### Limited Subsurface Environmental Investigation Report

Site Location:

78 and 90-92 Elm Street Yonkers, New York

Prepared for:

Milio Management P.O. Box 1043 Yonkers, NY 10703

Prepared by:



WARREN & PANZER ENGINEERS, P.C. 228 East 45<sup>th</sup> Street, 10<sup>th</sup> Floor New York, NY 10017

212-922-0077

W&P Job No. 1187.02.02

**NOVEMBER 2004** 

P.02

### Warren & Panzer Engineers, P.C.

Limited Subsurface Environmental Investigation Report 78 and 90-92 Elm St. Yonkers, NY

### **Table of Contents**

EXECUTIVE SUI	MMARY	2				
1.0 INTRODUCT	ION	5				
1.1 Background	Information	5				
1.2 Scope of Wo	ork	5				
2.0 SITE INFORM	IATION	6				
2.1 Site Location	n, Description a	nd Use6				
2.2 Description of	of Surrounding	Facilities and Environs6				
2.3 Site and Reg	ional Topograpi	hic Setting6				
2.4 Site and Regi	ional Geology a	and Hydrogeology6				
2.5 Summary of	Previous Enviro	onmental Investigations7				
3.0 SITE EVALUA	TION	8				
3.1 Soil and Groundwater Quality Investigation						
3.1.1 Field Observations						
3.1.2 Laborate	ory Analytical I	Results for Soil Samples10				
3.1.2.1	Volatile Organi	ic Compounds (VOCs) in Soil10				
3.1.2.2	Priority Polluta	nt Metals (PP Metals) in Soil11				
4.0 CONCLUSION	S	12				
5.0 STATEMENT (	OF LIMITATI	ONS 14				
<u>Tables</u>	1 2	Summary of VOCs in Soil Summary of Priority Pollutant Metals in Soil Sample				
<u>Figures</u>	1, 2, 3 4	Boring Location Maps Site Topographic Map				
Appendices	A B	Geologic Boring Logs Laboratory Analytical Results				

Limited Subsurface Environmental Investigation Report 78 and 90-92 Elm St. Yonkers, NY

#### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

At the request of Milio Management, Warren & Panzer Engineers, P.C. (Warren & Panzer) performed a Limited Subsurface Environmental Site Investigation at 78 and 90-92 Elm Street, Yonkers, New York (Site). The investigation was conducted to determine if the site's environmental condition might impact the proposed construction activities

Greg Cellamare of Warren & Panzer performed the fieldwork on October 28, 2004. Soiltesting, Inc. performed the drilling activities. The investigation consisted of:

- Reviewing a Phase I Environmental Site Assessment (ESA) prepared by Warren & Panzer dated August 2004;
- Developing a sampling plan for the Subsurface Investigation;
- The advancement of three soil borings in the overburden and rock, using hollow stem augers and rock coring drilling equipment, and the collection of a soil sample from each boring;
- Field screening, consisting of visual indicators and PID readings and selection of soil samples for laboratory analysis based on contaminant indicators;
- Laboratory analysis of the soil samples for volatile organic compounds (VOCs) and Priority Pollutant Metals (PP Metals);
- The presentation of a written report with schematic drawings depicting the boring locations, significant site features and, if applicable, the contamination occurrence and distribution.

In order to evaluate the subsurface soil quality, laboratory analytical results were compared with New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (NYSDEC) regulatory standards identified in:

 Technical and Administrative Guidance Memorandum 4046 (TAGM 4046), Recommended Soil Cleanup Objectives.

P.04

Warren & Panzer Engineers, P.C.

Limited Subsurface Environmental Investigation Report 78 and 90-92 Elm St. Yonkers, NY

The following is a summary of the soil laboratory analytical results:

- VOCs were not detected at concentrations exceeding regulatory guidance in soil samples collected from any of the borings investigated. However, trace amounts of 1,2,4,5 Tetramethylbenzene and sec-Butylbenzene were detected in soil collected from location B-2 and trace amounts of 1,2,4 Trimethylbenzene, 1,3,5 Trimethylbenzene, c-1, 2 Dichloroethene, and Trichloroethylene were detected in soil collected from location B-3 (see Table 1 Summary of VOCs in Soil).
- Soil samples from all locations contained metals concentrations that were above the NYSDEC TAGM 4046 guidance or the TAGM Eastern United States Soil Typical Background concentration range. Beryllium, Chromium, Copper, Lead, Mercury, Nickel, and Zinc were present in soil grab samples at concentrations exceeding guidance. (see Table 2 -Summary of Metals in Soil).

Therefore, based on the results of the field investigation and the analytical testing, Warren & Panzer recommends:

- 1. The new construction contract documents should identify provisions and a contingency for managing, handling, transporting and disposing of non-hazardous metal-impacted soil. The Contractor should be required to submit a Material Handling Plan, to identify the specific protocol and procedures that will be utilized to manage the waste in accordance with applicable regulations.
- 2. Trace amounts of volatile organic compounds, were detected in two soil samples collected from 78 Elm Street. This investigation is limited in scope and these compounds may be encountered during reconstruction. Therefore, concentrations of volatile organic vapors in the work area at 78 Elm Street should be monitored with a Photo-ionization Detector (PID) during any soil excavation activities.

MAR-23-2006

- 3. Metals were detected above laboratory minimum detection limits and exceeded applicable criteria. Therefore, dust control procedures should be in place during new construction activities to minimize the creation and dispersion of fugitive airborne dust. The contractor shall implement strict dust control measures to protect the workers and the downwind community from potential airborne contaminants released as a direct result of construction activities.
- 4. All surfaces of the lot should be either capped with the new structure foundation, exterior concrete slabs, or asphalt paving to address metals-tainted soil remaining at the site upon new construction completion. Additionally, if the current proposed construction plans are to include open soil, these areas should be excavated to a minimum depth of two feet and backfilled with certified clean fill.
- 5. Before beginning any excavation activity, the contractor shall submit a site-specific health and safety plan (HASP) that will meet the requirements set forth by the Occupational, Safety and Health Administration (OSHA), the New York State Department of Health (NYSDOH) and any other applicable regulations. The HASP should identify the possible locations and risks associated with the potential contaminants that may be encountered during the excavation activities, and the administrative and engineering controls that will be utilized to mitigate concerns.

Limited Subsurface Environmental Investigation Report 78 and 90-92 Elm St. Yonkers, NY

#### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

#### 1.1 Background Information

At the request of Milio Management, Warren & Panzer Engineers, P.C. (Warren & Panzer) performed a Limited Subsurface Environmental Site Investigation at 78 and 90-92 Elm Street, Yonkers, New York (Site). The investigation was conducted to determine if the site's environmental condition might impact the proposed construction activities.

#### 1.2 Scope of Work

Greg Cellamare of Warren & Panzer performed the fieldwork on October 28, 2004. Soiltesting, Inc. performed the drilling activities. The investigation consisted of:

- Reviewing a Phase I Environmental Site Assessment (ESA) prepared by Warren & Panzer dated August 2004;
- Developing a sampling plan for the Subsurface Investigation;
- The advancement of three soil borings in the overburden and rock, using hollow stem augers and rock coring drilling equipment, and the collection of a soil sample from each boring;
- Field screening, consisting of visual indicators and PID readings and selection of soil samples for laboratory analysis based on contaminant indicators;
- Laboratory analysis of the soil samples for volatile organic compounds (VOCs) and Priority Pollutant Metals (PP Metals);
- The presentation of a written report with schematic drawings depicting the boring locations, significant site features and, if applicable, the contamination occurrence and distribution.

#### 2.0 SITE INFORMATION

#### 2.1 Site Location, Description and Use

The subject properties are situated on the south side of Elm Street, approximately one mile east of the Yonkers City Hall. The Nepperhan River borders 78 Elm Street to the west. A small bridge at the end of John Street provides vehicle access to 78 Elm Street. 90-92 Elm Street lies directly east of 78 Elm Street. 90-92 Elm Street is approximately 40 feet higher topographically than 78 Elm Street. A retaining wall has been constructed along the east and north sides of 78 Elm Street. 90-92 Elm Street is currently a fenced-in lot. It is currently used as a parking area for private vehicles. This property is currently owned by Saleivam A. Sweis. 78 Elm Street is currently a vacant fenced-in lot. It is currently used to as parking area for commercial and private vehicles. This property is currently owned by Yonkers 2000 Inc.

#### 2.2 Description of Surrounding Facilities and Environs

The Site lies within an area of mixed land usage consisting of retail establishments, industrial, facilities, and low-rise apartment buildings. Property usage in the surrounding areas is identical to that of the Site.

#### 2.3 Site and Regional Topographic Setting

The United States Geological Survey (USGS) 7.5 minute Topographic Map (Yonkers, NY-NJ, Quadrangle) shows the subject property to be at an elevation approximately 100-150 feet above mean sea level. The local topography slopes steeply to the west, towards the Hudson River. Bedrock is found at depths of approximately 100 feet in this area. The soil type associated with the subject property is characterized as urban land.

