Remedial Investigation Work Plan

1440 Main Street Site C915408
Buffalo, New York

June 2026

Prepared for:

1440 Main Street LLC
8615 Roll Road
Clarence Center, New York 14032

Prepared by:

Roux Environmental Engineering and Geology, D.P.C.
2558 Hamburg Turnpike, Suite 300
Buffalo, New York 14218

Environmental Consulting
& Management
+1.800.322.ROUX
rouxinc.com

Table of Contents

Certification iv

1. Introduction 1
- 1.1 Site Background 1
- 1.2 Project Objectives 1
- 1.3 Project Organization and Responsibilities 2

2. Site Description 3
- 2.1 General 3
- 2.2 Site Topography and Drainage 3
- 2.3 Geology and Hydrogeology 3
  - 2.3.1 Overburden 3
  - 2.3.2 Bedrock 3
  - 2.3.3 Hydrogeology 4
- 2.4 Utilities and Groundwater Use 4
- 2.5 Wetlands 4
- 2.6 Previous Investigations 4
  - 2.6.1 November 2023 – Historic Research and (Limited) Phase II Environmental Investigation 4
  - 2.6.2 July 2024 – Supplemental Phase II Environmental Investigation 5

3. Remedial Investigation Scope of Work 6
- 3.1 Pre-Investigation Activities 6
  - 3.1.1 Utility Clearance 6
  - 3.1.2 Buildings Assessment and Demolition Activities 6
- 3.2 RI Soil/Fill Investigation 7
  - 3.2.1 Test Pit Soil/Fill Investigation 7
  - 3.2.2 Soil Boring Soil/Fill Investigation 7
  - 3.2.3 Soil/Fill Sample Collection and Analysis 8
- 3.3 Groundwater Investigation 8
  - 3.3.1 Monitoring Well Installation 8
  - 3.3.2 Well Development 9
  - 3.3.3 Groundwater Sample Collection 9
  - 3.3.4 Groundwater Sample Analyses 10
    - 3.3.4.1 Sampling Preparation 10
    - 3.3.4.2 Sampling Procedures 10
    - 3.3.4.3 Sample Analysis 11
- 3.4 Soil Vapor Investigation 11
  - 3.4.1 Soil Vapor Sampling 11
  - 3.4.2 Soil Vapor Intrusion (SVI) Assessment – Building 4 12
    - 3.4.2.1 Pre-sample Assessment 12
    - 3.4.2.2 Sub-Slab Vapor Sample Collection 12
    - 3.4.2.3 Air Sample Analysis 13
- 3.5 Field Specific Quality Assurance/Quality Control Sampling 13
- 3.6 Decontamination and Investigation-Derived Waste Management 13
- 3.7 Site Mapping 14

3.8 Documentation 14

4. Interim Remedial Measure (IRM) 16
4.1 Pre-Construction Activities 16
4.2 Utility Clearance 16
4.3 Waste Characterization 16
4.4 Building Demolition 17
4.5 Former Fuel System Removal 17
4.6 Removal of Impacted Soil/Fill 17
4.7 Excavation Confirmation Sampling 17
4.8 Groundwater Management 17
4.9 Excavation Backfill 18

5. Quality Assurance Project Plan 19
5.1 Scope of the QAPP 19
5.2 QAPP Organization and Responsibility 20
5.2.1 Volunteers 20
5.2.2 Applicant 20
5.3 Quality Assurance (QA) Responsibilities 21
5.4 Field Responsibilities 22
5.5 Quality Assurance Objectives for Measurement Data 22
5.6 Level of QC Effort for Sample Parameters 22
5.7 Sampling and Analysis Plan 23
5.7.1 Custody Procedures 23
5.7.2 Sample Storage 23
5.7.3 Sample Custody 23
5.7.4 Sample Tracking 24
5.7.5 Split Sampling 24
5.8 Calibration Procedures and Frequency 24
5.8.1 Field Instrument Calibration 25
5.9 Analytical Procedures 25
5.9.1 Field Instrument Calibration 25
5.10 Data Usability Evaluation 25
5.10.1 Procedures Used to Evaluate Field Data Usability 25
5.10.2 Procedures Used to Evaluate Laboratory Data Usability 25

6. Investigation Support Documents 26
6.1 Health and Safety Protocols 26
6.1.1 Community Air Monitoring 26
6.2 Citizen Participation Activities 26

7. Reporting and Schedule 28
7.1 Remedial Investigation Reporting 28
7.2 Interim Remedial Measures 29
7.3 Alternatives Analysis (AA) 29

8. Project Schedule 31

9. References 32

Tables

1. Summary of Sampling and Analytical Program
2. Sample Container, Volume, Preservative & Holding Time Requirements
3. Summary of Field Operating Procedures

Figures

1. Site Location and Vicinity Map
2. Site Plan (Aerial)
3. Investigation Locations and Areas of Concern
4. Planned Remedial Investigation Locations
5. Preliminary Project Schedule

Appendices

A. Professional Profiles
B. Previous Investigations
C. Project Documentation Forms
D. Health and Safety Plan (HASP) including CAMP
E. Field Operating Procedures (FOPs)

Certification

I, Thomas H. Forbes, certify that I am currently a NYS registered professional engineer and that this June 2026 Remedial Investigation Work Plan for 1440 Main Street Site C915408 was prepared in accordance with applicable statutes and regulations and in substantial conformance with the DER Technical Guidance for Site Investigation and Remediation (DER-10).

Thomas H. Forbes, P.E.	7.6.26	
NYS Professional Engineer #070950-1	Date	Signature

It is a violation of Article 145 of New York State Education Law for any person to alter this document in any way without the express written verification of adoption by any New York State licensed engineer in accordance with Section 7209(2), Article 145, New York State Education Law.



1. Introduction

This document presents the proposed scope of work and implementation procedures for completion of a Remedial Investigation (RI) at 1440 Main Street (Site), located at 1440 and 1458 Main Street, Buffalo, New York (see **Figure 1** and **Figure 2**).

The Applicant, 1440 Main Street LLC, acting as a Volunteer, has elected to pursue cleanup and redevelopment of the Site under the New York State Brownfield Cleanup Program (BCP). The 1440 Main Street Site C915405 was deemed eligible and executed the Brownfield Cleanup Agreement (BCA) in January 2026.

The planned redevelopment is to construct a 178,000 square foot mixed-use residential with associated commercial and associated parking areas. The RI will be completed by Roux Environmental Engineering and Geology, DPC (Roux), on behalf of 1440 Main Street LLC. The work will be completed in accordance with NYSDEC DER-10 guidelines, 6NYCRR Part 375 regulations and the site-specific Brownfield Cleanup Agreement (BCA).

1.1 Site Background

The BCP Site consists of two legal parcels totaling 1.43-acres, including a 0.70-acre parcel addressed at 1440 Main Street and a 0.73-acre parcel addressed at 1458 Main Street, Buffalo, New York. The BCP Site is currently developed with five existing buildings and associated parking lots. The majority of the site is currently vacant, with only Building 4 being used for temporary storage.

As part of this project Roux completed a historical record research, including reviews of Sanborn Fire Insurance Maps, and municipal records for additional information relative to the Site. On-Site operations included storage, a plumbing shop, packing, storefronts, and various auto houses/private garages from at least 1925 through at least 1951. In addition, a former radio station (WKBW Studio) was on-Site in at least 1951. One gasoline underground storage tank (UST) was identified west of Building 4 (off the northwest corner) on the 1440 Main Street parcel in at least 1925. One additional gasoline UST was identified on the southwest portion of the 1458 Main Street parcel.

Previous environmental investigations completed at the Site have identified elevated levels of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) and metals exceeding Parts 375 Restricted-Residential Use Soil Cleanup Objectives (RRSCOs). Details of the previous investigations are presented in **Section 2.8** below and the reports are provided in **Appendix B**.

1.2 Project Objectives

For sites entering the BCP at the point of investigation, NYSDEC requires completion of the RI detailed in this Work Plan. The primary objectives of this RI are to:

- Collect additional on-site media samples, under appropriate quality assurance/ quality control criteria, to better delineate the nature and extent of contamination; and determine if contamination has the potential to migrate off-site.
- Determine if the concentrations of constituents of concern in soil, groundwater, and/or soil gas (beyond the known metals and PAHs in soil) pose potential unacceptable risks via on-site and off-site qualitative exposure assessment in accordance with DER-10 - Appendix 3b.

- Provide the data needed to evaluate potential remedial measures and determine appropriate actions to address potential significant risks.

As part of the RI, sampling data will be used to evaluate whether remedial alternatives can meet the cleanup objectives. The intended uses of these data dictate the confidence levels. Two data confidence levels will be employed in the RI: screening level data and definitive level data. In general, screening level confidence will apply to field measurements, including photoionization detection (PID) measurements, groundwater elevation measurements, and field analyses (i.e., pH, temperature, dissolved oxygen, specific conductivity, and turbidity). Definitive level confidence will apply to samples for chemical analysis.

The applicability of these levels of data are further specified in the Quality Assurance Project Plan (QAPP) in **Section 5.0**. Sampling and analytical acceptance and performance criteria such as precision, accuracy, representativeness, comparability, completeness, and sensitivity, are also defined in the QAPP.

An IRM, may be completed, to immediately address impacts identified during the RI. Based on the potential of USTs onsite, a IRM may be completed to address USTs and grossly impacted petroleum soil fill. The IRM will quickly mitigate risks to public health and the environment. This Work Plan presents the scope of potential IRM activities based on current information and may be modified, subject to NYSDEC approval, immediately after the RI fieldwork is completed.

The final remedial objectives for the Site will be presented in the Alternatives Analysis Report (AAR) based on the findings of the RI and confirmation sampling. Details of anticipated IRM activities are included in **Section 4.0**.

1.3 Project Organization and Responsibilities

The Applicant, 1440 Main Street LLC has been accepted to the NYS BCP as a Volunteer, i.e., non-polluter, per ECL§27-1405. Roux will complete the RI, the IRM if necessary, and manage the brownfield cleanup, on behalf of the Volunteer. Roux will also be responsible for verifying and certifying that the brownfield remedial action was completed in conformance with the approved work plans and NYSDEC DER-10 requirements.

Roux personnel as well as subcontractors for this project have not been determined at this time. Once pricing is secured, subcontract agreements are in place, and a field schedule determined, résumés for the selected project team will be provided to the Department, if requested. Roux's Project Manager's résumé, however, has been included in **Appendix A**. The table below presents the planned project team.

Company	Role	Name	Contact Information
1440 Main Street LLC	Applicant contact	Patrick McNamara	(716) 390-4411
Roux	Principal Scientist /Project Officer	Mike Lesakowski	(716) 856-0599
Roux	Principal Engineer	Thomas H. Forbes, P.E.	(716) 856-0599
TBD	Analytical Testing	TBD	TBD
TBD	Drilling Services	TBD	TBD
TBD	Excavation Services	TBD	TBD
Data Validation Services	DUSR	TBD	TBD

2. Site Description

2.1 General

The Site is located west of Main Street and Glenwood Avenue intersection in a highly developed mixed-use residential and commercial area of the City of Buffalo, Erie County, New York. The BCP site is bordered to the north by commercial businesses consisting of Buffalo RPM Mobile Mechanic, Sherwin-Williams Paint Store, and KD Pool Builders; to the south of the Site are commercial properties, which includes Main Events Banquet Hall, a WNY Iraq and Afghanistan Veteran's War Memorial, and the Pegasus Cleaning Corporation; east of the Site across Main Street are a commercial properties including car rentals, community administration buildings, insurance broker, and bar; and, on the west, the Site is bordered by residential properties.

2.2 Site Topography and Drainage

The Site is generally flat lying with topographic relief generally sloping towards the north and west. The surface of the Site is covered by existing buildings and asphalt/concrete parking lot areas No surface soil areas are present on-Site.

Precipitation (i.e., rain or melting snow) is likely to move via sheet flow across the impermeable surface to storm sewer inlets. Shallow groundwater flow is likely influenced by various cycles of development and subgrade utilities and foundation installation.

2.3 Geology and Hydrogeology

2.3.1 Overburden

According to the United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) Web soil survey (Ref. 1), Site soils are characterized as urban land (Ud). This soil type is characterized as 80 percent urban land and 20 percent minor components. Soils within the City of Buffalo with the majority of soil surface covered by asphalt, concrete, buildings, or other impervious structures, typical of an urban environment, are characterized as urban land.

The Site generally consists of asphalt cover material overlying urban fill materials to depths ranging between 0.5 feet below ground surface (fbgs) and 9 fbgs and native sandy clay and poorly graded sand beneath the fill units. Fill materials, as per previous intrusive assessments (further discussed in **Section 2.8**), were generally noted to include cinders, ash, and fragments of brick, coal, and concrete. Of note, black sand was observed along the western portion of the Site. In addition, this unknown black material was also identified in the southern portion of the 1440 Main Street parcel. Cinders and ash were observed mixed with fill at several soil borings throughout the Site. Fill materials were identified in all investigation locations within the BCP site. Native soil beneath the fill units was identified as predominantly sandy clay with layers of poorly graded sand. The geology of the Site will be further investigated as part of the RI activities.

2.3.2 Bedrock

Based on the bedrock geologic map of Erie County, the Site is situated over the Onondaga Limestone of the Middle Devonian Series. The Onondaga Limestone is comprised of composed of four main subunits: Seneca, Moorehouse, Nedrow, and Edgecliff limestone members. The unit has an approximate thickness

of 2 1/3 to 6 1/8 miles. Structurally, the bedrock formations strike in an east-west direction. Depth to and type of bedrock below the Site has not yet been determined. Geotechnical assessment would be necessary to confirm the type and depth of bedrock.

2.3.3 Hydrogeology

The Site is located within the Lake Erie-Niagara River major drainage basin, south of Hoyt Lake, which is typified by little topographic relief that gently slopes northward towards the Scajaquada Creek, except in the immediate vicinity of major drainage ways. Regional groundwater flow is likely to the west towards the Niagara River or north towards Scajaquada Creek. Locally, groundwater may be influenced by subsurface features, such as excavations, utilities, and localized fill conditions. Monitoring wells will be installed during the RI to assess groundwater flow and quality.

2.4 Utilities and Groundwater Use

The subject property has access to all major public and private utilities, including potable water, sanitary and storm sewers, electric, and natural gas. New York State Electric and Gas (NYSEG) Corporation utilities are in the right-of-way along Main Street.

Groundwater at the Site is assigned Class “GA” by 6NYCRR Part 701.15. Currently, there are no deed restrictions on the use of groundwater at the Site; however, there are no groundwater supply wells on the property. Regionally, groundwater in the area has not been developed for industrial, agriculture, or public supply purposes. Municipal potable water service is provided on- and off-site.

2.5 Wetlands

No state-or federal-regulated freshwater wetlands are mapped on the BCP Site.

2.6 Previous Investigations

A summary of the investigations that have occurred at the Site are presented below, included in **Appendix B** and the investigation location with areas of concern shown in **Figure 3**.

2.6.1 November 2023 – Historic Research and (Limited) Phase II Environmental Investigation

Roux Environmental Engineering and Geology, D.P.C. (Roux) completed a test pit investigation on the Site in October 2023, which was summarized in the November 2023 Phase II Limited Environmental Investigation report (Ref.7). Twelve soil borings (designated as SB-1 through SB-12) were advanced at the Site on October 13, 2023. Select samples were submitted for chemical analysis of PAHs, Resource and Recovery Act (RCRA) metals, and volatile organic compounds (VOCs). Results of the investigation are summarized below:

- Urban fill materials were identified across the Site to depths ranging from about 0.5 fbgs to greater than 9 fbgs.
- Nine different PAHs were identified at various concentrations exceeding USCOs, RRSCOs, CSCOs, and ISCOs.
- Metals, including arsenic, barium, cadmium, lead, and mercury, were identified exceeding USCOs, RRSCOs, CSCOs, and ISCOs.

- VOCs were not detected at concentrations above laboratory detection limits.

2.6.2 July 2024 – Supplemental Phase II Environmental Investigation

Roux completed a supplemental hand auger investigation on the Site in May 2024 (Ref. 9). Three samples were submitted for chemical analysis of PAHs and RCRA metals. Results of the investigation are summarized below:

- Urban fill materials were identified across the Site to depths ranging from 0.5 fbgs to about 3 fbgs.
- PAHs were identified at concentrations exceeding USCOs, RRSCOs, CSCOs, and ISCOs at HA-1 and HA-2.
- Metals, including arsenic, lead, and mercury, were identified exceeding USCOs and RRSCOs at HA-2 and HA-3.

3. Remedial Investigation Scope of Work

This RI is focused on defining the nature and extent of contamination on-site and potential for off-site migration, identifying the sources of contamination, defining chemical constituent migration pathways, qualitatively assessing human health and ecological risks, and obtaining data of sufficient quantity and quality to perform an alternatives analysis report. Further, because the goal of this BCP cleanup is to achieve USCOs, investigation activities will also focus further on assessing the horizontal extent and depths of known impacted fill material present at the Site.

Field team personnel will collect environmental samples in accordance with the rationale and protocols described in the QAPP in **Section 5**. USEPA and NYSDEC-approved sample collection and handling techniques will be used. Soil and groundwater samples taken for chemical analysis will be analyzed in accordance with USEPA SW-846 methodology with an equivalent Category B deliverable package to meet the definitive-level data requirements. Analytical results will be evaluated by a third-party data validation expert in accordance with provisions described in the QAPP. Data submittals will be provided to the NYSDEC in accordance with the most current electronic data deliverables (EDD) protocols.

During intrusive RI activities, the Community Air Monitoring Plan (CAMP) will be followed. The CAMP is consistent with the requirements for community air monitoring at remediation sites as established by the New York State Department of Health (NYSDOH) and NYSDEC. Accordingly, it follows procedures and practices outlined under NYSDEC's DER-10 (May 2010) Appendix 1A (NYSDOH's Generic Community Air Monitoring Plan) and Appendix 1B (Fugitive Dust and Particulate Monitoring).

The investigation approach is described below. **Figure 4** presents the proposed RI sample locations. **Table 1** outlines the planned sampling and analytical program.

3.1 Pre-Investigation Activities

3.1.1 Utility Clearance

Prior to any intrusive activities, Dig Safely New York (Call 811) will be contacted by the site contractor a minimum of three business days in advance of the work and informed of the intent to perform excavation work at the Site. If underground utilities are present on the property and are anticipated to interfere with intrusive activities, the Applicant and the NYSDEC will be contacted to discuss mitigating measures.

3.1.2 Buildings Assessment and Demolition Activities

Five (5) buildings are present on Site and have been labelled as Building 1 through Building 5 for identification purposes. Only Building 4 is planned to be redeveloped.

The Volunteer intends to retain a demolition contractor to remove the building(s) and allow for RI field activities. After completion of the pre-demolition activities, demolition of the above grade structure will be completed to the lowest intact slab elevation and foundations. Recyclable demolition material, including brick, block, concrete, wood, and metal will be transported offsite to a registered recycling facility. Any demolition material not able to be recycled will be properly disposed of in accordance with solid waste regulations. Recycling and disposal documents will be provided to the Department. Alternatively, the slab and foundations may be removed, per the municipal demo permit requirements, and a temporary cover system would be discussed with the Department.

3.2 RI Soil/Fill Investigation

A soil/fill investigation will be completed at the Site to assess the horizontal and vertical depth of known historical contamination and investigate for the potential presence of other contaminants. No surface soil samples are planned as the site is covered by buildings and asphalt.

The subsurface soil/fill investigation will include the completion of test pits and soil borings to allow for characterization of subsurface soil/fill material and sample collection. Thirteen (13) additional subsurface locations, including seven (7) test pits (TPs) and six (6) soil borings will be advanced across the Site during the RI. In addition, to the planned subsurface test pits and soil borings, delineation test pits will be excavated in areas of historic tank locations (see Figure 4).

3.2.1 Test Pit Soil/Fill Investigation

Seven (7) test pits, identified as TP-1 through TP-7, will be completed across the Site to characterize subsurface soil/fill. Each TP will be advanced to a target depth of 15 fbgs, or to depth which on-Site soil conditions safely allow (utilities along property boundaries, adjacent buildings). Once the TP is complete the spoils will be placed back into the TP in the general order in which it was removed. Test pits will be filled by the end of each workday.

In addition to the RI test pits noted above, additional delineation TPs will be completed in the vicinity of the two (2) historic tank areas, to assess the presence of USTs and/or appurtenant lines, and the potential for petroleum impacted soil fill. A minimum of one (1) TP will be advanced in each of the two (2) tank areas, with additional TPs being advanced as needed based on field findings. Approximate areas of potential historic tanks are identified on Figure 4. If tanks, lines or grossly impacted petroleum soils are identified, the Department will be notified.

All soil samples will be field screened for the presence of volatile organics using a calibrated photoionization detector (PID) with a 10.6 eV lamp, as a procedure for ensuring the health and safety of personnel at the Site, and to identify potential impacts in soil samples for laboratory analysis. Upon reaching the completion depth of each location, field visual/olfactory and PID results will be reviewed.

Samples will be collected from both the shallow overburden fill layer and the underlying native soil in accordance with the Sampling and Analysis Plan detailed on Table 2. Sampling from the tank delineation areas will be based on the field findings and in discussion with the Department.

Observations for the presence of groundwater will also be made during the test pitting investigation to assess for the presence of groundwater.

3.2.2 Soil Boring Soil/Fill Investigation

Six soil borings, identified as MW-1 through MW-6, will be advanced to collect soil samples and allow for the installation of monitoring wells. Borings will be advanced to a target depth of 15 fbgs, or equipment refusal.

All soil samples will be field screened for the presence of volatile organics using a calibrated PID with a 10.6 eV lamp, as a procedure for ensuring the health and safety of personnel at the Site, and to identify potential impacts in soil samples for laboratory analysis. Upon reaching the completion depth of each location, field visual/olfactory and PID results will be reviewed, and sample intervals will be determined.

3.2.3 Soil/Fill Sample Collection and Analysis

Table 1 summarizes the proposed sample collection and analytical program. The soil samples will be collected to further delineate the horizontal and vertical extent of the known contamination and determine if other impacts requiring remediation are present at the Site. The sample interval identified as the most impacted (i.e., greatest PID scan result and/or visual/ olfactory evidence of impact) at investigation locations will be selected for laboratory analysis. If either the impacts are ubiquitous from grade to final depth or no impacts were identified, the soil/fill directly above water table will be selected for analysis. If the impacts are ubiquitous from grade to final depth or no impacts were identified, and water is not encountered at a particular sample location, the sample interval will be selected based on the professional discretion of the field personnel and in consultation with the NYSDEC. If differentiable impacts are noted during the investigation, additional samples will be collected to characterize the material.

Select soil samples will be collected and analyzed for Target Compound List (TCL) plus CP-51 List VOCs plus Tentatively Identified Compounds (TICs), TCL semivolatile organic compounds (SVOCs) plus TICs, Target Analyte List (TAL) metals, polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs), pesticides, herbicides, 1,4-Dioxane, and per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS). Soil samples will be analyzed for 1,4-dioxane and PFAS in accordance with the Department's current sampling protocols (see Tables 2 and 3 for analytical method).

En-core samplers will be used to collect RI VOC soil samples. Remaining laboratory samples will be collected and placed into pre-cleaned laboratory provided sample bottles, cooled to 4ºC in the field, and transported under chain-of-custody command to a NYSDOH Environmental Laboratory Approval Program (ELAP)-certified analytical laboratory. Laboratory sample methodologies and applicable sampling requirements are presented on Table 2.

3.3 Groundwater Investigation

Six groundwater monitoring wells will be advanced at the Site to assess overburden groundwater quality data and flow direction. The groundwater wells will be installed into the uppermost water bearing zone. Proposed groundwater monitoring well locations are identified on **Figure 4**. Monitoring well installation, well development, and groundwater sample collection details are discussed in the following sections. In accordance with DER-10 guidelines, a second round of groundwater sampling will be completed. The initial RI groundwater results will be reviewed with the Department to determine the scope and timing of the second round of groundwater sampling.

3.3.1 Monitoring Well Installation

The monitoring wells will be installed with an appropriate drill rig. Each well will be advanced into the uppermost water bearing zone, a minimum of 15 fbgs. All non-dedicated drilling tools and equipment will be decontaminated between boring locations using potable tap water and a phosphate-free detergent (e.g., Alconox).

Soil boring logs, including PID readings recorded during screening, will be completed for each boring advanced for monitoring well installation. Should any differential layers of impacted soil/fill be encountered, additional soil samples will be collected, and appropriate analysis will be conducted at the discretion of the field personnel. The Department will be made aware of the differing impacts if/when they are encountered.

Each well will be constructed with two-inch diameter Schedule (SCH) 40 PVC with a minimum five-foot flush joint SCH 40 PVC 0.010-inch machine-slotted well screen. Each well screen and attached riser will be placed at the bottom of each borehole and a silica sand filter pack (size #0) will be installed from the base of the well to a maximum of two feet above the top of the screen. A bentonite chip seal will then be installed and allowed to hydrate sufficiently to mitigate the potential for downhole grout contamination. The newly installed monitoring wells will be completed with keyed-alike locks, a lockable J-plug, and a steel flush mounted road box.

Drill cuttings will either be placed on and covered with polyethylene sheeting or placed in sealed NYSDOT-approved drums and labeled for subsequent characterization and disposal, if necessary.

3.3.2 Well Development

After installation, but not within 24 hours, newly installed monitoring wells will be developed in accordance with Roux and NYSDEC protocols. Development of the monitoring wells will be accomplished with dedicated disposable polyethylene bailers via surge and purge methodology. Field parameters including pH, temperature, turbidity, dissolved oxygen, oxidation-reduction potential (ORP) and specific conductance will be measured periodically (i.e., every well volume or as necessary) during development. Field measurements will continue until they become relatively stable. Stability will be defined as variation between measurements of approximately 10 percent or less with no overall upward or downward trend in the measurements. A minimum of three well volumes will be evacuated from each monitoring well. Based on the RI groundwater analytical results, development water from the monitoring wells will be discharged to the ground surface in the vicinity of the monitoring well being developed. If impacts are noted during development including odors, sheen, light non-aqueous phase liquid (LNAPL), dense non-aqueous phase liquid (DNAPL), well development water will be containerized in NYSDOT-approved drums and labeled per monitoring well location. It will be determined, in consultation with the Department, if the containerized development water (if any) is acceptable for surface discharge or requires subsequent on-site treatment and/or off-site disposal.

3.3.3 Groundwater Sample Collection

Prior to groundwater sample collection, static water levels will be measured and recorded from the on-site monitoring wells to facilitate the preparation of a Site-wide isopotential map. Following water level measurement, field personnel will purge and sample monitoring wells using a submersible pump with dedicated pump tubing following low-flow/minimal drawdown purge and sample collection procedures. In the event of pump failure or the saturated unit does not permit the proper implementation of low-flow sampling, a dedicated polyethylene bailer will be used to purge and sample the well. Prior to sample collection via low-flow methodology, groundwater will be evacuated from each well at a low-flow rate (typically less than 0.1 L/min) while maintaining a generally consistent water level. Field measurements for pH, temperature, turbidity, DO, ORP, specific conductance and water level, as well as visual and olfactory field observations will be periodically recorded and monitored for stabilization. Low-flow purging will be considered complete when field parameters stabilize and when turbidity measurements fall below 50 Nephelometric Turbidity Units (NTU) or become stable above 50 NTU regardless of volume purged. Purging via disposable bailer, if necessary, will be considered complete following the removal of three well volumes and field parameter stabilization or to dryness, whichever occurs first. In general, stability is defined as variation between field measurements of 10 percent or less and no overall upward or downward trend in the measurements. Upon stabilization of field parameters, groundwater samples will be collected and analyzed as discussed below.

Sample collection methods that will be implemented during the RI include:

Submersible Pump with Dedicated Pump Tubing

> Monitoring wells will be purged and sampled using a non-dedicated submersible pump and dedicated pump tubing following low-flow (minimal drawdown) purge and sample collection procedures, as described above. Non-dedicated pumps will require decontamination prior to use at each well location and the collection of an equipment blank.

Polyethylene Disposable Bailer

> If low flow is not feasible (e.g., due to depth to groundwater), wells of any depth (up to 100 fbgs) may be purged and sampled using a polyethylene disposable bailer via direct grab. In general, a bottom filling dedicated polyethylene bailer is attached to a length of dedicated hollow-braid polypropylene rope and lowered into the well smoothly and slowly so as not to agitate the groundwater or damage the well. Purging continues until a predetermined volume of water has been removed (typically three well volumes) or to dryness. Measurements for pH, temperature, specific conductance, dissolved oxygen, and turbidity are recorded following removal of each well volume. The well is purged until the readings for indicator parameters stabilize or the well is purged to dryness.

Prior to, and immediately following collection of groundwater samples, field measurements for pH, specific conductance, temperature, dissolved oxygen, turbidity, and water level, as well as visual and olfactory field observations will be recorded. All collected groundwater samples will be placed in pre-cleaned, pre-preserved laboratory provided sample bottles, cooled to 4°C in the field, and transported under chain-of-custody command to a NYSDOH-approved laboratory for analysis.

3.3.4 Groundwater Sample Analyses

As summarized on **Table 1**, groundwater samples will be collected for full suite of parameters, including TCL VOCs, TCL SVOCs, TAL Metals, PCBs, pesticides/herbicides, PFAS and 1,4-dioxane. Groundwater samples will be collected and analyzed in accordance with USEPA SW 846 methodology with equivalent NYSDEC Category B deliverables to allow for independent third-party data usability assessment. PFAS sampling will be completed in accordance with NYSDEC April 2023 sampling protocols and FOP 24.2 in **Appendix E**.

3.3.4.1 Sampling Preparation

Sampling equipment, components, and containers will be handled to avoid contact with aluminum foil, low density polyethylene (LDPE), glass, or polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE, aka. teflon) materials including sample bottle cap liners with a Teflon layer. Clothing to be worn by sampling personnel will be laundered multiple times and will not contain PTFE material (including GORE-TEX®) or that which has been waterproofed with perfluorinated compounds (PFC) materials.

3.3.4.2 Sampling Procedures

Prior to well purge sample collection, static water levels will be measured and recorded. The groundwater wells will be developed using a plastic submersible pump (containing nitrile seals) and PVC tubing prior to sampling the groundwater at the three locations, starting with the upgradient location first (to be determined). The wells will be purged using low-flow sampling techniques to minimize water level draw down within the well until groundwater quality parameters (pH, temperature, turbidity, DO, ORP, specific conductance) stabilize or at least a minimum of three well volumes have been removed.

In general, stability is defined as variation between field measurements of 10 percent or less and no overall upward or downward trend in the measurements. Upon stabilization of field parameters, groundwater samples for the emergent contaminants will be collected from the submersible pump and PVC tubing. Sampling personnel will wear nitrile gloves while handling empty sample containers, filling sample containers, sealing sample containers, and placement into sample coolers. Samples will be placed on ice prior to transportation to the laboratory.

If sampling equipment and/or sampling personnel's hands come in contact with PFC materials, a standard two step decontamination process using detergent and clean water rinse will be performed on the equipment prior to reuse or the sampling personnel's hands prior to continuing with the sampling. It is recommended that clean nitrile gloves be worn while handling sample containers, during the groundwater sampling, and sealing/placement of samples into the laboratory supplied cooler.

3.3.4.3 Sample Analysis

Groundwater samples will be analyzed by an ELAP-certified laboratory which will provide a Category B deliverable package for preparation of a Data Validation Usability Summary Report (DUSR) by a third-party data validator.

Samples collected for 1,4-dioxane analysis will be collected into laboratory provided containers: two 500 milliliter (ml) unpreserved amber bottles for each well location. The samples will be analyzed via EPA Method 8270 Selective Ion Monitoring (SIM) mode. The method detection limit (MDL) for the 1,4-dioxane analysis will be no higher than 0.28 micrograms per liter (µg/l), assuming there is no sample matrix interference. The samples have a holding time of 7 days till extraction and 40 days for the extract. Standard turnaround time will be used for the analysis.

Samples collected for PFCs analysis will be collected into laboratory provided containers: three 250 ml plastic bottles preserved with Trizma for each well location. The samples will be analyzed via EPA Method 1633 to achieve reporting limits of 2 nanograms per liter (ng/l). The samples have a holding time of 14 days for analysis. Standard turnaround time will be used for the analysis.

3.4 Soil Vapor Investigation

3.4.1 Soil Vapor Sampling

Soil vapor will be investigated in areas of the Site related to the planned new building construction (see Figure 4). Five (5) soil vapor locations, identified as SV-01 through SV-05, to assess potential soil vapor intrusion (SVI) risks and to complete a qualitative off-site exposure assessment. Depth of sampling will be below the soil/fill layer, into the native soil. Additional ambient outdoor air samples and one blind duplicate sample will also be collected concurrent with the soil vapor samples. Soil vapor sampling probes will be installed in general conformance with the NYSDOH Soil Vapor Intrusion Guidance.

Each soil vapor sampling probe will be installed using specialized stainless steel soil probes. Sampling equipment includes stainless steel sampling screens, ¼-inch inside diameter inert sample tubing and dedicated Summa canisters. Soil boreholes will be advanced to a depth up to 3-4 fbgs, depending on the groundwater elevation at the time of sampling. The steel rod will be equipped with an anchor point at the driving end of the rod. The anchor point will be connected to the sampling screen and tubing on the inside of the steel rod. Once the steel rod is advanced to the target depth, the steel rod will be retracted, leaving

the anchor point, sampling screen and sampling tubing within the borehole annulus. Glass beads (or equivalent) will be poured around the sampling screen in a manner to cover the entire length of the sampling screen. Bentonite or bentonite/soil mixture will be placed above the glass beads to the ground surface to create a seal to prohibit infiltration of ambient air into the sampling area.

Once the sample probes are installed, the probe and tubing will be purged (three volumes) using a syringe and helium tracer gas will be used during the purging phase (in the same manner as recommended for soil vapor intrusion probes) to ensure that the probes are well sealed. Samples will be collected over an approximate 4-hour period. All soil vapor samples will be collected and analyzed for VOCs by EPA Method TO-15.

Each canister will be fitted with a sampling valve that uses a critical orifice and mass flow controller to regulate the air flow into the canister for the selected sampling period. The mass flow controller will maintain a relative constant air flow rate throughout the sampling period. Summa canister valves will remain closed until the sample holes are complete and all the canisters are in their respective positions. The valves will then be opened for the designated collection period.

3.4.2 Soil Vapor Intrusion (SVI) Assessment – Building 4

An SVI assessment will be performed in the existing Building 4, that is planned for redevelopment to evaluate the potential for vapor intrusion in Building 4, two (2) paired sub-slab vapor (SSV) with two (2) interior ambient air (IA) samples will be collected from the lowest level of Building 4. One (1) outdoor ambient (OA) air sample (i.e., background), will be collected concurrently during Building 4 SVI sampling. The sampling will be completed in general conformance with the NYSDOH Soil Vapor Intrusion Guidance (October 2006 and subsequent updates) and Roux's FOP 4.6 (Soil Vapor Sample Collection Procedure) in **Appendix E**. Soil vapor samples will be collected in laboratory provided sampling cannisters and regulators, and sent to a NYSDOH ELAP-approved laboratory for analysis via USEPA Method TO-15/TO-15SIM.

3.4.2.1 Pre-sample Assessment

Prior to initiation of SSV sampling, a pre-sampling inspection will be performed to identify and minimize conditions that may interfere with the proposed testing. The inspection will evaluate physical condition of the building and sources of potential indoor air contamination. The pre-sampling inspection will be documented on a building inventory log sheet.

3.4.2.2 Sub-Slab Vapor Sample Collection

At the SSV sampling location, Roux personnel will drill a hole through the concrete slab using a hand-held hammer drill. Temporary sub-slab tubing will be used for the sample collection. Holes in the concrete slab will be filled and sealed after completion of the sampling event.

Sub-slab vapor samples will be collected in the following manner:

- The sub-slab vapor sample tubing will be sealed to the surface with permagum grout, melted beeswax, putty, or other non-VOC containing and non-shrinking products for temporary installation.
- Verify sub-slab sampling point seal integrity using tracer gas (e.g., helium).
- Purge approximately one to three volumes (i.e., the volume of the sample probe and tube) prior to collecting the samples to ensure samples collected are representative.

- Sub-slab vapor sample canisters will be equipped with an appropriate (24 hour) regulator to allow the sample to be collected over a full day time frame.
- Samples will be collected, using conventional sampling methods, in laboratory provided sampling container (e.g., low flow rate; Summa® canisters analyzed by EPA Method TO-15).

An outdoor air sample will be collected concurrent with the sub-slab and indoor ambient air samples. Indoor ambient air samples will be collected adjacent to the sub-slab vapor locations based on accessibility within the building. One outdoor, field-located air sample will also be collected from a ground level location upwind of the facility, as determined on the day of sub-slab vapor sampling field activities.

Each canister, with an initial pressure of approximately 50 millitorr (compared to 760 torr of pressure in the atmosphere at sea level), will be fitted with a sampling valve that uses a critical orifice and mass flow controller to regulate the air flow into the canister for the selected sampling period. The mass flow controller will maintain a relative constant air flow rate throughout the sampling period. Flow rates for both purging and collecting will not exceed 0.2 liters per minute. Summa canister valves will remain closed until the sample points are complete and all canisters are in their respective positions. The valves will then be opened for the designated collection period.

3.4.2.3 Air Sample Analysis

Sub-slab soil vapor samples will be collected in Summa® canisters and transported under chain-of-custody command to a NYSDOH-approved laboratory for analysis of USEPA TCL VOCs in accordance with USEPA Method TO-15/TO-15 SIM to allow for low-level reporting limits, as applicable. Field documentation of sub-slab vapor sampling activities will be recorded, and provided in the Report.

The laboratory will prepare a NYSDEC Analytical Services Protocol (ASP) Category B laboratory data package for the purpose of data validation. A third-party data validator will be contracted to prepare a DUSR for the laboratory results in accordance with NYSDEC's DER-10. The laboratory analytical results will be compared to the NYSDOH Air Matrices A through Fas applicable..

3.5 Field Specific Quality Assurance/Quality Control Sampling

In addition to the soil/fill and groundwater samples described above, field-specific quality assurance/quality control (QA/QC) samples will be collected and analyzed to ensure the reliability of the generated data as described in the QAPP (see **Section 5.0**) and to support the required third-party data usability assessment effort. Site-specific QA/QC samples will include matrix spikes, matrix spike duplicates, blind duplicates, and trip blanks.

3.6 Decontamination and Investigation-Derived Waste Management

Every attempt will be made to utilize dedicated sampling equipment during the RI. However, if non-dedicated equipment is required and/or used, the equipment will be decontaminated, at a minimum, with a non-phosphate detergent (i.e., Alconox®) and potable water mixture, rinsed with distilled water, and air-dried before each use in accordance with Roux's field operating procedures presented in **Appendix E**. All decontaminated sampling equipment will be kept in a clean environment prior to sample collection. Heavy equipment, such as an excavator (if used) and drilling tools, will be decontaminated by the subcontractor, as necessary.

RI generated drilling spoils, groundwater, decontamination rinse water, or other Investigative-Derived Waste (IDW) not exhibiting gross contamination (i.e., visible product, odor, sheen, etc.) will be either returned to the borehole from which it was removed (soil/fill) or discharged to the ground surface (groundwater and rinse water). Purge water and decontamination rinse water that is not grossly contaminated may be discharged onto the ground surface if it infiltrates into the ground near the well where it was generated. IDW materials exhibiting gross contamination will be placed in sealed NYSDOT-approved drums and labeled for subsequent characterization and disposal. All generated IDW drums will be labeled alpha-numerically regarding contents, origin, and date of generation using a paint stick marker on two sides and the top of each drum. Characterization analytical results of containerized IDW material will be used to determine if spoils can be returned to the ground surface, utilized on-site, or require treatment and/or off-site disposal. Drums will be securely staged on-site pending characterization analyses and remedial measures assessment. Field personnel will coordinate the on-site handling and temporary storage of IDW drums, including transportation, characterization sampling, and offsite disposal arrangements, as necessary.

Discarded personal protective equipment (PPE) (i.e., latex gloves, Tyvek, paper towels, etc.) and disposable sampling equipment (i.e., bailers or stainless-steel spoons) will be placed in sealed plastic garbage bags and disposed of as municipal solid waste.

3.7 Site Mapping

A Site map will be developed during the field investigation. Sample points and relevant Site features will be located on the map. Roux will employ a handheld GPS unit to identify the locations of soil borings and monitoring wells relative to State planar grid coordinates. Monitoring well elevations will be measured by Roux's surveyor. An isopotential map showing the general direction of groundwater flow will be prepared based on water level measurements relative to USGS vertical datum. Maps will be provided with the RI report.

3.8 Documentation

Remedial Investigation field activities will be documented in a Project Field Bookto provide a record of activities conducted at the Site. Entries will be signed and dated at the end of each day of fieldwork (or as produced) by the Field Team Leader. Field notes will include, at a minimum, the: date and time of all entries, names of personnel on Site, weather conditions (temperature, precipitation, etc.), location of activity, and description of activity. Sampling activities will be logged and photographed as necessary to document the activities at the Site. Progress photographs from a set location will be collected to document development activities and intrusive construction activities. Field personnel will, at a minimum, complete the following standard field forms (see **Appendix C**):

- Chain of Custody Form (per selected laboratory)
- Equipment Calibration Log
- Field Activity Daily Log (FADLs)
- Field Borehole/Monitoring Well Log
- Groundwater Field Form
- Investigative-Derived Waste Container Log (if necessary)
- Photographic Log
- Real-Time Air Monitoring Log
- Tailgate Safety Meeting Form

- Test Pit Excavation Log
- Problem Identification Report (as necessary)
- Corrective Measures Report

A weekly summary of RI field activities and CAMP will be provided to the Department. If a CAMP exceedance is recorded, the Department will be notified within one (1) business day (24-hours), and a revised reporting schedule will be discussed. It is assumed that daily communication with the Department, including site visits, phone and electronic communication will be conducted during RI field activities.

4. Interim Remedial Measure (IRM)

As noted above, potential for historic USTs and/or petroleum impacted soil-fill exists onsite. If historic tank(s), lines, and/or grossly contaminated petroleum soil-fill is identified during the RI, then an IRM will be completed to expedite remedial activities and overall project schedule. The potential IRMs will remove identified USTs, lines and/or grossly impacted petroleum soil-fill \present on Site with the objective of achieving USCOs.

This Work Plan includes potential IRM activities based on current information and may be modified, subject to NYSDEC approval, after the RI fieldwork is completed. The planned IRM includes the following tasks:

- Proper removal, cleaning and offsite recycling/disposal of identified USTs and appurtenant lines.
- Excavation and off-Site disposal of grossly contaminated petroleum soils (GCPS) and associated impacted fill exceeding the USCOs;
- Collection of post-excavation confirmatory samples; and,
- Backfilling excavations.
- Management and treatment of accumulated site water.

4.1 Pre-Construction Activities

Prior to implementation of the IRM, a pre-construction kick-off meeting will be held. The Department will be provided with notice to attend and participate in the meeting.

Vegetation, shrubs and trees will be grubbed and cleared from the Site. Vegetation will be processed on-site and will either be reused on-site or transported off-site for disposal or reuse.

A Master Erosion Control Plan (MECP) for the Site is included in **Appendix F**. Erosion control measures (i.e., silt sock, hay bales, silt fence) will be put in place to ensure no potentially contaminated stormwater is discharged from the Site. Alternatively, if a Buffalo Sewer Authority (BSA) MS4 approved Erosion Control Plan is in place as part of the municipal approvals, the IRMs will proceed in accordance with the BSA approved plan requirements.

4.2 Utility Clearance

Prior to any intrusive activities, Dig Safely New York (Call 811) will be contacted by the site contractor a minimum of three business days in advance of the work and informed of the intent to perform excavation work at the Site. If underground utilities are present on the property and are anticipated to interfere with intrusive activities, the Applicant and the NYSDEC will be contacted to discuss mitigating measures. The location of identified subgrade utilities will be recorded and included in base drawings as part of the RI Report.

4.3 Waste Characterization

Based on the results of the RI, waste characterization samples will be collected and analyzed for TCLP VOCs, TCLP SVOCs, TCLP metals, PCBs, ignitability, corrosivity, and reactivity to fulfill landfill analytical disposal requirements.

Pre-characterization of the soil/fill will allow for direct loading and off-site transportation at the time of the impacted soil/fill excavation. Based on the results of the waste characterization sampling, impacted soil will be managed according to all federal, state, and local waste disposal regulations.

4.4 Building Demolition

As noted above, demolition of Buildings 1 through 3, and Building 5 is planned. If necessary, subgrade building elements may require removal to allow for access for completion of approved IRMs. C&D will be inspected, properly segregated and transported to a registered recycling/disposal facility. Documents will be provided to the Department. Post-excavation sampling is detailed below.

4.5 Former Fuel System Removal

Should historic USTs be discovered during the RI or IRMs the tanks will be uncovered and inspected to determine proper handling of any residual contents. If residual contents are discovered, a properly licensed vacuum truck operator will be employed to remove the contents of the tanks and clean the interior of the tanks. All tank contents and residual cleaning materials will be properly characterized and disposed of off-site at a licensed disposal or recycling facility. Underlying petroleum impacted soil/fill will be excavated and disposed of off-site.

If historic tanks are identified, a NYSDEC Petroleum Bulk Storage closure form will be completed and submitted to the Department.

4.6 Removal of Impacted Soil/Fill

If identified during RI and IRM activities, grossly contaminated petroleum impacted soil-fill; (GCPS) will be excavated and removed for proper landfill disposal.

Remedial excavation work will be directed by an experienced Roux professional to remove impacted soil/fill material. Visual observations along with end point confirmatory samples will be used to screen soil/fill materials and assist in verifying removal of impacted soil/fill. Vertical and lateral excavation will continue, as described above, until the impacted soil/fill is removed and SCOs are achieved onsite.

4.7 Excavation Confirmation Sampling

Based on the results of the RI, post-excavation samples will be collected from the IRM excavation areas in accordance with DER-10 requirements, and in consultation with the Department.

Post-excavation confirmatory sample locations from the IRM areas will include samples from excavation bottom and sidewalls in general accordance with DER-10. Expedited turnaround times may be requested for the analytical results from any additional bottom samples to minimize the time that the excavation(s) remains open. Additional analytical parameters may be analyzed from post-excavation confirmatory samples, based on the results of the RI and consultation with the Department.

4.8 Groundwater Management

If water is present within excavations and any precipitation that may enter the excavations during the impacted soil/fill removal will be handled on-site prior to discharge to the municipal sewer. In general, water

removed from excavations will be stored/settled in a portable storage tank(s), and if deemed necessary, will be pumped, and treated prior to discharge to the municipal sewer or ground surface. Roux or the Applicant will coordinate with the Buffalo Sewer Authority to obtain any necessary temporary sewer discharge permits.

Following completion of excavation work, any settled solids remaining in the storage tank and any spent treatment materials will be disposed of off-site at a permitted disposal facility in accordance with applicable federal and state regulations.

4.9 Excavation Backfill

Backfill materials brought to the Site will be imported in accordance with DER-10 requirements. An Import Request will be submitted to the Department for review and approval prior to backfill material coming onsite.

5. Quality Assurance Project Plan

A Quality Assurance Project Plan (QAPP) has been prepared in support of the RI/IRM activities. The QAPP dictates implementation of the investigation tasks delineated in this Work Plan. A Sampling and Analysis Plan (SAP) identifying methods for sample collection, decontamination, handling, and shipping, is provided below.

The QAPP will assure the accuracy and precision of data collection during the Site characterization and data interpretation periods. The QAPP identifies procedures for sample collection to mitigate the potential for cross-contamination, as well as analytical requirements necessary to allow for independent data validation. The QAPP has been prepared in accordance with USEPA's Requirements for Quality Assurance Project Plans for Environmental Data Operations; the EPA Region II CERCLA Quality Assurance Manual, and NYSDEC's DER-10 Technical Guidance for Site Investigation and Remediation (May 2010).

5.1 Scope of the QAPP

This QAPP was prepared to provide quality assurance (QA) guidelines to be implemented during the RI/IRM activities. This document may be modified for subsequent phases of investigative work, as necessary. The QAPP provides:

- A means to communicate to the persons executing the various activities exactly what is to be done, by whom, and when.
- A culmination to the planning process that ensures that the program includes provisions for obtaining quality data (e.g., suitable methods of field operations).
- A historical record that documents the investigation in terms of the methods used, calibration standards and frequencies planned, and auditing planned.
- A document that can be used by the Project Manager's and QA Officer to assess if the activities planned are being implemented and their importance for accomplishing the goal of quality data.
- A plan to document and track project data and results.
- Detailed descriptions of the data documentation materials and procedures, project files, and tabular and graphical reports.

The QAPP is primarily concerned with the quality assurance and quality control aspects of the procedures involved in the collection, preservation, packaging, and transportation of samples; field testing; record keeping; data management; chain-of-custody procedures; laboratory analyses; and other necessary matters to assure that the investigation activities, once completed, will yield data whose integrity can be defended.

QA refers to the conduct of all planned and systematic actions necessary to perform satisfactorily all task-specific activities and to provide information and data confidence as a result of such activities. The QA for task-specific activities includes the development of procedures, auditing, monitoring, and surveillance of the performance.

QC refers to the activity performed to determine if the work activities conform to the requirements. This includes activities such as inspections of the work activities in the field (e.g., verification that the items and

materials installed conform to applicable codes and design specifications). QA is an overview monitoring of the performance of QC activities through audits rather than first time inspections.

5.2 QAPP Organization and Responsibility

The principal organizations involved in verifying achievement of data collection goals for 1440 Main Street include: the NYSDEC, NYSDOH, and 1440 Main Street LLC (Volunteers), Roux (Volunteer's Consultant), the drilling subcontractor(s), the independent environmental laboratory, and the independent third-party data validator. The roles, responsibilities, and required qualifications of these organizations are discussed in the following subsections. Résumés are included in **Appendix A**.

5.2.1 Volunteers

1440 Main Street LLC will be responsible for complying with the QA requirements as specified herein and for monitoring and controlling the quality of the Brownfield cleanup construction either directly or through their designated environmental consultant and/or legal counsel. The Applicant will also have the authority to select Remedial Action Contractor(s) to assist them in fulfilling these responsibilities. The designated Project Manager is responsible for implementing the project and has the authority to commit the resources necessary to meet project objectives and requirements.

5.2.2 Applicant

Roux is the Environmental Engineer and Scientific Technical Consultant on this project and is responsible for the implementation of the RI/IRM Work Plan, including, but not limited to, field operations, laboratory testing, data management, data analysis and reporting. Any one member of Roux's staff may fill more than one of the identified project positions (e.g., field team leader and site safety and health officer). The various quality assurances, field, laboratory, and management responsibilities of key project personnel are defined below.

- *Project Officer (PO):* *Michael Lesakowski*

  The PO has the responsibility for ensuring conformance with the BCP program requirements. The PO will report directly to the Applicants and the NYSDEC/NYSDOH Project Managers and is responsible for project oversight. The PO will:

  - Define project objectives and develop a detailed work plan schedule.
  - Acquire and apply technical and corporate resources as needed to assure performance within budget and schedule constraints.
  - Review the work performed on the project to assure its quality, responsiveness, and timeliness.
  - Certify deliverables before their submission to NYSDEC.

- *Project Manager (PM):* *Nathan Munley*

  The PM has the responsibility for ensuring that the project meets the Work Plan objectives. The PM will report directly to the Applicants' Project Coordinator and the NYSDEC/NYSDOH Project Managers and is responsible for technical and project oversight. The PM will:

  - Define project objectives and develop a detailed work plan schedule.
  - Establish project policy and procedures to address the specific needs of the project as a whole, as well as the objectives of each task.

- Develop and meet ongoing project and/or task staffing requirements, including mechanisms to review and evaluate each task product.
- Review the work performed on each task to assure its quality, responsiveness, and timeliness.
- Review and analyze overall task performance with respect to planned requirements and authorizations.
- Review all deliverables before their submission to NYSDEC.
- Develop and meet ongoing project and/or task staffing requirements, including mechanisms to review and evaluate each task product.
- Ultimately be responsible for the preparation and quality of interim and final reports.
- Represent the project team at meetings.

- *FTL/SSHO:* *Nathan Munley*

  The Field Team Leader (FTL) has the responsibility for implementation of specific project tasks identified at the Site, is responsible for the supervision of project field personnel, subconsultants, and subcontractors. The FTL reports directly to the Project Manager. The FTL will:

  - Define daily work activities.
  - Orient field staff concerning the project's special considerations.
  - Monitor and direct subcontractor personnel.
  - Review the work performed on each task to ensure its quality, responsiveness, and timeliness.
  - Assure that field activities, including sample collection and handling, are carried out in accordance with this QAPP.

  For this project the FTL will also serve as the Site Safety and Health Officer (SSHO). As such, they are responsible for implementing the procedures and required components of the Site Health and Safety Plan (HASP), determining levels of protection needed during field tasks, controlling site entry/exit, briefing the field team and subcontractors on site-specific health and safety issues, and all other responsibilities as identified in the HASP.

5.3 Quality Assurance (QA) Responsibilities

The QA Officer will have direct access to corporate executive staff as necessary, to resolve any QA dispute, and is responsible for auditing the implementation of the QA program in conformance with the demands of specific investigations and Roux's policies, and NYSDEC requirements. The QA Officer has sufficient authority to stop work on the investigation as deemed necessary in the event of serious QA issues.

- *Project QA Officer:* *Lori Riker, P.E.*

  Specific function and duties include:
  - Performing QA audits on various phases of the field operations.
  - Reviewing and approving QA plans and procedures.
  - Providing QA technical assistance to project staff.

- Reporting on the adequacy, status, and effectiveness of the QA program on a regular basis to the Project Manager for technical operations.

- Responsible for assuring third party data review of all sample results from the analytical laboratory.

5.4 Field Responsibilities

Roux field staff for this project is drawn from a pool of qualified personnel. The Project Manager will use staff to gather and analyze data, and to prepare various task reports and support materials. All of the designated technical team members will be experienced professionals who possess the degree of specialization and technical competence required to effectively and efficiently perform the required work.

5.5 Quality Assurance Objectives for Measurement Data

The overall objectives and criteria for assuring quality for this effort are discussed below. This QAPP addresses how the acquisition and handling of samples and the review and reporting of data will be documented. The objectives of this QAPP are to address the following:

- The procedures to be used to collect, preserve, package, and transport groundwater samples.
- Field data collection.
- Record keeping.
- Data management.
- Chain-of-custody procedures.
- Precision, accuracy, completeness, representativeness, decision rules, comparability, and level of quality control effort conformance for sample analysis and data management by laboratory under EPA analytical methods.

5.6 Level of QC Effort for Sample Parameters

Field blank, method blank, trip blank, field duplicate, laboratory duplicate, laboratory control, standard reference materials (SRM) and matrix spike samples will be analyzed to assess the quality of the data resulting from the field sampling and analytical programs. QC samples are discussed below.

- Field and trip blanks consisting of distilled water will be submitted to the analytical laboratories to provide the means to assess the quality of the data resulting from the field-sampling program. Field (equipment) blank samples are analyzed to check for procedural chemical constituents at the facility that may cause sample contamination. Trip blanks are used to assess the potential for contamination of samples due to contaminant migration during sample shipment and storage.
- Method blank samples are generated within the laboratory and used to assess contamination resulting from laboratory procedures.
- Duplicate samples are analyzed to check for sampling and analytical reproducibility.
- MS/MSD and MS/Duplicate samples provide information about the effect of the sample matrix on the digestion and measurement methodology. Depending on site-specific

circumstances, one MS/MSD or MS/Duplicate should be collected for every 20 or fewer investigative samples to be analyzed for organic and inorganic chemicals of a given matrix (see **Table 1**).

The general level of QC effort will be one field (blind) duplicate and one field blank (when non-dedicated equipment is used) for every 20 or fewer investigative samples of a given matrix. Additional sample volume will also be provided to the laboratory to allow one site-specific MS/MSD or MS/Duplicate for every 20 or fewer investigative samples of a given matrix. One trip blank consisting of distilled, deionized water will be included along with each sample delivery group of aqueous VOC samples. Emerging contaminants sampling will include an equipment blank and a field blank sample to ensure the reliability of the generated data.

5.7 Sampling and Analysis Plan

Methods and protocol to be used to collect environmental samples (i.e., soil and groundwater) for this investigation are described in the Roux Field Operating Procedures (FOPs), summarized on **Table 3**.

The number and types of environmental samples to be collected is summarized on **Table 1**. Sample parameter lists, holding times and sample container requirements are summarized on **Table 2**. The sampling program and related site activities are discussed below. To the extent allowed by existing physical conditions at the facility, sample collection efforts will adhere to the specific methods presented herein. If alternative sampling locations or procedures are implemented in response to facility specific constraints, each will be selected on the basis of meeting data objectives. Such alternatives will be approved by NYSDEC before implementation and subsequently documented for inclusion in the project file.

5.7.1 Custody Procedures

Sample custody is controlled and maintained through the chain-of-custody (COC) procedures. Chain of custody is the means by which the possession and handling of samples will be tracked from the source (field) to their final disposition, the laboratory. A sample is considered to be in a person's custody if it is in the person's possession or it is in the person's view after being in his or her possession or it was in that person's possession and that person has locked it in a vehicle or room. Sample containers will be cleaned and preserved at the laboratory before shipment to the Site. The following section and FOPs for Sampling, Labeling, Storage, and Shipment, located in **Appendix E**, describe procedures for maintaining sample custody from the time samples are collected to the time they are received by the analytical laboratory.

5.7.2 Sample Storage

Samples are stored in secure limited-access areas. Walk-in coolers or refrigerators are maintained at 4°C, ± 2°C, or as required by the applicable regulatory program. The temperatures of all refrigerated storage areas are monitored and recorded at a minimum of once per day. Deviations of temperature from the applicable range require corrective action, including moving samples to another storage location if necessary.

5.7.3 Sample Custody

Sample custody is defined by this document as when any of the following occur:

- It is in someone's actual possession.

- It is in someone's view after being in his or her physical possession.
- It was in someone's possession and then locked, sealed, or secured in a manner that prevents unsuspected tampering.
- It is placed in a designated and secure area.

Samples are removed from storage areas by the sample custodian or analysts and transported to secure laboratory areas for analysis. Access to the laboratory and sample storage areas is restricted to laboratory personnel and escorted visitors only; all areas of the laboratory are therefore considered secure. If required by the applicable regulatory program, internal chain-of-custody is documented in a log by the person moving the samples between laboratory and storage areas.

Laboratory documentation used to establish COC and sample identification may include the following:

- Field COC forms or other paperwork that arrives with the sample.
- The laboratory COC.
- Sample labels or tags are attached to each sample container.
- Sample custody seals.
- Sample preparation logs (i.e., extraction and digestion information) recorded in hardbound laboratory books that are filled out in legible handwriting, signed, and dated by the chemist.
- Sample analysis logs (e.g., metals, GC/MS, etc.) information recorded in hardbound laboratory books that are filled out in legible handwriting, signed, and dated by the chemist.
- Sample storage log (same as the laboratory COC).
- Sample disposition log, which documents sample disposal by a contracted waste disposal company.

5.7.4 Sample Tracking

All samples are maintained in the appropriate coolers prior to and after analysis. The analysts remove and return their samples as needed. Samples that require internal COC are relinquished to the analysts by the sample custodians. The analyst and sample custodian must sign the original COC relinquishing custody of the samples from the sample custodian to the analyst. When the samples are returned, the analyst will sign the original COC returning sample custody to the sample custodian. Sample extracts are relinquished to the instrumentation analysts by the preparatory analysts. Each preparation department tracks internal COC through their logbooks/spreadsheets.

Any change in the sample during the time of custody will be noted on the COC (e.g., sample breakage or depletion).

5.7.5 Split Sampling

The Department may split any soil or groundwater samples at the Department's expense, during this RI/IRM. Roux personnel will cooperate with the Department to facilitate split sampling, as requested.

5.8 Calibration Procedures and Frequency

This section describes the calibration procedures and the frequency at which these procedures will be performed for both field and laboratory instruments.

5.8.1 Field Instrument Calibration

Quantitative field data to be obtained during groundwater sampling include pH, turbidity, specific conductance, temperature, and depth to groundwater. Quantitative water level measurements will be obtained with an electronic sounder or steel tape, which require no calibration. Quantitative field data to be obtained during soil sampling include screening for the presence of volatile organic constituents using a PID.

FOPs located in **Appendix E** describe the field instruments used to monitor for these parameters and the calibration methods, standards, and frequency requirements for each instrument. Calibration results will be recorded on the appropriate field forms and in the Project Field Book.

5.9 Analytical Procedures

Samples collected during this investigation field sampling activities will be analyzed by a NYSDOH-approved laboratory.

5.9.1 Field Instrument Calibration

Field procedures for collecting and preserving groundwater and soil samples are described in FOPs located in **Appendix E**. A summary of the FOPs is presented on **Table 3**.

5.10 Data Usability Evaluation

Data usability evaluation procedures shall be performed for both field and laboratory operations as described below.

5.10.1 Procedures Used to Evaluate Field Data Usability

Procedures to validate field data for this project will be facilitated by adherence to the FOPs identified in **Appendix E**. The performance of all field activities, calibration checks on all field instruments at the beginning of each day of use, manual checks of field calculations, checking for transcription errors and review of field log books is the responsibility of the Field Team Leader.

5.10.2 Procedures Used to Evaluate Laboratory Data Usability

Data evaluation will be performed by the third-party data validator using the most current methods and quality control criteria from the USEPA's Contract Laboratory Program (CLP) *National Functional Guidelines for Organic Data Review*, and Contract Laboratory Program*, National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review*. The data review guidance will be used only to the extent that it is applicable to the SW-846 methods; SW-846 methodologies will be followed primarily and given preference over CLP when differences occur. Also, results of blanks, surrogate spikes, MS/MSDs, and laboratory control samples will be reviewed/evaluated by the data validator. All sample analytical data for each sample matrix shall be evaluated. The third-party data validation expert will also evaluate the overall completeness of the data package. Completeness checks will be administered on all data to determine whether deliverables specified in this QAPP are present. The reviewer will determine whether all required items are present and request copies of missing deliverables.

6. Investigation Support Documents

6.1 Health and Safety Protocols

Roux has prepared a Site-Specific Health and Safety Plan (HASP) for use by our employees in accordance with 40 CFR 300.150 of the NCP and 29 CFR 1910.120. The HASP, provided in **Appendix D**, includes the following site-specific information:

- A hazard assessment.
- Training requirements.
- Definition of exclusion, contaminant reduction, and other work zones.
- Monitoring procedures for site operations.
- Safety procedures.
- Personal protective clothing and equipment requirements for various field operations.
- Disposal and decontamination procedures.

The HASP also includes a contingency plan that addresses potential site-specific emergencies, and a Community Air Monitoring Plan that describes required particulate and vapor monitoring to protect the neighboring community during intrusive site investigation and remediation activities.

Health and safety activities will be monitored throughout the field investigation and IRM. A member of the field team will be designated to serve as the on-site Health and Safety Officer throughout the field program. This person will report directly to the Project Manager and the Corporate Health and Safety Coordinator. The HASP will be subject to revision as necessary, based on new information that is discovered during the field investigation and/or remedial activities.

6.1.1 Community Air Monitoring

Real-time community air monitoring will be performed during the RI and IRM activities at the Site. A CAMP is included within Roux's HASP (see **Appendix D**). Particulate and VOC monitoring will be performed along the downwind perimeter of the work area during RI test pitting, RI boring, IRM excavation, and soil/fill handling activities in accordance with this plan. The CAMP is consistent with the requirements for community air monitoring at remediation sites as established by the NYSDOH and NYSDEC. Accordingly, it follows procedures and practices outlined under NYSDEC's DER-10 (May 2010) Appendix 1A (NYSDOH's Generic Community Air Monitoring Plan) and Appendix 1B (Fugitive Dust and Particulate Monitoring).

Based on the findings of the RI, a Special Requirements CAMP and/or an Odor Control Plan may be required. Additional CAMP requirements will be discussed with Department prior to remedial activities.

6.2 Citizen Participation Activities

NYSDEC will coordinate and lead community relations throughout the course of the project. Roux will support NYSDEC's community relations activities, as necessary. A Citizen Participation Plan will be prepared by Roux and submitted to NYSDEC under separate cover. The Citizen Participation Plan will

follow NYSDEC's Citizen Participation Plans template for Brownfield Cleanup Program sites entering the BCP at the point of site investigation.

7. Reporting and Schedule

Upon completion of the RI and any IRM fieldwork, a comprehensive RI/IRM/AA Report will be prepared summarizing the RI and IRM tasks completed as described below.

7.1 Remedial Investigation Reporting

The RI section of the RI/ /AA report will include the following information and documentation, consistent with the NYSDEC's DER-10 Technical Guidance for Site Investigation and Remediation (May 2010).

- Introduction and background;
- A description of the Site and the investigation areas;
- A description of the field procedures and methods used during the RI;
- The collection of geospatial data and presentation of investigation drawings detailing the investigation locations, potential areas of concern, presence of buildings, utility poles, and subgrade utilities;
- A discussion of the nature and rationale for any significant variances from the scope of work described in this RI Work Plan;
- The data obtained during the RI and historical investigations, considered by Roux to be of useable quality, including geochemical data, field measurements, validated analytical results, etc.;
- For all investigation and emerging contaminants (EC) groundwater sample results that exceed the GWQS or contaminant thresholds on the EC checklist sheet, a GIS well map will be produced that shows all public and private drinking water wells within ½ mile of the Site;
- Comparative criteria that may be used to calculate cleanup levels during the AA process, such as NYSDEC's SCOs, NYSDEC's GWQS, and other pertinent regulatory standards or criteria;
- A discussion of contaminant fate and transport. This will provide a description of the hydrologic parameters of the Site, and an evaluation of the lateral and vertical movement of groundwater;
- Conclusions regarding the extent and character of environmental impact in the media being investigated;
- The conclusions of the on-site and off-site qualitative human health and environmental exposure assessment completed in accordance with DER-10; and
- Supporting materials for RI data. These will include boring logs, monitoring well construction diagrams, laboratory analytical reports, and similar information.
- A conceptual site model in accordance with DER-10 requirements if a no further action conclusion is not achieved by the IRM.

In addition, Roux will require third-party analytical data review by a qualified, independent data validation expert for the RI and historic investigation data. Specifically, a DUSR will be prepared, with appropriate data qualifiers added to the results. The DUSR will follow NYSDEC format per the NYSDEC's September 1997 DUSR guidelines and May 2010 DER-10 guidance. The DUSR and any necessary qualifications to the data will be appended to the RI report.

7.2 Interim Remedial Measures

A qualified environmental professional (QEP) will be on-site to document IRM activities, if necessary. Such documentation will include, at minimum, daily reports of IRM activities, community air monitoring results, photographs, and corrective measures report, if necessary.

The details of the IRM activities will be included in the RI-IRM Report, including:

- A summary of the field activities completed, including text describing that the IRM activities were performed in accordance with this Work Plan.
- A Site or area planimetric map showing the area(s) remediated to USCOs;
- A map showing the lateral and vertical limits of excavation;
- Summaries of unit quantities, including: volume of soil/fill excavated; disposition of excavated soil/fill and collected ground/surface water; volume/type/source of backfill; and volume of ground/surface water pumped and treated;
- Planimetric map showing location of all verification and other sampling locations with sample identification labels/codes;
- Tabular comparison of verification and other sample analytical results to USCOs. An explanation shall be provided for all results exceeding acceptance criteria; and,
- Supporting documentation including waste profile approval, waste manifests and disposal receipts, and CAMP data.

A summary of the IRM activities will also be included in the RI/IRM/AAR submitted to NYSDEC.

7.3 Alternatives Analysis (AA)

An AA is typically developed to provide a forum for evaluating and selecting a recommended remedial approach, in accordance with DER-10. The results of the RI, and any approved IRMs, will be used to establish remedial goals and applicable remedial action objectives (RAOs). . A list of RAOs will be developed, and the requirement for the selected remedial measures to be protective of human health and the environment under the proposed future use scenario.

Proposed SCOs for the Site for the remaining contaminants of concern will also be presented based on the proposed future use of the Site. After that, volumes and areas of media potentially requiring remediation will be calculated. General response actions will then be delineated to address each of the Site's remedial areas. These response actions will form the foundation for the development and screening of applicable remedial alternatives against the following criteria as described in 6NYCRR 375-1.8(f) and DER-10-4.2:

- Overall Protection of Human Health and the Environment
- Compliance with Standards, Criteria, & Guidance (SCGs)
- Long-term Effectiveness & Permanence
- Reduction of Toxicity, Mobility, or Volume
- Short-term Effectiveness
- Implementability
- Cost Effectiveness
- Land Use

In addition, the criteria of community acceptance will be considered based on public comments on the AA and proposed remedial action (RAP). Following the screening of alternatives, a comparative analysis will be performed against the above criteria. The comparative analysis will allow for better understanding of the relative advantages and disadvantages of each of the alternatives and will facilitate the identification of a recommended remedial approach.

8. Project Schedule

Figure 5 presents a tentative project schedule for the major tasks to be performed in support of the BCP project.

9. References

1. United States Department of Agriculture (USDA), Soil Conservation Service. *Soil Survey of Erie County, New York*. December 1986.
2. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region II. *CERCLA Quality Assurance Manual, Revision I*. October 1989.
3. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. National Functional Guidelines for Organic Data Review (EPA-540/R-94-012), 1994a.
4. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (EPA-540/R-94-013), 1994b.
5. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. *Requirements for Quality Assurance Project Plans for Environmental Data Operations (EPA QA/R-5)*. October 1998.
6. New York State Department of Environmental Conservation. *DER-10; Technical Guidance for Site Investigation and Remediation.* May 2010.
7. Roux Environmental Engineering and Geology, D.P.C., *Historic Research and (Limited) Phase II Environmental Investigation.* November 2023.
8. New York State Department of Health, *Soil Vapor Intrusion Guidance,* February 2024.
9. Roux Environmental Engineering and Geology, D.P.C., *Supplemental Phase II Environmental Investigation.* July 2024.

TABLES

1. Summary of Sampling and Analytical Program
2. Sample Container, Volume, Preservative & Holding Time Requirements
3. Summary of Field Operating Procedures

TABLE 1
SUMMARY OF RI SAMPLING AND ANALYTICAL PROGRAM
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION WORK PLAN
1440 MAIN STREET SITE (BCP SITE No. C915408)
BUFFALO, NEW YORK

Matrix	Investigation Location		Full List VOCs + TICs [1,2]	TCL SVOCs + TICs [2,3]	TAL Metals	PCBs	Pesticides	Herbicides	PFAS [4]
RI Subsurface Soil									
Subsurface Soil/Fill	TP-1	Subsurface (Overburden Fill - 0.5-5 fbgs)	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
	TP-2		--	1	1	--	--	--	--
	TP-3		--	1	1	--	--	--	--
	TP-4		1	1	1	1	1	1	1
	TP-5		--	1	1	--	--	--	--
	TP-6		1	1	1	1	1	1	1
	TP-7		--	1	1	--	--	--	--
	TP-1	Subsurface (Native Soils - 5-15 fbgs)	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
	TP-2		--	1	1	--	--	--	--
	TP-3		--	1	1	--	--	--	--
	TP-4		1	1	1	1	1	1	1
	TP-5		--	1	1	--	--	--	--
	TP-6		1	1	1	1	1	1	1
	TP-7		--	1	1	--	--	--	--
	MW-1		--	1	1	--	--	--	--
	MW-2		1	1	1	1	1	1	1
	MW-3		--	1	1	--	--	--	--
	MW-4		1	1	1	1	1	1	1
	MW-5		1	1	1	1	1	1	1
	MW-6		--	1	1	--	--	--	--
	UST Delineation TPs		TBD	TBD	--	--	--	--	--
QA/QC	Soil	MS	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
		MSD	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
		Blind Dup	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
TOTAL SUBSURFACE SOIL SAMPLES:			***12***	***23***	***23***	***12***	***12***	***12***	***12***
Soil Vapor (TO-15 Method for VOCs)	SV-1	Soil Vapor Samples	1	--	--	--	--	--	--
	SV-2		1	--	--	--	--	--	--
	SV-3		1	--	--	--	--	--	--
	SV-4		1	--	--	--	--	--	--
	SV-5		1	--	--	--	--	--	--
	OA-1		1	--	--	--	--	--	--
	SSV/IA-1	Subslab Vapor/Indoor Air	2	--	--	--	--	--	--
	SSV/IA-2		2	--	--	--	--	--	--
	OA-2		1	--	--	--	--	--	--
QA/QC	Air	Blind Dup	1	--	--	--	--	--	--
TOTAL SOIL VAPOR SAMPLES:			***12***	***0***	***0***	***0***	***0***	***0***	***0***
Groundwater[5]	MW-01	Groundwater	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
	MW-02		1	1	1	1	1	1	1
	MW-03		1	1	1	1	1	1	1
	MW-04		1	1	1	1	1	1	1
	MW-05		1	1	1	1	1	1	1
	MW-06		1	1	1	1	1	1	1
QA/QC	Groundwater	MS	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
		MSD	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
		Blind Dup	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
		Trip Blank	1	--	--	--	--	--	--
		Equipment Blank	--	--	--	--	--	--	1
TOTAL GROUNDWATER SAMPLES:			***10***	***9***	***9***	***9***	***9***	***9***	***10***

Notes:

1. Full List VOCs = TCL plus CP-51 List VOCs via Method 8260.
2. Tentaively Identified Compounds (TICs) will be analyzed per DER-10 for the RI samples.
3. 1,4-Dioxane will be analyzed in soil and groundwater samples. Groundwater samples to be analyzed using EPA Method 8270 SIM per NYSDEC guidelines.
4. PFAS = Analysis via EPA Method 537.1 for soils and groundwater.
5. GW field parameters including: pH, specific conductance, temperature, DO, ORP, and turbidity will be collected and recorded.
6. Additional parameters may be added to the parameter list based on RI data.

Acronyms:

VOCs = Volatile organic compounds
PFAS = Per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances
SVOCs = Semi-volatile organic compounds
TCL = Target Compound List
TAL = Target Analyte List
BN = Base Neutrals
PCBs = Polychlorinated Biphenyls
RCRA = Resource Conservation and Recovery Act
TBD = To Be Determined

TABLE 2
SAMPLE CONTAINER, VOLUME, PRESERVATIVE &
HOLDING TIME REQUIREMENTS
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION WORK PLAN
1440 MAIN STREET SITE (BCP SITE No. C915408)
BUFFALO, NEW YORK

Matrix	Parameter [1]	Method [1]	Container Type	Minimum Volume	Preservation (Cool to 2-4 °C for all samples)	Holding Time from Sample Date
Soil	TCL + CP-51 VOCs	8260B	EnCore/WMG	5 gm / 4 oz.	Cool to 2-4 °C, Zero Headspace	48 - hours / 14 days
	TCL SVOCs	8270C	WMG	16 oz.	Cool to 2-4 °C	14 days extrac./40 days
	TAL Metals [2]	6010	WMG	4 oz.	Cool to 2-4 °C	6 months/Hg 28 days
	Pesticides	8081	WMG	8oz	Cool to 2-4 °C	14 days extrac./40 days
	Herbicides	8151	WMG	8oz	Cool to 2-4 °C	14 days extrac./40 days
	PCBs	8082	WMG	4 oz.	Cool to 2-4 °C	14 days extrac./40 days
	PFAS	modified 537	HDPE/Polypropylene	4-8 oz.	Cool to 2-4 °C	14 days extrac./40 days
Groundwater	TCL + CP-51 VOCs	8260B	glass vial	3 - 4 oz.	HCl to pH<2, Zero Headspace, Cool to 2-4 °C	14 days
	PFAS	1633	HDPE/Polypropylene	2 - 500 mL	Cool to 2-4 °C	14 days
	1,4-Dioxane	8270 SIM	8270 SIM	2 - 500 mL	Cool to 2-4 °C	7 days extrac/40 days
	TCL SVOCs	8270C	amber glass	1000 ml	Cool to 2-4 °C	7 days extrac/40 days
	TAL Metals [2]	6010	plastic	600 ml	HNO_3 to pH<2, Cool to 2-4 °C	6 months/Hg 28 days
	Pesticides	8081B	amber glass	1000 ml	Cool to 2-4 °C	14 days extrac./40 days
	Herbicides	8151A	amber glass	1000 ml	Cool to 2-4 °C	14 days extrac./40 days
	PCBs	8082	amber glass	1000 ml	Cool to 2-4 °C	7 days extrac/40 days

References:

1. Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Wastes, USEPA SW-846, Update III, 1991.

Notes:

1. EPA-approved methods published in Reference 1 above may be used. The list of analytes, laboratory method and the method detection limit for each parameter are included in Tables 1 and 2 of the QAPP.
2. Mercury sampling in soil/groundwater via EPA methods 7471/7470 respectively.

Acronyms:


VOCs = Volatile Organic Compounds
SVOCs = Semi-Volatile Organic Compounds
TCL = Target Compound List
TAL = Target Analyte List
WMG = Wide Mouth Glass
PFAS = Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances

TABLE 3
SUMMARY OF FIELD OPERATING PROCEDURES
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION WORK PLAN
1440 MAIN STREET SITE (BCP SITE No. C915408)
BUFFALO, NEW YORK

FOP No.	Procedure
002.0	Abandonment of Monitoring Wells Procedure
004.6	Ambient Air/Subslab Vapor Sample Collection Procedure
007.0	Calibration and Maintenance of Portable Dissolved Oxygen Meter
008.0	Calibration and Maintenance of Portable Field pH/Eh Meter
009.0	Calibration and Maintenance of Portable Field Turbidity Meter
011.1	Calibration and Maintenance of Portable Photoionization Detector
012.0	Calibration and Maintenance of Portable Specific Conductance Meter
013.0	Composite Sample Collection Procedure for Non-Volatile Organic Analysis
015.0	Documentation Requirements for Drilling and Well Installation
017.0	Drill Site Selection Procedure
018.0	Drilling and Excavation Equipment Decontamination Procedures
021.0	Establishing Horizontal and Vertical Control
022.0	Groundwater Level Measurement
023.1	Groundwater Purging Procedures Prior to Sample Collection
024.1	Groundwater Sample Collection Procedures
024.2	Groundwater Sample Collection Procedures for PFOS & PFOS Sample
026.1	Hollow Stem Auger (HSA) Drilling Procedures
031.2	Low Flow (Minimal Drawdown) Groundwater Purging & Sampling Procedure
032.1	Management of Investigation-Derived Waste (IDW)
033.0	Monitoring Well Construction for Hollow Stem Auger Boreholes
036.0	Monitoring Well Development Procedures
040.1	Non-Disposable and Non-Dedicated Sampling Equipment Decontamination
041.0	Overburden Casing Installation Procedure
046.0	Sample Labeling, Storage and Shipment Procedures
048.0	Screening of Soil Samples for Organic Vapors During Impacted Soil Removal Activities
050.0	Sediment Sample Collection in Shallow Water Procedures
054.2	Soil Description Procedures Using The Visual-Manual Method
057.0	Soil Sample Collection for VOC Analysis - EnCore
063.2	Surface and Subsurface Soil Sampling Procedures
065.1	Test Pit Excavation and Logging Procedures
073.2	Real-Time Air Monitoring During Intrusive Activities
076.0	"Before Going Into the Field" Procedure
079.0	Stockpile Sampling Procedures for Chemical Analysis
080.0	Stockpile & Borrow Source Sampling Procedures for Physical Analysis
082.0	Waste Sampling Procedures
084.0	Calibration and Maintenance of Portable Particulate Meter
085.0	Field Quality Control Procedures


FIGURES

1. Site Location and Vicinity Map
2. Site Plan (Aerial)
3. Investigation Locations & Areas of Concern
4. Planned Remedial Investigation Locations
5. Preliminary Project Schedule



BASE MAP: USGS NY BUFFALO NE & NW 2019 QUADRANGLES





Title:

SITE LOCATION AND VICINITY MAP

REMEDIAL INESTIGATION WORK PLAN

1440 MAIN STREET SITE (BCP SITE No. C915408)
BUFFALO, NEW YORK 14209

Prepared for:

1440 MAIN STREET LLC

ROUX	Compiled by: JJY	Date: FEBRUARY 2026	FIGURE
	Prepared by: JJY	Scale: AS SHOWN	1
	Project Mgr: NTM	Project: 4503.0001B002	
	File: FIGURE 1 - SITE LOC AND VIC_RE.DWG		

F:\CAD\0-ROUX\R.E. MCNAMARA\RI_IRM\FIGURE 1 - SITE LOC AND VIC_RE.DWG



LEGEND:

- BCP PROPERTY BOUNDARY
- PARCEL BOUNDARY
- 1 EXISTING BUILDING NUMBER
- APPROXIMATE PROPOSED NEW BUILDING FOOTPRINT

NOTE:
1. AERIAL IMAGE FROM MICROSOFT BING MAPS USING AUTODESK AUTOCAD GEOLOCATION INTERFACE JULY 2024.

100' 0 100'

Title:

SITE PLAN (AERIAL)

REMEDIAL INESTIGATION WORK PLAN

1440 MAIN STREET SITE (BCP SITE No. C915408)
BUFFALO, NEW YORK 14209

Prepared for:

1440 MAIN STREET LLC

ROUX

Compiled by: JJY	Date: APRIL 2026	FIGURE
Prepared by: JJY	Scale: AS SHOWN	2
Project Mgr: NTM	Project: 4503.0001B002	
File: FIGURE 2 - SITE PLAN (AERIAL).DWG		

F:\CAD\0-ROUX\R.E. MCNAMARA\RI-AAR\FIGURE 2 - SITE PLAN (AERIAL).DWG

SB-1 (0.5-1.5')	
DATE OF SAMPLING EVENT - 10/13/2023	
PARAMETER:	VALUE (MG/KG)
BENZO(A)ANTHRACENE	3.8
BENZO(A)PYRENE	6.4
BENZO(B)FLUORANTHENE	5.7
BENZO(K)FLUORANTHENE	2.8
CHRYSENE	3.6
DIBENZO(A,H)ANTHRACENE	1.5
INDENO(1,2,3-CD)PYRENE	4.7
BARIUM	367
LEAD	111

SB-2 (0.5-1.5')	
DATE OF SAMPLING EVENT - 10/13/2023	
PARAMETER:	VALUE (MG/KG)
BENZO(A)ANTHRACENE	49
BENZO(A)PYRENE	49
BENZO(B)FLUORANTHENE	48
BENZO(K)FLUORANTHENE	24
CHRYSENE	48
FLUORANTHENE	120
INDENO(1,2,3-CD)PYRENE	19
PYRENE	110
LEAD	87.6

HA-1 (1-3')	
DATE OF SAMPLING EVENT - 5/17/24	
PARAMETER:	VALUE (MG/KG)
BENZO(A)ANTHRACENE	3.2
BENZO(A)PYRENE	3.3
BENZO(B)FLUORANTHENE	3.8
DIBENZ(A,H)ANTHRACENE	0.58
INDENO(1,2,3-CD)PYRENE	1.7

HA-2 (1-3')	
DATE OF SAMPLING EVENT - 5/17/24	
PARAMETER:	VALUE (MG/KG)
BENZO(A)ANTHRACENE	5.5
BENZO(A)PYRENE	5.2
BENZO(B)FLUORANTHENE	6.9
CHRYSENE	5.7
DIBENZ(A,H)ANTHRACENE	0.91
INDENO(1,2,3-CD)PYRENE	2.7
MERCURY	2.5

SB-3 (0-4.0')	
DATE OF SAMPLING EVENT - 10/13/2023	
PARAMETER:	VALUE (MG/KG)
BENZO(A)ANTHRACENE	2.8
BENZO(A)PYRENE	3.5
BENZO(B)FLUORANTHENE	4
ARSENIC	22.1
CADMIUM	3.9
LEAD	4370
MERCURY	64.9

SB-9 (0.5-1.5')	
DATE OF SAMPLING EVENT - 10/13/2023	
PARAMETER:	VALUE (MG/KG)
BENZO(A)ANTHRACENE	5.7
BENZO(A)PYRENE	6
BENZO(B)FLUORANTHENE	6.6
BENZO(K)FLUORANTHENE	2.5
CHRYSENE	5.5
INDENO(1,2,3-CD)PYRENE	2.9
LEAD	64.7
MERCURY	0.84

SB-8 (0.5-1.5')	
DATE OF SAMPLING EVENT - 10/13/2023	
PARAMETER:	VALUE (MG/KG)
ARSENIC	16.2
BARIUM	311
CADMIUM	7.7
LEAD	1610
MERCURY	0.5

SB-10 (0.5-2.0')	
DATE OF SAMPLING EVENT - 10/13/2023	
PARAMETER:	VALUE (MG/KG)
BENZO(A)ANTHRACENE	16
BENZO(A)PYRENE	15
BENZO(B)FLUORANTHENE	20
BENZO(K)FLUORANTHENE	7.2
CHRYSENE	17
DIBENZO(A,H)ANTHRACENE	2
INDENO(1,2,3-CD)PYRENE	6.1
MERCURY	0.34

SB-12 (0.5-2')	
DATE OF SAMPLING EVENT - 10/13/2023	
PARAMETER:	VALUE (MG/KG)
BENZO(A)ANTHRACENE	2.8
BENZO(A)PYRENE	3.2
BENZO(B)FLUORANTHENE	3.5
BENZO(K)FLUORANTHENE	1.5
CHRYSENE	2.7
DIBENZO(A,H)ANTHRACENE	0.52
INDENO(1,2,3-CD)PYRENE	1.4
LEAD	99.1
MERCURY	0.33

SUSPECT GASOLINE UST LOCATION

SUSPECT GASOLINE UST LOCATION

SB-1 SB-2 SB-3 SB-4 SB-5 SB-6 SB-7 SB-8 SB-9 SB-10 SB-11 SB-12 HA-1 HA-2 HA-3

LEGEND:

- SITE BOUNDARY
- PARCEL BOUNDARY
- SB-1 SOIL BORING LOCATION
- HA-1 HAND AUGER LOCATION

VALUES PER 6 NYCRR PART 375 SOIL CLEANUP OBJECTIVES (SCOs):

- EXCEEDS USCOs
- EXCEEDS RRSCOs
- EXCEEDS CSCOs
- EXCEEDS ISCOs

N

125' 0 125'

Title:

INVESTIGATION LOCATIONS AND AREAS OF CONCERN

REMEDIAL INESTIGATION WORK PLAN

1440 MAIN STREET SITE (BCP SITE No. C915408)
BUFFALO, NEW YORK 14209

Prepared for:


1440 MAIN STREET LLC

ROUX

Compiled by: JJY	Date: FEBRUARY 2026	FIGURE
Prepared by: JJY	Scale: AS SHOWN	3
Project Mgr: NTM	Project: 4503.0001B002	
File: FIGURE 3 - INV LOC AND AOCS.DWG		

F:\CAD\0-ROUX\R.E. MCNAMARA\RI-AAR\FIGURE 3 - INV LOC AND AOCS.DWG

F:\CAD\0-ROUX\R.E. MCNAMARA\RI-AAR\FIGURE 4 - RI INV LOC_REV1.DWG



LEGEND:

- BCP SITE BOUNDARY
- SB-1 — HISTORICAL SOIL BORING LOCATION
- HA-1 — HISTORICAL HAND AUGER LOCATION
- MW-3 — PLANNED MONITORING WELL (6)
- SV-2 — PLANNED SOIL VAPOR SAMPLE (5)
- OA-1 — PLANNED OUTDOOR AIR SAMPLE (1)
- SSV/IA-1 — PLANNED SUB-SLAB VAPOR AND INDOOR AIR SAMPLE (2)
- TP-1 — PLANNED TEST PIT (7)
- SOIL SAMPLE EXCEEDS RRSCO
- 1 — EXISTING BUILDING NUMBER
- APPROXIMATE PROPOSED NEW BUILDING FOOTPRINT

NOTES:
1. SOIL RESULTS COMPARED TO 6 NYCRR PART 375 RESTRICTED-RESIDENTIAL SOIL CLEANUP OBJECTIVES (RRSCOs).
2. BUILDING NUMBERS 1 TO 3 & 5 TO BE DEMOLISHED. BUILDING 4 TO REMAIN

N

40' 0 40'

PLANNED REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION LOCATIONS

REMEDIAL INESTIGATION WORK PLAN

1440 MAIN STREET SITE (BCP SITE No. C915408)
BUFFALO, NEW YORK 14209

Prepared for


1440 MAIN STREET LLC

ROUX

Compiled by: JJY	Date: APRIL 2026	FIGURE
Prepared by: JJY	Scale: AS SHOWN	4
Project Mgr: NTM	Project: 4503.0001B002	
File: FIGURE 4 - RI INV LOC_REV1.DWG		

F:\CAD\0-ROUX\R.E. MCNAMARA\RI-AAR\FIGURE 5; PRELIMINARY PROJECT SCHEDULE_REV3.DWG

PROJECT TASKS:



Title:

PRELIMINARY PROJECT SCHEDULE

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION WORK PLAN

1440 MAIN STREET SITE (BCP SITE No. C915408)
BUFFALO, NEW YORK 14209

Prepared for:

1440 MAIN STREET LLC

ROUX

Compiled by: JJY	Date: MAY 2026	FIGURE
Prepared by: JJY	Scale: N/A	5
Project Mgr: NTM	Project: 4503.0001B002	
File: FIGURE 5; PRELIMINARY PROJECT SCHEDULE_REV3.DWG		

APPENDICES

A. Professional Profiles

B. Previous Investigations (*Flash Drive*)

C. Project Documentation Forms

D. Health and Safety Plan (HASP) including CAMP

E. Field Operating Procedures (FOPs)

APPENDIX A

Professional Profiles

PROFESSIONAL PROFILE

Michael Lesakowski

Vice President | Principal Scientist | Co-operations Manager



CONTACT INFORMATION
Main: (716) 856-0599
Direct: (716) 818-3954
Email: mlesakowski@rouxinc.com
Website: www.rouxinc.com

2558 Hamburg Turnpike
Suite 300
Buffalo, NY 14218

EDUCATION
Master of Science
Environmental Engineering Science
University at Buffalo, 2008

Bachelor of Science
Biology
State University of New York at Fredonia, 1994

EXPERIENCE SUMMARY

Over 25 years professional experience in environmental engineering, science and consulting at numerous contaminated sites throughout the northeast United States as Vice President (Roux Environmental Engineering and Geology, DPC), President, Principal Scientist, Sr. Project Manager and Project Manager (TurnKey Environmental Restoration, LLC), Executive Vice President, Project Manager and Environmental Scientist (LCS, Inc.) and Environmental Scientist (GZA GeoEnvironmental of NY).

TECHNICAL SPECIALTIES

Expert in all aspects of the New York Brownfield Cleanup Program, including technical assessment and analysis of the site investigation/remedy selection process, and site remediation. Expert in the business of the NY BCP sites, including cost/credit analysis and structured liability transfers of contaminated properties. Mr. Lesakowski has investigated and remediated over 100 NY brownfield projects, including 10 renewable energy redevelopment projects on remediated brownfield sites. He has managed assessments, investigations and remediation projects on properties with a multitude of historic uses (e.g., petroleum storage refineries and terminals, gas stations, automobile dealerships, rail yards, foundries, dry cleaners, steel manufacturing, metallurgical plants, metal plating operations, junk yards), media types (surface and subsurface soil, groundwater, sediments, soil vapor, indoor air, building materials) and contaminants (e.g., volatile organic compounds (VOCs), semi-volatile organic compounds (SVOCs), polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs), heavy metals, per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS)). Mr. Lesakowski has managed remediation projects, ranging from simple underground storage tank (UST) removals to large-scale soil excavations, in-situ soil stabilization, and complex groundwater remediation programs, such as biological and/or chemical in-situ groundwater treatment and permeable reactive barriers for VOCs and PFAS.

REPRESENTATIVE PROJECTS

GLR Holdings Site, Niagara Falls, New York

Manager and Principal Investigator/Remediator for Remedial Investigation (RI), Interim Remedial Measure (IRM) and Remedial Alternatives Analysis under the NYSDEC guidance for a former automotive repair facility with significant soil, groundwater and soil vapor chlorinated volatile organic compounds impact. The RI included a hydrogeologic assessment, including soil borings using a hollow stem auger drill rig, installation, development and sampling of groundwater monitoring wells, an on-site and off-site soil vapor assessment and dye test to trace drains and sewers to evaluate potential preferential pathways. The remediation approach included:

- soil excavation and disposal;
- in-situ enhanced biodegradation of groundwater, and,
- The newly constructed building included an active subslab depressurization system to protect the occupants from potential soil vapor intrusion.

The site was redeveloped as a restaurant.

Seneca Market I, LLC Site, Watkins Glen, New York

Manager of Site Investigation and Remediation at a former drycleaner, bus garage and asphalt plant under NYSDEC guidance with significant chlorinated volatile organic compounds impact. The investigation included a hydrogeologic assessment, including soil borings, test pits and monitoring well installation, development and sampling. The remediation approach involved:

- underground storage tank removal;
- in-ground hydraulic lift removal
- hazardous soil excavation and disposal proximate a commercial building;
- enhanced biodegradation of groundwater;
- Groundwater extracted during excavation was treated with granular activated carbon and discharged to the municipal sewer system
- An active subslab depressurization system to protect the occupants from potential soil vapor intrusion; and,
- Portions of the site included an engineered soil cover system.

The Property was redeveloped with an up-scale hotel.

330 Maple Road Site, Amherst, New York

Manager and Principal Investigator/Remediator for a Remedial Investigation/Alternatives Analysis and Remedial Action under NYSDEC guidance for a small-arms shooting range with hazardous lead and semi-volatile organic compounds (SVOCs) in soil. The RI involved collecting over 1,000 soil samples on 26-acre parcel slated for future mixed-use commercial and residential development. Bench-scale testing was completed to select a substrate to treat the characteristic hazardous soil to below toxicity characteristic leaching procedure (TCLP) thresholds. Remedial Action included:

- physical removal of lead shot from the soil matrix;
- in-situ stabilization of characteristically hazardous lead-impacted soil; and,
- Excavation and off-site disposal of lead and SVOC-impacted soil.

The site was remediated to allow for residential re-use.

275 Franklin Street Site

Manager and Principal Investigator/Remediator for a Remedial Investigation/Alternatives Analysis and Remedial Action under NYSDEC guidance at a NYSDEC Brownfield Cleanup Program site formerly used as drycleaner in western New York with significant soil and groundwater chlorinated VOC impacts. The investigation included a hydrogeologic assessment, including soil borings and overburden and bedrock monitoring well installation, development and sampling. Soil was successfully remediated using a combination of soil vapor extraction and excavation and off-site disposal. Groundwater remediation involved in-situ treatment of impacted groundwater at the downgradient property boundary whereby groundwater migrated through a permeable reactive barrier. An active sub-slab depressurization system design and installation is planned in the new building during construction.

Niagara Street and Pennsylvania Avenue Site

Manager and Principal Investigator/Remediator for a Remedial Investigation/Alternatives Analysis and Remedial Action under NYSDEC guidance at a NYSDEC Brownfield Cleanup Program site formerly used as gasoline and service station in Buffalo, New York with significant petroleum impacts. The investigation included a hydrogeologic assessment, including soil borings and overburden monitoring well installation, development and sampling. Remedial Action included:

- Demolition of the former service station building and product dispenser canopy and disposal of construction and demolition debris;
- Removal and recycling of approximately 80 tons of concrete at Iron City in Lackawanna, New York;
- Removal of five underground storage tanks, including all associated dispensing units and underground product piping;
- Excavation of petroleum-impacted soil/fill followed by off-site transportation and disposal a commercial landfill;
- Extraction and treatment of approximately 6,000-gallons of groundwater from the excavation during remediation activities using bag filtration and granular activated carbon and discharge to the municipal sewer; and,
- Placement and compaction of approximately crusher run stone backfill to the approximate pre-existing grade.

1501 College Avenue Site

Manager and Principal Investigator/Remediator for a Remedial Investigation/Alternatives Analysis and Remedial Action under NYSDEC guidance at a NYSDEC Brownfield Cleanup Program site at a former heavy industrial and manufacturing facility in Niagara Falls, New York. The investigation included a hydrogeologic assessment, including soil borings, test pits and overburden monitoring well installation, development and sampling. Remedial Action included:

- Disposal of galbestos roofing and building materials;
- Collection and removal of seven (7) roll-off containers of abandoned drums and containers of off-spec former carbon electrode manufacturing materials;
- Waste petroleum oil was vacuumed out of two abandoned tanker trucks;
- Cleaning and collection of steel ASTs, empty drums and two (2) abandoned tanker trucks and transported off-site with other on-Site scrap metals (i.e., building demolition metals);
- Excavation and disposal of non-hazardous petroleum-impacted soil/fill;
- Excavation of PCB-impacted soil/fill;
- Extraction and storage of approximately 20,000 gallons of impacted water removed from the excavation for solidification and final disposal at a commercial landfill; and,
- A soil cover system across the site.

500 South Union Street Site

Manager and Principal Investigator/Remediator for a Remedial Investigation/Alternatives Analysis and Remedial Action under NYSDEC guidance at a NYSDEC Brownfield Cleanup Program site at a former dry cleaning facility in Spencerport, New York. The investigation included a hydrogeologic assessment, including exterior and interior soil borings, test pits and monitoring well installation, development and sampling, soil vapor testing and hydrogeologic assessment to design the in-situ groundwater treatment program. Remedial Action included:

- Installation of an active subslab depressurization system within the existing building to prevent migration of vapors into the building air;
- In-situ injection of treatment agent at 71 injection points located across the Site to enhance natural biodegradation of cVOCs in groundwater;
- Limited excavation and off-Site disposal of surface soil/fill exceeding commercial use soil cleanup objectives; and,
- Construction and maintenance of a soil cover system consisting of the existing building, pavement (asphalt), sidewalks, and soil cover in all other areas at a minimum of one foot thick over the demarcation layer, to prevent human exposure to remaining contaminated soil/fill remaining at the Site;

The site is currently used as a multi-tenant commercial facility.

Scott Rotary Seals Site

Manager and Principal Investigator/Remediator for a Remedial Investigation/Alternatives Analysis and Remedial Action under NYSDEC guidance at a NYSDEC Brownfield Cleanup Program site at a former petroleum refining facility in Olean, New York. The investigation included a hydrogeologic assessment, including soil borings, test pits and monitoring well installation, development and sampling, as well as subslab vapor/indoor air testing. Remedial Action included:

- Limited excavation and off-Site disposal of shallow grossly contaminated soil to a depth of approximately 6-feet below final grade within the northwest area of the Site, including the building footprint and utility corridors;
- Installation of a soil vapor extraction system to treat grossly contaminated soil in the deeper vadose zone soil/fill from approximately 6-fbgs to the top of the groundwater surface;
- Construction and maintenance of a soil cover system consisting of buildings, pavement (asphalt and concrete), sidewalks, and soil cover in all other areas at a minimum of one foot thick over the demarcation layer, to prevent human exposure to remaining contaminated soil/fill remaining at the Site;

- Installation of an active subslab depressurization system within the newly constructed on-Site building to prevent migration of vapors into the buildings; and,
- Installation of a LNAPL removal system within certain on-Site wells, utilizing absorbent socks.

The site is was redeveloped as a light industrial and commercial facility.

Olean Redevelopment Parcel 2 Site

Manager and Principal Investigator/Remediator for a Remedial Investigation/Alternatives Analysis and Remedial Action under NYSDEC guidance at a NYSDEC Brownfield Cleanup Program site at a former petroleum refining and major storage facility in Olean, New York. The comprehensive investigation, which was completed over three separate sites (Olean Redevelopment Parcels 1,2 and 3) totaling approximate 60-acres, included a hydrogeologic assessment, including soil borings, test pits and monitoring well installation, development and sampling.

As part of the investigation, bench-scale studies were completed to assess treatment of grossly contaminated petroleum soil (GCPS) impacted soil and lead-impacted soil. Soil/fill batches were treated by mixing to simulate landfarming; stabilized with lime, Portland cement, and fly ash (all amendments added at 2% and 5% by weight); and chemically oxidized with hydrogen peroxide (1% and 3% by weight).

Based on the results of the bench-scale treatability studies, four pilot-scale treatability studies were performed: in-situ SVE; ex-situ landfarming; force vented biopiles (FVBP); and, solidification/stabilization. As part of these pilot-scale treatability studies, biofiltration was assessed as component of treatment of the extracted air from the in-situ SVE pilot study and for the FVBP pilot study.

Remedial Action included:

- Approximately 2,715-tons of arsenic contaminated soil/fill, 143-tons of mercury contaminated soil/fill and 638-tons of grossly contaminated petroleum soil (GCPS) was excavated, loaded, and transported off-Site to a commercial landfill;

- Approximately 34,313 linear feet of subsurface metallic product piping (steel, cast iron, lead and copper) was exposed, tapped, evacuated of contents, removed, cleaned and recycled or disposed. An additional 156 linear feet of wood pipe was also exposed, tapped, evacuated of contents, removed, cleaned and disposed off-site. Piping which extended beyond the property boundary was capped and/or grouted at the apparent property line. Approximately 240 cubic yards of GCPS was excavated during piping removal activities and treated on the on-site force-vented biopiles FVBPs and reused as backfill below the cover system;

- Approximately 24, 55-gallon drums were generated from the removal of the abandoned subsurface piping. The contents of the piping included LNAPL, residual pipe scale, and product sludge. The 24 drums (15 non-hazardous and 9 hazardous) were disposed at a commercial landfill. In addition to the drums, approximately 3.5 tons of tank contents that were placed into roll-off containers and solidified with Portland cement due to liquid content were disposed at a commercial landfill. Water extracted from excavations during piping removal was pumped into holding tanks, treated with bag filters and granular activated carbon (GAC) on-site, pumped into a secondary on-Site temporary holding tank, sampled, and discharged to the municipal sanitary sewer with approval under an Industrial Pretreatment Program permit. Approximately 4 drums of wash water generated during holding tank cleaning were disposed at a commercial landfill;

- Design, installation and operation of a SVE system to address GCPS in the deeper soil/fill from approximately 2 to 15 fbgs. The SVE system included the installation of 13 SVE wells, associated conveyance piping, and placement of three trailer-mounted SVE blowers. Emissions from the SVE system were controlled using biofilters, which allowed the naturally occurring microbes to bioremediate the air stream and control the nuisance odors from the SVE systems.

- Light non-aqueous phase liquid (NAPL) recovery was completed utilizing hydrocarbon absorbent socks at one well and a product pump at one well. LNAPL thicknesses at these locations has been measured to vary from 0 to 6.5 ft. Recovered product was transferred to properly labeled and sealed 55-gallon drums at the Site for future off-Site disposal.

- A site cover system was constructed to allow for commercial use of the Site. The cover system consists of a minimum of one foot of soil. A portion of the Site is covered with an asphalt road that serves as part of the cover system The soil cover was placed over a demarcation layer, consisting of orange plastic mesh, and was hydroseeded and fertilized. On-Site drainage ditches were lined with rip-rap.

Olean Redevelopment Parcel 3 Site

Manager and Principal Investigator/Remediator for a Remedial Investigation/Alternatives Analysis and Remedial Action under NYSDEC guidance at a NYSDEC Brownfield Cleanup Program site at a former petroleum refining and major storage facility in Olean, New York. The comprehensive investigation, which was completed over three separate sites (Olean Redevelopment Parcels 1,2 and 3) totaling approximate 60-acres, included a hydrogeologic assessment, including soil borings, test pits and monitoring well installation, development and sampling.

As part of the investigation, bench-scale studies were completed to assess treatment of grossly contaminated petroleum soil (GCPS) impacted soil and lead-impacted soil. Soil/fill batches were treated by mixing to simulate landfarming; stabilized with lime, Portland cement, and fly ash (all amendments added at 2% and 5% by weight); and chemically oxidized with hydrogen peroxide (1% and 3% by weight).

Based on the results of the bench-scale treatability studies, four pilot-scale treatability studies were performed: in-situ SVE; ex-situ landfarming; force vented biopiles (FVBP); and, solidification/stabilization. As part of these pilot-scale treatability studies, biofiltration was assessed as component of treatment of the extracted air from the in-situ SVE pilot study and for the FVBP pilot study.

Remedial Action included:

- Approximately 425-tons of arsenic contaminated soil/fill, 235-tons of GCPS was excavated, loaded, and transported off-Site to a commercial landfill;

- Approximately 7,592-tons of lead contaminated soil/fill was stabilized in-place utilizing Portland cement to treat the soil and render it non-hazardous;

- Approximately 50,667 linear feet of subsurface metallic product piping (steel, cast iron, lead and copper) was exposed, tapped, evacuated of contents, removed, cleaned and recycled or disposed. An additional 232 linear feet of wood pipe was also exposed, tapped, evacuated of contents, removed, cleaned and disposed off-site. Piping which extended beyond the property boundary was capped and/or grouted at the apparent property line. Approximately 2,552 cubic yards of GCPS was excavated during piping removal activities and treated on the on-site FVBPs and reused as backfill below the cover system;

- Approximately 33, 55-gallon drums were generated from the removal of the abandoned subsurface piping. The contents of the piping included LNAPL, residual pipe scale, and product sludge. The 33 drums (21 non-hazardous and 12 hazardous) were disposed at a commercial landfill. In addition to the drums, approximately 4.9 tons of tank contents that were placed into roll-off containers and solidified with Portland cement due to liquid content were disposed at a commercial landfill. Water extracted from excavations during piping removal was pumped into holding tanks, treated with bag filters and granular activated carbon (GAC) on-site, pumped into a secondary on-Site temporary holding tank, sampled, and discharged to the municipal sanitary sewer with approval under an Industrial Pretreatment Program permit. Approximately 6 drums of wash water generated during holding tank cleaning were disposed at a commercial landfill;

- Installation and operation of a SVE system to address GCPS in the deeper soil/fill from approximately 2 to 15 fbgs. The SVE system included the installation of 58 SVE wells, associated conveyance piping, and placement of three trailer-mounted SVE blowers. Emissions from the SVE system were controlled using biofilters, which allowed the naturally occurring microbes to bioremediate the air stream and control the nuisance odors from the SVE systems.

- LNAPL recovery was completed utilizing hydrocarbon absorbent socks;

- A site cover system was constructed to allow for commercial use of the Site. The soil cover was placed over a demarcation layer, consisting of orange plastic mesh, and was hydroseeded and fertilized. On-Site drainage ditches were lined with rip-rap.

The site was redeveloped as a commercial solar power generating facility.

Olean Redevelopment Parcel 1 Site

Manager and Principal Investigator/Remediator for a Remedial Investigation/Alternatives Analysis and Remedial Action under NYSDEC guidance at a NYSDEC Brownfield Cleanup Program site at a former petroleum refining and major storage facility in Olean, New York. The comprehensive investigation, which was completed over three separate sites (Olean Redevelopment Parcels 1,2 and 3) totaling approximate 60-acres, included a hydrogeologic assessment, including soil borings, test pits and monitoring well installation, development and sampling.

As part of the investigation, bench-scale studies were completed to assess treatment of grossly contaminated petroleum soil (GCPS) impacted soil and lead-impacted soil. Soil/fill batches were treated by mixing to simulate landfarming; stabilized with lime, Portland cement, and fly ash (all amendments added at 2% and 5% by weight); and chemically oxidized with hydrogen peroxide (1% and 3% by weight).

Based on the results of the bench-scale treatability studies, four pilot-scale treatability studies were performed: in-situ SVE; ex-situ landfarming; force vented biopiles (FVBP); and, solidification/stabilization. As part of these pilot-scale treatability studies, biofiltration was assessed as component of treatment of the extracted air from the in-situ SVE pilot study and for the FVBP pilot study.

- Approximately 1,652 tons of arsenic contaminated soil/fill, 110 tons of mercury contaminated soil/fill, approximately 357 tons of PCB contaminated soil/fill, and approximately 5,722 tons of PAH (SVOC)-contaminated was excavated, loaded, and transported off-site to a commercial landfill;
- Removal of an ammonia tank, approximately 500-gallon stainless steel vertical UST with no piping; and associated PCB- and ammonia-impacted soil;
- Approximately 49,976 linear feet of subsurface metallic product piping was exposed, tapped, evacuated of contents, removed, cleaned and recycled. An additional approximate 578 tons of GCPS contaminated soil/fill was excavated, loaded, and transported off-site to a commercial landfill;
- Approximately 48, 55-gallon drums were generated during the remedial work, 42 of which were generated from the removal of the abandoned subsurface piping. The contents of the piping included LNAPL, residual pipe scale, and product sludge. Water extracted from excavations during piping removal was pumped into holding tanks, treated with bag filters and granular activated carbon (GAC) on-site, pumped into a secondary on-site temporary holding tank, sampled, and discharged to the municipal sanitary sewer;
- Installation and operation of a SVE system to address GCPS in the soil/fill from approximately 2 to 15 fbgs. The SVE system included the installation of seven SVE wells, associated conveyance piping, and placement of an SVE blower. Emissions from the SVE system were controlled using a biofilter to bioremediate the air stream and control the nuisance odors from the SVE system;
- LNAPL recovery was completed using hydrocarbon absorbent socks and/or manual bailing at six wells and a product skimmer at one well. LNAPL thicknesses at these locations has been measured to vary from 0.3 to 5.05 ft. Recovered product was transferred to properly labeled and sealed 55-gallon drums at the Site for off-site disposal; and,

- Construction and maintenance of a site cover system.

A portion of the site was redeveloped as a hotel.

251 Homer Street Redevelopment Site

Manager and Principal Investigator/Remediator for a Remedial Investigation/Alternatives Analysis and Remedial Action under NYSDEC guidance at a NYSDEC Brownfield Cleanup Program site at a former petroleum refining and major petroleum storage facility in Olean, New York. The investigation included a hydrogeologic assessment, including soil borings, test pits and monitoring well installation, development and sampling. Remedial Action included:

- Removal and recycling of approximately 10,644 linear feet of piping (approx. 97 tons) ranging in diameter from 2-inch to 12-inch. Removal and disposal of 51, 55-gallon drums containing oil, sludge, and scale from within the piping. Extraction, treatment, and discharge of approximately 21,000 gallons of water from within the pipes and perched water encountered during trench excavation under a temporary discharge permit issued by the municipal Wastewater Treatment Plant (WWTP);
- Excavation and off-site disposal of 49,670 tons of grossly contaminated soil (GCS) followed by backfill with clean imported soil. The on-site drainage ditch was converted to a closed 30-inch subsurface drainage pipe as discussed with NYSDEC;
- Excavation and off-site disposal of approximately 2,106 tons of arsenic-impacted soil/fill followed by backfill with clean imported soil;
- Extraction, treatment using granular activated carbon (GAC), and discharge of approximately 250,000 gallons of water encountered during excavation activities under a temporary discharge permit issued by the municipal WWTP;
- Temporary re-routing of Two Mile Creek, followed by excavation of approximately 4,000 tons on-site and 973 tons off-site of Two Mile Creek bed and bank material followed by off-site disposal, and backfilling. The creek bed and banks were restored with stone (creek bottom), and clay and clean soil (creek banks), including biodegradable erosion control blanket, riparian shrubs and hydro-seeding of creek banks;
- Construction and maintenance of a cover system consisting of a minimum 12 inches of clean soil or gravel to prevent human exposure to remaining contaminated soil/fill remaining at the site.

The site was redeveloped as a commercial solar power generating facility.

300 Ohio Street Site

Manager and Principal Investigator/Remediator for a Remedial Investigation/Alternatives Analysis and Remedial Action under NYSDEC guidance at a NYSDEC Brownfield Cleanup Program site at a former petroleum storage and sales facility in Buffalo, New York. The investigation included a hydrogeologic assessment, including soil borings, test pits and monitoring well installation, development and sampling, as well as subslab vapor/indoor air testing. Remedial Action included:

- Excavation and off-site disposal of the underground storage tank (UST) system. A total of sixteen (16) USTs and related piping and appurtenances were uncovered and removed, cleaned of residual contents and transported off-site for recycling as scrap;
- Excavation and off-site disposal of approximately 18,650 tons of grossly contaminated petroleum soil, approximately 220 tons of soil/fill exceeding 500 ppm total PAHs, and approximately 425 tons of soil/fill exceeding Commercial Use SCOs for arsenic and lead;
- Approximately 500 cubic yards (cy) of recyclable concrete and stone generated from the removal of buildings and sign footers/foundations, was crushed on-Site and used for backfill and subsurface grading beneath the cover system; and,
- Construction and maintenance of a cover system consisting a minimum of 12 inches of approved soil/stone material above a demarcation fabric to prevent human exposure to contaminated soil/fill remaining at the site.

A portion of the site was redeveloped as a brewery and restaurant.

229 Homer Street Site

Manager and Principal Investigator/Remediator for a Remedial Investigation/Alternatives Analysis and Remedial Action under NYSDEC guidance at a NYSDEC Brownfield Cleanup Program site at a former petroleum refining and major petroleum storage facility in Olean, New York. The investigation included a hydrogeologic assessment, including soil borings, test pits and monitoring well installation, development, and sampling, as well as a soil vapor intrusion assessment. Remedial Action included:

- Approximately 5,815 tons of grossly contaminated soil GCS-impacted soil/fill was excavated and transported off-site for disposal at a commercial landfill;
- Approximately 1,946 linear feet of subsurface metallic product piping was exposed, tapped, evacuated of contents, removed, cleaned and recycled. Piping which extended beyond the property boundary was capped and/or grouted at the property line.
- Approximately 19 tons of piping was recycled as scrap metal. Cleaning of the pipes generated 4 drums of pipe scale, oil and water, which was transported off-site for incineration.
- Design, installation and operation of an air sparge/soil vapor extraction (AS/SVE) system to address GCS in the deeper soil/fill from approximately 5 to 15 fbgs and in the upper 5 ft of the water table (i.e., smear zone). The air sparge portion of the system includes 53 injection wells connected to an air compressor in a climate-controlled trailer via individual 1" polyethylene lines. The SVE system includes 14 extraction wells connected by 2" polyethylene lines to one of two blowers in a separate climate-controlled trailer. Emissions from the SVE system are controlled using a biofilter, which allows naturally occurring microbes to bioremediate the air stream and control the nuisance odors from the AS/SVE system;
- Construction and maintenance of a site cover system.

Former Trico Plant Site

Manager and Principal Investigator/Remediator for a Remedial Investigation/Alternatives Analysis and Remedial Action under NYSDEC guidance at a NYSDEC Brownfield Cleanup Program site at a former manufacturing facility in Buffalo, New York. The investigation included a hydrogeologic assessment, including soil borings, test pits and monitoring well installation, development and sampling, as well as subslab vapor/indoor air testing. Remedial Action included:

- Construction and maintenance of a site cover system.
- In-situ on-site treatment of groundwater contaminated with chlorinated volatile organic compounds (cVOCs);
- Removal of six (6) hydraulic lifts and associated infrastructure and impacted soil/fill;
- Pumping and on-site treatment of water present in the building sub-basement prior to sanitary sewer discharge under a temporary discharge permit;
- Cleaning accessible sump and sewer structures with evidence of potential impacts;
- Removing and properly disposing off-site miscellaneous abandoned regulated waste materials; and abating building components for lead, asbestos, oil staining, PCBs, etc. as required during redevelopment. Building surfaces and features planned to remain with evidence of impacts from historic operations will be encapsulated or sealed;
- Installing an active sub-slab depressurization (ASD) system within the existing buildings; and,
- Maintaining existing cover system in accordance with 6NYCRR Part 375 and NYSDEC DER-10 guidelines. The cover system includes building foundations and asphalt on former Burton Street.

The site is being redeveloped as a mixed-use commercial and residential complex.

Jamison Road Site

Manager and Principal Investigator/Remediator for a Remedial Investigation/Alternatives Analysis and Remedial Action under NYSDEC guidance at a NYSDEC Brownfield Cleanup Program site at an active industrial facility in Elma, New York. The Remedial Investigation was completed across the BCP Site and off-site on adjacent parcels to supplement previous environmental data and delineate or identify areas requiring remediation. Field

activities included soil boring advancement; test pit excavations; surface and near-surface soil/fill sampling; overburden and bedrock monitoring well installation; groundwater and storm water quality sample collection; soil vapor sample collection; and, subslab vapor, indoor air and outdoor air sample collection in six on-site buildings and one off-site building. Hydraulic conductivity testing was also completed in the overburden groundwater zone as well as the bedrock groundwater to assess groundwater transmissivity. Remedial Action included:

- Excavation of 2,430 tons of contaminated soil/fill, which was disposed off-site at a commercial landfill;
- 314 tons of flowable fill proximate to a sewer line was excavated as it was deemed unsuitable due to structural considerations, which was also disposed off-site at commercial landfill;
- Two steel former pipes that ran along the eastern boundary of an on-site building were removed and disposed at a commercial landfill. Approximately 10-gallons of residual hydraulic oil removed from the pipes was transported and recycled off-site;
- Approximately 13,415 cubic yards (CY) of non-impacted soil was excavated for the construction of new buildings. With NYSDEC approval, 12,250 CY of soil was exported for reuse at another site and the remaining soil was reused on-site;
- During overburden soil removal, approximately 1,100,000 gallons of Chlorinated VOC-impacted groundwater was extracted, treated using granular activated carbon, tested, and discharged;
- A former 15" concrete storm sewer was encountered during foundation excavation for new buildings. Approximately 150 gallons of emulsified oil and water were removed using a vacuum truck, transported to an on-site frac tank and later disposed. A portion of the former sewer was removed, and the remaining portion of the sewer was filled in with concrete;
- Installing an active sub-slab depressurization (ASD) system within two new building and two existing buildings;
- As part of the remedial design, a bench-scale treatability study evaluation of media was conducted. The study included four column reactors filled with four different material combinations to determine which most effectively removed CVOCs from the Site groundwater. A proprietary product comprised of granular carbon and zero-valent iron was selected as the treatment medium;
- Implementation of *in-Situ* Chemical Reduction (ISCR) in the form of a permeable reactive barrier (PRB) with two approximate 250-feet long slurry wall legs to direct the groundwater toward the PRB. The slurry walls consist of a homogeneous mixture of soil, bentonite, and water, with a maximum hydraulic conductivity of $1x10^{-6}$ cm/s. The PRB and slurry wall legs were installed in the northwestern corner of the site to treat groundwater impacted by chlorinated volatile organic compounds;
- Implementation of in-situ phytoremediation using hybrid poplar trees to treat groundwater impacted by 1,4-dioxane. The hybrid poplar trees were planted in two rows in the northwestern corner of the site, upgradient of the PRB; and,
- Installation of an in-line air stripper to remove CVOCs from storm water prior to discharge.

The site has been redeveloped with a mixed-use industrial manufacturing facility and related research and development offices.

1827 Fillmore Site

Manager and Principal Investigator/Remediator for a Remedial Investigation/Alternatives Analysis and Remedial Action under NYSDEC guidance at a NYSDEC Brownfield Cleanup Program site at a former gravel pit filled in with miscellaneous soil/fill and construction and demolition debris in Buffalo, New York located adjacent to two public schools. The Remedial Investigation included a hydrogeologic assessment, including soil borings, test pits and monitoring well installation, development and sampling. As part of the Remedial Investigation, bench-scale studies were completed to assess treatment characteristically hazardous lead-impacted soil. Soil/fill batches were treated by mixing different amendments, including Portland cement and phosphoric acid, at varying percentages by weight. 5% Portland cement was selected as the soil amendment. Remedial action included:

- In-situ stabilization of approximately 3,091 cubic yards of characteristic hazardous lead soil/fill using Portland cement in two remedial areas of concern;
- Excavation and off-site disposal of contaminant source areas, including soil exceeding the site-specific action levels (SSALs) of 3,900 ppm of lead and soil containing total semi-volatile organic compounds (SVOCs) exceeding 500 ppm. Approximately 2,200 tons of soil was removed and disposed off-Site, including 160 tons of soil exceeding the hazardous criteria for lead, which was treated in-situ and rendered non-hazardous prior to disposal; and,

- Construction and maintenance of a soil cover system consisting of a minimum of one foot of soil placed over a demarcation layer, with the upper six inches of soil of sufficient quality to maintain a vegetative layer to prevent human exposure to remaining contaminated soil/fill.

The site is planned to be redeveloped with mixed-use commercial and residential buildings.

3100 Clinton Street Site

Manager and Principal Investigator/Remediator for a Remedial Investigation/Alternatives Analysis and Remedial Action under NYSDEC guidance at a NYSDEC Brownfield Cleanup Program site at a former railyard filled in with miscellaneous soil/fill in West Seneca, New York and located adjacent to a regulated wetland. The Remedial Investigation included a hydrogeologic assessment, including soil borings, test pits and monitoring well installation, development and sampling. Remedial Action included:

- Clearing and grubbing of vegetation, shrubs, and trees to allow remedial excavation activities. Approval to complete work activities within designated wetland areas was received from the United States Army Corps of Engineers and NYSDEC prior to starting work in those areas;
- Excavation and off-site disposal of approximately 45,850 tons (approximately 30,600 cubic yards) of PAH- and metals-impacted soil/fill;
- Excavation and off-site transport to an approved location of a total of 761 loads of surplus native soils which were determined to be structurally unsuitable for redevelopment purposes;
- Excavation and off-site disposal of 5.9 tons of treated/weathered wood (former railroad ties);
- Collection, storage, volume measurement, and discharge of 1,005,000 gallons of groundwater and/or rainwater encountered during remedial activities;
- Backfilling of the excavation area with approximately 172,724 tons of backfill including screened backfill and surge stone. Surge stone was required in areas of the site where natural soils were not suitable for geotechnical requirements of the planned building. In those areas, certain native soils were removed and/or bridged with filter fabric and surge stone was installed beneath compacted gravel.

The site was redeveloped with state-of-the-art food processing protein plant.

351 Franklin Street Site

Manager and Principal Investigator/Remediator for a Remedial Investigation/Alternatives Analysis and Remedial Action under NYSDEC guidance at a NYSDEC Brownfield Cleanup Program site at a former petroleum refining and major storage facility in Olean, New York. The Remedial Investigation included a hydrogeologic assessment, including soil borings, test pits and monitoring well installation, development and sampling. Exterior soil vapor sampling and an interior soil vapor intrusion (SVI) assessment was completed within one on-site building. A pre-design investigation included additional groundwater monitoring wells and gauging/monitoring levels of light non-aqueous phase liquid (LNAPL). As part of the remedial design, bench-scale studies will be completed to assess potential treatment options for grossly-contaminated material (GCM), including assessment of Portland cement and other potential amendments (e.g., lime-kiln dust), at varying percentages by weight. Remedial actions to be completed include:

- Excavation and reuse of the top one foot of SVOC- and arsenic-impacted soil under the cover system, and disposal of excess material;
- Excavation of non-GCM-impacted soil/fill that resides over the GCM and staging of the material on-site for reuse as backfill under the cover system;
- Excavation and disposal of approximately 16,000 tons of GCM-impacted soil/fill and completion of in-situ solidification (ISS) as needed to address GCM-impacted soil/fill at the water table, followed by backfill and site grading. After the completion of ISS mixing activities, the solidified soil will be allowed to cure for 28 days. After the mixture has cured, core samples will be collected from the solidified soil and post-treatment tests, including unconfined compressive strength (UCS), permeability testing and free liquid testing, will be completed to ensure that the solidified soil meets ISS performance requirements;
- Solidification of excavated soil, as needed, prior to disposal, based on landfill requirements;
- Removal and transferal of abandoned petroleum piping encountered during GCM excavation activities to a recycling

facility and extraction and disposal of piping contents;
- Management of impacted groundwater and stormwater during remedial activities;
- Placement of a cover system across the entire Site;
- Installation of an active sub-slab depressurization (ASD) system in the newly constructed building during construction and completion of SVI sampling;
- Monitoring of groundwater level and LNAPL; and, Removal of LNAPL via bailing or in-well pumps as needed from monitoring wells over time.

The site is planned for a redevelopment as a mixed use commercial and light industrial building.

PROFESSIONAL PROFILE



CONTACT INFORMATION

Main: (716) 856- 0599
Direct: (716) 864-1730
Email: tforbes@rouxinc.com
Website: www.rouxinc.com

2558 Hamburg Turnpike
Suite 300
Buffalo, New York 14218

EDUCATION

BS, Chemical Engineering, State University of New York at Buffalo, 1988

Graduate of State University of New York at Buffalo School of Management Center for Entrepreneurial Leadership, 2002

Graduate-level courses in Biological Principles of Engineering and Hazardous Waste Management through the State University of New York at Buffalo Department of Environmental Engineering

PROFESSIONAL LICENSES

Professional Engineer: NY, PA, and OH

Thomas H. Forbes, PE

Vice President | Principal Engineer | Co-operations Manager

EXPERIENCE SUMMARY

Mr. Forbes has more than thirty-five years of environmental engineering experience: Vice President, Principal Engineer, and Co-operations Manager at Roux, July 2023 to Present; Principal Engineer and President at Benchmark Civil/Environmental Engineering & Geology, PLLC, June 1998 to July 2023; and Project Engineer, Malcolm Pirnie, Inc., June 1988 to June 1998.

