

## ecology and environment engineering, p.c.

BUFFALO CORPORATE CENTER
368 Pleasantview Drive, Lancaster, New York 14086
Tel: 716/684-8060, Fax: 716/684-0844

April 11, 2005

Mr. David Chiusano, Project Manager New York State Department of Environmental Conservation Division of Environmental Remediation Bureau of Construction Services 625 Broadway, 12th Floor Albany, New York 12233 - 7010

Re: Mr. C's Dry Cleaners Site, Contract # D004180, Site # 9-15-157 March 2005 Operations, Maintenance, and Monitoring Report

Dear Mr. Chiusano:

Ecology and Environment Engineering, P.C. (EEEPC) is pleased to provide this March 2005 Operation, Maintenance, and Monitoring (OM&M) Report for the Mr. C's Dry Cleaners Site, NYSDEC Site # 9-15-157, located in East Aurora, New York. Copies of weekly inspection reports from EEEPC's subcontractor O&M Enterprises, Inc. (OMEI) are provided as Attachment A. Selected pages from the individual analytical data packages prepared by Severn - Trent Laboratories (STL) are provided as Attachments B. All analytical results for the report were analyzed at the lowest detection limits in accordance with the method standard. Remedial treatment system utility costs are provided as Attachment C.

The system was shutdown on April 4, 2005 as a result of non-compliance sampling results from March 7, 2005. An action plan of performing an additional cleaning of the air stripping unit and discussions with the equipment manufacturer for alternative sequestering agents to be used was discussed with Mr. Greg Sutton, NYSDEC Region 9. The air stripping unit will not be restarted until after the unit is cleaned and an alternative sequestering agent is submitted and approved for use with the system.

In review of the on-site treatment system operation for March 2005, EEEPC offers the following comments and highlights:

#### **Operational Summary**

- The system was operational for 98.6% of the period between 2/28/05 and 4/4/05. <u>Table 1</u> is provided to indicate the monthly operational time of the treatment equipment from the time of system startup.
- The effluent totalizer readings for the month of March 2005 indicate that approximately 1,295,692 gallons of groundwater were processed through the treatment system from 2/28/05 through 4/4/05. <u>Table 2</u> provides a summary of groundwater volume treated since system start-up. Historical volumes are based on totalizer readings provided by the O&M subcontractor's weekly inspection forms.

recycled paper

- Piezometer measurements around the groundwater pumping wells were collected on 3/7/05 and 4/4/05 at the time of compliance sampling for March and April. OMEI had difficulties obtaining piezometer readings due to vehicles parked over the top of some covers and deep snow piled over some of the other off-site piezometers.
- Filters in the bag filter unit were replaced during weekly inspections on 3/7/05, 3/14/05, 3/21/05, 3/28/05, and 4/4/05.
- Groundwater pumps from PW-2, PW-3, PW-4, PW-5, PW-6, and PW-8 were removed from the casing and replaced with previously cleaned, inspected and operational pumps.
- Checklists for weekly system inspections from OMEI are provided as <u>Attachment A</u> for 3/7/05, 3/14/05, 3/21/05, 3/28/05, and 4/4/05. Weekly system checks indicate that the air stripper differential pressure and vacuum has increased over the month. Additional performance monitoring for air stripper system efficiency needs to be performed once the unit becomes operational.
- A copy of the site utility costs from EEEPC operations from October 2004 to date is provided as Attachment C.

#### Analytical Summary - Groundwater

- EEEPC and OMEI personnel collected weekly samples of influent and effluent groundwater on March 7, 2005 for the reporting period (2/28/05 and 4/4/05) as part of the normal O&M services. At the request of the Department the lowest possible method detection limits were used for the analysis. The results are discussed below.
- The VOCs detected in the <u>influent and effluent groundwater</u> during the March 2005 sampling events are presented in <u>Table 3</u>.
- The March analytical results (15 ug/L) indicate that the treated groundwater effluent was above the compliance (10 ug/L) with the Effluent Limitation Requirements for VOCs specifically Tetrachloroethene (PCE). A comparison between the March 2005 analytical results and the Effluent Limitation Requirements for the site are provided in Table 4. As a result the air stripping unit and remainder of the treatment system was shutdown on April 4, 2005 until further evaluation of the air stripper could be performed.
- Approximately 9.5 pounds of VOCs were removed from the influent groundwater based on calculations using the effluent discharge analytical results during the reporting period. A summary of the calculated removal volumes is located in <u>Table 5</u>. These values are calculated based on totalizer readings and assumes that non-detect values given in the analytical data package = 0 μg/L and that the monthly samples are indicative of the influent characteristics and system performance for the entire reporting period.
- Pursuant to Greg Sutton's email of January 14, 2005, metals, total suspended solids (TSS), total dissolved solids (TDS) and cyanide have been deleted from the compliance sampling and analytical program. The remaining analyses include VOCs, hardness and pH. Future monthly deliverables were requested

Mr. David Chiusano, Project Manager April 11, 2005 Page 3 of 3

to be submitted electronically to Dave Szymanski with only the cover letter and tables transmitted by hard copy.

• No further air sampling for compliance monitoring will be performed on the project. The vapor phase carbon units have been taken off-line from the treatment system and shipped offsite to another NYSDEC location.

In addition to the above O & M service work, the subslab depressurization system was completed in January 2005. The indoor ambient air sampling was performed on February 14, 2005 and the final report issued to the Department and New York State Department of Health on March 17, 2005. The results indicated successful operation of the depressurization unit.

If you have any questions regarding the March 2005 O&M report summary submitted, please call me a 716-684-8060.

Very Truly Yours,

Michael G. Steffan

Project Manager

Ecology and Environment Engineering, P. C.

cc: D. Szymanski/G. Sutton, Region 9, NYSDEC - Buffalo w/o attachments

R. Becken, O&M Enterprises w/o attachments

D. Miller, E&E-Buffalo w/o attachments

CTF- 000699.NY06.05

Michael J. Steffan

## Table 1 Mr. C's Dry Cleaners Site Remediation Site #9-15-157 System Operational Time

Month	Reporting	Operational
	Hours	Up-time
September 2002	576	100%
October 2002	744	99.33%
November 2002	720	93.41%
December 2002	744	80.65%
January 2003	744	59.15%
February 2003	672	63.39%
March 2003	744	82.39%
April 2003	720	100%
May 2003	744	100%
June 2003	720	90.00%
July 2003	744	100%
August 2003	744	100%
September 1-4, 2003	96	100%
October 22 -29, 2003	168	100%
October 29 - November 25, 2003	648	99%
November 25 - December 29, 2003	816	100%
December 29, 2003 – January 26, 2004	672	100%
January 26 – February 24, 2004	696	100%
February 24 – March 29, 2004	816	99.97%
March 29 – April 26, 2004	672	99.70%
April 26 – May 24, 2004	696	73.70%
May 24 – June 21, 2004	696	99.43%
June 22 – July 26, 2004	840	100%
July 27 – August 23, 2004	672	100%
August 23 - September 27, 2004	840	97.62%
September 27 - October 25, 2004	672	90.33%
October 25 - November 23, 2004	696	92.17%
November 23 - December 27, 2004	816	97.06%
December 27, 2004 - January 31, 2005	840	100%
January 31, 2005 - February 28, 2005	660	98.20%
February 28, 2005 - April 4, 2005	828	98.60%

Average Operational Up-time = 94.00%

#### NOTES:

- 1. Up-time based as percentage of total reporting hours
- 2. Treatment system operated by the Tyree Organization Ltd. from 9/02-9/03.
- 3. Treatment system operated by O&M Enterprises from 10/03 present.

