

August 2019 Mercury Speciation Soil Investigation Report

Olin Buffalo Avenue North Parcels I and II AOC Index No. R9-4171-94-08 NYSDEC Site No. 932051A Niagara Falls, New York





Olin Corporation Environmental Remediation 3855 N. Ocoee Road, Suite 200 Cleveland, Tennessee 37312

Prepared by:

Wood Environment & Infrastructure Solutions, Inc. 1075 Big Shanty Road NW, Suite 100 Kennesaw, Georgia 30144 Project Number 6107-19-0002

December 11, 2019

Table of Contents

1.0	Introduction	1-1
2.0	History	2-1
3.0	Investigation Activities	3-1
4.0	Investigation Results	4-1
5.0	Conclusions	5-1
6.0	References	6-1
Tabl	les	
Figu	ires	
Арр	endices	

August 2019 Mercury Speciation Soil Investigation Report Olin Buffalo Avenue North Parcels, Niagara Falls, New York

List of Tables

4.1 Mercury Analytical Results

List of Figures

Historical Soil Sample Results
May 2014 Surface Soil Mercury Results
April 2017 Soil Mercury and SPLP Mercury Results
August 2019 Surface Soil Mercury Results

List of Appendices

A	Declaration of Restrictive Covenants
В	Laboratory Data Report
С	Data Usability Summary Report
D	ProUCL Output

List of Abbreviations

Abbreviation	Definition
95%UCL	95 Percent Upper Confidence Limit on the Arithmetic Mean
DI	Deionized
Hg	Mercury
Hg ⁰	Elemental Mercury
mg/kg	milligram/kilogram
North Parcels	Buffalo Avenue North Parcels I and II
NYSDEC	New York Department of Environmental Conservation
NYSDOH	New York Department of Health
Olin	Olin Corporation
RCRA	Resource Conservation and Recovery Act
RFI	RCRA Facility Investigation
SCO	Soil Cleanup Objective
USEPA	United States Environmental Protection Agency
USGS	United States Geological Survey

1.0 Introduction

Olin Corporation (Olin) conducted a soil investigation at the Buffalo Avenue North Parcels I and II (North Parcels) in August 2019 to evaluate elemental mercury (Hg) concentrations in surface soils (0-6 inches). The investigation was performed as part of ongoing site characterization and in accordance with the March 22, 2019 *Revised Mercury Speciation Soil Investigation Work Plan – Revision 02* (Wood, 2019). The objective of the work plan was to analyze samples for total Hg and elemental Hg at locations where Hg was detected previously in soils. This report details the site investigation history, activities, results, regulatory discussions and conclusions.

The investigation results show that volatile elemental Hg is not present in site surface soils which indicates that no inhalation risk for Hg exists at the site. These results are also consistent with an earlier finding that the form of Hg in soil is highly insoluble and does not pose a leaching threat to groundwater (AFW, 2017). Hg present in site soils is inorganic and non-volatile, and concentrations should be compared against Commercial Soil Cleanup Objectives (SCOs) based on inorganic Hg salt ingestion risks. Current and historical results are below the inorganic Hg salt SCOs, and Olin recommends no further action at the North Parcels based on these results.

2.0 History

The North Parcels are located north of Buffalo Avenue, across from Olin's Niagara Falls, Chlor-Alkali Plant (Figure 2.1). Several investigations have been performed in this area to evaluate Hg soil concentrations that are thought to be associated with brine muds generated in the chlor-alkali process and used to repair North Parcel potholes in the 1950s or 1960s.

Four soil samples were collected from the North Parcels around 1982 by the United States Geological Survey (USGS). The four sample locations and results are documented in the *Preliminary Evaluation of Chemical Migration to Groundwater and the Niagara River from Selected Waste Disposal Sites* (USGS, 1985) and shown on Figure 2.1. An additional sample (OSB3) was collected during the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) Facility Investigation (RFI) (WC, 1994), and the results are also included on Figure 2.1. The North Parcels were included in the in the 1996 *Remedial Plan* (CRA, 1996). Based on these historical results, Hg surface soil concentrations were not fully characterized.

Olin conducted a North Parcel surface soil investigation in 2014 to further characterize the Hg surface soil concentrations. Fifty surface soil samples were collected and analyzed for total Hg. The investigation results showed that Hg was detected at all fifty sample locations and are detailed in the August 15, 2014 *North Parking Lot Soil Investigation Report* (AMEC, 2014). The 95 percent upper confidence limit on the arithmetic mean (95%UCL) of the 2014 results was calculated to be 9.0 milligrams per kilogram (mg/kg). The results are included in this report on Figure 2.2.

In that report, Olin recommended comparing the 2014 surface soil results to the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (NYSDEC) Protection of Public Commercial SCO because Olin had placed a restrictive covenant on the North Parcels in 2012 to restrict the land use to commercial and/or industrial purposes (Appendix A). The 2014 95%UCL result was above the Commercial SCO of 2.8 mg/kg (NYSDEC, 2006a), but the NYSDEC SCO Technical Support Document (NYSDEC, 2006b) indicated that the Protection of Public Health Commercial SCO was based on elemental Hg inhalation risks to adults. Olin did not believe elemental Hg to be present and that the inhalation specific SCO was not appropriate for the site based on analytical results and the brine mud generation process.

When the 2014 results were compared to the exposure pathway specific SCOs for inorganic Hg salts, the 95%UCL result and all but one individual result were below the exposure pathway specific SCO of 47 mg/kg based on inorganic salt Hg ingestion risks to children. All 2014 surface soil results were below the exposure pathway specific SCO of 130 mg/kg based on inorganic salt Hg ingestion risks to adults. Based on the 2014 surface soil results and the exposure pathway specific SCOs for inorganic Hg salts, Olin recommended no further action at the North Parcels.

NYSDEC indicated in its December 14, 2014 response letter that it must assume that the Hg detected in site soils is all in the elemental from unless shown otherwise by analytical data. Olin submitted to NYSDEC a Hg speciation work plan on January 9, 2015 (AMEC, 2015). The work plan detailed procedures for evaluating mercury speciation and quantifying the presence of elemental Hg in site soils.

NYSDEC subsequently indicated in a September 19, 2016 letter that the site soil Hg concentrations should be compared against the Protection of Groundwater SCO of 0.73 mg/kg. The 2014 95%UCL and 44 individual sample results were above 0.73 mg/kg. NYSDEC also required that Olin further delineate Hg soil concentrations and evaluate site groundwater. Olin proposed to perform a mercury leaching evaluation using the synthetic precipitation leaching procedure (SPLP) on site soils to evaluate the risk posed to groundwater in a November 3, 2016 work plan (AFW, 2016). NYSDEC approved the work plan on November 8, 2016.

Olin performed the SPLP investigation in April 2017. The investigation results indicated that the Hg

present in North Parcel soils has a very low leaching potential and is not a threat to site groundwater. The results are detailed in the August 18, 2016 *April 2017 Mercury Investigation Report* (AFW, 2017) and are included in this report on Figure 2.3.

These investigations and correspondence resolved the questions concerning protection of groundwater and no further action was required for groundwater. The remaining question NYSDEC requested Olin address was the nature of site soil concentrations above the Commercial SCO of 2.8 mg/kg. NYSDEC did not have an approved speciation method; therefore, Olin worked with NYSDEC and NYS Department of Health (NYSDOH) to develop and submit a revised Hg speciation work plan in 2019 (Wood, 2019) that addressed their questions and provided additional quality control and quality assurance documentation on the speciation analytical procedure. The work plan included an analytical method that can reliably and quantitatively differentiate various mercury species. NYSDEC accepted the work plan in an April 26, 2019 letter. The following sections of this report detail the investigation performed in accordance with the approved March 22, 2019 *Revised Mercury Speciation Soil Investigation Work Plan – Revision 02* (Wood, 2019).

3.0 Investigation Activities

The Hg speciation soil investigation was performed on August 20, 2019. Soil samples were collected from the following ten locations in accordance with the March 22, 2019 *Revised Mercury Speciation Soil Investigation Work Plan – Revision 02* (Wood, 2019):

- PLS-SS-3
- PLS-SS-7
- PLS-SS-10
- PLS-SS-16
- PLS-SS-19
- PLS-SS-24
- PLS-SS-29
- PLS-SS-31
- PLS-SS-42
- PLS-SS-49

A duplicate sample was collected from boring PLS-SS-19.

Soil borings were advanced with a stainless-steel hand auger to six inches below the ground surface. Soil was removed from the hand auger using a disposable, stainless-steel trowel and placed directly into a clean, laboratory supplied, four-ounce glass container.

The hand auger was decontaminated between soil borings using the following steps:

- Soap (phosphate free) and water wash
- Deionized (DI) water rinse
- Nitric acid rinse
- DI water rinse

A rinsate blank sample was collected by rinsing the cleaned hand auger with DI water and collecting the rinsate in a laboratory provided container.

The soil samples were preserved with dry ice. The rinsate blank was packed in a separate cooler and preserved with regular ice. The two sample coolers were shipped to Eurofins in Bothell, Washington under chain-of-custody protocol.

The soil samples were analyzed for total Hg and volatile elemental mercury (Hg⁰). Total Hg was analyzed using United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) method 1631B. Hg⁰ was analyzed using a modified USEPA method 1631B as described in the *Revised Mercury Speciation Soil Investigation Work Plan* (Wood, 2019).

4.0 Investigation Results

The analytical data was reported by Eurofins on November 20, 2019. The analytical laboratory report is provided as Appendix B. Table 4.1 summarizes the results, and Figure 4.1 presents the results graphically. The data was validated and found to be usable as reported with minor qualification. A Data Usability Summary Report (DUSR) is provided as Appendix C.

No volatile elemental Hg⁰ was detected in site surface soils. The total Hg surface soil concentrations range from 2.1 milligrams per kilogram (mg/kg) to 57.3 mg/kg which is consistent with the range of total mercury detected from the fifty samples collected in 2014 (0.43 mg/kg to 53.5 mg/kg).

The 95%UCL was calculated for the 2014 and 2019 total Hg combined results using USEPA's ProUCL Software Version 5.1. The ProUCL output is included in Appendix D. The results are shown below and compared against the 95%UCL of the 2014 total Hg data set.

- 2014 and 2019 Total Hg 95%UCL (60 samples) **15.1 mg/kg**
- 2014 Total Hg 95%UCL (50 samples) 9.0 mg/kg (AMEC, 2014)

5.0 Conclusions

The results show that Hg⁰ is not present in site surface soils which indicates that no inhalation risks for Hg exist at the site. The total Hg concentrations were then compared to the exposure pathway specific SCOs for inorganic Hg salts. Based on the 2014 and combined 2014 / 2019 data sets, the 95%UCL is below the exposure pathway specific SCO of 47 mg/kg based on inorganic salt Hg ingestion risks to children. All 2014 and 2019 surface soil results were below the exposure pathway specific SCO of 130 mg/kg based on inorganic salt Hg ingestion risks to adults. Olin recommends no further action at the North Parcels based on the cumulative results from this and previous investigations which indicate no unacceptable risk to human health or groundwater receptors.

