The electronic version of this file/report should have the file name:

Type of document . Site Number . Year-Month . File Year-Year or Report name . pdf

_____. File_____.pdf

example: letter . Year-Month . File Year-Year . pdf

___.pdf report. HW. 915014 . 1994-03-01. Engineering - Involgration

example: report . Site Number . Year-Month . Report Name . pdf

Project Site numbers will be proceeded by the following:

Municipal Brownfields - B Superfund - HW Spills - SP ERP - E VCP - V BCP - C

FEB2 0 1996 **ENGINEERING INVESTIGATIONS INACTIVE HAZARDOUS WASTE SITES**

Enter

ENVIRONNY .

12 NYSDEC Copy

CEIVED

ATION

Ð

ئىر. مەربە

PRELIMINARY SITE ASSESSMENT

Chemical Leaman Tank Lines Site No. 915014

> City of Tonawanda **Erie County**



Prepared for:

New York State Department of Environmental Conservation

50 Wolf Road, Albany, New York 12233 Thomas C. Jorling, Commissioner

Division of Hazardous Waste Remediation Michael J. O'Toole, Jr., Director

Bv:

Rust Environment & Infrastructure of New York, Inc. in association with TAMS CONSULTANTS, INC.

ENGINEERING INVESTIGATIONS AT INACTIVE HAZARDOUS WASTE SITES

dy i a m

PRELIMINARY SITE ASSESSMENT

Chemical Leaman Tank Lines Site No 915014

City of Tonawanda Erie County

Prepared for:

New York State Department of Environmental Conservation 50 Wolf Road, Albany, New York 12233 Thomas C. Jorling, Commissioner

Division of Hazardous Waste Remediation Michael J. O'Toole, Jr., Director

Prepared By:

Rust Environment & Infrastructure of New York, Inc. in association with TAMS Consultants, Inc.

March 1994

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Chapt	er		Page
EXEC	UTIVE	SUMM	(ARY iii
<u>1.0</u>	INTRC	DUCT	ION1
2.0	SITE A	SSESS	MENT
	2.1	SITE H	HISTORY
	2.2	SITE 7	OPOGRAPHY9
	2.3	GEOL	OGY
•		2.3.1	Physiography
		2.3.2	Surficial Deposits
		2.3.3	Bedrock
	2.4	HYDR	OGEOLOGY
		2.4.1	Groundwater
	2.5	PROX	IMITY TO POTENTIAL RECEPTORS
		2.5.1	Surface Water
		2.5.2	Population
		2.5.3	Agricultural Land
		2.5.4	Commercial Land
3.0	TASK	DISCU	SSION 14
5.0	3 1	TASK	1 DATA AND RECORDS SEARCH 14
	5.1	3 1 1	Previous Investigations
	20	TASK	A AND 2 GLOPAL WORK DLAN AND SITE SPECIFIC
	5.2	DOCI	A AND 2 - OLODAL WORK PLAIN AND SITE-SPECIFIC
		221	Clobal Work Plan
		3.2.1	Site Specific Decomposite
	22	J.2.2	2 NON INTRUSIVE INVESTIGATIONS
	5.5 2.4	TASK	5 - NON-INTRUSIVE INVESTIGATIONS
	5.4	1 ASK	4 - SUBSURFACE INVESTIGATIONS
		3.4.1	Groundwater Sampling
4.0	RESUL	LTS OF	INVESTIGATION
	4.1	GROU	NDWATER ANALYTICAL RESULTS
	4.2	SITE H	IYDROGEOLOGY
5.0	CONC	LUSIO	NS
6.0	RECON	MMEN	DATION

. در و ا

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure ES-1	Site Location Map iv
Figure ES-2	Site Features Map
Figure ES-3	Former Impoundment System vi
Figure 1	Site Location Map2
Figure 2	Site Features Map
Figure 3	Former Impoundment System
Figure 4	1972 Aerial Photograph Showing Impoundments and Landfill Area
Figure 5	May 1990 Groundwater Contours
Figure 6	Groundwater Contour Map: October 1993

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1	List of Products Typically Cleaned at the Tonawanda Facility
Table 2	Pollutants Prohibited from Discharge to City of Tonawanda Sewers
Table 3	Groundwater Monitoring Data for Selected Parameters
Table 4	Organic Analysis Summary, April 2, 1991
Table 5	Summary Table of Organic Parameters: Groundwater Samples
Table 6	Summary Table of Inorganic Parameters: Groundwater Samples
Table 3 Table 4 Table 5 Table 6	Groundwater Monitoring Data for Selected Parameters

APPENDICES

- Appendix B: List of Documents Cited
- Appendix C: Color Photographs
- Appendix D: USEPA Form 2070-13
- Appendix E: Proposed Updated NYSDEC Registry Form
- Appendix F: Field Sampling Records

Page ii D002520-3 / 35102.500

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

SITE DESCRIPTION

The Chemical Leaman Tank Lines (CLTL) facility is located at 470 Fillmore Avenue (Section 39.14, Block 2, Lot 2) in the City of Tonawanda, Erie County, New York (Figure ES-1). The site, which occupies approximately four acres, has been in operation since 1963 (Figure ES-2). The CLTL Company engages in transport of bulk chemicals from supplier to customer. The company also performs chemical waste hauling services to approved treatment, storage and disposal (TSD) facilities for off-specification chemical products which cannot be used or recycled. After completing chemical transport services, the trucks return to the terminal where the tanks are drained of residuals and washed. Prior to 1978, rinse waters were discharged to a series of three surface settling impoundments for pH adjustment, solids settling and aeration (Figure ES-3). The effluent, less the settleable solids, was then discharged by gravity flow to the City of Tonawanda sanitary sewer system. In 1978, trace levels of priority pollutants were determined to be present in the effluent. CLTL discontinued using the impoundment system in 1978. Two of the surface impoundments were subsequently drained and excavated of sludge materials. These materials were disposed off-site at the Newco (CECOS) landfill in Niagara Falls, New York.

After discontinuing use of the surface impoundments in 1978, two underground storage tanks (1,000 gallons each) were installed to collect discharges which contained priority pollutants. Residual product was drained from the tankers, placed in drums and disposed off-site at Newco (CECOS). Currently, the contents of the storage tanks are periodically pumped and taken to a water treatment facility. All drummed materials are taken to the Chem Met ATSD facility located in Wyndotte, Michigan for disposal.

In 1989, the three lagoons were completely excavated, backfilled and closed out. The Region 9 office of the NYSDEC entered into negotiations with CLTL to implement a post-closure monitoring program. These negotiations were completed in 1991 and resulted in the installation of two additional monitoring wells, one upgradient and one downgradient.

The site visit conducted in 1990 revealed the impoundments to be closed out as reported. Also noted was the large fill area in a field located on the western half of the property. This fill area appeared to contain construction and demolition debris as indicated by concrete chunks, reinforcement rod, wire and conduit protruding through the surface at various locations around the field (Figure ES-2).

SUMMARY OF PRELIMINARY SITE ASSESSMENT

A groundwater monitoring system consisting of four (4) wells (one upgradient and three downgradient) was installed in 1981. Samples collected from these wells in the early 1980's indicated the presence of phenols, iron, lead and manganese at concentrations that exceed New York State water quality standards for Class GA groundwater. In 1981, the United States Geological Survey (USGS) sampled the wells and found phenol in downgradient well B-3 at a concentration of 38 micrograms per liter ($\mu g/1$). Total organic carbon (TOC) was also found at detectable levels.







In 1985, a New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (NYSDEC) Phase I Investigation was conducted at the site by Engineering-Science, Consultants, in association with Dames and Moore. This study included a scoring of the site using the USEPA Hazard Ranking System (HRS). The HRS indicated little or no risk from the site with respect to air migration of materials, flammable or explosive materials, or direct contact with hazardous materials by on-site personnel. However, the HRS did indicate a significant threat to groundwater and surface water and the potential migration of contaminants by these two routes.

In 1987, a USEPA NUS Field Investigation Team (FIT) collected groundwater, surface water/sediment samples, one sewer water sample, soil samples and impoundment sediment samples. Analytical results indicated the following:

- Groundwater was contaminated with chlorobenzene, benzene, phenol, dimethylphenol, a phthalate and cobalt;
- The surface soils were contaminated with polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), toluene, a phthalate and N-nitrosodiphenylamine;
- The impoundment sediment samples were contaminated with PAHS, polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs), phthalates, chlorobenzene, benzene, substituted benzenes, trichloroethane, dichloroethane and other volatile organics;
- Sediments from Ellicott Creek contained PCBs in both upgradient and downgradient samples; and
- The sewer water sample contained phthalates, phenols, trichloroethene, trichloroethane, substituted benzenes, 2-methylnaphthane, chromium and lead.

The cleanout and subsequent closure of the impoundments in 1989, while performed under consent order, was completed without notification of NYSDEC. Cleanup criteria developed by CLTLs consultant did not conform with the current Recommended Soil Cleanup Objectives (RSCOs) developed by NYSDEC in their Technical and Administrative Guidance Memorandum (TAGM) of November 16, 1992 (TAGM HWR-92-4046). A report issued by CLTL's consultant indicated materials from the impoundments were excavated to below the water table. Bottom samples collected from the impoundments prior to the excavation identified 18 milligrams per kilogram (mg/kg) of 1,1,1-trichloroethane, trans-1,2-dichloroethene (0.063 mg/kg), 1,1- dichloroethane (0.031 mg/kg), trichloroethene (77 mg/kg), toluene (39 mg/kg), ethylbenzene (13 mg/kg), xylene (56 mg/kg), naphthalene (97 mg/kg), 2-methylnaphthalene (200 mg/kg), styrene (0.026 mg/kg), di-noctylphthalate (67 mg/kg), 2-butanone (0.098 mg/kg), 4-methyl-2-pentanone (0.011 mg/kg), di-nbutylphthalate (47 mg/kg) and bis (2-ethylhexyl) phthalate (780 mg/kg). Also detected were several metals at elevated levels including arsenic, barium, cadmium, lead and selenium.

Prior to the 1989 cleanout and closure of the impoundments, sludges were analyzed for Extraction Procedure Toxicity (EP-TOX) in November 1980 and July 1982. The analysis indicated that the sludges were not hazardous waste by that criteria. It is not known if the sludges would have "failed"

the current Toxicity Characteristic Leaching Procedure (TCLP) test, which includes analysis for selected volatile organic compounds (VOCs). However, given the history of operations at the site, and the analysis of underlying soils for VOCS, it is possible that the sludges would have "failed" the TCLP if it had been performed. Therefore, the sludge might be characterized as hazardous waste by that test.

In 1991, two additional groundwater wells were installed for the post-closure monitoring program. However, analytical data was not submitted to NYSDEC until December 1993. In December 1993, CLTL provided analytical data from a sampling of all wells conducted on April 2, 1991. The results indicated that well B-5, which was installed as an upgradient well, contained the highest number of contaminants at the greatest concentrations. VOCs found in well B-5 included: benzene (530 $\mu g/1$); ethylbenzene (10 $\mu g/1$); toluene(350 $\mu g/1$); xylenes (54 $\mu g/1$); chlorobenzene (63 $\mu g/1$); vinyl chloride (410 $\mu g/1$); 1,1-dichloroethene (21 $\mu g/1$); 1,2- dichloroethene (670 $\mu g/1$); trichloroethene (710 $\mu g/1$); and tetrachloroethene (1500 $\mu g/1$).

Groundwater sampling was performed for this PSA in October 1993. When the sampling team arrived at the site, they discovered that well B-5 had been covered over and could not be located. The analytical data from the four original wells appeared to confirm the results of previous sampling. One downgradient well contained benzene (740 μ g/1) and chlorobenzene (43 μ g/1). Well B-1, which was intended to be an upgradient well, contained benzene (18 μ g/1), chlorobenzene (310 μ g/1), dichloroethene (10 μ g/1) and vinyl chloride (36 μ g/1).

CONCLUSIONS

Based on the information gathered for this PSA the following conclusions can be made.

Some of the organic chemicals rinsed from tankers cleaned at the facility are classified as U-listed wastes, as defined by 6 NYCRR Part 371.4(d). These include: Benzene (U019); Cresol (U052); Ethyl Acrylate (U113); Formaldehyde (U122); Phenol (U188); Pyridine (U196); Toluene (U220); and o-Toluidine (U328). Some of these compounds (benzene, phenol and toluene) were identified in impoundment sludge, sediment and/or post excavation samples. Impoundment sludges were analyzed for EP-TOX, which does not include criteria for selected VOCs. It is possible that the impoundment sludge would have "failed" the current TCLP analysis since this method includes criteria for selected VOCs which were identified in the sludge samples. As such, the impoundment sludge would be a listed hazardous waste by that test. Nevertheless, the impoundment sludge would be a listed hazardous waste as a result of the disposal of U-listed substances into the impoundments.

Samples collected from site wells indicate that groundwater has been impacted by site operations. Well B-5, installed in the anticipated upgradient direction, was found to contain some of the highest concentrations of VOCs observed on-site. This may indicate that possible contaminant source areas other than the impoundments exist at the site. Alternatively, the data may suggest groundwater mounding in proximity to the impoundments.

RECOMMENDATION

Concentrations of U-listed wastes [benzene (U019) and phenol (U188)] and other organic compounds (vinyl chloride, 1,2-dichloroethene (total), chlorobenzene and 2,4-dimethylphenol) have been identified in groundwater in excess of Class GA Standards. Discharge of this groundwater to Ellicott Creek, a Class B waterway, poses a threat to human health and the environment. Therefore, it is recommended that the NYSDEC consider classifying the Chemical Learnan Tank Lines Site (Site Number 915014) as a "Class 2" site and that a Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study (RJ/FS) be performed to confirm the proper closure of the impoundments, to determine if additional contaminant sources exist on-site, to delineate contaminated groundwater, and to evaluate appropriate methods to remediate soil and groundwater contamination.

1.0 INTRODUCTION

This report, prepared for the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (NYSDEC), presents the results of a Preliminary Site Assessment (State Superfund Standby Contract Work Assignment No. D002520-3) of the Chemical Learnan Tank Lines (site), NYS Site Number 915014, EPA Site Number NYD048386205, located in the City of Tonawanda, Erie County, New York (Figure 1).

Dunn Engineering Company (now Rust Environment & Infrastructure of New York, Inc.), in association with TAMS Consultants, Inc. (TAMS), under contract with the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (NYSDEC), performed this investigation in order to determine if the disposal of hazardous waste as defined by 6 NYCRR Part 371 can be documented, and if so, to compile information to determine if the site poses a significant threat to public health or the environment as a result of the presence of hazardous waste. This information is needed to either classify or delist the site as defined by Article 27, Title 13, of the Environmental Conservation Law (ECL).

In order to achieve the goals of the Preliminary Site Assessment (PSA), a review of the following information was performed:

- History of use;
- Topography;
- Geology and hydrology;
- Demographics of surrounding area;
- Proximity to possible receptors; and
- Previously noted contamination or regulatory actions.

Sources used to obtain the above listed information include the following:

- New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (NYSDEC);
- New York State Department of Health (NYSDOH);
- Aerial photographs;
- Topographic maps;
- Drilling logs for local wells;
- The previous NYSDEC Phase I investigation report; and
- The previous USEPA Region II FIT Site Inspection Report.

The following individuals and agencies were contacted:

- Mr. Mark Mateunas, NYSDEC, Bureau of Hazardous Site Control;
- Mr. Glenn May, NYSDEC, Bureau of Hazardous Site Control (Region 9);
- Mr. Michael Rivara, NYSDOH, Bureau Environmental Exposure Investigation;
- Mr. Art Hollar, Chemical Learnan Tank Lines;
- Mr. David Denk, NYSDEC, Regulatory Affairs;
- Mr. Mark Kendal, NYSDEC, Division of Fish and Wildlife; and
- Mr. Greg Ecker, NYSDEC, Region 9.



Literature sources used to complete this report are listed in Appendix A. Specific documents used in support of the text are listed in Appendix B. On July 19, 1990, a site reconnaissance was performed by Mr. George Moretti (DUNN) and Mr. Martin Derby (TAMS). Site photographs are presented in Appendix C. A site inspection report (USEPA Form 2070-13) is presented in Appendix D. A proposed NYSDEC Inactive Hazardous Waste Disposal Report is presented in Appendix E, whereas field sampling records constitute Appendix F.

2.0 SITE ASSESSMENT

2.1 SITE HISTORY

The Chemical Leaman Tank Lines (CLTL) terminal facility located at 470 Fillmore Avenue (Section 39.14, Block 2, Lot 2) in the City of Tonawanda, New York began operations at the site in 1963. The company is engaged primarily in the transport of industrial chemical products from supplier to customer (Table 1). The company also performs chemical waste hauling services to approved treatment, storage and disposal (TSD) facilities for off-specification chemical products which cannot be used or recycled (Document B-3). Site features are shown in Figure 2.

The CLTL facility dispatches and receives tanker trucks. After completing an assignment, the trucks return to the facility where they are cleaned and prepared for their next hauling assignment. A number of different cleaning processes are used at the facility including hot or cold water flushing, cleaning with caustic solution, solvent cleaning and high temperature steam cleaning. Some of the materials rinsed from the tanker trucks are classified as U-listed wastes, as defined by 6 NYCRR Part 371.4(d). These include: Benzene (U019); Cresol (U052); Ethyl Acrylate (U113); Formaldehyde (U122); Phenol (U188); Pyridine (U196); Toluene (U220); and o-Toluidine (U328) (Document B-6).

From 1963 to 1978, the rinse waters from these cleaning operations were discharged to a series of three surface impoundments. The three impoundments were used for pH adjustment, solids settling and aeration. Sludges accumulating within the impoundments would be a listed hazardous waste as a result of the disposal of U-listed substances into them. Wastewaters were discharged to the City of Tonawanda Wastewater Treatment Plant via the sanitary sewer system (Figure 3). This disposal practice was discontinued in 1978 following negotiations between Chemical Leaman and the NYSDEC.

In 1978, two of the surface impoundments (Nos. 1 and 2) were drained and an estimated 3,200 tons of settled solids (sludge) were excavated and removed off-site for disposal at the Newco (CECOS) landfill located in Niagara Falls, New York. The impoundments were re-excavated into the natural soil underlying the site.

Following the excavation of the sludge from the surface impoundments in 1978, several waste management practices were changed at the terminal facility. Two underground storage tanks (1,000 gallons each) were installed to receive tanker washdown wastewaters containing any of the 65 priority pollutants prohibited from discharge to the City's wastewater treatment plant (Table 2). The storage tanks received wastewater containing heavy metals and organic wastes, respectively. The collected washwaters are transported to Newco (CECOS) by Chemical Leaman for disposal. All drummed waste chemicals are hauled off-site for disposal at the Chem Met TSD facility located in Wyndotte, Michigan.

