# Offsite Soil Vapor Intrusion Assessment at the Former Carborundum Facility

Village of Sanborn, Town of Wheatfield, Niagara County, New York

Submitted to:



New York State Department of Environmental Conservation Division of Hazardous Waste Remediation

Submitted by:

# **ATLANTIC RICHFIELD COMPANY**

A BP affiliated company 4850 East 49<sup>th</sup> Street MBC 3-147 Cuyahoga Heights, Ohio 44125

Prepared By:

# PARSONS

40 La Riviere Drive Suite 350 Buffalo, New York 14202

February 2009

# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

PARSONS

SECTION 1 PROJECT DESCRIPTION
1.1 Introduction1-1
1.2 Sampling Objectives1-11.2.1 Scope of Work1-1
1.4 Report Organization1-3
SECTION 2 SUB-SLAB AND INDOOR AIR SAMPLING METHODOLOGY 2-1
2.1 Pre-sampling Evaluation
2.2 Sub-slab Vapor Monitoring Point Installation and Sampling
2.3 Indoor and Outdoor Air Sampling
2.4 Laboratory Methods
SECTION 3 SUB-SLAB AND INDOOR AIR SAMPLING RESULTS
3.1Sub-slab Soil Gas
3.2 Indoor and Outdoor Air3-23.2.1 Indoor and Outdoor Air Sample Deployment3-23.2.2 Indoor and Outdoor Air Chemistry3-2
3.3 Data Validation
SECTION 4 DATA EVALUATION
4.1 NYSDOH Soil Vapor/Indoor Air Decision Matrices
4.2 USEPA Oak Ridge National Laboratory Residential Screening Levels 4-1
SECTION 5 CONCLUSIONS
SECTION 6 REFERENCES

# FIGURES

Figure 1.1: Site Location Figure 1.2: Site Plan - Residences to Sampled Figure 2.1: Typical Sub-slab, Indoor Air, Outdoor Air setup

# TABLES

Table 3.1: Pneumatic Test Data for Sub-Slab Probes

Table 3.2: Sub-Slab Soil Gas Purging and Field Screening Data

 Table 3.3: Laboratory Analytical Results Summary

Table 3.4: Sample Deployment times and Canister Initial and Final Vacuum Readings

Table 3.5: Temperature and Barometric Pressure During Indoor and Outdoor Air Sampling

Table 4.1: NYSDOH Matrices

# APPENDICES

Appendix A: Inventories and Inspection Survey

Appendix B: Sub-slab, Indoor Air, and Outdoor Air Sample Locations

Appendix C: Laboratory Analytical Results and Data Usability Report

# **SECTION 1**

# **PROJECT DESCRIPTION**

## **1.1 INTRODUCTION**

As part of a state-wide program to address current and potential exposures to contaminated subsurface vapors associated with known or suspected volatile chemical contamination, New York State Department of Health (NYSDOH) has designated over 400 sites for soil vapor to indoor air evaluation. The Former Carborundum Facility (Site) in the Village of Sanborn, Town of Wheatfield, New York (see Figure 1.1) is one of the sites identified. The evaluation is being conducted with oversight by New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (NYSDEC).

At the request of NYSDEC, Atlantic Richfield Company submitted a work plan to address this issue (Soil Vapor Intrusion Work Plan, August 2006). The work plan used a phased approach that involved: review of historic and current groundwater quality data and a field program to collect both on-site and off-site soil vapor data. Following NYSDEC approval, this first phase of work was completed and reported to NYSDEC in November 2007 (Parsons, November 19, 2007).

In July 2008, NYSDEC requested that sub-slab and indoor air sampling be conducted in homes near monitoring well B22M, located in the residential area adjacent to (west of) the Site. The NYSDEC requested that the sub-slab and indoor air sampling be conducted during the heating season to evaluate the potential for vapor intrusion in conditions where the average pressure differential between indoor air and sub-slab air is the greatest, the water table elevation is higher, and trichloroethene (TCE) concentrations are potentially higher.

An October 2008 amendment to the original work plan provided the methodology for testing in the residential area. The sampling locations included nine residential structures. Three of these structures are duplexes, for a total of twelve sampling locations. Figure 1.2 shows the properties where sub-slab and indoor air sampling were proposed.

## **1.2 SAMPLING OBJECTIVES**

The objective of this sub-slab and indoor air sampling was to evaluate the potential for soil vapor intrusion into the residential dwellings adjacent to the Site. Discussion and input from NYSDEC and NYSDOH were incorporated in meeting this objective.

## 1.2.1 Scope of Work

Community outreach, analytical requirements and methods for the proposed indoor air and sub-slab sampling consisted of:

- Historical data review
- Community outreach

- Analytical parameters
- Sampling plan
- Risk assessment protocol
- Reporting

NYSDEC approved the work plan amendment on November 10, 2008, with the following additional modifications.

- The following site-related constituents of concern (COCs) should be added to the list of compounds for analysis: Methylene Chloride; 1,1-Dichloroethane; 1,1-Dichloroethane; 1,1-Dichloroethane.
- The three buildings located in the sample target area on the south side of Steele Circle have been identified as duplexes each containing two dwellings. Sub-slab and indoor air samples should be collected and analyzed from each dwelling.
- BP/Atlantic Richfield Co. should be prepared to sample adjoining properties should residents deny a request to sample the currently targeted properties.

The amendment included revised standard operating procedures (SOPs) and a revised community outreach program.

## **Community Outreach**

After discussions with NYSDEC and NYSDOH, Atlantic Richfield Company developed letters to inform property owners about the proposed indoor air evaluation program at the selected properties. These letters were used in lieu of updated Fact Sheets. The letters were provided to each specific residence where sampling was proposed. When possible, the letters were hand-delivered with representatives of NYSDEC and NYSDOH present to explain the reason for the indoor air survey (i.e., this is part of a state-wide program to confirm there are no indoor air issues related to soil vapors). Other elements of the community outreach program included a Site contact list, a community relations team, and an informational flyer with important information designed to aid the community in understanding the planned activities. These items were developed as a contingency in case they were needed.

## **SOP Revisions**

Revised SOPs were included in the October 27, 2008 Soil Vapor Intrusion Work Plan Amendment. Revisions to the SOPS were made to facilitate the sub-slab and indoor air sampling, making it specific to existing site conditions.

## **1.4 REPORT ORGANIZATION**

The following sections of this report describe the work conducted during the sub-slab and indoor air sampling of the residences adjacent to the Site, and the results obtained. Section 2 describes the sampling methodologies. Section 3 presents the results of the indoor air and sub-slab sampling. Section 4 provides an evaluation of the data collected, and Section 5 presents the conclusions. Section 6 cites the references used.



 $P:\label{eq:point} P:\label{eq:point} P:\label{eq$ 



 $P:\label{eq:point} P:\label{eq:point} P:\label{eq$ 

# **SECTION 2**

# SUB-SLAB AND INDOOR AIR SAMPLING METHODOLOGY

# 2.1 PRE-SAMPLING EVALUATION

Of the 12 sampling locations identified, nine homes granted access; two refused access and one could not be scheduled based on the home owner's availability.

A pre-sampling inspection was performed prior to each sampling event to identify and minimize conditions that might interfere with the proposed testing. The pre-sampling evaluation consisted of a walk-through of the structures during which time observations were made concerning potential indoor sources of the chemicals of concern and about other influencing factors. In addition, an "Indoor air quality questionnaire and building inventory" (NYSDOH, 2005) form was completed by the evaluator using visual observations and information obtained from the occupants (see Appendix A).

## 2.2 SUB-SLAB VAPOR MONITORING POINT INSTALLATION AND SAMPLING

Sub-slab vapor monitoring points (VMPs) were installed in a central location within each house. The typical sub-slab installation set-up is shown on Figure 2.1. Prior to installation, the small floor drain in the utility closest was sealed with aluminum foil and duct tape to prevent any dilution of the sub-slab soil gas sample with indoor air, although the drains were typically at least 10 feet from the VMP locations. After the collection of the sub-slab vapor sample, the floor drain seal was removed prior to the deployment of the indoor air sample canisters.

A minimal amount of floor covering material was displaced prior to VMP installation, and was restored to its original condition after sample collection. Once the floor covering was removed, VMPs were installed and sampled using the methods described in the NYSDEC – approved work plan (Parsons, 2008) with the following exceptions listed below:

- The homes at 64, 69, and 71 Steele Circle were not sampled either because access was not granted or because of property owner scheduling conflicts.
- Soil gas permeability testing (measurement of applied vacuum versus flowrate) was conducted prior to purging and sample collection to ensure the gas permeability of the sub-slab materials was sufficient to permit the collection of samples at a flow rate of 200 mL/min, while not exceeding an applied vacuum of 10 inches of water.
- During the purging at 67 and 74 Steele Circle, field measurements of oxygen, carbon dioxide, and methane were not collected due to a malfunction of the GEM 2000 landfill gas meter.

## 2.3 INDOOR AND OUTDOOR AIR SAMPLING

Indoor air and outdoor air samples were collected following the methods described in the work plan (Parsons, 2008). A typical indoor and outdoor air sample location are shown on Figure 2.1.

## 2.4 LABORATORY METHODS

All samples were analyzed by Lancaster Laboratories of Lancaster, Pennsylvania. Summa canisters and flow controllers for all the samples collected were individually-certified. Analysis of the samples was performed using modified EPA Method TO-15 for a Site-specific compound list which included trichloroethene (TCE), 1,1-dichloroethene (11DCE), 1,1-dichloroethane (11DCA), cis-1,2-dichloroethene (cDCE), trans-1,2-dichloroethene (tDCE), 1,1,1-trichloroethane (111TCA), methylene chloride (MC), and vinyl chloride (VC). The laboratory limit of quantification (LOQ), the minimum level at which the laboratory can reliably report the compound concentration, ranged between 0.17 and 0.27 micrograms per cubic meter ( $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>) for the eight analytes.



 $P:\label{eq:point} P:\label{eq:point} P:\label{eq$ 

# **SECTION 3**

# SUB-SLAB AND INDOOR AIR SAMPLING RESULTS

## 3.1 SUB-SLAB SOIL GAS

Sub-slab vapor monitoring points (VMPs) were installed within the interior of each house and the location of each VMP is provided in Appendix B. The results of the permeability testing, field screening and laboratory results are described below.

## 3.1.1 Permeability

The results for the sub-slab soil gas permeability testing are summarized in Table 3.1. The calculated sub-slab soil gas permeability for the materials ranged between  $4x10^{-11}$  to  $4x10^{-8}$  m<sup>2</sup>. Based on literature ranges (Freeze and Cherry, 1979), these permeability values correspond with subsurface materials ranging from clean sand to gravel, which was sufficiently permeable to purge and sample without applying an excessive vacuum on the probes.

## **3.1.2 Field Screening Data**

The field screening data for the sub-slab samples collected from residential properties are presented in Table 3.2. All sub-slab sample helium screening results were less than 3.9% of the minimum concentration of helium in the shroud during purging, verifying that all sub-slab soil gas samples were comprised of at least 96.1% soil gas. Carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) and methane (CH<sub>4</sub>) were not detected above instrument detection limits and oxygen (O<sub>2</sub>) measurements ranged between 20.2% and 20.8%. Total volatile organic compounds (VOCs), measured with a photoionization detector (PID) were not detected in any of the samples.

## 3.1.3 Sub-Slab Chemistry

Sub-slab soil gas samples were collected from nine homes. The results are summarized in Table 3.3, and the laboratory reports are provided in Appendix C. TCE, 111TCA, and MC were the only compounds detected above the laboratory LOQ. TCE was detected above the laboratory LOQ (0.270  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>) in the sub-slab soil gas at 4 of the 9 homes sampled (63, 65, 73, and 75 Steele Circle) at concentrations ranging between 0.657 and 0.885  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>. 111TCA was detected above the laboratory LOQ (0.270  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>) in the sub-slab soil gas at 2 homes sampled (74 and 77 Steele Circle) at concentrations of 0.312 and 0.647  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>. MC was detected above the laboratory LOQ (0.17  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>) in the sub-slab soil gas at 3 homes sampled (73, 74, and 76 Steele Circle) at concentrations between 1.43 and 21.8  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>.

The laboratory also reported 11DCA, 11DCE, cDCE, tDCE, and VC at concentrations below the laboratory limit of quantitation (i.e. trace levels) in some of the sub-slab soil gas samples. These concentrations were all below the mean background indoor and outdoor air concentrations listed in Table C3 of the NYSDOH Control Homes Database (NYSDOH, 2006).

## 3.2 INDOOR AND OUTDOOR AIR

## 3.2.1 Indoor and Outdoor Air Sample Deployment

The indoor air quality questionnaire and building inventory (NYSDOH, 2006) forms were completed by the evaluator prior to sample deployment and are provided in Appendix A. The indoor and outdoor air sample deployment times are provided in Table 3.4, and the temperature and barometric pressures ranges over the sampling periods are provided in Table 3.5. The locations of the indoor and outdoor air samples are provided in Appendix B.

## 3.2.2 Indoor and Outdoor Air Chemistry

Indoor air samples were collected from within nine homes and outdoor air samples were collected at four homes. The indoor and outdoor air results are summarized in Table 3.3 and the laboratory reports are provided in Appendix C. TCE and MC were the only compounds detected above the laboratory LOQ in the indoor air samples. TCE was detected in indoor air samples at 2 of the 9 homes (63 and 65 Steele Circle) at concentrations of 0.281 and 0.320  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>, which is slightly above the laboratory LOQ (0.270  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>). MC was detected above the laboratory LOQ (0.17  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>) at 3 of the homes sampled (65, 67, and 74 Steele Circle) at concentrations of 2.38, 1.92, and, 1.21  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>, respectively. MC was the only compound detected above the laboratory LOQ in one outdoor air sample at a concentration of 1.79  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> (75 Steele Circle). TCE was detected slightly below the LOQ in the outdoor air sample collected from November 20 to 21, 2008 at the estimated concentration of 0.238  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> (sample from 74 Steele Circle).

The laboratory also reported 111TCA in one indoor air and one outdoor air sample and cDCE in one indoor air sample, also below the limit of quantitation. These concentrations were also all below the mean background indoor and outdoor air concentrations listed in Table C3 of the NYSDOH Control Homes Database (NYSDOH, 2006).

# 3.3 DATA VALIDATION

The air samples were collected and analyzed for TCE, 11DCE, 11DCA, cDCE, tDCE, 111TCA, MC, and VC. A summary of issues concerning this laboratory analysis is presented in the Data Usability Report (see DUSR in Appendix C). The data qualifications resulting from the data validation review and statements on the laboratory analytical precision, accuracy, representativeness, completeness, and comparability (PARCC) are discussed for each analytical method in the DUSR.

The air samples collected were analyzed for certain VOCs using the USEPA TO-15 analytical method. Certain reported results for the VOC samples were considered estimated from data validation because of noncompliant laboratory control sample recoveries, instrument calibrations, sample internal standard responses, and field duplicate precision. No data was rejected; therefore, the reported VOC analytical results were 100% complete (i.e., usable) for the data presented by Lancaster Laboratories, Inc. PARCC requirements were met.

#### TABLE 3.1 PNEUMATIC TEST DATA FOR SUB-SLAB PROBES Sanborn, New York

Probe ID	Date	Time (seconds)	Flow Rate (Q) (L/min)	Well Head Vacuum (in-H <sub>2</sub> O)	Q/s (L/min/in-H <sub>2</sub> O)	Calculated Permeability <sup>1</sup> (m <sup>2</sup> )
61-SSP-1	19-Nov-08	15	0.1	0.05	17	6.E-09
		30	0.2	0.01		
		60	0.5	0.03		
63-SSP-1	2-Dec-08	15	0.1	0.005	25	9.E-09
		30	0.2	0.01		
		45	0.5	0.02		
65-SSP-1	2-Dec-08	15	0.1	< 0.005	100	4.E-08
		30	0.2	< 0.005		
		45	0.5	0.005		
67-SSP-1	18-Nov-08	15	0.1	< 0.01	50	2.E-08
		30	0.2	< 0.01		
		60	0.5	0.01		
73-SSP-1	20-Nov-08	15	0.1	< 0.005	100	4.E-08
		30	0.2	< 0.005		
		60	0.5	0.005		
74-SSP-1	19-Nov-08	15	0.1	0.02	7	3.E-09
		30	0.2	0.035		
		60	0.5	0.07		
75-SSP-1	20-Nov-08	15	0.1	0.03	3.33	1.E-09
		30	0.2	0.06		
		60	0.5	0.16		
76-SSP-1	2-Dec-08	15	0.1	< 0.005	50	2.E-08
		30	0.2	0.005		
		75	0.5	0.010		
77-SSP-1	20-Nov-08	30	0.1	1.0	0.10	4.E-11
		60	0.2	2.0		

Notes:

in-H<sub>2</sub>O - inches of water

L/min - liters per minute

 $\label{eq:lagrange} \ ^{1} \ Calculated \ Permeability \ \ k \ = \ (m/p) \ \ * \ \ [ \ ln(R_W/R_I) \ ] \ \ / \ \ [ \ PW \ \ * \ [ \ 1 \ \ - \ (P_{atm} \ / \ P_W)^2 \ ] \ ]$ 

Source: Johnson, P.C., M.W. Kemblowski, and J.D. Colthart. 1990. Quanitative Analysis for

the Cleanup of Hydrocarbon-Contaminated Soils by In-situ Soil Venting. Ground Water, 28(3):413-429.

Gas permeability calculated using the following parameters:

vapor viscosity( $\mu$ ) = 0.000182 g/cm-

 $P_{atm} = 406.8 \text{ in-}H_2O$ 

Q - actual vapor flow rate at wellhead

H - well screen length (calculated as half probe radius)

 $R_{\rm W}\xspace$  - radius of the probe

R<sub>I</sub>- radius of influence (ROI)

ROI = 2 meters

P<sub>w</sub> - gauge vacuum at probe

P<sub>atm</sub> - atmospheric pressure

Geosyntec Consultants

# TABLE 3.2 SUB-SLAB SOIL GAS PURGING AND FIELD SCREENING DATA Sanborn, New York

		Elapsed	Cumulative	]	Parameters		Helium Tracer Gas			Total VOCs
Probe ID	Date	Time (min)	Purged* (L)	$CH_4$ (%)	CO <sub>2</sub>	O <sub>2</sub>	Shr (9	oud 6)	Sample	(ppm <sub>v</sub> )
				(70)	(70)	(70)	Minimum	Maximum	(/0)	
		4.0	1.6	0.0	0.0	20.3	11.1	15.9	0.11	0.0
61-SSP-1	19-Nov-08	4.0	2.4	0.0	0.0	20.5	18.1	19.4	0.38	0.0
		4.0	3.2	0.0	0.0	20.5	12.2	18.1	0.48	0.0
		4.0	0.8	0.0	0.0	20.3	14.3	17.2	0.0	0.0
63-SSP-1	2-Dec-08	4.0	1.6	0.0	0.0	20.2	17.2	22.3	0.0	0.0
		4.0	2.4	0.0	0.0	20.3	14.0	22.8	0.0	0.0
		4.0	0.8	0.0	0.0	20.7	12.3	23.9	0.02	0.0
65-SSP-1	2-Dec-08	4.0	1.6	0.0	0.0	20.8	22.2	27.4	0.06	0.0
		4.0	2.4	0.0	0.0	20.8	13.0	17.0	0.0	0.0
		4.0	0.8				12.1	20.4	0.01	0.0
67-SSP-1	18-Nov-08	4.0	1.6				11.0	15.2	0.02	0.0
		4.0	2.4				13.1	24.4	0.03	0.0
		4.0	0.8	0.0	0.0	20.8	5.6	7.3	0.04	0.0
73-SSP-1	20-Nov-08	4.0	1.6	0.0	0.0	20.8	4.6	5.6	0.01	0.0
		4.0	2.4	0.0	0.0	20.7	10.5	14.6	0.03	0.0
		4.0	0.8				11.1	14.3	0.03	0.0
74-SSP-1	19-Nov-08	4.0	1.6				15.9	17.6	0.02	0.0
		4.0	2.4				13.3	16.3	0.02	0.0
		4.0	0.8	0.0	0.0	20.5	15.1	24.1	0.0	0.0
75-SSP-1	20-Nov-08	4.0	1.6	0.0	0.0	20.3	12.7	15.9	0.0	0.0
		4.0	2.4	0.0	0.0	20.4	15.9	23.1	0.0	0.0
		4.0	0.8	0.0	0.0	20.8	13.8	15.8	0.003	0.0
76-SSP-1	2-Dec-08	4.0	1.6	0.0	0.0	20.8	10.6	14.5	0.0	0.0
		4.0	2.4	0.0	0.0	20.7	13.5	14.9	0.0	0.0
		4.0	0.8	0.0	0.0	20.5	19.1	20.1	0.0	0.0
77-SSP-1	20-Nov-08	4.0	1.6	0.0	0.0	20.4	14.1	15.4	0.02	0.0
		4.0	2.4	0.0	0.0	20.5	15.4	19.6	0.0	0.0

Notes:

min - minutes

L/min - liters per minute

L - liters

ppmv - parts per million by volume

-- - meter malfunctioned

VOC - volatile organic compound

\* - soil gas purged through flow controller calibrated to 0.2 L/min by laboratory

		Location:	61 Steel	e Circle	63 Steel	e Circle		65 Steele Circle	
BP Sanborn		Sample ID:	61S-IA 1027	61S-SS 913	63S-IA-155	63S-SS-924	65S-IA-012	65S-OA-806	65S-SS-922
Validated Air	Analytical Data	Lab Sample Id:	5538766	5536852	5548136	5548135	5548134	5548137	5548132
Detected Com	pound Summary	SDG:	1121555	1121293	1123083	1123083	1123083	1123083	1123083
		Matrix:	AIR	AIR	AIR	AIR	AIR	AIR	AIR
		Sampled:	11/20/2008	11/19/2008	12/2/2008	12/2/2008	12/2/2008	12/2/2008	12/2/2008
		Validated:	1/20/2009	1/20/2009	1/20/2009	1/20/2009	1/20/2009	1/20/2009	1/20/2009
		Dup of:							
CAS NO.	Volatile organic compounds ( $\mu$ g/m <sup>3</sup> )								
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane		0.0182 U	0.0416 J	0.0364 U	0.0364 U	0.0364 U	0.0364 U	0.0364 U
156-59-2	cis-1,2-Dichloroethene		0.0278 U	0.0555 U	0.0555 U	0.0555 U	0.0555 U	0.0555 U	0.0555 U
71-55-6	1,1,1-Trichloroethane		0.0355 U	0.175 J	0.0709 U	0.227 J	0.0709 U	0.0709 U	0.0709 U
79-01-6	Trichloroethene		0.0537 U	0.107 U	0.281	0.817	0.320 J	0.107 U	0.673
75-01-4	Vinyl chloride		0.0115 U	0.0286 J	0.0230 U	0.0230 U	0.0230 U	0.0230 U	0.0230 U
156-60-5	trans-1,2-Dichloroethene		0.0198 UJ	0.0396 UJ	0.0396 U	0.0396 U	0.0396 U	0.0396 U	0.0396 U
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethene		0.0119 U	0.0538 J	0.0238 U	0.0238 U	0.0238 U	0.0238 U	0.0869 J
75-09-2	Methylene chloride		0.835 U	0.676 U	0.271 U	0.801 U	2.38	0.257 U	0.615 U

#### Notes:

U - analyte not detected, associated value is method detection limit

J - associated value is estimated

 $\mu g/m^3$  - micrograms per cubic metre

Dup - duplicate

SDG - sample delivery group

IA - indoor air sample SS - sub-slab soil gas sample

		Location:		67 Steele Circle			73 Steele Circle	
BP Sanborn		Sample ID:	67S-IA 860	67S-OA 833	67S-SS 979	73S-IA 005	73S-SS 1011	73S-SS 983
Validated Air	Analytical Data	Lab Sample Id:	5536849	5536850	5536848	5540122	5538764	5538765
Detected Com	pound Summary	SDG:	1121293	1121293	1121293	1121792	1121555	1121555
		Matrix:	AIR	AIR	AIR	AIR	AIR	AIR
		Sampled:	11/18/2008	11/18/2008	11/18/2008	11/20/2008	11/20/2008	11/20/2008
		Validated:	1/20/2009	1/20/2009	1/20/2009	1/20/2009	1/20/2009	1/20/2009
		Dup of:						73S-SS 1011
CAS NO.	<i>Volatile organic compounds</i> ( $\mu g/m^3$ )							
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane		0.0364 U	0.0364 U	0.0461 J	0.0364 U	0.0391 J	0.0538 J
156-59-2	cis-1,2-Dichloroethene		0.0555 U	0.0555 U	0.0856 J	0.0555 U	0.0555 U	0.111 J
71-55-6	1,1,1-Trichloroethane		0.0709 U	0.0709 U	0.209 J	0.0709 U	0.139 J	0.180 J
79-01-6	Trichloroethene		0.107 U	0.107 U	0.127 J	0.116 J	0.327 J	0.657 J
75-01-4	Vinyl chloride		0.0230 U	0.0230 U	0.0230 U	0.0230 U	0.0407 J	0.0286 J
156-60-5	trans-1,2-Dichloroethene		0.0396 UJ	0.0396 U	0.0396 UJ	0.0396 UJ	0.0396 UJ	0.0494 J
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethene		0.0238 U	0.0238 U	0.0750 J	0.0238 U	0.0422 J	0.0557 J
75-09-2	Methylene chloride		1.92	0.327 U	0.773 U	1.04 U	19.5 J	1.67 J

#### Notes:

U - analyte not detected, associated value is method detection limit

J - associated value is estimated

 $\mu g/m^3$  - micrograms per cubic metre

Dup - duplicate

SDG - sample delivery group

IA - indoor air sample SS - sub-slab soil gas sample

		Location:		74 Steele Circle			75 Steele Circle	
BP Sanborn		Sample ID:	74S-IA 319	74S-OA 136	74S-SS 991	75S-IA 048	75S-OA 147	75S-SS 986
Validated Air	Analytical Data	Lab Sample Id:	5538770	5538769	5536851	5540123	5540124	5538768
Detected Com	pound Summary	SDG:	1121555	1121555	1121293	1121792	1121792	1121555
		Matrix:	AIR	AIR	AIR	AIR	AIR	AIR
		Sampled:	11/20/2008	11/20/2008	11/19/2008	11/20/2008	11/20/2008	11/20/2008
		Validated:	1/20/2009	1/20/2009	1/20/2009	1/20/2009	1/20/2009	1/20/2009
		Dup of:						
CAS NO.	Volatile organic compounds ( $\mu g/m^3$ )							
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane		0.0364 U	0.0364 U	0.137 J	0.0364 U	0.0364 U	0.0364 U
156-59-2	cis-1,2-Dichloroethene		0.0555 U	0.0555 U	0.161 J	0.0555 U	0.0555 U	0.0555 U
71-55-6	1,1,1-Trichloroethane		0.0709 U	0.0770 J	0.647 J	0.0709 U	0.0709 U	0.0709 U
79-01-6	Trichloroethene		0.256 J	0.238 J	0.885 J	0.107 U	0.107 U	0.107 U
75-01-4	Vinyl chloride		0.0230 U	0.0230 U	0.112 J	0.0230 U	0.0230 U	0.0230 U
156-60-5	trans-1,2-Dichloroethene		0.0396 UJ	0.0396 UJ	0.108 J	0.0396 UJ	0.0396 UJ	0.0396 UJ
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethene		0.0238 U	0.0238 U	0.195 J	0.0238 U	0.0238 U	0.0238 U
75-09-2	Methylene chloride		1.21	1.30 U	1.43 J	0.382 U	1.79	0.915 U

#### Notes:

U - analyte not detected, associated value is method detection limit

J - associated value is estimated

 $\mu g/m^3$  - micrograms per cubic metre

Dup - duplicate

SDG - sample delivery group

IA - indoor air sample SS - sub-slab soil gas sample

		Location:		76 Steele Circle		77 Steel	le Circle
BP Sanborn		Sample ID:	76S-IA-513	76S-IA-504	76S-SS-973	77S-IA 506	77S-SS 912
Validated Air	Analytical Data	Lab Sample Id:	5548138	5548139	5548133	5540125	5538767
Detected Com	pound Summary	SDG:	1123083	1123083	1123083	1121792	1121555
		Matrix:	AIR	AIR	AIR	AIR	AIR
		Sampled:	12/2/2008	12/2/2008	12/2/2008	11/20/2008	11/20/2008
		Validated:	1/20/2009	1/20/2009	1/20/2009	1/20/2009	1/20/2009
		Dup of:		76S-IA-513			
CAS NO.	Volatile organic compounds ( $\mu g/m^3$ )						
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane		0.0364 U	0.0364 U	0.0364 U	0.0364 U	0.0364 U
156-59-2	cis-1,2-Dichloroethene		0.404 J	0.0555 UJ	0.0555 U	0.0555 U	0.0555 U
71-55-6	1,1,1-Trichloroethane		0.0709 U	0.0709 U	0.103 J	0.0860 J	0.312
79-01-6	Trichloroethene		0.265 J	0.107 U	0.193 J	0.143 J	0.107 U
75-01-4	Vinyl chloride		0.0230 U	0.0230 U	0.0230 U	0.0230 U	0.0230 U
156-60-5	trans-1,2-Dichloroethene		0.0396 U	0.0396 U	0.0396 U	0.0396 UJ	0.0396 U
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethene		0.0238 U	0.0238 U	0.0238 U	0.0238 U	0.0284 J
75-09-2	Methylene chloride		0.405 UJ	0.902 UJ	21.8	0.492 U	0.553 U

#### Notes:

U - analyte not detected, associated value is method detection limit

J - associated value is estimated

 $\mu g/m^3$  - micrograms per cubic metre

Dup - duplicate

SDG - sample delivery group

IA - indoor air sample SS - sub-slab soil gas sample

TABLE 3.4
SAMPLE DEPLOYMENT TIME AND CANISTER VACUUM READINGS
Sanborn, New York

Sample ID	Sample Location	Sample Deployed	Sample Collected	Sample Duration (hour: minutes)	Initial Vacuum (in-Hg)	Final Vacuum (in-Hg)
61S-IA 1027	Indoor Air	11/19/08 18:00	11/20/08 18:15	24:15	29.34	2.07
61S-SS 913	Sub-slab	11/19/08 14:52	11/19/08 14:56	0:04	29.43	3.25
63S-IA 155	Indoor Air	12/2/08 18:45	12/3/08 18:06	23:21	29.44	7.42
63S-SS 924	Sub-slab	12/2/08 18:28	12/2/08 18:33	0:05	29.24	3.02
65S-IA 012	Indoor Air	12/2/08 9:35	12/3/08 9:20	23:45	29.28	4.45
65S-OA 806	Outdoor Air	12/2/08 10:55	12/3/08 10:55	24:00	29.34	4.82
65S-SS 922	Sub-slab	12/2/08 10:30	12/2/08 10:35	0:05	29.29	1.92
67S-IA 860	Indoor Air	11/18/08 11:29	11/19/08 11:30	24:01	29.10	1.52
67S-OA 833	Outdoor Air	11/18/08 11:21	11/19/08 11:35	24:14	28.90	2.52
67S-SS 979	Sub-slab	11/18/08 11:12	11/18/08 11:17	0:05	29.75	2.00
73S-IA 005	Outdoor Air	11/20/08 12:10	11/21/08 11:55	23:45	29.22	0.70
73S-SS 1011	Sub alab	11/20/08 10:50	11/20/08 11:07	0.08	29.24	4.79
73S-SS 983	500-5100	11/20/08 10.39	11/20/08 11:07	0.08	29.22	4.84
74S-IA 319	Outdoor Air	11/19/08 12:00	11/20/08 11:40	23:40	29.54	1.90
74S-OA 136	Outdoor Air	11/19/08 12:00	11/20/08 11:44	23:44	29.48	7.19
74S-SS 991	Sub-slab	11/19/08 10:26	11/19/08 10:31	0:05	29.52	3.25
75S-IA 048	Indoor Air	11/20/08 12:45	11/21/08 12:20	23:35	29.29	6.45
75S-OA 147	Outdoor Air	11/20/08 12:55	11/21/08 12:23	23:28	29.24	0.04
75S-SS 986	Sub-slab	11/20/08 12:58	11/20/08 13:03	0:05	29.22	4.80
76S-IA 504	Indoon Ain	12/2/08 14:21	12/2/09 14:15	22.54	29.35	10.51
76S-IA 513	Indoor Air	12/2/08 14:21	12/3/08 14:13	23:34	29.37	7.77
76S-SS 973	Sub-slab	12/2/08 14:11	12/2/08 14:16	0:05	29.30	8.37
77S-IA 506	Indoor Air	11/20/08 18:00	11/21/08 17:30	23:30	29.38	7.91
77S-SS 912	Sub-slab	11/20/08 17:46	11/20/08 17:51	0:05	29.16	5.75

## Notes:

in-Hg - inches of mercury vacuum

#### TABLE 3.5 TEMPERATURE AND BAROMETRIC PRESSURE DURING INDOOR AND OUTDOOR AIR SAMPLING Sanborn, New York

Sample ID	Sample	Temperature	Atmospheric Pressure	
*	Location	Range ('F)	Range (kPa)	
61S-IA 1027	Main Floor	61.74 - 69.11	100.8 - 101.5	
63S-IA 155	Main Floor	56.06 - 78.10	101.3 - 101.9	
65S-IA 012	Main Floor	61.57 - 68.25	101.5 101.0	
65S-OA 806	Outdoor	30.92 - 35.06	101.5 - 101.9	
67S-IA 860	Main Floor	51.00 - 66.37	102.1 102.6	
67S-OA 833	Outdoor	19.04 - 30.92	102.1 - 102.0	
73S-IA 005	Main Floor	58.13 - 63.46	101.2 - 102.2	
74S-IA 319	Main Floor	65.68 - 71.86	100.8 101.0	
74S-OA 136	Outdoor	26.96 - 32.00	100.8 - 101.9	
75S-IA 048	Main Floor	62.60 - 73.58	101.2 102.2	
75S-OA 147	Outdoor	23.00 - 33.08	101.2 - 102.2	
76S-IA 513	Main Eleer	55.02 68.42	101.2 101.0	
76S-IA 504-Dup	Iviani 11001	55.02 - 08.42	101.5 - 101.9	
77S-IA 506	Main Floor	55.54 - 65.68	101.4 - 102.4	

Notes:

Source: Atmospheric pressure data from http://www.wunderground.com

/weatherstation/WXDailyHistory.asp?ID=MD0612

kPa - kiloPascals

°F - degrees farenheit

Dup - duplicate

# **SECTION 4**

# **DATA EVALUATION**

## 4.1 NYSDOH SOIL VAPOR/INDOOR AIR DECISION MATRICES

The NYSDOH Vapor Intrusion Guidance for Evaluating Soil Vapor Intrusion (NYSDOH, 2006) includes decision matrices (see Table 4.1) to be used as a risk management tool for TCE and 111TCA, two of the compounds that were detected above the laboratory LOQ.

