Appendix A2 HTRW Investigation Northern Ecological Associates, Inc. / Ogden Environmental and Energy Services Co. Inc. April 2000



New York Office: 33 Park Street Canton, New York 13617

Corporate Headquarters: 451 Presumpscot Street Portland, Maine 04103 FINAL FORMER AIR FORCE PLANT NO. 51 HTRW INVESTIGATION

GREECE, NEW YORK

Contract Number: DACW51-97-D-0010 Delivery Order No. 60

Prepared for:

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers New York District 26 Federal Plaza New York, New York 10278-0090

Prepared by:

Ogden Environmental and Energy Services Co., Inc. Northern Ecological Associates, Inc.

APRIL 2000

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Under Delivery Order (DO) 60 of Contract DACW51-97-D-0010, Ogden Environmental and Energy Services Co., Inc. (Ogden) was assigned by Northern Ecological Associates, Inc. (NEA) to perform a targeted Hazardous, Toxic and Radiological Waste (HTRW) investigation at Air Force Plant (AFP) No. 51 in Greece, Monroe County, New York. AFP No. 51 was built during World War II for production of ocean-going ships. Later, the facility was used by the Department of Defense (DoD) for production of B-52 bulkheads, and subsequently for production of Talos ground handling equipment.

The objective of the sampling program under DO 60 was to provide current information regarding the presence of HTRW at the project site, to allow for an evaluation of the need for further remedial investigation or remedial action by the USACE. In support of this objective, the sampling program was targeted to seven (7) areas of concern where prior investigation had revealed the potential for HTRW soil and ground water contamination. A total of 21 soil/sediment, 6 surface water, and 9 ground water samples were obtained for laboratory analysis; most samples were analyzed for Target Compound List (TCL) volatile organic compounds (VOCs), semivolatile organic base-neutral/acid extractable (BN/AE) compounds, and Target Analyte List (TAL) metals, or a subset of these analytes.

In accordance with the USACE-NYD approved Work Plan (NEA/Ogden 1999), sampling activities were performed during the period November 16-19, 1999. Sample results were compared to current NYSDEC standards, i.e., Recommended Cleanup Objectives for Soils (T.A.G.M. HWR-94-4046, January 24, 1994), Technical Guidance for Screening Contaminated Sediments for Sediments (Division of Fish and Wildlife/Division of Marine Resources, July 1994), and Ambient Water Quality Standards and Guidance Values for the surface and ground water data (T.O.G.S. 1.1.1, June 1998, as revised January 1999 and November 11, 1999).

The results of the investigation indicated that the primary contaminants of concern at the project site are those associated with soil/sediment and surface water within Area One (the plating pond from which the waste stream from historical AFP No. 51 electroplating operations was discharged). Significantly elevated concentrations of several VOCs were detected in Area One sediments, primarily trichloroethene (TCE) and cis,1-2 dichloroethene (DCE). TCE was detected at a maximum concentration of 10,000,000 ug/kg (1 per cent); this concentration is orders of magnitude higher than the applicable sediment screening criteria and Recommended Soil Cleanup Objective (RSCO). DCE (a breakdown product of TCE) was detected at a maximum unqualified concentration of 2,600 ug/kg, an order of magnitude above the RSCO. In addition, most metals concentrations significantly exceeded Lowest Effect Level (LEL), Severe Effect Level (SEL), and/or RSCO criteria (by as much as four orders of magnitude). The primary inorganic contaminants are cadmium, chromium, and zinc, with maximum concentrations of 4,200, 3,690, and 4,340 mg/kg, respectively. Surface water concentrations of the identified organic and inorganic contaminants exceeded drinking water and wildlife protection criteria, in some cases by several orders of magnitude.

The primary organic and inorganic contaminants identified in the plating pond were also detected in Area Two sediments and surface water (in many cases exceeding regulatory criteria), documenting off-site migration from the Area One source to the adjacent wetland area to the west.

Organic and inorganic contamination was sporadically detected at concentrations in excess of regulatory criteria in soil borings and ground water within the other areas of concern at the project site, primarily fuel related constituents (e.g., xylene and PAHs), and the metals chromium, nickel, and zinc. However, these contaminants were not present at concentrations approaching those identified in Area One, and do not appear to represent significant potential sources for off-site migration.

Based on surrounding land use and inferred surface and ground water flow paths, the primary receptor of contamination from the Area One source is wildlife located in the wetland area to the west of the project site, associated with Round Pond Creek and Round Pond. With the exception of a potential fish ingestion pathway, available data do not suggest the presence of a human receptor population from this source.

Given the apparent magnitude of Area One sediment contamination, and the ongoing potential for off-site migration of this contamination to the adjacent wetland area, remediation of these source area sediments may be warranted to address impact to the ecological receptor population. In support of decision-making relative to such action, additional source area HTRW characterization, wetland area HTRW characterization, habitat evaluation, and pathway analysis are recommended.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DVD/T	TIVE SUMMARY	<u>Page</u>
EXECU	IIVE SUMMAR I	
LIST OF	TABLES, FIGURES AND APPENDICES	ii
1.0 IN	TRODUCTION	1-1
2.0 SIT	TE BACKGROUND	2-1
	SITE HISTORY	
	Areas Of Concern	
	SITE GEOLOGY AND SOILS	
3.0 SA	MPLE COLLECTION AND ANALYSIS	3-1
	PROJECT OBJECTIVE	
	WORK PLAN MODIFICATIONS	
	SAMPLING PROCEDURES	
3.3		
3.3		
3.3 3.3		
3.3 3.3		
3.3		
3.3		
	SAMPLE ANALYTICAL REQUIREMENTS	
40.55		
	ESULTS AND DISCUSSION	
	ANALYTICAL RESULTS	
4.1 4.1		
4.1		
	1.4 Area Four	
	1.5 Area Five	
	1.6 Area Six	
	1.7 Area Seven	
4.2	DATA QUALITY	
	2.1 Laboratory Analysis	
4.2	2.2 Field QA/QC Samples	4-19
4.3	LAND USE/DEMOGRAPHICS	4-20
4.4	FATE AND TRANSPORT	4-20
	4.1 Contaminants of Concern	4-20
4.4	4.2 Potential Receptors	4-25
5.0 C	ONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	5-1
6.0 R	EFERENCES	6- 1
7.0 A	BBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS	7-1

LIST OF TABLES

	<u>Page</u>
3-1 3-2 3-3 3-4	Summary of HTRW Sampling Activities3-2Horizontal and Vertical Survey Control3-3Summary of Sample Analytical Requirements3-8Sample Preservatives, Holding Times, and Sample Container Requirements3-9
4-1 4-2 4-3 4-4 4-5 4-6 4-7 4-8 4-9 4-10 4-11 4-12	Volatile Organic Compound Laboratory Analysis of Sediment Sampling
	LIST OF FIGURES
2-1 2-2 3-1	Site Location Map
	LIST OF APPENDICES
A B C	Daily Chemical Quality Control Reports Soil Characterization Logs Laboratory Analytical Data Packages

1.0 INTRODUCTION

The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE), under direction from the Superfund Act, implements The Defense Environmental Restoration Program (DERP). The goal of this program is to evaluate and remediate contamination at both active and formerly used defense sites (FUDS), the result of maintenance and manufacturing operations at these installations.

The USACE currently uses the Relative Risk Site Evaluation Program (RRSEP) to evaluate human health and ecological risk posed by potential DoD-related hazardous, toxic, and radiological waste (HTRW) at DERP sites, and to compare potential hazards at a specific site with other sites throughout the nation for budget allocation purposes. HTRW analytical data must be collected at these sites to evaluate potential hazards using RRSEP.

Under Delivery Order (DO) 60 of contract DACW51-97-D-0010 between Northern Ecological Associates, Inc. (NEA) and the USACE-New York District (NYD), Ogden Environmental and Energy Services Co., Inc. (Ogden) was assigned to collect soil, sediment, surface water, and ground water samples at Air Force Plant No. 51, 4800 Dewey Avenue, Greece, Monroe County, New York in accordance with the USACE-NYD approved Work Plan (NEA/Ogden 1999). Air Force Plant No. 51 was built during World War II for production of ocean-going ships. Later, the facility was used by the Department of Defense (DoD) for production of B-52 bulkheads, and subsequently for production of Talos ground handling equipment.

This report consists of the following six subsections: Site Background (Section 2.0), Sample Collection and Analysis (Section 3.0), Results and Discussion (Section 4.0), Conclusions and Recommendations (Section 5.0), References (Section 6.0), and Abbreviations and Acronyms (Section 7.0).

2.0 SITE BACKGROUND

2.1 SITE HISTORY

The project area is located at the former Air Force Plant No. 51, located in Greece, Monroe County, New York, north of the Lake Ontario State Parkway and adjacent to Dewey Avenue (Figure 2-1). Air Force Plant No. 51 was built during World War II for production of oceangoing ships. Later, the facility was used by the DoD for production of B-52 bulkheads, and subsequently for production of Talos ground handling equipment.

On 24 September 1959, the site was declared excess to the needs of the U.S. Air Force, and care and custody for the site, was accepted by the General Services Administration (GSA). By quitclaim deed dated 10 March 1961, GSA conveyed 40.33 acres fee and 3.66 acres easement to the Monroe County Water Authority. By quitclaim deed dated 07 November 1963, the Monroe County Water Authority conveyed 36.63 acres fee and 3.24 acres easement to Genesee Scrap and Tin Co. As of the date of the DERP-FUDS Inventory Project Report (INPR), Genesee Scrap and Tin remained the owner of record. Genesee Scrap and Tin stores and repackages scrap tin products for resale.

2.2 AREAS OF CONCERN

The INPR Project Summary Sheet (amended), dated 12 December 1995 noted twelve (12) potential areas of concern at the project site, of which seven (7) have been identified by USACE-NYD for further analysis. The identified areas of concern are described below and indicated in Figure 2-2.

Area One: Northwest of the main building is a pond that was formerly used to collect

wastewater from electroplating operations. It is possible that the standing water

in the pond and the soil around it are contaminated.

Area Two: The wastewater collection pond discharge point is to the west of an adjacent

wetland area, just outside the fence surrounding the property. Sampling and testing of the discharge and the wetland was performed to investigate possible

contamination.


Area Three: Based on the previous use of PCB containing oils used in the transformers at the

site, sediment in the transformer sump (located west of the main building) may be

contaminated.

Area Four: Discolored soil was identified in the area formerly occupied by Buildings 16, and

17 (now demolished). Soil and ground water may be contaminated in these areas.





Source: US Department of the Interior, Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Series (Topographic)


7.5 Minute Series (Topographic) Rochester West, N.Y., 1971 (PR 1978) Braddock heights, N.Y., 1971 (PR 1978) Figure 2-1
Site Location Map
Air Force Plant No. 51, Greece, New York

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, New York District DACW51-97-D-0010; Work Order No. 0060



ENVIRONMENTAL AND ENERGY SERVICES CO., INC.

285 DAVIDSON AVENUE, SOMERSET, NEW JERSEY 08873



Area Five: Location of two former fuel pumps and underground storage tanks (USTs) located

east of the main building; soil contamination may be present in the area adjacent

to the USTs.

Area Six: A series of disposal / dumping areas were previously mapped across the site,

primarily to the west of the main building; soil and ground water contamination

may be present in these areas.

Area Seven: A series of drainage pits underlie the floor at the vehicle maintenance area;

sediment in these pits may be contaminated.

2.3 SITE GEOLOGY AND SOILS

Based on surficial geologic mapping compiled by Muller and Caldwell (1986), the project site is dominated by lacustrine silt and clay, which is generally laminated clay and silt, deposited in proglacial lakes. It is generally calcareous, has potential land instability, and has a variable thickness of up to 50 meters. While predominantly mapped further to the south, till was also identified in borings advanced as part of this site investigation. Till has a variable texture and is usually poorly sorted. Its deposition occurs beneath glacial ice, and it is generally calcareous, relatively permeable and has variable thickness of up to 50 meters. Geologic mapping of the Rochester and Ontario Beach Quadrangles (Hartnagle 1907) indicates that surficial deposits at the project site are underlain by the Lower Medina shale. The Lower Medina shale is a division of the Medina Formation that consists of an extensive series of soft, red shales, with small amounts of interbedded silicious material. The thickness of the red shales is approximately 900 feet.

Soil series mapped by the United States Department of Agriculture, Soil Conservation Service (USDA-SCS) (1973), at and in the vicinity of the project site, consist of the following:

The project site and areas to the north consist of Made land. Made land consists of areas that have been filled with waste, such as stones, old masonry material, bricks and tree stumps. Some areas have been covered with a thin mantel of soil material. Dominant slopes are from 0 to 3 percent.

Collamer silt loam is found west, southwest and in small areas east of the subject property. The Collamer series is made up of deep, moderately well drained, medium textured soils that occur primarily in old glacial lakebeds. These soils formed in lacustrine deposits that are mainly very fine sand and silt with some clay. The Collamer silt series occupies knolls on the higher landscapes, and has 2 to 6 percent slopes in the vicinity of the project site.

Fresh water marsh is found west of the subject property. It consists of level, wet, periodically flooded areas where water is on or near the surface most of the year. The level of water fluctuates with adjacent bodies of fresh water. Cattails, rushes, and other tolerant herbaceous plants make up the dominant vegetation.

Niagara silt loam is found to the northeast, south and southeast of the subject property. The Niagara series is made up of deep, somewhat poorly drained soils that have a medium textured surface layer and a medium to fine textured subsoil. These soils formed in lacustrine deposits dominated by silt and fine sand with some clay. It occupies an intermediate landscape between high knobs and low depressions in old glacial lakebeds, and exhibits slopes in the 0 to 2 percent range in the vicinity of the project site.

Rhineback silt loam is found to the east of the subject property. The Rhineback series are deep, somewhat poorly drained soils that have a medium textured surface layer and a fine-textured subsoil. These nearly level soils developed in deep lacustrine deposits of calcareous clay and silt. They are in old glacial lakebed areas between low depressions, higher knobs and ridges. Slopes range from 0 to 2 percent in the vicinity of the project site.

3.0 SAMPLE COLLECTION AND ANALYSIS

All data collection activities were completed at the Air Force Plant No. 51 in accordance with the site specific Letter Work Plan (LWP). The LWP (NEA/Ogden 1999) contained the following components that described sample collection and analysis procedures: Management Plan, Field Sampling Plan, Quality Assurance Program Plan (QAPP), and Health and Safety Plan. As appropriate to describe the performance of the HTRW investigations, field sampling plan procedures are summarized below, and exemptions are noted where necessitated by field conditions.

3.1 PROJECT OBJECTIVE

The objective of the sampling program under DO 60 was to provide current information regarding the presence of HTRW at the project site, to allow for an evaluation of the need for further remedial investigation or remedial action by the USACE. In support of this objective, the sampling program was targeted to areas where prior investigation had revealed the potential for HTRW soil and ground water contamination, and a total of 21 soil/sediment, 6 surface water, and 9 ground water samples were obtained for laboratory analysis (Table 3-1).

3.2 WORK PLAN MODIFICATIONS

Several modifications to the sampling specifications contained in the LWP were necessitated during the execution of project work due to environmental conditions or constraints encountered in the field, as detailed below:

Due to the potential for encountering construction debris during the advancement of soil borings, and the anticipated low yield of soil materials expected at the project site, hollow stem auger (HSA) drilling equipment was used exclusively at the site (rather than direct-push, Geoprobe equipment), and temporary 2 in. diameter wells were installed in each borehole rather than attempting ground water recovery using direct push (hydropunch) techniques.

As a result of the shallow depth to ground water at several sampling locations and/or the lack of measurable organic vapor readings obtained from head space monitoring of soil core samples, only one subsurface soil sample (rather than the two specified in the LWP) was processed for laboratory analysis at several borings; i.e., SB4-2, SB4-3, SB5-1, SB5-2, and SB5-3.

An attempt was made to advance a supplemental boring (SB6-1) within an additional Area Six "dumping area"; however, work hour constraints (site access gate closure at 4:30 PM daily) coupled with the additional labor required to install 2 in. temporary monitoring wells in all on-site borings did not allow for initiation of drilling at that location.

Table 3-1: Summary of HTRW Sampling Activities

AREA	DESCRIPTION	SAMPLE ID	MATRIX	ANALYSIS	Date		
		S1-1	Sediment	TCL VOCs, TAL Metals, Cyanide	11/16/1999		
l		S1-2	Sediment	TCL VOCs, TAL Metals, Cyanide	11/19/1999		
One	Plating discharge pond	S1-3	Sediment	TCL VOCs, TAL Metals, Cyanide	11/16/1999		
One	Flating discharge pond	SW1-1	Surface Water	TAL Metals, Cyanide	11/16/1999		
		SW1-2	Surface Water	TCL VOCs, BN/AE, TAL Metals, Cyamide	11/19/1999		
		SW1-3	SW1-3 Surface Water TAL Metals, Cyanide				
		S2-1	TCL VOCs, TAL Metals, Cyanide	11/16/1999			
		S2-2	Sediment	TCL VOCs, TAL Metals, Cyanide	11/16/1999		
Two	Plating pond discharge (wetlands)	S2-3	Sediment	TCL VOCs, TAL Metals, Cyanide	11/16/1999		
140	riaming point discigning (wedness)	SW2-1	Surface Water	TAL Metals, Cyanide	11/16/1999		
		SW2-2	Surface Water	TAL Metals, Cyanide	11/16/1999		
		SW2-3	Surface Water	TAL Metals, Cyanide	11/16/1999		
Three	Transformer Sump	S3-1	Sediment	PCBs	11/19/1999		
	_	SB4-1-7	Soil	TCL VOCs, BN/AE, TAL Metals	11/17/1999		
		SB4-1-9	Soil	TCL VOCs, BN/AE, TAL Metals	11/17/1999		
		SB4-2-2	Soil	TCL VOCs, BN/AE, TAL Metals	11/17/1999		
Four	Former Building Nos. 15, 16, 17	SB4-3-2	Soil	TCL VOCs, BN/AE, TAL Metals	11/18/1999		
	li de la companya de	GW4-1	Ground Water	TCL VOCs, BN/AE, TAL Metals	11/18/1999		
,		GW4-2	Ground Water	TCL VOCs, BN/AE, TAL Metals	11/18/1999		
		GW4-3	Ground Water	TCL VOCs, BN/AE, TAL Metals	11/19/1999		
		SB5-3-1	Soil	TCL VOCs, PAH, Lead	11/17/1999		
		SB5-1-1	Soil	TCL VOCs, PAH, Lead	11/17/1999		
Five	Fuel pump/UST area	SB5-2-1	Soil	TCL VOCs, PAH, Lead	11/17/1999		
FIVE		GW5-3	Ground Water	TCL VOCs, PAH, Lead	11/19/1999		
		GW5-1	Ground Water	TCL VOCs, PAH, Lead	11/19/1999		
		GW5-2	Ground Water	TCL VOCs, PAH, Lead	11/19/1999		
ı		SB6-4-4	Soil	TCL VOCs, BN/AE, TAL Metals	11/18/1999		
		SB6_4-8	Soil	TCL VOCs, BN/AE, TAL Metals	11/18/1999		
	ļ	SB6-2-2	Soil	TCL VOCs, BN/AE, TAL Metals	11/18/1999		
		\$B6-2-9	Soil	TCL VOCs, BN/AE, TAL Metals	11/18/1999		
Six	Former disposal/dumping areas	SB6-3-5	Soil	TCL VOCs, BN/AE, TAL Metals	11/18/1999		
	1	SB6-3-7	Soil	TCL VOCs, BN/AE, TAL Metals	11/18/1999		
		GW6-2	Ground Water	TCL VOCs, BN/AE, TAL Metals	11/19/1999		
		GW6-3	Ground Water	TCL VOCs, BN/AE, TAL Metals	11/19/1999		
		GW6-4	Ground Water	TCL VOCs, BN/AE, TAL Metals	11/19/1999		
Seven	Drainage pit in the vehicle maintenance area.	S7-1	Sediment	TAL Metals, TCL VOCs, BN/AE	11/19/1999		
		TB111699-1	Water	TCL VOCs	11/16/1999		
		TB111699-2	Water	TCL VOCs	11/16/1999		
		S2-DUP	Sediment	TCL VOCs, TAL Metals, Cyanide	11/16/1999		
		TB111799-3	Water	TCL VOCs	11/17/1999		
QA/QC	QA/QC Samples	QC Samples TB111899 Water TCL VOCs					
}	TB111999 Water TCL VOCs				11/17/1999 11/19/1999		
		11/19/1999					
		GW6-4-02	Ground Water	TCL VOCs, BN/AE, TAL Metals	11/19/1999		
		GW4-3-03	Ground Water	TCL VOCs, BN/AE, TAL Metals	11/19/1999		

Due to the presence of a strong chemical odor and water discoloration during the extraction of sediment sample S1-2 in Area One, an additional water sample was obtained at location SW1-2 for the analysis of Target Compound List (TCL) volatile organic compounds (VOCs) and semivolatile base-neutral/acid-extractable organic (BN/AE) compounds, in addition to the Target Analyte List (TAL) metals and cyanide analyses specified in the LWP. Use of sample bottles for BN/AE sample collection from this location precluded processing of a BN/AE field (rinsate) blank.

Failure of the laboratory information management system (LIMS) at the Severn Trent Laboratory (STL) in Whippany, NJ (the laboratory specified in the LWP for sample analysis) precluded acceptance of project samples. Consequently, all project samples were shipped to the STL in Monroe, Connecticut for analysis. As with STL-Whippany, the STL-Monroe laboratory is New York State and USACE-MRD certified.

3.3 SAMPLING PROCEDURES


Sampling activities were performed over a period of four days (November 16–19, 1999). Sample locations are indicated on Figure 3-1, and survey coordinates of these locations are contained in Table 3-2 (including vertical control for temporary well installations). The following sections describe the sampling procedures implemented at each of the seven areas of concern identified at the project site. A summary of field investigation activities is contained in the Daily Chemical Quality Control Reports (DCQCRs) contained in Appendix A.

Table 3-2: Horizontal and Vertical Survey Control

Boring/Well ID	Easting (ft)	Northing _(ft)	Ground Surface Elevation (ft. MSL)	Top of Casing Elevation (ft. MSL) [1]
S1-1 / SW1-1	748,491.3754	1,191,761.2258		in the second of
S1-2 / SW1-2	748,479.4111	1,191,819.8178		
S1-3 / SW1-3	748,496.1615	1,191,791.1202		
S2-1 / SW2-1	748,241.4184	1,191,846.9479		
S2-2 / SW2-2	748,231.2924	1,191,848.5330		
S2-3 / SW2-3	748,223,2081	1,191,854.2952	! 	
SB4-1 / GW4-1	748,864.0484	1,191,853.0699	261.62	264.12 _
SB4-2 / GW4-2	748,860.3385	1,191,826.8254	260.99	263.51
SB4-3 / GW4-3	748,503.5983	1,191,113.1582	260.80	261.3
SB5-1 / GW5-1	748,782.6550	1,190,887.8616	260.12	262.14
SB5-2 / GW5-2	748,753.8150	1,190,906.6444	260.30	261.69
SB5-3 / GW5-3	748,753.2034	1,190,869.1321	260.33	261.27
SB6-2 / GW6-2	748,344.3570	1,191,163.8785	261.23	261.53
SB6-3 / GW6-3	748,444.0548	1,191,576.6991	256.88	257.22
SB6-4 / GW6-4	748,369.9904	1,191,767.3611	253.06	253.57

^[1] Temporary well (top of PVC well casing)

Site Survey: James M. Parker, Land Surveyor, Rush, New York - November, 1999



All samples collected on-site were documented on chain-of-custody forms; immediately following collection, samples were placed on ice in coolers maintained at a temperature of 4° C pending shipment to the analytical laboratory. Samples were shipped to STL-Monroe, 200 Monroe Turnpike, Monroe, Connecticut via Federal Express on November 17 and 19, 1999.