Table 1

LIST OF PRODUCTS TYPICALLY CLEANED AT TONAWANDA FACILITY

Acetic acid Acrylates Adhesives Alcohols Aluminum sulfate Ammonium nitrate Aniline oil

Benzene (U019) Butyl acetate Butyl acrylate Butyl amine Butyl phenol

Calcium chloride Caustic soda p-Chlorotoluene o-Chlorotoluene Chlorobenzotrifluoride Clay pellets Cresol (U052)

Detergents Dichlorotoluene Diisobutylene Dimethyl formamide

Epichlorohydrin Ethyl acrylate (U113) Ethyl hexyl chloroformate

Fatty acids Fluorosilic acid Formaldehyde (U122) Fuel oil

Glycol

Hydrochloric acid

Latex Lube oil

Methyl esters Methylene diphenyl diisocyanate Mineral spirits Monoglycerides Morpholine Naphtha

Octyl phenol Oil additives

Paint Petroleum oil Petroleum tar Phenol (U188) Phosphoric acid Pine oil Plasticizers Plastics Polyethylene glycol Potassium silicate Pyridine (U196)

Resin Rosin

Silicate of soda Sodium chlorite Sodium hypochlorite Sulfuric acid

Tall oil Tar Toluene (U220) o-Toluidene (U328)

Varnish Vinyl acetate

Zinc ammonium chloride Zinc chloride





Table 2

Pollutants Prohibited from Discharge to **City of Tonawanda Sewers**

- A. Listing of 65 Toxic Pollutants as outlined in "Appendix B"
 - Acenaphthene 1.
 - Acrolein 2.
 - Acrylonitrile 3.
 - Aldrin/Dieldrin 4.
 - Antimony and Compounds ± 5.
 - ÷ 6. Arsenic and Compounds
 - 7. Asbestos
 - 8. Benzene
 - 9. Benzidine
 - *10. Beryllium and Compounds
 - *II. Cadmium and Compounds
 - 12. Carbon Tetrachloride
 - 13. Chlordane (technical mixture and methabolites)
 - 14. Chlorinated Benzenes (other than dichlorobenzenes)
 - 15. Chlorinated Ethanes (including 1,2 dichloroethane, 1,1,1trichloroethane and hexachloroethane)
 - 16. Chloroalkyl Ethers (chloromethyl, chloroethyl and mixed ethers)
 - 17. Chlorinated Naphthalene
 - 18. Chlorinated Phenols (other than those listed elsewhere; includes trichlorophenols and chlorinated cresols)
 - 19. Chloroform
 - 20. 2-Chlorophenol
 - *****21 Chromium and Compounds
 - *22. Copper and Compounds
 - 23.
 - Cyanides DDT and Metabolites 24.
 - Dichlorobenzenes (1,2-1,3 and 1,4 dichlorobenzenes) 25.
 - 26. Dichlorobenzidine
 - 27. Dichloroethylenes (1,1 and 1,2 dichloroethylene)
 - 28. 2,4 Dichlorophenol
 - 29. Dichloropropane and Dichloropropene
- 2,4 Dimethylphenoi 30.
- 31. Dinitrotoluene
- Diphenylhydrazine 32.
- Endosulfan and Metabolites 33.
- Endrin and Metabolites 34.
- 35. Ethylbenzene
- 36. Fluoroanthene
- Haloethers (other than those listed elsewhere; includes 37. chlorophenylphenyl ethers, bromophenylphenyl ether,
 - bis-(dischloroisopropyl) ether, bis-(chloroethoxy) methane and polychlorinated diphenyl ethers)
- Halomethanes (other than those listed elsewhere: in-cludes methylene chloromethylchoride, methylbromide, bromo form, dichlorobromomethane, trichlorofluoro-methane, dichlorodifluromethane)
- 39. Heptachlor and Hetabolites
- 40. Hexachlorobutadiene
- 41. Hexachlorocyclohexane (all isomers)
- 42. Hexachlorocyclopentadiene
- 43. Isophorone
- ÷44. Lead and Compounds
- ¥45. Hercury and Compounds
- '46. Naphthalene
- **∻47**. Nickel and Compounds
- Nitrobenzene 48.
- 49. Nitrophenols (including 2,4 dinitrophenol, dinitrocresol)
- Nitrosamines
- 51.
- 53. Phthalate Esters
- 54. Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCSs) Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons (including benzanthracenes, 55. benzopyrenes, benzofluoroanthene, chrysenes, dibenzanthra-
- cenes, and indemopyrenes) Selenium and Compounds ***56**.
- Silver and Compounds *****57.
- 2,3.7,8 Tetrachlorodibenzo-p-Dioxin (TCDD) 58.
- 59. Tetrachloroethylene
- ≠60. Thallium and Compounds
- 61. Tolucne
- 62. Тохарізеле
- 63. Trichloroethylene
- 64. Vinyl Chloride
- *65. Zine and Compounds

"The term "compounds" includes organic and inorganic compounds.

- 50.
 - Pentachlorophenol
- 52. Phenol

On January 30, 1987, CLTL was issued a consent order through the NYSDEC Division of Solid Waste to close the impoundments. CLTL began the closure process in the fall of 1988 with excavation of the impoundments from September 12 through October 15 (Document B-10). The excavated materials were hauled off site by Hazmat Environmental Group and Bush Trucking to an "appropriate industrial landfill" (Document B-9). In 1989, the Division of Hazardous Site Control requested review of the consent order since the site was listed on the Registry of Inactive Hazardous Waste Sites in New York State. During this review period, CLTL excavated additional material from the impoundments, backfilled them with soil materials excavated from an old trolley grading located on the south side of the property (Document B- 14) and completed closure of the impoundments without NYSDEC oversight (Document B- 15).

DUNN/TAMS conducted a site inspection in July 1990 as part of the data and records search. The field covering the western portion of the site revealed extensive evidence of fill material including construction and demolition debris. In discussions with site personnel, it was alleged that the fill area contained primarily the remains of a Tonawanda department store (JENSS), that burned down in November, 1975. Filling activities in this area are believed to have continued well into 1976. A 1972 aerial photograph (Figure 4) clearly shows the landfill to be active at that time. However, there is no documentation or evidence that this landfill was used for anything other than construction or demolition debris. Also noted during the site visit was the leachate breakout on the southern portion of the property which had been previously observed and sampled (Figure 2).

2.2 SITE TOPOGRAPHY

The site is located at an approximate elevation of 570 feet MSL in the City of Tonawanda, Erie County, New York. The site is a rectangular area bordered on the south by Ellicott Creek; on the west by Route 425, a major thoroughfare; on the north by a small stainless steel fabricating facility; on the east by a drafting facility and a warehouse and on the northeast by the RECRA Research facility. The original ground surface slope is to the south toward Ellicott Creek, but recent filling has created a relatively level surface across the northern two-thirds of the site. There was no standing surface water on the site during the DUNN/TAMS site inspection. In addition, a north-south trending linear depression exists approximately in the center of the site. This depression may be a remnant of an old trolley train line that ran north-south through the site along an elevated embankment, prior to use of the site by CLTL. Most of the embankment has been removed except for approximately 100 feet adjacent to the creek. The southwest corner of the site is low and marshy. Most of the southern border of the site is wooded with large willow trees.

The site is located in Zone C as designated by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) flood zone insurance map (Reference A-2). Zone C includes areas outside the 500 year flood plain.



2.3 GEOLOGY

2.3.1 Physiography

New York State is subdivided into nine distinct physiographic provinces on the basis of relief and geology. The site is located within the Erie-Ontario Lowlands which are characterized as relatively low, flat-lying terrain south of Lake Erie and Lake Ontario. In Erie County, the area within the lowlands typify the topography of an abandoned lake bed with elevations ranging from approximately 570 feet above Mean Sea Level (MSL) to approximately 1000 feet MSL. The site lies at an elevation of approximately 570 feet MSL and the topography in the vicinity of the site slopes gently toward Ellicott Creek, which borders the site to the south.

2.3.2 Surficial Deposits

Unconsolidated deposits of clay, sand and till of Pleistocene (glacial) and Holocene (recent) age underlie the site. These materials consist of glacially derived material deposited during the latter part of the Pleistocene, as well as lacustrine material (clay and silt) deposited during the Holocene. The United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) - Soil Conservation Service has classified the soils at the site as predominantly Udorthents, Smoothed and Urban Land - Niagara Complex (Reference A-3). Udorthent soils formed in deep, man-made cuts or fills characteristic of industrial sites, urban developments or construction sites. Urban Land - Niagara Complex soils consist of nearly level urban land and somewhat poorly drained Niagara soils which formed in silty lake-laid deposits.

Boring logs of four monitoring wells installed at the site in 1981 (wells B-1 through B-4) indicate the soils consist of a mixed fill and topsoil layer over a gray silt layer extending to approximately 8.0 feet deep. A sand layer was encountered in some borings to a maximum depth of 21.5 feet, below which red clay was encountered (Document B-12). A summary of the soil column for each well is listed below.

Soil Type	Well B-1	<u>Well B-2</u>	<u>Well B-3</u>	<u>Well B-4</u>
Mixed Fill/topsoil	0 - 2	0 - 8	0 - 0.3	0 1.5
Brown to gray silt and clay	-	8 - 18	0.3 - 8	1.5 - 8
Brown to gray fine sand	2 - 21.0	-	8 - 21.5	8 - 15
Red Clay	-	18 - 20.5	21.5 - 23.5	15 - 16.5
Bottom of Boring	21.0	20.5	23.5	16.5

2.3.3 Bedrock

The Camillus Shale of the Salina Group underlies the unconsolidated deposits of the site. The Camillus Shale varies from thin-bedded shale to massive mudstone and is colored gray to brownish gray with some beds showing a reddish to greenish tinge. The Camillus Shale is estimated to be 400 feet thick and dips southward at approximately 40 feet per mile (Reference A-6).

In 1981, the USGS determined bedrock at the site to be approximately 35 feet deep. However, a study conducted at the Columbus McKinnon Corporation property, located less than one-half mile west of the site, encountered bedrock at depths of 51-53 feet below the surface (Document B-13). Also, at a nearby NYSDEC Superfund site (i.e., Exolon Corporation), located approximately one-half mile to the north, bedrock was encountered at 78 feet below the surface (Document B-13). Topographically, these sites may have a difference in elevation of ten feet. This seemingly large change in depth to bedrock over a relatively short areal distance could indicate that a false bedrock surface (i.e., a glacial boulder) may have been encountered at the site.

2.4 HYDROGEOLOGY

2.4.1 Groundwater

A shallow water table exists in the sandy soil above the red clay. The depth to groundwater varies seasonally but is typically 3 to 10 feet below ground surface (BGS). Groundwater elevation data collected during and after the impoundment removal operation (Document B-10) appears to show groundwater flowing southwest toward Ellicott Creek. The permeability of the sandy soil is estimated to be approximately 1.0×10^{-3} centimeters per second (cm/sec). These granular deposits of sand encountered beneath the site provide permeable horizons for groundwater flow, whereas lacustrine clays and tills generally inhibit groundwater movement.

The Camillus Shale underlying the site is a very productive aquifer because of the extensive network of joints, fractures and especially solution cavities within the unit. Cavities in the Camillus Shale, that can yield significant quantities of water, were formed by the dissolution of gypsum by groundwater (Reference A-6).

The unconsolidated sediments, consisting of fine sands, silts and clays, may be hydraulically connected to Ellicott Creek. The red clay layer may limit the vertical migration of contaminants from previous facility operations (i.e., surface impoundments). No data exists to show a hydraulic connection between the overburden and the underlying bedrock. Bedrock wells at nearby sites indicate that bedrock may be sufficiently deep with several feet of glacial lacustrine deposits isolating bedrock from the shallow water bearing zone (Document B- 1 3). This would inhibit contaminants from the surface reaching bedrock.

2.5 **PROXIMITY TO POTENTIAL RECEPTORS**

2.5.1 Surface Water

Ellicott Creek, designated as a Class B waterway, borders the site to the south. This classification makes it suitable for primary contact recreation and any other uses, except as a source of drinking water. The nearest wetland to this site is 0.27 miles away. There are several other state-regulated wetlands within a three mile radius of the site (Document B-16). There is a Common Tern nesting area located 1.1 miles from the site along the Niagara River (Document B-16). The Common Tern is a state-designated endangered species. The Bald Eagle and Peregrine Falcon have also been sited near the Niagara River.

2.5.2 Population

Approximately 110,000 persons reside within a 3-mile radius (Document B- 11) with the nearest home located approximately 300 feet south of the site across Ellicott Creek. There are no wells used as a source of drinking water within three miles of the site. Drinking water for the Buffalo/Tonawanda area is supplied from the Niagara River (Reference A-10).

2.5.3 Agricultural Land

A review of topographic maps and aerial photographs, as well as a site inspection, indicated that the City of Tonawanda is a highly urbanized area. No agricultural land is located within three miles of the site.

2.5.4 Commercial Land

The site is bordered on the west by Route 425, a major thoroughfare; on the north by a small stainless steel fabricating facility; on the east by a drafting facility and a warehouse and on the northeast by the RECRA Research facility. The buildings surrounding the site are mostly commercial/industrial facilities.

3.0 TASK DISCUSSION

The information presented herein is based on the results of the data and records search (Task 1) of the State and local agency files. Evaluation of this information initiated development of a Site-Specific Work Plan/Health and Safety Plan (Task 2) and, additionally, implementation of intrusive (Task 4) investigations.

3.1 TASK 1 - DATA AND RECORDS SEARCH

The Data and Records Search indicated that from 1963 to 1978 a series of three surface impoundments were used to treat rinsewaters generated at the site. The rinsewaters were generated from cleaning activities associated with the handling of chemical tankers. In addition, the 1972 aerial photograph (Figure 4) documents the occurrence of landfilling activities in the northwest portion of the site. The 1990 site inspection revealed the presence of a leachate breakout along the southern portion of the property. Based on the documented history of operations at the site and the lack of data for adequate characterization, it was recommended that the site remain classified as "2A" (not enough data to adequately classify the site). Therefore, Tasks 2 through 6 (as outlined in the New York State Superfund Standby Contract) were implemented to complete a PSA for the site.

3.1.1 Previous Investigations

In 1978, the effluent wastewater that was discharged to the municipal sewer system from surface impoundments was analyzed by CLTL as required by the NYSDEC. Total organic carbon and total halogenated organics were detected at 825 milligrams per liter (mg/1) and 150 micrograms per liter (μ g/1) respectively. Following negotiations with the NYSDEC, CLTL excavated accumulated solids in the impoundments, installed chemical storage tanks and modified their waste management practices. The excavated sludge was not analyzed for Extraction Procedure Toxicity (EP-TOX) prior to disposal in the Niagara Falls CECOS landfill. The practice of discharging wastewater containing trace quantities of priority pollutants was discontinued.

In November 1980 and July 1982, sludge samples were collected and analyzed for EP-TOX parameters. No waste constituents were detected in concentrations that would classify the sludges as hazardous (Document B-2).

The USGS collected groundwater samples from each of the four wells (B-1, B-2, B-3 and B-4) in July 1981 and September 1984. The samples were analyzed for organic compounds including priority and non-priority pollutants. Phenol was detected at 38 μ g/l in September 1984, which exceeded the water quality standards for Class GA groundwater in New York State. Several non-priority pollutants were also detected (Document B-1).

On several occasions between 1981 and 1984, water samples were collected from the surface impoundments and upgradient and downgradient monitoring wells by CLTL. These samples were analyzed for selected metals, phenols and total organic carbon (TOC). With the exception of phenols, iron, lead and manganese, all of the constituents analyzed were below the maximum

allowable concentrations for Class GA groundwater in New York State (Table 3). It should also be noted that during several of the sampling efforts, upgradient well B-1 contained higher concentrations of phenols, manganese and total organic carbon (TOC) than downgradient well B-4 (Document B-1).

In 1985, a NYSDEC Phase I Investigation was conducted at the site by Engineering-Science, Consultants, in association with Dames and Moore. This study included a scoring of the site using the EPA Hazard Ranking System (HRS). The HRS indicated little or no risk from the site with respect to air migration of materials, flammable or explosive materials or direct contact with hazardous materials by on-site personnel. However, the HRS did indicate a significant threat to groundwater and surface water, and the potential migration of contaminants by these two routes.

In May 1987, USEPA NUS Corp. FIT 2 collected four groundwater samples, two surface water/sediment samples, one sewer water sample, two soil samples and three impoundment sediment samples (Document B-8). Analytical results indicated the following:

- Groundwater was contaminated with chlorobenzene, benzene, phenol, dimethylphenol, a phthalate and cobalt;
- The surface soils were contaminated with polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), toluene, phthalates and N-nitrosodiphenylamine;
- The impoundment sediment samples were contaminated with PAHs, polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs), phthalates, chlorobenzene, benzene and substituted benzenes, trichloroethane, dichloroethane and other volatile organics;
- Sediments from Ellicott Creek contained PCBs in both upgradient and downgradient samples; and
- The sewer water sample was contaminated with phthalates, phenols, trichloroethane, substituted benzenes, 2-methylnaphthalene, chromium and lead.

In 1989, the impoundments were excavated of accumulated sludges under a consent order. This included excavation of approximately one foot of native soils below the impoundment bottom followed by backfilling. However, this remediation was completed without NYSDEC oversight and therefore the completeness of the removal action cannot be confirmed. In addition, "action levels" established by Chemical Leaman's consultant for soils removal did not conform with present day Recommended Soil Cleanup Objectives (RSCOS) established by NYSDEC in their Technical and Administrative Guidance Memorandum (TAGM) of November 16, 1992 (TAGM HWR-92-4046). The excavation of the impoundments attempted to remove a potential source of the groundwater contamination (Document B-9).

