

Entered

ì

# DUNLOP

# Investigation of Inactive Waste Disposal Sites Buffalo Plant

Ø

•

٠

October 3, 1983





# Investigation of Inactive Waste Disposal Sites Buffalo Plant October 3, 1983

ADVANCED ENVIRONMENTAL SYSTEMS CONESTOGA ROVERS & ASSOCIATES LIMITED

Ref. No. 1135

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

\_ ... .. ........

5

1.0	INTRODUCTION	1
2.0	PROBLEM DEFINITION	3
3.0	DISPOSAL PRACTICES	4
	3.1 HISTORICAL	4
	3.2 CURRENT	4
4.0	SITE INVESTIGATION	6
	4.1 WASTE DISPOŚAŁ LIMITS	6
	4.2 MONITORING WELL INSTALLATION	7
	4.3 MONITORING WELL CONSTRUCTION	9
	4.4 CLEANING PROCEDURES	12
5.0	GEOLOGIC INVESTIGATION	13
	5.1 OVERBURDEN STRATIGRAPHY	13
	5.2 BEDROCK STRATIGRAPHY	14
6.0	HYDROLOGIC INVESTIGATION	15
	6.1 SURFACE WATER	15
	6.2 OVERBURDEN GROUNDWATER	16
	6.3 BEDROCK GROUNDWATER	17
7.0	SAMPLE COLLECTION	18
	7.1 ANALYTICAL RESULTS - SOIL	18
	7.2 ANALYTICAL RESULTS - WATER	19
8.0	ENVIRONMENTAL DISCUSSION	20
9.0	RECOMMENDATIONS AND CONCLUSIONS	22

## TABLE OF CONTENTS (Cont'd)

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX A WASTE DISPOSAL PRACTICES A-1 HISTORICAL A-2 CURRENT

- APPENDIX B TESTHOLE STRATIGRAPHIC LOGS
- APPENDIX C ELECTROMAGNETIC CONDUCTIVITY SURVEY
- APPENDIX D STRATIGRAPHIC AND INSTRUMENTATION LOGS 1983 MONITORING WELL INSTALLATIONS
- APPENDIX E PREVIOUS DRILLING INFORMATION CIRCA 1950's
- APPENDIX F ANALYTICAL RESULTS AND QUALITY ASSURANCE DOCUMENTATION

## LIST OF FIGURES

-. .

Page

and so with but of the state of the

... .

FIGURE 1	GROUNDWATER MONITORING WELL LOCATIONS	7a
FIGURE 2	TYPICAL MONITORING WELL INSTALLATION DETAILS	10a
FIGURE 3	SURFACE WATER DRAINAGE	15a
FIGURE 4	GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION BMW-1 - 6'-7'	17a
FIGURE 5	GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION OMW-3 - 6'-8'	17b
FIGURE 6	SOIL SAMPLING LOCATIONS	18a

#### LIST OF TABLES

TABLE 1	WELL INSTALLATION AND ELEVATION DETAILS	11a
TABLE 2	GROUNDWATER ELEVATIONS	16a
TABLE 3	SOIL ANALYSIS RESULTS	18b
TABLE 4	GROUNDWATER ANALYSIS RESULTS	19a

## LIST OF MAPS

MAP 1	FILL	LIMITS	AND	TESTHOLE	LOCATIONS	ба
-------	------	--------	-----	----------	-----------	----

#### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

On October 8, 1982 Advanced Environmental Systems (AES) and Conestoga-Rovers & Associates Limited (CRA) submitted a proposal entitled

"Investigation of Inactive Waste Disposal Sites - Buffalo Plant" to Dunlop Tire and Rubber Corporation. In the proposal six (6) work items were identified and are briefly described as follows:

- Determine historical disposal practices and document any historical overland discharges to or from neighbouring properties.
- Define present waste generation and disposal procedures.
- Upgrade existing plant practices regarding hazardous waste handling - if required.
- 4) Perform a site investigation which consists of;
  - a) soil sample collection from the overburden during well installation
  - b) water sample collection from the proposed well installations
  - c) define the site hydrogeology

- 5) Identify the waste disposal boundaries using historical records, geophysical remote sensing techniques and backhoe excavation or borehole augering.
- 6) Propose a remedial plan, if required, using the data collected in work items 1 to 5.

This report discusses and presents

the investigative findings of the above work items and recommends the remedial measures to be implemented.

#### 2.0 PROBLEM DEFINITION

As a result of concerns expressed regarding the possible presence of chlorinated solvents in the former disposal areas at the plant, Dunlop has voluntarily undertaken a site investigation to evaluate the existing conditions. This investigation has involved a review of historical and current waste disposal practices and soil and groundwater quality analyses on the plant property.

#### doman, kundvala 57 abodian k Herri.

#### 3.0 **DISPOSAL PRACTICES**

3.1 HISTORICAL

A review of historical disposal practices was conducted by Dunlop. Through conversations with Dunlop personnel and retirees it was determined that, in fact, some chemicals and solvents were deposited in the former disposal area on the eastern portion of the plant property.

A summary of the historical disposal practice investigation is presented in Appendix A.

#### 3.2 CURRENT

A compilation of all current in-house waste generation was completed by Dunlop in January 1983. This investigation involved a department by department review of all waste product generation and the current mode of disposal. A complete listing of the current waste disposal practices are presented in Appendix A. In addition, as part of a feasibility study for an on-site incinerator, Dunlop has quantified the current waste generation rates for the plant.

a dipat based with a labor with a constraint.

A summary of the waste generation volumes are also presented in Appendix A.

.

#### 4.0 SITE INVESTIGATION

4.1 WASTE DISPOSAL LIMITS

In order to identify the areal and vertical limits of waste disposal, a field investigation was conducted using a backhoe in January 1983. The investigation involved the excavation and logging of 26 testholes throughout the former disposal areas. The locations of the boreholes are identified on enclosed Map 1. The stratigraphic logs of the testholes are presented in Appendix B.

In addition to the testholes, the Geological Survey of the United States Department of the Interior conducted an electromagnetic conductivity survey along five (5) vectors across the plant property. The survey alignments are presented on Map 1 and the raw data is presented in Appendix C. A list of conductivity ranges for various native materials are also included in Appendix C. Given that the native soils of the upper overburden regime are generally silts and clays, it is possible to differentiate between some of the background noise and highly conductive foreign matter. The apparent high conductivity intervals are plotted on Map 1. These appear to coincide fairly consistently with the waste

> ապետությունը է նախորհելու տես համան համանականությունը։ Երկ է ններ են են ենչներների հետ էլ ենչ է է։ Դերե ենչու տես ենչու ններ հետ ենչում է ենչու ենչու հետ էլ ենչու ենչու ենչու տես է ենչու է ենչու է ենչու ենչու է

б

disposal limits identified during the testhole survey. The two instances of apparent nonconformance are:

- Along line 3 and 4 where no significant conductivity variations were noted. However, this may simply be a result of the waste character deposited in that area.
- 2) The northerly high conductivity interval along line 5 coincides with the presence of a 54" x 85" metal arch storm sewer pipe.

#### Based on the above two

investigations and a visual field delineation survey which was tied in by stadia, the approximate extent of the disposal areas has been defined. The estimated disposal area limits are presented in Map 1 (enclosed).

## 4.2 MONITORING WELL INSTALLATION

From December 8 to 17, 1982, Empire Soils Investigations, Inc. installed a total of six (6) groundwater monitoring wells; four (4) overburden monitoring wells and two (2) bedrock monitoring wells. The locations of these wells are presented in Figure 1.



Three (3) overburden monitoring

wells were installed around former disposal areas to identify overburden groundwater characteristics and movement. One (1) overburden monitoring well was placed directly into a known disposal area to provide landfill characteristic information including disposal depth, refuse components and groundwater conditions.

The three overburden wells

installed adjacent to the former disposal areas were installed to a depth of approximately 10 feet into native soils. The landfill overburden well was installed approximately 2.5 feet into the native soils underlying the fill. Continuous split spoon samples of the overburden materials were collected in advance of the augering operation to provide the geologic stratigraphy. (Note: it is assumed that the soil stratigraphy at OMW-2 and OMW-4 are identical to the  $\checkmark$ adjacent bedrock wells BMW-1 and BMW-2 respectively. Therefore, split spoon sampling was not required for these two overburden wells).

The bedrock monitoring wells were installed to identify the local bedrock groundwater conditions. One of the bedrock monitoring wells was installed adjacent to a former disposal area in the west sector of the plant and the second bedrock

> A second sec second sec

monitoring well was installed directly below the former disposal area in the east sector of the plant.

The two bedrock monitoring wells were installed to a depth of 7 feet into the bedrock regime.

#### Appendix D presents the

stratigraphic and instrumentation logs for each of the installed monitoring wells. Additional geologic stratigraphy information obtained from previous well drilling programs conducted in the 1950's is presented in Appendix E. The locations of the previous drilling sites are presented on Figure 1.

#### 4.3 MONITORING WELL CONSTRUCTION

The overburden wells were constructed of two inch diameter black steel pipe welded to a 5.0 foot galvanized well screen (#10 slot). A measured quartsite sandpack was placed around each well screen. A bentonite seal was placed above the sandpack and the remaining annular space around the well was backfilled to the ground surface with a cement-grout mixture. Each well was fitted with a lockable cap.

The bedrock wells were constructed of two inch diameter black steel pipe, fitted with an inverted flange which was set into the top of the bedrock. No well screen was used for the bedrock wells. Typical monitoring well installation details for both the overburden and bedrock wells are presented in Figure 2. The bedrock well installations were completed as follows:

- Split spoon samples of the overburden soils were taken in advance of the augering operation to the top of the bedrock regime.
- 2) A 5-3/4"Ø tricone bit was used to ream (2.0') two feet into the bedrock. (No bedrock core sample recovered.)
- 3) A 3"Ø NX core barrel was used to penetrate an additional five (5) feet into the bedrock (5.0' bedrock core recovered). Total penetration into bedrock was 7.0 feet
- 4) After completion of the bedrock coring, the flanged black steel pipe was lowered into the open core hole. The purpose of the flange is to center the well pipe into the 3" diameter borehole and to provide a proper seal between the overburden and bedrock regimes.

5) A two foot bentonite seal was then installed above the steel flange to seal the well pipe into place.

- 6) The remaining annular space was backfilled to the ground surface with a cement grout mixture.
- 7) Each bedrock well was fitted with a lockable cap.

A summary of the well installation and elevation details are presented in Table 1.

All soil cuttings from the fill areas were placed in 55 gallon steel drums for subsequent disposal.

