# 41 Saxon Ave., Bay Shore SUFFOLK COUNTY, NEW YORK Final Engineering Report

NYSDEC Site Number: V00338-01

**Prepared for:** 

# Saxon Avenue Enterprises, LLC

Prepared by: Dermody Consulting 32 Chichester Ave. Center Moriches, NY

## **Revisions to Final Approved Final Engineering Report:**

Revision #	Submitted Date	Summary of Revision	DEC Approval Date		

**AUGUST, 2017** 

# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

# **SECTION TITLE**

### PAGE NO.

1.0	INTR	INTRODUCTION AND DESCRIPTION OF THE REMEDIAL					
	PROGRAM						
	1.1	Introduction	1				
	1.2	Site Background	1				
	1.3	Site History	2				
2.0	GEO	LOGIC CONDITIONS	3				
3.0	REM	EDIAL INVESTIGATION FINDINGS	4				
	3.1	Soil and Sediment Sampling	4				
	3.2	On-Site Groundwater	4				
	3.3	Off-Site Groundwater	5				
	3.4	<b>On-Site Indoor Air and Subslab Soil Vapor Sampling Results</b>	6				
	3.5	Off-Site Indoor Air and Subslab Soil Vapor Sampling Results	7				
	3.6	Summary of Interim Remedial Measures	8				
	3.7	Site Remedy	8				
	3.8	Remaining Contamination	9				
4.0	PILO	T TESTING AND FULL-SCALE INSTALLATION	10				
	4.1	Pilot Test Components and Results Summary	10				
	4.2	Full-Scale System Installation	11				
	4.3	Remedial Action Objectives	14				
5.0	REM	EDIAL SYSTEM OPERATION	16				
	5.1	Remediation System Startup	16				
6.0	SYST	'EM MONITORING	18				
	6.1	Deviations from the RAWP	18				

# List of Figures

Figure 1	General Site Layout
Figure 2	Locations of South Parking Lot Geological Borings
Figure 3	Known Areas of Soil Contamination
Figure 4	<b>On-Site Groundwater Monitoring Well Locations</b>
Figure 5	Off-Site Geoprobe Sampling Locations
Figure 6	<b>Off-Site Groundwater Monitoring Well Locations</b>
Figure 7	Indoor Air and Subslab Soil Vapor Monitoring Points Locations
Figure 8	Soil Vapor and Indoor Air Sampling Locations
Figure 9	Northern Portion of Remediation System
Figure 10	Southern Parking Lot Remediation Components

# TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

#### List of Tables

- Table 1
   On-Site Monitoring Well Groundwater Chemical Analytical Results
- Table 2
   Off-site Geoprobe Groundwater Chemical Analytical Results
- Table 3
   Off-site Monitoring Well Groundwater Chemical Analytical Results
- Table 4Indoor Air Chemical Analytical Results
- Table 5On-Site Subslab Soil Vapor Monitoring Points Soil Vapor Chemical<br/>Analytical Results
- Table 6Off-Site Indoor Air and Subslab Soil Vapor Chemical Analytical<br/>Results
- Table 7
   Soil Vapor Extraction System Flow Rates at Startup
- Table 8
   Soil Vapor Extraction System Air Chemical Analytical Results
- Table 9Photoionization Detector Readings

#### APPENDICES

APPENDIX AWaste Disposal ManifestsAPPENDIX BSparging Well Construction LogsAPPENDIX CBlower and Compressor Diagrams and InformationAPPENDIX DGroundwater and System Monitoring Reports

#### SECTION 1.0 INTRODUCTION AND DESCRIPTION OF THE REMEDIAL PROGRAM

#### 1.1 Introduction

This Final Engineering Report (FER) has been prepared as an element of the remedial program at 41 Saxon Avenue, Bay Shore, New York (hereinafter referred to as the "Site") under the New York State Voluntary Cleanup Program (VCP) administered by the New York State Department of Conservation (NYSDEC). The Site is in the process of being remediated in accordance with Voluntary Cleanup Agreement (VCA) No. V00338-1.

Saxon Avenue Enterprises, LLC entered into a VCA with the NYSDEC to investigate and remediate the 1.9-acre property located in Bay Shore, New York on May 22, 2001. The Site location and boundaries of the 1.9-acre property are provided in Figure 1.

This FER has been prepared by Dermody Consulting in accordance with the requirements in NYSDEC DER-10 Technical Guidance for Site Investigation and Remediation, and the guidelines provided by NYSDEC.

As the result of the presence of tetrachloroethylene and its degradation products in the soil, soil vapor, and groundwater at the Site, a remediation system, consisting of air sparging (AS) and soil vapor extraction (SVE), was installed at the Site and has been operating since October 10, 2009.

#### **1.2** Site Background

This Site is located at 41 Saxon Ave. in the Town of Islip, County of Suffolk, New York. The Site is bound by Saxon Avenue to the east, the Long Island Rail Road tracks to the north, residential apartments to the west, and a gasoline service station to the south. A 30,000-square-foot building is present on the northwestern portion of the Site. An asphalt parking area exists on the southern portion of the Site, a thin swath of grass and trees exists to the west of the building, a grass and degraded asphalt area exists to the north of the building, and a parking area exists at the northeast portion of the Site. The Site building is subdivided and contains several tenants: A sports training facility [formerly Doggie U (dog training)], a church (which has expanded into an area formerly occupied by Century Tire), an area for kitchen cabinet storage, and a medial office. Chain-link fencing exists along the northern and western property boundaries. In addition, casual access to the area along the exterior area along the north wall is prevented by locked fencing.

#### 1.3 Site History

The Site was previously occupied by Staver Corp., a manufacturer of electronic and metal parts that were sold, in part, to the defense industry. Staver Corp. operated at the Site from the mid-1950s to the mid-1990s. The Site was then vacant until approximately 2003 when the Site was sold to Dali Picasso Realty, Inc., who subdivided the building. Tenants (other than the current tenants) that have occupied the Site since 2003 include an indoor skateboard park, a gambling company, a real estate office, and a newspaper distributor.

# SECTION 2.0 GEOLOGIC CONDITIONS

The regional geology of the Site area consists of a base of Precambrian crystalline bedrock predominantly composed of schist and gneiss overlain by the Lloyd Sand Member of the Cretaceous Raritan Formation. The clay member of the Raritan Formation overlies the Lloyd Sand Member, and acts as a confining unit. Overlying the Raritan Formation is the Cretaceous Magothy Formation which, in the Site area, is overlain by the Pleistocene Gardiners Clay. The Gardiners Clay is a marine interglacial deposit and consists of dark-colored clay with lenses of green silt, very fine sand, and thin layers of fine gravel. The Gardiners Clay is overlain by the Upper Pleistocene glacial outwash deposits (Upper Glacial Deposits) that are composed of stratified medium to coarse-grained sand and gravel. The Upper Glacial deposits are estimated to be 100 to 200 feet thick in the Site area. The soils in the Site area are classified by the US Department of Agriculture as consisting mostly of urban land soils, which contain a mix of sand, gravel, silt, clay, and fill material.

Site-specific geology was recorded during subsurface soil sample collections and monitoring well installations. The Site geology generally consists of brown to tan, fine to coarse-grained sand with some gravel and pebbles to a depth of at least 60 feet below grade. However, based on continuous Geoprobe borings to evaluate the shallow geology in the southern parking lot, it was found that a low permeability silt and silty clay layer was noted on the southwestern portion of the Site that occurs from approximately five to eight feet below grade. Figure 2 shows the area of low permeability soil.

The depth to groundwater at the Site varies from approximately four to five feet below grade. The Site-specific groundwater flow direction is to the south-southeast.

# SECTION 3.0 REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION FINDINGS

Numerous investigations were performed to evaluate the nature and extent of soil, sediment, groundwater, and soil vapor contamination emanating from the Site. The following summarizes the pertinent sample results and findings.

#### 3.1 Soil and Sediment Sampling

Subsurface investigations performed at the Site concluded that soil or sediment contamination was present at the Site in four areas: in the area of the former degreaser, in the area of the former scrap metal loading dock, in the area to the west of the building where a former evaporation pool existed, and in the stormwater leaching pool at the base of the loading dock along the eastern wall of the building (see Figure 3 for the identified areas of soil and sediment contamination).

The former degreaser was used to clean metal parts using tetrachloroethylene. The tetrachloroethylene apparently leaked onto the concrete below the degreaser and percolated to the subsurface. The spent tetrachloroethylene that was removed from the degreaser was reported to have been dumped onto the soil on the north side of the building at the edge of the former scrap metal loading dock (which was a wooden platform adjacent to the bay door in what is now the kitchen cabinet storage unit) and resulted in significant contamination of the soil. The soil in the area of the former evaporation pond was found to contain relatively minor concentrations of tetrachloroethylene and its degradation products. Finally, the stormwater leaching pool at the base of the loading dock on the east side of the building was found to contain elevated concentrations of copper and chromium.

#### 3.2 On-Site Groundwater

Due to the presence of soil contamination consisting of tetrachloroethylene and its degradation products, a groundwater plume was identified at the Site that emanates from the area of the former scrap metal loading dock and continues in the south-southeast direction to the southern property boundary. Figure 4 shows the locations of the 24 former and existing on-Site groundwater monitoring wells (which includes the four wells

at each well cluster location) as well as the approximate boundaries of the on-Site groundwater plume.

Table 1 summarizes the pre-remediation system startup groundwater sampling results (the groundwater sampling was performed in September, 2009 and the remediation system commenced operation on October 10, 2009).

The groundwater sampling results show that the wells containing the highest concentrations of volatile organic compounds (VOC) are at WC-1. In the four multidepth wells within this cluster, the primary VOC was tetrachloroethylene and it was detected at 370 micrograms per liter (ug/l) at 5-10 feet; 690 ug/l at 20-25 feet; 2,800 ug/l at 35-40 feet; and, 1,200 ug/l at 50-55 feet below grade. Relatively minor concentrations of tetrachloroethylene degradation products, as well as 1,1,1-trichloroethane, were also detected. For the downgradient on-Site area, the highest VOC concentrations were detected in the shallow groundwater at WC-2 (5-10 feet) (and showed, among other VOCs, cis-1,2-dichloroethylene at 1,900 ug/l), and at MW-4, where cis-1,2-dichloroethylene was detected at 990 ug/l. Tetrachloroethylene was detected at relatively minor concentrations in the downgradient on-Site area. This indicates that tetrachloroethylene is undergoing degradation as it migrates downgradient in the groundwater. Also, the results for MW-12 show minor detections of VOCs and show no evidence of a significant plume in this area.

#### 3.3 Off-Site Groundwater

Due to the presence of a VOC plume migrating to the south-southeast and off-Site, off-Site Geoprobe groundwater sampling investigations were performed. Figure 5 shows the off-Site groundwater sampling locations for samples obtained in 2002 and 2005. Table 2 summarizes the off-Site Geoprobe groundwater chemical analytical results. The sample obtained in 2002 at GP-15, indicated that VOCs, primarily cis-1,2dichloroethylene (detected at 1,500 ug/l at a depth of 10-12 feet below grade), were present in the off-Site downgradient area. Therefore, in 2005, a follow-up Geoprobe investigation was performed to determine the downgradient extent of the contamination. Samples were obtained from GP-16 though GP-19 at shallow (ranging between 6 and 11 feet below grade) and deeper samples (ranging between 21 and 24 feet below grade). The only location at which halogenated VOCs were detected was GP-16 in the deeper sample. GP-16 contained, primarily, 89 ug/l of cis-1,2-dichloroethylene. All other sample locations contained no detectable VOCs or relatively minor concentrations of petroleum constituents. The petroleum constituents appear to be related to a known petroleum release that emanates from the gasoline service station located adjacent and south of the subject Site.

