



BUFFALO CORPORATE CENTER
368 Pleasantview Drive, Lancaster, New York 14086
Tel: 716/684-8060, Fax: 716/684-0844

March 10, 2005

Mr. David Chiusano, Project Manager New York State Department of Environmental Conservation Division of Environmental Remediation Bureau of Construction Services 625 Broadway, 12th Floor Albany, New York 12233 - 7010

Re: Mr. C's Dry Cleaners Site, Contract # D004180, Site # 9-15-157 February 2005 Operations, Maintenance, and Monitoring Report

Dear Mr. Chiusano:

Ecology and Environment Engineering, P.C. (EEEPC) is pleased to provide this February 2005 Operation, Maintenance, and Monitoring (OM&M) Report for the Mr. C's Dry Cleaners Site, NYSDEC Site # 9-15-157, located in East Aurora, New York. Copies of weekly inspection reports from EEEPC's subcontractor O&M Enterprises, Inc. (OMEI) are provided as Attachment A. Selected pages from the individual analytical data packages prepared by Severn-Trent Laboratories (STL) are provided as Attachment B1 and B2. All analytical results for the report were analyzed at the lowest detection limits in accordance with the method standard. Remedial treatment system utility costs are provided as Attachment C.

In review of the on-site treatment system operation, EEEPC offers the following comments and highlights:

Operational Summary

- The system was operational for 98.2% of the period between 1/31/05 and 2/28/05. <u>Table 1</u> is provided to indicate the monthly operational time of the treatment equipment from the time of system startup.
- The effluent totalizer readings for the month of February 2005 indicate that approximately 1,271,562 gallons of groundwater were processed through the treatment system from 1/31/05 through 2/28/05. Table 2 provides a summary of groundwater volume treated since system start-up. Historical volumes are based on totalizer readings provided by the contractor's weekly inspection forms.
- Piezometer measurements were not collected on 2/1/05 and at the time of compliance sampling on 2/8/05. OMEI had difficulties obtaining piezometer readings due to deep snow piled over some of the off-site piezometers.
- Filters in the bag filter unit were replaced during weekly inspections on 2/8/05, 2/14/05, 2/21/05 and 2/28/05.
- Checklists for weekly system inspections from OMEI are provided as Attachment A for 2/8/05, 2/14/05, 2/21/05 and 2/28/05. Weekly system

IVII. C'S DIY Cleaners one - Demedial Treatment Of		ייים - ג	וובשווובווו סר	mry costs	210				ALACHMENT
NYSDEC Work Assignment #27.4	Assignm	ent #27.4							
12 Months of System Operation and Maintenance	stem Op	eration an	d Maintenance		Budge	Budget Remaining:	Electric:	\$15,575.18	
							Telephone:	\$523.50	
							Gas	\$839.87	
							Total:	\$16,938.55	
Monthly Treatment System	nt System	Operational	Time by O&M Se	ervices	O&M N	O&M Months Remaining:	ତା :bu		
	Possible OP	Actual OP	Up-Time	Percent					
Month	Hours	Hours	Percent	Capacity*	General Operation Comments	on Comments			
September-03	96	96	100.00%	28%	Shutdown by I yree after Separable Part B Inspection	r Separable Part B	nspection		
November-03	220	100	100.00%	2%	Cilicial Staffup by Carr	10/22/03			
December-03	744	744	100.00%	28%					
January-04	672	672	100.00%	16%					
February-04	969	969	100.00%	21%					
March-04	816	815	%88.66	51%					
April-04		029	99.70%	20%					
May-04		513	73.71%	43%	Equipment shutdown- low flow of water to air stripper - 5/17-24/04	ow flow of water to a	ir stripper - 5/17-2	1/04	
June-04	969	692	99.43%	30%	Individual pumps shutdown for inspection and cleaning	lown for inspection a	nd cleaning		
July-04	840	840	100.00%	47%	100% operational				
August-04	2/0	2/9	100.00%	%74	Tours operational				
September-04	840	820	97.62%	31%	Temporary Stripper Structional	Jidowri Own die to low pros	our orchiome with	the airctripper	
November-04	969	641.5	92.50.00	32%	5				
December-04	816	792	92.76	42%	GAC units removed from treatment system operations	m treatment system	operations		
January-05	840	840	100.00%	46%	GAC units removed from project site	m project site			
February-05	672	099	98.21%	41%					
Totals to Date	12024	11658.5	%96'96						
		*	* Percent Canacity is based on	initial operation	initial operating groundwater flows from the eight installed primes from 9/02	m the eight installed	olimps from 9/02		
			Evaluated on total gallons discharged for monthly operating time	harged for mo	nthly operating time				
		2	Maximum pump discharges ca	lculated as an	culated as an average of 78 gpm as the total for all 8 pumps at the site if all pumps operate 100%	ne total for all 8 pum	os at the site if all	oumps operate 100%.	
Projected Utility Costs for the O&M year (11/04 to 11/05)	for the O&M	/ear (11/04 to 11	(05)						
	Ave./Month								
Electric	-		Adda Malanaman and A.						
Gas	\$ 260.14								
l elephone	-		:	100					
Ave. Utility Cost Total	20.888.02	times	12 months	\$25,857.31	_				

- checks indicate that all operating equipment appear to be operating within normal ranges with any exceptions noted above.
- The 2 granular carbon vessels were removed on Friday, January 14, 2005 and shipped to another NYSDEC site in Long Island, NY. Confirmation of delivery was received by EEEPC on Monday, January 17, 2005.
- A copy of the site utility costs from EEEPC operations from October 2004 to date is provided as <u>Attachment C</u>.

Analytical Summary - Groundwater

- EEEPC and OMEI personnel collected weekly samples of influent and effluent groundwater on two separate occasions during the reporting period (2/1/05 and 2/8/05) as part of the corrective action in response to the tetrachloroethene (PCE) discharge exceedance that occurred in November 2004. The groundwater samples collected on 2/1/05 and 2/8/05 were analyzed for volatile organic compounds (VOCs) only. The official monthly groundwater compliance samples collected 2/8/05. These samples were taken after the cleaning of the air stripping unit that occurred on February 4, 2005. At the request of the Department the lowest possible method detection limits were used for the analysis. The results are discussed below.
- The VOCs detected in the <u>influent and effluent groundwater</u> during the February 2005 sampling events are presented in <u>Table 3</u>.
- The February analytical results indicate that the treated groundwater effluent was in compliance with the Effluent Limitation Requirements for all VOCs and all metals. A comparison between the February 2005 analytical results and the Effluent Limitation Requirements for the site are provided in <u>Table 4</u>.
- Approximately 15.8 pounds of VOCs were removed from the influent groundwater based on calculations using the effluent discharge analytical results during the reporting period. A summary of the calculated removal volumes is located in <u>Table 5</u>. These values are calculated based on totalizer readings and assumes that non-detect values given in the analytical data package = $0 \mu g/L$ and that the monthly samples are indicative of the influent characteristics and system performance for the entire reporting period.
- Pursuant to Greg Sutton's email of January 14, 2005, metals, total suspended solids (TSS), total dissolved solids (TDS) and cyanide have been deleted from the compliance sampling and analytical program. The remaining analyses include VOCs, hardness and pH. Future monthly deliverables were requested to be submitted electronically to Dave Szymanski with only the cover letter and tables transmitted by hard copy.
- No further air sampling for compliance monitoring will be performed on the project. The vapor phase carbon units have been taken off-line from the treatment system and shipped offsite to another NYSDEC location.