Headspace screening of soil samples for total organic vapor concentration (TOVC) using a photoionization detector (PID) was performed on soil core (split-spoon) samples recovered from all soil borings. This screening was performed to assist in the selection of soil increments within each boring to be processed for laboratory analysis (in addition to the increment associated with the inferred water table interface). Headspace screening was performed in accordance with the following procedure: (1) based on observed soil core lithology, odor, mottling, or other discoloration, soil from one or more increments within each split-spoon sample was placed in a soil sample jar, sealed with aluminum foil, and placed in storage at ambient temperature; (2) split sample from each increment was also sealed and placed on ice in a sample cooler; (3) at the end of each day, a TOVC reading from each sample increment was measured by piercing the aluminum foil seal on the ambient sample jar with the PID probe, and allowing the instrument reading to equilibrate over a period of 1-3 minutes; (4) where differentiation in TOVC was observed across sample increments within a single boring, the split sample corresponding to the sample increment with the highest recorded TOVC was removed from the sample cooler and processed for laboratory analysis; (5) where no differentiation in TOVC was noted across sample increments, the split sample increment corresponding to the inferred water table interface, and/or sample with other distinguishing feature (i.e., discoloration) was processed for laboratory analysis.

3.3.1 Area One

On November 16 and 19, 1999 three sediment samples (S1-1, S1-2, and S1-3) were collected for TCL VOC, TAL metals, and cyanide analysis.

The sediment samples were obtained at a sampling depth of 0-6 inches using precleaned stainless steel hand trowels and/or a stainless steel sediment corer fitted with either a lexan liner (for metals and cyanide samples) or a nonreactive brass liner (for VOC samples). Following sample retrieval, the liners were sealed with Teflon® tape and capped prior to storage in a sample cooler.

Sediment sample S1-1 was obtained in the vicinity of the pipe discharge from the main building to the pond, S1-3 was obtained in the center of the pond, and S1-2 was obtained midway between the other two sample locations. Surface water grab samples SW1-1, SW1-2, and SW1-3 were obtained adjacent to the sediment sampling locations, and analyzed for TAL metals and cyanide. SW1-2 was also analyzed for TCL VOCs and BN/AE due to the presence of a strong chemical odor and water discoloration during the extraction of sediment sample S1-2. The maximum water depth in the pond was approximately one foot. An inflatable raft was used to access the sampling locations in the center of the pond.

3.3.2 Area Two

On November 16, 1999, sediment samples S2-1, S2-2, and S2-3 were collected for TCL VOC, TAL metals, and cyanide analysis. The sediment samples were obtained using precleaned stainless steel hand trowels at a sampling depth of 0-6 inches. Sample S2-1 was obtained at the pipe discharge from the pond into the wetland area, and samples S2-2 and S2-3 were obtained approximately 10 and 20 feet downstream, respectively. One duplicate sediment sample (S2-DUP) was collected. Surface water grab samples SW2-1, SW2-2 and SW2-3 were collected adjacent to the sediment sampling locations and analyzed for TAL metals and cyanide analysis. The depth of the water column was approximately 1.5 feet at location SW2-1, and 0.5 feet at the other sample locations.

3.3.3 Area Three

On November 19, 1999 sediment sample S3-1 was collected using a precleaned stainless steel hand trowel for polychlorinated biphenols (PCBs) analysis. Approximately 1.5 feet of standing water was present in the transformer sump at the time of sampling. A fuel/organic odor was noted during sample extraction.

3.3.4 Area Four

On November 17, 1999 soil borings SB4-1 and SB4-2 were advanced in the area formerly occupied by Buildings 16 and 17. Boring SB4-3 was advanced in the area formerly occupied by Building 15 on November 18, 1999. Drilling was performed by Earth Dimensions, Inc. of Elma, New York. Soil boring SB4-1 was sampled continuously with a split spoon sampler from ground surface to a depth of 20 feet below ground surface (bgs). Soil boring SB4-2 was sampled in 5 ft increments, starting at 3-5 ft bgs increment, and ending at the 13-15 ft bgs increment. Soil boring SB4-3 was sampled in 5 ft increments, starting at the 3-5 ft bgs increment and ending at the 13-15 ft bgs increment. From these borings, samples SB4-1-7 (12-14 ft bgs), SB4-1-9 (16-18 ft bgs), SB4-2-2 (8-10 ft bgs), and SB4-3-2 (8-10 ft bgs) were selected for TCL VOC, BN/AE, and TAL metals analysis.

Temporary two-inch diameter monitoring wells were placed in each of the borings with well screens placed across the inferred water table elevation. On November 18 and 19, 1999, ground water samples GW4-1, GW4-2, and GW4-3 were obtained from SB4-1, SB4-2, and SB4-3, respectively, for TCL VOC, BN/AE, and TAL metals analysis. One matrix spike/matrix spike duplicate sample (GW4-3-03) was collected from SB4-3. Disposable Teflon® bailers were used for sample collection at each well.

3.3.5 Area Five

On November 17, 1999, soil borings SB5-1, SB5-2, and SB5-3 were advanced by Earth Dimensions, Inc., in the location of the former fuel pumps and USTs. Soil boring SB5-3 was sampled continuously with a split spoon sampler from ground surface to a depth of 14 ft bgs. Soil borings SB5-1 and SB5-2 were sampled at the 3-5 ft bgs and 8-10 ft bgs increments. From

these borings, samples SB5-1-1 (3-5 ft bgs), SB5-2-1 (3-5 ft bgs), and SB5-3-1 (0-2 ft bgs) were selected for TCL VOC, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon (PAH), and lead analysis.

Temporary two-inch diameter monitoring wells were placed in each of the borings with well screens placed across the inferred water table elevation. On November 19, 1999, ground water samples GW5-1, GW5-2, and GW5-3 were obtained from SB5-1, SB5-2, and SB5-3, respectively, for TCL VOC, PAH, and lead analysis. Disposable Teflon[®] bailers were used for sample collection at each well.

3.3.6 Area Six

On November 18, 1999, soil borings SB6-2, SB6-3, and SB6-4 were advanced by Earth Dimensions Inc., in several selected former disposal/dumping areas located to the west of the main building. Soil boring samples SB6-4 and SB6-2 were sampled continuously with a split spoon sampler from ground surface to a depth of 20 ft bgs. Soil boring SB6-3 was sampled continuously from ground surface to 16 ft bgs. From these borings, samples SB6-2-2 (2-4 ft bgs), SB6-2-9 (16-18 ft bgs), SB6-3-5 (8-10 ft bgs), SB6-3-7 (14-16 ft bgs), SB6-4-4 (6-8 ft bgs), and SB6-4-8 (14-16 ft bgs) were selected for TCL VOC, BN/AE, and TAL metals analysis.

Temporary two-inch diameter monitoring wells were placed in each of the borings with well screens placed across the inferred water table elevations. On November 19, 1999, ground water samples GW6-2, GW6-3, and GW6-4 were obtained from SB6-2, SB6-3, and SB6-4, respectively, for TCL VOC, TCL BN/AE, and TAL metals analysis. A duplicate ground water sample (GW6-4-02) was collected from SB6-4. Disposable Teflon® bailers were used for sample collection at each well.

3.3.7 Area Seven

On November 19, 1999, sediment sample S7-1 was obtained from the drainage pit in the vehicle maintenance area for TCL VOC, BN/AE, and TAL metals analysis. The sample was obtained using a precleaned stainless steel hand trowel.

3.4 SAMPLE ANALYTICAL REQUIREMENTS

A summary of sample analytical requirements for the HTRW investigation at the AFB Plant No. 51 project site (as modified per Section 3.2) is contained in Table 3-2. Laboratory analytical services were provided by STL of Monroe, Connecticut. STL is currently certified by the USACE-MRD. All analyses were performed in accordance with the United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) Test Methods of Evaluating Solid Wastes (SW-846, 3rd Edition). Laboratory analytical methods are specified in Table 3-3. Data quality protocols were specified in Section 4.1.2 (Data Quality Protocols) of the LWP. Sample maintenance, preservation, and handling procedures were implemented in accordance with Section 4.3 (Sample Documentation, Custody, and Shipping) of the LWP.

Table 3-3: Summary of Sample Analytical Requirements

Sample Designation	Sample Matrix	Sample Quantity	Sample Analytical Parameters	Field QA/QC Samples	Laboratory QA/QC Samples
Area One: S1-1 through S1-3	Soil / Sediment	3	TAL metals TCL VOC Cyanide	1 Field Blank	1 Matrix Spike\ Matrix Spike Dupe
Area One: SW1-1 through SW1-3	Surface Water	3	TAL metals Cyanide	1 Trip Blank	
Area Two: SW2-1 through SW2-3	Soil / Sediment	3	TAL metals TCL VOC Cyanide	1 Duplicate 1 Trip Blank	
Area Two: SW2-1 through SW2-3	Surface Water	3	TAL metals Cyanide		
Area Three: S3-1	Soil / Sediment	1	PCBs		
Area Four: SB4-1-7, SB4-1- 9, SB4-2-2 and SB4-3-2	Soil	4	TAL metals TCL VOC TCL BN/AE	1 Duplicate 1 Trip Blank	1 Matrix Spike\ Matrix Spike Dupe
Area Four: GW4-1, GW4-2 and GW4-3	Ground Water	3	TAL metals TCL VOC TCL BN/AE		1 Matrix Spike\ Matrix Spike Dupe
Area Five: GW5-1, GW5-2 and GW5-3	Ground Water	3	TCL Metals TCL PAH Lead	l Trip Blank	
Area Five: SB5-1-1, SB5-2- 1 and SB5-3-1	Soil	6	TCL VOC TCL PAH Lead		
Area Six: SB6-2-2, SB6-2- 9, SB6-3-5, SB6-3-7, SB6-4- 4 and SB6-4-8	Soil	6	TAL metals TCL VOC TCL BN/AE	l Trip Blank	
Area Six: GW6-2, GW6-3 and GW6-4	Ground Water	3	TAL metals TCL VOC TCL BN/AE	1 Duplicate	
Area Seven: SB7-1	Soil / Sediment	1	TAL metals TCL VOC TCL BN/AE		

Table 3-4: Sample Preservatives, Holding Times, and Sample Container Requirements

Parameter	Matrix	Analytical Method	Sample Preservation	Analytical Holding Times	Container (#/sample)
TCL Volatiles	latiles Soil 8260 Cool 4° C 14 days		14 days	(1) Glass, wide- mouth, teflon lined cap, 4 oz	
TCL Volatiles	Water	8260	Cool 4° C 7 days		(3) Glass vial, teflon lined septum cap, 40 ml (unpreserved)
TCL PAH	Soil	8270	Cool 4° C	Extraction: 14 days ^[1] Analysis: 40 days ^[1]	(1) Glass, wide- mouth, 8 oz
TCL PAH	Water	8270	Cool 4° C	Extraction: 7 days ^[1] Analysis: 40 days ^[1]	(2) Glass, amber, teflon lined cap, 1L
TAL Metals	Soil	6010, 7471 ^[2]	Cool 4° C	180 days 26 days ^[2]	(1) Glass, wide- mouth, 8 oz
TAL Metals	Water	6010, 7471 ^[2]	Cool 4° C HNO ₃ pH < 2	180 days 26 days ^[2]	(1) Plastic, 1L
РСВ	Soil	8082	Cool 4° C	Extraction: 10 days ^[1] Analysis: 40 days ^[1]	(1) Glass, wide- mouth, 8 oz
Lead	Soil	6010	Cool 4° C	180 days	(1) Glass, wide- mouth, 8 oz
Lead	Water	6010	Cool 4° C HNO ₃ pH < 2	180 days	(1) Plastic, 1 L
Cyanide	Soil	9010	Cool 4° C	14 days	(1) Glass, wide- mouth, 8 oz
Cyanide	Water	9010	Cool 4° C NaOH pH > 12		(1) Plastic, 1L
TCL Semivolatiles (BN/AE)	Soil	8270	Cool 4° C	Extraction: 14 days ^[1] Analysis: 40 days ^[1]	(1) Glass, wide- mouth, 8 oz
TCL Semivolatiles (BN/AE)	l l l l l l l l l Applyere: A() dayet;		(2) Glass, amber, teflon lined cap, 1L		

^[1] Days from validated time of sample collection

^[2] Mercury

4.0 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

4.1 ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Analytical results are discussed separately for each area of concern (Areas One through Seven) and each media sampled (soil, sediment, surface water, and ground water) in the ensuing sections. These data are compared to current NYSDEC criteria for soil, sediment, surface water and ground water media, as follows:

Soils

Recommended Soil Cleanup Objectives (RSCOs) defined in *Determination of Soil Cleanup Objectives and Cleanup Levels* (NYSDEC T.A.G.M. HWR-94-4046, January 24, 1994);

Sediments

Human Health Bioaccumulation, Benthic Aquatic Life Acute Toxicity, Benthic Aquatic Life Chronic Toxicity, and Wildlife Bioaccumulation levels of protection for organic compounds; and Lowest Effect Level (LEL) and Severe Effect Level (SEL) risk levels for metals defined in *Technical Guidance for Screening Contaminated Sediments* (NYSDEC Division of Fish and Wildlife/Division of Marine Resources, July 1994);

Surface Water

Source of Drinking Water [H(WS)], Human Consumption of Fish [H(FC)], Fish Propagation [A(C)], Fish Survival [A(A)], Wildlife Protection (W), and Aesthetics (E) standards and guidance values defined in *Ambient Water Quality Standards and Guidance Values* (NYSDEC T.O.G.S. 1.1.1, June 1998, as revised January 1999 and November 11, 1999).

Ground Water

Source of Drinking Water (GA) standards and guidance values defined in Ambient Water Quality Standards and Guidance Values (NYSDEC T.O.G.S. 1.1.1, June 1998, as revised January 1999 and November 11, 1999).

4.1.1 Area One

Area One consists of a pond, located northwest of the main plant, into which plating area rinse water from electroplating operations within Plant No. 51 was discharged. The results of laboratory analyses (TCL VOCs, TAL metals, and cyanide) for the sediment samples collected in this area are presented in Tables 4-1 and 4-2. Surface water sample analyses performed for TAL metals and cyanide are presented in Table 4-3, and surface water sample analyses performed for TCL VOCs and BN/AE are contained in Tables 4-4 and 4-5.

TABLE 4-1 AIR FORCE PLANT #51 HTRW, GREECE, NEW YORK VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUND (VOC) LABORATORY ANALYSIS OF SEDIMENT SAMPLING PERFORMED AT THE PROJECT SITE (ug/kg) Sample Date: 11/1/09/9-11/7/999

Marie Color Color Color Color Color

Sample No.	S1-1	51-2	S1-3	S2-1	S2-2	52-3			NYS	DEC C	iteria (1				Recommended
Laboratory Sample ID#	993083A-08	993083B-12	993083A-10	993083A-01	993083A-03	993083A-06	Benthic Ac		Benthic Aq Chreoic			n Health mulation		idife melation	Soil Cleanup
Matrix	Sediment	Sediment	Sediment	Sediment	Sediment	Sediment	SC_{2] ug/gOC	SC[3]	\$C_[2] ug/gOC	SC[3] ug/kg	SC_[2] ug/gOC	SC[3]	5C[2] ug/gOC	ef/y.t	Objectives (ug/kg) [5]
Acetone	310,000 JB	90,000 1	910 JB	65 B	1,100 18	150 B	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	200
Benzene	- U(1,000)	- U(1,000)	- U(1,000)	U (5.0)	U(1,000)	- U (5.0)	NS	NS	NS	NS	0.6	30	NS	NS	60
Bromodichloromethane	U(1,000)	U(1,000)	- U(1,000)	- U (5.0)	U(1,000)	U (5.0)	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
Bromoform	190,000 1	U(1,000)	- U(1,000)	- U (5.0)	- U(1,000)	- U (5.0)	NS	NS .	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
Bromomethane	_ U(1,000)	- U(1,000)	- U(1,000)	→ U (10)	1,000 J	U (10)	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
2-Butanone	380,000 JB	31,000 JB	1,800 JB	17 /8	2,400 B	32 J	NS	NS	NS_	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	300
Carbon Disulfide	- U(1,000)	- U(1,000)	- U(1,000)	- U (5.0)	- U(1,000)	- U (5.0)	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	2,700
Carbon Tetrachloride	U(1,000)	~ U(1,000)	- U(1,000)	- U (5.0)	U(1,000)	- U (5.0)	NS	NS	NS	NS	0.6[4]	30	NS	NS	600
Chlorobenzene	- U(1,000)	- U(1,000)	- U(1,000)	- U (5.0)	- U(1,000)	- U (5.0)	34.6	1,730	3.5	175	NS	NS	_NS	NS	1,700
Chloroethane	- U(1,000)	- U(1,000)	- U(1,000)	- U (10)	U(1,000)	U (10)	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS_	NS	NS	NS	1,900
Chloroform	U(1,000)	- U(1,000)	- U(1,000)	U (5.0)	U(1,000)	- U (5.0)	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS_	NS_	NS	300
Chloromethane	- U(1,000)	- U(1,000)	- U(1,000)	- U (10)	U(1,000)	- U (10)	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
Dibromochloromethane	83,000 J	- U(1,000)	- U(1,000)	- U (5.0)	- U(1,000)	- U (5,0)	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
1,1 - Dichloroethane	- U(1,000)	- U(1,000)	- U(1,000)	U (5.0)	U(1,000)	_ U (5.0)	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	200
1,2-Dichloroethane	73,000 1	- U(1,000)	- U(1,000)	→ U (5.0)	U(1000)	- U (5.0)	NS	NS	NS	NS	0.7[4]	35	NS	NS	100
1,1 - Dichloroethene	_ LJ(1,000)	- U(1,000)	- U(1,000)	U (5.0)	- U(1000)	- U (5.0)	NS	NS	NS	NS	0.02[4]	_1_	NS	NS	400
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	450,000)	62,000	2,600	460	320	320	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS_	N\$	NS	NS	250
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	- U(1,000)	- U(1,000)	- U(1,000)	3 J	~ U(1,000)	3 1	N\$	NS	NS_	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	300
1,2-Dichloropropane	- U(1,000)	- U(1,000)	- U(1,000)	U (5.0)	- U(1,000)	U (5.0)	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	300
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	19,000 1	U(1,000)	U(1,000)	U (5.0)	U(1,000)	- U (5.0)	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS NS
trans1,3-Dichloropropene	70,000 1	U(1.00 <u>0</u>)	- U(1,000)	- U (5.0)	~ U(1.000)	- U (5.0)	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
Ethlybenzene	7,600	- U(1,000)	50 J	U (5.0)	- U(1,000)	- U (5.0)	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	5,500
2-Hexanone	310,000 J	- U(1,000)	~ U(1,000)	- U (10)	- U(1,000)	~ U (10)	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
4-Methyl-2-Pentanone	320,000 1	→ U(1,000)	~ U(1,000)	- U (16)	360 J	- U (10)	NS	NS	_NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	1,000
Methylene Chloride	- U(1,000)	13,000)	- U(1,000)	5 JB	- U(1,000)	17 J	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	100
Styrene	- U(1,000)	- U(1,000)	- U(1,000)	U (5.0)	U(1,000)	~ U (5.0)	NS	NS	NS_	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	280,000 1	U(1,000)	U(1,000)	→ U (5.0)	U(1,000)	- U (5.0)	NS	NS	NS	NS	0.3[4]	15	NS	NS	600
Tetrachloroethene	- U(1,000)	- U(1,000)	- U(1,000)	- U (5.0)	U(1,000)	~ U (5.0)	NS	NS	NS	NS	0.8	NS	NS	NS	1,400
Toluene	14,000	- U(1,000)	30 J	U (5.0)	U(1,000)	2 J	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	1,500
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	- U(1,000)	- U(1,000)	U(1,000)	- U (5.0)	- U(1,000)	- U (5.0)	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	800
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	170,000	- U(1,000)	- U(1,000)	U (5.0)	U(1,000)	- U (5.0)	NS	NS	NS	NS	0.6[4]	30	NS	NS	NS
Trichloroethene	10,000,000	1,300,000	160 J	2 J	~ U(1,000)	6.)	NS	NS	NS	NS	2.0	100	NS	NS	700
Vinyl Acetate	- U(1,000)	- U(1,000)	- U(1,000)	U (10)	- U(1,000)	- U (10)	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
Vinyl Chloride	~ U(1,000)	- U(1,000)	590 1	40 J	U(1,000)	51 U	NS	NS	NS		0.07[4]	4	NS	NS	200
Xylene (total)	16,000)	- U(1,000)	74 J	U (5.0)	U(1,000)	- U (5.0)	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	1,200

- NOTES:

 U Indicates that the compound was analyzed for but not detected (method detection limit).
 J Estimated value less than specified milinium detection limit.
 B The analyze is found in the faboratory blanks as well as the sample.
 NS No standard.

- [1] NYSDEC, Technical Guidance for Screening Contaminated Sediments, 1994.
 [2] Sediment Criteria up/gOC (Organic Cubon).
 [3] Sediment Criteria (assumed for = 0.05).
 [4] Properted Criteria (assumed for = 0.05).
 [5] Properted Criteria values.
 [5] NYSDEC, Dictation Technical Administrative Guidance Memorandum (TAGM) on Determination of Soil Cicanup Objectives and Cleanup Levels (HWR-94-4046), NYSDEC, January 24, 1994).

BOLD - Value exceeds Criteria and/or Recommended Soil Cleanup Objective (RSCO).