Based on these findings, two permanent groundwater wells were installed in the downgradient area near the south side of Union Boulevard. The wells are known as MW-A (which is screened from 9 to 14 feet below grade) and MW-B (which is screened from 19 to 24 feet below grade). The depth to groundwater is approximately four feet below grade in this area. The well locations are shown in Figure 6 and the results are summarized in Table 3. The results show that no chlorinated VOCs were detected in either well (although petroleum constituents, ostensibly from the gasoline station adjacent and south of the Site, were detected).

Based on this information, although chlorinated VOCs were detected in the past in the off-Site area, they no longer appear to be present.

#### 3.4 On-Site Indoor Air and Subslab Soil Vapor Sampling Results

Due to the presence of chlorinated VOC soil contamination beneath the building, as well as in two exterior locations adjacent to the building, soil vapor intrusion sampling was conducted.

Indoor air and subslab soil vapor samples were collected from within and beneath the building at the Site during 2005, 2006, and 2007. Figure 7 shows the sampling locations. Table 4 summarizes the indoor air chemical analytical results.

The indoor air results show that several VOCs, including tetrachloroethylene and some of its degradation products (as well as other compounds) were detected in the samples during 2005, 2006, and 2007. However, only two exceedances of the New York State Department of Health (NYSDOH) Indoor Air guidance value were noted: the tetrachloroethylene concentration (115.35 ug/m3) at Doggie U was above the guidance value of 100 ug/m3 in 2007 and trichloroethylene (6.01 ug/m3) was above the guidance value of 5 ug/m3 in the former degreaser room (kitchen cabinet storage unit), also in 2007. The NYSDOH guidance values at the time of the sampling have since been

changed. The current guidance value for tetrachloroethylene is 30 ug/m3 and for trichloroethylene, it is 2 ug/m3.

Seven subslab soil vapor sampling point locations exist within the building at the Site. Table 5 summarizes the subslab soil vapor chemical analytical results. Numerous VOCs were detected in the subslab soil vapor. Tetrachloroethylene was detected at a maximum concentration of 207,000 ug/m3 at AM-3 (Doggie U) in 2006 and at significantly elevated concentrations at other locations, primarily AM-4 and AM-5. However, samples obtained 18 days after the remediation system start up (October 28, 2009) showed significant decreases in soil vapor concentrations (with the exception of AM-7, which showed an increase) indicating that the system is functioning effectively and the points at which the vapor decreases occurred are within the area of influence of the SVE portion of the system.

In addition to the subslab samples, one exterior soil vapor sample was obtained to the west of the building in a grassy area in the vicinity of the former evaporation pool (a previous soil sample in this area had showed relatively minor concentrations of tetrachloroethylene). The sample, identified as "Soil Vapor-West," showed significant concentrations of tetrachloroethylene (33,247 ug/m3) and its degradation products, as well as 1,1,1-trichloroethane at concentrations of 163,770 ug/m3. Therefore, a leg of the SVE system was installed in this area.

#### 3.5 Off-Site Indoor Air and Subslab Soil Vapor Sampling Results

Due to the presence of significant subslab soil vapor at the Site, a soil vapor intrusion investigation was performed at the adjacent Chatham Square Apartments in April, 2008. The sampling was performed in the apartment unit closest to the site (Unit 31). Figure 8 shows the adjoining property sample locations, and Table 6 summarizes the chemical analytical results.

For the indoor air sample obtained from the first floor (which consists of a garage/office although the owner reported that no cars were ever stored in the garage), there were detections of several petroleum-related compounds. Tetrachloroethylene and its degradation products were not detected in the indoor air sample collected from the adjoining apartment unit.

For the subslab soil vapor sample (SS-1) from beneath the concrete garage floor, relatively minor concentrations of tetrachloroethylene (25.32 ug/m3) and its degradation products were detected, in addition to 1,1,1-trichloroethane at 141.90 ug/m3.

#### **3.6 Summary of Interim Remedial Measures**

Interim Remedial Measures (IRMs) were implemented at the Site and included the 2004 removal of soil contaminated with tetrachloroethylene and its degradation products from the area adjacent to the former scrap metal loading dock. Soil was removed from an area approximately 10 feet long, five feet wide, and five feet deep (groundwater was encountered at five feet below grade). The soil was excavated with a backhoe and screened with a photoionization detector (PID). Clean and contaminated soil were stockpiled separately, and 11.05 tons of contaminated soil was subsequently disposed as hazardous waste. The excavation was then backfilled with the clean stockpiled soil and with clean sand. The purpose of the soil excavation was to remove the most contaminated soil from the area and then addressing the remaining contamination with SVE.

A leaching pool at the base of the loading dock along the eastern wall of the building was also remediated due to exceedances of copper and chromium detected in initial sediment sample results. Approximately two feet of sediment was removed from the leaching pool and disposed as non-hazardous waste. Endpoint samples were collected subsequent to remediation and laboratory analysis results showed no exceedances of the Suffolk County Department of Health Services (SCDHS) Pumpout Guideline concnetrations. Therefore, no further remediation was required for this leaching pool.

The manifests for the waste disposal for the former scrap metal loading dock soil and the leaching pool sediment are presented in Appendix A.

#### 3.7 Site Remedy

The Site investigations were used to determine the nature and extent of soil, groundwater, soil vapor contamination at in the vicinity of the Site. Based on these investigations, it was determined that these issues could be effectively addressed using AS to address the groundwater contamination, and SVE to control and remove the vapors generated by the sparging system, control and remove the soil vapors generated by the

volatization of the soil contamination, remove the soil contamination, and create a negative pressure beneath the building to prevent soil vapor from entering the building.

To accomplish this, a pilot test was performed, followed by the full-scale installation and operation of the system.

#### **3.8 Remaining Contamination**

The remaining contamination at the Site is the tetrachloroethylene (and its degradation products) in the soil to the north of the building near the former scrap metal loading dock which could not be physically removed from the Site due to groundwater intrusion and the close proximity to the Site building's footing. The remaining contamination has impacted the groundwater beneath and downgradient of the Site. The remaining contamination is located approximately five feet below grade is covered by five feet of clean sand. This area is protected by a locked eight-foot high chain-link fence with barbed wire, which also houses the remediation shed. Additional locked chain-linked fencing and wood stockade fencing surrounds the northern alley way surrounding the area of remaining contamination.

Groundwater contamination remains at the Site, however, the AS/SVE system has removed significant amounts of contamination.

Soil vapor is likely to remain in the area beneath the building, however, the AS/SVE is likely to have removed most of the soil vapors.

# SECTION 4.0 PILOT TESTING AND FULL-SCALE INSTALLATION

#### 4.1 Pilot Test Components and Results Summary

To perform the pilot testing for the AS/SVE system at the Site, a subslab SVE component was installed along with five subslab soil vapor monitoring points, a segment of the SVE system in the south parking lot, two sparging wells, and two test wells.

The subslab SVE component location is shown in Figure 9 (which shows both the pilot test components and the full-scale installation components) and shows that the system components were installed in the former degreaser unit (now known as the kitchen cabinet storage room). The system components were installed by saw-cutting trenches in the concrete, removing approximately one foot of soil from the trench, installing the piping, backfilling the trench, and sealing the saw-cut area with concrete.

The pipes installed are two-inch PVC laid horizontally (due to the shallow water table). There were three system legs installed in this area: L-7, L-8, and L-9. Each leg contains a screened interval and a riser pipe to route the extracted vapors. At the proximal terminus of the subslab piping, PVC elbows and riser pipes were installed to allow access to the legs during the pilot testing.

The soil vapor and pressure monitoring points (AM-1 through AM-5) locations were shown in Figure 8 and were installed to determine the radius of the SVE influence and for vapor sampling.

For the exterior SVE pilot testing in the south parking lot, the central leg (L-2) of the system was installed by saw-cutting a trench in the asphalt, removing the soil to a depth of approximately two feet, installing and pitching a screened length of pipe, and backfilling the soil into the trench. An asphalt patch was then installed over the trench. The location of SVE segment L-2 is shown in Figure 10 (which shows both the pilot test components and the full-scale installation components).

Two sparging wells (AS-3 and AS-4) with two-inch diameter PVC pipe were installed to a depth of 22.5 feet below grade (17.5 feet below the water table) with two-foot screens at the base of the wells. Finally, two test wells were installed to evaluate both the radius of influence of the sparging wells by measuring changes in water table

elevation and dissolved oxygen, as well as to obtain magnehelic gauge readings to determine the radius of influence of the SVE system. The test wells were installed to a depth of 10 feet below grade (five feet below the water table) and contain screened intervals from 3 to 10 feet below grade.

The pilot test was performed by withdrawing vapor from the subslab legs (L-7, L-8, and L-9) at a rate of 100 cubic feet per minute (cfm). The results showed acceptable magnehelic gauge influence at AM-1, AM-2, AM-4, and AM-5. However, the influence at AM-3 was insufficient. Therefore, an additional system leg was installed in the area of AM-3 during the full-scale installation.

For the exterior SVE system test, the SVE temporary blower was operated at a rate of 50 cfm and the results showed that the SVE system influence was well beyond the maximum expected area of influence of the sparging system.

For the sparging pilot test, the tests showed a radius of influence of at least 20 feet based on changes in dissolved oxygen concentrations. Based on the report of these findings, the NYSDEC requested the installation of the full-scale system.

#### 4.2 Full-Scale System Installation

The full-scale installation of the AS/SVE remediation system consisted of additions to the components installed for the pilot test. For the northern portion of the Site, these additions included an air sparging well, a test well, three additional interior and exterior legs of the SVE system, and a remediation compound to house the blower and compressor (as shown in Figure 9).

The air sparging well (AS-1) was installed in the area of the previously excavated soil adjacent to the former scrap metal loading dock. The purpose of AS-1 is to address the groundwater contamination in this area. Also, due to the known existence of contamination at deeper depths in this area, AS-1 was installed deeper, to a depth of 37.5 feet below grade (with a screen from 35 to 37.5 feet below grade), than the southern parking lot sparging wells. The boring and well construction logs for wells AS-1 through AS-5 are presented in Appendix B.

A test well (TW-3) was installed approximately 8 feet from AS-1 to provide visual evidence of the point at which sufficient pressure was devoted to AS-1 such that sparging air bubbles could be seen.

Three additional SVE legs were installed in this area. L-4 consists of a 30-footlong, four-inch diameter PVC screen installed horizontally in the area of the former evaporation pool where elevated concentrations of chlorinated VOCs were detected in the soil vapor. L-5 consists of a 30-foot-long, four-inch diameter PVC screen installed horizontally and in the area of the former scrap metal loading dock where the residual concentrations (following the previous soil excavation) of chlorinated VOCs were expected to be the highest of anywhere on the Site. L-5 also functions to remove vapors generated by sparging well AS-1. The legs were installed at the base of a two-foot-deep trench. For exterior legs L-4 and L-5, which were installed in areas where exposed soil is present at the surface, a ten-foot wide section of plastic liner was installed over the screened section of pipe to prevent the infiltration of precipitation and to reduce shortcircuiting. The plastic liner was installed at approximately one foot below grade in a convex shape to prevent ponding above the liner. Leg L-6 was installed in the Doggie U unit to collect soil vapor present in this area that may not be within the area of influence of legs L-7, L-8, and L-9. Leg L-6 was installed vertically to a depth of just over three feet. It consists of a three-foot-long, four-inch-diameter screen, backfilled with No. 1 Morie gravel and sealed at grade with concrete. The solid riser pipe then runs above ground, north, along the east wall of Doggie U and then enters the kitchen cabinet storage unit through a perforation in the wall, and then runs northward through a perforation in the north wall where it is then connected to the remediation compound.

