## REPORT PRELIMINARY GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATION

## 111 Willow Avenue Bronx, New York

Prepared for:

JCAL Development Group LLC, 55 Bruckner Boulevard Bronx, New York 10454

Prepared By:

Pillori Associates 333 Meadowlands Pkwy, Suite 102 Secaucus, New Jersey 07094

November 2017



November 17, 2017 Via Email: josh@jcaldevelopment.com

JCAL Development Group LLC 55 Bruckner Boulevard Bronx, New York 10454

Attn: Mr. Joshua Weissman

Re: Preliminary Geotechnical Engineering Investigation Report 111 Willow Avenue Bronx, New York

Gentlemen:

Presented herein is the preliminary geotechnical engineering report for the referenced project. We are confident that the subsurface information and engineering recommendations contained herein will meet the needs of the project. Thank you for the opportunity to be of service. Please call if you have any questions or if we can be of further assistance.

Sincerely,

Pillori Associates, PA



### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

INTRODUCTION	1
PROJECT DESCRIPTION	1
GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATION	1
STRATIGRAPHY	2
GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEERING EVALUATION	3
ENGINEERING RECOMMENDATIONS	4
DRVEN PILES	4
DRILLED CAISSONS	5
UPLIFT AND LATERAL LOAD CAPACITY	5
GROUND FLOOR SLABS	6
SEISMIC EVALUATION AND CRITERIA	6
EXCAVATION	7
UNDERPINNING	7
EXTERIOR FILL AND BACKFILL	7
DEMOLITION OF REMNANT FOUNDATIONS	7
PROTECTION OF ADJACENT STRUCTURE	8
CLOSURE	8

### LIST OF ATTACHMENTS

Boring Location Plan	Drawing No. 1
Boring Logs	B-1 through B-6
Soil/Rock Profiles – 1 & 2	Drawing Nos. 2 & 3
Soil Classification Chart	

#### **Introduction**

Presented herein are the results of the preliminary geotechnical investigation conducted for the proposed development at 111 Willow Avenue in Bronx, New York. The investigation was performed in accordance with our proposal dated March 20, 2017. The purpose of the investigation was to identify the subsurface soil conditions in order to determine the relative soil parameters for the design and construction of new foundations for the proposed 8-story building.

#### **Project Description**

The project site is located on west side of Willow Avenue and extends from East 133<sup>rd</sup> Street to East 134<sup>th</sup> Street as shown in Figure 1. The project site approximately measures 20,660 square feet (0.474 acres) in plan dimensions. Currently, the southern half of the site supports two brick buildings (3-story and 1-story) while the northern half supports an asphalt parking lot. A 2-story brick building (#759 East 133<sup>rd</sup> Street) and 1-story building (#740 East 134<sup>th</sup> Street) border the site to the west.

Figure 1 Site Vicinity Map

existing buildings on the site and construction of a new 8-story building. The footprint area of the proposed building and the depth of the cellar(s) were not defined at the time of this investigation.

#### **Geotechnical Investigation**

The proposed development

entails demolition of the

The preliminary geotechnical investigation consisted of drilling six exploratory soil borings (B-1 through B-6). Warren George, Inc. drilled the borings between the dates of October 30 and November 7, 2017, using a truck mounted drill rig within the vacant space. Two borings B-2 and B-6 were terminated within the decomposed rock, and the remaining borings, B-1, B-3, B-4W and B-5 were advanced 5 feet into competent bedrock, at completion depths ranging from 28'-6" to 85'-2" beneath the existing grade. In the borings, Standard Penetration Tests (SPTs) were performed within the overburden soil at regular 5-foot intervals in accordance with procedures specified in ASTM D1586. The underlying

bedrock was core drilled using a double tube core barrel in accordance with ASTM D2113. A groundwater observation well consisting of 1-1/4" PVC pipe and well screen was installed in completed boring B-4W.

Full-time special inspection was provided by Pillori Associates to locate the explorations in the field, direct drilling, sampling, and maintain continuous logs of the explorations. Soil/rock types observed in the borings were visually classified according to the Unified Soil Classification System (USCS) and the New York City Building Code (NYCBC). The special inspection was performed in accordance with Sections 1704.7, 1704.8 and 1704.9 of the NYCBC.