#### 2.4 Site and Regional Geology and Hydrogeology

Yonkers lies in the New England Upland physiographic province. The New England Upland province is composed of moderately rough, rolling land with smoothly rounded hilltops. The bedrock is very old metamorphic rock, although some valleys are underlain with limestone. New York City is the point where the New England province meets the Atlantic Coastal Plain. Manhattan [and Yonkers] belongs to the Seaboard Lowland section of the New England province, and the strength of the bedrock there has permitted the construction of the city's

Limited Subsurface Environmental Investigation Report 78 and 90-92 Elm St. Yonkers, NY

numerous skyscrapers. A prong of the Seaboard Lowland crosses the Hudson River, forming the Hudson Highlands near West Point. A well-known feature of this highland area is Storm King, a peak 413 m (1,355 ft) above sea level. The Taconic section of the New England province, being mainly a mountainous section, is higher than the Seaboard Lowland. The Taconic section is seen in Massachusetts and Vermont, as well as in New York state, where it is represented by a thin strip of highlands to the east of the Hudson River called the Taconic Range. (Robert F. Wesser, B.A., M.A., Ph.D. and Thomas J. Gergel, B.S., M.A., Ph.D. – 2004)

Urban land is described as land typically consisting of areas with buildings, streets, parking lots, and other impervious materials. The natural soil has been mixed in with other materials such as brick, concrete, and cinder.

#### 2.5 Summary of Previous Environmental Investigations

Warren & Panzer conducted a Phase I Environmental Site Assessment in August of 2004. Historical Sanborn Fire Insurance Maps of this property were reviewed as part of the Phase I ESA to determine historical uses and possible environmental concerns. The Sanborn Fire Insurance Maps review indicates a former chemical dye manufacturer occupied 78 Elm Street, which suggests potential environmental impacts. Environmental concerns associated with chemical dye manufactures include soil and groundwater contamination from production, storage, shipping and waste disposal practices.

Limited Subsurface Environmental Investigation Report 78 and 90-92 Elm St. Yonkers, NY

#### 3.0 SITE EVALUATION

#### 3.1 Soil Quality Investigation

Warren & Panzer provided oversight for the advancement of three soil borings at designated areas in the vicinity of the planned reconstruction.

The borings were advanced utilizing hollow stem auger and rock coring drilling equipment. The borings were advanced to refusal with hollow stem augers and then further advanced with rock coring equipment to collect geotechnical data. Warren & Panzer prepared a geotechnical evaluation report under a separate cover.

Upon sampler retrieval, the soils were examined for visual evidence (i.e. staining, discoloration) and any olfactory indications (i.e. odors) of contamination. In addition, a photo-ionization detector (PID) was used to qualitatively screen the soil for VOCs. The PID screening procedure consisted of collecting the soil in a plastic zip-lock bag. Inserting the PID into the bag completed the screening. The sample that exhibited the highest PID reading was containerized and submitted as the boring grab sample. Mixing soil samples from soil boring-grab samples within the site produced the site-composite soil sample. One composite sample was prepared at the end of each workday. Soil classification information is documented on the boring logs included as Appendix A. All boring equipment was cleaned by being rinsed in tap water, then scrubbed with an Alconox wash and rinsed with tap water again between each sample interval.

The soil samples that were selected for laboratory analysis were transferred from the zip-lock bags into laboratory supplied sample jars and properly labeled. The samples were stored with ice in a cooler to preserve the samples at 4° Celsius prior to and during shipment. A chain-of-custody was prepared, prior to sample shipment. Following the completion of each boring, the boreholes were back-filled with drill cuttings, and then sealed with cement grout.

Limited Subsurface Environmental Investigation Report 78 and 90-92 Elm St. Yonkers, NY

#### 3.1.1 Field Observations

Fieldwork was started and completed on Thursday, October 28, 2004. Soiltesting, Inc. had notified the pre-dig "One Call" service and utilities in the area were appropriately marked out with spay paint prior to commencing any intrusive investigations.

B-1 was first located at the approximate center of 90-92 Elm Street. Subsurface soils consisted mostly of brown fine to medium sand with some gravel. Auger refusal was first encountered at 10 feet below ground surface (ftbgs). Rock was then cored from 10 ftbgs to 13.5 ftbgs. The coring equipment passed through the rock at 13.5 ftbgs and back into unconsolidated overburden. The rock was determined to likely be the remnants of an old stonewall and not bedrock. Augers were then advanced further to refusal at 17 ftbgs, where the boring was terminated. Photoionization Detector readings were observed to be zero parts per million (ppm) throughout the boring, indicating that no field measurable volatile organic compounds (VOCs) were detected. B-1 was then relocated twice and refusal was encountered at 17 ftbgs at both locations. A composite soil sample was collected from the first boring location from 0-10 ftbgs for laboratory analysis. Groundwater was encountered at 15 ftbgs.

B-2 was located at the northern end of 78 Elm Street. Subsurface soils consisted mostly of black and brown fine to medium sand. Auger refusal was encountered at 14.5 feet below ground surface (ftbgs). Rock was then cored from 14.5 ftbgs to 19.5 ftbgs. The rock was determined to likely be a large boulder and not bedrock. The boring was terminated at 19.5 ftbgs. The maximum Photo-ionization Detector reading was observed to be 62 parts per million (ppm) at 4-6 ftbgs, indicating that volatile organic compounds (VOCs) were detected. A soil sample was collected from 2-4 ftbgs for laboratory analysis. Groundwater was encountered at four ftbgs.

B-3 was located at the southern end of 78 Elm Street. Subsurface soils consisted mostly of black and brown fine to medium sand. Auger refusal was encountered at 12.5 feet below ground surface (ftbgs). Rock was then cored from 12.5 ftbgs to 17.5 ftbgs. It was determined that this rock was either a boulder or possibly bedrock because of the quality of the rock core. The boring was terminated at 17.5 ftbgs. The maximum Photo-ionization Detector reading was observed to be 5 parts per million (ppm) at 0-2 ftbgs, indicating that volatile organic compounds (VOCs)

Limited Subsurface Environmental Investigation Report 78 and 90-92 Elm St. Yonkers, NY

were detected. A soil sample was collected from 0-2 ftbgs for laboratory analysis. Groundwater was encountered at two ftbgs.

#### 3.1.2 Laboratory Analytical Results for Soil and Groundwater Samples

The samples were submitted to Ecotest Laboratories Inc., a NYS Department of Health (DOH) approved laboratory, for analysis.

The boring-grab soil samples were analyzed for volatile organic compounds (VOCs) by Method 8260 and Priority Pollutant metals (PP Metals) by EPA 6000/7000 series.

The analytical results of the soil samples were compared to the following criteria published by the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (NYSDEC) for soils:

The NYSDEC Technical and Administrative Guidance Memorandum 4046 (TAGM 4046) dated 1994 provides the Recommended Soil Cleanup Objectives (RSCO).
 NYSDEC TAGM 4046 provides guidance for remedial actions at NYSDEC Inactive Hazardous Waste and Spill sites, based on health-related concerns and available clean-up technologies.

#### 3.1.2.1 Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs) in Soil

Except for trace amounts of 1,2,4,5 Tetramethylbenzene and sec-Butylbenzene detected in soil collected from location B-2 and trace amounts of 1,2,4 Trimethylbenzene, 1,3,5 Trimethylbenzene, c-1,2 Dichloroethene, and Trichloroethylene detected in soil collected from location B-3, VOCs were not detected at concentrations above the laboratory minimum detectable limits (MDLs) in soil collected from any location (see Table 1 - Summary of VOCs in Soil).

Limited Subsurface Environmental Investigation Report 78 and 90-92 Elm St. Yonkers, NY

#### 3.1.2.2 Priority Pollutant Metals (PP Metals) in Soil

Table 2 presents the analytical results for metals from the soil samples. Seven metals were detected at concentrations exceeding NYSDEC TAGM 4046 guidance or Eastern USA Soil Typical Background concentrations in the soil samples.

- Beryllium was detected in soil collected from every location at concentrations exceeding the NYSDEC TAGM 4046 RSCO value of 0.16 ppm. Concentrations ranged from a high of 0.3 ppm (parts per million) at B-3 to a low of 0.23 ppm at B-2.
- Chromium was detected in soil collected from every location at concentrations exceeding
  the NYSDEC TAGM 4046 RSCO value of 4.0 ppm. Concentrations ranged from a high
  of 21 ppm (parts per million) at B-2 to a low of 10 ppm at B-3.
- Copper was detected in soil collected from B-1 at a concentration of 33 ppm (parts per million), which exceeds the NYSDEC TAGM 4046 RSCO value of 25 ppm.
- Lead was detected in soil collected from B-1 at a concentration of 3,600 ppm (parts per million), which exceeds the NYSDEC TAGM 4046 RSCO value of 500 ppm.
- Mercury was detected in soil samples collected from B-1 and B-3 at concentrations of 2.1 and 20 ppm (parts per million) respectively, which exceed the NYSDEC TAGM 4046 RSCO value of 0.1 ppm.
- Nickel was detected in soil collected from B-2 at a concentration of 14 ppm (parts per million), which exceeds the NYSDEC TAGM 4046 RSCO value of 13 ppm.
- Zinc was detected in soil samples collected from B-1 and B-3 at concentrations of 90 and 70 ppm (parts per million) respectively, which exceed the NYSDEC TAGM 4046 RSCO value of 20 ppm.