6.0 References

- AFW, 2016. *North Parcels Mercury Investigation Work Plan.* Amec Foster Wheeler Environment & Infrastructure, Inc. Kennesaw, GA. November 3, 2016.
- AFW, 2017. *April 2017 Mercury Investigation Report*. Amec Foster Wheeler Environment & Infrastructure, Inc. Kennesaw, GA. August 18, 2017.
- AMEC, 2014. North Parking Lot Soil Investigation. AMEC Environment & Infrastructure, Inc. Kennesaw, GA. August 15, 2014.
- AMEC, 2015. North Parking Lot Mercury Speciation Soil Investigation Work Plan. AMEC Environment & Infrastructure, Inc. Kennesaw, GA. January 9, 2015.
- CRA, 1996. *Remedial Plan Olin Chemicals Corp. Niagara Falls, New York*. Conestoga-Rovers & Associates. Niagara Falls, NY. February 1996.
- NYSDEC, 2006a. 6NYCRR Part 375. Environmental Remediation Programs. New York State Department of Environmental Conservation. Albany, NY. December 14, 2006.
- NYSDEC, 2006b. *New York State Brownfield Cleanup Program Development of Soil Cleanup Objectives Technical Support Document*. New York State Department of Environmental Conservation. Albany, NY. September 2006.
- USGS, 1985. Preliminary Evaluation of Chemical Migration to Groundwater and the Niagara River from Selected Waste Disposal Sites. United States Geological Survey. EPA-905/4-85-001, pp 346-350. March 1985.
- WC, 1994. *RCRA Facility Investigation Report for the Olin Buffalo Avenue Plant RCRA-89-3013-0208.* Woodward-Clyde Consultants, Inc. Amherst, NY. August 5, 1994.
- Wood, 2019. *Revised Mercury Speciation Soil Investigation Work Plan Revision 02.* Wood Environment & Infrastructure Solutions, Inc. Kennesaw, GA. March 22, 2019

Tables

Table 4.1: Mercury Analytical Results

	Sample	Sample	Sample	Sample	Sample	Duplicate	Sample	Sample	Sample	Sample	Sample
Boring ID:	PLS-SS-3	PLS-SS-7	PLS-SS-10	PLS-SS-16	PLS-SS-19	PLS-SS-19	PLS-SS-24	PLS-SS-29	PLS-SS-31	PLS-SS-42	PLS-SS-49
Sample Interval ft bgs):	0-0.5	0-0.5	0-0.5	0-0.5	0-0.5	0-0.5	0-0.5	0-0.5	0-0.5	0-0.5	0-0.5
Sample Date:	8/20/2019	8/20/2019	8/20/2019	8/20/2019	8/20/2019	8/20/2019	8/20/2019	8/20/2019	8/20/2019	8/20/2019	8/20/2019
Total Mercury Concentrations - SW846 1631B mg/kg											
Total Mercury	13.6	3.22	26.5	49.2	12.7 J	18.6 J	57.3	7.35	2.1	3.75	7.19
Volatile Elemental Mercury Concentrations - SW846 1631 Modified mg/kg											
Elemental Mercury	0.00161 U	0.00164 U	0.00175 U	0.00175 U	0.00189 U	0.00188 U	0.00173 U	0.00343 U	0.0034 U	0.00322 U	0.00317 U

Notes:

mg/kg - milligrams per kilogram

ft bgs - feet below ground surface

Data Qualifier Definitions:

U - Constituent not detected above the Reporting Limit shown.

J - The compound was positively identified, but the associated numerical value is an estimated concentration.

Prepared by: AWE 11/20/2019 Checked By: EIWP 11/21/2019 Figures



4. N.			
Carter Carter	4		Contraction of the
in the second se	Depth	Total Hg	
	ft bgs	mg/kg	1000
ALL BORNEL TON	2.5	20	
Y.			

August 2019 Mercury Speciation Soil Investigation Report

Olin North Parcels, Niagara Falls, NY

Historical Soil Sample Results

Prepared By-Date: JCD - 11/26/2019

Checked By-Date: AWE - 11/26/2019

Project Number: 6107190002











Notes:

U - Constituent not detected above the Reporting Limit shown
 J - The compound was positively identified, but the associated numerical value is an estimated concentration.

il and								
-			S Francis	1.0	and the second second	1000		
AN.	1	Depth (ft) 0 - 0.5	Total Hg (mg 3.75	g/kg) Eleme	ntal Hg (mg/kg) 0.00322 U	1 mil		
	55-42			DIC SS 40		•		
mg/	kg)			PL3-33-49				
J			1. 2					
	-		Depth (ft) Tot 0 - 0.5	al Hg (mg/kg) 7.19	Elemental Hg 0.00317	(mg/kg) U		
N.C.		T	1	H	0 99	·		
		Aug	ust 2019 Soil Inve	Mercury stigation	Speciation Report)		
	Olin North Parcels, Niagara Falls, NY							
	Α	ugust	2019 Surf	ace Soil	Mercury R	esults		
6	Prepared JCD - 12/ Checked AWE - 12 Project N 6107190	By-Date: /11/2019 By-Date: 2/11/2019 Number:	W	/00	d.	Figure: 4.1		

Appendix A Declaration of Restrictive Covenants

NOTICE AND DECLARATION OF RESTRICTIVE COVENANTS

THIS DECLARATION is made as of the 30th day of <u>Nevember</u>, 2012 by OLIN CORPORATION, a Virginia corporation ("Declarant"), with a place of business at 3855 North Ocoee Street, Suite 200, Cleveland, Tennessee 37312.

RECITALS

A. The Declarant owns fee simple title to the real estate and improvements known as the 2400 Buffalo Avenue, located in the City of Niagara Falls in Niagara County, New York (the "Plant"). Such real property includes two parcels of land referred to as the "Parking Lot Parcels" being legally described on <u>Exhibit A</u> attached hereto and incorporated herein by this reference (the "Property").

B. From 1897 through the present, Declarant has operated various chemical manufacturing facilities at the Plant.

C. As of the date hereof, Declarant is investigating and evaluating the environmental conditions of the Plant and the Property.

D. In order to limit possible exposure pathways, Declarant desires to impose upon and subject the Property to this Declaration, which shall become effective upon the recording of this Declaration in the land records of Niagara County, New York.

NOTICE AND DECLARATION

NOW, THEREFORE, the Declarant hereby declares that the Property and any portion thereof is and shall be held, transferred, sold, conveyed, used and occupied subject to the perpetual restrictive covenants hereinafter set forth, which restrictive covenants shall run with the Property and be binding upon all parties having any right, title or interest in the Property or any part thereof, their successors and assigns, and shall inure to the benefit of each owner thereof, and which are for the purpose of protecting the value and desirability of the Property.

1. <u>Notice</u>. Declarant has entered into an Order on Consent ("Order") with the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation ("DEC") to implement a Resource Conservation and Recovery Act ("RCRA") corrective action program to remediate soil and groundwater contamination in the vicinity of the Property. A copy of this Order may be obtained from Declarant or DEC. The terms and conditions of this Order are incorporated herein by reference.

2. <u>Presence of Hazardous Wastes</u>. The potential Declarant-derived hazardous waste constituents are listed in <u>Exhibit B</u>, attached hereto and incorporated herein by this reference, and are found in various concentrations throughout the soil and groundwater of the Property.

3. <u>Restricted Uses</u>. Notwithstanding any laws, rules, regulations, ordinances or orders of any governmental or quasi-governmental entity, including, without limitation, local municipal and zoning

ordinances, the Property, or any portion thereof, shall be used solely for commercial and/or industrial purposes.

4. <u>General Restriction</u>. Notwithstanding the commercial and/or industrial use limitation set forth above, no groundwater shall be extracted from beneath the Property for any purpose other than those commercial/industrial purposes involving non-contact uses, water treatment, or environmental sampling and testing. In addition, soils shall be extracted from beneath the Property only when consistent with industrial/commercial uses and with protocols that maintain adequate protection to human health and safety.

5. <u>Runs with the Land</u>. The perpetual restrictive covenants created in this Declaration are appurtenant to the Property and are (i) made for the direct benefit of the Property; (ii) shall run with the land; (iii) may be enforced as either equitable servitudes or real covenants; and (iv) shall bind and inure to the benefit of every person or entity having any property interest in the Property or any portion thereof.

6. <u>Severability</u>. If any portion of this Declaration shall to any extent be invalid or unenforceable, the remaining provisions of this Declaration shall not be affected thereby, and each provision of this Declaration shall be valid and enforceable to the fullest extent permitted by law.

7. <u>Successors and Assigns Bound</u>. This Declaration shall be perpetual and shall be binding upon and shall inure to the benefit of Declarant, any subsidiary of Declarant, division, parent or wholly owned corporation or affiliate now or hereafter existing, and their respective successors and assigns with respect to the Property and the tenants, subtenants, licensees, vendees, concessionaires and successors and assigns of any of them with any fee, leasehold, license or other interest in the Property.

8. <u>Removal of Restriction</u>. In the event that the DEC or its successor provides Declarant with a written determination that this deed restriction is no longer necessary to protect the public health or the environment, and Declarant is the then owner of record of the Property, Declarant shall file such documents with the Niagara County, New York Recorder of Deeds as are necessary to remove the restrictions contained in this Declaration from the Property.

9. <u>Governing Law</u>. This Declaration shall be governed by and construed in accordance with the laws of the State of New York.

- 2 -

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Declarant has executed this Declaration as of the day and year first above written.

> OLIN CORPORATION, a Virginia corporation

By: Name: Curtis M. Richards

Title: Corporate Vice President Environment, Health & Safety

STATE OF TEMPENER) SS.)

On the 30th day of Noveniker in the year 2012 before me, the undersigned, personally appeared Curtis M. Richards, personally known to me or proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the individual whose name is subscribed to the within instrument and acknowledged to me that he executed the same in his capacity, and that by his signature on the instrument, the individual, or the person upon behalf of which the individual acted, executed the instrument.



Beth A. Baltimore

My Commission expires: 1/16/2013

3

EXHIBIT A

Parcel I: All that tract or parcel of land, situate in the City of Niagara Falls, County of Niagara and State of New York and being part of Lot 4 Stedman Farm, bounded and described as follows: Beginning at the point of intersection of the west line of Packard Road with the north line of lands of the Erie Railroad Company; thence westerly along said north line of the railroad company's land to the east line of an alleged public highway, known and designated as Twenty-seventh Street, a distance of 771.08 feet, more or less; thence northerly along the east line of said highway or street a distance of 60.27 feet; thence east and parallel with said north line of the railroad company's land and distant 60 feet therefrom, measured at right angles thereto, a distance of 826.70 feet, more or less, to the west line of Packard Road; thence south along the west line of Packard Road to said point of beginning a distance of 81.11 feet, more or less Excepting therefrom that portion conveyed by Olin Mathieson Chemical Corporation to Industrial Welding Corporation by Deed dated May 7 1964 and recorded in liber 1425 of Deeds page 117

Parcel II: All that tract or parcel of land, situate in said City of Niagara Falls and being a part of Lot 3 of Lot 4 Stedman Farm, bounded and described as follows: Beginning at the point of intersection of the east line of Twenty-fourth Street with the north line of lands of the Erie Railroad Company; thence easterly a distance of 1087.67 feet, more or less, to the west line of said alleged public highway referred to and designated as Twenty-seventh Street; thence northerly along said west line of said railroad company's lands and distant therefrom 60 feet measured at right angles thereto, a distance of 971.14 feet; thence southerly and parallel to the east line of Twenty-fourth Street and distant therefrom 116.52 feet, a distance of 40.18 feet; thence westerly and parallel with said north line of Erie Railroad Company's land and distant therefrom 20.09 feet, measured parallel to the east line of Twenty-fourth Street, a distance of 116.52 feet to the east line of Twenty-fourth Street; thence southerly along the east line of Twenty-fourth Street, a distance of 20.09 feet to the place of beginning

EXHIBIT B

POTENTIAL OLIN-DERIVED CONSTITUENTS MEASURED IN SOIL AND GROUNDWATER PARKING LOT PARCELS

Parameter	CAS No.
Volatile Organic Compounds	51 40 0
Benzene	71-43-2
Acid/Base/Neutral/Pesticides Compounds	
Phenol	108-95-2
2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	95-95-4
2,3,4,6-Tetrachlorophenol	58-90-2
2-Chlorophenol	95-57-8
Chlorobenzene	108-90-7
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	120-82-1
m-Dichlorobenzene	541-73-1
o-Dichlorobenzene	95-50-1
p-Dichlorobenzene	106-46-7
α-BHC	319-84-6
β-BHC	319-85-7
δ-ВНС	319-86-8
Alcohols	
Methanol	67-56-1

Inorganics Mercury (total)

- 5 -

Appendix B Laboratory Data Report



Frontier Global Sciences

11720 Northcreek Pkwy N, Suite 400 Bothell, WA 98011 425.686.1996 Phone 425.686.3096 Fax

19 November 2019

Andrew Nelson, PE Wood E&IS, Inc 271 Mill Road Chelmsford, MA 01824 RE: Niagara Falls GW System O&M

Enclosed are the analytical results for samples received by Eurofins Frontier Global Sciences. All quality control measurements are within established control limits and there were no analytical difficulties encountered with the exception of those listed in the case narrative section of this report.

If you have any questions concerning this report, please feel free to contact me.