At the conclusion of the exploration program, the soil and rock samples were delivered to our soil laboratory for re-examination and further classification. The individual sample classifications were combined according to soil group and geologic origin, and their descriptions were recorded on finalized logs of the borings. The finalized logs of the borings are attached to this report along with a Boring Location Plan (Drawing No. 1), Subsurface Soil Sections A-A and B-B (Drawing Nos. 2 and 3), and a Unified Soils Classification System chart.

#### **Stratigraphy**

In general, the subsoil conditions consisted of a surface layer of miscellaneous fill material overlying soft estuarine deposits, followed by glacial soils. Beneath the glacial soils, decomposed bedrock was found overlying competent bedrock. Detailed descriptions of the subsurface stratigraphy encountered in the borings are presented on the individual boring logs attached to this report, and generalized descriptions are presented below in order of increasing depth.

*Fill (F):* A surface layer of miscellaneous fill was encountered in all the explorations immediately beneath the ground surface. The fill was a heterogeneous mixture of sand, silt and gravel with miscellaneous debris, brick and asphalt fragments. The fill layer was approximately 8 to 13 feet deep, and was loose to medium compact in terms of relative density. The fill was classified as nominally unsatisfactory bearing material, Class 7, in accordance with the NYCBC.

*Estuarine River Deposit (E<sub>s</sub>):* A discontinuous tidal marsh deposit (silt, clay and peat) approximately 6 to 15 feet thick, extending in depth from 16 to 23 feet below the existing grade was encountered in the borings B-1, B-3, B-4W and B-5. The marsh deposit once formed the ground surface, flanking a small stream that fed into the East River. Prior to the existing development, fill was placed to reclaim the land, forming the current ground surface. The marsh deposit was nominally unsatisfactory bearing material MH, Class 6 material, in accordance with USCS and NYCBC, respectively.

**Glacial Alluvium (G<sub>A</sub>):** A stratified deposit of glacial alluvium was encountered beneath the estuarine river deposits and glacial lake deposits in all the borings. The deposit consisted of both well-graded and poorly graded sand, and contained varying percentages of silt and gravel. The thickness of the deposit ranged from 3.5 to 25 feet, varying in depth

from 20.5 to 43 feet below the existing grade. The sand deposits were loose to medium compact to compact in terms of relative density and was classified as SM and SW, Classes 3a, 3b and 6 material, in accordance with the USCS and NYCBC, respectively.

**Decomposed Rock/Residual Soil (DR/R<sub>S</sub>):** Decomposed bedrock and/or residual soil derived from the complete weathering of the underlying parent bedrock were encountered beneath the glacial soils. The residual soil material consisted of sand, silt and decomposed rock fragments and was found overlying the decomposed bedrock. The decomposed rock maintained the fabric and structure of the parent bedrock but was typically weak and could be broken with moderate hand pressure. The residual soil was classified as GP and GW, Class 2a material, and the decomposed rock was classified as Class 1d material, in accordance with the USCS and NYCBC, respectively.

*Inwood Marble/Gneiss Bedrock (R):* Inwood Marble and Gneiss bedrock was encountered beneath the decomposed rock at depths ranging from 30 to 45 feet in borings B-1, B-3, B-4W and B-5, but was not encountered in borings B-2 and B-6. Boring B-6 was advanced to a depth of 85 feet, but was unable to penetrate the decomposed rock layer. Deep zones of decomposed rock are typical for inter-bedded rock formations with steep folds as was encountered on the site. The core samples of the competent rock possessed recovery values (REC) ranging from 40% to 100% and rock quality designation values (RQD) ranging from 15% to 83% as well. The core samples were classified as Medium Hard Rock, Intermediate Hard Rock, and Soft Rock, Classes 1b, 1c and 1d material, in accordance with the NYCBC.

*Groundwater:* Groundwater was measured at 8'-5" below the existing grade in the groundwater observation well installed in boring B-4W. The groundwater levels are expected to fluctuate with tidal action in the East River, as well as seasonal wet and dry periods.

#### **Geotechnical Engineering Evaluation**

The presence of relatively deep unsuitable fill and soft estuarine deposits preclude the use of shallow foundations for the support of the new building. Conventional shallow foundations bearing in these materials would experience excessive settlement; therefore, excavations to reach suitable bearing soil (glacial soil) would generally be between 16 to 23 feet deep across the site, and as much as 7'-7" to 14'-7" below the measured ground water level. Given the depth of suitable bearing soil on the site, pile foundations will be most economical foundation solution for the proposed building. Two piling options are recommended for the project: 1) driven H piles or open-end pipe piles, 2) drilled caisson piles. Specific recommendations for both piling options are discussed below. Load testing will be required for the driven piles with capacities greater than 40 tons, and for caisson piles that do not reach Class 1b rock or better. Special inspection during installation will be required, regardless of the pile type. The inspection records should be signed and sealed by a professional engineer licensed in the State of New York and submitted to the NYCBD for approval.

