Glenn Springs Holdings, Inc.
A subsidiary of Occidental Petroleum

Clint Babcock
Project Manager
Direct Dial (972) 687-7506

5005 LBJ Freeway, Suite 1350
Dallas, TX 75244-6119
Facsimile (972) 687-7524

July 22, 2009

Ms. Gloria M. Sosa
United States Environmental Protection Agency
Region II, Site Investigation & Compliance Branch
290 Broadway, 20th Floor
New York, NY 10007-1866

Mr. Will Welling
New York State Department of Conservation
Remedial Bureau D, 12th Floor
625 Broadway
Albany, NY 12233-7013

Dear Ms. Sosa and Mr. Welling:

Re: **Quarterly Operations Report – Second Quarter 2009**
Hyde Park Remedial Program
Bedrock and Overburden Monitoring Programs

In accordance with the July 2006 "Performance Monitoring Plan," the following is the quarterly data report for the Hyde Park Remedial Program for the period April 1, 2009 through June 30, 2009. A total of 9.5 million gallons of aqueous phase liquid (APL) was collected, treated, and discharged in compliance with our City of Niagara Falls Publicly Owned Treatment Works (POTW) permit; no non-aqueous phase liquid (NAPL) was shipped for incineration. The potentiometric contours are consistent with previous interpretations. Flow zones 6, 7, and 9 have large dewatered areas between the landfill and the gorge face. The current data continue to support the interpretation of effective hydraulic containment.

The performance monitoring data are presented as follows:

1. Figures 1-9 - Showing groundwater contours for the flow zones and overburden
2. Figure 10 – Showing continuously recorded water levels at flow zone piezometer PMW-1M-09
3. Table 1 – Water Level Elevation Summary
4. Tables 2, 3, and 4 – Daily, Weekly, and Quarterly Treatment System Effluent Monitoring Data
5. Attachment 1 – Purge well performance graphs indicating daily level and flow information

As demonstrated in Attachment 1, purge wells PW-3L and PW-5UR did not achieve set-point during the second quarter of 2009. Both wells have been pumping and totalizing. PW-3L has NAPL present that is possibly plugging the heat shield with organics and restricting flow. PW-5UR has solids present within the well. The pump in PW-5UR was replaced on July 7, 2009, and typically after pump replacement, the water level returns to set-point within a few days. This has not happened, indicating that when the pump was set, it instantly took in a slug of solids. The pumps from both of these purge wells will be pulled and cleaned and the wells redeveloped.

An electronic copy of this report is included on the attached CD as an Adobe® Acrobat® file. If you have any questions, please feel free to contact me at 972-687-7506 or by email at clint_babcock@oxy.com.

Very truly yours,

GLENN SPRINGS HOLDINGS, INC.

Clinton J Babcock

Clint Babcock
Project Manager

CB/JP/adh/26 (001069)
Encl.

c.c.: M. Anderson, GSHI - 1*
M. Forcucci, NYSDOH - 1*
D. Hoyt, CRA - 1
J. Pentilchuk, CRA - 1
T. Raby, AECOM Environmental - 1*
B. Sadowski, NYSDEC - CD Only
G. Sosa, USEPA - 4*
W. Welling, NYSDEC - 1*

*Include one copy on CD



figure 1
FZ-01 Potentiometric Surface June 2009
2nd Quarter Report
Hyde Park Landfill Site
Glenn Springs Holdings, Inc.
Niagara Falls, New York



Legend

- Potentiometric Contour (ft AMSL)
- 2003 Delineation of NAPL Limits
- Flow Zone Subcrop
- Dewatered area of flow zone
- ● Piezometer used in contouring
- ○ Piezometer considered unreliable - data not used in contouring
- □ Purge Well - data not used in contouring
- ~ 525 Tilde indicates the flow zone elevation in a dewatered piezometer or purge well. Contours within the dewatered area are approximately the elevation of the flow zone.