Table 2
Mr. C's Dry Cleaners Site Remediation
Site #9-15-157
Monthly Process Water Volumes

Month	Actual Period	Gallons
September 2002 <sup>i</sup>	9/5/02 - 10/2/02	4,362,477
October 2002 <sup>1</sup>	10/2/02 - 11/4/02	4,290,429
November 2002 <sup>1</sup>	11/4/02 - 12/2/02	3,326,126
December 2002 <sup>1</sup>	12/2/02 - 1/7/03	3,349,029
January 2003 <sup>1</sup>	1/7/03 - 2/3/03	1,973,144
February 2003 <sup>1</sup>	2/3/03 - 3/10/03	2,158,771
March 2003 <sup>1</sup>	3/10/03 - 4/7/03	3,263,897
April 2003 <sup>1</sup>	4/7/03 - 5/2/03	2,574,928
May 2003 <sup>1</sup>	5/2/03 - 6/2/03	1,652,538
June 2003 <sup>1</sup>	6/2/03 - 6/30/03	2,002,990
July 2003 <sup>1</sup>	6/30/03 - 7/29/03	2,543,978
August 2003 <sup>1</sup>	7/29/03 - 8/25/03	2,042,424
September 2003 <sup>1</sup>	8/25/03 - 10/22/03	370,446
October 2003 <sup>2</sup>	10/22/03 - 10/29/03	67,424
November 2003 <sup>2</sup>	10/29/03 - 11/25/03	224,278
December 2003 <sup>2</sup>	11/25/03 - 12/29/03	1,496,271
January 2004 <sup>2</sup>	12/29/03 - 01/26/04	688,034
February 2004 <sup>2</sup>	01/26/04 - 02/24/04	736,288
March 2004 <sup>2</sup>	02/24/04 - 03/29/04	2,164,569
April 2004 <sup>2</sup>	03/29/04 - 04/26/04	1,741,730
May 2004 <sup>2</sup>	4/26/2004 - 5/24/2004	1,408,095
June 2004 <sup>2</sup>	5/24/2004 - 6/21/2004	972,132
July 2004 <sup>2</sup>	6/22/2004 - 7/26/2004	1,858,790
August 2004 <sup>2</sup>	7/27/04 - 8/23/04	1,289,960
September 2004 <sup>2</sup>	8/23/04 - 9/27/04	1,201,913
October 2004 <sup>2</sup>	9/27/04 - 10/25/04	937,560
November 2004 <sup>2</sup>	10/25/04 - 11/23/04	1,098,158
December 2004 <sup>2</sup>	11/23/04 - 12/27/04	1,556,063
January 2005 <sup>2</sup>	12/27/04 - 1/31/05	1,798,238
February 2005 <sup>2</sup>	1/31/05 -2/28/05	1,271,562
March 2005 <sup>2</sup>	2/28/05 - 4/4/05	1,295,692
	TOTAL GALLONS	55,717,934

## NOTES:

- 1. System operated by Tyree Organization Ltd. From 9/02 9/03
- 2. System operated by O&M Enterprises from 10/03 present

## Table 4 Mr. C's Dry Cleaners Site Remediation Site #9-15-157

#### Effluent Discharge Criteria & Analytical Compliance Results

Parameter	Daily Maximum <sup>1</sup>	Units	March 7, 2005 Effluent Analytical Values
Flow	216,000	gpd	38,252.43 gpd <sup>6</sup>
Ha	6.0 - 9.0	standard units	8.14
1,1 Dichloroethene	10	μg/L	ND (<1.00)
1,2 Dichloroethane	10	μg/L	ND (<1.00)
Trichloroethene	10	μg/L	0.41 J
Tetrachloroethene	10	μg/L	15.0
Vinyl Chloride	10	μg/L	ND (<1.00)
Benzene	5	μg/L	ND (<1.00)
Ethylbenzene	5	μg/L	ND (<1.00)
Methylene Chloride	10	μg/L	ND (<1.00)
1,1,1 Trichloroethane	10	μg/L	ND (<1.00)
Toluene	5	μg/L	0.58 J
Methyl-t-Butyl Ether (MTBE)	NA	ug/L	ND (<1.00)
o-Xylene <sup>3</sup>	5	μg/L	NA
m, p-Xylene <sup>3</sup>	10	μg/L	NA
Total Xylenes	NA	ug/L	ND (<3.00)
Iron, total	600	μg/L	NA
Aluminum	4,000	μg/L	NA
Copper	48	μg/L	NA
Lead	11	μg/L	, NA
Manganese	2,000	μg/L	NA
Silver	100	μg/L	NA
Vanadium	28	μg/L	NA
Zinc	230	μg/L	NA
Total Dissolved Solids	850	mg/L	NA
Total Suspended Solids	20	mg/L	NA
Hardness	N/A	mg/l	468
Cyanide, Free	10	μg/L	NA

#### NOTES:

- 1. "Daily Maximum" excerpted from Attachment E of Addendum 1 to the Construction Contract Documents.
- 2. Analytical report did not differentiate between o-Xylene and m, p-Xylene. Total Xylene value reported is given in each line.
- 3. Shaded cells indicate that analytical value exceeds the "Daily Maximum"
- 4. "ND" indicates that the compound was not detected and lists the practical quantitation limit in parentheses.
- 5. "NA" indicates that analyses were not performed and data is unavailable.
- 6. Average flows based on effluent readings taken February 28 through March 7, 2005. Total gallons 267,767 divided by 7 operating days.

#### Table 5 Mr. C's Dry Cleaners Site Remediation Site #9-15-157

#### Monthly VOCs Removed From Groundwater

Month	Actual Period	Influent VOCs (µg/L)	Effluent VOCs (µg/L)	VOCs Removed (lbs.)
September 2002 <sup>6</sup>	9/5/02 - 10/2/02	1297	1	47.2
October 2002 <sup>6</sup>	10/2/02 - 11/4/02	2000	1	71.6
November 2002 <sup>6</sup>	11/4/02 - 12/2/02	1685	0	46.8
December 2002 <sup>6</sup>	12/2/02 - 1/7/03	1586	9	44.1
January 2003 <sup>6</sup>	1/7/03 - 2/3/03	1803	10	29.5
February 2003 <sup>6</sup>	2/3/03 - 3/10/03	1985	3	35.7
March 2003 <sup>6</sup>	3/10/03 - 4/7/03	1990	5	54.1
April 2003 <sup>6</sup>	4/7/03 - 5/2/03	1656	3	35.5
May 2003 <sup>6</sup>	5/2/03 - 6/2/03	1623	7	22.3
June 2003 <sup>6</sup>	6/2/03 - 6/30/03	5787	6	96.6
July 2003 <sup>6</sup>	6/30/03 - 7/29/03	1356	1	28.8
August 2003 <sup>6</sup>	7/29/03 - 8/25/03	1263	3	21.5
September 20036	8/25/03 - 10/22/03	1263	3	3.9
October 2003 <sup>7</sup>	10/22/03 - 10/29/03	1693.69	1.47	1.0
November 2003 <sup>7</sup>	10/29/03 - 11/25/03	2510.83	4.4	4.7
December 2003 <sup>7</sup>	11/25/03 - 12/29/03	503.3	10.5	6.2
January 2004 <sup>7</sup>	12/29/03 - 01/26/04	3667	15.8	21.0
February 2004 <sup>7</sup>	01/26/04 - 02/24/04	3348.6	26.7	20,4
March 2004 <sup>7</sup>	02/24/04 - 03/29/04	1939.3	4.96	34.9
April 2004 <sup>7</sup>	03/29/04 - 04/26/04	2255	0.0	32.8
May 2004 <sup>7</sup>	4/26/2004 - 5/24/2004	2641	13.3	30.9
June 2004 <sup>7</sup>	5/24/2004 - 6/21/2004	1454	1.7	22.5
July 2004 <sup>7</sup>	6/22/2004 - 7/26/2004	1313	3.6	20.3
August 2004 <sup>7</sup>	7/27/04 - 8/23/04	2305	7.4	24.7
September 2004 <sup>7</sup>	8/23/04 - 9/27/04	1453	6.7	14.5
October 2004 <sup>7</sup>	9/27/04 - 10/25/04	1504	14.3	11.7
November 2004 <sup>7</sup>	10/25/04- 11/23/04	1480	36.42	13.2
December 2004 <sup>7,8</sup>	11/23/04 - 12/27/04	1562	132.21	18.6
January 2005 <sup>7</sup>	12/27/04 - 1/31/05	1264	47.5	18.3
February 20059	1/31/05 - 2/28/05	1538	53.2	15,8
March 2005 <sup>9</sup>	1/31/05 - 2/28/05	931	56.0	9.5
	Total pou	nds of VOCs remove	ed from inception =	858.2

#### NOTES

- 1. Calculations are based on monthly water samples and assumes samples are representative of the entire reporting period.
- 2. Calculations assume that non-detect values = 0 ug/L.

Total VOCs summations include estimated "J" values.

