

PHASE I ENVIRONMENTAL SITE ASSESSMENT

**Birchwood Shopping Center
46-15 to 46-31 Kissena Boulevard
Flushing, Queens County, New York 11355**

April 2007

Prepared for:

**Kimco Realty Corporation
3333 New Hyde Park Road, Suite 100
New Hyde Park, New York 11040**

ENVIRO-SCIENCES (OF DELAWARE), INC.

111 Howard Boulevard, Suite 108
Mt. Arlington, New Jersey 07856
(973) 398-8183 • (973) 398-8037 – Fax



PHASE I ENVIRONMENTAL SITE ASSESSMENT

**Birchwood Shopping Center
46-15 to 46-31 Kissena Boulevard
Flushing, Queens County, New York 11355**

April 2007

Table of Contents

	<u>Page No.</u>
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	iii
I. INTRODUCTION.....	1
A. Purpose.....	1
B. Scope-of-Services	1
C. Significant Assumptions, Limitations and Exceptions	2
D. Special Terms and Conditions	2
II. SITE DESCRIPTION.....	2
A. Location and Description.....	2
B. Site and Vicinity Characteristics.....	2
C. Current Use of the Property	2
D. Description of Structures, Improvements, and Utilities.....	3
E. Current Uses of Adjoining Properties.....	3
III. USER PROVIDED INFORMATION.....	4
IV. RECORDS REVIEW	4
A. Standard Environmental Record Sources	4
B. Identification and Location of Specific Hazardous Sites.....	6
C. Physical Setting Source.....	8
D. Historical Use Information on the Property.....	8
E. Historical Use Information on Adjoining Properties	11
V. SITE RECONNAISSANCE.....	11
A. Methodology and Limiting Conditions.....	11
B. On-Site Operations/Manufacturing/Process Equipment.....	11
C. Chemical and Petroleum Use and Storage.....	12
D. Solid and Hazardous Waste	12
E. Releases or Spills	12

PHASE I ENVIRONMENTAL SITE ASSESSMENT

**Birchwood Shopping Center
46-15 to 46-31 Kissena Boulevard
Flushing, Queens County, New York 11355**

April 2007

Table of Contents (cont'd)

	<u>Page No.</u>
F. Groundwater Wells	12
G. Water Discharges	12
H. Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCBs)	12
I. Drains and Sumps	12
J. Potential Asbestos-Containing Material (ACM)	12
K. Off-Site Concerns	13
VI. INTERVIEWS.....	13
VII. RECOGNIZED ENVIRONMENTAL CONDITIONS.....	13
VIII. CONCLUSIONS	14
IX. DEVIATIONS	14
X. REFERENCES.....	15
XI. SIGNATURES OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROFESSIONAL(S).....	15
XII. QUALIFICATIONS OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROFESSIONAL(S)	15

APPENDICES

Appendix A – Figures
Appendix B – Site Photographs
Appendix C – Database Report (EDR)
Appendix D – Aerial Photographs
Appendix E – Fire Insurance Maps
Appendix F – Historical Topographic Maps
Appendix G – City Directory
Appendix H – Environmental Lien Report
Appendix I – Qualifications of Environmental Professional(s)

PHASE I ENVIRONMENTAL SITE ASSESSMENT

**Birchwood Shopping Center
46-15 to 46-31 Kissena Boulevard
Flushing, Queens County, New York 11355**

April 2007

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Enviro-Sciences (of Delaware), Inc. (ESI) was retained by Kimco Realty Corporation to conduct a Phase I Environmental Site Assessment (Phase I) to identify recognized environmental conditions (RECs) associated with current and prior site use at the property identified as Birchwood Shopping Center, 46-15 to 46-31 Kissena Boulevard, Flushing, New York. ESI conducted the assessment in accordance with the requirements of the American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) Standard E1527-05, "Standard Practice for Environmental Site Assessments," and good professional practices. The site inspection was performed on January 4, 2007.

Site Overview

The subject property is approximately 1.5 acres and has a 22,614-square foot strip mall building. The property is owned by Birchwood Associates, and the building was constructed in 1961.

