SEMI-ANNUAL DATA SUMMARY REPORT

NIAGARA COUNTY REFUSE DISTRICT SITE

Wheatfield, Niagara County, New York

(NYSDEC Site No. 9-32-026)

SUBMITTED TO:





UNITED STATES
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION
AGENCY

NEW YORK STATE
DEPARMENT OF
ENVIRONMENTAL CONSERVATION

SUBMITTED FOR:

NIAGARA COUNTY REFUSE DISTRICT AND PRP GROUP

PREPARED BY:

PARSONS

40 La Riviere Drive, Suite 350 Buffalo, New York 14202 (716) 541-0730 Fax (716) 541-0760

August 2010

SEMI-ANNUAL DATA SUMMARY REPORT

NIAGARA COUNTY REFUSE DISTRICT SITE Wheatfield, Niagara County, New York (NYSDEC Site No. 9-32-026)

Prepared For:

NIAGARA COUNTY REFUSE DISTRICT AND PRP GROUP

Prepared By:

PARSONS

40 La Riviere Drive, Suite 350 Buffalo, New York 14202 (716) 541-0730 Fax (716) 541-0760

August 2010

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page No.

| SECTIO | N 1 INTRODUCTION | 1-1 |
|--------|--|----------|
| 1.1 | Procedures | 1-1 |
| | 1.1.1 Effluent Sampling | |
| | 1.1.2 Groundwater Sampling | |
| | 1.1.3 Water Level Measurements | |
| | 1.1.4 Site Inspections | 1-2 |
| SECTIO | ON 2 RESULTS | 2-1 |
| 2.1 | Effluent Samples | 2-1 |
| 2.2 | Groundwater Analytical Results | 2-1 |
| 2.3 | Water Levels | 2-1 |
| 2.4 | Site Inspections | 2-1 |
| 2.5 | Maintenance | 2-2 |
| 2.6 | OM&M Oversight | 2-2 |
| SECTIO | ON 3 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS | 3-1 |
| SECTIO | ON 4 REFERENCES | 4-1 |
| | DIX A CITY OF NORTH TONAWANDA INDUSTRIAL WA ARGE PERMIT | STEWATER |
| APPENI | DIX B CORRESPONDENCE | |
| APPENI | DIX C WATER LEVEL RECORDS | |
| APPENI | DIX D MONTHLY INSPECTION LOGS | |
| APPENI | DIX E MAINTENANCE RECORD LOGS | |
| APPENI | DIX F COMPACT DISK CONTAINING REPORT | |

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page No.

LIST OF TABLES

| Table 2.1 | Quarterly Site Inspection Results Summary | 2-3 |
|------------|---|-----|
| | LIST OF FIGURES | |
| Figure 1.1 | Site Plan | 1-3 |

SECTION 1

INTRODUCTION

The Niagara County Refuse Site Potentially Responsible Parties (PRP) Group completed a remedial action at the Niagara County Refuse Site (Site), Wheatfield, New York in 2000. The remedial action was conducted in accordance with the United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) Record of Decision (USEPA, 1993) and the United States District Court Consent Decree (USEPA, 1995). The PRP Group is currently conducting operations, maintenance, and monitoring (OM&M) in accordance with the USEPA-approved OM&M Manual (CRA, 2000). This data report summarizes second quarter monitoring activities from April through June 2010.

1.1 PROCEDURES

1.1.1 Effluent Sampling

The current Industrial Wastewater Discharge Permit (Appendix A) was issued by the City of North Tonawanda, and is effective from March 31, 2010 through April 1, 2013. The current permit has a reduced analytical parameter list compared to the original permit, and a semi-annual sampling frequency. Prior to March 2007, samples were collected monthly. In 2010, an effluent sample was collected March 5th from Wet Well A, which receives water from the leachate collection system surrounding the landfill. Composite 24-hour samples were collected from Wet Well A using an automated sampler. The next effluent sample is scheduled to be collected in September 2010.

1.1.2 Groundwater Sampling


Groundwater samples were not collected during this reporting period. In accordance with the approved OM&M Plan (CRA, 2000), the groundwater sampling frequency was decreased from a quarterly to a semi-annual basis in 2003, and from a semi-annual to an annual basis beginning in 2006. Annual groundwater sample collection will continue for an undetermined time period. The next round of groundwater samples is scheduled to be collected in November 2010.

