# Caffoe, Todd (DEC)

From: Popham, William < William.Popham@arcadis-us.com>

**Sent:** Tuesday, September 23, 2014 7:26 AM

To:Caffoe, Todd (DEC)Subject:Crosman Update

**Attachments:** Soil Boring results (082914).pdf; Soil Boring Results Table.pdf

Todd,

As you are aware, we recently completed implementation of the "second phase of soil borings" associated with the Soil Boring Work Plan at the Crosman facility. As presented in a previous email, the investigation was completed in accordance with the work plan, with a few minor modifications made necessary by difficult drilling conditions. Beneath the building, we encountered a dense glacial till at an average depth of about 8 ft bgs, therefore, if you recall, in lieu of drilling through the till until reaching the water table, then collecting groundwater samples, we advanced each boring to the vertical limit of the drill rigs that we could operate inside the building (thus groundwater samples were not obtained). This depth varied at each location, but was generally 20 – 25 feet below the surface (approximately 12-17 feet into the till). For samples where screening indicated elevated concentrations may be present, we modified the sampling to include samples from four different intervals (just below the concrete slab; from the overburden material lying on top of the glacial till; from the top interval of the glacial till; and from deeper within the glacial till). During this phase, we also collected samples of the till for geotechnical analysis, to evaluate the integrity of the unit as an aquitard

The results of the chemical analysis are presented on the attached table and figure. As shown on the figure, elevated concentrations of TCE were detected in the vicinity of the sub-slab soil vapor sample that prompted this soil boring investigation. As shown on the table, the results were compared to NYSDEC Part 375 Soil Cleanup Objectives (SCOs) for both Commercial and Residential Uses, and all concentrations detected in the samples collected are "below" the SCOs.

The geotechnical samples that were collected confirmed that the till is a tight formation that is an aquitard, which is not allowing any impacts to migrate downward from any potential overlying source area down to the groundwater. This is also supported by the additional chemical analyses that were performed, where concentrations reduced as we went deeper into the glacial till.

These chemical and geotechnical results of this soil boring investigation appear to show that no further investigation of soil or groundwater is needed at this location. However, as we had previously discussed, prior to implementation of this investigative work, since TCE is present in soils beneath the slab (even though within standards), which may continue to contribute to the already existing elevated sub-slab concentrations of TCE, it is likely that a vapor intrusion mitigation system will be required to address the sub-slab soil vapor results that were previously obtained at this location.

Based on the above, as a follow-up to this work, and in preparation of a potential design of a vapor mitigation system, we propose to perform a one day vacuum test in the vicinity of this localized area on the West side of the building, to determine the approximate "radius of influence", for use in determining the number and location of extraction points, should a system be installed.

I'll follow up with you to discuss these results and next steps forward.

**Thanks** 

Bill

## William B. Popham | Senior Vice President | bill.popham@arcadis-us.com

ARCADIS of New York, Inc. | 295 Woodcliff Drive, Third Floor, Suite 301 | Fairport, New York, 14450 T. 585.662-4022 | M. 585.750.9365 | F. 585.385.4198 <a href="https://www.arcadis-us.com">www.arcadis-us.com</a>

ARCADIS, Imagine the result Please consider the environment before printing this email.

# Table 1 Analytical Summary of Soil Boring Samples

## Vapor Boring Investigation Crosman Corporation East Bloomfield, NY

			Acetone	TCE
NYSDEC Part 375 SCOs		Commercial Use	500,000	200,000
		Industrial Use	1,000,000	400,000
Location	Depth Interval	Interval Description		
SB-1	0.5-1.0	Below slab	31.7 U	18
SB-1	6.0-8.0	Overburden	42.3 U	8.47 U
SB-2	0.5-2.0	Below slab	133	7.80 U
SB-2	6.0-8.0	Overburden	124	7.61 U
SB-3	0.5-1.0	Below slab	40.3 U	218
SB-3	13.0-14.0	Bottom of overburden	4,680 U	10,100
SB-3	16.0-17.0	Top of Glacial Till	44.8 U	55.0
SB-3	23.0-24.0	Glacial Till	42.6 U	214
SB-4	0.5-1.0	Below slab	41.3 U	217
SB-4	7.0-8.0	Bottom of overburden	4,080 U	11,400
SB-4	8.0-9.0	Top of Glacial Till	7,560 U	14,100
SB-4	16.0-16.5	Glacial Till	47.1 U	53.4
SB-5	0.5-1.0	Below slab	44.2 U	9.7
SB-5	8.0-8.8	Bottom of overburden	46.4 U	9.27 U
SB-5	9.5-10.0	Glacial Till	41.4 U	8.6
SB-6	0.5-2.0	Below slab	42.3 U	8.45 U
SB-6	24.0-25.1	Glacial Till	36.2 U	7.24 U

### Notes:

- 1. All results are reported in micrograms per kilogram (μg/kg).
- 2. All depths are presented in feet below top of slab.
- 3. Bold indicates a detected concentration.
- 4. NYSDEC = New York State Department of Environmental Conservation
- 5. SCO = Soil Cleanup Objective
- 6. U = Not detected at the reporting limit.

