New York State Department of Environmental Conservation **Division of Environmental Remediation** Remedial Bureau C, 11th Floor 625 Broadway, Albany, New York 12233-7014 Phone: (518) 402-9662 • Fax: (518) 402-9679 Website: www.dec.ny.gov



Commissioner

Via E-mail and Regular Mail

April 15, 2013

Mr. David Lloyds Beacon Terminals Associates, LLP. 18 East 22nd Street New York, NY 10021

> Ref: Remedial Alternative Analysis Beacon Terminal, Site No: C314117 City of Beacon, Dutchess County

Dear Mr. Lloyds,

The New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (Department) in consultation with The New York State Department of Health (NYSDOH) has reviewed the Remedial Alternative Analysis (RAA), March 2013 prepared by Ecosystems Strategies, Inc. and finds to be acceptable to prepare a Remedial Work Plan (RWP).

Please submit a RWP to within 30 days of the date of this letter. RWP must meet the requirements of Chapter 5.3 of DER-10.

Please be advised that the cover system recommended by above RAA to allow for restricted residential use of the site encompasses entire "site". The cover shall consist either of the structures such as buildings, pavement, sidewalks comprising the site development or a soil cover in areas where the upper two feet of exposed surface soil will exceed the applicable soil cleanup objectives (SCOs). Where the soil cover is required it will be a minimum of two feet of soil, meeting the SCOs for cover material as set forth in 6 NYCRR Part 375-6.7(d) for restricted residential use. The soil cover will be placed over a demarcation layer, with the upper six inches of the soil of sufficient quality to maintain a vegetation layer. Any fill material brought to the site will meet the requirements for the identified site use as set forth in 6 NYCRR Part 375-6.7(d).

RWP must describe the precautions to be taken while constructing the remedy to avoid impacting threatened plants species which may be present at the site and in the vicinity. Also, if the remedy construction has potential to disturb the banks of the Fishkill Creek, appropriate control measures and restoration plan should be included in the RWP.

Upon finding RWP to be acceptable, the Department will issue a Proposed Decision Document (PDD) outlining the proposed remedy that will be subject to a public comment period. The PDD will require Site Management Plan (SMP) which includes (Institutional Controls and Engineering Controls-IC/EC) and call for the need of an environmental easement.

If you have any questions, please contact me at 518-402-9662.

100 S 1 1000

Sincerely,

New York State Department of Environmental Contaivation

Parag Amin, P.E. Project Manager Remedial Section B Remedial Bureau C

ec : Paul Ciminello, Ecosystems Strategies, Inc Perretta, NYSDOH D. Crosby, DER

Dear Mr. Lloyds,

The New York State Department of Environmental Consultation (Department) in consultation with The New York State Department of Health (NYSDOH) has reviewed the Remedial Alternative Analysis (RAA), March 2013 preprint by Receptores Strategies, Inc. ata finds to be acceptable to prepare a Remedial Work Plan (RVP).

Please submit a RWP to within 30 days of the date of this letter. RWP must meet the solution ents of Chapter 5.2 of DBR-10.

Please be advaged that he cover system adoptinged alloy above RAA to allow far restricted residential use of the alte uncompasses with "The cover shall consist either of the structures such as buildings, processed, sidewaller compliaing the auti-development or a soil eaver is area, where the interestive fee of expressed surface oil will exceed the applicable soil cleanup objectives (SCOs). Where the soil cover is required it will be a minimum of two fees of soil, meeting the SCOs for cover muterial as set forth in 6 N YCRR Part 315-6 7(d) for restricted residential use. The and cover will be electrone tays a demand from love, with the applicable six menter of the soil of sufficient quality to maximum a vegetation lays. Any fill material brought to the site will need the requirements for the identified site use as set in the in 6 NYCRR Part 375-6 7(d).

R WP must describe the presentions to be taken while constructing the tendedy to avoid impacting threatened plants species which may be present a the site and in the vicinity. Also, if the remedy construction has potential to disturb the banks of the Fibbell Creek, appropriate control measures and restoration plan should be individed in the RWP.

Upon finding RWP to be acceptable, the Department will teste a Proposed Decision Document (PDD) outlining the proposed remedy that will be subject to a public comment period. The PDD will require Site Management Plan (SMP) which includes (Institutional Controls and Engineering Controls-IC EC) and call for the read of the environmental essences.

REMEDIAL ALTERNATIVES ANALYSIS

Prepared for the

Beacon Terminal Site

NYSDEC BCP Site: C314117

Located at

555 South Avenue City of Beacon Dutchess County, New York

January 2011 (Revised March 2013)

ESI File: BB04157.51

Prepared By



24 Davis Avenue, Poughkeepsie, NY 12603 phone 845.452.1658 | fax 845.485.7083 | ecosystemsstrategies.com

and

Morris Associates Engineering Consultants, PLLC 9 Elks Lane Poughkeepsie, NY 12601

Ecosystems Strategies, Inc.

REMEDIAL ALTERNATIVES ANALYSIS

Prepared for the

Beacon Terminal Site

NYSDEC BCP Site: C314117

Located at

555 South Avenue City of Beacon Dutchess County, New York

January 2011 (Revised March 2013)

ESI File: BB04157.51

Prepared By:

Prepared For:

Ecosystems Strategies, Inc. 24 Davis Avenue Poughkeepsie, New York 12603

and

Morris Associates Engineering Consultants, PLLC 9 Elks Lane Poughkeepsie, New York 12601 Beacon Terminal Associates, LLP 18 East 22nd Street New York, New York 10010

Paul & Catto

Paul H. Ciminello Ecosystems Strategies, Inc. President

Péter Setaro P.E. / V Morris Associates PLLC Professional Engineer #077008

Ecosystems Strategies, Inc.

CERTIFICATION

. Ng Sin

I, Peter D. Setaro, certify that I am currently a New York State registered professional engineer as defined in 6 NYCRR Part 375 and that this <u>Remedial Alternative Analysis</u> was prepared in accordance with all applicable statutes and regulations and in substantial conformance with the DER Technical Guidance for Site Investigation and Remediation (DER-10) and that all activities were performed in full accordance with the DER-approved work plan and any DER-approved modifications.

Peter Setaro P.E

Professional Engineer #077008





TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	INTR(1.1 1.2	DUCTION
2.0	SITE 2.1 2.2	NVIRONMENTAL CONDITIONS
3.0	REME 3.1 3.2	DIAL ALTERNATIVES ANALYSIS
	3.3	Identification/Preliminary Screening of Alternatives 3.3.1 Identification of Possible Remedial Alternatives 3.3.2 Preliminary Screening of Alternatives 3.3.2.1 No Further Action Alternative 3.3.2.2 Full Soil Removal Alternative 3.3.2.3 Partial Soil Removal/In-Situ Remediation Alternative
TABLI	3.4	 3.3.3 Preliminary Comparative Analysis of Alternatives Detailed Analysis of Remedial Alternatives 3.4.1 Common Elements and Considerations 3.4.2 Detailed Analysis of Alternatives 3.4.2.1 Full Soil Removal Alternative 3.4.2.2 Partial Soil Removal/In-Situ Remediation Alternative 3.4.3 Comparative Analysis of Alternatives 3.4.3.1 Overall Protection of Human Health and the Environment 3.4.3.2 Compliance with Standards Criteria and Guidance Values 3.4.3.4 Long Term Effectiveness 3.4.3.5 Reduction of Toxicity, Mobility and Volume 3.4.3.6 Feasibility 3.4.3.7 Community Acceptance 3.4.3.9 Cost 3.4.4 Recommendation of Preferred Alternative
Iable	1: Sum	nary of Alternative Technologies Subject to Screening9
APPE	NDICES	:
A	Figure	; 1 - Site Location Map 2 - Full Soil Removal Alternative – Proposed Site Remediation Map

- 3 Full/Partial Soil Removal Alternatives Western Parking Area Proposed Remediation Map
- 4 Partial Soil Removal/In-Situ Remediation Alternative Proposed Site Remediation Map
- B Cost Estimates for Remedial Alternatives (Not Provided in Public Copies)
- C Fish and Wildlife Impact Analysis



PAGE 1 OF 22 REVISED MARCH 2013

1.0 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Purpose

Ecosystems Strategies, Inc. (ESI) and Morris Associates PLLC (MA) has prepared this <u>Remedial</u> <u>Alternatives Analysis</u> (<u>RAA</u>) in order to summarize an analysis of potential remedial alternatives for proposed environmental response actions, at the Beacon Terminal property (hereafter referred to as the "Site"), located at 555 South Avenue, City of Beacon, Dutchess County, New York. The proposed environmental response actions address known environmental conditions at the Site, documented in the <u>Remedial Investigation Report</u> (<u>RIR</u>), dated January 2011 and revised December 2012. All work was performed in general conformance with regulations specified in 6 NYCRR Part 375 (Environmental Remediation Programs) and applicable NYSDEC guidance documents (<u>Division of Environmental Remediation-10</u>, <u>Technical Guidance for Site Investigation</u> and Remediation [DER-10] and <u>Draft Brownfield Cleanup Program Guide</u> [BCP Guide]).

The <u>Remedial Alternatives Analysis</u> (<u>RAA</u>) identifies and evaluates alternatives for mitigating documented contamination and/or controlling the impacts of such contamination. Through a process of identifying potential remedies and screening each relative to a predetermined set of criteria, a remedial response is selected that is technically feasible, protective of human health and the environment, cost-effective, and consistent with the local objectives for the property.

1.2 Site Information

1.2.1 Site Location and Description

The Site consists of 11.07 acres located in the City of Beacon, Dutchess County, New York (tax parcel: Section 5954, Block 16, Lot 751258). The Site is located adjacent to the northern edge of Fishkill Creek (the southeast corner of the Site includes a portion of the creek and the southern bank), approximately 2,000 feet east of the Hudson River, and has overall southerly (towards the Creek) slopes. A Site Location Map is provided as Figure 1 in Appendix A.

The Site is presently improved with eight vacant industrial buildings (B-1, B-2, B-3, B-4, B-5 [A and B], B-6, B-7, and B-8) formerly used for various manufacturing and warehousing purposes. These buildings occupy approximately fifty percent of the Site; the remainder of the property includes paved parking areas, paved drives, and undeveloped grassland and woodlands.

1.2.2 Site History

The Site has a long history of known industrial use. A Site sketch and description, obtained from the Beacon Historical Society, depicts three buildings (now buildings B-1 and B-2) on-site. These buildings were constructed in 1878 as the Tioranda Hat Works. Building B-1 is described as an engine room and boiler house, and building B-2 is described as the main factory, housing felting, dyeing, carding, and wool sorting operations. Information regarding specific historical material handling, storage, and disposal is not readily available. However, review of historic Sanborn Fire Insurance Maps indicates that the Site was occupied by the Tioranda Hat Works until at least 1919. Three of the present-day buildings (B-1, B-2, and B-4) were on-site at that time, with dyeing operations in the portions of buildings B-2 and B-4 most proximal to Fishkill Creek. Sanborn maps depict on-site hatworks facilities until at least 1946.

By 1962, the complex, comprising all buildings currently on Site, is called "Beacon Terminal". Six of the buildings are depicted as being in use by the Atlas Fiber Company, a fiber reclaimer, while one building (B-5A and B-5B) is occupied by Chemical Rubber Products, Inc. and one building (B-7) is occupied by BASF Colors & Chemicals. From approximately 1972 to 1995, the buildings were used for storage by various occupants. The buildings have remained vacant since 1995.



Page 2 of 22 Revised March 2013

1.2.3 Proposed Future Usage of the Site

The Site is presently improved with eight vacant industrial buildings formerly used for various manufacturing and warehousing purposes. These buildings occupy approximately fifty percent of the Site; the remainder of the property includes paved parking areas, paved drives, and undeveloped grassland and woodlands.

It is ESI and MA's understanding that the Site is proposed for re-use as a residential condominium complex with limited commercial uses at the completion of remedial activities. According to the redevelopment plan, buildings B-1 and B-2 will remain on Site while all other buildings (B-3, B-4, B-5A, B-5B, B-6, B-7, and B-8) will be razed to accommodate construction of the complex.



Page 3 of 22 Revised March 2013

2.0 SITE ENVIRONMENTAL CONDITIONS

This section provides a summary of known and suspected Site environmental conditions. The findings of all previous environmental investigations performed to date are detailed in ESI's <u>RIR</u>, which was performed according to the NYSDEC approved <u>Remedial Investigation Work Plan</u> (<u>RIWP</u>, dated July 2007 and revised October 2007) and the <u>Supplemental Remedial Investigation</u> <u>Work Plan</u> (<u>SRIWP</u>, dated June 2008 and revised November 2008).

2.1 Nature and Extent of Contamination

Analyte concentrations above Restricted Residential Use SCOs are present on the Site.

Significantly elevated concentrations of toluene were found in the vicinity of the abandoned rail spur and wood line. Low concentrations of toluene (at or below guidance levels) were detected in subsurface soils beneath the northwest corner of building B-5A (located in a small enclosed room) and the northeast corner of building B-5B.

Elevated concentrations of PCBs and metals (primarily lead and mercury), were found on the southwest corner of the Site, primarily in the areas where degraded fabric was observed on both sides of the Fisherman's Trail, raising the potential that soils with elevated PCBs could extend off-site. In addition, an elevated concentration of lead was detected southwest of building B-5B.

Elevated levels of PAHs (above guidance levels) were detected in surface soils throughout the Site and in subsurface soils in and near the area of the macadam parking lot. Low levels of pesticides (below guidance levels) were detected in surface soils throughout the Site. An elevated concentration of trichloroethene in soil gas was detected in the vicinity of building B-7.

These findings support the conclusion former commercial/industrial uses have impacted Site soils; areas with significantly elevated contaminant levels, however, are generally restricted to well-defined portions of the Site.

Site groundwater has not been significantly impacted due to on-site contamination and no significant contamination was encountered in Site surface-water, or sediment. It is also noted that water for domestic purposes is available in the local area from the municipality.

2.2 Exposure Assessment

An exposure assessment was conducted to qualitatively assess the potential impacts of known environmental contaminants from the existing Site on human health, cognizant of all possible exposure pathways (i.e. ingestion, inhalation, and direct contact). Both current (existing conditions) and future use (proposed multi-unit residential and/or commercial development) scenarios were considered.

The primary contaminant present in Site soils is toluene, located in subsurface soils beneath the sub-slab of building B-5B and on the northwest corner of the Site. In addition, metals (primarily lead and mercury) and PCBs were detected in near-surface soils located to the southwest of the western parking area and west of the Fisherman's Trail.

To a lesser degree, PAHs, metals, and pesticides were detected in surface soils throughout the Site, with hot-spots located near the southwest corner of building B-5B, south of building B-5A, and in the transformer cage located to the northeast of building B-2.

Low-level contamination was detected in soil gas, groundwater, and sediment. No significant contamination was detected in surface water. All potential exposure pathways for each media were identified in the current and future scenario.



Page 4 of 22 Revised March 2013

Current Scenario

Under the current scenario the Site will remain vacant; therefore, Site trespassers are the likely receptor population.

Soil Gas

Inhalation is the most like route of exposure to low-level contamination in on-site soil gas in the vicinity of building B-7. Access to soil gas is currently limited by the restricted entrance to the interior of building B-7. This restriction minimizes the exposure to trichoroethene in soil gas by trespassers.

Soils

No existing or potential exposure pathways (through direct contact, inhalation or ingestion) for onsite contaminated subsurface soils are anticipated as subsurface soils will not be disturbed during the current scenario.

Potential exposure pathways for contaminated surface soils, direct contact and ingestion, are anticipated during the current scenario due to limited access to surface soils. Access to surface soils is currently limited by coverage of soils with buildings, limited security fencing, and asphalt. In addition, heavy vegetation covers surface soils in the area near the trails. These restrictions minimize chronic exposure to contaminants in surface soils, although acute exposure may exist if surface soils were to be uncovered.

Groundwater

Ingestion and direct contact are the most like route of exposure to low-level TAL metals contamination in on-site groundwater. On-site groundwater is not being used for drinking water at the Site, as the area is served by the public water supply. Site trespassers could come into contact with the groundwater if they perform ground intrusive work at the Site.

Sediments

Ingestion and direct contact are the most likely route of exposure to low-level TAL metals and PCB contamination in sediments along the Fishkill Creek. Site trespassers could come into contact with sediments if they come into contact with off-shore sediment during recreational activities.

Surface Water

No significant contamination is present in surface water. No significant existing or potential exposure pathways for migration of contamination are anticipated during the current scenario.

Future Scenario (multi-unit residential complex)

In conjunction with construction activities, remedial activities will take place at the Site in order to address soil contamination. Remedial activities are expected to remove and reduce contamination at the Site (see Section 5.0, below). Trespassers, construction workers, remediation personnel, and users of adjoining properties are likely the receptor populations.

Soil Gas

Inhalation of soil gas is the likely route of exposure for receptor populations. The implementation of a <u>Health and Safety Plan</u> (<u>HASP</u>, incorporating a Community Health and Safety Plan), and a <u>Community Air Monitoring Plan</u> (<u>CAMP</u>), will mitigate possible impacts to the on-site and off-site receptor populations.



PAGE 5 OF 22 REVISED MARCH 2013

The potential exist for low-level VOC contamination in soil to remain on-site after development activities. Inhalation of soil vapors is a potential route of exposure for receptor populations (on-site workers, users, and users of adjoining properties). A Sub-Slab Depressurization System (SSDS) is proposed in order to remove any potential vapors that might accumulate beneath all new on-site structures.

Soil

Contaminated soils are a potential source of concern during development activities. Site clearing, soil excavation and removal, and soil grading activities are the most likely release and transport mechanism for contaminants. Inhalation of dust generated on-site, and direct contact with soils, are the likely routes of exposure. The implementation of a <u>HASP</u> and a <u>CAMP</u> will mitigate possible impacts to the on-site and off-site receptor populations. In addition, security fencing and signage will discourage site access to trespassers. Any development activity that involves soil disturbance will require monitoring and mitigation plans to address potential dust generation and contaminant migration.

The potential exist for low-level contamination in soil to remain on-site after development activities. Access to low-level contamination in surface soils will be limited by paved areas, building footprints, and a barrier layer of at least two feet of soil (in specified areas). No potential exposure pathways through direct contact or ingestion for low-level contamination in subsurface soils are anticipated, as subsurface soils will not be disturbed following remediation and construction.

Groundwater

Direct contact with on-site groundwater during construction and periodic sampling is a potential route of exposure for receptor populations. During Site development activities, groundwater exposure will be controlled by strict health and safety protocols. No current use of groundwater exits and no future use is proposed.

Sediments

Ingestion and direct contact are the most like route of exposure to low-level TAL metals and PCB contamination in sediments along the Fishkill Creek. Receptor populations could come into contact with sediments if they come into contact with off-shore sediment during recreational activities.

Surface Water

No significant contamination is present in surface water. No significant existing or potential exposure pathways for migration of contamination are anticipated during the future scenario.



PAGE 6 OF 22 REVISED MARCH 2013

3.0 REMEDIAL ALTERNATIVES ANALYSIS

Section 3.0 of this <u>RAA</u> summarizes the screening process for various remedial alternatives (Section 3.1 and Section 3.2), provides a brief description of each potential remedial alternative (Section 3.3), and presents a thorough analysis of the alternatives with the intent of selecting the most appropriate alternative for this Site (Section 3.4).

3.1 Overview of Screening Process

In order to identify and screen potential remedial technologies, remedial objectives and clean-up criteria have been established. These objectives and criteria are based on NYSDEC regulations (6 NYCRR Part 375) and applicable guidance documents (e.g., <u>DER-10</u>), community input, and risk-based assessments. These criteria are also a function of known environmental conditions on this Site.

Based on the media that are subject to potential remediation, an initial screening of various potential technologies is conducted (see Section 3.3, below). For each alternative, this screening considers three factors: the feasibility of each technology specific to the Site; the estimated cost of implementation; and, the effectiveness in achieving the Site-specific objectives. Remedial approaches that are determined not to be feasible, cost-effective, or sufficiently effective are dropped from further consideration.

The technologies that pass the initial screening are then assessed in greater detail in Section 3.4, using the criteria set forth in Section 3.2.2. The various alternatives are also qualitatively compared to each other to assess which is most successful at achieving each individual criterion (Section 3.4.3), a process instrumental in identifying a preferred alternative (Section 3.4.4).

3.2 Screening Methodology

This section provides a discussion of the overall remedial objectives for this Site (Section 3.2.1) and the methodology used in screening potential remedial alternatives (Section 3.2.2). The goals specified below are consistent with NYSDEC procedures outlined in <u>DER-10</u>.

3.2.1 Remedial Objectives

The remedial objectives considered to be appropriate for this Site have been determined through a process established for this purpose by the NYSDEC. A significant element in that process is the proposed future use of a particular site, so that potential remedial actions can be assessed, and a preferred remedial action can be ultimately recommended and selected that is compatible with the intended future use. As stated above (see Section 1.2.3), this Site is proposed for use as a residential condominium complex.

It is the overall objective of this project to implement remedial actions that provide for the appropriate level of protection of the public health and environment. To the extent feasible and practical, such protection should be maintained for as long as the Site is used for the most sensitive purpose around which the protection was designed (i.e., multi-unit residential development). Objectives are set forth for each media of concern to ensure that appropriate levels of remediation are achieved. Objectives include the protection of public health and also the environmental health of the Site (including wildlife). For this Site, the media warranting remediation include: soils impacted by toluene, PCBs, PAHs, and metals; and soil gas impacted by trichloroethene.



Page 7 of 22 Revised March 2013

The following remedial objective and guidance levels for soil have been established:

- The remedial objective for soil consists of the elimination, to the extent practical, of potential direct human or wildlife exposure to contamination in on-site soils. Guidance levels for all compounds in soil will be based on NYSDEC Remedial Program Soil Cleanup Objectives (SCOs) for Restricted Residential Use, as provided in 6 NYCRR Subpart 375, Table 375-6.8(a).
- The remedial objective for soil gas consists of the elimination, to the extent practical, of
 potential direct human or wildlife exposure to contamination in on-site soil gas. Guidance
 levels for all compounds in soil gas will be based on New York State Department of Health
 (NYSDOH) Guidance for Evaluating Soil Vapor Intrusion in the State of New York, dated
 October 2006.

No remedial objectives were established for groundwater, surface-water, and sediment as these mediums do not warrant remediation at this time.

3.2.2 NYSDEC Review Criteria

Potential technologies and specific Site remedial alternatives are analyzed relative to criteria developed by the NYSDEC outlined in 6 NYCRR Part 375 and <u>DER-10</u>. This section discusses each of these criteria, with particular concern for their relevance to this Site. The following review criteria have been developed to address the technical and policy considerations that are used in selecting the preferred remedial alternative:

- 1. <u>Overall Protection of Human Health and the Environment</u>: The community's post-remedial exposure to affected materials is evaluated. The surrounding environment's exposure is also evaluated. All media that could directly or indirectly affect the community are evaluated including: air, groundwater, soils, sediments, surface waters, and wildlife vectors.
- 2. <u>Compliance with Standards, Criteria, and Guidance Values (SCGs)</u>: Detected compounds of concern are compared to relevant federal, state, or local regulatory standards, guidance levels, or health risk limits. SCGs for media to be directly or indirectly remediated are presented in Section 3.1.6, above.
- 3. <u>Short-term Effectiveness</u>: Short-term effectiveness is measured relative to the level of impacts and risks to the community (including workers) during remediation activities. Also, any other impacts to the environment are assessed, as well as the time necessary to implement each alternative.
- 4. <u>Long-term Effectiveness and Permanence</u>: Long-term effectiveness and permanence of the remedial action is assessed. The ultimate objective is to promote a remedial alternative that is effective for the time period that this Site will be in use. In addition, residual risks are evaluated, and the adequacy and reliability of proposed controls are assessed as they relate to the proposed remedy and the surrounding community.
- 5. <u>Reduction of Toxicity, Mobility, and Volume</u>: The remedy's ability to provide reduction in toxicity, mobility, and volume of the identified contaminants of concern is assessed. This assessment includes the anticipated reduction in volume, and the post-remedial mobility and toxicity of remaining Site contaminants.
- 6. <u>Implementability</u>: The suitability of each alternative is analyzed in relation to site-specific conditions, as well as how reasonable is its implementation. As part of this assessment, the availability of services and materials, and the alternative's cost-effectiveness is considered.



PAGE 8 OF 22 REVISED MARCH 2013

- 7. <u>Community Acceptance</u>: The people most directly impacted by the final selection of a Site remedy are the inhabitants of the local community. The concerns of the community are assessed in conjunction with the first six criteria. Community acceptance is evaluated following the public comment period; however, within this <u>RAA</u>, the issues most likely to be of concern, or generate controversy, are discussed.
- 8. <u>Land Use</u>: Consideration is given to the current and future land uses of the Site and its surroundings. Factors taken into consideration in the land use evaluation consist of: historical and recent development patterns; surrounding land use (e.g. residential, commercial, agricultural); cultural and natural resources; floodplains; environmental justice concerns; federal or state land use designations; population growth; accessibility to infrastructure; vulnerability of groundwater; geography and geology; and, current institutional controls.
- 9. <u>Cost</u>: Consideration is given to the costs associated with each potential remedial alternative. A cost for each alternative is formulated based on reasonably foreseeable expenses (both initial and long term costs). Costs that not easily quantified are also identified.

3.3 Identification/Preliminary Screening of Alternatives

This section identifies and assesses remedial alternatives that have been selected for possible implementation on the Site. These alternatives are identified utilizing the remedial objectives (see Section 3.2.1, above) as a guide.

Subsequent to identification, each alternative is assessed relative to the review criteria specified by the NYSDEC for BCP sites. Specifically, each alternative is assessed relative to:

- Overall Protection of Human Health and the Environment
- Compliance with Standards, Criteria, and Guidance Values
- Short-term Effectiveness
- Long-term Effectiveness and Permanence
- Reduction of Toxicity, Mobility, and Volume
- Implementability
- Community Acceptance
- Land Use
- Cost

3.3.1 Identification of Possible Remedial Alternatives

This section identifies potential remedial options; a summary of options is provided in Table 1, below. Preliminary screening and comparison of the alternatives is provided below in Sections 3.3.2 and 3.3.3.



PAGE 9 OF 22 REVISED MARCH 2013

Table 1: Summary of Alternative Technologies Subject to Screening

Alternative	Benefits	Deficiencies	
No Further Action (Section 3.3.2.1)	Easily implementedNo additional costs	 No short- or long-term effectiveness Not protective of human health or the environment 	
Full Soil Removal (Section 3.3.2.2)	 Protective of human health and the environment Long- & short-term effectiveness Allows for flexible Site re-use 	 Moderate cost Relatively easy to implement Potential for remaining inaccessible contamination requiring institutional controls Disturbance of any natural communities in the areas excavated 	
Partial Soil Removal and In-Situ Remediation Alternative (Section 3.3.2.3)	 Protective of human health and the environment Long- & short-term effectiveness Allows for flexible Site re-use 	 Moderate cost Potentially difficult to implement due to location of contaminant (overburden above groundwater table) Extended timeline due to the potential need for bench scale and pilot testing If bench scale and pilot testing unsuccessful, would need to implement soil removal option 	

3.3.2 Preliminary Screening of Alternatives

The alternatives identified above for this Site are summarized below, and are evaluated for effectiveness, implementability, and cost. Alternatives passing the preliminary assessment are thoroughly described and analyzed in Section 3.4, below.

3.3.2.1 No Further Action Alternative

Description

The No Further Action Alternative would involve no active remediation of the Site. In this alternative, it is assumed that the existing buildings would remain and all existing contaminated media would remain in place. No attempt to minimize, treat, or eliminate known on-site contaminants would occur. Consideration of this alternative is required by the NYSDEC to establish a baseline against which other alternatives are compared.



PAGE 10 OF 22 Revised March 2013

Feasibility

The No Further Action Alternative would be simple to carry out. No local approvals would be required for implementation, no resources would be required and no changes would be made to the Site.

<u>Cost</u>

The costs associated with this alternative are related to site security and long term monitoring of onsite groundwater (for budgetary purposes, five years of monitoring is assumed). Projected costs for this alternative are \$97,900, as detailed in Appendix B.

Effectiveness

The No Further Action Alternative is not considered to be protective of human health and the environment in either the short or long term. Site access will remain relatively unrestricted and the potential will therefore exist for contact by future Site users with toluene, PCB, and metals-contaminated soils, which will remain on-site. Based on these findings, it is concluded that the No Further Action Alternative does not meet the requirement for long-term protection of public health from the known on-site contaminants.

3.3.2.2 Full Soil Removal Alternative

Description

The Full Soil Removal Alternative would involve:

- Installation of security fencing to discourage site access and potential exposure to trespassers;
- Site clearing and demolition of several existing structures (at this time it is anticipated that buildings B-1 and B-2, will remain on-site and be renovated as part of Site development);
- Excavation of lead contaminated soils west of building 5B at the location of sample 2HB-02;
- Removal of accessible subsurface soils known or suspected to contain elevated levels of toluene (northwest and north central portion of the Site) and surface/near surface soils located to the south and west of the western parking area containing elevated levels of PCBs, PAHs, and/or metals contamination (generally areas observed to contain degraded fabric from former on-site activities) above SCOs outlined in the NYSDEC BCP for Restricted Residential Use;
- Back-filling excavated areas not subject to construction with certified clean fill soils;
- Importation of a clean fill cover for surface soils with low level exceedences of PAHs, and metals. A two foot layer of clean fill will be installed above a demarcation layer for areas not covered with new structures, roadways, parking lots, or other impervious surfaces. A one foot layer of clean fill will be installed above a demarcation layer for areas with impervious surfaces, including new structures. Preliminary calculations suggest that approximately 30% of the Site will require the installation of such a soil cover, requiring the importation of approximately 10,600 cubic yards of clean fill. The locations of the two and the one foot layers of clean fill remain to be determined as precise site plans have not been developed (Note: Specific amount and location of clean fill material will be discussed in detail in the Remedial Action Work Plan.);



Page 11 of 22 Revised March 2013

- Installation of a Sub Slab Depressurization System for future buildings at locations where proposed on-site structures will over lay toluene impacted soils and the area with an elevated concentration of trichloroethene in soil gas (i.e. at the northwest corner of building B5A, northeast corner of B-5B, and in the vicinity of building B-7);
- Groundwater monitoring to document the continued absence of VOCs; and,
- Imposition of Institutional Controls (a deed restriction) to restrict future use of the site and implementation of a Site Management Plan to manage and document the integrity of the soil cover.

It is anticipated that as much as 1,500-2,000 cubic yards of soil will be removed as a result of contamination located on-site. If necessary, additional material will be excavated until all accessible contaminated Site soils are removed and clean end points are encountered. Proposed areas of excavation are depicted in Appendix A, Figures 2 and 3.

Feasibility

The Full Soil Removal Alternative is considered to be relatively easy to implement and technically feasible, although some soil may be practically inaccessible due to depth. Excavated soils and imported clean fill will be transported via trucks.

Cost

The costs associated with this alternative include: the design process; removal of on-site structures; excavation, removal, and proper disposal of contaminated soils; and importation and handling of clean fill materials. Associated laboratory costs for post-excavation confirmatory sampling will also be incurred. Total costs for the Full Soil Removal Alternative are estimated at \$851,400 as detailed in Appendix B.

Effectiveness

This alternative is effective in protecting human health and the environment. Impacted soil will be removed from the Site; however some contamination may remain if it is inaccessible due to depth or other factors (e.g., presence of utilities).

3.3.2.3 Partial Soil Removal/In-Situ Remediation Alternative

Description

The Partial Soil Removal/In-Situ Remediation Alternative would involve:

- Installation of security fencing to discourage site access and potential exposure to trespassers;
- Site clearing and demolition of several existing structures (at this time it is anticipated that buildings B-1 and B-2, will remain on-site and be renovated as part of Site development);
- Removal of surface/near surface soils located to the south and west of the western parking area containing elevated levels of PCBs, PAHs, and/or metals contamination (generally areas observed to contain degraded fabric from former on-site activities) above SCOs outlined in the NYSDEC BCP for Restricted Residential Use;
- Removal of limited area of surface soil to the southwest of building B-5B at sample location 2HB-02;



Page 12 of 22 Revised March 2013

- Back-filling excavated areas (as required for Site development) with certified clean fill;
- Implementing an in-situ remediation treatment (chemical oxidation) for the purpose of reducing toluene contamination in soils located beneath buildings B-5A and B-5B and on the northwestern corner of the Site near the abandoned rail spur;
- Importation of a clean fill cover for surface soils with low level exceedences of PAHs, and metals. A two foot layer of clean fill will be installed above a demarcation layer for areas not covered with new structures, roadways, parking lots, or other impervious surfaces. A one foot layer of clean fill will be installed above a demarcation layer for areas with impervious surfaces, including new structures. Preliminary calculations suggest that approximately 30% of the Site will require the installation of such a soil cover, requiring the importation of approximately 10,600 cubic yards of clean fill. The locations of the two and the one foot layers of clean fill remain to be determined as precise site plans have not been developed (Note: Specific amount and location of clean fill material will be discussed in detail in the Remedial Action Work Plan.);
- Installation of a Sub Slab Depressurization System for future buildings at locations where proposed on-site structures will over lay toluene impacted soils and the area with an elevated concentration of trichloroethene in soil gas (i.e. at the northwest corner of building B-5A, northeast corner of B-5B, and in the vicinity of building B-7);
- Groundwater monitoring to document the continued absence of VOCs; and,
- Imposition of Institutional Controls (a deed restriction) to restrict future use of the site and implementation of a Site Management Plan to manage and document the integrity of the soil cover.

Proposed areas of excavation and in-situ remediation are depicted in Appendix A, Figures 3 and 4.

Feasibility

The Partial Soil Removal/In-Situ Remediation Alternative is considered to be potentially difficult to implement and may require bench scale or pilot testing. In addition, it is likely that several treatment rounds will be necessary to remediate the areas with toluene contamination and that residual contamination may remain subsequent to the treatment which may require the installation of sub-slab depressurization systems in the proposed residential structures.

Appropriate coordination and management of remedial actions and Site development activities will be necessary to ensure that Site development does not interfere with the implementation of the Partial Soil Removal/In-Situ Remediation Alternative.

<u>Cost</u>

The costs associated with this alternative include: the design process; removal of on-site structures; excavation, removal, and proper disposal of contaminated PCB-containing soils; importation and handling of clean fill materials; and, implementation, monitoring and maintenance of in-situ remediation treatment. Professional and laboratory costs associated with the testing of the in-situ remediation treatment will also be incurred. Total costs for the Partial Soil Removal/In-Situ Remediation Alternative are \$778,910 as detailed in Appendix B.

Effectiveness

This alternative is effective in protecting human health and the environment.



PAGE 13 OF 22 REVISED MARCH 2013

3.3.3 Preliminary Comparative Analysis of Alternatives

The No Further Action Alternative is not consistent with the goals of the NYSDEC Brownfields program as they would not permit the re-use of the Site as planned by the Volunteer (multi-unit residential complex). Furthermore, this Alternative is not likely to meet the criteria of public acceptance and will not provide long-term protection of public health and the environment. Therefore, the No Further Action Alternative is not considered to be an appropriate remedial strategy for this Site.

The Full Soil Removal and Partial Soil Removal/In-Situ Remediation Alternatives are appropriate remedial strategies for this Site. These alternatives provide for effective long-term protection of public health and the environment. Additionally, because all significantly impacted soils are likely to be removed and/or treated, there will be more flexibility in future Site use. The Full Soil Removal and the Partial Soil Removal/In-Situ Remediation Alternatives are assessed in greater detail in Section 3.4, below.

3.4 Detailed Analysis of Remedial Alternatives

This Section provides a detailed analysis of the Full Soil Removal and Partial Soil Removal/In-Situ Remediation Alternatives. A detailed analysis is not warranted for the No Further Action Alternative.

3.4.1 Common Elements and Considerations

Several work elements are common to the Full Soil Removal and Partial Soil Removal/In-Situ Remediation Alternatives. By reference, these common elements are incorporated in the detailed description and/or implementation of these alternatives provided in Section 3.4.2.

Design Process

A full-scale remedial design is necessary for the project. Design components and design deliverables will be established in the design process for the preferred Remedial Alternative. The design process will occur in consultation with the NYSDEC and NYSDOH. The design components and design deliverables will be outlined in a separate <u>Remedial Action Work Plan (RAWP)</u> for the preferred remedial alternative (See Section 3.4.4, below). Specifications, drawings, and design details for the preferred remedial alternative will be presented in the <u>RAWP</u>, to be submitted at a later date.

Site Work Boundaries and Utility Locations

Prior to any substantive on-site remedial work, Site work boundaries and utility locations will be established. The Full Soil Removal and Partial Soil Removal/In-Situ Remediation Alternatives will both require utility "markouts". As part of this task, underground utility demarcations will be ordered from the appropriate utility providers. These demarcations will be field-checked prior to fieldwork activities. In addition, a geophysical survey utilizing a ground penetrating radar (GPR) may be necessary to identify utilities which may be present on-site. Security fencing will be installed to discourage site access to trespasser during remedial activities.

Site Clearing

All on-site structures, with the exception of the buildings B-1 and B-2, will be demolished prior to the implementation of remedial activities. Specifically, all on-site structures will be razed using mechanized equipment and hand tools, as required, after proper removal of all asbestos-containing materials. Any encountered waste materials will be disposed of in accordance with applicable NYSDEC regulations (6 NYCRR Part 360).



Page 14 of 22 Revised March 2013

Prior to any demolition, a <u>HASP</u> will be prepared for the selected alternative that provides comprehensive and appropriate protections for all on-site personnel and surrounding populations. The <u>HASP</u> will detail known and possible areas of concern. The <u>HASP</u> will include safety and monitoring plans that conform to the standards and requirements of applicable agencies, including the New York State Department of Labor (NYSDOL) and the Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA).

Soil Removal Activities and Confirmatory Soil Sampling

All soils will be excavated and disposed of in accordance with applicable regulations (6 NYCRR Part 360). Dewatering measures for soils below or in the proximity of the groundwater table will implemented, if necessary, but is not anticipated to be needed at this time. Soil sampling will be conducted during soil excavation in order to characterize soils for off-site disposal. Confirmatory endpoint sampling will be conducted to document the integrity of remaining soils. Soil sampling will be conducted according to protocols outlined in the <u>RAWP</u> and according to repository analytical requirements.

Personnel performing soil excavation and sampling will be properly trained in accordance with OSHA and NYSDOL requirements. Site personnel will be informed of site-specific concerns and properly instructed with regard to pertinent details. These concerns, details, and procedures will be detailed in the <u>RAWP</u>.

3.4.2 Detailed Analysis of Alternatives

3.4.2.1 Full Soil Removal Alternative

Description

The Full Soil Removal Alternative would include the common elements in Section 3.4.1, above, and the following tasks:

- Removal of accessible subsurface soils (800-1,200 cubic yards) known or suspected to contain elevated levels of toluene (northwest and north central portions of the Site), surface/near surface soils located to the south and west of the western parking area containing elevated levels of PCBs, PAHs, and/or metals contamination (generally areas observed to contain degraded fabric from former on-site activities), and limited areas of surface soil to the southwest of building B-5B (approximately 300 cubic yards) above SCOs outlined in the NYSDEC BCP for Restricted Residential Use;
- Excavation of contaminated soils west of building 5B at the location of sample 2HB-02;
- Back-filling excavated areas not subject to construction with certified clean fill soils;
- Importation of a clean fill cover for surface soils with low level exceedances of PAHs, and metals. A two foot layer of clean fill will be installed above a demarcation layer for areas not covered with new structures, roadways, parking lots, or other impervious surfaces. A one foot layer of clean fill will be installed above a demarcation layer for areas with impervious surfaces, including new structures. Preliminary calculations suggest that approximately 30% of the Site will require the installation of such a soil cover, requiring the importation of approximately 10,600 cubic yards of clean fill. The locations of the two and the one foot layers of clean fill remain to be determined as precise site plans have not been developed (Note: Specific amount and location of clean fill material will be discussed in detail in the Remedial Action Work Plan.);



Page 15 of 22 Revised March 2013

- Installation of a Sub Slab Depressurization System for future buildings at locations where proposed on-site structures will over lay toluene impacted soils and the area with an elevated concentration of trichloroethene in soil gas (i.e. at the northwest corner of Building B5A, northeast corner of B-5B, and in the vicinity of building B-7);
- Groundwater monitoring to document the continued absence of VOCs; and,
- Imposition of Institutional Controls (a deed restriction) to restrict future use of the site and implementation of a Site Management Plan to manage and document the integrity of the soil cover.

Proposed areas of excavation are depicted in Appendix A, Figures 2 and 3.

Implementation Schedule

It is estimated that the time necessary to design and conduct soil removal is expected to be approximately 7 months. This time schedule is divided into a design phase of one month, a bid solicitation and award phase of one month, a fieldwork phase of four months (subsequent to the demolition and removal of the on-site structures; it is anticipated that two of the structures B-1 and B-2 will remain and be renovated as part of the project), and a report preparation phase of one month. This schedule is subject to revision and assumes that soil removal and importation will not be constrained by seasonal weather patterns (i.e., frozen soil, ice, and snow). Should the project schedule result in the construction occurring in the winter, the total project schedule timetable will be extended.

Criteria Assessment

<u>Overall Protection of Human Health and the Environment</u>: This alternative provides for the protection of human health and the environment in both the short (provided that appropriate <u>HASP</u> and <u>CAMP</u> procedures are implemented) and long term.

<u>Compliance with Standards, Criteria, and Guidance Values</u>: This alternative removes significant known sources of contamination and associated contaminated soil from the Site. Post-remedial conditions would meet or exceed BCP requirements for Restricted Residential Use for toluene and PCBs. It is anticipated that low-level contamination of PAHs and metals may remain on-site beneath and that an SSDS may be needed beneath the on-site structures.

<u>Short Term Effectiveness</u>: The Full Soil Removal Alternative is considered to be effective in protecting human health and the environment in the short term. This alternative would involve the removal of all on-site significantly contaminated soils, and would eliminate exposure to contaminant sources.

The implementation of appropriate measures during building demolition and/or on-site soil disturbance activities will effectively prevent the release of significant contaminants into the environment. Construction workers operating under appropriate health and safety procedures are not likely to be significantly impacted by on-site contaminants (personal protective equipment would be worn consistent with the documented risks within the respective work zones). This alternative provides short term effectiveness in protecting the surrounding community by decreasing the risk of contact with on-site contaminants. The implementation of a <u>HASP</u> (incorporating a Community Health and Safety Plan) and a <u>CAMP</u> will serve to minimize potential short term impacts to the surrounding community from increased vehicle traffic, dust, vapors, and noise.

Long Term Effectiveness: The Full Soil Removal Alternative would remove the on-site sources of contamination and remove future concerns with regard to historic Site activities. Long term impacts to the surrounding community will be positive because future threats to human health and the environment will be eliminated and the Site would be beneficially utilized.



Page 16 of 22 Revised March 2013

<u>Reduction in Toxicity, Mobility, and Volume</u>: The Full Soil Removal Alternative will eliminate all onsite material considered to be significantly contaminated. Although it does not directly reduce the toxicity or volume of contaminated soil, it will result in the removal and proper disposal of the contamination off-site.

<u>Feasibility</u>: The Full Soil Removal Alternative is considered to be relatively easy to implement and technically feasible, although some soil may be practically inaccessible due to depth. Excavated soils and imported clean fill will be transported via trucks.

Supervision of demolition personnel will be necessary during the demolition of the relevant structures in order to avoid accidental dispersion of impacted soils and/or human contact with these soils. The Site has reasonably clear access for trucks to enter and exit and sufficient space for the loading and unloading (including temporary stockpiling) of materials.

<u>Community Acceptance</u>: This alternative provides the community with a former industrial Site with vacant buildings that is transformed to a more desirable residential development; with associated green space (i.e., currently existing walking path and fisherman's trail). The existing green space is utilized by the surrounding community and will be greatly improved once the existing vacant structures are removed and the area is redeveloped. Community concern is most likely to focus on the anticipated increase in truck traffic, noise, and possible disruption to the green space during remedial activities.

<u>Land Use</u>: This alternative provides improvement in Site and local area land use by transforming the Site from a vacant, former industrial property to a residential development.

With the exception of the original hat factory buildings, no historical or archeological resources are located within the Site. The Site is located adjacent to Madam Brett Park, Fishkill Creek, and in the general vicinity of Tioronda Falls and the mouth of Fishkill Creek. The proposed action is expected to have a positive impact in surrounding resources by enhancing the area's visual quality.

