

FINAL REMEDIATION REPORT

WORK ASSIGNMENT D003825-13

NORTHEAST ALLOYS AND METALS CITY OF UTICA (C)

G2918F

SITE NO. 6-33-045 ONEIDA COUNTY, NY

Prepared for: NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL CONSERVATION 625 Broadway, Albany, New York

Erin M. Crotty, Commissioner

DIVISION OF ENVIRONMENTAL REMEDIATION

URS Corporation

282 Delaware Avenue Buffalo, New York 14202

December 2001

FINAL REMEDIATION REPORT NORTHEAST ALLOYS AND METALS SITE REMEDIATION UTICA, NEW YORK

JAN GULLE

NYSDEC SITE NO. 6-33-045

Prepared For:

NYS DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL CONSERVATION DIVISION OF ENVIRONMENTAL REMEDIATION WORK ASSIGNMENT D003825-13

DECEMBER 2001

Prepared By:

URS CORPORATION 282 DELAWARE AVENUE BUFFALO, NEW YORK 14202

CONSTRUCTION CERTIFICATION AT NORTHEAST ALLOYS AND METALS SITE REMEDIAL ACTION UTICA, NEW YORK

URS Corporation Group Consultants personnel have inspected the remedial action construction at the Northeast Alloys and Metals Site according to generally accepted practices. Based on: field observations and inspections made by onsite personnel; field and laboratory test data; and data provided by the Contractor and its subcontractors, the remedial action construction at the site is considered to have been performed in substantial compliance with the NYSDEC Contract Documents, with the Record of Decision for the site dated March 1998, and as stated in this report.

URS Corporation Group Consultants certifies that the construction was completed in accordance with the Approved Contract Document Plans and Specifications (Titled: Site Name: Northeast Alloys and Metals, Site Number: 6-33-045, Location: City of Utica, Contract Number D004178, County of Oneida, New York, Contract Documents dated 5/25/2000) and incorporating the modifications presented herein.

The work was inspected and documented by competent people under my direct supervision.

DECEMBER 2001



Signatı	ure: Jones La
	James Lanzo, P.E.
Date:	1/2/02

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ENGINEER'S CERTIFICATION

1.0	INTRODUCTION								
	1.1	Purpose and Scope							
	1.2	Site Description							
	1.3	Site History 1-	2						
2.0	SUM	MARY OF REMEDIAL WORK	-1						
1.0 INTE 1.1 1.2 1.3 2.0 SUM 2.1 2.2 2.3 2.4 2.5 3.0 DET 3.1 3.2 3.3 4.0 SYST 4.1 4.2	2.1	Summary of Remedial Construction Work							
	General Requirements	2							
		2.2.1 On-site Inspection	2						
		2.2.2 Record Drawings	2						
		2.2.3 Construction Photographs 2-	1-1 1-1 1-1 1-2 2-1 2-2 2-2 2-2						
		2.2.4 Subcontractors 2-	2						
	2.3	Variances							
	2.4	Change Orders 2-	3						
		2.4.1 Description	3						
		2.4.2 Cost Adjustments 2-	4						
		2.4.3 Schedule Modifications 2-	4						
	2.5	Final Inspection 2-	4						
3.0	DETA	AILED DESCRIPTION OF VARIANCES AND CHANGE ORDERS 3-	1						
	3.1	Site Work and Site Facilities	1						
	3.2	East Gate Area Soil Excavation	2						
	3.3	Groundwater Collection and Treatment System 3-2	2						
4.0	SYSTEM STARTUP AND CHECKOUT								
	4.1	System Startup 4-							
	4.2	System Monitoring 4-	1						

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

FIGURES

Following Page No.

1	Site Location Map	1-1
2	Site Layout Map	1-1

TABLES

1	Summary of Contract Costs	2-3
2	Summary of Groundwater Analytical Data	4-1

APPENDICES

Appendix A	Record Drawings
Appendix B	Construction Photographs
Appendix C	Change Order
Appendix D	Certificates of Completion
Appendix E	East Gate Area Soil Analysis
Appendix F	Groundwater Treatment System Analytical Data

1.0 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Purpose and Scope

This Final Remediation Construction Report has been prepared to document the construction phase (including start-up and operation for one month) of the remedial action at the Northeast Alloys and Metals site as required under Task 6.3 of Work Assignment D003825-13. Only approved methods, materials, and equipment, as required by the Contract documents, were used on the project unless otherwise described in the following sections on variances and change orders. Provided within this document are:

- Certification by a Professional Engineer licensed to practice in New York State
- Record Drawings
- Discussion of any variances and change orders.

1.2 Site Description

Northeast Alloys and Metals is a Class 2 site, listed on the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (NYSDEC) Registry of Inactive Hazardous Waste Sites (NYSDEC Site No. 6-33-045). The site is located between State Route 5S and Dwyer Street in the City of Utica, Oneida County, New York. The property is located in a mixed industrial/commercial area just inside the city limits, and just inside the boundary between Oneida and Herkimer Counties (Figure 1). The CSX Railroad runs in an east-west direction approximately 1500 feet north of the site. The Mohawk River flows in an easterly direction and is located approximately 1800 feet to the north.

The facility occupies about 3.9 acres and consists of a plant building, asphalt parking area, and loading dock area which occupy the southwest portion of the property. The plant building, which occupies approximately 21,000 square feet, is a one-story masonry brick structure. A small portion of the paved parking lot area, which formerly contained an underground storage tank (UST) and drum storage area, is referred to as the "courtyard." The site layout is shown in Figure 2.





LN35618.02vdb)Gis/2001/northeast.apr SITE LAYOUT MAP

Contamination at the Northeast Alloys and Metals Site is primarily chlorinated solvents in the groundwater, specifically trichloroethene and its breakdown products. The use of chlorinated solvents was prevalent at the site, particularly in the metal degreasing operations. Spent solvents may also have been released when a drum of spent solvent was accidentally punctured. The groundwater at the courtyard area of the site is the most highly contaminated, with a plume that follows the groundwater flow to the north. Low concentrations of benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylene (BTEX) contaminants have also been detected in some of the downgradient wells. A system to intercept, collect, and treat the contaminated groundwater has been installed downgradient of the courtyard area.

1.3 Site History

The property and buildings at the Northeast Alloys and Metals site have been used for the manufacturing of electronic components in the 1950s, as a machine shop in the 1960s, and as a commercial laundry in the 1970s. Northeast Alloys and Metals purchased the facility in April 1986 and used it to recycle specialty metal parts. In January 1989, Northeast Alloys and Metals leased the property to ELG Haniel Tradings to perform the metal recycling operations. Operations at the facility ceased in 1991. The facility is currently unoccupied.

The Record of Decision (ROD) for the site was issued by the NYSDEC in March 1998. A pre-design investigation was completed and reports issued by URS in June and October 1999. Contract documents (Contract No. D004178) for remedial construction were prepared by URS and issued by the NYSDEC in May 2000. The notice of intent to award the contract was issued on August 8, 2000 to Scientech NES, Inc. of Danbury, Connecticut. Notice to Proceed with construction at the site was given to Scientech on December 4, 2000. Major construction at the site was completed by March 2001, and all remaining construction at the site was completed by August 24, 2001.

Scientech started-up and operated the groundwater collection and treatment system for a period of one month (from approximately March 21 through April 18, 2001) as required by the Contract Documents. At that point, ownership and responsibility for operation and maintenance of the remedial system was turned over to the NYSDEC.

2.0 SUMMARY OF REMEDIAL WORK

2.1 Summary of Remedial Construction Work

The main work items completed by the Contractor under this Contract included:

- 1. Construction and subsequent removal of an area for the staging of potentially contaminated soil.
- 2. Excavation and analysis of soil near the east gate that potentially contained contamination at concentrations exceeding the NYSDEC cleanup criteria. Approximately 427.5 cubic yards of soil were excavated in this area. A total of 6 soil samples of the excavated soil were collected and analyzed for VOCs. Based upon visual observations and the analytical results, which indicated that soil concentrations did not exceed TAGM 4046 criteria, all of the soil was backfilled into the existing excavation, and no soil was taken offsite for disposal.
- 3. Installation of borings along the proposed groundwater collection trench alignment
- 4. Construction of a groundwater collection trench.
- 5. Construction of a groundwater pumping and treatment system to pump water from the collection trench, and treat the contaminated groundwater to meet the discharge limitations.
- 6. Construction of a gravity discharge line from the groundwater treatment system to a nearby sanitary manhole of the Oneida County Sewer District.
- Construction of secure system housing, and installation of all required above- and below-ground utilities (electrical, telephone, piping, etc.) as required for operation of the treatment system.

- 8. Startup, performance testing, reporting, operation, and maintenance of the groundwater treatment system for a period of one month.
- 9. Delivery of a fully operational system to the NYSDEC for long-term operation.

2.2 General Requirements

2.2.1 On-Site Inspection

Daily inspection of the construction activities was performed by URS throughout the Contract. URS prepared daily inspection reports to document the work performed by Scientech and their subcontractors, to document the equipment and labor used, and to verify that the requirements of the Contract Documents were satisfied. Copies of daily inspection reports are on file at the NYSDEC and at URS.

2.2.2 <u>Record Drawings</u>

Based on survey information and drawings submitted by Scientech, URS prepared drawings to show the final condition of the work completed. URS verified these drawings based on our oversight of the daily construction activities. Record drawings are included with this report as Appendix A.

2.2.3 <u>Construction Photographs</u>

Photographs to document the condition of the site and the progress of construction were taken by URS throughout the duration of our oversight activities. Photographs representing significant work items are included in Appendix B. A more complete set of construction photographs is on file at the NYSDEC and at URS.

2.2.4 Subcontractors

Scientech utilized the following subcontractors during construction at the Northeast Alloys and Metals Site:

ADT Drilling – Borings and Well Installation Engel Electric – Electrician BISCO – Remedial Systems Design Icon Co. – Trenching Forms Mid-York Fence Co – Fencing Mitkem – Analytical Central Paving – Paving Modi Engineering – Survey Labor Ready NE – Personnel

2.3 <u>Variances</u>

Variances are modifications to the Contract Documents that do not involve changes to the contract cost or the contract schedule. Variances were implemented following approval by URS and/or the NYSDEC of a request from the Contractor (Scientech), or as initiated by URS. Specific variances to the contract are discussed in Section 3.0.

2.4 Change Orders

2.4.1 Description

Modifications to the Contract Documents for work items that change either the contract price or the contract schedule are processed through change orders. Only one change order, consisting of multiple work items, was prepared for this contract. Appendix C contains a copy of the executed change order. Further discussion of specific change order items is also included in Section 3.0.

2.4.2 Cost Adjustments

Table 1 summarizes the original contract bid prices and quantities for the project. Over the course of the project, there were several adjustments to the original contract amounts for work items that were either added to or deleted from the scope of work. The most significant cost changes were associated with snow delays, construction of the groundwater collection trench, and elimination of the off-site soil disposal. Table 1 also includes the change order costs and the final project cost. Specific change order items are discussed in Section 3.0.

2.4.3 <u>Schedule Modifications</u>

The Schedule for the project consisted only of Substantial and Final Completions. Scientech received Notice-to-Proceed (NTP) from the Department on December 4, 2000. The contract specified a period of 120 days (April 3, 2001) for Substantial Completion, defined as construction and startup of the treatment system. Scientech completed construction and began continuous operation of the system on March 22, 2001. To accommodate several minor tasks that were not completed until warmer weather, the duration of the contract was extended by 150 days, at no additional cost, until August 31, 2001.

2.5 Final Inspection

A substantial completion inspection and walkthrough for the project was conducted on March 1, 2001. This inspection was attended by representatives of Scientech, URS, and the NYSDEC. Based on this inspection, it was determined that Scientech's construction and operation of the project was complete with the exception of the punchlist items noted below:

- Survey locations of the trench, cleanouts, and piezometers and submit final red-line drawings
- Repair the roof of the treatment building where shingles had blown off
- Complete the regrading of the site
- Complete the electrical wiring
- Demobilize all equipment

Table 1

Summary of Contract Costs

Northeast Alloys and Metals Site

Item			CONTRACT			
No.	Description	Unit	Quantity	Total		
LS-1	General	LS	1	\$7,844.88	\$7,844.88	
LS-2	Site Preparation	LS	1	\$9,804.41	\$9,804.41	
LS-3	Site Facilities and Services	LS	1	\$3,085.89	\$3,085.89	
LS-4	Groundwater Collection System	LS	1	\$19,626.17	\$19,626.17	
LS-5	Groundwater Treatment System	LS	1	\$52,591.07	\$52,591.07	
LS-6	Electrical	LS	1	\$24,450.50	\$24,450.50	
LS-7	Utility Allowance	LS	1	\$1,000.00	\$1,000.00	
UC-1	East Gate Area Soil Excavation	CY	234	\$59.87	\$14,009.58	
UC-2	East Gate Area Soil Disposal	Ton	100	\$174.58	\$17,458.00	
UC-3	Groundwater Collection Trench	SF	5180	\$13.33	\$69,049.40	
UC-4	Treated Groundwater Gravity Discharge	LF	110	\$80.92	\$8,901.20	
UC-5	Fencing	LF	64	\$24.98	\$1,598.72	
UC-6	Groundwater Treatment System Operation	Month	1	\$14,406.41	\$14,406.41	
UC-7	Health and Safety	person/day	104	\$59.95	\$6,234.80	
	Total Original Contract		······	,	\$250,061.03	
			(Quantities indicat	te increases (positive) or	decreases (negative)	
CHAN	GE ORDERS		relative to original	contract quantities)		
LS-8	Snow Delay	LS	1	\$25,312.50	\$25,312.50	
LS-9	Additional Paving	LS	1	\$2,110.00	\$2,110.00	
UC-1	East Gate Area Soil Excavation	CY	35.1	\$59.87	\$2,101.44	
UC-2	East Gate Area Soil Disposal	Ton	-100	\$174.58	(\$17,458.00)	
UC-3	Groundwater Collection Trench	SF	-5180	\$13.33	(\$69,049.40)	
UC-3	Groundwater Collection Trench	SF	4058	\$20.50	\$83,189.00	
UC-4	Treated Groundwater Gravity Discharge	LF	14	\$80.92	\$1,132.88	
UC-5	Fencing	LF	9.6	\$24.98	\$239.81	

person/day

LF

-47

61.4

Total Change Order No. 1

\$27,465.86

(\$2,817.65)

\$2,705.28

\$59.95

\$44.06

TOTAL REVISED CONTRACT

\$277,526.89

Health and Safety

Additional Fencing

UC-7

UC-8

• Transfer utility billing to the NYSDEC

A letter declaring the project to be substantially complete as of August 24, 2001 was prepared and issued by the NYSDEC on September 10, 2001.

3.0 DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF VARIANCES AND CHANGE ORDERS

The following sections outline all of the significant departures from the original design that was included in the contract documents. Some changes were made with no change in contract price (variances), while others resulted in either an increase or a decrease in the contract price (change orders). Change order items that involve an increase or decrease in quantities only are not discussed in this section, but are included with the actual change order in Appendix C.

3.1 Site Work and Site Facilities

Variances and change orders associated with site work and site facilities included the following:

- 1. The contractor elected to construct a small wooden shed to house both the aeration blowers and control system in the same structure. The shed replaced individual housings for the blower and control equipment. (Variance)
- 2. Due to a several month delay by the NYSDEC in giving Scientech notice to proceed, the construction phase of the project was pushed into the winter months. The poor weather conditions during the winter months required the contractor to rent additional equipment and to spend additional time for the removal of snow. Additionally, the weather conditions slowed the contractor's productivity for the actual construction activities. (Change Order)
- 3. Because the construction was pushed into the winter months, the contractor was required to install a temporary street patch at the location where the gravity discharge line crossed Pitcher Street. The Contractor then returned to the site in the spring to properly repair the street. *(Change Order)*

3.2 East Gate Area Soil Excavation

Change orders associated with the excavation of soil from the east gate area included the following:

 It was not necessary for any of the soil excavated in the east gate area to be taken for offsite disposal. Based on screening with a photoionization detector and on the analysis of soil samples, it was determined that none of the soil excavated from the east gate area exceeded the criteria that would require its removal from the site. Following receipt of the soil analytical results, the soil was replaced into the excavation. The results of the soil analysis are located in Appendix E. (Change Order)

3.3 Groundwater Collection and Treatment System

Variances and change orders associated with the construction of the groundwater collection trench and treatment system are summarized below:

- 1. The actual layout of the trench was modified slightly from the original plan. The locations of the collection sump and treatment manhole were moved eastward approximately 15 feet to keep all of the system components inside the existing fenceline. To accommodate this change, the low elevation of the trench also was moved eastward, but without affecting the effectiveness or capture zone of the trench. *(Variance)*
- 2. The contractor elected to construct the trench by first dewatering the site, and then using trench forms to support the sidewall during excavation. Groundwater extraction wells were installed adjacent to the proposed location of the trench for the purpose of dewatering the site. Because sufficient information regarding the subsurface characteristics was obtained from these extraction wells, the contractor was not required to install the pre-construction borings along the profile of the trench. (Variance)

- 3. The trench form system used by the contractor required that the filter fabric could not be installed as a continuous piece along each side of the trench. Instead, each approximately 20-foot segment of the trench is wrapped in fabric, including the ends of the segment. This modification does not affect the effectiveness of the trench. (Variance)
- 4. Instead of a Modicon programmable logic controller, the contractor elected to use a programmable computer system by EOS, Inc. for the purpose of system control and data acquisition. *(Variance)*
- 5. The actual quantity for construction of the collection trench was significantly less than the original bid quantity. Additionally, construction of the trench was made more difficult due to unexpected site conditions, i.e., the presence of old canal walls and structures. Therefore, both the quantity and the unit price for this item were adjusted. (Change Order)

4.0 SYSTEM STARTUP AND CHECKOUT

4.1 System Startup

Scientech's contract included the operation and maintenance of the groundwater treatment system for a minimum period of one month. During the month of operation, Scientech was responsible for operating the system, maintaining the system components, and monitoring the operation of the system in accordance with the requirements of the contract documents. There were no variances or change orders associated with the operation of the system.

4.2 System Monitoring

During their one month of operation, Scientech collected and analyzed samples of the untreated and treated groundwater collected by the system. These samples were collected to verify that the treatment system was successfully reducing the concentrations of VOCs in the groundwater, and to verify that the contaminant concentrations in the effluent met the criteria for discharge to the City of Utica sanitary system. In addition to VOCs, these samples were also analyzed for pH, metals, dissolved and suspended solids, and hardness. Table 2 summarizes the removal efficiency of the groundwater treatment system based on the samples collected by Scientech. Based on this information, the treatment system is meeting the desired objectives. Complete analytical reports have been included as Appendix F.

Table 2 Summary of Groundwater Analytical Data Northeast Alloys and Metals Site

	Sampling Date										
	3/28/01		4/4/01		4/11/01		4/18/01		Average		
Contaminant	Influent	Effluent	Influent	Effluent	Influent	Effluent	Influent	Effluent	Influent	Effluent	Removal
Chloromethane			3				3		1.5	0	100%
Vinyl Chloride	35	2	19		27		22	1	25.75	0.75	97%
1,1-Dichloroethene	1								0.25	0	100%
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	200	20	230		290	28	210	33	232.5	20.25	91%
Benzene	2		2						1	0	100%
Trichloroethene	3	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	4		3				2.5	0	100%
Toluene	16	1	13		11		11	2	12.75	0.75	94%
Chlorobenzene	2		2						1	0	100%
Ethylbenzene	5		5		4	;	4		4.5	0	100%
Xvlene	55	3	48	<u> </u>	42	4	37	6	45.5	3.25	93%
1.2-Dichlorobenzene	2		3	1					1.25	0	100%
Totals		d	11						328.5	25	92%

All results are in μ g/L.

Only detected analytes are shown.

J:\35618.04\Excel\[effluent summary.xls]Sheet1

CONSTRUCTION PHOTOGRAPHS OF MAJOR SITE ACTIVITIES

- 1. Construction of the discharge line across Pitcher Street.
- 2. Cleanout located in the discharge line.
- 3. Installing the lower section of the treatment manhole.
- 4. Traps and cleanout on the gravity discharge line from the treatment manhole.
- 5. Lines feeding groundwater into the treatment manhole.
- 6. Icon trench forms and construction of the collection trench.
- 7. Icon trench forms and construction of the collection trench.
- 8. Looking into the trench.
- 9. Trench with filter fabric draped over the sides.
- 10. Men installing the collection pipe and stone in the trench.
- 11. The baffles in the treatment manhole.
- 12. Aeration manifold, ladder, and dog.
- 13. Looking into the treatment manhole.
- 14. Looking into the collection sump, with two pumps and discharge lines.
- 15. The control system.
- 16. The blower skid.
- 17. Discharge air vent beside the treatment manhole.
- 18. Restoration of the hillside along Pitcher Street.
- 19. Shed to house the aeration blowers and control system.
- 20. The area of the collection trench, after backfilling soil.











ſ






























New York State Department of Environmental Conservation

Division of Environmental Remediation

Bureau of Construction Services, 12th Floor 625 Broadway, Albany, New York 12233-7013 Phone: (518) 402-9814 • FAX: (518) 402-9819 Website: www.dec.state.ny.us



NOV - 6 2001

Mr. Robert McPeak Department Manager SCIENTECH NES, Inc. 44 Shelter Rock Road Danbury, Connecticut 06810

Dear Mr. McPeak:

RECEIVED URS						
NOV 0	9 2001					
JOB# <u>35</u>	<u> </u>					
	D. M'Call					

file (c-1)

Re: Site No. 6-33-045 Northeast Alloys & Metals Contract No. D004178

The New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (NYSDEC) hereby forwards the executed Change Order No. 1 to the referenced contract between SCIENTECH NES, Inc. and the NYSDEC. The original contract was for the amount of \$250,061.03. This change order increases the contract amount by \$27,466.42, increasing the contract amount to \$277,527.45. The contract time is increased in the change order from 120 days to 270 days.

If you have any questions, please contact me or Mr. Gerard Burke, Project Engineer, at (518) 402-9814.

Sincerely,

Robert C. Kningt

Robert C. Knizek, P.E. Chief, Eastern Field Services Section Bureau of Construction Services Division of Environmental Remediation

Enclosure

cc: R. Lupe - NYSDEC, BPM P. Ouderkirk - NYSDEC, Region 6 D. McCall - URS Greiner Woodward Clyde Northeast Alloys & Metals Site No. 6-33-045 State Contract No. D004178 Change Order No. 1

Change Order Amount: \$27,466.42

Date of Issue:

Contractor's Name: SCIENTECH NES

Engineer's Name: URS Corporation

Change Order Items: This Change Order comprises eight (8) items as discussed below

I. CHANGE ORDER ITEMS:

A. Description of Change:

This change to the original contract is for *new* Item LS-8 – Snow Delays. The Contractor will be compensated for time lost due to delays caused by snow removal and reduced productivity due to snow, cold, and wintry weather conditions.

Drawing Reference:

Not Applicable

Specification Reference:

Not Applicable

Contract Pay Items:

New Pay Item No. LS-8 – "Snow Delay"

Reason for Change:

This change order item was originally discussed and approved by the Department at the Pre-Construction Meeting with the Contractor. It was determined that a delay of several months by the Department would move the construction phase of the project into the winter months. This item compensates the contractor for the lost time and productivity due to the delay of the project by snow and cold weather.

J:\35618.0, VP\NAM_ChO.wpd 5/22/01 9:3, M

Cost:

The Department and the Contractor negotiated an average daily cost of \$2,500 for construction based on actual time and materials. The units for this pay item (81 hours total) were based on the daily reports of time lost for snow delays as determined by the construction oversight. The total cost increase for this item is \$2,500 per day x (81 hours / 8 hours per day) = \$25,312.50. Attachment A includes additional information and backup for this change order item.

Add new pay item LS-8 - "Snow Delays," Lump Sum cost \$25,312.50

Total INCREASE in Pay Item Cost = \$25,312.50

B. Description of Change:

This change to the original contract is to increase the unit cost for construction of the groundwater collection trench, existing pay item UC-3.

Drawing Reference:

Contract Drawing No. 4 – Cross Sections

Specification Reference:

Section 02221 - Groundwater Collection Trench

Contract Pay Items:

Pay Item UC-3 - "Groundwater Collection Trench"

Reason for Change:

The actual quantity for trench construction $(4,058 \text{ ft}^2)$ is only 78% of the original bid estimate quantity $(5,180 \text{ ft}^2)$. Additionally, the site conditions and the smaller quantity made in tallation of the trench more difficult than anticipated. Therefore, in accordance with the Contract Documents, Section VIII, Article 11, the unit price for trench construction was renegotiated.