Groundwater Monitoring Data for Selected Parameters CLTL Site*

Chemical Constituent	Class GA Water Std. in NYS	Well B-1 (Upgradient)	Well B-2	4e11 8-3	Well 8-4
September 1982**					
Phenol IOC Iron Lead Manganese	0.001 0.3 0.025 0.3	0.020 28 5.1 <0.005 1.3	0.032 72 5.9 <0.005 0.10	0.025 80 73 0.005 0.91	0.019 41 50 0.007 0.91
<u>May 1982**</u>					
Phenol IOC Iron Lead Manganese	0.001 0.3 0.025 0.3	0.027 32 5.6 0.06 1.2	0.039 79 10.0 0.13 0.22	0.025 72 9.5 <0.03 0.65	0.020 19 300 0.05 1.5
March 1982**					
Phenol TOC Iron Lead Manganese	0.001 0.3 0.025 0.3	0.018 40 2.5 <0.03 2.0	0.014 46 5.6 <0.03 0.09	0.044 54 9.9 0.03 0.63	0.012 16 48 0.04 13
December 1981**					
Phenol IOC Iron - Lead Manganese	0.001 0.3 0.025 0.3	0.016 42 73 <0.03 1.0	0.020 74 28 <0.03 0.99	0.050 51 34 <0.03 0.87	<0.01 14 0.4 <0.03 0.49
<u>May 1987</u> ***					
Phenol Iron Lead Manganese Zinc Chromium Benzene 1,4-dichlorobenzene trans 1,2-dichloroet	0.001 0.3 0.025 0.3 0.5 0.05 BDL 	<0.01 69.4 0.08 2.59 0.339 0.044 <0.1 <0.01 0.280	<0.01 34.3 0.026 0.472 0.089 0.013 <0.420 <0.01 <0.420	0.023 201.0 0.20 4.08 7.41 0.059 0.570 <0.01 <0.500	<0.01 44.5 0.038 1.460 0.160 0.027 <0.500 0.010 <0.500

* = All analytical results are expressed in mg/L unless otherwise indicated. ** = Chemical Leaman Tank Lines 1985. *** = EPA 1987.

BDL = Below detection limit.

-- = No regulatory standard.

Gienn May Report, April 11, 1990 Table Source:

A report issued by CLTL's consultant indicated materials from the impoundments were excavated to below the water table. Bottom samples collected from the impoundments prior to the excavation identified 18 milligrams per kilogram (mg/kg) of 1,1,1 -trichloroethane, trans-1,2- dichloroethene (0.063 mg/kg), 1,1-dichloroethane (0.031 mg/kg), trichloroethene (77 mg/kg), toluene (39 mg/kg), ethylbenzene (13 mg/kg), xylene (56 mg/kg), naphthalene (97 mg/kg), 2- methylnaphthalene (200 mg/kg), styrene (0.026 mg/kg), di-n-octylphthalate (67 mg/kg), 2- butanone (0.098 mg/kg), 4- methyl-2-pentanone (0.011 mg/kg), di-n-butylphthalate (47 mg/kg) and bis (2-ethylhexyl) phthalate (780 mg/kg). Also detected were several metals at elevated levels including arsenic, barium, cadmium, lead and selenium.

In July 1990, a site visit was made as part of the data and records search by a DUNN/TAMS team. No samples were collected, but total organic vapor readings were made in collapsed holes in the landfill area located on the western side of the property. The total organic vapor readings were obtained using an HNU photoionization detector. No readings above background were detected.

Table 4 presents the results of groundwater samples from the five monitoring wells (B-1, B-2, B-3, B-4 and B-5) collected on April 12, 1991. These results were provided by Chemical Learnan at the request of NYSDEC and indicate that well B-5 contained the greatest number and highest concentration of contaminants (Document B-17). The total VOC concentration of 4318 μ g/l at B-5 was an order of magnitude greater than the next highest VOC total (143 μ g/l) in well B-3 which contained benzene (130 μ g/l) and dichlorobenzene (13 μ g/l). Well B-1 contained three compounds including chlorobenzene (14 μ g/l), vinyl chloride (13 μ g/l), and 1,2 dichloroethene (54 μ g/l). Well B-4 contained 16 μ g/l of chlorobenzene.

3.2 TASK A AND 2 - GLOBAL WORK PLAN AND SITE-SPECIFIC DOCUMENTS

3.2.1 Global Work Plan

Task A consisted of the preparation of a global Work Plan, Quality Assurance Project Plan (QAPP) and Master Health and Safety Plan. The project documents discussed information relevant to work planned at all of the nineteen PSA sites. The work plan included:

- A description of the major tasks to be performed;
- A detailed work assignment project schedule with milestones and deliverables;
- A staffing plan; and
- A detailed work assignment budget.

The global QAPP was prepared for the nineteen PSA site investigations. The QAPP provided descriptions, methodologies and Quality Assurance/Quality Control (QA/QC) procedures for the field activities proposed at each of the sites. General sampling and analytical protocol were also discussed.

Table 4

CHEMICAL LEAMAN - TONAMANDA, NEW YORK Organic Amalysis Summary Sample Date: April 2, 1991

(all values in $\mu g/L$)

Compound	B-1	B-2	8-3	B4	B-5	B-6	NYSDEC Class GA Standard
Base/Neutral Extractables				· · · · · · · ·			<u> </u>
1,3-Dichlorobenzene			<10		<10		5
1,4-Dichlorobenzene				<10	20		4.7
1,2-Dichlorobenzene				<10	34		4.7
Naphthalene					<10		10 G
Acid Extractables							
Phenol			<10		<10		ь.
2,4-Dimethylphenol					<10		ъ
2-Methylphenol					<10		ь
4-Methylphenol					<10		ь
Volatiles							
Benzene			130		530		ND
Ethylbenzene					10		5
Toluene					350		5
Total xylenes					54		5 [°]
Chlorobenzene	14		13	16	6'3		5
Vinyl chloride	13	<10 ^ª			410		2
1,1-Dichloroethene					21		5
Total-1,2-Dichloroethene	54				670		5 ^a
Trichlorsethene					710		5
Tetrachloroethene		. —		< 5	1,500		5

02[AD]CE7010:D3661/5005/19

1112

Note: Where "<" precedes a number, that compound was detected below the sample quantitation.

Key:

^aNot detected in duplicate sample B-2D. ^bNYSDEC Class GA standard for phenolic compounds (total phenols) is 1 ppb. ^CApplies to individual isomers.

.

G = Guidance value.

Source: Ecology and Environment, Inc. 1991.

A Master Health and Safety Plan was prepared to provide the general health and safety procedures to be followed by all DUNN employees and subcontractors during site investigation activities. Activity-specific health and safety procedures were also included in the Master Health and Safety Plan.

3.2.2 Site Specific Documents

A site-specific Work Plan, QAPP and Health and Safety Plan (HASP) were developed to guide further investigations at each of the nineteen PSA sites. The site-specific Work Plan described the proposed site-specific activities, objectives, methodology and schedule of implementation for Tasks 3 through 6. The site-specific QAPP provided the analytical program for each site as well and other site-specific information. The site-specific HASP detailed site-specific information, including known or suspected contaminants, health and safety levels of protection required, special monitoring equipment, emergency information and procedures and a route to hospital map. The site-specific Work Plan, QAPP and HASP were prepared as one document and submitted to the NYSDEC for review and approval.

3.3 TASK 3 - NON-INTRUSIVE INVESTIGATIONS

Non-intrusive investigations were not performed as part of this PSA.

3.4 TASK 4 - SUBSURFACE INVESTIGATIONS

3.4.1 Groundwater Sampling

DUNN personnel initiated a groundwater sampling program on October 13, 1993 to characterize overburden groundwater quality at the site. Analytical results would help determine whether the storage and treatment of hazardous waste at the site has adversely impacted the groundwater quality. In addition, groundwater elevation data obtained during the sampling was evaluated to determine the groundwater flow conditions at the site.

The groundwater sampling program consisted of sampling six existing groundwater monitoring wells at the site (Figure 5). However, only five wells were sampled during the program because monitoring well B-5, which was constructed as a flush-mount well, apparently has been paved over. Prior to sampling, a minimum of three well volumes were purged from each monitoring well. Well-dedicated Teflon bailers were used to purge the wells and collect the respective groundwater samples. Field parameter measurements including pH, specific conductance, temperature and turbidity were obtained during purging and recorded on Groundwater Sampling Logs which are presented in Appendix G. Groundwater samples were analyzed by Nytest Environmental, Inc. of Port Washington, New York for the full suite of Target Compound List/Target Analyte List (TCL/TAL) parameters according to Contract Laboratory Program methods from NYSDEC Analytical Services Protocol, December, 1991 (NYSDEC CLP/ASP, 12/91). Analytical results are discussed and summarized in Section 4.0.



4.0 **RESULTS OF INVESTIGATION**

4.1 GROUNDWATER ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Tables 5 and 6 summarize the organic and inorganic analytical results of groundwater samples obtained from site monitoring wells. The tables depict the concentration of the compounds/ analytes detected in groundwater at the respective sampling location. These results were compared to the groundwater standards/guidance values as defined by 6 NYCRR Part 703. Shaded values indicate a sample concentration for a particular constituent that exceeds the corresponding groundwater standard. All groundwater samples were analyzed for the full suite of TCL/TAL parameters.

Table 5 indicates that volatile organic compounds (VOC's) are the most prevalent contaminants detected as seven different VOC's were identified in site groundwater samples. Volatile organic concentrations exceeded New York State groundwater quality standards (6 NYCRR Parts 700-705) in four of the five wells sampled. Only well MW B-6 did not indicate the presence of volatile organic compounds above groundwater standards.

The highest concentration of any individual VOC was encountered in well MW B-3 (duplicate sample) where benzene was detected at 740 μ g/l. The corresponding groundwater standard for this constituent is 0.7 μ g/l. As depicted by the shaded values in the Table 5, benzene is also the most prevalent VOC at the site, having been detected above groundwater standards in four of five monitoring wells. The second most pervasive VOC detected was chlorobenzene. Well MW B-1 contained the greatest number of VOCS. The concentrations of four VOCS, consisting of vinyl chloride (36 μ g/l), total 1,2-dichloroethene (10 μ g/l), benzene (740 μ g/l) and chlorobenzene (43 μ g/l), were detected at concentrations exceeding groundwater standards. However, the highest total VOC concentration was detected in MW B-3 and MW B-3 DUP samples at concentrations of 685 μ g/l and 785 μ g/l respectively. Well MW B-3 is located downgradient of the former impoundments.

Nine semi-volatile organic compounds were detected in groundwater at the site. However, two compounds were detected at concentrations exceeding groundwater standards. Phenol was detected in the MW B-3 duplicate, and 2,4-dimethylphenol in both the primary and duplicate sample from well MW B-3. The reported phenol (MW B-3 DUP: $3 \mu g/1$), and 2,4- dimethylphenol (MW B-3: $2 \mu g/1$; MW B-3 DUP: $1 \mu g/1$) concentrations exceed the NYSDEC groundwater standard of $1 \mu g/1$ for total phenolic compounds. Pesticides/PCBs were not detected in any site groundwater samples.

Table 6 indicates the concentration of inorganic parameters detected in site groundwater samples. Six inorganic parameters were detected above either groundwater standards or guidance values; however, four of these constituents (iron, magnesium, manganese and sodium) are common constituents in groundwater in this area and do not appear to be site related. Antimony was detected above the guidance value ($3 \mu g/1$) in four of the five wells sampled (MW B-1, MW B-2, MW B-3 and MW B-6) while arsenic was detected above groundwater standards in two site wells (MW B-1 and MW B-3).

TABLE 5

Chemical Leaman Tank Lines Site Summary Table of Organic Parameters Groundwater Samples CLP Analytical Results

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						·····	NIVC
Applytos		Groundwator					
Andiyies	MW/ B-1 MW B-2 MW/ B-3 MW/ B-3 (DUD) MW/ B-4 MW/ B-6						Standard*
Volatile Organic		11111 0 2					
Parameters							
T didificioio	1 .		• • •				· · · · ·
Vinyl Chloride	35	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	2.0
1,1 - Dichloroethane	4 J	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	5.0
1.2 - Dichloroethene (total)	10	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	5.0
Benzene	18	2 J	· 650 D	740 D	2 J	ND	0.7
Chlorobenzene	310 D	ND	54 D	64 D	4 J	ND	5.0
Ethylbenzene	ND	ND	2 J	2 J	ND	ND	5.0
Total Volatile TIC's	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	-
Semi-Volatile						•	. •
Organics							•
Naphthalene	2 J	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	10 (gv)
Bis(2 - Ethylhexyl)phthalate	1 J	6 J	ND	ND	1 J	ND .	50.0
2,2' - oxybis(1 - Chloropropane)	ND	20	ND	ND	ND	ND	· -
Isophorone	ND	8J	ND	ND	ND	ND	50 (gv)
2,4 - Dimethylphenol	ND	ND	2 J	1 J	ND	ND	1.0
1,4 - Dichlorobenzene	ND	ND	ND	ND	2 J	ND	4.7
1,2 - Dichlorobenzene	ND	ND	ND .	ND	2 J	ND	4.7
Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	ND	2 J	ND	ND	ND	ND	. 5.0
Phenol	ND	ND	ND	3 J	ND	ND	1.0
Total Semi-volatile TIC's	640	504	765	1367	275	51	-
Pesticides/PCB's							
All Parameters	ND	ND .			ND		0.1
· · · ·		1	L	1			

Concentrations in micrograms per liter (ug/l)

* Standards as defined by 6 NYCRR Part 703 for Class GA groundwater

(gv) - NYSDEC guidance value

Shaded areas indicate concentration exceeds NYS Guidance Values or Standards

ND - Not Detected

B - This compound was also detected in an associated laboratory blank at a similar concentration

J - Indicates estimated concentration

D - Reported concentration from analysis of a diluted sample

TABLE 6

Chemical Leaman Tank Lines Site Summary Table of Inorganic Parameters Groundwater Samples CLP Analytical Results

		NYS					
Andrytes	MW B-1	Standard*					
TAL Metals						11111 00	Sidilduid
Aluminum	1620	1010 J	4660 J	4610 J	2580 J	4690 J	
Antimony	36.1 J	34.1 J	80.2 J	56.9 J	ND	71.6 J	3 (av)
Arsenic	42.9	ND	39.8 J	47.5 J	ND	ND	25
Barium	126 B	345	124 B	131 B	93.1 B	63.8 B	1000
Beryllium	ND	ND	ND	' ND	ND	ND	3 (gv)
Cadmium	ND	ND	ND ·	ND .	ND	ND	10
Calcium	125000	190000	291000	284000	131000	298000	
Chromium	10.6 J	ŅD	11.4 J	ND	ND	10.5 _. J	50
Cobalt	20.3 B	ND	14.5 B	14.5B	ND	ND	
Copper	14 B	ND	21.5 J	17.4 J	ND	15 J	200
Iron	25600	9890	28200	30500	6190	10400	300
Lead	12.6	ND	9.7	10.3	3.9	10.2	25
Magnesium	41200	64200	80900	79300	33900	73800	35000 (gv)
Manganese	1010	107	500	502	398	7.82	300
Mercury	ND	ND	ND	· ND	ND	ND	2.0
Nickel	63.4 J	ND	25.5 J	20.7 J	ND	ND	
Potassium	ND	ND ⁷	9240	9200	ND	2880 B	
Selenium	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	10
Silver	7.5 J	4.3 J	ND	ND .	ND	ND	50
Sodium	184000	254000	223000	222000	226000	64500	20000
Thallium	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	4 (gv)
Vanadium	12.3 B	8 B	22.5 B	22.9 B	11.3 B	18.9 B	
Zinc	39	11 B	56	54.5	24	77.6	300
							•
Misc. Compounds	·						
							,
Total Cyanide			ND			ND	100

Concentrations in micrograms per liter (ug/l)

* Standards as defined by 6 NYCRR Part 703 for Class GA groundwater

(gv) - NYSDEC guidance value

Shaded areas indicate concentration exceeds NYS Guidance Values or Standards

ND - Not Detected

B - Reported value less than CRDL but greater than IDL.

J - Indicates estimated concentration

The concentrations of inorganic constituents found in site groundwater do not appear to be site related. However, the concentrations of organic compounds indicate that the operations at the site have impacted groundwater beneath the site. Additionally, the concentrations of VOCs in groundwater present a potential threat to Ellicott Creek, a Class B waterway.

4.2 SITE HYDROGEOLOGY

Groundwater elevation data obtained during the groundwater sampling program was used to generate an overburden groundwater contour map. As shown in Figure 6, groundwater at the site generally flows toward the south under a hydraulic gradient of approximately 0.006 feet/feet and ultimately discharges into Ellicott Creek.

The analytical results of the April 2, 1991 groundwater samples provided by Chemical Learnan and the groundwater contours presented on Figure 5 suggest that a groundwater mound may exist (or may have existed) in proximity to the impoundments. However, no water level data is known to exist to support mounding to the extent that a component of groundwater flow from the impoundments to well MW B-5 (northeast) exists at the site.


5.0 CONCLUSIONS

- Industrial chemical products defined by 6 NYCRR Part 371.4 (d) as U-listed wastes, were routinely transported by tank trucks that were cleaned and dispatched from this facility.
- The impoundment system was designed to treat the rinse waters generated as a result of truck cleaning operations at the site. Sludges that accumulated in these impoundments would be considered a listed hazardous waste as a result of the disposal of U-listed substances into them.
- Sludge removed from the impoundments and analyzed for EP-TOX during the 1989 closeout did not exceed the EP-TOX regulatory limits.
- It is not known if the sludge would have "failed" the present day TCLP test to characterize a hazardous waste. While it can only be speculated that the sludge in the impoundments was a hazardous waste per the TCLP, it is likely that this was the case.
- The impoundments were excavated under consent order in 1989. However, this remediation was performed without NYSDEC oversight. "Cleanup Action Levels" established for the excavation do not conform to the present day RSCOs established by NYSDEC.
- Groundwater samples collected at the site have shown the presence of organic compounds and metals in excess of groundwater standards in several wells. Organic contaminants exceeding Class GA groundwater standards include vinyl chloride 1,1- dichloroethene, 1,2dichloroethene, benzene, chlorobenzene, ethylbenzene, toluene, trichloroethene, tetrachloroethene, xylene, 1,4-dichlorobenzene and 1,2-dichlorobenzene. Metals exceeding Class GA groundwater standards include antimony, arsenic, iron, manganese, magnesium and sodium.
 - Well MW B-5, thought to be upgradient of the impoundments, contained the greatest number of contaminants at the highest concentrations. Ten volatile compounds and two semi-volatile compounds were found at concentrations exceeding Class GA groundwater standards. Three contaminants including: benzene (530 μ g/1); vinyl chloride (410 μ g/1), and; TCE (710 μ g/1), were present at concentrations that exceed their corresponding TCLP values. This indicates that groundwater extracted from this site may have to be handled as a hazardous waste.
 - The presence of high concentrations of VOCs in well MW B-5 could indicate that a groundwater mound exists around the former impoundments, that contaminant sources other than the impoundments exist at the site, that an off site source exists upgradient of the site, or that some combination of these conditions exists.
- The concentrations of VOCs in groundwater present a potential threat to Ellicott Creek, a Class B waterway.

