

Known for excellence. Built on trust.

GEOTECHNICAL ENVIRONMENTAL ECOLOGICAL WATER CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT

GZA GeoEnvironmental of NY 300 Pearl Street Suite 700 Buffalo, NY 14202 T: 716.685.2300 F: 716.248.1472 www.gza.com

VIA EMAIL

March 14, 2024 File No. 21.0056546.20

Glenn May, CPG New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (NYSDEC) Division of Environmental Remediation 270 Michigan Avenue Buffalo, New York 14203 e-mail: glenn.may@dec.ny.gov

Re: **Periodic Review Report** - Number 13 – January 2024 Delphi Harrison Thermal Systems Site - Registry Site No. 932113 Lockport, New York

Mr. May:

GZA GeoEnvironmental of New York (GZA) prepared this 2023 Periodic Review Report (PRR) for the Delphi Harrison Thermal Systems Site (Site) as required by the Site Management Plan¹ (SMP, approved by NYSDEC on October 13, 2011). The implementation of the SMP is a requirement of the Remedial Program Order on Consent and Administrative Settlement (Index #B9-0553-99-06) between GM Components Holdings, LLC (GMCH) and NYSDEC dated November 8, 2011.

GMCH is the current owner and operator of an automotive components manufacturing facility at 200 Upper Mountain Road, Lockport, New York. The Site, as defined by the environmental easement (Instrument # 2011-17072, recorded in the Niagara County Clerk's Office in October 2011) comprises approximately 22.7 acres as shown on **Figure 1**. In 2014, a portion of the Site was conveyed to Delphi Properties Management LLC. On June 30, 2015 that same portion of the Site was transferred from Delphi Properties Management LLC to MAHLE Manufacturing Management Inc., now called MAHLE BEHR USA INC.

REGULATORY HISTORY SUMMARY

The following is a summary of the regulatory actions at the Site.

• Building 8, located in the northern central portion of the GM Lockport facility, formerly housed degreasing operations that utilized trichloroethylene (TCE). An aboveground storage tank (AST) was formerly located outside the southeastern corner of Building 8 until it was decommissioned in May 1994. Delphi Thermal Systems (Delphi) notified the NYSDEC in 1994 that TCE was detected in soil during an excavation to repair fire protection lines in the vicinity of the former AST. NYSDEC assigned the incident Spill Number 9410972. Delphi removed the TCE-impacted soil from the excavation down to the top of bedrock and provided NYSDEC with a report of this removal action in a letter dated December 22, 1994.

¹ "Delphi Harrison Thermal Systems Site, Niagara County, New York, Site Management Plan, NYSDEC Site Number: 9-32-113" dated October 13, 2011



- In March 1999, the Site was added to the NYSDEC Inactive Hazardous Waste Registry, Site Number 932113 as a Class 3 listing (does not present a significant threat to the public health or the environment action may be deferred).
- In 2001, Delphi entered into a Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study Order on Consent, Index #B9-0553-99-06 (RI/FS Order) to determine the extent of TCE contamination and complete a Focused Feasibility Study.
- In March 2005, NYSDEC, in consultation with the New York State Department of Health (NYSDOH), issued a Record of Decision (ROD) based on the results of the Focused Remedial Investigation (FRI) and Focused Feasibility Study (FFS). The components of the selected remedy, as defined in the ROD, were as follows.
 - Monitored natural attenuation (MNA) with groundwater monitoring and sampling to check the continued effectiveness of the remedy.
 - Development of a contingency plan for groundwater control/treatment if natural attenuation processes can no longer be demonstrated as effective or if significant off-site groundwater contamination is observed.
 - Development of a site management plan to: (a) address residual contaminated soils that may be excavated from the site during future redevelopment, (b) evaluate the potential for vapor intrusion for all current site buildings and those developed on the site in the future, including provision for mitigation of impacts identified; (c) provide for the operation and maintenance of the components of the remedy; (d) monitor site groundwater; and (e) identify use restrictions on site development or groundwater use.
 - Imposition of an environmental easement to restrict groundwater use and check compliance with the approved site management plan.
 - Certification of the institutional and engineering controls.
- Annual MNA groundwater sampling was completed voluntarily at the Site from October 2006 through April 2011.
- In October 2011, an environmental easement (Instrument # 2011-17072) for the Site was recorded in the Niagara County Clerk's Office.
- In November 2011, a Remedial Program Order on Consent and Administrative Settlement (Index #B9-0553-99-06) was executed between GMCH and NYSDEC.
- In April 2012, the Site was reclassified on the NYSDEC Inactive Hazardous Waste Registry, to a Class 4 listing (site has been properly closed but requires continued site management consisting of operation, maintenance and/or monitoring).
- Since April 2012, groundwater sampling has been completed at the Site in accordance with the Remedial Program Order on Consent and Administrative Settlement (Index #B9-0553-99-06).



• There were no additional regulatory actions taken during the reporting period.

2023 PERIODIC REVIEW REPORTING PERIOD

In accordance with Section 5.3 of the SMP, the following constitutes the Calendar Year 2023 PRR:

1. Results of the required Site inspections and severe weather condition inspections, if applicable

- (a) The annual inspection of the Site and Site Cover was completed on December 15, 2023 by Morgan Brown of GZA. The annual site inspection form was completed, a copy of which is provided as Appendix A along with a photo log associated with the inspection.
- (b) A severe weather condition inspection was not conducted during the reporting period.

2. All applicable inspection forms and other records generated for the Site during the reporting period in electronic format

A copy of the completed site inspection forms from the December 15, 2023 site inspection is included in **Appendix A**. Also, included as part of the electronic submittal is a copy of the Delphi Harrison Thermal Systems Site 2023 NYSDEC Site Management Periodic Review Report Institutional and Engineering Controls Certification Form. A copy of this Form is attached to the PRR as **Appendix B**.

3. A summary of any monitoring data and/or information generated during the Reporting Period with comments and conclusions

The most recent groundwater sampling was completed in October 2023. A copy of the GZA report is included with this PRR as **Appendix C.** The report provides the conclusions and recommendations presented below.

CONCLUSIONS

Based on the results of the October 2023 sampling round and historical data trends, natural attenuation of COCs is occurring via reductive dechlorination. GZA offered the following additional observations relative to the 2023 sampling round:

- The concentrations of the parent compounds decrease significantly from the source area (MW-7) downgradient to the mid-point of the plume (MW-4 and MW-10), and from the mid-point on to the downgradient portions of the Site (MW-11 through MW-15) where COCs are not detected.
- There is an increase in daughter compound concentrations from the source area to the mid-point of the plume, with an overall decrease in total COC concentrations downgradient from the source area (MW-7).
- Based on data trends and supported by the findings of the 2014 treatability study, current conditions mid-plume (MW-4) show potential for complete reductive dechlorination of COCs to ethene. COCs



concentrations were generally below MDLs or at concentrations below NYSDEC TOGS 1.1.1 GA standards at the downgradient Site boundary, which provides additional evidence for continued natural attenuation.

- COCs were not detected above NYSDEC Class GA groundwater standards at downgradient property line monitoring wells (MW-11 and MW-13). In 2022, TCE was detected at 0.0062 mg/L and exceeding its Class GA criteria of 0.005 mg/L at downgradient well MW-14. TCE, or other COCs were not detected above the NYS Class GA criteria at MW-14 during the 2023 sampling event.
- Low concentrations of daughter products 1,2-DCE and VC were detected in MW-12, just upgradient of MW-11 and MW-13, but the parent compounds PCE and TCE were not detected. The presence of the daughter products here (but not at the downgradient property line wells) is further evidence of natural attenuation.
- PCE was detected at a concentration of 0.0068 mg/L which exceeded the NYS Class GA criterion of 0.005 mg/L at downgradient well MW-15. No other COCs were detected above criteria at MW-15 during this event. These results are consistent with historical trends.

RECOMMENDATIONS

In June 2021, GMCH requested the cessation of sampling MW-10. If acceptable to NYSDEC and the New York State Department of Health (NYSDOH), the 2024 monitoring event shall include a total of seven wells (MW-4, -7, -11, -12, -13, -14 and -15). The COC and natural attenuation analytical parameters analyzed during the 2024 sampling round shall be consistent with the 2023 sampling event.

4. Data summary tables and graphical representations of contaminants of concern by media (groundwater, soil vapor), which include a listing of all compounds analyzed, along with the applicable standards, with all exceedances highlighted. These will include a presentation of past data as part of an evaluation of contaminant concentration trends.

Data summary tables and graphs associated with the annual MNA groundwater sampling report are included in **Appendix C**.

5. Results of all analyses, copies of all laboratory data sheets, and the required laboratory data deliverables for all samples collected during the reporting period will be submitted electronically in a NYSDEC-approved format.

The electronic submission of this PRR will include the results of analyses, copies of laboratory data sheets, and the required laboratory data deliverables for samples collected during the reporting period for the 2023 MNA groundwater sampling event.

6. A Site evaluation, which includes the following:

- Compliance with the requirements of the ROD Site-selected remedy;
- Any new conclusions or observations regarding site contamination based on inspections or data generated by the Site Monitoring Plan for the media being monitored;



March 14, 2024 21.0056546.20 Periodic Review Report Delphi Harrison Thermal Systems Site, Lockport, New York Page | 5

- Recommendations regarding any necessary changes to the remedy and/or Site Monitoring Plan; and
- The overall performance and effectiveness of the remedy.

As discussed in Item 3 above, the results of the groundwater sampling provide evidence that anaerobic biodegradation of the COCs is controlling migration of impacted groundwater downgradient from the Site.

The Site is in compliance with the ROD, and MNA is still an effective remedy.

7. Identification, assessment and certification of all ECs/ICs [Engineering Controls/Institutional Controls²] required by the Record of Decision Site-selected remedy

There are no Engineering Controls (ECs) required under the ROD and the Institutional Controls (ICs) that apply to the Site are set forth in the recorded Environmental Easement. The ICs for the Site restrict the use of groundwater and require compliance with the SMP. There have been no changes to the SMP since it was approved by NYSDEC on October 13, 2011.

CERTIFICATION OF THE INSTITUTIONAL AND ENGINEERING CONTROLS³

For each institutional or engineering control identified for the Site, I certify⁴ the following statements are true:

- The inspection of the Site to confirm the effectiveness of the institutional and engineering controls required by the remedial program was performed under my direction;
- The institutional control and/or engineering controls employed at this Site are unchanged from the date the control was put in place, or last approved by the Department;
- Nothing has occurred that would impair the ability of the control to protect the public health and environment;
- Nothing has occurred that would constitute a violation or failure to comply with the Site Management Plan for this control;
- Access to the Site will continue to be provided to the Department (with valid Safety Protocol Program Card) to evaluate the remedy, including access to evaluate the continued maintenance of this control;

² See definition for *Engineering Control* at 6 NYCRR § 375-1.2 (o) and for *Institutional Control* at 6 NYCRR § 375-1.2 (aa).

³ The required Certification of the Institutional and Engineering Controls is set forth in Section 5.2 of the NYSDEC-approved SMP. It is to be used for the Periodic Review Report in lieu of the certifications noted in DER-10 at section 6.3 (d).

⁴ Certify is defined as a statement or declaration of a professional opinion based on the information, data and/or facts known at the time such certification is made.



March 14, 2024 21.0056546.20 Periodic Review Report Delphi Harrison Thermal Systems Site, Lockport, New York Page | 6

- If a financial assurance mechanism is required under the oversight document for the Site, the mechanism remains valid and sufficient for the intended purpose under the document⁵;
- Use of the Site is compliant with the Environmental Easement;
- Engineering control systems that have been installed as part of the remedial programs for the Site *(if applicable)* are performing as designed and are effective;
- To the best of my knowledge and belief, the work and conclusions described in this certification are in accordance with the requirements of the ROD Site's selected remedy and generally accepted engineering practices; and
- The information presented in this report is accurate and complete.
- I certify that the information and statements in this certification form are true. I understand that a false statement made herein is punishable as a Class "A" misdemeanor, pursuant to Section 210.45 of the Penal Law. I, Bart A. Klettke, P.E. of GZA GeoEnvironmental of New York, am certifying as Owner's Designated Site Representative for the Site.

Bart A. Klettke, P.E. Principal

Date: March 14, 2024

GZA GeoEnvironmental of New York

- Figure 1: Site Locus
- Figure 2: Photograph Orientation Map
- Appendix A: 2023 Site Inspection Forms and Inspection Photograph Log
- Appendix B: Delphi 2023 NYSDEC Site Management Periodic Review Report Notice Institutional and Engineering Controls Certification Form
- Appendix C: October 2023 MNA Groundwater Sampling Report
- cc: Merrick Alexander (GM, electronic copy only) Casey Essary (GMCH, electronic copy only) Denis Conley (H&A, electronic copy only)

⁵ Note that no financial assurance mechanism is required for this Site remedial program.



FIGURES





APPROXIMATE LOCATION AND **ORIENTATION OF INSPECTION** PHOTOGRAPHS TAKEN ON 12/15/2023 (SEE APPENDIX A)

APPROXIMATE LOCATION AND DESIGNATION OF MONITORING WELL



DATE: DECEMBER 2023

TAK

DRAWN BY:

 $\mathbf{0f}$



APPENDIX A:

2023 SITE INSPECTION FORM and INSPECTION PHOTO LOG

Site No.: 0.22.113
Site Name: Delphi Harrison Thermal Systems Site
Site Address: 200 Upper Mountain Road, Lockport NY
PERSON PERFORMING INSPECTION
NAME: Morgan Brown EMAIL: <u>morgan.brown@gza.com</u>
OTHERS PRESENT: No PHONE NUMBER: 716-803-5717
COMPANY: GZA GeoEnvironmental of NY
INSPECTION DATE AND SITE CONDITIONS
INSPECTION DATE: <u>12/15/2023</u> INSPECTION TIME: <u>1600 His</u>
Sullity, 46 F, 12-24 MIFH SW
REASON FOR SITE INSPECTION
Scheduled Annual Inspection?: YES NO
Inspection after a Severe Condition that could effect site controls?: YES NO
describe severe conditions triggering inspection: High winds and rain prior
VERIFICATION OF SITE DETAILS
Current Site Owner: GM Components Holdings, LLC (GMCH) and MAHLE Manufacturing Management Inc.
Current Site Operators: GM Components Holdings, LLC (GMCH) and MAHLE Manufacturing Management Inc.
Describe Current Site Use (check all that apply):
Industrial Commercial Residential Other
briefly describe observed site uses: Area within the environmental easement is used as parking lots, site roads, greenspace,
and nitrogen tank
Has some or all of the Site property been sold, subdivided, merged, or undergone a tax map amendment since
the initial/last inspection? YES NO
If YES, is documentation or evidence of documentation submittal to NYSDEC attached? YES N/A NO
Have any federal, state and/or local permits (e.g., building or discharge) been issued for the property since
the initial/last inspection?
If YES, is documentation of evidence of documentation submittal to NYSDEC attached? YES N/A NO
In as a change in Site usage per NTCRR 575-1.11(d) occurred since the last inspection?
Has any new information come to your attention to indicate that assumptions made in the qualitative exposure
assessment for off-site contamination are no longer valid?
If YES, is this information or evidence of submittal to NYSDEC attached? YES N/A NO
DESCRIPTION OF INSTITUTIONAL/ENGINEERING CONTROLS
Is Environmental Easement still in place? YES NO
If no, explain:
Is the Site Management Plan in place? YES NO
If no, explain:
AREAS IN NEED OF REPAIR OR MAINTENANCE
Area discussed in this section must be shown on a figure and have photographic documentation.
INTRUSIVE ACTIVITIES PERFORMED AT SITE DURING INSPECTION PERIOD DATE LOCATION
Are site records being properly generated and maintained?
Are site records being property generated and maintained?
GMCH Environmental Engineer. Casey Essany, maintains both hard copies and electronic copies of the site records per
GM's Information Lifecycle Management system. The records are managed under "Corrective Action and Remediation Project Records"
series ENV010. Hard copies are kept in a file cabinet in the Engineering office and electronic copies reside on the environmental
shared ("S") drive
ADDITIONAL NOTES & COMMENTS
See attached representative site photos from the PRR Site inspection.
INSPECTION CERTIFICATION
I hereby certfy that the information included in this report is complete and accurate to the best of my knowledge.
Inspector Signature: Date: <u>12/15/2023</u>



Client Name: General Motors Components Holdings		ors Components	Site Location: Delphi Harrison Thermal Systems, Lockport, NY	Project No. 21.0056546.20
Photo No.	Date:			
1	12/15/23			
Direction Pho	to Taken:		W W/ K K-	were the second
NE				
Description:				
Creek and lawn area		States -		
north of Site R	toad #2.			

Photo No.	Date:	
2	12/15/23	
Direction Phot	to Taken:	
NNE		
Description:		
Lawn area nor Road #2.	th of Site	





Photo No.	Date:	
4	12/15/23	
Direction Pho	to Taken:	
		t the second sec
SE		
Description:		
Description		
Northern end	of eastern	Section of the sectio
lawn area.		
		A State Will a state of the sta
		A The sadden and a second and the sadden and and the sadden and an and
		Children have have have









Client Name: General Motors Components Holdings		Site Location: Delphi Harrison T Lockport, NY	hermal Systems,	Project No. 21.0056546.20	
Photo No.	Date:	LEXT.ST			A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF
7	12/15/23				And an
Direction Pho	to Taken:				
East					
Description:					
Description		Alle .			
View of easter from Site Road	rn lawn area d #3.				
		An and a second second		18 miles	

















APPENDIX B

INSTITUTIONAL AND ENGINEERING CONTROL CERTIFICATION FORM



Enclosure 2 NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL CONSERVATION Site Management Periodic Review Report Notice Institutional and Engineering Controls Certification Form



Sit	e No.	932113	Site Details		Box 1	
Sit	e Name De	Iphi Harrison Thermal Sys	tems			
Site City Co Site	e Address: 3 y/Town: Loo unty: Niagara e Acreage: 2	350 Upper Mountain Road ckport a 22.700	Zip Code: 14094			
Re	porting Peric	od: December 16, 2022 to D	December 16, 2023			
					YES	NO
1.	Is the inform	mation above correct?			X	
	If NO, inclu	de handwritten above or on	a separate sheet.			
2.	Has some o tax map an	or all of the site property been nendment during this Report	en sold, subdivided, merged, or und ting Period?	dergone a		x
3.	Has there b (see 6NYC	been any change of use at th RR 375-1.11(d))?	ne site during this Reporting Period	1		X
4.	Have any fe for or at the	ederal, state, and/or local pe property during this Report	ermits (e.g., building, discharge) be ing Period?	en issued		X
	If you answ that docum	wered YES to questions 2 nentation has been previo	thru 4, include documentation of usly submitted with this certification of the set of th	r evidence ation form.		
5.	Is the site c	currently undergoing develop	oment?			X
					Box 2	
					YES	NO
6.	Is the curre Commercia	ent site use consistent with th al and Industrial	ne use(s) listed below?		X	
7.	Are all ICs	in place and functioning as o	designed?	×		
	IF TH	HE ANSWER TO EITHER QU DO NOT COMPLETE THE F	JESTION 6 OR 7 IS NO, sign and da REST OF THIS FORM. Otherwise c	ate below a continue.	and	
AC	Corrective M	easures Work Plan must be	e submitted along with this form to	address tl	hese iss	ues.
Siq	nature of Ow	mer, Remedial Party or Desig	nated Representative	Date		

SITE NO. 932113		Box 3
Description of I	nstitutional Controls	
Parcel	<u>Owner</u>	Institutional Control
108.13-1-1	GM Components Holdings LLC	
		Site Management Plan
		Landuse Restriction
		Monitoring Plan
		Ground Water Use Restriction
		Soil Management Plan
		IC/EC Plan
In March 2005, a Reco	ord of Decision was issued for this site. T	he selected remedy was Monitored Natural
Attenuation (MNA). Lo MNA at the site.	ong-term groundwater monitoring is requi	red to evaluate the continued effectiveness of

An Environmental Easement was filed with the Niagara County Clerk's Office on October 6, 2011. This easement states that the Controlled Property may be used for commercial or industrial use as long as the following engineering controls are employed and the land use restrictions specified below are adhered to: (1) implement and comply with all elements of the Department approved Site Management Plan, (2) restrict use of groundwater at the Controlled Property as a source of potable or process water without necessary water quality treatment as determined by the Niagara County Department of Health, and (3) evaluate the potential for vapor intrusion into any buildings developed on the Controlled Property. Provision for mitigation (if determined to be necessary), such as installation of a vapor barrier and sub-slab vapor system or other engineering controls shall be implemented on all structures on the Controlled Property prior to occupancy.

Box 4

Description of Engineering Controls

None Required

Not Applicable/No EC's

	В	Box 5			
	Periodic Review Report (PRR) Certification Statements				
1.	I certify by checking "YES" below that:				
	a) the Periodic Review report and all attachments were prepared under the direction of, an reviewed by, the party making the Engineering Control certification;	nd			
	b) to the best of my knowledge and belief, the work and conclusions described in this certif are in accordance with the requirements of the site remedial program, and generally accept and program provides and the information proported in accurate and compate	fication ted			
	YES N	10			
	X				
2.	For each Engineering control listed in Box 4, I certify by checking "YES" below that all of the following statements are true:				
	(a) The Engineering Control(s) employed at this site is unchanged since the date that the Control was put in-place, or was last approved by the Department;				
	(b) nothing has occurred that would impair the ability of such Control, to protect public heal the environment;	lth and			
	(c) access to the site will continue to be provided to the Department, to evaluate the remedy, including access to evaluate the continued maintenance of this Control;				
	(d) nothing has occurred that would constitute a violation or failure to comply with the Site Management Plan for this Control; and				
	(e) if a financial assurance mechanism is required by the oversight document for the site, the mechanism remains valid and sufficient for its intended purpose established in the document.				
	YES N	10			
	X				
	IF THE ANSWER TO QUESTION 2 IS NO, sign and date below and DO NOT COMPLETE THE REST OF THIS FORM. Otherwise continue.				
	A Corrective Measures Work Plan must be submitted along with this form to address these issue	S.			
	Signature of Owner, Remedial Party or Designated Representative Date				

Γ

I

IC CERTIFICATIONS SITE NO. 932113	
	Box 6
SITE OWNER OR DESIGNATED REPRESENTATIVE I certify that all information and statements in Boxes 1,2, and 3 are true statement made herein is punishable as a Class "A" misdemeanor, pur Penal Law.	E SIGNATURE 9. I understand that a false suant to Section 210.45 of the
I Merrick Alexander at 500 Wentworth St W, 0 print name print business add	Oshawa ON CA L1J 0C5, dress
am certifying as Remediation Project Manager	(Owner or Remedial Party)
for the Site named in the Site Details Section of this form.	
Signature of Owner, Remodial Party, or Designated Performantative	March 1, 2024
Rendering Certification	Dale

IC/EC CERTIFICATIONS
Box 7 Qualified Environmental Professional Signature
I certify that all information in Boxes 4 and 5 are true. I understand that a false statement made herein is punishable as a Class "A" misdemeanor, pursuant to Section 210.45 of the Penal Law.
BART A. KLETTKE at GEA, 300 PEARL STREET, SUITE 700 BUFFALO NY 14202. print name print business address
am certifying as a Qualified Environmental Professional for the CAM LOMPONENTS HOLDINGS LLC
Bat a. Klath Signature of Qualified Environmental Professional, for
the Owner or Remedial Party, Rendering Certification (Required for PE)

Ŧ



APPENDIX C

RESULTS OF OCTOBER 2023 MONITORED NATURAL ATTENUATION GROUNDWATER SAMPLING REPORT



Proactive by Design



RESULTS OF OCTOBER 2023 MONITORED NATURAL ATTENUATION GROUNDWATER SAMPLING DELPHI HARRISON THERMAL SYSTEMS SITE Registry Site No. 932113 GM COMPONENTS HOLDINGS, LLC Lockport, New York

Submitted March 1, 2024 File No. 21.0056546.20



PREPARED FOR: New York State Department of Environmental Conservation

GZA GeoEnvironmental of New York

300 Pearl St. Suite 700 | Buffalo, NY 14202 716-685-2300 31 Offices Nationwide www.gza.com

Copyright© 2024 GZA GeoEnvironmental of New York



GEOTECHNICAL ENVIRONMENTAL ECOLOGICAL WATER CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT

GZA GeoEnvironmental of NY 300 Pearl Street Suite 700 Buffalo, NY 14202 T: 716.685.2300 F: 716.248.1472 www.gza.com



VIA E-MAIL

March 1, 2024 File No: 21.0056546.20

Mr. Glenn May, CPG New York State Department of Environmental Conservation Division of Environmental Remediation, Region 9 270 Michigan Ave. Buffalo, NY 14203-2915

RE: Results of October 2023 Monitored Natural Attenuation Groundwater Sampling Event Delphi Harrison Thermal Systems Site (Site) - Registry Site No. 932113 Lockport, New York

Mr. May:

GZA GeoEnvironmental of New York (GZA) is pleased to provide the attached Report of the October 2023 Monitored Natural Attenuation (MNA) Groundwater Sampling event for the above reference Site.