Cost:

The Department $c_{1,1}$ the Contractor negotiated the unit price for construction of the trench based on an estimate of the actual time and materials required during construction. The unit cost for item UC-3 will be increased to \$20.50 per square

foot. The actual quantity for this pay item will be reduced to 4,058 ft². The total for this pay item will increase to 20.50/ft² x 4058 ft² = 83,189. The net increase to the contract price is then 83,189 - 69,049.40 = 14,139.60. Attachment B includes additional information and backup for this change order item.

Increase unit cost for Pay Item UC-3 - "Groundwater Collection Trench" to \$20.50 per square foot.

Decrease quantity for Pay Item UC-3 - "Groundwater Collection Trench" to 4,058 square feet.

Total **INCREASE** in the Pay Item cost = \$14,139.60

C. Description of Change:

This change to the original contract is to increase the unit cost for construction of the fence around the treatment system, existing pay item UC-5.

Drawing Reference:

Contract Drawing No. 3 – Proposed Site Construction

Specification Reference:

Section 01040, Article 1.6 - Fencing

Contract Pay Items:

Pay Item UC-5 – "Fencing"

New Pay Item No. UC-8 "Additional Fencing"

Reason for Change:

The location of the treatment building was noved due to site conditions. Based on the new location of the building, and at the request of the Department, a longer fence was installed than originally was intended. The actual length of fence (135 ft) is 211% of the original bid estimate quantity (64 ft). Therefore, the Contractor requested that the unit price of the fence be renegotiated in accordance with the Contract Documents, Section VIII, Article 11.

Cost:

The Department and the Contractor negotiated a unit price of \$44.06 as a reasonable unit cost for the additional length of fence over and above 115% of the original bid quantity.

Based on the renegotiated unit cost, and the additional quantity at the original unit price, the total change order amount was determined as follows:

Additional 15% at original price: 9.6 feet @ \$24.98 = \$239.81Additional length at revised unit price: 61.4 feet @ \$44.06 = \$2,705.84

Attachment C includes additional information and backup for this change order item.

Increase quantity for Pay Item UC-5 - "Fencing," to 73.6 feet.

Add new Pay Item UC-8 - "Additional Fencing," with a unit cost of \$44.06 per foot, and a total quantity of 61.4 feet.

Total INCREASE in Pay Item cost = \$2,945.65

D. Description of Change:

This change to the original contract is to increase the quantity for handling of the East Gate Area Soil, existing pay item UC-1.

Drawing Reference:

Contract Drawing No. 3 – Proposed Site Construction

Specification Reference:

Section 02220 – Earthwork Section 02230 – Contaminated Materials

Contract Pay Items:

Pay Item UC-1 - "East Gate Area - Soil Excavation, Handling, and Onside Backfill of Soil"

Reason for Change:

The actual quantity of soil excavated and handled at the east gate area (427.5 cubic yards) is significantly more than the original bid estimate of 234 cubic yards. However, the additional quantity of soil did not significantly increase the cost to the Contractor. Therefore, the Contractor and the Department agreed that the additional cost for this item would be limited to the additional 15% at the original unit cost in accordance with the Contract Documents, Section VIII, Article 11.

Cost:

The additional quantity for this item is 15% of the original quantity; 234 cubic yards $x \ 0.15 = 35.1$ cubic yards @ \$59.87 per cubic yard = \$2,101.44.

Increase quantity for Pay Item UC-1 - "East Gate Area - Soil Excavation, Handling, and Onsite Backfill of Soil" to 269.1 cubic yards.

Total **INCREASE** in the Pay Item cost = \$2,101.44

E. Description of Change:

This change to the original contract is to modify the quantity for construction of the gravity discharge line to reflect the actual number of units.

Drawing Reference:

Contract Drawing No. 3 – Proposed Site Construction Contract Drawing No. 4 – Cross-Sections Contract Drawing No. 5 – Miscellaneous Details Sheet 1 of 3

Specification Reference:

Section 02220 – Earthwork

Contract Pay Items:

Pay Item UC-4 - "Treated Groundwater Gravity Discharge"

Reason for Change:

The actual quantity for construction of the gravity discharge line (124 linear feet) is more than the original bid estimate of 110 linear feet. Therefore, in accordance with the Contract Documents, Section VIII, Article 11, the additional quantity for construction of the gravity discharge line will be paid at the original unit price.

Cost:

The additional quantity of discharge line constructed was 14 linear feet [124 - 110] If]. Therefore, the total increase in cost is 14 linear feet @ \$80.92 per linear foot = \$1,132.88.

Increase quantity for Pay Item UC-4 - "Treated Groundwater Gravity Discharge" to 124 linear feet.

Total **INCREASE** in Pay Item cost = \$1,132.88

F. Description of Change:

This change to the original contract is to modify the quantity of soil disposal to the actual quantity.

Drawing Reference:

Contract Drawing No. 3 - Proposed Site Construction

Specification Reference:

Section 02220 – Earthwork Section 02230 – Contaminated Materials

Contract Pay Items:

Pay Item UC-2 - "East Gate Area - Offsite Disposal of Contaminated Soil"

Reason for Change:

Analysis of the excavated soil indicated that the contaminant concentrations were low enough to allow for onsite disposal of the soil. Therefore, no offsite disposal of soil was required.

Cost:

Based on the original pay item costs: 100 ton @ 174.58 per ton = 17,458.

Delete Pay Item UC-2 - "East Gate Area - Offsite Disposal of Contaminated Soil"

Total **DECREASE** in Pay Item cost = \$17,458.00

G. Description of Change:

This change to the original contract is to modify the quantity for payment of Health and Safety to the actual quantity.

Drawing Reference:

Not Applicable

Specification Reference:

Section 01030 – Health and Safety

Contract Pay Items:

Pay Item UC-7 - "Health and Safety"

Reason for Change:

Based on the shortened duration of activities in the area of contaminated soil, it was not necessary to conduct health and safety activities for as long as originally estimated.

Cost:

The actual quantity for Health and Safety as determined by URS and the Contractor was 57 mandays The quantity of Health and Safety in the original contract was 104 mandays, for a difference of 47 mandays that were not used. At the contract price of \$59.95 per manday, the net decrease in the contract price is then 47 days @ \$59.95 per day = \$2 817.65.

Decrease quantity for Pay Item UC-7 - "Health and Safety" to 57 mandays.

Total **DECREASE** in the Pay Item cost = \$2,817.65

٠

H. Description of Change:

This change to the original contract is for *new* Item LS-9 – Additional Paving. The Contractor will be compensated for additional paving costs associated with the delay of the project until the winter months.

Drawing Reference:

Contract Drawing No. 5 - Miscellaneous Details, Sheet 1 of 3

Specification Reference:

Not Applicable

Contract Pay Items:

New Pay Item No. LS-9 - "Additional Paving"

Reason for Change:

A delay of the project for several months by the Department moved the construction phase of the project into the winter months. Because the equipment and materials required to repair the cut across Pitcher Street were not available during the winter months, the Contractor was required to repair the street temporarily, and then return to the site the following year to permanently repair the street. This item compensates the Contractor for the additional costs associated with repairing Pitcher Street.

Cost:

The Department and the Contractor negotiated a lump sum price of \$2,110 for additional expenses associated with paving. Attachment D includes additional information and backup for this change order item.

Add new pay item LS-9 - "Additional Paying," Lump Sum cost \$2,110.

Total INCREASE in Pay Item Cost = \$2,110.

~

II. CHANGE ORDER NO. 1 SUMMARY

٨

Α.	New Pay Item LS-8 – Snow Delay	\$ 25,312.50
Β.	Pay Item UC-3 - Groundwater Collection Trench	\$ 14,139.60
C.	Pay Item UC-5 – Fencing New Pay Item UC-8 - Additional Fencing	\$ 239.81 \$ 2,705.84
D.	Pay Item UC-1 – East Gate Area – Soil Excavation	\$ 2,101.44
Е.	Pay Item UC-4 – Treated Groundwater Gravity Discharge	\$ 1,132.88
F.	Pay Item UC-2 – East Gate Area – Offsite Disposal of Soil	-\$ 17,458.00
G.	Pay Item UC-7 – Health and Safety	-\$ 2,817.65
H.	New Pay Item LS-9 - Additional Paving	<u>\$_2,110.00</u>
TOTA	AL	\$27,466.42

III. CHANGE IN CONTRACT PRICE

Original Contract Price:	\$250,061.03
Contract Price after previous approved Change Orders:	\$250,061.03
Net Increase due to this Change Order:	\$ 27,466.42
New Contract Price including this Change Order:	\$277,527.45

IV. CHANGE IN CONTRACT TIME

	CALENDAR DAYS	COMPLETION <u>DATE</u>
Original Contract Time:	120	April 3, 2001
Contract Time after previous Change Order:	120	April 3, 2001
Net due to this Change Order:	150	
New Contract Time including this Change Order:	270	August 31, 2001

Contract No. D004178 Change Order No. 1 Page 10

It is understood and agreed that, unless expressly so stated above, the work herein authorized will not extend the time for the completion of the contract.

It is understood and agreed that this change order represents full and complete compensation for all work described herein.

This work is to be performed in accordance with the terms of the contract and original plans and specifications, except as herein modified. It is understood and agreed that this order shall be deemed executory only to the extent of moneys available and no liability shall be incurred by the State beyond the moneys available for the purpose.

CONTRACT NUMBER D004178

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, representatives of the Department and the Contractor have executed this Contract on the day and year written beneath their respective signatures. The signatory for the Department provides the following Agency Certification: "In addition to the acceptance of this contract, I also certify that original copies of this signature page will be attached to all other exact copies of this contract."

Recommended:

Title: Date: FOR ENGINEER By: Title: EE-SFP 10 2001 Date: APPROVED AS TO FORM AND SOTTORNEY GENERAL Approved By: torney General PETER FAVRET Date:

FOR DEPARTMENT By: Title: Date: FOR CONTRACTOR AIC . By: Title: Date: Approved: OCT 1 8 2001 By: State Comptroller Date: Thomas R. Yannone

STATE OF

COUNTY OF

Contract No. D004178 Change Order No. 1 Page 11

COUNTY OF)) S S :	
STATE OF)) SS:	
On the)	day	, 19 , before me personally came
(s)he resides	in	of	, New York: that (s)he is, the corporation described in and
which executed the said instrument is corporation and th	e abo such at (s)	ve instrument; that (s) corporate seal; that it v he signed his/her name	te knows the seal of said corporation; that the seal affixed to was so affixed by authority of the Board of Directors of said thereto by the same authority.

Notary Public

On the _____ day of _____, 19 , before me personally came , to me known, who duly sworn, stated that (s)he is a member of employee of _____, the firm described in and which executed the foregoing instrument, and (s)he acknowledged to me that (s)he subscribed his/her name thereto on behalf of said firm.

) SS:

Notary Public

STATE OF Comechant) COUNTY OF Faither) SS: On the $\frac{4^{Hh}}{E}$ day of $\frac{5e_{Henber}}{E}$, before me personally came <u>Bobert E. Mc Peak</u> TR, to me known π to me known π <u>Robert E. Mc Peak</u> JK, to me known, who duly sworn, stated that (s)he is a member of employee of <u>Scientech</u>, the firm described in and which executed the foregoing instrument, and (s)he acknowledged to me that (s)he subscribed his/her name thereto on behalf of said firm.

Jertin Pruneau Notary Public

My Commission Expires 7/31/05

C.'MyFiles/NAM ChO.wpd 5/22/01 9:35 AM

ATTACHMENT A

BACKUP AND COST JUSTIFICATION FOR SNOW DELAYS

3:\35618.01\WP\NAM_ChO.wpd 5/22/01 9:35 AM

r.

April 19, 2001

Mr. Robert E. McPeak, Jr., P.E., LEP SCIENTECH, Inc. 44 Shelter Rock Road Danbury, CT 06810

RE: Northeast Alloys and Metals Site Change Order for Snow Delays

Dear Mr. McPeak:

URS and the Department have reviewed your letters dated November 21, 2000 and March 26, 2001, regarding a change order for time lost due to snow delays. The concept of the change order for snow delays was discussed and approved by the Department both at the project pre-construction meeting, and in a letter dated December 5, 2000.

Table 1 (attached) shows the daily cost that originally was requested in your November 21 letter. Based on that letter, and our construction oversight, URS has revised the quantities to reflect a reasonable estimate of your actual use at the site during construction. Secondly, URS compared your unit costs to published construction costs and our past experience. Considering the items that were not included in the table (e.g., dewatering pumps), your revised cost proposal of \$2,500 per day appears to be fair and reasonable.

Based on the total project snow delay of 81 hours, the total amount of this change order item will be (81 hours / 8 hours per day)(\$2500 per day) = \$25,312.50. Based on URS' recommendation, the Department has approved this item, and it will be included on the change order currently being prepared by URS.

If you have any questions or require any additional information, please contact us.

Sincerely,

URS Corporation

4.00

Donald A. McCall, P.E. Project Engineer

cc: P. Ouderkirk, P.E., NYSDEC – Region 6 D. Rothman, P.E., URS File: 35618 (C-1)

URS Corporation 282 Delaware Avenue Buffalo, NY 14202-1805 Tel: 716.856.5636 Fax: 716.856.2545

	Scientech Requested ¹					Арр	roved				
			Dail	y Cost per	1	1	Tota	al Daily			
Item	Mon	thly Cost		Unit	Fee	Quantity		Cost	Quantity	Dail	y Cost
Trailers	\$	495	\$	16.50	10%	2	\$	36	2	\$	36
Portolet	\$	75	\$	2.50	10%	1	\$	3	1	\$	3
Potable Water	\$	75	\$	2.50	10%	1	\$	3	-	\$	-
Trash Pickup	\$	75	\$	2.50	10%	1	\$	3	-	\$	-
Water Truck	\$	4,000	\$	133.33	10%	1	\$	147	-	\$	-
Phone, fax, copier	\$	400	\$	13.33	10%	2	\$	29	1	\$	15
Trailer Electricity	\$	125	\$	4.17	10%	2	\$	9	2	\$	9
Snow Removal	\$	400	\$	13.33	10%	1	\$	15	-	\$	-
Travel Costs	\$	1,500	\$	50.00	10%	2	\$	110	2	\$	110
Excavator (incl. operation)	\$	6,450	\$	215.00	10%	1	\$	237	2	\$	473
Roller (incl. operation)	\$	5,940	\$	198.00	10%	1	\$	218	-	\$	-
Loader (incl. operation)	\$	6,150	\$	205.00	10%	1	\$	226	1	\$	226
Security	\$	4,110	\$	137.00	5%	1	\$	144	-	\$	-
Shoring	\$	16,760	\$	1,117.33	10%	1	\$	1,229	-	\$	-
per diem	\$	3,000	\$	100.00	10%	2	\$	220	2	\$	220
Site Super (Gauss)	\$	4,432	\$	221.60	15%	1	\$	255	1	\$	255
Site Technician (Glista)	\$	4,198	\$	209.90	15%	1	\$	241	1	\$	241
Operato's	\$	5,984	\$	299.20	15%	3	\$	1,032	-	; \$	-
Laborers	\$	3,581	\$	179.05	15%	2	\$	412	3	\$	618
Site Vehicles	\$	900	\$	45.00	10%	2	\$	99	2	\$	99
Tank	\$	1,050	\$	35.00	10%	1	\$	39	-	¦\$	-
Stripper	\$	2,000	\$	66.67	10%	1	\$	73	-	\$	
Project Management	\$	390	\$	19.50	15%	1	\$	22	1	\$	22
Health and Safety	\$	6,234	\$	207.80	10%	1	\$	229	-	\$	-
Total							\$	5,029		\$	2,327

Table 1 - Daily Rate for Snow DelaysNortheast Alloys and Metals Site

1. These unit costs were originally submitted in Scientech's November 21, 2000 letter. The total daily cost was revised down to \$2,500 per day in their March 26, 2001 letter.

J:\35618.04\Excel\[Snow costs.xls]snow

URS Corp		Page 1 of 2 JOB NO.: 05.35618.06
		Date: 04/18/01
PROJECT: SUBJECT:	Northeast Alloys and Metals Site Cost Estimate for Snow Delays	
Problem:	Justify the reasonableness of the change the Contractor (NES), for additional costs	order request submitted by associated with snow

delays. This is the cost to the Contractor for being at the site when unable to work due to the weather conditions and/or the increased cost of doing work in inclement weather.

References:

1.	R.S. Means Co., Inc., Site Work and Landscape Cost Data, 15th Annual
	Edition, 2001.
2.	URS-NYSDEC Standby Contract Rates

1. Determine the Items Associated with the Snow Delays:

The letter submitted by Scientech dated November 21, 2000 included an itemized list of all items and equipment at the site that would be associated with the cost delay. This list included monthly costs, daily costs, and quantities, for the determination of a daily unit cost of \$5,029 as shown on Table 1.

Based on URS' oversight of the actual construction activities, Scientech's table was revised to include only those items that actually were used for construction during the time of the snow delay. This also is shown on Table 1 under the "Approved" column. Based on these quantities, a total daily cost of \$2,327 per day was determined.

2. Determine Reasonableness of Unit Costs

For each of the items included in the snow delay, URS used Means and other sources to justify the individual unit costs. All of the costs

Page 2 of 2 JOB NO.: 05.35618.06 MADE BY: D. McCall Date: 04/18/01

PROJECT: Northeast Alloys and Metals Site SUBJECT: Cost Estimate for Snow Delays

submitted by Scientech were within 15% of the published costs, and therefore were determined to be fair and reasonable.

3. Daily Cost

Scientech's letter dated March 26, 2001 requested a revised daily cost of \$2,500 for snow delays.

Based on the \$2,327 cost determined by URS, and considering the items actually used at the site that were not included on the list (e.g., dewatering pumps), the proposed cost of \$2,500 per day was determined to be fair and reasonable.



March 26, 2001 ES-2385

Mr. Donald McCall URS Greiner Woodward Clyde 282 Delaware Avenue Buffalo, NY 14202-1805

RELL 57 ± 🗘 URS Grand Hubburgard Clyde JOB#__35618 D. Rothman *«* D. N'Call file (a-1)

Subject: Project Change Order Request No. 4 Former Northeast Metals and Alloys Utica, NY

Dear Don:

SCIENTECH is submitting the following information to request a Change Order for the subject project. This change is intended to provide additional compensation to cover difficult conditions and project schedule extensions caused by cold weather and snow encountered during the course of the work. These conditions were unexpected and encountered as a result of delays in obtaining project funding internally within the NYDEC.

Following our review of actual additional expenditures related to these cold weather delays, we are hereby requesting a change order amount of \$2500.00 for each day of delay. Our current records indicate that Mr. Wagner has authorized a total of 81 hours (or 10.125 days) of delay time. At the suggested rate, this would generate a total Change Order amount of \$25,312.50.

Please feel free to call me if you nave any questions or require any additional information.

Sincerely, SCIENTECH, Inc.

Robert E. McPeak, Jr., P.E., LEP Department Manager Environmental Services

cc P. Ouderkirk K. Cyr

g.\dept020\3729 - northeast alloys utica, ny\correspondence\es-2385 doc

24 Strater Rook Road Danbury, OT 05610 Telet(203) 755-5000





November 21, 2000 Refer to: ES-2280

Mr. Gerard Burke, P.E. New York State Department of Environmental Conservation Bureau of Construction Services – Room 267 50 Wolf Road Albany, NY 12233

Subject: Former Northeast Alloys and Metals Site, Utica, NY Contract Number D-004178 Change Order No. 1

Reference: Letter from R. McPeak to G. Burke dated November 2, 2000

Dear Mr. Burke:

As requested during our telephone conversations on November 7, and November 14. 2000, we are providing additional information regarding the costs discussed in the referenced letter for Change Order 1 on the subject project. It is our understanding that the material and labor costs related to providing and installing the heat trace tape and insulation will be paid on a cost plus fee basis as permitted in the contract documents.

We have also provided additional detail regarding daily site operational costs that will be incurred during delays caused by working in the colder winter months. The referenced letter estimated 3.75 days of delay time; we understand that the actual delay days will be determined at a later date. Once determined, the number of actual delay days would be multiplied by the daily total operational cost (\$5,029) to calculate the total delay cost.

Costs are detailed in the attached Microsoft Excel spread sheets. You will note that the sheets provide detailed material and installation costs for the heat trace and insulation as well as detail for the cost of daily delays. Total values are slightly lower than those in the referenced letter because the fee percentages have been adjusted and are now in compliance with those allowed in the contract documents.

Post-it" Fax Note 7671	Daw JIII Deages , 5
To Dan Rothman	From Serara Burke
CouDept	· NYSDEC
Phone #	Phone #(518)457-9285
Fax + (716) 856-254	Fax #

\\NES-NT\DEPT\DEPT020\3729 - Northeast Atnoys timea, NY 0.0rrespondence\ES-2280.doc

Please feel free to call me if you have any questions or require any additional information.

Sincerely,

SCIENTECH NES, NC. Reant CM / Suc

Robert E. McPeak, Jr., P.E., LEP Senior Department Manager Environmental Services

Enclosure

cc: P. Ouderkirk K. Cyr

Daily Delay Costs For Change Order 1 Northeast Alloys & Metals, Utica, NY								
ltem	Monthly cost	Daily cost	Fee %	Number	Days delay	Extended Cost		
Trailers	495	S16.50	10%	2	1	\$36		
Partalet	75	\$2.50	10%	1	1	\$3		
Potable water	75	\$2.50	10%	1	1	S3		
Trash pickup	75	\$2.50	10%	1	1	\$3		
Water truck	4000	\$133.33	10%	1	1	\$147		
Phone, fax, copier etc.	400	\$13.33	10%	2	1	\$29		
Trailer electricity	125	\$4.17	10%	2	1	S9		
Snow removal	400	S13.33	10%	1	1	\$15		
Travel costs	1500	\$50.00	10%	2	. 1	\$110		
Excavator (incl. operation)	6450	\$215.00	13%	1	1	\$237		
Roller (incl. operation)	5940	\$198.00	10%	1	1	\$218		
Loader (incl. operation)	6150	\$205.00	10%	1	1	\$226		
Security	4110	\$137.00	5%	1	1	\$144		
Shoring	16760	\$1,117.36	10%	1	1	\$1,229		
per diem	3000	\$100.00	10%	2	1	\$220		
Gauss (Site Super)	4432	\$221.60	15%	1	1	\$ 255		
Glista (Site Technician)	4198	\$209.92	15%	1	1	\$241		
operators	5984	\$299.20	15%	3	1	\$1,032		
laborers	3581	\$179.04	15%	2	1	\$412		
Site vehicles	900	\$45.00	10%	2	1	S99		
Tank	1050	\$35.00	10%	1	1	\$39		
Stripper	2000	\$66.67	10%	1	1	\$73		
Project Management	390	\$19.50	15%	1	1	S2 2		
Health and Safety	6234	\$207.80	10%	1	1	\$229		
Days Delay	1		Total	Delay cost per	day	\$5.029		

\$...**v**

Heat Trace Materials Costs for Change Order 1 Northeast Alloys and Metals, Utica, NY									
Item	unit	number	unit cost	fee	Total				
Heat trace	LF	600	\$5.00	10.00%	\$3,300.00				
Insulation	LF	400	\$1.00	10.00%	\$440.00				
Tank Heaters	EA	3	\$100.00	10.00%	\$330.00				
Stripper Heater Subcontractor partel (incl.	LF	50	\$5.00	10.00%	\$275.00				
and tank heaters)	LS	1	2000	5.00%	S2,100.00				
			Total		\$6,445.00				

Heat Trace Labor Costs for Change Order 1 Northeast Alloys and Metals, Utica, NY										
Personnei	Labor cost	Labor fee	Labor rate	hours	Total					
Glista	26.24	15.00%	\$30,15	32	\$965.63					
Laborer	22.38	15.00%	\$25 .74	32	5823.58					
				Total	\$1,789.22					

ATTACHMENT B

.

BACKUP AND COST JUSTIFICATION FOR GROUNDWATER COLLECTION TRENCH

J:\35618.01\WP\NAM_ChO.wpd 5/22/01 9:35 AM April 23, 2001

Mr. Robert E. McPeak, Jr., P.E., LEP SCIENTECH, Inc. 44 Shelter Rock Road Danbury, CT 06810

RE: Northeast Alloys and Metals Site Change Order for Groundwater Collection Trench

Dear Mr. McPeak:

URS and the Department have reviewed your letter dated April 5, 2001 regarding a change order for construction of the groundwater collection trench. Because the actual quantity of trench construction (4058 ft^2) is only 78% of the original bid estimate (5180 ft²), the unit cost can be re-negotiated in accordance with the contract documents.

URS reviewed the costs submitted in your request, and compared these costs to both published construction costs and our past experience. Based on our review, the change order request appears to be fair and reasonable. The unit price for construction of the trench will be increased from \$13.33 to \$20.50 per square foot. This change order will result in a \$14,140 increase to the contract cost.