N

figure 2
FZ-02 Potentiometric Surface June 2009
2nd Quarter Report
Hyde Park Landfill Site
Glenn Springs Holdings, Inc.
Niagara Falls, New York



Legend

- Potentiometric Contour (ft AMSL)
- 2003 Delineation of NAPL Limits
- Flow Zone Subcrop
- Dewatered area of flow zone
- ● Piezometer used in contouring
- ○ Piezometer considered unreliable - data not used in contouring
- □ Purge Well - data not used in contouring
- ~ 525 Tilde indicates the flow zone elevation in a dewatered piezometer or purge well. Contours within the dewatered area are approximately the elevation of the flow zone.

N

figure 3
FZ-04 Potentiometric Surface June 2009
2nd Quarter Report
Hyde Park Landfill Site
Glenn Springs Holdings, Inc.
Niagara Falls, New York



Legend

- Potentiometric Contour (ft AMSL)
- 2003 Delineation of NAPL Limits
- Flow Zone Subcrop
- Dewatered area of flow zone
- ● Piezometer used in contouring
- ○ Piezometer considered unreliable - data not used in contouring
- □ Purge Well - data not used in contouring
- ~ 525 Tilde indicates the flow zone elevation in a dewatered piezometer or purge well. Contours within the dewatered area are approximately the elevation of the flow zone.

figure 4
FZ-05 Potentiometric Surface June 2009
2nd Quarter Report
Hyde Park Landfill Site
Glenn Springs Holdings, Inc.
Niagara Falls, New York



Legend

- Potentiometric Contour (ft AMSL)
- 2003 Delineation of NAPL Limits
- Flow Zone Subcrop
- Dewatered area of flow zone
- ● Piezometer used in contouring
- ○ Piezometer considered unreliable - data not used in contouring
- □ Purge Well - data not used in contouring
- ~ 525 Tilde indicates the flow zone elevation in a dewatered piezometer or purge well. Contours within the dewatered area are approximately the elevation of the flow zone.

N

figure 5
FZ-06 Potentiometric Surface June 2009
2nd Quarter Report
Hyde Park Landfill Site
Glenn Springs Holdings, Inc.
Niagara Falls, New York



Legend

- Potentiometric Contour (ft AMSL)
- 2003 Delineation of NAPL Limits
- Flow Zone Subcrop
- Dewatered area of flow zone
- ● Piezometer used in contouring
- ○ Piezometer considered unreliable - data not used in contouring
- □ Purge Well - data not used in contouring
- ~ 525 Tilde indicates the flow zone elevation in a dewatered piezometer or purge well. Contours within the dewatered area are approximately the elevation of the flow zone.

figure 6
FZ-07 Potentiometric Surface June 2009
2nd Quarter Report
Hyde Park Landfill Site
Glenn Springs Holdings, Inc.
Niagara Falls, New York

Glenn Springs Holdings, Inc.



figure 7
FZ-09 Potentiometric Surface June 2009
2nd Quarter Report
Hyde Park Landfill Site
Glenn Springs Holdings, Inc.
Niagara Falls, New York



Legend

- Potentiometric Contour (ft AMSL)
- 2003 Delineation of NAPL Limits
- Flow Zone Subcrop
- Dewatered area of flow zone
- ● Piezometer used in contouring
- ○ Piezometer considered unreliable - data not used in contouring
- □ Purge Well - data not used in contouring
- ~ 525 Tilde indicates the flow zone elevation in a dewatered piezometer or purge well. Contours within the dewatered area are approximately the elevation of the flow zone.

N

figure 8
FZ-11 Potentiometric Surface June 2009
2nd Quarter Report
Hyde Park Landfill Site
Glenn Springs Holdings, Inc.
Niagara Falls, New York

Legend

- Potentiometric Contour (ft AMSL)
- Existing Barrier Collection System
- Overburden Barrier Collection System
- ● Well used in contouring
- ○ Well considered unreliable - data not used in contouring
- ■ OBCWS Wet Wells pumped for recovery. Posted levels indicate the pumping setpoints. Setpoint levels were used for contouring.
- < 525 Indicates the well is dry; the posted value is the bottom of the well screened interval.