In the southern parking lot area (see Figure 10), a trench was created and additional SVE legs L-1 and L-3 were installed at a depth of two feet below grade. All horizontal screens installed at the Site were pitched downward at their distal ends to reduce the potential for moisture from entering the system. Each leg is 30 feet in length. The line of SVE legs was installed in a zig-zag configuration as the result of the need to avoid subsurface utilities in this area. The vapor withdrawn from legs L-1, L-2, and L-3 is routed to a subsurface vault where the legs are manifolded and then a single four-inch PVC pipe exits the north end of the vault, exits the ground at the base of the building

wall, and then runs upward along the building wall, then northward across the building, then downward along the north wall of the building where it is connected to the remediation compound. Also, within the vault, on the influent side of the manifold, are individual valve controls for each leg. In addition, on the influent side of each leg's valve is a sampling port. Following installation of all system components in the south parking lot, a new layer of asphalt was laid in the entire south parking lot to prevent infiltration of precipitation and to prevent short circuiting of the SVE components.

In addition, to the SVE components in the south parking lot area, two additional sparging wells were installed (for a total of four). The four sparging wells, AS-2 through AS-5, were all installed to a depth of 22.5 feet below grade feet with 2.5 foot screens at their base. The sparge wells are approximately 25 feet apart. Each sparging well was connected to a two-inch trunk line and contains individual valving to adjust the air flow to each sparging well. The sparging well also passes through the vault and runs across the building's roof where it is connected to the remediation compound.

The remediation compound is an 8 by 12 foot shed along the north exterior wall of the building. The shed contains a sparge compressor and an SVE blower. The sparge compressor is a Becker KDT 3.100 rotary vane oil-less 10 horsepower (hp) compressor rated at 69 scfm (standard cfm) at 18 psi (pounds per square inch). The SVE blower is a Rotron 10 hp Environmental Blower rated at 400 scfm at 0 inches H2O. Additional details for the blower and compressor, including system diagrams, are presented in Appendix C.

The SVE discharge is directed to two drums of activated carbon connected in series. The drums are located adjacent and east of the remediation shed and are fitted with drum warmers to prevent freezing of any liquid that may enter the drums. The final discharge from the drums is directed to a point approximately three feet above the building's roofline.

The system contains a moisture knockout tank. The system also contains a high water level automatic shutoff for the moisture knockout tank, as well as a high temperature automatic shutoff for the blower and compressor. A six-foot locked chain link fence with barbed wire was installed around the immediate area of the remediation compound.

#### 4.3 **Remedial Action Objectives**

The purpose of the installation of the AS/SVE system is to address the remaining soil contamination at the Site, the on-Site groundwater contamination, and the soil vapor beneath the building.

The remaining soil contamination exists in three areas: the subslab area in the vicinity of the former degreaser, the residual contamination in the area of the former scrap metal loading dock, and the area adjacent and west of the building where soil vapor was detected in the area of the former evaporation pool. Each of these areas is currently being remediated by SVE.

For the on-Site groundwater, the configuration of the on-Site plume has been identified (as was presented in Figure 4). The goal is to address the area of highest levels of groundwater contamination, which occur in the area of the former scrap metal loading dock. A sparge well and associated SVE was installed in this area to address this issue. Also, for the downgradient area, four AS wells were installed at approximately 25-foot intervals generally in an east-west line and perpendicular to the long axis of the plume. The sparging wells were designed to have intersecting radii of influence and have a total area of influence of a minimum of 105 feet in the east-west direction (assuming a radius of influence of at least 15 feet for each AS well). The three SVE legs (L-1, L-2, and L-3) were installed to withdraw the vapors generated by the AS wells.

For the soil vapor beneath the building, the migration of these vapors will be controlled, and the vapors will be removed by the subslab SVE legs at L-6, L-7, L-8, and L-9.

The remedial action at the Site will be considered complete when monitoring indicates that the remedy has achieved the remedial action objectives Remedial Action Objectives (RAOs).

The AS/SVE system will not be discontinued unless prior written approval is granted by the NYSDEC. In the event that monitoring data indicates that the AS/SVE system is no longer required, a proposal to discontinue the system will be submitted by Dermody Consulting on behalf of the property owner. Conditions that warrant discontinuing the AS/SVE system include contaminant concentrations in groundwater that: (1) reach levels that are consistently below the NYSDEC ambient water quality standards, (2) have become asymptotic to a low level over an extended period of time as accepted by the NYSDEC, or (3) the NYSDEC has determined that the AS/SVE system has reached the limit of its effectiveness.

For the soil, if it is determined that no further significant contamination is present in the areas that are currently undergoing remediation, Dermody Consulting will propose soil sampling in those areas. Upon approval of the NYSDEC, the samples will be obtained and the results will be compared to the 6 NYCRR Subpart 375-6 guidelines. If the results show no exceedances of the guidelines, this information will be used as a component in the consideration of whether to discontinue the system operation.

The AS/SVE system in operation at the Site contains vapor withdrawal points that were installed beneath the Site buildng's slab.. Therefore, the SVE system is also functioning as a Sub-Slab Depressurization System (SSDS). In the event that monitoring data indicates that SSDS is no longer required, a proposal to discontinue the system will be submitted to the NYSDEC and the NYSDOH. The criteria for determining that the SSDS is no longer required will be based on the NYSDOH "Guidance for Evaluating Soil Vapor Intrusion in the State of New York" (2006) and the indoor air/sub-slab vapor matrices.

## SECTION 5.0 REMEDIAL SYSTEM OPERATION

#### 5.1 Remediation System Startup

The remedial system commenced operation on October 10, 2009. Following the commencement of the operation of the system, the flow rates for each leg of the SVE components were adjusted. The total flow rate was determined be 290 cfm. The adjusted flow rates for each leg are presented in Table 7.

For the AS wells, the valves were adjusted to determine the pressure at which breakthrough (the point at which air bubbles were emitted from the screen of the AS wells) occurred. At well AS-1, breakthrough occurred at a delivery pressure of 10 psi. At AS-1, breakthrough was confirmed through the visual observation bubbles entering test well TW-3 (which is a two-inch PVC pipe that was installed with a hand auger to approximately six inches below the water table). Due to the shallow water table, at breakthrough, the bubbles could clearly be seen in the well.

For wells AS-2 through AS-5, breakthrough was noted at 8.5 psi for each well. Breakthrough was observed in wells TW-1 as well as the through the base of the north end of the valve vault. Well AS-2 is 15 feet from the point within the valve vault at which the bubbles were noted, therefore, it was concluded that the AS wells have a radius of influence of at least 15 feet.

On October 22, 2009, 12 days after system startup, in-line vapor samples were collected to determine initial concentrations (the system was given 12 days to generate vapors from the sparging of the groundwater so that representative initial samples could be obtained) in each of the nine SVE legs. To obtain the samples, the remediation system was temporarily shut down. Then, a length of polyethylene tubing with a purging port was connected to a six liter Summa Canister and to the valve stem of each leg. At each leg, the pipe valve was closed and 120 cubic centimeters of vapor was withdrawn from the purging port using a Teflon graduated syringe. The Summa Canister valve was then opened and a sample obtained from the piping on the influent side of each leg's valve over a period of approximately 30 seconds. The samples were sent to the laboratory for analysis of VOCs by Method TO-15.

The sample results are summarized in Table 8. The results show that tetrachloroethylene and its degradation products, as well as 1,1,1-trichoroethane, were detected in all samples. The primary compound detected at all locations was tetrachloroethylene. The highest concentrations were found at L-9 (97,539 ug/m3) and L-7 (79,397 ug/m3). The lowest concentrations of tetrachloroethylene were found in the three SVE legs associated with the sparging wells in the south parking lot. The concentrations of tetrachloroethylene detected at L-1, L-2, and L-3 ranged from 1,932 to 5,519 ug/m3.

PID readings were also obtained from each SVE leg on five occasions from prior to startup on October 10, 2009 to February 1, 2010. The results are presented in Table 9 and show that the highest readings were obtained from L-5 three days after startup [485 ppm (parts per million)]. However, the results also show that the concentrations of soil vapor in the system have significantly decreased since system startup.

## SECTION 6.0 SYSTEM MONITORING

Periodic sampling of the groundwater has been performed since the commencement of operation of the remedial systems. The groundwater wells that have been sampled include WC-1, WC-2, WC-3, MW-4, MW-8D, MW-9, and off-Site wells MW-A and MW-B. In addition, PID readings have been obtained from the legs of the SVE system have been obtained to determine the changes in concentrations of VOCs in the soil vapor over time.

The results of the sampling and monitoring have shown a significant reduction in the concentrations of remaining contamination in the groundwater and soil.

The most recent groundwater monitoring and system monitoring reports are provided in Appendix D.

#### 6.1 Deviations from the RAWP

There were no significant deviations from the RAWP.





















# Table 1On-Site Monitoring Well Groundwater Chemical Analytical Results41 Saxon AvenueBay Shore, New York

Sample Location	WC-1 (5'-10')	WC-1 (20'- 25')	WC-1 (35'- 40')	WC-1 (50'- 55')	NYSDEC Class GA Ambient Water Quality Standards
Volatile Organic Compounds (in microgram p	er liter)				
Chlorobenzene	ND	ND	ND	ND	5*
Chloroethane	ND	ND	ND	ND	5*
1,1-Dichloroethane	ND	6	ND	ND	5*
1,2-Dichloroethane	ND	ND	12	6	0.6
1,1-Dichloroethylene	ND	ND	ND	ND	5*
cis-1,2-Dichloroethylene	ND	49	98	50	5*
trans-1,2-Dichloroethylene	ND	ND	ND	ND	5*
Methylene Chloride	ND	ND	ND	ND	5*
Methyl tertiary butyl ether	ND	ND	ND	ND	10
Tetrachloroethylene	370	690	2,800	1,200	5*
Toluene	ND	ND	ND	ND	5*
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	33	66	150	88	5*
Trichloroethylene	5	51	87	58	5*
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	ND	ND	ND	ND	5*
Vinyl Chloride	ND	ND	ND	ND	2
Sample Location	WC-2 (5'-10')	WC-2 (20'- 25')	WC-2 (35'- 40')	WC-2 (55'- 60')	NYSDEC Class GA Ambient Water Quality Standards
---	----------------	--------------------	--------------------	--------------------	---
Volatile Organic Compounds (in microgra	ams per liter)				
Chlorobenzene	ND	ND	ND	ND	5*
Chloroethane	ND	ND	ND	ND	5*
1,1-Dichloroethane	19	ND	ND	ND	5*
1,2-Dichloroethane	ND	ND	ND	ND	0.6
1,1-Dichloroethylene	5	ND	ND	ND	5*
cis-1,2-Dichloroethylene	1,900	ND	6	ND	5*
trans-1,2-Dichloroethylene	22	ND	ND	ND	5*
Methylene Chloride	ND	ND	ND	ND	5*
Methyl tertiary butyl ether	ND	ND	ND	ND	10
Tetrachloroethylene	310	ND	ND	5	5*
Toluene	ND	ND	ND	ND	5*
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	30	ND	ND	ND	5*
Trichloroethylene	200	ND	ND	ND	5*
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	ND	ND	ND	ND	5*
Vinyl Chloride	720	ND	ND	ND	2

