

DATA REVIEW FOR
MCKESSON - BEAR STREET SITE

SDG# BEL0448

VOLATILE AND
SEMIVOLATILE ANALYSES

Analyses performed by:

Buck Environmental Laboratories
Cortland, New York

Review performed by:



Blasland, Bouck & Lee, Inc.
Syracuse, New York

VOLATILE ANALYSES

METHOD 8260

Introduction

Analyses were performed according to USEPA method 8260 as referenced in the NYSDEC ASP.

The data review process is an evaluation of data on a technical basis rather than a determination of contract compliance. As such, the standards against which the data are being weighed may differ from those specified in the analytical method. It is assumed that the data package represents the best efforts of the laboratory and had already been subjected to adequate and sufficient quality review prior to submission.

During the review process, laboratory qualified and unqualified data are verified against the supporting documentation. Based on this evaluation, qualifier codes may be added, deleted, or modified by the data reviewer. Results are qualified with the following codes in accordance with National Functional Guidelines:

- U The compound was analyzed for but not detected. The associated value is the compound quantitation limit.
- J The compound was positively identified; however, the associated numerical value is an estimated concentration only.
- B The compound has been found in the sample as well as its associated blank, its presence in the sample may be suspect.
- N The analysis indicates the presence of a compound for which there is presumptive evidence to make a tentative identification.
- JN The analysis indicates the presence of a compound for which there is presumptive evidence to make a tentative identification. The associated numerical value is an estimated concentration only.
- E The compound was quantitated above the calibration range.
- D Concentration is based on a diluted sample analysis.
- UJ The compound was not detected above the reported sample quantitation limit. However, the reported limit is approximate and may or may not represent the actual limit of quantitation.
- R The sample results are rejected.

Two facts should be noted by all data users. First, the "R" flag means that the associated value is unusable. In other words, due to significant QC problems, the analysis is invalid and provides no information as to whether the compound is present or not. "R" values should not appear on data tables because they cannot be relied upon, even as a last resort. The second fact to keep in mind is that no compound concentration, even if it has passed all QC test, is guaranteed to be accurate. Strict QC serves to increase confidence in data but any value potentially contains error.

Data Assessment

1. Holding Time

The specified holding time for volatile analyses under the Quality Assurance Project Plan (QAPP) is 7 days from sample receipt. The technical holding time is 14 days from sample collection to analysis.

All samples were analyzed within the specified technical holding time.

2. Blank Contamination

Quality assurance blanks (i.e., method, trip, field, or rinse blanks) are prepared to identify any contamination which may have been introduced into the samples during sample preparation or field activity. Method blanks measure laboratory contamination. Trip blanks measure contamination of samples during shipment. Field and rinse blanks measure contamination of samples during field operations.

No target compounds were detected in the method or trip blanks.

3. Mass Spectrometer Tuning

Mass spectrometer performance was acceptable.

4. Calibration

Satisfactory instrument calibration is established to insure that the instrument is capable of producing acceptable quantitative data. An initial calibration demonstrates that the instrument is capable of acceptable performance at the beginning of an experimental sequence. The continuing calibration verifies that the instrument daily performance is satisfactory.

4.1 Initial Calibration

The method specifies various percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) limits for select compounds and allows two outliers. A technical review of the data applies a RSD limit of 30% to all compounds with no exceptions.

The %RSD were less than 30% and the response factors were greater than 0.05 for all compounds.

4.2 Continuing Calibration

All continuing calibration standards were within 25% difference (%D) of the initial calibration.

5. Surrogates / System Monitoring Compounds

All samples to be analyzed for organic compounds are spiked with surrogate compounds prior to sample preparation to evaluate overall laboratory performance and efficiency of the analytical technique.

All surrogate recoveries were within control limits.

6. Internal Standard Performance

Internal standard performance criteria insure that the GC/MS sensitivity and response are stable during every experimental run.

All internal standard areas and retention times were within established limits.

7. Compound Identification

Target compounds are identified on the GC/MS by using the analyte's relative retention time and ion spectra.

Methylene chloride exceeded the linear range in sample DUP-2. Data for methylene chloride have been replaced with data from the dilution analyses in sample DUP-2. All other identified compounds met the specified criteria.

8. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate/Matrix Spike Blank

Matrix and matrix spike duplicate (MS/MSD) data are used to assess the precision and accuracy of the analytical method relative to the sample matrix. Matrix spike blank (MSB) data is used to assess the precision and accuracy of the analytical method independent of matrix interferences.

All MS/MSD recoveries and relative percent differences between recoveries were within control limits. All MSB recoveries were also within control limits.

9. Field Duplicates

Results for duplicate samples are summarized as follows:

Sample ID/ Duplicate ID	Analyte	Sample Result	Duplicate Result	RPD
MW-28 / DUP-1	benzene	ND	4J	NA
	ethylbenzene	ND	5J	NA
	o-xylene	ND	3J	NA
MW-27 / DUP-2	acetone	ND	28	NA
	benzene	ND	4J	NA
	methylene chloride	310	490	45.0%

Sample ID/ Duplicate ID	Analyte	Sample Result	Duplicate Result	RPD
MW-27 / DUP-2	toluene	ND	2J	NA

ND not detected.

NA Analyte not detected in sample and/or duplicate. RPD not applicable.

Duplicate results are acceptable.

10. System Performance and Overall Assessment

Overall system performance was acceptable. Other than for those deviations specifically mentioned in this review, the overall data quality is within the guidelines listed in the analytical method.

Data Validation Checklist

Volatile Organics Data Validation Checklist

	YES	NO	NA
<u>Data Completeness and Deliverables</u>			
Have any missing deliverables been received and added to the data package?	_____	<u> X </u>	_____
Is there a narrative or cover letter present?	<u> X </u>	_____	_____
Are the sample numbers included in the narrative?	_____	<u> X </u>	_____
Are the sample chain-of-custodies present?	<u> X </u>	_____	_____
Do the chain-of-custodies indicate any problems with sample receipt or sample condition?	_____	<u> X </u>	_____
<u>Holding Times</u>			
Have any holding times been exceeded?	_____	<u> X </u>	_____
<u>Surrogate Recovery</u>			
Are surrogate recovery forms present?	<u> X </u>	_____	_____
Are all the samples listed on the appropriate surrogate recovery form?	<u> X </u>	_____	_____
Was one or more surrogate recoveries outside of specified limits for any sample or blank?	_____	<u> X </u>	_____
If yes, were the samples reanalyzed?	_____	_____	<u> X </u>
<u>Matrix Spikes</u>			
Is there a matrix spike recovery form present?	<u> X </u>	_____	_____
Were matrix spikes analyzed at the required frequency?	<u> X </u>	_____	_____
How many spike recoveries were outside of QC limits? <u> 0 </u> out of <u> 10 </u>			
How many RPDs for matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate were outside of QC limits? <u> 0 </u> out of <u> 5 </u>			
<u>Blanks</u>			
Is the method blank summary form present?	<u> X </u>	_____	_____
Has a method blank been analyzed for each set of samples or for each 20 samples, whichever is more frequent?	<u> X </u>	_____	_____
Has a blank been analyzed at least once every twelve hours for each system used?	<u> X </u>	_____	_____
Do any method/reagent/instrument blanks have positive results?	_____	<u> X </u>	_____
Are there trip/field/rinse/equipment blanks associated with every sample?	<u> X </u>	_____	_____
Do any trip/field/rinse blanks have positive results?	_____	<u> X </u>	_____

Volatile Organics Data Validation Checklist - Page 2

	YES	NO	NA
<u>Tuning and Mass Calibration</u>			
Are the GC/MS tuning forms present for BFB?	<u>X</u>	_____	_____
Are the bar graph spectrum and mass/charge listing provided for each BFB?	<u>X</u>	_____	_____
Has a BFB been analyzed for each twelve hours of analysis per instrument?	<u>X</u>	_____	_____
Have the ion abundance criteria been met for each instrument used?	<u>X</u>	_____	_____
<u>Target Analytes</u>			
Is an organics analysis data sheet present for each of the following:			
Samples	<u>X</u>	_____	_____
Matrix spikes	<u>X</u>	_____	_____
Blanks	<u>X</u>	_____	_____
Are the reconstructed ion chromatograms present for each of the following:			
Samples	<u>X</u>	_____	_____
Matrix spikes	<u>X</u>	_____	_____
Blanks	<u>X</u>	_____	_____
Is the chromatographic performance acceptable?	<u>X</u>	_____	_____
Are the mass spectra of the identified compounds present?	<u>X</u>	_____	_____
Is the RRT of each reported compound within 0.06 RRT units of the continuing calibration standard?	<u>X</u>	_____	_____
Are all ions present in the standard mass spectrum at a relative intensity of 10% or greater also present in the sample spectrum?	<u>X</u>	_____	_____
Do the samples and standard relative ion intensities agree within 20%?	<u>X</u>	_____	_____
<u>Tentatively Identified Compounds</u>			
Are all the TIC summary forms present?	_____	<u>X</u>	_____
Are the mass spectra for the tentatively identified compounds and there associated "best match" spectra present?	_____	_____	<u>X</u>
Are any target compounds listed as TICs?	_____	_____	<u>X</u>
Are all ions present in the reference mass spectrum with a relative intensity greater than 10% also present in the sample mass spectrum?	_____	_____	<u>X</u>

