

ENGINEERING · LAND SURVEY · ARCHITECTURE · ENVIRONMENTAL

WE DESIGN WITH CONSCIENCE. WE ACT WITH PURPOSE.

2010.0128.03 August 1, 2011

RECEIVED NYSDEC - REGION 9

NYS Department of Environmental Conservation 270 Michigan Avenue Buffalo, NY 14203-2999

AUG 0 2 2011 FOIL

UNREL REL

Attn: David Szymanski, Project Manager

Re: Periodic Review Report (PRP) Former Alumax Extrusions Site 320 South Roberts Road Dunkirk, NY 14048 VCP Site No. V00589

Dear Mr. Szymanski:

TVGA Consultants (TVGA) has revised the attached Periodic Review Report (originally submitted March 2011) on behalf of the Chautauqua County Department of Public Facilities to document the site's compliance with the June 2004 Combined Institutional Control Plan and Operations and Maintenance Plan (CICP/OMP) and the November 2004 Deed Restrictions. The revisions in this version of the PRP are based on NYSDEC's May 18, 2011 comment letter.

Should you have any questions or comments concerning our submittal, please do not hesitate to contact me at your earliest convenience.

Sincerely, TVGA CONSULTANTS

James C. Manzella, CHMM Project Scientist

cc: George Spanos Dan Polowy

N:\2010 Projects\2010.0128.03 Alumax Reporting\Engineering\Correspondence\110801_NYSDEC_PRR.doc

RECEIVED NYSDEC - REGIO	N 9
AUG 0 2 20	11
FOIL	UNREL

PERIODIC REVIEW REPORT

FORMER ALUMAX EXTRUSIONS, INC. FACILITY 320 AND 440 SOUTH ROBERTS ROAD, DUNKIRK NEW YORK

VCP SITE NUMBER V00589

Prepared for:

Chautauqua County Department of Public Facilities 454 North Work Street Falconer, New York

Prepared by:



ENGINEERING · LAND SURVEY · MAPPING · ENVIRONMENTAL

WE DESIGN WITH CONSCIENCE. WE ACT WITH PURPOSE.

August 2011

620 Main Street • Buffalo, NY 14202-1906 • P.716.849.8739 • F.716.856.0981 • www.tvga.com

PERIODIC REVIEW REPORT FORMER ALUMAX EXTRUSIONS, INC. FACILITY 320 AND 440 SOUTH ROBERTS ROAD, DUNKIRK NEW YORK

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABI	LE OF CONTENTS	i
1.0	INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	Background	1
2.0	PERIODIC REVIEW	3
2.1	Existing Site Conditions	3
2.2	Institutional Controls 2.2.1 Site Use Restrictions 2.2.2 Groundwater Use Restrictions 2.2.3 Soils Management Plan 2.2.4 Groundwater Monitoring	3 3 4 5 5
2.3	2.3.1 Surface Cover System 2.3.2 Sub-Slab Vapor Venting System	8 8 9
3.0	CONCLUSIONS & RECOMMENDATIONS	9
4.0	LIMITATIONS	9

FIGURES

Figure 1: Site Location Map Figure 2: Site Plan Figure 3: Groundwater Contour Map Figure 7: Confirmatory Boring Locations (depicts Residual Source Area)

<u>TABLES</u>

Table 1: Summary of Analytical Results

APPENDICES

Appendix A: November 2004 Deed Restrictions / Property Information Appendix B: 2009 City of Dunkirk Demolition Permit Appendix C: Site Photographs Appendix D: NYSDEC Institutional and Engineering Controls Certification Form Appendix E: Groundwater Sampling Logs Appendix F: Analytical Laboratory Report and Chain of Custody

i

The Chautauqua County Department of Public Facilities retained TVGA Consultants (TVGA) to evaluate current conditions at the Former Alumax Extrusions, Inc. Facility in Dunkirk, New York and prepare this Periodic Review Report for the property. This work is being completed to meet the annual reporting requirements identified in the June 2004 Combined Institution Control Plan and Operations and Maintenance Plan and the November 2004 Deed Restrictions under the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (NYSDEC) Voluntary Cleanup Program (VCP). The NYSDEC VCP site number is V00589.

1.1 Background

The project site consists of two adjoining parcels located at 440 and 320 South Roberts Road, Parcels A and B respectively, within the City of Dunkirk, New York (Figure 1). The project site is comprised of approximately 12 acres of land situated on the north side of South Roberts Road. Parcel A, located at 440 South Roberts Road and owned by the Cliffstar Corporation, contains an approximately 7,200-square-foot building currently used as office space while the remainder of the property consists of gravel covered parking areas used for truck trailer storage. Parcel B, located at 320 South Roberts Road and owned by Chautauqua County, formerly contained a 140,000-square-foot building that was demolished in early 2009 while the concrete floor slabs were left in place. Parcel B is currently vacant and undeveloped. These parcels are identified in the November 2004 Deed Restriction (Appendix A) and are depicted on Figure 2. Figure 2 also identifies former section, block and lot (SBL) numbers for these parcels in place during the implementation of the deed restrictions and the SBL numbers currently assigned to these parcels. Also, included in Appendix A are property information pages that list new and old SBL numbers and the historical sale information for these parcels.