Because some of the neighboring buildings may be supported at shallow foundations bearing within the soft surface soils, it would be inadvisable to drive piles within 25 feet of

the neighboring buildings. Ground vibrations generated by pile driving could consolidate the loose fill and soften the estuarine deposits, causing ground loss and/or settlement of surrounding areas. Buildings located within the influence of the ground disturbances could experience significant settlement, resulting in cracking and damage to structural elements, such as walls, floors, beams and columns. As a consequence, we recommend that drilled caisson piles be used in lieu of driven piles within 25 feet of the neighboring structures.

If no cellar level is included in the building design, we recommend constructing a crawl space below the ground floor to allow future access to under-slab utility lines. If no crawl space is included, the ground floor should be pile supported and galvanized steel hangers, suspended from the underside of ground floor slab, should be used to support any underground utility lines.

#### **Engineering Recommendations**

#### **Driven Piles**

Driven Piles (open-end pipe piles or H piles) can be successfully driven to capacities ranging from 40 to 90 tons capacity within the decomposed rock/bedrock. Typical steel pile sections for 40 and 90-ton pile capacities are presented in the following table. Pile lengths are anticipated to vary from 25 to 50 feet, generally mimicking the depth to compact residual soil or bedrock across the site. Hard driving through the fill should be anticipated, requiring spudding and pre-augering to reach appropriate pile depths. Pile locations intersecting the location of the previous building foundations should be pre-excavated to remove any remnant foundations in order to minimize the number of misaligned piles.

Pile Capacity	<b>Open-End Pipe Pile</b>	H Pile
40 tons	8 inch O.D. pipe with a 0.322 inch wall, A36 steel	HP 8 X 36
90 tons	10-3/4 inch O.D. pipe with 0.5 inch wall, A36 steel	HP 10 X 57

#### Table 1 – Driven Piles

Piles can be driven using compressed air, single or double acting hammers, with minimum energy of 15,000 or 35,000 ft-lbs for 40 ton and 90 ton capacities. For 90 ton pile capacities, the piles can be driven to refusal (5 blows per <sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub> inch) within the residual soil/decomposed rock/bedrock, or to a final driving resistance determined by Pile Dynamic Analyzer (PDA) testing. We recommend that a minimum of 6 index piles should be driven using PDA instrumentation to collect the necessary resistance data to establish the final pile driving criteria. At least two pile load tests must be performed in accordance with NYCBC to verify the driving criteria determined by the PDA test pile program. For 40-ton pile capacities, the final driving resistance can be determined by the following formula.

#### P = 2E / (S+0.1)

Where P = allowable load in pounds; E = rated energy in foot-pounds; and S = average penetration in inches per hammer blow over the final foot driving. The driving resistance <u>Pillori Associates</u> *Geotechnical Engineering* 4 170309 due to the non-bearing material must be added to the driving resistance as determined by the driving formula.

The test pile program and installation of production piles should be inspected by a professional engineer licensed in the State of New York.

#### **Drilled Caissons**

As discussed, drilled caisson piles bearing within the decomposed rock or underlying bedrock can be used in areas where neighboring buildings are located within 25 feet. Drilled caisson piles bearing in decomposed rock must be treated like driven piles, wherein; load tests will be required to verify the compressive capacity of the piles. Caisson piles bearing within the underlying competent rock do not require load testing to verify compressive capacity. In either case, drilled caisson piles are capable of a wide range of capacities and can be installed with minimal disturbance to adjacent structures. Caisson piles typically consist of N-80 (80 ksi) steel casing with a center-reinforcing rod (75-ksi steel) inserted for full length of the caisson. Typically, the casing will be seated a minimum of 12 inches into the top of bedrock (Class 1b or better) and then the rock socket will be drilled using a slightly smaller bit. Centralizers, spaced 8 to 12 feet on center, should be used to keep the reinforcing rod in the center of the caisson. After the reinforcing rod is installed, the caisson can be tremie grouted, using grout tubes extending to the bottom of the caisson. Grouting will be accomplished in a single step, as the caisson capacity will be developed through sidewall friction in the rock socket. The table below presents typical designs 100-ton, 150-ton and 200-ton capacity mini-caissons.