Volatile Organics Data Validation Checklist - Page 3

	YES	NO	NA
Do the TIC and "best match" spectrum agree within 20%?	_____	_____	<u> X </u>
<u>Quantitation and Detection Limits</u>			
Are there any transcription/calculation errors in the Form 1 results?	_____	<u> X </u>	_____
Are the reporting limits adjusted to reflect sample dilutions, and for soils, sample moisture?	<u> X </u>	_____	_____
<u>Standard Data</u>			
Are the quantitation reports and reconstructed ion chromatograms present for the initial and continuing calibration standards?	<u> X </u>	_____	_____
<u>Initial Calibration</u>			
Are the initial calibration forms present for each instrument used?	<u> X </u>	_____	_____
Are the response factor RSDs within specified limits?	<u> X </u>	_____	_____
Are the average RRF equal to or greater than minimum requirements?	<u> X </u>	_____	_____
Are there any transcription/calculation errors in reporting the RRF or RSD?	_____	<u> X </u>	_____
<u>Continuing Calibration</u>			
Are the continuing calibration forms present for each day and each instrument?	<u> X </u>	_____	_____
Has a continuing calibration standard been analyzed for each twelve hours of analysis per instrument?	<u> X </u>	_____	_____
All %D within acceptable limits?	<u> X </u>	_____	_____
Are all RF equal to or greater than minimum requirements?	<u> X </u>	_____	_____
Are there any transcription/calculation errors in reporting of RF or %D?	_____	<u> X </u>	_____
<u>Internal Standards</u>			
Are internal standard areas of every sample and blank within the upper and lower limits for each continuing calibration?	<u> X </u>	_____	_____
Are the retention times of the internal standards within 30 seconds of the associated calibration standard?	<u> X </u>	_____	_____
<u>Field Duplicates</u>			
Were field duplicates submitted with the samples?	<u> X </u>	_____	_____

Volatile Calibration Outliers

Instrument: MSD3

Matrix: water

Level: low

Date/Time	11/12/04		11/12/04 0708		11/12/04 1741		11/12/04 0708			
	Initial Cal.		Cont. Cal.		Cont. Cal.		Cont. Cal.		Cont. Cal.	
	RF	%RSD	RF	%D	RF	%D	RF	%D	RF	%D
Methylene chloride										
Acetone										
Trichloroethene										
Benzene										
Toluene										
Ethylbenzene										
m,p-xylene										
o-xylene										
Affected Samples:										

Corrected Sample Analysis Data Sheets

1A

EPA SAMPLE NO.

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET

DUP-1

Lab Name: Buck Environmental Labs, Inc Contract: BLASLANDLab Code: 10795

Case No.:

SAS No.:

SDG No.: BEL0448Matrix: (soil/water) WATERLab Sample ID: 0411082-11BSample wt/vol: 5 (g/mL) MLLab File ID: \A0901009.Level: (low/med) LOWDate Received: 11/05/04

% Moisture: not dec.

Date Analyzed: 11/12/04GC Column: J&W, DB624 ID: .18 (mm)Dilution Factor: 1.00

Soil Extract Volume: _____ (µL)

Soil Aliquot Volume _____ (µL)

CONCENTRATION UNITS:

CAS NO.	COMPOUND	(µg/L or µg/Kg)	UG/L	Q
67-64-1	Acetone		25	U
71-43-2	Benzene		4	J
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene		5	J
75-09-2	Methylene chloride		10	U
108-88-3	Toluene		10	U
79-01-6	Trichloroethene		10	U
1330-20-7	m, p-Xylene		20	U
95-47-6	o-Xylene		3	J

1A

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET

EPA SAMPLE NO.

DUP-2

Lab Name: Buck Environmental Labs, Inc Contract: BLASLANDLab Code: 10795 Case No.: _____ SAS No.: _____ SDG No.: BEL0448Matrix: (soil/water) WATER Lab Sample ID: 0411082-12BSample wt/vol: 5 (g/mL) ML Lab File ID: \A0801008.Level: (low/med) LOW Date Received: 11/05/04% Moisture: not dec. Date Analyzed: 11/12/04GC Column: J&W, DB624 ID: .18 (mm) Dilution Factor: 1.00

Soil Extract Volume: _____ (µL) Soil Aliquot Volume: _____ (µL)

CONCENTRATION UNITS:

CAS NO.	COMPOUND	(µg/L or µg/Kg)	UG/L	Q
67-64-1	Acetone		28	
71-43-2	Benzene		4	J
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene		10	U
75-09-2	Methylene chloride		10 490	ED
108-88-3	Toluene		2	J
79-01-6	Trichloroethene		10	U
1330-20-7	m, p-Xylene		20	U
95-47-6	o-Xylene		10	U

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET

DUP-2DL

Lab Name: Buck Environmental Labs, Inc Contract: BLASLANDLab Code: 10795 Case No.: _____ SAS No.: _____ SDG No.: BEL0448Matrix: (soil/water) WATER Lab Sample ID: 0411082-12BSample wt/vol: 5 (g/mL) ML Lab File ID: \0801008.DLevel: (low/med) LOW Date Received: 11/05/04% Moisture: not dec. Date Analyzed: 11/13/04GC Column: J&W,DB624 ID: .18 (mm) Dilution Factor: 5.00

Soil Extract Volume: _____ (µL) Soil Aliquot Volume _____ (µL)

CONCENTRATION UNITS:

CAS NO.	COMPOUND	(µg/L or µg/Kg)	UG/L	Q
67-64-1	Acetone		120	U
71-43-2	Benzene		50	U
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene		50	U
75-09-2	Methylene chloride		490	
108-88-3	Toluene		50	U
79-01-6	Trichloroethene		50	U
1330-20-7	m,p-Xylene		100	U
95-47-6	o-Xylene		50	U

1A

EPA SAMPLE NO.

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET

MW-35

Lab Name: Buck Environmental Labs, Inc Contract: _____Lab Code: 10795 Case No.: C SAS No.: _____ SDG No.: BEL0448Matrix: (soil/water) WATER Lab Sample ID: 0411097-02BSample wt/vol: 5 (g/mL) ML Lab File ID: \A1701017.Level: (low/med) LOW Date Received: 11/05/04% Moisture: not dec. Date Analyzed: 11/13/04GC Column: J&W,DB624 ID: .18 (mm) Dilution Factor: 1.00

Soil Extract Volume: _____ (µL) Soil Aliquot Volume _____ (µL)

CONCENTRATION UNITS:

CAS NO.	COMPOUND	(µg/L or µg/Kg)	UG/L	Q
67-64-1	Acetone		25	U
71-43-2	Benzene		10	U
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene		10	U
75-09-2	Methylene chloride		10	U
108-88-3	Toluene		10	U
79-01-6	Trichloroethene		10	U
1330-20-7	m,p-Xylene		20	U
95-47-6	o-Xylene		10	U

1A

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET

EPA SAMPLE NO.

MW-888

Lab Name: Buck Environmental Labs, Inc Contract: _____Lab Code: 10795 Case No.: C SAS No.: _____ SDG No.: BEL0448Matrix: (soil/water) WATER Lab Sample ID: 0411097-01BSample wt/vol: 5 (g/mL) ML Lab File ID: \A1401014.Level: (low/med) LOW Date Received: 11/05/04% Moisture: not dec. Date Analyzed: 11/13/04GC Column: J&W,DB624 ID: .18 (mm) Dilution Factor: 100.00

Soil Extract Volume: _____ (µL) Soil Aliquot Volume _____ (µL)

CONCENTRATION UNITS:

CAS NO.	COMPOUND	(µg/L or µg/Kg)	UG/L	Q
67-64-1	Acetone		2500	U
71-43-2	Benzene		1000	U
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene		1000	U
75-09-2	Methylene chloride		9500	
108-88-3	Toluene		1000	U
79-01-6	Trichloroethene		1000	U
1330-20-7	m, p-Xylene		2000	U
95-47-6	o-Xylene		1000	U

1A

EPA SAMPLE NO.

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET

MW-8SR

Lab Name: Buck Environmental Labs, Inc Contract: _____Lab Code: 10795 Case No.: C SAS No.: _____ SDG No.: BEL0448Matrix: (soil/water) WATER Lab Sample ID: 0411097-01BSample wt/vol: 5 (g/mL) ML Lab File ID: \0901009.DLevel: (low/med) LOW Date Received: 11/05/04% Moisture: not dec. Date Analyzed: 11/13/04GC Column: J&W,DB624 ID: .18 (mm) Dilution Factor: 50.00

Soil Extract Volume: _____ (µL) Soil Aliquot Volume: _____ (µL)

CONCENTRATION UNITS:

CAS NO.	COMPOUND	(µg/L or µg/Kg)	UG/L	Q
67-64-1	Acetone		1200	U
71-43-2	Benzene		500	U
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene		500	U
75-09-2	Methylene chloride		10000	D
108-88-3	Toluene		100	DJ
79-01-6	Trichloroethene		500	U
1330-20-7	m, p-Xylene		110	DJ
95-47-6	o-Xylene		54	DJ

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET

MW-23

Lab Name: Buck Environmental Labs, Inc Contract: BLASLANDLab Code: 10795 Case No.: _____ SAS No.: _____ SDG No.: BEL0448Matrix: (soil/water) WATER Lab Sample ID: 0411082-08BSample wt/vol: 5 (g/mL) ML Lab File ID: \A1801018.Level: (low/med) LOW Date Received: 11/05/04% Moisture: not dec. Date Analyzed: 11/13/04GC Column: J&W,DB624 ID: .18 (mm) Dilution Factor: 5.00

Soil Extract Volume: _____ (µL) Soil Aliquot Volume _____ (µL)

CONCENTRATION UNITS:

CAS NO.	COMPOUND	(µg/L or µg/Kg)	UG/L	Q
67-64-1	Acetone		120.	U
71-43-2	Benzene		50	U
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene		50	U
75-09-2	Methylene chloride		50	U
108-88-3	Toluene		50	U
79-01-6	Trichloroethene		50	U
1330-20-7	m,p-Xylene		100	U
95-47-6	o-Xylene		50	U

1A

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET

EPA SAMPLE NO.