Note: Data values may overlap. If illegible, refer to tabulated data in the report.

0ft. 200ft. 400ft. 600ft.

figure 9
Overburden Potentiometric Surface June 2009
2nd Quarter Report
Hyde Park Landfill Site
Glenn Springs Holdings, Inc.
Niagara Falls, New York

Glenn Springs Holdings, Inc.



figure 10

PMW-1M-09 CONTINOUSLY RECORDED WATER LEVELS
2ND QUARTER REPORT
HYDE PARK LANDFILL SITE
GLENN SPRINGS HOLDINGS, INC.
Niagara Falls, New York

TABLE 1

WATER LEVEL ELEVATION SUMMARY
SECOND QUARTER - 2009
HYDE PARK RRT PROGRAM

Well	*Reference Elevation (ft AMSL)*	*Depth to Water (ft)*	*Water Level Elevation (ft AMSL)*
Overburden			
CMW-2OB	590.79	2.16	588.63
CMW-3OB	582.13	7.80	574.33
CMW-4OB	574.28	Surcharged	574.28
CMW-5OB	583.43	1.12	582.31
CMW-6OB	571.89	2.72	569.17
CMW-7OB	611.00	Dry	-
CMW-8OB	616.11	Dry	-
CMW-9OB	571.76	2.36	569.40
CMW-1OB	576.80	5.08	571.72
CMW-11OB	572.85	3.82	569.03
CMW-12OB	594.74	20.33	574.41
OMW-1	605.28	6.11	599.17
OMW-2	605.99	3.18	602.81
OMW-3	598.63	10.81	587.82
OMW-4R	601.17	11.43	589.74
OMW-5R	591.31	6.22	585.09
OMW-6	587.62	2.23	585.39
OMW-7	592.74	7.92	584.82
OMW-8R2	594.67	8.31	586.36
OMW-9	595.52	8.38	587.14
OMW-10R	595.13	9.03	586.10
OMW-11R	597.52	6.40	591.12
OMW-12R	596.79	5.22	591.57
OMW-13R	601.50	8.72	592.78
OMW-14R	599.64	5.89	593.75
OMW-15	607.48	5.28	602.20
OMW-16R	607.62	4.17	603.45
SC-2*	625.61	20.40	605.21
SC-3*	638.72	34.10	604.62
SC-4*	639.35	20.90	618.45
SC-5*	634.07	Dry	-
SC-6*	631.15	17.40	613.75
Shallow Bedrock			
CMW-1SH	576.11	12.00	564.11
CMW-2SH	590.51	19.94	570.57
CMW-3SH	581.91	28.40	553.51
CMW-4SH	574.16	7.78	566.38
CMW-5SH	583.36	7.02	576.34
CMW-6SH	572.05	9.91	562.14
CMW-7SH	610.58	11.58	599.00
CMW-8SH	615.95	8.02	607.93
CMW-9SH	571.96	12.15	559.81
CMW-11SH	573.21	8.12	565.09
CMW-12SH	597.02	27.49	569.53
Flow Zone 1			
G1U-01	617.08	14.71	602.37
G6-01	609.24	12.44	596.80
H2U-01	620.92	10.19	610.73
H5-01	617.61	22.67	594.94
I1-01	621.55	22.76	598.79