We trust this report satisfies your present needs. If you need any additional site-specific information, please contact Thomas Bohlen at 716-570-5983.

Sincerely,

GZA GEOENVIRONMENTAL OF NEW YORK

max Bohlen

Thomas Bohlen, P.G. Project Manager

Bart A. Klettke, P.E. Principal

- Juil Par

Jeremiah Duncan, Ph.D Senior Chemist/Consultant Reviewer



TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

1.00	INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND	1
2.00	2023 GROUNDWATER MONITORING AND SAMPLING	2
		-
3.00	ANALYTICAL RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	.3
4 00	GROUNDWATER MONITORING CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	6
7.00		

TABLES

TABLE 1 SUMMARY OF GROUNDWATER SAMPLE ANALYTICAL RESULTS

FIGURES

- FIGURE 1 GROUNDWATER ANALYTICAL SUMMARY
- FIGURE 2 TOTAL COC CONTOUR MAP
- FIGURE 3 GROUNDWATER ISOPOTENTIAL MAP

APPENDICES

- APPENDIX A GROUNDWATER SAMPLING FIELD FORMS
- APPENDIX B COCS, TOTAL VOCS, AND TOC DATA GRAPHS
- APPENDIX C RESULTS OF EPA CVOC MONITORED NATURAL ATTENUATION RANKING SYSTEM
- APPENDIX D DATA VALIDATION AND ANALYTICAL LABORATORY REPORTS



1.0 INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND

GZA GeoEnvironmental of New York (GZA) presents this report to summarize results of the October 2023 groundwater and monitored natural attenuation (MNA) parameter sampling event at the above-referenced Site. The groundwater sampling event was conducted from October 3 through October 6, and included eight monitoring wells (MW-4, -7, -10, -11, -12, -13, -14 and -15). The wells were sampled for the five compounds of concern (COCs)¹ and MNA parameters as specified in the Site Management Plan² (SMP). In addition to the MNA parameters listed in the SMP, carbon dioxide, hydrogen, ethene, and ethane were added to the sampling parameter list in 2014.

2005

In March 2005, the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (NYSDEC) issued a Record of Decision (ROD) for the Site, which selected MNA as the remedial alternative to address the COCs present at the Site. Annual MNA groundwater sampling was completed voluntarily from October 2006 to May 2011.

2006 to 2011

Six monitoring wells (MW-7, MW-11, MW-12, MW-13, MW-14 and MW-15) were monitored in October 2006, November 2007, November 2008, and March 2009, the analytical data for which are shown on **Figure 1**. MW-7 is in the vicinity of the Area of Concern (AOC) and the other five wells, MW-11 through MW-15, are down-gradient monitoring locations. Based on the results of the groundwater sampling program through March 2009, the sampling program was expanded in July 2009 to include two mid-plume wells (MW-4 and MW-7) and two cross-gradient wells (MW-8 and MW-9). Results of the 2010 event indicated that natural attenuation is occurring with limited evidence of reductive dechlorination near the source area (MW-7) and midpoint (MW-4 and -10) of the groundwater plume. However, there was adequate to strong evidence for anaerobic biodegradation of COCs at the leading edge of the groundwater plume (MW-11 through -15). Given these conditions, coupled with the lack of evidence of an expanding plume, it appeared that natural attenuation processes were effectively managing the COC plume migration.

2012 to 2014

In November 2011, GMCH entered into an Order on Consent and Administrative Settlement (Index #B9-0553-99-06) for the Site which requires annual sampling be conducted as part of the SMP. The 2012 to 2014 annual sampling included eight monitoring wells (MW-4, -7, -10, -11, -12, -13, -14 and -15) with the mid-plume wells MW-8 and MW-9 no longer sampled. Groundwater sampling results through 2014, as stated in the SMP, resulted in the following conclusions:

- Natural attenuation of COCs is occurring via reductive dechlorination;
- The COC concentrations of the parent compounds were decreasing and the concentrations of daughter compounds increasing from the source area (MW-7) down-gradient to the mid-point of the plume (MW-4 and MW-10) and on to the down-gradient portions of the Site (MW-11 through MW-15); and
- The COC concentrations at the most down-gradient well (MW-13) along the property line did not exceed the NYSDEC Class GA criteria.

¹ The five COCs are trichloroethylene, tetrachloroethylene, *cis*-1,2-dichloroethylene, *trans*-1,2-dichloroethylene, and vinyl chloride.

² "Delphi Harrison Thermal Systems Site, Niagara County, New York, Site Management Plan, NYSDEC Site Number 9-32-113" dated October 2011. Prepared for GM Components Holdings, LLC by GZA.



Also, the 2013 data indicated ethene was detected in groundwater samples collected from all eight monitoring wells. Ethene represents the end-product of chlorinated volatile organic compounds (cVOC) reductive dechlorination and its detection at each monitoring well was a direct line of evidence that cVOCs have been degraded to completion at the Site.

The temporal decreasing trend in TOC concentrations continued through the 2013 monitoring event. GZA recommended a treatability study to evaluate whether the addition of an organic carbon amendment might re-stimulate natural attenuation by reductive dechlorination. The recommended study involved deployment of *in-situ* microcosms (Bio-Trap[®] In-Situ Microcosms, manufactured by Microbial Insights, Inc. of Knoxville, Tennessee) "baited" or "BioStim" unit with an organic carbon additive to evaluate whether reductive dechlorination can be re-stimulated.

Conclusions of the 2014 Treatability Study:

At the source area (MW-7):

- *Dehalococcoides* populations were detected in both the control and carbon amendment units. However, population counts were below the concentration at which an effective rate of dechlorination generally occurs.
- Addition of the organic carbon amendment at the source location did not substantially enhance growth of dechlorinating bacteria and increase reductive dechlorination during the study period.

At the mid-plume location (MW-4):

• High concentrations of *Dehalococcoides* and vinyl chloride reductase enzyme genes were detected in the "unbaited" MNA unit, indicating the potential for complete reductive dechlorination of TCE to ethene under existing site conditions.

The *Dehalococcoides* population in the BioStim unit, in which the organic carbon was added, was an order of magnitude higher compared to the MNA unit. Vinyl chloride reductase genes were also higher in the Biostim unit as compared to the MNA unit, suggesting the carbon amendment enhanced growth of dechlorinating bacteria within the study period. Contaminant concentrations and geochemistry; however, were not substantially different from those in the MNA unit.

2.0 2023 GROUNDWATER MONITORING AND SAMPLING

The 2023 groundwater monitoring and sampling event was conducted from October 3 through October 6, 2023, in accordance with the SMP, and included eight monitoring wells (MW-4, MW-7, and MW-10 through MW-15 (Figure 1).

Methodology

The groundwater monitoring and sampling was performed using low flow sampling techniques with a peristaltic pump, disposable polyethylene tubing and a water quality meter with a flow-through cell to collect water quality field parameters. The sampling technique and analytical parameters were consistent with the SMP.

Field Measured Parameters: temperature, specific conductance, pH, turbidity, dissolved oxygen (DO), and oxidation reduction potential (ORP).



Compounds of Concern: tetrachloroethylene (PCE), trichloroethylene (TCE), *cis*-1,2-dichloroethylene (*cis*-DCE), *trans*-1,2-dichloroethylene (*trans*-DCE) and vinyl chloride (VC).

Natural Attenuation Parameters: iron, magnesium, manganese, potassium, sodium, alkalinity, total organic carbon (TOC), chloride, ammonia, nitrate, nitrite, sulfate, carbon dioxide, hydrogen, methane, ethene, and ethane.

Groundwater pumping rates varied from one well to another during monitoring/sampling to establish a stable water level. Once a stable water level (constant head) was established within the monitoring well, flow rates were maintained during the monitoring/sampling period. Samples were collected for analysis after field-measured parameters stabilized. It should be noted that a stable water level could not be established at well MW-7 (as experienced in previous sampling rounds). Therefore, this location was purged to dry-like conditions and allowed to recharge until the recharge volume was sufficient to collect the samples. Also, due to the lack of a stable water level in this well, a dissolved hydrogen sample could not be collected. The Monitoring Well Observations and Groundwater Sampling field forms are included in **Appendix A**.

3.0 ANALYTICAL RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Analytical results of the 2023 sampling round are summarized in **Table 1** and shown on **Figure 1**. The analytical results for the COCs (current and historical) are shown on **Figure 1**. Total COCs and TOC data graphs are included in **Appendix B**. The concentrations of *cis*-DCE and *trans*-DCE are shown as total 1,2-DCE in **Figure 1** and on the graphs in **Appendix B**. A contour map of the Total COC concentrations is shown on **Figure 2** and a contour map of the 2023 groundwater elevation data is shown on **Figure 3**.

The Eurofins Test America, Buffalo laboratory report and the third-party data validation report are provided in **Appendix D**.

Compounds of Concern

Source Area Monitoring Well (MW-7)

MW-7: TCE concentrations at MW-7 have generally been in the range of 530 to 880 mg/L from October 1996 through October 2023 except for four contiguous sample rounds from April 2003 through November 2008, where the results ranged from 1.1 to 434 mg/L. The October 2023 TCE concentration of 660 mg/L, as shown on the graph in **Appendix B** is generally consistent with recent concentration trends.

The 1,2-DCE concentrations at MW-7 have generally been in the range of 22 to 70 mg/L. The October 2023 1,2-DCE concentration of 67 mg/L (**Appendix B**) is lower than the spike observed in September 2022 (74 mg/L) and generally consistent with previous years trends.

The concentrations of the PCE and VC appear to generally be consistent since the start of the sampling in 1996, with some minor fluctuations.



Mid Plume Monitoring Wells (MW-4 and MW-10)

MW-4: The concentrations of PCE, TCE and VC generally have been consistent since the start of the sampling in 1996, with some minor fluctuations. 1,2-DCE showed a decreasing trend until approximately 2009 after which the decrease in concentrations has slowed notably. 1,2-DCE did not change from September 2022 sampling event (29 mg/L).

MW-10: There was a downward trend of TCE and 1,2-DCE concentrations at MW-10 from 1996 to 2020 with some minor fluctuations, which is consistent with natural attenuation. Since the 2021 sampling event, 1,2-DCE concentrations have increased slightly. In October 2023 1,2-DCE exhibited a slight decrease in concentration (0.17 mg/L) compared to the previous two years (0.21 and 0.25 mg/L, respectively). PCE concentrations have been non-detect since 2013. VC concentrations remain in a range between 0.0065 and 0.48 mg/L. VC was detected 0.0065 mg/L in 2023 which is a historical low concentration.

Down-gradient Monitoring Wells (MW-11 through MW-15)

MW-11: None of the COCs tested were present above method detection limits (MDLs) in 2023. This has been the case for six of the last seven events. In 2020, TCE was detected at a concentration above MDLs but below its Class GA Criteria.

MW-12: With just one exception of TCE detected at a concentration of 0.0074 mg/L in 2014, PCE and TCE have not been detected above their respective Class GA criteria (0.005 mg/L) since sampling began in 1997. Concentrations of PCE and TCE were below MDLs in 2023. 1,2-DCE was detected below its Class GA criterion on 0.005 mg/L at a concentration of 0.0036 mg/L in 2023. Detections of 1,2-DCE have generally trended downward since a high concentration of 0.272 mg/L in 2010. In October 2023 VC was detected slightly above its Class GA criterion (0.002 mg/L) with a concentration of 0.0023 mg/L. Both 1,2-DCE and VC are TCE degradation products that are biodegraded by many different groups of environmental bacteria. The MNA geochemistry and lack of 1,2-DCE and VC in downgradient well MW-13 are evidence for degradation of cVOCs.

MW-13: None of the COCs tested were present above MDLs in 2023. PCE, 1,2-DCE and VC have been below MDLs since the start of sampling in 2001. TCE was detected twice (October 2006 and May 2019) at concentrations above the MDL but below the reporting limit (RL) of 0.001 mg/L (an estimated concentration) and its NYSDEC Class GA standard of 0.005 mg/L.

MW-14: None of the COCs tested were present above MDLs in 2023, which is consistent with sampling results from 2016 to 2020. In 2022, TCE was detected at 0.0062 mg/L, exceeding its Class GA criterion of 0.005 mg/L, but was detected below this criterion at 0.00092 mg/L in 2023. PCE, 1,2-DCE, and VC were not detected above MDLs in 2023.

MW-15: Concentrations of TCE were below MDLs in the first 7 of the 21 sample events since the start of sampling in 2001. Between 2010 and 2023, TCE was detected in all but one sampling event at concentrations between 0.00064 and 0.001 mg/L, which are below its NYSDEC Class GA criterion (0.005 mg/L). Since 2001, PCE has been detected above its NYSDEC Class GA criterion in all but one sampling round (0.004 mg/L in 2020) with a maximum concentration of 0.02 mg/L detected in 2001. The 2022 detected concentration (0.0061 mg/L) and 2023 detected concentration (0.0068 mg/L) of PCE are slight increases from the 2021 concentration and exceed the NYSDEC Class GA criterion (0.005 mg/L).

1,2-DCE was not detected above the MDL in 2023. 1,2-DCE has not been detected in 18 of 21 sampling events. Concentrations of VC have been below their MDLs in all sampling events completed since 2001.



Natural Attenuation Performance

Findings of the October 2023 groundwater analytical and water quality data are generally consistent with the substantive conclusions and trends noted in prior reports. During 2023, GZA used Wiedemeier *et al.'s* (1998³) approach to evaluate the performance data to re-assess the strength of the evidence supporting reductive dechlorination. A table summarizing the results of that evaluation is included in **Appendix C**, and the results are tabulated below. Notably, a comparison of the 2022 summary of strength of natural attenuation evidence with that of 2023 reveals that well MW-4 moved from "Adequate" in 2022 to "Strong" in 2023. The other seven wells remained consistent with recent trends.

	STRENGTH OF NATURAL ATTENUATION EVIDENCE											
WELL	INADEQUATE	LIMITED	ADEQUATE	STRONG								
	EVIDENCE	EVIDENCE	EVIDENCE	EVIDENCE								
Source Area Well												
MW-7		Х										
Mid Plume Wells												
MW-4				Х								
MW-10			Х									
Down-gradient Wells												
MW-11		Х										
MW-12			Х									
MW-13		Х										
MW-14			Х									
MW-15		Х										

Note: "X" indicates the respective strength of the evidence for natural attenuation by reductive dechlorination for the October 2023 groundwater monitoring round in accordance with Wiedemeier *et al.* (1998).

³ Wiedemeier, T.H., Swanson, M.A., Moutoux, D.E., Gordon, E.K., Wilson, J.T., Wilson, B.H., Kampbell, D.H., Haas, P.E., Miller, R.N., Hansen, J.E., and Chapelle, F.H., 1998, Technical Protocol for Evaluating Natural Attenuation of Chlorinated Solvents in Ground Water, EPA/600/R-98/128, 78 p.





The decline in sulfate concentrations over time in source area monitoring well MW-7 illustrated above provides additional evidence in support of natural attenuation. Sulfide produced by native sulfate-reducing bacteria can combine with iron to form reactive ferrous sulfide, which degrades TCE abiotically by direct electron donation. The concurrent decrease in dissolved iron concentrations supports the probability that abiotic reduction of TCE is contributing to natural attenuation in the source area.

4.0 GROUNDWATER MONITORING CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

CONCLUSIONS

Based on the results of the October 2023 sampling round and historical data trends, natural attenuation of COCs is occurring via reductive dechlorination. GZA offers the following additional observations relative to the 2023 sampling round:

- The concentrations of the parent compounds decrease significantly from the source area (MW-7) downgradient to the mid-point of the plume (MW-4 and MW-10), and from the mid-point on to the downgradient portions of the Site (MW-11 through MW-15) where COCs are not detected.
- There is an increase in daughter compound concentrations from the source area to the mid-point of the plume, with an overall decrease in total COC concentrations downgradient from the source area (MW-7).
- Based on data trends and supported by the findings of the 2014 treatability study, current conditions mid-plume (MW-4) show potential for complete reductive dechlorination of COCs to ethene. COCs concentrations were generally below MDLs or at concentrations below NYSDEC TOGS 1.1.1 GA standards at the downgradient Site boundary, which provides additional evidence for continued natural attenuation.
- COCs were not detected above NYSDEC Class GA groundwater standards at downgradient property line monitoring wells (MW-11 and MW-13). In 2022, TCE was detected at 0.0062 mg/L and exceeding its Class GA criteria of 0.005 mg/L at downgradient well MW-14. TCE, or other COCs were not detected above the NYS Class GA criteria at MW-14 during the 2023 sampling event.



- Low concentrations of daughter products 1,2-DCE and VC were detected in MW-12, just upgradient of MW-11 and MW-13, but the parent compounds PCE and TCE were not detected. The presence of the daughter products here (but not at the downgradient property line wells) is further evidence of natural attenuation.
- PCE was detected at a concentration of 0.0068 mg/L which exceeded the NYS Class GA criterion of 0.005 mg/L at downgradient well MW-15. No other COCs were detected above criteria at MW-15 during this event. These results are consistent with historical trends.

RECOMMENDATIONS

In June 2021, GMCH requested the cessation of sampling MW-10. If acceptable to NYSDEC and the New York State Department of Health (NYSDOH), the 2024 monitoring event shall include a total of seven wells (MW-4, -7, -11, -12, -13, - 14 and -15). The COC and natural attenuation analytical parameters analyzed during the 2024 sampling round shall be consistent with the 2023 sampling event.



TABLES

Table 1 Summary of Groundwater Sample Analytical Results Delphi Harrison Thermal Systems Site Site No. C932113

		DELPHI HARRISON GROUNDWATER WELLS											
Sample Location	Class GA	MW-4	MW-7	MW-10	MW-11	MW-12	MW-13	MW-14	MW-15	5			
Sample Date	Criteria	10/3/2023	10/6/0223	10/3/2023	10/4/2023	10/4/2023	10/5/2023	10/5/2023	10/4/202	23			
*													
		Q	Q	Q	Q	Q	Q	Q		Q			
VOC Compounds of Concern (ug/L)													
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	5	29,000	67,000	170	0.81 U	3.6	0.81 U	1.6 U	0.81	U			
Tetrachloroethene	5	290 U	3,600 U	1.8 U	0.36 U	0.72 U	0.36 U	0.72 U	6.8				
trans-1,2-dichloroethene	5	720 U	9,000 U	4.5 U	0.90 U	1.8 U	0.90 U	1.8 U	0.90	U			
Trichloroethene	5	30,000	660,000	24	0.46 U	0.92 U	0.46 U	0.92 U	0.95	J			
Vinyl Chloride	2	2,200	9,000 U	6.5	0.90 U	2.3	0.90 U	1.8 U	0.90	U			
Total VOCs		61,200	727,000	201	0.0	5.9	0.00	0.0	7.8				
Field Parameters													
Temperature (Deg. C)	NV	19.2	19.6	17.5	17.9	16.9	19	19.7	18.3				
Specific Conductance (mS/cm)	NV	8.284	1.82	3.773	1.285	8.256	6.978	10.266	2.441				
Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L)	NV	0.58	6.85	0.7	0.58	0.63	0.76	0.55	0.54				
Oxygen Reduction Potential (mv)	NV	-21.5	-155	97.7	-97.7	-74.4	58.8	-28.3	95.9				
pH (std. units)	NV	6.94	7.13	7.28	7.41	6.97	7	6.94	6.93				
Turbidity (NTUs)	NV	-2.87	-1.79	-2.95	1.39	-2.29	-0.57	6.23	1.08				
Inorganics (mg/L)													
Iron	0.3	1.1	0.031 J	0.050	0.28	8.5	0.18	1.7	0.019	U			
Magnesium	35 Note 4	81.5	39.8	26.8	36.3	51.3	41.9	146	38.3				
Manganese	NV	0.45 B	0.012 B	0.36 B	0.10 B	6.1 B	1.6 B	1.2 B	0.32	В			
Potassium	NV	18.4 B	10.8	2.5 B	8.9 ^+	6.2	9.8	12.3	4.1				
Sodium	20	1490	187	717	135 ^+	1,530	962	1,710	296 -	^+			
Miscellaneous Water Quality Parame	eters												
Methane (ug/L)	NV	1,100	110 J	59	19.0	340	2.3 J	370	1.0	U			
Ethane (ug/L)	NV	58	66 U	1.5 U	1.5 U	1.5 U	1.5 U	1.5 U	1.5	U			
Ethene (ug/L)	NV	410	860	1.5 U	1.5	U							
Carbon Dioxide (ug/L)	NV	56,000	20,000	44,000	18,000	81,000	63,000	57,000	81,000				
Total Organic Carbon (mg/L)	NV	2.7	9.1	3.7	1.7	5.1	2.9	3.7	2.1				
Alkalinity (mg/L)	NV	330 F1	248	389	257	395	488	414	443				
Ammonia (mg/L)	NV	1.5	0.61 B	0.0090 U	0.25	1.5	0.16	0.65	0.0090	U			
Chloride (mg/L)	NV	14.1 U	158	886	218	2250	1,600	3,480	512				
Nitrate (mg/L)	NV	0.020 U	0.020 U	0.024 J	0.036 J	0.025 J	0.21	0.050 U	0.28	_			
Nitrite (mg/L)	NV	0.020 U	0.020 U	0.020 U	0.020 U	0.020 U	0.042 J B	0.050 U	0.023	JB			
Sulfate (mg/L)	NV	17.5 U	121	167	112	130	99.3	97.4 J	57.2				
Hydrogen (nm)	NV	1.6 J	NT	1.7 J	10.9	1.2 J	2.0	1.5 J	1.9	J			

Notes:

1. Only compounds detected in one or more of the groundwater samples are presented in this table.

2. 'Analytical testing completed by TestAmerica in Amherst, New York.