MW-34

Lab Name: Buck Environmental Labs, Inc Contract: BLASLANDLab Code: 10795 Case No.: _____ SAS No.: _____ SDG No.: BEL0448Matrix: (soil/water) WATER Lab Sample ID: 0411082-02BSample wt/vol: 5 (g/mL) ML Lab File ID: \A1101011.Level: (low/med) LOW Date Received: 11/05/04% Moisture: not dec. Date Analyzed: 11/12/04GC Column: J&W, DB624 ID: .18 (mm) Dilution Factor: 1.00

Soil Extract Volume: _____ (µL) Soil Aliquot Volume _____ (µL)

CONCENTRATION UNITS:

CAS NO.	COMPOUND	(µg/L or µg/Kg)	UG/L	Q
67-64-1	Acetone		25	U
71-43-2	Benzene		10	U
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene		10	U
75-09-2	Methylene chloride		10	U
108-88-3	Toluene		10	U
79-01-6	Trichloroethene		10	U
1330-20-7	m, p-Xylene		20	U
95-47-6	o-Xylene		10	U

1A

EPA SAMPLE NO.

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET

MW-35

Lab Name: Buck Environmental Labs, Inc Contract: BLASLANDLab Code: 10795 Case No.: _____ SAS No.: _____ SDG No.: BEL0448Matrix: (soil/water) WATER Lab Sample ID: 0411082-03BSample wt/vol: 5 (g/mL) ML Lab File ID: \A1201012.Level: (low/med) LOW Date Received: 11/05/04% Moisture: not dec. Date Analyzed: 11/12/04GC Column: J&W,DB624 ID: .18 (mm) Dilution Factor: 1.00

Soil Extract Volume: _____ (µL) Soil Aliquot Volume _____ (µL)

CONCENTRATION UNITS:

CAS NO.	COMPOUND	(µg/L or µg/Kg)	UG/L	Q
67-64-1	Acetone		25	U
71-43-2	Benzene		10	U
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene		10	U
75-09-2	Methylene chloride		10	U
108-88-3	Toluene		10	U
79-01-6	Trichloroethene		10	U
1330-20-7	m, p-Xylene		20	U
95-47-6	o-Xylene		10	U

1A
VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET

EPA SAMPLE NO.

MW-36

Lab Name: Buck Environmental Labs, Inc Contract: BLASLAND

Lab Code: 10795 Case No.: _____ SAS No.: _____ SDG No.: BEL0448

Matrix: (soil/water) WATER Lab Sample ID: 0411082-04B

Sample wt/vol: 5 (g/mL) ML Lab File ID: \A1301013.

Level: (low/med) LOW Date Received: 11/05/04

% Moisture: not dec. Date Analyzed: 11/12/04

GC Column: J&W,DB624 ID: .18 (mm) Dilution Factor: 1.00

Soil Extract Volume: _____ (μL) Soil Aliquot Volume _____ (μL)

CONCENTRATION UNITS:

CAS NO.	COMPOUND	(μg/L or μg/Kg)	UG/L	Q
67-64-1	Acetone		13	J
71-43-2	Benzene		10	U
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene		10	U
75-09-2	Methylene chloride		10	U
108-88-3	Toluene		10	U
79-01-6	Trichloroethene		10	U
1330-20-7	m,p-Xylene		20	U
95-47-6	o-Xylene		10	U

1A

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET

EPA SAMPLE NO.

TW-02RR

Lab Name: Buck Environmental Labs, Inc Contract: BLASLANDLab Code: 10795 Case No.: _____ SAS No.: _____ SDG No.: BEL0448Matrix: (soil/water) WATER Lab Sample ID: 0411082-01BSample wt/vol: 5 (g/mL) ML Lab File ID: \A1001010.Level: (low/med) LOW Date Received: 11/05/04% Moisture: not dec. Date Analyzed: 11/12/04GC Column: J&W,DB624 ID: .18 (mm) Dilution Factor: 1.00

Soil Extract Volume: _____ (µL) Soil Aliquot Volume _____ (µL)

CONCENTRATION UNITS:

CAS NO.	COMPOUND	(µg/L or µg/Kg)	UG/L	Q
67-64-1	Acetone		18	J
71-43-2	Benzene		4	J
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene		4	J
75-09-2	Methylene chloride		10	U
108-88-3	Toluene		8	J
79-01-6	Trichloroethene		10	U
1330-20-7	m, p-Xylene		10	J
95-47-6	o-Xylene		6	J

1A

EPA SAMPLE NO.

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET

TRIP BLANK

Lab Name: Buck Environmental Labs, Inc Contract: BLASLANDLab Code: 10795 Case No.: _____ SAS No.: _____ SDG No.: BEL0448Matrix: (soil/water) WATER Lab Sample ID: 0411082-13ASample wt/vol: 5 (g/mL) ML Lab File ID: \A0701007.Level: (low/med) LOW Date Received: 11/05/04% Moisture: not dec. Date Analyzed: 11/12/04GC Column: J&W, DB624 ID: .18 (mm) Dilution Factor: 1.00

Soil Extract Volume: _____ (µL) Soil Aliquot Volume _____ (µL)

CONCENTRATION UNITS:

CAS NO.	COMPOUND	(µg/L or µg/Kg)	UG/L	Q
67-64-1	Acetone		25	U
71-43-2	Benzene		10	U
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene		10	U
75-09-2	Methylene chloride		10	U
108-88-3	Toluene		10	U
79-01-6	Trichloroethene		10	U
1330-20-7	m, p-Xylene		20	U
95-47-6	o-Xylene		10	U

1A

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET

EPA SAMPLE NO.

TRIP BLANK

Lab Name: Buck Environmental Labs, Inc Contract: _____Lab Code: 10795 Case No.: C SAS No.: _____ SDG No.: BEL0448Matrix: (soil/water) WATER Lab Sample ID: 0411097-03ASample wt/vol: 5 (g/mL) ML Lab File ID: \A1601016.Level: (low/med) LOW Date Received: 11/05/04% Moisture: not dec. Date Analyzed: 11/13/04GC Column: J&W,DB624 ID: .18 (mm) Dilution Factor: 1.00

Soil Extract Volume: _____ (µL) Soil Aliquot Volume _____ (µL)

CONCENTRATION UNITS:

CAS NO.	COMPOUND	(µg/L or µg/Kg)	UG/L	Q
67-64-1	Acetone		25	U
71-43-2	Benzene		10	U
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene		10	U
75-09-2	Methylene chloride		10	U
108-88-3	Toluene		10	U
79-01-6	Trichloroethene		10	U
1330-20-7	m, p-Xylene		20	U
95-47-6	o-Xylene		10	U

VOLATILE ANALYSES

METHOD 8015

Introduction

Analyses were performed according to USEPA method 8015 as referenced in the NYSDEC ASP.

The data review process is an evaluation of data on a technical basis rather than a determination of contract compliance. As such, the standards against which the data are being weighed may differ from those specified in the analytical method. It is assumed that the data package represents the best efforts of the laboratory and had already been subjected to adequate and sufficient quality review prior to submission.

During the review process, laboratory qualified and unqualified data are verified against the supporting documentation. Based on this evaluation, qualifier codes may be added, deleted, or modified by the data reviewer. Results are qualified with the following codes in accordance with National Functional Guidelines:

- U The compound was analyzed for but not detected. The associated value is the compound quantitation limit.
- J The compound was positively identified; however, the associated numerical value is an estimated concentration only.
- B The compound has been found in the sample as well as its associated blank, its presence in the sample may be suspect.
- N The analysis indicates the presence of a compound for which there is presumptive evidence to make a tentative identification.
- JN The analysis indicates the presence of a compound for which there is presumptive evidence to make a tentative identification. The associated numerical value is an estimated concentration only.
- E The compound was quantitated above the calibration range.
- D Concentration is based on a diluted sample analysis.
- UJ The compound was not detected above the reported sample quantitation limit. However, the reported limit is approximate and may or may not represent the actual limit of quantitation.
- R The sample results are rejected.

Two facts should be noted by all data users. First, the "R" flag means that the associated value is unusable. In other words, due to significant QC problems, the analysis is invalid and provides no information as to whether the compound is present or not. "R" values should not appear on data tables because they cannot be relied upon, even as a last resort. The second fact to keep in mind is that no compound concentration, even if it has passed all QC test, is guaranteed to be accurate. Strict QC serves to increase confidence in data but any value potentially contains error.