Sample Location	WC-3 (5'-10')	WC-3 (20'- 25')	WC-3 (35'- 40')	WC-3 (55'- 60')	NYSDEC Class GA Ambient Water Quality Standards
Volatile Organic Compounds (in microgra	ams per liter)				
Chlorobenzene	ND	ND	ND	ND	5*
Chloroethane	ND	ND	ND	ND	5*
1,1-Dichloroethane	ND	ND	ND	ND	5*
1,2-Dichloroethane	ND	ND	ND	ND	0.6
1,1-Dichloroethylene	ND	ND	ND	ND	5*
cis-1,2-Dichloroethylene	8	ND	ND	ND	5*
trans-1,2-Dichloroethylene	ND	ND	ND	ND	5*
Methylene Chloride	ND	ND	ND	ND	5*
Methyl tertiary butyl ether	ND	ND	ND	ND	10
Tetrachloroethylene	15	25	30	28	5*
Toluene	ND	ND	ND	ND	5*
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	ND	7	7	6	5*
Trichloroethylene	6	ND	ND	ND	5*
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	ND	ND	ND	ND	5*
Vinyl Chloride	ND	ND	ND	ND	2

Sample Location	MW-4	MW-5	MW-8D	MW-9	MW-12	NYSDEC Class GA Ambient Water Quality Standards
Volatile Organic Compounds (in	n micrograms per	r liter)				
Chlorobenzene	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	5*
Chloroethane	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	5*
1,1-Dichloroethane	5	ND	ND	49	ND	5*
1,2-Dichloroethane	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	0.6
1,1-Dichloroethylene	ND	ND	ND	7	ND	5*
cis-1,2-Dichloroethylene	990	ND	ND	320	11	5*
trans-1,2-Dichloroethylene	13	ND	ND	6	ND	5*
Methylene Chloride	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	5*
Methyl tertiary butyl ether	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	10
Tetrachloroethylene	13	ND	ND	300	ND	5*
Toluene	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	5*
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	ND	ND	ND	220	ND	5*
Trichloroethylene	81	ND	ND	390	18	5*
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	ND	ND	ND	6	ND	5*
Vinyl Chloride	86	ND	ND	66	ND	2

#### Notes:

Only detected analytes are reported.

- ND = Not Detected
- NS = Not Sampled
- J = Indicates an estimated value
- B = Indicates that the analyte was also found in the trip blank sample.
- \* = The Principal Organic Contaminant Standard applies.
- = No New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (NYSDEC) Class GA Ambient Water Quality

Standard is available.

**Bold** values indicate an exceedance of the NYSDEC Class GA Ambient Water Quality Standards.

## Table 2Off-Site Geoprobe Groundwater Chemical Analytical Results41 Saxon AvenueBay Shore, New York

Sample ID	GP-15	GP-16		GP-17		NYSDEC
Sample Date	September, 2002		August,	2005		Class GA Ambient Water Quality
Sample Depth (in feet below grade)	10'-12'	6'-8'	21'-23'	7'-9'	21'-23'	Standards
Volatile Organic Compounds (in microgr	rams per liter)					
Benzene	ND	ND	5	ND	1	1
n-Butylbenzene	ND	ND	1	ND	ND	5*
1,1-Dichloroethane	25	ND	2	ND	ND	5*
cis-1,2-Dichloroethylene	1,500	ND	89	ND	ND	5*
Ethylbenzene	ND	ND	19	ND	ND	5*
Isopropylbenzene	ND	ND	4	ND	ND	5*
Methyl tertiary butyl ether	ND	ND	ND	ND	1	10
Naphthalene	ND	ND	17	ND	ND	10
n-Propylbenzene	ND	ND	6	ND	ND	5*
Toluene	ND	ND	5	ND	2	5*
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	40	ND	6	ND	ND	5*
Trichloroethylene	170	ND	ND	ND	ND	5*
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	ND	ND	6	ND	2	5*
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	ND	ND	1	ND	ND	5*
Vinyl Chloride	420	ND	22	ND	ND	2
o-Xylene	ND	ND	4	ND	ND	5*
p- & m-Xylenes	ND	ND	25	ND	2	5*

#### Table 2 (continued) **Off-Site Geoprobe Groundwater Chemical Analytical Results** 41 Saxon Avenue **Bay Shore, New York**

Sample ID	GF	2-18	GP	NYSDEC	
Sample Date		Class GA Ambient Water Quality			
Sample Depth (in feet below grade)	7'-9'	22'-24'	9'-11'	22'-24'	Standards
Volatile Organic Compounds (in micrograms	per liter)				L
Benzene	ND	1	ND	6	1
n-Butylbenzene	ND	ND	ND	ND	5*
1,1-Dichloroethane	ND	ND	ND	ND	5*
cis-1,2-Dichloroethylene	ND	ND	ND	ND	5*
Ethylbenzene	ND	ND	ND	4	5*
Isopropylbenzene	ND	ND	ND	3	5*
Methyl tertiary butyl ether	ND	2	ND	ND	10
Naphthalene	ND	ND	ND	ND	10
n-Propylbenzene	ND	ND	ND	7	5*
Toluene	ND	ND	ND	2	5*
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	ND	ND	ND	ND	5*
Trichloroethylene	ND	ND	ND	ND	5*
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	ND	ND	ND	6	5*
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	ND	ND	ND	ND	5*
Vinyl Chloride	ND	ND	ND	ND	2
o-Xylene	ND	ND	ND	ND	5*
p- & m-Xylenes	ND	ND	ND	3	5*

Notes:

Only detected analytes are reported.

Not Detected ND =

\* = The Principal Organic Contaminant Standard applies. **Bolded** values indicate an exceedance of the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (NYSDEC) Class GA Ambient Water Quality Standards.

Sample ID	MW-A	MW-B	NYSDEC Class GA Ambient Water Quality Standards						
Volatile Organic Compounds (in micrograms per liter)									
n-Butylbenzene	6	ND	5*						
sec-Butylbenzene	5	ND	5*						
Ethylbenzene	3 J	ND	5*						
Isopropylbenzene	14	ND	5*						
Methylene Chloride	4 JB	3 JB	5*						
Methyl tertiary butyl ether (MTBE)	2 J	ND	10						
Naphthalene	2 J	ND	10						
n-Propylbenzene	35	ND	5*						
p& m-Xylenes	3 J	ND	5*						

#### Notes:

Only detected analytes are reported.

ND = Not Detected

\* = The Principal Organic Contaminant Standard applies.

**Bolded** values indicate an exceedance of the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (NYSDEC) Class GA Ambient Water Quality Standards.

## Table 4Indoor Air Chemical Analytical Results41 Saxon AvenueBay Shore, New York

Sample ID	IA-1		IA-2			NYSDOH Indoor
Sample Date	9/8/05	9/5/07	9/8/05	8/14/06	1/8/07	Air Guidelines
Volatile Organic Compo	unds ( <i>in mi</i>	crograms p	er cubic i	meter of a	ir)	
Acetone	26.6	47.6	31.4	30.9	ND	-
Benzene	ND	ND	2.60	1.92	ND	-
Chloromethane	1.26	ND	2.31	ND	ND	-
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	ND	9.02	ND	ND	ND	-
Dichlorodifluoromethane	ND	ND	ND	2.97	ND	-
1,1-Dichloroethene	ND	ND	ND	2.38	ND	-
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	ND	ND	2.78	ND	-
Ethyl alcohol	ND	99.8	ND	16.2	ND	-
Ethyl benzene	ND	1.30	3.09	1.74	ND	-
p-Ethyltoluene	ND	2.46	6.99	1.47	ND	-
Heptane	4.99	9.82	2.91	1.64	ND	-
Hexane	ND	ND	3.94	2.12	ND	-
Isopropyl Alcohol	11.5	110	10.3	13.0	375	-
Methyl Ethyl Ketone	9.00	ND	9.60	ND	ND	-
Methylisobutylketone	ND	69.7	ND	ND	ND	-
Styrene	ND	5.11	ND	ND	ND	-
Tetrachloroethylene	21.4	42.8	56.6	88.2	82.8	100
Toluene	12.7	8.66	8.82	27.1	4.22	-
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	2.22	ND	3.33	2.73	7.21	-
Trichloroethylene	ND	3.22	2.19	3.76	6.01	5
Trichlorfluoromethane	ND	ND	ND	1.69	9.14	-
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	ND	3.94	5.00	2.46	ND	-
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	ND	1.48	ND	ND	ND	-
2,2,4-Trimethylpentane	9.50	ND	ND	1.40	ND	
m- & p-Xylenes	ND	13.9	3.97	3.04	ND	-
o-Xylene	ND	1.30	ND	1.30	ND	-

#### Table 4 (continued) Indoor Air Chemical Analytical Results 41 Saxon Avenue Bay Shore, New York

Sample ID		NYSDOH Indoor Air		
Sample Date	9/8/05	8/14/06	1/8/07	Guidelines
Volatile Organic Compounds	s (in microgram	s per cubic m	eter of air)	
Acetone	22.5	35.7	ND	-
Benzene	ND	ND	ND	-
Chloromethane	ND	ND	ND	-
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	ND	4.21	ND	-
Dichlorodifluoromethane	ND	3.46	ND	-
1,1-Dichloroethene	ND	ND	ND	-
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	ND	ND	-
Ethyl alcohol	ND	73.4	ND	-
Ethylbenzene	ND	1.30	ND	-
p-Ethyltoluene	ND	1.47	ND	-
Heptane	ND	6.55	ND	-
Hexane	ND	4.23	ND	-
Isopropyl Alcohol	1,650	147	6,500	-
Methyl Ethyl Ketone	ND	ND	ND	-
Methylisobutylketone	ND	5.33	ND	-
Styrene	ND	ND	ND	-
Tetrachloroethylene	ND	7.46	ND	100
Toluene	22.6	6.02	ND	-
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	ND	ND	ND	-
Trichloroethylene	ND	ND	ND	5
Trichlorfluoromethane	ND	1.69	ND	-
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	ND	2.46	ND	-
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	ND	1.48	ND	-
2,2,4-Trimethylpentane	ND	ND	ND	-
m- & p-Xylenes	ND	1.74	ND	-
o-Xylene	ND	ND	ND	-

#### Table 4 (continued) Indoor Air Chemical Analytical Results 41 Saxon Avenue Bay Shore, New York

Sample ID		NYSDOH Indoor Air		
Sample Date	9/8/05	8/14/06	9/5/07	Guidelines
Volatile Organic Compounds	(in microgran	ns per cubic n	neter of air)	•
Acetone	41.1	40.4	52.3	-
Benzene	2.60	5.43	1.60	-
Chloromethane	1.05	ND	ND	-
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	ND	ND	1.20	-
Dichlorodifluoromethane	ND	2.97	ND	-
1,1-Dichloroethene	ND	2.78	ND	-
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	4.44	3.57	ND	-
Ethyl alcohol	ND	65.9	60.26	-
Ethylbenzene	1.77	5.64	2.17	-
p-Ethyltoluene	2.00	6.38	3.44	-
Heptane	3.33	6.96	6.55	-
Hexane	3.58	15.5	ND	-
Isopropyl Alcohol	7.00	27.0	ND	-
Methyl Ethyl Ketone	10.2	5.60	ND	-
Methylisobutylketone	ND	ND	34.0	-
Styrene	ND	ND	2.98	-
Tetrachloroethylene	75.9	88.2	115.35	100
Toluene	14.2	36.14	12.8	-
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	3.88	2.73	5.46	-
Trichloroethylene	3.28	4.84	4.84	5
Trichlorfluoromethane	19.4	73.1	84.3	-
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	ND	7.38	4.92	-
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	ND	3.44	1.97	-
2,2,4-Trimethylpentane	2.38	4.20	ND	-
m- & p-Xylenes	2.21	16.5	10.9	-
o-Xylene	ND	6.52	2.61	-

## Table 4 (continued)Indoor Air Chemical Analytical Results41 Saxon AvenueBay Shore, New York

Sample ID	IA	5	IA-6	NYSDOH Indoor Air
Sample Date	8/14/06 1/8/07		1/8/07	Guidelines
Volatile Organic Compo	unds ( <i>in mi</i>	crograms p	er cubic meter	of air)
Acetone	33.3	ND	ND	-
Benzene	1.92	ND	ND	-
Chloromethane	ND	ND	ND	-
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	ND	ND	ND	-
Dichlorodifluoromethane	2.97	ND	ND	-
1,1-Dichloroethene	1.19	ND	ND	-
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	ND	ND	-
Ethyl alcohol	15.1	ND	ND	-
Ethylbenzene	1.30	ND	ND	-
p-Ethyltoluene	1.47	ND	ND	-
Heptane	1.64	ND	ND	-
Hexane	3.53	ND	ND	-
Isopropyl Alcohol	15.7	1,050	3,000	-
Methyl Ethyl Ketone	ND	ND	ND	-
Methylisobutylketone	ND	ND	ND	-
Styrene	ND	ND	ND	-
Tetrachloroethylene	26.5	96.6	ND	100
Toluene	15.8	ND	ND	-
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	1.64	ND	ND	-
Trichloroethylene	1.61	ND	ND	5
Trichlorfluoromethane	1.69	ND	ND	-
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	2.46	ND	ND	-
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	ND	ND	ND	-
2,2,4-Trimethylpentane	1.40	ND	ND	-
m- & p-Xylenes	3.04	ND	ND	-
o-Xylene	ND	ND	ND	-

#### Notes:

Only detected analytes are reported.

