DATA VALIDATION REPORT

ORGANIC ANALYSES

EPA Compendium Method TO-14/TO-15 **VOLATILES BY GC/MS**

For Soil Gas Samples Collected Charlton Cleaners October 19, 2005 **New York** LBG, Inc.

SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUP NUMBER: CHA02 Lancaster Laboratories

SUBMITTED TO:

White Plains, NY 10604 Leggette, Brashears, & Graham 110 Corporate Park Drive, Suite 112 Mr. Sean Groszkowski

December 2, 2005

East Northport, NY 11731 L.A.B. Validation Corp. 14 West Point Drive Lori A. Beyer/President He a fore

PREPARED BY:

L.A.B. Validation Corp. 14 West Point Drive, East Northport, N.Y.

Data Validation Report: Volatile Organics Charlton Cleaners - New York.

Table of Contents:
Introduction

Data Qualifier Definitions

Sample Receipt

- 1.0 Volatile Organics by GC/MS EPA Compendium Method TO-14/TO-15
 1.1 Holding Time
 1.2 Matrix Spikes (MS), Matrix Spike Duplicates (MSD)
- 1.1 1.2 1.3
- **Laboratory Control Sample**
- Blank Contamination
- GC/MS Instrument Performance Check
- Initial and Continuing Calibrations Internal Standards
- 1.4 1.5 1.6 1.7
- Target Compound List Identification
- 1.9 Compound Quantification and Reported Detection Limits
- Overall System Performance

APPENDICES:

- Þ Data Summary Tables with Qualifications
- Chain of Custody Documents
- С. В. SDG Narrative

Introduction:

samples were collected on October 19, 2005. subsequent analysis under chain of custody documentation. This report contains the analysis collected by LBG, Inc. and submitted to Lancaster Laboratories for A validation was performed on four (4) soil gas [air] samples for Volatile Organic laboratory and validation results for the four (4) field samples itemized below. The

of the selected TO-14 and TO-15 Target Compound List (TCL) of analytes for for the associated analytical methodology employed. The analytical testing consisted and submitted under NYSDEC ASP Category B equivalent deliverable requirements and TO-15 and in accordance with NYSDEC Analytical Services Protocol (10/95) The samples were analyzed by Lancaster Laboratories utilizing EPA Method TO-14 Volatile Organics listed in Appendix A.

where applicable and relevant. in conjunction with the analytical methodology for which the samples were analyzed, National Functional Guidelines for Organic Data Review (Publication 9240.1-05) and The data was evaluated in accordance with the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program

The data validation report pertains to the following field soil gas/air samples:

Sample	Laboratory	Sample Matrix	Collection Date
Identification	Identification(s)		
Basement	4628491	Air	10/19/05
Equipment Room			
Basement Main	4628492	Air	10/19/05
Area			
1st Floor Loading	4628493	Air	10/19/05
Dock			
1 st Floor Store Area	4628494	Air	10/19/05

Data Qualifier Definitions:

results in the data review process. The following definitions provide brief explanations of the qualifiers assigned to

- reported sample quantitation limit. The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected above the
- value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample. The analyte was positively identified; the associated numerical
- and precisely measure the analyte in the sample. may or may not represent the actual limit of quantitation necessary to accurately quantitation limit. However, the reported quantitation limit is approximate and The analyte was not detected above the reported sample
- the analyte cannot be verified. analyze the sample and meet quality control criteria. The presence or absence of The sample results are rejected due to deficiencies in the ability to
- presumptive evidence to make a "tentative identification." The analysis indicates the presence of an analyte for which there is
- approximate quantity. "tentatively identified" and the associated numerical value represents its The analysis indicates the presence of an analyte that has been

Sample Receipt:

assumed to be good. laboratory no discrepancies were notated and therefore the integrity of the samples is chain of custody indicate that at the Validated Time of Sample Receipt (VTSR) at the received at Lancaster Laboratories in good condition. Sample login notes and the The Chain of Custody document indicates that summa canister air samples were

been reported in the excel spreadsheet in bold for ease of review and verification. the detailed narrative section of the report. All data validation qualifications have unusable (rejected) results for the samples identified above. These tables summarize The data summary tables included in Appendix A includes all usable (qualified) and