Data Assessment

1. Holding Time

The specified holding time for volatile analyses under the Quality Assurance Project Plan (QAPP) is 7 days from sample receipt. The technical holding time is 14 days from sample collection to analysis.

All samples were analyzed within the specified holding time.

2. Blank Contamination

Quality assurance blanks (i.e., method, trip, field, or rinse blanks) are prepared to identify any contamination which may have been introduced into the samples during sample preparation or field activity. Method blanks measure laboratory contamination. Trip blanks measure contamination of samples during shipment.

No target compounds were detected in the method or trip blanks.

3. Calibration

Satisfactory instrument calibration is established to insure that the instrument is capable of producing acceptable quantitative data. An initial calibration demonstrates that the instrument is capable of acceptable performance at the beginning of an experimental sequence. The continuing calibration verifies that the instrument daily performance is satisfactory.

3.1 Initial Calibration

The method specifies a percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) limit of 20% or, alternately, a correlation coefficient of 0.99 or greater.

The initial calibration was acceptable.

3.2 Continuing Calibration

All continuing calibration standards were within 15%D of the initial calibration.

4. Compound Identification

Target compounds are identified by using the analyte's retention time.

All identified compounds met the specified criteria.

5. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate/Matrix Spike Blank

Matrix and matrix spike duplicate (MS/MSD) data are used to assess the precision and accuracy of the analytical method relative to the sample matrix.

Matrix spike blank (MSB) data is used to assess the precision and accuracy of the analytical method independent of matrix interferences.

All MS/MSD recoveries and the relative percent difference between recoveries were within control limits. All MSB recoveries were within control limits.

6. Field Duplicates

Results for duplicate samples are summarized as follows:

Sample ID/ Duplicate ID	Analyte	Sample Result	Duplicate Result	RPD
MW-28 / DUP-1	methanol	0.19J	0.098J	AC
MW-27 / DUP-2	ND	--	--	NA

ND not detected.

NA Analyte not detected in sample and/or duplicate. RPD not applicable.

AC Acceptable. RPD for sample results less than the PQL must be with +/- two time PQL.

Duplicate results are acceptable.

7. System Performance and Overall Assessment

Other than for those deviations specifically mentioned in this review, the overall data quality is within the guidelines listed in the analytical method.

Data Validation Checklist

Organic Data Validation Checklist

	YES	NO	NA
<u>Data Completeness and Deliverables</u>			
Have any missing deliverables been received and added to the data package?	<u> </u>	<u> X </u>	<u> </u>
Is there a narrative or cover letter present?	<u> X </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Are the sample numbers included in the narrative?	<u> X </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Are the sample chain-of-custodies present?	<u> X </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Do the chain-of-custodies indicate any problems with sample receipt or sample condition?	<u> </u>	<u> X </u>	<u> </u>
<u>Holding Times</u>			
Have any holding times been exceeded?	<u> </u>	<u> X </u>	<u> </u>
<u>Matrix Spikes</u>			
Is there a matrix spike recovery form present?	<u> X </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Were matrix spikes analyzed at the required frequency?	<u> X </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
How many spike recoveries were outside of QC limits? <u> 0 </u> out of <u> 2 </u>			
How many RPDs for matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate were outside of QC limits? <u> 0 </u> out of <u> 1 </u>			
<u>Blanks</u>			
Is the method blank summary form present?	<u> X </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Has a method blank been analyzed for each set of samples or for each 20 samples, whichever is more frequent?	<u> X </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Has a blank been analyzed at least once every twelve hours for each system used?	<u> X </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Do any method/reagent/instrument blanks have positive results?	<u> </u>	<u> X </u>	<u> </u>
Are there trip/field/rinse/equipment blanks associated with every sample?	<u> X </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Do any trip/field/rinse blanks have positive results?	<u> </u>	<u> X </u>	<u> </u>
<u>Target Analytes</u>			
Is an organics analysis data sheet present for each of the following:			
Samples	<u> X </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Matrix spikes	<u> X </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Blanks	<u> X </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

Organic Data Validation Checklist - Page 2

	YES	NO	NA
Are the chromatograms present for each of the following:			
Samples	<u> X </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Matrix spikes	<u> X </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Blanks	<u> X </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Is the chromatographic performance acceptable?	<u> X </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
<u>Quantitation and Detection Limits</u>			
Are there any transcription/calculation errors in the Form 1 results?	<u> </u>	<u> X </u>	<u> </u>
Are the reporting limits adjusted to reflect sample dilutions, and for soils, sample moisture?	<u> X </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
<u>Standard Data</u>			
Are the quantitation reports and chromatograms present for the initial and continuing calibration standards?	<u> X </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
<u>Initial Calibration</u>			
Are the initial calibration forms present for each instrument used?	<u> X </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Are the response factor RSDs or correlation coefficients within acceptable limits?	<u> X </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Are there any transcription/calculation errors in reporting the RRF or RSD?	<u> </u>	<u> X </u>	<u> </u>
<u>Continuing Calibration</u>			
Are the continuing calibration forms present for each day and each instrument?	<u> X </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Has a continuing calibration standard been analyzed for each twelve hours of analysis per instrument?	<u> X </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
All %D within acceptable limits?	<u> X </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Are there any transcription/calculation errors in reporting of RF or %D?	<u> </u>	<u> X </u>	<u> </u>
<u>Field Duplicates</u>			
Were field duplicates submitted with the samples?	<u> X </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

Calibration Outliers

Instrument: GC-03

Matrix: water

Date	11/10/04	11/10/04	11/10/04	11/11/04		
Time		1446	1537	1622		
	Initial Cal.	Cont. Cal.				
	RSD	%D	%D	%D	%D	%D
methanol	ok	ok	ok	ok		
Affected Samples:						

Corrected Sample Analysis Data Sheets

1A
VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET

EPA SAMPLE NO.

DUP-1

Lab Name: Buck Environmental Labs, Inc Contract: _____

Lab Code: 10795 Case No.: Blasland SAS No.: _____ SDG No.: BEL0448

Matrix: (soil/water) WATER Lab Sample ID: 0411082-11C

Sample wt/vol: 5 (g/mL) uL Lab File ID: 5501055.D

Level: (low/med) LOW Date Received: 11/05/04

% Moisture: not dec. Date Analyzed: 11/10/04

GC Column: J&W, DB-VRX ID: .45 (mm) Dilution Factor: 1.00

Soil Extract Volume: _____ (uL) Soil Aliquot Volume _____ (uL)

CONCENTRATION UNITS:

CAS NO.	COMPOUND	(ug/L or ug/Kg)	MG/L	Q
67-56-1	Methanol		0.098	J

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET

DUP-2

Lab Name: Buck Environmental Labs, Inc Contract: _____Lab Code: 10795 Case No.: Blasland SAS No.: _____ SDG No.: BEL0448Matrix: (soil/water) WATER Lab Sample ID: 0411082-12CSample wt/vol: 5 (g/mL) uL Lab File ID: 5601056.DLevel: (low/med) LOW Date Received: 11/05/04% Moisture: not dec. Date Analyzed: 11/10/04GC Column: J&W, DB-VRX ID: .45 (mm) Dilution Factor: 1.00

Soil Extract Volume: _____ (µL) Soil Aliquot Volume _____ (µL)

CONCENTRATION UNITS:

CAS NO.	COMPOUND	(µg/L or µg/Kg)	MG/L	Q
67-56-1	Methanol		1	U

1A

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET

EPA SAMPLE NO.

MW-3S

Lab Name: Buck Environmental Labs, Inc Contract: _____

Lab Code: 10795 Case No.: C SAS No.: _____ SDG No.: BEL0448

Matrix: (soil/water) WATER Lab Sample ID: 0411097-02C

Sample wt/vol: 5 (g/mL) uL Lab File ID: 5901059.D

Level: (low/med) LOW Date Received: 11/05/04

% Moisture: not dec. Date Analyzed: 11/10/04

GC Column: J&W, DB-VRX ID: .45 (mm) Dilution Factor: 1.00

Soil Extract Volume: _____ (µL) Soil Aliquot Volume _____ (µL)

CONCENTRATION UNITS:

CAS NO.	COMPOUND	(µg/L or µg/Kg)	MG/L	Q
67-56-1	Methanol		0.15	J

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET

MW-8SR

Lab Name: Buck Environmental Labs, Inc Contract: _____Lab Code: 10795 Case No.: C SAS No.: _____ SDG No.: BEL0448Matrix: (soil/water) WATER Lab Sample ID: 0411097-01CSample wt/vol: 5 (g/mL) uL Lab File ID: 5801058.DLevel: (low/med) LOW Date Received: 11/05/04% Moisture: not dec. Date Analyzed: 11/10/04GC Column: J&W, DB-VRX ID: .45 (mm) Dilution Factor: 1.00

Soil Extract Volume: _____ (µL) Soil Aliquot Volume _____ (µL)

CONCENTRATION UNITS:

CAS NO.	COMPOUND	(µg/L or µg/Kg)	MG/L	Q
67-56-1	Methanol		1	U

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET

EPA SAMPLE NO.