Caisson Capacity	Casing Size (in)	Reinforcing Steel (75 ksi)	Grout (psi)	Rock Socket Diameter, Length
100-ton	7.625 O.D. X 0.43 wall	#14 thread bar	5,000	6.5 in, 9 ft
150-ton	9.625 O.D. X 0.5 wall	#14 thread bar	5,000	7.5 in, 11 ft
200-ton	9.625 O.D. X 0.5 wall	#18 thread bar	5,000	7.5 in, 15 ft

Table 2 – Mini-Caisson Design Sections

The caisson designs presented above are for estimating purposes only. A final design can be provided once the compression and uplift loads are determined. The caissons must be inspected to verify the construction of the shaft and the depth and quality of the rock socket. The inspection records should be signed and sealed by a professional engineer licensed in the State of New York and submitted to the NYCBD for approval.

#### **Uplift and Lateral Load Capacity**

The uplift capacity of the drilled caisson piles will be limited by the tension capacity of the internal threadbar, whereas the uplift capacity of driven piles will be achieved through soil friction and will be a function of the actual pile lengths. For design purposes we recommend using an allowable uplift capacity of 25 tons. Static uplift tests may be required

if the allowable uplift capacity possesses a factor of safety less than 3.0 against the ultimate uplift capacity. If required, the static uplift tests should be performed in accordance with ASTM D3689.

The NYCBC allows for a minimum lateral load capacity of 1 ton per pile. Higher capacities must be verified by lateral load tests performed in accordance with ASTM D3966.

#### **Ground Floor Slabs**

The existing fill in its current condition is unsuitable for the support of a new ground floor slab. Therefore, the ground floor slab should be designed as structural slab, supported on grade beams spanning between pile caps or individual piles evenly spaced throughout the floor area. The floor piles should be the same type and quality as column piles and should be the same capacity.

If a crawl space is utilized, the crawl space floor can be designed as a conventional slab-ongrade bearing within the existing fill, after the fill has been prepared in accordance with the following recommendations. The existing fill should be excavated to a nominal depth of 12 inches below the planned crawl space slab. The resulting subgrade should be compacted to 95% of the maximum dry density as determined by ASTM D1557. Areas that cannot be suitably compacted should be over excavated to firm ground and the resulting subgrade recompacted. Once approved, over-excavated areas should then be filled using select fill material (see Table-3) placed in 1-foot loose lifts and compacted to the same 95% of the maximum dry density as determined by ASTM D1557. The fill should be tested and approved prior to placing the crawl space slab. A continuous vapor retarder should be placed between the select fill and the crawl space slab to reduce moisture within the crawl space.

Sieve Size	Percent Passing
1.0 in	100
3/4 in	100 - 80
No. 4	80 - 60
No. 40	60 - 30
No. 200	< 15

Tabl	e 3	- Sel	lect	Fill
I HOI			leet.	

#### Seismic Evaluation and Criteria

The proposed structure must be designed in accordance with all applicable New York City Building Code seismic design criteria. The site classes are based on the average soil properties in the upper 100 feet. The soil and rock encountered in the borings most closely resemble a "Very Dense Soil Profile", Site Class C. The profile is based on Table 1613.5.2

6

of the NYCBC. Peak accelerations may be estimated using Table 1813.2.1 and values for the site coefficients Fa and Fv may be estimated using Tables 1613.5.3(1) and 1613.5.3(2), respectively. The building code requires an evaluation of the liquefaction potential of non-cohesive soils below the groundwater table and to a depth of 50 feet below the ground surface. The potential for liquefaction was evaluated using methods recommended by the National Center for Earthquake Engineering Research 2001 committee workshop report chaired by Youd, which is considered the state of the practice by the National Earthquake Hazard Reduction Program. The results of the analyses showed that the site has an adequate margin of safety against liquefaction.

#### Excavation

Temporary, shallow excavations with vertical sides less than 4 feet high will generally be stable, although there is a potential for sloughing. Temporary excavations sides greater than 4 feet in depth may be sloped back at an inclination of 1.5:1 (horizontal to vertical). Some sloughing or erosion of surface soils should be anticipated. All applicable safety requirements and regulations, including OSHA requirements should be met.