ND = Not Detected

**Bolded** values indicate an exceedance of the New York State Department of Health (NYSDOH) Indoor Air Guidelines.

# Table 5On-Site Subslab Soil Vapor Monitoring PointsSoil Vapor Chemical Analytical Results41 Saxon AvenueBay Shore, New York

Sample ID	AN	M-1	<b>AM-2</b>		AM-3		
Sample Date	3/3/06	10/28/09	3/3/06	10/28/09	3/3/06	10/28/09	
Volatile Organic Compounds (in micrograms per cubic meter of air)							
Acetone	ND	36	ND	ND	ND	34	
Benzene	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	
Carbon Disulfide	ND	2.5	ND	ND	ND	ND	
Chloroethane	ND	ND	537	ND	ND	ND	
Cyclohexane	ND	3.5	ND	ND	ND	4.2	
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	
1,1-Dichloroethane	741	ND	3,000	ND	3,090	ND	
1,1-Dichloroethylene	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	
cis-1,2-Dichloroethylene	60.5	ND	2,380	ND	7,660	24	
trans-1,2-Dichloroethylene	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	
Ethyl acetate	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	9.0	
Ethyl alcohol	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	
Ethylbenzene	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	
p-Ethyltoluene	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	
Freon 113	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	
Isopropyl Alcohol	ND	37	ND	ND	ND	10	
n-Heptane	ND	3.7	ND	ND	ND	5.8	
Methyl butyl ketone	ND	36	ND	ND	ND	Nd	
Methyl ethyl ketone	ND	6.6	ND	ND	ND	9.3	
Methyl isobutyl ketone	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	46	
Styrene	ND	3.5	ND	ND	ND	6.1	
Tetrachloroethylene	2,690	ND	25,500	3,700	207,000	130	
Toluene	ND	5.8	460	ND	ND	8.8	
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	1,890		9,990	ND	11,700	11	
Trichloroethylene	350	6.0	3,610	ND	7,650	ND	
Trichlorofluoromethane	ND	21	ND	ND	ND	33	
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	5.6	
1,3,5-Trimethyl benzene	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	
p & m-Xylenes	ND	5.7	ND	ND	ND	12	
o-Xylenes	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	4.4	

#### Table 5 (continued) On-Site Subslab Soil Vapor Monitoring Points Soil Vapor Chemical Analytical Results 41 Saxon Avenue Bay Shore, New York

Sample ID	AM-4	AN	AM-6					
Sample Date	3/3/06	3/3/06	9/5/07	9/5/07				
Volatile Organic Compounds (in micrograms per cubic meter of air)								
Acetone	ND	ND	85.61	238				
Benzene	ND	ND	5.11	29.7				
tert Butyl Alcohol	ND	ND	ND	218				
Carbon Disulfide	ND	ND	ND	ND				
Chloroethane	ND	ND	ND	ND				
Cyclohexane	ND	ND	ND	ND				
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	ND	ND	ND	ND				
1,1-Dichloroethane	ND	5,350	263	3,241				
1,1-Dichloroethylene	ND	ND	278	365				
cis-1,2-Dichloroethylene	2,580	15,700	2,261	4,364				
trans-1,2-Dichloroethylene	ND	ND	51.6	127				
Ethyl acetate	ND	ND	ND	ND				
Ethyl alcohol	ND	ND	226	60.3				
Ethylbenzene	ND	ND	3.90	52.1				
p-Ethyltoluene	ND	ND	5.40	46.7				
Freon 113	ND	ND	6.13	920				
Isopropyl Alcohol	ND	ND	982	ND				
n-Heptane	ND	ND	14.7	ND				
Methyl butyl ketone	ND	ND	ND	ND				
Methyl ethyl ketone	ND	ND	ND	ND				
Methyl isobutyl ketone	ND	ND	103	ND				
Styrene	ND	ND	5.96	ND				
Tetrachloroethylene	110,000	166,000	8,142	33,247				
Toluene	ND	ND	27.9	226				
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	13,900	10,500	9,280	163,770				
Trichloroethylene	1,750	9,290	4,782	2,740				
Trichlorofluoromethane	ND	ND	5.06	124				
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	ND	ND	8.36	45.8				
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	ND	ND	2.46	12.3				
p & m-Xylenes	ND	ND	24.8	161				
o-Xylenes	ND	ND	4.78	47.8				

#### Table 5 (continued) On-Site Subslab Soil Vapor Monitoring Points Soil Vapor Chemical Analytical Results 41 Saxon Avenue **Bay Shore, New York**

Sample ID	AM-7		Soil Vapor West		
Sample Date	Date 10/28/09 9/5/07				
Volatile Organic Compounds (in mi	crograms per ci	ıbic meter of air	·)		
Acetone	1,500	154.57	238		
Benzene	ND	3.19	29.7		
tert Butyl Alcohol	ND	ND	218		
Carbon Disulfide	ND	ND	ND		
Chloroethane	ND	ND	ND		
Cyclohexane	ND	ND	ND		
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	ND	ND	ND		
1,1-Dichloroethane	ND	ND	3,241		
1,1-Dichloroethylene	ND	ND	365		
cis-1,2-Dichloroethylene	ND	ND	4,364		
trans-1,2-Dichloroethylene	ND	ND	127		
Ethyl acetate	ND	ND	ND		
Ethyl alcohol	ND	207	60.3		
Ethylbenzene	ND	2.60	52.1		
p-Ethyltoluene	ND	3.93	46.7		
Freon 113	ND	ND	920		
Isopropyl Alcohol	ND	1,522	ND		
n-Heptane	ND	11.9	ND		
Methyl butyl ketone	ND	ND	ND		
Methyl ethyl ketone	ND	ND	ND		
Methyl isobutyl ketone	ND	73.8	ND		
Styrene	ND	5.11	ND		
Tetrachloroethylene	9,700	1,764	33,247		
Toluene	ND	132	226		
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	ND	147	163,770		
Trichloroethylene	ND	17.7	2,740		
Trichlorofluoromethane	ND	ND	124		
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	ND	6.39	45.8		
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	ND	2.46	12.3		
p & m-Xylenes	ND	17.8	161		
o-Xylenes	ND	2.61	47.8		

Notes: Only detected analytes are reported. ND = Not Detected

#### Table 6 **Off-Site Indoor Air and Sub-Slab Soil Vapor Chemical Analytical Results** Unit 31 at Chatham Square Apartments Bay Shore, New York

Sample ID	IA-1	SS-1	NYSDOH Air Guideline Value		
Volatile Organic Compounds (in micrograms per cubic meter of air)					
Benzene	16.41	4.94	-		
cis-1,2-dichloroethylene	ND	12.78	-		
Ethylbenzene	11.79	3.67	-		
Tetrachloroethylene	ND	25.32	100		
Toluene	101.38	20.93	-		
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	ND	141.90	-		
Trichloroethylene	ND	2.62	5		
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	11.40	5.15	-		
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	2.80	ND	-		
o-Xylene	12.94	3.97	-		
p-& m-Xylenes	21.20	5.96	=		

Notes: Only detected analytes are reported.

Not Detected ND =

# Table 7Soil Vapor Extraction System Flow Rates at Start-up41 Saxon AvenueBay Shore, New YorkOctober 10, 2009

SVE Pipe	Flow Rate (in cubic feet per minute)
Leg-1	49
Leg-2	49
Leg-3	49
Leg-4	18.4
Leg-5	24.5
Leg-6	18.7
Leg-7	27.6
Leg-8	21.5
Leg-9	30.7
Total SVE Flow Rate	290

#### Note:

Flow rate calculations based on exit velocity measured with an in-line anemometer.

# Table 8Soil Vapor Extraction System Air Chemical Analytical Results41 Saxon AvenueBay Shore, New YorkOctober, 2009

Sample ID	L-1	L-2	L-3	L-4	L-5		
Volatile Organic Compour	Volatile Organic Compounds (in micrograms per cubic meter of air)						
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	50.1	96.0	21.8	4,406	2,581		
1,1-Dichloroethane	5.80	16.5	ND	62.2	25.2		
1,1-Dichloroethylene	ND	ND	ND	44.4	20.2		
cis-1,2-Dichloroethylene	577	1,600	62.9	2,649	1,307		
Ethyl acetate	91.5	ND	ND	ND	ND		
Methyl ethyl ketone	76.5	11.7	15.0	40.2	71.7		
Tetrachloroethylene	5,519	4,691	1,932	55,205	79,397		
Tetrahydrofuran	ND	24.9	8.79	48.3	112		
trans-1,2-Dichloroethylene	ND	11.7	ND	35.0	ND		
Trichloroethylene	157	574	39.0	2,951	2,022		
Vinyl Chloride	11.8	45.5	2.57	23.0	10.6		

Sample ID	L-6	L-7	L-8	L-9	
Volatile Organic Compounds (in micrograms per cubic meter of air)					
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	293	432	97.1	1,054	
1,1-Dichloroethane	58.0	104	ND	151	
1,1-Dichloroethylene	ND	ND	ND	ND	
cis-1,2-Dichloroethylene	863	778	164	1,311	
Ethyl acetate	ND	ND	ND	ND	
Methyl ethyl ketone	61.8	684	1,305	1,329	
Tetrachloroethylene	14,968	43,058	8,002	97,539	
Tetrahydrofuran	54.0	2,234	4,259	3,989	
trans-1,2-Dichloroethylene	ND	ND	ND	38.7	
Trichloroethylene	485	1,470	209	2,634	
Vinyl Chloride	40.0	ND	ND	25.9	

#### Notes:

Only detected analytes were reported. ND = Not Detected

## Table 9Photoionization Detector Readings41 Saxon AvenueBay Shore, New York

	PID Reading (in parts per million)					
Sample Location	Pre- Start Up	Subsequent to Start Up				
Date	October 10, 2009	October 13, 2009	October 22, 2009	December 14, 2009	February 1, 2010	February 18, 2010
L-1	0.0	0.0	183	NS	0.2	0.2
L-2	0.0	25.4	89.7	NS	0.0	0.2
L-3	0.0	0.0	108	NS	0.0	0.2
L-4	24	75	29.5	0.2	0.0	3.4
L-5	410	485	54.8	6.8	8.6	18.1
L-6	12	0.0	19.6	3.2	0.0	1.2
L-7	12	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.0	1.5
L-8	11	0.0	2.6	0.3	0.0	1.0
L-9	4.0	0.0	3.8	0.2	0.0	0.9
Influent Drum #1	NS	200	98.4	0.4	0.7	0.2
Influent Drum #2	NS	0.0	1.2	6.8	0.0	0.1
Effluent Drum #2	NS	0.0	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.1
AM-1	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	0.7
AM-2	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	0.2
AM-3	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	0.0
AM-5	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	0.3

#### Notes:

PID	=	Photoionization Detector
NS	=	Not Sampled
L	=	Soil Vapor Extraction Leg
AM	=	Soil Vapor Monitoring Probe

Appendix A

х. Х.

