
Hunts Point Food Distribution Center E OU-3 Extension & Viele Avenue Extension

Site Investigation Report Bronx, New York

Final

Prepared for:



110 William Street, New York, New York 10038

Prepared by:



Henningson, Durham & Richardson Architecture and Engineering, P.C.
One Blue Hill Plaza - 12th Floor, Pearl River, New York 10965

April 2010

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>SECTION NO.</u>	<u>PAGE NO.</u>
1.0 Introduction	1
1.1 Purpose	1
1.2 History	1
2.0 Phase II Site Investigation Work	2
2.1 Soil Investigation	2
2.2 Groundwater Investigation	5
3.0 Sampling Results	6
3.1 Soil Investigation	6
3.2 Groundwater Investigation	6
4.0 Conclusion and Recommendations	7

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1: Site Location
Figure 2: Site Plan
Figure 3: Historic Structures
Figure 4: Site Aerial Photograph
Figure 5: Site Features, Boring and Test Pit Locations
Figure 6: Cross Section A-A'

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1: VOCs Detected in Soil
Table 2: SVOCs, Metals and PCBs Detected in Soil
Table 3: VOCs, SVOCs, Metals and PCBs Detected in Groundwater

LIST OF PHOTOGRAPHS

Photograph 1: Geophysical Survey
Photograph 2: Abandoned Concrete Foundation Slab
Photograph 3: Test Pit (along west edge of concrete slab)
Photograph 4: Drilling Boring B18
Photograph 5: Large Debris Pile

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix A: Historic Photographs
Appendix B: E OU-3 Extension & Viele Avenue Extension Investigation Area Survey
Appendix C: Boring Logs
Appendix D: Test Pit Logs
Appendix E: Laboratory Data Packages

1.0 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Purpose

Henningson, Durham & Richardson Architecture and Engineering P.C. in association with HDR Engineering, Inc. (HDR), has prepared this Site Investigation Report (SIR) at the request of the New York City Economic Development Corporation (NYCEDC) for the parcel known as the Site E OU-3 Extension and Viele Avenue Extension (Site) (refer to Figure 1) located within the Hunts Point Food Distribution Center (HPFDC) in the Bronx, New York. The Site is identified as part of Block 2781, Lot 500; Block 2775, Lot 279; and Block 2778, Lot 100 and encompasses approximately 102,000 square feet or 2.34 acres. The Site is bounded on the north by a New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (NYSDEC) Voluntary Cleanup Program (VCP) parcel known as Site E OU-3; on the south by NYSDEC VCP Site A OU-2, a parking lot serving the new Fulton Fish Market and the Meat Market lease hold; to the east by NYSDEC VCP Site E OU-2; and to the west by Halleck Street (refer to Figure 2). The property is currently owned by the City of New York and managed by the NYCEDC.

Between March 10 and March 19, 2010, HDR completed a geophysical investigation and a subsurface Site Investigation (SI) on the Site E OU-3 Extension and Viele Avenue Extension. The SI was completed to assess, to the extent feasible within the existing scope and budget, contamination that may exist due to historic use of the Site. In addition to identifying areas potentially impacted by historic use, the investigation also evaluated general fill conditions and Site groundwater. This information is intended to assist NYCEDC in future planning and determinations of Site end use, necessary for redevelopment.

1.2 History

The SI was based on historic information and aerial photographs (see Appendix A) showing that the area was formerly occupied by a Manufactured Gas Plant (MGP). The MGP site was operated by Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. (Con Edison) from 1926 to approximately 1960. The land was subsequently sold to the City of New York. The facility occupied 206.4 acres, bounded on the north by East Bay Avenue, on the east by the Bronx River, on the south by the East River, and on the west by Halleck Street. Plant operations included the manufacturing, storage, and distribution of coal gas.

At the peak of gas production, the plant consisted of approximately 46 structures (refer to Figure 3). Other than buildings, the main structural units included a 15-million cubic foot waterless gas holder, a 1-million cubic foot relief holder, a 2-million gallon fresh water storage tank, and two 1-million gallon oil storage tanks. The facility stopped production in the early 1960s. Review of historical maps and aerial photographs show that all of the major above ground structures were demolished by 1966 with the exception of the 15-million cubic foot waterless gas holder. By 1975, the waterless gas holder had been demolished and the former MGP site was undergoing redevelopment.

Between 1966 and 1975, the western portion of the Site was developed as Viele Avenue (refer to Figure 4). Viele Avenue has been demapped but the Site E OU-3 Extension and Viele Avenue Extension still contain multiple utilities including a drainage easement (refer to Figure 2) leading to a combined sewer overflow (CSO) located on the southern edge of the Hunts Point Peninsula. Between 1975 and 1984, concrete foundations were installed along the eastern boundary of the Site. The foundations were intended for the development and relocation of a fish market that was operating in lower Manhattan. The relocation plans were never realized and in 2005 the Fulton Fish Market was relocated to a parcel in the southern end of the Hunts Point peninsula. Several of the foundations were used by the Nebraskaland development as an expansion of the current Meat Market Cooperative. The remaining slabs are unused.

2.0 PHASE II SITE INVESTIGATION WORK

HDR designed the Phase II investigative scope to incorporate a geophysical survey, soil probing, test pitting and groundwater testing to assess potential impacts from the former MGP and Site fill materials. Both soil and groundwater samples were collected for laboratory analyses.

HDR subcontracted Diversified Geophysics of New Hyde Park, New York to complete a geophysical survey and Aquifer Drilling and Testing (ADT) of New Hyde Park, New York to advance 20 soil borings and four test pits, including installation of six temporary groundwater sampling points (refer to Figure 5).

2.1 Soil Investigation

On March 10, 2010, HDR commenced field activities with the location of Site utilities by Diversified Geophysics. Each borehole location was cleared for drilling using electromagnetic and ground penetrating radar instruments, including the borings installed through the abandoned foundation slab. Diversified Geophysics also attempted to confirm the location of utilities shown on the Viele Avenue Investigation Area Survey (see Appendix B).

On March 16, 2010, ADT mobilized a Geoprobe[®] 6610 track mounted direct-push rig to advance 20 soil borings (B-1 through B-20). Samples were collected continuously in dedicated acetate liners from the ground surface to the depth of a native clay confining layer, approximately 20 feet below grade or refusal, whichever was encountered first.

Upon collection, each sample was field screened by a geologist for organic vapors using a properly calibrated [100 parts per million (ppm) of isobutylene] photo-ionization detector (PID). A combustible gas indicator (CGI) was used to screen subsurface soils for methane and hydrogen sulfide (H₂S) gas. Detailed logs were then prepared for each sample and included: material type, composition, color, grain size and distribution, water content, and visual or olfactory evidence of contamination, as well as any other distinctive characteristics. The completed logs are included as Appendix C. Soil samples submitted for laboratory analysis were selected based upon visual or olfactory evidence of impacts and/or elevated readings noted on field instruments. In areas where no

evidence of potential impact was noted, a sample was retained from directly above the water table.

Sample locations were distributed across the Site to provide information for future planning and design efforts for the entire Site. The Site is divided by a fence into two sections: the former Viele Avenue and the eastern portion which is vegetated and partially covered by an abandoned concrete foundation slab.

Seven borings (B-1 through B-7) and four test pits (TP-1 through TP-4) were advanced in the eastern vegetated portion of the Site. Borings B-1, B-2, B-3, B-6 and B-7 were advanced through vegetation and debris located at the surface. In the areas of borings B-4 and B-5, the concrete slab had to be cored prior to advancing the borings. In general, the soils encountered consisted of fill materials underlain by a native clay or sandy gravels and then native clay. The fill material consisted mostly of compacted silt, containing some sand and fine gravels, and traces of brick, wood, ash, coal dust, concrete, glass, metal, plastic and styrofoam materials. Fill materials had a slight odor, believed to be from the decomposing wood, ash and coal dust found in the sample. A PID reading of 14.6 ppm was observed in boring B-5 at a depth of 7 to 8 feet. A sample was retained from this depth for laboratory analysis. This was the only boring in this portion of the Site where a reading above background was noted. The sandy gravel contained no debris and was found across the middle portion of the project area beneath the fill materials. The native clay unit contained plant and seashell fragments. All of the borings in the vegetated portion of the Site were terminated in the clay layer. Figure 6 shows a cross section of the Site.

In addition to the borings, four test pits were advanced in the area surrounding the concrete foundations on March 15, 2010. A detailed log was prepared for each test pit and included: material type, composition, color, grain size and distribution, and visual or olfactory evidence of contamination, as well as any other distinctive characteristics. The test pit logs are included in this report as Appendix D. Each test pit was advanced to a depth of approximately 10 feet. The materials encountered in each test pit were very similar. The surface materials consisted of either topsoil or metal and rubber tire debris. The topsoil or debris was underlain by fill described as a yellow to brown coarse to fine sand, underlain by a brown very fine sand and silt layer. Groundwater was encountered at 4 to 5 feet below the surface, roughly two feet below the concrete pad. While advancing the test pits along the foundation slabs an odor similar to coal tar was noted. There was, however, no sign in the excavation of any source of the contamination, nor were any readings recorded on the meters above background. An inspection of the groundwater in the excavation did not reveal any sheen or other sign of impact from MGP material. Small isolated pockets of MGP material have been identified on other development sites within Hunts Point and it is believed that this may be the case in this area. An example of this was the very small sign of coal tar noted at 7ft in boring B-11, on a layer of concrete (this boring is discussed in detail below). Once completed, the test pits were backfilled with the excavated materials from the same location.

The former Viele Avenue portion of the Site consists of asphalt paving with concrete sidewalks. Thirteen of the 20 borings were installed in this portion of the Site (B-8

through B-20). In general, the soils encountered were similar to those encountered in the vegetated portion of the Site. Fill materials were described as compacted silt, containing some sand and fine gravels, and traces of brick, wood, ash, coal dust, concrete, glass, metal, plastic and styrofoam materials. The gravel and clay were also similar to those described in the vegetated portion of the Site (refer to Figure 6). All of the borings in the Viele Avenue portion of the Site were terminated in clay; with the exception of B18 and B20 which were only advanced to ten feet and borings B-11 and B-19 which were terminated at seven feet below the surface due to refusal. A PID reading slightly above background, 4.6 ppm, was measured in boring B-16 in the 5 to 10 foot sample. A sample was retained for laboratory analysis from 8 to 9 feet. This was the only boring in this portion of the Site where a reading above background was measured.

While installing boring B-11, a small amount of coal tar, a byproduct of the MGP process, was observed on the sampler at a depth of 7 feet, where the boring met refusal. The boring was relocated approximately 5 feet to the west from the original location in an attempt to avoid the obstruction and collect a representative sample. There was no evidence of coal tar in the second location. HDR returned to this area during the final day of drilling to delineate any coal tar that may be present. Borings B-18, B-19 and B-20 were installed surrounding the original B-11 location. Borings B-18 and B-20 were advanced to a depth of 10 feet with no evidence of coal tar. Boring B-19 met refusal at 7 feet similar to the original B-11 location. A sample was collected for laboratory analyses from 6 to 7 feet in an attempt to characterize the fill materials directly above the obstruction, but no coal tar was observed.

A total of 11 soil samples were retained for laboratory analyses. All 11 samples were collected, transferred to the laboratory in laboratory-provided glassware and sent under Chain of Custody (COC) protocol to Mitkem Laboratories of Warwick, Rhode Island, a division Spectrum Analytical Inc. (Mitkem). Mitkem is a New York State Department of Health (NYSDOH)-certified laboratory. Mitkem analyzed the soil samples for the following parameters:

- Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs) following the United States Environmental Protection Agency's (USEPA) Method 8260,
- Semi Volatile Organic Compounds (SVOCs) via USEPA Method 8270,
- Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) metals plus mercury and cyanide (Cn) via USEPA Method 6010 plus 9010 (Cn),
- Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCBs) via USEPA Method 8082, and
- Total Petroleum Hydrocarbon (TPH) via USEPA Method 8015.

After soil sampling was completed at each location, the boring was backfilled using excess cuttings and/or bentonite pellets. If borings were located in paved areas, the surface was replaced and restored with compatible materials.

2.2 Groundwater Investigation

Soil borings B-1, B-5, B-8, B-11, B-13 and B-16 were completed as temporary groundwater sampling points. The purpose of the monitoring points was to measure depth to groundwater, collect groundwater samples, and to obtain information on groundwater quality. Locations of the six points are shown on Figure 5.

Upon reaching the completion depth of each boring, the sampling point was installed. Each point consisted of a 15- to 20-foot long section of 1-inch diameter, slotted schedule 40 polyvinyl chloride (PVC) screen. The wells were distributed across the Site in order to characterize Site-wide groundwater quality. Additionally, monitoring points were installed in borings B-5 and B-16 where PID readings were observed above background. Once the monitoring point installation was complete, the temporary points were developed by evacuating groundwater through dedicated tubing using a peristaltic pump until a reduction in turbidity was observed. All samples were collected using a peristaltic pump through dedicated sample tubing. Due to the high silt content of the soils, it was noted that the groundwater samples collected from borings B-5, B-11 and B-13 had a high turbidity.

Groundwater samples were collected directly into laboratory-provided glassware and sent under COC protocol to Mitkem for analyses. Samples were analyzed for the following parameters:

- VOCs following the USEPA Method 8260,
- SVOCs via USEPA Method 8270,
- RCRA metals plus Cn via USEPA Method 6010 plus mercury and 9010 (Cn),
- PCBs via USEPA Method 8082, and
- TPH via USEPA Method 8015.

There were no odors or visual evidence of impact to groundwater noted during purging or sampling. Depth to groundwater varied across the Site and was measured between 4.5 and 10 feet below the existing ground surface. The clay horizon noted throughout the Site has also been observed throughout Hunts Point and is considered a confining layer. This condition of relatively shallow clay with a groundwater table that varies over a fairly wide range has been noted in other Hunts Point development sites. The clay may be a component in the fluctuating groundwater contours in the area. Much of the area in Hunts Point is paved, and it prevents movement of groundwater in a downward direction resulting in horizontal groundwater movement along the clay surface.