#### Underpinning

Underpinning of neighboring buildings will be required where new foundations extend below neighboring foundations. If required, the underpinning should be supported on drilled piles or titan piles. The piles should be installed at each underpinning pit location before the pits are excavated. The pits should be lagged to prevent sloughing or loss bearing capacity during excavation. Once the piles are installed, the underpinning pits can be excavated in sequence, in which every fourth pit is excavated and concreted. The final 3 inches should be performed using steel wedges and plates, and dry pack concrete. The sequence is repeated until the entire walls are completely supported on the new pile supported concrete underpinning. The individual underpinning pits should be 4 feet wide and should extend 1-foot below the planned depth of the excavation on the site.

#### **Exterior Fill and Backfill**

All compact fill and backfill placed outside the building footprint, beneath floor slabs, pavements and used for backfilling foundation walls and utility trenches should be performed in a controlled manner using onsite or off-site material free of organic matter and debris. The fill material should be placed in 8-inch thick loose lifts and compacted to 95% of the maximum dry density as determined ASTM D1557. Compaction can be performed using walk-behind vibratory plate or roller type compactors. Lift thickness may be increased to 12 inches for larger compaction equipment. Imported fill material, if required, should be approved prior to its use.

#### **Demolition of Remnant Foundations**

The cellar depths of the neighboring buildings to the west should be determined prior to finalizing plans for demolition. Removal of remnant walls during demolition should be performed carefully to ensure the neighboring footings are not undermined. If required, soil

berms and/or additional steel bracing can be constructed against the neighboring foundation walls to resist lateral earth, groundwater and foundation pressures.

#### **Protection of Adjacent Structure**

We strongly recommend that a pre-construction survey be conducted for the neighboring buildings adjacent to the site. The survey should be completed prior to construction. Each building should be inspected and photographed, inside and out, to record existing conditions. In addition, crack monitors should be installed on all visible cracks greater than 1/16 inches.

Vibration and optical survey monitoring programs should be implemented to record potential movements of the neighboring buildings. The monitoring program should be initiated prior to the start of construction, and periodic readings should be taken during construction. Any landmark buildings located within 90 feet of the site must be monitored in accordance with TPPN 10/88.

#### <u>Closure</u>

This report presents the results of the preliminary geotechnical investigation performed at 111 Willow Avenue in Bronx, New York. This report is not a bid document, and any contractor reviewing this report must draw his own conclusions regarding specific construction techniques to be used on this project.













Project: **111 Willow Avenue** Bronx, New York Date: 10-30-2017 to 10-31-2017 Contractor: Warren George, Inc.

## Boring No.: B-1

Sheet: 1 of 1

Ground El: NA

Groundwater Depth: NA

Depth		SAMPLES		SOU DESCRIPTION	Classification	L
Feet	Number	Blows / 6"	Strata	SUIL DESCRIPTION	<u>Deptn</u> Flevation	
			///		Elevation	
	<b>S-</b> 1	1-2-1-1				
5			///	Brown coarse to fine Sand w/brick, concrete		
5	S-2	3-1-1-1	/F/	fragments & miscellaneous debris	(7)	
	52	5111	/ /	fragments, & miscenaneous deoris	(7)	
			///			
			///			
10			///			
	S-3	16-13-8-3			11-0	
				Gray clayey Silt, little fine Sand, with black		
				Peat	NALL	
1.5			Es			
15	S /	WOR-2-3-6		Gray clayey Silt, trace fine Sand, with black	(6)	
	5-4	WOR-2-5-0		Peat		
					18'-0"	
20 —				Drown fine Cond. some Cilt	SM –	
	S-5	10-13-14-50/4"		Brown line Sand, some Sin	(3b)	
					23'-0"	
				Boulder from 23 0' to 24 0'	23-0	
			G۸	Bounder monii 23:0 to 24.0		
25	86	(8-2-2-2)*	<b>U</b> A	Brown coarse to fine Sand, some Silt, little		
	3-0	Disturbed		medium to fine Gravel		
					28'-0"	
				Brown coarse to fine Sand, some Silt, some	SM	
30				coarse to fine Gravel	(3h)	
	S-7	5-3-8-100/5"			31'-6"	$\vdash$
25						
33	7	RUN = 60"				
		35.0' - 40.0'				
	R-1	REC = 50%		Decomposed Rock	(1.4)	$\vdash$
	$\land$	RQD = 0%	<b>NK</b>		(10)	$\vdash$
40		52 90 50/11			-	$\vdash$
	5-8	55-89-50/1				
					45'-0"	
45	7		$\langle \rangle / \rangle$			
		45.0' - 50.0'	$\mathbb{N}$			
	R-2	REC = 50%	$\langle \mathbf{K} \rangle$	Inwood Marble Bedrock: Soft, weathered	(1d)	$\vdash$
	$\land$	RQD = 15%			501.01	$\vdash$
50	-1				50 <b>-</b> 0	$\vdash$
				End of Boring		

PILLORI ASSOCIATES, P.A. Geotechnical Engineering

# Project: **111 Willow Avenue** Bronx, New York Date: 10/31/2017 to 11/01/2017

## Boring No.: B-2

Sheet: 1 of 1 Ground El: NA

Contractor: Warren George, Inc.