TECHNICAL SPECIALTIES

Mr. Forbes is a registered professional engineer licensed in the States of New York, Ohio, and Pennsylvania. He has over thirty-five years of experience focused on brownfield and hazardous waste site investigation and remediation; petroleum-impacted site remediation; due diligence for environmentally-impaired properties; groundwater and industrial wastewater treatment; and environmental regulatory compliance services. He holds a BS in Chemical Engineering from the University at Buffalo. He is currently a Vice President and Principal Engineer for Roux (2023- present). Mr. Forbes' investigations and cleanups have included well over 250 sites contaminated with a wide range of materials, including per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS), chlorinated solvents, polyaromatic hydrocarbons, polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs), dioxins, heavy metals, cyanide, radioactive isotopes, and petroleum contamination. He has evaluated and successfully implemented cost-saving and innovative treatment technologies on a conventional and design-build basis (e.g., in situ and ex situ physical-chemical, thermal, and biological treatment), as well as removal and containment methods for remediation. Mr. Forbes has also played an instrumental role in extending and improving the New York State Brownfield Cleanup Program (BCP) through voluntary consulting efforts with congressional and senate leaders, as well as the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (NYSDEC). He has also assisted numerous manufacturing and pharmaceutical clients through the development of strategies, plans, and permit applications necessary to secure and maintain state and federal environmental regulatory compliance.

REPRESENTATIVE PROJECTS

- Project manager for remedial investigation, alternatives analysis, and remedial construction to facilitate redevelopment of over 450-acres of former Bethlehem Steel Corp manufacturing site property encompassing 33 separate Brownfield Cleanup Program (BCP) sites in Lackawanna, New York. Contaminants of concern primarily include petroleum organics, solvents, PCBs, and heavy metals. Currently managing remediation of over 500 acres of additional property under an NYSDEC RCRA Corrective Action Program.
- Expert witness to legal defense team for a former electronic parts manufacturer under suit by Orange County Water District, Fullerton, California for primary drinking water aquifer contamination by chlorinated solvents and emergent organic contaminants. Served as technical consultant during mediation and settlement discussions; prepared expert report and lead technical arguments on behalf of defendant to support claim dismissal.
- Project manager for remediation of spill area soils associated with the Lehigh Valley Railroad Derailment National Priority List (NPL) Site in LeRoy, New York. Developed and implemented a USEPA-approved comprehensive remedial design to address chlorinated solvents in site soils via in situ soil vapor extraction.
- Assisted confidential client's legal counsel negotiate a consent decree with New Mexico Environment Department related to cleanup of chlorinated solvent releases to overburden and the fractured bedrock aquifer from a former manufacturing operation in Albuquerque, NM. Presently assisting in management of in situ groundwater cleanup and monitoring work.

- Project Officer for BCP remediation and civil engineering design on a former industrial property to facilitate construction of a 290,000 SF manufacturing building which currently produces a consumer cleaning product for Proctor & Gamble.
- Serve as primary environmental consultant and environmental regulatory compliance manager for a Fortune 500 pharmaceutical manufacturing company in Western New York.
- Assisted in development of an in situ PFAS stabilization pilot test and Remedial Design Work Plan for a western New York Brownfield Cleanup Program Site impacted by suspected firefighting foam practice operations.
- Successfully designed and implemented groundwater pump and treat systems incorporating advanced oxidation processes to remove organic solvents and emerging contaminants at a former municipal landfill and at a former superfund site in central New York.
- Supervised monitoring and remedial measures during redevelopment of the Riverbend Site in Buffalo, New York for a new solar panel and battery system manufacturing operation. Developed a technologically enhanced naturally occurring radioactive material (TENORM) reuse work plan and variance application, which was the first ever employed in New York State. The plan was accepted by New York State, saving tens of millions in disposal costs.
- Served as Project Officer for NYSDEC Brownfield Cleanup Program (BCP) investigation and remediation of 441 Ohio Street, a former freight house located along the Buffalo River. Remedial activities included bank reshaping, hotspot soil/fill removal, and cover system placement to allow redevelopment as a residential apartment building.
- Serving as Project Officer for remedial investigation and cleanup activities at 1176 South Park Avenue, an NYSDEC BCP Site and the location of a former large-scale petroleum storage facility located on the Buffalo River.
- Served as Project Officer for NYSDEC Brownfield Cleanup Program (BCP) investigation and remediation of the former Millard Fillmore Gates Circle hospital complex in Buffalo, New York.
- Project officer for NYSDEC BCP investigation and cleanup of 154 South Ogden Street in concert with construction of the South Buffalo Charter School.
- Project manager for RI/FS, remedial design, and remedial construction at the Sycamore Village Site, a 4-acre New York State Environmental Restoration Program (ERP) site in Buffalo, New York. Responsible for all technical and administrative aspects of the project, involving removal of over 18,000 cubic yards of soil from an impacted residential neighborhood and site restoration.
- Served as project manager and supervising contractor for design-build remedial activities at the Markhams National Priority List (NPL) site in Dayton, NY. Successfully implemented remedial measures leading to USEPA-designated Preliminary Site Closeout status in October 2008 and delisting in 2009.
- Served as project manager representing multiple potential responsible party (PRP)-led remedial construction activities to address heavy metal and chlorinated solvent impacts at the Peter Cooper Landfill NPL site. Responsible for oversight and coordination of RI/FS planning and implementation activities, lead technical contact with USEPA, and remedial measures design and construction. Achieved site closeout in 2011.
- Assisted in the development of a voluntary cleanup plan for remediation of a 120-acre former steel manufacturing site in Buffalo, New York which was contaminated with volatile organic compounds, heavy metals, poly-nuclear aromatic hydrocarbons. Specific assistance involved design of a groundwater remediation system to address VOC and SVOC source area impacts proximate to a residential neighborhood and development and implementation of a Community Air Monitoring Plan involving quantitative monitoring (Summa Canister and respirable particulate analysis) and qualitative monitoring (field instruments).
- Served as Project Manager for RI/FS and cleanup activities related to solvent releases from a former paint and specialty coatings manufacturing facility in Buffalo, New York. The work, carried out under NY State Superfund program, included in situ treatment of soils and groundwater impacted by chlorinated and non-chlorinated volatile organics and heavy metals.
- Currently serving as Project Manager for New York State Voluntary Cleanup efforts for chlorinated solvent cleanup at a former degreasing and electroplating facility in Rochester, NY. Designed and implemented interim remedial measures involving low-profile air stripping and in situ hydrogen infusion.
- Served as Project Manager for multiple EPA Pilot-Grant funded investigations for City of Buffalo Department of Strategic Planning.
- Managed design-build cleanup of former New 7th Street Brownfield Cleanup Program Site in Buffalo, New York. The project involved design-build removal of several hundred tons of petroleum-impacted soil and fill material and preparation of related engineering reports resulting in Certificate of Completion issuance.

- Led remedial efforts for petroleum releases at a Western New York refinery and major oil storage facility, achieving site inactivation within 3 months of the release.
- Managed spill site investigation and cleanup work including underground storage tank removal work at numerous petroleum and chemical spill sites in Western New York.
- Led design-build construction of a 5 MGD capacity cooling water pH adjustment system for PVS Chemical Corporation. The project included design of feed forward pH control system, adjustment tank and mixer construction, process, and chemical feed piping modifications to neutralize sulfuric acid discharges. Successfully implemented startup and demonstration testing.
- Designed a 75 gpm groundwater treatment system and served as quality assurance officer for remedial efforts at the Steelfields site (former LTV Steel/Hanna Furnace Site), Buffalo, New York. The treatment system removes petroleum-based volatile organic and semi-volatile organic compounds prior to discharge to the Buffalo Sewer Authority.
- Assisted the City of Buffalo Department of Community Development in implementing an emergency PCB-contaminated soil removal effort from a residential neighborhood in Buffalo, New York. Responsibilities included coordination of hazmat excavation contractor and secure landfill, preparation of an emergency excavation and confirmatory sampling plan, and oversight of community air monitoring during the removal work.
- Performed a Feasibility Study and prepared an Engineering Design Report for remediation of PCB-contaminated soils and sediments at the Columbus McKinnon Corporation, Tonawanda, New York. Responsibilities included detailed evaluation of several remedial processes, completion of design calculations and remedial cost estimates, and preparation of a final report for submission to NYSDEC.
- Assisted in performance of a Feasibility Study for the West Valley Nuclear Demonstration Site. The Feasibility Study evaluated alternatives for remediation of groundwater contaminated with radioactive isotopes from a former containment area release.
- Assisted in the design and performed start-up of a groundwater remediation system for Moog, Inc., an aerospace parts manufacturer. The project, performed on a design-build basis, involved preparation of design plans, securing contractor bids for construction, and start-up of the remediation system, which incorporates filtration and air stripping to remove chlorinated volatile organic contaminants from groundwater.
- Designed and implemented groundwater monitoring well decommissioning procedures for the Love Canal site, Niagara Falls, New York. The project was performed on behalf of NYSDEC and included abandoning monitoring wells no longer used in the Love Canal landfill or in adjoining neighborhoods.
- Prepared an environmental monitoring plan for remediation of PCB-contaminated sediments in the St. Lawrence River along the General Motors, Inc. Powertrain Division facility in Massena, New York.
- Assisted in the performance of a Feasibility Study for remediation of volatile organic, PCB and heavy metal-contaminated soils and ground water at the Rochester Fire Academy, Rochester, New York.

PUBLICATIONS/PRESENTATIONS

Forbes, Thomas H. and Frappa, Richard H. "Innovative Remedial Measures for the Mercury Aircraft Site" Proceedings of the Purdue University 50th Annual Industrial Waste Conference, May 1995.

Frappa, Richard H., Forbes, Thomas H. and McManus, Anne Marie "A Blast to Remediate" Industrial Wastewater, July/August 1996.

Forbes, Thomas H. and McManus, Anne Marie "Advanced Oxidation Technology and Application" Proceedings of the University at Buffalo 28th Mid-Atlantic Industrial and Hazardous Waste Conference, July 1996.

Forbes, Thomas H. et al - "Pay to Throw in Buffalo" Proceedings of 1997 Solid Waste Association of North America annual conference.

Forbes, T.H. & Werthman, P.H. "Development of Site-Specific Cleanup Levels for Commercial Redevelopment of a Large Former Steel Works," presented at the Brownfields 2000 Conference, Atlantic City NJ, October 2000.

Forbes, Thomas H. and Frappa, Richard H. "Innovative Remedial Measures Almost 10 Years Later at the Former Mercury Aircraft Site" Proceedings of the National Groundwater Association Northeast Conference, October 2002.

Forbes, Thomas H. "Ins and Outs of the New York State Brownfield Cleanup Program" Air & Waste Management Association, Niagara Frontier Section, Annual Environmental Seminar (presentation), April 2006.

Forbes, Thomas H. "Brownfield Redevelopment" Proceedings of Half Moon Seminar's "New York Environmental Compliance for Design Professionals" conference, September 2008.

Forbes, Thomas H. "New York State Brownfield Cleanup Program Update" Air & Waste Management Association Annual Environmental Seminar (presentation), April 2009.

APPENDIX B

Previous Investigations

Historic Research

And

Phase II Environmental Investigation

1430-1440 & 1458 Main Street
Buffalo, New York

November 2023

Prepared for:

R.E. McNamara
8615 Roll Road
Clarence Center, NY, 14032-9139

Prepared by:

Roux Environmental Engineering and Geology, D.P.C.
2558 Hamburg Turnpike, Suite 300
Buffalo, New York 14218

2283.0002Y.110/CV

Environmental Consulting
& Management
+1.800.322.ROUX
rouxinc.com

Table of Contents

1.0 INTRODUCTION 1
1.1 Background and Site Description 1
1.2 Historical Research 1

2.0 SITE INVESTIGATION ACTIVITIES 3
2.1 Site Geology/Hydrogeology 3
2.2 Soil Boring Investigation 3

3.0 INVESTIGATION FINDINGS 4
3.1 Qualitative Soil Screening 4
3.2 Soil Analytical Results 4

4.0 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS 6

5.0 LIMITATIONS 7

Figures

1. Site Location and Vicinity Map
2. Site Plan (Aerial)
3. Investigation Locations and Areas of Concern

Tables

1. Summary of Soil Borings and Sample Locations
2. Summary of Soil/Fill Analytical Results

Appendices

A. Historical Review Documents (i.e., Sanborn Maps and Municipal Records)
B. Photo Log
C. Laboratory Analytical Data Summary Package

1.0 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background and Site Description

Roux Environmental Engineering and Geology, D.P.C. (Roux) performed historical research and a Phase II Environmental Investigation for R.E. McNamara at the parcels addressed as 1430, 1440, and 1458 Main Street in the City of Buffalo, Erie County, New York (Site).

The Site, located in a highly developed residential and commercial area of the City of Buffalo (see Figure 1), is supplied with and has access to all public utilities (i.e., municipal sanitary sewer, electric, natural-gas and public water). As shown on Figure 2, five structures are present on the Site; these existing buildings are further discussed below:

- Building 1 – Storage.
- Building 2 – Storage.
- Building 3 – AAA Safe and Lock Co.
- Building 4 - Diamond Moving & Storage warehouse facilities.
- Building 5 -Vacant.

The Site consisting of three parcels totals approximately 1.71-acres; the parcels are further detailed below:

Parcel Address	Size (acres)	Tax ID No.	Current Owner	Current Use
1430 Main Street	0.28	100.39-2-8.1	Robert & Stephen Sireci	Parking/Vacant Land
1440 Main Street	0.73	100.39-2-6.1	Stephen, Wallis, & Robert Sireci	Storage and Warehouse Facilities
1458 Main Street	0.70	100.39-2-4	Frederick A. Culliton Jr.	Commercial & Storage facilities

1.2 Historical Research

Sanborn Maps

As part of this project, as further discussed below, Roux completed a historical record research, including reviews of Sanborn Fire Insurance Maps, and municipal records (see Appendix A) for additional information relative to the Site. On-Site operations included storage, a plumbing shop, packing, storefronts, and various auto houses/private garages from at least 1925 through at least 1951. In addition, a former radio station (WKBW Studio) was on-Site in at least 1951. One gasoline underground storage tank (UST) was identified west of Building 4 (off the northwest corner) on the 1440 Main Street parcel in at least 1925. One additional gasoline UST was identified on the southwest portion of the 1458 Main Street parcel.

Municipal Records

Records readily available at the City of Buffalo municipal offices (Assessor, Building and Fire Departments) as well as records available online from the Erie County GIS were reviewed for additional

information relative to the Site. Roux also researched historic addresses identified for the Site through review of Sanborn maps. Note that a Freedom of Information Letter (FOIL) was submitted to the City of Buffalo as required to access their records. The following summarizes municipal records provided to Roux at the time of this assessment; copies of the pertinent records are included in Appendix A.

- Past owners were identified as Cold Spring Storage Co., Churchill Evan Association, Powell Moving and Storage Co., H. Knepper and Son (plumbing supplies)
- General building permits on file for the Site apparently associated with a hazardous/regulated material assessment indicates 60-gallons of presumed petroleum and a pump at 1430 Main Street for a plumbing supply store (H. Knepper and Son) and 500-gallons of presumed petroleum at a storage facility (Cold Spring Storage).
- A Survey document of 1432 Main Street, dated 1946, indicates the presence of two 750-gallon gasoline USTs, one of which is identified as being filled with water, in connection with a private filling station; an associated sketch depicts these USTs and a pump to the south of a former shed and between a horse barn and warehouse (this area is presumably west of Building 4).
- An Application to Store or Keep for Sale Inflammable Oils or Explosives document, dated 1927, indicates that the applicant, N. Nielsen and Son, has installed one additional 280-gallon gasoline curb tank and pump, which complies with the application and was approved.

Roux's Phase II Environmental Investigation was completed to further assess subsurface conditions across the Site. This investigation was not intended to be comprehensive in nature, but was intended to collect sufficient data to determine whether the Site is a potential candidate for the New York Brownfield Cleanup Program (NY BCP). Additional information relative to the work completed by Roux is provided below.

2.0 Site Investigation Activities

2.1 Site Geology/Hydrogeology

The overburden geology observed during the investigation activities is generally described as follows:

- Fill materials identified across the Site generally consisted of black fines, ash, cinders, stone, and fragments of brick. Fill materials varied in depths between 0.5 feet below ground surface (fbgs) to 9 fbgs.
- Native soil beneath fill units consisted of sandy lean clay at varying depths and was generally encountered at 0.5 to 4 fbgs. Poorly graded sand units were noted beneath the sandy lean clay layer at varying depths of 4 to 15 fbgs. An underlying lean sandy clay layer was then observed beneath the poorly graded sand at depths of approximately 11 to 16 fbgs.
- Suspect perched groundwater was encountered at SB-2, SB-3, SB-5, SB-6, SB-7, SB-8, and SB-9 at depths between approximately 7 to 15 fbgs. Perched groundwater was observed above a sandy clay layer.
- Additional information on lithology is provided on the Soil Boring Logs included on Table 2.

Groundwater flow is likely to the west towards the Niagara River or north towards Scajaquada Creek. Local groundwater flow, however, may be influenced by subsurface features, such as excavations, utilities, and localized fill-conditions.

2.2 Soil Boring Investigation

On October 13, 2023, Roux's subcontractor, Trec Environmental Inc. (Trec), completed drilling activities using a hydraulically driven track-mounted Geoprobe drill rig (Model 66DT) equipped with a 1.5-inch diameter, 48-inch-long macro-core sampler. As shown on Figure 2, seventeen soil borings designated as SB-1 through SB-17 were completed across the Site in accessible exterior areas. The soil borings were advanced to a maximum depth of 16 fbgs.

The sample cores were retrieved from the boring locations in clear PVC sleeves to allow for field characterization of the subsurface lithology and collection of soil samples by Roux's Environmental Scientist. The physical characteristics of all soil borings were classified using the ASTM D2488 Visual-Manual Procedure Description. Soils from each boring were screened via headspace screening using a MiniRae 3000 Photoionization Detector (PID). Visual and/or olfactory observations were noted. All field observations, including lithology, depths, PID scan results, etc., at each investigation location are summarized in the Soil Boring Log are summarized in Table 1. Photographs taken during the work are included in Appendix B.

Ten soil/fill samples selected for laboratory analysis were transported under chain-of custody command to Eurofins Testing America (Eurofins) in Amherst, New York, for analysis of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) and Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) metals. Two soil/fill samples were selected for analysis of Target Compound List (TCL) plus NYSDEC Commissioner Policy 51 (CP-51) volatile organic compounds (VOCs) in the areas proximate suspect USTs shown on historic Sanborn maps. All samples were collected in laboratory provided sample bottles and were cooled to 4^0 C prior to transport.

3.0 INVESTIGATION FINDINGS

3.1 Qualitative Soil Screening

Soil samples from the soil boring investigation were observed and scanned via headspace screening for volatile organics using a PID. A brief description of the field observations during the boring investigation is presented below:

Investigation Location ID	Parcel	Environmental Concern Assessed	Highest PID Reading (parts per million, ppm) and depth (fbgs)	Other Observations
SB-1	1458 Main Street	General Site conditions	0 ppm throughout boring.	Fill to 1.5 fbgs.
SB-2	1458 Main Street	General Site conditions	0 ppm throughout boring.	Fill to 1.5 fbgs.
SB-3	1458 Main Street	Sanborn gasoline UST location	0 ppm throughout boring.	Fill to 4 fbgs.
SB-4	1458 Main Street	General Site conditions	0 ppm throughout boring.	Fill to 1.5 fbgs.
SB-5	1458 Main Street	Sanborn gasoline UST location	0 ppm throughout boring.	Fill to 4 fbgs.
SB-6	1440 Main Street	Sanborn gasoline UST location	0 ppm throughout boring.	Fill to 1.5 fbgs
SB-7	1440 Main Street	Sanborn gasoline UST location	0 ppm throughout boring.	Fill to 1.5 fbgs
SB-8	1440 Main Street	Sanborn gasoline UST location	0 ppm throughout boring.	Fill to 1.5 fbgs
SB-9	1440 Main Street	General Site conditions	0 ppm throughout boring.	Fill to 1.5 fbgs
SB-10	1440 Main Street	General Site conditions	0 ppm throughout boring	Fill to 2 fbgs. Hit refusal at 2 fbgs.
SB-11	1440 Main Street	General Site conditions	0 ppm throughout boring.	Fill to 9 fbgs. Brick to 8.0 fbgs.
SB-12	1440 Main Street	General Site conditions	0 ppm throughout boring.	Fill to 2 fbgs.
SB-13	1430 Main Street	General Site conditions	0 ppm throughout boring.	Fill to 2 fbgs.
SB-14	1430 Main Street	General Site conditions	0 ppm throughout boring.	No fill material
SB-15	1430 Main Street	Suspect former transformer location.	0 ppm throughout boring	No fill material
SB-16	1430 Main Street	General Site conditions	0 ppm throughout boring.	No fill material
SB-17	1430 Main Street	General Site conditions	0 ppm throughout boring.	Fill to 4 fbgs.

3.2 Soil Analytical Results

Table 2 presents a summary of the detected VOCs, PAHs, and metals for each of the soil/fill samples selected for laboratory analysis from Roux's investigation. For comparative purposes, Table 2 includes 6NYCRR Part 375 Unrestricted, Restricted-Residential, Commercial and Industrial Use Soil Cleanup Objectives (USCOs, RRSCOs, CSCOs, and ISCOs respectively). Based on the anticipated redevelopment plan, USCOs or RRSCOs are the most applicable comparison criteria in that scenario. Figure 3 shows exceedances of detected contaminants above their respective SCOs at their corresponding investigation locations. Appendix C contains a copy of the laboratory analytical data package.

As summarized in Table 2, VOCs, selected for analysis of soil at the water table in borings in Sanborn UST areas, were either not detected at concentrations above laboratory detection limits or concentrations were significantly below their respective USCOs. Numerous PAHs exceeded Part 375

SCOs with multiple individual PAH concentrations exceeding USCOs and RRSCOs in six soil/fill samples collected from across the Site from the 1440 and 1458 Main Street parcels and from an investigation location near the parcel boundary of 1430 Main Street. The highest PAH concentrations in fill materials, exceeding the regulatory threshold of 100 milligrams per kilogram (mg/kg) in a restricted-residential scenario, were 603 mg/kg at SB-2 and 184 mg/kg at SB-10; these areas would be considered “hot spots” requiring remediation if the Site were in a regulatory program.

Metals were identified at concentrations exceeding Part 375 SCOs at all soil/fill sample locations with exceedances of USCOs and RRSCOs in at least one sample from each parcel. Of note, arsenic was identified above its ISCO (16 mg/kg) at SB-3 (22.1 mg/kg) at 1458 Main, SB-8 (16.2 mg/kg) at 1440 Main, and SB-13 (31.9 mg/kg) at 1430 Main, Barium was detected at concentrations exceeding USCOs (350 mg/kg) at SB-1 (367 mg/kg) and SB-8 (311 mg/kg) on the 1458 and 1440 Main Street parcels, respectively. Cadmium was detected at concentrations exceeding its RRSCO (4.3 mg/kg) at SB-8 (7.7 mg/kg). Lead was detected at concentrations exceeding its ISCO (3,900 mg/kg) at SB-3 (4,370 mg.kg) and its CSCO (1,000 mg/kg) at SB-8 (1,610 mg/kg). A significantly elevated mercury concentration of 64.9 mg/kg, exceeding its ISCO of 5.7 mg/kg, was identified at SB-3, and to a lesser extent, mercury exceeded its RRSCO (0.81 mg/kg) at SB-9 (0.84 mg/kg).

4.0 Conclusions and Recommendations

Based on the results of the Phase II investigation at the Site, Roux offers the following conclusions and recommendations:

- As per the review of historical sources by Roux, the Site has a history of petroleum USTs and the Site has been used for a variety of commercial uses.
- Fill materials, generally consisting of black fines, ash, cinders, stone, and fragments of brick, were noted across the Site at varying depths between 0.5 fbgs to 9 fbgs. Laboratory analysis indicates wide-spread environmental impacts in soil/fill, as further discussed below.
- Soil/fill present across the Site is impacted by PAHs and/or metals with concentrations exceeding RRSCOs (the most applicable SCO based on the future intended use of the Site) at sample locations from each Site parcel. Of note, total PAH concentrations of 603 mg/kg at SB-2 and 184 mg/kg at SB-10 would be considered “hot spots” requiring remediation if the Site were in a regulatory program under a restricted residential scenario. Regarding metals, arsenic (up to 31.9 mg/kg), lead (up to 4370 mg/kg), and mercury (up to 64.9 mg/kg) were identified at concentrations exceeding their CSCO and/or ISCO and based on the concentrations identified, would also require remediation if the Site were in a regulatory program.
- No VOCs were detected at concentrations above their respective USCOs in soil samples selected for analysis in Sanborn UST areas.
- We understand the property is being considered for redevelopment. Based on the findings detailed above, the Site is a potential candidate for the BCP. Regardless of whether the BCP is pursued, impacted fill present on-Site will require exposure control, remediation and/or proper management either prior to or during redevelopment.

5.0 LIMITATIONS

This report has been prepared for the exclusive use of R.E. McNamara. The contents of this report are limited to information available at the time of the Site investigation activities and to data referenced herein and assume all referenced historic information sources to be true and accurate. The findings herein may be relied upon only at the discretion of R.E. McNamara. Use of or reliance on this report or its findings by any other person or entity is prohibited without written permission of Roux.

FIGURES


F:\CAD\0-ROUX\R.E. McNamara\Figure 1 - Site Location and Vicinity Map.dwg, 10/5/2023 12:10:51 PM, DWG To PDF.pc3



AERIAL FROM USGS NY BUFFALO NE & NW



QUADRANGLE LOCATION



Title:

SITE LOCATION AND VICINITY MAP

PHASE II ENVIRONMENTAL INVESTIGATION

1430-1440 & 1458 MAIN STREET

BUFFALO, NEW YORK


Prepared for:

R.E. MCNAMARA

ROUX

Compiled by: CEH	Date: OCTOBER 2023	FIGURE
Prepared by: CEH	Scale: AS SHOWN	1
Project Mgr: BWM	Project: 0717-023-001	
File: SITEPLAN(AERIAL).DWG		

F:\CAD\0-ROUX\R.E. McNamara\Figure 2 - Site Plan (Aerial).dwg, 10/25/2023 10:34:55 AM, DWG To PDF.pc3



LEGEND:

- PROPERTY BOUNDARY
- PARCEL BOUNDARY
- 1 — BUILDING NUMBER
- SB-1 ● — SOIL BORING LOCATION

AERIAL FROM BING NY83-WF

N

100' 0 100'

Title:

SITE PLAN (AERIAL)

PHASE II ENVIRONMENTAL INVESTIGATION

1430-1440 & 1458 MAIN STREET

BUFFALO, NEW YORK


Prepared for:

R.E. MCNAMARA

ROUX

Compiled by: CEH	Date: OCTOBER 2023	FIGURE
Prepared by: CEH	Scale: AS SHOWN	2
Project Mgr: BWM	Project: 0717-023-001	
File: SITEPLAN(AERIAL).DWG		

F:\CAD\0-ROUX\R.E. McNamara\Figure 3 - Investigation Locations and Areas of Concern.dwg, 10/25/2023 10:31:30 AM, DWG To PDF.pc3



SB-1 (0.5-1.5')	
DATE OF SAMPLING EVENT - 10/13/2023	
PARAMETER:	VALUE (MG/KG)
BENZO(A)ANTHRACENE	3.8
BENZO(A)PYRENE	6.4
BENZO(B)FLUORANTHENE	5.7
BENZO(K)FLUORANTHENE	2.8
CHRYSENE	3.6
DIBENZO(A,H)ANTHRACENE	1.5
INDENO(1,2,3-CD)PYRENE	4.7
BARIUM	367
LEAD	111

SB-2 (0.5-1.5')	
DATE OF SAMPLING EVENT - 10/13/2023	
PARAMETER:	VALUE (MG/KG)
BENZO(A)ANTHRACENE	49
BENZO(A)PYRENE	49
BENZO(B)FLUORANTHENE	48
BENZO(K)FLUORANTHENE	24
CHRYSENE	48
FLUORANTHENE	120
INDENO(1,2,3-CD)PYRENE	19
PYRENE	110
LEAD	87.6

SB-9 (0.5-1.5')	
DATE OF SAMPLING EVENT - 10/13/2023	
PARAMETER:	VALUE (MG/KG)
BENZO(A)ANTHRACENE	5.7
BENZO(A)PYRENE	6
BENZO(B)FLUORANTHENE	6.6
BENZO(K)FLUORANTHENE	2.5
CHRYSENE	5.5
INDENO(1,2,3-CD)PYRENE	2.9
LEAD	64.7
MERCURY	0.84

SB-3 (0-4.0')	
DATE OF SAMPLING EVENT - 10/13/2023	
PARAMETER:	VALUE (MG/KG)
BENZO(A)ANTHRACENE	2.8
BENZO(A)PYRENE	3.5
BENZO(B)FLUORANTHENE	4
ARSENIC	22.1
CADMIUM	3.9
LEAD	4370
MERCURY	64.9

SB-10 (0.5-2.0')	
DATE OF SAMPLING EVENT - 10/13/2023	
PARAMETER:	VALUE (MG/KG)
BENZO(A)ANTHRACENE	16
BENZO(A)PYRENE	15
BENZO(B)FLUORANTHENE	20
BENZO(K)FLUORANTHENE	7.2
CHRYSENE	17
DIBENZO(A,H)ANTHRACENE	2
INDENO(1,2,3-CD)PYRENE	6.1
MERCURY	0.34

SB-8 (0.5-1.5')	
DATE OF SAMPLING EVENT - 10/13/2023	
PARAMETER:	VALUE (MG/KG)
ARSENIC	16.2
BARIUM	311
CADMIUM	7.7
LEAD	1610
MERCURY	0.5

SB-17 (0.5-4.0')	
DATE OF SAMPLING EVENT - 10/13/2023	
PARAMETER:	VALUE (MG/KG)
LEAD	110
MERCURY	0.33

SB-13 (0.5-2.0')	
DATE OF SAMPLING EVENT - 10/13/2023	
PARAMETER:	VALUE (MG/KG)
ARSENIC	31.9

SB-12 (0.5-2')	
DATE OF SAMPLING EVENT - 10/13/2023	
PARAMETER:	VALUE (MG/KG)
BENZO(A)ANTHRACENE	2.8
BENZO(A)PYRENE	3.2
BENZO(B)FLUORANTHENE	3.5
BENZO(K)FLUORANTHENE	1.5
CHRYSENE	2.7
DIBENZO(A,H)ANTHRACENE	0.52
INDENO(1,2,3-CD)PYRENE	1.4
LEAD	99.1
MERCURY	0.33

LEGEND:

PROPERTY BOUNDARY

PARCEL BOUNDARY

SB-1 ● SOIL BORING LOCATION

VALUES PER 6 NYCRR PART 375 SOIL CLEANUP OBJECTIVES (SCOs):

EXCEEDS USCOs

EXCEEDS RRSCOs

EXCEEDS CSCOs

EXCEEDS ISCOs

N

100' 0 100'

Title:

INVESTIGATION LOCATIONS AND AREAS OF CONCERN

LIMITED PHASE II ENVIRONMENTAL INVESTIGATION
1430-1440 & 1458 MAIN STREET
BUFFALO, NEW YORK

Prepared for:

R.E. MCNAMARA

ROUX

Compiled by: CEH	Date: OCTOBER 2023	FIGURE
Prepared by: CEH	Scale: AS SHOWN	3
Project Mgr: BWM	Project: 0717-023-001	
File: FIGURE 3 - INVESTIGATION LOCATIONS AND AREAS OF CONCERN.DWG		

TABLES

TABLE 1

SUMMARY OF SOIL BORINGS AND SAMPLE LOCATIONS

1430-1440 & 1458 MAIN STREET
BUFFALO, NEW YORK

Location	Boring Depth (fbgs)	Visually Impacted?	Olfactory Odor	Peak PID Scan (ppm)		Approximate DTW (fbgs)	Depth (fbgs) and Soil Description (ASTM D2488: Visual-Manual Procedure)
				Scan	HSD		
SB-1	8.0	no	none	0.0	0.0	none	*0.0 - 0.5 Concrete slab with stone subbase* *0.5 - 1.5 Non-native fill material black, with black sandy fines, ash, cinders, and stone* *1.5 - 8.0 Sandy lean clay (CL) brown, medium plasticity*
SB-2	12.0	no	none	0.0	0.0	7.0 (perched)	*0.0 - 0.5 Concrete slab with stone subbase* *0.5 - 1.5 Non-native fill material white, with ash and cinders* *1.5 - 6.0 Sandy lean clay (CL) brown, medium plasticity* *6.0 - 7.0 Unknown hard layer. Potential concete slab or stone* *7.0 - 12.0 Sandy lean clay (CL) brown, medium plasticity*
SB-3	16.0	no	none	0.0	0.0	14.0 (perched)	*0.0 - 4.0 Non-native fill material black, with black sandy fines, ash, cinders, brick pieces, and stone* *4.0 - 14.0 Poorly graded sand (SP) brown* *14.0 - 16.0 Sandy lean clay (CL) brown, medium plasticity*
SB-4	8.0	no	none	0.0	0.0	none	*0.0 - 0.5 Concrete slab with stone subbase* *0.5 - 1.5 Non-native fill material black, with black sandy fines, ash, cinders, and brick pieces* *1.5 - 8.0 Sandy lean clay (CL) brown, medium plasticity*
SB-5	16.0	no	none	0.0	0.0	14.0 (perched)	*0.0 - 4.0 Sandy lean clay (CL), brown, medium plasticity, with non-native intermingled fill material including black sandy fines, ash, cinders, brick pieces, and stone* *4.0 - 6.0 Sandy lean clay (CL) brown, medium plasticity* *6.0 - 14.0 Poorly graded sand (SP) brown* *14.0 - 16.0 Sandy lean clay (CL) brown, medium plasticity with trace amounts of stone*
SB-6	16.0	no	none	0.0	0.0	15.0 (perched)	*0.0 - 0.5 Asphalt with stone subbase* *0.5 - 1.5 Non-native fill material white, with ash and cinders* *1.5 - 10.0 Sandy lean clay (CL) brown, medium plasticity* *10.0 - 15.0 Poorly graded sand (SP) brown* *15.0 - 16.0 Sandy lean clay (CL) brown, medium plasticity*
SB-7	12.0	no	none	0.0	0.0	11.5 (perched)	*0.0 - 0.5 Asphalt with stone subbase* *0.5 - 1.5 Non-native fill material black, with black sandy fines, with some ash and cinders* *1.5 - 6.0 Sandy lean clay (CL) brown, medium plasticity* *6.0 - 11.5 Poorly graded sand (SP) brown* *11.5 - 12.0 Sandy lean clay (CL) brown, medium plasticity*
SB-8	16.0	no	none	0.0	0.0	11.0 (perched)	*0.0 - 0.5 Asphalt with stone subbase* *0.5 - 1.5 Sandy lean clay (CL), brown, medium plasticity, with non-native intermingled fill material including black sandy fines, ash, cinders* *1.5 - 5.0 Sandy lean clay (CL) brown, medium plasticity* *5.0 - 11.0 Poorly graded sand (SP) brown* *14.0 - 16.0 Sandy lean clay (CL) brown, medium plasticity with trace amounts of stone*
SB-9	8.0	no	none	0.0	0.0	none	*0.0 - 0.5 Asphalt with stone subbase* *0.5 - 1.5 Non-native fill material black, with black sandy fines, ash, cinders, and brick pieces* *1.5 - 7.0 Sandy lean clay (CL) brown, medium plasticity* *7.0 - 8.0 Poorly graded sand (SP) brown*
SB-10	2.0	no	none	0.0	0.0	none	*0.0 - 0.5 Asphalt with stone subbase* *0.5 - 2.0 Non-native fill material black, with black sandy fines, ash, cinders, brick pieces, and stone* *2.0 Hit refusal at 2.0 fbgs*
SB-11	12.0	no	none	0.0	0.0	none	*0.0 - 0.5 Asphalt with stone subbase* *0.5 - 8.0 Non-native fill material, brick* *8.0 - 9.0 Non-native fill material, brick with some intermingled black sandy fines, ash, cinders, and stone* *9.0 - 12.0 Sandy lean clay (CL) brown, medium plasticity*
SB-12	8.0	no	none	0.0	0.0	none	*0.0 - 0.5 Asphalt with stone subbase* *0.5 - 2.0 Non-native fill material black, with black sandy fines, ash, cinders, and brick pieces* *2.0 - 5.0 Sandy lean clay (CL) brown, medium plasticity* *5.0 - 8.0 Poorly graded sand (SP) brown*
SB-13	8.0	no	none	0.0	0.0	none	*0.0 - 0.5 Asphalt with stone subbase* *0.5 - 2.0 Non-native fill material black, with black sandy fines, ash, cinders, and brick pieces* *2.0 - 8.0 Poorly graded sand (SP) brown*
SB-14	8.0	no	none	0.0	0.0	none	*0.0 - 0.5 Asphalt with stone subbase* *0.5 - 5.0 Sandy lean clay (CL) brown, medium plasticity* *5.0 - 8.0 Poorly graded sand (SP) brown*
SB-15	4.0	no	none	0.0	0.0	none	*0.0 - 0.5 Asphalt with stone subbase* *0.5 - 4.0 Sandy lean clay (CL) brown, medium plasticity*
SB-16	4.0	no	none	0.0	0.0	none	*0.0 - 0.5 Asphalt with stone subbase* *0.5 - 4.0 Sandy lean clay (CL) brown, medium plasticity*
SB-17	8.0	no	none	0.0	0.0	none	*0.0 - 0.5 Asphalt with stone subbase* *0.5 - 4.0 Non-native fill material black, with black sandy fines, ash, cinders, and brick pieces* *4.0 - 8.0 Sandy lean clay (CL) brown, medium plasticity*

Notes:
1. fbgs = feet below ground surface
2. DTW = depth to water
3. HSD = headspace determination
4. PID = MiniRae photoionization detector equipped with a 10.6 eV lamp
5. ppm = parts per million

TABLE 2

SUMMARY OF SUBSURFACE SOIL/FILL SAMPLE ANALYTICAL RESULTS

LIMITED PHASE II ENVIRONMENTAL INVESTIGATION REPORT
1430-1440 & 1458 MAIN STREET
BUFFALO, NEW YORK

PARAMETER[1]	Unrestricted Use SCOs [2]	Restricted Residential Use SCOs [2]	Commercial Use SCOs [2]	Industrial Use SCOs [2]	Sample Location (Depth - ft)											
					SB-1 (0.5-1.5')	SB-2 (0.5-1.5')	SB-3 (0-4')	SB-5 (12-14')	SB-6 (0.5-1.5')	SB-7 (11-12')	SB-8 (0.5-1.5')	SB-9 (0.5-1.5')	SB-10 (0.5-2')	SB-12 (0.5-2')	SB-13 (0.5-2')	SB-17 (0.5-4')
					10/13/2023											
Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs) - mg/Kg [3]																
Acetone	**0.05**	**100**	**500**	**1000**	--	--	--	ND	--	0.0054 J	--	--	--	--	--	--
Methylene chloride	**0.05**	**100**	**500**	**1000**	--	--	--	ND	--	0.0027 J	--	--	--	--	--	--
Trichloroethene	**0.47**	**21**	**200**	**1000**	--	--	--	0.0017 J	--	ND	--	--	--	--	--	--
Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs) - mg/Kg [3]																
Acenaphthene	**20**	**100**	**500**	**1000**	0.61 J	2 J	ND	--	ND	--	ND	ND	2	0.66 J	ND	ND
Acenaphthylene	**100**	**100**	**500**	**1000**	0.26 J	9.6	ND	--	ND	--	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Anthracene	**100**	**100**	**500**	**1000**	1.2	16	ND	--	ND	--	ND	ND	5.3	1.4 J	ND	ND
Benzo(a)anthracene	**1**	**1**	**5.6**	**11**	**3.8**	**49**	**2.8 J**	--	ND	--	0.51 J	**5.7 J**	**16**	**2.8**	0.37 J	ND
Benzo(a)pyrene	**1**	**1**	**1**	**1.1**	**6.4**	**49**	**3.5 J**	--	ND	--	0.58 J	**6 J**	**15**	**3.2**	0.45 J	ND
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	**1**	**1**	**5.6**	**11**	**5.7**	**48**	**4 J**	--	ND	--	0.67 J	**6.6 J**	**20**	**3.5**	0.69 J	ND
Benzo(ghi)perylene	**100**	**100**	**500**	**1000**	4.4	18	2.4 J	--	ND	--	0.35 J	2.9 J	5.4	1.3 J	0.27 J	ND
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	**0.8**	**3.9**	**56**	**110**	**2.8**	**24**	ND	--	ND	--	ND	**2.5 J**	**7.2**	**1.5 J**	0.27 J	ND
Chrysene	**1**	**3.9**	**56**	**110**	**3.6**	**48**	ND	--	ND	--	0.53 J	**5.5 J**	**17**	**2.7**	0.49 J	ND
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	**0.33**	**0.33**	**0.56**	**1.1**	**1.5**	ND	ND	--	ND	--	ND	ND	**2**	**0.52 J**	ND	ND
Fluoranthene	**100**	**100**	**500**	**1000**	6.5	**120**	5.5 J	--	ND	--	1.2 J	13 J	40	5.8	0.82 J	3.5 J
Fluorene	**30**	**100**	**500**	**1000**	0.48 J	6.3	ND	--	ND	--	ND	ND	1.6 J	0.55 J	ND	ND
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	**0.5**	**0.5**	**5.6**	**11**	**4.7**	**19**	ND	--	ND	--	0.32 J	**2.9 J**	**6.1**	**1.4 J**	0.28 J	ND
Naphthalene	**12**	**100**	**500**	**1000**	0.39 J	0.66 J	ND	--	ND	--	ND	ND	ND	0.25 J	ND	ND
Phenanthrene	**100**	**100**	**500**	**1000**	5.2	84	4.3 J	--	ND	--	0.81 J	9.5 J	22	5.3	ND	ND
Pyrene	**100**	**100**	**500**	**1000**	4.9	**110**	4 J	--	ND	--	0.9 J	10 J	25	3.9	0.59 J	2.3 J
Total PAHs	**--**	**--**	**--**	**--**	52.4	603.6	26.5	--	ND	--	5.9	64.6	184.6	34.8	4.2	5.8
Metals - mg/Kg																
Arsenic	**13**	**16**	**16**	**16**	12.7	12.9	**22.1**	--	3.1	--	**16.2**	5.9	12.3	7.7	**31.9**	9.5
Barium	**350**	**400**	**400**	**10000**	**367**	66.1	182	--	40.4 F1	--	**311**	85.9	147	112	35.6	99.7
Cadmium	**2.5**	**4.3**	**9.3**	**60**	0.23 J	0.11 J	**3.9**	--	0.2 J	--	**7.7**	0.39	0.15 J	0.12 J	0.31	1.2
Chromium	**30**	**180**	**1500**	**6800**	11.4	13.1	17.1	--	8.2	--	18.6	12.7	18.6	10.4	8.4	9.7
Lead	**63**	**400**	**1000**	**3900**	**111**	**87.6**	**4370**	--	14.1	--	**1610**	**64.7**	62	**99.1**	31.2	**110**
Mercury	**0.18**	**0.81**	**2.8**	**5.7**	0.15	0.13	**64.9**	--	0.015 J	--	**0.5 F1 F2**	**0.84**	**0.34**	**0.33**	0.018 J	**0.33**
Selenium	**3.9**	**180**	**1500**	**6800**	0.71 J	ND	ND	--	ND	--	ND	ND	ND	ND	1.5 J	ND
Silver	**2**	**180**	**1500**	**6800**	ND	ND	0.3 J	--	ND	--	1.3	ND	0.24 J	ND	0.72 J	ND

Notes:
1. Only those parameters detected at a minimum of one sample location are presented in this table; other compounds were reported as non-detect.
2. Values per 6NYCRR Part 375 Soil Cleanup Objectives (SCOs).
3. Sample results were reported by the laboratory in ug/kg and converted to mg/kg for comparisons to SCOs.


Definitions:
ND = Parameter not detected above laboratory detection limit.
"--" = No value available for the parameter; Parameter not analyzed for.
J = Estimated value; result is less than the sample quantitation limit but greater than zero.
F1 = MS and/or MSD recovery is outside acceptance limits.
F2 = MS/MSD RPD exceeds control limits.

Bold	= Result exceeds Unrestricted Use SCOs
Bold	= Result exceeds Restricted Residential Use SCOs.
Bold	= Result exceeds Commercial Use SCOs.
Bold	= Result exceeds Industrial use SCOs.

APPENDIX A

HISTORICAL RESEARCH DOCUMENTS


1899


105
104
DELAWARE AV.
LEXINGTON AV.
W. UTICA
70
LINWOOD AV.
106
MAIN
R. C. CHAPEL OF THE BLESSED SACRAMENT
LINWOOD AV. M. E. CHURCH
ENGINE HOUSE No. 16
STORAGE
POLICE STATION
CELLS
Scale of Feet.
See Volume Three.

1899

Buffalo Vol. I


106



1925


(218) BUFFALO, N.Y. VOL. 11A
77
(105)
102
DELAWARE AV.
98
W UTICA
LINWOOD AV.
78
76
80
MAIN
ST. JOSEPH'S R. C. CATHEDRAL
Built 1913
Fire proof construction
STONE WALLS - STONE & STEEL ARCH ROOF. SUPPORTS: CONCR. FLS. & RF. RF. COVERED BY TILE.
HEAT: STEAM
LIGHTS: ELEC.
CHAPEL OF THE BLESSED SACRAMENT (R. C.)
SACRISTY-1ST
HEATING BOILER
STONE FRONT
FORMERLY LINWOOD AV. M.E. CHURCH
HEAT: STEAM
LIGHTS: ELEC.
VACANT
STRATFORD ARMS HOTEL
Fire Proof construction
BUILT 1925
CONCR. FLS. & RF. BRK. WALLS-STEEL FRAME (PROTECTED)
UTICA COURT
DANCE HALL-2ND
Bank
THE UTICA BUILDING
DELLWOOD DANCE HALL 2ND
CHURCHILL TABERNACLE
HEAT: STEAM - LIGHTS: ELEC.
RAISED
BRICK WALLS - CONCR. FL. 1ST
FILISTERED
FIRE DEPT. ENGINE HO. NO 16
Plumbing Shop
HOUSEHOLD STORAGE
SHED
FIRE PROOF CONSTR'N. EXCEPT ROOF. CONCR. FLS. BR'K. WALLS ST.
STORAGE OF WOOLENS GOODS
POLICE STORE HO
POLICE STATION
COLD STORAGE
CELLS
Scale of Feet.
Copyright 1925 by the Sanborn Map Co.

1925


DELAWARE 98 AV.
LINWOOD AV.
MAIN
W. FERRY
Buffalo, N.Y. Vol. 1A (218)
78
(106)
77
79
GARAGE
Fire proof constr'n
CULP GARAGE
SUPERIOR LAUNDRY INC.
HOME FOR THE FRIENDLESS
Bing Motor Co.
Hudson-Essex Agency
Auto Repair Shop
Glass Beveling Shop
Repair Shop
Auto Sales
Office
VACANT
Scale of Feet.

1951

(218) BUFFALO, N.Y. VOL. 1A

77

(105)

102

DELAWARE AV.

98

W UTICA

LINWOOD AV.

76

78

MAIN

80

ST. JOSEPH'S NEW CATHEDRAL (R.C.)
BUILT 1914-15
FIRE PROOF CONSTRUCTION

CHAPEL OF THE BLESSED SACRAMENT (R.C.)

CATHEDRAL RECTORY
BUILT: 1928

STRATFORD ARMS HOTEL
Fire Proof construction

THE MEDICAL & DENTAL CENTRE BLDG

THE UTICA BUILDING

COLD SPRING BRANCH OF THE MARINE TRUST CO.

CHURCHILL TABERNACLE

FIRE DEPT. ENGINE HO. No. 16
BUILT 1884

RADIO STA WKBW STUDIO

COLD SPRING

POLICE STATION No. 6

CATHOLIC DAUGHTERS CLUB NO.


Scale of Feet.

Copyright 1925 by the Sanborn Map Co.

1951

BUFFALO, N.Y. VOL. 11A (218)

NY 078 (106)



1164 Main St., E.H. Baker Co., auto sales, 215 gal., private, pump.

1147 Main St., B.L.DANE, auto sales, 550 gallons, street, curb.

~~1176 Main St., ENGEL motor Co., auto sales, 300 gallons, private, drive-in.~~ Filled in Counter

1184 Main St., HERZOG MOTOR CO., auto sales, 120 gal., private, pump.

1195 Main St., E.G.S. Miller, residence, 280 gallons, private, pump.

1220 Main St., WILLIS ST. CLARE Sales, auto sales, 550 gallons, private, drive-in.

1234 Main St., Buffalo Cadillac Corpn., auto sales, 550 gallons, private, drive-in.

1227 Main St., Paige Jewett, auto sales, 560 gallons, private, drive-in.

1221 Main St., Ostendorf Motor Co., auto sales, 280 gallons, private, drive-in.

1233 Main St., Overland-Knight co., auto sales, 550 gal., private, drive-in.

1237 Main St., WNY Motor Sales, auto sales, 300 gal., private, drive-in.

1239 Main St., Mileage Motor Co., auto sales, 280 gal., private, drive-in.

1247 Main St., Nash Buffalo Corpn., auto sales, 280 gallons, private, drive-in.

1259 Main St., Hudson Oliver Sales, auto sales, 550 gal., private, drive-in (not in use).

1265 Main St., Lutz Motor Co., auto sales, 280 gal., private, drive-in.

OK 1275 Main St., Meyer Motor Auto Sales., 500 gallons, private, drive-in.

1276 Main St., Alincoln Sales, auto sales, 200 gal., private, drive-in.

1291 Main St., A.W. HAILE, auto sales, 590 gal. private, drive-in.

1296 Main St., Roesch & Klinck, auto sales, 1,000 gallons, private, drive-in.

1320 Main St., Wm. Simon Est., garage, private pump (not in use).

1335 Main St., Dixon Motor Co., auto sales, 500 gallons, private, drive-in.

1339 Main St., Lee Abrams, auto sales, 275 gallons, private, drive-in.

~~1391 Main St., Markoon Garage, 280 gallons, private, drive-in.~~

1425 Main St., N. Nielsen & Son, gas station, 550 gallons, street, curb.

1430 Main St., H. Knepper & Son, plumbing supplies, 60 gallons, private, pump.

1440 Main St., Cold Spring Storage, storage, 500 gallons, private, drive-in.

1445 Main St., vacant, curb.

FORM 29

1925
2

APPLICATION
INSTALLATION
SURVEY [X]

BUFFALO FIRE DEPARTMENT
VOLATILE FLAMMABLE LIQUID
TANK STORAGE AND USE

Gasolin - I
KIND OF LIQUID - GLASS

BATTALION 9th
COMPANY ENG-16
DATE 12-12-26

COMMISSIONER OF FIRE: Joseph S. Masterson.

LOCATION 1432 Main St
CITY PROPERTY (curb)
PRIVATE PROPERTY [X]

NAME Cold Spring Storage Co.
ZONED USE DISTRICT Business

PURPOSE OF USE: Commercial Filling Station
(Private Filling Station)
Private Storage 280 Gal. or less

TYPE OF SYSTEM
PRESSURE [ ]
SUCTION [X]

APPLICATION NUMBER _____ DATE _____
IS LICENSE REQUIRED? No

PERMIT NUMBER _____ DATE _____

INSTALLATION: DATE Approx - 1922
(FOR TANK IN USE)

CONTRACTOR _____
ADDRESS _____

1432 MAIN

TANKS:
2 NUMBER OF (1 FILLED W/WATER)
750 GALS CAPACITY OF EACH
750 GALS CAPACITY TOTAL
NO ABOVE GROUND
NOT KNOWN FEET UNDERGROUND
50 FEET FROM BUILDING LINE
50 FEET FROM STREET LINE
10 FEET FROM CELLAR
NOT KNOWN UNDERWRITERS LABORATORY LABEL

VENT PIPE:
1 NUMBER OF
1" SIZE
YES TERMINATES OUTSIDE
9 FEET ABOVE FILL PIPE
5 FEET FROM BUILDING OPENING
YES WEATHERPROOF HOOD
YES FLAME ARRESTER

FILL PIPE:
YES LOCATED OUTSIDE
15' FEET FROM BUILDING OPENING
YES PROTECTED AGAINST DAMAGE

PUMPS:
ONE QUANITY
50 FEET FROM BUILDING LINE
50 FEET FROM STREET LINE
YES UNDERWRITERS LAB. LABEL

As all tanks, pumps and piping ~~will be~~ (are) ~~are not~~ installed in accordance with the requirements of the City Ordiance and Standards of the National Board of Fire Underwriters, I therefore,

recommend APPROVAL [X]
DISAPPROVAL [ ]

Thomas J. McMahon TITLE Capt Engine #16

APPROVED _____ DATE _____
COMMISSIONER OF FIRE

NOTE: INCLUDE REMARKS AND SKETCH OF TANK AND PUMP LOCATION ON OTHER SIDE.

OLD HORSE BARNS

FILL PIPE

SHED

N


COURT YARD

PUMP

VENT

FILL PIPE OF OLD TANK (NOT IN USE)

WAREHOUSE



License No 2257
Fee $ 10.00

APPLICATION TO STORE OR KEEP FOR SALE INFLAMMABLE OILS OR EXPLOSIVES

Name N. Nielsen & Son.

1445 Main St.

Location

Referred to _____ Battalion Chief for

Investigation and Report _____

_____ 19____, by

_____
Chief of Department

Applicant: N. Nielsen & Son.
1445 Main St.
To Acting Chief of Dept. J.J. Crotty

Returned with the following report:

The applicant has installed one additional 280 gallon gasoline curb tank and pump for which he has a permit No. 271. SSS. from the Dept. of Public Works, granted on July 23. 1927. Ordinance has been complied with and applicant has a license for the sale & storage of gasoline at retail. I recommend that same be approved.

August 3 1927

W. J. Hagerty
Battalion Chief

Approved:

August 3rd 1927

Walter Mahoney
Chief of Department
acting Deputy

1440 Main

1985
No

1440 Main

1985

No

APPLICATION TO STORE OR KEEP FOR SALE INFLAMMABLE OILS OR EXPLOSIVES

Name N. Nielsen & Son.

1445 Main St.

Location _____

_____

_____

_____

Referred to _____ Battalion Chief for

Investigation and Report _____

_____ 19___, by

_____

Chief of Department

Applicant: N. Nielsen & Son.
1445 Main St.

To Acting Chief of Dept. J.J. Crotty

Returned with the following report:

The applicant has installed one additional 280 gallon gasoline curb tank and pump for which he has a permit No. 271. SSS. from the Dept. of Public Works, granted on July 23. 1927. Ordinance has been complied with and applicant has a license for the sale & storage of gasoline at retail. I recommend that same be approved.

August 3 1927

V. J. Hagerty
Battalion Chief

Approved:

August 3rd 1927

Walter Mahoney
Chief of Department
acting Deputy

Comm. Comm'r of Fire
P. Commor.

MISSIONER OF FIRE
pt. 1, 1933

License # 2257
March 12-24

APPLICATION TO STORE OR KEEP FOR SALE INFLAMMABLE OILS OR EXPLOSIVES

Name N. Nielsen & Son.

1445 Main St.

Location _____

_____

_____

Referred to _____ Battalion Chief for

Investigation and Report _____

_____ 19____, by

_____
Chief of Department

Applicant: N. Nielsen & Son.
1445 Main St.
To Acting Chief of Dept. J.J. Crotty

Returned with the following report:

The applicant has installed one additional 280 gallon gasoline curb tank and pump for which he has a permit No. 271. SSS. from the Dept. of Public Works, granted on July 23. 1927. Ordinance has been complied with and applicant has a license for the sale & storage of gasoline at retail. I recommend that same be approved.

August 3 1927

W. J. Hagerty
Battalion Chief

Approved:

August 3rd 1927

Walter Mahoney
Chief of Department
acting Deputy

1440 Main

1985

NO

APPENDIX B

PHOTO LOG

SITE PHOTOGRAPHS

Photo 1:



Photo 2:



Photo 3:



Photo 4:



Photo 1: View of the SB-3 location looking east.

Photo 2: View of the fill material from SB-3 at 0-4 fbgs.

Photo 3: View of the SB-6 location in the area of a suspect UST looking north.

Photo 4: View of the subsurface soil from SB-6 at 12-16 fbgs.

SITE PHOTOGRAPHS

Photo 5:



Photo 6:



Photo 7:



Photo 8:



Photo 5: View of the SB-8 location in the area of a suspect UST looking north.

Photo 6: View of the subsurface soil from SB-8 at 8-12 fbgs.

Photo 7: View of the SB-11 location looking north.

Photo 8: View of the brick fill material observed in SB-11 from 0.5-9 fbgs.

SITE PHOTOGRAPHS

Photo 9:



Photo 10:



Photo 11:



Photo 12:



Photo 9: View of the fill material from SB-12 at 0.5-2 fbgs.

Photo 10: View of the fill material from SB-13 at 0.5-2 fbgs.

Photo 11: View of the SB-15 location proximate suspect transformer pad looking east.

Photo 12: View of the soil/fill from SB-15 at 0-4 fbgs.

APPENDIX C

LABORATORY ANALYTICAL DATA SUMMARY PACKAGE

eurofins | Environment Testing

ANALYTICAL REPORT

PREPARED FOR

Attn: Bryan Mayback
Roux Environmental Engineering and Geology DPC
2558 Hamburg Turnpike
Lackawanna, New York 14218

Generated 10/18/2023 3:53:23 PM

JOB DESCRIPTION

1430-1440 & 1458 Main St.

JOB NUMBER

480-213509-1

Eurofins Buffalo
10 Hazelwood Drive
Amherst NY 14228-2298

See page two for job notes and contact information.


my EOL

Job Notes

This report may not be reproduced except in full, and with written approval from the laboratory. The results relate only to the samples tested. For questions please contact the Project Manager at the e-mail address or telephone number listed on this page.

The test results in this report relate only to the samples as received by the laboratory and will meet all requirements of the methodology, with any exceptions noted. This report shall not be reproduced except in full, without the express written approval of the laboratory. All questions should be directed to the Eurofins Environment Testing Northeast, LLC Project Manager.

Authorization



Generated
10/18/2023 3:53:23 PM

Authorized for release by
Brian Fischer, Manager of Project Management
Brian.Fischer@et.eurofinsus.com
(716)504-9835

Table of Contents

Cover Page 1
Table of Contents 3
Definitions/Glossary 4
Case Narrative 5
Detection Summary 6
Client Sample Results 11
Surrogate Summary 25
QC Sample Results 26
QC Association Summary 31
Lab Chronicle 35
Certification Summary 40
Method Summary 41
Sample Summary 42
Chain of Custody 43
Receipt Checklists 44

Qualifiers

GC/MS VOA

Qualifier	Qualifier Description
J	Result is less than the RL but greater than or equal to the MDL and the concentration is an approximate value.

GC/MS Semi VOA

Qualifier	Qualifier Description
J	Result is less than the RL but greater than or equal to the MDL and the concentration is an approximate value.
S1-	Surrogate recovery exceeds control limits, low biased.
S1+	Surrogate recovery exceeds control limits, high biased.

Metals

Qualifier	Qualifier Description
4	MS, MSD: The analyte present in the original sample is greater than 4 times the matrix spike concentration; therefore, control limits are not applicable.
E	Result exceeded calibration range.
F1	MS and/or MSD recovery exceeds control limits.
F2	MS/MSD RPD exceeds control limits
J	Result is less than the RL but greater than or equal to the MDL and the concentration is an approximate value.

Glossary

Abbreviation	These commonly used abbreviations may or may not be present in this report.
¤	Listed under the "D" column to designate that the result is reported on a dry weight basis
%R	Percent Recovery
CFL	Contains Free Liquid
CFU	Colony Forming Unit
CNF	Contains No Free Liquid
DER	Duplicate Error Ratio (normalized absolute difference)
Dil Fac	Dilution Factor
DL	Detection Limit (DoD/DOE)
DL, RA, RE, IN	Indicates a Dilution, Re-analysis, Re-extraction, or additional Initial metals/anion analysis of the sample
DLC	Decision Level Concentration (Radiochemistry)
EDL	Estimated Detection Limit (Dioxin)
LOD	Limit of Detection (DoD/DOE)
LOQ	Limit of Quantitation (DoD/DOE)
MCL	EPA recommended "Maximum Contaminant Level"
MDA	Minimum Detectable Activity (Radiochemistry)
MDC	Minimum Detectable Concentration (Radiochemistry)
MDL	Method Detection Limit
ML	Minimum Level (Dioxin)
MPN	Most Probable Number
MQL	Method Quantitation Limit
NC	Not Calculated
ND	Not Detected at the reporting limit (or MDL or EDL if shown)
NEG	Negative / Absent
POS	Positive / Present
PQL	Practical Quantitation Limit
PRES	Presumptive
QC	Quality Control
RER	Relative Error Ratio (Radiochemistry)
RL	Reporting Limit or Requested Limit (Radiochemistry)
RPD	Relative Percent Difference, a measure of the relative difference between two points
TEF	Toxicity Equivalent Factor (Dioxin)
TEQ	Toxicity Equivalent Quotient (Dioxin)
TNTC	Too Numerous To Count

Job ID: 480-213509-1

Laboratory: Eurofins Buffalo

Narrative

Job Narrative
480-213509-1

Receipt
The samples were received on 10/9/2023 2:00 PM. Unless otherwise noted below, the samples arrived in good condition, and where required, properly preserved and on ice. The temperature of the cooler at receipt was 3.9º C.

GC/MS VOA
Method 8260C: The continuing calibration verification (CCV) associated with batch 480-687480 recovered above the upper control limit for Carbon tetrachloride, Cyclohexane, Vinyl chloride, 1,1,2-Trichloro-1,2,2-trifluoroethane, Trichlorofluoromethane and Methylcyclohexane. The samples associated with this CCV were non-detects for the affected analytes; therefore, the data have been reported. The associated samples are impacted: SB-5 12-14 (480-213509-4) and SB-7 11-12 (480-213509-6).

No additional analytical or quality issues were noted, other than those described above or in the Definitions/Glossary page.

GC/MS Semi VOA
Method 8270D: The following samples were diluted due to color and appearance: SB-1 0.5-1.5 (480-213509-1), SB-2 0.5-1.5 (480-213509-2), SB-3 0-4 (480-213509-3), SB-6 0.5-1.5 (480-213509-5), SB-8 0.5-1.5 (480-213509-7), SB-9 0.5-1.5 (480-213509-8), SB-10 0.5-2 (480-213509-9), SB-12 0.5-2 (480-213509-10), SB-13 0.5-2 (480-213509-11), SB-17 0.5-4 (480-213509-12), (480-213509-A-5-F MS) and (480-213509-A-5-G MSD). Elevated reporting limits (RL) are provided.

Method 8270D: The following samples required a dilution due to the nature of the sample matrix: SB-3 0-4 (480-213509-3), SB-9 0.5-1.5 (480-213509-8) and SB-17 0.5-4 (480-213509-12). Because of this dilution, the surrogate spike concentration in the sample was reduced to a level where the recovery calculation does not provide useful information.

Method 8270D: Three surrogates are used for this analysis. The laboratory's SOP allows one of these surrogates to be outside acceptance criteria without performing re-extraction/re-analysis. The following sample contained an allowable number of surrogate compounds outside limits: SB-8 0.5-1.5 (480-213509-7). These results have been reported and qualified.

Method 8270D: The following sample was diluted to bring the concentration of target analytes within the calibration range: SB-2 0.5-1.5 (480-213509-2). Elevated reporting limits (RLs) are provided.

Method 8270D: The following sample was diluted due to the abundance of target analytes: SB-2 0.5-1.5 (480-213509-2). As such, surrogate recoveries are below the calibration range or are not reported, and elevated reporting limits (RLs) are provided.

No additional analytical or quality issues were noted, other than those described above or in the Definitions/Glossary page.

Metals
No analytical or quality issues were noted, other than those described in the Definitions/Glossary page.

Organic Prep
Method 3550C: Due to the matrix, the following samples could not be concentrated to the final method required volume: SB-3 0-4 (480-213509-3), SB-9 0.5-1.5 (480-213509-8) and SB-17 0.5-4 (480-213509-12). The reporting limits (RLs) are elevated proportionately.

No additional analytical or quality issues were noted, other than those described above or in the Definitions/Glossary page.

Detection Summary

Client: Roux Environmental Engineering and Geology DPC
Job ID: 480-213509-1
Project/Site: 1430-1440 & 1458 Main St.

Client Sample ID: SB-1 0.5-1.5
Lab Sample ID: 480-213509-1

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	Dil Fac	D	Method	Prep Type
Acenaphthene	610	J	990	150	ug/Kg	5	☼	8270D	Total/NA
Acenaphthylene	260	J	990	130	ug/Kg	5	☼	8270D	Total/NA
Anthracene	1200		990	240	ug/Kg	5	☼	8270D	Total/NA
Benzo[a]anthracene	3800		990	99	ug/Kg	5	☼	8270D	Total/NA
Benzo[a]pyrene	6400		990	150	ug/Kg	5	☼	8270D	Total/NA
Benzo[b]fluoranthene	5700		990	160	ug/Kg	5	☼	8270D	Total/NA
Benzo[g,h,i]perylene	4400		990	100	ug/Kg	5	☼	8270D	Total/NA
Benzo[k]fluoranthene	2800		990	130	ug/Kg	5	☼	8270D	Total/NA
Chrysene	3600		990	220	ug/Kg	5	☼	8270D	Total/NA
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	1500		990	170	ug/Kg	5	☼	8270D	Total/NA
Fluoranthene	6500		990	100	ug/Kg	5	☼	8270D	Total/NA
Fluorene	480	J	990	120	ug/Kg	5	☼	8270D	Total/NA
Indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene	4700		990	120	ug/Kg	5	☼	8270D	Total/NA
Naphthalene	390	J	990	130	ug/Kg	5	☼	8270D	Total/NA
Phenanthrene	5200		990	150	ug/Kg	5	☼	8270D	Total/NA
Pyrene	4900		990	120	ug/Kg	5	☼	8270D	Total/NA
Arsenic	12.7		2.4	0.49	mg/Kg	1	☼	6010C	Total/NA
Barium	367		0.61	0.13	mg/Kg	1	☼	6010C	Total/NA
Cadmium	0.23	J	0.24	0.037	mg/Kg	1	☼	6010C	Total/NA
Chromium	11.4		0.61	0.24	mg/Kg	1	☼	6010C	Total/NA
Lead	111		1.2	0.29	mg/Kg	1	☼	6010C	Total/NA
Selenium	0.71	J	4.9	0.49	mg/Kg	1	☼	6010C	Total/NA
Mercury	0.15		0.024	0.0055	mg/Kg	1	☼	7471B	Total/NA

Client Sample ID: SB-2 0.5-1.5
Lab Sample ID: 480-213509-2

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	Dil Fac	D	Method	Prep Type
Acenaphthene	2000	J	2100	300	ug/Kg	10	☼	8270D	Total/NA
Acenaphthylene	9600		2100	270	ug/Kg	10	☼	8270D	Total/NA
Anthracene	16000		2100	510	ug/Kg	10	☼	8270D	Total/NA
Benzo[a]anthracene	49000		2100	210	ug/Kg	10	☼	8270D	Total/NA
Benzo[a]pyrene	49000		2100	300	ug/Kg	10	☼	8270D	Total/NA
Benzo[b]fluoranthene	48000		2100	330	ug/Kg	10	☼	8270D	Total/NA
Benzo[g,h,i]perylene	18000		2100	220	ug/Kg	10	☼	8270D	Total/NA
Benzo[k]fluoranthene	24000		2100	270	ug/Kg	10	☼	8270D	Total/NA
Chrysene	48000		2100	460	ug/Kg	10	☼	8270D	Total/NA
Fluorene	6300		2100	240	ug/Kg	10	☼	8270D	Total/NA
Indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene	19000		2100	250	ug/Kg	10	☼	8270D	Total/NA
Naphthalene	660	J	2100	270	ug/Kg	10	☼	8270D	Total/NA
Fluoranthene - DL	120000		10000	1100	ug/Kg	50	☼	8270D	Total/NA
Phenanthrene - DL	84000		10000	1500	ug/Kg	50	☼	8270D	Total/NA
Pyrene - DL	110000		10000	1200	ug/Kg	50	☼	8270D	Total/NA
Arsenic	12.9		2.4	0.48	mg/Kg	1	☼	6010C	Total/NA
Barium	66.1		0.60	0.13	mg/Kg	1	☼	6010C	Total/NA
Cadmium	0.11	J	0.24	0.036	mg/Kg	1	☼	6010C	Total/NA
Chromium	13.1		0.60	0.24	mg/Kg	1	☼	6010C	Total/NA
Lead	87.6		1.2	0.29	mg/Kg	1	☼	6010C	Total/NA
Mercury	0.13		0.025	0.0056	mg/Kg	1	☼	7471B	Total/NA

This Detection Summary does not include radiochemical test results.

Detection Summary

Client: Roux Environmental Engineering and Geology DPC
Job ID: 480-213509-1
Project/Site: 1430-1440 & 1458 Main St.

Client Sample ID: SB-3 0-4 — Lab Sample ID: 480-213509-3

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	Dil Fac	D	Method	Prep Type
Benzo[a]anthracene	2800	J	20000	2000	ug/Kg	10	☼	8270D	Total/NA
Benzo[a]pyrene	3500	J	20000	3000	ug/Kg	10	☼	8270D	Total/NA
Benzo[b]fluoranthene	4000	J	20000	3200	ug/Kg	10	☼	8270D	Total/NA
Benzo[g,h,i]perylene	2400	J	20000	2100	ug/Kg	10	☼	8270D	Total/NA
Fluoranthene	5500	J	20000	2100	ug/Kg	10	☼	8270D	Total/NA
Phenanthrene	4300	J	20000	3000	ug/Kg	10	☼	8270D	Total/NA
Pyrene	4000	J	20000	2400	ug/Kg	10	☼	8270D	Total/NA
Arsenic	22.1		2.4	0.47	mg/Kg	1	☼	6010C	Total/NA
Barium	182		0.59	0.13	mg/Kg	1	☼	6010C	Total/NA
Cadmium	3.9		0.24	0.035	mg/Kg	1	☼	6010C	Total/NA
Chromium	17.1		0.59	0.24	mg/Kg	1	☼	6010C	Total/NA
Lead	4370		1.2	0.28	mg/Kg	1	☼	6010C	Total/NA
Silver	0.30	J	0.71	0.24	mg/Kg	1	☼	6010C	Total/NA
Mercury	64.9		1.2	0.28	mg/Kg	50	☼	7471B	Total/NA

Client Sample ID: SB-5 12-14 — Lab Sample ID: 480-213509-4

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	Dil Fac	D	Method	Prep Type
Tetrachloroethene	1.7	J	6.0	0.80	ug/Kg	1	☼	8260C	Total/NA

Client Sample ID: SB-6 0.5-1.5 — Lab Sample ID: 480-213509-5

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	Dil Fac	D	Method	Prep Type
Arsenic	3.1		2.2	0.44	mg/Kg	1	☼	6010C	Total/NA
Barium	40.4	F1	0.55	0.12	mg/Kg	1	☼	6010C	Total/NA
Cadmium	0.20	J	0.22	0.033	mg/Kg	1	☼	6010C	Total/NA
Chromium	8.2		0.55	0.22	mg/Kg	1	☼	6010C	Total/NA
Lead	14.1		1.1	0.26	mg/Kg	1	☼	6010C	Total/NA
Mercury	0.015	J	0.023	0.0053	mg/Kg	1	☼	7471B	Total/NA

Client Sample ID: SB-7 11-12 — Lab Sample ID: 480-213509-6

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	Dil Fac	D	Method	Prep Type
Acetone	5.4	J	29	5.0	ug/Kg	1	☼	8260C	Total/NA
Methylene Chloride	2.7	J	5.9	2.7	ug/Kg	1	☼	8260C	Total/NA

Client Sample ID: SB-8 0.5-1.5 — Lab Sample ID: 480-213509-7

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	Dil Fac	D	Method	Prep Type
Benzo[a]anthracene	510	J	2000	200	ug/Kg	10	☼	8270D	Total/NA
Benzo[a]pyrene	580	J	2000	300	ug/Kg	10	☼	8270D	Total/NA
Benzo[b]fluoranthene	670	J	2000	320	ug/Kg	10	☼	8270D	Total/NA
Benzo[g,h,i]perylene	350	J	2000	210	ug/Kg	10	☼	8270D	Total/NA
Chrysene	530	J	2000	450	ug/Kg	10	☼	8270D	Total/NA
Fluoranthene	1200	J	2000	210	ug/Kg	10	☼	8270D	Total/NA
Indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene	320	J	2000	250	ug/Kg	10	☼	8270D	Total/NA
Phenanthrene	810	J	2000	300	ug/Kg	10	☼	8270D	Total/NA
Pyrene	900	J	2000	240	ug/Kg	10	☼	8270D	Total/NA
Arsenic	16.2		2.4	0.49	mg/Kg	1	☼	6010C	Total/NA
Barium	311		0.61	0.13	mg/Kg	1	☼	6010C	Total/NA
Cadmium	7.7		0.24	0.037	mg/Kg	1	☼	6010C	Total/NA
Chromium	18.6		0.61	0.24	mg/Kg	1	☼	6010C	Total/NA
Lead	1610		1.2	0.29	mg/Kg	1	☼	6010C	Total/NA

This Detection Summary does not include radiochemical test results.

Eurofins Buffalo

Client Sample ID: SB-8 0.5-1.5 (Continued)
Lab Sample ID: 480-213509-7

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	Dil Fac	D	Method	Prep Type
Silver	1.3		0.73	0.24	mg/Kg	1	☼	6010C	Total/NA
Mercury	0.50	F1 F2	0.024	0.0055	mg/Kg	1	☼	7471B	Total/NA

Client Sample ID: SB-9 0.5-1.5
Lab Sample ID: 480-213509-8

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	Dil Fac	D	Method	Prep Type
Benzo[a]anthracene	5700	J	19000	1900	ug/Kg	10	☼	8270D	Total/NA
Benzo[a]pyrene	6000	J	19000	2800	ug/Kg	10	☼	8270D	Total/NA
Benzo[b]fluoranthene	6600	J	19000	3000	ug/Kg	10	☼	8270D	Total/NA
Benzo[g,h,i]perylene	2900	J	19000	2000	ug/Kg	10	☼	8270D	Total/NA
Benzo[k]fluoranthene	2500	J	19000	2500	ug/Kg	10	☼	8270D	Total/NA
Chrysene	5500	J	19000	4300	ug/Kg	10	☼	8270D	Total/NA
Fluoranthene	13000	J	19000	2000	ug/Kg	10	☼	8270D	Total/NA
Indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene	2900	J	19000	2400	ug/Kg	10	☼	8270D	Total/NA
Phenanthrene	9500	J	19000	2800	ug/Kg	10	☼	8270D	Total/NA
Pyrene	10000	J	19000	2200	ug/Kg	10	☼	8270D	Total/NA
Arsenic	5.9		2.2	0.43	mg/Kg	1	☼	6010C	Total/NA
Barium	85.9		0.54	0.12	mg/Kg	1	☼	6010C	Total/NA
Cadmium	0.39		0.22	0.033	mg/Kg	1	☼	6010C	Total/NA
Chromium	12.7		0.54	0.22	mg/Kg	1	☼	6010C	Total/NA
Lead	64.7		1.1	0.26	mg/Kg	1	☼	6010C	Total/NA
Mercury	0.84		0.021	0.0049	mg/Kg	1	☼	7471B	Total/NA

Client Sample ID: SB-10 0.5-2
Lab Sample ID: 480-213509-9

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	Dil Fac	D	Method	Prep Type
Acenaphthene	2000		2000	290	ug/Kg	10	☼	8270D	Total/NA
Anthracene	5300		2000	490	ug/Kg	10	☼	8270D	Total/NA
Benzo[a]anthracene	16000		2000	200	ug/Kg	10	☼	8270D	Total/NA
Benzo[a]pyrene	15000		2000	290	ug/Kg	10	☼	8270D	Total/NA
Benzo[b]fluoranthene	20000		2000	310	ug/Kg	10	☼	8270D	Total/NA
Benzo[g,h,i]perylene	5400		2000	210	ug/Kg	10	☼	8270D	Total/NA
Benzo[k]fluoranthene	7200		2000	260	ug/Kg	10	☼	8270D	Total/NA
Chrysene	17000		2000	440	ug/Kg	10	☼	8270D	Total/NA
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	2000		2000	350	ug/Kg	10	☼	8270D	Total/NA
Fluoranthene	40000		2000	210	ug/Kg	10	☼	8270D	Total/NA
Fluorene	1600	J	2000	230	ug/Kg	10	☼	8270D	Total/NA
Indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene	6100		2000	240	ug/Kg	10	☼	8270D	Total/NA
Phenanthrene	22000		2000	290	ug/Kg	10	☼	8270D	Total/NA
Pyrene	25000		2000	230	ug/Kg	10	☼	8270D	Total/NA
Arsenic	12.3		2.4	0.48	mg/Kg	1	☼	6010C	Total/NA
Barium	147		0.60	0.13	mg/Kg	1	☼	6010C	Total/NA
Cadmium	0.15	J	0.24	0.036	mg/Kg	1	☼	6010C	Total/NA
Chromium	18.6		0.60	0.24	mg/Kg	1	☼	6010C	Total/NA
Lead	62.0		1.2	0.29	mg/Kg	1	☼	6010C	Total/NA
Silver	0.24	J	0.73	0.24	mg/Kg	1	☼	6010C	Total/NA
Mercury	0.34		0.024	0.0055	mg/Kg	1	☼	7471B	Total/NA

Client Sample ID: SB-12 0.5-2
Lab Sample ID: 480-213509-10

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	Dil Fac	D	Method	Prep Type
Acenaphthene	660	J	1900	280	ug/Kg	10	☼	8270D	Total/NA

This Detection Summary does not include radiochemical test results.

Client Sample ID: SB-12 0.5-2 (Continued)
Lab Sample ID: 480-213509-10

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	Dil Fac	D	Method	Prep Type
Anthracene	1400	J	1900	470	ug/Kg	10	☼	8270D	Total/NA
Benzo[a]anthracene	2800		1900	190	ug/Kg	10	☼	8270D	Total/NA
Benzo[a]pyrene	3200		1900	280	ug/Kg	10	☼	8270D	Total/NA
Benzo[b]fluoranthene	3500		1900	300	ug/Kg	10	☼	8270D	Total/NA
Benzo[g,h,i]perylene	1300	J	1900	200	ug/Kg	10	☼	8270D	Total/NA
Benzo[k]fluoranthene	1500	J	1900	250	ug/Kg	10	☼	8270D	Total/NA
Chrysene	2700		1900	430	ug/Kg	10	☼	8270D	Total/NA
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	520	J	1900	340	ug/Kg	10	☼	8270D	Total/NA
Fluoranthene	5800		1900	200	ug/Kg	10	☼	8270D	Total/NA
Fluorene	550	J	1900	230	ug/Kg	10	☼	8270D	Total/NA
Indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene	1400	J	1900	240	ug/Kg	10	☼	8270D	Total/NA
Naphthalene	250	J	1900	250	ug/Kg	10	☼	8270D	Total/NA
Phenanthrene	5300		1900	280	ug/Kg	10	☼	8270D	Total/NA
Pyrene	3900		1900	230	ug/Kg	10	☼	8270D	Total/NA
Arsenic	7.7		2.3	0.47	mg/Kg	1	☼	6010C	Total/NA
Barium	112		0.59	0.13	mg/Kg	1	☼	6010C	Total/NA
Cadmium	0.12	J	0.23	0.035	mg/Kg	1	☼	6010C	Total/NA
Chromium	10.4		0.59	0.23	mg/Kg	1	☼	6010C	Total/NA
Lead	99.1		1.2	0.28	mg/Kg	1	☼	6010C	Total/NA
Mercury	0.33		0.023	0.0053	mg/Kg	1	☼	7471B	Total/NA

Client Sample ID: SB-13 0.5-2
Lab Sample ID: 480-213509-11

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	Dil Fac	D	Method	Prep Type
Benzo[a]anthracene	370	J	2000	200	ug/Kg	10	☼	8270D	Total/NA
Benzo[a]pyrene	450	J	2000	290	ug/Kg	10	☼	8270D	Total/NA
Benzo[b]fluoranthene	690	J	2000	310	ug/Kg	10	☼	8270D	Total/NA
Benzo[g,h,i]perylene	270	J	2000	210	ug/Kg	10	☼	8270D	Total/NA
Benzo[k]fluoranthene	270	J	2000	250	ug/Kg	10	☼	8270D	Total/NA
Chrysene	490	J	2000	440	ug/Kg	10	☼	8270D	Total/NA
Fluoranthene	820	J	2000	210	ug/Kg	10	☼	8270D	Total/NA
Indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene	280	J	2000	240	ug/Kg	10	☼	8270D	Total/NA
Pyrene	590	J	2000	230	ug/Kg	10	☼	8270D	Total/NA
Arsenic	31.9		2.4	0.48	mg/Kg	1	☼	6010C	Total/NA
Barium	35.6		0.60	0.13	mg/Kg	1	☼	6010C	Total/NA
Cadmium	0.31		0.24	0.036	mg/Kg	1	☼	6010C	Total/NA
Chromium	8.4		0.60	0.24	mg/Kg	1	☼	6010C	Total/NA
Lead	31.2		1.2	0.29	mg/Kg	1	☼	6010C	Total/NA
Selenium	1.5	J	4.8	0.48	mg/Kg	1	☼	6010C	Total/NA
Silver	0.72		0.72	0.24	mg/Kg	1	☼	6010C	Total/NA
Mercury	0.018	J	0.024	0.0056	mg/Kg	1	☼	7471B	Total/NA

Client Sample ID: SB-17 0.5-4
Lab Sample ID: 480-213509-12

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	Dil Fac	D	Method	Prep Type
Fluoranthene	3500	J	19000	2100	ug/Kg	10	☼	8270D	Total/NA
Pyrene	2300	J	19000	2300	ug/Kg	10	☼	8270D	Total/NA
Arsenic	9.5		2.4	0.47	mg/Kg	1	☼	6010C	Total/NA
Barium	99.7		0.59	0.13	mg/Kg	1	☼	6010C	Total/NA
Cadmium	1.2		0.24	0.036	mg/Kg	1	☼	6010C	Total/NA
Chromium	9.7		0.59	0.24	mg/Kg	1	☼	6010C	Total/NA
Lead	110		1.2	0.28	mg/Kg	1	☼	6010C	Total/NA

This Detection Summary does not include radiochemical test results.

Client Sample ID: SB-17 0.5-4 (Continued)
Lab Sample ID: 480-213509-12

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	Dil Fac	D	Method	Prep Type
Mercury	0.33		0.024	0.0055	mg/Kg	1	☼	7471B	Total/NA

This Detection Summary does not include radiochemical test results.

Client Sample ID: SB-1 0.5-1.5
Lab Sample ID: 480-213509-1
Date Collected: 10/06/23 12:57
Matrix: Solid
Date Received: 10/09/23 14:00
Percent Solids: 84.8

Method: SW846 8270D - Semivolatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Acenaphthene	610	J	990	150	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/12/23 20:53	5
Acenaphthylene	260	J	990	130	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/12/23 20:53	5
Anthracene	1200		990	240	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/12/23 20:53	5
Benzo[a]anthracene	3800		990	99	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/12/23 20:53	5
Benzo[a]pyrene	6400		990	150	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/12/23 20:53	5
Benzo[b]fluoranthene	5700		990	160	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/12/23 20:53	5
Benzo[g,h,i]perylene	4400		990	100	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/12/23 20:53	5
Benzo[k]fluoranthene	2800		990	130	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/12/23 20:53	5
Chrysene	3600		990	220	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/12/23 20:53	5
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	1500		990	170	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/12/23 20:53	5
Fluoranthene	6500		990	100	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/12/23 20:53	5
Fluorene	480	J	990	120	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/12/23 20:53	5
Indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene	4700		990	120	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/12/23 20:53	5
Naphthalene	390	J	990	130	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/12/23 20:53	5
Phenanthrene	5200		990	150	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/12/23 20:53	5
Pyrene	4900		990	120	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/12/23 20:53	5

Surrogate	*%Recovery*	*Qualifier*	*Limits*	*Prepared*	*Analyzed*	*Dil Fac*
2-Fluorobiphenyl (Surr)	*84*		*60 - 120*	*10/11/23 15:53*	*10/12/23 20:53*	*5*
Nitrobenzene-d5 (Surr)	*77*		*53 - 120*	*10/11/23 15:53*	*10/12/23 20:53*	*5*
p-Terphenyl-d14 (Surr)	*87*		*79 - 130*	*10/11/23 15:53*	*10/12/23 20:53*	*5*

Method: SW846 6010C - Metals (ICP)

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Arsenic	12.7		2.4	0.49	mg/Kg	☼	10/10/23 15:18	10/12/23 19:22	1
Barium	367		0.61	0.13	mg/Kg	☼	10/10/23 15:18	10/12/23 19:22	1
Cadmium	0.23	J	0.24	0.037	mg/Kg	☼	10/10/23 15:18	10/12/23 19:22	1
Chromium	11.4		0.61	0.24	mg/Kg	☼	10/10/23 15:18	10/12/23 19:22	1
Lead	111		1.2	0.29	mg/Kg	☼	10/10/23 15:18	10/12/23 19:22	1
Selenium	0.71	J	4.9	0.49	mg/Kg	☼	10/10/23 15:18	10/12/23 19:22	1
Silver	ND		0.73	0.24	mg/Kg	☼	10/10/23 15:18	10/12/23 19:22	1

Method: SW846 7471B - Mercury (CVAA)

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Mercury	0.15		0.024	0.0055	mg/Kg	☼	10/11/23 10:21	10/11/23 13:20	1

Client Sample ID: SB-2 0.5-1.5

Date Collected: 10/06/23 13:05
Date Received: 10/09/23 14:00

Lab Sample ID: 480-213509-2

Matrix: Solid
Percent Solids: 81.8

Method: SW846 8270D - Semivolatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Acenaphthene	2000	J	2100	300	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/12/23 21:18	10
Acenaphthylene	9600		2100	270	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/12/23 21:18	10
Anthracene	16000		2100	510	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/12/23 21:18	10
Benzo[a]anthracene	49000		2100	210	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/12/23 21:18	10
Benzo[a]pyrene	49000		2100	300	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/12/23 21:18	10
Benzo[b]fluoranthene	48000		2100	330	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/12/23 21:18	10
Benzo[g,h,i]perylene	18000		2100	220	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/12/23 21:18	10
Benzo[k]fluoranthene	24000		2100	270	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/12/23 21:18	10
Chrysene	48000		2100	460	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/12/23 21:18	10
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	ND		2100	360	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/12/23 21:18	10
Fluorene	6300		2100	240	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/12/23 21:18	10
Indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene	19000		2100	250	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/12/23 21:18	10
Naphthalene	660	J	2100	270	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/12/23 21:18	10

Surrogate	*%Recovery*	*Qualifier*	*Limits*	*Prepared*	*Analyzed*	*Dil Fac*
2-Fluorobiphenyl (Surr)	*78*		*60 - 120*	*10/11/23 15:53*	*10/12/23 21:18*	*10*
Nitrobenzene-d5 (Surr)	*70*		*53 - 120*	*10/11/23 15:53*	*10/12/23 21:18*	*10*
p-Terphenyl-d14 (Surr)	*87*		*79 - 130*	*10/11/23 15:53*	*10/12/23 21:18*	*10*

Method: SW846 8270D - Semivolatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) - DL

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Fluoranthene	120000		10000	1100	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/16/23 15:03	50
Phenanthrene	84000		10000	1500	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/16/23 15:03	50
Pyrene	110000		10000	1200	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/16/23 15:03	50

Surrogate	*%Recovery*	*Qualifier*	*Limits*	*Prepared*	*Analyzed*	*Dil Fac*
2-Fluorobiphenyl (Surr)	*0*	*S1-*	*60 - 120*	*10/11/23 15:53*	*10/16/23 15:03*	*50*
Nitrobenzene-d5 (Surr)	*0*	*S1-*	*53 - 120*	*10/11/23 15:53*	*10/16/23 15:03*	*50*
p-Terphenyl-d14 (Surr)	*101*		*79 - 130*	*10/11/23 15:53*	*10/16/23 15:03*	*50*

Method: SW846 6010C - Metals (ICP)

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Arsenic	12.9		2.4	0.48	mg/Kg	☼	10/10/23 15:18	10/12/23 19:35	1
Barium	66.1		0.60	0.13	mg/Kg	☼	10/10/23 15:18	10/12/23 19:35	1
Cadmium	0.11	J	0.24	0.036	mg/Kg	☼	10/10/23 15:18	10/12/23 19:35	1
Chromium	13.1		0.60	0.24	mg/Kg	☼	10/10/23 15:18	10/12/23 19:35	1
Lead	87.6		1.2	0.29	mg/Kg	☼	10/10/23 15:18	10/12/23 19:35	1
Selenium	ND		4.8	0.48	mg/Kg	☼	10/10/23 15:18	10/12/23 19:35	1
Silver	ND		0.72	0.24	mg/Kg	☼	10/10/23 15:18	10/12/23 19:35	1

Method: SW846 7471B - Mercury (CVAA)

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Mercury	0.13		0.025	0.0056	mg/Kg	☼	10/11/23 10:21	10/11/23 13:21	1

Client: Roux Environmental Engineering and Geology DPC
Project/Site: 1430-1440 & 1458 Main St.

Job ID: 480-213509-1

Client Sample ID: SB-3 0-4

Date Collected: 10/06/23 13:20
Date Received: 10/09/23 14:00

Lab Sample ID: 480-213509-3

Matrix: Solid
Percent Solids: 83.5

Method: SW846 8270D - Semivolatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Acenaphthene	ND		20000	3000	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/12/23 21:42	10
Acenaphthylene	ND		20000	2600	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/12/23 21:42	10
Anthracene	ND		20000	5000	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/12/23 21:42	10
Benzo[a]anthracene	**2800**	**J**	20000	2000	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/12/23 21:42	10
Benzo[a]pyrene	**3500**	**J**	20000	3000	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/12/23 21:42	10
Benzo[b]fluoranthene	**4000**	**J**	20000	3200	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/12/23 21:42	10
Benzo[g,h,i]perylene	**2400**	**J**	20000	2100	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/12/23 21:42	10
Benzo[k]fluoranthene	ND		20000	2600	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/12/23 21:42	10
Chrysene	ND		20000	4500	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/12/23 21:42	10
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	ND		20000	3600	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/12/23 21:42	10
Fluoranthene	**5500**	**J**	20000	2100	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/12/23 21:42	10
Fluorene	ND		20000	2400	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/12/23 21:42	10
Indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene	ND		20000	2500	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/12/23 21:42	10
Naphthalene	ND		20000	2600	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/12/23 21:42	10
Phenanthrene	**4300**	**J**	20000	3000	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/12/23 21:42	10
Pyrene	**4000**	**J**	20000	2400	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/12/23 21:42	10

Surrogate	*%Recovery*	*Qualifier*	*Limits*	*Prepared*	*Analyzed*	*Dil Fac*
2-Fluorobiphenyl (Surr)	*89*		*60 - 120*	*10/11/23 15:53*	*10/12/23 21:42*	*10*
Nitrobenzene-d5 (Surr)	*160*	*S1+*	*53 - 120*	*10/11/23 15:53*	*10/12/23 21:42*	*10*
p-Terphenyl-d14 (Surr)	*87*		*79 - 130*	*10/11/23 15:53*	*10/12/23 21:42*	*10*

Method: SW846 6010C - Metals (ICP)

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Arsenic	**22.1**		2.4	0.47	mg/Kg	☼	10/10/23 15:18	10/12/23 19:39	1
Barium	**182**		0.59	0.13	mg/Kg	☼	10/10/23 15:18	10/12/23 19:39	1
Cadmium	**3.9**		0.24	0.035	mg/Kg	☼	10/10/23 15:18	10/12/23 19:39	1
Chromium	**17.1**		0.59	0.24	mg/Kg	☼	10/10/23 15:18	10/12/23 19:39	1
Lead	**4370**		1.2	0.28	mg/Kg	☼	10/10/23 15:18	10/12/23 19:39	1
Selenium	ND		4.7	0.47	mg/Kg	☼	10/10/23 15:18	10/12/23 19:39	1
Silver	**0.30**	**J**	0.71	0.24	mg/Kg	☼	10/10/23 15:18	10/12/23 19:39	1

Method: SW846 7471B - Mercury (CVAA)

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Mercury	**64.9**		1.2	0.28	mg/Kg	☼	10/11/23 10:21	10/11/23 14:02	50

Client: Roux Environmental Engineering and Geology DPC
Project/Site: 1430-1440 & 1458 Main St.

Job ID: 480-213509-1

Client Sample ID: SB-5 12-14
Date Collected: 10/06/23 13:50
Date Received: 10/09/23 14:00

Lab Sample ID: 480-213509-4
Matrix: Solid
Percent Solids: 82.6

Method: SW846 8260C - Volatile Organic Compounds by GC/MS

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	ND		6.0	0.43	ug/Kg	☼	10/13/23 10:32	10/15/23 13:51	1
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND		6.0	0.97	ug/Kg	☼	10/13/23 10:32	10/15/23 13:51	1
1,1,2-Trichloro-1,2,2-trifluoroethane	ND		6.0	1.4	ug/Kg	☼	10/13/23 10:32	10/15/23 13:51	1
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	ND		6.0	0.78	ug/Kg	☼	10/13/23 10:32	10/15/23 13:51	1
1,1-Dichloroethane	ND		6.0	0.73	ug/Kg	☼	10/13/23 10:32	10/15/23 13:51	1
1,1-Dichloroethene	ND		6.0	0.73	ug/Kg	☼	10/13/23 10:32	10/15/23 13:51	1
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	ND		6.0	0.36	ug/Kg	☼	10/13/23 10:32	10/15/23 13:51	1
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	ND		6.0	1.1	ug/Kg	☼	10/13/23 10:32	10/15/23 13:51	1
1,2-Dibromo-3-Chloropropane	ND		6.0	3.0	ug/Kg	☼	10/13/23 10:32	10/15/23 13:51	1
1,2-Dibromoethane	ND		6.0	0.77	ug/Kg	☼	10/13/23 10:32	10/15/23 13:51	1
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	ND		6.0	0.47	ug/Kg	☼	10/13/23 10:32	10/15/23 13:51	1
1,2-Dichloroethane	ND		6.0	0.30	ug/Kg	☼	10/13/23 10:32	10/15/23 13:51	1
1,2-Dichloropropane	ND		6.0	3.0	ug/Kg	☼	10/13/23 10:32	10/15/23 13:51	1
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	ND		6.0	0.39	ug/Kg	☼	10/13/23 10:32	10/15/23 13:51	1
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	ND		6.0	0.31	ug/Kg	☼	10/13/23 10:32	10/15/23 13:51	1
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	ND		6.0	0.84	ug/Kg	☼	10/13/23 10:32	10/15/23 13:51	1
2-Butanone (MEK)	ND		30	2.2	ug/Kg	☼	10/13/23 10:32	10/15/23 13:51	1
2-Hexanone	ND		30	3.0	ug/Kg	☼	10/13/23 10:32	10/15/23 13:51	1
4-Isopropyltoluene	ND		6.0	0.48	ug/Kg	☼	10/13/23 10:32	10/15/23 13:51	1
4-Methyl-2-pentanone (MIBK)	ND		30	2.0	ug/Kg	☼	10/13/23 10:32	10/15/23 13:51	1
Acetone	ND		30	5.0	ug/Kg	☼	10/13/23 10:32	10/15/23 13:51	1
Benzene	ND		6.0	0.29	ug/Kg	☼	10/13/23 10:32	10/15/23 13:51	1
Bromodichloromethane	ND		6.0	0.80	ug/Kg	☼	10/13/23 10:32	10/15/23 13:51	1
Bromoform	ND		6.0	3.0	ug/Kg	☼	10/13/23 10:32	10/15/23 13:51	1
Bromomethane	ND		6.0	0.54	ug/Kg	☼	10/13/23 10:32	10/15/23 13:51	1
Carbon disulfide	ND		6.0	3.0	ug/Kg	☼	10/13/23 10:32	10/15/23 13:51	1
Carbon tetrachloride	ND		6.0	0.58	ug/Kg	☼	10/13/23 10:32	10/15/23 13:51	1
Chlorobenzene	ND		6.0	0.79	ug/Kg	☼	10/13/23 10:32	10/15/23 13:51	1
Chloroethane	ND		6.0	1.4	ug/Kg	☼	10/13/23 10:32	10/15/23 13:51	1
Chloroform	ND		6.0	0.37	ug/Kg	☼	10/13/23 10:32	10/15/23 13:51	1
Chloromethane	ND		6.0	0.36	ug/Kg	☼	10/13/23 10:32	10/15/23 13:51	1
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND		6.0	0.77	ug/Kg	☼	10/13/23 10:32	10/15/23 13:51	1
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	ND		6.0	0.86	ug/Kg	☼	10/13/23 10:32	10/15/23 13:51	1
Cyclohexane	ND		6.0	0.84	ug/Kg	☼	10/13/23 10:32	10/15/23 13:51	1
Dibromochloromethane	ND		6.0	0.77	ug/Kg	☼	10/13/23 10:32	10/15/23 13:51	1
Dichlorodifluoromethane	ND		6.0	0.49	ug/Kg	☼	10/13/23 10:32	10/15/23 13:51	1
Ethylbenzene	ND		6.0	0.41	ug/Kg	☼	10/13/23 10:32	10/15/23 13:51	1
Isopropylbenzene	ND		6.0	0.90	ug/Kg	☼	10/13/23 10:32	10/15/23 13:51	1
m,p-Xylene	ND		12	1.0	ug/Kg	☼	10/13/23 10:32	10/15/23 13:51	1
Methyl acetate	ND		30	3.6	ug/Kg	☼	10/13/23 10:32	10/15/23 13:51	1
Methyl tert-butyl ether	ND		6.0	0.59	ug/Kg	☼	10/13/23 10:32	10/15/23 13:51	1
Methylcyclohexane	ND		6.0	0.91	ug/Kg	☼	10/13/23 10:32	10/15/23 13:51	1
Methylene Chloride	ND		6.0	2.8	ug/Kg	☼	10/13/23 10:32	10/15/23 13:51	1
Naphthalene	ND		6.0	0.80	ug/Kg	☼	10/13/23 10:32	10/15/23 13:51	1
n-Butylbenzene	ND		6.0	0.52	ug/Kg	☼	10/13/23 10:32	10/15/23 13:51	1
N-Propylbenzene	ND		6.0	0.48	ug/Kg	☼	10/13/23 10:32	10/15/23 13:51	1
o-Xylene	ND		6.0	0.78	ug/Kg	☼	10/13/23 10:32	10/15/23 13:51	1
sec-Butylbenzene	ND		6.0	0.52	ug/Kg	☼	10/13/23 10:32	10/15/23 13:51	1
Styrene	ND		6.0	0.30	ug/Kg	☼	10/13/23 10:32	10/15/23 13:51	1

Client: Roux Environmental Engineering and Geology DPC
Job ID: 480-213509-1
Project/Site: 1430-1440 & 1458 Main St.

Client Sample ID: SB-5 12-14
Lab Sample ID: 480-213509-4
Date Collected: 10/06/23 13:50
Matrix: Solid
Date Received: 10/09/23 14:00
Percent Solids: 82.6

Method: SW846 8260C - Volatile Organic Compounds by GC/MS (Continued)

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
tert-Butylbenzene	ND		6.0	0.62	ug/Kg	☼	10/13/23 10:32	10/15/23 13:51	1
Tetrachloroethene	**1.7**	**J**	6.0	0.80	ug/Kg	☼	10/13/23 10:32	10/15/23 13:51	1
Toluene	ND		6.0	0.45	ug/Kg	☼	10/13/23 10:32	10/15/23 13:51	1
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND		6.0	0.62	ug/Kg	☼	10/13/23 10:32	10/15/23 13:51	1
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	ND		6.0	2.6	ug/Kg	☼	10/13/23 10:32	10/15/23 13:51	1
Trichloroethene	ND		6.0	1.3	ug/Kg	☼	10/13/23 10:32	10/15/23 13:51	1
Trichlorofluoromethane	ND		6.0	0.57	ug/Kg	☼	10/13/23 10:32	10/15/23 13:51	1
Vinyl chloride	ND		6.0	0.73	ug/Kg	☼	10/13/23 10:32	10/15/23 13:51	1
Xylenes, Total	ND		12	1.0	ug/Kg	☼	10/13/23 10:32	10/15/23 13:51	1

Surrogate	*%Recovery*	*Qualifier*	*Limits*	*Prepared*	*Analyzed*	*Dil Fac*
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	*99*		*64 - 126*	*10/13/23 10:32*	*10/15/23 13:51*	*1*
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)	*99*		*72 - 126*	*10/13/23 10:32*	*10/15/23 13:51*	*1*
Dibromofluoromethane (Surr)	*97*		*60 - 140*	*10/13/23 10:32*	*10/15/23 13:51*	*1*
Toluene-d8 (Surr)	*99*		*71 - 125*	*10/13/23 10:32*	*10/15/23 13:51*	*1*

Client: Roux Environmental Engineering and Geology DPC
Job ID: 480-213509-1
Project/Site: 1430-1440 & 1458 Main St.

Client Sample ID: SB-6 0.5-1.5
Lab Sample ID: 480-213509-5
Date Collected: 10/06/23 14:20
Matrix: Solid
Date Received: 10/09/23 14:00
Percent Solids: 87.0

Method: SW846 8270D - Semivolatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Acenaphthene	ND		970	140	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/12/23 22:07	5
Acenaphthylene	ND		970	130	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/12/23 22:07	5
Anthracene	ND		970	240	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/12/23 22:07	5
Benzo[a]anthracene	ND		970	97	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/12/23 22:07	5
Benzo[a]pyrene	ND		970	140	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/12/23 22:07	5
Benzo[b]fluoranthene	ND		970	150	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/12/23 22:07	5
Benzo[g,h,i]perylene	ND		970	100	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/12/23 22:07	5
Benzo[k]fluoranthene	ND		970	130	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/12/23 22:07	5
Chrysene	ND		970	220	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/12/23 22:07	5
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	ND		970	170	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/12/23 22:07	5
Fluoranthene	ND		970	100	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/12/23 22:07	5
Fluorene	ND		970	110	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/12/23 22:07	5
Indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene	ND		970	120	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/12/23 22:07	5
Naphthalene	ND		970	130	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/12/23 22:07	5
Phenanthrene	ND		970	140	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/12/23 22:07	5
Pyrene	ND		970	110	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/12/23 22:07	5

Surrogate	*%Recovery*	*Qualifier*	*Limits*	*Prepared*	*Analyzed*	*Dil Fac*
2-Fluorobiphenyl (Surr)	*84*		*60 - 120*	*10/11/23 15:53*	*10/12/23 22:07*	*5*
Nitrobenzene-d5 (Surr)	*74*		*53 - 120*	*10/11/23 15:53*	*10/12/23 22:07*	*5*
p-Terphenyl-d14 (Surr)	*89*		*79 - 130*	*10/11/23 15:53*	*10/12/23 22:07*	*5*

Method: SW846 6010C - Metals (ICP)

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Arsenic	**3.1**		2.2	0.44	mg/Kg	☼	10/10/23 15:18	10/12/23 19:42	1
Barium	**40.4**	**F1**	0.55	0.12	mg/Kg	☼	10/10/23 15:18	10/12/23 19:42	1
Cadmium	**0.20**	**J**	0.22	0.033	mg/Kg	☼	10/10/23 15:18	10/12/23 19:42	1
Chromium	**8.2**		0.55	0.22	mg/Kg	☼	10/10/23 15:18	10/12/23 19:42	1
Lead	**14.1**		1.1	0.26	mg/Kg	☼	10/10/23 15:18	10/12/23 19:42	1
Selenium	ND		4.4	0.44	mg/Kg	☼	10/10/23 15:18	10/12/23 19:42	1
Silver	ND		0.66	0.22	mg/Kg	☼	10/10/23 15:18	10/12/23 19:42	1

Method: SW846 7471B - Mercury (CVAA)

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Mercury	**0.015**	**J**	0.023	0.0053	mg/Kg	☼	10/11/23 10:21	10/11/23 13:28	1

Client: Roux Environmental Engineering and Geology DPC
Project/Site: 1430-1440 & 1458 Main St.

Job ID: 480-213509-1

Client Sample ID: SB-7 11-12
Date Collected: 10/06/23 14:40
Date Received: 10/09/23 14:00

Lab Sample ID: 480-213509-6
Matrix: Solid
Percent Solids: 84.7

Method: SW846 8260C - Volatile Organic Compounds by GC/MS

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	ND		5.9	0.43	ug/Kg	☼	10/13/23 10:32	10/15/23 14:15	1
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND		5.9	0.96	ug/Kg	☼	10/13/23 10:32	10/15/23 14:15	1
1,1,2-Trichloro-1,2,2-trifluoroethane	ND		5.9	1.3	ug/Kg	☼	10/13/23 10:32	10/15/23 14:15	1
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	ND		5.9	0.77	ug/Kg	☼	10/13/23 10:32	10/15/23 14:15	1
1,1-Dichloroethane	ND		5.9	0.72	ug/Kg	☼	10/13/23 10:32	10/15/23 14:15	1
1,1-Dichloroethene	ND		5.9	0.72	ug/Kg	☼	10/13/23 10:32	10/15/23 14:15	1
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	ND		5.9	0.36	ug/Kg	☼	10/13/23 10:32	10/15/23 14:15	1
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	ND		5.9	1.1	ug/Kg	☼	10/13/23 10:32	10/15/23 14:15	1
1,2-Dibromo-3-Chloropropane	ND		5.9	2.9	ug/Kg	☼	10/13/23 10:32	10/15/23 14:15	1
1,2-Dibromoethane	ND		5.9	0.76	ug/Kg	☼	10/13/23 10:32	10/15/23 14:15	1
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	ND		5.9	0.46	ug/Kg	☼	10/13/23 10:32	10/15/23 14:15	1
1,2-Dichloroethane	ND		5.9	0.30	ug/Kg	☼	10/13/23 10:32	10/15/23 14:15	1
1,2-Dichloropropane	ND		5.9	2.9	ug/Kg	☼	10/13/23 10:32	10/15/23 14:15	1
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	ND		5.9	0.38	ug/Kg	☼	10/13/23 10:32	10/15/23 14:15	1
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	ND		5.9	0.30	ug/Kg	☼	10/13/23 10:32	10/15/23 14:15	1
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	ND		5.9	0.82	ug/Kg	☼	10/13/23 10:32	10/15/23 14:15	1
2-Butanone (MEK)	ND		29	2.2	ug/Kg	☼	10/13/23 10:32	10/15/23 14:15	1
2-Hexanone	ND		29	2.9	ug/Kg	☼	10/13/23 10:32	10/15/23 14:15	1
4-Isopropyltoluene	ND		5.9	0.47	ug/Kg	☼	10/13/23 10:32	10/15/23 14:15	1
4-Methyl-2-pentanone (MIBK)	ND		29	1.9	ug/Kg	☼	10/13/23 10:32	10/15/23 14:15	1
Acetone	**5.4**	**J**	29	5.0	ug/Kg	☼	10/13/23 10:32	10/15/23 14:15	1
Benzene	ND		5.9	0.29	ug/Kg	☼	10/13/23 10:32	10/15/23 14:15	1
Bromodichloromethane	ND		5.9	0.79	ug/Kg	☼	10/13/23 10:32	10/15/23 14:15	1
Bromoform	ND		5.9	2.9	ug/Kg	☼	10/13/23 10:32	10/15/23 14:15	1
Bromomethane	ND		5.9	0.53	ug/Kg	☼	10/13/23 10:32	10/15/23 14:15	1
Carbon disulfide	ND		5.9	2.9	ug/Kg	☼	10/13/23 10:32	10/15/23 14:15	1
Carbon tetrachloride	ND		5.9	0.57	ug/Kg	☼	10/13/23 10:32	10/15/23 14:15	1
Chlorobenzene	ND		5.9	0.78	ug/Kg	☼	10/13/23 10:32	10/15/23 14:15	1
Chloroethane	ND		5.9	1.3	ug/Kg	☼	10/13/23 10:32	10/15/23 14:15	1
Chloroform	ND		5.9	0.36	ug/Kg	☼	10/13/23 10:32	10/15/23 14:15	1
Chloromethane	ND		5.9	0.36	ug/Kg	☼	10/13/23 10:32	10/15/23 14:15	1
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND		5.9	0.75	ug/Kg	☼	10/13/23 10:32	10/15/23 14:15	1
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	ND		5.9	0.85	ug/Kg	☼	10/13/23 10:32	10/15/23 14:15	1
Cyclohexane	ND		5.9	0.82	ug/Kg	☼	10/13/23 10:32	10/15/23 14:15	1
Dibromochloromethane	ND		5.9	0.75	ug/Kg	☼	10/13/23 10:32	10/15/23 14:15	1
Dichlorodifluoromethane	ND		5.9	0.49	ug/Kg	☼	10/13/23 10:32	10/15/23 14:15	1
Ethylbenzene	ND		5.9	0.41	ug/Kg	☼	10/13/23 10:32	10/15/23 14:15	1
Isopropylbenzene	ND		5.9	0.89	ug/Kg	☼	10/13/23 10:32	10/15/23 14:15	1
m,p-Xylene	ND		12	0.99	ug/Kg	☼	10/13/23 10:32	10/15/23 14:15	1
Methyl acetate	ND		29	3.6	ug/Kg	☼	10/13/23 10:32	10/15/23 14:15	1
Methyl tert-butyl ether	ND		5.9	0.58	ug/Kg	☼	10/13/23 10:32	10/15/23 14:15	1
Methylcyclohexane	ND		5.9	0.90	ug/Kg	☼	10/13/23 10:32	10/15/23 14:15	1
Methylene Chloride	**2.7**	**J**	5.9	2.7	ug/Kg	☼	10/13/23 10:32	10/15/23 14:15	1
Naphthalene	ND		5.9	0.79	ug/Kg	☼	10/13/23 10:32	10/15/23 14:15	1
n-Butylbenzene	ND		5.9	0.51	ug/Kg	☼	10/13/23 10:32	10/15/23 14:15	1
N-Propylbenzene	ND		5.9	0.47	ug/Kg	☼	10/13/23 10:32	10/15/23 14:15	1
o-Xylene	ND		5.9	0.77	ug/Kg	☼	10/13/23 10:32	10/15/23 14:15	1
sec-Butylbenzene	ND		5.9	0.51	ug/Kg	☼	10/13/23 10:32	10/15/23 14:15	1
Styrene	ND		5.9	0.29	ug/Kg	☼	10/13/23 10:32	10/15/23 14:15	1

Client: Roux Environmental Engineering and Geology DPC
Job ID: 480-213509-1
Project/Site: 1430-1440 & 1458 Main St.

Client Sample ID: SB-7 11-12
Lab Sample ID: 480-213509-6
Date Collected: 10/06/23 14:40
Matrix: Solid
Date Received: 10/09/23 14:00
Percent Solids: 84.7

Method: SW846 8260C - Volatile Organic Compounds by GC/MS (Continued)

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
tert-Butylbenzene	ND		5.9	0.61	ug/Kg	☼	10/13/23 10:32	10/15/23 14:15	1
Tetrachloroethene	ND		5.9	0.79	ug/Kg	☼	10/13/23 10:32	10/15/23 14:15	1
Toluene	ND		5.9	0.45	ug/Kg	☼	10/13/23 10:32	10/15/23 14:15	1
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND		5.9	0.61	ug/Kg	☼	10/13/23 10:32	10/15/23 14:15	1
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	ND		5.9	2.6	ug/Kg	☼	10/13/23 10:32	10/15/23 14:15	1
Trichloroethene	ND		5.9	1.3	ug/Kg	☼	10/13/23 10:32	10/15/23 14:15	1
Trichlorofluoromethane	ND		5.9	0.56	ug/Kg	☼	10/13/23 10:32	10/15/23 14:15	1
Vinyl chloride	ND		5.9	0.72	ug/Kg	☼	10/13/23 10:32	10/15/23 14:15	1
Xylenes, Total	ND		12	0.99	ug/Kg	☼	10/13/23 10:32	10/15/23 14:15	1

Surrogate	*%Recovery*	*Qualifier*	*Limits*	*Prepared*	*Analyzed*	*Dil Fac*
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	*104*		*64 - 126*	*10/13/23 10:32*	*10/15/23 14:15*	*1*
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)	*98*		*72 - 126*	*10/13/23 10:32*	*10/15/23 14:15*	*1*
Dibromofluoromethane (Surr)	*100*		*60 - 140*	*10/13/23 10:32*	*10/15/23 14:15*	*1*
Toluene-d8 (Surr)	*99*		*71 - 125*	*10/13/23 10:32*	*10/15/23 14:15*	*1*

Client: Roux Environmental Engineering and Geology DPC
Job ID: 480-213509-1
Project/Site: 1430-1440 & 1458 Main St.

Client Sample ID: SB-8 0.5-1.5
Lab Sample ID: 480-213509-7
Date Collected: 10/06/23 15:00
Matrix: Solid
Date Received: 10/09/23 14:00
Percent Solids: 83.3

Method: SW846 8270D - Semivolatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Acenaphthene	ND		2000	300	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/12/23 22:32	10
Acenaphthylene	ND		2000	260	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/12/23 22:32	10
Anthracene	ND		2000	500	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/12/23 22:32	10
Benzo[a]anthracene	**510**	**J**	2000	200	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/12/23 22:32	10
Benzo[a]pyrene	**580**	**J**	2000	300	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/12/23 22:32	10
Benzo[b]fluoranthene	**670**	**J**	2000	320	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/12/23 22:32	10
Benzo[g,h,i]perylene	**350**	**J**	2000	210	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/12/23 22:32	10
Benzo[k]fluoranthene	ND		2000	260	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/12/23 22:32	10
Chrysene	**530**	**J**	2000	450	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/12/23 22:32	10
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	ND		2000	360	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/12/23 22:32	10
Fluoranthene	**1200**	**J**	2000	210	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/12/23 22:32	10
Fluorene	ND		2000	240	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/12/23 22:32	10
Indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene	**320**	**J**	2000	250	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/12/23 22:32	10
Naphthalene	ND		2000	260	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/12/23 22:32	10
Phenanthrene	**810**	**J**	2000	300	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/12/23 22:32	10
Pyrene	**900**	**J**	2000	240	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/12/23 22:32	10

Surrogate	*%Recovery*	*Qualifier*	*Limits*	*Prepared*	*Analyzed*	*Dil Fac*
2-Fluorobiphenyl (Surr)	*69*		*60 - 120*	*10/11/23 15:53*	*10/12/23 22:32*	*10*
Nitrobenzene-d5 (Surr)	*67*		*53 - 120*	*10/11/23 15:53*	*10/12/23 22:32*	*10*
p-Terphenyl-d14 (Surr)	*67*	*S1-*	*79 - 130*	*10/11/23 15:53*	*10/12/23 22:32*	*10*

Method: SW846 6010C - Metals (ICP)

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Arsenic	**16.2**		2.4	0.49	mg/Kg	☼	10/10/23 15:18	10/12/23 20:00	1
Barium	**311**		0.61	0.13	mg/Kg	☼	10/10/23 15:18	10/12/23 20:00	1
Cadmium	**7.7**		0.24	0.037	mg/Kg	☼	10/10/23 15:18	10/12/23 20:00	1
Chromium	**18.6**		0.61	0.24	mg/Kg	☼	10/10/23 15:18	10/12/23 20:00	1
Lead	**1610**		1.2	0.29	mg/Kg	☼	10/10/23 15:18	10/12/23 20:00	1
Selenium	ND		4.9	0.49	mg/Kg	☼	10/10/23 15:18	10/12/23 20:00	1
Silver	**1.3**		0.73	0.24	mg/Kg	☼	10/10/23 15:18	10/12/23 20:00	1

Method: SW846 7471B - Mercury (CVAA)

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Mercury	**0.50**	**F1 F2**	0.024	0.0055	mg/Kg	☼	10/11/23 13:33	10/11/23 17:51	1

Client Sample ID: SB-9 0.5-1.5
Lab Sample ID: 480-213509-8
Date Collected: 10/06/23 15:30
Matrix: Solid
Date Received: 10/09/23 14:00
Percent Solids: 89.2

Method: SW846 8270D - Semivolatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Acenaphthene	ND		19000	2800	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/12/23 22:57	10
Acenaphthylene	ND		19000	2500	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/12/23 22:57	10
Anthracene	ND		19000	4700	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/12/23 22:57	10
Benzo[a]anthracene	**5700**	**J**	19000	1900	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/12/23 22:57	10
Benzo[a]pyrene	**6000**	**J**	19000	2800	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/12/23 22:57	10
Benzo[b]fluoranthene	**6600**	**J**	19000	3000	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/12/23 22:57	10
Benzo[g,h,i]perylene	**2900**	**J**	19000	2000	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/12/23 22:57	10
Benzo[k]fluoranthene	**2500**	**J**	19000	2500	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/12/23 22:57	10
Chrysene	**5500**	**J**	19000	4300	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/12/23 22:57	10
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	ND		19000	3400	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/12/23 22:57	10
Fluoranthene	**13000**	**J**	19000	2000	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/12/23 22:57	10
Fluorene	ND		19000	2200	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/12/23 22:57	10
Indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene	**2900**	**J**	19000	2400	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/12/23 22:57	10
Naphthalene	ND		19000	2500	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/12/23 22:57	10
Phenanthrene	**9500**	**J**	19000	2800	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/12/23 22:57	10
Pyrene	**10000**	**J**	19000	2200	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/12/23 22:57	10

Surrogate	*%Recovery*	*Qualifier*	*Limits*	*Prepared*	*Analyzed*	*Dil Fac*
2-Fluorobiphenyl (Surr)	*98*		*60 - 120*	*10/11/23 15:53*	*10/12/23 22:57*	*10*
Nitrobenzene-d5 (Surr)	*162*	*S1+*	*53 - 120*	*10/11/23 15:53*	*10/12/23 22:57*	*10*
p-Terphenyl-d14 (Surr)	*94*		*79 - 130*	*10/11/23 15:53*	*10/12/23 22:57*	*10*

Method: SW846 6010C - Metals (ICP)

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Arsenic	**5.9**		2.2	0.43	mg/Kg	☼	10/10/23 15:18	10/12/23 20:04	1
Barium	**85.9**		0.54	0.12	mg/Kg	☼	10/10/23 15:18	10/12/23 20:04	1
Cadmium	**0.39**		0.22	0.033	mg/Kg	☼	10/10/23 15:18	10/12/23 20:04	1
Chromium	**12.7**		0.54	0.22	mg/Kg	☼	10/10/23 15:18	10/12/23 20:04	1
Lead	**64.7**		1.1	0.26	mg/Kg	☼	10/10/23 15:18	10/12/23 20:04	1
Selenium	ND		4.3	0.43	mg/Kg	☼	10/10/23 15:18	10/12/23 20:04	1
Silver	ND		0.65	0.22	mg/Kg	☼	10/10/23 15:18	10/12/23 20:04	1

Method: SW846 7471B - Mercury (CVAA)

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Mercury	**0.84**		0.021	0.0049	mg/Kg	☼	10/11/23 10:21	10/11/23 13:42	1

Client Sample ID: SB-10 0.5-2
Lab Sample ID: 480-213509-9
Date Collected: 10/06/23 15:50
Matrix: Solid
Date Received: 10/09/23 14:00
Percent Solids: 85.3

Method: SW846 8270D - Semivolatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Acenaphthene	2000		2000	290	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/12/23 23:22	10
Acenaphthylene	ND		2000	260	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/12/23 23:22	10
Anthracene	5300		2000	490	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/12/23 23:22	10
Benzo[a]anthracene	16000		2000	200	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/12/23 23:22	10
Benzo[a]pyrene	15000		2000	290	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/12/23 23:22	10
Benzo[b]fluoranthene	20000		2000	310	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/12/23 23:22	10
Benzo[g,h,i]perylene	5400		2000	210	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/12/23 23:22	10
Benzo[k]fluoranthene	7200		2000	260	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/12/23 23:22	10
Chrysene	17000		2000	440	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/12/23 23:22	10
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	2000		2000	350	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/12/23 23:22	10
Fluoranthene	40000		2000	210	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/12/23 23:22	10
Fluorene	1600	J	2000	230	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/12/23 23:22	10
Indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene	6100		2000	240	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/12/23 23:22	10
Naphthalene	ND		2000	260	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/12/23 23:22	10
Phenanthrene	22000		2000	290	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/12/23 23:22	10
Pyrene	25000		2000	230	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/12/23 23:22	10

Surrogate	*%Recovery*	*Qualifier*	*Limits*	*Prepared*	*Analyzed*	*Dil Fac*
2-Fluorobiphenyl (Surr)	*87*		*60 - 120*	*10/11/23 15:53*	*10/12/23 23:22*	*10*
Nitrobenzene-d5 (Surr)	*74*		*53 - 120*	*10/11/23 15:53*	*10/12/23 23:22*	*10*
p-Terphenyl-d14 (Surr)	*86*		*79 - 130*	*10/11/23 15:53*	*10/12/23 23:22*	*10*

Method: SW846 6010C - Metals (ICP)

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Arsenic	12.3		2.4	0.48	mg/Kg	☼	10/10/23 15:18	10/12/23 20:17	1
Barium	147		0.60	0.13	mg/Kg	☼	10/10/23 15:18	10/12/23 20:17	1
Cadmium	0.15	J	0.24	0.036	mg/Kg	☼	10/10/23 15:18	10/12/23 20:17	1
Chromium	18.6		0.60	0.24	mg/Kg	☼	10/10/23 15:18	10/12/23 20:17	1
Lead	62.0		1.2	0.29	mg/Kg	☼	10/10/23 15:18	10/12/23 20:17	1
Selenium	ND		4.8	0.48	mg/Kg	☼	10/10/23 15:18	10/12/23 20:17	1
Silver	0.24	J	0.73	0.24	mg/Kg	☼	10/10/23 15:18	10/13/23 17:28	1

Method: SW846 7471B - Mercury (CVAA)

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Mercury	0.34		0.024	0.0055	mg/Kg	☼	10/11/23 10:21	10/11/23 13:43	1

Client: Roux Environmental Engineering and Geology DPC
Project/Site: 1430-1440 & 1458 Main St.

Job ID: 480-213509-1

Client Sample ID: SB-12 0.5-2

Date Collected: 10/06/23 16:10
Date Received: 10/09/23 14:00

Lab Sample ID: 480-213509-10

Matrix: Solid
Percent Solids: 88.6

Method: SW846 8270D - Semivolatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Acenaphthene	660	J	1900	280	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/12/23 23:47	10
Acenaphthylene	ND		1900	250	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/12/23 23:47	10
Anthracene	1400	J	1900	470	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/12/23 23:47	10
Benzo[a]anthracene	2800		1900	190	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/12/23 23:47	10
Benzo[a]pyrene	3200		1900	280	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/12/23 23:47	10
Benzo[b]fluoranthene	3500		1900	300	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/12/23 23:47	10
Benzo[g,h,i]perylene	1300	J	1900	200	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/12/23 23:47	10
Benzo[k]fluoranthene	1500	J	1900	250	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/12/23 23:47	10
Chrysene	2700		1900	430	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/12/23 23:47	10
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	520	J	1900	340	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/12/23 23:47	10
Fluoranthene	5800		1900	200	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/12/23 23:47	10
Fluorene	550	J	1900	230	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/12/23 23:47	10
Indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene	1400	J	1900	240	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/12/23 23:47	10
Naphthalene	250	J	1900	250	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/12/23 23:47	10
Phenanthrene	5300		1900	280	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/12/23 23:47	10
Pyrene	3900		1900	230	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/12/23 23:47	10

Surrogate	*%Recovery*	*Qualifier*	*Limits*	*Prepared*	*Analyzed*	*Dil Fac*
2-Fluorobiphenyl (Surr)	*82*		*60 - 120*	*10/11/23 15:53*	*10/12/23 23:47*	*10*
Nitrobenzene-d5 (Surr)	*74*		*53 - 120*	*10/11/23 15:53*	*10/12/23 23:47*	*10*
p-Terphenyl-d14 (Surr)	*84*		*79 - 130*	*10/11/23 15:53*	*10/12/23 23:47*	*10*

Method: SW846 6010C - Metals (ICP)

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Arsenic	7.7		2.3	0.47	mg/Kg	☼	10/10/23 15:18	10/12/23 20:21	1
Barium	112		0.59	0.13	mg/Kg	☼	10/10/23 15:18	10/12/23 20:21	1
Cadmium	0.12	J	0.23	0.035	mg/Kg	☼	10/10/23 15:18	10/12/23 20:21	1
Chromium	10.4		0.59	0.23	mg/Kg	☼	10/10/23 15:18	10/12/23 20:21	1
Lead	99.1		1.2	0.28	mg/Kg	☼	10/10/23 15:18	10/12/23 20:21	1
Selenium	ND		4.7	0.47	mg/Kg	☼	10/10/23 15:18	10/12/23 20:21	1
Silver	ND		0.70	0.23	mg/Kg	☼	10/10/23 15:18	10/13/23 17:32	1

Method: SW846 7471B - Mercury (CVAA)

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Mercury	0.33		0.023	0.0053	mg/Kg	☼	10/11/23 10:21	10/11/23 13:44	1

Client Sample ID: SB-13 0.5-2
Lab Sample ID: 480-213509-11
Date Collected: 10/06/23 16:30
Matrix: Solid
Date Received: 10/09/23 14:00
Percent Solids: 84.4

Method: SW846 8270D - Semivolatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Acenaphthene	ND		2000	290	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/13/23 00:12	10
Acenaphthylene	ND		2000	250	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/13/23 00:12	10
Anthracene	ND		2000	490	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/13/23 00:12	10
Benzo[a]anthracene	**370**	**J**	2000	200	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/13/23 00:12	10
Benzo[a]pyrene	**450**	**J**	2000	290	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/13/23 00:12	10
Benzo[b]fluoranthene	**690**	**J**	2000	310	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/13/23 00:12	10
Benzo[g,h,i]perylene	**270**	**J**	2000	210	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/13/23 00:12	10
Benzo[k]fluoranthene	**270**	**J**	2000	250	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/13/23 00:12	10
Chrysene	**490**	**J**	2000	440	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/13/23 00:12	10
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	ND		2000	350	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/13/23 00:12	10
Fluoranthene	**820**	**J**	2000	210	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/13/23 00:12	10
Fluorene	ND		2000	230	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/13/23 00:12	10
Indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene	**280**	**J**	2000	240	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/13/23 00:12	10
Naphthalene	ND		2000	250	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/13/23 00:12	10
Phenanthrene	ND		2000	290	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/13/23 00:12	10
Pyrene	**590**	**J**	2000	230	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/13/23 00:12	10

Surrogate	*%Recovery*	*Qualifier*	*Limits*	*Prepared*	*Analyzed*	*Dil Fac*
2-Fluorobiphenyl (Surr)	*89*		*60 - 120*	*10/11/23 15:53*	*10/13/23 00:12*	*10*
Nitrobenzene-d5 (Surr)	*78*		*53 - 120*	*10/11/23 15:53*	*10/13/23 00:12*	*10*
p-Terphenyl-d14 (Surr)	*86*		*79 - 130*	*10/11/23 15:53*	*10/13/23 00:12*	*10*

Method: SW846 6010C - Metals (ICP)

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Arsenic	**31.9**		2.4	0.48	mg/Kg	☼	10/10/23 15:18	10/12/23 20:24	1
Barium	**35.6**		0.60	0.13	mg/Kg	☼	10/10/23 15:18	10/12/23 20:24	1
Cadmium	**0.31**		0.24	0.036	mg/Kg	☼	10/10/23 15:18	10/12/23 20:24	1
Chromium	**8.4**		0.60	0.24	mg/Kg	☼	10/10/23 15:18	10/12/23 20:24	1
Lead	**31.2**		1.2	0.29	mg/Kg	☼	10/10/23 15:18	10/12/23 20:24	1
Selenium	**1.5**	**J**	4.8	0.48	mg/Kg	☼	10/10/23 15:18	10/12/23 20:24	1
Silver	**0.72**		0.72	0.24	mg/Kg	☼	10/10/23 15:18	10/13/23 17:36	1

Method: SW846 7471B - Mercury (CVAA)

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Mercury	**0.018**	**J**	0.024	0.0056	mg/Kg	☼	10/11/23 10:21	10/11/23 13:46	1

Client: Roux Environmental Engineering and Geology DPC
Job ID: 480-213509-1
Project/Site: 1430-1440 & 1458 Main St.