ZOTE:

non-compliant in the analysis that was performed. by the laboratory. criteria utilized for data evaluation is different than the method requirements utilized L.A.B. Validation Corp. believes it is appropriate to note that the data validation Qualified data does not necessarily mean that the laboratory was

Volatile Organics by EPA Compendium Method TO-14/TO-15

were considered to be valid and useable as noted on the data summary tables in Reported Quantitation Limits and Overall System Performance. Calibrations, Internal Standards, Target Component Identification and Quantitation, The following method criteria were reviewed: holding times, LCS, Blanks, Tunes, Appendix A and within the following text: The volatile results

1.1 Holding Time

exceeded. flagged as estimated, "J", or unusable, "R", if the holding times are grossly estimates, "J". The non-detects (sample quantitation limits) are required to be the samples whose holding time has been exceeded will be qualified as exceeded, the data may not be considered valid. Those analytes detected in instability, degradation, volatilization, etc. If the technical holding time is The amount of an analyte in a sample can change with time due to chemical

qualifications were required based upon holding time criteria. required thirty (30) days from sample collection for analysis. Air samples pertaining to this SDG was performed within the method

1.2 Matrix Spikes (MS)/ Matrix Spike Duplicates (MSD

accuracy of the analytical method in various matrices The MS/MSD data are generated to determine the long-term precision and

samples pertaining to this SDG, however, LCS was analyzed and data were made for samples pertaining to this SDG. acceptable recoveries for all spiked components. No qualifications to the Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate analysis was not performed

1.3 Laboratory Control Sample

information on the accuracy of the analytical method and on the laboratory The LCS data for laboratory control samples (LCS) are generated to provide

All compounds recovered well in the Laboratory Control Sample

1.4 Blank Contamination

during sample storage of the field samples. samples during field operations. Storage blanks measure cross-contamination samples during shipment. Field blanks measure cross-contamination of samples during sample preparation or field activity. Method blanks measure to identify any contamination which may have been introduced into the laboratory contamination. Quality assurance (QA) blanks; i.e. method, trip and field blanks are prepared Trip blanks measure cross-contamination of

be utilized: contamination. The following table was utilized to qualify target analyte results due to The largest value from all the associated blanks is required to

For:	Flag Sample Result Report CRQL &		No Qualification is
	with a "U" when:	::	Needed when:
Methylene Chloride,	Sample Conc. Is	Sample Conc. is	Sample Conc. is
Acetone, Toluene &	Acetone, Toluene & >CRQL, but =10x <CRQL and </=10x CRQL and >10x	<CRQL and $<$ / $=10x$	>CRQL and >10x
2-Butanone	blank value	blank value	blank value
Other Contaminants Sample Conc. Is	Sample Conc. Is	Sample Conc. Is	Sample Conc. is
	>CRQL, but $>CRQL and >5x$	<crql <="" =5x<="" and="" td=""><td>>CRQL and >5x</td></crql>	>CRQL and >5x
	blank value	blank value	blank value

qualifications that have been applied: Below is a summary of the compounds in the sample and the associated

A) Method Blank Contamination:

blanks pertaining to this SDG. Target analytes were not detected in any of the associated method

B) Field Blank Contamination:

Field Blank analysis was not conducted for this SDG.

C) Trip Blank Contamination:

Trip Blank analysis was not submitted with this SDG

D) Storage Blank Contamination:

noted that storage blanks are not mandated by EPA Method TO-Storage blanks were not submitted for this SDG. It should be 14/TO-15.

1.5 GC/MS Instrument Performance Check

materials. Therefore, these criteria should be met in all circumstances degree, sufficient instrument sensitivity. These criteria are not sample The Tuning standard for volatile organics is Bromofluorobenzene specific. Instrument performance is determined using standard mass resolution, proper identification of compounds and to some Tuning and performance criteria are established to ensure adequate

and frequency for Bromofluorobenzene (BFB) for all analyses Instrument performance was generated within acceptable limits conducted for this SDG.