	ים ועום לו	ים בים בים	Mr. C's Dry Cleaners Site - Remedial Treatment Utill	IIIITY COSTS	sts						ATTA	ATTACHMENT C
NYSDEC Work Assignment #27.4	Assignn	nent #27.4						Utility Budget:	jet:	Electric:	\$24,024.00	
12 Months of S	system O	peration a	12 Months of System Operation and Maintenance							Telephone:	\$680.00	
January 2005 Report	Report									Gas	\$1,100.00	
Gas and Electric										Total:	\$25,804.00	
Utility Provider	Account #	E&E Cost Center Description	Description	October '04	November	December	January '05	February	March '05	April '05	May '05	
New York State E&G	06-311-11-	000699.NY06.05	000699.NY06.05 Mr. C's Electric Costs	\$ 1,016.84	\$ 1,531.47	\$ 1,681.89	\$ 1,863.21	\$ 1,835.14				
	002616-26											
National Fuel Gas	5819628-05	000699.NY06.05	Mr. C's Natural Gas Costs	φ	· &	&	\$ 39.23	\$ 481.04				
			Totals	\$ 1,016.84	\$ 1,531.47	\$ 1,681.89	\$ 1,902.44	\$ 2,316.18	\$ -			
				30, aun	July '05	August '05	September	October	November	December		Ave. /Month
			Mr. C's Electric Costs									\$ 1,689.76
			Mr. C's Natural Gas Costs							•		\$ 260.14
		2		. ↔								
			Totals \$	8	8	- \$		s	· •			\$ 1,949.90
Gra	nd Total - NY	'SE&G/National	Grand Total - NYSE&G/National Fuel Gas Costs To Date	S	8,448.82			Estimated Reading	ding			
Phone												
Utility Provider	Phone #	E&E Cost Center	E&E Cost Center Location Description	October '04	November	December	January '05	February '05	March '05	April '05	May '05	
Verizon	716-652-0094	000699.NY06.05	716-652-0094 000699.NY06.05 Mr. C's Telephone Costs	\$ 39.56	\$ 38.76	\$ 39.10	\$ 39.08					The second secon
Account#												
716 652 0094 416 26 2												
				30, aunf	July '05	August	September	October	November	December		Ave./Month
							AP Law Advanced in the law and					\$ 39.13
		Grand Total	Grand Total - Verizon Costs to Date	co l	156.50		****This include	s initial connecti	on fees for the	phone company	***This includes initial connection fees for the phone company of approximately \$180.	\$180.
		Grand Tota	Grand Total All Utilities To Date	φ.	8,605.32							

Mr. David Chiusano, Project Manager March 10, 2005 Page 3 of 3

Michael J. Steffan

If you have any questions regarding the February 2005 O&M report summary submitted, please call me a 716-684-8060.

Very Truly Yours,

Michael G. Steffan Project Manager

Ecology and Environment Engineering, P. C.

cc: D. Szymanski/G. Sutton, Region 9, NYSDEC - Buffalo w/o attachments

R. Becken, O&M Enterprises w/o attachments

D. Miller, E&E-Buffalo w/o attachments

CTF- 000699.NY06.05

Attachment C Summary of Site Utility Costs and Projections October 2003 to February 2005

Table 1
Mr. C's Dry Cleaners Site Remediation
Site #9-15-157
System Operational Time

Month	Reporting	Operational
	Hours	Up-time
September 2002	576	100%
October 2002	744	99.33%
November 2002	720	93.41%
December 2002	744	80.65%
January 2003	744	59.15%
February 2003	672	63.39%
March 2003	744	82.39%
April 2003	720	100%
May 2003	744	100%
June 2003	720	90.00%
July 2003	744	100%
August 2003	744	100%
September 1-4, 2003	96	100%
October 22 -29, 2003	168	100%
October 29 - November 25, 2003	648	99%
November 25 - December 29, 2003	816	100%
December 29, 2003 – January 26, 2004	672	100%
January 26 – February 24, 2004	696	100%
February 24 – March 29, 2004	816	99.97%
March 29 – April 26, 2004	672	99.70%
April 26 – May 24, 2004	696	73.70%
May 24 – June 21, 2004	696	99.43%
June 22 – July 26, 2004	840	100%
July 27 – August 23, 2004	672	100%
August 23 - September 27, 2004	840	97.62%
September 27 - October 25, 2004	672	90.33%
October 25 - November 23, 2004	696	92.17%
November 23 - December 27, 2004	816	97.06%
December 27, 2004 - January 31, 2005	840	100%
January 31, 2005 - February 8, 2005	660	98%

Average Operational Up-time = 93.85%

NOTES:

- 1. Up-time based as percentage of total reporting hours
- 2. Treatment system operated by the Tyree Organization Ltd. from 9/02-9/03.
- 3. Treatment system operated by O&M Enterprises from 10/03 present.

7	
è	Ú
+	
ò	ú
÷	5
Dotoctod	י
+01	5
ž	
1	
,	
$\frac{c}{2}$	
dicali	י
r	`
ò	i
ť	j
÷	_
_	,
r	١

client ID Job No Lab ID Sample Date		EFFLUENT A05-1151 02/08/2005	A5115102	INFLUENT A05-1151 02/08/2005	A5115101				
Analyte	Units	Sample Value	Reporting Limit	Sample Value	Reporting Limit	Sample Value	Reporting Limit	Sample Value	Reporting Limit
1,1,2-Trichloro-1,2,2-trifluor UG/L Frichlorofluoromethane UG/L Frichloroethene UG/L Frichloride UG/L Fotal Xylenes UG/L	7/90 06/F 06/F 06/F	0	3.0	ND ND 38 J ND ND	50 50 50 50 150	X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X		N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N	
thlorobenzene-D5 1,4-Difluorobenzene 1,4-Dichlorobenzene-D4 Foluene-D8 2-Bromofluorobenzene 1,2-Dichloroethane-D4	*****	91 93 81 97 95 108	50-200 50-200 50-200 76-116 73-117 72-143	92 92 82 96 90	50-200 50-200 50-200 76-116 73-117 72-143	Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z		N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N	

Date: 02/25/2005 Time: 11:24:33

Rept: AN0326

Table 2
Mr. C's Dry Cleaners Site Remediation
Site #9-15-157
Monthly Process Water Volumes

Month	Actual Period	Gallons
September 2002 ¹	9/5/02 - 10/2/02	4,362,477
October 2002 ¹	10/2/02 - 11/4/02	4,290,429
November 2002 ¹	11/4/02 - 12/2/02	3,326,126
December 2002 ¹	12/2/02 - 1/7/03	3,349,029
January 2003 ¹	1/7/03 - 2/3/03	1,973,144
February 2003 ¹	2/3/03 - 3/10/03	2,158,771
March 2003 ¹	3/10/03 - 4/7/03	3,263,897
April 2003 ¹	4/7/03 - 5/2/03	2,574,928
May 2003 ¹	5/2/03 - 6/2/03	1,652,538
June 2003 ¹	6/2/03 - 6/30/03	2,002,990
July 2003 ¹	6/30/03 - 7/29/03	2,543,978
August 2003 ¹	7/29/03 - 8/25/03	2,042,424
September 2003 ¹	8/25/03 - 10/22/03	370,446
October 2003 ²	10/22/03 - 10/29/03	67,424
November 2003 ²	10/29/03 - 11/25/03	224,278
December 2003 ²	11/25/03 - 12/29/03	1,496,271
January 2004 ²	12/29/03 - 01/26/04	688,034
February 2004 ²	01/26/04 - 02/24/04	736,288
March 2004 ²	02/24/04 - 03/29/04	2,164,569
April 2004 ²	03/29/04 - 04/26/04	1,741,730
May 2004 ²	4/26/2004 - 5/24/2004	1,408,095
June 2004 ²	5/24/2004 - 6/21/2004	972,132
July 2004 ²	6/22/2004 - 7/26/2004	1,858,790
August 2004 ²	7/27/04 - 8/23/04	1,289,960
September 2004 ²	8/23/04 - 9/27/04	1,201,913
October 2004 ²	9/27/04 - 10/25/04	937,560
November 2004 ²	10/25/04 - 11/23/04	1,098,158
December 2004 ²	11/23/04 - 12/27/04	1,556,063
January 2005 ²	12/27/04 - 1/31/05	1,798,238
February 2005 ²	1/31/05 -2/28/05	1,271,562
	TOTAL GALLONS	54,422,242

NOTES:

- 1. System operated by Tyree Organization Ltd. From 9/02 9/03
- 2. System operated by O&M Enterprises from 10/03 present