Industrial development of the project site was initiated around 1920, when the American Locomotive Company expanded its Dunkirk operations onto the project site. The site use has varied over time and uses have included a foundry, coal storage, locomotive manufacturing, and finned heat exchanger fabrication. In 1976, the facility was acquired by Alumax Inc. (Alumax), which operated an aluminum extrusion business at the site until 1993, operating as Alumax Extrusions, Inc. Alcoa's acquisition of Alumax in 1998 included the idled Dunkirk facility. The property was subsequently purchased by Chautauqua County in 2008.

Environmental investigation conducted at the site revealed that contamination, likely associated with historical operations, had impacted the property, necessitating remedial activities. Constituents of potential concern (COPCs)

identified in site soil/fill consist primarily of chlorinated hydrocarbons (specifically trichloroethene (TCE) and its degradation products), Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCBs), and metals. A residual source area containing concentrations of TCE and its degradation products was identified in the northwestern portion of the site. Figure 7 from the April 2004 VCP Interim Remedial Measures (IRM) Completion Report depicts the approximate areal extent of this residual source area. This source area has impacted site groundwater in this area. With the exception of the chlorinated hydrocarbons, groundwater has not shown impact from COPCs identified in soils.

Subsequent remedial activities conducted at the site included in-situ chemical treatment using zero valent iron in the residual source area (December 2004), removal and off-site disposal of sediments within two on-site catch basins (mid-2000), and installation of a sub-slab vapor (SSV) mitigation system (December 2003). The remedial efforts also included the development of deed restrictions and the June 2004 Combined Institution Control Plan and Operations and Maintenance Plan (CICP/OMP) provide guidance concerning the surface cover, soil/fill excavation and management, groundwater use and monitoring for the groundwater within the residual source area.

Additionally, as indicated above the 140,000-square foot building formerly located on Parcel B was demolished in early 2009. TVGA, prepared the contract documents and specifications for the demolition project. The project was publicly bid by the Chautauqua County Department of Public Facilities (CCDPF) Procurement Department. Cambria Contracting, Inc. of Lockport New York, as the low bidder was awarded the work. Construction observation was provided by TVGA on behalf of the CCDPF. Prior to the demolition the ACMs within the former on-site building were abated in accordance with the requirements outlined in 12 NYCRR Part 56 or New York State Department of Labor (NYSDOL) Industrial Code Rule 56 (ICR 56). The abatement work was completed between November and December 2008. Following the asbestos abatement the demolition of the building occurred, which took place between January and February 2010. To address the "Yes" answer in Box 1 Number 2 of the IC/EC Certification form a copy of the demolition permit issued by the City of Dunkirk is included in Appendix B. The building was demolished to grade and the concrete floor slabs and foundations were left in place. No ground intrusive activities disturbing the subsurface of the site were conducted during this demolition project. Care was taken not to damage the monitoring wells that are part of the post remedial groundwater monitoring program (i.e. AL-1, AL-2 and AL-7).

2.0 PERIODIC REVIEW

TVGA conducted the annual Periodic Review in February 2011 to document that the CICP/OMP requirements are being met. This Annual Periodic Review is discussed in the sections below. The following sections summarize existing site conditions, the Institutional Controls and Engineering Controls developed for the site, and the current status of these controls. Additionally, the following sections summarize the results of the required media monitoring for the site. Appendix C includes photographs taken during the Site Inspection and Appendix D includes the NYSDEC "Site Management Periodic Review Report Notice – Institutional and Engineering Controls Certification Form."

2.1 Existing Site Conditions

On February 22, 2011, Mr. Andrew Benkleman, E.I.T, conducted the annual site inspection, which included observation of current conditions throughout site. Parcel A contains an approximately 7,200-square-foot building currently used as office space while the remainder of the property consists of gravel covered parking areas used for truck trailer storage. Parcel B is currently vacant and undeveloped. As previously discussed, the on-site building for Parcel B was demolished in early 2009 while the concrete floor slabs were left in place. No other visible changes were observed on the site.

- 2.2 Institutional Controls
 - 2.2.1 Site Use Restrictions

In accordance with the deed restrictions and the CICP/OMP, the site is to be used for restricted commercial or restricted industrial uses only. The CICP/OMP presents the following definitions for these use categories:

- <u>Restricted Commercial</u> Residential Uses are not allowed under this category. Commercial uses are allowed but require engineering controls and/or institutional controls. Some types of "commercial" uses that could create "residential" types of exposures are excluded, such as day-care and health care facilities. Retail stores, warehouse/distribution centers, service facilities and offices would be included in the commercial definition.
- <u>Restricted Industrial</u> Residential and commercial uses are not allowed. Industrial uses are allowed but they require engineering controls and/or institutional controls. Metal working, manufacturing and other industrial uses are included in this category.