Client Sample ID: SB-17 0.5-4
Lab Sample ID: 480-213509-12
Date Collected: 10/06/23 17:20
Matrix: Solid
Date Received: 10/09/23 14:00
Percent Solids: 85.4

Method: SW846 8270D - Semivolatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Acenaphthene	ND		19000	2900	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/13/23 00:38	10
Acenaphthylene	ND		19000	2500	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/13/23 00:38	10
Anthracene	ND		19000	4800	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/13/23 00:38	10
Benzo[a]anthracene	ND		19000	1900	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/13/23 00:38	10
Benzo[a]pyrene	ND		19000	2900	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/13/23 00:38	10
Benzo[b]fluoranthene	ND		19000	3100	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/13/23 00:38	10
Benzo[g,h,i]perylene	ND		19000	2100	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/13/23 00:38	10
Benzo[k]fluoranthene	ND		19000	2500	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/13/23 00:38	10
Chrysene	ND		19000	4400	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/13/23 00:38	10
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	ND		19000	3400	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/13/23 00:38	10
Fluoranthene	**3500**	**J**	19000	2100	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/13/23 00:38	10
Fluorene	ND		19000	2300	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/13/23 00:38	10
Indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene	ND		19000	2400	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/13/23 00:38	10
Naphthalene	ND		19000	2500	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/13/23 00:38	10
Phenanthrene	ND		19000	2900	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/13/23 00:38	10
Pyrene	**2300**	**J**	19000	2300	ug/Kg	☼	10/11/23 15:53	10/13/23 00:38	10

Surrogate	*%Recovery*	*Qualifier*	*Limits*	*Prepared*	*Analyzed*	*Dil Fac*
2-Fluorobiphenyl (Surr)	*84*		*60 - 120*	*10/11/23 15:53*	*10/13/23 00:38*	*10*
Nitrobenzene-d5 (Surr)	*153*	*S1+*	*53 - 120*	*10/11/23 15:53*	*10/13/23 00:38*	*10*
p-Terphenyl-d14 (Surr)	*76*	*S1-*	*79 - 130*	*10/11/23 15:53*	*10/13/23 00:38*	*10*

Method: SW846 6010C - Metals (ICP)

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Arsenic	**9.5**		2.4	0.47	mg/Kg	☼	10/10/23 15:18	10/12/23 20:28	1
Barium	**99.7**		0.59	0.13	mg/Kg	☼	10/10/23 15:18	10/12/23 20:28	1
Cadmium	**1.2**		0.24	0.036	mg/Kg	☼	10/10/23 15:18	10/12/23 20:28	1
Chromium	**9.7**		0.59	0.24	mg/Kg	☼	10/10/23 15:18	10/12/23 20:28	1
Lead	**110**		1.2	0.28	mg/Kg	☼	10/10/23 15:18	10/12/23 20:28	1
Selenium	ND		4.7	0.47	mg/Kg	☼	10/10/23 15:18	10/12/23 20:28	1
Silver	ND		0.71	0.24	mg/Kg	☼	10/10/23 15:18	10/13/23 17:40	1

Method: SW846 7471B - Mercury (CVAA)

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Mercury	**0.33**		0.024	0.0055	mg/Kg	☼	10/11/23 10:21	10/11/23 13:47	1

Surrogate Summary

Client: Roux Environmental Engineering and Geology DPC
Job ID: 480-213509-1
Project/Site: 1430-1440 & 1458 Main St.

Method: 8260C - Volatile Organic Compounds by GC/MS

Matrix: Solid **Prep Type: Total/NA**

		Percent Surrogate Recovery (Acceptance Limits)			
		DCA	BFB	DBFM	TOL
Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	(64-126)	(72-126)	(60-140)	(71-125)
480-213509-4	SB-5 12-14	99	99	97	99
480-213509-6	SB-7 11-12	104	98	100	99

Surrogate Legend

DCA = 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)
BFB = 4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)
DBFM = Dibromofluoromethane (Surr)
TOL = Toluene-d8 (Surr)

Method: 8270D - Semivolatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)

Matrix: Solid **Prep Type: Total/NA**

		Percent Surrogate Recovery (Acceptance Limits)		
		FBP	NBZ	TPHd14
Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	(60-120)	(53-120)	(79-130)
480-213509-1	SB-1 0.5-1.5	84	77	87
480-213509-2	SB-2 0.5-1.5	78	70	87
480-213509-2 - DL	SB-2 0.5-1.5	0 S1-	0 S1-	101
480-213509-3	SB-3 0-4	89	160 S1+	87
480-213509-5	SB-6 0.5-1.5	84	74	89
480-213509-5 MS	SB-6 0.5-1.5	86	75	93
480-213509-5 MSD	SB-6 0.5-1.5	79	71	83
480-213509-7	SB-8 0.5-1.5	69	67	67 S1-
480-213509-8	SB-9 0.5-1.5	98	162 S1+	94
480-213509-9	SB-10 0.5-2	87	74	86
480-213509-10	SB-12 0.5-2	82	74	84
480-213509-11	SB-13 0.5-2	89	78	86
480-213509-12	SB-17 0.5-4	84	153 S1+	76 S1-
LCS 480-687022/2-A	Lab Control Sample	92	78	105
MB 480-687022/1-A	Method Blank	84	72	94

Surrogate Legend

FBP = 2-Fluorobiphenyl (Surr)
NBZ = Nitrobenzene-d5 (Surr)
TPHd14 = p-Terphenyl-d14 (Surr)

Method: 8270D - Semivolatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)

Lab Sample ID: MB 480-687022/1-A
Matrix: Solid
Analysis Batch: 687072

Client Sample ID: Method Blank
Prep Type: Total/NA
Prep Batch: 687022

Analyte	MB Result	MB Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Acenaphthene	ND		170	25	ug/Kg		10/11/23 15:53	10/12/23 18:48	1
Acenaphthylene	ND		170	22	ug/Kg		10/11/23 15:53	10/12/23 18:48	1
Anthracene	ND		170	42	ug/Kg		10/11/23 15:53	10/12/23 18:48	1
Benzo[a]anthracene	ND		170	17	ug/Kg		10/11/23 15:53	10/12/23 18:48	1
Benzo[a]pyrene	ND		170	25	ug/Kg		10/11/23 15:53	10/12/23 18:48	1
Benzo[b]fluoranthene	ND		170	27	ug/Kg		10/11/23 15:53	10/12/23 18:48	1
Benzo[g,h,i]perylene	ND		170	18	ug/Kg		10/11/23 15:53	10/12/23 18:48	1
Benzo[k]fluoranthene	ND		170	22	ug/Kg		10/11/23 15:53	10/12/23 18:48	1
Chrysene	ND		170	38	ug/Kg		10/11/23 15:53	10/12/23 18:48	1
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	ND		170	30	ug/Kg		10/11/23 15:53	10/12/23 18:48	1
Fluoranthene	ND		170	18	ug/Kg		10/11/23 15:53	10/12/23 18:48	1
Fluorene	ND		170	20	ug/Kg		10/11/23 15:53	10/12/23 18:48	1
Indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene	ND		170	21	ug/Kg		10/11/23 15:53	10/12/23 18:48	1
Naphthalene	ND		170	22	ug/Kg		10/11/23 15:53	10/12/23 18:48	1
Phenanthrene	ND		170	25	ug/Kg		10/11/23 15:53	10/12/23 18:48	1
Pyrene	ND		170	20	ug/Kg		10/11/23 15:53	10/12/23 18:48	1

Surrogate	*MB %Recovery*	*MB Qualifier*	*Limits*	*Prepared*	*Analyzed*	*Dil Fac*
2-Fluorobiphenyl (Surr)	*84*		*60 - 120*	*10/11/23 15:53*	*10/12/23 18:48*	*1*
Nitrobenzene-d5 (Surr)	*72*		*53 - 120*	*10/11/23 15:53*	*10/12/23 18:48*	*1*
p-Terphenyl-d14 (Surr)	*94*		*79 - 130*	*10/11/23 15:53*	*10/12/23 18:48*	*1*

Lab Sample ID: LCS 480-687022/2-A
Matrix: Solid
Analysis Batch: 687072

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample
Prep Type: Total/NA
Prep Batch: 687022

Analyte	Spike Added	LCS Result	LCS Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	%Rec Limits
Acenaphthene	1620	1430		ug/Kg		88	62 - 120
Acenaphthylene	1620	1420		ug/Kg		88	58 - 121
Anthracene	1620	1470		ug/Kg		90	62 - 120
Benzo[a]anthracene	1620	1510		ug/Kg		93	65 - 120
Benzo[a]pyrene	1620	1570		ug/Kg		97	64 - 120
Benzo[b]fluoranthene	1620	1410		ug/Kg		87	64 - 120
Benzo[g,h,i]perylene	1620	1580		ug/Kg		97	45 - 145
Benzo[k]fluoranthene	1620	1540		ug/Kg		95	65 - 120
Chrysene	1620	1510		ug/Kg		93	64 - 120
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	1620	1570		ug/Kg		97	54 - 132
Fluoranthene	1620	1440		ug/Kg		89	62 - 120
Fluorene	1620	1430		ug/Kg		88	63 - 120
Indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene	1620	1670		ug/Kg		103	56 - 134
Naphthalene	1620	1340		ug/Kg		83	55 - 120
Phenanthrene	1620	1480		ug/Kg		91	60 - 120
Pyrene	1620	1580		ug/Kg		97	61 - 133

Surrogate	*LCS %Recovery*	*LCS Qualifier*	*Limits*
2-Fluorobiphenyl (Surr)	*92*		*60 - 120*
Nitrobenzene-d5 (Surr)	*78*		*53 - 120*
p-Terphenyl-d14 (Surr)	*105*		*79 - 130*

Method: 8270D - Semivolatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)

Lab Sample ID: 480-213509-5 MS
Matrix: Solid
Analysis Batch: 687072

Client Sample ID: SB-6 0.5-1.5
Prep Type: Total/NA
Prep Batch: 687022

Analyte	Sample Result	Sample Qualifier	Spike Added	MS Result	MS Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	%Rec Limits
Acenaphthene	ND		1890	1620		ug/Kg	☼	86	60 - 120
Acenaphthylene	ND		1890	1550		ug/Kg	☼	82	58 - 121
Anthracene	ND		1890	1640		ug/Kg	☼	86	62 - 120
Benzo[a]anthracene	ND		1890	1730		ug/Kg	☼	91	65 - 120
Benzo[a]pyrene	ND		1890	1860		ug/Kg	☼	98	64 - 120
Benzo[b]fluoranthene	ND		1890	1760		ug/Kg	☼	93	10 - 150
Benzo[g,h,i]perylene	ND		1890	1830		ug/Kg	☼	96	45 - 145
Benzo[k]fluoranthene	ND		1890	1640		ug/Kg	☼	87	23 - 150
Chrysene	ND		1890	1730		ug/Kg	☼	91	64 - 120
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	ND		1890	1820		ug/Kg	☼	96	54 - 132
Fluoranthene	ND		1890	1840		ug/Kg	☼	97	62 - 120
Fluorene	ND		1890	1660		ug/Kg	☼	88	63 - 120
Indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene	ND		1890	1970		ug/Kg	☼	104	56 - 134
Naphthalene	ND		1890	1510		ug/Kg	☼	80	46 - 120
Phenanthrene	ND		1890	1670		ug/Kg	☼	88	60 - 122
Pyrene	ND		1890	1720		ug/Kg	☼	91	61 - 133

Surrogate	*MS %Recovery*	*MS Qualifier*	*Limits*
2-Fluorobiphenyl (Surr)	*86*		*60 - 120*
Nitrobenzene-d5 (Surr)	*75*		*53 - 120*
p-Terphenyl-d14 (Surr)	*93*		*79 - 130*

Lab Sample ID: 480-213509-5 MSD
Matrix: Solid
Analysis Batch: 687072

Client Sample ID: SB-6 0.5-1.5
Prep Type: Total/NA
Prep Batch: 687022

Analyte	Sample Result	Sample Qualifier	Spike Added	MSD Result	MSD Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	%Rec Limits	RPD	RPD Limit
Acenaphthene	ND		1900	1530		ug/Kg	☼	81	60 - 120	6	35
Acenaphthylene	ND		1900	1480		ug/Kg	☼	78	58 - 121	5	18
Anthracene	ND		1900	1530		ug/Kg	☼	80	62 - 120	7	15
Benzo[a]anthracene	ND		1900	1570		ug/Kg	☼	83	65 - 120	10	15
Benzo[a]pyrene	ND		1900	1690		ug/Kg	☼	89	64 - 120	9	15
Benzo[b]fluoranthene	ND		1900	1590		ug/Kg	☼	84	10 - 150	10	15
Benzo[g,h,i]perylene	ND		1900	1720		ug/Kg	☼	91	45 - 145	6	15
Benzo[k]fluoranthene	ND		1900	1420		ug/Kg	☼	75	23 - 150	14	22
Chrysene	ND		1900	1610		ug/Kg	☼	85	64 - 120	7	15
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	ND		1900	1670		ug/Kg	☼	88	54 - 132	8	15
Fluoranthene	ND		1900	1670		ug/Kg	☼	88	62 - 120	10	15
Fluorene	ND		1900	1570		ug/Kg	☼	83	63 - 120	6	15
Indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene	ND		1900	1830		ug/Kg	☼	97	56 - 134	7	15
Naphthalene	ND		1900	1440		ug/Kg	☼	76	46 - 120	5	29
Phenanthrene	ND		1900	1560		ug/Kg	☼	82	60 - 122	7	15
Pyrene	ND		1900	1540		ug/Kg	☼	81	61 - 133	11	35

Surrogate	*MSD %Recovery*	*MSD Qualifier*	*Limits*
2-Fluorobiphenyl (Surr)	*79*		*60 - 120*
Nitrobenzene-d5 (Surr)	*71*		*53 - 120*
p-Terphenyl-d14 (Surr)	*83*		*79 - 130*

Method: 6010C - Metals (ICP)

Lab Sample ID: MB 480-686817/1-A
Matrix: Solid
Analysis Batch: 687267

Client Sample ID: Method Blank
Prep Type: Total/NA
Prep Batch: 686817

Analyte	MB Result	MB Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Arsenic	ND		2.1	0.42	mg/Kg		10/10/23 15:18	10/12/23 19:15	1
Barium	ND		0.52	0.12	mg/Kg		10/10/23 15:18	10/12/23 19:15	1
Cadmium	ND		0.21	0.031	mg/Kg		10/10/23 15:18	10/12/23 19:15	1
Chromium	ND		0.52	0.21	mg/Kg		10/10/23 15:18	10/12/23 19:15	1
Lead	ND		1.0	0.25	mg/Kg		10/10/23 15:18	10/12/23 19:15	1
Selenium	ND		4.2	0.42	mg/Kg		10/10/23 15:18	10/12/23 19:15	1
Silver	ND		0.63	0.21	mg/Kg		10/10/23 15:18	10/12/23 19:15	1

Lab Sample ID: LCSSRM 480-686817/2-A
Matrix: Solid
Analysis Batch: 687267

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample
Prep Type: Total/NA
Prep Batch: 686817

Analyte	Spike Added	LCSSRM Result	LCSSRM Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	%Rec Limits
Arsenic	218	169.6		mg/Kg		77.8	57.8 - 110.1
Barium	388	346.4		mg/Kg		89.3	68.3 - 113.9
Cadmium	118	102.2		mg/Kg		86.6	67.0 - 111.9
Chromium	255	214.6		mg/Kg		84.2	63.5 - 118.4
Lead	155	152.6		mg/Kg		98.4	67.7 - 119.4
Selenium	107	87.61		mg/Kg		81.9	58.3 - 121.5
Silver	51.0	42.18		mg/Kg		82.7	64.7 - 120.8

Lab Sample ID: 480-213509-5 MS
Matrix: Solid
Analysis Batch: 687267

Client Sample ID: SB-6 0.5-1.5
Prep Type: Total/NA
Prep Batch: 686817

Analyte	Sample Result	Sample Qualifier	Spike Added	MS Result	MS Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	%Rec Limits
Arsenic	3.1		45.5	45.32		mg/Kg	☼	93	75 - 125
Barium	40.4	F1	45.5	101.0	F1	mg/Kg	☼	133	75 - 125
Cadmium	0.20	J	45.5	41.50		mg/Kg	☼	91	75 - 125
Chromium	8.2		45.5	50.76		mg/Kg	☼	94	75 - 125
Lead	14.1		45.5	63.67		mg/Kg	☼	109	75 - 125
Selenium	ND		45.5	40.07		mg/Kg	☼	88	75 - 125
Silver	ND		11.4	9.79		mg/Kg	☼	86	75 - 125

Lab Sample ID: 480-213509-5 MSD
Matrix: Solid
Analysis Batch: 687267

Client Sample ID: SB-6 0.5-1.5
Prep Type: Total/NA
Prep Batch: 686817

Analyte	Sample Result	Sample Qualifier	Spike Added	MSD Result	MSD Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	%Rec Limits	RPD	RPD Limit
Arsenic	3.1		46.6	45.35		mg/Kg	☼	91	75 - 125	0	20
Barium	40.4	F1	46.6	96.21		mg/Kg	☼	120	75 - 125	5	20
Cadmium	0.20	J	46.6	41.74		mg/Kg	☼	89	75 - 125	1	20
Chromium	8.2		46.6	50.80		mg/Kg	☼	91	75 - 125	0	20
Lead	14.1		46.6	59.08		mg/Kg	☼	97	75 - 125	7	20

Method: 6010C - Metals (ICP) (Continued)

Lab Sample ID: 480-213509-5 MSD
Matrix: Solid
Analysis Batch: 687267

Client Sample ID: SB-6 0.5-1.5
Prep Type: Total/NA
Prep Batch: 686817

Analyte	Sample Result	Sample Qualifier	Spike Added	MSD Result	MSD Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	%Rec Limits	RPD	RPD Limit
Selenium	ND		46.6	39.95		mg/Kg	☼	86	75 - 125	0	20
Silver	ND		11.7	9.79		mg/Kg	☼	84	75 - 125	0	20

Method: 7471B - Mercury (CVAA)

Lab Sample ID: MB 480-686897/1-A
Matrix: Solid
Analysis Batch: 686996

Client Sample ID: Method Blank
Prep Type: Total/NA
Prep Batch: 686897

Analyte	MB Result	MB Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Mercury	ND		0.020	0.0045	mg/Kg		10/11/23 10:21	10/11/23 12:47	1

Lab Sample ID: LCSSRM 480-686897/2-A ^10
Matrix: Solid
Analysis Batch: 686996

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample
Prep Type: Total/NA
Prep Batch: 686897

Analyte	Spike Added	LCSSRM Result	LCSSRM Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	%Rec Limits
Mercury	17.1	9.24		mg/Kg		54.0	36.0 - 109.9

Lab Sample ID: MB 480-686901/1-A
Matrix: Solid
Analysis Batch: 686996

Client Sample ID: Method Blank
Prep Type: Total/NA
Prep Batch: 686901

Analyte	MB Result	MB Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Mercury	ND		0.020	0.0046	mg/Kg		10/11/23 10:21	10/11/23 13:30	1

Lab Sample ID: LCSSRM 480-686901/2-A ^10
Matrix: Solid
Analysis Batch: 686996

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample
Prep Type: Total/NA
Prep Batch: 686901

Analyte	Spike Added	LCSSRM Result	LCSSRM Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	%Rec Limits
Mercury	17.1	9.89		mg/Kg		57.8	36.0 - 109.9

Lab Sample ID: 480-213509-A-7-C MS
Matrix: Solid
Analysis Batch: 686996

Client Sample ID: 480-213509-A-7-C MS
Prep Type: Total/NA
Prep Batch: 686901

Analyte	Sample Result	Sample Qualifier	Spike Added	MS Result	MS Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	%Rec Limits
Mercury	3.0	E	0.390	1.54	4	mg/Kg	☼	-374	80 - 120

Lab Sample ID: 480-213509-A-7-D MSD
Matrix: Solid
Analysis Batch: 686996

Client Sample ID: 480-213509-A-7-D MSD
Prep Type: Total/NA
Prep Batch: 686901

Analyte	Sample Result	Sample Qualifier	Spike Added	MSD Result	MSD Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	%Rec Limits	RPD	RPD Limit
Mercury	3.0	E	0.416	1.64	4	mg/Kg	☼	-327	80 - 120	6	20

Method: 7471B - Mercury (CVAA) (Continued)

Lab Sample ID: MB 480-686972/1-A
Matrix: Solid
Analysis Batch: 687047

Client Sample ID: Method Blank
Prep Type: Total/NA
Prep Batch: 686972

Analyte	MB Result	MB Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Mercury	ND		0.019	0.0045	mg/Kg		10/11/23 13:33	10/11/23 17:57	1

Lab Sample ID: LCSSRM 480-686972/2-A ^10
Matrix: Solid
Analysis Batch: 687047

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample
Prep Type: Total/NA
Prep Batch: 686972

Analyte			Spike Added	LCSSRM Result	LCSSRM Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	%Rec Limits		
Mercury			17.1	9.92		mg/Kg		58.0	36.0 - 109.9		

Lab Sample ID: 480-213509-7 MS
Matrix: Solid
Analysis Batch: 687047

Client Sample ID: SB-8 0.5-1.5
Prep Type: Total/NA
Prep Batch: 686972

Analyte	Sample Result	Sample Qualifier	Spike Added	MS Result	MS Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	%Rec Limits		
Mercury	0.50	F1 F2	0.417	3.09	E F1	mg/Kg	☼	622	80 - 120		

Lab Sample ID: 480-213509-7 MSD
Matrix: Solid
Analysis Batch: 687047

Client Sample ID: SB-8 0.5-1.5
Prep Type: Total/NA
Prep Batch: 686972

Analyte	Sample Result	Sample Qualifier	Spike Added	MSD Result	MSD Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	%Rec Limits	RPD	RPD Limit
Mercury	0.50	F1 F2	0.415	1.28	F1 F2	mg/Kg	☼	190	80 - 120	83	20

GC/MS VOA

Prep Batch: 687304

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
480-213509-4	SB-5 12-14	Total/NA	Solid	5035A_L	
480-213509-6	SB-7 11-12	Total/NA	Solid	5035A_L	

Analysis Batch: 687480

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
480-213509-4	SB-5 12-14	Total/NA	Solid	8260C	687304
480-213509-6	SB-7 11-12	Total/NA	Solid	8260C	687304

GC/MS Semi VOA

Prep Batch: 687022

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
480-213509-1	SB-1 0.5-1.5	Total/NA	Solid	3550C	
480-213509-2	SB-2 0.5-1.5	Total/NA	Solid	3550C	
480-213509-2 - DL	SB-2 0.5-1.5	Total/NA	Solid	3550C	
480-213509-3	SB-3 0-4	Total/NA	Solid	3550C	
480-213509-5	SB-6 0.5-1.5	Total/NA	Solid	3550C	
480-213509-7	SB-8 0.5-1.5	Total/NA	Solid	3550C	
480-213509-8	SB-9 0.5-1.5	Total/NA	Solid	3550C	
480-213509-9	SB-10 0.5-2	Total/NA	Solid	3550C	
480-213509-10	SB-12 0.5-2	Total/NA	Solid	3550C	
480-213509-11	SB-13 0.5-2	Total/NA	Solid	3550C	
480-213509-12	SB-17 0.5-4	Total/NA	Solid	3550C	
MB 480-687022/1-A	Method Blank	Total/NA	Solid	3550C	
LCS 480-687022/2-A	Lab Control Sample	Total/NA	Solid	3550C	
480-213509-5 MS	SB-6 0.5-1.5	Total/NA	Solid	3550C	
480-213509-5 MSD	SB-6 0.5-1.5	Total/NA	Solid	3550C	

Analysis Batch: 687072

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
480-213509-1	SB-1 0.5-1.5	Total/NA	Solid	8270D	687022
480-213509-2	SB-2 0.5-1.5	Total/NA	Solid	8270D	687022
480-213509-3	SB-3 0-4	Total/NA	Solid	8270D	687022
480-213509-5	SB-6 0.5-1.5	Total/NA	Solid	8270D	687022
480-213509-7	SB-8 0.5-1.5	Total/NA	Solid	8270D	687022
480-213509-8	SB-9 0.5-1.5	Total/NA	Solid	8270D	687022
480-213509-9	SB-10 0.5-2	Total/NA	Solid	8270D	687022
480-213509-10	SB-12 0.5-2	Total/NA	Solid	8270D	687022
480-213509-11	SB-13 0.5-2	Total/NA	Solid	8270D	687022
480-213509-12	SB-17 0.5-4	Total/NA	Solid	8270D	687022
MB 480-687022/1-A	Method Blank	Total/NA	Solid	8270D	687022
LCS 480-687022/2-A	Lab Control Sample	Total/NA	Solid	8270D	687022
480-213509-5 MS	SB-6 0.5-1.5	Total/NA	Solid	8270D	687022
480-213509-5 MSD	SB-6 0.5-1.5	Total/NA	Solid	8270D	687022

Analysis Batch: 687609

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
480-213509-2 - DL	SB-2 0.5-1.5	Total/NA	Solid	8270D	687022

Metals

Prep Batch: 686817

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
480-213509-1	SB-1 0.5-1.5	Total/NA	Solid	3050B	
480-213509-2	SB-2 0.5-1.5	Total/NA	Solid	3050B	
480-213509-3	SB-3 0-4	Total/NA	Solid	3050B	
480-213509-5	SB-6 0.5-1.5	Total/NA	Solid	3050B	
480-213509-7	SB-8 0.5-1.5	Total/NA	Solid	3050B	
480-213509-8	SB-9 0.5-1.5	Total/NA	Solid	3050B	
480-213509-9	SB-10 0.5-2	Total/NA	Solid	3050B	
480-213509-10	SB-12 0.5-2	Total/NA	Solid	3050B	
480-213509-11	SB-13 0.5-2	Total/NA	Solid	3050B	
480-213509-12	SB-17 0.5-4	Total/NA	Solid	3050B	
MB 480-686817/1-A	Method Blank	Total/NA	Solid	3050B	
LCSSRM 480-686817/2-A	Lab Control Sample	Total/NA	Solid	3050B	
480-213509-5 MS	SB-6 0.5-1.5	Total/NA	Solid	3050B	
480-213509-5 MSD	SB-6 0.5-1.5	Total/NA	Solid	3050B	

Prep Batch: 686897

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
480-213509-1	SB-1 0.5-1.5	Total/NA	Solid	7471B	
480-213509-2	SB-2 0.5-1.5	Total/NA	Solid	7471B	
480-213509-3	SB-3 0-4	Total/NA	Solid	7471B	
480-213509-5	SB-6 0.5-1.5	Total/NA	Solid	7471B	
MB 480-686897/1-A	Method Blank	Total/NA	Solid	7471B	
LCSSRM 480-686897/2-A ^1	Lab Control Sample	Total/NA	Solid	7471B	

Prep Batch: 686901

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
480-213509-8	SB-9 0.5-1.5	Total/NA	Solid	7471B	
480-213509-9	SB-10 0.5-2	Total/NA	Solid	7471B	
480-213509-10	SB-12 0.5-2	Total/NA	Solid	7471B	
480-213509-11	SB-13 0.5-2	Total/NA	Solid	7471B	
480-213509-12	SB-17 0.5-4	Total/NA	Solid	7471B	
MB 480-686901/1-A	Method Blank	Total/NA	Solid	7471B	
LCSSRM 480-686901/2-A ^1	Lab Control Sample	Total/NA	Solid	7471B	
480-213509-A-7-C MS	480-213509-A-7-C MS	Total/NA	Solid	7471B	
480-213509-A-7-D MSD	480-213509-A-7-D MSD	Total/NA	Solid	7471B	

Prep Batch: 686972

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
480-213509-7	SB-8 0.5-1.5	Total/NA	Solid	7471B	
MB 480-686972/1-A	Method Blank	Total/NA	Solid	7471B	
LCSSRM 480-686972/2-A ^1	Lab Control Sample	Total/NA	Solid	7471B	
480-213509-7 MS	SB-8 0.5-1.5	Total/NA	Solid	7471B	
480-213509-7 MSD	SB-8 0.5-1.5	Total/NA	Solid	7471B	

Analysis Batch: 686996

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
480-213509-1	SB-1 0.5-1.5	Total/NA	Solid	7471B	686897
480-213509-2	SB-2 0.5-1.5	Total/NA	Solid	7471B	686897
480-213509-3	SB-3 0-4	Total/NA	Solid	7471B	686897
480-213509-5	SB-6 0.5-1.5	Total/NA	Solid	7471B	686897
480-213509-8	SB-9 0.5-1.5	Total/NA	Solid	7471B	686901

Metals (Continued)

Analysis Batch: 686996 (Continued)

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
480-213509-9	SB-10 0.5-2	Total/NA	Solid	7471B	686901
480-213509-10	SB-12 0.5-2	Total/NA	Solid	7471B	686901
480-213509-11	SB-13 0.5-2	Total/NA	Solid	7471B	686901
480-213509-12	SB-17 0.5-4	Total/NA	Solid	7471B	686901
MB 480-686897/1-A	Method Blank	Total/NA	Solid	7471B	686897
MB 480-686901/1-A	Method Blank	Total/NA	Solid	7471B	686901
LCSSRM 480-686897/2-A ^1	Lab Control Sample	Total/NA	Solid	7471B	686897
LCSSRM 480-686901/2-A ^1	Lab Control Sample	Total/NA	Solid	7471B	686901
480-213509-A-7-C MS	480-213509-A-7-C MS	Total/NA	Solid	7471B	686901
480-213509-A-7-D MSD	480-213509-A-7-D MSD	Total/NA	Solid	7471B	686901

Analysis Batch: 687047

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
480-213509-7	SB-8 0.5-1.5	Total/NA	Solid	7471B	686972
MB 480-686972/1-A	Method Blank	Total/NA	Solid	7471B	686972
LCSSRM 480-686972/2-A ^1	Lab Control Sample	Total/NA	Solid	7471B	686972
480-213509-7 MS	SB-8 0.5-1.5	Total/NA	Solid	7471B	686972
480-213509-7 MSD	SB-8 0.5-1.5	Total/NA	Solid	7471B	686972

Analysis Batch: 687267

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
480-213509-1	SB-1 0.5-1.5	Total/NA	Solid	6010C	686817
480-213509-2	SB-2 0.5-1.5	Total/NA	Solid	6010C	686817
480-213509-3	SB-3 0-4	Total/NA	Solid	6010C	686817
480-213509-5	SB-6 0.5-1.5	Total/NA	Solid	6010C	686817
480-213509-7	SB-8 0.5-1.5	Total/NA	Solid	6010C	686817
480-213509-8	SB-9 0.5-1.5	Total/NA	Solid	6010C	686817
480-213509-9	SB-10 0.5-2	Total/NA	Solid	6010C	686817
480-213509-10	SB-12 0.5-2	Total/NA	Solid	6010C	686817
480-213509-11	SB-13 0.5-2	Total/NA	Solid	6010C	686817
480-213509-12	SB-17 0.5-4	Total/NA	Solid	6010C	686817
MB 480-686817/1-A	Method Blank	Total/NA	Solid	6010C	686817
LCSSRM 480-686817/2-A	Lab Control Sample	Total/NA	Solid	6010C	686817
480-213509-5 MS	SB-6 0.5-1.5	Total/NA	Solid	6010C	686817
480-213509-5 MSD	SB-6 0.5-1.5	Total/NA	Solid	6010C	686817

Analysis Batch: 687466

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
480-213509-9	SB-10 0.5-2	Total/NA	Solid	6010C	686817
480-213509-10	SB-12 0.5-2	Total/NA	Solid	6010C	686817
480-213509-11	SB-13 0.5-2	Total/NA	Solid	6010C	686817
480-213509-12	SB-17 0.5-4	Total/NA	Solid	6010C	686817

General Chemistry

Analysis Batch: 686835

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
480-213509-1	SB-1 0.5-1.5	Total/NA	Solid	Moisture	
480-213509-2	SB-2 0.5-1.5	Total/NA	Solid	Moisture	
480-213509-3	SB-3 0-4	Total/NA	Solid	Moisture	
480-213509-4	SB-5 12-14	Total/NA	Solid	Moisture	

General Chemistry (Continued)

Analysis Batch: 686835 (Continued)

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
480-213509-5	SB-6 0.5-1.5	Total/NA	Solid	Moisture	
480-213509-6	SB-7 11-12	Total/NA	Solid	Moisture	
480-213509-7	SB-8 0.5-1.5	Total/NA	Solid	Moisture	
480-213509-8	SB-9 0.5-1.5	Total/NA	Solid	Moisture	
480-213509-9	SB-10 0.5-2	Total/NA	Solid	Moisture	
480-213509-10	SB-12 0.5-2	Total/NA	Solid	Moisture	
480-213509-11	SB-13 0.5-2	Total/NA	Solid	Moisture	
480-213509-12	SB-17 0.5-4	Total/NA	Solid	Moisture	

Lab Chronicle

Client: Roux Environmental Engineering and Geology DPC
Job ID: 480-213509-1
Project/Site: 1430-1440 & 1458 Main St.

Client Sample ID: SB-1 0.5-1.5
Lab Sample ID: 480-213509-1
Date Collected: 10/06/23 12:57
Matrix: Solid
Date Received: 10/09/23 14:00

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dilution Factor	Batch Number	Analyst	Lab	Prepared or Analyzed
Total/NA	Analysis	Moisture		1	686835	JMM	EET BUF	10/10/23 16:00

Client Sample ID: SB-1 0.5-1.5
Lab Sample ID: 480-213509-1
Date Collected: 10/06/23 12:57
Matrix: Solid
Date Received: 10/09/23 14:00
Percent Solids: 84.8

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dilution Factor	Batch Number	Analyst	Lab	Prepared or Analyzed
Total/NA	Prep	3550C			687022	SJM	EET BUF	10/11/23 15:53
Total/NA	Analysis	8270D		5	687072	RJS	EET BUF	10/12/23 20:53
Total/NA	Prep	3050B			686817	MP	EET BUF	10/10/23 15:18
Total/NA	Analysis	6010C		1	687267	LMH	EET BUF	10/12/23 19:22
Total/NA	Prep	7471B			686897	NVK	EET BUF	10/11/23 10:21
Total/NA	Analysis	7471B		1	686996	NVK	EET BUF	10/11/23 13:20

Client Sample ID: SB-2 0.5-1.5
Lab Sample ID: 480-213509-2
Date Collected: 10/06/23 13:05
Matrix: Solid
Date Received: 10/09/23 14:00

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dilution Factor	Batch Number	Analyst	Lab	Prepared or Analyzed
Total/NA	Analysis	Moisture		1	686835	JMM	EET BUF	10/10/23 16:00

Client Sample ID: SB-2 0.5-1.5
Lab Sample ID: 480-213509-2
Date Collected: 10/06/23 13:05
Matrix: Solid
Date Received: 10/09/23 14:00
Percent Solids: 81.8

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dilution Factor	Batch Number	Analyst	Lab	Prepared or Analyzed
Total/NA	Prep	3550C			687022	SJM	EET BUF	10/11/23 15:53
Total/NA	Analysis	8270D		10	687072	RJS	EET BUF	10/12/23 21:18
Total/NA	Prep	3550C	DL		687022	SJM	EET BUF	10/11/23 15:53
Total/NA	Analysis	8270D	DL	50	687609	JMM	EET BUF	10/16/23 15:03
Total/NA	Prep	3050B			686817	MP	EET BUF	10/10/23 15:18
Total/NA	Analysis	6010C		1	687267	LMH	EET BUF	10/12/23 19:35
Total/NA	Prep	7471B			686897	NVK	EET BUF	10/11/23 10:21
Total/NA	Analysis	7471B		1	686996	NVK	EET BUF	10/11/23 13:21

Client Sample ID: SB-3 0-4
Lab Sample ID: 480-213509-3
Date Collected: 10/06/23 13:20
Matrix: Solid
Date Received: 10/09/23 14:00

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dilution Factor	Batch Number	Analyst	Lab	Prepared or Analyzed
Total/NA	Analysis	Moisture		1	686835	JMM	EET BUF	10/10/23 16:00

Lab Chronicle

Client: Roux Environmental Engineering and Geology DPC
Job ID: 480-213509-1
Project/Site: 1430-1440 & 1458 Main St.

Client Sample ID: SB-3 0-4
Lab Sample ID: 480-213509-3
Date Collected: 10/06/23 13:20
Matrix: Solid
Date Received: 10/09/23 14:00
Percent Solids: 83.5

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dilution Factor	Batch Number	Analyst	Lab	Prepared or Analyzed
Total/NA	Prep	3550C			687022	SJM	EET BUF	10/11/23 15:53
Total/NA	Analysis	8270D		10	687072	RJS	EET BUF	10/12/23 21:42
Total/NA	Prep	3050B			686817	MP	EET BUF	10/10/23 15:18
Total/NA	Analysis	6010C		1	687267	LMH	EET BUF	10/12/23 19:39
Total/NA	Prep	7471B			686897	NVK	EET BUF	10/11/23 10:21
Total/NA	Analysis	7471B		50	686996	NVK	EET BUF	10/11/23 14:02

Client Sample ID: SB-5 12-14
Lab Sample ID: 480-213509-4
Date Collected: 10/06/23 13:50
Matrix: Solid
Date Received: 10/09/23 14:00

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dilution Factor	Batch Number	Analyst	Lab	Prepared or Analyzed
Total/NA	Analysis	Moisture		1	686835	JMM	EET BUF	10/10/23 16:00

Client Sample ID: SB-5 12-14
Lab Sample ID: 480-213509-4
Date Collected: 10/06/23 13:50
Matrix: Solid
Date Received: 10/09/23 14:00
Percent Solids: 82.6

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dilution Factor	Batch Number	Analyst	Lab	Prepared or Analyzed
Total/NA	Prep	5035A_L			687304	LCH	EET BUF	10/13/23 10:32
Total/NA	Analysis	8260C		1	687480	LCH	EET BUF	10/15/23 13:51

Client Sample ID: SB-6 0.5-1.5
Lab Sample ID: 480-213509-5
Date Collected: 10/06/23 14:20
Matrix: Solid
Date Received: 10/09/23 14:00

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dilution Factor	Batch Number	Analyst	Lab	Prepared or Analyzed
Total/NA	Analysis	Moisture		1	686835	JMM	EET BUF	10/10/23 16:00

Client Sample ID: SB-6 0.5-1.5
Lab Sample ID: 480-213509-5
Date Collected: 10/06/23 14:20
Matrix: Solid
Date Received: 10/09/23 14:00
Percent Solids: 87.0

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dilution Factor	Batch Number	Analyst	Lab	Prepared or Analyzed
Total/NA	Prep	3550C			687022	SJM	EET BUF	10/11/23 15:53
Total/NA	Analysis	8270D		5	687072	RJS	EET BUF	10/12/23 22:07
Total/NA	Prep	3050B			686817	MP	EET BUF	10/10/23 15:18
Total/NA	Analysis	6010C		1	687267	LMH	EET BUF	10/12/23 19:42
Total/NA	Prep	7471B			686897	NVK	EET BUF	10/11/23 10:21
Total/NA	Analysis	7471B		1	686996	NVK	EET BUF	10/11/23 13:28

Lab Chronicle

Client: Roux Environmental Engineering and Geology DPC
Job ID: 480-213509-1
Project/Site: 1430-1440 & 1458 Main St.

Client Sample ID: SB-7 11-12
Lab Sample ID: 480-213509-6
Date Collected: 10/06/23 14:40
Matrix: Solid
Date Received: 10/09/23 14:00

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dilution Factor	Batch Number	Analyst	Lab	Prepared or Analyzed
Total/NA	Analysis	Moisture		1	686835	JMM	EET BUF	10/10/23 16:00

Client Sample ID: SB-7 11-12
Lab Sample ID: 480-213509-6
Date Collected: 10/06/23 14:40
Matrix: Solid
Date Received: 10/09/23 14:00
Percent Solids: 84.7

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dilution Factor	Batch Number	Analyst	Lab	Prepared or Analyzed
Total/NA	Prep	5035A_L			687304	LCH	EET BUF	10/13/23 10:32
Total/NA	Analysis	8260C		1	687480	LCH	EET BUF	10/15/23 14:15

Client Sample ID: SB-8 0.5-1.5
Lab Sample ID: 480-213509-7
Date Collected: 10/06/23 15:00
Matrix: Solid
Date Received: 10/09/23 14:00

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dilution Factor	Batch Number	Analyst	Lab	Prepared or Analyzed
Total/NA	Analysis	Moisture		1	686835	JMM	EET BUF	10/10/23 16:00

Client Sample ID: SB-8 0.5-1.5
Lab Sample ID: 480-213509-7
Date Collected: 10/06/23 15:00
Matrix: Solid
Date Received: 10/09/23 14:00
Percent Solids: 83.3

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dilution Factor	Batch Number	Analyst	Lab	Prepared or Analyzed
Total/NA	Prep	3550C			687022	SJM	EET BUF	10/11/23 15:53
Total/NA	Analysis	8270D		10	687072	RJS	EET BUF	10/12/23 22:32
Total/NA	Prep	3050B			686817	MP	EET BUF	10/10/23 15:18
Total/NA	Analysis	6010C		1	687267	LMH	EET BUF	10/12/23 20:00
Total/NA	Prep	7471B			686972	NVK	EET BUF	10/11/23 13:33
Total/NA	Analysis	7471B		1	687047	NVK	EET BUF	10/11/23 17:51

Client Sample ID: SB-9 0.5-1.5
Lab Sample ID: 480-213509-8
Date Collected: 10/06/23 15:30
Matrix: Solid
Date Received: 10/09/23 14:00

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dilution Factor	Batch Number	Analyst	Lab	Prepared or Analyzed
Total/NA	Analysis	Moisture		1	686835	JMM	EET BUF	10/10/23 16:00

Client Sample ID: SB-9 0.5-1.5
Lab Sample ID: 480-213509-8
Date Collected: 10/06/23 15:30
Matrix: Solid
Date Received: 10/09/23 14:00
Percent Solids: 89.2

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dilution Factor	Batch Number	Analyst	Lab	Prepared or Analyzed
Total/NA	Prep	3550C			687022	SJM	EET BUF	10/11/23 15:53
Total/NA	Analysis	8270D		10	687072	RJS	EET BUF	10/12/23 22:57
Total/NA	Prep	3050B			686817	MP	EET BUF	10/10/23 15:18
Total/NA	Analysis	6010C		1	687267	LMH	EET BUF	10/12/23 20:04

Lab Chronicle

Client: Roux Environmental Engineering and Geology DPC
Job ID: 480-213509-1
Project/Site: 1430-1440 & 1458 Main St.

Client Sample ID: SB-9 0.5-1.5
Lab Sample ID: 480-213509-8
Date Collected: 10/06/23 15:30
Matrix: Solid
Date Received: 10/09/23 14:00
Percent Solids: 89.2

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dilution Factor	Batch Number	Analyst	Lab	Prepared or Analyzed
Total/NA	Prep	7471B			686901	NVK	EET BUF	10/11/23 10:21
Total/NA	Analysis	7471B		1	686996	NVK	EET BUF	10/11/23 13:42

Client Sample ID: SB-10 0.5-2
Lab Sample ID: 480-213509-9
Date Collected: 10/06/23 15:50
Matrix: Solid
Date Received: 10/09/23 14:00

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dilution Factor	Batch Number	Analyst	Lab	Prepared or Analyzed
Total/NA	Analysis	Moisture		1	686835	JMM	EET BUF	10/10/23 16:00

Client Sample ID: SB-10 0.5-2
Lab Sample ID: 480-213509-9
Date Collected: 10/06/23 15:50
Matrix: Solid
Date Received: 10/09/23 14:00
Percent Solids: 85.3

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dilution Factor	Batch Number	Analyst	Lab	Prepared or Analyzed
Total/NA	Prep	3550C			687022	SJM	EET BUF	10/11/23 15:53
Total/NA	Analysis	8270D		10	687072	RJS	EET BUF	10/12/23 23:22
Total/NA	Prep	3050B			686817	MP	EET BUF	10/10/23 15:18
Total/NA	Analysis	6010C		1	687267	LMH	EET BUF	10/12/23 20:17
Total/NA	Prep	3050B			686817	MP	EET BUF	10/10/23 15:18
Total/NA	Analysis	6010C		1	687466	LMH	EET BUF	10/13/23 17:28
Total/NA	Prep	7471B			686901	NVK	EET BUF	10/11/23 10:21
Total/NA	Analysis	7471B		1	686996	NVK	EET BUF	10/11/23 13:43

Client Sample ID: SB-12 0.5-2
Lab Sample ID: 480-213509-10
Date Collected: 10/06/23 16:10
Matrix: Solid
Date Received: 10/09/23 14:00

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dilution Factor	Batch Number	Analyst	Lab	Prepared or Analyzed
Total/NA	Analysis	Moisture		1	686835	JMM	EET BUF	10/10/23 16:00

Client Sample ID: SB-12 0.5-2
Lab Sample ID: 480-213509-10
Date Collected: 10/06/23 16:10
Matrix: Solid
Date Received: 10/09/23 14:00
Percent Solids: 88.6

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dilution Factor	Batch Number	Analyst	Lab	Prepared or Analyzed
Total/NA	Prep	3550C			687022	SJM	EET BUF	10/11/23 15:53
Total/NA	Analysis	8270D		10	687072	RJS	EET BUF	10/12/23 23:47
Total/NA	Prep	3050B			686817	MP	EET BUF	10/10/23 15:18
Total/NA	Analysis	6010C		1	687267	LMH	EET BUF	10/12/23 20:21
Total/NA	Prep	3050B			686817	MP	EET BUF	10/10/23 15:18
Total/NA	Analysis	6010C		1	687466	LMH	EET BUF	10/13/23 17:32
Total/NA	Prep	7471B			686901	NVK	EET BUF	10/11/23 10:21
Total/NA	Analysis	7471B		1	686996	NVK	EET BUF	10/11/23 13:44

Lab Chronicle

Client: Roux Environmental Engineering and Geology DPC
Job ID: 480-213509-1
Project/Site: 1430-1440 & 1458 Main St.

Client Sample ID: SB-13 0.5-2
Lab Sample ID: 480-213509-11
Date Collected: 10/06/23 16:30
Matrix: Solid
Date Received: 10/09/23 14:00

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dilution Factor	Batch Number	Analyst	Lab	Prepared or Analyzed
Total/NA	Analysis	Moisture		1	686835	JMM	EET BUF	10/10/23 16:00

Client Sample ID: SB-13 0.5-2
Lab Sample ID: 480-213509-11
Date Collected: 10/06/23 16:30
Matrix: Solid
Date Received: 10/09/23 14:00
Percent Solids: 84.4

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dilution Factor	Batch Number	Analyst	Lab	Prepared or Analyzed
Total/NA	Prep	3550C			687022	SJM	EET BUF	10/11/23 15:53
Total/NA	Analysis	8270D		10	687072	RJS	EET BUF	10/13/23 00:12
Total/NA	Prep	3050B			686817	MP	EET BUF	10/10/23 15:18
Total/NA	Analysis	6010C		1	687267	LMH	EET BUF	10/12/23 20:24
Total/NA	Prep	3050B			686817	MP	EET BUF	10/10/23 15:18
Total/NA	Analysis	6010C		1	687466	LMH	EET BUF	10/13/23 17:36
Total/NA	Prep	7471B			686901	NVK	EET BUF	10/11/23 10:21
Total/NA	Analysis	7471B		1	686996	NVK	EET BUF	10/11/23 13:46

Client Sample ID: SB-17 0.5-4
Lab Sample ID: 480-213509-12
Date Collected: 10/06/23 17:20
Matrix: Solid
Date Received: 10/09/23 14:00

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dilution Factor	Batch Number	Analyst	Lab	Prepared or Analyzed
Total/NA	Analysis	Moisture		1	686835	JMM	EET BUF	10/10/23 16:02

Client Sample ID: SB-17 0.5-4
Lab Sample ID: 480-213509-12
Date Collected: 10/06/23 17:20
Matrix: Solid
Date Received: 10/09/23 14:00
Percent Solids: 85.4

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dilution Factor	Batch Number	Analyst	Lab	Prepared or Analyzed
Total/NA	Prep	3550C			687022	SJM	EET BUF	10/11/23 15:53
Total/NA	Analysis	8270D		10	687072	RJS	EET BUF	10/13/23 00:38
Total/NA	Prep	3050B			686817	MP	EET BUF	10/10/23 15:18
Total/NA	Analysis	6010C		1	687267	LMH	EET BUF	10/12/23 20:28
Total/NA	Prep	3050B			686817	MP	EET BUF	10/10/23 15:18
Total/NA	Analysis	6010C		1	687466	LMH	EET BUF	10/13/23 17:40
Total/NA	Prep	7471B			686901	NVK	EET BUF	10/11/23 10:21
Total/NA	Analysis	7471B		1	686996	NVK	EET BUF	10/11/23 13:47

Laboratory References:
EET BUF = Eurofins Buffalo, 10 Hazelwood Drive, Amherst, NY 14228-2298, TEL (716)691-2600

Accreditation/Certification Summary

Client: Roux Environmental Engineering and Geology DPC
Job ID: 480-213509-1
Project/Site: 1430-1440 & 1458 Main St.

Laboratory: Eurofins Buffalo

Unless otherwise noted, all analytes for this laboratory were covered under each accreditation/certification below.

Authority	Program	Identification Number	Expiration Date
New York	NELAP	10026	03-31-24

The following analytes are included in this report, but the laboratory is not certified by the governing authority. This list may include analytes for which the agency does not offer certification.

Analysis Method	Prep Method	Matrix	Analyte
Moisture		Solid	Percent Moisture
Moisture		Solid	Percent Solids

Method Summary

Client: Roux Environmental Engineering and Geology DPC
Job ID: 480-213509-1
Project/Site: 1430-1440 & 1458 Main St.

Method	Method Description	Protocol	Laboratory
8260C	Volatile Organic Compounds by GC/MS	SW846	EET BUF
8270D	Semivolatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)	SW846	EET BUF
6010C	Metals (ICP)	SW846	EET BUF
7471B	Mercury (CVAA)	SW846	EET BUF
Moisture	Percent Moisture	EPA	EET BUF
3050B	Preparation, Metals	SW846	EET BUF
3550C	Ultrasonic Extraction	SW846	EET BUF
5035A_L	Closed System Purge and Trap	SW846	EET BUF
7471B	Preparation, Mercury	SW846	EET BUF

Protocol References:

EPA = US Environmental Protection Agency

SW846 = "Test Methods For Evaluating Solid Waste, Physical/Chemical Methods", Third Edition, November 1986 And Its Updates.

Laboratory References:

EET BUF = Eurofins Buffalo, 10 Hazelwood Drive, Amherst, NY 14228-2298, TEL (716)691-2600

Sample Summary

Client: Roux Environmental Engineering and Geology DPC
Job ID: 480-213509-1
Project/Site: 1430-1440 & 1458 Main St.

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Matrix	Collected	Received
480-213509-1	SB-1 0.5-1.5	Solid	10/06/23 12:57	10/09/23 14:00
480-213509-2	SB-2 0.5-1.5	Solid	10/06/23 13:05	10/09/23 14:00
480-213509-3	SB-3 0-4	Solid	10/06/23 13:20	10/09/23 14:00
480-213509-4	SB-5 12-14	Solid	10/06/23 13:50	10/09/23 14:00
480-213509-5	SB-6 0.5-1.5	Solid	10/06/23 14:20	10/09/23 14:00
480-213509-6	SB-7 11-12	Solid	10/06/23 14:40	10/09/23 14:00
480-213509-7	SB-8 0.5-1.5	Solid	10/06/23 15:00	10/09/23 14:00
480-213509-8	SB-9 0.5-1.5	Solid	10/06/23 15:30	10/09/23 14:00
480-213509-9	SB-10 0.5-2	Solid	10/06/23 15:50	10/09/23 14:00
480-213509-10	SB-12 0.5-2	Solid	10/06/23 16:10	10/09/23 14:00
480-213509-11	SB-13 0.5-2	Solid	10/06/23 16:30	10/09/23 14:00
480-213509-12	SB-17 0.5-4	Solid	10/06/23 17:20	10/09/23 14:00

Chain of Custody Record

eurofins | Environment Testing America

Regulatory Program: ☐ DW ☐ NPDES ☐ RCRA ☐ Other:

Eurofins Environment Testing Ameri

Client Contact	Project Manager: Bryan Mayback	Site Contact:	Date:	COC No:
Roux Inc.	Email: bmayback@rouxinc.com	Lab Contact:	Carrier:	_____ of _____ COCs
2558 Hamburg Turnpike	Tel/Fax: 716-856-0599			TALS Project #:
Buffalo/NY/14218	**Analysis Turnaround Time**			Sampler:
(716) 856-0599	☐ CALENDAR DAYS ☐ WORKING DAYS			**For Lab Use Only:**
	TAT if different from Below Standard			Walk-in Client:
Project Name: 1430-1440 + 1458 Main Street	☐ 2 weeks			Lab Sampling:
Site:	☐ 1 week			
P O # 0717-023-001	☐ 2 days			Job / SDG No.:
	☐ 1 day			

Sample Identification	Sample Date	Sample Time	Sample Type (C=Comp, G=Grab)	Matrix	# of Cont.	Filtered Sample (Y/N)*	Perform MS / MSD (Y/N)	PAHs	RCRA 8 Metals	TCL + CP-Si VOCs	Sample Specific Notes:
SB-1 0.5-1.5	10-6-23	1257	G	Soil	1			X	X		
SB-2 0.5-1.5		1305						X	X		
SB-3 0-4		1320						X	X		
SB-5 12-14		1350								X	
SB-6 0.5-1.5		1420						X	X		
SB-7 11-12		1440								X	
SB-8 0.5-1.5		1500						X	X		
SB-9 0.5-1.5		1530						X	X		
SB-10 0.5-2		1550						X	X		
SB-12 0.5-2		1610						X	X		
SB-13 0.5-2		1630						X	X		
SB-17 0.5-4		1720						X	X		

480-213509 Chain of Custody

Preservation Used: 1= Ice, 2= HCl; 3= H2SO4; 4=HNO3; 5=NaOH; 6= Other _____

Possible Hazard Identification:
Are any samples from a listed EPA Hazardous Waste? Please List any EPA Waste Codes for the sample in the Comments Section if the lab is to dispose of the sample.

☐ Non-Hazard ☐ Flammable ☐ Skin Irritant ☐ Poison B ☐ Unknown

Sample Disposal (A fee may be assessed if samples are retained longer than 1 month)

☐ Return to Client ☐ Disposal by Lab ☐ Archive for _____ Months

Special Instructions/QC Requirements & Comments:

8, 9 # ICE

Custody Seals Intact: ☐ Yes ☐ No	Custody Seal No.:		Cooler Temp. (°C): Obs'd: _____ Corr'd: _____		Therm ID No.: _____
Relinquished by: Charles Hochwarter	Company: Roux	Date/Time: 10-9-23 1200	Received by:	Company:	Date/Time:
Relinquished by:	Company:	Date/Time:	Received by:	Company:	Date/Time:
Relinquished by:	Company:	Date/Time:	Received in Laboratory by:	Company: TA	Date/Time: 10/9/23 1400

Login Sample Receipt Checklist

Client: Roux Environmental Engineering and Geology DPC

Job Number: 480-213509-1

Login Number: 213509
List Number: 1
Creator: Yeager, Brian A

List Source: Eurofins Buffalo

Question	Answer	Comment
Radioactivity either was not measured or, if measured, is at or below background	True	
The cooler's custody seal, if present, is intact.	True	
The cooler or samples do not appear to have been compromised or tampered with.	True	
Samples were received on ice.	True	
Cooler Temperature is acceptable.	True	
Cooler Temperature is recorded.	True	
COC is present.	True	
COC is filled out in ink and legible.	True	
COC is filled out with all pertinent information.	True	
Is the Field Sampler's name present on COC?	True	
There are no discrepancies between the sample IDs on the containers and the COC.	True	
Samples are received within Holding Time (Excluding tests with immediate HTs)..	True	
Sample containers have legible labels.	True	
Containers are not broken or leaking.	True	
Sample collection date/times are provided.	True	
Appropriate sample containers are used.	True	
Sample bottles are completely filled.	True	
Sample Preservation Verified	True	
There is sufficient vol. for all requested analyses, incl. any requested MS/MSDs	True	
VOA sample vials do not have headspace or bubble is <6mm (1/4") in diameter.	N/A	
If necessary, staff have been informed of any short hold time or quick TAT needs	True	
Multiphasic samples are not present.	True	
Samples do not require splitting or compositing.	True	
Sampling Company provided.	True	ROUX
Samples received within 48 hours of sampling.	True	
Samples requiring field filtration have been filtered in the field.	True	
Chlorine Residual checked.	N/A	

July 29, 2024

Mr. Patrick McNamara
R.E. McNamara
8615 Roll Road
Clarence Center, New York 14032-9139

Re: Supplemental Phase II Environmental Investigation
1458 Main Street, Buffalo, NY

Dear Mr. McNamara,

Roux Environmental Engineering and Geology, D.P.C. ("Roux") is pleased to submit this Supplemental Phase II Environmental Investigation report regarding additional soil/fill sampling completed at the 1458 Main Street parcel located in the City of Buffalo, New York.

This work was completed to supplement additional soil/fill sampling completed at the Site by Roux, across a greater property of which 1458 Main Street is a part, as documented in a report dated November 2023. Specifically, during this current assessment, using hand tools, Roux collected three additional soil/fill samples (HA-1 through HA-3) from the northeastern portion of the 1458 Main Street parcel. Each investigation location was completed to a depth of three feet below ground surface (fbgs). Beneath the surface consisting of asphalt and stone subbase, each investigation location consisted of black fill material with sandy fines, ash, cinders, and brick fragments.

Three soil/fill samples, one from each investigation location, were submitted to the laboratory for analysis of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) and Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) metals. Laboratory analytical results revealed the presence of additional soil/fill impacted by PAHs and/or metals with concentrations exceeding Restricted Residential Soil Cleanup Objectives (RRSCOs, the most applicable SCO based on the future intended use of the Site) at HA-1 (PAH exceedances) and HA-2 (PAH and metal/mercury exceedances).

In conclusion, based on the additional sampling and previous sampling results, the Site is a potential candidate for the New York Brownfield Cleanup Program (NY BCP). Regardless of whether the BCP is pursued, impacted fill present on-Site will require exposure control, remediation and/or proper management either prior to or during redevelopment.

Results of this supplemental sampling have been incorporated into appendix documentation from Roux's November 2023 report. The following documents are attached to this report:

- Figure 1 – Investigation Locations and Areas of Concern
- Table 1 – Summary of Soil Borings and Sample Locations
- Table 2 – Summary of Subsurface Soil/Fill Sample Analytical Results
- Laboratory Analytical Report

Please contact Mike Lesakowski by telephone at 716-856-0599, or by email at mlesakowski@rouxinc.com if you have any questions or require additional information.


Sincerely,

ROUX ENVIRONMENTAL ENGINEERING AND GEOLOGY, D.P.C.

Bryan W. Mayback
Technical Director

Michael A. Lesakowski
Vice President, Principal Scientist, Co-Operations Manager

Enclosures



SB-1 (0.5-1.5')	
DATE OF SAMPLING EVENT - 10/13/2023	
PARAMETER:	VALUE (MG/KG)
BENZO(A)ANTHRACENE	3.8
BENZO(A)PYRENE	6.4
BENZO(B)FLUORANTHENE	5.7
BENZO(K)FLUORANTHENE	2.8
CHRYSENE	3.6
DIBENZO(A,H)ANTHRACENE	1.5
INDENO(1,2,3-CD)PYRENE	4.7
BARIUM	367
LEAD	111

SB-2 (0.5-1.5')	
DATE OF SAMPLING EVENT - 10/13/2023	
PARAMETER:	VALUE (MG/KG)
BENZO(A)ANTHRACENE	49
BENZO(A)PYRENE	49
BENZO(B)FLUORANTHENE	48
BENZO(K)FLUORANTHENE	24
CHRYSENE	48
FLUORANTHENE	120
INDENO(1,2,3-CD)PYRENE	19
PYRENE	110
LEAD	87.6

HA-1 (1-3')	
DATE OF SAMPLING EVENT - 5/17/24	
PARAMETER:	VALUE (MG/KG)
BENZO(A)ANTHRACENE	3.2
BENZO(A)PYRENE	3.3
BENZO(B)FLUORANTHENE	3.8
DIBENZ(A,H)ANTHRACENE	0.58
INDENO(1,2,3-CD)PYRENE	1.7

HA-2 (1-3')	
DATE OF SAMPLING EVENT - 5/17/24	
PARAMETER:	VALUE (MG/KG)
BENZO(A)ANTHRACENE	5.5
BENZO(A)PYRENE	5.2
BENZO(B)FLUORANTHENE	6.9
CHRYSENE	5.7
DIBENZ(A,H)ANTHRACENE	0.91
INDENO(1,2,3-CD)PYRENE	2.7
MERCURY	2.5

SB-9 (0.5-1.5')	
DATE OF SAMPLING EVENT - 10/13/2023	
PARAMETER:	VALUE (MG/KG)
BENZO(A)ANTHRACENE	5.7
BENZO(A)PYRENE	6
BENZO(B)FLUORANTHENE	6.6
BENZO(K)FLUORANTHENE	2.5
CHRYSENE	5.5
INDENO(1,2,3-CD)PYRENE	2.9
LEAD	64.7
MERCURY	0.84

SB-3 (0-4.0')	
DATE OF SAMPLING EVENT - 10/13/2023	
PARAMETER:	VALUE (MG/KG)
BENZO(A)ANTHRACENE	2.8
BENZO(A)PYRENE	3.5
BENZO(B)FLUORANTHENE	4
ARSENIC	22.1
CADMIUM	3.9
LEAD	4370
MERCURY	64.9

SB-10 (0.5-2.0')	
DATE OF SAMPLING EVENT - 10/13/2023	
PARAMETER:	VALUE (MG/KG)
BENZO(A)ANTHRACENE	16
BENZO(A)PYRENE	15
BENZO(B)FLUORANTHENE	20
BENZO(K)FLUORANTHENE	7.2
CHRYSENE	17
DIBENZO(A,H)ANTHRACENE	2
INDENO(1,2,3-CD)PYRENE	6.1
MERCURY	0.34

SB-8 (0.5-1.5')	
DATE OF SAMPLING EVENT - 10/13/2023	
PARAMETER:	VALUE (MG/KG)
ARSENIC	16.2
BARIUM	311
CADMIUM	7.7
LEAD	1610
MERCURY	0.5

SB-17 (0.5-4.0')	
DATE OF SAMPLING EVENT - 10/13/2023	
PARAMETER:	VALUE (MG/KG)
LEAD	110
MERCURY	0.33

SB-13 (0.5-2.0')	
DATE OF SAMPLING EVENT - 10/13/2023	
PARAMETER:	VALUE (MG/KG)
ARSENIC	31.9

SB-12 (0.5-2')	
DATE OF SAMPLING EVENT - 10/13/2023	
PARAMETER:	VALUE (MG/KG)
BENZO(A)ANTHRACENE	2.8
BENZO(A)PYRENE	3.2
BENZO(B)FLUORANTHENE	3.5
BENZO(K)FLUORANTHENE	1.5
CHRYSENE	2.7
DIBENZO(A,H)ANTHRACENE	0.52
INDENO(1,2,3-CD)PYRENE	1.4
LEAD	99.1
MERCURY	0.33

LEGEND:

- PROPERTY BOUNDARY
- PARCEL BOUNDARY
- SB-1 SOIL BORING LOCATION
- HA-1 HAND AUGER LOCATION

VALUES PER 6 NYCRR PART 375 SOIL CLEANUP OBJECTIVES (SCOs):

- EXCEEDS USCOs
- EXCEEDS RRSCOs
- EXCEEDS CSCOs
- EXCEEDS ISCOs

N

125’ 0 125’

Title:

INVESTIGATION LOCATIONS AND AREAS OF CONCERN

LIMITED PHASE II ENVIRONMENTAL INVESTIGATION
1430-1440 & 1458 MAIN STREET
BUFFALO, NEW YORK

Prepared for:

R.E. MCNAMARA

ROUX

Compiled by: CEH	Date: OCTOBER 2023	FIGURE
Prepared by: CEH	Scale: AS SHOWN	1
Project Mgr: BWM	Project: 0717-023-001	
File: FIGURE 3 - INVESTIGATION LOCATIONS AND AREAS OF CONCERNREV.DWG		

TABLE 1

SUMMARY OF SOIL BORINGS AND SAMPLE LOCATIONS

1430-1440 & 1458 MAIN STREET
BUFFALO, NEW YORK

Location	Boring Depth (fbgs)	Visually Impacted?	Olfactory Odor	Peak PID Scan (ppm)		Approximate DTW (fbgs)	Depth (fbgs) and Soil Description (ASTM D2488: Visual-Manual Procedure)
				Scan	HSD		
SB-1	8.0	no	none	0.0	0.0	none	*0.0 - 0.5 Concrete slab with stone subbase* *0.5 - 1.5 Non-native fill material black, with black sandy fines, ash, cinders, and stone* *1.5 - 8.0 Sandy lean clay (CL) brown, medium plasticity*
SB-2	12.0	no	none	0.0	0.0	7.0 (perched)	*0.0 - 0.5 Concrete slab with stone subbase* *0.5 - 1.5 Non-native fill material white, with ash and cinders* *1.5 - 6.0 Sandy lean clay (CL) brown, medium plasticity* *6.0 - 7.0 Unknown hard layer. Potential concete slab or stone* *7.0 - 12.0 Sandy lean clay (CL) brown, medium plasticity*
SB-3	16.0	no	none	0.0	0.0	14.0 (perched)	*0.0 - 4.0 Non-native fill material black, with black sandy fines, ash, cinders, brick pieces, and stone* *4.0 - 14.0 Poorly graded sand (SP) brown* *14.0 - 16.0 Sandy lean clay (CL) brown, medium plasticity*
SB-4	8.0	no	none	0.0	0.0	none	*0.0 - 0.5 Concrete slab with stone subbase* *0.5 - 1.5 Non-native fill material black, with black sandy fines, ash, cinders, and brick pieces* *1.5 - 8.0 Sandy lean clay (CL) brown, medium plasticity*
SB-5	16.0	no	none	0.0	0.0	14.0 (perched)	*0.0 - 4.0 Sandy lean clay (CL), brown, medium plasticity, with non-native intermingled fill material including black sandy fines, ash, cinders, brick pieces, and stone* *4.0 - 6.0 Sandy lean clay (CL) brown, medium plasticity* *6.0 - 14.0 Poorly graded sand (SP) brown* *14.0 - 16.0 Sandy lean clay (CL) brown, medium plasticity with trace amounts of stone*
SB-6	16.0	no	none	0.0	0.0	15.0 (perched)	*0.0 - 0.5 Asphalt with stone subbase* *0.5 - 1.5 Non-native fill material white, with ash and cinders* *1.5 - 10.0 Sandy lean clay (CL) brown, medium plasticity* *10.0 - 15.0 Poorly graded sand (SP) brown* *15.0 - 16.0 Sandy lean clay (CL) brown, medium plasticity*
SB-7	12.0	no	none	0.0	0.0	11.5 (perched)	*0.0 - 0.5 Asphalt with stone subbase* *0.5 - 1.5 Non-native fill material black, with black sandy fines, with some ash and cinders* *1.5 - 6.0 Sandy lean clay (CL) brown, medium plasticity* *6.0 - 11.5 Poorly graded sand (SP) brown* *11.5 - 12.0 Sandy lean clay (CL) brown, medium plasticity*
SB-8	16.0	no	none	0.0	0.0	11.0 (perched)	*0.0 - 0.5 Asphalt with stone subbase* *0.5 - 1.5 Sandy lean clay (CL), brown, medium plasticity, with non-native intermingled fill material including black sandy fines, ash, cinders* *1.5 - 5.0 Sandy lean clay (CL) brown, medium plasticity* *5.0 - 11.0 Poorly graded sand (SP) brown* *14.0 - 16.0 Sandy lean clay (CL) brown, medium plasticity with trace amounts of stone*
SB-9	8.0	no	none	0.0	0.0	none	*0.0 - 0.5 Asphalt with stone subbase* *0.5 - 1.5 Non-native fill material black, with black sandy fines, ash, cinders, and brick pieces* *1.5 - 7.0 Sandy lean clay (CL) brown, medium plasticity* *7.0 - 8.0 Poorly graded sand (SP) brown*
SB-10	2.0	no	none	0.0	0.0	none	*0.0 - 0.5 Asphalt with stone subbase* *0.5 - 2.0 Non-native fill material black, with black sandy fines, ash, cinders, brick pieces, and stone* *2.0 Hit refusal at 2.0 fbgs*
SB-11	12.0	no	none	0.0	0.0	none	*0.0 - 0.5 Asphalt with stone subbase* *0.5 - 8.0 Non-native fill material, brick* *8.0 - 9.0 Non-native fill material, brick with some intermingled black sandy fines, ash, cinders, and stone* *9.0 - 12.0 Sandy lean clay (CL) brown, medium plasticity*
SB-12	8.0	no	none	0.0	0.0	none	*0.0 - 0.5 Asphalt with stone subbase* *0.5 - 2.0 Non-native fill material black, with black sandy fines, ash, cinders, and brick pieces* *2.0 - 5.0 Sandy lean clay (CL) brown, medium plasticity* *5.0 - 8.0 Poorly graded sand (SP) brown*
SB-13	8.0	no	none	0.0	0.0	none	*0.0 - 0.5 Asphalt with stone subbase* *0.5 - 2.0 Non-native fill material black, with black sandy fines, ash, cinders, and brick pieces* *2.0 - 8.0 Poorly graded sand (SP) brown*
SB-14	8.0	no	none	0.0	0.0	none	*0.0 - 0.5 Asphalt with stone subbase* *0.5 - 5.0 Sandy lean clay (CL) brown, medium plasticity* *5.0 - 8.0 Poorly graded sand (SP) brown*
SB-15	4.0	no	none	0.0	0.0	none	*0.0 - 0.5 Asphalt with stone subbase* *0.5 - 4.0 Sandy lean clay (CL) brown, medium plasticity*
SB-16	4.0	no	none	0.0	0.0	none	*0.0 - 0.5 Asphalt with stone subbase* *0.5 - 4.0 Sandy lean clay (CL) brown, medium plasticity*
SB-17	8.0	no	none	0.0	0.0	none	*0.0 - 0.5 Asphalt with stone subbase* *0.5 - 4.0 Non-native fill material black, with black sandy fines, ash, cinders, and brick pieces* *4.0 - 8.0 Sandy lean clay (CL) brown, medium plasticity*
HA-1	3.0	no	none	0.0	0.0	none	*0.0 - 0.5 Asphalt with stone subbase* *0.5 - 3.0 Non-native fill material black, with black sandy fines, ash, cinders, and brick pieces*
HA-2	3.0	no	none	0.0	0.0	none	*0.0 - 0.5 Asphalt with stone subbase* *0.5 - 3.0 Non-native fill material black, with black sandy fines, ash, cinders, and brick pieces*
HA-3	3.0	no	none	0.0	0.0	none	*0.0 - 0.5 Asphalt with stone subbase* *0.5 - 3.0 Non-native fill material black, with black sandy fines, ash, cinders, and brick pieces*

Notes:
1. fbgs = feet below ground surface
2. DTW = depth to water
3. HSD = headspace determination
4. PID = MiniRae photoionization detector equipped with a 10.6 eV lamp
5. ppm = parts per million

TABLE 2

SUMMARY OF SUBSURFACE SOIL/FILL SAMPLE ANALYTICAL RESULTS

LIMITED PHASE II ENVIRONMENTAL INVESTIGATION REPORT
1430-1440 & 1458 MAIN STREET
BUFFALO, NEW YORK

PARAMETER[1]	Unrestricted Use SCOs [2]	Restricted Residential Use SCOs [2]	Commercial Use SCOs [2]	Industrial Use SCOs [2]	Sample Location (Depth - ft)														
					SB-1 (0.5-1.5')	SB-2 (0.5-1.5')	SB-3 (0-4')	SB-5 (12-14')	SB-6 (0.5-1.5')	SB-7 (11-12')	SB-8 (0.5-1.5')	SB-9 (0.5-1.5')	SB-10 (0.5-2')	SB-12 (0.5-2')	SB-13 (0.5-2')	SB-17 (0.5-4')	HA-1 (.5 - 3')	HA-2 (.5-3')	HA-3 (.5-3)
					10/13/2023												5/17/2024		
Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs) - mg/Kg [3]																			
Acetone	**0.05**	**100**	**500**	**1000**	--	--	--	ND	--	0.0054 J	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Methylene chloride	**0.05**	**100**	**500**	**1000**	--	--	--	ND	--	0.0027 J	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Trichloroethene	**0.47**	**21**	**200**	**1000**	--	--	--	0.0017 J	--	ND	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs) - mg/Kg [3]																			
Acenaphthene	**20**	**100**	**500**	**1000**	0.61 J	2 J	ND	--	ND	--	ND	ND	2	0.66 J	ND	ND	0.190 J	0.92 J	ND
Acenaphthylene	**100**	**100**	**500**	**1000**	0.26 J	9.6	ND	--	ND	--	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	0.150 J	0.25 J	ND
Anthracene	**100**	**100**	**500**	**1000**	1.2	16	ND	--	ND	--	ND	ND	5.3	1.4 J	ND	ND	0.64 J F2 F1	2	ND
Benzo(a)anthracene	**1**	**1**	**5.6**	**11**	**3.8**	**49**	**2.8 J**	--	ND	--	0.51 J	**5.7 J**	**16**	**2.8**	0.37 J	ND	**3.2 F2 F1**	**5.5**	0.23 J
Benzo(a)pyrene	**1**	**1**	**1**	**1.1**	**6.4**	**49**	**3.5 J**	--	ND	--	0.58 J	**6 J**	**15**	**3.2**	0.45 J	ND	**3.3 F2 F1**	**5.2**	0.29 J
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	**1**	**1**	**5.6**	**11**	**5.7**	**48**	**4 J**	--	ND	--	0.67 J	**6.6 J**	**20**	**3.5**	0.69 J	ND	**3.8 F2 F1**	**6.9**	0.46 J
Benzo(ghi)perylene	**100**	**100**	**500**	**1000**	4.4	18	2.4 J	--	ND	--	0.35 J	2.9 J	5.4	1.3 J	0.27 J	ND	2.0 F2 F1	3	0.22 J
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	**0.8**	**3.9**	**56**	**110**	**2.8**	**24**	ND	--	ND	--	ND	**2.5 J**	**7.2**	**1.5 J**	0.27 J	ND	**2.0 F2**	**2.7**	ND
Chrysene	**1**	**3.9**	**56**	**110**	**3.6**	**48**	ND	--	ND	--	0.53 J	**5.5 J**	**17**	**2.7**	0.49 J	ND	**3.5 F2 F1**	**5.7**	ND
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	**0.33**	**0.33**	**0.56**	**1.1**	**1.5**	ND	ND	--	ND	--	ND	ND	**2**	**0.52 J**	ND	ND	**0.58 J F2**	**0.91 J**	ND
Fluoranthene	**100**	**100**	**500**	**1000**	6.5	**120**	5.5 J	--	ND	--	1.2 J	13 J	40	5.8	0.82 J	3.5 J	7.5 F2 F1	13	0.59 J
Fluorene	**30**	**100**	**500**	**1000**	0.48 J	6.3	ND	--	ND	--	ND	ND	1.6 J	0.55 J	ND	ND	0.16 J	0.62 J	ND
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	**0.5**	**0.5**	**5.6**	**11**	**4.7**	**19**	ND	--	ND	--	0.32 J	**2.9 J**	**6.1**	**1.4 J**	0.28 J	ND	**1.7 F2 F1**	**2.7**	ND
Naphthalene	**12**	**100**	**500**	**1000**	0.39 J	0.66 J	ND	--	ND	--	ND	ND	ND	0.25 J	ND	ND	ND	0.31 J	ND
Phenanthrene	**100**	**100**	**500**	**1000**	5.2	84	4.3 J	--	ND	--	0.81 J	9.5 J	22	5.3	ND	ND	3.5 F2 F1	9.6	ND
Pyrene	**100**	**100**	**500**	**1000**	4.9	**110**	4 J	--	ND	--	0.9 J	10 J	25	3.9	0.59 J	2.3 J	6.4 F2 F1	10	0.450 J
Total PAHs	**--**	**--**	**--**	**--**	52.4	603.6	26.5	--	ND	--	5.9	64.6	184.6	34.8	4.2	5.8	38.6	69.3	2.2
Metals - mg/Kg																			
Arsenic	**13**	**16**	**16**	**16**	12.7	12.9	**22.1**	--	3.1	--	**16.2**	5.9	12.3	7.7	**31.9**	9.5	7	4.9	**13.7**
Barium	**350**	**400**	**400**	**10000**	**367**	66.1	182	--	40.4 F1	--	**311**	85.9	147	112	35.6	99.7	64.3	64.9	51.8
Cadmium	**2.5**	**4.3**	**9.3**	**60**	0.23 J	0.11 J	**3.9**	--	0.2 J	--	**7.7**	0.39	0.15 J	0.12 J	0.31	1.2	0.28 B	0.61 B	0.89 B
Chromium	**30**	**180**	**1500**	**6800**	11.4	13.1	17.1	--	8.2	--	18.6	12.7	18.6	10.4	8.4	9.7	10.9 B	12 B	8.8 B
Lead	**63**	**400**	**1000**	**3900**	**111**	**87.6**	**4370**	--	14.1	--	**1610**	**64.7**	62	**99.1**	31.2	**110**	37.7 ^1+	**341 ^1+**	**102 ^1+**
Mercury	**0.18**	**0.81**	**2.8**	**5.7**	0.15	0.13	**64.9**	--	0.015 J	--	**0.5 F1 F2**	**0.84**	**0.34**	**0.33**	0.018 J	**0.33**	0.12	**2.5**	0.035
Selenium	**3.9**	**180**	**1500**	**6800**	0.71 J	ND	ND	--	ND	--	ND	ND	ND	ND	1.5 J	ND	1.9 J B	1.5 J B	1.3 J B
Silver	**2**	**180**	**1500**	**6800**	ND	ND	0.3 J	--	ND	--	1.3	ND	0.24 J	ND	0.72 J	ND	ND	1.2	ND

Notes:

1. Only those parameters detected at a minimum of one sample location are presented in this table; other compounds were reported as non-detect.
2. Values per 6NYCRR Part 375 Soil Cleanup Objectives (SCOs).
3. Sample results were reported by the laboratory in ug/kg and converted to mg/kg for comparisons to SCOs.

Definitions:

ND = Parameter not detected above laboratory detection limit.
"--" = No value available for the parameter; Parameter not analyzed for.
J = Estimated value; result is less than the sample quantitation limit but greater than zero.
F1 = MS and/or MSD recovery is outside acceptance limits.
F2 = MS/MSD RPD exceeds control limits.

Bold	= Result exceeds Unrestricted Use SCOs
Bold	= Result exceeds Restricted Residential Use SCOs.
Bold	= Result exceeds Commercial Use SCOs.
Bold	= Result exceeds Industrial use SCOs.

eurofins | Environment Testing

ANALYTICAL REPORT

PREPARED FOR

Attn: Mr. Michael Lesakowski
Roux Environmental Engineering and Geology DPC
2558 Hamburg Turnpike
Suite 300
Lackawanna, New York 14218

Generated 5/24/2024 3:50:53 PM

JOB DESCRIPTION

1458 Main St.

JOB NUMBER

480-220024-1

Eurofins Buffalo
10 Hazelwood Drive
Amherst NY 14228-2298

See page two for job notes and contact information.


my EOL

Job Notes

This report may not be reproduced except in full, and with written approval from the laboratory. The results relate only to the samples tested. For questions please contact the Project Manager at the e-mail address or telephone number listed on this page.

The test results in this report relate only to the samples as received by the laboratory and will meet all requirements of the methodology, with any exceptions noted. This report shall not be reproduced except in full, without the express written approval of the laboratory. All questions should be directed to the Eurofins Environment Testing Northeast, LLC Project Manager.

Authorization



Generated
5/24/2024 3:50:53 PM

Authorized for release by
Anton Gruning, Project Management Assistant I
Anton.Gruning@et.eurofinsus.com
Designee for
Brian Fischer, Manager of Project Management
Brian.Fischer@et.eurofinsus.com
(716)504-9835

Table of Contents

Cover Page 1
Table of Contents 3
Definitions/Glossary 4
Case Narrative 5
Detection Summary 6
Client Sample Results 8
Surrogate Summary 11
QC Sample Results 12
QC Association Summary 16
Lab Chronicle 18
Certification Summary 20
Method Summary 21
Sample Summary 22
Chain of Custody 23
Receipt Checklists 24

Definitions/Glossary

Client: Roux Environmental Engineering and Geology DPC
Job ID: 480-220024-1
Project/Site: 1458 Main St.

Qualifiers

GC/MS Semi VOA

Qualifier	Qualifier Description
F1	MS and/or MSD recovery exceeds control limits.
F2	MS/MSD RPD exceeds control limits
J	Result is less than the RL but greater than or equal to the MDL and the concentration is an approximate value.
S1-	Surrogate recovery exceeds control limits, low biased.

Metals

Qualifier	Qualifier Description
^1+	Initial Calibration Verification (ICV) is outside acceptance limits, high biased.
B	Compound was found in the blank and sample.
J	Result is less than the RL but greater than or equal to the MDL and the concentration is an approximate value.

Glossary

Abbreviation	These commonly used abbreviations may or may not be present in this report.
¤	Listed under the "D" column to designate that the result is reported on a dry weight basis
%R	Percent Recovery
CFL	Contains Free Liquid
CFU	Colony Forming Unit
CNF	Contains No Free Liquid
DER	Duplicate Error Ratio (normalized absolute difference)
Dil Fac	Dilution Factor
DL	Detection Limit (DoD/DOE)
DL, RA, RE, IN	Indicates a Dilution, Re-analysis, Re-extraction, or additional Initial metals/anion analysis of the sample
DLC	Decision Level Concentration (Radiochemistry)
EDL	Estimated Detection Limit (Dioxin)
LOD	Limit of Detection (DoD/DOE)
LOQ	Limit of Quantitation (DoD/DOE)
MCL	EPA recommended "Maximum Contaminant Level"
MDA	Minimum Detectable Activity (Radiochemistry)
MDC	Minimum Detectable Concentration (Radiochemistry)
MDL	Method Detection Limit
ML	Minimum Level (Dioxin)
MPN	Most Probable Number
MQL	Method Quantitation Limit
NC	Not Calculated
ND	Not Detected at the reporting limit (or MDL or EDL if shown)
NEG	Negative / Absent
POS	Positive / Present
PQL	Practical Quantitation Limit
PRES	Presumptive
QC	Quality Control
RER	Relative Error Ratio (Radiochemistry)
RL	Reporting Limit or Requested Limit (Radiochemistry)
RPD	Relative Percent Difference, a measure of the relative difference between two points
TEF	Toxicity Equivalent Factor (Dioxin)
TEQ	Toxicity Equivalent Quotient (Dioxin)
TNTC	Too Numerous To Count

Job Narrative
480-220024-1

Analytical test results meet all requirements of the associated regulatory program listed on the Accreditation/Certification Summary Page unless otherwise noted under the individual analysis. Data qualifiers are applied to indicate exceptions. Noncompliant quality control (QC) is further explained in narrative comments.

- Matrix QC may not be reported if insufficient sample or site-specific QC samples were not submitted. In these situations, to demonstrate precision and accuracy at a batch level, a LCS/LCSD may be performed, unless otherwise specified in the method.
- Surrogate and/or isotope dilution analyte recoveries (if applicable) which are outside of the QC window are confirmed unless attributed to a dilution or otherwise noted in the narrative.

Regulated compliance samples (e.g. SDWA, NPDES) must comply with the associated agency requirements/permits.

Receipt
The samples were received on 5/17/2024 4:40 PM. Unless otherwise noted below, the samples arrived in good condition, and, where required, properly preserved and on ice. The temperature of the cooler at receipt time was 6.7°C.

GC/MS Semi VOA
Method 8270D: The following samples were diluted due to color, appearance, and viscosity: HA-1 (480-220024-1), HA-2 (480-220024-2), HA-3 (480-220024-3), (480-220024-A-1-B MS) and (480-220024-A-1-C MSD). Elevated reporting limits (RL) are provided.

Method 8270D: The matrix spike / matrix spike duplicate (MS/MSD) recoveries for preparation batch 480-712904 and analytical batch 480-713304 were outside control limits for one or more analytes. See QC Sample Results for detail. Sample matrix interference and/or non-homogeneity are suspected because the associated laboratory control sample (LCS) recovery is within acceptance limits.

Method 8270D: The matrix spike / matrix spike duplicate (MS/MSD) precision for preparation batch 480-712904 and analytical batch 480-713304 was outside control limits. Sample matrix interference and/or non-homogeneity are suspected.

Method 8270D: Surrogate recovery for the following sample was outside control limits: HA-3 (480-220024-3). Evidence of matrix interference is present; therefore, re-extraction and/or re-analysis was not performed.

No additional analytical or quality issues were noted, other than those described above or in the Definitions/ Glossary page.

Metals
Method 6010D: The low level continuing calibration verification (CCVL) for analytical batch 480-713382 recovered above the upper control limit for (Lead). The samples associated with this CCVL contained this analyte at a concentration greater than 10X the value found in the CCVL; therefore, re-analysis of samples HA-1 (480-220024-1), HA-2 (480-220024-2) and HA-3 (480-220024-3) was not performed.

No additional analytical or quality issues were noted, other than those described above or in the Definitions/ Glossary page.

General Chemistry
No additional analytical or quality issues were noted, other than those described above or in the Definitions/ Glossary page.

Detection Summary

Client: Roux Environmental Engineering and Geology DPC
Job ID: 480-220024-1
Project/Site: 1458 Main St.

Client Sample ID: HA-1
Lab Sample ID: 480-220024-1

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	Dil Fac	D	Method	Prep Type
Acenaphthene	190	J	1000	150	ug/Kg	5	☼	8270D	Total/NA
Acenaphthylene	150	J	1000	140	ug/Kg	5	☼	8270D	Total/NA
Anthracene	640	J F2 F1	1000	260	ug/Kg	5	☼	8270D	Total/NA
Benzo[a]anthracene	3200	F2 F1	1000	100	ug/Kg	5	☼	8270D	Total/NA
Benzo[a]pyrene	3300	F2 F1	1000	150	ug/Kg	5	☼	8270D	Total/NA
Benzo[b]fluoranthene	3800	F2 F1	1000	170	ug/Kg	5	☼	8270D	Total/NA
Benzo[g,h,i]perylene	2000	F2 F1	1000	110	ug/Kg	5	☼	8270D	Total/NA
Benzo[k]fluoranthene	2000	F2	1000	140	ug/Kg	5	☼	8270D	Total/NA
Chrysene	3500	F2 F1	1000	230	ug/Kg	5	☼	8270D	Total/NA
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	580	J F2	1000	190	ug/Kg	5	☼	8270D	Total/NA
Fluoranthene	7500	F2 F1	1000	110	ug/Kg	5	☼	8270D	Total/NA
Fluorene	160	J	1000	120	ug/Kg	5	☼	8270D	Total/NA
Indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene	1700	F2 F1	1000	130	ug/Kg	5	☼	8270D	Total/NA
Phenanthrene	3500	F2 F1	1000	150	ug/Kg	5	☼	8270D	Total/NA
Pyrene	6400	F2 F1	1000	120	ug/Kg	5	☼	8270D	Total/NA
Arsenic	7.0		2.5	0.51	mg/Kg	1	☼	6010D	Total/NA
Barium	64.3		0.63	0.14	mg/Kg	1	☼	6010D	Total/NA
Cadmium	0.28	B	0.25	0.038	mg/Kg	1	☼	6010D	Total/NA
Chromium	10.9	B	0.63	0.25	mg/Kg	1	☼	6010D	Total/NA
Lead	37.7	^1+	1.3	0.30	mg/Kg	1	☼	6010D	Total/NA
Selenium	1.9	J B	5.1	0.51	mg/Kg	1	☼	6010D	Total/NA
Mercury	0.12		0.024	0.0056	mg/Kg	1	☼	7471B	Total/NA

Client Sample ID: HA-2
Lab Sample ID: 480-220024-2

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	Dil Fac	D	Method	Prep Type
Acenaphthene	920	J	1900	280	ug/Kg	10	☼	8270D	Total/NA
Acenaphthylene	250	J	1900	250	ug/Kg	10	☼	8270D	Total/NA
Anthracene	2000		1900	470	ug/Kg	10	☼	8270D	Total/NA
Benzo[a]anthracene	5500		1900	190	ug/Kg	10	☼	8270D	Total/NA
Benzo[a]pyrene	5200		1900	280	ug/Kg	10	☼	8270D	Total/NA
Benzo[b]fluoranthene	6900		1900	300	ug/Kg	10	☼	8270D	Total/NA
Benzo[g,h,i]perylene	3000		1900	200	ug/Kg	10	☼	8270D	Total/NA
Benzo[k]fluoranthene	2700		1900	250	ug/Kg	10	☼	8270D	Total/NA
Chrysene	5700		1900	420	ug/Kg	10	☼	8270D	Total/NA
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	910	J	1900	340	ug/Kg	10	☼	8270D	Total/NA
Fluoranthene	13000		1900	200	ug/Kg	10	☼	8270D	Total/NA
Fluorene	620	J	1900	220	ug/Kg	10	☼	8270D	Total/NA
Indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene	2700		1900	230	ug/Kg	10	☼	8270D	Total/NA
Naphthalene	310	J	1900	250	ug/Kg	10	☼	8270D	Total/NA
Phenanthrene	9600		1900	280	ug/Kg	10	☼	8270D	Total/NA
Pyrene	10000		1900	220	ug/Kg	10	☼	8270D	Total/NA
Arsenic	4.9		2.4	0.48	mg/Kg	1	☼	6010D	Total/NA
Barium	64.9		0.60	0.13	mg/Kg	1	☼	6010D	Total/NA
Cadmium	0.61	B	0.24	0.036	mg/Kg	1	☼	6010D	Total/NA
Chromium	12.0	B	0.60	0.24	mg/Kg	1	☼	6010D	Total/NA
Lead	341	^1+	1.2	0.29	mg/Kg	1	☼	6010D	Total/NA
Selenium	1.5	J B	4.8	0.48	mg/Kg	1	☼	6010D	Total/NA
Silver	1.2		0.72	0.24	mg/Kg	1	☼	6010D	Total/NA
Mercury	2.5		0.22	0.051	mg/Kg	10	☼	7471B	Total/NA

This Detection Summary does not include radiochemical test results.

Eurofins Buffalo

Detection Summary

Client: Roux Environmental Engineering and Geology DPC
Job ID: 480-220024-1
Project/Site: 1458 Main St.

Client Sample ID: HA-3
Lab Sample ID: 480-220024-3

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	Dil Fac	D	Method	Prep Type
Benzo[a]anthracene	230	J	1800	180	ug/Kg	10	☼	8270D	Total/NA
Benzo[a]pyrene	290	J	1800	270	ug/Kg	10	☼	8270D	Total/NA
Benzo[b]fluoranthene	460	J	1800	290	ug/Kg	10	☼	8270D	Total/NA
Benzo[g,h,i]perylene	220	J	1800	190	ug/Kg	10	☼	8270D	Total/NA
Fluoranthene	590	J	1800	190	ug/Kg	10	☼	8270D	Total/NA
Pyrene	450	J	1800	220	ug/Kg	10	☼	8270D	Total/NA
Arsenic	13.7		2.2	0.43	mg/Kg	1	☼	6010D	Total/NA
Barium	51.8		0.54	0.12	mg/Kg	1	☼	6010D	Total/NA
Cadmium	0.89	B	0.22	0.032	mg/Kg	1	☼	6010D	Total/NA
Chromium	8.8	B	0.54	0.22	mg/Kg	1	☼	6010D	Total/NA
Lead	102	^1+	1.1	0.26	mg/Kg	1	☼	6010D	Total/NA
Selenium	1.3	J B	4.3	0.43	mg/Kg	1	☼	6010D	Total/NA
Mercury	0.035		0.022	0.0050	mg/Kg	1	☼	7471B	Total/NA

This Detection Summary does not include radiochemical test results.

Client: Roux Environmental Engineering and Geology DPC
Project/Site: 1458 Main St.

Job ID: 480-220024-1

Client Sample ID: HA-1

Date Collected: 05/17/24 13:30
Date Received: 05/17/24 16:40

Lab Sample ID: 480-220024-1

Matrix: Solid
Percent Solids: 79.9

Method: SW846 8270D - Semivolatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Acenaphthene	190	J	1000	150	ug/Kg	☼	05/21/24 16:59	05/24/24 13:31	5
Acenaphthylene	150	J	1000	140	ug/Kg	☼	05/21/24 16:59	05/24/24 13:31	5
Anthracene	640	J F2 F1	1000	260	ug/Kg	☼	05/21/24 16:59	05/24/24 13:31	5
Benzo[a]anthracene	3200	F2 F1	1000	100	ug/Kg	☼	05/21/24 16:59	05/24/24 13:31	5
Benzo[a]pyrene	3300	F2 F1	1000	150	ug/Kg	☼	05/21/24 16:59	05/24/24 13:31	5
Benzo[b]fluoranthene	3800	F2 F1	1000	170	ug/Kg	☼	05/21/24 16:59	05/24/24 13:31	5
Benzo[g,h,i]perylene	2000	F2 F1	1000	110	ug/Kg	☼	05/21/24 16:59	05/24/24 13:31	5
Benzo[k]fluoranthene	2000	F2	1000	140	ug/Kg	☼	05/21/24 16:59	05/24/24 13:31	5
Chrysene	3500	F2 F1	1000	230	ug/Kg	☼	05/21/24 16:59	05/24/24 13:31	5
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	580	J F2	1000	190	ug/Kg	☼	05/21/24 16:59	05/24/24 13:31	5
Fluoranthene	7500	F2 F1	1000	110	ug/Kg	☼	05/21/24 16:59	05/24/24 13:31	5
Fluorene	160	J	1000	120	ug/Kg	☼	05/21/24 16:59	05/24/24 13:31	5
Indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene	1700	F2 F1	1000	130	ug/Kg	☼	05/21/24 16:59	05/24/24 13:31	5
Naphthalene	ND		1000	140	ug/Kg	☼	05/21/24 16:59	05/24/24 13:31	5
Phenanthrene	3500	F2 F1	1000	150	ug/Kg	☼	05/21/24 16:59	05/24/24 13:31	5
Pyrene	6400	F2 F1	1000	120	ug/Kg	☼	05/21/24 16:59	05/24/24 13:31	5

Surrogate	*%Recovery*	*Qualifier*	*Limits*	*Prepared*	*Analyzed*	*Dil Fac*
2-Fluorobiphenyl (Surr)	*75*		*50 - 121*	*05/21/24 16:59*	*05/24/24 13:31*	*5*
Nitrobenzene-d5 (Surr)	*64*		*40 - 121*	*05/21/24 16:59*	*05/24/24 13:31*	*5*
p-Terphenyl-d14 (Surr)	*82*		*46 - 143*	*05/21/24 16:59*	*05/24/24 13:31*	*5*

Method: SW846 6010D - Metals (ICP)

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Arsenic	7.0		2.5	0.51	mg/Kg	☼	05/22/24 15:54	05/23/24 16:32	1
Barium	64.3		0.63	0.14	mg/Kg	☼	05/22/24 15:54	05/23/24 16:32	1
Cadmium	0.28	B	0.25	0.038	mg/Kg	☼	05/22/24 15:54	05/23/24 16:32	1
Chromium	10.9	B	0.63	0.25	mg/Kg	☼	05/22/24 15:54	05/23/24 16:32	1
Lead	37.7	^1+	1.3	0.30	mg/Kg	☼	05/22/24 15:54	05/24/24 11:14	1
Selenium	1.9	J B	5.1	0.51	mg/Kg	☼	05/22/24 15:54	05/23/24 16:32	1
Silver	ND		0.76	0.25	mg/Kg	☼	05/22/24 15:54	05/23/24 16:32	1

Method: SW846 7471B - Mercury (CVAA)

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Mercury	0.12		0.024	0.0056	mg/Kg	☼	05/22/24 11:26	05/22/24 14:02	1

Client Sample ID: HA-2
Lab Sample ID: 480-220024-2

Date Collected: 05/17/24 14:00
Matrix: Solid

Date Received: 05/17/24 16:40
Percent Solids: 87.3

Method: SW846 8270D - Semivolatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Acenaphthene	920	J	1900	280	ug/Kg	☼	05/21/24 16:59	05/24/24 13:59	10
Acenaphthylene	250	J	1900	250	ug/Kg	☼	05/21/24 16:59	05/24/24 13:59	10
Anthracene	2000		1900	470	ug/Kg	☼	05/21/24 16:59	05/24/24 13:59	10
Benzo[a]anthracene	5500		1900	190	ug/Kg	☼	05/21/24 16:59	05/24/24 13:59	10
Benzo[a]pyrene	5200		1900	280	ug/Kg	☼	05/21/24 16:59	05/24/24 13:59	10
Benzo[b]fluoranthene	6900		1900	300	ug/Kg	☼	05/21/24 16:59	05/24/24 13:59	10
Benzo[g,h,i]perylene	3000		1900	200	ug/Kg	☼	05/21/24 16:59	05/24/24 13:59	10
Benzo[k]fluoranthene	2700		1900	250	ug/Kg	☼	05/21/24 16:59	05/24/24 13:59	10
Chrysene	5700		1900	420	ug/Kg	☼	05/21/24 16:59	05/24/24 13:59	10
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	910	J	1900	340	ug/Kg	☼	05/21/24 16:59	05/24/24 13:59	10
Fluoranthene	13000		1900	200	ug/Kg	☼	05/21/24 16:59	05/24/24 13:59	10
Fluorene	620	J	1900	220	ug/Kg	☼	05/21/24 16:59	05/24/24 13:59	10
Indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene	2700		1900	230	ug/Kg	☼	05/21/24 16:59	05/24/24 13:59	10
Naphthalene	310	J	1900	250	ug/Kg	☼	05/21/24 16:59	05/24/24 13:59	10
Phenanthrene	9600		1900	280	ug/Kg	☼	05/21/24 16:59	05/24/24 13:59	10
Pyrene	10000		1900	220	ug/Kg	☼	05/21/24 16:59	05/24/24 13:59	10

Surrogate	*%Recovery*	*Qualifier*	*Limits*	*Prepared*	*Analyzed*	*Dil Fac*
2-Fluorobiphenyl (Surr)	*57*		*50 - 121*	*05/21/24 16:59*	*05/24/24 13:59*	*10*
Nitrobenzene-d5 (Surr)	*46*		*40 - 121*	*05/21/24 16:59*	*05/24/24 13:59*	*10*
p-Terphenyl-d14 (Surr)	*58*		*46 - 143*	*05/21/24 16:59*	*05/24/24 13:59*	*10*

Method: SW846 6010D - Metals (ICP)

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Arsenic	4.9		2.4	0.48	mg/Kg	☼	05/22/24 15:54	05/23/24 16:34	1
Barium	64.9		0.60	0.13	mg/Kg	☼	05/22/24 15:54	05/23/24 16:34	1
Cadmium	0.61	B	0.24	0.036	mg/Kg	☼	05/22/24 15:54	05/23/24 16:34	1
Chromium	12.0	B	0.60	0.24	mg/Kg	☼	05/22/24 15:54	05/23/24 16:34	1
Lead	341	^1+	1.2	0.29	mg/Kg	☼	05/22/24 15:54	05/24/24 11:16	1
Selenium	1.5	J B	4.8	0.48	mg/Kg	☼	05/22/24 15:54	05/23/24 16:34	1
Silver	1.2		0.72	0.24	mg/Kg	☼	05/22/24 15:54	05/23/24 16:34	1

Method: SW846 7471B - Mercury (CVAA)

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Mercury	2.5		0.22	0.051	mg/Kg	☼	05/22/24 11:26	05/22/24 14:20	10

Client Sample ID: HA-3
Lab Sample ID: 480-220024-3

Date Collected: 05/17/24 14:30
Matrix: Solid

Date Received: 05/17/24 16:40
Percent Solids: 89.6

Method: SW846 8270D - Semivolatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Acenaphthene	ND		1800	270	ug/Kg	☼	05/21/24 16:59	05/24/24 14:27	10
Acenaphthylene	ND		1800	240	ug/Kg	☼	05/21/24 16:59	05/24/24 14:27	10
Anthracene	ND		1800	450	ug/Kg	☼	05/21/24 16:59	05/24/24 14:27	10
Benzo[a]anthracene	**230**	**J**	1800	180	ug/Kg	☼	05/21/24 16:59	05/24/24 14:27	10
Benzo[a]pyrene	**290**	**J**	1800	270	ug/Kg	☼	05/21/24 16:59	05/24/24 14:27	10
Benzo[b]fluoranthene	**460**	**J**	1800	290	ug/Kg	☼	05/21/24 16:59	05/24/24 14:27	10
Benzo[g,h,i]perylene	**220**	**J**	1800	190	ug/Kg	☼	05/21/24 16:59	05/24/24 14:27	10
Benzo[k]fluoranthene	ND		1800	240	ug/Kg	☼	05/21/24 16:59	05/24/24 14:27	10
Chrysene	ND		1800	410	ug/Kg	☼	05/21/24 16:59	05/24/24 14:27	10
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	ND		1800	320	ug/Kg	☼	05/21/24 16:59	05/24/24 14:27	10
Fluoranthene	**590**	**J**	1800	190	ug/Kg	☼	05/21/24 16:59	05/24/24 14:27	10
Fluorene	ND		1800	220	ug/Kg	☼	05/21/24 16:59	05/24/24 14:27	10
Indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene	ND		1800	230	ug/Kg	☼	05/21/24 16:59	05/24/24 14:27	10
Naphthalene	ND		1800	240	ug/Kg	☼	05/21/24 16:59	05/24/24 14:27	10
Phenanthrene	ND		1800	270	ug/Kg	☼	05/21/24 16:59	05/24/24 14:27	10
Pyrene	**450**	**J**	1800	220	ug/Kg	☼	05/21/24 16:59	05/24/24 14:27	10

Surrogate	*%Recovery*	*Qualifier*	*Limits*	*Prepared*	*Analyzed*	*Dil Fac*
2-Fluorobiphenyl (Surr)	*20*	*S1-*	*50 - 121*	*05/21/24 16:59*	*05/24/24 14:27*	*10*
Nitrobenzene-d5 (Surr)	*17*	*S1-*	*40 - 121*	*05/21/24 16:59*	*05/24/24 14:27*	*10*
p-Terphenyl-d14 (Surr)	*19*	*S1-*	*46 - 143*	*05/21/24 16:59*	*05/24/24 14:27*	*10*

Method: SW846 6010D - Metals (ICP)

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Arsenic	**13.7**		2.2	0.43	mg/Kg	☼	05/22/24 15:54	05/23/24 16:36	1
Barium	**51.8**		0.54	0.12	mg/Kg	☼	05/22/24 15:54	05/23/24 16:36	1
Cadmium	**0.89**	**B**	0.22	0.032	mg/Kg	☼	05/22/24 15:54	05/23/24 16:36	1
Chromium	**8.8**	**B**	0.54	0.22	mg/Kg	☼	05/22/24 15:54	05/23/24 16:36	1
Lead	**102**	**^1+**	1.1	0.26	mg/Kg	☼	05/22/24 15:54	05/24/24 11:18	1
Selenium	**1.3**	**J B**	4.3	0.43	mg/Kg	☼	05/22/24 15:54	05/23/24 16:36	1
Silver	ND		0.65	0.22	mg/Kg	☼	05/22/24 15:54	05/23/24 16:36	1

Method: SW846 7471B - Mercury (CVAA)

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Mercury	**0.035**		0.022	0.0050	mg/Kg	☼	05/22/24 11:26	05/22/24 14:04	1

Surrogate Summary

Client: Roux Environmental Engineering and Geology DPC
Job ID: 480-220024-1
Project/Site: 1458 Main St.

Method: 8270D - Semivolatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)

Matrix: Solid **Prep Type: Total/NA**

		Percent Surrogate Recovery (Acceptance Limits)								
		FBP	NBZ	TPHd14						
Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	(50-121)	(40-121)	(46-143)						
480-220024-1	HA-1	75	64	82						
480-220024-1 MS	HA-1	84	75	87						
480-220024-1 MSD	HA-1	83	72	83						
480-220024-2	HA-2	57	46	58						
480-220024-3	HA-3	20 S1-	17 S1-	19 S1-						
LCS 480-712904/2-A	Lab Control Sample	80	72	84						
MB 480-712904/1-A	Method Blank	79	73	90						

Surrogate Legend

FBP = 2-Fluorobiphenyl (Surr)
NBZ = Nitrobenzene-d5 (Surr)
TPHd14 = p-Terphenyl-d14 (Surr)

Method: 8270D - Semivolatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)

Lab Sample ID: MB 480-712904/1-A
Matrix: Solid
Analysis Batch: 713304

Client Sample ID: Method Blank
Prep Type: Total/NA
Prep Batch: 712904

Analyte	MB Result	MB Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Acenaphthene	ND		170	25	ug/Kg		05/21/24 16:59	05/24/24 11:39	1
Acenaphthylene	ND		170	22	ug/Kg		05/21/24 16:59	05/24/24 11:39	1
Anthracene	ND		170	42	ug/Kg		05/21/24 16:59	05/24/24 11:39	1
Benzo[a]anthracene	ND		170	17	ug/Kg		05/21/24 16:59	05/24/24 11:39	1
Benzo[a]pyrene	ND		170	25	ug/Kg		05/21/24 16:59	05/24/24 11:39	1
Benzo[b]fluoranthene	ND		170	27	ug/Kg		05/21/24 16:59	05/24/24 11:39	1
Benzo[g,h,i]perylene	ND		170	18	ug/Kg		05/21/24 16:59	05/24/24 11:39	1
Benzo[k]fluoranthene	ND		170	22	ug/Kg		05/21/24 16:59	05/24/24 11:39	1
Chrysene	ND		170	38	ug/Kg		05/21/24 16:59	05/24/24 11:39	1
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	ND		170	30	ug/Kg		05/21/24 16:59	05/24/24 11:39	1
Fluoranthene	ND		170	18	ug/Kg		05/21/24 16:59	05/24/24 11:39	1
Fluorene	ND		170	20	ug/Kg		05/21/24 16:59	05/24/24 11:39	1
Indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene	ND		170	21	ug/Kg		05/21/24 16:59	05/24/24 11:39	1
Naphthalene	ND		170	22	ug/Kg		05/21/24 16:59	05/24/24 11:39	1
Phenanthrene	ND		170	25	ug/Kg		05/21/24 16:59	05/24/24 11:39	1
Pyrene	ND		170	20	ug/Kg		05/21/24 16:59	05/24/24 11:39	1

Surrogate	*MB %Recovery*	*MB Qualifier*	*Limits*	*Prepared*	*Analyzed*	*Dil Fac*
2-Fluorobiphenyl (Surr)	*79*		*50 - 121*	*05/21/24 16:59*	*05/24/24 11:39*	*1*
Nitrobenzene-d5 (Surr)	*73*		*40 - 121*	*05/21/24 16:59*	*05/24/24 11:39*	*1*
p-Terphenyl-d14 (Surr)	*90*		*46 - 143*	*05/21/24 16:59*	*05/24/24 11:39*	*1*

Lab Sample ID: LCS 480-712904/2-A
Matrix: Solid
Analysis Batch: 713304

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample
Prep Type: Total/NA
Prep Batch: 712904

Analyte	Spike Added	LCS Result	LCS Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	%Rec Limits
Acenaphthene	1670	1250		ug/Kg		75	62 - 120
Acenaphthylene	1670	1310		ug/Kg		79	58 - 121
Anthracene	1670	1300		ug/Kg		78	62 - 120
Benzo[a]anthracene	1670	1380		ug/Kg		83	65 - 120
Benzo[a]pyrene	1670	1420		ug/Kg		85	64 - 120
Benzo[b]fluoranthene	1670	1370		ug/Kg		82	64 - 120
Benzo[g,h,i]perylene	1670	1510		ug/Kg		90	45 - 145
Benzo[k]fluoranthene	1670	1460		ug/Kg		88	65 - 120
Chrysene	1670	1390		ug/Kg		83	64 - 120
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	1670	1460		ug/Kg		88	54 - 132
Fluoranthene	1670	1340		ug/Kg		81	62 - 120
Fluorene	1670	1350		ug/Kg		81	63 - 120
Indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene	1670	1480		ug/Kg		89	56 - 134
Naphthalene	1670	1220		ug/Kg		73	55 - 120
Phenanthrene	1670	1310		ug/Kg		79	60 - 120
Pyrene	1670	1390		ug/Kg		83	61 - 133

Surrogate	*LCS %Recovery*	*LCS Qualifier*	*Limits*
2-Fluorobiphenyl (Surr)	*80*		*50 - 121*
Nitrobenzene-d5 (Surr)	*72*		*40 - 121*
p-Terphenyl-d14 (Surr)	*84*		*46 - 143*

Method: 8270D - Semivolatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)

Lab Sample ID: 480-220024-1 MS
Matrix: Solid
Analysis Batch: 713304

Client Sample ID: HA-1
Prep Type: Total/NA
Prep Batch: 712904

Analyte	Sample Result	Sample Qualifier	Spike Added	MS Result	MS Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	%Rec Limits
Acenaphthene	190	J	2040	1880		ug/Kg	☼	83	60 - 120
Acenaphthylene	150	J	2040	1870		ug/Kg	☼	84	58 - 121
Anthracene	640	J F2 F1	2040	2310		ug/Kg	☼	82	62 - 120
Benzo[a]anthracene	3200	F2 F1	2040	4900		ug/Kg	☼	85	65 - 120
Benzo[a]pyrene	3300	F2 F1	2040	4950		ug/Kg	☼	83	64 - 120
Benzo[b]fluoranthene	3800	F2 F1	2040	5120		ug/Kg	☼	66	10 - 150
Benzo[g,h,i]perylene	2000	F2 F1	2040	3810		ug/Kg	☼	90	45 - 145
Benzo[k]fluoranthene	2000	F2	2040	4190		ug/Kg	☼	105	23 - 150
Chrysene	3500	F2 F1	2040	5040		ug/Kg	☼	74	64 - 120
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	580	J F2	2040	2380		ug/Kg	☼	88	54 - 132
Fluoranthene	7500	F2 F1	2040	8470	F1	ug/Kg	☼	49	62 - 120
Fluorene	160	J	2040	1880		ug/Kg	☼	84	63 - 120
Indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene	1700	F2 F1	2040	3610		ug/Kg	☼	92	56 - 134
Naphthalene	ND		2040	1660		ug/Kg	☼	81	46 - 120
Phenanthrene	3500	F2 F1	2040	4810		ug/Kg	☼	63	60 - 122
Pyrene	6400	F2 F1	2040	7570	F1	ug/Kg	☼	58	61 - 133

Surrogate	*MS %Recovery*	*MS Qualifier*	*Limits*
2-Fluorobiphenyl (Surr)	*84*		*50 - 121*
Nitrobenzene-d5 (Surr)	*75*		*40 - 121*
p-Terphenyl-d14 (Surr)	*87*		*46 - 143*

Lab Sample ID: 480-220024-1 MSD
Matrix: Solid
Analysis Batch: 713304

Client Sample ID: HA-1
Prep Type: Total/NA
Prep Batch: 712904

Analyte	Sample Result	Sample Qualifier	Spike Added	MSD Result	MSD Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	%Rec Limits	RPD	RPD Limit
Acenaphthene	190	J	2060	1730		ug/Kg	☼	75	60 - 120	8	35
Acenaphthylene	150	J	2060	1760		ug/Kg	☼	78	58 - 121	6	18
Anthracene	640	J F2 F1	2060	1800	F2 F1	ug/Kg	☼	56	62 - 120	25	15
Benzo[a]anthracene	3200	F2 F1	2060	2580	F2 F1	ug/Kg	☼	-28	65 - 120	62	15
Benzo[a]pyrene	3300	F2 F1	2060	2810	F2 F1	ug/Kg	☼	-22	64 - 120	55	15
Benzo[b]fluoranthene	3800	F2 F1	2060	2860	F2 F1	ug/Kg	☼	-44	10 - 150	57	15
Benzo[g,h,i]perylene	2000	F2 F1	2060	2450	F2 F1	ug/Kg	☼	23	45 - 145	44	15
Benzo[k]fluoranthene	2000	F2	2060	2580	F2	ug/Kg	☼	26	23 - 150	48	22
Chrysene	3500	F2 F1	2060	2660	F2 F1	ug/Kg	☼	-42	64 - 120	62	15
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	580	J F2	2060	2030	F2	ug/Kg	☼	70	54 - 132	16	15
Fluoranthene	7500	F2 F1	2060	3400	F2 F1	ug/Kg	☼	-198	62 - 120	85	15
Fluorene	160	J	2060	1770		ug/Kg	☼	78	63 - 120	6	15
Indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene	1700	F2 F1	2060	2410	F2 F1	ug/Kg	☼	33	56 - 134	40	15
Naphthalene	ND		2060	1600		ug/Kg	☼	78	46 - 120	3	29
Phenanthrene	3500	F2 F1	2060	2180	F2 F1	ug/Kg	☼	-65	60 - 122	75	15
Pyrene	6400	F2 F1	2060	3120	F2 F1	ug/Kg	☼	-158	61 - 133	83	35

Surrogate	*MSD %Recovery*	*MSD Qualifier*	*Limits*
2-Fluorobiphenyl (Surr)	*83*		*50 - 121*
Nitrobenzene-d5 (Surr)	*72*		*40 - 121*
p-Terphenyl-d14 (Surr)	*83*		*46 - 143*

Method: 6010D - Metals (ICP)

Lab Sample ID: MB 480-713069/1-A
Matrix: Solid
Analysis Batch: 713289

Client Sample ID: Method Blank
Prep Type: Total/NA
Prep Batch: 713069

Analyte	MB Result	MB Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Arsenic	ND		2.1	0.42	mg/Kg		05/22/24 15:54	05/23/24 15:50	1
Barium	ND		0.53	0.12	mg/Kg		05/22/24 15:54	05/23/24 15:50	1
Cadmium	0.0532	J	0.21	0.032	mg/Kg		05/22/24 15:54	05/23/24 15:50	1
Chromium	0.440	J	0.53	0.21	mg/Kg		05/22/24 15:54	05/23/24 15:50	1
Selenium	0.699	J	4.2	0.42	mg/Kg		05/22/24 15:54	05/23/24 15:50	1
Silver	ND		0.64	0.21	mg/Kg		05/22/24 15:54	05/23/24 15:50	1

Lab Sample ID: MB 480-713069/1-A
Matrix: Solid
Analysis Batch: 713382

Client Sample ID: Method Blank
Prep Type: Total/NA
Prep Batch: 713069

Analyte	MB Result	MB Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Lead	ND	^1+	1.1	0.25	mg/Kg		05/22/24 15:54	05/24/24 10:43	1

Lab Sample ID: LCSSRM 480-713069/2-A
Matrix: Solid
Analysis Batch: 713289

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample
Prep Type: Total/NA
Prep Batch: 713069

Analyte	Spike Added	LCSSRM Result	LCSSRM Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	%Rec Limits
Arsenic	180	155.0		mg/Kg		86.1	70.0 - 130.0
Barium	431	396.8		mg/Kg		92.1	74.9 - 125.1
Cadmium	199	183.0		mg/Kg		92.0	74.9 - 125.1
Chromium	210	200.0		mg/Kg		95.2	70.0 - 130.0
Selenium	117	94.60		mg/Kg		80.9	65.8 - 133.3
Silver	65.5	60.14		mg/Kg		91.8	70.5 - 129.3

Lab Sample ID: LCSSRM 480-713069/2-A
Matrix: Solid
Analysis Batch: 713382

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample
Prep Type: Total/NA
Prep Batch: 713069

Analyte	Spike Added	LCSSRM Result	LCSSRM Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	%Rec Limits
Lead	261	284.6	^1+	mg/Kg		109.0	78.2 - 121.1

Method: 7471B - Mercury (CVAA)

Lab Sample ID: MB 480-712738/1-A
Matrix: Solid
Analysis Batch: 713063

Client Sample ID: Method Blank
Prep Type: Total/NA
Prep Batch: 712738

Analyte	MB Result	MB Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Mercury	ND		0.020	0.0046	mg/Kg		05/22/24 11:26	05/22/24 13:49	1

Method: 7471B - Mercury (CVAA) (Continued)

Lab Sample ID: LCSSRM 480-712738/2-A ^10
Matrix: Solid
Analysis Batch: 713063

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample
Prep Type: Total/NA
Prep Batch: 712738

Analyte	Spike Added	LCSSRM Result	LCSSRM Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	%Rec Limits
Mercury	19.1	17.87		mg/Kg		93.5	59.7 - 139.8

QC Association Summary

Client: Roux Environmental Engineering and Geology DPC
Job ID: 480-220024-1
Project/Site: 1458 Main St.

GC/MS Semi VOA

Prep Batch: 712904

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
480-220024-1	HA-1	Total/NA	Solid	3550C	
480-220024-2	HA-2	Total/NA	Solid	3550C	
480-220024-3	HA-3	Total/NA	Solid	3550C	
MB 480-712904/1-A	Method Blank	Total/NA	Solid	3550C	
LCS 480-712904/2-A	Lab Control Sample	Total/NA	Solid	3550C	
480-220024-1 MS	HA-1	Total/NA	Solid	3550C	
480-220024-1 MSD	HA-1	Total/NA	Solid	3550C	

Analysis Batch: 713304

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
480-220024-1	HA-1	Total/NA	Solid	8270D	712904
480-220024-2	HA-2	Total/NA	Solid	8270D	712904
480-220024-3	HA-3	Total/NA	Solid	8270D	712904
MB 480-712904/1-A	Method Blank	Total/NA	Solid	8270D	712904
LCS 480-712904/2-A	Lab Control Sample	Total/NA	Solid	8270D	712904
480-220024-1 MS	HA-1	Total/NA	Solid	8270D	712904
480-220024-1 MSD	HA-1	Total/NA	Solid	8270D	712904

Metals

Prep Batch: 712738

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
480-220024-1	HA-1	Total/NA	Solid	7471B	
480-220024-2	HA-2	Total/NA	Solid	7471B	
480-220024-3	HA-3	Total/NA	Solid	7471B	
MB 480-712738/1-A	Method Blank	Total/NA	Solid	7471B	
LCSSRM 480-712738/2-A ^1	Lab Control Sample	Total/NA	Solid	7471B	

Analysis Batch: 713063

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
480-220024-1	HA-1	Total/NA	Solid	7471B	712738
480-220024-2	HA-2	Total/NA	Solid	7471B	712738
480-220024-3	HA-3	Total/NA	Solid	7471B	712738
MB 480-712738/1-A	Method Blank	Total/NA	Solid	7471B	712738
LCSSRM 480-712738/2-A ^1	Lab Control Sample	Total/NA	Solid	7471B	712738

Prep Batch: 713069

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
480-220024-1	HA-1	Total/NA	Solid	3050B	
480-220024-2	HA-2	Total/NA	Solid	3050B	
480-220024-3	HA-3	Total/NA	Solid	3050B	
MB 480-713069/1-A	Method Blank	Total/NA	Solid	3050B	
LCSSRM 480-713069/2-A	Lab Control Sample	Total/NA	Solid	3050B	

Analysis Batch: 713289

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
480-220024-1	HA-1	Total/NA	Solid	6010D	713069
480-220024-2	HA-2	Total/NA	Solid	6010D	713069
480-220024-3	HA-3	Total/NA	Solid	6010D	713069
MB 480-713069/1-A	Method Blank	Total/NA	Solid	6010D	713069
LCSSRM 480-713069/2-A	Lab Control Sample	Total/NA	Solid	6010D	713069

QC Association Summary

Client: Roux Environmental Engineering and Geology DPC
Job ID: 480-220024-1
Project/Site: 1458 Main St.

Metals

Analysis Batch: 713382

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
480-220024-1	HA-1	Total/NA	Solid	6010D	713069
480-220024-2	HA-2	Total/NA	Solid	6010D	713069
480-220024-3	HA-3	Total/NA	Solid	6010D	713069
MB 480-713069/1-A	Method Blank	Total/NA	Solid	6010D	713069
LCSSRM 480-713069/2-A	Lab Control Sample	Total/NA	Solid	6010D	713069

General Chemistry

Analysis Batch: 712753

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
480-220024-1	HA-1	Total/NA	Solid	Moisture	
480-220024-2	HA-2	Total/NA	Solid	Moisture	
480-220024-3	HA-3	Total/NA	Solid	Moisture	

Lab Chronicle

Client: Roux Environmental Engineering and Geology DPC
Job ID: 480-220024-1
Project/Site: 1458 Main St.

Client Sample ID: HA-1
Lab Sample ID: 480-220024-1
Date Collected: 05/17/24 13:30
Matrix: Solid
Date Received: 05/17/24 16:40

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dilution Factor	Batch Number	Analyst	Lab	Prepared or Analyzed
Total/NA	Analysis	Moisture		1	712753	DSC	EET BUF	05/20/24 17:25

Client Sample ID: HA-1
Lab Sample ID: 480-220024-1
Date Collected: 05/17/24 13:30
Matrix: Solid
Date Received: 05/17/24 16:40
Percent Solids: 79.9

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dilution Factor	Batch Number	Analyst	Lab	Prepared or Analyzed
Total/NA	Prep	3550C			712904	SJM	EET BUF	05/21/24 16:59
Total/NA	Analysis	8270D		5	713304	JMM	EET BUF	05/24/24 13:31
Total/NA	Prep	3050B			713069	EMO	EET BUF	05/22/24 15:54
Total/NA	Analysis	6010D		1	713289	NZG	EET BUF	05/23/24 16:32
Total/NA	Prep	3050B			713069	EMO	EET BUF	05/22/24 15:54
Total/NA	Analysis	6010D		1	713382	BMB	EET BUF	05/24/24 11:14
Total/NA	Prep	7471B			712738	ESB	EET BUF	05/22/24 11:26
Total/NA	Analysis	7471B		1	713063	ESB	EET BUF	05/22/24 14:02

Client Sample ID: HA-2
Lab Sample ID: 480-220024-2
Date Collected: 05/17/24 14:00
Matrix: Solid
Date Received: 05/17/24 16:40

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dilution Factor	Batch Number	Analyst	Lab	Prepared or Analyzed
Total/NA	Analysis	Moisture		1	712753	DSC	EET BUF	05/20/24 17:25

Client Sample ID: HA-2
Lab Sample ID: 480-220024-2
Date Collected: 05/17/24 14:00
Matrix: Solid
Date Received: 05/17/24 16:40
Percent Solids: 87.3

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dilution Factor	Batch Number	Analyst	Lab	Prepared or Analyzed
Total/NA	Prep	3550C			712904	SJM	EET BUF	05/21/24 16:59
Total/NA	Analysis	8270D		10	713304	JMM	EET BUF	05/24/24 13:59
Total/NA	Prep	3050B			713069	EMO	EET BUF	05/22/24 15:54
Total/NA	Analysis	6010D		1	713289	NZG	EET BUF	05/23/24 16:34
Total/NA	Prep	3050B			713069	EMO	EET BUF	05/22/24 15:54
Total/NA	Analysis	6010D		1	713382	BMB	EET BUF	05/24/24 11:16
Total/NA	Prep	7471B			712738	ESB	EET BUF	05/22/24 11:26
Total/NA	Analysis	7471B		10	713063	ESB	EET BUF	05/22/24 14:20

Client Sample ID: HA-3
Lab Sample ID: 480-220024-3
Date Collected: 05/17/24 14:30
Matrix: Solid
Date Received: 05/17/24 16:40

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dilution Factor	Batch Number	Analyst	Lab	Prepared or Analyzed
Total/NA	Analysis	Moisture		1	712753	DSC	EET BUF	05/20/24 17:25

Lab Chronicle

Client: Roux Environmental Engineering and Geology DPC
Job ID: 480-220024-1
Project/Site: 1458 Main St.