	Reporting Limit																																							
	Sample Value	NA	AN	NA:	A S	A S	X	A A	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA.	AN :	۲ :	۲ .	Z Z	X X	X 2	2 2	N A	NA	NA	NA	ς.	ξ Z	NA AN	NA	NA	AN :	ξ.	£ 2	2 2	ζ Z	Q Q	C Z	Z Z	N A	NA	NA
	Reporting Limit																																							
	Sample Value	NA	NA	NA:	AN:	K .	4 × ×	₹ ₹	Ä	NA	NA	NA	Y :	ď :	ď:	K .	* 2	¥ 8	¥ 2	X 2	Z Z	NA	NA	NA	NA S	¥ 2	X X	NA	NA	NA	A .	₹ ?	X	4 × ×	(2	£ 2 Z	Z Z	N A	N.	NA
A5115101	Reporting Limit	250	20	02 i	 2	20	067	R 6	2 25	2 22	22	20	20	20	2 :	2 5	2 5	2 5	2 2	2 6	2 22	20	50	20	<u>ි</u>	2 2	200	250	20	20	0. 2	2 5	067	2 2	R 2	2 6	R 6	2 S	2 25	20
INFLUENT A05-1151 02/08/2005	Sample Value	QN	2	2	Q :	2 2	2 2	2 2	2	2	8	Q.	Q :	2	S :	2 1	2 1	2 4	2 2	2 2	2 2	Q	QN	ON	Q	2 2	2 2	S N	QN	Q	Q	2 1	2 2	2 2	2 2	AFOO	000	S S	S S	QN
A5115102	Reporting Limit	5.0	1.0	1.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0					•	0.0	D.	0.0) ·	0.0	0.0		0.0.	1.0	1.0	1.0	7.0	0.0	0.0	5.0	1.0	•	0.0	•	•			•	•	0.0	0.1	1.0
EFFLUENT A05-1151 02/08/2005	Sample Value	41	QN	2	Q.	2 r	٠. ن	2 2	2	2	S	NO	2	S :	2	Q :	2 :	2	2 2	2 2	2 2	Q.	ON	N N	Ñ.	2 2	2 2	Q.	ND	ND		0.87	2 1	ND C	70.0	ON F	1.9		S S	QN
	Units	ne/r	NG/L	UG/L	UG/L	N6/L	U6/L	U6/L	1/90	06/L	UG/L	ne/r	ne/r		1,0e/L	UG/L	U6/L	UG/L	UG/L	06/L	06/L	1/90 06/L	N6/L	∩e/r	1/9n	UG/L	1 (a) L	06/L	UG/L	UG/L	UG/L	UG/L	UG/L	UG/L	06/L	UG/L	UG/L	06/L	1/90	1/9n
client ID Job No Sample Date	Analyte	Acetone	Benzene	Bromodichloromethane	Bromoform	Bromomethane	Z-Butanone	carbon Disultide Carbon Tetrachloride	Chlorobenzene	chloroethane	chloroform	chloromethane	Cyclohexane	1,2-Dibromoethane	Dibromochloromethane	1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane	1,2-Dichlorobenzene	1,5-Dichlorobenzene	1,4-Dichlorobenzene	Ulch Coroll Concethone	1,1-pichtoroethane	1.1-Dichloroethene	cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	1,2-Dichloropropane	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	Lians-i,3-bichtolopiopene Ethylbenzene	2-Hexanone	Isopropylbenzene	Methyl acetate	Methylcyclohexane	Methylene chloride	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	Methyl tert butyl ether	Styrene	1,1,2,2-letrachloroethane	Tetrachloroethene	totuene 1 2 4-Trich orohenzene	1,2,4 Ithiciconelization	1,1,2-Trichloroethane

Rept: AN0326

Ecology and Environment NYSDEC Standby Mr. C's Site-000699.NYO6 METHOD 8260 - TCL VOLATILE ORGANICS

Date: 02/25/2005 Time: 11:24:33

Table 4
Mr. C's Dry Cleaners Site Remediation
Site #9-15-157
Effluent Discharge Criteria & Analytical Compliance Results

			February 1, 2005	February 8, 2005
	Daily			Effluent Analytical
Parameter	Maximum ¹	Units	Values	Values
Flow	216,000	gpd	44,978.00	47,356.00
рН	6.0 - 9.0	standard units	NA NA	NA
1.1 Dichloroethene	10	μg/L	ND (<1.00)	ND (<1.00)
1,2 Dichloroethane	10	μg/L	ND (<1.00)	ND (<1.00)
Trichloroethene	10	μg/L	0.57 J	ND (<1.00)
Tetrachloroethene	10	μg/L	27.0	1.9
Vinyl Chloride	10	μg/L μg/L	ND (<1.00)	ND (<1.00)
Benzene	5	μg/L μg/L	ND (<1.00)	ND (<1.00)
Ethylbenzene	5	μg/L	ND (<1.00)	ND (<1.00)
Methylene Chloride	10	μg/L μg/L	ND (<1.00)	0.87 J
1,1,1 Trichloroethane	10	μg/L	ND (<1.00)	ND (<1.00)
Toluene	5	μg/L	0.43 J	0.37 J
o-Xylene ³	5	μg/L	ND (<3.00)	ND (<3.00)
m, p-Xylene ³	10	μg/L	ND (<3.00)	ND (<3.00)
Iron, total	600	μg/L	NA NA	NA NA
Aluminum	4,000	μg/L	NA	NA
Copper	48	μg/L	NA	NA
Lead	11	μg/L	NA	NA
Manganese	2,000	μg/L	NA	NA
Silver	100	μg/L	NA	NA
Vanadium	28	μg/L	NA	NA
Zinc	230	μg/L	NA	NA
Total Dissolved Solids	850	mg/L	NA	NA
Total Suspended Solids	20	mg/L	NA	NA
Cyanide, Free	10	μg/L	NA	NA

NOTES:

- 1. "Daily Maximum" excerpted from Attachment E of Addendum 1 to the Construction Contract Documents.
- 2. Analytical report did not differentiate between o-Xylene and m, p-Xylene. Total Xylene value reported is given in each line.
- 3. Shaded cells indicate that analytical value exceeds the "Daily Maximum"
- 4. "ND" indicates that the compound was not detected and lists the practical quantitation limit in parentheses.
- 5. "NA" indicates that analyses were not performed and data is unavailable.

Sample Data Package

February 2005 VOC Analytical Summary Table 3
Mr. C's Dry Cleaners Site Remediation
NYSDEC Site #9-15-157

	Fe	February 1, 2005		Fe	February 8, 2005		February Compliance	ompliance
	Influent	Finent Fill Lent	Cleanup	Influent	Effluent	Cleanup	Influent	Effluent
Compound	Concentration	Concentration	Efficiency	Concentration	Concentration	Efficiency	Concentration	Concentration
,	(LgL)	(µg/L)	(%)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(%)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)
2-Butanone	ND (<5.0)	ND (<5.0)	NA	ND (<250)	7.5	NA	ND (<250)	7.50
4-Methyl-2-pentanone	ND (<5.0)	Ω (0:5>) Q N	NA	ND (<250)	0.87 J	NA	ND (<250)	0.87
Acetone	ND (<5.0)	38	NA	ND (<250)	41	NA	ND (<250)	41.0
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	5.6	ND (<1.00)	l NA	ND (<50) J	ND (<1.00)	NA	ND (<50)	ND (<1.00)
Ethylbenzene	ND (<1.0)	ND (<1.00)	NA	ND (<50.0)	ND (<1.00)	NA	ND (<50.0)	ND (<1.00)
Methyl tert-butyl ether	10:00		%0.68	ND (<50.0) J	ND (<1.00)	NA	ND (<50.0)	ND (<1.00)
Methylene chloride	ND (<1.0)	ND (<1.00) U	NA	ND (<50.0)	0.87 J	NA	ND (<50.0)	0.870
Styrene	ND (<1.0)	ND (<1.00) U	NA	ND (<50.0)	0.7 J	NA	ND (<50.0)	0.700
Tetrachloroethene	0.29	27	260'96	1500	1.9	99.87%	1500	1.90
Toluene	ND (<1.0)	0.430 J	NA	ND (<50.0)	0.37 J	NA	ND (<50.0)	0.370
Trichloroethene	37	0.57	98.5%	38 J	ND (<1.00) J	97.40%	38.0	ND (<1.00)
Xylenes, Total	ND (<3.0)	ND (<3.0) J	NA	ND (<50.0)	ND (<3.00)	NA	ND (<50.0)	ND (<3.00)
						TOTAL =	1538	53.2

1. "NA" = Not applicable
2. "ND" = Non-detect and lists the detection limit in parentheses

3. "J" indicates an estimated value below the practical quantitation limit but above the method detection limit.