A partial Fish and Wildlife Impact Analysis was completed for the Site (Appendix C) in order to determine the Site flora and fauna during the growing season on or in close proximity to the Site. Although Site flora and fauna was reasonably diverse, it was determined that the flora and fauna is typical of industrial sites with extensive buildings, pavement, impoverished soils, and chronically disturbed vegetation that substantially reduce habitat value. Most of the species observed were development-associated species and no evidence of atypical or aberrant growth, atypical external morphology or behavior or other observable indications of environmental stress was observed.

A small population of Davis' sedge (*Carex davisii*), a NYSDEC-listed threatened Natural Heritage Program rare plant species, was found in the westerly area of the Site near a tree line bordering an old field (northwest corner of Site, near abandoned rail spur). This area will be affected by the Full Soil Removal Alternative; however, beneficial impacts are anticipated for the remainder of the Site as the proposed action will result in the removal of contaminated surface and subsurface soil, removal of debris, and the implementation of a landscaping plan which will include the green belt area located on the southern and western sides of the Site. Methods can be implemented to either protect or relocate the Davis' sedge during Site remediation.

The southeastern corner of the Site is submerged in Fishkill Creek. Proper implementation of a stormwater management plan, sediment and erosion controls, and construction site management during the construction phase of the project is expected to reduce any potential impact to the creek. Construction activities are expected to have little to no impact in the aquatic ecology of Fishkill Creek and will be of short-term duration.



Page 17 of 22 Revised March 2013

The proposed action is consistent with existing geography and geology at the Site. The vulnerability of the groundwater is not a significant concern with this remedial alternative as the removal of contaminated soils is expected to be above the groundwater table. In addition, groundwater is not expected to be used as a drinking water source under existing or future conditions. No institutional controls are known to currently exist at the Site.

<u>Cost</u>: The costs associated with the Full Soil Removal Alternative include: the design process; excavation, removal, and proper disposal of contaminated soils; and importation and handling of clean fill materials. Associated laboratory costs for post-excavation confirmatory sampling will also be incurred. Costs for the Full Soil Removal Alternative (excluding building demolition) are \$851,400.

3.4.2.2 Partial Soil Removal/In-Situ Remediation Alternative

Description

The Partial Soil Removal/In-Situ Remediation Alternative would include the common elements in Section 3.4.1, above, and the following tasks:

- Removal of surface/near surface soils located to the south and west of the western parking area containing elevated levels of PCBs, PAHs, and/or metals contamination (generally areas observed to contain degraded fabric from former on-site activities) and limited areas of surface soil to the southwest of building B-5B at sample location 2HB-02 (approximately 300 cubic yards) above SCOs outlined in the NYSDEC BCP for Restricted Residential Use;
- Back-filling excavated areas (as required for Site development) with certified clean fill;
- Implementing a in-situ remediation treatment (chemical oxidation) for the purpose of reducing toluene contamination in soils on the northwestern corner of the Site near the abandoned rail spur and in soils located beneath buildings B-5A and B-5B;
- Importation of a clean fill cover for surface soils with low level exceedences of PAHs, and metals. A two foot layer of clean fill will be installed above a demarcation layer for areas not covered with new structures, roadways, parking lots, or other impervious surfaces. A one foot layer of clean fill will be installed above a demarcation layer for areas with impervious surfaces, including new structures. Preliminary calculations suggest that approximately 30% of the Site will require the installation of such a soil cover, requiring the importation of approximately 10,600 cubic yards of clean fill. The locations of the two and the one foot layers of clean fill remain to be determined as precise site plans have not been developed (Note: Specific amount and location of clean fill material will be discussed in detail in the Remedial Action Work Plan.);
- Installation of a Sub Slab Depressurization System for future buildings at locations where
 proposed on-site structures will over lay toluene impacted soils and the area with an elevated
 concentration of trichloroethene in soil gas (i.e. at the northwest corner of building B5A,
 northeast corner of building B-5B, and in the vicinity of building B-7);
- Groundwater monitoring to document the continued absence of VOCs; and,
- Imposition of Institutional Controls (a deed restriction) to restrict future use of the site and implementation of a Site Management Plan to manage and document the integrity of the soil cover.

Proposed areas of excavation and in-situ remediation are depicted in Appendix A, Figures 3 and 4.



PAGE 18 OF 22 REVISED MARCH 2013

Implementation Schedule

It is estimated that the time necessary to design and conduct soil removal, and in-situ remediation is expected to be approximately 6 to 8 months (subsequent to the demolition and removal of the onsite structures; it is anticipated that two of the structures B-1 and B-2 will remain and be renovated as part of the project). This time schedule is divided into a design phase of one month, a bid solicitation and award phase of one month, a fieldwork phase of 3 to 5 months, and a report preparation phase of one month. This schedule is subject to revision and assumes no seasonal constraints. Should the project schedule result in the remediation occurring in the winter, the total project schedule timetable will be extended.

Criteria Assessment

<u>Overall Protection of Human Health and the Environment</u>: This alternative provides for the protection of human health and the environment in both the short and long term.

<u>Compliance with Standards, Criteria, and Guidance Values</u>: This alternative is likely to remove and/or remediate all significantly contaminated soils from the Site. Post-remedial conditions will meet or exceed cleanup requirements. It is anticipated that low-level contamination may remain on-site beneath and a SSDS may be needed beneath the on-site structures.

<u>Short Term Effectiveness</u>: The Partial Soil Removal/In-Situ Remediation Alternative is considered to be effective in protecting human health and the environment in the short term. This alternative is likely to remove significantly contaminated soils on-site, and would greatly reduce exposure to contaminant sources (any remaining low-level contaminants would be buried beneath the barrier layer, asphalt, or future on-site structure).

The implementation of appropriate measures during building demolition, chemical oxidation treatment, and/or on-site soil disturbance activities is likely to effectively prevent the release of significant contaminants into the environment. Construction workers operating under appropriate health and safety procedures are not likely to be significantly impacted by on-site contaminants (personal protective equipment would be worn consistent with the documented risks within the respective work zones). The implementation of a <u>HASP</u> (incorporating Community Health and Safety Plan) and a <u>CAMP</u> will serve to minimize potential short term impacts to the surrounding community from increased vehicle traffic, odors, vapors, dust, noise and chemical oxidation compounds.

Long Term Effectiveness: The Partial Soil Removal/In-Situ Remediation Alternative is likely to remove significant on-site sources of contamination and remove future concerns with regard to historic Site activities. Long term impacts to the surrounding community will be positive because future threats to human health and the environment are likely to be extremely limited and the Site would be beneficially utilized.

<u>Reduction in Toxicity, Mobility, and Volume</u>: The Partial Soil Removal/In-Situ Remediation Alternative is likely to drastically reduce the volume and toxicity of all on-site material considered to be significantly contaminated; however, may increase the mobility (due to addition of the chemical oxidation compounds and water) of the contaminates at least in the short term.

<u>Feasibility</u>: The Partial Soil Removal/In-Situ Remediation Alternative is considered to be potentially difficult to implement (partially due to the location of the contamination above the water table) and may require bench scale or pilot testing. In addition, it is likely that several treatment rounds will be necessary to remediate the areas with toluene contamination and that residual contamination may remain subsequent to the treatment which may require the installation of sub-slab depressurization systems in the proposed residential structures.



Page 19 of 22 Revised March 2013

Appropriate coordination and management of remedial actions and Site development activities will be necessary to ensure that Site development does not interfere with the implementation of the Partial Soil Removal/In-Situ Remediation Alternative. It is technically feasible to coordinate Site development (demolition of structures, Site preparation, Site grading, etc.) with remedial activities. Remedial activities proposed under the Partial Soil Removal/In-Situ Remediation Alternative will take priority over Site development activities if Site development activities would interfere with the implementation of this alternative.

<u>Community Acceptance</u>: This alternative provides the community with a former industrial Site with vacant buildings that is transformed to a more desirable residential development; with associated green space (i.e., currently existing walking path and fisherman's trail). The existing green space is heavily utilized by the surrounding community and will be greatly improved once the existing vacant structures are removed and the area is redeveloped. Community concern is most likely to focus on the anticipated increase in truck traffic, noise, and possible disruption to the green space during remedial activities.

Land Use: This alternative provides improvement in Site and local area land use by transforming the Site from a vacant, former industrial property to a residential development. With the exception of the original hat factory buildings, no historical or archeological resources are located within the Site. The Site is located adjacent to Madam Brett Park, Fishkill Creek, and in the general vicinity of Tioronda Falls and the mouth of Fishkill Creek. The proposed action is expected to have a positive impact in surrounding resources by enhancing the area's visual quality.

A partial Fish and Wildlife Impact Analysis was completed for the Site (Appendix C) in order to determine the Site flora and fauna during the growing season on or in close proximity to the Site. Although Site flora and fauna was reasonably diverse, it was determined that the flora and fauna is typical of industrial sites with extensive buildings, pavement, impoverished soils, and chronically disturbed vegetation that substantially reduce habitat value. Most of the species observed were development-associated species and no evidence of atypical or aberrant growth, atypical external morphology or behavior or other observable indications of environmental stress was observed.

A small population of Davis' sedge (*Carex davisii*), a NYSDEC-listed threatened Natural Heritage Program rare plant species, was found in the westerly area of the Site near a tree line bordering an old field (northwest corner of Site, near abandoned rail spur). This area will be affected by the Partial Soil Removal/In-Situ Remediation Alternative; however, beneficial impacts are anticipated for the remainder of the Site as the proposed action will result in the removal of contaminated surface and subsurface soil, removal of debris, and the implementation of a landscaping plan which will include the green belt area located on the southern and western sides of the Site. Methods can be implemented to either protect or relocate the Davis' sedge during Site remediation.

The southeastern corner of the Site is submerged in Fishkill Creek. Proper implementation of a stormwater management plan, sediment and erosion controls, and construction site management during the construction phase of the project is expected to reduce any potential impact to the creek. Construction activities are expected to have little to no impact in the aquatic ecology of Fishkill Creek and will be of short-term duration.

The proposed action is consistent with existing geography and geology at the Site. The vulnerability of the groundwater is not a significant concern with this remedial alternative as the removal of contaminated soils is expected to be above the groundwater table. In addition, groundwater is not expected to be used as a drinking water source under existing or future conditions. No institutional controls are known to currently exist at the Site.

<u>Cost</u>: The costs associated with the Partial Soil Removal/In-Situ Remediation Alternative would include: the design process; excavation, removal, and proper disposal of surface soils; injection of the in-situ chemical oxidation treatment and monitoring; and importation and handling of clean fill materials. Costs for the Partial Soil Removal/In-Situ Remediation Alternative (excluding building demolition and clean fill cover) are estimated to be \$778,910.



PAGE 20 OF 22 REVISED MARCH 2013

3.4.3 Comparative Analysis of Alternatives

In this Section, the strengths and weaknesses of the Full Soil Removal and the Partial Soil Removal/ In-Situ Remediation Alternatives are assessed relative to each other, for each analysis criteria.

3.4.3.1 Overall Protection of Human Health and the Environment

The Full Soil Removal Alternative best protects human health and the environment; however, this Alternative is only marginally better than the Partial Soil Removal/In-Situ Remediation Alternative. Short periods will occur during remedial activities when dust generation and contaminant exposure have the potential to impact human health and the environment. However, the strict implementation of a NYSDEC-approved <u>HASP</u> and the <u>CAMP</u> will mitigate these concerns.

3.4.3.2 Compliance with Standards, Criteria, and Guidance Values

The Full Soil Removal and Partial Soil Removal/In-Situ Remediation Alternatives comply with established SCGs. The Full Soil Removal Alternative best complies with established SCGs, by eliminating soil materials containing significant contamination above Restricted Residential Use SCOs. The Partial Soil Removal/In-Situ Remediation Alternative also complies with SCGs by eliminating surface soils containing PCBs and metals to the south and west of the parking area and treating elevated levels of toluene.

3.4.3.3 Short-Term Effectiveness

The Full Soil Removal and Partial Soil Removal/In-Situ Remediation Alternatives are considered to be effective in the short term in protecting human health and the environment; however, in-situ treatment is expected to require more time (and several injection events) to be effective when compared to removal of the soil.

3.4.3.4 Long Term Effectiveness

The Full Soil Removal Alternative is considered to be the best alternative with regard to long-term effectiveness due to the removal and off-site disposal of impacted soils. However, this Alternative is only marginally better than the Partial Soil Removal/In-Situ Remediation Alternative because the in-situ treatment should decrease on-site contamination to the SCOs over time. The Full Soil Removal and Partial Soil Removal/In-Situ Remediation Alternatives are protective of human health and the environment in the long-term by eliminating on-site contaminants.

3.4.3.5 Reduction of Toxicity, Mobility, and Volume

The Full Soil Removal Alternative does not reduce the toxicity or volume of contaminated soil; however, the soil is removed from the Site and disposed of per applicable regulations. The Partial Soil Removal/In-Situ Remediation Alternative is the most successful at reducing the toxicity and volume of on-site contaminants, but could increase the mobility of the contamination, at least in the short term, due to the addition of in-situ chemicals and water. In these alternatives, all areas of significant contamination will either be removed or will be adequately treated. This would eliminate future toxicity and mobility concerns.



Page 21 of 22 Revised March 2013

3.4.3.6 Feasibility

The Full Soil Removal Alternative is considered to be relatively simple to implement with well known and tested methods. The Partial Soil Removal/In-Situ Remediation Alternatives is consider more difficult to implement due to the complexity of implementing the treatment and may require bench scale or pilot testing. In addition, it is likely that several treatment rounds will be necessary to remediate the areas with toluene contamination and that residual contamination may remain subsequent to the treatment.

3.4.3.7 Community Acceptance

Community acceptance cannot be definitively determined until public comment has been solicited and incorporated into this <u>RAA</u>. The presence of continued on-site contamination, increased truck traffic, noise, and reduced access to the green belt are the potential issues most like to generate public concern and controversy. Given that the Full Soil Removal Alternative would result in no significant contamination left on-site and the Partial Soil Removal/In-Situ Remediation Alternative would result in no exposure to remaining low-level contamination, these alternatives are both likely to have community support.

3.4.3.8 Land Use

The Full Soil Removal and Partial Soil Removal/In-Situ Remediation Alternatives will allow for the re-use of the Site because significantly contaminated soils will be removed and/or remediated. These Alternatives are consistent with local land uses and provide access to an improved and aesthetically pleasing green belt.

3.4.3.9 Cost

The Partial Soil Removal/In-Situ Remediation Alternative (\$778,910) is more expensive than the Full Soil Removal Alternative (\$851,400). Several assumptions are included in these costs including:

- Additional treatment rounds (beyond 3 rounds) are not necessary for the in-situ treatment; and,
- Bench-scale testing and pilot testing will not be needed to treat the Site.

If additional treatment rounds, bench-scale, or pilot testing is required, the Partial Soil Removal/In-Situ Remediation Alternative would increase in cost.

Further, successful in-situ treatment of VOC-contaminated soil is not synonymous with the complete removal of the contamination. Low levels of VOC contamination will likely remain requiring both institutional and engineering controls.



Page 22 of 22 Revised March 2013

3.4.4 Recommendation of Preferred Alternative

The recommended remedial alternative for this Site is the Full Soil Removal Alternative, for the following reasons:

- 1. This alternative meets remedial objectives set forth in Section 3.2.1, consistent with the development and future use of the Site.
- 2. Based on available environmental data, it is very likely that this alternative will lead to the removal of all significant on-site contamination; remaining contamination is likely to be minimal and will be buried beneath a protective barrier layer (building footprints, pavement plus one foot of clean fill and a demarcation layer, or site-wide two foot barrier layer of imported soil above a demarcation layer). This Alternative therefore provides effective protection of public health and the environment in both the short-term and the long term, and eliminates the possibility that future users would come into contact with on Site contaminants.
- 3. This alternative provides the owner with both short-term and long-term effective methods of securing the Site and preventing contaminants from migrating off-site or impacting future users.
- 4. The Full Soil Removal Alternative is less difficult to implement than the Partial Soil Removal/In-Situ Remediation Alternative based on the technical requirements for the remedial activities.



APPENDIX A

Figures





Site ID C314117 555 South Avenue City of Beacon Dutchess County, New York

Appendix A





Ecosystems Strategies, Inc.

\	₩÷.¥;	(B-g)				
<u>.</u>	- Alter	\smile				
5.		Ň.				
$\lambda \wedge \gamma$. P	N.				
1 L	` . \	Th I				
1 7						
- A `	$\langle \rangle$	-Hi-				
- A	~ 10					
1	<u>لم</u>	· ///				
·.	\mathcal{M}	$\mathcal{N} \mathcal{N}$				
	AV.	15				
2		12				
	M =	l				
	A.	1/				
	20	-1 /				
فتشتغذين	X	1				
Jan Star	Si i					
\sim	ī /					
~ /	1					
	1					
/						
rtial Soil Removal Alternatives -						
Site	ESI File: BB04157.	51				
7	37' approxi	mately				
	March 2013	Appendix A				
W TOFK						





APPENDIX B

Cost Estimates for Remedial Alternatives (Not Provided in Public Copies)



BEACON TERMINAL REMEDIATION COST ESTIMATE 555 South Avenue, Beacon, New York BCP Site: C314117

"Full Soil Removal Alternative"

Task 1: Pre-remedial Services		
ESI professional time		\$10,000
Remedial Engineer		\$5,000
Disbursements		\$1,000
Task Subtotal		\$16,000
Task 2: Soil Removal		
Excavator (10 days @ \$2,500/day)		\$25,000
ESI time (10 days @ \$1,200/day)		\$12,000
Toluene Soil disposal/T&D (\$70/ton x 1,5	600 tons)	\$105,000
PCBs/Metals Soil Disposal/T&D (\$90/ton	x 1,000 tons)	\$90,000
Import fill soils (2,000 cy@ \$25/yd ³)		\$50,000
Laboratory (toluene soils area)		\$5,000
Laboratory (PCBs/metal soils area)		\$1,000
Materials/disbursements		\$3,000
Dust monitoring (10 days)		\$3,000
Task Subtotal		\$294,000
Task 3: Soil Cover		
Installation (10.600 vd ³ at \$25 per vd ³)		\$265.000
Soil Testing		\$15.000
Task Subtotal		\$280.000
		+,
Task 4: Groundwater Monitoring		
Well Installation (6 wells)		\$12,000
Well Dev't/Sampling		\$13,000
Laboratory (\$2,000/round)		\$16,000
Reporting		\$4,000
Task Subtotal		\$45,000
Task 5: Subslab Depressurisation System		
Design/Approval		\$8,000
Installation		\$40,000
Reporting		\$5,000
Task Subtotal		\$53,000
Task 6: Site Management services		
Annual Inspection (30 years)		\$45,000
Maintenance (wells and SSDS)		\$6,000
Task Subtotal		\$51,000
Task 7: Deed Restriction		
Legal		\$6,000
Task 7: Administrative Services		
Status Reports/Communications		\$8,000
Final Engineering Report		\$12,000
Meetings		\$4,000
Inspections		\$5,000
Task Subtotal		\$29,000
	BASE TOTAL	\$774,000
	Contingency (10%)	\$77,400
	TOTAL	\$851,400

Notes:

1. Costs exclude building demolition and asbestos abatement costs.

2. Costs assume all soil wastes will be managed as non-hazardous wastes.

BEACON TERMINAL REMEDIATION COST ESTIMATE 555 South Avenue, Beacon, New York BCP Site: C314117

"Partial Soil Removal/In-Situ Remediation Alternative"

Task 1: Pre-remedial Services		
ESI professional time		\$10,000
Remedial Engineer		\$5,000
Disbursements		\$1,000
Task Subtotal		\$16,000
Task 2: Soil Removal		67 500
		\$7,500
ESI time (3 days @ \$1,200/day)	1000	\$7,600
PCBs/Metals Soll Disposal/T&D (\$90/t	on x 1000 tons)	\$9,000
Imported fill soils (700 cy@ \$25/cy)		\$17,500
Laboratory		\$1,000
Materials/disbursements		\$3,000
Dust monitoring (3 days)	_	\$500
Task Subtotal		\$46,100
Task 3. In-situ Bioremediation		
Pilot Study		\$32 500
Drillor		\$32,300
Dillei		\$7,500
ESI time (10 days)		\$12,000
Treatment (3 applications)		\$150,000
Reporting (3)	_	\$6,000
Task Subtotal		\$208,000
Task 3: Soil Cover		
Installation (10,600 yd ³ at \$25 per y^3)		\$265,000
Soil Testing		\$15.000
Task Subtotal	—	\$280.000
		+,
Task 4: Groundwater Monitoring		
Well Installation (6 wells)		\$12,000
Well Dev't/Sampling		\$13,000
Laboratory (\$2,000/round)		\$16,000
Task Subtotal	_	\$41,000
Task 4: Subslab Depressurisation System	m	
Design/Approval		\$8,000
Installation		\$25,000
Reporting	_	\$5,000
Task Subtotal		\$38,000
Task 5: Site Management services		
Annual Inspection (30 years)		\$45,000
Maintenance (wells and SSDS)		\$6,000
Task Subtotal		\$51,000
Task 6: Deed Restriction		60 000
Legal		\$6,000
Task 7: Administrative Services		
Status Reports/Communications		\$8,000
Final Engineering Report		\$5,000
Meetings		\$4,000
Inspections		\$5.000
Task Subtotal	_	\$22,000
	BASE TOTAL	\$708,100
	Contingency (10%)	\$70,810
	TOTAL	\$778,910
BEACON TERMINAL REMEDIATION COST ESTIMATE 555 South Avenue, Beacon, New York BCP Site: C314117

"No Action Alternative"

Task 1: Pre-remedial Services	
ESI professional time	\$5 <i>,</i> 000
Disbursements	\$1,000
Task Subtotal	\$6,000
Task 2: Site Security	
Fence Installation	\$24,000
ESI time (3 days)	\$3,000
Task Subtotal	\$27,000

Task 3: Groundwater Monitoring/Treatment

Task Subtotal	\$56,000
Monitoring Reports	\$14,000
Laboratory	\$14,000
Equipment	\$7,000
ESI (14 days)	\$21,000
Well sampling (14 rounds)	

BASE TOTAL	\$89,000
Contingency (10%)	\$8,900
TOTAL	\$97,900



APPENDIX C

Fish and Wildlife Impact Analysis

FISH AND WILDLIFE IMPACT ANALYSIS FOR INACTIVE HAZARDOUS WASTE SITES

BEACON TERMINAL 555 South Avenue City of Beacon, Dutchess County, New York

Prepared for:

Ecosystems Strategies, Inc.

Prepared by:

Matthew D. Rudikoff Associates, Inc. 427 Main Street - Suite 201 Beacon, New York 12508 www.rudikoff.com 845.831.1182

January 5, 2009

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY 1
II.	INTRODUCTION AND OBJECTIVES
III.	FISH AND WILDLIFE IMPACT ANALYSIS PROCEDURES
	STEP I: SITE DESCRIPTION
	A. Site Information
	Site Location and Geophysical Features
	B. Fish and Wildlife Resources16
	Site Resources16Observations of Stress24Off-Site Resources25
	C. Description of Fish and Wildlife Value
	Habitat Value for Fauna29Value of Resources to Humans29
	D. Fish and Wildlife Regulatory Criteria
	Contaminant Specific Criteria29Site Specific Criteria30
	STEP II: CONTAMINANT-SPECIFIC IMPACT ASSESSMENT
	A. Pathway Analysis
	Contaminants of Concern31Potential Movement Pathways of Contaminants of Concern34
	B. Criteria-Specific Analysis
IV.	REFERENCES

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1, Site Location Map	. 8
Figure 2, Site & Area Features	10
Figure 3, Site Survey	11
Figure 4, Site Drainage	12
Figure 5, Cover Types Map	14

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1, Site Cover Types 16
Table 2, Rare Plant Species Reported for the Mouth of Fishkill Creek 27
Table 3, Representative Site and Nearby Biota Associated with Soil & Sediment-dependent
Trophic Levels

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix A, E	cosystems Strategies, Inc. (ESI): Summary of Initial Remedial Findings for
tł	he Beacon Terminal Site
Appendix B, Si	ite Fauna
Appendix C, S	ite Flora
Appendix D, A	djacent Off-Site Flora & Fauna
Appendix E, C	forrespondence

FISH AND WILDLIFE IMPACT ANALYSIS FOR INACTIVE HAZARDOUS WASTE SITES

BEACON TERMINAL City of Beacon, Dutchess County, New York

January 5, 2009

I. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

A Fish and Wildlife Impact Analysis pertaining to the Beacon Terminal property (the Site), a New York State Brownfield Cleanup Program Site (BCP) located in the City of Beacon, Dutchess County, New York, was conducted during the period of late May to early September 2008 by Matthew D. Rudikoff Associates, Inc. (*MDRA*). The Site encompasses 11.07 acres and includes eight vacant industrial buildings and paved parking areas occupying approximately 70% of the property. The remaining 30% of the Site consists of patches of woody and herbaceous cover types, the largest of which occurs in the westerly one-third of the property.

The objectives of the analysis included the following:

- Document the extent of cover types, flora and fauna on and in the vicinity of the Site.
- Evaluate the extent to which Site biological resources showed evidence of stress.
- Assess the potential for reported Site contaminants to migrate from the Site.
- Identify the potential pathway(s) of contaminant movement from Site sources to potential biological receptors.

The procedures used to conduct the assessment complied with the guidelines provided in: <u>Fish and</u> <u>Wildlife Impact Analysis for Inactive Hazardous Waste Sites (FWIA)</u> (NYSDEC 1994) up to but not including Step II B of the guidelines, as indicated in a letter to Ecosystems Strategies, Inc. (ESI) from the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (NYSDEC), dated August 27, 2007.

<u>Site Cover Types, Flora & Fauna</u>

The results of ecological surveys conducted on May 28, June 3, June 12 and September 5, 2008 determined that seven cover types, identified largely as cultural or natural/semi-natural ecological communities (Edinger *et al.* 2002), including 117 vascular plant species (trees, shrubs and herbs) and 35 species of fish and wildlife (mammals, birds/waterfowl, snakes and fish), were identified on or in close proximity to the Site.

Overall, site flora and fauna appeared to be reasonably diverse, yet typical of industrial sites with extensive buildings, pavement, impoverished soils and chronically disturbed vegetation. Most of the Site's herbaceous flora was comprised of nonnative, ruderal weed species typically associated with chronically disturbed cut and fill soils and pavement/building edges; e.g., common mugwort (*Artemisia vulgaris*), common ragweed (*Ambrosia artemisiifolia*), spotted knapweed (*Centaurea maculosa*) and common dandelion (*Taraxacum officinale*). Site cover types and their representative biota, were similar to those observed elsewhere in off-site locations.

There was no evidence of atypical or aberrant growth, atypical external morphology or behavior, or other observable indications of environmental stress to Site flora and fauna, including to observed macrobenthic invertebrates (e.g., scuds [*Gammarus* sp], snails [Physidae] or leeches [Hirudinae]) and other aquatic fauna, (e.g., northern water snake hunting for eels near the northerly bank of Fishkill Creek bordering the Site). An eastern garter snake and white-tailed deer observed up-close also appeared normal in external morphology and movement. Apart from a few elm trees at the northeasterly boundary of the Site observed to be heavily infested with wasp leaf galls and evidence of chlorosis along leaf veins, there was no evidence of environmental stress to Site flora; i.e., no evidence of stunted growth, aborted apices, wilt, rot, paucifoliation, widespread chlorosis, etc., that could be attributed to Site contaminants.

The extent of existing buildings, paved surface, debris-littered impoverished soils and the limited extent of forage, mast or berry trees and cover, substantially reduces the habitat value of the Site for wildlife. Most species observed on the Site were development-associated species (Miller and Klemens 2004) that do well in developed or disturbed areas; e.g., European starling, American robin, Northern cardinal, American crow, English sparrow, barn swallow, brown-headed cowbird and white-tailed deer. Development sensitive wildlife; e.g., bald eagle, least bittern, pied-billed grebe and osprey, which have been recorded at the mouth of Fishkill Creek are not expected to utilize the Site, nor is there suitable habitat for these species on the Site.

A small population of a rare plant species, Davis' sedge (*Carex davisii*; NYSDEC-listed threatened, Natural Heritage Program (NHP)-listed G4 S2) was found in the westerly area of the Site near a tree line bordering an oldfield. No other rare plants were found on the Site; nor were rare animals observed or detected on or near the Site during the ecological surveys. Two rare cover types, Freshwater Intertidal Shore (FIS) (NHP-listed S2/S3) and Freshwater Subtidal Aquatic Bed (FSA) (NHP-listed S3) occur along the southerly boundary of the Site.

The mouth of Fishkill Creek to its upper tidal limit, which borders the southerly boundary of the Site, is designated as a Significant Coastal Fish and Wildlife Habitat by the New York State Department of State (NYSDOS) (1990). Reported rare plant species for the mouth of Fishkill Creek by the NYSDEC (2008) and the NYSDOS (1990) include:

- Delmarva Beggar-ticks (Bidens bidentoides) [NYSDEC-listed Rare, last reported 2004].
- Smooth Bur-marigold (Bidens laevis) [NYSDEC-listed Threatened, last reported 1987].
- Spongy arrowhead (*Sagittaria montevidensis* var *spongiosa*) [NYSDEC-listed Threatened, last reported 1936].

Rare animals recorded by the NYSDEC (2008) near the Site (mouth of Fishkill Creek, Dennings Point and nearby areas of Hudson River) include:

- Bald eagle (Haliaeetus leucocephalus) [NYSDEC-listed Threatened].
- Least bittern (Ixobrychus exilis) [NYSDEC-listed Special Concern].
- Pied-billed grebe (*Podilymbus podiceps*) [NYSDEC-listed Threatened].
- Osprey (Pandion haliaetus) [NYSDEC-listed Special Concern].
- Shortnose sturgeon (Acipenser brevirostrum) [NYSDEC/Federally-listed Endangered].
- Atlantic sturgeon (Acipenser oxyrhincus) [Candidate for Federal listing].

Contaminants of Concern

The following contaminants of concern were recorded at the Site by ESI:

• Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs)

Concentrations of toluene and methyl ethyl ketone substantially above NYSDEC guidance levels (700 μ g/kg and 120 μ g/kg, respectively) were found in subsurface soils beneath site buildings or generally at depths of four (4) feet or greater in soil borings and test pits. No significant concentrations of VOCs were detected in groundwater or surface water samples. Exposure of Site biota to areas of elevated VOC's was assessed to be very low.

• Semi-Volatile Organic Compounds (SVOCs)

Slightly elevated levels of polycyclic aromatic compounds (PAHs) were detected across the Site in soil samples taken at the surface and beneath the surface in test pits and from a soil boring at the western parking area. No significant SVOC concentrations were detected in Site groundwater. The Site location where the elevated SVOCs were recorded was determined to provide little if any significant wildlife habitat or foraging area and therefore, exposure to, uptake and bioaccumulation of these compounds in the local food web was assessed as very limited.

• PCBs and Pesticides

Elevated concentrations of PCBs at one order of magnitude above the guidance level of 100 μ g/kg were recorded in soil samples collected from test pits dug in wooded areas in the southwest corner (three test pits) of the Site and from one test pit further to the northwest. Low level pesticide contamination (DDD, DDE and DDT) was detected in surface soils throughout the Site. Given the limited area where elevated levels of PCBs were recorded, overall exposure risk to Site biota was assessed to be low; i.e., a small portion of the areawide populations of wildlife utilizing this limited area of the Site appeared to be at risk of exposure to Site contaminants.

• Target Analyte List Metals

Elevated metal contamination was detected at surface soil sampling location 2HB-02 west of Building B-5B near the loading dock and in the test pit areas where fabric was observed at the far westerly side of the Site to the south and east of the centrally located paved parking

area. Low level exceedences of metal concentrations were detected throughout the Site in soils, sediment and groundwater, which is indicative of the former industrial usage of the Site. Because of the limited area of the Site where elevated concentrations of metals were recorded, risk of exposure of area-wide wildlife populations to these and other Site contaminants was assessed as low. Moreover, in the case of Site metal-contaminated streambed sediments, attribution of the analytical results solely to Site activities is confounded by historical upstream sources of heavy metals and other contaminants, which may have been transported to the Site reach of Fishkill Creek during periods of high flow.

Potential Contributing Contaminants of Concern

Assessment of exposure risk of fish and wildlife to levels of contaminants in Fishkill Creek that might be attributable to past industrial activities at the Site is confounded by contaminants from potential upstream industrial dischargers. A NYSDEC toxics survey conducted in 1983 (Schmidt and Kiviat 1986) listed the following toxic materials recorded in Fishkill Creek at the City of Beacon: cadmium, mercury, selenium, zinc (including an identified source- release by one industrial discharger located approximately 2,000 feet upstream), benzene, chlorobenzene and trichloroethylene. The cadmium levels were reported to exceed human safety limits and the concentrations of mercury and selenium were greater than those permitted for protection of aquatic biota (Schmidt and Kiviat 1986). The current status of these dischargers was not determined.

A long-trending impact of pollutants from various sources in Fishkill Creek near the Site is reflected in the results of benthic macroinvertebrate surveys conducted by Stevens et al. (1994) just upstream of the Site. The analysis conducted at this and another upstream reach of the Creek recorded a community assemblage of macroinvertebrate taxa with the highest mean tolerance quotient (a measure of pollution tolerance) of a total of 12 sampling stations established on the Creek and its principal tributaries. That some of these pollutants are toxicants of potential biological concern has been documented through tissue analysis of aquatic biota where elevated levels of PAHs, lead and selenium, including high levels of lead in crayfish, were recorded for Creek sampling sites in Beacon (Bode *et al.* 2001, Fishkill Creek Watershed Committee 2005).

The effect of cumulative toxicant sources, including those documented at the Site, on fish and wildlife in significant habitats at and near the mouth of Fishkill Creek just below the Site are not well understood. However, no records of fish kills have been reported near the Site, nor is *MDRA* aware of any reports or studies of morphological or physiological abnormalities in Creek biota that have been attributed to recorded tissue toxicant concentrations (Bode et al. 2001).

While historical runs of rainbow smelt (*Osmerus mordax*) no longer occur at the mouth of Fishkill Creek and the use of the Creek mouth by spawning Hudson River fishes appears to have diminished (Stevens et al. 1994; Limberg and Schmidt 1990), the icthyofauna biodiversity *per se* near the mouth of the Creek appears to remain substantially unchanged, – with the exception of a possible increase in common carp (*Cyprinus carpio*).

Potential Movement Pathways of Contaminants of Concern

Potential exposure of fish and wildlife to combined Site and upstream sources of contaminants, particularly to elevated levels of PCBs and heavy metals, was assessed to be greater in stream sediments than in surface water, as these contaminants are insoluble or have limited solubility in water and are more likely to adsorb to sediment particles. Potential exposure of wildlife to Site contaminants is greatest in the westerly one-third of the Site where elevated levels of heavy metals and PCBs exceeding guidance levels and low levels of pesticides were detected within 1-foot of the soil surface and where the highest plant biomass, plant species richness and most wildlife (predominantly birds and several mammals) were observed or detected.

Exposure to elevated levels of contaminants were assessed to occur predominantly in two media: (1) soils within the soil aerobic zone; and (2) shallow streambed sediment. These media support the lowest trophic (feeding) level at which the Contaminants of Concern are assimilated by photosynthetic plants (autotrophs) and soil/sediment feeding organisms (saprotrophs) and then passed to higher trophic levels (various taxa of herbivores, omnivores and carnivores). The top trophic level, usually a top carnivore (apex predator) such as a red-tailed hawk, is at greatest risk of contaminant-loading because of the increased bioamplification of contaminants as they move from the lowest to highest trophic level.

(1) Soil Medium

Potential exposure of Site contaminants to terrestrial wildlife, particularly to elevated levels of PCBs and heavy metals is greatest for biota living within one-foot of the surface of exposed or vegetated soils, as soil organisms are more prevalent to this depth and these contaminants are known to adsorb strongly to soil particles, particularly to soil organic matter.

Two principal potential movement pathways of Site contaminants to terrestrial wildlife were identified as:

- The Soil → Rooted Plants → Herbivore / Omnivore → Carnivore Pathway Example: Clover → Woodchuck/White-footed Mouse → Red-tailed Hawk
- The Soil-feeding Invertebrates → Carnivore Pathway Example: Earthworms and Grubs → Short-tailed Shrew → Barred-owl

(2) Aquatic Medium

Sediment and periodically suspended particulate matter was assessed to be the principal source of potential contamination to aquatic biota. Two potential biological transmission pathways of contaminants to aquatic biota were identified as:

Rooted Aquatic Plants → Herbivores → Carnivores
 Example: Curly pondweed → Physid snails → White sucker

• Sediment / Suspended Particulate Matter Saprotrophs → Carnivores Example: Assorted macroinvertebrates (e.g., midge larvae, certain copepods, planarians and various worm taxa) → larval Pumpkinseed → juvenile Largemouth Bass → Belted Kingfisher

II. INTRODUCTION AND OBJECTIVES

During the period of late-May to early September 2008, Matthew D. Rudikoff Associates, Inc. (*MDRA*) conducted a Fish and Wildlife Impact Analysis pertaining to the Beacon Terminal property (the Site) located in the City of Beacon, Dutchess County, New York. The Site is a New York State Brownfield Cleanup Program Site encompassing 11.07 acres on which are located eight vacant industrial buildings formerly used for various manufacturing and warehousing purposes. The Site surrounding the buildings is largely covered by impervious concrete and asphalt surface, but supports wooded and herbaceous upland cover types, a diversity of largely nonnative ruderal plant species (weedy species associated with buildings and parking lots), as well as tidal aquatic and riparian habitats at the Site's southerly boundary bordering Fishkill Creek. The fish and wildlife impact analysis addresses the comments of the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (NYSDEC) expressed in its letter to Ecosystem Strategies, Inc. (ESI) dated August 27, 2007, which states: "Because the characterization and extent of contamination is not yet defined, it is not possible to determine if the site causes potential impact to fish and wildlife. Therefore, the Department recommends a partial Fish and Wildlife Resources Impact Analysis (FWRIA) be conducted."

The objectives of the Fish and Wildlife Impact Analysis (FWIA) include:

- Identify and assess the condition of biological resources of the Site (flora, fauna, and cover types.
- Prepare a vegetation map showing the extent of identified cover types on and adjacent to the Site.
- Visually assess the extent to which Site biological resources appear to have been impacted by the hazardous waste materials identified by ESI.
- Evaluate the potential pathway(s) of the movement of the identified contaminants within Site biota and the local food web.

MDRA's analysis is based on a review of preliminary contaminant data provided by ESI (*refer to Appendix A, Ecosystem Strategies, Inc.: Summary of Initial Remedial Findings for the Beacon Terminal Site).* To the extent that new or amended findings are made by ESI in any subsequent or Final Remedial Investigation Report, *MDRA*'s analysis contained herein may warrant review and adjustment accordingly.

III. FISH AND WILDLIFE IMPACT ANALYSIS PROCEDURES

The report outline and procedures used to conduct the Fish and Wildlife Impact Analysis on and in the vicinity of the Site follow the guidelines provided in: <u>Fish and Wildlife Impact Analysis for</u> <u>Inactive Hazardous Waste Sites (FWIA)</u> (NYSDEC 1994). However, as stipulated by the NYSDEC, this report provides only an analysis of Site conditions up to but not including Step II B of the FWIA guidelines, as indicated in a letter to ESI from NYSDEC, dated August 27, 2007. As such, the following FWIA steps/topics are covered and detailed in this report:

- Step I: Site Description
 - A. Site Information
 - B. Fish and Wildlife Resources
 - C. Description of Fish and Wildlife Resource Value
 - D. Fish and Wildlife Regulatory Criteria
- Step II: Contaminant-Specific Impact Assessment A. Pathway Analysis

Step I: Site Description

A. Site Information

Site Location and Geophysical Features

The Site encompasses approximately 11.07 acres located on the westerly side of South Avenue in the City of Beacon, Dutchess County, New York. *Refer to Figure 1, Site Location Map*. The Site is somewhat rectangular in shape and is bounded on the north by an inactive spur of the Metro-North rail-line. North of the rail-line, several single family residences are located on Tioranda Avenue and Paye Avenue. The Site is bounded on the east by South Avenue. Immediately east of South Avenue and along its western boundary, the Site borders Madam Brett Park, a public park owned and maintained by The Scenic Hudson Land Trust, Inc. Madam Brett Park encompasses approximately 13 acres and is irregularly "bow-tie" shaped, consisting of eastern and western parts bordering the Site and a narrow, public access easement via an elevated pedestrian walkway and a foot path which traverse the southerly boundary of the Site. The southerly boundary of the Site borders the northerly bank of Fishkill Creek and includes an approximate 183-foot long section of the Creek to its far (southeasterly) bank, extending downstream from the former Tioranda Bridge at South Street. Approximately 2,000 feet downstream of the Site, Fishkill Creek converges with Hudson River. The entire reach of Fishkill Creek bordering the Site is tidal.



The eight (8) vacant industrial buildings on the Site occupy approximately 50% of the property. Approximately 20% of the Site is asphalt covered with the remaining approximately 30% consisting of woody and herbaceous dominated cover types. A narrow drainage way supporting sparse hydrophytic vegetation enters the Site at its northwesterly corner and ends at the southwesterly edge of an oldfield located on the property (*refer to Site Cover Types below*). A sewer easement crosses within and generally parallel to the westerly and southerly property boundary. Railroad ties of a former rail-line spur of an inactive Metro-North rail-line also enters the property from the northwest, extending a short distance into the Site in a southeasterly direction.

Topography

The Site occupies the lower, near-central area of a small watershed basin drained by Fishkill Creek and several small intermittent tributaries. The watershed below the Tioranda Dam on Fishkill Creek encompasses an area of approximately 1.05 square miles. *Refer to Figure 2, Site & Area Features.* Areas to the north, northeast and south of the Site, slope gently to somewhat steeply toward the Site from an arc of rounded hills. Elevations at the height of the watershed range from approximately 6 to 1,400 feet above sea level (ASL) on the south-southeasterly side of Fishkill Creek and from approximately 20 to 250 feet ASL north and northwest of the Site. The westerly and southerly areas of the Site from approximate elevations 20 to 40 feet ASL slope gradually toward the mouth of Fishkill Creek and Hudson River.

The Site appears to have been historically graded to nearly level in its central area by cutting into a moderately steep, southeast-facing slope in order to develop a level building site. Elevations on the Site range from approximately 50 feet ASL along the northerly boundary of the property to approximately 4 feet ASL near the southwest corner. *Refer to Figure 3, Site Survey.* Elevations in the developed areas of the Site range from nearly 40 feet ASL in the northeasterly corner to 32.5 feet ASL at its southwesterly corner. The developed area of the Site generally slopes south and southwest. Steep, southeasterly-facing slopes are present along the northerly boundary of the property and in the southwesterly area of the Site above Fishkill Creek. Steep southwesterly-facing slopes are also present near the westerly Site boundary.

Drainage

Site surface drainage is determined by the topography of the property and surrounding area. Runoff moves across the Site from northeast-northwest to southeast-southwest. The direction of groundwater flow is unknown. *Refer to Figure 4, Site Drainage Map.*



BEACON TERMINAL

City of Beacon Dutchess County, New York State

SITE & AREA FEATURES

LEGEND:

- MOUTH OF FISHKILL CREEK: NYSDOS SIGNIFICANT COASTAL FISH & WILDLIFE HABITAT
- :::::
- MADAM BRETT PARK
 - NYSDEC WETLANDS

BEACON TERMINAL SITE

2-MILE RADIUS

Source: Base Map: ESRI. ArcGIS Online. <<http://arcgisonline.esri.com>>. Accessed June 15, 2007.

MDRA MATTHEW D. RUDIKOFF ASSOCIATES, INC.