Contracto	or: Warr	en George, Inc	•	Groundwater Depth: NA		
Depth Feet	Numbar	SAMPLES	Strata	SOIL DESCRIPTION	Classification <u>Depth</u>	
5	S-1	7-5-8-5	F	Brown coarse to fine Sand, little Silt, trace fine Gravel with brick fragments	FILL (7)	
	S-2	4-7-11-9			8'-0"	
	S-3	26-10-7-8	Es	Gray-brown clayey Silt, little fine Sand	ML (5b)	
15	UT-1	PUSH = 24"	· · · · · ·		17'-0"	
	S-4	9-22-10-12	Ga	Brown coarse to fine Sand, trace Silt	SW (3a)	
	■ S-5	80-50/0"	Rs/	Brown medium to fine Sand, trace Silt with	<u>20'-6"</u> GP	
25	S-6	80-100/2"	DR	End of Boring	(2a)	
30				Lind of Doring		
35						
40						
45						
50					_	

# Project: **111 Willow Avenue** Bronx, New York Date: 11-01-2017 to 11-02-2017

### Boring No.: B-3

Sheet: 1 of 2

Contractor: Warren George, Inc.

Ground El: NA Groundwater Depth: NA

Depth		SAMPLES		SOU DESCRIPTION	Classification	l
Feet	Number	Blows / 6"	Strata	SOIL DESCRIPTION	Elevation	
			///			
	<b>S-1</b>	7-7-5-4				
5			///		_	
	S-2	6-2-5-11	<b>/F</b> /	Gray coarse to fine Sand, trace Silt, trace fine	FILL	
			///	Gravel, with asphalt fragments	(7)	
	S-3	1-2-2-1			9'-0"	
					_	
	<b>S-</b> 4	2-3-4-4	• • • • • •			
			Es	Gray clayey Silt, little fine Sand, with black	MH	
15				Peat	(6)	
15	UT-1	PUSH = 24"				
			• • • • • • • • • • •		18'-0"	
	S-5	5-8-13-15			10-0	
20					SM _	
	S-6	$(7-3-3-4)^*$		Brown coarse to fine Sand, little Silt	(3b)	
		Distuibed			23'-0"	
					23 0	
25 —			GA	Drown against to find Sand trade Silt trade find	SW –	
	S-7	(4-5-6-8)* Disturbed		Grovel	(3b)	
		Distuited		Glaver	28'-0"	
				Brown coarse to fine Sand trace Silt trace fine	<b>CW</b> /	
30 -				Gravel	$\begin{vmatrix} 3 \\ (3 \\ a) \end{vmatrix}$	
	S-8	5-16-51-100/4"		Gluver	32'-0"	
					52-0	
			* **			
35 —	_				_	
		RUN = 60''				
	R-1	$35.0^{\circ} - 40.0^{\circ}$			(14)	
		RQD = 0%	DR,	Decomposed Rock	(10)	
40 +					_	
	S-8	13-33-63-88				
					4.51.01	
45 +	-				45'-0"	
		RUN = 60"				-
	R-2	43.0 - 50.0'   REC = 40%	$\underline{\mathcal{N}}$	Inwood Marble Bedrock: Soft, weathered	(1d)	
		RQD = 15%	K R (		501 01	
50 +	-4		$\langle X \rangle$		<u>30'-0''</u>	
			$\langle \langle \langle \rangle \rangle$		(1c)	

PILLORI ASSOCIATES, P.A. Geotechnical Engineering

### Boring No.: B-3

Sheet: 2 of 2

Project: **111 Willow Avenue** Bronx, New York Date: 11-01-2017 to 11-02-2017 Contractor: Warren George, Inc.