Client Sample ID: HA-3
Date Collected: 05/17/24 14:30
Date Received: 05/17/24 16:40

Lab Sample ID: 480-220024-3
Matrix: Solid
Percent Solids: 89.6

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dilution Factor	Batch Number	Analyst	Lab	Prepared or Analyzed
Total/NA	Prep	3550C			712904	SJM	EET BUF	05/21/24 16:59
Total/NA	Analysis	8270D		10	713304	JMM	EET BUF	05/24/24 14:27
Total/NA	Prep	3050B			713069	EMO	EET BUF	05/22/24 15:54
Total/NA	Analysis	6010D		1	713289	NZG	EET BUF	05/23/24 16:36
Total/NA	Prep	3050B			713069	EMO	EET BUF	05/22/24 15:54
Total/NA	Analysis	6010D		1	713382	BMB	EET BUF	05/24/24 11:18
Total/NA	Prep	7471B			712738	ESB	EET BUF	05/22/24 11:26
Total/NA	Analysis	7471B		1	713063	ESB	EET BUF	05/22/24 14:04

Laboratory References:

EET BUF = Eurofins Buffalo, 10 Hazelwood Drive, Amherst, NY 14228-2298, TEL (716)691-2600

Accreditation/Certification Summary

Client: Roux Environmental Engineering and Geology DPC
Job ID: 480-220024-1
Project/Site: 1458 Main St.

Laboratory: Eurofins Buffalo

Unless otherwise noted, all analytes for this laboratory were covered under each accreditation/certification below.

Authority	Program	Identification Number	Expiration Date
New York	NELAP	10026	03-31-25

The following analytes are included in this report, but the laboratory is not certified by the governing authority. This list may include analytes for which the agency does not offer certification.

Analysis Method	Prep Method	Matrix	Analyte
Moisture		Solid	Percent Moisture
Moisture		Solid	Percent Solids

Method Summary

Client: Roux Environmental Engineering and Geology DPC
Job ID: 480-220024-1
Project/Site: 1458 Main St.

Method	Method Description	Protocol	Laboratory
8270D	Semivolatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)	SW846	EET BUF
6010D	Metals (ICP)	SW846	EET BUF
7471B	Mercury (CVAA)	SW846	EET BUF
Moisture	Percent Moisture	EPA	EET BUF
3050B	Preparation, Metals	SW846	EET BUF
3550C	Ultrasonic Extraction	SW846	EET BUF
7471B	Preparation, Mercury	SW846	EET BUF

Protocol References:

EPA = US Environmental Protection Agency

SW846 = "Test Methods For Evaluating Solid Waste, Physical/Chemical Methods", Third Edition, November 1986 And Its Updates.

Laboratory References:

EET BUF = Eurofins Buffalo, 10 Hazelwood Drive, Amherst, NY 14228-2298, TEL (716)691-2600

Sample Summary

Client: Roux Environmental Engineering and Geology DPC
Job ID: 480-220024-1
Project/Site: 1458 Main St.

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Matrix	Collected	Received
480-220024-1	HA-1	Solid	05/17/24 13:30	05/17/24 16:40
480-220024-2	HA-2	Solid	05/17/24 14:00	05/17/24 16:40
480-220024-3	HA-3	Solid	05/17/24 14:30	05/17/24 16:40

Eurofins Buffalo
10 Hazelwood Drive

Amherst, NY 14228-2223
phone 716.691.2600 fax 716.691.7991

Chain of Custody Record

eurofins | Environment Testing America

Regulatory Program: ☐ DW ☐ NPDES ☐ RCRA ☐ Other:

Client Contact	
Roux	
2558 Hamburg Turnpike	
Lackawanna/NY/14218	
(716) 796-4967	Phone
(xxx) xxx-xxxx	FAX
~~1485 Niagara St~~	~~1458~~ 1458 Main St
Site:	
P O #	4503.0001B000

Project Manager: ~~Chris Boron~~ Mike Lesakowski / Mitch Forbes
Email: ~~tbehrendt@rouxinc.com~~
Tel/Fax:

Analysis Turnaround Time
☐ CALENDAR DAYS ☐ WORKING DAYS
TAT if different from Below Standard
☐ 2 weeks
☐ 1 week
☐ 2 days
☐ 1 day
standard

Site Contact: ~~Tom Behrendt~~ **Date:** 5/17/24
Lab Contact: Brian Fischer **Carrier:**

Eurofins Environment Testing America
COC No: 1 of 1 COCs
TALS Project #:
Sampler:
For Lab Use Only:
Walk-in Client:
Lab Sampling:
Job / SDG No.:

Sample Identification	Sample Date	Sample Time	Sample Type (C=Comp, G=Grab)	Matrix	# of Cont.	Filtered Sample (Y/N)	Perform MS / MSD (Y/N)	PAHs	RCRA Metals
HA-1	5/17/24	1330	G	Soil	2			X	X
HA-2		1400			2			X	X
HA-3		1430			2			X	X
HA-4		1500			2			X	X
HA-5		1530			2			X	X

Sample Specific Notes:
Hold sample
Hold SAMPLE

480-220024 Chain of Custody

Preservation Used: 1= Ice, 2= HCl; 3= H2SO4; 4=HNO3; 5=NaOH; 6= Other _____

Possible Hazard Identification:
Are any samples from a listed EPA Hazardous Waste? Please List any EPA Waste Codes for the sample in the Comments Section if the lab is to dispose of the sample.
☐ Non-Hazard ☐ Flammable ☐ Skin Irritant ☐ Poison B ☒ Unknown

Sample Disposal (A fee may be assessed if sampl
☐ Return to Client ☒ Disposal by Lab ☐ Archive for _____ Months

Special Instructions/QC Requirements & Comments:
607 # CJK

Custody Seals Intact: ☐ Yes ☐ No	Custody Seal No.:		Cooler Temp. (°C): Obs'd: _____ Corr'd: _____		Therm ID No.: _____
Relinquished by:	Company: Roux	Date/Time: 5/17/24	Received by:	Company:	Date/Time:
Relinquished by:	Company:	Date/Time:	Received by:	Company:	Date/Time:
Relinquished by:	Company:	Date/Time:	Received in Laboratory by:	Company: TA	Date/Time: 5/17/24 1640

Login Sample Receipt Checklist

Client: Roux Environmental Engineering and Geology DPC

Job Number: 480-220024-1

Login Number: 220024
List Number: 1
Creator: Yeager, Brian A

List Source: Eurofins Buffalo

Question	Answer	Comment
Radioactivity either was not measured or, if measured, is at or below background	True	
The cooler's custody seal, if present, is intact.	True	
The cooler or samples do not appear to have been compromised or tampered with.	True	
Samples were received on ice.	True	
Cooler Temperature is acceptable.	True	
Cooler Temperature is recorded.	True	
COC is present.	True	
COC is filled out in ink and legible.	True	
COC is filled out with all pertinent information.	True	
Is the Field Sampler's name present on COC?	True	
There are no discrepancies between the sample IDs on the containers and the COC.	True	
Samples are received within Holding Time (Excluding tests with immediate HTs)..	True	
Sample containers have legible labels.	True	
Containers are not broken or leaking.	True	
Sample collection date/times are provided.	True	
Appropriate sample containers are used.	True	
Sample bottles are completely filled.	True	
Sample Preservation Verified	True	
There is sufficient vol. for all requested analyses, incl. any requested MS/MSDs	True	
VOA sample vials do not have headspace or bubble is <6mm (1/4") in diameter.	N/A	
If necessary, staff have been informed of any short hold time or quick TAT needs	True	
Multiphasic samples are not present.	True	
Samples do not require splitting or compositing.	True	
Sampling Company provided.	True	ROUX
Samples received within 48 hours of sampling.	True	
Samples requiring field filtration have been filtered in the field.	True	
Chlorine Residual checked.	N/A	

APPENDIX C

Project Documentation Forms

ROUX

INSPECTOR'S DAILY REPORT

Page | of

CONTRACTOR:	JOB NO.:
CLIENT:	DATE:

LOCATION:		DAY: Su M Tu W Th F Sa	
WEATHER:	TEMP: °F	START:	END:

WORK PERFORMED:

TEST PERFORMED:	QA PERSONNEL:
	SIGNATURE:

INSPECTOR'S DAILY REPORT

CONTRACTOR			
CLIENT		DATE:	

LOCATION				DAY		JOB NO.	
WEATHER		TEMP	° F	START		END	

WORK PERFORMED:

CONTRACTOR ACTIVITIES:

[PUT CONTRACTOR ACTIVITIES HERE, BE SPECIFIC. TYPE OF EQUIPMENT, ACTIVITIES PERFORMED, BY WHOM, LOCATION OF LANDFILL ETC.]

TURNKEY ACTIVITIES:

[PUT ENGINEER ACTIVITIES HERE, BE SPECIFIC. TYPE OF EQUIPMENT, ACTIVITIES AND TESTING PERFORMED, SAMPLES COLLECTED, BY WHOM, LOCATION OF LANDFILL ETC.]

TEST PERFORMED		*QA PERSONNEL* SIGNATURE			
PICTURES TAKEN	none	REPORT NO.			
VISITORS	none	SHEET	1	OF	

ROUX

INSPECTOR'S DAILY REPORT

CONTRACTOR			
CLIENT		DATE:	

LOCATION				DAY		JOB NO.	
WEATHER		TEMP	° F	START		END	

DAILY LOG	DATE			
	REPORT NO.			
	PAGE		OF	

PROBLEM IDENTIFICATION REPORT

Date:

Project:

Job No:

Location:

CQA Monitor(s):

Client:

Contractor:

Contractor's Supervisor:

WEATHER CONDITIONS:

Ambient Air Temp. - A.M.:

Ambient Air Temp. - P.M.:

Wind Direction:

Wind Speed:

Precipitation:

Problem Description:

Problem Location (reference test location, sketch on back of form as appropriate):

Problem Causes:

Suggested Corrective Measures or Variances:

Linked to Corrective Measures Report No. *or Variance Log No.*

Approvals (initial):

CQA Engineer:

Project Manager:

Signed:

CQA Representative

DAILY LOG	DATE			
	REPORT NO.			
	PAGE		OF	

CORRECTIVE MEASURES REPORT

Date:

Project:

Job No:

Location:

CQA Monitor(s):

Client:

Contractor:

Contractor's Supervisor:

WEATHER CONDITIONS:

Ambient Air Temp. - A.M.:

Ambient Air Temp. - P.M.:

Wind Direction:

Wind Speed:

Precipitation:

Corrective Measures Undertaken (reference Problem Identification Report No.)

Retesing Location:

Suggested Method of Minimizing Re-Occurrence:

Approvals (initial):

CQA Engineer:

Project Manager:

Signed:

CQA Representative

APPENDIX D

Health and Safety Plan (HASP) including CAMP

Health and Safety Plan

1440 Main Street
(BCP SITE No. C915408)
Buffalo, New York

February 2026

Prepared for:

1440 Main Street LLC
8615 Roll Road
Clarence Center, New York 14032

Prepared by:

Roux Environmental Engineering and Geology, D.P.C.
2558 Hamburg Turnpike
Suite 300
Buffalo, New York 14218

4503.0001B002//02

Environmental Consulting
& Management
+1.800.322.ROUX
rouxinc.com

Table of Contents

1. Introduction 1
   1.1 General 1
   1.2 Background 1
   1.3 Known and Suspected Environmental Conditions 1
   1.4 Parameters of Interest 3
   1.5 Overview of RI/IRM Activities 3

2. Organizational Structure 4
   2.1 Roles and Responsibilities 4
      2.1.1 Corporate Health and Safety Director 4
      2.1.2 Project Manager 4
      2.1.3 Site Safety and Health Officer 5
      2.1.4 Site Workers 5
      2.1.5 Other Site Personnel 5

3. Hazard Evaluation 7
   3.1 Chemical Hazards 7
   3.2 Physical Hazards 8

4. Training 10
   4.1 Site Workers 10
      4.1.1 Initial and Refresher Training 10
      4.1.2 Site Training 11
   4.2 Supervisor Training 12
   4.3 Emergency Response Training 12
   4.4 Site Visitors 12

5. Medical Monitoring 13

6. Safe Work Practices 15

7. Personal Protective Equipment 17
   7.1 Equipment Selection 17
   7.2 Protection Ensembles 18
      7.2.1 Level A/B Protection Ensemble 18
      7.2.2 Level C Protection Ensemble 18
      7.2.3 Level D Protection Ensemble 19
      7.2.4 Recommended Level of Protection for Site Tasks 19

8. Exposure Monitoring 20
   8.1 General 20
      8.1.1 On-Site Work Zone Monitoring 20
      8.1.2 Off-Site Community Air Monitoring 20
   8.2 Monitoring Action Levels 21
      8.2.1 On-Site Work Zone Action Levels 21
      8.2.2 Community Air Monitoring Action Levels 22

Table of Contents (Continued)

9. Spill Release/Response 25
   9.1 Potential Spills and Available Controls 25
   9.2 Initial Spill Notification and Evaluation 26
   9.3 Spill Response 26
   9.4 Post-Spill Evaluation 27

10. Heat/Cold Stress Monitoring 28
   10.1 Heat Stress Monitoring 28
   10.2 Cold Stress Monitoring 29

11. Work Zones and Site Control 32

12. Decontamination 33
   12.1 Decontamination for Roux Employees 33
   12.2 Decontamination for Medical Emergencies 33
   12.3 Decontamination of Field Equipment 34

13. Confined Space Entry 35

14. Fire Prevention and Protection 36
   14.1 General Approach 36
   14.2 Equipment and Requirements 36
   14.3 Flammable and Combustible Substances 36
   14.4 Hot Work 36

15. Emergency Information 37

16. Field Team Review 38

17. Approvals 39

18. References 40

Table of Contents (Continued)

Tables

1. Toxicity Data for Constituents of Potential Concern
2. Potential Routes of Exposure to Constituents of Potential Concern
3. Required Levels of Protection for RI Tasks

Figures

1. Site Location and Vicinity Map
2. Site Plan (Aerial)

Appendices

A. Emergency Response Plan
B. Hot Work Permit Form
C. Community Air Monitoring Plan

1. Introduction

1.1 General

In accordance with OSHA requirements contained in 29 CFR 1910.120, this Health and Safety Plan (HASP) describes the specific health and safety practices and procedures to be employed by Roux Environmental Engineering and Geology, D.P.C. employees (referred to hereafter as "Roux") during Remedial Investigation (RI) and Interim Remedial Measures (IRM) activities at 1440 Main Street (Site) located in Buffalo, Erie County, New York. This HASP presents procedures for Roux employees who will be involved with RI/IRM field activities; it does not cover the activities of other contractors, subcontractors, or other individuals on the Site. These firms will be required to develop and enforce their own HASPs as discussed in **Section 2.0**. Roux accepts no responsibility for the health and safety of contractor, subcontractor, or other personnel.

This HASP presents information on known Site health and safety hazards using available historical information, and identifies the equipment, materials, and procedures that will be used to eliminate or control these hazards. Environmental monitoring will be performed during field activities to provide real-time data for on-going assessment of potential hazards.

1.2 Background

The BCP Site boundary consists of a 1.43-acre lot addressed at 1440 Main Street, Buffalo, New York (previously two separate parcels of 0.70-acre for 1440 Main Street and 0.73-acre for 1458 Main Street). The BCP Site boundary consists of existing buildings and associated parking lots.

On-Site operations included storage, a plumbing shop, packing, storefronts, and various auto houses/private garages from at least 1925 through at least 1951. In addition, a former radio station (WKBW Studio) was on-Site in at least 1951.

Previous environmental investigations completed at the Site have identified elevated levels of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) and metals exceeding Parts 375 Restricted Residential Soil Cleanup Objectives (RRSCOs).

1.3 Known and Suspected Environmental Conditions

The Site is located west of Main Street and Glenwood Avenue intersection in a highly developed mixed-use residential, commercial, and recreational area of the City of Buffalo. The Site is currently vacant commercial land and associated parking lots. One gasoline underground storage tank (UST) was identified west of Building 4 (off the northwest corner) on the 1440 Main Street parcel in at least 1925. One additional gasoline UST was identified on the southwest portion of the 1458 Main Street parcel. A summary of the previous environmental investigation findings completed for the Site are provided below.

November 2023 – Historic Research and (Limited) Phase II Environmental Investigation

Roux completed a test pit investigation on the Site in October 2023, which was summarized in the November 2023 Phase II Limited Environmental Investigation report. Twelve soil borings (designated as SB-1 through SB-12) were advanced at the Site on October 13, 2023. Select samples were submitted for chemical analysis of PAHs, Resource and Recovery Act (RCRA) metals, and volatile organic compounds (VOCs). Results of the investigation are summarized below:

- Urban fill materials were identified across the Site to depths ranging from about 0.5 fbgs to greater than 9 fbgs.
- Nine different PAHs were identified at various concentrations exceeding USCOs, RRSCOs, CSCOs, and ISCOs.
- Metals, including arsenic, barium, cadmium, lead, and mercury, were identified exceeding USCOs, RRSCOs, CSCOs, and ISCOs.
    - Arsenic was detected up to 22.1 mg/kg, exceeding its ISCO (16 mg/kg) at SB-3, SB-8, and SB-13; barium was detected up to 367 mg/kg, exceeding its USCO (350 mg/kg) at SB-1 and SB-8; cadmium was detected up to 7.7 mg/kg, exceeding its RRSCO (4.3 mg/kg) at SB-8; lead was detected up to 4,370 mg/kg, exceeding its ISCO (3,900 mg/kg) at SB-3, and mercury was detected up to 64.9 mg/kg, exceeding its ISCO (5.7 mg/kg) at SB-3.
- VOCs were not detected at concentrations above laboratory detection limits.

July 2024 – Supplemental Phase II Environmental Investigation

Roux completed a supplemental hand auger investigation on the Site in May 2024 (Ref. 9). Five hand augers (designated as HA-1 through HA-5) were advanced at the Site on May 17, 2024. Three samples were submitted for chemical analysis of PAHs and RCRA metals while the other two were placed on hold with the lab. Results of the investigation are summarized below:

- Urban fill materials were identified across the Site to depths ranging from 0.5 fbgs to about 3 fbgs.
- PAHs were identified at concentrations exceeding USCOs, RRSCOs, CSCOs, and ISCOs at HA-1 and HA-2.
- Metals, including arsenic, lead, and mercury, were identified exceeding USCOs and RRSCOs at HA-2 and HA-3.
    - Arsenic was detected up to 13.7 mg/kg, exceeding its USCO (13 mg/kg) at HA-3, lead was detected up to 341 mg/kg, exceeding its USCO (63 mg/kg) at HA-2 and HA-3, and mercury was detected up to 2.5 mg/kg, exceeding its RRSCO (0.81 mg/kg) at HA-2.

1.4 Parameters of Interest

Based on the previous investigations, constituents of potential concern (COPCs) in soil and, potentially groundwater, at the Site include:

- **Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs) –** PAHs present at elevated concentration may include benzo(a)anthracene, benzo(a)pyrene, benzo(b)fluoranthene, benzo(k)fluoranthene, chrysene, dibenz(a,h)anthracene, flouranthene, and indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene.
- **Inorganic Compound –** The inorganic COPCs potentially present at elevated concentrations are arsenic, barium, cadmium, lead, and mercury.

1.5 Overview of RI/IRM Activities

Roux personnel will be on-site to observe and perform RI and IRM activities. The field activities to be completed as part of the RI and IRM are described below.

Remedial Investigation Activities

1. **Subsurface Soil Sampling:** Roux will advance test pits and soil borings to collect subsurface soil samples for the purpose of determining the nature and extent of potential COPC impacts in the subsurface soil/fill.
2. **Monitoring Well Installation/Development and Sampling:** Roux will observe the installation of six groundwater monitoring wells, develop the wells, and collect groundwater samples for the purpose of determining the nature and extent of potential COPC impacts.

Potential IRM Activities

1. **Pre-construction Activity:** The remediation contractor would clear the Site of vegetation and install interior Site haul roads prior to IRM and redevelopment activities.
2. **Pre-construction Activity:** A Master Erosion Control Plan (MECP) would be prepared, and erosion control measures implemented.
3. **Soil Excavation:** The remediation contractor would perform soil excavation activities.
4. **Verification Sampling:** The remediation contractor, in association with Roux, will collect soil samples from the sidewalls and bottom of the excavations using a backhoe to verify that cleanup objectives have been met.
5. **Backfilling:** The remediation contractor would coordinate and perform backfilling activities, as necessary.
6. **Groundwater and Surface Management:** The remediation contractor will direct groundwater/surface water collection during soil excavation activities and coordinate disposal/treatment of the collected water, in association with Roux.

2. Organizational Structure

This section of the HASP describes the lines of authority, responsibility, and communication as they pertain to health and safety functions at the Site. The purpose of this section is to identify the personnel who impact the development and implementation of the HASP and to describe their roles and responsibilities. This section also identifies other contractors and subcontractors involved in work operations and establishes the lines of communication among them for health and safety matters. The organizational structure described in this section is consistent with the requirements of 29 CFR 1910.120(b)(2). This section will be reviewed by the Project Manager and updated as necessary to reflect the current organizational structure at this Site.

2.1 Roles and Responsibilities

All Roux personnel on the Site must comply with the minimum requirements of this HASP. The specific responsibilities and authority of management, safety and health, and other personnel on this Site are detailed in the following paragraphs.

2.1.1 Corporate Health and Safety Director

The Roux Corporate Health and Safety Director is ***Mr. Michael Lesakowski***. The Corporate Health and Safety Director responsible for developing and implementing the Health and Safety program and policies for Roux, and consulting with corporate management to ensure adequate resources are available to properly implement these programs and policies. The Corporate Health and Safety Director coordinates Roux's Health and Safety training and medical monitoring programs and assists project management and field staff in developing site-specific health and safety plans.

2.1.2 Project Manager

The Project Manager for this Site is ***Mr. Michael Lesakowski***. The Project Manager has the responsibility and authority to direct all Roux work operations at the Site. The Project Manager coordinates safety and health functions with the Site Safety and Health Officer and bears ultimate responsibility for proper implementation of this HASP. They may delegate authority to expedite and facilitate any application of the program, including modifications to the overall project approach as necessary to circumvent unsafe work conditions. Specific duties of the Project Manager include:

- Preparing and coordinating the Site work plan.
- Providing Roux workers with work assignments and overseeing their performance.
- Coordinating health and safety efforts with the Site Safety and Health Officer (SSHO).
- Reviewing the emergency response coordination plan to assure its effectiveness.

- Serving as the primary liaison with Site contractors and the property owner.

2.1.3 Site Safety and Health Officer

The SSHO for this Site is ***Mr. Paul Werthman, P.G.***. The SSHO reports to the Project Manager. The SSHO is on-site or readily accessible to the Site during all work operations and has the authority to halt Site work if unsafe conditions are detected. The specific responsibilities of the SSHO are:

- Managing the safety and health functions for Roux personnel on the Site.
- Serving as the point of contact for safety and health matters.
- Ensuring that Roux field personnel working on the Site have received proper training (per 29 CFR Part 1910.120(e)), that they have obtained medical clearance to wear respiratory protection (per 29 CFR Part 1910.134), and that they are properly trained in the selection, use and maintenance of personal protective equipment, including qualitative respirator fit testing.
- Performing or overseeing Site monitoring as required by the HASP.
- Assisting in the preparation and review of the HASP.
- Maintaining site-specific safety and health records as described in this HASP.
- Coordinating with the Project Manager, Site Workers, and Contractor's SSHO as necessary for safety and health efforts.

2.1.4 Site Workers

Site workers are responsible for: complying with this HASP or a more stringent HASP, if appropriate (i.e., Contractor and Subcontractor's HASP); using proper PPE; reporting unsafe acts and conditions to the SSHO; and following the safety and health instructions of the Project Manager and SSHO.

2.1.5 Other Site Personnel

Other Site personnel who will have health and safety responsibilities will include the Drilling Contractor, who will be responsible for developing, implementing, and enforcing a Health and Safety Plan equally stringent or more stringent than Roux's HASP. Roux assumes no responsibility for the health and safety of anyone outside its direct employment. Each Contractor's HASP shall cover all non-Roux Site personnel. Each Contractor shall assign a SSHO who will coordinate with Roux's SSHO as necessary to ensure effective lines of communication and consistency between contingency plans.

In addition to Roux and Contractor personnel, other individuals who may have responsibilities in the work zone include subcontractors and governmental agencies performing Site inspection work (i.e., the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation). The Contractor shall

be responsible for ensuring that these individuals have received OSHA-required training (29 CFR 1910.120(e)), including initial, refresher and site-specific training, and shall be responsible for the safety and health of these individuals while they are on-site.

3. Hazard Evaluation

Due to the presence of certain contaminants at the Site, the possibility exists that workers will be exposed to hazardous substances during field activities. The principal points of exposure would be through direct contact with and incidental ingestion of soil, and through the inhalation of contaminated particles or vapors. Other points of exposure may include direct contact with groundwater. In addition, the use of drilling and/or medium to large-sized construction equipment (e.g., excavator) will also present conditions for potential physical injury to workers. Further, since work will be performed outdoors, the potential exists for heat/cold stress to impact workers, especially those wearing protective equipment and clothing. Adherence to the medical evaluations, worker training relative to chemical hazards, safe work practices, proper personal protection, environmental monitoring, establishment work zones and Site control, appropriate decontamination procedures and contingency planning outlined herein will reduce the potential for chemical exposures and physical injuries.

3.1 Chemical Hazards

As discussed in Section 1.3, VOC and inorganic impacts have been identified at the Site. Table 1 lists exposure limits for airborne concentrations of the COPCs identified in Section 1.4 of this HASP. Brief descriptions of the toxicology of the prevalent COPCs and related health and safety guidance and criteria are provided below.

- **Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs)** are formed as a result of the pyrolysis and incomplete combustion of organic matter such as fossil fuel. PAH aerosols formed during the combustion process disperse throughout the atmosphere, resulting in the deposition of PAH condensate in soil, water and on vegetation. In addition, several products formed from petroleum processing operations (e.g., roofing materials and asphalt) also contain elevated levels of PAHs. Hence, these compounds are widely dispersed in the environment. PAHs are characterized by a molecular structure containing three or more fused, unsaturated carbon rings. Seven of the PAHs are classified by USEPA as probable human carcinogens (USEPA Class B2). These are: benzo(a)pyrene; benzo(a)anthracene; benzo(b)fluoranthene; benzo(k)fluoranthene; chrysene; dibenzo(a,h)anthracene; flouranthene; and indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene. The primary route of exposure to PAHs is through incidental ingestion and inhalation of contaminated particulates. PAHs are characterized by an organic odor and exist as oily liquids in pure form. Acute exposure symptoms may include acne-type blemishes in areas of the skin exposed to sunlight.
- **Arsenic (CAS #7440-38-2)** is a naturally occurring element and is usually found combined with one or more elements, such as oxygen or sulfur. Inhalation is a more important exposure route than ingestion. First phase exposure symptoms include nausea, vomiting, diarrhea and pain in the stomach. Prolonged contact is corrosive to the skin and mucus membranes. Arsenic is considered a Group A human carcinogen

by the USEPA. Exposure via inhalation is associated with an increased risk of lung cancer. Exposure via the oral route is associated with an increased risk of skin cancer.

- **Barium (CAS #7440-39-3)** is a silvery-white metal that can be found in the environment, where it exists naturally. It occurs combined with other chemicals, such as sulfur, carbon or oxygen. Barium oxidizes in air, reacts vigorously with water to form hydroxide, liberating hydrogen. Barium reacts with almost all the non-metals, forming often poisoning compounds. Flammable solid, dangerous when wet, highly toxic by ingestion. Keep away from all ignition sources. Contact with water produces flammable gas. Target organs include the central nervous system and kidneys. This material is considered hazardous by the OSHA Hazard Communication Standard (29CFR 1910.1200).
- **Cadmium (CAS #7440-43-9)** is a soft, malleable, bluish white metal found in zinc ores. Cadmium and its compounds are highly toxic and exposure to this metal is known to cause cancer and targets the body's cardiovascular, renal, gastrointestinal, neurological, reproductive, and respiratory systems.
- **Lead (CAS #7439-92-1)** is a heavy bluish-gray metal that is lustrous when freshly cut. It is rarely found as a pure metal but rather is complexed with other elements to form lead compounds. It is easily malleable, smelted, and can be added to other metals to form alloys. Resistant to air and water corrosion, it does not mix easily with many solvents but will react with hot acids such as nitric and sulfuric. Burning with a bluish-white flame, powdered lead displays pyrophoricity and releases toxic fumes when burned.
- **Mercury (CAS #7439-97-6)** is the only metal that is a liquid metal at room temperature. Occurring naturally as mercuric sulfide (in cinnabar ore) because of its low boiling point can be isolated readily from the ore and condensing the resulting vapor to form a metal that is 99.9% pure. Solid mercury is ductile and very malleable and is soft enough to cut with a knife. A poor conductor of heat, mercury can conduct electricity but behaves much like a noble gas. It does not react with most acids but can react with very strong acids and dissolves readily to form amalgams with many metals, including gold, zinc, and aluminum.

With respect to the anticipated RI/IRM activities discussed in Section 1.5, possible routes of exposure to the above-mentioned contaminants are presented in Table 2. The use of proper respiratory equipment, as outlined in Section 7.0 of this HASP, will minimize the potential for exposure to airborne contamination, if deemed necessary. Exposure to contaminants through dermal and other routes will also be minimized through the use of safe work practices (Section 6.0), protective clothing (Section 7.0), and proper decontamination procedures (Section 12.0).

3.2 Physical Hazards

RI/IRM field activities at 1440 Main Street may present the following physical hazards:

- The potential for physical injury during heavy construction equipment use, such as backhoes, excavators, and drilling equipment.
- The potential for heat/cold stress to employees during the summer/winter months (see Section 10.0).
- The potential for slip and fall injuries due to rough, uneven terrain and/or open excavations.

These hazards represent only some of the possible means of injury that may be present during RI/IRM operations and sampling activities at the Site. Since it is impossible to list all potential sources of injury, it shall be the responsibility of each individual to exercise proper care and caution during all phases of the work.

4. Training

4.1 Site Workers

All personnel performing RI/IRM activities at the Site (such as, but not limited to, equipment operators, general laborers, and drillers) and who may be exposed to hazardous substances, health hazards, or safety hazards and their supervisors/managers responsible for the Site shall receive training in accordance with 29 CFR 1910.120(e) before they are permitted to engage in operations in the exclusion zone or contaminant reduction zone. This training includes an initial 40-hour Hazardous Waste Site Worker Protection Course, an 8-hour Annual Refresher Course subsequent to the initial 40-hour training, and 3 days of actual field experience under the direct supervision of a trained, experienced supervisor. Additional site-specific training shall also be provided by the SSHO prior to the start of field activities. A description of topics to be covered by this training is provided below.

4.1.1 Initial and Refresher Training

Initial and refresher training is conducted by a qualified instructor as specified under OSHA 29 CFR 1910.120(e)(5) and is specifically designed to meet the requirements of OSHA 29 CFR 1910.120(e)(3) and 1910.120(e)(8). The training covers, as a minimum, the following topics:

- OSHA HAZWOPER regulations.
- Site safety and hazard recognition, including chemical and physical hazards.
- Medical monitoring requirements.
- Air monitoring, permissible exposure limits, and respiratory protection level classifications.
- Appropriate use of personal protective equipment (PPE), including chemical compatibility and respiratory equipment selection and use.
- Work practices to minimize risk.
- Work zones and Site control.
- Safe use of engineering controls and equipment.
- Decontamination procedures.
- Emergency response and escape.
- Confined space entry procedures.
- Heat and cold stress monitoring.
- Elements of a Health and Safety Plan.
- Spill containment.

Initial training also incorporates workshops for PPE and respiratory equipment use (Levels A, B and C), and respirator fit testing. Records and certification received from the course instructor documenting each employee's successful completion of the training identified above are maintained on file at Roux's Buffalo, NY office. Contractors and Subcontractors are required to provide similar documentation of training for all their personnel who will be involved in on-site work activities.

Any employee who has not been certified as having received health and safety training in conformance with 29 CFR 1910.120(e) is prohibited from working in the exclusion and contamination reduction zones, or to engage in any on-site work activities that may involve exposure to hazardous substances or wastes.

4.1.2 Site Training

Site workers are given a copy of the HASP and provided with a site-specific briefing prior to the commencement of work to ensure that employees are familiar with the HASP and the information and requirements it contains. The Site briefing shall be provided by the SSHO prior to initiating field activities and shall include:

- Names of personnel and alternates responsible for Site safety and health.
- Safety, health, and other hazards present on the Site.
- The site layout including work zones and places of refuge.
- The emergency communications system and emergency evacuation procedures.
- Use of PPE.
- Work practices by which the employee can minimize risks from hazards.
- Safe use of engineering controls and equipment on the site.
- Medical surveillance, including recognition of symptoms and signs of over-exposure as described in Chapter 5 of this HASP.
- Decontamination procedures as detailed in Chapter 12 of this HASP.
- The emergency response plan is detailed in Chapter 15 of this HASP.
- Confined space entry procedures, if required, as detailed in Chapter 13 of this HASP.
- The spill containment program as detailed in Chapter 9 of this HASP.
- Site control as detailed in Chapter 11 of this HASP.

Supplemental health and safety briefings will also be conducted by the SSHO on an as-needed basis during the course of the work. Supplemental briefings are provided as necessary to notify employees of any changes to this HASP as a result of information gathered during ongoing Site characterization and analysis. Conditions for which the SSHO may schedule additional briefings

include but are not limited to: a change in Site conditions (e.g., based on monitoring results); changes in the work schedule/plan; newly discovered hazards; and safety incidents occurring during Site work.

4.2 Supervisor Training

On-site safety and health personnel who are directly responsible for or who supervise the safety and health of workers engaged in hazardous waste operations (i.e., SSHO) shall receive, in addition to the appropriate level of worker training described in Section 4.1, above, eight additional hours of specialized supervisory training, in compliance with 29 CFR 1910.120(e)(4).

4.3 Emergency Response Training

Emergency response training is addressed in Attachment A of this HASP, Emergency Response Plan.

4.4 Site Visitors

Each Contractor's SSHO will provide a site-specific briefing to all Site visitors and other non-Roux personnel who enter the Site beyond the Site entry point. The site-specific briefing will provide information about Site hazards, the Site layout including work zones and places of refuge, the emergency communications system and emergency evacuation procedures, and other pertinent safety and health requirements as appropriate.

Site visitors will not be permitted to enter the exclusion zone or contaminant reduction zones unless they have received the level of training required for Site workers as described in Section 4.1.

5. Medical Monitoring

Medical monitoring examinations are provided to Roux employees as stipulated under 29 CFR Part 1910.120(f). These exams include initial employment, annual and employment termination physicals for all Roux employees involved in hazardous waste site field operations. Post-exposure examinations are also provided for employees who may have been injured, received a health impairment, or developed signs or symptoms of over-exposure to hazardous substances or were accidentally exposed to substances at concentrations above the permissible exposure limits without necessary personal protective equipment. Such exams are performed as soon as possible following development of symptoms or the known exposure event.

Medical evaluations are performed by Health Works, an occupational health care provider under contract with Roux. Health Works is in Seneca Square Plaza, 1900 Ridge Road, West Seneca, New York 14224. The facility can be reached at (716) 823-5050 to schedule routine appointments or post-exposure examinations.

Medical evaluations are conducted according to the Roux Medical Monitoring Program and include an evaluation of the workers' ability to use respiratory protective equipment. The examinations include:

- Occupational/medical history review.
- Physical exam, including vital sign measurement.
- Spirometry testing.
- Eyesight testing.
- Audio testing (minimum baseline and exit, annual for employees routinely exposed to greater than 85db).
- EKG (for employees >40 yrs age or as medical conditions dictate).
- Chest X-ray (baseline and exit, and every 5 years).
- Blood biochemistry (including blood count, white cell differential count, serum multiplastic screening).
- Medical certification of physical requirements (i.e., sight, musculoskeletal, cardiovascular) for safe job performance and to wear respiratory protection equipment.

The purpose of the medical evaluation is to determine an employee's fitness for duty on hazardous waste sites; and to establish baseline medical data. In conformance with OSHA regulations, Roux will maintain and preserve medical records for a period of 30 years following termination of employment. Employees are provided with a copy of the physician's post-exam

report and have access to their medical records and analyses.

6. Safe Work Practices

All Roux employees shall conform to the following safe work practices during all on-site work activities conducted within the exclusion and contamination reduction zones:

- Eating, drinking, chewing gum or tobacco, smoking, or any practice that increases the probability of hand-to-mouth contact is strictly prohibited.
- The hands and face must be thoroughly washed upon leaving the work area and prior to engaging in any activity indicated above.
- Respiratory protective equipment and clothing must be worn by all personnel entering the Site as required by the HASP or as modified by the Site safety officer. Excessive facial hair (i.e., beards, long mustaches, or sideburns) that interferes with the satisfactory respirator-to-face seal is prohibited.
- Contact with surfaces/materials either suspected or known to be contaminated will be avoided to minimize the potential for transfer to personnel, cross contamination and need for decontamination.
- Medicine and alcohol can synergize the effects of exposure to toxic chemicals. Due to possible contraindications, use of prescribed drugs should be reviewed with the Roux occupational physician. Alcoholic beverages and illegal drug intake are strictly forbidden during the workday.
- All personnel shall be familiar with standard operating safety procedures and additional instructions contained in this Health and Safety Plan.
- On-site personnel shall use the “buddy” system. No one may work alone (i.e., out of earshot or visual contact with other workers) in the exclusion zone.
- Personnel and equipment in the contaminated area shall be minimized, consistent with effective Site operations.
- All employees have the obligation to immediately report and if possible, correct unsafe work conditions.
- Use of contact lenses on-site will not be permitted. Spectacle kits for insertion into full-face respirators will be provided for Roux employees, as requested, and required.

The recommended specific safety practices for working around the contractor’s equipment (e.g., backhoes, bulldozers, excavators, drill rigs etc.) are as follows:

- Although the Contractor and subcontractors are responsible for their equipment and safe operation of the Site, Roux personnel are also responsible for their own safety.
- Subsurface work will not be initiated without first clearing underground utility services.
- Heavy equipment should not be operated within 20 feet of overhead wires. This

distance may be increased if windy conditions are anticipated or if lines carry high voltage. The Site should also be sufficiently clear to ensure the project staff can move around the heavy machinery safely.

- Care should be taken to avoid overhead wires when moving heavy equipment from location to location.
- Hard hats, safety boots and safety glasses should be always worn in the vicinity of heavy equipment. Hearing protection is also recommended.
- The work Site should be kept neat. This will prevent personnel from tripping and will allow for fast emergency exit from the Site.
- Proper lighting must be provided when working at night.
- Construction activities should be discontinued during an electrical storm or severe weather conditions.
- The presence of combustible gases should be checked before igniting any open flame.
- Personnel shall stand upwind of any construction operation when not immediately involved in sampling/logging/observing activities.
- Personnel will not approach the edge of an unsecured trench/excavation closer than two feet.

7. Personal Protective Equipment

7.1 Equipment Selection

PPE will be donned when work activities may result in exposure to physical or chemical hazards beyond acceptable limits, and when such exposure can be mitigated through appropriate PPE. The selection of PPE will be based on an evaluation of the performance characteristics of the PPE relative to the requirements and limitations of the Site, the task-specific conditions and duration, and the hazards and potential hazards identified at the Site.

Equipment designed to protect the body against contact with known or suspected chemical hazards are grouped into four categories according to the degree of protection afforded. These categories designated A through D consistent with United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) Level of Protection designation, are:

- Level A: Should be selected when the highest level of respiratory, skin and eye protection is needed.
- Level B: Should be selected when the highest level of respiratory protection is needed, but a lesser level of skin protection is required. Level B protection is the minimum level recommended on initial Site entries until the hazards have been further defined by on-site studies. Level B (or Level A) is also necessary for oxygen-deficient atmospheres.
- Level C: Should be selected when the types of airborne substances are known, the concentrations have been measured and the criteria for using air-purifying respirators are met. In atmospheres where no airborne contaminants are present, Level C provides dermal protection only.
- Level D: Should not be worn on any Site with elevated respiratory or skin hazards. This is generally a work uniform providing minimal protection.

OSHA requires the use of certain PPE under conditions where an immediate danger to life and health (IDLH) may be present. Specifically, OSHA 29 CFR 1910.120(g)(3)(iii) requires use of a positive pressure self-contained breathing apparatus, or positive pressure air-line respirator equipped with an escape air supply when chemical exposure levels present a substantial possibility of immediate serious injury, illness, or death, or impair the ability to escape. Similarly, OSHA 29 CFR 1910.120(g)(3)(iv) requires donning totally encapsulating chemical protective suits (with a protection level equivalent to Level A protection) in conditions where skin absorption of a hazardous substance may result in a substantial possibility of immediate serious illness, injury, or death, or impair the ability to escape.

In situations where the types of chemicals, concentrations, and possibilities of contact are unknown, the appropriate level of protection must be selected based on professional experience and judgment until the hazards can be further characterized. The individual components of clothing and equipment must be assembled into a full protective ensemble to protect the worker from site-specific hazards, while at the same time minimizing hazards and drawbacks of the

personal protective gear itself. Ensemble components are detailed below for levels A/B, C, and D protection.

7.2 Protection Ensembles

7.2.1 Level A/B Protection Ensemble

Level A/B ensembles include similar respiratory protection; however, Level A provides a higher degree of dermal protection than Level B. Use of Level A over Level B is determined by comparing the concentrations of identified substances in the air with skin toxicity data and assessing the effect of the substance (by its measured air concentrations or splash potential) on the small area of the head and neck unprotected by Level B clothing.

The recommended PPE for level A/B is:

- Pressure-demand, full-face piece self-contained breathing apparatus (MSHA/-NIOSH approved) or pressure-demand supplied-air respirator with escape self-contained breathing apparatus (SCBA);
- Chemical-resistant clothing. For Level A, clothing consists of totally encapsulating chemical resistant suit. Level B incorporates hooded one-or two-piece chemical splash suit;
- Inner and outer chemical resistant gloves;
- Chemical-resistant safety boots/shoes; and
- Hardhat.

7.2.2 Level C Protection Ensemble

Level C protection is distinguished from Level B by the equipment used to protect the respiratory system, assuming the same type of chemical-resistant clothing is used. The main selection criterion for Level C is that conditions permit wearing an air-purifying device. The device (when required) must be an air-purifying respirator (MSHA/NIOSH approved) equipped with filter cartridges. Cartridges must be able to remove the substances encountered. Respiratory protection will be used only with proper fitting, training, and the approval of a qualified individual. In addition, an air-purifying respirator can be used only if: oxygen content of the atmosphere is at least 19.5% in volume; substances are identified and concentrations measured; substances have adequate warning properties; the individual passes a qualitative fit-test for the mask; and an appropriate cartridge/canister is used, and its service limit concentration is not exceeded.

Recommended PPE for Level C conditions includes:

- Full-face piece, air-purifying respirator equipped with MSHA and NIOSH approved organic vapor/acid gas/dust/mist combination cartridges or as designated by the SSHO;
- Chemical-resistant clothing (hooded, one or two-piece chemical splash suit or disposable chemical-resistant one-piece suit);

- Inner and outer chemical-resistant gloves;
- Chemical-resistant safety boots/shoes; and
- Hardhat.

An air-monitoring program is part of all response operations when atmospheric contamination is known or suspected. It is particularly important that the air be monitored thoroughly when personnel are wearing air-purifying respirators. Continual surveillance using direct-reading instruments is needed to detect any changes in air quality necessitating a higher level of respiratory protection.

7.2.3 Level D Protection Ensemble

As indicated above, Level D protection is primarily a work uniform. It can be worn in areas where only boots can be contaminated, where there are no inhalable toxic substances and where the atmosphere contains at least 19.5% oxygen.

Recommended PPE for Level D includes:

- Coveralls;
- Safety boots/shoes;
- Safety glasses or chemical splash goggles;
- Hardhat; and
- Optional gloves, escape mask; face shield.

7.2.4 Recommended Level of Protection for Site Tasks

Based upon current information regarding both the contaminants suspected to be present at the Site and the various tasks that are included in the remedial activities, the minimum required levels of protection for these tasks shall be as identified in Table 3.

8. Exposure Monitoring

8.1 General

Based on the results of historic sample analysis and the nature of the proposed work activities at the Site, the possibility exists that organic vapors and/or particulates may be released to the air during intrusive construction activities. Ambient breathing zone concentrations may at times exceed the permissible exposure limits (PELs) established by OSHA for the individual compounds (see Table 1), in which case respiratory protection will be required. Respiratory and dermal protection may be modified (upgraded or downgraded) by the SSHO based upon real-time field monitoring data.

8.1.1 On-Site Work Zone Monitoring

Roux personnel will conduct routine, real-time air monitoring during all intrusive construction phases such as excavation, backfilling, drilling, etc. The work area will be monitored at regular intervals using a PID, combustible gas meter and a particulate meter. Observed values will be recorded and maintained as part of the permanent field record.

Additional air monitoring measurements may be made by Roux personnel to verify field conditions during subcontractor oversight activities. Monitoring instruments will be protected from surface contamination during use. Additional monitoring instruments may be added if the situations or conditions change. Monitoring instruments will be calibrated in accordance with manufacturer's instructions before use.

8.1.2 Off-Site Community Air Monitoring

In addition to on-site monitoring within the work zone(s), monitoring at the downwind portion of the Site perimeter will be conducted. This will provide a real-time method for determination of vapor and/or particulate releases to the surrounding community as a result of ground intrusive investigation work.

Ground intrusive activities are defined in the Generic Community Air Monitoring Plan and attached as Attachment C. Ground intrusive activities include soil/waste excavation and handling, test pitting or trenching, and the installation of soil borings or monitoring wells. Non-intrusive activities include the collection of soil and sediment samples or the collection of groundwater samples from existing wells. Continuous monitoring is required for ground intrusive activities and periodic monitoring is required for non-intrusive activities. Periodic monitoring consists of taking a reading upon arrival at a sample location, monitoring while opening a well cap or overturning soil, monitoring while bailing a well, and taking a reading prior to leaving a sampling location. This may be upgraded to continuous if the sampling location is in close proximity to individuals not

involved in the Site activity (i.e., on a curb of a busy street). The action levels below will be used during periodic monitoring.

8.2 Monitoring Action Levels

8.2.1 On-Site Work Zone Action Levels

The PID, or other appropriate instrument(s), will be used by Roux personnel to monitor organic vapor concentrations as specified in this HASP. Combustible gas will be monitored with the "combustible gas" option on the combustible gas meter or other appropriate instrument(s). In addition, fugitive dust/particulate concentrations will be monitored during major soil intrusion (viz., well/boring installation) using a real-time particulate monitor as specified in this plan. In the absence of such monitoring, appropriate respiratory protection for particulates shall be donned. Sustained readings obtained in the breathing zone may be interpreted (with regard to other Site conditions) as follows for Roux personnel:

- Total atmospheric concentrations of unidentified vapors or gases ranging from 0 to 1 ppm above background on the PID) - Continue operations under Level D (see Appendix A).
- Total atmospheric concentrations of unidentified vapors or gases yielding sustained readings from >1 ppm to 5 ppm above background on the PID (vapors not suspected of containing high levels of chemicals toxic to the skin) - Continue operations under Level C (see Appendix A).
- Total atmospheric concentrations of unidentified vapors or gases yielding sustained readings of >5 ppm to 50 ppm above background on the PID - Continue operations under Level B (see Appendix A), re-evaluate and alter (if possible) construction methods to achieve lower vapor concentrations.
- Total atmospheric concentrations of unidentified vapors or gases above 50 ppm on the PID - Discontinue operations and exit the work zone immediately.

The particulate monitor will be used to monitor respirable dust concentrations during all intrusive activities and during handling of Site soil/fill. Action levels based on the instrument readings shall be as follows:

- Less than 50 mg/m^3 - Continue field operations.
- 50-150 mg/m^3 - Don dust/particulate mask or equivalent
- Greater than 150 mg/m^3 - Don dust/particulate mask or equivalent. Initiate engineering controls to reduce respirable dust concentration (viz., wetting of excavated soils or tools at discretion of Site Health and Safety Officer).

Readings from the field equipment will be recorded and documented on the appropriate Project Field Forms. All instruments will be calibrated before use daily and the procedure will be documented on the appropriate Project Field Forms.

8.2.2 Community Air Monitoring Action Levels

In addition to the action levels prescribed in Section 8.2.1 for Roux personnel on-site, the following criteria shall also be adhered to for the protection of downwind receptors consistent with NYSDOH requirements (Attachment C):

- ORGANIC VAPOR PERIMETER MONITORING:
    1. If the sustained ambient air concentration of organic vapors at the downwind perimeter of the exclusion zone exceeds 5 ppm above background for the 15-minute average, work activities will be temporarily halted and monitoring continued. If the sustained organic vapor decreases below 5 ppm over background, work activities can resume with continued monitoring.
    2. If the sustained ambient air concentration of organic vapors at the downwind perimeter of the exclusion zone are greater than 5 ppm over background but less than 25 ppm for the 15-minute average, activities can resume provided that: the organic vapor level 200 feet downwind of the working site or half the distance to the nearest off-site residential or commercial structure, whichever is less, but in no case less than 20 feet, is below 5 ppm over background; and more frequent intervals of monitoring, as directed by the Site Health and Safety Officer, are conducted.
    3. If the sustained organic vapor level is above 25 ppm at the perimeter of the exclusion zone for the 15-minute average, the Site Health and Safety Officer must be notified, and work activities shut down. The Site Health and Safety Officer will determine when re-entry of the exclusion zone is possible and will implement downwind air monitoring to ensure vapor emissions do not impact the nearest off-site residential or commercial structure at levels exceeding those specified in the Organic Vapor Contingency Monitoring Plan below. All readings will be recorded and will be available for New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (DEC) and Department of Health (DOH) personnel to review.
- ORGANIC VAPOR CONTINGENCY MONITORING PLAN:
    1. If the sustained organic vapor level is greater than 5 ppm over background 200 feet downwind from the work area or half the distance to the nearest off-site residential or commercial property, whichever is less, all work activities must be halted.
    2. If, following the cessation of the work activities or as the result of an emergency, sustained organic levels persist above 5 ppm above background 200 feet downwind or half the distance to the nearest off-site residential or commercial property from the work area, then the air quality must be monitored within 20 feet of the perimeter of the nearest off-site residential or commercial structure (20-foot zone).

3. If efforts to abate the emission source are unsuccessful and if sustained organic vapor levels approach or exceed 5 ppm above background within the 20-foot zone for more than 30 minutes or are sustained at levels greater than 10 ppm above background for longer than one minute, then the Major Vapor Emission Response Plan (see below) will automatically be placed into effect.

- MAJOR VAPOR EMISSION RESPONSE PLAN:

  Upon activation, the following activities will be undertaken:

  1. All Emergency Response Contacts listed in this Health and Safety Plan and the Emergency Response Plan (Attachment A) will be advised.
  2. The local police authorities will immediately be contacted by the Site Health and Safety Officer and advised of the situation.
  3. Frequent air monitoring will be conducted at 30-minute intervals within the 20-foot zone. If two sustained successive readings below action levels are measured, air monitoring may be halted or modified by the Site Health and Safety Officer.

The following personnel are to be notified in the listed sequence if a Major Vapor Emission Plan is activated:

Responsible Person	Contact	Phone Number
SSHO	Police	911
SSHO	State Emergency Response Hotline	(800) 457-7362

Additional emergency numbers are listed in the Emergency Response Plan included as Attachment A.

- EXPLOSIVE VAPORS:

  1. Sustained atmospheric concentrations of greater than 10% LEL in the work area - Initiate combustible gas monitoring at the downwind portion of the Site perimeter.
  2. Sustained atmospheric concentrations of greater than 10% LEL at the downwind Site perimeter – Halt work and contact local Fire Department.

- AIRBORNE PARTICULATE COMMUNITY AIR MONITORING

  Respirable (PM-10) particulate monitoring will be performed on a continuous basis at the upwind and downwind perimeter of the exclusion zone. The monitoring will be performed using real-time monitoring equipment capable of measuring PM-10 and integrating over a period of 15-minutes for comparison to the airborne particulate action levels. The equipment will be equipped with an audible alarm to indicate exceedance of the action level. In addition, fugitive dust migration will be visually assessed during all work activities. All readings will be recorded and will be available for NYSDEC and NYSDOH review. Readings will be interpreted as follows:

1. If the downwind PM-10 particulate level is 100 micrograms per cubic meter (ug/m3) greater than the background (upwind perimeter) reading for the 15-minute period or if airborne dust is observed leaving the work area, then dust suppression techniques must be employed. Work may continue with dust suppression provided that the downwind PM-10 particulate levels do not exceed 150 ug/m3 above the upwind level and that visible dust is not migrating from the work area.
2. If, after implementation of dust suppression techniques downwind PM-10 levels are greater than 150 ug/m3 above the upwind level, work activities must be stopped and dust suppression controls re-evaluated. Work can resume provided that supplemental dust suppression measures and/or other controls are successful in reducing the downwind PM-10 particulate concentration to within 150 ug/m3 of the upwind level and in preventing visible dust migration.

Pertinent emergency response information including the telephone number of the Fire Department is included in the Emergency Response Plan (Attachment A).

9. Spill Release/Response

This chapter of the HASP describes the potential for, and procedures related to spills or releases of known or suspected petroleum and/or hazardous substances on the Site. The purpose of this Section of the HASP is to plan appropriate response, control, countermeasures, and reporting, consistent with OSHA requirements in 29 CFR 1910.120(b)(4)(ii)(J) and (j)(1)(viii). The spill containment program addresses the following elements:

- Potential hazardous material spills and available controls.
- Initial notification and evaluation.
- Spill response.
- Post-spill evaluation.

9.1 Potential Spills and Available Controls

An evaluation was conducted to determine the potential for hazardous material and oil/petroleum spills at this Site. For this evaluation, hazardous materials posing a significant spill potential are:

- CERCLA Hazardous Substances as identified in 40 CFR Part 302, where such materials pose the potential for release in excess of their corresponding Reportable Quantity (RQ).
- Extremely Hazardous Substances as identified in 40 CFR Part 355, Appendix A, where such materials pose the potential for release in excess of their corresponding Reportable Quantity (RQ).
- Hazardous Chemicals as defined under Section 311(e) of the Emergency Planning and Community Right-To-Know Act of 1986, where such chemicals are present or will be stored in excess of 10,000 lbs.
- Toxic Chemicals as defined in 40 CFR Part 372, where such chemicals are present or will be stored in excess of 10,000 lbs.
- Chemicals regulated under 6NYCRR Part 597, where such materials pose the potential for release in excess of their corresponding Reportable Quantity (RQ).
- Oil/petroleum products are considered to pose a significant spill potential whenever the following situations occur:
- The potential for a “harmful quantity” of oil (including petroleum and non-petroleum-based fuels and lubricants) to reach navigable waters of the U.S. exists (40 CFR Part 112.4). Harmful quantities are considered by USEPA to be volumes that could form a visible sheen on the water or violate applicable water quality standards.
- The potential for any amount of petroleum to reach any waters of NY State, including groundwater, exists. Petroleum, as defined by NY State in 6NYCRR Part 612, is a petroleum-based heat source, energy source, or engine lubricant/maintenance fluid.

- The potential for any release, to soil or water, of petroleum from a bulk storage facility regulated under 6NYCRR Part 612. A regulated petroleum storage facility is defined by NY State as a site having stationary tank(s) and intra-facility piping, fixtures, and related equipment with an aggregate storage volume of 1,100 gallons or greater.

The evaluation indicates that, based on Site history and decommissioning records, a hazardous material spill and/or a petroleum product spill is not likely to occur during RI efforts.

9.2 Initial Spill Notification and Evaluation

Any worker who discovers a hazardous substance or oil/petroleum spill will immediately notify the Project Manager and SSHO. The worker will, to the best of his/her ability, report the material involved, the location of the spill, the estimated quantity of material spilled, the direction/flow of the spill material, related fire/explosion incidents, if any, and any associated injuries. The Emergency Response Plan presented in Appendix A of this HASP will immediately be implemented if an emergency release has occurred.

Following the initial report of a spill, the Project Manager will make an evaluation as to whether the release exceeds RQ levels. If an RQ level is exceeded, the Project Manager will notify the Site owner and NYSDEC at 1-800-457-7362 within 2 hours of spill discovery. The Project Manager will also determine what additional agencies (e.g., USEPA) are to be contacted regarding the release, and will follow up with written reports as required by the applicable regulations.

9.3 Spill Response

For all spill situations, the following general response guidelines will apply:

- Only those personnel involved in overseeing or performing containment operations will be allowed within the spill area. If necessary, the area will be roped, ribboned, or otherwise blocked off to prevent unauthorized access.
- Appropriate PPE, as specified by the SSHO, will be donned before entering the spill area.
- Ignition points will be extinguished/removed if fire or explosion hazards exist.
- Surrounding reactive materials will be removed.
- Drains or drainage in the spill area will be blocked to prevent inflow of spilled materials or applied materials.

For minor spills, the Contractor will maintain a Spill Control and Containment Kit in the Field Office or other readily accessible storage location. The kit will consist of, at a minimum, a 50 lb. bag of “speedy dry” granular absorbent material, absorbent pads, shovels, empty 5-gallon pails and an empty open-top 55-gallon drum. Spilled materials will be absorbed and shoveled into a 55-gallon drum for proper disposal (NYSDEC approval will be secured for on-site treatment of the impacted soils/absorbent materials, if applicable). Impacted soils will be hand-excavated to the point that no visible signs of contamination remains and will be drummed with the absorbent.

In the event of a major release or a release that threatens surface water, a spill response contractor will be called to the Site. The response contractor may use heavy equipment (e.g., excavator, backhoe, etc.) to berm the soils surrounding the spill Site or create diversion trenching to mitigate overland migration or release to navigable waters. Where feasible, pumps will be used to transfer free liquid to storage containers. Spill control/cleanup contractors in the Western New York area that may be contacted for assistance include:

- The Environmental Service Group of NY, Inc.: (716) 695-6720
- Environmental Products and Services, Inc.: (716) 447-4700
- Op-Tech: (716) 873-7680

9.4 Post-Spill Evaluation

If a reportable quantity of hazardous material or oil/petroleum is spilled as determined by the Project Manager, a written report will be prepared as indicated in Section 9.2. The report will identify the root cause of the spill, type and amount of material released, date/time of release, response actions, agencies notified and/or involved in cleanup, and procedures to be implemented to avoid repeat incidents. In addition, all re-useable spill cleanup and containment materials will be decontaminated, and spill kit supplies/disposable items will be replenished.

10. Heat/Cold Stress Monitoring

Since some of the work activities at the Site will be scheduled for both the summer and winter months, measures will be taken to minimize heat/cold stress to Roux employees. The Site Safety and Health Officer and/or his or her designee will be responsible for monitoring Roux field personnel for symptoms of heat/cold stress.

10.1 Heat Stress Monitoring

Personal protective equipment may place an employee at risk of developing heat stress, a common and potentially serious illness often encountered at construction, landfill, waste disposal, industrial or other unsheltered sites. The potential for heat stress is dependent on several factors, including environmental conditions, clothing, workload, physical condition, and age. Personal protective equipment may severely reduce the body's normal ability to maintain temperature equilibrium (via evaporation and convection) and require increased energy expenditure due to its bulk and weight.

Proper training and preventive measures will mitigate the potential for serious illness. Heat stress prevention is particularly important because once a person suffers from heat stroke or heat exhaustion, that person may be predisposed to additional heat related illness. To avoid heat stress, the following steps should be taken:

- Adjust work schedules.
- Modify work/rest schedules according to monitoring requirements.
- Mandate work slowdowns as needed.
- Perform work during cooler hours of the day if possible or at night if adequate lighting can be provided.
- Provide shelter (air-conditioned, if possible) or shaded areas to protect personnel during rest periods.
- Maintain worker's body fluids at normal levels. This is necessary to ensure that the cardiovascular system functions adequately. Daily fluid intake must approximately equal the amount of water lost in sweat (i.e., eight fluid ounces must be ingested for approximately every 1 lb of weight lost). The normal thirst mechanism is not sensitive enough to ensure that enough water will be consumed to replace lost perspiration. When heavy sweating occurs, workers should be encouraged to drink more.
- Train workers to recognize the symptoms of heat related illness.

Heat-Related Illness - Symptoms:

- Heat rash may result from continuous exposure to heat or humid air.
- Heat cramps are caused by heavy sweating with inadequate electrolyte replacement. Signs and symptoms include muscle spasms, pain in the hands, feet, and abdomen.

- Heat exhaustion occurs from increased stress on various body organs including inadequate blood circulation due to cardiovascular insufficiency or dehydration. Signs and symptoms include pale, cool, moist skin; heavy sweating; dizziness; nausea; fainting.
- Heat stroke is the most serious form of heat stress. Temperature regulation fails and the body temperature rises to critical levels. Immediate action must be taken to cool the body before serious injury and death occur. Competent medical help must be obtained. Signs and symptoms are red, hot, usually dry skin; lack of or reduced perspiration; nausea; dizziness and confusion; strong, rapid pulse; coma.

The monitoring of personnel wearing protective clothing should commence when the ambient temperature is 70 degrees Fahrenheit or above. For monitoring the body's recuperative ability to excess heat, one or more of the following techniques should be used as a screening mechanism.

- Heart rate may be measured by the radial pulse for 30 seconds as early as possible in the resting period. The rate at the beginning of the rest period should not exceed 100 beats per minute. If the rate is higher, the next work period should be shortened by 10 minutes (or 33%), while the length of the rest periods stay the same. If the pulse rate is 100 beats per minute at the beginning of the nest rest period, the following work cycle should be further shortened by 33%.
- Body temperature may be measured orally with a clinical thermometer as early as possible in the resting period. Oral temperature at the beginning of the rest period should not exceed 99.6 degrees Fahrenheit. If it does, the next work period should be shortened by 10 minutes (or 33%), while the length of the rest period remains the same. However, if the oral temperature exceeds 99.6 degrees Fahrenheit at the beginning of the next period, the work cycle may be further shortened by 33%. Oral temperature should be measured at the end of the rest period to make sure that it has dropped below 99.6 degrees Fahrenheit. No Roux employee will be permitted to continue wearing semi-permeable or impermeable garments when his/her oral temperature exceeds 100.6 degrees Fahrenheit.

10.2 Cold Stress Monitoring

Exposure to cold conditions may result in frostbite or hypothermia, each of which progresses in stages as shown below.

- Frostbite occurs when body tissue (usually on the extremities) begins to freeze. The three states of frostbite are:

    1. Frost nip - This is the first stage of the freezing process. It is characterized by a whitened area of skin, along with a slight burning or painful sensation. Treatment consists of removing the victim from the cold conditions, removal of boots and gloves, soaking the injured part in warm water (102 to 108 degrees Fahrenheit) and drinking a warm beverage. Do not rub skin to generate friction/ heat.
    2. Superficial Frostbite - This is the second stage of the freezing process. It is characterized by a whitish gray area of tissue, which will be firm to the touch but will yield little pain. The treatment is identical for Frost nip.

3. Deep Frostbite - In this final stage of the freezing process the affected tissue will be cold, numb, and hard and will yield little to no pain. Treatment is identical to that for Frost nip.

- Hypothermia is a serious cold stress condition occurring when the body loses heat at a rate faster than it is produced. If untreated, hypothermia may be fatal. The stages of hypothermia may not be clearly defined or visible at first, but generally include:

  1. Shivering
  2. Apathy (i.e., a change to an indifferent or uncaring mood)
  3. Unconsciousness
  4. Bodily freezing

  Employees exhibiting signs of hypothermia should be treated by medical professionals. Steps that can be taken while awaiting help include:

  1. Remove the victim from the cold environment and remove wet or frozen clothing. (Do this carefully as frostbite may have started.)
  2. Perform active re-warming with hot liquids for drinking (Note: do not give the victim any liquid containing alcohol or caffeine) and a warm water bath (102 to 108 degrees Fahrenheit).
  3. Perform passive re-warming with a blanket or jacket wrapped around the victim.

In any potential cold stress situation, it is the responsibility of the Site Health and Safety Officer to encourage the following:

- Education of workers to recognize the symptoms of frostbite and hypothermia.
- Workers should dress warmly, with more layers of thin clothing as opposed to one thick layer.
- Personnel should remain active and keep moving.
- Personnel should be allowed to take shelter in a heated area, as necessary.
- Personnel should drink warm liquids (no caffeine or alcohol if hypothermia has set in).
- For monitoring the body's recuperation from excess cold, oral temperature recordings should occur:

  1. At the Site Safety Technicians discretion when suspicion is based on changes in a worker's performance or mental status.
  2. At a worker's request.
  3. As a screening measure, two times per shift, under unusually hazardous conditions (e.g., wind chill less than 20 degrees Fahrenheit or wind chill less than 30 degrees Fahrenheit with precipitation).

4. As a screening measure, whenever anyone worker on-site develops hypothermia.

Any person developing moderate hypothermia (a core body temperature of 92 degrees Fahrenheit) will not be allowed to return to work for 48 hours without the recommendation of a qualified medical doctor.

11. Work Zones and Site Control

Work zones around the areas designated for construction activities will be established daily and communicated to all employees and other Site users by the SSHO. It shall be each Contractor's Site Safety and Health Officer's responsibility to ensure that all Site workers are aware of the work zone boundaries and to enforce proper procedures in each area. The zones will include:

- Exclusion Zone ("Hot Zone") - The area where contaminated materials may be exposed, excavated, or handled and all areas where contaminated equipment or personnel may travel. Flagging tape will delineate the zone. All personnel entering the Exclusion Zone must wear the prescribed level of personal protective equipment identified in Section 7.
- Contamination Reduction Zone - The zone where decontamination of personnel and equipment takes place. Any potentially contaminated clothing, equipment and samples must remain in the Contamination Reduction Zone until decontaminated.
- Support Zone - The part of the site that is considered non-contaminated or "clean." Support equipment will be in this zone, and personnel may wear normal work clothes within this zone.

In the absence of other task-specific work zone boundaries established by the SSHO, the following boundaries will apply to all investigation and construction activities involving disruption or handling of Site soils or groundwater:

- Exclusion Zone: 50-foot radius from the outer limit of the sampling/construction activity.
- Contaminant Reduction Zone: 100-foot radius from the outer limit of the sampling/construction activity.
- Support Zone: Areas outside the Contaminant Reduction Zone.

Access of non-essential personnel to the Exclusion and Contamination Reduction Zones will be strictly controlled by the SSHO. Only personnel who are essential to the completion of the task will be allowed access to these areas and only if they are wearing the prescribed level of protection. Entrance of all personnel must be approved by the SSHO.

The SSHO will maintain a Health and Safety Logbook containing the names of Roux workers and their level of protection. The zone boundaries may be changed by the SSHO as environmental conditions warrant, and to respond to the necessary changes in work locations on-site.

12. Decontamination

12.1 Decontamination for Roux Employees

The degree of decontamination required is a function of a particular task and the environment within which it occurs. The following decontamination procedure will remain flexible, thereby allowing the decontamination crew to respond appropriately to the changing environmental conditions that may arise at the Site. All Roux personnel on-site shall follow the procedure below, or the Contractor's procedure (if applicable), whichever is more stringent.

Station 1 - Equipment Drop: Deposit visibly contaminated (if any) re-useable equipment used in the contamination reduction and exclusion zones (tools, containers, monitoring instruments, radios, clipboards, etc.) on plastic sheeting.

Station 2 - Boots and Gloves Wash and Rinse: Scrub outer boots and outer gloves. Deposit tape and gloves in waste disposal container.

Station 3 - Tape, Outer Boot and Glove Removal: Remove tape, outer boots, and gloves. Deposit tape and gloves in waste disposal container.

Station 4 - Canister or Mask Change: If a worker leaves exclusion zones to change canister (or mask), this is the last step in the decontamination procedure. Worker's canister is exchanged, new outer gloves and boot cover donned, and worker returns to duty.

Station 5 - Outer Garment/Face Piece Removal: Protective suit removed and deposited in separate container provided by Contractor. Face piece or goggles are removed if used. Avoid touching face with fingers. Face piece and/or goggles deposited on plastic sheet. Hard hat removed and placed on plastic sheet.

Station 6 - Inner Glove Removal: Inner gloves are the last personal protective equipment to be removed. Avoid touching the outside of the gloves with bare fingers. Dispose of these gloves in waste disposal container.

Following PPE removal, personnel shall wash hands, face, and forearms with absorbent wipes. If field activities proceed for duration of six consecutive months or longer, shower facilities will be provided for worker use in accordance with OSHA 29 CFR 1910.120(n).

12.2 Decontamination for Medical Emergencies

In the event of a minor, non-life-threatening injury, personnel should follow the decontamination procedures as defined, and then administer first-aid.

In the event of a major injury or other serious medical concern (e.g., heat stroke), immediate first aid is to be administered and the victim transported to the hospital in lieu of further decontamination efforts unless exposure to a Site contaminant would be considered "Immediately Dangerous to Life or Health."

12.3 Decontamination of Field Equipment

The Contractor, in accordance with his approved Health and Safety Plan in the Contamination Reduction The Contractor, in accordance with his approved Health and Safety Plan in the Contamination Reduction Zone will conduct decontamination of heavy equipment. As a minimum, this will include manually removing heavy soil contamination, followed by steam cleaning on an impermeable pad.

Roux personnel will conduct decontamination of all tools used for sample collection purposes. It is expected that all tools will be constructed of nonporous, nonabsorbent materials (i.e., metal), which will aid in the decontamination effort. Any tool or part of a tool made of porous, absorbent material (i.e., wood) will be placed into suitable containers and prepared for disposal.

Decontamination of bailers, split-spoons, spatula knives, and other tools used for environmental sampling and examination shall be as follows:

- Disassemble the equipment.
- Water wash to remove all visible foreign matter.
- Wash with detergent.
- Rinse all parts with distilled-deionized water.
- Allow to air dry.
- Wrap all parts in aluminum foil or polyethylene.

13. Confined Space Entry

OSHA 29 CFR 1910.146 identifies a confined space as a space that is large enough and so configured that an employee can physically enter and do assigned work, has limited or restricted means for entry and exit, and is not intended for continuous employee occupancy. Confined spaces include, but are not limited to, trenches, storage tanks, process vessels, pits, sewers, tunnels, underground utility vaults, pipelines, sumps, wells, and excavations.

Confined space entry by Roux employees is not anticipated to be necessary to complete the RI/IRM activities identified in Section 2.0. In the event that the scope of work changes or confined space entry appears necessary, the Project Manager will be consulted to determine if feasible engineering alternatives to confined space entry can be implemented. If confined space entry by Roux employees cannot be avoided through reasonable engineering measures, task-specific confined space entry procedures will be developed, and a confined-space entry permit will be issued through Roux's corporate Health and Safety Director. Roux employees shall not enter a confined space without these procedures and permits in place.

14. Fire Prevention and Protection

14.1 General Approach

Recommended practices and standards of the National Fire Protection Association (NFPA) and other applicable regulations will be followed in the development and application of Project Fire Protection Programs. When required by regulatory authorities, the project management will prepare and submit a Fire Protection Plan for the approval of the contracting officers, authorized representative, or other designated official. Essential considerations for the Fire Protection Plan will include:

- Proper Site preparation and safe storage of combustible and flammable materials.
- Availability of coordination with private and public fire authorities.
- Adequate job-site fire protection and inspections for fire prevention.
- Adequate indoctrination and training of employees.

14.2 Equipment and Requirements

Fire extinguishers will be provided by each Contractor and are required on all heavy equipment and in each field trailer. Fire extinguishers will be inspected, serviced, and maintained in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions. As a minimum, all extinguishers shall be checked monthly and weighed semi-annually, and recharged if necessary. Recharge or replacement shall be mandatory immediately after each use.

14.3 Flammable and Combustible Substances

All storage, handling or use of flammable and combustible substances will be under the supervision of qualified persons. All tanks, containers, and pumping equipment, whether portable or stationary, used for the storage and handling of flammable and combustible liquids, will meet the recommendations of the National Fire Protection Association.

14.4 Hot Work

If the scope of work necessitates welding or blowtorch operation, the hot work permit presented in Attachment B will be completed by the SSHO and reviewed/issued by the Project Manager.

15. Emergency Information

In accordance with OSHA 29 CFR Part 1910, an Emergency Response Plan is attached to this HASP as Appendix A. The hospital route map is presented within Appendix A as Figure 1.

16. Field Team Review

Each person performing work at or visiting this site shall sign this section after site-specific training is completed and before being permitted to access the CRZ or Exclusion Zone.

I have read and understand this Site-Specific Health and Safety Plan. I will comply with the provision contained therein.

Site/Project: 1440 Main Street, Buffalo, New York

Name & Company	Signature	Date

17. Approvals

By their signature, the undersigned certify that this HASP is approved and will be utilized at the 1440 Main Street.

Paul Werthman, P.G. – Site Safety and Health Officer	Date
Tom Forbes, P.E. - Office Health and Safety Manager	Date
Mike Lesakowski – Project Manager	Date

18. References

New York State Department of Environmental Conservation. DER-10; Technical Guidance for Site Investigation and Remediation. May 2010.

TABLES

1. Toxicity Data for Constituents of Potential Concern
2. Potential Routes of Exposure to Constituents of Potential Concern
3. Required Levels of Protection for RI Tasks

ROUX

TABLE 1

TOXICITY DATA FOR CONSTITUENTS OF POTENTIAL CONCERN

1440 MAIN STREET SITE (BCP SITE No. C915408)

BUFFALO, NEW YORK

Parameter	Synonyms	CAS No.	Code	Concentration Limits [1]		
				PEL	TLV	IDLH
Semi-volatile Organic Compounds (SVOCs) [2] : ppm						
Benzo(a)pyrene	*none*	50-32-8	*none*	--	--	--
Benzo(a)anthracene	*none*	56-55-3	*none*	--	--	--
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	*none*	205-99-2	*none*	--	--	--
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	*none*	207-08-9	*none*	--	--	--
Chrysene	*none*	218 01 9	*none*	--	--	--
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	*none*	53-70-3	*none*	--	--	--
Fluoranthene	*none*	206-44-0	*none*	--	--	--
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	*none*	193-39-5	*none*	--	--	--
Inorganic Compounds: mg/m [2]						
Arsenic	*none*	7440-38-2	*Ca*	0.01	0.01	5
Barium	*none*	7440-39-3	*none*	0.5	0.5	250
Cadmium	*none*	7440-43-9	*Ca*	0.005	0.01	9
Lead	*none*	7439-92-1	*Ca*	0.05	0.05	100
Mercury	*none*	7439-97-6	*none*	0.1	0.05	10

Notes:

1. Concentration limits as reported by NIOSH Pocket Guide to Chemical Hazards, February 2004 (NIOSH Publication No. 97-140, fourth printing with chages and updates.
2. " -- " = concentration limit not available; exposure should be minimized to the extent feasible through appropriate engineering controls & PPE.

Explanation:

Ca = NIOSH considers constituent to be a potential occupational carcinogen.
IDLH = Immediately Dangerous to Life or Health.
TLV = Threshold Limit Value, established by American Conference of Industrial Hygienists (ACGIH), equals the maximum exposure concentration allowable for 8 hours/day @ 40 ho
TLVs are the amounts of chemicals in the air that almost all healthy adult workers are predicted to be able to tolerate without adverse effects. There are three types.
TLV-TWA (TLV-Time-Weighted Average) which is averaged over the normal eight-hour day/forty-hour work week. (Most TLVs.)
TLV-C or Ceiling limits are the concentration that should not be exceeded during any part of the working exposure.
Unless the initials "STEL" or "C" appear in the Code column, the TLV value should be considered to be the eight-hour TLV-TWA.
PEL = Permissible Exposure Limit, established by OSHA, equals the maximium exposure conconcentration allowable for 8 hours per day @ 40 hours per week

ROUX

TABLE 2

POTENTIAL ROUTES OF EXPOSURE TO THE CONSTITUENTS OF POTENTIAL CONCERN

1440 MAIN STREET SITE (BCP SITE No. C915408) BUFFALO, NEW YORK

Activity [1]	Direct Contact with Soil/Fill	Inhalation of Vapors or Dust	Direct Contact with Groundwater
Remedial Investigation Tasks			
1. Subsurface Soil Sampling	x	x	
2. Monitoring Well Installation/Development and Sampling	x	x	x
Interim Remedial Measures Tasks			
1. Soil Excavation	x	x	
2. Backfilling	x	x	
3. Verification Sampling	x	x	
4. Groundwater and Surface Water Management	x		x

Notes:
1. Activity as described in Section 1.5 of the Health and Safety Plan.

ROUX

TABLE 3

REQUIRED LEVELS OF PROTECTION
FOR RI TASKS


1440 MAIN STREET SITE (BCP SITE No. C915408)
BUFFALO, NEW YORK

Activity	Respiratory Protection [1]	Clothing	Gloves [2]	Boots [2, 3]	Other Required PPE/Modifications [2, 4]
Remedial Investigation Tasks					
1. Subsurface Soil Sampling	Level D (upgrade to Level C if necessary)	Work Uniform or Tyvek	L/N	outer: L inner: STSS	HH SGSS
2. Monitoring Well Installation/Development and Sampling	Level D (upgrade to Level C if necessary)	Work Uniform or Tyvek	L/N	outer: L inner: STSS	SGSS
3. Subslab Vapor Sampling	Level D (upgrade to Level C if necessary)	Work Uniform or Tyvek	L/N	outer: L inner: STSS	HH SGSS

Notes:
1. Respiratory equipment shall conform to guidelines presented in Section 7.0 of this HASP. The Level C requirement is an air-purifying respirator equiped with organic compound/acid
2. HH = hardhat; L= Latex; L/N = latex inner glove, nitrile outer glove; N = Nitrile; S = Saranex; SG = safety glasses; SGSS = safety glasses with sideshields; STSS = steel toe safety shoes.
3. Latex outer boot (or approved overboot) required whenever contact with contaminated materials may occur. SSHO may downgrade to STSS (steel-toed safety shoes) if contact will be
4. Dust masks shall be donned as directed by the SSHO (site safety and health officer) or site safety technician whenever potentially contaminated airborne particulates (i.e., dust) are present


FIGURES

1. Site Location and Vicinity Map
2. Site Plan (Aerial)



BASE MAP: USGS NY BUFFALO NE & NW 2019 QUADRANGLES





Title:

SITE LOCATION AND VICINITY MAP

REMEDIAL INESTIGATION WORK PLAN

1440 MAIN STREET SITE (BCP SITE No. C915408)
BUFFALO, NEW YORK 14209

Prepared for:

1440 MAIN STREET LLC

ROUX	Compiled by: JJY	Date: FEBRUARY 2026	FIGURE
	Prepared by: JJY	Scale: AS SHOWN	1
	Project Mgr: NTM	Project: 4503.0001B002	
	File: FIGURE 1 - SITE LOC AND VIC_RE.DWG		

F:\CAD\0-ROUX\R.E. MCNAMARA\RI_IRM\FIGURE 1 - SITE LOC AND VIC_RE.DWG



LEGEND:

PROPERTY BOUNDARY

PARCEL BOUNDARY

1 BUILDING NUMBER

NOTE:
1. AERIAL IMAGE FROM MICROSOFT BING MAPS USING AUTODESK AUTOCAD GEOLOCATION INTERFACE JULY 2024.

100' 0 100'

Title:

SITE PLAN (AERIAL)

REMEDIAL INESTIGATION WORK PLAN

1440 MAIN STREET SITE (BCP SITE No. C915408)
BUFFALO, NEW YORK 14209

Prepared for:

1440 MAIN STREET LLC

ROUX

Compiled by: JJY	Date: FEBRUARY 2026	FIGURE
Prepared by: JJY	Scale: AS SHOWN	2
Project Mgr: NTM	Project: 4503.0001B002	
File: FIGURE 2 - SITE PLAN (AERIAL).DWG		

F:\CAD\0-ROUX\R.E. MCNAMARA\RI-AAR\FIGURE 2 - SITE PLAN (AERIAL).DWG

Attachments

A. Emergency Response Plan (ERP)

B. Hot Work Permit Form

C. Community Air Monitoring Plan (CAMP)

ATTACHMENT A

Emergency Response Plan
(ERP)

Emergency Response Plan

1440 Main Street
(BCP SITE No. C915408)
Buffalo, New York

February 2026

Prepared for:

1440 Main Street LLC
8615 Roll Road
Clarence Center, New York 14032

Prepared by:

Roux Environmental Engineering and Geology, D.P.C.
2558 Hamburg Turnpike
Suite 300
Buffalo, New York 14218

Environmental Consulting
& Management
+1.800.322.ROUX
rouxinc.com

Table of Contents

1. General 1
2. Pre-Emergency Planning 2
3. On-Site Emergency Response Equipment 3
4. Emergency Planning Maps 4
5. Emergency Contacts 5
6. Emergency Alerting & Evacuation 6
7. Extreme Weather Conditions 7
8. Emergency Medical Treatment & First Aid 8
9. Emergency Response Critique & Record Keeping 9
10. Emergency Response Training 10

Figures

1. Hospital Route Map

1. General

This report presents the site-specific Emergency Response Plan (ERP) referenced in the Site Health and Safety Plan (HASP) prepared for Remedial Investigation (RI) and Interim Remedial Measures (IRM) activities at 1440 Main Street in Buffalo, New York. This appendix of the HASP describes potential emergencies that may occur at the Site; procedures for responding to those emergencies; roles and responsibilities during emergency response; and training all workers must receive in order to follow emergency procedures. This ERP also describes the provisions this Site has made to coordinate its emergency response planning with other contractors on-site and with off-site emergency response organizations.

This ERP is consistent with the requirements of 29 CFR 1910.120(l) and provides the following site-specific information:

- Pre-emergency planning.
- Personnel roles, lines of authority, and communication.
- Emergency recognition and prevention.
- Safe distances and places of refuge.
- Evacuation routes and procedures.
- Decontamination procedures.
- Emergency medical treatment and first aid.
- Emergency alerting and response procedures.
- Critique of response and follow-up.
- Emergency personal protective equipment (PPE) and equipment.

2. Pre-Emergency Planning

This Site has been evaluated for potential emergency occurrences, based on site hazards, the required work tasks, the site topography, and prevailing weather conditions. The results of that evaluation indicate the potential for the following site emergencies to occur at the locations indicated.

Type of Emergency:

1. Medical, due to physical injury

Source of Emergency:

1. Slip/trip/fall

Location of Source:

1. Non-specific

3. On-Site Emergency Response Equipment

Emergency procedures may require specialized equipment to facilitate worker rescue, contamination control and reduction, or post-emergency clean up. Emergency response equipment available on the Site is listed below. The equipment inventory and storage locations are based on the potential emergencies described above. This equipment inventory is designed to meet on-site emergency response needs and any specialized equipment needs that off-site responders might require because of the hazards at this Site but not ordinarily stocked.

Any additional personal protective equipment (PPE) required and stocked for emergency response is also listed in below. During an emergency, the Emergency Response Coordinator (ERC) is responsible for specifying the level of PPE required for emergency response. At a minimum, PPE used by emergency responders will comply with Section 7.0, Personal Protective Equipment, of this HASP. Emergency response equipment is inspected at regular intervals and maintained in good working order. The equipment inventory is replenished as necessary to maintain response capabilities.

Emergency Equipment	Quantity	Location
First Aid Kit	1	Site Vehicle
Chemical Fire Extinguisher	2 (minimum)	All heavy equipment and Site Vehicle

Emergency PPE	Quantity	Location
Full-face respirator	1 for each worker	Site Vehicle
Chemical-resistant suits	4 (minimum)	Site Vehicle

4. Emergency Planning Maps

An area-specific map of the Site will be developed on a daily basis during performance of field activities. The map will be marked to identify critical on-site emergency planning information, including: emergency evacuation routes, a place of refuge, an assembly point, and the locations of key site emergency equipment. Site zone boundaries will be shown to alert responders to known areas of contamination. There are no major topographical features, however the direction of prevailing winds/weather conditions that could affect emergency response planning are also marked on the map. The map will be posted at the site-designated place of refuge and inside the Roux personnel field vehicle.

5. Emergency Contacts

The following identifies the emergency contacts for this ERP.

Emergency Telephone Numbers:

Project Manager: ***Michael Lesakowski***
Work: (716) 856-0599
Mobile: (716) 818-3954

Corporate Health and Safety Director: ***Michael Lesakowski***
Work: (716) 856-0599
Mobile: (716) 818-3954

Site Safety and Health Officer (SSHO): ***Paul Werthman, P.G.***
Work: (716) 856-0599
Mobile: (716) 997-9584

BUFFALO GENERAL HOSPITAL (ER):	(716) 859-5600
FIRE:	911
AMBULANCE:	911
BUFFALO POLICE:	911
STATE EMERGENCY RESPONSE HOTLINE:	(800) 457-7362
NATIONAL RESPONSE HOTLINE:	(800) 424-8802
NYSDOH:	(716) 847-4385
NYSDEC:	(716) 851-7220
NYSDEC 24-HOUR SPILL HOTLINE:	(800) 457-7252

The Site location is:

1440 Main Street
Buffalo, New York 14202
Site Phone Number: Roux Staff Cell Phones to be used.

6. Emergency Alerting & Evacuation

Internal emergency communication systems are used to alert workers to danger, convey safety information, and maintain site control. Any effective system can be employed. Two-way radio headsets or field telephones are often used when work teams are far from the command post. Hand signals and air-horn blasts are also commonly used. Every system must have a backup. It shall be the responsibility of each contractor's Site Health and Safety Officer to ensure all personnel entering the site understand an adequate method of internal communication. Unless all personnel are informed, the following signals shall be used.

1) Emergency signals by portable air horn, siren, or whistle: two short blasts, personal injury; continuous blast, emergency requiring site excavation.

2) Visual signals: hand gripping throat, out of air/cannot breathe; hands on top of head, need assistance; thumbs up, affirmative/ everything is OK; thumbs down, no/negative; grip partner's wrist or waist, leave area immediately.

If evacuation notice is given, Site workers leave the worksite with their respective buddies, if possible, by way of the nearest exit. Emergency decontamination procedures detailed in Section 12.0 of the HASP are followed to the extent practical without compromising the safety and health of site personnel. The evacuation routes and assembly area will be determined by conditions at the time of the evacuation based on wind direction, the location of the hazard source, and other factors as determined by rehearsals and inputs from emergency response organizations. Wind direction indicators are located so that workers can determine a safe up wind or cross wind evacuation route and assembly area if not informed by the emergency response coordinator at the time the evacuation alarm sounds. Since work conditions and work zones within the site may be changing on daily basis, it shall be the responsibility of the construction Site Health and Safety Officer to review evacuation routes and procedures as necessary and to inform all Roux workers of any changes.

Personnel leaving the site will gather at a designated assembly point. To determine that everyone has successfully exited the site, personnel will be accounted for at the assembly site. If any worker cannot be accounted for, notification is given to the SSHO (Paul Werthman, P.G.) so that appropriate action can be initiated. Contractors and subcontractors on this Site have coordinated their emergency response plans to ensure that these plans are compatible and that source(s) of potential emergencies are recognized, alarm systems are clearly understood, and evacuation routes are accessible to all personnel relying upon them.

7. Extreme Weather Conditions

In the event of adverse weather conditions, the Site Safety and Health Officer in conjunction with the Contractor's SSHO will determine if engineering operations can continue without sacrificing the health and safety of site personnel. Items to be considered prior to determining if work should continue include but are not limited to:

- Potential for heat/cold stress.
- Weather-related construction hazards (e.g., flooding, or wet conditions producing undermining of structures or sheeting, high wind threats, etc).
- Limited visibility.
- Potential for electrical storms.
- Limited site access/egress (e.g., due to heavy snow)

8. Emergency Medical Treatment & First Aid

Personnel Exposure:

The following general guidelines will be employed in instances where health impacts threaten to occur acute exposure is realized:

- Skin Contact: Use copious amounts of soap and water. Wash/rinse affected area for at least 15 minutes. Decontaminate and provide medical attention. Eyewash stations will be provided on site. If necessary, transport to Buffalo General Hospital.
- Inhalation: Move to fresh air and, if necessary, transport to Hospital.
- Ingestion: Decontaminate and transport to Hospital.

Personal Injury:

Minor first-aid will be applied on-site as deemed necessary. In the event of a life-threatening injury, the individual should be transported to Hospital via ambulance. The Site Health and Safety Officer will supply available chemical specific information to appropriate medical personnel as requested.

First aid kits will conform to Red Cross and other applicable good health standards and shall consist of a weatherproof container with individually sealed packages for each type of item. First aid kits will be fully equipped before being sent out on each job and will be checked weekly by the SSHO to ensure that the expended items are replaced.

Directions to Sisters Hospital (see Figure 1):

The following directions describe the best route from the Site to Buffalo General Medical Center:

- Travel southeast on NY-16/NY-5/S Division Street (0.1 miles)
- Keep on S Division Street (0.1 miles)
- Turn left (southwest) onto NY-33/Elm Street (0.5 miles)
- Bear right (south) onto Sycamore Street (459 feet)
- Bear left (southwest) onto Michigan Avenue (0.9 miles)
- Turn left (northwest) onto Goodrich Street (0.1 miles)
- Turn right (75 feet)
- Turn left into Buffalo General Medical Center (56 feet)

9. Emergency Response Critique & Record Keeping

Following an emergency, the SSHO and Project Manager shall review the effectiveness of this ERP in addressing notification, control, and evacuation requirements. Updates and modifications to this ERP shall be made accordingly. It shall be the responsibility of each contractor to establish and assure adequate records of the following:

- Occupational injuries and illnesses.
- Accident investigations.
- Reports to insurance carriers or State compensation agencies.
- Reports required by the client.
- Records and reports required by local, state, federal and/or international agencies.
- Property or equipment damage.
- Third party injury or damage claims.
- Environmental testing logs.
- Explosive and hazardous substances inventories and records.
- Records of inspections and citations.
- Safety training.

10. Emergency Response Training

All persons who enter the worksite, including visitors, shall receive a site-specific briefing about anticipated emergency situations and the emergency procedures by the SSHO. Where this site relies on off-site organizations for emergency response, the training of personnel in those off-site organizations has been evaluated and is deemed adequate for response to this site.


ATTACHMENTS


A-1 Hospital Route Map

Attachment A-1

Hospital Route Map

F:\CAD\0-ROUX\R.E. MCNAMARA\RI_IRM\ERP\FIGURE 1; HOSPITAL ROUTE MAP_REM_REV.DWG





SCALE: 1 INCH = 3,000 FEET
SCALE IN FEET
(approximate)

A 1440 Main St, Buffalo, NY 14202

↑	1.	Head **southeast** on **NY-16 / NY-5 / S Division St** toward Washington St ▲ *Minor congestion*	0.1 mi
↑	2.	Keep **straight** to get onto **S Division St** ▲ *Moderate congestion*	0.1 mi
↰	3.	Turn **left** onto **NY-33 / Elm St** ▲ *Minor congestion*	0.5 mi
↗	4.	Bear **right** onto **Sycamore St**	459 ft
↖	5.	Bear **left** onto **Michigan Ave**	0.9 mi
↰	6.	Turn **left** onto **Goodrich St**	0.1 mi
↱	7.	Turn **right**	75 ft
↰	8.	Turn **left**	56 ft
	9.	Arrive at destination The last intersection before your destination is Goodrich St	

B Buffalo General Medical Center Emergency Room

Title:

HOSPITAL ROUTE MAP

EMERGENCY RESPONSE PLAN

1440 MAIN STREET SITE (BCP SITE No. C915408)
BUFFALO, NEW YORK 14209

Prepared for:
1440 MAIN STREET LLC

ROUX

Compiled by: RFL	Date: AUGUST 2024	FIGURE
Prepared by: RFL	Scale: AS SHOWN	1
Project Mgr: MAL	Project: 4503.0001B002	
File:		

ATTACHMENT B

Hot Work Permit Form

HOT WORK PERMIT

PART 1 - INFORMATION

Issue Date:

Date Work to be Performed: Start: Finish (permit terminated):

Performed By:

Work Area:

Object to be Worked On:

PART 2 - APPROVAL

(for 1, 2 or 3: mark Yes, No or NA)*

Will working be on or in: Finish (permit terminated):

1. Metal partition, wall, ceiling covered by combustible material?	yes	no
2. Pipes, in contact with combustible material?	yes	no
3. Explosive area?	yes	no

* = If any of these conditions exist (marked "yes"), a permit will not be issued without being reviewed and approved by Thomas H. Forbes (Corporate Health and Safety Director). Required Signature below.

PART 3 - REQUIRED CONDITIONS**

(Check all conditions that must be met)

	PROTECTIVE ACTION		PROTECTIVE EQUIPMENT
	Specific Risk Assessment Required		Goggles/visor/welding screen
	Fire or spark barrier		Apron/fireproof clothing
	Cover hot surfaces		Welding gloves/gauntlets/other:
	Move movable fire hazards, specifically		Wellintons/Knee pads
	Erect screen on barrier		Ear protection: Ear muffs/Ear plugs
	Restrict Access		B.A.: SCBA/Long Breather
	Wet the ground		Respirator: Type:
	Ensure adequate ventilation		Cartridge:
	Provide adequate supports		Local Exhaust Ventilation
	Cover exposed drain/floor or wall cracks		Extinguisher/Fire blanket
	Fire watch (must remain on duty during duration of permit)		Personal flammable gas monitor
	Issue additional permit(s):		

Other precautions:

** Permit will not be issued until these conditions are met.

SIGNATURES

Orginating Employee: Date:

Project Manager: Date:

Part 2 Approval: Date:

ATTACHMENT C

Community Air Monitoring Plan (CAMP)

Attachment C

New York State Department of Health
Generic Community Air Monitoring Plan

Overview

A Community Air Monitoring Plan (CAMP) requires real-time monitoring for volatile organic compounds (VOCs) and particulates (i.e., dust) at the downwind perimeter of each designated work area when certain activities are in progress at contaminated sites. The CAMP is not intended for use in establishing action levels for worker respiratory protection. Rather, its intent is to provide a measure of protection for the downwind community (i.e., off-site receptors including residences and businesses and on-site workers not directly involved with the subject work activities) from potential airborne contaminant releases as a direct result of investigative and remedial work activities. The action levels specified herein require increased monitoring, corrective actions to abate emissions, and/or work shutdown. Additionally, the CAMP helps to confirm that work activities did not spread contamination off-site through the air.

The generic CAMP presented below will be sufficient to cover many, if not most, sites. Specific requirements should be reviewed for each situation in consultation with NYSDOH to ensure proper applicability. In some cases, a separate site-specific CAMP or supplement may be required. Depending upon the nature of contamination, chemical- specific monitoring with appropriately-sensitive methods may be required. Depending upon the proximity of potentially exposed individuals, more stringent monitoring or response levels than those presented below may be required. Special requirements will be necessary for work within 20 feet of potentially exposed individuals or structures and for indoor work with co-located residences or facilities. These requirements should be determined in consultation with NYSDOH.

Reliance on the CAMP should not preclude simple, common-sense measures to keep VOCs, dust, and odors at a minimum around the work areas.

Community Air Monitoring Plan

Depending upon the nature of known or potential contaminants at each site, real-time air monitoring for VOCs and/or particulate levels at the perimeter of the exclusion zone or work area will be necessary. Most sites will involve VOC and particulate monitoring; sites known to be contaminated with heavy metals alone may only require particulate monitoring. If radiological contamination is a concern, additional monitoring requirements may be necessary per consultation with appropriate DEC/NYSDOH staff.

Continuous monitoring will be required for all ground intrusive activities and during the demolition of contaminated or potentially contaminated structures. Ground intrusive activities include, but are not limited to, soil/waste excavation and handling, test pitting or trenching, and the installation of soil borings or monitoring wells.

Periodic monitoring for VOCs will be required during non-intrusive activities such as the collection of soil and sediment samples or the collection of groundwater samples from existing monitoring wells. “Periodic” monitoring during sample collection might reasonably consist of taking a reading upon arrival at a sample location, monitoring while opening a well cap or

overturning soil, monitoring during well baling/purging, and taking a reading prior to leaving a sample location. In some instances, depending upon the proximity of potentially exposed individuals, continuous monitoring may be required during sampling activities. Examples of such situations include groundwater sampling at wells on the curb of a busy urban street, in the midst of a public park, or adjacent to a school or residence.

VOC Monitoring, Response Levels, and Actions

Volatile organic compounds (VOCs) must be monitored at the downwind perimeter of the immediate work area (i.e., the exclusion zone) on a continuous basis or as otherwise specified. Upwind concentrations should be measured at the start of each workday and periodically thereafter to establish background conditions, particularly if wind direction changes. The monitoring work should be performed using equipment appropriate to measure the types of contaminants known or suspected to be present. The equipment should be calibrated at least daily for the contaminant(s) of concern or for an appropriate surrogate. The equipment should be capable of calculating 15-minute running average concentrations, which will be compared to the levels specified below.

1. If the ambient air concentration of total organic vapors at the downwind perimeter of the work area or exclusion zone exceeds 5 parts per million (ppm) above background for the 15-minute average, work activities must be temporarily halted and monitoring continued. If the total organic vapor level readily decreases (per instantaneous readings) below 5 ppm over background, work activities can resume with continued monitoring.

2. If total organic vapor levels at the downwind perimeter of the work area or exclusion zone persist at levels in excess of 5 ppm over background but less than 25 ppm, work activities must be halted, the source of vapors identified, corrective actions taken to abate emissions, and monitoring continued. After these steps, work activities can resume provided that the total organic vapor level 200 feet downwind of the exclusion zone or half the distance to the nearest potential receptor or residential/commercial structure, whichever is less - but in no case less than 20 feet, is below 5 ppm over background for the 15-minute average.

3. If the organic vapor level is above 25 ppm at the perimeter of the work area, activities must be shutdown.

4. All 15-minute readings must be recorded and be available for State (DEC and NYSDOH) personnel to review. Instantaneous readings, if any, used for decision purposes should also be recorded.

Particulate Monitoring, Response Levels, and Actions

Particulate concentrations should be monitored continuously at the upwind and downwind perimeters of the exclusion zone at temporary particulate monitoring stations. The particulate monitoring should be performed using real-time monitoring equipment capable of measuring particulate matter less than 10 micrometers in size (PM-10) and capable of integrating over a period of 15 minutes (or less) for comparison to the airborne particulate action level. The equipment must be equipped with an audible alarm to indicate exceedance of the action level. In addition, fugitive dust migration should be visually assessed during all work activities.

1. If the downwind PM-10 particulate level is 100 micrograms per cubic meter (mcg/m^3) greater than background (upwind perimeter) for the 15-minute period or if airborne dust is observed leaving the work area, then dust suppression techniques must be employed. Work may continue with dust suppression techniques provided that downwind PM-10 particulate levels do not exceed 150 mcg/m^3 above the upwind level and provided that no visible dust is migrating from the work area.

2. If, after implementation of dust suppression techniques, downwind PM-10 particulate levels are greater than 150 mcg/m^3 above the upwind level, work must be stopped and a re-evaluation of activities initiated. Work can resume provided that dust suppression measures and other controls are successful in reducing the downwind PM-10 particulate concentration to within 150 mcg/m^3 of the upwind level and in preventing visible dust migration.

3. All readings must be recorded and be available for State (DEC and NYSDOH) and County Health personnel to review.

December 2009

Appendix C2
Fugitive Dust and Particulate Monitoring

A program for suppressing fugitive dust and particulate matter monitoring at hazardous waste sites is a responsibility on the remedial party performing the work. These procedures must be incorporated into appropriate intrusive work plans. The following fugitive dust suppression and particulate monitoring program should be employed at sites during construction and other intrusive activities which warrant its use:

1. Reasonable fugitive dust suppression techniques must be employed during all site activities which may generate fugitive dust.

2. Particulate monitoring must be employed during the handling of waste or contaminated soil or when activities on site may generate fugitive dust from exposed waste or contaminated soil. Remedial activities may also include the excavation, grading, or placement of clean fill. These control measures should not be considered necessary for these activities.

3. Particulate monitoring must be performed using real-time particulate monitors and shall monitor particulate matter less than ten microns (PM10) with the following minimum performance standards:

(a) Objects to be measured: Dust, mists or aerosols;
(b) Measurement Ranges: 0.001 to 400 mg/m3 (1 to 400,000 :ug/m3);
(c) Precision (2-sigma) at constant temperature: +/- 10 :g/m3 for one second averaging; and +/- 1.5 g/m3 for sixty second averaging;
(d) Accuracy: +/- 5% of reading +/- precision (Referred to gravimetric calibration with SAE fine test dust (mmd= 2 to 3 :m, g= 2.5, as aerosolized);
(e) Resolution: 0.1% of reading or 1g/m3, whichever is larger;
(f) Particle Size Range of Maximum Response: 0.1-10;
(g) Total Number of Data Points in Memory: 10,000;
(h) Logged Data: Each data point with average concentration, time/date and data point number
(i) Run Summary: overall average, maximum concentrations, time/date of maximum, total number of logged points, start time/date, total elapsed time (run duration), STEL concentration and time/date occurrence, averaging (logging) period, calibration factor, and tag number;
(j) Alarm Averaging Time (user selectable): real-time (1-60 seconds) or STEL (15 minutes), alarms required;
(k) Operating Time: 48 hours (fully charged NiCd battery); continuously with charger;
(l) Operating Temperature: -10 to 50° C (14 to 122° F);
(m) Particulate levels will be monitored upwind and immediately downwind at the working site and integrated over a period not to exceed 15 minutes.

4. In order to ensure the validity of the fugitive dust measurements performed, there must be appropriate Quality Assurance/Quality Control (QA/QC). It is the responsibility of the remedial party to adequately supplement QA/QC Plans to include the following critical features: periodic instrument calibration, operator training, daily instrument performance (span) checks, and a record keeping plan.

5. The action level will be established at 150 ug/m3 (15 minutes average). While conservative,

this short-term interval will provide a real-time assessment of on-site air quality to assure both health and safety. If particulate levels are detected in excess of 150 ug/m3, the upwind background level must be confirmed immediately. If the working site particulate measurement is greater than 100 ug/m3 above the background level, additional dust suppression techniques must be implemented to reduce the generation of fugitive dust and corrective action taken to protect site personnel and reduce the potential for contaminant migration. Corrective measures may include increasing the level of personal protection for on-site personnel and implementing additional dust suppression techniques (see paragraph 7). Should the action level of 150 ug/m3 continue to be exceeded work must stop and DER must be notified as provided in the site design or remedial work plan. The notification shall include a description of the control measures implemented to prevent further exceedances.

6. It must be recognized that the generation of dust from waste or contaminated soil that migrates off-site, has the potential for transporting contaminants off-site. There may be situations when dust is being generated and leaving the site and the monitoring equipment does not measure PM10 at or above the action level. Since this situation has the potential to allow for the migration of contaminants off-site, it is unacceptable. While it is not practical to quantify total suspended particulates on a real-time basis, it is appropriate to rely on visual observation. If dust is observed leaving the working site, additional dust suppression techniques must be employed. Activities that have a high dusting potential--such as solidification and treatment involving materials like kiln dust and lime--will require the need for special measures to be considered.

7. The following techniques have been shown to be effective for the controlling of the generation and migration of dust during construction activities:

(a) Applying water on haul roads;
(b) Wetting equipment and excavation faces;
(c) Spraying water on buckets during excavation and dumping;
(d) Hauling materials in properly tarped or watertight containers;
(e) Restricting vehicle speeds to 10 mph;
(f) Covering excavated areas and material after excavation activity ceases; and
(g) Reducing the excavation size and/or number of excavations.

Experience has shown that the chance of exceeding the 150ug/m3 action level is remote when the above-mentioned techniques are used. When techniques involving water application are used, care must be taken not to use excess water, which can result in unacceptably wet conditions. Using atomizing sprays will prevent overly wet conditions, conserve water, and provide an effective means of suppressing the fugitive dust.

8. The evaluation of weather conditions is necessary for proper fugitive dust control. When extreme wind conditions make dust control ineffective, as a last resort remedial actions may need to be suspended. There may be situations that require fugitive dust suppression and particulate monitoring requirements with action levels more stringent than those provided above. Under some circumstances, the contaminant concentration and/or toxicity may require additional monitoring to protect site personnel and the public. Additional integrated sampling and chemical analysis of the dust may also be in order. This must be evaluated when a health and safety plan is developed and when appropriate suppression and monitoring requirements are established for protection of health and the environment.

APPENDIX E

Field Operating Procedures (FOPs)

FIELD OPERATING PROCEDURES

ROUX ENVIRONMENTAL ENGINEERING AND GEOLOGY, D.P.C.

FOP Number	Description
001.1	Abandonment of Borehole Procedures
002.0	Abandonment of Monitoring Wells Procedure
004.6	Soil Vapor Sample Collection Procedure
007.0	Calibration and Maintenance of Portable Dissolved Oxygen Meter
008.0	Calibration and Maintenance of Portable Field pH/Eh Meter
009.0	Calibration and Maintenance of Portable Field Turbidity Meter
011.1	Calibration and Maintenance of Portable Photoionization Detector
012.0	Calibration and Maintenance of Portable Specific Conductance Meter
013.0	Composite Sample Collection Procedure for Non-Volatile Organic Analysis
015.0	Documentation Requirements for Drilling and Well Installation
017.0	Drill Site Selection Procedure
018.0	Drilling and Excavation Equipment Decontamination Procedures
021.0	Establishing Horizontal and Vertical Control
022.0	Groundwater Level Measurement
023.1	Groundwater Purging Procedures Prior to Sample Collection
024.1	Groundwater Sample Collection Procedures
024.3	Groundwater Sample Collection Procedures for PFAS
025.0	Hand Augering Procedure
026.1	Hollow Stem Auger (HSA) Drilling Procedures
031.2	Low Flow (Minimal Drawdown) Groundwater Purging & Sampling Procedure
032.1	Management of Investigation-Derived Waste (IDW)
033.0	Monitoring Well Construction for Hollow Stem Auger Boreholes
036.0	Monitoring Well Development Procedures
039.1	NAPL Detection and Sample Collection Procedure
040.1	Non-Disposable and Non-Dedicated Sampling Equipment Decontamination
041.0	Overburden Casing Installation Procedure
046.0	Sample Labeling, Storage and Shipment Procedures
047.0	Screening of Soil Samples for Organic Vapors During Drilling Activities
048.0	Screening of Soil Samples for Organic Vapors During UST Removal Activities
054.2	Soil Description Procedures Using The Visual-Manual Method
057.0	Soil Sample Collection for VOC Analysis - EnCore Sampling
058.0	Split-Spoon Sampling Procedures
063.2	Surface and Subsurface Soil Sampling Procedures
065.1	Test Pit Excavation and Logging Procedures
070.0	Well/Piezometer Construction Materials and Design
073.2	Real-Time Air Monitoring During Intrusive Activities
074.0	Underground Storage Tank Excavation & Removal Procedures
076.0	"Before Going Into the Field" Procedure
078.0	Geoprobe Drilling Procedures
079.0	Stockpile Sampling Procedures for Chemical Analysis
080.0	Stockpile-Borrow Source Sampling Procedures for Physical Analysis
082.0	Waste Sampling Procedures
084.0	Calibration and Maintenance of Portable Particulate Meter
085.0	Field Quality Control Procedures
088.0	Underground Piping Decommissioning Procedures
090.0	Outdoor Ambient Air VOC Sample Collection Procedure

Notes:

1. FOPs are identified by the sequential FOP number and revision number. For example, FOP number 097.3 indicates FOP 97, revision 3.

ROUX

FIELD OPERATING PROCEDURES

Abandonment of Borehole Procedures

FOP 001.1

ABANDONMENT OF BOREHOLE PROCEDURE

PURPOSE

Soil borings that are not completed as monitoring wells will be plugged by filling the holes with a cement/bentonite grout. Field staff will calculate the borehole volume and compare it to the final installed volume of grout to evaluate whether bridging or loss to the formation has occurred. These calculations and the actual volume placed will be noted on the Boring Log.

PROCEDURE

1. Determine most suitable seal materials. Grout specifications generally have mixture ratios as follows:

   Grout Slurry Composition (% Weight)

1.5 to 3.0%	-	Bentonite (Quick Gel)
40 to 60 %	-	Cement (Portland Type I)
40 to 60 %	-	Potable Water

2. Calculate the volume of the borehole base on the bit or auger head diameter plus 10% and determine the volume of grout to be emplaced. Generally, the total mixed volume is the borehole volume plus 20%.

3. Identify the equipment to be used for the preparation and mixing of the grout. Ensure the volume of the tanks to be used for mixing has been measured adequately. Document these volumes on the Well Abandonment/Decommissioning Log (sample attached).

4. Identify the source of the water to be used for the grout and determine its suitability for use. In particular, water with high sulfate, or chloride levels or heated water should not be used. These types of waters can cause operational difficulties or modify the set-up for the grout.

5. Identify the equipment to be used for emplacing the grout. Ensure that the pump to be used has adequate pressure to enable complete return to surface.

6. Identify the volumes to be pumped at each stage or in total if only one stage is to be used.

7. Prepare the borehole abandonment plan and discuss the plan and activities with the drilling contractor prior to beginning any mixing activities.

8. Begin mixing the grout to be emplaced.

9. Record the type and amount of materials used during the mixing operation. Ensure the ratios are within specifications tolerance.

10. Begin pumping the grout through the return line bypass system to confirm all pump and surface fittings are secure.

11. Initiate downhole pumping from the bottom of the borehole. Record the times and volumes emplaced on the Well Abandonment/Decommissioning Log (sample attached).

12. Document the return circulation of grout. This may be facilitated by using a colored dye or other tagging method if a mudded borehole condition exists prior to grout injection.

13. Identify what procedures will be used for grouting in the upper 3 feet. When casing exists in the borehole, decisions are required as to the timing for removal and final disposition of the casing. Generally, it will not be removed prior to grouting because of the potential for difficult access and loss of circulation in the upper soil or rock layers. Accordingly, when cement return is achieved at surface, the casing is commonly removed and the borehole is topped off with grout or soils. If casing removal is not possible or not desired, the casing left in place should be cut off at a depth of 5 feet or greater below ground surface. If casing is not present during grouting, the grout level in the borehole is topped off after the rods or tremie pipe is removed.

14. Clear and clean the surface near the borehole.

15. The uppermost five feet of the borehole at the land surface should be filled with material physically similar to the natural soils. The surface of the borehole should be restored to the condition of the area surrounding the borehole. For example, concrete or asphalt will be patched with concrete or asphalt of the same type and thickness, grassed areas will be seeded, and topsoil will be used in other areas. All solid waste materials generated during the decommissioning process must be disposed of properly.

16. A follow-up check at each site should be made within one week to 10 days of completion. It should be noted that on occasion, the grout and/or surface material may settle over several days. If settling occurs, additional material physically similar to surrounding materials (i.e., asphalt, concrete, or soil) must be used to match the existing grade.

17. Document borehole and/or well/piezometer decommissioning activities on a Well Abandonment/Decommissioning Log (sample attached).

ATTACHMENTS

Well Abandonment/Decommissioning Log (sample)

REFERENCES

ASTM D 5299: *Guide for Decommissioning of Ground Water Wells, Vadose Zone Monitoring Devices, Boreholes, and Other Devices for Environmental Activities.*

NYSDEC, July 1988, *Drilling and Monitoring Well Installation Guidance Manual.*

NYSDEC, November 2009, *CP-43: Groundwater Monitoring Well Decommissioning Policy.*

Driscoll, F.G., 1987, *Groundwater and Wells*, Johnson Division, St. Paul, Minnesota, 1089 p.

WELL ABANDONMENT/ DECOMMISSIONING LOG

PROJECT INFORMATION	WELL INFORMATION
Project Name:	WELL I.D.:
Client:	Stick-up (fags):
Project Job Number:	Total Depth (fbgs):
Date:	Screen Interval (fbgs):
Weather:	Well Material:
	Diameter (inches):
BM/TK Personnel:	
Drilling Company:	Drilling Company Personnel:
Drill Rig Type:	

DECOMMISSIONING PROCEDURES

Time	Description of Field Activities

SAMPLE

PREPARED BY: DATE:

ROUX

FIELD OPERATING PROCEDURES

Abandonment of Monitoring Wells Procedure

FOP 002.0

ABANDONMENT OF MONITORING WELLS PROCEDURE

PURPOSE

This guideline presents a method for the abandonment and decommissioning of wells that are no longer reliable as competent monitors of formation groundwater. Well abandonment and decommissioning is required in order to remove a potential pathway for the vertical migration of impacted groundwater and/or surface water.

PROCEDURE

1. Examine the existing well to be abandoned/decommissioned and review well construction detail information (if applicable) to determine well depth,, screened interval, diameter, material of composition and other construction details. Establish appropriate equipment requirements for removal of the well.

2. Determine the most suitable seal materials as discussed in the next section.

3. Attempt to remove the well using a drilling rig, by using the following procedures:
    - Attaching the winch line to the well to see if it can be removed by pulling;
    - Using the rig's hydraulics to advance casing incrementally;
    - If a cable tool rig is available, bump back the casing using the cathead and drive block.

3. Upon removal of the well, ream the borehole by advancing the augers approximately one foot beyond the total depth of the well. Rotate the augers at a speed sufficient to remove the construction materials (i.e., filter pack, bentonite seal, etc.) from the borehole annulus (if possible). Backfill the resulting borehole with cement/bentonite grout, by tremie method, to approximately one foot below ground surface. Fill the remaining borehole to match the existing grade elevation and material of construction (i.e., clean native soil, concrete or asphalt, as necessary). Go to Step 10.

4. If the well cannot be removed from the borehole over-drill the borehole and well to approximately two (2) feet below the well depth. Upon reaching the desired depth, remove the well from within the augers and go back to Step 3.

5. If the borehole cannot be reamed out using conventional drilling techniques (i.e., over-drilled), remove or puncture the base plate of the well screen using the drill rig and associated equipment by pounding with the drill rods. Upon filling the well with grout by tremie method, slowly pull the well from the ground surface to allow the grout to evacuate through the bottom of the well to fill the void space created by removal of the well casing. Continue adding grout mix to the well casing, as necessary, to fill the void space to approximately one foot below ground surface. Fill the remaining borehole to match the existing grade elevation and material of construction (i.e., clean native soil, concrete or asphalt, as necessary). Go to Step 10.

   If the driller is unsuccessful at removing or puncturing the base plate of the well due, in part, to well construction materials (i.e., stainless steel or black iron), go to Step 6.

6. Insert a tremie pipe down the well to the bottom and pump a cement/bentonite grout mixture to a depth one to two feet above the top of the screen.

7. Perform a hydraulic pressure test on the portion of the well casing above the grouted screen section. Allow the grout to set up for a period not less than 72 hours before pressure testing of the grouted interval. Place a pneumatic packer a maximum of 4.5 feet above the top of the slotted screen section of the well. The infiltration pressure applied to the packer shall not exceed the pressure rating of the well casing material. If the interval between the top of the grout and the bottom of the packer is not saturated, potable water will be used to fill the interval. A gauge pressure of 5 psig at the well head shall be applied to the interval for a period of 5 minutes to allow for temperature stabilization. After 5 minutes, the pressure will be maintained at 5 psig for 30 minutes. The grout seal shall be considered acceptable if the total loss of water to the seal does not exceed 0.5 gallons over a 30-minute period.

8. If the grout seal is determined to be unacceptable, tremie grout an additional 5 feet of well riser above the failing interval and retest as specified above (see Step 7).

9. If the grout seal is determined to be acceptable, tremie grout the remainder of the well until grout displaces all formation water and a grout return is visible in the well at the surface. Cut off well casing at a depth of five feet or greater below ground surface and backfill the remaining borehole to match the existing grade elevation and material of construction (i.e., clean native soil, concrete or asphalt, as necessary).

10. Record all well construction details and abandonment procedures on the **Well Abandonment/Decommissioning Log** (sample attached).

CEMENT/BENTONITE GROUT MIXTURE

The cement/bentonite grout mixture identified below is generally considered the most suitable seal material for monitoring well advancement and abandonment. Grout specifications generally have mixture ratios as follows:

Grout Slurry Composition (% Weight)

1.5 to 3.0% - Bentonite (Quick Gel)
40 to 60% - Cement (Portland Type I)
40 to 60% - Potable Water

MISCELLANEOUS

All removed well materials (PVC, stainless steel, steel pipe) should be decontaminated (if necessary) as per the project specific **Drilling and Excavation Equipment Decontamination FOP** and removed from the site. The project manager will determine the destination of final disposal for all well materials. All drill cuttings (depending on site protocol) should be placed in DOT-approved 55-gallon drums, labeled and sampled in

accordance with Roux's field operating procedure **Management of Investigation-Derived Waste** in order to determine proper removal and disposal procedures. The drilling subcontractor will provide any potable water utilized during this field activity from a known and reliable source (see Notes section).

ATTACHMENTS

Well Abandonment/Decommissioning Log (sample)

REFERENCES

New York State Department of Environmental Conservation, July 1988, *Drilling and Monitoring Well Installation Guidance Manual.*

Driscoll, F.G., 1987, *Groundwater and Wells*, Johnson Division, St. Paul, Minnesota, p. 1089.

Roux's FOPs

018 Drilling/Excavation Equipment Decontamination Protocols
032 Management of Investigation-Derived Waste

NOTES

Tap water may be used from any municipal water treatment system. The use of an untreated potable water supply is not an acceptable substitute.

WELL ABANDONMENT/ DECOMMISSIONING LOG

PROJECT INFORMATION	WELL INFORMATION
Project Name:	WELL I.D.:
Client:	Stick-up (fags):
Project Job Number:	Total Depth (fbgs):
Date:	Screen Interval (fbgs):
Weather:	Well Material:
	Diameter (inches):
BM/TK Personnel:	
Drilling Company:	Drilling Company Personnel:
Drill Rig Type:	

DECOMMISSIONING PROCEDURES	
Time	Description of Field Activities

SAMPLE

ROUX

FIELD OPERATING PROCEDURES

Soil Vapor Sample Collection Procedures

FOP 004.6

SOIL VAPOR SAMPLE COLLECTION PROCEDURE

BACKGROUND

In October 2006, the New York State Department of Health (NYSDOH) finalized their vapor intrusion guidance document entitled "Guidance for Evaluating Soil Vapor Intrusion in the State of New York." (www.health.state.ny.us/nysdoh/gas/svi_guidance/), which has been guiding NYSDOH and New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (NYSDEC) decisions concerning the need for subslab vapor mitigation at sites undergoing investigation, cleanup and monitoring under formal NY Sate remedial programs (e.g., Brownfield Cleanup Program sites, Inactive Hazardous Waste Site Remediation Program sites, etc.). Per the most recent update, May 2017, guidance presents three (3) soil vapor/indoor air matrices to assist in interpreting the comparison of subslab and ambient air data. As of May 2017, eight compounds have been assigned to these three (3) current matrices (i.e., "Matrix A", "Matrix B", and "Matrix C") as follows:

Soil Vapor / Indoor Air Matrix	Volatile Chemical
Matrix A	Carbon tetrachloride
	1,1-Dichloroethene
	cis-1,2-Dichloroethene
	Trichloroethene
Matrix B	Methylene Chloride
	Tetrachlorethene
	1,1,1-Trichloroethane
Matrix C	Vinyl chloride

The matrices are attached as Figures 1, 2, and 3.

PURPOSE

The procedures presented herein delineate the scope of additional investigation at a building on the project site to determine if volatile organic compounds (VOCs) detected in groundwater and/or soil near the building are intruding into the building airspace or have the potential, in sufficient concentrations, to adversely impact indoor air quality. The soil vapor, subslab vapor, and ambient air monitoring procedures follow the NYSDOH Guidance for Evaluating Soil Vapor Intrusion in the State of New York (October 2006) as well as USEPA Methods TO-14 and TO-15, for volatile organic compounds (VOCs) using Summa passive canisters.

SURVEYS AND PRE-SAMPLING BUILDING PREPARATION (IF REQUIRED)

If required, a pre-sampling inspection should be performed prior to each sampling event to identify and minimize conditions that may interfere with the proposed testing. The inspection should evaluate the type of structure, floor layout, airflows, and physical conditions of the building(s) being studied. This information, along with information on sources of potential indoor air contamination, should be identified on a building inventory form. An example of the building inventory form is attached. Items to be included in the building inventory include the following:

- Construction characteristics, including foundation cracks and utility penetrations or other openings that may serve as preferential pathways for vapor intrusion;
- Presence of an attached garage;
- Recent renovations or maintenance to the building (e.g., fresh paint, new carpet or furniture);
- Mechanical equipment that can affect pressure gradients (e.g., heating systems, clothes dryers or exhaust fans);

- Use or storage of petroleum products (e.g., fuel containers, gasoline operated equipment and unvented kerosene heaters); and
- Recent use of petroleum-based finishes or products containing volatile chemicals.

Each room on the floor of the building being tested and on lower floors, if possible, should be inspected. This is important because even products stored in another area of a building can affect the air of the room being tested.

The presence and description of odors (e.g., solvent, moldy) and portable vapor monitoring equipment readings (e.g., PIDs, ppb RAE, Jerome Mercury Vapor Analyzer, etc.) should be noted and used to help evaluate potential sources. This includes taking readings near products stored or used in the building.

Potential interference from products or activities releasing volatile chemicals may need to be controlled. Removing the source from the indoor environment prior to testing is the most effective means of reducing interference. Ensuring that containers are tightly sealed may be acceptable. When testing for volatile organic compounds, containers should be tested with portable vapor monitoring equipment to determine whether compounds are leaking. The inability to eliminate potential interference may be justification for not testing, especially when testing for similar compounds at low levels. The investigator should consider the possibility that chemicals may adsorb onto porous materials and may take time to dissipate.

In some cases, the goal of the testing is to evaluate the impact from products used or stored in the building (e.g., pesticide misapplications, school renovation projects). If the goal of the testing is to determine whether products are an indoor volatile chemical contaminant source, the removing these sources does not apply.

Once interfering conditions are corrected (if applicable), ventilation may be needed prior to sampling to eliminate residual contamination in the indoor air. If ventilation is appropriate, it should be completed 24 hours or more prior to the scheduled sampling time. Where applicable, ventilation can be accomplished by operating the building's HVAC system to maximize outside air intake. Opening windows and doors, and operating exhaust fans may also help or may be needed if the building has no HVAC system.

Air samples are sometimes designed to represent typical exposure in a mechanically ventilated building and the operation of HVAC systems during sampling should be noted on the building inventory form (see attached sample). In general, the building's HVAC system should be operating under normal conditions. Unnecessary building ventilation should be avoided within 24 hours prior to and during sampling. During colder months, heating systems should be operating to maintain normal indoor air temperatures (i.e., 65 – 75 °F) for at least 24 hours prior to and during the scheduled sampling time.

Depending upon the goal of the indoor air sampling, some situations may warrant deviation from the above protocol regarding building ventilation. In such cases, building conditions and sampling efforts should be understood and noted within the framework and scope of the investigation.

To avoid potential interferences and dilution effects, every effort should be made to avoid the following for 24 hours prior to sampling:

- Opening any windows, fireplace dampers, openings or vents;
- Operating ventilation fans unless special arrangements are made;
- Smoking in the building;
- Painting;
- Using a wood stove, fireplace or other auxiliary heating equipment (e.g., kerosene heater);
- Operating or storing automobile in an attached garage;

- Allowing containers of gasoline or oil to remain within the house or garage area, except for fuel oil tanks;
- Cleaning, waxing or polishing furniture, floors or other woodwork with petroleum- or oil-based products;
- Using air fresheners, scented candles or odor eliminators;
- Engaging in any hobbies that use materials containing volatile chemicals;
- Using cosmetics including hairspray, nail polish, nail polish removers, perfume/cologne, etc.;
- Lawn mowing, paving with asphalt, or snow blowing;
- Applying pesticides; and
- Using building repair or maintenance products, such as caulk or roofing tar.

PRODUCT INVENTORY (IF REQUIRED)

If required, the primary objective of the product inventory is to identify potential air sampling interference by characterizing the occurrence and use of chemicals and products throughout the building, keeping in mind the goal of the investigation and site-specific contaminants of concern. For example, it is not necessary to provide detailed information for each individual container of like items. However, it is necessary to indicate that "20 bottles of perfume" or "12 cans of latex paint" were present with containers in good condition. This information is used to help formulate an indoor environment profile.

An inventory should be provided for each room on the floor of the building being tested and on lower floors, if possible. This is important because even products stored in another area of a building can affect the air of the room being tested.