TCE is assigned to soil vapor/indoor air Matrix 1. All of the sub-slab soil gas samples contained less than 1  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> TCE, and all the indoor air samples contained less than or equal to 0.320  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>. The corresponding response recommended in Matrix 1 is: "Take reasonable and practical actions to identify source(s) and reduce exposures." Usually, there is a dilution of 100 to 10,000 times when soil vapor enters a building (Johnson, 2002); therefore, sub-surface vapor intrusion does not appear to be the source of TCE detected in indoor air because the sub-surface concentrations of TCE are very low. There were occasional detections of TCE in outdoor air, and the National Air Toxics Assessment (NATA) website shows maps with similar concentrations in outdoor air attributable to widespread use of TCE in industry<sup>1</sup>. Therefore, the source of TCE in the indoor air samples appears likely to be a regional ambient air quality condition.

111TCA is assigned to soil vapor/indoor air Matrix 2. All 111TCA sub-slab soil gas samples were less than  $1 \mu g/m^3$  and there were no detections above the laboratory LOQ in any of the indoor air samples collected. The corresponding response recommended in Matrix 2 is no further action.

MC was the only other compound detected in either the sub-slab soil gas or indoor air and is not assigned to a soil vapor/indoor air matrix in the NYSDOH vapor intrusion guidance document (NYSDOH, 2006).

# 4.2 USEPA OAK RIDGE NATIONAL LABORATORY (ORNL) RESIDENTIAL SCREENING LEVELS

Due to the absence of sub-slab soil gas and indoor air screening levels in the NYSDOH Vapor Intrusion Guidance Document (NYSDOH, 2006), screening levels were drawn from the United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) Oak Ridge National Laboratory (ORNL) Residential Screening Levels for Chemical Contaminants (USEPA, 2008) for a  $1\times10^{-6}$  incremental lifetime cancer risk (ILCR) level. The ORNL residential indoor air screening levels for the two compounds detected above the laboratory LOQ for TCE and MC are 1.2 µg/m<sup>3</sup> and 5.2 µg/m<sup>3</sup> respectively. The ORNL residential indoor air screening levels for TCE, 111TCA, and MC were divided by 0.1, a very conservative site-specific attenuation factor (i.e., the ratio of indoor air to sub-slab vapor concentrations), to derive sub-slab soil gas screening levels. The sub-slab soil gas screening levels were calculated to be 12 µg/m<sup>3</sup>, 52,000 µg/m<sup>3</sup>, and 52 µg/m<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1 (</sup>http://www.epa.gov/cgi-bin/broker?geo=STNY&pol=43824&city=1&typ=c&\_service=nata&\_program=nata.scl.comap.scl&\_debug=2&nata2=1)

for TCE, 111TCA, and MC, respectively. None of the indoor air samples or sub-slab soil gas collected exceeded the US EPA ORNL indoor air screening levels or derived soil gas screening levels.

11DCE, 11DCA, cDCE, tDCE, and VC were reported in some of the indoor air, outdoor air, and sub-slab soil gas samples at concentrations below the laboratory limit of quantitation, and all of these compounds had concentrations that were below the ORNL indoor air screening levels and at least 10 times lower than the derived soil gas screening levels. These compounds were reported at concentrations lower than average background concentrations, and are not considered to pose a concern for vapor intrusion.

# Table 4.1 Soil Vapor/Indoor Air Matrix 1

NYSDOH October 2006

	IN	INDOOR AIR CONCENTRATION of COMPOUND (mcg/m <sup>3</sup> )							
SUB-SLAB VAPOR CONCENTRATION of COMPOUND (mcg/m <sup>3</sup> )	< 0.25	0.25 to < 1	1 to < 5.0	5.0 and above					
< 5	1. No further action	2. Take reasonable and practical actions to identify source(s) and reduce exposures	<ol> <li>Take reasonable and practical actions to identify source(s) and reduce exposures</li> </ol>	4. Take reasonable and practical actions to identify source(s) and reduce exposures					
5 to < 50	5. No further action	6. MONITOR	7. MONITOR	8. MITIGATE					
50 to < 250	9. MONITOR	10. MONITOR / MITIGATE	11. MITIGATE	12. MITIGATE					
250 and above	13. MITIGATE	14. MITIGATE	15. MITIGATE	16. MITIGATE					

#### No further action:

Given that the compound was not detected in the indoor air sample and that the concentration detected in the sub-slab vapor sample is not expected to significantly affect indoor air quality, no additional actions are needed to address human exposures.

#### Take reasonable and practical actions to identify source(s) and reduce exposures:

The concentration detected in the indoor air sample is likely due to indoor and/or outdoor sources rather than soil vapor intrusion given the concentration detected in the sub-slab vapor sample. Therefore, steps should be taken to identify potential source(s) and to reduce exposures accordingly (e.g., by keeping containers tightly capped or by storing volatile organic compound-containing products in places where people do not spend much time, such as a garage or outdoor shed). Resampling may be recommended to demonstrate the effectiveness of actions taken to reduce exposures.

#### MONITOR:

Monitoring, including sub-slab vapor, basement air, lowest occupied living space air, and outdoor air sampling, is needed to determine whether concentrations in the indoor air or sub-slab vapor have changed. Monitoring may also be needed to determine whether existing building conditions (e.g., positive pressure heating, ventilation and air-conditioning systems) are maintaining the desired mitigation endpoint and to determine whether changes are needed. The type and frequency of monitoring is determined on a site-specific and building-specific basis, taking into account applicable environmental data and building operating conditions. Monitoring is an interim measure required to evaluate exposures related to soil vapor intrusion until contaminated environmental media are remediated.

#### MITIGATE:

Mitigation is needed to minimize current or potential exposures associated with soil vapor intrusion. The most common mitigation methods are sealing preferential pathways in conjunction with installing a sub-slab depressurization system, and changing the pressurization of the building in conjunction with monitoring. The type, or combination of types, of mitigation is determined on a building-specific basis, taking into account building construction and operating conditions. Mitigation is considered a temporary measure implemented to address exposures related to soil vapor intrusion until contaminated environmental media are remediated.

#### MONITOR / MITIGATE:

Monitoring or mitigation may be recommended after considering the magnitude of sub-slab vapor and indoor air concentrations along with building- and site-specific conditions.

# Table 4.1 Soil Vapor/Indoor Air Matrix 2

NYSDOH October 2006

	I	INDOOR AIR CONCENTRATION of COMPOUND (mcg/m <sup>3</sup> )							
SUB-SLAB VAPOR CONCENTRATION of COMPOUND (mcg/m <sup>3</sup> )	< 3	3 to < 30	30 to < 100	100 and above					
< 100	1. No further action	2. Take reasonable and practical actions to identify source(s) and reduce exposures	3. Take reasonable and practical actions to identify source(s) and reduce exposures	4. Take reasonable and practical actions to identify source(s) and reduce exposures					
100 to < 1,000	5. MONITOR	6. MONITOR / MITIGATE	7. MITIGATE	8. MITIGATE					
1,000 and above	9. MITIGATE	10. MITIGATE	11. MITIGATE	12. MITIGATE					

#### No further action:

Given that the compound was not detected in the indoor air sample and that the concentration detected in the sub-slab vapor sample is not expected to significantly affect indoor air quality, no additional actions are needed to address human exposures.

#### Take reasonable and practical actions to identify source(s) and reduce exposures:

The concentration detected in the indoor air sample is likely due to indoor and/or outdoor sources rather than soil vapor intrusion given the concentration detected in the sub-slab vapor sample. Therefore, steps should be taken to identify potential source(s) and to reduce exposures accordingly (e.g., by keeping containers tightly capped or by storing volatile organic compound-containing products in places where people do not spend much time, such as a garage or outdoor shed). Resampling may be recommended to demonstrate the effectiveness of actions taken to reduce exposures.

#### MONITOR:

Monitoring, including sub-slab vapor, basement air, lowest occupied living space air, and outdoor air sampling, is needed to determine whether concentrations in the indoor air or sub-slab vapor have changed. Monitoring may also be needed to determine whether existing building conditions (e.g., positive pressure heating, ventilation and air-conditioning systems) are maintaining the desired mitigation endpoint and to determine whether changes are needed. The type and frequency of monitoring is determined on a site-specific and building-specific basis, taking into account applicable environmental data and building operating conditions. Monitoring is an interim measure required to evaluate exposures related to soil vapor intrusion until contaminated environmental media are remediated.

#### MITIGATE:

Mitigation is needed to minimize current or potential exposures associated with soil vapor intrusion. The most common mitigation methods are sealing preferential pathways in conjunction with installing a sub-slab depressurization system, and changing the pressurization of the building in conjunction with monitoring. The type, or combination of types, of mitigation is determined on a building-specific basis, taking into account building construction and operating conditions. Mitigation is considered a temporary measure implemented to address exposures related to soil vapor intrusion until contaminated environmental media are remediated.

#### MONITOR / MITIGATE:

Monitoring or mitigation may be recommended after considering the magnitude of sub-slab vapor and indoor air concentrations along with building- and site-specific conditions.

# **SECTION 5**

# CONCLUSIONS

Sub-slab soil gas and indoor air samples were collected from 9 of the 12 homes proposed, which is considered to be sufficient to assess the subsurface soil gas to indoor air exposure pathway because of the close proximity of the homes.

TCE and MC were the only compounds detected above the laboratory LOQ in the indoor air samples. TCE was detected in the indoor air samples from 2 of the 9 homes, and at concentrations only slightly above the laboratory LOQ, and below the USEPA ORNL residential indoor air screening levels. Furthermore, the low levels of TCE observed in indoor air are similar to concentrations of TCE that have been measured in one outdoor air sample from the site and similar to the range of concentrations reported by NATA for the Northwestern portion of New York State. MC was detected in the indoor air samples from 3 of the homes, but at concentrations well below the USEPA ORNL residential indoor screening levels.

TCE, MC, and 111TCA were the only compounds detected above the laboratory LOQ in the sub-slab soil gas samples. These compounds were all below the conservative sub-slab soil gas screening levels derived from the USEPA ORNL residential indoor screening levels.

The data collected during this study are consistent with NYSDOH Vapor Intrusion Guidance requirements. According to the decision matrices in the NYSDOH guidance, no further sampling is needed at the homes on Steele Circle. Since these samples were collected during the heating season, they are considered by NYSDOH to be protective relative to conditions at other times of the year.

# **SECTION 6**

# REFERENCES

- Freeze, R.A. and Cherry, J.A. 1979. Groundwater. Prentice-Hall, Inc. Chapter 2, Table 2.2, pg.29.
- Johnson, P.C. 2002. Sensitivity Analysis and Identification of Critical and Non-Critical Parameters for the Johnson and Ettinger (1991) Vapor Intrusion Model." API Soil and Groundwater Research Bulletin No. 17. API. May 2002.
- New York State Department of Health (NYSDOH). Final Guidance for Evaluating Soil Vapor Intrusion in the State of New York. Center for Environmental Health. Bureau of Environmental Exposure Investigation, 2006.
- Parsons, 2006. Soil Vapor Intrusion Work Plan, Former Carborundum Site, Sanborn New York, Registry #932102, August 28, 2006.
- Parsons, 2007. Results of Soil Vapor Intrusion Assessment, Former Carborundum Site, Sanborn New York, Registry #932102, November 19, 2007.
- Parsons, 2008. Soil Vapor Intrusion Work Plan Amendment, Former Carborundum Site, Sanborn New York, Registry #932102, October 27, 2008.
- United States Environmental Protection Agency, Oak Ridge National Laboratory (ORNL) Screening Levels for Chemical Contaminants, September 12, 2008. (http://epaprgs.ornl.gov/chemicals/index.shtml)

# APPENDIX A INVENTORIES AND INSPECTION SURVEY

,¥ .

NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH INDOOR AIR QUALITY QUESTIONNAIRE AND BUILDING INVENTORY CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH
This form must be completed for each residence involved in indoor air testing.
Preparer's Name <u>Cohert Miwer</u> Date/Time Prepared <u>22 5:30pm</u> Preparer's Affiliation <u>Atlantic Richf</u> athone No. <u>314 367-8082</u>
Purpose of Investigation induor air sample
1. OCCUPANT:
Interviewed: (Y)/ N
Last Name: First Name:
Address: 63 Steele Grele
County: Windan
Home Phone: Office Phone:
Number of Occupants/persons at this location Age of Occupants
2. OWNER OR LANDLORD: (Check if same as occupant)
Interviewed: <i>M</i> /N
Last Name: First Name:
Address:
County:
Home Phone: Office Phone:
3. BUILDING CHARACTERISTICS
Type of Building: (Circle appropriate response)
Residential School Commercial/Multi-use Industrial Church Other:

If the property is residential,	type? (Circle ap	propriate respo	nse)	
Ranch Raised Ranch Cape Cod Duplex Modular	2-Family Split Level Contemporary Apartment Hou	3-Fat Colo Mobi ise Town	nily nial le Home nhouses/Condos 	
If multiple units, how many?		Othe	•	
If the property is commercial,	type?			
Business Type(s)				
Does it include residences (	(i.e., multi-use)?	Y / N	If yes, how many?	
Other characteristics:				
Number of floors		Building age_		
Is the building insulated? Y	/ N	How air tight	? Tight / Average / Not Tight	
4. AIRFLOW				
Use air current tubes or trace	r smoke to evalu	late airflow p	atterns and qualitatively desc	ribe:
Airflow between floors	\/	/		
Airflow near source				
Outdoor air infiltration				
Infiltration into air ducts				

۴.

# 5. BASEMENT AND CONSTRUCTION CHARACTERISTICS (Circle all that apply)

a. Above grade construction	n: wood frame	concrete	stone	brick
b. Basement type:	full	crawlspace	slab	other
c. Basement floor:	concrete	dirt	stone	other
d. Basement floor:	uncovered	covered	covered with	
e. Concrete floor:	unsealed	sealed	sealed with	
f. Foundation walls:	poured	block	stone	other
g. Foundation walls:	unsealed	sealed	sealed with	
h. The basement is:	wet	damp	dry	moldy
i. The basement is:	finished	unfinished	partially finished	
j. Sump present?	YN			
k. Water in sump? Y	/ N / not applicable			

Y / N / not applicable

Basement/Lowest level depth below grade: \_\_\_\_\_(feet)

Identify potential soil vapor entry points and approximate size (e.g., cracks, utility ports, drains)



# 6. HEATING, VENTING and AIR CONDITIONING (Circle all that apply)

Type of heating system(s) used in this building: (circle all that apply - note primary)

(Hot air circulation) Space Heaters Electric baseboard	Heat pump Stream radiation Wood stove	Hot water baseboard Radiant floor Outdoor wood boiler	Other	
The primary type of fuel used is:				
Natural Gas Electric Wood	Fuel Oil Propane Coal	Kerosene Solar		
Domestic hot water tank fueled by:	natural a	X'7_		
Boiler/furnace located in: Baseme	ent Outdoors	Main Floor	Other	

Air conditioning: Central Air Wi	ndow units Open Windows None
Are there air distribution ducts present? (Y) Describe the supply and cold air return ductwork there is a cold air return and the tightness of duc diagram.	4 x, and its condition where visible, including whether t joints. Indicate the locations on the floor plan
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
7. OCCUPANCY Is basement/lowest level occupied? Full-time	Occasionally Seldom Almost Never
Basement	
2 Floor 3 <sup>rd</sup> Floor	
8. FACTORS THAT MAY INFLUENCE INDOC	OR AIR QUALITY
a. Is there an attached garage?	(V)N
b. Does the garage have a separate heating unit	Y/NA
c. Are petroleum-powered machines or vehicles stored in the garage (e.g., lawnmower, atv, car	Please specify
d. Has the building ever had a fire?	Y (N) When?
e. Is a kerosene or unvented gas space heater p	resent? Y N Where?
f. Is there a workshop or hobby/craft area?	Y / N Where & Type?
g. Is there smoking in the building?	Y How frequently?
h. Have cleaning products been used recently?	(Y/N When & Type? <u>MGULGE</u>

" Have cosmene products been used recently:	x / N ) when & Type?
5	
j. Has painting/staining been done in the last 6 months?	Y (N) Where & When?
k. Is there new carpet, drapes or other textiles?	Y /N Where & When?
l. Have air fresheners been used recently?	Y/N When & Type? plug-in
m. Is there a kitchen exhaust fan?	Y / N If yes, where vented?
n. Is there a bathroom exhaust fan?	Y/N If yes, where vented?
o. Is there a clothes dryer?	(Y)N If yes, is it vented outside? Y / N
p. Has there been a pesticide application?	Y / NOWhen & Type?
Are there odors in the building? If yes, please describe:	Y / N
e.g., chemical manufacturing or laboratory, auto mechanic or a poiler mechanic, pesticide application, cosmetologist If yes, what types of solvents are used?	uto body shop, painting, fuel oil delivery,
e.g., chemical manufacturing or laboratory, auto mechanic or a poiler mechanic, pesticide application, cosmetologist If yes, what types of solvents are used? If yes, are their clothes washed at work?	uto body shop, painting, fuel oil delivery,
<ul> <li>e.g., chemical manufacturing or laboratory, auto mechanic or a poiler mechanic, pesticide application, cosmetologist</li> <li>If yes, what types of solvents are used?</li></ul>	uto body shop, painting, fuel oil delivery, Y / N dry-cleaning service? (Circle appropriate
<ul> <li>e.g., chemical manufacturing or laboratory, auto mechanic or a boiler mechanic, pesticide application, cosmetologist</li> <li>If yes, what types of solvents are used?</li></ul>	Y / N dry-cleaning service? (Circle appropriate No Unknown
<ul> <li>e.g., chemical manufacturing or laboratory, auto mechanic or a poiler mechanic, pesticide application, cosmetologist</li> <li>If yes, what types of solvents are used?</li></ul>	Y / N dry-cleaning service? (Circle appropriate No Unknown e? Y Date of Installation:
<ul> <li>e.g., chemical manufacturing or laboratory, auto mechanic or a poiler mechanic, pesticide application, cosmetologist</li> <li>If yes, what types of solvents are used?</li></ul>	Y / N dry-cleaning service? (Circle appropriate No Unknown e? Y N Date of Installation:
e.g., chemical manufacturing or laboratory, auto mechanic or a boiler mechanic, pesticide application, cosmetologist If yes, what types of solvents are used? If yes, are their clothes washed at work? Do any of the building occupants regularly use or work at a esponse) Yes, use dry-cleaning regularly (weekly) Yes, use dry-cleaning infrequently (monthly onless) Yes, work at a dry-cleaning service s there a radon mitigation system for the building/structure s the system active or passive? Active/Passive WATER AND SEWAGE Water Supply: Public Water Drilled Well Driven	Y / N Y / N dry-cleaning service? (Circle appropriate No Unknown e? Y Date of Installation:
<ul> <li>e.g., chemical manufacturing or laboratory, auto mechanic or a poiler mechanic, pesticide application, cosmetologist</li> <li>If yes, what types of solvents are used?</li></ul>	Y / N Y / N dry-cleaning service? (Circle appropriate No Unknown e? Y Date of Installation: Well Dug Well Other: Field Dry Well Other:
e.g., chemical manufacturing or laboratory, auto mechanic or a boiler mechanic, pesticide application, cosmetologist If yes, what types of solvents are used? If yes, are their clothes washed at work? Do any of the building occupants regularly use or work at a esponse) Yes, use dry-cleaning regularly (weekly) Yes, use dry-cleaning infrequently (monthly onless) Yes, work at a dry-cleaning service s there a radon mitigation system for the building/structure s the system active or passive? Active/Passive WATER AND SEWAGE Water Supply: Public Water Drilled Well Driven Rewage Disposal: Public Sewer Septic Tank Leach 0. RELOCATION INFORMATION (for oil spill residentia	Y / N Y / N dry-cleaning service? (Circle appropriate No Unknown e? Y Date of Installation: Well Dug Well Other: Field Dry Well Other:
<ul> <li>e.g., chemical manufacturing or laboratory, auto mechanic or a poiler mechanic, pesticide application, cosmetologist</li> <li>If yes, what types of solvents are used?</li></ul>	Y / N Y / N dry-cleaning service? (Circle appropriate No Unknown e? Y Date of Installation: Well Dug Well Other: Field Dry Well Other:

.

:

.

- c. Responsibility for costs associated with reimbursement explained? Y / N
- d. Relocation package provided and explained to residents?  $Y \slash N$

6

## **11. FLOOR PLANS**

Draw a plan view sketch of the basement and first floor of the building. Indicate air sampling locations, possible indoor air pollution sources and PID meter readings. If the building does not have a basement, please note.

## **Basement:**



## **First Floor:**



## **12. OUTDOOR PLOT**

Draw a sketch of the area surrounding the building being sampled. If applicable, provide information on spill locations, potential air contamination sources (industries, gas stations, repair shops, landfills, etc.), outdoor air sampling location(s) and PID meter readings.

Also indicate compass direction, wind direction and speed during sampling, the locations of the well and septic system, if applicable, and a qualifying statement to help locate the site on a topographic map.



### **13. PRODUCT INVENTORY FORM**

Make & Model of field instrument used:

List specific products found in the residence that have the potential to affect indoor air quality.

Location	Product Description	Size (units)	Condition <sup>*</sup>	Chemical Ingredients	Field Instrument Reading (units)	Photo ** <u>Y / N</u>
Kitch.	Soft Somb					
	Rosolve couper	1612		a		
	Sun Light Dishoul	2102				
	Comet place	802				
	Glass Phys	1412				
	Ly Sol wipes					
	Spray NWarth	120	-			
	Rooto Drain Opener	3700	-			
	505 Porls					
	Nor. Clean Wipe-Ups					
	Windex wipes					
Bolin	Bon Any	8æ				
Buhn	Clonx OxiMpie	llere	-	ч «Маллан		
Bah	Johnson Plop					
				<u></u>		

\* Describe the condition of the product containers as Unopened (UO), Used (U), or Deteriorated (D)

\*\* Photographs of the **front and back** of product containers can replace the handwritten list of chemical ingredients. However, the photographs must be of good quality and ingredient labels must be legible.
NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH INDOOR AIR QUALITY QUESTIONNAIRE AND BUILDING INVENTORY CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH
This form must be completed for each residence involved in indoor air testing.
Preparer's Name <u>Robert Minr</u> Date/Time Prepared <u>preparer's Affiliation Atlantic Richfull</u> Phone No. <u>314.367.008</u>
Purpose of Investigation
1. OCCUPANT: Interviewed: Y/N
Last Name: First Name:
Address: 74 Steele Circle
County: Ningara
Home Phone: Office Phone:
Number of Occupants/persons at this location Age of Occupants
2. OWNER OR LANDLORD: (Check if same as occupant
Interviewed: Y / N
Last Name: First Name:
Address:
County:
Home Phone: Office Phone:
3. BUILDING CHARACTERISTICS
Type of Building: (Circle appropriate response)
Residential School Commercial/Multi-use

I no proporti io residentitati ci per (enere appropriate response)
--

Ranch Raised Ranch Cape Cod Duplex Modular	2-Family Split Level Contemporary Apartment House Log Home	3-Family Colonial Mobile Home Townhouses/Condos Other:
If multiple units, how many?		
If the property is commercial,	type?	
Business Type(s)		
Does it include residences (	(i.e., multi-use)? Y / N	If yes, how many?
Other characteristics:		
Number of floors	Buildir	ng age
Is the building insulated? Y	/N How ai	ir tight? Tight / Average / Not Tight

# 4. AIRFLOW

Use air current tubes or tracer smoke to evaluate airflow patterns and qualitatively describe:

Airflow between floors

<i>[</i>
Airflow near source
X
Outdoor air infiltration
. \
Infiltration into air ducts

.

# 5. BASEMENT AND CONSTRUCTION CHARACTERISTICS (Circle all that apply)

	and the second			
a. Above grade construction:	wood frame	concrete	stone	brick
b. Basement type:	full	crawlspace	slab	other
c. Basement floor:	concrete	dirt	stone	other
d. Basement floor:	uncovered	covered	covered with _	
e. Concrete floor:	unsealed	sealed	sealed with	
f. Foundation walls:	poured	block	stone	other
g. Foundation walls:	unsealed	sealed	sealed with	
h. The basement is:	wet	damp	dry	moldy
i. The basement is:	finished	unfinished	partially finish	ed
j. Sump present?	Y/N			
k. Water in sump? Y / N	V / not applicable			

Basement/Lowest level depth below grade: \_\_\_\_\_(feet)

.

Identify potential soil vapor entry points and approximate size (e.g., cracks, utility ports, drains)

Sewer water	Kitchen,	2 Baths,	Laundry
drain hole for	HUAC «	system /	

## 6. HEATING, VENTING and AIR CONDITIONING (Circle all that apply)

## Type of heating system(s) used in this building: (circle all that apply – note primary)

Hot air circulation Space Heaters Electric baseboard	Heat pump Stream radiation Wood stove	Hot water baseboard Radiant floor Outdoor wood boiler	Other
The primary type of fuel used is:			
Natural Gas Electric Wood	Fuel Oil Propane Coal	Kerosene Solar	
Domestic hot water tank fueled by:	natural	1 gay	
Boiler/furnace located in: Basen	ent Outdoors	Main Floor	Other

Air conditioning:	Central Air	Window units 4	Open Wind	lows	None
Are there air distributio	n ducts present?	Y)N			·
Describe the supply and there is a cold air return diagram.	cold air return ductwo and the tightness of d	ork, and its co uct joints. Ind	ndition whe licate the lo	re visible, in cations on th	cluding whether e floor plan
:					
·					
		,			
7. OCCUPANCY		\ \			
Is basement/lowest level	occupied? Full-time	e) Occasio	onally Sel	dom	Almost Never
Level General	Use of Each Floor (e.g	., familyroom	<u>, bedroom,</u>	laundry, wo	rkshop, storage)
Basement	-				
1 <sup>st</sup> Floor					
2 <sup>nd</sup> Floor					
3 <sup>rd</sup> Floor					
4 <sup>th</sup> Floor	a en ser sensi sussi d'an se				
8. FACTORS THAT MA	AY INFLUENCE IND	OOR AIR QU	ALITY		
a. Is there an attached	garage?		(Y)	N	
b. Does the garage hav	e a separate heating u	nit?	Y /	10NA	
c. Are petroleum-powe stored in the garage	ered machines or vehic (e.g., lawnmower, atv,	les car)	Y(/, Plea	NNA ase specify_	
d. Has the building eve	er had a fire?		Υ.(	NWhen?_	
e. Is a kerosene or unv	ented gas space heater	present?	Y /	Where?	·
f. Is there a workshop	or hobby/craft area?		Y NWh	ere & Type?	
g. Is there smoking in t	he building?		Y/N Hor	w frequently?	
h. Have cleaning produ	icts been used recently	r? (	Y)N Wh	en & Type?	Computer Munelvolo

i. Have cosmetic products been used recently?	$(\mathbf{Y})\mathbf{N}$	When & Typ	e?
5	ъ.		
j. Has painting/staining been done in the last 6 months?	YIN	Where & Wl	ien? 2 nic nthis
k. Is there new carpet, drapes or other textiles?	YIN	Where & WI	nen?
l. Have air fresheners been used recently?	(Y)N	When & Typ	e? <u>Cartles</u>
m. Is there a kitchen exhaust fan?	YIN	If yes, where	vented? roof
n. Is there a bathroom exhaust fan?	(Y)/ N	If yes, where	vented?
o. Is there a clothes dryer?	(Y)N	If yes, is it ve	ented outside? Y N
p. Has there been a pesticide application?	Y / N	When & Typ	e?
Are there odors in the building? If yes, please describe: 100	Y / N		
<b>Do any of the building occupants use solvents at work?</b> e.g., chemical manufacturing or laboratory, auto mechanic or poiler mechanic, pesticide application, cosmetologist	YVN r auto body	) shop, painting	g, fuel oil delivery,
If yes, what types of solvents are used?			
If yes, are their clothes washed at work?	Y / N		
<b>Do any of the building occupants regularly use or work at</b> response)	a dry-clea	ning service?	(Circle appropriate
Yes, use dry-cleaning regularly (weekly) Yes, use dry-cleaning infrequently (monthly or less) Yes, work at a dry-cleaning service	)	No Unknown	
s there a radon mitigation system for the building/structu s the system active or passive? Active/Passive	ire? Y N	Date of Insta	llation:
). WATER AND SEWAGE			
Water Supply: Public Water Drilled Well Driv	ven Well	Dug Well	Other:
Sewage Disposal: Public Sewer Septic Tank Lead	ch Field	Dry Well	Other:
0. RELOCATION INFORMATION (for oil spill residen	tial emerge	ency)	
a. Provide reasons why relocation is recommended:			
b. Residents choose to: remain in home relocate to f	riends/fam	ily reloc	ate to hotel/motel

c. Responsibility for costs associated with reimbursement explained?	Y / N
d. Relocation package provided and explained to residents?	Y/N

## **11. FLOOR PLANS**

Draw a plan view sketch of the basement and first floor of the building. Indicate air sampling locations, possible indoor air pollution sources and PID meter readings. If the building does not have a basement, please note.

### **Basement:**



· · · ·

10 mm

#### **12. OUTDOOR PLOT**

Draw a sketch of the area surrounding the building being sampled. If applicable, provide information on spill locations, potential air contamination sources (industries, gas stations, repair shops, landfills, etc.), outdoor air sampling location(s) and PID meter readings.

Also indicate compass direction, wind direction and speed during sampling, the locations of the well and septic system, if applicable, and a qualifying statement to help locate the site on a topographic map.



# **13. PRODUCT INVENTORY FORM**

Make & Model of field instrument used:

List specific products found in the residence that have the potential to affect indoor air quality.

