapted for life in saturated soil conditions. Wetlands generally include swamps, marshes, bogs, and similar areas" (Environmental Laboratory, 1987). From this regulatory definition, USACE developed a three-parameter approach to identify and delineate wetlands. This approach dictates that wetlands contain hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils, and evidence of wetland hydrology except for atypical situations or in previously disturbed areas.

The National Wetlands Plant List (NWPL) (USACE, 2018) was utilized to determine the wetland indicator status for vegetation encountered within the study area. Dominant vegetation with an indicator status of facultative (FAC), facultative wetland (FACW), or obligate (OBL) were considered hydrophytic. Plant species that individually or together comprising 50 percent of the total vegetation coverage of a community plus an individual species that

by itself accounts for 20 percent of the total coverage were considered dominant (i.e., 50/20 rule). Areas with greater than 50 percent of their dominant vegetation possessing an indicator status of FAC, FACW or OBL were noted as having wetland vegetation.

Study area soils were analyzed to a depth of 20 to 30 inches, where possible, to determine color, texture and if hydric indicators were present. A Munsell<sup>®</sup> Color Chart was used to assign standard color notations to soil samples. Soil color was examined on moist or saturated soils. If soils were dry at the time of analysis, then samples were moistened with a spray bottle prior to analysis to facilitate accurate color readings. Areas possessing one or more field indicators, as outlined in USDA, NRCS (2018), were noted as having hydric soils present. Field-verified soils were also compared to NRCS published soil map units to determine if any mapped soils within the study area were listed as hydric.

Study area hydrology assessments consisted of direct observation of inundation, soil saturation or groundwater within 12-inches of the surface of hand-excavated test pits. Where direct observation hydrology was absent, other field indicators of wetland hydrology utilized. These hydrology indicators included, but were not limited to, drainage patterns, sediment deposition, watermarks, water-stained leaves, or the presence of sparsely vegetated concave surfaces.

Aquatic resources such as streams were identified and delineated based on their hydrologic regime (perennial or intermittent flow), presence of well-defined beds and banks, flow indicators such as sediment sorting and scouring, and indications of ordinary high-water marks. In all instances where a wetland abutted a stream, the outer wetland boundaries were established, as well as the boundary between the stream and the wetland.

Delineated wetland and stream habitats were classified in accordance with methods outlined in, Classification of Wetlands and Deepwater Habitats of the United States (Cowardin et al., 1979). Upland areas were classified in accordance with, Ecological Communities of New York State, Second Edition (Edinger et al. 2002). A wetland delineation map is included as Figure 5, representative photographs are included as Attachment 1, and completed wetland determination forms are included in Attachment 2.

### **Study Area Soils**

The NRCS has the following three soils mapped within the study area (Figure 4):

- 7B Endoaquents, 0 to 8 percent slopes, smoothed
- 72C Broadalbin fine sandy loam, 8 to 15 percent slopes
- 115B Udipsamments, 0 to 8 percent slopes, smoothed

Endoaquents are comprised of a parent material of loamy human transported material. They are somewhat poorly drained and have a very high runoff class. The depth to water table is about 6 to 18 inches and the depth to a restrictive layer is greater than 80 inches. Endoaquents are in Hydrologic Soil Group B/D and not classified as hydric.

Broadalbin fine sandy loam soils are comprised of a parent material of friable loamy eolian deposits over firm till. They are well drained and have a very high runoff class. The depth to water table is more than 80 inches and the depth to a restrictive layer is about 18 to 36 inches. Broadalbin fine sandy loam soils are in Hydrologic Soil Group C and not classified as hydric.

Udipsamments are comprised of a parent material of human transported material over sandy outwash. They are excessively drained and have a negligible runoff class. They have a depth to water table of greater than 80 inches

and depth to restrictive layer of greater than 80 inches. Udipsamments soils are in Hydrologic Soil Group C and not classified as hydric.

Soil Map Unit Symbol	Soil Map Unit Name	Hydric Classification
	Fulton County, NY	
7B	Endoaquents, 0 to 8 percent slopes, smoothed	No
72C	Broadalbin fine sandy loam, 8 to 15 percent slopes	No
115B	115B - Udipsamments, 0 to 8 percent slopes, smoothed	No

### **Study Area Drainage**

The study area is in Fulton County, New York within the Mohawk River Watershed (HUC: 02020004). A portion of the Cayadutta Creek, a direct tributary of the Mohawk River, flows south and west through the study area (Regulation: 876-192, Standard: C(T), Classification: C) (Figure 2). Additionally, there are two channelized drainage features that flow to the Cayadutta Creek. The first drainage feature flows west and southwest through a culvert located on South Street and along the south side of the facility. This drainage feature discharges to Cayadutta Creek through a subgrade culvert. Further discussion of this perennial tributary is provided in Section 4. The second drainage feature is located to the west of the facility and flows south into the Cayadutta Creek. This drainage feature was characterized as a drainage swale within the wetland that is seasonally inundated with precipitation and surface water runoff that is open to the banks of Cayadutta Creek. Characterization of this feature is included within the Wetland B description. Both drainage features have a hydrologic source of runoff from the facility and other adjacent parcels.

The classification AA or A is assigned to waters used as a source of drinking water; classification B indicates a best usage for swimming and other contact recreation, but not for drinking water; classification C is for waters supporting fisheries and suitable for non-contact activities; the lowest classification and standard is D. Waters with classifications A, B, and C may also have a standard of (T), indicating that it may support a trout population, or (TS), indicating that it may support trout spawning. Special requirements apply to sustain these waters that support these valuable and sensitive fisheries resources.

### Study Area Wetland/Upland Boundaries and Descriptions

<u>Wetland A (US Fish and Wildlife Services Type PSS - Freshwater- Forested and Shrub wetland)</u> was identified on the south side of the facility surrounding the drainage feature with hydrologic inputs from the culvert at South Boulevard (Figure 5). The wetland was delineated by flags "A1" through "A71 Open to Culvert" and comprised approximately 0.82 acres within the study area. The centerline of the drainage feature was approximately 400 feet long from upstream to downstream culverts and had standing water ranging from one to four inches deep. The study area hydrology was significantly disturbed from previous human action, however, field personnel observed saturated soils, scattered pockets of inundation and drainage patterns in various location throughout Wetland A. Dominant hydric vegetation included black willow (*Salix nigra*), cottonwood (*Populus deltoides*), glossy buckthorn (*Ferangula alnus*), giant goldenrod (*Solidago gigantea*), joe pye weed (*Eutrochium purpureum*), riverbank grape (*Vitis riparia*), and flat pea (*Lathyrus sylvestris*). Soils were a silty loam texture with a color of 5Y 2.5/1 until shovel refusal at ten inches. The hydric soil indicator for this wetland was Dark Surface (S7).

Uplands adjacent to Wetland A had no indicators of wetland hydrology. Dominant vegetation included box elder (*Acer negundo*), black walnut, (*Juglans nigra*), silky dogwood (*Cornus amomum*), glossy buckthorn, joe pye weed,

common burdock (Arctium minus), and flat pea. Soils were a silty and loamy sand texture with a color of 10YR 3/3 until shovel refusal at 14 inches.

<u>Wetland B (PSS)</u> was identified to the west of the facility (Figure 5). The wetland was delineated by flags "B1 Open to Stream" to "B26 Open to Stream" and totaled 0.33 acres within the study area. The wetland was a drainage feature with relatively steep (~7-10%) slopes compared to the surrounding landscape. At the time of the survey, there was no standing water within the drainage channel, however hydrologic wetland indicators were present and included Saturation (A3) (10"), Water Marks (B3), Water Stained Leaves (B9), Drainage Patterns (B10), and Geomorphic Position (D2). Dominant hydrophytic vegetation included cottonwood, American elm (*Ulmus americana*), glossy buckthorn, sensitive fern (*Onoclea sensibilis*), creeping jenny (*Lysimachia nummularia*) and riverbank grape. Soils were a silty loam texture with a color of 5Y 2.5/1. At 14 inches 38% of soils were a color of 2.5Y 6/1 and redox concentration with a color of 7.5YR 5/8 within the matrix were found at prevalence of 2%. The hydric soil indicator for this wetland was Dark Surface (S7).

Uplands adjacent to Wetland B had no indicators of wetland hydrology. Dominant vegetation included cottonwood, staghorn sumac (*Rhus typhina*), glossy buckthorn, Kentucky bluegrass (*Poa pratensis*), and red clover (*Trifolium pratense*). Soils were a loamy sand texture with a color of 5YR 3/2 from 0-2 inches and 5YR 4/3 from 2-20+ inches.

### 3 Upland and Riparian Vegetation Community Characterization

### Methods

The study area was broken into separate habitat types based on initial qualitative observations. Random sampling plots were placed within these areas with a minimum of at least 20% total area coverage to the tree and shrub community. The circular sampling plot areas were 1/10 acre each (37.3 feet radius). Every sapling or shrub individual within the sampling plot radius was identified by its species and counted. Every tree within the sampling plot radius was identified by its species, counted, and diameter at breast-height (DBH) recorded in inches.

Herbaceous vegetation cover of individual species was measured by using a one square meter quadrat placed at the center of each sampling plot. Species dominance of a plot was assigned in a similar way as wetland delineation with the 50/20 rule.

### **Vegetation Sampling Plots**

The Study Area was broken into three separate habitat types: Upland, Wetland (composed of previously mentioned Wetland A and its center stream), and Ravine (composed of previously mentioned Wetland B and the surrounding steep slopes) (Figure 6).

### <u>Upland</u>

The Upland Habitat was approximately 4.0 acres, or 73% of the study area. The Habitat is best described as a Successional Southern Hardwood Forest.

"...a hardwood or mixed forest that occurs on sites that have been cleared or otherwise disturbed. Characteristic trees and shrubs include any of the following: American elms (Ulmus americana), slippery elm (Ulmus rubra), white ash (Fraxinus americana), red maples (Acer rubrum), box elders (Acer negundo), silver maple (Acer saccharinum), sassafrass (Sassafras albidum), gray birch (Betula populifolia), hawthorns (Crataegus spp.), eastern red cedar (Juniperus virginiana), and choke-cherry (Prunus virginiana). Certain introduced species are commonly found in successional forests, including black locust (Robinia pseudo-acacia), tree-of-heaven (Ailanthus altissima), and buckthorn (Rhamnus cathartica)"

-Edinger (2014) pp.125

A total of eight radial plots (plots U1 through U8) were used to characterize the Upland Habitat (Table 1 and Table 2). There were 148 shrubs counted (185 shrubs/acre) and 103 trees counted (129 trees/acre). The results of the vegetation sampling are listed below:

- U1 43° 2'4.23"N, 74°21'0.88"W had a total of 17 shrubs; the most common shrub was glossy buckthorn (10) and the least common was silky dogwood (1). A total of twelve trees were counted; the most common trees was box elder (8) and the least common was glossy buckthorn (1). Tree DBH's ranged from a low of 1.1" (black walnut) to a high of 20.7" (box elder). The dominant herbaceous species of the sampled plot was Canada goldenrod.
- U2 43° 2'3.17"N, 74°20'59.06"W had a total of 13 shrubs; the most common shrub was Tartarian honeysuckle (6) and the least common was green ash sapling (1). A total of 13 trees were counted; the most common tree was cottonwood (8) and the least common were black walnut and eastern hawthorn (*Crataegus phaenopyrum*) (1). Tree DBH's ranged from a low of 0.4" (cottonwood) to a high of 10" (black walnut and box elder). The dominant herbaceous species of the sampled plot was Canada goldenrod.
- U3 43° 2'4.20"N, 74°21'1.79"W had a total of 6 shrubs; there were 3 shrubs of box elder and glossy buckthorn each. A total of 4 trees were counted; the most common tree was box elder (3) and the least common was black walnut (1). Tree DBH's ranged from a low of 0.8" (black walnut) to a high of 4.8" (box elder). The dominant herbaceous species of the sampled plot was field thistle.
- U4 43° 2'4.14"N, 74°21'3.20"W had a total of 24 shrubs; the most common shrub was glossy buckthorn (8) and the least common were green ash and multiflora rose (1). A total of 14 trees were counted; the most common trees were box elder and cottonwood (4) and the least common were black walnut and glossy buckthorn (3). Tree DBH's ranged from a low of 0.8" (black walnut and box elder) to a high of 9" (cottonwood). The dominant herbaceous species of the sampled plot was Canada goldenrod.
- U5 43° 2'3.73"N, 74°21'4.09"W, had a total of 32 shrubs; the most common shrub was glossy buckthorn (11) and the least common was black walnut sapling (1). A total of 7 trees were counted, all of which were box elder. DBH's of the trees ranged from a low of 1" to a high of 5.5". The dominant herbaceous species of the sampled plot was goutweed (*Aegopodium podagraria*).
- U6 43° 2'5.41"N, 74°21'3.77"W had a total of 31 shrubs; the most common shrub was Russian olive (11) and the least common was cottonwood (1). A total of 24 trees were counted; the most common tree was box elder (17) and the least common were American elm, black walnut, and Norway maple (*Acer platanoides*) (1). Tree DBH's ranged from a low of 0.9" (box elder) to a high of 3.3" (green ash). The dominant herbaceous species of the sampled plot were Canada goldenrod and goutweed.
- U7 43° 2'2.67"N, 74°21'5.78"W had a total of 15 shrubs; the most common shrub was box elder (7) and the least common were Norway maple and silky dogwood (1). A total of 18 trees were counted; the most common tree was box elder (13) and the least common was silver maple (*Acer saccharinum*) (1). Tree DBH's ranged from a low of 1.0" (black walnut) to a high of 12.9" (silver maple). The dominant herbaceous species of the sampled plot was herbaceous Allegheny blackberry.

U8 – 43° 2'11.36"N, 74°20'57.77"W had a total of 10 shrubs; the most common shrub was box elder sapling (5) and the least common was glossy buckthorn (2). A total of 11 trees were counted; the most common tree was staghorn sumac (*Rhus typhina*) (4) and the least common were white pine (*Pinus strobus*) and red oak (*Quercus rubra*) (1). Tree DBH's ranged from a low of 1" (staghorn sumac) to a high of 11.4" (white pine). The dominant herbaceous species of the sampled plot were Canada goldenrod and bird's foot trefoil (*Lotus corniculatus*).

### Wetland

The Wetland Habitat was approximately 0.8 acres, or 15% of the study area. There were 104 shrubs counted (347 shrubs/acre) and 18 trees counted (60 trees/acre). The Habitat is best described as a Shrub-Swamp.

"In northern New York many shrub swamps are dominated by alder (Alnus incana ssp. rugosa); these swamps are widely recognized as alder thickets. A swamp dominated by red osier dogwood (Cornus sericea), silky dogwood (C. amomum), and willows (Salix spp.) may be called a shrub carr. Along the shores of some lakes and ponds there is a distinct zone dominated by water-willow (Decodon verticillatus) and/or buttonbush (Cephalanthus occidentalis) which can sometimes fill a shallow basin. Characteristic shrubs that are common in these and other types of shrub swamps include meadowsweet (Spiraea alba var. alba and S. alba var. latifolia), hardhack (Spiraea tomentosa), gray dogwood (Cornus racemosa), swamp azalea (Rhododendron viscosum), highbush blueberry (Vaccinium corymbosum), male-berry (Lyonia ligustrina), smooth alder (Alnus serrulata), spicebush (Lindera benzoin), willows (Salix bebbiana, S. discolor, S. lucida, S. petiolaris), wild raisin (Viburnum nudum var. cassinoides), and arrowwood (Viburnum dentatum var. lucidum). Scattered young trees may be present, such as red maple (Acer rubrum), American elm (Ulmus americana), and green ash (Fraxinus pennsylvanica)."