TABLE 4-2 AIR FORCE PLANT #51 HTRW, GREECE, NEW YORK METALS LABORATORY ANALYSIS OF SEDIMENT SAMPLING PERFORMED AT THE PROJECT SITE (mg/kg) Sample Date: 11/16/99 - 11/19/99

Sample No.	S1-1	S1-2	S1-3	S2-1	S2-2	S2-3	1 575/	SDEC														
Laboratory Sample ID #	993083A-08	993083B-12	993083A-10	993083A-01	993083A-03	993083A-06	4	mg/kg) [1]	Recommended Soil Cleanup	Eastern USA												
Matris	Sediment	Sediment	Sediment	Sediment	Sediment	Sediment	LEL	SEL	Objectives (mg/kg) [2]	Background (2)												
Aluminium	9.840 *	3.920 *	13.500 *	7.760 *	5.280 •	7.580 °		NC	SB	33,000												
Antimony	12.6 B	4.2 B	3.2 B	12.1 B	7.4 B	8.2 B	2.0	25.0	SB	N/A												
Arsenic	13.1	3.0 B	8.1	12.9	5.4	7.4	6.0			3.0-12												
Barium	569	65,9 B	146	76.1	51.3 B	86.8 B	,	IC .	300 or SB	15-600												
Beryllium	U (0.45)	U (0.40)	0.46 B	U (0.33)	U (0.28)	U (0.46)	1	IC .	0.16 or SB	0-1,75												
Cadmium	4,200 •	412	700 •	2.9 •	3.2 •	5.7 •	0.6	9.0	I or SB	0.1-1												
Calcium	42,300	14,600	40,300	101,000	48,200	68,600	1	ic	SB	130-35,000												
Chromium	3,690 *	758 •	1,350 *	637 *	169 *	98.8 *	26.0	110.0	10 or SB	1.5-40												
Cobalt	10.6 B	3,3 B	10.8 B	16.2 B	9.0 B	9.3 B	N	ic	30 or SB	2.5-60												
Copper	563 *	38.2 •	133 •	2,630 *	708 *	746 *	16.0	110.0	25 or SB	1,000-50,000												
Iron	71,500	7,930	24,600	110,000	30,300	32,700	20,000	40,000	2,000 or SB	2,000-550,000												
Lead	923	46.0	83.5	1,460	749	914	31.0	110.0	SB	200-500 [3]												
Magnesium	9,390	3,040	9,880	41,500	19,700	24,400	N	С	SB	100-5,000												
Manganese	562 •	174	576 •	708 •	568	463 •	460.0	1,100.0	SB	50-5,000												
Mercury	0.40	0.0087 B*	0.032	0.93	0.58	1.1	0.15	1.3	0.1	0.001-0.2												
Nickel	72.7 •	10 B*	27.8 •	417 •	91.6 •	71.1 •	0,61	50.0	13 or SB	0.5-25												
Potassium	1,320 B	419 B	1,600 B	1,000 B	702 B	1,470 B	N	c	SB	8,500-43,000												
Selenium	5.0 N	U (2.0)	1.9 UN	5.7 N	2.0 N	2.6 N	N	С	2.0 or SB	0.1-3.9												
Silver	0.80 BN	U (0,40)	U (0.37)	3.7 N	3.7 N	4.1 BN	1,0	2.2	SB	N/A												
Sodium	516 B	115 B	284 B	372 B	256 B	341 B	N	NC SB		6,000-8,000												
Thallium	U (4.5)	U (4.0)	U (3.7)	U (3.3)	- U (2.8)	- U (4.6)	N		SB	N/A												
/anadium	56.1	20.1	48.0	94.1	35.6	41.7	NC		NC		NC		NC		NC		NC		NC		150 or SB	1-300
Line	4,340 •	400 •	913 '	1,340 •	991 •	1,410 *	120.0	270.0	20 or SB	9.0-50												
yanide	1,220	17,200	7,380	5,670	1,250	1,280	N	C	NC	NC												

- NOTES:

 U Indicates analyte was not detected (method reporting limit),

 B Indicates analyte result between IDL and contract required detection limit (CRDL).

 N Spiked sample recovery not within control limits.

 Duplicate analysis not within control limits.

- NC No Criterion; N/A Not Available
 [1] Technical Guidance for Screening Contaminated Sediments, NYSDEC, 1994.

 LEL lowest effect level; SEL severe effect level.
 [2] Division Technical Administrative Guidance Memorandum (TAGM) on Determination of Soil Cleanup Objectives and Cleanup Levels, NYSDEC, January 24, 1994.
 [3] Avergae background levels in metropolitan/suburban areas or near highways.

BOLD - Values exceeds LEL and/or Recommended Soil Cleanup Objective (RSCO), or maximum Eastern USA background concentration.

TABLE 4-3 AIR FORCE PLANT #51 HTRW, GREECE, NEW YORK METALS LABORATORY ANALYSIS OF SURFACE WATER SAMPLING PERFORMED AT THE PROJECT SITE (ug/L) Sample Dates: 11/16/99 - 11/19/99

Sample No.	SW1-1	SW1-2	SW1-3	SW2-1		T						
Laboratory Sample ID#	993083A-09	993083B-13	993083A-11	993083A-02	SW2-2 993083A-04	SW2-3 993083A-05	ł		NYSDEC Cri	teria (ug/L) (1]	
Matrix	Surface Water	Surface Water	Surface Water	Surface Water	Surface Water	Surface Water	H(WS)[2]	H(FC)[3]	A(C)[4]	A(A)[5]	W[6]	F181
Aluminium	17,200	928	2,440	87.2 B	38,800	1,660	NA NA	NS NS	100	NS NS	NS NS	E[7] NS
Antimony	- U (6.0)	- U (6.0)	U (6,0)	- U (6.0)	16.4 B	- U (6.0)	1	NS	NS NS	NS	NS NS	NS
Arsenic	11.9	4.0 B	U (4.0)	- U (4.0)	21.4	- U (4.0)	50	NS NS	150[8]	340[8]	NS NS	NS NS
Barium	376	136 B	141 B	55.1 B	601	2,100	1,000	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
Beryllium	- U (1.0)	- U(1.0)	- U (1.0)	- U (1.0)	2.2 B	- U (1.0)	3	NS	1,100 [9]	NS	NS	NS
Cadmium	1,890	94.0	152	- U (1.0)	32.1	20.3	5	NS	9.0 [9]	31.2 [9]	NS	NS
Calcium	151,000	94,300	103,000	74,900	538,000	32,500	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
Chromium	816	143	274	2.7 B	305	2.9 B	50	NS	339.2 [9]	2,606.7 [9]	NS	NS
Cobalt	15.8 B	- U (2.0)	3.5 B	- U (2.0)	64.8	5.6 B	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
Соррег	314 N	12.0 B	20.1 BN	27.7 N	5,970 N	12.7 BN	200	NS	43.8 [9]	77.3 [9]	NS	NS
Iron	<i>52,900</i> N	3,040	5,990 N	276 N	134,000 N	899 N	NA	NS	300	300	NS	300
Lead	659	14.0	25.6	26.9	7,680	384	50	NS	26,5 [9]	679.5 [9]	NS	NS
Magnesium	45,400	23,200 E	23,700	31,400	225,000	10,500	35,000	NS	NS	NS	NS	NA
Manganese	2,120	1,160	793	14.8 B	6,240	124	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	300
Mercury	2.3	→ U (0.1)	- U (0.1)	U (0.1)	6.2	7.3	0.7	0.0007[13]	0.77[13]	1.4[13]	0.0026[13]	NA
Nickel	213	4.3 B	9.8 B	9.2 B	525	776	100	NS	250.3 [9]	2,252.7 [9]	NS	NS
Potassium	14,600	11,700	11,400	3,380 B	11,100	7,680	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
Selenium	- U (5.0)	UN (5.0)	U (5.0)	U (5.0)	5.1	12.4	10	NS	4.6[11]	NS	NS	NS
Silver	3.4 B	- U (1.0)	U (1.0)	- U (1.0)	10.4	- U (1.0)	50	NS	0.1[12]	99.1 [9]	NS	NS
Sodium	7,770	7,190	6,520	35,100	47,600	338,000	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
Thallium .	- U (10.0)	- U (10.0)	10.6	11.0	- U (10.0)	18.3	0,5	NS	8[10]	20	NS	NS
/anadium	61.2	5.1 B	10.0 B	U (2.0)	178	2.7 B	NS	NS	14[10]	190[10]	NS	NS
Line	1,360	140	196	83.5	8,040	1,310	2,000	NS	400.6 [9]	565.2 [9]	NS	5,000
Cyanide	- U (10.0)	- U(10.0)	- U (10.0)	U(10,0)	- U(10.0)	58	200	9,000	5.2	22	NS	NS

- NOTES:

 U Indicates analyte was not detected (method reporting limit).

 B Indicates analyte result between IDL and contract required detection limit (CRDL)
- E Reported value is estimated because of presence of interference.
 N Spiked sample recovery not within control limits.

- NS No Standard.

 [1] Division of Water Technical and Operational Guidance Series, Ambient Water Quality Standards and Guidance Values, T.O.G.S. 1.1.1, 1998.

 [2] Values developed for the protection of human consumption of fish (fresh waters).

 [3] Values developed for the protection of his propagation (fresh waters).

 [4] Values developed for the protection of fish propagation (fresh waters).

 [5] Values developed for the protection of fish survival (fresh waters).

 [6] Values developed for wildlife protection (fresh waters).

 [7] Values developed for protection of aesthetics (fresh waters).

 [8] Dissolved Arsenic form.

 [9] Assumed hardness is 641 mg/L (Sherwood, 1997).

 [10] Acid-soluble form.

 [11] Dissolved form.

- [12] Ionic silver. [13] Dissolved form.

BOLD - Value exceeds most stringent standard/guidance value.

TABLE 4-4

AIR FORCE PLANT #51 HTRW, GREECE, NEW YORK VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUND (VOC) LABORATORY ANALYSIS OF SURFACE WATER SAMPLING PERFORMED AT THE PROJECT SITE (ug/L)

Sample Date: 11/19/99

Sample No.	SW1-2		NYS	DEC Crite	ria (ug/L)	[1]						
Laboratory Sample ID #	993083B-13											
Matrix	Water	H(WS)[2]	H(FC)[3]	A(C)[4]	A(A)[5]	W[6]	E[7]					
Acetone	285	50	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS					
Benzene	U (5.0)	1	10	210	760	NS	NS					
Bromodichloromethane	U (5.0)	50	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS					
Bromoform	U (5.0)	50	NS _	NS	NS	NS	NS					
Bromomethane	U (10)	5	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS					
2-Butanone	- U (10)	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS					
Carbon Disulfide	U (5.0)	60	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS					
Carbon Tetrachloride	U (5.0)	0.4	NS	NS	NS.	NS	NS					
Chlorobenzene	U (5.0)	5	400	5	NS	NS	20/50 [10]					
Chloroethane	Ü (10)	5 [8]	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS					
Chloroform	U (5.0)	7	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS					
Chloromethane	U (10)	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS					
Dibromochloromethane	U (5.0)	50	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS					
1,1 - Dichloroethane	U (5.0)	5	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS					
1,2-Dichloroethane	- U (5.0)	0.6	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS					
1,1 - Dichloroethene	4 j	0.07	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS					
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	530	5	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS					
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	U (5.0)	5	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS					
1,2-Dichloropropane	U (5.0)	1	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS					
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	U (5.0)	0.4 [11]	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS					
trans1,3-Dichloropropene	U (5.0)	0.4 [11]	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS					
Ethlybenzene	U (5.0)	5	NS	17	150	NS	NS					
2-Hexanone	U (10)	50	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS					
4-Methyl1-2-Pentanone	U (10)	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS					
Methylene Chloride	12 J	5	200	NS	NS	NS	NS					
Styrene	U (5.0)	5 [8]	NS	NS	NS	NS	50					
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	U (5.0)	0.2	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS					
Tetrachloroethene	4 1	0.7	1	NS	NS	NS	NS					
Toluene	U (5.0)	5	6,000	100	480	NS	NS					
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	U (5.0)	5	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS					
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	U (5.0)	1	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS					
Trichloroethene	260	5	40	NS	NS	NS	NS					
Vinyl Acetate	U (10)	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS					
Vinyl Chloride	380	0.3	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS					
Xylene (total)	U (5.0)	5 [9]	NS	65	590	NS	NS					

NOTES

- U Indicates that the compound was analyzed for but not detected (method detection limit).
- J Estimated value less than specified minimum detection limit.
- B The analyte is found in the laboratory blanks as well as the sample.
- NS No standard.
- [1] Division of Water Technical and Operational Guidance Series Ambient Water Quality Standards and Guidance Values, T.O.G.S. 1.1.1, 1998.
- [2] Values developed for the protection of a drinking water source (surface water).
- [3] Values developed for the protection of human consumption of fish (fresh waters).
- [4] Values developed for the protection of fish propagation (fresh waters).
- [5] Values developed for the protection of fish survival (fresh waters).
- [6] Values developed for wildlife protection (fresh waters).
- [7] Values developed for protections of aesthetics (fresh waters).
- [8] Substance does not have a more stringent specific MCL.
- [9] Applies to the sum of 1,2 1,3 and 1,4-xylene.
- [10] Potable water, aesthetics / aquatic life, aesthetics.
- [11] Applies to the sum of cis and trans -1,3 dichloropropene.

TABLE 4-5

AIR FORCE PLANT #51 HTRW, GREECE, NEW YORK SEMIVOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUND (VOC) LABORATORY ANALYSIS OF SURFACE WATER SAMPLING PERFORMED AT THE PROJECT SITE (ug/L)

Sample Date: 11/19/99

sample No.	SW1-2 993083B-13		NYSD	EC Criteria	(ug/L) [1]		
aboratory Sample ID #	Water	H(WS) [2]	H(FC) [3]	A(C) (A)	A(A) [5]	W [6]	E [7]
Phenoi		NS NS	NS NS	A(C) (4)	NS NS	NS NS	1 [9]
	- U (10)	0.03	NS NS	NS NS	NS NS	NS NS	NS
ois (2-Chloroethyl) ether	- U (10)	5		-			NS NS
	- U (10)		NS	NS 5	NS NS	NS -	20
1,3-Dichlorobenzene		3	NS NS	5	NS	NS NS	30
	- U(10)		NS	NS NS	NS	NS NS	NS
Beazyl alcohol	- U (10)	NS	NS NS		NS		50
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	- U (10)	3	NS NS	5	NS NS	NS NS	
2-Methylphenol	- U (10)		NS	NS NS	NS NS		NS
2,2'-oxybis (1-Chloropropane)	- U (10)	NS	NS NS	NS NS	NS	NS	NS
4-Methylphenol	- U (10)	50	NS NS	NS NS	NS NS	NS NS	NS NS
N-Nitroso-di-a-propylamine Hexachloroethane	- U (10)	5	0.6	NS NS	NS NS	NS	NS
Nitrobenzene			NS	NS NS	_	NS NS	30
	- U (10)	50	NS NS	NS NS	NS NS	NS NS	NS
(sophorone	-10-0	5	NS NS	NS NS	NS NS	NS NS	NS NS
2-Nitrophenol	- U (10)				NS NS	NS NS	
2,4-Dimethylphenol Benzolc acid	- U (10)	50 NS	1,000	NS NS	NS NS	NS NS	NS NS
bis (2-Chloroethoxy) methane	- U (50)	5	NS NS	NS NS	NS NS	NS NS	NS
2,4-Dichlorophenol	- U (10)	5	NS NS	NS NS	NS NS	NS NS	0.3
4,4-Dicatoropaenoi 1,2,4-Trichlorobeazene	- U (10)	3	NS NS	5 (8)	NS NS	NS NS	10 [8]
Naphthalene	0.2 3	NS NS	NS NS	13	110	NS NS	10 [8]
4-Chioro-3-methylphenol	- U (10)	NS NS	NS NS	NS NS	NS NS	NS NS	NS
2-Methylnaphthalene	0.4 J	NS NS	NS NS	4.7	42	NS NS	NS
Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	- U(10)	5	NS.	0.45	4.5	NS NS	1
2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	- U (10)	1 5	NS NS	NS	NS NS	NS	NS
2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	- U (50)	3 5	NS NS	NS NS	NS NS	NS NS	NS
2-Chloronaphthalene	- U(10)	NS	NS	NS NS	NS NS	NS NS	10
2-Nitroaniline	~ U (50)	3	NS NS	NS NS	NS	NS NS	NS
Dimethylphthalate	- U (10)	50	NS	NS NS	NS NS	NS	NS
Acenaphthylene	- U(10)	NS.	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
2,6-Dinitrotoluene	- U(10)	0.07	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
3-Nitroaniline	- U (50)	5	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
Acenaphthene	- U (10)	NS	NS	5.3	48	NS	20
2,4-Dinitrophenol	- U (50)	10	400	NS	NS	NS	NS
4-Nitrophenol	- U (50)	5	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
Dibenzofuran	- U (10)	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
2,4-Dinitrotoluene	- U (10)	5	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
Diethylphthalate	- Ū (10)	50	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
4-Chlorophenyl-phenylether	- U (10)	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
Fluorene	- U (10)	50	NS	0,54	4.8	NS	NS
4-Nitroaniline	- U (20)	5	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol	~ U (50)	5	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
N-Nitrosodiphenylamine (1)	- U (10)		NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
4-Bromophenyl-phenylether	- U (10)		NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
Rexachlorobenzene	<u> </u>		3 x 10'	NS	NS	NS	NS
Pentachlorophenol	- U (50)		NS	4.03[10]	5.28[10]	NS	1[9]
Phenathrene	- U (10)		NS	5	45	NS	NS
Anthracene	- U (10)		NS	3.8	35	NS	NS
Carbazole	- U(10)		NS NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
Di-n-butylphthalate Fluoranthene	0.7 JB	50	NS_	NS NS	NS NS	NS	NS NS
	- U (10)		NS NS	NS NS	NS NS	NS	NS
Pyrene Butylbenzylphthalate	- U (10		NS NS	4.6	42 V/C	NS	NS
3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	- U (10)		NS_	NS_	NS NS	NS NS	NS
Beazo(a)anthraceae	- U (10			NS 0.00	NS 0.22	NS NC	NS NS
Chrysene	- U (10		NS NS	0.03 NC	0.23	NS NC	NS NE
bis(2-Ethylheryl)phthalate	0.8 JB		NS NS	NS 0.6	NS	NS_	NS NC
Di-n-octylphtbalate	0.8 JB	5 50	NS NS	0.6	NS NS	NS	NS
Di-n-octyphthaiate Benzo(b)fluoranthene	- U (10) 0.002	NS NS	NS NS	NS	NS NS	NS NC
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	- U (10		NS NS	NS NS	NS		NS NS
Benzo(a)pyrene	- U (10		0.0012	NS NS	NS	NS NS	NS NS
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	- U (10				NS_	NS	NS NE
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	- U (10		NS NS	NS NS	NS NS	NS NS	NS NS
				I NS			ı NS

- U Indicates that the compound was analyzed for but not detected (method detection limit).

 J Estimated value less than minimum detection limit.
- B The analyte is found in the laboratory blanks as well as the sample.

NS - No Standard.

- NS No Standard.
 [1] Division of Water Technical and Operational Guidance Series Ambient Water Quality Standards and Guidance Values, T.O.G.S.1.1.1, 1998
 [2] Values developed for the protection of a drinking water source (surface water).
 [3] Values developed for the protection of human consumption of fish (firesh waters).
 [4] Values developed for the protection of fish propagation (fresh waters).
 [5] Values developed for the protection of fish survival (firesh waters).
 [6] Values developed for wildlife protection (fresh waters).
 [7] Values developed for protections of aesthetics (fresh waters).
 [8] Applies to the sum of 1,2,3-1,2,4 and 1,3,5-trichlorobenzene.
 [9] Values for total phenols.
 [10] pH assumed to be 6.5.

Significantly elevated concentrations of several VOCs were detected in Area One sediments, primarily trichloroethene (TCE) and cis,1-2 dichloroethene (DCE). TCE was detected at a concentration of 10,000,000 ug/kg (1 per cent) in S1-1, and 1,300,000 ug/kg in S1-2; these concentrations are orders of magnitude higher than the applicable sediment screening criteria and RSCO. DCE was detected at a concentration of 2,600 ug/kg in S1-3, an order of magnitude above the RSCO. As indicated in Table 4-1, a range of other VOCs were also detected in S1-1, and to a lesser degree in S1-2 and S1-3; however, due to the effect of sample dilution (necessitated by the elevated TCE and/or DCE concentrations), the detection limits for these analytes were elevated. Reported estimated ('J' qualified) concentrations exceeded screening criteria or the RSCO for DCE, ethylbenzene, 1,2-dichloroethane, 4-methyl-2-pentanone, methylene chloride, 1,1,2,2-tetrachloroethane, 1,1,2-trichloroethane, toluene, vinyl chloride, and xylenes.

As would be expected from the source of the water discharge into the pond, most metals concentrations significantly exceeded LEL and SEL criteria (by as much as four orders of magnitude). The most significant contaminants are cadmium, chromium, and zinc, with maximum concentrations (at S1-1) of 4,200, 3,690, and 4,340 mg/kg, respectively. At all three sample locations, antimony, cadmium, chromium, copper, lead, and zinc exceeded the LEL, and all (with the exception of copper (in S1-2), lead (in S1-2 and S1-3), and antimony) exceeded the SEL. In addition, arsenic exceeded the LEL in S1-1 and S1-3; iron exceeded the LEL and SEL in S1-1 and the LEL S1-3; manganese exceeded the LEL in S1-1 and S1-3; mercury exceeded the LEL in S1-1; and nickel exceeded the LEL and SEL in S1-1 and the LEL in S1-3. Many of the inorganic concentrations also exceed RSCOs, as follows: cadmium, chromium, copper, iron, and zinc at all three sample locations; arsenic (at S1-1 and S1-3), barium (at S1-1), beryllium (at S1-3; estimated concentration), mercury (at S1-1), and nickel (at S1-1 and S1-3).

At SW1-2, the drinking water and human consumption of fish criteria were exceeded for TCE, and drinking water criteria were exceeded for acetone, DCE, and vinyl chloride (the latter two compounds representing breakdown products of TCE). Estimated concentrations of 1,1-dichloroethene, methylene chloride, and tetrachloroethene also exceeded the drinking water criterion (and for tetrachloroethene, the human consumption of fish criterion). No semivolatile organic base-neutral/acid extractable (BN/AE) constituents were detected in excess of NYSDEC criteria.

Surface water samples SW1-1, SW1-2, and SW1-3 exceeded fish propagation [A(C)] criteria for aluminum; drinking water [H(WS)], fish propagation, and fish survival [A(A)] criteria for cadmium; the drinking water criterion for chromium; fish propagation and fish survival criteria for iron; and the aesthetics (E) criterion for manganese. In addition, at SW1-1, all surface water criteria were exceeded for mercury; drinking water, fish propagation, and fish survival criteria were exceeded for copper; drinking water criteria were exceeded for magnesium, and nickel; drinking water and fish propagation criteria were exceeded for lead; fish propagation criteria were exceeded for chromium and silver; and fish propagation and fish survival criteria were exceeded for zinc. Also, at SW1-3, the drinking water and fish propagation criteria were exceeded for thallium.

4.1.2 Area Two

The results of laboratory analyses (TCL VOCs, TAL metals, and cyanide) of sediment samples collected at and downstream of the plating pond discharge into the Area Two wetland are presented in Tables 4-1 and 4-2. Surface water sample analyses performed for TAL metals and cyanide are presented in Table 4-3.