Limited Subsurface Environmental Investigation Report 78 and 90-92 Elm St. Yonkers, NY

#### 4.0 CONCLUSIONS

Based on the results of the field investigation and a review of the analytical results compared to the NYSDEC TAGM 4046 guidance, the following conclusions are presented:

- VOCs were not detected at concentrations exceeding regulatory guidance in soil samples collected from any of the borings investigated. However, trace amounts of 1,2,4,5 Tetramethylbenzene and sec-Butylbenzene were detected in soil collected from location B-2 and trace amounts of 1,2,4 Trimethylbenzene, 1,3,5 Trimethylbenzene, c-1, 2 Dichloroethene, and Trichloroethylene were detected in soil collected from location B-3 (see Table 1 Summary of VOCs in Soil).
- Soil samples from all locations contained metals concentrations that were above the NYSDEC TAGM 4046 guidance or the TAGM Eastern United States Soil Typical Background concentration range. Beryllium, Chromium, Copper, Lead, Mercury, Nickel, and Zinc were present in soil grab samples at concentrations exceeding guidance. (see Table 2 -Summary of Metals in Soil).

Therefore, based on the results of the field investigation and the analytical testing, Warren & Panzer recommends:

- The new construction contract documents should identify provisions and a
  contingency for managing, handling, transporting and disposing of non-hazardous
  metal-impacted soil. The Contractor should be required to submit a Material
  Handling Plan, to identify the specific protocol and procedures that will be utilized to
  manage the waste in accordance with applicable regulations.
- 2. Trace amounts of volatile organic compounds, were detected in two soil samples collected from 78 Elm Street. This investigation is limited in scope and these compounds may be encountered during reconstruction. Therefore, concentrations of volatile organic vapors in the work area at 78 Elm Street should be monitored with a Photo-ionization Detector (PID) during any soil excavation activities.

REALTY

Warren & Panzer Engineers, P.C.

Limited Subsurface Environmental Investigation Report 78 and 90-92 Elm St. Yonkers, NY

- 3. Metals were detected above laboratory minimum detection limits and exceeded applicable criteria. Therefore, dust control procedures should be in place during new construction activities to minimize the creation and dispersion of fugitive airborne dust. The contractor shall implement strict dust control measures to protect the workers and the downwind community from potential airborne contaminants released as a direct result of construction activities.
- 4. All surfaces of the lot should be either capped with the new structure foundation, exterior concrete slabs, or asphalt paving to address metals-tainted soil remaining at the site upon new construction completion. Additionally, if the current proposed construction plans are to include open soil, these areas should be excavated to a minimum depth of two feet and backfilled with certified clean fill.
- 5. Before beginning any excavation activity, the contractor shall submit a site-specific health and safety plan (HASP) that will meet the requirements set forth by the Occupational, Safety and Health Administration (OSHA), the New York State Department of Health (NYSDOH) and any other applicable regulations. The HASP should identify the possible locations and risks associated with the potential contaminants that may be encountered during the excavation activities, and the administrative and engineering controls that will be utilized to mitigate concerns.

Limited Subsurface Environmental Investigation Report 78 and 90-92 Elm St. Yonkers, NY

#### 5.0 STATEMENT OF LIMITATIONS

The data presented and the opinions expressed in this report are qualified as stated in the attachment to this section of the report.

Prepared by:

James Romeo

Senior Project Manager

Limited Subsurface Environmental Investigation Report 78 and 90-92 Elm St. Yonkers, NY

#### STATEMENT OF LIMITATIONS

The data presented and the opinions expressed in this report are qualified as follows:

- 1. The sole purpose of the investigation and of this report is to assess the physical characteristics of the Site with respect to the presence or absence in the environment of oil or hazardous materials and substances as defined in the applicable state and federal environmental laws and regulations and to gather information regarding current and past environmental conditions at the Site.
- 2. Warren & Panzer derived the data in this report primarily from visual inspections, examination of records in the public domain, interviews with individuals with information about the Site, and a limited number of subsurface explorations made on the dates indicated. The passage of time, manifestation of latent conditions or occurrence of future events may require further exploration at the Site, analysis of the data, and reevaluation of the findings, observations, and conclusions expressed in the report.
- 3. In preparing this report, Warren & Panzer has relied upon and presumed accurate certain information (or the absence thereof) about the Site and adjacent properties provided by governmental officials and agencies, the Client, and others identified herein. Except as otherwise stated in the report, Warren & Panzer has not attempted to verify the accuracy or completeness of any such information.
- 4. The data reported and the findings, observations, and conclusions expressed in the report are limited by the Scope of Services, including the extent of subsurface exploration and other tests. The Scope of Services was defined by the requests of the Client, the time and budgetary constraints imposed by the Client, and the availability of access to the Site.
- 5. Because of the limitations stated above, the findings, observations, and conclusions expressed by Warren & Panzer in this report are not, and should not be considered, an opinion concerning the compliance of any past or present owner or operator of the site with any federal, state or local law or regulation. No warranty or guarantee, whether express or implied, is made with respect to the data reported or findings, observations, and conclusions expressed in this report. Further, such data, findings, observations, and conclusions are based solely upon site conditions in existence at the time of investigation.
- 6. This report has been prepared on behalf of and for the exclusive use of the Client, and is subject to and issued in connection with the Agreement and the provisions thereof.

MAR-23-2006 00:43 REALTY 9149610367 P.17

**TABLES** 

78 AND 90-92 ELM STREET YONKERS, NY

TABLE 1 SUMMARY OF VOCS IN SOIL

W&P Sample ID.	8-1	B-2	p.3	CHOOK
Location:	90-92 Elm Street	78 Elm Street	78 Elm Street	TAGM
Date Sampled:	10/28/04	10/28/04	10/28/04	4046
Sample Depth (flbgs):	COMPOSITE (0-10.0)	(4.0-6.0)	(0-2.0)	GUIDANCE
ANALYTE	ALL CONCENTR	ALL CONCENTRATIONS REPORTED IN PART PER BILLION	ı۳	(ug/kg)
1,1 Dichloroethane	ON.	QN	QN	200
1,1 Dichloroethene	Q	Q	QN	400
1,1-Dichloropropene	Q	QN	QN	¥
1,2 Dibromoethane	QZ	QN	QN	¥
1,2 Dichlorobenzene (v)	Q	Q.	ON	2,900
1,2 Dichloroethane	QN	QN	ON	100
1,2 Dichloropropane	Ç	QN	QN	
1,3 Dichlorobenzene (v)	Š	Q	ON	1,600
1,3-Dichloropropane	Q	Q	QN	300
1,4 Dichlorobenzene (v)	Ñ	QN	QN	8,500
111 Trichloroethane	O.	Q	QN	800
1112Tetrachloroethane	Q.	QV	Q	N.
112 Trichloroethane	2	Q	QN	NE.
1122Tetrachloroethane	Q	Q	ON	909
123-Trichlorobenzene	2	Q	QN	y.
123-Trichloropropane	Q	QN	QN	400
124-Trichlorobenzene (v)	Q	QN	QN	3,400
124-Trimethylbenzene	QX	Q	#	10,000
1245 Tetramethylbenz	QN	430	QN	N.
135-Trimethylbenzene	Q	Q	8.3	3,300
2,2-Dichloropropane	QN.	Q	QN	N.
2-Chlorotoluene	Q	Q	QN	NE
4-Chlorotoluene	ON.	QN	Q	N.
Acetone	QN.	QN	QN	200
Benzene	Q.	Q	Q	09
Bromobenzene	QN.	QN	ON	a.
Bromochloromethane	QN	QN	QN	NE NE
Bromodichloromethane	QN.	Q	GN.	NE
Bromoform	QN	QN	QN	an an
Bromomethane	QN	QN	QN	Ä
c-1,2-Dichloroethene	QN.	QN	9.5	N.
c-1,3Dichloropropene	QN	Q	QN	N.
Carbon Tetrachloride	QN	Q	QN	009
Chlorobenzene	QN	ND	ND	1,700

Guidance compiled from NYSDEC TAGM 4046
fbgs = Feet Below Ground Surface
ug/kg = microgram / kilogram
ND = Not Detected at concentrations above Laboratory Minimum Detection Limits (MDLs)
NE = Not Established

# 78 AND 90-92 ELM STREET YONKERS, NY

SUMMARY OF VOCS IN SOIL

TABLE 1 CONTINUED

NYSDEC TAGM 4046 GUIDANCE 8-3

78 EIM Site

10/28/04

(2.0-4.0)

(3.0-2.0)

(3.0-2.0)

(3.0-2.0)

(3.0-2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

(0.2.0)