Based on URS' recommendation, the Department has approved this item, and it will be included on the change order currently being prepared by URS.

If you have any questions or require any additional information, please contact us.

Sincerely,

URS Corporation

0 7 60

Donald A. McCall, P.E. Project Engineer

cc: P. Ouderkirk, P.E., NYSDEC – Region 6 D. Rothman, P.E., URS File: 35618 (C-1)

URS Corporation 282 Delaware Avenue Buffalo, NY 14202-1805 Tel: 716.856.5636 Fax: 716.356.2545

URS Corp	Page 1 of 2
	JOB NO.: 05.35618.06
	MADE BY: D. McCall
	Date: 04/18/01
PROJECT	Northeast Alloys and Metals Site
SUBJECT:	Cost Estimate for Additional Trench Installation Cost
Problem:	Justify the reasonableness of the change order request submitted by the Contractor (NES), for additional costs associated with installation of the groundwater collection trench.
References:	
1.	R.S. Means Co., Inc., Site Work and Landscape Cost Data, 15th Annual

1. Determine the Items Associated with the Trench Installation:

URS-NYSDEC Standby Contract Rates

The !atter submitted by Scientech dated April 5, 2001 requested a change order amount of \$14,140 to cover 6 additional days of work. Based on our oversight of the construction activities, URS agreed that the 6 additional days was reasonable.

To justify the cost requested, URS went back to the table that Scientech submitted for the snow delay. This table included an itemized list of all items and equipment at the site that would be associated with the cost delay. Based on the equipment used in the construction of the trench, URS determined a daily rate of \$3,350 for trench construction. These costs are shown on Table 1. Items included in the trench construction are indicated under the "Approved" column.

2. Determine Reasonableness of Unit Costs

Edition, 2001.

2

For each of the items included in the trench construction cost, URS used Means and other sources to justify the individual unit costs. All of the costs submitted by Scientech were within 15% of the published costs, and therefore were determined to be fair and reasonable.

Page 2 of 2 JOB NO.: 05.35618.06 MADE BY: D. McCall Date: 04/18/01

PROJECT:Northeast Alloys and Metals SiteSUBJECT:Cost Estimate for Additional Trench Installation Cost

3. Daily Cost

Scientech's letter dated March 26, 2001 requested a \$14,140 cost increase.

Based upon the daily rate of \$3,350 as determined above, URS determined a cost of $($3,350 \times 6 \text{ days}) = $20,100$. Therefore, the request submitted by Scientech appears to be fair and reasonable.

	Scientech Requested								Approved			
			Di	aily Cost	•		Tot	al Daily				
Item	Mon	thly Cost	P	er Unit	Fee	Quantity		Cost	Quantity	Dail	y Cost	
Trailers	\$	495	\$	16.50	10%	2	\$	36	2	\$	36	
Portolet	\$	75	\$	2.50	10%	1	\$	3	1	\$	3	
Potable Water	\$	75	\$	2.50	10%	1	\$	3		\$		
Trash Pickup	\$	75	\$	2.50	10%	1	\$	3		\$		
Water Truck	\$	4,000	\$	133.33	10%	1	\$	147	-	\$		
Phone, fax, copier	\$	400	\$	13.33	10%	2	\$	29		\$		
Trailer Electricity	\$	125	\$	4.17	10%	2	\$			\$		
Snow Removal	\$	400	\$	13.33	10%	1	\$	15		\$	-	
Travel Costs	\$	1,500	\$	50.00	10%	2	\$	110		\$		
Excavator (incl. operation)	\$	6,450	\$	215.00	10%	1	\$	237	2	\$	473	
Roller grad, operation)	\$	5,940	\$	198.00	10%	1	\$	218		\$		
Loade: (incl. operation)	\$	6,150	\$	205.00	10%	1	\$	226	1	\$	226	
Security	\$	4,110	\$	137.00	5%	1	\$	144		\$		
Shoring	\$	16,760	\$	1,117.33	10%	1	\$	1.229	1	\$	1.229	
per diem	\$	3,000	\$	100.00	10%	2	\$	220	2	\$	220	
Site Super (Gauss)	\$	4,432	\$	221.60	15%	1	\$	255		\$	255	
Site Technician (Glista)	\$	4,198	\$	209.90	15%	i	\$	241	1	- "	200	
Operators	\$	5,984	\$	299.20	15%	3	\$	1.032		\$		
Laborers	\$	3,581	\$	179.05	15%	2	\$	412	3	\$	618	
Site Vehicles	\$	900	\$	45.00	10%	2	\$	99	1	\$		
Tank	\$	1,050	\$	35.00	10%	1		39		\$		
Stripper	\$	2,000	\$	66.67	10%			73		÷		
Project Management	\$	390	\$	19.50	15%		\$			- 4		
Health and Safety	\$	6,234	\$	207.80	10%	<u>1</u>	\$	229		\$		
					<u> </u>	<u></u>					-	
Total							\$	5,029		\$	3,350	

Table 1 - Daily Rate for Trench Construction Northeast Alloys and Metals Site

J:\35618.04\Excel\[Snow costs.xls]trench



April 5, 2001 ES-2393

Mr. Donald McCall URS Greiner Woodward Clyde 282 Delaware Avenue Buffalo, NY 14202-1805

URS Grand Interferend Clyde hera i si∕oj} 35618 .103#

623

D. Rothmon

Subject:	Project Change Order Request	D. MCall
	Utica, NY	+le(c-1)
Reference:	Letter to D. McCall from R. McPeak dated March 15, 2001 (ES-2373)	

Dear Don:

SCIENTECH is submitting the following details in response to your requests for more information regarding the Change Order for trenching contract item (UC-3).

The referenced letter indicated that significant delays were encountered during installation of the system trench. Our original schedule included approximately 14 business days to install the trench and the collection system. The actual installation began on January 17, and ended on about February 14 bringing the duration of the installation work to a total of 20 business days. SCIENTECH incurred the following additional expenses:

Labor	\$6,260.00 (2 Operators, 1 Superintendent, 2 Laborers)
Equipment Rental	\$6,830.00 (1 Truck, 2 Excavators, Trenching System, Loader)
Travel/Perdiem	\$ 750.00 (2 men, 6 days)
Utilities	<u>\$_300.00</u> (Trailers, Power, Telephone, Portolet, etc.)
	\$14,140.00

This would bring the total additional amount to \$14,140.00. When added to the original Line Item UC-3 amount of \$69,049.40, this brings the new total of the line item to \$83,189.40. You will note that my total price of \$82,783.20 for Item UC-3 was incorrect in the Referenced letter. This new total agrees correctly with the revised unit price of \$20.50 per square foot (i.e., \$83,189.40/4058 sf = \$20.50/sf).

We anticipate this will provide the additional detail needed to proceed with the processing of the project Change Order. If you have any questions or need any additional information, please feel free to call me at 203-796-5229.

Sincerely, SCIENTECH, Inc.

Robert E. McPeak, Jr., P.E., LEP Department Manager Environmental Services

cc P. Ouderkirk K. Cyr

g.\dept020\3729 - northeast alloys utica, ny\correspondence\es-2393.doc



March 15, 2001 ES-2373

Mr. Donald McCall URS Greiner Woodward Clyde 282 Delaware Avenue Buffalo, NY 14202-1805

> Project Change Order Request Former Northeast Metals and Alloys Utica, NY

ibudi yard Olyda JCB# 35618 D. Rothman 4 D. MCall file (c-1)

Dear Don:

Subject:

Based on our conversations during the weekly project status meeting held on February 21, 2001 SCIENTECH is submitting the following information to request a Change Order for the subject project based on field changes and unforeseen field conditions which extended the project schedule and created additional project expense.

First, we are requesting a revised unit price for the trench work as permitted in the contract documents in Section VIII, Article 11 paragraph 11.2.5. The final quantity of trenching installed varied more than 15% from the original estimated quantity. The original bid estimate included 5,180 SF of trench and the actual final quantity was 4,058 SF. This reduction in actual quantities created a higher final unit price for the work item by reducing the advantages realized with economy of scale.

In addition, significant delays were encountered while installing the trench resulting from the need to deal with a variety of waste materials in the area of the excavation as well as the presence of groundwater at a higher elevation and in much greater quantities than expected (based on information provided in the Limited Site Data potion of the bid documents). We encountered significant difficulty removing this water from the excavation and in many cases delayed work activities while water was removed from the work trench. These wet conditions also made it extremely difficult to work with the ICON portable trench shoring system, a situation which also extended the completion time.

Based on the reduction in quantity and the described difficulties, we request a revision of the item unit price from \$13.33 per SF as bid to a final unit price of \$20.50 per SF. This unit price revision would adjust the total price of Item UC-3 to \$82,783.20.

Please consider this correspondence as our initial request for a project Change Order. If you have any questions or need any additional information please feel free to call me at 203-796-5229.

Sincerely, SCIENTECH, Inc.

Row Parfield

Robert E. McPeak, Jr., P.E., LEP Department Manager Environmental Services

cc P. Ouderkirk K. Cyr

g/idept020/3729 - northeast alloys utica, ny/correspondence/es-2373 doc

ATTACHMENT C

BACKUP AND COST JUSTIFICATION FOR ADDITIONAL FENCING

J:\35618.01\WP\NAM_ChO.wpd 5/22/01 9:35 AM


April 23, 2001

Mr. Robert E. McPeak, Jr., P.E., LEP SCIENTECH, Inc. 44 Shelter Rock Road Danbury, CT 06810

RE: Northeast Alloys and Metals Site Change Order for Fencing

Dear Mr. McPeak:

URS and the Department have reviewed your letter dated April 5, 2001 regarding a change order for the additional length of fence installation. While we agree that the additional quantity of fence justifies a change order and a re-evaluation of the unit price, we cannot approve the entire amount of \$4,269.59 as requested in your letter.

As per the Contract Documents, Section VIII. Articles 11.2.5 and 11.2.6, the renegotiated unit price only applies to the quantity above 115% of the originally estimated quantity. Therefore, the original 64 feet of fence, plus 9.6 feet (15%), must be paid at the original bid price of \$24.98 per linear foot (/LF). The renegotiated price only applies to the remaining 61.4 feet of fence.

Based on the quote submitted with your letter, the unit price for the fence would be (\$5,665 / 135 feet) = \$41.96/LF. Based on published construction costs, the price for this type of fence would be approximately \$20/LF. However, based on our past experience, for the relatively small quantity of tence and the fact that the work is located at a hazardous waste site, the price of \$41.96/LF appears to be fair and reasonable.

In regards to the additional time requested for supervision and coordination of the work, we do not believe the additional quantity significantly affects the time required by your personnel.

Based on these modifications, we are willing to approve a total change order amount of \$2,944.97 for this item as follows:

- Additional length at original price: 9.6 feet @ \$24.98/LF = \$239.81
- Additional length at new unit price: 61.4 feet @ \$41.96/LF = \$2,576.34
- Allowable 5% fee on subcontractors: \$2,576.34 @ 5% = \$128.82

With your concurrence, the Department will approve this item, and it will be included on the change order currently being prepared by URS.

Mr. Robert E. McPeak, Jr., P.E., LEP April 23, 2001 Page Two

If you have any questions or require any additional information, please contact us.

Sincerely,

URS

URS Corporation

Aull 0_0

Donald A. McCall, P.E. Project Engineer

cc: P. Ouderkirk, P.E., NYSDEC – Region 6 D. Rothman, P.E., URS File: 35618 (C-1)

URS Corp	Page 1 of 3
•	JOB NO.: 05.35618.06
	MADE BY: D. McCall
	Date: 04/20/01
PROJECT	Northeast Alloys and Metals Site
SUBJECT:	Cost Estimate for Fence Installation
Problem:	To back up the change order costs submitted by the Contractor (NES), estimate the cost for installation of a longer fence around the treatment facilities. Although the actual amount of the change order will be limited by the contract requirements, this estimate will show that the quote submitted by NES is reasonable.
Deference	

References:

1.	New York State Department of Labor - Prevailing Wage Rate Schedule
2.	R.S. Means Co., Inc., <i>Environmental Remediation Cost Data – Unit Price</i> , 7 th Annual Edition, 2001.

Scope of Work Summary:

Install 135 linear feet of galvanized steel, chain link fence, including a mangate, and a double-swing gate.

1. Mobilization

Assume a lump sum total of \$500 to mobilize all personnel and required equipment to the site.

2. Fence Installation (Labor)

Per Means (Ref 2: Item 18-04-0107, the cost for installation of a chain-link fence is \$20.95 per linear foot. The crew used in Means, ULABC, consists of 3 semi-skilled laborers @ \$22.85 per hour and $\frac{1}{2}$ of a foreman @ \$24.85 per hour. However, looking at the NYS Department of Lobor Wage Rates for Ondeida County (Ref. 1), the

PROJECT: Northeast Alloys and Metals Site SUBJECT: Cost Estimate for Fence Installation

rate for fence installation is significantly higher at \$30.59 per hour. For this small job, assume only three laborers, for one 8 hour day of work:

3 laborers @ \$30.59 per hour x 8 hours = <u>\$734.16</u>

3. Fence Materials

Per Means Item 18-04-0107, material costs for installation of the fence are \$19.66 per linear foot.

135 LF @ \$19.66 per linear foot = <u>\$2,654.10</u>

4. Gates

Per Means 18-04-0117, the material cost for installation of a 6-foot high swing gate is \$558.98.

Assume that the single personnel gate is approximately $\frac{1}{2}$ the cost of the swing gate = 0.5 x \$558.98 = \$279.49.

Subtotal for gates = \$559 + 279 = <u>\$838</u>.

5. Miscellaneous Equipment and Materials

This item includes all costs for the fence contractor's trucks, equipment and handtools, concrete for setting posts miscellaneous other items, say \$500.

URS Corp

Page 3 of 3 JOB NO.: 05.35618.06 MADE BY: D. McCall Date: 04/20/01

PROJECT: Northeast Alloys and Metals Site SUBJECT: Cost Estimate for Fence Installation

6. Total

Mobilization	\$500
Labor	\$ 734
Materials	\$2,654
Gates	\$838
<u>Miscellaneous</u>	\$500
Total	\$5,226.

The bid submitted by NES showed a total cost of \$5,665 for installation of the fence.

The estimate by URS is only 8% less that the quote, therefore the price appears to be fair and reasonable.



April 5, 2001 ES-2384

Mr. Donald McCall URS Greiner Woodward Clyde 282 Delaware Avenue Buffalo, NY 14202-1805

> Project Change Order Request No. 3 Former Northeast Metals and Alloys Utica, NY

URS George in whether the Divde 35618 103# D. Rothman CC:

D. M.Call file (c-1)

Dear Don:

Subject:

Based on our recent discussions, SCIENTECH is submitting the following information to request a Change Order for the subject project based on field changes regarding the location and length of the fencing surrounding the treatment system at the site.

The original bid included a quantity of 64 linear feet of chain link fencing to surround the treatment system. Recent measurement have indicated that the area to be enclosed is much larger than anticipated and onsite DEC representatives are now requesting that we install a configuration which includes approximately 135 linear feet of fencing.

In order to justify the additional costs associated with this change, we have enclosed a quote from the fence installer that provided the lowest price for the original 64-foot long configuration. You will note that the price for the requested configuration (shown as #2 enclosure on the quote) is \$5,665.00, which is \$4,066.28 higher than the original bid amount of \$1,598.72. We are requesting a Change Order amount of \$4,269.59 which will cover this additional cost of \$4,066.28 plus the allowable 5% fee.

In addition, we anticipate that we will spend an additional 8 hours of labor to supervise and coordinate the installation. Additional time will be required due to the additional size and the scheduling difficulties that will be encountered now that we have completed the system installation and are no longer present at the site on a full time basis. These additional labor charges, including cost plus the allowable fee of 15% will be \$239.20, bringing the total value of the Change Order to \$4,471.99.

Please consider this correspondence as our request for a project Change Order. If you have any questions or need any additional information, please feel free to call me at 203-796-5229.

Sincerely, SCIENTECH, Inc.

Robert E. McPeak, Jr., P.E., LEP Department Manager Environmental Services

enclosure

cc P. Ouderkirk K. Cyr

FAX COVER SHEET from Mid York Fence

DATE: 3-19-01

TIME:

TO: Bob Me Prach

FAX #: 203 792 3168

FROM: See

RE: Revised quotations Northeast Collar Fun Fralament

COMMENTS: #1-25 x +5 x 25 enclosure with bookable have installed on manhale constrained of exclosure #4324.00 #2-35' x +8' x 52' enclosure 5665.00 Work to be competed as summer as site conditions allow specifications as por original quotation. Please achieve THALK You.

Number of pages (including cover sheet): _/

If you have any problems receiving the transmission of this fax, please call (315) 736-9302 Thank You!!!

ATTACHMENT D

BACKUP AND COST JUSTIFICATION FOR ADDITIONAL PAVING

J:\35618.01\WP\NAM_ChO.wpd 5/22/01 9:35 AM June 18, 2001

Mr. Robert E. McPeak, Jr., P.E., LEP SCIENTECH, Inc. 44 Shelter Rock Road Danbury, CT 06810

RE: Northeast Alloys and Metals Site Change Order for Paving Costs

Dear Mr. McPeak:

URS and the Department have reviewed your letter dated May 24, 2001, regarding a change order for additional costs associated with paving Pitcher Street. The basis of this change order is that the delay of the project until the winter months required that the patching of the street be conducted in two separate stages.

URS reviewed the costs submitted in your letter, and compared these costs to published construction costs and our experience. Your proposed lump sum change order of \$2,110 appears to be fair and reasonable.

Based on URS' recommendation, the Department has approved this item, and it will be included on the change order being prepared by URS.

If you have any questions or require any additional information, please contact us.

Sincerely,

URS Corporation

Donald A. McCall, P.E. Project Engineer

cc: P. Ouderkirk, NYSDEC - Region 6
G. Burke, NYSDEC - Albany
D. Rothman, URS
File: 35618 (C-1)

URS Cc. pration 282 Delaware Avenue Buffalo N: 14202-1805 (L. / 16.856.5636 Fax: 716.856.2545

PROJECT: Northeast Alloys and Metals Site SUBJECT: Cost Estimate for Paving Repair

Problem: Determine the reasonableness of the change order request submitted by the Contractor (NES), for additional costs associated with pavement restoration. Because the work was done during the winter months, it was necessary to temporarily maintain the street until it could be restored in the springtime.

References:

1.	R.S. Means Co., Inc., Heavy Construction Cost Data, 15th Annual
	Edition, 2001.
2.	URS-NYSDEC Standby Contract Rates

Scope of Work Summary:

Restore the pavement on Pitcher Street that was cut for the installation of the discharge line to the local sewer. The pavement cut has dimensions of $3' \times 30'$ or 90 SF (10 SY).

NOTE: this cost estimate follows the general outline of the bid from North Paving Company, submitted by NES with their letter dated May 24, 2001.

1. Estimate the cost for the actual, two-phase restoration performed by NES:

Temporary Patch:

- A. Remove Subbase to a depth of 10-inches: say \$200.
- B. Install 7-inches of reinforced concrete: Per Means (02750-100-0030), th. cost for 7-inch concrete is \$24.50 per SY.
 For steel reinforcement (Means 02750-100-0610) add \$6.80

URS Corp

Page 2 of 4 JOB NO.: 05.35618.06 MADE BY: D. McCall Date: 06/01/01

PROJECT: Northeast Alloys and Metals Site SUBJECT: Cost Estimate for Paving Repair

per SY, for a total unit cost of (\$24.50 + \$6.80) = \$31.30 per SY. 10 SY @ \$31.30 per SY = \$313.

- C. Install plastic and 3-inch temporary cap: Per Means 02740-400-0100, assume a cost of \$6.75 per SY. 10 SY @ \$6.75 per SY = \$67.50, say \$70.
- D. Total Temp Patch: \$200 + \$313 + \$70 = \$583.

Springtime Replacement:

- A. Remove Temporary Cap: say \$200.
- B. Tack coat: Per Means (02785-500-3280), the cost for tackcoat is \$1.19 per SY. 10 SY @ \$1.19 = \$11.90, say \$15.
- C. Install 2-inch Binder: Per Means (02740-300-0120), the cost for binder course = \$3.73 per SY. 10 SY @ \$3.73 = \$37.30, say \$40.
- D. Install 1-inch Wear Course: Per Means (02470-300-0300), the cost is \$2,25. 10 SY @ \$2.25 = \$22.50, say \$25.
- E. Total Replacement: \$200 + \$40 + \$25 = \$265.

Subtotal cost = \$583 + \$265 = \$848. However, this cost is based on Means, which assumes large quantities of pavement (i.e., roadways and parking lots). Because the work involved is a very small quantity, because two mobilizations will be required, and because several people will be required for traffic control, etc. the costs estimated by Means are not realistic. Per Means 02720-200-8900, 50% is added to costs just for small quantities. To account for traffic control, assume an additional 50%. Another 50% each is also included to account for the two

Page 3 of 4 JOB NO.: 05.35618.06 MADE BY: D. McCall Date: 06/01/01

PROJECT: Northeast Alloys and Metals Site SUBJECT: Cost Estimate for Paving Repair

mobilizations and the increased costs for winter work, for a total markup of 200%. Total cost = \$848 x 3 = **\$2,544**.

- 2. Estimate the cost for normal pavement restoration:
 - A. Sawcut neat edges: Per Means (02225-760-0010) the cost for sawcutting is \$1.17 per LF. 60 LF of cutting @ \$1.17 = \$70.
 - B. Remove subbase to a depth of 9-inches: say \$200.
 - C. Install 7-inches reinforced concrete: as per Item #1 = \$313.
 - D. Tack Coat: as per Item #1 = \$15.
 - E. Install 2-inch Wear Course: Per Means (02740-300-0380) the cost = \$4.39 per SY. 10 SY @ \$4.39 = \$43.90 say \$45.
 - F. Subotal Cost: \$70 + \$200 + \$313 + \$15 + \$45 = \$643.

Subtotal cost = \$643. As outlined above, 50% is added to costs just for small quantities. To account for traffic control, assume an additional 50%. Another 50% is included to account for the two mobilizations. The total markup for this work is assumed to be 150%. Total cost = $$643 \times 2.5 = $1,608$.

3. Cost Difference:

The difference in cost between the two methods = \$2,544 - \$1608 = \$936. The cost proposed by NES = \$1,010.

URS Corp

Page 4 of 4 JOB NO.: 05.35618.06 MADE BY: D. McCall Date: 06/01/01

PROJECT: Northeast Alloys and Metals Site SUBJECT: Cost Estimate for Paving Repair

This estimated cost is within 15% of the cost proposed by NES, which therefore is assumed to be fair and reasonable.

4. Additional Scientech Costs

Labor Costs:

- A. Glista the hours submitted appear to be reasonable for the scope of work. Based on his responsibility, Joe would be a NYSDEC Level 4 or 5 person. URS rates (Ref. 2) for Level 4-5 are \$22.70 to \$27.16. The submitted rate of \$25 per hour is therefore fair and reasonable.
- B. McPeak the hours submitted appear to be reasonable for the scope of work. Based on being a project manager, Bob would equate to a NYSDEC Level 8 person. Based on URS rates (Ref. 2), a Level 8 person is \$48.73 per hour. The submitted rate of \$45 per hour is therefore fair and reasonable.

Travel / Per Diem:

Considering the distance and cost of travel, the costs submitted appear to be fair and reasonable.



May 24, 2001 ES-2435

Mr. Donald McCall URS Greiner Woodward Clyde 282 Delaware Avenue Buffalo, NY 14202-1805

RECEIVED URS Greiner Woodward Clyde 1. <u>1</u>. 1 JOB#_3561B cc: D. M'Call file c-1

Subject: Project Change Order Request No. 6 Former Northeast Metals and Alloys Utica, NY

Reference: Letter from R. McPeak to G. Burke dated November 2, 2000

Dear Don:

SCIENTECH is submitting the following information to request a Change Order for the subject project. This change is intended to provide additional compensation to cover changes in the work scope as specified below.

As indicated in the referenced letter, the unforeseen delays in generating the project contract forced us to perform a partial pavement repair in December of 2000 because when the road was ready for repaving, local asphalt plants were no longer open. The paving contractor must now return in the Spring to complete the job. This two-phased approach will cost \$2,110 more than our original plan to perform the entire operation in the fall of 2000 due to the need for the contractor and SCIENTECH personnel to return to the site in the Spring. As a result, we are requesting a change order in the amount of \$2,110 to cover these additional expenses. Information regarding pricing detail is included.

Please feel free to call me if you have any questions or require any additional information.

Sincerely, SCIENTECH, Jac.