Ground El: NA

Groundwater Depth: NA

Depth		SAMPLES		SOIL DESCRIPTION	Classification	
Feet	Number	Blows / 6"	Strata	SOIL DESCRIPTION	Elevation	
	R-3	RUN = 60" 50.0' - 55.0' REC = 92% RQD = 36%	R	Gneiss Bedrock: intermediate Hard, slightly weathered, mediumly jointed	(1c)	
55				End of Boring		
60						
65						
70						
75						
80						
85						
90						
95						
100						

## Project: **111 Willow Avenue** Bronx, New York Date: 11-02-2017 to 11-03-2017

## Boring No.: B-4W

Groundwater Depth: 8'-5"

Ground El: NA

Sheet: 1 of 1

Contractor: Warren George, Inc.

Depth		SAMPLES		SOU DESCRIPTION	Classification
Feet	Number	Blows / 6"	Strata	SOIL DESCRIPTION	<u>Depth</u> Elevation
	S-1	11-12-15-10		Grav coarse to fine Sand trace Silt trace fine	
5	S-2	8-6-4-3		Gravel, with brick fragments	(7) (7) (7) (7) (7) (7) (7) (7) (7) (7)
10	S-3	7-4-5-8		Gray clayey Silt, and fine Sand, with black Peat	MH (6)
15	S-4	4-4-7-8	Es		16'-0"
20	8-5	WOH-WOH-2-3* Disturbed		Brown medium to fine Silt, some fine Sand	SM
25	S-6	(4-2-3-4)* Disturbed	GA	Brown coarse to fine Sand, little Silt, trace fine Gravel	SM
30	S-7	7-11-78-50/0"		Brown coarse to fine Sand, trace Silt, little fine Gravel	SW
35	S-8	28-100/3"	DR	Decomposed Rock	(1d)
40	R-2	RUN = 60" 38.0' - 43.0' REC = 73% RQD = 50%	R	Gneiss Bedrock: intermediate Hard, slightly weathered, mediumly jointed	(1c)
45				End of Boring	-
50					

PILLORI ASSOCIATES, P.A. Geotechnical Engineering

# Project: **111 Willow Avenue** Bronx, New York Date: 11-03-2017

## Boring No.: B-5

Sheet: 1 of 1

Contractor: Warren George, Inc.

Ground El: NA Groundwater Depth: NA

Depth		SAMPLES		SOU DESCRIPTION	Classification
Feet	Number	Blows / 6"	Strata	SOIL DESCRIPTION	<u>Depth</u> Elevation
	S-1	6-9-16-13	F	Gray coarse to fine Sand, trace Silt, trace fine	FILL
5	S-2	4-3-3-2		Gravel, with asphalt fragments	(7)
10	S-3	3-1-1-2	Es	Gray clayey Silt, little fine Sand, with black Peat	MH (6)
15	S-4	(7-10-5-3)* Disturbed			16'-0"
20	S-5	13-8-13-58	Ga	Brown coarse to fine Sand, trace Silt, trace fine Gravel	SW (3b)
25	<b>S-</b> 6	100/4"	Rs/ DR	Brown coarse to fine Sand, trace Silt, trace fine Gravel with decomposed rock fragments	23'-0" GW (2a)
30	7	RUN = 60"			30'-0"
25	R-1	30.0' - 25.0' REC = 100% RQD = 83%	R	Gneiss Bedrock: medium Hard, unweathered, closely jointed	(1b) 35'-0"
				End of Boring	
40					
45					
50					

## Boring No.: B-6

Sheet: 1 of 2

Project: **111 Willow Avenue** Bronx, New York Date: 11-06-2017 to 11-07-2017 Contractor: Warren George, Inc.

Ground El: NA

Groundwater Depth: NA

Depth		SAMPLES		SOU DESCRIPTION	Classification
Feet	Number	Blows / 6"	Strata	SOIL DESCRIPTION	Elevation
	S-1	13-14-8-7			
	S-2	3-2-90-27	F	Gray coarse to fine Sand, trace Silt, trace fine Gravel, with asphalt fragments	FILL (7)
10	S-3				13'-0"
15	S-4	2-2-7-11	Es	Gray clayey Silt, little fine Sand	ML (6)
20	S-5	17-28-28-17			
25	S-6	15-16-22-21			
30	S-7	15-29-44-26	Ga	Brown coarse to fine Sand, little Silt, little coarse to fine Gravel	SM
35	S-8	13-15-19-22			
40	S-9	20-31-24-36			43'-0"
45	S-10	38-37-30-38	DR	Decomposed Rock	(1d)
50	S-11	39-100/4"			

## Boring No.: B-6

Sheet: 2 of 2

Project: **111 Willow Avenue** Bronx, New York Date: 11-06-2017 to 11-07-2017 Contractor: Warren George, Inc.