The presence and description of odors (e.g., solvent, moldy) and portable vapor monitoring equipment readings (e.g., PIDs, ppb RAE, Jerome Mercury Vapor Analyzer, etc.) should be noted and used to help evaluate potential sources. This includes taking readings near products stored or used in the building. Products in buildings should be inventoried every

time air is tested to provide an accurate assessment of the potential contribution of volatile chemicals. If available, chemical ingredients of interest (e.g., analyte list) should be recorded for each product. If the ingredients are not listed on the label, record the product's exact and full name, and the manufacturer's name, address and telephone number, if available. In some cases, Material Safety Data Sheets (MSDS) may be useful for identifying confounding sources of volatile chemicals in air. Adequately documented photographs of the products and their labeled ingredients can supplement the inventory and facilitate recording the information.

SAMPLE LOCATIONS

The following are types of samples that are collected to investigate the soil vapor intrusion pathway:

- Subsurface vapor samples:
  - *Soil vapor* samples (i.e., soil vapor samples not beneath the foundation or slab of a building) and
  - *Sub-slab vapor* samples (i.e., soil vapor samples immediately beneath the foundation or slab of a building);
- Indoor air samples; and
- Outdoor air samples.

The types of samples that should be collected depend upon the specific objective(s) of the sampling, as described below.

- Soil vapor
  Soil vapor samples are collected to determine whether this environmental medium is contaminated, characterize the nature and extent of contamination, and identify possible sources of the contamination. Soil vapor sampling results are used when evaluating the following:
  - The potential for *current* human exposures;
  - The potential for *future* human exposures (e.g., should a building be constructed); and

- The effectiveness of measures implemented to remediate contaminated subsurface vapors.

- Sub-slab vapor

  Sub-slab vapor samples are collected to characterize the nature and extent of soil vapor contamination immediately beneath a building with a basement foundation and/or a slab-on-grade. Sub-slab vapor sampling results are used when evaluating the following:
  - *Current* human exposures;
  - The potential for *future* human exposures (e.g., if the structural integrity of the building changes or the use of the building changes); and
  - Site-specific attenuation factors (i.e., the ratio of indoor air to sub-slab vapor concentrations).

  Sub-slab vapor samples are collected after soil vapor characterization and/or other environmental sampling (e.g., soil and groundwater characterization) indicate a need. Subslab samples are typically collected concurrently with indoor and outdoor air samples. However, outside of the heating season, sub-slab vapor samples may be collected independently depending on the sampling objective (e.g., characterize the extent of subsurface vapor contamination outside of the heating season to develop a more comprehensive, focused investigation plan for the heating season).

- Indoor air

  Indoor air samples are collected to characterize exposures to air within a building, including those with earthen floors and crawlspaces. Indoor air sampling results are used when evaluating the following:
  - *Current* human exposures;
  - The potential for *future* exposures (e.g., if a currently vacant building should become occupied); and
  - Site-specific attenuation factors (e.g., the ratio of indoor air to sub-slab vapor concentrations).

  Indoor air samples are collected after subsurface vapor characterization and other environmental sampling (e.g., soil and groundwater characterization) indicate a need. When indoor air samples are collected, concurrent sub-slab vapor and

outdoor air samples are collected to evaluate the indoor air results appropriately. However, indoor air and outdoor air samples, without sub-slab vapor samples, may be collected when confirming the effectiveness of a mitigation system.

In addition, site-specific situations may warrant collecting indoor air samples prior to characterizing subsurface vapors and/or without concurrent sub-slab sampling due to a need to examine immediate inhalation hazards. Examples of such situations may include, but are not limited to, the following:

- In response to a spill event when there is a need to qualitatively and/or quantitatively characterize the contamination;
- If high readings are obtained in a building when screening with field equipment (e.g., a photoionization detector (PID), an organic vapor analyzer, or an explosimeter) and the source is unknown;
- If significant odors are present and the source needs to be characterized; or
- If groundwater beneath the building is contaminated, the building is prone to groundwater intrusion or flooding (e.g., sump pit overflows), and subsurface vapor sampling is not feasible.

- Outdoor air

  Outdoor air samples are collected to characterize site-specific background outdoor air conditions. These samples must be collected simultaneously with indoor air samples. They may also be collected concurrently with soil vapor samples. Outdoor air sampling results are primarily used when evaluating the extent to which outdoor sources may be influencing indoor air quality. They may also be used in the evaluation of soil vapor results (i.e., to identify potential outdoor air interferences associated with the infiltration of outdoor air into the sampling apparatus while the soil vapor sample was collected).

SOIL VAPOR SAMPLE COLLECTION PROCEDURES

Soil vapor probe installations (see Figure 4 attached) may be permanent, semi-permanent, or temporary. In general, permanent installations are preferred for data consistency reasons. Soil implants or probes should be constructed in the same manner at all sampling locations to minimize possible discrepancies. The following procedures should be included in any construction protocol:

- Soil vapor probes should be installed using direct push technology or, if necessary to attain the desired depth, using an auger;
- Porous backfill material (e.g., glass beads or coarse sand) should be used to create a sampling zone 1 to 2 feet in length;
- Soil vapor probes should be fitted with inert tubing (e.g., polyethylene, stainless steel, or Teflon®) of the appropriate size (typically 1/8 inch to 1/4 inch diameter) and of laboratory or food grade quality to the surface;
- Soil vapor probes should be sealed above the sampling zone with a bentonite slurry for a minimum distance of 3 feet to prevent outdoor air infiltration and the remainder of the borehole backfilled with clean material;
- For multiple probe depths, the borehole should be grouted with bentonite between probes to create discrete sampling zones; and
- For permanent installations, a protective casing should be set around the top of the probe tubing and grouted in place to the top of bentonite to minimize infiltration of water or outdoor air, as well as to prevent accidental damage.

Soil vapor samples should be collected in the same manner at all locations to minimize possible discrepancies. The following procedures should be included in any sampling protocol:

- At least 24 hours after the installation of permanent probes and shortly after the installation of temporary probes, one to three implant volumes (i.e., the volume of

the sample probe and tube) must be purged prior to collecting the samples to ensure samples collected are representative;

- Flow rates for both purging and collecting must not exceed 0.2 liters per minute to minimize outdoor air infiltration during sampling;

- The target final field vacuum after 24 hours will be approximately -5 inches of mercury. Samples with a final field vacuum of greater than -10 inches of mercury, or equal to zero, will be flagged (usability of data will depend on sample volume and reporting limits that can be achieved).

- Samples must be collected, using conventional sampling methods, in an appropriate container — one which meets the objectives of the sampling (e.g., investigation of areas where low or high concentrations of volatile chemicals are expected; to minimize losses of volatile chemicals that are susceptible to photodegradation), meets the requirements of the sampling and analytical methods (e.g., low flow rate; Summa® canisters if analyzing by using EPA Method TO-15), and is certified clean by the laboratory;

- Sample size depends upon the volume of sample required to achieve minimum reporting limit requirements; and

- A tracer gas (e.g., helium, butane, or sulfur hexafluoride) must be used when collecting soil vapor samples to verify that adequate sampling techniques are being implemented (i.e., to verify infiltration of outdoor air is not occurring) (discussed later in this procedure). Once verified, continued use of the tracer gas may be reconsidered.

When soil vapor samples are collected, the following actions should be taken to document local conditions during sampling that may influence interpretation of the results:

- If sampling near a commercial or industrial building, uses of volatile chemicals during normal operations of the facility should be identified;

- Outdoor plot sketches should be drawn that include the site, area streets, neighboring commercial or industrial facilities (with estimated distance to the site), outdoor ambient air sample locations (if applicable), and compass orientation (north);

- Weather conditions (e.g., precipitation, outdoor temperature, barometric pressure, wind speed and direction) should be noted for the past 24 to 48 hours; and

- Any pertinent observations should be recorded, such as odors and readings from field instrumentation.

The field sampling team must maintain a sample log sheet summarizing the following:

- Sample identification,
- Date and time of sample collection,
- Sampling depth,
- Identity of samplers,
- Sampling methods and devices,
- Purge volumes,
- Volume of soil vapor extracted,
- If canisters used, the vacuum before and after samples collected,
- Apparent moisture content (dry, moist, saturated, etc.) of the sampling zone, and
- Chain of custody protocols and records used to track samples from sampling point to analysis.

SUB-SLAB VAPOR SAMPLE COLLECTION PROCEDURES

During colder months, heating systems should be operating to maintain normal indoor air temperatures (i.e., 65 – 75 °F) for at least 24 hours prior to and during the scheduled sampling time. Prior to installation of the sub-slab vapor probe, the building floor should be inspected and any penetrations (cracks, floor drains, utility perforations, sumps, etc.) should be noted and recorded. Probes should be installed at locations where the potential for ambient air infiltration via floor penetrations is minimal.

Sub-slab vapor probe installations (see Figure 5 attached) may be permanent, semi-permanent, or temporary. Sub-slab implants or probes should be constructed in the same manner at all sampling locations to minimize possible discrepancies. The following procedures should be included in any construction protocol:

- Permanent recessed probes must be constructed with brass or stainless steel tubing and fittings;
- Temporary probes must be constructed with polyethylene or Teflon® tubing of laboratory or food grade quality;
- Tubing should not extend further than 2 inches into the sub-slab material;
- Coarse sand or glass beads should be added to cover about 1 inch of the probe tip for permanent installations; and
- The soil vapor probe should be sealed to the surface with permagum grout, melted beeswax, putty or other non-VOC-containing and non-shrinking products for temporary installations or cement for permanent installations.

Sub-slab vapor samples should be collected in the following manner:

- After installation of the probes, one to three volumes (i.e., the volume of the sample probe and tube) must be purged prior to collecting the samples to ensure samples collected are representative;
- Flow rates for both purging and collecting must not exceed 0.2 liters per minute to minimize outdoor air infiltration during sampling;
- The target final field vacuum after 24 hours will be approximately -5 inches of mercury. Samples with a final field vacuum of greater than -10 inches of mercury, or equal to zero, will be flagged (usability of data will depend on sample volume and reporting limits that can be achieved).
- Samples must be collected, using conventional sampling methods, in an appropriate container — one which meets the objectives of the sampling (e.g., investigation of areas where low or high concentrations of volatile chemicals are expected; to minimize losses of volatile chemicals that are susceptible to photodegradation), meets the requirements of the sampling and analytical methods (e.g., low flow rate; Summa® canisters if analyzing by using EPA Method TO-15), and is certified clean by the laboratory;

- Sample size depends upon the volume of sample required to achieve minimum reporting limit requirements [Section 2.9 of the Guidance], the flow rate, and the sampling duration; and
- Ideally, samples should be collected over the same period of time as concurrent indoor and outdoor air samples.

When sub-slab vapor samples are collected, the following actions should be taken to document conditions during sampling and ultimately to aid in the interpretation of the sampling results:

- If sampling within a commercial or industrial building, uses of volatile chemicals in commercial or industrial processes and/or during building maintenance, should be identified;
- The use of heating or air conditioning systems during sampling should be noted;
- Floor plan sketches should be drawn that include the floor layout with sample locations, chemical storage areas, garages, doorways, stairways, location of basement sumps or subsurface drains and utility perforations through building foundations, HVAC system air supply and return registers, compass orientation (north), and any other pertinent information should be completed;
- If possible, photographs should accompany floor plan sketches;
- Outdoor plot sketches should be drawn that include the building site, area streets, outdoor air sample locations (if applicable), compass orientation (north), footings that create separate foundation sections, and paved areas;
- Weather conditions (e.g., precipitation, indoor and outdoor temperature, and barometric pressure) and ventilation conditions (e.g., heating system active and windows closed) should be reported;
- Smoke tubes or other devices should be used to confirm pressure relationships and air flow patterns, especially between floor levels and between suspected contaminant sources and other areas; and
- Any pertinent observations, such as spills, floor stains, smoke tube results, odors and readings from field instrumentation (e.g., vapors via PID, ppb RAE, Jerome Mercury Vapor Analyzer, etc.), should be recorded.

The field sampling team must maintain a sample log sheet summarizing the following:

- Sample identification,
- Date and time of sample collection,
- Sampling depth,
- Identity of samplers,
- Sampling methods and devices,
- Soil vapor purge volumes,
- Volume of soil vapor extracted,
- If canisters used, the vacuum before and after samples collected,
- Apparent moisture content (dry, moist, saturated, etc.) of the sampling zone, and
- Chain of custody protocols and records used to track samples from sampling point to analysis.

The following describes the subslab air sampling procedure:

1. Canisters will be supplied by the laboratory that will be conducting the analysis.

2. Sampling will take place in accordance with the project work plan sufficiently spaced to allow locations to be modified, if necessary.

3. The number of Summa canisters required as well as the flow rate of the constant differential low volume flow controllers will be supplied by the laboratory in accordance with the project work plan.

4. The sampling program will consist of concurrently collecting and analyzing one sub-slab vapor sample and one indoor ambient air sample (discussed in the next section). Sample locations should be selected based on the likelihood for potential continuous human occupancy during the workday (i.e., due to the size of the areas and available infrastructure), and to account for the possibility of varying foundation depths in different areas of the building. In addition, sample locations typically are based upon the results of a subsurface investigation (i.e., soil gas survey or boring advancement) conducted prior to air sample collection activities. Canisters are typically placed in areas where the highest concentrations of soil gas were observed. Indoor air sample locations

preferably should be selected near the middle of the sampled room, well away from the edges where dilution is more likely to occur.

5. Collect at least one outdoor ambient air sample from a location on the building roof or designated background area of the site positioned away from building ventilation system equipment on the highest portion of the building roof or site. See the Outdoor Ambient Air Sampling Procedure section in this procedure.

6. Field personnel should assure conservative sampling conditions prior to and throughout the sampling event. The building should be closed (windows and doors shut) and existing building ventilation systems should be turned off 12 to 24 hours before the air sampling is scheduled to begin as well as during sample collection. Any air-handling units that may induce large pressure gradients (i.e., exhaust fans, HVAC units etc.) should also be turned off.

7. Any activity being conducted by current building tenants involving volatile organic compounds, such as the use of lacquer thinner and cleaning solvents, prior to and/or during air sampling activities should be noted in the Project Field Book. These activities have the potential to bias the analytical results.

8. At each location, drill an approximately ¾-inch diameter hole through the concrete slab (typically 6-8 inches thick) using a hand-held hammer drill.

9. Measure and record the concrete thickness in the Project Field Book.

10. Insert polyethylene or Teflon® tubing of laboratory or food grade quality into the drilled hole and no further than 2 inches into the subslab material.

11. Seal the tubing with an appropriately sized volatile organic compound-free stopper (i.e., permagum grout, melted beeswax, putty, or other non-VOC-containing and non-shrinking product) into the concrete core hole and secure in-place making sure the fit is very snug. Supplement any visible gaps between the stopper and concrete slab with a VOC-free sealant, such as beeswax or bentonite slurry.

12. Run the tubing assembly through a shroud (plastic pail, cardboard box, or garbage bag) creating a tight seal with the surface making sure not to disturb the seal around the tubing penetration.

13. Enrich the atmosphere of the shroud with helium. Measure and record the helium concentration within the shroud.

14. Purge approximately 1 to 3 tubing volumes (i.e., the volume of the sample probe and tube) using a hand pump (or similar approved device) to ensure the collection of a representative sample.

15. Flow rates for both purging and sample collection must not exceed 0.2 liters per minute to minimize outdoor air infiltration during sampling.

16. Use a portable monitoring device to analyze a sample of soil vapor for the tracer **prior to and after** sampling for the compounds of concern. Note that the tracer gas samples can be collected via syringe, Tedlar bag etc. They need not be collected in Summa® canisters or minicans.

17. If concentrations greater than 10% of tracer gas are observed either prior to and/or after sampling, the probe seal should be enhanced to reduce the infiltration of outdoor air. Following enhancement of the seal, repeat steps 14 through 17 above until purged concentrations are less than 10% of the tracer gas within the shroud.

18. Following tubing purge and adequate seal integrity testing via helium tracer gas, immediately attach a 6-liter Summa Canister fitted with a 24-hour regulator (or approved other duration) to the opposite end of the tubing. Concurrent with each subslab sample location, prepare an indoor ambient air sample by staging a second Summa Canister on a ladder (approximately 2 to 5-feet above the floor) adjacent to the sub-slab sample location.

19. All Summa Canister valves should remain closed until all subslab borings are complete and all of the canisters in their respective positions.

20. Open the valves to all of the canisters for the required collection period (i.e., 24-hours). Record initial canister pressure on the Air Canister Field Record form.

21. Following sample collection and prior to closing canister valve, record final canister pressure on the Air Canister Field Record form. Close canister valve.

22. Collect all Summa Canisters and ship, under chain-of-custody command to an approved analytical laboratory for VOC analysis in accordance with USEPA Method TO-14 or TO-15.

23. Repair all concrete openings with a cement patch.

24. Analytical results submitted by the laboratory should be reported as concentrations of each VOC at each location, typically in parts per billion by volume (ppbv).

INDOOR AIR SAMPLE COLLECTION PROCEDURES

During colder months, heating systems should be operating to maintain normal indoor air temperatures (i.e., 65 – 75 °F) for at least 24 hours prior to and during the scheduled sampling time. If possible, prior to collecting indoor samples, a pre-sampling inspection, discussed earlier in this procedure, should be performed to evaluate the physical layout and conditions of the building being investigated, to identify conditions that may affect or interfere with the proposed sampling, and to prepare the building for sampling.

In general, indoor air samples should be collected in the following manner:

- Sampling duration should reflect the exposure scenario being evaluated without compromising the detection limit or sample collection flow rate (e.g., an 8 hour sample from a workplace with a single shift versus a 24 hour sample from a workplace with multiple shifts). To ensure that air is representative of the locations sampled and to avoid undue influence from sampling personnel, samples should be collected for at least 1 hour. If the goal of the sampling is to

represent average concentrations over longer periods, then longer duration sampling periods may be appropriate. Typically, 24 hour samples are collected from residential settings;

- Personnel should avoid lingering in the immediate area of the sampling device while samples are being collected;

- Sample flow rates must conform to the specifications in the sample collection method and, if possible, should be consistent with the flow rates for concurrent outdoor air and sub-slab samples;

- The target final field vacuum after 24 hours will be approximately -5 inches of mercury. Samples with a final field vacuum of greater than -10 inches of mercury, or equal to zero, will be flagged (usability of data will depend on sample volume and reporting limits that can be achieved); and

- Samples must be collected, using conventional sampling methods, in an appropriate container — one which meets the objectives of the sampling (e.g., investigation of areas where low or high concentrations of volatile chemicals are expected; to minimize losses of volatile chemicals that are susceptible to photodegradation), meets the requirements of the sampling and analytical methods (e.g., low flow rate; Summa® canisters if analyzing by using EPA Method TO-15), and is certified clean by the laboratory.

At sites with tetrachloroethene contamination, passive air monitors that are specifically analyzed for tetrachloroethene (i.e., "perc badges") are commonly used to collect indoor and outdoor air samples. If site characterization activities indicate that degradation products of tetrachloroethene also represent a vapor intrusion concern, perc badges may be used to indicate the likelihood of vapor intrusion (i.e., by using tetrachloroethene as a surrogate) followed, as needed, by more comprehensive sampling and laboratory analyses to quantify both tetrachloroethene and its degradation products. Perc badge samples ideally should be collected over a twenty-four hour period, but for no less than eight hours.

The following actions should be taken to document conditions during indoor air sampling and ultimately to aid in the interpretation of the sampling results:

- A product inventory survey must be completed (discussed earlier);
- The use of heating or air conditioning systems during sampling should be noted;
- Floor plan sketches should be drawn that include the floor layout with sample locations, chemical storage areas, garages, doorways, stairways, location of basement sumps or subsurface drains and utility perforations through building foundations, HVAC system supply and return registers, compass orientation (north), and any other pertinent information should be completed;
- If possible, photographs should accompany floor plan sketches;
- Outdoor plot sketches should be drawn that include the building site, area streets, outdoor air sample locations (if applicable), compass orientation (north), footings that create separate foundation sections, and paved areas;
- Weather conditions (e.g., precipitation, indoor and outdoor temperature, and barometric pressure) and ventilation conditions (e.g., heating system active and windows closed) should be reported;
- Smoke tubes or other devices should be used to confirm pressure relationships and air flow patterns, especially between floor levels and between suspected contaminant sources and other areas; and
- Any pertinent observations, such as spills, floor stains, smoke tube results, odors and readings from field instrumentation (e.g., vapors via PID, ppb RAE, Jerome Mercury Vapor Analyzer, etc.), should be recorded.

The field sampling team must maintain a sample log sheet summarizing the following:

- Sample identification,
- Date and time of sample collection,
- Sampling height,

- Identity of samplers,
- Sampling methods and devices,
- Depending upon the method, volume of air sampled,
- If canisters used, the vacuum before and after samples collected,
- Chain of custody protocols and records used to track samples from sampling point to analysis.

The following describes the indoor air sampling procedure:

1. Canisters will be supplied by the laboratory that will be conducting the analysis.

2. Sampling will take place in accordance with the project work plan sufficiently spaced to allow locations to be modified, if necessary.

3. The number of Summa canisters required as well as the flow rate of the constant differential low volume flow controllers will be supplied by the laboratory in accordance with the project work plan. Indoor air sampling typically requires the continuous collection of samples over a 24-hour period.

4. The sampling program will consist of concurrently collecting and analyzing one sub-slab vapor sample and one indoor ambient air sample. Sample locations should be selected based on the likelihood for potential continuous human occupancy during the workday (i.e., due to the size of the areas and available infrastructure), and to account for the possibility of varying foundation depths in different areas of the building. In addition, sample locations typically are based upon the results of a subsurface investigation (i.e., soil gas survey or boring advancement) conducted prior to air sample collection activities. Canisters are typically placed in areas where the highest concentrations of soil gas were observed. Indoor air sample locations preferably should be selected near the middle of the sampled room, well away from the edges where dilution is more likely to occur.

5. Collect at least one outdoor ambient air sample from a location on the building roof or designated background area of the site positioned away from building ventilation system equipment on the highest portion of the building

roof or site. See the Outdoor Ambient Air Sampling Procedure presented in this procedure.

6. Field personnel should assure conservative sampling conditions prior to and throughout the sampling event. The building should be closed (windows and doors shut) and existing building ventilation systems should be turned off 12 to 24 hours before the air sampling is scheduled to begin as well as during sample collection. Any air-handling units that may induce large pressure gradients (i.e., exhaust fans, HVAC units etc.) should also be turned off.

7. Any activity being conducted by current building tenants involving volatile organic compounds, such as the use of lacquer thinner and cleaning solvents, prior to and/or during air sampling activities should be noted in the Project Field Book. These activities have the potential to bias the analytical results.

8. Concurrent with each subslab sample location, prepare an indoor ambient air sample by staging a second Summa Canister on a ladder (approximately 2 to 5-feet above the floor) adjacent to the sub-slab sample location.

9. All Summa Canister valves should remain closed until all subslab borings are complete and all of the canisters in their respective positions.

10. Open the valves to all of the canisters for the required collection period (i.e., 24-hours). Record initial canister pressure on the Air Canister Field Record form.

11. Following sample collection and prior to closing canister valve, record final canister pressure on the Air Canister Field Record form. Close canister valve.

12. Collect all Summa Canisters and ship, under chain-of-custody command to an approved analytical laboratory for VOC analysis in accordance with USEPA Method TO-14 or TO-15.

13. Analytical results submitted by the laboratory should be reported as concentrations of each VOC at each location, typically in parts per billion by volume (ppbv).

OUTDOOR AIR SAMPLE COLLECTION PROCEDURES

Outdoor air samples must be collected simultaneously with indoor air samples and may be collected concurrently with subsurface vapor samples. Outdoor air samples must be collected in the same manner as indoor samples.

The following actions should be taken to document conditions during outdoor air sampling and ultimately to aid in the interpretation of the sampling results:

- Outdoor plot sketches should be drawn that include the building site, area streets, outdoor air sample locations (if applicable), the location of potential interferences (e.g., gasoline stations, factories, lawn movers, etc.), compass orientation (north), footings that create separate foundation sections, and paved areas;

- Weather conditions (e.g., precipitation, indoor and outdoor temperature, and barometric pressure) and ventilation conditions (e.g., heating system active and windows closed) should be reported; and

- Any pertinent observations, such as odors, readings from field instrumentation, and significant activities in the vicinity (e.g., operation of heavy equipment or dry cleaners) should be recorded.

The following describes the outdoor air sampling procedure:

1. Canisters will be supplied by the laboratory that will be conducting the analysis.

2. Sampling will take place in accordance with the project work plan sufficiently spaced to allow locations to be modified, if necessary.

3. The number of Summa canisters required as well as the flow rate of the constant differential low volume flow controllers will be supplied by the laboratory in accordance with the project work plan.

4. Sample locations typically are collected upwind of the facility.

5. Collect at least one outdoor ambient air sample from a location on the building roof or designated background area of the site positioned away from building ventilation system equipment on the highest portion of the building roof or site. Place canisters on the ground or step ladder, with a clear plastic sheet beneath to prevent contamination. Locate the sampling inlet approximately 18-inches above the ground surface.

6. Sample collection should take place on warm, dry days. If rain or high humidity conditions develop during sampling, the sampling event should be suspended. Temperature, barometric pressure, and wind speed should be monitored during the sampling event, for use in analysis of the results.

7. The combination of sampling location, height, and meteorological conditions will assure that sampling will measure VOCs at their highest concentrations.

8. All Summa Canister valves should remain closed until all subslab borings are complete and all of the indoor and outdoor canisters in their respective positions.

9. Open the valves to all of the canisters for the required collection period (i.e., 24-hours). Record initial canister pressure on the Air Canister Field Record form.

10. Following sample collection and prior to closing canister valve, record final canister pressure on the Air Canister Field Record form. Close canister valve.

11. Collect all Summa Canisters and ship, under chain-of-custody command to an approved analytical laboratory for VOC analysis in accordance with USEPA Method TO-14 or TO-15.

12. Air samples will be analyzed by Gas Chromatography/Mass Spectroscopy (GC/MS) in accordance with EPA Method TO-14 or TO-15.

13. Analytical results will be reported as concentrations of each VOC at each location during each sampling event, typically in parts per billion by volume (ppbv).

TRACER GAS

When collecting soil vapor samples as part of a vapor intrusion evaluation, a tracer gas serves as a quality assurance/quality control device to verify the integrity of the soil vapor probe seal. Without the use of a tracer, there is no way to verify that a soil vapor sample has not been diluted by surface air.

Depending on the nature of the contaminants of concern, a number of different compounds can be used as a tracer. Typically, sulfur hexafluoride (SF6) or helium are used as tracers because they are readily available, have low toxicity, and can be monitored with portable measurement devices. Butane and propane (or other gases) could also be used as a tracer in some situations. The protocol for using a tracer gas is straightforward: simply enrich the atmosphere in the immediate vicinity of the area where the probe intersects the ground surface with the tracer gas, and measure a vapor sample from the probe for the presence of high concentrations (> 10%) of the tracer. A cardboard box, a plastic pail, or even a garbage bag can serve to keep the tracer gas in contact with the probe during the testing.

There are two basic approaches to testing for the tracer gas:

- Include the tracer gas in the list of target analytes reported by the laboratory; or

- Use a portable monitoring device to analyze a sample of soil vapor for the tracer prior to and after sampling for the compounds of concern. (Note that the tracer gas samples can be collected via syringe, Tedlar bag etc. They need not be collected in Summa® canisters or minicans.)

The advantage of the second approach is that the real time tracer sampling results can be used to confirm the integrity of the probe seals prior to formal sample collection. Figure 6 (attached) depicts common methods for using tracer gas. In each of the examples, a, b and c, the tracer gas is released in the enclosure prior to initially purging the sample point. Care should be taken to avoid excessive purging prior to sample collection. Care should also be taken to prevent pressure build-up in the enclosure during introduction of the tracer gas. Inspection of the installed sample probe, specifically noting the integrity of the surface seal and the porosity of the soil in which the probe is installed, will help to determine the tracer gas setup. Figure 6(a) may be most effective at preventing tracer gas infiltration; however, it may not be required in some situations depending on site-specific conditions. Figures 6(b) and 6(c) may be sufficient for probes installed in tight soils with well-constructed surface seals. In all cases, the same tracer gas application should be used for all probes at any given site.

Because minor leakage around the probe seal should not materially affect the usability of the soil vapor sampling results, the mere presence of the tracer gas in the sample should not be a cause for alarm. Consequently, portable field monitoring devices with detection limits in the low ppm range are more than adequate for screening samples for the tracer. If high concentrations (> 10%) of tracer gas are observed in a sample, the probe seal should be enhanced to reduce the infiltration of ambient air.

During the initial stages of a soil vapor sampling program, tracer gas samples should be collected at each of the sampling probes. If the results of the initial samples indicate that the probe seals are adequate, the project manager can consider reducing the number of locations at which tracer gas samples are employed. At a minimum, at least 10% of the subsequent samples should be supported with tracer gas analyses. When using permanent soil vapor probes as part of a long-term monitoring program, annual testing of the probe integrity is recommended.

QUALITY ASSURANCE / QUALITY CONTROL (QA/QC)

Extreme care should be taken during all aspects of sample collection to ensure that sampling error is minimized and high quality data are obtained. The sampling team members should avoid actions (e.g., fueling vehicles, using permanent marking pens, and wearing freshly dry-cleaned clothing or personal fragrances), which can cause sample interference in the field. Appropriate QA/QC protocols must be followed for sample collection and laboratory analysis, such as use of certified clean sample devices, meeting sample holding times and temperatures, sample accession, chain of custody, etc. Samples should be delivered to the analytical laboratory as soon as possible after collection. In addition, laboratory accession procedures must be followed including field documentation (sample collection information and locations), chain of custody, field blanks, field sample duplicates, and laboratory duplicates, as appropriate.

Some methods require collecting samples in duplicate (e.g., indoor air sampling using passive sampling devices for tetrachloroethene) to assess errors. Duplicate and/or split samples should be collected in accordance with the requirements of the sampling and analytical methods being implemented.

For certain regulatory programs, a Data Usability Summary Report (DUSR) may be required to determine whether or not the data, as presented, meets the site or project specific criteria for data quality and data use. This requirement may dictate the level of QC and the category of data deliverable to request from the laboratory. Guidance on preparing a DUSR is available by contacting the NYSDEC's Division of Environmental Remediation.

New York State Public Health Law requires laboratories analyzing environmental samples collected from within New York State to have current Environmental Laboratory Approval Program (ELAP) certification for the appropriate analyte and environmental matrix

combinations. If ELAP certification is not currently required for an analyte (e.g., trichloroethene), the analysis should be performed by a laboratory that has ELAP certification for similar compounds in air and uses analytical methods with detection limits similar to background (e.g., tetrachloroethene via EPA Method TO-15).

The work plan must state that all samples that will be used to make decisions on appropriate actions to address exposures and environmental contamination will be analyzed by an ELAP-certified laboratory. If known, the name of the laboratory should also be provided. Similarly, the name of the laboratory that was used must be included in the report of the sampling results. For samples collected and tested in the field for screening purposes by using field testing technology, the qualifications of the field technician must be documented in the work plan.

The target final field vacuum of any sample canister after 24 hours will be approximately -5 inches of mercury. Samples with a final field vacuum of greater than -10 inches of mercury, or equal to zero, will be flagged (usability of data will depend on sample volume and reporting limits that can be achieved).

DECISION MATRICES (FIGURES 1, 2, AND 3)

The considerations in assigning a chemical to a matrix include the following:

- Human health risks, including such factors as a chemical's ability to cause cancer, reproductive, developmental, liver, kidney, nervous system, immune system or other effects, in animals and humans and the doses that may cause those effects;
- The data gaps in its toxicological database;
- Background concentrations of volatile chemicals in indoor air [Section 3.2.4]; and
- Analytical capabilities currently available.

To use the matrices accurately as a tool in the decision-making process, the following must be noted:

- The matrices are generic. As such, it may be necessary to modify recommended actions to accommodate building-specific conditions (e.g., dirt floor in basement, crawl spaces, etc.) and/or site-specific conditions (e.g., proximity of building to identified subsurface contamination) for the protection of public health. Additionally, actions more conservative than those specified within the matrix may be implemented at any time. For example, the decision to implement more conservative actions may be based on a comparison of the costs associated with resampling or monitoring to the costs associated with installation and monitoring of a mitigation system.

- Indoor air concentrations detected in samples collected from the building's basement or, if the building has a slab-on-grade foundation, from the building's lowest occupied living space should be used.

- Actions provided in the matrix are specific to addressing human exposures. Implementation of these actions does not preclude the need to investigate possible sources of vapor contamination, nor does it preclude the need to remediate contaminated soil vapors or the source of soil vapor contamination.

- When current exposures are attributed to sources other than vapor intrusion, the agencies must be provided documentation (e.g., applicable environmental data, completed indoor air sampling questionnaire, digital photographs, etc.) to support a proposed action other than that provided in the matrix and to support assessment and follow-up by the agencies.

RECOMMENDED ACTIONS

Actions recommended in the matrix are based on the relationship between sub-slab vapor concentrations and corresponding indoor air concentrations. They are intended to address both potential and current human exposures and include the following:

- *No further action*
  When the volatile chemical is not detected in the indoor air sample and the concentration detected in the corresponding sub-slab vapor sample is not expected to substantially affect indoor air quality.

- *Identify source(s) and resample or mitigate*
  Reasonable and practical actions are recommended to identify the source(s) affecting indoor air quality and that actions be implemented to reduce indoor air concentrations to within background ranges. The concentration detected in the indoor air sample is likely due to indoor and/or outdoor sources rather than soil vapor intrusion given the concentration detected in the sub-slab vapor sample. Resampling may be required in the event indoor and/or outdoor sources are not readily identified or confirmed to demonstrate SVI mitigation actions are not needed. Steps should be taken to identify potential source(s) and to reduce exposures accordingly (e.g., by keeping containers tightly capped or by storing volatile chemical-containing products in places where people do not spend much time, such as a garage or shed). Mitigation may be required if soil vapor intrusion cannot be ruled out.

- *Monitor*
  Monitoring, including sub-slab vapor, basement air, lowest occupied living space air, and outdoor air sampling, is needed to determine whether concentrations in the indoor air or sub-slab vapor have changed. Monitoring may also be needed to determine whether existing building conditions (e.g., positive pressure HVAC systems) are maintaining the desired mitigation endpoint and to determine whether changes are needed. The type and frequency of monitoring is determined on a site-specific and building specific basis, taking into account applicable environmental data and building operating conditions.

- *Mitigate*
  Mitigation is needed to minimize current or potential exposures associated with soil vapor intrusion. Methods to mitigate exposures related to soil vapor intrusion are described in Section 4 of the Guidance.

TIME OF YEAR

Sub-slab vapor samples and, unless there is an immediate need for sampling, indoor air samples are typically collected during the heating season because soil vapor intrusion is more likely to occur when a building's heating system is in operation and air is being drawn into the building. In general, heating systems are expected to be operating routinely from November 15th to March 31st throughout the state. However, this timeframe may vary depending on factors, such as the location of the site (e.g., upstate versus downstate) and the weather conditions for a particular year.

A vapor intrusion investigation may also be conducted outside of the heating season. However, the results may not be used to rule out exposures. For example, results indicating "no further action" or "monitoring required" must be verified during the heating season to ensure these actions are protective during the heating season as well.

SAMPLING ROUNDS

Investigating a soil vapor intrusion pathway usually requires more than one round of subsurface vapor, indoor air, and/or outdoor air sampling, for reasons such as the following:

- To characterize the nature and extent of subsurface vapor contamination (similar to the delineation of groundwater contamination) and to address corresponding exposure concerns;

- To evaluate fluctuations in concentrations due to
  - Different weather conditions (e.g., seasonal effects),
  - Changes in building conditions (e.g., various operating conditions of a building's HVAC system),
  - Changes in source strength, or
  - Vapor migration or contaminant biodegradation processes (particularly when degradation products may be more toxic than the parent compounds); or

- To confirm sampling results or the effectiveness of mitigation or remedial systems.

Overall, successive rounds of sampling are conducted until the following questions can be answered:

- Are subsurface vapors contaminated? If so, what are the nature and extent of contamination? What is/are the source(s) of the contamination?
- What are the current and potential exposures to contaminated subsurface vapors?
- What actions, if any, are needed to prevent or mitigate exposures and to remediate subsurface vapor contamination?

Toward this end, multiple rounds of sampling may be required to characterize the nature and extent of subsurface vapor contamination such that

- Both potential and current exposures are adequately addressed;
- Measures can be designed to remediate subsurface vapor contamination, either directly (e.g., SVE system) or indirectly (e.g., soil excavation or groundwater remediation), given that monitoring and mitigation are considered temporary measures implemented to address exposures related to vapor intrusion until contaminated environmental media are remediated; and
- The effectiveness of remedial measures can be monitored and confirmed (e.g., endpoint sampling).

ATTACHMENTS

Figure 1 *Soil Vapor/Indoor Air Matrix A*
Figure 2 *Soil Vapor/Indoor Air Matrix B*
Figure 3 *Soil Vapor/Indoor Air Matrix C*
Figure 4 *Schematics of a permanent soil vapor probe and permanent nested soil vapor probes*
Figure 5 *Schematic of a sub-slab vapor probe*
Figure 6 *Schematics of tracer gas applications*

Air Canister Field Record

Indoor Air Quality Questionnaire and Building Inventory

REFERENCES

New York State Department of Health, *Guidance for Evaluating Soil Vapor Intrusion in the State of New York*, October 2006.

New York State Department of Health, *Indoor Air Sampling & Analysis Guidance.* (February 1, 2005).

Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response (OSWER). *Draft Guidance for Evaluating the Vapor Intrusion to Indoor Air Pathway from Groundwater and Soils (Subsurface Vapor Intrusion Guidance)*. November 2002.

United States Environmental Protection Agency. *EPA Compendium of Methods for the Determination of Toxic Organic Compounds in Ambient Air*. 1988

- Method TO-15, Determination of Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs) in Air Collected in Specially Prepared Canisters and Analyzed by Gas Chromatography/Mass Spectrometry (GC/MS). Pp. 15-1 through 15-62.
- Method TO-17, Determination of Volatile Organic Compounds in Ambient Air using Active Sampling on Sorbent Tubes. Pp. 17-1 through 17-49.
- Compendium of Methods for the Determination of Air Pollutants in Indoor Air, EPA/600/4-90-010.

FIGURE 1

Soil Vapor/Indoor Air Matrix A

May 2017

Analytes Assigned:
Trichloroethene (TCE), *cis*-1,2-Dichloroethene (c12-DCE), 1,1-Dichloroethene (11-DCE), Carbon Tetrachloride

	INDOOR AIR CONCENTRATION of COMPOUND (mcg/m³)		
SUB-SLAB VAPOR CONCENTRATION of COMPOUND (mcg/m³)	< 0.2	0.2 to < 1	1 and above
< 6	1. No further action	2. No Further Action	3. IDENTIFY SOURCE(S) and RESAMPLE or MITIGATE
6 to < 60	4. No further action	5. MONITOR	6. MITIGATE
60 and above	7. MITIGATE	8. MITIGATE	9. MITIGATE

No further action: No additional actions are recommended to address human exposures.

Identify Source(s) and Resample or Mitigate: We recommend that reasonable and practical actions be taken to identify the source(s) affecting the indoor air quality and that actions be implemented to reduce indoor air concentrations to within background ranges. For example, if an indoor or outdoor air source is identified, we recommend the appropriate party implement actions to reduce the levels. In the event that indoor or outdoor sources are not readily identified or confirmed, resampling (which might include additional sub-slab vapor and indoor air sampling locations) is recommended to demonstrate that SVI mitigation actions are not needed. Based on the information available, mitigation might also be recommended when soil vapor intrusion cannot be ruled out.

Monitor: We recommend monitoring (sampling on a recurring basis), including but not necessarily limited to sub-slab vapor, basement air and outdoor air sampling, to determine whether concentrations in the indoor air or sub-slab vapor have changed and/or to evaluate temporal influences. Monitoring might also be recommended to determine whether existing building conditions (e.g., positive pressure heating, ventilation and air-conditioning systems) are maintaining the desired mitigation endpoint and to determine whether changes are needed. The type and frequency of monitoring is determined based on site-, building- and analyte-specific information, taking into account applicable environmental data and building operating conditions. Monitoring is an interim measure required to evaluate exposures related to soil vapor intrusion until contaminated environmental media are remediated.

Mitigate: We recommend mitigation to minimize current or potential exposures associated with soil vapor intrusion. The most common mitigation methods are sealing preferential pathways in conjunction with installing a sub-slab depressurization system and changing the pressurization of the building in conjunction with monitoring. The type, or combination of types, of mitigation is determined on a building-specific basis, taking into account building construction and operating conditions. Mitigation is considered a temporary measure implemented to address exposures related to soil vapor intrusion until contaminated environmental media are remediated.

These general recommendations are made with consideration being given to the additional notes on page 2.

MATRIX A Page 1 of 2

ADDITIONAL NOTES FOR MATRIX A

This matrix summarizes actions recommended to address current and potential exposures related to soil vapor intrusion. To use the matrix appropriately as a tool in the decision-making process, the following should be noted:

[1] The matrix is generic. As such, it may be appropriate to modify a recommended action to accommodate analyte-specific, building-specific conditions (e.g., dirt floor in basement, crawl spaces, thick slabs, current occupancy, etc.), and/or factors provided in Section 3.2 of the guidance (e.g., current land use, environmental conditions, etc.). For example, collection of additional samples may be recommended when the matrix indicates "no further action" for a particular building, but the results of adjacent buildings (especially sub-slab vapor results) indicate a need to take actions to address exposures related to soil vapor intrusion. Mitigation might be recommended when the results of multiple contaminants indicate monitoring is recommended. Proactive actions may be proposed at any time. For example, the party implementing the actions may decide to install sub-slab depressurization systems on buildings where the matrix indicates "no further action" or "monitoring." Such an action might be undertaken for reasons other than public health (e.g., seeking community acceptance, reducing costs, etc.). However, actions implemented *in lieu* of sampling will typically be expected to be captured in the final engineering report and site management plan, and might not rule out the need for post-implementation sampling (e.g., to document effectiveness or to support terminating the action).

[2] Actions provided in the matrix are specific to addressing human exposures. Implementation of these actions does not preclude investigating possible sources of soil vapor contamination, nor does it preclude remediating contaminated soil vapor or the source of soil vapor contamination.

[3] Appropriate care should be taken during all aspects of sample collection to ensure that high quality data are obtained. Since the data are being used in the decision-making process, the laboratory analyzing the environmental samples must have current Environmental Laboratory Approval Program (ELAP) certification for the appropriate analyte and environmental matrix combinations. Furthermore, samples should be analyzed by methods that can achieve a minimum reporting limit of 0.20 microgram per cubic meter for indoor and outdoor air samples. For sub-slab vapor samples and dirt floor soil vapor samples, a minimum reporting limit of 1 microgram per cubic meter is recommended.

[4] Sub-slab vapor and indoor air samples are typically collected when the likelihood of soil vapor intrusion is considered to be the greatest (i.e., worst-case conditions). If samples are collected at other times (typically, samples collected outside of the heating season), then resampling during worst-case conditions might be appropriate to verify that actions taken to address exposures related to soil vapor intrusion are protective of human health.

[5] When current exposures are attributed to sources other than soil vapor intrusion, the agencies should be given documentation (e.g., applicable environmental data, completed indoor air sampling questionnaire, digital photographs, etc.) to support a proposed action other than that provided in the matrix box and to support agency assessment and follow-up.

[6] The party responsible for implementing the recommended actions will differ depending upon several factors, including but not limited to the following: the identified source of the volatile chemicals, the environmental remediation program, and analyte-specific, site-specific and building-specific factors.

FIGURE 2

Soil Vapor/Indoor Air Matrix B

May 2017

Analytes Assigned:
Tetrachloroethene (PCE), 1,1,1-Trichloroethane (111-TCA), Methylene Chloride

	INDOOR AIR CONCENTRATION of COMPOUND (mcg/m³)		
SUB-SLAB VAPOR CONCENTRATION of COMPOUND (mcg/m³)	< 3	3 to < 10	10 and above
< 100	1. No further action	2. No Further Action	3. IDENTIFY SOURCE(S) and RESAMPLE or MITIGATE
100 to < 1,000	4. No further action	5. MONITOR	6. MITIGATE
1,000 and above	7. MITIGATE	8. MITIGATE	9. MITIGATE

No further action: No additional actions are recommended to address human exposures.

Identify Source(s) and Resample or Mitigate: We recommend that reasonable and practical actions be taken to identify the source(s) affecting the indoor air quality and that actions be implemented to reduce indoor air concentrations to within background ranges. For example, if an indoor or outdoor air source is identified, we recommend the appropriate party implement actions to reduce the levels. In the event that indoor or outdoor sources are not readily identified or confirmed, resampling (which might include additional sub-slab vapor and indoor air sampling locations) is recommended to demonstrate that SVI mitigation actions are not needed. Based on the information available, mitigation might also be recommended when soil vapor intrusion cannot be ruled out.

Monitor: We recommend monitoring (sampling on a recurring basis), including but not necessarily limited to sub-slab vapor, basement air and outdoor air sampling, to determine whether concentrations in the indoor air or sub-slab vapor have changed and/or to evaluate temporal influences. Monitoring might also be recommended to determine whether existing building conditions (e.g., positive pressure heating, ventilation and air-conditioning systems) are maintaining the desired mitigation endpoint and to determine whether changes are needed. The type and frequency of monitoring is determined based on site-, building- and analyte-specific information, taking into account applicable environmental data and building operating conditions. Monitoring is an interim measure required to evaluate exposures related to soil vapor intrusion until contaminated environmental media are remediated.

Mitigate: We recommend mitigation to minimize current or potential exposures associated with soil vapor intrusion. The most common mitigation methods are sealing preferential pathways in conjunction with installing a sub-slab depressurization system and changing the pressurization of the building in conjunction with monitoring. The type, or combination of types, of mitigation is determined on a building-specific basis, taking into account building construction and operating conditions. Mitigation is considered a temporary measure implemented to address exposures related to soil vapor intrusion until contaminated environmental media are remediated.

These general recommendations are made with consideration being given to the additional notes on page 2.

MATRIX B Page 1 of 2

ADDITIONAL NOTES FOR MATRIX B

This matrix summarizes actions recommended to address current and potential exposures related to soil vapor intrusion. To use the matrix appropriately as a tool in the decision-making process, the following should be noted:

[1] The matrix is generic. As such, it may be appropriate to modify a recommended action to accommodate analyte-specific, building-specific conditions (e.g., dirt floor in basement, crawl spaces, thick slabs, current occupancy, etc.), and/or factors provided in Section 3.2 of the guidance (e.g., current land use, environmental conditions, etc.). For example, collection of additional samples may be recommended when the matrix indicates "no further action" for a particular building, but the results of adjacent buildings (especially sub-slab vapor results) indicate a need to take actions to address exposures related to soil vapor intrusion. Mitigation might be recommended when the results of multiple contaminants indicate monitoring is recommended. Proactive actions may be proposed at any time. For example, the party implementing the actions may decide to install sub-slab depressurization systems on buildings where the matrix indicates "no further action" or "monitoring." Such an action might be undertaken for reasons other than public health (e.g., seeking community acceptance, reducing costs, etc.). However, actions implemented *in lieu* of sampling will typically be expected to be captured in the final engineering report and site management plan, and might not rule out the need for post-implementation sampling (e.g., to document effectiveness or to support terminating the action).

[2] Actions provided in the matrix are specific to addressing human exposures. Implementation of these actions does not preclude investigating possible sources of soil vapor contamination, nor does it preclude remediating contaminated soil vapor or the source of soil vapor contamination.

[3] Appropriate care should be taken during all aspects of sample collection to ensure that high quality data are obtained. Since the data are being used in the decision-making process, the laboratory analyzing the environmental samples must have current Environmental Laboratory Approval Program (ELAP) certification for the appropriate analyte and environmental matrix combinations. Furthermore, samples should be analyzed by methods that can achieve a minimum reporting limit of 1 microgram per cubic meter for indoor and outdoor air samples. For sub-slab vapor samples and dirt floor soil vapor samples, a minimum reporting limit of 1 microgram per cubic meter is recommended.

[4] Sub-slab vapor and indoor air samples are typically collected when the likelihood of soil vapor intrusion is considered to be the greatest (i.e., worst-case conditions). If samples are collected at other times (typically, samples collected outside of the heating season), then resampling during worst-case conditions might be appropriate to verify that actions taken to address exposures related to soil vapor intrusion are protective of human health.

[5] When current exposures are attributed to sources other than soil vapor intrusion, the agencies should be given documentation (e.g., applicable environmental data, completed indoor air sampling questionnaire, digital photographs, etc.) to support a proposed action other than that provided in the matrix box and to support agency assessment and follow-up.

[6] The party responsible for implementing the recommended actions will differ depending upon several factors, including but not limited to the following: the identified source of the volatile chemicals, the environmental remediation program, and analyte-specific, site-specific and building-specific factors.

FIGURE 3

Soil Vapor/Indoor Air Matrix C

May 2017

Analytes Assigned:
Vinyl Chloride

	INDOOR AIR CONCENTRATION of COMPOUND (mcg/m³)	
SUB-SLAB VAPOR CONCENTRATION of COMPOUND (mcg/m³)	< 0.2	0.2 and above
< 6	1. No further action	2. IDENTIFY SOURCE(S) and RESAMPLE or MITIGATE
6 to < 60	3. MONITOR	4. MITIGATE
60 and above	5. MITIGATE	6. MITIGATE

No further action: No additional actions are recommended to address human exposures.

Identify Source(s) and Resample or Mitigate: We recommend that reasonable and practical actions be taken to identify the source(s) affecting the indoor air quality and that actions be implemented to reduce indoor air concentrations to within background ranges. For example, if an indoor or outdoor air source is identified, we recommend the appropriate party implement actions to reduce the levels. In the event that indoor or outdoor sources are not readily identified or confirmed, resampling (which might include additional sub-slab vapor and indoor air sampling locations) is recommended to demonstrate that SVI mitigation actions are not needed. Based on the information available, mitigation might also be recommended when soil vapor intrusion cannot be ruled out.

Monitor: We recommend monitoring (sampling on a recurring basis), including but not necessarily limited to sub-slab vapor, basement air and outdoor air sampling, to determine whether concentrations in the indoor air or sub-slab vapor have changed and/or to evaluate temporal influences. Monitoring might also be recommended to determine whether existing building conditions (e.g., positive pressure heating, ventilation and air-conditioning systems) are maintaining the desired mitigation endpoint and to determine whether changes are needed. The type and frequency of monitoring is determined based on site-, building- and analyte-specific information, taking into account applicable environmental data and building operating conditions. Monitoring is an interim measure required to evaluate exposures related to soil vapor intrusion until contaminated environmental media are remediated.

Mitigate: We recommend mitigation to minimize current or potential exposures associated with soil vapor intrusion. The most common mitigation methods are sealing preferential pathways in conjunction with installing a sub-slab depressurization system and changing the pressurization of the building in conjunction with monitoring. The type, or combination of types, of mitigation is determined on a building-specific basis, taking into account building construction and operating conditions. Mitigation is considered a temporary measure implemented to address exposures related to soil vapor intrusion until contaminated environmental media are remediated.

These general recommendations are made with consideration being given to the additional notes on page 2.

MATRIX C Page 1 of 2

ADDITIONAL NOTES FOR MATRIX C

This matrix summarizes actions recommended to address current and potential exposures related to soil vapor intrusion. To use the matrix appropriately as a tool in the decision-making process, the following should be noted:

[1] The matrix is generic. As such, it may be appropriate to modify a recommended action to accommodate analyte-specific, building-specific conditions (e.g., dirt floor in basement, crawl spaces, thick slabs, current occupancy, etc.), and/or factors provided in Section 3.2 of the guidance (e.g., current land use, environmental conditions, etc.). For example, collection of additional samples may be recommended when the matrix indicates "no further action" for a particular building, but the results of adjacent buildings (especially sub-slab vapor results) indicate a need to take actions to address exposures related to soil vapor intrusion. Mitigation might be recommended when the results of multiple contaminants indicate monitoring is recommended. Proactive actions may be proposed at any time. For example, the party implementing the actions may decide to install sub-slab depressurization systems on buildings where the matrix indicates "no further action" or "monitoring." Such an action might be undertaken for reasons other than public health (e.g., seeking community acceptance, reducing costs, etc.). However, actions implemented *in lieu* of sampling will typically be expected to be captured in the final engineering report and site management plan, and might not rule out the need for post-implementation sampling (e.g., to document effectiveness or to support terminating the action).

[2] Actions provided in the matrix are specific to addressing human exposures. Implementation of these actions does not preclude investigating possible sources of soil vapor contamination, nor does it preclude remediating contaminated soil vapor or the source of soil vapor contamination.

[3] Appropriate care should be taken during all aspects of sample collection to ensure that high quality data are obtained. Since the data are being used in the decision-making process, the laboratory analyzing the environmental samples must have current Environmental Laboratory Approval Program (ELAP) certification for the appropriate analyte and environmental matrix combinations. Furthermore, samples should be analyzed by methods that can achieve a minimum reporting limit of 0.20 microgram per cubic meter for indoor and outdoor air samples. For sub-slab vapor samples and dirt floor soil vapor samples, a minimum reporting limit of 1 microgram per cubic meter is recommended.


[4] Sub-slab vapor and indoor air samples are typically collected when the likelihood of soil vapor intrusion is considered to be the greatest (i.e., worst-case conditions). If samples are collected at other times (typically, samples collected outside of the heating season), then resampling during worst-case conditions might be appropriate to verify that actions taken to address exposures related to soil vapor intrusion are protective of human health.

[5] When current exposures are attributed to sources other than soil vapor intrusion, the agencies should be given documentation (e.g., applicable environmental data, completed indoor air sampling questionnaire, digital photographs, etc.) to support a proposed action other than that provided in the matrix box and to support agency assessment and follow-up.

[6] The party responsible for implementing the recommended actions will differ depending upon several factors, including but not limited to the following: the identified source of the volatile chemicals, the environmental remediation program, and analyte-specific, site-specific and building-specific factors.

FIGURE 4

Schematics of a permanent soil vapor probe and permanent nested soil vapor probes











FIGURE 5

Schematic of a sub-slab vapor probe







FIGURE 6

Schematics of tracer gas applications







AIR CANISTER FIELD RECORD

PROJECT INFORMATION:

Project:
Job No:
Location:
Field Staff:
Client:

SAMPLE I.D.:

Size of Canister:
Canister Serial No.:
Flow Controller No.:
Sample Date(s):
Shipping Date:
Sample Type: ☐ Indoor Air ☐ Outdoor Air
☐ Subslab, complete section below ☐ Soil Gas
Soil Gas Probe Depth:

WEATHER CONDITIONS:

Ambient Air Temp. - A.M.:
Ambient Air Temp. - P.M.:
Wind Direction:
Wind Speed:
Precipitation:

FIELD SAMPLING INFORMATION:

READING	TIME	VACUUM (inches Hg) or PRESSURE (psig)	DATE	INITIALS
Lab Vacuum (on tag)				
Field Vacuum Check [1]				
Initial Field Vacuum [2]				
Final Field Vacuum [3]				
Duration of Sample Collection				

LABORATORY CANISTER PRESSURIZATION:

Initial Vacuum (inches Hg and psia)		
Final Pressure (psia)		
Pressurization Gas		

SUBSLAB SHROUD:

Shroud Helium Concentration:
Calculated tubing volume x 3 =
Purged Tubing Volume Concentration:
Is the purged volume concentration less than or equal to 10% in shroud?
☐ YES, continue sampling
☐ NO, improve surface seal and retest

COMPOSITE TIME (hours)	FLOW RATE RANGE (ml/min)
15 Min.	316 - 333
0.5 Hours	158 - 166.7
1	79.2 - 83.3
2	39.6 - 41.7
4	19.8 - 20.8
6	13.2 - 13.9
8	9.9 - 10.4
10	7.92 - 8.3
12	6.6 - 6.9
24	3.5 - 4.0

NOTES:

1 Vacuum measured using portable vacuum gauge (provided by Lab)
2 Vacuum measured by canister gauge upon opening valve
3 Vacuum measured by canister gauge prior to closing valve

Signed:

SAMPLE

SOIL VAPOR SAMPLE COLLECTION PROCEDURE



BENCHMARK ENVIRONMENTAL ENGINEERING & SCIENCE, PLLC

INDOOR AIR QUALITY QUESTIONNAIRE & BUILDING INVENTORY

Project Name: Project No.
Project Location: Client:
Preparer's Name: Date/Time:
Preparer's Affiliation: Phone No:
Purpose of Investigation:


1. OCCUPANT:
Interviewed: yes no
Last Name: First Name:
Address:
County:
Home Phone: Office Phone:
Number of Occupants/persons at this location: Age of Occupants:

2. OWNER OR LANDLORD: (check if same as occupant)
Interviewed: yes no
Last Name:
Address:
County:
Home Phone:

3. BUILDING CHARACTERISTICS
Type of Building: (check appropriate response)
☐ Residential ☐ Commercial/Multi-use
☐ Industrial ☐ Other:
If the property is residential: (respond, check appropriate response)
☐ 1 Family ☐ 3 Family
☐ Raised Ranch ☐ Split Level ☐ Colonial
☐ Cape ☐ Contemporary ☐ Mobile Home
☐ Duplex ☐ Apartment House ☐ Townhouse/Condo
☐ Modular ☐ Log Home ☐ Other:
If multiple units, how many?
If the property is commercial, type?
Business Type(s):
Does it include residences (i.e., multi-use)? yes no If yes, how many?
Other Characteristics:
Number of floors Building age:
Is the building insulated? yes no How air tight? tight average not tight

Indoor Air Quality Questionnaire and Building Inventory Page 1 of 8

SAMPLE



BENCHMARK ENVIRONMENTAL ENGINEERING & SCIENCE, PLLC

INDOOR AIR QUALITY QUESTIONNAIRE & BUILDING INVENTORY

4. AIR FLOW
Use air current tubes or tracer smoke to evaluate air flow patterns and qualitatively describe:
Airflow between floors
Airflow near source
Outdoor air infiltration
Infiltration into air ducts

5. BASEMENT AND CONSTRUCTION CHARACTERISTICS (check all that apply)
a. Above grade construction: ☐ wood ☐ concrete ☐ stone
b. Basement type: ☐ full ☐ crawlspace ☐ slab
c. Basement location: ☐ partial ☐ dirt ☐ stone
d. Basement floor: ☐ concrete ☐ covered ☐ covered with
e. Concrete floor: ☐ unsealed ☐ sealed ☐ sealed with
f. Foundation walls: ☐ poured ☐ block ☐ stone
g. Foundation walls: ☐ unsealed ☐ sealed ☐ sealed with
h. The basement is: ☐ wet ☐ damp ☐ dry
i. The basement is: ☐ finished ☐ unfinished ☐ partially finished
j. Sump present? ☐ yes ☐ no
k. Water in Sump? ☐ yes ☐ no ☐ not applicable
Basement/Lowest level depth below grade:
Identify potential soil vapor entry points and approximate size (e.g., cracks, utility ports, drains):

Indoor Air Quality Questionnaire and Building Inventory Page 2 of 8

SAMPLE



BENCHMARK ENVIRONMENTAL ENGINEERING & SCIENCE, PLLC


INDOOR AIR QUALITY QUESTIONNAIRE & BUILDING INVENTORY

6. HEATING, VENTING, and AIR CONDITIONING (check all that apply)
Type of heating system(s) used in this building: (check all that apply - note primary)
☐ Hot air circulation ☐ Heat pump ☐ Hot water baseboard
☐ Space Heaters ☐ Steam radiation ☐ Radiant floor
☐ Electric baseboard ☐ Wood stove ☐ Outdoor wood boiler
☐ Other
The primary type of fuel used is:
☐ Natural Gas ☐ Fuel oil ☐ Kerosene
☐ Electric ☐ Propane ☐ Other
☐ Wood ☐ Coal ☐ Other
Domestic hot water tank fueled by:
Boiler/furnace located in:
☐ Basement ☐ Outdoors ☐ Other
Air Conditioning:
☐ Central Air ☐ Window Units ☐ Open Windows ☐ None
Are there air distribution ducts present? ☐ yes ☐ no
Describe the supply and cold air return ductwork, noting insulation where visible, including whether there is a cold air return and the tightness of duct joints. Indicate the locations on the floor plan diagram.

7. OCCUPANCY
Is basement/lowest level occupied? ☐ Full time ☐ Occasionally ☐ Seldom ☐ Almost Never
Level General Use of Each Floor (e.g., family room, bedroom, laundry, workshop, storage)
Basement
First Floor
Second Floor
Third Floor
Fourth Floor

Indoor Air Quality Questionnaire and Building Inventory Page 3 of 8

SAMPLE




BENCHMARK ENVIRONMENTAL ENGINEERING & SCIENCE, PLLC

INDOOR AIR QUALITY QUESTIONNAIRE & BUILDING INVENTORY

8. FACTORS THAT MAY INFLUENCE INDOOR AIR QUALITY
a. Is there an attached garage? ☐ yes ☐ no
b. Does the garage have a separate heating unit ☐ yes ☐ no ☐ NA
c. Are petroleum-powered machines or vehicles stored in the garage? ☐ yes ☐ no ☐ NA
(e.g., lawnmower, atv, car) If yes, please specify:
d. Has the building ever had a fire? ☐ yes ☐ no
If yes, when?
e. Is a kerosene or unvented gas space heater present? ☐ yes ☐ no
If yes, where?
f. Is there a workshop or hobby/craft area? ☐ yes ☐ no
If yes, describe type:
g. Is there smoking in the building? ☐ yes ☐ no
h. Have cleaning products been used recently? ☐ yes ☐ no
If yes, describe type:
i. Have cosmetic products been used recently? ☐ yes ☐ no
If yes, describe type:
j. Has painting or staining been done in the last 6 months? ☐ yes ☐ no
If yes, what & when?
k. Is there new carpet, drapes, or other textiles? ☐ yes ☐ no
If yes, what & when?
l. Have air fresheners been used recently? ☐ yes ☐ no
If yes, when & type?
m. Is there a kitchen exhaust fan? ☐ yes ☐ no
If yes, where vented?
n. Is there a bathroom exhaust fan? ☐ yes ☐ no
If yes, where vented?

Indoor Air Quality Questionnaire and Building Inventory Page 4 of 8

SAMPLE


BENCHMARK ENVIRONMENTAL ENGINEERING & SCIENCE, PLLC

INDOOR AIR QUALITY QUESTIONNAIRE & BUILDING INVENTORY

8. FACTORS THAT MAY INFLUENCE INDOOR AIR QUALITY (continued)

o. Is there a clothes dryer? ☐ yes ☐ no

If yes, is it vented outside? ☐ yes ☐ no

p. Has there been a pesticide application? ☐ yes ☐ no

If yes, when & type?

q. Are there odors in the building? ☐ yes ☐ no

If yes, please describe?

r. Do any of the building occupants use solvents at work? ☐ yes ☐ no

(e.g., chemical manufacturing or laboratory, auto mechanic, painting, fuel delivery, auto mechanic, pesticide application, cosmetologist)

If yes, what types of solvents are used?

If yes, are their clothes washed at work? ☐ yes ☐ no

s. Do any of the building occupants use solvents at work? ☐ yes ☐ no

(check appropriate response)

☐ yes, use dry cleaning regularly (weekly or monthly) ☐ yes, use dry-cleaning services occasionally (once or twice a year) ☐ unknown ☐ yes, but rarely (every few years)

t. Is there a fuel-powered heating system, other than the primary source, located in the structure? ☐ yes ☐ no

If yes, is combustion adequate? ☐ yes ☐ no

(vent system active or passive)?

9. WATER AND SEWAGE

Water Supply: ☐ Public Water ☐ Drilled Well ☐ Driven Well ☐ Dug Well

Other:

Sewage Disposal: ☐ Public Sewer ☐ Septic Tank ☐ Leach Field ☐ Dry Well

☐ Other:

10. RELOCATION INFORMATION (for oil spill residential emergency)

a. Provide reasons why relocation is recommended:


b. Residents choose to: ☐ remain in home ☐ relocate to friend's family ☐ relocate to hotel/motel

c. Responsibility for costs associated with reimbursement explained? ☐ yes ☐ no

d. Relocation package provided and explained to residents? ☐ yes ☐ no

Indoor Air Quality Questionnaire and Building Inventory Page 5 of 8

SAMPLE


BENCHMARK ENVIRONMENTAL ENGINEERING & SCIENCE, PLLC

INDOOR AIR QUALITY QUESTIONNAIRE & BUILDING INVENTORY

11. FLOOR PLANS


Draw a plan view sketch of the basement and first floor of the building. Indicate air sampling locations, possible indoor air pollution sources and PID meter readings. If the building does not have a basement, please note.

Basement:

First Floor:

Indoor Air Quality Questionnaire and Building Inventory Page 6 of 8

SAMPLE


BENCHMARK ENVIRONMENTAL ENGINEERING & SCIENCE, PLLC

INDOOR AIR QUALITY QUESTIONNAIRE & BUILDING INVENTORY

12. OUTDOOR PLOT

Draw a sketch of the area surrounding the building being sampled. If applicable, provide information on spill locations, potential air contamination sources (industries, gas stations, repair shops, landfills, etc.), outdoor air sampling location(s), and PID meter readings.

Also indicate compass direction, wind direction and speed during sampling, the locations of the well and septic system, if applicable, and a qualifying statement to help locate the site on a topographic map.

Indoor Air Quality Questionnaire and Building Inventory Page 7 of 8

SAMPLE


BENCHMARK ENVIRONMENTAL ENGINEERING & SCIENCE, PLLC

INDOOR AIR QUALITY QUESTIONNAIRE & BUILDING INVENTORY

13. PRODUCT INVENTORY FORM

Make & Model of field instrument used:

List specific products found in the structure that have the potential to affect indoor air quality.

Location	Product Description	Size (units)	Condition [1]	Chemical Ingredients	Field Instrument Reading (units)	Photo (Y/N)

Notes:

1. Describe the condition of the product containers as **Unopened (UO), Used (U),** or **Deteriorated (D).**
2. Photographs of the **front and back** of product containers can replace the handwritten list of chemical ingredients. However, the photographs must be of good quality and ingredient labels must be legible.

Indoor Air Quality Questionnaire and Building Inventory Page 8 of 8

SAMPLE

ROUX

FIELD OPERATING PROCEDURES

Calibration and Maintenance of Portable Dissolved Oxygen Meter

FOP 007.0

CALIBRATION AND MAINTENANCE OF PORTABLE DISSOLVED OXYGEN METER

PURPOSE

This guideline describes a method for calibration of a portable dissolved oxygen meter. This meter measures the concentration of dissolved oxygen within a water sample. This parameter is of interest both as a general indicator of water quality, and because of its pertinence to fate and transport of organics and inorganics. This guideline presents a method for calibration of this meter, which is performed to verify instrument accuracy and function. All field instruments will be calibrated, verified and recalibrated at frequencies required by their respective operating manuals or manufacturer's specifications, but not less than once each day that the instrument is in use. Field personnel should have access to all operating manuals for the instruments used for the field measurements. This procedure also documents critical maintenance activities for this meter.

ACCURACY

The calibrated accuracy of the dissolved oxygen meter will be within ± 1% of full-scale over the temperature range of 23° to 113° F (-5° to +45° C).

PROCEDURE

1. Calibrate the dissolved oxygen meter to ambient air based on probe temperature and true local atmospheric pressure conditions (or feet above sea level). Because procedures vary with different brands and models of meters, refer to the manufacturer's recommended calibration procedures.

2. In the event of a failure to adequately calibrate, follow the corrective action directed by the manufacturer.
3. If calibration cannot be achieved or maintained, obtain a replacement instrument (rental instruments) and/or order necessary repairs/adjustment.

4. Document the calibration results and related information in the Project Field Book and on an **Equipment Calibration Log** (see attached sample). Information will include, at a minimum:
    - Time, date, and initials of the field team member performing the calibration
    - The unique identifier for the meter, including manufacturer, model, and serial number
    - The brand and expiration dates of calibration solutions
    - The calibration readings
    - The instrument settings (if applicable)
    - The approximate response time
    - The overall adequacy of calibration including the Pass or fail designation in accordance with the accuracy specifications presented above
    - Corrective action taken (see Step 5 above) in the event of failure to adequately calibrate

MAINTENANCE

- When not in use or between measurements, the dissolved oxygen probe will be kept immersed in or moist with deionized water.

- The meter batteries will be checked prior to each meter's use and will be replaced when the meter cannot be redline adjusted.

- The meter response time and stability will be tracked to determine the need for instrument maintenance. When response time becomes greater than two minutes, probe service is indicated.

ATTACHMENTS

Equipment Calibration Log (sample)

FOP 007.0

CALIBRATION AND MAINTENANCE OF PORTABLE DISSOLVED OXYGEN METER

EQUIPMENT CALIBRATION

PROJECT INFORMATION:

Project Name: Date:

Project No.:

Client: Instrument Source: ☐ BM ☐ Rental

METER TYPE	UNITS	TIME	MAKE/MODEL	SERIAL NUMBER	CAL. BY	STANDARD	READING	SETTI
☐ pH meter	units		Myron L Company Ultra Meter 6P	606987		4.00		
						7.00		
						10.01		
☐ Turbidity meter	NTU		Hach 2100P Turbidimeter	970600014560		< 0.4		
						20		
						100		
						800		
☐ Sp. conductance meter	uS/mS		Myron L Company Ultra Meter 6P	606987		µS @ 25 °C		
☐ PID	ppm		Photovac 2020 PID			open air zero		MIBK re
						____ ppm Iso. Gas		factor =
☐ Particulate meter	mg/m³					zero air		
☐ Oxygen	%					open air		
☐ Hydrogen sulfide	ppm					open air		
☐ Carbon monoxide	ppm					open air		
☐ LEL	%					open air		
☐ Radiation Meter	uR/h					background area		
☐								

SAMPLE

ADDITIONAL REMARKS:

PREPARED BY: **DATE:**

ROUX

FIELD OPERATING PROCEDURES

Calibration and Maintenance of Portable Field pH/Eh Meter

FOP 008.0

CALIBRATION AND MAINTENANCE OF PORTABLE FIELD pH/Eh METER

PURPOSE

This guideline describes a method for calibration of a portable pH/Eh meter. The pH/Eh meter measures the hydrogen ion concentration or acidity of a water sample (pH function), and the oxidation/reduction potential of a water sample (Eh function). Calibration is performed to verify instrument accuracy and function. All field instruments will be calibrated, verified and recalibrated at frequencies required by their respective operating manuals or manufacturer's specifications, but not less than once each day that the instrument is in use. Field personnel should have access to all operating manuals for the instruments used for the field measurements. This procedure also documents critical maintenance activities for this meter.

ACCURACY

The calibrated accuracy of the pH/Eh meter will be:

pH ± 0.2 pH unit, over the temperature range of ± 0.2 C.

Eh ± 0.2 millivolts (mV) over the range of ± 399.9 mV, otherwise ± 2 mV.

PROCEDURE

Note: Meters produced by different manufacturers may have different calibration procedures. These instructions will take precedence over the procedure provided herein. This procedure is intended to be used as a general guideline, or in the absence of available manufacturer's instructions.

1. Obtain and active the meter to be used. As stated above, initial calibrations will be performed at the beginning of each sampling day.

2. Immerse the sensing probe in a container of certified pH 7.0 buffer solution traceable to the National Bureau of Standards.

3. Measure the temperature of the buffer solution, and adjust the temperature setting accordingly.

4. Compare the meter reading to the known value of the buffer solution while stirring. If the reading obtained by the meter does not agree with the known value of the buffer solution, recalibrate the meter according to the manufacturer's instructions until the desired reading is obtained. This typically involves accessing and turning a dial or adjustment screw while measuring the pH of the buffer solution. The meter is adjusted until the output agrees with the known solution pH.

5. Repeat Steps 2 through 5 with a pH 4.0 and 10.0 buffer solution to provide a three-point calibration. Standards used to calibrate the pH meter will be of concentrations that bracket the expected values of the samples to be analyzed, especially for two-point calibrations (see note below).

Note: Some pH meters only allow two-point calibrations. Two-point calibrations should be within the suspected range of the groundwater to be analyzed. For example, if the groundwater pH is expected to be approximately 8, the two-point calibration should bracket that value. Buffer solutions of 7 and 10 should then be used for the two-point calibration.

6. Document the calibration results and related information in the Project Field Book and on an **Equipment Calibration Log** (see attached sample). Information will include, at a minimum:

    - Time, date, and initials of the field team member performing the calibration
    - The unique identifier for the meter, including manufacturer, model, and serial number
    - The brand and expiration dates of buffer solutions
    - The instrument readings
    - The instrument settings (if applicable)

- Pass or fail designation in accordance with the accuracy specifications presented above
- Corrective action taken (see Maintenance below) in the event of failure to adequately calibrate

MAINTENANCE

- When not in use, or between measurements, keep the pH/Eh probe immersed in or moist with buffer solutions.

- Check the meter batteries at the end of each day and recharge or replace as needed.

- Replace the pH/Eh probe any time that the meter response time becomes greater than two minutes or the meeting system consistently fails to retain its calibrated accuracy for a minimum of ten sample measurements.

- If a replacement of the pH/Eh probe fails to resolve instrument response time and stability problems, obtain a replacement instrument (rental instruments) and/or order necessary repairs/adjustment.

ATTACHMENTS

Equipment Calibration Log (sample)

FOP 008.0

CALIBRATION AND MAINTENANCE OF PORTABLE FIELD pH/Eh METER

EQUIPMENT CALIBRATION

PROJECT INFORMATION:

Project Name: Date:

Project No.:

Client: Instrument Source: ☐ BM ☐ Rental

METER TYPE	UNITS	TIME	MAKE/MODEL	SERIAL NUMBER	CAL. BY	STANDARD	READING	SETTI
☐ pH meter	units		Myron L Company Ultra Meter 6P	606987		4.00		
						7.00		
						10.01		
☐ Turbidity meter	NTU		Hach 2100P Turbidimeter	970600014560		< 0.4		
						20		
						100		
						800		
☐ Sp. conductance meter	uS/mS		Myron L Company Ultra Meter 6P	606987		µS @ 25 °C		
☐ PID	ppm		Photovac 2020 PID			open air zero		MIBK re
						____ ppm Iso. Gas		factor =
☐ Particulate meter	mg/m^3					zero air		
☐ Oxygen	%					open air		
☐ Hydrogen sulfide	ppm					open air		
☐ Carbon monoxide	ppm					open air		
☐ LEL	%					open air		
☐ Radiation Meter	uR/h					background area		
☐								

SAMPLE

ADDITIONAL REMARKS:

PREPARED BY: **DATE:**

ROUX

FIELD OPERATING PROCEDURES

Calibration and Maintenance of Portable Field Turbidity Meter

FOP 009.0

CALIBRATION AND MAINTENANCE OF PORTABLE FIELD TURBIDITY METER

PURPOSE

This guideline describes the method for calibration of the HACH 2100P portable field turbidity meter. Turbidity is one water quality parameter measured during purging and development of wells. Turbidity is measured as a function of the samples ability to transmit light, expressed as Nephelometric Turbidity Units (NTUs). The turbidity meter is factory calibrated and must be checked daily prior to using the meter in the field. Calibration is performed to verify instrument accuracy and function. This procedure also documents critical maintenance activities for this meter.

ACCURACY

Accuracy shall be ± 2% of reading below 499 NTU or ± 3% of reading above 500 NTU with resolution to 0.01 NTU in the lowest range. The range key provides for automatic or manual range selection for ranges of 0.00 to 9.99, 0.0 to 99.9 and 0 to 1000 NTU. Another key provides for selecting automatic signal averaging. Pressing the key shall toggle signal averaging on or off.

PROCEDURE

Calibration of the 2100P Turbidimeter is based on formazin, the primary standard for turbidity. The instrument's electronic and optical design provides long-term stability and minimizes the need for frequent calibration. The two-detector ratioing system compensates for most fluctuations in lamp output. **A formazin recalibration should be performed at least once every three months,** more often if experience indicates the need. During calibration, use a primary standard such as StablCal™ Stabilized Standards or formazin standards.

Note: Meters produced by different manufacturers may have different calibration check procedures. These manufacturers' instructions will take precedence over the procedure provided here. This procedure is intended to be used as a general guideline, or in the absence of available manufacturer's instructions.

Note: Because the turbidity meter measures light transmission, it is critical that the meter and standards be cared for as precision optical instruments. Scratches, dirt, dust, etc. can all temporarily or permanently affect the accuracy of meter readings.

Preparing StablCal Stabilized Standards in Sealed Vials

Sealed vials that have been sitting undisturbed for longer than a month must be shaken to break the condensed suspension into its original particle size. Start at *step 1* for these standards. If the standards are used on at least a weekly interval, start at *step 3*.

Note: These instructions do not apply to < 0.1 NTU StablCal Standards; < 0.1 NTU StablCal Standards should not be shaken or inverted.

1. Shake the standard vigorously for 2-3 minutes to re-suspend any particles.
2. Allow the standard to stand undisturbed for 5 minutes.
3. Gently invert the vial of StablCal 5 to 7 times.
4. Prepare the vial for measurement using traditional preparation techniques. This usually consists of oiling the vial (see *Section 2.3.2 on page 11 of the manual*)

and marking the vial to maintain the same orientation in the sample cell compartment (see *Section 2.3.3 on page 12 of the manual*). This step will eliminate any optical variations in the sample vial.

5. Let the vial stand for one minute. The standard is now ready for use in the calibration procedure.

Calibration Procedure

1. Turn the meter on.

2. Shake pre-mixed formazin primary standards in accordance with the above procedure.

3. Wipe the outside of the < 0.1 NTU standard and insert the sample cell in the cell compartment by aligning the orientation mark on the cell with the mark on the front of the cell compartment.

4. Close the lid and press **I/O**.

5. Press the **CAL** button. The **CAL** and **S0** icons will be displayed and the 0 will flash. The four-digit display will show the value of the **S0** standard for the previous calibration. If the blank value was forced to 0.0, the display will be blank. Press the right arrow key (→) to get a numerical display.

6. Press **READ**. The instrument will count from 60 to 0, read the blank and use it to calculate a correction factor for the 20 NTU standard measurement. If the dilution water is ≥ 0.5 NTU, E 1 will appear when the calibration is calculated (*see Section 3.6.2.3 on page 31 of the manual*). The display will automatically increment to the next standard. Remove the sample cell from the cell compartment

Note: The turbidity of the dilution water can be "forced" to zero by pressing → rather than reading the dilution water. The display will show "S0 NTU" and the ↑ key must be pressed to continue with the next standard.

7. Repeat steps 1 through 7 for the 20, 100 and 800 standards.

8. Following the 800 NTU standard calibration, the display will increment back to the **S0** display. Remove the sample cell from the cell compartment.

9. Press **CAL** to accept the calibration. The instrument will return to measurement mode automatically.

10. Document the calibration results and related information in the Project Field Book and on an **Equipment Calibration Log** (see attached sample). Information will include, at a minimum:
    - Time, date, and initials of the field team member performing the calibration
    - The unique identifier for the meter, including manufacturer, model, and serial number
    - The brand of calibration standards
    - The instrument readings
    - The instrument settings (if applicable)
    - Pass or fail designation in accordance with the accuracy specifications presented above
    - Corrective action taken (see Maintenance below) in the event of failure to adequately calibrate.

Note: Pressing CAL completes the calculation of the calibration coefficients. If calibration errors occurred during calibration, error messages will appear after CAL is pressed. If E 1 or E 2 appear, check the standard preparation and review the calibration; repeat the calibration if necessary. If "CAL?" appears, an error may have

occurred during calibration. If "CAL?" is flashing, the instrument is using the default calibration.

NOTES

- If the **I/O** key is pressed during calibration, the new calibration data is lost and the old calibration will be used for measurements. Once in calibration mode, only the **READ, I/O,** ↑ , and →keys function. Signal averaging and range mode must be selected before entering the calibration mode.

- If **E 1** or **E 2** are displayed, an error occurred during calibration. Check the standard preparation and review the calibration; repeat the calibration if necessary. Press **DIAG** to cancel the error message (**E 1** or **E 2)**. To continue without repeating the calibration, press **I/O** twice to restore the previous calibration. If "**CAL?"** is displayed, an error may have occurred during calibration. The previous calibration may not be restored. Either recalibrate or use the calibration as is.

- To review a calibration, press **CAL** and then ↑ to view the calibration standard values. As long as **READ** is never pressed and **CAL** is not flashing, the calibration will not be updated. Press **CAL** again to return to the measurement mode.

MAINTENANCE

- **Cleaning**: Keep the turbidimeter and accessories as clean as possible and store the instrument in the carrying case when not in use. Avoid prolonged exposure to sunlight and ultraviolet light. Wipe spills up promptly. Wash sample cells with non-abrasive laboratory detergent, rinse with distilled or demineralized water, and air dry. Avoid scratching the cells and wipe all moisture and fingerprints off the cells before inserting them into the instrument. Failure to do so can give inaccurate readings. See *Section 2.3.1 on page 11of the manual* for more information about sample cell care.

- **Battery Replacement**: AA alkaline cells typically last for about 300 tests with the signal-averaging mode off, about 180 tests if signal averaging is used. The "battery" icon flashes when battery replacement is needed. Refer to *Section 1.4.2 on page 5 of the manual* for battery installation instructions. If the batteries are changed within 30

seconds, the instrument retains the latest range and signal average selections. If it takes more than 30 seconds, the instrument uses the default settings. If, after changing batteries, the instrument will not turn off or on and the batteries are good, remove the batteries and reinstall them. If the instrument still won't function, contact Hach Service or the nearest authorized dealer.

- **Lamp Replacement**: The procedure in *Section 4.0 on page 49 of the manual* explains lamp installation and electrical connections. Use a small screwdriver to remove and install the lamp leads in the terminal block. The instrument requires calibration after lamp replacement.

ATTACHMENTS

Equipment Calibration Log (sample)

FOP 009.0

CALIBRATION AND MAINTENANCE OF PORTABLE FIELD TURBIDITY METER

EQUIPMENT CALIBRATION

PROJECT INFORMATION:

Project Name: Date:

Project No.:

Client: Instrument Source: ☐ BM ☐ Rental

METER TYPE	UNITS	TIME	MAKE/MODEL	SERIAL NUMBER	CAL. BY	STANDARD	READING	SETTI
☐ pH meter	units		Myron L Company Ultra Meter 6P	606987		4.00		
						7.00		
						10.01		
☐ Turbidity meter	NTU		Hach 2100P Turbidimeter	970600014560		< 0.4		
						20		
						100		
						800		
☐ Sp. conductance meter	uS/mS		Myron L Company Ultra Meter 6P	606987		µS @ 25 °C		
☐ PID	ppm		Photovac 2020 PID			open air zero		MIBK re
						____ ppm Iso. Gas		factor =
☐ Particulate meter	mg/m³					zero air		
☐ Oxygen	%					open air		
☐ Hydrogen sulfide	ppm					open air		
☐ Carbon monoxide	ppm					open air		
☐ LEL	%					open air		
☐ Radiation Meter	uR/h					background area		
☐								

SAMPLE

ADDITIONAL REMARKS:

PREPARED BY: **DATE:**

ROUX

FIELD OPERATING PROCEDURES

Calibration and Maintenance of Portable Photoionization Detector (PID)

FOP 011.1

CALIBRATION AND MAINTENANCE OF PORTABLE PHOTOIONIZATION DETECTOR

PURPOSE

This procedure describes a general method for the calibration and maintenance of a portable photoionization detector (PID). The PID detects and initially quantifies a reading of the volatile organic compound (VOC) concentration in air. The PID is used as a field-screening tool for initial evaluation of soil samples and for ambient air monitoring of compounds with ionization potentials (IP) less than the PID lamp electron voltage (eV) rating. The IP is the amount of energy required to move an electron to an infinite distance from the nucleus thus creating a positive ion plus an electron. It should be noted that all of the major components of air (i.e., carbon dioxide, methane, nitrogen, oxygen etc.) have IP's above 12 eV. As a result, they will not be ionized by the 9.8, 10.6, or 11.7 eV lamps typically utilized in field PIDs. The response of the PID will then be the sum of the organic and inorganic compounds in air that are ionized by the appropriate lamp (i.e., 9.8, 10.6 or 11.7 eV). Attached to this FOP is a table summarizing common organic compounds and their respective IPs.

Calibration is performed to verify instrument accuracy and function. All field instruments will be calibrated, verified and recalibrated at frequencies required by their respective operating manuals or manufacturer's specifications, but not less than once each day that the instrument is in use. Compound-specific calibration methods should be selected on a project-by-project basis to increase the accuracy of the instrument. The best way to calibrate a PID to different compounds is to use a standard of the gas of interest. However, correction factors have been determined that enable the user to quantify a large number of chemicals using only a single calibration gas, typically isobutylene. Field personnel should have access to all operating manuals for the instruments used for the field measurements. This procedure also documents critical maintenance activities for this meter.

CALIBRATION AND MAINTENANCE OF PORTABLE PHOTOIONIZATION DETECTOR

Note: The information included below is equipment manufacturer- and model-specific, however, accuracy, calibration, and maintenance procedures for this type of portable equipment are typically similar. The information below pertains to the MiniRAE 2000 Portable VOC Monitor equipped with a 10.6 eV lamp. The actual equipment to be used in the field will be equivalent or similar. The following information is provided for general reference; the equipment-specific manufacturer's manual should be followed with precedence over this FOP.

Note: The PID indicates total VOC concentration readings that are normalized to a calibration standard, so actual quantification of individual compounds is not provided. In addition, the PID response to compounds is highly variable, dependent on ionization potential of the compound, and the presence or absence of other compounds.

ACCURACY

The MiniRAE 2000 is accurate to ± 2 ppm or 10% of the reading for concentrations ranging from 0-2,000 ppm and ± 20% of the reading at concentrations greater than 2,000 ppm. Response time is less than two seconds to 90 percent of full-scale. The operating temperature range is 0 to 45° C and the operating humidity range is 0 to 95 % relative humidity (non-condensing).

CALIBRATION PROCEDURE

The calibration method and correction factor, if applicable, will be selected on a project-by-project basis and confirmed with the Project Manager prior to the start of field work.

1. Calibrate all field test equipment at the beginning of each sampling day. Check and recalibrate the PID according to the manufacture's specifications.

2. Calibrate the PID using a compressed gas cylinder or equivalent containing the calibration standard, a flow regulator, and a tubing assembly. In addition, a compressed gas cylinder containing zero air ("clean" air) may be required if ambient air conditions do not permit calibration to "clean air".

3. Fill two Tedlar® bags equipped with a one-way valve with zero-air (if applicable) and the calibration standard gas.

4. Assemble the calibration equipment and actuate the PID in its calibration mode.

5. Select the appropriate calibration method. Calibration may be completed with two methods: 1) where the calibration standard gas is the same as the measurement gas (no correction factor is applied) or 2) where the calibration standard gas is not the same as the measurement gas and a correction factor will be applied. An isobutylene standard gas must be used as the calibration standard gas for the use of correction factors with the MiniRAE 2000. See below for additional instructions for calibration specific to use with or without correction factors.

Calibrating Without a Correction Factor

Navigate within the menu to select the "cal memory" for the specific calibration standard gas prior to calibration. The default gas selections for the MiniRAE 2000 are as follows:

Cal Memory #0	Isobutylene
Cal Memory #1	Hexane
Cal Memory #2	Xylene
Cal Memory #3	Benzene
Cal Memory #4	Styrene
Cal Memory #5	Toluene
Cal Memory #6	Vinyl Chloride
Cal Memory #7	Custom

The calibration standard gas for Cal Memory #1-7 may be toggled for selection of any of the approximately 100 preprogrammed calibration standard gases for use without an applied correction factor (i.e., the calibration gas must be the same as the measurement gas).

Calibrating With a Correction Factor

Navigate within the menu to select the "Cal Memory".

Select "Cal Memory #0" and toggle for selection of any of the approximately 100 preprogrammed chemicals. During calibration, the unit requests isobutylene gas and displays the isobutylene concentration immediately following calibration, but when the unit is returned to the normal reading mode, it displays the selected chemical and applies the correction factor.

If the pre-programmed list does not include the desired chemical or a user-defined measurement gas and correction factor is desired, toggle Cal Memory #0 to "user defined custom gas". A list of approximately 300 correction factors is attached in Technical Note 106 generated by MiniRAE.

6. Once the PID settings have been verified, connect the PID probe to the zero air calibration bag (or calibrate to ambient air if conditions permit) and wait for a stable indication.

7. Connect the PID probe to the calibration standard bag. Measure an initial reading of the standard and wait for a stable indication.

8. Keep the PID probe connected to the calibration standard bag, calibrate to applicable concentration (typically 100 ppm with isobutylene) with the standard and wait for a stable indication.

9. Document the calibration results and related information in the Project Field Book and on an **Equipment Calibration Log** (see attached sample), indicating the meter readings before and after the instrument has been adjusted. This is important, not only for data validation, but also to establish

maintenance schedules and component replacement. Information will include, at a minimum:

- Time, date and initials of the field team member performing the calibration
- The unique identifier for the meter, including manufacturer, model, and serial number
- The calibration standard and concentration
- Correction factors used, if any
- The brand and expiration date of the calibration standard gas
- The instrument readings: before and after calibration
- The instrument settings (if applicable)
- Pass or fail designation in accordance with the accuracy specifications presented above
- Corrective action taken (see Maintenance below) in the event of failure to adequately calibrate.

MAINTENANCE

- The probe and dust filter of the PID should be checked before and after every use for cleanliness. Should instrument response become unstable, recalibration should be performed. If this does not resolve the problem, access the photoionization bulb and clean with the manufacturer-supplied abrasive compound, then recalibrate.

- The PID battery must be recharged after each use. Store the PID in its carrying case when not in use. Additional maintenance details related to individual components of the PID are provided in the equipment manufacturer's instruction manual. If calibration or instrument performance is not in accordance with specifications, send the instrument to the equipment manufacturer for repair.

- Maintain a log for each monitoring instrument. Record all maintenance performed on the instrument on this log with date and name of the organization performing the maintenance.

ATTACHMENTS

Table 1; Summary of Ionization Potentials
Equipment Calibration Log (sample)
Technical Note TN-106

TABLE 1

SUMMARY OF IONIZATION POTENTIALS

Chemical Name	Ionization Potential (eV)	Cannot be Read by 10.6 eV PID
A		
2-Amino pyridine	8	
Acetaldehyde	10.21	
Acetamide	9.77	
Acetic acid	10.69	X
Acetic anhydride	10	
Acetone	9.69	
Acetonitrile	12.2	X
Acetophenone	9.27	
Acetyl bromide	10.55	
Acetyl chloride	11.02	X
Acetylene	11.41	X
Acrolein	10.1	
Acrylamide	9.5	
Acrylonitrile	10.91	X
Allyl alcohol	9.67	
Allyl chloride	9.9	
Ammonia	10.2	
Aniline	7.7	
Anisidine	7.44	
Anisole	8.22	
Arsine	9.89	
B		
1,3-Butadiene (butadiene)	9.07	
1-Bromo-2-chloroethane	10.63	X
1-Bromo-2-methylpropane	10.09	
1-Bromo-4-fluorobenzene	8.99	
1-Bromobutane	10.13	
1-Bromopentane	10.1	
1-Bromopropane	10.18	
1-Bromopropene	9.3	
1-Butanethiol	9.14	
1-Butene	9.58	
1-Butyne	10.18	
2,3-Butadione	9.23	
2-Bromo-2-methylpropane	9.89	
2-Bromobutane	9.98	
2-Bromopropane	10.08	

TABLE 1

SUMMARY OF IONIZATION POTENTIALS

Chemical Name	Ionization Potential (eV)	Cannot be Read by 10.6 eV PID
2-Bromothiophene	8.63	
2-Butanone (MEK)	9.54	
3-Bromopropene	9.7	
3-Butene nitrile	10.39	
Benzaldehyde	9.53	
Benzene	9.25	
Benzenethiol	8.33	
Benzonitrile	9.71	
Benzotrifluoride	9.68	
Biphenyl	8.27	
Boron oxide	13.5	X
Boron trifluoride	15.56	X
Bromine	10.54	
Bromobenzene	8.98	
Bromochloromethane	10.77	X
Bromoform	10.48	
Butane	10.63	X
Butyl mercaptan	9.15	
cis-2-Butene	9.13	
m-Bromotoluene	8.81	
n-Butyl acetate	10.01	
n-Butyl alcohol	10.04	
n-Butyl amine	8.71	
n-Butyl benzene	8.69	
n-Butyl formate	10.5	
n-Butyraldehyde	9.86	
n-Butyric acid	10.16	
n-Butyronitrile	11.67	X
o-Bromotoluene	8.79	
p-Bromotoluene	8.67	
p-tert-Butyltoluene	8.28	
s-Butyl amine	8.7	
s-Butyl benzene	8.68	
sec-Butyl acetate	9.91	
t-Butyl amine	8.64	
t-Butyl benzene	8.68	
trans-2-Butene	9.13	
C		

TABLE 1

SUMMARY OF IONIZATION POTENTIALS

Chemical Name	Ionization Potential (eV)	Cannot be Read by 10.6 eV PID
1-Chloro-2-methylpropane	10.66	X
1-Chloro-3-fluorobenzene	9.21	
1-Chlorobutane	10.67	X
1-Chloropropane	10.82	X
2-Chloro-2-methylpropane	10.61	X
2-Chlorobutane	10.65	X
2-Chloropropane	10.78	X
2-Chlorothiophene	8.68	
3-Chloropropene	10.04	
Camphor	8.76	
Carbon dioxide	13.79	X
Carbon disulfide	10.07	
Carbon monoxide	14.01	X
Carbon tetrachloride	11.47	X
Chlorine	11.48	X
Chlorine dioxide	10.36	
Chlorine trifluoride	12.65	X
Chloroacetaldehyde	10.61	X
α -Chloroacetophenone	9.44	
Chlorobenzene	9.07	
Chlorobromomethane	10.77	X
Chlorofluoromethane (Freon 22)	12.45	X
Chloroform	11.37	X
Chlorotrifluoromethane (Freon 13)	12.91	X
Chrysene	7.59	
Cresol	8.14	
Crotonaldehyde	9.73	
Cumene (isopropyl benzene)	8.75	
Cyanogen	13.8	X
Cyclohexane	9.8	
Cyclohexanol	9.75	
Cyclohexanone	9.14	
Cyclohexene	8.95	
Cyclo-octatetraene	7.99	
Cyclopentadiene	8.56	
Cyclopentane	10.53	
Cyclopentanone	9.26	
Cyclopentene	9.01	

TABLE 1

SUMMARY OF IONIZATION POTENTIALS

Chemical Name	Ionization Potential (eV)	Cannot be Read by 10.6 eV PID
Cyclopropane	10.06	
m-Chlorotoluene	8.83	
o-Chlorotoluene	8.83	
p-Chlorotoluene	8.7	
D		
1,1-Dibromoethane	10.19	
1,1-Dichloroethane	11.12	X
1,1-Dimethoxyethane	9.65	
1,1-Dimethylhydrazine	7.28	
1,2-Dibromoethene	9.45	
1,2-Dichloro-1,1,2,2-tetrafluoroethane (Freon 114)	12.2	X
1,2-Dichloroethane	11.12	X
1,2-Dichloropropane	10.87	X
1,3-Dibromopropane	10.07	
1,3-Dichloropropane	10.85	X
2,2-Dimethyl butane	10.06	
2,2-Dimethyl propane	10.35	
2,3-Dichloropropene	9.82	
2,3-Dimethyl butane	10.02	
3,3-Dimethyl butanone	9.17	
cis-Dichloroethene	9.65	
Decaborane	9.88	
Diazomethane	9	
Diborane	12	X
Dibromochloromethane	10.59	
Dibromodifluoromethane	11.07	X
Dibromomethane	10.49	
Dibutylamine	7.69	
Dichlorodifluoromethane (Freon 12)	12.31	X
Dichlorofluoromethane	12.39	X
Dichloromethane	11.35	X
Diethoxymethane	9.7	
Diethyl amine	8.01	
Diethyl ether	9.53	
Diethyl ketone	9.32	
Diethyl sulfide	8.43	
Diethyl sulfite	9.68	
Difluorodibromomethane	11.07	X

TABLE 1

SUMMARY OF IONIZATION POTENTIALS

Chemical Name	Ionization Potential (eV)	Cannot be Read by 10.6 eV PID
Dihydropyran	8.34	
Diiodomethane	9.34	
Diisopropylamine	7.73	
Dimethoxymethane (methylal)	10	
Dimethyl amine	8.24	
Dimethyl ether	10	
Dimethyl sulfide	8.69	
Dimethylaniline	7.13	
Dimethylformamide	9.18	
Dimethylphthalate	9.64	
Dinitrobenzene	10.71	X
Dioxane	9.19	
Diphenyl	7.95	
Dipropyl amine	7.84	
Dipropyl sulfide	8.3	
Durene	8.03	
m-Dichlorobenzene	9.12	
N,N-Diethyl acetamide	8.6	
N,N-Diethyl formamide	8.89	
N,N-Dimethyl acetamide	8.81	
N,N-Dimethyl formamide	9.12	
o-Dichlorobenzene	9.06	
p-Dichlorobenzene	8.95	
p-Dioxane	9.13	
trans-Dichloroethene	9.66	
E		
Epichlorohydrin	10.2	
Ethane	11.65	X
Ethanethiol (ethyl mercaptan)	9.29	
Ethanolamine	8.96	
Ethene	10.52	
Ethyl acetate	10.11	
Ethyl alcohol	10.48	
Ethyl amine	8.86	
Ethyl benzene	8.76	
Ethyl bromide	10.29	
Ethyl chloride (chloroethane)	10.98	X
Ethyl disulfide	8.27	

TABLE 1

SUMMARY OF IONIZATION POTENTIALS

Chemical Name	Ionization Potential (eV)	Cannot be Read by 10.6 eV PID
Ethyl ether	9.51	
Ethyl formate	10.61	X
Ethyl iodide	9.33	
Ethyl isothiocyanate	9.14	
Ethyl mercaptan	9.29	
Ethyl methyl sulfide	8.55	
Ethyl nitrate	11.22	X
Ethyl propionate	10	
Ethyl thiocyanate	9.89	
Ethylene chlorohydrin	10.52	
Ethylene diamine	8.6	
Ethylene dibromide	10.37	
Ethylene dichloride	11.05	X
Ethylene oxide	10.57	
Ethylenelmine	9.2	
Ethynylbenzene	8.82	
F		
2-Furaldehyde	9.21	
Fluorine	15.7	X
Fluorobenzene	9.2	
Formaldehyde	10.87	X
Formamide	10.25	
Formic acid	11.05	X
Freon 11 (trichlorofluoromethane)	11.77	X
Freon 112 (1,1,2,2-tetrachloro-1,2-difluoroethane)	11.3	X
Freon 113 (1,1,2-trichloro-1,2,2-trifluororethane)	11.78	X
Freon 114 (1,2-dichloro-1,1,2,2-tetrafluoroethane)	12.2	X
Freon 12 (dichlorodifluoromethane)	12.31	X
Freon 13 (chlorotrifluoromethane)	12.91	X
Freon 22 (chlorofluoromethane)	12.45	X
Furan	8.89	
Furfural	9.21	
m-Fluorotoluene	8.92	
o-Fluorophenol	8.66	
o-Fluorotoluene	8.92	
p-Fluorotoluene	8.79	
H		
1-Hexene	9.46	

TABLE 1

SUMMARY OF IONIZATION POTENTIALS

Chemical Name	Ionization Potential (eV)	Cannot be Read by 10.6 eV PID
2-Heptanone	9.33	
2-Hexanone	9.35	
Heptane	10.08	
Hexachloroethane	11.1	X
Hexane	10.18	
Hydrazine	8.1	
Hydrogen	15.43	X
Hydrogen bromide	11.62	X
Hydrogen chloride	12.74	X
Hydrogen cyanide	13.91	X
Hydrogen fluoride	15.77	X
Hydrogen iodide	10.38	
Hydrogen selenide	9.88	
Hydrogen sulfide	10.46	
Hydrogen telluride	9.14	
Hydroquinone	7.95	
I		
1-Iodo-2-methylpropane	9.18	
1-Iodobutane	9.21	
1-Iodopentane	9.19	
1-Iodopropane	9.26	
2-Iodobutane	9.09	
2-Iodopropane	9.17	
Iodine	9.28	
Iodobenzene	8.73	
Isobutane	10.57	
Isobutyl acetate	9.97	
Isobutyl alcohol	10.12	
Isobutyl amine	8.7	
Isobutyl formate	10.46	
Isobutyraldehyde	9.74	
Isobutyric acid	10.02	
Isopentane	10.32	
Isophorone	9.07	
Isoprene	8.85	
Isopropyl acetate	9.99	
Isopropyl alcohol	10.16	
Isopropyl amine	8.72	

TABLE 1

SUMMARY OF IONIZATION POTENTIALS

Chemical Name	Ionization Potential (eV)	Cannot be Read by 10.6 eV PID
Isopropyl benzene	8.69	
Isopropyl ether	9.2	
Isovaleraldehyde	9.71	
m-Iodotoluene	8.61	
o-Iodotoluene	8.62	
p-Iodotoluene	8.5	
K		
Ketene	9.61	
L		
2,3-Lutidine	8.85	
2,4-Lutidine	8.85	
2,6-Lutidine	8.85	
M		
2-Methyl furan	8.39	
2-Methyl napthalene	7.96	
1-Methyl napthalene	7.96	
2-Methyl propene	9.23	
2-Methyl-1-butene	9.12	
2-Methylpentane	10.12	
3-Methyl-1-butene	9.51	
3-Methyl-2-butene	8.67	
3-Methylpentane	10.08	
4-Methylcyclohexene	8.91	
Maleic anhydride	10.8	X
Mesityl oxide	9.08	
Mesitylene	8.4	
Methane	12.98	X
Methanethiol (methyl mercaptan)	9.44	
Methyl acetate	10.27	
Methyl acetylene	10.37	
Methyl acrylate	9.9	
Methyl alcohol	10.85	X
Methyl amine	8.97	
Methyl bromide	10.54	
Methyl butyl ketone	9.34	
Methyl butyrate	10.07	
Methyl cellosolve	9.6	
Methyl chloride	11.28	X

TABLE 1

SUMMARY OF IONIZATION POTENTIALS

Chemical Name	Ionization Potential (eV)	Cannot be Read by 10.6 eV PID
Methyl chloroform (1,1,1-trichloroethane)	11	X
Methyl disulfide	8.46	
Methyl ethyl ketone	9.53	
Methyl formate	10.82	X
Methyl iodide	9.54	
Methyl isobutyl ketone	9.3	
Methyl isobutyrate	9.98	
Methyl isocyanate	10.67	X
Methyl isopropyl ketone	9.32	
Methyl isothiocyanate	9.25	
Methyl mercaptan	9.44	
Methyl methacrylate	9.7	
Methyl propionate	10.15	
Methyl propyl ketone	9.39	
α -Methyl styrene	8.35	
Methyl thiocyanate	10.07	
Methylal (dimethoxymethane)	10	
Methylcyclohexane	9.85	
Methylene chloride	11.32	X
Methyl-n-amyl ketone	9.3	
Monomethyl aniline	7.32	
Monomethyl hydrazine	7.67	
Morpholine	8.2	
n-Methyl acetamide	8.9	
N		
1-Nitropropane	10.88	X
2-Nitropropane	10.71	X
Naphthalene	8.12	
Nickel carbonyl	8.27	
Nitric oxide, (NO)	9.25	
Nitrobenzene	9.92	
Nitroethane	10.88	X
Nitrogen	15.58	X
Nitrogen dioxide	9.78	
Nitrogen trifluoride	12.97	X
Nitromethane	11.08	X
Nitrotoluene	9.45	
p-Nitrochloro benzene	9.96	

TABLE 1

SUMMARY OF IONIZATION POTENTIALS

Chemical Name	Ionization Potential (eV)	Cannot be Read by 10.6 eV PID
O		
Octane	9.82	
Oxygen	12.08	X
Ozone	12.08	X
P		
1-Pentene	9.5	
1-Propanethiol	9.2	
2,4-Pentanedione	8.87	
2-Pentanone	9.38	
2-Picoline	9.02	
3-Picoline	9.02	
4-Picoline	9.04	
n-Propyl nitrate	11.07	X
Pentaborane	10.4	
Pentane	10.35	
Perchloroethylene	9.32	
Pheneloic	8.18	
Phenol	8.5	
Phenyl ether (diphenyl oxide)	8.82	
Phenyl hydrazine	7.64	
Phenyl isocyanate	8.77	
Phenyl isothiocyanate	8.52	
Phenylene diamine	6.89	
Phosgene	11.77	X
Phosphine	9.87	
Phosphorus trichloride	9.91	
Phthalic anhydride	10	
Propane	11.07	X
Propargyl alcohol	10.51	
Propiolactone	9.7	
Propionaldehyde	9.98	
Propionic acid	10.24	
Propionitrile	11.84	X
Propyl acetate	10.04	
Propyl alcohol	10.2	
Propyl amine	8.78	
Propyl benzene	8.72	
Propyl ether	9.27	

TABLE 1

SUMMARY OF IONIZATION POTENTIALS

Chemical Name	Ionization Potential (eV)	Cannot be Read by 10.6 eV PID
Propyl formate	10.54	
Propylene	9.73	
Propylene dichloride	10.87	X
Propylene imine	9	
Propylene oxide	10.22	
Propyne	10.36	
Pyridine	9.32	
Pyrrole	8.2	
Q		
Quinone	10.04	
S		
Stibine	9.51	
Styrene	8.47	
Sulfur dioxide	12.3	X
Sulfur hexafluoride	15.33	X
Sulfur monochloride	9.66	
Sulfuryl fluoride	13	X
T		
o-Terphenyls	7.78	
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloro-1,2-difluoroethane (Freon 112)	11.3	X
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	11	X
1,1,2-Trichloro-1,2,2-trifluoroethane (Freon 113)	11.78	X
2,2,4-Trimethyl pentane	9.86	
o-Toluidine	7.44	
Tetrachloroethane	11.62	X
Tetrachloroethene	9.32	
Tetrachloromethane	11.47	X
Tetrahydrofuran	9.54	
Tetrahydropyran	9.25	
Thiolacetic acid	10	
Thiophene	8.86	
Toluene	8.82	
Tribromoethene	9.27	
Tribromofluoromethane	10.67	X
Tribromomethane	10.51	
Trichloroethene	9.45	
Trichloroethylene	9.47	
Trichlorofluoromethane (Freon 11)	11.77	X

TABLE 1

SUMMARY OF IONIZATION POTENTIALS

Chemical Name	Ionization Potential (eV)	Cannot be Read by 10.6 eV PID
Trichloromethane	11.42	X
Triethylamine	7.5	
Trifluoromonobromo-methane	11.4	X
Trimethyl amine	7.82	
Tripropyl amine	7.23	
V		
o-Vinyl toluene	8.2	
Valeraldehyde	9.82	
Valeric acid	10.12	
Vinyl acetate	9.19	
Vinyl bromide	9.8	
Vinyl chloride	10	
Vinyl methyl ether	8.93	
W		
Water	12.59	X
X		
2,4-Xylidine	7.65	
m-Xylene	8.56	
o-Xylene	8.56	
p-Xylene	8.45	

FOP 011.0

CALIBRATION AND MAINTENANCE OF PORTABLE PHOTOIONIZATION DETECTOR

EQUIPMENT CALIBRATION LOG

PROJECT INFORMATION:

Project Name: Date:

Project No.:

Client: Instrument Source: ☐ BM ☐ Rental

METER TYPE	UNITS	TIME	MAKE/MODEL	SERIAL NUMBER	CAL. BY	STANDARD	POST CAL. READING	SETTINGS
☐ pH meter	units		Myron L Company Ultra Meter 6P	606987		4.00 7.00 10.01		
☐ Turbidity meter	NTU		Hach 2100P Turbidimeter	9706000145		< 0.4 00 800		
☐ Sp. Cond. meter	uS mS		Myron L Company Ultra Meter 6P			_____ mS @ 25 °C		
☐ PID	ppm		MinRAE 20			open air zero _____ ppm Iso. Gas		MIBK response factor = 1.0
☐ Dissolved Oxygen	ppm		YSI Model 5					
☐ Particulate meter	mg/m^3					zero air		
☐ Oxygen	%					open air		
☐ Hydrogen sulfide	ppm					open air		
☐ Carbon monoxide	ppm					open air		
☐ LEL	%					open air		
☐ Radiation Meter	uR/H					background area		
☐								

SAMPLE

ADDITIONAL REMARKS:

PREPARED BY: **DATE:**

Correction Factors, Ionization Energies*, And Calibration Characteristics

Correction Factors and Ionization Energies

RAE Systems PIDs can be used for the detection of a wide variety of gases that exhibit different responses. In general, any compound with ionization energy (IE) lower than that of the lamp photons can be measured.* The best way to calibrate a PID to different compounds is to use a standard of the gas of interest. However, correction factors have been determined that enable the user to quantify a large number of chemicals using only a single calibration gas, typically isobutylene. In our PIDs, correction factors can be used in one of three ways:

1) Calibrate the monitor with isobutylene in the usual fashion to read in isobutylene equivalents. Manually multiply the reading by the correction factor (CF) to obtain the concentration of the gas being measured.

2) Calibrate the unit with isobutylene in the usual fashion to read in isobutylene equivalents. Call up the correction factor from the instrument memory or download it from a personal computer and then call it up. The monitor will then read directly in units of the gas of interest.

3) Calibrate the unit with isobutylene, but input an equivalent, "corrected" span gas concentration when prompted for this value. The unit will then read directly in units of the gas of interest.

* *The term "ionization energy" is more scientifically correct and replaces the old term "ionization potential." High-boiling ("heavy") compounds may not vaporize enough to give a response even when their ionization energies are below the lamp photon energy. Some inorganic compounds like H_2O_2 and NO_2 give weak response even when their ionization energies are well below the lamp photon energy.*

Example 1:
With the unit calibrated to read isobutylene equivalents, the reading is 10 ppm with a 10.6 eV lamp. The gas being measured is butyl acetate, which has a correction factor of 2.6. Multiplying 10 by 2.6 gives an adjusted butyl acetate value of 26 ppm. Similarly, if the gas being measured were trichloroethylene (CF = 0.54), the adjusted value with a 10 ppm reading would be 5.4 ppm.

Example 2:
With the unit calibrated to read isobutylene equivalents, the reading is 100 ppm with a 10.6 eV lamp. The gas measured is m-xylene (CF = 0.43). After downloading this factor, the unit should read about 43 ppm when exposed to the same gas, and thus read directly in m-xylene values.

Example 3:
The desired gas to measure is ethylene dichloride (EDC). The CF is 0.6 with an 11.7 eV lamp. During calibration with 100 ppm isobutylene, insert 0.6 times 100, or 60 at the prompt for the calibration gas concentration. The unit then reads directly in EDC values.

Conversion to mg/m^3

To convert from ppm to mg/m^3, use the following formula:

$$\text{Conc. (mg/m}^3\text{)} = \frac{[\text{Conc.(ppmv) x mol. wt. (g/mole)}]}{\text{molar gas volume (L)}}$$

For air at 25 °C (77 °F), the molar gas volume is 24.4 L/mole and the formula reduces to:

$$\text{Conc.(mg/m}^3\text{)} = \text{Conc.(ppmv) x mol. wt. (g/mole) x } 0.041$$

For example, if the instrument is calibrated with a gas standard in ppmv, such as 100 ppm isobutylene, and the user wants the display to read in mg/m^3 of hexane, whose m.w. is 86 and CF is 4.3, the overall correction factor would be 4.3 x 86 x 0.041 equals 15.2.

Correction Factors for Mixtures

The correction factor for a mixture is calculated from the sum of the mole fractions Xi of each component divided by their respective correction factors CFi:

$$CFmix = 1 / (X_1/CF_1 + X_2/CF_2 + X_3/CF_3 + \ldots Xi/CFi)$$

Thus, for example, a vapor phase mixture of 5% benzene and 95% n-hexane would have a CFmix of CFmix = 1 / (0.05/0.53 + 0.95/4.3) = 3.2. A reading of 100 would then correspond to 320 ppm of the total mixture, comprised of 16 ppm benzene and 304 ppm hexane.

RAE Systems Inc.
3775 N. First St., San Jose, CA 95134-1708 USA
Phone: +1.888.723.8823
Email: raesales@raesystems.com
Web Site: www.raesystems.com

For a spreadsheet to compute the correction factor and TLV of a mixture see the appendix at the end of the CF table.

TLVs and Alarm Limits for Mixtures

The correction factor for mixtures can be used to set alarm limits for mixtures. To do this one first needs to calculate the exposure limit for the mixture. The Threshold Limit Value (TLV) often defines exposure limits. The TLV for the mixture is calculated in a manner similar to the CF calculation:

$$TLV\ mix = 1 / (X_1/TLV_1 + X_2/TLV_2 + X_3/TLV_3 + ... Xi/TLVi)$$

In the above example, the 8-h TLV for benzene is 0.5 ppm and for n-hexane 50 ppm. Therefore the TLV of the mixture is TLVmix = 1 / (0.05/0.5 + 0.95/50) = 8.4 ppm, corresponding to 8.0 ppm hexane and 0.4 ppm benzene. For an instrument calibrated on isobutylene, the reading corrsponding to the TLV is:

$$Alarm\ Reading = TLVmix / CFmix = 8.4 / 3.2 = 2.6\ ppm$$

A common practice is to set the lower alarm limit to half the TLV, and the higher limit to the TLV. Thus, one would set the alarms to 1.3 and 2.6 ppm, respectively.

Calibration Characteristics

a) Flow Configuration. PID response is essentially independent of gas flow rate as long as it is sufficient to satisfy the pump demand. Four main flow configurations are used for calibrating a PID:

1) **Pressurized gas cylinder (Fixed-flow regulator):** The flow rate of the regulator should match the flow demand of the instrument pump or be slightly higher.
2) **Pressurized gas cylinder (Demand-flow regulator):** A demand-flow regulator better matches pump speed differences, but results in a slight vacuum during calibration and thus slightly high readings.
3) **Collapsible gas bag:** The instrument will draw the calibration gas from the bag at its normal flow rate, as long as the bag valve is large enough. The bag should be filled with enough gas to allow at least one minute of flow (~ 0.6 L for a MiniRAE, ~0.3 L for MultiRAE).
4) **T (or open tube) method:** The T method uses a T-junction with gas flow higher than the pump draw. The gas supply is connected to one end of the T, the instrument inlet is connected to a second end of the T, and excess gas flow escapes through the third, open end of the T. To prevent ambient air mixing, a long tube should be connected to the open end, or a high excess rate should be used. Alternatively, the instrument probe can be inserted into an open tube slightly wider than the probe. Excess gas flows out around the probe.

The first two cylinder methods are the most efficient in terms of gas usage, while the bag and T methods give slightly more accurate results because they match the pump flow better.

b) Pressure. Pressures deviating from atmospheric pressure affect the readings by altering gas concentration and pump characteristics. It is best to calibrate with the instrument and calibration gas at the same pressure as each other and the sample gas. (Note that the cylinder pressure is not relevant because the regulator reduces the pressure to ambient.) If the instrument is calibrated at atmospheric pressure in one of the flow configurations described above, then 1) pressures slightly above ambient are acceptable but high pressures can damage the pump and 2) samples under vacuum may give low readings if air leaks into the sample train.

c) Temperature. Because temperature effects gas density and concentration, the temperature of the calibration gas and instrument should be as close as possible to the ambient temperature where the unit will be used. We recommend that the temperature of the calibration gas be within the instrument's temperature specification (typically 14° to 113° F or -10° to 45° C). Also, during actual measurements, the instrument should be kept at the same or higher temperature than the sample temperature to avoid condensation in the unit.

d) Matrix. The matrix gas of the calibration compound and VOC sample is significant. Some common matrix components, such as methane and water vapor can affect the VOC signal. PIDs are

RAE Systems Inc.
3775 N. First St., San Jose, CA 95134-1708 USA
Phone: +1.888.723.8823
Email: raesales@raesystems.com
Web Site: www.raesystems.com

most commonly used for monitoring VOCs in air, in which case the preferred calibration gas matrix is air. For a MiniRAE, methane, methanol, and water vapor reduce the response by about 20% when their concentration is 15,000 ppm and by about 40% at 30,000 ppm. Despite earlier reports of oxygen effects, RAE PID responses with 10.6 eV lamps are independent of oxygen concentration, and calibration gases in a pure nitrogen matrix can be used. H_2 and CO_2 up to 5 volume % also have no effect.

e) Concentration. Although RAE Systems PIDs have electronically linearized output, it is best to calibrate in a concentration range close to the actual measurement range. For example, 100 ppm standard gas for anticipated vapors of 0 to 250 ppm, and 500 ppm standard for expected concentrations of 250 to 1000 ppm. The correction factors in this table were typically measured at 50 to 100 ppm and apply from the ppb range up to about 1000 ppm. Above 1000 ppm the CF may vary and it is best to calibrate with the gas of interest near the concentration of interest.

f) Filters. Filters affect flow and pressure conditions and therefore all filters to be used during sampling should also be in place during calibration. Using a water trap (hydrophobic filter) greatly reduces the chances of drawing water aerosols or dirt particles into the instrument. Regular filter replacements are recommended because dirty filters can adsorb VOCs and cause slower response time and shifts in calibration.

g) Instrument Design. High-boiling ("heavy") or very reactive compounds can be lost by reaction or adsorption onto materials in the gas sample train, such as filters, pumps and other sensors. Multi-gas meters, including EntryRAE, MultiRAE and AreaRAE have the pump and other sensors upstream of the PID and are prone to these losses. Compounds possibly affected by such losses are shown in green in the table, and may give slow response, or in extreme cases, no response at all. In many cases the multi-gas meters can still give a rough indication of the relative concentration, without giving an accurate, quantitative reading. The ppbRAE and MiniRAE series instruments have inert sample trains and therefore do not exhibit significant loss; nevertheless, response may be slow for the very heavy compounds and additional sampling time up to a minute or more should be allowed to get a stable reading.

Table Abbreviations:

CF = Correction Factor (multiply by reading to get corrected value for the compound when calibrated to isobutylene)
NR= No Response
IE = Ionization Energy (values in parentheses are not well established)
C = Confirmed Value indicated by "+" in this column; all others are preliminary or estimated values and are subject to change
ne = Not Established ACGIH 8-hr. TWA
C## = Ceiling value, given where 8-hr.TWA is not available

Disclaimer:

Actual readings may vary with age and cleanliness of lamp, relative humidity, and other factors. For accurate work, the instrument should be calibrated regularly under the operating conditions used. The factors in this table were measured in dry air at room temperature, typically at 50-100 ppm. CF values may vary above about 1000 ppm.

Updates:

The values in this table are subject to change as more or better data become available. Watch for updates of this table on the Internet at **http://www.raesystems.com**

IE data are taken from the CRC Handbook of Chemistry and Physics, 73rd Edition, D.R. Lide (Ed.), CRC Press (1993) and NIST Standard Ref. Database 19A, NIST Positive Ion Energetics, Vers. 2.0, Lias, et.al., U.S. Dept. Commerce (1993). Exposure limits (8-h TWA and Ceiling Values) are from the 2005 ACGIH Guide to Occupational Exposure Values, ACGIH, Cincinnati, OH 2005. Equations for exposure limits for mixtures of chemicals were taken from the 1997 TLVs and BEIs handbook published by the ACGIH (1997).