Location	Product Description	Size (units)	Condition <sup>*</sup>	Chemical Ingredients	Field Instrument Reading (units)	Photo ** <u>Y / N</u>
Kitch	Oust	1202.	Rood			
	Lysul Kitdu					
	winder					
	Pickse					
	Clivoy Clen-Up					
	Revery Lynt					
	Minute Groch					
	Febreze					
	Bis cell ann					
	Fontostick					-
	Mr. Clen.					
Υ		••	•			
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
1						

\* Describe the condition of the product containers as **Unopened (UO)**, **Used (U)**, or **Deteriorated (D)** \*\* Photographs of the **front and back** of product containers can replace the handwritten list of chemical ingredients. However, the photographs must be of good quality and ingredient labels must be legible.

NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH
INDOOR AIR QUALITY QUESTIONNAIRE AND BUILDING INVENTORY
<b>CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH</b>

This form must be completed for each residence involved in indoor air testing.

Preparer's Name	Dert Miller Date/Tim	ne Prepared $\frac{12}{2}$ $\frac{7a}{4}$ $m$ .
Preparer's Affiliation	antic Rich Field Phone No	<u>. 314.367.808</u> 2
Purpose of Investigation	Indon Air	
1. OCCUPANT:		
Interviewed: XN		
Last Name:	First Name:	
Address: $65$	Steele Circle	
County: Niagara		
Home Phone:	Office Phone:	
Number of Occupants/persons a	t this location Age of Occupa	ants
2. OWNER OR LANDLORD	(Check if same as occupant	
Interviewed: $(Y)$ N		
Last Name:	First Name:	,
Address:		
County:		
Home Phone:	Office Phone:	
3. BUILDING CHARACTER	ISTICS	
Type of Building: (Circle appro	priate response)	
Residential Industrial	School Commercial/Multi-use Church Other:	······

If the property is residential, type? (Circle appropriate response)

Ranch Raised Ranch Cape Cod Duplex Modular	2-Family Split Level Contemporary Apartment Hou Log Home	3-Fami Coloni Mobile se Townh Other:_	ly al Home ouses/Condos			
If multiple units, how many?						
If the property is commercial, type?						
Business Type(s)						
Does it include residences	(i.e., multi-use)?	Y / N	If yes, how many?			
Other characteristics:						
Number of floors		Building age				
Is the building insulated? Y	ЛN	How air tight?	Tight Average / Not Tight			

# 4. AIRFLOW

Use air current tubes or tracer smoke to evaluate airflow patterns and qualitatively describe:

Airflow between floors
Airflow near source
Outdoor air infiltration
Infiltration into air ducts

# 5. BASEMENT AND CONSTRUCTION CHARACTERISTICS (Circle all that apply)

	- Andrew - A			
a. Above grade constructio	n: wood frame	concrete	stone	brick
b. Basement type:	full	crawlspace	slab	other
c. Basement floor:	concrete	dirt	stone	other
d. Basement floor:	uncovered	covered	covered with	
e. Concrete floor:	unsealed	sealed	sealed with	WE 196777-11
f. Foundation walls:	poured	block	stone	other
g. Foundation walls:	unsealed	sealed	sealed with	
h. The basement is:	wet	damp	dry	moldy
i. The basement is:	finished	unfinished	partially finisl	ned
j. Sump present?	Y / N			
k. Water in sump?	Y / N / not applicable			

Basement/Lowest level depth below grade: \_\_\_\_\_(feet)

Identify potential soil vapor entry points and approximate size (e.g., cracks, utility ports, drains)

Sewer/water		Kitchen, buthours	
Hentiz/AC	·	Orainaço holo.	

## 6. HEATING, VENTING and AIR CONDITIONING (Circle all that apply)

Type of heating system(s) used in this building: (circle all that apply – note primary)

Hot air circulation Space Heaters Electric baseboard	Heat pump Stream radiation Wood stove	Hot water baseboard Radiant floor Outdoor wood boiler	Other
The primary type of fuel used is:			
Natural Gas Electric Wood	Fuel Oil Propane Coal	Kerosene Solar	
Domestic hot water tank fueled by:	Nataral	Gus.	
Boiler/furnace located in: Base	ment Outdoors	Main Floop	Other

Are there air distribution ducts present?

YPN

Describe the supply and cold air return ductwork, and its condition where visible, including whether there is a cold air return and the tightness of duct joints. Indicate the locations on the floor plan diagram.

7. OCCUPANCY

Is basement/lo	west level occupied?	Full-time	Occasio	onally	Seldom	Almost Never
Level	<u>General Use of Each</u>	Floor (e.g., f	familyroom.	, bedroo	m, laundry, wo	orkshop, storage)
Basement						
1 <sup>st</sup> Floor						
2 <sup>nd</sup> Floor						
3 <sup>rd</sup> Floor						
4 <sup>th</sup> Floor						
8. FACTORS	THAT MAY INFLUE	NCE INDOC	OR AIR QU	ALITY		
a. Is there a	n attached garage?			l	ŴN	
b. Does the g	garage have a separate	heating unit	?		Y NN NA	
c. Are petro stored in t	leum-powered machin he garage (e.g., lawnm	es or vehicles ower, atv, car	V	6	Ƴ ∕ N / NA Please specify_	Cor
d. Has the b	uilding ever had a fire	?			Y / NWhen?	
e. Is a kerose	ene or unvented gas sp	ace heater pr	esent?		Y / N Where?	)
f. Is there a	workshop or hobby/cr	aft area?		Y / ₩	Where & Type?	?
g. Is there sn	noking in the building	?		Y/N	How frequently	?
h. Have clea	ning products been us	ed recently?		YN	When & Type?	

		recently.	( YN	When & Ty	be?
		5			
j. Has painting/st	aining been done in	the last 6 mo	nths? (Y)N	Where & W	hen?uly
k. Is there new ca	arpet, drapes or oth	er textiles?	Y (N	) Where & W	hen?
l. Have air freshe	eners been used rece	ently?	Y / K	) When & Tyj	oe?
m. Is there a kitc	hen exhaust fan?		Y N	) If yes, where	e vented?
n. Is there a batl	1100m exhaust fan?		(Y) N	If yes, where	e vented?
o. Is there a cloth	es dryer?		(Y)/ N	If yes, is it v	ented outside? Y / N
p. Has there beer	ı a pesticide applica	tion?	Y/N	When & Ty	oe?
Are there odors i	n the building? scribe:		YN		
If yes, what types If yes, are their clo	of solvents are used? othes washed at work	?	Y / N		
If yes, what types If yes, are their clo <b>Do any of the build</b> response) Yes, use dry Yes, use dry Yes, work at	of solvents are used? othes washed at work ing occupants regul -cleaning regularly ( -cleaning infrequentl a dry-cleaning servi	arly use or wo weekly) y (monthly or(	Y / N ork at a dry-clea	aning service: No Unknown	? (Circle appropriate
If yes, what types If yes, are their ck Do any of the build response) Yes, use dry Yes, use dry Yes, work at there a radon min to the system active	of solvents are used? othes washed at work ing occupants regul -cleaning regularly ( -cleaning infrequent a dry-cleaning servi tigation system for t or passive?	arly use or wo weekly) y (monthly or ce the building/st Active/Passive	Y / N ork at a dry-clea	ning service? No Unknown Date of Insta	Circle appropriate
If yes, what types If yes, are their clo Do any of the build response) Yes, use dry Yes, use dry Yes, work at is there a radon min is the system active D. WATER AND SI	of solvents are used? othes washed at work ing occupants regul -cleaning regularly ( -cleaning infrequentl a dry-cleaning servi tigation system for to or passive?	arly use or wo weekly) y (monthly or ce the building/st Active/Passive	Y / N ork at a dry-clea less) tructure? Y N	Aning service: No Unknown Date of Insta	(Circle appropriate
If yes, what types If yes, are their clo Do any of the build esponse) Yes, use dry Yes, use dry Yes, work at s there a radon min s the system active WATER AND SI Water Supply:	of solvents are used? othes washed at work ing occupants regul -cleaning regularly ( -cleaning infrequentl a dry-cleaning servi tigation system for to or passive?	arly use or wo weekly) y (monthly or ce the building/st Active/Passive	Y / N ork at a dry-clea less tructure? Y / N Driven Well	ning service? No Unknown Date of Insta	Circle appropriate
If yes, what types If yes, are their clo Do any of the build response) Yes, use dry Yes, use dry Yes, use dry Yes, work at s there a radon min s the system active D. WATER AND SI Water Supply: Sewage Disposal:	of solvents are used? othes washed at work ing occupants regul -cleaning regularly ( -cleaning infrequentl : a dry-cleaning servi tigation system for to or passive?	arly use or wo weekly) y (monthly or ce the building/st Active/Passive Drilled Well Septic Tank	Y / N ork at a dry-clea less) cructure? Y N Driven Well Leach Field	Aning service? No Unknown Date of Insta Dug Well Dry Well	Circle appropriate
If yes, what types If yes, are their clo Do any of the build esponse) Yes, use dry Yes, use dry Yes, work at s there a radon min s the system active WATER AND SI Water Supply: Sewage Disposal: 0. RELOCATION	of solvents are used? othes washed at work ing occupants regul -cleaning regularly ( -cleaning infrequentl a dry-cleaning servi tigation system for to or passive? EWAGE Public Water Public Sewer	arly use or wo weekly) y (monthly or ce the building/st Active/Passive Drilled Well Septic Tank for oil spil]-res	Y / N ork at a dry-clea Less Tructure? Y / N Driven Well Leach Field	ning service? No Unknown Date of Insta Dug Well Dry Well ency)	Circle appropriate

c. Responsibility for costs associated with reimbursement explained? Y / N

d. Relocation package provided and explained to residents?

Y/N

6

### **11. FLOOR PLANS**

Draw a plan view sketch of the basement and first floor of the building. Indicate air sampling locations, possible indoor air pollution sources and PID meter readings. If the building does not have a basement, please note.

## **Basement:**



Draw a sketch of the area surrounding the building being sampled. If applicable, provide information on spill locations, potential air contamination sources (industries, gas stations, repair shops, landfills, etc.), outdoor air sampling location(s) and PID meter readings.

Also indicate compass direction, wind direction and speed during sampling, the locations of the well and septic system, if applicable, and a qualifying statement to help locate the site on a topographic map.



#### **13. PRODUCT INVENTORY FORM**

Make & Model of field instrument used:

List specific products found in the residence that have the potential to affect indoor air quality.

Location	Product Description	Size (units)	Condition <sup>*</sup>	Chemical Ingredients	Field Instrument Reading (units)	Photo ** <u>Y / N</u>
Kitcion	Dapter Insulity	12	Good			
K	Minunery street	Le	6000			
K	Arveri cais itimer valu	ly	Good			
16	A pives Value Glues Claure	33	Good			
K	Clary Black	96	lover			

\* Describe the condition of the product containers as **Unopened (UO)**, **Used (U)**, or **Deteriorated (D)** \*\* Photographs of the **front and back** of product containers can replace the handwritten list of chemical ingredients. However, the photographs must be of good quality and ingredient labels must be legible.

NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH INDOOR AIR QUALITY QUESTIONNAIRE AND BUILDING INVENTORY CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH
This form must be completed for each residence involved in indoor air testing.
Preparer's Name Roberts Mine Date/Time Prepared 11/20 530p.A. Preparer's Affiliation Atlantic Richfield Phone No. 314 367 8082
Purpose of Investigation ") Ndwn an
1. OCCUPANT:
Interviewed: (Y) N
Last Name: First Name:
Address: 77 Steele Civelo
County: <u>Nagara</u>
Home Phone: Office Phone:
Number of Occupants/persons at this location _/ Age of Occupants
2. OWNER OR LANDLORD: (Check if same as occupant
Interviewed: Y/N
Last Name:First Name:
Address:
County:
Home Phone: Office Phone:
3. BUILDING CHARACTERISTICS
Type of Building: (Circle appropriate response)
ResidentialSchoolCommercial/Multi-useIndustrialChurchOther:

in the property is residential, type: (Chele appropriate response	If the property is	residential, type?	(Circle appropria	te response)
---	--------------------	--------------------	-------------------	--------------

(Ranch )	2-Family	3-Fami	ly
Raised Ranch	Split Level	Colonia	al
Cape Cod	Contemporary	Mobile	Home
Duplex	Apartment House	Townh	ouses/Condos
Modular	Log Home	Other:_	
If multiple units, how many?			
If the property is commercial,	, type?		
Business Type(s)	oransia i		
Does it include residences (	(i.e., multi-use)? Y	′/N	If yes, how many?
Other characteristics:			
Number of floors	В	uilding age	
Is the building insulated? Y	/ N H	ow air tight?	Tight / Average / Not Tight

# 4. AIRFLOW

Use air current tubes or tracer smoke to evaluate airflow patterns and qualitatively describe:

irflow between floors
irflow near source
atdoor air infiltration
filtration into air ducts

# 5. BASEMENT AND CONSTRUCTION CHARACTERISTICS (Circle all that apply)

	and the second se			
a. Above grade constructio	n: wood frame	concrete	stone	brick
b. Basement type:	full	crawlspace	slab	other
c. Basement floor:	concrete	dirt	stone	other
d. Basement floor:	uncovered	covered	covered with _	
e. Concrete floor:	unsealed	sealed	sealed with	
f. Foundation walls:	poured	block	stone	other
g. Foundation walls:	unsealed	sealed	sealed with	
h. The basement is:	wet	damp	dry	moldy
i. The basement is:	finished	unfinished	partially finish	ed
j. Sump present?	Y / N			
k. Water in sump?	Y / N / not applicable			

Basement/Lowest level depth below grade: \_\_\_\_\_(feet)

Identify potential soil vapor entry points and approximate size (e.g., cracks, utility ports, drains)

Sewer/ water Kitchen, Baths, laundary Orain nole - A/C

# 6. HEATING, VENTING and AIR CONDITIONING (Circle all that apply)

# Type of heating system(s) used in this building: (circle all that apply - note primary)

Hot air circulation Space-Heaters Electric baseboard	Heat pump Stream radiation Wood stove	Hot water baseboard Radiant floor Outdoor wood boiler	Other
The primary type of fuel used is:			
Natural Gas Electric Wood	Fuel Oil Propane Coal	Kerosene Solar	
Domestic hot water tank fueled by: _	hatural	Gas_	
Boiler/furnace located in: Basem	ient Outdoors	Main Floor	Other

Are there air distribution ducts present?

(Y)N

Describe the supply and cold air return ductwork, and its condition where visible, including whether there is a cold air return and the tightness of duct joints. Indicate the locations on the floor plan diagram.

7. OCCUPANCY

Is basement/lo	west level occupied? Full-time O	ccasionally	Seldom	Almost Never
Level	General Use of Each Floor (e.g., family	room, bedro	oom, laundry, wo	rkshop, storage)
Basement				
I <sup>st</sup> Floor	Kitchen, laundry, li	VING,	bedrooms,	Storage
2 <sup>nd</sup> Floor				
3 <sup>rd</sup> Floor				
4 <sup>th</sup> Floor				
8. FACTORS	THAT MAY INFLUENCE INDOOR AII	R QUALITY	(	
a. Is there ar	attached garage?		(Y)N	
b. Does the g	arage have a separate heating unit?		Y)N/NA	
c. Are petrol stored in t	eum-powered machines or vehicles he garage (e.g., lawnmower, atv, car)		Y / N/NA Please specify_	
d. Has the bu	uilding ever had a fire?		Y / When?_	
e. Is a kerose	ne or unvented gas space heater present?		Y /N Where?	
f. Is there a v	vorkshop or hobby/craft area?	Y/N	Where & Type?	
g. Is there sn	oking in the building?	(Y) N	How frequently?	
h. Have clear	ing products been used recently?	(Y) N	When & Type?	Commun havelold

i. Have cosmetic products been used recently?	(Y)N	When & Type	?
5			Into sourcel
j. Has painting/staining been done in the last 6 mont	hs? Y	Where & Whe	n? Summer
k. Is there new carpet, drapes or other textiles?	Y/N	Where & Whe	n? <u>Spriwez</u>
l. Have air fresheners been used recently?	(Y) N	When & Type	?_Sprang
m. Is there a kitchen exhaust fan?	(Y) N	If yes, where v	rented?
n. Is there a bathroom exhaust fan?	<u>(Y</u> /N	If yes, where v	rented?
o. Is there a clothes dryer?	Y	If yes, is it ven	ted outside? Y / N
p. Has there been a pesticide application?	Y/N	When & Type	?
Are there odors in the building? If yes, please describe: <u>Smolle</u>	(Y)N		
<b>Do any of the building occupants use solvents at work?</b> (e.g., chemical manufacturing or laboratory, auto mechani boiler mechanic, pesticide application, cosmetologist	Y /N	) shop, painting,	fuel oil delivery,
If yes, what types of solvents are used?			
If yes, are their clothes washed at work?	Y / N		
<b>Do any of the building occupants regularly use or work</b> response)	k at a dry-clea	ning service? (	Circle appropriate
Yes, use dry-cleaning regularly (weekly) Ves, use dry-cleaning infrequently (monthly or les Yes, work at a dry-cleaning service	ss) _ ha	No Unknown H Sterv	
Is there a radon mitigation system for the building/stru Is the system active or passive? Active/Passive	icture? YN	)Date of Installa	ation:
9. WATER AND SEWAGE			
Water Supply: Public Water Drilled Well D	Driven Well	Dug Well	Other:
Sewage Disposal: Public Sewer Septic Tank L	Leach Field	Dry Well	Other:
10. RELOCATION INFORMATION (for oil spill resid	lential emerge	ency)	
a. Provide reasons why relocation is recommended	;_/		
<b>b. Residents choose to:</b> remain in home relocate	to friends/fami	ily relocat	e to hotel/motel

c. Responsibility for costs associated with reimbursement explained?	Y / N
d. Relocation package provided and explained to residents?	Y / N

## **11. FLOOR PLANS**

Draw a plan view sketch of the basement and first floor of the building. Indicate air sampling locations, possible indoor air pollution sources and PID meter readings. If the building does not have a basement, please note.

## **Basement:**



## **First Floor:**



Draw a sketch of the area surrounding the building being sampled. If applicable, provide information on spill locations, potential air contamination sources (industries, gas stations, repair shops, landfills, etc.), outdoor air sampling location(s) and PID meter readings.

Also indicate compass direction, wind direction and speed during sampling, the locations of the well and septic system, if applicable, and a qualifying statement to help locate the site on a topographic map.



#### **13. PRODUCT INVENTORY FORM**

Make & Model of field instrument used: \_\_\_\_\_

List specific products found in the residence that have the potential to affect indoor air quality.

Location	Product Description	Size (units)	Condition <sup>*</sup>	Chemical Ingredients	Field Instrument Reading (units)	Photo ** <u>Y / N</u>
Canady	Clovox Bleech		Good			
14 John	•		5			
V	Craffer Gold	-				
	Comet				:	
	Suw-Piwe					
	Behold					
	Orange Glo					
	Zep Degreases	:	V			
	-,		*			
	·····					

\* Describe the condition of the product containers as **Unopened (UO)**, **Used (U)**, or **Deteriorated (D)** \*\* Photographs of the **front and back** of product containers can replace the handwritten list of chemical ingredients. However, the photographs must be of good quality and ingredient labels must be legible.

N INDOOR AIR	EW YORK STA QUALITY QUE CENTER FOR	TE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH STIONNAIRE AND BUILDING INVENTORY ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH
This form	must be complete	d for each residence involved in indoor air testing.
Preparer's Name		Date/Time Prepared
Preparer's Affiliation		Phone No
Purpose of Investigation		
1. OCCUPANT:		
Interviewed: YN	for	Privacy
Last Name:	F	irst Name:
Address:		
County:		
Home Phone:	Office	Phone:
Number of Occupants/persor	ns at this location	Age of Occupants
2. OWNER OR LANDLOI	<b>RD:</b> (Check if sar	ne as occupant )
Interviewed: Y)N		
Last Name:	Fir	st Name:
Address:7 S	S teele	Circle
County: Niagara	<u>×</u>	
Home Phone:	Office	e Phone:
3. BUILDING CHARACT	ERISTICS	
Type of Building: (Circle ap	propriate respons	e)
Residential	School Church	Commercial/Multi-use Other:

.

5

.

If the property is residential, type? (Circle appropriate response)

Ranch Raised Ranch Cape Cod Duplex Modular	2-Family Split Level Contemporary Apartment Hous Log Home	3-Fami Coloni Mobile se Townh Other:	ly al Home ouses/Condos
If multiple units, how many?			
If the property is commercial,	, type?		
Business Type(s)	,		
Does it include residences (	(i.e., multi-use)?	Y / N	If yes, how many?
Other characteristics:			
Number of floors		Building age	
Is the building insulated? Y	/ N	How air tight?	Tight / Average / Not Tight

# 4. AIRFLOW

Use air current tubes or tracer smoke to evaluate airflow patterns and qualitatively describe:

Airflow between floors			
	X		
Airflow near source	X		
	/.	 damaanaa ahaa ahaa ahaa ahaa ahaa ahaa ah	
Outdoor air infiltration			
Infiltration into air ducts			

# 5. BASEMENT AND CONSTRUCTION CHARACTERISTICS (Circle all that apply)

a. Above grade construction	on: wood frame	concrete	stone	brick
b. Basement type:	full	crawlspace	slab	other
c. Basement floor:	concrete	dirt	stone	other
d. Basement floor:	uncovered	covered	covered with _	
e. Concrete floor:	unsealed	sealed	sealed with	
f. Foundation walls:	poured	block	stone	other
g. Foundation walls:	unsealed	sealed	sealed with	
h. The basement is:	wet	damp	dry	moldy
i. The basement is:	finished	unfinished	partially finish	ed
j. Sump present?	Y/N			
k. Water in sump?	Y / N / not applicable			
Basement/Lowest level depth below grade:(feet)				

Identify potential soil vapor entry points and approximate size (e.g., cracks, utility ports, drains)

## 6. HEATING, VENTING and AIR CONDITIONING (Circle all that apply)

Type of heating system(s) used in this building: (circle all that apply – note primary)

Hot air circulation Space Heaters Electric baseboard	Heat pump Stream radiation Wood stove	Hot water baseboard Radiant floor Outdoor wood boiler	Other
The primary type of fuel used is:			
Natural Gas Electric Wood	Fuel Oil Propane Coal	Kerosene Solar	
Domestic hot water tank fueled by:	watural	Sis	
Boiler/furnace located in: Base	ement Outdoors	Main Floor	Other

Are there air distribution ducts present? Y / N

Describe the supply and cold air return ductwork, and its condition where visible, including whether there is a cold air return and the tightness of duct joints. Indicate the locations on the floor plan diagram.

7. OCCUPANCY

Is basement/lo	owest level occupied?	Full-time	Occasionally	Seldom	Almost Never
Level	General Use of Each	Floor (e.g., fam	ilyroom, bedro	om, laundry, wo	orkshop, storage)
Basement					
1 <sup>st</sup> Floor					
2 <sup>nd</sup> Floor					
3 <sup>rd</sup> Floor	<b></b>				
4 <sup>th</sup> Floor					
8. FACTORS	THAT MAY INFLUE	NCE INDOOR	AIR QUALITY	7	
a. Is there a	n attached garage?			(Y)N	
b. Does the	garage have a separate	heating unit?		Y / NA	
c. Are petro stored in	leum-powered machin the garage (e.g., lawnm	es or vehicles lower, atv, car)		Y (N) NA Please specify_	
d. Has the b	uilding ever had a fire	?		Y N When?	
e. Is a keros	ene or unvented gas sp	ace heater prese	nt?	Y/N Where?	
f. Is there a	workshop or hobby/cr	aft area?	Y/N	)Where & Type?	)
g. Is there s	moking in the building	?	Y / N	How frequently	?
h. Have clea	ning products been us	ed recently?	Ω/N	When & Type?	Normal houshold

<b>i.</b> ]	Have	cosmetic	products	been	used	recently?
-------------	------	----------	----------	------	------	-----------

Y (N) When & Type? \_\_\_\_\_

	$\frown$			
j. Has painting/staining been done in the last 6 months?		Where & When?	***********	-
k. Is there new carpet, drapes or other textiles?	(Y) N V	Where & When?	Summer	June/Joly
I. Have air fresheners been used recently?	(Y)/N V	When & Type? _	Glade play	som
m. Is there a kitchen exhaust fan?	Y/N I	f yes, where ven	ted?	-
n. Is there a bathroom exhaust fan?		f yes, where ven	ted?	-
o. Is there a clothes dryer?	Ýn i	f yes, is it vented	l outside? Y/ N	
p. Has there been a pesticide application?	Y/N V	When & Type?		
Are there odors in the building? If yes, please describe: <u>Ave freshen</u>	Y/N			
<b>Do any of the building occupants use solvents at work?</b> (e.g., chemical manufacturing or laboratory, auto mechanic o boiler mechanic, pesticide application, cosmetologist	Y (N) or auto body sl	hop, painting, fu	el oil delivery,	
If yes, what types of solvents are used?				
If yes, are their clothes washed at work?	Y / N			
Do any of the building occupants regularly use or work at response)	t a dry-cleani	ing service? (Cir	cle appropriate	
Yes, use dry-cleaning regularly (weekly) Yes, use dry-cleaning infrequently (monthly or less) Yes, work at a dry-cleaning service	C <sup>1</sup>	Vo Jnknown		
Is there a radon mitigation system for the building/structure is the system active or passive? Active/Passive	ure? YNI	Date of Installation	on:	
9. WATER AND SEWAGE				
Water Supply: Public Water Drilled Well Driv	ven Well I	Dug Well C	)ther:	
Sewage Disposal: Public Sewer Septic Tank Lea	ch Field I	Ory Well C	Other:	
10. RELOCATION INFORMATION (for oil spill residen	itial emergen	ey)		
a. Provide reasons why relocation is recommended:			01991a	
b. Residents choose to: remain in home relocate to	friends/family	relocate t	o hotel/motel	

c. Responsibility for costs associated with reimbursement explained? Y / N

d. Relocation package provided and explained to residents? Y / N

6

## **11. FLOOR PLANS**

Draw a plan view sketch of the basement and first floor of the building. Indicate air sampling locations, possible indoor air pollution sources and PID meter readings. If the building does not have a basement, please note.

### **Basement:**



## **First Floor:**



#### **12. OUTDOOR PLOT**

Draw a sketch of the area surrounding the building being sampled. If applicable, provide information on spill locations, potential air contamination sources (industries, gas stations, repair shops, landfills, etc.), outdoor air sampling location(s) and PID meter readings.

Also indicate compass direction, wind direction and speed during sampling, the locations of the well and septic system, if applicable, and a qualifying statement to help locate the site on a topographic map.



Fenceline

#### **13. PRODUCT INVENTORY FORM**

Make & Model of field instrument used: \_\_\_\_\_

List specific products found in the residence that have the potential to affect indoor air quality.

Location	Product Description	Size (units)	Condition <sup>*</sup>	Chemical Ingredients	Field Instrument Reading (units)	Photo ** <u>Y / N</u>
K	Piwe-Sno		Give	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	Shout	)				
	Concodi					
	Febreze	1				
	Johnson was	1				
	555 Librid Sum	1				
	Franky wal well	3				
	SSS Babron Che	3				
	O I way Blench	3				
	F-ebrere	2				
	SSS insectionally	3				
	Schuse with End Bac III	3				
	SSS LIGNIS	2				
	Johnson way Tomini Siter Anna	1				
B	End Bacill	2				
	Febresi	1				
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		•			

\* Describe the condition of the product containers as **Unopened (UO)**, **Used (U)**, or **Deteriorated (D)** \*\* Photographs of the **front and back** of product containers can replace the handwritten list of chemical ingredients. However, the photographs must be of good quality and ingredient labels must be legible.

.

NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH INDOOR AIR QUALITY QUESTIONNAIRE AND BUILDING INVENTORY CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH				
This form must be completed for each residence involved in indoor air testing.				
Preparer's Name Robert Miner Date/Time Prepared 11/20/08- 9am				
Preparer's Affiliation <u>A+lantic Richfield Contractor</u> Phone No. <u>314.367.808</u> 2				
Purpose of Investigation Indua Air Sampling				
1. OCCUPANT:				
Interviewed (y)/N				
Last Name: First Name:				
Address: 73 Steele Circle				
County: <u>Niagara</u> Ceil Home Phone: Office Phone:				
Number of Occupants/persons at this location $\square \mathcal{R}$ Age of Occupants				
2. OWNER OR LANDLORD: (Check if same as occupant				
Interviewed: Y / N				
Last Name: First Name:				
Address:				
County:				
Home Phone: Office Phone:				
3. BUILDING CHARACTERISTICS				
Type of Building: (Circle appropriate response)				
ResidentialSchoolCommercial/Multi-useIndustrialChurchOther:				

If the property	is residential,	type? (Circle	appropriate response)	

X X P			
Ranch	2-Family	3-Family	
Raised Ranch	Split Level	Colonial	
Cape Cod	Contemporary	Mobile Home	
Duplex	Apartment House	Townhouses/Condos	
Modular	Log Home	Other:	
IVIOLUIUI	EGG Home		
If multiple units, how many	?		
If the property is commerce	al, type?		
Business Type(s)			
Does it include residence	es (i.e., multi-use)? Y /	N If yes, how many?	17474-512
Other characteristics:			
Number of floors/	Buil	ding age $30^+$	
Is the building insulated?	Y/N How	air tight? Tight / Average Not Ti	ght
4. AIRFLOW			
Use air current tubes or tra	cer smoke to evaluate :	airflow patterns and qualitatively o	describe:
Airflow between floors			
	)		
Airflow near source			
,			

Outdoor air infiltration

Infiltration into air ducts

# 5. BASEMENT AND CONSTRUCTION CHARACTERISTICS (Circle all that apply)

a. Above grade construction:	wood frame	concrete	stone	brick
b. Basement type:	full	crawlspace	slab	other
c. Basement floor:	concrete	dirt	stone	other
d. Basement floor:	uncovered	covered	covered with _	
e. Concrete floor:	unsealed	sealed	sealed with	
f. Foundation walls:	poured	block	stone	other
g. Foundation walls:	unsealed	sealed	sealed with	
h. The basement is:	wet	damp	dry	moldy
i. The basement is:	finished	unfinished	partially finish	ed
j. Sump present?	Y / N			
k. Water in sump? Y	/ N / not applicable			

Basement/Lowest level depth below grade: \_\_\_\_\_(feet)

Identify potential soil vapor entry points and approximate size (e.g., cracks, utility ports, drains)

Watter	Sewer-	Kitchen,	2	baths.	laundry	
drain	hole -	HVAC UN	~i`+	/		

# 6. HEATING, VENTING and AIR CONDITIONING (Circle all that apply)

## Type of heating system(s) used in this building: (circle all that apply – note primary)

Hot air circulation Space Heaters Electric baseboard	Heat pump Stream radiation Wood stove	Hot water baseboard Radiant floor Outdoor wood boiler	Other
The primary type of fuel used is:			
Natural Gas Electric Wood	Fuel Oil Propane Coal	Kerosene Solar	
Domestic hot water tank fueled by: _	natural	gas	
Boiler/furnace located in: Basem	ent Outdoors (	Main Floor	Other

Are there air distribution ducts present?

Describe the supply and cold air return ductwork, and its condition where visible, including whether there is a cold air return and the tightness of duct joints. Indicate the locations on the floor plan diagram.