During the installation of BMW-1 the drilling augers became seized at a depth of 74.0'. The driller utilized a biodegradable drilling fluid to free the seized augers. The augers were then removed and a 6" inch diameter hollow casing was installed to facilitate completion of the monitoring well installation. Prior to bedrock coring, the 6" diameter casing was flushed with clean water to remove the remaining drilling fluid.

11

and a second second



1135 - 3/10/83

•.\*

#### TABLE 1

#### WELL INSTALLATION AND ELEVATION DETAILS DUNLOP TIRE CORPORATION

÷

ì

.

•

•

i t

۰i

Well <u>No.</u>	Installation Type	Top of Casing Elevation	Ground Elevation	Well Screen Interval	Sand Pack Interval	Top of Bedrock Elevation
0Mw-1	Overburden - installed into native soil	593.66	591.5	584.5 - 579.5	579.4 to 589.5	
0MW-2	Overburden - installed into native soil	589.22	585.9	580.6 - 575.6	575.5 to 583.9	
OMW-3	Overburden - installed into native soil	604.27	601.5	594.1 - 589.1	589.2 to 600.0	
omw-4	Overburden - installed 2.7 into native soils below landfill base	610.36	608.2	604.2 - 599.2	599.1 to 605.7	
BMW-1	Bedrock	588.62	585.6	523.1 - 516.1 (Bottom of Core Hole) No Screen)		523.1
BMW-2	Bedrock	610.62	607.6	533.4 - 525.9 (Bottom of Core Hole) No Screen		533.4
Water We Testhole						
#3	Bedrock (1952)		605.4			530.4

Note: Benchmark reference was the concrete loading docks south side of Building 6 ELEVATION - 598.50

. 1

4.4 CLEANING PROCEDURES

To prevent the introduction of outside contamination or cross-contamination during the well installation program, the drilling and sampling equipment was cleaned prior to each use in the following manner:

- 1) high pressure steam cleaning
- 2) rinse with a mixture of acetone and water
- 3) rinse with a mixture of hexane and water
- 4) rinse with a mixture of acetone and water
- 5) rinse with distilled water

The used cleaning solvents were contained in 55 gallon drums for subsequent disposal.

#### 5.0 **GEOLOGIC INVESTIGATION**

#### 5.1 OVERBURDEN STRATIGRAPHY

During the monitoring well installation program, split spoon samples of the overburden material were collected and classified for geologic identification. The geologic stratigraphy at the site consists of an upper layer (Approximately 15 to 20 feet thick) of very stiff silt and clay which gradually changes to a soft silty clay - reddish brown in color (approximately 40' thick). The strata immediately overlying the bedrock generally consisted of a sandy silt till which ranged in thickness from approximately 8 feet at BMW-1 to 12-1/2 feet at BMW-2. Complete stratigraphic information is presented in Appendix D.

The overburden thickness at BMW-1

and BMW-2 were recorded as 62.5 and 74.2 feet respectively. Information regarding two of the previously installed wells (1952 and 1953), indicate overburden thicknesses of 75 and 69 feet respectively.

The maximum fill thickness

encountered during the site investigation was 10 feet at TH12. OMW-4 which was also installed through the  $\gamma$ 

fill encountered approximately 8 feet of fill materials. Common refuse encountered included ash, cinders, slag, gravel, rubber, wood, brick and metal fragments in a clay, sand or silt matrix.

and a construction of the two tests of the test of test of

#### 5.2 BEDROCK STRATIGRAPHY

The upper bedrock regime is generally gray aphanitic dolomite of the Salina Formation of the Paleozoic Era, Upper Silurian Age. The bedrock was thin bedded to very thin bedded and contained numerous gypsum deposits.

### 6.0 HYDROLOGIC INVESTIGATION

#### 6.1 SURFACE WATER

The surface water drainage around the immediate plant facility is generally handled by a storm sewer network which discharges through the Oil and Floating Object Trapping Pond on the west sector of the site. The discharge from the pond flows through a series of culverts and ditches which ultimately discharge into the Niagara River. The general surface drainage configuration for the Dunlop property is presented in Figure 3.

The open areas to the east and west of the plant facilities are generally serviced by overland flow paths. Two major drainage ditches provide the only significant drainage routes through the open areas. The existing drainage system and their off-site receivers are presented on Figure 3.

The topographic relief through the open areas is not continuous and as a result several ponded areas have developed in pocketed areas throughout the site. The fill areas are surrounded by ponded surface water and are themselves entrappment areas for surficial water. For this reason, it is not



clearly understood why overburden well OMW4-83 remained dry. Especially considering that the soil stratigraphy noted was moist to wet.

The fine grained nature of the native subsurface soils does not promote significant infiltration and therefore the major avenues of surface water removal from the area are via evapotranspiration and overland flow.

#### 6.2 OVERBURDEN GROUNDWATER

------

The massive deposits of clayey material underlying the Dunlop Plant are not condusive to groundwater transport. Of the split spoon samples collected during the well installation program, the only significant wetted intervals were noted in the surficial fill and in the till materials immediately overlying the bedrock. As a result of the impermeable clayey soil conditions, the shallow overburden monitoring wells were very slow to recharge following installation. Water level measurements taken during the site investigation are presented in Table 2.

Based on the results of grain size distribution analyses performed on two shallow

#### DUNLOP GROUNDWATER ELEVATIONS

Well Desi <b>gn</b> ation	OMW 1	OMW 2	OMW3	OMW4	BMW 1	BMW2
Top <b>of</b> Casing El <b>ev</b> ation	593.66	589.22	60 <b>4.27</b>	610.36	588.62	610.62
Date						
12/21 <b>/8</b> 2	57 <b>9.3</b> 6	575.92	589.07	599.06	534.62	549.12
12/22 <b>/8</b> 2	5 <b>79.4</b> 6	576.02	58 <b>9.17</b>	59 <b>9.0</b> 6	534.32	54 <b>9.32</b>
1/6/ <b>83</b>	579.46	576.02	589.07	599.16	528.62	55 <b>5.92</b>
1/10 <b>/8</b> 3	579.46	576.02	589.07	59 <b>9.16</b>	537.82	55 <b>2.7</b> 2
3/7/83	586.26	578.97	59 <b>3.52</b>	59 <b>9.06</b>	53 <b>3.17</b>	548.17
3/22 <b>/8</b> 3	58 <b>7.26</b>	579.22	59 <b>5.2</b> 7	599.06	536.87	54 <b>8.92</b>
4/5/8 <b>3</b>	58 <b>7.8</b> 6	580.67	59 <b>6.7</b> 7	59 <b>9.0</b> 6	542.12	55 <b>2.52</b>
4/19 <b>/8</b> 3	588.26	581.82	59 <b>7.97</b>	599.06	538.52	55 <b>1.32</b>
5/2/8 <b>3</b>	588.16	582.27	59 <b>8.67</b>	599.06	537.92	550 <b>.72</b>
5/16 <b>/8</b> 3	588.51	582.67	599.02	59 <b>9.06</b>	53 <b>5.32</b>	54 <b>9.87</b>
5/31/83	587.71	582.27	59 <b>9.3</b> 7	599.06	54 <b>2.8</b> 2	554-07
9/21 <b>/8</b> 3	58 <b>5,49</b>	583.59	594.31	601.42	542.64	55 <b>5.1</b> 7

**.** ... . .

.....

. . . . . . . . . .

overburden samples, it is possible to estimate the permeability of the upper overburden regime. Using the Hazen Permeability Equation:

$$K = 100 (D_{10})^{2}$$
  
where K = permeability  
$$D_{10} = Hazen Effective Size (cm) (10\% passing by weight)$$

The estimated permeability of the overburden is:

Location	Sample #	Depth	Estimated Permeability
BMW-1	S-4	6-7'	4.9 x $10^{-8}$ cm/sec
OMW-3	S-4	6-8'	4.9 x $10^{-8}$ cm/sec

The low permeability calculated above supports the observed low permeabilities indicated by extremely slow well recovery. Figures 4 and 5 present the grain size distribution curves for the tested samples.

## 6.3 BEDROCK GROUNDWATER

The upper bedrock layer of dolomite is sufficiently fractured to comprise a water bearing interval. The primary water transport medium in the bedrock is via the fractures and solution cavities. Secondary permeability is not significant.



1135 - 3/10/83



1

1135 + 3/10/83

#### 7.0 SAMPLE COLLECTION

7.1 ANALYTICAL RESULTS - SOIL

The initial soil investigation at the Dunlop Plant consisted of the collection of four surface soil samples in July 1982. The locations of the four sampling stations are identified in Figure 6. Each sample was analyzed for Total Volatile Halogenated Organics, Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen and Total Recoverable Phenols. The results of the testing program are presented in Table 3A. The quality assurance documentation is presented in Appendix F.

During the installation of wells BMW-1, BMW-2, OMW-1 and OMW-3, a total of 10 split spoon soil samples were collected for chemical analysis. Each sample was analyzed for the following five parameters: chloroform, carbon tetrachloride, trichloroethylene, tetrachloroethylene, and total phenols.

The analytical results are presented in Table 3B.



#### TABLE 3A

. . . . . . . . . . . . .

.

#### SOIL ANALYSIS RESULTS

## SURFACE SOIL SAMPLES (JULY 13, 1982)

Sample Identification	Total Volatile Halogenated Organics (ppm)	Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen (ppm)	Total Recov <b>erabl</b> e Phenols (ppm)
Bole #1 ( <u>1.8 ft.</u> )	<b>t.07</b> 0	1,680.	0.188
Hole #2 $1$	0.351	708.	0.219
Hole #3 3	0.448	747.	0.194
Bole #4 1.5	0.082	673.	0.196

#### TABLE 3B

#### SOIL ANALYSIS RESULTS

#### SPLIT SPOON SAMPLES (DECEMBER 8 TO 17, 1982)

Ł

Sample Identification	Phenols (ppm)	Chloroform (ppb)	Carbon Tetrachloride (ppb)	Trichloroethylene (ppb)	Tetrachloroethylene (ppb)
BMW #1 - 0-2'	0.11	20.6	<0.20 <sup>2</sup>	5.5	7.4
BMW #1 - 1 <b>4'-1</b> 6'	0.03	18.2	<0.10	3.4	2.6
BMW #1 - 60'-61.5'	0.08	6.9	<0.10	1.5	2.6
BMW #2 - 0-2'	0.35	18.6	<0.10	12.6	30.9
BMW #2 - 16'+18'	0.09	13.5	<0.10	3.5	2.9
BMW #2 - 65'-66'	0.32	4.4	<0.10	0.9	1.1
OMW #1 - 0-2'	0.32	14.5	<0.09	6.3	18.4
OMW #1 - 8'-10'	0.15	1.5	<0.09	0.5	1.4
OMW #3 - 0-2'	0.30	38.9	<0.17	7.3	9.2
OMW #3 - 6'-8'	0.14	9.5	<0.13	1.7	3.0

Phenol in soil expressed as micrograms per gram and phenol in water expressed as milligrams per liter (ppm). Volatiles in soil expressed as nanograms per gram and volatiles in water given as micrograms per liter (ppb).