MW-28

Lab Name: Buck Environmental Labs, Inc Contract: _____Lab Code: 10795 Case No.: Blasland SAS No.: _____ SDG No.: BE10448Matrix: (soil/water) WATER Lab Sample ID: 0411082-08CSample wt/vol: 5 (g/mL) uL Lab File ID: 4101041.DLevel: (low/med) LOW Date Received: 11/05/04% Moisture: not dec. Date Analyzed: 11/10/04GC Column: J&W, DB-VRX ID: .45 (mm) Dilution Factor: 1.00

Soil Extract Volume: _____ (uL) Soil Aliquot Volume _____ (uL)

CONCENTRATION UNITS:

CAS NO.	COMPOUND	(ug/L or ug/Kg)	MG/L	Q
67-56-1	Methanol		0.19	J

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET

EPA SAMPLE NO.

MW-34

Lab Name: Buck Environmental Labs, Inc Contract: _____Lab Code: 10795 Case No.: Blasland SAS No.: _____ SDG No.: BEL0448Matrix: (soil/water) WATER Lab Sample ID: 0411082-02CSample wt/vol: 5 (g/mL) uL Lab File ID: 4301043.DLevel: (low/med) LOW Date Received: 11/05/04% Moisture: not dec. Date Analyzed: 11/10/04GC Column: J&W, DB-VRX ID: .45 (mm) Dilution Factor: 1.00

Soil Extract Volume: _____ (uL) Soil Aliquot Volume _____ (uL)

CONCENTRATION UNITS:

CAS NO.	COMPOUND	(ug/L or ug/Kg)	MG/L	Q
67-56-1	Methanol		0.18	J

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET

MW-35

Lab Name: Buck Environmental Labs, Inc Contract: _____Lab Code: 10795 Case No.: Blasland SAS No.: _____ SDG No.: BEL0448Matrix: (soil/water) WATER Lab Sample ID: 0411082-03CSample wt/vol: 5 (g/mL) uL Lab File ID: 4401044.DLevel: (low/med) LOW Date Received: 11/05/04% Moisture: not dec. Date Analyzed: 11/10/04GC Column: J&W, DB-VRX ID: .45 (mm) Dilution Factor: 1.00

Soil Extract Volume: _____ (µL) Soil Aliquot Volume _____ (µL)

CONCENTRATION UNITS:

CAS NO.	COMPOUND	(µg/L or µg/Kg)	MG/L	Q
67-56-1	Methanol		0.24	J

1A

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET

EPA SAMPLE NO.

MW-36

Lab Name: Buck Environmental Labs, Inc Contract: _____

Lab Code: 10795 Case No.: Blasland SAS No.: _____ SDG No.: BEL0448

Matrix: (soil/water) WATER Lab Sample ID: 0411082-04C

Sample wt/vol: 5 (g/mL) uL Lab File ID: 5401054.D

Level: (low/med) LOW Date Received: 11/05/04

% Moisture: not dec. Date Analyzed: 11/10/04

GC Column: J&W, DB-VRX ID: .45 (mm) Dilution Factor: 1.00

Soil Extract Volume: _____ (µL) Soil Aliquot Volume _____ (µL)

CONCENTRATION UNITS:

CAS NO.	COMPOUND	(µg/L or µg/Kg)	MG/L	Q
67-56-1	Methanol		1	U

1B
VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET

EPA SAMPLE NO.

TW-02RR

Lab Name: Buck Environmental Labs, Inc Contract: _____

Lab Code: 10795 Case No.: Blasland SAS No.: _____ SDG No.: BELO448

Matrix: (soil/water) WATER Lab Sample ID: 0411082-01C

Sample wt/vol: 5 (g/mL) uL Lab File ID: 4201042.D

Level: (low/med) LOW Date Received: 11/05/04

% Moisture: not dec. Date Analyzed: 11/10/04

GC Column: J&W, DB-VRX ID: .45 (mm) Dilution Factor: 1.00

Soil Extract Volume: _____ (uL) Soil Aliquot Volume _____ (uL)

CONCENTRATION UNITS:

CAS NO.	COMPOUND	(ug/L or ug/Kg)	MG/L	Q
67-56-1	Methanol		1	U

1A
VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET

EPA SAMPLE NO.

TRIP BLANK

Lab Name: Buck Environmental Labs, Inc Contract: _____

Lab Code: 10795 Case No.: Blasland SAS No.: _____ SDG No.: BEL0448

Matrix: (soil/water) WATER Lab Sample ID: 0411082-13B

Sample wt/vol: 5 (g/mL) uL Lab File ID: 5701057.D

Level: (low/med) LOW Date Received: 11/05/04

% Moisture: not dec. Date Analyzed: 11/10/04

GC Column: J&W, DB-VRX ID: .45 (mm) Dilution Factor: 1.00

Soil Extract Volume: _____ (uL) Soil Aliquot Volume _____ (uL)

CONCENTRATION UNITS:

CAS NO.	COMPOUND	(ug/L or ug/Kg)	MG/L	Q
67-56-1	Methanol		1	U

1A
VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET

EPA SAMPLE NO.

TRIP BLANK

Lab Name: Buck Environmental Labs, Inc Contract: _____

Lab Code: 10795 Case No.: C SAS No.: _____ SDG No.: BEL0448

Matrix: (soil/water) WATER Lab Sample ID: 0411097-03B

Sample wt/vol: 5 (g/mL) uL Lab File ID: 6001060.D

Level: (low/med) LOW Date Received: 11/05/04

% Moisture: not dec. Date Analyzed: 11/10/04

GC Column: J&W, DB-VRX ID: .45 (mm) Dilution Factor: 1.00

Soil Extract Volume: _____ (µL) Soil Aliquot Volume _____ (µL)

CONCENTRATION UNITS:

CAS NO.	COMPOUND	(µg/L or µg/Kg)	MG/L	Q
67-56-1	Methanol		1	U

SEMIVOLATILE ANALYSES

METHOD 8270

Introduction

Analyses were performed according to USEPA SW-846 Method 8270 as referenced in NYSDEC ASP.

The data review process is an evaluation of data on a technical basis rather than a determination of contract compliance. As such, the standards against which the data are being weighed may differ from those specified in the analytical method. It is assumed that the data package represents the best efforts of the laboratory and had already been subjected to adequate and sufficient quality review prior to submission.

During the review process, laboratory qualified and unqualified data are verified against the supporting documentation. Based on this evaluation, qualifier codes may be added, deleted, or modified by the data reviewer. Results are qualified with the following codes in accordance with National Functional Guidelines:

- U The compound was analyzed for but not detected. The associated value is the compound quantitation limit.
- J The compound was positively identified; however, the associated numerical value is an estimated concentration only.
- B The compound has been found in the sample as well as its associated blank, its presence in the sample may be suspect.
- N The analysis indicates the presence of a compound for which there is presumptive evidence to make a tentative identification.
- JN The analysis indicates the presence of a compound for which there is presumptive evidence to make a tentative identification. The associated numerical value is an estimated concentration only.
- E The compound was quantitated above the calibration range.
- D Concentration is based on a diluted sample analysis.
- UJ The compound was not detected above the reported sample quantitation limit. However, the reported limit is approximate and may or may not represent the actual limit of quantitation.
- R The sample results are rejected.

Two facts should be noted by all data users. First, the "R" flag means that the associated value is unusable. In other words, due to significant QC problems, the analysis is invalid and provides no information as to whether the compound is present or not. "R" values should not appear on data tables because they cannot be relied upon, even as a last resort. The second fact to keep in mind is that no compound concentration, even if it has passed all QC test, is guaranteed to be accurate. Strict QC serves to increase confidence in data but any value potentially contains error.

Data Assessment

1. Holding Time

The specified holding times for semi-volatile analyses under the Quality Assurance Project Plan (QAPP) are 5 days from sample receipt to extraction and 40 days to analysis. The technical holding times are 7 days from sample collection to extraction and 40 days to analysis.

All samples were extracted and analyzed within the specified holding times.

2. Blank Contamination

Quality assurance blanks (i.e., method, field, or rinse blanks) are prepared to identify any contamination which may have been introduced into the samples during sample preparation or field activity. Method blanks measure laboratory contamination. Field and rinse blanks measure contamination of samples during field operations.

No target compounds were detected in the method blanks.

3. Mass Spectrometer Tuning

Mass spectrometer performance was acceptable.

4. Calibration

Satisfactory instrument calibration is established to insure that the instrument is capable of producing acceptable quantitative data. An initial calibration demonstrates that the instrument is capable of acceptable performance at the beginning of an experimental sequence. The continuing calibration verifies that the instrument daily performance is satisfactory.

4.1 Initial Calibration

The method specifies various percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) limits for select compounds and allows two outliers. A technical review of the data applies a RSD limit of 30% to all compounds with no exceptions.

The %RSD was less than 30% for all compounds.

4.2 Continuing Calibration

All continuing calibration standards were within 25% difference (%D) of the initial calibration.

5. Surrogates / System Monitoring Compounds

All samples to be analyzed for organic compounds are spiked with surrogate compounds prior to sample preparation to evaluate overall laboratory performance and efficiency of the analytical technique.

Surrogate recoveries were below control limits for one compound in sample MW-35. Since all other surrogate recoveries were within control limits, no data have been qualified based on the deviation.

6. Internal Standard Performance

Internal standard performance criteria insure that the GC/MS sensitivity and response are stable during every experimental run.

All internal standard areas and retention times were within established limits.

7. Compound Identification

Target compounds are identified on the GC/MS by using the analyte's relative retention time and ion spectra.