- 3. Calculations are based on totalizer readings.
- 4. "Influent VOCs" and "Effluent VOCs" values given above is the summation of values for individual compounds given in monthly analytical reports.
- 5. No samples were collected in September 2003. August 2003 values are used.
- 6. Treatment system operated by Tyree Organization, Ltd. from 9/02 to 9/03.
- 7. Treatment system operated by O&M Enterprises from 10/03 to present.
- 8. Average influent and effluent concentrations used for December 2004.
- 9. Compliance sampling from February 8, 2005 used for monthly calculations.

#### CONVERSIONS:

1 pound = 453.5924 grams

1 gallon = 3.785 liters

Pounds of VOCs removed calculated by the following formula:

(931 ug/L-56ug/L)\*(1g/10<sup>6</sup> ug)\*(1 lb/453.5924 g)\*1,295,692 gallons\*(3.785 L/gallon) ~ 9.5 lbs

where 1,295,692 gallons is the monthly process water volume.

# Attachment A OMEI Weekly Inspection Reports March 2005

Date/Time 3\7V5	9:00
Inspection personnel	Richard Becken
Other personnel on site	Jim Mays
Weather Conditions	clear 39 degrees
Are all well pumps operating If "NO", provide explanation	in auto? (YES) NO
Provide water level readings RW-1 ON (OFF) PW-2 (ON) OFF PW-3 ON (OFF) PW-4 ON (OFF) PW-5 (ON) OFF PW-6 ON (OFF) PW-7 ON (OFF) PW-8 ON (OFF) Equalization tank	4 ft 5 ft 7 ft 6 ft 6 ft 4 ft 7 ft 7 ft 6 ft 6 ft 7 ft 7 ft 7 ft 7 ft
Influent Flow Rate	61.29 gpm
Influent Totalizer Reading	4329442 gallons
Sequestering agent drum le	ve <u>l</u> <u>0</u> ft-in
Amount of sequestering age	ent remaining 0 gallons
Sequestering agent feed ra	e <u>0</u> gpm
Sequestering agent metering	g Pump Pressure0 psi
Bag filter top pressure	<u>5</u> psi
Bag filter bottom pressure	

Influent feed pump in use	#1	(#2)				
Influent Pump Pressure				osi		
Air stripper blower in use	(#1)	#2				
Air stripper differential pressur	е		0.23 i	nches H	1 <sub>2</sub> O	
Air stripper vacuum		4	inches H <sub>2</sub> O	ı	23" water	•
Effluent feed pump in use	(#1)	#2			in stripper	sump
Effluent feed pump pressure	<u>.</u>		8	iac		
Effluent flow rate		~90	_gpm			
Effluent Totalizer reading			8385196	gallons		
Are building heaters in use?	(YES)	NO				
Ambient air temperature			55	degrees	s F	
Are any leaks present?	YES	(NO)				
Is sump pump in use?	YES	(NO)				
Water level in sump		4"	_			
Is treatment building clean an	d organiz	zed?	(YES)	NO		
Samples collected? (YES)	NO					
Air stripper influent Air stripper effluent GAC influent GAC effluent  Is there evidence of tamperin	pie ID g/vandal	_	of Sampling 1:00 1:00 ells?	pH 7.41 7.85 NA NA	Turbidity 9.69 3.34 NA NA (NO)	Temp. 55.1 . 57.9
Is water present in any manh	Were manholes inspected?  Were electrical boxes inspected?  Is water present in any manholes or electrical boxes?  Were electrical boxes inspected?  WES NO  Were electrical boxes inspected?  WES NO  Were provide manhole/electric box ID and description of any corrective measures on the following page.					

Other observations:
I found that PW-7 and PW-8 were reading faulty numbers on the control panel for
water levels, PW-7 was reading 65507 and PW-8 was 65508. Opened electrical
boxes for both wells and found that the box for PW-7 had evidence of water being in
boxes for both wells and found that the box for PV-7 had evidence of water being in
it and PW-8 box was dry. Opened the level probe junction box for PW-8 and it was
full off water and one wire was disconnected. Repaired that and left the junction box
open because the water entered the box from the conduit. PW-7 junction box was wet
inside but no water except what I could see in the conduit, it also had one wire
disconnected which after I reconnected it the probe for this well functioned properly.
Both wells operating properly by 1:00pm. Need to discuss with Mr. Steffan where
the water may be entering this conduit.
Describe any other system maintenance performed
Changed filters, afterwhich influent flow increas ed to 95
The state of the s
Signature -

### Mr. C's Dry Cleaners Site NYSDEC Site #9-15-157 Piezometer Water Level Log

Date_	3/7/2005	Meas	surements taken by RC Becken
RW-1	18.9	ft	Comments
PZ-1A	11.75	ft	Comments
PZ-1B	11.41	ft	Comments
PZ-1C	12.55	ft	Comments
PZ-1D	· , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	ft	Comments cover with car
PW-2	25.46	ft	Comments
PZ-2A	11.25	ft	Comments
PZ-2B		ft	Comments can't find
PZ-2C	11.11	ft	Comments
PZ-2D		ft	Comments can't find
PW-3		ft	Comments can't find
PZ-3A		ft	Comments can't find
PZ-3B		ft	Comments can't find
PZ-3C		ft	Comments can't find
PZ-3D	11.76	ft	Comments
PW-4	22.72	ft	Comments
PZ-4A	11.99	ft	Comments
PZ-4B	11.26	ft	Comments
PZ-4C	11.41	ft	Comments
PZ-4D	10.75	ft	Comments

RW-1 pump on during measurements?	YES	(NO)
PW-2 pump on during measurements?	(YES)	NO
PW-3 pump on during measurements?	YES	NO
PW-4 pump on during measurements?	(YES)	NO

## Mr. C's Dry Cleaners Site NYSDEC Site #9-15-157 Piezometer Water Level Log

Date 3/7/2005 Measurements taken by RC Becken
---

PW-5	21.2	ft	Comments
PZ-5A	11	ft	Comments
PZ-5B	11.05	ft	Comments
PZ-5C	10.64	ft	Comments
PZ-5D	11.44	ft	Comments
PW-6		ft	Comments cover with car
PZ-6A		ft	Comments can't find
PZ-6B		ft	Comments covered with car
PZ-6C		ft	Comments can't find
PZ-6D	11.34	ft	Comments
PW-7	11.05	ft	Comments
MPI6S	11.1	ft	Comments
PZ-7B	11.31	ft	Comments
ocw	11.21	ft	Comments
PZ-7D	11.18	ft	Comments
PW-8	7.3	ft	Comments
PZ-8A	8.05	ft	Comments
PZ-8B	8	ft	Comments
PZ-8C	7.65	ft	Comments
PZ-8D	7.97	ft	Comments

PW-5 pump on during measurements?	YES	(NO)
PW-6 pump on during measurements?	YES	NO
PW-7 pump on during measurements?	YES	(NO)
PW-8 pump on during measurements?	YES	(NO)

Date/Time 3\14\05	9:15
Inspection personnel	RC Becken
Other personnel on site	
Weather Conditions	clear 22 degrees
Are all well pumps operating If "NO", provide explanation	in auto? (YES) NO
Provide water level readings RW-1 (ON) OFF PW-2 ON (OFF) PW-3 ON (OFF) PW-4 ON (OFF) PW-5 (ON) OFF PW-6 (ON) OFF PW-7 (ON) OFF PW-8 ON (OFF) Equalization tank	9 ft 7 ft 3 ft 7 ft 5 ft 6 ft 7 ft 5 ft
Influent Flow Rate	43.14 gpm
Influent Totalizer Reading	4862427 gallons
Sequestering agent drum lev	vel 0 ft-in
Amount of sequestering age	nt remaining 0 gallons
Sequestering agent feed rate	e0_gpm
Sequestering agent metering	g Pump Pressure0 psi
Bag filter top pressure	<u>24</u> psi
Bag filter bottom pressure	0psi

Influent feed pump in use	#1	(#2)				
Influent Pump Pressure			<u> </u>	si		
Air stripper blower in use	(#1)	#2				
Air stripper differential press	ure		0.24 ii	nches H	l <sub>2</sub> O	
Air stripper vacuum		4	inches H₂O			·
Effluent feed pump in use	(#1)	#2				
Effluent feed pump pressure	<b>-</b>			osi		
Effluent flow rate		~90	_gpm			
Effluent Totalizer reading			8703677	gallons		
Are building heaters in use?	YES)	NO				
Ambient air temperature			60 (	degrees	F	
Are any leaks present?	YES	(NO)				
Is sump pump in use?	YES	(NO)				
Water level in sump		4"	<del>-</del>			
Is treatment building clean	and organi	zed?	(YES)	NO		
Samples collected? YES	(NO)					
Air stripper influent	mple ID	Time o	of Sampling	рН	Turbidity	Temp.
Air stripper effluent GAC influent GAC effluent		<del></del>		NA NA	NA NA	
Is there evidence of tamper Were manholes inspected? Were electrical boxes inspected in any man Is water present in any man	? ected? nholes or e	lectrical	boxes?	YES YES YES (YES)	(NO) NO (NO) NO ures on the f	ollowing page