 $^2$  (<) less than equals the limits of detection

.

7.2 ANALYTICAL RESULTS - WATER

Due to the slow recovery of the shallow overburden wells, water sample collection from the newly installed overburden wells was delayed until July 1983. OWM-4 was not sampled as sufficient water was not available. Each overburden well was bailed dry and allowed to recover prior to sampling.

## Bedrock groundwater samples were

collected in January following three separate prebailing operations. Each bedrock well was prebailed a total five (5) well volumes of groundwater on each of the three pre-bailing dates.

#### Each groundwater sample was

analyzed for phenol, carbon tetrachloride, chloroform, trichloroethylene and tetrachloroethylene. The analytical results are presented in <u>Table 4</u> and the quality assurance documentation is presented in Appendix F.

#### TABLE 4

GROUNDWATER	ANALYTICAL	RESULTS
-------------	------------	---------

Sample Identification	Phenols	Carbon Tetrachloride	Chloroform	Trichloroethylene	Tetrachloroethylene
BMW #1	0.00	<0.10 <sup>1</sup>	<0.10	<0.10	<0.40
BMW #1 (Duplicate)	0.00	<0.10	<0.10	<0.10	<0.10
BMW #2	0.00	<0.10	<0.10	<0.10	<0.10
BMW #2 (Duplicate)	0.00	<0.10	<0.10	<0.10	<0.10
Field Blank (1/13/83)	0.00	<0.10	0.60	<0.10	<0.10
OMW #1	7.28	<0.20	0.09	0.09	0.38
OMW #1 (Duplicate)	7,36	<0.20	0.08	0.10	0.12
OMW #2	4.76	<0.20	0.07	0.06	0.16
OMW #2 (Duplicate)	3.55	<0.20	0.04	0.06	0.09
OMW #3	7.18	<0.20	0.08	0.06	0.08
OMW #3 (Duplicate)	5.29	<0.20	0.10	0.04	0.13
Field Blank (6/27/83) #1	*	<0.20	0.13	0.05	0.22
Field Blank (6/27/83) #2	*	<0.20	0.16	0.07	0.22
Field Blank (7/5/83) #1	*	<0.20	0.60	0 - 14	0.13-
<b>Field</b> Blank (7/5/83) #2	*	<0.20	0.31	<0.03	0.05

1 (<) Less than equals the limits of detection
\* No Field Blank for Phenols
All results expressed as micrograms per liter, or ppb</pre>

#### 8.0 ENVIRONMENTAL DISCUSSION

The initial four soil samples analyzed by AES in July 1982 provided Dunlop with a preliminary set of volatile halogenated organic parameters. These parameters were then used to evaluate subsequent analytical investigations.

The results of the split spoon sample analyses indicate that the concentrations of volatile organics drop significantly with depth. The analytical results are consistent with the soil stratigraphy information considering the relatively impermeable nature of the soil underlying the fill materials.

The highest volatile organic concentration recorded was that of chloroform (38.9 ppb) at OMW3 (0-2' depth). The concentration dropped to 9.5 ppb for the interval from 6 to 8 feet in depth. Carbon tetrachloride was not detected in any of the soil samples.

The phenol concentrations also dropped between the surface and next sample interval tested indicating that the clayey soils are effectively restricting the downward migration of contaminants. Considering the massive layers of clayey soils, it is unlikely that the. relatively high phenol concentrations recorded in the wet
till overlying the bedrock are the result of on-site disposal practices.  $U_{4} = U_{4}$ 

As noted in Table 4, the analytical results of the overburden groundwater samples collected do not indicate the presence of any volatile organic in excess of 1 ppb. In fact, the analyzed groundwater\_results\_are\_generally\_lower\_than\_those\_recorded for\_the field blanks. Once again, the presence of carbon tetrachloride was not recorded in any of the samples.

All of the phenol results are on the order of 4 to 7 ppb for the overburden samples. This is above the New York State guideline for wastewater of 1 ppb, however, considering the rate of groundwater flow through the lower permeable soils, there will not be a significant environmental impact resulting from the presence of the phenols in the overburden regime.

X

The analytical results for the bedrock groundwater samples do not show the presence of any of the parameters for which tests were conducted.

All of the above results indicate that the problem of contaminant migration, if any, would be restricted to the surficial flow regimes.

14 14 명 **1**2명 2명하기

21

#### 9.0 RECOMMENDATIONS AND CONCLUSIONS

Based on the information collected to date, the following conclusions and recommendations have been formulated:

- J1. It is concluded that the clayey soils underlying the plant site are effectively preventing the vertical migration of contaminants, thus protecting the groundwater of both the overburden and bedrock regimes.
- It is concluded that the limits of refuse disposal are approximately as identified on enclosed Map 1.
- 3. It is concluded that the only significant environmental ? impact, if any, would be limited to surface water discharge from the fill areas.
  - 14. It is recommended that a surface water sampling program be undertaken to identify surface water discharge quality from the former disposal areas.
- J 5. It is recommended that a surface contouring plan be developed to promote surface water drainage in identified problem areas.

22

/ 6. It is recommended that fill areas be appropriately graded (minimum 5% slope) and capped with impermeable materials (K =  $10^{-7}$  cm/sec) to eliminate surface water/fill contact in these areas.

All of Which is Respectfully Submitted, ADVANCED ENVIRONMENTAL SYSTEMS INC.

poepin Mc Daugal  $(\mathcal{N})$ 

W. Joseph McDougall, Ph.D.

CONESTOGA-ROVERS & ASSOCIATES LIMITED

Frank A. Rovers, P. Eng.

James Ku

James K. Kay, P. Eng.

## APPENDIX A

/

. .

**.** . .

I

I

2

I

I

}

### WASTE DISPOSAL PRACTICES

APPENDIX A-1

HISTORICAL

PTEETER ALTERIA AVER ENVEL

# DUNLOP

TO: D. Pyanowski

. . . . . . . .

FROM: T. L. Zera

SUBJECT: History - Use of Dumpsite by Warehouse

In an effort to determine what substances and if any substances were dumped on Dunlop property in the past, conversations took place with S. Tumidolsky, G. Pitman, K. Boerst, H. Tyrell, and R. Potzler. The summary of information obtained is as follows:

- 1. It was common practice to dump waste in an unofficial dumpsite East of the Warehouse, North of the ashpit.
- 2. Even as recently as November, 1982, 55 gallon drums and smaller containers were found protruding from the ground in this area.
- 3. Only H. Tyrell could cite specific liquid waste substances being dumped in this area. He stated that waste solvent and degreaser substances were dumped in this area. Machine cleaning solvents as well as those used in golf ball production were referred to. "Phanalene" was specified as a chemical solvent used.
- 4. R. Potzler referred to urethane production as a possible source of the chloroform found in samples taken recently. Chloroform also was used in balada processing in the manufacture of golf balls (methyl chloroform - remold cement -37-561) in Buffalo.
- 5. The trichloroethylene and carbon tetrachloride are common solvents that could have been used for golf ball production and machine/parts cleaning years ago. Carbon tetrachloride is the most hazardous of the substances and has been discontinued as a cleaning solvent by general industry probably twenty years ago.
- 6. S. Tumidolsky and G. Pitman were of the opinion that liquid wastes were put in a tank on the back dock of Receiving or the Calender Department and the tank was taken off site by Seaway, a firm that disposed of its contents for Dunlop.

#### Conclusion:

1. At least one former Dunlop employee can recall first-hand that chemicals and solvents were dumped out in the field East of the Warehouse. The other retirees can only corroborate that; that area was used as a dumpsite.

F-1032

D. Pyanowski Page 2 December 10, 1982

•

2. We can attribute the chlorinated solvents found in recent water samples, to prior chemicals and processes used at the Buffalo Plant years ago.

T. L. Zera

TLZ/smh

### APPENDIX A-2

1

1

CURRENT

WASTE DISPOSAL - BUFFALO

4

Downing.

1

f

1

Î

ļ

Į

1

MATERIAL		COLLECTION		DISPOSAL
l. Carb <b>on B</b> lad	ь.	Dept. 201 floor sweepings, spillage. Dept. 201 dust collectors Carbon Black tower pit	l.	Sludge container on back dock between Bldgs. #1 & 4.
2. Buff <b>i</b> ng Du	st 2.	.Dept. 237 dust collectors floor sweepings.	2.	Put in 55 gal. drums. Drums emptied into 30 cu. yd. container : 60 ft. rdwy. Containe taken off-site and emptied by Downing.
3. Diaphragms	з.	All curing departments	3.	Dept. 145 personnel collect and pile at er of 60 ft. rdwy, by R.1 tracks. Sold to scraj dealers who remove the from Company property
4. Scra <b>p</b> Tires	s 4.	Dept. 237, Adjustments, Tire Test, Curing Depts.	4.	Scrap tires are cut at put in 30 cu. yd. con- tainer - back dock of Bldg. 4. Container taken off- site and emptied by Frontier.
5. Scra <b>p</b> Rubbe	er 5a.	Dept. 201 - bad batches	5a	Put into 30 cu. yd. co tainer at end of 80 fo rdwy. Container take: off-site and emptied i Downing.
	b.	Tire Test - pieces	Ъ	Put into compactor at back dock - Bldg. 4 Taken off-site by Downing.
6. Tire Beads	б.	Dept. 206, Tire Bldg. Depts.	6.	Put into compactor at back dock - Bldg. 4 Taken off-site by Downing.
7. Tire Trimm	ings 7.	Dept. 237, 214	7.	Shoveled into Hoppers in dept. Trucked to compactor at back doc} Bldg. 4 and warehouse compactor. Taken off- site and emptied by Doming

## WASTE DISPOSAL - BUFFALO

.