DCE (a breakdown product of TCE) exceeded the RSCO in samples S2-1 and S2-3 (and as an estimated concentration in S2-2). As in Area One, sediment enrichment with metals was also exhibited in Area Two sediments. At all three sample locations, antimony, cadmium, chromium, copper, iron, lead, manganese, mercury, nickel, silver, and zinc exceeded the LEL, and chromium (S2-1 and S2-2), copper, iron (S2-1), lead, nickel, silver, and zinc also exceeded the SEL. In addition, arsenic exceeded the LEL at S2-1 and S2-3. The RSCO was exceeded at all sample locations for cadmium, chromium, copper, iron, mercury, nickel, and zinc; at S2-1 for arsenic; and at S2-3 for selenium (estimated concentration). Relative to Area One, the Area Two sediments appear to be more enriched in copper, iron, lead, magnesium, mercury, nickel, and silver.

With the exception of lead and thallium (both for fish propagation criterion), no surface water criteria were exceeded for metals at sample location SW2-1. At SW2-2 and SW2-3, all surface water criteria were exceeded for mercury. In addition, at SW2-2, drinking water criteria were exceeded for cadmium, copper, lead, magnesium, nickel, and zinc; fish propagation criteria were exceeded for cadmium, copper, lead, nickel, silver, selenium, vanadium, and zinc; fish survival criteria were exceeded for cadmium, copper, lead, and zinc; and the aesthetics criterion was exceeded for manganese. At SW2-3, drinking water criteria were exceeded for barium, cadmium, lead, nickel, and thallium; fish propagation criteria were exceeded for cadmium, lead, nickel, selenium, thallium, and zinc; and the fish survival criterion was exceeded for zinc.

4.1.3 Area Three

Soils in the transformer sump located west of the main building were sampled and analyzed for polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs). No PCBs were detected above the reporting limit in Sample S3-1.

4.1.4 Area Four

The results of soil and ground water analyses for TCL VOC, BN/AE, and TAL metals obtained at the former locations of Building 15, Building 16 (former maintenance storage building) and Building 17 (former lumber storage shed) are presented in Tables 4-6, 4-7, 4-8 (soils); and 4-9, 4-10, and 4-11 (ground water).

No VOC or semivolatile BN/AE compounds were detected in Area Four soil samples in excess of RSCOs. In all samples, chromium, iron, zinc, and beryllium (estimated concentrations)

TABLE 4-6

AIR FORCE PLANT #51 HTRW, GREECE, NEW YORK

VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUND (VOC) LABORATORY ANALYSIS OF SOIL SAMPLING PERFORMED AT THE PROJECT SITE (ug/kg)

Sample Dates: 11/16/99 - 11/19/99

		_													
Sample No.	SB4-1-7	SB4-1-9	SB4-2-2	SB4-3-2	SB5-1-1	SB5-2-1	SB5-3-1	SB6-2-2	SB6-2-9	SB6-3-5	SB6-3-7	SB6-4-8	SB6-4-4	S7-1	Recommended
Laboratory Sample ID #	993083A-12	993083A-13	993083A-14	993083C-03	993083A-16	993083A-17	993083A-15	993083B-17	993083B-18	993083C-01	993083C-02	993083B-16	993083B-15	993083C-05	Soil Cleanup
Matrix	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Objectives (ug/kg) [1]
Acetone	14 B	14 B	67 B	29 B	10 JB	150 B	740 JB	10 JB	20 B	11 ЛВ	8 JB	11 B	7 JB	2 JB	200
Benzene	~ U (5.0)	U (5.0)	U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	U (5.0)	- U (1,000)	U (5.0)	U (5.0)	~ U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	~ U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (10)	60
Bromodichloromethane	- U (5.0)	U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	U (5.0)	U (1,000)	U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	~ U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	~ U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	NS
Bromoform	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	270 J	IJ (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (S O)	_ IJ (5.0)		- 17 (5.0)	= U (5.0)	NS
Bromomethane	- U (10)	- U (10)	U (10)	- U (10)	- U (10)	- U(10)	- U (1,000)	- U (10)	- U (10)	~ U (10)	- U (10)	U (10)	- U (10)	- U (5.0)	NS
2-Butanone	- U(10)	3 /	5 /	4 JB	U(10)	18 1	1,300 B	- U (10)	3 JB	3 JB	2 /B	2.1	_ U (10)	- U (5.0)	300
Carbon Disulfide	5	~ U (5.0)	U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	1 J	U (5.0)	- U (1,000)	- U (5.0)	U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	2 J	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	2,700
Carbon Tetrachtoride	- U (5.0)	— U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	U (5.0)	U (1,000)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	U (5.0)	~ U (5.0)	U (5.0)	_ U (5.0)	600
Chlorobenzene	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	U (5.0)	U (5.0)	U (5.0)	U (1,000)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	→ U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	0.8 J	1,700
Chloroethane	Ū (10)	- U (10)	U (10)	U (10)	U (10)	- U (10)	U (1,000)	U (10)	_ U (10)	- U (10)	_ U (10)	- U (10)	- U (10)	Ū (5.0)	1,900
Chloroform	U (5.0)	U (5.0)	U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	U (1,000)	→ Ü (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	300
Chioromethane	U (10)	- U (10)	U (10)	- U (10)	U (10)	U(10)	U (1,000)	U (10)	- U (10)	- U (10)	- U (10)	- U (10)	- U (10)	11 //8	NS
Dibromochloromethane	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	U (5.0)	- U (1,000)	- U (5.0)	U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	60
1,1 - Dichloroethane	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	Ü (5.0)	- U (5.0)	U (5.0)	U (5.0)	- U (1,000)	- U (5.0)	U (5.0)	U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (10)	200
1,2 -Dichloroethane	U (5.0)	~ U (5.0)	U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	U (5.0)	U (5.0)	U (1,000)	U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	100
1,1 - Dichloroetheue	- U (5.0)	~ U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	~ U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	U (5.0)	U (1,000)	U (5.0)	~ U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	_ U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	400
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	2 J	- U (5.0)	U (5.0)	0.2 J	U (5.0)	U (5.0)	- U (1,000)	U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	250
1,2-Dichloropropane	- U (5.0)	~ U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (1,000)	U (5.0)	~ U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	→ U (5.0)	300
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	- U (5.0)	~ U (5.0)	- Ü (5.0)	- U (5.0)	~ U (5.0)	U (5.0)	- U (1,000)	U (5.0)	~ U (5.0)	U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	U (5.0)	300
Ethlybenzene	- U (5.0)	~ U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	0.4 J	U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	220 J	U (5.0)	~ U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (10)	5,500
2-Hexanone	- U (10)	~ U (10)	- U (10)	- U (10)	~ U (10)	- U (10)	- U (1,000)	- U (10)	~ U (10)	– U (10)	- U (10)	U (10)	- U (10)	- U (5.0)	NS
4-Methyl-2-Pentanone	- U (10)	~ U (10)	- U (10)	– U (10)	~ U (10)	- U (10)	U (1,000)	- U (10)	~ U (10)	U (10)	- U (10)	- U (10)	- U (10)	- U (5.0)	1,000
Methylene Chloride	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	2 ЛВ	- U (5.0)	24 J	U (1,000)	2 ЛВ	2 ЛВ	2 JB	2 ЛВ	- U (5.0)	2 ЛВ	U (10)	100
Styrene	- U (5.0)	~ U (5.0)	U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	U (1,000)	U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (10)	NS
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	- U (5.0)	~ U (5.0)	U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	U (5.0)	U (5.0)	520 J	U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	U (5.0)	600
Tetrachloroethene	2 J	0.6 U (5.0)	0.4 J	2 J	0.6 J	- U (5.0)	U (1,000)	0.9 J	0.4 J	U (5.0)	0.2 J	- U (5.0)	0.5 3	- U (5.0)	1,400
Toluene	0.8 J	~ U (5.0)	0.2 J	0.8 J	U (5.0)	U (5.0)	- U (1,000)	0.3 J	0.2 J	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	1,500
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	U (5.0)	U (1,000)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (10)	300
trans1,3-Dichloropropene	- U (5.0)	~ U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	U (5.0)	U (5.0)	U (1,000)	- U (5.0)	U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	2 ЛВ	300
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	U (5.0)	U (1,000)	- U (5.0)	U (5.0)	U (5.0)	U (5.0)	U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	800
1,1,2-Trichloroethaue	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	320 J	U (5.0)	U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	U (10)	NS
Trichloroethene	- U (5.0)	1.7	0.6 J	61	1.7	12 3	240 J	1 J	1.1	0.2 J	0.8 J	0.8 J	2 J	- U (5.0)	700
Vinyl Acetate	- U(10)	- U(10)	U(10)	- U(10)	- U(10)	U(10)	U (1,000)	U (10)	- U(10)	- U(10)	- U (10)	- U(10)	- U(10)	- U (5.0)	NS
Vinyl Chloride	- U(10)	- U (10)	- U(10)	- U (10)	- U (10)	U (10)	U (1,000)	U (10)	- U (10)	- U (10)	U (10)	- U(10)	- U (10)	- U (5.0)	200
Xylene (total)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	U (5.0)	U (5.0)	U (5.0)	3,700	U (5.0)	U (5.0)	U (5,0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	1,200

NOTES:

U - Indicates that the compound was analyzed for but not detected (method detection limit).

J - Estimated value less than minimum detection limit.

B - Analyte is found in the laboratory blanks as well as the sample.

NS - No Standard.

[1] - Division Technical Administrative Quidance Memorandum (TAGM) on Determination of Soil Cleanup Objectives and Cleanup Levels (HWR-94-4046), NYSDEC, January 24, 1994.

BOLD - Value exceeds Recommended Soil Cleanup Objective (RSCO)

TABLE 4-7
AIR FORCE PLANT #51 HTRW, GREECE, NEW YORK SEMIVOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUND (SVOC) LABORATORY ANALYSIS OF SOIL SAMPLING PERFORMED AT THE PROJECT SITE (ug/kg) Sample Dates: 11/17/99 - 11/19/99

M. strix Phenol bis (2-Chloroethyl) ether 2- Chlorophenol 1.3- Dichlorobenzene 1.4-Dichlorobenzene 1.4-Dichlorobenzene 1.2-Dichlorobenzene 2.2-Methylphenol 2.2-osybis (1-Chloropropane)	SB4-1-7 993083A-12 Soil - U (330) - U (330) - U (330) - U (330) - U (330) - U (330) - U (330)	SB4-1-9 993013A-13 Soit - U (330) - U (330) - U (330) - U (330)	SB4-2-2 993083A-14 Soil - U (330) - U (330)	SB4-3-2 993083C-03 Soil — U (330)	SB6-2-2 993083B-17 Soil	\$B6-2-9 993083B-18 Soil	SB6-3-5 993083C-01 Soil	SB6-3-7 993083C-01 Soil	993083B-15 Soil	993083B-16 Soil	\$7-1 993083C-05 Soil	Recommended Soil Cleanup
M. strix Phenol bis (2-Chloroethyl) ether 2- Chlorophenol 1.3- Dichlorobenzene 1.4-Dichlorobenzene 1.4-Dichlorobenzene 1.2-Dichlorobenzene 2.2-Methylphenol 2.2-osybis (1-Chloropropane)	Soil - U (330)	Soil - U (330) - U (330) - U (330)	Soil - U (330)	Soil	Soil			Soil	Soil	Coul	Soul	
Ptrenoi bis (2-Chloroethyl) ether 2- Chlorophenol 1-3- Dichlorobenzene 1-4-Dichlorobenzene Bezzyl slcohol 1-2-Dichlorobenzene 2-Methylphenol 2-2-osybis (1-Chloropropane)	- U (330) - U (330) - U (330) - U (330) - U (330)	- U (330) - U (330) - U (330)	. ,	- U(330)								Objectives (ug/kg) [1]
bis (2-Chloroethyl) ether 2- Chlorophenol 1.3- Dichlorobenzene 1.4- Dichlorobenzene 1.4- Dichlorobenzene Bezzyl alcohol 1.2- Dichlorobenzene 2.2- osybis (1-Chloropropane)	- U (330) - U (330) - U (330) - U (330) - U (330)	- U (330) - U (330)	. ,		- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	570 J	30 or MDL
2- Chlorophenol 1.3- Dichlorobenzene 1.4- Dichlorobenzene Bezzyl alcohol 1.2- Dichlorobenzene 2- Methylphenol 2.2- osybis (1-Chloropropane)	- U (330) - U (330) - U (330) - U (330)	- U (330)		- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	NS
1 3 Dichlorobenzene 1 4 Dichlorobenzene Bezeryl alcohol 1 2 Dichlorobenzene 2 Methylphenol 2 2'-osybis (1-Chloropropane)	- U (330) - U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	800
Benzyl alcohol 1.2-Dichlorobenzene 2.Methylphenol 2.2'-osybis (1-Chloropropane)	- U (330)		- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	– บ (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	NS
1_2-Dichlorobenzene 2-Methylphenol 2_2'-oxybis (1-Chloropropane)		- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	– U (330)	– U (330)	- U (330)	NS
2-Methylphenol 2.2'-osybis (1-Chloropropane)		- U (330)	- U (330)	– U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	NS
2.2'-oxybis (1-Chloropropane)	— U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	– U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	NS
	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330) - U (330)	- U (330)	100 or MDL
	- U (330) - U (330)	- U (330) - U (330)	- U (330) - U (330)	- U (330) - U (330)	- U (330) - U (330)	- U (330) - U (330)	- U (330) - U (330)	- U (330) - U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330) - U (330)	NS 900
4-Methylphenol N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	~ U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	NS NS
Hexachloroethane	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	~ U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	U (330)	- U (330)	NS
Natrobenzene	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	200 or MDL
Isopherene	- U (330)	– U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	4,400
2-Nitrophenol	- Ū (330)	U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	– U (330)	- U (330)	– U (330)	330 or MDL
2_4-Dimethylphenol	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (33 <u>0)</u>	- U (330)	- V (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	~ Ų (330 <u>)</u>	NS
Benzoic acid	U (1,600)	- U (1,600)	- U (1,600)	- U (1,600)	- U (1,600)	- U (1,600)	– U (1,600)	- U (1,600)	- U (1,600)	- U (1,600)	140 J	NS_
bas (2-Chloroethoxy) methane	- U (330)	- U (330) - U (330)	- U (330) - U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330) - U (330)	- U (330) - U (330)	- U (330) - U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330) - U (330)	- U (330) - U (330)	- U (330) - U (330)	NS D
2,4-Dichlorophenol 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	- U (330) - U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330) - U (330)	- U (330) - U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330) - U (330)	- U (330) - U (330)	- U (330) - U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330) - U (330)	- U (330) - U (330)	NS NS
N aphthalene	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	28 J	13.000
4-Chloro-3-methylphenol	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	240 or MDL
Z-Methylnaphtbalene	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	~ U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	39 1	36
Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	NS
2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	- U (330)	- U (330)	U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	NS
2.4.5-Trichlorophenol	- U (1,600)	- U (1,600)	- U (1,600)	- U (1,600)	- U (1,600)	~ U (1,600)	- U (1,600)	- U (1,600)	- U (1,600)	- U (1,600)	- U (1,600)	0
2-Chloronaphthalene	- U (330)	- U (330)	U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	NS
2-Nitroaniline	- U (1,600)	- U (1,600)	- U (1,600)	- U (1,600)	- U (1,600)	- U (1,600)	- U (1,600)	- U (1,600)	- U (1,600)	U (1,600)	U (1,600)	430 or MDL
Dimethylphthalate	- U (330)	– U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	~ U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	180 J	2,000
A.cenaphthylene 2,6-Dinitrotoluene	- U (330) - U (330)	- U (330) - U (330)	- U (330) - U (330)	- U (330) - U (330)	- U (330) - U (330)	- U (330) - U (330)	- U (330) - U (330)	- U (330) - U (330)	- U (330) - U (330)	- U (330)	62 J - U (330)	1,000
3-Nitroaniline	- U (1,600)	- U (1,600)	- U (1,600)	- U (1,600)	- U (1,600)	- U (1.600)	- U (1,690)	- U (1,600)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330) - U (1,600)	500 or MDL
Accomplithene	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	85 J	50.000
2,4-Dinitrophenol	- U (1,600)	- U (1,600)	- U (1,600)	- U (1.600)		- U (1,600)	- U (1,600)		- U (1,600)	- U (1.600)	- U (1,600)	200 or MDL
4-Nitrophenol	- U (1,600)	- U (1,600)	- U (1,600)	- U (1,600)		- U (1,600)	- U (1,600)		- U (1,600)	- U (1,600)	- U (1,600)	
Dibenzofuran	- U (330)	– U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	41 J	6,200
2,4-Dinitratoluene	– U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	NS
Diethylphthalate 4-Chlorophenyl-phenylether	- U (330) - U (330)	- U (330)	42 J - U (330)	- U (330) - U (330)	6 JB - U (330)	# JB - U (330)	- U (330) - U (330)	- U (330) - U (330)	25 JB - U (330)	- U (330) - U (330)	- U (330) - U (330)	7.100 NS
Fluorene	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U(330)	87 J	50,000
4-Nitroaniline	- U (1.600)	- U (1,600)	- U (1,600)				- U (1,600)		~ U(1,600)	- U(1,600)	- U(1,600	
4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol	- U (1,600)	- U (1,600)	- U (1,600)			- U (1,600)	- U(1,600)		- U (1,600)	- U(1,600)	– U (1,600	
N-Nitrosodiphenylamine (1)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	NS
4-Bromophenyl-phenylether	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	~ U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	NS
Hexachlorobenzene	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	U (330)	- U (330)	410
Pentachlorophenol	- U (1,600)	- U (1,600)	- U (1,600)	- U (1,600		– U (1,600)	- U (1,600)		- U (1,600)	- U (1,600)	- U (1,600	
Phensuthrene	- U (330) - U (330)	- U (330) - U (330)	- U (330) - U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	1,500	50,000
Anthracene Carbazole	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330) - U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	180 J 220 J	50,000 NS
Di-n-butylphthalate	- 0 (330)	36 JB	33 JB	17 JB	14 JB	24 JB	24 JB	18 JB	20 JB	14 JB	130 JB	8,100
Fluoranthene	- U (330)	- U (330)	~ U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	2,100	50,000
Pyrene	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	2,400	50,000
Butylbenzylphthalate	- U (330)	~ U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	1,400	50,000
3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	- U (660)	- U (660)	- U (660)	→ U (660)	- U (660)	- U (660)	- U (660)	- U (660)	- U (660)	- U (660)	– U (660)	N/A
Benzo(a)anthracene	- U (330)	– U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	970 J	224 or MDL
Chrysene	– U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)		- U (330)	- U (330)		- U (330)	~ U (330)	1,600	400
bis(2-Ethylheryl)phthalate	160 JB	410 B	260 JB	63 JB	51 JB	280 JB	270 JB	220 JB	160 JB	170 JB	1,200 B	50,000
Di-n-octylphthalate	16 JB	12 JB	28 JB	18 JB	9 JB	32 JB	21 JB	23 JB	- U (330)	10 JB	- U (330)	
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	1,200	r, 100
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	1,700	1,100
Benzo(a)pyrene	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	1,200	61 or MDL
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)		- U (330)	- U (330)		- U (330)	- U (330)	450 J	3,200
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)	4 (/	- U (330)	- U (330)		- U (330)	- U (330)	140 J	14 or MDL
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	- U (330)	U (330)	- U (330)	- U (330)		- U (330)	- U (330)		- U (330)	- U(330)	370 J	50,000

- NOTES:

 U Indicates that the compound was analyzed for but not detected (method detection limit).

 J Estimated vialue less than minimum detection limit.

 B Analyte is found in the liaboratory blanks as well as the sample.

NS - No Standard, N/A - Not Available, MDL - method detection limit.
[1] - Division Technical Administrative Cuidance Memorandum (TAGM) on Determination of Soil Cleanup Objectives and Cleanup Levels (HWR-94-4046), NYSDEC, January 24, 1994.

BOLD - Value exceeds Recommended Soil Cleanup Objective (RSCO)

TABLE 4-3
AIR FORCE PLANT 61 HTRW, CREECE, NEW YORK
METALS LABORATORY ANALYSIS OF SOIL JAMPILING PERFORMED AT THE PROJECT SITE (mg/kg)
benefithen int/99-110899

Sample No.	SB4-1-7	SB4-1-9	SB4-2-2	SB1-3-3	SB5-1-1	SB5-2-1	\$\$5-J-1	\$86-1-2	SB6-2-9	584-3-5	SB4-3-7	SB6-4-4	SB6-1-8	57-1	Bermandel	Lastern
Laboratory Somple ED #	993083A-12	993083A-13	993083A-14	993083C-03	993013A-16	993083A-17	993083A-1	993083B-17	993083B-18	993083C-01	993013C-02	993083B-15	9903083B-16	993083C-05	Red Comme	UBA
Matrix	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Šoil	Soil	Soil	Soil .	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Objectives (mg/kg) 11	Background [1]
Aluminium	7090 •	6,940 *	11,600 *	14,200	NR	NR	NR.	18,300 *	5,250 *	3,890	4,550	8,640 °	4,370 •	4,160	SB	33,000
Antimony	1.8 B	1.6 B	- U (1.3)	- UN (1.6)	NR	NA	NR	- U(1.6)	1.5 B	- UN (1.2)	- UN (1.3)	1.9 B	2.0 B	4.2 BN	SB	N/A
Arrenic	1.3 B	1.6	4.1	6.5	NR	NR	NR	10.1	1.1 B	1.6 B	1.8 B	2.2	1.8 B	24.6	7.5 or \$B	3-12
Berluss	77.8	68.5	75.9	125	NR	NR	NR	115	39.1	58.2	83.6	67.8	86.2	86.8	300 or SB	15-600
Betyllium	0.22 B	0.21 B	0.47 B	0.56 B	NR	NR	NR	0.64 B	- U (0.16)	- U (0.17)	- U (0.19)	AJ B	- U (0.22)	- U (0.25)	0.16 or SB	0-1.75
Codmium	- U* (0.12)	0.20 B*	0.39 B*	- U (0.22)	NR	NR.	NR	U (0.22)	- U (0.16)	- U (0.17)	~ U (0.19)	- U (0.17)	- U (0.22)	1.0	l or 5B	6 1-1
Caldum	42,500	54,700	7,050	59,500	NR	NR	NR.	3,790	50,300	65,000	37,100	102,000	41,400	48,800	SB	130-35,000
Chronium	11.3 •	14.7 *	24.6	22.0	NR	NR	NR	26.7 •	9.5 •	LI	9.7	14.5 *	1.7 •	106	10 or SB	1.5-40
Cobalt	60 B	6.0 B	8.9 B	21.3	NR	NR	NR	17.9	5.0 B	4.4 B	4.1 B	6.6 B	4.5 B	19.9	30 or SB	2.5-60
Соррег	11.0 *	12.0 •	41.0	26.1	NR	NR	NR	29.8	9.6 •	9.6	9,7	16.1 *	17.8 *	300	25 or SB	1-50
lrea	13,600	12,900	29,300	26,600	NR	NR	NR .	35,100	11,900	10,900	11,800	15,800	10,500	250,000	2,000 or SB	1,000-550,000
Land	3.4	3.5	69.9	16.9	10.6	6.5	41.1	78.1	3.5	3.3	3.5	4.9	2.4	126	SB	200-500 [2]
Megnerium	11,200	11,200	5,850	16,200	NR	NR	NR	5,920	11,800	2,530	9,300	15,800	11,000	22,400	SB	100-5,000
Manganese	398 °	528 *	206 °	1,280 *	NR	NR	NR	872	400	415 *	357	435	385	1,730 *	SB	50-1,000
Mercury	0.0087	0.011	0.0053 B	0.019	NR	NR	NR	0.0082 *	0.0044 B*	0.0051 B	~ U (0.0046)	0.064 *	0.012 *	0.35	0.1	0.001-0.2
Nickel	12.8 *	14.0 *	27.5 *	49.1	NR	NR	NR	38.8 '	10.2 *	8.8	1.1	144 *	9.5 *	112	13 or SB	0.5-25
Petassium	1,470	1,390	895 B	2,440	NR	NR _	NR	1,640	974	714 B	842 B	1,820	752 B	\$19 B	ŚB	8,500-43,000
Selenium	- UN (0.8E)	- UN (0.76)	1.3 N	1.5	NR	NR	NR	1.7	1.2	- U (0.14)	- U (0.95)	- U (0.86)	- U(i.i)	12.0	2.0 or SB	0.1-3.9
Silver	UN (0.18)	~ U (0.15)	0.40 B	- U (0.22)	NR	NR	NR	- U (0.22)	- U (0.16)	- U (0.17)	- U (0.19)	- U (0.17)	- U (0.22)	7.5	SB	NA
Sodium	274 B	344 B	73.6 B	376 B	NR	NR	NR	124 B	279 B	534 B	341 B	380 B	219 B	334 B	\$8	6,000-8,000
The History	- U (1.1)	- U(1.5)	- U(1.9)	_ U (2.2)	NR	NR	NR	- U(2.2)	~ U(I.6)	~ U(1.7)	- U(1.9)	- U (1.7)	- U(2.2)	13.3	SB	N/A
Vacadium	15.4	13.4	25.1	26.6	NR	_NR	NR	35.2	- U (13.7)	10.4	14.2	18.1	11.0 B	17.0	150 or \$B	1-300
Liec	32.8	37.9	302 •	78.2	NR	NR	NR	89.1	27.2 •	28.2	28.1	30.7	27.2	342	20 or \$8	9-50