Other observations:
Describe any other system maintenance performed
Changed filters, afterwhich influent flow increas ed to 64
Changed the pump in PW-5 and PW-6 will take both pumps which were removed
from the wells back to the shop to clean and check. Both replacement pumps were
previously used pumps which had been cleaned and checked.
previously asec partiple without has been electrical and a second
Signature Sel Jede -

Date/Time 3\21\05	
Inspection personnel	RC Becken
Other personnel on site	
Weather Conditions	light snow 34 degrees
Are all well pumps operating If "NO", provide explanation	in auto? (YES) NO
Provide water level readings RW-1 ON (OFF) PW-2 ON (OFF) PW-3 ON (OFF) PW-4 (ON) OFF PW-5 (ON) OFF PW-6 ON (OFF) PW-7 (ON) OFF PW-8 (ON) OFF Equalization tank	6 ft 6 ft 4 ft 4 ft 8 ft 6 ft 7 ft
Influent Flow Rate	36.91 gpm
Influent Totalizer Reading	5321340 gallons
Sequestering agent drum lev	vel <u>0</u> ft-in
Amount of sequestering age	ent remaining 0 gallons
Sequestering agent feed rat	e0_gpm
Sequestering agent metering	g Pump Pressure0 psi
Bag filter top pressure	26_psi
Bag filter bottom pressure	

Influent feed pump in u	se	#1	(#2)				
Influent Pump Pressure	∍ ,			<u>8</u> p	osi		
Air stripper blower in us	se	(#1)	#2				
Air stripper differential	pressu	re		0.17 i	nches H	<sub>2</sub> O	
Air Stripper Sump Pres	sure		26	inches H₂O			
Effluent feed pump in a	ıse	(#1)	#2				·
Effluent feed pump pre	essure			<u>7</u> ;	osi		
Effluent flow rate			~90	gpm			
Effluent Totalizer read	ing			8980110	gallons		
Are building heaters in	use?	(YES)	NO	1			
Ambient air temperatu	re	L30,-11	· · · · ·	61	degrees	F	
Are any leaks present	?	YES	(NO)				
Is sump pump in use?	•	YES	(NO)				
Water level in sump		<b>,</b>	4"			·	
Is treatment building c	lean ar	ıd organiz	zed?	(YES)	NO		
Samples collected?	YES	(NO)					
Air stripper influent Air stripper effluent GAC influent	Sam	ple ID	Time of	Sampling	pH NA	Turbidity NA	Temp.
GAC effluent			-		NA	NA	
Is there evidence of ta Were manholes inspe Were electrical boxes Is water present in an	ected? inspec y manh	ted? oles or e	lectrical b	ooxes?	YES YES YES (YES)	(NO) NO (NO) NO rres on the fo	ollowing page.)

Other observations:
— 1) () () () () () () () () () () () () ()
Describe any other system maintenance performed
Changed filters, afterwhich influent flow increas ed to 81.88 gpm
Pulled the pumps out of PW-2 and PW-4 and replaced the pumps with cleaned and
pumps from PW-5 and PW-6. The original pumps will be taken to my shop and cleaned
and checked.
Signatura

Date/Time	3\28\05	8:58	
	ersonnel		
	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \		
Other perso	inei on sile		
Weather Co	nditions	overcast 45 degrees	
If "NO", pro	vide explanation	in auto? (YES) NO	···
RW-1 PW-2 PW-3 PW-4 PW-5 PW-6 PW-7 PW-8	(ON) OFF ON (OFF)	7 ft 3 ft 11 ft 4 ft 7 ft 6 ft	
Influent Flo	w Rate	26.52 gpm	
Influent Tot	alizer Reading	5650461 gallons	
Sequesteri	ng agent drum le	ve <u>l 0</u> ft-in	
Amount of	sequestering age	ent remaining 0 gallons	
Sequesteri	ng agent feed rat	te <u>0</u> gpm	
Sequesteri	ng agent meterin	g Pump Pressure0	psi
Bag filter to	op pressure	<u>30</u> psi	
Bag filter b	ottom pressure	<u>Jan-00</u> psi	

Influent feed pump in use	#1	(#2)				
Influent Pump Pressure	,		<u>7</u> p	osi		
Air stripper blower in use	(#1)	#2				
Air stripper differential pre	ssure		0.13 i	nches H	<sub>2</sub> O	
Air stripperr Pressure		38	inches H₂O	ł		
Effluent feed pump in use	#1	(#2)				
Effluent feed pump pressu	ure		7	osi		
Effluent flow rate		~88	gpm			
Effluent Totalizer reading			9179063 (	gallons		-
Are building heaters in us	e? YES	(NO)				
Ambient air temperature			58_	degrees	F	
Are any leaks present?	YES	(NO)				
Is sump pump in use?	YES	(NO)				
Water level in sump		4"	-			
Is treatment building clea	n and organi	zed?	(YES)	NO		
Samples collected? Yi	ES (NO)					
Air stripper influent Air stripper effluent	Sample ID	Time o	f Sampling	рН	Turbidity	Temp.
GAC influent GAC effluent		<del>-</del>		NA NA	NA NA	
Is there evidence of tamp Were manholes inspecte Were electrical boxes ins Is water present in any m (If yes, provide manhole/elect	d? spected? anholes or e	lectrical l	ooxes?	YES YES YES (YES) ive measu	(NO) (NO) (NO) NO rres on the fo	ollowing page.)

Other observations:
Removed pumps from PW-3 and PW-8 and replaced with cleaned and checked
pumps both wells pumping as designed.
bumps both wells pumping as designed.
Describe any other system maintenance performed
Changed filters afterwhich influent flow increased to 75.68 gpm.
Onlarigod micro diterminar micro
Signature Hely & Della

Date/Time	4\4\05	9:10		
Inspection personnel	RC Becker	1		
Other personnel on site	J. Mayes			
Weather Conditions	sunny 37 d	legrees		
Are all well pumps operating If "NO", provide explanation	in auto? (	YES)	NO	
Provide water level readings RW-1 (ON) OFF PW-2 ON (OFF) PW-3 ON (OFF) PW-4 ON (OFF) PW-5 (ON) OFF PW-6 ON (OFF) PW-7 (ON) OFF PW-8 ON (OFF) Equalization tank	7 5 3 6 8 5 8	ft ft ft ft ft ft ft ft ft		
Influent Flow Rate		25.9 gp	m	
Influent Totalizer Reading		60	036276 gallons	
Sequestering agent drum lev	/el		0 ft-in	
Amount of sequestering age	nt remaining	]		<u>gallons</u>
Sequestering agent feed rat	e	· · · · · ·	0 gpm	
Sequestering agent metering	g Pump Pre	ssure		<u>0</u> psi
Bag filter top pressure			30 psi	•
Bag filter bottom pressure		0	psi	

Influent feed pump in use	#1	(#2)				
Influent Pump Pressure			7_p	isi		
Air stripper blower in use	(#1)	#2				
Air stripper differential pressu	ıre	<u></u>	0.12 ir	nches H	l <sub>2</sub> O	
Air strippei r Pressure		43	inches H₂O			
Effluent feed pump in use	#1	(#2)				
Effluent feed pump pressure			<u>8</u> p	osi		
Effluent flow rate		~90	gpm			
Effluent Totalizer reading		<del></del>	9413121	gallons		
Are building heaters in use?	(YES)	NO				
Ambient air temperature			58 (	degrees	F	
Are any leaks present?	YES	(NO)			3.	
Is sump pump in use?	YES	(NO)				
Water level in sump		4"				
Is treatment building clean a	nd organi:	zed?	(YES)	NO		
Samples collected? YES	(NO)					
Sar Air stripper influent Air stripper effluent	nple ID	Time o	of Sampling	Нq	Turbidity	Temp.
GAC effluent		<del>-</del> -	, · ·	NA NA	NA NA	
Is there evidence of tamperi Were manholes inspected? Were electrical boxes inspe Is water present in any man	cted? holes or e	lectrical	boxes?	YES YES YES (YES)		ollowing page