Aniline was detected above the linear range in samples TW-02RR, MW-28, DUP-1, DUP-2, MW-8SR and MW-8SRDL and N,N'-Dimethylaniline was detected above the linear range in sample MW-8SR. Data for aniline and N,N'-Dimethylaniline have been replaced with data from the dilution analyses in the associated samples. All other identified compounds met the specified criteria.

8. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate/Matrix Spike Blank

Matrix and matrix spike duplicate (MS/MSD) data are used to assess the precision and accuracy of the analytical method relative to the sample matrix. Matrix spike blank (MSB) data is used to assess the precision and accuracy of the analytical method independent of matrix interferences.

The MS/MSD recoveries were above control limits for aniline. Data for aniline have been qualified as estimated in sample MW-28 based on the deviation.

The MSB results were within control limits.

9. Field Duplicates

Results for duplicate samples are summarized as follows:

Sample ID/ Duplicate ID	Analyte	Sample Result	Duplicate Result	RPD
MW-28 / DUP-1	aniline	640	710	10.4%
MW-27 / DUP-2	aniline	1100	690	45.8%

ND not detected.

NA Analyte not detected in sample and/or duplicate. RPD not applicable.

Duplicate results are acceptable.

10. System Performance and Overall Assessment

Overall system performance was acceptable. Other than for those deviations specifically mentioned in this review, the overall data quality is within the guidelines listed in the analytical method.

Data Validation Checklist

Semivolatile Organics Data Validation Checklist

	YES	NO	NA
<u>Data Completeness and Deliverables</u>			
Have any missing deliverables been received and added to the data package?	_____	_____X_____	_____
Is there a narrative or cover letter present?	_____X_____	_____	_____
Are the sample numbers included in the narrative?	_____X_____	_____	_____
Are the sample chain-of-custodies present?	_____X_____	_____	_____
Do the chain-of-custodies indicate any problems with sample receipt or sample condition?	_____	_____X_____	_____
<u>Holding Times</u>			
Have any holding times been exceeded?	_____	_____X_____	_____
<u>Surrogate Recovery</u>			
Are the surrogate recovery forms present?	_____X_____	_____	_____
Are all the samples listed on the appropriate surrogate recovery form?	_____X_____	_____	_____
Were two or more surrogate recoveries outside of specified limits for any sample or blank?	_____	_____X_____	_____
If yes, were the samples reanalyzed?	_____	_____	_____X_____
<u>Matrix Spikes</u>			
Is there a matrix spike recovery form present?	_____X_____	_____	_____
Were matrix spikes analyzed at the required frequency	_____X_____	_____	_____
How many spike recoveries were outside of QC limits? <u> 2 </u> out of <u> 4 </u>			
How many RPDs for matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate were outside of QC limits? <u> 1 </u> out of <u> 2 </u>			
<u>Blanks</u>			
Is the method blank summary form present?	_____X_____	_____	_____
Has a method blank been analyzed for each set of samples or for each 20 samples, whichever is more frequent?	_____X_____	_____	_____
Has a blank been analyzed for each GC/MS system used?	_____X_____	_____	_____
Do any method/reagent/instrument blanks have positive results?	_____	_____X_____	_____
Are there field/rinse/equipment blanks associated with every sample?	_____	_____X_____	_____

Semivolatile Organics Data Validation Checklist - Page 2

	YES	NO	NA
Do any field/rinse blanks have positive results?	_____	_____	<u> X </u>
<u>Tuning and Mass Calibration</u>			
Are the GC/MS tuning forms present for DFTPP?	<u> X </u>	_____	_____
Are the bar graph spectrum and mass/charge listing provided for each DFTPP?	<u> X </u>	_____	_____
Has a DFTPP been analyzed for each twelve hours of analysis per instrument?	<u> X </u>	_____	_____
Have the ion abundance criteria been met for each instrument used?	<u> X </u>	_____	_____
<u>Target Analytes</u>			
Is an organics analysis data sheet present for each of the following:			
Samples	<u> X </u>	_____	_____
Matrix spikes	<u> X </u>	_____	_____
Blanks	<u> X </u>	_____	_____
Has GPC cleanup been performed on all soil/sediment sample extracts?	_____	_____	<u> X </u>
Are the reconstructed ion chromatograms present for each of the following:			
Samples	<u> X </u>	_____	_____
Matrix spikes	<u> X </u>	_____	_____
Blanks	<u> X </u>	_____	_____
Is the chromatographic performance acceptable?	<u> X </u>	_____	_____
Are the mass spectra of the identified compounds present?	<u> X </u>	_____	_____
Are all ions present in the standard mass spectrum at a relative intensity of 10% or greater also present in the sample spectrum?	<u> X </u>	_____	_____
Do the samples and standard relative ion intensities agree within 20%?	<u> X </u>	_____	_____
<u>Tentatively Identified Compounds</u>			
Are all the TIC summary forms present?	_____	<u> X </u>	_____
Are the mass spectra for the tentatively identified compounds and their associated "best match" spectra present?	_____	_____	<u> X </u>
Are any target compounds listed as TICs?	_____	_____	<u> X </u>

Semivolatile Organics Data Validation Checklist - Page 3

	YES	NO	NA
Are all ions present in the reference mass spectrum with a relative intensity greater than 10% also present in the sample mass spectrum?	_____	_____	X
Do the TIC and "best match" spectrum agree within 20%?	_____	_____	X
<u>Quantitation and Detection Limits</u>			
Are there any transcription/calculation errors in the Form 1 results?	_____	X	_____
Are the reporting limits adjusted to reflect sample dilutions, and for soils, sample moisture?	X	_____	_____
<u>Standard Data</u>			
Are the quantitation reports and reconstructed ion chromatograms present for the initial and continuing calibration standards?	X	_____	_____
<u>Initial Calibration</u>			
Are the initial calibration forms present for each instrument used?	X	_____	_____
Are the response factor RSDs within acceptable limits?	X	_____	_____
Are the average RRF equal to or greater than minimum requirements?	X	_____	_____
Are there any transcription/calculation errors in reporting the RRF or RSD?	_____	X	_____
<u>Continuing Calibration</u>			
Are the continuing calibration forms present for each day and each instrument?	X	_____	_____
Has a continuing calibration standard been analyzed for each twelve hours of analysis per instrument?	X	_____	_____
All %D within acceptable limits?	X	_____	_____
Are all RF equal to or greater than minimum requirements?	X	_____	_____
Are there any transcription/calculation errors in reporting of RF or %D?	_____	X	_____
<u>Internal Standards</u>			
Are internal standard areas of the samples and blanks within the upper and lower limits for each continuing calibration?	X	_____	_____
Are the retention times of the internal standards within 30 seconds of the associated calibration standard?	X	_____	_____

Semivolatile Organics Data Validation Checklist - Page 4

	YES	NO	NA
<u>Field Duplicates</u>			
Were field duplicates submitted with the samples?	<u> X </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

Semivolatile Calibration Outliers

Instrument: MSD2
 Level: low

Date/Time	12/07/04		12/8/04 1633		12/9/04 0625		12/14/04 1037			
	Initial Cal.		Cont. Cal.		Cont. Cal.		Cont. Cal.		Cont. Cal.	
	RF	%RSD	RF	%D	RF	%D	RF	%D	RF	%D
aniline										
n,n'-dimethylaniline										
Affected Samples:										

Corrected Sample Analysis Data Sheets

SEMIVOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET

EPA SAMPLE NO.

DUP-1

Lab Name: Buck Environmental Labs, In Contract: BBL

Lab Code: 10795 Case No.: _____ SAS No.: _____ SDG No.: BEL0448
 Matrix: (soil/water) WATER Lab Sample ID: 0411082-11A
 Sample wt/vol: 990 (g/mL) ML Lab File ID: 4\A1701017
 Level: (low/med) LOW Date Received: 11/05/04
 % Moisture: Decanted: (Y/N) N Date Extracted: 11/08/04
 Concentrated Extract Volume: 1000 (µL) Date Analyzed: 12/09/04
 Injection Volume: 1 (µL) Dilution Factor: 1.00
 GPC Cleanup: (Y/N) N pH: _____ Extraction: (Type)

CONCENTRATION UNITS:
 (µg/L or µg/Kg) UG/L Q

CAS NO.	COMPOUND	UG/L	Q
62-53-3	Aniline	600 710	FD
121-69-7	N,N-Dimethylaniline	5	U

SEMIVOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET

DUP-1DL

Lab Name: Buck Environmental Labs, In Contract: BBLLab Code: 10795

Case No.:

SAS No.:

SDG No.: BEL0448Matrix: (soil/water) WATERLab Sample ID: 0411082-11ASample wt/vol: 990 (g/mL) MLLab File ID: 4\1601016.Level: (low/med) LOWDate Received: 11/05/04% Moisture: Decanted: (Y/N) NDate Extracted: 11/08/04Concentrated Extract Volume: 1000 (µL)Date Analyzed: 12/09/04Injection Volume: 1 (µL)Dilution Factor: 10.00GPC Cleanup: (Y/N) N pH:

Extraction: (Type)

CONCENTRATION UNITS:

CAS NO.	COMPOUND	(µg/L or µg/Kg) UG/L	Q
62-53-3	Aniline	710	
121-69-7	N,N-Dimethylaniline	50	U

SEMIVOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET

EPA SAMPLE NO.