## Page 2

back dock

Ì

MAT	TERIAL		COLLECTION		DISPOSAL
8.	Plastic		Dept. 201, packaging material Warehouse	8.	Put in Hoppers. Trucked to compactor at back dock - Bldg. Taken off-site and emptied by Downing.
9.	Fabric	9.	Dept. 202, Tire Bldg. Depts., scrap	9.	Collected in Depts. taken to Dept. 202 dock - baled, weighed sold to scrap dealer. Taken off-site.
10.	Oi <b>ls,g</b> ear lubricants	10a.	Banburys - Dept. 201	10a	Collected in buckets. Emptied in Hopper in Dept. Trucked to sludge container - ba dock, between Bldgs. & 4.
			Gas Truck Garage motor oil Dept. 237 hydraulic leaks residue in oil lines - N. end of 80 ft. rdwy. Curing Dept. hydraulic oil.		Emptied into submarin Taken to Boiler House and burned. Emptied into submarin Taken to Boiler House and burned as fuel.
11.	Sludge	11	Banburys - Dept. 201	11.	Put into plastic line 55 gal drum - emptied into Hopper in Dept. Trucked to sludge con- tainer between Bldgs. #1 &4, back dock.
12.	Rubber Cement	12.	Dept. 204, 239, Tread line over spray and waste	12.	Put into drums, taken to sludge container between Bldgs. #1 & 4 back dock.
13.	Floor Sweepings.	13.	Plantwide power floor sweepers.		145 personnel empty into Hoppers. Trucke to compactor - back dock - Bldg. #4. Dept. 201 sweepings emptied into Hooper a put in sludge contain between Bldgs. 1-4,

WASTE DISPOSAL - BUFFALO

MATERIAL

Ĩ

Î

I

Page 3

DISPOSAL

14	. Pe <b>n</b> etone	14. Machine Shop	14	Mixed into contents of submarines as clea- ing agent. Submarine taken to Boiler House contents burned as fu	     
15	. Ke <b>r</b> osene	15. Maintenance shops in Depts parts cleaning solvent.	15	Drum taken to sludge container between Bld #1-4, back dock.	
16	. Ac <b>i</b> d Tanks Oa <b>kite</b> 32 (3 <b>8-31</b> 0) Oa <b>ki</b> te M-3 Stripper	16. Dept. 213 acid tank	16	Neutralized, emptied into drain.	
17	. Wo <b>o</b> d, pallets, re <b>f</b> use	17. Dept. 201, 181 Warehouse	17	Collected by 145 Dept personnel, emptied in compactor - back dock Bldg. #4	
18	. Gr <b>e</b> en Tire Paint	18. Tire Bldg. Depts. residue and when tanks flushed.	18.	Dept. 145 personnel c lects and puts liquid in sludge container - back dock, between Bldgs. 1-4 and solids in compactor back doc Bldg. 4	
19	. Spray Lat	19. Dept. 237 Dept. overspray	19.	Dept. 145 personnel take solids to compac tor - back dock Bdlg.	

COLLECTION

January, 1983 T. L. Zera W. Podlewski

#### TABLE 1 - REFUSE DATA - DUNLOP TIRE & RUBBER - BUFFALO, N.Y.

Container	Location	Nominal Weight (Lbs.)	Pickup Frequency	Daily Waste Generation (Lbs.)	Waste Type	BTU/LD.	BTU/Day	BTU/Br.	% Rubber <b>Waste</b>	Lb. Rubber/ Day
Compactor No. 1	Building 1	9,000	11/Day	14,000	Pallets, paper, cardboard	8,500	1.2 x 10 <sup>8</sup>	4,958,333	0	0
Compactor No. 2	Building 4	18,500	1/Week	3,700	Pallets, paper, cardboard	8,500	$3.1 \pm 10^7$	1,310,417	10	370
Compactor No. 3	Warebouse	23,000	1/3 Weeks	1,500	Pallets, paper, spew vents	10,000	1.5 x 10 <sup>7</sup>	625,000	25	375
Dust Container	Warehouse	8,000	1/Week	1,600	Buffing dust, general trash	10,000	1.6 x 10 <sup>7</sup>	666,667	75	1,200
Sludge Container	Building 1 Breakdown o Sludge Container	35,000 Total f (8,000 Sludge 11,500 Powders 5,700 Traah	1/2 Weeke	570	Banbury waste oil sludge Carbon black & powders Bags, paper, general refuse	8,500	4.9 x 10 <sup>6</sup>	202,000	10	57
Extra Container	Building 1	Say 8,000 2,000-16,000	1/2 Weeks	800	Overflow from Compactor No. 1	8,500	$6.8 \times 10^6$	283,333	O	0
Scrap Bubber	North End Roadway	5,600	1/Month	280	Cured rubber, general trash	10,000	2.8 x 10 <sup>6</sup>	116,667	10	28
Railroad	Scale House		4/Year	*-*-*	R.B. car waste	··· •		+1 w -1		··
Boiler House	Boiler House		5/Year	***	General refuse				-+-	
Machine Shop $(30 \text{ yd}^3)$	Building 6	N/A	N/A	H/A	Metal waste		X			
Machine Shop (10 yd <sup>3</sup> )	Building 6	7	?	?	General trash			** ** **	-**	
2-Tire Containers	Building 4	3	3/Week	4,500	Tirea	12,000	5.4 x 10 <sup>7</sup>	2,250,000	100	14,500
Tire Container	Tire Ad <b>justmen</b> t	1,000	1/Week	200	Tiree	12,000	2.4 x 10 <sup>6</sup>	100,000	100	200
Other Plant Waste Source	8									
Tire Test Lab	Building 6	800	1/Week	160	Tires	12,000	1.9 x 10 <sup>6</sup>	80,000	100	160
Scrap Bladders		N/A	N/A	830	Cured rubber	13,000	10.8 x 10 <sup>6</sup>	1,50,000	100	830
Scrap Cord, Fabric & Gre	en Tires	N/A	N/A	3,000	Fabric, uncured rubber	13,000	$3.9 \times 10^7$	1,625,000	75	2,250
Liquid (sludge) Waste fr Sludge Container	•om	6,912 Lb. Ark. (864 gal. Ark.)		1,383	Banbury waste oil mixed with powders & rubber	17,000	23.5 x 10 <sup>6</sup>	980,000	10	138
Total (up to scrap bladd	ler <del>s</del> )			28,140 Lb./I	Day			11,942,417	·······	7,720
Total (including scrap c	cord)			31,140 г/1	)ay			12,667,417		9,970
Total (including sludge)	1			32, <b>523</b> Lb./I	)a <b>y</b>			13,647,417		10,180

/јв

J. LaBuda

## APPENDIX B

ł

2

1

ļ

I

I

### TESTHOLE STRATIGRAPHIC LOGS

.

TH 1 DATE: January 10, 1983

2

.

DEPTH	DESCRIPTION
0-0.3*	Dark brown topsoil
0.3-1.7'.	Red-brown silt and clay, trace of slag, some steel particles.
1.7-2.0'	Dark brown topsoil, native.
2.0-3.7'	Red-brown silt and clay.

Ì

TH 2 DATE: January 10, 1983

DEPTH	DESCRIPTION
0-0.3'	Dark brown topsoil.
0.3-2.3'	Mottled red-brown silt and clay, some gravel.
2.3-3.5'	Black slag mixed with gravel and clay.
3.5 <b>-</b> 4. <b>4'</b>	Native red-brown silt and clay.
	NOTE: Some water collecting in bottom of

excavation.

-

TH 3 DATE: J**an**uary 10, 1983

DEPTH	DESCRIPTION
0-0.3'	Dark brown topsoil.
0.3-2.3'	Mottled light and dark brown silt & clay.
2.3-3.2'	Black gravel, sand and slag.
3.2-4.2'	Native red-brown silt and clay.

TH 4 DATE: Ja**n**uary 10, 1983

DEPTH	DESCRIPTION
0-0.3'	Dark brown topsoil.
0.3-1.3'	Brown and red-brown silt and clay, some gravel, trace of slag, pieces of brick.
1.3-1.5'	Black sand and topsoil, trace of slag.
1.5-2.0'	Native red-brown silt & clay.

TH 5 DATE: January 10, 1983

Ĵ

DEPTH	DESCRIPTION
0-1.2'	Red-brown silt and clay, some gravel, topsoil to 3".
1.2-1.6'	Dark brown and black gravel, some slag.
1.6-2.2'	Native red-brown silt and clay.

- Anton

Ê

TH 6 DATE: Ja**n**uary 10, 1983

.....

DEPTH	DESCRIPTION
0-1.5'	Mottled red-brown silt and clay, topsoil.
1.5-2.5°	Black slag, gravel, wood pieces.
2.5-3.5'	Native red-brown clay and silt.

TH 7 DATE: January 10, 1983

DEPTH	DESCRIPTION
0-0.3'	Dark brown topsoil.
0.3-2.1'	Mottled red-brown silt and clay, some topsoil.
2.1-2.8'	Black slag, sand and gravel

NOTE: 10" of water in bottom of excavation.

TH 8 DATE: Ja**n**uary 10, 1983

DEPTH	DESCRIPTION
0-0.3'	Dark brown topsoil.
0.3-1.4	Brown and red-brown silt and clay.
1.4-2.2'	Dark black and reddish orange sand, flyash, gravel, slag, trace of steel particles.
2.2-3.2'	Native red-brown silt and clay.

NOTE: 6" of water collected in bottom of excavation.

• •

TH 9 DATE: January 10, 1983

•

DEPTH	DESCRIPTION
0-0.3'	Dark brown topsoil.
0.3-1.0'	Black and brown slag, sand and gravel.
1.0-2.0'	Golden brown sand and gravel with $2''-3'' \notin$ rocks, some brick.
2.0-3.0'	Native red-brown silt and clay.

NOTE: 12" of water collected in excavation bottom.

٢

TH 10

• '

DATE: January 10, 1983

## DEPTH DESCRIPTION

0-3.5' Native soils.

PN 9-1135

-

TH 11 DATE: January 10, 1983

.

•

DEPTH	DESCRIPTION
0-1.5'	Black sand and slag and gravel, flyash and yellow brick.
1.5-3.5'	Native red-brown silt and clay.
	NOTE: 6" of water in bottom of excavation.

TH 12 DATE: January 10, 1983

## DEPTH DESCRIPTION

0-10.0' Flyash, sand, gravel, brick, paper, rags - black and dark grey.

10.0-10.5' Native red-brown silt and clay.

-

TH 13 DATE: **January** 10, 1983

DEPTH	DESCRIPTION
0-0.3'	Dark brown topsoil.
0.3-1.0'	Dark brown and black topsoil, gravel, glass fragments, red brick.
1.0-2.0'	Brown, red-brown silt and clay and topsoil.
2.0-4.0'	Native red-brown silt and clay.