After groundwater sampling was completed at each location, the monitoring points were removed and the holes were backfilled using excess cuttings and/or bentonite pellets. If borings/temporary sampling points were located in paved areas, the surface was replaced and restored with compatible materials.

3.0 SAMPLING RESULTS

All detected compounds are summarized in Tables 1 through 3 in the attached tables section of this report. A copy of the full laboratory data packages is included as Appendix E.

3.1 Soil Investigation

All soil sample results were compared to the NYSDEC 6 NYCRR Subpart 375-6 Restricted Use Soil Clean-up Objectives, December 14, 2006 (SCOs) for Restricted Residential and Commercial properties.

None of the VOC compounds detected exceeded the Restricted Residential or Commercial SCOs. All of the compounds detected were at least an order of magnitude below the SCOs, including sample B-19, which was collected above the obstruction where coal tar was noted in the adjacent boring.

SVOCs were detected above either the Restricted Residential or Commercial SCOs in 8 of the 11 samples collected (B-1, B-3, B-5, B-7, B-12, B-16, B-17 and B-19). The sample analysis revealed several SVOCs, primarily poly-aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) compounds, at concentrations of the same or one order of magnitude above the SCOs. TPH ranged from 16 to 11,000 ppm and was above detection limits in all 11 samples.

PCBs were detected in four of the samples collected (B-1, B-3, B-7 and B-19). All detected concentrations were at least one order of magnitude below the Restricted Residential and Commercial SCOs.

Metals detected were primarily below the SCOs with the following exceptions: Arsenic, in samples B-3 and B-19, was detected above the SCOs and both of the exceedances were within the same order of magnitude as the SCOs; the barium concentration slightly exceeded the SCOs in sample B-17; lead concentrations exceeded the Restricted Residential SCOs in samples B-12 and B-17, however, the concentrations were below the Commercial SCOs; and mercury concentrations exceeded the Restricted Residential SCO but were below the Commercial SCOs in samples B-3 and B-19.

3.2 Groundwater Investigation

All groundwater sample results were compared to the June 1998 Division of Water Technical & Operational Guidance Series (1.1.1): Ambient Water Quality Standards & Guidance Values and Groundwater Effluent limitations for a GA water body (TOGS). A summary of the compounds detected is provided in Table 3.

Analytical results of the six groundwater samples collected showed VOC concentrations above the standards in five of the samples collected. There were no VOCs detected above the standard in sample B-13. Concentrations exceeding the standards were

typically the same or one order of magnitude above the standard, with the exception of naphthalene in boring B-5, which was two orders of magnitude above the standard. Other compounds detected with concentrations above the standards included 1,2,4-trimethylbenzene, 1,3,5 trimethylbenzene, n-propylbenzene, benzene, ethylbenzene, and xylenes.

SVOC concentrations were reported above the standards in three of the six of the samples collected (B-1, B-3, and B-5). Samples B-1 and B-3 contained benzo[a]anthracene at concentrations that are four orders of magnitude above the standard. Benzo[b]fluoranthene was also detected at concentrations that are four orders of magnitude above the standard in sample B-1. All other compounds detected above the standard were from sample B-5. Concentrations of SVOCs in sample B-5 were primarily the same or one order of magnitude above the standard with the exception of PAHs (benzo[a]anthracene, benzo[a]pyrene, benzo[b]fluoranthene, and chrysene) which were all five orders of magnitude above the standard. TPH concentrations were below the detection limits in two of the samples (B-8 and B-16). Where detected, TPH concentrations ranged from 790 to 4300 ppm.

There were no PCBs detected in any of the six samples. Metals concentrations exceeded the standard in all six samples. Detected metals concentrations were primarily the same or one order of magnitude above the standard with the exception of the lead in boring B-1, which was detected two orders of magnitude above the standard.

4.0 CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

In order to assess potential impacts to the subsurface from historic site features and to assess subsurface soil conditions, 20 soil borings including six temporary groundwater sampling points were advanced on the E OU-3 Extension and Viele Avenue Extension Site. The entire horizon from grade to 20 ft was sampled and inspected while advancing the borings and test pits. Borings and test pits were also physically inspected for visible signs of MGP impacts and waste. Additionally, 11 soil samples, and 6 groundwater samples were collected from the borings.

Boring logs and samples indicate that historic fill containing brick, concrete, coal, ash, cinders, wood and debris is present across the entire Site comprising the shallow soils. In a number of locations, the historic fill stretched from the surface to the confining clay layer (approximately 15 feet below the existing surface). In the central portion of the site, a native but thin sediment layer was noted beneath the surficial fill and above the clay. The gray clay was found beneath the entire site. The depth to the clay ranged from 10 to 15 feet below grade but it was consistently below the water table. There was no analysis of the physical properties of the clay; however, it is believed to be a hydraulic barrier between the shallow water table and groundwater beneath the clay. Groundwater in the shallow material is considered perched and dependent upon its ability to move horizontally, could become mounded as is noted in several borings. The groundwater within the site is not expected to be impacted by tidal influences that may exist closer to the bank of the Bronx River.

Although the Site is located on the footprint the former MGP site, no MGP waste (coal tar) was encountered with the exception of a small amount of coal tar noted on top of a concrete obstruction in boring B-11. Additional borings were installed surrounding the area in an attempt to delineate the waste and no other coal tar or coal tar impacted materials were observed. Analytical results of the soil and groundwater samples did not indicate the presence of MGP waste. The analytical data was typical of historic fill materials that are present throughout Hunts Point.

While there is no specific requirements for remediation or removal of material exceeding SCOs, data was compared to NYSDEC SCOs for Restricted Residential and Commercial Sites. During any future construction or redevelopment activities, regardless of analytical results, Site soils contain residual fill material associated with the Site's former use as an MGP. These fill materials include coal, cinders and ash; therefore, any excess soil will require disposal as industrial waste and cannot be recycled as construction and demolition debris. Material can be reused on-Site under the current version of NYCRR Part 360 Solid and Hazardous Material Regulations but excess would be a regulated solid waste and should be managed according to NYCRR Part 360 (as noted above).

HDR recommends that all redevelopment activities be implemented in compliance with a Site Management Plan (SMP). The SMP should address procedures for material excavation, handling, and disposal of all materials classified as historic fill and/or industrial waste. The objective of the plan is to provide environmental requirements for the management of subsurface soils/fill, the importation of fill materials, and the long-term maintenance/replacement of a barrier cover system.

During redevelopment, excavation in the area of boring B-11 should proceed with caution. A small amount of coal tar was noted and refusal was encountered at seven feet. Any small amounts of residual coal tar possibly trapped below the small structure should be handled in accordance with the SMP and disposed of at a properly permitted facility.


HDR also recommends a Site-Specific Health and Safety Plan (SSHASP) be prepared to provide information for site workers during redevelopment activities including the fill material present and the concentrations of Site contaminants. The SSHASP will discuss possible exposure concerns and should include procedures to be followed if waste material is encountered.

No reportable conditions were identified on the Site. However, it is possible that small isolated areas of MGP waste (coal tar/purifier) or petroleum impacted material may be encountered during rehabilitation and redevelopment excavations. If impacted soil is encountered, the soils should be segregated from non-impacted soils to prevent mixing and impacts to larger volumes of material. Additionally, while there were no methane or H₂S readings above background during intrusive activities, excavations into the organic silts and clays on-Site should be monitored.

Based upon the observation of the samples collected and analytical data, material disturbed as part of the rehabilitation and redevelopment should be acceptable for reuse on Site under NYCRR Part 360 1-15 (b)(7)(8). Material that is removed from the Site should be handled and disposed of in accordance with applicable state and federal regulations and the SMP.

It is assumed that Site redevelopment will include the construction of a building and related infrastructure. Based on the history of the Site and surrounding area as an MGP, there is a potential for vapor migration from historic fill and groundwater contamination identified on the site and the vicinity. The Site was identified to have naphthalene above the drinking water standard and naphthalene has also been identified in other parcels within the former MGP. HDR recommends a vapor barrier and passive venting system, at a minimum, be considered a standard method for mitigating any potential underground vapors that may be present on-Site or on nearby parcels. It is recommended that it be incorporated into the design of any buildings developed on the Site. In addition to the sub slab vapor barrier and passive venting system, a Site-wide cover system is recommended to reduce the potential for human contact to historic fill material and contaminants remaining in subsurface soils. This type of engineering cover system has been constructed at other Hunts Point development sites and was approved by NYSDEC and NYSDOH under the Brownfields Cleanup Program (BCP) and VCP.

Figures




Henningson, Durham & Richardson
Architecture and Engineering, P.C.

One Blue Hill Plaza
Pearl River, NY 10965

Site Location


E OU-3 and Viele Avenue Extension Site • Bronx, New York

Figure
1



Map Source: Investigation Area Survey, October 24, 2009
Mercator Land Surveying, LLC
Prepared for New York City Economic Development Corp.

\\201944_10039997_1\Graphics\DTPI\Mach2010_SitePlan.des



201944_...00339997_...Graphics\DTPI\March2010\EOU-3\historicalConditions.des



Henningson, Durham & Richardson
Architecture and Engineering, P.C.

One Blue Hill Plaza
Pearl River, NY 10965

Historic Structures

E OU-3 and Vile Avenue Extension Site • Bronx, New York

Figure
3




Henningson, Durham & Richardson
Architecture and Engineering, P.C.

One Blue Hill Plaza
Pearl River, NY 10965

Site Aerial Photograph


E OU-3 and Viele Avenue Extension Site • Bronx, New York

Figure
4



Map Source: Investigation Area Survey, October 24, 2009
Mercator Land Surveying, LLC
Prepared for New York City Economic Development Corp.

V201944_10039997_Graphics\DTPI\Mach2010_SitePlan.des



\\201944_\\0039997_\\Graphics\\DTP\\Mach2010\\f_ -CrossSection.des



Henningson, Durham & Richardson
 Architecture and Engineering, P.C.
 One Blue Hill Plaza
 Pearl River, NY 10965

Cross Section A-A'

E OU-3 and Viele Avenue Extension Site • Bronx, New York

Figure 6

Tables

Table 1
Volatile Organic Compounds Detected in Soil
E OU-3 Extension/Viele Avenue Extension Phase II Investigation
Page 1 of 2

Sample ID Sample Depth Lab ID Date Collected Dilution Factor (DF)		SUBPART 375-6 Soil Cleanup Objectives		B1 5' J0486-02 3/16/2010			B3 5' J0486-05 3/16/2010			B5 8' J0486-09 3/17/2010			B5 8' J0486-09 3/17/2010			B7 5' J0486-07 3/16/2010			B9 9' J0486-17 3/19/2010			B12 9' J0486-28 3/18/2010		
		Restricted Residential SCOs	Commercial SCOs																					
				1			1			1			1			1			1			1		
				Result	RL	Qualifier	Result	RL	Qualifier	Result	RL	Qualifier	Result	RL	Qualifier	Result	RL	Qualifier	Result	RL	Qualifier	Result	RL	Qualifier
ANALYTE	CAS#																							
Volatile Organic Compounds																								
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	120-82-1	NS	NS	ND	0.0055	U	0.017	0.028	J	0.0039	0.0061	J	ND	0.390	U	ND	0.380	U	ND	0.0063	U	ND	0.0079	U
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	95-50-1	100	500	0.002	0.0055	BJ	0.015	0.028	J	0.0036	0.0061	BJ	ND	0.390	U	ND	0.380	U	0.0015	0.0063	BJ	0.0018	0.0079	BJ
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	106-46-7	13	130	0.0016	0.0055	BJ	0.011	0.028	BJ	0.0028	0.0061	BJ	ND	0.390	U	ND	0.380	U	0.0023	0.0063	BJ	0.0017	0.0079	BJ
2-Butanone	78-93-3	100	500	ND	0.0055	U	0.016	0.028	J	ND	0.0061	U	ND	0.390	U	ND	0.380	U	ND	0.0063	U	ND	0.0079	U
Acetone	67-64-1	100	500	0.043	0.0055		0.13	0.028		0.033	0.0061		ND	0.390	U	ND	0.380	U	0.027	0.0063		0.0023	0.0079	U
Benzene	71-43-2	4.8	44	ND	0.0055	U	0.013	0.028	J	ND	0.0061	U	ND	0.390	U	ND	0.380	U	0.0084	0.0063		ND	0.0079	U
Carbon disulfide	75-15-0	NS	NS	ND	0.0055	U	0.0064	0.028	J	ND	0.0061	U	ND	0.390	U	ND	0.380	U	ND	0.0063	U	ND	0.0079	U
Chlorobenzene	108-90-7	100	500	0.0023	0.0055	BJ	0.017	0.028	BJ	0.0041	0.0061	BJ	ND	0.390	U	ND	0.380	U	0.0019	0.0063	BJ	0.0022	0.0079	BJ
Chloroethane	75-00-3	NS	NS	ND	0.0055	U	ND	0.028	U	ND	0.0061	U	ND	0.390	U	ND	0.380	U	ND	0.0063	U	ND	0.0079	U
Ethylbenzene	100-41-4	41	390	ND	0.0055	U	0.011	0.028	J	0.013	0.0061		ND	0.390	U	ND	0.380	U	ND	0.0063	U	ND	0.0079	U
M&p-Xylenes*	1330-20-7	100	500	ND	0.0055	U	0.018	0.028	J	0.0075	0.0061		ND	0.390	U	ND	0.380	U	ND	0.0063	U	ND	0.0079	U
Methylene chloride	75-09-2	100	500	0.005	0.0055	BJ	0.027	0.028	BJ	0.0047	0.0061	BJ	ND	0.390	U	ND	0.380	U	0.0066	0.0063		0.0067	0.0079	BJ
Naphthalene		100	500	0.0016	0.0055	BJ	0.32	0.028	B	0.82	0.0061	BE	6.8	0.390		2.5	0.380		0.0037	0.0063	J	ND	0.0079	U
O-Xylene*	95-47-6	100	500	ND	0.0055	U	0.037	0.028		0.0051	0.0061	J	ND	0.390	U	ND	0.380	U	ND	0.0063	U	ND	0.0079	U
Toluene	108-88-3	100	500	ND	0.0055	U	0.011	0.028	J	ND	0.0061	U	ND	0.390	U	ND	0.380	U	ND	0.0063	U	ND	0.0079	U
1,2,4 Trimethylbenzene	95-63-6	52	190	ND	0.0055	U	0.046	0.028		0.0093	0.0061		0.086	0.390	J	ND	0.380	U	ND	0.0063	U	ND	0.0079	U
1,3,5 Trimethylbenzene	108-67-8	52	190	ND	0.0055	U	0.012	0.028	J	0.0048	0.0061	J	ND	0.390	U	ND	0.380	U	ND	0.0063	U	ND	0.0079	U
Isopropylbenzene	98-82-8	NS	NS	ND	0.0055	U	0.023	0.028	J	0.0044	0.0061	J	ND	0.390	U	ND	0.380	U	ND	0.0063	U	ND	0.0079	U
N-Propylbenzene	103-65-1	100	500	ND	0.0055	U	ND	0.028	U	0.0056	0.0061	J	ND	0.390	U	ND	0.380	U	ND	0.0063	U	ND	0.0079	U
Total VOC Concentrations				0.0555			0.7304			0.9218			6.886			2.5			0.0514			0.0147		