PLANNING ENVIRONMENT DEVELOPMENT

Beacon Building 427 Main Street • Suite 201 Beacon, New York 12508

Tel: 845.831.1182 Fax: 845.831.2696 www.rudikoff.com

FIGURE 2			
Date	October 2008		
Revised			
File #	ES08110		



	LEGEN	ID.	
		PROPERTY LINE	
		ADJACENT PROPERTY LIN	
		EDGE OF PAVEMENT	
	160	EXISTING CONTOUR SPOT ELEVATION UTILITY POLE	
x	165.5		
	0 Qu		
	0	TREE	
-0000	*****	STONEWALL	
Ca.	-53	CATCH BASIN/STORM PIP	
00	© DMH	DRAINAGE MANHOLE	
	(S) SMH	SANITARY MANHOLE	
		RAIL ROAD	
		RETAINING WALL	
		REISHINE	
	~~~~	STREAM FOOF	
S	ANITAF	RY SEWER	
S	ANITAF EASEI INFOR	RY SEWER MENT Q RMATION	
	ANITAR EASEI INFOR	RY SEWER MENT @ RMATION H BEARING	
	ANITAR EASEI INFOR LENGTR 251.49	RY SEWER MENT © RMATION H BEARING 553554'48"E	
S/ LINE L1 L2	ANITAF EASEI INFOR 251.49 445.05	RY SEWER MENT Q RMATION H BEARING SS37567487E S397297287E	
S/ LINE L1 L2 L3	ANITAF EASEI INFOR 251.49 445.09 152.90	RY      SEWER        MENT      Q        RMATION      #        #      BEARING        *'      \$53754'48"E        *'      \$53754'48"E        *'      \$53754'48"E        *'      \$53754'48"E        *'      \$53754'48"E	
LINE L1 L2 L3 L4 L5	ANITAR EASEI INFOR 251.49 445.05 152.90 192.63 489.64	RY      SEWER        MENT      ©        KMATION      86.4876        *      55375474576        *      55375474576        *      55375474576        *      1063574276        *      1063575276        *      1063575276	
UNE L1 L2 L3 L4 L5	ANITAR EASEI INFOR 251.49 445.05 152.90 192.63 489.84	RY      SEWER        MENT      Q        RMATION      #        #      BEARING        *'      \$53754'48"E        *'      \$53754'48"E        *'      \$53754'48"E        *'      \$53754'48"E        *'      \$109'29'28"E        *'      N48'55'12"E        *'      N48'58'52"E	
UNE L1 L2 L3 L4 L5 SOI	ANITAF EASEI INFOR 192.63 489.64 L STO INFOR	RY      SEWER        MENT      ©        RMATION      BEARING        *      S53754745°E        *      S53754745°E        *      N6675742°E        *      N63759722°E	
UINE L1 L2 L3 L4 L5 SOI	ANITAR EASEI INFOR LENGTH 445.05 192.83 489.84 489.84 L STO INFOR LENGTH	RY      SEWER        MENT      ©        RMATION      BEARING        *      S53754745°E        *      S53754745°E        *      N6675742°E        *      N63759722°E        *      N6375	
UINE L1 L2 L3 L4 L5 SOI	ANITAF EASEI INFOR LENGT 192.63 499.64 L STO INFOR LENGT LENGT	RY      SEWER        MENT      ©        RMATION      BLARNO        *      553'54'45"E        *      553'54'45"E        *      529'29'28"E        *      Ne8'55'45"E        *      Ne8'55'45"E        *      Ne8'55'25"E	
S/ LINE L1 L2 L3 L4 L5 SOI L1NE L6 L7	ANITAF EASEN INFOR 192.83 445.05 192.83 449.64 192.83 449.64 LENOT INFOR LENOT 1156.75 116.00	RY      SEWER        MENT      ©        RMATION      #        #      BLARING        >'      S53'54'45"E        >'      S53'54'45"E        >'      S53'54'45"E        >'      N45'55'42"E        ''      N45'55'42"E        RAGE      ARE/A        RAGE      ARE/A        RMATION      #        #      BEARING        >'      N6'102'200"W        ''      N6'10'200"W	
S) UNE L1 L2 L3 L4 L5 SOI UNE L6 L7 L8	ANITAF EASEI INFOR 115262 119263 489.64 L STO INFOR LENET 135.75 16.00 42.00	RY      SEWER        MENT      ©        RMATION      8        *      553'56'48"E        *      553'56'48"E        *      853'56'28'28"E        *      1483'56'12"E        *      148'10"2"0"        *      148'10"2"0"        *      118'19"0"E        *      572'58'00"E	
S/ LINE L1 L2 L3 L4 L5 L5 L1 L1NE L6 L6 L6 L5 L9	ANITAF EASEI INFOR 1925149 445.05 192.92 192.63 499.84 499.84 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 192.65 195	RY      SEWER        MENT      ©        RMATION      BEARING        *      S53'54'48"E        *      S53'54'48"E        *      S53'54'48"E        *      N68'354'2"E        *      N83'36'12"E        *      N84'102'00"W        *      N84'102'00"W        *      N84'102'00"W        *      N84'102'00"W        *      N84'102'00"W	
S/ LINE L1 L2 L3 L4 L5 L5 L5 L5 L5 L5 L5 L5 L5 L5 L5 L5 L5	ANITAR EASEI INFOR 1251.44 445.05 192.83 492.84 492.84 192.83 492.84 192.85 192.85 192.85 192.85 192.85 192.85 192.85 192.85 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 192.95 195	RY      SEWER        MENT      ©        RMATION      BEARING        *      553754'48"E        *      553754'48"E        *      553754'48"E        *      553754'48"E        *      553754'48"E        *      553754'48"E        *      168758'27E	

AND WAS				
AND WAS		-		•
	 An	υ	WA	э.
E 200E	 - 14	20		

# **BEACON TERMINAL**

City of Beacon Dutchess County, New York State

# SITE SURVEY

Survey completed and prepared by Peter R. Hustis, LLS. October 2005.





# **BEACON TERMINAL**

City of Beacon Dutchess County, New York State

# SITE DRAINAGE MAP

# LEGEND:

- DRAINAGE DIVIDE
- DIRECTION OF SURFACE WATER
  - **BEACON TERMINAL SITE**
- 1/2-MILE RADIUS

Base Map: ESRI. ArcGIS Online. <<http://arcgisonline.esri.com>>. Accessed June 15, 2007.

MDRA MATTHEW D. RUDIKOFF ASSOCIATES, INC.

PLANNING ENVIRONMENT DEVELOPMENT

Beacon Building 427 Main Street • Suite 201 Beacon, New York 12508

Tel: 845.831.1182 Fax: 845.831.2696 www.rudikoff.com

FIGURE 4		
Date	October 2008	
Revised		
File #	ES08110	

#### Bedrock, Unconsolidated Materials and Soils

Bedrock underlying the Site has been mapped as the Austin Glen Formation, which is composed of graywacke and shale (Fisher et al. 1970). Outcrops of the Austing Glen Formation (primarily shale) are evident on steep slopes of the Site above the northerly bank of Fishkill Creek. On the far bank of the Creek, the Austin Glen contacts and dips southeastward beneath metamorphic rock of uncertain origin composed mainly of hornblende granite and granitic gneiss, a rock type which makes up a substantial portion of the Hudson Highlands south of the Site.

Unconsolidated material overlying bedrock on the Site is mapped as a contact of till (glacial deposits of poorly sorted, variable-textured clay, silt-clay and boulder-clay mixtures) and lacustrine silt and clay (ancient lake bed deposits derived generally from calcareous materials). The latter material is mapped for the westerly area of the Site and extending to the mouth of Fishkill Creek and adjacent Dennings Point (Cadwell 1989). Soils atop the unconsolidated material are mapped as Nassau-Cardigan complex, rolling, very rocky (NwC) by the Soil Survey of Dutchess County (Faber 2002). This complex is composed of approximately 40% Nassau soils, 40% Cardigan soils, and 20% other soils and rock outcrop. Folded shale rock outcrop covers approximately 2 to 10% of the surface. Selected features of the principal soil of the complex include:

- Nassau soils Shallow (10 to 20 inches), somewhat excessively drained loamy soils formed in till underlain by folded shale bedrock. Permeability is moderate.
- Cardigan soils Moderately deep (20 to 40 inches), well drained loamy soils formed in till underlain by folded shale bedrock. Permeability is moderate.

A small area of Knickerbocker fine sandy loam, undulating (KrB) has been mapped for the northwesterly corner of the Site by the Soil Survey of Dutchess County (Faber 2002). This soils type is composed of fine sandy loam with undulating 2 to 6 percent slopes. It is very deep, some what excessively drained sandy loam formed in glacial outwash. Permeability is moderately rapid in the surface layer and upper subsoil, and rapid or very rapid in the lower subsoil and substratum.

Because of the extent of grading, soils in most of the easterly two-thirds of the Site are more aptly identified as a complex of Udorthents, smoothed (Ud) and Urban Land with substantial areas of impervious surface. Few areas of the Site exhibit typical soil profiles indicative of their mapped units. In addition, vegetated soil mounds and shallow pits indicative of past soils disturbance are evident in the west - northwesterly area of the Site mapped as Knickerbocker fine sandy loam, undulating (KrB). Soil mounds, graded fill and substantial C&D debris, including metal wastes and large-diameter metal pipes (to approximately 3 feet in diameter) as well as fabric wastes have been dumped in wooded areas, mainly in the westerly one-third of the Site. An oldfield cover type has developed over spread fill in the northwesterly quarter of the Site. The fill is comprised of clayey soils containing brick, assorted stones and small shards of plastic and metal (*refer to Figure 5, Cover Types Map*).



# **Site Land Use History**

Most Site land use history information was provided by ESI, which it obtained from a review of Site related documents provided by the Beacon Historical Society and from other sources of land use history information.

According to ESI (2007), the Site has had a long history of known industrial use. A site sketch and description obtained from the Beacon Historical Society (refer to Figure 3, Site Survey), depicts three buildings (identified as buildings B-1 and B-2 by ESI) on the Site. According to ESI, these buildings were constructed in 1878 as the Tioranda Hat Works. Building B-1 is described as an engine room and boiler house, and building B-2 as the main factory, which housed the felting, dyeing, carding and wool sorting operations. Information regarding the manner of material handling, storage and disposal was not readily available at the time of ESI's land use review. However, a review of historic Sanborn maps by ESI indicates that the Site was occupied by the Tioranda Hat Works until at least 1919. Three of the Buildings, B-1, B-2 and B-4 were on the Site during that period of time, with dyeing operations reported to be carried out in parts of Buildings B-2 and B-4 closest to Fishkill Creek. The hat works facilities are depicted on Sanborn maps until at least 1946. By 1962, the present-day complex of buildings on the Site is referred to as "Beacon Terminal." Six (6) of the buildings are shown as being in use by the Atlas Fiber Company, a fiber reclaiming facility, while one (1) building (B-5A and B-5B) is reported to be occupied by Chemical Rubber Products, Inc. Building B-7 is reported to be occupied by BASF Colors & Chemicals. From approximately 1972 to 1995, the buildings were reportedly used for storage by various occupants. The present-day buildings have remained vacant since at least 1995.

# **Previous Site Investigations**

Petroleum products and other chemicals have historically been stored on the Site. Four (4) underground storage tanks (USTs) used for the storage of toluene are likely to have been installed in the early 1950s, when Building B-5A was constructed. Six (6) aboveground storage tanks (ASTs) used for the storage of lubricating oil, hydrochloric and sulfuric acids, and at least ten (10) USTs used for the storage of fuel oil, toluene and other chemicals were documented on the Site in 1993. None of these tanks nor their closure appear to have been properly documented. In addition, storage drums of varying sizes were documented at a number of interior locations.

In 1996, ESI conducted a limited subsurface investigation in the vicinity of the toluene USTs. Ten (10) borings were completed to depths ranging from approximately seven (7) to 11 feet below the surface (ESI 2007). Volatile organic compounds (VOCs) (benzene, toluene, and xylene) were detected at levels which exceed current NYSDEC Part 375 Soil Cleanup Objectives (SCOs) for Unrestricted Use. NYSDEC Spill #9600893 (currently closed) was recorded for the Site at that time.

Additional field investigations and sampling at the Site were conducted by ESI on January 30, February 28 and June 19, of 2008. The field work included soil borings and test pits, installation of new groundwater monitoring wells, and the sampling of groundwater from new and existing

wells, as well as sampling of surface and subsurface soils, soil gas, sediment and surface water. *Refer to Appendix A, Ecosystem Strategies, Inc.: Summary of Initial Remedial Findings for the Beacon Terminal Site.* 

### B. Fish and Wildlife Resources

#### Site Resources

Inspections of the subject property were conducted by *MDRA* on May 28, June 3, June 12 and September 5, 2008 for the purpose of identifying site flora and fauna as well as to determine the location and extent of cover types on the subject property. The Site and adjacent environs were also examined for the presence of rare species and significant habitats as recorded or reported by Young and Weldy (2008), Edinger *et al.* (2002), New York State Protected Native Plants, NYSDEC Regulations, Part 193, and the New York Natural Heritage Program Rare Animal Status List (2007).

#### Site Cover Types

*Table 1, Site Cover Types*, provides a summary of the cover types identified on the Site. The Codes indicated in *Table 1* also apply to *Figure 5, Cover Types Map*. Figure 5 depicts the location and extent of cover types on and within a 0.5 mile radius of the Site. The names and description of Site ecological communities or cover types are based largely on Ecological Communities of New York State (Edinger *et al.* 2002), a revised and expanded edition of Reschke (1990).

TABLE 1 SITE COVER TYPES					
SYSTEM / SUB-SYSTEM	COVER TYPE	CODE	DESCRIPTION	REPRESENTATIVE SPECIES	
TERRESTRIAL					
Terrestrial / Cultural	Abandoned Commercial Development	D	Abandoned commercial development established on cut and fill soils (udorthents, smoothed substratum); substantial areas of abandoned buildings, paved asphalt; C&D debris, discarded waste and disturbed ground.	Primarily nonnative trees, shrubs and herbs, including black locust, tree-of-heaven, bush and vine honeysuckles, common buckthorn, common mugwort, garlic mustard, greater celandine, common pigweed and dandelion.	

TABLE 1 SITE COVER TYPES				
SYSTEM / SUB-SYSTEM	COVER TYPE	CODE	DESCRIPTION	REPRESENTATIVE SPECIES
TERRESTRIAL (Co	ntinued)			
Terrestrial / Forested Uplands	Successional Southern Hardwoods	SO	Second-growth forested areas with closed tree canopy, sub-canopy of smaller trees, shrubs and herbaceous groundcover.	Black locust, Norway maple, eastern cottonwood, black cherry, hackberry, common buckthorn, bush and vine honeysuckles, multiflora rose, poison ivy, garlic mustard and Virginia creeper.
Terrestrial / Open Uplands	Oldfield	OF	Meadow community of grasses and forbs established on heterogeneous fill.	Primarily grasses including red fescue, blue grass, meadow fescue, orchard, sweet vernal and rye grasses; predominant forbs include field daisy, daisy fleabane, common cinquefoil, common plantain, common mugwort, black medic and white cleavers.
AQUATIC				
Riverine / Riverine Cultural	Ditch / Artificial Intermittent Stream	DIS	Shallow drainage swale of brief hydroperiod.	Plants observed growing in or bordering the ditch include willow, silky dogwood, eastern cottonwood, smartweed, fox sedge, soft rush and limestone meadow sedge.
Riverine / Natural Streams	Main Channel Stream	S	This habitat comprises the open water of Fishkill Creek.	Habitat for macroinvertebrates, fish, amphibians, reptiles and waterfowl.
Estuarine / Estuarine Subtidal	Freshwater Subtidal Aquatic Bed	FSA	Areas of submerged rooted herbaceous species.	Eurasian milfoil, curly pondweed, water chestnut.
Estuarine / Estuarine Intertidal	Freshwater Intertidal Shore	FIS	Areas of trees, shrubs and herbs established in gravelly or rocky substrate at the upper tidal boundary.	Red maple, silver maple, red ash, black willow, sycamore, American elm, arrowhead, purple loosestrife, knotweeds.
<b>Source</b> : Edinger, G. J., <i>et al.</i> 2002. Ecological Communities of New York State. New York Department of Environmental Conservation. DRAFT. 136pp.; a revised and expanded edition of Reschke (1990).				

The seven cover types/ecological communities identified on the Site (*refer to Figure 5*, *Cover Types Map*) are described as follows:

# Terrestrial Cover Types

#### Terrestrial / Cultural: Abandoned Commercial Development

This is a cultural ecological community or cover type which evolved in areas of waste ground near paved surfaces and buildings. The soils are chronically disturbed udorthents. Dominant plant species are nonnative weedy species adapted to disturbed soils of low nutrient content; e.g., black locust (*Robinia pseudoacacia*), tree-of-heaven (*Ailanthus altissima*), common yarrow (*Artemisia vulgaris*) and garlic mustard (*Alliaria petiolata*). Off-site, areas mapped as "D" in *Figure 5, Cover Types Map*, are included in this mapping unit designation and refer to residential properties with buildings and substantial landscaping, including lawns with planted shrubs and trees. Wildlife associated with this community are primarily birds, namely: English sparrow, song sparrow, brown-headed cowbird, northern cardinal, rock dove and barn swallow. Mammals observed or detected in this community include Norway rat, gray squirrel, white-footed mouse, eastern woodchuck, and an unidentified species of bat. Common garter snake was found under a cover object near Building B-2.

### <u>Terrestrial / Forested Uplands: Successional Southern Hardwoods</u>

This community occupies the westerly one-third of the Site bordering Madam Brett Park. Dominant trees are black locust (*Robinia pseudo-acacia*), eastern cottonwood (*Populus deltoides*), tree-of-heaven (*Ailanthus altissima*), and Norway maple (*Acer platanoides*). Black cherry (*Prunus serotina*), sweet birch (*Betula lenta*), hackberry (*Celtis occidentalis*), northern red oak (*Quercus rubra*), black oak (*Quercus velutina*), white ash (*Fraxinus americana*), and sugar maple (*Acer saccharum*) occur sparingly in this community. Along the southerly boundary of the Site bordering Fishkill Creek, dominant trees include silver maple (*Acer saccharinum*), white mulberry (*Morus alba*), black willow (*Salix nigra*) and American elm (*Ulmus americana*). C&D debris, brick and wood waste, discarded metals and fabric, and soil mounds and pits are scattered throughout this community. Rail-line ties of an abandoned spur rail-line are also present in the northwesterly corner of this community.

Despite the diversity of canopy trees throughout this community, the understory is overgrown with common buckthorn (*Rhamnus cathartica*), Japanese honeysuckle (*Lonicera japonica*), and multiflora rose (*Rosa multiflora*). Where openings in the shrub layer occur, poison ivy (*Toxicodendron radicans*), and garlic mustard (*Alliaria petiolata*) occur in large patches. Smaller patches of native herbs such as white snake root (*Ageratina altissima*), avens (*Geum* sp.) and enchanter's nightshade (*Circaea lutetiana*) are also present. Birds commonly heard or observed in this community include American robin, gray catbird, indigo bunting, yellow warbler, northern cardinal, tufted titmouse, house wren, American crow and bluejay. Less common birds included warbling vireo, blue-winged warbler, great-crested flycatcher, wood thrush and red-

winged blackbird. Observed or detected mammals included gray squirrel, eastern chipmunk, white-tailed deer and raccoon. No amphibians or reptiles were observed in this community, although suitable habitat exists for American toad and eastern garter snake.

### <u> Terrestrial / Open Uplands: Oldfield</u>

This ecological community is located in the northwesterly area of the Site and is dominated by grasses and forbs (broad-leaved herbs) which have become established since 2005 when a cover of clean fill had been placed over a leveled stockpile of excavated soil previously contaminated by toluene. In 2002, toluene was not detected in the excavated soils prior to their covering with clean fill (ESI 2007). Representative species include rye (Elymus sp.), fescue grasses (Festuca spp), orchard grass (Dactylis glomerata) and sweet vernal grass (Anthoxanthum odoratum). Common forbs include field daisy (Leucanthemum vulgare), daisy fleabane (Erigeron anuus), common cinquefoil (Potentilla simplex), common plantain (Plantago major), common mugwort (Artemisia vulgaris), black medic (Medicago lupulina) and white cleavers (Galium mollugo). A female white-tailed deer was observed close-at-hand grazing in the oldfield during inspection of this community. Birds heard at the edges of this cover type included those heard or seen in nearby successional southern hardwoods, but also included northern oriole and American redstart. Cabbage white and sulphur butterflies, a pond hawk dragonfly (Anax junius) and other flying insects were observed in this community. Also observed was suitable foraging habitat for eastern cottontail, one of which was seen off-site west of the Site.

# Aquatic Cover Types

# Riverine / Riverine Cultural: Ditch/Artificial Intermittent Stream

This shallow drainage swale (approximately 200 square feet) of brief hydroperiod, which best matches the cultural ecological community type "ditch/artificial intermittent stream" (Edinger et al. 2002), is located along the westerly edge of the Site oldfield. It extends a short distance into the Site from its northwesterly corner, and ends in a shallow depression near the southwesterly boundary of the Site's oldfield, where intermittent surface flows infiltrate. Plants observed growing in or bordering the ditch include willow (*Salix* sp.), silky dogwood, (*Cornus amonum*), eastern cottonwood (*Populus deltoides*), smartweed (*Polygonum* sp.), fox sedge (*Carex vulpinoidea*), soft rush (*Juncus effusus*) and Limestone meadow sedge (*Carex granularis*). The hydroperiod in this cover type is too brief and unreliable to support water dependent wildlife; e.g., breeding amphibians. Because of its small size, this cover type is not mapped in *Figure 5, Cover Types Map*.

#### Estuarine / Estuarine Subtidal: Freshwater Subtidal Aquatic Bed

This plant community includes rooted, submerged vascular plants, which are well established in the streambed of Fishkill Creek, covering substantial areas of the stream bottom from the mouth of the Creek to the upstream limit of tidal influence. Three non-native invasive species including water chestnut (*Trapa natans*), Eurasian water milfoil (*Myriophyllum spicatum*) and curly pondweed (*Potamogeton crispus*) dominate the community. Aquatic biota observed in this community include American eel (*Anguilla rostrata*), bass (*Micropterus sp*), northern watersnake (*Natrix sipedon*), scuds (*Gammarus sp*), leeches (Hirudinae) and snails (Physidae).

#### Estuarine / Estuarine Intertidal: Freshwater Intertidal Shore

This community occupies a narrow transitional area between Fishkill Creek's Freshwater Subtidal Aquatic Bed community and the adjacent Successional Southern Hardwoods community and extends along most of the southerly property boundary at the Creek's upper tidal limit. This community is dominated by silver maple (*Acer saccharinum*), red ash (*Fraxinus pennsylvanica*), black willow (*Salix nigra*), Sycamore (*Platanus occidentalis*), Eastern cottonwood (*Populus deltoides*) and American elm (*Ulmus americana*). The lower trunks of these trees are obscured by a dense growth of multiflora rose and Japanese honeysuckle. Grape and oriental bittersweet vines also cover part of the trunks and upper branches of these trees. Understory woody plants include ash-leaved maple, red elderberry, silky dogwood and willow saplings. Herbs include arrowhead, purple loosestrife, false nettle, jewelweed and knotweed.

Tracks of raccoon and possibly Norway rat were observed in this community. Spotted sandpiper, rough-winged swallow, green heron and belted kingfisher were observed in flight or perched near the shore or in trees at the shoreline of this community.

#### **<u>Riverine / Natural Stream: Main Channel Stream (Fishkill Creek)</u>**

This habitat, which occupies a small portion of the Site (approximately 23,000 square feet) comprises the open water of Fishkill Creek. It provides foraging habitat for predaceous pelagic biota such as smallmouth bass, largemouth bass and striped bass. Belted kingfisher, great blue heron and green heron also forage in the main channel of the Creek. The main channel is an integral part of the upper and lower reaches of the Creek as well as its streambed and banks. Fishkill Creek on and bordering the Site is approximately 110 feet wide, varies in depth from approximately 2- 6 feet and has a firm bottom of boulders and rock rubble with lesser amounts of gravel, sand and silt. Approximately 2,000 feet downstream of the Site, an appreciable thickness of silt and mud has accumulated in and around tidal freshwater marsh and mudflats, which is exposed at low tide.

No stream discharge data are available for Fishkill Creek at the Site. However, from 1945 - 1967, the United States Geological Survey (USGS 2003) measured the Fishkill Creek annual discharge at its Bridge Street monitoring station in the City of Beacon (Station No. 01373500), located approximately 2.5 miles upstream of the Site, at

approximately 325 - 425 cubic feet per second (cfs). In 1955, the USGS measured the maximum monthly discharge of Fishkill Creek in October at the Bridge Street station as 1,075 cfs, when a hurricane occurred in the area. In 2001 and 2002, stream discharge under low flow conditions (base flow) was measured at the Bridge Street station by The Chazen Companies (2003) at 13.3 - 26.7 cfs.

Water quality studies of lower Fishkill Creek (Stevens *et al.* 1994; Schmidt and Kiviat 1986; USGS 1998, 2003; Fishkill Creek Watershed Committee 2005) provide substantial water quality data. While most recorded water quality data pertain to monitoring stations upstream of the Site, one (1) survey station just above the high tide limit at the former Tioranda Bridge near the southeasterly corner of the Site established by Schmidt and Kiviat (1986) and surveyed again from 1988 - 1989 (Stevens *et al.* 1994), provided the following data regarding chemical water quality parameters based on 11 measurements recorded from January 27, 1984 - January 13, 1985:

•	pН	7.5 - 8.4
•	Alkalinity	66 - 113 mg/l
•	Water Temp.	0 - 23.8 C
•	Dissolved oxygen	9.2 - 13.7 mg/l
•	Chloride	24.2 - 51.9 mg/

The following water quality data (mean and standard deviation values) were recorded from May 1988 - May 1989 by Stevens *et al.* (1994) at the same sampling station:

•	Chloride	27.4 +/- 2.1 mg/l
•	Phosphate	0.106 +/- 0.0113 mg/l
•	Sulphate	21.3 +/-0.81 mg/l
•	Water Temp.	17.0 +/- 0 C
•	Conductivity	270 +/- 10 micromhos/cm

Dissolved oxygen, percent saturation of oxygen and pH were not recorded at this station during the 1988 - 1989 sampling period.

The analysis of macrobenthic invertebrates recorded at this location by Schmidt and Kiviat (1986) concluded that the water quality was "slightly impacted" (*refer to Appendix B, Site Fauna*).

#### Site Flora and Fauna

#### Flora

A total of 117 vascular plant species were identified on the Site in May, June and September, 2008 (*refer to Appendix C, Site Flora*). Flowering plants identified include 38 species of trees, shrubs and vines, and 75 species of herbaceous plants. Species of non-flowering plants identified on the Site included three (3) conifers (Eastern red cedar, arborvitae and Japanese yew) and one (1) fern species (hay-scented fern).

Many of the species identified are nonnative invasive species; e.g., black locust (*Robinia pseudoacacia*), tree-of-heaven (*Ailanthus altissima*), common buckthorn (*Rhamnus cathartica*), common mugwort (*Artemisia vulgaris*), garlic mustard (*Alliaria petiolata*) and bird's foot trefoil (*Lotus corniculatus*). Lower Fishkill Creek and bordering tidal and non-tidal wetlands on or adjacent to the Site also supported a substantial cover of non-native Eurasian water milfoil (*Myriophyllum spicatum*), water chestnut (*Trapa natans*), curly pondweed (*Potamogeton crispus*), purple loosestrife (*Lythrum salicaria*) and common reed (*Phragmites australis*).

#### Fauna

Approximately 50 species of wildlife were observed or detected (nests, tracks, droppings, remains or vocalizations/calls) on or in close proximity to the Site, including eight (8) species of mammals, 33 species of birds, including waterfowl; two (2) species of snakes, three (3) species of fish and several taxa of terrestrial and aquatic invertebrates (refer to Appendix B, Site Fauna). Most of the wildlife observed or detected on the Site are common, development-associated species, frequently found in low-density residential areas; e.g., gray squirrel, raccoon, white-tailed deer, woodchuck, European starling, English sparrow, house wren, Northern cardinal, American robin, brown-headed cowbird, blue jay and American crow. Wildlife observed or detected less commonly, - mainly in wooded areas of the westerly one-third of the Site, included gray catbird, warbling vireo, blue-winged warbler, indigo bunting, great-crested flycatcher, wood thrush, northern oriole and yellow warbler. Spotted sandpiper, belted kingfisher, roughwinged swallow, barn swallow, Turkey vulture (overhead) and northern water snake were observed at Fishkill Creek. Bats were also observed in an area of Building B-2 (refer to Site Significant Habitats and Rare Species below). Several invertebrate taxa, including clouded sulfur (Colias sp.) and cabbage butterflies (Pieris sp.), as well as pill bugs (Armadillidium sp.), earthworms, and various wasps, bees and grasshoppers were also observed in the westerly areas of the Site during the biota surveys.

#### Site Significant Habitats and Rare Species

#### Site Significant Habitats

Fishkill Creek, from its mouth to Tioronda Dam and Dennings Point, is a designated Significant Coastal Fish and Wildlife Habitat (SCFWH) (*refer to Figure 2, Site & Area Features*). This habitat is regulated under the New York State Coastal Management Program administered by the NYSDOS. The portion of the Site bordering Fishkill Creek is part of the Mouth of Fishkill Creek Significant Coastal Fish and Wildlife Habitat.

#### Site Rare Species

A small population of a rare plant species, Davis' sedge (Carex davisii; NYSDEC-listed threatened, NHP-listed G4 S2) was found in the westerly area of the Site near the tree line bordering the Site oldfield (refer to Figure 5, Cover Types Map). Identification of the species was confirmed by Hudsonia, Ltd. on July 23, 2008. No other State-listed or any Federal-listed species of rare plants or animals were identified on or immediately adjacent to the Site (refer to Appendix B & C, Site Fauna and Flora). On May 25, 2008, a small colony of unidentified bats (at least 25 individuals) were observed clustered in narrow spaces between wooden roof supports of a ground level storage area of Building B-2 (refer to Figure 3, Site Survey). The bats were observed by means of binoculars and copious droppings were evident below the bat colony. It is likely that the bats observed were little brown bat (*Myotis lucifugus*) or big brown bat (*Eptesicus* fuscus). There is a remote possibility that the bats were the State- and Federally-listed endangered Indiana bat (Myotis sodalis), as nursery colonies of this species have been reported in the towns of East Fishkill and LaGrange by the NYSDEC (Al Hicks, NYSDEC ESU personal communication February 21, 2006). However, according to Kurta (2005) Indiana bats (females and juveniles) are restricted "almost totally" to trees during the summer. However, no bats were seen during a subsequent inspection of roost area on September 5, 2008. Because the Site borders Madam Brett Park and the Mouth of Fishkill Creek SCFWH, it is possible that threatened or endangered wildlife species may utilize the Site intermittently. Refer to Off-Site Resources below for further information about potential rare species in the vicinity of the project site.

The common nighthawk (*Chordeiles minor*), State listed special concern, is known to nest on gravel roof tops and may feed near city lights. <u>The Atlas of Breeding Birds in</u> <u>New York State</u> considers this species to be undergoing significant population declines in the East (Andrle and Carroll 1989). There is some potential for the common nighthawk to breed on building roofs at Beacon Terminal. The bird is best detected in early evening or near dawn by its nasal "peeek" or by the "hooooov" flight sound made by male birds during the nesting season, usually in June. Evening surveys were not conducted for common nighthawk by *MDRA*, nor were site roof tops examined for nesting activity.

#### **Observations of Stress**

There was no evidence of atypical or aberrant growth, atypical external morphology or behavior, or other observable indications of environmental stress to Site flora and fauna, including observed macrobenthic invertebrates (e.g., scuds [*Gammarus* sp], snails [Physidae] or leeches [Hirudinae]) and other aquatic fauna, (e.g., northern water snake hunting for eels near the northerly bank of Fishkill Creek bordering the Site). An eastern garter snake and a doe white-tailed deer observed at close range also appeared normal in external morphology and movement. Apart from a few elm trees at the northeasterly boundary of the Site observed to be heavily infested with wasp leaf galls and exhibiting evidence of chlorosis along leaf veins, there was no evidence of environmental stress to Site flora; i.e., no evidence of stunted growth, aborted apices, wilt, rot, paucifoliation, widespread chlorosis, etc that could be attributed to Site contaminants. Site cover types and their representative biota, were similar to those observed elsewhere in off-site locations.

Overall, site flora and fauna appeared to be reasonably diverse, yet typical of industrial sites with extensive buildings, pavement, impoverished soils and chronically disturbed vegetation. Most of the Site's herbaceous flora is comprised of nonnative, ruderal weed species typically associated with chronically disturbed cut and fill soils and pavement/building edges; e.g., common mugwort (*Artemisia vulgaris*), common ragweed (*Ambrosia artemisiifolia*) and common dandelion (*Taraxacum officinale*).

Although sediment and soil feeders (certain annelid worms, for example) and their predators appear to be at greatest risk for adverse physiological effects of various contaminants, earthworms (*Lumbricus* sp.) observed in moist soils at the westerly Site boundary exhibited normal external morphology and movement.

While bass (*Micropterus* sp) and carp (*Cyprinus carpio*) were observed in Fishkill Creek from distances of approximately 8-20 feet, a few American eels to approximately 5-inches in length, observed close-at-hand among submerged rocks in Fishkill Creek, exhibited normal external morphology and movement. The eels as well as scuds, leeches and snails (Physidae), also of normal appearance and movement, were observed on or between submerged stones within ten feet of a large drainpipe (concrete pipe approximately 3-feet in diameter) discharging to Fishkill Creek (an estimated discharge rate of 250 ml/minute on June 12, 2008) at the base of Building B-2. The discharge, which appeared to be water, was non-oily, clear, odorless and had no sheen. Filamentous algae and moss were observed growing on the inner bottom surface of the pipe near its lip and on the rocks below the outfall.

According to the NYSDEC Division of Fish, Wildlife and Marine Resources (letter dated June 24, 2008; *refer to Appendix E, Correspondence*), it has no records of fish kills within one (1) mile of the Beacon Terminal property for the period 2001 - 2008, nor any reports of fish kills in the area for the past 31 years.

#### **Off-Site Resources**

#### Contiguous Off-Site Cover Types

Cover types were mapped within a 0.5-mile radius of the Site (*refer to Figure 5, Cover Types Map*). Cover types bordering the Site include upland hardwoods, shrubland and oldfield, as well as freshwater tidal and subtidal habitats, freshwater wetlands and ponds. Madam Brett Park, which borders the Site to the east and west and the mouth of Fishkill Creek SCFWH at the Site's southerly boundary contain habitats with records of rare flora and fauna. Cover types to the north consist primarily of fragmented upland hardwoods and cultural habitats associated with residential and commercial development.

Mapped cover types (Edinger *et al.* 2002) on and surrounding the Site and their NHP rarity rankings include:

#### Aquatic/Semiaquatic Communities

•	Freshwater Subtidal Aquatic Bed (FSA)	<b>S</b> 3
•	Freshwater Tidal Marsh (FTM)	<b>S</b> 2
•	Freshwater Intertidal Mudflat (FIM)	<b>S</b> 2
•	Freshwater Intertidal Shore (FIS)	S2/S3
•	Freshwater Nontidal Shore (FNS)	NR
•	Shrub Swamp (SS)	<b>S</b> 5
•	Excavated Pond (EP)	<b>S</b> 5
•	Impounded Pond (IP)	S5
•	Ditch / Artificial Stream	S5
•	Main Water Channel (MS)	NR

#### Terrestrial Communities

•	Successional Southern Hardwoods (SO)	<b>S</b> 5
•	Successional Shrubland (SH)	<b>S</b> 4
•	Oldfield (OF)	<b>S</b> 4
•	Developed (D) (off-site areas consisting of commercial	NR
	or residential development with lawn and woody	
	landscaping, generally with little natural cover types)	

#### Off-Site Flora and Fauna

An assessment of off-site flora was limited to Madam Brett Park (the Park) and adjacent areas of Fishkill Creek, which border the easterly, southerly and westerly boundaries of the Site. In 1999, *MDRA* completed a natural resources survey of the Park for The Scenic Hudson Land Trust, Inc., which owns and maintains the Park. *Refer to Appendix D*, *Adjacent Off-Site Flora and Fauna*.

#### Off-Site Flora

A total of 194 vascular plant species were identified in the Park between March and August of 1999 (*refer to Appendix D, Adjacent Off-Site Flora and Fauna*). Flowering plants identified include 68 species of woody plants (trees, shrubs, and vines) and 121 species of herbaceous plants. Species of non-flowering plants identified in the Park include two (2) conifers (eastern red cedar and eastern hemlock), two (2) ferns (woodfern and hay-scented fern), and one (1) species of the Fern Allies group (field horsetail). The number of plants species identified in the Park reflected a high species diversity which is attributed to the spectrum of habitats and community types in and adjacent to the Park, ranging from tidal and non-tidal aquatic habitats and wetlands to various upland habitats. Despite the floristic richness of the Park, more than one-third of the species identified were non-native plants.

#### **Off-Site Fauna**

Tidal mudflats and freshwater marsh at the mouth of Fishkill Creek, the protected bay inside Dennings Point on Hudson River, and the location of the Creek and Hudson River along a major bird migration corridor – the Atlantic Flyway, help to support a rich avifauna. The mouth and tidal reach of Fishkill Creek and protected shore areas of Hudson River support numerous species of resident and migratory birds, including waterfowl. Nearly one-hundred species of birds have been recorded by <u>The Atlas of Breeding Birds in New York State</u> for the geographic reporting blocks which encompass the Park (Andrle and Carroll, 1988; New York State Breeding Bird Atlas Data 2000 - 2005). These species include numerous spring migrating warblers; e.g., common yellowthroat, blue-winged warbler, black and white warbler and yellow-rumped warbler; "back yard" birds; e.g., American goldfinch, northern cardinal, American robin, house finch, rock dove, mourning dove, northern mockingbird and gray catbird. Forest interior birds seen or heard in or near the Park include downy woodpecker, northern flicker, wood thrush and scarlet tanager.

Species observed off-site in riparian, open water and marshland habitats include Canada goose, wood duck, black duck, common merganser and mallard; wading birds (great blue heron, and green heron); as well as belted kingfisher, marsh wren (nesting in intertidal marsh) and barn swallow (nesting under the Metro-North rail-line bridges at the mouth of Fishkill Creek).

#### Off-Site Significant Habitat and Rare Species

#### Significant Coastal Fish and Wildlife Habitat

The mouth of Fishkill Creek to its upper tidal limit just east of the former Tioranda Bridge at South Street, which borders the easterly boundary of the Site, is designated as a Significant Coastal Fish and Wildlife Habitat by the NYSDOS (1990). As such, the mouth of Fishkill Creek is recognized by the NHP and the NYSDOS as:

- <u>An anadromous fish concentration area</u> (an important spawning area for alewife, blueback herring, white perch, striped bass, tomcod and other anadromous fish). This area is also reported to support a populous resident fish community of largemouth bass, bluegill and brown bullhead.
- <u>A waterfowl concentration area</u> (supporting several species of ducks, geese and wading birds).
- <u>A raptor concentration area</u> (supporting birds of prey, including the aforementioned bald eagle and osprey).

The Habitat also encompasses NYSDEC designated freshwater wetland WT-1 (Class I).

The following rare plant species have been reported for the mouth of Fishkill Creek by the NYSDEC (2008; *refer to Appendix E, Correspondence*) and the NYSDOS (1990). Their State and NHP ranking, and date last observed are presented in *Table 2*.

TABLE 2 RARE PLANT SPECIES REPORTED FOR THE MOUTH OF FISHKILL CREEK			
SPECIES	STATE RANK	NHP RANK	LAST REPORTED
Delmarva Beggar-ticks ( <i>Bidens bidentoides</i> )	Rare	<b>S</b> 3	2004
Smooth Bur-marigold (Bidens laevis)	Threatened	S2	1987
Spongy arrowhead (Sagittaria montevidensis var spongiosa)	Threatened	S2	1936
Source: NYSDEC (2008; refer to Appendix E, Correspondence) and NYSDOS (1990)			

None of the above species were observed at the Site, nor at or near the mouth of Fishkill Creek during *MDRA's* field work at Madam Park in 1999. A small population of Delmarva beggar-ticks was observed by *MDRA* circa 1998 on the Hudson River shore at the Long Dock Beacon property, located approximately 3,000 feet north of the mouth of Fishkill Creek.