-

ļ

TH 14 DATE: Ja**n**uary 10, 1983

DEPTH	DESCRIPTION
0-0.3'	Dark brown topsoil
0.3-0.8'	Topsoil and sand, red. brick, some gravel.
0.8-3.5'	Native red-brown silt and clay.

TH 15 DATE: January 10, 1983

DEPTH	DESCRIPTION
0-0.1'	Topsoil
0.1-1.1'	Mottled brown and red-brown silt and clay, some topsoil.
1.1-1.4'	Black sand and gravel, some slag, large stones 2"-3", angular shaped.
1.4-3.5'	Native red-brown silt and clay.
	NOTE: 10" water collected in excavation.

•

TH 16 DATE: Ja**n**uary 10, 1983

DEPTH	DESCRIPTION
-------	-------------

0-0.5' Topsoil

0.5-2.0' Red-brown silt and clay.

.

TH 17 DATE: January 10, 1983

DEPTH	DESCRIPTION
0-0.2'	Topsoil.
0.2-0.9'	Red-brown and brown silt, some clay, some topsoil, some gravel.
0.9-2.1'	Black and dark brown gravel and sand, 2"-3" angular stones, red brick, some pieces of steel.
2.1-3.5'	Native red-brown silt and clay.

NOTE: 12" of water collected in excavation bottom.

R

l

ł

ļ

ľ

TH 18 DATE: Ja**n**uary 10, 19**8**3

DEPTH	DESCRIPTION
0-2.0'	Mottled red-brown silt and clay, trace of slag, some gravel.
2.0-2.5'	Dark brown topsoil with reddish brown streaks throughout, possible cause - decaying root matter.
2.5-3.5'	Native red-brown silt and clay.

-

TH 19 DATE: Ja**n**uary 10, 1983

DEPTH	DESCRIPTION
0-2.8'	Trace of topsoil, mottled red-brown silt and clay, pieces of lumber, some gravel.
2.8-3.4'	Black sand and silt, some slag, some gravel, root matter. Moist at 3.4'.
3.4-5.4'	Native red-brown silt and clay, some subangular stone.

TH 20 DATE: Ja**n**uary 10, 1983

DEPTH	DESCRIPTION

. ..........

0-0.2' Black peat and decaying vegetative matter.

0.2-0.7' Topsoil.

0.7-3.5' Red-brown silt and clay, rounded stone and gravel throughout.

TH 21 DATE: Ja**n**uary 11, 1983

DEPTH	DESCRIPTION
0-1.0'	Black topsoil, good roots.
1.0-3.0'	Native red clay, silt.

-

·

TH 22 DATE: Ja**n**uary 11, 1983

DEPTH	DESCRIPTION
0-0.2'	Topsoil.
0.2-0.8'	Medium red silty clay.
0.8-1.2'	Black topsoil (native).
1.2-1.8'	Brown silty clay.
1.8-2.5'	Red-brown mottled silty clay, organic fibers with reddish brown streaks throughout.
2.5-3.2'	Red silty clay.

-

TH 23 DATE: J**an**uary 11, 1983

• •

DEPTH	DESCRIPTION
0-0.2'	Topsoil.
0.2-0.8'	Red silty clay, some pebbles, 2" Ø washer.
0.8-1.1'	Black topsoil (native).
1.1-1.8'	Medium brown silty clay.
1.8-2.3	Red-brown mottled clay, reddish brown streaks throughout.
2.3-3.0'	Red silty clay.

.
-

ľ

TH 24 DATE: Ja**n**uary 11, 1983

1 - **1** - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1

DEPTH	DESCRIPTION
0-0.3'	Topsoil
0.3-0.8'	Medium red silty clay, some pebbles.
0.8-1.1'	Black topsoil (native).
1.1-1.9'	Medium brown silty clay.
1.9-2.5'	Red-brown mottled silty clay with root fibers, reddish brown streaks throughout.
2.5-3.2'	Red silty clay.

.

•

TH 25 DATE: **Janua**ry 11, 1983

-

DEPTH	DESCRIPTION
0-0.3'	Topsoil
0.3-1.2'	Gravel seam 2"-4" diameter intermixed with silt and sand.
1.2-3.2'	Red silty clay.

.-

TH 26 DATE: J**a**nuary 11, 1983

DEPTH	DESCRIPTION
0-0.7 *	Topsoil.
0.7-2.0'	Mottled red-brown silty clay.
2.0-3.3'	Red silty clay.

## ELECTROMAGNETIC CONDUCTIVITY SURVEY

APPENDIX C

Î

United States Department of the Interior



GEOLOGICAL SURVEY

December 14, 1982

Mr. Daniel Pyanowski Dunlop Tire & Rubber Corp. Buffalo, New York

Dear Mr. Pyanowski,

In response to our phone conversation of December 14 I am sending you the results of the electromagnetic conductivity surveys conducted on Dunlop property last month.

According to survey policy, until my report is formally published I am not to release any interpretations of my data to the public or to private individuals. The raw data itself, however, is usually made available per request.

Along with the data I have enclosed a list of references that you may find useful as interpretational aids. If you have any questions please don't hesitate to call me at (609) 989 2162.

Sincerely,

Philip B. Duran

Enc.







SITE Darilop









### RANGE OF CONDUCTIVITY

Material	Conductivity Range (millimhos/meter)
Lime Stone	$10^{-4} - 20$
Sands	1.25 - 100
Clays	10 - 1000
Unconsolidated Wet Clay	50

Source - Technical Note TN-5 Electrical Conductivity of Soils & Rocks Geonics Limited

ľ

ł

- Arcone, S.A., Sellman, P.V., and Delaney, A.J. 1978, Shallow electromagnetic geophysical investigations of permafrost: Proceedings, third international congress on permafrost, Edmonton, Alberta.
- Benson, R.C. and Glaccum, R.A. 1979, Remote asessment of pollutants in soil and groundwater: Technos, Inc. Miami, FL, presented at the hazardous risk asessment, disposal, and management conference, held at Miami Beach, FL April 25, 1979.
- Collett, L.S. 1978, Introduction to hydrogeophysics: Presented at the international association of hydrologists (Canadian chapter) conference at Edmonton, Alberta, Oct., 1978.
- Dobrin, M.B. 1976, <u>Introduction to Geophysical Prospecting:</u> McGraw Hill Publishing Co., New York, N.Y. 630 p.
- Glaccum, R.A., Benson, R.C., and Noel, M. 1981, Improving accuracy and cost effectiveness of hazardous waste site investigations with a new generation of geophysical methods: paper presented at the National Water Well Association groundwater technology division education session, Kansas City, Sept., 1981.

Keller, G,V., and Frischnecht, F.C., 1966 <u>Electrical Methods in</u> <u>Geophysical Prospecting:</u> Volume I, Pergamon Press, New York.

- McNeill, J.D. 1980, Electromagnetic terrain conductivity measurements at low conductivity numbers: Technical note TN-6 distributed by Geonics limited, Mississauga, Ont. 15 p.
- McNeill, J.D. 1980, Electrical conductivity of rocks and soils: Technical note TN-5 distributed by Geonics limited, Mississauga, Ont. 22 p.
- Mooney, H.M. 1980, Handbook of engineering geophysics, vol 2: distributed by Bison Instruments Inc.
- Olhoeft, G.R. 1975, Electrical properties of rocks: J. Wiley and Sons, N.Y. p. 261 - 278.
- Parasnis, D.S. 1973, <u>Mining Geophysics</u>: Elsevier Scientific Publishing Co., Amsterdam, Netherlands, 395 p.
- Parasnis, D.S. 1979, Principles of Applied Geophysics: Chapman and Hall, London, England, 275 p.
- Parkhomenko, E.I. 1967, <u>Electrical Properties of Rocks</u>: Plenum Press, New York, N.Y. 314 p.
- Roux, P.H., 1978, Electrical resistivity evaluations at solid waste disposal facilities: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency publication # SW-729.

# SELECTED REFERENCES

Sharma, P.V. 1976, <u>Geophysical Methods in Geology</u>: Elsevier Scientific Publishing Co., Amsterdam, Netherlands 427 p.

Telford, W.M., Geldart, L.P., Sheriff, R.E. and Keys, D.A. 1976,

Applied Geophysics: Cambridge University Press,

Cambridge, England, 860 p.

### APPENDIX D

1

1

1

# STRATIGRAPHIC AND INSTRUMENTATION LOGS 1983 MONITORING WELL INSTALLATIONS

PROJECT NAME : DUNLOP - BUFFALO PLANT HOLE Nº: OMW-1

JOB Nº : \_\_\_\_\_ 9-1135

CLIENT : \_\_\_\_\_ DUNLOP TIRE CORPORATION

HOLE TYPE : \_\_\_\_\_6 "Ø HOLLOW STEM AUGER

LOCATION : DUNLOP PROPERTY - SOUTHWEST SECTOR TOP OF PIPE ELEVATION: 593.66

DATE COMPLETED: DECEMBER 17, 1982

GEOLOGIST/ENGINEER : D. MILLARD

\_\_\_\_\_ GROUND ELEVATION: \_\_\_\_\_591.5\_\_\_\_

	PROFILE	MONITOR	S	AMP	LE	PE		RATI	ON
DEPTH (ELEVATION)	STRATIGRAPHY Description & Remarks	THSTALLATION	NUMBER	TYPE	BLOWS / FOOT		<u> </u>	ST / FO( 	
595 - 590 - 585 - 585 - 580 - 575 - 575 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	FILL dark brown topsoil, some black asphalt FILL-Mottled brown SILT & CLAY Brown CLAY, silt f. gravel Red brown CLAY & SILT, fine gravel Red brown CLAY & SILT, some fine gravel	593.66 Native Backfill 591.5 Grout Bentonite Sandpack 2"ø Black Steel Pipe 579.50 5.0' Galvanized Well Screen #10 Slot	2 3 4 5	SS SS SS SS SS	6 8 13 37 28 77 100+  32 73 35 58				

GRAIN SIZE ANALYSIS ▼ WATER FOUND ▼ STATIC WATER LEVEL

PROJECT NAME : DUNLOP - BUFFALO PLANT HOLE Nº : OMW-2

والراب والمراجعين والمتراجع والمتحد والمراجع والمراجع والمراجع والمراجع والمراجع والمراجع والمراجع والمراجع

