DOCUMENTATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL INDICATOR DETERMINATION

RCRA Corrective Action Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRAInfo code (CA750) Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control

Facility Name:

Tioga Casting

Facility Address:

Foundry Street Owego, NY

Facility EPA ID #:

NYD002245819

Definition of Environmental Indicators (for the RCRA Corrective Action)

Environmental Indicators (EI) are measures being used by the RCRA Corrective Action program to go beyond programmatic activity measures (e.g., reports received and approved, etc.) to track changes in the quality of the environment. The two EI developed to-date indicate the quality of the environment in relation to current human exposures to contamination and the migration of contaminated groundwater. An EI for non-human (ecological) receptors is intended to be developed in the future.

Definition of "Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control" EI

A positive "Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control" EI determination ("YE" status code) indicates that the migration of "contaminated" groundwater has stabilized, and that monitoring will be conducted to confirm that contaminated groundwater remains within the original "area of contaminated groundwater" (for all groundwater "contamination" subject to RCRA corrective action at or from the identified facility (i.e., site-wide)).

Relationship of EI to Final Remedies

While Final remedies remain the long-term objective of the RCRA Corrective Action program the EI are near-term objectives which are currently being used as Program measures for the Government Performance and Results Act of 1993, GPRA). The "Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control" EI pertains ONLY to the physical migration (i.e., further spread) of contaminated ground water and contaminants within groundwater (e.g., non-aqueous phase liquids or NAPLs). Achieving this EI does not substitute for achieving other stabilization or final remedy requirements and expectations associated with sources of contamination and the need to restore, wherever practicable, contaminated groundwater to be suitable for its designated current and future uses.

<u>Duration / Applicability of EI Determinations</u>

EI Determinations status codes should remain in RCRAInfo national database ONLY as long as they remain true (i.e., RCRAInfo status codes must be changed when the regulatory authorities become aware of contrary information).

1.

de-icing agents.

Has all available relevant/significant information on known and reasonably suspected releases to

the ground Units (SV) determin	ndwater media, subject to RCRA Corrective Action (e.g., from Solid Waste Management WMU), Regulated Units (RU), and Areas of Concern (AOC)), been considered in this EI ation?
	X If yes - check here and continue with #2 below.
-	If no - re-evaluate existing data, or
grogesm to go k changes in the	If data is not available, skip to #8 and enter "IN" (more information needed) status code.
BACKGROUNI	
Type here	
protectiv guideline	dwater known or reasonably suspected to be "contaminated" above appropriately e "levels" (i.e., applicable promulgated standards, as well as other appropriate standards, es, guidance, or criteria) from releases subject to RCRA Corrective Action, anywhere at, the facility?
-	If yes - continue after identifying key contaminants, citing appropriate "levels," and referencing supporting documentation.
evan the EI are ment water Under	X If no - skip to #8 and enter "YE" status code, after citing appropriate "levels," and referencing supporting documentation to demonstrate that groundwater is not known or reasonably suspected to be "contaminated."
d ground water aving this El cetations	If unknown - skip to #8 and enter "IN" status code.
Rationale:	associated with sources of contamination and the need to restore, wherever practicable, or enoughwater to be suitable for its designated concent and funge uses.
Operation and N	Maintenance continue to be conducted in accordance with the Tioga Casting Site an. Groundwater samples are collected and analyzed on a 5-quarterly basis.

¹ "Contamination" and "contaminated" describes media containing contaminants (in any form, NAPL and/or dissolved, vapors, or solids, that are subject to RCRA) in concentrations in excess of appropriate "levels" (appropriate for the protection of the groundwater resource and its beneficial uses).