A. Recognized Environmental Conditions (RECs)

1. Kissena Park French Dry Cleaners – A dry cleaner formerly operated at 46-21 Kissena Boulevard, the current laundromat leasehold. The facility's EPA ID Number was NYD080526247, and manifest records show that hazardous wastes were disposed in 1986. The existence of a historic dry cleaner on the property poses a significant recognized environmental condition due to the use of the dry cleaning solvent, perchloroethylene. Solvent and waste storage, machine leaks, condensate discharges, lint storage, and spills are potential sources of perchloroethylene contamination at a site. ESI recommends further evaluation of the site to determine if the former dry cleaning operations have caused soil or groundwater contamination on the property. In addition, the status of the EPA ID Number on the property should be determined, so that it can be deactivated by the property owner if it is found to be an active RCRA number.
2. Heating History – The building is currently heated by natural gas through HVAC units on the roof. The former heating system appears to have been located in the basement of the building, although the fuel source was not confirmed. One brick chimney was observed in the back of the building. No oil fill or vent lines, or other indications of former oil usage were identified during the site inspection.

However, the former heat system should be confirmed through further investigation.

3. Potential Asbestos Containing Materials (ACM) – The building was constructed in 1961, and although the leaseholds have been renovated, asbestos containing materials may be found in materials used prior to 1980. No damaged materials were observed in the inspected areas. An evaluation of potential ACM surfaces should be conducted to insure proper handling and disposal of the materials.

B. De Minimis Conditions

1. Storm Drain Discharges – A hose was observed next to the stormwater catch basin behind Gold City, and water was evident on the ground surface in this area. Tenants in the building should not be discharging any wash waters to the storm drains on the property.

C. Historic RECs

None identified during this assessment.

D. Data Gaps

1. Basement Inspection – ESI inspected the basement of food store, and the utility room for the other leaseholds, but was not able to inspect the individual basement storage areas. No environmental concerns are expected in the basement of the bakery, gift shop, or Laundromat.

Conclusions

ESI's review of available information and observations of the subject and surrounding properties indicates that two of RECs were identified as a result of this assessment.

PHASE I ENVIRONMENTAL SITE ASSESSMENT

**Birchwood Shopping Center
46-15 to 46-31 Kissena Boulevard
Flushing, Queens County, New York 11355**

April 2007

I. INTRODUCTION

A. Purpose

Enviro-Sciences (of Delaware), Inc. (ESI) was contracted by Kimco Realty Corporation to perform a Phase I Environmental Site Assessment of the referenced property in accordance with the American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) Standard E1527-05, "Standard Practice for Environmental Site Assessments." The ASTM Standard satisfies the requirements of the United States Environmental Protection Agency's (USEPA) All Appropriate Inquiry Standard, 40 CFR Part 312, which is required to qualify for certain landowner liability protections under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act (CERCLA). The ASTM Standard constitutes "all appropriate inquiry into previous ownership and uses of the property consistent with good commercial or customary practice." The investigation was conducted to identify Recognized Environmental Conditions (RECs), which are identified as the presence or likely presence of any hazardous substances or petroleum products under conditions that indicate an existing release, past release or a material threat of a release into structures on the property or into the ground, groundwater or surface waters of the property.

Ms. Betty Lou Resotka, Environmental Scientist of ESI, accompanied by Mr. Irving Aaron of Birchwood Associates, the property owner, performed the site inspection on January 4, 2007.

B. Scope-of-Services

The Phase I consisted of the following four components:

1. review of environmental and historic records
2. site reconnaissance
3. interviews
4. report preparation

The findings of this report will be used to evaluate the property prior to purchasing the site.

C. Significant Assumptions, Limitations and Exceptions

Unless noted, ESI assumes that the information obtained through the records review, site inspection, and interviews is correct. ESI does not warrant the accuracy of this information, or warrant that any RECs that were not identified through the Phase I process do not exist on the property. RECs do not include de minimis conditions that do not present a threat to human health or the environment, and that would not be subject to an enforcement action by government agencies.

The environmental assessment is non-invasive, and does not include any testing or sampling of materials, such as soil, water, air or building materials. The environmental assessment does not include a review of the following: radon, lead-based paint, lead in drinking water, wetlands, regulatory compliance, cultural and historic resources, industrial hygiene, health and safety, ecological resources, endangered species, indoor air quality, biological agents, and mold. ESI will provide a brief commentary on the potential for asbestos-containing materials (ACM) in the building.

D. Special Terms and Conditions

No additional services were requested.