-Edinger (2014) pp.45

A total of three radial plots were used to characterize the Wetland Habitat (Table 1 and Table 2).

- W1 43° 2'4.54"N, 74°21'0.82"W had a total of 29 shrubs; the most common shrub was speckled alder (17) and the least common was silky dogwood and cottonwood (1). A total of 4 trees were counted; the most common tree was black willow (2) and the least common were American elm and cottonwood (1). Tree DBH's ranged from a low of 4.3" (American elm) to a high of 16" (cottonwood). The dominant herbaceous species of the sampled plot were joe pye weed and giant goldenrod.
- W2 43° 2'5.54"N, 74°21'1.01"W had a total of 38 shrubs; the most common shrub was glossy buckthorn (20) and the least common was black willow (4). A total of 4 trees were counted, all of which were cottonwood. Tree DBH's ranged from a low of 4" to a high of 13.2". The dominant herbaceous species of the sampled plot was giant goldenrod.
- W3 43° 2'5.93"N, 74°21'2.23"W had a total of 37 shrubs; the most common shrub was glossy buckthorn (15) and the least common was silky dogwood (1). A total of 10 trees were counted; the most common trees was box elder (4) and the least common were glossy buckthorn and speckled alder (1). Tree DBH's ranged from a low of 3.1" (speckled alder) to a high of 9.9" (box elder). The dominant herbaceous species of the sampled plot was jewelweed.

### <u>Ravine</u>

The Ravine Habitat was approximately 0.7 acres, or 13% of the study area. There 48 shrubs counted (480 shrubs/acre) and 7 trees counted (70 trees per acre). The Habitat was located in between the site facility and an adjacent pedestrian path and is best described as a Ditch/Artificial Intermittent Stream with steep upland slopes.

"The aquatic community of an artificial waterway constructed for drainage or irrigation of adjacent lands. Water levels either fluctuate in response to variations in precipitation and groundwater levels, or water levels are artificially controlled. The sides of ditches are often vegetated, with grasses and sedges usually dominant. Non-native or weedy species are common. Purple loosestrife (Lythrum salicaria), European common reed (Phragmites australis), and reed canary grass (Phalaris arundinacea) often become established and may form dense, monospecific stands. Reed canary grass is often planted along ditches for erosion control. Other plants that are characteristic include sedges (Carex spp.) and cattails (Typha spp.). Algae indicative of eutrophic conditions may be abundant."

-Edinger (2014) pp. 30

One radial plot was used to characterize the Ravine Habitat (Table 1 and Table 2).

R1 – 43° 2'7.08"N, 74°21'4.78"W had a total of 48 shrubs; the most common shrub was cottonwood sapling (15) and the least common was black willow (5). A total of 7 trees were counted; the most common trees was cottonwood (5) and the least common was black willow (7). Tree DBH's ranged from a low of 1.2" (cottonwood) to a high of 2.4" (black willow). The dominant herbaceous species of the sampled plot were sensitive fern and creeping jenny.

### **Tree Inventory**

Table 3 provides a full list of trees inventoried with numerical identifiers that can be seen on the map in Figure 7 – Tree Inventory Map. Table 4 provides a breakdown of the number of individuals and trunks of each species inventoried along with measures of DBH. Figure 8 presents a bar graph showing the total number of each tree species identified and average DBH of the population observed.

During the Tree Inventory, a total of 272 individual trees were located and identified by species. Trees with two or more trunks were tagged as the same individual, but counted and measured DBH separately. In total, there were 334 trunks located within the study area. The average DBH of all tree trunks identified was 9.7 inches and the median DBH was 7.2 inches. Based on the total study area of 6.24 acres, the stem density of trees was 53.5 trunks per acre.

The species with the greatest number of trees was box elder with 119 individuals (156 trunks) followed by cottonwood with 89 individuals (103 trunks). The species with the fewest number of trees (only one identified on site each) were apple (*Malus sp.*), black ash (*Fraxinus nigra*), quaking aspen (*Populus tremuloides*), an unidentifiable ash (*Ulmus sp.*), and serviceberry. The species with the largest average DBH was black walnut at 16.9 inches and the species with the lowest average DBH were American sycamore (*Platanus occidentalis*) and black willow. While black willow had the lowest average, its median was highest at 42.8 inches due to the few individuals counted and high range of observed DBH.

### **4** Tributary Characterization

The drainage feature (Unnamed Tributary) observed in the southern portion of the site was characterized for physical and ecological habitat attributes on August 28, 2020. Methods to evaluate the Unnamed Tributary followed general procedures outlined in Rapid Bioassessment Protocols [RBP] (Barbour et al 1999) and Stream Visual Assessment Protocols [SVAP] (USDA 2009). Evaluation included drainage features characterization of wetted width, water depth, habitats, substrate, bank conditions, vegetation, and observations of aquatic biota.

The Unnamed Tributary is an altered drainage way that has been influenced by past human disturbances; based on the presence of fill, some straightening and road access, and underground culvert. Despite the human disturbance, this drainage way has characteristics of a perennial first order stream. This first order stream drains a small catchment basin to the northeast of the project site that is generally bounded to the north by Hill Street and Worth Street, to the east and southeast by hill topography and to the south by the small site access road that is found within the southern portion of the project area. Using topography contours the approximate catchment basin area is 18 acres. From the site boundary (South Boulevard culvert) to the east to the confluence with Cayadutta Creek the Unnamed Tributary travels linearly east to west approximately 500 feet. A 24-inch drainage culvert runs approximately 75 feet underground from the confluence with Cayadutta Creek upgradient into the Unnamed Tributary drainage just west of the wetland boundary. The culvert is perched above the baseflow water elevation of Cayadutta Creek. Based on this position of the culvert, it appears to be hydrologically isolated and would not allow aquatic resources to move from Cayadutta Creek to the Unnamed Tributary during most flow regimes.

The wetted width of the Unnamed Tributary ranged from 29 to 48 inches; with an average of 35 inches. The water depth ranged from 1.5 (riffle habitat) to 5.5 (pool habitat) inches; with an average of 3.6 inches. The Unnamed Tributary habitat was comprised of typical sequences of short riffle breaks between runs and shallow pools along a low gradient, with some highly entrenched sections closer to the upstream culvert and becoming less entrenched as the channel moved downstream along the wetland. Along the wetland boundary, the channel was incised approximately 4 to 6 inches and controlled by the rooted wetland vegetation present to limit migration. The Tributary channel has moderate to low sinuosity and is generally stable over substrates of predominately mixed cobbles, gravels, and sands. Mixed fill materials were also observed within the active channel. Banks were primarily stable, indicated by moderate to high vegetation coverage and/or root zone protection based on the existing canopy and herbaceous communities present. The predominant canopy species included American elm and cottonwood. The predominant understory shrubs included glossy buckthorn, silky dogwood, speckled alder, and vine of riverbank grape. The predominant herbaceous vegetation included goldenrod species (Solidago spp.), creeping jenny, sensitive fern, spotted jewelweed (Impatiens capensis), purple loosestrife, and cattail species (Typha spp.).

Some minor erosional and undercut bank areas were observed with some aggradation of materials to slightly embed channel substrates. Bank materials were primarily alluvial soils made of silts and sands. Bank slopes were variable depending upon the wetland or root zone influence or confining entrenchment developed closer to the eastern boundary. Based on the presence of highly vegetated control and some physical disturbance through fill placement and the access road the sinuosity is less than typically observed for this type of wet meadow and floodplain channel. The Tributary channel is generally classified as C3/C4 within Rosgen stream classification. This type of stream channel is characterized by low gradient, slightly entrenched with well-defined meandering channel with riffle-pool bed morphology.

In terms of aquatic ecological habitat, substrates (including presence of rooted emergent vegetation and cover from minor boulders), undercuts, and large woody debris provide suitable benthic community habitat. In addition,

www.arcadis.com

the Unnamed Tributary and surrounding wetland and floodplain habitat would also provide suitable habitat for amphibians. Based on the perched culvert at the confluence with Cayadutta Creek, the presence of fish within the Unnamed Tributary is likely low and none were observed during field characterization. Using the elements of the SVAP to assess the Unnamed Tributary stream condition, the general estimate would be "Good". A photolog of the Unnamed Tributary existing conditions is provided in Attachment 3.

### 5 **Conclusions**

Two palustrine scrub-shrub wetlands were identified and located near the planned construction at the National Grid – Gloversville (Former MGP) site, totaling 1.15 acres within the Study Area. Both wetlands receive hydrologic input from surrounding runoff and have channelized drainage features that discharge into the Cayadutta Creek. The wetlands' soils were a silty loam and their vegetation dominated successional herbaceous, shrub, and tree species.

The study area was split into three separate habitat types: an upland successional forest, a shrub swamp, and altered drainage way (Unnamed Tributary). The Unnamed Tributary is hydrologically isolated from Cayadutta Creek based on the presence of a perched culvert pipe such that aquatic resources would not be able to travel up this drainage during most flow conditions. Suitable habitat is present within the Unnamed Tributary to support aquatic life such as amphibians and benthic community. The most common species identified in these areas were box elder, glossy buckthorn, cottonwood, speckled alder, Canada goldenrod, and giant goldenrod. A total of 272 trees were located and measured in the study area. The average and median DBH of the tree community was 9.7 and 7.2 inches, respectively.

### 6 References

Barbour, M.T., J. Gerritsen, B.D. Snyder, and J.B. Stribling. 1999. Rapid Bioassessment Protocols for Use in Streams and Wadeable Rivers: Periphyton, Benthic Macroinvertebrates and Fish, Second Edition. EPA 841-B-99-002. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency; Office of Water; Washington, D.C.

Cowardin, L.M., V. Carter, F.C. Golet, and E.T. LaRoe. 1979. The Classification of Wetlands and Deepwater Habitats of the United States. US Fish and Wildlife Service, Washington, D.C. FWS/OBS-79/31.

Edinger, G.J., D.J. Evans, S. Gebauer, T.G. Howard, D.M. Hunt, and A.M. Olivero (editors). 2002. Ecological Communities of New York State. Second Edition. A revised and expanded edition of Carol Reschke's Ecological Communities of New York State. (Draft for review). New York State Natural Heritage Program, New York Department of Environmental Conservation, Albany, NY.

Environmental Laboratory. 1987. Corps of Engineers Wetlands Delineation Manual. Technical Report Y-87-1. US Army Engineer Waterways Experimentation Station. Vicksburg, MS.

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE). 2012. Regional Supplement to the Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual: Northcentral and Northeast Region Version 2.0, ed. MS: U.S. Army Engineer Research and Development Center.

USACE. 2018. National Wetland Plant List, version 3.4. http://wetland-plants.usace.army.mil/ USACE Engineer Research and Development Center Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory, Hanover, NH.

U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA). 2009. Stream Visual Assessment Protocol Version 2. Part 614, National Biology Handbook, Subpart B – Conservation Planning. December. 75 pp w/ appendices.

USDA. 2018. Field Indicators of Hydric Soils in the United States, Version 8.2. L.M. Vasilas, G.W. Hurt, and J.F. Berkowitz (eds.). USDA, NRCS, in cooperation with the National Technical Committee for Hydric Soils.

U.S. Department of the Interior, Fish and Wildlife Service. 2012. National Wetlands Inventory Wetlands Mapper. http://107.20.228.18/Wetlands/WetlandsMapper.html.

U.S. Department of the Interior, Geological Survey. Reston, Virginia – Medina Quadrangle 7.5 Minute Series (Topographic).

## **Tables**



### Ecological Characterization Report National Grid

Upland Vegetation Plot 1			
Common Name	Scientific Name	% Cover	
Bindweed	Convolvulus arvensis	10	
Canada Goldenrod	Solidago canadensis	60	
Joe Pye Weed	Eutrochium maculatum	15	
Common Burdock	Arcticum minus	10	

Upland Vegetation Plot 2			
Common Name	Scientific Name	% Cover	
Canada Goldenrod	Solidago canadensis	90	
Virgin's Bower	Clematis virginiana	10	
Box Elder	Acer negundo	5	
Quack Grass	Elymus repens	15	
Kentucky Blue Grass	Poa pratensis	15	
Bedstraw	Galium aparine	2	
Virginia Creeper	Parthenocissus quinquefolia	5	

Upland Vegetation Plot 3			
Common Name	Scientific Name	% Cover	
Field Thistle	Cirsium discolor	60	
Jewelweed	Impatiens capensis	20	
Canada Goldenrod	Solidago canadensis	20	
Creeping Charlie	Glechoma hederacea	20	
Purple Loosestrife	Lythrum salicaria	10	

Upland Vegetation Plot 4			
Common Name	Scientific Name	% Cover	
Canada Goldenrod	Solidago canadensis	70	
Asiatic Bittersweet	Celastrus orbiculatus	20	
Allegany Blackberry	Rubus allegheniensis	10	
Virginia Creeper	Parthenocissus quinquefolia	10	
Creeping Charlie	Glechoma hederacea	2	

Upland Vegetation Plot 5		
Common Name	Scientific Name	% Cover
Goutweed	Aegopodium podagraria	65
Allegheny blackberry	Rubus allegheniensis	10
Canada Goldenrod	Solidago canadensis	20
White Snakeroot	Ageratina altissima	5
Garlic Mustard	Alliaria petiolata	2

Upland Vegetation Plot 6			
Common Name	Scientific Name	% Cover	
Canada Goldenrod	Solidago canadensis	50	
Goutweed	Aegopodium podagraria	40	
Bedstraw	Galium aparine	5	
Creeping Charlie	Glechoma hederacea	2	

Upland Vegetation Plot 7			
Common Name	Scientific Name	% Cover	
Allegheny blackberry	Rubus allegheniensis	65	
Jewelweed	Impatiens capensis	10	
Creeping Charlie	Glechoma hederacea	10	
Canada Goldenrod	Solidago canadensis	2	

Upland Vegetation Plot 8										
Common Name	Scientific Name	% Cover								
Red Clover	Trifolium pratense	15								
Quackgrass	Elymus repens	10								
Canada Goldenrod	Solidago canadensis	50								
Long Leaf Plantain	Plantago lanceolata	5								
Strawberry	Fragaria vesca	2								
Field Bindweed	Convolvulus arvensis	2								
Bird's Foot Trefoil	Lotus corniculatus	40								



Wetland Vegetation Plot 1								
Common Name	Scientific Name	% Cover						
Flat Pea	Lathyrus sylvestris	10						
Joe Pye Weed	Eutrochium maculatum	40						
Giant Goldenrod	Solidago gigantea	60						
Teasel	Dipsacus fullonum	5						
Purple Loosestrife	Lythrum salicaria	5						
Common Burdock	Arcticum minus	5						

Wetland Vegetation Plot 2									
Common Name	Scientific Name	% Cover							
Flat Pea	Lathyrus sylvestris	15							
Broad-Leaf Cattail	Typha latifolia	10							
Sensitive Fern	Onoclea sensibilis	10							
Devil's Beggarstick	Bidens frondosa	2							
Giant Goldenrod	Solidago gigantea	20							
Purple Loosestrife	Lythrum salicaria	10							
Lurid Sedge	Carex Iurida	15							