( 78 Elm Street 10/28/04 COMPOSITE (0-10.0) 90-92 Elm Street 10/28/04 richlorofluoromethane Chlorodibromomethane Chlorodifluoromethane Dibromochloropropane Dichlordifluoromethane Sample Depth (ftbgs): 4exachlorobutadiene Methylisobutylketone -1,2-Dichloroethene -1,3Dichloropropene Methyl Ethyl Ketone er.ButylMethylEther Methylene Chloride n-Propytbenzene Naphthalene(v) o-Isopropyltoluene etrachloroethene sopropylbenzene ec-Butylbenzene p Diethylbenzene ert-Butylbenzene richloroethylene Dibromomethane Chloromethane n-Butylbenzene o-Ethyltoluene Ethyl Benzene Date Sampled: Vinyl Chloride Chloroethane m + p Xylene Chloroform reon 113 o Xylene ocation: Styrene oluene

A&P Sample ID:

Guidance compiled from NYSDEC TAGM 4046 ftbgs = Feet Below Ground Surface

ugikg = microgram / kilogram ND = Not Detected at concentrations above Laboratory Minimum Detection Limits (MDLs) NE = Not Established

TABLE 2 SUMMARY OF METALS IN SOIL

78 AND 90-92 ELM STREET YONKERS, NY


W&P Sample ID:	B-1	B-2	B-3	
Location	90-92 Elm Street	78 Elm Street	78 Elm Street	GUIDANCE
Date Sampled:	10/28/04	10/28/04	10/28/04	
Sample Depth (ftbgs):	COMPOSITE (0-10.0)	(4.0-6.0)	(0-2.0)	
ANALYTE	ALL CONCENTRAL	ALL CONCENTRATIONS REPORTED IN PARTS PER MILLION (mg/kg)	ER MILLION (mg/Kg)	(mg/Kg)
Antimony as Sb	QN	QN	QN	N.
Arsenic as As	59	1.3	5.4	7.5
Beryllium as Be	0.28	0.23	0.5	0.16
Cadmium as Cd	0.74	QN	ND	1.0
Chromium as Cr	16	21	10	4.0
Copper as Cu	33	18	23	25
Lead as Pb	3600	6.1	130	200
Mercury as Hg		0.051	20	0.1
Nickel as Ni	-		6.7	13
Selenium as Se	QN	Q	QN	2.0
Silver as Ag	Q	QX	Q	N.
Thallium as TI	ND (N)	Q	QN	NE NE
Zinc as Zn		15	<b>70</b>	20


□ Concentration exceeds regulatory guidance


Guidance - NYSDEC TAGM 4046 or Eastern USA soil levels (whichever is lesser)
flogs = Feet Below Ground Surface
mg / kg = milligrams / kilogram
ND = Not detected at concentrations above Laboratory Minimum Detection Limits (MDLs)
NE = Not Established


MAR-23-2006 00:43 REALTY 9149610367 P.21

**FIGURES** 









### FIGURE 4. USGS TOPOGRAPHIC MAP

90-92, 78 Elm Street, and 45 John Street Yonkers, New York 10701 Warren & Panzer Engineers, P.C.

228 East 45th Street - 10th floor

New York, New York 10017

SCALE: 1 inch = 2000 feet

MAR-23-2006 00:44 REALTY 9149610367 P.26

# APPENDIX A GEOLOGIC BORING LOGS

REALTY

			nzer Enginee		C.	BOR	ING LOG	
PROJECT	78 Eln	n Street ar	nd 90-92 John Stree	<u>t</u>		BORING NO: B-1	SHEET: 1 of	1
CLIENT: N						JOB NO: 1187.02.02		
			R: Soil Testing, Inc.			BORING LOCATION: 90		
GROUND I	VAIEN	TION. N	15 feet below grade	<u> </u>		DATE STARTED: 10-28-0		
			w Stem Augur	<del></del>		DATE FINISHED: 10-28-0	)4	
GEOLOGI								
		-g	SAMPLE			DESCRIPTION		DEMAN
DEPTH (feet)	270		BLOWS					REMARI PID
(1661)	NO.	TYPE	PER 6"	REC.	COLOR	MATERIAL DES	CRIPTION	(ppm)
0-2	1	SS	30,10,12,43	0.8	Brown	SAND, fine to mediugravel; dry.		0.0
2-4	2	SS	39,18,15,16	1.1	Light Brown	SAND, fine to mediu gravel; dry.		0.0
4-6	3	SS	6,9,9,12	0.6	Light Brown	SAND, fine to mediu gravel; dry.		0.0
6-8	4	SS	7,2,29,98	0.3	Brown	SAND, fine & SILT;	damp.	0.0
8-10	5	SS	32,15,16,100/4	0.0		No Recovery		
10-13.5	6	Rock Core	<b></b>		<b></b>	Core was from an ol	d rock wall.	<b></b>
15-17	7	SS	2,3,6,37	1.0	Brown	SAND & CLAY; we	t.	0.0
17						AUGER REFUSAL		
							**************************************	
						The same	49	
						ELEVATION B-1 B	B-IB	
						IS		1,0,1,
					THE FEB	FLAT 38' to		
	·				14.14.6	Curb	10 (00)	
	-						· ·	
					XXXXXX	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	<del>0000000000000000000000000000000000000</del>	
		*			<del></del>	ELM STREET		
				1		CLA DIRECT		
						<del></del>		
	-					N		<del></del>
					<u></u>	- IV		
							W	
								·
ACTAGENCY.	י אינויני	Dari			47			
abeled B1	A and	B1B. B	were attempted a oth borings hit a ken from B-1 (0-	uger re	fusal at 17	close to original boring feet below grade. A	$\mathbf{B}$	-1

REALTY

			nzer Engine		<i>C</i> .	BORING LOG	
PROJECT	: 78 Elr	n Street ar	nd 90-92 John Stre	et		BORING NO: B-2 SHEET: 1 o	f 1
ARILLING	VIIIO M	anagemen	t R: Soil Testing, Inc.			JOB NO: 1187.02.02	
CROUNDS	VATEL	DEPTH	4 feet below grade			BORING LOCATION: 78 Elm Street	
GROUND I	EI EVA	TION: N	4 feet below grade	-		DATE STARTED: 10-28-04	
			w Stem Augur			DATE FINISHED: 10-28-04	
GEOLOGI	ST: Gr	eg Cellam	are	7.2.			
DEPTH			SAMPLE		T	DESCRIPTION	DERKANN
(feet)	NO.	ТҮРЕ	BLOWS				REMARI
(1000)	NO.	ITPE	PER 6"	REC.	COLOR	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	PID (ppm)
0-2	1	SS	30,28,50,21	1.1	Red, White, Brown	CONCRETE & BRICK; little fine to medium sand; dry.	0.0
2-4	2	SS	14,15,21,25	0.8	Black, Brown	SAND, fine to medium; black and stained at 3 feet below grade; petroleum odor; dry.	38.6
4-6	3	SS	4,6,11,16	1.2	Black	SAND, fine to medium; saturated; petroleum odor.	61.6
6-8	4	SS	6,7,11,6	1.9	Black, Brown	SAND, fine to medium; saturated; petroleum odor; some black silt at 8 feet below grade.	23.5
8-10	5	ss	5,10,6,10	1.0	Black- grey	SAND, fine & SILT; saturated; slight petroleum odor.	6.8
10-12	6	SS	5,7,22,37	0.4	Black- grey	SAND & SILT; saturated.	8.4
14.5						AUGER REFUSAL	
14.5- 19.5	7	Rock Core				Core is likely from a large boulder	
	-						
						LARGE RETAINING WALL	
						ELEVATION IS FLAT	
						23' 6-2 Box TRVCKS	
					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	63	
						——————————————————————————————————————	
						STREAM	
OMMEN mpling 2-	TS: C	Groundw w grade	ater impacted w	vith petr	oleum coi	ntaminants. Boring	2

Wa	ırren	& Pai	nzer Enginee	rs, P.	C.		BORI	VG LOG	
			nd 90-92 John Stree	t		BORING N	O: B-3	SHEET: 1 of	1
CLIENT: N	Milio M	anagemer	ıt			JOB NO: 1		, SHEET, I OI	<u> </u>
DRILLING	CONI	RACTO	R: Soil Testing, Inc.				OCATION: 78 E	lm Street	
GROUND	WATER	R DEPTH:	2 feet below grade			DATE STA	RTED: 10-28-04		
GROUND						DATE FINI	SHED: 10-28-04		
CEOLOGY	RIG: P	hil / Holio	w Stem Augur						
GEOLOGI	51: Gr	eg Cellan			T				
DEPTH		1 ***	SAMPLE	Т		DE	SCRIPTION		REMARKS
(feet)	NO.	TYPE	BLOWS PER 6"	REC.	COLOR	MA	TERIAL DESCR	RIPTION	PID (ppm)
0-2	1	SS	65,45,32,60	0.9	Black		medium; dry.		5.0
2-4	2	SS	18,26,16,10	0.4	Black- brown	SAND; below g	medum; wet a	t 2 feet	0.0
4-6	3	SS	2,2,3,5	1.4	Brown		CLAY; satura	ited.	0.0
6-8	4	SS	4,5,10,50	1.1	Brown		CLAY; little g		0.0
8-10	5	SS	10,50,100/3			No reco		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
10-12	6	SS	18,37,23,100/4	1.3	Brown	GRAVE	CL, fine; some is sand; wet.	fine to	0.0
12.5							REFUSAL		
12.5-		Rock				<del></del>	re is either a b	oulder or	
17.5	7	Core					bedrock.		
							SMALL RE		
						111011110184	e deliner er i serie		
					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1111			
						44			
							60'		
						B-3 C			
	*						·		
							El Es estamen		
							ELEVAT		
						75'+	I'S FLE	1.1	
						<del>                                     </del>			
<del></del>						,		<del></del>	
						*XXXXXXXXXX	<b>2000</b> 000000000000000000000000000000000	)00000C	
							Dei	VELIMY	
					N	<b>V</b>	<del></del> -		
OMMEN	NTS: S	ampled	from 0-2 feet bel	ow grad	le.	<u> </u>		В	-3
	·								

