



Write or Copy/Paste Document Title In This Space



DO NOT PHOTOCOPY. PRINT FROM PDF VERSION ONLY.





Soil and Groundwater Management Plan Brownfield Cleanup Program 110 Luther Avenue Site 110 Luther Avenue, Liverpool, Onondaga County, New York

BCP Site # C734118

March 2011





SOIL AND GROUNDWATER MANAGEMENT PLAN BROWNFIELD CLEANUP PROGRAM 110 LUTHER AVENUE SITE 110 LUTHER AVENUE, LIVERPOOL, ONONDAGA COUNTY, NEW YORK

BCP Site # C734118

Prepared for

SYRACUSE LABEL COMPANY, INC. Liverpool, New York

Prepared by

S&W Redevelopment of North America, LLC

430 East Genesee Street Syracuse, New York 13202

March 2011

Project No. N9013

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

1.	BACKGROUND AND PURPOSE	1
2.	SUMMARY OF REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION FINDINGS	1
3.	MANAGEMENT OF SOIL	3
	A. SOIL REUSE B. POTENTIALLY IMPACTED SOIL MANAGEMENT C. CONTAMINATED SOIL OFFSITE DISPOSAL D. IMPORTED SOIL	3 3 5 6
4.	MANAGEMENT OF GROUNDWATER DURING CONSTRUCTION DEWATERING	7
5.	REPORTING	8

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure No.

-	~ • • • •	
1	L'ato I	agotton
	NUP	()('ALION
1		

- 2 Soils Exceeding Unrestricted Use SCOs
- 3 October 2010 Groundwater Contours and Flow Direction
- 4 Groundwater VOCs Exceeding Class GA Standards
- 5 Groundwater SVOCs and Metals Exceeding Class GA Standards

LIST OF ATTACHMENTS

Attachment

A Tables from the Remedial Investigation Report

Table 2-1: C&H Engineers Soil Sample Analytical Results

Table 2-2: C&H Engineers Soil Sample Analytical Results

Table 2-3: Utility Excavation Soil Sample Analytical Results

Table 2-5: Beardsley Design Associates Soil and Groundwater Sample Analytical Results

Table 4-2: Soil Sample Analytical Results

Table 4-4: Groundwater Sample Analytical Results

Table 4-5: Soil Vapor Sample Analytical Results

1. BACKGROUND AND PURPOSE

Syracuse Label Company, Inc. is planning to expand its manufacturing facility located at 110 Luther Avenue, in the Town of Salina, Onondaga County, New York. The property on which the facility is located was accepted into the New York State Brownfield Cleanup Program (BCP) on September 11, 2009 (BCP Site No. C734118), and is referred to as the 110 Luther Ave. BCP site (Figure 1). Syracuse Label is scheduled to complete a facility expansion as part of a plan that includes a building addition and the purchase of new equipment in support of the company's growth. The ongoing and future use of the site will be for industrial activities.

The facility expansion will be concurrent with BCP site remedial investigation and remediation activities. Remedial investigation has not identified specific contaminant "source" areas, to date, except for groundwater contamination located in the central portion of the site. However, based on the historic industrial uses of the site, the potential to encounter impacted soil during site redevelopment activities could exist. Therefore, a plan is needed to guide the management of impacted soil and groundwater that may be encountered during site redevelopment. The purpose of this document is to establish the procedure for identifying and managing contaminated soil and groundwater during activities that are not part of the remediation of the site. All work will be completed in accordance with an appropriate Site Health and Safety Plan (SHSP) prepared by the individual or entity performing the work. An example SHSP is included in the NYSDEC approved Remedial This Soil and Groundwater Investigation Work Plan (SWRNA, October 2009). Management Plan document will be used specifically for the facility expansion activities and is independent of a Final Site Management Plan (SMP) required for the issuance of the Certificate of Completion (COC).

2. SUMMARY OF REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION FINDINGS

A Remedial Investigation Report was prepared to summarize the results of Remedial Investigation (RI) activities completed at the 110 Luther Ave. BCP Site between late 2009 and November 2010. The Remedial Investigation Report was submitted to the New York State Department and Environmental Conservation (NYSDEC) in January 2011, and is currently under review by NYSDEC. During the course of the RI, seven (7) soil borings, three (3) groundwater monitoring wells, and four (4) soil vapor wells¹ were installed and

¹ Four soil vapor wells were installed, however, samples were only taken from the two offsite wells. Soil vapor samples could not be taken from onsite soil vapor wells due to the presence of groundwater in the well.

sampled to characterize environmental conditions present onsite and offsite. Groundwater samples were also taken from thirteen (13) groundwater monitoring wells that were previously installed onsite. Analytical results are summarized in tables included in the RI report, and selected tables (summarized below) are attached for reference (Attachment A):

- Table 2-1: C&H Engineers Soil Sample Analytical Results
- Table 2-2: C&H Engineers Soil Sample Analytical Results
- Table 2-3: Utility Excavation Soil Sample Analytical Results
- Table 2-5: Beardsley Design Associates Soil and Groundwater Sample Analytical Results
- Table 4-2: Soil Sample Analytical Results
- Table 4-4: Groundwater Sample Analytical Results
- Table 4-5: Soil Vapor Sample Analytical Results

Laboratory analytical results of soil samples identified volatile organic compounds (VOCs), semi-volatile organic compounds (SVOCs), and metals, but concentrations were below Industrial Use Soil Cleanup Objectives (SCOs). Detected concentrations of one VOC (acetone in soil samples from TB-1 and TB-2) and two metals (chromium and nickel in soil sample from SB-1) marginally exceed Unrestricted Use SCOs. Figure 2 shows that soils that exceed Unrestricted Use SCOs may be encountered in the vicinity of the former trench drain in the central/eastern portion of the site, and in an isolated area around SB-1 located in the parking area in the southern portion of the site. Based on the findings of the RI it was determined that soil does not appear to be a source of significant contamination at the site.

Laboratory analytical results of groundwater samples identified metals, SVOCs, and VOCs at concentrations that exceeded Class GA water quality standards (Figures 3 and 4). Cadmium (sample from MW-10) was the only metal detected in excess of Class GA standards that is not a commonly occurring element, and that was not present in associated soil samples. Exceedances of Class GA water quality standards for SVOCs were limited to one sample (offsite well MW-19), and included pentachlorophenol and bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate. Pentachlorophenol was not detected in any on-site groundwater samples. Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate was detected in on-site groundwater samples, but concentrations did not exceed Class GA water quality standards. Exceedances of Class GA water quality standards for VOCs were fairly limited in extent, occurring mainly around the former trench drain in the eastern/central portion of the site, with relatively low, or non-detectable, concentrations outside of this area. Tetrachloroethene (PCE), trichloroethene

(TCE), cis-1,2-dichloroethene (DCE), and vinyl chloride (VC) were detected most frequently, and at the highest concentrations relative to other VOCs at the site.

Laboratory analytical results of two (2) off-site soil vapor samples identified twenty-six (26) VOCs. The majority of the VOCs detected in soil vapor were not detected in on-site groundwater. The VOCs detected in soil vapor samples from the two off-site wells that were also detected in on-site groundwater samples include: acetone, toluene, xylenes, 1,1-dichloroethane, 1,2-dichloroethane, methylene chloride, PCE, TCE, cis-DCE, trans-DCE, and VC. Soil vapor from the two (2) on-site wells could not be sampled due to the presence of groundwater in the soil vapor wells. The presence of groundwater in the on-site soil vapor issues since little void space exists. However, since groundwater beneath the site contains VOC contamination, soil vapor intrusion is still a potential issue.

3. MANAGEMENT OF SOIL

The purpose of this section is to provide guidelines for management of soil during the course of the facility expansion activities. The Community Air Monitoring Plan (CAMP) included in the approval Remedial Investigation Workplan will be implemented during intrusive activities completed as part of the building expansion.

A. Soil Reuse. Pursuant to the exceptions identified under 6 NYCRR Part 360-7.1(b), all "recognizably uncontaminated" soil encountered during the facility expansion will be used onsite as fill material. All soils will be presumed uncontaminated in the absence of records, existing data, knowledge, or observations to the contrary.