Wetland Vegetation Plot 3									
Common Name	Scientific Name	% Cover							
Jewelweed	Impatiens capensis	40							
Purple Loosestrife	Lythrum salicaria	25							
Sensitive Fern	Onoclea sensibilis	15							
Glossy Buckthorn	Frangula alnus	5							
Black Willow	Salix nigra	5							
Devil's Beggarstick	Bidens frondosa	2							

Drainage Vegetation Plot 1								
Common Name	Scientific Name	% Cover						
Sensitive Fern	Onoclea sensibilis	10						
Creeping Jenny	Lysimachia nummularia	5						

### Table 2Vegetation Characterization Plots: Tree and Shrub



### **Ecological Characterization Report**

#### National Grid

	Upland Vegetation Plot 1												
	Common Name	Scientific Name	Count	DBH's (In)									
	Box Elder	Acer negundo	4										
Shruba	Glossy Buckthorn	Frangula alnus	10										
Sillubs	Multiflora Rose	Rosa multiflora	2										
	Silky Dogwood	Cornus amomum	1										
	Black Walnut	Juglans nigra	3	3.8 15.3 1.1									
Trees	Box Elder	Acer negundo	8	20.7 11.5 7.6 14.3 5.1 4.5 4.2 10.2									
	Glossy Buckthorn	Frangula alnus	1	3.3									

	Upland Vegetation Plot 2													
	Common Name	Scientific Name	Count	DBH's (In)										
	Box Elder	Acer negundo	2											
Shrube	Glossy Buckthorn	Frangula alnus	4											
Sillubs	Green Ash	Fraxinus pennsylvanica	1											
	Tartarian Honeysuckle	Lonicera tartarica	6											
	Black Walnut	Juglans nigra	1	10										
Troop	Box Elder	Acer negundo	3	10 10 10										
11ccs	Cottonwood	Populus deltoides	8	0.9 1.7 1.6 3 1.2 3.2 0.4 2.8										
	Hawthorn	Crataegus phaenopyrum	1	10										

	Upland Vegetation Plot 3												
	Common Name	Scientific Name	Count				DBH's (In)						
Shruha	Box Elder	Acer negundo	3										
Sillubs	Glossy Buckthorn	Frangula alnus	3										
Troos	Black Walnut	Juglans nigra	1	0.8									
11662	Box Elder	Acer negundo	3	4.8	4.3	4.2	4.2						

	Upland Vegetation Plot 4												
	Common Name	Scientific Name	Count		DBH's (In)								
	Black Walnut	Juglans nigra	3										
	Box Elder	Acer negundo	3										
	Glossy Buckthorn	Frangula alnus	8	Ι									
Shrubs	Green Ash	Fraxinus pennsylvanica	1	Ι									
	Multiflora Rose	Rosa multiflora	1										
	Silky Dogwood	Cornus amomum	4										
	Tartarian Honeysuckle	Lonicera tartarica	4	1									
	Black Walnut	Juglans nigra	3	0.8	0.8	2.4							
Troop	Box Elder	Acer negundo	4	0.9	1.3	2.5	0.8						
11665	Cottonwood	Populus deltoides	4	4.3	6.4	9	4						
	Glossy Buckthorn	Frangula alnus	3	0.9	1	1.3							

### Table 2Vegetation Characterization Plots: Tree and Shrub



#### **Ecological Characterization Report**

#### National Grid

	Upland Vegetation Plot 5												
	Common Name	Scientific Name	Count	DBH's (In)									
	Box Elder	Acer negundo	5										
	Black Walnut	Juglans nigra	1										
Shrubs	Tartarian Honeysuckle	Lonicera tartarica	9										
	Glossy Buckthorn	Frangula alnus	11										
	Silky Dogwood	Cornus amomum	6										
Trees	Box Elder	Acer negundo	7	5.5 5.4 1.3 4.4 1.3 1 6.2									

	Upland Vegetation Plot 6																		
	Common Name	Scientific Name	Count								DBH'	s (In)							
	Box Elder	Acer negundo	5																
	Cottonwood	Populus deltoides	1	[															
Shrubs	Glossy Buckthorn	Frangula alnus	8	[															
	Russian Olive	Elaeagnus angustifolia	11																
	Tartarian Honeysuckle	Lonicera tartarica	6	[															
	American Elm	Ulmus americana	1	1															
	Black Walnut	Juglans nigra	1	1															
Troos	Box Elder	Acer negundo	17	1.2	1	1.1	1.3	1.8	0.9	1.2	1.2	1.4	1	0.9	1.4	3.4	2.7	1.9	2
11663	Glossy Buckthorn	Frangula alnus	2	0.9	1														
	Green Ash	Fraxinus pennsylvanica	2	2.7	3.3														
	Norway Maple	Acer platanoides	1	1.4															

	Upland Vegetation Plot 7												
	Common Name	Scientific Name	Count		DBH's (in)								
	Black Walnut	Juglans nigra	3										
	Box Elder	Acer negundo	7	]									
Shrubs	Glossy Buckthorn	Frangula alnus	3										
	Norway Maple	Acer platanoides	1										
	Silky Dogwood	Cornus amomum	1	1									
	Black Walnut	Juglans nigra	2	1	1.1								
Troos	Box Elder	Acer negundo	13	7.4	1.5 4.7 1.9 2 2 3.3 2.6 7.5 3.2 2.2 3.3 1.4								
11663	Glossy Buckthorn	Frangula alnus	2	1.3	1.3								
	Silver Maple	Acer saccharinum	1	12.9									
				Uplan	Id Vegetation Plot 8								
	Common Name	Scientific Name	Count		DBH's (In)								
	Multiflora rose	Rosa multiflora	3										
Shrubs	Box Elder	Acer negundo	5	T									
	Glossy Buckthorn	Frangula alnus	2	1									
	Red Oak	Quercus rubra	1	9.1									
	White Pine	Pinus strobus	1	11.4									
Trees	Quaking Aspen	Populus tremuloides	2	4.2	3.1								
	Staghorn Sumac	Rhus typhina	4	2.1	1 2.4 2.2								
	Box Elder	Acer negundo	3	3.1	1.2 1.7								

### Table 2Vegetation Characterization Plots: Tree and Shrub

### **ARCADIS**

#### **Ecological Characterization Report**

#### National Grid

Wetland Vegetation Plot 1								
	Common Name	Scientific Name	Count	DBH's (In)				
	Black Willow	Salix nigra	3					
	Cottonwood	Populus deltoides	1	]				
Shrubs	Box Elder	Acer negundo	7					
	Silky Dogwood	Cornus amomum	1	1				
	Speckled Alder	Alnus incana	17	1				
	American Elm	Ulmus mericana	1	4.3				
Trees	Black Willow	Salix nigra	2	7 7.2				
	Cottonwood	Populus deltoides	1	16				

	Wetland Vegetation Plot 2							
	Common Name	Scientific Name	Count	DBH's (In)				
	Black Willow	Salix nigra	4					
Shruba	Box Elder	Acer negundo	5					
Siliubs	Cottonwood	Populus deltoides	9					
	Glossy Buckthorn	Frangula alnus	20					
Trees	Cottonwood	Populus deltoides	4	7.1 6 4 13.2				

Wetland Vegetation Plot 3										
	Common Name	Scientific Name	Count		DBH's (In)					
	Box Elder	Acer negundo	4							
	Cottonwood	Populus deltoides	6	[						
Shrubs	Glossy Buckthorn	Frangula alnus	15	Ι						
	Silky Dogwood	Cornus amomum	1	[						
	Speckled Alder	Alnus incana	11							
	Black Willow	Salix nigra	2	7.3	7.8				,	
	Box Elder	Acer negundo	4	5.1	6.4	7.6	9.	9		
Trees	Cottonwood	Populus deltoides	2	4.1	4.5					
	Glossy Buckthorn	Frangula alnus	1	4.1						
	Speckled Alder	Alnus incana	1	3.1						

Ravine Vegetation Plot 1								
	Common Name	Scientific Name	Count	DBH's (In)				
	Black Willow	Salix nigra	5					
	Cottonwood	Populus deltoides	15					
Shrubs	Glossy Buckthorn	Frangula alnus	13					
	Green Ash	Fraxinus pennsylvanica	7					
	Silky Dogwood	Cornus amomum	8					
Troop	Black Willow	Salix nigra	2	1.4 2.4				
Tiees	Cottonwood	Populus deltoides	5	2 1.4 1.6 1.2 1.5				



Tree Inventory						
ID	Common Name	Scientific Name	DBH (Inches)			
0	Silver Maple	Acer saccharinum	12.9			
1	Box Elder	Acer negundo	7.4			
2	Box Elder	Acer negundo	1.5			
3	Box Elder	Acer negundo	4.7			
4	Box Elder	Acer negundo	1.9			
5	Box Elder	Acer negundo	2			
7	Black Walnut	Ludans nigra	1			
8	Black Walnut	Juglans nigra	1.1			
9	Box Elder	Acer negundo	3.2			
10	Cottonwood	Populus deltoides	20			
11	Box Elder	Acer negundo	8.5			
12	Cottonwood	Populus deltoides	15.7			
13	Box Elder	Acer negundo	7.5			
14	Box Elder	Acer negundo	16.8			
15	Box Elder	Acer negundo	6			
16	Box Elder	Acer negundo	22			
17	Box Elder	Acer negundo	17			
17	Box Elder	Acer negundo	18.4			
18	Box Elder	Acer negundo	13.5			
18	Box Elder	Acer negundo	17.8			
18	Box Elder	Acer negundo	18			
19	Box Elder	Acer negundo	4.8			
19	Box Elder	Acer negundo	8.5			
20	Cottonwood	Populus deltoides	5.1			
21	Box Elder	Acer negundo	6.5			
22	Cottonwood	Populus deltoides	4.3			
22	Cottonwood	Populus deltoides	5.5			
22	Cottonwood	Populus deltoides	11.3			
23	Cottonwood	Populus deltoides	15.9			
24	Cottonwood	Populus deltoides	8.4			
25	Cottonwood	Populus deltoides	12.2			
26	Cottonwood	Populus deltoides	10.3			
27	Box Elder	Acer negundo	7.2			
27	Box Elder	Acer negundo	8.4			
28	Cottonwood	Populus deltoides	13.1			
28	Cottonwood	Populus deltoides	29			
29	Cottonwood	Populus deltoides	14.2			
30	Cottonwood	Populus deltoides	16.8			
31	Box Elder	Acer negundo	0.8			
32	Box Elder	Acer negundo	11.3			
33	Box Elder	Acer negundo	3.5			
34	Cottonwood	Populus deltoides	9.5			
34	Cottonwood	Populus deltoides	14.1			
34	Cottonwood	Populus deltoides	17.9			
35	Cottonwood	Populus deltoides	34			
36	Cottonwood	Populus deltoides	15.2			
37	Box Elder	Acer negundo	9.5			
38	Cottonwood	Populus deltoides	16.1			
39	Box Eldor	Acer pogundo	20 5			
40	Box Elder	Acer negundo	12			
41	Box Elder	Acer negundo	3.8			
42	Box Elder	Acer negundo	4.2			
43	Cottonwood	Populus deltoides	32.5			
44	Box Elder	Acer negundo	24.2			
45	Box Elder	Acer negundo	5.6			
46	Box Elder	Acer negundo	3.3			
46	Box Elder	Acer negundo	9.4			
4/	Box Elder	Acer negundo	23.3			
48 40	Box Elder	Acer negundo	20.7			
49	Box Elder	Acer pequindo	13.5			
49	Box Elder	Acer negundo	16.3			
50	Box Elder	Acer negundo	16.8			

Table 3 Tree Inventory



Tree Inventory						
ID	Common Name	Scientific Name	DBH (Inches)			
51	Box Elder	Acer negundo	12.8			
52	Box Elder	Acer negundo	14			
53	Box Elder	Acer negundo	11.4			
54	Box Elder	Acer negundo	16.8			
55	Black Cherry	Acer pogundo	28.1			
57	Box Elder	Acer negundo	11 1			
58	Box Elder	Acer negundo	7.9			
59	Box Elder	Acer negundo	32.5			
60	Box Elder	Acer negundo	13.6			
61	Box Elder	Acer negundo	17.9			
62	Box Elder	Acer negundo	14.3			
63	Box Elder	Acer negundo	13			
64	Box Elder	Acer negundo	16.4			
66	Box Elder	Acer negundo	52			
66	Box Elder	Acer negundo	6.2			
67	Box Elder	Acer negundo	7			
68	Box Elder	Acer negundo	5.8			
69	Box Elder	Acer negundo	11.5			
70	Box Elder	Acer negundo	4.6			
71	Box Elder	Acer negundo	17.3			
72	Box Elder	Acer negundo	4			
73	Box Elder	Acer negundo	6.6			
74	Box Elder	Acer negundo	10.6			
75	Box Elder	Acer negundo	4 9			
77	Box Elder	Acer negundo	32			
78	Box Elder	Acer negundo	14.3			
79	Black Walnut	Juglans nigra	3.8			
80	Box Elder	Acer negundo	20.7			
81	Box Elder	Acer negundo	6.5			
82	Box Elder	Acer negundo	26.2			
83	Box Elder	Acer negundo	13.5			
84	Box Elder	Acer negundo	3			
85	Box Elder	Acer negundo	53			
86	Box Elder	Acer negundo	5			
86	Box Elder	Acer negundo	5.6			
86	Box Elder	Acer negundo	5.6			
86	Box Elder	Acer negundo	6.5			
86	Box Elder	Acer negundo	7			
87	Black Walnut	Juglans nigra	5.1			
88	Black Walnut	Jugians nigra	6.9			
09	Box Elder	Acer pequado	49.5			
91	Box Elder	Acer negundo	55			
91	Box Elder	Acer negundo	17.4			
92	Box Elder	Acer negundo	9.2			
93	American Elm	Ulmus americana	5.4			
93	American Elm	Ulmus americana	6.3			
94	American Elm	Ulmus americana	3.5			
94	American Elm	Ulmus americana	8.8			
95			5.0			
90	Cottonwood	Populus deltoides	27.3			
98	Cottonwood	Populus deltoides	23.8			
99	Cottonwood	Populus deltoides	25.8			
100	Box Elder	Acer negundo	5.2			
101	Box Elder	Acer negundo	8.6			
102	Box Elder	Acer negundo	8			
103	Norway Maple	Acer platanoides	5			
104	Green Ash	Fraxinus pennsylvanica	11			
104		Malus pe	6.2			
105	Apple	Malus sp.	6.6			
106	Box Elder	Acer negundo	15.2			
107	Black Walnut	Juglans nigra	4.9			
108	Box Elder	Acer negundo	10.4			