1.1.3 Water Level Measurements

Water levels were measured during monthly Site inspections in April, May, and June 2010. Water levels were measured from four observation well locations (piezometers East A, East B, East C, and East D), four effluent monitoring locations (wet wells A, B, C, and D), and four monitoring well locations (NCR-3S, NCR-4S, NCR-5S, and NCR-13S). The water levels were measured with an electronic water level indicator, and reported as an elevation above mean sea level. Figure 1.1 shows the locations of the water level monitoring points.

1.1.4 Site Inspections

Monthly Site inspections were conducted on April 1, May 6, and June 10, 2010. During the Site inspections, the manholes, wet wells, landfill cap, wetlands, perimeter fence, drainage ditches, swale outlets, culverts, gas vents, and monitoring wells were each visually inspected.



SECTION 2

RESULTS

This section describes the results of the second quarter OM&M activities conducted from April through June 2010. Activities during this quarter included water level measurements, mowing around wells and the perimeter fence, and Site inspections.

2.1 EFFLUENT SAMPLES

No effluent samples were required to be collected under the discharge permit during the reporting period. The next effluent sample is scheduled to be collected in September 2010. The current City of North Tonawanda Industrial Wastewater Discharge Permit (March 31, 2010 through April 1, 2013) has been included in Appendix A.

2.2 GROUNDWATER ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Monitoring wells NCR-3S, NCR-4S, NCR-5S, and NCR-13S were not sampled during this reporting quarter, based on the current annual groundwater sampling schedule specified in the OM&M Manual. Groundwater sample collection is planned for November 2010, assuming groundwater levels are adequate. The locations of the monitoring wells are provided in Figure 1.1. In November 2005, the USEPA, NYSDOH, and NYSDEC agreed to reduce the number of analytical parameters monitored in the groundwater samples (see Appendix B).

2.3 WATER LEVELS

Results of water level measurements collected during this reporting period are presented in Appendix C. Water levels were collected from the monitoring locations on April 11, May 6, and June 10, 2010. Water levels in the monitoring wells generally decreased over the reporting quarter. Measured water levels were consistent with levels observed in previous years between April and June.

2.4 SITE INSPECTIONS

A summary of the Site inspection findings is included in Table 2.1. Copies of the Site Inspection Logs have been included in Appendix D.

Each of the inspections found the manholes and wet wells to be in good condition. Water levels were measured in the wet wells during the inspections and recorded on the water level records.

Examination of the landfill cap vegetative cover included checking for erosion, bare areas, wash-outs, leachate seeps, height of vegetation, and assessing the condition of the

vegetation. No surface erosion or leachate seeps were noted. The grass covering the landfill was noted as short during the April and May inspections and tall and thick during the June inspection.

Additionally, during the examination of the landfill cap, the access roads were examined for erosion, potholes/puddles, and obstructions. The April inspection noted bare areas or areas of dead or dying vegetation. This was likely the result of the recently winter conditions, as the bare areas were not noted in the May and June inspections. All other aspects of the access roads that were examined were deemed acceptable.

The wetlands were visually examined to assess the condition of the vegetation, change in water levels, and to observe general conditions. Wetland vegetation was noted to be in a good condition typical for spring during the May and June Site inspections. A slightly higher than normal water level for the time of year was noted in the wetland area during the June site inspection and a lower than normal for level was noted in May.

All other parts of the landfill system which were examined, including the drainage ditches, swale outlets, culverts, and gas vents, were found to be in acceptable condition during the reporting period.

2.5 MAINTENANCE

Scheduled maintenance during the reporting period included mowing the perimeter of the landfill, inside the fence, and walking paths to monitoring and observation wells on June 4. Additionally, a small cut in the perimeter fence was repaired on April 29. Copies of the Maintenance Record Logs have been included in Appendix E.

No unscheduled maintenance was completed during the reporting period.

No major repairs were required during the reporting period.