RAE Systems Inc.
3775 N. First St., San Jose, CA 95134-1708 USA
Phone: +1.888.723.8823
Email: raesales@raesystems.com
Web Site: www.raesystems.com

Compound Name	Synonym/Abbreviation	CAS No.	Formula	9.8	C	10.6	C	11.7	C	IE (eV)	TWA
Acetaldehyde		75-07-0	C_2H_4O	NR	+	6	+	3.3	+	10.23	C25
Acetic acid	Ethanoic Acid	64-19-7	$C_2H_4O_2$	NR	+	22	+	2.6	+	10.66	10
Acetic anhydride	Ethanoic Acid Anhydride	108-24-7	$C_4H_6O_3$	NR	+	6.1	+	2.0	+	10.14	5
Acetone	2-Propanone	67-64-1	C_3H_6O	1.2	+	1.1	+	1.4	+	9.71	500
Acetone cyanohydrin	2-Hydroxyisobutyronitrile	75-86-5	C_4H_7NO					4	+	11.1	C5
Acetonitrile	Methyl cyanide, Cyanomethane	75-05-8	C_2H_3N					100		12.19	40
Acetylene	Ethyne	74-86-2	C_2H_2					2.1	+	11.40	ne
Acrolein	Propenal	107-02-8	C_3H_4O	42	+	3.9	+	1.4	+	10.10	0.1
Acrylic acid	Propenoic Acid	79-10-7	$C_3H_4O_2$			12	+	2.0	+	10.60	2
Acrylonitrile	Propenenitrile	107-13-1	C_3H_3N			NR	+	1.2	+	10.91	2
Allyl alcohol		107-18-6	C_3H_6O	4.5	+	2.4	+	1.6	+	9.67	2
Allyl chloride	3-Chloropropene	107-05-1	C_3H_5Cl			4.3		0.7		9.9	1
Ammonia		7664-41-7	H_3N	NR	+	9.7	+	5.7	+	10.16	25
Amyl acetate	mix of n-Pentyl acetate & 2-Methylbutyl acetate	628-63-7	$C_7H_{14}O_2$	11	+	2.3	+	0.95	+	<9.9	100
Amyl alcohol	1-Pentanol	75-85-4	$C_5H_{12}O$			5		1.6		10.00	ne
Aniline	Aminobenzene	62-53-3	C_7H_7N	0.50	+	0.48	+	0.47	+	7.72	2
Anisole	Methoxybenzene	100-66-3	C_7H_8O	0.89	+	0.58	+	0.56	+	8.21	ne
Arsine	Arsenic trihydride	7784-42-1	AsH_3			1.9	+			9.89	0.05
Benzaldehyde		100-52-7	C_7H_6O					1		9.49	ne
Benzenamine, N-methyl-	N-Methylphenylamine	100-61-8	C_7H_9N			0.7				7.53	
Benzene		71-43-2	C_6H_6	0.55	+	0.53	+	0.6	+	9.25	0.5
Benzonitrile	Cyanobenzene	100-47-0	C_7H_5N			1.6				9.62	ne
Benzyl alcohol	α-Hydroxytoluene, Hydroxymethylbenzene, Benzenemethanol	100-51-6	C_7H_8O	1.4	+	1.1	+	0.9	+	8.26	ne
Benzyl chloride	α-Chlorotoluene, Chloromethylbenzene	100-44-7	C_7H_7Cl	0.7	+	0.6	+	0.5	+	9.14	1
Benzyl formate	Formic acid benzyl ester	104-57-4	$C_8H_8O_2$	0.9	+	0.73	+	0.66	+		ne
Boron trifluoride		7637-07-2	BF_3	NR		NR		NR		15.5	C1
Bromine		7726-95-6	Br_2	NR	+	1.30	+	0.74	+	10.51	0.1
Bromobenzene		108-86-1	C_6H_5Br			0.6		0.5		8.98	ne
2-Bromoethyl methyl ether		6482-24-2	C_3H_7OBr			0.84	+			~10	ne
Bromoform	Tribromomethane	75-25-2	$CHBr_3$	NR	+	2.5	+	0.5	+	10.48	0.5
Bromopropane,1-	n-Propyl bromide	106-94-5	C_3H_7Br	150	+	1.5	+	0.6	+	10.18	ne
Butadiene	1,3-Butadiene, Vinyl ethylene	106-99-0	C_4H_6	0.8		0.85	+	1.1		9.07	2
Butadiene diepoxide, 1,3-	1,2,3,4-Diepoxybutane	298-18-0	$C_4H_6O_2$	25	+	3.5	+	1.2		~10	ne
Butanal	1-Butanal	123-72-8	C_4H_8O			1.8				9.84	
Butane		106-97-8	C_4H_{10}			67	+	1.2		10.53	800
Butanol, 1-	Butyl alcohol, n-Butanol	71-36-3	$C_4H_{10}O$	70	+	4.7	+	1.4	+	9.99	20
Butanol, t-	tert-Butanol, t-Butyl alcohol	75-65-0	$C_4H_{10}O$	6.9	+	2.9	+			9.90	100
Butene, 1-	1-Butylene	106-98-9	C_4H_8			0.9				9.58	ne
Butoxyethanol, 2-	Butyl Cellosolve, Ethylene glycol monobutyl ether	111-76-2	$C_6H_{14}O_2$	1.8	+	1.2	+	0.6	+	<10	25
Butoxyethanol acetate	Ethanol, 2-(2-butoxyethoxy)-, acetate	124-17-4	$C_{10}H_{20}O_4$			5.6				≤10.6	
Butoxyethoxyethanol	2-(2-Butoxyethoxy)ethanol	112-34-5	$C_8H_{18}O_3$			4.6				≤10.6	
Butyl acetate, n-		123-86-4	$C_6H_{12}O_2$			2.6	+			10	150
Butyl acrylate, n-	Butyl 2-propenoate, Acrylic acid butyl ester	141-32-2	$C_7H_{12}O_2$			1.6	+	0.6	+		10
Butylamine, n-		109-73-9	$C_4H_{11}N$	1.1	+	1.1	+	0.7	+	8.71	C5
Butyl cellosolve	see 2-Butoxyethanol	111-76-2									
Butyl hydroperoxide, t-		75-91-2	$C_4H_{10}O_2$	2.0	+	1.6	+			<10	1
Butyl mercaptan	1-Butanethiol	109-79-5	$C_4H_{10}S$	0.55	+	0.52	+			9.14	0.5
Carbon disulfide		75-15-0	CS_2	4	+	1.2	+	0.44		10.07	10
Carbon tetrachloride	Tetrachloromethane	56-23-5	CCl_4	NR	+	NR	+	1.7	+	11.47	5
Carbonyl sulfide	Carbon oxysulfide	463-58-1	COS							11.18	

Cellosolve see 2-Ethoxyethanol
CFC-14 see Tetrafluoromethane
CFC-113 see 1,1,2-Trichloro-1,2,2-trifluoroethane

RAE Systems Inc.
3775 N. First St., San Jose, CA 95134-1708 USA
Phone: +1.888.723.8823
Email: raesales@raesystems.com
Web Site: www.raesystems.com

Compound Name	Synonym/Abbreviation	CAS No.	Formula	9.8	C	10.6	C	11.7	C	IE (eV)	TWA
Chlorine		7782-50-5	Cl_2					1.0	+	11.48	0.5
Chlorine dioxide		10049-04-4	ClO_2	NR	+	NR	+	NR	+	10.57	0.1
Chlorobenzene	Monochlorobenzene	108-90-7	C_6H_5Cl	0.44	+	0.40	+	0.39	+	9.06	10
Chlorobenzotrifluoride, 4-	PCBTF, OXSOL 100 p-Chlorobenzotrifluoride	98-56-6	$C_7H_4ClF_3$	0.74	+	0.63	+	0.55	+	<9.6	25
Chloro-1,3-butadiene, 2-	Chloroprene	126-99-8	C_4H_5Cl			3					10
Chloro-1,1-difluoroethane, 1-	HCFC-142B, R-142B	75-68-3	$C_2H_3ClF_2$	NR		NR		NR		12.0	ne
Chlorodifluoromethane	HCFC-22, R-22	75-45-6	$CHClF_2$	NR		NR		NR		12.2	1000
Chloroethane	Ethyl chloride	75-00-3	C_2H_5Cl	NR	+	NR	+	1.1	+	10.97	100
Chloroethanol	Ethylene chlrohydrin	107-07-3	C_2H_5ClO					2.9		10.52	C1
Chloroethyl ether, 2-	bis(2-chloroethyl) ether	111-44-4	$C_4H_8Cl_2O$	8.6	+	3.0	+				5
Chloroethyl methyl ether, 2-	Methyl 2-chloroethyl ether	627-42-9	C_3H_7ClO			3					ne
Chloroform	Trichloromethane	67-66-3	$CHCl_3$	NR	+	NR	+	3.5	+	11.37	10
Chloro-2-methylpropene, 3-	Methallyl chloride, Isobutenyl chloride	563-47-3	C_4H_7Cl	1.4	+	1.2	+	0.63	+	9.76	ne
Chloropicrin		76-06-2	CCl_3NO_2	NR	+	~400	+	7	+	?	0.1
Chlorotoluene, o-	o-Chloromethylbenzene	95-49-8	C_7H_7Cl			0.5		0.6		8.83	50
Chlorotoluene, p-	p-Chloromethylbenzene	106-43-4	C_7H_7Cl					0.6		8.69	ne
Chlorotrifluoroethene	CTFE, Chlorotrifluoroethylene Genetron 1113	79-38-9	C_2ClF_3	6.7	+	3.9	+	1.2	+	9.76	5
Chlorotrimethylsilane		75-77-4	C_3H_9ClSi	NR		NR		0.82	+	10.83	ne
Cresol, m-	m-Hydroxytoluene	108-39-4	C_7H_8O	0.57	+	0.50	+	0.57	+	8.29	5
Cresol, o-	o-Hydroxytoluene	95-48-7	C_7H_8O			1.0				8.50	
Cresol, p-	p-Hydroxytoluene	106-44-5	C_7H_8O			1.4				8.35	
Crotonaldehyde	*trans*-2-Butenal	123-73-9 4170-30-3	C_4H_6O	1.5	+	1.1	+	1.0	+	9.73	2
Cumene	Isopropylbenzene	98-82-8	C_9H_{12}	0.58	+	0.54	+	0.4	+	8.73	50
Cyanogen bromide		506-68-3	CNBr	NR		NR		NR		11.84	ne
Cyanogen chloride		506-77-4	CNCl	NR		NR		NR		12.34	C0.3
Cyclohexane		110-82-7	C_6H_{12}	3.3	+	1.4	+	0.64	+	9.86	300
Cyclohexanol	Cyclohexyl alcohol	108-93-0	$C_6H_{12}O$	1.5	+	0.9	+	1.1	+	9.75	50
Cyclohexanone		108-94-1	$C_6H_{10}O$	1.0	+	0.9	+	0.7	+	9.14	25
Cyclohexene		110-83-8	C_6H_{10}			0.8	+			8.95	300
Cyclohexylamine		108-91-8	$C_6H_{13}N$			1.2				8.62	10
Cyclopentane 85% 2,2-dimethylbutane 15%		287-92-3	C_5H_{10}	NR	+	15	+	1.1		10.33	600
Cyclopropylamine	Aminocyclpropane	765-30-0	C_3H_7N	1.1	+	0.9	+	0.9	+		ne
Decamethylcyclopentasiloxane		541-02-6	$C_{10}H_{30}O_5Si_5$	0.16	+	0.13	+	0.12	+		ne
Decamethyltetrasiloxane		141-62-8	$C_{10}H_{30}O_3Si_4$	0.17	+	0.13	+	0.12	+	<10.2	ne
Decane		124-18-5	$C_{10}H_{22}$	4.0	+	1.4	+	0.35	+	9.65	ne
Diacetone alcohol	4-Methyl-4-hydroxy-2-pentanone	123-42-2	$C_6H_{12}O_2$			0.7					50
Dibromochloromethane	Chlorodibromomethane	124-48-1	$CHBr_2Cl$	NR	+	5.3	+	0.7	+	10.59	ne
Dibromo-3-chloropropane, 1,2-	DBCP	96-12-8	$C_3H_5Br_2Cl$	NR	+	1.7	+	0.43	+		0.001
Dibromoethane, 1,2-	EDB, Ethylene dibromide, Ethylene bromide	106-93-4	$C_2H_4Br_2$	NR	+	1.7	+	0.6	+	10.37	ne
Dichlorobenzene, o-	1,2-Dichlorobenzene	95-50-1	$C_6H_4Cl_2$	0.54	+	0.47	+	0.38	+	9.08	25
Dichlorodifluoromethane	CFC-12	75-71-8	CCl_2F_2			NR	+	NR	+	11.75	1000
Dichlorodimethylsilane		75-78-5	$C_2H_6Cl_2Si$	NR		NR		1.1	+	>10.7	ne
Dichloroethane, 1,2-	EDC, 1,2-DCA, Ethylene dichloride	107-06-2	$C_2H_4Cl_2$			NR	+	0.6	+	11.04	10
Dichloroethene, 1,1-	1,1-DCE, Vinylidene chloride	75-35-4	$C_2H_2Cl_2$			0.82	+	0.8	+	9.79	5
Dichloroethene, c-1,2-	*c*-1,2-DCE, *cis*-Dichloroethylene	156-59-2	$C_2H_2Cl_2$			0.8				9.66	200
Dichloroethene, t-1,2-	*t*-1,2-DCE, *trans*-Dichloroethylene	156-60-5	$C_2H_2Cl_2$			0.45	+	0.34	+	9.65	200
Dichloro-1-fluoroethane, 1,1-	R-141B	1717-00-6	$C_2H_3Cl_2F$	NR	+	NR	+	2.0	+		ne
Dichloromethane	see Methylene chloride										

RAE Systems Inc.
3775 N. First St., San Jose, CA 95134-1708 USA
Phone: +1.888.723.8823
Email: raesales@raesystems.com
Web Site: www.raesystems.com

Compound Name	Synonym/Abbreviation	CAS No.	Formula	9.8	C	10.6	C	11.7	C	IE (eV)	TWA
Dichloropentafluoropropane	AK-225, mix of ~45% 3,3-dichloro-1,1,1,2,2-pentafluoro-propane (HCFC-225ca) & ~55% 1,3-Dichloro-1,1,2,2,3-pentafluoropropane (HCFC-225cb)	442-56-0 507-55-1	$C_3HCl_2F_5$	NR	+	NR	+	25	+		ne
Dichloropropane, 1,2-		78-87-5	$C_3H_6Cl_2$					0.7		10.87	75
Dichloro-1-propene, 1,3-		542-75-6	$C_3H_4C_{12}$	1.3	+	0.96	+			<10	1
Dichloro-1-propene, 2,3-		78-88-6	$C_3H_4Cl_2$	1.9	+	1.3	+	0.7	+	<10	ne
Dichloro-1,1,1-trifluoroethane, 2,2-	R-123	306-83-2	$C_2HCl_2F_3$	NR	+	NR	+	10.1	+	11.5	ne
Dichloro-2,4,6-trifluoropyridine, 3,5-	DCTFP	1737-93-5	$C_5Cl_2F_3N$	1.1	+	0.9	+	0.8	+		ne
Dichlorvos *	Vapona; O,O-dimethyl O-dichlorovinyl phosphate	62-73-7	$C_4H_7Cl_2O_4P$			0.9	+			<9.4	0.1
Dicyclopentadiene	DCPD, Cyclopentadiene dimer	77-73-6	$C_{10}H_{12}$	0.57	+	0.48	+	0.43	+	8.8	5
Diesel Fuel		68334-30-5	m.w. 226			0.9	+				11
Diesel Fuel #2 (Automotive)		68334-30-5	m.w. 216	1.3		0.7	+	0.4	+		11
Diethylamine		109-89-7	$C_4H_{11}N$			1	+			8.01	5
Diethylaminopropylamine, 3-		104-78-9	$C_7H_{18}N_2$			1.3					ne
Diethylbenzene	See Dowtherm J										
Diethylmaleate		141-05-9	$C_8H_{12}O_4$			4					ne
Diethyl sulfide	see Ethyl sulfide										
Diglyme	See Methoxyethyl ether	111-96-6	$C_6H_{14}O_3$								
Diisobutyl ketone	DIBK, 2,2-dimethyl-4-heptanone	108-83-8	$C_9H_{18}O$	0.71	+	0.61	+	0.35	+	9.04	25
Diisopropylamine		108-18-9	$C_6H_{15}N$	0.84	+	0.74	+	0.5	+	7.73	5
Diketene	Ketene dimer	674-82-8	$C_4H_4O_2$	2.6	+	2.0	+	1.4	+	9.6	0.5
Dimethylacetamide, N,N-	DMA	127-19-5	C_4H_9NO	0.87	+	0.8	+	0.8	+	8.81	10
Dimethylamine		124-40-3	C_2H_7N			1.5				8.23	5
Dimethyl carbonate	Carbonic acid dimethyl ester	616-38-6	$C_3H_6O_3$	NR	+	~70	+	1.7	+	~10.5	ne
Dimethyl disulfide	DMDS	624-92-0	$C_2H_6S_2$	0.2	+	0.20	+	0.21	+	7.4	ne
Dimethyl ether	see Methyl ether										
Dimethylethylamine	DMEA	598-56-1	$C_4H_{11}N$	1.1	+	1.0	+	0.9	+	7.74	~3
Dimethylformamide, N,N-	DMF	68-12-2	C_3H_7NO	0.7	+	0.7	+	0.8	+	9.13	10
Dimethylhydrazine, 1,1-	UDMH	57-14-7	$C_2H_8N_2$			0.8	+	0.8	+	7.28	0.01
Dimethyl methylphosphonate	DMMP, methyl phosphonic acid dimethyl ester	756-79-6	$C_3H_9O_3P$	NR	+	4.3	+	0.74	+	10.0	ne
Dimethyl sulfate		77-78-1	$C_2H_6O_4S$	~23		~20	+	2.3	+		0.1
Dimethyl sulfide	see Methyl sulfide										
Dimethyl sulfoxide	DMSO, Methyl sulfoxide	67-68-5	C_2H_6OS			1.4	+			9.10	ne
Dioxane, 1,4-		123-91-1	$C_4H_8O_2$			1.3				9.19	25
Dioxolane, 1,3-	Ethylene glycol formal	646-06-0	$C_3H_6O_2$	4.0	+	2.3	+	1.6	+	9.9	20
Dowtherm A see Therminol® *											
Dowtherm J (97% Diethylbenzene) *		25340-17-4	$C_{10}H_{14}$			0.5					
DS-108F Wipe Solvent	Ethyl lactate/Isopar H/ Propoxypropanol ~7:2:1	97-64-3 64742-48-9 1569-01-3	m.w. 118	3.3	+	1.6	+	0.7	+		ne
Epichlorohydrin	ECH Chloromethyloxirane, 1-chloro2,3-epoxypropane	106-89-8	C_2H_5ClO	~200	+	8.5	+	1.4	+	10.2	0.5
Ethane		74-84-0	C_2H_6			NR	+	15	+	11.52	ne
Ethanol	Ethyl alcohol	64-17-5	C_2H_6O			10	+	3.1	+	10.47	1000
Ethanolamine *	MEA, Monoethanolamine	141-43-5	C_2H_7NO	5.6	+	1.6	+			8.96	3
Ethene	Ethylene	74-85-1	C_2H_4			9	+	4.5	+	10.51	ne
Ethoxyethanol, 2-	Ethyl cellosolve	110-80-5	$C_4H_{10}O_2$			1.3				9.6	5
Ethyl acetate		141-78-6	$C_4H_8O_2$			4.6	+	3.5		10.01	400
Ethyl acetoacetate		141-97-9	$C_6H_{10}O_3$	1.4	+	1.2	+	1.0	+	<10	ne
Ethyl acrylate		140-88-5	$C_5H_8O_2$			2.4	+	1.0	+	<10.3	5
Ethylamine		75-04-7	C_2H_7N			0.8				8.86	5

RAE Systems Inc.
3775 N. First St., San Jose, CA 95134-1708 USA
Phone: +1.888.723.8823
Email: raesales@raesystems.com
Web Site: www.raesystems.com

Compound Name	Synonym/Abbreviation	CAS No.	Formula	9.8	C	10.6	C	11.7	C	IE (Ev)	TWA
Ethylbenzene		100-41-4	C_8H_{10}	0.52	+	0.52	+	0.51	+	8.77	100
Ethyl caprylate	Ethyl octanoate	106-32-1	$C_{10}H_{20}O_2$		+	0.52	+	0.51	+		
Ethylenediamine	1,2-Ethanediamine; 1,2-Diaminoethane	107-15-3	$C_2H_8N_2$	0.9	+	0.8	+	1.0	+	8.6	10
Ethylene glycol *	1,2-Ethanediol	107-21-1	$C_2H_6O_2$			16	+	6	+	10.16	C100
Ethylene glycol, Acrylate	2-hydroxyethyl Acrylate	818-61-1	$C_5H_8O_3$			8.2				≤10.6	
Ethylene glycol dimethyl ether	1,2-Dimethoxyethane, Monoglyme	110-71-4	$C_4H_{10}O_2$	1.1		0.86		0.7		9.2	ne
Ethylene glycol monobutyl ether acetate	2-Butoxyethyl acetate	112-07-2	$C_8H_{16}O_3$			1.3				≤10.6	
Ethylene glycol, monothio	mercapto-2-ethanol	60-24-2	C_2H_6OS			1.5				9.65	
Ethylene oxide	Oxirane, Epoxyethane	75-21-8	C_2H_4O			13	+	3.5	+	10.57	1
Ethyl ether	Diethyl ether	60-29-7	$C_4H_{10}O$			1.1	+	1.7		9.51	400
Ethyl 3-ethoxypropionate	EEP	763-69-9	$C_7H_{14}O_3$	1.2	+	0.75	+				ne
Ethyl formate		109-94-4	$C_3H_6O_2$					1.9		10.61	100
Ethylhexyl acrylate, 2-	Acrylic acid 2-ethylhexyl ester	103-11-7	$C_{11}H_{20}O_2$			1.1	+	0.5	+		ne
Ethylhexanol	2-Ethyl-1-hexanol	104-76-7	C8H18O			1.9				≤10.6	
Ethylidenenorbornene	5-Ethylidene bicyclo(2,2,1)hept-2-ene	16219-75-3	C_9H_{12}	0.4	+	0.39	+	0.34	+	≤8.8	ne
Ethyl (S)-(-)-lactate see also DS-108F	Ethyl lactate, Ethyl (S)-(-)-hydroxypropionate	687-47-8 97-64-3	$C_5H_{10}O_3$	13	+	3.2	+	1.6	+	~10	ne
Ethyl mercaptan	Ethanethiol	75-08-1	C_2H_6S	0.60	+	0.56	+			9.29	0.5
Ethyl sulfide	Diethyl sulfide	352-93-2	$C_4H_{10}S$			0.5	+			8.43	ne
Formaldehyde	Formalin	50-00-0	CH_2O	NR	+	NR	+	1.6	+	10.87	C0.3
Formamide		75-12-7	CH_3NO			6.9	+	4		10.16	10
Formic acid		64-18-6	CH_2O_2	NR	+	NR	+	9	+	11.33	5
Furfural	2-Furaldehyde	98-01-1	$C_5H_4O_2$			0.92	+	0.8	+	9.21	2
Furfuryl alcohol		98-00-0	$C_5H_6O_2$			0.80	+			<9.5	10
Gasoline #1		8006-61-9	m.w. 72			0.9	+				300
Gasoline #2, 92 octane		8006-61-9	m.w. 93	1.3	+	1.0	+	0.5	+		300
Glutaraldehyde	1,5-Pentanedial, Glutaric dialdehyde	111-30-8	$C_5H_8O_2$	1.1	+	0.8	+	0.6	+		C0.05
Glycidyl methacrylate	2,3-Epoxypropyl methacrylate	106-91-2	$C_7H_{10}O_3$	2.6	+	1.2	+	0.9	+		0.5
Halothane	2-Bromo-2-chloro-1,1,1-trifluoroethane	151-67-7	$C_2HBrClF_3$					0.6		11.0	50
HCFC-22 see Chlorodifluoromethane											
HCFC-123 see 2,2-Dichloro-1,1,1-trifluoroethane											
HCFC-141B see 1,1-Dichloro-1-fluoroethane											
HCFC-142B see 1-Chloro-1,1-difluoroethane											
HCFC-134A see 1,1,1,2-Tetrafluoroethane											
HCFC-225 see Dichloropentafluoropropane											
Heptane, n-		142-82-5	C_7H_{16}	45	+	2.8	+	0.60	+	9.92	400
Heptanol, 4-	Dipropylcarbinol	589-55-9	$C_7H_{16}O$	1.8	+	1.3	+	0.5	+	9.61	ne
Hexamethyldisilazane, 1,1,1,3,3,3- *	HMDS	999-97-3	$C_6H_{19}NSi_2$			0.2	+	0.2	+	~8.6	ne
Hexamethyldisiloxane	HMDSx	107-46-0	$C_6H_{18}OSi_2$	0.33	+	0.27	+	0.25	+	9.64	ne
Hexane, n-		110-54-3	C_6H_{14}	350	+	4.3	+	0.54	+	10.13	50
Hexanol, 1-	Hexyl alcohol	111-27-3	$C_6H_{14}O$	9	+	2.5	+	0.55	+	9.89	ne
Hexene, 1-		592-41-6	C_6H_{12}			0.8				9.44	30
HFE-7100 see Methyl nonafluorobutyl ether											
Histoclear (Histo-Clear)	Limonene/corn oil reagent		m.w. ~136	0.5	+	0.4	+	0.3	+		ne
Hydrazine *		302-01-2	H_4N_2	>8	+	2.6	+	2.1	+	8.1	0.01
Hydrazoic acid	Hydrogen azide		HN_3							10.7	
Hydrogen	Synthesis gas	1333-74-0	H_2	NR	+	NR	+	NR	+	15.43	ne
Hydrogen cyanide	Hydrocyanic acid	74-90-8	HCN	NR	+	NR	+	NR	+	13.6	C4.7
Hydrogen iodide *	Hydriodic acid	10034-85-2	HI			~0.6*				10.39	
Hydrogen peroxide		7722-84-1	H_2O_2	NR	+	NR	+	NR	+	10.54	1
Hydrogen sulfide		7783-06-4	H_2S	NR	+	3.3	+	1.5	+	10.45	10
Hydroxypropyl methacrylate		27813-02-1 923-26-2	$C_7H_{12}O_3$	9.9	+	2.3	+	1.1	+		ne
Iodine *		7553-56-2	I_2	0.1	+	0.1	+	0.1	+	9.40	C0.1

RAE Systems Inc.
3775 N. First St., San Jose, CA 95134-1708 USA
Phone: +1.888.723.8823
Email: raesales@raesystems.com
Web Site: www.raesystems.com

Compound Name	Synonym/Abbreviation	CAS No.	Formula	9.8	C	10.6	C	11.7	C	IE (eV)	TWA
Iodomethane	Methyl iodide	74-88-4	CH_3I	0.21	+	0.22	+	0.26	+	9.54	2
Isoamyl acetate	Isopentyl acetate	123-92-2	$C_7H_{14}O_2$	10.1		2.1		1.0		<10	100
Isobutane	2-Methylpropane	75-28-5	C_4H_{10}			100	+	1.2	+	10.57	ne
Isobutanol	2-Methyl-1-propanol	78-83-1	$C_4H_{10}O$	19	+	3.8	+	1.5		10.02	50
Isobutene	Isobutylene, Methyl butene	115-11-7	C_4H_8	1.00	+	1.00	+	1.00	+	9.24	Ne
Isobutyl acrylate	Isobutyl 2-propenoate	106-63-8	$C_7H_{12}O_2$			1.5	+	0.60	+		Ne
Isoflurane	1-Chloro-2,2,2-trifluoroethyl difluoromethyl ether, forane	26675-46-7	$C_3H_2ClF_5O$	NR	+	NR	+	48	+	~11.7	Ne
Isooctane	2,2,4-Trimethylpentane	540-84-1	C8H18			1.2				9.86	ne
Isopar E Solvent	Isoparaffinic hydrocarbons	64741-66-8	m.w. 121	1.7	+	0.8	+				Ne
Isopar G Solvent	Photocopier diluent	64742-48-9	m.w. 148			0.8	+				Ne
Isopar K Solvent	Isoparaffinic hydrocarbons	64742-48-9	m.w. 156	0.9	+	0.5	+	0.27	+		Ne
Isopar L Solvent	Isoparaffinic hydrocarbons	64742-48-9	m.w. 163	0.9	+	0.5	+	0.28	+		Ne
Isopar M Solvent	Isoparaffinic hydrocarbons	64742-47-8	m.w. 191			0.7	+	0.4	+		Ne
Isopentane	2-Methylbutane	78-78-4	C_5H_{12}			8.2					Ne
Isophorone		78-59-1	$C_9H_{14}O$					3		9.07	C5
Isoprene	2-Methyl-1,3-butadiene	78-79-5	C_5H_8	0.69	+	0.63	+	0.60	+	8.85	Ne
Isopropanol	Isopropyl alcohol, 2-propanol, IPA	67-63-0	C_3H_8O	500	+	6.0	+	2.7		10.12	200
Isopropyl acetate		108-21-4	$C_5H_{10}O_2$			2.6				9.99	100
Isopropyl ether	Diisopropyl ether	108-20-3	$C_6H_{14}O$			0.8				9.20	250
Jet fuel JP-4	Jet B, Turbo B, F-40 Wide cut type aviation fuel	8008-20-6 + 64741-42-0	m.w. 115			1.0	+	0.4	+		Ne
Jet fuel JP-5	Jet 5, F-44, Kerosene type aviation fuel	8008-20-6 + 64747-77-1	m.w. 167			0.6	+	0.5	+		29
Jet fuel JP-8	Jet A-1, F-34, Kerosene type aviation fuel	8008-20-6 + 64741-77-1	m.w. 165			0.6	+	0.3	+		30
Jet fuel A-1 (JP-8)	F-34, Kerosene type aviation fuel	8008-20-6 + 64741-77-1	m.w. 145			0.67					34
Jet Fuel TS	Thermally Stable Jet Fuel, Hydrotreated kerosene fuel	8008-20-6 + 64742-47-8	m.w. 165	0.9	+	0.6	+	0.3	+		30
Limonene, D-	(R)-(+)-Limonene	5989-27-5	$C_{10}H_{16}$			0.33	+			~8.2	Ne
Kerosene C10-C16 petro.distillate – see Jet Fuels		8008-20-6									
MDI – see 4,4'-Methylenebis(phenylisocyanate)											
Maleic anhydride	2,5-Furandione	108-31-6	$C_4H_2O_3$							~10.8	0.1
Mesitylene	1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	108-67-8	C_9H_{12}	0.36	+	0.35	+	0.3	+	8.41	25
Methallyl chloride – see 3-Chloro-2-methylpropene											
Methane	Natural gas	74-82-8	CH_4	NR	+	NR	+	NR	+	12.61	Ne
Methanol	Methyl alcohol, carbinol	67-56-1	CH_4O	NR	+	NR	+	2.5	+	10.85	200
Methoxyethanol, 2-	Methyl cellosolve, Ethylene glycol monomethyl ether	109-86-4	$C_3H_8O_2$	4.8	+	2.4	+	1.4	+	10.1	5
Methoxyethoxyethanol, 2-	2-(2-Methoxyethoxy)ethanol Diethylene glycol monomethyl ether	111-77-3	$C_7H_{16}O$	2.3	+	1.2	+	0.9	+	<10	Ne
Methoxyethyl ether, 2-	bis(2-Methoxyethyl) ether, Diethylene glycol dimethyl ether, Diglyme	111-96-6	$C_6H_{14}O_3$	0.64	+	0.54	+	0.44	+	<9.8	Ne
Methyl acetate		79-20-9	$C_3H_6O_2$	NR	+	6.6	+	1.4	+	10.27	200
Methyl acrylate	Methyl 2-propenoate, Acrylic acid methyl ester	96-33-3	$C_4H_6O_2$			3.7	+	1.2	+	(9.9)	2
Methylamine	Aminomethane	74-89-5	CH_5N			1.2				8.97	5
Methyl amyl ketone	MAK, 2-Heptanone, Methyl pentyl ketone	110-43-0	$C_7H_{14}O$	0.9	+	0.85	+	0.5	+	9.30	50
Methyl bromide	Bromomethane	74-83-9	CH_3Br	110	+	1.7	+	1.3	+	10.54	1
Methyl t-butyl ether	MTBE, *tert*-Butyl methyl ether	1634-04-4	$C_5H_{12}O$			0.9	+			9.24	40
Methyl cellosolve	see 2-Methoxyethanol										
Methyl chloride	Chloromethane	74-87-3	CH_3Cl	NR	+	NR	+	0.74	+	11.22	50
Methylcyclohexane		107-87-2	C_7H_{14}	1.6	+	0.97	+	0.53	+	9.64	400
Methylene bis(phenyl-isocyanate), 4,4'- *	MDI, Mondur M		$C_{15}H_{10}N_2O_2$	Very slow ppb level response							0.005

RAE Systems Inc.
3775 N. First St., San Jose, CA 95134-1708 USA
Phone: +1.888.723.8823
Email: raesales@raesystems.com
Web Site: www.raesystems.com

Compound Name	Synonym/Abbreviation	CAS No.	Formula	9.8	C	10.6	C	11.7	C	IE (eV)	TWA
Methylene chloride	Dichloromethane	75-09-2	CH_2Cl_2	NR	+	NR	+	0.89	+	11.32	25
Methyl ether	Dimethyl ether	115-10-6	C_2H_6O	4.8	+	3.1	+	2.5	+	10.03	Ne
Methyl ethyl ketone	MEK, 2-Butanone	78-93-3	C_4H_8O	0.86	+	0.9	+	1.1	+	9.51	200
Methylhydrazine	Monomethylhydrazine, Hydrazomethane	60-34-4	$C_2H_6N_2$	1.4	+	1.2	+	1.3	+	7.7	0.01
Methyl isoamyl ketone	MIAK, 5-Methyl-2-hexanone	110-12-3	$C_7H_{14}O$	0.8	+	0.76	+	0.5	+	9.28	50
Methyl isobutyl ketone	MIBK, 4-Methyl-2-pentanone	108-10-1	$C_6H_{12}O$	0.9	+	0.8	+	0.6	+	9.30	50
Methyl isocyanate	CH3NCO	624-83-9	C_2H_3NO	NR	+	4.6	+	1.5		10.67	0.02
Methyl isothiocyanate	CH3NCS	551-61-6	C_2H_3NS	0.5	+	0.45	+	0.4	+	9.25	ne
Methyl mercaptan	Methanethiol	74-93-1	CH_4S	0.65		0.54		0.66		9.44	0.5
Methyl methacrylate		80-62-6	$C_5H_8O_2$	2.7	+	1.5	+	1.2	+	9.7	100
Methyl nonafluorobutyl ether	HFE-7100DL	163702-08-7, 163702-07-6	$C_5H_3F_9O$			NR	+	~35	+		ne
Methyl-1,5-pentanediamine, 2- (coats lamp) *	Dytek-A amine, 2-Methyl pentamethylenediamine	15520-10-2	C6H16N2			~0.6	+			<9.0	ne
Methyl propyl ketone	MPK, 2-Pentanone	107-87-9	$C_5H_{12}O$			0.93	+	0.79	+	9.38	200
Methyl-2-pyrrolidinone, N-	NMP, N-Methylpyrrolidone, 1-Methyl-2-pyrrolidinone, 1-Methyl-2-pyrrolidone	872-50-4	C_5H_9NO	1.0	+	0.8	+	0.9	+	*9.17*	ne
Methyl salicylate	Methyl 2-hydroxybenzoate	119-36-8	C_8H_8O3	1.3	+	0.9	+	0.9	+	~9	ne
Methylstyrene, α-	2-Propenylbenzene	98-83-9	C_9H_{10}			0.5				8.18	50
Methyl sulfide	DMS, Dimethyl sulfide	75-18-3	C_2H_6S	0.49	+	0.44	+	0.46	+	8.69	ne
Mineral spirits	Stoddard Solvent, Varsol 1, White Spirits	8020-83-5 8052-41-3 68551-17-7	m.w. 144	1.0		0.69	+	0.38	+		100
Mineral Spirits - Viscor 120B Calibration Fluid, b.p. 156-207°C		8052-41-3	m.w. 142	1.0	+	0.7	+	0.3	+		100
Monoethanolamine - see Ethanolamine											
Mustard *	HD, Bis(2-chloroethyl) sulfide	505-60-2 39472-40-7 68157-62-0	$C_4H_8Cl_2S$			0.6					0.0005
Naphtha - see VM & P Naptha											
Naphthalene	Mothballs	91-20-3	$C_{10}H_8$	0.45	+	0.42	+	0.40	+	8.13	10
Nickel carbonyl (in CO)	Nickel tetracarbonyl	13463-39-3	C_4NiO_4			0.18				<8.8	0.001
Nicotine		54-11-5	$C_{10}H_{14}N_2$			2.0				≤10.6	
Nitric oxide		10102-43-9	NO	~6		5.2	+	2.8	+	9.26	25
Nitrobenzene		98-95-3	$C_6H_5NO_2$	2.6	+	1.9	+	1.6	+	9.81	1
Nitroethane		79-24-3	$C_2H_5NO_2$					3		10.88	100
Nitrogen dioxide		10102-44-0	NO_2	23	+	16	+	6	+	9.75	3
Nitrogen trifluoride		7783-54-2	NF_3	NR		NR		NR		13.0	10
Nitromethane		75-52-5	CH_3NO_2					4		11.02	20
Nitropropane, 2-		79-46-9	$C_3H_7NO_2$					2.6		10.71	10
Nonane		111-84-2	C_9H_{20}			1.4				9.72	200
Norpar 12	n-Paraffins, mostly C_{10}-C_{13}	64771-72-8	m.w. 161	3.2	+	1.1	+	0.28	+		ne
Norpar 13	n-Paraffins, mostly C_{13}-C_{14}	64771-72-8	m.w. 189	2.7	+	1.0	+	0.3	+		ne
Octamethylcyclotetrasiloxane		556-67-2	$C_8H_{24}O_4Si_4$	0.21	+	0.17	+	0.14	+		ne
Octamethyltrisiloxane		107-51-7	$C_8H_{24}O_2Si_3$	0.23	+	0.18	+	0.17	+	<10.0	ne
Octane, n-		111-65-9	C_8H_{18}	13	+	1.8	+			9.82	300
Octene, 1-		111-66-0	C_8H_{16}	0.9	+	0.75	+	0.4	+	9.43	75
Pentane		109-66-0	C_5H_{12}	80	+	8.4	+	0.7	+	10.35	600
Peracetic acid *	Peroxyacetic acid, Acetyl hydroperoxide	79-21-0	$C_2H_4O_3$	NR	+	NR	+	2.3	+		ne
Peracetic/Acetic acid mix *	Peroxyacetic acid, Acetyl hydroperoxide	79-21-0	$C_2H_4O_3$			50	+	2.5	+		ne
Perchloroethene	PCE, Perchloroethylene, Tetrachloroethylene	127-18-4	C_2Cl_4	0.69	+	0.57	+	0.31	+	9.32	25
PGME	Propylene glycol methyl ether, 1-Methoxy-2-propanol	107-98-2	$C_6H_{12}O_3$	2.4	+	1.5	+	1.1	+		100

RAE Systems Inc.
3775 N. First St., San Jose, CA 95134-1708 USA
Phone: +1.888.723.8823
Email: raesales@raesystems.com
Web Site: www.raesystems.com

Compound Name	Synonym/Abbreviation	CAS No.	Formula	9.8	C	10.6	C	11.7	C	IE (eV)	TWA
PGMEA	Propylene glycol methyl ether acetate, 1-Methoxy-2-acetoxypropane, 1-Methoxy-2-propanol acetate	108-65-6	$C_6H_{12}O_3$	1.65	+	1.0	+	0.8	+		ne
Phenol	Hydroxybenzene	108-95-2	C_6H_6O	1.0	+	1.0	+	0.9	+	8.51	5
Phosgene	Dichlorocarbonyl	75-44-5	CCl_2O	NR	+	NR	+	8.5	+	11.2	0.1
Phosgene in Nitrogen	Dichlorocarbonyl	75-44-5	CCl_2O	NR	+	NR	+	6.8	+	11.2	0.1
Phosphine (coats lamp)		7803-51-2	PH_3	28		3.9	+	1.1	+	9.87	0.3
Photocopier Toner	Isoparaffin mix					0.5	+	0.3	+		ne
Picoline, 3-	3-Methylpyridine	108-99-6	C_6H_7N			0.9				9.04	ne
Pinene, α-		2437-95-8	$C_{10}H_{16}$			0.31	+	0.47		8.07	ne
Pinene, β-		18172-67-3	$C_{10}H_{16}$	0.38	+	0.37	+	0.37	+	~8	100
Piperylene, isomer mix	1,3-Pentadiene	504-60-9	C_5H_8	0.76	+	0.69	+	0.64	+	8.6	100
Propane		74-98-6	C_3H_8			NR	+	1.8	+	10.95	2500
Propanol, n-	Propyl alcohol	71-23-8	C_3H_8O			5		1.7		10.22	200
Propene	Propylene	115-07-1	C_3H_6	1.5	+	1.4	+	1.6	+	9.73	ne
Propionaldehyde	Propanal	123-38-6	C_3H_6O			1.9				9.95	ne
Propyl acetate, n-		109-60-4	$C_5H_{10}O_2$			3.5		2.3		10.04	200
Propylamine, n-	1-Propylamine, 1-Aminopropane	107-10-8	C_3H_9N	1.1	+	1.1	+	0.9	+	8.78	ne
Propylene carbonate *		108-32-7	$C_4H_6O_3$			62	+	1	+	10.5	ne
Propylene glycol	1,2-Propanediol	57-55-6	$C_3H_8O_2$	18		5.5	+	1.6	+	<10.2	ne
Propylene glycol propyl ether	1-Propoxy-2-propanol	1569-01-3	$C_6H_{14}O_2$	1.3	+	1.0	+	1.6	+		ne
Propylene oxide	Methyloxirane	75-56-9 16088-62-3 15448-47-2	C_3H_6O	~240		6.6	+	2.9	+	10.22	20
Propyleneimine	2-Methylaziridine	75-55-8	C_3H_7N	1.5	+	1.3	+	1.0	+	9.0	2
Propyl mercaptan, 2-	2-Propanethiol, Isopropyl mercaptan	75-33-2	C_3H_8S	0.64	+	0.66	+			9.15	ne
Pyridine		110-86-1	C_5H_5N	0.78	+	0.7	+	0.7	+	9.25	5
Pyrrolidine (coats lamp)	Azacyclohexane	123-75-1	C_4H_9N	2.1	+	1.3	+	1.6	+	~8.0	ne
RR7300 (PGME/PGMEA)	70:30 PGME:PGMEA (1-Methoxy-2-propanol:1-Methoxy-2-acetoxypropane)	107-98-2	$C_4H_{10}O_2$ / $C_6H_{12}O_3$			1.4	+	1.0	+		ne
Sarin	GB, Isopropyl methylphosphonofluoridate	107-44-8 50642-23-4	$C_4H_{10}FO_2P$			~3					
Stoddard Solvent - see Mineral Spirits		8020-83-5									
Styrene		100-42-5	C_8H_8	0.45	+	0.40	+	0.4	+	8.43	20
Sulfur dioxide		7446-09-5	SO_2	NR		NR	+	NR	+	12.32	2
Sulfur hexafluoride		2551-62-4	SF_6	NR		NR		NR		15.3	1000
Sulfuryl fluoride	Vikane	2699-79-8	SO_2F_2	NR		NR		NR		13.0	5
Tabun *	Ethyl N, N-dimethylphosphoramidocyanidate	77-81-6	$C_5H_{11}N_2O_2P$			0.8					15ppt
Tetrachloroethane, 1,1,1,2-		630-20-6	$C_2H_2Cl_4$					1.3		~11.1	ne
Tetrachloroethane, 1,1,2,2-		79-34-5	$C_2H_2Cl_4$	NR	+	NR	+	0.60	+	~11.1	1
Tetrachlorosilane		10023-04-7	$SiCl_4$	NR		NR		15	+	11.79	ne
Tetraethyl lead	TEL	78-00-2	$C_8H_{20}Pb$	0.4		0.3		0.2		~11.1	0.008
Tetraethyl orthosilicate	Ethyl silicate, TEOS	78-10-4	$C_8H_{20}O_4Si$			0.7	+	0.2	+	~9.8	10
Tetrafluoroethane, 1,1,1,2-	HFC-134A	811-97-2	$C_2H_2F_4$			NR		NR			ne
Tetrafluoroethene	TFE, Tetrafluoroethylene, Perfluoroethylene	116-14-3	C_2F_4			~15				10.12	ne
Tetrafluoromethane	CFC-14, Carbon tetrafluoride	75-73-0	CF_4			NR	+	NR	+	>15.3	ne
Tetrahydrofuran	THF	109-99-9	C_4H_8O	1.9	+	1.7	+	1.0	+	9.41	200
Tetramethyl orthosilicate	Methyl silicate, TMOS	681-84-5	$C_4H_{12}O_4Si$	10	+	1.9	+			~10	1
Therminol® D-12 *	Hydrotreated heavy naphtha	64742-48-9	m.w. 160	0.8	+	0.51	+	0.33	+		ne
Therminol® VP-1 *	Dowtherm A, 3:1 Diphenyl oxide: Biphenyl	101-84-8 92-52-4	$C_{12}H_{10}O$ $C_{12}H_{10}$			0.4	+				1
Toluene	Methylbenzene	108-88-3	C_7H_8	0.54	+	0.50	+	0.51	+	8.82	50

RAE Systems Inc.
3775 N. First St., San Jose, CA 95134-1708 USA
Phone: +1.888.723.8823
Email: raesales@raesystems.com
Web Site: www.raesystems.com

Compound Name	Synonym/Abbreviation	CAS No.	Formula	9.8	C	10.6	C	11.7	C	IE (eV)	TWA
Tolylene-2,4-diisocyanate	TDI, 4-Methyl-1,3-phenylene-2,4-diisocyanate	584-84-9	$C_9H_6N_2O_2$	1.4	+	1.4	+	2.0	+		0.002
Trichlorobenzene, 1,2,4-	1,2,4-TCB	120-82-1	$C_6H_3Cl_3$	0.7	+	0.46	+			9.04	C5
Trichloroethane, 1,1,1-	1,1,1-TCA, Methyl chloroform	71-55-6	$C_2H_3Cl_3$			NR	+	1	+	11	350
Trichloroethane, 1,1,2-	1,1,2-TCA	79-00-5	$C_2H_3Cl_3$	NR	+	NR	+	0.9	+	11.0	10
Trichloroethene	TCE, Trichoroethylene	79-01-6	C_2HCl_3	0.62	+	0.54	+	0.43	+	9.47	50
Trichloromethylsilane	Methyltrichlorosilane	75-79-6	CH_3Cl_3Si	NR		NR		1.8	+	11.36	ne
Trichlorotrifluoroethane, 1,1,2-	CFC-113	76-13-1	$C_2Cl_3F_3$			NR		NR		11.99	1000
Triethylamine	TEA	121-44-8	$C_6H_{15}N$	0.95	+	0.9	+	0.65	+	7.3	1
Triethyl borate	TEB; Boric acid triethyl ester	150-46-9	$C_6H_{15}O_3B$			2.2	+	1.1	+	~10	ne
Triethyl phosphate	Ethyl phosphate	78-40-0	$C_6H_{15}O_4P$	~50	+	3.1	+	0.60	+	9.79	ne
Trifluoroethane, 1,1,2-		430-66-0	$C_2H_3F_3$					34		*12.9*	ne
Trimethylamine		75-50-3	C_3H_9N			0.9				7.82	5
Trimethylbenzene, 1,3,5- - see Mesitylene		108-67-8									25
Trimethyl borate	TMB; Boric acid trimethyl ester, Boron methoxide	121-43-7	$C_3H_9O_3B$			5.1	+	1.2	+	10.1	ne
Trimethyl phosphate	Methyl phosphate	512-56-1	$C_3H_9O_4P$			8.0	+	1.3	+	9.99	ne
Trimethyl phosphite	Methyl phosphite	121-45-9	$C_3H_9O_3P$			1.1	+		+	*8.5*	2
Turpentine	Pinenes (85%) + other diisoprenes	8006-64-2	$C_{10}H_{16}$	0.37	+	0.30	+	0.29	+	~8	20
Undecane		1120-21-4	$C_{11}H_{24}$			2				9.56	ne
Varsol – see Mineral Spirits											
Vinyl actetate		108-05-4	$C_4H_6O_2$	1.5	+	1.2	+	1.0	+	9.19	10
Vinyl bromide	Bromoethylene	593-60-2	C_2H_3Br			0.4				9.80	5
Vinyl chloride	Chloroethylene, VCM	75-01-4	C_2H_3Cl			2.0	+	0.6	+	9.99	5
Vinyl-1-cyclohexene, 4-	Butadiene dimer, 4-Ethenylcyclohexene	100-40-3	C_8H_{12}	0.6	+	0.56	+			9.83	0.1
Vinylidene chloride - see 1,1-Dichloroethene											
Vinyl-2-pyrrolidinone, 1-	NVP, N-vinylpyrrolidone, 1-ethenyl-2-pyrrolidinone	88-12-0	C_6H_9NO	1.0	+	0.8	+	0.9	+		ne
Viscor 120B - see Mineral Spirits - Viscor 120B Calibration Fluid											
V. M. & P. Naphtha	Ligroin; Solvent naphtha; Varnish maker's & painter's naptha	64742-89-8	m.w. 111 (C_8-C_9)	1.7	+	0.97	+				300
Xylene, m-	1,3-Dimethylbenzene	108-38-3	C_8H_{10}	0.50	+	0.44	+	0.40	+	8.56	100
Xylene, o-	1,2-Dimethylbenzene	95-47-6	C_8H_{10}	0.56	+	0.46	+	0.43		8.56	100
Xylene, p-	1,4-Dimethylbenzene	106-42-3	C_8H_{10}	0.48	+	0.39	+	0.38	+	8.44	100
None				1		1		1			
Undetectable				1E+6		1E+6		1E+6			

* Compounds indicated in green can be detected using a MiniRAE 2000 or ppbRAE/+ with slow response, but may be lost by adsorption on a MultiRAE or EntryRAE. Response on multi-gas meters can give an indication of relative concentrations, but may not be quantitative and for some chemicals no response is observed.

Therminol® is a registered Trademark of Solutia, Inc.

RAE Systems Inc.
3775 N. First St., San Jose, CA 95134-1708 USA
Phone: +1.888.723.8823
Email: raesales@raesystems.com
Web Site: www.raesystems.com

Appendix I:
Example of Automatic Calculation of Correction Factors, TLVs and Alarm Limits for Mixtures
(Calculations performed using Excel version of this database, available on request)

Compound	CF 9.8 eV	CF 10.6 eV	CF 11.7eV	Mol. Frac	Conc ppm	TLV ppm	STEL Ppm
Benzene	0.55	0.53	0.6	0.01	1	0.5	2.5
Toluene	0.54	0.5	0.51	0.06	10	50	150
Hexane, n-	300	4.3	0.54	0.06	10	50	150
Heptane, n-	45	2.8	0.6	0.28	50	400	500
Styrene	0.45	0.4	0.42	0.06	10	20	40
Acetone	1.2	1.1	1.4	0.28	50	750	1000
Isopropanol	500	6	2.7	0.28	50	400	500
None	1	1	1	0.00	0	1	
Mixture Value:	2.1	1.5	0.89	1.00	181	56	172
TLV Alarm Setpoint when Calibrated to Isobutylene:	26 ppm	37 ppm	62 ppm		ppm	ppm	ppm
STEL Alarm Setpoint, same Calibration	86 ppm	115 ppm	193 ppm				

RAE Systems Inc.
3775 N. First St., San Jose, CA 95134-1708 USA
Phone: +1.888.723.8823
Email: raesales@raesystems.com
Web Site: www.raesystems.com

ROUX

FIELD OPERATING PROCEDURES

Calibration and Maintenance of Portable Specific Conductance Meter

FOP 012.0

CALIBRATION AND MAINTENANCE OF PORTABLE SPECIFIC CONDUCTANCE METER

PURPOSE

This guideline describes a method for calibration of a portable specific conductance meter. This meter measures the ability of a water sample to conduct electricity, which is largely a function of the dissolved solids within the water. The instrument has been calibrated by the manufacturer according to factory specifications. This guideline presents a method for checking the factory calibration of a portable specific conductance meter. A calibration check is performed to verify instrument accuracy and function. All field test equipment will be checked at the beginning of each sampling day. This procedure also documents critical maintenance activities for this meter.

ACCURACY

The calibrated accuracy of the specific conductance meter will be within ± 1 percent of full-scale, with repeatability of ± 1 percent. The built-in cell will be automatically temperature compensated from at least 32º to 160° F (0° to 71°C).

PROCEDURE

Note: The information included below is equipment manufacturer- and model-specific, however, accuracy, calibration, and maintenance procedures for this type of portable equipment are typically similar. The information below pertains to the Myron L Company Ultrameter Model 6P. The actual equipment to be used in the field will be equivalent or similar.

CALIBRATION AND MAINTENANCE OF PORTABLE SPECIFIC CONDUCTANCE METER

1. Calibrate all field test equipment at the beginning of each sampling day. Check and recalibrate the specific conductance meter according to the manufacture's specifications.

2. Use a calibration solution of known specific conductivity and salinity. For maximum accuracy, use a Standard Solution Value closest to the samples to be tested.

3. Rinse conductivity cell three times with proper standard.

4. Re-fill conductivity cell with same standard.

5. Press **COND** or **TDS**, then press **CAL/MCLR**. The "CAL" icon will appear on the display.

6. Press the **↑/MS** or **MR/↓** key to step the displayed value toward the standard's value or hold a key down to cause rapid scrolling of the reading.

7. Press CAL/MCLR once to confirm new value and end the calibration sequence for this particular solution type.

8. Repeat steps 1 through 7 with additional new solutions, as necessary.

9. Document the calibration results and related information in the Project Field Book and on an **Equipment Calibration Log** (see attached sample), indicating the meter readings before and after the instrument has been adjusted. This is important, not only for data validation, but also to establish maintenance schedules and component replacement. Information will include, at a minimum:
    - Time, date and initials of the field team member performing the calibration
    - The unique identifier for the meter, including manufacturer, model, and serial number
    - The brand and expiration date of the calibration standards
    - The instrument readings: before and after calibration

- The instrument settings (if applicable)
- The overall adequacy of calibration including the Pass or fail designation in accordance with the accuracy specifications presented above.
- Corrective action taken (see Maintenance below) in the event of failure to adequately calibrate.

MAINTENANCE

NOTE: Ultrameters should be rinsed with clean water after use. Solvents should be avoided. Shock damage from a fall may cause instrument failure.

Temperature Extremes

Solutions in excess of 160°F/71°C should not be placed in the cell cup area; this may cause damage. Care should be exercised not to exceed rated operating temperature. Leaving the Ultrameter in a vehicle or storage shed on a hot day can easily subject the instrument to over 150°F voiding the warranty.

Battery Replacement

Dry Instrument THOROUGHLY. Remove the four bottom screws. Open instrument carefully; it may be necessary to rock the bottom slightly side to side to release it from the RS-232 connector. Carefully detach battery from circuit board. Replace with 9-volt alkaline battery. Replace bottom, ensuring the sealing gasket is installed in the groove of the top half of case. Re-install screws, tighten evenly and securely.

NOTE: Because of nonvolatile EEPROM circuitry, all data stored in memory and all calibration settings are protected even during power loss or battery replacement.

Cleaning Sensors

The conductivity cell cup should be kept as clean as possible. Flushing with clean water following use will prevent buildup on electrodes. However, if very dirty samples — particularly scaling types — are allowed to dry in the cell cup, a film will form. This film reduces accuracy. When there are visible films of oil, dirt, or scale in the cell cup or on the electrodes, use a foaming non-abrasive household cleaner. Rinse out the cleaner and your Ultrameter is ready for accurate measurements.

NOTE: Maintain a log for each monitoring instrument. Record all maintenance performed on the instrument on this log with date and name of the organization performing the maintenance.

ATTACHMENTS

Equipment Calibration Log (sample)

FOP 012.0

CALIBRATION AND MAINTENANCE OF PORTABLE SPECIFIC CONDUCTANCE METER

EQUIPMENT CALIBRATION

PROJECT INFORMATION:

Project Name: Date:

Project No.:

Client: Instrument Source: ☐ BM ☐ Rental

METER TYPE	UNITS	TIME	MAKE/MODEL	SERIAL NUMBER	CAL. BY	STANDARD	READING	SETTI
☐ pH meter	units		Myron L Company Ultra Meter 6P	606987		4.00		
						7.00		
						10.01		
☐ Turbidity meter	NTU		Hach 2100P Turbidimeter	970600014560		< 0.4		
						20		
						100		
						800		
☐ Sp. conductance meter	uS/mS		Myron L Company Ultra Meter 6P	606987		µS @ 25 °C		
☐ PID	ppm		Photovac 2020 PID			open air zero		MIBK re
						____ ppm Iso. Gas		factor =
☐ Particulate meter	mg/m^3					zero air		
☐ Oxygen	%					open air		
☐ Hydrogen sulfide	ppm					open air		
☐ Carbon monoxide	ppm					open air		
☐ LEL	%					open air		
☐ Radiation Meter	uR/h					background area		
☐								

SAMPLE

ADDITIONAL REMARKS:

PREPARED BY: **DATE:**

ROUX

FIELD OPERATING PROCEDURES

Composite Sample Collection Procedure for Non-VOC Analysis

FOP 013.0

COMPOSITE SAMPLE COLLECTION PROCEDURE FOR NON-VOLATILE ORGANIC ANALYSIS

PURPOSE

This guideline addresses the procedure to be used when soil samples are to be composited in the field.

PROCEDURE

1. Transfer equal weighted aliquots of soil from individual split-spoon samples, excavator bucket, hand auger or surface soil sample location to a large precleaned stainless steel (or Pyrex glass) mixing bowl.

2. Thoroughly mix (homogenize) and break up the soil using a stainless steel scoop or trowel.

3. Spread the composite sample evenly on a stainless steel tray and quarter the sample.

4. Discard alternate (i.e., diagonal) quarters and, using a small stainless steel scoop or spatula, collect equal portions of subsample from the remaining two quarters until the amount required for the composite sample is acquired. Transfer these subsamples to a precleaned stainless steel (or Pyrex glass) mixing bowl and re-mix.

5. Transfer the composite sample to the laboratory provided, precleaned sample jars. Store any excess sample from the stainless steel tray in a separate, precleaned, wide-mouth sample jar and refrigerate for future use, if applicable.

6. Decontaminate all stainless steel (or Pyrex glass) equipment in accordance with Roux's Non-disposable and Non-dedicated Sampling Equipment Decontamination procedures.

7. Prepare samples in accordance with Benchmark's Sample Labeling, Storage and Shipment FOP.

8. Record all sampling details in the Project Field Book and on the Soil/Sediment Sample Collection Summary Log (sample attached).

ATTACHMENTS

Soil/Sediment Sample Collection Summary Log (sample)

REFERENCES

Roux's FOPs:

040 Non-disposable and Non-dedicated Sampling Equipment Decontamination
046 Sample Labeling, Storage and Shipment

FOP 013.0

COMPOSITE SAMPLE COLLECTION PROCEDURE FOR NON-VOLATILE ORGANIC ANALYSIS

SOIL/SEDIMEI

SAMPLE COLLECTION SUMMARY L(

Field ID	Location	QC Type	Depth (feet)		Analytical Parameters	Containers	Date	Time	Sampler Initials	Comments (e.g. problems encountered, ref. to varian location changes, depth changes, import matrix observations or description, grav thickness, etc.)
			from	to						

SAMPLE

Equipment Rinsate Blanks *- Pour clean deionized wate ipment into sample containers. Collect at a frequency of 1 per sampling method per day. Analyze for all those parameters analyzed for in the samples coll the same day. HSL Metals can be substituted by only the Metals an exa romium which needs a separate container). Match equipment used for constituents of concern to rinsate analyte. Note deionzied water lot # or distilay. manufacturers info & date.*

MS/MSD/MSB *- Collect at a frequency of 1 per 20 samples of each matri or all those parameters analyzed for the samples collected the same day.*

Field Blank *- Pour clean deionized water (used as final decon rinse water) into sample containers while at the sampling site. Collect field blanks at a frequency of 1 per lot of deionized water. Note water lot number and dates in use for decon in 'Comments' sectio*

Investigation Derived Waste (IDW) Characterization samples *- One composited sample from all drums of decon fluids and soil. Please note number of drums and labels on collection log.*

Notes:

1. See QAPP for sampling frequency and actual number of QC samples.
2. CWM - clear, wide-mouth glass jar with Teflon-lined cap.
3. HDPE - high density polyethylene bottle.
4. MS/MSD/MSB - Matrix Spike, Matrix Spike Duplicate, Matrix Spike Blank.
5. BD - Blind Duplicate - indicate location of duplicate.

ROUX

FIELD OPERATING PROCEDURES

Documentation Requirements for Drilling and Well Installation

FOP 015.0

DOCUMENTATION REQUIREMENTS FOR DRILLING AND WELL INSTALLATION

PURPOSE

The purpose of these documentation requirements is to document the procedures used for drilling and installing wells in order to ensure the quality of the data obtained from these operations. Roux field technical personnel will be responsible for developing and maintaining documentation for quality control of field operations. At least one field professional will monitor each major operation (e.g. one person per drilling rig) to document and record field procedures for quality control. These procedures provide a description of the format and information for this documentation.

PROCEDURE

Project Field Book

Personnel assigned by the Roux Field Team Leader or Project Manager will maintain a Project Field Book for all site activities. These Field Books will be started upon initiation of any site activities to document the field investigation process. The Field Books will meet the following criteria:

- Permanently bound, with nominal 8.5-inch by 11-inch gridded pages.
- Water resistant paper.
- Pages must be pre-numbered or numbered in the field, front and back.

Notations in the field book will be in black or blue ink that will not smudge when wet. Information that may be recorded in the Field Book includes:

- Time and date of all entries.

- Name and location of project site and project job number.
- Listing of key project, client and agency personnel and telephone numbers.
- Date and time of daily arrivals and departures, name of person keeping the log, names and affiliation of persons on site, purpose of visit (if applicable), weather conditions, outline of project activities to be completed.
- Details of any variations to the procedures/protocols (i.e., as presented in the Work Plan or Field Operating Procedures) and the basis for the change.
- Field-generated data relating to implementation of the field program, including sample locations, sample descriptions, field measurements, instrument calibration, etc.
- Record of all photographs taken in the field, including date, time, photographer, site location and orientation, sequential number of photograph, and roll number.

Upon completion of the site activities, all Field Books will be photocopied and both the original and photocopied versions placed in the project files. In addition, all field notes except those presented on specific field forms will be neatly transcribed into Field Activity Daily Log (FADL) forms (sample attached).

Field Borehole/Monitoring Well Installation Log Form

Examples of the Field Borehole Log and Field Borehole/Monitoring Well Installation Log forms are attached to this Field Operating Procedure. One form will be completed for every boring by the Roux field person overseeing the drilling. At a minimum, these forms will include:

- Project name, location, and number.
- Boring number.

- Rig type and drilling method.
- Drilling dates.
- Sampling method.
- Sample descriptions, to meet the requirements of the Unified Soil Classification System (USCS) for soils and the Unified Rock Classification System (URCS) for rock.
- Results of photoionization evaluations (scan and/or headspace determinations).
- Blow counts for sampler penetration (Standard Penetration Test, N-Value).
- Drilling rate, rig chatter, and other drilling-related information, as necessary.

All depths recorded on Boring/Monitoring Well Installation Log forms will be expressed in increments tenths of feet, and not in inches.

Well Completion Detail Form

An example of this form is attached to this Field Operating Procedure. One form will be completed for every boring by the Roux field person overseeing the well installation. At a minimum, these forms will include:

- Project name, location, and number.
- Well number.
- Installation dates.
- Dimensions and depths of the various well components illustrated in the Well Completion Detail (attached). These include the screened interval, bottom caps or plugs, centralizers, and the tops and bottoms of the various annular materials.

- Drilling rate, rig chatter, and other drilling related information.

All depths recorded on Field Borehole/Monitoring Well Installation Logs will be expressed in tenths of feet, and not in inches.

Daily Drilling Report Form

An example of this form is attached to this Field Operating Procedure. This form should be used to summarize all drilling activities. One form should be completed for each rig for each day. These forms will include summaries of:

- Footage drilled, broken down by diameter (e.g. 200 feet of 6-inch diameter hole, 50 feet of 10-inch diameter hole).
- Footage of well and screen installed, broken down by diameter.
- Quantities of materials used, including sand, cement, bentonite, centralizers, protective casings, traffic covers, etc. recorded by well or boring location.
- Active time (hours), and activity (drilling, decontamination, development, well installation, surface completions, etc.)
- Down-time (hours) and reason.
- Mobilizations and other events.
- Other quantities that will be the basis for drilling invoices.

The form should be signed daily by both the Roux field supervisor and the driller's representative, and provided to the Roux Field Team Leader.

Other Project Field Forms

Well purging/well development forms, test pit logs, environmental sampling field data sheets, water level monitoring forms, and well testing (slug test or pumping test) forms. Refer to specific guidelines for form descriptions.

ATTACHMENTS

Field Activity Daily Log (FADL) (sample)
Field Borehole Log (sample)
Field Borehole/Monitoring Well Installation Log (sample)
Stick-up Well/Piezometer Completion Detail (sample)
Flush-mount Well/Piezometer Completion Detail (sample)
Daily Drilling Report (sample)

DOCUMENTATION REQUIREMENTS FOR DRILLING AND WELL INSTALLATION

DAILY LOG				
	DATE			
	NO.			
	SHEET		OF	

FIELD ACTIVITY DAILY LOG

PROJECT NAME:	PROJECT NO.
PROJECT LOCATION:	CLIENT:
FIELD ACTIVITY SUBJECT:	
DESCRIPTION OF DAILY ACTIVITIES AND EVENTS:	

TIME	DESCRIPTION

SAMPLE

VISITORS ON SITE:	CHANGES FROM PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS, AND OTHER SPECIAL ORDERS AND IMPORTANT DECISIONS:
WEATHER CONDITIONS: A.M.: P.M.:	IMPORTANT TELEPHONE CALLS:
BM/TK PERSONNEL ON SITE:	
SIGNATURE	DATE:

(CONTINUED)

DOCUMENTATION REQUIREMENTS FOR DRILLING AND WELL INSTALLATION

FIELD BOREHOLE LOG

PROJECT:												**Log of Boring No.:**			
BORING LOCATION:												ELEVATION AND DATUM:			
DRILLING CONTRACTOR:												DATE STARTED:		DATE FINISHED:	
DRILLING METHOD:												TOTAL DEPTH:		SCREEN INTERVAL:	
DRILLING EQUIPMENT:												DEPTH TO WATER: FIRST:	COMPL.:	CASING:	
SAMPLING METHOD:												LOGGED BY:			
HAMMER WEIGHT:					DROP:							RESPONSIBLE PROFESSIONAL:		REG. NO.	
Depth (fbgs)	SAMPLES					PID Scan (ppm)	SAMPLE DESCRIPTION USCS Classification: Color, Moisture Condition, % of Soil Type, Te… icity, Fabric, Bedding, Weathering/Fracturing, Odor, O… SURFACE ELEVATION (FMSL):					REMARKS			
	Sample No.	Sample	Blows (per 6")	SPT N-Value	Recovery										
ABANDONMENT:															
Volume of cement/bentonite grout required:				$V = \pi r^2 \times 7.48 =$								gallons		borehole depth =	ft.
Volume of cement/bentonite grout installed:												gallons		borehole diameter =	ft.
Has bridging of grout occurred? ☐ yes ☐ no														borehole radius =	ft.
If yes, explain resolution:															
Method of installation:															
Project No:														Figure	

SAMPLE

DOCUMENTATION REQUIREMENTS FOR DRILLING AND WELL INSTALLATION

FIELD BOREHOLE/MONITORING WELL INSTALLATION LOG

PROJECT:			**Log of Well No.:**	
BORING LOCATION:			ELEVATION AND DATUM:	
DRILLING CONTRACTOR:			DATE STARTED:	DATE FINISHED:
DRILLING METHOD:			TOTAL DEPTH:	SCREEN INTERVAL:
DRILLING EQUIPMENT:			DEPTH TO WATER: FIRST: / COMPL.:	CASING:
SAMPLING METHOD:			LOGGED BY:	
HAMMER WEIGHT:	DROP:		RESPONSIBLE PROFESSIONAL:	REG. NO.

Depth (fbgs)	SAMPLES: Sample No.	Sample	Blows (per 6")	SPT N-Value	Recovery	PID Scan (ppm)	SAMPLE DESCRIPTION	WELL CONSTRUCTION DETAILS AND/OR DRILLING REMARKS
							USCS Classification: Color, Moisture Condition, % of Soil Type, Plas... Fabric, Bedding, Weathering/Fracturing, Odor, Ot...	
							SURFACE ELEVATION (FMSL):	


SAMPLE

Project No:		Figure

DOCUMENTATION REQUIREMENTS FOR DRILLING AND WELL INSTALLATION

STICK-UP WELL/PIEZOMETER COMPLETION DETAIL

Project Name:	WELL NUMBER:
Client:	Date Installed:
Boring Location:	Project Number:



Driller Information	
Company:	
Driller:	
Helper:	
Permit Number:	
Drill Rig Type:	

Well Informa	
Land Surfa ation:	fmsl (approximate)
Drilling Meth	
Soil Sample Colle nod:	
Fluid:	
Fl During Dri	gallons (approximate)

Cons ion	
C	
S	
Pack:	
Seal:	

De opment	
urpose:	
Tec neque(s):	
ate Completed:	
BM/TK Personnel:	
Total Volume Purge:	gallons
Static Water Level:	fbTOR
Pump Depth:	
Purge Duration:	minutes
Yeild:	gpm
Specific Capacity:	gpm/ft

SAMPLE


Comments:

PREPARED BY: DATE:

DOCUMENTATION REQUIREMENTS FOR DRILLING AND WELL INSTALLATION

FLUSHMOUNT WELL/PIEZOMETER COMPLETION DETAIL

Project Name:	WELL NUMBER:
Client:	Date Installed:
Boring Location:	Project Number:



Driller Information	
Company:	
Driller:	
Helper:	
Permit Number:	
Drill Rig Type:	

Well Inform	
Land Surfa ation	fmsl (approximate)
Drilling Meth	
S Sample Colle thod:	
Fluid:	
Flu During Drill	gallons (approximate)

l o Con ion	
C	
S	
Pack:	
A Seal:	

Development	
W urpose:	
Techneque(s):	
Date Completed:	
BM/TK Personnel:	
Total Volume Purge:	gallons
Static Water Level:	fbTOR
Pump Depth:	
Purge Duration:	minutes
Yeild:	gpm
Specific Capacity:	gpm/ft

SAMPLE

Comments:

PREAPRED BY: DATE:

FOP 015.0

DOCUMENTATION REQUIREMENTS FOR DRILLING AND WELL INSTALLATION

DAILY DRILLING REPORT

CONTRACTOR:	DATE:
DRILLING EQUIPMENT:	PROJECT:
CREW MEMBERS:	JOB NUMBER:
SITE NAME:	BM PERSONNEL:

CATEGORY	Total Hours	a.m. 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	p.m. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	a.m. 1 2 3 4 5 6
MOB / DEMOB				
DRILLING				
WELL INSTALLATION				
DEVELOPMENT / TESTING				
GROUTING				
STEAM / DECON				
DOWN TIME (explain below)				
STANDBY (explain below)				
CLEANUP				
PREP FOR DRILLING				
LUNCH				
OTHER:				

REMARKS:

DRILLING & WELL MATERIALS: Describe nature, qu

ITEM OR SERVICE		LOCATION				TOTALS
Starting depth (fbgs)						--
Ending depth (fbgs)						--
Total footage drilled (feet)						
Drilling Method (HSA, air ble etc.)						--
Auger/Bit size						--
CSSS starting depth (fbg						--
CSSS ending depth (fbgs)						--
Total CSSS footage						
-inch Schedule 40 PVC screen, slot size =	QUANTITIES					
-inch Schedule 40 PVC riser						
-inch Schedule 40 PVC screen, sl						
-inch Schedule 40 PVC riser						
-inch Schedule 40 PVC screen, slot size =						
-inch Schedule 40 PVC riser						
Sand pack, size =						
Bentonite pellets/chips, size =						
Cement/beontonite grout						
☐ Protective casing ☐ Flushmount road box						
Lockable J-plug						
Lock						

PERSONNEL TIME LOG:

POSITION	NAME	HOURS
Observer		
Drillers		

DRILLER (optional):	BM REP.

SAMPLE

ROUX

FIELD OPERATING PROCEDURES

Drill Site Selection Procedure

FOP 017.0

DRILL SITE SELECTION PROCEDURE

PURPOSE

This procedure presents a method for selecting a site location for drilling. Drill site selection should be based on the project objectives, ease of site access, freedom from obstructions and buried metallic objects (drums) and site safety (appropriate set backs from overhead and buried services).

PROCEDURE

The following procedure outlines procedures prior to drilling activities:

1. Review project objectives and tentatively select drilling locations that provide necessary information for achieving objectives (i.e., Work Plan).

2. Clear locations with property owner/operator to ensure that drilling activities will not interfere with site operations and select appropriate access routes.

3. Stake locations in the field, measure distance from locations to recognizable landmarks, such as building or fence lines and plot locations on site plan. Ensure location is relatively flat, free of overhead wires and readily accessible. Survey location if property ownership is in doubt.

4. Obtain clearances from appropriate utilities and if buried waste/metallic objects are suspected, screen location with appropriate geophysical method.

5. Establish a secure central staging area for storage of drilling supplies and for equipment decontamination. Locate a secure storage area for drilling samples, as necessary.

ATTACHMENTS

none

ROUX

FIELD OPERATING PROCEDURES

Drilling and Excavation Equipment Decontamination Procedures

FOP 018.0

DRILLING AND EXCAVATION EQUIPMENT DECONTAMINATION PROCEDURES

PURPOSE

This procedure is to be used for the decontamination of drilling and excavation equipment (i.e., drill rigs, backhoes, augers, drill bits, drill rods, buckets, and associated equipment) used during a subsurface investigation. The purpose of this procedure is to remove chemical constituents associated with a particular drilling or excavation location from this equipment. This prevents these constituents from being transferred between drilling or excavation locations, or being transported out of controlled areas.

PROCEDURE

The following procedure will be utilized prior to the use of drilling or excavation equipment at each location, and prior to the demobilization of such equipment from the site:

1. Remove all loose soil and other particulate materials from the equipment at the survey site.

2. Wrap augers, tools, plywood, and other reusable items with a plastic cover prior to transport from the site of use to the decontamination facility.

3. Transport equipment to the decontamination facility. All equipment must be decontaminated at an established decontamination facility. This facility will be placed within a controlled area, and will be equipped with necessary features to contain and collect wash water and entrained materials.

4. Wash equipment thoroughly with pressurized low-volume water or steam, supplied by a pressure washer or steam cleaner.

5. If necessary, use a brush or scraper to remove visible soils adhering to the equipment, and a non-phosphate detergent to remove any oils, grease, and/or hydraulic fluids adhering to the equipment. Continue pressure washing until all visible contaminants are removed.

6. Allow equipment to air dry.

7. Store equipment in a clean area or wrap the equipment in new plastic sheeting as necessary to ensure cleanliness until ready for use.

8. Manage all wash waters and entrained solids as described in the Roux Field Operating Procedure for Management of Investigation-Derived Waste.

ATTACHMENTS

none

ROUX

FIELD OPERATING PROCEDURES

Establishing Horizontal and Vertical Control

FOP 021.0

ESTABLISHING HORIZONTAL AND VERTICAL CONTROL

PURPOSE

This guideline presents a method for establishing horizontal and vertical controls at a project site. It is imperative that this procedure be performed accurately, as all topographic and site maps, monitoring well locations and test pit locations will be based on these controls.

PROCEDURE

A. Establishing Horizontal Primary and Project Control

1. Research the State Plan Coordinate, USGS or project site applicable horizontal control monuments.
2. At the project site, recover the above-mentioned monuments, two markers minimum being recovered.
3. Establish control points on the project site by bringing in the primary control points recovered in the field.
4. All control points will be tied into a closed traverse to assure the error of closure.
5. Compute closures for obtaining degree of accuracy to adjust traverse points.

B. Establishing Vertical Primary and Project Control

1. Research project or USGS datum for recovering monument(s) for vertical control if different than those previously found.
2. Recover the monuments in the field, two markers minimum being found.
3. Set the projects benchmarks.
4. Run a level line from the monuments to the set project benchmarks and back, setting turning points on all benchmarks set on site.

5. Reduce field notes and compute error of closure to adjust benchmarks set on site.

6. Prepare the recovery sketches and tabulate a list for horizontal and vertical control throughout project site.

ROUX

FIELD OPERATING PROCEDURES

Groundwater Level Measurement

FOP 022.0

GROUNDWATER LEVEL MEASUREMENT

PURPOSE

This procedure describes the methods used to obtain accurate and consistent water level measurements in monitoring wells, piezometers and well points. Water levels will be measured at monitoring wells and, if practicable, in supply wells to estimate purge volumes associated with sampling, and to develop a potentiometric surface of the groundwater in order to estimate the direction and velocity of flow in the aquifer. Water levels in monitoring wells will be measured using an electronic water level indicator (e-line) that has been checked for operation prior to mobilization.

PROCEDURE

1. Decontaminate the e-line probe and a lower portion of cable following the procedures referenced in the Roux Field Operating Procedure for Non-Disposable and Non-Dedicated Sampling Equipment Decontamination. Store the e-line in a protected area until use. This may include wrapping the e-line in clean plastic until the time of use.

2. Unlock and remove the well protective cap or cover and place on clean plastic.

3. Lower the probe slowly into the monitoring well until the audible alarm sounds. This indicates the depth to water has been reached.

4. Move the cable up and down slowly to identify the depth at which the alarm just begins to sound. Measure this depth against the mark on the lip of the well riser used as a surveyed reference point (typically the north side of the riser).

5. Read depth from the graduated cable to the nearest 0.01 foot. Do not use inches. If the e-line is not graduated, use a rule or tape measure graduated in 0.01-foot increments to measure from the nearest reference mark on the e-line cable.

6. Record the water level on a Water Level Monitoring Record (sample attached).

7. Remove the probe from the well slowly, drying the cable and probe with a clean paper wipe. Be sure to repeat decontamination before use in another well.

8. Replace well plug and protective cap or cover. Lock in place as appropriate.

ATTACHMENTS

Water Level Monitoring Record (sample)

REFERENCES

Roux FOPs:

040 Non-Disposable and Non-Dedicated Sampling Equipment Decontamination

FOP 022.0

GROUNDWATER LEVEL MEASUREMENT

WATER LEVEL MONITORING RECORD

Project Name:	Client:
Project No.:	Location:
Field Personnel:	Date:
Weather:	

Well No.	Time	Top of Riser Elevation (fmsl)	Static Depth to Water (fbTOR)	Groundwater Elevation (fmsl)	Total Depth (fbTOR)	Last Total Depth Measurement (fbTOR)

ROUX

FIELD OPERATING PROCEDURES

Groundwater Purging Procedures Prior to Sample Collection

FOP 023.1

GROUNDWATER PURGING PROCEDURES PRIOR TO SAMPLE COLLECTION

PURPOSE

This procedure describes the methods for monitoring well/piezometer purging prior to groundwater sample collection in order to collect representative groundwater samples. The goal of purging is to remove stagnant, non-representative groundwater from the well and/or prevent stagnant water from entering collected samples. Purging involves the removal of at least three to five volumes of water in wells with moderate yields and at least one well volume from wells with low yields (slow water level recovery).

Purge and sample wells in order of least-to-most contaminated (this is not necessary if dedicated or disposable equipment is used). If you do not know this order, sample the upgradient wells first, then the furthest down-gradient or side-gradient wells, and finally the wells closest to, but down-gradient of the most contaminated area. Sampling should commence immediately following purging or as soon as the well has adequately recharged and not more than 24-hours following end time of evacuation.

PROCEDURE

1. Prepare the electronic water level indicator (e-line) in accordance with the procedures referenced in the Roux Field Operating Procedure for Groundwater Level Measurement and decontaminate the e-line probe and a lower portion of cable following the procedures referenced in the Roux Field Operating Procedure for Non-disposable and Non-dedicated Sampling Equipment Decontamination. Store the e-line in a protected area until use. This may include wrapping the e-line in clean plastic until the time of use.

2. Inspect the interior and exterior of the well/piezometer for signs of vandalism or damage and record condition on the Groundwater Field Form and/or Groundwater Well Inspection Form (samples attached). Specifically, inspect

the integrity of the following: concrete surface seal, lock, protective casing and well cover, well riser and J-plug/cap. Report any irregular findings to the Project Manager.

3. Unlock and remove the well protective cap or cover and place on clean plastic to avoid introducing foreign material into the well.

4. Calibrate the photoionization detector (PID) in accordance with the Roux Field Operating Procedure for Calibration and Maintenance of Portable Photoionization Detector.

5. Monitor the well for organic vapors using a PID, as per the Work Plan. If a reading of greater than 5 ppm is recorded, the well should be allowed to vent until levels drop below 5 ppm before proceeding with purging.

6. Lower the e-line probe slowly into the monitoring well and record the initial water level in accordance with the procedures referenced in the Roux Field Operating Procedure for Groundwater Level Measurement.

7. Following static water level determinations, slowly lower the e-line to the bottom of the well/piezometer. Record the total depth to the nearest 0.01-foot and compare to the previous total depth measurement. If a significant discrepancy exists, re-measure the total depth. Continue with purging activities observing purge water to determine whether the well/piezometer had become silted due to inactivity or damaged (i.e., well sand within purge water). Upon confirmation of the new total depth and determination of the cause (i.e., siltation or damage), notify the Project Manager following field activities.

8. Calculate the volume of water in the well based on the water level below the top of riser and the total depth of the well using the following equation:

$$V = 0.0408[(B)^2 \times \{(A) - (C)\}]$$

Where,

A = Total Depth of Well (feet below measuring point)
B = Casing diameter (inches)
C = Static Water Level (feet below measuring point)

9. **For wells where the water level is 20 feet or less below the top of riser**, a peristaltic pump may be used to purge the well. Measure the purged volume using a calibrated container (i.e., graduated 5-gallon bucket) and record measurements on the attached Groundwater Well Development and Purge Log. Use new and dedicated tubing for each well. During the evacuation of shallow wells, the intake opening of the pump tubing should be positioned just below the surface of the water. As the water level drops, lower the tubing as needed to maintain flow. For higher yielding wells, the intake level should not be lowered past the top of the screen. Pumping from the top of the water column will ensure proper flushing of the well. Continue pumping until the required volumes are removed (typically three well volumes). For higher yielding wells, adjust the purging rate to maintain the water level above the screen. For lower yielding wells or wells where the screen straddles the water table, maintain purging at a rate that matches the rate of recovery of the well (well yield). If the well purges to dryness and is slow to recharge (greater than 15 minutes), terminate evacuation. **A peristaltic pump and dedicated tubing cannot be used to collect VOC or SVOC project-required samples; only non-organic compounds may be collected using this type of pump.**

10. **For wells where the water level is initially below 20 feet,** or drawn down to this level because of slow recharge rate, conduct purging using one of three devices listed below:

- Bailer – A bottom filling dedicated polyethylene bailer attached to a length of dedicated hollow-braid polypropylene rope. Purging a well utilizing a bailer should be conducted smoothly and slowly as not to agitate the groundwater or damage the well.

- Well Wizard Purge Pump (or similar) – This pneumatic bladder pump uses compressed air to push water to the surface. Groundwater is not in contact

with the drive air during the pumping process, therefore the pump may be used for sample collection.

- Submersible Pump (12 or 24 volt, or similar) – These submersible pumps are constructed of PVC or stainless steel and are capable of pumping up to 70 feet from ground surface using a 12 volt battery (standard pump) and standard low flow controller. For depths up to 200 feet from ground surface, a high performance power booster controller is used with a 12 volt battery. Unless these pumps are dedicated to the monitoring well location, decontamination between locations is necessary and an equipment blank may be required.

- Waterra™ Pump – This manually operated pump uses dedicated polyethylene tubing and a check valve that can be used as an optional method for purging deeper wells. The pump utilizes positive pressure to evacuate the well, therefore the pump may be used for sample collection, and however over-agitation groundwater should be avoided.

Prior to use in a well, non-dedicated bailers, exterior pump bodies and pump tubing should be cleaned in accordance with the Roux Field Operating Procedure for Non-Disposable and Non-Dedicated Sampling Equipment Decontamination. Dedicated and/or disposable equipment should be contained within the sealed original manufacturers packaging and certified pre-cleaned by the manufacturer with a non-phosphate laboratory detergent and rinsed using de-ionized water.

8. Purging will continue until a predetermined volume of water has been removed (typically three well volumes) or to dryness. Measurements for pH, temperature, specific conductance, dissolved oxygen (optional), Eh (optional), and turbidity will be recorded following removal of each well volume. Purge the well to dryness or until the readings for indicator parameters listed above (or well-specific indicator parameters) stabilize within the following limits for each parameter measured:

Field Parameter	Stabilization Criteria
Dissolved Oxygen	± 0.3 mg/L
Turbidity	± 10 %
Specific Conductance	± 3 %
Eh	± 10 mV
PH	± 0.1 unit

Stabilization criteria presented within the project Work Plan will take precedence.

DOCUMENTATION AND SAMPLE COLLECTION

This section pertains to the documentation of collected field data during and following purging activities and sample collection.

1. Record all data including the final three stable readings for each indicator parameter on the attached Groundwater Well Purge & Sample Log.

2. Record, at a minimum, the "volume purged," "purging stop-time," "purged dry (Y/N)," "purged below sand pack (Y/N)," and any problems purging on the attached Groundwater Well Purge & Sample Log.

3. Collect groundwater samples in accordance with the Roux Field Operating Procedure for Groundwater Sample Collection. Record "sample flow rate" as an average, "time sample collected," and any other pertinent information related to the sampling event on the attached Groundwater Well Purge & Sample Log.

4. Restore the well to its capped/covered and locked condition.

ALTERNATIVE METHODS

Alternative purging and sampling methods and equipment, other than those described herein are acceptable if they provide representative groundwater samples. The purging and sampling method and equipment must not adversely affect sample integrity, chemistry, temperature, and turbidity. In addition, alternative equipment must have minimal or no effect on groundwater geochemistry, aquifer permeability and well materials. Equipment materials must also minimize sorption and leaching. The field team is responsible for documenting and describing any alternative equipment and procedures used to purge a well and collect samples.

ATTACHMENTS

Groundwater Field Form
Groundwater Well Inspection Form

REFERENCES

Roux FOPs:

011 Calibration and Maintenance of Portable Photoionization Detector
022 Groundwater Level Measurement
024 Groundwater Sample Collection Procedures
040 Non-disposable and Non-dedicated Sampling Equipment Decontamination

FOP 023.1

GROUNDWATER PURGING PROCEDURES PRIOR TO SAMPLE COLLECTION

GROUNDWATER FIELD FORM

Project Name: Date:

Location: Project No.: Field Team:

Well No.			Diameter (inches):			Sample Time:			
Product Depth (fbTOR):			Water Column (ft):			DTW when sampled:			
DTW (static) (fbTOR):			Casing Volume:			Purpose: ☐ Development ☐ Sample			
Total Depth (fbTOR):			Purge Volume (gal):			Purge Method:			
Time	Water Level (fbTOR)	Acc. Volume (gallons)	pH (units)	Temp. (deg. C)	SC (uS)	Turbidity (NTU)	DO (mg/L)	ORP (mV)	Appearance & Odor
	0 Initial								
	1								
	2								
	3								
	4								
	5								
	6								
	7								
	8								
	9								
	10								
Sample Information:			Date: (if different from above)						
	S1								
	S2								

Well No.			Diameter (inches):			Sample Time:			
Product Depth (fbTOR):			Water Column (ft):			DTW when sampled:			
DTW (static) (fbTOR):			Casing Volume:			Purpose: ☐ Development ☐ Sample			
Total Depth (fbTOR):			Purge Volume (gal):			Purge Method:			
Time	Water Level (fbTOR)	Acc. Volume (gallons)	pH (units)	Temp. (deg. C)	SC (uS)	Turbidity (NTU)	DO (mg/L)	ORP (mV)	Appearance & Odor
	0 Initial								
	1								
	2								
	3								
	4								
	5								
	6								
	7								
	8								
	9								
	10								
Sample Information:			Date: (if different from above)						
	S1								
	S2								

SAMPLE

REMARKS:

Note: All water level measurements are in feet, distance from top of riser.

Volume Calculation

Diam.	Vol. (g/ft)
1"	0.041
2"	0.163
4"	0.653
6"	1.469

Stabilization Criteria

Parameter	Criteria
pH	± 0.1 unit
SC	± 3%
Turbidity	± 10%
DO	± 0.3 mg/L
ORP	± 10 mV

PREPARED BY:

GROUNDWATER WELL INSPECTION FORM

Project:	**WELL I.D.:**
Client:	
Job No.:	
Date:	
Time:	

EXTERIOR INSPECTION

Protective Casing:
Lock:
Hinge/Lid:
Concrete Surface Seal:
Bollards:
Label/I.D.:
Other:

INTERIOR INSPECTION

Well Riser:
Annular Space:
Well Cap:
Water Level (fbTOR):
Total Depth (fbTOR):
Other:

Comments/Corrective Actions:

PREPARED BY: DATE:

SAMPLE

ROUX

FIELD OPERATING PROCEDURES

Groundwater Sample Collection Procedures

FOP 024.1

GROUNDWATER SAMPLE COLLECTION PROCEDURES

PURPOSE

This procedure describes the methods for collecting groundwater samples from monitoring wells and domestic supply wells following purging and sufficient recovery. This procedure also includes the preferred collection order in which water samples are collected based on the volatilization sensitivity or suite of analytical parameters required.

PROCEDURE

Allow approximately 3 to 10 days following well development before performing purge and sample activities at any well location. Conversely, perform sampling as soon as practical after sample purging at any time after the well has recovered sufficiently to sample, or within 24 hours after evacuation, if the well recharges slowly. If the well does not yield sufficient volume for all required laboratory analytical testing (including quality control), a decision should be made to prioritize analyses based on contaminants of concern at the site. If the well takes longer than 24 hours to recharge, the Project Manager should be consulted. The following two procedures outline sample collection activities for monitoring and domestic type wells.

Monitoring Wells

1. Purge the monitoring well in accordance with the Roux FOPs for Groundwater Purging Procedures Prior to Sample Collection or Low Flow (Minimal Drawdown) Groundwater Purging & Sampling Procedures. Perform sampling as soon as practical after purging at any time after the well has recovered sufficiently to sample, or within 24 hours after evacuation, if the well recharges slowly. If the well does not yield sufficient volume for all required laboratory analytical testing (including quality control), a decision should be made to prioritize analyses based on contaminants of concern at the site. Analyses will be prioritized in the order of the parameters volatilization sensitivity. After volatile organics have been collected, field parameters

must be measured from the next sample collected. If a well takes longer than 24 hours to recharge, the Project Manager should be consulted.

2. Sampling equipment that is not disposable or dedicated to the well will be decontaminated in accordance with the Roux Field Operating Procedure for Non-Disposable and Non-Dedicated Sampling Equipment Decontamination.

3. Calibrate all field meters (i.e., pH/Eh, turbidity, specific conductance, dissolved oxygen, PID etc.) in accordance with the Roux Field Operating Procedure for Calibration and Maintenance of the specific field meter.

4. Prepare the electronic water level indicator (e-line) in accordance with the procedures referenced in the Roux Field Operating Procedure for Groundwater Level Measurement and decontaminate the e-line probe and a lower portion of cable following the procedures referenced in the Roux Field Operating Procedure for Non-disposable and Non-dedicated Sampling Equipment Decontamination. Store the e-line in a protected area until use. This may include wrapping the e-line in clean plastic until the time of use.

5. Inspect the well/piezometer for signs of vandalism or damage and record condition on the Groundwater Field Form (sample attached). Specifically, inspect the integrity of the following: concrete surface seal, lock, protective casing and well cover, well casing and J-plug/cap. Report any irregular findings to the Project Manager.

6. Unlock and remove the well protective cap or cover and place on clean plastic to avoid introducing foreign material into the well.

7. Calibrate the photoionization detector (PID) in accordance with the Roux Field Operating Procedure for Calibration and Maintenance of Portable Photoionization Detector.

8. Monitor the well for organic vapors using a PID, as per the Work Plan. If a reading of greater than 5 ppm is recorded, the well should be allowed to vent until levels drop below 5 ppm before proceeding with purging. Record PID measurements on a well-specific Groundwater Field Form (sample attached).

9. Lower the e-line probe slowly into the monitoring well and record the measurement on a well-specific Groundwater Field Form (sample attached).

10. Groundwater samples will be collected directly from the sampling valve on the flow through cell (low-flow), discharge port of a standard pump assembly (peristaltic, pneumatic, submersible, or Waterra™ pump) or bailer (stainless steel, PVC or polyethylene) into appropriate laboratory provided containers. In low-yielding wells at which the flow through cell is not used, the samples may be collected using a disposable bailer. **A peristaltic pump and dedicated tubing cannot be used to collect VOC or SVOC project-required samples; only non-organic compounds may be collected using this type of pump.**

11. If disposable polyethylene bailers are used, the bailer should be lowered *slowly* below the surface of the water to minimize agitation and volatilization. For wells that are known to produce turbid samples (values greater than 50 NTU), the bailer should be lowered and retrieved at a rate that limits surging of the well.

12. Sampling data will be recorded on a Groundwater Field Form (sample attached).

13. Pre-label all sample bottles in the field using a waterproof permanent marker in accordance with the Roux Sample Labeling, Storage, and Shipment FOP. The following information, at a minimum, should be included on the label:

    - Project Number;
    - Sample identification code (as per project specifications);
    - Date of sample collection (mm, dd, yy);
    - Time of sample collection (military time only) (hh:mm);
    - Specify "grab" or "composite" sample type;
    - Sampler initials;
    - Preservative(s) (if applicable); and
    - Analytes for analysis (if practicable).

14. Collect a separate sample of approximately 200 ml into an appropriate container prior to collecting the first and following the last groundwater sample collected to measure the following field parameters:

Parameter	Units
Dissolved Oxygen	parts per million (ppm)
Specific Conductance	μmhos/cm or μS or mS
pH	pH units
Temperature	^{o}C or ^{o}F
Turbidity	NTU
Eh (*optional*)	mV
PID VOCs (*optional*)	ppm

Record all field measurements on a Groundwater Field Form (sample attached).

15. Collect samples into pre-cleaned bottles provided by the analytical laboratory with the appropriate preservative(s) added based on the volatilization sensitivity or suite of analytical parameters required, as designated in the **Sample Collection Order** section below.

16. Lower the e-line probe slowly into the monitoring well and record the measurement on a well-specific Groundwater Field Form (sample attached).

17. The samples will be labeled, stored, and shipped in accordance with the Roux Field Operating Procedure for Sample Labeling, Storage, and Shipment Procedures.

Domestic Supply Wells

1. Calculate or estimate the volume of water in the well. It is desirable to purge at least one casing volume before sampling. This is controlled, to some extent, by the depth of the well, well yield and the rate of the existing pump. If the volume of water in the well cannot be calculated, the well should be purged continuously for no less than 15 minutes.

2. Connect a sampling tap to an accessible fitting between the well and the pressure tank where practicable. A hose will be connected to the device and the hose discharge located 25 to 50 feet away. The well will be allowed to pump until the lines and one

well volume is removed. Flow rate will be measured with a container of known volume and a stopwatch.

3. Place a clean piece of polyethylene or Teflon™ tubing on the sampling port and collect the samples in the order designated below and in the sample containers supplied by the laboratory for the specified analytes. ***DO NOT*** use standard garden hose to collect samples.

4. Sampling results and measurements will be recorded on a Groundwater Field Form (sample attached) as described in the previous section.

5. Collect samples into pre-cleaned bottles provided by the analytical laboratory with the appropriate preservative(s) added based on the volatilization sensitivity or suite of analytical parameters required, as designated in the **Sample Collection Order** section below.

6. The samples will be labeled, stored, and shipped in accordance with the Roux Field Operating Procedure for Sample Labeling, Storage, and Shipment Procedures.

SAMPLE COLLECTION ORDER

All groundwater samples, from monitoring wells and domestic supply wells, will be collected in accordance with the following.

1. Samples will be collected preferentially in recognition of volatilization sensitivity. The preferred order of sampling if no free product is present is:

    - Field parameters
    - Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs)
    - Purgeable organic carbons (POC)
    - Purgeable organic halogens (POH)
    - Total Organic Halogens (TOX)
    - Total Organic Carbon (TOC)
    - Extractable Organic Compounds (i.e., BNAs, SVOCs, etc.)
    - Total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPH) and oil and grease

- PCBs and pesticides
- Total metals (Dissolved Metals)
- Total Phenolic Compounds
- Cyanide
- Sulfate and Chloride
- Turbidity
- Nitrate (as Nitrogen) and Ammonia
- Preserved inorganics
- Radionuclides
- Unpreserved inorganics
- Bacteria
- Field parameters

2. Document the sampling procedures and related information in the Project Field Book and on a Groundwater Field Form (sample attached).
3. 1,4-dioxane will be analyzed via the 8270 SIM method.

DOCUMENTATION

The three words used to ensure adequate documentation for groundwater sampling are accountability, controllability, and traceability. Accountability is undertaken in the sampling plan and answers the questions who, what, where, when, and why to assure that the sampling effort meets its goals. Controllability refers to checks (including QA/QC) used to ensure that the procedures used are those specified in the sampling plan. Traceability is documentation of what was done, when it was done, how it was done, and by whom it was done, and is found in the field forms, Project Field Book, and chain-of-custody forms. At a minimum, adequate documentation of the sampling conducted in the field consists of an entry in the Project Field Book (with sewn binding), field data sheets for each well, and a chain-of-custody form.

As a general rule, if one is not sure whether the information is necessary, it should nevertheless be recorded, as it is impossible to over-document one's fieldwork. Years may go by before the documentation comes under close scrutiny, so the documentation must be capable of defending the sampling effort without the assistance or translation of the sampling crew.

The minimum information to be recorded daily with an indelible pen in the Project Field Book and/or field data sheets includes date and time(s), name of the facility, name(s) of the sampling crew, site conditions, the wells sampled, a description of how the sample shipment was handled, and a QA/QC summary. After the last entry for the day in the Project Field Book, the Field Team Leader should sign the bottom of the page under the last entry and then draw a line across the page directly under the signature.

PRECAUTIONS/RECOMMENDATIONS

The following precautions should be adhered to prior to and during sample collection activities:

- Field vehicles should be parked downwind (to avoid potential sample contamination concerns) at a minimum of 15 feet from the well and the engine turned off prior to PID vapor analysis and VOC sample collection.

- Ambient odors, vehicle exhaust, precipitation, or windy/dusty conditions can potentially interfere with obtaining representative samples. These conditions should be minimized and should be recorded in the field notes. Shield sample bottles from strong winds, rain, and dust when being filled.

- The outlet from the sampling device should discharge below the top of the sample's air/water interface, when possible. The sampling plan should specify

how the samples will be transferred from the sample collection device to the sample container to minimize sample alterations.

- The order of sampling should be from the least contaminated to the most contaminated well to reduce the potential for cross contamination of sampling equipment (see the Sampling Plan or Work Plan).

- Samples should not be transferred from one sampling container to another.

- Sampling equipment must not be placed on the ground, because the ground may be contaminated and soil contains trace metals. Equipment and supplies should be removed from the field vehicle only when needed.

- Smoking and eating should not be allowed until the well is sampled and hands are washed with soap and water, due to safety and possibly sample contamination concerns. These activities should be conducted beyond a 15-foot radius of the well.

- No heat-producing or electrical instruments should be within 15 feet of the well, unless they are intrinsically safe, prior to PID vapor analysis.

- Minimize the amount of time that the sample containers remain open.

- Do not touch the inside of sample bottles or the groundwater sample as it enters the bottle. Disposable gloves may be a source of phthalates, which could be introduced into groundwater samples if the gloves contact the sample.

- Sampling personnel should use a new pair of disposable gloves for each well sampled to reduce the potential for exposure of the sampling personnel to contaminants and to reduce sample cross contamination. In addition, sampling personnel should change disposable gloves between purging and sampling operations at the same well.

- Sampling personnel should not use perfume, insect repellent, hand lotion, etc., when taking groundwater samples. If insect repellent must be used, then sampling personnel should not allow samples or sampling equipment to contact the

repellent, and it should be noted in the documentation that insect repellent was used.

- Complete the documentation of the well. A completed assemblage of paperwork for a sampling event includes the completed field forms, entries in the Project Field Book (with a sewn binding), transportation documentation (if required), and possibly chain-of-custody forms.

ATTACHMENTS

Groundwater Field Form (sample)

REFERENCES

1. Wilson, Neal. *Soil Water and Ground Water Sampling*, 1995

Roux FOPs:

007 Calibration and Maintenance of Portable Dissolved Oxygen Meter
008 Calibration and Maintenance of Portable Field pH/Eh Meter
009 Calibration and Maintenance of Portable Field Turbidity Meter
011 Calibration and Maintenance of Portable Photoionization Detector
012 Calibration and Maintenance of Portable Specific Conductance Meter
022 Groundwater Level Measurement
023 Groundwater Purging Procedures Prior to Sample Collection (optional)
031 Low Flow (Minimal Drawdown) Groundwater Purging & Sampling Procedures (optional)
040 Non-Disposable and Non-Dedicated Sampling Equipment Decontamination
046 Sample Labeling, Storage and Shipment Procedures

GROUNDWATER FIELD FORM

Project Name: Date:

Location: Project No.: Field Team:

Well No.			Diameter (inches):			Sample Time:			
Product Depth (fbTOR):			Water Column (ft):			DTW when sampled:			
DTW (static) (fbTOR):			Casing Volume:			Purpose: ☐ Development ☐ Sample			
Total Depth (fbTOR):			Purge Volume (gal):			Purge Method:			
Time	Water Level (fbTOR)	Acc. Volume (gallons)	pH (units)	Temp. (deg. C)	SC (uS)	Turbidity (NTU)	DO (mg/L)	ORP (mV)	Appearance & Odor
	0 Initial								
	1								
	2								
	3								
	4								
	5								
	6								
	7								
	8								
	9								
	10								
Sample Information:			Date: (if different from above)						
	S1								
	S2								

SAMPLE

Well No.			Diameter (inches):			Sample Time:			
Product Depth (fbTOR):			Water Column (ft):			DTW when sampled:			
DTW (static) (fbTOR):			Casing Volume:			Purpose: ☐ Development ☐ Sample			
Total Depth (fbTOR):			Purge Volume (gal):			Purge Method:			
Time	Water Level (fbTOR)	Acc. Volume (gallons)	pH (units)	Temp. (deg. C)	SC (uS)	Turbidity (NTU)	DO (mg/L)	ORP (mV)	Appearance & Odor
	0 Initial								
	1								
	2								
	3								
	4								
	5								
	6								
	7								
	8								
	9								
	10								
Sample Information:			Date: (if different from above)						
	S1								
	S2								

REMARKS:

Note: All water level measurements are in feet, distance from top of riser.

Volume Calculation

Diam.	Vol. (g/ft)
1"	0.041
2"	0.163
4"	0.653
6"	1.469

Stabilization Criteria

Parameter	Criteria
pH	± 0.1 unit
SC	± 3%
Turbidity	± 10%
DO	± 0.3 mg/L
ORP	± 10 mV

PREPARED BY:

ROUX

FIELD OPERATING PROCEDURES

PFAS Groundwater/Surface Water Sample Collection Procedures

FOP 024.3

PFAS GROUNDWATER/ SURFACE WATER SAMPLE COLLECTION PROCEDURES

PURPOSE

This procedure describes the methods for collecting per-and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS) groundwater samples from monitoring wells, following purging and sufficient recovery, and surface water locations. This procedure is specific to sites where PFAS sample analysis is required, and analysis specific collection and handling procedures are needed. PFAS sample analysis will be completed when Site analysis requires sampling of the full TAL/TCL analyte list. This field operating procedure (FOP) describes the personal protective equipment (PPE) and sampling equipment/materials appropriate for PFAS sample collection. PFAS laboratory analysis is performed using extremely low detection limits (parts per trillion). Therefore, cross contamination from potential sources (i.e., field equipment, consumer products) must be minimized to the extent possible.

PROCEDURE

Prior to sampling, discuss with the Site's Project Manager whether the monitoring wells that are to be sampled for the PFAS analytical parameters are new monitoring wells or existing monitoring wells. The specific handing and collection procedures for PFAS sampling are solely based on job preparation and sampling equipment used for the job. The standard practice of a 10 volume surge and purge of a newly installed monitoring well for development as discussed in Roux's FOP 036.0 – Monitoring Well Development Procedures and three volume well purge and/or low flow sampling that are discussed in Roux's FOP 023.1 – Groundwater Procedures Prior to Sample Collection remain the same. FOP 064.0 – Surface Water Sampling Procedures remains the same with the exceptions made in this FOP for PFAS sampling. The field sampling personnel must be prepared with appropriate clothing, equipment, and sampling containers for PFAS sampling as discussed below.

FIELD CLOTHING

Unacceptable Field Clothing

- No Gore-Tex®, this includes but not limited to boots, gloves, coveralls, hats, and coats.
- No Tyvex®, this includes but not limited to coveralls, boots, hoods and head coverings. Tyvex® is also found in everyday items such as envelopes, receipts, and adhesives.
- No clothes, jackets, boots, or gloves that have been pretreated with Teflon® water proofing. Teflon® can be found in everyday items such as scissors, plumbers' tape, adhesive tapes, Teflon ® paper for crafting and cookware utensils.
- No clothing that has been waterproofed with PFAS materials
- No brand-new unwashed cotton clothing.
- Clothing that has been washed with fabric softeners prior to sampling.

Acceptable Field Clothing

- Well-worn, washed clothes, jackets, hats, and coveralls without fabric softener usage.
- Rain Gear made from PVC, polyurethane, or rubber only (it cannot contain any of the products listed above).
- Disposable powder free nitrile gloves.

FIELD EQUIPMENT

Unacceptable Field Equipment

- Sampling Equipment containing Teflon® or Low-Density Polyethylene (LDPE). Sampling equipment that may contain these materials include submersible pumps, bailers, tubing, braided poly rope or cord, fishing line.

- No LDPE or glass lined sampling containers, or Teflon®-lined caps.
- Waterproof field books, binders, plastic clip boards, spiral hard cover notebooks.
- No adhesives or permanent makers can be used (i.e., Post-It® notes and Sharpies).
- No Aluminum foil or sampling tins.
- No blue ice packs
- Avoid using paper towels

Acceptable Field Equipment

- Sampling Equipment made from High Density Polyethylene (HDPE), stainless steel, acetate, silicon, or polypropylene.
- Sampling Containers made from HDPE polypropylene

Acceptable Field Equipment (continued)

- Sampling Equipment (i.e. bailers) made from Poly Vinyl Chloride (PVC)
- Nylon rope/twine
- Ice
- Alconox

ADDITIONAL PROCEDURES

- Keep all bottle ware in a dedicated cooler containing only PFAS sample containers.
- Avoid consumption of food or drink prior to and during the sampling event.
- Do not apply cosmetics or moisturizers prior to sampling.

- Do not use standard commercial sunscreen or insect repellents. Use only all natural or organic products.
- Collect PFAS sample from each location prior to collecting other samples for analysis to avoid contact with other sample containers and packing materials.
- New disposable nitrile gloves will be donned at each sampling location.
- Don new disposable nitrile gloves while handling empty sample containers, filling sampling containers, sealing sample containers, and placing containers into sampling coolers.
- Single use (dedicated) or disposable sampling equipment is preferred when multiple locations are sampled.
- Perform a standard two-step decontamination using Alconox detergent and laboratory provided PFAS-free water for all non-dedicated sampling equipment.
- Equipment blanks should be comprised of laboratory provided PFAS-free water. The water should be poured over and/or brought into direct contact with all sampling equipment (bailer, rope, tubing, gloves, water level meter, etc.). The equipment blank will then be sealed and returned to the sample cooler. One equipment blank will be collected each day PFAS samples are collected, or once every 20 samples, whichever is more frequent. Additional equipment blanks may be collected as needed.
- One field duplicate and one matrix spike/matrix spike duplicate (MS/MSD) will be collected for every 20 samples.
- Field blanks should be comprised of laboratory provided PFAS-free water. The field blank should be uncapped and placed near the field crew while purging/sampling preparations take place (i.e. prepare bottle set, calibrate groundwater quality meters, prepare bailers for sampling, etc.). The intent of the uncapped blank is to capture any ambient PFAS compounds that may emanate from the field crew or equipment during typical preparations associated with groundwater/surface water sampling. The field blank will then be capped and returned to the sample cooler.

ATTACHMENTS

Groundwater Field Form (sample)
Surface Water Quality Field Collection Log (Sample)

REFERENCES

Roux FOPs:

036.0 Monitoring Well Development Procedures
023.1 Groundwater Purging Procedures Prior to Sample Collection
064.0 Surface Water Sampling Procedures

GROUNDWATER FIELD FORM

Project Name: Date:

Location: Project No.: Field Team:

Well No.			Diameter (inches):			Sample Time:			
Product Depth (fbTOR):			Water Column (ft):			DTW when sampled:			
DTW (static) (fbTOR):			Casing Volume:			Purpose: ☐ Development ☐ Sample			
Total Depth (fbTOR):			Purge Volume (gal):			Purge Method:			
Time	Water Level (fbTOR)	Acc. Volume (gallons)	pH (units)	Temp. (deg. C)	SC (uS)	Turbidity (NTU)	DO (mg/L)	ORP (mV)	Appearance & Odor
	0 Initial								
	1								
	2								
	3								
	4								
	5								
	6								
	7								
	8								
	9								
	10								
Sample Information:			Date: (if different from above)						
	S1								
	S2								

Well No.			Diameter (inches):			Sample Time:			
Product Depth (fbTOR):			Water Column (ft):			DTW when sampled:			
DTW (static) (fbTOR):			Casing Volume:			Purpose: ☐ Development ☐ Sample			
Total Depth (fbTOR):			Purge Volume (gal):			Purge Method:			
Time	Water Level (fbTOR)	Acc. Volume (gallons)	pH (units)	Temp. (deg. C)	SC (uS)	Turbidity (NTU)	DO (mg/L)	ORP (mV)	Appearance & Odor
	0 Initial								
	1								
	2								
	3								
	4								
	5								
	6								
	7								
	8								
	9								
	10								
Sample Information:			Date: (if different from above)						
	S1								
	S2								

SAMPLE

REMARKS:

Note: All water level measurements are in feet, distance from top of riser.

Volume Calculation

Diam.	Vol. (g/ft)
1"	0.041
2"	0.163
4"	0.653
6"	1.469

Stabilization Criteria

Parameter	Criteria
pH	± 0.1 unit
SC	± 3%
Turbidity	± 10%
DO	± 0.3 mg/L
ORP	± 10 mV

PREPARED BY:

SURFACE WATER
QUALITY FIELD COLLECTION LOG

PROJECT INFORMATION

Project Name:
Project No.:
Client:

SAMPLE DESCRIPTION

I.D.:
Matrix:
Location:

SAMPLE INFORMATION

Date Collected:
Time Collected:
Date Shipped to Lab:
Collected By:
Sample Collection Method:

LABORATORY ANALYSIS

SAMPLING INFORMATION

Weather:
Air Temperature:
Depth of Sample:

Parameter	First	Last	Units
pH			units
Temp.			°C
Cond.			mS
Turbidity			NTU
Eh			mV
D.O.			ppm
Odor			olfactory
Appearance			visual

LOCATION SKETCH

(not to scale, dimensions are approximate)

EXACT LOCATION (if applicable)

Northing (ft)	Easting (ft)	Surface Elevation (fmsl)

ADDITIONAL LABORATORY ANALYSIS:

ADDITIONAL REMARKS:

PREPARED BY: **DATE:**

SAMPLE

ROUX

FIELD OPERATING PROCEDURES

Hand Augering Procedures

FOP 025.0

HAND AUGERING PROCEDURES

PURPOSE

This guideline presents a method for hand augering, which enables the recovery of representative surface and shallow subsurface samples for classification and sample collection (ASTM D1452).

PROCEDURE

1. Review project objectives and the Project Health and Safety Plan (HASP).

2. Follow Roux's FOP: Drill Site Selection Procedure prior to implementing any hand augering activity.

3. Establish a central staging area for storage of augering supplies and for equipment decontamination (include plastic-covered work bench/table as necessary). Locate a secure storage area for augered samples.

4. Assemble auger and decontaminate in accordance with Roux's FOP: Non-Disposable and Non-Dedicated Sampling Equipment Decontamination.

5. Cover the area to be sampled with plastic sheeting, as determined by the Project Work Plan.

6. Make the auger boring through the plastic sheeting by rotating and advancing the auger to the desired depth below ground surface.

7. Withdraw the auger from the hole and remove soil for examination, soil classification, on-site testing (if applicable) and laboratory physical/chemical sample collection (if applicable) in accordance with specific Roux FOPs (Soil Description Procedures Using the Unified Soil Classification System; Composite Sample Collection Procedure for Non-Volatile Organic Analysis; and/or Soil Sample Handling for VOC Analysis) and as directed by the Project Work Plan.

8. Document all properties and sample locations in the Project Field Book and Hand Auger Borehole Log (sample attached). Specifically, total depth, borehole diameter, depth of sample collection, personnel, etc. should be recorded.

9. Place sample in appropriate container(s), label and store for future reference or ship to laboratory for analysis in accordance with Roux's Field Operating Procedure for Sample Labeling, Storage and Shipment.

10. Decontaminate auger in accordance with Roux's Field Operating Procedure for Non-Disposable and Non-Dedicated Sampling Equipment Decontamination.

11. Advance auger to next sample interval and repeat steps 7 through 12 as necessary.

12. Backfill auger holes in accordance with approved procedures outlined in the Project Work Plan.

ATTACHMENTS

Hand Auger Borehole Log (sample)

REFERENCES

Roux FOPs:

013 Composite Sample Collection Procedure for Non-Volatile Organic Analysis
017 Drill Site Selection Procedure
040 Non-Disposable and Non-Dedicated Sampling Equipment Decontamination
046 Sample Labeling, Storage and Shipment
054 Soil Description Procedures Using the Unified Soil Classification System
057 Soil Sample Handling for Volatile Organic Compound Analysis – Encore Sampling

FOP 025.0


HAND AUGERING PROCEDURES

HAND AUGER BOREHOLE LOG

Project:	BOREHOLE I.D.:
Project No.:	Excavation Date:
Client:	Excavation Method:
Location:	Logged / Checked By:

Hand Auger Location: *NOT TO SCALE*

Hand Auger Cross Section:



TIME	BOREHOLE DIMENSIONS	
Start:	Diameter:	(approx.)
End:	Depth:	(approx.)

Depth (fbgs)	SAMPLE DESCRIPTION USCS Classification: Color, Moisture Condi… …ther Plasticity, Fabric, Bedding, Weather… /Fra… O…	…pm)	Photos Y / N	Samples Collected (fbgs)

SAMPLE

COMMENTS:			
GROUNDWATER ENCOUNTERED:	yes	no	If yes, depth to GW:
VISUAL IMPACTS:	yes	no	Describe:
OLFACTORY OBSERVATIONS:	yes	no	Describe:
NON-NATIVE FILL ENCOUNTERED:	yes	no	
OTHER OBSERVATIONS:	yes	no	Describe:
SAMPLES COLLECTED:	yes	no	Sample I.D.:
			Sample I.D.:
			Sample I.D.:

ROUX

FIELD OPERATING PROCEDURES

Hollow Stem Auger Drilling Procedures

FOP 026.1

HOLLOW STEM AUGER (HSA) DRILLING PROCEDURES

PURPOSE

This guideline presents a method for drilling a borehole through unconsolidated materials, including soils or overburden, and consolidated materials, including bedrock.

PROCEDURE

The following procedure will be used to drill a borehole for sampling and/or well installation, using hollow-stem auger methods and equipment.

1. Follow Roux's Field Operating Procedure for Drill Site Selection Procedure prior to implementing any drilling activity.

2. Perform drill rig safety checks with the driller by completing the Drilling Safety Checklist form (sample attached).

3. Conduct tailgate health and safety meeting with project team and drillers by completing the Tailgate Safety Meeting Form.

4. Calibrate air-monitoring equipment in accordance with the appropriate Roux's Field Operating Procedures (i.e., PID, FID, combustible gas meter) or manufacturer's recommendations for calibration of field meters (i.e., DataRAM 4 Particulate Meter).

5. Ensure all drilling equipment (i.e., augers, rods, split-spoons) appear clean and free of soil prior to initiating any subsurface intrusion. Decontamination of drilling equipment should be in accordance with Roux's FOP: Drilling and Excavation Equipment Decontamination Procedures.

6. Mobilize the auger rig to the site and position over the borehole.

7. Level and stabilize the rig using the rig jacks, and recheck the rig location against the planned drilling location. If necessary, raise the jacks and adjust the rig position.

8. Place a metal or plywood auger pan over the borehole location to collect the auger cuttings. This auger pan will be equipped with a 12-inch nominal diameter hole for auger passage. As an alternative, a piece of polyethylene tarp may be used as a substitute.

9. Advance augers into the subsurface. For sampling or pilot-hole drilling, nominal 8-inch outside diameter (OD) augers should be used. The boring diameter will be approved by the Roux field supervisor.

10. Collect soil samples via split spoon sampler in accordance with Roux's Field Operating Procedure for Split Spoon Sampling.

11. Check augers periodically during drilling to ensure the boring is plumb. Adjust rig position as necessary to maintain plumb.

12. Continue drilling until reaching the assigned total depth, or until auger refusal occurs. Auger refusal is when the drilling penetration drops below 0.1 feet per 10 minutes, with the full weight of the rig on the auger bit, and a center bit (not center plug) in place.

13. Plug and abandon boreholes not used for well installation in accordance with Roux's Field Operating Procedure for Abandonment of Borehole.

OTHER PROCEDURAL ISSUES

- Slip rings may be used for lifting a sampling or bit string. The string will not be permitted to extend more than 15 feet above the mast crown.

- Borings will not be over drilled (rat holed) without the express permission of the Roux field supervisor. All depth measurements should be accurate to the nearest 0.1 foot, to the extent practicable.

- Potable water may be placed in the auger stem if critically necessary for borehole control or to accomplish sampling objectives and must be approved by the Roux Project Manager and/or NYSDEC Project Manager. Upon approval,

the potable water source and quantity used will be documented in the Project Field Book and subsequent report submittal.

ATTACHMENTS

Drilling Safety Checklist (sample)
Tailgate Safety Meeting Form (sample)

REFERENCES

Roux FOPs:

001 *Abandonment of Borehole Procedures*
010 *Calibration and Maintenance of Portable Flame Ionization Detector*
011 *Calibration and Maintenance of Portable Photoionization Detector*
017 *Drill Site Selection Procedure*
018 *Drilling and Excavation Equipment Decontamination Procedures*
058 *Split Spoon Sampling Procedures*

DRILLING SAFETY CHECKLIST

Project: **Supplemental Phase II RFI/ICMs**	Date:
Project No.: **0041-009-500**	Drilling Company:
Client: **RealCo., Inc.**	Drill Rig Type:

ITEMS TO CHECK	OK	ACTION NEEDED
"Kill switches" installed by the manufacturer are in operable condition and all workers at the drill site are familiar with their location and how to activate them?		
"Kill switches" are accessible to workers on both sides of the rotating stem? NOTE: Optional based on location and number of switches provided by the manufacturer.		
Cables on drill rig are free of kinks, frayed wires, "bird cages" and worn or missing sections?		
Cables are terminated at the working end with a proper eye splice, either swaged Coupling or using cable clamps?		
Cable clamps are installed with the saddle on the live or load side? Clamps should not be alternated and should be of the correct size and number for the cable size to which it is installed. Clamps are complete with no missing parts?		
Hooks installed on hoist cables are the safety type with a functional latch to prevent accidental separation?		
Safety latches are functional and completely span the entire throat of the hook and have positive action to close the throat except when manually displaced for connecting or disconnecting a load?		
Drive shafts, belts, chain drives and universal joints shall be guarded to prevent accidental insertion of hands and fingers or tools.		
Outriggers shall be extended prior to and whenever the boom is raised off its cradle. Hydraulic outriggers must maintain pressure to continuously support and stabilize the drill rig even while unattended.		
Outriggers shall be properly supported on the ground surface to prevent settling into the soil.		
Controls are properly labeled and have freedom of movement. Controls should not be blocked or locked in an active position.		
Safeties on any device shall not be bypassed or neutralized.		
Controls shall be operated smoothly and cables and lifting devices shall not be jerked or operated erratically to overcome resistance.		
Slings, chokers and lifting devices are inspected before using and are in proper working order? Damaged units are removed from service and are properly tagged?		
Shackles and clevises are in proper working order and pins and screws are fully inserted before placing under a load?		
High-pressure hoses have a safety (chain, cable or strap) at each end of the hose section to prevent whipping in the event of a failure?		
Rotating parts of the drill string shall be free of sharp projections or hooks, which could entrap clothing or foreign objects?		
Wire ropes should not be allowed to bend around sharp edges without cushion material.		
The exclusion zone is centered over the borehole and the radius is equal or greater than the boom height?		

ITEMS TO CHECK	OK	ACTION NEEDED

SAMPLE

DRILLING SAFETY CHECKLIST

Project: **Supplemental Phase II RFI/ICMs** Date:

Project No.: **0041-009-500** Drilling Company:

Client: **RealCo., Inc.** Drill Rig Type:

ITEMS TO CHECK	OK	ACTION NEEDED
The work area around the borehole shall be kept clear of trip hazards and walking surfaces should be free of slippery material.		
Workers shall not proceed higher than the drilling deck without a fall restraining device and must attach the device in a manner to restrict fall to less than 6 feet.		
A fire extinguisher of appropriate size shall be immediately available to the drill crew. The drill crew shall have received annual training on proper use of the fire extinguisher.		
29 CFR 1910.333 © (3) Except where electrical distribution and transmission lines have been de-energized and visibly grounded, drill rigs will be operated proximate to, under, by, or near power lines only in accordance with the following: .333 © (3) (ii) 50 kV or less -minimum clearance is 10 ft. For 50 kV or over - 10ft. Plus ½ in. For each additional kV Roux **Policy: Maintain 20 feet clearance**		
29 CFR 1910.333 © (3) (iii) While the rig is in transit with the boom in the down position, clearance from energized power lines will be maintained as follows: Less than 50 kV - 4 feet 50 to 365 kV - 10 feet 365 to 720 kV - 16 feet		

Name: _____ (printed)

Signed: _____ Date: _____

SAMPLE

FOP 026.1

HOLLOW STEM AUGER (HSA) DRILLING PROCEDURES

TAILGATE SAFETY MEETING FORM

Project Name: Date: Time:
Project Number: Client:
Work Activities:

HOSPITAL INFORMATION:

Name:
Address: *City:* *State:* *Zip:*
Phone No.: *Ambulance Phone No.*

SAFETY TOPICS PRESENTED:

Chemical Hazards:

Physical Hazards: Slips, Trips, Falls

PERSONAL PROTECTIVE EQUIPMENT:

Activity:	*PPE Level:*	A	B	C	D
Activity:	*PPE Level:*	A	B	C	D
Activity:	*PPE Level:*	A	B	C	D
Activity:	*PPE Level:*	A	B	C	D
Activity:	*PPE Level:*	A	B	C	D

New Equipment:

Other Safety Topic (s): Environmental Hazards (aggressive fauna)
Eating, drinking, use of tobacco products is prohibited in the Exclusion Zone (EZ)

ATTENDEES

Name Printed	Signatures

Meeting conducted by:

SAMPLE

ROUX

FIELD OPERATING PROCEDURES

Low-Flow (Minimal Drawdown) Groundwater Purging & Sampling Procedure

FOP 031.2

LOW FLOW (MINIMAL DRAWDOWN) GROUNDWATER PURGING & SAMPLING PROCEDURES

PURPOSE

This procedure describes the methods used for performing low flow (minimal drawdown) purging, also referred to as micro-purging, at a well prior to groundwater sampling to obtain a representative sample from the water-bearing zone. This method of purging is used to minimize the turbidity of the produced water. This may increase the representativeness of the groundwater samples by avoiding the necessity of filtering suspended solids in the field prior to preservation of the sample.

Well purging is typically performed immediately preceding groundwater sampling. The sample should be collected as soon as the parameters measured in the field (i.e., pH, specific conductance, dissolved oxygen, Eh, temperature, and turbidity) have stabilized.

PROCEDURE

Allow approximately 3 to 10 days following well development for groundwater to return to static conditions before performing low-flow purge and sample activities at any well location. Conversely, perform low-flow sampling as soon as purged groundwater has stabilized. If the well does not yield sufficient volume (i.e., cannot maintain a constant water level during purging) for low-flow purge and sampling, then an alternative method must be performed in accordance with Roux's Groundwater Purging Procedures Prior to Sample Collection FOP.

1. Water samples should not be taken immediately following well development. Sufficient time should be allowed to stabilize the groundwater flow regime in

the vicinity of the monitoring well. This lag time will depend on site conditions and methods of installation but may exceed one week.

2. Prepare the electronic water level indicator (e-line) in accordance with the procedures referenced in the Roux's Groundwater Level Measurement FOP and decontaminate the e-line probe and a lower portion of cable following the procedures referenced in the Roux's Non-disposable and Non-dedicated Sampling Equipment Decontamination FOP. Store the e-line in a protected area until use. This may include wrapping the e-line in clean plastic until the time of use.

3. Calibrate all sampling devices and monitoring equipment in accordance with manufacturer's recommendations, the site Quality Assurance Project Plan (QAPP) and/or Field Sampling Plan (FSP). Calibration of field instrumentation should be followed as specified in Roux's Calibration and Maintenance FOP for each individual meter.

4. Inspect the well/piezometer for signs of vandalism or damage and record condition on the Groundwater Field Form (sample attached). Specifically, inspect the integrity of the following: concrete surface seal, lock, protective casing and well cover, well casing and J-plug/cap. Report any irregular findings to the Project Manager.

5. Unlock and remove the well protective cap or cover and place on clean plastic to avoid introducing foreign material into the well.

6. Monitor the well for organic vapors using a PID, as per the Work Plan. If a reading of greater than 5 ppm is recorded, the well should be allowed to vent until levels drop below 5 ppm before proceeding with purging.

7. Lower the e-line probe slowly into the monitoring well and record the initial water level in accordance with the procedures referenced in Roux's Groundwater Level Measurement FOP. Refer to the construction diagram for the well to identify the screened depth.

8. Decontaminate all non-dedicated pump and tubing equipment following the procedures referenced in the Roux's Non-disposable and Non-dedicated Sampling Equipment Decontamination FOP.

9. Lower the purge pump or tubing (i.e., low-flow electrical submersible, peristaltic, etc.) slowly into the well until the pump/tubing intake is approximately in the middle of the screened interval. Rapid insertion of the pump will increase the turbidity of well water, and can increase the required purge time. This step can be eliminated if dedicated tubing is already within the well.

   Placement of the pump close to the bottom of the well will cause increased entrainment of solids, which may have settled in the well over time. Low-flow purging has the advantage of minimizing mixing between the overlying stagnant casing water and water within the screened interval. The objective of low-flow purging is to maintain a purging rate, which minimizes stress (drawdown) of the water level in the well. Low-flow refers to the velocity with which water enters the pump intake and that is imparted to the formation pore water in the immediate vicinity of the well screen.

10. Lower the e-line back down the well as water levels will be frequently monitored during purge and sample activities.

11. Begin pumping to purge the well. The pumping rate should be between 100 and 500 milliliters (ml) per minute (0.03 to 0.13 gallons per minute) depending on site hydrogeology. Periodically check the well water level with the e-line adjusting the flow rate as necessary to stabilize drawdown within the well. If possible, a steady flow rate should be maintained that results in a stabilized water level (drawdown of 0.3 feet or less). If the water level exceeds 2 feet below static and declining, slow the purge rate until the water level generally stabilizes. Record each pumping rate and water level during the event. If the water level continues to drop and will not stabilize, the monitoring location is not conducive to low-flow sampling and conventional purge and sample methods should be performed.

The low flow rate determined during purging will be maintained during the collection of analytical samples. At some sites where geologic heterogeneities are sufficiently different within the screened interval, high conductivity zones may be preferentially sampled.

12. Measure and record field parameters (pH, specific conductance, Eh, dissolved oxygen (DO), temperature, and turbidity) during purging activities. In lieu of measuring all of the parameters, a minimum subset could be limited to pH, specific conductance, and turbidity or DO. A reduction in the field parameter list must be approved by the Project Manager and/or the NYSDEC Project Manager.

    Water quality indicator parameters should be used to determine purging needs prior to sample collection in each well. Stabilization of indicator parameters should be used to determine when formation water is first encountered during purging. In general, the order of stabilization is pH, temperature, and specific conductance, followed by Eh, DO and turbidity. Performance criteria for determination of stabilization should be based on water-level drawdown, pumping rate and equipment specifications for measuring indicator parameters. An in-line flow through cell to continuously measure the above parameters may be used. The in-line device should be disconnected or bypassed during sample collection.

13. Purging will continue until parameters of water quality have stabilized or at least a minimum of three (3) well volumes have been removed. Record measurements for field indicator parameters (including water levels) at regular intervals during purging. The stability of these parameters with time can be used to guide the decision to discontinue purging. Proper adjustments must be made to stabilize the flow rate as soon as possible.

14. Record well purging and sampling data in the Project Field Book or on the Groundwater Field Form (sample attached). Measurements should be taken approximately every three to five minutes, or as merited given the rapidity of change.

15. Purging is complete when field indicator parameters stabilize. Stabilization is achieved after all field parameters have stabilized for three successive readings. Three successive readings should be within ± 0.1 units for pH, ± 3% for specific conductance, ± 10 mV for Eh, and ± 10% for turbidity and dissolved oxygen. These stabilization guidelines are provided for rough estimates only, actual site-specific knowledge may be used to adjust these requirements higher or lower.

    An in-line water quality measurement device (e.g., flow-through cell) should be used to establish the stabilization time for several field parameters on a well-specific basis. Data on pumping rate, drawdown, and volume required for parameter stabilization can be used as a guide for conducting subsequent sampling activities.

16. Collect all project-required samples from the discharge tubing at the flow rate established during purging in accordance with Roux's Groundwater Sample Collection Procedures FOP. **A peristaltic pump and dedicated tubing cannot be used to collect VOC or SVOC project-required samples; only non-organic compounds may be collected using this type of pump.** Continue to maintain a constant flow rate such that the water level is not drawn down as described above. Fill sample containers with minimal turbulence by allowing the ground water to flow from the tubing along the inside walls of the container.

17. If field filtration is recommended as a result of increased turbidity greater than 50 NTU, an in-line filter equipped with a 0.45-micron filter should be utilized. Collection of a filtered sample must be accompanied by an unfiltered sample.

18. Replace the dedicated tubing down the well taking care to avoid contact with the ground surface.

19. Restore the well to its capped/covered and locked condition.

20. Upon purge and sample collection completion, slowly lower the e-line to the bottom of the well/piezometer. Record the total depth to the nearest 0.01-

foot and compare to the previous total depth measurement. If a significant discrepancy exists, re-measure the total depth. Record observations of purge water to determine whether the well/piezometer had become silted due to inactivity or damaged (i.e., well sand within purge water). Upon confirmation of the new total depth and determination of the cause (i.e., siltation or damage), notify the Project Manager following project field activities.