6.0 **RECOMMENDATION**

The contamination observed in on site wells exceeds Class GA groundwater standards. Discharge of this contaminated groundwater to Ellicott Creek, a Class B waterway which forms the southern boundary of the site, poses a threat to public health and the environment. These contaminants in groundwater appear to be derived from on-site sources. Therefore, it is recommended that the NYSDEC consider classifying the Chemical Learnan Tank Lines site (Site Number 915014) as a "Class 2" site and that a Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study (RI/FS) be performed to confirm the proper closure of the impoundments, to determine if additional contaminant sources exist on-site, to delineate contaminated groundwater and to evaluate appropriate methods to remediate soil and groundwater contamination.

Rust Environment & Infrastructure H:BASKETLEAMAN.RPT - 12/26/95

APPENDIX A

List of References

LIST OF REFERENCES

- A-1 Engineering-Science and Dames & Moore for NYSDEC. Phase I Investigation, Chemical Learnan Tank Lines, January 1986.
- A-2 Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA). Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM), Town of Tonawanda (Panel 360260 0001-0009) revised November 12, 1982.
- A-3 United States Department of Agriculture. Soil Survey of Erie County, New York, 1986.
- A-4 Buehler, Edward, Jr., and Tesmer, Irving, H. eds. Geology of Erie County, New York. Buffalo, New York. Buffalo Society of Natural Sciences Bulletin: Volume 21, No. 3, 1963.
- A-5 U.S. Geological Survey Topographic 7.5 Minute Quadrangle Maps, Buffalo, New York, northwest and Buffalo, New York, northeast, 1965; Tonawanda, New York, west and Tonawanda, New York, east, 1980.
- A-6 La Sala, A.M., Groundwater Resources of the Erie-Niagara Basin, New York, 1986.
- A-7 State of New York Official Compilation of Codes, Rules and Regulation, Department of State, Title 6C.
- A-8 Settig, Marshall, Handbook of Toxic and Hazardous Chemicals and Carcinogens. Park Ridge, New Jersey: Noyles Publications, 1985.
- A-9 Sax, N. Irving, eds. Dangerous Properties of Industrial Materials. New York, New York: Van Nostrand Reinhold Company, 1984.
- A-10 New York State Department of Health, New York State Atlas of Community Water System Sources, 1982.

APPENDIX B

List of Documents Cited

DOCUMENTS CITED

B-1	Groundwater Monitoring Analytical Results, 1981 and 1984.
B-2	Lagoon Sludges Analytical Results, 1980 and 1982.
B-3	Inspection Report, Erie County Department of Environment and Planning, March 1979.
B-4	Chemical Leaman Tank Lines Description of Operations, NYSDEC Phase I Report, 1986.
B-5	History of Waste Management at the Chemical Leaman Tank Lines Facility, NYSDEC Phase I Report, 1986.
B-6	List of Products Handled by Chemical Leaman Tank Lines Facility.
B-7	Interview with Former CLTL Site Manager, NYSDEC Phase I Report, 1986.
B-8	Analytical Results of Samples Collected During NUS FIT Inspection, conducted in May 1987.
B-9	Lagoon Closure Report, June 1990.
B-10	Summary Report Prepared by Glenn M. May, Region 9 NYSDEC, April 1990.
B-11	Area Population Figures, The Buffalo News, January 25, 1991.
B-12	Boring Logs CLTL Wells, August 1981.
B-13	Bedrock Depths at Two Sites Near CLTL.
B-14	Interview with Art Haller, CLTL
B-15	Interview with Glenn May, NYSDEC, Region 9
B-16	Letter from Greg G. Ecker, NYSDEC Region 9, Bureau of Wildlife.
B- 17	Letter from James A. Rakitsky, CLTL, to Mark Mateunas, NYSDEC, Central.

APPENDIX C

Color Photographs

Photo Log Description

Chemical Leaman Tank Lines Site

- 1. Chemical Leaman Tank Lines, north side of main building facing Fillmore Avenue. Direction: Southeast
- 2. Chemical Leaman Tank Lines, west side of main building facing Route 425. Direction: Southeast
- 3. Tanker being washed down in the terminal building (entrance) on west side of building. Direction: Northeast
- 4. Tanker wash down terminal (exit area) on east side of building facing Wales Avenue. Direction: Northwest
- 5. Former lagoon area with pumping well in the middle of the photo. Direction: South
- 6. Former lagoon area with pumping well in the middle of the photo. Direction: South
- Pump house and the drum storage area.
 Direction: West
- 8. Pump house and the drum storage area. Direction: Northwest
- Borrow pit used for the backfilling of the former lagoon area. Located in the southern section of the site by Ellicott Creek.
 Direction: Northeast
- Stained soil location located in the southern section of the site between the filled in area and Ellicott Creek (see the Site Map).
 Direction: South
- 11. Open hole in the filled in field. Direction: Northeast
- 12. The fill area on the west side of property (owned and maintained by Chemical Leaman). Direction: North
- 13. The fill area (foreground) and the Chemical Leaman terminal in the background. Direction: Southeast



Photo No. 1

E

1

I



Photo No. 2



Photo No. 3





l

1

١

Photo No. 5



Photo No. 6



1

Photo No. 7



Photo No. 8



I

1

Photo No. 9



Photo No. 10



1

1

Photo No. 11



Photo No. 12



1

r

Photo No. 13

APPENDIX D

USEPA Form 2070-13

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
EDA				I. IDENTIFICAT		
CFA	POTENTIAL	HAZARDOUS WAST	ESITE	01 STATE	02 SITE NUMBE	R
	SITE INSPEC	TION REPORT		NY	D048386205	
	PART 1-SITE	ELOCATION AND IN	ISPECTION INFORM	ATION		
01SITE NAME AND LOCATION	ive name of site)	02 STREET BOUT				
			-			
Chemical Leaman Tank Lines, Inc.	<u> </u>	1470 Filmore Avenu		INS COLUMITY		
		U4 STATE		UB COUNTY	OT COUNTY	08 CONG
Tonawanda		INY	14150	Erie	CODE 029	DIST 38
		TO TYPE OF OWN	ERSHIP (Check one)	C STATE		
42.00'49.0"N	078 51'33 0FW	E OTHER		_0.31412	D.COUNTY	_E. MUNICIPAL
	10/8 51 53.0 14	<u></u>		······································	G. UNKNOW	
01 DATE OF INSPECTION	·	02 SITE STATUS	03 YEARS OF OPER			<u> </u>
07 / 19 / 90		XACTIVE	1963	N/A		
MONTH DAY YEAR			BEGINNING YEAR	ENDING YEAR		
A EPA	R EPA CO					-
	(Name of firm		_O. WONICIPAL	(Name of firm)	L COM I HAUTOF	
E STATE						 .
, <u> </u>	Dunn Geosci		nto	G. OTHER		<u> </u>
05 CHIEF INSPECTOR	106 TITLE	ANCO/TAMIS CONSUL	07 ORGANIZATION	(Specity)	08 TELEPHONE	NO
George Moretti	Environmenta	al Scientist	Dunn Geoscience E	naineerina Co.	(716)691-3866	3
09 OTHER INSPECTORS	10 TITLE		11 ORGANIZATION		12 TELEPHONE	NO.
Martin Derby	Hydrogeologi	ist	TAMS Consultants, I	Inc.	(716)831-8084	Ļ
				-		
	1					
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	()	
		_ · · ·	1	· · · · ·	()	
			ł			
13 SITE REPRESENTATIVES INTERVIEW	 /ED	14 TITLE	15 ADDRESS		16 TELEPHONE	NO.
					()	
		Maintenance	470 Filmore Avenue		<u> `- '</u>	
Art Haller		Supervisor	Tonawanda, NY 141	50	(716)695-1440) <u> </u>
				······································		
					()	
· · ·						
					()	·.
				<u> </u>	()	
		}				
		<u> </u>	· · · ·		ļ ()	
					0	
17 ACCESS GAINED BY	18 TIME OF IN	SPECTION	19 WEATHER CON	DITIONS		·····
(Check one)						
XPERMISSION	1430		Sunny, clear, windy,	90 degrees Fare	nheit	
WARRANT	<u> </u>		ļ			
			00.05/1- 10	-!		
			UZ UF (Agency/Orga	nizatión)	US TELEPHONE	
MARK MAROUNAS	NEORM	105 AGENCY	INTSUEC		(518)457-0835	
Ted Yen			TAMS Consultants	(201)338-6680		08/ 28/90
						MO. DAY YR
		L		1		1

EPA FORM 2070-13 (7-81)