3. Criteria is a guidance value.

A. Laboratory qualifiers: B = compound was found in the blank and sample; J = result is less than the RL but greater than or equal to the MDL and the concentration is an approximation. H = Sample prepped or analyzed beyond holding time; F1 = MS and/or MSD Recovery is outside acceptance limits. ^+ = Continuing Calibration Verification (CCV) is outside acceptance limits, high biased. U = detected below method detection limit.

5. mg/L = parts per million; ug/L = parts per billion

6. NYSDEC Class GA Groundwater Criteria as promulgated in 6 NYCRR 703; Table 1 in Technical and Operational Guidance Series (1.1.1): Ambient Water Quality

Standards and Guidance Values and Groundwater Effluent Limitations, dated October 1993; revised June 1998; errata dated January 1999; addendum dated April 2000.

7. NV = no value, NT = not tested.

8. Shaded concentrations exceed Class GA criteria.


FIGURES









theodore.kle - 11:50am 2023 Sampling\2023 Figures\Figure 3 GW Contours.dwg [FIGURE 3] December 28, GZA-K:\21\PROJECTS\56500s\56546 GM Component LLC\TCE Release Area MNA C) 2023 GZA GeoEnvironmental of New York



APPENDIX A

GROUNDWATER FIELD FORMS

	SAMPLE COLLECTION DATA SHEET - GROUNDWATER SAMPLING PROGRAM														
PRC	JECT NAME	Delphi H	larriso	in Th	ier ma	1 54-	stem	s site	_	PROJEC	TENO.		565	46.0	10 T. 4
S-AN	IPLING CREW ME	MBERS	M. Brown							SUPER	VISOR		Bohlen		1
DA.	TE OF SAMPLE CO	LECTION	10-3-2	3 - 10)-6-7	3									
Note: For 2' dia, well, 1 ft, = 0.14 gal (imp) or 0										r 0.16 g	al (us)]				
Sample Well Measuring Bottom Water Water Well Bailer Volume Field Fi										Field	Field		Sai	nple	
	LD,	No.	Point Elev.	Depth	Depth	Elevation	Volume	Volume	Purged	pН	Temp.	Cond.	Time	Desc	ription
	Number		(ft. AMSL)	(ft. btoc)	(ft. btoc)	(ft. AMSL)	(gallons)	No. Bails	(gallons)					& A1	nalysis
	MW-4-1003 23	MW-4	613.07	34.93	9.75	585.092	4.1		0.6	6.94	19.2	8.284	r130	Voc Hz	MNA VFA
	MW-7 100623	MW-7	613.86	28.97	8.14	605.72	3.4		5.1	7.13	19.6	(.820	0945	Voc	MANA
	MW-10 100323	MW-10	604.70	23:70	15.36	589.34	1.4		0.5	7_28	17.5	3.773	1800	VOC Hz	VFA
	MW-11 100483	MW-11	590,16	15.17	6.13	584.03	1.5		2.5	7.41	17.9	1.285	1500	VOC HZ	MNA VFA
	MW-12 100423	MW-12	590.71	16.40	5.72	584.99	1.7		1.0	6.97	16.9	8.256	0900	VOC HZ	MNA VFA
	MW-13 100523	MW-13	589.02	14.08	5.57	583.45	1.4		0.9	7.00	19.0	5978	1100	VOC Hz	MNA VFA
	MW-14 100523	MW-14	592.77	21.39	6.99	583.02	2.4		1.1	6.94	19.9	10.266	1330	VOC Hz	MWA VFA
	MW-15 100423	MW-15	594,04	16.92	7.87	586.17	1.5		3.2	6.93	18.3	2.441	1200	VOC Hz	MINA
	Additional Comm	ents.					-								
	Copies to:														

ŧ.

EMG MODIFICATIONS MUST BE ACCOMPANIED BY A REVISION REQUEST FORM APPROVED BY THE PROJECT MANAGER

17, 201723 (1984) C. F. (1017) (2017) Frankrige (1017) (2017) (2017)

PIDE TOR = 7.9

			М	ONITORIN	G WELL RECOR	D FOR LOW-FLC	DW PURGIN	G			
Project Data	:					0.1					
	Project Name	Nolohi H	arrison T	Thermal	Systems	ssite		Date:	10-3-7	.3	
	Ref. No.	56546	.20 Tas	sE4	. ~			Personnel:	M. Brow	νīη	
Monitoring V	Nell Data:							2			
	W.D.N.	AA 14/-	H				Screen	Length (ft):	17.5-	32.5=	17
Alasa	Well No.:	TAR	1		-	Der	th to Pump h	ntake (ft) ⁽¹⁾ :	26		
Constructed 1	Well Denth (ft)	27.52			-		Well Diam	eter, D (in):	a		
Moseurad	Voll Doub #0:	24.22			-	Well Sc	reen Volume	V. (m) (2):	4.1		
Depth o	of Seiliment (ft):	مين جي الي مي ال				Ti	uitial Depth to	Water (II):	9.15		
Time	Pumping Rute (mL/min)	Depth to Water (ft)	Drawdown from Initial Water Level ^(s) (ft)	pH	- Temperature ° C	Conductivity (mS/cm)	ORP (mV)	DØ (mg/l.)	Turbidity (NTU)	Volume Purged, V p (ml.)	Na. of Well Screen Volumes Purged ⁽⁴⁾
1032	75	10.29		6:65	18.9	8.300	32.0	1.60	-2.14	6.0	
1042		10.47		6.90	19-1	8.271	-11.0	0.76	-2.94	0.15	
1047		10.52		6.92	19.2	8.219	10-2	0.68	-2.45	0.25	
1052		10-53		6.93	19.5	8 778	-70.6-	0.65	-7.90	0.35	
105/		10.52		694	19.7	8.784	-21.5	0.58	-2.87	0.5	
noc		10.01		0,17		0.2.201					
							-				
					1						
								1	1	1	
Roles.	The pump intak	e will be placed	l at the well screen	nud-point or:	at a minimum of 24	ft above any seduner	st accumulated	at the well b	ottem.		
(2)	'The well screen	volume will be	based on a 5-foot s	reen length.	$V_s = p^* (D/2)^{-1} (5^*12)$)*(2.54) ³					
(3)	The drawdown :	from the initial	water level should	not exceed 0,	3-{1.						
(4)	Purging will cor and appears to I stablizing), No	ntinue until stab ne clearing, or t of Well Screen	bilization is achieve inless stabilization j Volumes Purged= 5	d or unfil 20 y parameters ar Vp/VS	vell screen volume e varving slightly c	s have been purged (nutside of the stabliz	imless purge o ation criteria ar	eater remains id appear to l	s visually turbic be	.1	

PID @ Breathing = 0.0

WELL PURG SITE/PROJEC	ING FIELD INFOI	MATION I Harrison MS Site	ORM Nermal	OB# 565 WELL# MW	46-20
I IO IO IJ JZ PURGE DATE AIM DE YY		TELL PURGING INFO 3 2 3 DATE (1)() ING AND SAMPLIN	RMATION	ACTRAL (JTR)	ANUME PURCED BAGALLONS
PURGING EQUIFMENT	DEDICATED (N (CIRVLE ONE)		5.AM	PLING EQIPMENT	DEDICATED 🕜 N (CIRCLE ONE)
PURGING PEVICE	E - PERISTALING POME	D - GAS LIFT PLMP F - PERICE PLINIP	C BARLER H - WATERRAY		SING OTHER (SPECIFY)
SAMPLING DEVICE	B CEBLADDER PURIP	F - DOPER BOTTLE		λ 	NUMBER (SPECIFY)
PUESING DEVICE	E A- BURN	F - PCA YETHWIANE		X- PUR	STREET OTHER (STECIEM
SAMIPLING DEVICE	E conservations and	1		x- 5A2.1	1 INCOMPLEX ISPECIFY
PURGING DEVICE	E A-TEPLON R-TYGON	D - POUNPS DEVELOPE E - POUNES INTERNE	T - SUICOME 17 - COMS, VATION	× ·	TINC OTHER (SPECIFY
SAMPLING DEVICE	E C RUPE	SPF(T)F (TELOWEOLYPEORY F	UP X-	PLING OTHER (SPECIDE)
FILTERING DEVICES 0.45	A - IN-LINE DISPOSA	IELT, BUPRENSUR	e ci vai clum		
WELL EDEVALIO DEPTH TO WATE PH (ad) (ad) (ad) (ad) (ad) (ad) (ad) (ad)	Image: Non-Strain Strain Image: Non-Strain Strain Image: Non-Strain Strain Image: Non-Strain	(m/ii) 5 (m/ii) 5 (m/iii) Ar 25°C (mrein) Solution Solution Ar 25°C (mrein) Ar 25°C (mrein) (mrein) (mrein) (mrein) (mrein) <th>GROUNDWATER ELEVATION WELL DEPTH RP (mV) (mV) (mV) (mV) (mV) (mV) (mV) (mV)</th> <th></th> <th>AMPLE TEMPERATURE</th>	GROUNDWATER ELEVATION WELL DEPTH RP (mV) (mV) (mV) (mV) (mV) (mV) (mV) (mV)		AMPLE TEMPERATURE
ICERT	FY THAT S MINING (ROLED), RES VIL	建成 医乙酸乙酸苯甲酸	LAPPE CATEE SUPROT	¹⁵ O	
101 DATE	3/23 Morgen	brown	Mar	n Km	

ING MODIFICATIONS MUST BEACCOMPANIED BY A REVISION REQUEST FORM AT FROVED BY THE PROJECT MANAGER

 $\begin{array}{l} \left[25(\theta_{2,1}^{-1}) - 1 \right] < \theta_{1} & \theta_{1} & 0 \\ \theta_{1} = a_{1} e^{-\gamma_{1}} \cdot a_{2} \delta (12 + \delta^{2}) \\ \end{array} \right]$

PID@ TOR = 141.9

	MONITORING WELL RECORD FOR LOW-FLOW FURGING											
	rojeci Dati				. 1	. 1	Cila			. lel	. lal-	
		Project Name: Ref. No.:	Delphi Ha	arrison T	hermal E 4	Systems	SDITE		Date: Personnel:	1015123 M. Brown	- 1016123	5
	Monitoring	Well Data:							3.			
		117 TI XI	AA 14/ "	I				Scroon 1	ongth (ff):	15		
		Well No.:	TOP.	/			Tor!	ith to Pumn Ir	take (ft) ⁽¹⁾ .	12		
	Mea	surement Point:	IOK				Det	Wall Diama	tar D (in)	200		
	Constructed	Well Depth (ft):	27.20				141-11-01	Well Diatile	1 (2), (11).	2 ()		
	Measured	Well Depth (ft):					vveli 50	reen volume,	saal	3.4		
Depth of Sediment (ft):												
	Time	Pumping Rate (mL/min)	Depth to Water (ft)	Drawdown from Initial Water Level ⁽³⁾ (ft)	pН	Temperature ° C	Conductivity (mS/cm)	ORP (mV)	DO (mg/L)	Turbidity (NTU)	Volume Purged, V p (mL)	No. of Well Screen Volumes Purged ⁽⁴⁾
	1877	hist to	8.79		7.18	19.4	1.693	34.3	1.55	-2.55	0,1	
	0832	UNTILOTY	9.62		7.74	19.0	t.645	-22.7	0.73	-1.68	0.3	v
à	0842		11.7.2		7.70	19.2	1.689	-39.9	0.55	20.03	1.0	
0	1852		12.47		7.20	19.3	1.681	-45.7	0.52	114.08	\$.7	
*	0902		13.75		7.21	19.4	1.650	-49.5	0. 67	209.97	2.5	
	0920		16.04		7.24	19.4	1.668	- 74.9	0.92	263.60	3,5	
	0930		17.85		7.22	19.3	1.703	-75.7	0.58	226.33	4.0	
	0940		20.9		7.26	19.3	1.702	-55.5	3-22	110.71	<u> </u>	
	0950		22.35		7.26	19.2	1.694	1-48.4	2.78	155.48	5.0	
	0930		9.11		7.13	19.6	1.820	155.0	6-85	-1.79	0.1	
										-		
	L				1	I				<u></u>		
	Notes	PPs		al daa	فالمعاطمين والال	a minimum at a s	ahorra anis continent	4 accumulated	at the well h	ation.		
	(1)	The pump intake	e will be placed	at the wen screen h	na-point or at	a minimum of 21 $=$ $=$ $=$ $=$ $=$ $=$ $=$ $=$ $=$ $=$	LEADOVE ANY SECTION	a accumulated	STA DEBLE TEACHER AT			
	(2)	The well screen	volume will be	based on a 5-toot sei	reen length. V	s=b.(D/7) .(2,15))"(2,34)					
	(3)	The drawdown i	from the initial	water level should r	not exceed 0.3	FL.			akese presenter	التطفية بالجريطي		
	(4)	Purging will con and appears to b stablizing), No. (itinue until stal oe clearing, or u of Well Screen '	olization is achieved nless stabilization p Volumes Purged∞ V	l or until 20 w arameters are p/ Vs.	ell screen volume: varying slightly (s have been purged (nutside of the stabliza	uniess purge w ition criteria an	d appear to l)e		

PID @ Breathing = 0.0

WELL PURGI SITE/PROJEC	NG FIELD INFORM Delphi F T NAME: Sustem	VIATION FOR	DRM Theorem J	0B# 56546 - 20 WELL# MW-7
URGE DATE (MM DD YY)	WEL SAMPLE DAT (MALDD YY) PURGIN	L PURGING INFOR	WATER VOL IN CASING (LITRES/GALLONS) EQUIPMENT	ACTUAL VOLUME PURGED
PURGING EQUIPMENT.	_DEDICA FED Ø N (CIRCLE ONE)		SAM	PLING EQIPMENT DEDICATED C N (CIRCLE ONE)
PURGING DEVICE SAMPLING DEVICE	B A - SUBMERSIBLE PUMP B - PERISTALTIC PUMP C - SLADDER PUMP	D - GAS LIFT PUMP E - PURGE PUMP F - DIPPER BOTTLE	G - BAILER H - WATERRA®	X- PURGING OTHER (SPECIFY) X- SAMPLING OTHER (SPECIFY)
PURGING DEVICE	E A-THELON B-STAINLESS STEEL E C-POLYPROPYLENE	D - PV." E = POLYETHYLENE		X PURCING OTHER (SPECIFY) X
PURGING DEVICE SAMPLING DEVICE	A - TEFLON B - TYCON C - ROPE X (SPEC	D - POLYPROPYLENE E - POLYFTHYLENE (TPY)	F - SILICONE C - COMBINATION TERION/ POLYPROPYLE	X- PURCING OTHER (SPECIFY) NE X- SAMPLING OTHER (SPECIFY)
FILTERING DEVICES 0.45		FIELD MEASURE	C - VACUUM IENTS GROUNDWATER FLEVATION	601517 Z (m/10)
PH PH (std) (std) (std) (std) (std) (std) (std) (std) (std)	Image: Control of the second	(m, / ii) (m, / ii) OF (m, / ii) OF (m, / ii) AT 25°C (m, / ii) AT 25°C (m, / ii) AT 25°C (m, / ii) AT 25°C (m, / ii) AT 25°C	ELECATION WELL DEPTH	DO SAMPLE TEMPERATURE
SAMPLE APPEARANCE WEATHER CONDITIONS SPECIFIC CONTINENTS Runged Dry	<u>Good</u> odox WIND SPRED <u>5-15</u> 1015123 Sam	pled 10	PRECIPITATIO	YURBINT NOVE
	THAT SAMPLING PROCEDURES WERE 23 Morgan Br	х ассоврансе WIH	APPLICABLE CM PROTOCO Min SIGN MURE	ns fr

PIDE TOR = 0.0

>1D@	TOR	G.0 =		PI.	AQ	Breath inc Space	3 = 0	<i>O</i> .(y
Project Data	12		мс	NITORING	WELL RECO	ORD FOR LOW-FLC	OW FURGIN	G			
	Project Name: Ref. No.:	Delphi H	arrison T 10 Tas	hermal	System	s site		Date: Personnel:	M. Brou	m	
Monitoring	Well Data:										
Meas Constructed Measured Depth o	Well No.: surement Point: Well Depth (ft): Well Depth (ft): of Sediment (ft):	MW- TOR 21.3	10			Dep Well So In	Screen oth to Pump la Well Diame creen Volume nitial Depth to	Length (It): ntake (It) ⁽¹⁾ : eter, D (in): , V _s (AL) ⁽²⁾ :) Water (It):	8.8' ~17' 2 1.4 15.36		
Time	Pumping Rate (mL/min).	Depth to Water (ft)	Drawdown from Initial Water Level ^(s) (ft)	pН	Temperature ° C	Conductivity (mS/cm)	ORP (mV)	DO (1119/1.)	Turbidity (NTU)	Volume Purged, V p (mL)	No. of Well Screen Volumes Purged ⁽⁴⁾
1228		15.70		7.28	17.3	3.746	19.4	1.48	~0.0B	0.0	
1233		15.74		7.22	17.7	3.725	115.6	1.00	-2.00	<u>D.1</u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
12.58		15-16		1.75	175	2 741	103.7	0.00	-784	0.3	
1248		13.78		7.28	17.5	3.773	97.7	0.70	-2.95	0.4	
							-				
						-					
										and the second	
									L		
Notes: (1) (2) (3) (4)	The pump intal The well screen The drawdown Purging will co and appears to stablizing), No.	ke will be placed a volume will be a from the initial ntinue until stat be clearing, or u of Well Screen	l at the well screen n based on a 5-foot sc water level should r bilization is achieved inless stabilization p Volumes Purged= V	tid-point or at reen length, V not exceed 0.3 : or un41 20 w arameters are p/ Vs.	: a minimum of : ' _s =p'(D/2) ^{2*} (5*1 ft. ell screen voluur varying slightly	2 ft above any sedimer 2)*(2.54) ³ ies have been purged (9 outside of the stablizz	it accumulated unless purge w ition criteria an	at the well b vater remains d appear to l	ottom. s visually turbic be		

WELL PURGI SITE/PROJEC	NG FIELD INFORMATION FORM Delphi Harrison thermal TNAME: Systems Site we	# 56546-20 LL# MW-10		
PURGE DATE (MM DD YY)	WELL PURGING INFORMATION SAMPLE DATE WATER VOL IN CASING (MAI DD YY) (LITRES/CALLONS) PURGING AND SAMPLING EQUIPMENT	ACTUAL VOLUME PURGED		
PURGING EQUIPMENT	DEDICATED ON SAMPLING (CIRCLE ONE)	; EQIPMENT DEDICATED 🕢 N (CIRCLE ONE)		
PURGING DEVICE	A - SUBMERSIBLE PUMP D - GAS LIFT PUMP G - BAILER B - PERISTALTIC PUMP E - PURGE PUMP H - WATERRAS B C - BLADDEK PUMP F - DIPPER BOTTLE	X PURGING OTHER (SPECIFY) X		
PURGING DEVICE	E A - TEPLON D - PW" B - STAINLESS STEEL E - POLYETHYLENE	X- X-		
SAMPLING DEVICE	E A - TEFLON D - POLYPROPYLENE F - SILICONE B - TYCON E - POLYETHYLENE G - COMBINATION	SAMPLING OTHER (SPECIFI) X PURGING OTHER (SPECIFY)		
SAMPLING DEVICE	C - ROPE X TEFLON/POLYPROPYLENE (SPECIFY)	XSAMPLING OTHER (SPECIFY)		
WELL ELEVATION DEPTH TO WATER pH (sid) (sid) (sid) (sid) (sid) (sid) (sid) (sid) (sid) (sid) (sid)	FIELD MEASUREMENTS (ROUNDWATER ELEVATION 1115366 (m/ft) ELEVATION URBIDITY CONDUCTIVITY ORP (m/ft) (m/ft) (m/ft) (m/gradient) (m/gradient) <td <="" colspan="2" td=""><td>58934 (m/ti) 2370 (m/ti) 00 SAMPLE TEMPERATURE 100 March 100 (m/ti) 100 (m/ti)</td></td>	<td>58934 (m/ti) 2370 (m/ti) 00 SAMPLE TEMPERATURE 100 March 100 (m/ti) 100 (m/ti)</td>		58934 (m/ti) 2370 (m/ti) 00 SAMPLE TEMPERATURE 100 March 100 (m/ti) 100 (m/ti)
SPECIFIC COMMENTS	THAT SAMPLING PROCEDURES WERE IN ACCORDANCE WITH APPLICABLE CM PROTOCOLS	2		

(7050-12) PART C (MUD0-4-01 Revision 3: October 29, 2002

÷

PIDE TOR = 0.0

>1D@	TOR :	6,6 =		PI.	ACS	sreath ino	6 = 2	0			
Project Data			, MC	NITORING	WELL RECOR	ND FOR LOW-FLC	W PURGIN	3	1016		
	Project Name: Rel. No.:	Delphi H 56546	arrison 7 . 20 Tas	hermal E 4	Systems	S DITC		Date: Personnel:	M.B.	<u>n</u>	
Monitoring Meas Constructed Measured Depth o	Well Data: Well No.: surement Point: Well Depth (ft): Well Depth (ft): of Sediment (ft):	MW- TOR 24,10	11			Dep Weil Sc Iu	Screen I oth to Pump Ia Well Diame creen Volume, nitial Depth to	Length (It): $take (It)^{(1)}$: eter, D (in): $V_s (II)^{(2)}$: Where (It):	9 - 2 15 2 1.5 6.13	1, 4	
Time	Pumping Rate (mL/min)	Depth to Water (ft)	Drawdown from Initial Water Level ⁽¹⁹ (ft)	pН	Temperature ° C	Conductivity (mS/cm)	ORP (mV)	DO (mg/L)	Turbidity (NTU)	Volume Purged, V p (mL)	No. of Well Screen Volumes Purged ⁽⁴⁾
1420	ST +	694	[7.64	19.1	1.542	-35.9	1.37	-0.45	0.0	
1425	00	6.98		7.55	18.0	1.4/6	-54.3	0.93	-0.81	0.1	
1430		7.26		7.51	17.7	1-335	-53.7	0.76	-0.64	0.2	
1435	1	1.36		17.49	17.8	1.31(-75.6	0.71	-0.31	0.3	
1440		7.43		7.46	17.8	1.283	-85.1	0.65	-0.04	0.4	
145		7.52		7.45	17.6	1.274	-87.7	0.62	0.35	0.5	
1450		7.57		7.43	17.8	1.277	-44.6	6.99	a. 26	0.6	
1465		7.59		7.41	17.9	1.285	-97.7	0.58	1.39	6.0	
Notes: (1) (2) (3) (4)	The pump intal The well screen The drawdown Purging will co and appears to stablizing), No.	we will be place volume will be from the initia ntinue until sta be clearing, or of Well Screen	d at the well screen i e based on a 5-foot so l water level should bilization is achieve unless stabilization p Volumes Purged * V	nid-point or a creen length. V not exceed 0.3 if or until 20 w varameters are /p/ Vs.	t a minimum of 2 $I_s = p^* (D/2)^{2*} (5*12)$ 8 ft. well screen volume 9 varying slightly (ft above any sedimen)*(2.54) ³ s have been purged putside of the stabliz	at accumulated (unless purge v ation criteria at	at the well b vater remains nd appear to	ottom, s visually turbio be	đ	

