## NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL CONSERVATION Division of Environmental Remediation

## Inactive Hazardous Waste Site Operations and Maintenance Review Report

Site Name: Leica, Inc.			Class:	×	2	Numb	er? 915150 tr	
O&M Funding Source:	☐ State Supe	erfund 🗆	Federal Superfund		Municipal	Х	Responsible P	arty
O&M Information:	O&M Start: Feb.	2000	End:		Annual	Cost: \$	G E	stimated
Interim Remedial Measu	res/Operable Un	its in O&M Ph	ase:					
<ul> <li>□ Drum Removal</li> <li>□ Cap/Cover</li> <li>X Groundwater Removater Removater</li> <li>X Air Sparging/Stri</li> <li>□ Other:</li> </ul>	_	t 🗅 Leach	emoval inment Structure ate Collection/Treatme nent/Filtration Plant/Sy		□ Fe X Va			
Institutional Controls:	X Deed Res	striction	☐ Discharge Pern	nit	□ De	partme	nt of Health Sa	mpling
	X Other: Se	wer discharge	permit					
O&M Review Information	า:	<u> </u>				_		
Reports: Final draft O8	M plan & Final dr	aft remediation	Summary Report due	12/	00 after DE	C cmts	of April 26, 20	200
within system area. Similar summer and fall. Problem Requested a report from Management of the Strategy of the	n appears limited NES on what is provided as a vistem appears to be because of till of the piping from high	to shallow SVI oposed to be o running with lit verburden doe n mineral level	Air Sparging system lone to correct flooding the problem. Deep we so not dewater shallows in bedrock zone. So	De pro lls sh	ep groundv blem. nowing GW e above.	depre	vstem uneffect ssion within we ant scaling occ	ed.
Data submission to DEC s company stick too it. Fina Sampling: Company co	I revised O&M pla	an has yet to b	e submitted to date - C	)ver	due!.			<u></u>
Other:		_			<b>)</b> P 6	Tr'?	TOTAL	16
Conclusions:					)) <u>                                    </u>	, 6		
Remedy Effective?	X Yes   No:	explain:		-	$\Lambda$			#
ROD Compliance?	X Yes 🗆 No:	explain:		10	Ш			1
Consent Order Compliano	e? X Yes	☐ No: expl	ain:	+	Brivas 0	Hezerd DF	ous Site Control	+
Other:				4				
Recommendations: N' Air Sparging system and s	YSDEC to submit suggested remedy	Itr. to Compar of problem.	ny requesting clarificat	ion c	of problem v	vith flo	oding of shallo	w SVE
ROD/Consent Order Modi	fications? X No	☐ Yes (per abo	we) Reclassify	he S	ite? X N	0 🗆	Yes → Class:	_
Comments: No other c	omments applical	ole.						_
Project Manager:	the RG (	cember 7, 200	Reviewer:	200	tw		/2/7 Date	100