MAR-23-2006 00:45 REALTY 9149610367 P.30

# APPENDIX B LABORATORY ANALYTICAL RESULTS

### ECO EST LABORATORIES, INC.

#### ENVIRONMENTAL TESTING

377 SHEFFIELD AVE. • N. BABYLON, N.Y. 11703 • (631) 422-5777• FAX (631) 422-5770

Email: ecotestlab@aol.com Website: www.ecotestlabs.com

LAB NO.244610.01

11/11/04

Warren & Panzer Engineering PC 228 E. 45th Street, 10th Floor

New York, NY 10017

ATTN: Greg Cellamare

P0#:

SOURCE OF SAMPLE:

78 John & 90-92 Elm, Yonkers

SOURCE OF SAMPLE:

COLLECTED BY: Client

DATE COL'D:10/28/04 RECEIVED:10/29/04

MATRIX: Soil

SAMPLE: B1 Composite

Results reported on a dry weight basis

AMAIVETGAL DADAMEROS				DATE OF	_	ANALYTICAL
ANALYTICAL PARAMETERS		RESULT	FLAG	ANALYSIS	LRL	METHOD
Dichlordifluoromethane	ug/Kg	< 5.7		11/01/04	5.7471	EPA8260
Chloromethane	. –	< 5.7		11/01/04	5.7471	EPA8260
Vinyl Chloride		< 5.7		11/01/04	5.7471	EPA8260
Bromomethane		< 5.7		11/01/04		EPA8260
Chloroethane	ug/Kg	< 5.7		11/01/04		EPA8260
Trichlorofluoromethane	ug/Kg	< 5.7		11/01/04		
1.1 Dichloroethene	ug/Kg	< 5.7		11/01/04		EPA8260
Methylene Chloride	ug/Kg	< 5.7		11/01/04		EPA8260
.2-Dichloroethene	ug/Kg	< 5.7		11/01/04		
1, Dichloroethane	ug/Kg	< 5.7		11/01/04		
2,2-Dichloropropane	ug/Kg	< 5.7		11/01/04		
c-1,2-Dichloroethene	ug/Kg	< 5.7		11/01/04	5.7471	EPA8260
Bromochloromethane	ug/Kg	< 5.7		11/01/04	5.7471	EPA8260
Chloroform	ug/Kg	< 5.7		11/01/04	5.7471	EPA8260
111 Trichloroethane	ug/Kg	< 5.7		11/01/04	5.7471	EPA8260
Carbon Tetrachloride	ug/Kg	< 5.7		11/01/04		
1,1-Dichloropropene	ug/Kg	< 5.7		11/01/04		
Benzene	ug/Kg	< 5.7		11/01/04		EPA8260
1,2 Dichloroethane		< 5.7		11/01/04		EPA8260
Trichloroethylene	<del>-</del>	< 5.7		11/01/04		EPA8260
1.2 Dichloropropane	ug/Kg	< 5.7		11/01/04		EPA8260
Dibromomethane		< 5.7		11/01/04		EPA8260
Bromodichloromethane		< 5.7		11/01/04		
c-1,3Dichloropropene		< 5.7		11/01/04		
Toluene		< 5.7		11/01/04		
cc:	J. J	,		a ay way wee	~ + 1 T 1 L	EL RULIVO

cc;

LRL=Laboratory Reporting Limit

REMARKS:

DIRECTOR age 1 of 4

### COLEST LABORATORIES, INC.

#### ENVIRONMENTAL TESTING

377 SHEFFIELD AVE. • N. BABYLON, N.Y. 11703 • (631) 422-5777• FAX (631) 422-5770

Email: ecotestlab@aol.com Website: www.ecotestlabs.com

LAB NO.244610.01

11/11/04

Warren & Panzer Engineering PC 228 E. 45th Street, 10th Floor

New York, NY 10017

ATTN: Greg Cellamare

P0#:

SOURCE OF SAMPLE:

78 John & 90-92 Elm, Yonkers

SOURCE OF SAMPLE:

COLLECTED BY: Client DATE COL'D:10/28/04 RECEIVED:10/29/04

MATRIX: Soil

SAMPLE: B1 Composite

Results reported on a dry weight basis

			TIATE OF ANALY	TOTAL T
ANALYTICAL PARAMETERS	HNTTC	RESULT		TICAL
t-1,3Dichloropropene			FLAG ANALYSIS LRL METHO	
112 Trichloroethane		< 5.7	11/01/04 5.7471 EPA82	
		< 5.7	11/01/04 5.7471 EPA82	
Tetrachloroethene		< 5.7	11/01/04 5.7471 EPA82	60
1,3-Dichloropropane		< 5.7	11/01/04 5.7471 EPA82	.60
Chlorodibromomethane		< 5.7	11/01/04 5.7471 EPA82	
1,2 Dibromoethane	ug/Kg	< 5.7	11/01/04 5.7471 EPA82	
Chlorobenzene	ug/Kg	< 5.7	11/01/04 5.7471 EPA82	
Ethyl Benzene	ug/Kg	< 5.7	11/01/04 5.7471 EPA82	
! ?Tetrachloroethane		< 5.7	11/01/04 5.7471 EPA82	
m r p Xylene		< 11	11/01/04 11.494 EPA82	
o Xylene		< 5.7	11/01/04 5.7471 EPA82	
Styrene		< 5.7	11/01/04 5.7471 EPA82	
Bromoform		< 5.7	11/01/04 5.7471 EPA82	
Isopropy1benzene		< 5.7	11/01/04 5.7471 EPA82	
Bromobenzene		< 5.7	11/01/04 5.7471 EPA82	
1122Tetrachloroethane		< 5.7		
123-Trichloropropane		< 5.7		
n-Propylbenzene		< 5.7	11/01/04 5.7471 EPA82	
2-Chlorotoluene			11/01/04 5.7471 EPA82	
135-Trimethylbenzene		< 5.7	11/01/04 5.7471 EPA82	
4-Chlorotelusene		< 5.7	11/01/04 5.7471 EPA82	
4-Chlorotoluene		< 5.7	11/01/04 5.7471 EPA82	
tert-Butylbenzene		< 5.7	11/01/04 5.7471 EPA82	
124-Trimethylbenzene		< 5.7	11/01/04 5.7471 EPA82	60
sec-Butylbenzene		< 5.7	11/01/04 5.7471 EPA82	
p-Isopropyltoluene	ug/Kg	< 5.7	11/01/04 5.7471 EPA820	
ec:			, in the second	

LRL=Laboratory Reporting Limit

REMARKS:

DIRECTOR

of4

## **ECO EST** LABORATORIES, INC.

#### **ENVIRONMENTAL TESTING**

377 SHEFFIELD AVE. • N. BABYLON, N.Y. 11703 • (631) 422-5777• FAX (631) 422-5770

Email: ecotestlab@aol.com Website: www.ecotestlabs.com

LAB NO.244610.01

11/11/04

Warren & Panzer Engineering PC 228 E. 45th Street, 10th Floor

New York, NY 10017

ATTN: Greg Cellamare

PO#:

SOURCE OF SAMPLE:

78 John & 90-92 Elm, Yonkers

SOURCE OF SAMPLE:

COLLECTED BY: Client

DATE COL'D:10/28/04 RECEIVED:10/29/04

MATRIX: Soil

SAMPLE: B1 Composite

Results reported on a dry weight basis

ANALYTICAL PARAMETERS  1,3 Dichlorobenzene (v)  1,4 Dichlorobenzene (v)  n-Butylbenzene  1,2 Dichlorobenzene (v)  Dibromochloropropane  124-Trichlorobenzene (v)  Hexachlorobutadiene Naphthalene(v)  ' -Trichlorobenzene tButylMethylEther p-Ethyltoluene Freon 113  1245 Tetramethylbenz Acetone Methyl Ethyl Ketone Methylisobutylketone Chlorodifluoromethane p Diethylbenzene	ug/Kg	RESULT < 5.7 < 5.7 < 5.7 < 5.7 < 5.7 < 5.7 < 5.7 < 5.7 < 5.7 < 5.7 < 5.7 < 5.7 < 5.7 < 5.7 < 5.7 < 5.7 < 5.7 < 5.7 < 5.7	DATE OF ANALYSIS 11/01/04 11/01/04 11/01/04 11/01/04 11/01/04 11/01/04 11/01/04 11/01/04 11/01/04 11/01/04 11/01/04 11/01/04 11/01/04 11/01/04 11/01/04 11/01/04 11/01/04	5.7471 5.7471 5.7471 5.7471 5.7471 5.7471 5.7471 5.7471 5.7471 5.7471 57.471 57.471 57.471	EPA8260 EPA8260 EPA8260 EPA8260 EPA8260 EPA8260 EPA8260 EPA8260 EPA8260 EPA8260 EPA8260 EPA8260 EPA8260 EPA8260 EPA8260 EPA8260 EPA8260 EPA8260
% Solids		87	11/01/04		SM182540G

cc:

LRL=Laboratory Reporting Limit

REMARKS:

DIRECTOR

of

### ECO EST LABORATORIES, INC.

#### **ENVIRONMENTAL TESTING**

377 SHEFFIELD AVE. • N. BABYLON, N.Y. 11703 • (631) 422-5777• FAX (631) 422-5770

Email: ecotestlab@aol.com Website: www.ecotestlabs.com

LAB NO.244610.01

11/11/04

Warren & Panzer Engineering PC 228 E. 45th Street, 10th Floor New York, NY 10017

ATTN: Greg Cellamare

P0#:

SOURCE OF SAMPLE:

78 John & 90-92 Elm, Yonkers

SOURCE OF SAMPLE:

COLLECTED BY: Client

DATE COL'D:10/28/04 RECEIVED:10/29/04

MATRIX:Soil

SAMPLE: B1 Composite

Results reported on a dry weight basis

ANALYTICAL PARAMETERS Antimony as Sb Arsenic as As Beryllium as Be Cadmium as Cd Chromium as Cr Copper as Cu Lead as Pb Mercury as Hg I tel as Ni Selenium as Se Silver as Ag Thallium as Tl Zinc as Zn	mg/Kg mg/Kg mg/Kg mg/Kg mg/Kg mg/Kg mg/Kg	5.9 0.28 0.74 16 33 3600 2.1 11 < 0.46 < 0.57	DATE OF ANALYSIS LRL 11/02/04 1.149 11/02/04 0.114 11/02/04 0.574 11/02/04 0.574 11/02/04 0.574 11/02/04 0.574 11/02/04 0.114 11/02/04 1.149 11/02/04 1.149 11/02/04 0.459 11/02/04 0.574 11/02/04 0.574	ANALYTIC METHOD 4 EPA6010 9 EPA6010 7 EPA6010 7 EPA6010 4 EPA6010 7 EPA6010 9 EPA7470A 4 EPA6010 7 EPA6010 7 EPA6010 8 EPA6010
THE ENT	mg/Kg	90	11/02/04 1.149	4 EPA6010

cc:

LRL=Laboratory Reporting Limit

REMARKS:

rn = 42030

NYSDOH ID # 10320

MAR-23-2006 00:45 REALTY 9149610367 P.35

### ECO EST LABORATORIES, INC.

#### **ENVIRONMENTAL TESTING**

377 SHEFFIELD AVE. • N. BABYLON, N.Y. 11703 • (631) 422-5777• FAX (631) 422-5770

Email: ecotestlab@aol.com Website: www.ecotestlabs.com

LAB NO.244610.02

11/11/04

Warren & Panzer Engineering PC 228 E. 45th Street, 10th Floor

New York, NY 10017

ATTN: Greg Cellamare

P0#:

SOURCE OF SAMPLE: 78

78 John & 90-92 Elm, Yonkers

SOURCE OF SAMPLE:

COLLECTED BY: Client

DATE COL'D:10/28/04 RECEIVED:10/29/04

MATRIX: Soil

SAMPLE: B2 (4-6)

Results reported on a dry weight basis

4 3 T 4 V 1993 W PW 1 W			-		DATE OF	<b>.</b>	ANALYTICAL
ANALYTICAL PARAMETERS	UNITS	$\mathbb{R}$	ESULT	FLAG	ANALYSIS	LRL	METHOD
Dichlordifluoromethane	ug/Kg		120		11/02/04	119.04	EPA8260
Chloromethane	ug/Kg		120		11/02/04	119.04	EPA8260
Vinyl Chloride	ug/Kg		120		11/02/04	119.04	EPA8260
Bromomethane	ug/Kg		120		11/02/04		
Chloroethane	ug/Kg		120		11/02/04	119.04	EPA8260
Trichlorofluoromethane	ug/Kg		120		11/02/04		
1,1 Dichloroethene	ug/Kg		120		11/02/04	119.04	EPA8260
Methylene Chloride	ug/Kg		120		11/02/04	119.04	EPA8260
2-Dichloroethene	ug/Kg		120		11/02/04		
1,2 Dichloroethane	ug/Kg		120		11/02/04		
2,2-Dichloropropane	ug/Kg		120		11/02/04		
c-1,2-Dichloroethene	ug/Kg		120		11/02/04		
Bromochloromethane	ug/Kg		120		11/02/04	119.04	EPA8260
Chloroform	ug/Kg	<	120		11/02/04	119.04	EPA8260
111 Trichloroethane	ug/Kg	<	120		11/02/04	119.04	EPA8260
Carbon Tetrachloride	ug/Kg		120		11/02/04	119.04	EPA8260
1,1-Dichloropropene	ug/Kg		120		11/02/04	119.04	EPA8260
Benzene	ug/Kg		1.20		11/02/04	119.04	EPA8260
1,2 Dichloroethane	ug/Kg		120		11/02/04	119.04	EPA8260
Trichloroethylene	ug/Kg		120		11/02/04	119.04	EPA8260
1,2 Dichloropropane	ug/Kg		120		11/02/04	119.04	EPA8260
Dibromomethane	ug/Kg		120		11/02/04	119.04	EPA8260
Bromodichloromethane			120		11/02/04		
c-1,3Dichloropropene	ug/Kg		120		11/02/04		
Toluene	ug/Kg	<	120		11/02/04	119.04	EPA8260

cc:

LRL=Laboratory Reporting Limit

REMARKS:

DIRECTOR Page 1 of 4

rn = 42031

NYSDOH JD # 10320

MAR-23-2006 00:45 REALTY 9149610367 P.36

### CO EST LABORATORIES, INC.

#### **ENVIRONMENTAL TESTING**

377 SHEFFIELD AVE. • N. BABYLON, N.Y. 11703 • (631) 422-5777• FAX (631) 422-5770

Email: ecotestlab@aol.com Website: www.ecotestlabs.com

LAB NO.244610.02

11/11/04

Warren & Panzer Engineering PC 228 E. 45th Street, 10th Floor

New York, NY 10017

ATTN: Greg Cellamare

P0#:

SOURCE OF SAMPLE:

78 John & 90-92 Elm, Yonkers

SOURCE OF SAMPLE:

COLLECTED BY: Client

DATE COL'D:10/28/04 RECEIVED:10/29/04

MATRIX:Soil

SAMPLE: B2 (4-6)

Results reported on a dry weight basis

	•	
ANAI VTICAI DADAMETERO	71317777	DATE OF ANALYTICAL
ANALYTICAL PARAMETERS	UNITS RESULT	FLAG ANALYSIS LRL METHOD
t-1,3Dichloropropene	ug/Kg < 120	11/02/04 119.04 EPA8260
112 Trichloroethane	ug/Kg < 120	11/02/04 119.04 EPA8260
Tetrachloroethene	ug/Kg < 120	11/02/04 119.04 EPA8260
1,3-Dichloropropane	ug/Kg < 120	11/02/04 119.04 EPA8260
Chlorodibromomethane	ug/Kg < 120	11/02/04 119.04 EPA8260
1,2 Dibromoethane	ug/Kg < 120	11/02/04 119.04 EPA8260
Chlorobenzene	ug/Kg < 120	11/02/04 119.04 EPA8260
Ethyl Benzene	ug/Kg < 120	11/02/04 119.04 EPA8260
?Tetrachloroethane	ug/Kg < 120	11/02/04 119.04 EPA8260
m r p Xylene	ug/Kg < 240	11/02/04 238.09 EPA8260
o Xylene	ug/Kg < 120	11/02/04 119.04 EPA8260
Styrene	ug/Kg < 120	11/02/04 119.04 EPA8260
Bromoform	ug/Kg < 120	11/02/04 119.04 EPA8260
Isopropylbenzene	ug/Kg < 120	11/02/04 119.04 EPA8260
Bromobenzene	ug/Kg < 120	11/02/04 119.04 EPA8260
1122Tetrachloroethane	ug/Kg < 120	11/02/04 119.04 EPA8260
123-Trichloropropane	ug/Kg < 120	11/02/04 119.04 EPA8260
n-Propylbenzene	ug/Kg < 120	11/02/04 119.04 EPA8260
2-Chlorotoluene	ug/Kg < 120	11/02/04 119.04 EPA8260
135-Trimethylbenzene	ug/Kg < 120	11/02/04 119.04 EPA8260
4-Chlorotoluene	ug/Kg < 120	11/02/04 119.04 EPA8260
tert-Butylbenzene	ug/Kg < 120	11/02/04 119.04 EPA8260
124-Trimethylbenzene	ug/Kg < 120	11/02/04 119.04 EPA8260
sec-Butylbenzene	ug/Kg 150	11/02/04 119.04 EPA8260
p-Isopropyltoluene	ug/Kg < 120	11/02/04 119.04 EPA8260
cc:		