7. OCCUPANCY

Is basement/lo	owest level occupied?	Full-time	Occasionally	Seldom	Almost Never
Level	<b>General Use of Each</b>	Floor (e.g., f	amilyroom, bedro	oom, laundry, v	vorkshop, storage)
Basement 1 <sup>st</sup> Floor 2 <sup>nd</sup> Floor 3 <sup>rd</sup> Floor 4 <sup>th</sup> Floor	family ro	num, Z	3 bedroom	s, taund	- - - -

# 8. FACTORS THAT MAY INFLUENCE INDOOR AIR QUALITY

a. Is there an attached garage?	(Y)N					
b. Does the garage have a separate heating unit?	YNNA					
c. Are petroleum-powered machines or vehicles stored in the garage (e.g., lawnmower, atv, car)	YN/NA Please specify Cov					
d. Has the building ever had a fire?	Y (N) When?					
e. Is a kerosene or unvented gas space heater present?	Y /N Where?					
f. Is there a workshop or hobby/craft area?	Y /N Where & Type?					
g. Is there smoking in the building?	Y / N How frequently?					
h. Have cleaning products been used recently?	WN When & Type? <u>Common homeword</u>					
i.	Have	cosmetic	products	been	used	recently?
----	------	----------	----------	------	------	-----------

(Y/-N	When & Ty

i. Have cosmetic products	s been used recently?	(Y/N	When & Type	e?	-
	5	i			
j. Has painting/staining b	een done in the last 6 mo	nths? (Y) N	Where & Wh	en? <u>bedroom</u> -	6 months
k. Is there new carpet, dr	apes or other textiles?	Y /N	Where & Wh	en? <u>2005</u> C	ampet
l. Have air fresheners bee	en used recently?	Y / 😡	When & Type	e?	-
m. Is there a kitchen exha	aust fan?	YN	If yes, where	vented?	_
n. Is there a bathroom ex	khaust fan?	(Y)N	If yes, where	vented?	~
o. Is there a clothes dryer	? gas	(Y) N	If yes, is it ve	nted outside? (V/N	
p. Has there been a pestic	ide application?	(Y/N	When & Type	e? <u>spiders</u> -	Marz
Are there odors in the bu If ves. please describe:	ilding?	YN	8		
<b>Do any of the building occu</b> (e.g., chemical manufacturing boiler mechanic, pesticide ap If yes, what types of solver	pants use solvents at wor g or laboratory, auto mecha plication, cosmetologist nts are used?	k? (/_N unic or auto body	) shop, painting	, fuel oil delivery,	·
If yes, are their clothes was	shed at work?	Y / N			
<b>Do any of the building occu</b> response)	pants regularly use or wo	ork at a dry-clea	ning service?	(Circle appropriate	
Yes, use dry-cleaning Yes, use dry-cleaning Yes, work at a dry-cl	g regularly (weekly) g infrequently (monthly or eaning service	less)	No Unknown#	Care	
Is there a radon mitigation a last the system active or passi	system for the building/st ve? Active/Passive	tructure? Y N	Date of Install	lation:	
9. WATER AND SEWAGE					
Water Supply: (Publi	ic Water Drilled Well	Driven Well	Dug Well	Other:	
Sewage Disposal: Publi	ic Sewer Septic Tank	Leach Field	Dry Well	Other:	
10. RELOCATION INFOR	MATION (for oil spill re	sidential emerge	ency)		
a. Provide reasons why	relocation is recommende	ed:			
b. Residents choose to: r	remain in home relocat	te to friends/fami	ly reloca	ate to hotel/motel	

c. Responsibility for costs associated with reimbursement explained? Y/Nd. Relocation package provided and explained to residents? Y/N

# **11. FLOOR PLANS**

Draw a plan view sketch of the basement and first floor of the building. Indicate air sampling locations, possible indoor air pollution sources and PID meter readings. If the building does not have a basement, please note.

#### **Basement:**



#### **12. OUTDOOR PLOT**

Draw a sketch of the area surrounding the building being sampled. If applicable, provide information on spill locations, potential air contamination sources (industries, gas stations, repair shops, landfills, etc.), outdoor air sampling location(s) and PID meter readings.

Also indicate compass direction, wind direction and speed during sampling, the locations of the well and septic system, if applicable, and a qualifying statement to help locate the site on a topographic map.



Backupad Fence

#### **13. PRODUCT INVENTORY FORM**

Make & Model of field instrument used:

List specific products found in the residence that have the potential to affect indoor air quality.

Location	Product Description	Size (units)	Condition <sup>*</sup>	Chemical Ingredients	Field Instrument Reading (units)	Photo ** <u>¥ / N</u>
Kitche	Wublike Petoxygu	~_	Good			
	Windley					
	Clim rex Toilet Bow					
	Tiley			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	409					
	OXY Clean Ballon	,				
	Comet					
	Spic N Span					
M	Palmolius Digh					
	Stainless Ste Cerama Bry Le	ч 				
	Electrosol					
	Drano Kitdu					
	Citra-All					
	Resolve corpet		$\mathbb{V}$			
	Pravo Liquid					
Canoby	OxiMagic					
	Tide					
	Bleach					
	Spray-N-Well	-				

 B
 G
 I

 \* Describe the condition of the product containers as Unopened (UO), Used (U), or Deteriorated (D)

 \*\* Photographs of the front and back of product containers can replace the handwritten list of chemical ingredients. However, the photographs must be of good quality and ingredient labels must be legible.

winder Outdoon

## NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH INDOOR AIR QUALITY QUESTIONNAIRE AND BUILDING INVENTORY CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH

This form must be completed for each residence involved in indoor air testing.

Preparer's Name <u>Ro</u>	bert Miner Date/Time Prep	ared 12/19/08 1pm
Preparer's Affiliation $\underline{A+}$	lanke Pichfield Phone No. 31	4 347 8082
Purpose of Investigation	Indon air	
1. OCCUPANT:		
Interviewed: $( Y ) N$		
Last Name:	First Name:	
Address:	Streele Circle	
County: Niozana		
Home Phone:	Office Phone:	
Number of Occupants/persons	at this location <u>3</u> Age of Occupants	
2. OWNER OR LANDLORI	): (Check if same as occupant)	
Interviewed: Y / N		
Last Name:	First Name:	
Address:	·	
County:		
Home Phone:	Office Phone:	-
3. BUILDING CHARACTE	RISTICS	
Type of Building: (Circle app	ropriate response)	
Residential Industrial	SchoolCommercial/Multi-useChurchOther:	

If the property is residential, type? (Circle appropriate response) (Ranch) 2-Family 3-Family Raised Ranch Split Level Colonial Cape Cod Contemporary Mobile Home Duplex Apartment House Townhouses/Condos Modular Log Home Other:\_\_\_\_ If multiple units, how many? 2 If the property is commercial, type? Business Type(s) \_\_\_\_\_/A If yes, how many? \_\_\_\_\_ Does it include residences (i.e., multi-use)? Y / N **Other characteristics:** Number of floors / Building age Is the building insulated? Y / N How air tight? Tight / Average / Not Tight 4. AIRFLOW Use air current tubes or tracer smoke to evaluate airflow patterns and qualitatively describe: Airflow between floors

Airflow near source	
Dutdoor air infiltration	
nfiltration into air ducts	
	······

2

# 5. BASEMENT AND CONSTRUCTION CHARACTERISTICS (Circle all that apply)

a. Above grade construction	on: wood frame	concrete	stone	brick
b. Basement type:	full	crawlspace	slab	other
c. Basement floor:	concrete	dirt	stone	other
d. Basement floor:	uncovered	covered	covered with	
e. Concrete floor:	unsealed	sealed	sealed with	
f. Foundation walls:	poured	block	stone	other
g. Foundation walls:	unsealed	sealed	sealed with	
h. The basement is:	wet	damp	dry	moldy
i. The basement is:	finished	unfinished	partially finisl	ned
j. Sump present?	Y / N			
k. Water in sump?	Y / N / not applicable			

Basement/Lowest level depth below grade: \_\_\_\_\_(feet)

Identify potential soil vapor entry points and approximate size (e.g., cracks, utility ports, drains)

drain for HVAC, 2 bathrooms/ Kitchen/laundry-Water/server lines

#### 6. HEATING, VENTING and AIR CONDITIONING (Circle all that apply)

Type of heating system(s) used in this building: (circle all that apply – note primary)

Hot air circulation Space Heaters Electric baseboard	Heat pump Stream radiation Wood stove	Hot water baseboard Radiant floor Outdoor wood boiler	Other
The primary type of fuel used is:			
Natural Gas Electric Wood	Fuel Oil Propane Coal	Kerosene Solar	
Domestic hot water tank fueled by:	upatival of	67	
Boiler furnace ocated in: Baseme	ent Outdoors	Main Floor	Other

Air conditioning:

4

Are there air distribution ducts present? Y / N

Describe the supply and cold air return ductwork, and its condition where visible, including whether there is a cold air return and the tightness of duct joints. Indicate the locations on the floor plan diagram.

pe	r room	+ 2	in li	ing	room
	<u> </u>				
7. OCCUPAN	NCY				
Is basement/lov	west level occupied?	Full-time	Occasionally	Seldom	Almost Never
Level	General Use of Each	Floor (e.g., far	nilyroom, bedro	oom, laundry,	, workshop, storage)
Basement					
1 <sup>st</sup> Floor	family /3	hedroon	15/laund	m/Kite	Inf storage
2 <sup>nd</sup> Floor	L		1	-	
3 <sup>rd</sup> Floor					
4 <sup>th</sup> Floor					
8. FACTORS	THAT MAY INFLUE	NCE INDOOR	AIR QUALITY	Ŷ	
a. Is there an	attached garage?			YIN	
b. Does the g	arage have a separate	heating unit?		Y ∕€NA	
c. Are petrol stored in t	eum-powered machine he garage (e.g., lawnm	es or vehicles ower, atv, car)	(	YN/NA Please speci	fy_Cor
d. Has the bu	uilding ever had a fire	?		Y / N Whe	en?
e. Is a kerose	ne or unvented gas sp	ace heater pres	ent?	Y/ Whe	ere?
f. Is there a v	vorkshop or hobby/cra	aft area?	Y / Y	) Where & Ty	/pe?
g. Is there sn	oking in the building	?	YIN	How freque	ntly?
h. Have clear	ning products been use	ed recently?	(Y) N	When & Ty	pe? <u>pormal</u> , everyda

i. Have cosmetic	products been used recently?	(Y/N	When & Type	e?
	5			
j. Has painting/s	taining been done in the last 6 mor	nths? Y / N	) Where & Wh	en?
k. Is there new c	arpet, drapes or other textiles?	(Y) N	Where & Wh	en?
l. Have air fresh	eners been used recently?	<b>V</b> N	When & Type	? <u>Sprang</u>
m. Is there a kito	chen exhaust fan?	(Y)N	If yes, where	vented? robf
n. Is there a bat	hroom exhaust fan?	() / N	If yes, where	vented? rout
o. Is there a clotl	hes dryer?	(Y) N	If yes, is it ve	nted outside  N
p. Has there bee	n a pesticide application?	Y/N	When & Type	e?
<b>Are there odors</b> If yes, please de	in the building? scribe: two	<u>(</u> у)/ N		
<b>Do any of the build</b> (e.g., chemical man boiler mechanic, per	ling occupants use solvents at work ufacturing or laboratory, auto mecha sticide application, cosmetologist	k? Y / N nic or auto body	) shop, painting	, fuel oil delivery,
If yes, what types	of solvents are used?			
If yes, are their cl	othes washed at work?	Y / N		
<b>Do any of the build</b> response)	ling occupants regularly use or wo	rk at a dry-clea	ning service?	(Circle appropriate
Yes, use dry Yes, use dry Yes, work a	y-cleaning regularly (weekly) y-cleaning infrequently (monthly or t a dry-cleaning service	ESS) ~ c	No Unknown urtaiws	about 6 week
Is there a radon m Is the system active	itigation system for the building/st e or passive? Active/Passive	ructure? Y / N	Date of Instal	latión:
9. WATER AND S	EWAGE			
Water Supply:	Public Water Drilled Well	Driven Well	Dug Well	Other:
Sewage Disposal:	Public Sewer Septic Tank	Leach Field	Dry Well	Other:
10. RELOCATION	NINFORMATION (for oil spill re	sidential emerg	ency)	
a. Provide reas	ons why relocation is recommende	ed:		
b. Residents ch	oose to: remain in home relocat	te to friends/fam	ily reloca	ate to hotel/motel

#### **13. PRODUCT INVENTORY FORM**

Make & Model of field instrument used: \_\_\_\_\_

List specific products found in the residence that have the potential to affect indoor air quality.

Location	Product Description	Size (units)	Condition <sup>*</sup>	Chemical Ingredients	Field Instrument Reading (units)	Photo ** <u>Y / N</u>
# Lour	1/ Lestoil Stain		good			
	tilex/show					
	Pure Power Broch			·		
	Tido Landny bet			、 		
	X ha laundy bety					
	GOOF OFF Kennen	<b>~</b>				
	Febreze	3	-			
	Freshine Glay Clan					
	Scrubbing Bubble.					
	altand Sport & Stain					
	Glade Africation	Ъ				
·,	Raid					
	CLOVEX Ready					
	Easy-OFF					
	Fluor mate Flow the	402				
	Castor Carpent			- 110- 120-00-0000 Materia		
	CLE					
	Lysof nem					
	aloroy Clean-					

\* Describe the dondition of the product containers as **Unopened (UO)**, **Used (U)**, or **Deteriorated (D)** \*\* Photographs of the **front and back** of product containers can replace the handwritten list of chemical ingredients. However, the photographs must be of good quality and ingredient labels must be legible.

Secbich

BTSA\Sections\SIS\Oil Spills\Guidance Docs\Aiproto4.doc

Draw a sketch of the area surrounding the building being sampled. If applicable, provide information on spill locations, potential air contamination sources (industries, gas stations, repair shops, landfills, etc.), outdoor air sampling location(s) and PID meter readings.

Also indicate compass direction, wind direction and speed during sampling, the locations of the well and septic system, if applicable, and a qualifying statement to help locate the site on a topographic map.



\$

c. Responsibility for costs associated with reimbursement explained?	Y / N
d. Relocation package provided and explained to residents?	Y / N

## **11. FLOOR PLANS**

Draw a plan view sketch of the basement and first floor of the building. Indicate air sampling locations, possible indoor air pollution sources and PID meter readings. If the building does not have a basement, please note.

## **Basement:**



# **First Floor:**



NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH INDOOR AIR QUALITY QUESTIONNAIRE AND BUILDING INVENTORY CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH
This form must be completed for each residence involved in indoor air testing.
Preparer's Name <u>Robert Miner</u> Date/Time Prepared <u>9:30</u>
Preparer's Affiliation Phone No
Purpose of Investigation Indoor Air Sample
1. OCCUPANT:
Interviewed: Y / N
Last Name: First Name:
Address: 67 Steele Circle
County: <u>Niagara</u>
Home Phone: Office Phone:
Number of Occupants/persons at this location Age of Occupants
2. OWNER OR LANDLORD: (Check if same as occupant)
Interviewed: (y)/ N
Last Name: First Name:
Address:
County:
Home Phone: Office Phone:
3. BUILDING CHARACTERISTICS

Type of Building: (Circle appropriate response)

Residential

School Church

Commercial/Multi-use
Other: \_\_\_\_\_

If the property is residential, type? (Circle appropriate response)Ranch2-Family3-FamilyRaised RanchSplit LevelColonial

Cape Cod Duplex	Contemporary Apartment House	Mobile Home Townhouses/Cond	OS
Modular	Log Home	Other:	11.0100
If multiple units, how many?			
If the property is commercial,	type?		
Business Type(s)	e en sustance.		
Does it include residences (	(i.e., multi-use)? Y / N	I If yes, how	/ many?
Other characteristics:			
Number of floors/	Build	ling age <u>3</u> 2	
Is the building insulated X	)N How	air tight? Tight / Ave	erage / Not Tight

# 4. AIRFLOW

Use air current tubes or tracer smoke to evaluate airflow patterns and qualitatively describe:

Airflow between floors		
	and the second	 
	and the second	 
Airflow near source		
	$\nearrow$	 
/		 
Outdoor air infiltration		
Infiltration into air ducts		

## 5. BASEMENT AND CONSTRUCTION CHARACTERISTICS (Circle all that apply)

a. Above grade construction	on: wood frame	concrete	stone	brick
b. Basement type:	full	crawlspace	slab	other
c. Basement floor:	concrete	dirt	stone	other
d. Basement floor:	uncovered	covered	covered with	·
e. Concrete floor:	unsealed	sealed	sealed with	
f. Foundation walls:	poured	block	stone	other
g. Foundation walls:	unsealed	sealed	sealed with	
h. The basement is:	wet	damp	dry	moldy
i. The basement is:	finished	unfinished	partially finishe	ed
j. Sump present?	Y(N)			
k. Water in sump?	Y / N / not applicable			

Basement/Lowest level depth below grade: \_\_\_\_\_(feet)

Identify potential soil vapor entry points and approximate size (e.g., cracks, utility ports, drains)

Alc drain 1/2 hob/ Kitchew + bath to server/ Electrical is above-grade

# 6. HEATING, VENTING and AIR CONDITIONING (Circle all that apply)

Type of heating system(s) used in this building: (circle all that apply - note primary)

Hot air circulation Space Heaters Electric baseboard	Heat pump Stream radiation Wood stove	Hot water baseboard Radiant floor Outdoor wood boiler	Other			
The primary type of fuel used is	:					
Natural Gas Electric Wood	Fuel Oil Propane Coal	Kerosene Solar				
Domestic hot water tank fueled by: <u>Alabural</u> gas						
Boiler/furnace located in: E	Basement Outdoors	Main Floor U K II by close	Other			

None

4

Are there air distribution ducts present?

Describe the supply and cold air return ductwork, and its condition where visible, including whether there is a cold air return and the tightness of duct joints. Indicate the locations on the floor plan diagram.

Y/,

<u> </u>	ing, system.	Supply + r	etum)
iΝ	most rooms		
			·
7. OCCUPA	ANCY		
Is basement/l	owest level occupied? Full-time O	Occasionally Seldom	Almost Never
Level	General Use of Each Floor (e.g., family	yroom, bedroom, laundry,	workshop, storage)
Pagamont			
Dasement			
1 <sup>st</sup> Floor	LIVING Space, 1	Litehen, baths	bedrows
2 <sup>nd</sup> Floor			
3 <sup>rd</sup> Floor			
4 <sup>th</sup> Floor		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
8. FACTORS	S THAT MAY INFLUENCE INDOOR AI	IR QUALITY	
a. Is there a	an attached garage?	(Y)N	
b. Does the	garage have a separate heating unit?	Y/N NA	
c. Are petro stored in	bleum-powered machines or vehicles the garage (e.g., lawnmower, atv, car)	(Y)/ N / NA Please specif	y Car
d. Has the l	building ever had a fire?	Y IN Whe	n?

e. Is a kerosene or unvented gas space heater present?

f. Is there a workshop or hobby/craft area?

g. Is there smoking in the building?

h. Have cleaning products been used recently?

Y/N Where? Y/N Where & Type? <u>garage</u> Y/N How frequently? Y/N When & Type? just common wousehold

i. Have cosmetic	products been used	l recently?	YN	When & Type?	
		5			
j. Has painting/st	aining been done i	n the last 6 mo	nths? Y N	7 mowfus Where & Wher	s. whole interior
k. Is there new ca	arpet, drapes or ot	her textiles?	Y/N	Where & Wher	1?
l. Have air freshe	eners been used rec	ently?	(Y)N	When & Type?	
m. Is there a kitc	hen exhaust fan?		(Y) N	If yes, where ve	ented?
n. Is there a bath	room exhaust fan	?	(Y)N	If yes, where ve	ented?
o. Is there a cloth	es dryer?		YN	If yes, is it vent	ted outside? Y / N
p. Has there beer	a pesticide applic	ation?	Ĵ∕N	When & Type?	months, 5months,
Are there odors i If yes, please des	n the building? cribe:		Y (b)	)	1 months.
<b>Do any of the build</b> (e.g., chemical manu boiler mechanic, pes	ing occupants use s facturing or laborat ticide application, c	solvents at worl ory, auto mecha osmetologist	k? Y N nic or auto body	shop, painting,	fuel oil delivery,
If yes, what types	of solvents are used				
If yes, are their clo	othes washed at wor	k?	Y / N		
<b>Do any of the build</b> response)	ing occupants regu	larly use or wo	rk at a dry-clear	ning service? ((	Circle appropriate
Yes, use dry Yes, use dry Yes, work at	-cleaning regularly -cleaning infrequen a dry-cleaning serv	(weekly) tly (monthly or l rice	ess)	No Unknown	
Is there a radon min Is the system active	tigation system for or passive?	the building/st Active/Passive	ructure? Y/N	Date of Installa	tion:
9. WATER AND SI	EWAGE				
Water Supply:	Public Water	Drilled Well	Driven Well	Dug Well	Other:
Sewage Disposal:	Public Sewer	Septic Tank	Leach Field	Dry Well	Other:
10. RELOCATION	INFORMATION	(for oil spill res	idential emerge	ncy)	
a. Provide reaso	ns why relocation	is recommende	d:		
b. Residents cho	ose to: remain in h	ome relocat	e to friends/famil	y relocate	e to hotel/motel

c. Responsibility for costs associated with reimbursement explained?	Y / N
d. Relocation package provided and explained to residents?	Y/N
6	

## **11. FLOOR PLANS**

Draw a plan view sketch of the basement and first floor of the building. Indicate air sampling locations, possible indoor air pollution sources and PID meter readings. If the building does not have a basement, please note.

#### **Basement:**



# **First Floor:**



#### **12. OUTDOOR PLOT**

Draw a sketch of the area surrounding the building being sampled. If applicable, provide information on spill locations, potential air contamination sources (industries, gas stations, repair shops, landfills, etc.), outdoor air sampling location(s) and PID meter readings.

Also indicate compass direction, wind direction and speed during sampling, the locations of the well and septic system, if applicable, and a qualifying statement to help locate the site on a topographic map.



-

#### **13. PRODUCT INVENTORY FORM**

Make & Model of field instrument used:

List specific products found in the residence that have the potential to affect indoor air quality.

Location	Product Description	Size (units)	Condition <sup>*</sup>	Chemical Ingredients	Field Instrument Reading (units)	Photo ** <u>Y / N</u>
Kitchen	Dawn		Good			
	Oxyclean					
	Totally					
	Method deam					
-	Totatilk cun					
	Oxyder					-
	010 butch poundy					
	Mostalo					
	Furnibus Polish					
	Glass Plus					
	Fabriloso					
	Soft Scrub					
	Eary OB					
	Powerhowy Glan alen					
	lestour?	2807		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	Line-hung				-	
	Current Fuch	202				
	Dirt Ry dem	402.	V			•

\* Describe the condition of the product containers as **Unopened (UO)**, **Used (U)**, or **Deteriorated (D)** \*\* Photographs of the **front and back** of product containers can replace the handwritten list of chemical ingredients. However, the photographs must be of good quality and ingredient labels must be legible.

Aladran outspart 802 Out! at Sures PINESO Scrubbing Bubbles Mist + Goo Shower The works Torlet Burnd Clen

NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH
INDOOR AIR QUALITY QUESTIONNAIRE AND BUILDING INVENTORY
CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH

This form must be completed for each residence involved in indoor air testing.

Preparer's Name $Rob$ Preparer's Affiliation $A \mathcal{K}$ Purpose of Investigation ]	ndoor	Date/Time Prepared <u>11/19/08</u> Phone No. <u>314</u> . <u>347</u> . <u>808</u> air
1. OCCUPANT:		
Interviewed: Y / N		
Last Name:	Fir	rst Name:
Address: 74	Stee	le Circla
County: Nagara		
Home Phone:	Office	Phone:
Number of Occupants/persons a	at this location _	2 Age of Occupants
2. OWNER OR LANDLORD	: (Check if sam	ne as occupant)
Interviewed Y N		
Last Name:	Firs	t Name:
Address:		
County:		
Home Phone:	Office	Phone:
3. BUILDING CHARACTER Type of Building: (Circle appr	SISTICS	2)
Residential	School Church	Commercial/Multi-use Other:

If the property is residentia	al, type? (Circle app	propriate respons	e)
Ranch Raised Ranch Cape Cod Duplex Modular	2-Family Split Level Contemporary Apartment Hou Log Home	3-Fami Colonia Mobile se Townh Other:	ly al Home ouses/Condos
If multiple units, how many	y?	-	
If the property is commerci	ial, type?		
Business Type(s)			
Does it include residence	es (i.e., multi-use)?	Y / N	If yes, how many?
Other characteristics:			
Number of floors		Building age	-40
Is the building insulated	N (Y)	How air tight?	Tight / Average/ Not Tight

# 4. AIRFLOW

Use air current tubes or tracer smoke to evaluate airflow patterns and qualitatively describe:

Airflow between floors

	/
Airflow near source	
Outdoor air infiltration	
Infiltration into air ducts	
	· ·

4

# 5. BASEMENT AND CONSTRUCTION CHARACTERISTICS (Circle all that apply)

a. Above grade construction	: wood frame	concrete	stone	brick
b. Basement type:	full	crawlspace	slab	other
c. Basement floor:	concrete	dirt	stone	other
d. Basement floor:	uncovered	covered	covered with _	
e. Concrete floor:	unsealed	sealed	sealed with	
f. Foundation walls:	poured	block	stone	other
g. Foundation walls:	unsealed	sealed	sealed with	
h. The basement is:	wet	damp	dry	moldy
i. The basement is:	finished	unfinished	partially finish	ed
j. Sump present?	Y / N			
k. Water in sump?	/ / N / not applicable			

Basement/Lowest level depth below grade: \_\_\_\_\_(feet)

Identify potential soil vapor entry points and approximate size (e.g., cracks, utility ports, drains)

Drains - HUAC /1/2" Sewer/water - 2 baths, Kitchen, laundry - normal

# 6. HEATING, VENTING and AIR CONDITIONING (Circle all that apply)

Type of heating system(s) used in this building: (circle all that apply - note primary)

Hot air circulation Space Heaters Electric baseboard	Heat pump Stream radiation Wood stove	Hot water baseboard Radiant floor Outdoor wood boiler	Other			
The primary type of fuel used is:						
Natural Gas Electric Wood	Fuel Oil Propane Coal	Kerosene Solar				
Domestic hot water tank fueled by: Natural Gay						
Boiler/furnace located in: Baseme	ent Outdoors	Main Floor	Other			

Air conditioning:	Central Air Wi	ndow units C	)pen Windows	None
		4		
Are there air distribut	ion ducts present? (Y)	N		
Describe the supply an there is a cold air retu diagram.	nd cold air return ductworl rn and the tightness of duc	k, and its cond t joints. Indic	lition where visib ate the locations	le, including whether on the floor plan
per	room d	2 in	living	FORM
7. OCCUPANCY Is basement/lowest lev	el occupied? Full-time	Occasion	ally Seldom	Almost Never
Level Genera	l Use of Each Floor (e.g.,	<u>familyroom, l</u>	oedroom, laundry	y, workshop, storage)
Basement	liwg, Kitchen	, ball,	bedron	-
3 <sup>rd</sup> Floor				
4 <sup>th</sup> Floor		х н	,	
8. FACTORS THAT N	MAY INFLUENCE INDO	OR AIR QUA	LITY	
a. Is there an attache	ed garage?		Y/N	
b. Does the garage h	ave a separate heating unit	?	Y/NNA	
c. Are petroleum-po stored in the garag	wered machines or vehicles ge (e.g., lawnmower, atv, car	5 :)	YN/NA Please spec	ify <u>Car</u>
d. Has the building e	ver had a fire?		Y / NOWI	nen?
e. Is a kerosene or u	wented gas space heater p	resent?	Y/NWI	nere?
f. Is there a worksho	p or hobby/craft area?	Y	Where & T	ype?
g. Is there smoking i	n the building?	Y	How freque	ently?
h. Have cleaning pro	ducts been used recently?	LY	N When & T	ype? Normal

i. Have cosmetic p	roducts been use	d recently?	Ś	) When & Typ	e?	
		5	5			
j. Has painting/sta	ining been done i	in the last 6 mo	onths? Y/N	Where & Wh	nen?	
k. Is there new car	pet, drapes or ot	her textiles?	Y (Ŋ	Where & Wh	nen?	
l. Have air freshen	ers been used re	cently?	Y (N	) When & Typ		
m. Is there a kitch	en exhaust fan?		Y	) If yes, where	vented? Micro	wave
n. Is there a bath	room exhaust fan	?	Ý N	If yes, where	vented? <u>Main</u>	to atta
o. Is there a clothe	s dryer?		(Y) N	If yes, is it ve	ented outside? Y / N	V
p. Has there been	a pesticide applic	ation?	N (Y)	When & Typ	e? August	<u>-spiden</u>
Are there odors in If yes, please desc	the building?		YN	$\mathcal{D}$		
<b>Do any of the buildin</b> (e.g., chemical manuf boiler mechanic, pesti	ng occupants use acturing or laboration, of the second sec	solvents at wor tory, auto mech cosmetologist	rk? Y N anic or auto body	) / shop, painting	g, fuel oil delivery,	
If yes, what types o	f solvents are used	d?				
If yes, are their clot	hes washed at wo	rk?	YN	)		
<b>Do any of the buildi</b> response)	ıg occupants regi	ularly use or w	ork at a dry-cle	aning service?	(Circle appropriate	3
Yes, use dry- Yes, use dry- Yes, work at a	cleaning regularly cleaning infrequer a dry-cleaning ser	(weekly) itly (monthly or vice	less)	No Unknown		
Is there a radon miti Is the system active (	gation system for or passive?	r the building/s Active/Passive	tructure? Y (N	Date of Insta	llation:	
9. WATER AND SE	WAGE					
Water Supply:	Public Water	Drilled Well	Driven Well	Dug Well	Other:	
Sewage Disposal:	Public Sewer	Septic Tank	Leach Field	Dry Well	Other:	
10. RELOCATION	INFORMATION	(for oil spill ro	esidential emerg	gency)		
a. Provide reaso	ns why relocation	is recommend	led:			
b. Residents choo	ose to: remain in h	nome reloca	ate to friends/fam	iily reloc	ate to hotel/motel	

#### **12. OUTDOOR PLOT**

Draw a sketch of the area surrounding the building being sampled. If applicable, provide information on spill locations, potential air contamination sources (industries, gas stations, repair shops, landfills, etc.), outdoor air sampling location(s) and PID meter readings.

Also indicate compass direction, wind direction and speed during sampling, the locations of the well and septic system, if applicable, and a qualifying statement to help locate the site on a topographic map.



c. Responsibility for costs associated with reimbursement explained? Y / N

d. Relocation package provided and explained to residents? Y / N

6

## **11. FLOOR PLANS**

Draw a plan view sketch of the basement and first floor of the building. Indicate air sampling locations, possible indoor air pollution sources and PID meter readings. If the building does not have a basement, please note.

#### **Basement:**



## **First Floor:**



8

#### **13. PRODUCT INVENTORY FORM**

NA Make & Model of field instrument used:

List specific products found in the residence that have the potential to affect indoor air quality.

Location	Product Description	Size (units)	Condition <sup>*</sup>	Chemical Ingredients	Field Instrument Reading (units)	Photo ** <u>Y / N</u>
Kitchu	Comet		Grod			
. 1	Clowy Bleach		(			
	winder					
	Bowa Wood Floor Clemm					
	Picdae					
	Indust					
	Dishurosher Soap					
	Schesaulos					
	Thethod Halles much					
	Un dem					
	taste Pure une					
	Off! Deep words					
	Qualty and dram					
	Acdge Agin					
	Rinell Fines Claum					
	OXYPro					
	Orane Glo					
	OxiMoure				AND 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
L ¥	Letmy					

Turket Flow Claue \* Describe the condition of the product containers as Unopened (UO), Used (U), or Deteriorated (D) \*\* Photographs of the front and back of product containers can replace the handwritten list of chemical ingredients. However, the photographs must be of good quality and ingredient labels must be legible.

409 Fantahoch

BTSA\Sections\SIS\Oil Spills\Guidance Docs\Aiproto4.doc

Larly

Clump uper Clump BI-eest windup woold Zinser Pura with Daint

Balling Softschuld Concert Wollow Tothet Band Concert Sping Clanger pes Tilex Limo Arous The works Tothat Bawl Clean CLR Bothrom + Kitchen

# APPENDIX B SUB-SLAB, INDOOR AIR, AND OUTDOOR AIR SAMPLE LOCATIONS




















# APPENDIX C LABORATORY ANALYTICAL RESULTS AND DATA USABILITY REPORT





## ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Prepared for:

Atlantic Richfield(Parsons-NY) BP Corporation 501 WestLake Park Blvd Houston TX 77079

281-366-2000

Prepared by:

Lancaster Laboratories 2425 New Holland Pike Lancaster, PA 17605-2425

## SAMPLE GROUP

The sample group for this submittal is 1121293. Samples arrived at the laboratory on Friday, November 21, 2008. The PO# for this group is 001Q0-0130 and the release number is BARBER.