WELL PURGI SITE/PROJEC	NG FIELD INFORMATION FORM Delphi Harrison thermal TNAME: Systems Site WELL# MW-111
URGE DATE (MM DD YY)	WELL PURGING INFORMATION 3 1001423 41145 ACTUAL VOLUME PURGED SAMPLE DATE WATER VOL IN CASING (MAI DD YY) (LITRES/GALLONS) RUP CINC AND SAMPLING EQUIPMENT
PURGING EQUIPMENT	DEDICATED ON SAMPLING EQEPMENTDEDICATED ON N (CIRCLE ONE)
PURGING DEVICE SAMPLING DEVICE	B - PERESTALTIC PUMP D - GAS LIFT PUMP G - BAILER X- B - PERESTALTIC PUMP E - PURGE PUMP H - WATERRAD PURGING OTHER (SPECIFY) C - BLADDER PUMP F - DIPPER BOTTLE X-
PURGING DEVICE	E A - TEPLON D - PWC X- B - STAINLESS STEEL E - POLYETHYLENE PURCINVICITHER (SPECIFY) Z C - POLYPROPYLENE X-
PURGING DEVICE	Image: Specify D-POLYPROPYLENE F-SILICONE X- Image: Specify E-POLYETHYLENE G-COMBINATION PURGING OTHER (SPECIFY) Image: Specify X- Sampling other (Specify) Specify Sampling other (Specify) Sampling other (Specify)
	FIELD MEASUREMENTS FIELD MEASUREMENTS GROUNDWATER ELEVATION IST 9 10 13 (m/ft) ELEVATION IST 9 10 13 (m/ft) TURBIDITY CONDUCTIVITY ORP DO SAMPLE TEMPERATUR (mV) (mV) (mV) (mV) (mV)
(sid)	(ntu) (ntu) (mixin) (m
SAMPLE APPEARANCE WEATHER CONDITIONS SPECIFIC COMMENTS	FIELD COMMENTS UDOR NOVE COLOR CLOC TURBLOTION NOVE WIND SPEED 5-10 DIRECTION SSW PRECIPITATION V/N OUTLOCK N
	THAT SAMPLING PROCEDURES WERE IN ACCORDANCE WITH APPLICABLE CM PRETCOLS

 \hat{a}

FMG MODIFICATIONS MUST BE ACCOMPANIED BY A REVISION REQUEST FORM APPROVED BY THE PROJECT MANAGER

Ŧ.

PIDE TOR = 0.0

9/1A@	TOR	6.0 =		P	De:	Breathing Space	3 = 0	0.0			
	- Wenn and All Constant		мо	NITORIN	GWELL RECO	RD FOR LOW-FLO	OW PURGING	3			
Project Dat	a: Project Name: Ref. No.:	Delphi H 56540	arrison 7	hermal	System.	s site		Date: Personnel:	10-4-2 M-Brow	3	
Monitoring	Well Data:										
Mea Constructed Measurèd Depth	Well No.: asurement Point: I Well Depth (ft): I Well Depth (ft): of Sediment (ft):	MW- TOR 15,10	1d	N	• 4 • •	Deş Well So Iı	Screen I oth to Pump h Well Diamo creen Volume, uitial Depth to	Length (ft): htake (ft) ⁽¹⁾ : eter, D (in): $V_s (m)^{(2)}$: Writer (ft):	7,1 13 2 1.7 5.72		
Time	Pumping Rate (mL/min).	Depth to Water (ft)	Drawdown from Initial Water Level ^(s) (ft)	pН	Temperature ° C	Conductivity (mS/cm)	ORP (mV)	DO (111g/L)	Turbidity (NTU)	Volume Purged, Vp (mL)	No. of Well Screen Volumes Purged ⁽⁴⁾
0825	T	6.11		6.78	16.7	7.834	-17.6	1.42	0.55	0.0	
0830		6.23		7.00	16.5	7.942	-47.2	0.95	- 0-29	0-1	·
0840		6.23		6.97	16.7	8.129	-60.1	0.11	-1-06	0.4	
0845		6.21		6.97	16.9	8.206	-61.5	0.61	277	0	
0850		6-21		6.91	16.4	8.245	-12.0	10.69	-7 79	0.5	
0855		6.21		6.91	16.9	0,206		0.03			
·											
Charles and the state											
Notes: (1) (2) (3) (4)	The pump intak 'The well screen The drawdown Purging will con and appears to stablizing), No.	te will be place volume will be from the initia ntinue until sta be clearing, or of Well Screen	d at the well screen r 2 based on a 5-foot so 1 water level should bilization is achieved anless stabilization p Volumes Purged® V	nid-point or a seen length. M not exceed 0.3 For until 20 w paramèters are 7p/Vs.	at a minimum of 2 V ₈ =p*(D/2) ²⁺ (5 ⁴): 8 ft. vell screen volum ≥ varying slightly	: ft above any sedimer 2)*(2.54) ³ es have been purged (outside of the stabliza	at accumulated, (unless purge w ation criteria an	at the well b vator remains id appear to l	ottom. s visually turbic be	1	

1.00

WELL PURGING INFORMATION IIIOOPHICIS IIOOPHICIS IIOOPHICIS IIOOPHICIS IIOOPHICIS PURCINC EQUIPMENT. DEJNCARDS SAMPLING EQUIPMENT PURGING OTHER GPRCIPY PURGING DEVICE IIO A - TEFLON D - POLYPECKPUISME F- SILLONE - PURGING OTHER GPRCIPY PURGING DEVICE IIO A - TEFLON D - POLYPECKPUISME F- SILLONE - PURGING OTHER GPRCIPY PURGING DEVICE IIO A - TEFLON D - POLYPECKPUISME F- SILLONE - PURGING OTHER GPRCIPY PURGING DEVICE IIO A - TEFLON D - POLYPECKPUISME C - CANSINATION - PURGING OTHER GPRCIPY SAMPLING DEVICE IIO A - TEFLON <td< th=""><th>SITE/PROJECT N</th><th>AME: Systems</th><th>site</th><th>WELL# [M W -112]</th></td<>	SITE/PROJECT N	AME: Systems	site	WELL# [M W -112]		
PURCING EQUIPARENT	UKGE DATE (MM DD YY)	WELL PURGIN LI O O JELZIS SAMPLE DATE (MI DD YY) PURCING AND S	IG INFORMATION	ACTUAL VOLUME PURCED		
PURCING DEVICE B SUMMERSIBLE PUMP D. GAS LIFT (PUMP G BALER ** B PERSTALTIC PUMP B PERSTALTIC PUMP E PURCE PUMP H WATERARD PURCING OTHER, SPECIPY SAMPLING DEVICE B TEPLON D PURCE PUMP H WATERARD ** PURCING OTHER, SPECIPY PURCING DEVICE B TEPLON D POLY PURCING OTHER, SPECIPY ** ** PURCING DEVICE C POLYPROPYLEME D POLYPROPYLEME F- SULCOME ** ** PURCING DEVICE A TEPLON D POLYPROPYLEME F- SULCOME ** ** PURCING DEVICE A TEPLON D POLYPROPYLEME F- SULCOME ** ** PURCING DEVICE A TEPLON D POLYPROPYLEME F- SULCOME ** ** SAMPLING DEVICE A TEPLON D POLYPROPYLEME F- SULCOME ** ** PURCING DEVICE A TEPLON D POLYPROPYLEME C COMBINATION ** ** PURCING DEVICE A TEPLON D POLYPROPYLEME F- SULCOME ** ** PURCING DEVICE A TEPLON B TELO MARSUREMEN	URGING EQUIPMENT	ICATED N (CIRCLE ONE)	SAT	MPLING EQIPMENT DEDICATED O N (CIRCLE ONE)		
PURGING DEVICE A - TEFLON D - PAC B - STAINLESS STREL B - FOLVPROPYLENE SAMPLING DEVICE C - FOLVPROPYLENE PURGING DEVICE A - TEFLON D - POLYPROPYLENE SAMPLING DEVICE A - TEFLON D - POLYPROPYLENE SAMPLING DEVICE A - TEFLON D - POLYPROPYLENE SAMPLING DEVICE A - TEFLON SAMPLING DEVICE A - TEFLON SAMPLING DEVICE A - TEFLON SAMPLING DEVICE A - IN-LINE DISPOSABLE SAMPLING DEVICE A - IN-LINE DISPOSABLE PURGING DEVICE A - IN-LINE DISPOSABLE SPECIFYO FILTERING DEVICES 0.45 A - IN-LINE DISPOSABLE SAMPLING DEVICES 0.45 SAMPLING DITHER SPECIFYO SAMPLING DEVICES 0.45 SAMPLING DITHER SPECIFYO SAMPLING DITHER SPECIFYO SAM		A - SUBMERSIBLE PUMP D - GAS LIFT B - PERISTALTIC PUMP E - PURGE P C - SLADDER PUMP F - DIPPER B	C - RAILER UNIP H - WATERRA® OTTLE	XPURGING OTHER (SPECIFY) X		
PURGING DEVICE A. TEFLON D. POLYPROPYLENE F. SILICONE X PURGING OTHER (SPECIPY) SAMPLING DEVICE C. ROPE X SFECIPY) SAMPLING DEVICES 0.45 FIELD MEASUREMENTS FIELD MEASUREMENTS FIELD MEASUREMENTS WELL ELEVATION STREMENTS ORP DO SAMPLE TEMPERATUR FT UBBIDITY CONDUCTIVITY ORP DO SAMPLE TEMPERATUR FT UBBIDITY CONDUCTIVITY ORP DO SAMPLE TEMPERATUR FTELD COMMENTS FIELD COMMENTS FIELD COMMENTS FIELD COMMENTS FIELD COMMENTS SAMPLE APPEARANCE Groce UDOR NOVe COLOR CLEAT TURBIDITY DIRB.TION SEE PRECIPIONS N CLEATION CLEATION N CLEATION CLEATION CLEATION CLEA	AMPLING DEVICE	A - TEPLON D - PVC B - STAINLESS STEEL E - POLYETI C - POLYPROPYLENE	IYLENE	X PURGING OTHER (SPECIFY) X SAMPLING OTHER (SPECIFY)		
FILTERING DEVICES 0.45 A - IN-LINE DISPOSABLE B - PRESSURE C - VACUUM FIELD MEASUREMENTS WELL ELEVATION [5] 9 0 7]] (m/ft) DEPTH TO WATER [15] 7]2 (m/ft) PRESSURE C - VACUUM PRESSURE C - VACUUM DEPTH TO WATER [15] 7]2 (m/ft) PRESSURE CONDUCTIVITY ORP DO SAMPLE TEMPERATUL [mvd] [mvd] <td [mvd]<="" colspan="2" td=""><td>SAMPLING DEVICE</td><td>A - TEFLON D - POLYPR B - TYGON E - POLYPR C - ROPE X</td><td>OPYLENE F-SILICONE HYLENE C - COMBINATION TEFLON/ POLYPROPYL</td><td>X PURGING OTHER (SPECIEV) ENE X SAMPLING OTHER (SPECIEV)</td></td>	<td>SAMPLING DEVICE</td> <td>A - TEFLON D - POLYPR B - TYGON E - POLYPR C - ROPE X</td> <td>OPYLENE F-SILICONE HYLENE C - COMBINATION TEFLON/ POLYPROPYL</td> <td>X PURGING OTHER (SPECIEV) ENE X SAMPLING OTHER (SPECIEV)</td>		SAMPLING DEVICE	A - TEFLON D - POLYPR B - TYGON E - POLYPR C - ROPE X	OPYLENE F-SILICONE HYLENE C - COMBINATION TEFLON/ POLYPROPYL	X PURGING OTHER (SPECIEV) ENE X SAMPLING OTHER (SPECIEV)
	WELL ELEVATION DEPTH TO WATER PH TUR PH TUR (std) (std) (std) SAMPLE APPEARANCE WEATHER CONDITIONS WINI	FIELD MI 5 9 7 (m/ft) 1 5 7 2 (m/ft) BIDITY CONDUCTIVITY (micro) (m/ft) (ntu) 1 4725°C (m/ft) (ntu) 1 4725°C (micro) (ntu) 1 (micro) 4725°C (ntu) 1 (micro) (micro) (micro) 1 (micro) (micro) (micro) 1 (micro) (micro) (micro) 1 (micro) (micro) (micro)	EASUREMENTS (ROUNDWATER ELEVATION WELL DEPTH ORP (mV)	<u>тивногт</u> <u>тивногт</u> <u>тивногт</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>тик</u> <u>ти</u>		

54

PIDE TOR = 0.0

PID @ Breathing = 0.0

٦

Project Data			МС	NITORING	WELL RECO	RD FOR LOW-FLC	W PURGIN	G			
a rojen zonin	Project Name: Ref. No.:	Delphi H 56540	arrison 7 . 20 Tas	hermal	System	s site		Date: Personnel:	MB200	10 5 z	3
Monitoring	Well Data:								Net le che che che che che che che che che ch		
Meas Constructed	Well No.: surement Point: Well Depth (ft):	MW- TOR 15	13		ê B	Dep	Screen oth to Pump I Well Diam	Length (ft): ntake (ft) ^{ft)} eter, D (in):	7/2		
Measured Depth o	well Depth (ft): of Sediment (ft):				2	Ir	uitial Depth to	Water (It)	5.57		
Time	Pumping Rate (mL/min)	Depth to Water (ft)	Drawdown from Initial Water Level ⁽³⁾ (ft)	pН	Temperature °C	Conductivity (mS/cm)	ORP (mV)	DO (mg/L)	Turbidity (NTU)	Volume Purged, V p (mL)	No. of Well Screen Volunes Purged ⁽⁴⁾
1015		5.82	1	7.01	19.3	7.742	580	1.61	-0.54	0.0	
1020		5.82		7.01	18.9	7-515	49.2	1.05	-1-18	0.15	2
1025		5.83		7.00	18.8	6.515	53 7	0.71	-1-65	0.3	
10:50		5.8.5		0.97	19.0	6.001	55.4	0.74	-0.65	0.4	
1040		5.82		7.00	19.0	6.978	58.0	0.76	-0.67	0.5	·
Notes: (1) (2) (3) (4)	The pump intak The well screen The drawdown Purging will cor and appears to b	e will be placed volume will be from the initia itinue until sta be clearing, or to of Well Service	d at the well screen n • based on a 5-foot se • water level should i bilization is achieved anless stabilization p Volumes Purgrade V	nid-point or a reen length, V not exceed 0.3 l or until 20 w arameters are	t a minimum of 2 / $_{s}$ =p*(D/2) ^{2*} (5*12 ift. rell screen volume e varying slightly (ft above any sedimen !)*(2.54) ³ es have been purged (outside of the stabliza	ut accumulated unless purge v ation criteria at	Lat the well t vater remain nd appear to	oottom. s visually turbic be	1	

FMG MODIFICATIONS MUST BE ACCOMPANIED BY A REVISION REQUEST FORM APPROVED BY THE PROJECT MANAGER

SITE/PRC	JECT NAMI	Syster	ns site		WELL#	MINI-113
PURGE (MM D	SIZIZI DATE VYYI	SAMPLE DA (MAI DD 3 PURCH	ATE Y) NG AND SAMPLING	WATER VOL IN CASIN (LITRES/CALLONS)	L) G	ACTUAL VOLUME PURGED
PURGING EQUIPA	ENT. DEDICATED	(CIRCLE ONE)		5.4	MPLING EQIPI	MENT DEDICATED O
PURGING DEVICE	B A-SU B-PE	UBMERSIBLE PUMP	D - GAS LIFT PUMP E - PURCE PUNIP	G - BAILER H - WATERRA®	x- x-	PURGING OTHER (SPECIF
SAMPLING DEVIC		ADDER PUMP	F - DIMPER BOTTLE		x-	SAMPLING OTHER (SPECI
SAMPLING DEVICE	B-ST EC-FY	AINLESS STEEL DUYPROPYLENE	E - POLYETHYLENE	ž	X·	PURGING OTHER (SPECIF
PURGING DEVICE		EFLON IGON OPE X-	D - POLYPROPYLENE E - POLYETHYLENE	F - SILICONE C - COMBINATION TEFLON/ POLYPROPYI	ENE X-	PURGING OTHER (SPECIE
FILTERING DEVIC	25 0.45	(SP A - IN-LINE DISPOSAB	ECTEY) Le Bi-Pressure	C - VACUDM		SAMPLING OTHER ISPECT
WELL ELE DEPTH TO PH	ATION	518191012 15517 CONDUCTIVIT 011111 011111 011111 011111 011111 011111 011111 011111 0100k	(m/fl) AT25°C (unven) (unven) (unven) (unven) (unven) (unven) <	(mV) (mV)		18 3 4 5 (m) SAMPLE TEMPERAT 1 10 5 (m) 1 10 1 1 <tr< td=""></tr<>

PIDE TOR = 0.0

			MO	NITORING	WELL RECON	D FOR LOW-FLOW	V PURGING				
Project Data			10. 10 V	1	Suctores	Site		Date	10/51-	23	
	Project Name: Ref. No.:	56546	. 20 Tas	KH KH			ĩ	ersonnel:	MBR	usn	
Monitoring V	Nell Data:							1	a da sa		
	Well No.:	M W	14	~			Screen L	ength (ft):	9.1-1	9,1	
Moac	urement Paint	TAR	<u>/ </u>			Dept	h to Pump In	take (fi) ⁽¹⁾ :	14		
Conchractard	Mall Douth (ff)	191	and the second				Well Diame	ter, D (in):	2		
Constructed	Well Depth (19).	11.1				Well Scr	een Volume,	V. (ML)(2);	74		
Measured I	Well Depth (ft):					Ini	tial Depth to	Water (II):	699		
Depuro	a Securiteria (r.g.							-			
Time	Pumping Rate (mL/min).	Depth to Water (ft)	Drawdown from Initial Water Level ⁽³⁾ (ft)	pH	Temperature ° C	Conductivity (mS/cm)	ORP (mV)	DO (111g/L)	Turbidity (NTU)	Volume Purged, V p (mL)	No. of Well Screen Volumes Purged ¹⁹
1730		710	11	1.87	70.1	12.606	-15.3	1.52	2.11	0.0	
1735		7.60		6.88	19.4	12.945	- 14.3	1.09	1.98	0.1	
1740		8.17		6.92	19.9	11.446	- 15.1	0.76	1.39	0.7	
17.50		8.31		6.91	70.2	14.066	-18.3	0.71	2.50	0.5	
17.55		8.55		6.92	19.8	10.920	-23.9	0.63	2.76	0.4	
1300		8.58		6.94	19.8	10.420	-27.2	0.57	6.24	0.5	
1305		8.62		6.94	19.9	10.260	-28.3	0.55	6.65	0.6	

		1									
	Arrest Construction of the										
Notes:	The nump intak	a will be placed	t at the well screen or	id-noisk or al	a minimum of 2 i	t above any sediment	accumulated a	nt the well bo	attom.		
(1)	The well screen	volume will be	hased on a 5-foot ser	een leneth V	.=u*(D/2) ² *(5*12)	*(2.54) ³					
(4)	The department	feans the initial	vinter level chould a	of avcoud 11 2	5 P 1-7 -7 10 AM						
(->) (-1)	Puraina will ess	aom me nutal dinna nedil etal	bilization is achieved	or tintil 20 w	ell screen volume	s have been purged (u	nless purge w	ater remains	visually turbid	1	
(11)	and appears to b	be clearing, or u	inless stabilization va	trameters are	varying slightly o	utside of the stablizati	ion criteria an	i appear to b	e		
	stablizing), No.	of Well Screen	Volumes Purged® Vi	o/Vs.							