7				*			
÷.		EC-EF.	56				
	NON-HAZARDOUS WASTE MANIFEST	1. Generator's US EPA ID No.	Manifest Doc. No	o. 2. Page 1 of			
1	3. Generator's Name and Mailing Address COMMERICAL ARY HI STAKON ANE 4. Generator's Phone () BAY	WRY SHORE NY					
	5. Transporter 1 Company Name ERSTERN ETURIRON	Monthe 1 /	IS EPA ID Number	A. Transporter's	Phone	-2 700	>
	7. Transporter 2 Company Name	8. L	IS EPA ID Number	B. Transporters	Phone		
	9. Designated Facility Name and Site Address RGM 972 NICHOLS Pol DEER PAPEIS N.T	10. U	JS EPA ID Number	C. Facility's Phor 431-5	* 56	-0002	
	11. Waste Shipping Name and Description	<b></b>		12. Co	ntainers	13. Total	14. Unit
	a			No.		Quantity	
	NON-HAZARDOU.	5 CONTAMINA	ANT SOIL	Kerl	<u>' 3.7</u>	XX × 2	249
GENE	b.						
H A T C	C.						
F	d.		- H		+		
				<u> </u>			
	D. Additional Descriptions for Materials Listed Abo	ove		E. Handling Cod	es for Wa	stes Listed Abov	/e
	15. Special Handling Instructions and Additional In $LER. \# (Le3^{i}) - 325$	formation 2700					
	16 CENEDATOD'S CEDTIEICATION	materials described above on this man	fest are not subject to federal rec	ulations for reporting a	roper dispo	osal of Hazardous	Waste.
	Printed/Typed Name RETER DEMMODY	Sign	ature fille C			Month L	Day Year 8 04
	17. Transporter 1 Acknowledgement of Receipt of	Materials Sign	ature	<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>		Month I	Day Year
	ALLY WACH		_ aluq	hack			08 04
	18. Transporter 2 Acknowledgement of Receipt of   Printed/Typed Name	Materials Sign	ature			Month I	Day Year
	19. Discrepancy Indication Space						
	20. Facility Owner or Operator: Certification of rec	eipt of waste materials covered by t	his manifest except as noted i	n Item 19.			
	Printed/Typed Name PETCR DEn mon	WRICKERPY Sign	ature Rickell	4		Month	Day Year
		ORIGINAL – RETUR	N TO GENERATOR				

MI4205201, Fage 1 quired under authority of Act 4, P. 22 1979, K Faiture file is DNB section 299.548 MCL or Section 10 of Act 138, P.A. 1969. DO NOT WRITE IN THIS SPACE . 🥙 MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT 🕆 REJ. 🕅 🦾 PR. 🗖 OF NATURAL RESOURCES DIS. 🗆 ATT. 🗌 OMB No. 2050-0039 Expites 9-30-96 Form Approved Information in the shaded areas is not required by Federal 2. Page 1 1. Generator's US EPA ID No. Manifest UNIFORM HAZARDOUS Document N M4101012101415171515 of WASTE MANIFEST Generator's Name and Mailing Address 3. DAHLE STCASSO LLC 41 SAXON AVERUE, BAY SHORE, WY 11706. Generator's Phone 131 Transporter 1 Company Name . 666-8641 1 **US EPA ID Number** 1035111341 6. Б. 11 **MUDUAL** N141019151619161916 PAGE ITC . US EPA ID Number 8. 7. Transporter 2 Company Name 11.1 11111 112111 4 1 1 + 뿓 **US EPA ID Numbe** 9., Designated Facility Name and Site Address 10. ł WATER DISPOSAL THE 49350 H-I 94 BERVICE PR SITE 2 1/P AT 617-373-790 MIN 101418 105 1016 B B BELLEVILLE, MI 48111 14. Unit Wt/Val 12. Containen 13. Total 11. US DOT Description (Including Proper Shipping Name, Hazard Class, and HM (D NUMBER). Тур Quentity No. HM 9.00 STATE NO HAZ. WASTE SOILD, ORCANIC 08, 9, UH3077 PGII, (P001) TLL Þ ៅដ 71**0**1 -5 Ģ b. 5 N Ę . 35251 ATOR 222 02 T . ... с. 24 4 Hyifua. ¥ 24 3 d. **FILT** and Additional Information Special landling ini 15.` 24 ROWE ENERGENCY # 200-269-3578 consignment are fully and accurately destribed above by 16: GENERATOR'S CENTRICATION: I hereby declare that the contents of the proper shipping nime and are classified, publicd, marked, and infered a appending to applicable international and national government repulsation Them a large quantity generator, is certify that I have a product in place to reduce the volume and toxicity of weeks generated to the degree I have deter to be second and the precision of the intervention of the precision of the transforment, storage, or disposed correctly evaluable to me which minimize present and future transforment of the precision of the intervention of the transforment, storage, or disposed correctly evaluable to me which minimize present and future transforment of the precision of the second of the second of the second to the second NOUNTION eithe to minimize my wee Wei Primed/Typed Na Signature Ipt of Materials 17. 뷛툳 TR De Looth :Ye Signatúre finted/Typed Nam dela 100 ament of Receipt of Materials To. Trans Date orter 2LAckor Month Day Yee C REPORT Signature . Printed/Typed Neme 1 23 19. Discrepancy Indication Space 털 More {`\0 ത Covered by this ten of realist 불용 20. Facility Owner or Of Signation Oats item 19. Vined N 0 EPA Form 8700-22 (Rev. 9/88) TSDF COPY the Contractor

**Appendix B** 

Air Sparging	<b>Nell Installation L</b>	og
Well No.: AS-1 Date: 3/26/08 Total Depth: 37 feet Pipe Length: 5 feet Diameter: 2 inches Drilling Method: Hollow stem auger Drilling Company: Land Air Water	Notes: - Concrete - Morie Sand #2 - Bentonie Seal - Screened Interval The screen was installed at 3	5 to 37.5 feet
Environmental Services, Inc. Reported By: P. Dermody Depth	below grade.	Well
(in feet below grade)	Geology	Construction
0	0'-2' Dark brown, fine to	
5	some gravel.	
10	2'-37' Light brown, medium to coarse-grained sand.	
15	soil.	
25		
30		
35		
40		
	l D	ermody Consulting

Air Sparging	Well Installation L	og
Well No.: AS-2 Date: 3/26/08 Total Depth: 22.5 feet Pipe Length: 5 feet Diameter: 2 inches Drilling Method: Hollow stem auger Drilling Company: Land Air Water Environmental Services, Inc. Reported By: P. Dermody Depth (in feet below grade)	Notes: - Concrete - Morie Sand #2 - Bentonie Seal - Screened Interval The screen was installed at 2 below grade. Geology	20 to 22.5 feet
		Construction
2	coarse-grained sand and gravel, dry.	
4	1'-4' Dark brown, fine to medium-grained sand with some silt and trace	
	gravel, moist. 4'-10' Brown, fine to	
10	with trace gravel, wet. 10'-22' Light brown, medium	
12	to coarse-grained sand, wet.	
14		
16		
20		
22		

Air Sparging	Well Installation L	og
Well No.: AS-3	Notes:	
Date: 11/14/05	- Concrete	
Total Depth: 22.5 feet	- Morie Sand #2	
Pipe Length: 5 feet	- Bentonie Seal	
Diameter: 2 inches	- Screened Interval	
Drilling Method: Hollow stem auger		
Drilling Company: Land Air Water	The screen was installed at 2	20 to 22 5 feet
Environmental Services Inc	below grade	.0 10 22.0 1661
Reported By: P Dermody	belew grade.	
Depth		Well
(in feet below grade)	Geology	Construction
0	0'-5' Light brown, coarse	
2	to medium-grained sand	
-	and gravel with trace	
4	pebbles, moist.	
·	5'-20' Light brown, coarse	
6	to medium-grained sand	
•	and trace gravel, wet.	
8	20'-22.5' Light brown,	
Ū	coarse to medium-grained	
10	sand, wet.	
12		
14		
16		
10		
18		
20		
22		
		1
	D	ermody Consulting

,

	Air Sparging	Well Installation L	_og
Well	No.: AS-4	Notes:	
Date:	11/14/05	- Concrete	
Total	Depth: 22.5 feet	- Morie Sand #2	
Pipe	Length: 5 feet	- Bentonie Seal	
Diam	eter: 2 inches	- Screened Interval	
Drilli	ng Method: Hollow stem auger		
Drillin	ng Company: Land Air Water	The screen was installed at	20 to 22 5 feet
Envir	onmental Services. Inc.	below grade	
Repo	rted By: P. Dermody		
	Depth		Well
	(in feet below grade)	Geology	Constructio
	0	0'-5' Light brown, coarse	
	2	to medium-grained sand and trace gravel, moist.	
	4	5'-15' Light brown, coarse	
1		and trace groups wet	
	6	and trace gravel, wet.	
		15-22.5 Light brown,	
	8	trace gravel wet	
	10	1.400 yidrei, wel.	
	12		
	14		
	16		
	18		
	20		
	22		
			1
			1
			[
			ľ
ļ	······································		
1		D	ermody Consul

Air Sparging	Well Installation L	og
Well No.: AS-5	Notes:	
Date: 3/26/08	- Concrete	
Total Depth: 22.5 feet	- Morie Sand #2	
Pipe Length: 5 feet	- Bentonie Seal	
Diameter: 2 inches	- Screened Interval	
Drilling Method: Hollow stem auger		
Drilling Company: Land Air Water	The screen was installed at 2	20 to 22 5 feet
Environmental Services, Inc.	below grade.	
Reported By: P. Dermody	<b>U</b>	
Depth		Well
(in feet below grade)	Geology	Constructio
0	0'-3' Light brown, medium	
2	to coarse-grained sand,	
-	moist.	
4	3'-22' Light brown, medium	
·	to coarse-grained sand,	
6	wet.	
-		
8		
10		
12		
14		
16		
10		
18		~~~~~
20		
20		
22		
22		
	1	
		mody Consul

Air Sparging Well Installation Log				
Well No.: AS-6 Date: 3/26/08 Total Depth: 22.5 feet Pipe Length: 5 feet Diameter: 2 inches Drilling Method: Hollow stem auger Drilling Company: Land Air Water Environmental Services, Inc. Reported By: P. Dermody	Notes: - Concrete - Morie Sand #2 - Bentonie Seal - Screened Interval The screen was installed at 2 below grade.	0 to 22.5 feet		
Uepth (in feet below grade)	Geology	Well		
0	0'-1' Brown medium to	Construction		
2	coarse-grained sand and			
4	gravel, dry. 1'-4' Dark brown, fine to medium-grained sand with			
6	some silt and trace gravel moist. 4'-10' Brown, fine to			
U U	medium-grained sand with			
10	10'-22' Light brown, medium			
12	to coarse-grained sand, wet.			
14				
16				
18				
20				
22				
	De	rmody Consulting		