Sincerely,

Patrik Stullad

Patrick Garcia-Strickland Senior Director



Frontier Global Sciences

Wood E&IS, Inc	Project: Niagara Falls GW System O&M	
271 Mill Road	Project Number: Niagara Falls GW System O&M	Reported:
Chelmsford MA, 01824	Project Manager: Andrew Nelson, PE	19-Nov-19 16:34

ANALYTICAL REPORT FOR SAMPLES

Sample ID	Laboratory ID	Matrix	Date Sampled	Date Received
PLS-SS-49-08202019	9H00246-01	Soil/Sediment	20-Aug-19 10:00	21-Aug-19 10:00
PLS-SS-RINSATE BLANK 08202019	9H00246-02	Water	20-Aug-19 10:13	21-Aug-19 10:00
PLS-SS-42-08202019	9H00246-03	Soil/Sediment	20-Aug-19 10:25	21-Aug-19 10:00
PLS-SS-31-08202019	9H00246-04	Soil/Sediment	20-Aug-19 10:33	21-Aug-19 10:00
PLS-SS-29-08202019	9H00246-05	Soil/Sediment	20-Aug-19 10:51	21-Aug-19 10:00
PLS-SS-24-08202019	9H00246-06	Soil/Sediment	20-Aug-19 11:03	21-Aug-19 10:00
PLS-SS-19-08202019	9H00246-07	Soil/Sediment	20-Aug-19 11:20	21-Aug-19 10:00
PLS-SS-16-08202019	9H00246-08	Soil/Sediment	20-Aug-19 11:31	21-Aug-19 10:00
PLS-SS-10-08202019	9H00246-09	Soil/Sediment	20-Aug-19 11:42	21-Aug-19 10:00
PLS-SS-7-08202019	9H00246-10	Soil/Sediment	20-Aug-19 11:54	21-Aug-19 10:00
PLS-SS-3-08202019	9H00246-11	Soil/Sediment	20-Aug-19 12:04	21-Aug-19 10:00
DUP01-SS-08202019	9H00246-12	Soil/Sediment	20-Aug-19 00:00	21-Aug-19 10:00

Eurofins Frontier Global Sciences, LLC

atuk Stullia

Patrick Garcia-Strickland, Senior Director



Frontier Global Sciences

5755 8th Street East Tacoma, WA 98424 Phone: (253) 922-2310

Wood E&IS, Inc	Project: Niagara Falls GW System O&M	
271 Mill Road	Project Number: Niagara Falls GW System O&M	Reported:
Chelmsford MA, 01824	Project Manager: Andrew Nelson, PE	19-Nov-19 16:34

SAMPLE RECEIPT

Samples were received at Eurofins Frontier Global Sciences (EFGS) on 21-Aug-19 10:00. The samples were received intact, on-ice within a sealed cooler at

CoolerTemp C°Default Cooler-21.8

SAMPLE PREPARATION AND ANALYSIS

Total solids analysis was performed in accordance with method SM2540B. Total solids are prepared at the same time as the preparation for the analyte(s) of interest in order to provide the most accurate dry mass correction which may be outside of the method recommended holding time of 7 days from sample collection.

Total mercury preparation and analysis was performed by flow injection atomic fluorescence spectrometry (FI-AFS) in accordance with EPA 1631B.

Elemental mercury analysis (F-0) was prepared by purging samples for a 3 hour period with inert gas and collecting on a sorbent trap. The sorbent trap was then digested and analyzed by flow injection atomic fluorescence spectrometry (FI-AFS) in accordance with EPA 1631B.

ANALYTICAL AND QUALITY CONTROL ISSUES

Method blanks were prepared for every preparation to assess possible blank contribution from the sample preparation procedure. The method blanks were carried through the entire analytical procedure. All blanks fell within the established acceptance criteria with the exception of any items narrated above or flagged and described in the notes and definitions section of the report.

Liquid spikes, certified reference material (CRM) or a quality control samples (QCS) were prepared for every preparation as a measure of accuracy. All liquid spikes, CRMs and/or QCS samples fell within the established acceptance criteria with the exception of any items narrated above or flagged and described in the notes and definitions section of the report.

Eurofins Frontier Global Sciences, LLC

stuk Atu



Frontier Global Sciences

5755 8th Street East Tacoma, WA 98424 Phone: (253) 922-2310

Wood E&IS, Inc	Project: Niagara Falls GW System O&M	
271 Mill Road	Project Number: Niagara Falls GW System O&M	Reported:
Chelmsford MA, 01824	Project Manager: Andrew Nelson, PE	19-Nov-19 16:34

As an additional measure of the accuracy of the methods used and to check for matrix interference, matrix spikes (MS) and matrix spike duplicates (MSD) were digested and analyzed. All of the matrix spike recoveries fell within the established acceptance criteria with the exception of any items flagged and described in the notes and definitions section of the report.

A reasonable measure of the precision of the analytical methods is the relative percent difference (RPD) between a matrix spike recovery and a matrix spike duplicate recovery and between laboratory control sample recovery and laboratory control sample duplicate recoveries. All of the relative percent differences fell within established acceptance criteria with the exception of any items flagged and described in the notes and definitions section of the report.

Eurofins Frontier Global Sciences, LLC

stuk Stullion

🔅 eurofins

Frontier Global Sciences

Sample Receipt Checklist

Client: Olin Corp		Date & Time	Received: 8-21-19 100	DO Date Lab	eled: <u>8/2/(4</u> Labeled By	: <u>784</u> 1
Matrix: Water, Soil		Received By:	Lal	oel Verified By		
# of Coolers Received:	Samples Arrived By:	Shipping Service	Courier Hand	Other (Spec	ify:)
Coolant: 🛛 None/Ambient 🖄 Loo	ose Ice 🛛 🗖 Gel Ice	Dry Ice Coolant	Required: Y / N Temp	Blank Used: 4	4 for Cooler(s):	
Notify Project Manager if packages/coo	plers are received w	vithout coolant or with that	wed coolant and at a temperat	ure in excess o	of 6°C. PM notified: Y(N)	
Cooler Information:	Y/N/NA	Comments	TID:) 724052) EF: t0.3	°C Date/tin	ne: 8-21-19-1010 By:	5-21-19
The coolers do not appear to be tampered with:	У		Cooler 1:-0.3 °C w/ CF	:0.0°C Co	oler 4: °C w/ CF:	°C / / /
Custody Seals are present and intact:	У		Cooler 2: °C w/ CF	: °C Co	oler 5: °C w/ CF:	
Custody seals signed:			Cooler 3: °C w/ CF	: °C Co	oler 6: °C w/ CF:	°C
Chain of Custody: Y/N/NA	Comments	Sample Condi	ition/Integrity:	Y/N/NA	Comments	
Sample ID/Description:		Sample Conta	mers intact/present.	<u> </u>		
Date and time of collection:		Sample ID on	are present and legible.			
Sampled by:	,		lo container, usod:	-7		
Preservation type:			ie containers used.	9		
Requested analyses:		Samples recei	wed within holding times.	- V		
Required signatures:			le sufficient for requested analyses.	- <u> </u>		
Internal COC required:			rvative used for requested analyses.			
Anomalies/Non-conformances (attach add	litional pages if neede	ed):		~		
* Shipped in two	Containe	rs, Idry Ice, 1	Water VELE-31-1.9		9H00246	
Dry ice Temp: Then	m ID 17 Cooler temp	0-10-1186 CF: >:-21.76	+0.1°C 8/21/19 10 w/cF:-21.166	25		

*** or		Chain of Custody R	ecord & Labo	oratory A	nalvsis	9H00	246
s> curorin	S Frontier Global S	Hydro	liments, Plan carbon & Oth	t and Ani	imal Ti	ssue,	Bothell, WA 9801
Client: OLIN	Corp.	lences	Page for	ier Samp	les		Phone: 425-686-1996 Fax: 425-686-3006
Address: 3855 1	JORTH OCOEC ST F	Contact: Rich Mechant					info@frontiergs.com
Project Name: AL	TN 37312 E	-mail: (Wing Lund @ and				Analyses Requeste	EFGS PM:
Report To: put	WINCHINA SITE HA	Contract/PO:	.com		(%)		Date:
Address:	Inclure II	WOICE TO: OLIN CORP			Ē	54	IAT (business days):20 (std)
JAME	A	ddress:		(Z	B	L ST	(For TAT < 10 days, contact PM
Phone: 423 336	ax: PI	10ne 423 576 4000			in ed.	ME	Surcharges apply for expedited TAT)
E-mail: ROM	cluse @ Olin. com E-	mail: AWMESH A C 2			Ber	JER JER	(If yes, please contact PM)
No.) Bottle ID	Sample ID	# of		빌	E F		EDD VEY DN
1	DIS SS UP O	Bottles Matrix D	ate & Time	ield	eld N0 ₃		QA 🖾 Standard 🗆 High
2	163-33 -41- 6820	2017 3 55 8/2	0 0001 8 00		EΞ		Comments
3	PUS-SS- KINSATE BLANK	18202019 2 FW			8	XX	SHURSER WILE
4	PLS -55 -92 - 082020	19 1 55	1075		-	1	JAIPPED IN 2 CONTAIN
5	PLS-55-31-0820201	9 1	1032				- MINSATE BLANK ON ICE
6	105-55-29-082020	9 1	1051				Soil SAMPLES ON DAY NE
7	PLS- 55 . 29 - 082620	9 1		+++			Phs/MSD VOLUME PROVIDEN
3	PLS-55-19-0820201	9 1	1105	+			AT PLS. 55.49.08202019
9	PLS - 53 - 16 - 082020	19 1	1120				\neg
0	PLS-55-10-082020	19 1	11/2				-
1	PLS. 55-7-082020	19/ 1	1154	+++	-	. 1.	
, 1	1022-22-2.082020	9	1204	+ $+$ $+$ $+$	+		-
	100P01-55-08202019		- 4		+ + +		_
)C Seal:	Commonter	Matrix Codes:	Relinguished B		V V		
oler Temp:		WW: Waste Water	0	y.	Rec	eived By:	Received By:
rier: (104		SB: Sea and Brackish Water SS: Soil and Sediment	Name: Calus	Lo ar r		UPS	714
SR: (OCC)		TS: Plant and Animal Tissue	Organization:	VUNES E E S	Nan	ne:	Name: Taka II
of Coolers: 2	Salahat (TR: Trap	Date & Time: 8	Jula 162	Doto	anization:	Organization: FTC
nple Disposal:		O1: Other	Tracking numbe	er: 12 T	ind a		Date & Time: (2/2) /16 /1777
Return (shipping fee	s may apply)		By sign	ing, vou de	clare the	<u>47701 4790</u>	6763
Retain for	30 Days after report		you aut	horize EFGS	to perfe	orm the specified are	terms and conditions, and that
VVCEI	s alter report (storage fe	es may apply)	Custom	Pr Anne -	Ċ		es.
			Lasconie	- Approval	: <u> </u>	1	N/ /

Chain of Custody Record & Laboratory Analysis Request: 11720 Northcreek Pkwy N, Suite 400 Air, Water, Sediments, Plant and Animal Tissue, Bothell, WA 98011 **eurofins** Phone: 425-686-1996 Hydrocarbon & Other Samples Fax: 425-686-3096 Frontier Global Sciences info@frontiergs.com Page ____ of | Client: OLIN COLP http://www.frontiergs.com Contact: Rich means Address: 3855 NORTH OCOEC ST EFGS PM: Analyses Requested Phone: 423 33, 40.4 Fax: CLEVELAND TN 37312 (%) Date: E-mail: RWM. CLIM @ Olin, com TAT (business days):20 (std) Project Name: OL NINGAINA SITE HA Contract/PO: Preserved: HCI BrCI Other MERCURY 15 10 5 4 3 2 24 hrs. Report To: RICK MECULE Invoice To: OLIN CORP (For TAT < 10 days, contact PM. Address: SAME lltered (Y/N) MERCURY Surcharges apply for expedited TAT) Address: Saturday delivery? 🗆 Y 🛛 🐼 (If yes, please contact PM) Phone: 423 336 Fax: Phone: 423 745 R Fax: E-mail: RUMechuse @ Olin.com E-mail: AWMEchure @ Dlin EDD 🖾 Y 🗆 N Ba