4. Non-detect values are assumed to be equal to zero for calculation of monthly average concentrations.

DATA COMMENT PAGE

ORGANIC DATA QUALIFIERS

ND or U Indicates compound was analyzed for, but not detected at or above the reporting limit.

- J Indicates an estimated value. This flag is used either when estimating a concentration for tentatively identified compounds where a 1:1 response is assumed, or when the data indicates the presence of a compound that meets the identification criteria but the result is less than the sample quantitation limit but greater than zero.
- C This flag applies to pesticide results where the identification has been confirmed by GC/MS.
- B This flag is used when the analyte is found in the associated blank, as well as in the sample.
- E This flag identifies compounds whose concentrations exceed the calibration range of the instrument for that specific analysis.
- D This flag identifies all compounds identified in an analysis at the secondary dilution factor.
- N Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound. This flag is used only for tentatively identified compounds, where the identification is based on the Mass Spectral library search. It is applied to all TIC results.
- P This flag is used for a pesticide/Aroclor target analyte when there is greater than 25% difference for detected concentrations between the two GC columns. The lower of the two values is reported on the data page and flagged with a "P".
- A This flag indicates that a TIC is a suspected aldol-condensation product.
- Indicates coelution.
- * Indicates analysis is not within the quality control limits.

INORGANIC DATA QUALIFIERS

ND or U Indicates element was analyzed for, but not detected at or above the reporting limit.

- J or B Indicates a value greater than or equal to the instrument detection limit, but less than the quantitation limit.
- N Indicates spike sample recovery is not within the quality control limits.
- K Indicates the post digestion spike recovery is not within the quality control limits.
- S Indicates value determined by the Method of Standard Addition.
- M Indicates duplicate injection results exceeded quality control limits.
- W Post digestion spike for Furnace AA analysis is out of quality control limits (85-115%) while sample absorbance is less than 50% of spike absorbance.
- E Indicates a value estimated or not reported due to the presence of interferences.
- H Indicates analytical holding time exceedance. The value obtained should be considered an estimate.
- * Indicates analysis is not within the quality control limits.
- + Indicates the correlation coefficient for the Method of Standard Addition is less than 0.995.

Table 5 Mr. C's Dry Cleaners Site Remediation Site #9-15-157

Monthly VOCs Removed From Groundwater

Month	Actual Period	Influent VOCs (μg/L)	Effluent VOCs (µg/L)	VOCs Removed (lbs.)
September 2002 ⁶	9/5/02 - 10/2/02	1297	1	47.2
October 2002 ⁶	10/2/02 - 11/4/02	2000	1	71.6
November 2002 ⁶	11/4/02 - 12/2/02	1685	0	46.8
December 2002 ⁶	12/2/02 - 1/7/03	1586	9	44.1
January 2003 ⁶	1/7/03 - 2/3/03	1803	10	29.5
February 2003 ⁶	2/3/03 - 3/10/03	1985	3	35.7
March 2003 ⁶	3/10/03 - 4/7/03	1990	5	54.1
April 2003 ⁶	4/7/03 - 5/2/03	1656	3	35.5
May 2003 ⁶	5/2/03 - 6/2/03	1623	7	22.3
June 2003 ⁶	6/2/03 - 6/30/03	5787	6	96.6
July 2003 ⁶	6/30/03 - 7/29/03	1356	1	28.8
August 2003 ⁶	7/29/03 - 8/25/03	1263	3	21.5
September 2003 ⁶	8/25/03 - 10/22/03	1263	3	3.9
October 2003 ⁷	10/22/03 - 10/29/03	1693.69	1.47	1.0
November 2003 ⁷	10/29/03 - 11/25/03	2510.83	4.4	4.7
December 2003 ⁷	11/25/03 - 12/29/03	503.3	10.5	6.2
January 2004 ⁷	12/29/03 - 01/26/04	3667	15.8	21.0
February 2004 ⁷	01/26/04 - 02/24/04	3348.6	26.7	20.4
March 2004 ⁷	02/24/04 - 03/29/04	1939.3	4.96	34.9
April 2004 ⁷	03/29/04 - 04/26/04	2255	0.0	32.8
May 2004 ⁷	4/26/2004 - 5/24/2004	2641	13.3	30.9
June 2004 ⁷	5/24/2004 - 6/21/2004	1454	1.7	22.5
July 2004 ⁷	6/22/2004 - 7/26/2004	1313	3.6	20.3
August 2004 ⁷	7/27/04 - 8/23/04	2305	7.4	24.7
September 2004 ⁷	8/23/04 - 9/27/04	1453	6.7	14.5
October 2004 ⁷	9/27/04 - 10/25/04	1504	14.3	11.7
November 2004 ⁷	10/25/04- 11/23/04	1480	36.42	13.2
December 2004 ^{7,8}	11/23/04 - 12/27/04	1562	132.21	18.6
January 2005 ⁷	12/27/04 - 1/31/05	1264	47.5	18.3
February 2005 ⁹	1/31/05 - 2/28/05	1538	53.2	15.8
	Total pour	ds of VOCs remov	ed from inception =	848.8

NOTES:

- 1. Calculations are based on monthly water samples and assumes samples are representative of the entire reporting period.
- 2. Calculations assume that non-detect values = 0 ug/L.
- Total VOCs summations include estimated "J" values.
- 3. Calculations are based on totalizer readings.
- 4. "Influent VOCs" and "Effluent VOCs" values given above is the summation of values for individual compounds given in monthly analytical reports.
- 5. No samples were collected in September 2003. August 2003 values are used.
- 6. Treatment system operated by Tyree Organization, Ltd. from 9/02 to 9/03.
- 7. Treatment system operated by O&M Enterprises from 10/03 to present.
- 8. Average influent and effluent concentrations used for December 2004.
- 9. Compliance sampling from February 8, 2005 used for monthly calculations.

CONVERSIONS:

1 pound = 453.5924 grams

1 gallon = 3.785 liters

Pounds of VOCs removed calculated by the following formula:

 $(1538 \ ug/L - 53.2 ug/L)*(1g/10^6 \ ug)*(1 \ lb/453.5924 \ g)*1,271,562 \ gallons*(3.785 \ L/gallon) \sim 15.75 \ lbs$

where 1,271,562 gallons is the monthly process water volume.

Date: 02/25/2005 Time: 11:24:24

Dilution Log w/Code Information For Job A05-1151

6/24 Page:

Rept: AN1266R

Client Sample IDLab Sample IDParameter (Inorganic)/Method (Organic)DilutionCodeINFLUENTA5115101826050.00008

Dilution Code Definition:

002 - sample matrix effects

003 - excessive foaming

004 - high levels of non-target compounds

005 - sample matrix resulted in method non-compliance for an Internal Standard

006 - sample matrix resulted in method non-compliance for Surrogate

007 - nature of the TCLP matrix

008 - high concentration of target analyte(s)

009 - sample turbidity

010 - sample color

011 - insufficient volume for lower dilution

012 - sample viscosity

013 - other

Attachment A OMEI Weekly Inspection Reports February 2005

NON-CONFORMANCE SUMMARY

Job#: <u>A05-1151</u>

STL Project#: NY5A9393.3

Site Name: Ecology and Environment NYSDEC Standby

General Comments

The enclosed data have been reported utilizing data qualifiers (Q) as defined on the Data Comment Page.

Soil, sediment and sludge sample results are reported on "dry weight" basis unless otherwise noted in this data package.

According to 40CFR Part 136.3, pH, Chlorine Residual, Dissolved Oxygen, Sulfite, and Temperature analyses are to be performed immediately after aqueous sample collection. When these parameters are not indicated as field (e.g. pH-Field), they were not analyzed immediately, but as soon as possible after laboratory receipt.