Tree Inventory						
ID	Common Name	Scientific Name	DBH (Inches)			
109	Box Elder	Acer negundo	13.6			
110	Box Elder	Acer negundo	5			
110	Box Elder	Acer negundo	<u> </u>			
111	Cottonwood	Populus deltoides	9			
112	Service Berry	Quercus rubra	3.4			
113	Cottonwood	Populus deltoides	9.8			
114	Black Cherry	Prunus serotina	5.8			
115	Cottonwood	Populus deltoides	10.1			
116	Cottonwood	Prunus serotina Populus deltoides	9.8			
118	Cottonwood	Populus deltoides	9.8			
119	American Elm	Ulmus americana	5			
120	Cottonwood	Populus deltoides	7.8			
120	Cottonwood	Populus deltoides	9.5			
121	Cottonwood	Populus deltoides	8			
122	Cottonwood	Populus deltoides	10.4			
123	Cottonwood	Populus deltoides	3.4			
125	Tree of Heaven	Ailanthus altissima	3.8			
126	Box Elder	Acer negundo	7.1			
127	Box Elder	Acer negundo	3.8			
127	Box Elder	Acer negundo	4.2			
127	Box Elder	Acer negundo	4.6			
127	Box Elder	Acer negundo	3			
128	Box Elder	Acer negundo	5.5			
129	Box Elder	Acer negundo	4.1			
129	Box Elder	Acer negundo	4.5			
129	Box Elder	Acer negundo	5.3			
129	Box Elder	Acer negundo	5.7			
130	Box Elder	Acer negundo Populus doltoidos	4.1			
132	Cottonwood	Populus deltoides	3.2			
133	Box Elder	Acer negundo	9.7			
134	Box Elder	Acer negundo	3.3			
134	Box Elder	Acer negundo	5.3			
135	American Elm	Ulmus americana	3.3			
136	Cottonwood	Populus deltoides	4			
137	Cottonwood	Populus deltoides	4.3			
139	American Sycamore	Platanus occidentalis	3.7			
140	Ulmus Sp	Elm	3.3			
141	Salix Sp	Willow	4.2			
142	Box Elder	Acer negundo	5			
142	Box Elder	Acer negundo	11			
142	Box Elder	Acer negundo	98			
144	Tree of Heaven	Ailanthus altissima	5.4			
145	Box Elder	Acer negundo	4.2			
145	Box Elder	Acer negundo	6.6			
146	Norway Maple	Acer platanoides	4.1			
147	Norway Maple	Acer platanoides	8			
140	Cottonwood	Populus deltoides	17.4			
150	Box Elder	Acer negundo	6.6			
151	Box Elder	Acer negundo	6			
152	Black Willow	Salix nigra	80			
153	Box Elder	Acer negundo	10			
154	Cottonwood	Populus deltoides	5.1			
155	Cottonwood	Populus deltoides	20			
156	Norway Maple	Acer platanoides	3			
157	Cottonwood	Populus deltoides	14.7			
158	Cottonwood	Populus deltoides	4			
159	Cottonwood	Populus deltoides	11.8			
160	Box Elder	Acer negundo	3.2			
161	Box Elder	Acer negundo	5.∠ 5.3			
.01	DOX LINGI		0.0			



Tree Inventory						
ID	Common Name	Scientific Name	DBH (Inches)			
162	American Elm	Ulmus americana	5.9			
163	American Elm	Ulmus americana	5.9			
164	Black Ash	Fraxinus nigra	5.5			
165	Box Elder	Acer negundo	4.1			
167	Cottonwood	Populus deltoides	6.8			
168	Cottonwood	Populus deltoides	4			
169	Cottonwood	Populus deltoides	6.2			
170	Box Elder	Acer negundo	5.5			
1/1	Cottonwood Box Eldor	Populus deltoides	17.8			
172	Cottonwood	Populus deltoides	14.3			
174	Cottonwood	Populus deltoides	17			
175	Box Elder	Acer negundo	7.4			
176	Box Elder	Acer negundo	5.8			
177	Box Elder	Acer negundo	11.4			
178	Box Elder	Acer negundo	9			
180	Box Elder	Acer negundo	6.5			
181	Box Elder	Acer negundo	6.5			
181	Box Elder	Acer negundo	13.4			
182	Yellow Birch	Betula alleghaniensis	3			
183	Cottonwood	Populus deltoides	3.3			
183	Cottonwood	Populus deltoides	4.8			
184	Cottonwood	Populus deltoides	3.2			
185	Yellow Birch	Betula alleghaniensis	3			
186	Yellow Birch	Betula alleghaniensis	3.2			
187	Staghorn Sumac	Rhus typhina	3.2			
188	Box Elder	Acer negundo	5.1			
188	Box Elder	Acer negundo	6.8			
189	Box Elder	Acer negundo	4.4			
190	Black Willow	Salix nigra	36			
191	Black Willow	Salix nigra	13.5			
192	Cottonwood	Populus deltoides	3			
192	Cottonwood	Populus deitoides	3.1			
192	Yellow Birch	Betula alleghaniensis	3.1			
194	Salix Sp	Willow	3.7			
195	Cottonwood	Populus deltoides	4.8			
196	Yellow Birch	Betula alleghaniensis	3.8			
197	Box Elder	Acer negundo	8.6			
190	Box Elder	Acer negundo	10.1			
200	Cottonwood	Populus deltoides	10.5			
201	Cottonwood	Populus deltoides	5.7			
202	Cottonwood	Populus deltoides	9.1			
203	Cottonwood	Populus deltoides	7			
204	Cottonwood	Populus deltoides	<u>ι.ι</u> Δ			
206	Cottonwood	Populus deltoides	11			
206	Cottonwood	Populus deltoides	11.5			
207	Cottonwood	Populus deltoides	10.4			
208	Cottonwood	Populus deltoides	8.3			
209	Salix Sp Cottopwood	Willow Populus doltoidos	4.2			
210	Cottonwood	Populus deltoides	7.3			
212	Cottonwood	Populus deltoides	14.8			
213	Cottonwood	Populus deltoides	6.5			
214	Cottonwood	Populus deltoides	4.1			
215	Cottonwood	Populus deltoides	5.8			
216	Cottonwood	Populus deltoides	5			
218	Cottonwood	Populus deltoides	7.1			
219	Cottonwood	Populus deltoides	3.5			
220	American Elm	Ulmus americana	7.4			
221	Green Ash	Fraxinus pennsylvanica	7.1			
222	Green Ash	Fraxinus pennsylvanica	4			



Tree Inventory						
ID	Common Name	Scientific Name	DBH (Inches)			
223	Green Ash	Fraxinus pennsylvanica	3.5			
224	Green Ash	Fraxinus pennsylvanica	3.6			
225	Box Elder	Acer negundo	4.5			
226	Cottonwood	Populus deltoides	16.8			
227	Cottonwood	Populus deltoides	25.3			
228	Cottonwood	Populus deltoides	19.2			
229	Cottonwood	Populus deltoides	24.1			
230	Box Elder	Acer negundo	9.8			
231	Cottonwood	Populus deltoides	3.1			
232	Staghorn Sumac	Rhus typhina	4.1			
233	Staghorn Sumac	Rhus typhina	4.1			
234	Cottonwood	Populus deltoides	5			
235	Cottonwood	Populus deltoides	5			
236	Cottonwood	Populus deltoides	7			
237	Cottonwood	Populus deltoides	5.9			
237	Cottonwood	Populus deltoides	6.4			
238	Cottonwood	Populus deltoides	1			
239	Cottonwood	Populus deltoides	11.2			
240	Cottonwood	Populus deltoides	11.4			
241	Cottonwood	Populus deitoides	9			
242	Cottonwood	Populus deitoides	4.6			
243	Cottonwood	Populus deitoides	8.5			
244	Cottonwood	Populus deltoides	0.3			
245	Cottonwood	Populus deltoides	10.5			
240	Cottonwood	Populus deltoides	9.5			
247	Silver Meele	Acor populas denoides	10.2			
240	Silver Maple	Acer saccharinum	12.1			
240	Silver Maple	Acer saccharinum	30.7			
240	Norway Maple	Acer platanoides	11.8			
250	Box Elder	Acer negundo	23.1			
251	Box Elder	Acer negundo	7			
251	Box Elder	Acer negundo	11.7			
252	Box Elder	Acer negundo	6.8			
253	Box Elder	Acer negundo	8.5			
254	Box Elder	Acer negundo	6.7			
254	Box Elder	Acer negundo	11.2			
255	Box Elder	Acer negundo	3.6			
256	Norway Maple	Acer platanoides	3.5			
257	American Elm	Ulmus americana	9.1			
258	Box Elder	Acer negundo	25.2			
259	American Elm	Ulmus americana	4.5			
260	Box Elder	Acer negundo	12.4			
261	Box Elder	Acer negundo	32.7			
262	Box Elder	Acer negundo	23			
263	Silver Maple	Acer saccharinum	10.2			
263	Silver Maple	Acer saccharinum	16.8			
264	Box Elder	Acer negundo	4.5			
265	Red Oak	Quercus rubra	13.2			
266	Red Oak	Quercus rubra	11.3			
266	Red Oak	Quercus rubra	11.8			
267	Red Oak	Quercus rubra	6.8			
268	Red Oak	Quercus rubra	11			
269	Red Oak	Quercus rubra	13.2			
270	Quaking Aspen	Populus tremuloides	3.3			
2/1	Black Walnut	Juglans nigra	5.1			
2/1		Jugians nigra	5./			
272	I ree of Heaven	Allantnus altissima	1.8			

### Table 4Tree Vegetation Summary



### **Ecological Characterization Report**

#### National Grid

Common Name	No. of Trees (Individual)	No. of Trees (Trunks)	Average DBH (Trunks)	Standard Deviation DBH (Trunks)	Median DBH (Trunks)
American Elm	12	14	10.2	1.7	5.8
American Sycamore	1	1	4.2	0.0	3.7
Apple	1	2	10.1	0.2	6.4
Black Ash	1	1	4.3	0.0	5.5
Black Cherry	3	3	10.2	9.7	9.8
Black Walnut	7	8	16.9	2.0	5
Black Willow	3	4	4.2	24.1	42.75
Box Elder	119	156	10.2	6.0	7.15
Cottonwood	89	103	9.2	6.9	9.5
Green Ash	5	6	6.9	3.8	5.55
Norway Maple	6	6	12.9	3.1	4.55
Quaking Aspen	1	1	5.7	0.0	3.3
Red Oak	5	6	8.5	2.2	11.55
Salix Sp	3	3	7.4	0.2	4.2
Service Berry	1	1	5.8	0.0	3.4
Silver Maple	3	6	14.1	7.2	12.5
Staghorn Sumac	3	3	5.6	0.4	4.1
Tree of Heaven	3	3	5.2	1.6	5.4
Ulmus Sp	1	1	5.0	0.0	3.3
Yellow Birch	5	5	5.6	0.3	3.1

## **Figures**
# NG Gloversville Hill St Former MGP Site Project Location



### NG Gloversville Hill St Former MGP Site **NWI** Wetlands



# NG Gloversville Hill St Former MGP Site NYSDEC Wetlands



# NG Gloversville Hill St Former MGP Site USDA NRCS Soils



### NG Gloversville Hill St Former MGP Site Wetland Delineation Map



# NG Gloversville Hill St Former MGP Site FIGURE 6 Habitat and Vegetation Characterization Map



#### NG Gloversville Hill St Former MGP Site **Tree Inventory Map FIGURE 7A**



# NG Gloversville Hill St Former MGP Site FIGURE 7в Tree Inventory Map







Photograph Log


























































































Wetland Determination Data Forms

Project/Site: Nationa	al Grid - (	Gloversville			City/County: G	loversy	ville/Fulton	Sa	mpling Date:	8/31/20
Applicant/Owner:	Nationa	l Grid					State:	NY	Sampling Poir	nt: <u>A-UP</u>
Investigator(s): AT, J	IK				Sectio	n, Tow	vnship, Range: <u>N</u>	I/A		
Landform (hillside, ter	race, etc	.):		Local re	elief (concave,	conve>	k, none):		Slop	e %:
Subregion (LRR or MI	LRA): <u>L</u>	RR R, MLRA 144A	Lat:	43° 2'4.31"N	L	ong:	74°21'1.46"W		Datum:	WGS 1984
Soil Map Unit Name:	7B - En	doaquents, 0 to 8 per	cent	slopes, smoothed			NWI classif	cation: P	SS	
Are climatic / hydrolog	gic condit	ions on the site typic	al for	this time of year?	Yes	Х	No	(If no, exp	ain in Remar	ks.)
Are Vegetation	, Soil	, or Hydrology	Х	significantly disturbe	ed? Are	"Norm	al Circumstance	s" present	? Yes X	No
Are Vegetation	, Soil	, or Hydrology		naturally problemati	c? (If n	eeded	, explain any ans	wers in Re	emarks.)	
SUMMARY OF F	INDING	GS – Attach site	map	showing samp	ling point l	ocati	ons, transec	ts, impo	ortant featu	ures, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes	No X	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes No X If yes, optional Wetland Site ID:			
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes	No X				
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes	No X				
Remarks: (Explain alternative procedures here or in a separate report.)						

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:	5	Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)			
Primary Indicators (minimum of one is require	ed; check all that apply)		Surface Soil Cracks (B6)		
Surface Water (A1)Water-Stained Leaves (B9)			Drainage Patterns (B10)		
High Water Table (A2)	Aquatic Fauna (B13)	_	Moss Trim Lines (B16)		
Saturation (A3)	Marl Deposits (B15)	_	Dry-Season Water Table (C2)		
Water Marks (B1)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	_	Crayfish Burrows (C8)		
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Ro	ots (C3)	Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)		
Drift Deposits (B3)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)		Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)		
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils	(C6)	Geomorphic Position (D2)		
Iron Deposits (B5)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)		Shallow Aquitard (D3)		
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	Other (Explain in Remarks)		Microtopographic Relief (D4)		
Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B	8)	_	FAC-Neutral Test (D5)		
Field Observations:					
Surface Water Present? Yes	No X Depth (inches): 0				
Water Table Present? Yes	No X Depth (inches): 0				
Saturation Present? Yes	No X Depth (inches): 0	Wetland	Hydrology Present? Yes No X		
(includes capillary fringe)					
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mor	nitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspec	ctions), if a	vailable:		
Remarks:					

Sampling Point: A-UP

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 m)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status	Dominance Test worksheet
1. Acer negundo	30	Yes	FAC	
2. Jualans niara	10	Yes	FACU	Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 3 (A)
3.				()
4.				I otal Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 7 (B)
5.				
6.				That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 42.9% (A/B)
7.				Prevalence Index worksheet:
	40	=Total Cover		Total % Cover of:Multiply by:
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 m )				OBL species x 1 =
1. Juglans nigra	10	Yes	FACU	FACW species 5 x 2 = 10
2. <u>Cornus amomum</u>	5	Yes	FACW	FAC species 50 x 3 =150
3. <u>Frangula alnus</u>	5	Yes	FAC	FACU species 90 x 4 = 360
4				UPL species 80 x 5 = 400
5				Column Totals: 225 (A) 920 (B)
6				Prevalence Index = B/A = 4.09
7				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
	20	=Total Cover		1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 1 m )				2 - Dominance Test is >50%
1. Solidago canadensis	60	Yes	FACU	3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 <sup>1</sup>
2. Eutrochium purpureum	15	No	FAC	4 - Morphological Adaptations <sup>1</sup> (Provide supporting
3. Arctium minus	10	No	FACU	data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
4. Convolvulus arvensis	10	No	UPL	Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation <sup>1</sup> (Explain)
5				<sup>1</sup> Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
6				be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
7				Definitions of Vegetation Strata:
8				<b>Tree</b> – Woody plants 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in
9				diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.
10				Sapling/shrub – Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH
11				and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.
12				Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless
	95	=Total Cover		of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 5 m )				Woody vines – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in
1. Lathyrus sylvestris	70	Yes	UPL	height.
2				Hydrophytic
3				Vegetation
4				Present? Yes <u>No X</u>
	70	=Total Cover		
Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a sepa	rate sheet.)			