Robert E. McPeak, Jr., P.E., LEP Department Manager Envirogmental Services

cc: F. Ouderkirk K. Cyr

Cost Detail for Paving Change Order Northeast Metals and Alloys, Utica, NY

Cost Item	Cost	Fee	Total
Contractor differential*	\$1,010	\$50.5	\$1,060.5
SCIENTECH labor			
Glista (14 hours @ 25)	350	52.5	402.5
McPeak (6 hours @ 45)	180	27	. 207
Travel /perdiem			
travel	300	30	330
perdiem	100	10	110
Totals	\$1,940	\$170	\$2,110

*Proposal enclosed



NORTH PAVING CO., INC.

PAVENG CONTRACTORS 643 Ebzabeth Street + Utics, Now Kirk (1030) Telephone 315-727-4219 + FAX 315 724-4970

FRANK J. FEMIA, President

October 31, 2000

Dear Mr. McPeak:

We are pleased to quote on the restoration of pavement for Pitcher Street. The following is our quote for the 3×30 patch or 90 sq.ft. only.

Late Fall: (No Frost in Ground)

- 1. Remove subbase and cold provia to a depth of 10".
- 2. Install 7" of reinforced concrete.
- 3. Install plastic and 3" temporary cap.

Spring:

- 4. Remove 3" temporary cap.
- 5. Tack-coat with AC-20.
- 6. Install 2" Binder.
- 7. Install 1" Top.

Price:

\$2,900.00

Spring restoration only:

If you decide to maintain the cut with cold provia for the winter, the following quote is applicable:

- 1. Cut neat edges.
- 2. Excavate cold provia and subbase to a depth of 9".
- 3. Install 7" reinforced concrete.
- 4. Took and with AC-20
- 5. install 2" of Type 7 Top. Price: \$1,890.00

Thank you, Frank Femia, Press Frank N. Femia, President North Paving Company, Inc.

APPENDIX D

CERTIFICATES OF COMPLETION

.

1.197

2



September 5, 2001 ES-2533

Mr. Peter Ouderkirk, P.E. Project Manager NYSDEC – Region 6 317 Washington Street Watertown, NY 13601 BECHNYED URS Greater & herdetern Jlyde Stor 1 (2.01 JOB#<u>35618 (c-1)</u> cc: D. R. thmon D. N°C II

file

Subject: Former Northeast Alloys and Metals Site, Utica, NY Substantial Completion

Dear Mr. Ouderkirk:

As per our discussion on September 4, 2001, the subject project was substantially complete as of August 24, 2001 when Central Paving completed the final element of the project by installing the asphalt repair on Pitcher Street.

Please feel free to call me if you have any questions or need additional information in order to proceed with project closeout and final invoicing.

Sincerely,

SCIENTECH, INC. fale

Robert E. McPeak, Jr., P.E., LEP Senior Department Manager Environmental Services

cc

G. Burke D. McCall K. Cyr

New York State Department of Environmental Conservation

Division of Environmental Remediation, Region 6 Dulles State Office Building, 317 Washington Street, Watertown, New York 13601-3787 Phone: (315) 785-2513 • FAX: (315) 785-2422 Website: www.dec.state.ny.us



cc: D. Rothman

D. M'Call

file



September 10, 2001

Mr. Robert E. McPeak, Jr., SCIENTECH 44 Shelter Rock Road Danbury, CT 06810

RE: NORTHEAST ALLOYS AND METALS, SITE NO. 6-33-045 SUBSTANTIAL COMPLETION CONTRACT NO. D-004178

Dear Mr. McPeak:

The New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (DEC) has received your letter dated September 5, 2001 stating that the referenced project had reached substantial completion on August 24, 2001. Based on the Department's inspection of the site and a review of the work plans, the DEC concurs that a substantial completion has been achieved.

Please proceed with final payment requests and documentation in order to conclude this contract. If you have any questions, please feel free to contact me or Donald McCall of URS.

Sincerely,

Peter S. Ouderkirk, P.E. Project Manager

cc: Darrell M. Sweredoski Jack Marsch Gerard Burke Greg Rys Donald McCall - URS

				18et	EPA S	AMPLE NO.
Lab Name:	H2M LA	BS, INC	Contract:			NW-1
Lab Code:	10478	Case No.:		SD	G No.:	URS011
Matrix: (soil/	Nator)		lah Sa	mole ID: (101275	<u>.</u>
Sample wt/vo	pl:	<u>5.0</u> (g/ml) <u>G</u>	Lab Fil	elD: <u>f</u>	5152.D)
Level: (low/r	ned)	LOW	Dat o R	eceived: ()1/11/01	ļ
% Moisture:	not dec.	11	Date A	nalvzed: 0)1/11/01	
GC Column	RTX5()2 ID: 0.53 (mm)	Dilution	∙ Factor: 1	0	- <u></u>
	<u> </u>		D.U.A.	-		
Soil Extract \	/olume:	(uL)	Soil Ali	quot Volum	e:	(ul
		0				
CARNO	`					0
			արու ու ուց/ուց)	00/00		Q.
74-87-	.3	Chloromethane		1	11	U
74-83-	.9	Bromomethane			11	
75-01-	4	Vinvl Chloride		·	11	
75-00-	3	Chloroethane		+	11	
75-09-	2	Methylene Chloride			1.2	
67-64-	1	Acatone			3	
75-15-	0	Carbon Disulfide			11	Ū
75-35-	4	1.1-Dichloroethene	<u>,</u>		11	$-\tilde{u}$
75-34-	-4	1.1-Dichloroethane			11	U
540-59]-0	1,2-Dichloroethene(to	otal)		11	U
78-93-	3	2-Butanone			11	U
67-66-	3	Chloroform			11	U
107-06	3-2	1,2-Dichloroethane		*****	11	U
71-55-	6	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		11	U
56-23-	5	Carbon Tetrachloride			11	U
75-27-	4	Bromodichloromethar	ne		11	U
78-87-	5	1,2-Dichloropropane			11	U
10061	<u>-01-5</u>	cis-1,3-Dichloroprope	ne		11	U
79-01-	6	Trichloroethene		<u>240</u>	-230	<u>-</u>
71-43-	2	Benzene	······································		11	U
124-48	3-1	Dibromochloromethar	<u>1e</u>			<u> </u>
10061-	-02-6	trans-1,3-Dichloropro	pene		<u>11</u>	U
79-00-	5	1,1,2-Trichloroethane				
75-25-	2	Bromoform			<u>11</u>	U
108-10)-1	4-Methyl-2-Pentanone	<u>) </u>		11	U
591-78	<u>4-6</u>	2-Hexanone				
12/-18	5-4				11	<u> </u>
19-34-	<u></u>	Talueza	але		11	⊢
100-80	<u>5-3</u>				140	·
100-90	/-/				11	<u> </u>
100-41) <u> </u>	Starope				
1200 2						<u> </u>
_1530-2	<u>/</u>	Xyiene(total)			11	U

lialo'

Pretiminary Results

Dandlan OC Davian

1....

_2/00__

			1A			_	EPA SAN) ,
	V	OLATILE C	RGANICS	ANALYSIS DA	ATA SHE	ET	SI	N-1	
Lab Name:	H2M LA	BŚ, INC		Contra	ct:				<u>_</u>
Lab Code.	10478	Cas	e No.;	SAS	No.:	SC)G No.: <u>U</u>	IRS011	
Matrix: (soil/w	/ater)	SOIL			Lab Sam	ple ID:	0101278-0)03A	
		<u> </u>	(alm) C		l oh Eile	יםו וחי	E5153 D		
Sample w/vo	41	5.0	(g/m) <u>G</u> _						
Level: (low/m	ned)	LOW			Date Re	ceived:	01/11/01		
% Moisture: n	not dec.	7			Date An	alyzed:	01/11/01		
GC Columb:	RTX50	2, ID: 0.5	i3 (mm)		Dilution	Factor:	1.0		
Call Extract)	lolumo:		(111.)		Soil Alia	uot Volur	11 0 .		uL)
Soll Extract V	olume:		_ (ur)						,,
				CONCENT	RATION	UNITS:			
CARNO	`	COMPO			Ka)	UG/KG		0	
CAS NO	<i>.</i>				(' B)			-	
74-87-	3	Chlore	methane				11	υ	i
74-83-	9	Brom	methane			1	11	<u>U</u>	
75-01-	4	Vinyl	Chloride				11	<u> </u>	_
75-00-	3	Chlore	nethane				11	υ]
75-09-	2	Methy	lene Chloric	le			11 2	JB	U
67-64-		Aceto	ne				3	Ĵ	
75-15-	0	Carbo	n Disulfide				11	U	
75-35-	1	1,1-D	ichloroethen	R			11	U]
75-34-	4	1,1-D	ichloroethan	e			11	U	
540 60	0	1,2-D	ichloroether	e(total)			11	U	
78-93-	3	2-But	anone				11	<u> </u>	
67-66-	3	Chlor	oform				11	U	
107-06	3-2	1,2-D	Ichioroethan	ie			11	U	
71-55-	-6	1,1,1-	Trichloroeth	ane			11	U	
56-23-	.5	Carbo	n Tetrachio	ride			11	U	
75-27-	4	Brom	odichloromo	thane			11	U	
78-87-	-5	1,2-D	ichloropropa	ne			11	U	
10061	-01-5	cis-1,	3-Dichlorop	opene			11	<u> </u>	
79-01-	-6	Trichi	oroethene			600	○ 580 —	<u> </u>	_D
71-43-	-2	Benz	ene				11	U	
124-48	3-1	Dibro	mochlorome	ethane			11	U	_
10061	-02-6	trans-	1,3-Dichloro	propene			11	U	
79-00-	-5	1,1,2	Trichloroett	ane			<u>11</u>	U	
75-25-	.2	Broin	olonn				11	U	_
<u>108-10</u>	0-1	4-Me	hyl-2-Penta	none			11	U	
591-78	8-6	2-Hex	(enone				11	U	_
127-18	8-4	Tetra	<u>chloroethen</u>	e	<u>~</u> ~		11	<u> </u>	_
/9-34-	-5	<u>1,1,2</u>	2-Tetrachio	roethane			11	<u> </u>	_
108-88	8-3	Tolue	<u>ne</u>				110		_
108-90	0-/	Chior	obenzene	<u>~</u>			11	U	_
100-4	1-4	Ethyl	penzene			 	11	U	_
100-42	2-5	Styre	<u>ne</u>					<u> </u>	_
_1330-2	20-7	Xyler	e(total)				11	U	

112/01

Preliminary Results Pending QC Review •••4

					MPLE NO.
Lab Na	ime: H2M L	ABS. INC	Conitact:	EC E	EW-1
Lah Co	de: 10478	Case No ·	SAS No	SDG No :	
Matrix:	(soil/water)	SOIL	Lab Sa	mple ID: 0101278-	004A
Sample	e wt/vol:	<u>5.0</u> (g/mi) <u>G</u>	Lab File	D: F5154.D	
Levei:	(low/med)	LOW	Date R	eceived: 01/11/01	
% Mois	sture: n <mark>ot dec</mark> .	19	Date A	nalyzed: 01/11/01	
GC Co	lumn: RTX	502. ID: 0.53 (mm)	Dilution	Factor: 1.0	
Soil Ex	tract Volume:	(uL)	Soil Ali	auot Volume;	 (uL)
		\ '		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	(* /
			CONCENTRATION	UNITS:	
C	AS NO.	COMPOUND	(ug/L or ug/Kg)	UG/KG	Q
	74 07 3	Chinesessithere			1 11 1
	74-07-3	Chloromeutane		12	
	14-83-9	Bromomethane		12	
- - 	/5-01-4	Vinyi Chionae		12	0
<u> </u>	75-00-3	Chloroethane		$\frac{12}{2}$	
<u> </u>	/5-09-2	Methylene Chlond	ę	la t	
<u> </u>	<u>67-64-1</u>	Acetone		3	J
	75-15-0	Carbon Disulfide		12	U
	75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethene	<u> </u>	12	<u> </u>
	/5-34-4	1,1-Dichloroethane)		U
	540-59-0	1,2-Dichloroethene	e(total)	12	<u> </u>
	78-93-3	2-Butanone		12	
	<u>67-66-3</u>	Chloroform			<u> U </u>
	<u>107-06-2</u>	1,2-Dichloroethan	9	12	U
	<u>71-55-6</u>	1,1,1-Trichloroetha	ane		<u>l</u> .I
	<u>56-23-5</u>	Carbon Tetrachlor	ide		<u> </u>
	75-27-4	Bromodichloromet	hane	12	
	<u>78-87</u> -5	1,2-Dichloropropa	ne	12	U
·	10061-01-5	cis-1.3-Dichloropro	opene	12	<u> </u>
	79-01-6	Trichloroethene		12	<u> U </u>
	71-43-2	Benzene		12	U
· ·	124-48-1	Dibromochloromet	thane	12	U
	10061-02-6	trans-1,3-Dichloro	propene	12	U
	79-00-5	1,1,2-Trichloroetha	àne	12	U U
	75-25-2	Bromotorm		12	
(·	108-10-1	4-Methyl-2-Pentar	one	12	U
	591-78-6	2-Hexanone		12	U
	<u>127-1</u> 8-4	Tetrachloroethene		12	U
[79-34-5	1,1,2,2-Tetrachlor	oethane	12	U
	108-88-3	Toluene		75	
-	108-90-7	Chlorobenzene		12	U
,	100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	······································	12	U
					<u>. </u>
	100-42-5	Styrene	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	12	U

112/01

Preliminary Results Pending QC Review

FORMIVOA

			1A			EPA \$	AMPLE NO.
	V		IICS ANAL'I	SIS DAT	A SHEET		WW-1
Lab Name.	H2M LA	BS, INC		Contract		L	
Lab Code:	10478	Case No.:		SAS N	0.:	SDG No.:	URS011
Matrix: (soil/w	ater)	SOIL		Le	ab Sample ID): 010127	3-005A
Sample wt/vol	l:	5.0 (g/mi	G	La	ab File ID:	F5155.0)
Level: (low/m	led)	LOW		Da	ate Received): <u>01/11/0</u>	1
% Moisture: n	iot dec.	5		D	ate Analyzec	01/11/0	1
GC Column:	RTX50	2. ID: 0.53 (mm)	D	lution Factor	r: 1.0	
Soil Extract V	olume:	(uL)		S	oil Aliquot Vo	lume:	(uL)
		······································					
			CON			5: -	•
CAS NO).	COMPOUND	(ug/l	L or ug/Kç)) <u>UG/K</u>	<u>G</u>	Q
74 87	3	Chlorometh					
74-07-	<u>.</u>	Bromometh				11	- Ū
75-01-	9 A	Vinyl Chloric				11	- U
75-01-		Chicrosthan	÷	···		11	- Ū
75-00-	<u></u>	Mothylene (bloride		· · · · · ·	11 -2	
67.64	4					2	
75-15-	0	Cerbon Disi	lfide			11	ΙŭΙ
75-35-	4	1 1-Dichlorn	athane			11	Ú
75.34-		1 1-Dichlord	ethane			11	Ū
540-50	<u></u>	1.2-Dichlore	ethene(tota			11	U
78-93-	3	2-Butanona		<u>v</u>		11	Ū
67-66-	3	Chloroform				11	<u> </u>
107-06	3-2	1.2-Dichloro	ethane			11	U
71-55-	/- <u>2 </u>	1 1 1-Tricol	roethane			11	U
56-23-	5	Carbon Tet	achloride			11	U
75.27-	<u>.</u>	Bromodichle	romethane	·····		11	IJ
78-87-	5	1.2-Dichloro	propane			2	J
10061	-01-5	cis-1.3-Dich	oropropene	<u>د</u>		11	<u>ย</u>
79-01-	-6	Trichloroeth	ene		5	-10 -750	E
71-43-	-2	Benzene				11	<u>ບ</u>
124-48	B-1	Dibromoch	promethane	}		11	U
10061	-02-6	trans-1,3-D	chloroprope	ene		11	U
79-00-	-5	1.1.2-Trich	proethane			11	U
75-25-	-2	Bromoform				11	U
108-10	0-1	4-Methyl-2-	Pentanone			11	U
591-71	8-6	2-Hexanon)			11	U
127-18	8-4	Tetrachloro	ethene			11	U
79 34	5	1,1,2,2 Tot	achloroethe	ne		11	<u> </u>
108-8	8-3	Toluene	·····			50	
108 9	07	Chlorobenz	enc			11	<u> </u>
100-4	1-4	Ethylbenze	ne			11	<u> </u>
100-4	2-5	Styrene				11	<u> </u>
_1330-	<u>20-7</u>	Xylene(tota	0			11	<u> </u>

112/01

Preliminary Results Pending QC Review

.

FORMIVOA

,	1A ATILE ORGANICS A	NALYSIS DATA SI		PA SAI	MPLE NO	1	
Lab Name: H2M LA	BS, INC	Contract:		ВС	DT-1		
ab Code: 10478	Case No.:	SAS No.:	SDG N	No.: U	RS011		
Matrix: (apil/water)	SOII	 	ample ID: 010	 1278-0		•	
		Leb C	8. 10. 551	56 D			
Sample wt/vol:	<u>5.0 (g/mi) G</u>	Lad F		20.U	- <u></u>		
Level: (low/med)	LOW	Date	Received: 01/1	11/01	~~		
% Moisture: not dec.	21	Date	Analyzed: <u>01/</u>	1/01			
GC Column: RTX50	02. ID: 0.53 (mm)	Dilutio	on Factor: 1.0				
Ocil Entroat) (olumo:		Soil A	liquot Volume:		fi.	L)	
Soll Extract volume:	····· (uc)						
		CONCENTRATIO	N UNITS:				
	COMPOUND	(ua/L or ua/Ka)	UG/KG		Q		
CAS NO.				-			
74-87-3	Chloromethane			13	<u> </u>		
74-83-9	Bromomethane			13	<u> </u>		
75-01-4	Vinyl Chloride			13	<u> </u>		
75-00-3	Chloroethane			13	<u> </u>		
75-09-2	Methylene Chlorid	e	12-	-2	JB	\cup	
67-64-1	Acetone			11			
75-15-0	Carbon Disulfide			13	U		
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethen	2		13	<u> U </u>		
75-34-4	1,1-Dichloroethan	>		13	U		
540-59-0	1.2-Dichlorgethen	e(total)		13	U	ļ	
78-93-3	2-Butanone			4	J		
67-66-3	Chloroform			13			
107-06-2	1.2-Dichloroethan	e		13	U		
71-55-6	1.1.1-Trichloroeth	ane		13	<u> </u>		
56-23-5	Carbon Tetrachlor	ide		13	[U		
75-27-4	Bromodichlorome	hane		13	U]	. 101
78-87-5	1.2-Dichloropropa	ne		13	U		112 av
10061-01-5	cis-1,3-Dichloroph	opene		13	U]	1 · · ·
79-01-6	Trichloroethene			13	U		
71-43-2	Renzene			13	U		
124-48-1	Dibromochlorome	thane		13	<u> </u>		
10061-02-6	trans-1,3-Dichloro	propene		13	<u> </u>]	
79-00-5	1,1,2-Trichloroeth	ane		13	U		
75-25-2	Bromoform			13	U		
108-10-1	4-Methyl-2-Pentar	попе		13	U	-	
591-78-6	2-Hexanone			13	<u> </u>	-	
127-18-4	Tetrachloroethene)		13	<u> </u>	_	
79-34-5	1,1,2,2-Tetrachlor	octhane		13	<u> </u>	4	
108-88-3	Toluene			2	<u> </u>	_	
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene			13	<u> </u>	-	
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene			13	<u> </u>	4	
100-12-5	Styrene			13		_	
1330-20-7	Xylene(total)		l	_13	<u> </u>	Ļ	

Preliminary Results Pending QC Review

FORMIVOA

3/90

112/01

	١	1A VOLATILE ORGANICS AN	ALYSIS DATA SH	IEET	EPA S	SAMPLE I	NO.
Lab Name:	H2M LA	BS, INC	Contract:			SP-1	
Lab Code:	10478	Case No.:	SAS No.:	SD	G No :	URS011	
Matrix: (soil/	vater)	SOIL	 (ah Sa	imple ID: (
Samale with	- 	5 0 (altral) 0			101210	5-00 TA	
Cample wi/v(JI.	<u>a.u</u> (g/mi) <u>G</u>	Lab Fil	e ID: <u>F</u>	5160.E)	
Level: (low/n	ned)	LOW	Date R	eceived: Q	1/11/01		
% Moisture: r	not dec.	21	Date A	nalvzed: 0	1/11/01		
GC Column:	RTX50	2. ID: 0.53 (mm)	Dilution	Enster d	0	·	
Soil Extract V	/olumo:		Dirutior		.0	···- ·································	
SOIL EXTRACT A	volume:	(uL)	Soil Ali	quot Volum	8: 	·	(uL)
		C/					
	`			UNHS:			
	<i>.</i>	COMPOOND (U	g/L or ug/Kg)	UG/KG	_	Q	
74-87-	3	Chloromethane		- <u></u>	40		1
74-83-	9	Bromomethane		+	13		
75-01-	4	Vinvi Chloride			13	<u> </u>	
75-00-:	3	Chloroethane			13		-
75-09-2	2	Methylene Chloride		13	<u></u>		
67-64-1	1	Acetone			6		┥`
75-15-0	0	Carbon Disulfide	······································		13		4
75-35-4	4	1,1-Dichloroethene			13	<u> </u>	
75-34-4	4	1,1-Dichloroethane			13	1 <u> </u>	-1
540-59	-0	1,2-Dichloroethene(tot	al)		13	Ŭ	-
78-93-3	3	2-Butanone			13	U	
67-66-3	3	Chloroform			13	U	
107-06	-2	1,2-Dichloroethane			13	<u> </u> U	
71-55-6	<u> </u>	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	,		13	U	
56-23-5	5	Carbon Tetrachloride			13	U	
75-27-4	<u> </u>	Bromodichloromethane	<u> </u>			<u> </u>	
10-01-0		1,2-Dichloropropane		-		<u> </u>	_
70.01.6	01-5	Cis-1,3-Dicnioropropen	<u>e</u>		13	<u> </u>	-
79-01-0)	Bonzene				·	4
174.48	.1	Dibromochloromethan	~ <u>~~~~~</u> ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~		13	<u> </u>	4
10061-0	02-6	trans-1.3-Dichloroprop			_13	<u> </u>	
79-00-5	<u>.</u>	1 1 2-Trichloroethane	cite	·	12		-
75-25-2	2	Bromoform		+	13	<u> </u>	-
108-10-	-1	4-Methyl-2-Pentanone	<u></u>		13	<u> </u>	
591-78-	-6	2-Hexanone	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		13	T U	-
127-18-	-4	Tetrachloroethene		<u> </u>	13	1 <u> </u>	
79-34-5	5	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroetha	ane		13	Ū	1
108-88-	3	Toluene			7	J	-
108-90-	.7	Chlorobenzene			13	U]
100-41-	4	Ethylbenzene			13	<u> </u>	
100-42-	<u>.5</u>	Styrene	·	·	13	U	
1330-20)-7	Xylene(total)			13	U	1

Preliminary Results Pending QC Review



April 24, 2001 Refer to ES - 2410

Mr. Donald McCall, P.E. Project Manager URS Corporation 282 Delaware Ave. Buffalo, New York 14202

ALCENCD) URS Greiner Woodward Clyde 1. A Cost of JOB#_____35618 cc: D. McGill file

Subject: Weekly Sampling Status Report (March 28, 2001 and April 4, 2001) Former Northeast Alloys & Metals Site; Utica, NY Contract Number D-004178

System Sampling performed on 3/28/01 and 4/04/01

SCIENTECH employees arrived at the former Northeast Alloys and Metals site on 03/28/01 and 04/04/01 to obtain the first two rounds of samples in accordance with the obligations set forth in the NYSDEC contract for the former Northeast Alloys and Metals Site in Utica, NY.

The samples were collected in accordance with the SCIENTECH Sampling and Analysis Plan provided to NYSDEC in the deliverables package.

Both influent and effluent samples from the treatment system were collected and analyzed for the following:

TCL VOCs via EPA Method 624 TAL Metals via EPA Method 200.7 and 245.1 pH via EPA Method 150.1 Total dissolved Solids via EPA Method 160.1 Total Suspended Solids via EPA Method 160.2 Total Hardness via Vial EPA Method 130.1

The influent samples designated GTSI 032801 and GTSI 040401 in accordance with the sampling and analysis plan were collected from the treatment system sump via a sampling tube connected to dewatering pump 1.

The effluent samples designated GTSE 032801 and GTSE 040401 in accordance with the sampling and analysis plan were collected from inside the last baffle of the discharge manhole, prior to the gravity line to the City of Utica sanitary sewer.

G:\DEPT020\3729 - Northeast Alloys Utica, NY\NES Deliverables Design Documents\Sampling 032801&040401.doc ++ SHELTER ROCK ROAD • DANBURY, CT 06810 • PHONE 203-796-5300 • FAX 203-796-5145

The samples were collected in laboratory-supplied glassware, packaged on ice and sent to Mitkem Corporation, Warwick, RI for laboratory analysis.