TABLE 1

WATER LEVEL ELEVATION SUMMARY
SECOND QUARTER - 2009
HYDE PARK RRT PROGRAM

Well	*Reference Elevation (ft AMSL)*	*Depth to Water (ft)*	*Water Level Elevation (ft AMSL)*
Flow Zone 2			
F2U-02	599.89	24.60	575.29
F4U-02	602.32	16.03	586.29
G1-02	616.86	25.66	591.20
G6-02	608.65	22.28	586.37
H2U-02	620.88	27.74	593.14
H5-02	617.47	24.12	593.35
I1-02	621.42	34.80	586.62
J2U-02	609.66	17.18	592.48
J5U-02	606.21	11.91	594.30
J6-02	609.23	15.97	593.26
Flow Zone 4			
AFW-2U-04	593.48	17.34	576.14
D1U-04	593.77	12.99	580.78
D2U-04	590.65	10.80	579.85
E6-04	578.23	13.24	564.99
F2U-04	599.76	21.87	577.89
F4U-04	602.19	16.31	585.88
F6-04	588.06	18.13	569.93
G1U-04	616.96	25.91	591.05
G6-04	609.15	22.39	586.76
H5-04	617.40	24.36	593.04
I1-04	621.31	38.78	582.53
J2U-04	609.42	19.74	589.68
J5U-04	606.05	21.12	584.93
J6-04	609.12	29.19	579.93
Flow Zone 5			
AFW-2U-05	593.33	17.43	575.90
AGW-1U-05	591.80	9.34	582.46
D1U-05	593.51	14.59	578.92
D2U-05	590.56	11.72	578.84
E6-05	578.04	11.32	566.72
F2U-05	599.64	21.60	578.04
F4U-05	602.06	17.71	584.35
F6-05	587.85	18.00	569.85
G6-05	609.13	24.04	585.09
H2M-05	621.59	29.56	592.03
H5-05	617.31	26.61	590.70
I1-05	621.21	64.95	556.26
J2U-05	609.30	31.58	577.72
J5U-05	605.87	28.17	577.70
J6-05	609.02	29.69	579.33
PMW-1U-05	598.00	20.96	577.04

TABLE 1

WATER LEVEL ELEVATION SUMMARY
SECOND QUARTER - 2009
HYDE PARK RRT PROGRAM

Well	*Reference Elevation (ft AMSL)*	*Depth to Water (ft)*	*Water Level Elevation (ft AMSL)*
Flow Zone 6			
ABP-7-06	575.78	Dry	-
AFW-1U-06	571.83	14.00	557.83
AFW-2U-06	593.22	48.11	545.11
AGW-1U-06	591.66	38.79	552.87
B2U-06	589.29	36.30	552.99
C3-06	585.78	Dry	-
D1U-06	593.25	47.06	546.19
D2U-06	590.38	43.83	546.55
E6-06	577.99	4.82	573.17
F2M-06	599.06	34.62	564.44
F4M-06	602.05	50.86	551.19
F6-06	587.84	14.74	573.10
G1M-06	616.75	43.51	573.24
G6-06	609.09	39.19	569.90
H2M-06	621.42	57.58	563.84
H5-06	617.17	25.60	591.57
I1-06	621.08	69.83	551.25
J2M-06	608.94	55.79	553.15
J5M-06	606.22	60.03	546.19
J6-06	608.93	34.45	574.48
PMW-1U-06	597.92	51.19	546.73
Flow Zone 7			
ABP-1-07	576.44	29.07	547.37
ABP-7-07	575.73	40.98	534.75
AFW-1M-07	571.41	Dry	-
AFW-2M-07	593.44	66.80	526.64
AGW-1M-07	592.91	37.30	555.61
B2M-07	589.52	54.70	534.82
C3-07	585.62	46.72	538.90
D1M-07	594.15	62.85	531.30
D2M-07	590.77	67.98	522.79
E6-07	577.91	23.09	554.82
F2M-07	598.91	80.85	518.06
F4M-07	601.91	74.14	527.77
F6-07	587.68	20.70	566.98
G1M-07	616.68	33.68	583.00
G6-07	609.06	31.86	577.20
H5-07	617.05	61.07	555.98
I1-07	620.97	66.44	554.53
J5M-07	606.07	49.76	556.31
J6-07	608.85	52.23	556.62
PMW-1M-07	598.50	65.90	532.60