PID @ Breathing = 0.0

WELL PURGI SITE/PROJEC	NG FIELD INFORMATION FORM Delphi Harrison Thermel JOB# 56 546 20 NAME: Systems Site Well# MW-14
	WELL PURGING INFORMATION SAMPLE DATE (MAI DD YY) PURGING AND SAMPLING EQUIPMENT WATER VOL IN CASING (LITRES/GALLONS)
PURGING EQUIPMENT	DEDICATED ON SAMPLING EQIPMENT
PURGING DEVICE	B - PERISTALTIC PUMP D - GAS LIFT PUMP G - BAILER X- B - PERISTALTIC PUMP E - PURCE PUMP H - WATERRA® PURGING OFHER (SPECIFY) C - SLADDER PUMP F - DIPPER BOTTLE X-
PURGING DEVICE	E A - TEPLON D - PVC X - B - STAINLESS STEEL E - POLYETHYLENE PURGING OTHER (SPECIFY) E C - POLYPROPYLENE X -
PURGING DEVICE SAMPLING DEVICE	SAMPLING OTHER (SPECIFY) SAMPLING OTHER (SPECIFY) SAMPLING OTHER (SPECIFY) SAMPLING OTHER (SPECIFY) SAMPLING OTHER (SPECIFY) SAMPLING OTHER (SPECIFY)
WELL ELEVATION DEPTH TO WATER pH (std) (std) (std) (std) (std) (std) (std) (std) (std) SAMPLE APPEARANCE WEATHER CONDITIONS SPECIFIC COMMENTS	FIELD MEASUREMENTS GROUNDWATER ELEVATION SAMPLE TEMPERATURE (m/fit) UM/fit) FURBIDITY CONDUCTIVITY ORP DO SAMPLE TEMPERATURE (m/fit) (m/fit) <td colspan="2</td>
ICERTIFY	HAT SAMPLING PROCEDURES WERE IN ACCORDANCE WITH APPLICABLE ON PROTOCOLS 123 Morgan Brown Man Brown

64

PIDE TOR =0.0

PIDO	TOR :	=0.0		PI	ACE	sreath ind space	5 = 0	.0			
			мо	ONITORING	GWELL RECON	RD FOR LOW-FLO	W PURGING	3			
Project Date	i:					1					
	Project Name: Ref. No.:	Delphi H 56540	arrison 7 . 20 Tas	hermal	Systems	s Site		Date: Personnel:	1. Brown		
Monitoring	Well Data:										
	SAF TT b I	AA 14/	15				Screen !	ength ((t):	7		
24	Well NO.:	TAP	12		<u>.</u>	Dep	th to Pump Ir	take (fl) ⁽¹⁾ :	13		
Constructed	Mall Danth (ff):	17 90		and the second second	-S:		Well Diamo	ter, D (in):	2		
Maneurad	Well Dopth (ff):	17,10			•33	Well Sc	reen Volume,	V. (ML)(2);	1.5		
Denth	of Sectionant (ft)				2	ไม	itial Depth to	Water (It):	7.87		
Deput	or occurrent (19)		n l	and the second second	•		м.				
	Pumping Rate	Depth to Water	from Initial Water Level ⁽³⁾		Temperature	Conductivity	ORP	DO (mg/L)	Turbidity (NTU)	Volume Purged, V y (mL)	No. of Well Screen Volumes Purged ⁽⁴⁾
lanc	(11(L/11111)).	(jt)	(ji)	рл	,	(III)	1 00 0	1 2 5	1.22		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1055	801	8.07		7.05	20.0	<u>Z.492</u>	99.2	1.30	-1.63	0.0	
ilos		8.13		6.96	18.6	2 431	100.60	0.66	-1.97	0.25	
1110		8.19		6-95	18.5	2.432	dR 4	0.60	-2.58	0.35	
1115		8.21		6.15	18.3	2,440	97.2	0.59	-1.85	0.5	
1120		877		6 94	18.3	2.439	9.6.6	0.57	-0.06	0.6	
1125		823		6.94	18.3	2.440	96.3	0.66	0.68	0-75	
1135		8.23		6.93	18.3	2.437	96-2	0.65	0.99	0.85	
1140		5.23		6.93	18.3	7.441	95-9	0.54	1.08	60	
					<u> </u>						
L	J.,		1							h	
Notes					1						
(1)	The pump intak	e will be place	d at the well screen i	mid-point or a	it a minimum of 2	ft above any sedimen	d accumulated	at the well bo	ottom.		
(2)	The well screen	volume will be	e based on a 5-foot s	creen length. '	V _s =p*(D/2) ² *(5*12)*(2.54) ³					
(3)	The drawdown	from the initia	l water level should	not exceed 0.3	3 Ft.			(1011) (1011)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	1	
(4)	Purging will co	ntiture until sta	bilization is achieve	d or until 20 v	vell screen volume	s have been purged (nnjeze bruße w	ater remains	visuany turbic	1	
	and appears to	be clearing, or	unless stabilization ₁	parameters ar	e varying slightly	outside of the stabliza	mon criteria an	a appear to t	ic.		
	stablizing), No.	of Well Screen	Volumes Purged	∕p/Vs.							
4	NS SLOW	as possib	ne.								and the second

WELL PURGING	SFIELD INFORMATION FORM JAME: <u>System S Site</u> WEL WELL PURGING INFORMATION	14 MW-15
PURGE DATE (NIM DD YY)	SAMPLE DATE (MM DD YY) PURGING AND SAMPLING EQUIPMENT	ACTUAL VOLUME PURGED (LITRES/GALLONS)
PURGING EQUIPMENTDEI	NCATED ON SAMPLING E (CIRCLE ONE)	QEPMENT DEDICATED (O N (CIRCLE ONE)
	A - SUBMERSIBLE PUMP D - GAS LIFT PUMP G - BAILER B - PERISTALTIC PUMIP E - PURCE PUMIP H - WATERRAD	X- PURGING ONHER (SPECIFY) X-
	A - TEPLON D - PW	SAMPLING OTHER (SPECIFY)
SAMPLING DEVICE	B - STAINLESS STEEL E - POLYETHYLENE C - POLYPROPYLENE	PURGING OTHER (SPECIFY) X
PURGING DEVICE	A - TEFLON D - POLYPROPYLENE F - SILICONE B - TYCON E - POLYFTHYLENE G - COMBINATION	X PURGING OTHER (SPECIFY)
SAMPLING DEVICE	C ROPE X	X
FILTERING DEVICES 0.45	A - IN-LIVE DISPOSABLE B - PRESSURE C - VACUUM FIELD MEASUREMENTS	
WELL ELEVATION DEPTH TO WATER	ISI01404 (m/ft) ELEVATION III757 7 (m/ft) WELL DEPTH BIDITY CONDUCTIVITY ORP DO	586117 (m/ii) 16921 (m/ii) SAMPLE TEMPERATURE
(std)	(ntu) ((mixem) (mixem)	
(std)	(ntu) ((mV) AT25°C (mV) (ntu) (anven) (ntu) (ntu)	
SAMPLE APPEARANCE	FIELD COMMENTS	1028010171 10000 10000
WEATHER CONDITIONS WIN SPECIFIC COMMENTS	D SPEEDDRECTRONPRECIPITATION YN C	
ICERTIFY THA	TSAMPLING PROCEDURES WERE IN ACCORDANCE WITH APPLICABLE CM PROTOCOLS	Br



APPENDIX B

COCs, Total VOCs and TOC DATA GRAPHS

MW-4 Groundwater Data Delphi Harrison Thermal Systems Site GM Components Holdings, LLC								
Lockport, New York								
Date	TCE	PCE	1,2-DCE	VC				
4/30/1996	32	<0.5	170	40				
6/20/1996	19	<0.5	120	20				
10/30/1996	36	<0.5	120	14				
11/21/1996	37	<0.5	120	18				
8/28/1997	29	<0.5	100	14				
10/10/1997	33	<0.2	110	27				
12/2/1998	21	<0.2	120	13				
10/7/1999	20	<0.05	110.14	14				
8/9/2001	30	0.003	93.28	18				
10/31/2001	22	<0.002	84.25	18				
4/7/2003	39	0.08	110	26				
7/20/2009	23	<0.05	41.5	6.7				
4/29/2010	20	0.0012	43.2	9.6				
4/22/2011	24	0.0018	50	12				
4/20/2012	18	0.0014	42.16	9.1				
5/1/2013	24	<0.18	45	6.6				
5/13/2014	22	<0.18	22	2.9				
5/8/2015	27	<0.36	30	3.8				
5/5/2016	29	<0.36	23	2.9				
5/2/2017	27	<0.18	22	1.8				
5/8/2018	26	<0.18	20	1.4				
5/16/2019	40	0.005	27	1.9				
6/24/2020	36	<0.072	25	2.2				
8/17/2021	36	<0.29	27	2.1				
9/20/2022	35	<0.29	29	2.4				
10/3/2023	30	<0.29	29	2.2				

Results are provided in parts per million (ppm)

Non Detect values expressed with "<" and MDL. 1,2 DCE value includes total cis-1,2 DCE and trans 1,2 DCE. If "<" value, the listed value is the higher of the two method detection limits.

Duplicate samples were collected from this location on 6/20/96, 10/30/96 and 12/2/98. The higher of the two concentrations were recorded in this graph.



MW-7 Groundwater Data Delphi Harrison Thermal Systems Site									
GM Components Holdings, LLC									
	Lockport, New York								
Date	TCE	PCE	1,2-DCE	VC					
4/30/1996	1300	<0.5	37	1.8					
6/20/1996	1100	<0.5	24	2.4					
10/30/1996	790	<0.5	32	2.3					
11/21/1996	850	<0.5	35	3.1					
8/28/1997	820	<0.2	22	1.1					
10/10/1997	720	<0.2	43	4.8					
12/3/1998	570	<0.2	55	4.2					
10/7/1999	540	<0.5	41	3.5					
4/7/2003	75	<0.2	45	3					
10/25/2006	260	0.077	36	1.7					
11/29/2007	434	0.049	40	3.2					
11/5/2008	1.1	<0.2	70	2.6					
2/24/2009	530	0.071	56	3.6					
7/15/2009	618	0.112	58.3	2.5					
4/29/2010	800	0.14	55.2	9					
4/11/2011	680	<1.8	42	<4.5					
4/20/2012	730	<1.8	43	<4.5					
5/3/2013	880	<3.6	55	<9					
5/30/2014	880	<7.2	46	<18					
5/7/2015	830	0.14	45	3					
5/17/2016	830	<3.6	51	<9					
5/11/2017	790	<7.2	45	<18					
5/10/2018	690	<7.2	40	<18					
5/17/2019	700	<7.2	51	<18					
6/26/2020	850	<7.2	63	<18					
8/19/2021	400	<7.2	31	<18					
9/23/2022	850	<7.2	74	<18					
10/6/2023	660	<3.6	67	<9					

Results are provided in parts per million (ppm)

Non Detect values expressed with "<" and MDL. 1,2 DCE value includes total cis-1,2 DCE and trans 1,2 DCE. If "<" value, the listed value is the higher of the two method detection limits.



MW-10 Groundwater Data Delphi Harrison Thermal Systems Site						
	GM C	omponents Holding	s, LLC			
		Lockport, New York				
Date	TCE	PCE	1,2-DCE	VC		
10/30/1996	0.98	0.12	1.8	0.11		
11/21/1996	0.87	0.22	1.7	<0.1		
8/28/1997	0.38	0.16	1.1	0.07		
10/10/1997	0.35	0.28	0.76	0.047		
12/1/1998	0.46	0.016	1.3	0.11		
10/6/1999	0.23	0.24	0.722	0.2		
8/9/2001	0.21	0.21	0.514	0.057		
10/31/2001	0.25	0.023	0.473	0.053		
7/15/2009	0.079	0.118	0.275	0.044		
4/28/2010	0.024	0.026	0.153	0.042		
4/21/2011	0.088	0.067	0.232	0.027		
4/19/2012	0.15	0.077	0.3	0.035		
5/1/2013	0.056	<0.0014	0.18	0.014		
5/14/2014	0.048	<0.0014	0.17	0.029		
5/8/2015	0.11	<0.0014	0.31	0.48		
5/5/2016	0.041	<0.0014	0.12	0.21		
5/2/2017	0.31	<0.0007	0.083	0.008		
5/9/2018	0.046	<0.0007	0.16	0.23		
5/16/2019	0.038	<0.00072	0.12	0.007		
6/24/2020	<0.092	<0.072	0.18	<0.18		
8/17/2021	0.036	<0.0014	0.21	0.014		
9/20/2022	0.036	<0.0018	0.2548	0.017		
10/3/2023	0.024	<0.0018	0.17	0.0065		

Results are provided in parts per million (ppm)

Non Detect values expressed with "<" and MDL. 1,2 DCE value includes total cis-1,2 DCE and trans 1,2 DCE. If "<" value, the listed value is the higher of the two method detection limits.



	MW-11 Groundwater Data Delphi Harrison Thermal Systems Site GM Components Holdings, LLC						
		Lockport, New York					
Date	TCE	PCE	1,2-DCE	VC			
8/28/1997	<0.0005	<0.0005	0.0045	0.0039			
10/10/1997	<0.0005	<0.0005	0.0032	0.0012			
12/1/1998	<0.0005	<0.0005	0.013	0.0046			
10/5/1999	<0.0005	<0.0005	0.01	0.0019			
8/8/2001	<0.002	<0.002	0.009	0.008			
10/30/2001	<0.002	<0.002	0.008	0.006			
1/12/2005	<0.002	<0.002	<0.002	<0.002			
10/24/2006	<0.002	<0.002	<0.002	<0.002			
11/28/2007	<0.002	<0.002	0.002	0.003			
11/4/2008	<0.002	<0.002	0.003	0.0058			
7/16/2009	<0.005	<0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005			
4/28/2010	<0.0005	<0.0004	0.0019	0.0039			
4/21/2011	< 0.0005	< 0.0004	< 0.0008	< 0.0009			
4/19/2012	<0.0005	<0.0004	0.001	0.0026			
5/2/2013	<0.00046	<0.00036	0.0011	0.0011			
5/20/2014	<0.00046	<0.00036	<0.00081	< 0.0009			
5/6/2015	<0.00046	<0.00036	0.0011	0.0016			
5/5/2016	<0.00046	<0.00036	<0.0009	< 0.0009			
4/28/2017	<0.00046	<0.00036	<0.00090	< 0.0009			
5/10/2018	<0.00046	<0.00036	<0.00090	< 0.0009			
5/17/2019	< 0.0005	< 0.0004	< 0.0009	< 0.0009			
6/25/2020	0.0027	<0.00036	<0.0009	<0.0009			
8/19/2021	<0.00046	<0.00036	<0.0009	<0.0009			
9/21/2022	<0.00046	<0.00036	<0.00081	<0.0009			
10/4/2023	<0.00046	<0.00036	<0.00081	<0.0009			

Results are provided in parts per million (ppm)

Non Detect values expressed with "<" and MDL. 1,2 DCE value includes total cis-1,2 DCE and trans 1,2 DCE. If "<" value, the listed value is the higher of the two method detection limits.

Duplicate samples were collected from this location on 10/10/97. The higher of the two concentrations were recorded in this graph.



MW-12 Groundwater Data						
Delphi Harrison Thermal Systems Site						
	GM C	components Holding	s, LLC			
		Lockport, New York				
_						
Date	TCE	PCE	1,2-DCE	VC		
8/28/1997	<0.0005	<0.0005	0.13	0.19		
10/10/1997	<0.0005	<0.0005	0.16	0.17		
12/1/1998	<0.0005	<0.0005	0.047	0.088		
10/6/1999	<0.0005	<0.0005	0.027	0.032		
8/8/2001	<0.002	<0.002	0.14	0.13		
10/30/2001	<0.002	<0.002	0.032	0.011		
1/12/2005	<0.002	<0.002	0.026	0.033		
10/25/2006	<0.002	<0.002	0.015	0.033		
11/28/2007	<0.002	<0.002	0.011	0.014		
11/14/2008	<0.002	<0.002	0.044	0.091		
3/16/2009	0.005	0.002	0.15	0.081		
7/16/2009	<0.005	<0.005	0.132	0.141		
4/28/2010	0.0028	0.0011	0.272	0.12		
4/20/2011	0.0021	<0.0004	0.096	0.037		
4/18/2012	0.00083	<0.0004	0.15	0.12		
5/3/2013	<0.002	<0.00036	0.151	0.073		
5/19/2014	0.0074	0.002	0.13	0.044		
5/7/2015	<0.00046	<0.00036	<0.00081	<0.0009		
5/6/2016	<0.00046	<0.00036	<0.0051	0.0049		
4/28/2017	<0.00046	<0.00036	0.001	<0.0009		
5/9/2018	0.0007	<0.00036	0.033	0.02		
5/17/2019	<0.00092	<0.00072	0.029	0.018		
6/26/2020	<0.00092	<0.00072	0.015	0.019		
8/20/2021	<0.00092	<0.00072	0.0056	0.0059		
9/23/2022	0.0021	<0.00072	0.0032	<0.0018		
10/4/2023	<0.00092	<0.00072	0.0036	0.0023		

Results are provided in parts per million (ppm)

Non Detect values expressed with "<" and MDL. 1,2 DCE value includes total cis-1,2 DCE and trans 1,2 DCE. If "<" value, the listed value is the higher of the two method detection limits.

Duplicate samples were collected from this location on 8/28/97 and 8/8/01. The higher of the two concentrations were recorded in this graph.



MW-13 Groundwater Data							
Delphi Harrison Thermal Systems Site							
	GM C	Components Holding	s, LLC				
		Lockport, New York					
Date	TCE	PCE	1,2-DCE	VC			
8/8/2001	<0.002	<0.002	<0.002	<0.002			
10/29/2001	<0.002	<0.002	<0.002	<0.002			
1/12/2005	<0.002	<0.002	<0.002	<0.002			
10/24/2006	0.002	<0.002	<0.002	<0.002			
11/28/2007	<0.002	<0.002	<0.002	<0.002			
11/5/2008	<0.002	<0.002	<0.002	<0.002			
7/16/2009	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005			
4/28/2010	<0.0005	<0.0004	<0.0008	<0.0009			
4/21/2011	<0.0005	<0.0004	<0.0008	<0.0009			
4/19/2012	<0.0005	<0.0004	<0.0008	<0.0009			
5/2/2013	<0.00046	<0.00036	<0.00081	<0.0009			
5/2/2013	<0.00046	<0.00036	<0.00081	<0.0009			
5/20/2014	<0.00046	<0.00036	<0.00081	<0.0009			
5/7/2015	<0.00046	<0.00036	<0.00081	<0.0009			
5/5/2016	<0.00046	<0.00036	<0.0009	<0.0009			
5/3/2017	<0.00046	<0.00036	<0.0009	<0.0009			
5/10/2018	<0.00046	<0.00036	<0.0009	<0.0009			
5/17/2019	0.00047	<0.00036	<0.0009	<0.0009			
6/25/2020	<0.00046	<0.00036	<0.0009	<0.0009			
8/19/2021	<0.00046	<0.00036	<0.0009	<0.0009			
9/22/2022	<0.00046	<0.00036	<0.0009	<0.0009			
10/5/2023	<0.00046	<0.00036	<0.0009	<0.0009			

Results are provided in parts per million (ppm)

Non Detect values expressed with "<" and MDL. 1,2 DCE value includes total cis-1,2 DCE and trans 1,2 DCE. If "<" value, the listed value is the higher of the two method detection limits.

A duplicate sample was collected from this location on 4/19/2012.



MW-14 Groundwater Data								
	Delphi Harrison Thermal Systems Site							
	GM C	Components Holding	s, LLC					
		Lockport, New York						
Date	TCE	PCE	1,2-DCE	VC				
8/10/2001	<0.002	<0.002	0.005	<0.002				
10/30/2001	<0.002	<0.002	0.004	<0.002				
10/24/2006	<0.002	<0.002	<0.002	<0.002				
11/29/2007	<0.002	<0.002	0.01	<0.002				
11/4/2008	<0.002	<0.002	0.008	0.003				
2/24/2009	0.016	<0.002	0.002	<0.002				
7/19/2009	0.02	<0.005	0.028	<0.005				
4/27/2010	<0.005	<0.0004	<0.0008	<0.0009				
4/21/2011	< 0.005	<0.0004	<0.0008	<0.0009				
4/19/2012	< 0.005	<0.0004	0.001	0.001				
5/3/2013	<0.00046	<0.00036	<0.00081	<0.0009				
5/23/2014	<0.00046	<0.00036	<0.00081	<0.0009				
5/7/2015	0.0051	0.0011	0.13	0.076				
5/6/2016	<0.00046	<0.00036	<0.0009	<0.0009				
4/28/2017	<0.00046	<0.00036	<0.0009	<0.0009				
5/11/2018	<0.00046	<0.00036	<0.0009	<0.0009				
5/21/2019	<0.00046	<0.00036	<0.0009	<0.0009				
6/26/2020	<0.00046	<0.00036	<0.0009	<0.0009				
8/20/2021	<0.00046	< 0.00036	0.0013	<0.0009				
9/23/2022	0.0062	<0.00036	0.002	0.0019				
10/5/2023	0.00092	<0.00072	<0.0016	<0.0018				

Results are provided in parts per million (ppm)

Non Detect values expressed with "<" and MDL. 1,2 DCE value includes total cis-1,2 DCE and trans 1,2 DCE. If "<" value, the listed value is the higher of the two method detection limits.



MW-15 Groundwater Data				
Delphi Harrison Thermal Systems Site				
GM Components Holdings, LLC				
Lockport, New York				
Date	TCE	PCE	1,2-DCE	VC
8/8/2001	<0.002	0.013	<0.002	<0.002
10/30/2001	<0.002	0.02	<0.002	<0.002
1/12/2005	<0.002	0.006	<0.002	<0.002
10/24/2006	<0.002	0.007	<0.002	<0.002
11/28/2007	<0.002	0.007	<0.002	<0.002
11/4/2008	<0.002	0.0059	<0.002	<0.002
7/16/2009	<0.005	0.0097	<0.005	<0.005
4/28/2010	0.0007	0.0077	<0.0008	<0.0009
4/21/2011	0.0007	0.0067	<0.0008	<0.0009
4/18/2012	0.0007	0.0081	<0.0008	<0.0009
5/1/2013	0.00064	0.0068	<0.00081	<0.0009
5/19/2014	0.00064	0.0062	<0.00081	<0.0009
5/6/2015	0.0005	0.0054	<0.00081	<0.0009
5/5/2016	0.00068	0.0056	<0.0009	<0.0009
4/27/2017	0.001	0.008	0.003	<0.0009
5/9/2018	0.001	0.009	0.002	<0.0009
5/16/2019	< 0.0026	0.014	0.0038	<0.0009
6/25/2020	0.002	0.0039	<0.0009	<0.0009
8/19/2021	0.0015	0.0058	<0.0009	<0.0009
9/21/2022	0.0009	0.0061	<0.0009	<0.0009
10/4/2023	0.00095	0.0068	<0.0009	<0.0009

Results are provided in parts per million (ppm)

Non Detect values expressed with "<" and MDL. 1,2 DCE value includes total cis-1,2 DCE and trans 1,2 DCE. If "<" value, the listed value is the higher of the two method detection limits.

Duplicate samples were collected from this location on 10/30/01. The higher of the two concentrations were recorded in this graph.