2.6 OM&M OVERSIGHT

Parsons' Quality Assurance (QA) work included periodic oversight of OM&M activities by O&M Enterprises, Inc., review of monthly inspection and monitoring data, and periodic communications with O&M Enterprises. Upon completion of work performed by O&M Enterprises, routine activity report forms were completed. Parsons reviewed the report forms for completeness, and recorded problems, if any, on the forms (Appendices C, D, and E).

Table 2.1

Quarterly Site Inspection Results Summary

| Inspection Item | Acceptable | Requires Action | Comments |
|-------------------------|------------|--------------------|--|
| Manholes | X | | |
| Wet Wells | X | | Water levels were measured monthly. |
| Wetlands | X | | Water level was noted to be normal during the April inspection, slightly low during the May inspection, and slightly higher than normal during the June inspection. Water levels were within the historical range. |
| Perimeter Fence | X | | A small cut in the fence was repaired in April. |
| Condition of Roads | X | | No potholes were observed. Areas of dead/dying vegitation were noted in April, likely due to winter conditions, as the vegetation was growing in May and June. |
| Integrity of the Cap | X | | No erosion was observed. Short vegetation was noted in April and May, whereas the vegetation was tall and thick in June. |
| Drainage Ditches/Swales | X | | |
| Gas Venting System | X | | |
| Wells | X | | Water levels were measured monthly. |
| Culverts | X | | |
| Other | X | | No issues were identified during the reporting period with any other aspects of the site. |

SECTION 3

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

The following summary and conclusions were developed based on the data collected during this reporting period:

- The landfill was inspected monthly and was appropriately maintained. No major repairs were required during the reporting period. Typically, repairs are made as necessary following identification of problems or maintenance needs.
- As specified in the OM&M Manual, annual groundwater monitoring commenced in 2006. Groundwater samples are currently scheduled to be collected in November 2010, assuming sufficient groundwater is available in the wells.
- Water levels were measured in the wet wells, monitoring wells, and the observation
 wells on the landfill on a monthly basis. Water levels in the monitoring wells
 generally decreased during the reporting period. Measured water levels were
 consistent with levels observed in previous years between April and June.
- Wetlands vegetation was typical of winter conditions during the April inspection but was in good condition during the May and June inspections. The wetlands vegetation will continue to be visually assessed during the monthly site inspections.

SECTION 4

REFERENCES

- Record of Decision, Niagara County Refuse Site, Wheatfield, Niagara County, New York; United States Environmental Protection Agency, September 1993.
- Consent Decree, Docket 946-849; United States Environmental Protection Agency, February 3, 1995.
- Operations, Maintenance and Monitoring Manual for Niagara County Refuse District Site Remedial Construction, Wheatfield, Niagara County, New York; Conestoga-Rovers & Associates, December 2000.

APPENDIX A

CITY OF NORTH TONAWANDA INDUSTRIAL WASTEWATER DISCHARGE PERMIT

CITY OF NORTH TONAWANDA

INDUSTRIAL WASTEWATER DISCHARGE PERMIT

Permit Number: 2628010

In accordance with the provisions of the Clean Water Act as amended, all terms and conditions set forth in this permit, the City of North Tonawanda Local Sewer Use Ordinance and any applicable Federal, State or local laws or regulations, authorization is hereby granted to:

Niagara County Department of Public Works

Engineering Department
59 Park Avenue
Lockport, New York 14094

Classified by S.I.C. Number(s): _N/A_

for the discharge of: groundwater and other wastes generated during Remedial Action construction and implementation into the City of North Tonawanda Sewerage System.

This permit is granted in accordance with an application filed in the offices of the Wastewater Treatment Plant Superintendent located at 830 River Road, and in conformity with specifications and other required data submitted in support of the above named application, all of which are filed with and considered part of this permit. This permit is also granted in accordance with discharge limitations and requirements, monitoring and reporting requirements, and all other conditions set forth in Parts I and II hereof.