Notes:

All data and SCOs are in mg/kg (ppm)
*BCP SCO values for M&P-xylenes and O-xylene are for total xylenes.
ND - not detected. .
J - estimated concentration
B - analyte also detected in method blank. mg/kg - milligrams per kilogram.
NS - no standard (cleanup objective) established.
Bold result indicates detected concentration.
Color of box corresponds to the SCO concentration that was exceeded.

Table 1
Volatile Organic Compounds Detected in Soil
E OU-3 Extension/Viele Avenue Extension Phase II Investigation
Page 2 of 2

Sample ID Sample Depth Lab ID Date Collected Dilution Factor (DF)		SUBPART 375-6 Soil Cleanup Objectives					B13 9' J0486-25 3/18/2010			B15 10' J0486-14 3/17/2010			B16 9' J0486-22 3/18/2010			B17 9' J0486-12 3/17/2010		
		Restricted Residential SCOs	Commercial SCOs	1			1			1			1			1		
				Result	RL	Qualifier	Result	RL	Qualifier	Result	RL	Qualifier	Result	RL	Qualifier	Result	RL	Qualifier
ANALYTE	CAS#																	
Volatile Organic Compounds																		
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	120-82-1	NS	NS	ND	0.0054	U	ND	0.0047	U	ND	0.0054	U	ND	0.0055	U			
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	95-50-1	100	500	0.0012	0.0054	BJ	0.0023	0.0047	BJ	0.0014	0.0054	BJ	0.0012	0.0055	BJ			
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	106-46-7	13	130	ND	0.0054	U	0.0021	0.0047	BJ	0.0012	0.0054	BJ	0.0021	0.0055	BJ			
2-Butanone	78-93-3	100	500	ND	0.0054	U	ND	0.0047	U	0.0077	0.0054		ND	0.0055	U			
Acetone	67-64-1	100	500	0.029	0.0054		ND	0.0047	U	0.064	0.0054		ND	0.0055	U			
Benzene	71-43-2	4.8	44	ND	0.0054	U	ND	0.0047	U	ND	0.0054	U	ND	0.0055	U			
Carbon disulfide	75-15-0	NS	NS	ND	0.0054	U	ND	0.0047	U	0.0012	0.0054	J	ND	0.0055	U			
Chlorobenzene	108-90-7	100	500	ND	0.0054	U	0.0029	0.0047	BJ	0.0016	0.0054	BJ	0.0017	0.0055	BJ			
Chloroethane	75-00-3	NS	NS	ND	0.0054	U	ND	0.0047	U	ND	0.0054	BJ	ND	0.0055	U			
Ethylbenzene	100-41-4	41	390	ND	0.0054	U	ND	0.0047	U	ND	0.0054	U	ND	0.0055	U			
M&p-Xylenes*	1330-20-7	100	500	ND	0.0054	U	ND	0.0047	U	ND	0.0054	U	ND	0.0055	U			
Methylene chloride	75-09-2	100	500	0.0056	0.0054	B	ND	0.0047	U	0.0032	0.0054	BJ	0.0044	0.0055	J			
Naphthalene		100	500	ND	0.0054	U	0.0034	0.0047	BJ	0.0029	0.0054	BJ	ND	0.0055	U			
O-Xylene*	95-47-6	100	500	ND	0.0054	U	ND	0.0047	U	ND	0.0054	U	ND	0.0055	U			
Toluene	108-88-3	100	500	ND	0.0054	U	ND	0.0047	U	ND	0.0054	U	ND	0.0055	U			
1,2,4 Trimethylbenzene	95-63-6	52	190	ND	0.0054	U	ND	0.0047	U	ND	0.0054	U	ND	0.0055	U			
1,3,5 Trimethylbenzene	108-67-8	52	190	ND	0.0054	U	ND	0.0047	U	ND	0.0054	U	ND	0.0055	U			
Isopropylbenzene	98-82-8	NS	NS	ND	0.0054	U	ND	0.0047	U	0.0022	0.0054	J	ND	0.0055	U			
N-Propylbenzene	103-65-1	100	500	ND	0.0054	U	ND	0.0047	U	ND	0.0054	U	ND	0.0055	U			
Total VOC Concentrations				0.0358			0.0107			0.0854			0.0094					

Notes:

All data and SCOs are in mg/kg (ppm)
*BCP SCO values for M&P-xylenes and O-xylene are for total xylenes.
ND - not detected. .
J - estimated concentration
B - analyte also detected in method blank. mg/kg - milligrams per kilogr
NS - no standard (cleanup objective) established.
Bold result indicates detected concentration.
Color of box corresponds to the SCO concentration that was exceeded

Table 2 Semi-Volatile Organic, Metals and PCB Compounds Detected in Soil E OU-3 Extension/Viele Avenue Extension Phase II Investigation Page 1 of 3																								
Sample ID Sample Depth Lab ID Date Collected Dilution Factor (DF)	CAS#	SUBPART 375-6 Soil Cleanup Objectives		B1 4-5' J0486-01 3/16/2010			B1 4-5' J0486-01 3/16/2010			B3 4-5' J0486-04 3/16/2010			B3 4-5' J0486-04 3/16/2010			B5 7-8' J0486-08 3/17/2010			B5 7-8' J0486-08 3/17/2010			B7 5-6' J0486-06 3/16/2010		
		Restricted Residential SCOs	Commercial SCOs	1			10			10			40			1			4			1		
				Result	RL	Qualifier	Result	RL	Qualifier	Result	RL	Qualifier	Result	RL	Qualifier	Result	RL	Qualifier	Result	RL	Qualifier	Result	RL	Qualifier
ANALYTE	CAS#																							
Semi-Volatile Organic Compounds																								
2-Methylnaphthalene	91-57-6	NS	NS	0.94	0.22		0.88	2.20	J	2.1	2.00		2	7.80	J	1.2	0.19		1.1	0.77		6.8	0.21	E
2-Methylphenol	95-48-7	100	500	ND	0.22	U	ND	2.20	U	ND	2.00	U	ND	7.80	U	ND	0.19	U	ND	0.77	U	0.029	0.21	J
4- Methylphenol		100	500	0.43	0.22	J	ND	2.20	U	ND	2.00	U	ND	7.80	U	ND	0.19	U	ND	0.77	U	0.063	0.21	J
Acenaphthene	83-32-9	100	500	3.8	0.22	E	3.9	2.20		3.6	2.00		3.6	7.80	J	4.2	0.19	E	4	0.77		9.1	0.21	E
Acenaphthylene	208-96-8	100	500	3	0.22		3.3	2.20		12	2.00		11	7.80		0.95	0.19		0.93	0.77		6.4	0.21	E
Anthracene	120-12-7	100	500	3.4	0.22		4	2.20		17	2.00		16	7.80		1.9	0.19		2	0.77		14	0.21	E
Benzo[a]anthracene	56-55-3	1	5.6	7.9	0.22	E	6.5	2.20		29	2.00		28	7.80		3.2	0.19	E	3	0.77		21	0.21	E
Benzo[a]pyrene	50-32-8	1	1	8.1	0.22	E	7.4	2.20		26	2.00		25	7.80		2.9	0.19		2.7	0.77		14	0.21	E
Benzo[b]fluoranthene	205-99-2	1	5.6	5.4	0.22	E	5.9	2.20		20	2.00		26	7.80		2.4	0.19		2	0.77		8.1	0.21	E
Benzo[g,h,i]perylene	191-24-2	100	500	3	0.22		3.2	2.20		11	2.00		14	7.80		1.2	0.19		1.1	0.77		6	0.21	E
Benzo[k]fluoranthene	207-08-9	3.9	56	3.3	0.22		5.1	2.20		14	2.00		11	7.80		1.9	0.19		2.2	0.77		5.6	0.21	E
Bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate	117-81-7	NS	NS	18	0.22	E	31	2.20		ND	2.00	U	ND	7.80	U	ND	0.19	U	ND	0.77	U	ND	0.21	U
Carbazole	86-74-8	NS	NS	0.56	0.22		0.59	2.20	J	2.6	2.00		2.6	7.80	J	0.82	0.19		0.79	0.77		6.7	0.21	E
Chrysene	218-01-9	3.9	56	3.6	0.22	U	8.3	2.20		20	2.00		26	7.80		2.6	0.19		3	0.77		8.6	0.21	E
Dibenzo[a,h]Anthracene	53-70-3	0.33	0.56	2.7	0.22		1.3	2.20	J	5.3	2.00		4.9	7.80	J	0.62	0.19		0.44	0.77	J	7.1	0.21	E
Dibenzofuran	132-64-9	59	350	1.6	0.22		1.6	2.20	J	3.3	2.00		3.1	7.80	J	2.1	0.19		2	0.77		6.8	0.21	E
Fluoranthene	206-44-0	100	500	10	0.22	E	14	2.20		48	2.00	E	55	7.80		6.7	0.19	E	7.2	0.77		36	0.21	E
Fluorene	86-73-7	100	500	2.6	0.22		2.8	2.20		10	2.00		9.9	7.80		2.5	0.19		2.5	0.77		16	0.21	E
Indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene	193-39-5	0.5	5.6	3.5	0.22		2.9	2.20		9.8	2.00		13	7.80		1.1	0.19		1.1	0.77		5.3	0.21	E
Naphthalene	91-20-3	100	500	0.56	0.22		0.61	2.20	J	2.9	2.00		3	7.80	J	3	0.19		3	0.77		8.7	0.21	E
Phenanthrene	85-01-8	100	500	6.9	0.22	E	8.5	2.20		37	2.00	E	39	7.80		7.1	0.19	E	7.6	0.77		52	0.21	E
Phenol	108-95-2	100	500	0.03	0.22	J	ND	2.20	U	ND	2.00	U	ND	7.80	U	ND	0.19	U	ND	0.77	U	0.054	0.21	J
Pyrene	129-00-0	100	500	9.7	0.22		13	2.20		43	2.00	E	48	7.80		5.7	0.19	E	5.8	0.77		26	0.21	E
Total SVOCs				99.02			124.78			316.60			341.10			52.09			52.46			264.35		
Metals																								
Arsenic	7440-38-2	16	16	6.8		*				18.4		*				4.2		*				9.8		*
Barium	7440-39-3	400	400	143		*E				118		*E				142		*E				198		*E
Cadmium	7440-43-9	4.3	9.3	1.1		*				2.4		*				0.49		*				0.84		*
Chromium	7440-47-3	180	1500	25.2		*				20		*				25.5		*				20.4		*
Lead	7439-92-1	400	1000	368		*NE				252		*NE				101		*NE				310		N*E
Mercury	7439-97-6	0.81	2.8	0.72						1.4						0.3						0.4		
Selenium	7782-49-2	180	1500	0.51		U				0.77		B				0.96		U				0.61		U
Silver	7440-22-4	180	1500	0.052		U				0.064		U				0.098		U				0.063		U
Cyanide				0.83		B				3.9						8.7						5.4		
Polychlorinated Biphenyls																								
Aroclor-1254		NS	NS	0.15	0.045					0.15	0.039					ND	0.039	U				0.057	0.042	
Aroclor-1260		NS	NS	ND	0.045	U				ND	0.039	U				ND	0.039	U				ND	0.042	U
Total PCBs		1	1	0.15						0.15						0.000						0.057		
Other				DF 1						DF 1			DF 50			DF 5						DF 5		
Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons		NS	NS	1100	81					1100	81		11,000*			840	70					1600	75	

Notes:
 All data and SCOs are in mg/kg (ppm)
 ND - not detected. .
 J - estimated concentration
 E - compound concentration exceeded the calibration range
 * - for inorganics relative percent difference for duplicate analyses is outside of control limit
 N - for inorganics the matrix spike recovery falls outside of control limits
 NS - no standard (cleanup objective) established.
 Bold result indicates detected concentration.
 Color of box corresponds to the SCO concentration that was exceeded.