		POTENTIAL HAZARDOUS WASTE	SITE	LIDENTIFICATION		
EPA		SITE INSPECTION REPORT PART 2 - WASTE INFORMATION		01 STATE NY	DO 48386	
L WASTE STATE, QUA	TITIES, AND CHARACTERISTICS	·			<u> </u>	
01 PHYSICAL STATES (Check all that apply)		02 WASTE QUANTITY AT SITE (Measures of waste quantities	03 WASTE CHARACTERISTICS(Chad	all that apply)		
·		must be independent)	X A TOXIC	H. IGNITABLE		
_ A. SOLID	E. SLURRY		B. CORROSIVE	I. HIGHLY VOLATILE		
B. POWDER, FINES	X F. LIQUID	TONS	C. RADIOACTIVE	J. EXPLOSIVE		
C. SLUDGE	G. GAS		X D. PERSISTENT	K. REACTIVE		
		CUBIC YARDS 743	X E SOLUBLE			
D. OTHER		· (150.000 galions)	E INEECTIOUS			
				M. NOT APPLICABLE		
(Specity)			X & FLAMMABLE			
CATEGORY	SUBSTANCE NAME	01 GROSS AMOUNT		OS COMMENTS		
	SLUDGE	Linknown		Removed wise to desure		
 DLW		linknown	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	All 2 implement losses by		
	SOLVENTS			All 3 treatment lagoons hav	e been baboned. 1413	
	PESTICIDES			notified but not present dur	ing the closure.	
		20.25	55-colleg druge			
<u>x</u>		2023				
	ACIDS			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u>.</u>	
	BASES			Stained spile on the oder of		
4ES			······································		IT EILCOR Creek from the	
V. HAZARDOUS SUBST	ANCES (See Appendix for most frequen	tly cited CAS Numbers)		material.	•	
1 CATEGORY	02 SUBSTANCE NAME	03 CAS NUMBER	04 STORAGE/DISPOSAL METHOD	05 CONCENTRATION	OS MEASURE OF CO	
 xcc	Phanois	108-95-2	Laccors	0.01-0.05	mc/l	
AES	Manganese	7439-98-5	Lanoom	0.09-0.13		
4ES		15438-31-0	Lagoors	2.5.200		
~~~	TOC	Net Applicable	Callors	2.5-300		
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~			Lagoons	Unknown		
		999	Lagoons	14-79	mg/i	
IES	Lead	7439-92-1	Lagoons	0.130	mç/l	
		<u> </u>			ļ	
		(See Attachment A)				
. FEEDSTOCKS (See A	ppendix for CAS Numbers)			_ <u> </u>	······································	
ATEGORY	01 FEEDSTOCK NAME	02 CAS NUMBER	CATEGORY	01 FEEDSTOCK NAME	02 CAS NUMBER	
DS	Not Applicable		FDS			
0S			FDS			
ne	· · ·		FUS			
		-	17.64			

NYSDEC Phase I report on Chemical Learnan Tank Lines prepared by Dames & Moore, 1986.

.

USEAP Site Inspection report prepared by NUS Corp FIT - 2/10/89

EPA FORM 2070-13 (7-81)

f

`.

IV. Hazardou	s Substances (See Appendix fo	r most frequently cit	ed CAS Numbers) Attachmer	nt A	
01 CATEGORY	02 SUBSTANCE NAME	03 CAS NUMBER	04 STORAGE/DISPOSAL METHOD	05 CONCENTRATION	06 MEASURE OF CONCENTRATION
MES	Cobalt	7440-48-4	Surface Impoundments	58	ug/l
SOL	Acetone	67-64-1	Surface Impoundments	2.500-14,000	ug/l
SOL	2-butanone	79-93-3	Surface Impoundments	17-98	ug/kg
SOL	Benzene	71-43-2	Surface Impoundments	570	ug/l
SOL	Toluene	108-88-3	Surface Impoundments	:4-990	ug/kg
SOL	Total xylenes	1330-20-7	Surface Impoundments	75-1000	ug/kg
occ	Chloromethane	74-87-3	Surface Impoundments	~350	ug/kg
ಂದ	Bromomethane	74-96-4	Surface Impoundments	~250	ug/kg
0000	Vinyl chloride	75-01-4	Surface Impoundments	~230	ug/kg
000	1,1-dichlorethane	107-06-2	Surface Impoundments	31	ug/kg
000	trans-1,2-dichloroethene	156-60-5	Surface Impoundments	40	ug/kg
0000	trans-1,2-dichloroethene	156-60-5	Surface Impoundments	280	ug/l
0000	1,1,1-trichloroethane	71-55-8	Surface impoundments	:8-970	ug/kg
000	1,1,1-trichloroethane	71-55-8	Surface Impoundments	130	ug/i
000	Trichloroethene	79-01-6	Surface impoundments	83	ug/l
000	4-methyl-2-pentanone	108-10-1	Surface Impoundments	11	ug/kg
000	Chlorobenzene	108-90-7	Surface Impoundments	18	ug/kg
000	Ethylbenzene	100-41-4	Surface impoundments	27-320	ug/kg
000	Styrene	100-42-5	Surface Impoundments	25-2100	ug/kg
000	Phenoi	108-95-2	Surface Impoundments	23-2900	ug/l
000	1,3-dichloropenzene	571-73-1	Surface Impoundments	~10	ug/I
000	1,4-dichlorobenzene	108-46-7	Surface Impoundments	10	ug/l
occ	1,2-dichloropenzene	95-50-1	Surface Impoundments	~4	ug/l
000	4-methylphenol	106-4-5	Surface Impoundments	~480	ug/i
occ	2.4-dimethylphenol	105-67-9	Surface impoundments	~1-8	uoli
000	Benzoic acid	65-85-0	Surface Impoundments	~7-180	ug/ka
0000	Benzoic acid	65850	Surface Impoundments	56.000	ua/l
0000	1,2,4-Irichlorobenzene	120-82-1	Surface Impoundments	~12,000	ua/ka
0000	Naphthalene	91-20-3	Surface Impoundments	5303-210.000	ug/kg
 occ	2-methylnaphthalene	91-57-8	Surface Impoundments	49000-1,300,000	ug/kg
occ	2-methylnaphthalene	91-57-8	Surface Impoundments	3200	ua/ł
0000	Acenaphthene	83-32-9	Surface Impoundments	~1400	ug/ka
0000	Fluorene	86-73-7	Surface Impoundments	~980	ug/kg
	N-nitrosodiphenylamine	88-30-8	Surface Impoundments	~3700	
0000	Hexachlorobenzene	118-74-1		>3800	ug/kg
	Phenanthrene	85-01-8	Surface impoundments	350-5100	
200	Anthracene	120-12-7	Surface Impoundments	~2500	
000	di-n-butyl phthalate	84-74-2	Surface impoundments	1700-23.000	ug/kg
xcc	di-n-butyl phthalate	84-74-2	Surface impoundments	~120	ug/l
	Fluoranthene	206-44-0	Surface Impoundments	~ 1100-6600	
	Pyrene	129-00-0	Surface impoundments	~310-12 000	
	bis(2-ethylberyi)phthalate	117-81-7		*100 2 000 000	
	bis(2-ethylberyl)phthalate	117-81-7		~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	
 xcc	Chrysene	218-01-9	Surface Impoundments		
xcc	di-n-octvi ohthalate	117-84-0			vyiky .
xcc	di-n-octvi ohthalate	117-84-0			ug/l
xcc	Indeno(1.2.3-cd)ourene	107_70_5			
	Benzola h Decolege	191-24-2	Surface Impoundments	~ 150~3800	ug/kg
<u></u>	Arocior 1248	12672 20 2	Surface Impoundments	5300	
<u>~~</u>		1 - 29-6	Surrace Impoundments	32,000	lug/kg
<u> </u>		11069-82-5	Surface Impoundments	50-27,000	ug/kg

	POTENTIAL HAZARDOUS WASTE SITE		I. IDENTIFI	CATION
EPA	SITE INSPECTION REPORT		01 STATE	02 SITE NUMBE
	PART 3 - DESCRIPTION OF HAZARDO INCIDENTS	US CONDITIONS AND	NY	D048386205
II. HAZARDOUS CONDITIONS AND INCIDENTS	······································			<u> </u>
01 X_ A. GROUNDWATER CONTAMINATION 03 POPULATION POTENTIALLY AFFECTED:0_	02 X_ OBSERVED (DATE:5/12/87) 04 NARRATIVE DESCRIPTION	X POTENTIAL	ALLEGE	D
The potential for groundwater contamination exists of	due to the detection of organic contaminants	in onsite wells.		
USEPA-NUS Corp. FIT 2 sampled the monitoring w	ells on 5/12/87 and found that the groundwat	ter was contaminated v	with benzene	, phenol,
01 X_ B. SURFACE WATER CONTAMINATION 03 POPULATION POTENTIALLY AFFECTED:_1000	02 X_OBSERVED (DATE:5/12/87) 00_04 NARRATIVE DESCRIPTION	X POTENTIAL	ALLEGE	D
The potential exists for surface water contamination	due to groundwater seepage into Ellicott Cre	eek which forms the so	uthern borde	r of the site.
Also, contaminated runon from soils may enter Ellico	ott Creek. This creek is used for recreational	purposes such as boa	ting and fishi	ng.
01 X_C. CONTAMINATION OF AIR	02 X OBSERVED (DATE: 5/12/87)		ALLEGE	
03 POPULATION POTENTIALLY AFFECTED:100	000_ 04 NARRATIVE DESCRIPTION			0
The potential for air contamination exists as the pone	ds were used for evaporation. During cleanin	ig of the tanker trucks,	smali amour	its of chemicals ma
volatinze. Also, containinated solit may become airb	orne during dry periods.			
		Y DOTENTIAL		
03 POPULATION POTENTIALLY AFFECTED:52,0	00_ 04 NARRATIVE DESCRIPTION	X_POTENTIAL	ALLEGE	
The potential exists as many flammable chemicals w	ere detected in the environmental samples.			
D1 X_ E. DIRECT CONTACT D3 POPULATION POTENTIALLY AFFECTED: 16 00		X_POTENTIAL	ALLEGE	D
The potential for direct contact exists. Orange stained	d soils (0.1 acres) were found near the south	ern edge of the site by	Ellicott Cree	k.
Contaminated groundwater and contaminated runoff	soils may enter Ellicott Creek. This is used f	or recreational purpos	es.	· .
1 X_F. CONTAMINATION OF SOIL	02 X_ OBSERVED (DATE:5/12/87)	X_POTENTIAL	ALLEGE	כ
03 AREA POTENTIALLY AFFECTED: 1 (acre)	04 NARRATIVE DESCRIPTION			
lebris. Orange stained soils have been found near th	e southern edge of Ellicott Creek. Soils could	icres) which has been d also be contaminate	tilled in Dy co d by the migr	ation of contamin-
nts in the groundwater. Also, USEPA-NUS FIT 2 col	lected samples that were contaminated with	various PAH's, PCBs,	phthalates, a	and other organics
1 X_ G. DRINKING WATER CONTAMINATION	02 OBSERVED (DATE:))
3 POPULATION POTENTIALLY AFFECTED:_10000	0_ 04 NARRATIVE DESCRIPTION			-
here is little potential for drinking water contaminations are a mouth of Ellicott Creek, 1.4 stroom miles are and the st	on exists. However, the intakes are situated i	near		
io moun of Encou Crook, 1.4 siteam mies away.				
				····
3 WORKERS POTENTIALLY AFFECTED:	04 NARRATIVE DESCRIPTION	A_POTENTIAL	_ ALLEGEL	,
he potential for worker exposure/injury exists since t	he soils are contaminated with various PAH'	s, PCBs, phthalates, a	nd other vola	tile organics.
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
1 X_1, POPULATION EXPOSURE/INJURY 3 POPULATION POTENTIALLY AFFECTED: 10000		X_POTENTIAL	ALLEGED)
ome potential for population exposure exists if the co	ontaminants migrate into Elicott Creek which	h is used for recreation	nal purposes.	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			<u></u>
PA FORM 2070-13(7-81)				

	POTENTIAL HAZARDOUS WASTE SITE	I. IDENTIFICATION		
EFA	SITE INSPECTION REPORT	01 STATE	02 SITE NUMBE	
	PART 3 - DESCRIPTION OF HAZARDOU AND INCIDENTS	NY	D048386205	
1. HAZARDOUS CONDITIONS AND INCIDENTS (Continued)				
01 X J. DAMAGE TO FLORA	02 X OBSERVED (DATE: 5/12/87)		ALLEGE	Ð
		190013.		
14 NARRATIVE DESCRIPTION (Include name(s) of species)	02_OBSERVED (DATE:)	X POTENTIAL	ALLEGE	Đ
The potential for damage to fauna exists. Bioaccumulation of contaminants	in fish may occur.			
The Hallaeetus leucoceph and the Falco peregrenes were sited along the N	iagara River.			
A Stenra hirundo nesting area is near the Niagara intakes. This species is li	isted as a NYS endangered species.			
D1 X L CONTAMINATION OF FOOD CHAIN	02_0BSERVED (DATE:)	POTENTIAL	ALLEGE	D
04 NARRATIVE DESCRIPTION				
The potential for food chain contamination exists since Ellicott Creek suppor	ts a recreational fishery.		•	
•				
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
01 X M. UNSTABLE CONTAINMENT OF WASTES	02 X OBSERVED (DATE: 1985,1987)	POTENTIAL	ALLEGI	ED
The settling ponds were observed to be unlined by the Dames & Moore site	inspection team in 1985 and the NUS Corp.	FIT in 1987.		
lowever, the settling ponds were backfilled in 1989 and are not presently u	sed.		·	
DI X N. DAMAGE TO OFFSITE PROPERTY	02 OBSERVED (DATE:)		ALLEGI	ED
04 NARRATIVE DESCRIPTION				
No damage to offsite property was observed during the site inspection. How	vever, the potential exists since surface soils	may become airbor	ne	
or brought off site by vehicular traffic.				
or brought off site by vehicular traffic.				
DI X O. CONTAMINATION OF SEWERS, STORM DRAINS, OR WWTPs	02 X OBSERVED (DATE: 5/12/87)		ALLEGI	ED
or brought off site by vehicular traffic. D1 X O. CONTAMINATION OF SEWERS, STORM DRAINS, OR WWTPs D4 NARRATIVE DESCRIPTION NUS FIT 2 site inspection indicated contamination of sewers. The sewer sa	02 X OBSERVED (DATE: 5/12/87)	POTENTIAL	ALLEG	ED
or brought off site by vehicular traffic. D1 X. O. CONTAMINATION OF SEWERS, STORM DRAINS, OR WWTPs D4 NARRATIVE DESCRIPTION NUS FIT 2 site inspection indicated contamination of sewers. The sewer sa oluene, benzoic acid, phthalates, trichloroethene, trichloroethane and 2-me	02 X OBSERVED (DATE: 5/12/87) mples contained lead, chromium, phenols, thylnaphthalene.	POTENTIAL	ALLEG	ED
or brought off site by vehicular traffic. D1 X O. CONTAMINATION OF SEWERS, STORM DRAINS, OR WWTPs D4 NARRATIVE DESCRIPTION NUS FIT 2 site inspection indicated contamination of sewers. The sewer sa oluene, benzoic acid, phthalates, trichloroethene, trichloroethane and 2-me	02 X OBSERVED (DATE: 5/12/87) Imples contained lead, chromium, phenois, thylnaphthalene.	POTENTIAL	ALLEGI	ED
or brought off site by vehicular traffic. D1 X O. CONTAMINATION OF SEWERS, STORM DRAINS, OR WWTPs D4 NARRATIVE DESCRIPTION NUS FIT 2 site inspection indicated contamination of sewers. The sewer sa oluene, benzoic acid, phthalates, trichloroethene, trichloroethane and 2-me D1 P. ILLEGAL/UNAUTHORIZED DUMPING	02 X OBSERVED (DATE: 5/12/87) mples contained lead, chromium, phenols, thylnaphthalene 02OBSERVED (DATE:)	POTENTIAL	ALLEG	ED
or brought off site by vehicular traffic. D1 X O. CONTAMINATION OF SEWERS, STORM DRAINS, OR WWTPs D4 NARRATIVE DESCRIPTION NUS FIT 2 site inspection indicated contamination of sewers. The sewer sa oluene, benzoic acid, phthalates, trichloroethene, trichloroethane and 2-me D1 P. ILLEGAL/UNAUTHORIZED DUMPING D4 NARRATIVE DESCRIPTION	02 X OBSERVED (DATE: 5/12/87) Imples contained lead, chromium, phenols, thylnaphthalene 02OBSERVED (DATE:)	POTENTIAL	ALLEG	ED
or brought off site by vehicular traffic. D1 X O. CONTAMINATION OF SEWERS, STORM DRAINS, OR WWTPs D4 NARRATIVE DESCRIPTION NUS FIT 2 site inspection indicated contamination of sewers. The sewer sa oluene, benzoic acid, phthalates, trichloroethene, trichloroethane and 2-me D1 P. ILLEGAL/UNAUTHORIZED DUMPING D4 NARRATIVE DESCRIPTION No evidence of illegal dumping was observed during the site Inspection.	02 X OBSERVED (DATE: 5/12/87) Imples contained lead, chromium, phenols, thyInaphthalene 02OBSERVED (DATE:)	POTENTIAL	ALLEG	ED ED
or brought off site by vehicular traffic. D1 X O. CONTAMINATION OF SEWERS, STORM DRAINS, OR WWTPs D4 NARRATIVE DESCRIPTION NUS FIT 2 site inspection indicated contamination of sewers. The sewer sa oluene, benzoic acid, phthalates, trichloroethene, trichloroethane and 2-me D1 P. ILLEGAL/UNAUTHORIZED DUMPING D4 NARRATIVE DESCRIPTION No evidence of illegal dumping was observed during the site inspection.	02 X OBSERVED (DATE: 5/12/87) mples contained lead, chromium, phenols, thylnaphthalene 02OBSERVED (DATE:)	POTENTIAL	ALLEG	ED ED
or brought off site by vehicular traffic. D1 X O. CONTAMINATION OF SEWERS, STORM DRAINS, OR WWTPS D4 NARRATIVE DESCRIPTION NUS FIT 2 site inspection indicated contamination of sewers. The sewer sa oluene, benzoic acid, phthalates, trichloroethene, trichloroethane and 2-me D1 P. ILLEGAL/UNAUTHORIZED DUMPING D4 NARRATIVE DESCRIPTION No evidence of illegal dumping was observed during the site inspection.	02 X OBSERVED (DATE: 5/12/87) Imples contained lead, chromium, phenois, thylnaphthalene 02OBSERVED (DATE:)	POTENTIAL	ALLEG	ED
or brought off site by vehicular traffic. 201 X O. CONTAMINATION OF SEWERS, STORM DRAINS, OR WWTPs 204 NARRATIVE DESCRIPTION NUS FIT 2 site inspection indicated contamination of sewers. The sewer sa oluene, benzoic acid, phthalates, trichloroethene, trichloroethane and 2-me 201P. ILLEGAL/UNAUTHORIZED DUMPING 201 NARRATIVE DESCRIPTION No evidence of illegal dumping was observed during the site inspection. 205 DESCRIPTION OF ANY OTHER KNOWN, POTENTIAL, OR ALLEGED	02 X OBSERVED (DATE: 5/12/87) Imples contained lead, chromium, phenols, thylnaphthalene 02OBSERVED (DATE:)	POTENTIAL	ALLEG	ED
DI X O. CONTAMINATION OF SEWERS, STORM DRAINS, OR WWTPs D4 NARRATIVE DESCRIPTION NUS FIT 2 site inspection indicated contamination of sewers. The sewer sa oluene, benzoic acid, phthalates, trichloroethene, trichloroethane and 2-me D1 P. ILLEGAL/UNAUTHORIZED DUMPING D4 NARRATIVE DESCRIPTION No evidence of illegal dumping was observed during the site inspection. D5 DESCRIPTION OF ANY OTHER KNOWN, POTENTIAL, OR ALLEGED HAZARDS	02 X OBSERVED (DATE: 5/12/87) Imples contained lead, chromium, phenols, thyInaphthalene 02OBSERVED (DATE:)	POTENTIAL	ALLEG	ED
or brought off site by vehicular traffic. D1 X O. CONTAMINATION OF SEWERS, STORM DRAINS, OR WWTPS D4 NARRATIVE DESCRIPTION NUS FIT 2 site inspection indicated contamination of sewers. The sewer sa oluene, benzoic acid, phthalates, trichloroethene, trichloroethane and 2-me D1 P. ILLEGAL/UNAUTHORIZED DUMPING D4 NARRATIVE DESCRIPTION No evidence of illegal dumping was observed during the site inspection. D5 DESCRIPTION OF ANY OTHER KNOWN, POTENTIAL, OR ALLEGED HAZARDS	02 X OBSERVED (DATE: 5/12/87) mples contained lead, chromium, phenols, thylnaphthalene 02OBSERVED (DATE:)	POTENTIAL	ALLEG	ED
or brought off site by vehicular traffic. D1 X O. CONTAMINATION OF SEWERS, STORM DRAINS, OR WWTPs D4 NARRATIVE DESCRIPTION NUS FIT 2 site inspection indicated contamination of sewers. The sewer sa oluene, benzoic acid, phthalates, trichloroethene, trichloroethane and 2-me D1 P. ILLEGAL/UNAUTHORIZED DUMPING D4 NARRATIVE DESCRIPTION No evidence of illegal dumping was observed during the site inspection. D5 DESCRIPTION OF ANY OTHER KNOWN, POTENTIAL, OR ALLEGED HAZARDS	02 X OBSERVED (DATE: 5/12/87) Imples contained lead, chromium, phenols, thyInaphthalene 02OBSERVED (DATE:)	POTENTIAL	ALLEG	ED