MW-4 Groundwater Data			
Delphi Harrison Th	ermal Systems Site		
GM Components Holdings, LLC			
Lockport, New York			
Date	Total VOCs		
4/30/1996	242		
6/20/1996	159		
10/30/1996	170		
11/21/1996	175		
8/28/1997	143		
10/10/1997	170		
12/2/1998	154		
10/7/1999	144.14		
8/9/2001	141.283		
10/31/2001	124.25		
4/7/2003	175.08		
7/20/2009	71.2		
4/29/2010	72.8012		
4/22/2011	86.0018		
4/20/2012	69.2614		
5/1/2013	75.6		
5/13/2014	46.9		
5/8/2015	60.8		
5/5/2016	54.9		
5/2/2017	50.8		
5/8/2018	47.4		
5/16/2019	68.905		
6/24/2020	63.2		
8/17/2021	65.1		
9/20/2022	66.4		
10/3/2023	61.2		

Delphi Harrison Thermal Systems Site GM Components Holdings, LLC Lockport, New York Date TOC 12/2/1998 19 10/7/1999 47 8/9/2001 20.2 10/31/2001 10.8 7/20/2009 13 4/29/2010 4.3 4/22/2011 0.6 4/20/2012 3.1 5/1/2013 2.8 5/1/2014 0.6
GM Components Holdings, LLC Lockport, New York Date TOC 12/2/1998 19 10/7/1999 47 8/9/2001 20.2 10/31/2001 10.8 7/20/2009 13 4/29/2010 4.3 4/22/2011 0.6 4/20/2012 3.1 5/1/2013 2.8
Date TOC 12/2/1998 19 10/7/1999 47 8/9/2001 20.2 10/31/2001 10.8 7/20/2009 13 4/29/2010 4.3 4/22/2011 0.6 4/20/2012 3.1 5/1/2013 2.8 6/12/2014 0.6
Date TOC 12/2/1998 19 10/7/1999 47 8/9/2001 20.2 10/31/2001 10.8 7/20/2009 13 4/29/2010 4.3 4/22/2011 0.6 4/20/2012 3.1 5/1/2013 2.8
Date TOC 12/2/1998 19 10/7/1999 47 8/9/2001 20.2 10/31/2001 10.8 7/20/2009 13 4/29/2010 4.3 4/22/2011 0.6 4/20/2012 3.1 5/1/2013 2.8
12/2/1998 19 10/7/1999 47 8/9/2001 20.2 10/31/2001 10.8 7/20/2009 13 4/29/2010 4.3 4/22/2011 0.6 4/20/2012 3.1 5/1/2013 2.8
10/7/1999 47 8/9/2001 20.2 10/31/2001 10.8 7/20/2009 13 4/29/2010 4.3 4/22/2011 0.6 4/20/2012 3.1 5/1/2013 2.8
8/9/2001 20.2 10/31/2001 10.8 7/20/2009 13 4/29/2010 4.3 4/22/2011 0.6 4/20/2012 3.1 5/1/2013 2.8
10/31/2001 10.8 7/20/2009 13 4/29/2010 4.3 4/22/2011 0.6 4/20/2012 3.1 5/1/2013 2.8
7/20/2009 13 4/29/2010 4.3 4/22/2011 0.6 4/20/2012 3.1 5/1/2013 2.8
4/29/2010 4.3 4/22/2011 0.6 4/20/2012 3.1 5/1/2013 2.8
4/22/2011 0.6 4/20/2012 3.1 5/1/2013 2.8
4/20/2012 3.1 5/1/2013 2.8
5/1/2013 2.8
= / 10 / 20 / 1
5/13/2014 2.9
5/8/2015 2.3
5/5/2016 2.2
5/2/2017 1.4
5/8/2018 1.7
5/16/2019 1.9
5/16/2019 1.9
6/24/2020 2.2
8/17/2021 2.3
9/20/2022 3.2
10/3/2023 2.7

Results are provided in parts per million (ppm)

Notes:





MW-7 Groundwater Data			
Delphi Harrison Th	ermal Systems Site		
GM Components Holdings, LLC			
Lockport, New York			
Date	Total VOC's		
4/30/1996	1338.8		
6/20/1996	1126.4		
10/30/1996	824.3		
11/21/1996	888.1		
8/28/1997	843.1		
10/10/1997	767.8		
12/3/1998	629.2		
10/7/1999	584.5		
4/7/2003	123		
10/25/2006	297.777		
11/29/2007	477.249		
11/5/2008	73.7		
2/24/2009	589.671		
7/15/2009	678.912		
4/29/2010	864.34		
4/11/2011	722		
4/20/2012	773		
5/3/2013	935		
5/30/2014	926		
5/7/2015	878.14		
5/17/2016	881		
5/11/2017	835		
5/10/2018	730		
5/17/2019	751		
6/26/2020	913		
8/19/2021	431		
9/23/2022	924		
10/6/2023	727		

MW-7 Groundwater Data		
Delphi Harrison Thermal Systems Site		
GM Components Holdings, LLC		
Lockport, New York		
Date	TOC	
12/3/1998	36	
10/7/1999	58	
10/25/2006	28	
11/29/2007	14	
11/4/2008	4.4	
2/24/2009	NM	
7/20/2009	28	
4/29/2010	10.9	
4/22/2011	9.2	
4/20/2012	8.7	
5/3/2013	7.6	
5/30/2014	5.4	
5/7/2015	9.4	
5/17/2016	6.5	
5/11/2017	5	
5/10/2018	8.4	
5/17/2019	7.3	
6/26/2020	10.5	
8/19/2021	12.1	
9/23/2022	9.3	
10/6/2023	9.1	

Results are provided in parts per million (ppm)







MW-10 Groundwater Data		
Delphi Harrison Thermal Systems Site		
GM Components Holdings, LLC		
Lockport New York		
Date	Total VOC's	
10/30/1996	3.01	
11/21/1996	2.79	
8/28/1997	1.71	
10/10/1997	1.437	
12/1/1998	1.886	
10/6/1999	1.392	
8/9/2001	0.991	
10/31/2001	0.799	
7/15/2009	0.516	
4/28/2010	0.245	
4/21/2011	0.414	
4/19/2012	0.562	
5/1/2013	0.25	
5/14/2014	0.247	
5/8/2015	0.9	
5/5/2016	0.371	
5/2/2017	0.401	
5/9/2018	0.436	
5/16/2019	0.165	
6/24/2020	0.18	
8/17/2021	0.26	
9/20/2022	0.38	
10/3/2023	0.201	

Results are provided in parts per million (ppm)



MW-10 Groundwater Data		
Delphi Harrison Thermal Systems Site		
GM Components Holdings, LLC		
Lockport, New York		
Date	TOC	
12/1/1998	11	
10/5/1999	24	
8/9/2001	10	
10/31/2001	3.6	
7/15/2009	33	
4/28/2010	4.3	
4/21/2011	4.1	
4/19/2012	2.3	
5/1/2013	3.3	
5/14/2014	3.5	
5/8/2015	3.2	
5/5/2016	4.4	
5/2/2017	3.2	
5/9/2018	3.1	
5/16/2019	3.1	
6/24/2020	3.2	
8/17/2021	3.6	
9/20/2022	3.8	
10/4/2023	3.7	

Notes:



MW-11 Groundwater Data			
Delphi Harrison Th	ermal Systems Site		
GM Componen	ts Holdings, LLC		
Lockport, New York			
Date	Total VOC's		
8/28/1997	0.0084		
10/10/1997	0.0044		
12/1/1998	0.0176		
10/5/1999	0.0119		
8/8/2001	0.017		
10/30/2001	0.014		
1/12/2005	0		
10/24/2006	0		
11/28/2007	0.005		
11/4/2008	0.0088		
7/16/2009	0		
4/28/2010	0.0058		
4/21/2011	0		
4/19/2012	0.0036		
5/2/2013	0.0022		
5/20/2014	0		
5/6/2015	0.0027		
5/5/2016	0		
4/28/2017	0		
5/10/2018	0		
5/17/2019	0		
6/25/2020	0.0027		
8/19/2021	0		
9/21/2022	0		
10/4/2023	0		

MW-11 Groundwater Data		
Delphi Harrison Thermal Systems Site		
GM Components Holdings, LLC		
Lockport, New York		
Date	TOC	
12/1/1998	17	
10/5/1999	20	
8/8/2001	12	
10/30/2001	3.1	
10/24/2006	1.9	
11/28/2007	3	
11/4/2008	2.38	
7/16/2009	16	
4/28/2010	2.1	
4/21/2011	2.8	
4/18/2012	1.3	
5/2/2013	1.6	
5/20/2014	1.2	
5/6/2015	2	
5/5/2016	1.7	
4/28/2017	0.96	
5/10/2018	1.1	
5/17/2019	1.3	
6/25/2020	1.5	
8/19/2021	1.3	
9/21/2022	2.1	
10/4/2023	1.7	

Results are provided in parts per million (ppm)







MW-12 Groundwater Data			
Delphi Harrison Thermal Systems Site			
GM Components Holdings, LLC			
Lockport,	New York		
Date	Total VOC's		
8/28/1997	0.32		
10/10/1997	0.33		
12/1/1998	0.135		
10/6/1999	0.059		
8/8/2001	0.27		
10/30/2001	0.043		
1/12/2005	0.059		
10/25/2006	0.048		
11/28/2007	0.025		
11/14/2008	0.135		
3/16/2009	0.238		
7/16/2009	0.273		
4/28/2010	0.3959		
4/20/2011	0.1351		
4/18/2012	0.27083		
5/3/2013	0.224		
5/19/2014	0.1834		
5/7/2015	0		
5/6/2016	0.0049		
4/28/2017	0.001		
5/9/2018	0.0537		
5/17/2019	0.047		
6/26/2020	0.034		
8/20/2021	0.0115		
9/23/2022	0.0032		
10/4/2023	0.0059		

MW-12 Groundwater Data		
Delphi Harrison Thermal Systems Site		
GM Components Holdings, LLC		
Lockport, New York		
Date	TOC	
12/1/1998	7	
10/5/1999	30	
8/8/2001	13.9	
10/30/2001	5.7	
10/25/2006	6.5	
11/28/2007	4	
11/4/2008	2.74	
7/16/2009	14	
4/28/2010	5	
4/20/2011	3.3	
4/18/2012	3.7	
5/3/2013	3.6	
5/19/2014	4	
5/7/2015	2.2	
5/6/2016	3.7	
4/28/2017	2.2	
5/9/2018	2.9	
5/17/2019	2.8	
6/26/2020	5.9	
8/20/2021	6	
9/23/2022	6.2	
10/4/2023	5.1	

Results are provided in parts per million (ppm)



Notes:


MW-13 Groundwater Data										
Delphi Harrison Thermal Systems Site										
GM Componen	ts Holdings, LLC									
Lockport,	New York									
Date	Total VOC's									
8/8/2001	0									
10/29/2001	0									
1/12/2005	0									
10/24/2006	0.002									
11/28/2007	0									
11/5/2008	0									
7/16/2009	0									
4/28/2010	0									
4/21/2011	0									
4/19/2012	0									
5/2/2013	0									
5/2/2013	0									
5/20/2014	0									
5/7/2015	0									
5/5/2016	0									
5/3/2017	0									
5/10/2018	0									
5/17/2019	0.00047									
6/25/2020	0									
8/19/2021	0									
9/22/2022	0									
10/5/2023	0									

MW-13 Grou	ndwater Data								
Delphi Harrison Th	ermal Systems Site								
GM Componen	ts Holdings, LLC								
Lockport, New York									
Date	ТОС								
8/8/2001	15.2								
10/29/2001	9.9								
10/24/2006	8.4								
11/28/2007	7								
11/5/2008	3.8								
7/16/2009	15								
4/28/2010	6.1								
4/21/2011	5.8								
4/19/2012	4								
5/2/2013	3.8								
5/20/2014	4.5								
5/13/2015	5.5								
5/5/2016	3.6								
5/3/2017	4								
5/10/2018	1.6								
5/17/2019	1.5								
6/25/2020	2.1								
8/19/2021	2.3								
9/22/2022	3								
10/5/2023	2.9								

Notes:

Results are provided in parts per million (ppm)



Notes:

Results are provided in parts per million (ppm)



MW-14 Groundwater Data										
Delphi Harrison Th	ermal Systems Site									
GM Componen	ts Holdings, LLC									
Lockport, New York										
Date	Total VOC's									
8/10/2001	0.005									
10/30/2001	0.004									
10/24/2006	0									
11/29/2007	0.01									
11/4/2008	0.011									
2/24/2009	0.018									
7/19/2009	0.048									
4/27/2010	0									
4/21/2011	0									
4/19/2012	0.002									
5/3/2013	0									
5/23/2014	0									
5/7/2015	0.2122									
5/6/2016	0									
4/28/2017	0									
5/11/2018	0									
5/21/2019	0									
6/26/2020	0									
8/20/2021	0.0013									
9/23/2022	0.0101									
10/5/2023	0									

Notes:

Results are provided in parts per million (ppm)



MW-14 Groundwater Data										
Delphi Harrison Th	ermal Systems Site									
GM Componen	ts Holdings, LLC									
Lockport, New York										
Date	TOC									
8/9/2001	14.1									
10/30/2001	4.3									
10/24/2006	3.3									
11/29/2007	4									
11/4/2008	2.4									
7/16/2009	51									
4/27/2010	2.7									
4/21/2011	2.8									
4/19/2012	1.5									
5/3/2013	1.7									
5/23/2014	1.6									
5/7/2015	3.4									
5/6/2016	2.3									
4/28/2017	1.6									
5/11/2018	1.6									
5/21/2019	1.3									
6/26/2002	2.7									
8/20/2021	3.2									
9/23/2022	5.2									
10/5/2023	3.7									

Notes:

Results are provided in parts per million (ppm)



MW-15 Groundwater Data										
Delphi Harrison Thermal Systems Site										
GM Componer	nts Holdings, LLC									
Lockport, New York										
Date	Total VOC's									
8/8/2001	0.013									
10/30/2001	0.02									
1/12/2005	0.006									
10/24/2006	0.007									
11/28/2007	0.007									
11/4/2008	0.0059									
7/16/2009	0.0097									
4/28/2010	0.0084									
4/21/2011	0.0074									
4/18/2012	0.0088									
5/1/2013	0.00744									
5/19/2014	0.00684									
5/6/2015	0.0059									
5/5/2016	0.00628									
4/27/2017	0.012									
5/9/2018	0.012									
5/16/2019	0.0178									
6/25/2020	0.0059									
8/19/2021	0.0073									
9/21/2022	0.007									
10/4/2023	0.0078									

MW-15 Groundwater Data Delphi Harrison Thermal Systems Site GM Components Holdings, LLC Lockport, New York Date тос 10/30/2001 4.1 10/24/2006 3.6 11/28/2007 2 11/4/2008 1.77 7/16/2009 12 4/28/2010 3.3 4/21/2011 3.5 4/18/2012 2 5/1/2013 2.1 5/19/2014 2.2 5/6/2015 2.5 2.4 5/4/2016 4/27/2017 1.6 5/9/2018 1.8 5/16/2019 2.1 6/25/2020 2.6 8/19/2021 1.9 9/21/2022 3.1 10/4/2023 2.1

Notes:

Results are provided in parts per million (ppm)



Notes:

Results are provided in parts per million (ppm)





APPENDIX C

RESULTS EPA CVOC MONITORED NATURAL ATTENUATION RANKING SYSTEM

EPA CVOC MONITORED NATURAL ATTENUATION RANKING SYSTEM

2023 Strength of Evidence Scorecard Delphi Harrison Thermal Systems Site GM Component Holdings, LLC Lockport, New York

Analysis	Concentration in Most Contaminated Zone	Value	EXAMPLE Lab or Field Analysis Value (mg/L)	EXAMPLE Score	MW-4	MW-7	MW-10	MW-11	MW-12	MW-13	MW-14	MW-15
DO	<0.5 mg/L	3	3.5		0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0
DO	>5 mg/l	-3			0	-5	0	0	0	0	0	0
Nitrate	<1 mg/L	2	ND	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Iron II	>1 mg/l	2	0.2		2	0	0	0	2	0	2	0
Sulfate	<20 mg/L	2	243		2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sulfide	>1 mg/L	3	0.6		NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT
Methane	<0.5 mg/L	0	0.26	0	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
Methane	>0.5 mg/L	3			5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
ORP	<50 mV	1	-98.5	1	1	2	0	1	1	0	1	0
ORP	<-100 mV	2			1	2	0	1	1	0		0
pН	5< pH <9	0	6.8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
рН	5> pH >10	-2			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOC	>20 mg/L	2	1.5		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Temp	> 20°C	1	20.4	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Carbon Dioxide	>2 times background (4.2)	1	6.8		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Alkalinity	>2 times background (200)	1	372		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Chloride	>2 times background (1440)	2	338		0	0	0	0	2	2	2	0
Hydrogen	>1 nM	3	NT		3	NT	3	3	3	3	3	3
Hydrogen	<1nM	0	NT		5		5	5	5	5	5	5
Volatile Fatty Acids	>0.1 mg/L	2	ND		NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT
BTEX	>0.1 mg/L	2	ND		NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT
PCE		0	ND		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TCE	If Daughter Product	2	190		2	2	2	0	0	0	0	2
DCE	If Daughter Product	2	10,034	2	2	2	2	0	2	0	0	0
VC	If Daughter Product	2	380.00	2	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0
1,1,1-TCA		0	ND		NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT
DCA	If Daughter Product	2	ND		NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT
Carbon Tetrachloride		0	ND		NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT
Chloroethane	If Daughter Product	2	ND		NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT
Ethene/Ethane	>0.01 mg/L or	2	0.0097		3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0
Edicile/Editate	>0.1 mg/L	3			5	5	0	0	Ů	0	Ů	0
Chloroform	If Daughter Product	2	ND		NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT
Dichloromethane	If Daughter Product	2	ND		NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT
				8	24	13	16	11	19	12	15	12
	Scoring Interpretation	n										
0 to 5 Inadequate evidence for anaerobic biodegradation* of chlorinated organics												
6 to 14												
>20	Strong evidence for anaerobic biodegrad	dation* of ch	lorinated organics									

Values Taken from EPA Document EPA/600/R-98/128, Technical Protocol for Evaluating Natural Attenuation of Chlorinated Solvents in Ground Water, 1998, Table 2.3 and Table 2.4

Notes: 1. ND=not detected 2. NT=not tested 3. EM=Equipment malfunction

3:21\\$6500\\$6644 GM Environmental Services\Delphi Harrison Thermal System Site\Annual MNA GW Sampling\October 2023 MNA GW Sampling Event\Report Appendix C - EPA cVOC MNA Ranking System Results(2023)



APPENDIX D

DATA VALIDATION AND ANALYTICAL LABORATORY REPORTS



Data Verification Report

December 05, 2023

То	Claire Mondello [cmondello@haleyaldrich.com]	Project No.	12616852-256073
Copy to	Tom Bohlen [thomas.bohlen@gza.com]	DVR No.	N/A
From	Christopher Arcuri/eew/9	Contact No.	717-585-6408
Project Name	GM - Lockport	Email	Christopher.Arcuri@ghd.com
Subject	Analytical Results and Data Verification Routine Groundwater Quality Monitoring 2023 GM – Lockport Facility Lockport, New York October 2023	3	

The services undertaken by GHD in connection with preparing this report were limited to those specifically detailed in the report and are subject to the scope limitations set out in the report.

1. Introduction

This document details a data verification of analytical results for groundwater samples collected in support of the Routine Groundwater Quality Monitoring at the Lockport site during October 2023. Samples were submitted to Eurofins Environment Testing located in Buffalo, New York. Samples submitted for dissolved gases were subcontracted Eurofins TestAmerica located in South Burlington, Vermont. Samples submitted for dissolved hydrogen were subcontracted to Pace Analytical Services located in Baton Rouge, Louisiana. A sample collection and analysis summary is presented in Table 1. The validated analytical results are summarized in Table 2. A summary of the analytical methodology is presented in Table 3.

Standard GHD report deliverables were submitted by the laboratory. The final results and supporting quality assurance/quality control (QA/QC) data were assessed. Evaluation of the data was based on information obtained from the chain of custody forms, finished report forms, method blank data, duplicate data, recovery data from surrogate spikes/laboratory control samples (LCS)/matrix spikes (MS) and field QA/QC samples.

The QA/QC criteria by which these data have been assessed are outlined in the analytical methods referenced in Table 3 and applicable guidance from the documents entitled:

- 1. "National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Superfund Methods Data Review", United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) 542-R-20-006, November 2020.
- "National Functional Guidelines for Organic Superfund Methods Data Review", USEPA 540-R-20-005, November 2020.

These items will subsequently be referred to as the "Guidelines" in this report.

2. Sample Holding Time and Preservation

The sample holding time criteria for the analyses are summarized in Table 3. Sample chain of custody documents and analytical reports were used to determine sample holding times. Most samples were prepared and analyzed within the required holding times, with the exception of one sample submitted for nitrates and nitrites. The sample results were qualified and rejected as presented in Table 4.

All samples were properly preserved, delivered on ice, and stored by the laboratory at the required temperature (0-6°C).

3. Laboratory Method Blank Analyses

Method blanks are prepared from a purified matrix and analyzed with investigative samples to determine the existence and magnitude of sample contamination introduced during the analytical procedures.

For this study, laboratory method blanks were analyzed at a minimum frequency of 1 per analytical batch of 20 samples or less.

Most method blank results were non-detect, indicating that laboratory contamination was not a factor for this investigation. Sample results that were qualified due to method blank contamination are presented in Table 5.

4. Surrogate Spike Recoveries - Organic Analyses

In accordance with the methods employed, all samples, blanks, and QC samples analyzed for organics are spiked with surrogate compounds prior to sample extraction and/or analysis. Surrogate recoveries provide a means to evaluate the effects of laboratory performance on individual sample matrices.

All samples submitted for volatile organic compound (VOC) and semi-volatile organic compound (SVOC) determinations were spiked with the appropriate number of surrogate compounds prior to sample extraction and/or analysis.

Surrogate recoveries were assessed against laboratory control limits. All surrogate recoveries were within the laboratory control limits.

5. Laboratory Control Sample Analyses

LCS or LCS/laboratory control sample duplicates (LCSD) are prepared and analyzed as samples to assess the analytical efficiencies of the methods employed, independent of sample matrix effects. The relative percent difference (RPD) of the LCS/LCSD recoveries is used to evaluate analytical precision.

For this study, LCS or LCS/LCSD were analyzed at a minimum frequency of 1 per analytical batch of 20 samples or less.

Organic Analyses

The LCS/LCSD contained all compounds of interest. All LCS recoveries and RPDs were within the laboratory control limits, demonstrating acceptable analytical accuracy and precision.

Inorganic Analyses

The LCS or LCS/LCSD contained all analytes of interest. LCS recoveries were assessed per the "Guidelines" using the laboratory control limits. All LCS recoveries and RPDs were within the control limits, demonstrating acceptable analytical accuracy and precision.

6. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate (MS/MSD) Analyses

To evaluate the effects of sample matrices on the preparation process, measurement procedures, and accuracy of a particular analysis, samples are spiked with a known concentration of the analyte of concern and analyzed as MS or MS/MSD samples. The RPD between the MS and MSD is used to assess analytical precision.

If the original sample concentration is significantly greater than the spike concentration (> four times), the recovery is not assessed.

If only the MS or MSD recovery was outside of control limits, no qualification of the data was performed based on the acceptable recovery of the companion spike and the acceptable RPD.

Due to necessary sample dilutions of five times and greater for extractable parameters, some MS/MSD recoveries could not be assessed.

MS or MS/MSD analyses were performed as specified in Table 1.

Organic Analyses

The MS/MSD samples were spiked with all compounds of interest. Most percent recoveries and RPD values were within the laboratory control limits, demonstrating acceptable analytical accuracy and precision. Sample results that were qualified or rejected due to outlying MS/MSD recoveries are presented in Table 6.

Inorganic Analyses

The MS or MS/MSD samples were spiked with the analytes of interest, and the results were evaluated using the "Guidelines" using the laboratory control limits. Most percent recoveries and RPD values were within the control limits, demonstrating acceptable analytical accuracy and precision. Sample results that were qualified due to outlying MS or MS/MSD recoveries are presented in Table 6.