Table 2
Semi-Volatile Organic, Metals and PCB Compounds Detected in Soil
E OU-3 Extension/Viele Avenue Extension Phase II Investigation
Page 2 of 3

Sample ID Sample Depth Lab ID Date Collected Dilution Factor (DF)	CAS#	SUBPART 375-6 Soil Cleanup Objectives		B7 5-6' J0486-06 3/16/2010			B9 8-9' J0486-16 3/19/2010			B12 8-9' J0486-27 3/18/2010			B12 8-9' J0486-27 3/18/2010			B13 8-9' J0486-24 3/18/2010		
		Restricted Residential SCOs	Commercial SCOs	40			1			1			4			1		
				Result	RL	Qualifier	Result	RL	Qualifier	Result	RL	Qualifier	Result	RL	Qualifier	Result	RL	Qualifier
ANALYTE	CAS#																	
Semi-Volatile Organic Compounds																		
2-Methylnaphthalene	91-57-6	NS	NS	9.2	8.2		0.064	0.20	J	0.16	0.20	J	0.17	0.79	J	ND	0.19	U
2-Methylphenol	95-48-7	100	500	ND	8.2	U	ND	0.20	U	ND	0.20	U	ND	0.79	U	ND	0.19	U
4- Methylphenol		100	500	ND	8.2	U	ND	0.20	U	ND	0.20	U	ND	0.79	U	ND	0.19	U
Acenaphthene	83-32-9	100	500	12	8.2		0.035	0.20	J	0.48	0.20		0.45	0.79	J	ND	0.19	U
Acenaphthylene	208-96-8	100	500	11	8.2		0.028	0.20	J	0.84	0.20		0.84	0.79		0.028	0.19	J
Anthracene	120-12-7	100	500	23	8.2		0.049	0.20	J	1.6	0.20		1.7	0.79	U	0.038	0.19	J
Benzo[a]anthracene	56-55-3	1	5.6	24	8.2		0.1	0.20	J	3.3	0.20	E	3.2	0.79		0.089	0.19	J
Benzo[a]pyrene	50-32-8	1	1	16	8.2		0.11	0.20	J	2.9	0.20		2.6	0.79		0.085	0.19	J
Benzo[b]fluoranthene	205-99-2	1	5.6	14	8.2		0.077	0.20	J	2.4	0.20		1.9	0.79		0.059	0.19	J
Benzo[g,h,i]perylene	191-24-2	100	500	6.6	8.2	J	0.054	0.20	J	1.2	0.20		1.1	0.79	U	0.041	0.19	J
Benzo[k]fluoranthene	207-08-9	3.9	56	9.1	8.2		0.098	0.20	J	1.6	0.20		2.5	0.79		0.061	0.19	J
Bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate	117-81-7	NS	NS	ND	8.2	U	ND	0.20	U	ND	0.20	U	ND	0.79	U	ND	0.19	U
Carbazole	86-74-8	NS	NS	4.7	8.2	J	ND	0.20	U	0.27	0.20		0.26	0.79	J	ND	0.19	U
Chrysene	218-01-9	3.9	56	20	8.2		0.11	0.20	J	2.3	0.20		2.6	0.79		0.081	0.19	J
Dibenzo[a,h]Anthracene	53-70-3	0.33	0.56	2.8	8.2	J	0.026	0.20	J	0.55	0.20		0.41	0.79	J	ND	0.19	U
Dibenzofuran	132-64-9	59	350	9.6	8.2		ND	0.20	U	0.34	0.20		0.32	0.79	J	ND	0.19	U
Fluoranthene	206-44-0	100	500	51	8.2		0.26	0.20		6.1	0.20	E	6.6	0.79		0.14	0.19	J
Fluorene	86-73-7	100	500	26	8.2		0.04	0.20	J	0.99	0.20		0.96	0.79		0.019	0.19	J
Indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene	193-39-5	0.5	5.6	6.8	8.2	J	0.057	0.20	J	1.3	0.20		1.1	0.79		0.036	0.19	J
Naphthalene	91-20-3	100	500	15	8.2		0.51	0.20		0.17	0.20	J	ND	0.79	U	ND	0.19	U
Phenanthrene	85-01-8	100	500	67	8.2		0.18	0.20	J	5	0.20	E	5.1	0.79		0.11	0.19	J
Phenol	108-95-2	100	500	ND	8.2	U	ND	0.20	U	ND	0.20	U	ND	0.79	U	ND	0.19	U
Pyrene	129-00-0	100	500	47	8.2		0.22	0.20		5.1	0.20	E	5.3	0.79	U	0.15	0.19	J
Total SVOCs							2.02			36.60			37.11			0.94		
Metals																		
Arsenic	7440-38-2	16	16				2.1		*	9.3		*				3.3		*
Barium	7440-39-3	400	400				92.6			159						86.5		
Cadmium	7440-43-9	4.3	9.3				0.18		B	0.45						0.27		
Chromium	7440-47-3	180	1500				28.3			16.8						22.6		
Lead	7439-92-1	400	1000				19.9		E	412		E				68.5		E
Mercury	7439-97-6	0.81	2.8				0.05		*N	0.69		*N				0.19		*N
Selenium	7782-49-2	180	1500				2.4			3.1						2.1		
Silver	7440-22-4	180	1500				0.11		B	0.47		B				0.18		B
Cyanide							0.15		U	0.18		U				0.15		U
Polychlorinated Biphenyls																		
Aroclor-1254		NS	NS				ND	0.039	U	ND	0.039	U				ND	0.038	U
Aroclor-1260		NS	NS				ND	0.039	U	ND	0.039	U				ND	0.038	U
Total PCBs		1	1				0.000			0.000						0.000		
Other										DF 5								
Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons		NS	NS				65	14		170	72					30	14	

Notes:
All data and SCOs are in mg/kg (ppm)
ND - not detected. .
J - estimated concentration
E - compound concentration exceeded the calibration range
* - for inorganics relative percent difference for duplicate analyses is outside of control l
N - for inorganics the matrix spike recovery falls outside of control limits
NS - no standard (cleanup objective) established.
Bold result indicates detected concentration.
Color of box corresponds to the SCO concentration that was exceeded.

Sample ID Sample Depth Lab ID Date Collected Dilution Factor (DF)		SUBPART 375-6 Soil Cleanup Objectives		B15 9-10' J0486-13 3/17/2010			B16 8-9' J0486-21 3/18/2010			B16 8-9' J0486-21 3/18/2010			B17 8-9' J0486-11 3/17/2010			B19 6-7' J0486-20 3/19/2010			B19 6-7' J0486-20 3/19/2010				
				1			1			2			1			10			40				
		ANALYTE	CAS#	Restricted Residential SCOs	Commercial SCOs	Result	RL	Qualifier	Result	RL	Qualifier	Result	RL	Qualifier	Result	RL	Qualifier	Result	RL	Qualifier	Result	RL	Qualifier
Semi-Volatile Organic Compounds																							
2-Methylnaphthalene	91-57-6	NS	NS	ND	0.18	U	0.022	0.19	J	ND	0.38	U	ND	0.23	U	1	1.90	J	0.89	7.70	J		
2-Methylphenol	95-48-7	100	500	ND	0.18	U	ND	0.19	U	ND	0.38	U	ND	0.23	U	ND	1.90	U	ND	7.70	U		
4- Methylphenol		100	500	ND	0.18	U	ND	0.19	U	ND	0.38	U	ND	0.23	U	ND	1.90	U	ND	7.70	U		
Acenaphthene	83-32-9	100	500	ND	0.18	U	0.062	0.19	J	0.059	0.38	J	0.065	0.23	J	2.5	1.90		2.7	7.70	J		
Acenaphthylene	208-96-8	100	500	0.027	0.18	J	0.39	0.19		0.37	0.38	J	0.13	0.23	J	14	1.90		16	7.70			
Anthracene	120-12-7	100	500	0.019	0.18	J	0.44	0.19		0.46	0.38		0.22	0.23	J	22	1.90		27	7.70			
Benzo[a]anthracene	56-55-3	1	5.6	0.063	0.18	J	2.9	0.19		2.4	0.38		1	0.23		45	1.90	E	42	7.70			
Benzo[a]pyrene	50-32-8	1	1	0.077	0.18	J	3	0.19		1.8	0.38		0.84	0.23		41	1.90	E	35	7.70			
Benzo[b]fluoranthene	205-99-2	1	5.6	0.043	0.18	J	1.6	0.19		1.6	0.38		0.76	0.23		26	1.90		27	7.70			
Benzo[g,h,i]perylene	191-24-2	100	500	0.039	0.18	J	1.2	0.19		0.82	0.38		0.5	0.23		11	1.90		16	7.70	U		
Benzo[k]fluoranthene	207-08-9	3.9	56	0.079	0.18	J	1.3	0.19		1.4	0.38		0.62	0.23		18	1.90		28	7.70			
Bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate	117-81-7	NS	NS	ND	0.18	U	ND	0.19	U	ND	0.38	U	ND	0.23	U	ND	1.90	U	ND	7.70	U		
Carbazole	86-74-8	NS	NS	ND	0.18	U	0.081	0.19	J	0.077	0.38	J	0.064	0.23	J	3.4	1.90		3.5	7.70	J		
Chrysene	218-01-9	3.9	56	0.076	0.18	J	1.8	0.19		2.2	0.38		0.79	0.23		23	1.90		41	7.70			
Dibenzo[a,h]Anthracene	53-70-3	0.33	0.56	ND	0.18	U	0.3	0.19		0.51	0.38		0.23	0.23	J	5.3	1.90		7.5	7.70	J		
Dibenzofuran	132-64-9	59	350	ND	0.18	U	0.023	0.19	J	ND	0.38	U	0.033	0.23	J	4.5	1.90		4.5	7.70	J		
Fluoranthene	206-44-0	100	500	0.11	0.18	J	3.8	0.19	E	3.7	0.38		1.4	0.23		66	1.90	E	93	7.70			
Fluorene	86-73-7	100	500	ND	0.18	U	0.096	0.19	J	0.092	0.38	J	0.064	0.23	J	14	1.90		14	7.70			
Indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene	193-39-5	0.5	5.6	0.042	0.18	J	1	0.19		0.86	0.38		0.43	0.23		13	1.90		14	7.70			
Naphthalene	91-20-3	100	500	ND	0.18	U	ND	0.19	U	ND	0.38	U	0.037	0.23	J	1	1.90	J	1	7.70	J		
Phenanthrene	85-01-8	100	500	0.061	0.18	J	1.7	0.19		1.7	0.38		0.81	0.23		41	1.90	E	48	7.70			
Phenol	108-95-2	100	500	ND	0.18	U	ND	0.19	U	ND	0.38	U	ND	0.23	U	ND	1.90	U	ND	7.70	U		
Pyrene	129-00-0	100	500	0.11	0.18	J	4.7	0.19	E	4.3	0.38		1.3	0.23		57	1.90	E	74	7.70			
Total SVOCs				0.75			24.41			22.35			9.29			408.70			495.09				
Metals																							
Arsenic	7440-38-2	16	16	1.2		*	10.8		*				11.7		*	30.6		*					
Barium	7440-39-3	400	400	36.3		*E	129						409		*E	114							
Cadmium	7440-43-9	4.3	9.3	0.093		*B	1.3						1.2		*	2							
Chromium	7440-47-3	180	1500	9.6		*	11.4						17.8		*	41.8							
Lead	7439-92-1	400	1000	13.9		*NE	233		E				678		*NE	296		E					
Mercury	7439-97-6	0.81	2.8	0.066			0.15		*N				0.64			1.1		*N					
Selenium	7782-49-2	180	1500	0.49		U	1.5						0.78		U	6.2							
Silver	7440-22-4	180	1500	0.051		U	0.23		B				0.078		U	0.42		B					
Cyanide				0.13		U	0.15		U				0.65		B	0.16		U					
Polychlorinated Biphenyls																							
Aroclor-1254		NS	NS	ND	0.036	U	ND	0.038	U				ND	0.047	U	ND	0.038	U					
Aroclor-1260		NS	NS	ND	0.036	U	ND	0.038	U				ND	0.047	U	0.052	0.038						
Total PCBs		1	1	0.000			0.000						0.000			0.052							
Other							10									DF 50							
Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons		NS	NS	16	13		680	140					130	17		5,300	710						

Notes:
 All data and SCOs are in mg/kg (ppm)
 ND - not detected. .
 J - estimated concentration
 E - compound concentration exceeded the calibration range
 * - for inorganics relative percent difference for duplicate analyses is outside of control l
 N - for inorganics the matrix spike recovery falls outside of control limits
 NS - no standard (cleanup objective) established.
 Bold result indicates detected concentration.
 Color of box corresponds to the SCO concentration that was exceeded.