DUP-2

Lab Name: Buck Environmental Labs, In Contract: BBLLab Code: 10795

Case No.:

SAS No.:

SDG No.: BEL0448Matrix: (soil/water) WATERLab Sample ID: 0411082-12ASample wt/vol: 960 (g/mL) MLLab File ID: 4\0201002.Level: (low/med) LOWDate Received: 11/05/04% Moisture: Decanted: (Y/N) NDate Extracted: 11/08/04Concentrated Extract Volume: 1000 (µL)Date Analyzed: 12/09/04Injection Volume: 1 (µL)Dilution Factor: 1.00GPC Cleanup: (Y/N) N pH: _____

Extraction: (Type)

CONCENTRATION UNITS:

CAS NO.	COMPOUND	(µg/L or µg/Kg) <u>UG/L</u>	<u>Q</u>
62-53-3	Aniline	500 <u>670</u>	E-D
121-69-7	N,N-Dimethylaniline	5	U

SEMIVOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET

EPA SAMPLE NO.

DUP-2DL

Lab Name: Buck Environmental Labs, In Contract: BBL

Lab Code: 10795 Case No.: _____ SAS No.: _____ SDG No.: BEL0448

Matrix: (soil/water) WATER Lab Sample ID: 0411082-12A

Sample wt/vol: 960 (g/mL) ML Lab File ID: 4\1501015.

Level: (low/med) LOW Date Received: 11/05/04

% Moisture: _____ Decanted: (Y/N) N Date Extracted: 11/08/04

Concentrated Extract Volume: 1000 (µL) Date Analyzed: 12/09/04

Injection Volume: 1 (µL) Dilution Factor: 10.00

GPC Cleanup: (Y/N) N pH: _____ Extraction: (Type) _____

CONCENTRATION UNITS:

(µg/L or µg/Kg) UG/L Q

CAS NO.	COMPOUND	(µg/L or µg/Kg)	UG/L	Q
62-53-3	Aniline	690		
121-69-7	N,N-Dimethylaniline	52		U

SEMIVOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET

EPA SAMPLE NO.

MW-3S

Lab Name: Buck Environmental Labs, In Contract: _____Lab Code: 10795 Case No.: C SAS No.: _____ SDG No.: BEL0448Matrix: (soil/water) WATER Lab Sample ID: 0411097-02ASample wt/vol: 990 (g/mL) ML Lab File ID: 4\0501005.Level: (low/med) LOW Date Received: 11/05/04% Moisture: Decanted: (Y/N) N Date Extracted: 11/08/04Concentrated Extract Volume: 1000 (µL) Date Analyzed: 12/09/04Injection Volume: 1 (µL) Dilution Factor: 1.00GPC Cleanup: (Y/N) N pH: _____ Extraction: (Type)

CONCENTRATION UNITS:

CAS NO.	COMPOUND	(µg/L or µg/Kg)	UG/L	Q
62-53-3	Aniline	4		J
121-69-7	N,N-Dimethylaniline	5		U

SEMIVOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET

EPA SAMPLE NO.

MW-8SR

Lab Name: Buck Environmental Labs, In Contract: _____Lab Code: 10795 Case No.: C SAS No.: _____ SDG No.: BEL0448Matrix: (soil/water) WATER Lab Sample ID: 0411097-01ASample wt/vol: 990 (g/mL) ML Lab File ID: 4\0601006.Level: (low/med) LOW Date Received: 11/05/04% Moisture: Decanted: (Y/N) N Date Extracted: 11/08/04Concentrated Extract Volume: 1000 (µL) Date Analyzed: 12/09/04Injection Volume: 1 (µL) Dilution Factor: 1.00GPC Cleanup: (Y/N) N pH: _____ Extraction: (Type)

CONCENTRATION UNITS:

CAS NO.	COMPOUND	(µg/L or µg/Kg) UG/L	Q
62-53-3	Aniline	16000 3.5 µg/L	ED
121-69-7	N,N-Dimethylaniline	200 5.3 µg/L	EP

SEMIVOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET

EPA SAMPLE NO.

MW-8SRDL

Lab Name: Buck Environmental Labs, In Contract: _____Lab Code: 10795 Case No.: C SAS No.: _____ SDG No.: BEL0448Matrix: (soil/water) WATER Lab Sample ID: 0411097-01ASample wt/vol: 990 (g/mL) ML Lab File ID: 4\1301013.Level: (low/med) LOW Date Received: 11/05/04% Moisture: Decanted: (Y/N) N Date Extracted: 11/08/04Concentrated Extract Volume: 1000 (µL) Date Analyzed: 12/09/04Injection Volume: 1 (µL) Dilution Factor: 200.00GPC Cleanup: (Y/N) N pH: _____ Extraction: (Type)

CONCENTRATION UNITS:

CAS NO.	COMPOUND	(µg/L or µg/Kg) UG/L	Q
62-53-3	Aniline	54000	E
121-69-7	N,N-Dimethylaniline	5300	

SEMIVOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET

EPA SAMPLE NO.

MW-8SRDL

Lab Name: Buck Environmental Labs, In Contract: _____

Lab Code: 10795 Case No.: C SAS No.: _____ SDG No.: BEL0448

Matrix: (soil/water) WATER Lab Sample ID: 0411097-01A

Sample wt/vol: 990 (g/mL) G Lab File ID: 4\0401004.

Level: (low/med) LOW Date Received: 11/05/04

% Moisture: Decanted: (Y/N) N Date Extracted: 11/08/04

Concentrated Extract Volume: 1000 (µL) Date Analyzed: 12/14/04

Injection Volume: 1 (µL) Dilution Factor: 500.00

GPC Cleanup: (Y/N) N pH: _____ Extraction: (Type)

CONCENTRATION UNITS:

(µg/L or µg/Kg) UG/L Q

CAS NO.	COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION UNITS:
62-53-3	Aniline	35000

SEMIVOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET

EPA SAMPLE NO.

MW-28

Lab Name: Buck Environmental Labs, In Contract: BBL

Lab Code: 10795 Case No.: _____ SAS No.: _____ SDG No.: BEL0448

Matrix: (soil/water) WATER Lab Sample ID: 0411082-08A

Sample wt/vol: 950 (g/mL) ML Lab File ID: 4\A1401014

Level: (low/med) LOW Date Received: 11/05/04

% Moisture: _____ Decanted: (Y/N) N Date Extracted: 11/08/04

Concentrated Extract Volume: 1000 (µL) Date Analyzed: 12/09/04

Injection Volume: 1 (µL) Dilution Factor: 1.00

GPC Cleanup: (Y/N) N pH: _____ Extraction: (Type)

CONCENTRATION UNITS:

CAS NO.	COMPOUND	(µg/L or µg/Kg)	UG/L	Q
62-53-3	Aniline	580 <u>640</u>	<u>ED</u>	<u>S</u>
121-69-7	N,N-Dimethylaniline	5	U	

SEMIVOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET

EPA SAMPLE NO.

MW-28DL

Lab Name: Buck Environmental Labs, In Contract: BBL

Lab Code: 10795 Case No.: _____ SAS No.: _____ SDG No.: BEL0448

Matrix: (soil/water) WATER Lab Sample ID: 0411082-08A

Sample wt/vol: 950 (g/mL) ML Lab File ID: 4\1401014.

Level: (low/med) LOW Date Received: 11/05/04

% Moisture: _____ Decanted: (Y/N) N Date Extracted: 11/08/04

Concentrated Extract Volume: 1000 (µL) Date Analyzed: 12/09/04

Injection Volume: 1 (µL) Dilution Factor: 10.00

GPC Cleanup: (Y/N) N pH: _____ Extraction: (Type) _____

CONCENTRATION UNITS:

(µg/L or µg/Kg) UG/L Q

CAS NO.	COMPOUND	(µg/L or µg/Kg) <u>UG/L</u>	Q
62-53-3	Aniline	640	
121-69-7	N,N-Dimethylaniline	53	U

1C

EPA SAMPLE NO.

SEMIVOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET

MW-34

Lab Name: Buck Environmental Labs, In Contract: BBL

Lab Code: 10795 Case No.: _____ SAS No.: _____ SDG No.: BEL0448

Matrix: (soil/water) WATER Lab Sample ID: 0411082-02A

Sample wt/vol: 990 (g/mL) ML Lab File ID: 4\A0801008

Level: (low/med) LOW Date Received: 11/05/04

% Moisture: _____ Decanted: (Y/N) N Date Extracted: 11/08/04

Concentrated Extract Volume: 1000 (µL) Date Analyzed: 12/08/04

Injection Volume: 1 (µL) Dilution Factor: 1.00

GPC Cleanup: (Y/N) N pH: _____ Extraction: (Type)

CONCENTRATION UNITS:

CAS NO.	COMPOUND	(µg/L or µg/Kg)	UG/L	Q
62-53-3	Aniline		14	
121-69-7	N,N-Dimethylaniline		5	U

SEMIVOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET

MW-35

Lab Name: Buck Environmental Labs, In Contract: BBLLab Code: 10795

Case No.:

SAS No.:

SDG No.: BEL0448Matrix: (soil/water) WATERLab Sample ID: 0411082-03ASample wt/vol: 960 (g/mL) MLLab File ID: 4\0701007.Level: (low/med) LOWDate Received: 11/05/04% Moisture: Decanted: (Y/N) NDate Extracted: 11/08/04Concentrated Extract Volume: 1000 (µL)Date Analyzed: 12/09/04Injection Volume: 1 (µL)Dilution Factor: 1.00GPC Cleanup: (Y/N) N pH:

Extraction: (Type)

CONCENTRATION UNITS:

CAS NO.	COMPOUND	(µg/L or µg/Kg) UG/L	Q
62-53-3	Aniline	82	
121-69-7	N,N-Dimethylaniline	5	U

SEMIVOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET

MW-36

Lab Name: Buck Environmental Labs, In Contract: BBLLab Code: 10795

Case No.:

SAS No.: _____

SDG No.: BEL0448Matrix: (soil/water) WATERLab Sample ID: 0411082-04ASample wt/vol: 930 (g/mL) MLLab File ID: 4\A1001010Level: (low/med) LOWDate Received: 11/05/04% Moisture: Decanted: (Y/N) NDate Extracted: 11/08/04Concentrated Extract Volume: 1000 (µL)Date Analyzed: 12/08/04Injection Volume: 1 (µL)Dilution Factor: 1.00GPC Cleanup: (Y/N) N pH: _____

Extraction: (Type)

CONCENTRATION UNITS:

CAS NO.