Test Wel	I Installation Log	
Well No.: TW-1	Notes:	
Date: 11/14/05	- Concrete	
Total Depth: 22.5 feet	- Morie Sand #2	
Pipe Length: 5 feet	Bentonie Seal	
Diameter: 2 inches		
Drilling Method: Hollow stom auger	- Screened Interval	
Drilling Component Land Air Mater		
Environmental Services das	The screen was installed at 7	to 10 feet below
Environmental Services, Inc.	grade.	
Reported By: P. Dermody		
Depin		Well
(in feet below grade)	Geology	Construction
0	0'-5' Light brown, medium	
2	to coarse-grained sand and	
2	trace gravel, moist.	
4	5'-10' Light brown, medium	
4	to coarse-grained sand and	
<u>^</u>	trace gravel, wet	P000000000
6		
_		
8		
10		
	1	
		ļ
·		
	De	rmody Consulting

Test Wel	I Installation Log	
Well No.: TW-2	Notes:	
Date: 11/14/05	- Concrete	
Total Depth: 22.5 feet	- Morie Sand #2	
Pipe Length: 5 feet	- Bentonie Seal	
Diameter: 2 inches	- Screened Interval	
Drilling Method: Hollow stem auger		
Drilling Company: Land Air Water	The screen was installed at 7	to 10 feet belo
Environmental Services Inc	arade	
Reported By: P. Dermody	grade.	
Depth		Moll
(in feet below grade)	Geology	Constructi
0	0'-5' Light brown medium	
-	to coarse-grained sand and	
2	trace gravel moist	
	5'-10' Light brown medium	
4	to coarse-grained sand and	
	trace gravel wet	
6	liace gravel, wet.	
8		
10		
	1	
	]	1

Cluster Well Installation Log			
Well No.: WC-2		Notes:	
Date: 8/14/07			
Total Danthy 60 fact		Maria Sand #2	
Pire Longth 5 (and		- Morie Sand #2	
Pipe Length: 5 feet		- Bentonie Seal	
Diameter: 1 inches		- Screened Interval	
Drilling Method: Hollow	stem auger		
Drilling Company: Land	Air Water	The screens were installed at 5 to 10	
Environmental Services, I	nc.	feet below grade (fbg), 20 to 25 fbg, 35	
Reported By: T. Wall		to 40 fbg, and 55 to 60 fbg.	
Depth	Well		
(in feet below grade)	Construction	Geology	
0		0'-4' Brown, coarse-grained sand and	
		gravel, dry.	
5		4'-20' Brown, coarse-grained sand and	
		gravel, wet.	
10		20'-25' Brown, coarse-grained sand	
		and gravel wet sewer odor	
15		25'-35' Brown coarse-grained sand	
		and gravel wet	
20		35'-60' Brown medium to accrea	
20		areined acad wet	
25			
20			
30			
35			
40			
45			
50			
55			
		]	
60			
		Dermody Consulting	
1			

Cluster Well Installation Log				
Well No.: WC-3		Notes:		
Date: 8/14/07		- Concrete		
Total Depth: 60 feet		- Morie Sand #2		
Pipe Length: 5 feet		- Bentonie Seal		
Diameter: 1 inches		- Screened Interval		
Drilling Method: Hollow	stem auger			
Drilling Company: 1 and	Air Water	The screens were installed at 5 to 10		
Environmental Services	nc	feet below grade (fbg) 20 to 25 fbg 35		
Reported By: T. Wall		to 40 fbg, and 55 to 60 fbg		
Depth	Well			
(in feet below grade)	Construction	Geology		
0		0'-4' Tan, coarse-grained sand and		
5		gravel, moist. 4'-20' Tan, coarse-grained sand and gravel wet		
10		20'-25' Brown, coarse-grained sand and gravel, wet, sewer odor.		
15		25'-30' Brown, coarse-grained sand and gravel, wet.		
20		30'-60' Brown, medium to coarse- grained sand, wet.		
25				
30				
35				
40				
45				
50				
55				
60				
	L	Dermody Consulting		

### **Appendix C**



### **Standard Equipment Shed Specification**

- 1. A-Frame Shed
- 2. Approx. Dimensions: 8'W x 12'L
- 3. (3) 4 x 4 treated timbers under floor
- 4. 2" x 4" x 8' joists on 12" centers
- 5. 2" x 4" wall studs on 16" centers
- 6. 2' x 4" rafters on 16" centers
- 7. 5/8" 5 ply plywood on floor
- 8. 7/16" OSB (chipboard) on walls
- 9.  $\frac{1}{2}$ " 4 ply plywood on roof CDX
- 10. R-11 fiberglass insulation in walls and roof
- 11. 25 yr. shingles on roof (asphalt)
- 12. (2) 8" x 16" Passive vents
- 13. 1/2" T1-11 siding on exterior walls
- 14. (2) coats of latex exterior paint
- 15. 6' wide double doors on one side

Additional items:

- 1. Interior Lights with switch
- 2. Heater controlled by thermostat
- 3. Shed ventilation fan controlled by thermostat
- 4. Distribution panel box for utilities

#### **Baseboard Convection Heaters**

These quiet and efficient heaters are designed for easy installation. Have three 6" wire leads. Housing has indentations spaced 1" apart so you can punch your own mounting holes. Heaters are made of steel with an almond finish and have an overheat safety shutoff. Operate on 60 Hz, single phase. UL listed. When operating on 208 VAC, the heat output (Btu) and wattage will decrease by 25%.

Optional heater-mount thermostats adjust from 45° to 95° F and have two 6" wire leads. The 120 VAC model is single pole, single throw (SPST); 208/240 VAC is double pole, single throw (DPST).

optional duplex receptacle end plate lets you conveniently add a receptacle. Has two three-prong outlets, six 6" wire leads, and two 1/8" dia. mounting holes (fasteners not included). Rated 120 VAC, 60 Hz, 15 amp.



Since outlets should not be installed above heaters, the 400 V/AC Heators

			120 VAC Heaters		208/240 VAC Heaters						
Watts 500 750 1000 1250 1500 2000	Btu/Hr. 1707 2560 3413 4266 5120 6826	Ht. x Wd. x Dp. 6 3/4" x 30" x 2 1/2" 6 3/4" x 36" x 2 1/2" 6 3/4" x 48" x 2 1/2" 6 3/4" x 60" x 2 1/2" 6 3/4" x 72" x 2 1/2" 6 3/4" x 96" x 2 1/2"	Amps 4.17 6.25 8.33 12.50	1778K41 1778K42 1776K43 1776K43	Amps 2.08 3.13 4.17 5.21 6.25 	1778K52 1778K54 1778K56 1778K57 1778K58 1778K19 1778K23					
2500 Optiona Optiona Optiona	8533 I Heater-Mour I Heater-Mour I Duplex Reco	nt Thermostat for 120 VAC Heat Thermostat for 208/240 VAC I Paptacle End Plate for 120 VAC	ers Heaters			K71 K72 K73					
American Industrial Heat Transfer, Inc.											
---	--	---	------------------------------	---	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
·1 · · · · ·											
2											
3											
4 Customer: Gasho											
6 Model Selection: ACA	3242:3	Data: Santember 12.	2008								
6 Required Sq.ft: 13.8		Date, September 12,									
7 Unit Design Sq.Ft: 13.8		JOD: SVB									
8 Performance of Qne (Unit 199											
9 TUBE SIDE		<u>F</u>	ANSIDE	ATR							
10 Vanor Circulated	AIR										
11 Specific Gravity	1.00	Elevation	Teor	2000							
12 Flow Pate scfm	70.00	FAN Flow		2700							
12 Flow Rate scim	70.0			014							
13 Cale Flow State acfm	0,0		<u>rs</u>								
14 Flow Kate Discharge/Inlet soft	43.2 70.0			14 (06							
15 Compressor Distance Distance	18.0	Atmospheric Pressure	psia	14.070							
10 Operating Pressure In. water	0.0										
1/ Operating Pressing F	265.0	68.00		90.0							
18 Temperature Enternity	103.5			94.0							
19 Temperature Exiting	13.5										
20 Approach Temperature	0 2506			0.2502							
21 Specific Heat But 7 10-7	0.0167			0.0184							
22 Viscosity cempoise	0.0107			0.0154							
23 Conductivity Btu/hr ft <sup>2</sup> F/t	1 0.0157	I water a first to be a first to be	S. S. San S. Sans								
24	<b></b>			12603							
25 Total Heat Load Btu/h	12003	200 8 lbe/br									
26 Dry Air Btu/h	12484	506.5 103/14									
27. Vapor Btu/h	r 119	1.0 105/14	. <u></u>								
28 Condensed Btu/h	r 0	0.0 105/11		0.0722							
129 Density 1b/ft^	3 0.1197			12560 5							
30 Flow Rate Ibs / H	r 310.2			£414							
31 Mass Velocity lbs / Hr-ft^	2 . 15273			0.16							
37 Pressure Differential Inches H20	2.9	Static Pressure	Inches H2O	0.10							
22 . Prossule Differential Di	0,105	Face Velocity	ft/min	740							
33 Pressure Enterential	35.46	Fin Velocity	ft/miu	1249.96							
34 Velocity I udes At 7 co	10229			5906							
35 Nr	F 15.4										
36 U clean Bru / II I 2	0.0005		Material	218							
37 Pouling Requested hr IF 2 F / 6	0.0005	election ::		1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 -							
38			LMTD	59.6							
39 Required Surface Sq. 1	A 11 94	Service	Btu / hr ft^2 F	15.3							
40 Effective Surface Sq.		figuration.	Sector Sector								
		a second s		8							
·41 ······	1 0 276	Fine Per Inch		-							
41 42 Tube Diameter in	h 0.375	Fins Per Inch		0							
41   42 Tube Diameter   43 Tube Wall	ch 0.375 ch 0.025	Fins Per Inch Bstimated 1=		0 2							
4142 Tube Diameterin43 Tube Wallin44 Length Tubesin	0.375       sh     0.025       ch     24.0	Fins Per Inch Bstimated 1= Enter Number Passes	inch	0 2 1.9							
41 42 Tube Diameter inc   43 Tube Wall inc   44 Length Tubes inc   45 Parallel Tube Rows	0.375       bh     0.025       ch     24.0       3     3	Fins Per Inch Estimated 1= Enter Number Passes Estimated Nozzle	inch	0 2 1.9 1.50							
41 42 Tube Diameter Inv   43 Tube Wall inv   44 Length Tubes Inv   45 Parallel Tube Rows   46 Number Tubes	0.375       oh     0.025       oh     24.0       3     71	Fins Per Inch Bstimated 1= Enter Number Passes Estimated Nozzle Nozzle size	inch inch	0 2 1.9 1.50 2.50							
41 42 Tube Diameter inc   43 Tube Wall inc   44 Length Tubes inc   45 Parallel Tube Rows   46 Number Tubes   47 Coil Weight Empty	0.375       oh     0.025       oh     24.0       3     71       bs     44.9	Fins Per Inch Bstimated 1= Enter Number Passes Estimated Nozzle Nozzle size Manifold	inch inch	0 2 1.9 1.50 2.50							
41   42 Tube Diameter   43 Tube Wall   44 Length Tubes   45 Parallel Tube Rows   46 Number Tubes   47 Coil Weight Empty   48	0.375       oh     0.025       ch     24.0       3     71       bs     44.9       Constru     Constru	Fins Per Inch Bstimated 1= Enter Number Passes Estimated Nozzle Nozzle size Manifold Section Materials	inch inch	0 2 1.9 1.50 2.50							
41   42 Tube Diameter   43 Tube Wall   44 Length Tubes   45 Parallel Tube Rows   46 Number Tubes   47 Coll Weight Empty   48 Copper	0.375       oh     0.025       ch     24.0       3     71       bs     44.9       Fins Aluminum	Fins Per Inch Bstimated 1= Enter Number Passes Estimated Nozzle Nozzle size Manifold iction Materials Cabinet Carbon Steel	inch inch inch	0 2 1.9 1.50 2.50							
41   42 Tube Diameter   43 Tube Wall   44 Length Tubes   45 Parallel Tube Rows   46 Number Tubes   47 Coil Weight Empty   48 49   49 Tubes   60 Tanks   Steel Tubes	0.375       oh     0.375       oh     0.025       ch     24.0       3     71       bs     44.9       Fins Aluminum     Constru       pe-Shests Steel     Steel	Fins Per Inch Bstimated 1= Enter Number Passes Estimated Nozzle Nozzle size Manifold ietion Materials Cabinet Carbon Steel Gaskets Hypalon	inch inch inch	0 2 1.9 1.50 2.50							
41 in   42 Tube Diameter in   43 Tube Wall in   44 Length Tubes in   45 Parallel Tube Rows in   46 Number Tubes in   47 Coil Weight Empty in   48 in in   49 Tubes Copper   50 Tanks Steel Tubes   51 Coating Enamal	ch 0.375 ch 0.025 ch 24.0 3 71 bs 44.9 Fins Aluminum be-Sheets Steel	Fins Per Inch Bstimated 1= Enter Number Passes Estimated Nozzle Nozzle size Manifold ietion Materials Cabinet Carbon Steel Gaskets Hypalon Fan Aluminum	inch inch inch	0 2 1.9 1.50 2.50							
41 in   42 Tube Diameter in   43 Tube Wall in   44 Length Tubes in   45 Parallel Tube Rows in   46 Number Tubes in   47 Coil Weight Empty in   48 in in   49 Tubes Copper   60 Tanks Steel   51 Coating Enamal   52 Coil Size 24	0.375       oh     0.025       oh     24.0       3     71       bs     44.9       Fins Aluminum     Constru       pe-Sheets Steel     3	Fins Per Inch     Bstimated 1=     Enter Number Passes     Estimated Nozzle     Nozzle size     Manifold     ietion Materials     Cabinet Carbon Steel     Gaskets Hypalon     Fan Aluminum     Nozzle   1.5	inch inch inch	0 2 1.9 1.50 2.50							
41   42   Tube Diameter   indianal     43   Tube Wall   indianal     44   Length Tubes   indianal     45   Parallel Tube Rows   indianal     46   Number Tubes   indianal     47   Coli Weight Empty   1     48   1   1     49   Tubes   Copper     60   Tanks   Steel   1     51   Coating   Enamal     62   Coli Size   24   X 24     63   HP .25   Phase 3   1	0.375       oh     0.025       oh     24.0       3     71       bs     44.9       Your Fins Aluminum     Construction       be-Sheets Steel     60/208	Fins Per Inch     Bstimated 1=     Enter Number Passes     Estimated Nozzle     Nozzle size     Manifold     iction Materials     Cablnet Carbon Steel     Gaskets Hypalon     Fan Aluminum     Nozzle   1.5     230-460   Class	inch inch TEFC	0 2 1.9 1.50 2.50 2.50 							
41     42   Tube Diameter   indiana     43   Tube Wall   indiana     44   Length Tubes   indiana     45   Parallel Tube Rows   indiana     46   Number Tubes   indiana     47   Coll Weight Empty   indiana     48	ch 0.375 ch 0.025 ch 24.0 3 71 bs 44.9 Constru Fins Aluminum be-Sheets Steel lertz/Volts 60/208 Serviceable core	Fins Per Inch     Bstimated 1=     Enter Number Passes     Estimated Nozzle     Nozzle size     Manifold     iction Materials     Cabinet Carbon Steel     Gaskets Hypalon     Fan Aluminum     Nozzle   1.5     State     Octass	inch inch inch	0 2 1.9 1.50 2.50 2.50 RPM 1140							
41   42   Tube Diameter   integration     43   Tube Wall   integration     44   Length Tubes   integration     45   Parallel Tube Rows   integration     46   Number Tubes   integration     47   Coil Weight Empty   1     48   49   Tubes   Copper     50   Tanks   Steel   Tube     51   Coating   Enamal   52     52   Coil Size   24   X 24     53   HP .25   Phase 3   1     54   Brazed coll core   X   55	ch 0.375 ch 0.025 ch 24.0 3 71 bs 44.9 Constru Fins Aluminum be-Sheets Steel lertz/Volts 60/208 Serviceable core	Fins Per Inch     Bstimated 1=     Enter Number Passes     Estimated Nozzle     Nozzle size     Manifold     action Materials     Cabinet Carbon Steel     Gaskets Hypalon     Fan Aluminum     Nozzle   1.5     State     Nozzle   1.5	inch inch inch TEFC	0 2 1.9 1.50 2.50 2.50 							