Profile Desc	ription: (Describe	to the de	pth needed to docu	ument t	he indica	tor or co	onfirm the absence of in	dicators.)	
Depth	Matrix		Redo	x Featur	res				
(inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type <sup>1</sup>	Loc <sup>2</sup>	Texture	Rema	arks
0-14	10YR 3/3	100					Sandy	Silt and	Loam
	1011( 3/3	100					Gandy	Ont and	Loam
		·							
		·	·						
		·							
		·							
		·							
<sup>1</sup> Type: C=Co	oncentration, D=Dep	letion, RN	I=Reduced Matrix, N	/IS=Mas	ked Sand	Grains.	<sup>2</sup> Location: PL=	Pore Lining, M=N	latrix.
Hydric Soil I	ndicators:						Indicators for	Problematic Hyd	ric Soils <sup>3</sup> :
Histosol	(A1)		Polyvalue Belo	w Surfa	ce (S8) (I	.RR R,	2 cm Muck	(A10) (LRR K, L,	, MLRA 149B)
Histic Ep	ipedon (A2)		MLRA 149B	)			Coast Prair	ie Redox (A16) ( <b>L</b>	.RR K, L, R)
Black His	stic (A3)		Thin Dark Surf	ace (S9	) (LRR R	MLRA 1	49B) 5 cm Muck	y Peat or Peat (S	3) ( <b>LRR K, L, R</b> )
Hydroge	n Sulfide (A4)		High Chroma S	Sands (S	611) ( <b>LRF</b>	R K, L)	Polyvalue F	Below Surface (S8	B) (LRR K, L)
Stratified	Layers (A5)		Loamy Mucky	Mineral	(F1) ( <b>LR</b>	R K, L)	Thin Dark S	Surface (S9) (LRF	R K, L)
Depleted	Below Dark Surface	e (A11)	Loamy Gleyed	Matrix (	(F2)		Iron-Manga	nese Masses (F1	2) (LRR K, L, R)
Thick Da	rk Surface (A12)		Depleted Matri	x (F3)			Piedmont F	loodplain Soils (F	19) ( <b>MLRA 149B</b> )
Sandy M	ucky Mineral (S1)		Redox Dark Su	urface (F	-6)		Mesic Spo	dic (TA6) ( <b>MLRA</b>	144A, 145, 149B)
Sandy G	leyed Matrix (S4)		Depleted Dark	Surface	e (F7)		Red Parent	Material (F21)	
Sandy R	edox (S5)		Redox Depress	sions (F	8)		Very Shallo	w Dark Surface (	F22)
Stripped	Matrix (S6)		Marl (F10) (LR	<b>R K, L</b> )			Other (Exp	ain in Remarks)	
Dark Sur	face (S7)								
2									
<sup>3</sup> Indicators of	hydrophytic vegetat	tion and w	etland hydrology mu	ust be p	resent, ur	iless dist	urbed or problematic.		
Restrictive L	ayer (if observed):								
Type:	Stor	ne							
Depth (ir	nches):	14					Hydric Soil Present?	Yes	<u>No X</u>
Remarks:									
This data for	m is revised from No	orthcentral	and Northeast Reg	ional Su	pplement	Version	2.0 to include the NRCS	Field Indicators of	of Hydric Soils,
Version 7.0, 2	2015 Errata. (http://v	www.nrcs.	usda.gov/Internet/FS	SE_DOO	CUMENT	S/nrcs14	2p2_051293.docx)		

Project/Site: Nation	al Grid - Gloversville			City/County: Gloversville/Fulton	Sampling Date: 8/31/20
Applicant/Owner:	National Grid			State: N	Y Sampling Point: A-WET
Investigator(s): AT,	JK			Section, Township, Range: <u>N/A</u>	
Landform (hillside, ter	rrace, etc.):		Local	relief (concave, convex, none):	Slope %: 0-2
Subregion (LRR or M	LRA): LRR R, MLRA	144A Lat:	43° 2'4.55"N	Long: 74°21'0.82"W	Datum: WGS 1984
Soil Map Unit Name:	7B - Endoaquents, 0 to	o 8 percent	slopes, smoothed	NWI classificati	on: PSS
Are climatic / hydrolog	gic conditions on the site	e typical for	this time of year?	Yes <u>X</u> No (If n	io, explain in Remarks.)
Are Vegetation	, Soil, or Hydro	ology X	significantly distur	rbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" p	resent? Yes X No
Are Vegetation	, Soil, or Hydro	ology	naturally problema	atic? (If needed, explain any answer	rs in Remarks.)
SUMMARY OF F	INDINGS – Attach	site map	showing sam	pling point locations, transects,	important features, etc.
Hydrophytic Vegetat	tion Present?	Yes	No X	Is the Sampled Area	
Hydric Soil Present?	)	Yes X	No	within a Wetland? Yes X	No
Wetland Hydrology	Present?	Yes X	No	If yes, optional Wetland Site ID:	
Remarks: (Explain a	alternative procedures h	ere or in a s	eparate report.)		
Hydrology Significan	itiy Disturbed				

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:		Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)
Primary Indicators (minimum of one is require	d; check all that apply)	Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
Surface Water (A1)	Drainage Patterns (B10)	
High Water Table (A2)	Aquatic Fauna (B13)	Moss Trim Lines (B16)
Saturation (A3)	Marl Deposits (B15)	Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
Water Marks (B1)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Crayfish Burrows (C8)
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C	3) Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Drift Deposits (B3)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)	Geomorphic Position (D2)
Iron Deposits (B5)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)	? Shallow Aquitard (D3)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	Other (Explain in Remarks)	Microtopographic Relief (D4)
Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8	3)	X FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
Field Observations:		
Surface Water Present? Yes	No X Depth (inches): 0	
Water Table Present? Yes	No X Depth (inches): 0	
Saturation Present? Yes	No X Depth (inches): 0 Wet	tland Hydrology Present? Yes No X
(includes capillary fringe)		
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mon	itoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections)	, if available:
Remarks:		
Hydrology Significantly Disturbed		

Sampling Point: A-WET

Trop Stratum (Plat aize: 10 m )	Absolute	Dominant	Indicator	Deminance Test worksheet
<u>Iree Stratum</u> (Plot size: 10 m)		Species	Status	Dominance lest worksneet:
1. Salix nigra		res		Number of Dominant Species
2. Populus deitoides	20	Yes	FAC	That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:(A)
3.				Total Number of Dominant
4				Species Across All Strata: 8 (B)
5				Percent of Dominant Species
6		·		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>87.5%</u> (A/B)
7				Prevalence Index worksheet:
l	40	=Total Cover		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 m )				OBL species x 1 =
1. Salix nigra	10	Yes	OBL	FACW species x 2 =
2. Frangula alnus	10	Yes	FAC	FAC species x 3 =
3				FACU species x 4 =
4.				UPL species x 5 =
5.				Column Totals: (A) (B)
6				Prevalence Index = B/A =
7				Hydronhytic Vegetation Indicators:
1	20	-Total Cover		1 Papid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
Harb Stratum (Diat ciza: 1 m )	20			Y 2 Dominance Test is \$50%
Herd Stratum (Plot Size. 1111)	10	No	וסיי	$\frac{X}{2}$ - Dominance results >50%
1. Lathyrus sylvestris	10	NO		$3$ - Prevalence index is $\leq 3.0$
2. Solidago gigantea	60	Yes	FAC	4 - Morphological Adaptations' (Provide supporting
3. Eutrochium purpureum	40	Yes	FAC	
4. Arctium minus	5	No	FACU	Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation <sup>1</sup> (Explain)
5. Dipsacus fullonum	5	No	FACU	<sup>1</sup> Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
6. Lythrum salicaria	5	No	OBL	be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
7				Definitions of Vegetation Strata:
8.				Tree Woody plants 3 in (7.6 cm) or more in
9.				diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.
10.				C. We with the Wiley the plants loop them 2 in DDI
11.				and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.
12				
12.	125	-Total Cover		Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless
Marty Vine Stratum (Distaire) Em.)	120			
<u>Woody vine Stratum</u> (Piot size. <u>5 m</u> )	20		510	Woody vines – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in
1. Vitis riparia	20	Yes	FAC	height.
2. Lathyrus sylvestris	50	Yes	UPL	Hydrophytic
3				Vegetation
4				Present? Yes X No
	70	=Total Cover		
Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separ	rate sheet.)			•

Profile Desc	ription: (Describe	to the dep	oth needed to docu	ument ti	he indica	itor or co	onfirm the absence o	of indicate	ors.)	
Depth	Matrix		Redo	x Featur	es					
(inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type <sup>1</sup>	Loc <sup>2</sup>	Texture		Remarks	
0-10	5YR 2.5/1	100					Loamv/Clavev		Siltv	
		· ·	<u> </u>							
		. <u></u> .								
<sup>1</sup> Type: C=Co	ncentration, D=Dep	letion, RM	=Reduced Matrix, M	/IS=Mas	ked Sand	l Grains.	<sup>2</sup> Location: F	L=Pore L	_ining, M=Matrix	κ.
Hydric Soil In	ndicators:						Indicators f	or Proble	ematic Hydric	Soils <sup>3</sup> :
Histosol (	(A1)		Polyvalue Belo	w Surfa	ce (S8) ( <b>I</b>	_RR R,	2 cm Mu	uck (A10)	(LRR K, L, ML	. <b>RA 149B</b> )
Histic Ep	ipedon (A2)		MLRA 149B	)			Coast P	rairie Rec	dox (A16) ( <b>LRR</b>	K, L, R)
Black His	stic (A3)		Thin Dark Surf	ace (S9)	) (LRR R,	, MLRA 1	<b>49B</b> ) 5 cm Mu	ucky Peat	: or Peat (S3) ( <b>L</b>	.RR K, L, R)
Hydroger	ו Sulfide (A4)		High Chroma S	Sands (S	311) ( <b>LRF</b>	≀ K, L)	Polyvalu	e Below	Surface (S8) (L	RR K, L)
Stratified	Layers (A5)		Loamy Mucky	Mineral	(F1) ( <b>LRF</b>	२ K, L)	Thin Da	rk Surface	e (S9) ( <b>LRR K,</b>	L)
Depleted	Below Dark Surface	ə (A11)	Loamy Gleyed	Matrix (	F2)		Iron-Mai	nganese I	Masses (F12) (I	LRR K, L, R)
Thick Da	rk Surface (A12)		Depleted Matri	x (F3)			Piedmoi	nt Floodpl	lain Soils (F19)	(MLRA 149B)
Sandy M	ucky Mineral (S1)		Redox Dark Su	Intace (F	·6)		Mesic S	podic (IA	(MLRA 144/	<b>4, 145, 149B</b> )
Sandy G	eyed Matrix (S4)		Depleted Dark	Surface	) (F7) 0)		Red Par	ent Mater	riai (F21) de Curfener (F00)	\
Sandy Re	BODX (SS)		Redox Depress		8)		Very Sn	allow Dar	R Surrace (F22,	)
Suipped	faco (87)			K K, L)					Remarks)	
	lace (37)									
<sup>3</sup> Indicators of	hydrophytic vegetat	tion and w	etland hydrology mi	ust he ni	resent ur	less dist	urbed or problematic			
Restrictive L	aver (if observed):		stand nyarology me	201 00 01	oooni, a					
Type:	Stor	ne								
Dopth (in	choc):	10					Hydric Soil Proso	nt?	Voc V	No
Deptil (ill		10					Tryunc Son Trese			
Remarks:	n is revised from No	rthoontrol	and North cost Dog	ional Cu		t Varaian	2.0 to include the ND		Indiactors of Ll	idria Caila
Version 7.0.2	2015 Frrata, (http://v	www.nrcs.u	usda.gov/Internet/FS	SF DOC		S/nrcs14	2.0 10 Include the NR 2p2 051293.docx)			une sons,
	1010 <u></u>		loualge l, monet l			0,1110011	_pcollection()			

Project/Site: Nation	al Grid - Glove	ersville		City/County: Glovers	ville/Fulton	Sam	pling Date:	8/31/20
Applicant/Owner:	National Grid	ł			State:	NY Sa	ampling Poin	t: <u>B-UP</u>
Investigator(s): AT,	JK			Section, Tov	vnship, Range: <u>N</u>	N/A		
Landform (hillside, ter	rrace, etc.):	Drainage, Hillslop	e Local r	elief (concave, conve	k, none): <u>Concav</u>	ve	Slope	e %:
Subregion (LRR or M	LRA): LRR F	R, MLRA 144A	at: 43° 2'4.31"N	Long:	74°21'1.46"W		Datum:	WGS 1984
Soil Map Unit Name:	115B - Udips	amments, 0 to 8	percent slopes, smooth	ed	NWI classif	ication:		
Are climatic / hydrolog	gic conditions	on the site typical	for this time of year?	Yes X	No	(If no, explai	in in Remark	s.)
Are Vegetation	, Soil	, or Hydrology	significantly disturb	ed? Are "Norm	al Circumstance	es" present?	Yes X	No
Are Vegetation	, Soil	, or Hydrology	naturally problemat	tic? (If needed	, explain any ans	swers in Rem	narks.)	
SUMMARY OF F	INDINGS -	Attach site n	ap showing sam	pling point locati	ons, transed	cts, impor	tant featu	res, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes	No X	Is the Sampled Area	NoX
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes	No X	within a Wetland? Yes	
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes	No X	If yes, optional Wetland Site ID:	
Remarks: (Explain alternative procedu	res here or in a	separate report.)		

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:		Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)			
Primary Indicators (minimum of one is requi	rimary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply) Surface Soil Cracks (B6)				
Surface Water (A1)	Drainage Patterns (B10)				
High Water Table (A2)	Aquatic Fauna (B13)	Moss Trim Lines (B16)			
Saturation (A3)	Marl Deposits (B15)	Dry-Season Water Table (C2)			
Water Marks (B1)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Crayfish Burrows (C8)			
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)	Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)			
Drift Deposits (B3)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)			
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)	Geomorphic Position (D2)			
Iron Deposits (B5)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)	Shallow Aquitard (D3)			
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B	7) Other (Explain in Remarks)	Microtopographic Relief (D4)			
Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (I	38)	FAC-Neutral Test (D5)			
Field Observations:					
Surface Water Present? Yes	No X Depth (inches): 0				
Water Table Present? Yes	No X Depth (inches): 0				
Saturation Present? Yes	No X Depth (inches): 0 Wetla	and Hydrology Present? Yes No X			
(includes capillary fringe)					
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mo	pnitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections),	if available:			
Remarks:					

Sampling Point: B-UP

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 10 m )	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status	Dominance Test worksheet
1 Populus deltoides	10	Yes	FAC	
2 Rhus typhina	10	Yes		Number of Dominant Species
3		100	012	
а				Total Number of Dominant
5				
6				Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL_EACW_or_EAC: 50.0% (A/B)
7.				Prevalence Index worksheet:
	20	=Total Cover		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 m )				$\frac{1}{\text{OBL species}} \qquad 0 \qquad \text{x1} = 0$
1. Rhus typhina	10	Yes	UPL	FACW species $0 \times 2 = 0$
2. Francula alnus	10	Yes	FAC	FAC species $62 \times 3 = 186$
3				FACU species $60 \times 4 = 240$
4.				UPL species $20 \times 5 = 100$
5.				Column Totals: 142 (A) 526 (B)
6				$\frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}$
7				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
	20	=Total Cover		1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 1 m )				2 - Dominance Test is >50%
1 Poa pratensis	40	Yes	FACU	3 - Prevalence Index is <3.01
2 Trifolium pratense	40	Yes	FAC	4 - Morphological Adaptations <sup>1</sup> (Provide supporting
3 Cichorium intyhus	10	<u> </u>	FACU	data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
A Solidado canadensis	10	No	FACU	Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation <sup>1</sup> (Explain)
5 Toxicodendron radicans	2	No	FAC	
6				<sup>1</sup> Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
7				Definitions of Vegetation Strata:
8				
9				<b>Tree</b> – Woody plants 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH) regardless of height
10				
11				<b>Sapling/shrub</b> – Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall
12				
	102	=Total Cover		<b>Herb</b> – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 5 m )				Woody vines – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in
1				height.
2				
3				Hydrophytic Vegetation
4				Present? Yes No X
		=Total Cover		
Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a sepa	rate sheet.)			