<u>Client Description</u> 67S-Subslab 979 Air 67S-IA 860 Air 67S-OA 833 Air 74S-Subslab 991 Air 61S-Subslab 913 Air

1 COPY TO Parsons ELECTRONIC Parsons COPY TO Lancaster Labs Number 5536848 5536849 5536850 5536851 5536852

Attn: George Hermance Attn: Lorraine Weber





Questions? Contact your Client Services Representative Jessica A Oknefski at (717) 656-2300

Respectfully Submitted,

chard J. Muhal

Charles J. Neslund Manager





Page 1 of 1

Lancaster Laboratories Sample No. 5536848 AQ

```
Group No. 1121293
```

67S-Subslab 979 Air BP Sanborn COC: 181161 2040 Cory Dr - Sanborn, NY 67S-Sub

Submitted: 11/21/2008 09:10

Discard: 01/08/2009

Reported: 12/08/2008 at 16:25

Collected:11/18/2008 11:12 by JWS

Account Number: 12495

Atlantic Richfield(Parsons-NY) BP Corporation 501 WestLake Park Blvd Houston TX 77079

CAT			As Received Final			As Received Final			
No.	Analysis Name	CAS Number	Result	MDL	Units	Result	MDL	Units	DF
07345	TO-15 by SIM								
07349	Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	N.D.	0.0230	ug/m3	N.D.	0.00900	ppb(v)	1
07358	1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.0750 J	0.0238	ug/m3	0.0189 J	0.00600	ppb(v)	1
07366	Methylene Chloride	75-09-2	0.773	0.0278	ug/m3	0.222	0.00800	ppb(v)	1
07368	trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	N.D.	0.0396	ug/m3	N.D.	0.0100	ppb(v)	1
07371	1,1-Dichloroethane	75-34-3	0.0461 J	0.0364	ug/m3	0.0114 J	0.00900	ppb(v)	1
07372	cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.0856 J	0.0555	ug/m3	0.0216 J	0.0140	ppb(v)	1
07373	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	71-55-6	0.209 J	0.0709	ug/m3	0.0383 J	0.0130	ppb(v)	1
07377	Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.127 J	0.107	ug/m3	0.0236 J	0.0200	ppb(v)	1
	Methylene chloride was detected	in the method	hlank acc	ogistod with	th thia a	amplo			

Methylene chloride was detected in the method blank associated with this sample at a concentration of 0.03 ppbv. Since this concentration was below the client specified limit, no further action was taken.

All QC is compliant unless otherwise noted. Please refer to the Quality Control Summary for overall QC performance data and associated samples.

Laboratory Chronicle										
CAT		-		Analysis		Dilution				
No.	Analysis Name	Method	Trial#	Date and Time	Analyst	Factor				
07345	TO-15 by SIM	EPA TO-15 using SIM	1	12/06/2008 15:06	Jonathan K Nardelli	1				





Page 1 of 1

Lancaster Laboratories Sample No. 5536849 AQ

Group No. 1121293

67S-IA 860 Air BP Sanborn COC: 181161 2040 Cory Dr - Sanborn, NY 67S-IA

Submitted: 11/21/2008 09:10

Discard: 01/08/2009

Reported: 12/08/2008 at 16:25

Collected:11/18/2008 11:29 by JWS

Account Number: 12495

Atlantic Richfield(Parsons-NY) BP Corporation 501 WestLake Park Blvd Houston TX 77079

CAT			As Received Final			As Received Final			
No.	Analysis Name	CAS Number	Result	MDL	Units	Result	MDL	Units	DF
07345	TO-15 by SIM								
07349	Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	N.D.	0.0230	ug/m3	N.D.	0.00900	ppb(v)	1
07358	1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	N.D.	0.0238	ug/m3	N.D.	0.00600	ppb(v)	1
07366	Methylene Chloride	75-09-2	1.92	0.0278	ug/m3	0.551	0.00800	ppb(v)	1
07368	trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	N.D.	0.0396	ug/m3	N.D.	0.0100	ppb(v)	1
07371	1,1-Dichloroethane	75-34-3	N.D.	0.0364	ug/m3	N.D.	0.00900	ppb(v)	1
07372	cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	N.D.	0.0555	ug/m3	N.D.	0.0140	ppb(v)	1
07373	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	71-55-6	N.D.	0.0709	ug/m3	N.D.	0.0130	ppb(v)	1
07377	Trichloroethene	79-01-6	N.D.	0.107	ug/m3	N.D.	0.0200	ppb(v)	1
	Methylene chloride was detected	in the method	l blank ass	ociated wi	th this	sample			

Methylene chloride was detected in the method blank associated with this sample at a concentration of 0.03 ppbv. Since this concentration was below the client specified limit, no further action was taken.

All QC is compliant unless otherwise noted. Please refer to the Quality Control Summary for overall QC performance data and associated samples.

Laboratory Chronicle													
CAT		-		Analysis		Dilution							
No.	Analysis Name	Method	Trial#	Date and Time	Analyst	Factor							
07345	TO-15 by SIM	EPA TO-15 using SIM	1	12/06/2008 03:52	Jonathan K Nardelli	1							





Page 1 of 1

Lancaster Laboratories Sample No. 5536850 AQ

Group No. 1121293

67S-OA 833 Air BP Sanborn COC: 181161 2040 Cory Dr - Sanborn, NY 67S-OA

Submitted: 11/21/2008 09:10

Discard: 01/08/2009

Reported: 12/08/2008 at 16:25

Collected:11/18/2008 11:21 by JWS

Account Number: 12495

Atlantic Richfield(Parsons-NY) BP Corporation 501 WestLake Park Blvd Houston TX 77079

CAT			As Received Final			As Received Final			
No.	Analysis Name	CAS Number	Result	MDL	Units	Result	MDL	Units	DF
07345	TO-15 by SIM								
07349	Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	N.D.	0.0230	ug/m3	N.D.	0.00900	ppb(v)	1
07358	1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	N.D.	0.0238	ug/m3	N.D.	0.00600	ppb(v)	1
07366	Methylene Chloride	75-09-2	0.327	0.0278	ug/m3	0.0941	0.00800	ppb(v)	1
07368	trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	N.D.	0.0396	ug/m3	N.D.	0.0100	ppb(v)	1
07371	1,1-Dichloroethane	75-34-3	N.D.	0.0364	ug/m3	N.D.	0.00900	ppb(v)	1
07372	cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	N.D.	0.0555	ug/m3	N.D.	0.0140	ppb(v)	1
07373	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	71-55-6	N.D.	0.0709	ug/m3	N.D.	0.0130	ppb(v)	1
07377	Trichloroethene	79-01-6	N.D.	0.107	ug/m3	N.D.	0.0200	ppb(v)	1
	Methylene chloride was detected	in the method	l blank ass	ociated wi	th this	sample			

Methylene chloride was detected in the method blank associated with this sample at a concentration of 0.03 ppbv. Since this concentration was below the client specified limit, no further action was taken.

All QC is compliant unless otherwise noted. Please refer to the Quality Control Summary for overall QC performance data and associated samples.

Laboratory Chronicle													
CAT		-		Analysis		Dilution							
No.	Analysis Name	Method	Trial#	Date and Time	Analyst	Factor							
07345	TO-15 by SIM	EPA TO-15 using SIM	1	12/07/2008 17:51	Jonathan K Nardelli	1							





Page 1 of 1

Lancaster Laboratories Sample No. 5536851 AQ

```
Group No. 1121293
```

74S-Subslab 991 Air BP Sanborn COC: 181161 2040 Cory Dr - Sanborn, NY 74S-Sub

Submitted: 11/21/2008 09:10

Discard: 01/08/2009

Reported: 12/08/2008 at 16:25

Collected:11/19/2008 10:26 by JWS

Account Number: 12495

Atlantic Richfield(Parsons-NY) BP Corporation 501 WestLake Park Blvd Houston TX 77079

CAT			As Received Final			As Received Final			
No.	Analysis Name	CAS Number	Result	MDL	Units	Result	MDL	Units	DF
07345	TO-15 by SIM								
07349	Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.112 J	0.0230	ug/m3	0.0439 J	0.00900	ppb(v)	1
07358	1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.195 J	0.0238	ug/m3	0.0493 J	0.00600	ppb(v)	1
07366	Methylene Chloride	75-09-2	1.43	0.0278	ug/m3	0.413	0.00800	ppb(v)	1
07368	trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.108 J	0.0396	ug/m3	0.0273 J	0.0100	ppb(v)	1
07371	1,1-Dichloroethane	75-34-3	0.137 J	0.0364	ug/m3	0.0340 J	0.00900	ppb(v)	1
07372	cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.161 J	0.0555	ug/m3	0.0405 J	0.0140	ppb(v)	1
07373	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	71-55-6	0.647	0.0709	ug/m3	0.119	0.0130	ppb(v)	1
07377	Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.885	0.107	ug/m3	0.165	0.0200	ppb(v)	1

Methylene chloride was detected in the method blank associated with this sample at a concentration of 0.03 ppbv. Since this concentration was below the client specified limit, no further action was taken.

The GC/MS volatile internal standard peak areas were outside QC limits. The sample was re-analyzed and internal standard areas were again outside of QC limits, indicating a sample matrix effect on internal standard response.

All QC is compliant unless otherwise noted. Please refer to the Quality Control Summary for overall QC performance data and associated samples.

Laboratory Chronicle													
CAT		-		Analysis		Dilution							
No.	Analysis Name	Method	Trial#	Date and Time	Analyst	Factor							
07345	TO-15 by SIM	EPA TO-15 using SIM	1	12/06/2008 15:44	Jonathan K Nardelli	1							





Page 1 of 1

Lancaster Laboratories Sample No. 5536852 AQ

```
Group No. 1121293
```

61S-Subslab 913 Air BP Sanborn COC: 181161 2040 Cory Dr - Sanborn, NY 61S-Sub

Submitted: 11/21/2008 09:10

Discard: 01/08/2009

Reported: 12/08/2008 at 16:25

Collected:11/19/2008 14:52 by JWS

Account Number: 12495

Atlantic Richfield(Parsons-NY) BP Corporation 501 WestLake Park Blvd Houston TX 77079

CAT			As Received Final			As Received Final			
No.	Analysis Name	CAS Number	Result	MDL	Units	Result	MDL	Units	DF
07345	TO-15 by SIM								
07349	Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.0286 J	0.0230	ug/m3	0.0112 J	0.00900	ppb(v)	1
07358	1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.0538 J	0.0238	ug/m3	0.0136 J	0.00600	ppb(v)	1
07366	Methylene Chloride	75-09-2	0.676	0.0278	ug/m3	0.195	0.00800	ppb(v)	1
07368	trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	N.D.	0.0396	ug/m3	N.D.	0.0100	ppb(v)	1
07371	1,1-Dichloroethane	75-34-3	0.0416 J	0.0364	ug/m3	0.0103 J	0.00900	ppb(v)	1
07372	cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	N.D.	0.0555	ug/m3	N.D.	0.0140	ppb(v)	1
07373	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	71-55-6	0.175 J	0.0709	ug/m3	0.0321 J	0.0130	ppb(v)	1
07377	Trichloroethene	79-01-6	N.D.	0.107	ug/m3	N.D.	0.0200	ppb(v)	1

Methylene chloride was detected in the method blank associated with this sample at a concentration of 0.03 ppbv. Since this concentration was below the client specified limit, no further action was taken.

The GC/MS volatile internal standard peak areas were outside QC limits. The sample was re-analyzed and internal standard areas were again outside of QC limits, indicating a sample matrix effect on internal standard response.

All QC is compliant unless otherwise noted. Please refer to the Quality Control Summary for overall QC performance data and associated samples.

Laboratory Chronicle													
CAT Analysis													
No.	Analysis Name	Method	Trial#	Date and Time	Analyst	Factor							
07345	TO-15 by SIM	EPA TO-15 using SIM	1	12/06/2008 16:21	Jonathan K Nardelli	1							





Page 1 of 1

## Quality Control Summary

Client Name: Atlantic Richfield(Parsons-NY) Reported: 12/08/08 at 04:25 PM Group Number: 1121293

Matrix QC may not be reported if site-specific QC samples were not submitted. In these situations, to demonstrate precision and accuracy at a batch level, a LCS/LCSD was performed, unless otherwise specified in the method.

## Laboratory Compliance Quality Control

<u>Analysis Name</u>	Blank <u>Result</u>	Blank <u>MDL</u>	Report <u>Units</u>	LCS <u>%REC</u>	LCSD <u>%REC</u>	LCS/LCSD <u>Limits</u>	RPD	<u>RPD Max</u>
Batch number: C0833830AB	Sample nu	mber(s):	5536848-55	36849,55	36851-553	6852		
Vinvl Chloride	N.D.	0.0230	uq/m3	116	93	70-130	22	25
1,1-Dichloroethene	N.D.	0.0238	ug/m3	132*	109	70-130	19	25
Methylene Chloride	0.109 J	0.0278	ug/m3	116	104	70-130	11	25
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	N.D.	0.0396	ug/m3					
1,1-Dichloroethane	N.D.	0.0364	ug/m3	99	89	70-130	11	25
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	N.D.	0.0555	ug/m3	113	103	70-130	9	25
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	N.D.	0.0709	ug/m3	113	103	70-130	10	25
Trichloroethene	N.D.	0.107	ug/m3	172	151	49-173	13	25
Batch number: C0833830AC	Sample nu	mber(s):	5536850					
Vinyl Chloride	N.D.	0.0230	uq/m3	116	93	70-130	22	25
1,1-Dichloroethene	N.D.	0.0238	ug/m3	132*	109	70-130	19	25
Methylene Chloride	0.109 J	0.0278	ug/m3	116	104	70-130	11	25
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	N.D.	0.0396	ug/m3					
1,1-Dichloroethane	N.D.	0.0364	uq/m3	99	89	70-130	11	25
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	N.D.	0.0555	ug/m3	113	103	70-130	9	25
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	N.D.	0.0709	ug/m3	113	103	70-130	10	25
Trichloroethene	N.D.	0.107	ug/m3	172	151	49-173	13	25

\*- Outside of specification

(1) The result for one or both determinations was less than five times the LOQ.

(2) The unspiked result was more than four times the spike added.

# ancaster

Project Name: BP Sanborn LLI Group #: 1121293

## General Comments:

Through our technical processes and second person review of data, we have established that our data/deliverables are in compliance with the methods and project requirements unless otherwise noted or previously resolved with the client. The compliance signature is located on the cover page of the Analysis Reports.

See the Laboratory Chronicle section of the Analysis Report for the method references

All QC met criteria unless otherwise noted in an Analysis Specific Comment below. Refer to the QC Summary for specific values and acceptance criteria.

Matrix QC may not be reported if site-specific QC samples were not submitted. In these situations, to demonstrate precision and accuracy at a batch level, a LCS/LCSD was performed, unless otherwise specified in the method.

Surrogate recoveries (if applicable) which are outside of the QC window are confirmed unless attributed to a dilution or otherwise noted in an Analysis Specific Comment below.

The samples were received at the appropriate temperature and in accordance with the chain of custody unless otherwise noted.

#### Analysis Specific Comments:

## 07345: TO-15 by SIM

<u>Batch #: C0833830AB (Sample number(s): 5536848-5536849, 5536851-5536852)</u> The recovery(ies) for the following analyte(s) in the LCS and/or LCSD exceeded the acceptance window indicating a positive bias: 1,1-Dichloroethene

Batch #: C0833830AC (Sample number(s): 5536850)

The recovery(ies) for the following analyte(s) in the LCS and/or LCSD exceeded the acceptance window indicating a positive bias: 1,1-Dichloroethene

<u>Sample #s: 5536848, 5536849, 5536850</u>

Methylene chloride was detected in the method blank associated with this sample

at a concentration of 0.03 ppbv. Since this concentration was below the client

specified limit, no further action was taken.

<u>Sample #s: 5536851, 5536852</u>

Methylene chloride was detected in the method blank associated with this sample

at a concentration of 0.03 ppbv. Since this concentration was below the client

specified limit, no further action was taken. The GC/MS volatile internal standard peak areas were outside QC limits. The sample was re-analyzed and internal standard areas were again outside of QC limits, indicating a sample matrix effect on internal standard response.

٨	tlantia A124	195/1	, 11212	93	553	36848-52-		18	31	16	51										Pag	e of	-	
Ą	lianuc	Cha	in of	Ci	istody	v Record											)n-site	Time	: 9a	~	Tem	1p: 30	>	
	Kichtield	Projec	et Nam	e:	•	Sanborn J	, de	24	A:	r						Ī	)ff-site	Time	: 4P	M	Ten		2	
	amnany	BP BL	J <b>/AR R</b>	legio	n/Enfo	s Segment:									-	Ś	ky Co	nditions	в: СТС	y by c				
<b>H</b>		State (	or Lead	d Re	gulator	v Agency:	٨	$\boldsymbol{J}$	SL	PE (	-				-	ī	/leteor	ological	Events:	1.96	st Shou	5		
	A BP affiliated company				Re	quested Due Date	(mn	n/do	d/yy)	):	2	day	/ T	AT	_		Vind S	peed:	5 m	e h	Dire	ction:		
7944	F				· · · · · ·										_									
Lab Na	me: Lancaster Lab					BP/AR Facility No	o.: .	÷				<del></del>			<del></del>		Consult	ant/Con	tractor;	P4/2	5075		<u> </u>	
Addres	s: 2425 New Hollan	drik	se			BP/AR Facility A	ddress	s: 4	2.54	0(	ory	Dej	744	born I	NY	_	Addres	s: 70	A PI	WIEFC	105% Ju	<u>ر بنار</u>		
	Lancaster, CA 17601 Site Lat/Long:													10 L	717410		1466							
Lab PN	PM: Jessica Oknetski California Global ID No.:										-	onsul	ant/Con	ntractor F	Project N	No.: 777	20		]					
Tele/Fax: 717 656 2300 ×1815 Enfos Proj							$\frac{\partial OO}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial OO}{\partial t} = \frac{OO}{\partial t} = \frac{OO}{\partial t} = \frac{OO}{\partial t} = \frac{OO}{\partial t}$									_	Consult	ant/Con	ntractor F	PM:	<u>&gt; /\</u>			
BP/AR EBM: Bill Berber Provision or OOC (circle one)											_	[ele/Fa	x: T	6 37	1012									
Addres	s: 4850 East 49	Smell	F MBC	14	+	Phase/WBS:	70			<u> </u>						₽	Report	Type &	QC Lev	rel:			· · · ·	
	Cayahoga Height	5 OF	771	25		Sub Phase/Task:	-0	~								_	<u>I-mail</u>	EDD T	0:	nn f	Challendin Di	1.0.11		
Tele/Fa	ix: (216) (71 0035			11 <sup>01000000000000000000000000000000000</sup>		Cost Element:	<u> </u>	1		<b>.</b>							nvoice	to: Co	nsultant	or BP of	NATIANTIC RI	enneia C	.o. (circ	le one)
Lab B	ottle Order No:		1	╉──┬	Matrix	<b>1</b> .				Pres	ervati	ve 1 T	-			equested Analysis								
Item No.	Sample Description	Time	Date	Soil/Solid	Water/Liquid Air	Laboratory No.	No. of Containe	Tinneserved	H-SO.	HNO.	HCI	Methanol		7015							Sample ]	Point La Comme	t/Long ants	and
1	675-5ubslab 979	1112	1/48		V		1	L	1							_		<u>.</u>						
2	675-IA 860	1/29	"l18		¥۷		1	Ľ						-							-			• •
3	675- OA 833	1121	11/18		<u> </u>		1	Ľ						~										
4	745 - Subslab 991	1026	11/19		V		1	V	(					¥										
5	615- Subslab 913	1452	11/19		74		1	4	7												میروند و باده میروند <sup>و مر</sup> وم میروند و میروند. مرود است از میروند و م	····	 http://www.autor.com/	7
6		1				· · ·															Can 81	4 14	urned	
7		1																			UCG JSL	of	10 W	
		1	1				┢──	╢		+-		$\square$			$\uparrow \uparrow$						Vac pro	5501	(	ノ
	i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i		1					T															/	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						╢	T		+	$\uparrow$											· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Samo	ler's Name: James W Sc	hutte				/ Relfn	ouish	Za B	v/A	ffilia	 tion		╘╼╌╢	Date	Tim	ie i		<u></u>	Accepted 1	By / Affi	liation	Í	Date	Time
Samp	ler's Company: PACSOAS	• · · •				VUINN	đ						Ť	11/19/08	50103	চা		$\overline{}$				1		
Shinn	vent Date: 1120 08						Æ		- <u></u>					-+					<hr/>					
Shipn	nent Method: Fedex			_			/ ~	$\leq$	~								1		(	$\sum$				
Shipn	nent Tracking No: 8696	0919	237	5													Dи	an	dy I	Bar	dan	$u_{\Gamma}$	11-21-0	091
Specia	I Instructions: TLE ; trans	5 - 1,2-	· DCE	۲ ز.	15-15	Z-DCEIVC ;	1,1 -	Þ¢	E,	M	ethyl	ene	сų	Jorid.	$e_j i_j$	1-	DCA	TC	R/		V			
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·																		-		/			
	Custody Seals In Place: Yes	No )	Ten	ıp Bl	ank: Yes	s (No )   Coole	r Tei	mp	on R	lecei	pt:N	<u>Æ</u> F	7/C	1	Frip Bla	unk:	Yes	No)		S/MSD	Sample Su	omitted	: Yes{/1	No )
							P/At	lanti	ic Ric	hfield	d Co. C	Сору									BP	COC Rev.	5 10/11/2	006



Environmental Sample Administration									
Client/Project: ATIONTIC Kichtield	Shipping Container Sealed:	(YES)	NO						
Date of Receipt: <u>11-21-08</u>	Custody Seal Present:	YES	NO						
Time of Receipt: 0910	Custody Seal Intact:	YES	NO NA						
Source Code: <u>50-</u>	Package:	Chilled (	Not Chilled						
Unpacker Emp. No.:									

	Temperature of Shipping Containers							
Cooler #	Thermometer ID	Temperature (*C)	Temp Bottle (TB) or Surface Temp (ST)	Wet Ice (WI) or Dry Ice (DI) or Ice Packs (IP)	Ice Present? Y/N	Loose (L) Bagged Ice (B) or NA	Comments	
1								
2								
3								
4								
5								
6								

Number of Trip Blanks received <u>NOT</u> listed on chain of custody:

Paperwork Discrepancy/Unpacking Problems:

Sample Administration Internal Chain of Custody							
/ Name	Date	Time	Reason for Transfer				
Duandy Buday	11-21-08	10:57	Unpacking				
Da neslund	11/21/08	1100	Place in Storage or (Entry				
			Entry				
			Entry				

## Lancaster Laboratories Explanation of Symbols and Abbreviations

The following defines common symbols and abbreviations used in reporting technical data:

N.D.	none detected	BMQL	Below Minimum Quantitation Level
IU	International Units	CP Units	cobalt-chloroplatinate units
umhos/cm	micromhos/cm	NTU	nephelometric turbidity units
С	degrees Celsius	F	degrees Fahrenheit
Cal	(diet) calories	lb.	pound(s)
meq	milliequivalents	kg	kilogram(s)
g	gram(s)	mg	milligram(s)
ug	microgram(s)	I	liter(s)
mĪ	milliliter(s)	ul	microliter(s)
m3	cubic meter(s)	fib >5 um/ml	fibers greater than 5 microns in length per ml

 less than – The number following the sign is the <u>limit of quantitation</u>, the smallest amount of analyte which can be reliably determined using this specific test.

- > greater than
- ppm parts per million One ppm is equivalent to one milligram per kilogram (mg/kg), or one gram per million grams. For aqueous liquids, ppm is usually taken to be equivalent to milligrams per liter (mg/l), because one liter of water has a weight very close to a kilogram. For gases or vapors, one ppm is equivalent to one microliter of gas per liter of gas.
- ppb parts per billion

**Dry weight basis** Results printed under this heading have been adjusted for moisture content. This increases the analyte weight concentration to approximate the value present in a similar sample without moisture.

U.S. EPA data qualifiers:

## **Organic Qualifiers**

- **A** TIC is a possible aldol-condensation product
- **B** Analyte was also detected in the blank
- C Pesticide result confirmed by GC/MS
- **D** Compound quatitated on a diluted sample
- E Concentration exceeds the calibration range of the instrument
- J Estimated value
- **N** Presumptive evidence of a compound (TICs only)
- **P** Concentration difference between primary and confirmation columns >25%
- **U** Compound was not detected
- **X,Y,Z** Defined in case narrative

## **Inorganic Qualifiers**

- B Value is <CRDL, but ≥IDL
- **E** Estimated due to interference
- **M** Duplicate injection precision not met
- **N** Spike amount not within control limits
- S Method of standard additions (MSA) used for calculation
- U Compound was not detected
- W Post digestion spike out of control limits
- \* Duplicate analysis not within control limits
- + Correlation coefficient for MSA < 0.995

Analytical test results for methods listed on the laboratories' accreditation scope meet all requirements of NELAC unless otherwise noted under the individual analysis.

Tests results relate only to the sample tested. Clients should be aware that a critical step in a chemical or microbiological analysis is the collection of the sample. Unless the sample analyzed is truly representative of the bulk of material involved, the test results will be meaningless. If you have questions regarding the proper techniques of collecting samples, please contact us. We cannot be held responsible for sample integrity, however, unless sampling has been performed by a member of our staff. This report shall not be reproduced except in full, without the written approval of the laboratory.

WARRANTY AND LIMITS OF LIABILITY – In accepting analytical work, we warrant the accuracy of test results for the sample as submitted. THE FOREGOING EXPRESS WARRANTY IS EXCLUSIVE AND IS GIVEN IN LIEU OF ALL OTHER WARRANTIES, EXPRESSED OR IMPLIED. WE DISCLAIM ANY OTHER WARRANTIES, EXPRESSED OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING A WARRANTY OF FITNESS FOR PARTICULAR PURPOSE AND WARRANTY OF MERCHANTABILITY. IN NO EVENT SHALL LANCASTER LABORATORIES BE LIABLE FOR INDIRECT, SPECIAL, CONSEQUENTIAL, OR INCIDENTAL DAMAGES INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, DAMAGES FOR LOSS OF PROFIT OR GOODWILL REGARDLESS OF (A) THE NEGLIGENCE (EITHER SOLE OR CONCURRENT) OF LANCASTER LABORATORIES AND (B) WHETHER LANCASTER LABORATORIES HAS BEEN INFORMED OF THE POSSIBILITY OF SUCH DAMAGES. We accept no legal responsibility for the purposes for which the client uses the test results. No purchase order or other order for work shall be accepted by Lancaster Laboratories which includes any conditions that vary from the Standard Terms and Conditions of Lancaster Laboratories and we hereby object to any conflicting terms contained in any acceptance or order submitted by client.





## ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Prepared for:

Atlantic Richfield(Parsons-NY) BP Corporation 501 WestLake Park Blvd Houston TX 77079

281-366-2000

Prepared by:

Lancaster Laboratories 2425 New Holland Pike Lancaster, PA 17605-2425

## SAMPLE GROUP

The sample group for this submittal is 1121555. Samples arrived at the laboratory on Saturday, November 22, 2008. The PO# for this group is 001Q0-0130 and the release number is BARBER.

Client Description
73S-Subslab 1011 Air
73S-Subslab 983 Air
61S-IA 1027 Air
77S-Subslab 912 Air
75S-Subslab 986 Air
74S-OA 136 Air
74S-IA 319 Air

### METHODOLOGY

The specific methodologies used in obtaining the enclosed analytical results are indicated on the laboratory chronicles.

1 COPY TOParsonsELECTRONICParsonsCOPY TO11 COPY TOData Package Group

Attn: George Hermance Attn: Lorraine Weber

Lancaster Labs Number 5538764 5538765 5538766 5538767 5538768 5538769 5538770





Questions? Contact your Client Services Representative Jessica A Oknefski at (717) 656-2300

Respectfully Submitted,

Chad Moline

Chad A. Moline Group Leader





Page 1 of 1

Lancaster Laboratories Sample No. 5538764 AQ

Group No. 1121555

73S-Subslab 1011 Air BP Sanborn COC: 181163 2040 Cory Dr-Sanborn, NY 73S- 1011

Collected:11/20/2008 10:59 by JWS

Submitted: 11/22/2008 10:20 Reported: 12/15/2008 at 09:52 Discard: 01/15/2009 Account Number: 12495

Atlantic Richfield(Parsons-NY) BP Corporation 501 WestLake Park Blvd Houston TX 77079

--011 SDG#: BPP10-01

CAT			As Received Final			As Received Final			
No.	Analysis Name	CAS Number	Result	MDL	Units	Result	MDL	Units	DF
07345	TO-15 by SIM								
07349	Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.0407 J	0.0230	ug/m3	0.0159 J	0.00900	ppb(v)	1
07358	1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.0422 J	0.0238	ug/m3	0.0106 J	0.00600	ppb(v)	1
07366	Methylene Chloride	75-09-2	19.5	0.278	ug/m3	5.61	0.0800	ppb(v)	10
07368	trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	N.D.	0.0396	ug/m3	N.D.	0.0100	ppb(v)	1
07371	1,1-Dichloroethane	75-34-3	0.0391 J	0.0364	ug/m3	0.00967 J	0.00900	ppb(v)	1
07372	cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	N.D.	0.0555	ug/m3	N.D.	0.0140	ppb(v)	1
07373	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	71-55-6	0.139 J	0.0709	ug/m3	0.0254 J	0.0130	ppb(v)	1
07377	Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.327	0.107	ug/m3	0.0609	0.0200	ppb(v)	1

Methylene chloride was detected in the method blank associated with this sample at a concentration of 0.03 ppbv. Since this concentration was below the client specified limit, no further action was taken.

The GC/MS volatile internal standard peak areas were outside QC limits. The sample was re-analyzed and internal standard areas were again outside of QC limits, indicating a sample matrix effect on internal standard response.

All QC is compliant unless otherwise noted. Please refer to the Quality Control Summary for overall QC performance data and associated samples.

Laboratory Chronicle							
CAT		-		Analysis		Dilution	
No.	Analysis Name	Method	Trial#	Date and Time	Analyst	Factor	
07345	TO-15 by SIM	EPA TO-15 using SIM	1	12/06/2008 17:09	Jonathan K Nardelli	1	
07345	TO-15 by SIM	EPA TO-15 using SIM	1	12/08/2008 23:46	Jonathan K Nardelli	10	





Page 1 of 1

Lancaster Laboratories Sample No. 5538765 AQ

Group No. 1121555

73S-Subslab 983 Air BP Sanborn COC: 181163 2040 Cory Dr-Sanborn, NY 73S- 983

Collected:11/20/2008 10:59 by JWS

Submitted: 11/22/2008 10:20 Reported: 12/15/2008 at 09:52 Discard: 01/15/2009 Account Number: 12495

Atlantic Richfield(Parsons-NY) BP Corporation 501 WestLake Park Blvd Houston TX 77079

--983 SDG#: BPP10-02

CAT			As Received Final			As Received Final			
No.	Analysis Name	CAS Number	Result	MDL	Units	Result	MDL	Units	DF
07345	TO-15 by SIM								
07349	Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0.0286 J	0.0230	ug/m3	0.0112 J	0.00900	ppb(v)	1
07358	1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.0557 J	0.0238	ug/m3	0.0141 J	0.00600	ppb(v)	1
07366	Methylene Chloride	75-09-2	1.67	0.0278	ug/m3	0.479	0.00800	ppb(v)	1
07368	trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	0.0494 J	0.0396	ug/m3	0.0125 J	0.0100	ppb(v)	1
07371	1,1-Dichloroethane	75-34-3	0.0538 J	0.0364	ug/m3	0.0133 J	0.00900	ppb(v)	1
07372	cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	0.111 J	0.0555	ug/m3	0.0279 J	0.0140	ppb(v)	1
07373	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	71-55-6	0.180 J	0.0709	ug/m3	0.0330 J	0.0130	ppb(v)	1
07377	Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.657	0.107	ug/m3	0.122	0.0200	ppb(v)	1

Methylene chloride was detected in the method blank associated with this sample at a concentration of 0.03 ppbv. Since this concentration was below the client specified limit, no further action was taken.

All QC is compliant unless otherwise noted. Please refer to the Quality Control Summary for overall QC performance data and associated samples.