TABLE 1

WATER LEVEL ELEVATION SUMMARY
SECOND QUARTER - 2009
HYDE PARK RRT PROGRAM

Well	*Reference Elevation (ft AMSL)*	*Depth to Water (ft)*	*Water Level Elevation (ft AMSL)*
Flow Zone 9			
ABP-1-09	575.49	40.89	534.60
ABP-7-09	575.67	41.97	533.70
AFW-1M-09	571.12	45.73	525.39
AFW-2M-09	593.32	71.93	521.39
AGW-1M-09	592.75	37.03	555.72
B2M-09	589.34	68.53	520.81
C3-09	585.00	47.22	537.78
D1M-09	594.02	76.30	517.72
D2M-09	590.66	73.00	517.66
E6-09	577.82	23.41	554.41
F2M-09	598.71	81.24	517.47
F4M-09	601.79	84.52	517.27
F6-09	587.53	5.94	581.59
G1M-09	616.58	37.08	579.50
G6-09	608.98	30.14	578.84
H2M-09	621.32	69.08	552.24
H5-09	616.93	62.98	553.95
I1-09	620.86	58.25	562.61
J2M-09	608.77	52.28	556.49
J5M-09	605.82	49.50	556.32
J6-09	608.76	35.34	573.42
PMW-1M-09	598.34	80.69	517.65
Flow Zone 11			
AFW-1L-11	572.10	63.49	508.61
AFW-2L-11	593.43	97.73	495.70
AGW-1L-11	592.71	22.51	570.20
B2L-11	589.65	90.71	498.94
D1L-11	593.80	90.12	503.68
D2L-11	590.21	71.09	519.12
E6-11	577.72	45.32	532.40
F2L-11	598.94	48.69	550.25
F4L-11	602.22	20.74	581.48
F6-11	587.40	59.49	527.91
G1L-11	616.84	52.68	564.16
G6-11	608.89	45.54	563.35
H2L-11	620.73	52.02	568.71
H5-11	616.81	64.86	551.95
I1-11	620.71	73.33	547.38
J5L-11	607.20	58.24	548.96
J6-11	608.68	29.24	579.44
PMW-1L-11	598.84	90.19	508.65

Notes
ft Feet.
AMSL Above mean sea level.
* Levels in SC wells measured on June 26, 2009. All other levels measured on June 19, 2009.

TABLE 2

LEACHATE TREATMENT SYSTEM DAILY EFFLUENT MONITORING DATA
SECOND QUARTER - 2009
HYDE PARK RRT PROGRAM

	Effluent		
Date	*Phenol (mg/L)*	*pH (su)*	*Flow (gal)*
04/01/09	0.014	7.10	100,000
04/02/09	-	7.00	114,000
04/03/09	-	7.00	117,000
04/05/09	-	7.10	380,000
04/06/09	-	7.10	354,000
04/08/09	0.010 U	7.00	340,000
04/09/09	-	7.00	135,000
04/11/09	-	7.00	357,000
04/12/09	-	7.10	256,000
04/13/09	-	7.00	95,000
04/15/09	0.010 U	7.00	339,000
04/16/09	-	7.00	70,000
04/17/09	-	6.90	122,000
04/20/09	-	6.90	268,000
04/21/09	-	7.10	334,000
04/22/09	0.016 U	6.00	30,000
04/23/09	-	7.00	105,000
04/24/09	-	7.00	109,000
04/27/09	-	6.90	377,000
04/28/09	-	7.00	111,000
04/29/09	0.015 U	7.00	133,000
04/30/09	-	7.00	250,000