Table 3
Volatile Organic, Semi-Volatile Organic, Metals and PCB Compounds Detected in Groundwater
E OU-3 Extension/Viele Avenue Extension Phase II Investigation
Page 1 of 1

Sample Name: Lab Sample ID: Date Collected Dilution Factor (DF)		B1 J0486-03 3/16/2010 1				B5 J0486-10 3/17/2010 1				B5 J0486-10 3/17/2010 VOC 20/SVOC 8				B8 J0486-18 3/19/2010 1				B11 J0486-15 3/19/2010 1				B13 J0486-26 3/18/2010 1				B16 J0486-23 3/18/2010 1			
ANALYTE	NYS CLASS GA	Result	RL	Qualifier	Result	RL	Qualifier	Result	RL	Qualifier	Result	RL	Qualifier	Result	RL	Qualifier	Result	RL	Qualifier	Result	RL	Qualifier	Result	RL	Qualifier	Result	RL	Qualifier	
AWQS/GV																													
Volatile Organic Compounds																													
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	5	ND	5.0	U	26	5.0		25	100	J	ND	5.0	U	1.5	5.0	J	ND	5.0	U	ND	5.0	U	ND	5.0	U	ND	5.0	U	
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	5	ND	5.0	U	8.6	5.0		ND	100	U	ND	5.0	U	ND	5.0	U	ND	5.0	U	ND	5.0	U	ND	5.0	U	ND	5.0	U	
4-Isopropyltoluene	5	ND	5.0	U	1.3	5.0	J	ND	100	U	ND	5.0	U	ND	5.0	U	ND	5.0	U	ND	5.0	U	ND	5.0	U	ND	5.0	U	
Acetone	50	ND	5.0	U	ND	5.0	U	ND	100	U	ND	5.0	U	ND	5.0	U	7.3	5.0		ND	5.0	U	ND	5.0	U	ND	5.0	U	
Benzene	1	1.1	5.0	J	1.1	5.0	J	ND	100	U	2.4	5.0	J	36	5.0		ND	5.0	U	ND	5.0	U	ND	5.0	U	ND	5.0	U	
Chloromethane	5	ND	5.0	U	ND	5.0	U	ND	100	U	ND	5.0	U	ND	5.0	U	1	5.0	J	ND	5.0	U	ND	5.0	U	ND	5.0	U	
Ethylbenzene	5	ND	5.0	U	17	5.0		ND	100	U	ND	5.0	U	5.3	5.0		ND	5.0	U	ND	5.0	U	ND	5.0	U	ND	5.0	U	
Isopropylbenzene	5	ND	5.0	U	1.9	5.0	J	ND	100	U	ND	5.0	U	43	5.0		ND	5.0	U	ND	5.0	U	ND	5.0	U	ND	5.0	U	
M&p-Xylenes	5	ND	5.0	U	19	5.0		25	100	J	ND	5.0	U	3.2	5.0	J	ND	5.0	U	ND	5.0	U	ND	5.0	U	ND	5.0	U	
Methyl-t-butyl ether	10	1.7	5.0	J	ND	5.0	U	ND	100	U	6.3	5.0		1.4	5.0	J	1.7	5.0	J	ND	5.0	U	ND	5.0	U	ND	5.0	U	
N-Butylbenzene	5	ND	5.0	U	1	5.0	J	ND	100	U	ND	5.0	U	3.1	5.0	J	ND	5.0	U	ND	5.0	U	ND	5.0	U	ND	5.0	U	
N-Propylbenzene	5	ND	5.0	U	3.7	5.0	J	ND	100	U	ND	5.0	U	30	5.0		ND	5.0	U	ND	5.0	U	ND	5.0	U	ND	5.0	U	
Naphthalene	10	ND	5.0	U	680	5.0	E	1600	100		3.2	5.0	J	21	5.0		1.9	5.0	J	ND	5.0	U	ND	5.0	U	ND	5.0	U	
O-Xylene	5	ND	5.0	U	11	5.0		ND	100	U	ND	5.0	U	2.9	5.0	J	ND	5.0	U	ND	5.0	U	ND	5.0	U	ND	5.0	U	
Sec-Butylbenzene	5	ND	5.0	U	ND	5.0	U	ND	100	U	ND	5.0	U	1.3	5.0	J	ND	5.0	U	ND	5.0	U	ND	5.0	U	ND	5.0	U	
Toluene	5	ND	5.0	U	3.7	5.0	J	ND	100	U	ND	5.0	U	1.1	5.0	J	ND	5.0	U	ND	5.0	U	ND	5.0	U	ND	5.0	U	
Total VOCs		2.8			774.3			1650			11.9			149.8			10.9			1.0									
Semi-Volatile Organic Compounds		8																											
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	5	ND	10	U	ND	10	U	ND	80	U	ND	10	U	ND	10	U	ND	10	U	ND	10	U	ND	10	U	ND	10	U	
2,4-Dimethylphenol	1	ND	10	U	4.3	10	J	ND	80	U	ND	10	U	ND	10	U	ND	10	U	ND	10	U	ND	10	U	ND	10	U	
2-Methylnaphthalene	NS	ND	10	U	170	10	E	200	80		ND	10	U	11	10		ND	10	U	ND	10	U	ND	10	U	ND	10	U	
2-Methylphenol	1	ND	10	U	9	10	J	ND	80	U	ND	10	U	ND	10	U	ND	10	U	ND	10	U	ND	10	U	ND	10	U	
4-Methylphenol	1	ND	10	U	27	10		33	80	J	ND	10	U	ND	10	U	ND	10	U	ND	10	U	ND	10	U	ND	10	U	
Acenaphthene	20	2.9	10	J	200	10	E	170	80		ND	10	U	6	10	J	ND	10	U	ND	10	U	ND	10	U	ND	10	U	
Acenaphthylene	NS	ND	10	U	5.1	10	J	ND	80	U	ND	10	U	ND	10	U	ND	10	U	ND	10	U	ND	10	U	ND	10	U	
Anthracene	50	ND	10	U	33	10		39	80		ND	10	U	ND	10	U	ND	10	U	ND	10	U	ND	10	U	ND	10	U	
Benzo[a]anthracene	0.002	1	10	J	24	10		25	80	J	1.2	10	J	ND	10	U	ND	10	U	ND	10	U	ND	10	U	ND	10	U	
Benzo[a]pyrene	ND	ND	10	U	15	10		17	80	J	ND	10	U	ND	10	U	ND	10	U	ND	10	U	ND	10	U	ND	10	U	
Benzo[b]fluoranthene	0.002	1.7	10	J	24	10		25	80	J	ND	10	U	ND	10	U	ND	10	U	ND	10	U	ND	10	U	ND	10	U	
Benzo[g,h,i]perylene	NS	2.9	10	J	9.2	10	J	ND	80	U	ND	10	U	ND	10	U	ND	10	U	ND	10	U	ND	10	U	ND	10	U	
Benzo[k]fluoranthene	0.002	ND	10	U	19	10		19	80	J	ND	10	U	ND	10	U	ND	10	U	ND	10	U	ND	10	U	ND	10	U	
Bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate	5	ND	10	U	ND	10	U	ND	80	U	2.6	10	J	ND	10	U	ND	10	U	ND	10	U	ND	10	U	ND	10	U	
Carbazole	NS	ND	10	U	34	10		44	80	J	ND	10	U	ND	10	U	ND	10	U	ND	10	U	ND	10	U	ND	10	U	
Chrysene	0.002	ND	10	U	27	10		29	80	J	ND	10	U	ND	10	U	ND	10	U	ND	10	U	ND	10	U	ND	10	U	
Dibenzo[a,h]Anthracene	NS	ND	10	U	1.2	10	J	ND	80	U	ND	10	U	ND	10	U	ND	10	U	ND	10	U	ND	10	U	ND	10	U	
Dibenzofuran	NS	ND	10	U	86	10		ND	80	U	ND	10	U	ND	10	U	ND	10	U	ND	10	U	ND	10	U	ND	10	U	
Fluoranthene	50	2.5	10	J	92	10		120	80		2.9	10	J	ND	10	U	ND	10	U	ND	10	U	ND	10	U	ND	10	U	
Fluorene	50	1.1	10	J	100	10		110	80		ND	10	U	3	10	J	ND	10	U	ND	10	U	ND	10	U	ND	10	U	
Indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene	0.002	1.8	10	J	8.1	10	J	ND	80	U	ND	10	U	ND	10	U	ND	10	U	ND	10	U	ND	10	U	ND	10	U	
Naphthalene	10	ND	10	U	580	10	E	970	80		1.8	10	J	6.7	10	J	ND	10	U	ND	10	U	ND	10	U	ND	10	U	
Phenanthrene	50	2.2	10	J	180	10	E	220	80		3.1	10	J	5	10	J	ND	10	U	ND	10	U	ND	10	U	ND	10	U	
Phenol	1	ND	10	U	14	10		17	80	J	ND	10	U	ND	10	U	ND	10	U	ND	10	U	ND	10	U	ND	10	U	
Pyrene	50	2.4	10	J	110	10		75	80	J	2.7	10	J	ND	10	U	ND	10	U	ND	10	U	ND	10	U	ND	10	U	
Total SVOCs		18.5			1771.9			2113			14.3			31.7			0			0									
Metals																													
Arsenic	25	58.7						9.3		B	12.2		B	15		B	45.5			5.4									
Barium	1000	2440						615			602			492			160			B	366								
Cadmium	5	14.9						1.9		B	0.61		B	0.92		B	0.5		U	0.75									
Chromium	50	253						145			29.6			58.1			8.7			B	8.7								
Lead	25	8330						556			331			451			60.3			423									
Mercury	0.7	4.4						0.42			0.83			0.058		B	0.056		U	2									
Selenium	10	10		U				10		U	10.3		B	10		U	10		U	21.4							B		
Silver	50	2.4		U				4.4		B	2.4		U	2.4		U	2.4		U	2.4							U		
Cyanide	200	333						102			282			332			474			32.1									
Polychlorinated Biphenyls																													
Aroclor-1016	0.09	ND	1	U	ND	1	U				ND	1	U	ND	1	U	ND	1	U	ND	1	U	ND	1	U	ND	1	U	
Aroclor-1221	0.09	ND	1	U	ND	1	U				ND	1	U	ND	1	U	ND	1	U	ND	1	U	ND	1	U	ND	1	U	
Aroclor-1232	0.09	ND	1	U	ND	1	U				ND	1	U	ND	1	U	ND	1	U	ND	1	U	ND	1	U	ND	1	U	
Aroclor-1242	0.09	ND	1	U	ND	1	U				ND	1	U	ND	1	U	ND	1	U	ND	1	U	ND	1	U	ND	1	U	
Aroclor-1248	0.09	ND	1	U	ND	1	U				ND	1	U	ND	1	U	ND	1	U	ND	1	U	ND	1	U	ND	1	U	
Aroclor-1254	0.09	ND	1	U	ND	1	U				ND	1	U	ND	1	U	ND	1	U	ND	1	U	ND	1	U	ND	1	U	
Aroclor-1260	0.09	ND	1	U	ND	1	U				ND	1	U	ND	1	U	ND	1	U	ND	1	U	ND	1	U	ND	1	U	
Total PCBs		0			0			0			0			0			0			0									
Other																													
Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons	NS	4300	35		2900	1800					ND	35	U	2700	1800		790	35		ND	35	U							

Notes:
All data and standards/guidance values are reported in ug/L (ppb)
ND - not detected.
AWQS/GV - ambient water quality standard or guidance value.
NS - no standard or guidance value established.
ug/L - micrograms per liter.
J - estimated concentration.
E - The compound concentration exceeded the calibration range.
B - A trace concentration below the reporting limit and equal to or above the detection limit.
U - Not detected.
Bold - concentration reported
Highlighted - concentration reported exceeds respective standard or guidance value.

Photographs



Photograph 1: Geophysical Survey



Photograph 2: Abandoned Concrete Foundation Slab



Photograph 3: Test Pit (along west edge of concrete slab)



Photograph 4: Drilling Boring B18.



Photograph 5: Large Debris Pile

APPENDIX A

Historic Photographs



Henningson, Durham & Richardson
Architecture and Engineering, P.C.
One Blue Hill Plaza
Pearl River, NY 10965

1954 Aerial Photograph

E OU-3 and Viele Avenue Extension Site • Bronx, New York

Aerial
Photo
1



E OU-3 and Viele Avenue Extension

0 750 ft
SCALE IN FEET

\\aerialPhotos1954-1994.des



Henningson, Durham & Richardson
Architecture and Engineering, P.C.
One Blue Hill Plaza
Pearl River, NY 10965

1966 Aerial Photograph

E OU-3 and Viele Avenue Extension Site • Bronx, New York

**Aerial
Photo
2**



E OU-3 and Vile Avenue Extension

0 750 ft

 SCALE IN FEET

\\aerialPhotos1954-1994.des



Henningson, Durham & Richardson
 Architecture and Engineering, P.C.
 One Blue Hill Plaza
 Pearl River, NY 10965

1975 Aerial Photograph

E OU-3 and Vile Avenue Extension Site • Bronx, New York

**Aerial
 Photo
 3**

E OU-3 and Vile Avenue Extension



0 750 ft
SCALE IN FEET



Henningson, Durham & Richardson
Architecture and Engineering, P.C.
One Blue Hill Plaza
Pearl River, NY 10965

1984 Aerial Photograph

E OU-3 and Vile Avenue Extension Site • Bronx, New York

**Aerial
Photo
4**



0 750 ft
SCALE IN FEET

laerialPhotos1954-1994.des



Henningson, Durham & Richardson
Architecture and Engineering, P.C.
One Blue Hill Plaza
Pearl River, NY 10965

1994 Aerial Photograph

E OU-3 and Viele Avenue Extension Site • Bronx, New York

Aerial
Photo
5

APPENDIX B
E OU-3 EXTENSION & Viele Avenue Extension Investigation
Area Survey



APPENDIX C

Boring Logs



ONE COMPANY
Many Solutions®

ENGINEERS FIELD BORING LOG

PROJECT NAME NYCEDC E OU-3 Extension Phase II

Boring No. **B-1**
SURFACE ELEV _____
DATUM _____
SHEET **1** OF **1**

BORING LOCATION

DATE **3/16/2010**

DRILLER NAME / COMPANY

A. Babel / ADT

MONITORING INSTRUMENTATION

PID, V Rae CGI

HDR FIELD INSPECTOR

T. Goehring

Depth (ft.)	Sample No.	Sample Depth (ft)	Two Inch Geoprobe Sample				Sample Description (Inches)	Remarks	CGI
			PID (IU*)	Moisture	Odor	Recovery (inches)			
0	B-1 4-5 ft	0-5	0.0	Wet at 5 ft	Light	21	0-4 in TOPSOIL	Sample collected at 4	LEL = 0
							4-10 in FILL - Concrete, wood, dark brown silt		
	B-1 5 ft	5-10	0.0	Wet	Light	45	10-21 in FILL - Dark brown silt, some fine sand, brick, coal, glass, plastic	5 ft for SVOC, PCB, TPH, 5 ft for Metals, Hg, Cyanide, VOC	H2S= 0
5							0-20 in FILL - Dark brown silt, some fine sand, brick, coal, glass, plastic		
	10-15	10-15	0.0	Wet	None	32	20-38 in FILL - Yellow brown fine to medium SILTY SAND, tr. clay.		O ₂ = 20.9
							38-45 in FILL - Dense gray silt and fine GRAVEL, trace fine to medium sand, glass		
10	15-20	15-20	0.0	Wet	None	41	0-30 in Black SILT and fine GRAVEL, some fine to medium sand		LEL = 0
							30-32 in Gray CLAY, trace silt and organics (seashells)		
15	15-20	15-20	0.0	Wet	None	41	0-41 in Gray CLAY, trace silt and organics (seashells)		H2S= 0
20							<u>Groundwater:</u>		O ₂ = 20.8
							Initial Sampling SWL= 4.63		
							Sample time at: 1040		CO = 0
							Sample SWL = 4.71		
25							Well installed to 20 ft		
30									
35									
40									
45									
50									