Sample dilutions were performed as indicated on the attached Dilution Log. The rationale for dilution is specified by the 3-digit code and definition.

Sample Receipt Comments

A05-1151

Sample Cooler(s) were received at the following temperature(s); 6.0 °C All samples were received in good condition.

GC/MS Volatile Data

The analytes Bromodichloromethane, Chloroform and Dibromochloromethane were detected in the Trip Blank at a level above the Reporting Limit. These analytes were not detected in any of the associated samples, therefore there is no impact on data usability.

The results presented in this report relate only to the analytical testing and condition of the sample at receipt. This report pertains to only those samples actually tested. All pages of this report are integral parts of the analytical data. Therefore, this report should be reproduced only in its entirety.

Date/Time 2\8\05	9:10		
Inspection personnel	RC Becken		
Other personnel on site	Greg Jones		
·			
Weather Conditions	Light rain	41 degrees	
Are all well pumps operating If "NO", provide explanation	in auto? (YES)	NO	
			. <u> </u>
Provide water level readings RW-1 ON (OFF) PW-2 ON (OFF) PW-3 ON (OFF) PW-4 ON (OFF) PW-5 (ON) OFF PW-6 (ON) OFF PW-7 (ON) OFF PW-7 (ON) OFF PW-8 ON (OFF) Equalization tank	6 6 5 7 4 4 8 5	_ft _ft _ft _ft _ft _ft _ft _ft	
Influent Flow Rate	69.98	gpm	
Influent Totalizer Reading		2315029 gallon	S
Sequestering agent drum lev	rel	<u>0</u> ft-in	
Amount of sequestering age	nt remaining		0 gallons
Sequestering agent feed rate)	<u>0</u> gpm	
Sequestering agent metering	Pump Pressure		0 psi
Bag filter top pressure	15	5psi	
Bag filter bottom pressure	()psi	

METHODS SUMMARY

Job#: <u>A05-1151</u>

STL Project#: NY5A9393.3

Site Name: Ecology and Environment NYSDEC Standby

PARAMETER METHOD

METHOD 8260 - TCL VOLATILE ORGANICS SW8463 8260

SW8463 "Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste Physical/Chemical Methods (SW846), Third Edition, 9/86; Update I, 7/92; Update IIA, 8/93; Update II, 9/94; Update IIB, 1/95; Update III, 12/96.

Influent feed pump in use	#1	(#2)				
Influent Pump Pressure			7	psi		
Air stripper blower in use	#1	(#2)				
Air stripper differential pressu	re		0.35	inches H	I ₂ O	
Air stripper vacuum		5	inches H ₂ C)		
Effluent feed pump in use	#1	(#2)				
Effluent feed pump pressure			7	psi		
Effluent flow rate		~90	_gpm			
Effluent Totalizer reading			7205687	gallons		
Are building heaters in use?	(YES)	NO				
Ambient air temperature			60	degrees	F	
Are any leaks present?	YES	(NO)				
Is sump pump in use?	YES	(NO)				
Water level in sump		4"				
Is treatment building clean an	d organi	zed?	(YES)	NO		
Samples collected? (YES)	NO					
Sam Air stripper influent Air stripper effluent	ple ID	Time o	f Sampling	рН	Turbidity	Temp.
GAC influent		_		NA	NA	
GAC effluent				NA	NA	
Is there evidence of tamperin Were manholes inspected?	g/vandal	ism of we	ells?	YES YES	(NO) NO	
Were electrical boxes inspec	ted?			YES	(NO)	
Is water present in any manh		lectrical I	ooxes?	(YES)	NO'	
(If yes, provide manhole/electric bo	x ID and d	lescription	of any correct	ive measi	res on the fo	ollowing page.)

SAMPLE SUMMARY

		SAMPLET)	RECEIVE	⊡
LAB SAMPLE ID	CLIENT SAMPLE ID_	DATE	TIME	DATE	TIME
A5115102	EFFLUENT	02/08/2005	11:06	02/08/2005	17:40
A5115101	INFLUENT	02/08/2005	10:55	02/08/2005	17:40
A5115103	TRIP BLANK	02/08/2005		02/08/2005	17:40

Other observations:
The stripper tray unit has some minor leaks at various levels of the trays, if it doesn't
stop by next weeks visit I will use some RTV gasket sealer to stop the leaks.
Describe any other system maintenance performed
Changed filters today.
2/4/05- Dissassembled stripper trays and pressure washed, the top two trays were
clean but the bottom two were quite plugged with mineral deposits, the bottom being
the worst of the two. When reassembling the stripper trays I replaced the top rubber
gasket, leaving two spare gaskets left.
gasket, leaving two spare gaskets left.
Signature Color -

STL Buffalo Current Certifications

STATE	Program	Cert # / Lab ID
Arkansas	SDWA, CWA, RCRA, SOIL	03-054-D/88-0686
California	NELAP SDWA, CWA, RCRA	01169CA
Connecticut	SDWA, CWA, RCRA, SOIL	PH-0568
Florida	NELAP RCRA	E87672
Georgia	SDWA	956
Illinois	NELAP SDWA, CWA, RCRA	200003
Iowa	SW/CS	374
Kansas	NELAP SDWA, CWA, RCRA	E-10187
Kentucky	SDWA	90029
Kentucky UST	UST	30
Louisiana	NELAP CWA, RCRA	2031
Maine	SDWA, CWA	NY044
Maryland	SDWA	294
Massachusetts	SDWA, CWA	M-NY044
Michigan	SDWA	9937
Minnesota	CWA, RCRA	036-999-337
New Hampshire	NELAP SDWA, CWA	233701
New Jersey	SDWA, CWA, RCRA, CLP	NY455
New York	NELAP, AIR, SDWA, CWA, RCRA	10026
North Carolina	CWA	411
North Dakota	SDWA, CWA, RCRA	R-176
Oklahoma	CWA, RCRA	9421
Pennsylvania	Env. Lab Reg.	68-281
South Carolina	RCRA	91013
USDA	FOREIGN SOIL PERMIT	S-41579
Virginia	SDWA	278
Washington	CWA	C254
West Virginia	CWA	252
Wisconsin	CWA	998310390

Date/Time	2\14\05	9:25	
Inspection personne		RC Becken	
Other personnel on	site		
Weather Conditions		overcast light rain 41 degrees	
Are all well pumps of If "NO", provide exp		in auto? (YES) NO	
PW-3 ON PW-4 (ON) PW-5 ON PW-6 ON PW-7 (ON) PW-8 ON Equaliza	OFF (OFF) (OFF) OFF (OFF) (OFF)	5 ft 5 ft 6 ft 4 ft 3 ft 7 ft 8 ft 5 ft	
Influent Flow Rate		56 gpm	
Influent Totalizer Re	eading	2805573_gallons	
Sequestering agent	drum lev	el 0 ft-in	
Amount of sequeste	ering ager	nt remaining 0 gallons	
Sequestering agent	feed rate	gpm 0 gpm	
Sequestering agent	metering	Pump Pressure0	psi
Bag filter top pressu	ıre	20_psi	
Bag filter bottom pre	essure	psi	

Influent feed pump in	use	#1	(#2)				
Influent Pump Pressu	re .			7 1	osi		
Air stripper blower in u	ıse	#1	(#2)	20"Water p	ressure		
Air stripper differential	pressu	re		•	inches H	I ₂ O	
Air stripper vacuum _			4	inches H₂C)		
Effluent feed pump in	use	#1	(#2)				
Effluent feed pump pr	essure			7	psi		
Effluent flow rate _			~90	_gpm			
Effluent Totalizer read	ling	 		7489820	gallons		
Are building heaters in	n use?	(YES)	NO				
Ambient air temperatu	ıre			55	degrees	F	
Are any leaks present	:?	(YES)	NO				
Is sump pump in use?	>	YES	(NO)				
Water level in sump _			4"	_			
Is treatment building of	clean an	d organi:	zed?	(YES)	NO		
Samples collected?	YES	(NO)					
Air stripper influent Air stripper effluent	Sam	ple ID	Time o	f Sampling	рН	Turbidity	Temp.
GAC influent			- -		NA	NA	
GAC effluent			_		NA	NA	
Is there evidence of ta	amperin	g/vandal	ism of we	ells?	YES	(NO)	
Were manholes inspe					YES	NO	
Were electrical boxes				•	YES	(NO)	
Is water present in an	•				(YES)	NO	allowing nage \
(If yes, provide manhole/e	electric bo	x ıv ana a	escription	or arry correcti	ve measu	ies on the R	Jiiowing page.)