Rare animals also recorded by the NHP (NYSDEC 2008; *refer to Appendix E, Correspondence*) near the Site (mouth of Fishkill Creek, Dennings Point and nearby areas of Hudson River) include:

• Bald eagle (Haliaeetus leucocephalus)

There are records of this State listed threatened species roosting and wintering on Dennings Point since 1996 by the NYSDEC and the Waterman Bird Club. Bald eagles likely hunt or scavenge fish at the mouth of the Creek and in Hudson River, particularly during the winter. The nearest record of nesting bald eagles is near the mouth of Wappinger Creek, located approximately eight (8) miles north of the Site (Breeding Bird Atlas data 2001 - 2005).

### • Least bittern (*Ixobrychus exilis*)

This, the States smallest heron, is listed by the NYSDEC as a special concern species and by the NHP as S3. It has been reported to breed in intertidal marsh at the mouth of the Creek (NYSDOS, 1990).

### • **Pied-billed grebe** (*Podilymbus podiceps*)

There is suitable nesting habitat for this NYSDEC listed threatened species in the intertidal marsh near the mouth of Fishkill Creek, where it was reported by the NHP (2008).

### • **Osprey** (*Pandion haliaetus*)

There is a 1985 NHP record of this State listed special concern species utilizing the mouth of Fishkill Creek as a feeding site during migration. An osprey nest platform was constructed on Dennings Point in the mid-1980s to encourage osprey nesting, but the effort has not proven successful to date. Migrating osprey are mainly observed in the spring at the mouth of Fishkill Creek and Dennings Point.

#### • **Shortnose sturgeon** (Acipenser brevirostrum)

This State and Federal listed endangered species is found in the long tidal portion of Hudson River from New York City to the Federal dam at Troy, New York.

#### • Atlantic Sturgeon (Acipenser oxyrhincus)

Last reported in 1997 in Hudson River between Newburgh and Peekskill, this State protected species is a candidate for Federal listing as threatened.

# C. Description of Fish and Wildlife Value

### Habitat Value for Fauna

The extent of buildings, paved surface, debris-littered impoverished soils and the limited extent of forage, mast or berry trees and cover, substantially reduce the habitat value of the Site for wildlife. Most species observed on the Site are development-associated species (Miller and Klemens 2004) that do well in developed or disturbed sites; e.g., European starling, American robin, Northern cardinal, American crow, English sparrow, barn swallow, brown-headed cowbird and white-tailed deer. Development sensitive wildlife; e.g., bald eagle, least bittern, pied-billed grebe and osprey are not expected to utilize the Site, nor is there suitable habitat for these species on the Site.

### Value of Resources to Humans

The Site is largely private property and its developed, presently weedy and refuse-littered condition offers little resource value to humans. A low to moderate level of fishing, hiking and bird watching appears to be conducted by the public on the elevated walkway within the public access easement across the southerly end of the Site, along the northerly bank of the Creek and at Madam Brett Park upstream and downstream of the Site. Over a period of approximately 19 hours covering four (4) days from May 28 to September 5, 2008, *MDRA* observed approximately 40 people engaged in recreational activities along the elevated walkway easement and in nearby areas of Brett Park. In the recent past, *MDRA* has also observed several canoeists and kayakers paddling to the foot of small rapids on Fishkill Creek at the former Tioranda Bridge near the northeast corner of the Site, as well as small groups of people bathing in small pools just above the former Bridge. Although the duration and frequency of *MDRA's* observations are limited, the elevated walkway easement and the Park bordering the Site appear to provide a modest level of resource value to the public.

One person fishing from the walkway in June 2008 stated to *MDRA* that largemouth bass, eels and small striped bass have been caught in the Creek near the Site.

# D. Fish and Wildlife Regulatory Criteria

# **Contaminant Specific Criteria**

The following contaminant specific criteria apply to the results of contaminant analyses conducted by ESI on the Site:

6 NYCRR Part 701, Classification of Surface Waters and Groundwaters, specifies the best usage characteristics for each designated Class of waters. For the site, Fishkill Creek is designated as Class C and the best usage of groundwater on the Site is designated Class GA, a potential source of potable groundwater supply. Because of the Class I status of NYSDEC Wetland WT-1 and

the designation of the mouth of Fishkill Creek as a Significant Coastal Fish & Wildlife Habitat, discharge restrictions consistent with the classification of Site groundwater and surface water are applicable.

6 NYCRR Part 703, Surface Water and Groundwater Standards and Groundwater Effluent Limitations sets the water quality standard guidance value or effluent limitations for a large number of microbiological, physical and chemical attributes, including inorganic and organic substances; e.g., heavy metals, volatile and semi-volatile organic compounds.

NYSDEC Division of Water Technical and Operational Guidance Series (TOGS) 1.1.1 establishes ambient water quality standards and guidance values and groundwater effluent limitations for use where there are no standards or effluent limitations set forth in 6 NYCRR Part 703. These standards and guidance values are the maximum allowable concentrations for a broad spectrum of microbiological and inorganic and organic substances which are identified by Chemical Abstract Service Registry (CAS) numbers.

Sediment criteria have also been developed by the NYSDEC Division of Fish, Wildlife and Marine Resources in the document, Technical Guidance for Screening Contaminated Sediments (revised to March 1998). This document describes the methodology used for establishing sediment criteria for the purpose of identifying contaminated sediments; i.e., those sediments with contaminant concentrations that exceed the criteria in this document that may potentially cause harmful impacts to aquatic ecosystems.

Although PCBs were not detected in Creek sediment samples nor in groundwater or surface water during sampling and analysis conducted on the Site by ESI (2008), elevated levels of PCBs were recorded in surface soils and test pits at the Site that exceeded guidance levels.

According to the USGS Report of Water Quality in the Hudson Basin for New York and Adjacent States for 1992 - 1995 (1998), PCB levels in Hudson River at Poughkeepsie and in Fishkill Creek at Hopewell Junction exceeded the NYSDEC Wildlife Protection Criterion of 100 ppb. Consequently, the NYSDEC and New York State Department of Health (NYSDOH) health advisory for eating no more than one-half pound of sportfish per week caught in the Hudson River estuary would apply to the consumption of fish caught at the Site (NYSDOH 2007).

# Site Specific Criteria

NYSDEC Wetland WT-1(Class I) extends upstream from the mouth of Fishkill Creek and ends near the southwesterly corner of the Site. *Refer to Figure 2, Site and Area Features*. This wetland and its adjacent area (100-foot upland area bordering the wetland boundary) is subject to regulation under the Freshwater Wetlands Act (ECL Article 24, 6 NYCRR Parts 663, 664). To *MDRA*'s knowledge, the boundary of NYSDEC Wetland WT-1 in proximity to the Site has not been field delineated.

The tidal marsh at the mouth of Fishkill Creek is essentially freshwater and as such is not subject to regulation under the Tidal Wetlands Act (ECL Article 25, 6 NYCRR Part 661).

Fishkill Creek in the vicinity of the Site is classified Class C by the NYSDEC, whose best use is fishing (ECL, Chapter X, §701.8, Class C, fresh surface waters). Class C waters are also suitable for fish, shellfish, and wildlife propagation and survival. The water quality of Class C waters is suitable for primary and secondary contact recreation, recognizing that other factors may limit such usage. Class C streams are not listed as protected by the NYSDEC under Protection of Waters (ECL Article 15, 6 NYCRR Part 608). However, no activities which would contravene the best use or water quality standards of Class C streams are permitted.

A shallow drainage swale (approximately 200 square feet), which best matches the cultural ecological community type "ditch/artificial intermittent stream" (Edinger *et al.* 2002) is located along the westerly edge of the Site oldfield. It extends a short distance into the Site from its northwesterly corner, and ends in a shallow depression near the southwesterly boundary of the Site's oldfield, where intermittent surface flow infiltrates. The drainage swale is not connected to or tributary to a waters of the United States. Consequently, it is considered to be an isolated waters/wetland, which is not subject to Federal regulation.

The project location is adjacent to the mouth of Fishkill Creek, a NYSDOS designated Significant Coastal Fish and Wildlife Habitat (SCFWH). Projects or activities which may impact the Habitat are reviewed by the NYSDOS for consistency with the habitat protection goals of the New York State Coastal Management Program.

# Step II: Contaminant-Specific Impact Assessment

# A. Pathway Analysis

An analysis of impacts of Site contaminants on fish and wildlife resources takes into account:

- The contaminants of concern and their concentration/distribution in the media (air, soil, sediment, groundwater and surface water).
- The pathways of potential exposure of contaminants to biota.
- The toxic effects of wildlife exposure to contaminants. [This last aspect of impact analysis was not required by the NYSDEC as part of ESI's Remedial Investigation Report; nor is it required or discussed in this report.]

#### **Contaminants of Concern**

The summary of initial findings regarding contaminant concentrations recorded in various media across the Site by ESI (*refer to Appendix A, ESI: Summary of Initial Remedial Findings for the Beacon Terminal Site*) provides the context for identifying vulnerable biotic receptors and the pathways by which fish and wildlife may be exposed to contaminants.
For the purposes of this report, Site contaminants recorded by ESI (2008), as they pertain to potential exposure to fish and wildlife, have been broken up into four (4) categories:

- Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs)
- Semi-Volatile Organic Compounds (SVOCs)
- PCBs and Pesticides
- Target Analyte List Metals

The principal findings and an assessment of those findings has been provided below for each group of contaminants.

## Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs)

## Findings

Concentrations of toluene and methyl ethyl ketone substantially above NYSDEC guidance levels (700  $\mu$ g/kg and 120  $\mu$ g/kg, respectively) were found in subsurface soils beneath Site buildings or generally at depths of (4) feet or greater in soil borings and test pits. Several VOCs were also detected in soil gas samples. No significant concentrations of VOCs were detected in groundwater or surface water samples.

## Assessment

Toluene, methyl ethyl ketone and other VOCs detected in Site soils readily volatilize to air and are degraded during atmospheric chemical reactions near the soil surface (US EPA 1985). Bioaccumulation in the food chain is also predicted to be low (ATSDR 1993, US EPA 1994). Because of its rapid volatilization, limited solubility/persistence in surface water, and the sampling locations where detected (mostly under buildings and in soils generally sampled at depths greater than one-foot below the upper limit of the soil aerobic zone), potential exposure of Site biota to areas of elevated VOC's is assessed as very low. Taxa that may be exposed are likely limited to common soil inhabiting invertebrates; e.g., segmented and unsegmented worms and insects. Site flora in or near areas of high concentrations of VOCs appeared to exhibit normal growth and morphology and to be free of stress. Plant species composition in the vicinity of pavement, buildings and chronically disturbed soils was typical of that associated with other industrial sites of the region (*MDRA* personal observations 1990 - 2008).

# Semi-Volatile Organic Compounds (SVOCs)

# Findings

Slightly elevated levels of polycyclic aromatic compounds (PAHs) were detected across the Site in soil samples taken at and beneath the surface in test pits and from a soil boring at the western parking area (Boring 2B-05 [4-5]). The concentration of PAHs detected were reported to be consistent with those associated with asphalt paving which occupies

a substantial portion of the easterly two-thirds of the Site. Significantly elevated concentrations of total unknown SVOCs were detected within 0 - 4-inches of the soil surface at soil sampling Site 2HB-06 (near the existing Site transformer close to South Avenue). No significant SVOC concentrations were detected in Site groundwater.

## Assessment

The Site location where a significantly elevated concentration of total unknown SVOCs was recorded provides little if any significant wildlife habitat or foraging area. Potential contaminant impacts are considered to be limited to a very small community of soil-inhabiting invertebrates represented by a few taxa adapted to chronically disturbed and likely nutrient-poor soils in close contact to buildings and paved asphalt. Given the limited availability/potential exposure to wildlife of Site sources of SVOCs, particularly PAHs, and the general unsuitability of habitats to support wildlife where these contaminants were found, exposure to, uptake and bioaccumulation of these compounds in the local food web was assessed as very limited.

# PCBs and Pesticides

# Findings

Elevated concentrations of PCBs at one order of magnitude above the guidance level of 100  $\mu$ g/kg were recorded in soil samples collected from test pits dug in wooded areas in the southwest corner (three (3) test pits) of the Site and from one (1) test pit further to the northwest. PCB concentrations of 1,600  $\mu$ g/kg were recorded at 0 - 6-inches below ground surface (bgs) and 3,400  $\mu$ g/kg at 1-2 feet bgs. These elevated PCBs were found in areas where fabric had been discarded. Low concentrations of PCBs were also detected in surface soils collected throughout the Site. Low level pesticide contamination (DDD, DDE and DDT) was also detected in surface soils mainly near Site buildings.

## Assessment

The elevated levels of PCBs recorded are of concern, particularly those recorded within one- foot of the surface of exposed soils in the wooded westerly area of the Site. This area is likely to be used by the greatest number of Site and regional wildlife, though the frequency of such use in this location is unknown. Although the flora observed in this area appeared normal, the capacity for the plant species of this community to accumulate PCBs without showing signs of stress was undetermined. Given the limited area where elevated levels of PCBs were recorded, overall exposure risk to Site biota may be low; i.e., a very small portion of the area-wide populations of wildlife utilizing this limited area of the Site appear to be at risk of exposure to Site contaminants.

## Target Analyte List Metals

## Findings

Elevated metal contamination was detected at surface soil location 2HB (west of Building B-5B near the loading dock) and in the test pit areas where fabric was observed far western side of the site to the south and east, of the existing parking lot. Low level exceedences of metals concentrations were detected in Site soils, sediment and groundwater, which is indicative of the former industrial nature of the property.

## Assessment

As for PCBs, elevated levels of metals recorded within one-foot of the surface of exposed soils in the westerly one-third of the Site are of concern. This area of the Site is likely to be used by the greatest number of regional wildlife, though the frequency and duration of use of this area of the Site by wildlife is unknown. Although the flora observed in this area appeared normal, the extent to which plant species of this community can accumulate metals above State guidance levels without showing signs of stress was undetermined. Because of the limited area of the Site where elevated concentrations of metals were recorded, risk of exposure of area-wide wildlife populations to these and other Site contaminants is assessed as low. Moreover, in the case of Site metal-contaminated streambed sediments, attribution of the analytical results solely to Site activities is confounded by historical upstream sources of heavy metals and other contaminants, which may have been transported to the Site reach of Fishkill Creek during periods of high flow.

Many aquatic animals are reported to be able to excrete a greater proportion of their intake of heavy metals under contaminated conditions and thereby maintain trace metal concentrations in the body at approximately normal levels (Khan et al. 1989). However, others have suggested through modeling calculations that heavy metals could bioaccumulate to levels that exceed regulatory ecological criteria in situations where long-term sediment disturbance took place (Su et al. 2002). Since it is not likely that long-term sediment disturbance in Fishkill Creek would be permitted by regulatory authorities because of the ecological sensitivity of the Creek in the vicinity of the Site, the results of the modeling forecast by Su et al. (2002) do not appear germane to potential exposure of Site aquatic biota.

## **Potential Movement Pathways of Contaminants of Concern**

Potential exposure of wildlife to Site contaminants is greatest in the westerly one-third of the Site where elevated levels of heavy metals and PCBs exceeding guidance levels and low levels of pesticides were detected within one-foot of the soil surface and where the highest plant biomass, plant species richness and most wildlife, -- predominantly birds and several mammals, were observed or detected. Exposure to elevated levels of contaminants were assessed to occur predominantly in two media: (1) soils within the aerobic zone; and (2) shallow streambed

sediment. These media support the lowest trophic (feeding) level at which the contaminants of concern are assimilated by photosynthetic plants (autotrophs) and soil/sediment feeding organisms (saprotrophs) and then passed to higher trophic levels (various taxa of herbivores, omnivores and carnivores). The top trophic level, usually a top carnivore (apex predator) such as an osprey, is at greater risk of contaminant loading because of the increased bioamplification of the contaminants as they move from the lowest to highest trophic level. *Table 3, Representative Site and Nearby Biota Associated with Soil and Sediment Dependent Trophic Levels* below, provides examples of biota that may be at greater risk of assimilating contaminants because of their higher position in the trophic structure of the area ecosystems.

REPRE	SENTATIVE SIT	T E AND NEARBY H DEPENDENT	ABLE 3 BIOTA ASSOCIA TROPHIC LEVI	TED WITH SOIL (	& SEDIMENT-
			TROPHIC LEV	EL	
MEDIUM	AUTOTRODUS	SADDOTDODUS		HETEROTROPI	HS
	AUTOTROPHS	SAPROTROPHS	Herbivores	Omnivores	Carnivores
SOIL	<ul> <li>Flowering plants</li> <li>Conifers</li> <li>Ferns</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Fungi</li> <li>Bacteria</li> <li>Millipedes</li> <li>Isopods</li> <li>Segmented &amp; unsegmented worms</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Woodchuck</li> <li>Eastern cottontail</li> <li>Gray squirrel</li> <li>English sparrow</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>White-footed mouse</li> <li>American robin</li> <li>European starling</li> <li>American crow</li> <li>Bluejay</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Garter snake</li> <li>Rough-winged swallow</li> <li>Barn swallow</li> </ul>
SEDIMENT		<ul> <li>Bacteria</li> <li>Fungi</li> <li>Various benthic macro- invertebrates</li> </ul>		<ul><li>Carp</li><li>Painted turtle</li><li>White sucker</li></ul>	<ul> <li>Northern water snake</li> <li>Common snapping turtle</li> <li>American eel</li> <li>Striped bass</li> <li>Osprey</li> <li>Bald eagle</li> <li>Belted kingfisher</li> <li>Great blue heron</li> <li>Least bittern</li> <li>Pied-billed grebe</li> </ul>

## Soil Medium

Potential exposure of Site contaminants to terrestrial wildlife, particularly to elevated levels of PCBs and heavy metals is greatest for biota living within one-foot of the surface of exposed or vegetated soils, as soil organisms are more prevalent to this depth and these contaminants are known to adsorb strongly to soil particles, particularly to soil organic matter. Two principal potential movement pathways of Site contaminants to terrestrial wildlife were identified as:

- The Soil → Rooted Plants → Herbivore / Omnivore → Carnivore Pathway Example: Clover → Woodchuck/White-footed Mouse → Red-tailed Hawk
- The Soil-feeding Invertebrates → Carnivore Pathway Example: Earthworms and Grubs → Short-tailed Shrew → Barred-owl

Earthworms, which may be a principal base of this second pathway have been reported to accumulate heavy metals which can in turn be assimilated and concentrated in shrews and other small fossorial mammals (Edwards and Bohlen 1996).

# Aquatic Medium

Assessment of exposure risk of fish and wildlife to levels of contaminants in Fishkill Creek that might be attributable to past industrial activities at the Site is confounded by a history of SPDES non-compliance by several nearby upstream industrial dischargers. A NYSDEC toxics survey conducted in 1983 (Schmidt and Kiviat 1986) listed the following toxic materials recorded in Fishkill Creek at the City of Beacon: cadmium, mercury, selenium, zinc (including one (1) industrial discharger located approximately 2,000 feet upstream), benzene, chlorobenzene and trichloroethylene. The cadmium levels were reported to exceed human safety limits and the concentrations of mercury and selenium were greater than those permitted for protection of aquatic biota (Schmidt and Kiviat 1986). The current status of these dischargers was undetermined.

A long-trending impact of pollutants from various sources in Fishkill Creek near the Site is reflected in the results of benthic macroinvertebrate surveys conducted by Stevens et al. (1994) just upstream of the Site. The analysis conducted at this and another upstream reach of the Creek (sampling stations 7 and 8, respectively) recorded a community assemblage of macroinvertebrate taxa with the highest mean tolerance quotient (a measure of pollution tolerance) of a total of 12 sampling stations established on the Creek and its principal tributaries. That some of these pollutants are toxicants of potential biological concern has been documented through tissue analysis of aquatic biota where elevated levels of PAHs, lead and selenium, including high levels of lead in crayfish were recorded for Creek sampling sites in Beacon (Bode et al. 2001; Fishkill Creek Watershed Committee, 2005).

The effect of cumulative toxicant sources, including those documented at the Site, on fish and wildlife in significant habitats at and near the mouth of Fishkill Creek just below the Site are not well understood. As noted earlier in this report, no records of fish kills have been reported near the Site, nor is *MDRA* aware of any reports or studies of morphological or physiological abnormalities in Creek biota that have been attributed to recorded tissue toxicant concentrations (Bode et al. 2001).

While historical runs of rainbow smelt (*Osmerus mordax*) no longer occur at the mouth of Fishkill Creek and the use of the Creek mouth by spawning Hudson River fishes appears to have diminished (Stevens et al. 1994; Limberg and Schmidt 1990), the biodiversity of the icthyofauna *per se* near the mouth of the Creek appears to remain substantially unchanged, – with the exception of a possible increase in common carp (*Cyprinus carpio*).

Sediment and periodically suspended particulate matter were assessed to be the principal source of potential contamination to aquatic biota, particularly elevated levels of PCBs and heavy metals, as these contaminants are insoluble or have limited solubility in water and are more likely to adsorb to sediment particles. Two potential biological transmission pathways of contaminants to aquatic biota were identified as:

- Rooted Aquatic Plants → Herbivores → Carnivores Example: Curly pondweed → Physid snails → White sucker → Great Blue Heron
- Sediment / Suspended Particulate Matter Saprotrophs → Carnivores Example: Assorted macroinvertebrates (e.g., midge larvae, certain copepods, planarians and various worm taxa) → larval Pumpkinseed → juvenile Largemouth Bass → Belted Kingfisher

# **B. CRITERIA-SPECIFIC ANALYSIS**

A Criteria Specific Analysis, one which uses numerical criteria for contaminants of concern that have been established for specific media or biota; i.e, Step II B of the NYSDEC FWIA (1994), was not required by the NYSDEC as a part of this report.

# IV. REFERENCES

Andrle, Robert F. and Janet R. Carroll, eds. 1988. Atlas of breeding birds in New York State. Cornell University Press, Ithaca, NY.

ATSDR. 1993. Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry. Toxicological profile for toluene. US Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service. Atlanta, GA.

Bode, R.W., Novak, M.A., Abele, L.E., Heitzman, D.L., and Smith, A.J. 2004. Thirty-year trends in water quality of rivers and streams in New York State, based on macroinvertebrate data. NYSDEC Technical Report. Albany, NY. 384 pp.

Cadwell, D.H. (Ed). 1989. Surficial Geologic Map of New York. New York State Museum and Science Service. Albany, NY.

Chazen Companies. 2003. County-wide Groundwater Monitoring Program, 2002 Annual Report, Dutchess County. The Chazen Companies, Poughkeepsie, NY.

Chu, S., Mellin, C., and X. Xiaobai. 1999. Soil-plant transfer of polychlorinated biphenyls in paddy fields. The Science of the Total Environment Vol. 234: 119 - 126.

Ecosystems Strategies, Inc. 2007. Remedial Investigation Workplan. *Prepared for:* Beacon Terminal Associates, LLP.

Edinger, G.J., D.J. Evans, S. Gebauer, T.G. Howard, D.M. Hunt, and A.M. Olivero (editors). 2002. Ecological Communities of New York State. Second Edition. A revised and expanded edition of Carol Reschke's Ecological Communities of New York State. (Draft for review). New York Natural Heritage Program, New York State Department of Environmental Conservation, Albany, NY

Edwards, C.A. and P.J.Bohlen. 1996. Biology and ecology of earthworms. Springer Publishing, NY. 426 pp.

Efroymson, R.A., M.E. Will, G.W. Suter II, and A.C. Wooten. 1997. Toxicological benchmarks for screening contaminants of potential concern for effects on terrestrial plants: 1997 Revision. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN. 128 pp.

Faber, M. 2002. Dutchess County Soil Survey. Dutchess County Soil and Water Conservation District. Millbrook, NY.

Fisher, Donald W., Y.W. Isachsen and L. Rickard. 1971. Geologic map of New York 1970. New York State Museum and Science Service, Map and Chart Series 15, 7 sheets, 1:250,000, 100 ft contour. Albany, NY.

Fishkill Creek Watershed Committee. 2005. Natural resources management plan for the fishkill creek watershed: a natural resources inventory and conservation strategy. (www.fishkillcreekwatershed.org; accessed August, 2008)

Hicks, A., NYSDEC Endangered Species Unit. Februrary 21, 2006. personal communication.

Khan, A.T., Weis, J.S. and L. D'Andrea. 1989. Bioaccumulation of four heavy metals in two populations of grass shrimp, *Palaemonetes pugio*. Bull.Environ.Contam. Toxicol. Vol. 42: 339 - 343.

Kurta, A. 2005. Roosting ecology and behavior of Indiana bats (Myotis sodalis) in summer. Pp 29 - 41 In: The Indiana Bat and Coal Mining: A Technical Interactive Forum. K.C. Vories and A Harrington (Eds). Office of Surface Mining. U.S. Department of the Interior. Alton, IL.

Limberg, K. And R. Schmidt. 1990. Patterns of fish spawning in Hudson River tributaries: response to an urban gradient? Ecology 71(4): 1238 - 1245.

Matthew D. Rudikoff Associates, Inc.1999. Madam Brett Park Natural Resources Inventory. Prepared for the Scenic Hudson Land Trust, Inc. Beacon, NY. 47 pp.

Miller, N.A. and M..W. Klemens. 2002. Eastern Westchester Biotic Corridor. MCA Technical paper No.4, Metropolitan Conservation Alliance, Wildlife Conservation Society, Bronx, NY. 29 pp.

Murphy, R. J. and D. D. Van Buren. 1998. Images of America - historic Beacon. Arcadia Publishing, Charleston, South Carolina.

New York State Department of Environmental Conservation. 2008. New York State Breeding Bird Atlas Data: 2000 - 2005. http://www.dec.ny.gov/cfmx/extapps/bba/.

New York Natural Heritage Program. 1997. New York Rare Animal Status List. Albany, NY. 30 pp.

New York State Department of Environmental Conservation. 1994. Fish and wildlife impact analysis for inactive hazardous waste sites (FWIA). Division of Fish and Wildlife. Albany, NY.

New York State Department of Health. 2007. Chemicals in sportfish and game: 2008-2009 Health Advisories. www.nyhealth.gov/environmental/outdoors/fish/fish.htm. Accessed October 21, 2008.

New York State Department of State. 1990. Hudson River Significant Tidal Habitats. NYSDOS Division of Coastal Resources and Waterfront Revitalization, and the Nature Conservancy. Albany, New York.184 pp.

Opresko, D.M., Sample, B.E., and G.W. Suter. 1994. Toxicological benchmarks for wildlife. Oak Ridge National Laboratory. Oak Ridge, TN.

Reschke, C. 1990. Ecological Communities of New York State. New York Natural Heritage Program/New York State Department of Environmental Conservation. Albany, NY.

Sample, B.E., D.M. Opresko, and G.W Suter II. 1996. Toxicological Benchmarks for Wildlife: 1996 Revision. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN. 227 pp.

Schmidt, R. E. and E. Kiviat. 1986. Environmental quality of the Fishkill Creek drainage, a Hudson River Tributary. Hudsonia Ltd. Annandale, NY.

Stevens, G., Schmidt, R.E., Roeder, D.R., Tashiro, J.S., and E. Kiviat. 1994. Baseline Assessment of Tributaries to the Hudson (BATH): Water quality, fishes, macroinvertebrates and diatoms in Fishkill Creek, Quassic Creek and Moodna Creek. Volumes I and II. Hudsonia, Ltd. Annandale, NY. 97 pp.

Su, S.H., Pearlman, L.C., Rothrock, J.A., Iannuzzi, T.J. and B.L. Finley. 2002. Case study of potential long-term ecological impacts caused by disturbance of contaminated sediments: a case study. Environmental Management. Vol. 29: 234 - 239.

Suter, G. W. II, and C. L. Tsao. 1996. Toxicological benchmarks for screening of potential contaminants of concern for effects on aquatic biota on Oak Ridge Reservation: 1996 Revision. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN. 104pp

US EPA. 2007. Ecological soil screening levels for polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) Interim Final OSWER Directive 9285.7-78, Washington, DC.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. September 1999. Fact Sheet: Polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) update: impact on fish advisories. Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxics. Washington, DC. 7 pp.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 1995. Health and environmental effects profile for methyl ethyl ketone. Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response, Environmental Criteria and Assessment Office, Office of Health and Environmental Assessment. Cincinnati, OH.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 1994. Chemical summary for methyl ethyl ketone. Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxics. Washington, DC.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 1994. Chemical summary for toluene. Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxics. Washington, DC.

U.S. Geological Survey. 1998. Water Quality in the Hudson River Basin, New York and Adjacent States, 1992 - 1995. U.S. Geological Survey Circular 1165. USGS. Troy, New York.

U.S. Geological Survey. 2003. Real time Data for the Fishkill Creek at Beacon, New York, Station #01373500. Available at: http://nwis.waterdata.usgs.gov/ny/discharge/?site_no=01373500 (Accessed July 18, 2008).

Will, M.E. and G.W. Suter. 1994 (revision). Toxicological benchmarks for screening potential contaminants of concern for effects on terrestrial plants.Oak Ridge National Laboratory. Oak Ridge, TN.

Young, S. and T. Weldy (eds). 2008. New York Natural Heritage Program New York Rare Plant Status Lists. New York Natural Heritage Program/New York State Department of Environmental Conservation. Albany, NY. 71 pp.

Young, S. January 1996. Invasive Alien Plant Species in New York. New York Natural Heritage Program. Latham, NY. 12pp.

_		I DI IFE IMDA CT A NA I VCIC
	FISH AND WI	E HAZARDOUS WASTE SITES
	BEA	ACON TERMINAL
_	( DUTCHE	CITY OF BEACON ESS COUNTY, NEW YORK
I		
		APPENDICES
	APPENDIX A, ECOS INITIAL REMEDIA SITE	SYSTEMS STRATEGIES, INC.: SUMMARY O L FINDINGS FOR THE BEACON TERMINAL
	APPENDIX B, SITE	FAUNA
	APPENDIX C, SITE	FLORA
	APPENDIX D, ADJA	<b>ACENT OFF-SITE FLORA AND FAUNA</b>
	APPENDIX E, COR	RESPONDENCE
	MDRA	MATTHEW D. RUDIKOFF ASSOCIATES, INC.
Р		Beacon Building 427 Main Street • Suite 201 Beacon, New York 12508

# FISH AND WILDLIFE IMPACT ANALYSIS FOR INACTIVE HAZARDOUS WASTE SITES

# **BEACON TERMINAL**

CITY OF BEACON DUTCHESS COUNTY, NEW YORK

# APPENDIX A

ECOSYSTEMS STRATEGIES, INC.: SUMMARY OF INITIAL REMEDIAL FINDINGS FOR THE BEACON TERMINAL SITE



MATTHEW D. RUDIKOFF ASSOCIATES, INC.

PLANNING ENVIRONMENT DEVELOPMENT Beacon Building 427 Main Street • Suite 201 Beacon, New York 12508

Tel: 845.831.1182 • Fax: 845.831.2696 www.rudikoff.com Ecosystems Strategies, Inc. 24 Davis Avenue, Poughkeepsie, NY 12603

phone 845.452.1658 | fax 845.485.7083 | ecosystemsstrategies.com

September 11, 2008

Joseph T. Bridges, Ph.D. Matthew D. Rudikoff Associates, Inc. Beacon Building 427 Main Street, Suite 201 Beacon, New York 12508

Re: Summary of Initial Remedial Findings for the Beacon Terminal Site located at 555 South Avenue, City of Beacon, Dutchess County, New York ESI File: BB04157

Dear Mr. Bridges:

This <u>Summary of Initial Remedial Findings</u> (<u>Summary</u>) has been prepared by Ecosystems Strategies Inc. (ESI) to provide Matthew D. Rudikoff Associates, Inc. with information necessary to complete the Fish and Wildlife Resources Impact Analysis for the Beacon Terminal Site (Site), NYSDEC Brownfields Cleanup Program Site (BCP ID: C314117).

## Site Location and History

The Site is an approximately 11-acre parcel located adjacent to the northern edge of Fishkill Creek, in the City of Beacon, Dutchess County, New York. Approximately half the Site is improved with eight vacant industrial buildings (B-1, B-2, B-3, B-4, B-5A, B-5B, B-6, B-7, and B-8) formerly used for various manufacturing and warehousing purposes; the remainder of the property includes paved parking areas and undeveloped grassland and woodlands. The Site has been proposed for re-use as a residential condominium complex at the completion of remedial activities.

## **Initial Remedial Investigation**

Investigation of the Site was conducted between January 30, 2008 and February 28, 2008, following the protocols specified in the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (NYSDEC) approved <u>Remedial Investigation Workplan</u>. In addition, a second round of groundwater sampling was conducted on June 19, 2008. Fieldwork activities included extension of soil borings and test pits, groundwater well installation, and sampling of surface and subsurface soils, groundwater (both existing and newly installed wells), soil gas, surface water, and sediment.

Results of the fieldwork are discussed below; however, a more thorough and comprehensive discussion will be presented in the <u>Remedial Investigation Report</u> to be completed at the conclusion of all on-site investigative activities.



J. Bridges September 11, 2008 ESI File: BB04157 Page 2 of 6

## **Guidance Levels**

Guidance levels for all compounds in soils are based on NYSDEC Remedial Program, Unrestricted Use soil cleanup objectives (SCOs), as provided in 6 NYCRR Subpart 375, Table 375-6.8(a). Compounds without a listed SCO are compared to the Recommended Soil Cleanup Objectives (RSCOs) presented in NYSDEC <u>Technical and Administrative Guidance Memorandum #4046</u> (TAGM 4046), including applicable subsequent NYSDEC memoranda.

Guidance levels for all compounds in water are based on NYSDEC <u>Division of Water Technical and</u> <u>Operational Guidance Series 1.1.1, Ambient Water Quality Standards and Guidance Values and</u> <u>Groundwater Effluent Limitations</u> (TOGS 1.1.1).

All data presented in this <u>Summary</u> have been analyzed in accordance with applicable guidance levels. Guidance levels for soil are referenced in units of mg/kg (parts per million [ppm]) or  $\mu$ g/kg (parts per billion [ppb]). Guidance levels for groundwater are referenced in units of  $\mu$ g/L.

Guidance levels have not been developed for compounds in soil gas. Background levels are based on the New York State Department of Health (NYSDOH) <u>Guidance for Evaluating Soil & Vapor Intrusion in the State of New York</u> and subsequent memoranda.

## **Fieldwork Methodology and Observations**

Twenty mechanical borings, eleven surface soil (0-4") samples, sixteen soil gas samples, and twenty-four test pits were extended in areas identified as potentially impacted by previous historical impacts on-site. Three of the mechanical borings were completed as groundwater monitoring wells (three wells existed on-site prior to investigative activates). See Figures 1 through 6, attached. No significant field evidence of contamination was noted, except for a moderate to strong chemical odor at borings 2B-01A, 2B-01B, 2B-01C, 2B-15, 2B-15A, and 2B-15C. A slight chemical odor was noted at boring 2B-11.

Debris consisting of primarily asphalt, concrete, brick, metal, and miscellaneous trash was noted at test pits 2TP-2, 2TP-3, 2TP-5, 2TP-20, and 2TP-21. In addition, degraded fabric was noted at test pits 2TP-14, 2TP-15, 2TP-17, and 2TP-18. Degraded fabric alone was noted at test pits 2TP-11 and 2TP-12. Demolition debris including concrete block, concrete, and asphalt was noted at test pits 2TP-8 and 2TP-22.

## Laboratory Results

A summary of the results of the laboratory analyses are presented below. Figures depicting fieldwork activities and Data Summary Tables and are included as attachments to this <u>Summary</u>.

## Volatile Organic Compounds

Sixty-eight media samples were submitted for laboratory analysis of volatile organic compounds (VOCs) utilizing USEPA Method 8260 (soil and water) and Method TO-15 (soil gas).



J. Bridges September 11, 2008 ESI File: BB04157 Page 3 of 6

#### Soil and Sediment

Elevated concentrations (above guidance levels) of toluene (guidance level 700  $\mu$ g/kg) were detected in subsurface soils beneath buildings B-5A and B-5B at soil borings 2B-15A[4-5'] (100,000  $\mu$ g/kg), 2B-15[4-5'] (22,000  $\mu$ g/kg), and 2B-15C[4-5'] (1,600  $\mu$ g/kg), and northwest of building B-7 at boring 2B-01C[1-3'] (4,600,000  $\mu$ g/kg). An elevated concentration of methyl ethyl ketone (1,400  $\mu$ g/kg, guidance level 120  $\mu$ g/kg) was detected at 2B-15A [4-5']. Slightly elevated concentrations of methylene chloride and acetone, potential laboratory contaminants, were detected in several samples. Tentatively identified compounds (TICs) were detected at test pit 2TP-11 (15,050  $\mu$ g/kg, guidance level for total VOCs 10,000  $\mu$ g/kg). No other significant VOCs were detected in soil borings, surface soils, or test pits at the Site.

VOCs in soils and sediment are summarized in Figure 1, Figure 5, and Tables 1 through 3, attached.

#### Groundwater and Surface Water

No significant VOCs were detected in groundwater and surface water at the Site. VOCs in water are summarized in Table 4, attached.

#### Soil Gas

Several VOCs (primarily BTEX compounds) were detected above NYSDOH background concentrations in all soil gas samples. However, the levels detected are not indicative of a significant buildup of VOCs beneath Site buildings. VOCs in soil gas are summarized in Table 5, attached.

## Semi-Volatile Organic Compounds

Forty-four media samples were submitted for laboratory analysis of semi-volatile organic compounds (SVOCs) utilizing USEPA Method 8270.

#### Soil and Sediment

Slightly elevated levels of polycyclic aromatic compounds (PAHs) were detected across the Site in surface soils, test pits, and in boring 2B-05[4-5] (western parking area). Detected concentrations are consistent with impacts associated with asphalt paving, which is present throughout the Site and was observed in subsurface test pit areas. Significantly elevated concentrations of total unknown SVOCs were detected at surface soil sample 2HB-06[0-4"] (3,348,120  $\mu$ g/kg, guidance level for total SVOCs 500,000  $\mu$ g/kg).

SVOCs in soils and sediment are summarized in Figure 2, Figure 5, and Tables 6 through 8, attached.

## Groundwater

No significant SVOC concentrations were detected in groundwater at the Site. SVOCs in groundwater are summarized in Table 9, attached.

## Target Analyte List (TAL) Metals

Fifty media samples were submitted for laboratory analysis of Target Analyte List (TAL) metals utilizing various USEPA Methods.



J. Bridges September 11, 2008 ESI File: BB04157 Page 4 of 6