7. Duplicate Sample Analyses – Inorganic Analyses

Analytical precision is evaluated based on the analysis of laboratory duplicate samples. For this study, duplicate samples were prepared and analyzed by the laboratory for inorganic analyses as specified in Table 1. The laboratory performed additional site-specific duplicate analyses internally. The duplicate results were evaluated per the "Guidelines" using the laboratory control limits.

All duplicate analyses performed met the above criteria demonstrating acceptable analytical precision.

8. Field QA/QC Samples

The field QA/QC consisted of 11 trip blank samples and 2 field duplicate sample sets.

Trip Blank Sample Analysis

To evaluate contamination from sample collection, transportation, storage, and analytical activities, 11 trip blanks were submitted to the laboratory for VOC analysis. All results were non-detect for the compounds of interest.

Field Duplicate Sample Analysis

To assess the analytical and sampling protocol precision, two field duplicate sample sets were collected and submitted "blind" to the laboratory, as specified in Table 1. The RPDs associated with these duplicate samples must be less than 50 percent for water samples. If the reported concentration in either the investigative sample or its duplicate is less than five times the reporting limit (RL), the evaluation criteria is one times the RL value.

Most field duplicate results met the above criteria demonstrating acceptable sampling and analytical precision. The sample results qualified due to field duplicate variability are presented in Table 7.

9. Analyte Reporting

The laboratory reported detected results down to the laboratory's sample-specific method detection limit (MDL) for each analyte. Positive analyte detections less than the RL but greater than the sample-specific MDL were qualified as estimated (J) in Table 2 unless qualified otherwise in this report. Non-detect results were presented as non-detect at the RL in Table 2.

10. Conclusion

Based on the assessment detailed in the foregoing, the data summarized in Table 2 are acceptable with the specific exceptions and qualifications noted herein.

Regards,

Christopher Arcuri Data Intelligence – Data Validator

Sample Collection and Analysis Summary Routine Groundwater Quality Monitoring 2023 GM - Lockport Facility Lockport, New York October 2023

						Parameters				_					
Sample Delivery Group	Sample Identification	Location	Matrix	Collection Date (mm/dd/yyyy)	Collection Time (hr:min)	VOCs	ovocs Dissolved Gases	Metals	Anions	Ammonia	Nitrate/Nitrite	Alkalinity	Sulfide	TOC	c Comments
4802133011	MW-10-100323	MW-10	Groundwater	10/03/2023	13:00	х	Х	Х	х	Х	х	х	Х	х	X MS
	MW-11-100423	MW-11	Groundwater	10/04/2023	15:00	х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	X MS
	MW-12-100423	MW-12	Groundwater	10/04/2023	09:00	х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	X MS
	MW-13-100523	MW-13	Groundwater	10/05/2023	11:00	х	Х	Х	х	Х	Х	х	Х	Х	x
	MW-14-100523	MW-14	Groundwater	10/05/2023	13:30	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	х	Х	X MS/MSD
	MW-15-100423	MW-15	Groundwater	10/04/2023	12:00	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	X MS, DUP
	MW-4-100323	MW-4	Groundwater	10/03/2023	11:30	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	X MS/MSD, DUP
	MW-7-100623	MW-7	Groundwater	10/06/2023	09:45	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	X MS
	TRIP BLANK	-	Water	-	-	Х									TRIP BLANK
	TRIP BLANK	-	Water	-	-	Х									TRIP BLANK
	TRIP BLANK	-	Water	-	-	Х									TRIP BLANK
4802133922	BLDG-10-MW-1-101623	BLDG-10-MW-1	Groundwater	10/16/2023	17:00	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	MS/MSD, DUP
	DUPE-101023	MW-8-003-B	Groundwater	10/10/2023	14:00	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	FD(MW-8-003-B-101023), DUP
	DUPE-2-101923	MW-7-5	Groundwater	10/19/2023	10:00	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	FD(MW-7-5-101923)
	MW-10-2-101323	MW-10-2	Groundwater	10/13/2023	10:00	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	MS/MSD
	MW-10-3-101123	MW-10-3	Groundwater	10/11/2023	15:00	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	MS, DUP
	MW-6-1-101623	MW-6-1	Groundwater	10/16/2023	13:00	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	
	MW-6-2-101623	MW-6-2	Groundwater	10/16/2023	15:00	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	DUP
	MW-6-F-8-100623	MW-6-F-8	Groundwater	10/06/2023	13:00	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	MS
	MW-7-1R-101123	MW-7-1	Groundwater	10/11/2023	11:30	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	
	MW-7-2-101723	MW-7-2	Groundwater	10/17/2023	13:00	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	

Sample Collection and Analysis Summary Routine Groundwater Quality Monitoring 2023 GM - Lockport Facility Lockport, New York October 2023

						Parameters											
Sample Delivery Group	Sample Identification	Location	Matrix	Collection Date	Collection Time	VOCs SVOCs	Dissolved Gases	Metals	Anions	Ammonia	Nitrate/Nitrite	Alkalinity	Sulfide	TOC	VFAs	Comments	
				(mm/dd/yyyy)	(hr:min)												
4802133922	MW-7-3-101123	MW-7-3	Groundwater	10/11/2023	10:00	Х	х	х	х	х	х	Х	х	х		MS	
	MW-7-4-101823	MW-7-4	Groundwater	10/18/2023	12:30	Х	Х	х	Х	Х	Х	х	Х	Х			
	MW-7-5-101923	MW-7-5	Groundwater	10/19/2023	09:50	Х	Х	х	Х	Х	Х	х	Х	Х		MS, DUP	
	MW-7-6-101023	MW-7-6	Groundwater	10/10/2023	16:45	Х	Х	Х	х	Х	х	Х	Х	Х			
	MW-7-7-101323	MW-7-7	Groundwater	10/13/2023	13:30	Х	Х	х	Х	Х	Х	х	Х	Х			
	MW-7-8-101723	MW-7-8	Groundwater	10/17/2023	15:30	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	х	Х	Х		MS/MSD	
	MW-7-A-6-101923	MW-7-A-6	Groundwater	10/19/2023	11:30	Х	Х	Х	х	Х	х	Х	Х	Х			
	MW-7-C-2-101023	MW-7-C-2	Groundwater	10/10/2023	13:30	х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х		MS	
	MW-7-P-1-101823	MW-7-P-1	Groundwater	10/18/2023	10:45	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х		MS/MSD, DUP	
	MW-8-003-B-101023	MW-8-003-B	Groundwater	10/10/2023	10:00	Х	Х	Х	х	Х	х	Х	Х	Х		MS, DUP	
	MW-8-1-100923	MW-8-1	Groundwater	10/09/2023	13:45	х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х			
	MW-8-2-100923	MW-8-2	Groundwater	10/09/2023	11:30	х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х		MS/MSD	
	MW-8-3-101023	MW-8-3	Groundwater	10/10/2023	17:45	Х	Х	Х	х	Х	х	Х	Х	Х		MS	
	MW-8-4-101123	MW-8-4	Groundwater	10/11/2023	13:30	х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х			
	MW-9-101-A-100623	MW-9-101-A	Groundwater	10/06/2023	11:00	хх	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х			
	MW-9-12-101723	MW-9-12	Groundwater	10/17/2023	11:00	хх	x	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х		MS, DUP	
	TK-6-100523	TK-6	Groundwater	10/05/2023	15:30	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х			
	TRIP BLANK	-	Water	-	-	х										TRIP BLANK	
	TRIP BLANK	-	Water	-	-	Х										TRIP BLANK	
	TRIP BLANK	-	Water	-	-	Х										TRIP BLANK	
	TRIP BLANK	-	Water	-	-	Х										TRIP BLANK	

Sample Collection and Analysis Summary Routine Groundwater Quality Monitoring 2023 GM - Lockport Facility Lockport, New York October 2023

						Parameters	
Sample Delivery Group	Sample Identification	Location	Matrix	Collection Date (mm/dd/yyyy)	Collection Time (hr:min)	VOCs SVOCs Dissolved Gases Metals Ammonia Ammonia Nitrate/Nitrite Alkalinity Sulfide TOC VFAs	Comments
4802133922	TRIP BLANK	-	Water	-	-	Х	TRIP BLANK
	TRIP BLANK	-	Water	-	-	Х	TRIP BLANK
	TRIP BLANK	-	Water	-	-	Х	TRIP BLANK
	TRIP BLANK	-	Water	-	-	Х	TRIP BLANK

Notes:

- DUP Laboratory Duplicate
- FD Field Duplicate Sample of sample in parenthesis
- MS Matrix Spike
- MS/MSD Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate
- VOCs Volatile Organic Compounds
- SVOCs Semi-volatile Organic Compounds
- TOC Total Organic Carbon
- VFAs Volatile Fatty Acids
- "-" Not Applicable

Location ID: Sample Name: Sample Date:			BLDG-10-MW-1 BLDG-10-MW-1-101623 10/16/2023	MW-4 MW-4-100323 10/03/2023	MW-6-1 MW-6-1-101623 10/16/2023	MW-6-2 MW-6-2-101623	MW-6-F-8 MW-6-F-8-100623 10/06/2023	MW-7 MW-7-100623 10/06/2023	MW-7-1 MW-7-1R-101123 10/11/2023
	Sample Date.		10/10/2023	10/03/2023	10/10/2023	10/10/2023	10/00/2023	10/00/2023	10/11/2023
Parameters		Unit							
Volatile Organic Compo	unds								
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene		µg/L	5000 U	29000	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U	67000	4.0 U
Tetrachloroethene		µg/L	170000	800 U	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U	10000 U	4.0 U
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene		µg/L	5000 U	800 U	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U	10000 U	4.0 U
Trichloroethene		µg/L	5100	30000	1.0 U	1.0 U	0.67 J	660000	4.0 U
Vinyl chloride		µg/L	5000 U	2200	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U	10000 U	4.0 U
Semi-volatile Organic Co	ompounds								
Acenaphthene		µg/L							
Acenaphthylene		µg/L							
Anthracene		µg/L							
Benzo(a)anthracene		µg/L							
Benzo(a)pyrene		µg/L							
Benzo(b)fluoranthene		µg/L							
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene		µg/L							
Benzo(k)fluoranthene		µg/L							
Chrysene		µg/L							
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene		µg/L							
Fluoranthene		µg/L							
Fluorene		µg/L							
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene		µg/L							
Naphthalene		µg/L							
Phenanthrene		µg/L							
Pyrene		µg/L							

	Location ID: Sample Name: Sample Date:	BLDG-10-MW-1 BLDG-10-MW-1-101623 10/16/2023	MW-4 MW-4-100323 10/03/2023	MW-6-1 MW-6-1-101623 10/16/2023	MW-6-2 MW-6-2-101623 10/16/2023	MW-6-F-8 MW-6-F-8-100623 10/06/2023	MW-7 MW-7-100623 10/06/2023	MW-7-1 MW-7-1R-101123 10/11/2023
Parameters	Unit							
Dissolved Gases								
Hydrogen	nmol/L		1.6 J					
Carbon dioxide	µg/L	74000	56000	120000	57000	28000	20000	67000
Ethane	µg/L	7.5 U	58	7.5 U	7.5 U	7.5 U	330 U	7.5 U
Ethene	µg/L	1.5 J	410	7.0 U	7.0 U	7.0 U	860	7.0 U
Methane	µg/L	3.7 J	1100	220	4.0 U	4.0 U	110 J	33
Metals								
Iron	mg/L	1.0	1.1	24.6	0.16	0.19	0.031 J	0.12
Magnesium	mg/L	103	81.5	63.7	50.6	53.1	39.8	126
Manganese	mg/L	0.70	0.45	4.8	0.35	0.17	0.012	0.87
Potassium	mg/L	4.6	18.4	5.0	5.2	2.3	10.8	7.7
Sodium	mg/L	134	1490	577	677	777	187	1230
General Chemistry								
2-Hydroxypropanoic acid	mg/L		50.0 U				5.0 U	
Acetic acid	mg/L		50.0 U				8.6	
Alkalinity, total (as CaCO3)	mg/L	310	330 J-	512	436	289	248	337 J-
Ammonia-N	mg/L	0.16	1.5	1.7	0.025	0.076 J-	0.61	0.050
Butanoic acid	mg/L		50.0 U				5.0 U	
Chloride	mg/L	570	25.0 U	1100	1450	1530	158	2720
Formic acid	mg/L		50.0 U				5.0 U	
Nitrate (as N)	mg/L	0.050 U	0.050 U	0.050 U	0.050 U	0.15	0.050 U	0.050 U
Nitrite (as N)	mg/L	0.050 U	0.050 U	0.050 U	0.050 U	0.050 U	0.050 U	0.050 U

	Location ID: Sample Name: Sample Date:	E	BLDG-10-MW-1 BLDG-10-MW-1-101623 10/16/2023	MW-4 MW-4-100323 10/03/2023	MW-6-1 MW-6-1-101623 10/16/2023	MW-6-2 MW-6-2-101623 10/16/2023	MW-6-F-8 MW-6-F-8-100623 10/06/2023	MW-7 MW-7-100623 10/06/2023	MW-7-1 MW-7-1R-101123 10/11/2023
Parameters	U	nit							
General Chemistry (Conti	nued)								
Nitrite/Nitrate	m	g/L	0.050 U	0.050 U	0.050 U	0.050 U	0.19 J+	0.050 U	0.050 U
Propionic acid	m	g/L		50.0 U				5.0 U	
Pyruvic acid	m	g/L		75.0 U				7.5 U	
Sulfate	m	g/L	231	100 U	29.8	101	175	121	132
Sulfide	m	g/L	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U
Total organic carbon (TOC)	m	g/L	4.7	2.7	7.2	1.9	4.8	9.1	1.5

	Location ID:		: MW-7-2	MW-7-3 MW-7	MW-7-4	MW-7-4 MW-7-5	MW-7-5	MW-7-6	MW-7-7
	Sample Name: Sample Date:		MW-7-2-101723 10/17/2023	MW-7-3-101123 10/11/2023	MW-7-4-101823 10/18/2023	MW-7-5-101923 10/19/2023	DUPE-2-101923 10/19/2023 Duplicate	MW-7-6-101023 10/10/2023	MW-7-7-101323 10/13/2023
Parameters		Unit							
Volatile Organic Compou	inds								
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene		µg/L	1.0 U	2.0 U	1.0 U	1100	1500	630	36000
Tetrachloroethene		µg/L	1.0 U	2.0 U	1.0 U	7500 J	14000 J	560	100000
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene		µg/L	1.0 U	2.0 U	1.0 U	200 U	200 U	10 U	1000 U
Trichloroethene		µg/L	1.0 U	2.0 U	3.3	1200	1900	310	20000
Vinyl chloride		µg/L	1.0 U	2.0 U	1.0 U	200 U	200 U	9.2 J	6000
Semi-volatile Organic Co	ompounds								
Acenaphthene		µg/L							
Acenaphthylene		μg/L							
Anthracene		µg/L							
Benzo(a)anthracene		µg/L							
Benzo(a)pyrene		µg/L							
Benzo(b)fluoranthene		µg/L							
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene		µg/L							
Benzo(k)fluoranthene		µg/L							
Chrysene		µg/L							
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene		µg/L							
Fluoranthene		µg/L							
Fluorene		µg/L							
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene		µg/L							
Naphthalene		µg/L							
Phenanthrene		µg/L							
Pyrene		µg/L							

	Location ID:		MW-7-2	MW-7-3	MW-7-4	MW-7-5	MW-7-5	MW-7-6	MW-7-7
	Sample Name: Sample Date:		MW-7-2-101723 10/17/2023	MW-7-3-101123 10/11/2023	MW-7-4-101823 10/18/2023	MW-7-5-101923 10/19/2023	DUPE-2-101923 10/19/2023 Duplicate	MW-7-6-101023 10/10/2023	MW-7-7-101323 10/13/2023
Parameters		Unit							
Dissolved Gases									
Hydrogen		nmol/L							
Carbon dioxide		µg/L	38000	94000	43000	96000	100000	44000	56000
Ethane		µg/L	7.5 U	7.8	330 U				
Ethene		µg/L	7.0 U	7.0 U	420				
Methane		µg/L	4.0 U	300	4.0 U	12	9.9	250	870
Metals									
Iron		mg/L	0.021 J	7.6	0.075	0.14	0.10	0.13	0.11
Magnesium		mg/L	35.7	111	42.8	106	93.3	84.5	151
Manganese		mg/L	0.0059	0.51	0.0068	1.1	0.99	0.32	0.036
Potassium		mg/L	1.3	25.5	3.3	15.0	10.7	13.5	39.6
Sodium		mg/L	104	4950	255	2600	2840	3480	1550
General Chemistry									
2-Hydroxypropanoic acid		mg/L							
Acetic acid		mg/L							
Alkalinity, total (as CaCO3)		mg/L	362 J-	434 J-	384 J-	464 J-	440 J-	327 J-	298 J-
Ammonia-N		mg/L	0.020 U	1.6	0.017 J	0.081	0.081	0.071 J-	3.2
Butanoic acid		mg/L							
Chloride		mg/L	181	8240	442	5610	5490	5830	3410
Formic acid		mg/L							
Nitrate (as N)		mg/L	0.050 U	0.038 J	0.045 J	0.90	1.0	0.050 U	0.050 U
Nitrite (as N)		mg/L	0.050 U	0.050 U	0.050 U	0.10 J-	0.099 J-	0.050 U	0.050 U

	Location ID: Sample Name: Sample Date:		MW-7-2 MW-7-2-101723 10/17/2023	MW-7-3 MW-7-3-101123 10/11/2023	MW-7-4 MW-7-4-101823 10/18/2023	MW-7-5 MW-7-5-101923 10/19/2023	MW-7-5 DUPE-2-101923 10/19/2023 Duplicate	MW-7-6 MW-7-6-101023 10/10/2023	MW-7-7 MW-7-7-101323 10/13/2023
Parameters		Unit							
General Chemistry (Cor	tinued)								
Nitrite/Nitrate		mg/L	0.050 U	0.038 J	0.045 J	1.0	1.1	0.050 U	0.050 U
Propionic acid		mg/L							
Pyruvic acid		mg/L							
Sulfate		mg/L	23.2	771	57.9	507	497	274	482
Sulfide		mg/L	1.0 U	1.0 U	3.2				
Total organic carbon (TO	C)	mg/L	1.3	3.5	1.0 U	8.2	6.8	1.8	10.3

	Location ID: Sample Name: Sample Date:		MW-7-8 MW-7-8-101723 10/17/2023	MW-7-A-6 MW-7-A-6-101923 10/19/2023	MW-7-C-2 MW-7-C-2-101023 10/10/2023	MW-7-P-1 MW-7-P-1-101823 10/18/2023	MW-8-1 MW-8-1-100923 10/09/2023	MW-8-2 MW-8-2-100923 10/09/2023	MW-8-3 MW-8-3-101023 10/10/2023
Parameters		Unit							
Volatile Organic Compo	unds								
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene		µg/L	410 J	95000	540	2.0 U	1.0 U	R	2.0 U
Tetrachloroethene		µg/L	710 J	64000	5.0 U	2.0 U	1.0 U	100 U	2.0 U
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene		µg/L	10 U	2000 U	5.0 U	2.4	1.0 U	100 U	2.0 U
Trichloroethene		µg/L	220	13000	5.0 U	2.0 U	1.0	1400	7.9
Vinyl chloride		µg/L	590 J	72000	81	2.0 U	1.0 U	470	2.0 U
Semi-volatile Organic Co	ompounds								
Acenaphthene		µg/L							
Acenaphthylene		µg/L							
Anthracene		µg/L							
Benzo(a)anthracene		µg/L							
Benzo(a)pyrene		µg/L							
Benzo(b)fluoranthene		µg/L							
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene		µg/L							
Benzo(k)fluoranthene		µg/L							
Chrysene		µg/L							
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene		µg/L							
Fluoranthene		µg/L							
Fluorene		µg/L							
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene		µg/L							
Naphthalene		µg/L							
Phenanthrene		µg/L							
Pyrene		µg/L							

	Location ID:		MW-7-8	MW-7-A-6 MW-7-C	MW-7-C-2	MW-7-P-1	MW-8-1	MW-8-2	MW-8-3
	Sample Name:		MW-7-8-101723	MW-7-A-6-101923	MW-7-C-2-101023	MW-7-P-1-101823	MW-8-1-100923	MW-8-2-100923	MW-8-3-101023 10/10/2023
	Sample Date:		10/17/2023	10/19/2023	10/10/2023	10/18/2023	10/09/2023	10/09/2023	
Parameters		Unit							
Dissolved Gases									
Hydrogen		nmol/L							
Carbon dioxide		µg/L	43000	300000	53000	110000	58000	44000	45000
Ethane		µg/L	54	140	7.5 U	34	15	83 U	7.5 U
Ethene		µg/L	21	5500	8.1	7.0 U	7.0 U	77 U	7.0 U
Methane		µg/L	150	1400	320	6100	160	350	35
Metals									
Iron		mg/L	18.4	16.8	0.58	94.5	0.034 J	4.5	0.047 J
Magnesium		mg/L	180	142	133	344	121	41.6	60.5
Manganese		mg/L	0.99	2.0	0.35	4.1	0.11	0.52	3.0
Potassium		mg/L	39.4	5.1	5.6	39.3	19.1	9.6	414
Sodium		mg/L	2740	481	118	163	614	74.1	362
General Chemistry									
2-Hydroxypropanoic acid		mg/L							
Acetic acid		mg/L							
Alkalinity, total (as CaCO3)		mg/L	108 J-	516 J-	338 J-	132 J-	276	343	235 J-
Ammonia-N		mg/L	0.81	0.15	0.18 J-	197	1.2 J-	0.31 J-	5.2 J-
Butanoic acid		mg/L							
Chloride		mg/L	5060	1420	178	3560	1320	158	1790
Formic acid		mg/L							
Nitrate (as N)		mg/L	0.050 U	0.050 U	0.050 U	0.038 J-	0.050 U	0.050 U	0.21
Nitrite (as N)		mg/L	0.050 U	0.050 U	0.050 U	R	0.050 U	0.050 U	0.047 J

	Location ID: Sample Name:		MW-7-8 MW-7-8-101723	MW-7-A-6	MW-7-C-2	MW-7-P-1 MW-7-P-1-101823	MW-8-1 MW-8-1-100923	MW-8-2	MW-8-3 MW-8-3-101023
	Sample Name:		MW-7-8-101723	MW-7-A-6-101923	MW-7-C-2-101023			MW-8-2-100923	
	Sample Date:		10/17/2023	10/19/2023	10/10/2023	10/18/2023	10/09/2023	10/09/2023	10/10/2023
Parameters		Unit							
General Chemistry (Cor	ntinued)								
Nitrite/Nitrate		mg/L	0.050 U	0.050 U	0.050 U	0.038 J-	0.050 U	0.050 U	0.26
Propionic acid		mg/L							
Pyruvic acid		mg/L							
Sulfate		mg/L	235	25.0 J	860	23.5 J	869	62.3	73.9
Sulfide		mg/L	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U	6.0	1.0 U	1.0 U
Total organic carbon (TC	C)	mg/L	1.5	26.3	0.89 J	2.2	1.0 U	1.0 U	8.0