A field inspector will determine which soils are "recognizably uncontaminated" based on physical observations (e.g. staining, odors, PID readings). A field inspector will take regular PID readings of exposed or excavated soils during facility expansion activities. The field inspector will maintain a daily log documenting daily work areas, work activities, field observations, and approximate areas of impact, if any exist. "Recognizably uncontaminated" soil may be reused on-site as part of the planned facility expansion. Recognizably uncontaminated soils will staged on and covered with polyethylene sheeting or in lined containers; sampled; and analyzed prior to reuse on-site or disposal off-site. Sampling frequency will be as outlined in Subsection B – Potentially Impacted Soil Management. If soils are to be reused on-

site they must be placed under the building slab or asphalt pavement and meet the requirements of Part 375-3.8 (e) (4) (c) for industrial use site.

If soils are to be reused at an off-site location, sampling requirements will be in accordance with Subsection B – Potentially Impacted Soil Management, and comparison to Unrestricted Use Soil Cleanup Objectives (SCOs).

B. Potentially Impacted Soil Management

During excavation of potentially contaminated soils, the Community Air Monitoring Plan (CAMP), as included in the approval Remedial Investigation Workplan, will be implemented. If the field personnel deems that physical observations (i.e. staining or odors or PID readings >10ppm) of soil encountered during redevelopment activities indicate the potential for contamination to exist, then a representative sample of in place or excavated soil will be collected, stored at less than 4 degrees Celsius, and sent to a NYS ELAP-certified laboratory to be analyzed for Target Compound List (TCL) volatile organic compounds (VOCs) by Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Method 8260B, TCL semi-volatile organic compounds (SVOCs) by EPA Method 8270D, polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) by EPA Method 8082A, and target analyte list (TAL) metals by EPA Method 6010/7470/7471. If, based on the laboratory results, the soil does not exceed Industrial Use soil cleanup objectives (SCOs)², the soil may be left in place or reused onsite as fill if placed below the building slab or asphalt pavement. Conversely, if the soil exceeds Industrial Use SCOs², the soil will be excavated and staged, or remain staged on and covered with polyethylene sheeting or in lined containers and, depending on the concentration, either:

- The material will be further characterized (see Section 2C below) and disposed of at an off-site permitted facility, or
- The results of the analysis will be reviewed with the NYSDEC to determine if the material can be reused as onsite fill below a soil cover engineering control (i.e building slab, asphalt pavement or 12" of soil).

In the case where impacted soil is excavated based on field observations that indicate

² Industrial Soil Cleanup Objectives (SCOs) for the parameters listed in 6 NYCRR Part 375-6.8(b) (December 14, 2006) and in TAGM 4046 for those parameters that do not have standards in Part 375-6.8(b)

J:\PROJECTS\N-xxxx\N9000\N0913 - Syracuse Label\BUILDING EXPANSION\Soil Management Plan\Soil Management Plan\Soil Management Plan Final Rev 1.doc

it may be a potential source of ongoing contamination, the soil will be excavated to the point that field observations indicate it is not likely a contaminant source and documentation samples will be taken. The number of samples will be dependent on the size of the excavation. In general one (1) sample will be taken for every 30 linear feet of sidewall, and one (1) sample will be taken from the floor of the excavation for every 900 square feet of bottom area. This sample frequency may be modified with NYSDEC approval for excavations that are less than 30-feet in perimeter or greater than 300-feet in perimeter. If field conditions dictate or based on the requirement of the NYSDEC, additional sampling and/or analysis may be required to appropriately document the soil characteristics. The documentation samples collected will be analyzed for TCL VOCs, TCL SVOCs, PCBs, and TAL metals using the EPA Methods discussed above. The excavation and documentation soil sample locations will be staked and surveyed. The area will be backfilled with acceptable material and graded to promote positive drainage. The daily field logs, analytical data, and the location of impacted soil and/or source material excavation activities and sample locations will be included in the Final Engineering Report (FER).

C. Contaminated Soil Offsite Disposal.

Excavated soil that is deemed to be contaminated and will not to be used as fill at the site will be transported off-site for proper disposal at a permitted facility within 60 days of excavation. While awaiting off-site transport the soil will be staged, or remain staged, on and covered with polyethylene sheeting or in lined containers A representative sample of the material will be taken and analyzed in accordance with the permitted disposal facility characterization requirements, and may include Toxicity Characteristic Leaching Procedure (TCLP), TCL VOCs, TCL SVOCs, PCBs, and TAL Metals using the EPA Methods discussed in Sub-Section B above.

If the analytical results indicate that concentrations exceed the standards for RCRA characteristics or if the excavated soil is deemed a listed hazardous waste the material will be properly disposed off-site at a permitted disposal facility within 60 days of excavation. The specific procedure for handling and management of characteristic or listed hazardous waste soil will be addressed in a separate plan.

D. Imported Soil.

Soil imported to the site for use as a soil cover, or as excavation backfill must meet the requirements of 6 NYCRR 375-6.7(d), and must:

- comply with any RAOs identified for the soil cover,
- be free of extraneous debris or solid waste,
- be soil or other unregulated material as set forth in 6 NYCRR Part 360.

For soil material imported from a virgin source permitted by the NYSDEC a minimum of one round of characterization samples will be collected, or a certification from the source owner indicating that the material is from a virgin source free from debris and contamination. The location, owner, and certification will be provided to the NYSDEC at least 3 days prior to importing fill.

Soil material imported from any other source will be sampled in accordance with Table 1 below.

Recommende	ed Number of Soil Samples IC		
Contaminant	VOCs	SVOCs, Inorganics,	PCBs, and Pesticides
Soil Quantity (cubic yards)	Discrete Samples	Composite Samples	Discrete Samples / Composite Samples
0 - 50	1	1	
50 - 100	2	1	3 – 5 discrete samples
100 - 200	3	1	from different location
200 - 300	4	1	in the fill being provide
300 - 400	4	2	will comprise a
400 - 500	5	2	composite sample for
500 - 800	6	2	analysis
800 - 1000	7	2	
> 1000	Add an additional 2 VC	C and 1 Composite for each or consult with DER	additional 1000 cubic yard

* Table taken from NYSDEC DER-10 Technical Guidance for Site Investigation and Remediation

The sampling frequency identified in the table can be reduced once a trend of compliance is established and NYSDEC approves the reduction.

4. MANAGEMENT OF GROUNDWATER DURING CONSTRUCTION DEWATERING

During the redevelopment of the site, the construction of the buildings may require excavations below the groundwater table which may require dewatering. Depending on the location of the excavation the groundwater encountered may have contaminants present that would not allow the water to be discharged to surface water. In general, it is anticipated that construction activities will be conducted primarily above the groundwater in a manner that will not require dewatering. In addition, stormwater run-off will be diverted from excavations. If groundwater is encountered and dewatering is required, the water will be managed in an appropriate manner to prevent the discharge of potentially impacted water to storm drains in the following manner:

- control the excavation such that groundwater can be contained within the excavation;
- create an area within the construction area to accommodate collection of groundwater by pumping from the excavation into tanks, sample and analyze the water to characterize it then as appropriate either transport and treat offsite at a permitted facility; or if appropriate discharge to the stormwater management system in accordance with the appropriate stormwater pollution prevention plan and/or local stormwater discharge permit as may be required for the facility expansion activity.

The groundwater that is collected in tanks for subsequent management will have a representative sample taken every 5000 gallons and analyzed by a NYS ELAP-certified laboratory for the following parameters:

TCL VOCs by EPA Method 8260B, TCL SVOCs by EPA Method 8270D, PCBs by EPA Method 8082A, and TAL Metals by EPA Method 6010/7470/7471. The analytical results will be reviewed with the NYSDEC to determine the appropriate method of treatment, proper disposal and/or discharge. If the analytical results exceed the NYSDEC's Surface and Groundwater Quality Standards then treatment and/or proper disposal at a permitted facility will be required. If the analytical results do not exceed the NYSDEC Surface and Groundwater Quality Standards then it may be used for dust control measures within the construction area; allowed to infiltrate into the construction excavation area; or as appropriate discharged to the stormwater management system in accordance with the appropriate stormwater pollution prevention plan and/or local stormwater discharge permit as may be required for the facility expansion activity.

5. **REPORTING**

Any information collected during implementation of this Plan, including daily field logs, laboratory analytical data, location of impacted soil and/or source material excavation activities, surveyed sample locations, material disposal receipts and manifests and as-built drawings of the engineering controls associated with the facility expansion will be reported in the Final Engineering Report (FER). If the FER is not available by September 7, 2011, a separate documentation report will be submitted which will then be included in the FER when submitted.