TABLE 4-9
. AIR FORCE PLANT #81 HTRW, GREECE, NEW YORK
VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUND LABORATORY ANALYSIS OF GROUND WATER SAMPLING PERFORMED AT THE PROJECT SITE (ug/L)
Sample Date: 11/1879 - 11/1979

							•									
												Trip Blanks			Field Blank	
Sample No.	GW4-1	GW4-2	GW4-3	GW5-1	GW5-1	GW5-3	GW6-2	GW6-3	GW6-4	TB111699-1	TB111699-2	TB111799	TB111899	TB111999	FB111999	NYSDEC Criteria
Laboratory Sample ID#	993083B-19	993083B-20	993083B-04	993083B-01	993083B-03	993083B-02	993023B-05	993083B-06	993083B-07	993083A-18	993083A-19	993083A-20	993083C-04	993083B-11	993083B-10	(ug/L)
Matrix	Water	Water	Water	Water	Water	Water	Water	Water	Water	Water	Water	Water	Water	Water	Water	[1]
Acetone	- U (10)	- U (10)	4 JB	Ū (10)	3 ЛВ	7 JB	3 JB	3 JB	100 B	3 JB	- U (10)	- U (10)	4 JB	4 JB	10 B	50
Benzene	~ U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	U (5.0)	— U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	1 J	U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	1
Bromodichloromethane	- U (5.0)	U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	U (5.0)	U (5.0)	~ U (5.0)	U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	50
Bromoform	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	~ U (5.0)	U (5.0)	U (5.0)	U (5.0)	- U (5.0)		~ U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	~ U (5.0)	~ U (5.0)	50
Bromomethane	- U (10)	- U (10)	~ U (10)	~ U(10)	- U (10)	U (10)	U (10)	U (10)	- U (10)	- U (10)	- U(10)	- U (10)	- U (10)	- U (10)	- U (10)	5
2-Butanone	- U (10)	- U (10)	3 ЛВ	- U (10)	- U (10)	2 /B	3 ЛВ	3 JB	5 JB	- U (10)	~ U (10)	- U (10)	- U (10)	2 JB	2 ЛВ	50
Carbon Disulfide	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	U (5.0)	U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	U (5.0)	<u>U (5.0)</u>	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	NS
Carbon Tetrachloride	U (5.0)	0.9 J	U (5.0)	U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	U (5.0)	U (5.0)	U (5.0)	U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	5
Chlorobenzene	- U (5.0)	U (5.0)	U (5.0)	U (5.0)	U (5.0)	U (5,0)	U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	~ U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	5
Chloroethane	– U (10)	- U (10)	- U (10)	- U (10)	U (10)	U(10)	- U (10)	U (10)	U (10)	- U (10)	- U (10)	- U (10)	- U (10)	- U (10)	- U (10)	5
Chloroform	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	U (5.0)	U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	U (5.0)	Ū (5.0)	- U (5.0)	U (5.0)	U (5.0)	U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	7
Chloromethane	- U (10)	U (10)	U (10)	- U (10)	- U (10)	U (10)	U (10)	U (10)	- U (10)	- U (10)	U (10)	- U (10)	- U (10)	- U (10)	~ U (10)	5
Dibromochloromethane	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	U (5.0)	U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	~ U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	5
1,1 - Dichtoroethane	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	U (5.0)	U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	~ U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	5
1,2 - Dichloroethane	- U (5.0)	- <u>U</u> (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	~ U (5.0)	- U (5,0)	- Ū (5.0)	- U (5.0)	_ U (5.0)	_ U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	— Ŭ (5.0)	- U (5.0)	0.6
1,1 - Dichlaroethene	- U (5.0)	U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	→ U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	U (5.0)	U (5.0)	U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	. 5
cis-1,2-Dichlorothene	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	2 J	- Ü (5.0)	- U (5.0)	U (5.0)	U (5.0)	U (5.0)	7	U (5,0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	5
1,2-Dichleropropane	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	U (5.0)	~ U (5.0)	U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	~ U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	U (5.0)	ī
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	U (5.0)	U (5.0)	U (5.0)	U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	0.4 [2]
Ethlybenzene	- U (5.0)	~ U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	U (5.0)	17	~ U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	~ U (5.0)	5
2-Hexanone	- U (10)	- U(10)	- U(10)	- U(10)	- U (10)	- U(10)	~ U(10)	U (10)	- U(10)	U (10)	- U(10)	- U(10)	~ U(10)	- U (10)	- U (10)	50
4-Methy 1-2-Pentanone	U(10)	~ U(10)	- U(10)	- U (10)	- U (10)	17	- U (10)	- U (10)	- U(10)	- U (10)	- U (10)	- U(10)	- U(10)	- U (10)	- U (10)	NS
Methylene Chloride	0.8 J	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	U (5.0)	U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	U (5.0)	U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	5
Styrene	- U (5.0)	U (5.0)	U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	U (5.0)	U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	~ U (5.0)	5
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	- U (5.0)	U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	U (5.0)	U (5.0)	- U (5.0).	U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	5
Tetrachioroethene	U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- じ (5.0)	U (5.0)	Ū (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	5
Toluene	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	~ U (5.0)	U (5.0)	0.3 J	- U (5.0)	U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	~ U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	U (5.0)	U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	5
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	U (5.0)	U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	U (5.0)	U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	U (5.0)	U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	5
trans1,3-Dichloropropene	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	U (5.0)	~ U (5.0)	U (5.0)	U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	0.4 [2]
1,1,1-Trichlereethane	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	U (5.0)	U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	U (5.0)	_ U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	U (5.0)	~ U (5.0)	U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	5
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	U (5.0)	U (5.0)	U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	U (5.0)	U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	
Trichloroethene	_ U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	21	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	5	U (5.0)	U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	- U (5.0).	5
Vinyl Acetate	- U(10)	- U (10)	U (10)	U (10)	- U (10)	U (10)	U (10)	- U (10)	- U (10)	- U (10)	- U(10)	- U (10)	- U(10)	- U (10)	- U (10)	NS
Vinyl Cloride	- U (10)	- U (10)	U(10)	- U (10)	- U (10)	- U(10)	- U(10)	- U (10)	0.8 J	- U (10)	- U (10)	U (10)	- U(10)	- U (10)	- U (10)	2
Xylene (total)	- U (5.0)	U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	U (5.0)	U (5.0)	U (5.0)	7	U (5.0)	0.4 J	U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	U (5.0)	U (5.0)	U (5.0)	- U (5.0)	5

- U Indicates that the compound was analyzed for but not detected (method detection limit).
 J Estimated value less than minimum detection limit.
 B The analyze is found in the laboratory blanks as well as the sample.

NS - No standard

- No. No rannouse
 [1] Division of Water Technical and Operational Guidance Series, Ambient Water Quality Standards and Guidance Values, T.O.G.S. 1.1.1, 1998.
 [2] Applies to the num of cis and trans 1,3 dichloropropene.

BOLD - Value exceeds standard/guidance value.

TABLE 4-10

AIR FORCE PLANT #51 HTRW, GREECE, NEW YORK

SEMIVOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUND (VOC) LABORATORY ANALYSIS OF GROUND WATER SAMPLING PERFORMED AT THE PROJECT SITE (ug/L) Sample Dates: 11/18/99 - 11/19/99

[a		onu a I	CTT/4 2	CIV.C 2	cwc a T	CNV 4	NYSDEC
Sample No. Laboratory Sample ID #	GW4-1 993083B-19	GW4-2	GW4-3	GW6-2 993083B-05	993083B-06	GW6-4 993083B-07	Criteria
Matrix	Water	Water	Water	Water	Water	Water	(ug/L) [1]
Phenol	U (10)	0.3 J	- U (10)	- U (10)	- U (10)	0.3 J	1
bis (2-Chloroethyl) ether	- U (10)	- U(10)	- U (10)	- U (10)	- U (10)	- U (10)	1
2-Chlorophenol	- U (10)	- U (10)	- U (10)	~ U (10)	- U (10)	- U (10)	5
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	U (10)	- U (10)	- U (10)	- U (10)	- U (10)	- U (10)	3
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	- U (10)	- U (10)	- U (10)	– U (10)	- U (10)	U (10)	3
Benzyl sicokol	- U (10)	- U (10)	- U (10)	- U (10)	- U (10)	U (10)	NS
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	U (10)	- U (10)	- U (10)	- U (10)	- U (10)	- U (10)	3
2-Methylphenol	U (10)	- U (10)	U (10)	∽ U (10)	– U (10)	- U (10)	5
2,2'-oxybis (1-Chloropropane)	- U (10)	- U (10)	- U (10)	- U (10)	- U (10)	- U (10)	NS
4-Methylphenol	U (10)	- U (10)	- U (10)	– U (10)	- U (10)	8 J	5
N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine	- U (10)	- U (10)	- U (10)	– U (10)	- U (10)	- U (10)	NS
Hexachloroethane	- U (10)	- U (10)	- U (10)	– U (10)	- U (10)	U (10)	5
Nitrobenzene	- U (10)	- U (10)	U (10)	U (10)	U (10)	- U (10)	0.4
Liophorone	- U (10)	- U (10)	- U (10)	- U (10)	- U (10)	- U (10)	50
2-Nitrophenol	- U (10)	- U (10)	- U (10)	- U (10)	- U (10)	- U (10)	5 50
2,4-Dimethylphenol Benzoic acid	3 J	- U (10)	— U (10)	- U (10)	- U (10)	- U (10)	NS NS
bis (2-Chloroethoxy) methane	- U (10)	- U (10)	- U(10)	- U (10)	- U (10)	- U (10)	5
2,4-Dichlorophenol	- U (10)	~ U (10)	- U (10)	~ U (10)	~ U (10)	- U (10)	5
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	- U (10)	- U (10)	- U (10)	- U (10)	U (10)	- U (10)	5
Naphthalene	- U (10)	U (10)	- U (10)	- U (10)	- U (10)	- U (10)	10
4-Chloro-3-methylphenol	- U (10)	- U (10)	- U (10)	- U (10)	- U (10)	- U (10)	5
2-Methylnaphthalene	- U (10)	- U (10)	U (10)	- U (10)	- U (10)	0.8 J	NS
Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	- U (10)	- U (10)	- U (10)	- U (10)	- U (10)	- U (10)	5
2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	- U (10)	- U (10)	- U (10)	– U (10)	- U (10)	U (10)	5
2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	- U (50)	→ U (50)	- U (50)	– U (50)	- U (50)	- U (50)	5
2-Chloronaphthalene	- U (50)	- U (50)	- U (50)	U (50)		- U (50)	10
2-Nitroaniline	- U (50)	- U (50)	- U (50)	- U (50)	 	→ U (50)	5
Dimethylphthalate	- U (10)	- U (10)	- U (10)	U (10)		- U (10)	50
Acenaphthylene	- U (10)	- U (10)	- U (10)	- U (10)		- U (10)	NS
2,6-Dinitrotoluene 3-Nitrosniline	- U (10)	- U (10)	- U (10)	U (10)		- U (10) - U (50)	5
Acenaphthene	- U (10)	- U(10)		2 J	- U (10)	- U (10)	20
2,4-Dinitrophenol	- U (50)	- U (50)		- U (50°		- U (50)	10
4-Nitrophenol	- U (50)	- U (50)		- U (50		- U (50)	5
Dibenzofuran	- U (10)	- U (10		0.5 J	- U (10)	U (10)	NS
2,4-Dinitrotoluene	- U (10)	- U (10		- U (10	- U (10)	- U (10)	- 5
Diethylphthalate	0.4 J	0.5 J	0.4 J	0.3 J	0.3 J	į J	50
4-Chlorophenyl-phenylether	- U (10)	U (10	– U (10)	– U (10	– U (10)	- U (10)	NS
Fluorene	- U (10)			3 J	U (10)	- U (10)	50
4-Nitroaniline	- U (20)			- U (20		→ U (20)	5
4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol	- U (50			- U (50		- U (50)	
N-Nitrosodiphenylamine	- U (10			- U (10		- U (10)	
4-Bromophenyl-phenylether Hexachlorobeozene	- U (10)			- U (10	4	- U (10)	
Pentachlorophenol	- U (10					- U (10)	
Phenanthrene	- U (10				- U (10)	- U (10)	
Anthracene	- U (10						
Carbazole	- U (10				- U (10)		
Di-n-butyiphthalate	0.9 JB	1 JB	0.8 JB	0.5 JB	0.7 JB	0.9 J	50
Fluoranthene	U (10) U (10)	- U (10			50
Pyrene	- U (10) - U(10					
Butylbenzylphthalate	- U (10) – บ (เด) - U(10)	- U (10) - U(10)	- U (10)	50
3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	- U (20						
Benzo(a)anthracene	- U (10						
Chrysene	- U (10						
bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate	2 JB	4 JB	2 JB	2 JB	1 JB	7 JB	5
Di-n-octylphthalate	0.4 JB	0.4 JB	0.4 JB	0.5 JB	0.4 JB	0.4 JB	50
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	- U (10						
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	- U (10						·
Benzo(a)pyrene	- U (10						
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	- U (10						-
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	- U (10		~				
neuro(g,n,)perytene	- U (10) - U(1	0) - U (10) - <u>U(1</u>	0) – U (10) – U (10) NS

- NOTES:

 U Indicates that the compound was analyzed for but not detected (method detection limit).

 J Estimated value less than minimum detection limit.
- B The analyte is found in the laboratory blanks as well as the sample.

NS - No standard, ND - Non Detectable.

[1] - Division of Water Technical and Operational Guidance Series, Ambient Water Quality Standards and Guidance Values, T.O.G.S. 1.1.1, 1998.

TABLE 4-11 AIR FORCE PLANT #51 HTRW, GREECE, NEW YORK METALS LABORATORY ANALYSIS OF GROUND WATER SAMPLING PERFORMED AT THE PROJECT SITE (ug/L) Sample Dates: 11/17/99 - 11/19/99

										Field Blank	
Sample No.	GW4-1	GW4-2	GW4-3	GW5-1	GW5-2	GW5-3	GW6-2	GW6-3	GW6-4	FB111999	NYSDEC
Laboratory Sample ID #	993083B-19	993083B-20	993083B-04	993083B-01	993083B-03	993083B-02	993083A-08	993083A-08D	993083B-07	993083B-10	Criteria
Matrix	Water	Water	Water	(ug/L) [1]							
Alsminium	210	1,750	398	NR	NR	NR	15,900	400	585	- U (19.0)	NC
Antimony	- U (6.0)	U (6.0)	- LJ (6.0)	NR	NR	NR	- U (6.0)	- U (6 D)	- (1 (6.0)	- U (6.0)	3
Arsenic	- U (4.0)	U (4.0)	U (4.0)	NR	NR	NR	11.8	U (4.0)	~ U (4.0)	→ U (4.0)	25
Barium	104 B	125 B	120 B	NR	NR	NR	316	61.5 B	177 B	- U (1.0)	1,000
Beryllium	- U (1.0)	- U (1.0)	- U (1.0)	NR	NR	NR	U (1.0)	- U (1.0)	U(1.0)	- U (1.0)	3
Cadmium	1.8 B	U (1.0)	U (1.0)	NR	NR	NR	U (1.0)	- U (1.0)	- U (1.0)	~ U(I.0)	. 5
Calcium	58,800	109,000	84,800	NR	NR	NR	252,000	149,000	46,800	46.2 B	NC
Chromium	6.5 B	309	2.4 B	NR	NR	NR	33.6	- U (2.0)	2.4 B	- U (2.0)	50
Cobalt	20.0 B	7.6 B	2.3 B	NR	NR	NR	22.7 B	3.4 B	2.1 B	- U (2.0)	NC
Copper	4.2 B	13.3 B	3.3 B	NR	NR	NR	56.8	2.2 B	4.2 B	~ U(1.0)	200
lron	806	4,140	1,040	NR	NR	NR.	32,700	701	1,180	92.8 B	300 [2]
Lead	- U (3.0)	U (3.0)	U (3.0)	U (3.0)	- U (3.0)	6.8	20.6	- U (3.0)	- U (3.0)	- U (3.0)	25
Magnesium	50,600 E	27,100 E	49,000 E	NR	NR	NR	86,500 E	73,600 E	48,700 E	19.0 UE	35,000
Manganese	113	437	263	NR	NR	NR	1,780	216	179	U (1.0)	300 [2]
Мегсигу	- U (0.10)	U (0.10)	U (0.10)	NR	NR	NR.	U (0.10)	- U (0.10)	- U (0.10)	- U (0.10)	0.7
Nickel	3.2 B	13.4 B	4.2 B	NR	NR	NR	42.0	5.5 B	4.0 B	- U (3.0)	100
Potessium	16,500	4,370 B	4,770 B	NR.	NR	NR	7,950	2,980 B	8,350	- U (79.0)	NC
Setenium	- UN (5.0)	- UN (5.0)	UN (5.0)	NR	NR	NR	UN (5.0)	UN (5.0)	UN (5.0)	- UN (5.0)	10
Silver	- U (1.0)	U (1.0)	~ U (1.0)	NR	NR	NR	- U (1.0)	U (1.0)	- U(1.0)	- U (1.0)	50
Sodium	71,400	43,200	23,300	NR	NR	NR	18,600	13,000	45,400	95.2 B	20,000
Thailium	- U (10.0)	U (10.0)	24.2	NR	NR	NR	- U (10.0)	10.8	~ U (10.0)	- U (10.0)	0.5
Vanadium	- U (2.0)	2.6 B	U (2.0)	NR _	NR	NR	28.7 B	- U (2.0)	- U (2.0)	- U (2.0)	NC
Zinc	11.9 B	31.5	20.0	NR	NR	NR	140	17.2 B	32.3	36.0	2,000

- NOTES:

 U Indicates analyte was not detected (method reporting limit).

 B Indicates analyte result between IDL and contract required detection limit (CRDL).

 N Spiked supple recovery not whish accorded limits.

 E Reported value is estimated because of the presence of interference.

- NC No Criterion, NR Not Required.
 [1] Division of Water Technical and Operational Guidanco Series, Ambient Water Quality Standards and Guidance Values, T.O.G.S.1.1.1, June 1998.
 [2] Iron + Manganese = 500ug/L.

BOLD - Value exceeds standard/guidance value.

exceeded RSCOs, and calcium and magnesium concentrations appeared enriched relative to reported eastern United States background concentrations. In addition, copper and nickel exceeded RSCOs in samples obtained from borings SB4-2 and SB4-3.

TCE was detected at a concentration in excess of the GA (drinking water) ground water criterion in sample GW4-3 (21 ug/L); obtained from the temporary well installed in boring SB4-3, located near the former location of Building 15. No other VOCs or semivolatile BN/AE compounds were detected at concentrations in excess of criteria in the GW4-3 sample, or the samples obtained from the area of former Buildings 16 and 17 (GW4-1 and GW4-2).

All three ground water samples exceeded GA ground water criteria for iron and sodium; in addition, samples GW4-1 and GW4-3 exceeded the criterion for magnesium. Sample GW4-2 exceeded criteria for chromium and manganese, and GW4-3 exceeded the criterion for thallium.

4.1.5 Area Five

Soil results for TCL VOCs, PAHs, and lead from the former UST/fuel pump area located east of the main building are presented in Tables 4-6, 4-12, and 4-8, respectively. Ground water results for TCL VOCs, PAHs, and lead are presented in Tables 4-9, 4-12, and 4-11, respectively.

The concentration of total xylenes (3,700 ug/kg) exceeded the RSCO in soil sample SB5-3-1. In soil sample SB5-1-1, the PAHs chrysene, benzo(a)anthracene, benzo(a)pyrene, and dibenzo(a,h)anthracene (estimated concentration) exceeded RSCOs.

No constituent concentrations exceeded the ground water criteria in Area Five well samples.

4.1.6 Area Six

The results of soil analysis for TCL VOCs, BN/AE, and TAL metals are contained in Tables 4-6, 4-7, and 4-8. The results of ground water analysis for TCL VOCs, BN/AE, and TAL metals are presented in Tables 4-9, 4-10, and 4-11.

No RSCOs were exceeded in any Area Six soil samples for VOCs or semivolatile BN/AE compounds. Relative to the metals, all soil samples exceeded the RSCOs for iron and zinc; in addition, all samples appeared enriched with magnesium and calcium (excepting SB6-2-2) relative to reported eastern United States background concentrations. Sample SB6-2-2 exceeded RSCOs for arsenic, beryllium (estimated concentration), chromium, copper, and nickel, and SB6-4-4 exceeded RSCOs for beryllium (estimated concentration), chromium, and nickel.

Ground water sample GW6-2, obtained from the temporary well installed in boring SB6-2, exceeded the GA (drinking water) criterion for xylene. Sample GW6-4, obtained from the temporary well located west (hydraulically down gradient) of the Area One plating pond, exceeded the criterion for DCE. Also in this sample, TCE was detected at the 5 ug/L drinking water criterion, and an estimated concentration of the BN/AE compound 4-methylphenol exceeded the GA criterion. No other organic compounds were detected at concentrations in excess of criteria.