Effective this 31st day of March, 2010

To expire the 1st day of April, 2013

Water Works Superintendent

Signed this /Ce day of Jones 2010

PART I. SPECIFIC CONDITIONS

A. DISCHARGE LIMITATIONS AND MONITORING REQUIREMENTS

During the period beginning the effective date of this permit and lasting until the expiration date, discharge from the permitted facility outfall(s) shall be limited and monitored by the permittee as specified below (Refer to attached map for sampling and monitoring sites).

| Sample Point | Parameter | Discharge Limitations mg/l except pH Daily Max. | Sampling Period | Sampling Type |
|-----------------|------------------------|---|-------------------------------|------------------|
| 001 | Total Flow | | 1 Sampling Day Monthly | continuous |
| 2/ | Aluminum | 2.0 | 1 Sample Day semi-annual | 24 hr comp. |
| | Lead | 4.6 | 1 Sampling Day semi-annual | 24 hr comp. |
| | Iron | 10 | 1 Sampling Day semi-annual | 24 hr comp. |
| 2/ | Magnesium | Monitor Only | 1 Sampling Day semi-annual | 24 hr comp. |
| 2/ | Sodium | Monitor Only | 1 Sampling Day semi-annual | 24 hr comp. |
| | рН | Monitor Only | 1 Sampling Day semi-annual | grab |
| 2/ | BOD | Monitor Only | 1 Sampling Day semi-annual | 24 hr comp. |
| 2/ | Total Suspended Solids | Monitor Only | 1 Sampling Day semi-annual | 24 hr comp. |

PART I. SPECIFIC CONDITIONS

B. DISCHARGE REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

During the period beginning the effective date of this permit and lasting until the expiration date, discharge monitoring results shall be summarized and reported by the permittee on the no later than the days specified below.

| Sample Point | Parameter | Initial Monitoring Report | Subsequent Monitoring Reports |
|-----------------|-----------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| 001 | Total Flow | January 31, 2007 | semi-annual |
| | Lead | January 31, 2007 | semi-annual |
| | Iron | January 31, 2007 | semi-annual |
| | Magnesium | January 31, 2007 | semi-annual |
| | | | |
| | Sodium | January 31, 2007 | semi-annual |
| | pH | January 31, 2007 | semi-annual |
| | BOD | January 31, 2007 | semi-annual |
| | Total Suspended | January 31, 2007 | semi-annual |
| · . | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

PART I. SPECIFIC CONDITIONS

C. SPECIAL REQUIREMENTS

- This permit is written for a duration of three years. Upon renewal of this permit, all parameters will be re-evaluated to develop a parameter list based on chemical concentrations present in the extracted groundwater.
- 2) Frequency of monitoring is to be re-evaluated yearly..
- 3) All monitoring reports (initial and subsequent), are to be received by the Superintendent, no later than thirty (30) days after receipt of validated data.
- 4) It is required that the Permittee have a Site Operations Manual available at all times. All emergency phone numbers must be listed in an appropriate place for easy access by operations personnel. The permittee shall not discharge to the City of North Tonawanda sewerage treatment works during overflow conditions. The permittee is required to cease all pumping operations upon verbal request of the North Tonawanda Wastewater Treatment Plant Superintendent or his assigns. Pumping operations shall not recommence until approved by the North Tonawanda Wastewater Treatment Plant Superintendent or his assigns.
- Analysts are required to use GC/MS method detection limits for most organics (if GC/MS is appropriate); GC/ECD for PCBS/Pesticides and GF method detection limits for metals (where GF is appropriate), as contained in attachment 5 of the NYSDEC TOGs 1.3.8 New Discharges to Publicly Owned Treatment Works dated 10/26/94.

APPENDIX B CORRESPONDENCE



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION 2 290 BROADWAY NEW YORK, NY 10007-1866

MOV 21. 2005

BY FEDEX

Mr. Eric Felter Project Manager Parsons 180 Lawrence Bell Drive, Suite 104 Williamsville, New York 14221

Re: Niagara County Refuse Site, Wheatfield, New York: Request for the Reduction of Analytical Parameters in Groundwater Samples

Dear Mr. Felter:

The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (NYSDEC) have reviewed your letter dated October 3, 2005 prepared by Parsons on behalf of the Niagara County Refuse (NCR) Site PRP Group requesting a reduction in the analytical parameters in groundwater samples taken at the NCR site as part of the operation and maintenance program. The current analytical parameter list includes 2 volatiles, 4 semi-volatiles, and 16 metals which were determined to be constituents of interest at the site. Your proposal requests reducing the parameters to 5 metals, representing those constituents which have been measured above standards with some regularity in past sampling rounds. The sampling program, involving four monitoring wells, has been in effect since 2001 and your proposal reflects trends evident since the program was initiated. Sampling frequency is currently semi-annual (twice a year).