FIGURES



PROJECTS/N-xxxx/N9000/N0913 - Syracuse Labe/\40 - Remedial Investigation/Riprt/Figures/Figure 1\SyrLabeIFig1\043076A2 10/nov/syr/1-xxxx/N9000/N0913 - Suranies Labe/\40 - Remedial Investigation/Riprt/Figures/Figure 1\SyrLabeIFig1\043076A2





I

L

ł

ATTACHMENTS

l

1

1

1

Attachment A Tables from the Remedial Investigation Report

Analyte			Sample Identification							
Analyte	Soil Cleanu (mg	g/kg)	N/E Fence	Foundat	ion ²					
	Unrestricted Use	Industrial Use	Test Pit	roundation						
Date Sampled			Oct-94		Oct-9	4				
Soil Detections										
1,2,4-trimethylbenzene	3.6	380	0.024		1.13					
1,3,5-trimethylbenzene	8.4	380	0.009		0.738					
anthracene	100	1000		ND	0.570					
barium	350	10000		ND		ND				
benzene	0.06	89		ND		ND				
benzo(b)fluoranthene	1	11		ND	0.170					
chrysene	1	110		ND	0.180					
cis-1,2-dichloroethene	0.25	1000		ND		ND				
ethylbenzene	1	780		ND	0.478					
fluoranthene	100	1000		ND	0.390					
fluorene	30	1000		ND	0.680					
isopropylbenzene				ND	2.54					
naphthalene	12	1000		ND	1.600					
n-butylbenzene	3.9	1000		ND	6.08^					
phenanthrene	100	1000	1	ND	0.680					
p-isopropyltoluene			0.0081		2.07					
pyrene	100	1000		ND	0.270					
pyridine				ND		ND				
sec-butylbenzene	11	1000	0.0139			ND				
tetrachloroethene	1.3	300	15.3^			ND				
toluene	0.7	1000		ND		ND				
total glycols				ND		ND				
total xylenes (o,m,p)	xylenes (o,m,p) 0.26			ND	0.495^					
trans-1,2-dichloroethene	0.19	1000		ND		ND				
trichloroethane	0.47	400	0.281			ND				
totals			0.336		11.496					

Table 2-1: (Page 1 of 1) C&H Engineers Soil Sample Analytical Results. Remedial Investigation Report. October 1994. 110 Luther Ave, Syracuse Label.

ND - None detected greater than detection limit

1 - Soil sample collected from test pit D on Figure 2-2

2 - Soil sample collected from test pits E, F, and G on Figure 2-2

Data compiled from C&H Engineers July 1992 Phase I Environmental Assessment Report

^ - indicates an exceedance of Unrestricted Use SCOs

Bold and boxed results indicate an exceedance of Industrial Use SCOs

Industrial Use and Unrestricted Use Soil Cleanup Objectives from 6 NYCRR Subpart 375-6 Remedial Program Soil Cleanup Objectives (December 2006).

Table 2-2. (Fage 1 01 2) Ca	I I	1					Sample Iden	tification	-			1
	Soil Cleanup Ol	bjectives (mg/kg)		NW Solvent	NE Solvent	NW Kerosene	NE Kerosene		Staged Soil	Staged Soil		
Analyte	Unrestricted Use	Industrial Use	Sub-Slab	Clearance Test Pit	Clearance Test Pit	Clearance Test Pit	Clearance Test Pit	Deep pit	North Pile	South Pile	5-1	5-2
Date Sampled			Nov-94	Dec-94	Dec-94	Dec-94	Dec-94	Dec-94	Feb-95	Feb-95	Jun-95	Jun-95
Soil Detections												0.115
1.2.4-trimethylbenzene	3.6	380	0.743									0.110
1 3 5-trimethylbenzene	8.4	380	0.148									
anthracene	100	1000								0.05		
barium	350	10000							0.57	0.65		
banzana	0.06	89	0.338^									
benze(b)fluoranthene	1	11										
benzo(b)ndoranmene	1	110										
chrysene	0.25	1000										
cts-1,2-diction dethene	1	780										
fluerapthana	100	1000									(
fluorantiene	30	1000									0.000	0.402
lisesropylbonzene											0.298	0.402
lisopiopyidenzene	12	1000	0.56								0.55	0.654
naphthalene	30	1000	0.52								2	1.6
n-butyibenzene	100	1000										
phenanthrene	100	1000	0 151									
p-isopropyitoluerie	100	1000	0.101							12 1		
pyrene	100	1000									1000	
pyridine	4.	1000	0 559								0.119	0.142
sec-butylbenzene	10	200	0.000	0.0049		0.001		78^	0.007			
tetrachloroethane	1.3	1000	0.9344	0.0010				1.01^				
toluene	0.7	1000	0.001									
total glycols	0.06	1000							1			
total xylenes (o,m,p)	0.26	1000										
trans-1,2-dichloroethene	0.19	400						0.433				
trichloroethane	0.47		2 681	0.0049		0.001		0.433	0.577	0.65	2.967	2.913

Table 2-2: (Page 1 of 2) C&H Engineers Soil Sample Analytical Results. Remedial Investigation Report. November 1994 - June 1995. 110 Luther Ave, Syracuse Label.

S-1 - Grab from sub-slab soil boring 4

S-2 - Composite from sub-slab soil borings 1, 2, 3, 5, and 6

Data compiled from C&H Engineers August 1995 Site Remediation Summary Report

- indicates an exceedance of Unrestricted Use SCOs

Bold and boxed results indicate an exceedance of Industrial Use SCOs

Industrial Use and Unrestricted Use Soil Cleanup Objectives from 6 NYCRR Subpart 375-6 Remedial Program Soil Cleanup Objectives (December 2006).

		S	ample Identifica	tion
Analyte	Groundwater Standard^ (ug/L)	Footer Excavation Liquid	Wall Seep	Groundwater Collection Pipe
Date Sampled		Dec-94	Dec-94	Jun-95
Groundwater Detections	5			1.2
cis-1.2-Dichloroethene	5		0.112	12.8
trans-1.2-Dichloroethene	5		0.0013	
tetrachloroethene	5		0.0087	1.6
trichloroethene	5		0.0295	3.3
total glycols		0.27		
totals		0.27	0.1515	18.9

 Table 2-2: (Page 2 of 2) C&H Engineers Soil Sample Analytical Results. Remedial Investigation Report. November 1994 - June 1995. 110 Luther Ave, Syracuse Label.

Bold and boxed cells indicate an exceedance of groundwater standards

Data compiled from C&H Engineers August 1995 Site Remediation Summary Report

Table 2-3: (Page 1 of 1) Utili	ty Excavation Soil Sample Analytical Results.
	Remedial Investigation Report. June 1995.
	110 Luther Ave, Syracuse Label.

Analyte	Soil Cleanu	p Objectives	Sample Identification
Analyte	(mg	Utility Excavation	
	Unrestricted Use	Industrial Use	Staged Soil Pile
Date Sampled			Jun-95
Soil Detections			
1,2,4-trimethylbenzene	3.6	380	0.0122
naphthalene	12	1000	0.0179
n-butylbenzene	12	1000	0.0637
p-isopropyltoluene			0.0115
sec-butylbenzene	11	1000	0.0709
n-propylbenzene	3.9	1,000	0.0116
totals			0.1878

Data compiled from utility excavation laboratory report

^ - indicates an exceedance of Unrestricted Use SCOs

Bold and boxed results indicate an exceedance of Industrial Use SCOs

Industrial Use and Unrestricted Use Soil Cleanup Objectives from 6 NYCRR Subpart 375-6 Remedial Program Soil Cleanup Objectived (December 2006).