LEACHATE TREATMENT SYSTEM DAILY EFFLUENT MONITORING DATA
SECOND QUARTER - 2009
HYDE PARK RRT PROGRAM

	Effluent		
Date	*Phenol (mg/L)*	*pH (su)*	*Flow (gal)*
05/01/09	-	7.00	87,000
05/04/09	-	7.00	427,000
05/05/09	-	7.00	95,000
05/06/09	0.0036 J	7.00	109,000
05/07/09	-	7.00	119,000
05/08/09	-	7.10	100,000
05/11/09	-	7.00	129,000
05/12/09	-	7.00	129,000
05/13/09	0.010 U	7.00	131,000
05/14/09	-	7.10	133,000
05/15/09	-	7.00	73,000
05/18/09	-	7.10	130,000
05/19/09	-	7.00	123,000
05/20/09	0.0070 J	7.00	134,000
05/21/09	-	7.00	107,000
05/22/09	-	7.10	81,000
05/26/09	-	7.10	126,000
05/27/09	0.0075 J	7.00	132,000
05/28/09	-	7.10	135,000
05/29/09	-	7.10	112,000

TABLE 2

LEACHATE TREATMENT SYSTEM DAILY EFFLUENT MONITORING DATA
SECOND QUARTER - 2009
HYDE PARK RRT PROGRAM

	Effluent		
Date	*Phenol (mg/L)*	*pH (su)*	*Flow (gal)*
06/01/09	-	6.90	127,000
06/02/09	-	7.00	156,000
06/03/09	0.0096 J	6.90	125,000
06/04/09	-	6.90	81,000
06/05/09	-	7.00	70,000
06/08/09	-	7.10	130,000
06/09/09	-	7.00	128,000
06/10/09	0.010 U	7.00	85,000
06/12/09	-	6.90	99,000
06/15/09	-	6.90	132,000
06/16/09	-	6.90	135,000
06/17/09	0.010 U	6.90	83,000
06/18/09	-	6.90	74,000
06/19/09	-	6.90	108,000
06/22/09	-	7.00	110,000
06/23/09	-	7.00	132,000
06/24/09	0.017 J	6.90	129,000
06/25/09	-	7.00	71,000
06/26/09	-	6.90	121,000
06/29/09	-	6.80	135,000
06/30/09	-	6.90	127,000

Notes:
mg/L Milligram per liter.
su Standard unit.
gal Gallons.
- Not available.
U Non-detect at associated value.
J Estimated as associated value.

TABLE 3

ANALYTICAL RESULTS SUMMARY
WEEKLY SAMPLING - LEACHATE TREATMENT SYSTEM
SECOND QUARTER - 2009
HYDE PARK RRT PROGRAM

Effluent

Parameter	*Units*	*04/01/09*	*04/08/09*	*04/15/09*	*04/22/09*	*04/29/09*	*05/06/09*	*05/13/09*	*05/20/09*
Volatiles									
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	µg/L	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	µg/L	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	µg/L	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U
1,1-Dichloroethane	µg/L	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U
1,1-Dichloroethene	µg/L	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	µg/L	1.4 J	10 U	10 U	10 U	10 U	10 U	10 U	10 U
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	µg/L	10 U	10 U	10 U	10 U	10 U	10 U	10 U	10 U
1,2-Dichloroethane	µg/L	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U
1,2-Dichloropropane	µg/L	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	µg/L	10 U	10 U	10 U	10 U	10 U	10 U	10 U	10 U
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	µg/L	10 U	10 U	10 U	10 U	10 U	10 U	10 U	10 U
2-Chlorotoluene	µg/L	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U
3-Chlorotoluene	µg/L	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U
4-Chlorotoluene	µg/L	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U
Benzene	µg/L	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U
Bromodichloromethane	µg/L	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U
Bromoform	µg/L	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U
Bromomethane (Methyl Bromide)	µg/L	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U
Carbon disulfide	µg/L	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	4.6 J	5.0 U
Carbon tetrachloride	µg/L	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U
Chlorobenzene	µg/L	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U
Chloroethane	µg/L	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U
Chloroform (Trichloromethane)	µg/L	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	1.6 J	5.0 U
Chloromethane (Methyl Chloride)	µg/L	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	µg/L	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	µg/L	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U
Dichlorodifluoromethane (CFC-12)	µg/L	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U
Ethylbenzene	µg/L	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U
Methylene chloride	µg/L	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U
m-Monochlorobenzotrifluoride	µg/L	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U
o-Monochlorobenzotrifluoride	µg/L	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U
p-Monochlorobenzotrifluoride	µg/L	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U
Styrene	µg/L	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U
Tetrachloroethene	µg/L	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U
Toluene	µg/L	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	µg/L	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	µg/L	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U
Trichloroethene	µg/L	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U
Trichlorofluoromethane (CFC-11)	µg/L	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U
Vinyl acetate	µg/L	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U
Vinyl chloride	µg/L	3.4 J	3.4 J	3.2 J	1.9 J	2.5 J	4.5 J	3.5 J	1.8 J
Xylene (total)	µg/L	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U