	Location ID:		MW-8-003-B	MW-8-003-B	MW-8-4	MW-9-12	MW-9-101-A	MW-10	MW-10-2
	Sample Name: Sample Date:		MW-8-003-B-101023 10/10/2023	DUPE-101023 10/10/2023 Duplicate	MW-8-4-101123 10/11/2023	MW-9-12-101723 10/17/2023	MW-9-101-A-100623 10/06/2023	MW-10-100323 10/03/2023	MW-10-2-101323 10/13/2023
				Duplicate					
Parameters		Unit							
Volatile Organic Compou	nds								
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene		µg/L	1200	1100	46	1.0 U	1.0 U	170	430
Tetrachloroethene		µg/L	1200	1800	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U	5.0 U	5.0 U
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene		µg/L	50 U	50 U	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U	5.0 U	8.2
Trichloroethene		µg/L	330	380	11	1.0 U	1.1	24	3.6 J
Vinyl chloride		µg/L	50 U	50 U	24	1.0 U	1.0 U	6.5	180
Semi-volatile Organic Co	mpounds								
Acenaphthene		µg/L				5.0 U	5.0 U		
Acenaphthylene		µg/L				5.0 U	5.0 U		
Anthracene		µg/L				5.0 U	5.0 U		
Benzo(a)anthracene		µg/L				5.0 U	5.0 U		
Benzo(a)pyrene		µg/L				5.0 U	5.0 U		
Benzo(b)fluoranthene		µg/L				5.0 U	5.0 U		
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene		µg/L				5.0 U	5.0 U		
Benzo(k)fluoranthene		µg/L				5.0 U	5.0 U		
Chrysene		µg/L				5.0 U	5.0 U		
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene		µg/L				5.0 U	5.0 U		
Fluoranthene		µg/L				5.0 U	5.0 U		
Fluorene		µg/L				5.0 U	5.0 U		
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene		µg/L				5.0 U	5.0 U		
Naphthalene		µg/L				5.0 U	5.0 U		
Phenanthrene		µg/L				5.0 U	5.0 U		
Pyrene		µg/L				5.0 U	5.0 U		

	Location ID:	MW-8-003-B	MW-8-003-B	MW-8-4	MW-9-12	MW-9-101-A	MW-10	MW-10-2	
	Sample Name: Sample Date:		MW-8-003-B-101023 10/10/2023	DUPE-101023 10/10/2023	MW-8-4-101123 10/11/2023	MW-9-12-101723 10/17/2023	MW-9-101-A-100623 10/06/2023	MW-10-100323 10/03/2023	MW-10-2-101323 10/13/2023
				Duplicate					
Parameters		Unit							
Dissolved Gases									
Hydrogen		nmol/L						1.7 J	
Carbon dioxide		µg/L	10000 U	11000	27000	30000	37000	44000	23000
Ethane		µg/L	7.5 U	7.5 U	7.5 U	7.5 U	7.5 U	7.5 U	6.9 J
Ethene		µg/L	7.0 U	7.1	7.0 U	7.0 U	7.0 U	7.0 U	88 J
Methane		µg/L	4.0 U	4.7	530	17	4.0 U	59	72 J
Metals									
Iron		mg/L	0.12	0.10	0.38	0.18	0.050 U	0.050	0.78
Magnesium		mg/L	11.2	10.9	44.6	34.4	109	26.8	50.2
Manganese		mg/L	0.56	0.47	0.29	0.60	0.047	0.36	0.23
Potassium		mg/L	6.2	6.3	13.1	5.4	24.5	2.5	8.9
Sodium		mg/L	2070	2030	2220	676	1920	717	1390
General Chemistry									
2-Hydroxypropanoic acid		mg/L						10.0 U	
Acetic acid		mg/L						10.0 U	
Alkalinity, total (as CaCO3)		mg/L	279 J-	294 J-	332 J-	331 J-	276	389 J-	238 J-
Ammonia-N		mg/L	0.16 J-	0.18 J-	0.057	0.12	0.020 U	0.020 U	0.30
Butanoic acid		mg/L						10.0 U	
Chloride		mg/L	2900	2880	3220	1040	3210	886	2250
Formic acid		mg/L						10.0 U	
Nitrate (as N)		mg/L	0.062	0.055	0.021 J	0.14	0.13	0.024 J	0.050 U
Nitrite (as N)		mg/L	0.048 J	0.044 J	0.050 U	0.050 U	0.050 U	0.050 U	0.050 U

	Location ID: Sample Name: Sample Date:		MW-8-003-B MW-8-003-B-101023 10/10/2023	MW-8-003-B DUPE-101023 10/10/2023 Duplicate	MW-8-4 MW-8-4-101123 10/11/2023	MW-9-12 MW-9-12-101723 10/17/2023	MW-9-101-A MW-9-101-A-100623 10/06/2023	MW-10 MW-10-100323 10/03/2023	MW-10-2 MW-10-2-101323 10/13/2023
Parameters	ι	Jnit							
General Chemistry (Cont	inued)								
Nitrite/Nitrate	n	ng/L	0.11	0.099	0.021 J	0.14	0.17 J+	0.024 J	0.050 U
Propionic acid	n	ng/L						10.0 U	
Pyruvic acid	n	ng/L						15.0 U	
Sulfate	n	ng/L	106	106	297	119	1150	167	180
Sulfide	n	ng/L	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U
Total organic carbon (TOC	c) n	ng/L	1.7	1.8	2.5	1.5	4.4	3.7	1.8

	Location ID: Sample Name: Sample Date:		MW-10-3 MW-10-3-101123 10/11/2023	MW-11 MW-11-100423 10/04/2023	MW-12 MW-12-100423 10/04/2023	MW-13 MW-13-100523 10/05/2023	MW-14 MW-14-100523 10/05/2023	MW-15 MW-15-100423 10/04/2023	TK-6 TK-6-100523 10/05/2023
Parameters		Unit							
Volatile Organic Compo	unds								
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene		µg/L	1.6	1.0 U	3.6	1.0 U	2.0 U	1.0 U	2.0 U
Tetrachloroethene		µg/L	2.7	1.0 U	2.0 U	1.0 U	2.0 U	6.8	2.0 U
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene		µg/L	1.0 U	1.0 U	2.0 U	1.0 U	2.0 U	1.0 U	2.0 U
Trichloroethene		µg/L	4.9	1.0 U	2.0 U	1.0 U	2.0 U	0.95 J	2.0 U
Vinyl chloride		µg/L	1.0 U	1.0 U	2.3	1.0 U	2.0 U	1.0 U	2.0 U
Semi-volatile Organic Co	ompounds								
Acenaphthene		µg/L							
Acenaphthylene		µg/L							
Anthracene		µg/L							
Benzo(a)anthracene		µg/L							
Benzo(a)pyrene		µg/L							
Benzo(b)fluoranthene		µg/L							
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene		µg/L							
Benzo(k)fluoranthene		µg/L							
Chrysene		µg/L							
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene		µg/L							
Fluoranthene		µg/L							
Fluorene		µg/L							
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene		µg/L							
Naphthalene		µg/L							
Phenanthrene		µg/L							
Pyrene		µg/L							

	Location ID: Sample Name: Sample Date:		MW-10-3 MW-10-3-101123 10/11/2023	MW-11 MW-11-100423 10/04/2023	MW-12 MW-12-100423 10/04/2023	MW-13 MW-13-100523 10/05/2023	MW-14 MW-14-100523 10/05/2023	MW-15 MW-15-100423 10/04/2023	TK-6 TK-6-100523 10/05/2023
Parameters		Unit							
Dissolved Gases									
Hydrogen		nmol/L		10.9	1.2 J	2.0	1.5 J	1.9 J	
Carbon dioxide		µg/L	10000 U	18000	81000	63000	57000	81000	42000
Ethane		µg/L	7.5 U	7.5 U	7.5 U	7.5 U	7.5 U	7.5 U	7.5 U
Ethene		µg/L	7.0 U	7.0 U	7.0 U	7.0 U	7.0 U	7.0 U	7.0 U
Methane		µg/L	4.0 U	19	340	2.3 J	370	4.0 U	4.0 U
Metals									
Iron		mg/L	0.050 U	0.28	8.5	0.18	1.7	0.050 U	0.050 U
Magnesium		mg/L	24.5	36.3	51.3	41.9	146	38.3	102
Manganese		mg/L	0.0030 U	0.10	6.1	1.6	1.2	0.32	0.0041
Potassium		mg/L	7.8	8.9	6.2	9.8	12.3	4.1	2.5
Sodium		mg/L	136	135	1530	962	1710	296	1450
General Chemistry									
2-Hydroxypropanoic acid		mg/L		5.0 U	20.0 U	20.0 U	50.0 U	10.0 U	
Acetic acid		mg/L		5.0 U	20.0 U	20.0 U	50.0 U	10.0 U	
Alkalinity, total (as CaCO3)		mg/L	157 J-	257 J-	395 J-	488	414	443 J-	320
Ammonia-N		mg/L	0.020 U	0.25	1.5	0.16	0.65	0.020 U	0.020 UJ
Butanoic acid		mg/L		5.0 U	20.0 U	20.0 U	50.0 U	10.0 U	
Chloride		mg/L	134	218	2250	1600	3480	512	2450
Formic acid		mg/L		5.0 U	20.0 U	20.0 U	50.0 U	10.0 U	
Nitrate (as N)		mg/L	0.81	0.036 J	0.025 J	0.21	0.050 U	0.28	0.87
Nitrite (as N)		mg/L	0.050 U	0.050 U	0.050 U	0.050 U	0.050 U	0.050 U	0.050 U

Analytical Results Summary Routine Groundwater Quality Monitoring 2023 GM - Lockport Facility Lockport, New York October 2023

	Location ID: Sample Name: Sample Date:		MW-10-3 MW-10-3-101123 10/11/2023	MW-11 MW-11-100423 10/04/2023	MW-12 MW-12-100423 10/04/2023	MW-13 MW-13-100523 10/05/2023	MW-14 MW-14-100523 10/05/2023	MW-15 MW-15-100423 10/04/2023	TK-6 TK-6-100523 10/05/2023
Parameters		Unit							
General Chemistry (Co	ontinued)								
Nitrite/Nitrate		mg/L	0.81	0.050 U	0.050 U	0.25 J+	0.050 U	0.30	0.90 J+
Propionic acid		mg/L		5.0 U	20.0 U	20.0 U	50.0 U	10.0 U	
Pyruvic acid		mg/L		7.5 U	30.0 U	30.0 U	75.0 U	15.0 U	
Sulfate		mg/L	191	112	130	99.3	97.4 J	57.2	135
Sulfide		mg/L	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U	1.0 U
Total organic carbon (T	OC)	mg/L	0.86 J	1.7	5.1	2.9	3.7	2.1	1.2

Notes:

"--" - Not analyzed

J - Estimated concentration

J- - Estimated concentration; implied low bias

J+ - Estimated concentration; implied high bias

R - Rejected

U - Not detected at the associated reporting limit

UJ - Not detected; associated reporting limit is estimated

Analytical Methods Routine Groundwater Quality Monitoring 2023 GM - Lockport Facility Lockport, New York October 2023

			<u> </u>	Holding Time
			Collection to Extraction	Collection or Extraction to Analysis
Parameter	Method	Matrix	(Days)	(Days)
VOCs	SW-846 8260B	Groundwater	-	14
SVOCs	SW8270	Groundwater	7	40
Dissolved Gases	RSK 175	Groundwater	-	14
Dissolved Gases (Hydrogen)	AM20GAX	Groundwater	-	14
Metals	SW6010C	Groundwater	-	180
Anions (Chloride, Sulfate)	E300	Groundwater	-	28
Ammonia	E350.1	Groundwater	-	28
Nitrate/Nitrite	E353.2	Groundwater	-	48 Hours
Sulfide	SM4500-S2-F	Groundwater	-	7
тос	SW9060	Groundwater	-	28
VFAs	VFA	Groundwater	-	28
Alkalinity	SM 2320 B	Groundwater	-	14

Notes:

VOCs	 Volatile Organic Compounds
SVOCs	- Semi-volatile Organic Compounds
TOC	- Total Organic Compounds
VFAs	- Volatile Fatty Acids
"_"	- Not Applicable

Method References:

SW-846	- "Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste, Physical/Chemical Methods", SW-846,
	Third Edition, 1986, with subsequent revisions
SM	- "Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater", 18th Edition, 1992,
	with subsequent revisions
RSK 175	- EPA Internal Standard Operating Procedure #175 dated 8/11/94
	by Bryan Newell at the USEPA R.S. Kerr Laboratory

Qualified Sample Data Due To Sample Holding Time Violations Routine Groundwater Quality Monitoring 2023 GM - Lockport Facility Lockport, New York October 2023

		Holding			
	Holding	Time		Qualified	
Sample ID	Time	Criteria	Analyte	Result	Units
	(Hours)	(Hours)			
MW-7-P-1-101823	>48	48	Nitrate (as N)	0.038 J-	mg/L
			Nitrite (as N)	R	
			Nitrite/Nitrate	0.038 J-	mg/L
	Sample ID MW-7-P-1-101823	Sample IDHoldingSample IDTime (Hours)MW-7-P-1-101823>48	Holding Holding Time Sample ID Time Criteria (Hours) (Hours) MW-7-P-1-101823 >48 48	Holding Holding Time Sample ID Time Criteria Analyte (Hours) (Hours) MW-7-P-1-101823 >48 48 Nitrate (as N) Nitrite (as N) Nitrite (as N) Nitrite/Nitrate	HoldingHoldingTimeQualifiedSample IDTime (Hours)Criteria (Hours)AnalyteResultMW-7-P-1-101823>4848Nitrate (as N)0.038 J- Nitrite (as N)R Nitrite (as N)R

Notes:

- J- Estimated concentration; implied low bias
- R Rejected

Qualified Sample Results Due to Analyte Concentrations in the Method Blanks Routine Groundwater Quality Monitoring 2023 GM - Lockport Facility Lockport, New York October 2023

		Analysis	Blank		Original	Qualified	
Parameter	Analyte	Date	Result *	Sample ID	Result	Result	Units
		(mm/dd/yyyy)					
General Chemistry	Nitrite (as N)	10/05/2023	0.0229 J	MW-15-100423	0.023 J	0.050 U	mg/L
General Chemistry	Nitrite/Nitrate	10/05/2023	0.0237 J	MW-11-100423	0.036 J	0.050 U	mg/L
	Nitrite/Nitrate	10/05/2023	0.0237 J	MW-12-100423	0.025 J	0.050 U	mg/L
General Chemistry	Nitrite (as N)	10/06/2023	0.0390 J	MW-13-100523	0.042 J	0.050 U	mg/L
	Nitrite (as N)	10/06/2023	0.0390 J	MW-6-F-8-100623	0.044 J	0.050 U	mg/L
	Nitrite (as N)	10/06/2023	0.0390 J	MW-9-101-A-100623	0.041 J	0.050 U	mg/L
	Nitrite (as N)	10/06/2023	0.0390 J	TK-6-100523	0.035 J	0.050 U	mg/L
Metals	Manganese	10/14/2023	0.000540 J	MW-10-3-101123	0.0013 J	0.0030 U	mg/L

Notes:

*	- Blank result adjusted for sample factors where applicable
*	- Blank result adjusted for sample factors where applicable

U - Not detected at the associated reporting limit

J - Estimated concentration

Qualified Sample Results Due to Outlying MS/MSD Results Routine Groundwater Quality Monitoring 2023 GM - Lockport Facility Lockport, New York October 2023

			MS	MSD		Control Limits		Qualified	
Parameter	Sample ID	Analyte	% Recovery	% Recovery	RPD	% Recovery	RPD	Result	Units
					(percent)				
Dissolved Gases	MW-10-2-101323	Ethene	167	155	3	75 - 129	50	88 J	µg/L
	MW-10-2-101323	Methane	211	177	6	38 - 150	50	72 J	µg/L
VOCs	MW-8-2-100923	cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	0	0	5	74 - 124	15	R	
	MW-7-8-101723	cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	70	68	1	74 - 124	15	410 J	µg/L
	MW-7-8-101723	Tetrachloroethene	45	31	4	74 - 122	20	710 J	µg/L
	MW-7-8-101723	Vinyl chloride	43	37	2	65 - 133	15	590 J	µg/L
General Chemistry	MW-10-100323	Alkalinity, total (as CaCO3)	53	-	-	60 - 140	-	389 J-	mg/L
	MW-11-100423	Alkalinity, total (as CaCO3)	53	-	-	60 - 140	-	257 J-	mg/L
	MW-12-100423	Alkalinity, total (as CaCO3)	53	-	-	60 - 140	-	395 J-	mg/L
	MW-15-100423	Alkalinity, total (as CaCO3)	53	-	-	60 - 140	-	443 J-	mg/L
	MW-4-100323	Alkalinity, total (as CaCO3)	53	-	-	60 - 140	-	330 J-	mg/L
	MW-13-100523	Nitrite/Nitrate	116	-	-	90 - 110	-	0.25 J+	mg/L
	MW-6-F-8-100623	Nitrite/Nitrate	116	-	-	90 - 110	-	0.19 J+	mg/L
	MW-9-101-A-100623	Nitrite/Nitrate	116	-	-	90 - 110	-	0.17 J+	mg/L
	TK-6-100523	Nitrite/Nitrate	116	-	-	90 - 110	-	0.90 J+	mg/L
	DUPE-101023	Ammonia-N	89	83	3	90 - 110	20	0.18 J-	mg/L
	MW-6-F-8-100623	Ammonia-N	89	83	3	90 - 110	20	0.076 J-	mg/L
	MW-7-6-101023	Ammonia-N	89	83	3	90 - 110	20	0.071 J-	mg/L
	MW-7-C-2-101023	Ammonia-N	89	83	3	90 - 110	20	0.18 J-	mg/L

Qualified Sample Results Due to Outlying MS/MSD Results Routine Groundwater Quality Monitoring 2023 GM - Lockport Facility Lockport, New York October 2023

			MS	MSD		Control Limits		Qualified	
Parameter	Sample ID	Analyte	% Recovery	% Recovery	RPD	% Recovery	RPD	Result	Units
					(percent)				
General Chemistry	MW-8-003-B-101023	Ammonia-N	89	83	3	90 - 110	20	0.16 J-	mg/L
	MW-8-1-100923	Ammonia-N	89	83	3	90 - 110	20	1.2 J-	mg/L
	MW-8-2-100923	Ammonia-N	89	83	3	90 - 110	20	0.31 J-	mg/L
	MW-8-3-101023	Ammonia-N	89	83	3	90 - 110	20	5.2 J-	mg/L
	TK-6-100523	Ammonia-N	89	83	3	90 - 110	20	0.020 UJ	mg/L
	DUPE-101023	Alkalinity, total (as CaCO3)	90	-	-	60 - 140	-	294 J-	mg/L
	MW-10-3-101123	Alkalinity, total (as CaCO3)	90	-	-	60 - 140	-	157 J-	mg/L
	MW-7-1R-101123	Alkalinity, total (as CaCO3)	90	-	-	60 - 140	-	337 J-	mg/L
	MW-7-3-101123	Alkalinity, total (as CaCO3)	90	-	-	60 - 140	-	434 J-	mg/L
	MW-7-6-101023	Alkalinity, total (as CaCO3)	90	-	-	60 - 140	-	327 J-	mg/L
	MW-7-C-2-101023	Alkalinity, total (as CaCO3)	90	-	-	60 - 140	-	338 J-	mg/L
	MW-8-003-B-101023	Alkalinity, total (as CaCO3)	90	-	-	60 - 140	-	279 J-	mg/L
	MW-8-3-101023	Alkalinity, total (as CaCO3)	90	-	-	60 - 140	-	235 J-	mg/L
	MW-8-4-101123	Alkalinity, total (as CaCO3)	90	-	-	60 - 140	-	332 J-	mg/L
	MW-10-2-101323	Alkalinity, total (as CaCO3)	34	0	14	60 - 140	20	238 J-	mg/L
	MW-7-7-101323	Alkalinity, total (as CaCO3)	34	0	14	60 - 140	20	298 J-	mg/L
	DUPE-2-101923	Nitrite (as N)	79	-	-	90 - 110	-	0.099 J-	mg/L
	MW-7-5-101923	Nitrite (as N)	79	-	-	90 - 110	-	0.10 J-	mg/L
	MW-7-2-101723	Alkalinity, total (as CaCO3)	56	-	-	60 - 140	-	362 J-	mg/L
	MW-7-8-101723	Alkalinity, total (as CaCO3)	56	-	-	60 - 140	-	108 J-	mg/L
	MW-9-12-101723	Alkalinity, total (as CaCO3)	56	-	-	60 - 140	-	331 J-	mg/L

Qualified Sample Results Due to Outlying MS/MSD Results Routine Groundwater Quality Monitoring 2023 GM - Lockport Facility Lockport, New York October 2023

			MS	MSD		Control Limits		Qualified		
Parameter	Sample ID	Analyte	% Recovery	% Recovery	RPD	% Recovery RPD		Result	Units	
					(percent)					
General Chemistry	DUPE-2-101923	Alkalinity, total (as CaCO3)	56	-	-	60 - 140	-	440 J-	mg/L	
	MW-7-4-101823	Alkalinity, total (as CaCO3)	56	-	-	60 - 140	-	384 J-	mg/L	
	MW-7-5-101923	Alkalinity, total (as CaCO3)	56	-	-	60 - 140	-	464 J-	mg/L	
	MW-7-A-6-101923	Alkalinity, total (as CaCO3)	56	-	-	60 - 140	-	516 J-	mg/L	
	MW-7-P-1-101823	Alkalinity, total (as CaCO3)	56	-	-	60 - 140	-	132 J-	mg/L	

Notes:

- MS Matrix Spike
- MSD Matrix Spike Duplicate
- RPD Relative Percent Difference
- J Estimated concentration
- J+ Estimated concentration; implied high bias
- J- Estimated concentration; implied low bias
- UJ Not detected; associated reporting limit is estimated
- R Rejected
- "-" Not applicable
- VOCs Volatile Organic Compounds

Qualified Sample Data Due to Variability in Field Duplicate Results Routine Groundwater Quality Monitoring 2023 GM - Lockport Facility Lockport, New York October 2023

Sample Delivery Group	Parameter	Analyte	RPD/Diff	Sample ID	Qualified Result	Field Duplicate Sample ID	Qualified Result	Units
4802133922	VOCs	Tetrachloroethene	60 6500	MW-7-5-101923	7500 J	DUPE-2-101923	14000 J	µg/L

Notes:

- Diff Difference (i.e., >1X RL for waters)
- RPD Relative Percent Difference
- J Estimated concentration
- VOCs Volatile Organic Compounds



GZA GeoEnvironmental, Inc.