					S	ampl	le Iden	tification					
	Soil Cleanup Ot	ojectives (mg/kg)									_		
Analyte	Unrestricted Use	Industrial Use	B-08-1		B-08	-2		B-08-	-3		В-	08-4	
Date Sampled	-		Jan-08		Jan-	08		Jan-0	80		Ja	n-08	
Coo by EPA Method 9260B				D.L.			D.L.			D.L.			D.L
TOCS BY EFA Method 8200B	0.05	1000	U	0.02		U	1		U	10		U	10
Reetone	0.06	89	U	0.007		U	0.2		U	4		U	4
Promodichloromethane	0.00		U	0.007		U	0.2		U	4		U	4
Bromotorm			U	0.007		U	0.2		U	4		U	4
3romonorm Promomothana			U	0.007		U	0.2		U	4		U	4
2 Butenene (MEK)	0.12	1000	U	0.02		U	1		U	10		U	10
Carbon disulfide	0.12		U	0.007		U	0.2		U	4		U	4
	0.76	44	U	0.007		U	0.2		U	4		U	4
	11	1000	U	0.007		U	0.2		U	4		U	4
Chloroothane			U	0.007		U	0.2		U	4		U	4
Chloroform	0.37	700	U	0.007		U	0.2		U	4		U	4
Chloromethane			U	0.007		U	0.2		U	4		U	4
Dibromochloromethane			U	0.007		U	0.2		U	4		U	4
1 1-Dichloroethane	0.27	480	U	0.007		U	0.2		υ	4		U	4
1.2-Dichloroethane	0.02	60	U	0.007		U	0.2		U	4		U	4
1 1 Dichloroethene	0.33	1000	U	0.007		U	0.2		U	4		U	4
1.2-Dichloroethene Total	0.25	1000	U	0.007		U	0.2		U	4		U	4
1.2-Dichloropropage			U	0.007		U	0.2		U	4		U	4
cis-1 3-Dichloropropene			U	0.007		U	0.2		U	4		U	4
trans-1 3-Dichloropropene			U	0.007		U	0.2		U	4		U	4
Ethyl benzene	1	780	U	0.007		U	0.2		U	4		U	4
2-Hexanone	-		U	0.02		U	1		U	10		U	10
Methylene chloride	0.05	1000	U	0.02		U	1		U	10		U	1
4-Methyl-2-pentanone (MIBK)			U	0.02		U	1		U	10		U	1
Styrene			U	0.007		U	0.2		U	4		U	4
1 1 2 2-Tetrachloroethane			U	0.007		U	0.2		U	4		U	2
Tetrachloroethene	1.3	300	U	0.007		U	0.2	26^			23^		
Toluene	0.7	1000	U	0.007		U	0.2		U	4		U	4
1 1 1 Trichloroethane	0.68	1000	U	0.007		U	0.2		U	4		U	4
	0.00	1995	U	0.007		U	0.2		U	4	1	L	4
1,1,2-1 richloroethane	0.47	400	U U	0.007		U	0.2		U	4	1	L	
Inchioroethene	0.47	27	u u	0.007		U	0.2		U	4		L	
Vinyl chloride	0.02	1000	U U	0.007		U	0.2		U	4		L	6
Xylenes (10tal)	0.20		ND		ND			26			23		
Tatala	1	1			1 110								

Table 2-5: (Page 1 of 2) Beardsley Design Associates Soil and Groundwater Sample Analytical Results. Remedial Investigation Report. December 2007 - April 2008. 110 Luther Ave, Syracuse Label.

D.L. - Detection limit

U - Analyzed for but not detected above the laboratory detection limit

Data compiled from Beardsley Design Associates April 2008 Limited Subsurface Investigation Report

ND - Non detect

^ - indicates an exceedance of Unrestricted Use SCOs Bold and boxed results indicate an exceedance of Industrial Use SCOs

Industrial Use and Unrestricted Use Soil Cleanup Objectives from 6 NYCRR Subpart 375-6 Remedial Program Soil Cleanup Objectives (December 2006).

					Samp	le Ider	ntification					
Analyte	GW Std^ (ug/L)	MW-5		MV	V-6		MW-	7		MW-	B	
Date Sampled		Jan-08		Jar	-08		Jan-0	8		Jan-0	8	
VOCs by EPA Method 8260B			D.L.			D.L.			D.L.			D.L.
Acetone	50	U	10		U	10		U :	2000		U	2000
Benzene	1	U	1		U	1		U	200		U	200
Bromodichloromethane	50	U	1		U	1		U	200		U	200
Bromoform	50	U	1		U	1		U	200		U	200
Bromomethane	5	U	1		U	1		U	200		U	200
2-Butanone (MEK)	50	U	10		U	10		U	2000		U	2000
Carbon disulfide		U	1		U	1		U	200		U	200
Carbon tetrachloride	5	U	1		U	1		U	200		U	200
Chlorobenzene	5	U	1		U	1		U	200		U	200
Chloroethane	5	U	1		U	1		U	200		U	200
Chloroform	7	U	1		U	1		U	200		U	200
Chloromethane		U	1		U	1		U	200		U	200
Dibromochloromethane	50	U	1		U	1		U	200		U	200
1 1-Dichloroethane	5	U	1	1.9		1		U	200		U	200
1.2-Dichloroethane	0.6	U	1		U	1		U	200		U	200
1 1-Dichloroethene	5	U	1		U	1	2600			1600		1
1.2-Dichloroethene Total	5	U	1		U	1		U	200		U	200
1 2-Dichloropropane	1 1	U	1		U	1		U	200		U	200
cis-1 3-Dichloropropene	0.4	U	1		U	1		U	200		U	200
trans-1 3-Dichloropropene	0.4	U	1		U	1		U	200		U	200
Ethylbenzene	5	U	1		U	1		U	200		U	200
2-Hexanone	50	U	10		U	10		U	2000		U	2000
Methylene chloride	5	U	1		U	1		U	200		U	200
4-Methyl-2-pentanone (MIBK)		U	10		U	10		U	2000		U	2000
Shrong	5	U	1		U	1		U	200		U	200
1 1 2 2-Tetrachloroethane	5	U	1		U	1		U	200		U	200
Totrachloroothane	5	U	1		U	1	14000			6200		
Teluard	5	Ū.	1		U	1		U	200		U	200
d d d Trichlereethooo	5	ū	1	1	U	1		U	200		U	200
1,1,1-Thenloroethane	1		1		U	1		U	200		U	200
1,1,2-1 richioroethane	5	11			U.	1	1700		1	920		7
Trichloroethene	5	0			11		560		1	290		1
Vinyl chloride	2	0				-		11	200		U	200
Xylenes (Total)	5	U	1	10	U		18 860	0	200	9.010		200
Totals		ND		1 1.9			10,000			0,010		

Technical and Operational Guidance Series (June 1998).

D.L. - Detection limit

U - Analyzed for but not detected above the laboratory detection limit Bold and boxed cells indicate an exceedance of groundwater standards Data compiled from Beardsley Design Associates April 2008 Limited Subsurface Investigation Report ND - Non detect

Table 2-3. (Tage 2 of 2) Beardsley Design Asso		around and barnpio / mary					Sample Ide	entification					
Analyte	GW Std^ (ug/L)	MW-1	MW-2	MW-3	MW-4	MW-9	MW-10	MW-11	MW-12	MW-13	MW-14	MW-15	MW-16
Date Sampled		Dec-07	Dec-07	Dec-07	Dec-07	Mar-08	Mar-08	Mar-08	Mar-08	Mar-08	Apr-08	Apr-08	Apr-08
				DI	DL	D.L.	D.L.	D.L.	D.L.	D.L	D.L.	D.L.	D.L.
Volatile Halocarbons by EPA Method 624		D.L.	D.L.	D.L.	5.2.	U 1	U 1	U 1000	U 20	U 100	U 1	U 1	U 1
Bromodichloromethane	50					<u> </u>	U 1	U 1000	U 20	U 100	U 1	U 1	U 1
Bromoform	50					ŭ 1	Ū 1	U 1000	U 20	U 100	U 1	U 1	U 1
Bromomethane	5					ŭ i	Ŭ 1	U 1000	U 20	U 100	U 1	U 1	U 1
Carbon tetrachloride	5					U 1	11 1	U 1000	U 20	U 100	U 1	U 1	U 1
Chlorobenzene	5		1				U 1	U 1000	U 20	U 100	U 1	U 1	U 1
Chloroethane	5					0 1	1 10	11 10000	U 200	U 1000	U 10	U 10	U 10
2-Chloroethylvinyl ether				1		0 10	0 10	U 1000	U 20	U 100	U 1	U 1	U 1
Chloroform	7					U 1		1 1000	11 20	U 100	U 1	U 1	U 1
Chloromethane						U 1	0 1	0 1000	11 20	U 100	U 1	U 1	U 1
Dibromochloromethane	50					U 1	0 1	0 1000	0 20	U 100	ŭ 1	U 1	U 1
1.2-Dichlorobenzene	3					U 1	U 1	0 1000		U 100	Ŭ 1	U 1	U 1
1.3-Dichlorobenzene	3					U 1	U 1	0 1000	0 20	U 100	1 <u> </u>	Ū 1	U 1
1 4-Dichlorobenzene	3					U 1	U 1	U 1000	0 20	11 100	U 1	Ū 1	U 1
Dichlorodifluoromethane	5					U 1	U 1	0 1000	0 20	0 100	U 1	U 1	Ŭ 1
1 1-Dichloroethane	5					U 1	U 1	U 1000	0 20	0 100		U 1	U 1
1.2-Dichloroethane	0.6					U 1	U 1	U 1000	U 20	0 100			1 1
1,2-Dichloroethane	5					U 1	U 1	U 1000	U 20	0 100			
1,1-Dichloroethene	5					U 1	U 1	U 1000	U 20	0 100	0 1		
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	5					U 1	U 1	U 1000	U 20	U 100	0 1		
1,2-Dichioropropane	5					U 1	U 1	U 1000	U 20	U 100		0 1	
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	0.4					U 1	U 1	U 1000	U 20	U 100	0 1		
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	0.4					U 1	U 1	U 1000	U 20	U 100	0 1		
Methylene chloride	5					U 1	U 1	U 1000	U 20	<u> </u>	0 1	0 1	0 1
1,1,2,2-1 etrachloroethane	5				11 1	U 1	U 1	14000	1200	900	U 1	U 1	0 1
Tetrachlorethene	5	170	0 1	0 1	0 1	<u> </u>	U 1	U 1000	U 20	U 100	U 1	U 1	U 1
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5						Ŭ 1	U 1000	U 20	U 100	U 1	U 1	U 1
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	1						U 1	2400	280	470	U 1	U 1	U 1
Trichloroethene	5							1000	11 20	U 100	U 1	U 1	U 1
Trichlorofluoromethane (freon 11)	5							U 1000	11 20	11 100	U 1	U 1	2.5
Vinvl chloride	2			1		U 1	29	0 1000	1 490	1 270	ND	ND	2.5
Tatala		170	ND	ND	ND	ND	29	16,400	1,480	1,370			1