1.6 Initial and Continuing Calibrations

The continuing calibration checks document that the instrument is acceptable performance at the beginning of an experimental sequence. initial calibration demonstrates that the instrument is capable of giving instrument is capable of producing acceptable quantitative data. giving satisfactory daily performance. Satisfactory instrument calibration is established to ensure that the

A) Response Factor GC/MS:

corresponding samples will be rejected, "R". estimated, "J". All non-detects for that compound in the sensitivity). Analytes detected in the sample will be qualified as < 0.05 indicates a serious detection and quantitation problem (poor be >= 0.05 in both initial and continuing calibrations. chemical compounds. The response factor for all compounds must The response factor measures the instrument's response to specific

and continuing calibrations. found to be within acceptable limits (>=0.05), for the initial All the response factors for the target analytes reported were

 \mathbb{B} Percent Relative Standard Deviation (%RSD) and Percent Difference (%D):

then only low or high level results will be qualified, "J" in the where removal of either the low or high point restores the linearity, equal to 30% then positive results are qualified, "j". In cases low point of the curve does not restore the %RSD to less than or where the %RSD is >30% and eliminating either the high or the flagged "UJ". If %RSD and %D grossly exceed QC criteria, non-detect data may be qualified, "R", unusable. Additionally, in cases potential detection and quantitation errors. For these reasons, all %D must be <25%. A value outside of these limits indicates of the continuing calibration check to the mean response factor increasing concentrations. Percent D compares the response factor indicate the stability of the specific compound response factor over portion of the curve where non linearity exists. positive results are flagged as estimated, "T" and non-detects are instrument's daily performance. Percent RSD must be <30% and (RRF) from the initial calibration. Percent D is a measure of the Percent RSD is calculated from the initial calibration and is used to

within acceptable limits (30%) for all target compounds. Initial Calibrations: The initial calibrations provided and the %RSD were

were within acceptable limits (25%) with the following exceptions: Continuing Calibrations: The continuing calibrations provided and the %D

CCAL - 10/29/05:

1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene – 31.0%

all samples pertaining to this SDG Sample results have been qualified "J" and "UJ" as required for this analyte for

1.7 Internal Standards

"R" if there is a severe loss of sensitivity. that IS are qualified as estimated, "J", and all non-detects as "UJ", or standard, all of the positive results for compounds quantitated using area count is outside the (-50% to +100%) range of the associated 30 seconds from the associated continuing calibration standard. If the 50% to +100%) from the associated continuing calibration standard. internal standard area count must not vary by more than a factor of 2 (sensitivity and response are stable during every experimental run. The The retention time of the internal standard must not vary more than +/-Internal Standards (IS) performance criteria ensure that the GC/MS

rejection of the data for that sample fraction. professional judgment will be used to determine either partial or total If an internal standard retention time varies by more than 30 seconds.

analysis pertaining to this data set. Internal Standard area responses met QC requirements for all

1.8 Target Compound List Identification

and secondary m/e intensities within 20% of that in the standard compound and have an ion spectra which has a ratio of the primary sample peak must be within =/- 0.06RRT units of the standard obtained from known standards. For the results to be a positive hit, the relative retention time (RRT) and by comparison to the ion spectra TCL compounds are identified on the GC/MS by using the analyte's

retention times were within required specifications. GC/MS spectra met the qualitative criteria for identification. All

1.9 Compound Quantification and Reported Detection Limits

calculate final concentrations. internal standards and response factors and air volumes were used to GC/MS quantitative analysis is considered to be acceptable. Correct

purge volume of air conducted. subsequent target concentration justify the dilution that was determined from the autodilutor. Review of the raw data and Samples were analyzed using reduced sample volumes as performed. Sample quantitation limits have been adjusted for the

reanalysis was performed as required. instruments' linear calibration range a secondary diluted In cases where the concentrations of target analytes were over the

summary tables and on the "Form I's" throughout the laboratory Usable results have been hybridized on the corresponding