No.	Engraved Bottle ID	Sample ID	# of Bottles	Matrix	Date	& Time	Sampl	Field F	Field P HNO ₃	101	SLENE			QA 🖾 Standard 🗆 High Comments
1		PLS-55 -49- 082020F	Э	55	8/201	6 ag 1 8	103	N	ø	X	V			
2		PLS-55- RINSATE BLANK 0820	219 2	FW	1	1013	1	1			~			SHIPPED IN 2 CONTAINEN
3		PLS -55 -42 - 08202019	4	55		1055								- NINSATE BLANK ON ICE
4		PLS-55-31-08202019	t	1		1033		++						- SOIL SAMPLES ON DAY REE
5	and the second	P15-55-29-08202019	L			1051								MIS/MOD VOLUME PROVIDED
6		PLS-55-24-08-02019	t			1103								AT PLS.55.49.08202019
7		PLS-55-19-08202019	í.			1170								
8		PLS - 55 - 16 - 08202019	1			1131								
9		PLS-55-10-08202019	1			1142								
10		PLS-55-7-08202019	1			1154	++		- -					
11	y by de r	PLS - 55 -3 -08202019	1			1204		<u>+</u>	- -					
12		DUP01-55-08202019	1		t		\forall							
in de la composition de la composition Nacional de la composition de	For Labora	tory Use Only	Matr	ix Codes	:	Relingu	ished B	v:		Recei	ved	 3v•		Pereived Put
COC S	ieal: V	Comments:	FW: Fresh Wa WW: Waste V	ater Vater			5 L	•			Ì	IPS		IEL
Cooler	Temp: Old		SB: Sea and E	Brackish W	ater	Name:	CHHIS .	LOWES		Name	<u>,</u>	// 0		Name: 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
arrier	UPS		TS: Plant and S	eaiment Animal Tis	sue	Organiz	ation:	< E .S		Organ	nizati	יחר		Organization Els
TSR:	1000		HC: Hydrocarl	bons		Date &	Time: 8	dulo.	1600	Date	& Tir			Data & Times & Disignation
t of C	oolers:		OT: Other			Trackin	numb	Pr.	E			17		Date & Time: 5- 01-19 095
ampl	e Disposal:	ALCENTRAL TO AN OPERATING AN OPERATING					By sign		-1	$\frac{\mathcal{I}}{2}$		1 ((115/ 15/1
l Reti	urn (shippina fees	s may apply)					ey sign	ling, you		s uidi	r you	ayree	with EFC	s terms and conditions, and that

Sample Disposal:

Return (shipping fees may apply) □ Standard Disposal – 30 Days after report

Retain for _____ weeks after report (storage fees may apply)

Customer Approval:

you authorize EFGS to perform the specified analyses.

8/20/19

Date:

+



Г

5755 8th Street East Tacoma, WA 98424 Phone: (253) 922-2310

Frontier Global Sciences

Wood E&IS, Inc 271 Mill Road Chelmsford MA, 01824			F Project N Project Ma	Project: N umber: N anager: A	Viagara Fa Viagara Fa Andrew Ne	lls GW Sy lls GW Sy elson, PE	stem O&M stem O&M			Reported: 19-Nov-19 16:34		
			PLS	-SS-49- 9H0024	0820201 6-01	9						
Analyte	Result	Detection Limit	Reporting Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Sequence	Analyzed	Method	Notes	
Sample Preparation: EFGS SOP2807 C	old Aqua	a Regia Di	gestion fo	or Hg								
Mercury	7190	-	1990	ng/g dry	50000	F911173	04-Nov-19	9K18011	15-Nov-19	EPA 1631B		
Sample Preparation: EFGS SOP5133 S	olids Ana	lysis										
% Solids	94.7	-	0.1	% by Weight	1	F911177	13-Nov-19		19-Nov-19	SM 2540B	O-04, O-09	
Sample Preparation: Miscellaneous Pre	paration	AFS										
Mercury F-0	ND	-	3.17	ng/g dry	100	F911174	05-Nov-19	9K15008	15-Nov-19	EPA 1631 Mod	U	

Eurofins Frontier Global Sciences, LLC

atuk Stullia

Patrick Garcia-Strickland, Senior Director



Г

5755 8th Street East Tacoma, WA 98424 Phone: (253) 922-2310

Frontier Global Sciences

Wood E&IS, Inc 271 Mill Road Chelmsford MA, 01824			F Project N Project Ma	Project: umber: anager:	Niagara Fal Niagara Fal Andrew Ne	lls GW Sy lls GW Sy lson, PE	stem O&M stem O&M			Reported: 19-Nov-19 16:34		
		PLS	-SS-RIN	SATE 9H002	BLANK 46-02	082020	19					
Analyte Sample Preparation: EFGS SO	Result P2796 EPA 1631 (Detection Limit Dxidation	Reporting Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Sequence	Analyzed	Method	Notes	

Mercury	1.68	-	0.50	ng/L	1	F910225	13-Oct-19	9J23012	13-Oct-19	EPA 1631E
---------	------	---	------	------	---	---------	-----------	---------	-----------	-----------

Eurofins Frontier Global Sciences, LLC

atuk Stullind

Patrick Garcia-Strickland, Senior Director



Wood E&IS, Inc 271 Mill Road Chelmsford MA, 01824	Project: Niagara Falls GW System O&M Project Number: Niagara Falls GW System O&M Project Manager: Andrew Nelson, PE PLS-SS-42-08202019									Reported 19-Nov-19 1	l: 16:34
			PLS	-SS-42- 9H0024	0820201 46-03	9					
Analyte	Result	Detection Limit	Reporting Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Sequence	Analyzed	Method	Notes
Sample Preparation: EFGS SOP	2807 Cold Aqua	Regia D	igestion fo	or Hg							
Mercury	3750	-	665	ng/g dry	10000	F911173	04-Nov-19	9K15008	15-Nov-19	EPA 1631B	
Sample Preparation: EFGS SOP	25133 Solids Anal	ysis									
% Solids	92.8	-	0.1	% by Weight	1	F911177	13-Nov-19		19-Nov-19	SM 2540B	O-04, O-09
Sample Preparation: Miscellane	ous Preparation	AFS									
Mercury F-0	ND	-	3.22	ng/g dry	100	F911174	05-Nov-19	9K15008	15-Nov-19	EPA 1631 Mod	U

Frontier Global Sciences

Eurofins Frontier Global Sciences, LLC

atuk Atulhad

Patrick Garcia-Strickland, Senior Director



Wood E&IS, Inc 271 Mill Road Chelmsford MA, 01824			Froject N Project Ma	Project: N umber: N anager: A	Viagara Fa Viagara Fa Andrew No	lls GW Sy lls GW Sy elson, PE	stem O&M stem O&M			Reported 19-Nov-19 1	l: 16:34
			PLS	-SS-31- 9H0024	0820201 16-04	9					
Analyte	Result	Detection Limit	Reporting Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Sequence	Analyzed	Method	Notes
Sample Preparation: EFGS SOP	2807 Cold Aqua	Regia D	igestion fo	or Hg							
Mercury	2100	-	704	ng/g dry	10000	F911173	04-Nov-19	9K15008	15-Nov-19	EPA 1631B	
Sample Preparation: EFGS SOP	5133 Solids Anal	lysis									
% Solids	90.5	-	0.1	% by Weight	1	F911177	13-Nov-19		19-Nov-19	SM 2540B	O-04, O-09
Sample Preparation: Miscellaneo	ous Preparation	AFS									
Mercury F-0	ND	-	3.40	ng/g dry	100	F911174	05-Nov-19	9K15008	15-Nov-19	EPA 1631 Mod	U

Frontier Global Sciences

Eurofins Frontier Global Sciences, LLC

atuk Atulhad

Patrick Garcia-Strickland, Senior Director



Wood E&IS, Inc 271 Mill Road Chelmsford MA, 01824			l Project N Project M	Project: N Tumber: N anager: A	Viagara Fa Viagara Fa Andrew Ne	lls GW Sy lls GW Sy elson, PE	stem O&M stem O&M			Reported 19-Nov-19 1	l: 6:34
			PLS	-SS-29- 9H0024	0820201 16-05	9					
Analyte	Result	Detection Limit	Reporting Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Sequence	Analyzed	Method	Notes
Sample Preparation: EFGS SOP	2807 Cold Aqua	Regia D	igestion fo	or Hg							
Mercury	7350	-	1100	ng/g dry	10000	F911173	04-Nov-19	9K15008	15-Nov-19	EPA 1631B	
Sample Preparation: EFGS SOP	5133 Solids Anal	ysis									
% Solids	87.7	-	0.1	% by Weight	1	F911177	13-Nov-19		19-Nov-19	SM 2540B	O-04, O-09
Sample Preparation: Miscellaneo	ous Preparation	AFS									
Mercury F-0	ND	-	3.43	ng/g dry	100	F911174	05-Nov-19	9K15008	15-Nov-19	EPA 1631 Mod	U

Frontier Global Sciences

Eurofins Frontier Global Sciences, LLC

atuk Atulhad

Patrick Garcia-Strickland, Senior Director



Wood E&IS, Inc			Ι	Project: N	Viagara Fa	lls GW Sy	stem O&M				
271 Mill Road			Project N	umber: N	Viagara Fa	lls GW Sy	stem O&M			Reported	l:
Chelmsford MA, 01824			Project Ma	anager: A	Andrew Ne	elson, PE				19-Nov-19 1	6:34
			PLS	-SS-24-	0820201	9					
				9H0024	46-06						
Analyte	Result	Detection Limit	Reporting Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Sequence	Analyzed	Method	Notes
Sample Preparation: EFGS SOP2	2807 Cold Aqua	Regia D	igestion fo	or Hg							
Mercury	57300	-	2700	ng/g dry	50000	F911173	04-Nov-19	9K18011	15-Nov-19	EPA 1631B	
Sample Preparation: EFGS SOP	5133 Solids Ana	lysis									
% Solids	91.7	-	0.1	% by Weight	1	F911177	13-Nov-19		19-Nov-19	SM 2540B	O-04, O-09
Sample Preparation: Miscellaneo	us Preparation	AFS									
Mercury F-0	ND	-	1.73	ng/g dry	100	F911174	05-Nov-19	9K18011	15-Nov-19	EPA 1631 Mod	U

Frontier Global Sciences

Eurofins Frontier Global Sciences, LLC

atuk Atulhad

Patrick Garcia-Strickland, Senior Director



Wood E&IS, Inc			I	Project: N	Viagara Fa	lls GW Sy	stem O&M				
271 Mill Road			Project N	umber: N	Viagara Fa	lls GW Sy	stem O&M			Reported	l:
Chelmsford MA, 01824			Project Ma	anager: A	Andrew Ne	elson, PE				19-Nov-19 1	16:34
			PLS	-SS-19-	0820201	9					
				9H0024	46-07						
Analyte	Result	Detection Limit	Reporting	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Sequence	Analyzed	Method	Notes
Sample Preparation: EFGS SO	P2807 Cold Aqua	Regia D	igestion fo	or Hg							
Mercury	12700	-	509	ng/g dry	10000	F911173	04-Nov-19	9K18011	15-Nov-19	EPA 1631B	
Sample Preparation: EFGS SO	P5133 Solids Anal	ysis									
% Solids	85.6	-	0.1	% by Weight	1	F911177	13-Nov-19		19-Nov-19	SM 2540B	O-04, O-09
Sample Preparation: Miscelland	eous Preparation	AFS									
Mercury F-0	ND	-	1.89	ng/g dry	100	F911174	05-Nov-19	9K18011	15-Nov-19	EPA 1631 Mod	U

Frontier Global Sciences

Eurofins Frontier Global Sciences, LLC

atuk Atulhad

Patrick Garcia-Strickland, Senior Director



Wood E&IS, Inc			l	Project: N	Viagara Fa	lls GW Sy	stem O&M				
271 Mill Road			Project N	umber: 1	Viagara Fa	lls GW Sy	stem O&M			Reported	l :
Chelmsford MA, 01824			Project M	anager: A	Andrew Ne	elson, PE				19-Nov-19 1	6:34
			PLS	-SS-16-	0820201	9					
				9H0024	46-08						
Analyte	Result	Detection Limit	Reporting Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Sequence	Analyzed	Method	Notes
Sample Preparation: EFGS S	OP2807 Cold Aqua	Regia Di	igestion fo	or Hg							
Mercury	49200	-	1910	ng/g dry	50000	F911173	04-Nov-19	9K18011	15-Nov-19	EPA 1631B	
Sample Preparation: EFGS S	OP5133 Solids Anal	lysis									
% Solids	90.3	-	0.1	% by Weight	1	F911177	13-Nov-19		19-Nov-19	SM 2540B	O-04, O-09
Sample Preparation: Miscella	neous Preparation	AFS									
Mercury F-0	ND	-	1.75	ng/g dry	100	F911174	05-Nov-19	9K18011	15-Nov-19	EPA 1631 Mod	U