Table 2-5: (Page 2 of 2) Beardsley Design Associates Soil and Groundwater Sample Analytical Results. Remedial Investigation Report. December 2007 - April 2008. 110 Luther Ave, Syracuse Label.

Totals | 170 | ND | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100

D.L. - Detection limit

U - Analyzed for but not detected above the laboratory detection limit Bold and boxed cells indicate an exceedance of groundwater standards

Data compiled from Beardsley Design Associates April 2008 Limited Subsurface Investigation Report

ND - Non detect

Table 4-2: (Page 1 of 5) Soli Sample	p Objectives	iguitori riope						Samp	ole Ide	entification								
	(mc	a/ka)																-
Analyte	Unrestricted	Industrial Use	SB-1		SB-2		SB-3	-	1B-1		18-2		18-3		1B-4		WI VV-17	
Date Sampled	000		Feb-10		Feb-1	0	Feb-1	0	Feb-1	0	Feb-1	0	Feb-1	0	Feb-1	0	Feb-1	0
Depth of Sample			4 - 7'		4 - 7		2 - 8		4 - 6'	- 1	2 - 4		5 - 7'		4 - 7		6 - 8	
VOCs by EPA Method 8260B						1					0.0544		0.004		0.02	1*	0.015	1*
acetone	0.05	1,000	0.011	J*	0.013	J*	0.0037	J*	0.074^		0.0514		0.034		0.02	5	0.015	
benzene	0.06	89		U		U		U		U	0.0016	5		0				U I
bromodichloromethane				U		U		U		U		U		U				
bromoform				U		U		U		U		U		0				
bromomethane				U		U		U		U		U		0				ii l
methyl ethyl ketone	0.12	1,000		U		U		U		U	1.1	U		U				
carbon disulfide				U		U		U		U		U		U		0		
carbon tetrachloride	0.76	44		U		U		U		U		U		U		0		
chlorobenzene	1.1	1,000		U		U		U		U		U		U		U		
chloroethane				U		U		U		U		U		U		U		0
chloroform	0.37	700		U		U		U		U		U		U		U		0
chloromethane				U		U		U		U		U		U		0		0
dibromochloromethane				U		U		U		U		U		U		U		0
1 1-dichloroethane	0.27	480		U		U		U		U		U		U		U		0
1.2-dichloroethane	0.02	60		U		U		U		U		U		U		U		U
1 1-dichloroethene	0.33	1,000		U		U		U		U		U		U		U		U
1.2-dichloropropane				U		U		U		U		U		U		U		
cis-1 3-dichloropropene				U		U		U		U		U		U		U		
trans-1 3-dichloropropene				U		U		U		U		U		U		U		U
athylbenzene	1	780		U		U		U		U		U		U		U		U
2-bexanone				U		U		U	Section Section	U		U		U		U		U
methylene chloride	0.05	1,000		U		U		U	0.0017	JB	0.0028	JB	0.0021	JB	0.0018	JB		U
methyl isobutyl ketone				U		U		U		U		U		U		U		U
styrapa				U		U		U		U		U		U		U		U
1 1 2 2 totrachloroethane				U		U		U		U		U		U		U		U
totrachloroethene	1.3	300		U		U		U		U		U	0.0057	J		U	0.17	
teluene	0.7	1.000		U		U		U	0.00021	JB	0.0014	JB	0.00037	JB	0.00021	JB		U
1 1 1 trichloroethane	0.68	1.000		U		U		U		U		U		U		U		U
1,1,2 trichloroothane	0.00	.,		U		U		U		U		U		U		U	10000	U
trichloroothone	0.47	400		U		U		U		U		U	0.0089			U	0.015	-
vinvl chloride	0.02	27		U		U		U		U	0.02^		0.002	J	0.011			U
	0.26	1.000		U		U		U		U	0.0022	J		U	- Andreas	U		U
is 1.2 dichloroothone	0.25	1,000		U		U		U		U	0.032		0.059		0.065		0.0033	J
trans 1.2 dichloroothone	0.19	1,000		U		U		U		U		U		U		U		U
Totals	0.10	.,	0.011		0.013		0.0037		0.0019		0.0400		0.11207		0.0980		0.2033	

Table 4.2: (Page 1 of 5) Soil Sample Analytical Results. Remedial Investigation Report. February 2010. 110 Luther Ave, Syracuse Label.

All values reported as mg/kg

U - Analyzed for but Not Detected

J - Indicates an estimated value

B - The analyte was found in an associated blank, as well as in the sample

* - LCS, LCSD, MS, or MSD exceeds the control limits

^ - indicates an exceedance of Unrestricted Use SCOs

Bold and boxed results indicate an exceedance of Industrial Use SCOs

Industrial Use and Unrestricted Use Soil Cleanup Objectives from 6 NYCRR Subpart 375-6 Remedial Program Soil Cleanup Objectives (December 2006).