1.10 Overall System Performance

GC/MS analytical methodology was acceptable for this analysis

Reviewer's Signature_ Date

ata Summary Tables With Qualifications Appendix A

VOLATILE ORGANICS EPA Compendium METHOD TO-14/TO-15

	Charlton Cleaners, NY					
	SDG CHA02					
	LBG Sample ID		Basement Equipment Room	Basement Main Area	1st Floor Loading Dock	1st Floor Store Area
	Laboratory ID:		4628491	4628492	4628493	4628494
	Sampling Date:		10/19/2005	10/19/2005	10/19/2005	10/19/2005
	Dilution Factor:		1	1	1	1
Cas #	Analyte	Units:				
75-71-8	Dichlorodifluoromethane	ug/m3	4.0 J	11	4.0 J	6.0
76-14-2	Freon 114	ug/m3	1.4 U	2.8 U	1.4 U	1.4 U
74-87-3	Chloromethane	ug/m3	2.0 J	4.0 J	0.41 U	2.0 J
75-01-4	Vinyl Chloride	ug/m3	17	21	0.51 U	0.80 J
74-83-9	Bromomethane	ug/m3	0.78 U	3.0 J	0.78 U	0.78 U
75-00-3	Chloroethane	ug/m3	0.70 J	1.1 U	0.53 U	0.53 U
75-69-4	Trichlorofluoromethane	ug/m3	1.1 U	3.0 J	2.0 J	2.0 J
75-35-4	1,1-Dichloroethene	ug/m3	0.79 U	1.6 U	0.79 U	0.79 U
76-13-1	Freon 113	ug/m3	3.8 U	7.7 U	3.8 U	3.8 U
107-05-1	3-Chloropropene	ug/m3	0.63 U	1.3 U	0.63 U	0.63 U
75-09-2	Methylene Chloride	ug/m3	2.0 J	5.0 J	5.0	3.0 J
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane	ug/m3	0.81 U	1.6 U	0.81 U	0.81 U
156-59-2	cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	ug/m3	190	170	3.0 J	6.0
67-66-3	Chloroform	ug/m3	0.98 U	2.0 U	0.98 U	0.98 U
71-55-6	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	ug/m3	1.1 U	2.2 U	1.1 U	1.1 U
56-23-5	Carbon Tetrachloroide	ug/m3	1.3 U	2.5 U	1.3 U	1.3 U
107-06-2	1,2-Dichloroethane	ug/me ug/m3	5.0	15	1.0 J	4.0 J
71-43-2	Benzene	ug/m3	4.0	8.0	2.0 J	4.0
79-01-6	Trichloroethene	ug/m3	19	23	1.1 U	1.1 U
78-87-5	1,2-Dichloropropane		0.92 U	1.8 U	0.92 U	0.92 U
10061-01-5	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	ug/m3	0.92 U 0.91 U	1.8 U	0.92 U 0.91 U	0.92 U
	Toluene	ug/m3				
108-88-3		ug/m3	44	160	24	45
10061-02-6	trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	ug/m3	0.91 U	1.8 U	0.91 U	0.91 U
79-00-5	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	ug/m3	1.1 U	2.2 U	1.1 U	1.1 U
127-18-4	Tetrachloroethene	ug/m3	1700	1600	32	74
106-93-4	1,2-Dibromoethane	ug/m3	1.5 U	3.1 U	1.5 U	1.5 U
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene	ug/m3	0.92 U	1.8 U	0.92 U	0.92 U
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene	ug/m3	0.8	27	5.0	10
1330-20-7	Xylene (m,p)	ug/m3	38	69	13	23
95-47-6	Xylene (o)	ug/m3	12	24	4.0 J	8.0
100-42-5	Styrene	ug/m3	6.0	24	3.0 J	6.0
79-34-5	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	ug/m3	. 1.4 U	2.7 U	1.4 U	1.4 U
622-96-8	4-Ethyltoluene	ug/m3	3.0 J	14	3.0 J	4.0 J
108-67-8	1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	ug/m3	3.0 J	9.0 J	2.0 J	4.0 J
95-63-6	1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	ug/m3	6.0	20	3.0 J	7.0
541-73-1	1,3-Dichlorobenzene	ug/m3	3.0 U	6.0 U	3.0 U	3.0 U
106-46-7	1,4-Dichlorobenzene	ug/m3	3.0 U	6.0 U	3.0 U	3.0 U
95-50-1	1.2-Dichlorobenzene	ug/m3	3.0 U	6.0 U	3.0 U	3.0 U
120-82-1	1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	ug/m3	15.0 UJ	30.0 UJ	15.0 UJ	15.0 UJ
	.,,	agimo	10.0 00	23.0 00	.5.0 00	.5.0 00