Results of the Data Generated

Attached are the analytical data from first two weekly sampling events. The data indicates the following:

- The treatment system is successful in reducing the level of VOCs present in the collected groundwater, and
- The levels of contaminants in the treated groundwater are well below the discharge criteria set forth in the City of Utica discharge permit for discharge to the sanitary sewer.

Scheduled Actions

SCIENTECH performed two additional sampling rounds on 4/11/01 and 4/18/01. SCIENTECH will report the results of this data as it becomes available.

Sincerely, SCIENTECH, Inc. Hur CN/ selo 160

Joseph Glista Environmental Geologist Environmental Services

Enclosures

cc: P. Ouderkirk K. Cyr R. McPeak



"Environmental Testing For The New Millennium"

April 19, 2001

Scientech, Inc. 44 Shelter Rock Road Danbury, CT 06810 Attn: Mr. Bob Peak

RE: Client Project: NE ALLOYS & METALS, UTICA, NY Lab Project #: 80575

Dear Mr. Peak:

Enclosed please find the data report of the required analyses for the samples associated with the above referenced project. If you have any questions regarding this report, please call me.

We appreciate your business.

Sincerely, Willi

Edward A. Lawler Laboratory Operations Manager



Client: Scientech, Inc.

Client Project: NE ALLOYS & METALS UTICA, NY

Lab Project: 80575

Date samples received: 3/30/01

Project Narrative

This data report includes the analysis results for two (2) aqueous samples that were received from Scientech, Inc. on March 30, 2001. Analyses were performed per specification in the Chain of Custody form. For reference, a copy of the Mitkem Sample Log-In form is included for cross-referencing the client sample ID and laboratory sample ID.

All of the analyses were performed according to method specifications. No unusual occurrences were noted during sample analysis.

This data report has been reviewed and is authorized for release as evidenced by the signature below-

Edward A. Lawler Laboratory Operations Manager

EPA SAMPLE NO.

VOLATILE OR	1A GANICS ANALYSI	S DATA SHEET	EPA	SAMPLE NO.
			GTS	31032801
b Name: MITKEM CORPOR	ATION	Contract:		
b Code: MITKEM Cas	e No.:	SAS No.:	SDG No.:	80575
trix: (soil/water) WA	TER	Lab San	mple ID: 8057	'5001
mple wt/vol: 5.	000 (g/mL) ML	Lab Fil	.e ID: V2D9	367
evel: (low/med) LC	W	Date Re	ceived: 03/3	10/01
Moisture: not dec.		Date Ar	alyzed: 04/0)5/01
Column: DB-624 II): 0.25 (mm)	Dilutic	on Factor: 1.	. 0
oil Extract Volume:	(uL)	Soil Al	iquot Volume.	}:
CAS NO.	COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION (ug/L or ug/H	JUNITS: (g) UG/L	Q
$\begin{array}{c} 74-87-3$	Chloromethane Vinyl Chloride Bromomethane Trichlorofluor 1,1-Dichloroet Methylene Chlor trans-1,2-Dichloroet cis-1,2-Dichloroet Chloroform 1,1,1-Trichlor Carbon Tetrach 1,2-Dichloroet Benzene Trichloroether 1,2-Dichloropt Bromodichlorom 2-Chloroethyl cis-1,3-Dichloroether trans-1,3-Dichlor Tetrachloroether Dibromochlorom Chlorobenzene Ethylbenzene Xylene (Total) Bromoform 1,1,2,2-Tetrac 1,3-Dichlorobe 1,4-Dichlorobe	romethane hene hane hane broethene hane roethane hane hethane ropane hethane horopropene horopropene horopropene horopropene horopropene horopropene horopropene horopropene horopropene horopropene hethane hethane hethane hethane hethane hethane hethane horopropene horopropene horopropene horopropene horopropene hethane		5 0 5

.

SAMPLE NO.

1A VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSI	IS DATA SHEET	EPA SAMPLE
Lab Name: MITKEM CORPORATION	Contract:	GTSE032801
Lab Code: MITKEM Case No.:	SAS No.: SDG	No.: 80575
Matrix: (soil/water) WATER	Lab Sample ID:	80575002
Sample wt/vol: 5.000 (g/mL) ML	Lab File ID:	V2D9368
Level: (low/med) LOW	Date Received:	03/30/01
% Moisture: not dec.	Date Analyzed:	04/05/01
GC Column: DB-624 ID: 0.25 (mm)	Dilution Facto	or: 1.0
Soil Extract Volume:(uL)	Soil Aliquot V	Volume:
CAS NO. COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/Kg) UG/I	Q Q
74-87-3Chloromethane 75-01-4Vinyl Chloride 74-83-9Bromomethane 75-00-3Chloroethane 75-69-4Trichlorofluor	e romethane	5 U 2 J 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U

75-35-4-----1,1-Dichloroethene 75-09-2-----Methylene Chloride

75-34-3----1,1-Dichloroethane

67-66-3----Chloroform

71-43-2----Benzene

108-88-3-----Toluene

156-60-5-----trans-1,2-Dichloroethene

156-59-2----cis-1,2-Dichloroethene

71-55-6-----l,1,1-Trichloroethane

56-23-5-----Carbon Tetrachloride

107-06-2----1,2-Dichloroethane

78-87-5-----1, 2-Dichloropropane

75-27-4----Bromodichloromethane

79-00-5-----1,1,2-Trichloroethane

124-48-1----Dibromochloromethane

541-73-1-----1,3-Dichlorobenzene

106-46-7-----1, 4-Dichlorobenzene 95-50-1-----1, 2-Dichlorobenzene

127-18-4----Tetrachloroethene

108-90-7----Chlorobenzene

1330-20-7----Xylene (Total)

100-41-4----Ethylbenzene

75-25-2----Bromoform

110-75-8-----2-Chloroethyl vinyl ether

10061-02-6----trans-1, 3-Dichloropropene

79-34-5-----1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane

10061-01-5----cis-1,3-Dichloropropene

79-01-6----Trichloroethene

(uL)

5 U

5 Ū 5 U

5 U

5 T

5 U

5 0

5 0

5 U

5 U

5 U

5 U

5 U

1 J

5 U 5 U

5 U

5 U

5 U

5 U

3 J

5 U

5 U

5 U

5 U

5 U

5 (U

20

FORM I VOA

SAMPLE NO

1A VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSI:	S DATA SHEET
Lab Name: MITKEM CORPORATION	VBLK2W
Lab Code: MITKEM Case No.:	SAS No.: SDG No.: 80575
Matrix: (soil/water) WATER	Lab Sample ID: V2B0404A
Sample wt/vol: 5.000 (g/mL) ML	Lab File ID: V2D9342
Level: (low/med) LOW	Date Received:
% Moisture: not dec.	Date Analyzed: 04/04/01
GC Column: DB-624 ID: 0.25 (mm)	Dilution Factor: 1.0
Soil Extract Volume:(uL)	Soil Aliquot Volume:(uL)
CAS NO. COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/Kg) UG/L Q
74-87-3Chloromethane 75-01-4Vinyl Chloride 74-83-9Bromomethane 75-00-3Chloroethane 75-69-4Trichlorofluor 75-35-41,1-Dichloroet	5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U

75-00-3	Chloroethane	5	0
75-69-4	Trichlorofluoromethane	5	U
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethene	5	ប
75-09-2	Methylene Chloride	5	U
156-60-5	trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	5	υ
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane	5	U
156-59-2	cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	5	ប
67-66-3	Chloroform	5	U
71-55-6	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5	U
56-23-5	Carbon Tetrachloride	5	U
107-06-2	1,2-Dichloroethane	5	U
71-43-2	Benzene	5	U
79-01-6	Trichloroethene	5	υ
78-87-5	1,2-Dichloropropane	5	U
75-27-4	Bromodichloromethane	5	U
110-75-8	2-Chloroethyl vinyl ether	5	ប
10061-01-5	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	טו
108-88-3	Toluene	5	U
10061-02-6	trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	5	U
79-00-5	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	5	U
127-18-4	Tetrachloroethene	5	U
124-48-1	Dibromochloromethane	5	U
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene	. 5	U
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	5	ប
1330-20-7	Xylene (Total)	5	U
75-25-2	Bromoform	5	U
79-34-5	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	5	U
541-73-1	1,3-Dichlorobenzene	5	ט
106-46-7	1,4-Dichlorobenzene	5	υ
95-50-1	1,2-Dichlorobenzene	5	υ
			Ì

OLM03.0

2A

WATER VOLATILE SYSTEM MONITORING COMPOUND RECOVERY

Lab	Name:	MITKEM	CORPORATION	Contract:			
Lab	Code:	MITKEM	Case No.:	SAS No.:	SDG	No.:	80575

	EPA	SMC1	SMC2	SMC3	OTHER	TOT
ļ	SAMPLE NO.	#	(DCE) #	(TOL) #	(BFB) #	OUT
-	================	======	======	=====	======	===
01	VBLK2W	104	95	96	86	0
02	VBLK2WLCS	103	97	99	100	0
03	GTSI032801	102	98	95	103	0
04	GTSE032801	101	93	95	99	0
05						
06						
07						
08		. <u></u>				
09						
10]				
11						
12		·····				
13	<u> </u>			·		
14				}		
12		<u> </u>	<u> </u>			
10						
10						
20						
20						<u> </u>
22	<u></u>					
23			- <u></u> -	<u> </u>		
24						
25						
26	·				J	
27						
28						
29						
30						
SM	1 C1 = Di	bromof	Luoromet	Q(C LIMITS (79-12	5 22)

SMC1	Ξ	Dibromofluoromethane	(79-122)
SMC2 (DCE)	=	1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	(76-121)
SMC3 (TOL)	=	Toluene-d8	(82-118)
OTHER (BFB)	=	Bromofluorobenzene	(85-120)

Column to be used to flag recovery values

* Values outside of contract required QC limits

FORM II VOA-1

OLM03.0

FORM 3 WATER VOLATILE LAB CONTROL SAMPLE

Lab	Name:	MITKEM	CORPORATION	Contract:		
Lab	Code:	MITKEM	Case No.:	SAS No.:	SDG No.:	80575

Matrix Spike - Sample No.: VBLK2W

	SPIKE	SAMPLE	LCS	LCS	QC.
	ADDED	CONCENTRATION	CONCENTRATION	8	LIMITS
COMPOUND	(ug/L)	(ug/L)	(ug/L)	REC #	REC.
	=========	=======================================	=================	=======	======
Chloromethane	50	0.0	43	86	0-204
Vinyl Chloride	50	0.0	51	102	4-196
Bromomethane	50	0.0	57	114	14-186
Chloroethane	50	0.0	55	110	38-162
Trichlorofluoromethane	50	0.0	67	134	48~152
1,1-Dichloroethene	50	0.0	56	112	51-150
Methylene Chloride	50	0.0	44	88	61-140
trans-1,2-Dichloroethen	50	0.0	52	104	70-131
1,1-Dichloroethane	50	0.0	52	104	73-128
Chloroform	50	0.0	56	112	68-133
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	50	0.0	58	116	75-125
Carbon Tetrachloride	50	0.0	60	120	73-127
1,2-Dichloroethane	50	0.0	55	110	68-132
Benzene	50	0.0	52	104	64-136
Trichloroethene	50	0.0	55	110	67-134
1,2-Dichloropropane	50	0.0	49	98	34-166
Bromodichloromethane	50	0.0	54	108	66-135
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	50	0.0	50	100	24-176
Toluene	50	0.0	55	110	75-126
trans-1,3-Dichloroprope	50	0.0	50	100	50-150
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	50	0.0	54	108	71-129
Tetrachloroethene	50	0.0	53	106	74-127
Dibromochloromethane	50	0.0	53	106	68-133
Chlorobenzene	50	0.0	54	108	66-134
Ethylbenzene	50	0.0	55	110	59-141
Bromoform	50	0.0	54	108	71-129
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroetha	50	0.0	47	94	61-140
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	50	0.0	50	100	73-127
					1

Column to be used to flag recovery and RPD values with an asterisk

* Values outside of QC limits

COMMENTS:

FORM 3 WATER VOLATILE LAB CONTROL SAMPLE

Lab Name:	MITKEM CO	RPORATION	Contract:		
Lab Code:	MITKEM	Case No.:	SAS No.:	SDG No.:	80575
Matrix Sp	ike – Sam	ple No.: VBLK2W			

COMPOUND	SPIKE	SAMPLE	LCS	LCS	QC.
	ADDED	CONCENTRATION	CONCENTRATION	%	LIMITS
	(ug/L)	(ug/L)	(ug/L)	REC #	REC.
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	50	0.0	50	100	63-137
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	50		50	100	63-137

Column to be used to flag recovery and RPD values with an asterisk

* Values outside of QC limits

RPD: 0 out of 0 outside limits Spike Recovery: 0 out of 30 outside limits

COMMENTS :

.

114

J.

4A VOLATILE METHOD BLANK SUMMARY

VBLK2W

Lab Name: MITKEM CORPORATIONContract:Lab Code: MITKEMCase No.:SAS No.:SDG No.: 80575Lab File ID: V2D9342Lab Sample ID: V2B0404ADate Analyzed: 04/04/01Time Analyzed: 1417GC Column: DB-624ID: 0.25 (mm)Heated Purge: (Y/N) NInstrument ID: V2V2

THIS METHOD BLANK APPLIES TO THE FOLLOWING SAMPLES, MS and MSD:

	EPA	LAB	TAR	TTME
	SAMPLE NO.	SAMPLE ID	LIPE ID	ANALIZED
		=======================================		============
01	VBLK2WLCS	V21.0404A	10209343	1529
22		00555001	V2D343	1525
02	[GIS1032801	80575001	V2D9367	0248
03	GTSE032801	80575002	V2D9368	0316
04				
07				
05	l			
06				
07				·
ő,				
08				
09)	j		
10				[
11		— — — — —		
<u> </u>				
12				
13				/
14		{ —		
11				<u> </u>
12				
16				
17				
18	·····		——— ————————————————————————————————	
10		[
17				
20				
21				
22				
22	— — — — — — — —		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
23	·····	[
24			{	
25				
26	— ————			
20				
21				
28				1
29				
20				
20	1	1	1	1

COMMENTS:

page 1 of 1


Client:	Scientech, Inc.	Concentration in:	mg/L
Client ID:	GTS1032801	Analysis Date:	4/5, 4/6/01
Lab ID:	80575001	Analysis Method:	245.1(Mercury)
Matrix:	Aqueous		200.7(Others)

		Reporting
Analyte	Results	<u>Limit</u>
Aluminum	ND	0.3
Antimony	ND	0.03
Arsenic	ND	0.02
Barium	0.4	0.2
Beryllium	ND	0.006
Cadmium	ND	0.005
Calcium	110	0.8
Chromium	ND	0.02
Cobalt	ND	0.05
Copper	ND	0.03
Iron	6.8	0.3
Lead	0.04	0.01
Magnesium	19	0.5
Manganese	0.90	0.05
Mercury	ND	0.0008
Nickel	ND	0.05
Potassium	8	2
Selenium	ND	0.02
Silver	ND	0.03
Sodium	27	1
Thallium	ND	0.01
Vanadium	ND	0.05
Zinc	ND	0.05

QC Batch: 0404PBW1

ND = Not Detected



Client:	Scientech, Inc.	Concentration in:	mg/L
Client (D:	GTSE032801	Analysis Date:	4/5, 4/6/01
Lab ID:	80575002	Analysis Method:	245.1(Mercury)
Matrix:	Aqueous		200.7(Others)

		Reporting
Analyte	Results	<u>Limit</u>
Aluminum	0.3	0.3
Antimony	ND	0.03
Arsenic	ND	0.02
Barium	0.5	0.2
Beryllium	ND	0.006
Cadmium	ND	0.005
Calcium	110	0.8
Chromium	ND	0.02
Cobalt	ND	0.05
Copper	0.04	0.03
Iron	17	0.3
Lead	0.13	0.01
Magnesium	19	0.5
Manganese	0.91	0.05
Mercury	ND	0.0008
Nickel	ND	0.05
Potassium	9	2
Selenium	ND	0.02
Silver	ND	0.03
Sodium	32	1
Thallium	ND	0.01
Vanadium	ND	0.05
Zinc	ND	0.05

QC Batch: 0404PBW1

ND = Not Detected

e



Client:	Scientech, Inc.	Concentration in:	mg/L
Client ID:		Analysis Date:	4/5, 4/6/01
Lab ID:	Prep Blank, 0404PBW1	Analysis Method:	245.1(Mercury)
Matrix:	Aqueous		200.7(Others)

		Reporting
Analyte	<u>Results</u>	Limit
Aluminum	ND	0.3
Antimony	ND	0.03
Arsenic	ND	0.02
Barium	ND	0.2
Beryllium	ND	0.006
Cadmium	ND	0.005
Calcium	ND	0.8
Chromium	ND	0.02
Cobalt	ND	0.05
Copper	ND	0.03
Iron	ND	0.3
Lead	ND	0.01
Magnesium	ND	0.5
Manganese	ND	0.05
Mercury	ND	0.0008
Nickel	ND	0.05
Potassium	ND	2
Selenium	ND	0.02
Silver	ND	0.03
Sodium	ND	1
Thallium	ND	0.01
Vanadium	ND	0.05
Zinc .	ND	0.05

QC Batch: 0404PBW1

ND = Not Detected



Client:	Scientech, Inc.	Concentration in:	mg/L
Client ID:		Analysis Date:	4/5, 4/6/01
Lab ID:	Lab Control Sample, 0404LCSW1	Analysis Method:	245.1(Mercury)
Matrix:	Aqueous		200.7(Others)

Analyte	% Recovery
Aluminum	101
Antimony	120
Arsenic	103
Barium	102
Beryllium	101
Cadmium	102
Calcium	103
Chromium	101
Cobait	102
Copper	101
Iron	101
Lead	103
Magnesium	101
Manganese	100
Mercury	114
Nickel	101
Potassium	108
Selenium	102
Silver	103
Sodium	102
Thallium	103
Vanadium	101
Zinc	99

QC Batch: 0404PBW1

.



Client: Client ID: Lab ID:	Scientech, Inc. GTS1032801 80575001			Matrix: Aqueous		
Analyte		Results	Reporting <u>Limit</u>	Units	Analysis <u>Method</u>	Analysis <u>Date</u>
Hardness		690	4	mg equiv CaCO3/L	SM2340 B	4/5/01
μn 		7.1		S.U.	SIVI 4500-H+	3/30/01
I otal Dissolve	ed Solids	490	10	mg/L	SM 2540-C	3/30/01
Total Suspen	ded Solids	18	10	mg/L	SM 2540-D	3/30/01

Page 1 of 1

ر د 1



Client:	Scientech, Inc.				Matrix: Aqueous	
Lab ID:	80575002					
			Reporting		Analysis	Analysis
<u>Analyte</u>		<u>Results</u>	<u>Limit</u>	Units	Method	Date
Hardness		690	4	mg equiv CaCO3/L	SM2340 B	4/5/01



Client:	Scientech, Inc.				Matrix: Aqueous	
Lab ID:	Prep Blank			С		
			Reporting		Analysis	Analysis
<u>Analyte</u>		Results	<u>Limit</u>	<u>Units</u>	Method	Date
Hardness		ND	4	mg equiv CaCO3/L	SM2340 B	4/5/01
Total Dissolve	ed Solids	ND	10	mg/L	SM 2540-C	3/30/01
Total Suspend	ded Solids	ND	10	mg/L	SM 2540-D	3/30/01

ND = Not Detected

.

80575-PB

Page 1 of 1



Client: Client ID:	Scientech, Inc.			Matrix: Aqueous
Lab ID:	Lab Control Sample			
			· Analysis	Analysis
<u>Analyte</u>		% Recovery	Method	Date
Total Dissolve	d Solids	102	SM 2540-C	3/30/01
Total Suspend	led Solids	99	SM 2540-D	3/30/01

MITKEM CORPORATION

03/30/01 04:13	PM		Page 1 of 1		Original			Lab Workorder #	: 80575
Lab Workorder	80575 R0				Logg	ed In By:	-(
Client: Lab Workorder ID: Client Proj ID: Client PO #:	Scientech, Inc. NE Alloys & Metals Ut	lica, NY			Revie	wed By:	(BED)		
Project / Profile Nam	e: Routine				Date	Opened: (03/30/01 14:55		
Date Due:	04/13/01				Date	Closed: 0	3/30/01 16:13		
Customer Service: Del Req'd: Completed?:	Commercial Reports				Proje	ct Status: 1	WP		
Project Notes:	TALMETW by 200.7/2	45.1							
Lab ID Client ID 80575001 GTS103280	l Matrix W	<u>Түре</u> SAMPLE	Analysis Code 160.1W TDS 160.2W TSS	Collected 03/28/01 14:05	<u>Received</u> 03/30/01	<u>Due</u> 04/13/01	<u>Notes</u>		
			624 S2340WHarB S4500BW pH TALMETW						
80575002 GTSE03286	01 W	SAMPLE	624 S2340WHarB TALMETW	03/28/01 14:10	03/ 30/01	04/13/01			

INVOICE AND REPORT GO TO:

Bob Peak Scientech, Inc. 44 Shelter Rock Road Danbury, CT, 06810 W : (203)796-5000 F : (203)792-3168

> --**-**-- ∃

MITKEMCORPORATION175 Metro Center BoulevardWarwick, Rhode Island 02886-1755(401) 732-3400 • Fax (401) 732-3499email: mitkem@mitkem.com

CHAIN-OF-CUSTODY RECORD

Page ____ of ____

	REPOI	RT TO					1						INV	OICE	то									
COMPANY SCIE	NTECH 1	SNC		PLIQ	120	3)	COM	IPANY		≤ 1	m	5	-				PHON	NE				LAB PROJ	ECT#	
NAME BOL	Mr. Peale			FAS	203) 2118	NAM	IE			¥	0 –					FAX			_			7	
ADDRESS YY CL	Mar Rock	100			10.		ADD	RESS				<u> </u>										TURNAR	DUND T	IME
	RUEV C	- <u> ~</u> ~		$\overline{\sigma}$	 `}		СПТҮ	/ST/ZI	P															
CLIENT PROJECT NAME:	FORMER	CLIENT	PROJEC	0/ <u>-</u> T#:		CLIENT P.O.#:	I	1					$\overline{\mathbf{N}}$. <u> </u>			<u> </u>		_
NORTHEAST ALLOYS	s'a metals,	·7	1105	4							. /	3		. /	kequi		ANA	1. YSC	· /	/ /	/	/		
0,104, 107,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			1 1	1		<u></u>	4		\sim	\mathbf{f}_{a}	Ŋ.	K	$/\alpha$	·/~~	\cdot								
		E					NER			אינ ו	5	\sim	<u>_</u>	<u>ٰ</u> ہٰ؟	5/	/	' /	/ /	/ /	/ /	/ /			
SAMPLE	DATE/TIME	POSI	VTER	OII.	HER	LAB ID	NTAL		7	Y.13	\$/ <	$\tilde{\gamma}$	Ž	*	<u></u>						/	COMM	ENTS	
		COM	× ×		5		F CO	4	Y/	S)	\mathbb{A}	Ň	,is/	\$				/	/	/				
			_ ,		 				<u> </u>	2			<u>}</u>	Υ	<u> </u>	<u> </u>			—		<u></u>			
GTSI0328	CI 31001140	5	X	┦	<u> </u>	<u>ci</u>	6	X	X	\times	\times	Х	X				 			<u> </u>		<u> </u>		
GTSE032	801 3122/01 1410		<u> </u>	·		<u> </u>	4	$ \Sigma $	X				\ge	-				<u> </u>	<u> </u>					
	'/						<u> </u>		ļ	<u> </u>	<u> </u>							<u> </u>			·			
	/				 			ļ										ļ						
				_		 		<u> </u>	 		<u> </u>	 						_	 					
	×'				ļ													<u> </u>						
/				<u> </u>	<u> </u>	ļ	<u> </u>																	
	/							┼──			╞													
	/								ļ	 	<u> </u>				ļ			} -						
	,					<u> </u>								<u> </u>					┨──	 .				
_/	/	_					+		<u> </u>			┟───												
TSEL RELINOU	ISHED BY	DA	 .TE/TIM	E			PTED	BY	<u> </u>]	<u> </u>	 Date	 	<u> </u>	ADDI	TION/	<u> </u> \L RT:	MARI	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	— <u>— </u>		OTRI	II MP
	Alt	3-24	01/ 14	: 72	$\uparrow \frown$	-n k	ĩ/	+	7	71		. ,	/		1									
- M	J'MAL	141				<u> / </u>	1:13	.//_;	201	<u>. </u>	7	i fil	<u> </u>	<u>(</u> ï	ł							[
2			/	<u></u>						<u>-</u>	ļ		/											
			1										/											
		L									1				<u> </u>								·····	

WHITE: LABORATORY COPY

YELLOW: REPORT COPY

PINK: CLIENT'S COPY

MITKEM CORPORATION Sample Condition Form

۰.