Profile Desc	cription: (Describe	to the de	pth needed to docu	ument t	he indica	tor or c	onfirm the absence of inc	licators.)		
Depth	Matrix		Redo	x Featur	res					
(inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type'	Loc <sup>2</sup>	Texture	Remarks		
0-2	5YR 3/2	100					Sandy	Loamy		
2-20	5YR 4/3	100					Sandy	Loamy		
		. <u> </u>								
	·									
·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·									
<sup>1</sup> Type: C=C	oncentration. D=Dep	letion. RN	A=Reduced Matrix. N	/S=Mas	ked Sand	Grains.	<sup>2</sup> Location: PL=P	ore Lining, M=Matrix,		
Hydric Soil	Indicators:	,					Indicators for P	roblematic Hydric Soils <sup>3</sup> :		
Histosol	(A1)		Polyvalue Belo	w Surfa	ce (S8) (I	_RR R,	2 cm Muck (	A10) ( <b>LRR K, L, MLRA 149B</b> )		
Histic Ep	pipedon (A2)		MLRA 149B	)			Coast Prairie	e Redox (A16) ( <b>LRR K, L, R</b> )		
Black Hi	istic (A3)		Thin Dark Surf	ace (S9	) (LRR R	MLRA <sup>·</sup>	149B)5 cm Mucky	Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR K, L, R)		
Hydroge	en Sulfide (A4)		High Chroma S	Sands (S	611) ( <b>LRF</b>	R K, L)	Polyvalue Be	elow Surface (S8) (LRR K, L)		
Stratified	d Layers (A5)		Loamy Mucky	Mineral	(F1) ( <b>LR</b>	R K, L)	Thin Dark Su	urface (S9) (LRR K, L)		
Depleted	d Below Dark Surfac	e (A11)	Loamy Gleyed	Matrix (	(F2)		Iron-Mangan	ese Masses (F12) (LRR K, L, R)		
Thick Da	ark Surface (A12)		Depleted Matri	x (F3)			Piedmont Flo	oodplain Soils (F19) ( <b>MLRA 149B</b> )		
Sandy M	/lucky Mineral (S1)		Redox Dark Su	urface (F	-6)		Mesic Spodie	c (TA6) ( <b>MLRA 144A, 145, 149B</b> )		
Sandy G	Eleyed Matrix (S4)		Depleted Dark	Surface	e (F7)		Red Parent I	Material (F21)		
Sandy R	Redox (S5)		Redox Depress	sions (F	8)		Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)			
Stripped	I Matrix (S6)		Marl (F10) (LR	R K, L)			Other (Expla	in in Remarks)		
Dark Su	rface (S7)									
<sup>3</sup> Indicators o	f hydrophytic yegeta	tion and w	vetland bydrology mi	ist ha ni	rosont ur	less dist	urbed or problematic			
Restrictive	Layer (if observed):		vellaria riyarology ma		icocint, ui					
Type:	,									
Depth (in	nches):						Hydric Soil Present?	Yes <u>No X</u>		
Remarks:										
This data for	rm is revised from No	orthcentra	I and Northeast Reg	ional Su	pplement	Version	2.0 to include the NRCS F	ield Indicators of Hydric Soils,		
Version 7.0,	2015 Errata. (http://v	www.nrcs.	usda.gov/Internet/FS	SE_DOO	CUMENT	S/nrcs14	2p2_051293.docx)			

Project/Site: Nationa	/Site: National Grid - Gloversville City						/ille/Fulton	:	Sampling Date:	8/31/20
Applicant/Owner:	National Gri	id					State:	NY	Sampling Poin	it: B-WET
Investigator(s): AT, J	vestigator(s): AT, JK Section, Township, Range: N/A									
Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): Drainage Local relief (d						ef (concave, convex, none): Concave			Slop	e %: 0-2
Subregion (LRR or ML	.RA): LRR	R, MLRA 144A	Lat:	43° 2'4.31" N	Lo	ong:	74°21'1.46"W		Datum:	WGS 1984
Soil Map Unit Name:	115B - Udip	samments, 0 to	8 perc	ent slopes, smooth	ned		NWI classifi	cation:	PSS/PFO	
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year?						Х	No	(If no, e	plain in Remark	(s.)
Are Vegetation	, Soil	, or Hydrology		significantly distur	bed? Are "l	Norm	al Circumstance	s" prese	nt? Yes X	No
Are Vegetation	, Soil	, or Hydrology		naturally problema	tic? (If ne	eded,	explain any ans	wers in I	Remarks.)	
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.										
Hydrophytic Vegetati	on Present?	Yes	х	No	Is the Sample	ed Are	ea			
Hydric Soil Present?		Yes	Х	No	within a Wetl	and?	Yes	Х	No	
Wetland Hydrology F	resent?	Yes	Х	No	If yes, optiona	l Wet	land Site ID:			

Remarks: (Explain alternative procedures here or in a separate report.)

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:		Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)		
Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all	Surface Soil Cracks (B6)			
Surface Water (A1) X Water-	X Drainage Patterns (B10)			
High Water Table (A2) Aquation	Moss Trim Lines (B16)			
X Saturation (A3) Marl De	Dry-Season Water Table (C2)			
X Water Marks (B1) Hydrog	Crayfish Burrows (C8)			
Sediment Deposits (B2) Oxidize	Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)			
Drift Deposits (B3) Presen	ce of Reduced Iron (C4)	Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)		
Algal Mat or Crust (B4) Recent	Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)	X Geomorphic Position (D2)		
Iron Deposits (B5) Thin M	uck Surface (C7)	Shallow Aquitard (D3)		
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) Other (	Explain in Remarks)	Microtopographic Relief (D4)		
Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)		FAC-Neutral Test (D5)		
Field Observations:				
Surface Water Present? Yes No X	Depth (inches): 0			
Water Table Dresent? Vee No. V				
	Depth (inches): 0			
Saturation Present? Yes X No	Depth (inches): 0 Wetlar	d Hydrology Present? Yes X No		
Value Fable Present?     Fes     No       Saturation Present?     Yes     X       (includes capillary fringe)	Depth (inches): 0 Wetlar	d Hydrology Present? Yes X No		
Saturation Present?     Yes     No       (includes capillary fringe)     No   Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well,	Depth (inches):       0         Depth (inches):       0         wetlar         aerial photos, previous inspections), if	d Hydrology Present? Yes X No		
Valer Table Present?     Yes     No       Saturation Present?     YesX     No       (includes capillary fringe)     Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well,	Depth (inches): 0 Depth (inches): 0 Wetlar	d Hydrology Present? Yes X No		
Valer Table Present?     Yes     No       Saturation Present?     Yes     No       (includes capillary fringe)     Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well,       Remarks:	Depth (inches): 0 Depth (inches): 0 Wetlar aerial photos, previous inspections), if	d Hydrology Present? Yes X No		
Water Table Present?       Yes       No         Saturation Present?       Yes       No         (includes capillary fringe)           Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well,          Remarks:	Depth (inches): 0 Depth (inches): 0 Wetlar aerial photos, previous inspections), if	d Hydrology Present? Yes X No		
Valer Table Present?     Yes     No     X       Saturation Present?     Yes     X     No       (includes capillary fringe)     Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well,       Remarks:	Depth (inches): 0 Depth (inches): 0 Wetlar	d Hydrology Present? Yes X No		
Water Table Present?     Yes     No     X       Saturation Present?     Yes     X     No       (includes capillary fringe)     Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well,       Remarks:	Depth (inches): 0 Depth (inches): 0 Wetlar	d Hydrology Present? Yes X No		
Vale     Fes     No     X       Saturation Present?     Yes     X     No       (includes capillary fringe)     Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well,       Remarks:	Depth (inches): 0 Depth (inches): 0 wetlar	d Hydrology Present? Yes X No		
Water Table Present?       Yes	Depth (inches): 0 Depth (inches): 0 aerial photos, previous inspections), if	d Hydrology Present? Yes X No		
Water Table Present?       Yes No         Saturation Present?       Yes No         (includes capillary fringe)       Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well,         Remarks:       Remarks:	Depth (inches): 0 Depth (inches): 0 aerial photos, previous inspections), if	d Hydrology Present? Yes X No		
Water Table Present?       Yes	Depth (inches): 0 Depth (inches): 0 aerial photos, previous inspections), if	d Hydrology Present? Yes X No		
Water Table Present?       Yes	Depth (inches): 0 Depth (inches): 0 wetlar aerial photos, previous inspections), if	d Hydrology Present? Yes X No		

Sampling Point: B-WET

	Absolute	Dominant	Indicator				
<u>Tree Stratum</u> (Plot size: <u>10 m</u> )	% Cover	Species?	Status	Dominance Test worksheet:			
1. Populus deltoides	20	Yes	FAC	Number of Dominant Species			
2. Ulmus americana	10	Yes	FACW	That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 9 (A)			
3. Frangula alnus	5	No	FAC	Total Number of Dominant			
4				Species Across All Strata: 9 (B)			
5		•		Percent of Dominant Species			
6				That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100.0% (A/B)			
7				Prevalence Index worksheet:			
	35	=Total Cover		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:			
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 5 m )				OBL species <u>5</u> x 1 = <u>5</u>			
1. Frangula alnus	10	Yes	FAC	FACW species 27 x 2 = 54			
2. Fraxinus pennsylvanica	5	Yes	FACW	FAC species x 3 =150			
3. <u>Salix nigra</u>	5	Yes	OBL	FACU species0 x 4 =0			
4. Cornus amomum	2	No	FACW	UPL species 0 x 5 = 0			
5. Populus deltoides	5	Yes	FAC	Column Totals: 82 (A) 209 (B)			
6.				Prevalence Index = $B/A = 2.55$			
7.				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:			
	27	=Total Cover		1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation			
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 1 m )				X 2 - Dominance Test is >50%			
1 Onoclea sensibilis	10	Vec	FACW	$X_{3}$ - Prevalence Index is $\leq 3.0^{1}$			
		. <u>Tes</u>		$\frac{1}{2}$ 3 - Prevalence index is $\geq$ 5.0			
	5		FAC	data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)			
3							
4				Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain)			
5				<sup>1</sup> Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must			
6				be present, unless disturbed or problematic.			
7		<u> </u>		Definitions of Vegetation Strata:			
8				Tree – Woody plants 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in			
9				diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.			
10		<u> </u>		Sapling/shrub – Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH			
11				and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.			
12				Herb - All berbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless			
	15	=Total Cover		of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.			
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 5 m )		•		Weedurings All weedurings greater than 2.20 ft in			
1. Vitis riparia	5	Yes	FAC	height.			
2.							
3				Hydrophytic			
4				Vegetation Present? Yes X No			
		-Total Cover					
Dementue, (include ab etc avechave bare en er e ere							
Remarks: (include photo numbers here of on a sepa	irate sneet.)						

Profile Desc	ription: (Describe	to the de	pth needed to docu	iment t	he indica	ator or co	onfirm the absence of in	dicators.)
Depth	Matrix		Redox	<pre>&lt; Featur</pre>	res			
(inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type <sup>1</sup>	Loc <sup>2</sup>	Texture	Remarks
0-20	5YR 2.5/1	100					Silt	Loamy
20+	5YR 2.5/1	60					Silt	Loamy
20+	2.5YR 6/1	38	7.5YR 5/8	2	С	М	Silt	Loamy
<sup>1</sup> Type: C=Co	oncentration, D=Dep	letion, RN	I=Reduced Matrix, N	IS=Mas	ked Sand	d Grains.	<sup>2</sup> Location: PL=F	Pore Lining, M=Matrix.
Hydric Soil	Indicators:		Daharahas Daha	0 (			Indicators for F	Problematic Hydric Soils <sup>3</sup> :
Histosol	(A1)		Polyvalue Belo	w Surfa	ice (S8) (	LRR R,	2 cm Muck	(A10) (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
HISTIC Ep	olpedon (A2)		MLRA 149B	)			Loast Prairi	(Redox (A16) (LRR K, L, R)
	$\operatorname{Suc}(A3)$			ace (59)	) (LKK K 244) <i>(</i> LDI		49D) 5 CITI MUCKy	Pear of Pear (S3) (LRR K, L, K)
Hydroge				Minoral	(E1) (LRI	<b>N N, L)</b>		
	I Layers (A3)	~ (^ 11)		Motrix (	(F1) ( <b>LK</b> I (E2)	<b>Υ Ν, Ε</b> )		
	Delow Dark Surface	e (ATT)	Loany Gleyed	wanx (	(FZ)			loodolain Soils (E10) (MI DA 140B)
Sandy M	lucky Mineral (S1)		Depleted Math	rfaco (F	-6)		Predition	lic (TA6) (MI BA 144A 145 149B)
Sandy R	Sandy Nigory Milleral (ST) Regult Sufface (FO) Milleral (ST) Regult Sufface (FO) Niesic Spool (TAb) (Millera 144A, 145, 1						Matorial (E21)	
Sandy B	Sandy Bedox (S5) Depressions (E0) New York Surface (E22)					P $P$ $P$ $P$ $P$ $P$ $P$ $P$ $P$ $P$		
Sanuy R	Sandy Redox (S5)Redox Depressions (F8)Ve							
X Dark Su	face (S7)			κ <b>κ</b> , ι)				am in Remarks)
<sup>3</sup> Indicators of	f hydrophytic vegetat	ion and w	vetland hydrology mu	ist be pi	resent, ur	nless dist	urbed or problematic.	
Restrictive I	_ayer (if observed):							
Type:								
Depth (ir	nches):						Hydric Soil Present?	Yes <u>^</u> No
Remarks:	m is rovised from No	rthcontrol	and Northoast Pogi	onal Su	Innlomon	t Vorcion	2.0 to include the NPCS	Field Indicators of Hydric Soils
Version 7.0,	2015 Errata. (http://v	www.nrcs.	usda.gov/Internet/FS	SE_DO	CUMENT	S/nrcs14	2p2_051293.docx)	
			-					