TABLE 4-12 AIR FORCE PLANT #51 HTRW, GREECE, NEW YORK POLYCYCLIC AROMATIC HYDROCARBON (PAH) LABORATORY ANALYSIS OF SOIL AND GROUND WATER SAMPLING PERFORMED AT THE PROJECT SITE Sample Dates: 11/17/99 - 11/19/99

Sample No.	SB5-1-1	SB5-2-1	SB5-3-1	Recommended	GW5-1	GW5-2	GW5-3	NY State Ambient
Laboratory Sample ID #	993083A-16	99308A-17	993083A-15	Soil Cleanup	993083B-01	993083B-03	993083B-02	
Matrix	Soil (ug/kg)	Soil (ug/kg)	Soil (ug/kg)	Objectives (ug/kg) [1]	Water (ug/L)	Water (ug/L)	Water (ug/L)	Guidance Values (ug/L) [2]
Napthalene	49 J	340 J	U (330)		U (10)	0.2 J	U (10)	10
2-Methylnaphthalene	25 J	4,300 E	U (330)	36,400	U (10)	1 J	U (10)	NS
Acenaphthylene	13 J	U (330)	U (330)	41,000	U (10)	U (10)	U (10)	NS
Acenaphthene	190 J	580	U (330)	50,000	U (10)	0.5 J	U (10)	20
Fluorene	250 J	770	U (330)	50,000	U (10)	0.6 J	U (10)	- 50
Phenanthrene	1,500	2,900	U (330)	50,000	U (10)	0.5 J	U (10)	50
Anthracene	560	310 J	U (330)	50,000	U (10)	U (10)	U (10)	50
Fluoranthene	1,600	52 J	16 J	50,000	U (10)	U(10)	U (10)	50
Pyrene	1,500	230 J	22 J	50,000	U (10)	U (10)	U (10)	50
Benzo (a) anthracene	930	U (330)	U (330)	224 or MDL	U (10)	U (10)	U (10)	0.002
Chrysene	970	40 J	U (330)	400	U (10)	U (10)	U (10)	0.002
Benzo (b) fluoranthene	610	U (330)	U (330)	1,100	U (10)	U (10)	U (10)	0,002
Benzo (k) fluoranthene	1,000	U (330)	U (330)	1,100	U (10)	U (10)	U (10)	0.002
Benzo (a) pyrene	770	U (330)	U (330)	61 or MDL	U (10)	U (10)	U (10)	ND
Indeno (1,2,3-cd) pyrene	220 J	U (330)	U (330)	3,200	U (10)	U (10)	U (10)	0.002
Dibenzo (a,h) anthracene	85)	U (330)	U (330)	14 or MDL	U (10)	U (10)	U (10)	NS
Benzo (g,h,i) perylene	160 J	U (330)	U (330)	50,000	U (10)	U (10)	U (10)	NS

NOTES:

- U Indicates that the compound was analyzed for but not detected (method detection limit).
- J Estimated value less than minimum detection limit.
- E Reported value it exceeds the calibration curve range.

MDL - Method Detection Limit.

- NS No standard, ND Non-detectable.
- [1] Division Technical Administrative Guidance Memorandum (TAGM) on Determination of Soil Cleanup Objectives and Cleanup Levels (HWR-94-4046),
 - NYSDEC, January 24, 1994.
- [2] Division of Water Technical and Operational Guidance Series, Ambient Water Quality Standards and Guidance Values, T.O.G.S. 1.1.1, 1998.

a = 1b = 2

BOLD - Value exceeds Recommended Soil Cleanup Objectives (RSCO)

All three Area Six well samples exceeded the ground water criterion for iron. In addition, GW6-2 exceeded the criterion for manganese, GW6-3 exceeded the criterion for thallium, and GW6-4 exceeded the criterion for sodium.

4.1.7 Area Seven

One soil sample was collected from beneath a floor drain in the former vehicle maintenance area and analyzed for TCL VOCs, BN/AE, TAL metals. Laboratory analytical results are presented in Tables 4-6, 4-7, and 4-8.

No VOCs exceeded RSCOs in the Area Seven soil sample. Several PAHs (chrysene, benzo(b)fluoranthene, benzo(k)fluoranthene, and benzo(a)pyrene) exceeded RSCOs, as well as several BN/AE compounds reported as estimated concentrations: phenol, 2-methylnaphthalene, and benzo(a)anthracene (also a PAH). Relative to the metals, arsenic, cadmium, chromium, copper, iron, mercury, nickel, selenium, and zinc all exceeded RSCOs, and calcium and magnesium appeared enriched relative to reported eastern United States background concentrations.

4.2 DATA QUALITY

4.2.1 Laboratory Analysis

Three laboratory analytical data packages were prepared to document the results of analysis performed on samples obtained from the Air Force Plant No. 51 site (7099-3083A, 7099-3083B, 7099-3083C). These data packages are contained in Appendix C. A series of minor data quality issues were identified through review of the data packages, as detailed below.

Accuracy

This data quality indicator is defined as a measure of closeness of an observed concentration to the true value, and is evaluated in terms of matrix spike/matrix spike duplicate (MS/MSD) and matrix spike blank (MSB) recoveries (%R).

Data Package 7099-3083A

One soil MS/MSD (SB4-1-7) exhibited recovery outside internal laboratory control limits for the volatile organic compounds vinyl acetate and tetrachloroethene. One soil MS/MSD (S1-1) exhibited recovery outside internal laboratory control limits for the organic compounds trichloroethene and acetone. One soil MSB (S1-1) exhibited recovery slightly outside the internal laboratory control limit for the volatile organic compound 1,1,2,2-tetrachloroethane. With the exception of trichloroethene in soil, none of the out of range volatile organic constituents were detected in the site media. Estimated concentrations of trichloroethene reported for samples S1-1, S1-3, S2-1, S2-3, and S2-DUP may be slightly low relative to their true value. One soil MSB (SB4-1-7) exhibited recovery outside the internal laboratory control limit for the semivolatile compound 4-nitrophenol. One aqueous MS/MSD (BS#1, DRUM#3S) exhibited

recoveries outside the internal laboratory control limits for the metals copper and iron; and one soil MS/MSD (S1-1S) exhibited recoveries outside the internal laboratory control limits for the metals selenium and silver; associated sample data were flagged with the 'N' data qualifier.

Data Package 7099-3083B

One aqueous MS/MSD (SBLKFP) exhibited recoveries outside the internal laboratory control limits for the semivolatile organic compounds 4-methylphenol, 2,4-dinitrophenol and indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene. One soil MS/MSD (SBLKNP) exhibited recovery outside the internal laboratory control limits for the semivolatile organic compound benzyl alcohol. None of the out of the range constituents were detected in the site media. One aqueous MS/MSD (GW4-3S) exhibited recovery below the internal laboratory control limit for the metal selenium; associated sample data were flagged with the 'N' data qualifier.

Data Package 7099-3083C

One soil MS/MSD (SS7S) exhibited recovery outside of the internal laboratory control limit for the metal antimony; associated sample data were flagged with the 'N' data qualifier.

Precision

This data quality indicator is defined as a measure of reproducibility or variability of a measurement under a given set of conditions, and is evaluated in terms of relative percent difference (%RPD) between field duplicate sample analyses, laboratory duplicate (replicate) analyses, and matrix spike / matrix spike duplicate sample analyses.

Variability relative to duplicate sample analysis is often a function of field sampling procedures or the characteristics of the sample matrix (i.e., the ability or lack thereof to fully homogenize the sample prior to division into sample aliquots).

Data Package 7099-3083A

One soil MS/MSD (SB4-1-7) exhibited recoveries outside the internal laboratory control limits for the volatile organic compounds acetone, vinyl acetate, 2-butanone, 4-methyl-2-pentanone, 2-hexanone and tetrachloroethene. One soil MS/MSD (S1-1) exhibited recoveries outside internal laboratory control limits for the volatile organic compounds acetone, bromomethane, and 2-butanone. One duplicate sample (S2-1D) exhibited recoveries outside internal laboratory control limits for the metals aluminum, cadmium, chromium, copper, manganese, nickel, and zinc; and one duplicate sample (S1-1D) exhibited recoveries outside the internal laboratory control limits for the metals aluminum, cadmium, chromium, and manganese; associated sample data were flagged with the '*' data qualifier.

Data Package 7099-3083B

One soil duplicate sample (S2-1D) exhibited recoveries outside of internal laboratory control limits for the metals aluminum, chromium, copper, nickel, and zinc; associated sample data were flagged with the '*' data qualifier.

Data Package 7099-3083C

One soil duplicate (SS7D) exhibited recovery outside the internal laboratory control limit for the metal manganese; associated sample data were flagged with the '*' data qualifier.

Representativeness

This data quality indicator is defined as the extent to which data measure the objective of the data collection. It is less quantitatively defined than accuracy or precision and represents the ability of sample collection and handling steps to accurately reflect site characteristics. As such, it is controlled primarily by sampling program design and is influenced by field QA/QC sample results (Section 4.2.2). The executed sampling program, targeted to areas of previously documented or suspected HTRW contamination, is believed to provide a representative characterization of actual site conditions.

Comparability

This data quality indicator is a measure of the equivalence of data. Comparability for sampling involves application of consistent sample designs and time periods, while comparability for analyses encompasses issues related to different methodologies, detection limits, laboratories, units of measure, and sample preparation procedures. The potential for comparability concerns were minimized through the design of the Quality Assurance Program Plan (QAPP) and sampling methodologies. Standardized sampling techniques and analytical methods were used to attain project objectives.

Completeness

This data quality indicator is defined as the measure of the amount of useable data resulting from a data collection activity. All samples collected for in the field are accounted for in the sample data packages and the data is 100 percent complete from a deliverables standpoint, as tracked through the chain-of-custody process. Based on the evaluation of the data packages, analytical data for samples obtained from the project site are judged to be virtually 100 percent complete.

4.2.2 Field QA/QC Samples

A total of six trip blanks, one field (rinsate) blank, one soil/sediment field duplicate, one aqueous field duplicate, one soil/sediment matrix/matrix spike duplicate, and one aqueous matrix/matrix spike duplicate were obtained in the field during sample collection activities at Air Force Plant No. 51. With the exception of low concentrations of acetone and 2-butanone (common laboratory contaminants) and zinc (in the field blank), no compounds were detected above detection limits in the field QA/QC blanks. The RPDs for TCL VOC, BN/AE, and TAL metals

analyses performed for the soil/sediment matrix field duplicate (S2-3/S2DUP), and the aqueous matrix field duplicate (GW6-4/GW6-4-02) were consistently below 30% for non-qualified results. These data indicate that sample collection, equipment decontamination and sample processing protocols were implemented consistently and appropriately.

4.3 LAND USE/DEMOGRAPHICS

Land use in the vicinity of AFP No. 51 consists of: commercial/residential use across Dewey Avenue to the east; undeveloped, wooded upland areas and the Lake Ontario State Parkway to the south; wooded upland areas descending to wetlands to the west (associated with Round Pond Creek which flows into Round Pond); and Round Pond to the north, which discharges north into Lake Ontario. A water treatment plant (Monroe County Water Authority) is located to the northeast of the site, adjacent to the canal that adjoins the northern portion of the AFP No. 51 building. During historic operations, this canal was used to transport aircraft and weapons components manufactured at the project site to Lake Ontario for outbound shipment.

In addition to the scrap metal operation that currently occupies the project site and main building (Genesee Scrap and Tin, Co.), a number of commercial operations are housed in several structures abutting the main building to the east. Water supply in the vicinity of the site is provided by a municipal utility.

4.4 FATE AND TRANSPORT

4.4.1 Contaminants of Concern

The primary contaminants of concern (CoCs) at the project site are those associated with soil/sediment and surface water within Areas One and Two (i.e., the plating pond, from which the waste stream from historical AFP No. 51 electroplating operations was discharged, and the adjacent wetland area that receives seepage/drainage from the pond), as detailed below. Within each media, a distinction is made between primary and secondary CoCs, with the former representing the most consistently identified contaminants, exhibiting the most significant mass, and at a concentration well in excess of regulatory criteria (e.g., exceeding the SEL as well as LEL, or several orders of magnitude above the RSCO); and the latter representing those contaminants that exceeded criteria, but were only sporadically identified, or were reported as estimated concentrations.

Soil/Sediment Organic Compounds

Primary: Secondary:

trichloroethene (TCE), cis-1,2 dichloroethene (DCE), and vinyl chloride acetone, 1,2-dichloroethane, ethylbenzene, 4-methyl-2-pentanone, 1,1,2,2-

tetrachloroethane, toluene, and xylene

Soil/Sediment Inorganic Constituents

Primary:

cadmium, chromium, copper, lead, nickel, and zinc

Secondary:

antimony, arsenic, barium, beryllium, iron, magnesium, manganese,

mercury, selenium, and silver

Surface Water Organic Compounds

Primary:

acetone, TCE, DCE, and vinyl chloride

Secondary:

1,1-dichloroethene, methylene chloride, and tetrachloroethene

Surface Water Inorganic Constituents

Primary:

aluminum, cadmium, chromium, and lead

Secondary:

barium, copper, iron, magnesium, manganese, mercury, nickel, selenium,

silver, thallium, vanadium, zinc, and cyanide

No CoCs (i.e., PCB) were identified in Area Three. No organic CoCs were identified in Area Four soils. However, beryllium, chromium, iron, magnesium, nickel, and zinc were routinely detected at concentrations in excess of RSCOs, and many of these metals (as well as manganese, sodium, thallium) were detected in Area Four ground water in excess of GA (drinking water) criteria. TCE was also detected in well sample GW4-3 (to the west of the main building) at a concentration in excess of regulatory criteria.

Within Area Five soils, xylene and several PAH constituents were identified at concentrations exceeding RSCOs. No CoCs were identified in Area Five ground water. No organic CoCs were identified in Area Six soils; however, several metals exceeded RSCOs in at least half of the recovered samples, including chromium, iron, magnesium, nickel, and zinc. Iron and magnesium exceeded criteria in all of the Area Six wells, and manganese, sodium, thallium, DCE, and xylene sporadically exceeded criteria. Area Seven CoCs in soil include arsenic, cadmium, chromium, copper, iron, magnesium, mercury, nickel, selenium, zinc, and a suite of PAHs.

In the ensuing discussion, summary health effects information is provided for the primary CoCs identified on-site; *i.e.*, Areas One and Two primary contaminants, and other contaminants identified elsewhere on the site.

Acetone is a manufactured chemical used to make plastic, fibers, drugs, and other chemicals. It is also found naturally in plants, trees, volcanic gases, forest fires, and as a product of the breakdown of body fat. Acetone is present in vehicle exhaust, tobacco smoke, and landfill sites. Breathing moderate to high levels of acetone for short periods of time can cause nose, throat, lung, and eye irritation; headaches; light-headedness; confusion; increased pulse rate; effects on blood; nausea; vomiting; unconsciousness and possibly coma; and shortening of the menstrual cycle in women. Swallowing high levels of acetone can result in unconsciousness and damage to the mouth tissue. Skin contact can result in irritation and damage to the skin. Kidney, liver, and

nerve damage, increased birth defects, and lowered ability to produce (males only) occurred in long term exposure to animals (ATSDR 1995).

1,2 dichloroethene exists in two forms, cis-1,2-dichloroethene and trans-1,2-dichloroethene. The chemical is used most often in the production of solvents and chemical mixtures, and is released to the environment primarily from chemical factories, landfills, hazardous waste sites, and from the burning of vinyl-containing objects. In animal studies, high doses of 1,2-dichloroethene resulted in death; lower doses caused adverse effects on the blood and liver. Long-term effects on humans from exposure to low concentrations of 1,2-dichloroethene are unknown. The chemical has not been shown to effect fertility in animals or humans, and cis-1,2-dichloroethene is not classifiable as to its human carcinogenicity (ATSDR 1997).

The *PAHs* (polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons), consisting of compounds with a series of joined benzene rings, are typically associated with petroleum products, asphalt, coal tar, and creosote, and result from the incomplete combustion of fossil fuel. Studies in animals have shown that PAHs can cause harmful effects on skin, body fluids, and the immune system after both short-and long-term exposure. These effects have not been reported in humans. The U.S. Department of Health and Human Services has determined that PAHs may reasonably be anticipated to be carcinogens (ATSDR 1996).

Trichloroethene (TCE) is a man-made chemical used mainly to remove grease from metal parts, but is also an ingredient in adhesives, paint removers and strippers, typewriter correction fluids, and spot removers. TCE is present in many surface water sources and underground water sources as a result of manufacture, use, and disposal of the chemical. Breathing small amounts of TCE for short periods of time may cause headaches, lung irritation, dizziness, poor coordination and difficulty concentrating. Breathing high levels cause the most severe effects on the central nervous system, such as unconsciousness and possible death. Studies in animals have shown that ingesting or breathing levels of TCE that are higher than typical environmental levels can produce nervous system changes; nerve, kidney, liver and lung damage; effects on the blood; tumors of the kidney, lung, liver, and male sex organ. The International Agency for Research on Cancer has determined that TCE is not classifiable as to its carcinogenicity to humans (ATSDR 1989).

Vinyl Chloride is a man-made chemical that does not occur naturally in the environment. It is used to make polyvinyl chloride (PVC), which is used to make a variety of plastic products, including pipes, wire and cable coatings, and furniture and automobile upholstery. Smaller amounts of vinyl chloride are used as a cooling gas and in the manufacture of other compounds. Short term exposures to high levels of vinyl chloride can cause dizziness, lack of muscle coordination, headache, unconsciousness, and death. Long term exposure to lower but unmeasured amounts in factories where vinyl chloride is made or processed has caused "vinyl chloride disease," which is characterized by severe damage to the liver, effects on lungs, poor circulation in the fingers, changes in the bones at the end of the fingers, thickening of the skin, and changes in the blood. Animal studies have shown that breathing vinyl chloride can harm unborn offspring and cause early miscarriages. Laboratory animals have developed cancer in several tissues after eating food or breathing air containing vinyl chloride. The Department of

Health and Human Services has determined that vinyl chloride is a known human carcinogen (ATSDR 1997).

Aluminum occurs naturally and makes up about eight percent of the surface of the earth. It is always found as a component of minerals and rocks in the crust and overlying sediments. Low level exposure to aluminum from food, air, water or contact with skin is not thought to be harmful to human health. Aluminum is not a carcinogen, but high doses are suspected to potentially result in skeletal and neurological problems. The MCL for aluminum has been established primarily in consideration of taste and odor problems (ATSDR 1995).

Arsenic is found naturally at low levels in the environment. It is primarily a component with oxygen, chlorine and sulfur compounds (inorganic arsenic compounds). In plants and animals it combines with carbon and hydrogen, forming various organic arsenic compounds. Organic arsenic compounds are generally less harmful than the inorganic compounds. Organic arsenic bioaccumulates in shellfish and fish, but most arsenic in fish is not toxic. Arsenic is a known carcinogen; ingesting inorganic arsenic increases the risk of skin cancer and tumors of the bladder, kidney, liver, and lung. High concentrations (> 60 mg/L) of inorganic arsenic in food or water can be fatal. Lower levels of inorganic arsenic exposure may cause nausea, vomiting and diarrhea, decreased production of red and white blood cells, abnormal heart rhythm, and blood vessel damage. Chronic low level exposure may result in a darkening of the skin and the appearance of "corns" or "warts" on the torso and extremities (ATSDR 1993).

Cadmium is a natural element found in all soil and rocks. This metal is used in batteries, pigments, metal coatings, and plastics. Cadmium enters the body by absorbing through the stomach, intestine or lung walls after ingestion or inhalation. Inhalation is the greatest exposure route (i.e., 30 to 50 percent of the metal inhaled is absorbed into the blood stream). Cadmium is strongly retained in the body and bioaccumulates as exposure continues. Ingesting and inhaling high doses of cadmium causes severe irritation of the lungs, stomach, and intestines. Effects of long-term, low-level exposure to cadmium include kidney, liver, and lung damage, lung cancer, and high blood pressure. Since such high exposures are rare today, effects from long-term, low-level exposure are of greater concern (ATSDR 1993).

Chromium is a naturally occurring element found in rocks, soil, plants, and animals. Chromium III is an essential nutrient in small quantities; the body does not utilize other forms of chromium. Typically, only small quantities of chromium migrate from soil to water. Fish do not accumulate chromium in their bodies. Ingesting very large amounts of chromium can cause stomach upsets and ulcers, convulsions, and kidney and liver damage. Certain chromium VI compounds are known carcinogens (ATSDR 1993).

Copper is a naturally occurring metal used for electrical wiring, some water pipes, and is mixed with other metals to make alloys. In addition, this metal is an essential element for all living organisms. Long-term exposure to copper dust can result in headaches, dizziness, nausea, and diarrhea and the irritation of the nose, mouth, and eyes. Consuming water with high levels of copper can result in vomiting, diarrhea, stomach cramps, and nausea. Exposure to very high levels of copper can result in liver and kidney damage and possibly death. Children are

especially sensitive to exposure to copper. Children exposed to high levels of this metal may experience liver damage and death. Copper is not a known carcinogen (ATSDR 1990).

Lead is a naturally occurring metal found in small concentrations in the earth's crust. Enrichment of lead in soil occurs routinely through airborne deposition from many sources, including gasoline and coal combustion. Leaching rates of lead from soil are very low and are inversely related to soil pH. The fate of lead in soil is affected by the specific or exchange adsorption at mineral interfaces, the precipitation of sparingly soluble solid phases, and the formation of relatively stable organo-metal complexes or chelates with the organic matter in soil (USEPA 1986, NSF 1977). Mobility of lead from soil is due primarily to runoff of lead-containing particles to surface water. In water, lead is adsorbed onto sediment particles and organic matter and is transported by sediment movement. Lead may bioaccumulate, particularly in bottom-dwelling organisms (ATSDR 1992, USEPA 1986, NSF 1977). The routes of exposure for lead include inhalation of soil particles, ingestion or dermal contact. Exposure to environmental media containing lead is the primary source of evaluated blood lead in children (ATSDR 1992).

Mercury is used in thermometers, barometers, and other common consumer products. Mercury can combine with other chemicals, such as chlorine, carbon, or oxygen to form either inorganic or organic mercury compounds. One form of organic mercury (methylmercury) can accumulate in the tissues of certain fish. Mercury released into the environment is persistent, and it can change between organic and inorganic forms in soil and water by reaction with substances produced with microorganisms and varius chemical processes. Mercury can easily enter the body through inhalation or if eaten in organic forms in contaminated fish or other foods. Inorganic mercury (e.g., salts) can also enter the body through ingestion of contaminated food or water, although at a particular dose level, less mercury will enter the body in this form relative to the organic form. Long-term exposure to either inorganic or organic forms of mercury can permanently damage the brain, kidneys, and developing fetuses. Mercury has not been shown to be a carcinogen (ATSDR 1990).