LRL=Laboratory Reporting Limit

REMARKS:

DIRECTON

Page 2 of 4

### CO EST LABORATORIES, INC.

#### **ENVIRONMENTAL TESTING**

377 SHEFFIELD AVE. • N. BABYLON, N.Y. 11703 • (631) 422-5777• FAX (631) 422-5770

Email: ecotestlab@aol.com Website: www.ecotestlabs.com

LAB NO.244610.02

11/11/04

Warren & Panzer Engineering PC 228 E. 45th Street, 10th Floor

New York, NY 10017

ATTN: Greg Cellamare

PO#:

SOURCE OF SAMPLE: 78 John & 90-92 Elm, Yonkers

SOURCE OF SAMPLE:

COLLECTED BY: Client

DATE COL'D:10/28/04 RECEIVED:10/29/04

MATRIX:Soil

SAMPLE: B2 (4-6)

Results reported on a dry weight basis

	TK.	courra reborra	or our or orranger!	து பட பங்க	LB
			DATE OF		ANALYTICAL
ANALYTICAL PARAMETERS	UNITS	RESULT	FLAG ANALYSIS	LRL	METHOD
1,3 Dichlorobenzene (v)	ug/Kg	< 120	11/02/04	119.04	EPA8260
1,4 Dichlorobenzene (v)	ug/Kg	< 120	11/02/04		
n-Butylbenzene	ug/Kg	< 120	11/02/04	119.04	EPA8260
1,2 Dichlorobenzene (v)	ug/Kg	< 120	11/02/04		
Dibromochloropropane	ug/Kg	< 120	11/02/04		
124-Trichlorobenzene (v)	ug/Kg	< 120	11/02/04		
Hexachlorobutadiene	ug/Kg	< 120	11/02/04		
Naphthalene(v)	ug/Kg	< 120	11/02/04		
-Trichlorobenzene	ug/Kg	< 120	11/02/04		
ter.ButylMethylEther	ug/Kg	< 120	11/02/04		
p-Ethyltoluene	ug/Kg	< 120	11/02/04		
Freon 113	ug/Kg	< 120	11/02/04		
1245 Tetramethylbenz	ug/Kg	430	11/02/04		
Acetone	ug/Kg	< 1200	11/02/04		
Methyl Ethyl Ketone	ug/Kg	< 1200	11/02/04		
Methylisobutylketone	ug/Kg	< 1200	11/02/04		
Chlorodifluoromethane	ug/Kg	< 120	11/02/04	119.04	EPA8260
p Diethylbenzene	ug/Kg	< 120	11/02/04	119.04	EPA8260
% Solids		84	11/01/04	0.1	SM182540G
		· ·			

cc:

LRL=Laboratory Reporting Limit

REMARKS: Elevated detection level due to interference in sample.

DIRECTOR

3 of 4

### COLEST LABORATORIES, INC.

#### **ENVIRONMENTAL TESTING**

377 SHEFFIELD AVE. • N. BABYLON, N.Y. 11703 • (631) 422-5777• FAX (631) 422-5770

Email: ecotestlab@aol.com Website: www.ecotestlabs.com

LAB NO.244610.02

11/11/04

Warren & Panzer Engineering PC 228 E. 45th Street, 10th Floor

New York, NY 10017

ATTN: Greg Cellamare

PO#:

SOURCE OF SAMPLE:

78 John & 90-92 Elm, Yonkers

SOURCE OF SAMPLE:

COLLECTED BY: Client

DATE COL'D:10/28/04 RECEIVED:10/29/04

MATRIX: Soil

SAMPLE: B2 (4-6)

Results reported on a dry weight basis

			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
ANA TUME OLI DAD AMERICA			DATE OF	ANALYTICAL
ANALYTICAL PARAMETERS	UNITS	RESULT	FLAG ANALYSIS	LRL METHOD
Antimony as Sb	mg/Kg	< 1.2		.1904 EPA6010
Arsenic as As	mg/Kg	1.3		.1904 EPA6010
Beryllium as Be	mg/Kg	0.23		.1190 EPA6010
Cadmium as Cd		< 0.60		.5952 EPA6010
Chromium as Cr	mg/Kg			.5952 EPA6010
Copper as Cu	mg/Kg			.1904 EPA6010
Lead as Pb		6.1		.5952 EPA6010
Mercury as Hg	mg/Kg			.0059 EPA7470A
kel as Ni				
	mg/Kg			.1904 EPA6010
Screnium as Se	mg/Kg	< 0.48	11/08/04 0	.4761 EPA7740
Silver as Ag	mg/Kg	< 0.60		.5952 EPA6010
Thallium as Tl	mg/Kg	< 1.2		.1904 EPA6010
Zinc as Zn		15		.1904 EPA6010
		* -	11/02/04 1	+ TYOH PERMOOTO

cc;

LRL=Laboratory Reporting Limit

REMARKS:

DIRECTOR Vale 4 of 4

## ECO EST LABORATORIES, INC.

#### **ENVIRONMENTAL TESTING**

377 SHEFFIELD AVE. • N. BABYLON, N.Y. 11703 • (631) 422-5777• FAX (631) 422-5770

Email: ecotestlab@aol.com Website: www.ecotestlabs.com

LAB NO.244610.03

11/11/04

Warren & Panzer Engineering PC 228 E. 45th Street, 10th Floor

New York, NY 10017

ATTN:

Greg Cellamare

P0#:

SOURCE OF SAMPLE:

78 John & 90-92 Elm, Yonkers

SOURCE OF SAMPLE:

COLLECTED BY: Client

DATE COL'D:10/28/04 RECEIVED:10/29/04

MATRIX: Soil

SAMPLE: B3 (0-2)

Results reported on a dry weight basis

ANAI VTICAI DADAMETER				DATE OF		ANALYTICAL
ANALYTICAL PARAMETERS		RESULT	FLAG	ANALYSIS	LRL	METHOD
Dichlordifluoromethane Chloromethane	ug/Kg	< 6.0		11/01/04		
		< 6.0		11/01/04	5.9523	EPA8260
Vinyl Chloride	ug/Kg	< 6.0		11/01/04		EPA8260
Bromomethane	ug/Kg	< 6.0		11/01/04	5.9523	EPA8260
Chloroethane	ug/Kg	< 6.0		11/01/04	5.9523	EPA8260
Trichlorofluoromethane	ug/Kg	< 6.0		11/01/04	5.9523	EPA8260
1,1 Dichloroethene	ug/Kg	< 6.0		11/01/04	5.9523	EPA8260
Methylene Chloride	ug/Kg	< 6.0		11/01/04	5.9523	EPA8260
,2-Dichloroethene	ug/Kg	< 6.0		11/01/04	5.9523	EPA8260
1.1 Dichloroethane	ug/Kg	< 6.0		11/01/04	5.9523	EPA8260
2,2-Dichloropropane	ug/Kg	< 6.0		11/01/04	5.9523	EPA8260
c-1,2-Dichloroethene	ug/Kg	9.5		11/01/04	5.9523	EPA8260
Bromochloromethane	ug/Kg	< 6.0		11/01/04	5.9523	EPA8260
Chloroform	ug/Kg	< 6.0		11/01/04	5.9523	EPA8260
111 Trichloroethane	ug/Kg	< 6.0		11/01/04	5.9523	EPA8260
Carbon Tetrachloride	ug/Kg	< 6.0		11/01/04	5.9523	EPA8260
1,1-Dichloropropene	ug/Kg	< 6.0		11/01/04	5,9523	EPA8260
Benzene	ug/Kg	< 6.0		11/01/04	5.9523	EPA8260
1,2 Dichloroethane	ug/Kg	< 6.0		11/01/04	5.9523	EPA8260
Trichloroethylene	ug/Kg	23		11/01/04	5.9523	EPA8260
1.2 Dichloropropane	ug/Kg	< 6.0		11/01/04	5.9523	EPAR260
Dibromomethane	ug/Kg	< 6.0		11/01/04	5.9523	EPA8260
Bromodichloromethane	ug/Kg	< 6.0		11/01/04	5.9523	EPA8260
c-1,3Dichloropropene	ug/Kg	< 6.0		11/01/04	5.9523	EPA8260
Toluene	ug/Kg	< 6.0		11/01/04	5.9523	EPA8260
cc:						man and the high

LRL=Laboratory Reporting Limit

REMARKS:

DIRECTOR

age 1 of4

### ECO EST LABORATORIES, INC.

#### **ENVIRONMENTAL TESTING**

377 SHEFFIELD AVE. • N. BABYLON, N.Y. 11703 • (631) 422-5777• FAX (631) 422-5770

Email: ecotestlab@aol.com Website: www.ecotestlabs.com

LAB NO.244610.03

11/11/04

Warren & Panzer Engineering PC 228 E. 45th Street, 10th Floor

New York, NY 10017

ATTN: Greg Cellamare

P0#:

SOURCE OF SAMPLE:

78 John & 90-92 Elm, Yonkers

SOURCE OF SAMPLE:

COLLECTED BY: Client

DATE COL'D:10/28/04 RECEIVED:10/29/04

MATRIX: Soil

SAMPLE: B3 (0-2)

Results reported on a dry weight basis

			a or a arb acreme pasts
ANAI VTICAI DADAMETEDO	IIIII		DATE OF ANALYTICAL
ANALYTICAL PARAMETERS		RESULT	FLAG ANALYSIS LRL METHOD
t-1,3Dichloropropene	ug/Kg	< 6.0	11/01/04 5.9523 EPA8260
112 Trichloroethane	ug/Kg	< 6.0	11/01/04 5.9523 EPA8260
Tetrachloroethene	ug/Kg	< 6.0	11/01/04 5.9523 EPA8260
1,3-Dichloropropane	ug/Kg	< 6.0	11/01/04 5.9523 EPA8260
Chlorodibromomethane	ug/Kg	< 6.0	11/01/04 5.9523 EPA8260
1,2 Dibromoethane	ug/Kg	< 6.0	11/01/04 5.9523 EPA8260
Chlorobenzene	ug/Kg	< 6.0	11/01/04 5.9523 EPA8260
F+hyl Benzene	ug/Kg	< 6.0	11/01/04 5.9523 EPA8260
2Tetrachloroethane	ug/Kg	< 6.0	
m + p Xylene	ug/Kg	< 12	11/01/04 5.9523 EPA8260
o Xylene	ug/Kg	< 6.0	11/01/04 11.904 EPA8260
Styrene	ug/Kg	< 6.0	11/01/04 5.9523 EPA8260
Bromoform			11/01/04 5.9523 EPA8260
Isopropylbenzene		< 6.0	11/01/04 5.9523 EPA8260
Bromobenzene	ug/Kg	< 6.0	11/01/04 5.9523 EPA8260
	ug/Kg	< 6.0	11/01/04 5.9523 EPA8260
1122Tetrachloroethane		< 6.0	11/01/04 5.9523 EPA8260
123-Trichloropropane	ug/Kg	< 6.0	11/01/04 5.9523 EPA8260
n-Propylbenzene	ug/Kg	< 6.0	11/01/04 5.9523 EPA8260
2-Chlorotoluene	ug/Kg	< 6.0	11/01/04 5.9523 EPA8260
135-Trimethylbenzene	ug/Kg	8.3	11/01/04 5.9523 EPA8260
4-Chlorotoluene	ug/Kg	< 6.0	11/01/04 5.9523 EPA8260
tert-Butylbenzene	ug/Kg	< 6.0	.11/01/04 5.9523 EPA8260
124-Trimethylbenzene		11	11/01/04 5.9523 EPA8260
sec-Butylbenzene		< 6.0	11/01/04 5.9523 EPA8260
p-Isopropyltoluene		< 6.0	11/01/04 5.9523 EPA8260
ce:	<u> </u>	- · ·	**/ O*/ O* J./JZJ EFM0Z00

LRL=Laboratory Reporting Limit

REMARKS:

DIRECTOR\_

y lb of

## ECOTEST LABORATORIES, INC.

#### **ENVIRONMENTAL TESTING**

377 SHEFFIELD AVE. • N. BABYLON, N.Y. 11703 • (631) 422-5777• FAX (631) 422-5770

Email: ecotestlab@aol.com Website: www.ecotestlabs.com

LAB NO.244610.03

11/11/04

Warren & Panzer Engineering PC 228 E. 45th Street, 10th Floor

New York, NY 10017

ATTN: Greg Cellamare

P0#:

SOURCE OF SAMPLE: SOURCE OF SAMPLE:

78 John & 90-92 Elm, Yonkers

COLLECTED BY: Client DATE COL'D:10/28/04 RECEIVED:10/29/04

MATRIX: Soil

SAMPLE: B3 (0-2)

Results reported on a dry weight basis

	***	Source reporce	a on a axy wer	gnt pas.	15
ANALYTICAL PARAMETERS	7737 7777 0	7. V. C.	DATE OF		ANALYTICAL
1 3 Dichlandamentals		RESULT	FLAG ANALYSIS	LRL	METHOD
1,3 Dichlorobenzene (v)	ug/Kg	< 6.0	11/01/04	5.9523	EPA8260
1,4 Dichlorobenzene (v)		< 6.0	11/01/04	5.9523	EPA8260
n-Butylbenzene	ug/Kg	< 6.0	11/01/04	5.9523	EPA8260
1.2 Dichlorobenzene (v)		< 6.0	11/01/04	5.9523	EPA8260
Dibromochloropropane	ug/Kg	< 6.0	11/01/04	5.9523	EPA8260
124-Trichlorobenzene (v)	ug/Kg	< 6.0	11/01/04	5.9523	EPA8260
Hexachlorobutadiene	ug/Kg	< 6.0	11/01/04	5.9523	EPA8260
Norththalene(v)	ug/Kg	< 6.0	11/01/04	5.9523	EPA8260
1 Trichlorobenzene	ug/Kg	< 6.0	11/01/04	5.9523	FPAR260
ter.ButylMethylEther	ug/Kg	< 6.0	11/01/04	5 9523	EDV85VO
p-Ethyltoluene	ug/Kg	< 6.0	11/01/04	5 9523	FDAROAG
Freon 113	ug/Kg	< 6.0	11/01/04	5 9523	EDV65ev
1245 Tetramethylbenz	ug/Kg	< 6.0	11/01/04	5 9523	EDAODEO
Acetone	ug/Kg	< 60	11/01/04	50 502	EDAGGEO
Methyl Ethyl Ketone	ug/Kg	< 60	11/01/04	50 500	CDAOCO
Methylisobutylketone		< 60	11/01/04	27.243	EPABZOU
Chlorodifluoromethane	_ , —	< 6.0	11/01/04	27.243 E 0E00	EDAGZOU
p Diethylbenzene	ug/Kg	< 6.0	11/01/04	0.9023	EPA8Z0U
		. 0.0	11/01/04	5.9523	EPA8Z00
% Solids		84	11/01/07	0 1	0144005405
		04	11/01/04	0.1	SM182540G

cc:

LRL=Laboratory Reporting Limit

REMARKS:

DIRECTOR

of

4

### CO EST LABORATORIES, INC.

#### **ENVIRONMENTAL TESTING**

377 SHEFFIELD AVE. • N. BABYLON, N.Y. 11703 • (631) 422-5777• FAX (631) 422-5770

Email: ecotestlab@aol.com Website: www.ecotestlabs.com

LAB NO.244610.03

11/11/04

Warren & Panzer Engineering PC 228 E. 45th Street, 10th Floor

New York, NY 10017

ATTN: Greg Cellamare

P0#:

SOURCE OF SAMPLE:

78 John & 90-92 Elm, Yonkers

SOURCE OF SAMPLE:

COLLECTED BY: Client

DATE COL'D:10/28/04 RECEIVED:10/29/04

MATRIX:Soil

SAMPLE: B3 (0-2)

Results reported on a dry weight basis

ANALYTICAL PARAMETERS Antimony as Sb Arsenic as As Beryllium as Be Cadmium as Cd Chromium as Cr Copper as Cu Lead as Pb Morcury as Hg Leel as Ni Selenium as So	mg/Kg mg/Kg mg/Kg mg/Kg mg/Kg mg/Kg mg/Kg mg/Kg mg/Kg	5.4 0.30 < 0.60 10 23 130 20 6.7	FLAG	DATE OF ANALYSIS 11/02/04 1 11/02/04 0 11/02/04 0 11/02/04 0 11/02/04 0 11/02/04 1 11/02/04 1 11/02/04 1	LRL 1.1904 1.1904 0.1190 0.5952 0.5952 1.1904 0.5952	METHOD EPA6010 EPA6010 EPA6010 EPA6010 EPA6010 EPA6010 EPA7470A EPA6010	
Mcrcury as Hg	mg/Kg mg/Kg mg/Kg mg/Kg mg/Kg	mg/Kg mg/Kg mg/Kg mg/Kg	⟨g 20		11/02/04 0 11/02/04 1 11/02/04 1 11/08/04 0 11/02/04 0	0.5952 1.1904 1.1904 0.9523 0.5952	EPA6010 EPA7470A EPA6010 EPA7740 EPA6010
Zinc as Zn	_ ,	70		11/02/04 1 11/02/04 1	.1904	EPA6010 EPA6010	

cc:

LRL=Laboratory Reporting Limit

REMARKS:

DIRECTOR of 4