Other observations:
Mike Steffan of E&E called to inform me of an exceedance in March 2005 analytical
for effluent discharge, therefore I will shutdown the system until the stripper trays
can be inspected and cleaned.
can be mapecied and cleaned.
Describe any other system maintenance performed
Changed filters afterwhich the influent flow increased to 75.4 gpm
$( \cdot \cdot \setminus \wedge \cdot \cup \cdot \setminus \wedge \cdot )$
Signature Kathar Coulage -

## Mr. C's Dry Cleaners Site NYSDEC Site #9-15-157 Piezometer Water Level Log

Date 4/4/2005	Mea	surements taken by RC Becken J. Mays
RW-123.68	ft	Comments
PZ-1A 10.63	ft	Comments
PZ-1B 10.3	ft	Comments
PZ-1C 11.44	ft	Comments
PZ-1D	ft	Comments covered with parked car
PW-2	ft	Comments snow covered
PZ-2A	ft	Comments snow covered
PZ-2B	ft	Comments snow covered
PZ-2C	ft	Comments snow covered
PZ-2D	ft	Comments snow covered
PW-3	ft	Comments snow covered
PZ-3A	ft	Comments snow coveed
PZ-3B	ft	Comments snow covered
PZ-3C	ft	Comments snow covered
PZ-3D 10.64	ft	Comments
PW-4 21.1	ft	Comments
PZ-4A 10.72	ft	Comments
PZ-4B 10.14	ft	Comments
PZ-4C 9.61	ft	Comments
PZ-4D <u>10.33</u>	ft	Comments

RW-1 pump on during measurements?	(YES)	NO
PW-2 pump on during measurements?	(YES)	NO
PW-3 pump on during measurements?	(YES)	NO
PW-4 pump on during measurements?	(YES)	NO

### Mr. C's Dry Cleaners Site NYSDEC Site #9-15-157 Piezometer Water Level Log

Date _	4/4/2005	Meas	surements taken by RC Becken J. Mayes
PW-5	15.5	ft	Comments
PZ-5A _	9.55	ft	Comments
PZ-5B _	9.89	ft	Comments
PZ-5C _	9.45	ft	Comments
PZ-5D _	10.25	ft	Comments
PW-6 _	20.4	ft	Comments
}	, , ,		Comments snow covered
PZ-6B _	10.53	ft	Comments
PZ-6C _		ft	Comments snow covered
PZ-6D _	10.45	ft	Comments
PW-7 _	17.32	ft	Comments
MPI-6S _		ft	Comments snow covered
PZ-7B _	11.02	ft	Comments
OW-B	10.8	ft	Comments
PZ-7D	<del></del>	ft	Comments snow covered
PW-8	19.8	ft	Comments
PZ-8A	7.34	ft	Comments
PZ-8B	7.25	ft	Comments
PZ-8C	6.81	ft	Comments
PZ-8D	7.1	ft	Comments

PW-5 pump on during measurements?	(YES)	NO
PW-6 pump on during measurements?	(YES)	NO
PW-7 pump on during measurements?	(YES)	NO
DW-8 number during measurements?	(YES)	NO

Attachment B
Selected pages from
Severn-Trent Laboratory
Analytical Data Package # A5-2021
March 7, 2005



STL Buffalo 10 Hazelwood Drive, Suite 106 Amherst, NY 14228

Tel: 716 691 2600 Fax: 716 691 7991

www.stl-inc.com

ANALYTICAL REPORT

Job#: A05-2021

STL Project#: NY5A9393.3

Site Name: Ecology and Environment NYSDEC Standby

Task: Mr. C's Site-000699.NY06

Mr. Mike Steffan Ecology and Environment 368 Pleasant View Drive Lancaster, NY 14086

STL Buffalo

03/24/2005



## STL Buffalo Current Certifications

STATE	Program	Cert # / Lab ID
Arkansas	SDWA, CWA, RCRA, SOIL	03-054-D/88-0686
California	NELAP SDWA, CWA, RCRA	01169CA
Connecticut	SDWA, CWA, RCRA, SOIL	PH-0568
Florida	NELAP RCRA	E87672
Georgia	SDWA	956
Illinois	NELAP SDWA, CWA, RCRA	200003
lowa	SW/CS	374
Kansas	NELAP SDWA, CWA, RCRA	E-10187
Kentucky	SDWA	90029
Kentucky UST	UST	30
Louisiana	NELAP CWA, RCRA	2031
Maine	SDWA, CWA	NY044
Maryland	SDWA	294
Massachusetts	SDWA, CWA	M-NY044
Michigan	SDWA	9937
Minnesota	CWA, RCRA	036-999-337
New Hampshire	NELAP SDWA, CWA	233701
New Jersey	SDWA, CWA, RCRA, CLP	NY455
New York	NELAP, AIR, SDWA, CWA, RCRA	10026
North Carolina	CWA	411
North Dakota	SDWA, CWA, RCRA	R-176
Oklahoma	CWA, RCRA	9421
Pennsylvania	Env. Lab Reg.	68-281
South Carolina	RCRA .	91013
USDA	FOREIGN SOIL PERMIT	S-41579
Virginia	SDWA	· 278
Washington	CWA	C254
West Virginia	CWA	252
Wisconsin	CWA	998310390

#### SAMPLE SUMMARY

					SAMPLED		RECEIVI	<b>3</b> D
LAB SAMPLE ID	CLIENT	SAMPLE	$\mathbb{D}_{-}$	MATRIX	DATE	TIME_	DATE	TIME
A5202101	Effluent			WATER	03/07/2005	11:00	03/07/2005	13:43
A5202102	Influent			WATER	03/07/2005	10:50	03/07/2005	13:43

#### METHODS SUMMARY

Job#: <u>A05-2021</u>

STL Project#: NY5A9393.3

Site Name: Ecology and Environment NYSDEC Standby

	ANALYTICAL
PARAMETER	METHOD
METHOD 8260 - TCL VOLATILE ORGANICS	SW8463 8260
pH Total Hardness	MCAWW 150.1 MCAWW 130.2

MCAWW "Methods for Chemical Analysis of Water and Wastes", EPA/600/4-79-020 (Mar 1983) with updates and supplements EPA/600/4-91-010 (Jun 1991), EPA/600/R-92-129 (Aug 1992) and EPA/600/R-93-100 (Aug 1993)

SW8463 "Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste Physical/Chemical Methods (SW846), Third Edition, 9/86; Update I, 7/92; Update IIA, 8/93; Update II, 9/94; Update IIB, 1/95; Update III, 12/96.

#### NON-CONFORMANCE SUMMARY

Job#: <u>A05-2021</u>

STL Project#: NY5A9393.3

Site Name: Ecology and Environment NYSDEC Standby

#### General Comments

The enclosed data have been reported utilizing data qualifiers (Q) as defined on the Data Comment Page.

Soil, sediment and sludge sample results are reported on "dry weight" basis unless otherwise noted in this data package.

According to 40CFR Part 136.3, pH, Chlorine Residual, Dissolved Oxygen, Sulfite, and Temperature analyses are to be performed immediately after aqueous sample collection. When these parameters are not indicated as field (e.g. pH-Field), they were not analyzed immediately, but as soon as possible after laboratory receipt.

Sample dilutions were performed as indicated on the attached Dilution Log. The rationale for dilution is specified by the 3-digit code and definition.

#### Sample Receipt Comments

#### A05-2021

Sample Cooler(s) were received at the following temperature(s); 2.0 °C All samples were received in good condition.

#### GC/MS Volatile Data

The Relative Percent Difference (RPD) between the Matrix Spike and the Matrix Spike duplicate of sample Influent exceeded quality control limits for the analytes 1,1-Dichloroethene, Benzene, and Trichloroethene. The Matrix Spike Blank recoveries were compliant, so no corrective action is required.

#### <u>Wet Chemistry Data</u>

No deviations from protocol were encountered during the analytical procedures.

The results presented in this report relate only to the analytical testing and condition of the sample at receipt. This report pertains to only those samples actually tested. All pages of this report are integral parts of the analytical data. Therefore, this report should be reproduced only in its entirety.