STL Buffalo

10 Hazelwood Drive, Suite 106 Amherst, NY 14228

Tel: 716 691 2600 Fax: 716 691 7991 www.stl-inc.com

ANALYTICAL REPORT

Job#: A05-1151

STL Project#: NY5A9393.3

Site Name: Ecology and Environment NYSDEC Standby

Task: Mr. C's Site-000699.NY06

Mr. Mike Steffan Ecology and Environment 368 Pleasant View Drive Lancaster, NY 14086

STL Buffalo

Paul K. Morrow Project Manager

02/25/2005

Other observations:	minor drippage from stripper trays
Describe any other syste Using silicon chalk I was	m maintenance performed able to stop the dripping from the stripper tray seals.
Changed filter, flow incre	ased to 75 gpm
	Signature (Signature)

Attachment B2
Selected pages from
Severn-Trent Laboratory
Analytical Data Package #A05-1151
February 8, 2005

Date/Time 2\21\05	9:00
Inspection personnel	RC Becken
Other personnel on site	Greg Jones
Weather Conditions	overcast 34 degrees
Are all well pumps operating If "NO", provide explanation	in auto? (YES) NO
Provide water level readings RW-1 (ON) OFF PW-2 ON (OFF) PW-3 ON (OFF) PW-4 (ON) OFF PW-5 ON (OFF) PW-6 ON (OFF) PW-7 (ON) OFF PW-8 ON (OFF) Equalization tank	5 ft 6 ft 7 ft 5 ft 5 ft 4 ft 7 ft 5 ft 5 ft
Influent Flow Rate	39.73 gpm
Influent Totalizer Reading	3318308 gallons
Sequestering agent drum lev	rel 0 ft-in
Amount of sequestering age	nt remaining 0 gallons
Sequestering agent feed rate	<u> </u>
Sequestering agent metering	Pump Pressure0 psi
Bag filter top pressure	12 psi
Bag filter bottom pressure	psi

METHOD 8260 - TCL VOLATTLE ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET

Client No.

Lab Name: STL Buffalo Contract:		AS IN	FLUENT D	L
contract:				
Lab Code: <u>RECNY</u> Case No.: SAS No.:	SDG No.:	******		
Matrix: (soil/water) <u>WATER</u>	Lab Sample ID	<u>A50945</u>	01DL	
Sample wt/vol:25.00 (g/mL) ML	Lab File ID:	L2406.	RR	
Level: (low/med) <u>LOW</u>	Date Samp/Recv	r: <u>02/01/</u>	2005 <u>02/</u>	01/2005
Moisture: not dec Heated Purge: N	Date Analyzed:	02/05/	2005	
GC Column: <u>DB-624</u> ID: <u>0.53</u> (mm)	Dilution Facto	or: <u>50.</u>	00	
Soil Extract Volume: (uL)	Soil Aliquot V	olume:	(uL)
CAS NO. COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION UNIT (ug/L or ug/Kg)		Q	
1634-04-4Methyl tert butyl ether 100-42-5Styrene 79-34-51,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane 127-18-4Tetrachloroethene 108-88-3Toluene 120-82-11,2,4-Trichloroethane 71-55-61,1,1-Trichloroethane 79-00-51,1,2-Trichloroethane 76-13-11,1,2-Trichloro-1,2,2-triflue	oroethane	250 50 50 50 1400 50 50 50 50 50 50	ומממממממממממממ	

Other observations:
Minor water leakage apparently from the stripper trays, I could not see any leakage
but I did vacuum up the water.
Describe any other system maintenance performed
Changed filter afterwhich the flow increased to 81.86 gpm
Installed pipe hanger for the effluent air duct.
Reglued the 2 inch sewer pipe which was dripping.
Signature where

METHOD 8260 - TCL VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET

Client No.

			7
		AS INFLUENT DL	
Lab Name: STL Buffalo	Contract:	<u> </u>	J

Lab Code: RECNY Case No.: ____ SAS No.: ____ SDG No.: ____

Matrix: (soil/water) WATER Lab Sample ID: A5094501DL

Sample wt/vol: $\underline{25.00}$ (g/mL) $\underline{\text{ML}}$ Lab File ID: $\underline{\text{L2406.RR}}$

Level: (low/med) <u>LOW</u> Date Samp/Recv: <u>02/01/2005</u> <u>02/01/2005</u>

% Moisture: not dec. ____ Heated Purge: N Date Analyzed: 02/05/2005

GC Column: <u>DB-624</u> ID: <u>0.53</u> (mm) Dilution Factor: <u>50.00</u>

Soil Extract Volume: ____ (uL) Soil Aliquot Volume: ____ (uL)

CONCENTRATION UNITS:

CAS NO.	COMPOUND	(ug/L or ug/Kg		Q
67-64-1	-Acetone		250	U
71-43-2			50	U
75-27-4	-Bromodichloromethane		50	ש
75-25-2	-Bromoform		50	U
74-83-9	-Bromomethane		50	U
78-93-3			250	U
75-15-0	-Carbon Disulfide		50	U
56-23-5	-Carbon Tetrachloride		50	ש
108-90-7	-Chlorobenzene		50	U
75-00-3	-Chloroethane		50	[ט
67-66-3			50	ט
	-Chloromethane		50	U
110-82-7	-Cyclohexane		50	U
106-93-4	-1,2-Dibromoethane		50	U .
	-Dibromochloromethane		50	ַ ט
96-12-8	-1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane		50	ש
95-50-1	-1,2-Dichlorobenzene		50	ַּט
541-73-1	-1,3-Dichlorobenzene		50	ט
	-1,4-Dichlorobenzene		50	ש
	-Dichlorodifluoromethane		50	ע
	-1,1-Dichloroethane		50	ע
	-1,2-Dichloroethane		50	U
75-35-4	-1,1-Dichloroethene		50	שׁ
	-cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	,	50	U
	-trans-1,2-Dichloroethene		. 50	[U
	-1,2-Dichloropropane		- 50	U
	-cis-1,3-Dichloropropene		50	ט
10061-02-6	-trans-1,3-Dichloropropene		50	ט
100-41-4	-Ethylbenzene		50	ט
591-78-6			250	ט
98-82-8	-Isopropylbenzene		50	U
79-20-9	-Methyl acetate		50	ט
108-87-2	-Methylcyclohexane		. 50	ט
	-Methylene chloride		50	ָּט

Date/Time 2\28\05	12:00
Inspection personnel	RC Becken
Other personnel on site	
Weather Conditions	light snow 32 degrees
Are all well pumps operating if "NO", provide explanation	in auto? (YES) NO
Provide water level readings (RW-1 ON (OFF)) PW-2 ON (OFF) PW-3 ON (OFF) PW-4 ON (OFF) PW-5 ON (OFF) PW-6 ON (OFF) PW-7 (ON) OFF PW-8 ON (OFF) Equalization tank	on control panel 8ft7ft6ft6ft5ft4ft8ft4ft4ft4ft
Influent Flow Rate	<u>45</u> gpm
Influent Totalizer Reading	3878109 gallons
Sequestering agent drum leve	el <u>0</u> ft-in
Amount of sequestering ager	nt remaining 0 gallons
Sequestering agent feed rate	<u>0</u> gpm
Sequestering agent metering	Pump Pressure0 psi
Bag filter top pressure	
Bag filter bottom pressure	psi

METHOD 8260 - TCL VOLATTLE ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET

Client No.