II. SITE DESCRIPTION

A. Location and Description

The subject parcel is identified as the Birchwood Shopping Center, 46-15 to 46-31 Kissena Boulevard, Flushing, New York, Block 5208, Lot 45.

The rectangular-shaped parcel measures approximately 1.5 acres. A one-story, 22,614 square foot building is located on the north side of the property. Kissena Boulevard runs in a southeast-northwest direction through this area, and for the purposes of this report, will be considered the south property line. A Site Location Map and Tax Map are included as Figures 1 and 2, respectively, in Appendix A.

B. Site and Vicinity Characteristics

The subject property is located in the Flushing section of Queens in New York City. The area is characterized by commercial and residential properties. The site is zoned both C2-2 for commercial and R3-2 for residential.

C. Current Use of the Property

Birchwood Shopping Plaza, a strip mall with an anchor food store, has been located on the property since 1961. The building is currently occupied by:

Name	Current Use	Lease Date	Square Feet
Gold City	Food store – specializing in Asian foods	Fruit Valley Produce May 2005	15,200 + 2,100
Star Laundromat and Cleaners	Laundromat and drop off for dry cleaners (no dry cleaning on-site)	June 2000	3,150
Ming Zing ER Gift Shop	Miscellaneous gift items	May 2003	916
Fay Ye Bakery	Bakery	December 2004	1,050

D. Description of Structures, Improvements and Utilities

The building on the property is a one-story, 22,520-square foot structure that was constructed in 1961. The concrete block and brick building has a basement. Asphalt parking is located on the south and west sides of the building. An asphalt alleyway is located along the rear of the building, where delivery doors for each leasehold are located. The site is surrounded on its east, north and west sides by a combination of chain-link fencing, concrete retaining wall and wood fencing.

Utilities at the property include the following:

1. Electricity

Electricity is provided by Con Ed.

2. Water

Potable water is provided by the City of New York.

3. Sewers

Domestic wastewater is treated by the City of New York.

4. Heat

The building is currently heated by natural gas, provided by Con Ed.

E. Current Uses of Adjoining Properties

The following sites adjoin the parcel:

- North – Residential
- East – Commercial restaurant
- South – Kissena Boulevard, commercial, gas station/auto repair
- West – Commercial stores

III. USER PROVIDED INFORMATION

The ASTM Standard defines the “User” as the person on whose behalf the Phase I is being conducted. The ASTM Standard requires the User to provide site information for the Phase I. Kimco Realty Corporation provided ESI with the use of an on-line data room, which contained title information, tenant leases, and a property survey.

- No environmental liens (i.e., legal, deed notice) or Activity and Use Limitations (i.e., engineering controls, etc.) were identified in the data room. ESI contracted Environmental Data Resources, Inc. (EDR) to conduct an environmental lien and activity and use search of the property. None of these records were identified for the site. This report is included as Appendix H.
- No specialized knowledge or commonly known information regarding current or historic hazardous material use on the subject property or adjoining properties was identified.
- There was no information indicating that the fair market value of the property was reduced due to environmental concerns.

IV. RECORDS REVIEW

A. Standard Environmental Record Sources

Environmental Data Resources, Inc. (EDR) of Milford, Connecticut, was contracted by ESI to prepare an updated environmental database survey for the subject site and surrounding areas. A copy of the EDR report, which summarizes the environmental concerns presented by nearby sites, is attached as Appendix C. The listing of a site on any of these databases is, in itself, not indicative of an existing environmental concern. Distance, geology, and groundwater flow gradient are the factors that determine the importance of a listed site to the soil and groundwater quality on the subject property. ESI has relied on distance from the listed site and topographical gradient to judge whether that site has the potential to affect the subject property.