Nickel is a very abundant element, and is found primarily combined with oxygen (oxides) or sulfur (sulfides). Much of the nickel in the environment is found in soils and sediments because nickel has an affinity for soil materials containing iron or manganese. Nickel does not appear to accumulate in fish, plants, or animals used for food. The most common adverse health affect of nickel in humans is an allergic reaction. Ingestion of highly contaminated water (> 100,000 times normal concentration levels) has resulted in stomachaches and effects to blood and kidneys in humans. Eating or drinking large amounts of nickel has been reported to cause lung disease in dogs and rats, and to affect the stomach, blood, liver, kidneys, immune system, and reproduction and development in rats and mice (ATSDR 1997).

Selenium is a naturally occurring substance that is widely but unevenly distributed in the earth's crust and is commonly found in sedimentary rock. Much of the selenium in rocks is combined with sulfide minerals or with silver, copper, lead, and nickel minerals. Exposure to high levels of selenium result in dizziness, fatigue, irritation, collection of fluid in the lungs, and severe bronchitis. Selenium compounds have caused rashes, swelling, and pain upon skin contact. Selenium compounds can be harmful at daily dietary levels 5-10 times higher than the daily

requirement. Too much selenium in the diet can result in brittle hair, deformed nails and loss of feeling and control in the arms and legs. Very high amounts of selenium resulted in reproductive effects in rats and monkeys, and caused malformations in birds. The Department of Health and Human Services has determined that selenium sulfide may reasonably be anticipated to be a carcinogen (ATSDR 1997).

Zinc is an ubiquitous element, found in air, soil, water, and all foods. Commercially, zinc is used as a coating to prevent rust, in dry cell batteries, and mixed with other metals to make alloys. Although zinc is an essential element for the human body, too much zinc (generally levels over 10 to 15 times the recommended dietary allowance) is harmful. The short-term effects of ingesting large concentrations of zinc include stomach cramps, nausea, and vomiting. Over the long-term, such exposure can cause anemia, pancreas damage, and decrease the levels of high density lipoprotein cholesterol (the good form of cholesterol) in the body. Inhaling large amounts of zinc can cause a short-term disease called mental fume fever, which affects the lungs and body temperature. In addition, animals fed large amounts of zinc became infertile or had smaller babies. It is not known how this information compares to the effects of zinc on human reproduction (ATSDR 1995).

4.4.2 Potential Receptors

With the exception of Areas One and Two, contamination was detected only sporadically in soils and ground water across the project site. It is clear from the available data that the Area One plating pond sediments (located to the northwest of the AFP No. 51 building) represent the primary on-site source of contamination. Based on surrounding land use and inferred surface and ground water flow paths¹, the primary receptor of contamination from this source is wildlife located in the wetland area to the west of the project site, associated with Round Pond Creek and Round Pond. With the exception of a potential fish ingestion pathway, available data do not suggest the presence of a human receptor population from the identified source.

¹ Based on review of topographic mapping, surface water runoff is to the west, and ground water elevation data obtained from on-site temporary monitoring wells during well sampling also indicate a flow gradient to the west.

5.0 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Seven areas of concern were identified for investigation at AFP No. 51 under Delivery Order 60. The objective of this targeted site investigation was to provide current information regarding the presence of HTRW in these areas, to allow for an evaluation of the need for further remedial investigation or remedial action by USACE. In support of this objective, a total of 21 soil/sediment samples, 6 surface water samples, and 9 ground water samples were obtained for laboratory analysis. Most samples were analyzed for TCL VOCs, BN/AE, and TAL metals, or a subset of these analytes.

The results of the investigation indicated that the primary contaminants of concern at the project site are those associated with soil/sediment and surface water within Area One (the plating pond from which the waste stream from historical AFP No. 51 electroplating operations was discharged). Significantly elevated concentrations of several VOCs were detected in Area One sediments, primarily trichloroethene (TCE) and cis,1-2 dichloroethene (DCE). TCE was detected at a maximum concentration of 10,000,000 ug/kg (1 per cent); this concentration is orders of magnitude higher than the applicable sediment screening criteria and Recommended Soil Cleanup Objective (RSCO). DCE (a breakdown product of TCE) was detected at a maximum unqualified concentration of 2,600 ug/kg, an order of magnitude above the RSCO. In addition, most metals concentrations significantly exceeded Lowest Effect Level (LEL), Severe Effect Level (SEL), and/or RSCO criteria (by as much as four orders of magnitude). The primary inorganic contaminants are cadmium, chromium, and zinc, with maximum concentrations of 4,200, 3,690, and 4,340 mg/kg, respectively. Surface water concentrations of the identified organic and inorganic contaminants exceeded drinking water and wildlife protection criteria, in some cases by several orders of magnitude.

The primary organic and inorganic contaminants identified in the plating pond were also detected in Area Two sediments and surface water (in many cases exceeding regulatory criteria), documenting off-site migration from the Area One source to the adjacent wetland area to the west.

Organic and inorganic contamination was sporadically detected at concentrations in excess of regulatory criteria in soil borings and ground water within the other areas of concern at the project site, primarily fuel related constituents (e.g., xylene and PAHs), and the metals chromium, nickel, and zinc. However, these contaminants were not present at concentrations approaching those identified in Area One, and do not appear to represent significant potential sources for off-site migration.

Based on surrounding land use and inferred surface and ground water flow paths, the primary receptor of contamination from the Area One source is wildlife located in the wetland area to the west of the project site, associated with Round Pond Creek and Round Pond. With the exception of a potential fish ingestion pathway, available data do not suggest the presence of a human receptor population from this source.

Given the apparent magnitude of Area One sediment contamination, and the ongoing potential for off-site migration of this contamination to the adjacent wetland area, remediation of these source area sediments may be warranted to address impact to the ecological receptor population. In support of decision-making relative to such action, the following recommendations for further site investigation appear appropriate at this time:

- (1) Delineation of the horizontal and vertical extent of Area One (plating pond) sediment contamination;
- (2) Delineation of the horizontal and vertical extent of Area Two (wetlands) sediment contamination;
- (3) Determination of migration pathway(s) between Area One and Area Two; e.g., physical linkage (discharge piping), overflow/surface runoff, ground water migration;
- (4) Performance of a habitat evaluation within the affected Area Two wetlands to: (1) characterize the resource, and (2) evaluate historic and potential continuing impact on the wildlife community from source releases; and
- (5) Determination of the potential for a human receptor population associated with a fish ingestion pathway.

6.0 REFERENCES

- Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR). 1995. Acetone ToxFAQ. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. Atlanta, GA.
- Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR). 1995. Aluminum ToxFAQ. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. Atlanta, GA.
- Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR). 1993. Arsenic ToxFAQ. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. Atlanta, GA.
- Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR). 1993. *Cadmium ToxFAQ*. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. Atlanta, GA.
- Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR). 1993. *Chromium ToxFAQ*. U.S.Department of Health and Human Services. Atlanta, GA.
- Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR). 1990. Copper ToxFAQ. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. Atlanta, GA.
- Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR). 1992. Analysis Paper: *Impact of Lead-Contaminated Soil on Public Health*. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. Atlanta, GA.
- Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR). 1990. *Mercury ToxFAQ*. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. Atlanta, GA.
- Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR). 1997. Nickel ToxFAQ. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. Atlanta, GA.
- Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR). 1996. *Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs) ToxFAQ*. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. Atlanta, GA.
- Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR). 1997. Selenium ToxFAQ. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. Atlanta, GA.
- Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR). 1989. *Trichloroethylene (TCE) ToxFAQ*. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. Atlanta, GA.
- Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR). 1997. Vinyl Chloride ToxFAQ. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. Atlanta, GA.

- Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR). 1995. Zinc ToxFAQ. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. Atlanta, GA.
- Hartnagel, C.A. 1907. Geologic Map of Rochester and Ontario Beach Quadrangles. New York State Museum Bulletin No. 114. University of the State of New York.
- Muller, E.H. and D.H. Caldwell. 1986. Surficial Geologic Map of New York, Finger Lakes Sheet. New York State Geologic Survey. Map and Chart Series #40.
- National Science Foundation. 1977. Lead in the Environment. Bogess, W.R., ed. NSF, Washington, DC. NSF/RA-770214.
- New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (NYSDEC), Division of Water. 1998. Ambient Water Quality Standards and Guidance Values and Ground water Effluent Limitations. Technical and Operational Guidance Series (1.1.1) (Revised January 1999, November 1999).
- NYSDEC, Division of Fish and Wildlife, Division of Marine Resources. 1994. Technical Guidance for Screening Contaminated Sediments. (Revised April 1996).
- NYSDEC, Division of Hazardous Waste Remediation. 1994. Revised TAGM Determination of Soil Cleanup Objectives and Cleanup Levels. TAGM HWR-94-4046. (January 24, 1994).
- Northern Ecological Associates (NEA) / Ogden Environmental & Energy Services Co., Inc. (Ogden). 1999. Final Letter Work Plan (LWP): HTRW Investigations at Air Force Plant No. 51 DERP-FUDS Site, Greece, New York. (October 18, 1999).
- Sherwood, Donald A. 1997. "Water Resources of Monroe County, New York, Water Years 1989-93, With Emphasis on Water Quality in the Irondequoit Basin. Part I Water-Resources Data." U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 97-587.
- United States Department of Agriculture (USDA), Soil Conservation Service (SCS). 1973. Soil Survey of Monroe County, New York. U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington D.C.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 1986. *Air Quality Criteria for Lead*. Research Triangle Park, NC. USEPA 600/8-83-018F. (June 1986 and Addendum, September 1986).

7.0 ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS

AFP Air Force Plant

ATSDR Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry BECR Biological, Environmental, and Cultural Resources

bgs Below Ground Surface

BN/AE Base Neutral-Acid Extractable Compound (i.e., SVOC)

BRAC Base Realignment and Closure program

CERCLA Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act

(Superfund)

CoC Contaminant of Concern DCE Cis,1-2 dichlorethene

DCQCR Daily Chemical Quality Control Report
DERP Defense Environmental Restoration Program

DO Delivery Order

DoD Department of Defense

DSMOA/CA Defense State Memorandum of Agreement/Cooperative Agreements program

FUDS Formerly Used Defense Sites
GPS Global Positioning System
GSA General Services Administration

HSA Hollow Stem Auger

HTRW Hazardous, Toxic, and Radiological Waste

IAG Interagency Agreement
INPR Inventory Project Report

IRP Installation Restoration Program

LEL Lowest Effect Level

LIMS Laboratory Information Management System

LWP Letter Work Plan

mg/kg Milligrams per kilogram (parts per million; soil matrix)
mg/L Milligrams per liter (parts per million; aqueous matrix)

MRD Missouri River Division

MS/MSD Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate

MSB Matrix Spike Blank

NEA Northern Ecological Associates, Inc.

NYD New York District

NYSDEC New York State Department of Environmental Conservation

Ogden Environmental and Energy Services Co., Inc.

PAH Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbon

PCB Polychlorinated Biphenyl PID Photoionization Detector

PPE Personal Protective Equipment
QA/QC Quality Assurance/Quality Control
QAPP Quality Assurance Program Plan

%R Percent Recovery

RPD Relative Percent Difference

RRSEP Relative Risk Site Evaluation Program

RSCO Recommended Soil Cleanup Objective (NYSDEC)
SARA Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act

SEL Severe Effect Level

SOPs Standard Operating Procedures
STL Severn Trent Laboratories

SVOC Semivolatile Organic Compound

TAL Target Analyte List TCE Trichloroethene

TCL Target Compound List

TIC Tentatively Identified Compound

TOC Total Organic Carbon

TPH Total Petroleum Hydrocarbon
TOVC Total Organic Vapor Concentration

ug/kg Micrograms per kilogram (parts per billion; soil matrix)
ug/L Micrograms per liter (parts per billion; aqueous matrix)

USACE United States Army Corps of Engineers

USDA-SCS United States Department of Agriculture-Soil Conservation Service; currently,

USDA-NRCS (Natural Resources Conservation Service)

USEPA United States Environmental Protection Agency

USTs Underground Storage Tanks VOCs Volatile Organic Compounds

APPENDIX A DAILY CHEMICAL QUALITY CONTROL REPORTS

PROJECT DCQCR REPORT NO:	1	DATE:	11/16/1999				
UCACE DDO IECT MANAGED.	Mark Lulka	DAY:	SUN M	ON TUE	WED	THUR I	RI SAT
ISACE PROJECT MANAGER:	Mark Luika	WEATHER:	CLEAR	HAZY	CLOUDY	l RAIN	SNOW
PROJECT: Air Force Plant N	lo. 51, Greece, NY	WEATHER.	JULIAN	I I ALI	xx	100,114	
		TEMP:	< 32 F	32 - 50 F	50 - 70 F	7.0 - 85 F	> 85 F
OGDEN JOB NUMBER:	7-7076-0060		xx	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>
CONTRACT: DACWS	51-97-D-0010	WINDS:	CAL	М	MODERAT	E	HIGH xx
, on the same		HUMIDITY:	DR	/ 	MODERAT	E	HUMID
DELIVERY ORDER:	60				хх	<u> </u>	
SUB-CONTRACTORS ON-SITE:	-		<u> </u>		_	_	
Joseph Dorety of Day Engineering	g, P.C.						
EQUIPMENT ON-SITE:							
Sampling trowels and core sampl	lers, inflatable raft, s	ampling bottles					
		_			_		
WORK PERFORMED (INCLUDING	SAMPLING):						
The locations of soil borings wer	e flagged in Areas Fo	our, Five and Six	ζ.				
The following samples were obta	ined in Area Two (the	e wastewater co	llection pon	d discharg	e point to w	etlands): Su	ırface water
(SW2-1, SW2-2 and SW2-3) to be	analyzed for TAL me	tals and cyanid	e, and Sedin	nent (S2-1,	S2-2 and S2	-3) to be	
analyzed for TCL VOC,TAL metal	ls, and cyanide.	_		_	_		
The following samples were obta	ined in Area One (the	e pond that was	formerly us	ed to colle	ct wastewat	er discharg	e from electropla
operations): Sediment (S1-1 and	d S1-3) to be analyze	d for TCL VOC,	TAL metals	and cyanid	e, and Surfa	ce Water	
(SW1-1 and SW1-3) to be analyze	ed for TAL metals, an	d cyanide.					
			 ;	•	_		
Sediment samples were obtained		hand trowels in	Area Two,	and with a	stainless ste	el sedimen	t corer (using no
reactive brass or lexan liners) in	Area One.						
		· ·			_		

(CONTINUATION SHEET)

ROJECT: Air Force Pla	nt No. 51, Greece, NY	DATE:	11/16/1999	
OGDEN JOB NUMBER:	7-7076-0060	PROJECT	DCQCR REPORT NO:	1
	/ITIES (INCLUDING FIELD		5):	
	(S2-02) obtained in Area			
wo trip blanks processed	(TB111699-1 and TB11169	19-2)		
HEALTH AND SAFETY LE				
Level D Personal Protectiv			·	
Personnel and equipment	decontamination			
				
	<u>-</u>			
PROBLEMS ENCOUNTER	ED/CORRECTIVE ACTION	S TAKEN:		
PROBLEMS ENCOUNTER		S TAKEN:		
		S TAKEN:		
No problems encountered		S TAKEN:		
		S TAKEN:		
No problems encountered		S TAKEN:		
No problems encountered		S TAKEN:		
No problems encountered		S TAKEN:		
No problems encountered		S TAKEN:		
No problems encountered SPECIAL NOTES: TOMORROW'S EXPECTA	TIONS:			
No problems encountered SPECIAL NOTES: TOMORROW'S EXPECTA				
No problems encountered SPECIAL NOTES: TOMORROW'S EXPECTA	TIONS:			
No problems encountered SPECIAL NOTES: TOMORROW'S EXPECTA	TIONS:			
No problems encountered SPECIAL NOTES: TOMORROW'S EXPECTA	TIONS:			

PAGE 2 OF 2

PROJECT DCQCR REPORT NO:	2	DATE:	11/17/1999					
USACE PROJECT MANAGER:	Mark Lulka	DAY:	SUN M	ON TUE	WED	THUR	FRI	SAT
USAGE FROSES FMARAGER.	Midik Cuika	WEATHER:	CLEAR	HAZY	CLOU	DY RA	IN	SNOW
PROJECT: Air Force Plant N	lo. 51, Greece, NY	WEATHER:	CLEAR	HAZI	XX	, , , , ,	"\	SHOW
		TEMP:	< 32 F	32 - 50 F	50 - 70	F 70 -	35 F	> 85 F
OGDEN JOB NUMBER:	7-7076-0060		1	xx				
CONTRACT: DACW5	1-97-D-0010	WINDS:	CAL	М	MODER	ATE	l xx	IIGH
		HUMIDITY:	DR	Y	MODER	ATE		UMID
DELIVERY ORDER:	60				xx			
SUB-CONTRACTORS ON-SITE:								
Steven D. Gingrich, Earth Dimension	ons, Inc. (drilling con	tractor)						
	, (,						_
								-
EQUIPMENT ON-SITE:								
Hollow stem auger drilling rig, sam	pling bottles, HNU p	hoto-ionization	meter, Ludiu	m Model 3	survey m	eter with a	lpha	
scintillator and pancake G-M (beta/	gamma) detectors							
WORK PERFORMED (INCLUDING	SAMPLING):							
The following soil boring samples	were obtained in Are	ea Four (area for	merly occup	ied by Buil	ding 16 ar	nd 17): SB4	4-1-7, SE	34-1-9
and SB4-2-2, to be analyzed for TC	CL VOC, TAL metals,	and TCL BN/AE	. The follow	ing soil bo	rings wer	e obtained	in Area	Five (fuel
dispenser/UST area): SB5-1-1, SB5		<u>-</u>						
Soil boring SB4-1 was sampled co	ntinuously with a sp	lit spoon sample	er from grou	nd surface	to a depth	of 20 feet	below	round
surface (bgs). Soil boring SB4-2 w	·		-					
increment. Soil boring SB5-3 was		-						
were sampled at the 3-5 ft bgs and								
equal portions of recovered sample								
placed on ice in a sampler cooler.	Head space screeni	ing with an HNU	photoioniza	tion detect	or was su	bsequently	y perfori	ned
on the samples contained in the so	oil jars to select incr	ements to be pre	ocessed for	laboratory :	analysis.			
Temporary 2 inch diameter monito	oring wells were plac	ed in each of th	e borings SE	34-1, SB4-2	, SB5-1, S	B5-2 and \$	SB5-3, w	ith
well screens placed across the wa	iter table as inferred	from sample ins	spection. Sa	nd pack an	d bentoni	te seal we	re place	d around
and above the well screens during	g auger removal.							
PAGE 1 OF 2				· -	(November 1	1999)		

(CONTINUATION SHEET)

PROJECT: Air Force Plant	t No. 51, Greece, NY	DATE:	11/17/1999	
OGDEN JOB NUMBER:	7-7076-0060	PROJECT	DCQCR REPORT NO:	2
QUALITY CONTROL ACTIVIT		CALIBRATIONS	i):	
HNU zero and span gas calib			-	
Trip blank processed (TB111	1799)			
			•	
	<u> </u>			
•				
	TI C AND ACTRUTICS			
HEALTH AND SAFETY LEVE				
Level D Personal Protective				
Personnel and equipment de				
Tailgate safety meeting with	drining contractors			
	·			
PROBLEMS ENCOUNTERE	DICODDECTIVE ACTIONS	S TAKEN.		
No problems encountered	DICORRECTIVE ACTION	J TARLIN.		
110 problems endountered	<u> </u>			·
	-			
SPECIAL NOTES:				
	was located south of the	e wastewater di	scharge pond (Area One) and east of	the Area Two wetland. It
			is location rather than a previously ide	
of the property adjacent to				
TOMORROW'S EXPECTAT	IONS:			
Complete soil borings in Ai	reas rour and Six.			
Complete soil borings in Ai Meet with local surveyor (J		nple point locat	ions.	
		nple point locat	ions.	
		nple point locat	ions.	
		nple point locat	ions.	
		nple point locat	ions.	
		nple point locat	ions.	