After discussing this matter with NYSDEC with input from the New York State Department of Health, our preference is that the sampling parameters remain the same for the time being. This is due to the significant residential growth around the site in recent years. After the current sampling round, samples are scheduled to be taken annually. EPA approves changing the current monitoring program only to the extent that the volatiles and semi-volatiles analysis can be conducted every two years while the metals analysis be conducted annually. EPA will, however, consider a further frequency reduction in the future as more data are collected.

Please call me at (212) 637-4278 if you have any questions on this matter.

Sincerely yours,

Michael J. Negrelli

Remedial Project Manager

New York Remediation Branch

cc:

J. Konsella - NYSDEC/Region 9

B. Sadowski - NYSDEC/Region 9

APPENDIX C WATER LEVEL RECORDS

WATER LEVEL RECORD

PROJECT NAME: NIAGARA COUNTY

LOCATION: Wheatfield, New York

REFUSE SITE

DATE:

CREW MEMBERS: RC Becken

| | | Top of Casing | Depth to | | Wa | ter Le | vel | \neg |
|-------------|---------------------|---------------|----------|---------|----|--------|-----|--------|
| Observation | Observation Time of | | Water | | El | evatio | on | |
| Well | Measurement | Α | В | | | A-B | | |
| | | feet | feet | | | feet | | _ |
| EAST "A" | 12:45 | 598.93 | 25.81 | 5 | 7 | 3. | 1 | 2 |
| EAST "B" | 12:25 | 596.23 | 19.83 | 5 | 7 | 6. | 4 | 0 |
| EAST "C" | 12:05 | 598.69 | 20.31 | 5 | 7 | 8. | 3 | 8 |
| EAST "D" | 11:45 | 593.20 | 15.48 | 5 | 7 | 7. | 7 | 2 |
| NCR-3S | 10:55 | 579.60 | 3.3 | 5 | 7 | 6. | 3 | 0 |
| NCR-4S | 10:30 | 591.88 | 2.94 | 5 | 8 | 8. | 9 | 4 |
| NCR-5S | 11:35 | 597.34 | 6.18 | 5 | 9 | 1. | 1 | 6 |
| NCR-13S | 9:15 | 593.13 | 4.71 | 5 | 8 | 8. | 4 | 2 |
| | | | | igspace | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

WET WELLS

| Wet Well | Time of Measurement | Total Flow | Depth of Water |
|-------------|------------------------|---------------|-------------------|
| WW A | 9:05 | | ~8" |
| WW B | 10:15 | | ~6" |
| WW C | 10:05 | | ~6" |
| WW D | 9:45 | | ~7" |

| Total System | Time of | |
|--------------|-------------|--|
| Flow | Measurement | |
| 50236140 | 9:05 | |

FP-3D

WATER LEVEL RECORD

PROJECT NAME: NIAGARA COUNTY

LOCATION: Wheatfield, New York

REFUSE SITE

DATE:

0 5 0 6 1 0 (M M D D Y Y)

CREW MEMBERS: RC Becken

| | | Top of Casing | Depth to | - | Wa | ter Le | evel | | | |
|-------------|---------------------|---------------|----------|----------|-------|--------|------|-------|----|--|
| Observation | Observation Time of | | l a l | | Water | | El | evati | on | |
| Well | Measurement | A | В | <u> </u> | | A-B | | | | |
| ,, | | feet | feet | | | feet | | | | |
| EAST "A" | 12:35 | 598.93 | 25.79 | 5 | 7 | 3. | 1 | 4 | | |
| EAST "B" | 12:25 | 596.23 | 19.76 | 5 | 7 | 6. | 4 | 7 | | |
| EAST "C" | 12:05 | 598.69 | 20.21 | 5 | 7 | 8. | 4 | 8 | | |
| EAST "D" | 12:15 | 593.20 | 15.49 | 5 | 7 | 7. | 7 | 1 | | |
| NCR-3S | 10:00 | 579.60 | 4.61 | 5 | 7 | 4. | 9 | 9 | | |
| NCR-4S | 10:25 | 591.88 | 2.84 | 5 | 8 | 9. | 0 | 4 | | |
| NCR-5S | 11:55 | 597.34 | 7.93 | 5 | 8 | 9. | 4 | 1 | | |
| NCR-13S | 9:20 | 593.13 | 5.1 | 5 | 8 | 8. | 0 | 3 | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |

WET WELLS

| Wet Well | Time of Measurement | Total Flow | Depth of Water |
|-------------|------------------------|---------------|-------------------|
| WW A | 9:25 | | ~7" |
| WW B | 10:15 | | ~6" |
| WW C | 9:55 | | ~6" |
| WW D | 9:45 | | ~8" |

| Total System | Time of | |
|--------------|-------------|--|
| Flow | Measurement | |
| 50820974 | 820974 9:25 | |

FP-3D

WATER LEVEL RECORD

PROJECT NAME: NIAGARA COUNTY LOCATION: Wheatfield, New York

Ç. ^Ç

REFUSE SITE

DATE: 0 6 1 0 1 0 (M M D D Y Y)

CREW MEMBERS: RC Becken

| | | Top of Casing | Depth to | | Wa | ater L | evel | |
|-------------|-------------|---------------|----------|---|-----|--------|------|---|
| Observation | Time of | Elevation | Water | | E | levati | on | |
| Well | Measurement | A | В | | | A-B | | |
| | | feet | feet | | | feet | | |
| EAST "A" | 12:45 | 598.93 | 25.73 | | 7 | 3. | 2 | 0 |
| EAST "B" | 12:25 | 596.23 | 19.83 | | 7 | 6. | 4 | 0 |
| EAST "C" | 12:05 | 598.69 | 20.24 | | 7 | 8. | 4 | 5 |
| EAST "D" | 11:45 | 593.20 | 15.59 | | 5 7 | 7. | 6 | 1 |
| NCR-3S | 10:55 | 579.60 | 3.98 | 5 | 5 7 | 5. | 6 | 2 |
| NCR-4S | 10:30 | 591.88 | 2.86 | | 8 | 9. | 0 | 2 |
| NCR-5S | 11:35 | 597.34 | 7.75 | Ę | 8 | 9. | 5 | 9 |
| NCR-13S | 9:15 | 593.13 | 4.97 | | 8 | 8. | 1 | 6 |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

WET WELLS

| Wet Well | Time of Measurement | Total Flow | Depth of Water |
|-------------|------------------------|---------------|-------------------|
| WW A | 9:10 | | ~10" |
| WW B | 10:15 | | ~6" |
| WW C | 10:05 | | ~7" |
| WW D | 9:55 | | ~6" |

| Total System | Time of |
|--------------|-------------|
| Flow | Measurement |
| 51067571 | 9:10 |

FP-3D

APPENDIX D MONTHLY INSPECTION LOGS

| | | MONTHLY INSPECTION LOG | Ö | | |
|----------------------|---|--|-----------|----------------------|------------|
| JECT NAME | PROJECT NAME: Niagara County Refuse Site | | LOCATION: | Wheatfield, New York | |
| INSPECTOR(S): | Rc Berken | | DATE | (MM DD YY) | |
| Item | Inspect For | Action Required | | Comments | |
| Perimeter | Perimeter Collection System/Off-Site Forcemain | | | | |
| Manholes | - cover on securely - condition of cover - condition of inside of manhole - flow conditions | yes open | | | 1 1 1 1 |
| Wet Wells | - cover on securely - condition of cover - condition of inside of wet well | george of the state of the stat | | | T T T |
| Landill Cap | 2 | | | | |
| Vegetated Soil Cover | iil Cover - erosion - bare areas | The state of the s | | | ň |
| | washouls leachate seeps | 200 | | | II 2002 TO |
| | length of vegetation dead/dying vegetation | obat | | | E 200 241 |
| ORM 1 | | | | | |