Unnamed Tributary Photograph Log



National Grid Gloversville (Hill Street) Former MGP Site Gloversville, New York



#### Photograph: 1

### **Description:** Looking at downstream end of culvert at intersection of

# Location:

Cayadutta Creek

Unnamed Tributary

Photograph taken by: Arcadis Date: 8/28/2020



#### Photograph: 2

#### **Description:**

Looking downstream at upstream end of culvert leading to Cayadutta Creek

Location: Unnamed Tributary

Photograph taken by: Arcadis



National Grid Gloversville (Hill Street) Former MGP Site Gloversville, New York



#### Photograph: 3

### **Description:** Looking upstream at undercut bank section on outer bend of

# Location:

drainage

Unnamed Tributary

Photograph taken by: Arcadis Date: 8/28/2020



#### Photograph: 4

**Description:** Looking upstream at Pool #1

Location: Unnamed Tributary

Photograph taken by: Arcadis



National Grid Gloversville (Hill Street) Former MGP Site Gloversville, New York



### Photograph: 5

**Description:** Looking upstream at Pool #2

Location: Unnamed Tributary

# Photograph taken by: Arcadis Date: 8/28/2020

# Photograph: 6

#### **Description:**

Looking upstream at existing canopy cover over drainage along wetland boundary

Location: Unnamed Tributary

Photograph taken by: Arcadis



National Grid Gloversville (Hill Street) Former MGP Site Gloversville, New York



#### Photograph: 7

**Description:** Looking upstream at Riffle #1

Location: Unnamed Tributary

Photograph taken by: Arcadis Date: 8/28/2020



#### Photograph: 8

**Description:** Looking downstream at rooted submerged aquatic vegetation

Location: Unnamed Tributary

Photograph taken by: Arcadis
#### **PHOTOGRAPH LOG**



National Grid Gloversville (Hill Street) Former MGP Site Gloversville, New York



#### Photograph: 9

**Description:** Looking upstream at typical run habitat within drainage

Location: Unnamed Tributary

Photograph taken by: Arcadis Date: 8/28/2020

#### **PHOTOGRAPH LOG**



National Grid Gloversville (Hill Street) Former MGP Site Gloversville, New York



#### Photograph: 10

#### **Description:**

Looking upstream at culvert and pool habitat at the intersection with Southern Boulevard

#### Location:

Unnamed Tributary

**Photograph taken by:** Arcadis

Date: 8/28/2020



Sediment Background and Forensic Evaluation

# Memo

SUBJECT Cayadutta Creek Bank Soil and Sediment Sample Forensic Analysis Pre-Design Investigation Gloversville (Hill Street) Former Manufactured Gas Plant Site

DATE November 1, 2021

DEPARTMENT Environment

COPIES TO Nancy Gensky Scott Powlin **TO** Project File

PROJECT NUMBER 30044656

NAME Julie Sueker, PhD, PH, PE Sonal Patil Joe Statwick, PhD

This memorandum summarizes the Gloversville (Hill Street) Former Manufactured Gas Plant (MGP) Site (Site) pre-design investigation (PDI) bank soil and sediment forensic analysis methodology and results. Arcadis conducted this forensic analysis using Cayadutta Creek bank soil and sediment sample polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon (PAH) analytical results.

## 1 Introduction

Arcadis collected sediment samples from January 19 to January 21, 2021 and bank soil samples on February 3 and 4, 2021. Sediment samples were collected from Reaches 1 through 5 (PDI Report Figures 4 through 8) and bank soil samples were collected from Reach 3 (PDI Report Figure 9) in accordance with the Remedial Design Work Plan (RDWP; Arcadis 2020). Each sediment sample was analyzed for 34 PAHs and each bank soil sample was analyzed for 16 PAHs. The 34 PAHs (PAH-34) represent 18 specific non-alkylated PAHs (or parent PAHs) and 16 generic alkylated PAHs identified in Procedures for the Derivation of Equilibrium Partitioning Sediment Benchmarks (ESBs) for the Protection of Benthic Organisms: PAH Mixtures (USEPA 2003) and referenced in Screening and Assessment of Contaminated Sediment (NYSDEC 2014). The 16 PAHs represent the target compound list (TCL) of 16 parent (non-alkylated) PAHs (PAH-16). Analytical results for the sediment and soil samples are summarized in PDI Report Tables 8 and 9. Samples collected from Reaches 1 and 2 represent background conditions, Reach 3 is adjacent to the Site and borders NAPL containing upland areas, and Reaches 4 and 5 represent downstream conditions (Arcadis 2020). Four previously collected upland soil samples (samples, GB-53 (6-8), GB-58(2-4), GB-64(10-12), and GB-74(10-12)) with visual impacts and PAH concentrations indicative of Site source material were also included in this analysis for comparison.

A summary of sample quantities is included in the following Table 1.

Sample Media	Sample Locations	Number of Samples	Analyses
Sediment	61	69	34 Forensic PAHs <sup>1</sup>
Bank Soil	18	31	16 Parent PAHs <sup>2</sup>
Impacted Upland Soil	4	4	16 Parent PAHs <sup>2</sup>
Total:	81	108	

Table 1 Summary of Sampling Activities

#### Notes:

<sup>1</sup> Sediment samples were analyzed for 34 PAHs (18 parent PAHs and 16 C1 to C4 alkylated PAHs from prominent groups).

<sup>2</sup> Soil samples were analyzed for 17 TCL PAHs, all of which are parent PAHs except for 2methylnaphthalene. Only the 16 parent PAHs are used in this forensic analysis.

<sup>3</sup> Duplicate samples are not included in this evaluation.

Arcadis of New York, Inc., One Lincoln Center, 110 West Fayette Street, Suite 300, Syracuse, New York, 315 446 9120, www.arcadis.com



# 2 Data Evaluation Methodology

Environmental forensics is the systematic and scientific evaluation of physical, chemical, and historical information for the purposes of developing multiple lines of evidence for defensible scientific and legal conclusions regarding the source of release of constituents of concern to the environment. This soil and sediment PAH forensic analysis includes multiple analytical and data evaluation techniques to identify materials with similar properties.

## 2.1 Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons

PAHs are defined as a class of organic compounds consisting of two or more fused aromatic rings (Boehm 2006) which are divided into parent and alkylated PAHs. Parent (i.e., unsubstituted) PAHs contain no carbon side chains; whereas, alkylated (i.e., substituted) PAHs have alkyl groups (straight chain) hydrocarbons attached to the rings at one or more points. An alkyl PAH homolog series includes all the alkylated isomers with the same number of carbon atoms associated with the same parent PAH (e.g., an alkylated PAH homolog series for naphthalene includes C1-naphthalene, C2-naphthalene, C3-naphthalene, and C4-naphthalene; Olson et. al. 2004).

PAH sources in the environment are segregated into three principal categories as described below:

- Biogenic PAHs are developed from natural plant-based precursors that have not undergone the transformation processes resulting in coal or petroleum hydrocarbons. Biogenic hydrocarbons are generated when organic matter derived primarily from plants and microbes is deposited in nature (i.e., soil and sediment). As organic matter accumulates and decays, some PAHs may be formed. Perylene (a 5-ring unsubstituted PAH) is the most notable PAH produced during biogenic processes and is commonly found in river, lake, and ocean sediments of (Boehm 2006). While perylene can be associated with other PAH sources, a high abundance of perylene relative to other PAHs suggests presence of biogenic PAHs and native sediments.
- Petrogenic PAHs are generated through geological processes that transform organic matter into crude oil (including its refined petroleum products) and coal. The distinguishing characteristic of petrogenic PAHs is that the relative abundance of alkylated PAHs exceeds the abundance of parent PAH within the homolog series (Boehm 2006). In general, the compositional fingerprints for petrogenic PAH sources demonstrate a characteristic "bell-shaped" curve distribution of PAHs within some of the homolog series. This distribution has lower concentrations of parent PAHs and the highest concentrations of C2- or C3-substituted PAHs relative to the parent PAH. Petrogenic PAHs include higher concentrations of lighter PAHs (2-, 3-, or 4-ring).
- Pyrogenic PAHs are produced during the combustion or pyrolysis of organic matter (e.g., wood, coal, or petroleum hydrocarbons) when the organic compounds escape complete combustion at a high temperature. Since high temperature processes tend to destroy the more reactive alkylated PAHs, a major feature of pyrogenic PAHs is the presence of parent PAHs at higher concentrations than the alkylated PAHs (Boehm 2006). Also, pyrogenic PAHs are characterized by higher abundance of the heavier PAHs (4-, 5-, 6-ring; Boehm 2006). PAH compositional fingerprint for a known pyrogenic source (e.g., generic creosote sample) demonstrates a characteristic "ski slope" distribution with higher concentrations of parent PAHs and decreasing abundance of alkyl substituted PAHs within the homolog series.

Common sources of PAHs in the environment are summarized in the following table.

Petroleum Products	Pyrogenic By-Products	Coal Derived	Biogenic Sources
Crude Oil	Coal Tar	Coal Tar	Peat
Kerosene	Creosote	Creosote	Pine
Jet Fuel	Petroleum-Derived Soot	Coal	Lignins
Diesel Fuel	Forest Fire Ash	Coal Ash	
Heating Oil	Used Engine Oil	Coal-Derived Soot	
Engine Oil	Used Hydraulic Oil		
Used Engine Oil	Asphalt		
Used Hydraulic Oil			
Asphalt			

Table 2 Common Sources of PAHs in the Environment

#### 2.2 Evaluation Techniques

Arcadis conducted the Cayadutta Creek sediment PAH source forensic analysis using the following independent evaluation techniques:

- Sediment total PAH background concentrations were determined to assess upstream PAH contributions to the Site and establish a statistically derived PAH background concentration to which sediment samples adjacent to and downstream from the Site were compared. Several commonly used metrics were considered, including 95th percentiles, 95th percent upper prediction limits (95 UPLs), and 95/95 Upper Threshold Limits (95/95 UTLs). The 95th percentiles were selected, as they are the most conservative.
- Statistical evaluation of average total PAH concentration in sediment across reaches to determine spatial
  patterns of PAH concentrations within the investigation area. This evaluation consisted of an analysis of
  variance (ANOVA) without interaction effects, with reach, sediment type, and sample depth as independent
  variables. Tukey honest significant difference (HSD) tests were used to determine significant differences
  between reaches.
- Compilation of PAH compositional fingerprints to assess the presence of distribution patterns indicative of petrogenic and pyrogenic PAH sources.
- Compilation of PAH double-ratio plots of benzo(a)anthracene/chrysene versus fluoranthene/pyrene and benzo(a)anthracene/(benzo(a)anthracene + chrysene) versus anthracene / (anthracene + phenanthrene), which are the most common ratios used in PAH source evaluations (Costa et al. 2004; Costa and Sauer 2005; Yunker et al. 2002).
- Multivariate statistical analysis including cluster analyses (K-means clustering and Ward's hierarchical clustering) to group sample sites based on their overall similarity and Principal Component Analysis (PCA) to group PAHs together based on the degree to which they covary. Analytical data were unit normalized (divided each sample by the total PAH concentration such that all PAH concentrations sum to one) and then scaled and centered to a mean of zero and a variance of one before analysis. These normalization procedures remove bias due to different PAH concentrations between samples (EPRI 2008).

In support of these evaluations, concentrations for constituents that were not detected in a sample were set to zero. Statistical analyses were performed in the 'R' statistical language (R Core Team 2018) and with ProUCL version 5.1 (USEPA 2015).

# 3 Summary of Findings

#### 3.1 Background Evaluation

Background concentrations of PAH-16 and PAH-34 were calculated using the Reach 1 and Reach 2 PAH sediment results. A statistical evaluation of upper limits of background concentrations was conducted (Table 3). No significant outliers were identified by Dixon's test or examination of QQ plots. The data were lognormally distributed by Shapiro Wilk and Lilliefors tests, so the background upper limit statistics were computed using lognormal or non-parametric methods.

PAH Mixture	95 <sup>th</sup> Percentile <sup>1</sup> (mg/kg)	95 UPL² (mg/kg)	95/95 UTL <sup>3</sup> (mg/kg)
PAH-16	56.4	61.8	85.1
PAH-34	65.6	72.0	101.0

#### Table 3 Summary of Upper Limits of Background PAH Concentrations

#### Notes:

<sup>1</sup> 95th percentiles were calculated using the Type 7 method (USEPA 2015).

<sup>2</sup> 95 UPLs were calculated using the lognormal 95% Percentile (z) method (USEPA 2015).

<sup>3</sup> 95/95 UTLs were calculated using the 95% BCA Bootstrap UTL with 95% Coverage method (USEPA 2015).

The 95th percentile PAH-34 and PAH-16 concentrations (65.6 and 56.4 mg/kg, respectively) were selected as the representative statistically derived sediment background (i.e., Reaches 1 and 2) concentrations, as they are the most conservative of the upper tail estimates. The statistically derived background concentrations (i.e., 65.6 and 56.4 mg/kg) were used as a basis against which detected sediment concentrations in samples collected from Reaches 3 through 5 were compared.

Total PAHs (PAH-34) were detected in four of 69 sediment samples collected from Reaches 1 through 5 at concentrations exceeding the 65.6 mg/kg background concentration: one background sample in Reach 2 (R2-PDI-SED-18DEP(0-0.4)), one sample in Reach 3 (R3-PDI-SED-28DEP(0-0.8)), and two samples in Reach 5 (R5-PDI-SED-55RR(0-1) and R5-PDI-SED-55RR(1-1.3)). Total PAHs (PAH-16) were detected in two of 69 sediment samples at concentrations exceeding the 56.4 mg/kg background concentration: one background sample in Reach 2 (R2-PDI-SED-18DEP(0-0.4)) and one sample in Reach 5 (R5-PDI-SED-55RR(1-1.3)). The presence of background exceedances in Reach 5 but not Reach 4 may be indicative of PAH sources in Reach 5.

## 3.2 Spatial Evaluation

Average total concentrations of PAH-16 and PAH-34 in sediment were qualitatively higher in the downstream direction of the creek, with lower concentrations in Reach 1 samples and generally higher concentrations in Reach 5 (Figures 1 and 2). However, by lognormal ANOVA, only the difference between Reach 1 and Reach 5 for Total PAH-34 was statistically significant (p < 0.05). This indicates potential PAH sources in Reach 5. Additionally, depositional sediments had statistically significant higher average total PAH concentrations than riffle/run sediments (p < 0.05 for both Total PAH-34 and Total PAH-16), although riffle/run sediments had higher minimum and maximum total concentrations. A summary of Total PAH-34 concentrations is included in Table 4.

Sample Location	Median Total PAH-34 (mg/kg)	Geometric Mean Total PAH- 34 (mg/kg)	Total PAH-34 Range (mg/kg)
Sediment – By Reach			
Reach 1	3.3	3.1	0.47 – 28.8
Reach 2	4.0	6.2	0.59 – 100.6
Reach 3	7.8	8.8	0.59 – 97.1
Reach 4	6.9	5.8	0.20 – 45.3
Reach 5	30.2	25.0	2.2 – 181.3
Sediment – By Area			
Depositional	15.8	11.1	0.20 – 100.6
Riffle/Run	4.1	5.1	0.47 – 181.3

Table 4 Concentrations of Total PAH-34 in Sediment

Within Reach 3, bank soils had higher total PAH-16 concentrations than sediments; statistically significant by lognormal ANOVA (p < 0.05). There were no statistically significant differences in bank soil Total PAH-16 concentrations across sample depth (surface vs subsurface), location (mid bank vs mean high water level), or bank (left bank versus right bank). Bank soil sample PAH concentrations were significantly lower compared to the impacted upland soil samples collected during previous investigations. A summary of Total PAH-16 concentrations in Reach 3 sediment and bank soil samples and impacted upland soil samples is included in Table 5.