COMPOUND

(µg/L or µg/Kg) UG/L Q

62-53-3	Aniline	22	
121-69-7	N,N-Dimethylaniline	5	U

SEMIVOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET

TW-02RR

Lab Name: Buck Environmental Labs, In Contract: BBLLab Code: 10795 Case No.: _____ SAS No.: _____ SDG No.: BEL0448Matrix: (soil/water) WATER Lab Sample ID: 0411082-01ASample wt/vol: 990 (g/mL) ML Lab File ID: 4\A0701007Level: (low/med) LOW Date Received: 11/05/04% Moisture: _____ Decanted: (Y/N) N Date Extracted: 11/08/04Concentrated Extract Volume: 1000 (µL) Date Analyzed: 12/08/04Injection Volume: 1 (µL) Dilution Factor: 1.00GPC Cleanup: (Y/N) N pH: _____ Extraction: (Type)

CONCENTRATION UNITS:

CAS NO. COMPOUND (µg/L or µg/Kg) UG/L Q

CAS NO.	COMPOUND	(µg/L or µg/Kg)	UG/L	Q
62-53-3	Aniline	5000 7100		Q D
121-69-7	N,N-Dimethylaniline	5		U

1C

SEMIVOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET

EPA SAMPLE NO.

TW-02RRDL

Lab Name: Buck Environmental Labs, In Contract: BBL

Lab Code: 10795 Case No.: _____ SAS No.: _____ SDG No.: BEL0448

Matrix: (soil/water) WATER Lab Sample ID: 0411082-01A

Sample wt/vol: 990 (g/mL) G Lab File ID: 4\0301003.

Level: (low/med) LOW Date Received: 11/05/04

% Moisture: _____ Decanted: (Y/N) N Date Extracted: 11/08/04

Concentrated Extract Volume: 1000 (µL) Date Analyzed: 12/14/04

Injection Volume: 1 (µL) Dilution Factor: 100.00

GPC Cleanup: (Y/N) N pH: _____ Extraction: (Type) _____

CONCENTRATION UNITS:

(µg/L or µg/Kg) UG/L Q

CAS NO.	COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION UNITS:		
62-53-3	Aniline	(µg/L or µg/Kg)	<u>UG/L</u>	<u>Q</u>
121-69-7	N,N-Dimethylaniline		7100	
			500	

Chain of Custody



ID#: 2494

SDG BELD448

0411082

0411083

CHAIN OF CUSTODY & LABORATORY ANALYSIS REQUEST FORM

Page 1 of 2

Lab Work Order #

Contact & Company Name: Keith White BBL
 Telephone: (315) 446-9120
 Address: 6723 Township Rd.
 City/State/Zip: Syracuse NY 13214
 Fax: (315) 446-8053
 e-mail address:
 Project #: 26003, 19D
 Sampler's Signature: Joseph Lisi
 Sampler's Printed Name: Joseph Lisi

Preservative	F	B	E	C	C	E	F
Filled (✓)					✓		
# of Containers	2	3	3	1	1	1	1
Container Information							

Keys

Preservation Key:
 A. H₂SO₄
 B. HCL
 C. HNO₃
 D. NaOH
 E. None
 F. Other: Zn Ac
 G. Other:
 H. Other:

Container Information Key:
 1. 40 ml Vial
 2. 1 L Amber
 3. 250 ml Plastic
 4. 500 ml Plastic
 5. Encore
 6. 2 oz Glass
 7. 4 oz Glass
 8. 8 oz Glass
 9. Other:
 10. Other:

Matrix Key:
 SO - Soil SE - Sediment NL - NAP/OLI
 W - Water SL - Sludge SW - Sample Wipe
 T - Tissue A - Air Other:

PARAMETER ANALYSIS & METHOD

Sample ID	Collection		Type (✓)		Matrix	SUOCs	VOCs	Alcohols	Total Metals	Oil (M/A)	Pesticides	(E) Milk	Total Ortho-Phosphate	Sulfide
	Date	Time	Comp	Grab										
TW-02 RR	11/4/04	855		X	W	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	
MW-34	11/4/04	1030		X	W	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	
MW-35	11/4/04	1030		X	W	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	
MW-36	11/4/04	1145		X	W	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	
MW-27	11/4/04	1500		X	W	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	
MW-27 MS	11/4/04	1500		X	W	X	X	X						
MW-27 MSD	11/4/04	1500		X	W	X	X	X						
MW-28	11/4/04	1505		X	W	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	
MW-28 MS	11/4/04	1505		X	W	X	X	X						
MW-28 MSD	11/4/04	1505		X	W	X	X	X						
DUP-1	11/4/04	-		X	W	X	X	X						
DUP-2	11/4/04	-		X	W	X	X	X						
TREP BLANK	11/4/04	-			W	X	X							

DD T Waste
 re: MW-36 / MW-34
 labels

REMARKS

Instructions/Comments:

 Special QA/QC Instructions (✓):

Laboratory Information and Receipt		Relinquished By		Received By		Relinquished By		Laboratory Received By	
me:	Cooler Custody Seal (✓):	Printed Name:	Signature:	Printed Name:	Signature:	Printed Name:	Signature:	Printed Name:	Signature:
cooler packed with ice (✓)	<input type="checkbox"/> Intact <input type="checkbox"/> Not Intact	Joseph Lisi	Joseph Lisi						
Turnaround Requirements:	Sample Receipt:	Firm:	Firm/Courier:	Firm:	Firm/Courier:	Firm:	Firm/Courier:	Firm:	Firm/Courier:



ID#: 4007

SNG BEL0448

0411097

0411098

CHAIN OF CUSTODY & LABORATORY ANALYSIS REQUEST FORM

Page 1 of 2

Lab Work Order #

Contact & Company Name: **Christie Sobel BBL** Telephone: **(315) 446-9120**
 Address: **5723 Tonawanda Rd.** Fax: **(315) 446-8053**
 City: **Getzville** State: **NY** Zip: **14224** e-mail address:
 Sample Location (City/State): **Getzville - Bar St. Syracuse, NY** Project #: **26003.190**
 Sampler's Name: **Joseph Lis** Sampler's Signature: *Joseph Lis*

Preservative	E	B	F	C	C	E	F
Filtered (✓)					✓		
# of Containers	2	3	3	1	1	1	1
Container Information							

Keys

Preservation Key:
 A. H₂SO₄
 B. HCl
 C. HNO₃
 D. NaOH
 E. None
 F. Other: **Zn Ac**
 G. Other:
 H. Other:

Container Information Key:
 1. 40 ml Vial
 2. 1 L Amber
 3. 250 ml Plastic
 4. 500 ml Plastic
 5. Encore
 6. 2 oz Glass
 7. 4 oz Glass
 8. 8 oz Glass
 9. Other:
 10. Other:

Matrix Key:
 SO - Soil SE - Sediment NL - NAPL/Oil
 W - Water SL - Sludge SW - Sample Wipe
 T - Tissue A - Air Other:

PARAMETER ANALYSIS & METHOD

Sample ID	Collection		Type (✓)		Matrix	SVOCs	VOCs	Alcohols Total Metals (Fe, Mn, K) Dissolved Metals	(Fe, Mn, K) Total ortho-	Phosphate	Sulfide
	Date	Time	Comp	Grab							
NW-8SR	11/5/04	815		X	W	X	X	X	X	X	X
NW-3.5	11/5/04	1030		X	W	X	X	X	X	X	X
Zip Blank	11/5/04	-			W		X	X			

REMARKS

Instructions/Comments: Special QA/QC Instructions (✓):

Laboratory Information and Receipt		Relinquished By		Received By		Relinquished By		Laboratory Received By	
Name:	Cooler Custody Seal (✓):	Printed Name:	Signature:	Printed Name:	Signature:	Printed Name:	Signature:	Printed Name:	Signature:
Cooler packed with ice (✓)	<input type="checkbox"/> Intact <input type="checkbox"/> Not Intact	Christie Sobel	<i>Christie Sobel</i>					Ram Davis	<i>Ram Davis</i>
Turnaround Requirements:	Sample Receipt:	Firm:	Firm/Courier:	Firm/Courier:	Firm/Courier:	Firm:	Firm/Courier:		
		RRI							