The following is a list of federal and state databases and the search radii used in this assessment according to ASTM Standards:

<u>Database – Federal</u>	<u>Radius (miles)</u>
• NPL (National Priorities List) <i>Sites identified for priority cleanup under the Superfund Act (CERCLA)</i>	1.0
• Delisted NPL <i>Sites deleted from the NPL</i>	0.5

Database – Federal (cont'd)**Radius (miles)**

- CERCLIS (Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Information System)
Data about potentially hazardous waste sites that have been reported to the USEPA by states, municipalities, private companies and private persons pursuant to Section 103 of CERCLA 0.5
- CERCLIS/NFRAP (CERCLIS No Further Remedial Action Planned)
NFRAP sites are those removed from CERCLIS if initial investigation found no contamination, or it was not serious enough to require NPL listing 0.5
- RCRA CORRACTS (Resource Conservation and Recovery Act, Corrective Action Report)
Hazardous waste sites that have RCRA Corrective Action Activities 1.0
- RCRA Non-CORRACTS TSD (Treatment, Storage and Disposal)
TSD facilities not included in CORRACTS 0.5
- RCRIS – Large Quantity Generators (LQG)
Sites that generate greater than 1,000 kilograms per month of hazardous waste as defined under RCRA TP* & Adjacent
- RCRIS – Small Quantity Generators (SQG)
Sites that generate less than 1,000 kilograms per month of hazardous waste as defined under RCRA TP & Adjacent
- INSTITUTIONAL/ENGINEERING CONTROLS
EPA listing of sites with institutional or engineering controls TP
- ERNS (Emergency Response Notification System)
Reported releases of oil and hazardous substances as defined under RCRA TP

Database – State**Radius (miles)**

- SHWS (State Hazardous Waste Sites)
State NPL-equivalent list 1.0
- SHWS (State Hazardous Waste Sites)
State CERCLIS-equivalent list 0.5
- SWF/LF (Solid Waste/Land Fill Sites)
Inventory of state solid waste disposal facilities or landfills 0.5
- LEAKING TANKS (Leaking Storage Tanks)
Inventory of reported leaking tanks (LTanks) 0.5
- REGISTERED TANKS (Storage Tanks)
Registered storage tanks TP & Adjacent

TP* – Target Property

Database – State (cont’d)**Radius (miles)**

- | | |
|--|-----|
| • INSTITUTIONAL/ENGINEERING CONTROLS
<i>State listing of sites with institutional or engineering controls</i> | TP |
| • VCP (Voluntary Cleanup Sites)
<i>Sites involved in voluntary cleanup</i> | 0.5 |
| • BROWNFIELDS
<i>State Brownfield Sites</i> | 0.5 |
| • SPILLS
<i>Spills reported to state</i> | TP |

B. Identification and Location of Specific Hazardous Sites

Section B (below) is a summary of the EDR findings. The terms topographically upgradient, downgradient and sidegradient refer to the physical location of a site with respect to the subject property. If a site is topographically upgradient, it is at a higher elevation than the subject property. If a site is topographically sidegradient, its elevation is the same as the subject property. If a site is topographically downgradient, it is at a lower elevation than the subject property. Assuming that groundwater flow direction follows the topographical gradient, upgradient sources pose a greater environmental risk to a site than sidegradient or downgradient sources, as potential contamination could flow onto the subject property. Although groundwater flow often follows the topographic gradient of the ground surface, its flow direction can be affected by other variables, such as soils, geology, seasonal fluctuations, production wells, and underground structures. On-site groundwater monitoring wells are required to determine the actual flow direction at a particular site.

The following is a summary of our findings:

1. Subject Property

Kissena Park French Dry Cleaners
46-21 Kissena Boulevard
Flushing, NY

RCRA, SQG
NY Manifest, Dry Cleaners List

The EPA ID No. is listed as NYD080526247. No violations listed. The report shows 1986 manifest records for the disposal of solvents.

2. Adjacent Properties

Spartan Pronto Petroleum, Fred's
Transmission World
46-16 Kissena Boulevard
Flushing, NY

RCRA, SQG, HIST UST

Two 4,000-gallon unleaded gas tanks were identified on the HIST UST list at this site, which is located to the south across Kissena Boulevard. No spills or leaks are listed for this site.

Service Station/Ciano Motors
46-20 Kissena Boulevard
Flushing, NY

RCRA, SQG, UST

Twelve gasoline USTs are listed at this site, which is located across Kissena Boulevard to the south. No spills or leaks are listed. The site poses a low to moderate environmental concern to the subject property.

46 Kissena Corp.
46-07 Kissena Boulevard
Flushing, NY

UST

1,500-gallon underground fuel oil tank at adjacent site to west. No spills or leaks are listed. The site poses a low to moderate environmental concern to the subject property.

46-45 Kissena Boulevard
Flushing, NY

UST

Fuel oil UST at this site to the east. No spills or leaks are listed. The site poses a low to moderate environmental concern to the subject property.