#### Soil and Sediment

Elevated concentrations of lead (2,830 mg/kg, guidance level 63 mg/kg), chromium (491 mg/kg, guidance level 30 mg/kg), zinc (854 mg/kg, guidance level 109 mg/kg), and arsenic (19.1 mg/kg, guidance level 13 mg/kg) were detected at surface soil sample 2HB-02[0-4"]. Elevated concentrations of zinc were detected in surface soil samples 2HB-05[0-4"] (1,930 mg/kg) and 2HB-09[0-4"] (819 mg/kg). An elevated level of mercury (1.6 mg/kg, guidance level 0.18 mg/kg) was detected at surface soil sample 2HB-10(0-4"). In addition, low-level exceedences of TAL metals including cadmium, copper, lead, magnesium, nickel, and zinc were detected in surface soil surfac

Elevated levels of metals were detected in near-surface soils in test pits 2TP-11 and 2TP-11B, in the areas where buried fabric was observed (likely to be from former on-site fabric reclamation activities). Peak concentrations included arsenic (13.1 mg/kg, 2TP-11), chromium (788 mg/kg, 2TP-11), copper (4,530 mg/kg, guidance level 50 mg/kg, 2TP-11), lead (788 mg/kg, 2TP-11), mercury (4.0 mg/kg, 2TP-11), nickel (80.6 mg/kg, guidance level 30 mg/kg, 2TP-11B), silver (15 mg/kg, guidance value 2 mg/kg, 2TP-11) and zinc (706 mg/kg, 2TP-11B). Concentrations generally decreased with depth.

Low-level exceedences of TAL metals were detected in all soil borings and sediment, with the exception of 2B-08[5-6']. Peak concentrations (excluding levels discussed above) included arsenic (15.8 mg/kg, 2B-15[4-5']), lead (148 mg/kg, 2B-06[4-5']), nickel (35.4 mg/kg, 2B-15), and zinc (273 mg/kg, 2B-07[3-4']).

Metals in soils and sediment are summarized in Figure 3, Figure 5, and Tables 10 through 12, attached.

## Groundwater and Surface Water

Elevated concentrations of total TAL metals were detected in all wells and surface waters, with peak concentrations of arsenic (110  $\mu$ g/L, guidance level 100  $\mu$ g/L), chromium (110  $\mu$ g/L, guidance level 100  $\mu$ g/L), copper (280  $\mu$ g/L, guidance level 50  $\mu$ g/L), and lead (220  $\mu$ g/L, guidance level 25  $\mu$ g/L) detected at 2MW-05. Additional analysis was completed for dissolved lead (all wells) and dissolved TAL metals (2MW-05). All dissolved lead values were below the guidance level (25  $\mu$ g/L). No significant exceedences of dissolved metals were detected at 2MW-5 (elevated total metals at 2MW-5 appear to be due to suspended solids).

Metals in groundwater are summarized in Figure 6, and Table 13, attached.

## **PCBs and Pesticides**

Fifty-four media samples were submitted for laboratory analysis of PCBs and organic pesticides utilizing USEPA Methods 8082 and 8081, respectively.

#### Soil

Elevated concentrations of PCBs (guidance level 100  $\mu$ g/kg) were detected in test pit samples 2TP-2 (207  $\mu$ g/kg), 2TP-11 (7,500  $\mu$ g/kg), 2TP-11B (1,600  $\mu$ g/kg), 2TP15 (3,400  $\mu$ g/kg), and 2TP-15B (1,000  $\mu$ g/kg); significantly elevated levels were found in areas where fabric was observed (2TP-11 and 2TP-15). In addition, slightly elevated concentrations of PCBs were detected in surface soil sample 2HB-12 (120  $\mu$ g/kg). PCBs were detected at or below the SCO in samples 2B-07, 2HB-01, 2HB-03, 2HB-04, 2HB-05, 2HB-06, 2HB-08, 2HB-09, 2HB-10, 2HB-13, and 2SED-1.



J. Bridges September 11, 2008 ESI File: BB04157 Page 5 of 6

Low-level pesticide contamination was detected in surface soils and sediment throughout the Site, including peak concentrations of 4,4 DDD (49  $\mu$ g/kg, guidance level 3.3  $\mu$ g/kg, 2HB-05), 4,4 DDE (66  $\mu$ g/kg, guidance level 3.3  $\mu$ g/kg, 2HB-01), 4,4 DDT (530  $\mu$ g/kg, guidance level 3.3  $\mu$ g/kg, 2HB-02), dieldirn (150  $\mu$ g/kg, guidance level 5.0  $\mu$ g/kg, 2HB-02), and endrin (28  $\mu$ g/kg, guidance level 14  $\mu$ g/kg, 2HB-02 [duplicate sample]).

PCBs and pesticides in soils are summarized in Figure 4, Figure 5, and Tables 14 through 17, attached.

## Groundwater and Surface Water

PCBs were not detected in groundwater or surface water at the Site. PCBs in water are summarized in Table 18.

## **Summary of Findings**

Investigative activities conducted through June 2008 have identified several areas of impact at the Site including:

- An area of toluene impacted soils located northwest of building B-7, near the existing wood/brush line. This area was reputed to be the storage location for the former toluene USTs after excavation and prior to removal from the Site. In addition, toluene impacted soils were discovered beneath the northeast corner of Building B-5B, near the former toluene USTs (now excavated and removed from the Site). Although toluene has been discovered in the sub-surface at levels which exceed NYSDEC guidance values, toluene was not detected during the two groundwater sampling events (including one sample from a monitoring well located in building B-5 [2MW-05] and one near 2B-01C [MW-03]).
- Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs) were detected throughout the Site in concentrations that are consistent with impacts associated with asphalt paving. Asphalt paving is present throughout the Site and was observed in subsurface test pit areas. However, a significant concentration of unknown semi-volatile organic compounds (SVOCs) was detected at surface soil location HB-06 (located near the existing on-site transformer).
- Elevated metal contamination was detected at surface soil location 2HB-02 (west of building B-5B, near the loading dock) and in the test pit areas where fabric was observed (far western side of site to the south and east of the existing parking lot). This fabric was likely disposed of during the years that a fabric reclaiming operation existed on-site. Low-level exceedences of metals, detected throughout the Site in soils, sediment, and groundwater, are indicative of the former industrial nature of the Site.
- Significantly elevated concentrations of PCBs were detected in the areas where fabric was observed (TP-11 and TP-15) and low-levels of PCBs were detected in the surface samples and sediment sample collected throughout the Site.
- Low-level pesticide contamination was detected in surface soils located throughout the Site.



J. Bridges September 11, 2008 ESI File: BB04157 Page 6 of 6

Please review this document and call me at (845) 452-1658 should you have any questions or comments.

Sincerely,

ECOSYSTEMS STRATEGIES, INC.

Emery Lawson Project Manager

EDS:PHC:cpr:ndc

Attachments: Fieldwork Maps Data Tables

cc: File













 Table 1: VOCs in Soil Borings

 All results provided in µg/kg (parts per billion). Results ibold exceed designated guidance levels.

											Sa	mple Identific	ation									
Compound	Guidance	2B-01C	2B-02	2B-04	2B-05	2B-06	2B-07	2B-08	2B-09	2B-10	2B-11***	2B-12	2B-13	2B-14	2B-15	2B-15 A	2B-15 C	2MW-04	2MW-05	2MW-06	2MW-09	DUPLICATE
(USEPA Method 8260)	Level	(1-3')	(10-12')	(5-7')	(4-5')	(4-5')	(3-4')	(5-6')	(6-7')	(9-10')	(5-7')	(15-17')	(23-25')	(22-24')	(4-5')	(4-5')	(4-5')	(20-21')	(26-28')	(6-6.5')	(2-3.5')	(2B-11, 5-7')
	Date	2/4/2008	2/5/2008	2/4/2008	1/31/200	8 2/4/2008	1/31/2008	1/31/2008	2/5/2008	2/5/2008	2/5/2008	2/5/2008	2/5/2008	2/6/2008	2/6/2008	2/6/2008	2/6/2008	1/31/2008	2/6/2008	1/31/2008	2/5/2008	2/5/2008
1,1,1,2-Tetrachioroethane		ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	000	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
1,1,2,2-Tetrachioroethane	600°	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	070	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
1,1-Dichloroethane	270	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
1,1-Dichloroetnene	330	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
1,1-Dichloropropene		ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
1,2,3-Irichiorobenzene		ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
1,2,3-Trichioropropane	340*	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	3,400*	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	3,600	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane	**	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB)	**	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	1,100	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
1,2-Dichloroethane	20	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	**	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
1,2-Dichloropropane	**	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	8,400	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	2,400	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
1,3-Dichloropropane	300*	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	1,800	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
1-Chlorohexane	**	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
2,2-Dichloropropane	**	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
2-Chlorotoluene	**	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
2-Hexanone	**	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
4-Chlorotoluene	**	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
4-lsopropyltoluepe	**	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Acetone	50	ND	9.2	I ND	17	1 28	67	ND	63	1 59 1	11 .1	3.9	1 72	69	J ND	ND	ND	74 .1	64		3.3	1 81 1
Benzene	60	ND	0.2 G	ND	ND	ND ND	ND S	ND	ND ND	5 5.5 5		ND	ND ND	ND	3 ND	ND	ND	ND S	ND	ND	ND	
Bromobenzene	**	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Bromodichloromethane	**	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Bromoform	**	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Bromomethane	**	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Carbon disulfide	2700*	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Carbon tetrachloride	760	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Chlorobenzene	1 100	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Chloroethane	1,900*	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Chloroform	370	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Chloromethane	**	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
1.2-Dichloroethylene (cis)	250	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
cis-1.3-Dichloropropene	**	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Dibromochloromethane	**	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Dibromomethane	**	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Dichlorodifluoromethane	**	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Ethylbenzene	1,000	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Hexachlorobutadiene	**	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Isopropylbenzene	**	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
m-&p-Xylenes	260	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Methyl Ethyl Ketone	120	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	1,400	J ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
methyl isobutyl ketone	**	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Methyl-tert-butyl-ether (MTBE)	930	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Methylene chloride	50	ND	2 J	J 4.6 J	ND	4.4 J	2.4 J	1.9 J	ND	2.1 J	J 4.2 J	2.	J 1.7 .	J ND	<b>130</b> J	J ND	61	l 1.9 J	ND	ND	4.2	J 1.9 J
Naphthalene	12,000	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
n-Butylbenzene	**	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
n-Propylbenzene	3,900	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
o-Xylene	260	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
sec-Butylbenzene	11,000	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Styrene	**	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
tert-Butvlbenzene	5,900	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Tetrachloroethene	1,300	ND	ND	2.6	ND	3.7	ND	ND	ND	2 .1	4.6	I ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	1.5		9	ND
Toluene	700	4 600 000	11	2.0 0	ND	26 1	ND	ND	77	15	29	11	1 2	I ND	22 000	100.000	1 600	34 1	21	42 1	3.8	
1.2 Disblorosthylono (treas)	100	ND	ND	2.5 J	ND	2.0 3	ND	ND	ND	ND J	, 2.3 J	ND		ND	ND	ND	ND	5.7 J	ALL S	- <del>1</del> .2 J	ND	
trans 1.2 Dichloroproners	190	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND			ND	ND			ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Trichloroothono	470	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND			ND	ND		240		ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Trichlorofluoromothar	470	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND			ND	ND		340 J		ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Vipul obloride	20	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND			ND	ND			ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
total Vylanas	20	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND			ND	ND			ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	200		ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND		ND	ND		5.450	110	110	700	1 200		ND	ND
Total Unknown Computer	NE	1 140 000	ND	ND	ND	4.7	ND	ND	ND	73		ND	130		17 000	6 300	12 360		1,200 S	110	6.4	
Total VOCe	**	5 740 000	12.3	9.5	17.0	4.7 J	9.1	1.0	14.0	1.3 J	22.7	7.0	140.9	60	44 920	131 700	14 421	802.7	1 210	114.2	26.7	11.0
Notos		3,740,000	12.3	9.0	17.0	43.4	3.1	1.9	14.0	10.0	22.1	7.0	140.3	0.9	44,320	131,700	14,421	002.7	1,210	114.2	20.7	11.0

Guidance levels based on BCP Unrestricted Use SCOs, 6 NYCRR Part 375, Table 375-6.8(b), except as noted.
* = Guidance level based on NYSDECTAGM 4046.
** = Guidance level not established <u>TAGM 4046</u> total individual and sum of VOCs not listed must be less than or equal to 10,000 ppb).

* Sample with dupilcate analysis

J - Data indicate the presence of a compound that meets the identification criteria. The result is less than the quantitation limit but greater than zero. The concentration given is an approximate value ND = Not Detected TBD = To Be Determined NA = Not Analyzed



#### Table 2: VOCs in Surface Soil

All results provided in µg/kg (parts per billion). Results in **bold** exceed designated guidance levels.

								Sample Ide	entification							
Compound		2HB-01	2HB-02***	2HB-03	2HB-04	2HB-05	2HB-06	2HB-07	2HB-08	2HB-09	2HB-10	2HB-13		1	DUPLICATE	[
(USEPA Method 8260)	Guidance Level	(0-4")	(0-4")	(0-4")	(0-4")	(0-4")	(0-4")	(0-4")	(0-4")	(0-4")	(0-4")	(0-4")	2 SED-1	2 SED-2	(2HB-02, 0-4	)
	Date	2/25/2008	2/25/2008	2/25/2008	2/25/2008	2/25/2008	2/25/2008	2/25/2008	2/25/2008	2/25/2008	2/25/2008	2/25/2008	2/25/2008	2/25/2008	2/25/2008	-
1 1 1 2-Tetrachloroethane	**				ND	ND		ND	ND		ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	
	690	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	⊢						
	000	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	⊢						
1,1,2,2-Tetrachioroethane	600	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	4						
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	**	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	∟						
1,1-Dichloroethane	270	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND							
1,1-Dichloroethene	330	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND							
1,1-Dichloropropene	**	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND							
1.2.3-Trichlorobenzene	**	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	F						
1 2 3-Trichloropropage	3/0*	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	⊢						
4.2.4 Tricklerchenzene	2,400*	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	⊢						
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	3,400	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	1						
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	3,600	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	L						
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane	**	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	Í.						
1.2-Dibromoethane (EDB)	**	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND							
1.2-Dichlorobenzene	1 100	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	F						
1.2 Dichlereethane	1,100	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	⊢						
	20	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	1						
1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	**	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	⊢						
1,2-Dichloropropane	**	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	L						
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	8,400	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	Í.						
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	2,400	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND							
1.3-Dichloropropane	300*	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	F						
1 4-Dichlorobenzene	1 800	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	F						
A Oblamak anama	**	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	⊢						
1-Chloronexane		ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	⊢						
2,2-Dichloropropane	**	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	∟						
2-Chlorotoluene	**	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	Í.						
2-Hexanone	**	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND							
4-Chlorotoluene	**	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	F						
4 leannanultaluana	**	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	⊢						
4-isopropyitoluene		ND	ND		ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	<u>+ -</u>						
Acetone	50	15 .	J 9.7 .	J ND	ND	9.6 J	140	11 J	11 、	J 6 J	8.6	J ND	ND	5.3 J	12	J
Benzene	60	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	L						
Bromobenzene	**	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	Í.						
Bromodichloromethane	**	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND							
Bromoform	**	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	l –						
Bromomethane	**	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	F						
Carbon disulfide	2700*	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	⊢						
Carbon disulide	2700	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	1						
Carbon tetrachioride	760	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	⊢						
Chlorobenzene	1,100	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	L						
Chloroethane	1,900*	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	Í.						
Chloroform	370	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND							
Chloromethane	**	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND							
1.2-Dichloroethylene (cis)	250	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	F						
cis-1 3-Dichloropropene	**	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	⊢						
Dibromochloromothono	**	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	⊢						
Dibromocnioromethane	**	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	⊢						
Dibromometnane		ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	⊢						
Dichlorodifluoromethane	**	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	L						
Ethylbenzene	1,000	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	Í.						
Hexachlorobutadiene	**	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND							
Isopropylbenzene	**	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND							
m-&n-Xvlenes	260	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	F						
Methyl Ethyl Ketone	120	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	8		ND	ND	ND	ND	ND		ND	F
mothyl is obutyl kotono	**	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND			ND	ND						←
methyl isobutyl ketone	000						ND									
Methyl-tert-butyl-ether (MTBE)	930	ND	ND 0.5	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	Ļ						
Methylene chloride	50	2.6	J ND	ND	ND	ND	2.9	J ND	2.3	JND	2.1	J 1.9	J ND	5.4 J	4.8	J
Naphthalene	12,000	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND							
n-Butylbenzene	**	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND							
n-Propylbenzene	3,900	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND							
o-Xvlene	260	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	F						
Dutulk surgers	44.000	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	⊢						
sec-Butylbenzene	11,000	NŬ	ND	ND	ND.	NU	ND	ND.	NŬ	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	
Styrene	**	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	L						
tert-Butylbenzene	5,900	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND							
Tetrachloroethene	1,300	3.4		1.3	ND ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	2 .1	0.93	J ND	ND	ND	3.9	J
Toluono	700	21			ND	ND	20		ND	0.72	0.07		ND	0.01		Ĕ
Toldelle	100	3.4					2.8			U.12 J	0.97	J ND		0.91 J		
1,2-Dichloroethylene (trans)	190	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	⊢						
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	**	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	L						
Trichloroethene	470	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	1						
Trichlorofluoromethane	**	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND							
Vinyl chloride	20	ND	ND	ND	ND.	ND	ND	ND	ND	F						
total Xylenes	260	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND		ND	F						
Total TICo	NE	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	6.0		ND	ND						←
	NE			ND			0.0				IND ND					⊢
Total Unknown Compunds	NE	NŬ	ND	ND	ND	NU	ND	ND	NŬ	NU	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ــــ
Total VOCs	**	24.4	9.7	1.3	ND	9.6	160.3	11	13.3	8.72	12.6	1.9	ND	11.61	20.7	L

Notes:

Guidance levels based on BCP Unrestricted Use SCOs, 6 NYCRR Part 375, Table 375-6.8(b), except as noted. * = Guidance level based on NYSDEC <u>TAGM 4046</u>. ** = Guidance level not established (<u>TAGM 4046</u> total individual and sum of VOCs not listed must be less than or equal to 10,000 ppb). *** Sample with duplicate analysis J - Data indicate the presence of a compound that meets the identification criteria. The result is less than the quantitation limit but greater than zero. The concentration given is an approximate value. ND = Not Detected TBD = To Be Determined NA = Not Analyzed



#### Table 3: VOCs in Test Pits

All results provided in  $\mu$ g/kg (parts per billion). Results in **bold** exceed designated guidance levels.

						Sample Ident	ifica	ation		-		
(USEPA Method 8260)	Guidance Level	2SST-1 (0'-4	")	2TP-2 (3')		2TP-8 (1')		2TP-11 (0-6")		2TP-15 (1-2')		
	Date	1/30/2008		1/30/2008		1/30/2008		1/30/2008		1/30/2008		
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	**	ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	680	ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	600*	ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	**	ND		ND		ND		ND		ND	L	
1,1-Dichloroethane	270	ND		ND		ND		ND		ND	-	
1,1-Dichloropropopo	330	ND		ND	-	ND				2TP-15 (1-2')           1/30/2008           ND           ND		
1,1-Dichlorobenzene	**			ND	-	ND	-	ND	_	2TP-15 (1-2')           1/30/2008           ND           ND		
1.2.3-Trichloropropage	340*	ND		ND		ND	-	ND		2TP-15 (1-2') 1/30/2008 ND		
1.2.4-Trichlorobenzene	3.400*	ND		ND	1	ND		ND	-	2TP-15 (1-2') 1/30/2008 ND		
1.2.4-Trimethylbenzene	3.600	ND		ND		ND		ND	_	2TP-15 (1-2')           1/30/2008           ND           ND		
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane	**	ND		ND		ND		ND		2TP-15 (1-2')           1/30/2008           ND           ND		
1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB)	**	ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	1,100	ND		ND		ND		ND		2TP-15 (1-2')           1/30/2008           ND           ND		
1,2-Dichloroethane	20	ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		
1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	**	ND		ND		ND		ND	ZTP-15 (1-2')           1/30/2008           ND           ND <th></th>			
1,2-Dichloropropane	**	ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	8,400	ND	L	ND	L	ND	L	ND	2TP-15 (1-2')           1/30/2008           ND           ND <th>L</th>		L	
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	2,400	ND	_	ND	L	ND	L	ND		ND	Ц	
1,3-Dichloropropane	300*	ND	-	ND	⊢	ND	L	ND		ND	Н	
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	1,800		-		⊢		-				$\vdash$	
2 2-Dichloropropage	**		-		┢		⊢		$\vdash$		⊢	
2-Chlorotoluene	**	ND	⊢	ND	┢	ND	⊢	ND			⊢	
2-Hexanone	**	ND		ND		ND	-	ND		ND	-	
4-Chlorotoluene	**	ND		ND	1	ND		ND	-	ND	-	
4-Isopropyltoluene	**	ND		ND		ND		ND	_	ND	-	
Acetone	50	4.2	J	4.3	J	4.0	J	54.0	J	5.8	J	
Benzene	60	ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		
Bromobenzene	**	ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		
Bromodichloromethane	**	ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		
Bromoform	**	ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		
Bromomethane	**	ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		
Carbon disulfide	2700*	ND		ND	_	ND		ND		ND		
Carbon tetrachioride	760	ND		ND		ND		ND		ND	-	
Chloroothano	1,100	ND		ND	-	ND	_	ND	_	ND	-	
Chloroform	370	ND		ND	-	ND					-	
Chloromethane	**	ND		ND		ND	-	ND		ND	$\vdash$	
1,2-Dichloroethylene (cis)	250	ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	**	ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		
Dibromochloromethane	**	ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		
Dibromomethane	**	ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		
Dichlorodifluoromethane	**	ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		
Ethylbenzene	1,000	ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		
Hexachlorobutadiene	**	ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		
Isopropylbenzene	**	ND		ND	_	ND		ND	_	ND	-	
p-&m-Aylenes	200		-		⊢		-				⊢	
methyl isobutyl ketone	**	ND		ND	-	ND	-	ND		ND	$\vdash$	
Methyl-tert-butyl-ether (MTRF)	930	ND	⊢	ND	┢	ND	⊢	ND			$\vdash$	
Methylene chloride	50	32	.1	4 4	.1	3.3	J	16.0	J.	4.8	J	
Naphthalene	12,000	ND	Ľ	ND	Ĕ	ND	Ľ	ND	Ľ	ND	Ē	
n-Butylbenzene	**	ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		
n-Propylbenzene	3,900	ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		
o-Xylene	260	ND	L	ND	L	ND		ND		ND		
sec-Butylbenzene	11,000	ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		
Styrene	**	ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		
tert-Butylbenzene	5,900	ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		
Tetrachloroethene	1,300	ND		21.0		4.9	J	42.0	J	15.0		
Toluene	700	ND		ND	L	ND	L	ND		1.0	J	
1,2-Dichloroethylene (trans)	190	ND		ND	L	ND	L	ND		ND	L	
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	**	ND	L	ND	1	ND	L	ND		ND	L	
Irichloroethene	470	ND	-	ND	1	ND		ND		ND	⊢	
Vinul at laste	**	ND	-	ND	⊢	ND	L	ND		ND	⊢	
vinyi chloride	20		-		⊢		-		H		⊢	
	200 NE		-		┢		⊢	15.050	-		⊢	
Total Unknown Compounds	NE	ND	⊢	ND	┢	ND	⊢	1 730	.1		⊢	
Total VOCs	**	7	F	30	┢	12	H	16.892	Ĕ	27	Н	

#### Notes:

Guidance levels based on BCP Unrestricted Use SCOs, 6 NYCRR Part 375, Table 375-6.8(b), except as noted.

* = Guidance level based on NYSDEC TAGM 4046.

** = Guidance level not established (TAGM 4046 total individual and sum of VOCs not listed must be less than or equal to 10,000 ppb). J - Data indicate the presence of a compound that meets the identification criteria. The result is less than the quantitation limit but greater than zero. The concentration given is an approximate value.

Table 4 : VOCs in Groundwater All results provided in  $\mu$ g/L. Results in **bold** exceed designated guidance levels.

	Quidence			1		1			Sampl	le Identific	ation			1	1				J
(USEPA Method 8260)	Guidance	M	W-01	M	W-02	MW-03	2M\	N-04#	2M	W-05	21	/W-06	2SW-1	2SW-2	Duplicate (2MW-04)		ĸ	RIP BLANK	ć
(002171 Molliou 0200)	Date	2/28/2008	6/19/2008	2/28/2008	6/19/2008	2/28/2008	2/28/2008	6/19/2008	2/28/2008	6/19/2008	2/28/2008	6/19/2008	2/28/2008	2/28/2008	2/28/2008	2/28/2008	<u> </u>	2/28/2008	-
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	5	ND	NA	ND	NA	ND	ND	NA	ND	NA	ND	NA	ND	ND	ND	ND		ND	-
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND		ND									
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	5	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND		ND									
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	1	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	_	ND	-								
1,1-Dichloroethane	5	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	_	ND	-								
1 1-Dichloropropene	5	ND	NA	ND	NA	ND	ND	NA	ND	NA	ND	NA	ND	ND	ND	ND	-	ND	-
1.2.3-Trichlorobenzene	5	ND	NA	ND	NA	ND	ND	NA	ND	NA	ND	NA	ND	ND	ND	ND		ND	-
1,2,3-Trichloropropane	0.04	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND		ND	-								
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	5	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND		ND									
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	5	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND		ND									
1,2-Dibromo-3-Chloropropane	0.04	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND		ND									
1,2-Dibromoethane	5	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	_	ND	-								
1,2-Dichloropenzene	3	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	_	ND	-								
1 2-Dichloroethene Total	5*	ND	NA	ND	NA	ND	ND	NA	ND	NA	ND	NA	ND	ND	ND	ND	-	ND	-
1.2-Dichloropropane	1	ND	NA	ND	NA	ND	ND	NA	ND	NA	ND	NA	ND	ND	ND	ND		ND	-
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	5	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	1	ND									
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	3	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND		ND									
1,3-Dichloropropane	5	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND		ND	Ĩ								
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	3	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND		ND	-								
1-Chlorohexane	5	ND	NA	ND	NA	ND	ND	NA	ND	NA	ND	NA	ND	ND	ND	ND		ND	-
2,2-Dichloropropane	5	ND	NA	ND	NA	ND	ND	NA	ND	NA	ND	NA	ND	ND	ND	ND	_	ND	-
2-Hexanone	50	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND		ND	-								
4-Chlorotoluene	5	ND	NA	ND	NA	ND	ND	NA	ND	NA	ND	NA	ND	ND	ND	ND		ND	-
4-Isopropyltoluene	5	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND		ND	-								
Acetone	50	ND	ND	ND	1.6	J ND	2.8 J	2.1	J	2.7	J								
Benzene	0.7	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND		ND									
Bromobenzene	5	ND	NA	ND	NA	ND	ND	NA	ND	NA	ND	NA	ND	ND	ND	ND		ND	
Bromodichloromethane	50	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	_	ND	-								
Bromotorm	50	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	-	ND	-								
Carbon disulfide	NE	ND	0.25	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	0.7		ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	-	ND	-
Carbon tetrachloride	5	ND	0.25 J	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND S	ND ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND		ND	-
Chlorobenzene	5	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND		ND									
Chloroethane	5	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND		ND									
Chloroform	7	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND		ND									
Chloromethane	5	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	_	ND	_								
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	5	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	-	ND	-								
Dibromochloromethane	5	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	-	ND	-								
Dibromomethane	5	ND	NA	ND	NA	ND	ND	NA	ND	NA	ND	NA	ND	ND	ND	ND	+	ND	-
Dichlorodifluoromethane	5	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND		ND									
Ethylbenzene	5	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND		ND									
Hexachlorobutadiene	0.5	ND	NA	ND	NA	ND	ND	NA	ND	NA	ND	NA	ND	ND	ND	ND		ND	
Isopropylbenzene	5	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND		ND	_								
m&p-Xylene Mothyl Ethyl Kotono	5***	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND 5.7	-	ND	-								
methyl isobutyl ketone	5	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	5.7 ND	J	7.4 S	-								
Methyl tert-butyl ether	10	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND		ND	-								
Methylene Chloride	5	ND	ND	ND	0.45	J ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	0.32	0.28	J ND	0.33 J	0.69	J	1.3	J
Naphthalene	10	ND	NA	ND	NA	ND	ND	NA	ND	NA	ND	NA	ND	ND	ND	ND		ND	
n-Butylbenzene	5	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND		ND									
N-Propylbenzene	5	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	_	ND	-								
0-Aylene	5	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	-	ND	-								
Styrene	5	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	-	ND	-								
tert-Butylbenzene	5	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND		ND	-								
Tetrachloroethene	5	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND		ND									
Toluene	5	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND		ND									
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	5	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND		ND									
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	0.4**	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND		ND	_								
Trichloroethene	5	0.31	J ND	ND	ND	0.31 J	ND	ND	ND	ND	0.43	J ND	ND	ND	ND	ND		0.46	J
Vinyl chloride	2	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	+	ND	-								
Xvlenes (total)	5	ND	NA	ND	NA	ND	ND	NA		NA	ND	NA	ND	ND ND	ND	ND	+		-
Total TICs	NE	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	+	ND	-								
Total Unknown Compounds	NE	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	1	ND									
Total VOCs	NE	0.31	0.25	ND	0.45	0.31	ND	ND	0.7	ND	0.43	0.32	1.88	ND	3.13	8.49		11.86	
Notes:																			Ĩ

Guidance levels based on NYSDEC <u>TOQS 1.1.1</u>. Guidance levels based on NYSDEC <u>TOQS 1.1.1</u>. *Applies to the individual isomers cis-1,2-Dichloroethene and trans-1,2-Dichloroethene. **Applies to the sum of cis- and trans-1,3-dichloropropene. ** Applies to the individual isomers 1,3-X-lylene (m-Xylene) and 1,4-Xylene (p-Xylene). # Sample with duplicate analysis J - Data indicate the presence of a compound that meets the identification criteria. The result is less than the quantitation limit but greater than zero. The concentration given is an approximate value. ND = Not Detected NE = Not Established



 Table 5: VOCs in Soil Gas

 Results provided in µg/m³. Results in bold exceed NYSDOH Background Levels.

Compound	Background								5	Sample ID							
Compound	Levels	2SV-01	2SV-02	2SV-03	2SV-04	2SV-05	2SV-06	2SV-07	2SV-08	2SV-09	2S5V-10	2SV-11	2SV-12	2SV-13	2SV-14	2SV-15	2SV-16
	Location															Soil	Parking
	Location	B-1	B-2	B-2	B-3	B-3	B-4	B-5A	B-5A	B-5B	B-6	B-7	B-7	B-8	B-8	Relocation	lot
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	<0.25 - 1.4	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	16	ND	ND	ND	ND
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	<0.25	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	<0.25	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
1,1-Dichloroethane	<0.25	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
1,1-Dichloroethene	NA	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
1,2,4-I richiorobenzene	NA	ND 0.84	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND 1.2	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	0.76 - 4.4	0.64	ND		ND	ND		1.Z		ND	ND		ND				
1 2-Dichlorobenzene	<0.25	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND		ND	ND	ND	ND	ND		ND	
1.2-Dichloroethane	<0.25	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	NE	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
1,2-Dichloropropane	<0.25	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
1,2-Dichlorotetrafluoroethane	NA	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	<0.25 - 1.7	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
1,3-Butadiene	NA	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	11
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	<0.25	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	NA	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
1,4-Dioxane	NA	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
2,2,4-Trimethylpentane	NA	1.7	ND	0.93	ND	0.79	4.1	ND	0.98	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	2.5	1.8
2-Chlorotoluene	NE	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
3-Chloropropene	NE	ND 0.02	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND 1.2	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND 0.99
4-Ethyltoldene	10.0 - 46	0.96	ND 64	13	ND	0.00	2.0	1.2		26	200			76	18		0.00
Benzene	1 2-5 7	64	3	35	17	3.5	15	2.8	3.8	3.5	5.1	27	2.9	11	310	5.8	77
Bromodichloromethane	NA	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Bromoethene	NE	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Bromoform	NA	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Bromomethane	<0.25	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Carbon Disulfide	NA	1.7	3.4	1.7	11	3.4	14	1.7	11	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	2.6	2.6
Carbon Tetrachloride	<0.25 -0.68	3	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Chlorobenzene	<0.25	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Chloroethane	NA	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Chloroform	<0.25	1.3	ND	ND	ND	1.3	ND	ND	ND	1.3	ND	1.8	1.2	ND	ND	ND	ND
chioromethane	<0.25 - 2.0	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
cis-1 3-Dichloropropene	<0.25 NA				ND			ND									
Cyclohexane	NA	22	12	13	0.89	1	5.2	0.76	52	0.89	ND	0.65	ND	25	ND	93	1.3
Dibromochloromethane	NA	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Dichlorodifluoromethane	NA	3.1	ND	ND	2.3	ND	2.6	2.1	2.4	2.6	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Ethylbenzene	0.43-2.8	6.1	3.2	3.9	1.3	3.2	18	2.6	2.3	1.6	ND	1.7	2.3	6.1	8.3	6.1	5.2
Freon TF	NE	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Hexachlorobutadiene	NE	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Isopropyl Alcohol	NE	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	10
Methyl Butyl Ketone	NA	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Methyl Ethyl Ketone	NA	2	2.6	1.9	1.8	3.2	2	2.5	1.4	1.9	ND	1.4	1.3	3.5	ND	ND	3.8
Methyl Isobutyl Ketone	NA	ND	ND		ND	ND	ND	ND		ND	ND		ND				
Methylene Chloride	<0.25 - 0.7				ND												
n-Heptane	NA	7.8	26	41	32	57	18	27	57	32	ND	41	21	53	61	13	74
n-Hexane	0.63-6.5	14	3.4	6.7	4.2	5.3	25	3	6.7	3.9	ND	4.9	2.6	10	11	6.3	9.5
Styrene	<0.25-0.68	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
tert-Butyl Alcohol	NE	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Tetrachloroethene	<0.25 - 1.2	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	5.9	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Tetrahydrofuran	NA	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Toluene	4.2-25	31	20	20	9.4	24	83	23	21	15	21	16	21	34	150	41	30
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	NA	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	NA	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Trichloroethene	<0.25	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	86	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Vinul Chlorida	NA	1.3	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	0.96	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND		
Vinyi Chioride	0.52.4.7	20	ND 12	12			ND 61			ND 5.2		ND 5.6		ND 27	ND 52	ND 20	ND 17
Xylene (n,p)	0.39-3.1	20	24	2	4.0 1	2.1	9.6	23	1.6	13		13	1.4	65	11	3.9	2.8
Xylene (total)	NE	23	15	14	5.6	12	69	13	8.7	6.9	ND	6.9	9.6	35	65	25	19
Notes:					0.0				0.1	0.0		0.0	0.0	00	00	20	
ND = Not Detected NE = Not Fa	tablished																



 Table 6:
 SVOCs in Soil Borings

 Results provided in µg/kg (parts per billion).
 Results shown in **bold** exceed guidance levels.

Compound			i -				i -	T	Sa	ample Identification	n				-			_
		2B-01C	2B-02	2B-04	2B-05	2B-06	2B-07	2B-08	2B-09	2B-10	2B-11***	2B-12	2B-13	2B-14	2B-15	2MW-06	2MW-09	DUPLICATI
(USEPA Method 8270)	Guidance Level	(1-3')	(10-12')	(5-7')	(4-5')	(4-5')	(3-4')	(5-6')	(6-7')	(9-10')	(5-7')	(15-17')	(23-25')	(22-24')	(4-5')	(6-6.5')	(2-3.5')	(2B-11, 5-7 2/5/2008
1.2.4-Trichlorobenzene	**	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	**	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	2,400	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	1,800	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
2,2'-oxybis[1-chloropropane]	**	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	100*	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
2,4,6-1 richlorophenol	400*	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
2.4-Directorphenol	**	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
2,4-Dinitrophenol	200*	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
2,4-Dinitrotoluene	**	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
2,6-Dinitrotoluene	1,000*	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
2-Chloronaphthalene	**	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
2-Chlorophenol	800*	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
2-Methylnaphthalene	36,400*	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND 720	ND	ND	ND
2-Methylphenol	100	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
2-Nitrophenol	330*	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
3,3-Dichlorobenzidine	**	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
3-Nitroaniline	500*	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol	**	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
4-Bromophenyl phenyl ether	**	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
4-Chloro-3-methylphenol	240*	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
4-Chloroaniline	220*	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
4-Chiorophenyi phenyi ether	900*	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND 660	ND	ND	ND
4-Nitroaniline	**	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
4-Nitrophenol	100*	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Acenaphthene	20,000	ND	ND	ND	430	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Acenaphthylene	100,000	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	110	J ND
Anthracene	100,000	ND	ND	ND	750	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	120	J ND
Benzo(a)anthracene	1,000	ND	ND	87	J 1,500	ND	74 J	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	280	J ND
Benzo(a)pyrene	1,000	ND	ND	66	J 1,200	ND	68 J	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	180	J ND