.

	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	-1	<u> </u>	r			Page of
Received By:	Re	eviewed By	: (1)	Date:	·	MITKE	EM Proj	ect #:	
Client Project:		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Client:		• • • •		·	
Condition:			Lab Sample ID	HNO ₃	H ₂ SO ₄	Ition (p HCI	H) NaOH	VOA <u>Matrix</u>	Comments/Remarks
	•		<u> ×</u>						
1) Custody Seal(s)	Present / Ab	iseat~		·					
I	Coolers / Bo	ittles	r		· • •				
	Intact / Brok	en	<u>, </u>						
2) Custody Seal Number(s)		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		•				
				 					
4		·							
1	• <u>•</u> ••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
3) Chain-of-Custody	Present / Ab	sent	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
• •	\smile								·····
) Cooler Temperature	,f		· <u> </u>						
Coolant Condition	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	<u>* *</u>			<u>.</u>				
5) Airbill(s)	the second	~ <						-	
Airbill Number(s)		•							•
•		·						·	•
		-		· ·					
Sample Bottles		-							••
-	Broken								
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Leaking				 	•			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
7) Data Received	/	· · ·							· · · · ·
Date necessed			······································	·					
)) Time Received								-	
,		<u> </u>	بار .					•	· · · ·
/OA Matrix Key:		-{			 · - [• • •	
5 = Unpreserved Soil	M =	MeOH							
A = Unpreserved Aqueous	5 E=	Encore	• . •						
3 = Both MeOH & NaHSO,	H =	на					•		10
$I = NaHSO_{4}$	A-	AIR							

Last Page of Data Report

ΞÙ



"Environmental Testing For The New Millennium"

April 19, 2001

Scientech, Inc. 44 Shelter Rock Road Danbury, CT 06810 Attn: Mr. Bob Peak

RE: Client Project: NE ALLOYS UTICA NY, 3110Y Lab Project #: 80610

Dear Mr. Peak:

Enclosed please find the data report of the required analyses for the samples associated with the above referenced project. If you have any questions regarding this report, please call me.

We appreciate your business.

Sincerely,

Edward A. Lawler Laboratory Operations Manager



Client: Scientech, Inc.

Client Project: NE ALLOYS, UTICA NY, 3110Y

Lab Project: 80610

Date samples received: 4/5/01

Project Narrative

This data report includes the analysis results for two (2) aqueous samples that were received from Scientech, Inc. on April 5, 2001. Analyses were performed per specification in the Chain of Custody form. For reference, a copy of the Mitkem Sample Log-In form is included for cross-referencing the client sample ID and laboratory sample ID.

All of the analyses were performed according to method specifications. The volatile organic analysis of sample GTSI040401 was performed at a 2X dilution due to concentrations of compounds above the upper calibration range of the instrument.

No other unusual occurrences were noted during sample analysis.

This data report has been reviewed and is authorized for release as evidenced by the signature below.

(derad a fack

Edward A. Lawler Laboratory Operations Manager

LA VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET

EPA SAMPLE NO.

1

i

			GTSI	040401
Lab Name: MITKEM COR	PORATION	Contract:	ł	i
Lab Code: MITKEM	Case No.:	SAS No.:	SDG No.: 8	30610
Matrix: (soil/water)	WATER	Lab Sam	ple ID: 80610	001
Sample wt/vol:	5.000 (g/mL) ML	Lab File	e ID: V5C81	397
Level: (low/med)	LOW	Date Red	ceived: 04/09	5/01
% Moisture: not dec.		Date And	alyzed: 04/1	1/01
GC Column: DB-624	ID: 0.25 (mm)	Dilution	n Factor: 2.0) .
Soil Extract Volume:	(uL)	Soil Al	iquot Volume	:(uL
CAS NO.	COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION (ug/L or ug/K	UNITS: g) UG/L	Q
74-87-3	Chloromethane	e	3 19	J
74-83-9	Bromomethane Chloroethane	romethane	10 10 10	U U U
75-09-4	1,1-Dichloroe	thene	10 10	บ บ
156-60-5	trans-1,2-Dic	chloroethene	10 10	U U
156-59-2	cis-1,2-Dichl	loroethene	230	U U
56-23-5	Carbon Tetrac	chloride	10	U
71-43-2	Benzene	ene	- 2	J J
78-87-5	l,2-Dichlorop	propane	10 10	U U
110-75-8 10061-01-5	2-Chloroethyl	L vinyl ether Loropropene	10 10	U U
108-88-3 10061-02-6	Toluene trans-1,3-Dic	chloropropene	13 10	<u> </u>
79-00-5 127-18-4	1,1,2-Trichlo Tetrachloroet	thene	10	U U
124-48-1	Chlorobenzene		2	J
1330-20-7	Xylene (Total	1)	48 10	<u></u>
79-34-5	1,1,2,2-Tetra	achloroethane benzene	10 10	U U
106-46-7 95-50-1	1,4-Dichlorol	benzene	10 3	J
			<u></u>	_

.

.

1A

EPA SAMPLE NO.

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET GTSE040401 Lab Name: MITKEM CORPORATION Contract: SDG No.: 80610 Case No.: SAS No.: Lab Code: MITKEM Lab Sample ID: 80610002 Matrix: (soil/water) WATER V5C8398 Lab File ID: 5.000 (g/mL) ML Sample wt/vol: Date Received: 04/05/01 (low/med) Level: IOW Date Analyzed: 04/11/01 % Moisture: not dec. Dilution Factor: 1.0 GC Column: DB-624 TD: 0.25 (mm) Soil Aliquot Volume: (uL) Soil Extract Volume: (uL) CONCENTRATION UNITS: 0 (ug/L or ug/Kg) UG/L CAS NO. COMPOUND 5 0 74-87-3-----Chloromethane 5 U 75-01-4-----Vinyl Chloride 5 U 74-83-9----Bromomethane 5 U 75-00-3-----Chloroethane 5 U 75-69-4-----Trichlorofluoromethane 5 U 75-35-4-----1,1-Dichloroethene 5 U 75-09-2-----Methylene Chloride 5 0 156-60-5-----trans-1,2-Dichloroethene 5 U 75-34-3-----1,1-Dichloroethane 5 U 156-59-2----cis-1,2-Dichloroethene 5 U 5 U 67-66-3-----Chloroform 71-55-6-----1,1,1-Trichloroethane 5 U 56-23-5-----Carbon Tetrachloride 5 0 107-06-2-----1,2-Dichloroethane 5 U 71-43-2----Benzene 5 U 79-01-6-----Trichloroethene 5 U 5 U 5 U 78-87-5-----1,2-Dichloropropane 75-27-4----Bromodichloromethane 110-75-8----2-Chloroethyl vinyl ether 5 U 10061-01-5----cis-1,3-Dichloropropene 5 U 5 U 108-88-3----Toluene 10061-02-6----trans-1, 3-Dichloropropene 5 U 5 U 79-00-5-----1,1,2-Trichloroethane 127-18-4----Tetrachloroethene 5 U 5 U 124-48-1-----Dibromochloromethane 108-90-7----Chlorobenzene

FORM I VOA

100-41-4----Ethylbenzene

75-25-2----Bromoform

1330-20-7-----Xylene (Total)

541-73-1-----1, 3-Dichlorobenzene

106-46-7-----1, 4-Dichlorobenzene

95-50-1-----1, 2-Dichlorobenzene

79-34-5-----1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane

OLM03.0

5 U

5 U

5 U

5 U

5 0

5 U

5 U

1A	EPA SAMPLE NO.
VOLATILE ORGANICS ARE DIDE	VBLK5M
Lab Name: MITKEM CORPORATION	Contract:
Lab Code: MITKEM Case No.:	SAS No.: SDG No.: 80610
Matrix: (soil/water) WATER	Lab Sample ID: V5B0410A
Sample wt/vol: 5.000 (g/mL) ML	Lab File ID: V5C8378
Level: (low/med) LOW	Date Received:
% Moisture: not dec.	Date Analyzed: 04/10/01
GC Column: DB-624 ID: 0.25 (mm)	Dilution Factor: 1.0
Soil Extract Volume:(uL)	Soil Aliquot Volume:(uL)
CAS NO. COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/Kg) UG/L Q
74-87-3Chloromethane 75-01-4Vinyl Chloride 74-83-9Bromomethane 75-00-3Chloroethane 75-69-4Chloroethane 75-09-2Chloroethane 75-09-2	5 U 6 U 6 U 7 U 7 U 7 U 7 U 7 U 7 U 7 U 7 U 8 U 9 U 9 U 9 U 9 U 9 U 9 U 10 U

e.

jt. ≁

2A WATER VOLATILE SYSTEM MONITORING COMPOUND RECOVERY

Lab	Name:	MITKEM CO	ORPORATION	Contract:	
Lab	Code:	MITKEM	Case No.:	SAS No.:	SDG No.: 80610

	EPA	SMC1	SMC2	SMC3	OTHER	TOT
	SAMPLE NO.	#	(DCE) #	(TOL) #	(BFB) #	OUT
01) ======= VBI K5M	===== 97	=== = = 92	98	100	====
02	VBLK5MLCS	101	104	100	100	õ
ก็จั	GTST040401	103	86	96	99	õ
04	GTSE040401	112	97	100	112	õ
05	01010101	++	<u> </u>			, i
06]					
07	·					
08	·					
09	·		_ 			
10						
11						
12						
13						
14						
15				·		
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						
26						
27						
28						
29			·			
30			<u> </u>			
				00	C LIMITS	5

SMC1	=	Dibromofluoromethane	(79-122)
SMC2 (DCE)	=	1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	(76-121)
SMC3 (TOL)	=	Toluene-d8	(82-118)
OTHER (BFB)	=	Bromofluorobenzene	(85-120)

Column to be used to flag recovery values

* Values outside of contract required QC limits

page 1 of 1

FORM II VOA-1

OLM03.0

5 -Ú.

FORM 3 WATER VOLATILE LAB CONTROL SAMPLE

Lab Name: MITKEM CORPORATION	Contract:	
Lab Code: MITKEM Case No.:	SAS No.:	SDG No.:
Matrix Spike - Sample No.: VBLK5M		

80610

•)

	SPIKE	SAMPLE	LCS	LĊS	QC.
	ADDED	CONCENTRATION	CONCENTRATION	5	LIMITS
COMPOUND	(ug/L)	(ug/L)	(ug/L)	REC #	REC.
=======================================		================	========================	======	=====
Chloromethane	50	0.0	37	74	54-135
Vinyl Chloride	50	0.0	45	90	63-131
Bromomethane	50	0.0	52	104	58-132
Chloroethane	50	0.0	48	96	69-127
Trichlorofluoromethane	50	0.0	51	102	58-140
1,1-Dichloroethene	50	0.0	46	92	75-122
Methylene Chloride	50	0.0	36	72	65-128
trans-1,2-Dichloroethen	50	0.0	39	78	73-125
1,1-Dichloroethane	50	0.0	53	106	75-123
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	50	0.0	53	106	77-122
Chloroform	50	0.0	55	110	75-122
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	50	0.0	56	112	74-126
Carbon Tetrachloride	50	0.0	56	112	74-127
1,2-Dichloroethane	50	0.0	56	112	76-123
Benzene	50	0.0	52	104	78-121
Trichloroethene	50	0.0	54	108	77-123
1,2-Dichloropropane	50	0.0	52	104	77-122
Bromodichloromethane	50	0.0	55	110	78-121
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	50	0.0	54	108	77-121
Toluene	50	0.0	54	108	77-122
trans-1,3-Dichloroprope	50	0.0	59	118	76-123
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	50	0.0	57	114	76-124
Tetrachloroethene	50	0.0	54	108	77-121
Dibromochloromethane	50	0.0	55	110	77-121
Chlorobenzene	50	0.0	53	106	77-120
Ethylbenzene	50	0.0	54	108	76-120
Xylene (Total)	150	0.0	160	107	76-121
Bromoform	50	0.0	60	120	73-126

Column to be used to flag recovery and RPD values with an asterisk

* Values outside of QC limits

COMMENTS:

FORM 3 WATER VOLATILE LAB CONTROL SAMPLE

Lab Name: MITKEM CORPORATION	Contract:	
Lab Code: MITKEM Case No.:	SAS No.:	SDG No.: 80610
Matrix Spike - Sample No.: VBLK	5M	

COMPOUND	SPIKE ADDED (ug/L)	SAMPLE CONCENTRATION (ug/L)	LCS CONCENTRATION (ug/L)	LCS % REC #	QC. LIMITS REC.
	========	=============		======	======
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroetha	50	0.0	54	108	66-128
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	50	0.0	51	102	74-120
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	50	0.0	50	100	75-120
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	50	0.0	51	102	75-119
-		_			

Column to be used to flag recovery and RPD values with an asterisk

* Values outside of QC limits

RPD: 0 out of 0 outside limits Spike Recovery: 0 out of 32 outside limits

COMMENTS:

4A VOLATILE METHOD BLANK SUMMARY

VBLK5M

Lab Name: N	MITKEM CORI	PORATION		Contract:			
Lab Code: N	MITKEM (Case No.:		SAS No.:	SDG	No.:	80610
Lab File II	D: V5C8378			Lab Samp	le ID:	V5B0	410A
Date Analyz	zed: 04/10,	/01		Time Ana	lyzed:	1915	
GC Column:	DB-624	ID: 0.25	(mm)	Heated P	urge:	(Y/N)	N
Instrument	ID: V5						

THIS METHOD BLANK APPLIES TO THE FOLLOWING SAMPLES, MS and MSD:

	EPA	LAB		LAB	TIME
	SAMPLE NO	CAMDIE	TD		ANALYZED
	SAMELE NO.	SAMEDE	1.17		
	=======================	=========	=====	/ ====================================	
01	VBLK5MLCS	V5L0410A		V5C8379	1944
02	GTST040401	80610001		V5C8397	0420
02	CTCE040401	00010001		VECODOO	0119
03	GISE040401	BUBIUUUZ		1020330	0445
04	Í			·	
05					
06					
07			<u></u>		
~~					
08		Í	<u></u>		
09					
10	/	}			
11					
12	———				
14					
13		<u></u>			
14	[
15					
16					
17		<u></u> .	<u> </u>		
10] — — — — —			
10		<u>-</u>			
19					
20					
21			<u> </u>		······································
22		—	<u> </u>	<u>-</u>	
22	<u> </u>				
23				······	
24		_			
25					
26		<u> </u>			
27					
21					
28					·····
29					
30					
					·

COMMENTS:



Client:	Scientech, Inc.	Concentration in:	mg/L
Client ID:	GTS1040401	Analysis Date:	4/9/01
Lab ID:	80610001	Analysis Method:	7470A(Mercury)
Matrix:	Aqueous		6010B (Others)

		Reporting
Analyte	Results	Limit
Aluminum	ND	0.3
Antimony	ND	0.03
Arsenic	ND	0.02
Barium	0.4	0.2
Beryllium	ND	0.006
Cadmium	ND	0.005
Calcium	110	0.8
Chromium	ND	0.02
Cobalt	ND	0.05
Copper	0.03	0.03
Iron	84	0.3
Lead	0.07	0.01
Magnesium	21	0.5
Manganese	0.94	0.05
Mercury	ND	0.0008
Nickel	ND	0.05
Potassium	9	2
Selenium	ND	0.02
Silver	ND	0.03
Sodium	28	1
Thallium	ND	0.01
Vanadium	ND	0.05
Zinc	0.05	0.05

QC Batch: 0407PBW1

ND = Not Detected

-



Client:	Scientech, Inc.	Concentration in:	mg/L
Client ID:	GTSE040401	Analysis Date:	4/9/01
Lab ID:	80610002	Analysis Method:	7470A(Mercury)
Matrix:	Aqueous		6010B (Others)

		Reporting
Analyte	Results	Limit
Aluminum	0.3	0.3
Antimony	ND	0.03
Arsenic	ND	0.02
Barium	0.5	0.2
Beryllium	ND	0.006
Cadmium	ND	0.005
Calcium	100	0.8
Chromium	ND	0.02
Cobalt	ND	0.05
Copper	ND	0.03
Iron	16	0.3
Lead	0.05	0.01
Magnesium	20	0.5
Manganese	0.95	0.05
Mercury	ND	0.0008
Nickel	ND	0.05
Potassium	7	2
Selenium	ND	0.02
Silver	ND	0.03
Sodium	27	1
Thallium	ND	0.01
Vanadium	ND	0.05
Zinc	ND	0.05

QC Batch: 0407PBW1

ND = Not Detected

٠



Client:	Scientech, Inc.	Concentration in:	mg/L
Client ID:		Analysis Date:	4/9/01
Lab ID:	Prep Blank, 0407PBW1	Analysis Method:	7470A(Mercury)
Matrix:	Aqueous		6010B (Others)

		Reporting
Analyte	Results	Limit
Aluminum	ND	0.3
Antimony	ND	0.03
Arsenic	ND	0.02
Barium	ND	0.2
Beryllium	ND	0.006
Cadmium	ND	0.005
Calcium	ND	0.8
Chromium	ND	0.02
Cobalt	ND	0.05
Copper	ND	0.03
Iron	ND	0.3
Lead	ND	0.01
Magnesium	ND	0.5
Manganese	ND	0.05
Mercury	ND	0.0008
Nickel	ND	0.05
Potassium	ND	2
Selenium	ND	0.02
Silver	ND	0.03
Sodium	ND	1
Thallium	ND	0.01
Vanadium	ND	0.05
Zinc	ND	0.05

QC Batch: 0407PBW1

ND ≈ Not Detected

.

80610-PB



Client:	Scientech, Inc.	Analysis Date:	4/9/01
Client ID:		Analysis Method.	
Lab ID:	Lab Control, 0407LCSW1		6010B (Others)
Matrix:	Aqueous		

Analyte	<u>% Recovery</u>	
Alumínum	98	
Antimony	123	
Arsenic	108	
Barium	103	
Beryllium	101	
Cadmium	109	
Calcium	100	
Chromium	101	
Cobalt	104	
Copper	100	
Iron	102	
Lead	109	
Magnesium	101	
Manganese	104	
Mercury	101	
Nickel	105	
Potassium	95	
Selenium	107	
Silver	102	
Sodium	96	
Thallium	110	
Vanadium	99	
Zinc	101	

.

QC Batch: 0407PBW1



Client: Client ID: Lab ID:	Scientech, Inc. GTS1040401 80610001			Matrix: Aqueous			
			Reporting		Analysis	Analysis	
<u>Analyte</u>		<u>Results</u>	<u>Limit</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Method</u>	<u>Date</u>	
Hardness		370	4	mg equiv CaCO3/L	SM2340 B	4/9/01	
pН		6.8		S.U.	SM 4500-H+	4/5/01	
Total Dissol	ved Solids	540	10	mg/L	SM 2540-C	4/5/01	
Total Suspe	nded Solids	120	10	mg/L	SM 2540-D	4/5/01	

Page 1 of 1



Client: Client ID: Lab ID:	Scientech, Inc. GTSE040401 80610002				Matrix: Aqueous	
Analyte		Results	Reporting Limit	Units	Analysis Method	Analysis Date
Hardness		340	4	mg equiv CaCO3/L	SM2340 B	4/9/01

Page 1 of 1



Client: Client ID:	Scientech, Inc.				Matrix: Aqueous			
Lab ID:	Prep Blank							
			Reporting		Analysis	Analysis		
<u>Analyte</u>		<u>Results</u>	<u>Limit</u>	Units	Method	Date		
Hardness		ND	4	mg equiv CaCO3/L	SM2340 B	4/9/01		
Total Dissolve	d Solids	ND	10	mg/L	SM 2540-C	4/5/01		
Total Suspend	led Solids	ND	10	mg/L	SM 2540-D	4/5/01		

ND = Not Detected



Client: Client (D:	Scientech, Inc.			Matrix: Aqueous			
Lab ID:	Lab Control Sample						
Analyte		<u>% Recovery</u>	Analysis <u>Method</u>	Analysis Date			
Total Dissolve Total Suspend	d Solids Ied Solids	95 100	SM 2540-C SM 2540-D	4/5/01 4/5/01			

Page 1 of 1

80610-LCS

		MITKEM COI	RPORATION	
04/06/01 11:13 /	AM	Page 1 of 1	Revision #1	Lab Workorder #: 80610
Lab Workorder	80610 R1		Logged In I	By:
Client: Lab Workorder ID: Client Proj ID: Client PO #:	Scientech, Inc. NE ALLOYS UTICA NY 3110Y		Reviewed E	3y:
Project / Profile Name Date Due: Customer Service:	:: Routine 04/19/01		Date Opene Date Closec	ed: 04/05/01 12:35 d: 04/05/01 13:42
Del Req'd: Completed?:	Commercial Reports		Project Stat	us: WP
Project Notes:	R1: Change Workorder ID.			
Lab ID Client ID 80610001 GTS104040	Matrix Type W SAMPLE	Analysis Code 624 TALMETW S4500BW pH 160.1W TDS 160.2W TSS S2340WHarB	Collected Received Due 04/04/01 09:45 04/05/01 04/19	9/01
80610002 GTSE0404(N SAMPLE	624 TALMETW S2340WHarB	04/04/01 10:15 04/05/01 04/1	10/6
INVOICE AND REPC Bob Peak Scientech, Inc. 44 Shelter Rock Road Danbury, CT, 06810 W: (203)796-5000 F: (203)792-3168	<u>RT GO TO:</u>			

.



WHITE: LABORATORY COPY

MITKEM CORPORATION Sample Condition Form

·····	······		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		r •			Page of
Received By: TH	Reviewed By:	160	Date:	201	MITKE	EM Proj	ect #:	iCerta
Dlient Project: /vi= Alley	nemin (3/100	,	Client:	بر ر بستے ک	tothe	<u>Sc . F</u>	n. Er	1.
Condition		1 sh Camala 10		reserva	tion (p	H)	VOA	Comments/Remarks/
;	–	Lao Sample ID		T2-304	_nu	NaOH	Matrix	Corrective Action*
Eno e co en	-	YOUN LET						
1) Custody Seal(s)	Present / Absent							
	Coolers' Bottles				·			······································
	Intact / Broken	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
ł ·	· · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
) Custody Seal Number(s)	NA	•			·			
								- /
		·						
4	• • • • •							
	·				-			•
3) Chain-of-Custody (Present/ Absent							,
	·				15			
+ Cooler Temperature	:1 ⁰	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
Coolant Condition	162	•			-	•		
	· · · · · ·	<u></u>		·				i
5) Aidvill(s)	FUL FX							<u> </u>
Aichill Number(s)	1. 6341 laith							
		··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··		·				
Samala Pollina								· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Sample Bollies.		~			<u> </u>			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
•	Broken		 ·				· · · ·	•
				<u> </u>				
Date Received	<u> 4/ 5/0' · · · </u>		-					
NT O I I	25 JIC -						-	
I) Time Heceived	00.45	•			,			
		\$						
VOA Mainx Key:	•				•			······
In the served Soil	M = MeOH		· · · · ·					
JA = Unpreserved Aqueous		· · ·						
Notice	n=HG]	
= NaHSU,	AFAIR							

See Sample Notification Form yes / no

Last Page of Data Report



May 30, 2001 Refer to ES - 2440 Mr. Donald McCall, P.E. Project Manager URS Corporation 282 Delaware Ave. Buffalo, New York 14202

HECENTED URS Greiner Woodward Clyde 394 0 4 2011 JOB# 35618 cc: D. M·Coll fike (AD-1)

Subject: Weekly Sampling Status Report (April 11, 2001 and April 18, 2001) Former Northeast Alloys & Metals Site; Utica, NY Contract Number D-004178

System Sampling performed on 4/11/01 and 4/18/01

SCIENTECH employees arrived at the former Northeast Alloys and Metals site on 04/11/01 and 04/18/01 to obtain the final rounds of samples in accordance with the obligations set forth in the NYSDEC contract for the former Northeast Alloys and Metals Site in Utica, NY.

The samples were collected in accordance with the SCIENTECH Sampling and Analysis Plan provided to NYSDEC in the deliverables package.

Both influent and effluent samples from the treatment system were collected and analyzed for the following:

TCL VOCs via EPA Method 624 TAL Metals via EPA Method 200.7 and 245.1 pH via EPA Method 150.1 Total dissolved Solids via EPA Method 160.1 Total Suspended Solids via EPA Method 160.2 Total Hardness via Vial EPA Method 130.1

The influent samples designated GTSI 041101 and GTSI 041801 in accordance with the sampling and analysis plan were collected from the treatment system sump via a sampling tube connected to dewatering pump 1.