D1 X O. CONTAMINATION OF SEWERS, STORM DRAINS, OR WWTPs D4 NARRATIVE DESCRIPTION NUS FIT 2 site inspection indicated contamination of sewers. The sewer sa oluene, benzoic acid, phthalates, trichloroethene, trichloroethane and 2-me D1P. ILLEGAL/UNAUTHORIZED DUMPING D4 NARRATIVE DESCRIPTION No evidence of illegal dumping was observed during the site inspection. D5 DESCRIPTION OF ANY OTHER KNOWN, POTENTIAL, OR ALLEGED HAZARDS	02 X OBSERVED (DATE: 5/12/87) Imples contained lead, chromium, phenols, thyInaphthalene 02OBSERVED (DATE:)	POTENTIAL	ALLEG	ED
Dr brought off site by vehicular traffic. D1 X O. CONTAMINATION OF SEWERS, STORM DRAINS, OR WWTPS D4 NARRATIVE DESCRIPTION NUS FIT 2 site inspection indicated contamination of sewers. The sewer sa oluene, benzoic acid, phthalates, trichloroethene, trichloroethane and 2-me D1 P. ILLEGAL/UNAUTHORIZED DUMPING D4 NARRATIVE DESCRIPTION No evidence of illegal dumping was observed during the site inspection. D5 DESCRIPTION OF ANY OTHER KNOWN, POTENTIAL, OR ALLEGED HAZARDS II. TOTAL POPULATION POTENTIALLY AFFECTED: 100,000 V. COMMENTS	02 X OBSERVED (DATE: 5/12/87) mples contained lead, chromium, phenois, thylnaphthalene 02OBSERVED (DATE:)	POTENTIAL	ALLEG	ED
DI X O. CONTAMINATION OF SEWERS, STORM DRAINS, OR WWTPs 24 NARRATIVE DESCRIPTION NUS FIT 2 site inspection indicated contamination of sewers. The sewer sa oluene, benzoic acid, phthalates, trichloroethene, trichloroethane and 2-me 21P. ILLEGAL/UNAUTHORIZED DUMPING 24 NARRATIVE DESCRIPTION No evidence of illegal dumping was observed during the site inspection. 25 DESCRIPTION OF ANY OTHER KNOWN, POTENTIAL, OR ALLEGED 42ARDS 11. TOTAL POPULATION POTENTIALLY AFFECTED: 100,000 V. COMMENTS	02 X OBSERVED (DATE: 5/12/87) Imples contained lead, chromium, phenols, thyInaphthalene 02OBSERVED (DATE:)	POTENTIAL	ALLEG	ED
Dr brought off site by vehicular traffic. D1 X O. CONTAMINATION OF SEWERS, STORM DRAINS, OR WWTPS D4 NARRATIVE DESCRIPTION NUS FIT 2 site inspection indicated contamination of sewers. The sewer sa oluene, benzoic acid, phthalates, trichloroethene, trichloroethane and 2-me D1P. ILLEGAL/UNAUTHORIZED DUMPING D4 NARRATIVE DESCRIPTION No evidence of illegal dumping was observed during the site inspection. D5 DESCRIPTION OF ANY OTHER KNOWN, POTENTIAL, OR ALLEGED HAZARDS II. TOTAL POPULATION POTENTIALLY AFFECTED: 100,000 V. COMMENTS V. SOURCES OF INFORMATION (Cite specific references, e.g., state files,	02 X OBSERVED (DATE: 5/12/87) mples contained lead, chromium, phenols, thyInaphthalene 02OBSERVED (DATE:) Sample analysis, reports)	POTENTIAL	ALLEG	ED
Dr brought off site by vehicular traffic. D1 X O. CONTAMINATION OF SEWERS, STORM DRAINS, OR WWTPs D4 NARRATIVE DESCRIPTION NUS FIT 2 site inspection indicated contamination of sewers. The sewer sa oluene, benzoic acid, phthalates, trichloroethene, trichloroethane and 2-me D1 P. ILLEGAL/UNAUTHORIZED DUMPING D4 NARRATIVE DESCRIPTION No evidence of illegal dumping was observed during the site inspection. D5 DESCRIPTION OF ANY OTHER KNOWN, POTENTIAL, OR ALLEGED HAZARDS II. TOTAL POPULATION POTENTIALLY AFFECTED: 100,000 V. COMMENTS V. SOURCES OF INFORMATION (Cite specific references, e.g., state files, Site reconnaissance conducted by Dunn Geoscience Enginneering Co/TAM	02 X OBSERVED (DATE: 5/12/87) Imples contained lead, chromium, phenols, thyInaphthalene 02OBSERVED (DATE:) 02OBSERVED (DATE:) Sample analysis, reports) AS Consultants, Inc. on 7/19/90	POTENTIAL	ALLEG	ED
Dr brought off site by vehicular traffic. D1 X O. CONTAMINATION OF SEWERS, STORM DRAINS, OR WWTPS D4 NARRATIVE DESCRIPTION NUS FIT 2 site inspection indicated contamination of sewers. The sewer sa oluene, benzoic acid, phthalates, trichloroethene, trichloroethane and 2-me D1P. ILLEGAL/UNAUTHORIZED DUMPING D4 NARRATIVE DESCRIPTION No evidence of illegal dumping was observed during the site inspection. D5 DESCRIPTION OF ANY OTHER KNOWN, POTENTIAL, OR ALLEGED 1AZARDS II. TOTAL POPULATION POTENTIALLY AFFECTED: 100,000 V. COMMENTS V. SOURCES OF INFORMATION (Cite specific references, e.g., state files, Site reconnaissance conducted by Dunn Geoscience Enginneering Co/TAN	02 X OBSERVED (DATE: 5/12/87) Imples contained lead, chromium, phenols, thyInaphthalene 02OBSERVED (DATE:) Sample analysia, reports) AS Consultants, Inc. on 7/19/90	POTENTIAL	ALLEG	ED

.

.

,

Z

	POTENTIAL HAZARDOUS WASTE SITE		1. IDENTIFICATION		
EPA	SITE INSPECTION		01 STATE	02 SITE NUMBER	
	PART 4-PERMIT AN	DDESCRIPTIVE	NY	D048386205	
	INFORMATION				
II. PERMIT INFORMATION	02 PERMIT NUMBER	BIOS DATE ISSUED		105 COMMENTS	
(Check all that apply)				CO COMMENTS	
A. NPDES	 				
_B. UIC					
C. AIR					
_D. RCRA					
_E. RCRA INTERIM STATUS					
_F. SPCC PLAN		<u> </u>			
_G. STATE(Specify)					
(_H. LOCAL(Specify)Tonawanda	363	1/87	10/87	Discharge of volatile-free	
I. OTHER(Specify)				effluent into the sanitary	
_J. NONE				sewer system.	
II. SITE DESCRIPTION					
Check all that apply)	V2 AMOUNT	UNIT OF MEASURE	(Check all that apply)	US OTHER	
LA. SURFACE IMPOUNDMENT	3.200	Tons	_A. INCINERATION	X A. BUILDINGS ON SITE	
_ B. PILES			B. UNDERGROUND INJECTION		
C. C. DRUMS, ABOVE GROUND	15-20	Drums	X_C. CHEMICAL/PHYSICAL		
D. TANK, ABOVE GROUND			D. BIOLOGICAL	06 AREA OF SITE	
 (_ E. TANK,BELOW GROUND	2.000	Gallons	E. WASTE OIL PROCESSING		
_ F. LANDFILL			F. SOLVENT RECOVERY	1(Acres)	
G. LANDFARM			G. OTHER RECYCLING/RECOVERY	(
OTHER			(Specify)		
(Specify)					
aken away for proper disposal.	ja wastewater treatme	nt plant. Sludge material pr	oducod by tho pro-treatment facility is loa	ided in large containers and	
	book on c'				
A. ADEQUATE, SECURE	B. MODERATE	_C. INADEQUATE.POOR	D. INSECURE, UNSOUND. DANGERO	US	
		20 570			
2 DESCRIPTION OF DRUMS, DIF	LINER, BARRIE	no, E10.			
ACCESSIBILITY					
1 WASTE EASILY ACCESSIBLE:		_YES	X_NO	· · · ·	
2 COMMENTS he lagoons were excavated and b	ackfilled in 1988.				
I. SOURCES OF INFORMATION	Cite specific reference	es, e.g., state files, sample a	nalysis, reports)		
ame Geoscience Engineering Co. ames & Moore site inspection repo ISEPA-NUS Corp. FIT 2 site inspe	or AMS Consultants, In ort - 1985 Inction report - 1987	ic. sile reconnaissance – 7/	19/90		
PA FORM 2070-13(7-81)	·				

I

	POTENTIAL HAZ	ARDOUS WASTE SI	TE	I. IDENTIFICATIO	DN
FPA					
	SITE INSPECTIO	N REPORT		01 STATE	02 SITE NUMBER
	PART 5-WATER,	DEMOGRAPHIC, AI	D ENVIRONMENTAL	NY	D048386205
IL DRINKING WATER SUPPLY					
01 TYPE OF DRINKING SUPPLY		02 STATUS			03 DISTANCE TO SITE
(Check as applicable)					
SURFACE	WELL	ENDANGERED	AFFECTED	MONITORED	
CCMMUNITY A. X	B	A	В	C	A>3_(mi)
	D		E	<u> </u>	B(mi)
01 GROUNDWATER USE IN VICINITY	(Check one)		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
A. ONLY SOURCE FOR DRINKING	B. DRINKING		_X_C. COMMERCI	AL, INDUSTRIAL,	D. NOT USED,
	(Other sources	available)	IRRIGATION	•	UNUSEABLE
	COMMERCIAL	, INDUSTRIAL,	(Limited other so	ources available)	
	INHIGATION (No other water	courses available)			
		Sources available)		·····	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
22 POPULATON SERVED BY GROUND		03 DISTANCE TO	NEAREST DRINKING	WATER WELL	_>3(mi)
AUL- IN TO GROUNDWATER	FLOW	GROUNDWATER		VIELD OF	US SOLE SOURCE AQU
			OFCONCERN		YES XNO
3 to 10 _(ft)	South		3 to 10 (ft)	1.730.000(apd)	
9 DESCRIPTION OF WELLS (Including	useage, depth, and	location relative to p	opulation and building	gs)	
There are no drinking wells in the vicinity	y of the site. There a	re four monitoring we	ells on-site that are sa	mpled periodically.	
Nso, there are five industrial wells within	n 3 miles of the site.	Ŭ		······································	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
		11 DISCHARGE A	REA		
X_YES COMMENTS		_X_YES	COMMENTS: Grou	ndwater may discha	arge
NO Moderate recharge	2009	NO	to the adiacent Ellic	of Crock	-
V. SURFACE WATER			to the adjacent Line		
X_A RESERVOIR, RECREATION DRINKING WATER SOURCE	B. IRRIGATION	I, ECONOMICALLY ESOURCES	C. COMMERCIA	L,INDUSTRIAL	D. NOT CURRENTLY USED
2 AFFECTED/POTENTIALLY AFFECTE	D BODIES OF WAT	ER			
NAME:			AFFECTED:	DISTANCE TO SI	TE ·
		. · .	(Y/N)		-
Elicott Creek			Y	<0.01 (mi)	
lineare Oliver			··	(iiii)	
agara River			N	1.5(mi)	
				(mi)	
CEMOGRAPHIC AND PROPERTY INF	ORMATION		•		
TIOTAL POPULATION WITHIN					02 DISTANCE TO NEAR
ONE (1) MILE OF SITE	TWO (2) MILES OF	SITE	THREE (3) MILES C	FSITE	POPULATION
18.000	B52.000	0.1.2	C. 100000		0.06 (mi)
IO. OF PERSONS	NO. OF PERSONS	1	NO. OF PERSONS		
·					<u>.</u>
3 NUMBER OF BUILDING WITHIN TWO	D(2)MILES OF SITE	04 DISTANCE TO	NEAREST OFF-SITE	BUILDING	
> 8000			0.01(mi)		
5 POPULATION WITHIN VICINITY OF S	SITE (Provide narrati	ve description of nat	ure of population withi	n vicinity of site, e.	g., rural, village,
densely populated urban area)			· ·		
he site is surrounded by sparse suburba	in population. It is ne	ear the edge of the C	ity of Tonawanda whic	h is densely popula	ated.
ne ste is located in a heavily commercia	al/industrial zone.				
					•
PA FORM 2070-13(7-81)					
· ·					
			•	×	

I

I

			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	POTENTIAL HAZARDOUS WASTE SI	TE	I. IDENTIFICATION	
EPA	SITE INSPECTION REPORT		01 STATE	02 SITE NUMBER
	PART 5-WATER. DEMOGRAPHIC, AN	D ENVIRONMENTAL DATA	NY	D048386205
VI. ENVIRONMENTAL INFO	RMATION			
01PERMEABILITY OF UNSA	TURATED ZONE (Check one)		· · ·	
A. 10-6 to 10-8 cm/sec	В. 10-4 to 10-6 сп/зес	X C. 10-4 to 10-3 cm/sec	D. GREATER THAN	10-3 cm/sec
02 PERMEABILITY OF BED	ROCK(Check one)	·····		
X A. IMPERMEABLE	B. RELATIVELY IMPERMEABLE	C. RELATIVELY PERMEABLE	D. VERY PERMEAB	LE
Less than 10-6 cm/sec)	(10-4 to 10-6 cm/sec)	(10-2 to 10-4 cm/sec)	(Greater than 10-2 cm/se	ЭС)
03 DEPTH TO BEDROCK	04 DEPTH OF CONTAMINATED SOIL	ZONE	05 SOIL pH	····
3.5(ft)	3 (ft)		6.6-8.1_	
5 NET PRECIPITATION	07 ONE YEAH 24 HOUH HAINFALL		DIRECTION OF SITE SI	OPE TERRAIN AVERAGE SLO
5.0 (in)	2 1 (in)	6.3 %	South	0-3 %
9 FLOOD POTENTIAL		10		
SITE IS IN 100 YEAR FLOO(DPLAIN	SITE IS ON BARRIER ISLAN	ND, COASTAL HIGH HAZAI	ND AREA, RIVERINE FLOODWA
11 DISTANCE TO WETLAND	DS (5 acre minimum)	12 DISTANCE TO CRITICAL HAB	ITAT (of endangered specie	s)
ESTUARINE	OTHER		1.1	(mi)
A>3(mi)	B0.27(mi)	ENDANGERED SPECIES: See S	ite Description Section 14	
IS LAND USE IN VICINITY				
DISTANCE TO:				
COMMERCIAL/INDUSTRIAL	RESIDENTIAL AREAS NATIONAL ST	TATE DADKS	ACDICULTUDAL LAND	8
	EOREST OR WILDLIES DESERVER			
	FOREST, OR WILDLIFE RESERVES		PRIME AG LAND	AG LAND
A. On-site (mi)	FOREST, OR WILDLIFE RESERVES B0.06(mi)		PRIME AG LAND	AG LAND
A. On-site (mi) 14 DESCRIPTION OF SITE II	B0.06(mi)	DGRAPHY	C>2	AG LAND
A. On-site (mi) 14 DESCRIPTION OF SITE II The site is a rectangular area relatively level surface across	BO.06(mi) N RELATION TO SURROUNDING TOPO	DGRAPHY The original ground surface sloped	PRIME AG LAND PRIME AG LAND C>2 to the south toward the cree	AG LAND(mi>1 k, but recent filling has created a
A. On-site (mi) 14 DESCRIPTION OF SITE II The site is a rectangular area relatively level surface across Endangered Species:	B0.06(mi) N RELATION TO SURROUNDING TOPO bordered on the south by Ellicott Creek.	DGRAPHY The original ground surface sloped outhwest comer of the site is low an	C>2 to the south toward the cree d marshy.	AG LAND (mi>1
A. On-site (mi) 14 DESCRIPTION OF SITE I The site is a rectangular area relatively level surface across Endangered Species: 1. Haliaeetus leucoceph - Ba	B0.06(mi) N RELATION TO SURROUNDING TOPO bordered on the south by Elicott Creek. the northen two-thirds of the site. The so ad Eagle	OGRAPHY The original ground surface sloped outhwest corner of the site is low and	C>2 to the south toward the cree d marshy.	AG LAND(mi>1 wh, but recent filling has created a
A. On-site (mi) 14 DESCRIPTION OF SITE I The site is a rectangular area relatively level surface across Endangered Species: 1. Haliaeetus leucoceph - Ba 2. Falco peregrenes - peregri	FOREST, OR WILDLIFE RESERVES B0.06(mi) N RELATION TO SURROUNDING TOPO bordered on the south by Ellicott Creek, the northen two-thirds of the site. The so ld Eagle ine falcon t wors did clease the Nicesen Birds	DGRAPHY The original ground surface sloped outhwest corner of the site is low and	PRIME AG LAND PRIME AG LAND C>2 to the south toward the cree d marshy.	AG LAND (mi>1 k, but recent filling has created a
A. On-site (mi) 14 DESCRIPTION OF SITE I The site is a rectangular area relatively level surface across Endangered Species: 1. Haliaeetus leucoceph - Ba 2. Falco peregrenes - peregri These are migratory birds tha There is also a common tem (FOREST, OR WILDLIFE RESERVES B0.06(mi) N RELATION TO SURROUNDING TOPO bordered on the south by Ellicott Creek. the northen two-thirds of the site. The so Id Eagle ine falcon t were sited along the Niagara River. nesting area near the Niagara River intak	DGRAPHY The original ground surface sloped outhwest corner of the site is low and	C>2 to the south toward the cree d marshy.	AG LAND (mi>1 k, but recent filling has created a
A. On-site (mi) 14 DESCRIPTION OF SITE I The site is a rectangular area relatively level surface across Endangered Species: 1. Haliaeetus leucoceph - Ba 2. Falco peregrenes - peregri These are migratory birds tha There is also a common tem in Common tems are a threaten	FOREST, OR WILDLIFE RESERVES B0.06(mi) N RELATION TO SURROUNDING TOPO bordered on the south by Ellicott Creek. the northen two-thirds of the site. The se ld Eagle ine falcon t were sited along the Niagara River. nesting area near the Niagara River intak led species in New York State.	OGRAPHY The original ground surface sloped outhwest corner of the site is low and	C>2 to the south toward the cree d marshy.	AG LAND (mi>1 w, but recent filling has created a
A. On-site (mi) 14 DESCRIPTION OF SITE I The site is a rectangular area relatively level surface across Endangered Species: 1. Haliaeetus leucoceph - Ba 2. Falco peregrenes - peregri These are migratory birds tha There is also a common tem i Common tems are a threaten	FOREST, OR WILDLIFE RESERVES B0.06(mi) N RELATION TO SURROUNDING TOPO bordered on the south by Ellicott Creek, the northen two-thirds of the site. The so ld Eagle ine falcon tt were sited along the Niagara River, nesting area near the Niagara River intak led species in New York State.	DGRAPHY The original ground surface sloped outhwest corner of the site is low and	PRIME AG LAND PRIME AG LAND C>2 to the south toward the cree d marshy.	AG LAND (mi>1 .k, but recent filling has created a
A. On-site (mi) 14 DESCRIPTION OF SITE I The site is a rectangular area relatively level surface across Endangored Spocios: 1. Haliaeetus leucoceph - Ba 2. Falco peregrenes - peregri These are migratory birds tha There is also a common term i Common terms are a threaten	FOREST, OR WILDLIFE RESERVES B0.06(mi) N RELATION TO SURROUNDING TOPO bordered on the south by Ellicott Creek. the northen two-thirds of the site. The se Id Eagle ine falcon tt were sited along the Niagara River. nesting area near the Niagara River intak led species in New York State.	DGRAPHY The original ground surface sloped outhwest corner of the site is low and	PRIME AG LAND PRIME AG LAND C>2 to the south toward the cree d marshy.	AG LAND (mi>1 k, but recent filling has created a
A. On-site (mi) 14 DESCRIPTION OF SITE I The site is a rectangular area relatively level surface across Endangered Species: 1. Haliaeetus leucoceph - Ba 2. Falco peregrenes - peregri These are migratory birds tha There is also a common term i Common terms are a threaten	FOREST, OR WILDLIFE RESERVES B0.06(mi) N RELATION TO SURROUNDING TOPO bordered on the south by Ellicott Creek. the northen two-thirds of the site. The se and Eagle ine falcon t were sited along the Niagara River. nesting area near the Niagara River intak led species in New York State.	OGRAPHY The original ground surface sloped outhwest corner of the site is low and	C>2 to the south toward the cree d marshy.	AG LAND (mi>1 k, but recent filling has created a