Frontier Global Sciences

Eurofins Frontier Global Sciences, LLC

atuk Stullind

Patrick Garcia-Strickland, Senior Director



Wood E&IS, Inc]	Project: N	Viagara Fa	lls GW Sy	stem O&M				
271 Mill Road			Project N	umber: 1	Viagara Fa	lls GW Sy	stem O&M			Reported	l:
Chelmsford MA, 01824			Project M	anager: A	Andrew Ne	elson, PE				19-Nov-19 1	6:34
			PLS	-SS-10-	0820201	9					
				9H0024	46-09						
Analyte	Result	Detection Limit	Reporting Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Sequence	Analyzed	Method	Notes
Sample Preparation: EFGS SO	P2807 Cold Aqua	Regia Di	igestion fo	or Hg							
Mercury	26500	-	1920	ng/g dry	50000	F911173	04-Nov-19	9K18011	15-Nov-19	EPA 1631B	
Sample Preparation: EFGS SO	P5133 Solids Ana	lysis									
% Solids	89.8	-	0.1	% by Weight	1	F911177	13-Nov-19		19-Nov-19	SM 2540B	O-04, O-09
Sample Preparation: Miscelland	eous Preparation	AFS									
Mercury F-0	ND	-	1.75	ng/g dry	100	F911174	05-Nov-19	9K18011	15-Nov-19	EPA 1631 Mod	U

Frontier Global Sciences

Eurofins Frontier Global Sciences, LLC

atuk Stullind

Patrick Garcia-Strickland, Senior Director



Wood E&IS, Inc 271 Mill Road Chelmsford MA, 01824			Froject N Project Ma PLS	Project: N Tumber: N anager: A	Viagara Fa Viagara Fa Andrew No 0820201	lls GW Sys lls GW Sys elson, PE 9	stem O&M stem O&M			Reported 19-Nov-19 1	l: .6:34
				9H0024	6-10	-					
Analyte	Result	Detection Limit	Reporting Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Sequence	Analyzed	Method	Notes
Sample Preparation: EFGS SOP2807 Cold Aqua Regia Digestion for Hg											
Mercury	3220	-	266	ng/g dry	10000	F911173	04-Nov-19	9K18011	15-Nov-19	EPA 1631B	
Sample Preparation: EFGS SOP5	5133 Solids Anal	ysis									
% Solids	95.4	-	0.1	% by Weight	1	F911177	13-Nov-19		19-Nov-19	SM 2540B	O-04, O-09
Sample Preparation: Miscellaneo	Sample Preparation: Miscellaneous Preparation AFS										
Mercury F-0	ND	-	1.64	ng/g dry	100	F911174	05-Nov-19	9K18011	15-Nov-19	EPA 1631 Mod	U

Frontier Global Sciences

Eurofins Frontier Global Sciences, LLC

atuk Atulhad

Patrick Garcia-Strickland, Senior Director



Wood E&IS, Inc 271 Mill Road Chelmsford MA, 01824			I Project N Project Ma	Project: N Tumber: N anager: A	Viagara Fa Viagara Fa Andrew Ne	lls GW Sy lls GW Sy elson, PE	stem O&M stem O&M			Reported 19-Nov-19 1	l: 16:34
			PLS	5-SS-3-(9H0024)820201) 16-11	9					
		Detection	Reporting	J11002-							
Analyte Result Limit Limit Units Dilution Batch Prepared Sequence Analyzed Method Notes Sample Preparation: EFGS SOP2807 Cold Aqua Regia Digestion for Hg											
Mercury	13600	-	834	ng/g dry	10000	F911173	04-Nov-19	9K15008	15-Nov-19	EPA 1631B	
Sample Preparation: EFGS SOP51	33 Solids Ana	lysis									
% Solids	94.2	-	0.1	% by Weight	1	F911177	13-Nov-19		19-Nov-19	SM 2540B	O-04, O-09
Sample Preparation: Miscellaneous	s Preparation	AFS									
Mercury F-0	ND	-	1.61	ng/g dry	100	F911174	05-Nov-19	9K18011	15-Nov-19	EPA 1631 Mod	U

Frontier Global Sciences

Eurofins Frontier Global Sciences, LLC

atuk Atulhad

Patrick Garcia-Strickland, Senior Director



Wood E&IS, Inc 271 Mill Road Chelmsford MA, 01824			I Project N Project Ma	Project: 1 umber: 1 anager: 4	Viagara Fa Viagara Fa Andrew Ne	lls GW Sy lls GW Sy elson, PE	stem O&M stem O&M			Reported 19-Nov-19 1	l: 6:34
			DUP	201-SS-	0820201	9					
				9H0024	10-12						
Analyte	Result	Detection Limit	Reporting Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Sequence	Analyzed	Method	Notes
Sample Preparation: EFGS SOP2807 Cold Aqua Regia Digestion for Hg											
Mercury	18600	-	993	ng/g dry	10000	F911173	04-Nov-19	9K15008	15-Nov-19	EPA 1631B	
Sample Preparation: EFGS SO	P5133 Solids Ana	lysis									
% Solids	85.1	-	0.1	% by Weight	1	F911177	13-Nov-19		19-Nov-19	SM 2540B	O-04, O-09
Sample Preparation: Miscellane	Sample Preparation: Miscellaneous Preparation AFS										
Mercury F-0	ND	-	1.88	ng/g dry	100	F911174	05-Nov-19	9K18011	15-Nov-19	EPA 1631 Mod	U

Frontier Global Sciences

Eurofins Frontier Global Sciences, LLC

atuk Atulhad

Patrick Garcia-Strickland, Senior Director



Frontier Global Sciences

Wood E&IS, Inc	Project: Niagara Falls GW System O&M	
271 Mill Road	Project Number: Niagara Falls GW System O&M	Reported:
Chelmsford MA, 01824	Project Manager: Andrew Nelson, PE	19-Nov-19 16:34

Quality Control Data

		Detection	Reporting		Spike	Source		%REC		RPD	
Analyte	Result	Limit	Limit	Units	Level	Result	%REC	Limits	RPD	Limit	Notes
Batch F910225 - EFGS SOP2796 EP	A 1631 Oxid	ation									
Blank (F910225-BLK1)					Prepared &	Analyzed:	13-Oct-19				
Mercury	ND	-	0.50	ng/L							U
Blank (F910225-BLK2)					Prepared &	Analyzed:	13-Oct-19				
Mercury	ND	-	0.50	ng/L							U
Blank (F910225-BLK3)					Prepared &	Analyzed:	13-Oct-19				
Mercury	ND	-	0.50	ng/L							U
LCS (F910225-BS1)					Prepared &	Analyzed:	13-Oct-19				
Mercury	6.06	-	0.50	ng/L	5.0350		120	77-123			
LCS Dup (F910225-BSD1)					Prepared &	Analyzed:	13-Oct-19				
Mercury	6.13	-	0.50	ng/L	5.0350		122	77-123	1.05	24	
Duplicate (F910225-DUP1)		Source:	9H00246-0	2	Prepared &	Analyzed:	13-Oct-19				
Mercury	1.58	-	0.50	ng/L		1.68			6.14	24	
Matrix Spike (F910225-MS1)		Source:	9Н00246-0	2	Prepared &	Analyzed:	13-Oct-19				
Mercury	6.91	-	0.50	ng/L	5.0000	1.68	105	71-125			
Matrix Spike (F910225-MS2)		Source:	9Н00294-0	3	Prepared &	Analyzed:	13-Oct-19				
Mercury	6.27	-	0.50	ng/L	5.0000	1.42	97.1	71-125			
Matrix Spike Dup (F910225-MSD1)		Source:	9Н00246-0	2	Prepared &	Analyzed:	13-Oct-19				
Mercury	6.81	-	0.50	ng/L	5.0000	1.68	103	71-125	1.38	24	
Matrix Spike Dup (F910225-MSD2)		Source:	9Н00294-0	3	Prepared &	Analyzed:	13-Oct-19				
Mercury	6.43	-	0.50	ng/L	5.0000	1.42	100	71-125	2.42	24	

Eurofins Frontier Global Sciences, LLC

atuk Stullind

Patrick Garcia-Strickland, Senior Director



Wood E&IS, Inc Project: Niagara Falls GW System O&M 271 Mill Road Project Number: Niagara Falls GW System O&M **Reported:** Chelmsford MA, 01824 Project Manager: Andrew Nelson, PE 19-Nov-19 16:34 **Quality Control Data** Spike %REC RPD Detection Reporting Source %REC RPD Limit Analyte Result Limit Limit Units Level Result Limits Notes

Batch F911173 - EFGS SOP2807 Cold Aqua Regia Digestion for Hg

Frontier Global Sciences

	u riqui riegi	a Digestio	ii ioi iig								
Blank (F911173-BLK1)					Prepared: 0	4-Nov-19	Analyzed:	15-Nov-19			
Mercury	ND	-	1.00	ng/g wet							U
Blank (F911173-BLK2)					Prepared: 0	04-Nov-19	Analyzed:	15-Nov-19			
Mercury	ND	-	1.00	ng/g wet							U
Blank (F911173-BLK3)					Prepared: 0	04-Nov-19	Analyzed:	15-Nov-19			
Mercury	ND	-	1.00	ng/g wet							U
LCS (F911173-BS1)					Prepared: 0	04-Nov-19	Analyzed:	15-Nov-19			
Mercury	7.20	-	1.00	ng/g wet	8.0000		90.0	75-125			
LCS Dup (F911173-BSD1)					Prepared: 0)4-Nov-19	Analyzed:	15-Nov-19			
Mercury	7.03	-	1.00	ng/g wet	8.0000		87.8	75-125	2.40	24	
Duplicate (F911173-DUP2)		Source	: 9H00184	-05RE2	Prepared: 0	04-Nov-19	Analyzed:	15-Nov-19			
Mercury	1162	-	122	ng/g dry		5245			127	24	QR-07
Matrix Spike (F911173-MS1)		Source	: 9H00184	-05RE2	Prepared: 0	04-Nov-19	Analyzed:	15-Nov-19			
Mercury	1081	-	128	ng/g dry	408.06	5245	-1020	71-125			QM-02
Matrix Spike (F911173-MS2)		Source	: 9H00246	-01RE1	Prepared: 0	04-Nov-19	Analyzed:	15-Nov-19			
Mercury	6589	-	1020	ng/g dry	408.73	7176	-144	71-125			QM-02
Matrix Spike (F911173-MS3)		Source	: 9H00246	-01RE2	Prepared: 0	04-Nov-19	Analyzed:	15-Nov-19			
Mercury	7632	-	2550	ng/g dry	408.73	7192	108	71-125			QM-02
Matrix Spike Dup (F911173-MSD1)		Source	: 9H00184	-05RE2	Prepared: 0)4-Nov-19	Analyzed:	15-Nov-19			
Mercury	1372	-	220	ng/g dry	703.40	5245	-551	71-125	-59.8	24	QM-02, QR-08

Eurofins Frontier Global Sciences, LLC

stuk Stullion



Wood E&IS, Inc Project: Niagara Falls GW System O&M 271 Mill Road Project Number: Niagara Falls GW System O&M **Reported:** Chelmsford MA, 01824 19-Nov-19 16:34 Project Manager: Andrew Nelson, PE **Quality Control Data** %REC RPD Detection Reporting Spike Source Result Limit Units Level Result %REC Limits RPD Limit Analvte Limit Notes Batch F911173 - EFGS SOP2807 Cold Aqua Regia Digestion for Hg Prepared: 04-Nov-19 Analyzed: 15-Nov-19 Matrix Spike Dup (F911173-MSD2) Source: 9H00246-01RE1 Mercury 5696 681 ng/g dry 272.30 7176 -543 71-125 -116 24 QM-02, _ QR-08 Matrix Spike Dup (F911173-MSD3) Source: 9H00246-01RE2 Prepared: 04-Nov-19 Analyzed: 15-Nov-19 272.30 7192 Mercury 4942 1700 ng/g dry -826 71-125 -260 24 QM-02 **Batch F911174 - Miscellaneous Preparation AFS** Blank (F911174-BLK1) Prepared: 05-Nov-19 Analyzed: 15-Nov-19 Mercury F-0 ND 5.00 ng/g wet U LCS (F911174-BS1) Prepared: 05-Nov-19 Analyzed: 15-Nov-19 Mercury F-0 124.9 100.00 125 77-123 QM-12 5.00 ng/g wet -LCS Dup (F911174-BSD1) Prepared: 05-Nov-19 Analyzed: 15-Nov-19 Mercury F-0 126.8 100.00 127 77-123 1.52 25 QM-12 5.00 ng/g wet _ Prepared: 05-Nov-19 Analyzed: 15-Nov-19 Duplicate (F911174-DUP1) Source: 9H00246-01 Mercury F-0 0.40 3.21 ND 25 U ng/g dry -Matrix Spike (F911174-MS1) Source: 9H00246-01 Prepared: 05-Nov-19 Analyzed: 15-Nov-19 127 75-125 QM-12 Mercury F-0 77.53 3.06 ng/g dry 61.109 ND Matrix Spike Dup (F911174-MSD1) Source: 9H00246-01 Prepared: 05-Nov-19 Analyzed: 15-Nov-19 Mercury F-0 101.9 65.768 ND 155 75-125 19.9 25 **OM-12** 3.29 ng/g dry