NOTES:

Proportions
And - Equal
Sandy - 31 - 49%
Some - 13 - 30%
Trace - 1 - 12%

IU - Instrument Units
PID calibrated to 100 ppm isobutylene



ONE COMPANY
Many Solutions®

ENGINEERS FIELD BORING LOG

Boring No. **B-2**
SURFACE ELEV _____
DATUM _____
SHEET **1 OF 1**

PROJECT NAME NYCEDC E OU-3 Extension Phase II

BORING LOCATION _____ DATE 3/16/2010 DRILLER NAME / COMPANY A. Babel / ADT
MONITORING INSTRUMENTATION _____ PID, V Rae CGI HDR FIELD INSPECTOR T. Goehring

Depth (ft.)	Sample No.	Sample Depth (ft)	Two Inch Geoprobe Sample				Sample Description (Inches)	Remarks	CGI
			PID (IU*)	Moisture	Odor	Recovery (inches)			
0									
		0-5	0.0	Dry	None	43	0-6 in TOPSOIL 6-43 in FILL - Dark brown silt, some coarse to medium sand, brick, glass, styrofoam, wood		LEL = 0 H2S= 0 O ₂ = 20.9 CO = 0
5		5-10	0.0	Moist	Light	55	0-55 in FILL - Dark brown silt, some coarse to medium sand, trace fine gravel, some brick, wood, coal	Burnt odor	LEL = 0 H2S= 0 O ₂ = 20.9 CO = 0
10		10-15	0.0	Wet	None	41	0-41 in Black SILT and fine GRAVEL, some fine to medium sand, trace clay		LEL = 0 H2S= 0 O ₂ = 20.9 CO = 0
15		15-20	0.0	Wet	None	36	0-36 in Gray CLAY, trace silt and organics (seashells)		LEL = 0 H2S= 0 O ₂ = 20.9 CO = 0
20									
25									
30									
35									
40									
45									
50									

NOTES:

Proportions
And - Equal
Sandy - 31 - 49%
Some - 13 - 30%
Trace - 1 - 12%

IU - Instrument Units
PID calibrated to 100 ppm isobutylene



ONE COMPANY
Many Solutions®

ENGINEERS FIELD BORING LOG

Boring No. **B-3**

SURFACE ELEV _____

DATUM _____

SHEET **1** OF **1**

PROJECT NAME NYCEDC E OU-3 Extension Phase II

BORING LOCATION _____ DATE 3/16/2010 DRILLER NAME / COMPANY A. Babel / ADT

MONITORING INSTRUMENTATION _____ PID, V Rae CGI HDR FIELD INSPECTOR T. Goehring

Depth (ft.)	Sample No.	Sample Depth (ft)	Two Inch Geoprobe Sample				Sample Description (Inches)	Remarks	CGI
			PID (IU*)	Moisture	Odor	Recovery (inches)			
0	B-3 4-5 ft B-3 5 ft	0-5	2.6	Moist	Light	44	0-6 in TOPSOIL 6-44 in FILL - Black silt, some wood, brick, ash, coal, trace fine to coarse sand and clay	Sample collected at 4-5 ft for SVOC, PCB, TPH, 5 ft for Metals, Hg, Cyanide, VOC	LEL = 0 H2S= 0 O ₂ = 20.8 CO = 0
5		5-10	0.0	Wet at 5 ft	Light	53	0-53 in FILL - Black silt, some wood, brick, ash, coal, trace fine to coarse sand and clay		LEL = 0 H2S= 0 O ₂ = 20.8 CO = 0
10		10-15	0.0	Wet	Light	38	0-30 in Dark gray to black SILT and fine GRAVEL, some medium to coarse sand 30-38 Gray CLAY, trace silt and organics (seashells)		LEL = 0 H2S= 0 O ₂ = 20.9 CO = 0
15		15-20	0.0	Wet	None	30	0-30 in Gray clay, trace silt and organics (seashells)		LEL = 0 H2S= 0 O ₂ = 20.9 CO = 0
20									
25									
30									
35									
40									
45									
50									

NOTES:

Proportions
And - Equal
Sandy - 31 - 49%
Some - 13 - 30%
Trace - 1 - 12%

IU - Instrument Units
PID calibrated to 100 ppm isobutylene



ONE COMPANY
Many Solutions®

ENGINEERS FIELD BORING LOG

Boring No. **B-4**
SURFACE ELEV _____
DATUM _____
SHEET **1 OF 1**

PROJECT NAME NYCEDC E OU-3 Extension Phase II

BORING LOCATION _____ DATE 3/17/2010 DRILLER NAME / COMPANY A. Babel / ADT
MONITORING INSTRUMENTATION PID, V Rae CGI HDR FIELD INSPECTOR T. Goehring

Depth (ft.)	Sample No.	Sample Depth (ft)	Two Inch Geoprobe Sample				Sample Description (Inches)	Remarks	CGI
			PID (IU*)	Moisture	Odor	Recovery (inches)			
0									
		0-5	0.0	Moist	Light	36	0-16 in CONCRETE 16-19 in FILL - Brown medium to coarse sand, some silt 19-36 FILL - Black silt, trace fine sand, brick, ash, gravel	Burnt odor	LEL = 0 H2S= 0 O ₂ = 20.9 CO = 0
5		5-10	0.0	Wet at 8 ft	Light	14	0-14 in FILL - Black clayey silt, some brick, ash, concrete, trace fine gravel		LEL = 0 H2S= 0 O ₂ = 20.9 CO = 0
10		10-15	0.0	Wet	Light	10	0-10 in FILL - Black clayey silt, some brick, ash, fine gravel, concrete		LEL = 0 H2S= 0 O ₂ = 20.9 CO = 0
15		15-20	0.0	Wet	Light	21	0-21 in Gray CLAY, trace fine sand and organics (seashells)		LEL = 0 H2S= 0 O ₂ = 20.9 CO = 0
20									
25									
30									
35									
40									
45									
50									

NOTES:

Proportions
And - Equal
Sandy - 31 - 49%
Some - 13 - 30%
Trace - 1 - 12%

IU - Instrument Units
PID calibrated to 100 ppm isobutylene



ONE COMPANY
Many Solutions®

ENGINEERS FIELD BORING LOG

Boring No. **B-5**
SURFACE ELEV _____
DATUM _____
SHEET **1** OF **1**

PROJECT NAME NYCEDC E OU-3 Extension Phase II

BORING LOCATION _____ DATE **3/17/2010** DRILLER NAME / COMPANY **A. Babel / ADT**
MONITORING INSTRUMENTATION _____ PID, V Rae CGI HDR FIELD INSPECTOR **T. Goehring**

Depth (ft.)	Sample No.	Sample Depth (ft)	Two Inch Geoprobe Sample				Sample Description (Inches)	Remarks	CGI
			PID (IU*)	Moisture	Odor	Recovery (inches)			
0		0-5	0.0	Moist	Light	60	0-36 in CONCRETE 0-48 in FILL - Light brown fine to coarse sand, some silt, 48-60 in FILL - Dark brown to black silt, some wood, ash, coal fragments		LEL = 0 H2S= 0 O ₂ = 20.9 CO = 0
5	B-5 7-8 ft B-5 8 ft	5-10	14.6	Wet at 8 ft	Light	52	0-52 in FILL - Dark brown to black silt, some wood, ash, coal fragments, trace fine gravel	Sample collected at 7.8 ft for SVOC, PCB, TPH, 8 ft for Metals, Hg, Cyanide, VOC	LEL = 0 H2S= 0 O ₂ = 20.9 CO = 0
10		10-15	2.1	Wet	Light	33	0-28 in Light brown medium to coarse SAND, little fine gravel, trace clay 28-33 in Black medium to coarse SAND and fine GRAVEL, some silt		LEL = 0 H2S= 0 O ₂ = 20.9 CO = 0
15		15-20	0.0	Wet	None	14	0-14 in Gray CLAY, trace fine sand and organics (seashells)		LEL = 0 H2S= 0 O ₂ = 20.9 CO = 0
20							<u>Groundwater:</u> Initial Sampling SWL= 4.89 Sample time at: Sample SWL = 4.86	Note: Water sample was turbid	
25									
30									
35									
40									
45									
50									

NOTES:

Proportions
And - Equal
Sandy - 31 - 49%
Some - 13 - 30%
Trace - 1 - 12%

IU - Instrument Units
PID calibrated to 100 ppm isobutylene



ONE COMPANY
Many Solutions®

ENGINEERS FIELD BORING LOG

Boring No. **B-6**
SURFACE ELEV _____
DATUM _____
SHEET **1** OF **1**

PROJECT NAME NYCEDC E OU-3 Extension Phase II

BORING LOCATION _____ DATE 3/16/2010 DRILLER NAME / COMPANY _____
MONITORING INSTRUMENTATION _____ PID, V Rae CGI HDR FIELD INSPECTOR _____

A. Babel / ADT
T. Goehring

Depth (ft.)	Sample No.	Sample Depth (ft)	Two Inch Geoprobe Sample				Sample Description (Inches)	Remarks	CGI
			PID (IU*)	Moisture	Odor	Recovery (inches)			
0									
		0-5	0.1	Dry	Light	38	0-6 in TOPSOIL 6-10 in FILL - Light brown medium to coarse sand, some silt 10-38 in FILL - Black silt and fine GRAVEL, some medium to coarse sand, ash, brick		LEL = 0 H2S= 0 O ₂ = 20.9 CO = 0
5									
		5-10	0.0	Wet at 6 ft	Light	50	0-38 in FILL - Black silt and fine gravel, some medium to coarse sand, ash, brick, wood, coal 38-50 in Gray CLAY, trace fine sand and organics (seashells)		LEL = 0 H2S= 0 O ₂ = 20.9 CO = 0
10									
		10-15	0.0	Wet	Light	49	0-16 in Gray CLAY, trace fine sand and organics (seashells) 16-49 in Black SILT and fine GRAVEL, trace fine sand and clay		LEL = 0 H2S= 0 O ₂ = 20.9 CO = 0
15									
		15-20	0.0	Wet	Light	48	0-48 in Gray CLAY, trace fine sand and organics (seashells)		LEL = 0 H2S= 0 O ₂ = 20.9 CO = 0
20									
25									
30									
35									
40									
45									
50									

NOTES:

Proportions
And - Equal
Sandy - 31 - 49%
Some - 13 - 30%
Trace - 1 - 12%

IU - Instrument Units
PID calibrated to 100 ppm isobutylene



ONE COMPANY
Many Solutions®

ENGINEERS FIELD BORING LOG

Boring No. **B-7**
SURFACE ELEV _____
DATUM _____
SHEET **1** OF **1**

PROJECT NAME NYCEDC E OU-3 Extension Phase II

BORING LOCATION _____ DATE **3/16/2010** DRILLER NAME / COMPANY **A. Babel / ADT**
MONITORING INSTRUMENTATION _____ PID, V Rae CGI HDR FIELD INSPECTOR **T. Goehring**

Depth (ft.)	Sample No.	Sample Depth (ft)	Two Inch Geoprobe Sample				Sample Description (Inches)	Remarks	CGI
			PID (IU*)	Moisture	Odor	Recovery (inches)			
0							0-6 in TOPSOIL		LEL = 0
		0-5	0.6	Moist	Light	46	6-46 in FILL - Brown grading to gray silt, some fine to coarse sand, brick, ash, wood, glass		H2S= 0
5									O ₂ = 20.9
									CO = 0
	B-7 5-6 ft	5-10	1.4	Wet at 7 ft	Light	33	0-33 in FILL - Gray to black silt, some fine to coarse sand, brick, ash, trace wood and glass	Sample collected at 5-6 ft for SVOC, PCB, TPH, 5 ft for Metals, Hg, Cyanide, VOC	LEL = 0
	B-7 5 ft								H2S= 0
									O ₂ = 20.9
									CO = 0
10							0-26 in FILL - Black silt and fine gravel, trace medium to coarse sand		LEL = 0
		10-15	0.4	Wet	Light	36	26-30 in FILL - concrete		H2S= 0
							0-36 in Black SILT and fine GRAVEL, trace medium to coarse sand		O ₂ = 20.9
15							0-18 in Black SILT and fine GRAVEL, trace medium to coarse sand		CO = 0
		15-20	0.0	Wet	None	49	18-49 in Gray CLAY, trace silt and organics (seashells)		LEL = 0
									H2S= 0
									O ₂ = 20.9
									CO = 0
20									
25									
30									
35									
40									
45									
50									

NOTES:

Proportions
And - Equal
Sandy - 31 - 49%
Some - 13 - 30%
Trace - 1 - 12%

IU - Instrument Units
PID calibrated to 100 ppm isobutylene



Boring No. **B-8**

SURFACE ELEV _____

DATUM _____

SHEET 1 OF 1

PROJECT NAME NYCEDC E OU-3 Extension Phase II

BORING LOCATION _____	DATE <u>3/19/2010</u>	DRILLER NAME / COMPANY
MONITORING INSTRUMENTATION	PID, V Rae CGI	HDR FIELD INSPECTOR