A. On-site (mi) 14 DESCRIPTION OF SITE I The site is a rectangular area relatively level surface across Endangered Species: 1. Haliaeetus leucoceph - Ba 2. Falco peregrenes - peregr These are migratory birds tha There is also a common tem i Common tems are a threaten	FOREST, OR WILDLIFE RESERVES B0.06(mi) N RELATION TO SURROUNDING TOPO bordered on the south by Elicott Creek. the northen two-thirds of the site. The so Id Eagle ine falcon it were sited along the Niagara River. nesting area near the Niagara River intak led species in New York State.	DGRAPHY The original ground surface sloped outhwest corner of the site is low and	C>2 to the south toward the cree d marshy.	AG LAND (mi>1 wh, but recent filling has created a
A. On-site (mi) 14 DESCRIPTION OF SITE I The site is a rectangular area relatively level surface across Endangered Species: 1. Haliaeetus leucoceph - Ba 2. Falco peregrenes - peregri These are migratory birds tha There is also a common tem i Common tems are a threaten	FOREST, OR WILDLIFE RESERVES B0.06(mi) N RELATION TO SURROUNDING TOPO bordered on the south by Ellicott Creek. the northen two-thirds of the site. The se Id Eagle ine falcon tt were sited along the Niagara River. nesting area near the Niagara River intak led species in New York State.	DGRAPHY The original ground surface sloped outhwest corner of the site is low and	C>2 to the south toward the cree d marshy.	AG LAND (mi>1 k, but recent filling has created a
A. On-site (mi) 14 DESCRIPTION OF SITE I The site is a rectangular area relatively level surface across Endangered Species: 1. Haliaeetus leucoceph - Ba 2. Falco peregrenes - peregr These are migratory birds tha There is also a common term i Common terms are a threaten	FOREST, OR WILDLIFE RESERVES B0.06(mi) N RELATION TO SURROUNDING TOPO bordered on the south by Ellicott Creek. the northen two-thirds of the site. The se and Eagle ine falcon t were sited along the Niagara River. nesting area near the Niagara River intak red species in New York State.	OGRAPHY The original ground surface sloped outhwest corner of the site is low and wes.	C>2 to the south toward the cree d marshy.	AG LAND (mi>1 k, but recent filling has created a
A. On-site (mi) 14 DESCRIPTION OF SITE I The site is a rectangular area relatively level surface across Endangered Species: 1. Haliaeetus leucoceph - Ba 2. Falco peregrenes - peregr These are migratory birds tha There is also a common term Common terms are a threaten	FOREST, OR WILDLIFE RESERVES B0.06(mi) N RELATION TO SURROUNDING TOPO bordered on the south by Elicott Creek. the northen two-thirds of the site. The so and Eagle ine falcon t were sited along the Niagara River. nesting area near the Niagara River intak led species in New York State.	OGRAPHY The original ground surface sloped outhwest corner of the site is low and res.	C>2 to the south toward the cree d marshy.	AG LAND (mi>1 wh, but recent filling has created a
A. On-site (mi) 14 DESCRIPTION OF SITE I The site is a rectangular area relatively level surface across Endangered Species: 1. Haliaeetus leucoceph - Ba 2. Falco peregrenes - peregri These are migratory birds tha There is also a common tem i Common tems are a threaten	FOREST, OR WILDLIFE RESERVES B0.06(mi) N RELATION TO SURROUNDING TOPO bordered on the south by Ellicott Creek. the northen two-thirds of the site. The se Id Eagle ine falcon tt were sited along the Niagara River. nesting area near the Niagara River intak red species in New York State.	DGRAPHY The original ground surface sloped outhwest corner of the site is low and tes.	C>2 to the south toward the creed d marshy.	AG LAND (mi>1 k, but recent filling has created a
A. On-site (mi) 14 DESCRIPTION OF SITE I. The site is a rectangular area relatively level surface across Endangored Spocies: 1. Haliaeetus leucoceph - Ba 2. Falco peregrenes - peregri These are migratory birds tha There is also a common tem i Common terms are a threaten	FOREST, OR WILDLIFE RESERVES B0.06(mi) N RELATION TO SURROUNDING TOPO bordered on the south by Ellicott Creek. the northen two-thirds of the site. The se and Eagle ine falcon t were sited along the Niagara River. nesting area near the Niagara River intak ted species in New York State.	OGRAPHY The original ground surface sloped outhwest corner of the site is low and wes.	C>2 to the south toward the creed d marshy.	AG LAND (mi>1 k, but recent filling has created a
A. On-site (mi) 4 DESCRIPTION OF SITE I The site is a rectangular area elatively level surface across Endangered Species: 1. Haliaeetus leucoceph - Ba 2. Falco peregrenes - peregri These are migratory birds tha There is also a common term i common terms are a threaten	FOREST, OR WILDLIFE RESERVES B0.06(mi) N RELATION TO SURROUNDING TOPO bordered on the south by Elicott Creek. the northen two-thirds of the site. The so Id Eagle ine falcon t were sited along the Niagara River. nesting area near the Niagara River intak led species in New York State.	OGRAPHY The original ground surface sloped outhwest corner of the site is low and res.	C>2 to the south toward the cree d marshy.	AG LAND (mi>1 k, but recent filling has created a
A. On-site (mi) 14 DESCRIPTION OF SITE I The site is a rectangular area relatively level surface across Endangered Species: 1. Haliaeetus leucoceph - Ba 2. Falco peregrenes - peregri These are migratory birds tha There is also a common term i Common terms are a threaten VII. SOURCES OF INFORMA	FOREST, OR WILDLIFE RESERVES B0.06(mi) N RELATION TO SURROUNDING TOPO bordered on the south by Elicott Creek. the northen two-thirds of the site. The so Id Eagle ine falcon t were sited along the Niagara River. nesting area near the Niagara River intak led species in New York State.	DGRAPHY The original ground surface sloped outhwest corner of the site is low and ses.	C >2 to the south toward the creed d marshy.	AG LAND
A. On-site (mi) 14 DESCRIPTION OF SITE I The site is a rectangular area relatively level surface across Endangered Species: 1. Haliaeetus leucoceph - Ba 2. Falco peregrenes - peregri These are migratory birds tha There is also a common tem i Common terms are a threaten VII. SOURCES OF INFORMA VYSDEC Phase I report on the nerview with Dave Denk of N	FOREST, OR WILDLIFE RESERVES B0.06(mi) N RELATION TO SURROUNDING TOPO bordered on the south by Ellicott Creek. the northen two-thirds of the site. The se ld Eagle ine falcon t were sited along the Niagara River. nesting area near the Niagara River intak red species in New York State. New York State.	DGRAPHY The original ground surface sloped outhwest corner of the site is low and wes. e files, sample analysis, reports) mes & Moore - 1986.	C>2 to the south toward the creed d marshy.	AG LAND(mi>1
A. On-site (mi) 14 DESCRIPTION OF SITE I The site is a rectangular area relatively level surface across Endangered Species: 1. Haliaeetus leucoceph - Ba 2. Falco peregrenes - peregri These are migratory birds tha There is also a common term i Common terms are a threaten VII. SOURCES OF INFORMA VYSDEC Phase I report on this nterview with Dave Denk of N	FOREST, OR WILDLIFE RESERVES B0.06(mi) N RELATION TO SURROUNDING TOPO bordered on the south by Elicott Creek. the northen two-thirds of the site. The se Id Eagle ine falcon t were sited along the Niagara River. nesting area near the Niagara River intak led species in New York State. ITION (Cito specific references, e.g., state te Chemical Learnan site prepared by Da VYSDEC Regulations, 7/18/90. NYSDEC Fish and Wikilife, 7.20/90.	DGRAPHY The original ground surface sloped outhwest corner of the site is low and ces.	C>2 to the south toward the creed d marshy.	AG LAND
A. On-site (mi) 14 DESCRIPTION OF SITE I The site is a rectangular area relatively level surface across Endangered Species: 1. Haliaeetus leucoceph - Ba 2. Falco peregrenes - peregri These are migratory birds tha There is also a common term i Common terms are a threaten VII. SOURCES OF INFORMA NYSDEC Phase I report on th nterview with Dave Denk of N nterview with Mark Kandal of lertiage Maps, Coastal Fish a	FOREST, OR WILDLIFE RESERVES B0.06(mi) N RELATION TO SURROUNDING TOPO bordered on the south by Elicott Creek. the northen two-thirds of the site. The south are sited along the Niagara River. nesting area near the Niagara River intaked species in New York State. NTION (Cite specific references, e.g., state NTION (Cite specific references, e.g., state NYSDEC Regulations, 7/18/90. NYSDEC Fish and Wildlife, 7.20/90. and Wildlife Maps, and NYSDEC Wetland	DGRAPHY The original ground surface sloped outhwest corner of the site is low and wes. e files, sample analysis, reports) unes & Moore - 1986.	C>2 to the south toward the creed d marshy.	AG LAND
A. On-site (mi) 14 DESCRIPTION OF SITE I The site is a rectangular area relatively level surface across Endangered Spocies: 1. Haliaeetus leucoceph - Ba 2. Falco peregrenes - peregri These are migratory birds tha There is also a common tem I Common terms are a threaten <i>I</i> . SOURCES OF INFORMA YSDEC Phase I report on the nterview with Dave Denk of N nterview with Mark Kandal of feritage Maps, Coastal Fish a Dames & Moore site inspectio ENA FORM 2070-13(7-81)	FOREST, OR WILDLIFE RESERVES B0.06(mi) N RELATION TO SURROUNDING TOPO bordered on the south by Ellicott Creek. the northen two-thirds of the site. The se Id Eagle ine falcon t were sited along the Niagara River. nesting area near the Niagara River intak red species in New York State. New York State. NTION (Cito specific references, e.g., state to Chemical Learnan site prepared by Da NYSDEC Regulations, 7/18/90. NYSDEC Fish and Wildlife, 7.20/90. and Wildlife Maps, and NYSDEC Wetlanco on report on Chemical Learnan - 1985.	DGRAPHY The original ground surface sloped outhwest corner of the site is low and wes. e files, sample analysis, reports) unes & Moore - 1986. ds Maps supplied by the Region 9 O	C>2 to the south toward the creed d marshy.	AG LAND(mi>1 k, but recent filling has created a

		POTENTIAL HAZARDOUS WASTE SITE	I.IDENTIFICATIO	N [*]
FPΔ				
			01 STATE	02 SITE NUMBER
		INFORMATION	NY	D048386205
II. SAMPLES TAKEN				<u> </u>
SAMPLE TYPE	01 NUMBER OF	02 SAMPLES SENT TO	03 ESTIMATED D	
GROUNDWATER	None			<u>.</u>
SURFACE WATER	None		·	
WASTE	None			<u> </u>
AIR	None			
RUNOFF	None			
SPILL	None			
SOIL	None		•	
VEGETATION	None			•
OTHER	None			
III. FIELD MEASUREME				
01 TYPE	02 COMMENTS			
Air Monitoring	HNu-PID readings n	ot above background.		
Radiation Monitoring	Monitor 4 mini-rad r	eadings not above background.		
IV. PHOTOGRAPHS AN	D MAPS			
01 TYPEX_GROUND	X_AERIAL	02 IN CUSTODY OF: Dunn Geoscience Er	ngineering Co./	
й -	· -	SUNY Buffalo ay Amherst Undergraduate	Library	. •
03 MAPS			n or ingividual)	
XYES	04 LOCATION OF M	APS		
_NO	DUNN Geoscience C	Corp./TAMS Consultants, Inc.		
V. OTHER FIELD DATA	COLLECTED (provid	e narrative description)		
Field notes in the custod	y of George Moretti -	Dunn Geoscience Engineering Co.		
		· · ·		
VI. SOURCES OF INFOR	RMATION (Cite specif	ic references, e.g., state files, sample analy	sis, reports)	<u> </u>
1966 aerial photographs	from SUNY Buffalo			4
US Dept. of the Interior, (Geological Survey To	pographic Map, 7.5 minute series - "Tonav	vanda West, NY" -	- photorev. 1980.
Site reconnaissance cond	ducted by Dunn Geos	cience Engineering Co./TAMS Consultants	, Inc. on 7/19/90	
•				
EDA EODA 2070 12/7 0	1)		·····	····
	- U	•		

I.IDENTIFICATION EPA POTENTIAL HAZARDOUS WASTE SITE 01 STATE 02 SITE NUMBER SITE INSPECTION REPORT NY. D048386205 PART 7-OWNER INFORMATION II. CURRENT OWNER(S) PARENT COMPANY(If applicable) 01 NAME 02 D+B NUMBER 08 NAME 09 D+B NUMBER Chemical Leaman Tank Lines, Inc. Chemical Leaman Tank Lines, Inc. 03 STREET ADDRESS(P.O.Box, RFD#, etc.) 04 SIC CODE 10 STREET ADDRESS(P.O.Box, RFD#,etc.) 11 SIC CODE 470 Filmore Avenue 7542 Box 200 05 CITY 06 STATE |07 ZIP CODE 12 CITY 13 STATE 14 ZIP CODE Tonawanda NY. 14150 Lionville PA 19353 01 NAME 02 D+B NUMBER 08 NAME 09 D+B NUMBER 03 STREET ADDRESS(P.O.Box,RFD#,etc.) 04 SIC CODE 10 STREET ADDRESS(P.O.Box, RFD#,etc.) 11 SIC CODE 05 CITY 06 STATE 107 ZIP CODE 12 CITY 13 STATE 14 ZIP CODE 01 NAME 02 D+B NUMBER 08 NAME 09 D+B NUMBER 03 STREET ADDRESS(P.O.Box, RFD#, etc.) 04 SIC CODE 10 STREET ADDRESS(P.O.Box, RFD#.etc.) 11 SIC CODE 05 CITY 06 STATE 07 ZIP CODE 12 CITY 13 STATE 14 ZIP CODE 01 NAME 02 D+B NUMBER 08 NAME 09 D+B NUMBER 03 STREET ADDRESS(P.O.Box.RFD#.etc.) 04 SIC CODE 10 STREET ADDRESS(P.O.Box, RFD#,etc.) 11 SIC CODE 05 CITY 06 STATE 07 ZIP CODE 12 CITY 13 STATE 14 ZIP CODE III. PREVIOUS OWNER(S)(List most recent first) IV. REALTY OWNER(S)(if applicable:list most recent first) 01 NAME 02 D+B NUMBER 01 NAME 02 D+B NUMBER Unknown Railroad Company Unknown 03 STREET ADDRESS(P.O.Box, RFD#, etc.) 04 SIC CODE 03 STREET ADDRESS(P.O.Box.RFD#.etc.) 04 SIC CODE Unknown 05 CITY 06 STATE |07 ZIP CODE 05 CITY 06 STATE 07 ZIP CODE 01 NAME 02 D+B NUMBER 01 NAME 02 D+B NUMBER 03 STREET ADDRESS(P.O.Box, RFD#.etc.) 04 SIC CODE 03 STREET ADDRESS(P.O.Box, RFD#, etc.) 04 SIC CODE 05 CITY 06 STATE 07 ZIP CODE 05 CITY 06 STATE 07 ZIP CODE 01 NAME 02 D+B NUMBER 01 NAME 02 D+B NUMBER 03 STREET ADDRESS(P.O.Box, RFD#, etc.) 04 SIC CODE 03 STREET ADDRESS(P.O.Box, RFD#, etc.) 04 SIC CODE 05 CITY 06 STATE 107 ZIP CODE 05 CITY 06 STATE 07 ZIP CODE V. SOURCES OF INFORMATION(Cite specific references, e.g., state files, sample analysis, reports) NYSDEC Region 9. Division of Hazardous Waste Remediation, Inactive Hazardous Waste Disposal Report. Dames & Moore site inspection report - 1985 Interview with Chemical Leaman employee during Dunn Geoscience Engineering Co./TAMS Consultants, Inc. site reconnaissance - 7/19/90

EPA FORM 2070-13(7-81)

				I.IDENTIF	CATION
EPA	POTENTIA	AL HAZARDOUS W	ASTE SITE		02 SITE NUMPER
•	SITE INSP				DOA9396305
	PART 8-C	PERATOR INFOR	MATION		0040300205
II. CURRENT OPERATOR (Provid	le if different fr	om owner)	OPERATOR'S PARENT CO		0)
01 NAME		02 D+B NUMBER	108 NAME		09 D+B NUMBER
Same as owner					
03 STREET ADDRESS(P.O.Box,	RFD#,etc.)	04 SIC CODE	10 STREET ADDRESS(P.O	.Box, RFD#,etc.)	11 SIC CODE
05 CITY	06 STATE	07 ZIP CODE	12 CITY	13 STATE	14 ZIP CODE
08 YEARS OF OPERATION	09 NAME	OF OWNER		————· 4	
III. PREVIOUS OPERATOR(S)(Lis	st most recent	first;	PREVIOUS OPERATORS'	PARENT COMPANI	ES (If applicable)
01 NAME		02 D+B NUMBER	108 NAME		
03 STREET ADDRESS(P.O.Box,	RFD#,etc.)	04 SIC CODE	10 STREET ADDRESS(P.O	.Box, RFD#,etc.)	11 SIC CODE
05 CITY	06 STATE	07 ZIP CODE	12 CITY	13 STATE	14 ZIP CODE
08 YEARS OF OPERATION	09 NAME (OF OWNER			
D1 NAME		02 D+B NUMBER	08 NAME		09 D+B NUMBE
03 STREET ADDRESS(P.O.Box,F	RFD#,etc.)	04 SIC CODE	10 STREET ADDRESS(P.O	.Box, RFD#,etc.)	11 SIC CODE
D5 CITY	06 STATE	07 ZIP CODE	12 CITY	13 STATE	14 ZIP CODE
08 YEARS OF OPERATION	09 NAME (OF OWNER	······································		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
DI NAME	··· I	02 D+B NUMBER		·····	09 D+B NUMBE
3 STREET ADDRESS(P.O.Box,F	RFD#,etc.)	04 SIC CODE	10 STREET ADDRESS(P.O.	.Box, RFD#,etc.)	11 SIC CODE
D5 CITY	06 STATE	07 ZIP CODE	12 CITY	13 STATE	14 ZIP CODE
8 YEARS OF OPERATION	09 NAME C	OF OWNER	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	······································	· ·
. SOURCES OF INFORMATION	Cite specific re	eferences, e.a., sa	te files, sample analysis, rec	orts)	
IYSDEC Region 9, Division of Ha	zardous Waste	Remediation. Ina	ctive Hazardous Waste Disor	osal Report	
-				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	•				
			•		•

•

I.IDENTIFICATION

POTENTIAL HAZARDOUS WASTE SITE

SITE INSPECTION REPORT

RT 9-GENERATOR/TRANSPORTER INFORMATION

01 STATE 02 SITE NUMBER NY D048386205

II. ON-SITE GENERATOR 02 D+B NUMBER 01 NAME 02 D+B NUMBER Chemical Learnan Tank Lines, Inc. 03 STREET ADDRESS(P.O.Box,RFD#,etc.) 03 STREET ADDRESS(P.O.Box,RFD#,etc.) 04 SIC CODE 470 Filmore Avenue 7542 05 CITY 06 STATE 07 7IP CODE	
01 NAME 02 D+B NUMBER Chemical Leaman Tank Lines, Inc. 03 STREET ADDRESS(P.O.Box,RFD#,etc.) 03 STREET ADDRESS(P.O.Box,RFD#,etc.) 04 SIC CODE 470 Filmore Avenue 7542 05 CITY 06 STATE 07 7IP CODE	
Chemical Learnan Tank Lines, Inc. 03 STREET ADDRESS(P.O.Box,RFD#,etc.) 04 SIC CODE 470 Filmore Avenue 05 CITY	
03 STREET ADDRESS(P.O.Box,RFD#,etc.) 04 SIC CODE 470 Filmore Avenue 7542 05 CITY 106 STATE 07 7IP CODE	
470 Filmore Avenue 7542	