Frontier Global Sciences

Eurofins Frontier Global Sciences, LLC

the Stulle



Frontier Global Sciences

Wood E&IS, Inc 271 Mill Road Chelmsford MA, 01824	Project: Niagara Falls GW System O&M Project Number: Niagara Falls GW System O&M Project Manager: Andrew Nelson, PE									Reported: 19-Nov-19 16:34		
			Quality	y Contr	ol Data							
Analyte	Result	Detection Limit	Reporting Limit	Units	Spike Level	Source Result	%REC	%REC Limits	RPD	RPD Limit	Notes	
Batch F911177 - EFGS SOP5133 Soli	ds Analysis		01100246.0	1	Proposed	14 Nov 10	Analyzadi 1	0 Nov 10				
% Solids	94.1	-	0.1	% by Weight	riepared.	94.7	Anaryzed: 1	<u></u>	0.636	10	O-04, O-09	

Duplicate (F911177-DUP2)		Source:	: 9H00184-	05	Prepared: 14-Nov-19 Analyzed: 19-Nov-19			
% Solids	51.1	-	0.1	% by	53.8	5.15	10	O-04, O-09
				Weight				

Eurofins Frontier Global Sciences, LLC

atuk Stullind

Patrick Garcia-Strickland, Senior Director



Frontier Global Sciences

Wood E&I	S, Inc	Project: N	iagara Falls GW System O&M	
271 Mill R	oad	Project Number: N	iagara Falls GW System O&M	Reported:
Chelmsfor	d MA, 01824	Project Manager: An	ndrew Nelson, PE	19-Nov-19 16:34
		Notes and Defin	itions	
U	Analyte was not detected and is reported as less than or concentration of the sample.	n the LOD or as defined	d by the client. The LOD has been adjusted for any dilution	n
QR-08	The RPD value for the MS/MSD was outside of acc RPD values within control limits.	eptance limits. Batch (QC acceptable based on matrix duplicate and/or LCS/LCSI)
QR-07	The RPD/RSD value for the matrix duplicate/triplic and/or LCS/LCSD RPD values within control limits	ate was outside of acce	ptance limits. Batch QC acceptable based on MS/MSD	
QM-12	Continuing calibration verification (CCV) and/or bla All reported sample concentrations were below the	ank spike/blank spike d reporting limit.	luplicate (BS/BSD) recoveries above upper control limits.	
QM-02	The MS and/or MSD recoveries outside acceptance batch was accepted based on LCS and LCSD recovered based on LCSD recovered bas	limits, due to spike con eries within control lim	icentration less than 1 times the sample concentration. The its and, when analysis permits, acceptable AS/ASD.	
O-09	Total Solids are prepared at the same time as the pre- correction.	paration for the analyte	(s) of interest in order to provide the most accurate dry ma	SS
O-04	This sample was analyzed outside of the recommended	ded holding time.		
DET	Analyte DETECTED			
ND	Analyte NOT DETECTED at or above the me reported to the MRL.	thod detection limit i	if reported to the MDL or above the reporting limit if	
NR	Not Reported			
dry	Sample results reported on a dry weight basis			
RPD	Relative Percent Difference			

Eurofins Frontier Global Sciences, LLC

atuk Stullia

Appendix C Data Usability Summary Report



OLIN NIAGARA FALLS SOIL SAMPLING EVENT DATA USABILITY SUMMARY REPORT (DUSR) SDG 9H00246 AUGUST 2019 SAMPLING EVENT

The quality assurance program established for the groundwater sampling and analyses program at the Olin-Niagara Falls, New York Site is designed so that data produced during this investigation are of known precision, accuracy and completeness for the intended data use. The data were assessed using the "Revised Mercury Speciation Soil Investigation Work Plan, Revision 02" (Wood, 2019), "Contract Laboratory Program Guidelines for Inorganic Superfund Data Review (USEPA, 2014) and its updates as guidance. RCRA performance standards (per SW-846, Update III) and USEPA drinking water method standards have been used where applicable. A Data Usability Summary Report (DUSR) review was completed and included the following activities for the data obtained during this sampling event:

- Case Narrative
- > Review of Chain of Custody documentation;
- Evaluation of sample holding times;
- > Evaluation of the reported analyses to confirm that approved analytical methods were performed;
- > Evaluation of detection and reporting limits (RLs);
- Assessment of the presence of field and laboratory contamination (instrument, method, calibration, and equipment rinsate blanks, as applicable);
- Assessment of field and analytical precision (relative percent difference [RPD]) between field and laboratory duplicates, and matrix/matrix spike duplicates (MS/MSD);
- Assessment of analytical accuracy and laboratory performance criteria including: initial and continuing calibrations, low calibration standards, laboratory control sample (LCS) recoveries, and MS/MSD recoveries; and
- > Raw data review and recalculation of results in 10% of samples.

This data quality evaluation applies to the August 2019, soil sampling event at the Niagara Falls Site. Soil samples from ten locations were collected on August 20, 2019. The samples and associated QC samples were delivered to the Eurofins Frontier Global Sciences, LLC. (Eurofins) laboratory in Tacoma, Washington. Samples were received by the laboratory and login numbers applied to the batch, hereafter referred to as sample delivery group (SDG). The SDG number for this sampling event is 9H00246. The DUSR for this SDG follows the listing of the soil sample field identifications. The topics of each section are ordered to first assess issues affecting the entire data set.

Soil samples were analyzed for total and elemental mercury (Hg) by the following USEPA Methods:

Total Hg	Elemental Hg
EPA 1631B	EPA 1631Mod

The samples were prepared for total Hg by modified cold aqua-regia digestion (EFAFS-T-AFS-SOP2807) and for elemental Hg by selective sequential extraction (EFAFS-T-AFS-SOP2813), as specified in the project Work Plan.



Deliverables (SDG 9H00246)

Laboratory deliverables included Category B deliverables as defined in the NYSDEC Analytical Services Protocols (NYSDEC, 2005). The reporting of results by Eurofins included a summary of the samples in the SDG, a brief narrative noting any problems encountered in the analytical process, batch quality control reports (calibrations, blanks, LCS recoveries, and MS/MSD results where applicable) and copies of the custody records.

The soil samples collected at the Niagara Falls Site in August 2019 are presented in **Table 1** below as listed on the Chain of Custody (COC).

SDG	Sample ID	Sample	Media	Sample
		Date		Туре
9H00246	PLS-SS-49-08202019	8/20/19	SO	FS
9H00246	PLS-SS-RINSATE BLANK 08202019	8/20/19	BW	EB
9H00246	PLS-SS-42-08202019	8/20/19	SO	FS
9H00246	PLS-SS-31-08202019	8/20/19	SO	FS
9H00246	PLS-SS-29-08202019	8/20/19	SO	FS
9H00246	PLS-SS-24-08202019	8/20/19	SO	FS
9H00246	PLS-SS-19-08202019	8/20/19	SO	FS
9H00246	PLS-SS-16-08202019	8/20/19	SO	FS
9H00246	PLS-SS-10-08202019	8/20/19	SO	FS
9H00246	PLS-SS-7-08202019	8/20/19	SO	FS
9H00246	PLS-SS-3-08202019	8/20/19	SO	FS
9H00246	DUP01-SS-08202019	8/20/19	SO	FD

Table 1 – Summary of Samples

<u>Notes</u>

BW =Blank Water, EB = Equipment Blank, FD = Field Duplicate, FS = Field Sample, SO = Soil Soil sample PLS-49-08202019 was designated on the COC for MS/MSD analyses.

Sample Integrity

The samples were collected on August 20, 2019 and Eurofins received the sample-shipping containers on August 21, 2019. The soil sample containers were packed in dry ice with a temperature measuring -21.8°C, and the blank water sample was packed using wet ice with a temperature of 0.0 °C. The laboratory noted all samples were received intact, therefore, no qualification of the data is necessary due to sample temperature. The proper bottles and preservatives were used, and the Chain of Custody was properly relinquished. There were no discrepancies noted by the laboratory.

The laboratory employed Method 1631E for the blank water sample (PLS-SS-RINSATE BLANK 08202019) as listed in the work plan. However, the laboratory employed analytical Method 1631B for total mercury and 1631 Modified for elemental mercury for the analyses of soil samples which varies from Method 1631E as referenced in the work plan. Method 1631E differentiates between bubbler and flow-injection techniques and requires the addition of system and method blanks. Review of the data package indicates that the laboratory analyzed the required extra blanks per method 1631E and followed the flow-injection techniques as outlined in the method and/or per the laboratory's SOP.



Total Mercury (EPA 1631B)

Each sample in this SDG was submitted to Eurofins for total mercury analysis by EPA Method 1631B, with preparation by modified cold aqua-regia digestion. The method listed in the work plan was 1631E, however the use of Method 1631B is acceptable. A level IV DQE was performed on this method and each of the components were within the QC limits with the exception of MS/MSD recoveries and RPDs, and field duplicate precision.

Holding Times

The method specific hold times of 180 days for analysis were met for all samples submitted for total mercury.

Reporting Limits

The laboratory's method reporting limits (MRLs) were compliant with the project work plan target RL of 1.0 nanograms per gram (ng/g), however dilutions were necessary for each sample which resulted in elevated MRLs. Any result reported between the method detection limit (MDL) and MRL is considered a quantitative estimate, however there were none in this SDG.

Initial and Continuing Calibrations

The initial and continuing calibration standards met method criteria for the analysis of total Hg.

Blank Summary

The analytical results of the laboratory instrument and method blanks indicate that total mercury was not present and that system blanks met the method criteria.

Low Calibration Point Check

The low calibration standard check recovered with acceptable QC limits.

Laboratory Control Sample

The percent recoveries for the LCS and LCSD were within QC limits, and the RPD between them acceptable.

Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate

Project sample PLS-SS-RINSATE BLANK 08202019 was selected for the water batch MS/MSD analysis, and the recoveries and RPD were within QC limits. Project sample PLS-SS-49-08202019 was submitted for soil MS/MSD analysis, and the recoveries and relative percent differences (RPDs) were outside of the QC limits.

Action: No qualification was necessary for total mercury in sample PLS-SS-49-08202019 because the sample result was greater than 4x the spike amount.

Sampling Accuracy

Field accuracy was measured through the collection of an equipment blank. The equipment blank, PLS-SS-RINSATE BLANK 08202019, contained measurable levels of total mercury, and associated results less than 5x the blank value should be considered estimated.

Action: No qualification was necessary because the associated results were greater than 5x the blank value.



Field Duplicate Samples

One duplicate pair (PLS-SS-19-08202019/DUP01-SS-08201019) was collected and analyzed for total mercury and the RPD was above the QC limit.

Action: The total mercury results for samples PLS-SS-19-08202019 and DUP01-SS-08201019 were qualified as estimated and flagged "J".

Raw Data Review and Re-Calculation of Results

The total Hg results reported for samples PLS-RINSATE BLANK 08202019 and PLS-SS-49-08202019 were reviewed and re-calculated, and the reported results were confirmed.

Elemental Mercury (EPA 1631 Mod)

Each soil sample in this SDG was submitted to Eurofins for elemental mercury analysis by Method 1631 Modified, with preparation by selective sequential extraction. A level IV DQE was performed on this method and each of the components were within the QC limits with the exception of LCS and MS/MSD recoveries.

Holding Times

The method specific hold times of 180 days for analysis were met for all samples submitted for elemental mercury.

Reporting Limits

The laboratory MRLs were generally compliant with the project work plan target RL for elemental mercury of 2 ng/g, adjusted for the percent moisture for each sample. Results were reported to the MRL and evaluated down to the MDL. Any result reported between the MDL and RL is considered a quantitative estimate, however there were none in this SDG.

Initial and Continuing Calibrations

The initial and continuing calibration standards met method criteria for the analysis of elemental Hg.

Blank Summary

The analytical results of the laboratory method blanks indicate that elemental mercury was not present and that system blanks met the method criteria.