A. Babel / ADT

T. Goehring

[illegible]

NOTES:

Proportions

Proportions
And - Equal
Sandy - 31 - 49%
Some - 13 - 30%
Trace - 1 - 12%

IU - Instrument Units
PID calibrated to 100 ppm isobutylene



ONE COMPANY
Many Solutions®

ENGINEERS FIELD BORING LOG

Boring No.	B-9
SURFACE ELEV	_____
DATUM	_____
SHEET	1 OF 1

PROJECT NAME NYCEDC E OU-3 Extension Phase II

BORING LOCATION _____	DATE <u>3/19/2010</u>	DRILLER NAME / COMPANY <u>A. Babel / ADT</u>
MONITORING INSTRUMENTATION _____	PID, V Rae CGI _____	HDR FIELD INSPECTOR <u>T. Goehring</u>

Depth (ft.)	Sample No.	Sample Depth (ft)	Two Inch Geoprobe Sample				Sample Description (Inches)	Remarks	CGI
			PID (IU*)	Moisture	Odor	Recovery (inches)			
0							0-6 in ASPHALT		LEL = 0
							6-11 in CONCRETE		H2S= 0
		0-5	1.2	Moist	Light	29	11-29 in FILL - Black silt and fine gravel, some medium to coarse sand, wood, brick, ash		O ₂ = 20.9
5									CO = 0
	B-9 8-9 ft	5-10	2.9	Wet at 10 ft	Light	36	0-36 in FILL - Black silt and fine gravel, some fine to medium sand, trace brick, ash, coal	Sample collected at 8-9 ft for SVOC, PCB, TPH, 9 ft for Metals, Hg, Cyanide, VOC	LEL = 0
	B-9 9 ft								H2S= 0
10									O ₂ = 20.9
		10-15	0.0	Wet	None	49	0-36 in FILL - Black silt and f gravel, some fine to med sand, trace brick, ash, coal		CO = 0
							36-42 in FILL - Crushed schist cobble		
							42-49 in FILL - Gray silt and fine sand, trace wood and fine gravel		
15									
		15-20	0.0	Wet	None	60	0-60 in gray CLAY, trace fine sand and organics (seashells)		LEL = 0
									H2S= 0
									O ₂ = 20.9
20									CO = 0
25									
30									
35									
40									
45									
50									

NOTES:

Proportions
And - Equal
Sandy - 31 - 49%
Some - 13 - 30%
Trace - 1 - 12%

IU - Instrument Units
PID calibrated to 100 ppm isobutylene



ONE COMPANY
Many Solutions®

ENGINEERS FIELD BORING LOG

Boring No.	B-10
SURFACE ELEV	_____
DATUM	_____
SHEET	1 OF 1

PROJECT NAME NYCEDC E OU-3 Extension Phase II

BORING LOCATION _____	DATE <u>3/19/2010</u>	DRILLER NAME / COMPANY <u>A. Babel / ADT</u>
MONITORING INSTRUMENTATION _____	PID, V Rae CGI _____	HDR FIELD INSPECTOR <u>T. Goehring</u>

Depth (ft.)	Sample No.	Sample Depth (ft)	Two Inch Geoprobe Sample				Sample Description (Inches)	Remarks	CGI
			PID (IU*)	Moisture	Odor	Recovery (inches)			
0									
		0-5	0.0	Moist	None	48	0-6 in ASPHALT 6-11 in CONCRETE 11-48 in FILL - Dark brown silt, some fine gravel, medium to coarse sand, trace brick, ash		LEL = 0 H2S= 0 O ₂ = 20.9 CO = 0
5		5-10	0.0	Wet at 10 ft	Organic Odor	36	0-26 in FILL - Yellow brown fine to med sandy silt, some clay, trace fine gravel 26-31 in Light gray SILT 31-36 in Gray fine to medium GRAVEL, some silt	Marsh-like odor	LEL = 0 H2S= 0 O ₂ = 20.9 CO = 0
10		10-15	0.0	Wet	None	29	0-29 in Gray fine GRAVEL, some silt, trace fine to coarse sand		LEL = 0 H2S= 0 O ₂ = 20.9 CO = 0
15		15-20	0.0	Wet	None	3	Gray CLAY, trace fine sand and organics (seashells)		LEL = 0 H2S= 0 O ₂ = 20.9 CO = 0
20									
25									
30									
35									
40									
45									
50									

NOTES:

Proportions
And - Equal
Sandy - 31 - 49%
Some - 13 - 30%
Trace - 1 - 12%

IU - Instrument Units
PID calibrated to 100 ppm isobutylene

ENGINEERS FIELD BORING LOG

Boring No. **B-11 & B11A**
 SURFACE ELEV _____
 DATUM _____
 SHEET **1** OF **1**

PROJECT NAME NYCEDC E OU-3 Extension Phase II

BORING LOCATION _____ DATE 3/19/2010 DRILLER NAME / COMPANY _____
 MONITORING INSTRUMENTATION _____ PID, V Rae CGI HDR FIELD INSPECTOR _____
 A. Babel / ADT
 T. Goehring

Depth (ft.)	Sample No.	Sample Depth (ft)	Two Inch Geoprobe Sample				Sample Description (Inches)	Remarks	CGI
			PID (IU*)	Moisture	Odor	Recovery (inches)			
0							0-6 in ASPHALT 6-11 in CONCRETE 11-41 in FILL - Black silt and fine to medium sand, trace fine gravel and brick		LEL = 0 H2S= 0 O ₂ = 20.9 CO = 0
5	0-5	0.0	0.0	Moist	Light	41			
							0-21 in FILL - Black silt, some fine to medium sand, trace fine gravel	Coal tar seen on sampler at 7 ft at refusal, no soil recovered, moved boring 5 ft to avoid obstruction	LEL = 0 H2S= 0 O ₂ = 20.9 CO = 0
10	5-10	0.0	0.0	Moist	Light	21	At 7ft moved boring to B-11A Location and continued logging		
							0-34 in FILL - Black silt, some fine to medium sand, trace fine gravel		LEL = 0 H2S= 0 O ₂ = 20.9 CO = 0
15	10-15	0.0	0.0	Wet	None	34			
							0-39 in Gray/mottled brown CLAY, trace fine sand and silt, plant rootlets		LEL = 0 H2S= 0 O ₂ = 20.9 CO = 0
20	15-20	0.0	0.0	Wet	None	39			
							<u>Groundwater:</u> Initial Sampling SWL= 7.53 Sample time at: 1000 Sample SWL = 7.46	Water was turbid during sampling	
25									
30									
35									
40									
45									
50									

NOTES:

Proportions
 And - Equal
 Sandy - 31 - 49%
 Some - 13 - 30%
 Trace - 1 - 12%

IU - Instrument Units
 PID calibrated to 100 ppm isobutylene



ONE COMPANY
Many Solutions®

ENGINEERS FIELD BORING LOG

Boring No. **B-12**

SURFACE ELEV _____

DATUM _____

SHEET **1** OF **1**

PROJECT NAME NYCEDC E OU-3 Extension Phase II

BORING LOCATION _____

DATE **3/19/2010**

DRILLER NAME / COMPANY _____

A. Babel / ADT

MONITORING INSTRUMENTATION _____

PID, V Rae CGI

HDR FIELD INSPECTOR _____

T. Goehring

Depth (ft.)	Sample No.	Sample Depth (ft)	Two Inch Geoprobe Sample				Sample Description (Inches)	Remarks	CGI
			PID (IU*)	Moisture	Odor	Recovery (inches)			
0							0-6 in ASPHALT		LEL = 0
							6-11 in CONCRETE		H2S= 0
		0-5	0.4	Moist	Light	34	11-34 in FILL - Dark gray silt, some fine to coarse sand, trace fine gravel and gray clay		O ₂ = 20.9
5									CO = 0
	B-12 8-9 ft	5-10	2.6	Mosit	Light	36	0-36 in FILL - Dark gray silt, some fine to coarse sand, trace fine gravel and gray clay	Sample collected at 8-9 ft for SVOC, PCB, TPH, 9 ft for Metals, Hg, Cyanide, VOC	LEL = 0
	B-12 9 ft								H2S= 0
10									O ₂ = 20.9
		10-15	0.0	Wet	Light	39	0-10 in Dark gray SILT and fine GRAVEL, trace medium to coarse sand	Organic odor (marsh-like)	CO = 0
							10-39 in Gray CLAY, trace fine sand and silt		
15									LEL = 0
		15-20	0.0	Wet	Light	55	0-55 in Gray CLAY, trace fine sand and silt	Organic odor (marsh-like)	H2S= 0
									O ₂ = 20.9
20									CO = 0
25									
30									
35									
40									
45									
50									

NOTES:

Proportions
And - Equal
Sandy - 31 - 49%
Some - 13 - 30%
Trace - 1 - 12%

IU - Instrument Units
PID calibrated to 100 ppm isobutylene

ENGINEERS FIELD BORING LOG

Boring No. B-13	
SURFACE ELEV _____	
DATUM _____	
SHEET 1 OF 1	

PROJECT NAME NYCEDC E OU-3 Extension Phase II

BORING LOCATION _____	DATE <u>3/18/2010</u>	DRILLER NAME / COMPANY <u>A. Babel / ADT</u>
MONITORING INSTRUMENTATION _____	PID, V Rae CGI	HDR FIELD INSPECTOR <u>T. Goehring</u>

Depth (ft.)	Sample No.	Sample Depth (ft)	Two Inch Geoprobe Sample				Sample Description (Inches)	Remarks	CGI
			PID (IU*)	Moisture	Odor	Recovery (inches)			
0		0-5	0.0	Dry	Light	33	0-6 in ASPHALT 6-11 in CONCRETE 10-33 in FILL - Brown silt, some fine gravel, trace fine to coarse sand		LEL = 0 H2S= 0 O ₂ = 20.9 CO = 0
5	B-13 8-9 ft B-13 9 ft	5-10	1.7	Moist	light	46	0-46 in FILL - Brown silt, some fine gravel, trace fine to coarse sand	Sample collected at 8-9 ft for SVOC, PCB, TPH, 9 ft for Metals, Hg, Cyanide, VOC Wet at 10 ft	LEL = 0 H2S= 0 O ₂ = 20.9 CO = 0
10		10-15	0.0	Wet	Light	58	0-43 in Gray CLAYEY SILT and fine GRAVEL, trace fine to medium sand 43-28 in Gray CLAY and SILT, some organics (rootlets and marsh mat)		LEL = 0 H2S= 0 O ₂ = 20.9 CO = 0
15		15-20	0.0	Wet	Light	60	0-60 in Gray CLAY, trace fine sand and silt, organics (plant material)	Organic odor (marshlike)	LEL = 0 H2S= 0 O ₂ = 20.9 CO = 0
20							<u>Groundwater:</u> Initial Sampling SWL= 4.46 Sample time at: 1330 Sample SWL = 13.61	Well set to 14 ft Water was slightly turbid	
25									
30									
35									
40									
45									
50									

NOTES:

Proportions
And - Equal
Sandy - 31 - 49%
Some - 13 - 30%
Trace - 1 - 12%

IU - Instrument Units
PID calibrated to 100 ppm isobutylene



ONE COMPANY
Many Solutions®

ENGINEERS FIELD BORING LOG

Boring No.	B-14
SURFACE ELEV	_____
DATUM	_____
SHEET	1 OF 1

PROJECT NAME NYCEDC E OU-3 Extension Phase II

BORING LOCATION _____	DATE <u>3/18/2010</u>	DRILLER NAME / COMPANY <u>A. Babel / ADT</u>
MONITORING INSTRUMENTATION _____	PID, V Rae CGI _____	HDR FIELD INSPECTOR <u>T. Goehring</u>

Depth (ft.)	Sample No.	Sample Depth (ft)	Two Inch Geoprobe Sample				Sample Description (Inches)	Remarks	CGI
			PID (IU*)	Moisture	Odor	Recovery (inches)			
0									
		0-5	0.0	Dry	None	36	0-6 in ASPHALT 6-18 in CONCRETE 18-36 in FILL - Dark brown silt and fine to coarse sand, trace fine gravel and clay		LEL = 0 H2S= 0 O ₂ = 20.9 CO = 0
5		5-10	0.0	Moist	None	40	0-29 in FILL - Yellow brown fine to medium silty sand, trace fine gravel, micaceous 29-36 in FILL - Crushed schist cobble 36-40 in FILL - Crushed gniess cobble	Wet at 10 ft	LEL = 0 H2S= 0 O ₂ = 20.9 CO = 0
10		10-15	0.0	Wet	None	23	0-12 in FILL - Yellow brown fine to medium silty sand, trace fine gravel, micaceous 12-14 in Gray CLAYEY SILT 14-23 in Gray fine GRAVEL and coarse SAND, some silt, trace fine sand		LEL = 0 H2S= 0 O ₂ = 20.9 CO = 0
15		15-20	0.0	Wet	None	19	0-16 in Fine GRAVEL, trace silt 16-18 in Gray CLAY, trace fine sand and silt		LEL = 0 H2S= 0 O ₂ = 20.9 CO = 0
20									
25									
30									
35									
40									
45									
50									

NOTES:

Proportions
 And - Equal
 Sandy - 31 - 49%
 Some - 13 - 30%
 Trace - 1 - 12%

IU - Instrument Units
 PID calibrated to 100 ppm isobutylene

ENGINEERS FIELD BORING LOG

Boring No.	B-15
SURFACE ELEV	_____
DATUM	_____
SHEET	1 OF 1

PROJECT NAME NYCEDC E OU-3 Extension Phase II

BORING LOCATION _____	DATE <u>3/17/2010</u>	DRILLER NAME / COMPANY <u>A. Babel / ADT</u>
MONITORING INSTRUMENTATION _____	PID, V Rae CGI _____	HDR FIELD INSPECTOR <u>T. Goehring</u>