Laboratory Chronicle						
CAT		-		Analysis		Dilution
No.	Analysis Name	Method	Trial#	Date and Time	Analyst	Factor
07345	TO-15 by SIM	EPA TO-15 using SIM	1	12/07/2008 18:29	Jonathan K Nardelli	1





Page 1 of 1

Lancaster Laboratories Sample No. 5538766 AQ

Group No. 1121555

61S-IA 1027 Air BP Sanborn COC: 181163 2040 Cory Dr-Sanborn, NY 61S- 1027

Collected:11/20/2008 18:00 by JWS

Submitted: 11/22/2008 10:20 Reported: 12/15/2008 at 09:52 Discard: 01/15/2009 Account Number: 12495

Atlantic Richfield(Parsons-NY) BP Corporation 501 WestLake Park Blvd Houston TX 77079

-1072 SDG#: BPP10-03

CAT			As Received Final			As Received Final			
No.	Analysis Name	CAS Number	Result	MDL	Units	Result	MDL	Units	DF
07345	TO-15 by SIM								
07349	Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	N.D.	0.0115	ug/m3	N.D.	0.00450	ppb(v)	0.5
07358	1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	N.D.	0.0119	ug/m3	N.D.	0.00300	ppb(v)	0.5
07366	Methylene Chloride	75-09-2	0.835	0.0139	ug/m3	0.240	0.00400	ppb(v)	0.5
07368	trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	N.D.	0.0198	ug/m3	N.D.	0.00500	ppb(v)	0.5
07371	1,1-Dichloroethane	75-34-3	N.D.	0.0182	ug/m3	N.D.	0.00450	ppb(v)	0.5
07372	cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	N.D.	0.0278	ug/m3	N.D.	0.00700	ppb(v)	0.5
07373	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	71-55-6	N.D.	0.0355	ug/m3	N.D.	0.00650	ppb(v)	0.5
07377	Trichloroethene	79-01-6	N.D.	0.0537	ug/m3	N.D.	0.0100	ppb(v)	0.5
	Methylene chloride was detected	in the method	l blank ass	ociated w	ith this s	ample			

at a concentration of 0.03 ppbv. Since this concentration was below the client specified limit, no further action was taken.

All QC is compliant unless otherwise noted. Please refer to the Quality Control Summary for overall QC performance data and associated samples.

Laboratory Chronicle						
CAT				Analysis		Dilution
No.	Analysis Name	Method	Trial#	Date and Time	Analyst	Factor
07345	TO-15 by SIM	EPA TO-15 using SIM	1	12/06/2008 13:17	Jonathan K Nardelli	0.5





Page 1 of 1

Lancaster Laboratories Sample No. 5538767 AQ

Group No. 1121555

77S-Subslab 912 Air BP Sanborn COC: 181163 2040 Cory Dr-Sanborn, NY 77S- 912

Collected:11/20/2008 17:46 by JWS

Submitted: 11/22/2008 10:20 Reported: 12/15/2008 at 09:52 Discard: 01/15/2009 Account Number: 12495

Atlantic Richfield(Parsons-NY) BP Corporation 501 WestLake Park Blvd Houston TX 77079

--912 SDG#: BPP10-04

CAT			As Received Final			As Received Final			
No.	Analysis Name	CAS Number	Result	MDL	Units	Result	MDL	Units	DF
07345	TO-15 by SIM								
07349	Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	N.D.	0.0230	ug/m3	N.D.	0.00900	ppb(v)	1
07358	1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	0.0284 J	0.0238	ug/m3	0.00716 J	0.00600	ppb(v)	1
07366	Methylene Chloride	75-09-2	0.553	0.0278	ug/m3	0.159	0.00800	ppb(v)	1
07368	trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	N.D.	0.0396	ug/m3	N.D.	0.0100	ppb(v)	1
07371	1,1-Dichloroethane	75-34-3	N.D.	0.0364	ug/m3	N.D.	0.00900	ppb(v)	1
07372	cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	N.D.	0.0555	ug/m3	N.D.	0.0140	ppb(v)	1
07373	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	71-55-6	0.312	0.0709	ug/m3	0.0573	0.0130	ppb(v)	1
07377	Trichloroethene	79-01-6	N.D.	0.107	ug/m3	N.D.	0.0200	ppb(v)	1

Methylene chloride was detected in the method blank associated with this sample at a concentration of 0.03 ppbv. Since this concentration was below the client specified limit, no further action was taken.

The GC/MS volatile internal standard peak area for the internal standard used to quantify trichloroethene was outside QC limits. Sufficient sample volume was not remaining to reanalyze the sample.

All QC is compliant unless otherwise noted. Please refer to the Quality Control Summary for overall QC performance data and associated samples.

		Laboratory	Chronic	cle		
CAT		-		Analysis		Dilution
No.	Analysis Name	Method	Trial#	Date and Time	Analyst	Factor
07345	TO-15 by SIM	EPA TO-15 using SIM	1	12/07/2008 19:06	Jonathan K Nardelli	1





Page 1 of 1

Lancaster Laboratories Sample No. 5538768 AQ

Group No. 1121555

75S-Subslab 986 Air BP Sanborn COC: 181163 2040 Cory Dr-Sanborn, NY 75S- 986

Collected:11/20/2008 12:58 by JWS

Submitted: 11/22/2008 10:20 Reported: 12/15/2008 at 09:52 Discard: 01/15/2009 Account Number: 12495

Atlantic Richfield(Parsons-NY) BP Corporation 501 WestLake Park Blvd Houston TX 77079

--986 SDG#: BPP10-05

CAT			As Received Final			As Received Final			
No.	Analysis Name	CAS Number	Result	MDL	Units	Result	MDL	Units	DF
07345	TO-15 by SIM								
07349	Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	N.D.	0.0230	ug/m3	N.D.	0.00900	ppb(v)	1
07358	1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	N.D.	0.0238	ug/m3	N.D.	0.00600	ppb(v)	1
07366	Methylene Chloride	75-09-2	0.915	0.0278	ug/m3	0.263	0.00800	ppb(v)	1
07368	trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	N.D.	0.0396	ug/m3	N.D.	0.0100	ppb(v)	1
07371	1,1-Dichloroethane	75-34-3	N.D.	0.0364	ug/m3	N.D.	0.00900	ppb(v)	1
07372	cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	N.D.	0.0555	ug/m3	N.D.	0.0140	ppb(v)	1
07373	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	71-55-6	N.D.	0.0709	ug/m3	N.D.	0.0130	ppb(v)	1
07377	Trichloroethene	79-01-6	N.D.	0.107	ug/m3	N.D.	0.0200	ppb(v)	1
						-			

Methylene chloride was detected in the method blank associated with this sample at a concentration of 0.03 ppbv. Since this concentration was below the client specified limit, no further action was taken.

The GC/MS volatile internal standard peak areas were outside QC limits. The sample was re-analyzed and internal standard areas were again outside of QC limits, indicating a sample matrix effect on internal standard response.

All QC is compliant unless otherwise noted. Please refer to the Quality Control Summary for overall QC performance data and associated samples.

		Laboratory	Chronic	cle		
CAT		-		Analysis		Dilution
No.	Analysis Name	Method	Trial#	Date and Time	Analyst	Factor
07345	TO-15 by SIM	EPA TO-15 using SIM	1	12/06/2008 17:45	Jonathan K Nardelli	1





Page 1 of 1

Lancaster Laboratories Sample No. 5538769 AQ

Group No. 1121555

74S-OA 136 Air BP Sanborn COC: 181163 2040 Cory Dr-Sanborn, NY 74S- 136

Collected:11/20/2008 12:00 by JWS

Submitted: 11/22/2008 10:20 Reported: 12/15/2008 at 09:52 Discard: 01/15/2009 Account Number: 12495

Atlantic Richfield(Parsons-NY) BP Corporation 501 WestLake Park Blvd Houston TX 77079

--136 SDG#: BPP10-06

CAT			As Received Final			As Received Final			
No.	Analysis Name	CAS Number	Result	MDL	Units	Result	MDL	Units	DF
07345	TO-15 by SIM								
07349	Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	N.D.	0.0230	ug/m3	N.D.	0.00900	ppb(v)	1
07358	1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	N.D.	0.0238	ug/m3	N.D.	0.00600	ppb(v)	1
07366	Methylene Chloride	75-09-2	1.30	0.0278	ug/m3	0.373	0.00800	ppb(v)	1
07368	trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	N.D.	0.0396	ug/m3	N.D.	0.0100	ppb(v)	1
07371	1,1-Dichloroethane	75-34-3	N.D.	0.0364	ug/m3	N.D.	0.00900	ppb(v)	1
07372	cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	N.D.	0.0555	ug/m3	N.D.	0.0140	ppb(v)	1
07373	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	71-55-6	0.0770 J	0.0709	ug/m3	0.0141 J	0.0130	ppb(v)	1
07377	Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.238 J	0.107	ug/m3	0.0443 J	0.0200	ppb(v)	1
						_			

Methylene chloride was detected in the method blank associated with this sample at a concentration of 0.03 ppbv. Since this concentration was below the client specified limit, no further action was taken.

All QC is compliant unless otherwise noted. Please refer to the Quality Control Summary for overall QC performance data and associated samples.

		Laboratory	Chronic	cle		
CAT		-		Analysis		Dilution
No.	Analysis Name	Method	Trial#	Date and Time	Analyst	Factor
07345	TO-15 by SIM	EPA TO-15 using SIM	1	12/06/2008 13:53	Jonathan K Nardelli	1





Page 1 of 1

Lancaster Laboratories Sample No. 5538770 AQ

Group No. 1121555

74S-IA 319 Air BP Sanborn COC: 181163 2040 Cory Dr-Sanborn, NY 74S- 319

Collected:11/20/2008 12:00 by JWS

Submitted: 11/22/2008 10:20 Reported: 12/15/2008 at 09:52 Discard: 01/15/2009 Account Number: 12495

Atlantic Richfield(Parsons-NY) BP Corporation 501 WestLake Park Blvd Houston TX 77079

--319 SDG#: BPP10-07\*

CAT			As Received Final			As Received Final			
No.	Analysis Name	CAS Number	Result	MDL	Units	Result	MDL	Units	DF
07345	TO-15 by SIM								
07349	Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	N.D.	0.0230	ug/m3	N.D.	0.00900	ppb(v)	1
07358	1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	N.D.	0.0238	ug/m3	N.D.	0.00600	ppb(v)	1
07366	Methylene Chloride	75-09-2	1.21	0.0278	ug/m3	0.347	0.00800	ppb(v)	1
07368	trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	N.D.	0.0396	ug/m3	N.D.	0.0100	ppb(v)	1
07371	1,1-Dichloroethane	75-34-3	N.D.	0.0364	ug/m3	N.D.	0.00900	ppb(v)	1
07372	cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	N.D.	0.0555	ug/m3	N.D.	0.0140	ppb(v)	1
07373	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	71-55-6	N.D.	0.0709	ug/m3	N.D.	0.0130	ppb(v)	1
07377	Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.256 J	0.107	ug/m3	0.0476 J	0.0200	ppb(v)	1
						_			

Methylene chloride was detected in the method blank associated with this sample at a concentration of 0.03 ppbv. Since this concentration was below the client specified limit, no further action was taken.

All QC is compliant unless otherwise noted. Please refer to the Quality Control Summary for overall QC performance data and associated samples.

		Laboratory	Chronic	cle		
CAT		-		Analysis		Dilution
No.	Analysis Name	Method	Trial#	Date and Time	Analyst	Factor
07345	TO-15 by SIM	EPA TO-15 using SIM	1	12/06/2008 14:29	Jonathan K Nardelli	1





Page 1 of 1

## Quality Control Summary

Client Name: Atlantic Richfield(Parsons-NY) Reported: 12/15/08 at 09:52 AM

Group Number: 1121555

Matrix QC may not be reported if site-specific QC samples were not submitted. In these situations, to demonstrate precision and accuracy at a batch level, a LCS/LCSD was performed, unless otherwise specified in the method.

## Laboratory Compliance Quality Control

Analysis Name	Blank <u>Result</u>	Blank <u>MDL</u>	Report <u>Units</u>	LCS <u>%REC</u>	LCSD <u>%REC</u>	LCS/LCSD <u>Limits</u>	<u>RPD</u>	<u>RPD Max</u>
Batch number: C0833830AB	Sample num	ber(s):	5538764,553	8766,5538	768-553877	0		
Vinyl Chloride	N.D.	0.0230	ug/m3	116	93	70-130	22	25
1,1-Dichloroethene	N.D.	0.0238	ug/m3	132*	109	70-130	19	25
Methylene Chloride	0.109 J	0.0278	ug/m3	116	104	70-130	11	25
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	N.D.	0.0396	ug/m3					
1,1-Dichloroethane	N.D.	0.0364	ug/m3	99	89	70-130	11	25
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	N.D.	0.0555	ug/m3	113	103	70-130	9	25
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	N.D.	0.0709	ug/m3	113	103	70-130	10	25
Trichloroethene	N.D.	0.107	ug/m3	172	151	49-173	13	25
Batch number: C0833830AC	Sample num	ber(s):	5538765,553	8767				
Vinyl Chloride	N.D.	0.0230	uq/m3	116	93	70-130	22	25
1,1-Dichloroethene	N.D.	0.0238	ug/m3	132*	109	70-130	19	25
Methylene Chloride	0.109 J	0.0278	ug/m3	116	104	70-130	11	25
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	N.D.	0.0396	ug/m3					
1,1-Dichloroethane	N.D.	0.0364	uq/m3	99	89	70-130	11	25
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	N.D.	0.0555	ug/m3	113	103	70-130	9	25
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	N.D.	0.0709	ug/m3	113	103	70-130	10	25
Trichloroethene	N.D.	0.107	ug/m3	172	151	49-173	13	25
Batch number: C0834330AA	Sample num	ber(s):	5538764					
Methylene Chloride	0.114 J	0.0278	ug/m3	100	106	70-130	5	25

\*- Outside of specification

(1) The result for one or both determinations was less than five times the LOQ.

(2) The unspiked result was more than four times the spike added.

## Lancaster Laboratories

Project Name: BP Sanborn LLI Group #: 1121555

## General Comments:

Through our technical processes and second person review of data, we have established that our data/deliverables are in compliance with the methods and project requirements unless otherwise noted or previously resolved with the client. The compliance signature is located on the cover page of the Analysis Reports.

See the Laboratory Chronicle section of the Analysis Report for the method references

All QC met criteria unless otherwise noted in an Analysis Specific Comment below. Refer to the QC Summary for specific values and acceptance criteria.

Matrix QC may not be reported if site-specific QC samples were not submitted. In these situations, to demonstrate precision and accuracy at a batch level, a LCS/LCSD was performed, unless otherwise specified in the method.

Surrogate recoveries (if applicable) which are outside of the QC window are confirmed unless attributed to a dilution or otherwise noted in an Analysis Specific Comment below.

The samples were received at the appropriate temperature and in accordance with the chain of custody unless otherwise noted.

#### Analysis Specific Comments:

## 07345: TO-15 by SIM

Batch #: C0833830AB (Sample number(s): 5538764, 5538766, 5538768-5538770) The recovery(ies) for the following analyte(s) in the LCS and/or LCSD exceeded the acceptance window indicating a positive bias: 1,1-Dichloroethene

#### Batch #: C0833830AC (Sample number(s): 5538765, 5538767)

The recovery(ies) for the following analyte(s) in the LCS and/or LCSD exceeded the acceptance window indicating a positive bias: 1,1-Dichloroethene

Sample #s: 5538765, 5538766, 5538769, 5538770

Methylene chloride was detected in the method blank associated with this sample

at a concentration of 0.03 ppbv. Since this concentration was below the client

specified limit, no further action was taken.

<u>Sample #s: 5538767</u>

Methylene chloride was detected in the method blank associated with this sample

at a concentration of 0.03 ppbv. Since this concentration was below the client

specified limit, no further action was taken. The GC/MS volatile internal standard peak area for the internal standard used to

quantify trichloroethene was outside QC limits. Sufficient sample volume was not remaining to reanalyze the sample.

<u>Sample #s: 5538764, 5538768</u>

Methylene chloride was detected in the method blank associated with this sampĺe

at a concentration of 0.03 ppbv. Since this concentration was below the client

specified limit, no further action was taken. The GC/MS volatile internal standard peak areas were outside QC limits. The sample was re-analyzed and internal standard areas were again outside of QC limits, indicating a sample matrix effect on internal standard response.

A (	tlantic Richfield ompany A BP affiliated company	95/11 Cha Projec BP BI State	12/53 in of ct Nam U/AR F or Lead	SS Cu e: Regio d Re	/553 istod n/Enfo gulator Re	38764-70 y Record Sanbain s Segment: y Agency: equested Due Date		18	1 /yy):	16 Ar Ec	3		741	-	On Of Sk Wi	-site f-site y Con teoro nd Sp	Time Time dition logica eed:	:: :: s: □ 1 Eve	nts:	I GM 1 30 PM 1 	Yage 'emp: 'emp: Direction:	of 30 30	
.ab N	ame: Lancastre Lab					BP/AR Facility N	o.:								Consultant/Contractor: PAr SO 1								
ddre	ess: with New Holland File BP/AR Facility Address: 2040 Cory Dr. Sendola NY											<u> </u>	Ad	dress:	40	49	14.7	nere Dr	59.10	550			
Site Lat/Long:												_მე	i fif		NY 14:	222							
ab Pl	M: Jessieg OKnets	K.	-2			California Global	ID N	).: 		<del>n</del> n		<del>,,</del>	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	nsulta	nt/Co	ntrac	tor Pro	oject No.: 4:	14103		
ele/F	ax: TI + (0) 4 2500	× 181	د			Enfos Project No.	<u>: C</u>	> 0	$\gamma c$	XO.	ا شر <b>م)</b>	1.5	•••		<u></u>	nsulta	nt/Co	ntrac	tor PN	1:			
P/AI	EBM: Dill Darber		27-14	-7		Provision or OOC	(circ	le on	e)						Te	le/Fax	:	40	<i>€</i> ⇒	0640120			
ddre	SS: 7830 EAST 97 Jin	AT 11	5C 17	7		Phase/WBS:	70								Re	port T	ype &	: QC	Level	•			
Laynon Hights, DR 99125 Sub Phase/Task: E-mail EDD To:																							
ele/P	$\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}$			, ı		Cost Element:										roice t	<u>o: Co</u>	nsult	ant or	BP of Atlantic	Richfield	Co (cire	le one)
tem No.	Sample Description	Time	Date	Soil/Solid	Water/Liquid Air	Laboratory No.	No. of Containers	Unpreserved	H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	HNO <sub>3</sub>	HCI .	Methanol	To-15							Sampl	e Point l Comn	Lat/Long ients	and
1	735-Substab 1011	105	11/20		V		1	V					V	Τ		Τ	Π						
2	735-5,651.6 983	1059	41 20		1		1	$\overline{V}$			$\neg$		1								·		
-	615-TA 1027	1900	1.119			-		V			+	+				+		+			<u> </u>		
<u>_</u>	775-Subsid 917	17410	11/20	╟─┼	╶┼╦┼╴		17	7			+	+		╞╴╞		-							
4	76. Challe 996	1258	1120	┢─┼	+		1				+	-						$\dashv$					
5	733 30 30 100	( An	11/20	╟┈┼	╡╹	_	Ļ	Ľ,										_		_			
6	14)-01 156	1100	1917		4		<u> </u>	¥					<i>v</i>									·	
7	795-IA 319	<b>[2</b> 00	11/9		И		11	V					1										
8											T												<u></u>
0			1					Ī			-					<b>†</b>							· · · · ·
<u>í</u>							-	┨───		$\left  \right $	+		╶╢─┼╌	┼┼	+	+	┝╌╴┠	+	+				
10	laria Nama		1.2				Ļ	<u> </u>															<u> </u>
amp	Reinquisted By / Affiliation Date											A	ccept	ed By	/ Affiliation		Date	Time					
ասք hinn	ent Date: 11/21/08					A Contraction of the second se	<u>.</u>				;			<u> </u>	<b>—</b>					_/			┣───
hinn	ent Method: Fed EX									$\neq$				┠			,			$-1 \cap$			┣
hipn	nent Tracking No:									+			-	<b> </b>	-++	てつ	TLO.	4	<b>オ</b> つ	title	$\overline{-1}$	2216	10
pecia	I Instructions: T(E ; Tra	1m3 - 1	1,2-1	RE	; 625	T.Z-DEE :	VC.	;1	-7-	• [] (	6 ; ;	1:11	hyprot	" C470	ide.	7.	1-0	T.	17	CA	<u> </u>		<u> </u>
	Custody Seals In Place: Yes	NØ I	Tem	p Bla	nk: Yes		r Ten	np or	Red Richfi	ceipt: ield C	NA o. Cor	°F/C		rip Bla	nk: Y		<u>)</u>		MS/N	ISD Sample S	ubmitte	d: Yes 1	NO)



# Environmental Sample Administration Receipt Documentation Log

	-			
Client/Project:	Parson	Shipping Container Sealed:	(YES)	NO
Date of Receipt:	11/22/08	Custody Seal Present:	YES	NO
Time of Receipt:	0:20	Custody Seal Intact:	YES	NO NA
Source Code:	50-1	Package:	Chilled	Not Chilled
Unpacker Emp. No.	1115			

Temperature of Shipping Containers										
Cooler #	Thermometer ID	Temperature (°C)	Temp Bottle (TB) or Surface Temp (ST)	Wet Ice (WI) or Dry Ice (DI) or Ice Packs (IP)	Ice Present? Y/N	Loose (L) Bagged Ice (B) or NA	Comments			
1										
2										
3										
4										
5										
6										

Number of Trip Blanks received <u>NOT</u> listed on chain of custody:

Paperwork Discrepancy/Unpacking Problems:

Samp	le Administration Int	ernal Chain of (	Custody
Name	Date	Time	Reason for Transfer
Kaile Nanch	11/22/08	13:27	Unpacking to store
nc -	11/22/08	1637	Place in Storage or Entry
			Entry
			Entry

## Lancaster Laboratories Explanation of Symbols and Abbreviations

The following defines common symbols and abbreviations used in reporting technical data:

N.D.	none detected	BMQL	Below Minimum Quantitation Level
	International Units		NOSt Probable Nulliber
	international Units	CP Units	cobait-chioroplatinate units
umhos/cm	micromhos/cm	NTU	nephelometric turbidity units
С	degrees Celsius	F	degrees Fahrenheit
Cal	(diet) calories	lb.	pound(s)
meq	milliequivalents	kg	kilogram(s)
g	gram(s)	mg	milligram(s)
ug	microgram(s)	I	liter(s)
ml	milliliter(s)	ul	microliter(s)
m3	cubic meter(s)	fib >5 um/ml	fibers greater than 5 microns in length per ml

 less than – The number following the sign is the <u>limit of quantitation</u>, the smallest amount of analyte which can be reliably determined using this specific test.

- > greater than
- ppm parts per million One ppm is equivalent to one milligram per kilogram (mg/kg), or one gram per million grams. For aqueous liquids, ppm is usually taken to be equivalent to milligrams per liter (mg/l), because one liter of water has a weight very close to a kilogram. For gases or vapors, one ppm is equivalent to one microliter of gas per liter of gas.
- ppb parts per billion

**Dry weight basis** Results printed under this heading have been adjusted for moisture content. This increases the analyte weight concentration to approximate the value present in a similar sample without moisture.

U.S. EPA data qualifiers:

## **Organic Qualifiers**

- **A** TIC is a possible aldol-condensation product
- **B** Analyte was also detected in the blank
- C Pesticide result confirmed by GC/MS
- **D** Compound quatitated on a diluted sample
- E Concentration exceeds the calibration range of the instrument
- J Estimated value
- **N** Presumptive evidence of a compound (TICs only)
- **P** Concentration difference between primary and confirmation columns >25%
- **U** Compound was not detected
- **X,Y,Z** Defined in case narrative

## **Inorganic Qualifiers**

- B Value is <CRDL, but ≥IDL
- **E** Estimated due to interference
- **M** Duplicate injection precision not met
- **N** Spike amount not within control limits
- S Method of standard additions (MSA) used for calculation
- U Compound was not detected
- W Post digestion spike out of control limits
- \* Duplicate analysis not within control limits
- + Correlation coefficient for MSA < 0.995

Analytical test results for methods listed on the laboratories' accreditation scope meet all requirements of NELAC unless otherwise noted under the individual analysis.

Tests results relate only to the sample tested. Clients should be aware that a critical step in a chemical or microbiological analysis is the collection of the sample. Unless the sample analyzed is truly representative of the bulk of material involved, the test results will be meaningless. If you have questions regarding the proper techniques of collecting samples, please contact us. We cannot be held responsible for sample integrity, however, unless sampling has been performed by a member of our staff. This report shall not be reproduced except in full, without the written approval of the laboratory.

WARRANTY AND LIMITS OF LIABILITY – In accepting analytical work, we warrant the accuracy of test results for the sample as submitted. THE FOREGOING EXPRESS WARRANTY IS EXCLUSIVE AND IS GIVEN IN LIEU OF ALL OTHER WARRANTIES, EXPRESSED OR IMPLIED. WE DISCLAIM ANY OTHER WARRANTIES, EXPRESSED OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING A WARRANTY OF FITNESS FOR PARTICULAR PURPOSE AND WARRANTY OF MERCHANTABILITY. IN NO EVENT SHALL LANCASTER LABORATORIES BE LIABLE FOR INDIRECT, SPECIAL, CONSEQUENTIAL, OR INCIDENTAL DAMAGES INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, DAMAGES FOR LOSS OF PROFIT OR GOODWILL REGARDLESS OF (A) THE NEGLIGENCE (EITHER SOLE OR CONCURRENT) OF LANCASTER LABORATORIES AND (B) WHETHER LANCASTER LABORATORIES HAS BEEN INFORMED OF THE POSSIBILITY OF SUCH DAMAGES. We accept no legal responsibility for the purposes for which the client uses the test results. No purchase order or other order for work shall be accepted by Lancaster Laboratories which includes any conditions that vary from the Standard Terms and Conditions of Lancaster Laboratories and we hereby object to any conflicting terms contained in any acceptance or order submitted by client.





## ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Prepared for:

Atlantic Richfield(Parsons-NY) BP Corporation 501 WestLake Park Blvd Houston TX 77079

281-366-2000

Prepared by:

Lancaster Laboratories 2425 New Holland Pike Lancaster, PA 17605-2425

## SAMPLE GROUP

The sample group for this submittal is 1121792. Samples arrived at the laboratory on Tuesday, November 25, 2008. The PO# for this group is 001Q0-0130 and the release number is BARBER.

Client Description 73S IA 005 Air 75S IA 048 Air 75S OA 147 Air 77S IA 506 Air

1 COPY TO Parsons ELECTRONIC Parsons COPY TO Lancaster Labs Number 5540122 5540123 5540124 5540125

Attn: George Hermance Attn: Lorraine Weber





Questions? Contact your Client Services Representative Jessica A Oknefski at (717) 656-2300

Respectfully Submitted,

chard J. Muhal

Charles J. Neslund Manager





Page 1 of 1

Lancaster Laboratories Sample No. 5540122 AQ

Group No. 1121792

73S IA 005 Air BP Sanborn COC: 181162 2040 Cory Dr - Sanborn, NY 73S IA

Collected:11/20/2008 12:10 by JWS

Account Number: 12495

Submitted: 11/25/2008 09:55 Reported: 12/08/2008 at 16:28 Discard: 01/08/2009 Atlantic Richfield(Parsons-NY) BP Corporation 501 WestLake Park Blvd Houston TX 77079

CAT			As Received Final			As Received Final			
No.	Analysis Name	CAS Number	Result	MDL	Units	Result	MDL	Units	DF
07345	TO-15 by SIM								
07349	Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	N.D.	0.0230	ug/m3	N.D.	0.00900	ppb(v)	1
07358	1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	N.D.	0.0238	ug/m3	N.D.	0.00600	ppb(v)	1
07366	Methylene Chloride	75-09-2	1.04	0.0278	ug/m3	0.298	0.00800	ppb(v)	1
07368	trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	N.D.	0.0396	ug/m3	N.D.	0.0100	ppb(v)	1
07371	1,1-Dichloroethane	75-34-3	N.D.	0.0364	ug/m3	N.D.	0.00900	ppb(v)	1
07372	cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	N.D.	0.0555	ug/m3	N.D.	0.0140	ppb(v)	1
07373	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	71-55-6	N.D.	0.0709	ug/m3	N.D.	0.0130	ppb(v)	1
07377	Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.116 J	0.107	ug/m3	0.0216 J	0.0200	ppb(v)	1
						7			

Methylene chloride was detected in the method blank associated with this sample at a concentration of 0.03 ppbv. Since this concentration was below the client specified limit, no further action was taken.

All QC is compliant unless otherwise noted. Please refer to the Quality Control Summary for overall QC performance data and associated samples.

Laboratory Chronicle						
CAT		-		Analysis		Dilution
No.	Analysis Name	Method	Trial#	Date and Time	Analyst	Factor
07345	TO-15 by SIM	EPA TO-15 using SIM	1	12/06/2008 01:27	Jonathan K Nardelli	1




Page 1 of 1

Lancaster Laboratories Sample No. 5540123 AQ

Group No. 1121792

75S IA 048 Air BP Sanborn COC: 181162 2040 Cory Dr - Sanborn, NY 75S IA

Collected:11/20/2008 12:45 by JWS

Account Number: 12495

Submitted: 11/25/2008 09:55 Reported: 12/08/2008 at 16:28 Discard: 01/08/2009 Atlantic Richfield(Parsons-NY) BP Corporation 501 WestLake Park Blvd Houston TX 77079

CAT			As Received Final			As Received Final			
No.	Analysis Name	CAS Number	Result	MDL	Units	Result	MDL	Units	DF
07345	TO-15 by SIM								
07349	Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	N.D.	0.0230	ug/m3	N.D.	0.00900	ppb(v)	1
07358	1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	N.D.	0.0238	ug/m3	N.D.	0.00600	ppb(v)	1
07366	Methylene Chloride	75-09-2	0.382	0.0278	ug/m3	0.110	0.00800	ppb(v)	1
07368	trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	N.D.	0.0396	ug/m3	N.D.	0.0100	ppb(v)	1
07371	1,1-Dichloroethane	75-34-3	N.D.	0.0364	ug/m3	N.D.	0.00900	ppb(v)	1
07372	cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	N.D.	0.0555	ug/m3	N.D.	0.0140	ppb(v)	1
07373	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	71-55-6	N.D.	0.0709	ug/m3	N.D.	0.0130	ppb(v)	1
07377	Trichloroethene	79-01-6	N.D.	0.107	ug/m3	N.D.	0.0200	ppb(v)	1
	Methylene chloride was detected	l in the metho	d blank ass	ociated	with this	sample			

at a concentration of 0.03 ppbv. Since this concentration was below the client specified limit, no further action was taken.

All QC is compliant unless otherwise noted. Please refer to the Quality Control Summary for overall QC performance data and associated samples.

MDL = Method Detection Limit

Laboratory Chronicle							
CAT		-		Analysis		Dilution	
No.	Analysis Name	Method	Trial#	Date and Time	Analyst	Factor	
07345	TO-15 by SIM	EPA TO-15 using SIM	1	12/06/2008 02:03	Jonathan K Nardelli	1	





Page 1 of 1

Lancaster Laboratories Sample No. 5540124 AQ

Group No. 1121792

75S OA 147 Air BP Sanborn COC: 181162 2040 Cory Dr - Sanborn, NY 75S OA

Collected:11/20/2008 12:55 by JWS

Account Number: 12495

Submitted: 11/25/2008 09:55 Reported: 12/08/2008 at 16:28 Discard: 01/08/2009 Atlantic Richfield(Parsons-NY) BP Corporation 501 WestLake Park Blvd Houston TX 77079

CAT			As Received Final			As Received Final			
No.	Analysis Name	CAS Number	Result	MDL	Units	Result	MDL	Units	DF
07345	TO-15 by SIM								
07349	Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	N.D.	0.0230	ug/m3	N.D.	0.00900	ppb(v)	1
07358	1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	N.D.	0.0238	ug/m3	N.D.	0.00600	ppb(v)	1
07366	Methylene Chloride	75-09-2	1.79	0.0278	ug/m3	0.515	0.00800	ppb(v)	1
07368	trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	N.D.	0.0396	ug/m3	N.D.	0.0100	ppb(v)	1
07371	1,1-Dichloroethane	75-34-3	N.D.	0.0364	ug/m3	N.D.	0.00900	ppb(v)	1
07372	cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	N.D.	0.0555	ug/m3	N.D.	0.0140	ppb(v)	1
07373	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	71-55-6	N.D.	0.0709	ug/m3	N.D.	0.0130	ppb(v)	1
07377	Trichloroethene	79-01-6	N.D.	0.107	ug/m3	N.D.	0.0200	ppb(v)	1
	Methylene chloride was detecte	d in the metho	d blank ass	ociated w	ith this	sample			

at a concentration of 0.03 ppbv. Since this concentration was below the client specified limit, no further action was taken.