	Soil Cleanu	p Objectives	Sample Identification							
Analyte	Unrestricted	Industrial Use	SB-1	SB-2	SB-3					
Date Sampled Depth of Sample			Feb-10 4 - 7'	Feb-10 4 - 7'	Feb-10 2 - 8'					
EVOCa by EBA Mathad 9370C										
ohenol	0.33	1 000		1	u u					
his(2-chloroethyl)ether	0.00	1,000	U I		u u					
2-chloronhenol			ŭ		ul u					
1.3-dichlorobenzene	24	560	ŭ		u u					
1.4-dichlorobenzene	1.8	250	ŭ		u u					
penzyl alcohol		200	ŭ		u u					
2-dichlorobenzene	11	1 000	11		u u					
2'-oxybis[1-chloropropage]		1,000	U.		u u					
2-methylohenol			ŭ		ul u					
nexachloroethane			ŭ		u u					
a-nitrosodi-n-propylamine			U I		ŭ ŭ					
4-methylohenol			ŭ		ŭ ŭ					
hitrobenzene			U.		ŭ ŭ					
sophorone			ŭ		ŭ l ŭ					
2-nitrophenol			ŭ		u u					
2,4-dimethylphenol			ŭ		u u					
bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane		1.4	U.		u ŭ					
2.4-dichlorophenol			ŭ		u u					
1,2,4-trichlorobenzene			ŭ		u u					
naphthalene	12	1,000	U		u u					
4-chloroaniline			ŭ		u u					
hexachlorobutadiene			U		U U					
4-chloro-3-methylphenol			U		u u					
2-methylnaphthalene			U		u u					
hexachlorocyclopentadiene			U		U U					
2,4,6-trichlorophenol			U	1	U U					
2,4,5-trichlorophenol			U		U U					
2-chloronaphthalene			U		U U					
2-nitroaniline			U		u u					
acenaphthylene	100	1,000	U		U U					
dimethyl phthalate			U		U U					
2,6-dinitrotoluene			U		U U					
acenaphthene	20	1,000	U		U U					
3-nitroaniline			U		U U					
2,4-dinitrophenol			U		UUU					
dibenzofuran			U		U U					
2,4-dinitrotoluene			U		U L					
4-nitrophenol			U		UL					
fluorene	30	1,000	U		UL					
4-chlorophenyl phenyl ether			U		U L					
diethyl phthalate			U		U L					
4-nitroaniline			U		U U					
4,6-dinitro-2-methylphenol			U		U L					
n-nitrosodiphenylamine			U		U L					
4-bromophenyl phenyl ether	and the second	200	U		U U					
hexachlorobenzene	0.33	12	U		u u					
pentachlorophenol	0.8	55	U		u u					
phenanthrene	100	1,000	U		U L					
carbazole		and the second second	U		u u					
anthracene	100	1,000	U		U L					
di-n-butyl phthalate		a share	U		U L					
fluoranthene	100	1,000	U		U 0.016					
pyrene	100	1,000	U		u u					
butyl benzyl phthalate			U		u u					
3,3'-dichlorobenzidine			U		u u					
benzo[a]anthracene	1	11	U		U L					
chrysene	1	110	U	1	U L					
bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate			0.120 J	0.190	J 0.300 .					
di-n-octyl phthalate			U		U L					
benzo[b]fluoranthene	1	11	U		U L					
benzo[k]fluoranthene	0.8	110	U		U I					
benzo[a]pyrene	1	1.1	U		U I					
indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene	0.5	11	U		U I					
dibenz(a,h)anthracene	0.33	1.1	U		U U					
benzo[g,h,i]perylene	100	1,000	U		0 1					
lotals			0.12	0.19	0.316					

Table 4-2: (Page 2 of 5) Soil Sample Analytical Results. Remedial Investigation Report. February 2010. 110 Luther Ave, Syracuse Label

II Utalis
 I Utalis

	Remodial Investigation Report Fe	ebruary 2010	110 Luther Ave, Syracuse Label.
Table 4-2: (Page 3 of 5) Soil Sample Analytical Results. H	Remedial Investigation Report. Fe	ebiuary 2010.	TTO Lutilei Ave, Oylacuoo Labon

	Call Cleanum O	biantivas (ma/ka)	Sample Identification					
Analyte	Soli Cleanup Ol	bjectives (ing/kg)	service of					
Analyte	Unrestricted Use	Industrial Use	SB-1	SB-2	SB-3			
Date Sampled			Feb-10	Feb-10	Feb-10			
Depth of Sample			4 - 7'	4 - 7'	2 - 8'			
PCBs by EPA Method 8082								
Aroclor-1016			U	U	0			
Aroclor-1221			U	U	0			
Aroclor-1232			U	0	0			
Aroclor-1242			U	U	0			
Aroclor-1248			U	U	U			
Aroclor-1254			U	U	U			
Aroclor-1260			U	U	U			
Totals	0.1	25	ND	ND	ND			

All values reported as mg/kg

U - Analyzed for but Not Detected

J - Indicates an estimated value

NS - Not sampled as part of this sampling event

^ - indicates an exceedance of Unrestricted Use SCOs

Bold and boxed results indicate an exceedance of Industrial Use SCOs

Industrial Use and Unrestricted Use Soil Cleanup Objectives from 6 NYCRR Subpart 375-6 Remedial Program Soil Cleanup Objectives (December 2006).

	Sail Cleanup Ol	piectives (ma/ka)	Sample Identification								
Apolyto	Soli Cleanup Ol	bjectives (ing/kg)					SB-3				
Analyte	Unrestricted Use	Industrial Use	SB-1		SB-2	2	SB-3				
Date Sampled			Feb-1	0	Feb-1	0	Feb-10				
Depth of Sample			4 - 7		4 - 7		2 - 8				
Metals by EPA Method 6010 B											
Silver	2	6,800		U	Construction of	U		U			
Aluminum			21,600		17,100		6,680				
Arsenic	13	16	5.9	J	2.8	J		U			
Barium	350	10,000	137	J	80.7	J	20.5	J			
Bervllium	7.2	2,700	0.30	J	0.32	J	0.14	J			
Calcium			3,720		3,560		1,050				
Cadmium	2.5	60		U		U		U			
Cobalt			10.6		7.8		3.7				
Chromium	30	800	33.5^	J	25.2	J	9.8	J			
Copper	50	10,000	30.0	J	27.0	J	10.7	J			
Iron			30,000		24,300		10,400				
Potassium			3,390		2,260		680				
Magnesium			7,610		6,190		2,440				
Manganese	1,600	10,000	436	J	242	J	87.3	J			
Sodium			1,640		780		358				
Nickel	30	10,000	33.1^		28.1		10.5				
Lead	63	3,900	9.7	1.11	7.1		2	J			
Antimony				UJ		UJ		UJ			
Selenium	3.9	6,800		UJ		UJ		UJ			
Thallium				U		U	C. S. C.	U			
Vanadium			37.0	J	28.1	J	11.8	J			
Zinc	109	10,000	72.0	J	59.0	J	17.7	J			
Mercury by EPA Method 7470A											
Mercury	0.18	5.7	and the second second	U		U		U			

Table 4-2: (Page 4 of 5) Soil Sample Analytical Results. Remedial Investigation Report. February 2010. 110 Luther Ave, Syracuse Label.

All values reported as mg/kg

U - Analyzed for but Not Detected

J - Indicates an estimated value

NS - Not sampled as part of this sampling event

^ - indicates an exceedance of Unrestricted Use SCOs

Bold and boxed results indicate an exceedance of Industrial Use SCOs

Industrial Use and Unrestricted Use Soil Cleanup Objectives from 6 NYCRR Subpart 375-6 Remedial Program Soil Cleanup Objectives (December 2006).

	Sample identification											
General Chemistry Date Sampled	SB-1	SB-2	SB-3	TB-1	TB-2	TB-3	TB-4	MW-17				
	Feb-10	Feb-10	Feb-10	Feb-10	Feb-10	Feb-10	Feb-10	Feb-10				
Percent Moisture Percent Solids	21.4 78.6	17.5 82.5	11.5 88.5	13.4 86.6	18.6 81.4	21 79	16.9 83.1	20.8 79.2				

Table 4-2: (Page 5 of 5) Soil Sample Analytical Results. Remedial Investigation Report. February 2010. 110 Luther Ave, Syracuse Label.

able 4.4: (Page 1 of 4) Groundwater	Sample Analytical Results Rem	edial Investigation Report.	February-October 2010.	110 Luther Ave, Syracuse Label.
AUE 4-4 (PADE 1 0) 4) (310) (0) VALE	Salline Analyncal neoulo, nein	Sular In Conductor i toport.	i obraarj etress	incl