The effluent samples designated GTSE 041101 and GTSE 041801 in accordance with the sampling and analysis plan were collected from inside the last baffle of the discharge manhole, prior to the gravity line to the City of Utica sanitary sewer.

F:\DEPT020\3729 - Northeast Alloys Utica, NY\NES Deliverables Design Documents\Sampling 041101&041801.doc

The samples were collected in laboratory-supplied glassware, packaged on ice and sent to Mitkem Corporation, Warwick, RI for laboratory analysis.

Results of the Data Generated

Attached are the analytical data from the final two weekly sampling events. The data indicates the following:

- The treatment system is successful in reducing the level of VOCs present in the collected groundwater, and
- The levels of contaminants in the treated groundwater are well below the discharge criteria set forth in the City of Utica discharge permit for discharge to the sanitary sewer.

Scheduled Actions

Scientech Inc. will begin the process of relinquishing the operation, testing and maintenance of the treatment system to the NY State DEC.

Sincerely, SCIENTECH, Inc. Jøseph Glista

Environmental Geologist Environmental Services

Enclosures

cc: P. Ouderkirk K. Cyr R. McPeak



"Environmental Testing For The New Millennium"

April 24, 2001

Scientech, Inc. 44 Shelter Rock Rd Danbury, CT 06810 Attn: Mr. Bob Peak

RE: Client Project: FORMER NE ALLOYS + METALS, UTICA, NY Lab Project #: 80661

Dear Mr. Peak:

Enclosed please find the data report of the required analyses for the samples associated with the above referenced project. If you have any questions regarding this report, please call me.

We appreciate your business.

Sincerely, 2. Auch

Edward A. Lawler Laboratory Operations Manager


Client: Scientech, Inc.

Client Project: Former NE Alloys + Metals, Utica, NY

Lab Project: 80661

Date samples received: 4/12/01

Project Narrative

This data report includes the analysis results for two (2) aqueous samples that were received from Scientech, Inc. on April 12, 2001. Analyses were performed per specification in the Chain of Custody form. For reference, a copy of the Mitkem Sample Log-In form is included for cross-referencing the client sample ID and laboratory sample ID.

All of the analyses were performed according to method specifications. The volatile organics analysis of sample GTSI was analyzed at a 2X dilution due to concentrations exceeding the calibration range of the instrument.

No other unusual occurrences were noted during sample analysis.

This data report has been reviewed and is authorized for release as evidenced by the signature below.

Mound Athal

Edward A. Lawler Laboratory Operations Manager

1A VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET

EPA SAMPLE NO.

			1		
Lab Name: MITKEM COR	PORATION	Contract:		GTSI	
Lab Code: MITKEM	Case No.:	SAS No.:	SDG No	D.: 80661	
Matrix: (soil/water)	WATER	Lab Sar	mple ID: 8	30661001	
Sample wt/vol:	5.000 (g/mL) ML	Lab Fi	le ID: V	75C8460	
Level: (low/med)	LOW	Date Re	eceived: (04/12/01	
% Moisture: not dec.		Date A	nalyzed: (04/18/01	
GC Column: DB-624	ID: 0.25 (mm)	Dilutio	on Factor:	: 2.0	
Soil Extract Volume:	(uL)	Soil A	liquot Vol	lume:	(uL)
CAS NO.	COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION (ug/L or ug/)	N UNITS: Kg) UG/L	Q	
$\begin{array}{c} 74-87-3\\ 75-01-4\\ 74-83-9\\ 75-00-3\\ 75-69-4\\ 75-35-4\\ 75-35-4\\ 75-34-3\\ 75-34-3\\ 156-59-2\\ 67-66-3\\ 71-55-6\\ 67-66-3\\ 71-55-6\\ 71-55-6\\ 71-55-6\\ 71-43-2\\ 71-43-2\\ 79-01-6$	Chloromethane Vinyl Chloride Bromomethane Trichlorofluor Trichlorofluor I,1-Dichloroet Methylene Chlo trans-1,2-Dichloroet cis-1,2-Dichloroet Chloroform 1,1,1-Trichlor Carbon Tetrach 1,2-Dichloroet Benzene Trichloroether 1,2-Dichloropt Bromodichlorom 2-Chloroethyl cis-1,3-Dichlor Toluene Trichloroethyl Toluene Trichloroethyl Tetrachloroeth Dibromochlorom Chlorobenzene Ethylbenzene Xylene (Total) Bromoform 1,1,2,2-Tetrac 1,3-Dichlorobe 1,2-Dichlorobe	comethane hene bride loroethene hane broethene broethene coethane loride hane coethane hane bropane bethane vinyl ether bropropene horopropene horopropene hene hene bethane		$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	

FORM I VOA

OLM03.0

LA VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET

EPA SAMPLE NO.

Lab Name: MITKEM CORPOR	ATION Con	tract:	GTSE	
Lab Code: MITKEM Cas	e No.: SA	S No.: SDG	No.: 80661	
Matrix: (soil/water) WA	TER	Lab Sample ID:	80661002	
Sample wt/vol: 5.	000 (g/mL) ML	Lab File ID:	V5C8466	
Level: (low/med) LO	W	Date Received:	04/12/01	
% Moisture: not dec		Date Analyzed:	04/18/01	
GC Column: DB-624 ID	: 0.25 (mm)	Dilution Facto	pr: 1.0	
Soil Extract Volume:	(uL)	Soil Aliquot V	olume:	(uL)
CAS NO.	COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/Kg) UG/L	, Q	
$\begin{array}{c} 74-87-3$	Chloromethane Vinyl Chloride Bromomethane Chloroethane Trichlorofluorome 1,1-Dichloroethene Methylene Chloride trans-1,2-Dichloroe Chloroform 1,1-Trichloroethane Carbon Tetrachlor 1,2-Dichloroethane Benzene Trichloroethene 1,2-Dichloropethane Bromodichlorometha 2-Chloroethyl vin cis-1,3-Dichlorop Toluene trans-1,3-Dichlorop Toluene trans-1,3-Dichloroeth Chlorobenzene Ethylbenzene Xylene (Total) Bromoform 1,1,2,2-Tetrachlor 1,3-Dichlorobenzen I,4-Dichlorobenzen 1,2-Dichlorobenzen	thane e e oethene e thene hane ide hane ne ne ne opropene hane opropene hane roethane n_	5 U 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	

OLM03.0

1A

EPA SAMPLE NO.

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET VBLK50 Lab Name: MITKEM CORPORATION Contract: Lab Code: MITKEM Case No.: SAS No.: SDG No.: 80661 Lab Sample ID: V5B0418A Matrix: (soil/water) WATER Lab File ID: V5C8442 Sample wt/vol: 5.000 (g/mL) ML Date Received: Level: (low/med) LOW Date Analyzed: 04/18/01 % Moisture: not dec. GC Column: DB-624 ID: 0.25 (mm) Dilution Factor: 1.0 Soil Aliquot Volume: (uL) Soil Extract Volume: ____(uL) CONCENTRATION UNITS: 0 COMPOUND (ug/L or ug/Kg) UG/L CAS NO. 2 J 74-87-3-----Chloromethane 5 U 75-01-4-----Vinyl Chloride 5 5 74-83-9----Bromomethane U U 75-00-3-----Chloroethane 5 U 75-69-4-----Trichlorofluoromethane 5 U 75-35-4-----1,1-Dichloroethene 5 U 75-09-2-----Methylene Chloride 5 U 156-60-5-----trans-1,2-Dichloroethene 5 U 75-34-3-----1,1-Dichloroethane 5 U 156-59-2----cis-1,2-Dichloroethene 5 U 5 U 5 U 67-66-3----Chloroform 71-55-6-----1,1,1-Trichloroethane 56-23-5-----Carbon Tetrachloride 5 U 107-06-2-----1,2-Dichloroethane 71-43-2----Benzene 79-01-6-----Trichloroethene 78-87-5-----1,2-Dichloropropane 75-27-4----Bromodichloromethane 110-75-8-----2-Chloroethyl vinyl ether 10061-01-5----cis-1,3-Dichloropropene 108-88-3----Toluene 10061-02-6----trans-1,3-Dichloropropene 79-00-5-----1,1,2-Trichloroethane_ 127-18-4----Tetrachloroethene 124-48-1----Dibromochloromethane 108-90-7-----Chlorobenzene 100-41-4----Ethylbenzene 5 U 5 U 1330-20-7-----Xylene (Total) 75-25-2-----Bromoform 5 U 5 U 79-34-5-----1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane 541-73-1----1,3-Dichlorobenzene 5 U 106-46-7----1,4-Dichlorobenzene 5 U 95-50-1-----1,2-Dichlorobenzene

OLM03.0

WATER VOLATILE SYSTEM MONITORING COMPOUND RECOVERY

Lab	Name:	MITKEM	CORPORATION	Contract:			
Lab	Code:	MITKEM	Case No.:	SAS No.:	SDG N	ю.:	80661

	EPA	SMC1	SMC2	SMC3	OTHER	TOT
	SAMPLE NO.	#	(DCE) #	(TOL) #	(BFB) #	OUT
	******	22====		======	======	===
01	VBLK5Q	102	103	96	. 99	0
02	VBLK5QLCS	102	102	103	101	0
03	GTSI	102	105	99	101	0
04	GISE	104	107	99	102	0
05						
05				·	<u> </u>	
00					··	
10		' _				
11						
12						
13						
14						
15						
16			\			
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23			<u> </u>	<u> </u>		
25						
26						
27			·		<u> </u>	
28					· · · · ·	
29						
30						

QC LIMITS

SMC1	=	Dibromofluoromethane	(79-122)
SMC2 (DCE)	=	1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	(76-121)
SMC3 (TOL)	=	Toluene-d8	(82-118)
OTHER (BFB)	=	Bromofluorobenzene	(85-120)

Column to be used to flag recovery values

* Values outside of contract required QC limits

OLM03.0

FORM 3 WATER VOLATILE LAB CONTROL SAMPLE

Lab Name: MITKEM CORPORATION	Contract:	
Lab Code: MITKEM Case No.:	SAS No.:	SDG No.: 80661
Matrix Spike - Sample No.: VBLK5Q		

LCS LCS SPIKE SAMPLE QC. CONCENTRATION CONCENTRATION LIMITS ADDED % REC # REC. (uq/L)(uq/L)(uq/L)COMPOUND ***** ===== ===== ____________________ ______ 2 46 54-135 50 88 Chloromethane 50 0.0 48 96 63-131 Vinyl Chloride 0.0 51 50 102 58-132 Bromomethane 50 0.0 49 98 69-127 Chloroethane 50 0.0 41 58-140 Trichlorofluoromethane 82 50 0.0 75-122 44 88 1,1-Dichloroethene 50 0.0 42 84 65-128 Methylene Chloride 50 0.0 73-125 53 106 trans-1,2-Dichloroethen 50 75-123 0.0 102 1.1-Dichloroethane 51 50 0.0 77-122 cis-1,2-Dichloroethene 52 104 50 0.0 75-122 Chloroform 52 104 1,1,1-Trichloroethane 50 0.0 51 74-126 102 50 52 74-127 Carbon Tetrachloride 0.0 104 50 76-123 1,2-Dichloroethane 0.0 50 100 50 0.0 78-121 Benzene 53 106 77-123 Trichloroethene 50 0.0 53 106 50 0.0 52 104 77-122 1,2-Dichloropropane Bromodichloromethane 50 0.0 52 104 78-121 50 54 77-121 cis-1,3-Dichloropropene 0.0 108 50 52 77-122 0.0 104 Toluene 50 76-123 trans-1,3-Dichloroprope 0.0 54 108 76-124 50 0.0 54 1,1,2-Trichloroethane 108 50 77-121 Tetrachloroethene 0.0 51 102 Dibromochloromethane 50 0.0 77-121 55 110 50 0.0 77-120 Chlorobenzene 51 102 76-120 50 0.0 Ethylbenzene 51 102 Xylene (Total) 150 0.0 76-121 150 100 Bromoform 50 0.0 73-126 56 112

Column to be used to flag recovery and RPD values with an asterisk

* Values outside of QC limits

COMMENTS:

FORM 3 WATER VOLATILE LAB CONTROL SAMPLE

Lab Name: MITKEM CORPORATION	Contract:	
Lab Code: MITKEM Case No.:	SAS No.:	SDG No.: 80661
Matrix Spike - Sample No.: VBLK5Q		

	SPIKE	SAMPLE	LCS	LCS	QC.
	ADDED	CONCENTRATION	CONCENTRATION	Ŷ	LIMITS
COMPOUND	(ug/L)	(ug/L)	(ug/L)	REC #	REC.
***********************	~=========	=======================	#==============	=====	=====
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroetha	50	0.0	55	110	66-128
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	50	0.0	50	100	74-120
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	50	0.0	50	100	75-120
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	50	0.0	51	102	75-119

Column to be used to flag recovery and RPD values with an asterisk

* Values outside of QC limits

RPD: 0 out of 0 outside limits Spike Recovery: 0 out of 32 outside limits

COMMENTS:

EPA SAMPLE NO.

4A VOLATILE METHOD BLANK SUMMARY

Lab Name: MITKEM CORPORATION Contract: VBLK5Q Lab Code: MITKEM Case No.: SAS No.: SDG No.: 80661 Lab File ID: V5C8442 Lab Sample ID: V5B0418A Date Analyzed: 04/18/01 Time Analyzed: 1024 GC Column: DB-624 ID: 0.25 (mm) Heated Purge: (Y/N) N Instrument ID: V5

THIS METHOD BLANK APPLIES TO THE FOLLOWING SAMPLES, MS and MSD:

	EPA	LAB		TIME
	SAMPLE NO.	SAMPLE ID	FILE ID	
01 02	VBLK5QLCS GTSI	V5L0418A 80661001	V5C8443 V5C8460	1055 1928
03 04	GTSE	80661002	V5C8466	
05				
07			·	·
08 09				
10				
11	·			
13				
14 15		<u> </u>		<u></u>
16				
17				
19				
20				
21				
$2\bar{3}$		({	
24				
25				
26				
27				
28 20			[
30		- <u></u>		i
~ ~	1		1	

COMMENTS:

page 1 of 1

OLM03.0



Client:	Scientech, Inc.	Concentration in:	mg/L
Client ID:	GTSI	Analysis Date:	4/13/01
Lab ID:	80661001	Analysis Method:	7470A(Mercury)
Matrix:	Aqueous		6010B (Others)

		Reporting
Analyte	<u>Results</u>	Limit
Aluminum		0.3
Antimonu		0.3
Anoniony	ND	0.03
Arsenic	NU	0.02
Barium	0.4	0.2
Beryllium	ND	0.006
Cadmium	ND	0.005
Calcium	110	0.8
Chromium	ND	0.02
Cobalt	ND	0.05
Copper	ND	0.03
Iron	8.3	0.3
Lead	0.02	0.01
Magnesium	22	0.5
Manganese	0.96	0.05
Mercury	ND	0.0008
Nickel	ND	0.05
Potassium	6	2
Selenium	ND	0.02
Silver	0.03	0.03
Sodium	23	1
Thallium	ND	0.01
Vanadium	ND	0.05
Zinc	ND	0.05

QC Batch: 0412PBW1

ND = Not Detected



Scientech, Inc.	Concentration in:	mg/L
GTSE	Analysis Date:	4/13/01
80661002	Analysis Method:	7470A(Mercury)
Aqueous		6010B (Others)
	Scientech, Inc. GTSE 80661002 Aqueous	Scientech, Inc.Concentration in:GTSEAnalysis Date:80661002Analysis Method:AqueousConcentration in:

		Reporting
Analyte	Results	Limit
Aluminum	ND	0.3
Antimony	ND	0.03
Arsenic	ND	0.02
Barium	0.4	0.2
Beryllium	ND	0.006
Cadmium	ND	0.005
Calcium	110	0.8
Chromium	ND	0.02
Cobalt	ND	0.05
Copper	ND	0.03
Iron	8.8	0.3
Lead	ND	0.01
Magnesium	21	0.5
Manganese	0.92	0.05
Mercury	ND	0.0008
Nickel	ND	0.05
Potassium	6	2
Selenium	ND	0.02
Silver	ND	0.03
Sodium	23	1
Thallium	ND	0.01
Vanadium	ND	0.05
Zinc	ND	0,05

QC Batch: 0412PBW1

ND = Not Detected



Client:	Scientech, Inc.	Concentration in:	mg/L
Client ID:		Analysis Date:	4/13/01
Lab ID:	Prep Blank, 0412PBW1	Analysis Method:	7470A(Mercury)
Matrix:	Aqueous		6010B (Others)

		Reporting
Analyte	<u>Results</u>	Limit
. .	.	
Aluminum	ND	0.3
Antimony	ND	0.03
Arsenic	ND	0.02
Barium	ND	0.2
Beryllium	ND	0.006
Cadmium	ND	0.005
Calcium	ND	0.8
Chromium	ND	0.02
Cobalt	ND	0.05
Copper	ND	0.03
Iron	ND	0.3
Lead	ND	0.01
Magnesium	ND	0.5
Manganese	ND	0.05
Mercury	ND	0.0008
Nickel	ND	0.05
Potassium	ND	2
Selenium	ND	0.02
Silver	ND	0.03
Sodium	ND	1
Thallium	ND	0.01
Vanadium	ND	0.05
Zinc	ND	0.05

QC Batch: 0412PBW1

ND = Not Detected



Client:	Scientech, Inc.	Analysis Date:	4/13/01
Client ID:		Analysis Method:	7470A(Mercury)
Lab ID:	Lab Control Sample, 0412LCSW1		6010B (Others)
Matrix:	Aqueous		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,

<u>Analyte</u>	% Recovery	
Aluminum	98	
Antimony	121	
Arsenic	105	
Barium	104	
Beryllium	101	
Cadmium	108	
Calcium	100	
Chromium	100	
Cobalt	102	
Copper	102	
Iron	102	
Lead	92	
Magnesium	102	
Manganese	102	
Mercury	98	
Nickel	101	
Potassium	93	
Selenium	106	
Silver	89	
Sodium	95	
Thallium	112	
Vanadium	101	
Zinc	99	

QC Batch: 0412PBW1

1.



Client: Client ID: Lab ID:	Scientech, Inc. GTSI 80661001				Matrix: Aqueous			
			Reporting		Analysis	Analysis		
<u>Analyte</u>		Results	<u>Limit</u>	<u>Units</u>	Method	Date		
pН		7.2		S.U.	SM 4500-H+	4/12/01		
Total Dissolv	ed Solids	390	10	mg/L	SM 2540-C	4/13/01		
Total Susper	nded Solids	20	10	mg/L	SM 2540-D	4/13/01		

Page 1 of 1



Client:	Scientech, Inc.		I	Matrix: Aqueous		
Lab ID:	Prep Blank					
<u>Analyte</u>		<u>Results</u>	Reporting <u>Limit</u>	Units	Analysis <u>Method</u>	Analysis <u>Date</u>
Total Dissolv	ved Solids	ND ND	10 10	mg/L mg/L	SM 2540-C SM 2540-D	4/13/01 4/13/01

ND = Not Detected



SM 2540-D

4/13/01

Client: Client ID:	Scientech, Inc.			Matrix: Aqueous
Lab ID:	Lab Control Sample			
Analyte		% Recovery	Analysis <u>Method</u>	Analysis <u>Date</u>
Total Dissol	ved Solids	103	SM 2540-C	4/13/01

97

Total Suspended Solids

Page 1 of 1

80661-LCS

MITKEM CORPORATION

	Logged In By:	Reviewed By:	Date Opened: 04/12/01 14:04 Date Closed: 04/12/01 14:06	Project Status: WP	ceived Due Notes 12/01 04/26/01 GTSI041101
					Collected Rev 04/11/01 09:30 04//
)	80661 R0	Scientech, Inc. FORMER NE ALLOYS+METALS	√\ Routine 04/26/01	Commercial Reports	<u>Matrix</u> <u>Type</u> <u>Analysis Code</u> w SAMPLE - 160 1W TDS
	/orkorder	ıt: Workorder ID:	nt Proj 1D: nt PO #: ect / Profile Name: - Due:	omer Service: Req'd: 1pleted?:	D Client ID

 S2340WHarB
S4500BW pH
TALMETW S2340WHarB SAMPLE ~ 160.1W TDS ~ 160.2W TSS TALMETW ~ 624 SAMPLE -624 ≥ ≥ 80661002 GTSE 80661001 GTSI

INVOICE AND REPORT GO TO:

Bob Peak Scientech, Inc. 44 Shelter Rock Road Danbury, CT, 06810 W: (203)796-5000 F: (203)792-3168



04/11/01 10:00 04/12/01 04/26/01 GTSE041101

Lab Workorder #: 80661

MITKEN CORPORATION 175 Metro Center Boulevard Warwick, Rhode Island 02886-1 (401) 732-3400 • Fax (401) 732- email: mitkem@mitkem.com	i 1755 3499 C n	CHAIN-OF-CUS	TODY RECORD	Page of
REPORT TO	207		INVOICE TO	LAB PROJECT #
OMPANY ScienTech Inc	PHONE 203-	COMPANY	PHONE	
AME Quin Ma Qualy	FAX 203-	NAME SAMIC	J FAX	80661
13010 MIC FERR	198-0100	ADDRESS	,,,	TURNAROUND TIME.
odress 44 Sheller Rock Rd				
TY/ST/ZIP Danbury CT O	6810	CITY/ST/ZIP		
JENT PROJECT NAME:	T#: CLIENT P.O.#.		REQUESTED ANALYSES	
Former NE Micyst 3110	4	26	Ÿ / / / ∕ / / /	
Molals UTRA NY				
			ノマダン / / / /	
SAMPLE DATE/FIME			4.8.2	COMMENTS
IDENTIFICATION SAMPLED			173	· /
		5 Nit AL		
Hillih V				
FISI OUTION OF 30 A				
TSE041101 4/19/10:00 X		14 X X		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
1				
/				
1				
		╷ ╷╴╎╴╴╎╶╴╎╶╴╎		
				
			TUCENAL ADDITIONAL REMARKS	L COOLLR HEMP
SF# RELINQUISHED BY DATE/TIM	ACCI	PIED BY DA		1.0
1 Wayne Debelier 4/11/01/15	5:00 Sent Redt	ĒK L	/	U.C.
	AN AN		11	
<u>·</u>	1/001	C-gnll 4/14	<u>1 07.30</u>	
3		Ť	/	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	DRATORY COPY	YELLOW: REPORT COPY	PINK: CLENPS COPY	

.

•		M	ITKEM CORPOR	RATIO n Form	N					
	117.			· · · ·	/				Page_	_ 0[
Received By:	UV I	Reviewed By	(:	Date:	4/12/0	MITKE	M Proj	ect #:	800	
Client Project:	FORME	L NE I	AILUYS + PIET	Client:	Sc	INT	ECA		Two	
Condition:			Lab Samole ID	HNO ₁	reserva	tion (pl HCI	l) NaOli	VOA Matrix	Comments/F	Remarks/
:			8010601001	12				H	CONECTIVE	Action
1) Custody Seal(s)	Present /	Absent	500	12				14		
1) 0001001 000101	Coolers /	Bølles		· ·				•.		<u>-</u> .
1	Intact / Br	oken								
• • •									4	<u> </u>
2) Custody Seal Number(s)		•		· ·					
		1							,	
		/								
1 4	•	· · ·								
1			·			-				
3) Chain-of-Custody	Present I	Absent								
										¥.
4) Cooler Temperature	C									
Coolant Condition					<u> </u>			[
		•					·			
-) Aidvill(s)	Ĺċ	DEX								. <u></u>
Airbill Number(s)	819663	46610			<u> · · · </u>					
							· <u>·</u>			
	•	.			 				<u>├</u>	
() Camala Palilas	i and		~					1		
o) sample boules.	tratan			1				1		
•	Looking	•		· ·		· ·	·			
	Leaning		7	1	· ·					
Date Received	4	101.								
			1							
Time Received	· · · ·	930	•							
		· · · · · ·		i		*		• •	· · ·	
'OA Matrix Key:					·-	· ·	<u> </u>	·		
3 = Unpreserved Soil		M = MeOH	÷			ļ	· ·	<u> </u>		
JA = Unpreserved Aqueou	ıs	E = Encore	· . ·			<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		
= Both MeOH & NaHSO	4	H = HCI					ļ	-	·	10
$la = NaHSO_{\star}$		A-AIR	<u> </u>			l	<u> </u>		· · ·	
see sample notification	rom (yes	y na	•							

Last Page of Data Report



"Environmental Testing For The New Millennium"

May 15, 2001

Scientech, Inc. 44 Shelter Rock Rd Danbury, CT 06810 Attn: Mr. Bob Peak

RE: Client Project: FORMER NE ALLOYS+METALS, 4/18/01 Samples Lab Project #: 80730

Dear Mr. Peak:

Enclosed please find the data report of the required analyses for the samples associated with the above referenced project. If you have any questions regarding this report, please call me.