Date: 03/24/2005 Time: 21:27:15

#### Dilution Log w/Code Information For Job A05-2021

6/29°age:

ept: AN1266R

Client Sample ID	Lab Sample ID	Parameter (Inorganic)/Method (Organic)	<u>Dilution</u>	<u>Code</u>
Influent	A5202102	8260	25.00	800
Influent	A5202102MS	8260	25.00	800
Influent	A5202102SD	8260	25.00	800

#### Dilution Code Definition:

002 - sample matrix effects

003 - excessive foaming

004 - high levels of non-target compounds

005 - sample matrix resulted in method non-compliance for an Internal Standard

006 - sample matrix resulted in method non-compliance for Surrogate

007 - nature of the TCLP matrix

008 - high concentration of target analyte(s)

009 - sample turbidity

010 - sample color

011 - insufficient volume for lower dilution

012 - sample viscosity

013 - other

#### **DATA COMMENT PAGE**

#### ORGANIC DATA QUALIFIERS

ND or U Indicates compound was analyzed for, but not detected at or above the reporting limit.

- Indicates an estimated value. This flag is used either when estimating a concentration for tentatively identified compounds where a 1:1 response is assumed, or when the data indicates the presence of a compound that meets the identification criteria but the result is less than the sample quantitation limit but greater than zero.
- C This flag applies to pesticide results where the identification has been confirmed by GC/MS.
- B This flag is used when the analyte is found in the associated blank, as well as in the sample.
- This flag identifies compounds whose concentrations exceed the calibration range of the instrument for that specific analysis.
- D This flag identifies all compounds identified in an analysis at the secondary dilution factor.
- N Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound. This flag is used only for tentatively identified compounds, where the identification is based on the Mass Spectral library search. It is applied to all TIC results.
- P This flag is used for a pesticide/Aroclor target analyte when there is greater than 25% difference for detected concentrations between the two GC columns. The lower of the two values is reported on the data page and flagged with a "P".
- A This flag indicates that a TIC is a suspected aldol-condensation product.
- Indicates coelution.
- \* Indicates analysis is not within the quality control limits.

#### **INORGANIC DATA QUALIFIERS**

ND or U Indicates element was analyzed for, but not detected at or above the reporting limit.

- J or B Indicates a value greater than or equal to the instrument detection limit, but less than the quantitation limit.
- N Indicates spike sample recovery is not within the quality control limits.
- K Indicates the post digestion spike recovery is not within the quality control limits.
- S Indicates value determined by the Method of Standard Addition.
- M Indicates duplicate injection results exceeded quality control limits.
- W Post digestion spike for Furnace AA analysis is out of quality control limits (85-115%) while sample absorbance is less than 50% of spike absorbance.
- E Indicates a value estimated or not reported due to the presence of interferences.
- H Indicates analytical holding time exceedance. The value obtained should be considered an estimate.
- \* Indicates analysis is not within the quality control limits.
- + Indicates the correlation coefficient for the Method of Standard Addition is less than 0.995.

Sample Data Package

9/29 Page:

Sample ID: Effluent Lab Sample ID: A5202101

Time Collected: 11:00

Date Collected: 03/07/2005

Date Received: 03/07/2005 Project No: NY5A9393.3

Client No: 397714

Time Collected: 11:00						Site No.	
			Detection			Date/Time	-
<u>Parameter</u>	Result	<u>Flag</u>	<u>Limit</u>	Units	Method	Analyzed	Analys
AQUEOUS-SW8463 8260 - TCL VOLATILES - 25 ML							
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	ND		1.0	ug/L	8260	03/16/2005 08:35	
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND		1.0	ne\Γ	8260	03/16/2005 08:35	
1,1,2-Trichloro-1,2,2-trifluoroethane	ND		1.0	UG/L	8260	03/16/2005 08:35	
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	ND		1.0	UG/L	8260	03/16/2005 08:35	
1,1-Dichloroethane	ND		1.0	UG/L	8260	03/16/2005 08:3	
1,1-Dichloroethene	ND		1.0	UG/L	8260	03/16/2005 08:3	
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	ND		1.0	UG/L	8260	03/16/2005 08:3	
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane	ND		1.0	UG/L	8260	03/16/2005 08:3	
1,2-Dibromoethane	ND		1.0	UG/∟	8260	03/16/2005 08:3	
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	ND		1.0	UG/∟	8260	03/16/2005 08:3	
1,2-Dichloroethane	ND		1.0	UG/L	8260	03/16/2005 08:3	
1,2-Dichloropropane	ND		1.0	UG/L	8260	03/16/2005 08:3	
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	ND		1.0	UG/∟	8260	03/16/2005 08:3	
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	ND		1.0	UG/∟	8260	03/16/2005 08:3	5 CDC
2-Butanone	8.0		5.0	υG/L	8260	03/16/2005 08:3	5 CDC
2-Hexanone	ND		5.0	UG/L	8260	03/16/2005 08:3	5 CDC
4-Methyl-2-pentanone	ND		5.0	∪G/L	8260	03/16/2005 08:3	5 CDC
Acetone	32		5.0	UG/L	8260	03/16/2005 08:3	5 CDC
Benzene	ND		1.0	UG/L	8260	03/16/2005 08:3	5 CDC
Bromodichloromethane	ND		1.0	UG/L	8260	03/16/2005 08:3	5 CDC
Bromoform	ND		1.0	UG/L	8260	03/16/2005 08:3	5 CDC
Bromomethane	ND		1.0	UG/L	8260	03/16/2005 08:3	5 CDC
Carbon Disulfide	ND		1.0	UG/L	8260	03/16/2005 08:3	5 срс
Carbon Tetrachloride	ND		1.0	UG/L	8260	03/16/2005 08:3	5 CDC
Chlorobenzene	ND		1.0	UG/L	8260	03/16/2005 08:3	5 CDC
Chloroethane	ND		1.0	UG/L	8260	03/16/2005 08:3	5 CDC
Chloroform	ND		1.0	UG/L	8260	03/16/2005 08:3	5 CDC
Chloromethane	ND		1.0	UG/L	8260	03/16/2005 08:3	5 CDC
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND		1.0	UG/L	8260	03/16/2005 08:3	5 CDC
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	ND		1.0	UG/L	8260	03/16/2005 08:3	5 CDC
Cyclohexane	ND		1.0	UG/L	8260	03/16/2005 08:3	
Djbromochloromethane	ND		1.0	UG/L	8260	03/16/2005 08:3	
Dichlorodifluoromethane	ND		1.0	ug/L	8260	03/16/2005 08:3	5 CDC
Ethylbenzene	ND		1.0	UG/L	8260	03/16/2005 08:3	
Isopropylbenzene	ND		1.0	UG/L	8260	03/16/2005 08:3	
Methyl acetate	ND		1.0	υσ/L	8260	03/16/2005 08:3	
Methyl-t-Butyl Ether (MTBE)	ND		1.0	UG/L	8260	03/16/2005 08:3	
Methylcyclohexane	ND		1.0	UG/L	8260	03/16/2005 08:3	
Methylene chloride	ND		1.0	UG/L	8260	03/16/2005 08:3	
Styrene	ND		1.0	UG/L	8260	03/16/2005 08:3	
Tetrachloroethene	15		1.0	UG/L	8260	03/16/2005 08:3	
Toluene	0.58	J	1.0	UG/L	8260	03/16/2005 08:3	
Total Xylenes	ND	·	3.0	UG/L	8260	03/16/2005 08:3	
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND ND		1.0	UG/L	8260	03/16/2005 08:3	
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	ND		1.0	UG/L	8260	03/16/2005 08:3	
Trichloroethene	0.41	J	1.0	UG/L	8260 8260	03/16/2005 08:3	
Trichtoroethene Trichtorofluoromethane	ND	J	1.0	UG/L	8260 8260	03/16/2005 08:3	
Vinyl chloride	ND ND		1.0	UG/L	8260	03/16/2005 08:3	

Date: 03/24/2005 Time: 21:27:21

Ecology and Environment NYSDEC Standby Mr. C's Site-000699.NY06

10/29 Page:

Rept: AN1178

Sample ID: Effluent
Lab Sample ID: A5202101
Pate Collected: 03/07/2005
Time Collected: 11:00

Date Received: 03/07/2005 Project No: NY5A9393.3 Client No: 397714

		Detection			Date/Time			
Parameter	Result	Flag	<u>Limit</u>	Units_	Method	Analyzed	Analyst	
Wet Chemistry Analysis								
pH	8.14		0	S.U.	150.1	03/08/2005 18:15	SM	
Total Hardness	468		2.0	MG/L	130.2	03/11/2005 14:15	SM	