ATTACHMENTS

Groundwater Field Form (sample)

REFERENCES

United States Environmental Protection Agency, 540/S-95/504, 1995. *Low-Flow (Minimal Drawdown) Ground-Water Sampling Procedures*.

Roux FOPs:

007 Calibration and Maintenance of Portable Dissolved Oxygen Meter
008 Calibration and Maintenance of Portable Field pH/Eh Meter
009 Calibration and Maintenance of Portable Field Turbidity Meter
011 Calibration and Maintenance of Portable Photoionization Detector
012 Calibration and Maintenance of Portable Specific Conductance Meter
022 Groundwater Level Measurement
024 Groundwater Sample Collection Procedures
040 Non-Disposable and Non-Dedicated Sampling Equipment Decontamination
046 Sample Labeling, Storage and Shipment Procedures

FOP 031.2

LOW FLOW (MINIMAL DRAWDOWN) GROUNDWATER PURGING & SAMPLING PROCEDURES

GROUNDWATER FIELD FORM

Project Name: Date:

Location: Project No.: Field Team:

Well No.			Diameter (inches):			Sample Time:			
Product Depth (fbTOR):			Water Column (ft):			DTW when sampled:			
DTW (static) (fbTOR):			Casing Volume:			Purpose: ☐ Development ☐ Sample			
Total Depth (fbTOR):			Purge Volume (gal):			Purge Method:			
Time	Water Level (fbTOR)	Acc. Volume (gallons)	pH (units)	Temp. (deg. C)	SC (uS)	Turbidity (NTU)	DO (mg/L)	ORP (mV)	Appearance & Odor
	0 Initial								
	1								
	2								
	3								
	4								
	5								
	6								
	7								
	8								
	9								
	10								
Sample Information:			Date: (if different from above)						
	S1								
	S2								

Well No.			Diameter (inches):			Sample Time:			
Product Depth (fbTOR):			Water Column (ft):			DTW when sampled:			
DTW (static) (fbTOR):			Casing Volume:			Purpose: ☐ Development ☐ Sample			
Total Depth (fbTOR):			Purge Volume (gal):			Purge Method:			
Time	Water Level (fbTOR)	Acc. Volume (gallons)	pH (units)	Temp. (deg. C)	SC (uS)	Turbidity (NTU)	DO (mg/L)	ORP (mV)	Appearance & Odor
	0 Initial								
	1								
	2								
	3								
	4								
	5								
	6								
	7								
	8								
	9								
	10								
Sample Information:			Date: (if different from above)						
	S1								
	S2								

SAMPLE

REMARKS:

Note: All water level measurements are in feet, distance from top of riser.

Volume Calculation

Diam.	Vol. (g/ft)
1"	0.041
2"	0.163
4"	0.653
6"	1.469

Stabilization Criteria

Parameter	Criteria
pH	± 0.1 unit
SC	± 3%
Turbidity	± 10%
DO	± 0.3 mg/L
ORP	± 10 mV

PREPARED BY:

ROUX

FIELD OPERATING PROCEDURES

Management of Investigative-Derived Waste (IDW)

FOP 032.1

MANAGEMENT OF INVESTIGATION-DERIVED WASTE (IDW)

PURPOSE

The purpose of these guidelines is to ensure the proper holding, storage, transportation, and disposal of materials generated from field investigation activities that may contain hazardous wastes. Investigation-derived waste (IDW) includes the following:

- Drill cuttings, discarded soil samples, drilling mud solids, and used sample containers.
- Well development and purge waters and discarded groundwater samples.
- Decontamination waters and associated solids.
- Soiled disposable personal protective equipment (PPE).
- Used disposable sampling equipment.
- Used plastic sheeting and aluminum foil.
- Other equipment or materials that either contain or have been in contact with potentially impacted environmental media.

Because these materials may contain regulated chemical constituents, they must be managed as a solid waste. This management may be terminated if characterization analytical results indicate the absence of these constituents.

PROCEDURE

1. Contain all investigation-derived wastes in Department of Transportation (DOT)-approved 55-gallon drums, roll-off boxes, or other containers suitable for the wastes.

2. Contain wastes from separate borings or wells in separate containers (i.e. do not combine wastes from several borings/wells in a single container, unless it is a container used specifically for transfer purposes, or unless specific permission to do so has been provided by the Roux Field Team Leader. Unused samples from surface sample locations within a given area may be combined.

3. To the extent practicable, separate solids from drilling muds, decontamination waters, and similar liquids. Place solids within separate containers.

4. Transfer all waste containers to a staging area. Access to this area will be controlled. Waste containers must be transferred to the staging area as soon as practicable after the generating activity is complete.

5. Pending transfer, all containers will be covered and secured when not immediately attended.

6. Label all containers with regard to contents, origin, date of generation, using Roux's IDW container label (sample attached). Use indelible ink for all labeling.

7. Complete the Investigative Derived Waste Container Log (sample attached) as waste containers are labeled in order to track and inventory project waste. Leave a copy of the log with the site manager or fax copy to the owner/operator as necessary.

8. Collect samples for waste characterization purposes, or use boring/well sample analytical data for characterization.

9. For wastes determined to be hazardous in character, **be aware of accumulation time limitations**. Coordinate the disposal of these wastes with the plant manager/owner/operator, if applicable.

10. Upon Property Owner, Project Manager, and/or NYSDEC Project Manager approval, dispose of investigation-derived wastes as follows:

- Soil, water, and other environmental media for which analysis does not detect organic constituents, and for which inorganic constituents are at levels that meet the Site's cleanup objectives, may be spread on the Property or otherwise treated as a non-waste material. Disposal quantity and on-site location will be documented on Project Field Books and in the project report submittal.

- Soil, water, and other environmental media in which organic compounds are detected or metals are present above the Site's cleanup objectives will be disposed off-site in accordance with applicable state and federal regulations. Disposal quantity and off-site location will be documented on Project Field Books and in the project report submittal.

- Personal protective equipment, disposable bailers, and similar equipment may be disposed as municipal waste, unless waste characterization results mandate otherwise.

WASTE STORAGE MANAGEMENT

Hazardous materials generated on site should be temporarily stored in a secure location that is under the control of the owner/operator or does not allow for vandalism (i.e., within a locked building structure or within a locked fenced in area). A waste-staging area should be designated on-site by the Project Manager in conjunction with the owner/operator.

ATTACHMENTS

Investigation Derived Waste Container Log (sample)
Investigation Derived Waste Container Label (sample)

REFERENCES

None

MANAGEMENT OF INVESTIGATION-DERIVED WASTE (IDW)

INVESTIGATION DERIVED WASTE CO

Project Name: Location:

Project Number: Personnel:

Container		Contents	Date		Staging Location	Date Sampled	Co
Number	Description		Started	Ended			

SAMPLE

Prepared By:

Signed:

IDW Container Label (sample):

Project Name:
Project Number:

Container I.D.:
Contents/Matrix:
Estimated Quantity:
Date of Generation:
Date of Sample Collection:

Contact Name:
Contact Phone Number:

ROUX

FIELD OPERATING PROCEDURES

Monitoring Well Construction for Hollow Stem Auger Boreholes

FOP 033.0

MONITORING WELL CONSTRUCTION FOR HOLLOW STEM AUGER BOREHOLES

PURPOSE

Wells will be installed within selected boreholes for the purpose of evaluating groundwater characteristics. Well installation procedures depend upon the drilling method. This procedure describes well construction and installation for boreholes drilled using the hollow stem auger method. Refer to the Roux's Hollow Stem Auger Drilling Procedures FOP. Nominal dimensions and materials for the well are shown in the attached well construction diagram.

PROCEDURE

1. Advance borehole in accordance with the Roux's Hollow Stem Auger Drilling Procedure FOP to the required depth. The nominal inside diameter (ID) of the auger stem used should be at least 2 inches larger than the outside diameter (OD) of the riser and screen selected for the well installation. Record the monitoring well construction on the Field Borehole/Monitoring Well Installation Log (sample attached) (see Documentation Requirements for Drilling and Well Installation FOP).

2. Remove the drill rods and center bit/plug from the auger stem and verify borehole depth using weighted measuring tape.

3. In the event of an over drill (i.e. borehole depth is more than one foot greater than desired base of screen depth), use bentonite chips poured through the auger stem to seal the over drilled portion of the borehole. Be sure to note bentonite chip thickness on Field Borehole/Monitoring Well Installation Log.

4. Add a maximum of 6 inches of filter pack material through the auger stem to the base of the borehole. (Note: This step may be avoided if dense non-aqueous phase liquids are suspected to be present and it is desirable to have the screen and/or sump at the base of the borehole.)

5. Measure the length of the well string (i.e. riser and screen), and lower the well string into the well assembly to the desired depth. All measurements during the well installation process will be accurate to 0.1 foot.

6. Surface pour filter pack material into the annulus between the well and the auger stem as the augers are gradually withdrawn from the borehole. Use a weighted tape to confirm that the level of sand is maintained within the augers at all times. Record material volumes used.

7. After filter pack materials are brought to the required level, surface pour bentonite chips or pellets into the annulus between the well and the auger stem to form the filter pack seal. If necessary to avoid bridging, delayed hydration (coated) pellets may be used. Record the volume of material used.

8. Allow the bentonite chips/pellets to adequately hydrate for approximately 30 to 45-minutes. Cap or cover the well top of riser.

9. Mix cement/bentonite grout to a smooth consistency using a centrifugal or reciprocating pump. Do not hand mix. All water used must be potable quality. Record the volume of water used.

10. Fill the remaining annulus between the well and the auger stem with grout by surface pouring or pumping, and begin withdrawal of the auger string. Periodically top the auger string off with additional grout. If groundwater is present within the annulus above the bentonite chip/pellet seal, cement/bentonite grout will be pressure tremie grouted from bottom to top in order to displace groundwater from the borehole.

11. When the auger string is withdrawn, center the upper portion of the well riser within the borehole, and place drums or barricades around the well for protection while the grout cures. Place and lock a security cap (i.e., J-plug) in the opening of the well riser.

12. Leave the well undisturbed for at least 24 hours to allow the grout to cure. If excessive grout fallback occurs, top off as necessary with bentonite chips or additional grout.

13. Construct the surface completion as shown in the attached Typical Monitoring Well Detail (Figure 1). Select flush completions for all locations in active operational or high traffic areas, or in other areas where an above grade completion would be undesirable. Use aboveground completions in all other areas.

14. Place a dedicated lock on the well or protective casing, and keep well locked when not actively attended.

15. Permanently label the well with the appropriate well identifier as determined by the Project Manager or specified in the Work Plan.

16. Permanently mark a survey location on the north side at the top of the casing with a saw cut. Survey all wells for horizontal location and elevation, using a surveyor licensed by the State of New York. Coordinates and elevations will be provided in a coordinate system consistent with previous well surveys at the Site. Information obtained will include location (*x* and *y*) of the well, and elevation (*z*) of the ground surface, the pad, and the top of riser.

17. Develop the well as described in the Roux Field Operating Procedure for Monitoring Well Development.

18. Manage all waste materials generated during well installation and development as described in the Roux Field Operating Procedure for Management of Investigation Derived Waste.

ATTACHMENTS

Field Borehole/Monitoring Well Installation Log (sample)
Typical Monitoring Well Detail (Figure 1)

REFERENCES

Roux FOPs:

015 *Documentation Requirements for Drilling and Well Installation*
026 *Hollow Stem Auger Drilling Procedures*
032 *Management of Investigation Derived Waste*
036 *Monitoring Well Development Procedures*

FOP 033.0

MONITORING WELL CONSTRUCTION FOR HOLLOW STEM AUGER BOREHOLES

FIELD BOREHOLE/MONITORING WELL INSTALLATION LOG

PROJECT:			**Log of Well No.:**	
BORING LOCATION:			ELEVATION AND DATUM:	
DRILLING CONTRACTOR:			DATE STARTED:	DATE FINISHED:
DRILLING METHOD:			TOTAL DEPTH:	SCREEN INTERVAL:
DRILLING EQUIPMENT:			DEPTH TO WATER: FIRST: / COMPL.:	CASING:
SAMPLING METHOD:			LOGGED BY:	
HAMMER WEIGHT:	DROP:		RESPONSIBLE PROFESSIONAL:	REG. NO.

Depth (fbgs)	SAMPLES: Sample No.	Sample	Blows (per 6")	SPT N-Value	Recovery	PID Scan (ppm)	SAMPLE DESCRIPTION USCS Classification: Color, Moisture Condition, % of Soil Type, Plasti... Fabric, Bedding, Weathering/Fracturing, Odor, Oth... SURFACE ELEVATION (FMSL):	WELL CONSTRUCTION DETAILS AND/OR DRILLING REMARKS


SAMPLE

Project No:		Figure

FOP 033.0

MONITORING WELL CONSTRUCTION FOR HOLLOW STEM AUGER BOREHOLES

FIGURE 1



ROUX

FIELD OPERATING PROCEDURES

Monitoring Well Development Procedures

FOP 036.0

MONITORING WELL DEVELOPMENT PROCEDURES

PURPOSE

This procedure describes the methods for the development of newly installed monitoring wells and re-development of existing monitoring wells that have been inactive for an extended period of time (i.e., one year or more). Monitoring wells are developed after installation in order to remove introduced water and drilling fluids, reduce the turbidity of the water, and improve the hydraulic communication between the well and the water-bearing formation. Well development will not commence until the annular grout seal has cured, but will be performed within ten calendar days of well installation.

PROCEDURE

1. All well development will include surge blocking or false bailing with one or more of the following fluid removal methods. Well development activities may include:

    - Bailing
    - Air Lifting
    - Submersible Pumping
    - Other methods as approved by the Roux Field Team Leader.
    - The appropriate water removal method will be selected based on water level depth and anticipated well productivity.

2. Assemble and decontaminate equipment (if necessary), and place in the well. Reference the Roux Field Operating Procedure for Non-Disposable and Non-Dedicated Sampling Equipment Decontamination.

3. Alternate the use of agitation methods with water removal methods, using the former to suspend solids in the well water, and the latter to remove the turbid water. For example, use a vented surge block to agitate the well, moving up and down within the screened interval and then use a pump to clear the well. A bailer may be used for both purposes, by surging with the bailer (false

bailing) for a period within the screened interval, then bailing a volume of water from the well.

4. When using surging methods, initiate this activity gradually, with short (2 to 3 feet) strokes. After several passes across the screened interval, increase the speed and length of the surge strokes.

5. Continue development until the following objectives are achieved:

   - Field parameters stabilize to the following criteria:
     - Dissolved Oxygen: ± 0.3 mg/L
     - Turbidity: ± 10%
     - Specific Conductance: ± 3%
     - ORP: ± 10 mV
     - pH: ± 0.1 units
   - The well will generate non-turbid water during continued pumping typically less than 50 NTU.
   - A minimum of 10 well volumes has been evacuated from the well.
   - In the case of lost water during drilling activities, the volume of water removed exceeds twice the volume of water lost to the formation during the drilling process, as indicated by the water balance.

6. Document the development methods, volumes, field parameter measurements, and other observations on the attached Groundwater Well Development Log (sample attached). Roux

ATTACHMENTS

Groundwater Well Development Log (sample)

REFERENCES

Roux FOPs:

040 Non-Disposable and Non-Dedicated Sampling Equipment Decontamination

MONITORING WELL DEVELOPMENT PROCEDURES

GROUNDWATER WELL DEVELOPMENT LOG

Project Name:	WELL NUMBER:
Project Number:	Sample Matrix:
Client:	Weather:

WELL DATA: DATE: TIME:

Casing Diameter (inches):	Casing Material:
Screened interval (fbTOR):	Screen Material:
Static Water Level (fbTOR):	Bottom Depth (fbTOR):
Elevation Top of Well Riser (fmsl):	Datum Ground Surface: Mean Sea Level
Elevation Top of Screen (fmsl):	Stick-up (feet):

PURGING DATA: DATE: START TIME: END TIME:

VOLUME CALCULATION:

(A) Total Depth of Well (fbTOR):	
(B) Casing Diameter (inches):	
(C) Static Water Level (fbTOR):	
One Well Volume (V, gallons): V = 0.0408 [$(B)^2$ x { (A) - (C) }]	

*Use the table to the right to calculate one well volum

Volume Calculation

Well Diameter	Volume gal/ft
	.041
3"	0.
"	0.653
	1.020
6	1.469
8"	2.611

Stabilization Criteria

er	Criteria
DO	+/- 0.3 mg/L
Turbidity	+/- 10%
SC	+/- 3%
ORP	+/- 10 mV
pH	+/- 0.1 unit

Field Personnel:

EVACUATION STABILI TION A:

Time	Water Level (fbTOR)	Accumulated Volume		Tempe rees	Co tance S/cm)	Turbidity (NTU)	DO (mg/L)	ORP (mV)	Appearance & Odor

REMARKS:

PREPARED BY:

SAMPLE

ROUX

FIELD OPERATING PROCEDURES

Non-Aqueous Phase Liquid (NAPL) Detection and Sample Collection Procedure

FOP 039.1

NON-AQUEOUS PHASE LIQUID DETECTION AND SAMPLE COLLECTION PROCEDURE

PURPOSE

This procedure describes the methods to detect the presence and sample collection of Non-Aqueous Phase Liquid (NAPL) in groundwater monitoring wells prior to purging activities. If NAPL is suspected, all activities should be performed with proper personnel protective equipment (PPE).

DETECTION PROCEDURE

Groundwater monitoring wells suspected of containing NAPL will be sounded with an interface probe, or similar device, in accordance with the following.

1. Inspect the well/piezometer for signs of vandalism or damage and record condition on the Groundwater Field Form (sample attached). Specifically, inspect the integrity of the following: concrete surface seal, lock, protective casing and well cover, well casing and J-plug/cap. Report any irregular findings to the Project Manager.

2. Unlock and remove the well protective cap or cover and place on clean plastic to avoid introducing foreign material into the well.

3. Calibrate the photoionization detector (PID) in accordance with the Roux Field Operating Procedure for Calibration and Maintenance of Portable Photoionization Detector.

4. Monitor the well for organic vapors using a PID, as per the Work Plan. If a reading of greater than 5 ppm is recorded, the well should be allowed to vent until levels drop below 5 ppm before proceeding with purging. Record PID measurements on the Groundwater Field Form (sample attached).

5. Slowly lower the interface probe down the well, avoiding contact with the well casing. Upon contact with the static liquid level in the well, the interface

probe will signal contact with an audible tone and/or a visible light mounted inside the reel.

Note:

- If the signal is constant, the probe is in contact with groundwater; and
- If the signal oscillates, the probe is in contact with NAPL.

6. Record the depth, type of liquid encountered (if applicable) and any other related information in the Project Field Book and on a Groundwater Field Form (sample attached).

7. Slowly lower the interface probe to the well bottom. Record the depth(s) and type(s) of any additional phases encountered.

8. Slowly raise the interface probe to the surface, avoiding contact with the well casing.

9. Place the interface probe and storage reel in a plastic bag for subsequent decontamination in accordance with the Roux's Field Operating Procedure for Non-Disposable and Non-Dedicated Sampling Equipment Decontamination.

SAMPLE COLLECTION PROCEDURE

All NAPL samples collected from groundwater monitoring wells will be collected in accordance with the following.

1. Place plastic sheeting on the ground around the well to prevent equipment from coming in contact with soil and also to prevent the surface transmission of NAPL.

2. All sampling personnel will don the appropriate PPE in accordance with the site health and safety plan.

3. Measure the static water level and NAPL level(s) using an interface probe as described in the previous section.

4. Determine depth to NAPL layer and thickness. Record appropriate data in the Project Field Book and on a Groundwater Sample Collection Log form (sample attached).

DNAPL SAMPLE COLLECTION

The following procedure should be used in sampling dense, heavier than water NAPL (i.e., with a high specific gravity) (DNAPL).

1. Collect samples using a translucent double check valve bailer (i.e., a bailer with a ball valve on both the top and bottom) constructed of Teflon, polyethylene or PVC which is connected to polypropylene rope for lowering into the well. All non-dedicated equipment shall be decontaminated in accordance with the Roux Field Operating Procedure for Non-Disposable and Non-Dedicated Sampling Equipment Decontamination.

2. Remove wrapping (i.e., aluminum foil, manufacturers packaging etc.), attach bailer to new polypropylene rope and slowly lower the bailer until it contacts the well bottom.

3. Slowly raise and lower the bailer to create a gentle surging action thereby inducing DNAPL into the bailer past the bottom ball valve.

4. Slowly raise the bailer to the surface. Avoid contact of the bailer line with the well casing and/or ground surface.

5. Observe the DNAPL through the translucent wall of the bailer and check if the immiscible phases have separated. If not, allow the bailer to stand upright until the phases have separated.

6. Carefully attach a bottom-emptying device with stopcock to the bottom of the bailer and discharge the DNAPL gently down the side of the sample bottle to minimize turbulence.

7. Repeat steps 2 through 6 until a sufficient sample volume is obtained.

8. Cap the sample bottle and label, preserve and ship samples in accordance with the Roux Field Operating Procedure for Sample Labeling, Storage and Shipment Procedures.

9. Place the used plastic sheeting, bailer and polyethylene rope in a plastic bag for subsequent decontamination or disposal.

10. Document the sampling procedures and related information in the Project Field Book and on a Groundwater Sample Collection Log form (sample attached).

LNAPL SAMPLE COLLECTION

The following procedure should be used in sampling lighter than water NAPL (i.e., with a low specific gravity) (LNAPL).

1. Collect samples using a translucent double check valve bailer (i.e., a bailer with a ball valve on both the top and bottom) constructed of Teflon, polyethylene or PVC which is connected to polypropylene rope for lowering into the well. All non-dedicated equipment shall be decontaminated in accordance with the Roux Field Operating Procedure for Non-Disposable and Non-Dedicated Sampling Equipment Decontamination.

2. Remove wrapping (i.e., aluminum foil, manufacturers packaging etc.), attach bailer to new polypropylene rope and slowly lower the bailer down the well into the immiscible phase of LNAPL. Care should be taken to lower the bailer just through the LNAPL layer, but not significantly down into the underlying groundwater.

3. Slowly raise the bailer to the surface. Avoid contact of the bailer line with the well casing and/or ground surface.

4. Observe the LNAPL through the translucent wall of the bailer and check if the immiscible phases have separated. If not, allow the bailer to stand upright until the phases have separated.

5. Carefully attach a bottom-emptying device with stopcock to the bottom of the bailer and decant the denser groundwater portion of the bailer contents into a DOT-approved 55-gallon drum for proper disposal.

6. Discharge the LNAPL gently down the side of the sample bottle to minimize turbulence.

7. Repeat steps 2 through 6 until a sufficient sample volume is obtained.

8. Cap the sample bottle and label, preserve and ship samples in accordance with the Roux Field Operating Procedure for Sample Labeling, Storage and Shipment Procedures.

9. Place the used plastic sheeting, bailer and polyethylene rope in a plastic bag for subsequent decontamination or disposal.

10. Document the sampling procedures and related information in the Project Field Book and on a Groundwater Sample Collection Log form (sample attached).

ATTACHMENTS

Groundwater Well Purge & Sample Collection Log (sample)

REFERENCES

Roux FOPs:

010 *Calibration and Maintenance of Portable Flame Ionization Detector*
011 *Calibration and Maintenance of Portable Photoionization Detector*
040 *Non-Disposable and Non-Dedicated Sampling Equipment Decontamination*
046 *Sample Labeling, Storage and Shipment Procedures*

FOP 039.1

NON-AQUEOUS PHASE LIQUID DETECTION AND SAMPLE COLLECTION PROCEDURE

GROUNDWATER WE
PURGE & SAMPLE COLLECTION L

Project Name:	WELL NUMBER:
Project Number:	Sample Matrix:
Client:	Weather:

WELL DATA: DATE: TIME:

Casing Diameter (inches):	Casing Material:
Screened interval (fbTOR):	Screen Material:
Static Water Level (fbTOR):	Bottom Depth (fbTOR):
Elevation Top of Well Riser (fmsl):	Ground Surface Elevation (fmsl):
Elevation Top of Screen (fmsl):	Stick-up (feet):

PURGING DATA: DATE: START TIME: END TIME:

Method:	Is purge equipement dedicated to sample location? yes
No. of Well Volumes Purged:	Was well purged to dryness? yes
Standing Volume (gallons):	Was well purged below top of sand pack? yes
Volume Purged (gallons):	Condition of Well:
Purge Rate (gal/min):	Field Personnel:

VOLUME CALCULATION:

(A) Total Depth of Well (fbTOR):	
(B) Casing Diameter (inches):	
(C) Static Water Level (fbTOR):	
One Well Volume (V, gallons): $V = 0.0408 [(B)^2 \times \{ (A) - (C) \}]$	

* Use the table to the right to calculate one well volume by subtracting C from A, then multiplying by the volume calculation in the table per well diamter

Volume Calculation

Well Diameter	Volume gal/ft
1"	0.041
2"	0.163
3"	0.367
4"	0.653
5"	1.020
6"	1.469

Stabilization Criteria

Parameter	Criteria
pH	+/- 0.1 un
SC	+/- 3%
Turbidity	+/- 10%
DO	+/- 0.3 m
ORP	+/- 10 mV

EVACUATION STABILIZATION TEST DATA:

Time	Water Level (fbTOR)	Accumulated Volume (gallons)	pH (units)	Temperature (degrees C)	Specific Conductance (uS/cm)	Turbidity (NTU)	DO (mg/L)	ORP (mV)	Appearance Odor
		initial							

SAMPLING DATA: DATE: START TIME: END TIME:

Method:	Is sampling equipement dedicated to sample location? yes
Initial Water Level (fbTOR):	Was well sampled to dryness? yes
Final Water Level (fbTOR):	Was well sampled below top of sand pack? yes
Air Temperature (°F):	Field Personnel:
Source and type of water used in the field for QC purposes:	

PHYSICAL & CHEMICAL DATA:

DESCRIPTION OF WATER SAMPLE		
Odor		
Color		
NAPL		
Contains Sediment?	yes	no

WATER QUALITY MEASUREMENTS							
Sample	Time	pH (units)	TEMP. (°C)	SC (uS)	TURB. (NTU)	DO (ppm)	ORP (mV)
initial							
final							

REMARKS:

PREPARED BY:

SAMPLE

ROUX

FIELD OPERATING PROCEDURES

Non-Disposable and Non-Dedicated Sampling Equipment Decontamination

FOP 040.1

NON-DISPOSABLE AND NON-DEDICATED SAMPLING EQUIPMENT DECONTAMINATION

PURPOSE

This procedure is to be used for the decontamination of non-disposable and non-dedicated equipment used in the collection of environmental samples. The purpose of this procedure is to remove chemical constituents from previous samples from the sampling equipment. This prevents these constituents from being transferred to later samples, or being transported out of controlled areas.

HEALTH AND SAFETY

Nitric acid is a strong oxidizing agent as well as being extremely corrosive to the skin and eyes. Solvents such as acetone, methanol, hexane and isopropanol are flammable liquids. Limited contact with skin can cause irritation, while prolonged contact may result in dermatitis. Eye contact with the solvents may cause irritation or temporary corneal damage. Safety glasses with protective side shields, neoprene or nitrile gloves and long-sleeve protective clothing must be worn whenever acids and solvents are being used.

PROCEDURE – GENERAL EQUIPMENT

Bailers, split-spoons, steel or brass split-spoon liners, Shelby tubes, submersible pumps, soil sampling knives, and similar equipment will be decontaminated as described below.

1. Wash equipment thoroughly with non-phosphate detergent and potable-quality water, using a brush where possible to remove any particulate matter or surface film. If the sampler is visibly coated with tars or other phase-separated hydrocarbons, pre-wash with acetone or isopropanol, or by steam cleaning. Decontamination will adhere to the following procedure:

a. Rinse with potable-quality water; if the sampling equipment is very oily and use of a solvent is necessary, rinse with pesticide-grade isopropanol.

b. Rinse with potable-quality water;

c. Rinse with deionized water demonstrated analyte-free, such as distilled water;

d. Air dry; and

e. Store in a clean area or wrap in aluminum foil (shiny side out) or new plastic sheeting as necessary to ensure cleanliness.

2. All non-dedicated well evacuation equipment, such as submersible pumps and bailers, which are put into the well, must be decontaminated following the procedures listed above. All evacuation tubing must be dedicated to individual wells (i.e., tubing cannot be reused). However, if submersible pump discharge tubing must be reused, the tubing and associated sample valves or flow-through cells used in well purging or pumping tests will be decontaminated as described below:

a. Pump a mixture of potable water and a non-phosphate detergent through the tubing, sample valves and flow cells, using the submersible pump.

b. Steam clean or detergent wash the exterior of the tubing, sample valves, flow cells and pump.

c. Pump potable water through the tubing, sample valve, and flow cell until no indications of detergent (e.g. foaming) are observed.

d. Double rinse the exterior of the tubing with potable water.

e. Rinse the exterior of the tubing with distilled water.

f. Store in a clean area or wrap the pump and tubing assembly in new plastic sheeting as necessary to ensure cleanliness until ready for use.

3. All unused sample bottles and sampling equipment must be maintained in such a manner that there is no possibility of casual contamination.

4. Manage all waste materials generated during decontamination procedures as described in the Roux Field Operating Procedure for Management of Investigation Derived Waste.

PROCEDURE – SUBMERSIBLE PUMPS

Submersible pumps used in well purging or purging tests will be decontaminated thoroughly each day before use as well as between well locations as described below:

Daily Decontamination Procedure:

1. Pre-rinse: Operate the pump in a basin containing 8 to 10 gallons of potable water for 5 minutes and flush other equipment with potable water for 5 minutes.

2. Wash: Operate the pump in 8 to 10 gallons of non-phosphate detergent solution (i.e., Alconox) for 5 minutes and flush other equipment with fresh detergent solution for 5 minutes.

3. Rinse: Operate the pump in a basin of potable water for 5 minutes and flush other equipment with potable water for 5 minutes.

4. Disassemble pump.

5. Wash pump parts with a non-phosphate detergent solution (i.e., Alconox). Scrub all pump parts with a test tube brush or similar device.

6. Rinse pump with potable water.

7. Rinse the inlet screen, the shaft, the suction interconnection, the motor lead assembly, and the stator housing with distilled/deionized water.

8. Rinse the impeller assembly with 1% nitric acid (HNO_3).

9. Rinse the impeller assembly with isopropanol.

10. Rinse the impeller assembly with distilled/deionized water.

Between Wells Decontamination Procedure:

1. Pre-rinse: Operate the pump in a basin containing 8 to 10 gallons of potable water for 5 minutes.

2. Wash: Operate the pump in 8 to 10 gallons of non-phosphate detergent solution (i.e., Alconox) for 5 minutes.

3. Rinse: Operate the pump in a basin of potable water for 5 minutes.

4. Final rinse the pump in distilled/deionized water.

ATTACHMENTS

None

REFERENCES

Roux FOPs:

032 Management of Investigation-Derived Waste

ROUX

FIELD OPERATING PROCEDURES

Overburden Casing Installation Procedure

FOP 041.0

OVERBURDEN CASING INSTALLATION PRCEDURES

PURPOSE

This guideline presents a method for the installation of casing to prevent downhole contamination of hazardous compounds from shallow overburden material. This method is particularly applicable where contaminated strata overlie uncontaminated strata of lower permeability. The method can be used with hollow stem auger drilling or rotary wash drilling (where temporary casing is used). This guideline also presents a method for the evaluation of the integrity of the grout seal around an overburden casing, which has been positioned into a confining layer.

CASING INSTALLATION PROCEDURE

1. Advance boring by appropriate drilling methods, through the contaminated strata a short distance (1 to 2 feet) into an underlying lower permeable unit.

2. Calculate the volume of the borehole base on the bit/auger head or steel casing diameter plus 10% and determine the volume of grout to be emplaced. Generally, the total mixed volume is the borehole volume plus 20%.

3. Identify the equipment to be used for the preparation and mixing of the grout. Ensure the volume of the tanks to be used for mixing has been measured adequately. Document these volumes on the Field Borehole/Monitoring Well Installation Log (sample attached).

4. Identify the source of the water to be used for the grout and determine its suitability for use. In particular, water with high sulfate, or chloride levels or heated water should not be used. These types of waters can cause operational difficulties or modify the set-up for the grout.

5. Identify the equipment to be used for emplacing the grout. Ensure that the pump to be used has adequate pressure to enable complete return to surface.

6. Identify the volumes to be pumped at each stage or in total if only one stage is to be used.

7. Begin mixing the grout to be emplaced. Grout specifications generally have mixture ratios as follows:

Grout Slurry Composition (% Weight)		
1.5 to 3.0%	-	Bentonite (Quick Gel)
40 to 60 %	-	Cement (Portland Type I)
40 to 60 %	-	Potable Water

8. Record the type and amount of materials used during the mixing operation. Ensure the ratios are within specifications tolerance.

9. Begin pumping the grout through the return line bypass system to confirm all pump and surface fittings are secure.

10. Remove drill rods and center plug (or clean out temporary casing) and insert a tremie pipe to the bottom of the boring. Pump the cement/bentonite grout slurry through the tremie pipe until grout return is observed at grade and no bridging of the slurry is evident. Slowly withdraw the augers (or casing) from the boring while maintaining the grout level at grade. Record the times and volumes emplaced on the Field Borehole/Monitoring Well Installation Log (sample attached).

11. Document the return circulation of grout. This may be facilitated by using a colored dye or other tagging method if a mudded borehole condition exists prior to grout injection.

12. Place a drillable plug (preferably untreated wood) at the downhole end of black steel or other appropriate casing, insert the casing through the slurry, and seat it into the underlying formation.

13. Allow grout to set for 24 to 48 hours.

HYDROSTATIC TESTING OF CASING PROCEDURE

1. Following adequate setting time for the grout, drill through the grout inside the casing until the top of the confining layer has been reached (refer to Field Borehole/Monitoring Well Installation Log during casing installation).

2. Fill the casing with potable water and measure the water level within the casing with a water level indicator to the nearest 0.01-foot and record the measurement on the Pipe Leakage Testing Log (sample attached).

3. Monitor the water level for 30 minutes and record the final water level within the casing with a water level indicator to the nearest 0.01-foot and record the measurement on the Pipe Leakage Testing Log (sample attached).

4. Should the water level drop more than the allowable volume calculated using the following equation, the seal shall be regrouted at the Subcontractor's expense.

$$Q_{(allowable)} = 2.75\ DKH$$

Where:
$Q_{(allowable)}$ = Flow rate during a 30 minute test
D = Inside diameter of overburden casing
K = Confining layer hydraulic conductivity (see Table 1)
H = Head of water applied

Note: Be sure to use consistent units of measure.

ATTACHMENTS

Field Borehole/Monitoring Well Installation Log (sample)
Pipe Leakage Testing Log (sample)

Table 1 – Range of Values of Hydraulic Conductivity and Permeability

REFERENCES

Freeze, R.A. and J.A. Cherry. 1979. *Groundwater*. Prentice-Hall, Inc., Englewood, New Jersey, 604 p.

Roux FOPs:

018 Drilling and Excavation Equipment Decontamination Protocols

FIELD BOREHOLE/MONITORING WELL INSTALLATION LOG

PROJECT:		**Log of Well No.:**		
BORING LOCATION:		ELEVATION AND DATUM:		
DRILLING CONTRACTOR:		DATE STARTED:		DATE FINISHED:
DRILLING METHOD:		TOTAL DEPTH:		SCREEN INTERVAL:
DRILLING EQUIPMENT:		DEPTH TO WATER:	FIRST: / COMPL.:	CASING:
SAMPLING METHOD:		LOGGED BY:		
HAMMER WEIGHT:	DROP:	RESPONSIBLE PROFESSIONAL:		REG. NO.

Depth (fbgs)	SAMPLES: Sample No.	Sample	Blows (per 6")	SPT N-Value	Recovery	PID Scan (ppm)	SAMPLE DESCRIPTION USCS Classification: Color, Moisture Condition, % of Soil Type, T… Fabric, Bedding, Weathering/Fracturing, Odor, Other SURFACE ELEVATION (FMSL):	WELL CONSTRUCTION DETAILS AND/OR DRILLING REMARKS

SAMPLE

Project No:		Figure

PIPE LEAKAGE TESTING LOG

Project: Location:

Client: Date:

Job No: BM Personnel:

Location Description	Test Procedure (Air or Hydrostatic)	QC Initials	Readings				Elapsed Time (minutes)	Change in Pressure/ Water Level (psi/fbMP)	Pass/Fail	Passing Retest Date	Comments/Notes
			Start		End						
			Pressure or Water Level	Time	Pressure or Water Level	Time					

SAMPLE

Prepared By: Date:

TABLE 1: (From Freeze and Cherry, page 29.)

Table 2.2 Range of Values of Hydraulic Conductivity and Permeability




Table 2.3 Conversion Factors for Permeability and Hydraulic Conductivity Units

	Permeability, k*			Hydraulic conductivity, K		
	cm^2	ft^2	darcy	m/s	ft/s	U.S. gal/day/ft^2
cm^2	1	1.08×10^{-3}	1.01×10^{8}	9.80×10^{2}	3.22×10^{3}	1.85×10^{9}
ft^2	9.29×10^{2}	1	9.42×10^{10}	9.11×10^{5}	2.99×10^{6}	1.71×10^{12}
darcy	9.87×10^{-9}	1.06×10^{-11}	1	9.66×10^{-6}	3.17×10^{-5}	1.82×10^{1}
m/s	1.02×10^{-3}	1.10×10^{-6}	1.04×10^{5}	1	3.28	2.12×10^{6}
ft/s	3.11×10^{-4}	3.35×10^{-7}	3.15×10^{4}	3.05×10^{-1}	1	6.46×10^{5}
U.S. gal/day/ft^2	5.42×10^{-10}	5.83×10^{-13}	5.49×10^{-2}	4.72×10^{-7}	1.55×10^{-6}	1

*To obtain k in ft^2, multiply k in cm^2 by 1.08×10^{-3}.

ROUX

FIELD OPERATING PROCEDURES

Sample Labeling, Storage, and Shipment Procedures

FOP 046.0

SAMPLE LABELING, STORAGE & SHIPMENT PROCEDURES

PURPOSE

The collection and analysis of samples of environmental media, including soils, groundwater, surface water, and sediment, are the central activities of the field investigation. These samples must be properly labeled to preserve its identity, and properly stored and shipped in a manner that preserves its integrity and chain of custody. This procedure presents methods for these activities.

SAMPLE LABELING PROCEDURE

1. Assign each sample retained for analysis a unique 9-digit alphanumeric identification code or as indicated in the Project Work Plan. Typically, this code will be formatted as follows:

Sample I.D. Example: GW051402047	
GW	**Sample matrix** GW = groundwater; SW = surface water; SUB = subsurface soil; SS = surface soil; SED = sediment; L = leachate; A = air
05	Month of sample collection
14	Day of sample collection
02	Year of sample collection
047	Consecutive sample number

2. Consecutive sample numbers will indicate the individual sample's sequence in the total set of samples collected during the investigation/sampling event. The sample number above, for example, would indicate the 47th sample retained for analysis during the field investigation, collected on May 14, 2002.

3. Affix a non-removable (when wet) label to each sample container. The following information will be written on the label with black or blue ink that will not smudge when wet:

   - Project number
   - Sample ID (see Step 1 above)
   - Date of sample collection
   - Time of sample collection (military time only)
   - Specify "grab" or "composite" sample with an "X"
   - Sampler initials
   - Preservative(s) (if applicable)
   - Analytes for analysis (if practicable)

4. Record all sample label information in the Project Field Book and on a Sample Summary Collection Log (see attached samples), keyed to the sample identification number. In addition, add information regarding the matrix, sample location, depth, etc. to provide a complete description of the sample.

SAMPLE STORAGE PROCEDURE

1. Immediately after collection, placement in the proper container, and labeling, place samples to be retained for chemical analysis into resealable plastic bags.

2. Place bagged samples into an ice chest filled approximately half-full of double bagged ice. Blue ice is not an acceptable substitute for ice.

3. Maintain samples in an ice chest or in an alternative location (e.g. sample refrigerator) as approved by the Roux Field Team Leader until time of shipment. Periodically drain melt-water off coolers and replenish ice as necessary.

4. Ship samples on a daily basis, unless otherwise directed by the Roux Field Team Leader.

5. Maintain appropriate custody procedures on coolers and other sample storage containers at all times. These procedures are discussed in detail in the Project Quality Assurance Project Plan, Monitoring Plan or Work Plan.

6. Samples shall be kept in a secure location locked and controlled (i.e., locked building or fenced area) so that only the Project Field Team Leader has access to the location or under the constant visual surveillance of the same.

SAMPLE SHIPPING PROCEDURE

1. Fill out the chain-of-custody form completely (see attached sample) with all relevant information. The white original goes with the samples and should be placed in a resealable plastic bag and taped inside the sample cooler lid; the sampler should retain the copy.

2. Place a layer of inert cushioning material such as bubble pack in the bottom of cooler.

3. Place each bottle in a bubble wrap sleeve or other protective wrap. To the extent practicable, then place each bottle in a resealable plastic bag.

4. Open a garbage bag (or similar) into a cooler and place sample bottles into the garbage bag (or similar) with volatile organic analysis (VOA) vials near the center of the cooler.

5. Pack bottles with ice in plastic bags. At packing completion, cooler should be at least 50 percent ice, by volume. Coolers should be completely filled, so that samples do not move excessively during shipping.

6. Duct tape (or similar) cooler drain closed and wrap cooler completely in two or more locations to secure lid, specifically covering the hinges of the cooler.

7. Place laboratory label address identifying cooler number (i.e., 1 of 4, 2 of 4 etc.) and overnight delivery waybill sleeves on cooler lid or handle sleeve (Federal Express).

8. Sign the custody seal tape with an indelible soft-tip marker and place over the duct tape across the front and back seam between the lid and cooler body.

9. Cover the signed custody seal tape with an additional wrap of transparent strapping tape.

10. Place "Fragile" and "This Side Up" labels on all four sides of the cooler. "This Side Up" labels are yellow labels with a black arrow with the arrowhead pointing toward the cooler lid.

11. For coolers shipped by overnight delivery, retain a copy of the shipping waybill, and attach to the chain-of-custody documentation.

ATTACHMENTS

Soil/Sediment Sample Summary Collection Log (sample)
Groundwater/Surface Water Sample Summary Collection Log (sample)
Wipe Sample Summary Collection Log (sample)
Air Sample Summary Collection Log (sample)
Chain-Of-Custody Form (sample)

REFERENCES

None

AIR SAMPLE COLLECTION SUMMARY LOG

Field ID	Location	QC Type	Analytical Parameters	Containers	Date	Time	Sampler Initials	Comments (e.g. problems encountered, ref. to variance, location changes, important observations or descriptions, etc.)

Notes:

1. See QAPP for sampling frequency and actual number of QC samples.
2. SC - Summa Canister.
3. TB - Tedlar Bag (quantity).
4. No Matrix Spike, Matrix Spike Duplicate, Matrix Spike Blanks, Field Duplicates, Field Blanks or Rinsates collected for air samples.

SAMPLE

SAMPLE LABELING, STORAGE & SHIPMENT PROCEDURES

CHAIN OF CUSTODY RECORD

Project No.	Project Name					Number of Containers	VOCs	SVOCs	Metals						REMARKS
Samplers (Signature)															
No.	Date	Time	comp	grab	Sample Identification										
Possible Hazard Identification: ☐ Non-hazard ☐ Flammable ☐ Skin Irritant ☐ Poison B ☐ Unknown										Sample Disposal: ☐ Return to Client ☐ Disposal by Lab ☐ Archive _____(mos.)					
Turnaround Time Required: Normal ☐ Rush ☐										QC Level: I. ☐ II. ☐ III. ☐ Project Specific (specify):_____					
Relinquished by: (Signature)		Date	Time	Relinquished by: (Signature)							Date	Time	REMARKS:		
Relinquished by: (Signature)		Date	Time	Relinquished by: (Signature)							Date	Time			

SAMPLE

WIPE SAMPLE COLLECTION SUMMARY LOG

Field ID	Location	QC Type	Analytical Parameters	Containers	Date	Time	Sampler Initials	Comments (e.g. problems encountered, ref. to variance, location changes, important observations or descriptions, etc.)

Notes:

1. See QAPP for sampling frequency and actual number of QC samples.
2. CWM - clear, wide-mouth glass jar with Teflon-lined cap.
3. FD - Field Duplicate.
4. FB - Field Blank.
5. RS - Rinsate.
6. No Matrix Spike, Matrix Spike Duplicate or Matrix Spike Blanks for wipe samples.
7. Rinsates should be taken at a rate of 1 per day during wipe sampling. Only take when reusable equipment is used.
8. Wipe sample FB collected by wiping unused glove and any other sampling equipment coming into contact with sampled surface) with prepared gauze pad and place in sample jar. Take at a rate of 1 FB per 20 samples.
9. Wipe sample FDs taken adjacent to original sample at a rate of 1 FD per 20 samples.
10. **EH :** Extract and Hold

SAMPLE

AIR SAMPLE COLLECTION SUMMARY LOG

Field ID	Location	QC Type	Analytical Parameters	Containers	Date	Time	Sampler Initials	Comments (e.g. problems encountered, ref. to variance, location changes, important observations or descriptions, etc.)

Notes:

1. See QAPP for sampling frequency and actual number of QC samples.
2. SC - Summa Canister.
3. TB - Tedlar Bag (quantity).
4. No Matrix Spike, Matrix Spike Duplicate, Matrix Spike Blanks, Field Duplicates, Field Blanks or Rinsates collected for air samples.

SAMPLE

SAMPLE LABELING, STORAGE & SHIPMENT PROCEDURES

CHAIN OF CUSTODY RECORD

Project No.	Project Name					Number of Containers	VOCs	SVOCs	Metals						REMARKS
Samplers (Signature)															
No.	Date	Time	comp	grab	Sample Identification										

Possible Hazard Identification:
☐ Non-hazard ☐ Flammable ☐ Skin Irritant ☐ Poison B ☐ Unknown

Sample Disposal:
☐ Return to Client ☐ Disposal by Lab ☐ Archive _____(mos.)

Turnaround Time Required:
Normal ☐ Rush ☐

QC Level:
I. ☐ II. ☐ III. ☐ Project Specific (specify):_____

Relinquished by: (Signature)	Date	Time	Relinquished by: (Signature)	Date	Time	REMARKS:
Relinquished by: (Signature)	Date	Time	Relinquished by: (Signature)	Date	Time	

SAMPLE

ROUX

FIELD OPERATING PROCEDURES

Screening of Soil Samples for Organic Vapors During Drilling Activities

FOP 047.0

SCREENING OF SOIL SAMPLES FOR ORGANIC VAPORS DURING DRILLING ACTIVITIES

PURPOSE

This procedure is used to screen soil samples for the presence of volatile organic constituents (VOCs) using a field organic vapor meter. These meters will be either photoionization detector (PID) or flame-ionization detector (FID) type. This screening is performed at the drilling and sampling location as a procedure for ensuring the health and safety of personnel at the site and to identify potentially contaminated soil samples for laboratory analysis. All soil samples will be field screened to provide a vertical profile of soil contamination by volatile organic substances.

PROCEDURE

1. Calibrate air-monitoring equipment in accordance with the appropriate Roux's Field Operating Procedures or manufacturers recommendations for calibration of field meters.

2. Collect split-spoon (or other sampler) samples in accordance with Roux's Split Spoon Sampling Procedure FOP.

3. When the split-spoon or other sampler is opened or accessed, shave a thin layer of material from the entire length of the core.

4. Scan the core visually and with the PID or FID noting stratification, visible staining, or other evidence of contamination.

5. Based on this initial scan of the sample, collect approximately 100 milliliters (ml) of soil using a decontaminated or dedicated stainless steel spatula, scoop, or equivalent. Place this soil into a labeled wide-mouth glass jar approximately ½ to ¾ full and seal with aluminum foil and a screw top cap. Alternatively, the soil may be placed into a clean, re-sealable plastic bag and sealed. Be sure to leave some headspace above the soil sample within the sealed container.

6. Place field screening sample (i.e., jar or bag) in a location where the ambient temperature is at least 70° Fahrenheit.

7. Leave the field screening sample bag for at least 30 minutes, but no more than 60 minutes.

8. Carefully remove the screw top cap from the jar and slowly insert the tip of the organic vapor meter (PID or FID) through the aluminum foil seal making the smallest hole possible. Alternatively, unseal a portion of the plastic bag just big enough to insert the probe of a calibrated PID.

9. Record the maximum reading in parts per million by volume (ppmv) on the Field Borehole Log or Field Borehole/Monitoring Well Installation Log form (see attached samples) (see Documentation Requirements for Drilling and Well Installation FOP), at the depth interval corresponding to the depth of sample collection.

ATTACHMENTS

Field Borehole Log (sample)
Field Borehole/Monitoring Well Installation Log (sample)

REFERENCES

Roux FOPs:

010 Calibration and Maintenance of Portable Flame Ionization Detector
011 Calibration and Maintenance of Portable Photoionization Detector
015 Documentation Requirements for Drilling and Well Installation
058 Split Spoon Sampling Procedures

FOP 047.0

SCREENING OF SOIL SAMPLES FOR ORGANIC VAPORS DURING DRILLING ACTIVITIES

FIELD BOREHOLE LOG

PROJECT:									**Log of Boring No.:**				
BORING LOCATION:									ELEVATION AND DATUM:				
DRILLING CONTRACTOR:									DATE STARTED:			DATE FINISHED:	
DRILLING METHOD:									TOTAL DEPTH:			SCREEN INTERVAL:	
DRILLING EQUIPMENT:									DEPTH TO WATER:	FIRST:	COMPL.:	CASING:	
SAMPLING METHOD:									LOGGED BY:				
HAMMER WEIGHT:				DROP:					RESPONSIBLE PROFESSIONAL:			REG. NO.	
Depth (fbgs)	SAMPLES					PID Scan (ppm)	SAMPLE DESCRIPTION USCS Classification: Color, Moisture Condition, % of Soil Type, Texture, Plasticity, Fabric, Bedding, Weathering/Fracturing, Odor, Other SURFACE ELEVATION (FMSL):					REMARKS	
	Sample No.	Sample	Blows (per 6")	SPT N-Value	Recovery								

SAMPLE

ABANDONMENT:			
Volume of cement/bentonite grout required:	$V = \pi r^2 \times 7.48 =$	gallons	borehole depth = ft.
Volume of cement/bentonite grout installed:		gallons	borehole diameter = ft.
Has bridging of grout occurred?	☐ yes ☐ no		borehole radius = ft.
If yes, explain resolution:			
Method of installation:			
Project No:			Figure

FOP 047.0

SCREENING OF SOIL SAMPLES FOR ORGANIC VAPORS DURING DRILLING ACTIVITIES

FIELD BOREHOLE/MONITORING WELL INSTALLATION LOG

PROJECT:												Log of Well No.:		
BORING LOCATION:												ELEVATION AND DATUM:		
DRILLING CONTRACTOR:												DATE STARTED:		DATE FINISHED:
DRILLING METHOD:												TOTAL DEPTH:		SCREEN INTERVAL:
DRILLING EQUIPMENT:												DEPTH TO WATER:	FIRST: COMPL.:	CASING:
SAMPLING METHOD:												LOGGED BY:		
HAMMER WEIGHT:					DROP:							RESPONSIBLE PROFESSIONAL:		REG. NO.
Depth (fbgs)	SAMPLES					PID Scan (ppm)	SAMPLE DESCRIPTION USCS Classification: Color, Moisture Condition, % of Soil Type, Plasticity, Fabric, Bedding, Weathering/Fracturing, Odor, Other SURFACE ELEVATION (FMSL):					WELL CONSTRUCTION DETAILS AND/OR DRILLING REMARKS		
	Sample No.	Sample	Blows (per 6")	SPT N-Value	Recovery									
Project No:														Figure

SAMPLE

ROUX

FIELD OPERATING PROCEDURES

Screening of Soil Samples for Organic Vapors During Impacted Soil Removal Activities

FOP 048.0

SCREENING OF SOIL SAMPLES FOR ORGANIC VAPORS DURING IMPACTED SOIL REMOVAL ACTIVITIES

PURPOSE

This procedure is used to screen soil samples for the presence of volatile organic constituents (VOCs) using a field organic vapor meter. The field meter should either be a photoionization detector (PID) or flame-ionization detector (FID) type. This type of screening is generally performed during underground storage tank (UST) and/or impacted soil removal activities as a procedure for ensuring the health and safety of the community and personnel at the site as well as to identify potential VOC-impacted soil samples for laboratory analysis (i.e., confirmatory or verification samples). Soil samples are also screened in the field to provide assessment criteria to determine horizontal and vertical extents of VOC-impacts in order to ensure soils that may have been impacted by volatile organic substances are removed.

PROCEDURE

1. Calibrate air-monitoring equipment in accordance with the appropriate Roux's Field Operating Procedures or manufacturers recommendations for calibration of field meters.

2. Perform community air monitoring in accordance with the Project Work Plan and/or Roux's FOP: Real-Time Air Monitoring During Intrusive Activities.

3. Upon proper removal of any identified UST in accordance with NYSDEC Division of Environmental Remediation, Spill Response Unit or Bulk Storage Unit guidelines and/or Roux's FOP: Underground Storage Tank Removal Procedures; examine the four sidewalls and bottom of the excavation for visually impacted (i.e., stained) soils.

4. If visually impacted soils are identified, direct the excavating equipment operator to scrape the impacted area (i.e., sidewall or bottom of the excavation) and present the scraped soil for evaluation. NOTE: Under no circumstances should anyone enter an excavation greater than 4 feet in depth, unless absolutely necessary. Excavation entry may only occur under strict confined space entry procedures following implementation of specific engineering controls (i.e., continuous air monitoring, excavation shoring, trench box installation, benching).

5. Visually inspect and perform an open air PID/FID scan of the scraped soil sample noting stratification, visible staining, or other evidence of impact (i.e., presence of non-aqueous phase liquid, NAPL).

6. Collect a representative sample (approximately 100 milligrams (mg)) of soil using a decontaminated or dedicated stainless steel sampling tool (i.e., spoon, spatula, scoop, or approved equivalent), for field headspace determination of VOC-impact. Place the representative soil sample into a labeled wide-mouth glass jar approximately ½ to ¾ full and seal with aluminum foil and a screw top cap. Alternatively, the soil sample may be placed into a clean, re-sealable plastic bag and sealed. Be sure to leave adequate headspace above the soil sample within either sealed container.

7. Place the field screening sample (i.e., jar or bag) in a location where the ambient temperature is at least 70° Fahrenheit for at least 15 minutes, but no more than 60 minutes.

8. Carefully remove the screw top cap from the jar and slowly insert the tip of the organic vapor meter (PID or FID) through the aluminum foil seal making the smallest hole possible. Alternatively, unseal a portion of the plastic bag just big enough to insert the probe of a calibrated PID.

9. Record the depth, sample location (i.e., sidewall, bottom) and <u>maximum</u> reading in parts per million by volume (ppmv) in the Project Field Book and Impacted Soil Excavation Log (sample attached), at the depth interval corresponding to the depth of sample collection.

10. The representative soil samples collected from the excavation will be used to assess the vertical and horizontal limits of VOC-impact and guide the impacted soil removal activities in accordance with project requirements (i.e., PID scans less than 20 ppm will not require removal unless laboratory analytical results exceed regulatory limits).

11. Collect verification/confirmation samples in accordance with NYSDEC Division of Environmental Remediation, Spill Response Unit or Bulk Storage Unit guidelines and/or Roux's FOP: Surface and Subsurface Soil Sampling Procedures.

ATTACHMENTS

Impacted Soil Excavation Log (sample)

REFERENCES

Roux FOPs:

010 *Calibration and Maintenance of Portable Flame Ionization Detector*
011 *Calibration and Maintenance of Portable Photoionization Detector*
063 *Surface and Subsurface Soil Sampling Procedures*
073 *Real-Time Air Monitoring During Intrusive Activities*
074 *Underground Storage Tank Removal Procedures*

FOP 048.0


SCREENING OF SOIL SAMPLES FOR ORGANIC VAPORS DURING IMPACTED SOIL REMOVAL ACTIVITIES

IMPACTED SOIL EXCAVATION LOG

Project:	EXCAVATION I.D.:
Project No.:	Excavation Date:
Client:	Excavation Method:
Location:	CQA Observer:

Excavation Location: *NOT TO SCALE*
(approximate)

Excavation Cross Section:



SAMPLE

TIME	Length:					
Start:	Width:					
End:	Depth:					

Verification Sample I.D.	D… (fb…	…hi… (sid… /bo…	PID Scan (ppm)	PID Headspace (ppm)	Photos Y / N	

COMMENTS:

UST ENCOUNTERED:	☐ yes	☐ no	If yes, Describe (type, material, size, capacity etc.):
GROUNDWATER ENCOUNTERED:	☐ yes	☐ no	If yes, depth to GW:
VISUAL IMPACTS:	☐ yes	☐ no	Describe:
OLFACTORY OBSERVATIONS:	☐ yes	☐ no	Describe:
NON-NATIVE FILL ENCOUNTERED:	☐ yes	☐ no	
OTHER OBSERVATIONS:	☐ yes	☐ no	Describe:
QUANTITY OF IMPACTED SOIL REMOVED:			
FINAL DESTINATION OF IMPACTED SOIL:			
TYPE OF BACKFILL:			
SURFACE COMPLETION:			

ROUX

FIELD OPERATING PROCEDURES

Soil Description Procedures Using The Visual-Manual Method

FOP 054.2

SOIL DESCRIPTION PROCEDURES USING THE VISUAL-MANUAL METHOD

PURPOSE

This guideline presents a means for insuring consistent and proper field identification and description of collected soils during a project (via, split-spoon (barrel) sampler, hand auger, test pit etc.). The lithology and moisture content of each soil sample will be physically characterized by visual-manual observation in accordance with ASTM Method D2488, Standard Practice for Description and Identification of Soils (Visual-Manual Procedure). When precise classification of soils for engineering purposes is required, the procedures prescribed in ASTM Method D2487 (Standard Practice for Classification of Soils for Engineering Purposes [Unified Soil Classification System, USCS]) will be used. The method of soil characterization presented herein describes soil types based on grain size, liquid and plastic limits, and moisture content based on visual examination and manual tests. When using this FOP to classify soil, the detail of description provided for a particular material should be dictated by the complexity and objectives of the project. However, more often than not, "after the fact" field information is required later in the project, therefore, every attempt to describe the soil as completely as possibly should be made.

Intensely weathered or decomposed rock that is friable and can be reduced to gravel size or smaller by normal hand pressure should be classified as a soil. The soil classification would be followed by the parent rock name in parenthesis. Projects requiring depth to bedrock determinations should always classify weathered or decomposed bedrock as bedrock (i.e., landfill siting). The project manager should always be consulted prior to making this determination.

PROCEDURE

Assemble necessary equipment and discuss program requirements with drilling contractor.

1. Calibrate air-monitoring equipment in accordance with the appropriate Roux's Field Operating Procedures or manufacturers recommendations for calibration of field meters.

2. Collect desired soil sample in accordance with appropriate Roux FOP (i.e., split-spoon sampling, hand augering, test pitting etc.).

3. Shave a thin layer off the entire length of the sample to expose fresh sample.

4. Photograph and scan the sample with a photoionization detector (PID) at this time, if applicable, in accordance with Roux's Screening of Soil Samples for Organic Vapors During Drilling Activities FOP.

5. Describe the sample using terminology presented in the Descriptive Terms section below.

6. Record all pertinent information in the Project Field Book and Field Borehole Log (sample attached) or Field Borehole/Monitoring Well Installation Log (sample attached).

7. After the sample has been described, place a representative portion of the sample in new, precleaned jars or self-sealing plastic bags for archival purposes (if required). Label the jar or bag with the sample identification number, sample interval, date, project number and store in a secure location.

8. If the soil is to be submitted to a laboratory for analysis, collect the soil sample with a dedicated stainless steel sampling tool, place the sample into the appropriate laboratory-supplied containers, and store in an ice-chilled cooler staged in a secure location in accordance with Roux's Sample Labeling, Storage and Shipment Procedures FOP.

9. All remaining soil from soil sample collection activities shall be containerized in accordance with Roux's Management of Investigative-Derived Waste (IDW) FOP and/or the Project Work Plan.

DESCRIPTIVE TERMS

All field soil samples will be described using the Unified Soil Classification System (USCS) presented in Figures 1 and 2 (attached). In addition to ASTM Method D2488, Method D1586, Standard Test Method for Penetration Test and Split-Barrel Sampling of Soils (a.k.a., Standard Penetration Test, STP), when implemented, can also be used to classify the resistance of soils. In certain instances, it is desirable to supplement the USCS classification with a geologic interpretation of the soil sample that is supported by the soil descriptive terms presented in this section. The project manager should be consulted when making any geologic interpretation. Field test methods are provided to assist field personnel in classifying soil and are identified by a bold blue **FTM** and shaded. Classification of sampled soils will use the following ASTM descriptive terms and criteria:

- **Group Name** (USCS, see Figure 2)
- **Group Symbol** (USCS, see Figure 2) – only use if physical laboratory testing has been performed to substantiate. The USCS can be applied to most unconsolidated materials, and is represented by a two-letter symbol, except Peat (Pt).
  - The first letter includes: G (gravel), S (sand), M (silt), C (clay), and O (organic).
  - The second letter includes: P (poorly graded or uniform particle sizes), W (well graded or diversified particle sizes), H (high plasticity), and L (low plasticity).
  - Examples:
    - GW = well graded gravels and gravel-sand mixtures, little or no fines
    - GP = poorly graded gravels and gravel-sand mixtures, little or no fines
    - GM = silty gravels, gravel-sand-silt mixtures

- GC = clayey gravels, gravel-sand-clay mixtures
- SW = well graded sands and gravelly sands, little or no fines
- SP = poorly graded sands and gravelly sands, little or no fines
- SM = silty sand, sand-silt mixtures
- SC = clayey sand sand-clay mixtures
- ML = inorganic silts, very fine sands, rock flour, silty or clayey fine sands
- CL = inorganic clays of low to medium plasticity, gravelly/sandy/silty/lean clays
- OL = organic silts and organic silty clays of low plasticity
- MH = inorganic silts, micaceous or diatomaceous fine sands or silts, elastic silts (very rare)
- CH = inorganic clays of high plasticity, fat clays
- OH = organic clays of medium to high plasticity
- Pt = peat, muck, and other highly organic soils

- **Angularity** (ASTM D2488; Table 1)
  - Angular – particles have sharp edges and relatively planar sides with unpolished surfaces
  - Subangular – particles are similar to angular description but have rounded edges
  - Subrounded – particles have nearly planar sides but have well-rounded corners and edges
  - Rounded – particles have smoothly curved sides and no edges
- **Particle Shape** (ASTM D2488; Table 2)
  - Flat – particles with width/thickness > 3
  - Elongated – particles with length/width > 3
  - Flat and Elongated – particles meet criteria for both flat and elongated
- **Moisture Condition** (ASTM D2488; Table 3)
  - Dry – absence of moisture, dusty, dry to the touch
  - Moist – damp, but no visible water
  - Wet – visible free water, usually soil is below water table
- **Reaction with Hydrochloric Acid (HCL)** (ASTM D2488; Table 4)
  - None – no visible reaction

- Weak – some reaction, with bubbles forming slowly
- Strong – violent reaction, with bubbles forming immediately

- **Consistency of Cohesive Soils** (ASTM D2488; Table 5)
  - Very soft – squeezes between fingers when fist is closed; easily penetrated several inches by fist (SPT = 2 or less)
  - Soft – easily molded by fingers; easily penetrated several inches by thumb (SPT = 2 to 4)
  - Firm – molded by strong pressure of fingers; can be penetrated several inches by thumb with moderate effort (SPT = 4 to 8)
  - Stiff – dented by strong pressure of fingers; readily indented by thumb but can be penetrated only with great effort (SPT = 8 to 15)
  - Very stiff – readily indented by thumbnail (SPT = 15 to 30)
  - Hard – indented with difficultly by thumbnail (SPT >30)
- **Cementation** (ASTM D2488; Table 6)
  - Weak – crumbles or breaks with handling or slight finger pressure
  - Moderate – crumbles or breaks with considerable finger pressure
  - Strong – will not crumble or break with finger pressure
- **Structure (Fabric)** (ASTM D2488; Table 7)
  - Varved – alternating 1 mm to 12 mm (0.04 – 0.5 inch) layers of sand, silt and clay
  - Stratified – alternating layers of varying material or color with the layers less than 6 mm (0.23 inches) thick; note thickness
  - Laminated – alternating layers of varying material or color with the layers less than 6 mm (0.23 inches) thick; note thickness
  - Fissured – contains shears or separations along planes of weakness
  - Slickensided – shear planes appear polished or glossy, sometimes striated

- Blocky – cohesive soil that can be broken down into small angular lumps which resist further breakdown
- Lensed – inclusion of small pockets of different soils, such as small lenses of sand scattered through a mass of clay; note thickness
- Homogeneous or Massive – same color and appearance throughout

- **Inorganic Fine-Grained Soil Characteristics** (ASTM D2488; Table 12)

  Several field tests can be performed to determine the characteristics of fine-grained soils (material passing the No. 40 sieve), such as dry strength, dilatency, and toughness. These field testing methods are described below.

  - **Dry Strength** (ASTM D2488; Table 8)

    FTM (Dry Strength): Select enough material and moisten with water until it can be molded or shaped without sticking to your fingers (slightly below the sticky limit) into a ball about 1 inch in diameter. From this ball, form three balls about ½ inch in diameter and allow to dry in air, or sun, or by artificial means (temperature not to exceed 60° C (140° F). Soil containing natural dry lumps about ½ inch in diameter may be used in place of molded balls, however the dry strengths are usually lower. Test the strength by crushing the dry balls or lumps between your fingers using the descriptions below.

    - None – the dry specimen crumbles with the slightest pressure of handling
    - Low – the dry specimen crumbles with some finger pressure
    - Medium – the dry specimen breaks into pieces or crumbles with considerable finger pressure
    - High – the dry specimen cannot be broken with finger pressure. The specimen will break into pieces between the thumb and a hard surface.
    - Very High – the dry specimen cannot be broken between the thumb and a hard surface

  - **Dilatency** (ASTM D2488; Table 9)

    FTM (Dilatency): Place enough material in your hand to form a ball approximately ½ inch in diameter and moisten with water until it can be

molded or shaped without sticking to your fingers (slightly below the sticky limit). Smooth the ball in the palm of one hand with the blade of a knife or small spatula. Shake horizontally, striking the side of the hand vigorously against the other several times. Note the reaction of water appearing on the surface of the soil. The soil is said to have given a reaction to this test if, when it is shaken, water comes to the surface of the sample producing a smooth, shiny appearance. Squeeze the sample between the thumb and forefinger and note the reaction as follows:

- None – no visible change in the specimen
- Slow – water slowly appears on the surface of the specimen during shaking and does not disappear or disappears slowly upon squeezing
- Rapid – water quickly appears on the surface of the specimen during shaking and disappears upon squeezing

- **Toughness** (ASTM D2488; Table 10)

FTM (Toughness): Following the dilatency test above, shape the test specimen into an elongated pat and roll by hand on a smooth surface or between palms into a thread about 1/8 inch in diameter. Fold the sample threads and re-roll repeatedly until the thread crumbles at a diameter of about 1/8 inch (e.g., near the plastic limit). Note the pressure required to roll the thread near the plastic limit as well as the strength of the thread. After the thread crumbles, lump the pieces together and knead the lump until it crumbles. Describe the toughness as follows:

- Low – only slight pressure is required to roll the thread near the plastic limit. The thread and the lump are weak and very soft.
- Medium – medium pressure is required to roll the thread to near the plastic limit. The thread and the lump are soft.
- High – considerable pressure is required to roll the thread to near the plastic limit. The thread and the lump are firm.

Using the results of the dry strength, dilatency, and toughness test described above, classify the soil according to the following:

Soil Symbol	Dry Strength	Dilatency	Toughness
Silt (ML)	None to low	Slow to rapid	Low or thread cannot be formed
Lean clay (CL)	Medium to high	None to slow	Medium
Elastic Silt (MH)	Low to medium	None to slow	Low to medium
Fat Clay (CH)	High to very high	None	Low to medium high

- **Plasticity** (ASTM D2488; Table 11)

  Two field test methods can be used to determine plasticity of fine-grained soils (material passing the No. 40 sieve): the roll or thread test and the ribbon test. Each test is described below.

  FTM (Roll or Thread Test): As with the toughness test above, mix a representative portion of the soil sample with water until it can be molded or shaped without sticking to your fingers (slightly below the sticky limit). Place an elongated cylindrical sample on a nonabsorbent rolling surface (e.g., glass or was paper on a flat surface) and attempt to roll it into a thread approximately 1/8 inch in diameter. The results of this test are defined below (non-plastic to high plasticity).

  FTM (Ribbon Test): Form a roll from a handful of moist soil (slightly below the sticky limit) about ½ to ¾ inches in diameter and about 3 to 5 inches long. Place the material in the palm of your hand and, starting at one end, flatten the roll between your thumb and forefinger to form the longest and thinnest ribbon possible that can be supported by the cohesive properties of the material before breaking. If the soil sample holds together for a length of 6 to 10 inches without breaking, the material is considered to be both highly plastic and highly compressive (Fat Clay, CH). If the soil cannot be ribboned, it is non-plastic (Silt, ML or MH). If it can be ribboned only with difficulty into short lengths, it has low plasticity (Lean Clay, CL). Use the following terms to describe the plasticity of soil:

- Nonplastic (ML or MH) – a 3 mm (0.12 inches) thread cannot be rolled at any water content
- Low Plasticity (CL, ML, or MH) – the thread can barely be rolled, and crumbles easily
- Medium Plasticity (CL) – the thread is easy to roll and not much time is required to reach the plastic limit before crumbling
- High Plasticity (CH) – it takes considerable time rolling and kneading to reach the plastic limit; the thread can be rolled several times before crumbling

Note: A soil with as little as 20% clay will behave as a clayey soil. A soil needs 45% to over 60% medium to coarse sand to behave as a sandy soil. In a soil with 20% clay and 80% sand, the soil will behave as a clayey soil.

- **Relative Density of Cohesionless (Granular) Soils**
  - Very loose – easily penetrated 30 cm (1.2 inches) with 13 mm (0.5 inch) rebar pushed by hand (SPT = 0 to 4)
  - Loose – easily penetrated several cm with 13 mm (0.5 inch) rebar pushed by hand (SPT = 4 to 10)
  - Medium dense – easily to moderately penetrated with 13 mm (0.5 inch) rebar driven by 2.3 kg (6 pound) hammer (SPT = 10 to 30)
  - Dense – penetrated 0.3 m (1 foot) with difficulty using 13 mm (0.5 inch) rebar driven by 2.3 kg (6 pound) hammer (SPT = 30 to 50)
  - Very dense – penetrated only a few cm with 13 mm (0.5 inch) rebar driven by 2.3 kg (6 pound) hammer (SPT = >50)
- **Color** (use Munsel® Color System, as necessary)
- **Particle Size** (see Figure 3)
  - Boulder – larger than a basketball
  - Cobble – grapefruit, orange, volleyball
  - Coarse Gravel – tennis ball, grape

- Fine Gravel – pea
- Coarse Sand – rock salt
- Medium Sand – opening in window screen
- Fine Sand – sugar, table salt
- Fines (silt and clay) – cannot visually determine size (unaided)

- **Gradation**
  - Well Graded (GW, SW) – full range and even distribution of grain sizes present
  - Poorly-graded (GP, SP) – narrow range of grain sizes present
  - Uniformly-graded (GP, SP) – consists predominantly of one grain size
  - Gap-graded (GP-SP) – within the range of grain sizes present, one or more sizes are missing

- **Organic Material** – Organic soils usually have a dark brown to black color and may have an organic odor. Often, organic soils will change color, for example, black to brown, when exposed to the air. Some organic soils will lighten in color significantly when air-dried. Organic soils normally will not have a high toughness or plasticity. The thread of the toughness test will be spongy.
  - PEAT – 50 to 100 percent organics by volume, primary constituent
  - Organic (soil name) – 15 to 50 percent organics by volume, secondary organic constituent
  - (Soil name) with some organics – 5 to 15 percent organics by volume, additional organic constituents

- **Fill Materials** – All soils should be examined to see if they contain materials indicative of man-made fills. Man-made fill items should be listed in each of the soil descriptions. Common fill indicators include glass, brick, dimensioned lumber, concrete, pavement sections, asphalt, metal, plastics, plaster etc. Other items that could suggest fill include buried vegetation mats, tree limbs, stumps etc. The soil description for a fill material should be followed by the term "FILL", i.e., for a sandy silt with some brick fragments the description would be "SANDY

SILT (ML), with brick fragments (Fill)". The size and distribution of fill indicators should be noted. The limits (depth range) of fill material should be determined and identified at each exploration location.

- **Other Constituents/Characteristics**
  - Additional constituents and/or pertinent soil characteristics not included in the previous categories should be described depending on the scope and objectives of the project. Observations that may be discussed include:
    - Oxide staining
    - Odor
    - Origin
    - Presence of root cast
    - Presence of mica
    - Presence of gypsum
    - Presence of calcium carbonate
    - Percent by volume of cobbles & boulders with size description and appropriate rock classification
  - Other pertinent information from the exploratory program should be recorded, if it would be useful from a biddability/constructability perspective. The conditions that should be listed include caving or sloughing, difficulty in drilling and groundwater infiltration.

SOIL DESCRIPTIONS

Generally, soil descriptions collected during most investigations are not intended for civil engineering (construction) purposes, but rather for hydrogeologic and contaminant transport purposes. As such, the ASTM visual-manual assessments are somewhat limited in that they are only performed in order to indicate important information about potential hydraulic properties of a soil. Soil descriptions should be concise, stressing major constituents and

characteristics, and should be given in a consistent order and format. The following order is recommended:

- Soil name. The basic name of the predominant grain size and a single-word modifier indicating the major subordinate grain size (i.e., mostly clay with some silt). The feel test can be used to determine the texture of the soil by rubbing some moist soil between your fingers; sand feels gritty, silt feels smooth, and clays feel sticky. The terms representing percentages of grain size to be used include:
    - Trace – particles are present, but estimated to be less than 5%
    - Few – 5 to 10%
    - Little – 15 to 25%
    - Some – 30 to 45%
    - Mostly – 50 to 100%
- Color (using Munsell® charts, as necessary). Color is an important property in identifying organic soils, and within a given locality it may also be useful in identifying materials of similar geologic origin. It the sample contains layers or patches of varying colors (e.g., mottled), this shall be noted and all representative colors shall be described. The color shall be described for moist samples, however if the color represents a dry condition, it must be stated as such in the log. Generally, colors become darker as the moisture content increases and lighter as the soil dries. Examples include:
    - Some fine-grained soils (OL, OH) with dark drab shades of brown or gray, including almost black, contain organic colloidal matter.
    - In contrast, clean, bright looking shades of gray, olive green, brown, red, yellow, and white are associated with inorganic soils.
    - Gray-blue or gray- and yellow-mottled colors frequently result from poor drainage.
    - Red, yellow, and yellowish brown result from the presence of iron oxides.

  - White to pink may indicate considerable silica, calcium carbonate, or aluminum compounds.

- Field moisture condition as dry, moist, or wet;
- Gradation or Plasticity. Granular soils (i.e., sands or gravels) should be described as well-graded, poorly graded, uniform, or gap-graded, depending on the gradation of the minus 3-inch fraction. Cohesive soils (i.e., silts and clays) should be described as non-plastic, low, medium, or high, depending on the results of the manual evaluation for dry strength, dilatency, toughness, and plasticity discussed previously.
- Consistency/Density. An estimate of consistency of a cohesive soil or density of a granular soil, usually based on the SPT results (see Descriptive Terms section of this FOP);
- Soil Structure or Mineralogy. Description of discontinuities, inclusions, and structures, including joints, fissures, and slickensides.
- Odor. Describe the odor if organic or unusual. Soils containing a significant amount of organic material usually have a distinctive odor of decaying vegetation. This is especially apparent in fresh samples, but if the samples are dried, the odor may often be revived by heating a moistened sample. If the odor is unusual (petroleum, chemical, etc.), it should be noted in the log.
- Other important geologic information such as consolidation, gravel size and shape, visible internal structure, root holes, mica, odors, etc.

The first step when describing soil is to determine if the sample is predominantly fine-grained or coarse-grained (see Figures 3 and 4). Coarse-grained soils are relatively easy to identify, however descriptions of fine-grained soils can be more difficult, requiring additional field tests to assist the field geologist arrive at the proper soils classification (see **FTMs** under Descriptive Terms above). These tests are explained in detail in the ASTM Standard D2488 and briefly herein. Generally, the differentiation between silt and clay is based on plasticity and "texture". However, tests for dry strength and dilatency, along with plasticity,

can be very helpful and are recommended in the ASTM Standard. If additional tests are performed, in addition to plasticity, to classify the fines, record them with the soil description on the logs. Doing this will assist the reader (i.e., Project Manager) to follow the logic used to describe a soil (e.g., medium plasticity, low dry strength = elastic silt [MH]; not a lean clay [CL]).

Fines described in the classification should be modified by their plasticity (e.g., non-plastic fines, low plasticity fines, etc.) reserving the words "silt" and "clay" for the soil name.

In summary, adhering to the ASTM Standard and the guidelines outlined in this FOP will provide uniformity in soil descriptions provided by all field personnel. Prior to mobilization to the field, field staff should make sure to have laminated copies of the ASTM Standard flow charts and tables as well as this FOP (as necessary). Some examples of complete soil descriptions are as follows:

Coarse-grained Soil

POORLY GRADED FINE SAND w/ SILT: Dark grey, wet, mostly fine sand with some non-plastic fines, some iron-stained mottling, laminated, medium dense

Fine-grained Soil

LEAN CLAY: Dark reddish/brown, moist, mostly fines, medium plasticity, firm, no dilatency, medium dry strength, root holes.

Soil/Fill (option 1) – visual evidence of fill

FILL: Black, moist, mostly fines with some fine sand, slag, cinders, metal, brick, non-plastic, loose when disturbed, strong odor

Soil/Fill (option 2) – no visual evidence of fill, suspected reworked material

FILL (reworked): Black, moist, mostly fines with some fine sand and few coarse angular gravel, non-plastic, hard, loose when disturbed, mild odor

BORING AND MONITORING WELL INSTALLATION LOGS

Currently, Roux utilizes WinLoG software to construct subsurface logs and a template of the log is included in this FOP as an example. One of the most important functions of a boring/monitoring well installation log, besides transmitting the soil description, is to indicate where the "data" (soil samples) were collected, giving the reader an idea of how reliable or representative the description is. On each sample log, depths of attempted and recovered or non-recovered interval are shown. Odor, if noted, should be considered subjective and not necessarily indicative of specific compounds or concentrations.

Remember: all field logs should be NEAT, ACCURATE, and LEGIBLE. Don't forget that the well completion diagram completed for each well requires details of the surface completion (i.e., flush-mount, stick-up etc.). It is the responsibility of the field staff to double-check each log (i.e., soil names, classifications, well construction details etc.) prior to implementing into a final report. A registered professional (i.e., professional engineer, PE or professional geologist, PG) must review each log and will be ultimately responsible for its content and accuracy.

REQUIRED EQUIPMENT

- Knife
- Engineer's rule/measuring tape

- Permanent marker
- Pre-cleaned wide-mouth sample jars (typically provided by the driller)
- Pre-cleaned wide-mouth laboratory sample jars (provided by the laboratory)
- Stainless steel sampling equipment (i.e., spoons, spatulas, bowls etc.)
- 10x hand lens
- Hydrochloric acid
- ASTM D2488 flow charts (preferably laminated)
- ASTM D2488 test procedures (Tables 1 through 12) (preferably laminated)
- Camera (disposable, 35 mm or digital)
- Munsell soil color chart (as necessary)
- Project Field Book/field forms

ATTACHMENTS

Figure 1; Field Guide for Soil and Stratigraphic Analysis
Figure 2; USCS Soil Classification Flow Chart (modified from ASTM D2488)
Figure 3; Illustration of Particle Sizes
Figure 4; Grain-Size Scale (Modified Wentworth Scale)

Field Borehole Log (sample)

REFERENCES

American Society for Testing and Materials, 2008a. *ASTM D1586: Standard Test Method for Standard Penetration Test (SPT) and Split-Barrel Sampling of Soils*.

American Society for Testing and Materials, 2010. *ASTM D2487: Standard Practice for Classification of Soils for Engineering Purposes (Unified Soil Classification System)*.

American Society for Testing and Materials, 2009a. *ASTM D2488: Standard Practice for Description and Identification of Soils (Visual-Manual Procedure)*.

State of California, Department of Transportation, Engineering Service Center, Office of Structural Foundations, August 1996. *Soil & Rock Logging Classification Manual (Field Guide), by Joseph C. de Larios.*


Roux FOPs:

010 Calibration and Maintenance of Portable Flame Ionization Detector
011 Calibration and Maintenance of Portable Photoionization Detector
015 Documentation Requirements for Drilling and Well Installation
025 Hand Augering Procedures
032 Management of Investigation-Derived Waste
046 Sample Labeling, Storage and Shipment Procedures
047 Screening of Soil Samples for Organic Vapors During Drilling Activities
058 Split-Spoon Sampling Procedures
065 Test Pit Excavation and Logging Procedures

SOIL DESCRIPTION PROCEDURES USING THE VISUAL-MANUAL METHOD

FIGURE 1

FIELD GUIDE FOR SOIL AND STRATIGRAPHIC ANALYSIS



SOIL DESCRIPTION PROCEDURES USING THE VISUAL-MANUAL METHOD

FIGURE 2

USCS SOIL CLASSIFICATION FLOW CHART (MODIFIED FROM ASTM D2488)




FIGURE 3

ILLUSTRATION OF PARTICLE SIZES




FIGURE 4

GRAIN-SIZE SCALE (MODIFIED WENTWORTH SCALE)

Grain size refers to the physical dimensions of particles of rock or other solid. This is different from the crystallite size, which is the size of a single crystal inside the solid (a grain can be made of several single crystals). Grain sizes can range from very small colloidal particles, through clay, silt, sand, and gravel, to boulders. Size ranges define limits of classes that are given names in the Wentworth scale used in the United States. The Krumbein *phi* (φ) scale, a modification of the Wentworth scale created by W. C. Krumbein, is a logarithmic scale computed by the equation: $\varphi = -\log_2(\text{grain size in mm})$.

φ scale	Size range (metric)	Size range (approx. inches)	Aggregate name (Wentworth Class)
< −8	> 256 mm	> 10.1 in	Boulder
−6 to −8	64–256 mm	2.5–10.1 in	Cobble
−5 to −6	32–64 mm	1.26–2.5 in	Very coarse gravel
−4 to −5	16–32 mm	0.63–1.26 in	Coarse gravel
−3 to −4	8–16 mm	0.31–0.63 in	Medium gravel
−2 to −3	4–8 mm	0.157–0.31 in	Fine gravel
−1 to −2	2–4 mm	0.079–0.157 in	Very fine gravel
0 to −1	1–2 mm	0.039–0.079 in	Very coarse sand
1 to 0	½–1 mm	0.020–0.039 in	Coarse sand
2 to 1	¼–½ mm	0.010–0.020 in	Medium sand
3 to 2	125–250 μm	0.0049–0.010 in	Fine sand
4 to 3	62.5–125 μm	0.0025–0.0049 in	Very fine sand
8 to 4	3.90625–62.5 μm	0.00015–0.0025 in	Silt
> 8	< 3.90625 μm	< 0.00015 in	Clay
<10	< 1 μm	< 0.000039 in	Colloid

In some schemes "gravel" is anything larger than sand (>2.0 mm), and includes "granule", "pebble", "cobble", and "boulder" in the above table. In this scheme, "pebble" covers the size range 4 to 64 mm (−2 to −6 φ).

FOP 054.2

SOIL DESCRIPTION PROCEDURES USING THE VISUAL-MANUAL METHOD

Project No: **Borehole Number:**

Project:

Client: *Logged By:*

Site Location: *Checked By:*

BENCHMARK ENVIRONMENTAL ENGINEERING & SCIENCE, PLLC

Benchmark Environmental Engineering & Science, PLLC
726 Exchange Street, Suite 624
Buffalo, NY
(716) 856-0599

SUBSURFACE PROFILE			SAMPLE						
Elev. /Depth	Symbol	Description (ASTM D2488: Visual-Manual Procedure)	Sample No.	SPT N-Value	Recovery (ft)	Symbol	PID VOCs ppm 0 25 50	Lab Sample	Well Completion Details or Remarks
0.0 / 0.0		Ground Surface							

SAMPLE

Drilled By: *Hole Size:*
Drill Rig Type: *Stick-up:*
Drill Method: *Datum:*

Drill Date(s): *Sheet: 1 of 1*

ROUX

FIELD OPERATING PROCEDURES

Soil Sample Collection for VOC Analysis (EnCore Sampling)

FOP 057.0

SOIL SAMPLE COLLECTION FOR VOC ANALYSIS – ENCORE SAMPLING

BACKGROUND AND PURPOSE

This procedure describes the methods for collecting soil samples for VOC analysis to ensure that the sample adequately represents the VOC concentrations in the soil in accordance with SW-846 Method 5035A (effective July 1, 2002). These compounds tend to volatilize from the soil after disturbance or introduction to the atmosphere. Therefore, care must be exercised to ensure that the sample collected is not altered during the collection and storage procedures. A variety of sampling options are allowed and Appendix A of Method 5035A provides details regarding the many options available for sample collection. The collection and preservation procedures are intended to prevent loss of VOCs during sample transport, handling and analysis.

Method 5035A is a method designed for volatile sample collection and analysis of soils and solid wastes for volatile organic compounds. This method is described in Update III to the Third Edition of SW-846, *Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste, Physical/Chemical Methods*, and is required for all analytical methods using purge and trap techniques (8021, 8015B, and 8260B). Alternative protocols may be used in some states (including New York), however this method is strongly recommended.

The volatile analysis is performed over two ranges:

	GC/MS (µg/kg)	GC (µg/kg)
Low Level	5 – 300	Not Available
High Level	>250	>20

The different levels require different sampling techniques. The low level method can only handle samples within a specific concentration range (these samples CANNOT be diluted), therefore a high level sample MUST be collected to ensure that all the target analytes can be quantified.

Naturally occurring carbonates in some soils may cause effervescence (foaming) on contact with the sodium bisulfate (NaHSO4) solution used as preservative for the low-level preparation. This interference makes it necessary for the laboratory to use the high-level prep or an alternative technique for low level. Check with the NYSDEC to discuss acceptable options.

Typically, analytical laboratories will support the following options for the two levels:

Option	No. of Containers	Sample Size (g)	Holding Time (days)
A – Low Level EnCore™ Samplers	3*	5	14**
B – High Level EnCore™ Sampler	1*	5	14**
C – High Level Methanol vial w/syringe	1	10	14

* Additional EnCore™ Samplers are required for MS/MSD.

** The sample MUST be extracted and preserved in sodium bisulfate or methanol within 48 hours of collection.

NOTE: The EnCore™ Sampler is disposable – it can only be used ONCE. It CANNOT be cleaned and/or reused. The samplers MUST be used in conjunction with an EnCore™ T-handle.

PROCEDURE

The preferred method for collecting and storing a soil sample for VOC analysis is using the EnCore™ method. This field procedure is described in this FOP.

1. The sampling team should reference the manufacturers' directions prior to sample collection (attached).

    a. Ensure that the EnCore™ Sampler is present at the sampling location before collecting the sample from the borehole or surface sample location. The necessary parts of the EnCore™ Sampler will consist of three disposable coring bodies, three disposable caps, and a reusable stainless steel T-handle.

    b. Retrieve the sampling tool from the borehole or sample location.

    c. Expose a surface of the soil sample. For Shelby tube samples, this would require the extrusion of the sample. For split spoon samples, this would require the spoon be disassembled and opened. If liners are being used in conjunction with a split spoon or solid barrel sampler, this would require the removal of the liners from the sampler, so that the soil at the liner's end is exposed.

    d. Following the manufacturer's directions for the use of the EnCore™ Sampler (attached), collect three aliquots of soil from the exposed soil surface, using the three coring bodies. After the collection of each aliquot, cap and label each aliquot. The manufacturer's direction for use of the EnCore™ Sampler are attached

2. If the use of the EnCore™ Sampler is not possible due to soil texture (e.g. gravels) the sample must be field preserved with acid and methanol in accordance with SW-846 Method 5035A.

3. If the soil material is too coarse for sampling with the EnCore™ Sampler and contains excessive calcium carbonate material that reacts with the acid preservative, the sample will be retained in the brass or stainless steel liner of the split-spoon sampler or similar device. The ends of these liners will be covered with Teflon™ rounds, capped and sealed with tape.

4. Record all information associated with sample collection in the Project Field Book.

5. The samples will be labeled, stored and shipped in accordance with the Roux Field Operating Procedure for Sample Labeling, Storage and Shipment Procedures.

ATTACHMENTS

EnCore™ Sampling Procedure (manufacturers instructions)

REFERENCES

Roux FOPs:

046 Sample Labeling, Storage and Shipment Procedures

ATTACHMENT

EnCore™ Sampling Procedure (manufacturers instructions)

Disposable
En Core® Sampler

Sampling Procedures

Using The
En Core® T-Handle


En Novative Technologies, Inc.
1241 Bellevue Street
Green Bay, WI 54302
Phone: 920-465-3960 • Fax: 920-465-3963
Toll Free: 888-411-0757
www.ennovativetech.com

NOTE:

1. En Core® Sampler is a SINGLE USE device. It cannot be cleaned and/or reused.

2. En Core® Sampler is designed to store soil. Do not use En Core Sampler to store solvent or free product!

3. En Core® Sampler must be used with En Core® T-Handle and/or En Core® Extrusion Tool exclusively. (These items are sold separately.)



BEFORE TAKING SAMPLE:

1. Hold **coring body** and push **plunger rod** down until **small o-ring** rests against **tabs**. This will assure that plunger moves freely.

2. Depress **locking lever** on En Core T-Handle. Place coring body, **plunger end first**, into open end of T-Handle, *aligning the (2) slots on the coring body with the (2) locking pins in the T-Handle*. Twist coring body clockwise to lock pins in slots. Check to ensure Sampler is locked in place. Sampler is ready for use.

TAKING SAMPLE:

3. Turn T-Handle with T-up and coring body down. This positions plunger bottom flush with bottom of coring body (ensure that plunger bottom is in position). Using T-Handle, push Sampler into soil until coring body is completely full. When full, small o-ring will be centered in T-Handle **viewing hole**. Remove Sampler from soil. Wipe excess soil from coring body exterior.

4. Cap coring body while it is still on T-handle. *Push* **cap** over **flat** area of **ridge** *and twist* to lock **cap** in place. **CAP MUST BE SEATED TO SEAL SAMPLER (see diagram).**

PREPARING SAMPLER FOR SHIPMENT:

5. Remove the capped Sampler by depressing locking lever on T-Handle while twisting and pulling Sampler from T-Handle.

6. Lock plunger by rotating extended plunger rod fully counter-clockwise until **wings** rest firmly against tabs (see plunger diagram).

7. Attach completed tear-off label (from En Core Sampler bag) to cap on coring body.

8. Return full En Core Sampler to zipper bag. Seal bag and put on ice.

Disposable En Core® Sampler

EXTRUSION PROCEDURES

USING THE En Core® EXTRUSION TOOL

CAUTION! Always use the Extrusion Tool to extrude soil from the En Core Sampler. If the Extrusion Tool is not used, the Sampler may fragment, causing injury.

1. Use a pliers to break locking arms on cap of En Core Sampler. Do not remove cap at this time. **(CAUTION: Broken edges will be sharp.)**

2. To attach En Core Sampler to En Core Extrusion Tool: Depress locking lever on Extrusion Tool and place Sampler, plunger end first, into open end of Extrusion Tool, aligning slots on coring body with pins in Extrusion Tool. Turn coring body clockwise until it locks into place. Release locking lever.

3. Rotate and gently push Extrusion Tool plunger knob clockwise until plunger slides over wings of coring body. (When properly positioned plunger will not rotate further.)

4. Hold Extrusion Tool with capped Sampler pointed upward so soil does not fall out when cap is removed. To release soil core, remove cap from Sampler and push down on plunger knob of En Core Extrusion Tool. Remove and properly dispose of En Core Sampler.

Warranty and Disclaimers

IMPORTANT: FAILURE TO USE THE EN CORE® SAMPLER IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE WRITTEN INSTRUCTIONS PROVIDED HEREIN VOIDS ALL EXPRESS AND IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING WARRANTY OF MERCHANTABILITY AND FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE.

PRINCIPLE OF USE. The En Core Sampler Cartridge System is a volumetric sampling system designed to collect, store and deliver a soil sample. The En Core Sampler comes in two sizes for sample volumes of approximately 25 or 5 grams. There are four components: the cartridge with a movable plunger; a cap with two locking arms; a T-handle (purchased separately); and an extrusion handle (purchased separately). NOTE: The En Core Sampler is designed to store soil. It is not designed to store solvent or free product.

The soil is stored in a sealed headspace-free state. The seals are achieved by three special Viton® * o-rings, two located on the plunger and one on the cap of the Sampler. At no time and under no condition should these o-rings be removed or disturbed.

QUALITY CONTROL. The cartridge is sealed in an airtight package to prevent contamination prior to use. Due to the stringent quality control requirements associated with the use of this system, the disposable cartridge is designed to be used only once.

WARRANTY. En Novative Technologies, Inc. ("En Novative Technologies") warrants that the En Core Sampler shall perform consistent with the research conducted under En Novative Technologies' approval, within thirty (30) days from the date of delivery, provided that the Customer gives En Novative Technologies prompt notice of any defect or failure to perform and satisfactory proof thereof. THIS WARRANTY DOES NOT APPLY TO THE FOLLOWING, AS SOLELY DETERMINED BY EN NOVATIVE TECHNOLOGIES: (a) Damage caused by accident, abuse, mishandling or dropping; (b)Samplers that have been opened, taken apart or mishandled; (c)Samplers not used in accordance with the directions; and (d)Damages exceeding the cost of the sampler. Seller warrants that all En Core Samplers shall be free from defects in title. THE FOREGOING WARRANTIES ARE IN LIEU OF ALL OTHER WARRANTIES, WHETHER ORAL, WRITTEN, EXPRESSED, IMPLIED OR STATUTORY, INCLUDING ANY INFORMATION PROVIDED BY SALES REPRESENTATIVES OR IN MARKETING LITERATURE. IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF FITNESS AND MERCHANTABILITY SHALL NOT APPLY. En Novative Technologies' warranty obligations and Customer's remedies, except as to title, are solely and exclusively as stated herein.

LIMITATION OF LIABILITY. IN NO EVENT SHALL EN NOVATIVE TECHNOLOGIES BE LIABLE FOR ANTICIPATED PROFITS, INCIDENTAL, SPECIAL OR CONSEQUENTIAL DAMAGES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, DAMAGES FOR LOSS OF REVENUE, DOWN TIME, REMEDIATION ACTIVITIES, REMOBILIZATION OR RESAMPLING, COST OF CAPITAL, SERVICE INTERRUPTION OR FAILURE OF SUPPLY, LIABILITY OF CUSTOMER TO A THIRD PARTY, OR FOR LABOR, OVERHEAD, TRANSPORTATION, SUBSTITUTE SUPPLY SOURCES OR ANY OTHER EXPENSE, DAMAGE OR LOSS, INCLUDING PERSONAL INJURY OR PROPERTY DAMAGE. En Novative Technologies' liability on any claim of any kind shall be replacement of the En Core Sampler or refund of the purchase price. En Novative Technologies shall not be liable for penalties of any description whatsoever. In the event the En Core Sampler will be utilized by Customer on behalf of a third party, such third party shall not occupy the position of a third-party beneficiary of the obligation or warranty provided by En Novative Technologies, and no such third party shall have the right to enforce same. All claims must be brought within one (1) year of shipment, regardless of their nature.

En Novative Technologies, Inc.
1241 Bellevue Street
Green Bay, WI 54302
Phone: 920-465-3960 • Fax: 920-465-3963
Toll Free: 888-411-0757
www.ennovativetech.com

The En Core™ Sampler is covered by One or More of the Following U.S. Patents: 5,343,771; 5,505,098; 5,517,868; 5,522,271. Other U.S. and Foreign Patents Pending.

* Viton® is a registered trademark of DuPont Dow Elastomers.

ROUX

FIELD OPERATING PROCEDURES

Split-Spoon Sampling Procedures

FOP 058.0

SPLIT-SPOON SAMPLING PROCEDURES

PURPOSE

This guideline presents the methods for using a split-spoon sampler for collecting soil samples from a boring and for estimating the relative in-situ compressive strength of subsurface materials (ASTM D 1586). Representative samples for lithologic description, geochemical analysis, and geotechnical testing will be collected from the subsurface materials using the split-spoon sampler.

PROCEDURE

1. Place plastic sheeting on a sturdy surface to prevent the split-spoon and its contents from coming in contact with the surface (several layers of sheeting may be placed on the surface so that they may be removed between each sample or as needed).

2. Lower the sampling string to the base of the borehole. Measure the portion of the sampling string that extends above surrounding grade (i.e. the stickup). The depth of sampling will equal the total length of the string (sampler plus rods) minus the stickup length.

3. Measure sampling depths to an accuracy of 0.1 feet. If field measurements indicate the presence of more than 0.3 feet of disturbed materials in the base of the borehole (i.e. slough), the sampler will be used to remove this material, after which a second sampling trip will be made.

4. Select additional sampler components as required (i.e., leaf spring core retainer for clays or a sand trap for non-cohesive sands). If a retainer or trap is not used, a spacer ring will be used to hold the liners in position inside the sampler.

5. For driving samples, attach the drive head sub and hammer to the drill rods without the weight resting on the rods. For pushing samples using the rig hydraulics, skip to Step 9.

6. Mark four 6-inch intervals on the drill rods relative to a reference point on the drill rig. With the sampler resting on the bottom of the hole, drive the sampler with the 140 lb. hammer falling freely over a 30-inch fall until 24 inches have been penetrated or 50 blows applied.

7. Record the number of blows per 6 inches. Determine the "N" value by adding the blows for the 6 to 12-inch and 12 to 18-inch intervals of each sample drive.

8. After penetration is complete, remove the sampling string. Avoid removing sampling string by hitting up on the string with the hammer as this can cause the sample to fall from the bottom of the split-spoon sampler. The sampling string should be removed via cable lifting or rig hydraulics. If sample retention has been poor, let the sampling string rest in place for at least 3 minutes, then rotate clockwise at least 3 times before removing from the borehole.

9. For pushed samples (i.e., using rig hydraulics), mark four 6-inch intervals on the drill rods relative to a reference point on the rig. Use the rig pull-down to press the sampler downward until 24 inches have been penetrated or no further progress can be made with the full weight of the rig on the sampler.

10. Remove the split-spoon sampler from the sampling string and place on the plastic-covered surface.

11. Open the split-spoon sampler only when the Roux field geologist is prepared to describe and manage the sample.

12. Describe the sample in accordance with the Unified Soil Classification System in accordance with the Roux FOP: Soil Description Procedures Using the Unified Soil Classification System (USCS).

13. Record all information in accordance with Roux's FOP: Documentation Requirements for Drilling and Well Installation.

14. Collect a portion of the sample for field screening as described in the Roux FOP: Screening of Soil Samples for Organic Vapors During Drilling Activities.

15. If applicable, collect soil samples for volatile organic constituents (VOCs). If applicable, collect sample for semi-volatile, metals, geotechnical, or other off-site analysis.

16. The samples will be labeled, stored and shipped in accordance with the Roux's FOP: Sample Labeling, Storage and Shipment Procedures.

ATTACHMENTS

none

REFERENCES

Roux FOPs:

015 Documentation Requirements for Drilling and Well Installation
046 Sample Labeling, Storage and Shipment Procedures
047 Screening of Soil Samples for Organic Vapors During Drilling Activities
054 Soil Description Procedures Using the Unified Soil Classification System (USCS)

ROUX

FIELD OPERATING PROCEDURES

Surface and Subsurface Soil Sampling Procedures

FOP 063.2

SURFACE AND SUBSURFACE SOIL SAMPLING PROCEDURES

PURPOSE

This procedure describes the methods for sampling surface soil and subsurface soil samples for physical and chemical laboratory analysis during intrusive activities such as test pitting, hand augering, drilling, surface soil sampling etc. Typical health and safety related issues should be addressed in the Project Health and Safety Plan.

PRE-SAMPLING PROCEDURES

1. Review project objectives and the Project Health and Safety Plan (HASP).

2. Conduct tailgate health and safety meeting with project team and/or subcontractor(s) by completing the Tailgate Safety Meeting Form (sample attached).

3. Calibrate air-monitoring equipment in accordance with the appropriate Roux's Field Operating Procedures or manufacturers recommendations for calibration of field meters.

4. Commence intrusive activities in accordance with specific Roux FOPs (test pitting, hand augering, drilling etc.) or as directed by the Project Work Plan.

5. Conduct air monitoring as required by the HASP, Project Work Plan or Roux's FOP Real-Time Air Monitoring During Intrusive Activities. Record all results on the Real Time Air Monitoring Log (sample attached).

6. Decontaminate all non-dedicated stainless steel (or Pyrex glass) equipment in accordance with Roux's Non-disposable and Non-dedicated Sampling Equipment Decontamination procedures.

7. Collect soil samples in accordance with the following sections.

SURFACE AND SUBSURFACE SOIL SAMPLING PROCEDURES

SURFACE SOIL/FILL SAMPLING PROCEDURES

Collection of surface soil/fill samples facilitates the evaluation of potential health risks to current site receptors that may be exposed to soil/fill via direct contact, incidental ingestion, or inhalation of airborne particulates. The following procedure is in accordance with NYSDEC sampling protocol of surface soil/fill material.

1. Collect all soil samples using dedicated (or decontaminated non-dedicated) sampling tools (i.e., spoons, trowels, bowls etc.), preferably constructed of stainless steel.

2. If the sample area is vegetated, then collect the surface soil sample from 0 to 2 inches below ground surface (bgs) following removal of the sod.

3. If there is no soil present within the sample area (i.e., only slag, concrete, mixed with fines), excavate an area 12 inches by 12 inches by 6 inches deep, screen the material to less than 1/8 inch (No. 4 sieve), and submit the screened material for analysis. If there is not enough material to completely fill the sample jar, then expand the excavation 3 inches in all four directions screening the additional material. Expand the excavation in this manner until sufficient sample volume is obtained. Volatile organic analysis of surface soil/fill utilizing this method will yield negatively biased results and should not be performed.

SURFACE/SUBSURFACE SOIL SAMPLING PROCEDURES

1. Collect all soil samples using dedicated (or decontaminated non-dedicated) sampling tools (i.e., spoons, trowels, bowls etc.), preferably constructed of stainless steel.

   Surface soil samples are typically collected from 0 to 6 inches below ground surface (bgs). Subsurface soils are typically sampled from varying depths greater than 6-inches bgs based on field observations and as directed by the Project Work Plan.

2. Transfer samples for chemical (VOC, SVOC, Metals etc.) and physical (i.e., Atterberg Limits, Grain Size, Permeability etc.) analytical testing by direct grab (i.e., directly from the bucket of the excavation equipment, split-spoon sampler, hand auger etc.) using the dedicated (or decontaminated non-dedicated) sampling tools into appropriate laboratory-supplied containers and seal. The chemical or physical laboratory selected to perform the analysis should determine minimum sample volume for analysis.

3. Prepare collected samples in accordance with Roux's FOP: Sample Labeling, Storage and Shipment Procedures. Do not allow the chemical soil samples to freeze during storage and shipping. It should be noted, ice is not required for physical soil samples and all physical soil samples should be kept at the collected soil moisture by securing with a tight sealing lid. Do not allow physical soil samples to gain or lose moisture from the collected soil moisture prior to analysis.

4. Record all sampling details (i.e., depth and location) in the Project Field Book; appropriate Roux log sheets depending on method of intrusion (i.e., drilling, test pitting, hand augering etc.); and on the Soil/Sediment Sample Collection Summary Log (sample attached).

PARAMETER-SPECIFIC PROCEDURES

1. Volatile Organic Compound (VOCs): Transfer sufficient soil volume to fill the laboratory-supplied container (typically 4 ounces) by packing the soil sample with the sampling tool to the top of the container leaving no headspace. At no time should a gloved hand (i.e., latex, nitrile etc.) be used to pack the sample into the sample container as the sample may be compromised via cross-contamination.

2. All Other Parameters: All other parameters include, but are not limited to, Semi-VOCs (SVOCs), polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs), herbicides, pesticides, total metals etc. Transfer sufficient soil volume to fill the laboratory-supplied container by packing the soil sample with the sampling

tool to the top of the container. Unless otherwise indicated by the laboratory or the Project Work Plan, the sample jar for all other parameters does not have to be packed completely leaving no headspace as with the VOC containers.

ATTACHMENTS

Tailgate Safety Meeting Form (sample)
Soil/Sediment Sample Collection Summary Log (sample)
Real Time Air Monitoring Log (sample)

REFERENCES

Roux FOPs:

006 *Calibration and Maintenance of Combustible Gas/Oxygen Meter*
010 *Calibration and Maintenance of Portable Flame Ionization Detector*
011 *Calibration and Maintenance of Portable Photoionization Detector*
040 *Non-disposable and Non-dedicated Sampling Equipment Decontamination*
046 *Sample Labeling, Storage and Shipment Procedures*
073 *Real-Time Air Monitoring During Intrusive Activities*

TAILGATE SAFETY MEETING FORM

Project Name: Date: Time:
Project Number: Client:
Work Activities:

HOSPITAL INFORMATION:

Name:
Address: *City:* *State:* *Zip:*
Phone No.: *Ambulance Phone No.*

SAFETY TOPICS PRESENTED:

Chemical Hazards:

Physical Hazards: Slips, Trips, Falls

PERSONAL PROTECTIVE EQUIPMENT:

Activity:	*PPE Level:*	A	B	C	D
Activity:	*PPE Level:*	A	B	C	D
Activity:	*PPE Level:*	A	B	C	D
Activity:	*PPE Level:*	A	B	C	D
Activity:	*PPE Level:*	A	B	C	D

New Equipment:

Other Safety Topic (s): Environmental Hazards (aggressive fauna)
Eating, drinking, use of tobacco products is prohibited in the Exclusion Zone (EZ)

ATTENDEES

Name Printed	Signatures

Meeting conducted by:

SAMPLE

SURFACE AND SUBSURFACE SOIL SAMPLING PROCEDURES

SOIL/SEDIMENT

SAMPLE COLLECTION SUMMARY LOG

Field ID	Location	QC Type	Depth (feet)		Analytical Parameters	Containers	Date	Time	Sampler Initials	Comments (e.g. problems encountered, ref. to variance, location changes, depth changes, important matrix observations or description, gravel thickness, etc.)
			from	to						

Equipment Rinsate Blanks - Pour deon deionized water over or through decontaminated sampling equipment into sample containers. Collect at a frequency of 1 per sampling method per day. Analyze for all those parameters analyzed for in the samples collected the same day. HSL Metals can be substituted by only the Metals analyzed for that day (except Hex. under Chromium which needs a separate container). Match equipment used for constituents of concern to rinsate analyte. Note deionized water lot # or distilled water manufacturers info etc. here.

MS/MSD/MSB - Collect at a frequency of 1 per 20 samples of each matrix per day. Analyze for all those parameters analyzed for the samples collected the same day.

Field Blank - Pour deon deionized water (used as final deon rinse water) into sample containers while at the sampling site. Collect field blanks at a frequency of 1 per lot of deionized water. Note water lot number and dates in use for decon in 'Comments' section.

Investigation Derived Waste (IDW) Characterization samples - One composite sample from all drums of decon fluids and soil. Please note number of drums and labels on collection log.

Notes:

1. See QAPP for sampling frequency and actual number of QC samples.
2. CWM - clear, wide-mouth glass jar with Teflon-lined cap.
3. HDPE - high density polyethylene bottle.
4. MS/MSD/MSB - Matrix Spike, Matrix Spike Duplicate, Matrix Spike Blank
5. BD - Blind Duplicate - indicate location of duplicate.

SAMPLE

REAL TIME AIR MONITORING LOG

Date:
Project Name:
Project Number:
Project Location:
Client:
Purpose of Air Monitoring:

WEATHER CONDITIONS:

Time of Day:	A.M.	P.M.
Ambient Air Temp.:		
Wind Direction:		
Wind Speed:		
Precipitation:		

Date	Personnel	Time	Air Monitoring Meter Measurement (Units)							Location/Activity/Comments
			PID (ppm)	LEL (%)	H_2S (ppm)	O_2 (%)	CO (ppm)	Particulates (mg/m^3)	Other	

SAMPLE

NOTE: SEE EQUIPMENT CALIBRATION LOG FOR DESCRIPTION OF EQUIPMENT TYPE.

Prepared By: Date:

ROUX

FIELD OPERATING PROCEDURES

Test Pit Excavation and Logging Procedures

FOP 065.1

TEST PIT EXCAVATION & LOGGING PROCEDURES

PURPOSE

This procedure describes the methods for completing test pits, trenches, and other excavations that may be performed to expose subsurface soils or materials. In most cases, these pits will be mechanically excavated, using a backhoe, trackhoe, or other equipment. Because pits and other excavations can represent a substantial physical hazard, it requires a particular focus on safety procedures. The Project Health and Safety Plan identifies practices related to excavation permits, entry, and control that must be incorporated into excavation activities.

EXCAVATION PROCEDURE

1. Review project objectives and the Project Health and Safety Plan (HASP).

2. Perform excavation equipment safety checks with the operator. Specific concerns should include, but not limited to, no leaking hydraulic lines, fire extinguisher on board of the excavation equipment, operator experience etc.

3. Conduct tailgate health and safety meeting with project team and excavation operator(s) by completing the Tailgate Safety Meeting Form (sample attached).

4. Calibrate air-monitoring equipment in accordance with the appropriate Roux's Field Operating Procedures or manufacturers recommendations for calibration of field meters.

5. Conduct air monitoring as required by the HASP and/or Project Work Plan. Record all results on the Real Time Air Monitoring Log (sample attached).

6. Mobilize the excavation equipment to the site and position over the required location.

7. Select excavation locations, which provide necessary information for achieving objectives. Check locations with owner/operator to ensure excavation

operations will not interfere with site operations, and select appropriate access routes.

8. Stake locations in the field and measure distance from locations to nearest landmarks. Survey location, if required.

9. Obtain clearances from appropriate utilities and, if buried waste/metallic objects are suspected, screen location with appropriate geophysical methods, as necessary.

10. Decontaminate excavation equipment in accordance with Roux's Drilling and Excavation Equipment Decontamination procedures.

11. Excavate pits. In uncontrolled areas, excavate only as many test pits as can be backfilled during the same day. Generally, allow equal time for excavation and backfilling. To the extent practicable, no pits should be left open overnight in an uncontrolled area. If sudden weather changes or other unforeseen events necessitate this, pits will be covered and/or barricaded and flagged with caution/hazard tape. These pits should be backfilled as soon as possible.

12. The Roux field geologist or experienced professional should determine the depth of excavation. The depth is generally limited by the safe reach of the selected equipment, but may also be limited by the stability of the excavated materials (i.e. wall stability).

13. Excavate the test pits in compliance with applicable safety regulations. In no case should a pit deeper than 4 feet be entered without first stabilizing the sidewalls by using forms, or by terracing or sloping (2:1 slope maximum) the sidewalls.

14. Excavated spoils must be placed no closer than 2 feet from the open excavation.

15. Collect soil samples from pit sidewalls in accordance with Roux's Surface and Subsurface Soil Sampling Procedures. If the test pit is greater than 4 feet in depth, it will not be entered for sampling. In this event, collect

samples using the backhoe bucket, then fill sample containers from the center of the bucket using the stainless steel sampling equipment (i.e., spoon, spade, trowel etc.) or drive a Shelby tube or EnCore™ sampler for VOCs.

16. Record excavation observations in the Project Field Book or Test Pit Excavation Log form (sample attached). Information recorded should include:

- Physical dimension of the pit;
- A scaled sketch of one side of the pit showing any lithologic contacts, zones of groundwater seepage, other special features (jointing, boulders, cobbles, zones of contamination, color abnormalities, etc.)
- General information such as project number, pit designation number, depth, date, name of responsible professional (i.e., geologist), type of excavating equipment utilized, time of excavation and backfilling, method of collecting samples and amount of sample collected (if applicable);
- Rate of groundwater inflow, depth to groundwater and time of measurement; and
- Unified Soil Classification System (USCS) designation of each distinctive unit.

17. Photograph each excavation, highlighting unique or important features. Use a ruler or other suitable item for scale. Include a label with the pit designation so the developed picture will be labeled.

18. Backfill pit to match the existing grade compacting in 2 to 3 foot lifts. Since the excavated material should be cover soil, the excess soil will be placed back into the hole. The Roux Field Team Leader will provide direction on whether excavated soils may be used as fill, or these materials are to be containerized as investigation derived waste.

ATTACHMENTS

Tailgate Safety Meeting Form (sample)
Real Time Air Monitoring Log (sample)
Test Pit Excavation Log (sample)

REFERENCES

Roux FOPs:

006 Calibration and Maintenance of Combustible Gas/Oxygen Meter
010 Calibration and Maintenance of Portable Flame Ionization Detector
011 Calibration and Maintenance of Portable Photoionization Detector
018 Drilling and Excavation Equipment Decontamination
063 Surface and Subsurface Soil Sampling Procedures

TAILGATE SAFETY MEETING FORM

Project Name: Date: Time:
Project Number: Client:
Work Activities:

HOSPITAL INFORMATION:

Name:
Address: *City:* *State:* *Zip:*
Phone No.: *Ambulance Phone No.*

SAFETY TOPICS PRESENTED:

Chemical Hazards:

Physical Hazards: Slips, Trips, Falls

PERSONAL PROTECTIVE EQUIPMENT:

Activity:	*PPE Level:*	A	B	C	D
Activity:	*PPE Level:*	A	B	C	D
Activity:	*PPE Level:*	A	B	C	D
Activity:	*PPE Level:*	A	B	C	D
Activity:	*PPE Level:*	A	B	C	D

New Equipment:

Other Safety Topic (s): Environmental Hazards (aggressive fauna)
Eating, drinking, use of tobacco products is prohibited in the Exclusion Zone (EZ)

ATTENDEES

Name Printed	Signatures

Meeting conducted by:

SAMPLE

REAL TIME AIR MONITORING LOG

Date:
Project Name:
Project Number:
Project Location:
Client:
Purpose of Air Monitoring:

WEATHER CONDITIONS:

Time of Day:	A.M.	P.M.
Ambient Air Temp.:		
Wind Direction:		
Wind Speed:		
Precipitation:		

Date	Personnel	Time	Air Monitoring Meter Measurement (Units)							Location/Activity/Comments
			PID (ppm)	LEL (%)	H_2S (ppm)	O_2 (%)	CO (ppm)	Particulates (mg/m³)	Other	

SAMPLE

NOTE: SEE EQUIPMENT CALIBRATION LOG FOR DESCRIPTION OF EQUIPMENT TYPE.

Prepared By: Date:

TEST PIT EXCAVATION LOG

Project:	TEST PIT I.D.:
Project No.	Excavation Date:
Client:	Excavation Method:
Location:	Logged / Checked By:

Test Pit Location: *NOT TO SCALE*

Test Pit Cross Section:



TIME		
Start:	Length:	(approx.)
End:	Width:	(approx.)
	Depth:	(approx.)

Depth (fbgs)	USCS Symbol & Soil Description	PID Scan (ppm)	Photos Y / N	Samples Collected (fbgs)

SAMPLE

COMMENTS:			
GROUNDWATER ENCOUNTERED:	yes	no	If yes, depth to GW:
VISUAL IMPACTS:	yes	no	Describe:
OLFACTORY OBSERVATIONS:	yes	no	Describe:
NON-NATIVE FILL ENCOUNTERED:	yes	no	
OTHER OBSERVATIONS:	yes	no	Describe:
SAMPLES COLLECTED:	yes	no	Sample I.D.:
			Sample I.D.:
			Sample I.D.:

ROUX

FIELD OPERATING PROCEDURES

Well/Piezometer Construction Materials and Design

FOP 070.0

WELL/PIEZOMETER CONSTRUCTION MATERIALS AND DESIGN

PURPOSE

This guideline presents construction materials and design requirements for monitoring well/piezometer installations in accordance with NYSDEC recommended specifications (6NYCRR Part 360).

CONSTRUCTION MATERIALS

1. Well Screen and Riser – Only new flush threaded screen and riser materials will be used. Screen and riser materials, well dimensions, screen slot opening size and length to be determined based on formation characteristics and suspect water quality or as specified by the project geologist/hydrogeologist. A vented cap or J-plug should be placed over the riser. A V-slot cut in the riser or permanent marking, both placed on the north side of the riser, will act as a monitoring reference point.

2. Bentonite Well Seal – The bentonite should be from a commercial source free of chemical additives (granular or powdered for grout and pelletized for seal).

3. Concrete – Low heat of hydration concrete should be used for grout and cementing protective casing if well construction materials are composed of PVC (ASTM Type II or Type IV Portland Cement).

4. Water – Water should be from a potable source of known chemistry and free of chemical constituents which may compromise integrity of installation.

5. Grout – Mixture of bentonite, cement and water in accordance with the following specifications. Premix bentonite and water prior to adding cement.

   Grout Slurry Composition (% Weight)

1.5 to 3.0%	-	Bentonite (Quick Gel)
40 to 60 %	-	Cement (Portland Type I)
40 to 60 %	-	Potable Water

6. Filter Pack – The filter pack should consist of clean, inert, siliceous, rounded to subrounded particles. Filter pack particle size is dependent on the formation and the slot size of the screen.

   A secondary filter about 6-inches thick may be placed between filter pack and the bentonite seal and potentially between the bentonite seal and the grout backfill, to minimize grout penetration of the seal. A uniformly graded fine sand (100% passing No. 30 sieve) should be used as a secondary filter.

7. Protective Casing, Locking Cap and Lock – Protective casing with a lockable cap should be cemented in place around the riser. The inside diameter of the protective casing should be a minimum of 2-inches larger than the outside diameter of the well riser. The annular space between the casing and the riser should be filled with pea gravel or coarse sand. A weep hole should be drilled near the base of the casing to facilitate drainage of standing water. If more than one well is installed, all locks should be keyed alike.

8. A sample of all cement, bentonite and sand used in well construction should be saved in a labeled, Teflon-sealed, precleaned glass jar.

REFERENCES

New York State Department of Environmental Conservation, July 1988, *Drilling and Monitoring Well Installation Guidance Manual.*

Driscoll, F.G., 1987, *Groundwater and Wells*, Johnson Division, St. Paul, Minnesota, p. 1089.

Sara, M. N., *Proposed Recommended Practice for Design and Installation of Ground Water Monitoring Wells in Aquifers: ASTM Subcommittee D18.21.*

ROUX

FIELD OPERATING PROCEDURES

Real-Time Air Monitoring During Intrusive Activities

FOP 073.2

REAL-TIME AIR MONITORING DURING INTRUSIVE ACTIVITIES PROCEDURE

PURPOSE

This guideline presents requirements for real-time community air monitoring and required responses during all project required intrusive activities, such as drilling, test pitting, earthwork construction etc. This procedure is consistent with the requirements for community air monitoring for all intrusive projects, including projects conducted at remediation sites, as established by the New York State Department of Health (NYSDOH) and the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (NYSDEC). Accordingly, it follows procedures and practices outlined under NYSDEC's DER-10 (May 2010) Appendix 1A (NYSDOH's Generic Community Air Monitoring Plan) and Appendix 1B (Fugitive Dust and Particulate Monitoring).

This FOP requires real-time monitoring for constituents of concern (COC) (i.e., volatile organic compounds (VOCs), lower explosive limit (% LEL), particulates (i.e., dust) etc.) at the upwind and downwind perimeter as well as the exclusion zone of a project site during all intrusive activities. This FOP is not intended for use in establishing action levels for worker respiratory protection (see Project Health and Safety Plan (HASP) for worker protection action levels). Rather, its intent is to provide a measure of protection for the surrounding community from potential airborne contaminant releases as a direct result of investigative and remedial work activities. The community, as referenced in this document, includes any off-site residences, public buildings/grounds and commercial or industrial establishments adjacent to the project site. The action levels specified herein require increased monitoring, corrective actions to abate emissions, and/or work shutdown. Additionally, this FOP helps to confirm that work activities did not spread contamination off-site through via air transport mechanisms. Community air monitoring shall be integrated with the construction

worker personal exposure-monitoring program contained in the project and site-specific HASP.

Depending upon the nature of known or potential contaminants at each site, real-time air monitoring for volatile organic compounds (VOCs) and/or particulate levels at the perimeter of the exclusion zone or work area will be necessary. Most sites will involve VOC and particulate monitoring; sites known to be contaminated with heavy metals alone may only require particulate monitoring. If radiological contamination is a concern, additional monitoring requirements may be necessary per consultation with appropriate NYSDEC/NYSDOH staff.

MONITORING & MITIGATION PROCEDURE

Real-time air monitoring perimeter locations for monitoring stations will be established based on the location of the exclusion zone (i.e., immediate work area) and wind direction. Where wind direction is shifting or winds are calm, the downwind monitoring location will default to the perimeter location nearest the most sensitive receptor (i.e., residential property). All downwind receptors being equal, the downwind monitoring location will default to the perimeter location downwind of the prevailing winds at the site. Although additional site specific COCs may be monitored during real-time air monitoring activities, the most common COCs are discussed in this FOP, including organic vapors (i.e., VOCs), airborne particulates (i.e., fugitive dust) and combustible gases (i.e., methane) and oxygen.

Continuous monitoring will be required for all ground intrusive activities and during the demolition of contaminated or potentially contaminated structures. Ground intrusive activities include, but are not limited to, soil/waste excavation and handling, test pitting or trenching, and the installation of soil borings or monitoring wells.

Periodic monitoring for VOCs will be required during non-intrusive activities such as the collection of soil and sediment samples or the collection of groundwater samples from existing monitoring wells. "Periodic" monitoring during sample collection might reasonably consist of taking a reading upon arrival at a sample location, monitoring while opening a well cap or overturning soil, monitoring during well baling/purging, and taking a reading prior to leaving a sample location. In some instances, depending upon the proximity of potentially exposed individuals, continuous monitoring may be required during sampling activities. Examples of such situations include groundwater sampling at wells on the curb of a busy urban street, in the midst of a public park, or adjacent to a school or residence

ORGANIC VAPORS

Volatile organic compounds (VOCs) must be monitored at the downwind perimeter of the immediate work area (i.e., the exclusion zone) on a continuous basis or as otherwise specified. Upwind concentrations should be measured at the start of each workday and periodically thereafter to establish background conditions. The monitoring work should be performed using equipment appropriate to measure the types of contaminants known or suspected to be present. The equipment should be calibrated at least daily for the contaminant(s) of concern or for an appropriate surrogate. The equipment should be

capable of calculating 15-minute running average concentrations, which will be compared to the levels specified below.

- If the ambient air concentration of total organic vapors at the downwind perimeter of the work area or exclusion zone exceeds 5 parts per million (ppm) above background for the 15-minute average, work activities must be temporarily halted and monitoring continued. If the total organic vapor level readily decreases (per instantaneous readings) below 5 ppm over background, work activities can resume with continued monitoring.

- If total organic vapor levels at the downwind perimeter of the work area or exclusion zone persist at levels in excess of 5 ppm over background but less than 25 ppm, work activities must be halted, the source of vapors identified, corrective actions taken to abate emissions, and monitoring continued. After these steps, work activities can resume provided that the total organic vapor level 200 feet downwind of the exclusion zone or half the distance to the nearest potential receptor or residential/commercial structure, whichever is less - but in no case less than 20 feet, is below 5 ppm over background for the 15-minute average.

- If the organic vapor level is above 25 ppm at the perimeter of the work area, activities must be shutdown.

- All 15-minute readings must be recorded and be available for State (DEC and DOH) personnel to review. Instantaneous readings, if any, used for decision purposes should also be recorded.

- **Special Requirements for Work Within 20 Feet of Potentially Exposed Individuals or Structures**

    - When work areas are within 20 feet of potentially exposed populations or occupied structures, the continuous monitoring locations for VOCs and

particulates must reflect the nearest potentially exposed individuals and the location of ventilation system intakes for nearby structures. The use of engineering controls such as vapor/dust barriers, temporary negative-pressure enclosures, or special ventilation devices should be considered to prevent exposures related to the work activities and to control dust and odors. Consideration should be given to implementing the planned activities when potentially exposed populations are at a minimum, such as during weekends or evening hours in non-residential settings.

- If total VOC concentrations opposite the walls of occupied structures or next to intake vents exceed 1 ppm, monitoring should occur within the occupied structure (s). Background readings in the occupied spaces must be taken prior to commencement of the planned work. Any unusual background readings should be discussed with NYSDOH prior to commencement of the work.

- If total particulate concentrations opposite the walls of occupied structures or next to intake vents exceed 150 mcg/m3, work activities should be suspended until controls are implemented and are successful in reducing the total particulate concentration to 150 mcg/m3 or less at the monitoring point.

- Depending upon the nature of contamination and remedial activities, other parameters (e.g., explosivity, oxygen, hydrogen SUlfide, carbon monoxide) may also need to be monitored Response levels and actions should be pre-determined, as necessary, for each site.

Additionally, if following the cessation of work and efforts to abate the emission source are unsuccessful, and if sustained organic vapor levels exceed 25 ppm above background within the 20-foot zone for more than 30 minutes, then the **Major Vapor Emission Response Plan** (see below) will automatically be placed into effect.