Groundwater samples were collected in accordance with the SMP in March 2010. With the exception of sodium, none of the samples contained concentrations of metals greater than the applicable NYSDEC Class GA Standards. Sodium concentrations exceeded the NYSDEC Class

monitoring event. The sodium exceedances may be related to the localized application of road

GA Standard in four of the eight samples collected during the first quarter groundwater

References:

report.hw.754012.2010-05.quarterly report 1st qtr 2010.pdf

is expected to	ation of contaminated groundwater stabilized (such that contaminated groundwater remain within "existing area of contaminated groundwater" as defined by the cations designated at the time of this determination)?
be 'insignificant'	If yes - continue, after presenting or referencing the physical evidence (e.g., groundwater sampling/measurement/migration barrier data) and rationale why contaminated groundwater is expected to remain within the (horizontal or vertical) dimensions of the "existing area of groundwater contamination" ²).
nec water is tess than tons forg. the nature, significantly increase stoms at these	If no (contaminated groundwater is observed or expected to migrate beyond the designated locations defining the "existing area of groundwater contamination" ²) - skip to #8 and enter "NO" status code, after providing an explanation.
	If unknown - skip to #8 and enter "IN" status code.
Type here	key continuing disclarged above their groundwater "level appropriate "level(s)," and if there is evidence that the concidencesing and 2) provide a statement of professional judge reference documentation) supporting that the discharge of good contaminants into the surface water is not anticipated to have impacts to the receiving surface water, sediments, or eco-sy-
4. Does "contam	inated" groundwater discharge into surface water bodies?
at 100 times their	_ If yes - continue after identifying potentially affected surface water bodies.
(mass in sgyr) of	If no - skip to #7 (and enter a "YE" status code in #8, if #7 = yes) after providing an explanation and/or referencing documentation supporting that groundwater "contamination" does not enter surface water bodies.

²"existing area of contaminated groundwater" is an area (with horizontal and vertical dimensions) that has been verifiably demonstrated to contain all relevant groundwater contamination for this determination, and is defined by designated (monitoring) locations proximate to the outer perimeter of "contamination" that can and will be sampled/tested in the future to physically verify that all "contaminated" groundwater remains within this area, and that the further migration of "contaminated" groundwater is not occurring. Reasonable allowances in the proximity of the monitoring locations are permissible to incorporate formal remedy decisions (i.e., including public participation) allowing a limited area for natural attenuation.

-	If unknown - skip to #8 and enter "IN" status code.
ationale:	
ype here	
eferences:	
- Lancon Commence	
(i.e., the man 10 times the and number	harge of "contaminated" groundwater into surface water likely to be "insignificant" naximum concentration ³ of each contaminant discharging into surface water is less than heir appropriate groundwater "level," and there are no other conditions (e.g., the nature, er, of discharging contaminants, or environmental setting), which significantly increase ital for unacceptable impacts to surface water, sediments, or eco-systems at these tions)?
	If yes - skip to #7 (and enter "YE" status code in #8 if #7 = yes), after documenting: 1) the maximum known or reasonably suspected concentration ³ of key contaminants discharged above their groundwater "level," the value of the appropriate "level(s)," and if there is evidence that the concentrations are increasing; and 2) provide a statement of professional judgement/explanation (or reference documentation) supporting that the discharge of groundwater contaminants into the surface water is not anticipated to have unacceptable impacts to the receiving surface water, sediments, or eco-system.
ater bodies. s) after providing of groundwater	
	If unknown - enter "IN" status code in #8.
	"extreme area of contaminated proundwater" is an area (with horizontal and vertical dis- been verifiably deposition to contain all relevant groundwater contamination for this determin

Rationale:

³As measured in groundwater prior to entry to the groundwater-surface water/sediment interaction (e.g., hyporheic) zone.