Benzo(ghi)pervlene	100.000	ND	ND	ND	900	ND	ND J	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	180	
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	800	ND	ND	ND	590	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	96	J ND
Benzyl alcohol	**	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane	**	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Bis(2-chloroethyl)ether	**	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	**	110 J	I ND	760	250 J	ND	94 J	110	J ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	140	J ND	64
Butyl benzyl phthalate	**	ND	ND	ND	ND 160 1	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Carbazole	1 000	ND	ND	98	1 1 400 J	ND	92 I	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	360	
Dibenzo(a h)anthracene	330	ND	ND	ND	230 J	ND	ND U	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	57	J ND
Dibenzofuran	6,200*	ND	ND	ND	100 J	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Diethyl phthalate	7,100*	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Dimethyl phthalate	2,000*	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Di-n-butyl phthalate	8,100*	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Di-n-octyl phthalate	120,000*	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Fluoranthene	100,000	ND	ND	160 ND	J 2,800	ND	140 J	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	500	ND
Hexachlorobenzene	330	ND	ND	ND	290 J	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	
Hexachlorobutadiene	**	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	**	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Hexachloroethane	**	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Indeno(1 2 3-cd)pyrene	500	ND	ND	69	J 1,000	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	210	J ND
Isophorone	440*	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Naphthalene	12,000	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND ND
n.Nitropenzene	200*																	
n-Nitrosodinhenvlamine	**				ND	ND				ND	ND							
Pentachlorophenol	800	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Phenanthrene	100,000	ND	ND	160	J 2,400	ND	110 J	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	450	ND
Phenol	330	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Pyrene	100,000	ND	ND	150	J 2,400	62 J	160 J	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	490	ND
Total TICs	NE	59,710 J	54,000	J 63,000	J 40,030 J	55,000 J	70,000 J	37,440	J 52,000	J 65,000 J	46,000 J	52,000	J 52,000 J	270	J 66,000	J 57,000	J 1,160	J 53,000
Total Unknown Compunds	NE	4,860 J	12,170	J 3,540	J 3,370 J	7,280 J	4,440 J	1,910	J 3,220	J 3,240 J	1,360 J	J 1,940	J 1,610 J	14,000	J 2,690	J 1,910	J 11,370	J 2,370
Total SVOCs	**	04,680	00,170	68,169	61,100	62,342	/5,264	39,460	55,220	bö,240	47,360	53,940	53,610	14,270	70,070	59,050	15,853	55,434

otes

Guidance levels based on BCP Unrestricted Use SCOs, 6 NYCRR Part 375, Table 375-6.8b, except as noted. = Guidance level based on NYSDEC TAGM 4046. ** cleanup objective not established (individual SVOCs not listed, and total SVOCs, must be less than or equal to 50,000 ppb and 500,000 ppb, repectively) *** Sample with duplicate analysis

J - Data indicate the presence of a compound that meets the identification criteria. The result is less than the quantitation limit but greater than zero. The concentration given is an approximate value. ND = Not Detected





 Table 7: SVOCs in Surface Soils

 Results provided in  $\mu g/kg$  (parts per billion). Results shown in **bold** exceed guidance levels.

Compound			-	1	1	1		sample Identification	1	1	-			1	_
		2HB-01	2HB-02***	2HB-03	2HB-04	2HB-05	2HB-06	2HB-07	2HB-08	2HB-09	2HB-10	2HB-13		DUPLICATE	
(USEPA Method 8270)	Guidance Level	(0-4")	(0-4")	(0-4")	(0-4")	(0-4")	(0-4")	(0-4")	(0-4")	(0-4")	(0-4")	(0-4")	2 SED-1	(2HB-02, 0-4"	)
	Date	2/25/2008	2/25/2008	2/25/2008	2/25/2008	2/25/2008	2/25/2008	2/25/2008	2/25/2008	2/25/2008	2/25/2008	2/25/2008	2/25/2008	2/25/2008	_
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	**	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	╇
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	**	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	_
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	2,400	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	+
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	1,800	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	+
2,2'-oxybis[1-chloropropane]	**	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	┶
2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	100*	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	_
2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	**	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	_
2,4-Dichlorophenol	400*	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	_
2,4-Dimethylphenol	**	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	┶
2,4-Dinitrophenol	200*	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	┶
2,4-Dinitrotoluene	**	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	┶
2,6-Dinitrotoluene	1,000*	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	_
2-Chloronaphthalene	**	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	┶
2-Chlorophenol	800*	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	_
2-Methylnaphthalene	36,400*	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	300 J	ND	370 J	ND	ND	ND	ND	550	_
2-Methylphenol	100*	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	┶
2-Nitroaniline	430*	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	┶
2-Nitrophenol	330*	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	┶
3,3-Dichlorobenzidine	**	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	┶
3-Nitroaniline	500*	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	┶
4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol	**	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	∔
4-Bromophenyl phenyl ether	**	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	╇
4-Chloro-3-methylphenol	240*	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	+
4-Chloroaniline	220*	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	+
4-Chlorophenyl phenyl ether	**	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	+
4-Methylphenol	900*	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	+
4-Nitroaniline	**	ND	810 .	J ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	170	-
4-Nitrophenol	100*	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	+
Acenaphthene	20,000	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	450 J	ND	2,500	ND	ND	ND	ND	140	-
Acenaphthylene	100,000	ND	1,700	ND	ND	75 .	J 880 J	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	190	-
Anthracene	100,000	120 、	J 1,300	210 J	ND	180 ,	J 1,900	ND	4,100	61	J ND	ND	96	J 160	
Benzo(a)anthracene	1,000	670	5,200	1,100	110 J	820	4,000	ND	8,500	310	J 62 .	J 110	J 320	J 150	
Benzo(a)pyrene	1,000	620	3,800	1,100	130 J	860	3,400	ND	6,100	230	J ND	90	J 300	J 140	-
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	1,000	740	5,100	1,500	220 J	1,400	3,900	ND	7,100	310	J ND	120	J 360	J 240	-
Benzo(ghi)perylene	100,000	390 .	J 3,500	650	99 J	540	2,100	ND	2,900	150	J ND	ND	200	J 93	-
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	800	290 .	J 1,700	540 J	ND	480	<b>1,400</b> J	ND	2,500	120	J ND	ND	130	J 77	-
Benzyl alcohol	**	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	╋
Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane		ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	+
Bis(2-chloroethyl)ether	**	ND	ND	ND 070	ND 170	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND 05	ND 450	ND 100	ND	+
Bis(2-ethylnexyl)phthalate	**	230	J 1,900	370 J	170 J	320	J ND	53 .	ND ND	82	J 95 .	J 150	J 130	J 1,500	+
Butyl benzyl phthalate	**	ND	ND	ND 110	ND	/4	J ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	┢
Carbazole	1 000	ND 620	ND 2 800	1 10 J	100 I	130	J 630 J	ND	1,700 J	200		100	ND	160	╈
Dihanza(a k)anthrasana	1,000	120	3,000	1,100	160 J	1,100	4,300	ND	7,400	290	J ND	IUU	J 350 -	J 160	÷
Dibenzofuran	6 200*						350 J		1,000 J						┢
Dibenzoruran Disthyl phthalata	0,200*	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	350 J	ND	000 J	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	╈
Dimethyl phthalate	2 000*	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	╈
Dinethyl phthalate	8 100*	ND	870	ND	ND	90		ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	130	t
Di-n-octyl phthalate	120.000*	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND		ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	t
Fluoranthene	100,000	1 100	6 700	1,900	280 1	2,000	8 400	ND	18,000	340	.1 97	1 180	1 560	260	t
Fluorene	30,000	.,	150	.,000	ND	_,300	520	ND	1 700	ND		ND	ND	100	t
Hexachlorobenzene	330	ND	ND ND	ND	ND	ND	ND 020	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ť
Hexachlorobutadiene	**	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	t
Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	**	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	t
Hexachloroethane	**	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	t
Indeno(1 2 3-cd)pyrene	500	480	4 700	760	100 .1	670	2,400	ND	3,900	200	.I ND	80	240	.1 120	t.
Isophorope	440*	ND	ND	ND	ND 0	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND ND	ND		ND	ť
Naphthalene	12,000	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	630 .1	ND	710 .1	ND	ND	ND	ND	1 200	t
Nitrobenzene	200*	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND I	ND	ND S	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	t
n-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine	**	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	t
n-Nitrosodinhenvlamine	**	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	t
Pentachlorophenol	800	ND	ND	38	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	t
Phenanthrone	100.000	450	1 000	720	140	960	7 400	ND	16,000	84		100	1 2/0	220	t
Phenol	330	400 ND	1,900	ND	I40 J	900 ND	7,400 ND		ND	04 ND		ND	ND	3 220 ND	t
Pyrene	100 000	920	5.800	1 200	190	1 100	6 900		13,000	300	1 85	170	1 450	140	┢
	NE	1 990	14 500	14 130	8 020 1	3,100	9,000	ND	10,000	3,510	5 50 1	6.230	6,000	1 6 3 2 0	ť
Total Unknown Compunds	NE	67 250	112 000	76 610	72 300	70 140	3 348 120	73 110	78,960 1	66.010	. 64 240	1 71 320	61 250	1 76 360	ť
Total SVOCs	**	76,000	176.530	102,246	81,939	84,559	3.407.690	73,163	187,520	71,997	65,079	78,650	70,716	88.420	t
10101 010000		10,000	110,000	102,240	01,000	07,000	0,401,000	10,100	101,020	11,001	00,010	70,000	10,110	00,420	+

Guidance levels based on BCP Unrestricted Use SCOs, 6 NYCRR Part 375, Table 375-6.8b, except as noted. * = Guidance level based on NYSDEC TAGM 4046. ** cleanup objective not established (individual SVOCs not listed, and total SVOCs, must be less than or equal to 50,000 ppb and 500,000 ppb, repectively) *** Sample with duplicate analysis

J - Data indicate the presence of a compound that meets the identification criteria. The result is less than the quantitation limit but greater than zero. The concentration given is an approximate value. <u>ND</u> = Not Detected



#### Table 8: SVOCs in Test Pits

Results provided in µg/kg (parts per billion). Results shown in **bold** exceed guidance levels.

Compound	[			<u>. g </u>	-	Sample Identific	ati	on			
(USEPA Method 8270)	Guidance Level	2SST-1(0-4")		2TP-2 (3')		2TP-8 (1')	uu	2TP-11 (0-6")		2TP-15 (1-2')	-
	Date	1/30/2008		1/30/2008		1/30/2008		1/30/2008		1/30/2008	
1.2.4-Trichlorobenzene	**	ND	1	ND	Г	ND	Г	ND	- 1	ND	Т
1.2-Dichlorobonzono	**	ND		ND	t	ND		ND	H	ND	┢
1.2 Dichlorobenzene	2 400	ND		ND	┢	ND	-		H		┢
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	2,400	ND		ND	+	ND	-	ND		ND	┝
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	1,800	ND		ND	-	ND	_	ND		ND	┝
2,2-oxybis[1-chloropropane]	**	ND		ND		ND		ND	Ц	ND	┝
2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	100*	ND		ND		ND		ND		ND	L
2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	**	ND		ND		ND		ND		ND	L
2,4-Dichlorophenol	400*	ND		ND		ND		ND		ND	
2,4-Dimethylphenol	**	ND		ND		ND		ND		ND	
2,4-Dinitrophenol	200*	ND		ND		ND		ND		ND	Γ
2,4-Dinitrotoluene	**	ND		ND		ND		ND	П	ND	Г
2,6-Dinitrotoluene	1,000*	ND		ND		ND		ND		ND	Г
2-Chloronaphthalene	**	ND		ND	T	ND		ND	Г	ND	t
2-Chlorophenol	800*	ND		ND	t	ND		ND	H	ND	t
2-Methylnanhthalene	36 400*	ND		ND	T	110	.1	ND	H	ND	t
2-Methylphenol	100*	ND		ND	t	ND		ND		ND	t
2-Nitroanilino	420*	ND		ND	t	ND		ND	H	ND	┢
2-Nitrophonol	430	ND		ND	┢	ND		ND	H	ND	┢
2 2 Dichlorohonzidire	330		Н		┢	ND	┢		Н		╀
3,3-Dichlorobenzialne	500*		Н		⊢	ND	⊢	110	H		╀
3-Nitroaniline	500*	ND	Н	ND	1	ND	L	150	J	ND	╀
4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol	**	ND	Н	ND	L	ND	L	ND	Ц	ND	┞
4-Bromophenyl phenyl ether	**	ND	Ц	ND	L	ND	L	ND	Ц	ND	L
4-Chloro-3-methylphenol	240*	ND	L	ND	L	ND	L	ND	Ц	ND	L
4-Chloroaniline	220*	ND		ND		ND		ND		ND	
4-Chlorophenyl phenyl ether	**	ND		ND		ND		ND		ND	
4-Methylphenol	900*	ND		ND		ND		ND		ND	
4-Nitroaniline	**	ND		ND		ND		380	J	ND	Г
4-Nitrophenol	100*	ND		ND	T	ND		ND	Π	ND	Г
Acenaphthene	20.000	ND		ND		90	J	ND		660	J
Acenaphthylene	100.000	160	J	3.100	J	740		110	J	2.000	F
Anthracene	100.000	180	.1	3 600	.1	690		130	.I	3 400	t
Benzo(a)anthracene	1 000	180	J	18 000	Ť	1 500		250	Ŭ	11 000	t
Benzo(a)nyrene	1,000	140	1	17,000		1,000		350	ī	11,000	t
Benzo(b)fluoranthono	1,000	250	1	21,000	-	1,400	-	790	5	12 000	┢
Benzo(shi)nomdono	1,000	250	J	21,000	-	1,400	-	700		0.400	┝
Benzo(gni)perviene	100,000	360	J	16,000		1,300		420	J	9,400	┢
Benzo(k)fluorantnene	800	76	J	7,600	-	540	_	420	J	4,200	┝
Benzyl alconol		ND		ND	-	ND		ND		ND	Ļ
Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane	**	ND		ND	1	ND		ND		ND	Ļ
Bis(2-chloroethyl)ether	**	ND		ND		ND		ND		ND	L
Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	**	3,500		1,300	J	69	J	800		470	J
Butyl benzyl phthalate	**	ND		ND		ND		ND		ND	
Carbazole	**	ND		1,000	J	89	J	ND		960	J
Chrysene	1,000	190	J	15,000		1,400		530	J	10,000	
Dibenzo(a h)anthracene	330	ND		3,300	J	300	J	89	J	2,100	Γ
Dibenzofuran	6,200*	ND		ND		ND		ND	Π	ND	Г
Diethyl phthalate	7,100*	ND		ND		ND		ND	Π	ND	Г
Dimethyl phthalate	2,000*	ND	Π	ND		ND		ND	Π	ND	Γ
Di-n-butyl phthalate	8,100*	94	J	ND	Г	ND	T	320	J	ND	T
Di-n-octyl phthalate	120.000*	ND	Π	ND	Ĺ	ND	Γ	ND	П	ND	Г
Fluoranthene	100,000	240	J	31,000	T	2,200	Ť	310	J	16,000	t
Fluorene	30,000	ND	Ē	ND	t	230	J	ND	Ħ	770	t
Hexachlorobenzene	330	ND	П	ND	t	ND	ſ	ND	П	ND	f
Hexachlorobutadiene	**	ND	П	ND	t	ND	t	ND	H	ND	t
Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	**	ND		ND	t	ND		ND	H	ND	t
Hexachloroethane	**	ND	H	ND	t	ND	t	ND	Н	ND	t
Indeno(1.2.3-cd)nyrone	500	200		17 000	┢	1 200	╞	4/0	Н	10,000	┢
Isophoropo	440*	ND	5	ND	+	ND		ND	5	ND	┢
Naphthalana	12 000		$\vdash$		┢	100	۰,	220		200	╀
Nitrobarrana	12,000		Н		┢	100	J	220	J	230	⊦
Nitrobenzene	200		$\vdash$		╀	ND	-		Н		╀
n-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine	**		Н		⊢	ND	┞		H		╀
n-Nitrosodiphenylamine		ND	H	ND	L	ND	L	110	J	ND	┞
Pentachiorophenol	800	ND	H	ND	L	ND	L	170	J	ND 7.000	┞
Phenanthrene	100,000	140	J	6,900	L	1,800	L	200	J	7,600	Ļ
Phenol	330	ND	H	ND	1	ND	-	ND	Ц	ND	┡
Pyrene	100,000	260	J	29,000	L	2,600	L	260	J	17,000	L
Total TICs	NE	44,350	J	73,900	J	21,040	J	84,600	J	66,200	ŀ
Total Unknown Compunds	NE	15,090	J	58,000	J	4,640	J	61,300	J	21,500	
Total SVOCs	**	65 500		222 700	1	/3/38	1	152 330	1 I	207 550	1

Notes:

Guidance levels based on BCP Unrestricted Use SCOs, 6 NYCRR Part 375, Table 375-6.8b, except as noted.

= Guidance level based on NYSDEC TAGM 4046.

cleanup objective not established (individual SVOCs not listed, and total SVOCs, must be less than or equal to 50,000 ppb and 500,000 ppb, repective J - Data indicate the presence of a compound that meets the identification criteria. The result is less than the quantitation limit but greater than zero. The concentration given is an approximate value. ND = Not Detected NE = Not Established

#### Table 9: SVOCs in Groundwater

All results provided in  $\mu\text{g/L}$  (parts per billion). Results in **bold** exceed designated guidance levels.

Compound						S	am	nple Identi	fica	ation				
compound	Guidance				Т									DUBLICATE
(USEPA Method 8270)	Level	MW-01		MW-02		MW-03		2MW-04*		2MW-05		2000-06		(2MW-04)
	Date	2/28/2008		2/28/2008	+	2/28/2008	2	2/28/2008	ž	2/28/2008		2/28/2008	-	2/28/2008
1.2.4 Trichlorobonzono	E	2/20/2000	-	2/20/2000		2/20/2000	,	2/20/2000	,	2/20/2000	,	2/20/2000		2/20/2000
1,2,4-Inchlorobenzene	5	ND	_	ND	-	ND		ND		ND		ND		ND
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	3	ND	_	ND	_	ND		ND		ND		ND	_	ND
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	3	ND		ND	_	ND		ND		ND		ND		ND
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	3	ND		ND	_	ND		ND		ND		ND		ND
2,2-oxybis (1-chloropropane)	5	ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		ND
2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	NE	ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		ND
2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	NE	ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		ND
2,4-Dichlorophenol	5	ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		ND
2,4-Dimethylphenol	50	ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		ND
2.4-Dinitrophenol	10	ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		ND
2.4-Dinitrotoluene	5	ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		ND
2.6-Dinitrotoluene	5	ND	-	ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		ND
2-Chloronanbthalono	10	ND	-	ND	-	ND		ND		ND		ND	_	ND
2-Chlorophonol	NE	ND	_	ND	-	ND		ND		ND		ND	-	ND
2-Chiorophenoi	INE 47	ND	_	ND	_	ND	_	ND		ND		ND		ND
2-wietnyinaphthaiene	4.7	ND		ND	_	ND		ND		ND		ND		ND
2-Methylphenol	NE	ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		ND
2-Nitroaniline	5	ND	L	ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		ND
2-Nitrophenol	NE	ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		ND
3,3-Dichlorobenzidine	5	ND	L	ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		ND
3-Nitroaniline	5	ND	1	ND	T	ND		ND		ND		ND		ND
4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol	NE	ND		ND	T	ND		ND		ND		ND		ND
4-Bromophenyl phenyl ether	NE	ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		ND
4-Chloro-3-methylphenol	NF	ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		ND
4-Chloroaniline	5	ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		ND
4-Chlorophonyl phonyl othor	NE	ND	-	ND	-	ND	_	ND		ND		ND	-	ND
4-Chlorophenyi phenyi ether		ND	_	ND	-	ND		ND		ND		ND		ND
4-methylphenol		ND	_	ND	_	ND		ND		ND		ND	_	ND
4-Nitroaniline	5	ND		ND	_	ND		ND		ND		ND		ND
4-Nitrophenol	5	ND		ND	_	ND		ND		ND		ND		ND
Acenaphthene	20	ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		ND
Acenaphthylene	NE	ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		ND
Anthracene	50	ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		ND
Benzo(a)anthracene	0.002	ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		ND
Benzo(a)pyrene	NE	ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		ND
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	0.002	ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		ND
Benzo(ghi)pervlene	NE	ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		ND
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	0.002	ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		ND
Benzyl alcohol	NE	ND	-	ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		ND
Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane	5	ND	-	ND	-	ND		ND		ND		ND		ND
Bis(2-chloroothyl)othor	J 1	ND	-	ND	-	ND		ND		ND		ND	_	ND
Bis(2-childrovelly))ether	E E	ND	_	ND	-	ND		ND		ND		ND		ND
Bis(2-ethylnexyl)phthalate	5	ND	_	ND	_	ND	_	ND		ND		ND		ND
Butyl benzyl phthalate	50	ND		ND	_	ND		ND		ND		ND		ND
Carbazole	NE	ND		ND	_	ND		ND		ND		ND		ND
Chrysene	0.002	ND		ND	_	ND		ND		ND		ND		ND
Dibenzo(a h)anthracene	NE	ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		ND
Dibenzofuran	NE	ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		ND
Diethyl phthalate	50	ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		ND
Dimethyl phthalate	50	ND	L	ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		ND
Di-n-butyl phthalate	50	ND	Ĺ	ND	_	ND		ND		ND		ND		ND
Di-n-octyl phthalate	50	ND	Ĺ	ND	J	ND	L	ND		ND		ND		ND
Fluoranthene	50	ND	1	ND	T	ND		ND		ND		ND		ND
Fluorene	50	ND		ND	T	ND		ND		ND		ND		ND
Hexachlorobenzene	0.04	ND		ND	T	ND		ND		ND		ND		ND
Hexachlorobutadiene	0.5	ND		ND	t	ND		ND		ND		ND		ND
Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	5	ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		ND
Hexachloroethane	5	ND	F	ND	┫	ND		ND -		ND.		ND -		ND
Indeno(1 2 3-cd)pyrene	0.002	ND	⊢	ND	┥	ND	-	ND	$\vdash$	ND	_	ND	H	ND
Isonhorono	50	ND	⊢	ND	+	ND	-	ND	$\left  \right $	ND	_	ND	$\vdash$	ND
Nanhthalana	10		⊢	ND	┥	ND	-		-		_		$\vdash$	ND
Nitrohensene	10	ND	⊢	ND	+	ND	-	ND	-	ND	_		Η	
Nitropenzene	0.4	ND	⊢	ND	4	ND		ND		ND		ND	H	ND
n-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine	NE	ND	L	ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		ND
n-Nitrosodimethylamine	NE	ND	L	ND	_	ND		ND		ND		ND		ND
Pentachlorophenol	1	ND	L	ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		ND
Phenanthrene	50	ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		ND
Phenol	5	ND	L	ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		ND
Pyrene	50	ND		ND	T	ND		ND		ND		ND		ND
Total TICs	NE	21	J	3.1	J	2.7	J	4.9	J	3.7	J	20	J	2.4 J
Total Unknown Compounds	NE	ND	Ė	ND	1	ND	<u> </u>	ND		ND		ND		ND
Total SVOCs	NF	21	F	3.1	┥	2.7	-	4.9		37		20		2.4
10101 01003		<u> </u>		0.1	- 1	2.1		7.5		0.1		20		4.7

Notes:

Guidance levels based on NYSDEC <u>TOGS 1.1.1</u>. * Sample with duplicate analysis J - Data indicate the presence of a compound that meets the identification criteria. The result is less limit but greater than zero. The concentration given is an approximate value. ND = Not Detected NE = Not Established NA = Not Analyzed



#### Table 10: Metals in Soil Borings

Results provided in mg/kg (parts per million). Results shown in **bold** exceed guidance levels.

					_						Sample I	dentifica	tion							
	Guidance	Background	2B-01C	2B-02	2B-04	2B-05	2B-06	2B-07	2B-08	2B-00	2B-10	2B-11	2B-12	2B-13	2814	2B-15	2MW-06	2MW-0	•	
Metal	Level	Concentrations	(1-3')	(10-12')	(5-7')	(4-5')	(4-5')	(3-4')	(5-6')	(6-7')	(9-10)	(5-7')	(15-17)	(23-25')	(22-24')	(4-5')	(6-6.5')	(2-3.5)	)	(2B-011, 5-7')
		Date	2/4/2008	2/5/2008	2/4/2008	1/31/2008	2/4/2008	1/31/2008	1/31/2008	2/5/2008	2/5/2008	2/5/2008	2/5/2008	2/5/2008	2/6/2008	2/6/2008	1/31/2008	2/5/200	8	2/5/2008
Aluminum	SB*	33,000	13,800	16,000	19,300	15,700	16,200	22,700	15,100	15,500	16,700	16,400	15,200	10,600	8,880	18,100	16,200	22,500		15,100
Antimony	SB*	NP	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND		ND
Arsenic	13	7.4 (HV)	5.7 J	7.8 J	6.4 J	7.6 J	6.3 J	8.0 J	12.7	8.8 J	5.6 J	7.8 J	7.9 J	5.7 J	7.3 、	J 15.8	9.0	J 7.9	J	7.6 J
Barium	350	81.1 (HV)	61.1	86.8	80.7	73.8	172	134	70.9	72.3	57.0	82.2	64.7	35.8	37.9	103.0	76.0	87.5		78.3
Beryllium	7.2	0.75 (HV)	0.62 J	0.85 J	1.00 J	0.74 J	0.80 J	1.2 J	1.0 J	0.84 J	0.70 J	0.87 J	0.83 J	0.53 J	ND	1.00 J	0.85	J 0.85	J	0.82 J
Cadmium	2.5	0.22 (HV)	1.30 J	1.40 J	1.30 J	ND	1.20 J	ND	ND	ND	1.40 J	ND	ND	1.20 J	ND	1.40 J	ND	ND		ND
Calcium	SB*	130 - 35,000	33,900	27,400	8,670	6,550	1,620	3,240	2,380	3,390	1,840	25,000	2,840	28,200	37,100	23,500	3,290	2,060		11,500
Chromium	30	20.9 (HV)	18.8	20.8	26.7	20.7	23.8	24.7	22.0	21.0	18.0	27.7	19.8	13.6	11.4	23.9	22.0	23.8		22.3
Cobalt	30* or SB	2.5 - 60	11.3	13.2	13.9	12.4	10.4	14.3	9.2	14.7	11.0	14.1	13.9	9.5	9.0	17.6	15.1	12.2		14.7
Copper	50	23.4 (HV)	31.3	32.3	27.0	31.7	35.0	27.8	42.5	36.6	33.6	33.9	34.0	25.0	21.9	35.3	36.4	32.9		33.0
Iron	2,000* or SB	2,000 - 550,000	29,200	32,800	37,700	29,300	25,100	37,400	40,500	36,000	36,200	34,800	33,700	24,900	20,300	36,800	35,500	33,500		32,200
Lead	63	72.5** (HV)	13.9	15.0	29.3	38.4	148	24.3	14.3	17.6	19.8	15.3	15.6	10.7	8.5	19.0	16.5	29.9		15.1
Magnesium	SB*	100 - 5,000	8,880	10,300	9,190	6,840	4,750	5,840	4,470	6,300	6,860	8,410	6,310	8,790	11,000	10,800	6,540	5,530		7,160
Manganese	1,600	50 - 5,000	662	828	1,080	680	929	1,750	1,010	990	1,120	845	772	964	697	964	894	588		817
Mercury	0.18	0.24 (HV)	0.035 J	0.027 J	0.036 J	0.043 J	0.065	0.059 J	0.120	0.042 J	0.029 J	0.031 J	0.017 J	0.017 J	0.021	J 0.025 J	0.030	J 0.099		0.025 J
Nickel	30	21.0 (HV)	29.5	29.8	31.9	26.0	23.3	31.4	27.3	35.2	28.4	32.6	30.0	20.0	17.0	35.4	33.5	26.0		31.3
Potassium	SB*	8,500 - 43,000	1,420	1,950	987	1,010	949	1,590	1,070	1,330	1,200	1,840	1,540	862	951	2,200	1,600	847		1,590
Selenium	3.9	<b>1</b> (HV)	1.8 J	ND	2.5 J	1.8 J	2.0 J	2.3 J	2.3 J	ND	2.0 J	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND		ND
Silver	2	NP	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND		ND
Sodium	SB*	6,000 - 8,000	85.6 J	101 J	60.9 J	70.1 J	43.9 J	241 J	128 J	77.7 J	45.1 J	111 J	79.6 J	60.1 J	73.5	J 121 J	98.4	J 47.3	J	104 J
Thallium	SB*	NP	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND		ND
Vanadium	150* or SB	1 - 300	18.7	22.7	28.1	24.1	23.6	27.4	24.4	22.4	18.0	23.7	21.6	14.0	12.0	26.4	23.8	30.0		23.2
Zinc	109	87.1 (HV)	67.3	76.2	99.2	78.4	273	94.2	76.5	80.2	78.8	77.0	78.9	58.4	50.2	92.1	84.9	98.1		75.1
Notes:																				
Guidanco lovolo bao		tricted Lice SCOc. 61		ort 275 Tabl	0 27E 6 0/h		a noted													

ased on BCP Unrestricted Use SCOs, 6 NYCRR Part 375, Table 375-6.8(b), except as noted.

HV = Background levels based on NYSDEC data for metals in Lower Hudson Valley soils (90% upper confidence limit). * = Guidance level based on NYSDEC <u>TAGM 4046</u>.

Standards revel based on NFSDEC <u>inform 4940</u>.
 ** Background lead concentrations in urban settings typically range from 200 to 500 ppm.
 J - Data indicate the presence of a compound that meets the identification criteria. The result is less than the quantitation limit but greater than zero. The concentration given is an approximate value ND = Not Detected NP = Not Provided SB = Site Background NA = Not Available



#### Table 11: Metals in Surface Soil

Results provided in mg/kg (parts per million). Results shown in **bold** exceed guidance levels.

			Sample Identification													
	Guidance	Background	2UB 01	200 02***	200 02		240.05	200 06	2110 07		200 00	2UD 10	2UD 12			
Metal	Level	Concentrations	(0-4")	(0-4")	(0-4")	(0-4")	(0-4")	(0-4")	(0-4")	(0-4")	(0-4")	(0-4")	(0-4")	2 SED-1	2 SED-2	(2HB-02)
		Date	2/25/2008	2/25/2008	2/25/2008	2/25/2008	2/25/2008	2/25/2008	2/25/2008	2/25/2008	2/25/2008	2/25/2008	2/25/2008	2/25/2008	2/25/2008	2/25/2008
Aluminum	SB*	33,000	15,800	11,600	14,600	10,700	12,900	11,200	14,400	19,300	14,300	15,900	18,000	10,300	11,200	11,200
Antimony	SB*	NP	ND	23.9	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	2.1 J	ND	ND	11 J
Arsenic	13	7.4 (HV)	7.3	19.1	6.6 J	6.8	15.2	10.7	6.4	9.8	6.6	5.4 J	7.9	2.9	J 6.3	15
Barium	350	81.1 (HV)	83.3	257	81.7	77.6	114	65.9	69.7	112	123	99.6	67.4	32.8	71.3	62.3
Beryllium	7.2	0.75 (HV)	0.87 J	ND	0.83 J	0.61 J	0.62 J	0.68 J	0.61 J	1.1 J	0.72 J	0.82 J	0.98 J	ND	0.6	I ND
Cadmium	2.5	0.22 (HV)	ND	3.9	J ND	ND	2.9 J	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Calcium	SB*	130 - 35,000	3,050	37,200	8,630	64,000	5,370	23,300	5,020	2,980	2,590	2,890	4,330	4,450	2,720	9,510
Chromium	30	20.9 (HV)	20.9	491	20.4	18.3	27.1	18.3	17.1	27.2	21.9	23	28.1	14.8	14.8	22.4
Cobalt	30* or SB	2.5 - 60	13.9	11.2	14.3	10.3	17.7	9.3	12.5	16.9	13.9	13.7	18.4	8.2	9.7	10
Copper	50	23.4 (HV)	38.1	219	38	57.4	60.5	38.8	26.9	41.3	189	39.1	50	45	23.3	79.9
Iron	2,000* or SB	2,000 - 550,000	31,000	27,100	27,500	21,800	45,100	24,700	28,600	39,000	31,200	29,400	38,400	23,700	25,100	27,300
Lead	63	72.5** (HV)	53.3	2,830	51.6	<u>69.1</u>	205	94	24	25.3	<b>214</b>	88.9	38.3	61.7	33.4	<b>316</b>
Magnesium	SB*	100 - 5,000	6,060	23,400	5,500	38,400	38,400 6,480		6,090	6,920	5,960	6,850	7,330	7,080	5,170	9,910
Manganese	1,600	50 - 5,000	1,230	702	991	568	1,130	529	1,060	833	709	400	940	363	623	572
Mercury	0.18	0.24 (HV)	0.084	0.098	0.13	0.075	0.20	0.078	0.061	0.041 J	0.28	1.6	0.091	0.051	J 0.096	0.075
Nickel	30	21.0 (HV)	29.7	41.9	28.5	22.7	36.6	24.6	26.7	37.9	36.6	31.2	38.3	24	22.1	28.4
Potassium	SB*	8,500 - 43,000	1,030	1,110	1,300	1,230	1,010	1,040	937	1,710	1,090	1,010	1,460	670	859	839
Selenium	3.9	<b>1</b> (HV)	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Silver	2	NP	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	1.2 J	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Sodium	SB*	6,000 - 8,000	42.4 J	109 、	J 36.4 J	112 J	59.3 J	165 J	67.3 J	76.6 J	61.5 J	91.5 J	42.2 J	55.3	J 98.1 J	i 43.2 J
Thallium	SB*	NP	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	4.2 J	3.2	J ND	3.1 J
Vanadium	150* or SB	1 - 300	34.4	28.6	33.7	28.8	44.2	24.6	17.9	31	24.3	23.8	35.8	15	18.3	22.1
Zinc	109	87.1 (HV)	139	854	375	<b>394</b>	1,930	148	202	127	819	164	136	133	76.8	472

Notes:

Guidance levels based on BCP Unrestricted Use SCOs, 6 NYCRR Part 375, Table 375-6.8(b), except as noted.

HV = Background levels based on NYSDEC data for metals in Lower Hudson Valley soils (90% upper confidence limit).

* = Guidance level based on NYSDEC TAGM 4046.

** Background lead concentrations in urban settings typically range from 200 to 500 ppm.

***Sample with dupilcate analysis

J - Data indicate the presence of a compound that meets the identification criteria. The result is less than the quantitation limit but greater than zero. The concentration given is an approximate value ND = Not Detected NP = Not Provided SB = Site Background NA = Not Available



## Table 12: Metals in Test Pits

Results provided in mg/kg (parts per million). Results shown in **bold** exceed guidance levels.

			Sample Identification															
	Guidance	Background	2SST-1		2TP-2		2TP-2E	3	2TP-8	2TP-11			2TP-11B		2TP-15		2TP-15B	
Metal	Level	Concentrations	(0-4")		(3')		(4-5')	(4-5')		(0-6")			(6"-1')		(1-2')		(3.5')	
		Date	1/30/2008		1/30/2008		1/30/2008		1/30/2008	1/3	1/30/2008		1/30/2008		1/30/2008		1/30/2008	
Aluminum	SB*	33,000	17,700		9,780		16,600		18,000	3,	820		14,100		11,700		17,200	
Antimony	SB*	NP	44.3		ND		2.4	J	ND	1	8.3		ND		8.8	J	ND	
Arsenic	13	<b>7.4</b> (HV)	10.7		12.7		12.7		6.9 J	J 1	3.1	J	9.9		9.6	J	6.3	
Barium	350	<b>81.1</b> (HV)	95.1		164.0		96.6		94.1	24	49.0		103.0		78.0		92.0	
Beryllium	7.2	<b>0.75</b> (HV)	0.81	J	ND		0.84	J	0.84 J	l I	ND		0.68	J	ND		0.81	J
Cadmium	2.5	<b>0.22</b> (HV)	1.7	J	2.0	J	ND		ND	1	ND		1.2	J	2.0	J	ND	
Calcium	SB*	130 - 35,000	4,360		21,500		3,070		21,400	00	389		917		28,400		1,220	
Chromium	30	<b>20.9</b> (HV)	32.4		61.6		23.4		21.7	78	88.0		95.0		61.3		36.7	
Cobalt	30* or SB	2.5 - 60	16.0		9.9		15.3		14.2		2.0	J	9.4		9.5		15.0	
Copper	50	23.4 (HV)	51.3		240		36.8		31.4	4,	530		1,210		842		181	
Iron	2,000* or SB	2,000 - 550,000	47,600		31,200		37,900		31,200	11	,900		26,500		47,400		34,700	
Lead	63	72.5** (HV)	223		256		35.9		28.6	5	531		180		319		62.8	
Magnesium	SB*	100 - 5,000	6,820		6,790		6,170		13,800	4	196		4,830		18,000		5,970	
Manganese	1,600	50 - 5,000	1,530		974		973		850	3	4.2		256		719		747	
Mercury	0.18	<b>0.24</b> (HV)	0.21		0.44		0.06		0.061	4	4.0		0.23		0.29		0.19	
Nickel	30	<b>21.0</b> (HV)	39.8		40.9		32.1		30.2	2	5.3		80.6		34.9		33.3	
Potassium	SB*	8,500 - 43,000	1,890		1,240		1,540		2,180	2	278	J	978		1,130		1,370	
Selenium	3.9	<b>1</b> (HV)	ND		ND		13.6		ND		3.0	J	ND		2.4	J	ND	
Silver	2	NP	ND		2.3	J	ND		ND	1	5.0		1.5	J	0.97	J	ND	
Sodium	SB*	6,000 - 8,000	64.2	J	182.0	J	56.4	J	87.9 J	1 L	ND		43.6	J	107.0	J	39.4	J
Thallium	SB*	NP	ND		ND		9.5		ND	1	ND		2.9	J	ND		3.4	J
Vanadium	150* or SB	1 - 300	36.2		36.8		25.7		32.0	7	3.2		21.0		34.5		27.1	
Zinc	109	<b>87.1</b> (HV)	508		650		102		81.8	1	20		706		404		178	

Notes:

Guidance levels based on BCP Unrestricted Use SCOs, 6 NYCRR Part 375, Table 375-6.8(b), except as noted.

HV = Background levels based on NYSDEC data for metals in Lower Hudson Valley soils (90% upper confidence limit).

* = Guidance level based on NYSDEC TAGM 4046.

** Background lead concentrations in urban settings typically range from 200 to 500 ppm.

J - Data indicate the presence of a compound that meets the identification criteria. The result is less than the quantitation limit but greater than zero.

The concentration given is an approximate value.

ND = Not Detected NP = Not Provided SB = Site Background NA = Not Available



#### Table 13: Metals in Groundwater

All results provided in ug/L. Results in  ${\rm bold}$  exceed designated guidance levels.

										Sample lo	lentification							
	Guidance	MW-01	MW-01	MW-02	MW-02	MW-03	MW-03	2MW-04**	2MW-04**	2MW-05	2MW-05		2MW-06		2SW-1	2SW-2	2SW-2	DUPLICATE
TAL METAL	Level	(Total)	(Dissolved)	(Total)	(Dissolved)	(Total)	(Dissolved)	(Total)	(Dissolved)	(Total)	(Dissolved)	2MW-06 (Total	(Dissolved)	2SW-1 (Total	l) (Dissolved)	(Total)	(Dissolved)	(2MW-04)
	Date	2/28/2008	2/28/2008	2/28/2008	2/28/2008	2/28/2008	\$ 2/28/2008	2/28/2008	2/28/2008	2/28/2008	2/28/2008	2/28/2008	2/28/2008	2/28/2008	2/28/2008	2/28/2008	2/28/2008	2/28/2008
Aluminum	100	1,100	NA	2,700	NA	1,800	NA	420	J NA	132,000	130	J <b>1,100</b>	NA	110	J NA	<b>100</b> J	NA	940
Antimony	3	ND	NA	ND	NA	ND	NA	ND	NA	ND	ND	ND	NA	ND	NA	ND	NA	ND
Arsenic	25	6 .	J NA	ND	NA	ND	NA	ND	NA	110	ND	ND	NA	ND	NA	ND	NA	ND
Barium	1,000	130	NA	36	NA	66	NA	120	NA	860	73	48	NA	14	NA	14	NA	120
Beryllium	3	ND	NA	ND	NA	ND	NA	ND	NA	8	ND	ND	NA	ND	NA	ND	NA	ND
Cadmium	5	ND	NA	ND	NA	ND	NA	ND	NA	11	ND	ND	NA	ND	NA	ND	NA	ND
Calcium	NE	111,000	NA	42,800	NA	88,200	NA	239,000	NA	303,000	140,000	97,700	NA	36,100	NA	34,600	NA	234,000
Chromium	50	22	NA	14	NA	6	J NA	11	NA	280	ND	35	NA	ND	NA	ND	NA	22
Cobalt	5	3 .	J NA	4 J	) NA	ND	NA	8	J NA	220	ND	3,	J NA	ND	NA	ND	NA	<mark>8</mark> J
Copper	200	14	NA	22	NA	20	NA	9	J NA	610	ND	11	NA	ND	NA	ND	NA	7 J
Iron	300*	2,600	NA	5,900	NA	3,100	NA	920	NA	305,000	ND	2,400	NA	230	NA	230	NA	1,900
Lead	25	25	9	22	ND	36	ND	11	6	J 220	ND	39	ND	13	ND	15	ND	10
Magnesium	35,000	41,500	NA	9,000	NA	63,400	NA	49,600	NA	133,000	49,700	25,300	NA	10,900	NA	10,800	NA	48,500
Manganese	300*	680	NA	100	NA	26	NA	150	NA	22,100	1,800	110	NA	41	NA	40	NA	160
Mercury	0.7	ND	NA	ND	NA	ND	NA	ND	NA	ND	0.37	ND	NA	ND	NA	ND	NA	ND
Nickel	100	18	NA	11	NA	7 3	J NA	9	J NA	380	11	23	NA	ND	NA	ND	NA	16
Potassium	NE	2,000	NA	1,700	NA	2,300	NA	1,700	NA	15,400	3,800	2,300	NA	1,200	NA	1,100	NA	1,700
Selenium	10	ND	NA	ND	NA	ND	NA	ND	NA	ND	ND	ND	NA	ND	NA	ND	NA	ND
Silver	50	ND	NA	ND	NA	ND	NA	2	J NA	ND	ND	ND	NA	ND	NA	ND	NA	2 J
Sodium	20,000	30,300	NA	4,700	NA	30,000	NA	111,000	NA	37,000	31,800	20,200	NA	43,500	NA	44,700	NA	107,000
Thallium	0.5	ND	NA	ND	NA	ND	NA	ND	NA	ND	ND	ND	NA	ND	NA	ND	NA	ND
Vanadium	14	2 .	J NA	9	NA	4	J NA	ND	NA	170	ND	3,	J NA	ND	NA	1 J	NA	2 J
Zinc	2,000	ND	NA	38 J	NA	21 J	J NA	19	J NA	920	ND	14 .	J NA	ND	NA	ND	NA	ND
Notes: *Guidance level f	or total of iro	on and man	ganese is 50	00 ug/L.														
** Sample with du	uplicate ana	lysis																

J - Data indicate the presence of a compound that meets the identification criteria. The result is less than the quantitation limit but greater than zero. The concentration

given is an approximate value. ND = Not Detected NE = Not Established NA = Not Analyzed


# Table 14: PCBs in Soil BoringsResults provided in µg/kg (parts per billion).

PCB Compound							Sampl	e Identi	ficat	tion			
	2B-0	7	2B-	08	2B-09	2B-10	2B-11	2B-1	2	2B-13	2B14	2B-15	DUPLICATE
(USEPA Method 8082)	(3-4'	)	(5-6	5')	(6-7')	(9-10')	(5-7')	(15-1	7')	(23-25')	(22-24')	(4-5')	(2B-11, 5-7')
Date	1/31/20	800	1/31/2	2008	2/5/2008	2/5/2008	2/5/2008	2/5/20	800	2/5/2008	2/6/2008	2/6/2008	2/5/2008
PCB 1016	ND		ND		ND	ND	ND	ND		ND	ND	ND	ND
PCB 1221	ND		ND		ND	ND	ND	ND		ND	ND	ND	ND
PCB 1232	ND		ND		ND	ND	ND	ND		ND	ND	ND	ND
PCB 1242	ND		ND		ND	ND	ND	ND		ND	ND	ND	ND
PCB 1248	5.6	J	ND		ND	ND	ND	ND		ND	ND	ND	ND
PCB 1254	3.6	J	ND		ND	ND	ND	ND		ND	ND	ND	ND
PCB 1260	ND		ND		ND	ND	ND	ND		ND	ND	ND	ND
PCB, Total	9		ND		ND	ND	ND	ND		ND	ND	ND	ND
Notes:													
Guidance level = 100 pp	b, base	d or	n BCP	unres	stricted SC	COs, 6 NY	CRR Part	375, Ta	ble	375-6.8(b)			
ND = Not Detected													



#### Table 15: PCBs in Surface Soil

Results provided in µg/kg (parts per billion).

PCB Compound								Sample	Identificat	ion						
(USEPA	2HB-01	2HB-02*	2HB-03	2HB-04	2HB-05	2HB-06	2HB-07	2HB-08	2HB-09	2HB-10	2HB-11	2HB-12	2HB-13			DUPLICATE
Method 8082)	(0-4")	(0-4")	(0-4")	(0-4")	(0-4")	(0-4")	(0-4")	(0-4")	(0-4")	(0-4")	(0-4")	(0-4")	(0-4")	2 SED-1	2 SED-2	(2HB-02)
Date	2/25/2008	2/25/2008	2/25/2008	2/25/2008	2/25/2008	2/25/2008	2/25/2008	2/25/2008	2/25/2008	2/25/2008	2/25/2008	2/25/2008	2/25/2008	2/25/2008	2/25/2008	2/25/2008
PCB 1016	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
PCB 1221	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
PCB 1232	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
PCB 1242	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
PCB 1248	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
PCB 1254	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	3.6 J	ND	9.4 J	4.9 J	13 J	3.6 J	100	5.1 J	4.8 J	ND	ND
PCB 1260	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
PCB-1268	40	ND	16 J	100	35	10 J	ND	ND	9.6 J	12 J	ND	120	71	10 J	ND	<b>150</b> J
PCB, Total	40	ND	16	100	35	14	ND	9	15	25	4	220	76	15	ND	150
Notes:																
Guidance level = *	100 ppb, b	based on B	CP unrestri	cted SCOs	, 6 NYCRR	Part 375, 1	Table 375-6	6.8(b).								
ND = Not Detecte	ed	aiyəiə														



## Table 16: PCBs in Test Pits

Results provided in µg/kg (parts per billion).

PCB Compound							Samp	le Identific	cat	tion					
(USEPA Method	2SST	-1	2TP-2	2TF	2-2B	2	TP-8	2TP-11		2TP-11 B		2TP-15	2	2TP-15B	5
8082)	(0-4"	)	(3')	(4	·5')		(1')	(0-6")		(6"-1')		(1-2')		(3.5')	
Date	1/30/20	800	1/30/200	8 1/30	2008	3 1/3	0/2008	1/30/200	8	1/30/2008	;	1/30/200	80	1/30/2008	8
PCB 1016	ND		ND	N	)	Ν	١D	ND		ND		ND		ND	
PCB 1221	ND		ND	N	)	Ν	١D	ND		ND		ND		ND	
PCB 1232	ND		ND	N	)	١	ND	ND		ND		ND		ND	
PCB 1242	ND		ND	N	)	Ν	١D	ND		ND		ND		ND	
PCB 1248	ND		ND	N	)	Ν	١D	ND		ND		ND		ND	
PCB 1254	53		120	N	)	١	1D	7,500		ND		3,400		ND	
PCB 1260	ND		87	N	)	١	1D	ND		ND		ND		ND	
PCB 1268	NA		NA	9		JN	JA	NA		1,600		NA		1,000	
PCB, Total	53		207	9		١	1D	7,500		1,600		3,400		1,000	
Notes:															
Guidance level – 100 pp	h hasad	on F		ricted S	$\sim 0^{\circ}$			rt 375 Tahl	<u>م</u>	875-6 8(h)					
Guidance level = 100 pp	b, baseu		or unrest	icieu O	503,	UNIX		11 57 5, 1 451		0.0(b).					
ND = Not Detected NA	= Not An	nalyz	ed												



#### Table 17: Pesticides in Surface Soils

Results provided in  $\mu g/kg$  (parts per billion). Results shown in **bold** exceed guidance levels.

Compound			Sample Identification																								
	Guidance	2HB-0	1	2HB-02	2	2HB-03	2HB-04	2HB-0	5	2HB-06		2HB-07		2HB-08		2HB-09	•	2HB-1	0	2HB-11		2HB-12	2			DUPLICAT	Έ
(USEPA Method 8081)	Level	(0-4")	)	(0-4")		(0-4")	(0-4")	(0-4")		(0-4")		(0-4")		(0-4")		(0-4")		(0-4")		(0-4")		(0-4")		2 SED-	1	(2HB-02)	
	Date	2/25/20	08	2/25/2008	3 2/	25/2008	2/25/2008	2/25/20	)8	2/25/200	8	2/25/2008	3	2/25/2008	3	2/25/20	80	2/25/20	08	2/25/200	8	2/25/20	)8	2/25/20	08	2/25/2008	3
4,4'-DDD	3.3	ND		ND		ND	ND	49		11		ND		1.8	J	2.6	J	1.5	J	15		13		3.5	J	ND	
4,4'-DDE	3.3	66		ND		58	7.5	5.8	J	4.9		ND		0.73	J	2.6	J	1.3	J	ND		11		1.4	J	ND	
4,4'-DDT	3.3	80		530		120	25	100		44		ND		21		14		1	J	31		26		2.7	J	90	
Aldrin	5	ND		ND		ND	4.2	ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		2.4	J	ND		6.7	J
alpha-BHC	20	0.65	J	ND		3.6 J	ND	ND		2.7		ND		ND		ND		ND		0.97	J	ND		ND		2.4	J
alpha-Chlordane	94	7.2		ND		ND	ND	ND		ND		ND		ND		0.82	J	ND		ND		ND		ND		ND	
beta-BHC	36	ND		ND		3.6 J	8.2	ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		0.62	J	ND		ND		2.4	J
delta-BHC	40	0.14	J	ND	(	0.92 J	ND	ND		1.2	J	ND		0.26	J	0.35	J	ND		0.5	J	2.1	J	ND		ND	
Dieldrin	5	2.9	J	150	J	6.2 J	81	200		3.5	J	ND		3.6	J	1.1	J	3.8	J	1.6	J	8.4		7.8		51	
Endosulfan I	2,400	ND		ND		ND	ND	ND		4.7		ND		0.42	J	ND		ND		ND		2.1	J	ND		ND	
Endosulfan II	2,400	0.34	J	ND		ND	1.3 J	ND		3.9	J	ND		ND		0.39	J	ND		1.1	J	4.4	J	ND		11	J
Endosulfan sulfate	2,400	ND		ND		ND	ND	ND		7.4		ND		ND		0.47	J	0.21	J	7.1		4.8	J	ND		ND	
Endrin	14	ND		ND		ND	ND	ND		7.2		ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		28	
Endrin aldehyde	NE	ND		39 .	J	ND	ND	ND		22		ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		2.5	J
Endrin ketone	NE	ND		ND		ND	1.8 J	ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		ND	
gamma-BHC (Lindane)	100	ND		ND		ND	ND	ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		ND	
gamma-Chlordane	14,000*	7.1		ND		2.2 J	ND	ND		1.6	J	ND		1.5	J	1.2	J	0.17	J	2.6		7.4		2.1	J	ND	
Heptachlor	42	1.2	J	25	J	ND	4.9	ND		1.7	J	ND		0.54	J	ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		ND	
Heptachlor Epoxide	20*	2.7		ND		6.6 J	0.95 J	ND		ND		ND		0.75	J	ND		ND		1.8	J	1.2	J	0.4	J	1.5	J
Methoxychlor	NE	ND		ND		260	ND	ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		ND	
Toxaphene	NE	ND		ND		ND	ND	ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		ND	1	ND	
Notes:																											
Guidance levels based on BCP Un	restricted Use	e SCOs. (	6 NY	CRR Part	375	. Table 3	75-6.8(b). e	cept as n	oteo	d.																	ļ
*Guidance levels based on NYSDE	C TAGM 404	6.				,	(- ),																				

ND = Not Detected NE = Not Established



## Table 18: PCBs in Groundwater

Results provided in µg/kg (parts per billion).

PCB Compound							Sa	am	ple Ide	nti	fication						
																	DUPLICATE
(USEPA Method 8082)	MW-01	1	MW-0	2	MW-03	2	2MW-04	*	2MW-0	5	2MW-0	6	2SW-1		2SW-2		(2MW-04)
Date	2/28/20	08	2/28/20	80	2/28/200	8 2	/28/200	8	2/28/20	80	2/28/200	)8	2/28/200	)8	2/28/200	8	2/28/2008
PCB 1016	ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		ND
PCB 1221	ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		ND
PCB 1232	ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		ND
PCB 1242	ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		ND
PCB 1248	ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		ND
PCB 1254	ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		ND
PCB 1260	ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		ND
PCB, Total	ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		ND		ND
Notes:																	