ANALYTICAL RESULTS SUMMARY
WEEKLY SAMPLING - LEACHATE TREATMENT SYSTEM
SECOND QUARTER - 2009
HYDE PARK RRT PROGRAM

Effluent

Parameter	Units	05/27/09	06/03/09	06/10/09	06/17/09	06/24/09
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	µg/L	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	µg/L	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	µg/L	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U
1,1-Dichloroethane	µg/L	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U
1,1-Dichloroethene	µg/L	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	µg/L	10 U	10 U	10 U	10 U	10 U
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	µg/L	10 U	10 U	10 U	10 U	10 U
1,2-Dichloroethane	µg/L	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U
1,2-Dichloropropane	µg/L	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	µg/L	10 U	10 U	10 U	10 U	10 U
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	µg/L	10 U	10 U	10 U	10 U	10 U
2-Chlorotoluene	µg/L	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U
3-Chlorotoluene	µg/L	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U
4-Chlorotoluene	µg/L	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U
Benzene	µg/L	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U
Bromodichloromethane	µg/L	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U
Bromoform	µg/L	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U
Bromomethane (Methyl Bromide)	µg/L	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U
Carbon disulfide	µg/L	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U
Carbon tetrachloride	µg/L	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U
Chlorobenzene	µg/L	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U
Chloroethane	µg/L	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U
Chloroform (Trichloromethane)	µg/L	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U
Chloromethane (Methyl Chloride)	µg/L	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	µg/L	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	µg/L	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U
Dichlorodifluoromethane (CFC-12)	µg/L	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U
Ethylbenzene	µg/L	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U
Methylene chloride	µg/L	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U
m-Monochlorobenzotrifluoride	µg/L	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U
o-Monochlorobenzotrifluoride	µg/L	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U
p-Monochlorobenzotrifluoride	µg/L	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U
Styrene	µg/L	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U
Tetrachloroethene	µg/L	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U
Toluene	µg/L	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	µg/L	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	µg/L	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U
Trichloroethene	µg/L	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U
Trichlorofluoromethane (CFC-11)	µg/L	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U
Vinyl acetate	µg/L	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U
Vinyl chloride	µg/L	2.3 J	1.8 J	2.2 J	4.1 J	2.3 J
Xylene (total)	µg/L	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U

Notes:

-	Not available/not applicable.
J	Estimated at associated value.
U	Non-detect at associated value.
µg/L	Microgram per liter.

TABLE 4

ANALYTICAL RESULTS SUMMARY
QUARTERLY SAMPLING - LEACHATE TREATMENT SYSTEM
SECOND QUARTER - 2009
HYDE PARK RRT PROGRAM

Effluent

	Sample ID:	*EFF-409-3*	*EFF-409-4*
	Sample Date:	*04/22/09*	*04/22/09*
Parameter	*Units*		
Phosphorus, Total	mg/L	0.19	-
Vinyl chloride	µg/L	-	1.9J

Notes:

J	Estimated at associated value.
mg/L	Milligrams per liter.
µg/L	Micrograms per liter.
-	Not available/not applicable.

Attachment 1
2nd Quarter 2009 - Pumping levels and Flows
Hyde Park



Attachment 1
2nd Quarter 2009 - Pumping levels and Flows
Hyde Park



















Note: Indicated flows and levels represent instantaneous readings sampled once per day.