| | | MONTHLY INSPECTION LOG | |
|---|--|--|--------|
| PROJECT NAME: Niagara C | : Niagara County Refuse Site | LOCATION: Wheatfield, New York DATE: O U O U U U U U U U | · York |
| INSPECTOR(S): PC | RC Bellean Inspect For | Action Required | |
| 2. Landfill Cap (continued) Access Roads | d) - bare areas, dead/dying veg. | Sal | |
| | - erosion - potholes or puddles - obstruction | SAN SAN | |
| 3. Wetlands (Area "F") | dead/dying vegetation change in water budget general condition of wetlands | yes average | |
| 4. Other Site Systems Perimeter Fence | integrity of fence integrity of gates integrity of locks placement and condition of signs | 900 Josep Josep | |
| FORM 1 | | | |

| | | MONTHLY INSPECTION LOG | Ď | | |
|--|---|------------------------|---|--|---|
| PROJECT NAME: Niagara County Refuse Site | County Refuse Site | | LOCATION: | Wheatfield, New York | |
| INSPECTOR(S): | Ec Beilson | · | DATE: | O 1 1 1 0 W DD XX) | |
| Item | Inspect For | Action Required | | Comments | |
| 4. Other Site Systems (continued) | ontinued) | | | | • |
| Drainage Ditches/ | - sediment build-up | 240 | | | |
| Owale Outlets | - erosion | 7,00 | | | |
| | - condition of erosion protection | See J | | | |
| | - flow obstructions | Mone | | | |
| | - dead/dying vegetation | 1420 | *************************************** | | |
| | - cable concrete/gabion mats and riprap | 9000 | | | - |
| | | | | | |
| Culverts | - sediment build-up | 578 | | | |
| | - erosion | شمرر | | | |
| | - condition of erosion protection | Joseph | de la cita | The second field of the second | |
| | - flow obstructions | nege | | | - |
| Gas Vents | intact /damage | intact | | | |
| Wells | - locks secure | žž | | | |
| | | | | | |
| FORM 1 | | | | | ······································ |
| | | | | | |

| | , | MONTHLY INSPECTION LOG | · |
|----------------------------|--|--------------------------------|---|
| | Nisages County Refuse Site | LOCATION: Wheatfield, New York | |
| PROJECT INAIME: Intagators | | DATE: | |
| INSPECTOR(5): | 10 Becks | | |
| Jem | Inspect For | Action Required | |
| 1. Perimeter Collection Sy | Perimeter Collection System/Off-Site Forcemain | | |
| Manholes | cover on securely condition of cover condition of inside of manhole flow conditions | good good | |
| Wet Wells | cover on securely condition of cover condition of inside of wet well | 4000) | |
| 2. Landfill Cap | | | |
| Vegetated Soil Cover | erosion bare areas washouts leachate seeps length of vegetation dead/dying vegetation | none work work | |
| LOWER | | | |

- 40 mg.

| And the state of t | | MONTHLY INSPECTION LOG | | | |
|--|--|------------------------|-----------|---------------------------------------|---|
| PROJECT NAME: Niagara | Niagara County Refuse Site | | LOCATION: | Wheatfield, New York | |
| INSPECTOR(S): | C Balle | | DATE: | C S Q 4 C S MM DD YY) | |
| Item | Inspect For | Action Required | | Comments | |
| 4. Other Site Systems (continued) | ontinued) | | | | |
| Drainage Ditches/ Swale Outlets | - sediment build-up | work . | | | |
| | - condition of erosion protection - flow obstructions | good. | | | |
| · I I | - dead/dying vegetation | nong | | | |
| | - cable concrete/gabion mats and riprap | Jan | | | |
| Cuiverts | - sediment build-up | Just | | | 1 |
| | - erosion - condition of erosion protection | Jume. | | | |
| | - flow obstructions | - Lower | | | |
| Gas Vents | - intact /damage | Intact your Condition | 100 | | |
| Wells | - locks secure | San | | | |
| , MaCa | | | | | |
| FOKIM 1 | | | | | |