Ground El: NA Groundwater Depth: NA

Depth		SAMPLES		SOIL DESCRIPTION	<b>Classification</b>	
Feet	Number	Blows / 6"	Strata	SOIL DESCRIPTION	<u>Depth</u> Elevation	
55	S-11 S-12	39 <b>-</b> 100/4" 100/2"				
60 65 70	S-13	100/2"	DR	Decomposed Rock	(1d)	
75	S-14	100/1" BUNI = 60"				
85	R-1 S-15	80.0' - 85.0' REC = 0% RQD = 0%		End of Boring	85'-2"	
90						
95						
		CIATES, P.A.	Geotech	nical Engineering Proje	ct No.: 170309	

SOIL CLASSIFICATION CHART						
MAJOR DIVISIONS			GROUP SYMBOLS (ASTM D2487)	TYPICAL DESCRIPTIONS		
COARSE-GRAINED SOIL MORE THAN 50% RETAINED ON NO.200 SIEVE*	.RSE N NO. 4	VEL	GW	WELL- GRADED GRAVEL & GRAVEL-SAND MIXTURES, LITTLE OR NO FINES		
	VEL to F COA	CLE GRA	GP	POORLY GRADED GRAVEL & GRAVEL-SAND MIXTURES, LITTLE OR NO FINES		
	GRA BR MORE ON RET A	VEL CH ES	GM	SILTY GRAVEL, GRAVEL SAND CLAY MIXTURES		
	50% C FRACTI	GRAY WI FIN	GC	CLAYEY GRAVEL, GRAVEL SAND CLAY MIXTURI		
	ARSE	CLEAN SAND	SW	WELL-GRADED SAND & GRAVELLY SAND, LITTLE OR NO FINES		
	NDS % OF CO ES NO. 4		SP	POORLY GRADED SAND & GRAVELLY SAND, LITTLE OR NO FINES		
	SA THAN 50 DN PASS	LEI SE	SM	SILTY SAND, SAND-SILT MIXTURES		
	MORE	SAN WI FIN	SC	CLAYEY SAND, SAND-CLAY MIXTURES		
NED SOIL ING NO.200 SIEVE*		UID LIMIT S THAN 50%		INORGANIC SILT, VERY FINE SAND, ROCK FLOU SILTY OR CLAYEY FINE SAND		
	UID LIM			INORGANIC CLAY OF LOW TO MEDIUM PLASTICITY, GRAVELLY CLAY, SANDY CLAY, SILTY CLAY, LEAN CLAY		
	Sall TIIS		OL	ORGANIC SILT & ORGANIC SILTY CLAY OF LOW PLASTICITY		
-GRAJ E PASS	JAY IIT N 50%		МН	INORGANIC SILT, MICACEOUS OR DIATOMACEOUS FINE SAND OR SILT, ELASTIC		
FINE- or mor	& CI		СН	INORGANIC CLAY OF HIGH PLASTICITY, FAT CLAY		
50% C	SILT		ОН	ORGANIC CLAY OF MEDIUM TO HIGH PLASTICITY		
HIGHLY ORGANIC SOIL			РТ	PEAT, MUCK & OTHER HIGHLY ORGANIC SOIL		
* BASED ON	MATERIAL PASSING	THE 3" (75MM) SIEVE				
GRADATION** COM (SAND AN)			IPACTNESS**CONSISTENCY**ID/OR GRAVEL)(CLAY AND/OR SILT)			
ERM	VE SHEAR STRENGTH <u>TERM</u> <u>TONS/SQ.FT.</u>					

	LESS THAN 0.25			
SOFT				
FIRM	0.25	TO	0.5	
STIFF	0.5	TO	1.0	
VERY STIFF	1.0	TO	2.0	
HARD		OVER 2.0		

\*\* VALUES ARE FROM LABORATORY OR FIELD TEST DATA, WHERE APPLICABLE, WHEN NO TESTING WAS PERFORMED VALUES ARE ESTIMATED.

ТО

TO

ТО

70

90

100

LOOSE 5 MEDIUM DENSE 41 DENSE 71 VERY DENSE 91

10 20 35

LITTLE SOME

AND

 10
 TO
 20

 20
 TO
 35

 35
 TO
 50