11/29 Page:

Rept: AN1178

Sample ID: Influent Lab Sample ID: A5202102 Date Collected: 03/07/2005 Time Collected: 10:50

Date Received: 03/07/2005 Project No: NY5A9393.3 Client No: 397714

Time corrected, 10:30				Site No.			
			Detection			——Date/Time——	
Parameter	Result	<u>Flag</u>	<u>Lîmît</u>	Units	Method	Analyzed	<u>Analys</u>
AQUEOUS-SW8463 8260 - TCL VOLATILES - 25 ML			25	undi	0070	07/4//2005 44-70	1.11
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	ND		25	UG/L	8260	03/16/2005 14:39	LH
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND		25	UG/L	8260	03/16/2005 14:39	LH
1,1,2-Trichloro-1,2,2-trifluoroethane	ND		25	UG/∟	8260	03/16/2005 14:39	LH
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	ND		25	UG/L	8260	03/16/2005 14:39	LH
1,1-Dichloroethane	ND		25	UG/L	8260	03/16/2005 14:39	LH
1,1-DichLoroethene	ND		25	UG/∟	8260	03/16/2005 14:39	
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	ND		25	ne/r	8260	03/16/2005 14:39	
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane	ND		25	UG/∟	8260	03/16/2005 14:39	
1,2-Dibromoethane	ND		25	UG/L	8260	03/16/2005 14:39	
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	ND		25	UG/L	8260	03/16/2005 14:39	
1,2-Dichloroethane	ND		25	UG/L	8260	03/16/2005 14:39	
1,2-Dichloropropane	ND		25	υG/L	8260	03/16/2005 14:39	
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	ND		25	UG/∟	8260	03/16/2005 14:39	
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	ND		25	UG/L	8260	03/16/2005 14:39	
2-Butanone	ND		120	UG/L	8260	03/16/2005 14:39	LH
2-Hexanone	ND		120	UG/L	8260	03/16/2005 14:39	LH
4-Methyl-Z-pentanone	ND		120	UG/L	8260	03/16/2005 14:39	LH
Acetone	ND		120	UG/L	8260	03/16/2005 14:39	LH
Benzene	ND		25	υG/L	8260	03/16/2005 14:39	LH
Bromodichloromethane	ND		25	∪G/L	8260	03/16/2005 14:39	LH
Bromoform	ND		25	UG/L	8260	03/16/2005 14:39	LH
Bromomethane	ND		25	UG/L	8260	03/16/2005 14:39	LH
Carbon Disulfide	ND		25	บต/∟	8260	03/16/2005 14:39	LH
Carbon Tetrachloride	ND		25	UG/L	8260	03/16/2005 14:39	LH
Chlorobenzene	ND		25	UG/∟	8260	03/16/2005 14:39	LH
Chloroethane	ND		25	UG/L	8260	03/16/2005 14:39	LH
Chlaraform	ND		25	UG/L	8260	03/16/2005 14:39	LH
Chloromethane	ND		25	UG/∟	8260	03/16/2005 14:39	LH
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND		25	UG/L	8260	03/16/2005 14:39	LH
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	ND		25	UG/∟	8260	03/16/2005 14:39	LH
Cyclohexane	ND		25	UG/L	8260	03/16/2005 14:39	LH
Dibromochloromethane	ND		25	บ6/L	8260	03/16/2005 14:39	LH.
Dichlorodifluoromethane	ND		25	υe/L	8260	03/16/2005 14:39	LH
Ethy lbenzene	ND		25	UG/L	8260	03/16/2005 14:39	) LH
Isopropylbenzene	ND		25	UG/L	8260	03/16/2005 14:39	LH (
Methyl acetate	ND		25	ue/∟	8260	03/16/2005 14:39	
Methyl-t-Butyl Ether (MTBE)	ND		25	UG/L	8260	03/16/2005 14:39	
Methylcyclohexane	ND		25	ue/∟	8260	03/16/2005 14:39	
Methylene chloride	ND		25	υG/∟	8260	03/16/2005 14:39	
Styrene	ND		25	υG/∟	8260	03/16/2005 14:39	
Tetrachloroethene	910		25	UG/L	8260	03/16/2005 14:39	
To luene	ND		25	UG/L	8260	03/16/2005 14:39	
Total Xylenes	ND		75	UG/L	8260	03/16/2005 14:39	
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND		25	UG/L		03/16/2005 14:39	
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	ND		25	UG/L	8260	03/16/2005 14:39	
Trichloroethene	21	J	25	UG/L	8260	03/16/2005 14:39	
Trichlorofluoromethane	ND	-	25	ne/r	8260	03/16/2005 14:39	
Vinyl chloride	ND		25	UG/L	8260	03/16/2005 14:39	

Date: 03/24/2005 Time: 21:27:21

Ecology and Environment NYSDEC Standby
Mr. C's Site-000699.NY06

12/29 Page:

Rept: AN1178

Sample ID: Influent Lab Sample ID: A5202102 Date Collected: 03/07/2005 Time Collected: 10:50 Date Received: 03/07/2005 Project No: NY5A9393.3 Client No: 397714

	Detection			Date/Time			
Parameter	· Result	Flag Limit	Units_	Method	Analyzed	<u>Analyst</u>	
Wet Chemistry Analysis	•						
Hq	7.84	0	S.U.	150.1	03/08/2005 18:15	SM	
Total Hardness	480	2.0	MG/L	130.2	03/11/2005 14:15	SM	

## Attachment C Summary of Site Utility Costs and Projections October 2003 to March 2005

Mr. C's Dry Cle	aners Si	te - Remedi	Mr. C's Dry Cleaners Site - Remedial Treatment Utility Costs	lity Cos	ts						ATTA	ATTACHMENT C
NYSDEC Work Assignment #27.4	Assignn	nent #27.4						Utility Budget:		Electric:	\$24,024.00	
12 Months of S	ystem 0	peration an	12 Months of System Operation and Maintenance							Telephone:	\$680.00	
March 2005 Report	port					•				Gas	\$1,100.00	
Gas and Electric						-				Total:	\$25,804.00	
Utility Provider	Account #	E&E Cost Center	Description	October 104	November	December	January '05	February	March '05	April '05	May '05	
New York State E&G	06-311-11-	000699.NY06.05	Mr. C's Electric Costs	\$ 1,016.84	\$ 1,531.47	\$ 1,681.89	\$ 1,863.21	\$ 1,835.14	\$ 2,002.24			
	002616-26	p.					1					
National Fuel Gas	5819628-05	000699.NY06.05	Mr. C's Natural Gas Costs	·	Уэ	· S		\$ 481.04	\$ 184.90			
			Totals	\$ 1,016.84	\$ 1,531.47	\$ 1,681.89	\$ 1,902.44	\$ 2,316.18	\$ 2,187.14			
				90, aunf	July '05	August '05	September	October	November	December		Ave. /Month
		:	Mr. C's Electric Costs									\$ 1,772.66
			Mr. C's Natural Gas Costs									\$ 173.42
				÷	٠.							
			Totals	S	\$	. \$	1	S	\$	·		\$ 1,946.08
				i.								
Gre	and Total - N	YSE&G/National	Grand Total - NYSE&G/National Fuel Gas Costs To Date	\$	10,635.96			Estimated Reading	ding			
Phone												!
Utility Provider	Phone #	E&E Cost Center	Location Description	October '04	November	December	January '05	February '05	March '05	April '05	May '05	
Verizon	716-652-0094	716-652-0094 000699.NY06.05	Mr. C's Telephone Costs	\$ 39.56	\$ 38.76	\$ 39.10	\$ 39.08	\$ 38.66				
Account#												
716 652 0094 416 26 2					ļ							
				June '05	July '05	August	September	October	November	December		Ave./Month
												\$ 39.03
		H	21-1-1-1	•	7 10 1			And a second of the second	od on one	- dansamon occupa	) ylofomiworono jo	G
		Grand Lotal -	Grand lotal - Verizon Costs to Date	A	193.16		eonicul siu i	s initial connect	ton rees for the	priorie company	This includes initial connection rees for the phone company of approximately show	180.
		Grand Total	Grand Total All Utilities To Date	49	10,831.12							
												-
										-		