Low Calibration Point Check

The low calibration standard check recovered with acceptable QC limits.

Laboratory Control Sample

The percent recoveries for the LCS and LCSD were above the upper QC limit, indicating a possible high bias.

Action: No qualification was necessary because the bias was high and elemental mercury was not detected in the associated samples.



Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate

Project sample PLS-SS-49-08202019 was submitted for MS/MSD analysis, and the recoveries were above the upper QC limit indicating possibly high biased results.

Action: No qualification was necessary because the recoveries were high and elemental mercury was not detected in the associated sample.

Sampling Accuracy

Field accuracy was measured through the collection of an equipment blanks, however the equipment blank sample (PLS-SS-RINSATE BLANK 08202019) was not analyzed for elemental Hg.

Field Duplicate Samples

One duplicate pair (PLS-SS-19-08202019/DUP01-SS-08201019) was collected and analyzed for elemental Hg, and the RPDs could not be calculated because both samples did not contain measurable levels of elemental Hg.

Raw Data Review and Re-Calculation of Results

The elemental Hg result reported for sample PLS-SS-49-08202019 was reviewed and re-calculated, and the reported not detected result was confirmed.

Summary of Data Usability

Based on the preceding criteria, this data set representing the August 2019 sampling event at the Olin Niagara Falls site is useable with minor qualifications. The data qualifiers are represented in this narrative. Additionally, completeness, which is the percentage of analytical results judged to be valid (including estimated values), was 100 percent for the sampling event. Typically, project objectives are met when completeness is 90 percent or better. A summary of qualifiers applied to the data is listed in **Table 2**.

References

NYSDEC, 2005. New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (NYSDEC), 2005. "Analytical Services Protocols"; June 2005.

Wood, 2019. "Revised Mercury Speciation Soil Investigation Work Plan, Revision 02", Olin North Parcels I and II, AOC Index No. R9-4171-94-08, NYSDEC Site No. 932051A, Niagara Falls, New York; Wood Environment & Infrastructure Solutions, Inc., March 22, 2019.

USEPA, 2014. EPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Superfund Data Review, Final, EPA-540-R-013-001, August 2014.

 Prepared by/Date:
 DWK 12/10/19

 Checked by/Date:
 JAH 12/10/19



TABLE 2 – SUMMARY OF DATA QUALIFIERS

TABLE 2

SUMMARY OF DATA QUALIFIERS DATA USABILITY SUMMARY REPORT AUGUST 2019 MERCURY SPECIATION SAMPLING OLIN-NIAGARA

Field Sample ID	Location ID	Туре	SDG	Method	Parameter Name	Lab Result	Lab Qual	Val Qual	Reason Codes	Units
PLS-SS-19-08202019	PLS-SS19	FS	9H00246	1631B	Total Mercury	12700		J	FD	ng/g
DUP01-SS-08202019	PLS-SS19	FD	9H00246	1631B	Total Mercury	18600		J	FD	ng/g

Notes:

Reason Codes:

FD = Field duplicate precision exceeds QC limit

Validation Qualifiers:

J = The compound was positively identified; however, the associated numerical value is an estimated concentration only.

Prepared by/Date: DWK 12/10/19 Checked by/Date: JAH 12/10/19



DQE CHECKLISTS

Date: <u>12/09/19</u> Date: <u>12/10/19</u> SDG# <u>9H00246</u> Matrix <u>Soil/Water</u>

DATA QUALITY EVALUATION **Olin-Niagara Falls** EPA 1631E (Mercury)

1.0	<u>Samp</u>	ole Inte	egrity			
						Notes
	1.1	Yes	No	N/A	Were the following holding times met for Hg? Hold time is	Coll: 08/20/19
		X			calculated as time elapsed from sampling to analysis. If not, flag	Water Prep: 10/13/19 Anal: 10/13/19 (total Hg only)
					sample results as J (for positive) or UJ (for non-detect).	Total <u>Elemental</u>
					Soil/Sediment: 180 days to analysis (@ -11°C)	Soil Prep: 11/04/19 11/05/19
						Soil Anal: 11/15/19 11/15/19
	1.2	Yes	No	N/A	Were the correct bottles and preservatives used upon collection	Temps:
		X			of Hg samples? Note discrepancies.	Waters: 0.0°C
					[soil/sediment – glass jar w/ Teflon lined cap or 125ml or 250ml HDPE jars shipped on dry ice @ -11°C]	Soils: -21.8°C
2.0	Labo	ratory	Metho	<u>d</u>		
	2.1	Yes	No	N/A	Was the correct method used for preparation of samples?	
		X			Total Hg: Eurofins EFGS-SOP-066R06 (Modified Cold Aqua-Regia	
					Digestion)	
					Elemental Hg: Eurofins EFGS-SOP-090-R04 (Selective Sequential	
					Extraction)	
	2.2	Yes	No	N/A	Was the correct method used for analysis of samples?	Waters: 1631E (total)
		X			Soil/Sediment: EPA 1631E	Soils: 1631B (total) 1631 Mod (elemental)
3.0	Initia	l Calibi	ration			
	2.4					
	3.1	Yes	NO	N/A	Were all instruments calibrated daily with a blank and 5	p. 42 ICAL 10/13/19 Inst Hg2600-3 RSD = 10.5%
		X			standards for Hg with an RSD of < 15%? If any instrument was	p. 103 ICAL 11/14/19 Inst Hg2600-3 RSD = 4.3%
					not calibrated daily or criteria were not met, reject (R) all	p. 161 ICAL 11/15/19 Inst Hg2600-3 RSD = 4.5%
					associated data.	
	<u>ว</u> า	Vec	Na	NI / A	Was a low lovel standard sheet norfermed with the lowest	p_{1} (2) (CAL 10/12/10 Cal 1 - 95.9% rac
	5.2	185 V	INU	IN/A	vias a low level standard and recovered within 75 125%	p. 42 ICAL 10/15/19 Cdl 1 = 05.0% IEC
		^				p. 103 ICAL 11/14/19 Cdi 1 = 30.7% IEC
						[p. 1011CAL 11/15/19 Cal 1 = 95.1% [ec]

Tota	l and l	Elemer	ntal M	ercury l	DQE V.1 (12/04/19)	Initial Review by: <u>D. Kna</u> Senior Review by: J. Har	i <u>ub</u> tness	Date: <u>12/09/19</u> Date: 12/10/19	SDG# <u>9H00246</u> Matrix Soil/Water			
4.0	Calib	ration	Verific	ation				<u></u>				
		<u>ution</u>					Notes					
	4.1	Yes	No	N/A	Was an ICV/CCV/OPR analyzed at the b	eginning and end of	p. 22 IC\	/ 10/13/19 Hg = 109%				
		X			every 10 environmental samples or as nece	ssary?	, p. 26 IC\	/ 11/15/19 Hg = 100%				
						-	p. 28 IC\	/ 11/15/19 Hg = 107%				
						l						
	42	Yes	No	N/A	Were the ICVs/CCVs/OPRs within 77-1239	6 of the true value? If	n 21 (C	Vs 10/13/19 CCV1 = 10)8% ok			
		X		,	the ICV/CCV/OPR recovery is outside the	OC limits, flag both	p. 24 – 2	5 CCVs 11/14/19 – All C	DK			
					positive and non-detect results "J"/"UJ".		p. 27 – 2	8 CCVs 11/15/19 – All C	Ж			
5.0	<u>Meth</u>	od, Ins	strume	ent, and	<u>Calibration Blanks</u>							
	5.1	Yes	No	N/A	Were instrument blank analyzed for total	Hg for each SDG or	p. 42 SEQ-IBL 10/13/19 Mean = 0.1 ng/L Std Dev = + 0.01					
		X		, 	every 20 samples of similar matrix?	9	p. 29 F910225-BLK-1,2,3 = All ND (< 0.5ng/L)					
						-						
	5.2	Yes	No	N/A	Were the instrument blanks (typically 3 p	er batch) < 0.50 ng/L,	p. 161 S	EQ-IBL 11/15/19 Mean =	= 0.12 ng/L Std Dev = <u>+</u> 0.06			
		X			with a mean of < 0.25 ng/L and a sta	ndard deviation of <	p. 30 F9	11173-BLK-1,2,3 = All N	D (<1.0 ng/g)			
					U. Ing/L?	nk value, flag "LIB"	n 21 MP E(11174 PL/(1 - ND)) (< 5.0 pc/(3))					
					If the sample concentration is >5x the	blank value, no flag	p. 51 Wit		(5.6 hg/g)			
					required.							
						Г						
	5.3	Yes	No	N/A	Was a 1%BrCl reagent blank analyzed a	is necessary with Hg						
		X			<0.2ng/L?							
						- r						
	5.5	Yes	No	N/A	Were initial and continuing calibration	blanks analyzed as	10/13/19	9 ICBs = IBL				
		X			necessary?							

Tota	al and	Eleme	ntal M	ercury	DQE V.1 (12/04/19) Initial Review by: <u>D. Kn</u> Senior Review by: <u>J. Ha</u>	<u>aub</u> rtness	Date: <u>12/09/19</u> Date: <u>12/10/19</u>	SDG# <u>9H00246</u> Matrix <u>Soil/Water</u>			
	5.6	Yes —	No _X_	N/A 	Were the method and calibration blanks < 0.5 ng/L,? If the sample concentration is <5x the blank value, flag "UB" If the sample concentration is >5x the blank value, no flag required.	alibration blanks < 0.5 ng/L,? ration is <5x the blank value, flag "UB" tration is >5x the blank value, no flag p. 20-21 CCBs 7 p. 26 - 27 CCBs					
6.0	<u>Labo</u>	ratory	Contro	ol Stand	dard						
	6.1	Yes _X_	No 	N/A 	Was a Laboratory Control Standard (LCS) analyzed with each SDG or every 20 samples of similar matrix? (Note: The LCS is sometimes referred to as an QCS sample – quality control standard.)	dard (LCS) analyzed with each nilar matrix? (Note: The LCS is QCS sample – quality control Discrete control QCS sample – quality control QCS sample – quality control Discrete contrece control Discrete control Discrete control Discrete control D					
	6.2	Yes —	No _X_	N/A 	Are spiked recoveries in the LCS within 80-120% and have an RSD < 24%? If not, flag associated samples "J" / "UJ". Note: Lab used 75-125% for total Hg and 71-123% for elemental Hg.						
	6.3	Yes _X_	No 	N/A 	Was a Certified Reference Material (CRM) check analyzed with each matrix? May be the same as the LCS/QCS.	See LC	S				
	6.4	Yes 	No _X_	N/A 	Are spiked recoveries in the CRM within 80-120%? If not, flag associated samples "J" / "UJ".						
7.0	Matr	<u>ix Spik</u>	<u>es</u>				Notes				
7.1		Yes _X_	No 	N/A 	Was a Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate (MS/MSD) analyzed at a frequency of 1 per 20 samples as designated by project team? List samples that were spiked.	p. 29 P Hg = 1	LS-SS-RINSATE BLANK 0820201 05, 103% RPD = 1.38	9			
	7.2	Yes —	No _X_	N/A 	Were the recoveries of the MS/MSD within 71-125%? No calculation of recovery necessary if element is present in parent sample \geq 4x the spike amount and no flags are applied. If recoveries are high, flag positive results in the parent sample "J". If recoveries are low, flag positive and non-detect results in the parent sample "J/UJ". <i>Note: Lab used 75-125% for elemental Hg.</i>	p. 30 P Hg = - Hg = 1 <i>No flag</i> p. 31 P Hg F-0 <i>No flag</i>	LS-SS-49-08202019 (2x re-anal) 144, -543% RPD = -116% 08, -826% RPD = -260% as necessary, sample result > 4x LS-SS-49-08202019 = 127, 155% RPD = 19.9% as necessary, bias high and assoc	spike amt. result ND			

Page 4 of 6

		X		<25% for elemental Hg? If RPD is exceeded, qualify the associated sample as estimated and flag "J".	
<u>Dupl</u>	icates				
8.1	Yes _X_	No 	N/A 	Were any intra-laboratory sample or field duplicates analyzed? At times the laboratory will choose samples at random for duplicate analysis as part of internal QA/QC. Record and cross- reference sample ID.	DUP01-SS-08202019 PLS-SS-19-08202 Hg 18600 12700 RPD = 3 Hg F-0 ND (<1.88)
8.2	Yes —	No _X_	N/A 	Is RPD between sample and duplicate <24%? If RPD exceeds limits flag as estimated (J).	Lab dups: p. 29 PLS-SS-RINSATE BLANK 08202019 Hg RPD = p. 31 PLS-SS-49-08202019 Hg F-0 RPD NA (both N
<u>Com</u>	pound	Quan	ititation	and Reported Detection Limits	
9.1	Yes _X_	No 	N/A 	Are results present for all samples sent to the lab for Hg? Compare COC with lab reports on a sample by sample basis.	
9.2	Yes _X_	No 	N/A 	Have PQLs been adjusted to properly reflect sample dilutions and for soil, sample moisture and weight?	
9.3	Yes _X_	No 	N/A 	Were the MDLs and MRLs listed in the Work Plan met? Total Hg: MDL = 0.11 ng/g MRL = 1.0 ng/g Elemental Hg: MDL = 0.344 ng/g MRL = 2.00 ng/g	
9.4	Yes _X_	No 	N/A 	Have the results been manually calculated from the raw data provided by the lab? Check at least 10% of the results, and document using the supplemental notes page below.	See Supplemental sheet All OK
<u>Field</u> 10.1	QA/Q Yes X	<u>C</u> No	N/A	Were any field or rinsate blanks collected?	PLS-SS-RINSATE BLANK 08202019
	^				

7.3 Yes No N/A Was the RPD between the MS and MSD <24% for total Hg and

8.0 **Duplicate**

	DUP01-SS-08202019 =	PLS-SS-19-08202019
g	18600	12700 RPD = 37.7 Flag "J "
g F-0	ND (<1.88)	ND (<1.89) RPD NC
Solids	85.1	85.6 RPD = 0.5

= 6.14 ok <u>ND) o</u>k

9.0 Compoun

9.1	Yes	No	N/A	Are results present for all samples sent to the lab for Hg?
	X			Compare COC with lab reports on a sample by sample basis.