JOB Nº : \_\_\_\_\_9-1135

-----

CLIENT : \_\_\_\_\_\_ DUNLOP TIRE CORPORATION

HOLE TYPE : 6"Ø HOLLOW STEM AUGER

 HOLE Nº:
 OMW-2

 DATE COMPLETED:
 DECEMBER 10, 1982

 GEOLOGIST/ENGINEER:
 D. MILLARD

 GROUND ELEVATION:
 585.9

 SECTOR
 TOP OF PIPE FLEVATION: 589.22

	PROFILE	MONITOR INSTALLATION	SAMPLE			PENETRATION			
DEPTH (ELEVATION)	STRATIGRAPHY DESCRIPTION & REMARKS	THISTALLATION	NUMBER	TYPE	BLOWS / FOOT		40 6	F00T	
590 - - - 585 - - - 580 - - - 575 - - - 570 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	FILL - TOPSOIL RED brown CLAY, silt, f. grav. FILL-Red brown SILT, black Clay, wood chips Red brown CLAY, silt Red brown SILT, clay, fine gravel NOTE: STRATIGRAPHIC DATA FROM BMW-1 LOG	589.22 Native Backfill 585.9 Grout Bentonite 2"Ø Black Steel Pipe Sand Pack 575.6 5.0' Galvanized Well Screen #10 Slot							

PROJECT NAME : DUNLOP - BUFFALO PLANT

in a second s

JOB Nº : \_\_\_\_\_ 9-1135

CLIENT : \_\_\_\_\_ DUNLOP TIRE CORPORATION

HOLE TYPE : 6"Ø HOLLOW STEM AUGER

LOCATION : DUNLOP PROPERTY - SOUTHEAST SECTOR

HOLE Nº: \_\_\_\_\_OMW-3 DATE COMPLETED: \_\_\_\_\_DECEMBER 17, 1982\_\_\_\_ GEOLOGIST/ENGINEER: \_\_\_\_\_ MILLARD GROUND ELEVATION: \_\_\_\_\_601.5 TOP OF PIPE ELEVATION: 604.27



GRAIN SIZE ANALYSIS

WATER FOUND

STATIC WATER LEVEL

.

\_\_\_\_

PROJECT NAME : DUNLOP - BUFFALO PLANT

-----

JOB Nº: \_\_\_\_\_9-1135

CLIENT : \_\_\_\_\_ DUNLOP TIRE CORPORATION

HOLE TYPE : 6" HOLLOW STEM AUGER

LOCATION : NE SECTOR OF PLANT-ON DISPOSAL AREA TOP OF PIPE ELEVATION: 610.36

HOLE Nº: OMW-4 DATE COMPLETED: DECEMBER 14, 1982 GEOLOGIST/ENGINEER: D. MILLARD GROUND ELEVATION: 608.2

MONITOR PROFILE SAMPLE PENETRATION INSTALLATION TEST DEPTH (ELEVATION) FOOT BLOWS / FOOT NUMBER ΤΥΡΕ STRATIGRAPHY  $\sim$ BLOWS DESCRIPTION & REMARKS 20 40 60 80 610 -610.36 -Native Backfill FILL black FLY ASH, silt, -608.2 some clay, rubber, sand & Grout 605 wood - moist - wet Bentonite — 2"Ø Black Mottled black & green brown Steel SILT, root matter Pipe 600 -Red brown SILT, clay Sand Red brown CLAY, SILT, some Pack f. gravel 599.2 5.0" Johnson -Galvanized 595 -Well Screen # 10 Slot ----NOTE: STRATIGRAPHIC DATA FROM BMW-2 LOG 590

PROJECT NAME : DUNLOP - BUFFALO PLANT

JOB Nº : \_\_\_\_\_ 9-1135

. . . .

(ELEVATION)

590 -

585 -

580 -

-

DEPTH

CLIENT : \_\_\_\_\_ DUNLOP TIRE CORPORATION

. . . . .

HOLE TYPE : 10"Ø HOLLLOW STEM AUGER

DUNLOP PROPERTY - NORTHWEST SECTOR LOCATION : \_\_\_\_

• - • ••

HOLE Nº: BMW-1 Page 1 of 2 DATE COMPLETED: DECEMBER 10, 1982 GEOLOGIST/ENGINEER : D. MILLARD GROUND ELEVATION: 585.6

TOP OF PIPE ELEVATION: 588.62 MONITOR PROFILE SAMPLE PENETRATION INSTALLATION TEST BLOWS / FOOT BLOWS / FOOT **NUMBER** ТҮРЕ STRATIGRAPHY DESCRIPTION & REMARKS 20 40 60 80 588.62 Native Backfill <u>585.</u>6 FILL - TOPSOIL 1 55 18 Red brown CLAY, silt, f. 9 g**r**avel 2 |SS 8 FILL-Red brown SILT, black 11 slag, wood chips 3 SS 19 Red brown CLAY, silt 33 100+ Grout 4 SS ---

- 575 - - - - 570 -	Red brown SILT, clay & fine gravel Red brown CLAY, some grey silty lenses, f. gravel	2"Ø Black Steel Pipe	6 7	SS SS SS SS	22 70 100+ 100+ 40 46 10 16			
-	N <b>OT</b> SAMPLED		9	SS	4			
565 - - -	Red brown CLAY, some gray silty lenses, f. gravel			55	6			
- - 560 -	NOT SAMPLED Red brown CLAY, some gray		10	SS	1 4			
	s <b>i</b> lty lenses, f. gravel		11	SS	мон (		2	
555 - - -	NO RECOVERY							
- - 550 -	Red brown CLAY, some gray silty lenses, f. gravel		12	SS	2			

GRAIN SIZE ANALYSIS ▼ WATER FOUND

V STATIC WATER LEVEL

PROJECT NAME : DUNLOP - BUFFALO PLANT

JOB Nº:\_\_\_\_\_9-1135

CLIENT : \_\_\_\_ DUNLOP TIRE CORPORATION

HOLE TYPE : \_\_\_\_\_\_ 10 "Ø HOLLLOW STEM AUGER

LOCATION : \_\_\_\_\_DUNLOP PROPERTY - NORTHWEST SECTOR

HOLE Nº: \_\_\_\_\_ BMW-1 Page 2 of 2 DATE COMPLETED: DECEMBER 10, 1982 GEOLOGIST/ENGINEER : D. MILLARD GROUND ELEVATION: \_\_\_\_\_585.6

TOP OF PIPE ELEVATION: 588.62

MONITOR PROFILE SAMPLE PENETRATION INSTALLATION TEST (ELEVATION) FOOT BLOWS / FOOT NUMBER DEPTH ТҮРЕ STRATIGRAPHY BLOWS , DESCRIPTION & REMARKS 20 40 60 80 550 -Red brown CLAY, some gray 12 SS 2 silty lenses, f. gravel -Grout NOT SAMPLED 1 Red brown CLAY, some gray 545 silty lenses 13 SS 1 -2"Ø NOT SAMPLED Black Steel Pipe 540 Red brown CLAY, some gray 14 SS WOH silty lenses NOT SAMPLED 535 \_ Red brown CLAY, some gray 15 SS WOH silty lenses NOT SAMPLED - 10" Brown fine SAND & SILT, 530 -16 SS 53 `• fine gravel TILL - wet NOT SAMPLED Bentonite Plug 525 -Brown fine SAND & SILT, 17 SS 1100+ grey shattered rock \$\$523.1 fragments TILL - wet AUGER REFUSAL 2.0'-5-3/4"Ø ROLLER BIT --Steel BMW NX 520 NO RECOVERY SYNAM STAN Flange 1 Very thin bedded gray ROCK aphanitic DOLOMITE & white - 3 "Ø CORE GYPSUM NX Thin bedded gray aphanitic 516.1 CORE 515 DOLOMITE HOLE

() GRAIN SIZE ANALYSIS WATER FOUND **▽** STATIC WATER LEVEL

.

PROJECT NAME : DUNLOP - BUFFALO PLANT HOLE Nº: \_\_\_\_\_ BMW-2 \_\_\_\_ Page 1 of 3

JOB Nº: \_\_\_\_\_9-1135\_\_\_\_\_

- - **-**

LOCATION : NE SECTOR OF PLANT-ON DISPOSAL AREA TOP OF PIPE ELEVATION: 610.62

DATE COMPLETED: DECEMBER 17, 1982 CLIENT : \_\_\_\_\_DUNLOP\_TIRE\_CORPORATION\_\_\_\_\_\_GEOLOGIST/ENGINEER : D. MILLARD\_\_\_\_\_ HOLE TYPE : 10 "Ø HOLLLOW STEM AUGER \_\_\_\_\_ GROUND ELEVATION : \_\_\_\_ 60.7.6

	PROFILE	MONITOR TALLATION	S	AMP	LE		TRATION
DEPTH (ELEVATION)	STRATIGRAPHY Description & Remarks		NUMBER	ТҮРЕ	BLOWS / FOOT	BLOW	EST S/FOOT
610 - - - - 605 - - - -	FILL-Black FLY ASH, silt, some clay, rubber, sand & wood - moist-wet	610.62 Native Backfill 607.6	2 3	55 55 55 55	4 6 4 5 14 5 6		
- 600 - - - - 595 - - - - -	Mottled black & green brown SILT, root matter Red brown SILT, clay Red brown CLAY, silt, fine gravel	2"Ø Black Steel Pipe	6 7 8	ss ss	12 15 35 19 26 47 100+ 62 100+ 100+		
590 - - - -	N <b>OT</b> SAMPLED . N <b>O</b> R <b>EC</b> OVERY		. 10		21		
- 585 - - - - - 580 -	NOT SAMPLED Red brown CLAY, some silt & fine gravel NOT SAMPLED		. 11	SS	35		
- - - 575 -	NO RECOVERY NOT SAMPLED		12	SS	19		
- - 570 -	Red brown CLAY, some silt lenses NOT SAMPLED		13	SS	6		

х. К

PROJECT NAME : DUNLOP - BUFFALO PLANT HOLE Nº : BMW-2 Page 2 of 3

----

· · · ·

. .

JOB Nº: \_\_\_\_\_9-1135\_\_\_\_\_

. . . . . . . . .