Guidance level = 0.09 pp * Sample with duplicate a ND = Not Detected	bb (Total analysis	PC	B), base	ed c	on NYSDI	EC <u>T</u>	<u>OGS 1</u>	.1.	<u>1</u>								

## **BEACON TERMINAL**

CITY OF BEACON DUTCHESS COUNTY, NEW YORK

## APPENDIX B

SITE FAUNA



MATTHEW D. RUDIKOFF ASSOCIATES, INC.

PLANNING ENVIRONMENT DEVELOPMENT Beacon Building 427 Main Street • Suite 201 Beacon, New York 12508

	5	TABLE 1 SITE FAUNA					
	SPECIES	<b>OBSERVED</b>	POTENTIAL TO	<b>REPORTED²</b>	BREE (B)	DING ST IRDS ON	ATUS ³ LY)
COMMON NAME	SCIENTIFIC NAME	(+/-)	UTILIZE SITE		РО	PR	С
Mammals							
Short-tailed shrew	Blarina brevicauda	-	R				
Beaver	Castor canadensis	-	Ι				
Opossum	Didelphis virginiana	-	М				
Big brown bat	Eptesicus fuscus	-	М				
Woodchuck	Marmota monax	+	R				
Striped skunk	Mephitis mephitis	_	R				
House mouse	Mus musculus	-	R				
Little brown bat	Myotis lucifugus	+	R				
White tailed deer	Odocoileus virginianus	+	R				
White-footed mouse	Peromyscus leucopus	-	R				
Raccoon	Procyon lotor	+	R				
Norway rat	Rattus norvegicus	+	R				
Gray squirrel	Sciurus carolinensis	+	R				
Eastern cottontail	Sylvilagus floridanus	+	R				
Eastern chipmunk	Tamias striatus	+	R				
Red fox	Vulpes fulva	-	Ι				
Reptiles							
Lizards and Snakes							
Fence lizard	Sceloporus undulatus	-	0	+			
Five-lined skink	Eumeces fasciatus	-	0	+			
Northern water snake	Nerodia sipedon	+	R	+			
Brown snake	Storeria dekayi	-	0	+			
Redbelly snake	Storeria occiptomaculata	-	0	+			
Common garter snake	Thamnophis sirtalis	+	R	+			
Eastern hognose snake	Heterodon platirhinos	-	0	+			
Eastern worm snake	Carphophis amoenus	-	0	+			
Black racer	Coluber constrictor	-	0	+			
Ringneck snake	Diadophis punctatus	-	0	+			
Rat snake	Elaphe alleganiensis	-	0	+			

	T SIT	FABLE 1 FE FAUNA					
S	SPECIES	OBSERVED	POTENTIAL TO UTU IZE SITE ¹	<b>REPORTED²</b>	BREE (B)	DING ST. IRDS ONI	ATUS ³ LY)
COMMON NAME	SCIENTIFIC NAME	(+/-)	UTILIZE SITE		РО	PR	С
Milk snake	Lampropeltis triangulum	-	0	+			
Copperhead	Agkistrodon contortrix	-	0	+			
Timber rattlesnake	Crotalus horridus	-	0	+			
Turtles							
Slider turtle	Trachemys scripta	-	0	+			
Common snapping turtle	Chelydra serpentina	-	0	+			
Common musk turtle	Sternotherus odoratus	-	0	+			
Spotted turtle	Clemmys guttata	-	0	+			
Wood turtle	Glyptemys insculpta	-	0	+			
Eastern box turtle	Terrapene carolina	-	0	+			
Common map turtle	Graptemys geographica	-	0	+			
Painted turtle	Chrysemys picta	-	0	+			
Amphibians							
Frogs and Toads							
American toad	Bufo americanus	-	Ι	+			
Fowler's toad	Bufo fowleri	-	Ι	+			
Gray treefrog	Hyla versicolor	-	Ι	+			
Spring peeper	Pseudacris crucifer	-	0	+			
Bullfrog	Rana catesbeiana	-	0	+			
Green frog	Rana clamitans	-	М	+			
Wood frog	Rana sylvatica	-	0	+			
Northern leopard frog	Rana pipiens	-	0	+			
Pickerel frog	Rana palustris	-	0	+			
Salamanders							
Marbled salamander	Ambystoma opacum	-	0	+			
Jefferson salamander complex	Ambystoma jeffersonianum x laterale	-	0	+			
Blue-spotted salamander complex	Ambystoma laterale x jeffersonianum	-	0	+			
Spotted salamander	Ambystoma maculatum	-	0	+			
Red-spotted newt	Notophthalmus viridescens	-	0	+			
Northern redback salamander	Plethodon cinereus	-	Ι	+			
Northern slimy salamander	Plethodon glutinosus	-	0	+			

	S	TABLE 1 SITE FAUNA					
	SPECIES	OBSERVED	POTENTIAL TO UTILIZE SITE ¹	<b>REPORTED²</b>	BREE (BI	DING ST RDS ONI	ATUS ³ LY)
COMMON NAME	SCIENTIFIC NAME	(17-)	UTILIZE SITE		РО	PR	С
Four-toed salamander	Hemidactylium scutatum	-	0	+			
Northern two-lined salamander	Eurycea bislineata	-	0	+			
Birds ⁴							
Sharp-shinned hawk	Accipiter striatus	-	Ι		+	-	-
Spotted sandpiper	Actitis macularia	+	Ι		-	+	-
Red-winged blackbird	Agelaius phoeniceus	+	М		-	-	+
Wood duck	Aix sponsa	-	Ι		-	-	+
Mallard	Anas platyrhynchos	+	Ι		-	-	+
Ruby-throated hummingbird	Archilochus colubris	-	Ι		-	-	+
Great blue heron	Ardea herodias	+	Ι		-	+	-
Tufted titmouse	Baeolophus bicolor	+	М		-	-	+
Cedar waxwing	Bombycilla cedrorum	-	Ι		-	+	-
Canada goose	Branta canadensis	-	Ι		-	-	+
Great horned owl	Bubo virginianus	-	Ι		+	-	-
Red-tailed hawk	Buteo jamaicensis	-	I		-	-	+
Green heron	Butorides virescens	+	М		+	-	-
Northern cardinal	Cardinalis cardinalis	+	R		-	-	+
American goldfinch	Carduelis tristis	+	М		-	+	-
House finch	Carpodacus mexicanus	-	М		-	-	+
Turkey vulture	Cathartes aura	+	I		-	+	-
Veery	Catharus fuscescens	+	Ι		-	+	-
Belted kingfisher	Ceryle alcyon	-	I		-	+	-
Chimney swift	Chaetura pelagica	-	M		-	-	+
Black-billed cuckoo	Coccyzus erythropthalmus	-	0		+	-	-
Northern flicker	Colaptes auratus	+	Ι		-	+	-
Rock pigeon	Columba livia	+	М		-	-	+
Eastern wood-pewee	Contopus virens	-	0		-	+	-
American crow	Corvus brachyrhynchos	+	M		-	-	+
Blue jay	Cyanocitta cristata	+	М		-	-	+
Black-throated blue warbler	Dendroica caerulescens	-	0		+	-	-
Prairie warbler	Dendroica discolor	-	0		-	+	-
Chestnut-sided warbler	Dendroica pensylvanica	-	0		+	-	-

		TABLE 1 SITE FAUNA					
	SPECIES	<b>OBSERVED</b>	POTENTIAL TO	<b>REPORTED²</b>	BREE (Bl	DING ST IRDS ONI	ATUS ³ LY)
COMMON NAME	SCIENTIFIC NAME	(+ / -)	UTILIZE SITE		РО	PR	С
Yellow warbler	Dendroica petechia	+	Ι		-	-	+
Black-throated green warbler	Dendroica virens	-	0		-	+	-
Pileated woodpecker	Dryocopus pileatus	-	Ι		-	-	+
Gray catbird	Dumetella carolinensis	+	R		-	-	+
Alder flycatcher	Empidonax alnorum	-	0		-	+	-
Common yellowthroat	Geothlypis trichas	+	R		-	+	-
Bald eagle	Haliaeetus leucocephalus	-	Ι		+	-	-
Worm-eating warbler	Helmitheros vermivorus	-	0		-	+	-
Barn Swallow	Hirundo rustica	+	R		-	-	+
Wood thrush	Hylocichla mustelina	+	R		-	+	-
Baltimore oriole	Icterus galbula	+	М		-	-	+
Eastern screech-owl	Megascops asio	-	Ι		-	+	-
Red-bellied woodpecker	Melanerpes carolinus	+	М		-	-	+
Wild turkey	Meleagris gallopavo	-	0		-	-	+
Song sparrow	Melospiza melodia	+	М		-	+	-
Common merganser	Mergus merganser	-	Ι		-	+	-
Northern mockingbird	Mimus polyglottos	+	М		-	-	+
Black-and-white warbler	Mniotilta varia	-	0		-	+	-
Brown-headed cowbird	Molothrus ater	+	R		-	-	+
Great crested flycatcher	Myiarchus crinitus	+	I		-	+	-
Osprey	Pandion haliaetus	-	Ι		-	+	-
House sparrow	Passer domesticus	+	R		-	-	+
Savannah sparrow	Passerculus sandwichensis	-	0		+	-	-
Indigo bunting	Passerina cyanea	+	М		-	+	-
Rose-breasted grosbeak	Pheucticus ludovicianus	-	I		+	-	-
Downy woodpecker	Picoides pubescens	-	I		-	-	+
Hairy woodpecker	Picoides villosus	-	I		-	-	+
Eastern towhee	Pipilo erythrophthalmus	-	0		-	+	-
Scarlet tanager	Piranga olivacea	-	0		-	+	-
Black-capped chickadee	Poecile atricapillus	-	Ι		-	+	-
Common grackle	Quiscalus quiscula	-	R		-	-	+
Eastern phoebe	Sayornis phoebe	+	М		-	-	+

		TABLE 1 SITE FAUNA					
S	SPECIES	<b>OBSERVED</b>	POTENTIAL TO	<b>REPORTED²</b>	BREE (Bl	DING ST	ATUS ³ LY)
COMMON NAME	SCIENTIFIC NAME	(+/-)	UTILIZE SITE		РО	PR	С
Ovenbird	Seiurus aurocapilla	-	0		-	+	-
Louisiana waterthrush	Seiurus motacilla	-	Ι		-	+	-
American redstart	Setophaga ruticilla	+	Ι		-	+	-
Eastern bluebird	Sialia sialis	-	0		-	-	+
White-breasted nuthatch	Sitta carolinensis	-	0		-	-	+
Yellow-bellied sapsucker	Sphyrapicus varius	-	Ι		-	-	+
Chipping sparrow	Spizella passerina	+	М		-	-	+
Field sparrow	Spizella pusilla	-	0		-	+	-
Northern rough-winged swallow	Stelgidopteryx serripennis	+	М		+	-	-
Barred owl	Strix varia	-	0		-	+	-
Eastern meadowlark	Sturnella magna	-	0		+	-	-
European starling	Sturnus vulgaris	+	R		+	-	-
Carolina wren	Thryothorus ludovicianus	-	Ι		-	+	-
Brown thrasher	Toxostoma rufum	-	0		+	-	-
House wren	Troglodytes aedon	+	М		-	-	+
American robin	Turdus migratorius	+	R		-	-	+
Eastern kingbird	Tyrannus tyrannus	-	Ι		-	+	-
Blue-winged warbler	Vermivora pinus	+	0		-	+	-
Red-eyed vireo	Vireo olivaceus	-	Ι		-	+	-
Canada warbler	Wilsonia canadensis	-	0		+	-	-
Hooded warbler	Wilsonia citrina	-	0		+	-	-
Mourning dove	Zenaida macroura	-	R		-	-	+
Fish ⁵							
American eel	Anguilla rostrata	+	R	+			
Carp	Cyprinus carpio	+	R	-			
Longnose dace	Semotilus corporalis	-	R	+			
White sucker	Catostomus commersoni	-	R	+			
Rock bass	Ambloplites rupestris	-	R	+			
Redbreast sunfish	Lepomis auritus	-	R	+			
Pumpkinseed	Lepomis gibbosus	-	R	+			
Bluegill	Lepomis macrochirus	-	R	+			

	S	TABLE 1 SITE FAUNA					
	SPECIES	OBSERVED	POTENTIAL TO	<b>REPORTED²</b>	BREE (BI	DING ST IRDS ON	ATUS ³ LY)
COMMON NAME	SCIENTIFIC NAME	(+/-)	UTILIZE SITE		РО	PR	С
Smallmouth bass	Micropterus dolomieui	-	М	+			
Largemouth bass	Micropterus salmoides	+	R	+			
Tessellated darter	Etheostoma olmstedi	-	М	+			
Yellow perch	Perca flavescens	-	R	+			
Goldfish	Carassius auratus	-	R	+			
Striped bass	Morone saxatilis	-	М	+			
Aquatic Macroinvertebrates ⁵							
Blue crab	Callinectes sapidus	+	М	-			
Flatworm	Dugesia tigrina	+	R	+			
Snail	Amnicola limosa	-	М	+			
Snail	<i>Fossaria</i> sp.	-	М	+			
Snail	<i>Physella</i> sp.	+	R	+			
Snail	Gyraulis parvus	-	М	+			
Amphipod	Gammarus fasciatus	+	R	+			
Isopod	Asellus communis	-	R	+			
Caddisfly	Cheumatopsyche sp.	-	R	+			
Caddisfly	Hydropsyche sp.	-	R	+			
Caddisfly	Hydroptila sp.	-	R	+			
Caddisfly	Mystacides sp.	-	R	+			
Beetle	Stenelmus sp.	-	М	+			
Midge	Unidentified Chironomid	-	R	+			

TABLE 1 SITE FAUNA												
	SPECIES	OBSERVED	POTENTIAL TO	<b>REPORTED²</b>	BREE	DING ST RDS ONI	ATUS ³ LY)					
COMMON NAME	SCIENTIFIC NAME	(+/-)	UTILIZE SITE ²		РО	PR	C					
Terrestrial Macroinvertebrates												
Clouded sulfur	Colias sp.	+	R									
Cabbage butterflies	Pieris sp.	+	R									
Wasps	Order: Hymenoptera	+	R									
Bees	Order: Hymenoptera	+	R									
Earthworms	Class: Oligochaeta	+	R									
Pill bugs	Armadillium sp.	+	R									
Grasshoppers	Order: Orthoptera	+	R									
Image: Potential to occur:         R = Regular           2         Reported:         Reptile and amphibia           3         Status:         NYSDEC Breeding Bird           3         Status:         NYSDEC Breeding Bird           4         Birds:         Species recorded in NYSD           5         These data are included in Appendix fields           5         Fish & Aquatic Macroinverteb           Hudson River Tributary.         Hudson	ly, $\mathbf{M} = \text{Moderately}$ , $\mathbf{I} = \text{Infrequently}$ , $\mathbf{O} = \text{Only } r_a$ n records reported by the NYSDEC New York Sta Atlas Behavior Codes recorded for birds observed bable breeder, $\mathbf{C} = \text{Confirmed breeder}$ DEC Breeding Bird Atlas Block 5859C (2000-200 Idix E. <b>rates</b> : Species recorded by Schmidt, R.E. and E. I ia, Ltd. Annandale, NY. 60pp; and/or, Stevens e	arely, if at all ate Amphibian and Re d in the Breeding Bird 05), which encompass Kiviat. 1986. Environ <i>et al.</i> 1994. Fish samp	ptile Atlas for USGS Qua Atlas survey blocks, wh es the project site. Breed mental Quality of the Fis led above Tioranda Brids	adrangle West Point, l ich include the projec ing Bird Atlas data fo shkill Creek Drainage ze, Fishkill Creek.	NY. t site and ad r the project : A	jacent areas site also in	clude the 1					

## **BEACON TERMINAL**

CITY OF BEACON DUTCHESS COUNTY, NEW YORK

## APPENDIX C

SITE FLORA



MATTHEW D. RUDIKOFF ASSOCIATES, INC.

PLANNING ENVIRONMENT DEVELOPMENT Beacon Building 427 Main Street • Suite 201 Beacon, New York 12508

#### SITE FLORA **Observed Flora on the Project Site**

## **FLOWERING PLANTS**

## Tree, Shrub, and Vine Species

Ash-leaf maple	Acer negundo		
Norway maple	Acer platanoides		
Silver maple	Acer saccharinum		
Tree-of-heaven	Ailanthus altissima		
Oriental bittersweet	Celastrus orbiculata		
Hackberry	Celtis occidentalis		
Silky dogwood	Cornus amomum		
White ash	Fraxinus americana		
Red ash	Fraxinus pennsylvanica		
Walnut	Juglans sp		
Japanese honeysuckle	Lonicera japonica		
Moonseed vine	Menispermum canadense		
White mulberry	Morus alba		
Virginia creeper	Parthenocissus quinquefolia		
Empress tree	Paulownia tomentosa		
Sycamore	Plantanus occidentalis		
Water smartweed	Polygonum amphibium		
Eastern cottonwood	Populus deltoides		
Big tooth aspen	Populus grandidentata		
Mazzard cherry	Prunus avium		
Black cherry	Prunus serotina		
Pin oak	Quercus palustris		
Northern red oak	Quercus rubra		
Black oak	Quercus velutina		
Smooth buckthorn	Rhamnus cathartica		
Staghorn sumac	Rhus typhina		
Black locust	Robinia pseudo-acacia		

Robinia pseudo-acacia

#### Tree, Shrub, and Vine Species (cont.)

Multiflora rose	Rosa multiflora
Wineberry	Rubus phoenicolasius
Blackberry	Rubus sp.
Black elderberry	Sambucus canadensis
Bebb willow	Salix bebbiana
Pussywillow	Salix discolor
Black willow	Salix nigra
American basswood	Tilia americana
American elm	Ulmus americana
Red elm	Ulmus rubra

Grape

#### **Herbaceous Species**

Yarrow	Achillea millefolium
Garlic mustard	Alliaria petiolata
Onion	Allium sp.
Common ragweed	Ambrosia artemisiifolia
Sweet vernal grass	Anthoxanthum odoratu
Arrow arum	Peltantra virginica
Common mugwort	Artemisia vulgaris
Common milkweed	Asclepias syriaca
Japanese brome grass	Bromus japonicus

Crested sedge Sedges Rosy sedge Fox sedge Greater celandine Common pigweed Field daisy Chicory Enchanter's nightshade Common thistle Black swallow-wort Yellow flat sedge

т

## jap

Vitis sp.

*Carex cristatella Carex* spp. Carex rosea *Carex vulpinoidea* Chelidonium majus *Chenopodium album* Chrysanthemum leucanthemum Cichorium intybus Circaea lutetiana *Circium vulgare* Cynanchum louiseae Cyperus strigosus

#### Herbaceous Species (Cont.)

Orchard grass Queen Anne's lace Deptford pink Mock strawberry

Lovegrass Daisy fleabane Tall thoroughwort Wartweed White wood aster

Meadow fescue Fescue

Rough bedstraw White cleaver

Beggar lice King devil Japanese hops

Path rush

Spotted jewelweed

Nipplewort Wild lettuce Bird's foot trefoil Purple loosetrife

Black medick Sweet yellow clover Japanese stiltgrass

Daffodil

Common wood sorrel Sorrel

Virginia creeper Common reed Pokeweed Dactylis glomerata Daucus carota Dianthus armeria Duchesnea indica

Eragrostis minor Erigeron annuus Eupatorium altissimum Euphorbia maculata Eurybia divaricata

*Festuca elatior Festuca* sp.

Galium asprellum Galium mollugo

Hackelia virginiana Hieracium caespitosum Humulus japonicus

Juncus tenuis

Impatiens capensis

Lapsana communis Latuca sp. Lotus corniculatus Lythrum salicaria

Medicago lupulina Melilotus officinalis Microstigeum vimineum

Narcissus sp.

Oxalis acetasella Oxalis sp.

Parthenocissus quinquefolia Phragmites australis Phytolacea americana

#### Herbaceous Species (Cont.)

Buckhorn plantain Common plantain Bluegrass Knotweeds Johnny jumpseed Sulfer cinquefoil Common cinquefoil Heal-all

Field buttercup Black raspberry Dwarf red blackberry Curly dock

Foxtail grass Canada goldenrod Early goldenrod Giant goldenrod Common chickweed

Common dandelion Poison ivy Tall redtop

Common mullein Purple flowerweed Violet Plantago lanceolata Plantago major Poa sp Polygonum spp. Polygonum virginianum Potentilla recta Potentilla simplex Prunella vulgaris

Ranunculus acris Rubus occidentalis Rubus pubescens Rumex crispus

Setaria sp. Solidago canadensis Solidago juncea Solidago gigantea Stellaria media

Taraxacum officinale Toxicodendron radicans Triodia flava

Verbascum thapsus Veronica officinalis Viola sp.

#### NON-FLOWERING PLANTS

#### Conifers

Eastern red cedar

Japanese yew Arborvitae Juniperus virginiana

Taxus cuspidata Thuja occidentalis

#### Ferns

Hayscented fern

Dennstaedtia punctilobula

## **BEACON TERMINAL**

CITY OF BEACON DUTCHESS COUNTY, NEW YORK

#### APPENDIX D

ADJACENT OFF-SITE FLORA & FAUNA

- D1 ADJACENT OFF-SITE FLORA
- D2 ADJACENT OFF-SITE FAUNA
- D3 NYS DEC BREEDING BIRD ATLAS RECORDS



MATTHEW D. RUDIKOFF ASSOCIATES, INC.

PLANNING ENVIRONMENT DEVELOPMENT Beacon Building 427 Main Street • Suite 201 Beacon, New York 12508

## **BEACON TERMINAL**

CITY OF BEACON DUTCHESS COUNTY, NEW YORK

#### APPENDIX D

D1 ADJACENT OFF-SITE FLORA



#### MATTHEW D. RUDIKOFF ASSOCIATES, INC.

PLANNING

ENVIRONMENT

DEVELOPMENT

Beacon Building 427 Main Street • Suite 201 Beacon, New York 12508

## ADJACENT OFF-SITE FLORA

Plant species provided below were either observed or reported for the Madame Brett Park or within the vicinity of Madame Brett Park, which is located adjacent to the Beacon Terminal project site.

#### FLOWERING PLANTS

#### Tree, Shrub, and Vine Species

Ash-leaf maple	(Acer negundo)		
Norway maple	(Acer platanoides)		
Sycamore maple	(Acer pseudoplatanus)		
Red maple	(Acer rubrum)		
Silver maple	(Acer saccharinum)		
Sugar maple	(Acer saccharum)		
Tree-of-heaven	(Ailanthus altissima)		
Speckled alder	(Alnus rugosa)		
False indigo	(Amorpha fruticosa)		
Japanese barberry	(Berberis thunbergii)		
Gray birch	(Betula populifolia)		
Hedge bindweed	(Calystegia sepium)		
Trumpet creeper	(Campsis radicans)		
Mockernut hickory	(Carya tomentosa)		
Hornbeam	(Carpinus caroliniana)		
Oriental bittersweet	(Celastrus orbiculata)		
Hackberry	(Celtis occidentalis)		
Silky dogwood	(Cornus amomum)		
Flowering dogwood	(Cornus florida)		
Wild yam	(Dioscorea villosa)		
American beech	(Fagus grandifolia)		
White ash	(Fraxinus americana)		
Red ash	(Fraxinus pennsylvanica)		
Honey locust	(Gleditsia triacanthos)		
Witch hazel	(Hamamelis virginiana)		
Walnut	(Juglans sp)		
Privet	(Ligustrum sp)		
Spicebush	(Lindera benzoin)		
Tulip tree	(Liriodendron tulipfera)		

Beacon Terminal / Adjacent Off-Site Flora / ES08110 / January 5, 2009

Bell's honeysuckle

(Lonicera x bella)

#### Tree, Shrub, and Vine Species (cont.)

Japanese honeysuckle Fly honeysuckle Tartarian honeysuckly Moonseed White mulberry Hop hornbeam

Boston ivy Virginia creeper Sycamore Eastern cottonwood Sweet cherry Black cherry

Crabapple White oak Pin oak Chestnut oak Red oak Black oak

Smooth buckthorn Staghorn sumac Currant Black locust Multiflora rose Common blackberry

Black raspberry Dewberry Wineberry Weeping willow Black willow Willow

Red elderberry Sassafras Linden American elm Red elm Maple-leaf viburnum Black-haw Grape (Lonicera japonica) (Lonicera morrowii) (Lonicera tatarica) (Menispermum canadense) (Morus alba) (Ostrya virginiana)

(Parthenocissus tricuspidata) (Parthenocissus quinquefolia) (Plantanus occidentalis) (Populus deltoides) (Prunus avium) (Prunus serotina)

(Pyrus sp) (Quercus alba) (Quercus palustris) (Quercus prinus) (Quercus rubra) (Quercus velutina)

(Rhamnus cathartica) (Rhus typhina) (Ribes sp) (Robinia pseudo-acacia) (Rosa multiflora) (Rubus allegheniensis)

(Rubus occidentalis) (Rubus sp) (Rubus phoenicolasius) (Salix babylonica) (Salix nigra) (Salix sp)

(Sambucus racemosa) (Sassafras albidum) (Tilia americana) (Ulmus americana) (Ulmus rubra) (Viburnum acerifolium) (Viburnum prunifolium) (Vitis sp)

## **Herbaceous Species**

• •				
Yarrow	(Achillea millefolium)			
Sweetflag	(Acorus calamus)			
White snake root	(Ageratina altissima)			
Garlic mustard	(Alliaria petiolata)			
Onion	(Allium sp)			
Field garlic	(Allium vineale)			
Water hemp	(Amaranthus cannabinus)			
Common ragweed	(Ambrosia artemisiifolia)			
Hog peanut	(Amphicarpaea bracteata)			
Dogbane	(Apocynum sp)			
Great burdock	(Arctium lappa)			
Jack-in-the-pulpit	(Arisaema triphyllum)			
Common wormwood or Common mugwort	(Artemisia vulgaris)			
Common milkweed	(Asclepias syriaca)			
Yellow rocket	(Barbarea vulgaris)			
Beggar-ticks	(Bidens frondosa)			
False nettle	(Boehmeria cylindrica)			
Downy chess	(Bromus tectorum)			
Pennsylvania bittercress	(Cardamine pensylvanica)			
Bittercress	(Cardamine sp)			
Sedges	(Carex spp)			
Spotted knapweed	(Centaurea maculosa)			
Greater celandine	(Chelidonium majus)			
Field daisy	(Chrysanthemum leucanthemum)			
Chickory	(Cichorium intvbus)			
Enchanter's nightshade	(Circaea lutetiana)			
Common thistle	(Circium vulgare)			
Asiatic dayflower	(Commelina communis)			
Crown vetch	(Coronilla varia)			
Black swallow-wort	(Cynanchum louiseae)			
Yellow straw sedge	(Cyperus strigosus)			
Orchard grass	(Dactylis glomerata)			

(Cyperus strigosus) (Dactylis glomerata) (Datura stramonium) (Daucus carota) (Desmodium glutinosum) (Desmodium sp)

Jimsonweed

Tick trefoil

Queen Anne's lace

Sticky tick-clover

#### **Herbaceous Species (cont.)**

Deptford pink Crabgrass Mock strawberry Beech drops Feverwort Daisy fleabane

Joe-pye-weed Wartweed Milk purslane Catchweed bedstraw Yellow avens Gill-over-the-ground

Sneezeweed Orange daylily Dame's rocket Hawkweed Spotted jewelweed Yellow iris

Soft rush Rice cutgrass Duckweed Butter n' eggs Cardinal flower Bird's foot trefoil

Marsh purslane Water horehound Moneywort Purple loosetrife Yellow sweet clover Eurasian water milfoil

Spatterdock Evening primrose Sorrel Panic grass Arrow arum Timothy (Dianthus armeria) (Digitaria sanguinalis) (Duchesnea indica) (Epifagus virginiana) (Erechtites hieracifolia) (Erigeron annuus)

(Eupatorium purpureum) (Euphorbia maculata) (Euphorbia supina) (Galium aparine) (Geum allepicum) (Glechoma hederacea)

(Helenium autumnale) (Hemerocallis fulva) (Hesperis matronalis) (Hieracium sp) (Impatiens capensis) (Iris pseudacorus)

(Juncus effusus) (Leersia oryzoides) (Lemna minor) (Linaria vulgaris) (Lobelia cardinalis) (Lotus corniculatus)

(Ludwigia palustris) (Lycopus americanus) (Lysimachia nummularia) (Lythrum salicaria) (Melilotus officinalis) (Myriophyllum spicatum)

(Nuphar advena) (Oenothera biennis) (Oxalis sp) (Panicum sp) (Peltandra virginica) (Phleum pratense)

#### **Herbaceous Species (cont.)**

Common reed Pokeweed Clearweed Buckhorn plantain Common plantain Bluegrass

Halberd-leaf tearthumb Black bindweed Japanese knotweed Water-pepper Pennsylvania smartweed Knotweeds

Jumpseed Pickerel weed Purslane Sulfer cinquefoil Curly pondweed Self-heal

Swamp buttercup Curly dock Bitterdock Arrowhead Strap-leaf arrowhead Lizard's tail

Mountain stonecrop Foxtail grasses Bladder campion False Solomon's seal

Horse nettle Climbing nightshade

Canada goldenrod Giant goldenrod Wrinkled-leaf goldenrod Sow thistle Common chickweed Skunk cabbage (Phragmites australis) (Phytolacca americana) (Pilea pumila) (Plantago lanceolata) (Plantago major) (Poa sp)

(Polygonum arifolium)
(Polygonum convolvulus)
(Polygonum cuspidatum)
(Polygonum hydropiper)
(Polygonum pennsylvanicum)
(Polygonum spp)

(Polygonum virginianum) (Pontederia cordata) (Portulacca oleracea) (Potentilla recta) (Potomogeton crispus) (Prunella vulgaris)

(Ranunculus septentrionalis) (Rumex crispus) (Rumex obtusifolius) (Sagittaria latifolia) (Sagittaria subulata) (Saururus cernuus)

(Sedum ternatum) (Setaria spp) (Silene cucubalus) (Smilacina racemosa = Mainthemum racemosum) (Solanum carolinense) (Solanum dulcamara)

(Solidago canadensis) (Solidago gigantea) (Solidago rugosa) (Sonchus arvensis) (Stellaria media) (Symplocarpus foetidus)

#### **Herbaceous Species (cont.)**

Common dandelion	(Tar
Rue anemone	(Tha
Poison ivy	(Tox
Water chestnut	(Tra
Red clover	(Trij
Narrowleaf cattail	(Typ

Stinging nettle Bellwort Common mullein Blue marsh violet Early yellow violet Water speedwell (Taraxacum officinale) (Thalictrum sp) (Toxicodendron radicans) (Trapa natans) (Trifolium pratense) (Typha angustifolia)

(Urtica dioica) (Uvularia sp) (Verbascum thapsus) (Viola cucullata) (Viola rotundifolia) (Veronica anagallis-aquatica)

#### **NON-FLOWERING PLANTS**

Conifers

Eastern red cedar	(Juniperus virginiana)
Eastern hemlock	(Tsuga canadensis)

#### Ferns

Woodfern Hayscented fern (Dryopteris sp) (Dennstaedtia punctilobula)

#### Fern Allies

Field horsetail

(Equisetum arvense)

## **BEACON TERMINAL**

CITY OF BEACON DUTCHESS COUNTY, NEW YORK

#### APPENDIX D

D2 ADJACENT OFF-SITE FAUNA



#### MATTHEW D. RUDIKOFF ASSOCIATES, INC.

PLANNING ENVIRONMENT

DEVELOPMENT

Beacon Building 427 Main Street • Suite 201 Beacon, New York 12508

## ADJACENT OFF-SITE FAUNA

Animal species provided below were either observed (*), reported or have potential to occur in Madame Brett Park or within the vicinity of Madame Brett Park, which is located adjacent to the Beacon Terminal project site.

#### AMPHIBIANS

- * American toad Gray tree frog
- * Redback salamander
- * Green frog
- * Pickerel frog

#### REPTILES

 Painted turtle Snapping turtle Spotted turtle Northern water snake Common garter snake (Bufo americanus) (Hyla versicolor) (Plethodon cinereus) (Rana clamitans) (Rana palustris)

(Chrysemys picta) (Chelydra serpentina) (Clemmys guttata) (Nerodia sipedon) (Thamnophis sirtalis)

#### BIRDS

Refer to attached Appendix C3, NYSDEC Breeding Bird Atlas List

#### MAMMALS

Short-tailed shrew	(Blarina brevicauda)
Dog	(Canis familiaris)
Coyote	(Canis latrans)
Opossum	(Didelphis virginiana)
Cat	(Felis catus)
Woodchuck	(Marmota monax)
Striped skunk	(Mephitis mephitis)
House mouse	(Mus musculus)
Little brown myotis	(Myotis lucifugus)
White-tailed deer	(Odocoileus virginianus)
Muskrat	(Ondatra zibethicus)
White-footed mouse	(Peromyscus leucopus)
	Short-tailed shrew Dog Coyote Opossum Cat Woodchuck Striped skunk House mouse Little brown myotis White-tailed deer Muskrat White-footed mouse

## MAMMALS (Cont.)

- * Raccoon
- * Norway rat
- * Eastern mole
- * Gray squirrel
- * Eastern cottontail
- * Eastern chipmunk
- Red fox

(Procyon lotor) (Rattus norvegicus) (Scalopus cristata) (Sciurus carolinensis) (Sylvilagus floridanus) (Tamias striatus) (Vulpes vulpes)

FISH AND WIL	DLIFE IMPACT ANALYSIS			
FOR INACTIVE	HAZARDOUS WASTE SITES			
BEA	CON TERMINAL			
CI	Γν οε βελζον			
DUTCHESS	COUNTY NEW YORK			
DUTCHES	COUNTI, NEW TORK			
	<u>APPENDIX D</u>			
D3 NVS DEC BRE	EDING BIRD ATLAS RECORDS			
MDRA	MATTHEW D. RUDIKOFF ASSOCIATES, INC.			
PLANNING	Beacon Building 427 Main Street • Suite 201			
	Beacon, New York 12508			
	Tel: 845.831.1182 • Fax: 845.831.2696			
	www.rudikoff.com			



# **NYS Breeding Bird Atlas**



## Block 5759D

2000-2005

Navigation Tools Block 57		ummary
Perform Another Search	Total Species:	70
Show All Records	Possible:	10
Sort by Field Card Order	Probable:	25
Sort by Taxonomic Order	Confirmed:	35
View 1985 Data		

#### List of Species Breeding in Atlas Block 5759D

Common Name	<u>Scientific Name</u>	Behavior Code	Date	<u>NY Legal Status</u>
Pied-billed Grebe	Podilymbus podiceps	<u>FL</u>	6/2/2005	Threatened
Double-crested Cormorant	Phalacrocorax auritus	<u>P2</u>	5/30/2002	Protected
Great Blue Heron	Ardea herodias	<u>P2</u>	6/10/2002	Protected
Black-crowned Night- Heron	Nycticorax nycticorax	<u>FL</u>	8/3/2005	Protected
Turkey Vulture	Cathartes aura	<u>P2</u>	5/18/2003	Protected
Canada Goose	Branta canadensis	<u>NY</u>	6/25/2003	Game Species
Mute Swan	Cygnus olor	<u>NY</u>	6/19/2003	Protected
Wood Duck	Aix sponsa	<u>NY</u>	6/19/2003	Game Species
Mallard	Anas platyrhynchos	<u>FL</u>	6/10/2002	Game Species

Common Merganser	Mergus merganser	<u>P2</u>	5/18/2003	Game Species
Osprey	Pandion haliaetus	<i>liaetus</i> <u>P2</u> 5/11/200		Protected-Special Concern
Bald Eagle	Haliaeetus leucocephalus	<u>T2</u>	6/17/2002	Threatened
Cooper's Hawk	Accipiter cooperii	<u>X1</u>	7/29/2003	Protected-Special Concern
Red-tailed Hawk	Buteo jamaicensis	<u>X1</u>	6/28/2002	Protected
Wild Turkey	Meleagris gallopavo	<u>P2</u>	6/16/2003	Game Species
Great Black-backed Gull	Larus marinus	<u>X1</u>	6/19/2003	Protected
Rock Pigeon	Columba livia	<u>P2</u>	5/18/2003	<u>Unprotected</u>
Mourning Dove	Zenaida macroura	<u>FL</u>	7/2/2003	Protected
Black-billed Cuckoo	Coccyzus erythropthalmus	<u>S2</u>	7/5/2002	Protected
Chimney Swift	Chaetura pelagica	<u>ON</u>	7/3/2005	Protected
Belted Kingfisher	Ceryle alcyon	<u>82</u>	7/5/2002	Protected
Red-bellied Woodpecker	Melanerpes carolinus	<u>FL</u>	6/28/2002	Protected
Yellow-bellied Sapsucker	Sphyrapicus varius	<u>X1</u>	4/29/2003	Protected
Downy Woodpecker	Picoides pubescens	<u>FL</u>	7/2/2003	Protected
Hairy Woodpecker	Picoides villosus	<u>FL</u>	7/2/2003	Protected
Northern Flicker	Colaptes auratus	<u>S2</u>	5/10/2002	Protected
Pileated Woodpecker	Dryocopus pileatus	<u>S2</u>	6/11/2002	Protected
Eastern Wood-Pewee	Contopus virens	<u>X1</u>	6/25/2003	Protected
Eastern Phoebe	Sayornis phoebe	<u>P2</u>	5/16/2003	Protected
Great Crested Flycatcher	Myiarchus crinitus	<u>T2</u>	6/11/2003	Protected
Eastern Kingbird	Tyrannus tyrannus	<u>FY</u>	//2004	Protected
Warbling Vireo	Vireo gilvus	<u>D2</u>	7/2/2003	Protected
Red-eyed Vireo	Vireo olivaceus	<u>T2</u>	6/28/2002	Protected
Blue Jay	Cyanocitta cristata	<u>FY</u>	6/25/2003	Protected
American Crow	Corvus brachyrhynchos	<u>FY</u>	5/4/2002	Game Species

Fish Crow	Corvus ossifragus	<u>NE</u>	4/13/2002	Protected
Tree Swallow	Tachycineta bicolor	<u>NY</u>	//2004	Protected
Barn Swallow	Hirundo rustica	<u>N2</u>	5/18/2003	Protected
Black-capped Chickadee	Poecile atricapillus	<u>NE</u>	6/8/2003	Protected
Tufted Titmouse	Baeolophus bicolor	<u>FL</u>	6/28/2002	Protected
White-breasted Nuthatch	Sitta carolinensis	<u>P2</u>	6/24/2002	Protected
Carolina Wren	Thryothorus ludovicianus	<u>S2</u>	6/28/2002	Protected
House Wren	Troglodytes aedon	<u>ON</u>	6/9/2004	Protected
Veery	Catharus fuscescens	<u>FL</u>	7/2/2003	Protected
Hermit Thrush	Catharus guttatus	<u>X1</u>	4/8/2003	Protected
Wood Thrush	Hylocichla mustelina	<u>S2</u>	5/18/2003	Protected
American Robin	Turdus migratorius	<u>NY</u>	6/28/2002	Protected
Gray Catbird	Dumetella carolinensis	<u>FY</u>	6/28/2002	Protected
Northern Mockingbird	Mimus polyglottos	<u>NY</u>	//2002	Protected
European Starling	Sturnus vulgaris	<u>NY</u>	5/30/2002	<u>Unprotected</u>
Cedar Waxwing	Bombycilla cedrorum	<u>P2</u>	5/30/2002	Protected
Yellow Warbler	Dendroica petechia	<u>NE</u>	5/27/2002	Protected
Chestnut-sided Warbler	Dendroica pensylvanica	<u>X1</u>	//2004	Protected
Black-throated Green Warbler	Dendroica virens	<u>S2</u>	5/27/2002	Protected
Black-and-white Warbler	Mniotilta varia	<u>X1</u>	6/25/2003	Protected
American Redstart	Setophaga ruticilla	<u>X1</u>	6/28/2002	Protected
Worm-eating Warbler	Helmitheros vermivorus	<u>X1</u>	//2004	Protected
Common Yellowthroat	Geothlypis trichas	<u>S2</u>	5/30/2002	Protected
Scarlet Tanager	Piranga olivacea	<u>S2</u>	7/2/2003	Protected
Song Sparrow	Melospiza melodia	<u>FL</u>	6/11/2003	Protected
Northern Cardinal	Cardinalis cardinalis	<u>FL</u>	5/28/2002	Protected
Indigo Bunting	Passerina cyanea	<u>ON</u>	6/23/2003	Protected

Red-winged Blackbird	Agelaius phoeniceus	<u>FY</u>	//2004	Protected
Common Grackle	Quiscalus quiscula	<u>FY</u>	6/28/2002	Protected
Brown-headed Cowbird	Molothrus ater	<u>FL</u>	6/28/2002	Protected
Orchard Oriole	Icterus spurius	<u>NE</u>	6/28/2002	Protected
Baltimore Oriole	Icterus galbula	<u>NE</u>	5/27/2002	Protected
House Finch	Carpodacus mexicanus	<u>FL</u>	7/2/2003	Protected
American Goldfinch	Carduelis tristis	<u>P2</u>	6/25/2003	Protected
House Sparrow	Passer domesticus	<u>NY</u>	5/28/2002	Unprotected

Current Date: 7/1/2008



# **NYS Breeding Bird Atlas**



## Block 5759D

## 1980-1985

<b>Navigation Tools</b>	Block 5759D Summary			
Perform Another Search	Total Species:	74		
Sort by Field Card Order	Possible:	13		
Sort by Taxonomic Order	Probable:	23		
View 2000 Data	Confirmed:	38		

#### List of Species Breeding in Atlas Block 5759D

<u>Common Name</u>	<u>Scientific Name</u>	<u>Behavior</u> <u>Code</u>	<u>Date</u>	<u>NY Legal Status</u>
Green Heron	Butorides virescens	<u>NY</u>	1983	Protected
Canada Goose	Branta canadensis	<u>P2</u>	1983	Game Species
Mute Swan	Cygnus olor	<u>NE</u>	1983	Protected
Wood Duck	Aix sponsa	<u>FL</u>	1983	Game Species
American Black Duck	Anas rubripes	<u>P2</u>	1983	Game Species
Mallard	Anas platyrhynchos	<u>FL</u>	1983	Game Species
Broad-winged Hawk	Buteo platypterus	<u>P2</u>	1983	Protected
Red-tailed Hawk	Buteo jamaicensis	<u>X1</u>	1983	Protected
American Kestrel	Falco sparverius	<u>P2</u>	1985	Protected
Ruffed Grouse	Bonasa umbellus	<u>X1</u>	1983	Game Species
Killdeer	Charadrius vociferus	<u>FL</u>	1983	Protected

Spotted Sandpiper	Actitis macularia	<u>X1</u>	1983	Protected
American Woodcock	Scolopax minor	<u>S2</u>	1985	Game Species
Rock Pigeon	Columba livia	<u>N2</u>	1983	Unprotected
Mourning Dove	Zenaida macroura	<u>FL</u>	1983	Protected
Black-billed Cuckoo	Coccyzus erythropthalmus	<u>X1</u>	1984	Protected
Great Horned Owl	Bubo virginianus	<u>X1</u>	1984	Protected
Common Nighthawk	Chordeiles minor	<u>S2</u>	1984	Protected-Special Concern
Chimney Swift	Chaetura pelagica	<u>ON</u>	1983	Protected
Belted Kingfisher	Ceryle alcyon	<u>FY</u>	1983	Protected
Red-bellied Woodpecker	Melanerpes carolinus	<u>X1</u>	1983	Protected
Downy Woodpecker	Picoides pubescens	<u>FY</u>	1983	Protected
Hairy Woodpecker	Picoides villosus	<u>82</u>	1983	Protected
Northern Flicker	Colaptes auratus	<u>ON</u>	1983	Protected
Pileated Woodpecker	Dryocopus pileatus	<u>X1</u>	1984	Protected
Eastern Wood-Pewee	Contopus virens	<u>S2</u>	1983	Protected
Eastern Phoebe	Sayornis phoebe	<u>NE</u>	1984	Protected
Great Crested Flycatcher	Myiarchus crinitus	<u>S2</u>	1983	Protected
Eastern Kingbird	Tyrannus tyrannus	<u>NY</u>	1983	Protected
Yellow-throated Vireo	Vireo flavifrons	<u>X1</u>	1984	Protected
Warbling Vireo	Vireo gilvus	<u>FL</u>	1983	Protected
Red-eyed Vireo	Vireo olivaceus	<u>P2</u>	1983	Protected
Blue Jay	Cyanocitta cristata	<u>B2</u>	1983	Protected
American Crow	Corvus brachyrhynchos	<u>FL</u>	1983	Game Species
Fish Crow	Corvus ossifragus	<u>D2</u>	1983	Protected
Northern Rough-winged Swallow	Stelgidopteryx serripennis	<u>X1</u>	1983	Protected
Bank Swallow	Riparia riparia	<u>ON</u>	1983	Protected
Barn Swallow	Hirundo rustica	UN	1985	Protected
Black-capped Chickadee	Poecile atricapillus	<u>FY</u>	1983	Protected
Tufted Titmouse	Baeolophus bicolor	<u>FY</u>	1983	Protected
White-breasted Nuthatch	Sitta carolinensis	<u>FY</u>	1983	Protected
-------------------------	-----------------------------	-----------	------	-------------
Carolina Wren	Thryothorus ludovicianus	<u>FL</u>	1983	Protected
House Wren	Troglodytes aedon	<u>FY</u>	1983	Protected
Veery	Catharus fuscescens	<u>S2</u>	1983	Protected
Wood Thrush	Hylocichla mustelina	<u>S2</u>	1983	Protected
American Robin	Turdus migratorius	<u>NY</u>	1983	Protected
Gray Catbird	Dumetella carolinensis	<u>FL</u>	1983	Protected
Northern Mockingbird	Mimus polyglottos	<u>S2</u>	1983	Protected
European Starling	Sturnus vulgaris	<u>NY</u>	1983	Unprotected
Cedar Waxwing	Bombycilla cedrorum	<u>X1</u>	1983	Protected
Blue-winged Warbler	Vermivora pinus	<u>FY</u>	1983	Protected
Yellow Warbler	Dendroica petechia	<u>NE</u>	1984	Protected
Chestnut-sided Warbler	Dendroica pensylvanica	<u>X1</u>	1984	Protected
Black-and-white Warbler	Mniotilta varia	<u>X1</u>	1984	Protected
American Redstart	Setophaga ruticilla	<u>82</u>	1983	Protected
Worm-eating Warbler	Helmitheros vermivorus	<u>82</u>	1984	Protected
Ovenbird	Seiurus aurocapilla	<u>X1</u>	1983	Protected
Louisiana Waterthrush	Seiurus motacilla	<u>FL</u>	1983	Protected
Common Yellowthroat	Geothlypis trichas	<u>FY</u>	1983	Protected
Scarlet Tanager	Piranga olivacea	<u>S2</u>	1983	Protected
Eastern Towhee	Pipilo erythrophthalmus	<u>82</u>	1983	Protected
Chipping Sparrow	Spizella passerina	<u>82</u>	1983	Protected
Field Sparrow	Spizella pusilla	<u>FL</u>	1985	Protected
Song Sparrow	Melospiza melodia	<u>FY</u>	1983	Protected
Northern Cardinal	Cardinalis cardinalis	<u>NY</u>	1983	Protected
Rose-breasted Grosbeak	Pheucticus ludovicianus	<u>FY</u>	1983	Protected
Indigo Bunting	Passerina cyanea	<u>T2</u>	1983	Protected
Red-winged Blackbird	Agelaius phoeniceus	<u>NE</u>	1983	Protected
Common Grackle	Quiscalus quiscula	<u>FY</u>	1983	Protected

Brown-headed Cowbird	Molothrus ater	<u>FL</u>	1983	Protected
Baltimore Oriole	Icterus galbula	<u>NY</u>	1983	Protected
House Finch	Carpodacus mexicanus	<u>NY</u>	1983	Protected
American Goldfinch	Carduelis tristis	<u>D2</u>	1983	Protected
House Sparrow	Passer domesticus	<u>FL</u>	1983	Unprotected

Current Date: 7/1/2008



# **NYS Breeding Bird Atlas**



## Block 5859C

## 2000-2005

<b>Navigation Tools</b>	Block 5859C S	Summary
Perform Another Search	Total Species:	82
Show All Records	Possible:	13
Sort by Field Card Order	Probable:	34
Sort by Taxonomic Order	Confirmed:	35
View 1985 Data		

## List of Species Breeding in Atlas Block 5859C

Common Name	<u>Scientific Name</u>	Behavior Code	<u>Date</u>	<u>NY Legal Status</u>
Great Blue Heron	Ardea herodias	<u>P2</u>	7/10/2002	Protected
Turkey Vulture	Cathartes aura	<u>P2</u>	6/18/2002	Protected
Canada Goose	Branta canadensis	<u>NE</u>	4/15/2003	Game Species
Wood Duck	Aix sponsa	<u>FL</u>	//2004	Game Species
Mallard	Anas platyrhynchos	<u>NY</u>	5/18/2003	Game Species
Common Merganser	Mergus merganser	<u>P2</u>	5/10/2003	Game Species
Osprey	Pandion haliaetus	<u>P2</u>	5/25/2002	Protected-Special Concern

Bald Eagle	Haliaeetus leucocephalus	<u>X1</u>	//2004	Threatened
Sharp-shinned Hawk	Accipiter striatus	<u>X1</u>	6/25/2002	Protected-Special Concern
Red-tailed Hawk	Buteo jamaicensis	<u>FL</u>	5/26/2003	Protected
Wild Turkey	Meleagris gallopavo	<u>FL</u>	6/13/2002	Game Species
Spotted Sandpiper	Actitis macularia	<u>P2</u>	5/11/2003	Protected
Rock Pigeon	Columba livia	<u>ON</u>	7/10/2002	Unprotected
Mourning Dove	Zenaida macroura	<u>FL</u>	6/20/2003	Protected
Black-billed Cuckoo	Coccyzus erythropthalmus	<u>X1</u>	5/3/2005	Protected
Eastern Screech-Owl	Megascops asio	<u>N2</u>	3/29/2000	Protected
Great Horned Owl	Bubo virginianus	<u>X1</u>	5/3/2003	Protected
Barred Owl	Strix varia	<u>T2</u>	5/3/2003	Protected
Chimney Swift	Chaetura pelagica	<u>ON</u>	7/2/2003	Protected
Ruby-throated Hummingbird	Archilochus colubris	<u>FL</u>	//2004	Protected
Belted Kingfisher	Ceryle alcyon	<u>P2</u>	6/18/2002	Protected
Red-bellied Woodpecker	Melanerpes carolinus	<u>FL</u>	7/6/2002	Protected
Yellow-bellied Sapsucker	Sphyrapicus varius	<u>FL</u>	6/12/2003	Protected
Downy Woodpecker	Picoides pubescens	<u>FY</u>	6/14/2002	Protected
Hairy Woodpecker	Picoides villosus	<u>FY</u>	5/29/2003	Protected
Northern Flicker	Colaptes auratus	<u>N2</u>	5/11/2002	Protected
Pileated Woodpecker	Dryocopus pileatus	<u>ON</u>	6/16/2002	Protected
Eastern Wood-Pewee	Contopus virens	<u>S2</u>	6/6/2003	Protected
Alder Flycatcher	Empidonax alnorum	<u>P2</u>	6/6/2003	Protected
Eastern Phoebe	Sayornis phoebe	<u>NE</u>	5/18/2003	Protected
Great Crested Flycatcher	Myiarchus crinitus	<u>S2</u>	5/18/2003	Protected
Eastern Kingbird	Tyrannus tyrannus	<u>P2</u>	6/18/2002	Protected
Red-eyed Vireo	Vireo olivaceus	<u>D2</u>	6/6/2003	Protected
Blue Jay	Cyanocitta cristata	<u>FY</u>	7/10/2002	Protected
American Crow	Corvus brachyrhynchos	<u>FY</u>	7/2/2003	Game Species

Northern Rough-winged Swallow	Stelgidopteryx serripennis	<u>X1</u>	5/19/2003	Protected
Barn Swallow	Hirundo rustica	<u>ON</u>	5/11/2002	Protected
Black-capped Chickadee	Poecile atricapillus	<u>D2</u>	7/2/2003	Protected
Tufted Titmouse	Baeolophus bicolor	<u>FY</u>	7/2/2002	Protected
White-breasted Nuthatch	Sitta carolinensis	<u>FY</u>	6/13/2003	Protected
Carolina Wren	Thryothorus ludovicianus	<u>P2</u>	//2004	Protected
House Wren	Troglodytes aedon	<u>NY</u>	6/16/2003	Protected
Eastern Bluebird	Sialia sialis	<u>FL</u>	7/13/2002	Protected
Veery	Catharus fuscescens	<u>S2</u>	6/2/2002	Protected
Wood Thrush	Hylocichla mustelina	<u>S2</u>	5/17/2003	Protected
American Robin	Turdus migratorius	<u>FL</u>	6/29/2002	Protected
Gray Catbird	Dumetella carolinensis	<u>NE</u>	6/4/2002	Protected
Northern Mockingbird	Mimus polyglottos	<u>FL</u>	5/23/2003	Protected
Brown Thrasher	Toxostoma rufum	<u>X1</u>	//2004	Protected
European Starling	Sturnus vulgaris	<u>FL</u>	5/24/2002	Unprotected
Cedar Waxwing	Bombycilla cedrorum	<u>D2</u>	5/24/2002	Protected
Blue-winged Warbler	Vermivora pinus	<u>S2</u>	6/2/2003	Protected
Yellow Warbler	Dendroica petechia	<u>NE</u>	6/18/2002	Protected
Chestnut-sided Warbler	Dendroica pensylvanica	<u>X1</u>	5/11/2003	Protected
Black-throated Blue Warbler	Dendroica caerulescens	<u>X1</u>	5/9/2003	Protected
Black-throated Green Warbler	Dendroica virens	<u>S2</u>	6/2/2003	Protected
Prairie Warbler	Dendroica discolor	<u>P2</u>	6/6/2003	Protected
Black-and-white Warbler	Mniotilta varia	<u>T2</u>	6/6/2003	Protected
American Redstart	Setophaga ruticilla	<u>D2</u>	6/2/2003	Protected
Worm-eating Warbler	Helmitheros vermivorus	<u>P2</u>	6/10/2003	Protected
Ovenbird	Seiurus aurocapilla	<u>T2</u>	6/1/2003	Protected
Louisiana Waterthrush	Seiurus motacilla	<u>T2</u>	6/6/2003	Protected

Common Yellowthroat	Geothlypis trichas	<u>82</u>	6/17/2002	Protected
Hooded Warbler	Wilsonia citrina	<u>X1</u>	6/10/2003	Protected
Canada Warbler	Wilsonia canadensis	<u>X1</u>	5/18/2003	Protected
Scarlet Tanager	Piranga olivacea	<u>P2</u>	5/18/2003	Protected
Eastern Towhee	Pipilo erythrophthalmus	<u>P2</u>	//2004	Protected
Chipping Sparrow	Spizella passerina	<u>FL</u>	6/27/2003	Protected
Field Sparrow	Spizella pusilla	<u>P2</u>	5/28/2003	Protected
Savannah Sparrow	Passerculus sandwichensis	<u>X1</u>	5/9/2003	Protected
Song Sparrow	Melospiza melodia	<u>N2</u>	4/21/2002	Protected
Northern Cardinal	Cardinalis cardinalis	<u>FY</u>	6/20/2003	Protected
Rose-breasted Grosbeak	Pheucticus ludovicianus	<u>X1</u>	5/4/2003	Protected
Indigo Bunting	Passerina cyanea	<u>D2</u>	7/10/2002	Protected
Red-winged Blackbird	Agelaius phoeniceus	<u>FY</u>	6/17/2002	Protected
Eastern Meadowlark	Sturnella magna	<u>X1</u>	5/21/2003	Protected
Common Grackle	Quiscalus quiscula	<u>FY</u>	6/29/2002	Protected
Brown-headed Cowbird	Molothrus ater	<u>FY</u>	6/19/2003	Protected
Baltimore Oriole	Icterus galbula	<u>ON</u>	6/19/2003	Protected
House Finch	Carpodacus mexicanus	<u>FL</u>	7/3/2002	Protected
American Goldfinch	Carduelis tristis	<u>P2</u>	8/5/2003	Protected
House Sparrow	Passer domesticus	<u>FL</u>	6/18/2002	<b>Unprotected</b>

Current Date: 7/1/2008



# **NYS Breeding Bird Atlas**



## Block 5859C

## 1980-1985

<b>Navigation Tools</b>	Block 5859C Summary		
Perform Another Search	Total Species:	93	
Sort by Field Card Order	Possible:	15	
Sort by Taxonomic Order	Probable:	21	
View 2000 Data	Confirmed:	57	

### List of Species Breeding in Atlas Block 5859C

Common Name	<u>Scientific Name</u>	<u>Behavior</u> <u>Code</u>	<u>Date</u>	<u>NY Legal Status</u>
Least Bittern	Ixobrychus exilis	<u>T2</u>	1983	Threatened
Great Blue Heron	Ardea herodias	<u>X1</u>	1983	Protected
Green Heron	Butorides virescens	<u>T2</u>	1983	Protected
Turkey Vulture	Cathartes aura	<u>X1</u>	1983	Protected
Canada Goose	Branta canadensis	<u>FL</u>	1983	Game Species
Mute Swan	Cygnus olor	<u>X1</u>	1983	Protected