Appendix B Chain of Custody

Analysis Request / Environmental Services Chain of Custoc



For Lancaster Laboratories use only

Acct. # 11827 Group# 963960 Sample # 4628491-94

0090386

4			Ple	ase print. Instru	uction	s on re	verse	e side o	corre	spond	with circ	led n	umbers	i.						
Client:	LBG , Inc.		Acct.#:			20	Mat	rix (4)		(5)			An	lyse	Req	ueste		or Lab Use C	
Project Na	ame#: <u>CHARLTO</u>	V (LEANE.	PWSID:	#:		_	Check if	plicable				/	/ /	/ /	' /				FSC: SCR #:	
Project Ma	anager: <u>SEAN G</u>	ROSZKOWSKI	EP.O.#: _			_	် ်	& B	ers							/ ,	/ /	/ / /		6
Sampler: Name of s	anager: SEAN GO SEAN GROSZE state where samples v	vere collected: ∫	Quote# VEW YOU	: '		site	☐ Potable	□ NPDES 4	of Containers	,	15/	/ /	/ /	/ /	//		//			of samples (If requested)
2	lentification	CANISTER #	Date Collected	Time Collected	Grab (8	noc.	water (Total # o	//	9/	/ /						Remarks		Temperature upon receipt (
BASEMEN	UT ROSAN	0136	10/19/05	908-10	X			X	11	X								CATEGO	cy B	
BASEME	MAIN AREA	0323	1	912-715	X			X	Ħ	X										
IST FLO	DADING DOCK	0016		720-1720	X		\top	$\exists \lambda$	1	1								DECTI	IERAR!	
15T FLOC	STORE AREA	0232	4	924-1725	X					Ŕ								4		5
							_		_									,		
					_				1											
							_	-	-	-						-				
					-	$\vdash \vdash$				_		\dashv			+	-	-			
	ound Time Requested					Relino	uish u	ed/by/:			<u></u>		Date	Tin	ie R	eceive	d by:	erente anno anno anno anno anno anno anno ann	Date	Time (
	sults are needed:		,			Relina	uish	ed by:					Date	Tin	ie R	eceive	ed by:		Date	Time
1	sults requested by (ple						•							ı			•			
l l	iddress:				Ī	Relind	quish	ed by:	:				Date	Tin	ie R	eceive	ed by:	,	Date	Time
8 Data Pa	ckage Options (pleas	se circle if required)	SI	OG Complete	?						·····									
QC Sum		(I (Raw Data)		es No	[Relin	quish	ed by	:				Date	Tin	ne R	eceive	ed by:		Date	Time
Type I (.0201.	Site-specific QC	•		Ĺ															
Type II ((NJ Red. Del.)	(If yes, indicate QC s Internal Chain o			- 1	Reline	quish	ed by	:				Date	Tin	ne R	eceive fun	ed by: UUH	Hutchesin		Time 5 0915