PROJECT DCQCR REPORT NO:	3	DATE:	11/18/1	999						
JSACE PROJECT MANAGER:	Mark Lulka	DAY:	SUN	MON	TUE	WED	THI	1	FRI	SAT
PROJECT: Air Force Plant No	o. 51, Greece, NY	WEATHER:	CLE	\R	HAZY	CLOU	YD	RAIN		SNOW
OGDEN JOB NUMBER:	7-7076-0060	TEMP:	< 32	F 3	2 - 50 F	50 - 7	0 F	70 - 85 1	F	> 85 F
OGDEN JOB NOMBER.	7-7070-0000	WINDS:		CALM	XX	MODER	RATE	T	 н	GH
CONTRACT: DACW51	1-97-D-0010					хх				
DELIVERY ORDER:	60	HUMIDITY:		DRY		MODER			HU	MID
SUB-CONTRACTORS ON-SITE:							_			
Steven D. Gingrich, Earth Dimensi	ons, Inc. (drilling co	ntractor)								
Jim Parker (surveyor)										
WORK PERFORMED (INCLUDING The following soil borings were ob Building 15): SB6-2-2, SB6-2-9, SB and TCL BN/AE. Soil boring SB6-4 and SB6-2 were ground surface (bgs). Soil boring	otained in Area Six (6-3-5, SB6-3-7, SB6 sampled continuou	-4-4, SB6-4-8, and	nd SB4-3	-2, to b	e analyz	zed for To	CL VO	a depti	metals) ft below
was sampled in 5 ft increments, st										
increment (or sub-increment depe	nding on lithology),	, equal portions	of recov	ered sa	mples v	were plac	ed in:	(1) a so	oil jar	
sealed with aluminum foil and cap										
with an HNU photoionization dete		ntly performed o	n the sa	mples o	ontaine	ed in the	soil jar	s to se	lect in	crements
to be processed for laboratory and									•41	
Temporary 2 inch diameter monito										
well screens placed across the wa and above the well screens during		u trom sample i	nspectio	n. Sano	раск а	ina bento	onite se	eai were	= place	aroun
Groundwater samples were obtain		SB4-2 to be an	alvzed fo	or TCL \	/OC TO	L BN/AF	and T	AL met	als.	
	www- i uiiu	. July & to be dil			. 55, 10					
						•				
PAGE 1 OF 2						(November	1999)			
										_

(CONTINUATION SHEET)

GDEN JOB I	NUMBER:	7-7076-0060	PROJECT	DCQCR REPORT NO:	3
	_				
UALITY COI	NTROL ACTIVI	TIES (INCLUDING FIELD	CALIBRATIONS):	
NU zero and	l span gas calil	bration	-		
rip blank pro	ocessed (TB11	1899)		-	
_					
•	_			<u></u>	
JEALTH AND	CAPETVIEW	ELS AND ACTIVITIES:			
	onal Protective			<u>-</u>	
		econtamination			
		n drilling contractors			
-	ty meeting with	rammy conductors			
		Turning conductors			
	ty incoming with	Turning consuctors			
			S TAKEN.		
PROBLEMS I	ENCOUNTERE	D/CORRECTIVE ACTIONS	S TAKEN:		
PROBLEMS I			S TAKEN:		
PROBLEMS I	ENCOUNTERE		S TAKEN:		
PROBLEMS I	ENCOUNTERE		S TAKEN:		
PROBLEMS I	ENCOUNTERE		S TAKEN:		
PROBLEMS I	ENCOUNTERE		S TAKEN:		
PROBLEMS I	ENCOUNTERE encountered		S TAKEN:		
PROBLEMS I No problems	ENCOUNTERE encountered		S TAKEN:		
PROBLEMS I No problems	ENCOUNTERE encountered		S TAKEN:		
PROBLEMS I No problems	ENCOUNTERE encountered		S TAKEN:		
PROBLEMS I No problems	ENCOUNTERE encountered		S TAKEN:		
PROBLEMS I No problems	ENCOUNTERE encountered	D/CORRECTIVE ACTION:	S TAKEN:		
PROBLEMS I No problems SPECIAL NO	ENCOUNTERE encountered	D/CORRECTIVE ACTION:			
PROBLEMS I No problems SPECIAL NO TOMORROW Finish groun	ENCOUNTERE encountered OTES: O'S EXPECTATION	D/CORRECTIVE ACTIONS IONS: Ing (Areas Four and Six),		eurface water sampling (Area Two), a	nd obtain samples
PROBLEMS I No problems SPECIAL NO TOMORROW Finish groun	ENCOUNTERE encountered	D/CORRECTIVE ACTIONS IONS: Ing (Areas Four and Six),		urface water sampling (Area Two), a	nd obtain samples
PROBLEMS I No problems SPECIAL NO TOMORROW Finish groun	ENCOUNTERE encountered OTES: O'S EXPECTATION	D/CORRECTIVE ACTIONS IONS: Ing (Areas Four and Six),		surface water sampling (Area Two), a	nd obtain samples
PROBLEMS I No problems SPECIAL NO TOMORROW Finish groun	ENCOUNTERE encountered OTES: O'S EXPECTATION	D/CORRECTIVE ACTIONS IONS: Ing (Areas Four and Six),		urface water sampling (Area Two), a	nd obtain samples

PAGE 2 OF 2

PROJECT DCQCR REPORT NO:	4	DATE:	11/19/1999				
USACE PROJECT MANAGER:	Mark Luika	DAY:	SUN M	ON TUE	WED	THUR F	RI SAT
	mark Curku	WEATHER:	CLEAR	HAZY	CLOUDY	RAIN	SNOW
PROJECT: Air Force Plant No	o. 51, Greece, NY	HEATHER.	XX	1172	xx		
		TEMP:	< 32 F	32 - 50 F	50 - 70 F	70 - 85 F	> 85 F
OGDEN JOB NUMBER:	7-7076-0060			<u></u>	xx		
CONTRACT: DACW51	-97-D-0 <u>0</u> 10	WINDS:	CAL	М	MODERAT xx	E	HIGH
DELIVERY ORDER:	60	HUMIDITY:	DR	Y	MODERAT XX	E	HUMID
SUB-CONTRACTORS ON-SITE:							
Joseph Dorety of Day Engineering,	P.E. (late PM)					· · ·	
·							
		<u> </u>					
EQUIPMENT ON-SITE:							
Sampling trowels, core samplers, sa	impling bottles, dis	posable bailers,	inflatable ra	ft			
WORK PERSONNER (INC. NEW O	ABBOUNG).			-			
WORK PERFORMED (INCLUDING S Ground water samples were obtained		E 2 and GME 2	to he analyza	nd for Load	TCL VOC	and BAHer ar	d at GWA-3
GW6-2, GW6-3 and GW6-4 to be ana		_			100 400,	iliu FANS, ai	
GHO-2, GHO-3 and GHO-4 to be and	ilyzed for foc voc	, ICE DIVAL AND	TAC INCLAIS				
Sediment and surface water sampli	ng in Area One (S1-		as completed	 d.			<u> </u>
<u> </u>	<u>g / (0</u>	<u> </u>					
A soil sample was obtained in Area	Three (transformer	sump located w	est of the m	ain building) for PCB a	nalysis.	
			_	•			
A sediment sample was obtained in	Area Seven (vehic	le maintenance :	area) to be a	nalyzed for	TCL VOC, T	AL metals,	•
and TCL BN/AE.							
PAGE 1 OF 2				(November 1999	 9)	

(CONTINUATION SHEET)

	t No. 51, Greece, NY	DATE: <u>11/19/1999</u>	
OGDEN JOB NUMBER:	7-7076-0060	PROJECT DCQCR REPORT NO:	4
QUALITY CONTROL ACTIV		CALIBRATIONS):	
HNU zero and span gas cali			
Trip blank processed (TB11		<u> </u>	
Field Blank processed (FB1			* *
Aqueous sample duplicate			
Aqueous MS/MSD obtained	(GW4-3-03)		
HEALTH AND SAFETY LEV			
Level D Personal Protective			
Personnel and equipment of	lecontamination		
	-		
			
PROBLEMS ENCOUNTERS	D/CORRECTIVE ACTION	S TAKEN.	
PROBLEMS ENCOUNTERE			ield Blank FB111999
		S TAKEN: on SW1-2 precluded processing of BN/AE sample for F	ield Blank FB111999.
			ield Blank FB111999.
Collection of an additional			ield Blank FB111999.
Collection of an additional	aqueous sample at location	on SW1-2 precluded processing of BN/AE sample for F	
Collection of an additional SPECIAL NOTES: Strong chemical odor and	aqueous sample at location	on SW1-2 precluded processing of BN/AE sample for F	
Collection of an additional	aqueous sample at location	on SW1-2 precluded processing of BN/AE sample for F	
Collection of an additional SPECIAL NOTES: Strong chemical odor and	aqueous sample at location	on SW1-2 precluded processing of BN/AE sample for F	
SPECIAL NOTES: Strong chemical odor and	aqueous sample at location	on SW1-2 precluded processing of BN/AE sample for F	
SPECIAL NOTES: Strong chemical odor and water sample for TCL VOC	water discoloration during	on SW1-2 precluded processing of BN/AE sample for F	
SPECIAL NOTES: Strong chemical odor and water sample for TCL VOC	water discoloration during	on SW1-2 precluded processing of BN/AE sample for F	
SPECIAL NOTES: Strong chemical odor and water sample for TCL VOC	water discoloration during	on SW1-2 precluded processing of BN/AE sample for F	
SPECIAL NOTES: Strong chemical odor and water sample for TCL VOC	water discoloration during	on SW1-2 precluded processing of BN/AE sample for F	
SPECIAL NOTES: Strong chemical odor and water sample for TCL VOC	water discoloration during	on SW1-2 precluded processing of BN/AE sample for F	
SPECIAL NOTES: Strong chemical odor and water sample for TCL VOC	water discoloration during	on SW1-2 precluded processing of BN/AE sample for F	
SPECIAL NOTES: Strong chemical odor and water sample for TCL VOC	water discoloration during	on SW1-2 precluded processing of BN/AE sample for F	

APPENDIX B SOIL CHARACTERIZATION LOGS

PROJE	CT NUM			60060	PROJECT NAM	E: A	FP 5	1 . Gr	cece	мУ		 _	
BORING	G NUME	ER:	534	-	COORDINATES					DATE	11/30	199	
ELEVAT	TON:				GWL: Depth 13	:47	Oate/Tir	ne 11/15	179/13:30		STARTED:		97
ENGIN	EERVGE	orogizi	: M.	Patel	Depth		Oate/Tir				COMPLET		
DRILLI	NG MET	HODS:	Hal	lewsten Au	yer (cont	ino	spli+1	3くのか	7	PAGE		OF	
C th	BAMPLE TYPE A NO.	BLOWS ON BAMPLER PER (RECOVERY G)		DESCRIPTION			USCS SYMBOL	MEASURED CGNSISTENCY (TSF)	WELL		REMARKS	<u> </u>
								Š	≥ 8	NO CO		PIDIA	enj
-	5-1 2-4	20,13 17,24 22,47	18			. y f	1660	۴				0	
- 4 -	5-2 4-6	58,84 36,69	. "	trace f Grove	el Claminatio	Sill - وسن	(المجمدة ا	SM				0	•
-5-	5-3	34,47	19 15	DTY, Brown little Grave	(lamination	7-514	3547	ML				0	
	S-4	78,82 25,41	m.	Day, Brown little of Gra		- f 5	مما	ML			 	٥	
- 10-	5-5,	55, 63	16	DTY, Dark Some f San	•			ML- SM			8.5	0	
''' ¬	5-6	6,21 41,10%	16	Dry, Multic	•	ravel,	trace	Cm		Y	;	0	
13 -	5-7 14-16	25.19	2 .,	Dry Dank 1 and CLAY (trace & San-	lemses), lia		. 1	ML-				o •	-
.16-	16-18	28,23 27,45	15	Met, Same (Same Imses 4	>		CL- ML			15.0	¥. G	
18-	18-20 5-16	62,34 69,73	17	Same (lamination clay)	, . Si1.	l and	CL-				O	
21-				C	- 0								
-23-											,		
-25-				End of	the Borin	J =+	~?S.0'				-25		
												K	
NOTES							512e =	F Hali	e - c''				
Dr	النمج	<u>,</u> ده.		th Dimens	ions, Fnc.		ó-15'	- 2		· Pre	. Riser		داه"
				1					ا اسعدا				

PROJE	CTNUM	18ER: 7	707	60060	PROJECT NAME:	AFP 51	, G ₂	cece	. 114			
BORIN	G NUME	BER:	5B4-	2	COORDINATES:						30/55	
ELEVA					GWL: Depth. 7.05	Date/Ti	me ")15	157/1410	DATE	STARTI	ED: 11)17	199
		OLOGIS		Patel	Depth	Date/Ti					ETED: II /	
DRILL	NG MET	HODS:	H	allowstem AL	-9 e5				PAGE		OF	
рертн (BAMPLE TYPE & NO.	BLOWS ON BAMPLER PER I	RECOVERY (J)		DESCRIPTION		USCS SYMBOL	MEABURED CONSISTENCY (TSF)	WELL		REMARKS PID	روهس
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	5-1	80, 100/: /5	8	SAND and Pieces of Ro	al - Dark Brown GRAYEL trace ek etc' SILT and CLA	517 W	F			7.5	٥	-
- 10 - - 11 - - 12 - - 13 -	5-2 , 13-15	10,34	•	F Gravel Wet - Dark B. Silt Wet - Dark little my G	Brown of SAN	D, trace AND,		· q·		10.0	-¥m Gu (eeh)	1.90 _ neted) =
NOTE	to the state of th			End of A	he Baring at	I S. oʻ		·				

NOTES: Nome of Drilling co.

Earth Dimensions, Inc.

Elma, NY

Size of Hole - 6"

10'-15': 2" 35 40 PVC SCYCET (0.010" 57.4)

0 -10: 2' set 40 PVC Riser

9.0-15.0: Sand pack. 7.5-9.0: Bentonite.

PROJECT N	MBER: 7	7076	6060	PROJECT NA	ME AFPS	1. G	reece	. 7			
BORING NU	WBER:	534	- 3	COORDINATE					12/01	199	
ELEVATION:				GWL: Depth	12.62 Date/T	ime ויועון	79/11:30	DATE	STARTED:	1111819	5
ENGINEER			Patel	Depth	Data/Ti					ED: 11 1 %	
DRILLING M	ETHODS:	Ho	low stem	Auger.	·			PAGE		OF I	
DEPTH (12) BAMPLE	BLOWS ON BAMPLER PER	RECOVERY (5)		DESCRIPTION		USCS SYMBOL	MEASURED CONSISTENCY (TSF)	WELL		REMARKS	2
- 2 3 4 - 5-7 - 3 4 - 5-7 - 7 8 9 - 13 - 13 - 13 - 13 - 13 - 13 - 13 -	7,17 30,23 3,8 11,12		Dry, Tan trace & 3 Dry, Tan SILT & CL (lonses (i')) Wat Grey Silt	and Red Ay, little (Sand 20 CLAY,	distyellow f grovel grovel) trace	MC LL C	P	CON	6.5 48.5	2 GWL	
NOTES: Nom	e of D	rilliag imem	co. sims, Fac.		512 = af 10-12 - 2 0-16 - 2 8.5 - 20 - 6.5 - 8.5 -	sed sed	1 40 f	vc f ek	screen liser	(0.010	e)(3 <u>r</u>)

THUSEL I NUMBER!	770760060	PROJECT NAME: AF	SI, Greece	e, NY	
BORING NUMBER:	5B5-1	COORDINATES:		DATE 11/3-199	
ELEVATION:		GWL: Depth. 4.27' D	te/Time 11)15/57 / 10:20	DATE STARTED: 11/17/	99
ENGINEER/GEOLOGIS		Depth D	te/Time	DATE COMPLETED: 11) 17	
DRILLING METHODS:	Hollaw Ster	Auger		PAGE) OF	
					<u> </u>
BLOWS ON BAMPLE TYPE & NO. BLOWS ON BAMPLER PER	RECOVERY (3)	DESCRIPTION	USCS SYMBOL MEABURED CONSISTENCY (TSF)	REMARKS PID (P	የ ክ ጋ
-1 - -2 - -3 - 3 - 5 - -4 - 5 - 1 3, 5 - -5 - -7 -		irown and Grey FS.	ДР 50 , СС 4	Cestimated	
-8 -8-10 2,2 -9 -5-2 2,4 -10 -	Silt	vey CLAY, trace	CL	12.0	- - -
NOTES:	rilling co.	the Boring at 12.0	ize of Hale		

2.0 - 12.0 2 sch 40 pre screen (0.016

0.0 - 2.0 2 set 40 pvc Riser 1.2 - 12.0 Sand pack.

0-1.2 - Bentanite



ENVIRONMENTAL AND ENERGY SERVICES

				60060		E AFPSI	, Gre	ere,	Nγ			
	NUME	ER:	5B5	- থ	COORDINATE	3:			DATE	11/30199		
LEVAT					GWL: Depth	7.24 Date/	ime 11)15	199/11:00		STARTED: 11)17197		
NGINE	ENGE	orogiz		Patel	Depth	Date/				DATE COMPLETED: 11) 1719		
RILLIN	IG MET	HODS:	H.	110W Stem	Auger.				PAGE			
BLOWS ON		BLOWS ON BAMPLER PER (0 = 1	RECOVERY (<u>\$</u>)		DESCRIPTION		USCS SYMBOL	MEASURED CONSISTENCY (TSF)	WELL	REMARKS PID (PPm)		
4 - 5 - 6 - 7 -	3- <i>5</i> 5-1	સ, ત્ર	18	Wet. Gra Sand, trai clay & Sar	ce Silt Cle		CL			1.2, 2.0 30 GEL Petrolamora GESTIMETED		
8 - 0 - 2	8-16 S-2	3.4 4,4	₹0	Wet, Oran	ce 5111		CL			12.0		
				End of th	ie isoring	at 12.0						
M's	эмс Ест		יואכז	nsions, Inc	2.		· 2 · 2 · 5	sch 40 sch 40 and P	o PV	c screen (0.0)		

PROJECT NUMBER: 770760060 PROJECT NAME: AFPS!	MISERE, I	N)				
BORING NUMBER: 585-3 COORDINATES:	COORDINATES: DATE 11/30/39					
ELEVATION: GWL: Depth. 7.94 Date/Time	11/19/87/10:40	DATE STARTED: 11/17/99				
ENGINEEF/GEOLOGIST: M. Pate Depth Deta/Time						
DRILLING METHODS: Hollow Stem Auger (continue sompli	ling	DATE COMPLETED: 11 17 9 9 PAGE OF				
BLOWS ON SAMPLE TYPE & NO. BLOWS ON SAMPLER PER (META CONSTRUCTION PLD (862)				
Pieces of word, mats, metal etc. 3-3-7 4,5 4,5 5-7 4-6 5-8 5,1 Same C 6-8 3,4 18 Wet, Greenish Grey CLAY, trace Silt, trace F Sand, trace F Gravel -9-5-5 6,6 Wet, Greenish Grey and Brown CLAY, trace F Gravel Wet, Greenish Grey and Brown CLAY, trace F Gravel Wet, Greenish Grey and Brown CLAY, trace F Gravel Wet, Greenish Grey and Crange CLAY, trace F Gravel Wet, Greenish Grey and Crange CLAY, trace F Gravel Wet, Greenish Grey and Crange CLAY, trace F Gravel Wet, Greenish Grey and Crange CLAY, trace F Gravel Wet, Greenish Grey and Crange CLAY, trace F Gravel Wet, Greenish Grey and Crange CLAY, trace CF Sand	F = L = L	(Oily) 20 7.5 Quit 9 (estimated) 2				

Name of Drilling co.

Earth Dimensions, Inc.

Elma, NY

9.0' - 14.0' . 2' set 40 PVC sereen (0.01. du

7.5-14.0' - Sand pack

5.0 - 7.5' - Bentanite



110020111010111111111111111111111111111	7676	0060	PROJECT NAME:	IFP SI.	Gree	ce , N	λ					
ORING NUMBER:	5B6-	্ব	COORDINATES:				DATE	12/01	197			
LEVATION:			GWL: Depth 15-13	GWL: Depth 15.13 Date/Time 11)19/99/1205				DATE STARTED: 11/18/79				
NGINEER/GEOLOGIS		Patel_	Depth	Date/Tir			DATE	COMPLET	ED: 11)18)7	7		
RILLING METHODS:	Holle	W stem Au	ger (contin	ous Sax	nplin	3)	PAGE	1	OF I			
BAMPLE TYPE & NO. BLOWS ON SAMPLER PER	RECOVERY (Ĭ)		DESCRIPTION ,		USCS SYMBOL	MEASURED CONSISTENCY (TSF)	WELL		REWARKS			
0-2' 3,7	18"	Dark Bank	DU F SAND,	Sahae	F		~~	 -	PID CPP	<u>ر ب</u>		
7, 8 7, 13 7, 13 7, 13 17, 19 4 - 4 - 6 39, 16 5 - 3 11, 18 7 - 5 - 4 8 - 8 - 10 9 - 5 - 5 23, 22 10 - 12 23, 30 11 - 5 - 6 10 - 12 23, 30 11 - 5 - 6 10 - 12 23, 30 25, 22	16	Pieces of Rose Dry, Tan. B. trace clay is Dry, yellow SAND, trace Dry, yellow CLAY, Some Se Dry, Brown	nown SILT and field field field from SILT and Tun SILT from Candana	F SAND	ML- SM ML- CL	a.s		ر فر ا	0 0	•		
12 - 12 - 14 33,41 - 13 - 5-7 79,20 - 14 - 14 - 16 43,95		Moist,	vel cleases s Same 1 mf SAND, 1		52				6	•		
16 - 18 100/1/2 22,38 37,49 18 - 18 - 20 8,31 32,34	"	little of Gr	ish Brown Fm	•				\ (es+1	(GDL meter)			
		End of	the Boring or	20.6								

Earth Dimensions, Inc. Elma, Ny

10-20 - 2 seh 40 pvc screen (0.010 slott) 0-10 - 2 9=1 40 PVE RISET 8-20' - Sandpack 6-8' - Bentonite

CRIM	IG NUMBER: 586-3			· 3	PROJECT NAME: AFPSI, Grecce, A							
LEVA.										12/01/95		
		0.00:		Patel	GWL: Depth. G.55 Date/T			199/12:4		DATE STARTED: 11 18 19		
					Depth	Date/Ti			DATE	DATE COMPLETED: 11/18/2		
HILL	NG MET	HODS	H-II.	W Stem AL	ger (contina	o sam	81:2	<u> </u>	PAGE	OF		
		E .							z			
ft	BAMPLE TYPE & NO.	BLOWS ON BAMPLER PER (0 2)	RECOVERY (3)	·	DESCRIPTION		USCS BYMBOL	MEASURED CONSISTENCY (TSF)	WELL CONSTRUCTION	REMARKS		
	0-2'			Cill Malania	A Gray and 6	2	-	0	- 8	PID CPP		
1 -	5-1	9,6	12	of Gravel, 1	U. Grey and i		l		11	0.5		
<i>₹</i> -		13, 21 48, 73	18	Dry Brawn	- of Rock etc. and Reddish 137 SILT, Some clay	own F	mL-			0.5		
4 - 5 -	4-6' 5-3	20,75	19	me Gravel	ish Brown mf		SW			O		
۔ ء	6-8	43,34	,,	lithe Silt to	race mf Gravel	m Rica	SP			6 0		
7 - 8 -	5-4	31,24	18		Same	4.55	5 P					
∍ -	5-5	37,65	ર૦	little mf Gra	sh Brown mf so	Pode.	SP			4g' 6		
)	10-12	42,17 49,73	18	(cindorly la	yeret 9.0) fm SAND, li		SP			10'		
12 - 3 -	14-			Gravel in A.	ecos of Pook			}				
4-		40,61		,	Same					GDL		
15. -	5-1	67,23								(estimated)		
17	•]]	口引			
18-												
₹0 — -				End of	the Boring o	+ 20.0	 -		<u>. - </u>	20		
· =								1 1				
						^						
							}	`				
4												
OTE							1	1 1		No.		

Earth Dimensions, Inc.

10'-20'. 2 sch 40 pvc screen (0.010'dett)
0'-10'- 2' sch 40 pvc Riset
8-20'- Sandpools

6-8' - Bentonite.

OJECT NUMBER:				AFPSI,	Gree	ice, H				
PING NUMBER:	5B6	- 4	COORDINATES					11/30/		
EVATION:									1118199	
GINEER/GEOLOGI	INEER/GEOLOGIST: M. Patel			Date/Tin			DATE COMPLETED: 11/18/9			
RILLING METHODS:	He	llow stem	Auger combi	ع روسه س	50mel	· 2/2.	PAGE	1	OF I	
BAMPLE TYPE & NO. BLOWS ON BAMPLES PER	RECOVERY (2)		DESCRIPTION		USCS SYMBOL	MEASURED CONSISTENCY (TSF)	WELL		MARKS	
0-2' 3.6 1 - 5-1 7,7 2 - 3-4 3.5	, ,	CIIT and	al. Dark Bri CLAY, trace Rick, word, le	f Samel are etc.	F			<u> </u>	19 (1PH) 0	
3 5-2 100/; 4 14-6 100/; 5 5-3 100/; 6 6-8 3.5	3		Same with ar me with ar m SILT and	ganic	F F			c'	0 1 2	
7 - 5-4 8, 6 8 - 8-10 4, 5 9 - 5-5 22, 31 10 - 10-12 30, 5	15"	Dry, Brown little my Gr Wet	n and Grey m	F SAND,	SP	y'		8' 10'	0.5	
11 - 5-6 62,3 12 - 12-14 12,1 13 - 5-7 19,1 14 - 14-16 20,1	2 18	littleconf G	Same Same Smish Grey F	5î 1 -	SP SP				٥ .	
15 - 5-8 13, 1 14 - 16-18 6, 1 17 - 5-9 32,1	c 15"	some Silt	and clay	,	CL SM-			Y	GIDL (estima	
18-18-2- 12,2		<u> </u>	Same	- 20.b'	- CL			20	0	
			ð							
NOTES: /				Size	af H-		<u> </u>		NC.	
Nome • Earth D	imens	ions, Inc.		10 - 20 0 - ‡ 0	· 2 · 2	sek 4	10 PV	c Scree	m (6.016	