## Heavy Duty Movable-Blade Wall Louvers



Single Panel Surface Mount with Flange

Single Panel Drop-In Mount

**Double Panel Recessed Mount with Flange** 

Built to last, these louvers have 24-ga. aluminum blades, except louvers 30" and wider which have 22-ga. blades. Maximum air velocity is 2500 fpm. Temperature range is -20° to +200° F, except motorized units which have a maximum temperature of 130° F.

Aluminum Frame— Frame is 0.063" thick extruded aluminum, and frame depth is 1 7/8", except for drop-in mount louvers less than 50" wide, which are 0.05" thick and have a frame depth of 1 1/2". Galvanized Steel Frame— Frame is 14-ga. steel, so it's our strongest. Frame depth is 1 1/2".

Gravity Operated— Also called automatic, these louvers stay open as long as air flows against the blades. Pull Chain— Also called manual, these louvers open and close with a tug on the pull chain. Motorized— Motor opens and closes these louvers. Motor is 115/230 VAC, 60 Hz, single phase with four 36" leads for hardwiring.

Select from three mounting styles. With *surface-mount louvers* the frame and flange mount outside the wall. Overall size is 3" larger than opening size. With *recessed-mount louvers* the frame rests in the wall and the flange mounts to the outside wall. When the blades close they're flush with the wall. Overall size is 2 7/8" larger than opening size. Both surface- and recess-mount styles have 15/64" dia. mounting holes—eight holes for single panel louvers, 10 holes for double panel louvers, and 12 holes for triple panel louvers. *Drop-in mount louvers* go inside a wall or duct. Overall size is 1/8" smaller than opening size.

Please specify mounting style: surface mount, recessed mount, or drop-in mount.

## Exposed-Bulb Fluorescent Lights

Standard Light

Lights with Tandem Bulbs

These overhead lights provide plenty of uniform illumination over large areas. They are made of steel with a white finish and have knockouts for hardwire connections and mounting. Suitable for surface, suspended, and row mounting. All have a universal ballast for both 120 VAC and 277 VAC. UL listed. Bulbs are sold separately. They have a medium bi-pin base (unless noted) and a color temperature of 4100 Kelvins (cool, white light). For other bulb options, see pages 669-670.

Write agric. For ourse of a		Lichts		No	Watts	Bulbs Pkg.	
O'all Size,	Min.	Lights	Fach	Rea'd	per Bulb	Qtv.	
Lg. x Wd. x Ht.	Start Temp.		Laon	I toq u.			
Standard Lights							
With Rapid-Start Electronic	; Ballast for T8 (1'	' Dia.) Bulbs			47	e	1501681
24" x 2 3/4" x 2 3/4"	50° F	1612K611		1	17	0	1501101
24" x 4 3/16" x 2 5/16"	50° F	1612K631		2	1/	D D	1501601
36" x 2 3/4" x 2 3/4"	50° F	1612K641		1	25	6	1501K/5
A8" v 2 3/4" v 2 3/4"	50° F	1612K651		1	32	6	1683K14
48" x 4 3/16" x 3 15/16"	50° F	1612K661		2	32	6	1683K14
With Instant-Start Electron	ic Ballast for T8 (*	1" Dia.) Buibs				-	40001444
48" x 2 3/4" x 2 3/4"	0° F	1612K84			32	6	1683K14
48" x 4 3/8" x 2 1/16"	0° F	1612K82		2	32	6	1683K14
96" x 4 3/16" x 2 15/16"	0° F	1612K671*		1	59	6	8355K85
96" x 4 3/16" x 2 15/16"	0° F	1612K681*		2	59	6	8355K85
With High-Output Electron	ic Ballast for T8 ("	1" Dia.) Bulbs					
96" x 4 3/8" x 3"	-20° F	1612K691‡		2	86	6	1504K92
Lights with Tandem Bull	os (two bulbs mour	nted end-to-end)					
With Ranid-Start Electronic	c Ballast for T8 (1	" Dia.) Bulbs					
96" x 2 3/4" x 2 3/4"	50° F	1612K731		2	32	6	1683K14
96" x 4 3/16" x 2 5/16"	50° F	1612K741		4	32	6	1683K14
With Instant-Start Electron	ic Ballast for T8 (	1" Dia.) Buibs					
96" x 4 3/16" x 2 15/16"	0° F	1612K86		4	32	6	1683K14
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	with research d	م ماطينما	antiont / F	C) hasa		

- £

\* Uses bulbs with single-pin base ‡ Uses bulbs with recessed double-contact (DC) base.

, . . .



Activated Carbon - The General vapor adsorbers are filled with virgin, high activity GC C-40 pelletized carbon. Other virgin coal, coconut shell, reactivated or impregnated carbons are available.

Removable Lid - 16 gauge lid with ring & bolt closure and poly-clad cellulose gasket.

**Connections -** Metal connectors with standard pipe threads insure easy, durable and leakproof hookup to your system. Unions or quick connect fittings are advised to make drum exchange easy. Drains let you remove any accumulated condensate.

**Flow Distributors -** The 55 gallon barrel uses an air chamber to insure even distribution of the air flow through the carbon. Low pressure drop, slotted Schedule 40 PVC collectors are used in the 85 gallon and 110 gallon drums for proper flow distribution. Stainless Steel internals and drums are available for special applications.

**Coatings - The General** pollution control barrels are coated on the inside with heat cured phenolic epoxy. The outside coating is industrial enamel. A polyethylene liner is available for extra corrosion resistance for the 55 gallon and 85 gallon units.

**Installation & Start Up - The General** air pollution control barrel requires no special procedure for start up. Just connect the inlet and outlet to the treatment system and start it up. Multiple units are usually connected in series with testing advised between the units to determine when the first unit needs to be changed out.

**Maintenance** - Once connected, **The General** requires no maintenance other than the monitoring of the influent and effluent air streams and the operating pressure of the system. Monitoring the air stream into the last Air Pollution Control Barrel in series mode is a recommended safeguard against breakthrough in the final discharge. When the concentration of contaminants in the outflow equals the concentration in the inflow, **The General** has reached its removal capacity and should be removed from the service. The working life of each adsorber is dependent upon the type of contaminant in the air as well as its concentration and the air flow rate. A pressure relief device is advised to prevent damage to the canister in the event of excessive pressure buildup.

**Recharging The General -** Once the carbon has reached its pollutant removal capacity, the unit should be removed and replaced with a fresh one. To purchase replacement carbon or to arrange for a carbon change out, please contact our office.

**Disposal -** Dispose of the spent carbon in accordance with Federal, State and Local regulations.

## WARNING!

Wet activated carbon removes oxygen from air causing a severe hazard to workers inside carbon vessels. Confined space/low oxygen procedures should be put in place before any entry is made. Such procedures should comply with all applicable local, state and federal guidelines. **Appendix D**