Environmental Sample Administration Receipt Documentation Log

Client/Project: LBC	LB6-NY	Shipping Container Sealed:
Date of Receipt:	10 lac los	Custody Seal Present: Y /N
ime of Receipt:	0915	Custody Seal Intact: Y / N /(NA)
Source Code:	50 1	Package: Chilled (Not Chilled)
		Unpacker Emp. No.: 210
	Temperature of Shipping Containers	hpping Containers
#1		#2
Thermometer ID:		Thermometer ID:
Temp.:		Temp.:
Temp. Bottle / Surface Temp.	•	Temp. Bottle + Surface Temp.
Wet Ice / Dry Ice / Ice Packs	Ś	Wet Ice / Dry Ice / Ice Packs
ce Présent? Y / N	Loose / Bagged	Loose / Bagged
#3		基
Thermometer ID:		Thermometer ID:
Temp.:		Temp.:
Temp. Bottle / Surface Temp.		Temp. Bottle / Surface Temp.
Wet Ice / Dry Ice / Ice Packs		Wet Ice / Dry Ice / Ice Packs
ce Présent? Y / N	Loose / Bagged	Ice Present? Y / N Loose / Bagged
aperwork Discrepancy/Unpacking Problems:	cking Problems:	
+ 4	llow controllers	
	Sample Administration Internal Chain of Custody	temal Chain of Custody
Name	Date	Time Reason for Transfer
Grandon Hutchigan	10/00/05	13 40 Unpacking
Whit How e	10/20/05	/355 Place in Storage or (Entry)
C		* Place in Storage
- Several and the second of the second secon	Phinometric december of the contract of the co	

Appendix C SDG Narrative



CASE NARRATIVE

Client: Leggette Brashears & Graham SDG #: CHA02

LANCASTER LABORATORIES GC/MS VOLATILE ORGANICS IN AIR

SAMPLE NUMBER(S):

LL #'s	Sample Code	Comments
4628491	BAE36	
4628491	BAE36	15X Dilution
4628492	BMA23	2X Dilution
4628492	BMA23	20X Dilution
4628493	1FLDS	
4628494	1FACC	

LABORATORY SUBMITTED QC:

Lab Control Sample	LCSC23	LCSC23
Method Blank	VBI KC25	VBI KC25
Method Blank	VBLKC23	VBLKC23

compounds were below the limit of quantitation in the cleaning certification analyses analyzed before the final evacuation prior to shipping to the client. All target All canisters were cleaned by repeated evacuation and pressurization with clean nitrogen, as per SOP-MS-009. Each canister was filled with clean nitrogen and

SAMPLE PREPARATION:

twofold or threefold dilution is offset by analyzing 500cc or 750cc of each sample, two or sample contamination, and bringing the samples to the approximate pressure at which positive pressure in the sample canisters throughout the analysis, minimizing the risk of in the air analysis laboratory using clean nitrogen. This dilution is done to ensure Samples were diluted to two or three times their original absolute pressure upon receipt blanks and calibration standards are delivered to the analytical instrumentation. This 🕮



Case Narrative (continued) SDG: CHA02

samples occurs during dilution. canisters is monitored as the daily method blank to ensure that no contamination of without raising the limits of quantitation. The nitrogen used to pressurize sample three times the nominal volume of 250cc upon which the GC/MS calibration is based This strategy yields an overall dilution factor of 1.0 and allows sample pressurization

diluting with zero grade humidified air. sample container to a clean, evacuated SUMMA canister with a gas-tight syringe and Dilutions greater than 10X were performed by transferring a measured volume from the Dilutions of 10X or less were performed by analyzing a reduced sample volume

No problems were encountered during the preparation of these samples

ANALYSIS

The method used for analysis was EPA Method TO-15

No problems were encountered during the analysis of these samples

QUALITY CONTROL AND NONCONFORMANCE SUMMARY:

within the control limits specified on the Laboratory Control Sample Data Sheet. A Laboratory Control Sample (LCS) was analyzed with these samples. The LCS seas a check of analysis and calibration standards validity. All LCS compounds were The LCS serves

All QC was within specifications.

DATA INTERPRETATION:

narrative Only non-conformances for client requested compounds are addressed in this case

All raw data is quantified in units of ppbv, parts per billion by volume

Case Narrative (continued) SDG: CHA02

No further interpretation is necessary for the data submitted.

Case Narrative Reviewed and Approved by:

Date:

Manager, GC/MS Volatiles in Air Charles J.

Neślund