Depth (ft.)	Sample No.	Sample Depth (ft)	Two Inch Geoprobe Sample				Sample Description (Inches)	Remarks	CGI
			PID (IU*)	Moisture	Odor	Recovery (inches)			
0									
		0-5	0.0	Dry	None	40	0-6 in ASPHALT 6-30 in FILL - Brick, concrete, wood, silt, trace coal 30-40 in FILL - Light brown medium to coarse sand, trace fine sand and silt		LEL = 0 H2S= 0 O ₂ = 20.9 CO = 0
5	B-15 9-10 ft B-13 10 ft	5-10	0.0	Moist	None	18	0-18 in FILL - Light brown medium to coarse sand, trace coal and coal dust	Sample collected at 9-10 ft for SVOC, PCB, TPH, 10 ft for Metals, Hg, Cyanide, VOC Wet at 10 ft	LEL = 0 H2S= 0 O ₂ = 20.9 CO = 0
10		10-15	0.0	Wet	None	10	0-8 in FILL - Light brown medium to coarse sand, trace coal and coal dust 8-10 in Gray medium to coarse SAND, some fine gravel and fine sand and silt		LEL = 0 H2S= 0 O ₂ = 20.9 CO = 0
15		15-20	0.0	Wet	None	51	0-51 in Gray CLAY, trace fine sand, some organics (plant matter)		LEL = 0 H2S= 0 O ₂ = 20.9 CO = 0
20									
25									
30									
35									
40									
45									
50									

NOTES:

Proportions
And - Equal
Sandy - 31 - 49%
Some - 13 - 30%
Trace - 1 - 12%

IU - Instrument Units
PID calibrated to 100 ppm isobutylene

ENGINEERS FIELD BORING LOG

Boring No.	B-16
SURFACE ELEV	_____
DATUM	_____
SHEET	1 OF 1

PROJECT NAME NYCEDC E OU-3 Extension Phase II

BORING LOCATION _____	DATE <u>3/18/2010</u>	DRILLER NAME / COMPANY <u>A. Babel / ADT</u>
MONITORING INSTRUMENTATION _____	PID, V Rae CGI _____	HDR FIELD INSPECTOR <u>T. Goehring</u>

Depth (ft.)	Sample No.	Sample Depth (ft)	Two Inch Geoprobe Sample				Sample Description (Inches)	Remarks	CGI
			PID (IU*)	Moisture	Odor	Recovery (inches)			
0									
		0-5	1.5	Dry	Light	14	0-6 in ASPHALT 6-14 in FILL - Black silt, some brick, concrete, wood, ash, trace fine sand		LEL = 0 H2S= 0 O ₂ = 20.9 CO = 0
5									
	B-16 8-9 ft B-16 9 ft	5-10	4.6	Moist	Light	23	0-23 in FILL - Black silt, some brick, concrete, wood, ash, trace fine sand	Sample collected at 8-9 ft for SVOC, PCB, TPH, 9 ft for Metals, Hg, Cyanide, VOC Wet at 10 ft	LEL = 0 H2S= 0 O ₂ = 20.9 CO = 0
10									
		10-15	0.0	Wet	Light	39	0-39 in Gray grading to mottled black-gray CLAY, trace fine sand, silt and organics (plant matter)	Organic odor (marshlike)	LEL = 0 H2S= 0 O ₂ = 20.9 CO = 0
15									
		15-20	0.0	Wet	Light	16	0-16 in Gray CLAY trace fine sand, silt and organics (plant matter and seashells)	Organic odor (marshlike)	LEL = 0 H2S= 0 O ₂ = 20.9 CO = 0
20									
							Groundwater: Initial Sampling SWL= 9.86 Sample time at: 945 Sample SWL = 9.87	Well installed to 15 ft	
25									
30									
35									
40									
45									
50									

NOTES:

Proportions
 And - Equal
 Sandy - 31 - 49%
 Some - 13 - 30%
 Trace - 1 - 12%

IU - Instrument Units
 PID calibrated to 100 ppm isobutylene

ENGINEERS FIELD BORING LOG

Boring No.	B-17
SURFACE ELEV	_____
DATUM	_____
SHEET	1 OF 1

PROJECT NAME _____ **NYCEDC E OU-3 Extension Phase II**

BORING LOCATION _____	DATE <u>3/17/2010</u>	DRILLER NAME / COMPANY <u>A. Babel / ADT</u>
MONITORING INSTRUMENTATION _____	<u>PID, V Rae CGI</u>	HDR FIELD INSPECTOR <u>T. Goehring</u>

Depth (ft.)	Sample No.	Sample Depth (ft)	Two Inch Geoprobe Sample				Sample Description (Inches)	Remarks	CGI
			PID (IU*)	Moisture	Odor	Recovery (inches)			
0							0-4 in ASPHALT		LEL = 0
		0-5	0.0	Dry	Light	55	4-55 in FILL - concrete, brick, rock fragments, black silt, some fine to coarse sand, trace clay and fine gravel		H2S= 0
5									O ₂ = 20.9
									CO = 0
	B-17 8-9 ft	5-10	1.4	Moist	Slight	49	0-49 in FILL - Dark brown silt, rock, concrete, brick, little fine to coarse sand, trace clay	Sample collected at 8	LEL = 0
	B-17 8 ft							9 ft for SVOC, PCB,	H2S= 0
								TPH, 8 ft for Metals,	O ₂ = 20.9
10								Hg, Cyanide, VOC	CO = 0
								Wet at 10 ft	
		10-15	0.0	Wet	Light	16	0-16 in FILL - Black fine gravel and silt, trace fine sand, some wood	Organic odor (marshlike)	LEL = 0
									H2S= 0
									O ₂ = 20.9
									CO = 0
15									
		15-20	0.0	Wet	None	14	0-14 in Gray CLAY, trace fine sand		LEL = 0
									H2S= 0
									O ₂ = 20.9
									CO = 0
20									
25									
30									
35									
40									
45									
50									

NOTES:

Proportions
And - Equal
 Sandy - 31 - 49%
 Some - 13 - 30%
 Trace - 1 - 12%

IU - Instrument Units
 PID calibrated to 100 ppm isobutylene



ONE COMPANY
Many Solutions®

ENGINEERS FIELD BORING LOG

Boring No. **B-18**

SURFACE ELEV _____

DATUM _____

SHEET **1** OF **1**

PROJECT NAME **NYCEDC E OU-3 Extension Phase II**

BORING LOCATION _____

DATE **3/19/2010**

DRILLER NAME / COMPANY _____

A. Babel / ADT

MONITORING INSTRUMENTATION _____

PID, V Rae CGI

HDR FIELD INSPECTOR _____


T. Goehring

Depth (ft.)	Sample No.	Sample Depth (ft)	Two Inch Geoprobe Sample				Sample Description (Inches)	Remarks	CGI
			PID (IU*)	Moisture	Odor	Recovery (inches)			
0									
		0-5	0.0	Moist	Light	31	0-6 in ASPHALT 6-11 in CONCRETE 11-31 in FILL - Black silt and medium to coarse sand, some brick, ash, clay		LEL = 0 H2S= 0 O ₂ = 20.9 CO = 0
5		5-10	0.0	Moist	Light	42	0-42 in FILL - Black silt and medium to coarse sand, some brick, ash, clay		LEL = 0 H2S= 0 O ₂ = 20.9 CO = 0
10									
15							Boring completed to approximate depth of coal tar seen in B-11		
20									
25									
30									
35									
40									
45									
50									

NOTES:

Proportions
And - Equal
Sandy - 31 - 49%
Some - 13 - 30%
Trace - 1 - 12%

IU - Instrument Units
PID calibrated to 100 ppm isobutylene



Boring No. B-19
 SURFACE ELEV
 DATUM
 SHEET 1 OF 1

PROJECT NAME NYCEDC E OU-3 Extension Phase II

BORING LOCATION	DATE 3/19/2010	DRILLER NAME / COMPANY	A. Babel / ADT
MONITORING INSTRUMENTATION	PID, V Rae CGI	HDR FIELD INSPECTOR	T. Goehring

[illegible]

NOTES:

Proportions
And - Equal
 Sandy - 31 - 49%
 Some - 13 - 30%
 Trace - 1 - 12%

IU - Instrument Units
PID calibrated to 100 ppm isobutylene



ONE COMPANY
Many Solutions®

ENGINEERS FIELD BORING LOG

Boring No. **B-20**

SURFACE ELEV _____

DATUM _____

SHEET **1** OF **1**

PROJECT NAME **NYCEDC E OU-3 Extension Phase II**

BORING LOCATION _____

DATE **3/19/2010**

DRILLER NAME / COMPANY _____

A. Babel / ADT

MONITORING INSTRUMENTATION _____

PID, V Rae CGI

HDR FIELD INSPECTOR _____

T. Goehring

Depth (ft.)	Sample No.	Sample Depth (ft)	Two Inch Geoprobe Sample				Sample Description (Inches)	Remarks	CGI
			PID (IU*)	Moisture	Odor	Recovery (inches)			
0									
		0-5	0.0	Moist	Light	31	0-6 in ASPHALT 6-11 in CONCRETE 11-31 in FILL - cinders, some silt and coarse sand, trace ash		LEL = 0 H2S= 0 O ₂ = 20.9 CO = 0
5		5-10	0.0	Moist	Light	42	0-42 in FILL - Dark brown silt, some cinders, trace fine sand		LEL = 0 H2S= 0 O ₂ = 20.9 CO = 0
10									
15							Boring completed to approximate depth of coal tar seen in B-11		
20									
25									
30									
35									
40									
45									
50									

NOTES:

Proportions
And - Equal
Sandy - 31 - 49%
Some - 13 - 30%
Trace - 1 - 12%

IU - Instrument Units
PID calibrated to 100 ppm isobutylene

APPENDIX D

Test Pit Logs

HDR		Test Pit Log		Crew: TG	
				Sheet	1 of 1
Project Name: E OU-3 Extension				Name: TP1	
Client: NYCEDC				Date: Start 3/15/2010	
Excavator Type: Backhoe				Finish 3/15/2010	
Operator:				Final Depth 10'	
Test Pit Location: Northwest edge of concrete pad				Depth to Water: 4'	
Coordinates:				Surf. Elevation	
Logged By: T Goehring				Hole Diameter:	
Monitoring Instrument: PID, CGI					
Photograph #		Photo #(s)			
Depth (Ft)	Instrument(s) Reading			Description	
	PID	FID	% LEL		
0-1	0		0	0-1 ft Topsoil 1-2 ft Yellow brown coarse to fine SAND, some fine gravel and cobbles and silt, trace clay 2-10 ft Light brown very fine to fine SAND and SILT, trace clay	
1-2'	0		0		
2-10'	0		0		
Additional Notes					
Strong odor noted on soil, no sheen seen on groundwater, no PID readings					

HDR		Test Pit Log		Crew: TG	
				Sheet	1 of 1
Project Name: E OU-3 Extension				Name: TP2	
Client: NYCEDC				Date: Start 3/15/2010	
Excavator Type: Backhoe				Finish 3/15/2010	
Operator:				Final Depth 10'	
Test Pit Location: Southwest east edge of concrete pad				Depth to Water: 4'	
Coordinates:				Surf. Elevation	
Logged By: T Goehring				Hole Diameter:	
Monitoring Instrument: PID, CGI					
Photograph #		Photo #(s)			
Depth (Ft)	Instrument(s) Reading			Description	
	PID	FID	% LEL		
0-1	0		0	0-1 ft Topsoil 1-3.5 ft Yellow brown coarse to fine SAND, some fine gravel and cobbles and silt, trace clay 3.5-10 ft Light brown very fine to fine SAND and SILT, trace clay	
1-3.5'	0		0		
3.5-10'	0		0		
Additional Notes					
Strong odor noted on soil, no sheen seen on groundwater, no PID readings					

HDR		Test Pit Log		Crew: TG	
				Sheet	1 of 1
Project Name: E OU-3 Extension				Name: TP3	
Client: NYCEDC				Date:	Start 3/15/2010
Excavator Type: Backhoe					Finish 3/15/2010
Operator:				Final Depth	10'
Test Pit Location: Southeast east edge of concrete pad				Depth to Water:	4'
Coordinates:				Surf. Elevation	
Logged By: T Goehring				Hole Diameter:	
Monitoring Instrument: PID, CGI					
Photograph #		Photo #(s)			
Depth (Ft)	Instrument(s) Reading			Description	
	PID	FID	% LEL		
0-1	0		0	0-1 ft Topsoil 1-2.5 ft Yellow brown coarse to fine SAND, some fine gravel and cobbles and silt, trace clay 2-10 ft Light brown very fine to fine SAND and SILT, trace clay	
1-2.5'	0		0		
2.5-10'	0		0		
Additional Notes					
Strong odor noted on soil, no sheen seen on groundwater, no PID readings					

HDR		Test Pit Log		Crew: TG	
				Sheet	1
Project Name: E OU-3 Extension				Name: TP4	
Client: NYCEDC				Date: Start 3/15/2010	
Excavator Type: Backhoe				Finish 3/15/2010	
Operator:				Final Depth 10'	
Test Pit Location: Northeast east edge of concrete pad				Depth to Water: 4'	
Coordinates:				Surf. Elevation	
Logged By: T Goehring				Hole Diameter:	
Monitoring Instrument: PID, CGI					
Photograph #		Photo #(s)			
Depth (Ft)	Instrument(s) Reading			Description	
	PID	FID	% LEL		
0-2	0		0	0-2 ft Debris 2-3.5 ft Yellow brown coarse to fine SAND, some fine gravel and cobbles and silt, trace clay 3.5-10 ft Light brown very fine to fine SAND and SILT, trace clay	
2-3.5'	0		0		
3.5-10'	0		0		
Additional Notes					
Strong odor noted on soil, no sheen seen on groundwater, no PID readings					