		the second second						Sar	nple Identification	on						
Analyte	GW Std^ (uq/L)	MW-1	MW-2	MW-5	MW-7	MW-8	MW-9	MW-11	MW-12	MW-13	MW-16	MW-17	MW-18	MW-19	Dupl	icate
D. I. O		Fab 10	Ech 10	Eeb-10	Feb-10	Feb-10	Feb-10	Feb-10	Feb-10	Feb-10	Feb-10	Feb-10	Oct-10	Oct-10	Feb-10	Oct-10
Date Sampled		Feb-10	Feb-10	160-10	105 10		the second second								(MVV-8)	(10100-19)
VOCs by EPA Method 8260B									11	92		U	UJ	UJ	U	UJ
acetone	50	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	0	03	U U		U	U	U	U
benzene	1	U	U	U	U	U	0		0	11*1	11*.1	U	U	U	U	U
bromodichloromethane	50	U*J	U*J	U*J	U	0*J	0	0.5	0.0	11	Ű	Ŭ	U	U	U	U
bromoform	50	U	U	U	U	U	0	0	0	U U	Ŭ	U	U	U	U	U
bromomethane	5	U	U	U	U	U	U	11*	11*	U*	U*	U	U	U	U	U
methyl ethyl ketone	50	U*	U*	U*	U	0	U	0		U U	Ŭ	U	U	U	U	U
carbon disulfide	60	U	U	U	0	U	0	0		Ŭ	U	U	U	U	U	U
carbon tetrachloride	5	U	U	U	0	U	0	0	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U
chlorobenzene	5	U	U	U	U	0	0		U	Ŭ	U	U	UJ	UJ	U	UJ
chloroethane	5	U	U	U	U	0	0	Ŭ Ŭ	Ŭ	U	U	U	U	U	U	U
chloroform	7	U	U	U	0	0	U	ŭ	Ŭ	U	U	U	UJ	UJ	U	UJ
chloromethane		U	U	U U	U *	11*1	0	U*.1	U*J	U*J	U*J	U*J	U	U	U*J	U
dibromochloromethane	50	U*J	0-1	0.1	0.5	11	Ŭ	I U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U
1,1-dichloroethane	5	1.1 J	U		0		U U	U U	U	U	U	U	U	2.8 J	U	2.6 J
1,2-dichloroethane	0.6	U	U	0	0	0			Ŭ	U	U	U	U	U	U	U
1,1-dichloroethene	5	U	U	0	0	U	1	U U	Ŭ	U	U	U	U	U	U	U
1,2-dichloropropane	5	U	0	0	0	U	ŭ	Ŭ	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U
cis-1,3-dichloropropene	0.4	U	0			U U	u u	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U
trans-1,3-dichloropropene	0.4	0	0			U U	Ū	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	0
ethylbenzene	5	0	0			Ŭ	Ŭ	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U
2-hexanone	50	0	0		170 1	21 1	i U	110 J	8.6 J	1 U	U	87 J	U	U	35 J	J
methylene chloride	5	0	0				Î Ū	U	U	- U	U	U*.	J U	U	U*J	U
methyl isobutyl ketone	50	U			1 11	U U	U U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U
styrene	5	0				Ŭ	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U U
1,1,2,2-tetrachloroethane	5	0	0		27.000	3,900	1 U	20,000	220	410	U	14,000	U	U	3,500	U U
tetrachloroethene	5	60	22		11,000	U	U	U	U	U	U	140 J	0.73 J	U	0	U
toluene	5	0				Ū Ū	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	0	U
1,1,1-trichloroethane	5	0			I ü	Ū.	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U
1,1,2-trichloroethane	1	0			4 300	860	1 U	6,100	79	600	U	2,000	U	U	900	U
trichloroethene	5	39	1.2 J		260	250	1 Ū	270 J	18 J	29	2.3 J	U	2.7 J	U	270	U
vinyl chloride	2	33	-	0	200 0	11	1 ŭ	U	U	U	U	U	9.7	U	U	U
xylenes, total	5	U	0		0 600	2 500	1 ŭ	4,400	670	780	50	750	U	U	2,500	U
cis-1,2-dichloroethene	5	150			2,000	2,500		11	U	12 J	U	U	U	U	U	U
trans-1,2-dichloroethene	5	0.91 J	U	U	0	7 521		30,880	995.6	1.914	52.3	16,977	13.13	2.8	7,205	2.6
Totals		284.01	23.2	ND	34,330	1,001			1							
All values reported as ug/L																

U - Analyzed for but Not Detected

J - Indicates an estimated value

* - LCS or LCSD exceeds the control limits

ND - Non detect

Bold and boxed results indicate an exceedance of Groundwater Standards

^- GW Std - Class GA Groundwater Quality Standard or Guidance Value from New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (NYSDEC) Division of Water Technical and Operational Guidance Series (June 1998).

	CIN CHAN				Sa	mple Identificati	on			
Analyte	(ug/L)	MW-6	MW-8	MW-9	MW-11	MW-15	MW-18	MW-19	Dupl	icate
Date Sampled		Feb-10	Feb-10	Feb-10	Feb-10	Feb-10	Oct-10	Oct-10	Feb-10 (MW-8)	Oct-10 (MW-19)
504 Math at 80700										
SVOCS by EPA Method 82/0C	2	11	11	U	U	U	U	U	U	U
1,2-dichlorobenzene	3	ŭ		u	Ū.	U	U	U	U	U
1,3-dichlorobenzene	3		Ŭ I	ŭ	U	U	U	U	U	U
1,4-dichlorobenzene	3	U	0	U U	ŭ	U U	U	U	U	U
bis(2-chloroethyl)ether	1 1	U	U	U	U U	ŭ	ŭ	U U	U	U
benzyl alcohol		U	U	U	Ŭ	ŭ	U U	ŭ	U	U
2,2'-oxybis[1-chloropropane]		U	U	U	U	U U	U U	U I	U	U
hexachloroethane	5	U	U	U	Ŭ	ŭ	U	U I	U	U
hexachlorobutadiene	0.5	U	U	U	U	U U	U U		U.	U.I
hexachlorocyclopentadiene	5	U	U	U	U	0	U	11	11	U.
hexachlorobenzene	0.04	U	U	U	U	U	U	U U	U II	U.
1,2,4-trichlorobenzene	5	U	U	U	U	U	U	10	U	16 1
2.4.6-trichlorophenol		U	U	U	U	U	U	1.0 J		1.0 5
2.4.5-trichlorophenol		U	U	U	U	U	U	1.6 J		1.0 5
bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane	5	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	
butyi benzyi phthalate	50	U	U	U	0.56 J	U	U	UJ	U	05
n-nitrosodi-n-propylamine		U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U
nitrobenzene	0.4	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U
isophorope	50	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U
nanhthalene	10	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U
d chloroaniline	5	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U
2-methyloaphthalene		U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U
2-chloronanbthalene	10	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U
2 pitroppiline	5	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U
2-millioannine		U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U
dimethyl opthalato	50	Ū.	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U
	5	ũ	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U
2,6-difild dioldenie	20	U.	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U
acenapriliene	5	Ű	ū	U	U	U	U	U	U	U
3-multianinine		ŭ	Ŭ	U	U	U	U	U	U	U
oldenzoluran	5	U U	u	U	U	U	U	U	U	U
2,4-dinitrotoluene	50	11	ŭ	U	U	U	U	U	U	U
fluorene	50	U U	u	Ū	U	U	U	U	U	U
4-chlorophenyl phenyl ether	50	U U	Ŭ	U	U	U	U	U	U	U
diethyl phthalate	50	U U	U U	Ŭ	U	U	U	U	U	U
4-nitroaniline	50	U U	ŭ	U	U	U	U	U	U	U
n-nitrosodiphenylamine	50	ŭ	ŭ	Ū	U	U	U	U	U	U
4-bromopnenyi prienyi etner		U U	Ŭ,	U.	Û	U	U	100		110
pentachlorophenol			ŭ		Ū.	U	U	U	U	U
phenanthrene	50	0	U U	U U	Ŭ.	Ū.	U	U	U	U
carbazole	50	0	U U	ŭ	U U	U U	U	U	U	U
anthracene	50	U	0		11 1	Ŭ.	0.45 .1	U	U	U
di-n-butyl phthalate	50	U	U		1.1 0		04 .1	Ū	U	U
fluoranthene	50	U	U	0	u u	ŭ	0.38 .1	ū	U	U
pyrene	50	U	0	U U	U U	U U	U	UJ	U	UJ
3,3'-dichlorobenzidine	5	U	0			U	U	U	U	U
benzo[a]anthracene	0.002	U	0	11	U U	U U	U	U	U	U
chrysene	0.002	0		12 1	14 1	0.76	1.6 J	5.1 J	1.3 J	5.6 J
bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	5	3.8 J	03	1.2 0	1.4 0	0.70 0		LI I	U	U
di-n-octyl phthalate	50	U	U	U			U U	u u	U	U
benzo[b]fluoranthene	0.002	U	U	U		U U	U U	U U	ü	U
benzo[k]fluoranthere	0.002	U	U	0	0		Ŭ Ŭ	U U	U	U
benzo[a]pyrene	ND	U	U	U	0		u u	U U	U	U U
indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene	0.002	U	U	U	U			111	Ū	U
dibenz(a,h)anthracene	50	U	U	U	0		11	11	ŭ	Ú Ú
benzo[g,h,i]perylene	5	U	U	U	U	U U	U		ü	u u
2-chlorophenol		U	U	U	U	U U	0			i ii
phenol	1	U	U	U	U	U	0			i ii
2-methylphenol		U	U	U	U	0	0	0		
2,4-dimethylphenol	50	U	U	U	U	0	0	0	0	
2-nitrophenol		U	U	U	U	U	U		0	
2.4-dichlorophenol	5	U	U	U	U	U	U		U	
4-chloro-3-methylphenol		U	U	U	U	U	U	U U	U	U
2.4-dinitrophenol	10	UJ	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	
4-nitrophenol		U	U	U	U	U	U		U	
methylphenol, 3&4		U	U	U	U	U	0.00	100.0	12	118.0
Totale		3.8	ND	1.2	3.06	0.76	2.83	108.3	1.0	110.0

Table 4-4: (Page 2 of 4) Groundwater Sample Analytical Results. Remedial Investigation Report. February-October 2010. 110 Luther Ave, Syracuse Label.