Table 5Concentrations of Total PAH-16 in Sediment and Bank Soil in Reach 3

Sample Media	Median Total PAH-16 (mg/kg)	Geometric Mean Total PAH- 16 (mg/kg)	Total PAH-16 Range (mg/kg)
Reach 3			
Sediment	4.8	5.4	0.39 – 38.4
Bank Soil	15.3	11.2	0.73 – 53.5
Impacted Upland Soil	1,856	2,036	777 – 6,446

## 3.3 PAH Compositional Fingerprints

PAH distributions (compositional fingerprints) were evaluated for similarities across sampling locations. A visual comparison of the PAH compositional fingerprints (Attachment 1) indicates that the furthest upstream samples, in Reach 1 and Reach 2, have similar PAH distributions. This distribution is characterized primarily by parent PAH compounds with medium to heavy molecular weights (3-5 rings), such as phenanthrene, fluoranthene, pyrene, benzo[a]anthracene, chrysene, and the benzofluoranthenes and benzopyrenes. These PAH compositional fingerprints exhibit characteristic pyrogenic distributions, with limited quantities of alkyl-substituted compounds. The PAH compounds with low molecular weights, such as naphthalenes, acenaphthylene, acenaphthene, and fluorenes, are generally not detected in these samples or are present at low concentrations near their respective detection limits.

Five samples from the four furthest upstream locations in Reach 3 have similar PAH distributions to those in Reach 1 and Reach 2 (R3-PDI-SED-21RR, R3-PDI-SED-22DEP, R3-PDI-SED-23DEP, and R3-PDI-SED-24DEP). Of the 16 sediment samples collected further downstream in Reach 3, six samples (R3-PDI-SED-25DEP, R3-PDI-SED-26DEP, R3-PDI-SED-27RR, R3-PDI-SED-28DEP, R3-PDI-SED-29RR, and R3-PDI-SED-30DEP) had relatively higher concentrations of naphthalene homolog groups that were similar in relative

concentration to other PAH homolog groups. Of these six samples, one sediment sample (R3-PDI-SED-29RR) indicated petrogenic distributions for naphthalenes and fluorenes, and pyrogenic distributions for the higher ring PAH groups: phenanthrene/anthracenes, fluoranthene/pyrenes, and benzo(a)anthracene/chrysenes. The remaining five samples (R3-PDI-SED-25DEP, R3-PDI-SED-26DEP, R3-PDI-SED-27RR, R3-PDI-SED-28DEP, and R3-PDI-SED-30DEP) indicated a mixture of distributions, with generally petrogenic distributions in the naphthalene homolog group and pyrogenic distributions in fluorenes, phenanthrene/anthracenes, fluoranthene/chrysenes.

The remaining 10 samples further downstream in Reach 3 as well as samples collected from Reaches 4 and 5 had relatively lower concentrations of the naphthalene homolog groups compared to other PAH homolog groups except at R5-PDI-SED-54RR and R5-PDI-SED-55RR. At these two locations, the naphthalene homologs were the dominant PAH group, particularly C3- and C4-naphthalenes. Most samples exhibited petrogenic distributions in naphthalene homolog groups and some samples had petrogenic distributions for the fluorene and phenanthrene/anthracene homolog groups, most notably at R5-PDI-SED-54RR.

Distinct compositions are observed for the six Reach 3 samples closest to the Site and the two samples in Reach 5. Alkylated isomer distributions within the individual homolog groups indicated that these six Reach 3 samples have different distributions than the two Reach 5 samples. The remaining samples are dominated by pyrogenic high ring PAHs with varying by lower concentration low ring PAHs that generally have a petrogenic distribution of the naphthalene homologs. The impacted soil samples from the Site indicated relatively higher lighter PAH (1- to 3-ring) concentrations relative to the heavier PAHs.

#### 3.4 Double Ratio Plots

For this forensic evaluation, select PAH diagnostic ratio values were calculated and plotted for each sediment sample (Figures 4 and 5). In general, the benzo(a)anthracene/chrysene ratios in sediment samples ranged from 0.6 to 1.3 (Figure 4). The fluoranthene/pyrene ratios ranged from 0.5 to 1.4 (Figure 4). The second set of PAH diagnostic ratio values calculated for the sediment samples included benzo(a)anthracene/(benzo(a)anthracene + chrysene) and anthracene / (anthracene + phenanthrene), which ranged from 0.4 to 0.6 and 0.08 to 0.4, respectively (Figure 5).

The diagnostic ratio plot of benzo(a)anthracene/chrysene versus fluoranthene/pyrene (Figure 4) indicated that approximately 51% of the sediment samples (25 of 49 samples) from Reaches 3 to 5 plot within the background distribution (95 percent t-distribution confidence ellipse). The background distribution encompasses both pyrogenic and mixed source zones. Sediment samples plotting to left of the background distribution had lower fluoranthene/pyrene ratios and mostly fell within the pyrogenic source zones. These samples included four of the six samples (R3-PDI-SED-25DEP, R3-PDI-SED-28DEP, R3-PDI-SED-29RR, and R3-PDI-SED-30DEP) with higher naphthalene homolog groups in Reach 3 closest to the Site and R5-PDI-SED-54RR and R5-PDI-SED-55RR with dominant naphthalene homologs in Reach 5. The impacted soil samples from the Site had even lower fluoranthene/pyrene ratios that plotted within pyrogenic and mixed source zones.

The diagnostic ratio plot of benzo(a)anthracene/(benzo(a)anthracene + chrysene) versus anthracene / (anthracene + phenanthrene) (Figure 5) indicated that approximately 86% of the sediment samples (42 of 49 samples) from Reaches 3 to 5 plot within the background distribution (95 percent t-distribution confidence ellipse). All samples plotted within the pyrogenic source zone. Sediment samples plotting to the right of the background distribution had higher anthracene / (anthracene + phenanthrene) ratios and included one of the six samples (R3-PDI-SED-25DEP) with higher naphthalene homolog groups in Reach 3 closest to the Site and R5-PDI-SED-55RR with dominant naphthalene homologs in Reach 5. The impacted soil samples from the Site plotted within the

background distribution except for one sample (GB-74) which had a higher anthracene / (anthracene + phenanthrene) ratio.

The double ratio plots indicated the dominance of background-like PAH ratio distributions in all reaches and different fluoranthene/pyrene ratios in Site sources samples compared to sediment samples collected from Reaches 3 through 5 and background samples from Reaches 1 and 2.

#### 3.5 Multivariate Analyses

Multivariate cluster analyses (both K-means clustering and Ward's hierarchical clustering) split the sediment data into two clusters; the groupings from the two methods were qualitatively similar (data not shown). The K-means cluster analysis grouped all of the samples from Reaches 1 and 2 in cluster 1 and split the samples from Reaches 3, 4, and 5 into both clusters 1 and 2 at approximately 45 and 55 percent, respectively (Figure 6). Similarly, Ward's clustering assigned all of the samples from Reaches 1 and 2 in cluster 1 and split the samples from Reaches 3, 4, and 5 into both clusters 1 and 2 at approximately 45 and 56 percent, respectively (Figure 6). Similarly, Ward's clustering assigned all of the samples from Reaches 1 and 2 in cluster 1 and split the samples from Reaches 3, 4, and 5 into both clusters 1 and 2 at approximately 24 and 76 percent, respectively (data not shown).

The cluster analyses were further evaluated by PCA. Analysis of the data via PCA revealed that the majority of the variance in the data (48%) can be explained by the first principal component dimension, which is strongly characterized by parent PAHs versus alkylated PAHs. By comparison, the second principal component dimension explained relatively less of the variance in the data (18%) and appears to be characterized by low versus heavy molecular weight PAHs. As shown in the PCA biplot (Figure 6), most sediment samples in Reaches 1 and 2 are characterized by a relatively high proportion of heavy molecular weight PAHs, and to some extent, by mediumweight parent compounds like fluorene, anthracene, and phenanthrene. These samples generally aligned with cluster 1 in the cluster analyses. The samples in Reaches 3, 4, and 5, however, are more variable. Although some of these samples are similar to the samples in Reaches 1 and 2 in heavy molecular weight and parent PAH presence, approximately 60 percent of the samples in the downstream reaches were characterized by relatively more alkyl PAHs. These samples generally aligned with cluster 2 in the cluster analyses. However, these samples do not plot closely together, meaning the relative alkyl PAH concentrations vary substantially between samples. Similarly, the relative abundances of low and high molecular weight compounds vary substantially between samples as well. These observations suggest multiple origins of the alkylated PAHs. The six samples in Reach 3 with relatively higher concentrations of naphthalene homolog groups (R3-PDI-SED-25DEP, R3-PDI-SED-26DEP, R3-PDI-SED-27RR, R3-PDI-SED-28DEP, R3-PDI-SED-29RR, and R3-PDI-SED-30DEP) plot together as a loose group, separate from the other samples, in the lower right quadrant. The two Reach 5 locations where naphthalene homologs were the dominant PAH (R5-PDI-SED-54RR and R5-PDI-SED-55RR), also plot together loosely, but in a different area than the six samples from Reach 3 in the upper right quadrant.

When specifically examining samples that exceed the 95th percentile concentration of background total PAH-34 concentrations, there is no apparent common PAH source for these four samples (Figure 7). The sample in Reach 2 (R2-PDI-SED-18DEP(0-0.4)) is compositionally similar to other background samples and is not an outlier by statistical testing. The two samples in Reach 5 (R5-PDI-SED-55RR, both depths (0-1 and 1-1.3 feet)), are compositionally different than the sample in Reach 3 (R3-PDI-SED-28DEP indicating a different origin of alkyl PAHs in Reach 5.

Within Reach 3, the sediments, bank soils, and Site source samples were compared based on PAH-16 data (Figure 8). While the PAH-16 analytes do not include any alkyl compounds, the sediment samples contained relatively more low molecular weight compounds, particularly in samples R3-PDI-SED-25DEP, to R3-PDI-SED-30DEP closer to the Site. The bank soil samples, meanwhile, were relatively enriched in 6-ring PAHs (mainly indeno[1,2,3-c,d]pyrene and benzo[g,h,i]perylene), and showed relatively less low molecular weight parent PAHs. In contrast, the Site source samples contained significantly more low molecular weight PAHs. This indicates that

the bank soil samples are different than the Site source samples and the bank soils are not impacted by the Site. The sediment samples are also different than the Site source samples, although sediment samples R3-PDI-SED-25DEP to R3-PDI-SED-30DEP plot closer to the Site source samples and may have Site-related PAH contributions.

## 4 Conclusions

While average total PAH concentrations are higher in Reach 5 than Reach 1, there is substantial overlap in the range of concentrations for each reach, such that PAHs were only detected in four sediment samples (including one background sample) at concentrations exceeding the statistically derived 65.6 mg/kg PAH-34 background concentration, and only Reach 5 PAH concentrations were statistically significantly different from Reach 1. The presence of higher PAH concentrations and background exceedances in Reach 5 may be indicative of PAH sources in Reach 5. Note the field team observed sheens and petroleum odors during probing in Reach 5.

Based on the multiple lines of evidence presented, sediment samples in Reaches 3 through 5 appear to have dominant contributions from the background pyrogenic PAHs detected in Reaches 1 and 2. Some samples collected in Reaches 3 through 5 appear to have a mixture of pyrogenic and petrogenic sources meaning the relative alkyl PAH concentrations vary between samples, as do the most prevalent molecular weights. Due to a lack of consistency in the lines of evidence patterns of these mixed sources, it is possible that multiple PAH sources are present, particularly in Reach 5. Two investigation areas within the overall PDI area showed distinctly different patterns – the six Reach 3 samples closest to the Site which have patterns and PAH signatures closer to the Site source samples and the two samples in Reach 5 where the field team observed sheens and petroleum odors during probing.

Within Reach 3, bank soils had statistically significant higher total PAH-16 concentrations than sediments. The bank soils were significantly lower in total PAH-16 concentration compared to the impacted upland soil samples from previous investigations. Further, the bank soil samples are enriched in 6-ring compounds and are largely pyrogenic in nature, but are lacking the naphthalene signatures present in the impacted upland samples.

## 5 References

Arcadis. 2020. Remedial Design Work Plan, Gloversville (Hill Street) Former Manufactured Gas Plant, Gloversville, New York. Site No. 5-18-021. June.

Boehm, P. D. 2006. Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs). In: Environmental Forensics: Contaminant Specific Guide, (Morrison, R. D. and Murphy, B. L. Eds.), Academic Press, New York, p. 313-337.

Costa, H. J., White, K. A., et al. 2004. Distinguishing PAH background and MGP residues in sediments of a freshwater creek. Environmental Forensics, 5(3), p. 171-182.

Costa, H. T. and Sauer, T. C. 2005. Forensic approaches and considerations in identifying PAH background. Environmental Forensics, 6, p. 9-16.

EPRI, 2008. Examination of the Sources of Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbon (PAH) in Urban Background Soil. Palo Alto, CA: 1015558.

Olson, M. C., J. L. Iverson, E. T., Furlong, and M. P. Schroeder. 2004. Methods of Analysis by the U.S. Geological Survey National Water Quality Laboratory – Determination of Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbon Compounds in Sediment by Gas Chromatography/Mass Spectrometry. U.S. Geological Survey Water Resources Investigations Report 03-4318.

R Core Team 2018. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. URL https://www.R-project.org/.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). 2013. ProUCL 5.1 Technical Guide EPA/600/R-07/041. October 2015. Office of Research and Development. http://www.epa.gov/esd/tsc/TSC\_form.htm.

Yunker, M. B., Macdonald, R. W., Vingarzan, R., Mitchell, R. H., Goyette, D., and Sylvestre, S. 2002. PAHs in the Fraser River basin: A critical appraisal of PAH ratios as indicators of PAH source and composition. Organic Geochemistry, 33, p. 489-515.

#### Enc.: Figure 1 – Boxplot of Total PAH34 in Sediment Samples by Reach

- Figure 2 Boxplot of Total PAH16 in Sediment Samples by Reach
- Figure 3 Boxplot of Total PAH16 in Bank Soil and Sediment Samples in Reach 3
- Figure 4 Double Ratio Plot 1
- Figure 5 Double Ratio Plot 2
- Figure 6 Principal Component Plot of PAH-34 in Sediment Samples by Reach and K-Means Cluster
- Figure 7 Principal Component Plot of PAH-34 in Sediment Samples by Reach with Exceedances of Background

Figure 8 – Principal Component Plot of PAH-16 in Sediment and Bank Soil Samples in Reach 3 Attachment 1 – Bar Charts

# **Figures**

















# **Attachment 1**

**Bar Charts** 































































































































ARCADIS A-64














































































ARCADIS

FIGURE

















Arcadis of New York, Inc. One Lincoln Center, 110 West Fayette Street, Suite 300 Syracuse New York 13202 Phone: 315 446 9120 Fax: 315 449 0017 www.arcadis.com