| | | MONTHLY INSPECTION LOG | |
|------------------------------------|--|------------------------|-------|
| PROJECT NAME: Niagara [©] | Niagara County Refuse Site | DATE: | |
| INSPECTOR(S): | JZC Becken | | |
| Item | Inspect For | Action Required | |
| 1. Perimeter Collection S | Perimeter Collection System/Off-Site Forcemain | | |
| Manholes | - cover on securely - condition of cover | yes down | |
| | - condition of inside of manhole - flow conditions | gooth no low | . , |
| Wet Wells | cover on securely condition of cover condition of inside of wet well | 0-205 0-05-0 | 1 1 1 |
| 2. Landfill Cap | | | |
| Vegelated Soil Cover | erosion - bare areas | More | |
| | - washouts | 1115 M. P. | 1 1 |
| | - leachate seeps - length of vegetation | toll thick | 1 1 |
| | - dead/dying vegetation | | l |
| FORM I | | | |

. *Get*.355

| | V | MONTHLY INSPECTION LOG | | | |
|-----------------------------------|---|--|-----------|--|------|
| PROJECT NAME: Niagara | Niagara County Refuse Site | _ | LOCATION: | Wheatfield, New York | |
| INSPECTOR(S): | RC Selen | | DATE: | [이/ 이 기이 이 이 이 이 이 이 이 | |
| Item | | Action Required | | Comments | |
| 4. Other Site Systems (continued) | ontinued) | | | | |
| Drainage Ditches/ | - sediment build-up | MANG | | | i |
| Swale Outlets | · erosion | MANG. | | THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY O | |
| | - condition of erosion protection | Cocce | | | 1 |
| · | - Now obstructions | JONE JONE | | | ı |
| | - dead/dying vegetation | grann | | | ı |
| | - cable concrete/gabion mats and riprap | your condition | | | İ |
| 1 | michigan huilden | 0 | | | |
| | - erosion | | | | ī |
| | - condition of erosion protection | go-2 | | | [] |
| | - flow obstructions | /www. | | | 1 |
| Gas Vents | intact /damage | wtack | | | 1 |
| Wells | - locks secure | 1,25 | | A LANDA LANDA A LANDA LANDA A LANDA LAND | 1 |
| | | | | | -1-1 |
| FORM 1 | | White is a second of the secon | | | |

APPENDIX E

MAINTENANCE RECORD LOGS

MAINTENANCE RECORD LOG PROJECT NAME: Niagara County Refuse Site LOCATION: Wheatfield, New York Richard C. Becken CREW MEMBERS: (MM DD YY) 1000 (HH mm) Scheduled/Unscheduled: Type of Maintenance Performed: Yepan 2. Company Performing Maintenance O&M Enterprises, Inc. Name: 7134 Marigold Drive Address. North Tonawanda, NY 14120 Contact Name: Richard C. Becken 3. Methods Used: Description of Material Removed: none Problems/Comments: Richard C. Becken INSPECTOR INSPECTOR'S SIGNATURE FORM 2

| | MAINTENANCE RE | CORD LO | G |
|------------------|---------------------------------|--|-----------------------|
| PROJECT NAME: | Niagara County Refuse 5ite | LOCATION: | Wheatfield, New York |
| | | | |
| CREW MEMBERS: | Richard C. Becken | and the second of the second o | |
| 1. Date. 06 | 0410 (MM PD YY) | | |
| Time: O 9 | 30 (HH mm) scheduled: Scheduled | | |
| | nance Performed: Mowing grass |) | |
| | orming Maintenance | | |
| Name: | O&M Enterprises, Inc. | | |
| Address | 7134 Marigold Drive | 100 | |
| ****** | North Tonawanda, NY 14 | T50 | |
| Contact Name. | Richard C. Becken | | |
| 3. Methods Used: | | | |
| moved or | ound wells and perime | ten fercu | |
| | • | V | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| Description of I | Material Kemoved. | and and the second | |
| more | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| ** | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| Problems/Con | iments: | | |
| none | | | |
| 4 | | | Park Marie |
| | | | |
| 6/4/10 | Richard C. Becken | (X) | el C Bul |
| DATE FORM 2 | INSPECTOR | | INSPECTOR'S SIGNATURE |