We appreciate your business.

Sincere 1.11:1

Edward A. Lawler Laboratory Operations Manager



Client: Scientech, Inc.

Client Project: FORMER NE ALLOYS+METALS

Lab Project: 80730

Date samples received: 4/20/01

Project Narrative

This data report includes the analysis results for two (2) aqueous samples that were received from Scientech, Inc. on April 20, 2001. Analyses were performed per specification in the Chain of Custody form. For reference, a copy of the Mitkem Sample Log-In form is included for cross-referencing the client sample ID and laboratory sample ID.

All of the analyses were performed according to method specifications. No unusual occurrences were noted during sample analysis.

This data report has been reviewed and is authorized for release as evidenced by the signature below.

Edward A. Lawler Laboratory Operations Manager

1A VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET

EPA SAMPLE NO.

			1		~ I
Lab Name: MITKEM COR	PORATION	Contract:	I(041801	
Lab Code: MITKEM (Case No.:	SAS No.: SI	DG No.: 8	80730	
Matrix: (soil/water)	WATER	Lab Sample :	ID: 80730	0001	
Sample wt/vol:	5.000 (g/mL) ML	Lab File ID	: V5C8	591	
Level: (low/med)	LOW	Date Receive	ed: 04/2	0/01	
% Moisture: not dec.		Date Analyz	ed: 04/2	3/01	
GC Column: DB-624	ID: 0.25 (mm)	Dilution Fa	ctor: 2.	0	
Soil Extract Volume:	(uL)	Soil Aliquo	t Volume	:	_(uL)
CAS NO.	COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION UNI (ug/L or ug/Kg) U	TS: G/L	Q	
$\begin{array}{c} 74-87-3\\ 75-01-4\\ 74-83-9\\ 75-00-3\\ 75-69-4\\ 75-35-4\\ 75-34-3\\ 75-34-3\\ 156-59-2\\ 67-66-3\\ 71-55-6\\ 56-23-5\\ 107-06-2\\ 71-43-2\\ 79-01-6\\ 78-87-5\\ 79-01-6\\ 78-87-5\\ 107-06-2\\ 79-01-6\\ 79-01-6\\ 79-01-6\\ 79-01-6\\ 79-01-6\\ 79-01-6\\ 79-01-6\\ 100-4-2\\ 100-4-4\\ 100-41-4\\ 100-41-4\\ 1330-20-7\\ 79-34-5\\ 541-73-1\\ 106-46-7\end{array}$	Chloromethane Vinyl Chloride Bromomethane Trichlorofluor 1,1-Dichloroet Methylene Chlo trans-1,2-Dichloroet cis-1,2-Dichloroet Chloroform 1,1,1-Trichlor Carbon Tetrach Chloroform 1,2-Dichloroether Benzene Trichloroether 1,2-Dichloropt Bromodichlorom 2-Chloroethyl cis-1,3-Dichlor Toluene trans-1,3-Dichlor Tetrachloroeth Dibromochlorom Chlorobenzene Ethylbenzene Xylene (Total) Bromoform 1,1,2,2-Tetrac 1,3-Dichlorobe 1,4-Dichlorobe	comethane comethane chene bride hloroethene chane broethene coethane hloride chane coethane hloride chane copane methane vinyl ether bropropene coethane coethane copane methane coethane coethane copane coethane coethane copane coethane coethane copane coethane coet	3 22 10 10 10 10 10 210 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	J U U U U U U U U U U U U U	

OLM03.0

1A VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET

EPA SAMPLE NO.

	t
Lab Name: MITKEM CORPORATION Contrac	E041801
Lab Code: MITKEM Case No.: SAS No	D.: SDG No.: 80730
Matrix: (soil/water) WATER	Lab Sample ID: 80730002
Sample wt/vol: 5.000 (g/mL) ML	Lab File ID: V5C8590
Level: (low/med) LOW	Date Received: 04/20/01
% Moisture: not dec.	Date Analyzed: 04/23/01
GC Column: DB-624 ID: 0.25 (mm)	Dilution Factor: 1.0
Soil Extract Volume:(uL)	Soil Aliquot Volume:(uL)
CAS NO. COMPOUND (ug,	CENTRATION UNITS: /L or ug/Kg) UG/L Q
74-87-3Chloromethane 75-01-4Vinyl Chloride 74-83-9Bromomethane 75-00-3Chloroethane 75-09-4Chloroethane 75-35-4	5 U 1 J 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 5 U 6 0 7 0 7 0 7 0 7 0 7 0 7 0 7 0 7 0 7 0 7 0 7 0 7 0 8 0 9 0 10 0 11 0 11 0 11 0 11 0 11 0 11 0 11 0 10 0 11 0 11 0 11 0 10 0 <tr< td=""></tr<>

FORM I VOA

1A

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET

EPA SAMPLE NO.

			(
Lab Name: MITKEM CORPORATION Contract	L :	VBLK5U	
Lab Code: MITKEM Case No.: SAS No.	.: SDG	No.: 80730	
Matrix: (soil/water) WATER	Lab Sample ID:	V5B0423A	
Sample wt/vol: 5.000 (g/mL) ML	Lab File ID:	V5C8577	
Level: (low/med) LOW	Date Received:	<u></u>	
% Moisture: not dec.	Date Analyzed:	04/23/01	
GC Column: DB-624 ID: 0.25 (mm)	Dilution Facto	r: 1.0	
Soil Extract Volume:(uL)	Soil Aliquot V	'olume:	(uL)
CONCE CAS NO. COMPOUND (ug/I	ENTRATION UNITS: L or ug/Kg) UG/I	ı Q	
74-87-3Chloromethane 75-01-4Vinyl Chloride 74-83-9Bromomethane 75-00-3Chloroethane 75-09-4Trichlorofluoromethane 75-35-4I,1-Dichloroethene 75-09-2Methylene Chloride 156-60-5Trans-1,2-Dichloroethene 75-34-3	e	55555555555555555555555555555555555555	

OLM03.0

WATER VOLATILE SYSTEM MONITORING COMPOUND RECOVERY

Lab	Name:	MITKEM	CORPORATION	Contract:		
Lab	Code:	MITKEM	Case No.:	SAS No.:	SDG No.:	80730

1	EPA	SMC1	SMC2	SMC3	OTHER	TOT
	SAMPLE NO.	#	(DCE)#	(TOP) #	(BFB)#	OUT
0 7		100	======			===
01		103	100	99	103	
	VBLKSULCS	103	106	106	103	0
03	E041801	105	105	100	102	0
04 05	1041801	TOT	102	100	99	U
05						
00						
07						
08		·				
10						
11						
10						
12					·	
14	·					
15						
16					·	
17	·				·····	
18	·····	·				
19		———				
20	·		<u></u>			
21						
22	·					
23						
24						-
25	<u></u>					
26						
27						
28						
29						<u> </u>
30						
			•	•	·	

QC LIMITS

SMC1	Ξ	Dibromofluoromethane	(79-122)
SMC2 (DCE)	=	1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	(76-121)
SMC3 (TOL)	Ŧ	Toluene-d8	(82-118)
OTHER (BFB)	=	Bromofluorobenzene	(85-120)

Column to be used to flag recovery values

* Values outside of contract required QC limits

FORM II VOA-1

OLM03.0

EPA SAMPLE NO.

4A VOLATILE METHOD BLANK SUMMARY

Lab Name: MITKEM CORPORATION Contract: Lab Code: MITKEM Case No.: SAS No.: SDG No.: 80730 Lab Sample ID: V5B0423A Lab File ID: V5C8577 Date Analyzed: 04/23/01 Time Analyzed: 1641 Heated Purge: (Y/N) N GC Column: DB-624 ID: 0.25 (mm) Instrument ID: V5

THIS METHOD BLANK APPLIES TO THE FOLLOWING SAMPLES, MS and MSD:

	EPA	T.AB	LAB	TTME
	CANDLE NO			
	SAMPLE NO.	SAMPLE ID		AWADIZED
	**********		================	==============================
01	VBLK5ULCS	V5L0423A	V5C8578	1709
02	F041801	80730002	V5C8590	2242
02	1041001	00730001	VECOEOI	2210
03	1041901	19013000T	VSCBSST	2310
04				
05				
06				
07			<u></u>	
07				<u>_</u>
08				
09		İ		
10				
11				
10				
12				
13		[]	j
14				
15				
16				
17]]	
10				[
Τ8				
19		l	l	
20	[
21			/ <u> </u>	
22				
22			·····	
23				
24	[Í	Í	<u></u>
25				
26				
27				
20	<u></u>			
28		1		
29]	
30				

COMMENTS:

page 1 of 1

OLM03.0

VBLK5U



Client:	Scientech	Concentration in:	mg/L
Client ID:	1041801	Analysis Date:	4/28/01
Lab ID:	80730001	Analysis Method:	245.1(Mercury)
Matrix:	Aqueous		200.7(Others)

		Reporting
Analyte	Results	Limit
Aluminum	ND	0.3
Antimony	ND	0.03
Arsenic	ND	0.02
Barium	0.2	0.2
Beryllium	ND	0.006
Cadmium	ND	0.005
Calcium	100	0.8
Chromium	ND	0.02
Cobait	ND	0.05
Copper	0.04	0.03
Iron	2.6	0.3
Lead	0.04	0.01
Magnesium	17	0.5
Manganese	1.2	0.05
Mercury	ND	0.0008
Nickel	ND	0.05
Potassium	7	2
Selenium	ND	0.02
Silver	ND	0.03
Sodium	24	. 1
Thallium	ND	0.01
Vanadium	ND	0.05
Zinc	0.11	0.05

QC Batch: 0426PBW2

ND = Not Detected

.



Client:	Scientech	Concentration in:	mg/L
Client ID:	E041801	Analysis Date:	4/28/01
Lab ID:	80730002	Analysis Method:	245.1(Mercury)
Matrix:	Aqueous		200.7(Others)

		Reporting
Analyte	Results	Limit
Aluminum	ND	0.3
Antimony	ND	0.03
Arsenic	ND	0.02
Barium	0.3	0.2
Beryllium	ND	0.006
Cadmium	ND	0.005
Calcium	110	0.8
Chromium	ND	0.02
Cobait	ND	0.05
Copper	ND	0.03
Iron	8.2	0.3
Lead	0.03	0.01
Magnesium	18	0.5
Manganese	1.6	0.05
Mercury	ND	0.0008
Nickel	ND	0.05
Potassium	8	2
Selenium	ND	0.02
Silver	ND	0.03
Sodium	27	1
Thallium	ND	0.01
Vanadium	ND	0.05
Zinc	ND	0.05

QC Batch: 0426PBW2

ND = Not Detected



Client:	Scientech	Concentration in:	mg/L
Client ID:		Analysis Date:	4/28/01
Lab ID:	Prep Blank, 0426PBW2	Analysis Method:	245.1(Mercury)
Matrix:	Aqueous		200.7(Others)

		Reporting
Analyte	<u>Results</u>	Limit
Aluminum	NO	0.0
		0.3
Antimony	ND	0.03
Arsenic	ND	0.02
Barium	ND	0.2
Beryllium	ND	0.006
Cadmium	ND	0.005
Calcium	ND	0.8
Chromium	ND	0.02
Cobait	ND	0.05
Copper	ND	0.03
iron	ND	0.3
Lead	ND	0.01
Magnesium	ND	0.5
Manganese	ND	0.05
Mercury	ND	0.0008
Nickel	ND	0.05
Potassium	ND	2
Selenium	ND	0.02
Silver	ND	0.03
Sodium	ND	1
Thallium	ND	0.01
Vanadium	ND	0.05
Zinc	ND	0.05

QC Batch: 0426PBW2

ND = Not Detected

80730-PB



Client:	Scientech		
Client ID:		Analysis Date:	4/28/01
Lab ID: Matrix:	Lab Control Sample, 0426LCSW2 Aqueous	Analysis Method:	245.1(Mercury) 200.7(Others)

Analyte	% Recovery
Aluminum	95
Antimony	112
Arsenic	105
Barium	96
Beryllium	97
Cadmium	114
Calcium	97
Chromium	98
Cobalt	99
Copper	103
Iron	97
Lead	113
Magnesium	97
Manganese	97
Mercury	94
Nickel	99
Potassium	96
Selenium	113
Silver	102
Sodium	100
Thallium	114
Vanadium	96
Zinc	98

.

.

QC Batch: 0426PBW2

Client:	Scientech	Concentration in:	mg/L
Client ID:	1041801	Analysis Date:	4/28/01
Lab ID:	80730001	Analysis Method:	245.1(Mercury)
Matrix:	Aqueous		200.7(Others)

		/ Reporting
Analyte	<u>Results</u>	Limit
Aluminum	ND	0.3
Antimony	ND	0.03
Arsenic	ND /	0.02
Barium	0.2	0.2
Beryllium	ND /	0.006
Cadmium	ND (0.005
Calcium	100	0.8
Chromium	ND	0.02
Cobait	ND	0.05
Copper	0.04	0.03
Iron	2.6	0.3
Lead	0.04	0.01
Magnesium	17	0.5
Manganese	1.2	0.05
Mercury	ND	0.0008
Nickel	ND	0.05
Potassium	7	2
Selenium	ND	0.02
Silver	ND	0.03
Sodium	24	1
Thallium	ND	0.01
Vanadium	ND	0.05
Zinc	0.11	0.05

QC Batch: 0426PBW2

м/ Д

ND = Not Detected

Client:	Scientech	Concentration in:	mg/L
Client ID:	E041801	Analysis Date:	4/28/01
Lab ID:	80730002	Analysis Method:	245.1(Mercury)
Matrix:	Aqueous		200.7(Others)

		Reporting
Analyte	<u>Results</u>	Limit
Aluminum	ND	0.3
Antimony	ND	0.0
Arsenic	ND	0.03
Barium	0.3	0.02
Banulin	0.3	0.2
Berymum	ND	0.006
Cadmium	ND	0.005
Calcium	110 •	0.8
Chromium	ND	0.02
Cobalt	ND	0.05
Copper	0.04-115	0.03
Iron	8.2	0.3
Lead	0.03 -	0.01
Magnesium	18	0.5
Manganese	1.6	0.05
Mercury	ND	0.0008
Nickel	ND	0.05
Potassium	8	2
Selenium	ND U	0.02
Silver	ND	0.03
Sodium	27	1
Thallium	ND	0.01
Vanadium	ND	0.05
Zinc	ND	0.05

QC Batch: 0426PBW2

) X

ND = Not Detected

Client:	Scientech	Concentration in:	mg/L
Client ID:		Analysis Date:	4/28/01
Lab ID:	Prep Blank, 0426PBW2	Analysis Method:	245.1(Mercury)
Matrix:	Aqueous		200.7(Others)

		Reporting
Analyte	Results	Limit
Aluminum	ND	0.3
Antimony	ND	0.03
Arsenic	ND	0.02
Barium	ND	0.2
Beryllium	ND	0.006
Cadmium	ND	0.005
Calcium	ND	0.8
Chromium	ND	0.02
Cobait	ND	0.05
Copper	ND	0.03
Iron	ND	0.3
Lead	ND	0.01
Magnesium	ND	0.5
Manganese	ND	0.05
Mercury	ND	0.0008
Nickel	ND	0.05
Potassium	ND	2
Selenium	ND	0.02
Silver	ND	0.03
Sodium	ND	1
Thallium	ND	0.01
Vanadium	ND	0.05
Zinc	ND	0.05

QC Batch: 0426PBW2

ND = Not Detected

Client:	Scientech		
Client ID:		Analysis Date:	4/28/01
Lab ID:	Lab Control Sample, 0426LCSW2	Analysis Method:	245.1(Mercury)
Matrix:	Aqueous		200.7(Others)

Analyte	% Recovery
A.L	05
Aluminum	90
Antimony	112
Arsenic	105
Barium	96
Beryllium	97
Cadmium	114
Calcium	97
Chromium	98
Cobalt	99
Copper	103
Iron	97
Lead	113
Magnesium	97
Manganese	97
Mercury	94
Nickel	99
Potassium	96
Selenium	113
Silver	102
Sodium	100
Thallium	114
Vanadium	96
Zinc	98

QC Batch: 0426PBW2



Client: Scientech, Inc. Matrix: Aqueous Client ID: GTSI041801 Lab ID: 80730001 Reporting Analysis Analysis Analyte **Results** <u>Limit</u> <u>Units</u> Date Method Hardness 320 mg equiv CaCO3/L 4/28/01 4 SM2340 B pН 7.3 S.U. SM 4500-H+ 4/20/01

10

10

mg/L

mg/L

SM 2540-C

SM 2540-D

4/20/01

4/20/01

480

ND

ND = Not Detected

Total Dissolved Solids

Total Suspended Solids



Client: Client ID: Lab ID:	Scientech, Inc. E041801 80730002		Matrix: Aqueous			
Analyte		<u>Results</u>	Reporting <u>Limit</u>	<u>Units</u>	Analysis <u>Method</u>	Analysis <u>Date</u>
Hardness		350	4	mg equiv CaCO3/L	SM2340 B	4/28/01


Analysis Report: Wet Chemistry Parameters

Client: Scientech, Inc. Matrix: Aqueous Client ID: Lab ID: Prep Blank Reporting Analysis Analysis <u>Limit</u> <u>Units</u> Analyte <u>Date</u> <u>Results</u> **Method** Hardness ND 4 mg equiv CaCO3/L SM2340 B 4/28/01 **Total Dissolved Solids** ND 10 SM 2540-C 4/20/01 mg/L **Total Suspended Solids** ND 10 mg/L SM 2540-D 4/20/01

ND = Not Detected



Analysis Report: Wet Chemistry Parameters

Client: Client ID:	Scientech, Inc.			Matrix: Aqueous
Lab ID:	Lab Control Sample			
			Analysis	Analysis
Analyte		% Recovery	Method	Date

Total Dissolved Solids	90	SM 2540-C	4/20/01
Total Suspended Solids	100	SM 2540-D	4/20/01

80730-LCS

Lab Workorder #: 80730 Date Opened: 04/20/01 14:58 Date Closed: 04/20/01 15:00 05/04/01 GTSE041801 05/04/01 GTSI041801 < Notes Project Status: WP Logged In By: Reviewed By: Due Received Original 04/18/01 14:00 04/20/01 04/20/01 MITKEM CORPORATION 04/18/01 13:45 Collected \$110.00 \$115.00 \$15.00 \$15.00 \$20.00 \$115.00 \$110.00 Analysis Code Price 160.2W TSS S2340WHarB S4500BW pH 160.1W TDS Page 1 of 1 TALMETW CALMETW 624 624 Scientech, Inc. FORMER NE ALLOYS + METALS SAMPLE SAMPLE Matrix Type **Commercial Reports** ≥ ≥ 202 \$525.00 80730 Project / Profile Name: Routine 05/04/01 04/20/01 03:00 PM Client ID Lab Workorder ID: 80730002 E041801 1041801 Customer Service: Client Proj ID: i.ab Workorder Completed?: Client PO #: **Fotal Price:** Del Req'd: Date Due: 80730001 <u>Lab ID</u> Client:

INVOICE AND REPORT GO TO:

\$525.00

Total Price:

\$20.00

S2340WHarB

Bob Peak Scientech, Inc. 44 Shelter Rock Road Danbury, CT, 06810 W: (203)796-5000 F : (203)792-3168

MITKEM	175 Metro	Cente	r Boul	levard																	2	1	
CORPORATION	Warwick, Rhou	ie Isla	100	886-1°	755		C	CHA	NIN	I-O)F-(<u>CU</u>	ST	<u>'OI</u>	<u>)Y</u>	<u>REC</u>	<u>:OF</u>	<u>RD</u>		Page		of	
	email: mitk	em@i	mitker	n.com																			
REPORT TO														INV	OICE	то						DROILCT	· # ·]
IMPANY CO. O.	Tech Tu				PHON	^{1E} JO	3-	Сом	'ANY								PHON	4E				rkoner	.
	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$	<u> </u>			FAX	$\frac{1}{203}$	S and	NAM	2	$\overline{}$			P				FAX				5	30-73	RC
1500	MC PEOK	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	l	70	12-3168			_~			$\cdot \cup$				_l				TUR	NAROUNI	D TIMF
DRESS 44 S	helter Ri	Sc.k	<u> </u>	Zd.	<u> </u>			ADDI	<u> </u>				<u> </u>										
TY/ST/ZIP Dank	JURY, C	T		0	68	10		CITY	ST/ZH) 				<u> </u>									
HENT PROJECT NAME:	Alloys +	CLIE	NT PR	OJECT	`#: _		CLIENT P.O.#:					シ	Δ		F	REQUESTE	D ANA	LYSE	s ,	,	,	,	
Notals 11	The NV		311	05	t			ļ				Ì	\widetilde{g}	· /		12	[]	· /			/ /		
U Circler	inca, Mr.		[<u> </u>	l		S			\mathcal{O}	7.5	/ -		7n	1 S -							
		:11						INE			ď.	63	iN	I.	S,	N 1	/ /				/		
SAMPLE	DATE/TIME	ISOd	۲P	TER	or	HER	LABID	NTA			7≺	77	Ý.,	/	¥E	7 /					C	OMMEN1	`S
IDENTIFICATION	SAMPLED	WO.	5	W/	Ś	6		00		Ĭ,		\mathbf{A}	7	\mathcal{A}	Ì	/ /	/ /						
)						i0 ≢		<u>7</u>	Y	<u>77</u>	7, 7	1 3	2			/	\square				
ZTST DY BOI	4/18/01/13:116			X			CI -	6	X	X	X	X	X	X									
ZTSENHIMI	4/15/11/11:00		[X			<i>с</i> 7 -	4	X	X				\mathbf{X}									
	/																						
	/		ł		<u> </u>	<u> </u>																	
	1			1			·										1	1					
<u> </u>	/							-			<u> </u>						-	1					
	(+				<u> </u>	<u> </u>	ļ			1	1.	1			• • • • •	
a.u.,	, ,			╂			_		—								-		1			<u> </u>	
·····				<u> </u>													+		<u> </u>				··· · <u> </u>
	1				┟	 												1					
			 																				
	/			ļ	ļ		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·																
	/				Ļ	 		DIED						7TIME		ADDITION	I NAL RE	MARI	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		COOLE	RTEMP
SF# RELINQU	ISHED BY			2/11ML	<u>.</u>	C	ALCE	J.V	51	<u>-</u>	÷	11/1		/ 100	<u></u>				,				
1 mayne ver		4	10	/ 12	, LC PM	2	reg	1 E X			<u></u>	711	I OI	12	۳Å_								× .
20				/		k	1/ 15	¥		' (/	ell.	, <i>i</i> 1	/ .	ان ر-								
				<u></u> .		\vdash	-1 fle	(/\ \	<u>-r</u>	<u>,</u>	<u> </u>	7/	-µ-l	<u> </u>									
3		ŀ		1										/									

,

MITKEM CORPORATION Sample Condition Form

Received By:	AL Reviewed B	Date: MITKEM Project #:									
Slient Project:	time ? ac		Client:								
			P	reserva	tion (pl	-1)	VOA	Comments/Person (
Sondition:		Lab Sample ID	HNO3	H2SO1	HCI	NaOH	Matrix	Corrective Action*			
•	•	Se PECON									
1) Custody Seal(s)	Present / AbsenC	4									
	Coolers Leottles			·			·				
	latact / Brokan							-			
•	illiac(7 bloken										
				•							
2) Custody Seal Number(s)	·										
	·							-			
4	• • •										
,		•			-						
3) Chain-of-Custody	Present / Absent					·					
on on our of our of y					<u>vē</u> n						
	· ·										
1) Cooler Temperature											
Coolant Condition				·							
	•			 							
Airbill(s)	TA DOTX						· .				
Airbill Number(s)	State and Car	>						•			
			-								
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			·				•			
		· · · ·						· · ·			
.) Sample Bollies (Intact			· · ·	<u> </u>			·			
:	Broken					<u> </u>	<u></u>	· · ·			
•	Leaking						ļ				
· · ·		. 4	·	·		ļ	·				
Date Received	Slaciel.				· ·						
					[·				
Time Received	CGUN	•									
					r		• .				
1 Matrix Kev	· · · · ·			· ·	· ·		·				
- Hannand Sal		÷			<u> </u>	<u> </u>					
	M = MeOH	· · · ·									
a = Unpreserved Aqueous	F = Fucore				<u> </u>						
BOILI MECH & NAHSO	n=nw						<u> </u>				
$1 = NaHSO_4$	A= AIR					l	<u> </u>				

Last Page of Data Report