HOLE TYPE : 10"Ø HOLLLOW STEM AUGER

LOCATION : NE SECTOR OF PLANT-ON DISPOSAL AREA TOP OF PIPE ELEVATION: 610.62

DATE COMPLETED: DECEMBER 17, 1982 CLIENT : \_\_\_\_\_\_DUNLOP TIRE CORPORATION \_\_\_\_\_\_ GEOLOGIST/ENGINEER : D. MILLARD \_\_\_\_\_ GROUND ELEVATION : \_\_\_\_\_ 60.7.6

	PROFILE	MONITOR INSTALLATION	s	AMP	LE	PENETRATION
DEPTH (ELEVATION)	STRATIGRAPHY DESCRIPTION & REMARKS		NUMBER	ТҮРЕ	BLOWS / FOOT	TEST BLOWS / FOOT
570 - - -	NOT SAMPLED		14	SS	3	
- 565 - -	Red brown CLAY, silt, fine gravel lenses NOT SAMPLED	Grout				
- - 560 -	Red brown CLAY, silt, fine gravel lenses	2"Ø Black	15	SS	4	
-	NOT SAMPLED Red brown CLAY, silt, fine gravel lenses	Pipe	. 16	SS	4	
555 - - -	NOT SAMPLED Red brown CLAY, silt, fine		17	SS	3	
- 550 - -	gravel lenses					
- - 545 -	Red brown CLAY, silt lenses, fine gravel Red brown SAND & SILT, rock		18	SS	13	
- - 540 -	fragments & gravel, some clay TILL NOT SAMPLED Red brown SAND & SILT, rock		19	SS	77	
540 -	fragments, some clay, TILL - wet					
- 535 - - -						
-						

			HOLE Nº :																			
		<u> </u>	DATE COMPLET	ED:	DEC	EMBE	<u>r 17,</u>	1982														
			GEOLOGIST/ENG	INEER	: _D	. MI	LLARD															
HOLE TYPE	10 % HOLLLOW STEM AUGER	<u>_</u>	GROUND ELEVAT	ION:		607	•6															
LOCATION :	NE SECTOR OF PLANT-ON DISPOSAL AN	REA	TOP OF PIPE	ELEVA	TION	610	•62															
	PROFILE		MONITOR	s	SAMPLE		SAMPLE		SAMPLE		SAMPLE		SAMPLE		SAMPLE		SAMPLE		SAMPL			ETRATI
DEPTH (ELEVATION)	STRATIGRAPHY Description & Remarks			NUMBER	ТҮРЕ	BLOWS / FOOT	BLOW	40 60														
540 - - - -	NOT SAMPLED AUGER REFUSAL 3'-5-3/4"# ROLLER BIT		2"ø BLACK STEEL 7 PIPE																			
535 - - - -	MINIMAL RESISTANCE CONTINUED TO AUGER AUGER REFUSAL 2.5'-of 5-3/4"Ø ROLLER BIT		BENTONITE 533.4 STEEL		NV																	
530 - - -	Very thin bedded gray aphanitic DOLOMITE, & white gypsum	525.9	FLANGE FLANGE 3"Ø NX CORE HOLE	2 ROCI CORI	¢																	
525 - - -		52000																				
520 -																						
1																						

······

-

#### APPENDIX E

I

### PREVIOUS DRILLING INFORMATION

CIRCA 1950's



REF. ON DTR DRWG#50-14279 WATER WELL Nº 3 11-20-53 HFS WELL DRILLING STRATIFICATION NO DATE AVAILABLE ITR-0854 68 TOP OF ROCK - 69 70 LIME ROCK LIME ROCK MIXED WITH CEILING Rock GYP ROCK SOFT & WHITE DATA OBTAINED LIGHT COLOR LIME AND GYP ROCK OM SAMPLES OF - 75 DARKER COLOR LIME & GYP Rack DCK TAKEN AT ME OF DRILLING - 80 UD STORED IN RADUATED DARK COLOR LINE & GYP ROCK. 85 YLINDER UNTIL :- 20 -53 . 90 LIGHTER COLOR LIME & GYP. Rack 95 -100 LIME & GYP ROCK DARKER COLOR FLINT ROCK 105 LIME HARD R FINAL DRHLLING 106

THE ONLY INFO AVAILABLE REGARDING GAS WELLS

DO NOT KNOW WHICH OF FIVE WELLS THIS SKETCH PERTAINS

GROUND LEVEL +-0 801. # 10" Casing +1 pound Soil of MIXED TEXTURE DRY-PIPE **\***°' 80 2501. F 8 14 - 17 pour D CARING. TO PREVENT BLACK WATER ENTERIE WAITE LINE ROCK FORMETICA PURE MATER 250 310' SUD FART 6 Staty poins Casing. TO PREVENT BLOCK WATER ENTERING GAS STRATA . MIAGARA LINE FORMATION. 545 220 SHALF FOCK FOFMATION کمک CLINTON GAS FOR MATION RED MEDINE GAS SAND . MHIT & MEDINA GAS SHIRD , Good products And Constant Bracker 800 1

APPENDIX F

Ĩ

1

1

1

1

Î

8

ļ

Į

# ANALYTICAL RESULTS AND QUALITY ASSURANCE DOCUMENTATION

ANALYSIS OF FOUR SOIL SAMPLES FOR TOTAL VOLATILE HALOGENATED ORGANICS, TOTAL KJELDAHL (TKN) NITROGEN, AND PHENOL

Report Prepared For DUNLOP TIRE & RUBBER CORPORATION

bу

ADVANCED ENVIRONMENTAL SYSTEMS, INC.

Prepared by:

Diane my Costantero

Diane M. Costantino GC Chemist September 14, 1982

AES - Report XJ

#### SCOPE OF WORK

As requested by Mr. John Sardina of Dunlop Tire & Rubber Corporation, Advanced Environmental Systems (AES) has completed analysis of four (4) samples for Total Volatile Halogenated Organics, TKN Nitrogen, and Phenol.

#### SAMPLE COLLECTION AND CHAIN OF CUSTODY -

The samples were collected on July 13, 1982 and delivered to the AES laboratories by AES personnel. Chain of custody was immediately transferred to Mrs. Judy McDougall, Document Control Officer of AES.

#### METHODOLOGY

The samples were analyzed for Total Volatile Halogenated Organics (TVHO) in accordance with "Interim Methods for the Sampling and Analysis of Priority Pollutants in Sediments and Fish Tissues", U.S. EPA Monitoring and Support Laboratory, Cincinnati, Ohio. This analysis was performed on a Varian 3700 Gas Chromatograph equipped with a halogen specific Hall Detector. Phenol and TKN were analyzed according to "Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater, 14th Edition. RESULTS

•

. • • • •

#### Table 1. Analysis of Four Soil Samples (Expressed as micrograms per gram, or ppm) . .

Sample Identification	TVHO Concen.	TKN Concen.	Phenol Concen.
Hole #1 (1.8 ft.)	1.070	1,680.	0.188
Hole #2	0.351	703.	0.219
H <b>ol</b> e #3	0.448	747.	0.194
Hole #4	0.082	673.	0.196

. 3

#### QUALITY ASSURANCE

I. Precision

## Table 2. Results of Duplicate Analysis (Expressed as micrograms per gram, or ppm)

· [	A <b>na</b> lysis	Sample I.D.	Run I	Run II	Average
	т <b>ү</b> но	Hole #1 (1.8 ft.)	1.013	1.126	1.070
	TKN	Hole #3	648.	846.	747,
	P <b>he</b> nol	Hole #4	0.235	0.156	0.196
6.4				<u></u>	<u> </u>

1

**.** .

# Advanced Environmental Systems, Inc.

Monitoring and Support Laboratory

#### QUALITY ASSURANCE

II. Accuracy

Table 3. Analysis of Spiked Samples and EPA Known Samples (Expressed as micrograms per gram, or ppm)

Analysis	Туре	Original Concen.	Added Concen.	Expected Concen.	Reported Concen.	Percent Recovery
TVHŎ	Hole # <b>3</b> Spike	0.448	0.183	0.631	0.617	97.8%
Phenol	EPA	20.0	0.00	20.0	18,5	92.5%
ANALVETS OF SPLIT SPOON SAMPLES FOR PHENOL, CHLOROFORM, CARBON TETRACHLORIDE, TRICHLOROETHYLENE AND TETRACHLORCETHYLENE

Report Prepared Fen

DUNLOP TIDE 2 RUBBER CORPORATION

ADVANCED ENVIRONMENTAL SYSTEMS, INC.

1.9

Prepared by:

Cultin Rive

Michael J. Contton GC Trennician January 12, 1983 ABS - Report 148

### SCOPE OF WORK

These are the data for Work Item (4) of the Investigation of Inactive Waste Disposal Sites - October 8, 1982 proposal.

The split-spoon soil samples were collected by the Project Engineer, Mr. David Millard during the installation of monitoring wells on December 8-17, 1982. The samples were transported directly to the AES laboratory where chain of custody requirements were fulfilled.

### ANALYTICAL METHODOLOGIES

Phenols were analyzed in accordance with Method 510B (Chloroform Extraction Method) in "Standard Methods for the Examination of Water & Wastewater, 15th Edition, 1980.

The volatile organics in soil were analyzed in accordance with the procedure "Analysis of Sediment for Volatile Organics by Head Space Analysis" stated in "Interim Methods for the Sampling and Analysis of Priority Pollutans in Sediments and Fish Tissues", U.S. EPA Environmental Monitoring and Support Laboratory, Cincinnati, Ohio, August 1977.

Volatile organics in water samples were analyzed according to the Federal Register, Vol. 44, No. 233, Monday, December 3, 1979; Method 601.

Monitoring and Support Laboratory

### RESULTS

Table 1. Analysis for Phenol and Volatile Organics (Phenol expressed as ppm; Volatiles expressed as ppb)<sup>1</sup>

Sample Identification	<b>P</b> hen <b>ol</b> (ppm)	Chloroform (ppb)	Car <b>bon</b> Tet <b>rach</b> loride (ppb)	Trichloroethylene (ppb)	Tetrachloroethylene (ppb)
BMW #1 0-2"	0.11	20.6	<0.20 <sup>2</sup>	5.5	7.4
BMW #1 14'-16'	0.03	18.2	<0.10	3.4	2.6
BMW #1 60'-61.5'	0.08	6.9	<0.10	1.5	2.6
BMW #2 0-2'	0.35	18.6	<0.10	12.6	30.9
BMW #2_16'-18'	0.09	13.5	<0.10	3.5	2.9
BMW #2 65'-66'	0.32	4.4	<0.10	0.9	1.1
				· · ·	
OMW #1 0-2"	0.32	1/ 5	<0.0 <b>0</b>		(
OMW #1 8'-10'	0.15	14.5	<0.09 <0.09	6.3 0.5	18.4
OMW #3 0-2'	0.30	38.9	<0.17	7.3	9.2
OMW <b>#3 6'-8'</b>	0.14	9.5	<0.13	1.7	3.0

<sup>1</sup> Phenol in soil expressed as micrograms per gram and phenol in water expressed as milligrams per liter (ppm). Volatiles in soil expressed as nanograms per gram and volatiles in water given as micrograms per liter (ppb). <sup>2</sup> (<) less than equals the limits of detection.</p>