X 10.0 Field QA/0 SDG# <u>9H00246</u> Matrix Soil/Water

Initial Review by: <u>D. Knaub</u> Senior Review by: J. Hartness Date: <u>12/09/19</u> Date: <u>12/10/19</u>

Total and E	lemer	ntal Mo	ercury	DQE V.1 (12/04/19)	Initial Review by: <u>D. Knaub</u> Senior Review by: <u>J. Hartne</u>	Date: <u>12/09/19</u> Date: <u>12/10/19</u>	SDG# <u>9H00246</u> Matrix <u>Soil/Water</u>
							Notes
10.2	Yes	No	N/A	Are target analytes non-detect in the r	nsate blank? If not, list to	ot. Hg = <mark>1.68</mark> ng/L	
		X		compounds present with concentration rule. If sample concentration is < 5x the blank If sample concentration is > 5x the l necessary	and apply the following No value, assign a "U". plank value, no flag is	o flags, assoc. results > 5x	

11.0 Application of Validation Qualifiers

Validation qualifiers should now be applied to any result pertaining to this method. A project narrative should be written upon completion of the data quality evaluation, to address all qualifiers associated with each method.

	Data Usable With Qualification
J	Associated value is an estimated quantitation
U	Analyte was analyzed for but not detected
JQ	Result is less than the project reporting limit but greater than or equal to the method detection limit
UB	Results was considered a non-detect based on blank contamination
	Unusable Data
R	Unusable Data Data rejected based upon QC data
R	Unusable Data Data rejected based upon QC data Flagging Hierarchy

If at any time the reviewer is uncertain of the proper course of action when evaluating data, then that reviewer should consult with the Project Chemist or a Senior Chemist.

REFERENCES

"Innovative Approaches to Data Validation, United States Environmental Protection Agency Region III," June 1995.

"Guidance on Environmental Data Verification and Data Validation (EPA QA/G-8)," Peer Review, United States Environmental Protection Agency, June 15, 2000. "Revised Mercury Speciation Soil Investigation Work Plan Revision 01", Wood Environment & Infrastructure Solutions, Inc. July 13, 2018. Total and Elemental Mercury DQE V.1 (12/04/19)

Initial Review by:D. KnaubDate: 12/09/19Senior Review by:J. HartnessDate: 12/10/19

SDG# <u>9H00246</u> Matrix<u>Soil/Water</u>

SUPPLEMENTAL NOTES

This page is to be used for supplemental information from the DQE evaluation form, where space was insufficient to elaborate on a specific item. Make extra copies of this blank page as necessary.

Section 9.4 – Data Calculations	
See SOP for formula	
p. 42 ICAL	
PLS-RINSATE BLANK 08202019 <u>Reported: PLS-r</u>	Hg (ng/L) = ((((345.54 – 21.1)/195.30) x 1) – (-0.02 x 1)) /1 Hg = 1.66 – (-0.02) = 1.68 ng/L <u>RINSATE BLANK 08202019 tot Hg = 1.68 ng/L</u>
p. 161 ICAL: RF mean = 279.48, SEQ-IBL me PLS-SS-49-08202019	ean = 35.37
p. 162 raw response=667.42 tot Hg Dilution = 50,000	$(ng/L) = \left(\left((667.42 - 35.27)/279.48 \right) \times 50000 \right) - (25.27 \times 20) \right) / 50000$ Tot Hg = (113093.96 - 505.4) / 50000 Tot Hg = 2.25 ng/L
p. 88 g extracted=0.6642 Tot Hg Final Volume = 40 mL Final H	g (ng/g wet) = (2.25 ng/L x 50000 x 40 ml) / (0.6642 x 1000) lg (wet) = 6780 ng/g
tot Hg <u>Reported: PLS-</u>	(ng/g dry) = 6780 ng/g / 0.947 = 7159.8 ng/g SS-49-08202019 tot Hg = 7190 ng/g
p. 103 ICAL	
PLS-SS-49-08202019 p. 162 raw resp	ponse elemental Hg (ng/L) = $(((69.50 - 23.6)/339.48) \times 100) - (23.4 \times 1)) / 100$ ele Hg = $(13.52 - 23.40) / 100$ ele Hg = -0.0988
<u>Reported:</u> PLS	SS-49-08202019 ele. Hg = ND @ 3.17 ng/g

Appendix D ProUCL Output

	А	В	C	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	К	L		
1		•	-		UCL Stati	stics for Unc	ensored Full	Data Sets		•				
2														
3		User Sele	ected Options											
4	D	ate/Time of C	Computation	ProUCL 5.11	1/22/2019 12	:49:26 PM								
5			From File	2014 and 201	19 SO tHg.xls	i								
6		Fi	ull Precision	OFF										
7		Confidence	Coefficient	95%										
8	Number	of Bootstrap	Operations	2000										
9														
10														
11	tHg													
12						Ganaral	Statistics							
13			Tot	al Number of (beanvations	General			Numb	or of Dictinct	Observations	50		
14			100			00			Numb	er of Missing	Observations			
15					Minimum	0.43			Numb	er or missing	Mean	8 25		
10					Maximum	57.3					Median	3 59		
10					SD	12.15				Std.	Error of Mean	1.569		
10				Coefficien	t of Variation	1.473					Skewness	2.809		
20						-								
20						Normal (GOF Test							
22				Shapiro Wilk	Fest Statistic	0.615			Shapiro Wi	lk GOF Test				
23				5% Shapiro	Wilk P Value	0		Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level						
24				Lilliefors 7	Test Statistic	0.267			Lilliefors	GOF Test				
25				5% Lilliefors C	Critical Value	0.114		Data No	ot Normal at {	5% Significan	ce Level			
26					Data No	t Normal at 5	5% Significan	ce Level						
27														
28					As	ssuming Nori	mal Distributio	on						
29			95% N	ormal UCL				95%	o UCLs (Adju	sted for Ske	wness)			
30				95% Stu	dent's-t UCL	10.87		95% Adjusted-CLT UCL (Chen-1995) 11.44						
31									95% Modi	fied-t UCL (Jo	ohnson-1978)	10.97		
32						0								
33					Fact Statistic			Ando	mon Dorling	Commo CO	E Toot			
34				A-D	ritical Value	1.902			rson-Daning	Gamma GO		1		
35				3 % A-D (Lost Statistic	0.788	1			v Gamma G		1		
30				5% K-S (Critical Value	0.172		Data Not Gan	nma Distribut	ed at 5% Sig	nificance Leve	1		
27 28				D	ata Not Gam	ma Distribute	ed at 5% Sior	nificance Lev	el			-		
20														
40						Gamma	Statistics							
41	L				k hat (MLE)	0.827			ŀ	star (bias co	orrected MLE)	0.797		
42	L			The	ta hat (MLE)	9.979			Theta	a star (bias co	orrected MLE)	10.36		
43				r	nu hat (MLE)	99.21				nu star (b	ias corrected)	95.58		
44			١	MLE Mean (bia	as corrected)	8.25				MLE Sd (b	ias corrected)	9.244		
45									Approxima	te Chi Square	e Value (0.05)	74.03		
46			Adj	usted Level of	Significance	0.046				Adjusted Chi	Square Value	73.57		
47														
48					As	suming Gam	nma Distributi	on						
49		95% Appro	ximate Gamm	na UCL (use w	hen n>=50))	10.65		95% A	Adjusted Gan	nma UCL (us	e when n<50)	10.72		
50														
51						Lognorma	I GOF Test							
52				Shapiro Wilk	Test Statistic	0.969		Sha	piro Wilk Log	Inormal GOF	Test			
53				5% Shapiro	Wilk P Value	0.275		Data appea	ar Lognormal	at 5% Signifi	cance Level			

	А	В	C	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L	
54				Lilliefors	Test Statistic	0.0967		Li	Illiefors Log	normal GOF T	est		
55				5% Lilliefors	Critical Value	0.114		Data appe	ar Lognorm	al at 5% Signifi	cance Level		
56					Data appea	r Lognormal	at 5% Signifi	cance Level					
57													
58						Lognorma	I Statistics				() (5)	4 005	
59				Minimum of	Logged Data	-0.844	Mean of logged Data 1.395						
60				Maximum of	Logged Data	4.048				SD o	f logged Data	1.181	
61													
62					Ass		ormal Distribu	ition	0.0	0/ Oh a hara hara		10.40	
63			050		95% H-UCL	12.27			90	0% Chebyshev	(MVUE) UCL	12.48	
64			95%			14.52			97.5	5% Chebysnev	(MVUE) UCL	17.30	
65			99%	6 Chebyshev	(MVUE) UCL	22.95							
66					Nonnorom	atria Diatriku	tion Free LIO	Ctatiatian					
67	Nonparametric Distribution Free UCL Statistics												
68	Data appear to follow a Discernible Distribution at 5% Significance Level												
69	Noncoromotela Distribution Free U.O												
70				0				OCLS		05%	ackknifa LICI	10.97	
/1			050	J. K. Standard B.	otetran UCL	10.05							
72			907			11.79		95% Percentile Bootstran LICI 10.8					
73				95% BCA B		11.20							
74			90% (hehyshev(Me	an Sd) UCL	12.96			95%	Chebyshev/M	ean Sd) UCL	15.09	
75			97.5% (hebyshev(Me	an, Sd) UCL	18.05			99%	Chebyshev(M	ean, Sd) UCL	23.86	
76			57.570 C		an, ou) ooe	10.00			5570	Chebyshev(IVI		23.00	
77						Suggested	UCL to Use						
70					95% H-UCI	12 27						1	
79 80						/						<u> </u>	
81		Note: Sug	aestions rear	ardina the sele	ction of a 95%	% UCL are pr	ovided to help	the user to s	select the m	ost appropriate	95% UCL.		
82				Recommend	lations are ba	sed upon dat	a size, data d	listribution, ar	nd skewnes	S.			
83		These re	commendatic	ons are based	upon the resi	ults of the sim	ulation studie	s summarize	d in Singh,	Maichle, and L	ee (2006).		
84		However, si	imulations res	ults will not co	ver all Real V	Vorld data set	ts; for additior	al insight the	user may v	vant to consult	a statistician.		
85								0					
86			P	roUCL compu	ites and outp	uts H-statisti	c based UCL	s for historica	al reasons o	only.			
87		H-statis	stic often resi	ults in unstab	e (both high a	and low) valu	es of UCL95	as shown in	examples i	n the Technica	al Guide.		
88				It is therefore	e recommend	led to avoid t	he use of H-s	statistic base	d 95% UCL	.S.			
89		Use of non	parametric me	ethods are pro	eferred to cor	npute UCL95	5 for skewed	data sets wh	ich do not f	ollow a gamma	a distribution.		
90													