12   Months of System Operation and Maintenance   System Operation and System	IMF. C'S Dry Cleaners one - nemeulai								
12 Months of System Operation and Maintenance   Budget Beanability   Treatness   Status	<b>IYSDEC Work A</b>	ssignm	ent #27.4						
Operational Actual OP Hours 108 Hours 108 108 108 108 108 108 109 108 109 108 109 109 109 109 109 109 109 109 109 109	2 Months of Sys	tem Op	eration and	d Maintenance		Budget Remaining:	Electric:	\$13,388.04	
Operational Actual OP							Telephone:	\$484.84	
Operational Actual OP Hours Hours 168 168 720 744 672 696 696 820 607 641.5 792 840 640 660 680 820 660 820 671 792 820 672 792 820 672 792 820 672 792 820 670 671 792 820 670 671 792 820 670 671 792 820 670 671 792 820 670 671 792 820 670 671 792 820 670 671 792 820 670 671 792 820 670 670 744 744 744 744 744 744 744 744 744 7							Gas	\$926.58	
Actual OP Hours Hours Hours 168 168 168 672 696 692 692 692 692 692 692 692 692 69							Total:	\$14,799.46	
Presettie Op	Jonthly Treatment		Operational	Time by O&M	rvices	O&M Months Remaini			
Months         Hours         Percent         Capacity         C	Ь	ossible OP	Actual OP	Up-Time	Percent				
Schember 23   196   196   196   196   100.00%   100.00		Hours	Hours	Percent	Capacity*	General Operation Comments			
Comparison of the control of the c	September-03	96	96	100.00%	28%	Shutdown by Tyree after Separable Part B i	nspection		
Newmerhead   720   772   70000%   25%   10000%   25%   10000%   25%   10000%   25%   10000%   25%   10000%   25%   10000%   25%   10000%   25%   10000%   25%   10000%   25%   10000%   25%	October-03	168	168	100.00%	%9	Official Startup by O&M on 10/22/03			
Discombine of a 744   742   742   740,000%   12%   100,000%   12%   100,000%   12%   100,000%   12%   100,000%   12%   100,000%   12%   100,000%   12%   100,000%   12%   100,000%   12%   12%   100,000%   12%	November-03	720	720	100.00%	5%		_  -		
Figuring Vot 6172   670   67	December-03	744	744	100.00%	28%				
Frontierly We goed   2015	January-04	672	672	100.00%	15%				
Page   677	March-04	918	815	99 88%	51%				
May-244   686   573   577%, 478%   478% Equipment is introduction. Day National Page 517.24/04   580	Anrii-04	672	029	99.70%	20%				
June 64 686 682 89 44% 30%   Individual purps shutdown for Inspection and Gleaning   June 64 682    89 44% 30%   1000.00%   47% 100% operational   1000.00%   48% 100%   1000.00%   48% 100%   1000.00%   48% 100%   1000.00%   48% 100%   1000.	May-04	969	513	73.71%	43%	Equipment shutdown- low flow of water to a	ir stripper - 5/17-2	24/04	
August   A	June-04	969	692	99.43%	30%	Individual pumps shutdown for inspection a	ind cleaning		
September-04   672   672   100.00%   478%   1780 constrained   1780	July-04	840	840	100.00%	47%	100% operational			
September-04   840   820   97.5%, 87%   33% for hour weekend shuddown for the pressure problems with the altestipper   Corclose-04   867   807.3%   33% for hour weekend shuddown for the problems with the altestipper   Corclose-04   867   807.3%   37% for hour weekend shuddown for the problems with the altestipper   Corclose-04   866   841.5   92.17%   47%   47%   6AC units removed from project site   Assumany-05   842   840   86.20   88.21%   44%   Unit cleaned February 4, 2005   840   88.21%   44%   Unit cleaned February 4, 2005   840   88.21%   44%   Unit cleaned February 5, 2005   840   88.21%   44%   Unit cleaned February 4, 2005   840   88.21%   44%   Unit cleaned February 5, 2005   840   86.21%   44%   10.00 co.	August-04	672	672	100.00%	42%	100% operational			
Coctober-O4   672   607   80.33%   33%   65 hour weekend shutdown due to low pressure problems with the alistipper   Coctober-O4   696   641.5   82.17%   37%   65.0 curls removed from treatment system operations   Fabruary 16   696   641.5   87.06%   42%   6AC units removed from project site   6AC units removed site   6AC units removed from project site   6AC units remo	September-04	840	820	97.62%	31%	Temporary Stripper Shutdown			
November-04   686   641.5   92.17%   37%   37%   34.0	October-04	672	209	90.33%	33%	65 hour weekend shutdown due to low pres	sure problems wi	th the airstripper	
December 04   816   792   97.06%   42%   GAV units removed from project site   240,000%   44%   GAV units removed from project site   240,000%   240,000	November-04	969	641.5	92.17%	37%				
February 15   872   6840   10.000%   41%   Unit cleaned February 4, 2005   828   98.21%   33%   Unit shut down for additional cleaning and sequestering agent review   12486.5   97.07%   33%   Unit shut down for additional cleaning and sequestering agent review   12486.5   97.07%   33%   Unit shut down for additional cleaning and sequestering agent review   12486.5   97.07%   12486.5   97.07%   12486.5   97.07%   12486.5   97.07%   12486.5   97.07%   12486.5	December-04	816	792	97.06%	42%	GAC units removed from treatment system	operations	-	
Totals to Date   1264	January-05	840	640	100.00%	40%	Unit olongod Enhance (1990)			
Totals to Date   12864   12486.5   97.07%	February-05	270	090	98.21%	33%	Unit cleaned a council 4, 2005	equestering ager	it review.	
Percent Capacity is based on initial operating groundwater flows from the eight installed pumps from 9/02.   Evaluated on total gallons discharged for monthly operating time.   Evaluated on total gallons discharges calculated as an average of 78 gpm as the total for all 8 pumps at the site if all pumps operate 100%.   Ava/Month   Ava/Month   Ava/Month   Electric   Ava/Month   Ava/Month   Electric   S 1,772.66   Gas	Totale to Date	12864	12486.5	%20.26					
Percent Capacity is based on initial operating groundwater flows from the eight installed pumps from 9/02.  Evaluated on total gallons discharged for monthly operating time  Evaluated on total gallons discharges calculated as an average of 78 gpm as the total for all 8 pumps at the site if all pumps operate 100%.  Maximum pump discharges calculated as an average of 78 gpm as the total for all 8 pumps at the site if all pumps operate 100%.  Ave.Month  Ave.Month  Ave.Month  Gas  5 1,772.66  Gas  5 1,772.66  Gas  7 1,73.42									
Percent Capacity is based on initial operating groundwater flows from the eight installed pumps from 9/02.   Evaluated on total gallons discharges deformentity operating time   Evaluated on total gallons discharges calculated as an average of 78 gpm as the total for all 8 pumps at the site if all pumps operate 100%.   Maximum pump discharges calculated as an average of 78 gpm as the total for all 8 pumps at the site if all pumps operate 100%.   Ave./Month   Ave./Month   Electric   S 1,772.66   S 173.42   S 173.42   S 173.42   S 173.42   S 189.03   Telephone   S 39.03   S 173.42   S 173									
Projected Utility Costs for the O&M year (11/04 to 11/05)         Evaluated on total gallons discharges calculated as an average of 78 gpm as the total for all 8 pumps at the site if all pumps operate 100%.           Projected Utility Costs for the O&M year (11/04 to 11/05)         Ave_Month				* Percent Capacity is based or	ı initial operati	ng groundwater flows from the eight installed	pumps from 9/02	Ċ	
Projected Utility Costs for the O&M year (11/04 to 11/05)         Maximum pump discharges calculated as an average of 78 gpm as the total for all 8 pumps at the site if all pumps operate 100%.           Projected Utility Costs for the O&M year (11/04 to 11/05)         Ave./Month         Ave./Month           Electric         \$ 1,772.66         \$ 1,772.66         \$ 1,773.42           Gas         \$ 1,734.2         \$ 39.03           Telephone         \$ 39.03			111	Evaluated on total gallons disc	harged for mo	onthly operating time			
				Maximum pump discharges ca	iculated as ar	average of 78 gpm as the total for all 8 pum	ps at the site if all	pumps operate 100%.	
Ave_Month s 1,772.66 s 173.42 s 39.03	Projected Utility Costs fo	r the O&M )	year (11/04 to 11/	(05)					
\$ 1,772.66 \$ 173.42 \$ 39.03		뾝							
\$ 173.42		<u> </u>							
39.03		İ							
	1								