3. Sites within One-Eighth Mile

HL Service Station, Getty
46-08 Kissena Boulevard
Flushing, NY

UST, AST

Three 550-gallon gasoline USTs, and a waste oil AST are identified. No spills or leaks are listed. The site poses a low to moderate environmental concern to the subject property.

4. Sites between One-Eighth and One-Quarter Mile

Six of the seven LTank cases identified at this search radius are shown as closed. The one open case is at a lower elevation, and has a low to moderate potential to impact the subject property.

5. Sites between One-Quarter and One-Half Mile

Thirty-two LTanks cases were identified at this search radius. Given its distance and the density of the area, no further evaluation of these sites is proposed.

6. Sites between One-Half and One Mile

None identified at this search radius.

7. Orphan Sites

Orphan sites are those sites identified by EDR in the database search but which, due to poor or inadequate address information, could not be mapped. The EDR search for the subject property identified 23 orphan properties.

C. Physical Setting Source

The subject property is relatively flat. Site elevation is shown at 42 feet above sea level on the Flushing, New York Quadrangle, provided in the EDR Report, Appendix C. The site and surrounding properties are shown as developed land on this map. Kissena Lake is located 3/4 of a mile to the southeast of the site, and the East River is 1 1/4 miles northwest of the site. There is a general topographic gradient towards the south, southwest. The geology of the site was formed during the Cenozoic Era, Quaternary System, Pleistocene Series. The U.S. Department of Agriculture, Soil Conservation Service, classifies the soils in this area as urban land.

D. Historical Use Information on the Property

Historic sources reviewed for this report indicate that the site was developed with houses and a commercial Greenhouse prior to the strip mall development in 1961. The anchor tenant was originally an A&P grocery store, and occupants included Kissena Park French Dry Cleaners, a dry cleaner.

1. Aerial Photographs

ESI reviewed digital aerial photographs provided by EDR, Inc. Copies are provided in Appendix D. These photographs provide an overview of site use, but do not show specific property operations.

1956

The property is developed with the greenhouse buildings, which were identified on the Sanborn Maps. Smaller, residential structures are observed on the southeast side of the lot. Commercial buildings appear to be located to the south, across Kissena Boulevard, and residential properties are shown in the surrounding area.

1966, 1976, 1984, 1994

The strip mall building is evident on the site, and residential properties are shown to the north and west. A commercial building has been constructed on the adjacent property to the east. Commercial properties are shown to the east and south.

2. Fire Insurance Maps

ESI reviewed fire insurance maps provided by EDR, Inc. Copies are provided in Appendix E.

1903

The subject property is vacant, and most of the surrounding lots are also vacant. There is no map coverage to south. Kissena Boulevard is called Jamaica Avenue, and water lines are shown in the street.

1917

Two dwellings are located on the site. Most of the surrounding lots are vacant, although there are stores to the west and dwellings on some of the surrounding properties.

1934, 1951

Site is developed with a Greenhouse along Kissena Boulevard, and three dwellings. Most of the surrounding lots are still shown as vacant, although there are stores to the west and dwellings to the north.

1980 – 1995

The site is developed with the current building showing one anchor store, and seven small stores. None of the stores are labeled, although the building is shown as a concrete block and brick structure. Dwellings are shown on adjacent sites to the north, NY Telephone is shown to the east, and a six-tenant commercial building is shown to the west.

3. USGS Topographic Maps

ESI reviewed Historic Topographic Maps provided by EDR, Inc. Copies are provided in Appendix F.

1897

The scale of the map does not allow for a detailed analysis of the site. Some development is noted in the general area.

1947

The subject property and surrounding city blocks are defined, and it appears that there are a couple of small structures on the site.

1955, 1966, 1979, 1995

The site and surrounding areas are shown as developed urban land, with no distinctive features. Kissena Lake is evident 3/4 of a mile to the southeast.

4. City Directory and Multi-Tenant Report

ESI reviewed local street directory listings provided by EDR, Inc. for 46-15 Kissena Boulevard. The full report is provided in Appendix G.