Monitoring and Support Laboratory

### QUALITY ASSURANCE

Sample Identification	An <b>aly</b> sis	Unit of Measure	Run I	Run II	Average
BMW #2 0-2°	Phenol	Ppm	0.35	0.31	0.33
Dunlop 3	Chloroform	ppb	4.7	5.5	5.1
Dunlop 3	Carbon Tetrachloride	ppt	<0.10	<0.10	<0.10
Dunlop 3	Trichloroethylene	ppþ	0.8	1.1	0.95
Dunlop 3	Tetrachloroethylene	ppb	3.5	3.2	3.35

### Table 2. Results of Duplicate Analysis

•

Table 3. Accuracy as Indicated by Test Control Sample (Expressed as parts per billion)

Analysis	Ł	Туре	Original Concen.	Added Concen.	Expected Concen.	Reported Concen.	Percent Recovery
Pheno1		EPA	12.0	-	12.0	11.0	91.7%
Chloroform	;	EPA	45.6	-	45.6	43.5	95.4%
Chloroform	3	Spike	18.6	14.7	33.3	31.6	94.9%
Carbon Tetrachloride		EPA	9.4	-	9.4	9.4	100%
Trichloroethylene	· .	EPA	13.0	÷	13.0	10.8	83.1%
Trichloroethylene		Spike	12.6	13.1	25.7	25.1	97.7%
Tetrachloroethylene		EPA	5.6	-	5.6	5.4	96.4%

## ANALYSIS FOR PHENOL, CHLOROFORM,

CARBON TETRACHLORIDE, TRICHLOROETHYLENE AND TETRACHLOROETHYLENE ON WATER SAMPLES IN DUPLICATE



นกรุษขณะกรุ ประวงคุณสาชสาช คริ จานหรื เก

### SCOPE OF WORK

As requested by Mr. Daniel Pyanowski of Dunlop Tire & Rubber Corporation, Advanced Environmental Systems (AES) has completed the analysis of two (2) water samples in duplicate for phenol, chloroform, carbon tetrachloride, trichloroethylene, and tetrachloroethylene.

### SAMPLE METHODOLOGY

Prior to the initiation of groundwater sampling, monitoring wells OMW1, OMW2, OMW3, OMW4, BMW1, and BMW2 were monitored for groundwater elevations. Once stable water elevations were observed, the well purge operation for sampling was initiated.

Those wells which contained groundwater were purged to 'obtain fresh groundwater for sampling. Each well (BMW1 & 2 contained groundwater) was bailed using individual 6" copper bailers. Five (5) well volumes were bailed on three separate occasions from each well (12/24/82, 1/10/83, and 1/12/83).

After the wells had recharged to sufficient volume, they were sampled using individual 36" copper samplers. Samples for phenols were collected in duplicate in 1 liter glass bottles preserved with CUSO, and H<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>. Samples for volatile organics were collected in duplicate in unpreserved 40 ml glass vials, with open caps lined with teflon coated septa. Blanks accompanied each sample.

All sampling and sample preservation adhered strictly to the methodologies described in "Handbook for Sampling and Sample Preservation of Water and Wastewater". U.S. EPA 600/4-82-079, September 1982.

The samples were collected and delivered to the AES laboratories by Mr. Albert Zaepfel, Field Operations Supervisor of AES, on January 13, 1983. Chain of custody was immediately transferred to Mrs. Judy McDougall, Document Control Officer of AES.

Samples collected and delivered by:

Mut Albert C. Zaepfel

Field Operations Supervisor

### METHODOLOGY

ł

Phenols were analyzed in accordance with Method 510B (Chloroform Extraction Method) in "Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater", 15th Edition, 1980.

Volatile Organics were analyzed according to the Federal Register, Vol. 44, No. 233, Monday, December 3, 1979; Method 601. A Varian 3700 Gas Chromatograph equipped with a Hall 700A Electrolytic Conductivity detector was used. A Tekmar ALS Purge and Trap Liquid Sample Concentrator was used in conjunction with the gas chrometograph.

The state of the second s

. . . .

Monitoring and Support Laboratory

### RESULTS

1

	Table ].	Table 1. Analysis for Phenol and Volatile Organics (Expressed as micrograms per liter, or ppb)				
Sample Identification	Phenol	Carbon Tetrachloride	Chloroform	Trichloroethylene	Tetrachloroethylene	
BMW #1	0.00	<0.10 <sup>1</sup>	<0.10	<0.10	<0.40	
BMW #1 (Duplicate)	0.00	<0.10	<0.10	<0.10	<0.10	
BMW #2 BMW #2	0.00	<0.10	<0.10	<0.10	<0.10	
(Duplicate)	0.00	<0.10	<0.10	<0.10	<0.10	
Field Blank	0.00	<0.10	0.60	<0.10	<0.10	

 $^{1}$  (<) Less than equals the limits of detection.

÷.

Monitoring and Support Laboratory

### QUALITY ASSURANCE

1

The results of duplicate analysis are shown in Table 1.

Table 2.Accuracy as Indicated by Test Control Samples<br/>(Expressed as micrograms per liter, or ppb)

متحذب بخبيبتها بيهي ويزبي كمكمنا الأعمر بيرين معيور بيهي وبالنك الفنفية بند مخدمة بتبارك المتد		Concen.	Concen.	Expected Concen.	Reported Concen.	Percent Recovery
Phenol	EPA	12.0		12.0	t0.5	87.5%
Phenol	Spike	0.00	16.0	12.0 16.0	14.25	89.1%
Chloroform	EPA	45.6	· <del></del>	45.6	41.4	90.8%
Chloroform	Spike	<0.10	1.86	1.86	1.54	82.8%
Carbon Tetrachloride	EPA	9.4		9.4	9.4	100%
Carbon Tetrachloride	Spike	< <b>0.1</b> 0	2.52	2.52	1.74	69.0%
Trichloroethylene	EPA	* 13.0		<b>13.0</b>	9.6	73.8%
Trichloroethylene	Sp ike	<0.1	2.21	2.21	1.68	76.0%
Tetrachloroethylene	EPA	5.6	2 - 4 - 4 - <b>6</b>	5.6	4.3	76.8
Tetrachloroethylene	Spike	<0.10	1.83	1.83	1.57	85.8%
		**				

•

₽.e." PKP 3132 2132 ANALYSIS FOR PHENOL, CHLOROFORM, CARBON TETRACHLORIDE, TRICHLOROETHVEENE AND TETRACHLOROETHYLENE ON WATER SAMPLES IN BUPLICATE Wrose of the Connect works Lost maken • ?; ;; ;; ni Populari Report Prepared For DUNLOP TIRE & RUBBER CORPORATION by . ADVANCED ENVIRONMENTAL SYSTEMS, TNC. Juey 11, ાં સંસ્કૃત હેલ્સ SIN 22 Prepared by: 1983 AES - Report AAB Consta. and applied of the states of Diane M. Costantino e 199 e 25 GC Division worl 100 AN 120 A 1 W. Joseph MeDougall Quality Control Verification 1110000 Vie July 2 دهید سورت. نوبی کامن سیسر مدیس

### SCOPE OF WORK

As requested by Mr. Daniel Pyanowski of Dunlop Tire & Rubber Corporation, Advanced Environmental Systems (AES) has completed the analysis of three (3) water samples in duplicate for phenol, chloroform, carbon tetrachloride, trichloroethylene, and tetrachloroethylene.

### SAMPLE COLLECTION AND CHAIN OF CUSTODY

Samples were collected on June 27 and July 5, 1983 by AES personnel. Chain of custody was immediately transferred on both days to Mrs. Judy McDougall, Document Control Officer of AES.

### METHODOLOGY

Phenols were analyzed in accordance with Method 510B (Chlorofrom Extraction Method) in "Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater", 15th Edition, 1980.

Volatile Organics were aanlyzed according to the Federal Register, Vol. 44, No. 233, Monday, December 3, 1979; Method 601. A Varian 3700 Gas Chromatograph equipped with a Hall 700A Electrolytic Conductivity detector was used. A Tekmar ALS Purge and Trap Liquid Sample Concentrator was used in conjunction with the gas chromatograph.

Monitoring and Support Laboratory

RESULTS

Analysis for Phenol and Volatile Organics	
(Expressed as micrograms per liter, or pp	b)

Sample Identification	Phenol	Carbon Tetrachloride	Chloroform	Trichloroethylene	Tetrachloroethylene
OMW #1	7.28 -	<0.201	0.09	0.09	0.38
OMW #1 (Duplicate)	7.36	<0.20	0.08	0.10	0.12
OMW #2	4.76	<0,20	0 <b>.07</b>	0.06	0.16
OMW #2 (Duplicate)	3.55	<0.20	0.04	0.06	0.09
OMW #3	7.18	<0.20	0.08	0.06	0.08
OMW #3 (Duplicate)	5.29	<0.20	0.10	0.04	0.13
Field Blank (6/27/83) #1	*	<0.20	0.13	0.05	0.22
Field Blank (6/27/83) #2	*	<0.20	0.16	0.07	0.22
Field <b>Blank (7/5</b> /83) #1	*	<0.20	0.60	0.14	0.13
Field Bl <b>an</b> k <b>(7/</b> 5/83) #2	*	<0.20	0.31	<0.03	0.05

1 (<) Less than equals the limits of detection.

\* No Field Blank for Phenols

(

There were no volatile organic parameters above the trace concentrations in the field blanks.

÷ n.•

· · .

۰,

4 r #

1. 1. 1. A.

The state of the state of the

1

:

. . . ....

۰,

3 ų.

1

•

,٠

Total phenols were found in the range of 4-7 parts per billion (ppb).

1 - 2 7 8 - 2 8 - 2	1 	4 1 1 )	F 25	1 2 2 2	10年代1月1日 1月1日日 - 東京
2.77 ( 6.77		¥А Уз	<b>.</b>		《《《》》《《》》》》》》《》》》》。《《》》》》》》》》》》》》》》》》》
	10 - 1 10 - 1 10 - 1 10 - 1			*• 79 74	
				42 1 월 1 월 1 9	

Monitoring and Support Laboratory

### QUALITY ASSURANCE

### The results of duplicate analysis are shown in Table 1.

nalysis	Туре	Expected Concen.	Reported Concen.	Percent Recovery
Phenol	EPA -	12.0	12.5	104.2%
Chloroform	EPA	11.0	12.3	111.88
Carbon Tetrachloride	EPA	2.3	2.2	95.78
richlorœthylene	EPA	2.6	2.6	100.0%
etrachlorœthylene	EPA	1.1	1.1	100.0%
-				