Lab Name: STL Buffalo Contract:		AS IN	TLUENT	
Contract.				
Lab Code: <u>RECNY</u> Case No.: SAS No.:	SDG No.:			
Matrix: (soil/water) <u>WATER</u>	Lab Sample ID	<u> A509450</u>	01	
Sample wt/vol: <u>25.00</u> (g/mL) <u>ML</u>	Lab File ID: <u>12382.RR</u>			
Level: (low/med) <u>LOW</u>	Date Samp/Recv: 02/01/2005 02/01/2005			
% Moisture: not dec Heated Purge: N Date 1		02/04/2	<u>2005</u>	
GC Column: DB-624 ID: 0.53 (mm) Dilution		or: <u>1.0</u>	<u>00</u>	
Soil Extract Volume: (uL)	Soil Aliquot V	Molume:		(uL)
CAS NO. COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION UNIT (ug/L or ug/Kg)		Q	
108-10-14-Methyl-2-pentanone 1634-04-4Methyl tert butyl ether 100-42-5Styrene 79-34-51,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane 127-18-4Tetrachloroethene 108-88-3Toluene 120-82-11,2,4-Trichlorobenzene 71-55-61,1,1-Trichloroethane 79-00-51,1,2-Trichloroethane 76-13-11,1,2-Trichloro-1,2,2-triflux 75-69-4Trichlorofluoromethane 79-01-6Trichloroethene 75-01-4Vinyl chloride	oroethane_	5.0 10 1.0 1.0 670 1.0 0.47 1.0 1.0 37	מ מטטנטטפטט ט	

Mr. C's Dry Cleaners Site NYSDEC Site #9-15-157 System Inspection Form

Influent feed pump in use	#1	(#2)				
Influent Pump Pressure			7	psi		
Air stripper blower in use	#1	(#2)				
Air stripper differential pressur	e		0.26	inches l	H ₂ O	
Air stripper vacuum		4.5	inches H ₂ 0)		
Effluent feed pump in use	#1	(#2)				
Effluent feed pump pressure			7	psi		
Effluent flow rate		~90	gpm			
Effluent Totalizer reading			8117429	gallons		
Are building heaters in use?	(YES)	NO				
Ambient air temperature			55	degrees	s F	
Are any leaks present?	YES	(NO)				
Is sump pump in use?	YES	(NO)				
Water level in sump		4"	-			
Is treatment building clean and	d organize	ed?	(YES)	NO		
Samples collected? YES	(NO)					
Sam Air stripper influent Air stripper effluent	ple ID	Time of	f Sampling	рН	Turbidity	Temp.
GAC influent				NA NA	NA NA	
Is there evidence of tampering Were manholes inspected? Were electrical boxes inspecte Is water present in any manho (If yes, provide manhole/electric box	ed? les or ele	ctrical bo	xes?	YES YES YES (YES)	(NO) NO (NO) NO es on the foll	owing page.)

METHOD 8260 - TCL VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET

Client No.

Lab Name: STL Buffalo	Contract:		AS INFLUENT
Lab Code: RECNY Case No.:	SAS No.:	SDG No.:	
Matrix: (soil/water) <u>WATER</u>		Lab Sample ID:	A5094501
Sample wt/vol:25.00 (g/mL)	ML	Lab File ID:	L2382.RR
Level: (low/med) <u>LOW</u>		Date Samp/Recv:	02/01/2005 02/01/2005
% Moisture: not dec Heated	l Purge: <u>N</u>	Date Analyzed:	02/04/2005
GC Column: <u>DB-624</u> ID: <u>0.53</u> (r	m)	Dilution Factor:	1.00
Soil Extract Volume: (uL)		Soil Aliquot Vol	ume: (uL)

Mr. C's Dry Cleaners Site NYSDEC Site #9-15-157 System Inspection Form

Other observations:
Describe any other system maintenance performed
Changed filters, afterwhich influent flow increase d to 77.42 gpm.
Removed the pump from PW-7 and replaced with the last remaining new spare pump,
I found the original pump to be clogged with a rust colored slimey substance. After
removing the riser pipe from the pump I found it to be clogged with the same substance
I cleaned the riser as well as I could and installed the new pump, after start up the
water level in the well went from 17 feet to 5 feet fairly rapidly but never did I see it
go below 5 feet. My concern is that the force main may be clogged for some distance
from the well and not allowing the pump to achieve maximun gpm.
Signature & Ala & Company
Signature Children -

METHOD 8260 - TCL VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET

Client No.

Lab Name	e: STL Buffalo	Contract.		AS EFF	LUENT	
	: RECNY Case No.:					
	case No.:	SAS NO.:	5DG NO.: _			
Matrix:	(soil/water) <u>WATER</u>		Lab Sample	ID: <u>A509450</u>)2	
Sample w	t/vol: 25.00 (g/m	L) <u>ML</u>	Lab File II	: <u>L2405.</u> F	R.	
Level:	(low/med) <u>LOW</u>		Date Samp/R	ecv: <u>02/01/2</u>	.005 <u>02/</u>	01/2005
% Moistu	re: not dec Hea	ted Purge: N	Date Analyz	ed: <u>02/05/2</u>	005	
3C Column	n: <u>DB-624</u> ID: <u>0.53</u>	(mm)	Dilution Fa	ctor:1.0	<u>10</u>	
Soil Ext	ract Volume:(uL)		Soil Aliquo	t Volume:	(1	ıL)
	CAS NO. COMPOUND		CONCENTRATION U		Q	
	108-10-14-Methyl-	2-pentanone		5.0	U	
	1634-04-4Methyl te	rt butyl ether		1.1		
	100-42-5Styrene		•	1.0	U	
	79-34-51,1,2,2-T	etrachloroethane		1.0	U	
	127-18-4Tetrachlo	roethene		27		
	108-88-3Toluene		į.	0.43	J	
4	120-82-11,2,4-Tric	chlorobenzene		1.0	U	
	/1-55-61,1,1-Tric	chloroethane		1.0	U	
	79-00-51,1,2-Tric	hloroethane		1.0	υ	
	76-13-11,1,2-Tric	chloro-1,2,2-trifl	uoroethane	1.0	U	
	75-69-4Trichloro	Luoromethane	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1.0	Ū.	
	79-01-6Trichloro	etnene		0.57	J	
	75-01-4Vinyl chlo	oride		1.0	U	

Attachment B1
Selected pages from
Severn-Trent Laboratory
Analytical Data Package # A5-0945
February 1, 2005

METHOD 8260 - TCL VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET

Client No.

Tab Name (WW Duffel)	AS EFFLUENT
Lab Name: STL Buffalo Contract:	
Lab Code: RECNY Case No.: SAS No.:	SDG No.:
Matrix: (soil/water) WATER	Lab Sample ID: A5094502
Sample wt/vol: <u>25.00</u> (g/mL) <u>ML</u>	Lab File ID: <u>L2405.RR</u>
Level: (low/med) <u>LOW</u>	Date Samp/Recv: 02/01/2005 02/01/2005
% Moisture: not dec Heated Purge: N	Date Analyzed: 02/05/2005
GC Column: <u>DB-624</u> ID: <u>0.53</u> (mm)	Dilution Factor: 1.00
Soil Extract Volume: (uL)	Soil Aliquot Volume:(uL)
CAS NO. COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/Kg) <u>UG/L</u> Q
67-64-1	1.0 U 1.0 U
78-93-32-Butanone	5.0 U

67-64-1Acetone	38	1
71-43-2Benzene	. 1.0	ט
75-27-4Bromodichloromethane	1.0	U
75-25-2Bromoform	1.0	ט
74-83-9Bromomethane	1.0	U
78-93-32-Butanone	5.0	U
75-15-0Carbon Disulfide	1.0	ע [
56-23-5Carbon Tetrachloride	1.0	U
108-90-7Chlorobenzene	1.0	U
75-00-3Chloroethane	1.0	U
67-66-3Chloroform	1.0	U
74-87-3Chloromethane	1.0	ן ט
110-82-7Cyclohexane	1.0	ע
106-93-41,2-Dibromoethane	1.0	U
124-48-1Dibromochloromethane	1.0	U .
96-12-81,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane	1.0	U
95-50-11,2-Dichlorobenzene	1.0	ן דו
541-73-11,3-Dichlorobenzene	1.0	ן ט
106-46-71,4-Dichlorobenzene	1.0	U
75-71-8Dichlorodifluoromethane	1.0	U
75-34-31,1-Dichloroethane	1.0	ע
107-06-21,2-Dichloroethane	1.0	U
75-35-41,1-Dichloroethene	1.0	U
156-59-2cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	ע
156-60-5trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.0	U
78-87-51,2-Dichloropropane	1.0	U
10061-01-5cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	1.0	ט
10061-02-6trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	1.0	U
100-41-4Ethylbenzene	1.0	ប
591-78-62-Hexanone	5.0	U
98-82-8Isopropylbenzene	1.0	ט
79-20-9Methyl acetate	1.0	U
108-87-2Methylcyclohexane	1.0	U
75-09-2Methylene chloride	1.0	ប