Totals All values reported as ug/L U - Analyzed for but Not Detected

J - Indicates an estimated value

NS - Not sampled as part of this sampling event

Bold and boxed results indicate an exceedance of Groundwater Standards ^ - GW Std - Class GA Groundwater Quality Standard or Guidance Value from New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (NYSDEC) Division of Water Technical and Operational Guidance Series (June 1998).

								Samp	ole Id	entification							
Analyte	GW Std^ (ug/L)	MW-1		MW-	5	MW-7	7	MW-1	0	MW-1	1	MW-1	2	MW-1	3	Duplic	ate
Date Sampled		Feb-1	0	Feb-1	0	Feb-1	0	Feb-10	0	Feb-1	0	Feb-1	0	Feb-1	0	Feb- (MW-	10 10)
Metals by EPA Method 6010B													11				11
Silver	50	0.35	J	a second	U		U		U		U		0		11	679	0
Aluminum		585		2,870			U		U		0		0		11	5.6	.1
Arsenic	25	6.8	J		U	5.2	J		U	104	0	010	U	36.6	0	396	U
Barium	1,000	274		389		215		398		124	. 11	212	11	50.0	11	000	U
Beryllium	3		U		U	101.000	U	111 000	U	170 000	0	84 200	0	21 300	U	137 000	
Calcium		229,000		121,000		181,000		141,000		170,000		04,200		21,000	11	15.3	
Cadmium	5		U		U		U	13.7			0			11	1	10.0	11
Cobalt		1.2	J	0.61	J		U		U	0.07	U	0.70	0	1.1	J	0.65	.1
Chromium	50	2.2	J	7			U		U	0.87	J	0.73	J	7.6	1	25	.1
Copper	200	8.8	J	14.9		2.5	J	2	J	7.6	J	0.0	J	21 600	0	2 010	
Iron	300	5,140		3,620		2,800		1,570		34,900		42,900		12,000		2,010	
Potassium		18,700		2,540		1,900		1,970		2,730		70,100		5 280		46 100	
Magnesium	35,000	64,200		24,300		56,200		47,300		50,800		22,000		5,260		146	
Manganese	300	4,820		119		150		140		233		605		105		E7 900	
Sodium	20,000	868,000		76,100		53,200		59,700		97,200		117,000		103,000		57,000	11
Nickel	100		U	5.8			U		U	11		1.1	J	6	11		0
Lead	25		U		U		U		U		U		U		0		11
Antimony	3		U		U		U		U		U		U		0		11
Selenium	10		U		U		U		U		0		0		1		11
Thallium	0.5		U		U		U		U	07	U	23	1	1.8	.1	32	J
Vanadium		6.3		5.6		2.9	J	2.9	J	3.7	J	2.0	0	722	U	13.4	.1
Zinc	2,000	215		104		52.5		8.2	J	1,200		3,930		123		10.4	U
Mercury by EPA Method 7470A											11				U		U
Mercury	0.7		U		U		U		0		0	L	0	la constantina con	0	I	

Table 4-4: (Page 3 of 4) Groundwater Sample Analytical Results. Remedial Investigation Report. February-October 2010. 110 Luther Ave, Syracuse Label.

All values reported as ug/L

U - Analyzed for but Not Detected

J - Sample result is greater than the MDL but below the CRDL

Bold and boxed results indicate an exceedance of Groundwater Standards

^ - GW Std - Class GA Groundwater Quality Standard or Guidance Value from New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (NYSDEC) Division of Water Technical and Operational Guidance Series (June 1998). Groundwater samples were excessively turbid which could lead to anomalously high levels of metals.

N9013

Table 4-4: (Page 4 of 4) Groundwater Sample Analytical Results. Remedial Investigation Report.February-October 2010. 110 Luther Ave, Syracuse Label.

	CIN/ StdA	Sample Identification							
Analyte	(ug/L)	MW-15	MW-16	Duplicate					
Date Sampled		Feb-10	Feb-10	Feb-10 (MW-16)					
Glycols by EPA Method 8015B Propylene glycol	1,000	U	U	U					
Ethylene glycol	50	U U	U U	U U					
Totals		ND	ND	ND					

U - Analyzed for but Not Detected

J - Sample result is greater than the MDL but below the CRDL

ND - None detected

Bold and boxed results indicate an exceedance of Groundwater Standards

^ - GW Std - Class GA Groundwater Quality Standard or Guidance Value from New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (NYSDEC) Division of Water Technical and Operational Guidance Series (June 1998).

		San	nple Ident	ificatio	n	
Analyte	SVW-	3	SVW-	4	Duplica	te
Date Sampled	Oct-10	C	Oct-10	C	Oct-10)
VOCs by EPA Method TO-15					3000-0	5
1,1,1-Trichloroethane		UJ		UJ		UJ
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane		UJ		UJ		UJ
1,1,2-Trichloroethane		UJ		UJ		UJ
1,1-Dichloroethane		U		U		U
1,1-Dichloroethene		U		U		U
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	1.000	U		U		U
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	3.1	J	98		0.60	J
1,2-Dibromoethane		U		U		U
1,2-Dichlorobenzene		U		U		U
1,2-Dichloroethane		U		U		U
1,2-Dichloropropane	0.05	0	10	0		U
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	0.95	J	43			UJ
1,3-butadiene		0				0
1,3-Dichlorobenzene			0.4	0		11
1,4-Dichlorobenzene			9.4	11		11
2.2.4 trimothylpoptano	11	0	13 000	0	19	0
A-ethyltoluene	1.4	11	16		1.0	U.
Acetone	14		10	11	7.5	.1
Allyl chloride		ŭ		ŭ	7.0	Ŭ
Benzene	0.52		8.4		0.55	
Benzyl chloride		U		U		U
Bromodichloromethane		U		U		U
Bromoform		U		U		U
Bromomethane		U		U		U
Cardon disulfide	0.76	J	5.2			UJ
Carbon tetrachloride	0.45	J		U	0.26	J
Chlorobenzene		U		U		U
Chloroethane		U		U		U
Chloroform	0.55	J		U		UJ
Chloromethane	0.65			U	0.50	
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.1	1.1		U	0.69	
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene		U		U		U
Cyclohexane		U	720			U
Dibromochloromethane		U		U		U
Ethyl acetate	0.40	U	10	U		0
Ethylbenzene	0.49	J	19		0.00	03
Freon 11	1.4	J	0.69	J	0.60	5
Freen 113		0		0		0
Froop 12	27	0	14	U	16	U
Haptano	2.1	11	200		1.0	11
Hexachloro-1 3-butadiene		U	200	U		Ŭ
Hexane	1.0	U	1,900	0	0.68	
Isopropyl alcohol		UJ	.,	U	0.80	J
m&n-Xvlene	0.97	J	68		0.79	J
Methyl Butyl Ketone		U		U		U
Methyl Ethyl Ketone	1.2			U	1.3	
Methyl Isobutyl Ketone		U		U		U
Methyl tert-butyl ether		U		U		U
Methylene chloride	2.6	J		U	0.60	J
o-Xylene	0.57	J	27			UJ
Propylene		U		U		U
Styrene	0.69	J		U		UJ
Tetrachloroethylene	0.97	J	19		0.97	J
Tetrahydrofuran		U		U		U
Toluene	1.6		56		1.8	
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene		U		U		U
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene		U		U	No. Starting	U
Trichloroethene	2.5	J		U	0.49	J
Vinyl acetate		U		U		U
Vinyl Bromide		U		U		U
Vinyl chloride		U	10.15	U		0
Total VOCs	40.	1/	16,19	1.09	21.8	53

 Table 4-5: (Page 1 of 1) Soil Vapor Sample Analytical Results. Remedial Investigation Report.

 October 2010. 110 Luther Ave, Syracuse Label.

All values reported as ug/m³ U - Analyzed for but not detected at the reporting limit J - Estimated value (analyte detected at or below quantitaion limit)