Wood Duck	Aix sponsa	<u>FL</u>	1983	Game Species
Mallard	Anas platyrhynchos	<u>FL</u>	1983	Game Species
Broad-winged Hawk	Buteo platypterus	<u>D2</u>	1983	Protected
Red-tailed Hawk	Buteo jamaicensis	<u>T2</u>	1983	Protected
American Kestrel	Falco sparverius	<u>X1</u>	1983	Protected

Ring-necked Pheasant	Phasianus colchicus	<u>FL</u>	1983	Game Species
Ruffed Grouse	Bonasa umbellus	DD	1983	Game Species
Wild Turkey	Meleagris gallopavo	<u>X1</u>	1983	Game Species
Killdeer	Charadrius vociferus	<u>X1</u>	1983	Protected
Spotted Sandpiper	Actitis macularia	<u>FL</u>	1983	Protected
Rock Pigeon	Columba livia	<u>NE</u>	1983	Unprotected
Mourning Dove	Zenaida macroura	<u>FL</u>	1983	Protected
Eastern Screech-Owl	Megascops asio	<u>FL</u>	1984	Protected
Great Horned Owl	Bubo virginianus	<u>X1</u>	1983	Protected
Barred Owl	Strix varia	<u>X1</u>	1983	Protected
Chimney Swift	Chaetura pelagica	<u>ON</u>	1983	Protected
Ruby-throated Hummingbird	Archilochus colubris	<u>X1</u>	1983	Protected
Belted Kingfisher	Ceryle alcyon	<u>FY</u>	1983	Protected
Red-bellied Woodpecker	Melanerpes carolinus	<u>S2</u>	1983	Protected
Downy Woodpecker	Picoides pubescens	<u>NY</u>	1983	Protected
Hairy Woodpecker	Picoides villosus	<u>FY</u>	1983	Protected
Northern Flicker	Colaptes auratus	<u>NY</u>	1983	Protected
Pileated Woodpecker	Dryocopus pileatus	<u>T2</u>	1983	Protected
Eastern Wood-Pewee	Contopus virens	<u>FL</u>	1983	Protected
Acadian Flycatcher	Empidonax virescens	<u>T2</u>	1983	Protected
Willow Flycatcher	Empidonax traillii	<u>T2</u>	1983	Protected
Least Flycatcher	Empidonax minimus	<u>X1</u>	1983	Protected
Eastern Phoebe	Sayornis phoebe	<u>NY</u>	1983	Protected
Great Crested Flycatcher	Myiarchus crinitus	<u>T2</u>	1983	Protected
Eastern Kingbird	Tyrannus tyrannus	<u>NY</u>	1983	Protected
White-eyed Vireo	Vireo griseus	<u>FY</u>	1983	Protected
Yellow-throated Vireo	Vireo flavifrons	<u>T2</u>	1983	Protected
Blue-headed Vireo	Vireo solitarius	<u>T2</u>	1983	Protected
Warbling Vireo	Vireo gilvus	<u>T2</u>	1983	Protected
Red-eyed Vireo	Vireo olivaceus	<u>FY</u>	1983	Protected
Blue Jay	Cyanocitta cristata	<u>FL</u>	1983	Protected

American Crow	Corvus brachyrhynchos	<u>T2</u>	1983	Game Species
Tree Swallow	Tachycineta bicolor	<u>FY</u>	1983	Protected
Northern Rough-winged Swallow	Stelgidopteryx serripennis	<u>P2</u>	1983	Protected
Bank Swallow	Riparia riparia	<u>X1</u>	1983	Protected
Barn Swallow	Hirundo rustica	<u>NY</u>	1983	Protected
Black-capped Chickadee	Poecile atricapillus	<u>FY</u>	1983	Protected
Tufted Titmouse	Baeolophus bicolor	<u>FY</u>	1983	Protected
White-breasted Nuthatch	Sitta carolinensis	<u>FY</u>	1983	Protected
Brown Creeper	Certhia americana	<u>P2</u>	1983	Protected
Carolina Wren	Thryothorus ludovicianus	<u>X1</u>	1983	Protected
House Wren	Troglodytes aedon	<u>FY</u>	1983	Protected
Marsh Wren	Cistothorus palustris	<u>FL</u>	1983	Protected
Blue-gray Gnatcatcher	Polioptila caerulea	<u>X1</u>	1982	Protected
Veery	Catharus fuscescens	<u>T2</u>	1983	Protected
Wood Thrush	Hylocichla mustelina	<u>NE</u>	1983	Protected
American Robin	Turdus migratorius	<u>NY</u>	1983	Protected
Gray Catbird	Dumetella carolinensis	<u>FY</u>	1983	Protected
Northern Mockingbird	Mimus polyglottos	<u>NE</u>	1983	Protected
Brown Thrasher	Toxostoma rufum	<u>NE</u>	1983	Protected
European Starling	Sturnus vulgaris	<u>NY</u>	1983	<u>Unprotected</u>
Cedar Waxwing	Bombycilla cedrorum	<u>P2</u>	1983	Protected
Blue-winged Warbler	Vermivora pinus	<u>FY</u>	1983	Protected
Golden-winged Warbler	Vermivora chrysoptera	<u>S2</u>	1983	Protected-Special Concern
Lawrence's Warbler	Vermivora chrysoptera x V. pinus	<u>X1</u>	1983	Protected
Yellow Warbler	Dendroica petechia	<u>NY</u>	1983	Protected
Prairie Warbler	Dendroica discolor	<u>FY</u>	1983	Protected
Black-and-white Warbler	Mniotilta varia	<u>FY</u>	1983	Protected
American Redstart	Setophaga ruticilla	<u>FY</u>	1983	Protected
Worm-eating Warbler	Helmitheros vermivorus	<u>FY</u>	1983	Protected
Ovenbird	Seiurus aurocapilla	<u>FY</u>	1983	Protected

Louisiana Waterthrush	Seiurus motacilla	<u>FY</u>	1983	Protected
Common Yellowthroat	Geothlypis trichas	<u>FY</u>	1983	Protected
Hooded Warbler	Wilsonia citrina	<u>X1</u>	1983	Protected
Scarlet Tanager	Piranga olivacea	<u>FY</u>	1983	Protected
Eastern Towhee	Pipilo erythrophthalmus	<u>FY</u>	1983	Protected
Chipping Sparrow	Spizella passerina	<u>FY</u>	1983	Protected
Field Sparrow	Spizella pusilla	<u>FY</u>	1983	Protected
Song Sparrow	Melospiza melodia	<u>FY</u>	1983	Protected
Swamp Sparrow	Melospiza georgiana	<u>T2</u>	1983	Protected
Northern Cardinal	Cardinalis cardinalis	<u>FY</u>	1983	Protected
Rose-breasted Grosbeak	Pheucticus ludovicianus	<u>FY</u>	1983	Protected
Indigo Bunting	Passerina cyanea	<u>FY</u>	1983	Protected
Bobolink	Dolichonyx oryzivorus	<u>FL</u>	1983	Protected
Red-winged Blackbird	Agelaius phoeniceus	<u>NY</u>	1983	Protected
Common Grackle	Quiscalus quiscula	<u>NY</u>	1983	Protected
Brown-headed Cowbird	Molothrus ater	<u>FL</u>	1983	Protected
Baltimore Oriole	Icterus galbula	<u>NY</u>	1983	Protected
Purple Finch	Carpodacus purpureus	<u>T2</u>	1983	Protected
House Finch	Carpodacus mexicanus	<u>NE</u>	1983	Protected
American Goldfinch	Carduelis tristis	<u>D2</u>	1983	Protected
House Sparrow	Passer domesticus	<u>FY</u>	1983	Unprotected

Current Date: 7/1/2008



## **Bird Atlas Breeding Codes**

The New York State Breeding Bird Atlas uses three categories to record breeding behavior: Possible (PO), Probable (PR), and Confirmed (CO). Within each of these categories are Breeding Codes that describe the breeding behavior. These codes are listed in order of increasing certainty.

	Possible Breeding (PO)						
х	Species observed in possible nesting habitat, but no other indication of breeding noted; singing male(s) present (or breeding calls heard) in breeding season.						
	Probable Breeding (PR)						
S	Singing male present (or breeding calls heard).						
Ρ	Pair observed in suitable habitat in breeding season.						
т	Bird (or pair) apparently holding territory. In addition to territorial singing, chasing of other individuals of same species often marks a territory.						
D	Courtship and display, agitated behavior or anxiety calls from adults suggesting probable presence nearby of a nest or young; well-developed brood-patch or cloacal protuberance on trapped adult. Includes copulation.						
N	Visiting probable nest site. Nest building by wrens and woodpeckers. Wrens may build many nests. Woodpeckers, although they usually drill only one nest cavity, also drill holes just for roosting.						
В	Nest building or excavation of a nest hole.						
	Confirmed Breeding (CO)						
DD	Distraction display or injury-feigning. Agitated behavior and/or anxiety calls areProbable-D.						
UN	Used nest found. Caution: These must be carefully identified if they are to be counted as evidence. Some nests (e.g. Baltimore Oriole) are persistent and very characteristic. Most are difficult to identify correctly.						
FE	Female with egg in the oviduct (by bird bander).						
FL	Recently fledged young (including downy young of precocious species - waterfowl, shorebirds). This code should be used with caution for species such as blackbirds and swallows, which may move some distance soon after fledging. Recently fledged passerines are still dependent on their parents and are fed by them.						
ON	Adult(s) entering or leaving nest site in circumstances indicating occupied nest.						

	NOT generally used for open nesting birds. It should be used for hole nesters only when a bird enters a hole and remains inside, makes a change-over at a hole, or leaves a hole after having been inside for some time. If you simply see a bird fly into or out of a bush or tree, and do not find a nest, the correct code would be Probable-N.
FS	Adult carrying fecal sac.
FY	Adult(s) with food for young. Some birds (gulls, terns, and raptors) continue to feed their young long after they are fledged, and even after they have moved considerable distances. Also, some birds (e.g. terns) may carry food over long distances to their young in a neighboring block. Be especially careful on the edge of a block. Care should be taken to avoid confusion with courtship feeding (Probable-D).
NE	Identifiable nest and eggs, bird setting on nest or egg, identifiable eggshells found beneath nest, or identifiable dead nestling(s). If you find a cowbird egg in a nest, it is NE for Cowbird, and NE for the identified nest's owner.
NY	Nest with young. If you find a young cowbird with other young, it is NY for cowbird and NY for identified nest owner.

## FISH AND WILDLIFE IMPACT ANALYSIS FOR INACTIVE HAZARDOUS WASTE SITES

## **BEACON TERMINAL**

CITY OF BEACON DUTCHESS COUNTY, NEW YORK

## APPENDIX E

### CORRESPONDENCE

NYS DEC Natural Heritage Program letter to MDRA, Inc., dated July 22, 2008; and

NYS DEC Division of Fish, Wildlife & Marine Resources, Region3 letter to *MDRA*, Inc., dated June 24, 2008.



MATTHEW D. RUDIKOFF ASSOCIATES, INC.

PLANNING ENVIRONMENT DEVELOPMENT Beacon Building 427 Main Street • Suite 201 Beacon, New York 12508

Tel: 845.831.1182 • Fax: 845.831.2696 www.rudikoff.com New York State Department of Environmental Conservation Division of Fish, Wildlife & Marine Resources New York Natural Heritage Program

625 Broadway, Albany, New York 12233-4757 Phone: (518) 402-8935 • FAX: (518) 402-8925 Website: www.dec.state.ny.us

July 22, 2008

Alissa Jade O'Connell Matthew D Rudikoff Associates, Inc Beacon Bldg., 427 Main St, Suite 201 Beacon, NY 12508



JUL 2 4 2008

Matthew D. Rudikoff Assoc., Inc.

Dear Ms. O'Connell:

In response to your recent request, we have reviewed the New York Natural Heritage Program databases with respect to an Environmental Assessment for the proposed Beacon Terminal, File ES 08 110, site as indicated on the map you provided, including a 10-Mile Radius, located in the City of Beacon, Dutchess County.

Enclosed is a report of rare or state-listed animals and plants, significant natural communities, and other significant habitats, which our databases indicate occur, or may occur, on your site or in the immediate vicinity of your site. The information contained in this report is considered <u>sensitive</u> and should not be released to the public without permission from the New York Natural Heritage Program.

This project location is adjacent to a designated Significant Coastal Fish and Wildlife Habitat. This habitat is part of New York State's Coastal Management Program (CMP), which is administered by the NYS Department of State (DOS). Projects which may impact the habitat are reviewed by DOS for consistency with the CMP. For more information regarding this designated habitat and applicable consistency review requirements, please contact:

Jeff Zappieri or Vance Barr - (518) 474-6000 NYS Department of State Division of Coastal Resources and Waterfront Revitalization 41 State Street, Albany, NY 12231

The presence of rare species may result in your project requiring additional permits, permit conditions, or review. For further guidance, and for information regarding other permits that may be required under state law for regulated areas or activities (e.g., regulated wetlands), please contact the appropriate NYS DEC Regional Office, Division of Environmental Permits, at the enclosed address.

For most sites, comprehensive field surveys have not been conducted; the enclosed report only includes records from our databases. We cannot provide a definitive statement on the presence or absence of all rare or state-listed species or significant natural communities. This information should NOT be substituted for on-site surveys that may be required for environmental impact assessment.

Our databases are continually growing as records are added and updated. If this proposed project is still under development one year from now, we recommend that you contact us again so that we may update this response with the most current information.

Sincerely,

Tara Seoane

Information Services NY Natural Heritage Program

cc:

Reg. 3, Fisheries Mgr. Peter Nye, Endangered Species Unit, Albany



NY Natural Heritage Program, NYS DEC, 625 Broadway, 5th Floor, Albany, NY 12233-4757

(518) 402-8935

~This report contains SENSITIVE information that should not be released to the public without permission from the NY Natural Heritage Program. Refer to the User's Guide for explanations of codes, ranks and fields.
Location maps for certain species and communities may not be provided 1) if the species is vulnerable to disturbance, 2) if the location and/or extent is not

precisely known, 3) if the location and/or extent is too large to display, and/or 4) if the animal is listed as Endangered or Threatened by New York State.

#### Natural Heritage Report on Rare Species and Ecological Communities



----

#### BIRDS

#### Haliaeetus leucocephalus

Bald Eagle	NY Legal Status:	Threatened	NYS Rank:	S2S3B,S2N - Imperiled	Office Use 10503	
Nonbreeding	Federal Listing: Last Report:	Threatened	Global Rank: EO Rank: *	G5 - Demonstrably secure	ESU USFWS	
	County: Town: Location: Directions:	Dutchess City Of Beacon, Fishkill At, or in the vicinity of, the project site.			S	
	General Quality and Habitat:	**For information on the population at this location and management considerations, please contact the NYS DEC Regional Wildlife Manager for the Region where the project is located, or the NYS DEC Endangered Species Unit at 518-402-8859.				

#### Podilymbus podiceps

Pied-billed Grebe	NY Legal Status:	Threatened	NYS Rank:	S3B,S1N - Vulnerable	12494	
Breeding	Federal Listing: Last Report:	**	Global Rank: EO Rank: **	G5 - Demonstrably secure	ESU	
	County: Town: Location: Directions:	Dutchess City Of Beacon, Fishkill At, or in the vicinity of, the project site.				
	General Quality and Habitat:	**For information on the population at this location and management considerations, please contact the NYS DEC Regional Wildlife Manager for the Region where the project is located, or the NYS DEC Endangered Species Unit at 518-402-8859.				

#### COMMUNITIES



Office Use

10.00

#### Appalachian oak-hickory forest

This occurrence of Appalachian Oak-Hickory Forest is considered significant from a statewide perspective by the NY Natural Heritage Program. It is either an occurrence of a community type that is rare in the state or a high quality example of a more common community type. By meeting specific, documented significance criteria, the NY Natural Heritage Program considers this occurrence to have high ecological and conservation value.

NY Legal Status:	Unlisted	NYS Rank:	S4	9210
Federal Listing: Last Report:	2000-08-28	Global Rank: EO Rank:	G4G5	
County: Town: Location: Directions:	Putnam, Dutchess City Of Beacon, Fishkill, Philipstown Breakneck Scofield Fishkill Ridge The community runs along the mid-slc 9D, south of I-84, and west of Route 9 off I-84), travel south then east on Rou Avenue and turns sharply to the south (including a jog to the right then a jog	opes, upperslopes, and From the junction of ute 9D approximately 2 At this point, head no to the left) to Mountair	d ridges of the mountain complex easi Route I-84 and Route 9D in Beacon ( 2.5 miles where Route 9D becomes H orth (right turn) on Howland and drive n Lane.	t of Route exit 11 Iowland 0.5 mi
General Quality and Habitat:	A very large maturing forest with a div part of a mosaic of several significant that occupies mid to upper slopes and east of the Hudson River. The forest g Embedded within the forest are numer and summit outcrops. The community small, indistinct, embedded patches o boundary. The ridge and its forests are some woods roads, primarily leading to	ersity of species, topo forest and summit cor ridgetops along an ex grades into "oak-maple rous small patches of grades into chestnut n dry exposed sites. T e primarily intact with o the communication	graphic positions, and physiognomies nmunities. A large oak-dominated con xtensive northeast trending mountain a-tulip tree forest" on the lower slopes red cedar rocky summit on exposed s oak forest on west-facing ridgetops ar The latter are included within the comm medium-aged to maturing forests. The towers on Scofield Ridge within the com	and a nmunity range houlder d on nunity ere are ommunity.

#### Brackish intertidal mudflats

This occurrence of Brackish Intertidal Mudflats is considered significant from a statewide perspective by the NY Natural Heritage Program. It is either an occurrence of a community type that is rare in the state or a high quality example of a more common community type. By meeting specific, documented significance criteria, the NY Natural Heritage Program considers this occurrence to have high ecological and conservation value.

NY Legal Status:	Unlisted	NYS Rank:	S1S2	9390
Federal Listing:		Global Rank:	G3G4	
Last Report:	2002-06-20	EO Rank:		
County: Town:	Dutchess City Of Beacon, Fishkill Fishkill Crock Mouth			18
Directions:	From Route 9D in Beacon where western shore (with railroad track railroad bridge and park in the lot railroad tracks (with views of the r	9D crosses the Fishkill Riv s between the road and the on the left (Madam Brett P marsh along the way), and	er, take the road following e river) south for 0.8 miles 'ark). Follow the trail along continue south to the ma	g the river along the s. Turn left under a g the river to the rsh.
General Quality and Habitat:	The mudflats are very small, in st A small bay area at the mouth of eastern shore of the Hudson Rive between the two branches plus re forest and railroad tracks abut the interdigitate with the marsh arour nearly completely inundated with	able, but moderate condition Fishkill Creek, but to the easer. Fishkill Creek splits and egions along the north and e marsh on the upland portion of much of the tidal perimet Trapa natans.	on within a large and relat ast of the railroad line run the marsh occupies the n south shores of the creek ions; brackish intertidal m ter. The bay just west of t	ively good landscape. ning along the najority of the area c. Second growth udflats abut and he railroad tracks is



Office Use

#### Brackish tidal marsh

This occurrence of Brackish Tidal Marsh is considered significant from a statewide perspective by the NY Natural Heritage Program. It is either an occurrence of a community type that is rare in the state or a high quality example of a more common community type. By meeting specific, documented significance criteria, the NY Natural Heritage Program considers this occurrence to have high ecological and conservation value.

NY Legal Status:	Unlisted	NYS Rank:	S3S4	7611
Federal Listing:		Global Rank:	G4	
Last Report:	2002-06-20	EO Rank:		
County: Town: Location: Directions:	Dutchess Fishkill, City Of Beacon Fishkill Creek Mouth From Route 9D in Beacon whe the western shore (Tioronda Av lot on the left (Madam Brett Pa marsh along the way) and cont	re Route 9D crosses Fishkill venue) south for 0.8 miles. Tu rk). Follow the trail along the inue south to the marsh.	Creek, take the ro urn left under a rail river to the railroad	ad following the river along road bridge and park in the d tracks (with views of the
General Quality and Habitat:	The marsh is small, in good co at the mouth of Fishkill Creek, Hudson River. Fishkill Creek sp branches plus regions along th tracks abut the marsh on the u marsh around much of the tida inundated with Trapa natans.	ndition in relatively good land but to the east of the railroad olits and the marsh occupies t e north and south shores of t pland portions; brackish inter I perimeter. The bay just west	scape position for line running along the majority of the he creek. Second tidal mudflats abut t of the railroad tra	the region. A small bay area the eastern shore of the area between the two growth forest and railroad and interdigitate with the icks is nearly completely

#### Oak-tulip tree forest

This occurrence of Oak-Tulip Tree Forest is considered significant from a statewide perspective by the NY Natural Heritage Program. It is either an occurrence of a community type that is rare in the state or a high quality example of a more common community type. By meeting specific, documented significance criteria, the NY Natural Heritage Program considers this occurrence to have high ecological and conservation value.

NY Legal Status:	Unlisted	NYS Rank:	S2S3	4933
Federal Listing:	2000-07-12	Global Rank: EO Rank:	G4	
County: Town: Location: Directions:	Putnam, Dutchess City Of Beacon, Fishkill, Philipstown Breakneck Scofield Fishkill Ridge The forest community generally surr elevations as it follows intermittent s Newburg Bridge over the Hudson R Glenham Road. Drive approximately (right turn) on Washington Avenue,	rounds the ridge below 8 tream drainages upslop iver), travel south on Ro / 1 mi then turn south (rig then over the Fishkill Cre	00 feet, exce e. From exit 1 ute 52 0.2 mi ght turn) on n eek, over the	pt where it extends to higher 2 of I-84 (4 mi west of the . Turn southwest (left) on Old haple, 0.25 mi and turn southwest railroad tracks.
General Quality and Habitat:	This is a large and intact occurrence forested landscape with natural grad "A" grade and half is "B" grade. An e slopes of almost the entire ridge and intergrades with an extensive Appal elevations in a broad transitional zou deep ravines and in broader, north-f community show signs of land-use h roads, stone walls and the associate are primarily intact with medium-age	e of this generally fragme dients and processes intr extensive example of this d extends up into stream achian oak-hickory fores ne. Small patches of her facing ravines at the sam history and associated di ed cut stumps and exotic ad to maturing forests.	ented commu act. It is estim s mesic fores drainages to st and chestru- nlock-norther ne elevations. isturbances in species pop	nity located in an extensive nated that half of the occurrence is t community which skirts the low higher elevations. This forest ut oak forest patches at higher n hardwood forest occur in the The lowlands dominated by this neluding logging roads, access ulations. The ridge and its forests

FISH



#### Acipenser brevirostrum

				Office Use		
_egal Status:	Endangered	NYS Rank:	S1 - Critically imperiled	1091		
eral Listing: t Report:	Endangered	Global Rank: EO Rank: **	G3 - Vulnerable	HRF BOF USFWS		
inty: vn: ation: ections:	Columbia, Putnam, Rensselaer, Rockland, Orange, New York, Dutchess, Greene, Westchester, Mount Pleasant, Saugerties, Bethlehem, City Of Rensselaer, City Of New York, Fishkill, City Of New York, At, or in the vicinity of, the project site.					
neral Quality Habitat:	Shortnose sturgeon are found in the long tidal portion of Hudson River. The river constitutes the lower part of a 315 mile stream system. It is fed upstream by two large main channel streams, which provide 80% of the freshwater input, and numerous other For more information, including management considerations, please contact the NYS DEC Hudson River Fisheries Unit at 845-256-3071.					
	Legal Status: eral Listing: t Report: onty: vn: ation: ections: ections: heral Quality Habitat:	Legal Status:Endangerederal Listing:Endangeredt Report:**tranty:Columbia, Putnam, Rensselaer,on:Mount Pleasant, Saugerties, Beation:At, or in the vicinity of, the projeeral QualityShortnose sturgeon are found iHabitat:Shortnose sturgeon are found iof a 315 mile stream system. Itthe freshwater input, and numerplease contact the NYS DEC Hill	Legal Status:   Endangered   NYS Rank:     eral Listing:   Endangered   Global Rank:     t Report:   **   EO Rank:     inty:   Columbia, Putnam, Rensselaer, Rockland, Orange, New Yor     inty:   Columbia, Putnam, Rensselaer, Rockland, Orange, New Yor     inty:   Columbia, Putnam, Rensselaer, Rockland, Orange, New Yor     into:   At, or in the vicinity of, the project site.     **   **     reral Quality   Shortnose sturgeon are found in the long tidal portion of Hug of a 315 mile stream system. It is fed upstream by two large the freshwater input, and numerous other For more informati please contact the NYS DEC Hudson River Fisheries Unit at	Legal Status:EndangeredNYS Rank:S1 - Critically imperilederal Listing: t Report:EndangeredGlobal Rank: EO Rank:G3 - Vulnerable**E0 Cank:**inty: rn: mount Pleasant, Saugerties, Bethlehem, City Of Rensselaer, City Of New York, Fishkill, C At, or in the vicinity of, the project site.****Shortnose sturgeon are found in the long tidal portion of Hudson River. The river constitut of a 315 mile stream system. It is fed upstream by two large main channel streams, which the freshwater input, and numerous other For more information, including management co please contact the NYS DEC Hudson River Fisheries Unit at 845-256-3071.		

#### Acipenser oxyrinchus

and a second test					Office Use
Atlantic Sturgeon	NY Legal Status:	Protected	NYS Rank:	S1 - Critically imperiled	11464
	Federal Listing:	Candidate	Global Rank:	G3 - Vulnerable	HRF
	Last Report:	1997	EO Rank:	Excellent or Good	USFWS
	County:	Rockland, Dutchess, Putnam, Westcheste	er, Orange		
	Town: Location:	rnwall, Highlands, Cortlandt, Ci	ty Of Newburgh,		
	Directions:	The fish were observed in the lower Hudse	on River between	n Newburgh and Peekskill.	02
	General Quality and Habitat:	The rank is based on the draft element glo	bal ranking form	of 1994. The fish were observe	ed in a river.

#### OTHER

#### Waterfowl Winter Concentration Area

			Offi	ce Use
NY Legal Status:	Unlisted	NYS Rank:	S3S4 - Vulnerable	920
Federal Listing:		Global Rank:	GNR - Not ranked	0
Last Report:	1985	EO Rank:	Extant	
County:	Dutchess			S
Town:	Fishkill, City Of Beacon			
Location:	Fishkill Creek Mouth			
Directions:	East side of Hudson River at mouth of	Fishkill Creek from d	am to the west shore of Denning Point.	
General Quality and Habitat:	Warm-water stream, mudflats, emerge	ent marsh, 80 acre ha	ay and wooded sand peninsula.	

#### Anadromous Fish Concentration Area

				Unice Use
NY Legal Status:	Unlisted	NYS Rank:	S3 - Vulnerable	7940
Federal Listing:		Global Rank:	GNR - Not ranked	
Last Report:	1986	EO Rank:	Extant	
County:	Dutchess			S
Town:	Fishkill, City Of Beacon			
Location:	Fishkill Creek Mouth			
Directions:	Fishkill Creek, tributary on the east side of dam upstream.	Hudson River ir	Beacon, from the mouth of the rive	er to the first
General Quality and Habitat:	Pristine site. Extensive areas of mudflats, e	emergent marsh	, subtidal beds of aquatic vegetation	n.

#### **VASCULAR PLANTS**

000 11



Community

Plant

*The locations that are displayed are considered sensitive and should not be released to the public without permission. We do not provide map locations for all records. Please see report for details.





Office Use

#### **Bidens bidentoides**

r Legar Status.	Rare	NYS Rank:	S3 - Vulnerable 4			
ederal Listing:		Global Rank:	G3G4 - Vulnerable			
ast Report:	2004-su EO Rank: Fair					
ounty: own:	Dutchess City Of Beacon					
ocation: lirections:	The marsh is at the mouth of Fishkill Creek, approximately 1 mile southwest of Beacon. The plant was at the north edge of the marsh west of the railroad tracks just south of an abandoned factory. The plants are growing on a man-made rocky shore. 2004: The plants were found in the southern half of the wetland.					
Seneral Quality nd Habitat:	12 plants were seen. The habitat is good to fair. A freshwater/brackish marsh that is small and fractured with lots of loosestrife and Trapa. Associated species: Bidens connata and Bidens cernua. 2004: A narrow tidal marsh dominated by black willow, sneezeweed, narrow-leaved cattail, and water hemp.					
			Office LIs			
Y Legal Status:	Threatened	NYS Rank:	S2 - Imperiled 52			
ederal Listing:		Global Rank:	G5 - Demonstrably secure			
ast Report:	1987-09-10	EO Rank:	Good or Fair			
	D. ( )					
ounty: own: .ocation:	City Of Beacon, Fishkill Fishkill Creek Mouth		S			
county: 'own: .ocation: )irections:	City Of Beacon, Fishkill Fishkill Creek Mouth On south side of Fishkill Creek immed marsh.	iately east of railroad	tracks. Growing at upper edge of brackish tid			
	ederal Listing: ast Report: own: own: ocation: hirections: General Quality ind Habitat: Y Legal Status: ederal Listing: ast Report:	ederal Listing: ast Report: 2004-su iounty: Dutchess iown: City Of Beacon occation: Fishkill Creek Mouth lirections: The marsh is at the mouth of Fishkill C the north edge of the marsh west of th growing on a man-made rocky shore. ideneral Quality ind Habitat: 12 plants were seen. The habitat is go with lots of loosestrife and Trapa. Asso tidal marsh dominated by black willow Y Legal Status: Threatened iederal Listing: ast Report: 1987-09-10	ederal Listing:   Global Rank:     ast Report:   2004-su   EO Rank:   I     iounty:   Dutchess   EO Rank:   I     iounty:   Dutchess   City Of Beacon   I     ioucation:   Fishkill Creek Mouth   Fishkill Creek Mouth   I     irrections:   The marsh is at the mouth of Fishkill Creek, approximately of the north edge of the marsh west of the railroad tracks just a growing on a man-made rocky shore. 2004: The plants were seen. The habitat is good to fair. A freshwate with lots of loosestrife and Trapa. Associated species: Bider tidal marsh dominated by black willow, sneezeweed, narrow     Y Legal Status:   Threatened   NYS Rank:     rederal Listing:   Global Rank:   Global Rank:     ast Report:   1987-09-10   EO Rank:			

More detailed information about many of the rare and listed animals and plants in New York, including biology, identification, habitat, conservation, and management, are available online in Natural Heritage's Conservation Guides at <u>www.acris.nynhp.org</u>, from NatureServe Explorer at <u>http://www.natureserve.org/explorer</u>, from NYSDEC at <u>http://www.dec.ny.gov/animals/7494.html</u> (for animals), and from USDA's Plants Database at <u>http://plants.usda.gov/index.html</u> (for plants).

More detailed information about many of the natural community types in New York, including identification, dominant and characteristic vegetation, distribution, conservation, and management, is available online in Natural Heritage's Conservation Guides at <u>www.acris.nynhp.org</u>. For descriptions of all community types, go to <u>http://www.dec.ny.gov/animals/29384.html</u> and click on DRAFT--Ecological Communities of New York State.

#### USERS GUIDE TO NY NATURAL HERITAGE DATA

New York Natural Heritage Program, 625 Broadway, 5th Floor, Albany, NY 12233-4757 phone: (518) 402-8935



**NATURAL HERITAGE PROGRAM**: The NY Natural Heritage Program is a partnership between the NYS Department of Environmental Conservation (NYS DEC) and The Nature Conservancy. Our mission is to enable and enhance conservation of rare animals, rare plants, and significant communities. We accomplish this mission by combining thorough field inventories, scientific analyses, expert interpretation, and the most comprehensive database on New York's distinctive biodiversity to deliver the highest quality information for natural resource planning, protection, and management.

**DATA SENSITIVITY**: The data provided in the report are ecologically sensitive and should be treated in a sensitive manner. The report is for your in-house use and should <u>not</u> be released, distributed or incorporated in a public document without prior permission from the Natural Heritage Program.

**EO RANK**: A letter code for the quality of the occurrence of the rare species or significant natural community, based on population size or area, condition, and landscape context.

A-E = Extant: A=Excellent, B=Good, C=Fair, D=Poor, E=Extant but with insufficient data to assign a rank of A-D.

F = Failed to find. Did not locate species during a limited search, but habitat is still there and further field work is justified. H = Historical. Historical occurrence without any recent field information.

X = Extirpated. Field/other data indicates element/habitat is destroyed and the element no longer exists at this location.

U = Extant/Historical status uncertain. Blank = Not assigned.

LAST REPORT: The date that the rare species or significant natural community was last observed at this location, as documented in the Natural Heritage databases. The format is most often YYYY-MM-DD.

#### NY LEGAL STATUS - Animals:

Categories of Endangered and Threatened species are defined in New York State Environmental Conservation Law section 11-0535. Endangered, Threatened, and Special Concern species are listed in regulation 6NYCRR 182.5.

- E Endangered Species: any species which meet one of the following criteria:
  - Any native species in imminent danger of extirpation or extinction in New York.
  - Any species listed as endangered by the United States Department of the Interior, as enumerated in the Code of Federal Regulations 50 CFR 17.11.
- T Threatened Species: any species which meet one of the following criteria:
  - . Any native species likely to become an endangered species within the foreseeable future in NY.

• Any species listed as threatened by the U.S. Department of the Interior, as enumerated in the Code of the Federal Regulations 50 CFR 17.11.

- SC Special Concern Species: those species which are not yet recognized as endangered or threatened, but for which documented concern exists for their continued welfare in New York. Unlike the first two categories, species of special concern receive no additional legal protection under Environmental Conservation Law section 11-0535 (Endangered and Threatened Species).
- P Protected Wildlife (defined in Environmental Conservation Law section 11-0103): wild game, protected wild birds, and endangered species of wildlife.
- U Unprotected (defined in Environmental Conservation Law section 11-0103): the species may be taken at any time without limit; however a license to take may be required.
- G Game (defined in Environmental Conservation Law section 11-0103): any of a variety of big game or small game species as stated in the Environmental Conservation Law; many normally have an open season for at least part of the year, and are protected at other times.

#### NY LEGAL STATUS - Plants:

The following categories are defined in regulation 6NYCRR part 193.3 and apply to NYS Environmental Conservation Law section 9-1503.

- E Endangered Species: listed species are those with:
  - . 5 or fewer extant sites, or
  - fewer than 1,000 individuals, or
  - · restricted to fewer than 4 U.S.G.S. 7 1/2 minute topographical maps, or

• species listed as endangered by U.S. Dept. of Interior, as enumerated in Code of Federal Regulations 50 CFR 17.11.

- T Threatened: listed species are those with:
  - . 6 to fewer than 20 extant sites, or
  - 1,000 to fewer than 3,000 individuals, or
  - restricted to not less than 4 or more than 7 U.S.G.S. 7 and 1/2 minute topographical maps, or
  - · listed as threatened by U.S. Department of Interior, as enumerated in Code of Federal Regulations 50 CFR 17.11.

R - Rare: listed species have:

- · 20 to 35 extant sites, or
- 3,000 to 5,000 individuals statewide.
- V Exploitably vulnerable: listed species are likely to become threatened in the near future throughout all or a significant portion of
  - their range within the state if causal factors continue unchecked.
- U Unprotected; no state status.

**FEDERAL STATUS (PLANTS and ANIMALS):** The categories of federal status are defined by the United States Department of the Interior as part of the 1974 Endangered Species Act (see Code of Federal Regulations 50 CFR 17). The species listed under this law are enumerated in the Federal Register vol. 50, no. 188, pp. 39526 - 39527. The codes below without parentheses are those used in the Federal Register. The codes below in parentheses are created by Heritage to deal with species which have different listings in different parts of their range, and/or different listings for different subspecies or varieties.

(blank) = No Federal Endangered Species Act status.

- LE = Formally listed as endangered.
- LT = Formally listed as threatened.
- C = Candidate for listing.

LE,LT = Formally listed as endangered in part of its range, and as threatened in the other part; or, one or more subspecies or varieties is listed as endangered, and the others are listed as threatened.

LT,PDL = Populations of the species in New York are formally listed as threatened, and proposed for delisting.

**GLOBAL AND STATE RANKS** (animals, plants, ecological communities and others): Each element has a global and state rank as determined by the NY Natural Heritage Program. These ranks carry no legal weight. The global rank reflects the rarity of the element throughout the world and the state rank reflects the rarity within New York State. Infraspecific taxa are also assigned a taxon rank to reflect the infraspecific taxon's rank throughout the world. ? = Indicates a question exists about the rank. Range ranks, e.g. S1S2, indicate not enough information is available to distinguish between two ranks.

#### GLOBAL RANK:

- G1 Critically imperiled globally because of extreme rarity (5 or fewer occurrences), or very few remaining acres, or miles of stream) or especially vulnerable to extinction because of some factor of its biology.
- G2 Imperiled globally because of rarity (6 20 occurrences, or few remaining acres, or miles of stream) or very vulnerable to extinction throughout its range because of other factors.
- G3 Vulnerable: Either rare and local throughout its range (21 to 100 occurrences), or found locally (even abundantly at some of its locations) in a restricted range (e.g. a physiographic region), or vulnerable to extinction throughout its range because of other factors.

G4 - Apparently secure globally, though it may be quite rare in parts of its range, especially at the periphery.

- G5 Demonstrably secure globally, though it may be quite rare in parts of its range, especially at the periphery.
- GH Historically known, with the expectation that it might be rediscovered.
- GX Species believed to be extinct.

#### NYS RANK:

- S1 Critically imperiled: Typically 5 or fewer occurrences, very few remaining individuals, acres, or miles of stream, or some factor of its biology making it especially vulnerable in New York State.
- S2 Imperiled: Typically 6 to 20 occurrences, few remaining individuals, acres, or miles of stream, or factors demonstrably making it very vulnerable in New York State.
- S3 Vulnerable: Typically 21 to 100 occurrences, limited acreage, or miles of stream in New York State.
- S4 Apparently secure in New York State.
- S5 Demonstrably secure in New York State.
- SH Historically known from New York State, but not seen in the past 15 years.
- SX Apparently extirpated from New York State.

SxB and SxN, where Sx is one of the codes above, are used for migratory animals, and refer to the rarity within New York State of the breeding (B)populations and the non-breeding populations (N), respectively, of the species.

TAXON (T) RANK: The T-ranks (T1 - T5) are defined the same way as the Global ranks (G1 - G5), but the T-rank refers only to the rarity of the subspecific taxon.

T1 through T5 - See Global Rank definitions above.

Q - Indicates a question exists whether or not the taxon is a good taxonomic entity.



NY Natural Heritage Program, NYS DEC, 625 Broadway, 5th Floor, Albany, NY 12233-4757 (518) 402-8935

### HISTORICAL RECORDS

The following plants and animals were documented in the vicinity of the project site at one time, but have not been documented there since 1979 or earlier.

There is no recent information on these plants and animals in the vicinity of the project site and their current status there is unknown. In most cases the precise location of the plant or animal in this vicinity at the time it was last documented is also unknown and therefore location maps are generally not provided.

If appropriate habitat for these plants or animals is present in the vicinity of the project site, it is possible that they may still occur there.

#### Natural Heritage Report on Rare Species and Ecological Communities



#### VASCULAR PLANTS

#### Sagittaria montevidensis var. spongiosa

Spongy Arrowhead	NY Legal Status	: Threatened	NYS Rank:	S2 - Imperiled	Office Use 8072
	Federal Listing:		Global Rank:	G5T4 - Apparently secure	
	Last Report:	1936-08-23	EO Rank:	Historical, no recent information	
	County:	Dutchess			
	Town:	City Of Beacon, Fishkill			
	Location:	Fishkill Creek Mouth			
	Directions:	The mouth of Fishkill Creek. Tidal n	nud flats.		
	General Quality and Habitat:	Freshwater tidal mudflats.			

1 Records Processed

More detailed information about many of the rare and listed animals and plants in New York, including biology, identification, habitat, conservation, and management, are available online in Natural Heritage's Conservation Guides at <u>www.acris.nynhp.org</u>, from NatureServe Explorer at <u>http://www.natureserve.org/explorer</u>, from NYSDEC at <u>http://www.dec.ny.gov/animals/7494.html</u> (for animals), and from USDA's Plants Database at <u>http://plants.usda.gov/index.html</u> (for plants).

## New York State Department of Environmental Conservation

Division of Fish, Wildlife and Marine Resources, Region 3

21 South Putt Corners Road, New Paltz, New York 12561-1620 **Phone:** (845) 256-3094 • **FAX:** (845) 255-4659 **Website:** www.dec.state.ny.us



JUN 2 7 2008

RECEIVED

Matthew D. Rudikoff Assoc., Inc.

June 24, 2008

Alissa Jade O'Connell Matthew D. Rudikoff Associates, Inc. Beacon Building 427 Main Street, Suite 201 Beacon, NY 12508

Dear Ms. O'Connell:

In response to your request for fish kill information, for the area within one mile of the Beacon Terminal property, I consulted our files and found no record of any fish kills in this area from 2001 - 2008. Furthermore, I do not recall any fish kills in this the 31 years I have worked here in New Paltz.

Please contact me if you require additional information.

Sincerely.

Ronald Pierce Senior Aquatic Biologist 845-256-3068

RP:rp