All QC is compliant unless otherwise noted. Please refer to the Quality Control Summary for overall QC performance data and associated samples.

MDL = Method Detection Limit

Laboratory Chronicle							
CAT		-		Analysis		Dilution	
No.	Analysis Name	Method	Trial#	Date and Time	Analyst	Factor	
07345	TO-15 by SIM	EPA TO-15 using SIM	1	12/06/2008 02:40	Jonathan K Nardelli	1	





Page 1 of 1

Lancaster Laboratories Sample No. 5540125 AQ

Group No. 1121792

77S IA 506 Air BP Sanborn COC: 181162 2040 Cory Dr - Sanborn, NY 77S IA

Collected:11/20/2008 18:00 by JWS

Account Number: 12495

Submitted: 11/25/2008 09:55 Reported: 12/08/2008 at 16:28 Discard: 01/08/2009 Atlantic Richfield(Parsons-NY) BP Corporation 501 WestLake Park Blvd Houston TX 77079

CAT			As Received Final			As Received Final			
No.	Analysis Name	CAS Number	Result	MDL	Units	Result	MDL	Units	DF
07345	TO-15 by SIM								
07349	Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	N.D.	0.0230	ug/m3	N.D.	0.00900	ppb(v)	1
07358	1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	N.D.	0.0238	ug/m3	N.D.	0.00600	ppb(v)	1
07366	Methylene Chloride	75-09-2	0.492	0.0278	ug/m3	0.142	0.00800	ppb(v)	1
07368	trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	N.D.	0.0396	ug/m3	N.D.	0.0100	ppb(v)	1
07371	1,1-Dichloroethane	75-34-3	N.D.	0.0364	ug/m3	N.D.	0.00900	ppb(v)	1
07372	cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	N.D.	0.0555	ug/m3	N.D.	0.0140	ppb(v)	1
07373	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	71-55-6	0.0860 J	0.0709	ug/m3	0.0158 J	0.0130	ppb(v)	1
07377	Trichloroethene	79-01-6	0.143 J	0.107	ug/m3	0.0267 J	0.0200	ppb(v)	1
						_			

Methylene chloride was detected in the method blank associated with this sample at a concentration of 0.03 ppbv. Since this concentration was below the client specified limit, no further action was taken.

All QC is compliant unless otherwise noted. Please refer to the Quality Control Summary for overall QC performance data and associated samples.

MDL = Method Detection Limit

		Laboratory	Chronic	cle		
CAT		-		Analysis		Dilution
No.	Analysis Name	Method	Trial#	Date and Time	Analyst	Factor
07345	TO-15 by SIM	EPA TO-15 using SIM	1	12/06/2008 03:16	Jonathan K Nardelli	1





Page 1 of 1

#### Quality Control Summary

Client Name: Atlantic Richfield(Parsons-NY) Reported: 12/08/08 at 04:28 PM Group Number: 1121792

Matrix QC may not be reported if site-specific QC samples were not submitted. In these situations, to demonstrate precision and accuracy at a batch level, a LCS/LCSD was performed, unless otherwise specified in the method.

#### Laboratory Compliance Quality Control

Analysis Name H	Blank <u>Result</u>	Blank <u>MDL</u>	Report <u>Units</u>	LCS <u>%REC</u>	LCSD <u>%REC</u>	LCS/LCSD <u>Limits</u>	RPD	<u>RPD Max</u>
Batch number: C0833830AB	Sample numb	per(s): 55	40122-554	0125				
Vinyl Chloride N	N.D.	0.0230	ug/m3	116	93	70-130	22	25
1,1-Dichloroethene M	N.D.	0.0238	ug/m3	132*	109	70-130	19	25
Methylene Chloride (	0.109 J	0.0278	ug/m3	116	104	70-130	11	25
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	N.D.	0.0396	ug/m3					
1,1-Dichloroethane M	N.D.	0.0364	ug/m3	99	89	70-130	11	25
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	N.D.	0.0555	ug/m3	113	103	70-130	9	25
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	N.D.	0.0709	ug/m3	113	103	70-130	10	25
Trichloroethene M	N.D.	0.107	ug/m3	172	151	49-173	13	25

\*- Outside of specification

- (1) The result for one or both determinations was less than five times the LOQ.
- (2) The unspiked result was more than four times the spike added.

### Lancaster Laboratories

Project Name: BP Sanborn LLI Group #: 1121792

#### General Comments:

Through our technical processes and second person review of data, we have established that our data/deliverables are in compliance with the methods and project requirements unless otherwise noted or previously resolved with the client. The compliance signature is located on the cover page of the Analysis Reports.

See the Laboratory Chronicle section of the Analysis Report for the method references

All QC met criteria unless otherwise noted in an Analysis Specific Comment below. Refer to the QC Summary for specific values and acceptance criteria.

Matrix QC may not be reported if site-specific QC samples were not submitted. In these situations, to demonstrate precision and accuracy at a batch level, a LCS/LCSD was performed, unless otherwise specified in the method.

Surrogate recoveries (if applicable) which are outside of the QC window are confirmed unless attributed to a dilution or otherwise noted in an Analysis Specific Comment below.

The samples were received at the appropriate temperature and in accordance with the chain of custody unless otherwise noted.

#### Analysis Specific Comments:

#### 07345: TO-15 by SIM

Batch #: C0833830AB (Sample number(s): 5540122-5540125)

The recovery(ies) for the following analyte(s) in the LCS and/or LCSD exceeded the acceptance window indicating a positive bias: 1,1-Dichloroethene

Sample #s: 5540122, 5540123, 5540124, 5540125

Methylene chloride was detected in the method blank associated with this sample at a concentration of 0.03 ppbv. Since this concentration was below the client specified limit, no further action was taken.

4 () ()	tlantic Richfield ompany A BP affiliated company	A 249 Cha Project BP BI State	5/1 in of the Nam J/AR F or Lead	(217 Cus e: Region d Reg	92 stody /Enfos ulatory Rec	Segment: y Agency: quested Due Date		18 100 100 100	5 DE (yy):	.61 Air =c	2	lay	7	AT			n-site ff-site ky Co leteor /ind S	Tin Tin nditio ologic peed:	ne: ons: cal Ey	96 18 (1 vents: M	ph	Page Temp: Temp: //ght Sno( Directio	_of_1 24°F 2.8°F 	
Lab N	lame: Lancaster 1	Lab		·····		BP/AR Facility No	).;						-				onsult	ant/C	ontra	ctor:	<del>ا</del>	arsons		
Addro	55: 2425 New	Holland	PIKe	2		BP/AR Facility Ac	BP/AR Facility Address: 2040 Cory Dr. Sanburn, NY Address: 90 La Riviere, Dr. Suite 350								>									
	Lancaster, P.	A. 176	>01			Site Lat/Long: Buffalo, DY 14222																		
Lab P	M: Jessica Ok		California Global	ID No	).: 								C	onsult	ant/C	ontra	ictor !	Proje	ct No.: 44418-	1.0702	- 7			
Tele/	Fax: 117 656	1300	k 181	5		Enfos Project No.:	C	500	210	20	- (	513	6			0	onsult	ant/C	ontra	ctor :	PM:	bedige He	mant	2
BP/A	REBM: Bill Bar	oer.				Provision or OOC	(circ	le on	le)							Т	ele/Fa	x:	74	<u> 5</u>	41-	0730 or 71	64074	990
Addro	ess: 4850 East	ggin s	F. M	BC /	47	Phase/WBS:	40	)								R	eport	Туре	& Q(	C Lev	vel:			
	Cayahoga Hei	ghts ,01	4 4	125		Sub Phase/Task:	$\frac{0}{2}$	\$								E	-mail	EDD	To:					
Tele/	Fax: 216 271 80	38				Cost Element:		/								L	voice	to: C	Consu	ıltant	or Bl	P or Atlantic Richfie	ld Co. (circ	le one)
Lab ]	Bottle Order No:			M	atrix	_			Pr	eserv	vative	;	_		R	eque	ted A	nalys	sis				•	
Item No.	Sample Description	Tine	Date	Soil/Solid Water/Liquid	Air	Laboratory No.	No. of Container	Unpreserved	H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	HNO <sub>3</sub>	HCI	Methanol	51-15	2								Sample Poin Con	: Lat/Long ments	and
1	735 IA 005	1210	11/20		N		11	V	1	Τ			77	T	Π									
	755TA 048	1245	11/20		1		1	v		$\neg$			╢┶	1					+	1				
<u> </u>	700 20117	1755	1/22		1.7		1			+			┢	╉──					+	+		l		
3	1330A 191	1 (1)	170	╟──┼──	╇		$\ \tilde{\tau}\ $		+	_			ŀ	4—			_	_	-			l		
4	TESTA 306	1800	1/20		V		<u> </u>	Ľ					Ľ											
5																								
6			· · · · ·																	1				
	·			╟─┼─	┿		╢──		+				╢─	+	+			•				f		
-7				┢──┠─	++-		╢──	$\vdash$	╉╌╂	-			1						+	-		<b></b>		
8		·						<b>[</b>	-									_					<u> </u>	
9																								
10		1									Ì													
Sam	oler's Name: James W	Schietz				A Reline	quishe	d By	/ Affil	ation	1			Qate	Tin	ie			Acce	pted	By / A	Affiliation	Date	Time
Sam	oler's Company; Parson	3				Juli Su	×4	<					Π	122	720	3			/	_				
Ship	ment Date: 1123 08					/	0			1	_							7		/	/			
Ship	nent Method: Fed ∉¥																1	/				1	1. Sec. 1.	
Ship	ment Tracking No:																7		~/	Je	$\mathcal{I}$	90	lited	01/60
Speci	al Instructions: T(E, +	rans - 1,2	-D <b>E</b>	i ; C	IS - T,	2-DIE;VC	<u>,</u> 1,	1~5	ν <b>C</b> E,	Me	th	y len	12	hlo	ride	;1,	FD	(A)	$\sqrt{10}$	. A	$\mathbb{Z}$			
	Custody Seals In Place: Yes No   Temp Blank: Yes Do   Cooler Temp on Receipt: F/C   Trip Blank: Yes / Do   MS/MSD Sample Submitted: Yes / No																							

1.1

•



### Environmental Sample Administration Receipt Documentation Log

Client/Project: Parsons	Shipping Container Se	aled: ES	NO
Date of Receipt:	Custody Seal Present:	YES	NO
Time of Receipt:0955	Custody Seal Intact:	YES	NO MA
Source Code: 50-1	Package:	Chilled	Not Chilled
Unpacker Emp. No.: 1454			

	Temperature of Shipping Containers									
Cooler #	Thermometer ID	Temperature (°C)	Temp Bottle (TB) or Surface Temp (ST)	Wet Ice (WI) or Dry Ice (DI) or Ice Packs (IP)	Ice Present? Y/N	Loose (L) Bagged Ice (B) or NA	Comments			
1										
2										
3										
4										
5		•		<b>`</b>						
6										

Number of Trip Blanks received NOT listed on chain of custody:

## Paperwork Discrepancy/Unpacking Problems:

Ree. 4 flow controllers

Şam	ple Administration Int	ernal Chain of	Custody
Name	Date	Time	Reason for Transfer
Conhund Damenson	11125/08	1121	Unpacking
Daneslund	11/25/08	1130	Place in Storage or Entry
	·····		Entry
	· ·		Entry

### Lancaster Laboratories Explanation of Symbols and Abbreviations

The following defines common symbols and abbreviations used in reporting technical data:

N.D.	none detected	BMQL	Below Minimum Quantitation Level
IU	International Units	CP Units	cobalt-chloroplatinate units
umhos/cm	micromhos/cm	NTU	nephelometric turbidity units
С	degrees Celsius	F	degrees Fahrenheit
Cal	(diet) calories	lb.	pound(s)
meq	milliequivalents	kg	kilogram(s)
g	gram(s)	mg	milligram(s)
ug	microgram(s)	I	liter(s)
mĪ	milliliter(s)	ul	microliter(s)
m3	cubic meter(s)	fib >5 um/ml	fibers greater than 5 microns in length per ml

 less than – The number following the sign is the <u>limit of quantitation</u>, the smallest amount of analyte which can be reliably determined using this specific test.

- > greater than
- ppm parts per million One ppm is equivalent to one milligram per kilogram (mg/kg), or one gram per million grams. For aqueous liquids, ppm is usually taken to be equivalent to milligrams per liter (mg/l), because one liter of water has a weight very close to a kilogram. For gases or vapors, one ppm is equivalent to one microliter of gas per liter of gas.
- ppb parts per billion

**Dry weight basis** Results printed under this heading have been adjusted for moisture content. This increases the analyte weight concentration to approximate the value present in a similar sample without moisture.

U.S. EPA data qualifiers:

#### **Organic Qualifiers**

- **A** TIC is a possible aldol-condensation product
- **B** Analyte was also detected in the blank
- C Pesticide result confirmed by GC/MS
- **D** Compound quatitated on a diluted sample
- E Concentration exceeds the calibration range of the instrument
- J Estimated value
- **N** Presumptive evidence of a compound (TICs only)
- **P** Concentration difference between primary and confirmation columns >25%
- **U** Compound was not detected
- **X,Y,Z** Defined in case narrative

#### **Inorganic Qualifiers**

- B Value is <CRDL, but ≥IDL
- **E** Estimated due to interference
- **M** Duplicate injection precision not met
- **N** Spike amount not within control limits
- S Method of standard additions (MSA) used for calculation
- U Compound was not detected
- W Post digestion spike out of control limits
- \* Duplicate analysis not within control limits
- + Correlation coefficient for MSA < 0.995

Analytical test results for methods listed on the laboratories' accreditation scope meet all requirements of NELAC unless otherwise noted under the individual analysis.

Tests results relate only to the sample tested. Clients should be aware that a critical step in a chemical or microbiological analysis is the collection of the sample. Unless the sample analyzed is truly representative of the bulk of material involved, the test results will be meaningless. If you have questions regarding the proper techniques of collecting samples, please contact us. We cannot be held responsible for sample integrity, however, unless sampling has been performed by a member of our staff. This report shall not be reproduced except in full, without the written approval of the laboratory.

WARRANTY AND LIMITS OF LIABILITY – In accepting analytical work, we warrant the accuracy of test results for the sample as submitted. THE FOREGOING EXPRESS WARRANTY IS EXCLUSIVE AND IS GIVEN IN LIEU OF ALL OTHER WARRANTIES, EXPRESSED OR IMPLIED. WE DISCLAIM ANY OTHER WARRANTIES, EXPRESSED OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING A WARRANTY OF FITNESS FOR PARTICULAR PURPOSE AND WARRANTY OF MERCHANTABILITY. IN NO EVENT SHALL LANCASTER LABORATORIES BE LIABLE FOR INDIRECT, SPECIAL, CONSEQUENTIAL, OR INCIDENTAL DAMAGES INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, DAMAGES FOR LOSS OF PROFIT OR GOODWILL REGARDLESS OF (A) THE NEGLIGENCE (EITHER SOLE OR CONCURRENT) OF LANCASTER LABORATORIES AND (B) WHETHER LANCASTER LABORATORIES HAS BEEN INFORMED OF THE POSSIBILITY OF SUCH DAMAGES. We accept no legal responsibility for the purposes for which the client uses the test results. No purchase order or other order for work shall be accepted by Lancaster Laboratories which includes any conditions that vary from the Standard Terms and Conditions of Lancaster Laboratories and we hereby object to any conflicting terms contained in any acceptance or order submitted by client.





#### ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Prepared for:

Atlantic Richfield(Parsons-NY) BP Corporation 501 WestLake Park Blvd Houston TX 77079

281-366-2000

Prepared by:

Lancaster Laboratories 2425 New Holland Pike Lancaster, PA 17605-2425

#### SAMPLE GROUP

The sample group for this submittal is 1123083. Samples arrived at the laboratory on Friday, December 05, 2008. The PO# for this group is 001Q0-0130 and the release number is BARBER.

Client Description	Lancaster Labs Number
65S-SS-922 Air	5548132
76S-SS-973 Air	5548133
65S-IA-012 Air	5548134
63S-SS-924 Air	5548135
63S-IA-155 Air	5548136
65S-OA-806 Air	5548137
76S-IA-513 Air	5548138
76S-IA-504 Air	5548139

#### **METHODOLOGY**

The specific methodologies used in obtaining the enclosed analytical results are indicated on the laboratory chronicles.

1 COPY TOParsonsELECTRONICParsonsCOPY TO11 COPY TOData Package Group

Attn: George Hermance Attn: Lorraine Weber





Questions? Contact your Client Services Representative Jessica A Oknefski at (717) 656-2300

Respectfully Submitted,

Chad Moline

Chad A. Moline Group Leader





Page 1 of 1

Lancaster Laboratories Sample No. 5548132 AQ

Group No. 1123083

65S-SS-922 Air BP Sanborn COC: 181178 2040 Cory Dr - Sanborn, NY 65S-SS

Collected:12/02/2008 10:30 by JWS

Submitted: 12/05/2008 08:45 Reported: 12/12/2008 at 15:11 Discard: 01/12/2009

SDG#: BPP11-01

As As Received Received CAT Final Final MDL Result MDL Units DF No. Analysis Name CAS Number Result Units 07345 TO-15 by SIM Vinyl Chloride 75-01-4 N.D. 0.0230 uq/m3 N.D. 0.00900 07349 ppb(v) 1 ppb(v) 07358 1,1-Dichloroethene 75-35-4 0.0869 J 0.0238 uq/m3 0.0219 J 0.00600 1 Methylene Chloride 75-09-2 0.615 0.0278 uq/m3 0.177 0.00800 07366 ppb(v) 1 ppb(v) 07368 trans-1,2-Dichloroethene 156-60-5 N.D. 0.0396 ug/m3 N.D. 0.0100 1 07371 1,1-Dichloroethane 75-34-3 N.D. 0.0364 ug/m3 N.D. 0.00900 1 ppb(v) 07372 cis-1,2-Dichloroethene 156-59-2 N.D. 0.0555 ug/m3 N.D. 0.0140 ppb(v) 1 07373 1,1,1-Trichloroethane 71-55-6 N.D. 0.0709 ug/m3 N.D. 0.0130 ppb(v) 1 07377 Trichloroethene 79-01-6 0.673 0.107 ug/m3 0.125 0.0200 ppb(v) 1

Methylene chloride was detected in the method blank associated with this sample at a concentration of 0.03 ppbv. Since this concentration was below the client specified limit, no further action was taken.

All QC is compliant unless otherwise noted. Please refer to the Quality Control Summary for overall QC performance data and associated samples.

MDL = Method Detection Limit

		Laboratory	Chronic	cle		
CAT		1		Analysis		Dilution
No.	Analysis Name	Method	Trial#	Date and Time	Analyst	Factor
07345	TO-15 by SIM	EPA TO-15 using SIM	1	12/09/2008 11:47	Jonathan K Nardelli	1

Account Number: 12495

Atlantic Richfield(Parsons-NY) BP Corporation 501 WestLake Park Blvd Houston TX 77079





Page 1 of 1

Lancaster Laboratories Sample No. 5548133 AQ

Group No. 1123083

76S-SS-973 Air BP Sanborn COC: 181178 2040 Cory Dr - Sanborn, NY 76S-SS

Collected:12/02/2008 14:11 by JWS

Submitted: 12/05/2008 08:45 Reported: 12/12/2008 at 15:11 Discard: 01/12/2009

SDG#: BPP11-02

As As Received Received CAT Final Final MDL MDL Units DF No. Analysis Name CAS Number Result Units Result 07345 TO-15 by SIM Vinyl Chloride 75-01-4 N.D. 0.0230 uq/m3 N.D. ppb(v) 07349 0.00900 1 ppb(v) 07358 1,1-Dichloroethene 75-35-4 N.D. 0.0238 uq/m3 N.D. 0.00600 1 Methylene Chloride 75-09-2 21.8 0.278 uq/m3 6.27 0.0800 10 07366 ppb(v) 07368 trans-1,2-Dichloroethene 156-60-5 N.D. 0.0396 ug/m3 N.D. 0.0100 1 ppb(v) 07371 1,1-Dichloroethane 75-34-3 N.D. 0.0364 ug/m3 N.D. 0.00900 1 ppb(v) 07372 cis-1,2-Dichloroethene 156-59-2 N.D. 0.0555 ug/m3 N.D. 0.0140 ppb(v) 1 07373 1,1,1-Trichloroethane 71-55-6 0.103 J 0.0709 ug/m3 0.0188 J 0.0130 ppb(v) 1 07377 Trichloroethene 79-01-6 0.193 J 0.107 ug/m3 0.0359 J 0.0200 ppb(v) 1

Methylene chloride was detected in the method blank associated with this sample at a concentration of 0.03 ppbv. Since this concentration was below the client specified limit, no further action was taken.

The GC/MS volatile internal standard peak areas were outside QC limits. The sample was re-analyzed and internal standard areas were again outside of QC limits, indicating a sample matrix effect on internal standard response.

All QC is compliant unless otherwise noted. Please refer to the Quality Control Summary for overall QC performance data and associated samples.

MDL = Method Detection Limit

		Laboratory				
CAT		1	Dilution			
No.	Analysis Name	Method	Trial#	Date and Time	Analyst	Factor
07345	TO-15 by SIM	EPA TO-15 using SIM	1	12/09/2008 00:58	Jonathan K Nardelli	1
07345	TO-15 by SIM	EPA TO-15 using SIM	1	12/09/2008 12:24	Jonathan K Nardelli	10

Account Number: 12495 Atlantic Richfield(Parsons-NY)

BP Corporation 501 WestLake Park Blvd Houston TX 77079





Page 1 of 1

Lancaster Laboratories Sample No. 5548134 AQ

Group No. 1123083

65S-IA-012 Air BP Sanborn COC: 181178 2040 Cory Dr - Sanborn, NY 65S-IA

Collected:12/02/2008 09:35 by JWS

Submitted: 12/05/2008 08:45 Reported: 12/12/2008 at 15:11 Discard: 01/12/2009

SDG#: BPP11-03

As As Received Received CAT Final Final MDL MDL Units DF No. Analysis Name CAS Number Result Units Result 07345 TO-15 by SIM Vinyl Chloride 75-01-4 N.D. 0.0230 ug/m3 N.D. 0.00900 07349 ppb(v) 1 ppb(v) 07358 1,1-Dichloroethene 75-35-4 N.D. 0.0238 uq/m3 N.D. 0.00600 1 Methylene Chloride 75-09-2 2.38 0.0278 uq/m3 0.686 0.00800 07366 ppb(v) 1 07368 trans-1,2-Dichloroethene 156-60-5 N.D. 0.0396 ug/m3 N.D. 0.0100 ppb(v) 1 07371 1,1-Dichloroethane 75-34-3 N.D. 0.0364 ug/m3 N.D. 0.00900 1 ppb(v) 07372 cis-1,2-Dichloroethene 156-59-2 N.D. 0.0555 ug/m3 N.D. 0.0140 1 ppb(v) 07373 1,1,1-Trichloroethane 71-55-6 N.D. 0.0709 ug/m3 N.D. 0.0130 ppb(v) 1 07377 Trichloroethene 79-01-6 0.320 0.107 ug/m3 0.0595 0.0200 ppb(v) 1

Methylene chloride was detected in the method blank associated with this sample at a concentration of 0.03 ppbv. Since this concentration was below the client specified limit, no further action was taken.

The GC/MS volatile internal standard peak areas were outside QC limits. The sample was re-analyzed and internal standard areas were again outside of QC limits, indicating a sample matrix effect on internal standard response.

All QC is compliant unless otherwise noted. Please refer to the Quality Control Summary for overall QC performance data and associated samples.

MDL = Method Detection Limit

		Laboratory	Chronic	cle							
CAT		Analysis									
No.	Analysis Name	Method	Trial#	Date and Time	Analyst	Factor					
07345	TO-15 by SIM	EPA TO-15 using SIM	1	12/09/2008 13:00	Jonathan K Nardelli	1					

Account Number: 12495

Atlantic Richfield(Parsons-NY) BP Corporation 501 WestLake Park Blvd Houston TX 77079





Page 1 of 1

Lancaster Laboratories Sample No. 5548135 AQ

Group No. 1123083

63S-SS-924 Air BP Sanborn COC: 181178 2040 Cory Dr - Sanborn, NY 63S-SS

Collected:12/02/2008 18:28 by JWS

Submitted: 12/05/2008 08:45 Reported: 12/12/2008 at 15:11 Discard: 01/12/2009

SDG#: BPP11-04

As As Received Received CAT Final Final MDL MDL Units DF No. Analysis Name CAS Number Result Units Result 07345 TO-15 by SIM Vinyl Chloride 75-01-4 N.D. 0.0230 uq/m3 N.D. 0.00900 ppb(v) 07349 1 ppb(v) 07358 1,1-Dichloroethene 75-35-4 N.D. 0.0238 uq/m3 N.D. 0.00600 1 Methylene Chloride 75-09-2 0.801 0.0278 uq/m3 0.231 0.00800 07366 ppb(v) 1 07368 trans-1,2-Dichloroethene 156-60-5 N.D. 0.0396 ug/m3 N.D. 0.0100 ppb(v) 1 07371 1,1-Dichloroethane 75-34-3 N.D. 0.0364 ug/m3 N.D. 0.00900 1 ppb(v) 07372 cis-1,2-Dichloroethene 156-59-2 N.D. 0.0555 ug/m3 N.D. 0.0140 ppb(v) 1 07373 1,1,1-Trichloroethane 71-55-6 0.227 J 0.0709 ug/m3 0.0416 J 0.0130 ppb(v) 1 07377 Trichloroethene 79-01-6 0.817 0.107 ug/m3 0.152 0.0200 ppb(v) 1

Methylene chloride was detected in the method blank associated with this sample at a concentration of 0.03 ppbv. Since this concentration was below the client specified limit, no further action was taken.

All QC is compliant unless otherwise noted. Please refer to the Quality Control Summary for overall QC performance data and associated samples.

MDL = Method Detection Limit

Laboratory Chronicle CAT Analysis Dilution No. Analysis Name Method Trial# Date and Time Analyst Factor 07345 TO-15 by SIM EPA TO-15 using SIM 1 12/09/2008 13:37 Jonathan K 1 Nardelli

Account Number: 12495 Atlantic Richfield(Parsons-NY)

BP Corporation 501 WestLake Park Blvd Houston TX 77079





Page 1 of 1

Lancaster Laboratories Sample No. 5548136 AQ

Group No. 1123083

63S-IA-155 Air BP Sanborn COC: 181178 2040 Cory Dr - Sanborn, NY 63S-IA

Collected:12/02/2008 18:45 by JWS

Submitted: 12/05/2008 08:45 Reported: 12/12/2008 at 15:11 Discard: 01/12/2009

SDG#: BPP11-05

As As Received Received CAT Final Final MDL Result MDL Units DF No. Analysis Name CAS Number Result Units 07345 TO-15 by SIM Vinyl Chloride 75-01-4 N.D. 0.0230 uq/m3 N.D. 0.00900 07349 ppb(v) 1 07358 1,1-Dichloroethene 75-35-4 N.D. 0.0238 uq/m3 N.D. 0.00600 ppb(v) 1 Methylene Chloride 75-09-2 0.271 0.0278 uq/m3 0.0781 0.00800 07366 ppb(v) 1 ppb(v) 07368 trans-1,2-Dichloroethene 156-60-5 N.D. 0.0396 ug/m3 N.D. 0.0100 1 07371 1,1-Dichloroethane 75-34-3 N.D. 0.0364 ug/m3 N.D. 0.00900 1 ppb(v) 07372 cis-1,2-Dichloroethene 156-59-2 N.D. 0.0555 ug/m3 N.D. 0.0140 ppb(v) 1 07373 1,1,1-Trichloroethane 71-55-6 N.D. 0.0709 ug/m3 N.D. 0.0130 ppb(v) 1 07377 Trichloroethene 79-01-6 0.281 0.107 ug/m3 0.0522 0.0200 ppb(v) 1

Methylene chloride was detected in the method blank associated with this sample at a concentration of 0.03 ppbv. Since this concentration was below the client specified limit, no further action was taken.

All QC is compliant unless otherwise noted. Please refer to the Quality Control Summary for overall QC performance data and associated samples.

MDL = Method Detection Limit

		Laboratory	Chronic	cle		
CAT		1		Analysis		Dilution
No.	Analysis Name	Method	Trial#	Date and Time	Analyst	Factor
07345	TO-15 by SIM	EPA TO-15 using SIM	1	12/09/2008 14:17	Jonathan K Nardelli	1

Account Number: 12495

Atlantic Richfield(Parsons-NY) BP Corporation 501 WestLake Park Blvd Houston TX 77079





Page 1 of 1

Lancaster Laboratories Sample No. 5548137 AQ

Group No. 1123083

65S-OA-806 Air BP Sanborn COC: 181178 2040 Cory Dr - Sanborn, NY 65S-OA

Collected:12/02/2008 10:55 by JWS

Submitted: 12/05/2008 08:45 Reported: 12/12/2008 at 15:11 Discard: 01/12/2009

SDG#: BPP11-06

As As Received Received CAT Final Final MDL Result MDL Units DF No. Analysis Name CAS Number Result Units 07345 TO-15 by SIM Vinyl Chloride 75-01-4 N.D. 0.0230 uq/m3 N.D. 0.00900 07349 ppb(v) 1 07358 1,1-Dichloroethene 75-35-4 N.D. 0.0238 uq/m3 N.D. 0.00600 ppb(v) 1 Methylene Chloride 75-09-2 0.257 0.0278 uq/m3 0.0739 0.00800 07366 ppb(v) 1 ppb(v) 07368 trans-1,2-Dichloroethene 156-60-5 N.D. 0.0396 ug/m3 N.D. 0.0100 1 07371 1,1-Dichloroethane 75-34-3 N.D. 0.0364 ug/m3 N.D. 0.00900 1 ppb(v) 07372 cis-1,2-Dichloroethene 156-59-2 N.D. 0.0555 ug/m3 N.D. 0.0140 ppb(v) 1 07373 1,1,1-Trichloroethane 71-55-6 N.D. 0.0709 ug/m3 N.D. 0.0130 ppb(v) 1 07377 Trichloroethene 79-01-6 N.D. 0.107 ug/m3 N.D. 0.0200 ppb(v) 1

Methylene chloride was detected in the method blank associated with this sample at a concentration of 0.03 ppbv. Since this concentration was below the client specified limit, no further action was taken.

All QC is compliant unless otherwise noted. Please refer to the Quality Control Summary for overall QC performance data and associated samples.

MDL = Method Detection Limit

		Laboratory	Chronic	cle		
CAT		-		Analysis		Dilution
No.	Analysis Name	Method	Trial#	Date and Time	Analyst	Factor
07345	TO-15 by SIM	EPA TO-15 using SIM	1	12/09/2008 14:53	Jonathan K Nardelli	1

Account Number: 12495

Atlantic Richfield(Parsons-NY) BP Corporation 501 WestLake Park Blvd Houston TX 77079





Page 1 of 1

Lancaster Laboratories Sample No. 5548138 AQ

Group No. 1123083

Account Number: 12495

76S-IA-513 Air BP Sanborn COC: 181178 2040 Cory Dr - Sanborn, NY 76S-IA

Collected:12/02/2008 14:21 by JWS

Submitted: 12/05/2008 08:45 Reported: 12/12/2008 at 15:11 Discard: 01/12/2009

SDG#: BPP11-07

As As Received Received CAT Final Final MDL MDL Units DF No. Analysis Name CAS Number Result Units Result 07345 TO-15 by SIM Vinyl Chloride 75-01-4 N.D. 0.0230 uq/m3 N.D. 0.00900 ppb(v) 07349 1 ppb(v) 07358 1,1-Dichloroethene 75-35-4 N.D. 0.0238 uq/m3 N.D. 0.00600 1 Methylene Chloride 75-09-2 0.405 0.0278 uq/m3 0.117 0.00800 07366 ppb(v) 1 07368 trans-1,2-Dichloroethene 156-60-5 N.D. 0.0396 ug/m3 N.D. 0.0100 ppb(v) 1 07371 1,1-Dichloroethane 75-34-3 N.D. 0.0364 ug/m3 N.D. 0.00900 1 ppb(v) 07372 cis-1,2-Dichloroethene 156-59-2 0.404 0.0555 ug/m3 0.102 0.0140 ppb(v) 1 07373 1,1,1-Trichloroethane 71-55-6 N.D. 0.0709 ug/m3 N.D. 0.0130 ppb(v) 1 07377 Trichloroethene 79-01-6 0.265 J 0.107 ug/m3 0.0493 J 0.0200 ppb(v) 1

Methylene chloride was detected in the method blank associated with this sample at a concentration of 0.03 ppbv. Since this concentration was below the client specified limit, no further action was taken.