Major Vapor Emission Response Plan

Upon activation of Major Vapor Emission Response Plan, the following activities will be undertaken:

1. All Emergency Response Contacts as listed below and in the Site-Specific Health and Safety Plan will be contacted.

2. The local police authorities will immediately be contacted by the Site Safety and Health Officer and advised of the situation.

3. The Site Safety and Health Officer will determine if site workers can safely undertake source abatement measures. Abatement measures may include covering the source area with clean fill or plastic sheeting, or consolidating contaminated materials to minimize surface area. The Site Safety and Health Officer will adjust worker personal protective equipment as necessary to protect workers from over-exposure to organic vapors.

The following personnel are to be notified by the Site Safety and Health Officer in the listed sequence if the Major Vapor Emission Response Plan is activated:

Contact	Phone
Police/Fire Department	911
New York State DOH	(518) 402-7860
New York State DEC Region 8	(585) 226-2466, switchboard

New York State DEC Region 9	(716) 851-7220
State Emergency Response Hotline	(800) 457-7362

In addition, the Site Safety and Health Officer will provide these authorities with a description of the apparent source of the contamination and abatement measures being taken by the contractor, if any.

AIRBORNE PARTICULATES

Fugitive dust suppression and airborne particulate monitoring shall be performed during any intrusive activities involving disturbance or handling of site soil/fill materials. Fugitive dust suppression techniques will include the following minimum measures:

- Spraying potable water on all excessively dry work areas and roads.
- All fill materials leaving the site will be hauled in properly covered containers or haul trailers.
- Additional dust suppression efforts may be required as discussed below.

Particulate concentrations should be monitored continuously at the upwind and downwind perimeters of the exclusion zone at temporary particulate monitoring stations. The particulate monitoring should be performed using real-time monitoring equipment capable of measuring particulate matter less than 10 micrometers in size (PM-10) and capable of integrating over a period of 15 minutes (or less) for comparison to the airborne particulate action level. The equipment must be equipped with an audible alarm to indicate exceedance

of the action level. In addition, fugitive dust migration should be visually assessed during all work activities.

- If the downwind PM-10 particulate level is 100 micrograms per cubic meter (μg/m^3) greater than background (upwind perimeter) for the 15-minute period or if airborne dust is observed leaving the work area, then dust suppression techniques must be employed. Work may continue with dust suppression techniques provided that downwind PM-10 particulate levels do not exceed 150 μg/m^3 above the upwind level and provided that no visible dust is migrating from the work area.

- If, after implementation of dust suppression techniques, downwind PM-10 particulate levels are greater than 150 μg/m^3 above the upwind level, work must be stopped and a re-evaluation of activities initiated. Work can resume provided that dust suppression measures and other controls are successful in reducing the downwind PM-10 particulate concentration to within 150 μg/m^3 of the upwind level and in preventing visible dust migration.

- All readings must be recorded and be available for State (DEC and DOH) personnel to review.

Visual Assessment

In conjunction with the real-time monitoring program, TurnKey personnel and any subcontractors thereof will be responsible for visually assessing fugitive dust migration from the site. If airborne dust is observed leaving the site, the work will be stopped until supplemental dust suppression techniques are employed in those areas.

Supplemental Dust Suppression

Supplemental dust suppression techniques may include but are not necessarily limited to the

following measures:

- Reducing the excavation size, number of excavations or volume of material handled.
- Restricting vehicle speeds.
- Applying water on buckets during excavation and dumping.
- Wetting equipment and excavation faces.
- Wetting haul roads.
- Restricting work during extreme wind conditions.
- Use of a street sweeper on paved haul roads, where feasible.

Work can resume using supplemental dust suppression techniques provided that the measures are successful in reducing the sustained downwind particulate concentration to below 150 ug/m^3 of the upwind level, and in preventing visible dust migration off-site.

COMBUSTIBLE GASES & OXYGEN

Ambient combustible gas and oxygen concentrations should be measured prior to commencing intrusive activities each workday and a minimum of every 30-minutes thereafter. Air monitoring activities should be performed using equipment appropriate to measure combustible gases in percent lower explosive limit (LEL) and percent oxygen and calibrated daily. All combustible gas and oxygen readings must be recorded in the Project Field Book and/or Real-Time Air Monitoring Logs (sample attached) and, if applicable, be made available for State (DEC and DOH) personnel to review.

Mitigation upon the detection of various action levels of organic vapors are presented below:

Combustible Gas:

- If the sustained ambient air concentration of combustible gas at the downwind perimeter of the site exceeds a reading of 10 to 25% LEL, work activities must be temporarily halted and monitoring continued. If the total organic vapor level readily decreases (per instantaneous readings) below 10% LEL, work activities can resume with continued monitoring.

- If sustained combustible gas levels at the downwind perimeter of the site persist at levels in excess of 25% LEL, work activities must be halted, the source of explosion hazards identified, corrective actions taken to abate emissions and monitoring continued. Following combustible gas mitigation, work activities can resume provided that the sustained total organic vapor level 200 feet downwind of the exclusions zone or half the distance to the nearest potential receptor or residential/commercial structure, whichever is less, (but in no case less than 20 feet) is below a sustained value of 10% LEL.

Oxygen:

- If the sustained ambient oxygen concentration at the downwind perimeter of the site measures a reading between 19.5% - 21% oxygen, work activities can continue with extreme caution, however attempts to determine the potential source of oxygen displacement must be conducted.

- If the sustained oxygen level readily decreases below 19.5% LEL, work activities should be discontinued and all personnel must leave the area immediately.

- If the sustained oxygen level at the downwind perimeter of the site persists at levels between 21-25%, work activities can resume with caution.

- If the sustained oxygen level at the downwind perimeter of the site persists at levels exceeding 25% (fire hazard potential), work activities should be discontinued and all personnel must leave the area immediately.

ATTACHMENTS

Real-Time Air Monitoring Log (sample)

REFERENCES

TurnKey FOPs:

006 Calibration and Maintenance of Combustible Gas/Oxygen Meter
010 Calibration and Maintenance of Flame Ionization Detector
011 Calibration and Maintenance of Portable Photoionization Detector
084 Calibration and Maintenance of Portable Particulate Meter

REAL-TIME AIR MONITORING DURING INTRUSIVE ACTIVITIES PROCEDURE

REAL TIME AIR MONITORING LOG

Date:
Project Name:
Project Number:
Project Location:
Client:
Purpose of Air Monitoring:

WEATHER CONDITIONS:

Time of Day:	A.M.	P.M.
Ambient Air Temp.:		
Wind Direction:		
Wind Speed:		
Precipitation:		

Date	Personnel	Time	Air Monitoring Meter Measurement (Units)							Location/Activity/Comments
			PID (ppm)	LEL (%)	H_2S (ppm)	O_2 (%)	CO (ppm)	Particulates (mg/m^3)	Other	

SAMPLE

NOTE: SEE EQUIPMENT CALIBRATION LOG FOR DESCRIPTION OF EQUIPMENT TYPE.

Prepared By: Date:

FOP 074.0

UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANK EXCAVATION AND REMOVAL PROCEDURES

PURPOSE

This procedure describes the methods for conducting underground storage tank (UST) removal activities. In most cases, the USTs will be mechanically excavated, using a backhoe, trackhoe, excavator or other equipment. Because the pits and other excavations created during UST exhumation can represent a substantial physical hazard, it requires a particular focus on safety procedures. The Project Health and Safety Plan and/or Project Work Plan should identify practices related to excavation permits, entry, and control that must be incorporated into UST excavation activities.

PRE-EXCAVATION PROCEDURES

1. Conduct a Phase I Site Assessment in accordance with ASTM E 1527 "Standard Practice for Environmental Site Assessments: Phase I Environmental Site Assessment Process" or at a minimum conduct an EDR search of the subject property to obtain some information about the number and location of potential USTs.

2. Review project objectives outlined in the Project Work Plan and the Project Health and Safety Plan (HASP).

3. Check locations with owner/operator to ensure excavation operations will not interfere with site operations, and select appropriate access routes.

4. Stake locations in the field and measure distance from locations to nearest landmarks. Survey location, if required.

5. Obtain clearances from appropriate utilities and, if buried waste/metallic objects are suspected, screen location with appropriate geophysical methods, as necessary. Utility clearances are required 72 hours prior to commencing intrusive activities. Typically, the UST contractor will obtain the required utility clearances and permits.

6. Conduct a pre-construction meeting, as necessary. Include the client, state and/or federal regulatory agencies (as necessary) and the UST contractor.

7. Become familiar with the site by conducting a site reconnaissance. Note and identify the following: the prevailing wind direction; adjacent property zones (i.e., residential, commercial or industrial); any potential for unauthorized site access by onlookers; overhead utilities; temporary staging areas for impacted soils and USTs; and any other site features that may inhibit the progress of work.

8. During the site recon, locate the surface fill ports, if applicable, and determine the approximate size, liquid type and liquid quantity of the UST. Determine tank size (diameter and capacity) and liquid quantity by sticking the tank through the fill port with a long measuring stick. Determine the type of liquid contained within the UST; keeping in mind this may involve sample collection and profile characterization by a laboratory.

EXCAVATION PROCEDURES

1. Perform excavation equipment safety checks with the operator. Specific concerns should include, but not limited to, no leaking hydraulic lines, fire extinguisher on board of the excavation equipment, operator experience etc.

2. Conduct tailgate health and safety meeting with project team and excavation operator(s) by completing the Tailgate Safety Meeting Form (sample attached).

3. Discuss with excavation contractor personnel the scope of work and what will be expected of them.

4. Calibrate air-monitoring equipment in accordance with the appropriate Roux's Field Operating Procedures or manufacturers recommendations for calibration of field meters.

5. Conduct air monitoring as required by the HASP and/or Project Work Plan in accordance with Roux's FOP: Real-Time Air Monitoring During Intrusive Activities. Record all results on the Real Time Air Monitoring Log (sample attached).

6. Decontaminate excavation equipment in accordance with Roux's Drilling and Excavation Equipment Decontamination procedures as necessary.

7. Mobilize the excavation equipment to the site and position over the required UST location.

8. If liquid was encountered in the UST during the initial site reconnaissance, purge, contain and dispose of the UST contents in accordance with state and federal regulations as well as Roux's FOP: Management of Investigation-Derived Waste (IDW).

9. Excavate the UST(s) in compliance with applicable safety regulations and NYSDEC Division of Environmental Remediation, Spill Response Unit or Bulk Storage Unit guidelines and/or this FOP. In uncontrolled areas, UST excavations being conducted over several days and/or time allowed for laboratory analytical results to be returned will be covered and/or barricaded and flagged with caution/hazard tape. These open pits should be backfilled with clean fill as soon as possible.

10. Each UST contractor has their own means and methods of exhuming USTs and impacted soil and Roux personnel should not direct the contractor's activities, however, the methods performed by the contractor should be monitored closely to ensure safety is a priority. Typically, the UST fill ports will be unearthed and the top of the tank will be exposed to determine the exact size of the tank. Once the size is determined, the UST contractor will excavate along one side to the bottom of the tank and roll the tank on its side.

11. Once the UST is "loosened", the contractor should remove the tank with webbing or chains, while keeping the tank in its original horizontal position, and place the exhumed tank on a polyethylene tarp.

12. The UST contractor will then clean and dispose of the tank in accordance with state and federal regulations.

13. The Roux field geologist or experienced professional will determine the horizontal and vertical limits of excavation based upon soil scans with a calibrated photoionization detector (PID) in accordance with Roux's FOP: Screening of Soil Samples for Organic Vapors During UST Removal Activities.

    The depth is generally limited by the safe reach of the selected equipment, but may also be limited by the stability of the excavated materials (i.e. wall stability). Excavation depths exceeding the practical limits of the machine may be required as long as the groundwater table has not been encountered. Terminate all excavations at the first water bearing zone and consult the Project Manager. Typically, groundwater remediation will be required (i.e., pump and treat).

14. At no time should an excavation deeper than 4 feet be entered without first stabilizing the sidewalls by using forms, or by terracing or sloping (2:1 slope maximum) the sidewalls.

15. During impacted soil removal activities, record all required transportation information on an Impacted Soil Transportation Log (sample attached).

16. Collect a representative soil sample from each of the four sidewalls and one from the bottom and scan with a PID (i.e., initial surface scan and headspace determination) until excavated soils PID results fall below the project-required limit, typically 20 ppm. Sidewall samples shall be collected from a depth equal to the bottom and no higher than the middle of the pre-exhumation UST position.

17. Upon determining the limits of impact, collect one confirmation/verification soil sample from each of the four sidewalls and bottom of the excavation in accordance with Roux's Surface and Subsurface Soil Sampling Procedures. Sidewall samples shall be collected from a depth equal to the bottom and no higher than the middle of the pre-exhumation UST position.

    If the test pit is greater than 4 feet in depth, it will not be entered for sampling. In this event, collect samples using the excavation equipment filling laboratory-provided sample containers from the center of the bucket using the stainless steel sampling equipment (i.e., spoon, spade, trowel etc.) or drive a Shelby tube or EnCore™ sampler for VOCs.

18. If excessive water enters the excavation, via perched groundwater or precipitation, it shall be purged and contained as IDW until proper disposal can be determined (i.e., pumped through granular activated carbon vessels and discharged to the sanitary sewer). The Project Manager and NYSDEC representative should be consulted upon detection of excess water to determine proper disposal methods. On the other hand, minor amounts of water within the excavation that can be sufficiently mixed with excavated soil material is an acceptable disposal method.

19. Record UST excavation observations in the Project Field Book and/or an Impacted Soil Excavation Log (sample attached). Information recorded should include:

    - Physical dimension of the pit;

    - A scaled sketch of one side of the excavation showing the position of the UST and any lithologic contacts, zones of groundwater seepage, other special features (jointing, boulders, cobbles, zones of contamination, color abnormalities, etc.);

    - General information such as project number, excavation designation number, depth, date, name of responsible professional (i.e., geologist), type of excavating equipment utilized, time of excavation and

backfilling, method of collecting samples and amount of sample collected (if applicable);

- General information about the UST such as diameter, length, quantity of liquid removed, construction material etc.;

- Rate of groundwater inflow, depth to groundwater and time of measurement, as necessary; and

- Unified Soil Classification System (USCS) designation of each distinctive unit in accordance with Roux's FOP: Soil Description Procedures Using the Unified Soil Classification System (USCS).

20. Photograph the progress of UST exhumation, impacted soil excavation and clean fill backfilling, highlighting unique or important features. Use a ruler or other suitable item for scale. Include a label with the excavation designation so the developed picture will be labeled, as necessary.

21. Backfill the excavation to match the existing grade compacting in 2 to 3 foot lifts with clean fill and as directed in the Project Work Plan. Excavated soils designated as "clean" via PID scan can be placed back into the hole. The Roux Field Team Leader will provide direction on whether excavated soils may be used as fill, or these materials are to be containerized as investigation derived waste.

ATTACHMENTS

Tailgate Safety Meeting Form (sample)
Real Time Air Monitoring Log (sample)
Impacted Soil Excavation Log (sample)
Impacted Soil Transportation Log (sample)

REFERENCES

Roux FOPs:

006 *Calibration and Maintenance of Combustible Gas/Oxygen Meter*
010 *Calibration and Maintenance of Portable Flame Ionization Detector*
011 *Calibration and Maintenance of Portable Photoionization Detector*
018 *Drilling and Excavation Equipment Decontamination*
032 *Management of Investigation-Derived Waste (IDW)*
048 *Screening of Soil Samples for Organic Vapors During UST Removal Activities*
054 *Soil Description Procedures Using the Unified Soil Classification System (USCS)*
063 *Surface and Subsurface Soil Sampling Procedures*
073 *Real-Time Air Monitoring During Intrusive Activities*

UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANK EXCAVATION AND REMOVAL PROCEDURES

TAILGATE SAFETY MEETING FORM

Project Name: Date: Time:
Project Number: Client:
Work Activities:

HOSPITAL INFORMATION:

Name:
Address: *City:* *State:* *Zip:*
Phone No.: *Ambulance Phone No.*

SAFETY TOPICS PRESENTED:

Chemical Hazards:

Physical Hazards: Slips, Trips, Falls

PERSONAL PROTECTIVE EQUIPMENT:

Activity:			C	D
Activity:	A	B	C	D
Activity:	A	B	C	D
Activity:	A	B	C	D
Activity:	A	B	C	D

New Equipment:

Other Safety Topic (s): ve fauna)
t tobacco products is prohibited in the Exclusion Zone (EZ)

SAMPLE

ATTENDEES

Name Printed	Signatures

Meeting conducted by:

REAL TIME AIR MONITORING LOG

Date:
Project Name:
Project Number:
Project Location:
Client:
Purpose of Air Monitoring:

WEATHER CONDITIONS:

Time of Day:	A.M.	P.M.
Ambient Air Temp.:		
Wind Direction:		
Wind Speed:		
Precipitation:		

Date	Personnel	Time	Air Monitoring Meter Measurement (Units)							Location/Activity/Comments
			PID (ppm)	LEL (%)	H_2S (ppm)	O_2 (%)	CO (ppm)	Particulates	Other	

SAMPLE

NOTE: SEE EQUIPMENT CALIBRATION LOG FOR DESCRIPTION OF EQUIPMENT TYPE.


Prepared By: Date:

IMPACTED SOIL EXCAVATION LOG

Project:	EXCAVATION I.D.:
Project No.:	Excavation Date:
Client:	Excavation Method:
Location:	CQA Observer:

Excavation Location: *NOT TO SCALE (approximate)*

Excavation Cross Section:



TIME	Length:				
Start:	Width:				
End:	Depth:				

Verification Sample I.D.			PID Scan (ppm)	PID Headspace (ppm)	Photos Y / N	

COMMENTS:

UST ENCOUNTERED:	☐ yes	☐ no	If yes, Describe (type, material, size, capacity etc.):
GROUNDWATER ENCOUNTERED:	☐ yes	☐ no	If yes, depth to GW:
VISUAL IMPACTS:	☐ yes	☐ no	Describe:
OLFACTORY OBSERVATIONS:	☐ yes	☐ no	Describe:
NON-NATIVE FILL ENCOUNTERED:	☐ yes	☐ no	
OTHER OBSERVATIONS:	☐ yes	☐ no	Describe:
QUANTITY OF IMPACTED SOIL REMOVED:			
FINAL DESTINATION OF IMPACTED SOIL:			
TYPE OF BACKFILL:			
SURFACE COMPLETION:			

SAMPLE

IMPACTED SOIL TRANSPORATION LOG

Date:
Projec Licensed Transport
Job N Destination:
Client: Waste Profile No.:

Vehicle Type	Vehicle I.D.	License Plate No.	Load No.	Load Time	Drive Time	Approximate Tonnage	Actual Tonnage

ROUX

FIELD OPERATING PROCEDURES

"Before Going Into The Field" Procedure

FOP 076.0

"BEFORE & AFTER" PROJECT PROCEDURES FOR FIELD PERSONNEL

PURPOSE

This procedure describes the required field and office activities to be preformed "before and after" project assignments by field personnel. Field activities may include, but are not limited to, drilling oversight, excavation contractor oversight, matrix sample collection (e.g., soil, sediment, groundwater, surface water, wipe, and/or air), third party oversight, and site reconnaissance to name a few. Office activities may include, but are not limited to, photocopying field book entries, completing all field forms, tabulating collected field and laboratory data, and preparation of report text.

The primary goal of this procedure is to eliminate delays and unnecessary budgetary "strain" due to a lack of preparedness and knowledge of the site by the field team members. This procedure also seeks to streamline the preparation and transfer of field information/data from field personnel to the Project Manager upon field work completion.

PROJECT ASSIGNMENT

During the initial meeting with the Project Manager, several questions should be raised by the field team member and answered by the Project Manager. A pad of paper and pen should be in hand to record all pertinent job information. At a minimum, the following questions should be answered:

1. *What is the job number?*
2. *Who is the client and the on-site representative (if applicable)?*
3. *What is the name of the project?*
4. *What are the job responsibilities and how should they be accomplished?*
5. *How much time do I have to complete the assigned tasks?*
6. *Are there any project required documents? What are they?*

Any deviation from the above questions should be approved by the Project Manager prior to contravention, not at the end of the day or following the project completion.

"BEFORE" CHECKLISTS

Checklists should be developed and used so that all of the required steps prior to going into the field are undertaken. A good checklist will include:

- Adequate review of the documents listed in this FOP
- Any documents, equipment, and supplies presented in this FOP
- Providing adequate notification to the laboratory (so that holding times are not exceeded) and to the owner of the site and the primary regulatory agency (usually in writing) that a round of sampling is to commence in order to facilitate sampling and allow for a sampling audit or split sampling.
- Specifying and documenting the equipment maintenance and calibration undertaken prior to going into the field relative to the sampling event.
- Checking and calibrating the equipment.
- Listing the documents, equipment, and supplies required to collect samples at the site as presented in this FOP.

Prior to going into the field, sampling personnel should reacquaint themselves with the sampling plan. The review is undertaken so that the required specific protocol such as sampling from the least to the most contaminated wells, knowing where quality control samples are to be taken, knowing the disposition of purge water, etc., is understood and followed.

The amount of equipment maintenance and calibration required prior to going into the field should be clearly specified in the presampling equipment maintenance and calibration checklists, which are based on the manufacturer's recommendations, sampling objectives, and prior experience. Maintenance and calibration performed before sampling must be

documented to provide evidence that the equipment was adequately maintained and calibrated and to keep a permanent record of equipment servicing and performance.

A list of all the documents, equipment, and supplies required for the sampling event should be prepared and used. It can be frustrating and time consuming to forget equipment and supplies, so some up-front preparation is warranted. The following sections provide a list of the documentation, equipment, and supplies, which should assist in preparing a site-specific equipment and supply checklist. Once prepared, the checklist and project requirements should be reviewed with the Project Manager.

"BEFORE" DOCUMENTATION SUMMARY

Prior to going into the field, the field team should review and understand all of the project documents including, but not limited to:

- The Health and Safety Plan (HASP)
- The Site Analytical Plan (SAP), Sampling Plan, or similar document
- The Quality Assurance Project Plan (QAPP)
- The Work Plan
- Project specific Field Operating Procedures and field forms
- Site Maps
- Equipment operation manuals
- Chain-of-Custody forms
- Shipping labels and custody seals
- Any reference materials (i.e., conversion tables, volume calculation, etc.). The Pocket Ref, Third Edition by Thomas Glover is a great source for the field.

If at any time, the field team does not understand the project required protocol, procedures, sample locations, etc.; the Project Manager should be consulted for clarification.

"BEFORE" EQUIPMENT SUMMARY

Prior to going into the field, the field team should review the following equipment checklist, noting that project specific equipment may not be included in this list:

- Water level indicator
- Pumps, sample tubing, flow controllers, power cord(s), batteries, compressors, generators, etc.
- Bailers (disposable, PVC, stainless steel, glass), rope
- Flow-through cell
- Field meters with adequate calibration solutions (pH/Eh meter, conductivity meter, dissolved oxygen meter, turbidity meter, batteries, etc.)
- Garden hose
- Explosive gas meter and/or photoionization detector (PID) with calibration supplies
- Complete set of hand tools including a sharp knife, screw drivers, pliers, hacksaw, flashlight, large pipe wrench, hammer, bolt cutters, and replacement locks
- Fish hook with weight and string
- Field filtering equipment and supplies
- Decontamination supplies, such as scrub brushes, Alconox®, distilled water, potable water, 5-gallon bucket, paper towels, aluminum foil
- 5-gallon bucket(s)
- Measuring cup
- Sample bottles/containers (with extras) and preservatives
- Stainless steel spoons, trowels, shovels
- Shipping containers (i.e., coolers)
- Clipboard
- Calculator
- Water resistant clock or watch with second hand
- First aid kit

"BEFORE" SUPPLIES SUMMARY

Prior to going into the field, the field team should review the following supplies checklist, noting that project specific supplies may not be included in this list:

- Laboratory grade non-phosphate detergent (Alconox®)
- Appropriate personal protective equipment appropriate to the contaminants of concern, such as nitrile gloves, Tyvek, boots, hardhat, safety glasses, hearing protection, etc.
- Bags of ice
- Plastic garbage bags
- Plastic sheeting
- Sufficient quantities of potable and laboratory grade deionized water for cleaning and equipment blanks
- Methanol
- Isopropyl alcohol
- Clean rags and paper towels
- Electrical tape, duct tape, and wide transparent tape
- Hand soap
- Regular, ballpoint, and indelible pens
- Hollow braid polyethylene rope

After providing adequate notification (lab, state and/or federal agencies), performing the presampling maintenance and calibration, obtaining the site and well keys, and packing the supplies and equipment, the field activities are ready to be performed.

"AFTER" – PROJECT FILE REVIEW & CREATION

It is the responsibility of each field crew member to review his/her own field notes and time sheet for accuracy and completeness. All errors to the field notes should be corrected, dated, and initialed for Project Manager review. Once reviewed by the field team member, the Project Field Book, all field forms, photographs, chain-of-custodies etc. must be

photocopied, scanned (if required), downloaded, etc. and then given to the Project Manager in an organized file folder in a timely manner. Avoiding delay during this step is critical, especially when there are severe time constraints for the project.

REFERENCES

1. Wilson, Neal. *Soil Water and Ground Water Sampling*, 1995

ROUX

FIELD OPERATING PROCEDURES

Geoprobe Drilling Procedures

FOP 078.0

GEOPROBE DRILLING PROCEDURES

PURPOSE

This guideline presents a method for direct-push drilling a borehole through unconsolidated materials, including soils or overburden.

PROCEDURE

The following procedure will be used to drill a borehole for sampling and/or well installation, using direct-push methods and equipment.

1. Follow Roux's Field Operating Procedure (FOP) for Drill Site Selection Procedure prior to implementing any drilling activity.

2. Perform drill rig safety checks with the driller by completing the Drilling Safety Checklist form (sample attached).

3. Conduct tailgate health and safety meeting with project team and drillers by completing the Tailgate Safety Meeting Form (sample attached).

4. Calibrate air-monitoring equipment in accordance with the appropriate Roux's FOPs or manufacturers recommendations.

5. Ensure all drilling equipment (i.e., rods, 4-foot sampler, dedicated PVC sleeves) appear clean and free of soil prior to initiating any subsurface intrusion. Decontamination of drilling equipment should be in accordance with Roux's Drilling and Excavation Equipment Decontamination Procedures FOP.

6. Mobilize the Geoprobe™ rig to the site and position over the borehole.

7. Level and stabilize the rig and recheck the rig location against the planned drilling location.

8. Fully advance the sampler into the subsurface using an ATV-mounted direct-push Geoprobe™ drill rig and 1.5-inch diameter sampler, typically 4-feet in length and fitted with a dedicated PVC sleeve, for each four-foot core of soil.

9. Retrieve the 4-foot sample core from the driller, place on a piece of polyethylene tarp, and cut open using a sharp utility knife.

10. Visually characterize each 4-foot soil core using the Unified Soil Classification System (USCS) in accordance with Roux's Soil Description Procedures Using the USCS FOP.

11. Scan each 4-foot core for total volatile organic vapors with a calibrated Photovac 2020 PID equipped with a 10.6 eV lamp, and report any visual and/or olfactory observations. Record PID scan measurements in the Project Field Book and appropriate field forms.

12. If required, collect a representative soil sample for headspace determinations. In general, soil samples representative of each 4-foot core interval are collected, placed in a sealable plastic bag, and kept at or near room temperature (approximately 65-70° F) for a minimum of 15 minutes prior to measurement. Record PID headspace determination measurements in the Project Field Book and appropriate field forms.

13. Check sampler and rods periodically during drilling to ensure the boring is plumb. Adjust rig position as necessary to maintain plumb.

14. Continue drilling until reaching the assigned total depth, or until sampler refusal occurs. Sampler refusal is when the drilling penetration drops below 0.1 feet per 2 minutes, with the full weight of the rig on the sampler.

15. Plug and abandon boreholes not used for temporary well installation in accordance with Roux's Field Operating Procedure for Abandonment of Borehole. Boreholes to be used as temporary wells should be completed in accordance with Roux's Temporary Well (Piezometer) Construction Procedures FOP.

16. Decontaminate all non-dedicated drilling tools between boring locations using potable tap water and a phosphate-free detergent (i.e., Alconox™) in accordance with Roux's Drilling and Excavation Equipment Decontamination Procedures FOP.

OTHER PROCEDURAL ISSUES

- Borings will not be over drilled (rat holed) without the express permission of the Roux field supervisor. All depth measurements should be accurate to the nearest 0.1 foot, to the extent practicable.

- Potable water may be placed in the sampler stem if critically necessary for borehole control or to accomplish sampling objectives. This will be performed only with the express permission of the Roux field supervisor.

ATTACHMENTS

Drilling Safety Checklist (sample)
Tailgate Safety Meeting Form (sample)

REFERENCES

Roux FOPs:

001 *Abandonment of Borehole Procedures*
017 *Drill Site Selection Procedure*
018 *Drilling and Excavation Equipment Decontamination Procedures*
054 *Soil Description Procedures Using the USCS*
077 *Temporary Well (Piezometer) Construction Procedures*

DRILLING SAFETY CHECKLIST

Project: **Supplemental Phase II RFI/ICMs**	Date:
Project No.: **0041-009-500**	Drilling Company:
Client: **RealCo., Inc.**	Drill Rig Type:

ITEMS TO CHECK	OK	ACTION NEEDED
"Kill switches" installed by the manufacturer are in operable condition and all workers at the drill site are familiar with their location and how to activate them?		
"Kill switches" are accessible to workers on both sides of the rotating stem? NOTE: Optional based on location and number of switches provided by the manufacturer.		
Cables on drill rig are free of kinks, frayed wires, "bird cages" and worn or missing sections?		
Cables are terminated at the working end with a proper eye splice, either swa Coupling or using cable clamps?		
Cable clamps are installed with the saddle on the live or load side? Clamps should alternated and should be of the correct size and number for the cable size to which installed. Clamps are complete with no missing parts?		
Hooks installed on hoist cables are the safety type with a functional prevent accidental separation?		
Safety latches are functional and completely span the entire th ok ve positive action to close the throat except when manually ed fo nec disconnecting a load?		
Drive shafts, belts, chain drives and universal jo be to prevent accidental insertion of hands and fingers or tools		
Outriggers shall be extended prior to and w sed cradle. Hydraulic outriggers must maintain pressure to d s ize the drill rig even while unattended.		
Outriggers shall be properly supporte nd su o p settling into the soil.		
Controls are properly la ave fre ntr ontrols should not be blocked or locked in an p on.		
Safeties on any device sha r m zed.		
Controls shall be operated smoothly and ca nd lifting devices shall not be jerked or operated erratically to overcome res		
Slings, chokers and lifting devices are d before using and are in proper working order? Damaged units are removed from service and are properly tagged?		
Shackles and clevises are in proper working order and pins and screws are fully inserted before placing under a load?		
High-pressure hoses have a safety (chain, cable or strap) at each end of the hose section to prevent whipping in the event of a failure?		
Rotating parts of the drill string shall be free of sharp projections or hooks, which could entrap clothing or foreign objects?		
Wire ropes should not be allowed to bend around sharp edges without cushion material.		
The exclusion zone is centered over the borehole and the radius is equal or greater than the boom height?		

ITEMS TO CHECK	OK	ACTION NEEDED

SAMPLE

DRILLING SAFETY CHECKLIST

Project: **Supplemental Phase II RFI/ICMs** Date:

Project No.: **0041-009-500** Drilling Company:

Client: **RealCo., Inc.** Drill Rig Type:

ITEMS TO CHECK	OK	ACTION NEEDED
The work area around the borehole shall be kept clear of trip hazards and walking surfaces should be free of slippery material.		
Workers shall not proceed higher than the drilling deck without a fall restraining device and must attach the device in a manner to restrict fall to less than 6 feet.		
A fire extinguisher of appropriate size shall be immediately available to the drill c… drill crew shall have received annual training on proper use of the fire extinguisher.		
29 CFR 1910.333 © (3) Except where electrical distribution and transmission lines … energized and visibly grounded, drill rigs will be operated proximate t… under, by, or … er lines only in accordance with the following: .333 © (3) (ii) 50 kV or less -minimum clearance is 1… For 50 kV or over - 10ft. Plus ½ in. For each add… Roux **Policy: Maintain 20 feet clearanc…**		
29 CFR 1910.333 © (3) (iii) While the rig is in t… wit… the do… tion, clearance from energized power lines will be maint… llow… Less than 50 kV - 4 feet 50 to 365 kV - 10 feet 365 to 720 kV - 16 feet		

Name: _____ (printed)

Signed: _____ Date: _____

SAMPLE

TAILGATE SAFETY MEETING FORM

Project Name: Date: Time:
Project Number: Client:
Work Activities:

HOSPITAL INFORMATION:

Name:
Address: *City:* *State:* *Zip:*
Phone No.: *Ambulance Phone No.*

SAFETY TOPICS PRESENTED:

Chemical Hazards:

Physical Hazards: Slips, Trips, Falls

PERSONAL PROTECTIVE EQUIPMENT:

Activity:	A	B	C	D
Activity:	A	B	C	D
Activity:	A	B	C	D
Activity:	A	B	C	D
Activity:	A	B	C	D

New Equipment:

Other Safety Topic (s): (aggressive fauna)
tobacco products is prohibited in the Exclusion Zone (EZ)

ATTENDEES

Name Printed	Signatures

Meeting conducted by:

SAMPLE

ROUX

FIELD OPERATING PROCEDURES

Stockpile Sampling Procedures for Chemical Analysis

FOP 079.0

STOCKPILE SAMPLING PROCEDURES FOR CHEMICAL ANALYSIS

PURPOSE

This guideline presents a method for collecting representative soil samples from stockpiled borrow source material for chemical analysis.

GENERAL

In general, off-site soil that is brought to a Site for use as supplemental fill is subject to Quality Assurance sampling and analysis. If QA is required, all off-site soil proposed for use as Site backfill shall be documented by the subcontractor in writing to have originated from locations having no evidence of disposal or release of hazardous, toxic or radioactive substances, wastes or petroleum products. If the subcontractor designates a source as "virgin" soil, it shall be further documented in writing to be native soil material having not supported any known past industrial or commercial development or agricultural use. Borrow soils can be used as backfill once concentrations are confirmed to meet project designated criteria for the Constituents of Primary Concern (COPCs) and NYSDEC TAGM HWR-94-4046 recommended soil cleanup objectives (SCOs) or NYSDEC 6NYCRR Part 375 SCOs.

Sample collection equipment will include stainless steel mixing bowls, stainless steel mixing spoons, and a stainless steel hand auger with extension rods or a stainless steel spade or equivalent. It may be necessary to use a backhoe or drilling rig to facilitate sample collection.

FOP 079.0

STOCKPILE SAMPLING PROCEDURES FOR CHEMICAL ANALYSIS

SAMPLING PLAN

1. Virgin Sources – Virgin borrow sources will be confirmed acceptable for use as site backfill through collection of a single composite soil sample representative of the borrow pit or stockpile.

2. Non-Virgin Sources – Prior to sampling, determine the amount of soil that will be sampled. The soil will be tested via collection of one composite sample per 250 cubic yards of material from each source area. If more than 1,000 cubic yards of soils are excavated from a given off-site source area and all samples of the first 1,000 cubic yards meet project designated criteria, the sample collection frequency may be reduced to one composite for each additional 1,000 cubic yards of soils from the same source area, up to 5,000 cubic yards. For borrow sources greater than 5,000 cubic yards, sampling frequency may be reduced to one sample per 5,000 cubic yards, providing all earlier samples meet project designated criteria. Sampling procedure for non-virgin sources is described in the next section.

SAMPLE COLLECTION AND HANDLING

The following procedure will be used to collect representative soil samples from a non-virgin soil stockpile.

1. Using a stainless steel spade (or hand auger), a backhoe, or drilling rig, penetrate the pile to a depth of approximately 2 to 3 feet and collect four (4) representative grab samples of approximate equal volume from the top, middle, and bottom.

2. Transfer each grab into a small stainless steel mixing bowl.

3. **VOC Analysis:** Using a clean stainless steel spoon, transfer equal amounts from each small mixing bowl into a laboratory-supplied, 4 oz. VOC sample jar. This should be performed by randomly transferring several small aliquots from each bowl, taking care to minimize disturbance of the soil.

4. **Other COPCs:** Transfer equal aliquots from each small bowl into a large mixing bowl and homogenize the sample. Fill the remaining laboratory-supplied jars with the homogenized soil for all other project required COPCs (i.e., SVOCs, PCBs, Pesticides, Herbicides, inorganics, etc.).

5. Label each set of jars with the following information:
   - Project and site name
   - Sample Code
   - Project Number
   - Date/Time
   - Sample type (soil composite or grab)
   - Sampler's initials
   - Sample Preservation
   - Required analysis

   The sample code will consist of a unique, alphanumeric identification code keyed to the sampling location. Identify the sampling location on a field sketch.

6. Record all information associated with sample collection in the Project Field Book.

7. Label, store, and ship the samples in accordance with the Roux Field Operating Procedure for Sample Labeling, Storage and Shipment Procedures.

8. Clean the sampling and mixing equipment with Alconox and deionized water and repeat steps 1 through 7 for the remaining samples.

REFERENCES

Roux FOPs:

046 Sample Labeling, Storage and Shipment Procedures

ROUX

FIELD OPERATING PROCEDURES

Stockpile & Borrow Source Sampling Procedures for Physical Analysis

FOP 080.0

STOCKPILE & BORROW SOURCE SAMPLING PROCEDURES FOR PHYSICAL ANALYSIS

PURPOSE

This guideline presents a method for collecting representative soil samples from stockpiled borrow source material for physical analysis.

GENERAL

Generally, one of two methods will be utilized to collect soil samples for analysis. One method is to collect the samples by digging a series of representative test pits at the borrow source area and obtaining samples from those test pits. The other method involves collecting samples from representative stockpiles (normally after the material has been mechanically screened). Both procedures are discussed within this method.

Sample collection equipment will include stainless steel mixing bowls, stainless steel mixing spoons, and a stainless steel hand auger with extension rods or a stainless steel spade or equivalent. It may be necessary to use a backhoe or drilling rig to facilitate sample collection.

STOCKPILED SOIL SAMPLING METHOD

As shown in the attached Figure 1, twelve (12) samples of approximate equal volume should be collected from the top, middle and bottom of each 1000 CY stockpile by CQA personnel and composited in the field to give one representative aliquot per 1000 CY.

Stockpile Sampling Procedure

1. Using a shovel or backhoe, penetrate the pile to a depth of about two to three feet.
2. Collect a sample using the shovel.

3. Transfer the sample to a specially prepared mixing area.
4. Repeat Steps 1 through 3 at each 1,000 CY stockpile.
5. Mix subsamples using shovel into one homogenous mass and place in a properly labeled 5-gallon bucket. Fill each bucket completely and cover.
6. Attach a label to each container and record location referencing the stockpile identification number. The label may be made with permanent marker on the side (not top) of the container or using adhesive-back paper labels affixed to the side of the container. At a minimum, the labels should be identified with the following information:
    - Project Name
    - Sample number.
    - Initials of CQA inspector or sample collection personnel.
    - Date of collection.
    - Location of collection (i.e. stockpile I.D.)
7. Return remaining contents of composite sample to stockpile.
8. Deliver the samples to the laboratory for analysis as soon as possible.
9. All information pertinent to each sampling event should be recorded by sampling personnel in the field at the time of sample collection. Each report should correspond to each stockpile and will contain the following information:
    - Project Name
    - Sample number or numbers collected
    - Field observations.
    - Climatologic conditions.
    - Date and time of collection.
    - Approximate location of test pit.
    - Name of person who collected sample.

BORROW AREA TEST PIT SAMPLING METHOD

Prior to obtaining representative soil samples, test holes should be excavated at the borrow area to determine the actual depth and lateral extent of the borrow source soil material. A base line should then be established and a grid system staked in the field. Five samples

should be collected at equidistant locations for each 5000 cubic yards (CY) of soil designated for use in the borrow areas (at approximately mid-depth).

Borrow Area Sampling Procedure

1. Using a shovel, collect a representative sample at approximately mid-depth at each of the sampling locations representing 1000 CY of the proposed excavation area.
2. Transfer each sample into a labeled separate 5-gallon bucket. Fill each bucket completely and cover.
3. Attach a label to each container and record location referencing the established grid system in the borrow area. The label may be made with permanent marker on the side (not top) of the container or using adhesive-back paper labels affixed to the side of the container. At a minimum, the labels should be identified with the following information:
    - Project Name
    - Sample number.
    - Initials of CQA inspector or sample collection personnel.
    - Date of collection.
    - Location of collection (i.e. location of borrow area grid system location)
4. Deliver the samples to the laboratory for analysis as soon as possible.
5. All information pertinent to each sampling event should be recorded by sampling personnel in the field at the time of sample collection. Each report should correspond to each test pit and will contain the following information:
    - Project Name
    - Sample number or numbers collected
    - Field observations.
    - Climatologic conditions.
    - Date and time of collection.
    - Approximate location of test pit.
    - Name of person who collected sample.

ATTACHMENTS


Figure 1; Stockpile Sampling Methodology

REFERENCES

None

FIGURE 4

1,000 CY STOCKPILE SAMPLING METHODOLOGY



ROUX

FIELD OPERATING PROCEDURES

Waste Sampling Procedures

FOP 082.0

WASTE SAMPLING PROCEDURES

PURPOSE

This guideline describes the equipment and procedures that can safely be used to collect waste samples from open and closed units.

INTRODUCTION

Hazardous wastes are regulated by the USEPA under 40 CFR Parts 260-265. Therefore, many of the methods that are used to manage, store, treat, and dispose hazardous wastes and potential hazardous wastes are of concern to both the regulators and the regulated community. Samples are often required of regulated or potentially regulated materials. While it is understood that each facility and waste stream may present its own unique sampling and analytical challenges, this procedure will list equipment and enumerate procedures that have been used by the USEPA to safely and successfully sample specific waste units.

SAFETY

Sampling of waste units should be assessed for potential hazards by both the Project Manager (PM) and the site safety officer (SSO). It is the SSOs responsibility to enforce the site Health and Safety Plan (HASP), and to ensure that procedures used during waste sampling are in accordance with current company protocol. Sampling equipment contaminated during waste sampling investigations should be cleaned with laboratory detergent and rinsed with tap water prior to returning the equipment from the field. Contaminated sampling equipment that is to be discarded must be disposed of properly in accordance with the site-specific Work Plan.

It should be noted that although Roux does not readily perform field activities with highly hazardous materials, we do occasionally oversee contractors who do. Therefore, it is prudent on our part to recognize those situations and be prepared to ensure the activities of

our subcontractors comply with the site-specific HASP as well as those procedures discussed herein. Any reference within this procedure to personal protective equipment (PPE) upgrades above a modified level C (i.e., Tyvek, nitrile gloves, and full-face respirator) relates solely to our subcontractors.

QUALITY CONTROL PROCEDURES

In some instances, special decontamination procedures will be necessary and should be developed on a case-by-case basis according to the specific material encountered. Any cleaning procedures and equipment repairs conducted in the field deviating from those specified in the associated FOPs or the site-specific Work Plan, should be discussed with the Project Manager, and thoroughly documented in the Project Field Book.

All air monitoring and field analytical/screening equipment (i.e., photoionization detectors) should be checked and calibrated per manufacturer's specifications before being used to collect any waste stream unit sample (open or closed). The Field Team Leader should record all calibration results on appropriate field forms.

WASTE UNIT TYPES

Waste management units can be generally categorized into two types: open and closed. In general, open units are larger than closed units and include waste piles and surface impoundments whereas closed units include containers and tanks as well as ancillary tank equipment. Besides containers and tanks, sumps may also be considered closed units because they are designed to collect the spillage of liquid wastes and are sometimes configured as a confined space.

Although both may pose hazards, units that are open to the environment are generally less hazardous than closed units. Sampling of closed units is considered a higher hazard risk

because of the potential of exposure to toxic gases and flammable/explosive atmospheres. Because closed units prevent the dilution of the wastes by environmental influences, they are more likely to contain materials that have concentrated levels of hazardous constituents. While opening closed units for sampling purposes, investigators/contractor's shall use Level B PPE, air monitoring instruments to ensure that the working environment does not contain hazardous levels of flammable/explosive gasses or toxic vapors, and follow the appropriate safety requirements stipulated in the site-specific HASP.

Buried waste materials should be located and excavated with extreme caution. Once the buried waste is uncovered, the appropriate safety and sampling procedures utilized will depend on the type of waste unit.

Open Units

While open units may contain many types of wastes and come in a variety of shapes and sizes, they can be generally regarded as either waste piles or surface impoundments.

Definitions of these two types of open units from 40 CFR Part 260.10 are:

- Waste pile -- any non-containerized accumulation of solid, non-flowing hazardous waste that is used for treatment or storage and that is not a containment building.

- Surface impoundment -- "...a facility or part of a facility which is a natural topographic depression, man-made excavation, or diked area formed primarily of earthen materials (although it may be lined with man-made materials), which is designed to hold the accumulation of liquid wastes or wastes containing free liquids, and which is not an injection well. Examples of surface impoundments are holding, storage, settling and aeration pits, ponds, and lagoons."

One of the distinguishing features between waste piles and surface impoundments is the state of the waste. Waste piles typically contain solid or non-flowing materials whereas liquid wastes are usually contained in surface impoundments. The nature of the waste will also determine the mode of delivering the waste to the unit. Wastes are commonly pumped

or gravity fed into impoundments while heavy equipment or trucks may be used to dump wastes in piles. Once the waste has been placed in an open unit, the state of the waste may be altered by environmental factors (e.g., temperature, precipitation, etc.).

Surface impoundments may contain several phases such as floating solids, liquid phase(s), and sludges. Waste piles are usually restricted to solids and semi-solids. All of the potential phases contained in a waste unit should be considered in developing the sample design to meet the study's objective.

Closed Units

There are a variety of designs, shapes, sizes, and functions of closed units. In addition to the challenges of the various designs and the safety requirements for sampling them, closed units are difficult to sample because they may contain liquid, solid, semi-solid/sludge, or any combination of phases. Based on the study's design, it may be necessary to obtain a cross sectional profile of the closed unit in an attempt to characterize the unit. The following are definitions of types of closed waste units described in 40 CFR Part 260.10:

- Container -- any portable device in which a material is stored, transported, treated, disposed, or otherwise handled. Examples of containers are drums, overpacks, pails, totes, and roll-offs.

- Tank -- a stationary device, designed to contain an accumulation of hazardous waste constructed primarily of non-earthen materials, which provide structural support.

Portable tanks, tank trucks, and tank cars vary in size and may range from simple to extremely complex designs. Depending on the unit's design, it may be convenient to consider some of these storage units as tanks for sampling purposes even though they meet the definition of a container.

- Ancillary equipment (tank) -- any device including, but not limited to, such devices as piping, fittings, flanges, valves, and pumps that is used to distribute, meter, or control the flow of hazardous waste from its point of generation to a storage or treatment tank(s), between hazardous waste storage and treatment tanks to a point of disposal on-site, or to a point of shipment for disposal off-site.

- Sump -- any pit or reservoir that meets the definition of a tank and those troughs/trenches connected to it that serve to collect hazardous wastes.

Note: some outdoor sumps may be considered open units/surface impoundments.

Although any of the closed units may not be completely sealed and may be partially open to the environment, the unit needs to be treated as a closed unit for sampling purposes until a determination can be made. Once a closed unit is opened, a review of the proposed sampling procedures and level of protection can be performed to determined if the (PPE) is suitable for the site conditions.

Samples collected from different waste units should not be composited into one sample container without additional analytical and/or field screening data to determine if the materials are compatible and will not cause an inadvertent chemical reaction.

EQUIPMENT

Selecting appropriate equipment to sample wastes is a challenging task due to the uncertainty of the physical characteristics and nature of the wastes. It may be difficult to separate, homogenize and/or containerize a waste due to its physical characteristics (viscosity, particle size, etc.). In addition, the physical characteristics of a waste may change with temperature, humidity, or pressure. Waste streams may vary depending on how and when a waste was generated, how and where it was stored/disposed, and the conditions under which it was

stored/disposed. Also, the physical location of the wastes or the unit configuration may prevent the use of conventional sampling equipment.

Given the uncertainties that a waste may present, it is desirable to select sampling equipment that will facilitate the collection of samples that will meet the study's objective, and that will not unintentionally bias the sample by excluding some of the sample population that is under consideration. However, due to the nature of some waste matrices or the physical constraints of some waste units, it may be necessary to collect samples knowing that a portion of the desired population was omitted due to limitations of the equipment. Any deviations from the study plan or difficulties encountered in the field concerning sample collection that may have an effect on the study's objective should be documented in a log book, reviewed with the analytical data, and presented in the report.

WASTE SAMPLING EQUIPMENT

Waste sampling equipment should be made of non-reactive materials that will neither add to nor alter the chemical or physical properties of the material that is being sampled. The attached Table 1 lists some conventional equipment for sampling waste units/phases and some potential limitations of the equipment. Another reference for selecting sampling equipment is the ASTM, Standard Guide for Selection of Sampling Equipment for Wastes and Contaminated Media Data Collection Activities, D6232-98.

WASTE SAMPLING PROCEDURES

Waste Piles

Waste piles vary in size, shape, composition, and compactness, and may vary in distribution of hazardous constituents and characteristics (strata). These variables will affect safety and access considerations. The number of samples, the type of sample(s), and the sample location(s) should be based on the study's objectives. Commonly used equipment to collect

samples from waste piles are listed in Table 1. All equipment should be compatible with the waste and should have been cleaned to prevent any cross contamination of the sample.

Surface Impoundments

Surface impoundments vary in size, shape, and waste content, and may vary in distribution of hazardous constituents and characteristics (strata). The number of samples, the type of sample(s), and the sample location(s) should be based on the study's objectives. Commonly used equipment to collect samples from surface impoundments are listed in Table 1. All equipment should be compatible with the waste and should have been cleaned to prevent any cross contamination of the sample.

Because of the potential danger of sampling waste units suspected of containing elevated levels of hazardous constituents, personnel should never attempt to sample surface impoundments used to manage potentially hazardous wastes from a boat. All sampling should be conducted from the banks or piers of surface impoundments. Any exception must be approved by the appropriate site safety officer and/or the Occupational Health and Safety Designee (OHSD).

Drums

Drums are the most frequent type of containers sampled by field investigators for chemical analyses and/or physical testing. Caution should be exercised by the field investigators when sampling drums because of the potential presence of explosive/flammable gases and/or toxic vapors. Therefore, the following procedures should be used when collecting samples from drums of unknown material:

1. Visually inspect all drums that are being considered for sampling for the following:
    - pressurization (bulging/dimples);
    - crystals formed around the drum opening;
    - leaks, holes, stains;

- labels, markings;
- composition and type (steel/poly and open/bung);
- condition, age, rust
- sampling accessibility

Drums showing evidence of pressurization and crystals should be furthered assessed to determine if remote drum opening is needed. If drums cannot be accessed for sampling, heavy equipment is usually necessary to stage drums for the sampling activities. Adequate time should be allowed for the drum contents to stabilize after a drum is handled.

2. Identify each drum that will be opened (e.g., paint sticks, spray paint, cones, etc).

LEVEL "B" PROTECTION IS REQUIRED FOR THE FOLLOWING PROCEDURES.

3. Before opening, ground each metal drum that is not in direct contact with the earth using grounding wires, alligator clips, and a grounding rod or metal structure. If a metal drum is in an overpack drum, the metal drum should be grounded.

4. Touch the drum opening equipment to the bung or lid and allow an electrical conductive path to form. Slowly remove the bung or drum ring and/or lid with spark resistant tools (brass/beryllium).

5. Screen drums for explosive gases and toxic vapor with air monitoring instruments as bung or drum lid is removed. Depending on site conditions screen for one or more of the following:
   - radioactivity
   - cyanide fumes
   - halogen vapors
   - pH
   - flash point (requires sample for testing)

   Note the state, quantity, phases, and color of the drum contents. Record all relevant results, observations, and information in a logbook.

6. Select the appropriate sampling equipment based on the state of the material and the type of container. Sampling equipment should be made of non-reactive materials that will meet the study's objective(s).

7. Place oil wipe (as necessary), sampling equipment, and sample containers near drum(s) to be sampled.

AIR MONITORING FOR TOXIC VAPORS AND EXPLOSIVE GASES AND OXYGEN DEFICIENT ATMOSPHERES SHOULD BE CONDUCTED DURING DRUM SAMPLING.

Liquids -- Slowly lower the COLIWASA or drum thief to the bottom of the container. Close the COLIWASA with the inner rod or create a vacuum with the sampler's gloved thumb on the end of the thief and slowly remove the sampling device from the drum. Release the sample from the device into the sample container. Repeat the procedure until a sufficient sample volume is obtained.

Solids/Semi-Solids -- Use a push tube, bucket auger, or screw auger or if conditions permit a pneumatic hammer/drill to obtain the sample. Carefully use a clean stainless steel spoon to place the sample into container(s) for analyses.

8. Close the drums when sampling is complete. Segregate contaminated sampling equipment and investigative derived wastes (IDW) containing incompatible materials as determined by the drum screening procedure (Step #5). At a minimum, contaminated equipment should be cleaned with laboratory detergent and rinsed with tap water prior to returning it from the field.

Tanks

Sampling tanks is considered hazardous due to the potential for them to contain large volumes of hazardous materials and therefore, appropriate safety protocols must be followed. Unlike drums, tanks may be compartmentalized or have complex designs.

Preliminary information about the tank's contents and configuration should be reviewed prior to the sampling operation to ensure the safety of sampling personnel and that the study's objectives can be achieved.

In addition to having discharge valves near the bottom of tanks and bulk storage units, most tanks have hatches at the top. It is desirable to collect samples from the top hatch because of the potential for the tank's contents to be stratified. Additionally, when sampling from the discharge valve, there is a possibility of a stuck or broken valve which could cause an uncontrolled release. Investigators should not utilize valves on tanks or bulk storage devices unless they are operated by the owner or operator of the facility, or a containment plan is in place should the valve stick or break. If the investigator must sample from a tank discharge valve, the valving arrangement of the particular tank must be clearly understood to insure that the compartment(s) of interest is sampled.

Because of the many different types of designs and materials that may be encountered, only general sampling procedures that outline sampling a tank from the top hatch are listed below:

1. All relevant information concerning the tank such as the type of tank, the tank capacity, markings, condition, and suspected contents should be documented in a logbook.

2. The samplers should inspect the ladder, stairs, and catwalk that will be used to access the top hatch to ensure that they will support the samplers and their equipment.

LEVEL "B" PROTECTION IS REQUIRED FOR THE FOLLOWING PROCEDURES.

3. Before opening, ground each metal tank using grounding wires, alligator clips, and a grounding rod or metal structure.

4. Any vents or pressure release valves should be slowly opened to allow the unit to vent to atmospheric pressure. Air monitoring for explosive/flammable gases and toxic vapors should be conducted during the venting with the results recorded in a log book. If dangerous concentrations of gases evolve from the vent or the pressure is too great, leave the area immediately.

5. Touch tank opening equipment to the bolts in the hatch lid and allow electrical conductive path to form. Slowly remove bolts and/or hatch with spark resistant tools (brass/beryllium). If a pressure build up is encountered or detected, cease opening activities and leave the area.

6. Screen tanks for explosive/flammable gases and toxic vapors with air monitoring instruments. Depending on the study objectives and site conditions, conduct characteristic screening (e.g., pH, halogen, etc.) as desired. Collect a small volume of sample for flash point testing, if warranted. Note the state, quantity, number of phases, and color of the tank contents. Record all relevant results, observations, and information in a logbook. Compare the screening results with any pre-existing data to determine if the tank should be sampled.

7. Select the appropriate sampling equipment based on the state of the material and the type of tank. Sampling equipment should be constructed of non-reactive materials that will meet the study's objective(s).

8. Place oil wipe (as necessary), sampling equipment, and sample containers near tanks(s) to be sampled.

AIR MONITORING FOR TOXIC VAPORS, EXPLOSIVE GASES AND OXYGEN DEFICIENT ATMOSPHERES SHOULD BE CONTINUOUS DURING TANK SAMPLING.

Liquids -- Slowly lower the bailer, bacon bomb, DipstickTM, COLIWASA, or Teflon® tubing to the desired sampling depth. (NOTE: In work areas where explosive/flammable atmospheres could occur, peristaltic pumps powered by 12 V. batteries should not be used.) Close the sampling device or create a vacuum and slowly remove the sampling device from

the tank. Release the sample from the device into the sample container. Repeat the procedure until a sufficient sample volume is obtained.

Solids/Semi-Solids - Use a push tube, bucket auger, screw auger, MucksuckerTM, or if conditions permit a pneumatic hammer/drill to obtain the sample. Carefully extrude the sample from the sampling device or use a clean stainless steel spoon to place the sample into containers for analyses.

9. Close the tank when sampling is complete. Segregate contaminated sampling equipment and investigative derived wastes (IDW) containing incompatible materials as determined by the screening procedure (Step #6). At a minimum, contaminated equipment should be cleaned with laboratory detergent and rinsed with tap water prior to returning it from the field. IDW should be managed according to Section 5.15, and Region 4's Contaminated Media Policy.

Miscellaneous Contaminated Materials

Sampling may be required of materials or equipment (e.g., documents, building materials, equipment, etc.) to determine whether or not various surfaces are contaminated by hazardous constituents, or to evaluate the effectiveness of decontamination procedures.

Wipe or swab samples may be taken on non-absorbent, smooth surfaces such as metal, glass, plastic, etc. The wipe materials must be compatible with the solvent used and the analyses to be performed, and should not come apart during use. The wipes are saturated with a solvent; methylene chloride, hexane, isopropanol or analyte free water depending on the parameters to be analyzed. The laboratory performing the analyses can provide the appropriate solvent. Wipe samples should not be collected for volatile organic compounds analysis. Sampling personnel should be aware of hazards associated with the selected solvent and should take appropriate precautions to prevent any skin contact or inhalation of these solvents. All surfaces and areas selected for sampling should be based on the study's

objectives. Typically, 10 cm by 10 cm templates are prepared from aluminum foil which are secured to the surface of interest. The prepared (saturated with solvent) wipe(s) is removed from its container with tongs or gloves, and used to wipe the entire area with firm strokes using only one side of the wipe. The goal is to systematically wipe the whole area. The wipe is then folded with the sample side inward and placed into the sample container. This procedure is repeated until the area is free of visible contamination or no more wipes remain. Care should be taken to keep the sample container tightly sealed to prevent evaporation of the solvent. Samplers must also take care to not touch the used side of the wipe.

For items with porous surfaces such as documents (usually business records), insulation, wood, etc., actual samples of the materials are required. It is therefore important, that during the collection and/or analyses of the sample that evidentiary material is not destroyed.

All secondary containing pails will be secured in the vehicles while transporting the samples from the field to the laboratory for analyses. In addition, each pail should indicate when protective equipment is recommended to handle the actual waste/sample material

REFERENCEs

United States Environmental Protection Agency. November 2001. *Environmental Investigations Standard Operating Procedures and Quality Assurance Manual.*

Roux FOPs:

011 Calibration and Maintenance of Portable Photoionization Detector
046 Sample Labeling, Storage and Shipment Procedures

TABLE 1

SAMPLING EQUIPMENT for VARIOUS WASTE UNITS

Equipment	Waste Units/Phases	Limitations
scoop with bracket/conduit	impoundments, piles, containers, tanks/liquids, solids, sludges	Can be difficult to collect deeper phases in multiphase wastes. Depth constraints.
spoon	impoundments, piles, containers/solids, sludges	Similar limitations as the scoop. Generally not effective in sampling liquids.
push tube	piles, containers/cohesive solids, sludges	Should not be used to sample solids with dimensions >'/2 the diameter of the tube. Depth constraints
auger	impoundments, piles, containers / solids	Can be difficult to use in an impoundment or a container, or for solidified wastes.
sediment sampler	impoundments, piles/solids, sludges	Should not be used to sample solids with dimensions >'/2 the diameter of the tube.
ponar dredge	impoundments/solids, sludges	Must have means to position equipment to desired sampling location. Difficult to decon.
COLIWASA or drum	impoundments, containers,	Not good with viscous wastes. Devices >_ 7'
thief	tanks/liquids	Require 2 samplers to use effectively.
DipstickTM /	impoundments, containers,	Not recommended for tanks >11 feet deep.
MucksuckerTM	tanks/liquids, sludges	Devices _> 7' require 2 samplers to use effectively
bacon bomb	impoundments, tanks/ liquids	Not good with viscous wastes.
bailer	impoundments, tanks/ liquids	Only if waste is homogeneous. Not good with viscous wastes
peristaltic pump with vacuum jug assembly	impoundments, tanks/liquids	Cannot be used in flammable atmospheres. Not good with viscous wastes
back-hoe bucket	piles/solids, sludges	May be difficult to access desired sampling location. Difficult to decon. Can lose volatiles.
split-spoon	piles/solids	Requires drill rig or direct push equipment.
roto-hammer	piles, containers/solids	Physically breaks up sample. May release volatiles. Not for flammable atmospheres.

ROUX

FIELD OPERATING PROCEDURES

Calibration & Maintenance of Portable Particulate Meter

FOP 084.0

CALIBRATION AND MAINTENANCE OF PORTABLE PARTICULATE METER

PURPOSE

This guideline describes a method for calibration of a portable particulate meter, specifically the Thermo Electron Corporation MIE DataRAM 4 (Model DR-4000). The DataRAM 4 measures the concentration of airborne particulate matter (liquid or solid), as well as mean particle size, air temperature, and humidity, providing direct and continuous readout as well as electronic recording of the information. This parameter is of interest both as a general indicator of air quality, and because of its pertinence to community air monitoring typically required at most construction/remediation/investigation sites. The DataRAM covers a wide measurement range from 0.0001 mg/m^3 to 400 mg/m^3. With its large capacity internal data logging capabilities with data retrieval on screen or downloaded, the DataRAM can store up to 50,000 data points, including individual point averages, particle size, temperature, and humidity with time stamp as well as overall average and maximum concentration.

Because the DataRAM meter must be factory calibrated once a year, this guideline presents a method for start-up, operation, and maintenance, which is performed to verify instrument function. All field instruments will be calibrated, verified and recalibrated at frequencies required by their respective operating manuals or manufacturer's specifications, but not less than once each year. Field personnel should have access to all operating manuals for the instruments used for the field measurements. This procedure also documents critical maintenance activities for this meter. The user should reference the manufacturer's instruction manual prior to operating this unit.

ACCURACY & PRECISION

The calibrated accuracy of the DataRAM 4 particulate meter is within ± 2% of reading ± precision over the temperature range of -4° to 158° F (-10° to 50° C) and 10 to 95% relative humidity (non-condensing). The precision is ± 1% of reading or ± 0.001 mg/m^3, whichever

is greater (1-second averaging) and ± 0.3% of reading or ± 0.0003 mg/m^3, whichever is greater (10-second averaging).

INSTRUMENT PANEL VIEW




FIGURE 1. FRONT–PANEL VIEW OF DataRAM




FIGURE 2. BACK–PANEL VIEW OF DataRAM

MAINTENANCE

General Guidelines

The DataRAM 4 is designed to be repaired at the factory. No user serviceable components are inside the metal enclosure of the DataRAM 4 with exception of the filter cartridge or the analytic filter holder. Access to the internal components of the unit by others than authorized MIE personnel voids warranty.

Unless a MALFUNCTION message is displayed, or other operational problems occur, the DataRAM 4 should be returned to the factory once every two years for routine check out, test, cleaning and calibration check.

Battery Charging and Cycling

If the DataRAM 4 is to be operated without its charger/power supply, i.e., deriving power from its internal battery, this battery should be fully charged before initiating a run. The

DataRAM 4 charger/power supply can be connected continuously to the instrument whether the DataRAM 4 is on or off. If the charger/power supply is not connected, the internal battery will discharge very slowly depending on storage temperature. Low storage temperature reduces battery capacity. High storage temperatures, however, reduce battery life which is of the order of 8 years at 20°C (68°F), and only 2 years at 40°C (104°F).

In general, the user should maintain the battery charge as high as possible in order to extend its charge/discharge cycling capacity (this characteristic differs from that of nickel-cadmium batteries).

Instrument Storage

If the DataRAM 4 is to be stored for an extended period of time (i.e., 3 months or more), place the 3-position switch on the back panel in its OFF position (mid-position), in order to minimize gradual battery discharge. This will have no effect on data retention or internal clock function. It is recommended, however, that the battery be recharged every 3 months in order to prolong battery life.

During storage always snap on quick-connect cap over the instrument inlet to protect the sensing optics from gradual dust contamination. Store DataRAM 4 in a dry environment.

Filter Replacement

To replace either of two types of filters used with DataRAM 4, place the instrument on its back rubber feet (front panel facing upward). On the bottom surface of the DataRAM, locate the large threaded plastic filter cover and holding the cross bar, rotate this cover counterclockwise. Remove cover and the filter holder within the open cavity.

HEPA Filter Cartridge Replacement


The DataRAM 4 is shipped from the factory with the HEPA filter cartridge installed. This cartridge can be identified by its metallic cover. Remove this cartridge. Clean the internal black rubber gasket against which the cartridge is normally compressed. Install new HEPA-type cartridge (MIE part no. MSA-95302) by inserting its wider ridged end first. Reposition threaded plastic cover engaging threads carefully; rotate cover clockwise, hand tightening firmly. Properly dispose of used cartridge to prevent inadvertent re-use.

Analytic Filter Installation/Replacement

In order to install or replace the analytical filter holder, proceed as follows. Remove the HEPA cartridge normally in place. Remove (separate) the inlet cover (with the blue plug) of the Millipore plastic filter holder from the rest of that holder assembly containing the white membrane filter. Insert firmly the gray plastic adapter annulus into the open face of the filter holder assembly. Remove the red plastic plug from the exhaust nipple of the filter holder assembly. Ensure that all three components of the holder assembly are fully compressed to preclude any leafage. Insert the assembly into the filter cavity of the DataRAM 4 with the gray plastic adapter annulus bearing against the internal black gasket (adapter annulus inserted first). Reposition threaded plastic cover and hand-tighten carefully and firmly. Set aside HEPA cartridge for future use.

In order to remove and/or to replace the membrane filter within its holder, remove the gray plastic adapter annulus and separate (pry apart) the two transparent plastic rings that compress the membrane filter. Make sure to remove and replace only the membrane filter (using tweezers), leaving the white backing disc in the holder. A new membrane filter should then be placed over that backing and the sealing ring should then be inserted to trap and compress the filter and backing discs. For storage, the inlet cap with the blue plug should be inserted as well as the red plug on the back of the filter holder.

Analytical filter holder with adapter annulus inserted



Cleaning of Optical Sensing Chamber


Although the DataRAM 4 incorporates filtered air shielding of the critical optical sensing surfaces, continued sampling of airborne particles at high concentrations may result in gradual build-up of contamination on those interior surfaces of the sensing chamber components. This may cause an excessively high optical background level. If this background level does becomes excessive, the DataRAM 4 will alert the user at the completion of the zeroing sequence by the display of a BACKGROUND HIGH message. If this message is presented, the DataRAM 4 can continue to be operated providing accurate measurements. However, it is then advisable to clean the front surfaces of the optical lenses within the sensing chamber at the first convenient opportunity, as described below. The tools required for this cleaning are: an intense concentrated light source (e.g., flash light) to view the inside of the sensing chamber, denatured alcohol, a soft lint-free cloth, and the special cleaning tool provided with the DataRAM 4 consisting of a cut-off cotton swab inserted in a plastic sleeve and held by a right-angle Allen wrench.

Proceed as follows to clean the lens surfaces within the sensing chamber:

- **Make sure to shut off power completely before proceeding with cleaning**
- Install the stainless steel cover on the inlet of the DataRAM 4 to protect this fitting.
- Place the DataRAM 4 upside down on a table, resting the instrument on the inlet cover and the rear protective bumper.
- Unscrew the gray plastic cover of the filter cavity on the bottom surface of the DataRAM 4.
- Remove the filter cartridge from its cavity.
- Carefully clean the black soft filter-sealing gasket within the filter cavity by wiping it with the lint-free soft cloth. Use alcohol if necessary.
- Shine the concentrated light source into the sensing chamber located about 3 cm (1¼ in.) beyond the soft-sealing gasket in the filter cavity.
- Locate the three smaller side cavities inside the sensing chamber, identified by the arrows on that figure (see page 6). These three cavities contain the lenses of the two sources and the common detector of the DataRAM 4. The frontal surfaces of these lenses are likely to require cleaning if the instrument indicates BACKGROUND HIGH.
- Wet the cotton swab of the lens-cleaning tool with alcohol (e.g., methanol, ethanol, or rubbing alcohol).

- Holding the cleaning tool by its long handle, insert this tool into the sensing chamber without touching the walls of this chamber.
- Direct the cotton swab tip towards the opening of one of the three smaller cavities as indicated by the arrows of the figure below, and insert the cotton tip into this cavity as far as it will go. Gently wipe that internal surface touched by the swab tip by a rotating motion. Carefully withdraw the swab tip from the cavity.
- Repeat previous cleaning step for the other two small cavities.
- Carefully remove the cleaning tool from the sensing chamber. Allow the alcohol to dry leaving the filter cavity open for about 15 minutes.
- Re-insert the filter cartridge into its cavity and close it with its gray plastic cover, hand-tightening it firmly. Remove the inlet cap and store on its pod on the back panel.
- Place the DataRAM 4 right side up and key ON. Proceed to check its optical background by running the ZERO/INITIALIZE check as. The message READY! should appear at the end of this check indicating that the lens contamination has been eliminated. Should the message BACKGROUND HIGH persist after completion of the above-described lens cleaning procedure, please contact the factory.

Lens cleaning tool and bottom view of open filter cavity showing location of sensor chamber lens cavities (arrows).



FACTORY CALIBRATION

For mass concentration measurements, each DataRAM 4 is factory calibrated against a set of reference monitors that, in turn, are periodically calibrated against a gravimetric standard traceable to the National Institute of Standards and Testing (NIST).

The primary factory reference method consists of generating a dust aerosol by means of a fluidized bed generator, and injecting continuously the dust into a mixing chamber from which samples are extracted concurrently by two reference filter collectors and by two master real-time monitors that are used for the routine calibration of every DataRAM 4.

The primary dust concentration reference value is obtained from the weight increase of the two filters due to the dust collected over a measured period of time, at a constant and known flow rate. The two master real-time monitors are then adjusted to agree with the reference mass concentration value (obtained from averaging the measurements of the two gravimetric filters) to within ±1%.

Three primary, NIST traceable, measurements are involved in the determination of the reference mass concentration: the weight increment from the dust collected on the filter, the sampling flow rate, and the sampling time. Additional conditions that must be met are: a) suspended dust concentration uniformity at all sampling inlets of the mixing chamber; b) identical sample transport configurations leading to reference and instrument under calibration; and c) essentially 100% collection efficiency of filters used for gravimetric reference for the particle size range of the test dust.

The test dust used for the MIE factory calibration of the DataRAM 4 is SAE Fine (ISO Fine) supplied by Powder Technology, Inc. It has the following physical characteristics (as dispersed into the mixing chamber):

- Mass median aerodynamic particle diameter: 2 to 3 μm
- Geometric standard deviation of lognormal size distribution: 2.5
- Bulk density: 2.60 to 2.65 g/cm3
- Refractive index: 1.54

In addition to the mass calibration described above, the DataRAM 4 is factory calibrated using a gas with known scattering coefficient in order to adjust the relative scattering irradiance at the two source wavelengths.

ATTACHMENTS

None

ROUX

FIELD OPERATING PROCEDURES

Field Quality Control Procedures

FOP 085.0

FIELD QUALITY CONTROL PROCEDURES

PURPOSE

In addition to traditional environmental samples (e.g., soil, groundwater, wipe, vapor etc.) described in each project work plan, site-specific field quality assurance/quality control (QA/QC) samples are typically collected and analyzed to support the required third-party data usability assessment effort of a project. Site-specific QA/QC samples generally include matrix spikes, matrix spike duplicates, blind duplicates (where appropriate), and trip blanks which accompany aqueous volatile organic compound (VOC) samples only.

The number of QA/QC field samples (blind duplicate, matrix spike/matrix spike duplicate, trip blank, field blank, or equipment blank) will be designated prior to field mobilization, but final QC sample locations will be contingent upon field conditions. This procedure outlines and discusses each QA/QC sample that may be required during a project.

PROCEDURE

A brief summary of each QA/QC sample identified above is presented below. Where appropriate, the procedure to be used to collect these samples is also presented.

- **Trip Blanks** – A sufficient number of trip blanks for VOC analysis must be prepared by the laboratory and delivered to the sampling team prior to a sampling event, typically two or three 40-ml VOA vials with organic free reagent water. One sealed blank will be carried into the field per day along with the sample containers for each day that water matrix volatile organic samples are collected. Trip blanks will be transported and handled in the same manner as the actual samples. The results of the trip blank analysis will be reviewed to evaluate if the potential for sample contamination during transportation and handling exists. The trip blanks will be analyzed for the same VOCs (and method) as the project groundwater samples.

- **Blind Duplicate** – One blind duplicate must be collected and analyzed per 20 samples collected per matrix (i.e., soil, groundwater, soil vapor, etc.). The location

of the sample collection point will not be disclosed to the analytical laboratory, therefore the field sample containers will be returned to the laboratory identified only as the "blind duplicate." The well or sample location will be recorded in the Project Field Book or handheld RuggedReader® Pocket PC and on the field data sheets, and the results will be compared to review analytical precision. Sample analysis will be identical to the original sample per the project work plan. The Blind Duplicate sample must be collected simultaneously from the same source under identical conditions as the original sample.

- **Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate (MS/MSD)** – A sufficient volume of sample will be collected at one sampling location per sampling event for MS/MSD analysis per matrix (i.e., soil and groundwater only). The laboratory will report the results of the MS/MSD analysis, which will be reviewed for sampling and analysis precision and accuracy. Sample analysis will be identical to the original sample per the project work plan. The MS/MSD sample must be collected simultaneously from the same source under identical conditions as the original sample.

- **Equipment (Rinsate) Blank** – In general, dedicated sampling equipment is used to minimize field decontamination time and avoid the need for equipment blanks; however there may be instances where the use of non-dedicated equipment cannot be avoided. An equipment blank will be collected for each day of sampling activity when non-dedicated sampling equipment is used. These equipment blank samples will be used as a QC check of the decontamination procedures for sampling equipment. Sample analysis for the equipment blank will consist of the most comprehensive parameter list used for risk assessment in which the non-dedicated equipment was used for environmental sample collection. During most projects, every effort to use dedicated sampling equipment should be made in order to minimize field decontamination time and avoid the need for equipment blanks. Equipment Blank sampling procedure is as follows:
    - Non-dedicated equipment are to be decontaminated in accordance with Roux's Non-disposable and Non-dedicated Sampling Equipment Decontamination procedures prior to use in the field. If organic-free

deionized water (generally provided by the laboratory) is not available for decontamination, equipment will be allowed to thoroughly air dry.
    - Once properly rinsed or allowed to air dry, analyte-free water (provided by the laboratory) is poured appropriately over or through the decontaminated sample collection device, collected in a sample container, and returned to the laboratory as a sample.

- **Field Blank** – A field blank is a sample of the unused final decontamination rinse water that is collected at the sampling site and returned to the laboratory as a sample. Sample analysis for the field blank will consist of the most comprehensive parameter list used during the investigation.

- **Split Sample** – A split sample is a sample that has been portioned into two or more containers from a single sample container or sample mixing container. Samples for VOC analysis should never be mixed prior to splitting.

- **Blank Wipe Samples** – There are two types of blank wipe samples, an equipment blank and a field blank that may be required per the project work plan, both are described below:
    - Equipment Blank – Required only if reusable templates are used for wipe sample collection. The decontaminated template is wiped with a hexane saturated swab. The swab is placed in the appropriate sample container and returned to the laboratory as a sample.
    - Field Blank – Clean disposable gloves are wiped with a hexane saturated swab. The swab is placed in the appropriate sample container and returned to the laboratory as a sample.

REFERENCES

Roux FOPs:

040 Non-disposable and Non-dedicated Sampling Equipment Decontamination

ROUX

FIELD OPERATING PROCEDURES

Underground Piping Decommissioning Procedures

FOP 088.0

UNDERGROUND PIPING DECOMMISSIONING PROCEDURES

PURPOSE

This procedure describes the method for the excavation and removal of underground piping requiring decommissioning that may contain hazardous or flammable material. Prior to each day or as necessary, the project Health and Safety Plan (HASP) will be reviewed with field personnel (e.g., tailgate safety meeting). It is the responsibility of field personnel to ensure all field equipment is in proper working order and calibrated according to manufacturer's recommendations.

PROCEDURE

1. Review project objectives and the Project HASP with the Contractor.

2. Perform excavation equipment safety checks with the operator. Specific concerns should include, but not limited to, no leaking hydraulic lines, fire extinguisher on board of the excavation equipment, operator experience etc.

3. Conduct tailgate health and safety meeting with project team and excavation operator(s) by completing the Tailgate Safety Meeting Form (sample attached). Discuss with excavation contractor personnel the scope of work and what will be expected of them.

4. Calibrate air-monitoring equipment in accordance with the appropriate TurnKey's Field Operating Procedures or manufacturers recommendations for calibration of field meters.

5. Conduct community air monitoring as required by the HASP and/or Project Work Plan. Record all results on the Real Time Air Monitoring Log (sample attached), as necessary.

6. Mobilize the excavation equipment to the site and position over the required location.

7. Pre-stake locations in the field and measure distance from locations to nearest landmarks or survey the location using a handheld GPS unit, as required.

8. Wear appropriate protective gear (i.e., latex gloves, safety glasses), as required in the project HASP.

9. Excavate and expose underground piping requiring decommissioning in accordance with TurnKey's Test Pit Excavation & Logging Procedures FOP. Great care should be taken to avoid damaging the pipe and allowing the contents, if any, to be released to the environment.

10. Once exposed, over excavate the area around the section of pipe to be cut and place a container below the pipe. Tap two test holes in the top of the pipe using an intrinsically safe drill with non-sparking drill bit approximately 15 feet from the open end of the pipe (above the container).

11. Continue monitoring the ambient air space within the excavation for worker safety until work is complete. Refer to the project HASP for action levels.

12. Remove any solids and/or liquids within the first 15 to 20 feet of exposed pipe to the extent practicable.

13. Insert an appropriately sized double pipe seal apparatus into the open end of the pipe.

14. Using a single pipe seal apparatus attached to a steel rod, push the double pipe seal into the pipe beyond the tap holes (approximately 16 feet or so). Be sure to capture any residuals flowing out of the two tap holes with the previously mentioned container.

15. Retract the single pipe seal and push rod leaving an approximate 1 to 2 foot void space between the single and double pipe seal.

16. Through one of the tap holes, test the ambient air quality of the newly created void space between seals with an oxygen meter and explosimeter. If the air quality within the pipe indicates 0% oxygen on the oxygen meter and less than

10% Lower Explosive Limit (LEL) on the explosimeter, proceed with cutting the pipe into workable sections.

17. If the void space air quality exceeds either of those levels, inject nitrogen (or approved other inert gas) through the tap holes into the void air-space until safe levels are achieved. Nitrogen is 3% less dense than ambient air.

18. Cut the pipe into manageable sections (typically 15 feet) while periodically monitoring the ambient air within the pipe and injecting additional nitrogen (or approved other inert gas), as necessary.

19. Once section of pipe is removed, proceed with final cleaning activities in accordance with the project Work Plan and any local, state, and/or federal regulations.

20. Record all observations in the Project Field Book, including but not limited to length of recovered pipe, air monitoring observations, depths, diameters, etc.

ATTACHMENTS

Tailgate Safety Meeting Form (sample)
Real Time Air Monitoring Log (sample)

REFERENCES

TurnKey FOPs:
006 Calibration and Maintenance of Combustible Gas/Oxygen Meter
065 Test Pit Excavation & Logging Procedures

TAILGATE SAFETY MEETING FORM

Project Name: Date: Time:
Project Number: Client:
Work Activities:

HOSPITAL INFORMATION:

Name:
Address: *City:* *State:* *Zip:*
Phone No.: *Ambulance Phone No.*

SAFETY TOPICS PRESENTED:

Chemical Hazards:

Physical Hazards: Slips, Trips, Falls

PERSONAL PROTECTIVE EQUIPMENT:

Activity:	*PPE Level:*	A	B	C	D
Activity:	*PPE Level:*	A	B	C	D
Activity:	*PPE Level:*	A	B	C	D
Activity:	*PPE Level:*	A	B	C	D
Activity:	*PPE Level:*	A	B	C	D

New Equipment:

Other Safety Topic (s): Environmental Hazards (aggressive fauna)
Eating, drinking, use of tobacco products is prohibited in the Exclusion Zone (EZ)

ATTENDEES

Name Printed	Signatures

Meeting conducted by:

SAMPLE

REAL TIME AIR MONITORING LOG

Date:
Project Name:
Project Number:
Project Location:
Client:
Purpose of Air Monitoring:

WEATHER CONDITIONS:

Time of Day:	A.M.	P.M.
Ambient Air Temp.:		
Wind Direction:		
Wind Speed:		
Precipitation:		

Date	Personnel	Time	Air Monitoring Meter Measurement (Units)							Location/Activity/Comments
			PID (ppm)	LEL (%)	H_2S (ppm)	O_2 (%)	CO (ppm)	Particulates (mg/m³)	Other	

SAMPLE

NOTE: SEE EQUIPMENT CALIBRATION LOG FOR DESCRIPTION OF EQUIPMENT TYPE.

Prepared By: Date:

ROUX

FIELD OPERATING PROCEDURES

Outdoor Ambient Air VOC Sample Collection Procedure

FOP 090.0

OUTDOOR AMBIENT AIR VOC SAMPLE COLLECTION PROCEDURE

PURPOSE

This procedure describes the methods for collecting outdoor ambient air samples for volatile organic compound (VOC) analysis via USEPA Method TO-15 using Summa® canisters (or approved other). Typically, outdoor air samples are collected to characterize and document site-specific VOCs that may be present in outdoor ambient air. For sample collection associated with intrusive activities that may potentially release VOCs to the ambient air, sample location(s) typically are collected downwind of the intrusive activity at the perimeter of the work area and/or exclusion zone for the Site. Upwind sample location(s) may be utilized if regional facilities (e.g. gasoline service station, factories) are located proximate to the Site to assess off-site ambient VOC contributions (background).

SAMPLE COLLECTION PROCEDURES

The following actions should be taken to document conditions during outdoor air sampling and ultimately to aid in the interpretation of the analytical results:

- A site map should be prepared to indicate the outdoor ambient air sample locations including all site improvements (e.g., buildings, access roads, etc.), public roads/streets (if applicable), the location of potential VOC contributors (e.g., gasoline stations, factories, lawn movers, etc.), compass orientation (north), and scale.

- Weather conditions (e.g., precipitation, wind speed, outdoor temperature, and barometric pressure) should be reported on the Air Canister Field Record (sample attached); and

- Any pertinent observations, such as odors, readings from field instrumentation, and significant activities in the vicinity (e.g., operation of heavy equipment or dry cleaners) should be recorded.

The following describes the outdoor air sampling procedure:

1. Typically, a 6-liter, passivated (inert), stainless steel, evacuated sampling sphere (e.g., Summa canister) (or approved other) will be supplied by the laboratory that will be conducting the analysis. The canister should be received from the laboratory, certified clean, evacuated, and prepared for sampling.

2. Sampling will take place in accordance with the project work plan. Selected sample locations will be sufficiently spaced to allow location(s) to be field modified, if necessary.

3. The number of Summa canisters required as well as the flow rate of the constant differential low volume flow controllers will be supplied by the laboratory in accordance with the project work plan.

4. Prior to placement, complete an Air Canister Field Record (sample attached) of each canister, which includes: project information, field staff, weather conditions, canister serial number, flow controller number, sample date(s)/time(s), shipping date(s), canister lab vacuum, field vacuum check, initial field vacuum, final field vacuum, and duration of sample collection.

5. The pressure in the canisters must be monitored with the laboratory provided pressure gauge at the beginning and the end of the sampling period as well as before and after shipment of the canisters at the laboratory. The **target final field vacuum must be approximately 5 inches of mercury. Samples with a final field vacuum of greater than 10 inches of mercury, or equal to zero, will be flagged** and usability of the data will depend on the sample volume and reporting limits that can be achieved.

6. Canisters may be placed on the ground provided there is a clear plastic sheet beneath it to prevent cross contamination. The intake tubing, however, must be positioned at a height of approximately 3 to 5-feet above grade to collect air at an elevation representative of ambient air within the breathing zone. Typically, the canister is chained and locked to a secure step ladder with the intake tubing tethered to the ladder.

7. Ship the canisters to the laboratory under chain-of-custody command within three days of sample collection so that no sample will exceed the 30-day holding time (since receipt from the lab) per USEPA TO-15.

8. Air samples will be analyzed by Gas Chromatography/Mass Spectroscopy (GC/MS) in accordance with EPA Method TO-15, or as specified. Analytical results will be reported as concentrations of each VOC at each location during each sampling event, typically in parts per billion by volume (ppbv).

9. Sample collection should take place on warm, dry days. If rain or high humidity conditions develop during sampling, the sampling event should be suspended. Temperature, barometric pressure, and wind speed should be monitored during the sampling event, for use in analysis of the results. The combination of sampling location, height, and meteorological conditions will assure that sampling will measure VOCs at their highest concentrations.

QUALITY ASSURANCE / QUALITY CONTROL (QA/QC)

Extreme care should be taken during all aspects of sample collection to ensure that sampling error is minimized and high quality data are obtained. The sampling team members should avoid actions (e.g., fueling vehicles, using permanent marking pens, and wearing freshly dry-cleaned clothing or personal fragrances), which can cause sample interference in the field. Appropriate QA/QC protocols must be followed for sample collection and laboratory analysis, such as use of certified clean sample devices, meeting sample holding times and temperatures, sample accession, chain of custody, etc. Samples should be delivered to the analytical laboratory as soon as possible after collection. In addition, laboratory accession procedures must be followed including field documentation (sample collection information and locations), chain of custody, field blanks, field sample duplicates, and laboratory duplicates, as appropriate.

Some methods require collecting samples in duplicate to assess errors. Duplicate and/or split samples should be collected in accordance with the requirements of the sampling and analytical methods being implemented.

For certain regulatory programs, a Data Usability Summary Report (DUSR) may be required to determine whether or not the data, as presented, meets the site or project specific criteria for data quality and data use. This requirement may dictate the level of QC and the category of data deliverable to request from the laboratory. Guidance on preparing a DUSR is available by contacting the NYSDEC's Division of Environmental Remediation.

New York State Public Health Law requires laboratories analyzing environmental samples collected from within New York State to have current Environmental Laboratory Approval Program (ELAP) certification for the appropriate analyte and environmental matrix combinations. If ELAP certification is not currently required for an analyte (e.g., trichloroethene); then the analysis should be performed by a laboratory that has ELAP certification for similar compounds in air and uses analytical methods with detection limits similar to background (e.g., tetrachloroethene via EPA Method TO-15).

ATTACHMENTS

Air Canister Field Record (sample)

REFERENCES

United States Environmental Protection Agency. *Compendium of Methods for the Determination of Toxic Organic Compounds in Ambient Air*. Second Addition (EPA/625/R-96/010b). January 1999.

AIR CANISTER FIELD RECORD

PROJECT INFORMATION:

Project:
Job No:
Location:
Field Staff:
Client:

SAMPLE I.D.:

Size of Canister:
Canister Serial No.:
Flow Controller No.:
Sample Date(s):
Shipping Date:
Sample Type: ☐ Indoor Air ☐ Outdoor Air
☐ Subslab, complete section below ☐ Soil Gas
Soil Gas Probe Depth:

WEATHER CONDITIONS:

Ambient Air Temp. - A.M.:
Ambient Air Temp. - P.M.:
Wind Direction:
Wind Speed:
Precipitation:

FIELD SAMPLING INFORMATION:

READING	TIME	VACUUM (inches Hg) or PRESSURE (psig)	DATE	INITIALS
Lab Vacuum (on tag)				
Field Vacuum Check [1]				
Initial Field Vacuum [2]				
Final Field Vacuum [3]				
Duration of Sample Collection				

LABORATORY CANISTER PRESSURIZATION:

Initial Vacuum (inches Hg and psia)		
Final Pressure (psia)		
Pressurization Gas		

SUBSLAB SHROUD:

Shroud Helium Concentration:
Calculated tubing volume: x 3 =
Purged Tubing Volume Concentration:
Is the purged volume concentration less than or equal to 10% in shroud?
☐ YES, continue sampling
☐ NO, improve surface seal and retest

COMPOSITE TIME (hours)	FLOW RATE RANGE (ml/min)
15 Min.	316 - 333
0.5 Hours	158 - 166.7
1	79.2 - 83.3
2	39.6 - 41.7
4	19.8 - 20.8
6	13.2 - 13.9
8	9.9 - 10.4
10	7.92 - 8.3
12	6.6 - 6.9
24	3.5 - 4.0

NOTES:

1 Vacuum measured using portable vacuum gauge (provided by Lab)
2 Vacuum measured by canister gauge upon opening valve
3 Vacuum measured by canister gauge prior to closing valve

Signed:

Soil Vapor/Indoor Air Matrix D

February 2024

Analytes Assigned:
Benzene, ethylbenzene, naphthalene, cyclohexane, isooctane (2,2,4-trimethylpentane), 1,2,4-trimethylbenzene, 1,3,5-trimethylbenzene, *o*-xylene

	INDOOR AIR CONCENTRATION of COMPOUND (mcg/m³)		
SUB-SLAB VAPOR CONCENTRATION of COMPOUND (mcg/m³)	< 2	2 to < 10	10 and above
< 60	1. No further action	2. No Further Action	3. IDENTIFY SOURCE(S) or RESAMPLE or MITIGATE
60 to < 600	4. No further action	5. MONITOR	6. MITIGATE
600 and above	7. MITIGATE	8. MITIGATE	9. MITIGATE

mcg/m³ = micrograms per cubic meter

No further action: No additional actions are recommended to address human exposures.

Identify Source(s) or Resample or Mitigate: We recommend that reasonable and practical actions be taken to identify the source(s) affecting the indoor air quality and that actions be implemented to reduce indoor air concentrations to within background ranges. For example, if an indoor or outdoor air source is identified, we recommend the appropriate party implement actions to reduce the levels. In the event that indoor or outdoor sources are not readily identified or confirmed, resampling (which might include additional sub-slab vapor and indoor air sampling locations) is recommended to demonstrate that SVI mitigation actions are not needed. Based on the information available, mitigation might also be recommended when soil vapor intrusion cannot be ruled out.

Monitor: We recommend monitoring (sampling on a recurring basis), including but not necessarily limited to sub-slab vapor, basement air and outdoor air sampling, to determine whether concentrations in the indoor air or sub-slab vapor have changed and/or to evaluate temporal influences. Monitoring might also be recommended to determine whether existing building conditions (e.g., positive pressure heating, ventilation, and air-conditioning systems) are maintaining the desired mitigation endpoint and to determine whether changes are needed. The type and frequency of monitoring is determined based on site-, building- and analyte-specific information, taking into account applicable environmental data and building operating conditions. Monitoring is an interim measure required to evaluate exposures related to soil vapor intrusion until contaminated environmental media are remediated.

Mitigate: We recommend mitigation to minimize current or potential exposures associated with soil vapor intrusion. The most common mitigation methods are sealing preferential pathways in conjunction with installing a sub-slab depressurization system and changing the pressurization of the building in conjunction with monitoring. The type, or combination of types, of mitigation is determined on a building -specific basis, taking into account building construction and operating conditions. Mitigation is considered a temporary measure implemented to address exposures related to soil vapor intrusion until contaminated environmental media are remediated.

These general recommendations are made with consideration being given to the additional notes on page 2.

ADDITIONAL NOTES FOR MATRIX D

This matrix summarizes actions recommended to address current and potential exposures related to soil vapor intrusion. To use the matrix appropriately as a tool in the decision-making process, the following should be noted:

[1] The matrix is generic. As such, it may be appropriate to modify a recommended action to accommodate analyte-specific, building-specific conditions (e.g., dirt floor in basement, crawl spaces, thick slabs, current occupancy, etc.), and/or factors provided in Section 3.2 of the guidance (e.g., current land use, environmental conditions, etc.). For example, collection of additional samples may be recommended when the matrix indicates "no further action" for a particular building, but the results of adjacent buildings (especially sub-slab vapor results) indicate a need to take actions to address exposures related to soil vapor intrusion. Mitigation might be recommended when the results of multiple contaminants indicate monitoring is recommended. Proactive actions may be proposed at any time. For example, the party implementing the actions may decide to install sub-slab depressurization systems on buildings where the matrix indicates "no further action" or "monitoring." Such an action might be undertaken for reasons other than public health (e.g., seeking community acceptance, reducing costs, etc.). However, actions implemented *in lieu* of sampling will typically be expected to be captured in the final engineering report and site management plan, and might not rule out the need for post-implementation sampling (e.g., to document effectiveness or to support terminating the action).

[2] Actions provided in the matrix are specific to addressing human exposures. Implementation of these actions does not preclude investigating possible sources of soil vapor contamination, nor does it preclude remediating contaminated soil vapor or the source of soil vapor contamination.

[3] Appropriate care should be taken during all aspects of sample collection to ensure that high quality data are obtained. Since the data are being used in the decision-making process, the laboratory analyzing the environmental samples must have current Environmental Laboratory Approval Program (ELAP) certification for the appropriate analyte and environmental matrix combinations. Furthermore, samples should be analyzed by methods that can achieve a minimum reporting limit of 1 microgram per cubic meter for indoor and outdoor air samples. For sub-slab vapor samples and dirt floor soil vapor samples, a minimum reporting limit of 1 microgram per cubic meter is recommended.

[4] Sub-slab vapor and indoor air samples are typically collected when the likelihood of soil vapor intrusion to occur is considered to be the greatest (i.e., worst-case conditions). If samples are collected at other times (typically, samples collected outside of the heating season), then resampling during worst-case conditions might be appropriate to verify that actions taken to address exposures related to soil vapor intrusion are protective of human health.

[5] When current exposures are attributed to sources other than soil vapor intrusion, the agencies should be given documentation (e.g., applicable environmental data, completed indoor air sampling questionnaire, digital photographs, etc.) to support a proposed action other than that provided in the matrix box and to support agency assessment and follow-up.

[6] The party responsible for implementing the recommended actions will differ depending upon several factors, including but not limited to the following: the identified source of the volatile chemicals, the environmental remediation program, and analyte-specific, site-specific and building-specific factors.

Soil Vapor/Indoor Air Matrix E

February 2024

Analytes Assigned:
m,p-xylene, heptane, hexane

	INDOOR AIR CONCENTRATION of COMPOUND (mcg/m³)		
SUB-SLAB VAPOR CONCENTRATION of COMPOUND (mcg/m³)	< 6	6 to < 20	20 and above
< 200	1. No further action	2. No Further Action	3. IDENTIFY SOURCE(S) or RESAMPLE or MITIGATE
200 to < 2,000	4. No further action	5. MONITOR	6. MITIGATE
2,000 and above	7. MITIGATE	8. MITIGATE	9. MITIGATE

mcg/m³ = micrograms per cubic meter

No further action: No additional actions are recommended to address human exposures.

Identify Source(s) or Resample or Mitigate: We recommend that reasonable and practical actions be taken to identify the source(s) affecting the indoor air quality and that actions be implemented to reduce indoor air concentrations to within background ranges. For example, if an indoor or outdoor air source is identified, we recommend the appropriate party implement actions to reduce the levels. In the event that indoor or outdoor sources are not readily identified or confirmed, resampling (which might include additional sub-slab vapor and indoor air sampling locations) is recommended to demonstrate that SVI mitigation actions are not needed. Based on the information available, mitigation might also be recommended when soil vapor intrusion cannot be ruled out.

Monitor: We recommend monitoring (sampling on a recurring basis), including but not necessarily limited to sub-slab vapor, basement air and outdoor air sampling, to determine whether concentrations in the indoor air or sub-slab vapor have changed and/or to evaluate temporal influences. Monitoring might also be recommended to determine whether existing building conditions (e.g., positive pressure heating, ventilation, and air-conditioning systems) are maintaining the desired mitigation endpoint and to determine whether changes are needed. The type and frequency of monitoring is determined based on site-, building- and analyte-specific information, taking into account applicable environmental data and building operating conditions. Monitoring is an interim measure required to evaluate exposures related to soil vapor intrusion until contaminated environmental media are remediated.

Mitigate: We recommend mitigation to minimize current or potential exposures associated with soil vapor intrusion. The most common mitigation methods are sealing preferential pathways in conjunction with installing a sub-slab depressurization system and changing the pressurization of the building in conjunction with monitoring. The type, or combination of types, of mitigation is determined on a building -specific basis, taking into account building construction and operating conditions. Mitigation is considered a temporary measure implemented to address exposures related to soil vapor intrusion until contaminated environmental media are remediated.

These general recommendations are made with consideration being given to the additional notes on page 2.

ADDITIONAL NOTES FOR MATRIX E

This matrix summarizes actions recommended to address current and potential exposures related to soil vapor intrusion. To use the matrix appropriately as a tool in the decision-making process, the following should be noted:

[1] The matrix is generic. As such, it may be appropriate to modify a recommended action to accommodate analyte-specific, building-specific conditions (e.g., dirt floor in basement, crawl spaces, thick slabs, current occupancy, etc.), and/or factors provided in Section 3.2 of the guidance (e.g., current land use, environmental conditions, etc.). For example, collection of additional samples may be recommended when the matrix indicates "no further action" for a particular building, but the results of adjacent buildings (especially sub-slab vapor results) indicate a need to take actions to address exposures related to soil vapor intrusion. Mitigation might be recommended when the results of multiple contaminants indicate monitoring is recommended. Proactive actions may be proposed at any time. For example, the party implementing the actions may decide to install sub-slab depressurization systems on buildings where the matrix indicates "no further action" or "monitoring." Such an action might be undertaken for reasons other than public health (e.g., seeking community acceptance, reducing costs, etc.). However, actions implemented *in lieu* of sampling will typically be expected to be captured in the final engineering report and site management plan, and might not rule out the need for post-implementation sampling (e.g., to document effectiveness or to support terminating the action).

[2] Actions provided in the matrix are specific to addressing human exposures. Implementation of these actions does not preclude investigating possible sources of soil vapor contamination, nor does it preclude remediating contaminated soil vapor or the source of soil vapor contamination.

[3] Appropriate care should be taken during all aspects of sample collection to ensure that high quality data are obtained. Since the data are being used in the decision-making process, the laboratory analyzing the environmental samples must have current Environmental Laboratory Approval Program (ELAP) certification for the appropriate analyte and environmental matrix combinations. Furthermore, samples should be analyzed by methods that can achieve a minimum reporting limit of 1 microgram per cubic meter for indoor and outdoor air samples. For sub-slab vapor samples and dirt floor soil vapor samples, a minimum reporting limit of 1 microgram per cubic meter is recommended.

[4] Sub-slab vapor and indoor air samples are typically collected when the likelihood of soil vapor intrusion to occur is considered to be the greatest (i.e., worst-case conditions). If samples are collected at other times (typically, samples collected outside of the heating season), then resampling during worst-case conditions might be appropriate to verify that actions taken to address exposures related to soil vapor intrusion are protective of human health.

[5] When current exposures are attributed to sources other than soil vapor intrusion, the agencies should be given documentation (e.g., applicable environmental data, completed indoor air sampling questionnaire, digital photographs, etc.) to support a proposed action other than that provided in the matrix box and to support agency assessment and follow-up.

[6] The party responsible for implementing the recommended actions will differ depending upon several factors, including but not limited to the following: the identified source of the volatile chemicals, the environmental remediation program, and analyte-specific, site-specific and building-specific factors.

Soil Vapor/Indoor Air Matrix F

February 2024

Analytes Assigned:
Toluene

	INDOOR AIR CONCENTRATION of COMPOUND (mcg/m³)		
SUB-SLAB VAPOR CONCENTRATION of COMPOUND (mcg/m³)	< 10	10 to < 50	50 and above
< 300	1. No Further Action	2. No Further Action	3. IDENTIFY SOURCE(S) or RESAMPLE or MITIGATE
300 to < 3,000	4. No Further Action	5. MONITOR	6. MITIGATE
3,000 and above	7. MITIGATE	8. MITIGATE	9. MITIGATE

mcg/m³ = micrograms per cubic meter

No further action: No additional actions are recommended to address human exposures.

Identify Source(s) or Resample or Mitigate: We recommend that reasonable and practical actions be taken to identify the source(s) affecting the indoor air quality and that actions be implemented to reduce indoor air concentrations to within background ranges. For example, if an indoor or outdoor air source is identified, we recommend the appropriate party implement actions to reduce the levels. In the event that indoor or outdoor sources are not readily identified or confirmed, resampling (which might include additional sub-slab vapor and indoor air sampling locations) is recommended to demonstrate that SVI mitigation actions are not needed. Based on the information available, mitigation might also be recommended when soil vapor intrusion cannot be ruled out.

Monitor: We recommend monitoring (sampling on a recurring basis), including but not necessarily limited to sub-slab vapor, basement air and outdoor air sampling, to determine whether concentrations in the indoor air or sub-slab vapor have changed and/or to evaluate temporal influences. Monitoring might also be recommended to determine whether existing building conditions (e.g., positive pressure heating, ventilation, and air-conditioning systems) are maintaining the desired mitigation endpoint and to determine whether changes are needed. The type and frequency of monitoring is determined based on site-, building- and analyte-specific information, taking into account applicable environmental data and building operating conditions. Monitoring is an interim measure required to evaluate exposures related to soil vapor intrusion until contaminated environmental media are remediated.

Mitigate: We recommend mitigation to minimize current or potential exposures associated with soil vapor intrusion. The most common mitigation methods are sealing preferential pathways in conjunction with installing a sub-slab depressurization system and changing the pressurization of the building in conjunction with monitoring. The type, or combination of types, of mitigation is determined on a building -specific basis, taking into account building construction and operating conditions. Mitigation is considered a temporary measure implemented to address exposures related to soil vapor intrusion until contaminated environmental media are remediated.

These general recommendations are made with consideration being given to the additional notes on page 2.

ADDITIONAL NOTES FOR MATRIX F

This matrix summarizes actions recommended to address current and potential exposures related to soil vapor intrusion. To use the matrix appropriately as a tool in the decision-making process, the following should be noted:

[1] The matrix is generic. As such, it may be appropriate to modify a recommended action to accommodate analyte-specific, building-specific conditions (e.g., dirt floor in basement, crawl spaces, thick slabs, current occupancy, etc.), and/or factors provided in Section 3.2 of the guidance (e.g., current land use, environmental conditions, etc.). For example, collection of additional samples may be recommended when the matrix indicates "no further action" for a particular building, but the results of adjacent buildings (especially sub-slab vapor results) indicate a need to take actions to address exposures related to soil vapor intrusion. Mitigation might be recommended when the results of multiple contaminants indicate monitoring is recommended. Proactive actions may be proposed at any time. For example, the party implementing the actions may decide to install sub-slab depressurization systems on buildings where the matrix indicates "no further action" or "monitoring." Such an action might be undertaken for reasons other than public health (e.g., seeking community acceptance, reducing costs, etc.). However, actions implemented *in lieu* of sampling will typically be expected to be captured in the final engineering report and site management plan, and might not rule out the need for post-implementation sampling (e.g., to document effectiveness or to support terminating the action).

[2] Actions provided in the matrix are specific to addressing human exposures. Implementation of these actions does not preclude investigating possible sources of soil vapor contamination, nor does it preclude remediating contaminated soil vapor or the source of soil vapor contamination.

[3] Appropriate care should be taken during all aspects of sample collection to ensure that high quality data are obtained. Since the data are being used in the decision-making process, the laboratory analyzing the environmental samples must have current Environmental Laboratory Approval Program (ELAP) certification for the appropriate analyte and environmental matrix combinations. Furthermore, samples should be analyzed by methods that can achieve a minimum reporting limit of 1 microgram per cubic meter for indoor and outdoor air samples. For sub-slab vapor samples and dirt floor soil vapor samples, a minimum reporting limit of 1 microgram per cubic meter is recommended.

[4] Sub-slab vapor and indoor air samples are typically collected when the likelihood of soil vapor intrusion to occur is considered to be the greatest (i.e., worst-case conditions). If samples are collected at other times (typically, samples collected outside of the heating season), then resampling during worst-case conditions might be appropriate to verify that actions taken to address exposures related to soil vapor intrusion are protective of human health.

[5] When current exposures are attributed to sources other than soil vapor intrusion, the agencies should be given documentation (e.g., applicable environmental data, completed indoor air sampling questionnaire, digital photographs, etc.) to support a proposed action other than that provided in the matrix box and to support agency assessment and follow-up.

[6] The party responsible for implementing the recommended actions will differ depending upon several factors, including but not limited to the following: the identified source of the volatile chemicals, the environmental remediation program, and analyte-specific, site-specific and building-specific factors.

APPENDIX F

Master Erosion Control Plan (MECP)

Master Erosion Control Plan

1440 Main Street
(BCP SITE No. C915408)
Buffalo, New York

February 2026

Prepared for:

1440 Main Street LLC
8615 Roll Road
Clarence Center, New York 14032

Prepared by:

Roux Environmental Engineering and Geology, D.P.C.
2558 Hamburg Turnpike, Suite 300
Buffalo, New York 14218

2283.0002Y.110/CV

Environmental Consulting
& Management
+1.800.322.ROUX
rouxinc.com

Table of Contents

1. INTRODUCTION 1
1.1 Background 1
1.2 Purpose and Scope 1
2. POTENTIAL EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL CONCERNS 2
3. EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL MEASURES 3
3.1 Background 3
3.2 Temporary Measures 3
3.2.1 Silt Fencing 3
3.2.2 Cautious Placement of Stockpiles 4
3.3 Permanent Control Measures during Site Redevelopment 4
4. CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT PRACTICES 5
4.1 General 5
4.2 Monitoring, Inspection and Maintenance 5
4.2.1 Implementation 5
4.2.2 Site Inspections and Maintenance Practices 6
4.2.3 Recordkeeping 6
4.2.4 Modifications to the Storm Water Management and Erosion Control Plan 6

Attachments

F-1 Erosion Control Details
F-2 Inspection and Maintenance Report Form

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

The BCP Site, addressed at 1440 Main Street (Tax ID No. 100.39-2-6.1 and 100.38-2-4), is situated in a mixed-use residential and commercial zoned area of the City of Buffalo, Erie County, New York and consists of two parcels, 0.73- and 0.70-acres respectively. The Site is currently vacant commercial land with five existing buildings and associated paved parking area, access roads, and vegetated areas.

On-Site operations included storage, a plumbing shop, packing, storefronts, and various auto houses/private garages from at least 1925 through at least 1951. In addition, a former radio station (WKBW Studio) was on-Site in at least 1951. One gasoline underground storage tank (UST) was identified west of Building 4 (off the northwest corner) on the 1440 Main Street parcel in at least 1925. One additional gasoline UST was identified on the southwest portion of the 1458 Main Street parcel.

Numerous spill records associated with the Site are included in the NYDEC Spill database (0075377, 1402872, and 9975238). The spill records indicate poor commercial/industrial housekeeping and best management practices being the sources of petroleum related products such as waste/used motor oil, and hydraulic oil.

1.2 Purpose and Scope

This Master Erosion Control Plan (MECP) was prepared to provide guidance during remedial action activities since erosion control will be a critical component of preventing the potential migration of contaminants off-site during excavation activities.

2. POTENTIAL EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL CONCERNS

Potential areas and items of concern during remedial action activities may include the following:

- Remediated areas or off-site properties adjacent to unremediated parcels need protection so they do not become impacted by Site operations.
- Runoff from soil stockpiles, if any, will require erosion controls.
- Surface slopes need to be minimized as much as practical to control sediment transfer.
- Soil/fill excavated will require proper handling and disposal.

3. EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL MEASURES

3.1 Background

Standard soil conservation practices need to be incorporated into remedial activities to mitigate soil erosion damage, off-site sediment migration, and water pollution from erosion. These practices combine vegetative and structural measures, many of which will be permanent in nature and become part of the completed project (i.e., grading). Other measures will be temporary and serve only during the construction stage. Selected erosion and sediment control measures will meet the following criteria:

- Incorporate temporary and permanent erosion control measures.
- Remove sediment from sediment-laden storm water before it leaves the Site.

3.2 Temporary Measures

Temporary erosion and sedimentation control measures and facilities will be used during construction. These temporary measures will be installed and maintained until they are either no longer needed or until such time as permanent measures are installed and become effective. Erosion and sediment controls shall be installed in accordance with the standards and specifications presented in Attachment F-1. At a minimum, the following temporary measures will be used:

- Silt fencing, tubular silt socks
- Cautious placement, compaction and grading of stockpiles

3.2.1 Silt Fencing

Remedial activities may result in surface water flow to drainage ditches and adjacent properties. Silt fencing or tubular silt socks will be the primary sediment control measure used in these areas. Prior to extensive soil excavation or grading activities, silt fences or silt socks will be installed along the perimeter of all construction areas. The orientation of the fencing will be adjusted as necessary as the work proceeds to accommodate changing site conditions.

If necessary, intermediate fencing/socks will be used upgradient of the perimeter fencing/socks to help lower surface water runoff velocities and reduce the volume of sediment to perimeter fencing/socks. Stockpiles will also be surrounded with silt fencing/socks.

As sediment collects, the silt fences/socks will be cleaned as necessary to maintain their integrity. Removed sediment will be used elsewhere on-site as general fill. Sediment to be used as backfill on the site will comply with import and/or reuse criteria. All perimeter silt fences/socks will remain in place until construction activities in an area are completed and vegetative cover has been established.

3.2.2 Cautious Placement of Stockpiles

Excavation activities may produce stockpiles of soil and subgrade soil/fill materials. Careful placement and construction of stockpiles will be required to control erosion. Stockpiles will be placed no closer than 50 feet from storm water inlets and parcel boundaries. Additionally, stockpiles will be graded and compacted as necessary for positive surface water runoff and dust control. Stockpiles will be secured with proper erosion controls to minimize runoff and dust generation.

Soil stockpiles will be continuously encircled with silt socks. Stockpiles will be kept covered with polyethylene sheeting. Stockpiles will be routinely inspected and damaged tarp covers will be promptly replaced. Stockpiles will be inspected at a minimum once each week and after every storm event. Results of inspections will be recorded in a logbook and maintained at the site and available for inspection by the NYSDEC.

3.3 Permanent Control Measures during Site Redevelopment

Permanent erosion and sedimentation control measures and structures will be installed as soon as practical during construction for long-term erosion protection. Examples of permanent erosion control measures could include:

- Minimizing the potential contact with, and migration of, subsurface soil/fill through the placement of crushed stone in areas not covered with structures, roads, parking areas, sidewalks, etc.
- Planting and maintaining vegetation.
- Limiting runoff flow velocities to the extent practical.

4. CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT PRACTICES

4.1 General

The following general construction practices should be evaluated for erosion and sedimentation control purposes during remedial activities:

- Clearing and grading only as much area as is necessary to accommodate the construction needs to minimize disturbance of areas subject to erosion (i.e., phasing the work).
- Covering exposed or disturbed areas of the Site as quickly as practical.
- Installing erosion and sediment control measures before disturbing the Site subgrade.
- Eliminating both on-site and off-site tracking of soil by vehicles by using routine entry/exit routes. When on-site and off-site tracking of soil is evident, use of a truck wash station will be evaluated.

4.2 Monitoring, Inspection and Maintenance

All erosion and sedimentation controls described in this Plan will be inspected by a qualified representative of the Site Owner within 24 hours of a heavy rainfall event (defined as more than 0.5 inches of precipitation in a 24-hour period) and repaired or modified as necessary to effectively control erosion or turbidity problems. Inspections should include areas under construction, stockpile areas, erosion control devices (i.e., silt fences, silt socks, storm drain inlet protection, etc.) and locations where vehicles enter and leave the site. Routine inspections of the entire Site should also be made on a weekly basis during development.

If inspections indicate problems, corrective measures should be implemented within 24 hours. A report summarizing the scope of the inspection, name of the inspector, date, observations made, and a description of the corrective actions taken should be completed. Attachment F-2 includes the Inspection and Maintenance Report Form.

4.2.1 Implementation

Erosion controls and features shall, at all times, be properly constructed, operated, and maintained in accordance with regulatory requirements and good engineering and construction practices. Erosion control measures and activities will be conducted in accordance with currently accepted Best Management Practices (BMPs).

Erosion control monitoring, inspection, and maintenance are an integral part of Site storm water and erosion control. The key elements of the monitoring effort include the following:

- Site inspections and maintenance
- BMPs monitoring
- Recordkeeping
- Review and modifications
- Certification of compliance

4.2.2 Site Inspections and Maintenance Practices

The temporary erosion control features will be maintained until no longer needed or permanent erosion control methods are installed. Site inspections are required every seven days or within 24 hours of a rainfall of 0.5 inches or greater. All disturbed areas, areas for material storage, locations where vehicles enter or exit the site, and all of the erosion and sediment controls identified as part of this Plan must be inspected. Controls must be in good operating condition until the affected area they protect has been completely stabilized and the construction activity is complete. If a repair is necessary, it must be completed within 24-hours of receipt of a report or notice, if practical. Inspection for specific erosion and sediment controls will include the following:

- Silt fence/silt socks will be inspected to determine the following:
  1) Depth
  2) Condition of fabric
  3) That the fabric is attached to the posts
  4) That the fence posts are firmly in the ground
- The silt fences/silt socks will be inspected weekly and within 24 hours of a 0.5 inch or greater storm event.
- Temporary and permanent seeding and planting will be inspected for bare spots, washouts, and other potential erosion control problems.
- The Contractor shall designate individual(s) that will be responsible for erosion control, maintenance, and repair activities. The designated individual will also be responsible for inspecting the site and filling out the inspection and maintenance report.
- Personnel selected for inspection and maintenance responsibilities will receive training as directed by the Engineer. They will be trained in all the inspection and maintenance practices necessary for keeping the erosion and sediment controls used on-site in good working order.

The individual inspecting the Site must record any damages or deficiencies on the Inspection and Maintenance Report Form in Attachment F-2. This form can be used to request maintenance and repair and to document inspection and maintenance activities. Damages or deficiencies must be corrected as soon as possible after the inspection. Any changes that may be required to correct deficiencies in this Plan should also be made as soon as possible, but in no case later than seven days after the inspection.

4.2.3 Recordkeeping

A copy of the MECP and inspection and maintenance records will be included in the weekly reports to NYSDEC and must be kept at the Site from the time construction activities begins until the Site is stabilized. These documents will be made available upon request to regulatory agency representatives or members of the public.

4.2.4 Modifications to the Storm Water Management and Erosion Control Plan

During the course of construction, unanticipated changes may occur that affect this MECP such as schedule changes, phasing changes, staging area modifications, off-site drainage impacts, and repeated failures of designed controls. Any changes to the activities and controls identified in this Plan must be documented and the Plan revised accordingly. Certification of revisions to this plan shall be included at the end of the document.

ATTACHMENTS

F-1 Erosion Control Details

F-2 Inspection and Maintenance Report Form

ATTACHMENT F-1

Erosion Control Details

FINAL

New York State Standards and Specifications for Erosion and Sediment Control



November 2016

NEW YORK STATE OF OPPORTUNITY
Department of Environmental Conservation

STANDARD AND SPECIFICATIONS FOR SILT FENCE



Definition & Scope

A **temporary** barrier of geotextile fabric installed on the contours across a slope used to intercept sediment laden runoff from small drainage areas of disturbed soil by temporarily ponding the sediment laden runoff allowing settling to occur. The maximum period of use is limited by the ultraviolet stability of the fabric (approximately one year).

Conditions Where Practice Applies

A silt fence may be used subject to the following conditions:

1. Maximum allowable slope length and fence length will not exceed the limits shown in the Design Criteria for the specific type of silt fence used ; and
2. Maximum ponding depth of 1.5 feet behind the fence; and
3. Erosion would occur in the form of sheet erosion; and
4. There is no concentration of water flowing to the barrier; and
5. Soil conditions allow for proper keying of fabric, or other anchorage, to prevent blowouts.

Design Criteria

1. Design computations are not required for installations of 1 month or less. Longer installation periods should be designed for expected runoff.
2. All silt fences shall be placed as close to the disturbed area as possible, but at least 10 feet from the toe of a slope steeper than 3H:1V, to allow for maintenance and roll down. The area beyond the fence must be undisturbed or stabilized.
3. The type of silt fence specified for each location on the plan shall not exceed the maximum slope length and maximum fence length requirements shown in the following table:

		Slope Length/Fence Length (ft.)		
Slope	Steepness	Standard	Reinforced	Super
<2%	< 50:1	300/1500	N/A	N/A
2-10%	50:1 to 10:1	125/1000	250/2000	300/2500
10-20%	10:1 to 5:1	100/750	150/1000	200/1000
20-33%	5:1 to 3:1	60/500	80/750	100/1000
33-50%	3:1 to 2:1	40/250	70/350	100/500
>50%	> 2:1	20/125	30/175	50/250

Standard Silt Fence (SF) is fabric rolls stapled to wooden stakes driven 16 inches in the ground.
Reinforced Silt Fence (RSF) is fabric placed against welded wire fabric with anchored steel posts driven 16 inches in the ground.
Super Silt Fence (SSF) is fabric placed against chain link fence as support backing with posts driven 3 feet in the ground.

4. Silt fence shall be removed as soon as the disturbed area has achieved final stabilization.

The silt fence shall be installed in accordance with the appropriate details. Where ends of filter cloth come together, they shall be overlapped, folded and stapled to prevent sediment bypass. Butt joints are not acceptable. A detail of the silt fence shall be shown on the plan. See Figure 5.30 on page 5.56 for Reinforced Silt Fence as an example of details to be provided.

Criteria for Silt Fence Materials

1. Silt Fence Fabric: The fabric shall meet the following specifications unless otherwise approved by the appropriate erosion and sediment control plan approval authority. Such approval shall not constitute statewide acceptance.

Fabric Properties	Minimum Acceptable Value	Test Method
Grab Tensile Strength (lbs)	110	ASTM D 4632
Elongation at Failure (%)	20	ASTM D 4632
Mullen Burst Strength (PSI)	300	ASTM D 3786
Puncture Strength (lbs)	60	ASTM D 4833
Minimum Trapezoidal Tear Strength (lbs)	50	ASTM D 4533
Flow Through Rate (gal/ min/sf)	25	ASTM D 4491
Equivalent Opening Size	40-80	US Std Sieve ASTM D 4751
Minimum UV Residual (%)	70	ASTM D 4355

2. Fence Posts (for fabricated units): The length shall be a minimum of 36 inches long. Wood posts will be of sound quality hardwood with a minimum cross sectional area of 3.5 square inches. Steel posts will be standard T and U section weighing not less than 1.00 pound per linear foot. Posts for super silt fence shall be standard chain link fence posts.

3. Wire Fence for reinforced silt fence: Wire fencing shall be a minimum 14 gage with a maximum 6 in. mesh opening, or as approved.

4. Prefabricated silt fence is acceptable as long as all material specifications are met.


Super Silt Fence



Reinforced Silt Fence



Figure 5.30
Reinforced Silt Fence



STANDARD AND SPECIFICATIONS FOR COMPOST FILTER SOCK



Definition & Scope

A **temporary** sediment control practice composed of a degradable geotextile mesh tube filled with compost filter media to filter sediment and other pollutants associated with construction activity to prevent their migration offsite.

Condition Where Practice Applies

Compost filter socks can be used in many construction site applications where erosion will occur in the form of sheet erosion and there is no concentration of water flowing to the sock. In areas with steep slopes and/or rocky terrain, soil conditions must be such that good continuous contact between the sock and the soil is maintained throughout its length. For use on impervious surfaces such as road pavement or parking areas, proper anchorage must be provided to prevent shifting of the sock or separation of the contact between the sock and the pavement. Compost filter socks are utilized both at the site perimeter as well as within the construction areas. These socks may be filled after placement by blowing compost into the tube pneumatically, or filled at a staging location and moved into its designed location.

Design Criteria

1. Compost filter socks will be placed on the contour with both terminal ends of the sock extended 8 feet upslope at a 45 degree angle to prevent bypass flow.

2. Diameters designed for use shall be 12" – 32" except that 8" diameter socks may be used for residential lots to control areas less than 0.25 acres.

3. The flat dimension of the sock shall be at least 1.5 times the nominal diameter.

4. The **Maximum Slope Length** (in feet) above a compost filter sock shall not exceed the following limits:

Dia. (in.)	Slope %						
	2	**5**	**10**	**20**	**25**	**33**	**50**
8	225*	200	100	50	20	—	—
12	250	225	125	65	50	40	25
18	275	250	150	70	55	45	30
24	350	275	200	130	100	60	35
32	450	325	275	150	120	75	50
* Length in feet							



5. The compost infill shall be well decomposed (matured at least 3 months), weed-free, organic matter. It shall be aerobically composted, possess no objectionable odors, and contain less than 1%, by dry weight, of man-made foreign matter. The physical parameters of the compost shall meet the standards listed in Table 5.2 - Compost Standards Table. **Note: All biosolids compost produced in New York State (or approved for importation) must meet NYS DEC's 6 NYCRR Part 360 (Solid Waste Management Facilities) requirements. The Part 360 requirements are equal to or more stringent than 40 CFR Part 503 which ensure safe standards for pathogen reduction and heavy metals content. When using compost filter socks adjacent to surface water, the compost should have a low nutrient value.**

6. The compost filter sock fabric material shall meet the

7. Compost filter socks shall be anchored in earth with 2" x 2" wooden stakes driven 12" into the soil on 10 foot centers on the centerline of the sock. On uneven terrain, effective ground contact can be enhanced by the placement of a fillet of filter media on the disturbed area side of the compost sock.

8. All specific construction details and material specifications shall appear on the erosion and sediment control constructions drawings when compost filter socks are included in the plan.

Maintenance

1. Traffic shall not be permitted to cross filter socks.

2. Accumulated sediment shall be removed when it reaches half the above ground height of the sock and disposed of in accordance with the plan.

3. Socks shall be inspected weekly and after each runoff event. Damaged socks shall be repaired in the manner required by the manufacturer or replaced within 24 hours of inspection notification.

4. Biodegradable filter socks shall be replaced after 6 months; photodegradable filter socks after 1 year. Polypropylene socks shall be replaced according to the manufacturer's recommendations.

5. Upon stabilization of the area contributory to the sock, stakes shall be removed. The sock may be left in place and vegetated or removed in accordance with the stabilization plan. For removal the mesh can be cut and the compost spread as an additional mulch to act as a soil supplement.


Table 5.1 - Compost Sock Fabric Minimum Specifications Table

Material Type	3 mil HDPE	5 mil HDPE	5 mil HDPE	Multi-Filament Polypropylene (MFPP)	Heavy Duty Multi-Filament Polypropylene (HDMFPP)
Material Characteristics	Photodegradable	Photodegradable	Biodegradable	Photodegradable	Photodegradable
Sock Diameters	12" 18"	12" 18" 24" 32"	12" 18" 24" 32"	12" 18" 24" 32"	12" 18" 24" 32"
Mesh Opening	3/8"	3/8"	3/8"	3/8"	1/8"
Tensile Strength		26 psi	26 psi	44 psi	202 psi
Ultraviolet Stability % Original Strength (ASTM G-155)	23% at 1000 hr.	23% at 1000 hr.		100% at 1000 hr.	100% at 1000 hr.
Minimum Functional Longevity	6 months	9 months	6 months	1 year	2 years

Table 5.2 - Compost Standards Table

Organic matter content	25% - 100% (dry weight)
Organic portion	Fibrous and elongated
pH	6.0 – 8.0
Moisture content	30% - 60%
Particle size	100% passing a 1" screen and 10 - 50% passing a 3/8" screen
Soluble salt concentration	5.0 dS/m (mmhos/cm) maximum

Figure 5.2
Compost Filter Sock



ATTACHMENT F-2

Inspection and Maintenance Report Form

Inspection and Maintenance Report Form

To be completed every 7 days and within 24 hours of a rainfall event of 0.5 inches or more

Regular Inspector:_____Rainfall Event Inspector:_____Rainfall (inches):_____

Contractor Activities	OK	NO	N/A	Notes
Are construction onsite traffic routes, parking, and storage of equipment and supplies restricted to areas specifically designated for those uses?				
Are locations of temporary soil stock piles of construction materials in approved areas?				
Is there any evidence of spills and resulting cleanup procedures?				
General Erosion & Sediment Controls				
Are sediment and erosion BMPs installed in the proper location and according to the specifications set out in the SWM & ECP?				
Are all operational storm drain inlets protected from sediment inflow?				
Do any seeded or landscaped areas require maintenance, irrigation, fertilization, seeding or mulching?				
Is there any evidence that sediment is leaving the site?				
Is there any evidence of erosion or cut fill slopes?				
Perimeter Road Use				
Does much sediment get tracked on to the perimeter road?				
Is the gravel clean or is it filled with sediment?				
Does all traffic use the perimeter road to leave the site?				
Is maintenance or repair required for the perimeter road?				

_____ _____

Inspected by (Signature) Date

Inspection and Maintenance Report Form

To be completed every 7 days and within 24 hours of a rainfall event of 0.5 inches or more

Inspector:_____

STABILIZATION MEASURES					
Area	Date Since Last Disturbed	Date of Next Disturbance	Stabilized? Yes/No	Stabilized with	Condition

Stabilization Required: _____

_____

_____

_____

_____

_____

To be performed by: _____ On or before: _____