Year	Address	Use
1967 – 2000	46-15 Kissena Boulevard	Restaurant (Pizza, Japanese)
1934	46-17 Kissena Boulevard	Florist, Residential
1939, 1945	46-17 Kissena Boulevard	Residential
1967 – 1976	46-17 Kissena Boulevard	Hair Salon
1991 – 2000	46-17 Kissena Boulevard	Stationary
1967 – 1970	46-19 Kissena Boulevard	Laundromat

ESI also reviewed a Multi-Tenant report provided by EDR, Inc. for 46-15 Kissena Boulevard. The report shows restaurant operations in 46-15 Kissena Boulevard from 1967 – 2000.

5. Title Records

ESI reviewed property ownership deeds from the New York City ACRIS system.

August 10, 1973 – Birchwood Associates

July 9, 1973 – Cypress Estates, Inc.

March 4, 1969 – Morris Sosnow Partners

June 6, 1967 – Cypress Estates, Inc.

Pre-1967 – Jackson Heights Associates

6. Municipal File Review

ESI reviewed information from the following sources:

Tax – ESI reviewed property tax information through the New York City on-line ACRIS system.

Building – ESI reviewed the New York City Department of Buildings (DOB) on-line Building Information System (BIS) for information on the site. The BIS contained building information, permits, and violations. No environmental concerns were noted. A May 15, 1997 Certificate of Occupancy shows seven stores in the building. Store names were not provided.

7. Prior Environmental Assessments

ESI was not provided with any former environmental assessments of the property.

E. Historical Use Information on Adjoining Properties

The following information summarizes the historic use of properties adjoining the site:

- North – Residential
- East – NY Telephone (commercial), restaurant
- South – Kissena Boulevard, commercial, gas station/auto repair
- West – Commercial stores

V. SITE RECONNAISSANCE

A. Methodology and Limiting Conditions

Ms. Betty Lou Resotka, Environmental Scientist of ESI, accompanied by Mr. Irving Aaron of Birchwood Park Associates, the property owner, performed the site inspection on January 4, 2007. The interior and exterior of the structure were observed to the extent not obstructed by adjacent buildings or other obstacles. Photographs are provided in Appendix B. ESI inspected all the leaseholds and the basement of the supermarket. ESI inspected the utility room in the basement for the separate stores, but did not inspect the locked individual basement storage areas for the bakery, gift shop and Laundromat.

B. On-Site Operations/Manufacturing/Process Equipment

Gold City operates from the anchor store in the building and occupies three additional storefronts. The store specializes in Asian foods. The laundromat on the property contains washers and dryers, and no dry cleaning units were identified. No concerns were noted in the gift shop or bakery.

C. Chemical and Petroleum Use and Storage

Two 5-gallon plastic buckets were observed near the hydraulic elevator in the food store basement. No oil staining was observed in this area. The trash compactor for Gold City is located behind the building and also uses a hydraulic system, where the oil reservoir is located next to the building and the lines for the hydraulic system cross the asphalt to the unit. Minor oil staining was observed on the asphalt and concrete under the dumpster.

D. Solid and Hazardous Waste

Solid waste generated by the individual tenants is collected in private dumpsters behind the building. Cardboard at Gold City is compacted and recycled. No hazardous wastes are currently generated.

E. Releases or Spills

None observed or determined through the assessment.

F. Groundwater Wells

None observed or determined through the assessment.

G. Water Discharges

Stormwater from the parking lot is directed to stormwater catch basins on the north and south sides of the property. A hose was observed next to the catch basin behind Gold City, and water was evident on the ground surface.

H. Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCBs)

No transformers or other sources of PCBs were observed on the property or determined through the assessment.

I. Drains and Sumps

Floor drains are located in the bakery and food store, and discharged to the city sewer system. Recycled water and wastewater from the fresh fish department in Gold City is discharged to the basement, where there are a series of basins and settling tanks. All wastewater in the building discharges to the city sewer system.

J. Potential Asbestos-Containing Material (ACM)

The building was constructed in 1961, and the leaseholds have been renovated with each use. No specific Asbestos-Containing Materials were identified on the observed surfaces, although it may be contained in some of the building materials. Prior to any repair or renovation work, an asbestos survey should be conducted.

K. Off-Site Concerns

None observed or determined through the assessment.

VI. INTERVIEWS

As part of the Phase I of the property, ESI interviewed Mr. Ron Horowitz of Birchwood Associates, the property owner. Mr. Horowitz indicated that Birchwood constructed the building in the 1960s, and was not aware of any former underground oil tanks or other environmental concerns on the site.