All QC is compliant unless otherwise noted. Please refer to the Quality Control Summary for overall QC performance data and associated samples.

MDL = Method Detection Limit

Laboratory Chronicle CAT Analysis Dilution No. Analysis Name Method Trial# Date and Time Analyst Factor 07345 TO-15 by SIM EPA TO-15 using SIM 1 12/09/2008 08:52 Jonathan K 1 Nardelli

Atlantic Richfield (Parsons-NY)

BP Corporation 501 WestLake Park Blvd Houston TX 77079





Page 1 of 1

Lancaster Laboratories Sample No. 5548139 AQ

Group No. 1123083

Account Number: 12495

76S-IA-504 Air BP Sanborn COC: 181178 2040 Cory Dr - Sanborn, NY 76S-IA

Collected:12/02/2008 14:21 by JWS

Submitted: 12/05/2008 08:45 Reported: 12/12/2008 at 15:12 Discard: 01/12/2009

SDG#: BPP11-08\*

As As Received Received CAT Final Final MDL MDL Units DF No. Analysis Name CAS Number Result Units Result 07345 TO-15 by SIM Vinyl Chloride 75-01-4 N.D. 0.0230 ug/m3 N.D. 0.00900 07349 ppb(v) 1 ppb(v) 07358 1,1-Dichloroethene 75-35-4 N.D. 0.0238 uq/m3 N.D. 0.00600 1 Methylene Chloride 75-09-2 0.902 0.0278 uq/m3 0.260 0.00800 07366 ppb(v) 1 07368 trans-1,2-Dichloroethene 156-60-5 N.D. 0.0396 ug/m3 N.D. 0.0100 ppb(v) 1 07371 1,1-Dichloroethane 75-34-3 N.D. 0.0364 ug/m3 N.D. 0.00900 1 ppb(v) 07372 cis-1,2-Dichloroethene 156-59-2 N.D. 0.0555 ug/m3 N.D. 0.0140 1 ppb(v) ppb(v) 07373 1,1,1-Trichloroethane 71-55-6 N.D. 0.0709 ug/m3 N.D. 0.0130 1 07377 Trichloroethene 79-01-6 N.D. 0.107 ug/m3 N.D. 0.0200 ppb(v) 1

Methylene chloride was detected in the method blank associated with this sample at a concentration of 0.03 ppbv. Since this concentration was below the client specified limit, no further action was taken.

The GC/MS volatile internal standard peak areas were outside QC limits. The sample was re-analyzed and internal standard areas were again outside of QC limits, indicating a sample matrix effect on internal standard response.

All QC is compliant unless otherwise noted. Please refer to the Quality Control Summary for overall QC performance data and associated samples.

MDL = Method Detection Limit

Laboratory Chronicle										
CAT		-	Analysis							
No.	Analysis Name	Method	Trial#	Date and Time	Analyst	Factor				
07345	TO-15 by SIM	EPA TO-15 using SIM	1	12/09/2008 15:29	Jonathan K Nardelli	1				

Atlantic Richfield(Parsons-NY) BP Corporation 501 WestLake Park Blvd

Houston TX 77079





Page 1 of 1

#### Quality Control Summary

Client Name: Atlantic Richfield(Parsons-NY) Reported: 12/12/08 at 03:12 PM Group Number: 1123083

Matrix QC may not be reported if site-specific QC samples were not submitted. In these situations, to demonstrate precision and accuracy at a batch level, a LCS/LCSD was performed, unless otherwise specified in the method.

#### Laboratory Compliance Quality Control

Analysis Name Res	nk Blank <u>ult MDL</u>	Report <u>Units</u>	LCS <u>%REC</u>	LCSD <u>%REC</u>	LCS/LCSD <u>Limits</u>	RPD	<u>RPD Max</u>
Batch number: C0834330AA Sam	ple number(s):	5548132-55	548139				
Vinyl Chloride N.D	. 0.0230	ug/m3	85	92	70-130	8	25
1,1-Dichloroethene N.D	. 0.0238	ug/m3	108	113	70-130	4	25
Methylene Chloride 0.1	14 J 0.0278	uq/m3	100	106	70-130	5	25
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene N.D	. 0.0396	ug/m3					
1,1-Dichloroethane N.D	. 0.0364	ug/m3	86	90	70-130	5	25
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene N.D	. 0.0555	ug/m3	96	101	70-130	5	25
1,1,1-Trichloroethane N.D	. 0.0709	ug/m3	101	104	70-130	3	25
Trichloroethene N.D	. 0.107	ug/m3	150	154	49-173	3	25

\*- Outside of specification

- (1) The result for one or both determinations was less than five times the LOQ.
- (2) The unspiked result was more than four times the spike added.

# ancaster

Project Name: BP Sanborn LLI Group #: 1123083

#### General Comments:

Through our technical processes and second person review of data, we have established that our data/deliverables are in compliance with the methods and project requirements unless otherwise noted or previously resolved with the client. The compliance signature is located on the cover page of the Analysis Reports.

See the Laboratory Chronicle section of the Analysis Report for the method references

All QC met criteria unless otherwise noted in an Analysis Specific Comment below. Refer to the QC Summary for specific values and acceptance criteria.

Matrix QC may not be reported if site-specific QC samples were not submitted. In these situations, to demonstrate precision and accuracy at a batch level, a LCS/LCSD was performed, unless otherwise specified in the method.

Surrogate recoveries (if applicable) which are outside of the QC window are confirmed unless attributed to a dilution or otherwise noted in an Analysis Specific Comment below.

The samples were received at the appropriate temperature and in accordance with the chain of custody unless otherwise noted.

#### Analysis Specific Comments:

07345: TO-15 by SIM

Sample #s: 5548132, 5548135, 5548136, 5548137, 5548138

Methylene chloride was detected in the method blank associated with this sample

at a concentration of 0.03 ppbv. Since this concentration was below the client

specified limit, no further action was taken.

Sample #s: 5548133, 5548134, 5548139

Methylene chloride was detected in the method blank associated with this sample

at a concentration of 0.03 ppbv. Since this concentration was below the client

specified limit, no further action was taken. The GC/MS volatile internal standard peak areas were outside QC limits. The sample was re-analyzed and internal standard areas were again outside of QC limits, indicating a sample matrix effect on internal standard response.

٨	tlantic Ala	495/	1123	308:	3/55	48132-39		18	11	178	ł									Page	2_ <b>1</b> _of_	1	
		Cha	in of	Cu	istody	y Record		<b>T</b> (	/ <b>-</b> ±		·				On-site	e Tin	ne:			Tem	p: 28	۶°F	
	Richtiela	Proje	ct Nam	le:	•	Sanbern I	ndo	c -	Air	•					Off-site Time: Temp: 30*						* F		
- C	Company	BP BU	U/AR F	Regio	n/Enfo	s Segment:								•	Sky Conditions: Variable								
k		State	or Lea	d Re	gulator	y Agency:	N	iYS	DEC	5					Meteor	rologie	al Ev	ents:	S	100			
A BP affiliated company Requested Due Date (mm/dd/yy): <u>5 day TAT</u>											Wind Speed: 5-10 MpH Direction: South												
Lab Na	Lab Name: Lancaster Lab BP/AR Facility No.:												Consu	ltant/C	ontra	ctor:	Pa	irsons					
Addres	ss: 2425 New Holle	and Pit	Ke		<del></del>	BP/AR Facility A	ddres	s: 2	040	<u>(ur</u> )	$D_{i}$	San	born, N	/	Addres	ss:	04	a f	<u> Vivi</u>	ere Dr. S	uite :	350	
	Lancaster PA 1	7601		·	·	Site Lat/Long:														144414			Ì
Lab PN	1: Jessien DKnefsk	1.01 E				California Global	ID N	0.:			~			<u> </u>	Consu	ltant/C	ontra	ctor I	Proje	<u>ct No.: 4441</u>	<u>83.07(</u>	327	
Tele/Fa	ax: 717 656 2300 ×	1815				Enfos Project No.	: <b>D</b>	200		2-13	<u>0</u>				Consu	ltant/C	ontra	ctor I	PM:	George He	I'Man(	<u>e</u>	
BP/AR	EBM: Bill Bryber	h 21	04 D C	111		Provision or OOC	circ	cle or	ne)		<u> </u>			<u>.</u>	Tele/F	ax: 7	<u> 6</u>	241	-07	-50 or 114	4074	990	
Addres	35: 4050 East 44	<u>· St. /</u>	TRC	17	t	Phase/WBS: 4	0								Report	Туре	<u>&amp; QC</u>	Lev	el:				
	<u>Cayanoga Height.</u>	SOH	441	25		Sub Phase/Task:	<u>vs</u>				<u>.</u>			. <u> </u>	E-mail	EDD	10:	1		Dem Adlensi's D'	16.110		
Tele/Fa	ax: 216 271 8030				Matria	Cost Element:		┉┈			ativo		u		Invoic	e to: C	onsu	Itant	or B	or Atlantic Ric	nnela Co	(circi	s one)
Item No.	Sample Description	Time	Date	Soil/Solid	Water/Liquid Air	Laboratory No.	No. of Containers	Unpreserved	H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	HNO <sub>3</sub>	Methanol		70-15							Sample P (	'oint Lat/ Comment	'Long a ts	nd
	655-55-922	12/2/08	1030		V		1	~				Į	V			$\square$							
2	765-55-973	1411	12/2/08	6	<u> </u>		1	V															
3	655-IA-012	0935	122		4		1	V					1				<u> </u>						
4	635-55-924	1828	12/2/2	•	11		2	$\mathbf{V}$			<u> </u>	<u> </u>	4			4-							
5	635-IA-155	1845	12/2				1					ļ'				4-		[					
6	655-0A-806	1055	12/2	┛			14	Ľ	['			<u> </u>	11		$\vdash$								
7	765-IA-513	1421	12/2		V		1	Ľ					1							 			
8	765-IA-504	1421	12/2			_	1	$\mathbb{M}$				<u> </u>			-			L		 			
9		-					_																]
10							<u> </u>	<u> </u>															
Sampl	ler's Name: James WSc	:huetz	·			Reljin	quisþ	ed By	/ Affi	liation			Date	Time			Acce	pted l	By / A	filiation		Date	Time
Samp	ler's Company: Parsons					huber	Æ	_					12/4/08	1010									
Shipm	nipment Date: 12/4/08																						
Shipm	nent Method: Fedex.												¶	Ļ	<b>  </b>	$-\mathcal{V}$	<u>_</u>	$ \geq $	94	14			<u></u>
Shipn	hipment Tracking No:																						
Specia	special Instructions: TCE; Trans-1,2-DCE; Cis-1,2-DCE; VC; 1,1-DCE; Methylene Chloride; 1,1-DCA; TCA																						
	Custody Seals In Place: Yes	/ No 🔰	Tem	ıp Bla	nnk: Yes	/ No Coole	r Ter	np o	n Rec	ceipt:	UA	F/C	Tr	ip Blanl	:Yes	No		MS	/MS	D Sample Sub	mitted: Y	Yes N	0
								Laho	raton											BRO	OC Boy 5	18/11/20	

48	Lancaster	Laboratories
V.	2425 New Holland Pi	ke • Lancaster, PA 17601

(Parsons) Receipt Documentation Log											
Client/Project: AtlanticRichfield	Shipping Container Sealed	YES	NO								
Date of Receipt: 12-5-08	Custody Seal Present:	YES	NO								
Time of Receipt: $0845$	Custody Seal Intact:	YES	NO	NA							
Source Code: <u>50-1</u>	Package:	Chilled (	Not C	hilled							
Unpacker Emp. No.: 2132											

Temperature of Shipping Containers													
Cooler #	Thermometer ID	Temperature (°C)	Temp Bottle (TB) or Surface Temp (ST)	Wet Ice (WI) or Dry Ice (DI) or Ice Packs (IP)	Ice Present? Y/N	Loose (L) Bagged Ice (B) or NA	Comments						
1													
2													
3													
4													
5													
6													

Number of Trip Blanks received <u>NOT</u> listed on chain of custody: \_\_\_\_\_

Paperwork Discrepancy/Unpacking Problems:

ID on tag on Summa # 504 Says 76 5-IA-513 Rova Extra summas: #929, 1000, 836,957 Rova I/ Flow controllers - 1 cracked glass face

Samp	ble Administration Inte	ernal Chain of (	Custody
Name	Date	Time	Reason for Transfer
Jula Moen	12-5-08	1056	Unpacking
Da Neslund	12/5/08	1100	Place in Storage or Entry
			Entry
			Entry

### Lancaster Laboratories Explanation of Symbols and Abbreviations

The following defines common symbols and abbreviations used in reporting technical data:

N.D.	none detected	BMQL	Below Minimum Quantitation Level
	International Units		NOSt Probable Nulliber
	international Units	CP Units	cobait-chioroplatinate units
umhos/cm	micromhos/cm	NTU	nephelometric turbidity units
С	degrees Celsius	F	degrees Fahrenheit
Cal	(diet) calories	lb.	pound(s)
meq	milliequivalents	kg	kilogram(s)
g	gram(s)	mg	milligram(s)
ug	microgram(s)	I	liter(s)
ml	milliliter(s)	ul	microliter(s)
m3	cubic meter(s)	fib >5 um/ml	fibers greater than 5 microns in length per ml

 less than – The number following the sign is the <u>limit of quantitation</u>, the smallest amount of analyte which can be reliably determined using this specific test.

- > greater than
- ppm parts per million One ppm is equivalent to one milligram per kilogram (mg/kg), or one gram per million grams. For aqueous liquids, ppm is usually taken to be equivalent to milligrams per liter (mg/l), because one liter of water has a weight very close to a kilogram. For gases or vapors, one ppm is equivalent to one microliter of gas per liter of gas.
- ppb parts per billion

**Dry weight basis** Results printed under this heading have been adjusted for moisture content. This increases the analyte weight concentration to approximate the value present in a similar sample without moisture.

U.S. EPA data qualifiers:

#### **Organic Qualifiers**

- **A** TIC is a possible aldol-condensation product
- **B** Analyte was also detected in the blank
- C Pesticide result confirmed by GC/MS
- **D** Compound quatitated on a diluted sample
- E Concentration exceeds the calibration range of the instrument
- J Estimated value
- **N** Presumptive evidence of a compound (TICs only)
- **P** Concentration difference between primary and confirmation columns >25%
- **U** Compound was not detected
- **X,Y,Z** Defined in case narrative

#### **Inorganic Qualifiers**

- B Value is <CRDL, but ≥IDL
- **E** Estimated due to interference
- **M** Duplicate injection precision not met
- **N** Spike amount not within control limits
- S Method of standard additions (MSA) used for calculation
- U Compound was not detected
- W Post digestion spike out of control limits
- \* Duplicate analysis not within control limits
- + Correlation coefficient for MSA < 0.995

Analytical test results for methods listed on the laboratories' accreditation scope meet all requirements of NELAC unless otherwise noted under the individual analysis.

Tests results relate only to the sample tested. Clients should be aware that a critical step in a chemical or microbiological analysis is the collection of the sample. Unless the sample analyzed is truly representative of the bulk of material involved, the test results will be meaningless. If you have questions regarding the proper techniques of collecting samples, please contact us. We cannot be held responsible for sample integrity, however, unless sampling has been performed by a member of our staff. This report shall not be reproduced except in full, without the written approval of the laboratory.

WARRANTY AND LIMITS OF LIABILITY – In accepting analytical work, we warrant the accuracy of test results for the sample as submitted. THE FOREGOING EXPRESS WARRANTY IS EXCLUSIVE AND IS GIVEN IN LIEU OF ALL OTHER WARRANTIES, EXPRESSED OR IMPLIED. WE DISCLAIM ANY OTHER WARRANTIES, EXPRESSED OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING A WARRANTY OF FITNESS FOR PARTICULAR PURPOSE AND WARRANTY OF MERCHANTABILITY. IN NO EVENT SHALL LANCASTER LABORATORIES BE LIABLE FOR INDIRECT, SPECIAL, CONSEQUENTIAL, OR INCIDENTAL DAMAGES INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, DAMAGES FOR LOSS OF PROFIT OR GOODWILL REGARDLESS OF (A) THE NEGLIGENCE (EITHER SOLE OR CONCURRENT) OF LANCASTER LABORATORIES AND (B) WHETHER LANCASTER LABORATORIES HAS BEEN INFORMED OF THE POSSIBILITY OF SUCH DAMAGES. We accept no legal responsibility for the purposes for which the client uses the test results. No purchase order or other order for work shall be accepted by Lancaster Laboratories which includes any conditions that vary from the Standard Terms and Conditions of Lancaster Laboratories and we hereby object to any conflicting terms contained in any acceptance or order submitted by client.

# DATA USABILITY SUMMARY REPORT

# **Soil Vapor Intrusion Samples**

Prepared By:



290 Elwood Davis Road, Suite 312 Liverpool, New York 13088 Phone: (315) 451-9560 Fax: (315) 451-9570

**FEBRUARY 2009** 

PARSONS

### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

 SECTION 1 DATA USABILITY SUMMARY
 1.1 LABORATORY DATA PACKAGES
 1.2 SAMPLING AND CHAIN-OF-CUSTODY
 1.3 LABORATORY ANALYTICAL METHODS.
 SECTION 2 DATA VALIDATION REPORT

### LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1-1	Summary	of Sample	Analy	ses and	Usability	- AIR	 
10010 -11 1	S willing a	01 20000			concine		 

### LIST OF ATTACHMENTS

Attachment A - Validated Laboratory Data

### **SECTION 1**

### DATA USABILITY SUMMARY

Air samples were collected for the Sanborn Site from November 18, 2008 through December 2, 2008. Analytical results from these samples were validated and reviewed by Parsons for usability with respect to the following requirements:

- Work Plan, and
- USEPA Region II Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs).

The analytical laboratory for this project was Lancaster Laboratories, Inc. (LLI) located in Lancaster, PA. This laboratory is certified in New York State to conduct project analyses through the National Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program (NELAP).

### **1.1 LABORATORY DATA PACKAGES**

The laboratory data package turnaround time, defined as the time from sample receipt by the laboratory to receipt of the analytical data packages by Parsons, was 38 days on average for the air samples.

The data packages received from LLI were paginated, complete, and overall were of good quality. Comments on specific quality control (QC) and other requirements are discussed in detail in the data validation report (Section 2).

### 1.2 SAMPLING AND CHAIN-OF-CUSTODY

The samples were collected, shipped under a COC record, and received at LLI within one to five days of sampling. All samples were received intact and in good condition at LLI.

### **1.3 LABORATORY ANALYTICAL METHODS**

The air samples were analyzed for the volatile organic compounds (VOCs) 1,1dichloroethane, 1,1-dichloroethene, 1,1,1-trichloroethane, cis-1,2-dichloroethene, trans-1,2dichloroethene, trichloroethene, methylene chloride, and vinyl chloride. Summaries of issues concerning this laboratory analysis are presented in Subsection 1.3.1. The data qualifications resulting from the data validation review and statements on the laboratory analytical precision, accuracy, representativeness, completeness, and comparability (PARCC) are discussed for each analytical method in Section 2. The laboratory data were reviewed and may be qualified with the following validation flags:

- "U" not detected at the value given,
- "UJ" estimated and not detected at the value given,
  - "J" estimated at the value given,

#### SANBORNAIRDUSR0209 R01.DOC

- "N" presumptive evidence at the value given, and
- "R" unusable value.

The validated laboratory data were tabulated and are presented in Attachment A.

The air samples collected were analyzed for certain VOCs using the USEPA TO-15 analytical method. Certain reported results for the VOC samples were considered estimated from data validation because of noncompliant laboratory control sample recoveries, instrument calibrations, sample internal standard responses, and field duplicate precision. No data were rejected, therefore, the reported VOC analytical results were 100% complete (i.e., usable) for the data presented by LLI. PARCC requirements were met.

### **SECTION 2**

### DATA VALIDATION REPORT

#### 2.1 AIR

Data review has been completed for data packages generated by LLI for air samples collected from the site. The specific samples contained in these data packages, the analyses performed, and a usability summary are presented in Table 2.1-1. All of these samples were shipped under a COC record and received intact by the analytical laboratory. The validated laboratory data are presented in Attachment A.

Data validation was performed for all samples in accordance with the most current editions of the USEPA Region II SOPs for organic data review. This data validation and usability report is presented by analysis type.

The following items were reviewed for compliance in the volatile analysis:

- Custody documentation
- Holding times
- Laboratory method blank contamination
- Laboratory control sample (LCS) recoveries
- GC/MS instrument performance
- Initial calibrations and continuing calibrations
- Internal standard area counts and retention times
- Field duplicate precision
- Sample result verification and identification
- Quantitation limits
- Data completeness

These items were considered compliant and acceptable in accordance with the validation protocols with the exception of laboratory method blank contamination, LCS recoveries, continuing calibrations, internal standard area counts, and field duplicate precision.

#### **Blank Contamination**

The laboratory method blanks VBLKC85 and VBLKC86 both contained methylene chloride at a concentration of 0.109  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> associated with samples collected on 11/18/08, 11/19/08, and 11/20/08; and the laboratory method blank VBLKC87 contained methylene chloride at a concentration of 0.114  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> associated with samples collected on 12/2/08. Therefore, all methylene chloride sample results less than validation action concentrations were considered not detected and qualified "U" for the affected samples.

#### LCS Recoveries

All LCS recoveries were compliant and within QC acceptance limits with the exception of the high LCS recovery for 1,1-dichloroethene (132%R; QC limit 70-130%R) associated with samples collected on 11/18/08, 11/19/08, and 11/20/08. Therefore, the positive 1,1-dichloroethene results for these samples were considered estimated, possibly biased high, and qualified "J" for the affected samples.

#### **Continuing Calibrations**

All continuing calibration compounds were compliant with minimum relative response factors (RRFs) of 0.05 and percent differences (%D) within  $\pm 20\%$  with the exception of trans-1,2-dichloroethene (-26%D) in the continuing calibration associated with samples collected on 11/18/08 (except sample 67S-OA 833), 11/19/08, and 11/20/08 (except sample 77S-SS 912). Therefore, all results for this compound were considered estimated with positive results qualified "J" and nondetected results qualified "UJ" for the affected samples.

#### Internal Standard Responses

All internal standard (IS) responses and retention times were within specified QC ranges based on associated calibration standards (i.e., sample's area counts within -50% to +100% and retention times within ±0.5 minutes of the standard) with the exception of the high response for the IS bromochloromethane in samples 74S-SS 991, 61S-SS 913, 75S-SS 986, 76S-SS-973, and 76S-IA-504; the high response for the IS 1,4-difluorobenzene in samples 74S-SS 991, 61S-SS 913, 75S-SS 986, 73S-SS 1011, 77S-SS 912, 76S-SS-973, 65S-IA-012, and 76S-IA-504; and the high response for the IS chlorobenzene-d5 in samples 74S-SS 991, 75S-SS 986, 73S-SS 1011, 77S-SS 912, 73S-SS 983, 76S-SS-973, and 76S-IA-504. These samples were reanalyzed yielding similar IS responses confirming the presence of matrix effects. Therefore, positive results associated with these noncompliant ISs were considered estimated, possibly biased high, and qualified "J" for the affected samples.

#### Field Duplicate Precision

All field duplicate results were considered acceptable with the exception of the poor precision (relative percent difference; RPD) measurement for methylene chloride (168% RPD) and trichloroethene (67% RPD) associated with sample 73S-SS 1011 and its field duplicate sample 73S-SS 983; and the poor precision for methylene chloride (76% RPD) and cis-1,2-dichloroethene (156% RPD) associated with sample 76S-IA-513 and its field duplicate sample 76S-IA-504. Therefore, these results for these compounds were considered estimated with positive results qualified "J" and nondetected results qualified "UJ" for the affected samples.

#### Usability

All volatile sample results were considered usable following data validation.

#### SANBORNAIRDUSR0209 R01.DOC

#### <u>Summary</u>

The quality assurance objectives for measurement data included considerations for precision, accuracy, representativeness, completeness, and comparability. The volatile data presented by LLI were 100% complete (i.e., usable). The validated volatile laboratory data are tabulated and presented in Attachment A.

### **TABLE 2.1-1**

### SUMMARY OF SAMPLE ANALYSES AND USABILITY SANBORN – AIR

		SAMPLE	VOC
SAMPLE ID	MAIKIX	DAIE	VUUS
67S-SS 979	Air	11/18/08	OK
67S-IA 860	Air	11/18/08	OK
67S-OA 833	Air	11/18/08	OK
74S-SS 991	Air	11/19/08	OK
61S-SS 913	Air	11/19/08	OK
61S-IA 1027	Air	11/19/08	OK
74S-OA 136	Air	11/19/08	OK
74S-IA 319	Air	11/19/08	OK
73S-SS 1011	Air	11/20/08	OK
73S-SS 983	Air	11/20/08	OK
75S-SS 986	Air	11/20/08	OK
77S-SS 912	Air	11/20/08	OK
73S-IA 005	Air	11/20/08	OK
75S-IA 048	Air	11/20/08	OK
75S-OA147	Air	11/20/08	OK
77S-IA 506	Air	11/20/08	OK
65S-SS-922	Air	12/2/08	OK
65S-IA-012	Air	12/2/08	OK
65S-OA-806	Air	12/2/08	OK

SANBORNAIRDUSR0209 R01.DOC

### TABLE 2.1-1 (CONTINUED)

#### SUMMARY OF SAMPLE ANALYSES AND USABILITY SANBORN – AIR

		SAMPLE	
SAMPLE ID	<b>MATRIX</b>	DATE	<b>VOCs</b>
63S-SS-924	Air	12/2/08	OK
63S-IA-155	Air	12/2/08	OK
76S-SS-973	Air	12/2/08	OK
76S-IA-513	Air	12/2/08	OK
76S-IA-504	Air	12/2/08	OK
TOTAL SAMP	LES:		24

### NOTES: OK – Sample analysis considered usable and valid.

SANBORNAIRDUSR0209 R01.DOC

### ATTACHMENT A

### VALIDATED LABORATORY DATA

BP Sanborn		Sample ID:	61S-IA 1027	61S-SS 913	63S-IA-155	63S-SS-924	65S-IA-012	65S-OA-806	65S-SS-922
Validated Ai	r Analytical Data	Lab Sample Id:	5538766	5536852	5548136	5548135	5548134	5548137	5548132
Detected Con	mpound Summary	SDG:	1121555	1121293	1123083	1123083	1123083	1123083	1123083
		Matrix:	AIR	AIR	AIR	AIR	AIR	AIR	AIR
		Sampled:	11/20/2008	11/19/2008	12/2/2008	12/2/2008	12/2/2008	12/2/2008	12/2/2008
		Validated:	1/20/2009	1/20/2009	1/20/2009	1/20/2009	1/20/2009	1/20/2009	1/20/2009
CAS NO.	COMPOUND	UNITS:							
	VOLATILES								
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane	ug/m3	ND	0.0416 J	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
156-59-2	cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	ug/m3	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
71-55-6	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	ug/m3	ND	0.175 J	ND	0.227 J	ND	ND	ND
79-01-6	Trichloroethene	ug/m3	ND	ND	0.281	0.817	0.32 J	ND	0.673
75-01-4	Vinyl chloride	ug/m3	ND	0.0286 J	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
156-60-5	trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	ug/m3	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethene	ug/m3	ND	0.0538 J	ND	ND	ND	ND	0.0869 J
75-09-2	Methylene chloride	ug/m3	ND	ND	ND	ND	2.38	ND	ND

								Dup of
								73S-SS 1011
BP Sanborn		Sample ID:	67S-IA 860	67S-OA 833	67S-SS 979	73S-IA 005	73S-SS 1011	73S-SS 983
Validated A	ir Analytical Data	Lab Sample Id:	5536849	5536850	5536848	5540122	5538764	5538765
Detected Co	mpound Summary	SDG:	1121293	1121293	1121293	1121792	1121555	1121555
		Matrix:	AIR	AIR	AIR	AIR	AIR	AIR
		Sampled:	11/18/2008	11/18/2008	11/18/2008	11/20/2008	11/20/2008	11/20/2008
		Validated:	1/20/2009	1/20/2009	1/20/2009	1/20/2009	1/20/2009	1/20/2009
CAS NO.	COMPOUND	UNITS:						
	VOLATILES							
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane	ug/m3	ND	ND	0.0461 J	ND	0.0391 J	0.0538 J
156-59-2	cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	ug/m3	ND	ND	0.0856 J	ND	ND	0.111 J
71-55-6	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	ug/m3	ND	ND	0.209 J	ND	0.139 J	0.18 J
79-01-6	Trichloroethene	ug/m3	ND	ND	0.127 J	0.116 J	0.327 J	0.657 J
75-01-4	Vinyl chloride	ug/m3	ND	ND	ND	ND	0.0407 J	0.0286 J
156-60-5	trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	ug/m3	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	0.0494 J
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethene	ug/m3	ND	ND	0.075 J	ND	0.0422 J	0.0557 J
75-09-2	Methylene chloride	ug/m3	1.92	ND	ND	ND	19.5 J	1.67 J

BP Sanborn		Sample ID:	74S-IA 319	74S-OA 136	74S-SS 991	75S-IA 048	75S-OA 147	75S-SS 986
Validated Ai	r Analytical Data	Lab Sample Id:	5538770	5538769	5536851	5540123	5540124	5538768
Detected Con	mpound Summary	SDG:	1121555	1121555	1121293	1121792	1121792	1121555
		Matrix:	AIR	AIR	AIR	AIR	AIR	AIR
		Sampled:	11/20/2008	11/20/2008	11/19/2008	11/20/2008	11/20/2008	11/20/2008
		Validated:	1/20/2009	1/20/2009	1/20/2009	1/20/2009	1/20/2009	1/20/2009
CAS NO.	COMPOUND	UNITS:						
	VOLATILES							
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane	ug/m3	ND	ND	0.137 J	ND	ND	ND
156-59-2	cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	ug/m3	ND	ND	0.161 J	ND	ND	ND
71-55-6	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	ug/m3	ND	0.077 J	0.647 J	ND	ND	ND
79-01-6	Trichloroethene	ug/m3	0.256 J	0.238 J	0.885 J	ND	ND	ND
75-01-4	Vinyl chloride	ug/m3	ND	ND	0.112 J	ND	ND	ND
156-60-5	trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	ug/m3	ND	ND	0.108 J	ND	ND	ND
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethene	ug/m3	ND	ND	0.195 J	ND	ND	ND
75-09-2	Methylene chloride	ug/m3	1.21	1.3	1.43 J	ND	1.79	ND
				Dup of 76S-IA-513				
-------------------------------	--------------------------	----------------	------------	----------------------	------------	------------	------------	
BP Sanborn		Sample ID:	76S-IA-513	76S-IA-504	76S-SS-973	77S-IA 506	77S-SS 912	
Validated Air Analytical Data		Lab Sample Id:	5548138	5548139	5548133	5540125	5538767	
Detected Compound Summary		SDG:	1123083	1123083	1123083	1121792	1121555	
		Matrix:	AIR	AIR	AIR	AIR	AIR	
		Sampled:	12/2/2008	12/2/2008	12/2/2008	11/20/2008	11/20/2008	
		Validated:	1/20/2009	1/20/2009	1/20/2009	1/20/2009	1/20/2009	
CAS NO.	COMPOUND	UNITS:						
	VOLATILES							
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane	ug/m3	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	
156-59-2	cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	ug/m3	0.404 J	ND	ND	ND	ND	
71-55-6	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	ug/m3	ND	ND	0.103 J	0.086 J	0.312	
79-01-6	Trichloroethene	ug/m3	0.265 J	ND	0.193 J	0.143 J	ND	
75-01-4	Vinyl chloride	ug/m3	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	
156-60-5	trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	ug/m3	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethene	ug/m3	ND	ND	ND	ND	0.0284 J	
75-09-2	Methylene chloride	ug/m3	ND	ND	21.8	ND	ND	