Tonawanda NY 14150	
III. OFF-SITE GENERATOR(S)	
01 NAME 02 D+B NUMBER 08 NAME 09 D+B	NUMBER
None	
03 STREET ADDRESS(P.O.Box,RFD#,etc.) 04 SIC CODE 10 STREET ADDRESS(P.O.Box, RFD#,etc.) 11 SIC	CODE
05 CITY 06 STATE 07 ZIP CODE 12 CITY 13 STATE 14 ZIP	CODE
01 NAME 02 D+B NUMBER 08 NAME 09 D+B	NUMBER
03 STREET ADDRESS(P.O.Box,RFD#,etc.) 04 SIC CODE 10 STREET ADDRESS(P.O.Box, RFD#,etc.) 11 SIC	CODE
06 STATE 07 ZIP CODE 12 CITY 13 STATE 14 ZIP 0	CODE
V. TRANSPORTER(S)	
01 NAME 02 D+B NUMBER 08 NAME 09 D+B	NUMBER
03 STREET ADDRESS(P.O.Box,RFD#,etc.) 04 SIC CODE 10 STREET ADDRESS(P.O.Box, RFD#,etc.) 11 SIC (CODE
D5 CITY 06 STATE 07 ZIP CODE 12 CITY 13 STATE 14 ZIP (ODE
02 D+B NUMBER 01 NAME 02 D+B	NUMBER
3 STREET ADDRESS(P.O.Box,RFD#,etc.) 04 SIC CODE 03 STREET ADDRESS(P.O.Box,RFD#,etc.) 04 SIC (ODE
06 STATE 07 ZIP CODE 05 CITY 06 STATE 07 ZIP C	ODE
/. SOURCES OF INFORMATION(Cite specific references, e.g., state files, sample analysis, reports)	
IYSDEC Division of Hazardous Waste Remediation, Inactive Hazardous Waste Disposal Report.	. <u>.</u>

EPA FORM 2070-13(7-81)

EPA

	POTENTIAL HAZARDOUS WASTE SITE	SITE I. IDENTIFICATION	
EPA	SITE INSPECTION REPORT	01 STATE	02 SITE NUMBER
	PART 10 - PAST RESPONSE ACTIVITIES		D048386205
II. PAST RESPONSE ACTIVITIES	02 DATE:	02 AGENCY	
04 DESCRIPTION	02 DATE	US AGENCI	
No previous history			
01 B. TEMPORARY WATER SUPPLY PROVIDED	02 DATE:	03 AGENCY	
04 DESCRIPTION			
No previous history			
01 C. PERMANENT WATER SUPPLY PROVIDED	02 DATE:	03 AGENCY_	
04 DESCRIPTION No previous history			
01 D. SPILLED MATERIAL REMOVED	02 DATE:	03 AGENCY_	
04 DESCRIPTION		ı	·
No previous history			
01 X E. CONTAMINATED SOIL REMOVED	02 DATE: 1977	03 AGENCY	
04 DESCRIPTION			
An estimated 3200 tons of sludge was removed from lagoons 1 and 2	•	•	
01 _ F. WASTE REPACKAGED	02 DATE:	03 AGENCY	·
04 DESCRIPTION			
No previous history			
01 X G. WASTE DISPOSED ELSEWHERE	02 DATE: 1978	03 AGENCY_	
04 DESCRIPTION			•
The excavated studge from the lagoons was disposed in the CECOS lan	ionii in Niagara Falls.		
01 _ H. ON SITE BURIAL	02 DATE:	03 AGENCY_	
04 DESCRIPTION			
No previous history			
01 _ I. IN SITU CHEMICAL TREATMENT	02 DATE:	03 AGENCY_	
04 DESCRIPTION			
No previous history			
01 _ J. IN SITU BIOLOGICAL TREATMENT	02 DATE:	03 AGENCY_	
04 DESCRIPTION			
No previous history		•	
01 _ K. IN SITU PHYSICAL TREATMENT	02 DATE:	03 AGENCY	
04 DESCRIPTION			
No previous history			
01_L. ENCAPSULATION	02 DATE:	03 AGENCY	
04 DESCRIPTION			
No previous history			
D1M. EMERGENCY WASTE TREATMENT	02 DATE:	03 AGENCY_	
DADESCRIPTION			
No previous history			
D1_N. CUTOFF WALLS	02 DATE:	03 AGENCY	
04 DESCRIPTION			
No previous history			
1 _ O. EMERGENCY DIKING/SURFACE WATER DIVERSION	02 DATE:	03 AGENCY	
04 DESCRIPTION			
No previous history			
1 _ P. CUTOFF TRENCHES/SUMP	02 DATE:	03 AGENCY	
4 DESCRIPTION			
lo previous history			
1 Q. SUBSURFACE CUTOFF WALL	02 DATE:	03 AGENCY	
4 DESCRIPTION			
lo previous history			
PA EORM 2070-13(7-81)			

	POTENTIAL HAZARDOUS WASTE SITE I. IDENTIFICATION					
EPA	SITE INSPECTION REPORT	01 STATE 02 SITE NUM				
	PART 10 - PAST RESPONSE ACTIVITIES	NY	D048386205			
II. PAST RESPONSE ACTIVITIES(Continued)		1				
01 R. BARRIER WALLS CONSTRUCTED	02 DATE:	03 AGENCY_				
No previous history						
01S. CAPPING/COVERING	02 DATE:	03 AGENCY				
04 DESCRIPTION						
No previous history						
·	·					
	02 DATE:	03 AGENCY_				
01 U. GROUT CURTAIN CONSTRUCTED	02 DATE:	03 AGENCY				
04 DESCRIPTION						
No previous history						
AL V BOTTOM SEALED						
04 DESCRIPTION	02 DATE:	US AGENCY_	·			
No previous history		• .	1			
· · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
01 _ W. GAS CONTROL	02 DATE:	03 AGENCY_				
04 DESCRIPTION						
No previous history						
	02 DATE:	02 AGENCY				
	02 DATE:	US AGENCT_	·			
No previous history						
01 Y. LEACHATE TREATMENT	02 DATE:	03 AGENCY_				
04 DESCRIPTION						
No previous history						
	0.0475					
04 DESCRIPTION	02 DATE:	US AGENCT_				
No previous history						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			[
01 _ 1. ACCESS TO SITE RESTRICTED	02 DATE:	03 AGENCY_				
No previous history						
01 _ 2. POPULATION RELOCATED	02 DATE:	03 AGENCY				
04 DESCRIPTION						
No previous history	•					
01 X 3. OTHER REMEDIAL ACTIVITIES	02 DATE: 1989	03 AGENCY_				
All three lancops were excavated and backfilled in 1989						
The four monitoring wells were sampled on August 2, 1989. Vin	vi chloride (320 uo/l) and benzene (410 uo/l) were dete	cted.				
		•				
· .						
			ł			
II. SOURCES OF INFORMATION (Cite specific references, e.g.	, state file sample analysis, reports)					
Vann Geoscience Engineering Courrians Consultants, Inc. site	reconnaissance – //19/90		[
TOUCO Negron a mea on ma Onemical Ladinali Sila.						
			1			

		POTENTIAL HAZARDOUS WASTE SITE			I. IDENTIF	I. IDENTIFICATION	
EPA SITE INSPECTION REPORT PART 11-ENFORCEMENT INFORMAT		SITE INSPECTION REPORT			01 STATE	02 SITE NUMBE	
		FORMATION	NY	D048386205			
. ENFORCE	MENT INFORMATION						
1 PAST REG	ULATORY/ENFORCE	MENT ACTION _	X_YESNO	D			
2 DESCRIPT	ION OF FEDERAL, S	TATE, LOCAL RE	GULATORY/E	NFORCEMEN	NT ACTION	· · · ·	
1 1981, samp	les from four monitori	ng wells indicated	I concentration	ns of phenois,	iron, and mang	anese	
		g Water Standard			•		
		. •					
	•						
	· · ·			•			
				•			
				•			
				•		·	
				·	•		
		. •					
				,			
	•						
	-						
١	· .						
		- •	·				
					•		
						· ·	
				· .			
. SOURCES	OF INFORMATION (C	Cite specific refere	ences, e.g., sta	ate files, ampli	e analysis, repo	rts)	
SEPA-NUS	Corp. FIT 2 site inspec	tion report - 198	9. Propored by I		1096		
1 SUEC FILAS	a rieport on the Cher	mear Leaman Site	prepared by I	James & MOO	8 - 1900		
· ·							

EPA FORM 2070-13(7-81)

APPENDIX E

Proposed Updated NYSDEC Registry Form

NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL CONSERVATION DIVISION OF HAZARDOUS WASTE REMEDIATION INACTIVE HAZARDOUS WASTE DISPOSAL REPORT

		<u> </u>			·	• ·			
CLASSIFICAT	ION CODE:	2		REGION:	9	SITE CODE:	915014		
NAME OF SIT	E:	Chemical Leaman Tank Lines			EPA ID:	NYD048386205			
STREET ADDE	ESS:	470 Filmore	Avenue]			
TOWN/CITY:		Tonawanda		COUNTY:	Erie] ZIP:	14206		
SITE TYPE:	Open Dump	Structure	Lagoon	Landfill	Treatment Pond	. I	ESTIMATED SIZE:		
					x		1 Acre		
SITE OWNER/OI	PERATOR IN	FORMATIO	N:	l Leaman Tank I	ines Inc				
Current Owner Name:									
Current Owner Address: 102 Pickering Wa				ering Way, P.O.	Box 200, Liony	ville, PA 19353			
Owner(s) During Use:			e: Chemica	Chemical Leaman Tank Lines, Inc.					
Operator During Use: Chemical Leaman Tank Lines, Inc.				lines, Inc.		· ·			
Operator Address: 470 Filmore Avenue, Tonawanda, NY									

Period Associated with Hazardous Waste:

SITE DESCRIPTION:

Garage and storage yard for tank trailers and tractors. Waste referred to as "heels" from nondedicated tankers which have been used for incompatible products are drummed and removed off-site to an approved TSD facility. Tank washings, after draining, passed through three lagoons where floating material was skimmed off. Solids settling in the ponds were periodically removed to an approved off-site TSD facility. Discharge from the ponds went to the Tonawanda Sewage Treatment Plant. The use of the lagoons for toxic pollutants was discontinued in 1978. Six wells have been installed on site. Groundwater samples collected in 1981 and 1982 indicated that concentrations of phenols, iron, lead and manganese exceeded groundwater standards. A Phase I Investigation was completed in 1985. Groundwater samples collected in 1987 exceeded groundwater standards for phenols, iron, lead, manganese, zinc and chromium. Chlorobenzene, benzene, dimethylphenol, phthalate and cobalt have also been found in groundwater.

1963 to Present

During the summer and fall of 1988, Chemical Leaman Tank Lines, Inc., excavated the three lagoons to a depth of 14 to 16 feet. Substrate samples were collected and analyzed as the excavation progressed. The six on-site wells have been sampled and analyzed to assess the effectiveness of the excavation. Groundwater standards were exceeded for dichlorobenene, ethylbenzene, toluene, xylenes, 1,1-dichloroethene, trichloroethene and tetrachloroethene in samples collected on April 2, 1991. Groundwater standards were exceeded for benzene, chlorobenzene, vinyl chloride, and 1,2-dichloroethene in samples collected on October 13, 1993.

A Preliminary Site Assessment (PSA) has been completed.

HAZARDOUS WASTE DISPOSED:

	ТҮРЕ	QUANTITY USED .		
Benzene (U019) Cresol (U052) Ethylacrylate (U113) Formaldehyde (U122)	Phenol (U188) Pyridine (U196) Toluene (U220) o-Toluidine (U328)	Unknown		

SITE CODE: 915014



LEGAL ACTION:

ТҮРЕ			STATUS		
None	State	Federal	Negotiation in Progress	Order Signed	
Х			· ·		

REMEDIAL ACTION:

None	Proposed	Under Design	In Progress	Completed
x				

NATURE OF ACTION:

None

GEOTECHNICAL INFORMATION:

Soil Type:

Type: Sands and silts overlying clay

Groundwater Depth:

h: 3 to 10 feet

ASSESSMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROBLEMS:

Class GA groundwater standards were exceeded for arsenic, chromium and lead. Groundwater has also exceeded Class GA standards with respect to benzene; chlorobenzene; ethylbenzene; vinyl chloride; toluene; xylene; TCE; PCE; and other volatile compounds. Monitoring is required to assess the effectiveness of lagoon excavation. Further investigation is required to evaluate the extent of impacted groundwater.

ASSESSMENT OF HEALTH PROBLEMS:

Analysis of groundwater samples from on-site monitoring wells detected several volatile organic compounds and metals in concentrations exceeding standards. Groundwater is not used; area residents are supplied with public water. However, there appears to be a connection between groundwater under the site and the bordering Ellicott Creek. Since the lagoon excavation in 1978, wastewater containing hazardous constituents has not been discharged into the lagoons. The potential for direct contact with on-site soils has not been evaluated. However, the site is enclosed by a fence.

APPENDIX F

Field Sampling Records
Sampling Record

Sample ID $B-1$ Location $\underline{M} - B - 1$ Samplers $\underline{R} \times S / D \omega S$ Client $\underline{H} \times S D \in C$ I. WATER LEVEL MEASUREMENTS (from top of casility)	Date 10/13/93 Project Granical Learnan Tank Lines Project # 35102.400 Sample/Type: Soil; Sediment; Surface Water; GW Waste: Other
Well Size/Type1.5"ThTotal Well DepthA.66'Depth to WaterB49'Height of Water Column11.17	Gals of Standing Water 1.79 Gals to Purge $(x5) 8.95$ Gals Actually Purged 7.0 Gals/ft (2"ID=0.16) 4"=0.65 6"ID=1.47
II. WELL PURGING: Start 10:00 a.m. Stop Equipment: (Pump) <u>Centre Fri Gal</u> Well behavior during purging: <u>MW-B-1 was p</u> AND 7 GALLONS. RECHARGE WAS SLOW TO PRESENT WHILE PURGING.	Discharge Rate (GPM) Bailer DRY AFTER 2 GAUGAS, 4.5 GALLENS MODERATE, AND A SULFUR ODOR WAS
III. SAMPLE COLLECTION: Time Method: Bailer <u>DEDICATED PVC</u> Containers (2) 40 ml VOAS; (1) 1 pt. CYAMIDE; Sample Appearance and Odor <u>CLEAR with S</u>	ID# <u>B-1</u> Other (1) lot. metrals; (1) 1/2 gal. ByA/PESTICIDES LIGHT SULFUR ODOR.
IV. FIELD MEASUREMENTS:	
Temp 15.5°°° pH 7.31 Conductivity 1633.us/cm Turbidity 42.3	
Weather PARTLY SUTINY, 245°, 5-10 mph Comments	

Sampling Record

Sample ID Location Samplers Client	B-2 mw-B-2 RKS/DWS	Date Project Project # Sample/Type:	IO 13 93 CHEMICAL LEAMAN TANK LINES 35TOZ.400 Soil; Sediment; Surface Water; r	
I. WATER L	EVEL MEASUREMENTS (from top of casir	ng) IN FEET:		
Well Size/Ty Total Well D Depth to Wa Height of Wa	pe $1.5^{\prime\prime} \pm 0.$ epth 19.94 ter 11.60 ater Column 8.34	Gals of Standing V Gals to Purge Gals Actually Purg Gals/ft 2"ID=0.16	Water 1.33 $(x5)$ 6.65 ged 7 $4"=0.65$ $6"ID=1.47$	
II. WELL PURGING: Start 11/20 a.m. Stop 11:15 a.m. Discharge Rate (GPM) Equipment Pump Centre Fuger Bailer Bailer Well behavior during purging: Groop Recuerce				
III. SAMPLE Method: Containers Sample App	ECOLLECTION: Time Bailer <u>Dedicated PVC</u> (6) 40 m. VOAS; (3) pt. (YANIDE earance and Odor <u>CLEAR wf BROW</u>	D# Other (G) lat meta	B-2 LS, (3) 1/2 gal BMA/RESTICIDES T SULFUR ODOR	
IV. FIELD MEASUREMENTS:				
Temp pH Conductivity Turbidity	$ \begin{array}{c} 11.6 \\ \hline 7.35 \\ \hline 2.11 \\ \underline{42.2} \\ \hline \end{array} $	- - - -		
Weather Comments <u>RISER</u>	MOSTLY SLAMY, 245°C, 5-10 Mg MEASUREMENTS WERE TAKEN FROM S UNREACTANBLE. D WAS COLLECTED HERE.	n W. wigh M TOP OF ST	TAYD APE AS PUC	

RUST ENVIRONMENT & Sample ID B-3 Date jol13

Sampling Record

. . .

Sample ID <u>B-3</u>	Datei0/13/93			
Location <u>mw-8-3</u>	Project CHEMICAL LEAMAN TANK LINES			
Samplers RKS DWS	Project # 35102,400			
	Sample/Type: Soil; Sediment; Surface Water;			
Client <u>YSDEC</u>	GW Waste: Other			
I. WATER LEVEL MEASUREMENTS (from top o	of casing) IN FEET:			
Well Size/Type	Gals of Standing Water			
Total Well Depth <u>24.37</u>	Gals to Purge $(x5)$ 8.20			
Depth to Water 14.12	Gals Actually Purged 10.0			
Height of Water Column 10.25	Gals/ft.2"ID=0.16 4"=0.65 6"ID=1.47			
II. WELL PURGING: Start 12:15 pra. St	op 12:30 o.m. Discharge Rate (GPM)			
Equipment: (Pump) CENTRIFUCT	Bailer			
Well behavior during purging: Good KE()	HARGE, SULFUR ODOR.			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
· · ·				
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
	· · · ·			
III. SAMPLE COLLECTION: Time	ID# <u>β-3</u>			
Method: (Bailer) DEDICATED PVC	Other			
Containers (4) 40mi. VOAS; (2) 1 of CVANI	DE; (2) lot METALS; (2) 1/2 cal BMA/PESTICIDES			
Sample Appearance and Odor (ULAR WITH	SULFUR ODOR.			
IV. FIELD MEASUREMENTS:				
	· ·			
Conductivity 2.29 5/	· ·			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
vveainer Junyy, & XX, Ligter W. wigh				
Comments <u>tield duplicate</u> , LAS COLLECTED HERE				
<u>/</u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
	•			

Sampling Record

Sample ID $B-4$ Location $MW-B-4$ Samplers $RKS DWS$ Client \overline{MYSNEC} I. WATER LEVEL MEASUREMENTS (from top of case)	Date <u>iO[13]93</u> Project <u>HEMICAL LEAMAN TANK LINES</u> Project # <u>35102.400</u> Sample/Type: Soil; Sediment; Surface Water; GW Waste: Other
Well Size/Type $1.5^{"}$ ED.Total Well Depth $17.14^{'}$ Depth to Water $11.06^{'}$ Height of Water Column 6.08	Gals of Standing Water.97Gals to Purge $(x \le)$ Gals Actually Purged \le Gals/ft (2"ID=0.16)4"=0.656"ID=1.47
II. WELL PURGING: Start <u>1200p.</u> Stop Equipment: Pump Well behavior during purging: <u>MW-B-4 was</u> TO RECHARGE THEY BALLED DRY AFTER	<u>Li40p.m</u> Discharge Rate (GPM) Bailer DEDICATED PVC BAILED DRY AFTER 3 GALLONS, ALLOWED A TETAL OF 5 GALLONS.
III. SAMPLE COLLECTION: Time Method: Bailer DEDICATED PVC Containers (2) 40 ml VOAS; (1) 1 pt. CYANIDE; Sample Appearance and Odor (LOLDY, 40 CDV	D# <u>B-4</u> Other (1) of METALS; (1) 1/2 god. BNA/PESTICIDES OR
IV. FIELD MEASUREMENTS:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Temp 11.3°ċ pH 7.22 Conductivity 1511u\$/cm Turbidity 7200	
Weather Mostly Suggy, 2 50°, Light (1). Comments	と 、 よ 、 、 、 、 、 、 、 、 、 、 、 、 、

\FORMS\wellsampl.xis

Sampling Record

Sample ID <u>B-6</u> Location <u>MW-B-6</u> Samplers <u>RKS/DWS</u> Client <u>YSDEC</u> I. WATER LEVEL MEASUREMENTS (from top of cas	Date IO 13/93 Project Utenical Learnard Tagic Liges Project # 35102.400 Sample/Type: Soil; Sediment; Surface Water; GW Waste: Other			
Well Size/Type 1.5 T.DTotal Well Depth $17.38'$ Depth to Water $13.62'$ Height of Water Column 3.76	Gals of Standing Water (aO) Gals to Purge $(x5)$ Gals Actually Purged 3.5 Gals/ft 2"ID=0.164"=0.656"ID=1.47			
II. WELL PURGING: Start 10:40 m Stop 2:30 p.m. Discharge Rate (GPM) Equipment Pump (GATRIFUGAL Bailer Well behavior during purging: <u>MW-B-10 WAS PUMPED DRY AFTER 1/2 GALLON, ZGAUENS,</u> AND 3.5 GALLONS, RELIARGE WAS SLOW.				
III. SAMPLE COLLECTION: Time Method: Bailer DEDICATED RVC Containers (2) 40 M. VOAS; (1) Lpt. cyAqiDE; Sample Appearance and Odor <u>CLOUDY WITH</u>	Other Other Other Other MO ODOR			
IV. FIELD MEASUREMENTS:				
Temp 11.1°C pH 6.95 Conductivity 1572.45km Turbidity 75.0				
Weather <u>Surry, EAS</u> , 5-10 uph iU. wirdt Comments	<u>۲</u>			

\FORMS\wellsampl.xls