STL Buffalo

10 Hazelwood Drive, Suite 106 Amherst, NY 14228

Tel: 716 691 2600 Fax: 716 691 7991 www.stl-inc.com

ANALYTICAL REPORT

Job#: A05-0945

STL Project#: NY5A9393.3

Site Name: Ecology and Environment NYSDEC Standby

Task: Mr. C's Site-000699.NY06

Mr. Mike Steffan Ecology and Environment 368 Pleasant View Drive Lancaster, NY 14086

STL Buffalo

Project Manager

02/17/2005

DATA COMMENT PAGE

ORGANIC DATA QUALIFIERS

ND or U Indicates compound was analyzed for, but not detected at or above the reporting limit.

- J Indicates an estimated value. This flag is used either when estimating a concentration for tentatively identified compounds where a 1:1 response is assumed, or when the data indicates the presence of a compound that meets the identification criteria but the result is less than the sample quantitation limit but greater than zero.
- C This flag applies to pesticide results where the identification has been confirmed by GC/MS.
- B This flag is used when the analyte is found in the associated blank, as well as in the sample.
- E This flag identifies compounds whose concentrations exceed the calibration range of the instrument for that specific analysis.
- D This flag identifies all compounds identified in an analysis at the secondary dilution factor.
- N Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound. This flag is used only for tentatively identified compounds, where the identification is based on the Mass Spectral library search. It is applied to all TIC results.
- P This flag is used for a pesticide/Aroclor target analyte when there is greater than 25% difference for detected concentrations between the two GC columns. The lower of the two values is reported on the data page and flagged with a "P".
- A This flag indicates that a TIC is a suspected aldol-condensation product.
- Indicates coelution.
- * Indicates analysis is not within the quality control limits.

INORGANIC DATA QUALIFIERS

ND or U Indicates element was analyzed for, but not detected at or above the reporting limit.

- J or B Indicates a value greater than or equal to the instrument detection limit, but less than the quantitation limit.
- N Indicates spike sample recovery is not within the quality control limits.
- K Indicates the post digestion spike recovery is not within the quality control limits.
- S Indicates value determined by the Method of Standard Addition.
- M Indicates duplicate injection results exceeded quality control limits.
- W Post digestion spike for Furnace AA analysis is out of quality control limits (85-115%) while sample absorbance is less than 50% of spike absorbance.
- E Indicates a value estimated or not reported due to the presence of interferences.
- H Indicates analytical holding time exceedance. The value obtained should be considered an estimate.
- * Indicates analysis is not within the quality control limits.
- Indicates the correlation coefficient for the Method of Standard Addition is less than 0.995.

Date: 02/17/2005 Dilution Log w/Code Information Time: 12:26:57 For Job A05-0945

Page:

Rept: AN1266R

Client Sample ID	Lab Sample ID	Parameter (Inorganic)/Method (Organic)	Dilution	<u>Code</u>
AS INFLUENT DL	A5094501DL	8260	50.00	800
AS INFLUENT MS	A5094501MS	8260	50.00	800
AS INFLUENT SD	A5094501SD	8260	50.00	800

Dilution Code Definition:

002 - sample matrix effects

003 - excessive foaming

004 - high levels of non-target compounds

005 - sample matrix resulted in method non-compliance for an Internal Standard

006 - sample matrix resulted in method non-compliance for Surrogate

007 - nature of the TCLP matrix

008 - high concentration of target analyte(s)

009 - sample turbidity

010 - sample color

011 - insufficient volume for lower dilution

012 - sample viscosity

013 - other

STL Buffalo Current Certifications

STATE	Program	Cert # / Lab ID
Arkansas	SDWA, CWA, RCRA, SOIL	03-05 4- D/88-0686
California	NELAP SDWA, CWA, RCRA	01169CA
Connecticut	SDWA, CWA, RCRA, SOIL	PH-0568
Florida	NELAP RCRA	E87672
Georgia	SDWA	956
Illinois	NELAP SDWA, CWA, RCRA	200003
lowa	SW/CS	374
Kansas	NELAP SDWA, CWA, RCRA	E-10187
Kentucky	SDWA	90029
Kentucky UST	UST	30
Louisiana	NELAP CWA, RCRA	2031
Maine	SDWA, CWA	NY044
Maryland	SDWA	294
Massachusetts	SDWA, CWA	M-NY044
Michigan	SDWA	9937
Minnesota	CWA, RCRA	036-999-337
New Hampshire	NELAP SDWA, CWA	233701
New Jersey	SDWA, CWA, RCRA, CLP	NY455
New York	NELAP, AIR, SDWA, CWA, RCRA	10026
North Carolina	CWA	411
North Dakota	SDWA, CWA, RCRA	R-176
Oklahoma	CWA, RCRA	9421
Pennsylvania	Env. Lab Reg.	68-28 1
South Carolina	RCRA	91013
USDA	FOREIGN SOIL PERMIT	S-41579
Virginia	SDWA	278
Washington	CWA	C254
West Virginia	CWA	252
Wisconsin	CWA	998310390

NON-CONFORMANCE SUMMARY

Job#: A05-0945

STL Project#: NY5A9393.3

Site Name: Ecology and Environment NYSDEC Standby

General Comments

The enclosed data have been reported utilizing data qualifiers (Q) as defined on the Data Comment Page.

Soil, sediment and sludge sample results are reported on "dry weight" basis unless otherwise noted in this data package.

According to 40CFR Part 136.3, pH, Chlorine Residual, Dissolved Oxygen, Sulfite, and Temperature analyses are to be performed immediately after aqueous sample collection. When these parameters are not indicated as field (e.g. pH-Field), they were not analyzed immediately, but as soon as possible after laboratory receipt.

Sample dilutions were performed as indicated on the attached Dilution Log. The rationale for dilution is specified by the 3-digit code and definition.

Sample Receipt Comments

A05-0945

Sample Cooler(s) were received at the following temperature(s); 2.0 °C All samples were received in good condition.

GC/MS Volatile Data

All volatile samples exhibited a pH of 7 at the time of analysis. The analysis was performed within 7 days of sampling, therefore there is no impact on data usability.

The spike recovery of the analyte Trichloroethene in the Matrix Spike Duplicate of sample AS INFLUENT exceeded quality control limits. The Matrix Spike Blank recoveries were compliant, so no corrective action is required.

Initial calibration standard curve A5I0001148-1 exhibited the %RSD of several compounds as greater than 15%. However, the mean RSD of all compounds is 8.68%.

The results presented in this report relate only to the analytical testing and condition of the sample at receipt. This report pertains to only those samples actually tested. All pages of this report are integral parts of the analytical data. Therefore, this report should be reproduced only in its entirety.

SAMPLE DATA SUMMARY PACKAGE

METHODS SUMMARY

Job#: A05-0945

STL Project#: NY5A9393.3

Site Name: Ecology and Environment NYSDEC Standby

ANALYTICAL METHOD

PARAMETER
METHOD 8260 - TCL VOLATILE ORGANICS

SW8463 8260

SW8463

"Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste Physical/Chemical Methods (SW846), Third Edition, 9/86; Update I, 7/92; Update IIA, 8/93; Update II, 9/94; Update IIB, 1/95; Update III, 12/96.

SAMPLE SUMMARY

		SAMPLED	RECEIVED
LAB SAMPLE ID	CLIENT SAMPLE ID	DATE TIME	DATE TIME
A5094502	AS EFFLUENT	02/01/2005 11:33	02/01/2005 17:45
A5094501	AS INFLUENT	02/01/2005 11:30	02/01/2005 17:45