VII. RECOGNIZED ENVIRONMENTAL CONDITIONS (RECs)

ESI completed the Phase I of the subject property in accordance with the scope and limitations of ASTM Practice 1527-05. Any exceptions to, or deletions from, this practice are noted in appropriate sections of this report. RECs are defined as the presence or likely presence of any hazardous substances or petroleum products under conditions that indicate an existing release, past release or a material threat of a release into structures on the property or into the ground, groundwater or surface waters of the property. Historic RECs are RECs previously remediated to government standards. De minimis conditions are those that do not present a threat to human health or the environment, and would not be the subject of an enforcement action by a government agency. Data Gaps are a lack of, or inability to obtain information required by the practice despite good faith efforts to gather the information.

A. RECs

1. Kissena Park French Dry Cleaners – A dry cleaner formerly operated at 46-21 Kissena Boulevard, the current laundromat leasehold. The facility's EPA ID Number was NYD080526247, and manifest records show that hazardous wastes were disposed in 1986. The existence of a historic dry cleaner on the property poses a significant recognized environmental condition due to the use of the dry cleaning solvent, perchloroethylene. Solvent and waste storage, machine leaks, condensate discharges, lint storage, and spills are potential sources of perchloroethylene contamination at a site. ESI recommends further evaluation of the site to determine if the former dry cleaning operations have caused soil or groundwater contamination on the property. In addition, the status of the EPA ID Number on the property should be determined, so that it can be deactivated by the property owner if it is found to be an active RCRA number.
2. Heating History – The building is currently heated by natural gas through HVAC units on the roof. The former heating system appears to have been located in the basement of the building, although the fuel source was not confirmed. One brick chimney was observed in the back of the building. No

oil fill or vent lines, or other indications of former oil usage were identified during the site inspection. However, the former heat system should be confirmed through further investigation.

3. Potential Asbestos Containing Materials (ACM) – The building was constructed in 1961, and although the leaseholds have been renovated, asbestos containing materials may be found in materials used prior to 1980. No damaged materials were observed in the inspected areas. An evaluation of potential ACM surfaces should be conducted to insure proper handling and disposal of the materials.

B. De Minimis Conditions

1. Storm Drain Discharges – A hose was observed next to the stormwater catch basin behind Gold City, and water was evident on the ground surface in this area. Tenants in the building should not be discharging any wash waters to the storm drains on the property.

C. Historic RECs

None identified during this assessment.

D. Data Gaps

1. Basement Inspection – ESI inspected the basement of food store, and the utility room for the other leaseholds, but was not able to inspect the individual basement storage areas. No environmental concerns are expected in the basement of the bakery, gift shop, or Laundromat.

VIII. CONCLUSIONS

ESI has performed this Phase I in conformance with the scope and limitations of ASTM Practice E 1527-05 of Birchwood Shopping Center 46-15 to 46-31 Kissena Boulevard, Flushing, Queens County, NY 11355, the property. Any exceptions to, or deletions from, this practice are described in Section I of this report. This assessment has revealed no evidence of RECs in connection with the property except for those identified in Section VII. A.

IX. DEVIATIONS

ESI did not deviate from the scope of service outlined in Section I of this report.

X. REFERENCES

The following references were used in the preparation of this report:

1. EDR Environmental Databases
2. Sanborn Fire Insurance Maps
3. Aerial Photographs
4. City Directory
5. Historic Topographic Maps
6. Environmental Lien Report

XI. SIGNATURE(S) OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROFESSIONAL(S)

We declare that, to the best of our professional knowledge and belief, we meet the definition of Environmental Professional, as defined in the USEPA All Appropriate Inquiry Standard, 40 CFR, Part 312.10. We have the specific qualifications based on education, training, and experiences to assess a property of the nature, history, and setting of the subject property. We have developed and performed all appropriate inquiries in conformance with the standards and practices set forth in 40 CFR, Part 312.



Betty Lou Resotka, Environmental Scientist
Enviro-Sciences (of Delaware), Inc.



Joseph W. Pilewski, Sr. Vice President
Enviro-Sciences (of Delaware), Inc.

XII. QUALIFICATIONS OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROFESSIONAL(S)

Qualifications of the environmental professionals are provided in Appendix I.