Type here

Refe	rences:	
Туре	here	
6.	acceptable" (i.e., no	of "contaminated" groundwater into surface water be shown to be " currently to cause impacts to surface water, sediments or eco-systems that should not be until a final remedy decision can be made and implemented ⁴)?
	inco prot refe exc 2) j for surf ade unti Fac to h surf limi sedi wat eco eco	es - continue after either: 1) identifying the Final Remedy decision or porating these conditions, or other site-specific criteria (developed for the ection of the site's surface water, sediments, and eco-systems), and rencing supporting documentation demonstrating that these criteria are not eeded by the discharging groundwater; OR providing or referencing an interim-assessment, appropriate to the potential impact, that shows the discharge of groundwater contaminants into the face water is (in the opinion of a trained specialists, including ecologist) quately protective of receiving surface water, sediments, and eco-systems, al such time when a full assessment and final remedy decision can be made. For which should be considered in the interim-assessment (where appropriate elp identify the impact associated with discharging groundwater) include: face water body size, flow, use/classification/habitats and contaminant loading ts, other sources of surface water/sediment contamination, surface water and ment sample results and comparisons to available and appropriate surface er and sediment "levels," as well as any other factors, such as effects on ogical receptors (e.g., via bio-assays/benthic surveys or site-specific ogical Risk Assessments), that the overseeing regulatory agency would deem repriate for making the EI determination.
	"cui	o - (the discharge of "contaminated" groundwater can not be shown to be crently acceptable") - skip to #8 and enter "NO" status code, after amenting the currently unacceptable impacts to the surface water body, ments, and/or eco-systems.
	If u	nknown - skip to 8 and enter "IN" status code.

⁴Note, because areas of inflowing groundwater can be critical habitats (e.g., nurseries or thermal refugia) for many species, appropriate specialist (e.g., ecologist) should be included in management decisions that could eliminate these areas by significantly altering or reversing groundwater flow pathways near surface water bodies.

⁵The understanding of the impacts of contaminated groundwater discharges into surface water bodies is a rapidly developing field and reviewers are encouraged to look to the latest guidance for the appropriate methods and scale of demonstration to be reasonably certain that discharges are not causing currently unacceptable impacts to the surface waters, sediments or eco-systems.

Rationale:	
Kationaie:	
Type here	
References	
Type here	
as r wit	Il groundwater monitoring / measurement data (and surface water/sediment/ecological data, necessary) be collected in the future to verify that contaminated groundwater has remained hin the horizontal (or vertical, as necessary) dimensions of the "existing area of contaminated undwater?"
	If yes - continue after providing or citing documentation for planned activities or future sampling/measurement events. Specifically identify the well/measurement locations which will be tested in the future to verify the expectation (identified in #3) that groundwater contamination will not be migrating horizontally (or vertically, as necessary) beyond the "existing area of groundwater contamination." If no - enter "NO" status code in #8.
	If unknown - enter "IN" status code in #8.
	settiment settiple results unit comparisons to available and appropriate
Type here	
8. Che Und and	eck the appropriate RCRAInfo status codes for the Migration of Contaminated Groundwater der Control EI (event code CA750), and obtain Supervisor (or appropriate Manager) signature date on the EI determination below (attach appropriate supporting documentation as well as a of the facility).
	X YE - Yes, "Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control" has been verified. Based on a review of the information contained in this EI determination, it has been determined that the "Migration of Contaminated Groundwater" is "Under Control" at the Tioga Casting Site, EPA ID # NYD002245819, located at Foundry Street Owego, NY Specifically, this determination indicates that the migration of known or reasonably suspected to be "contaminated" groundwater is under control, and that monitoring will be conducted, as necessary, to confirm that contaminated groundwater remains within the "existing area of

contaminated groundwater". This determination will be re-evaluated

	when the Agency becomes aware of significant changes at the facility. NO - Unacceptable migration of contaminated groundwater is observed or expected.		
	IN - More information is needed to make a dete	ermination.	
Completed by:	Typof Dzy	Date: FEB 10, 2011	
	Payson Long, P.E. Project Manager		
Supervisor:	Swan L Edwards	Date: 2/10/2011	
Director:	Susan Edwards, P. E. Section Chief	Date: 2/10/201	
	Michael Cruden, P.E. Bureau Director	Dutc.	
Locatio	ons where References may be found:		
	Town Hall 178 Main Street Owego, NY 13827		
Contac	t, telephone number and e-mail:		
Mr. Pay	vson Long, P.E.		

Project Manager (518) 402-9813

pdlong@gw.dec.state.ny.us