3

The Cliffstar Corporation operates the building in the northwest corner of Parcel A as office space with the remained of the property used for tractor trailer parking; therefore, this use meets the definition of Restricted Commercial use. Parcel B is currently vacant and undeveloped; however, a new roadway that will provide improved tuck access in the area is proposed to be developed on the site. This road is currently undergoing design approval with the appropriate state and federal agencies and, if constructed, the road will traverse the site from the southwest to the northeast. The required surface cover system requirements listed in the CICP/OMP will be incorporated into the road design.

2.2.2 Groundwater Use Restrictions

Previous investigations conducted at the site and adjacent sites have determined that groundwater resources are limited, particularly within the uppermost groundwater-bearing zone at the site. Groundwater is not generally used in the vicinity of the site, nor would it be expected to be used in the future, given the industrial character of the area and the availability of municipal water supply in the area. The clayey soils and shale bedrock have low hydraulic conductivity and produce limited quantities of water. The most productive zone is the top five feet of the shale bedrock, which is fractured and weathered. This zone is also considered to be perched and may be laterally limited. Groundwater in the north-central portion of the site (i.e., residual source area) is impacted with chlorinated hydrocarbons. Low concentrations of petroleum related constituents were encountered in other wells. The residual source area was addressed via in-situ treatment technology; however, low-level impacts to groundwater may linger due to the low conductivity and the potential dissolution of chlorinated constituents adsorbed to the clayey soils. Therefore, groundwater use restrictions were implemented at the site to limit potential exposure to impacted groundwater and are identified in the deed restrictions recorded with property deed.

Although groundwater use is not prohibited, it is restricted. Should a future owner or operator determine that groundwater use is beneficial to their operations, permission from NYSDEC must be obtained. Additionally, the owner or operator must conduct an evaluation of the suitability for the potential use of the groundwater and define the ultimate point of discharge (e.g., sanitary sewer, surface water, or reinjection) for any once-through water or blowdown from any recirculation system(s). Use of groundwater may require appropriate treatment to meet water quality requirements for use and discharge. Groundwater extracted for testing, monitoring, and remediation, while

excluded from the provisions of this groundwater use restriction, must still meet local, state and federal disposal requirements.

2.2.3 Soils Management Plan

The Soils Management Plan (SMP) was prepared to identify environmental guidelines for the management of subsurface soil/fill and long-term maintenance of the cover system. The SMP includes requirements that address the following key components:

- Any breach of the surface cover system
- Surface erosion and storm water runoff control
- Management of excavated soil/fill
- Allowable reuse of excavated soil/fill
- Requirements for off-site fill and grading materials
- Notification requirements
- Annual reporting and certification requirements

Since no redevelopment has taken place since the completion of remedial activities, the cover system has not yet been installed. Additionally, no soil excavations have occurred on the property since the completion of remedial activities.

2.2.4 Groundwater Monitoring

Groundwater monitoring is required for evaluating the efficacy of ZVI application in the residual source area that was completed in December 2004. This monitoring consists of sampling and analysis of groundwater collected from monitoring wells AL-1, AL-2 and AL-7. Figure 2 depicts the locations of the sampled monitoring wells. The samples are analyzed for USEPA Target Compound List (TCL) volatile organic compounds (VOCs). Annual groundwater monitoring is performed in conjunction with the annual review of the institutional control plan. In accordance with the CICP/OMP, this annual monitoring will occur until total concentrations of chlorinated VOCs fall below 100 μ g/L in AL-1 and AL-7. The collection of samples from these wells in 2009 revealed that total VOC concentrations in these wells exceeded the 100 ug/L concentration threshold. Therefore, groundwater samples were collected during the reporting period and the results, which are compared with pre-remedial analytical results, are summarized in the following section.

Sampling Procedure

The three groundwater monitoring wells were purged and sampled in accordance with the procedures detailed in the July 15, 2003 IRM Workplan and the October 6 and 24, 2003 addendums. All monitoring well sampling activities were recorded on groundwater sampling logs, which are included in Appendix E. Other observations (e.g., well integrity, etc.) were also noted on the well sampling logs. Prior to the initiation of groundwater sampling, groundwater levels were measured with an electronic water level indicator to determine the static water level below the top of the riser. The groundwater levels were used to determine the volume of standing water in the wells and to characterize the groundwater flow direction.

Well purging consisted of the evacuation of a minimum of three well volumes or, in the case of wells with slow recharge rates, until the well was evacuated to dryness using dedicated polyethylene bailers. After the completion of development, the monitoring wells were allowed to recharge. The samples were collected within three hours of completion of well development using dedicated bailers and clean sample bottles containing preservatives provided by the laboratory. The groundwater samples were submitted for analysis of TCL VOCs.

Sample Preservation and Handling

Immediately after collection, all samples were placed in a cooler and chilled with ice. To ensure sample integrity, a Chain-of-Custody (COC) sample record was established and kept with the samples to document each person that handled the samples. The samples were transported to Paradigm Environmental Services Inc. (Paradigm), which is a New York State Department of Health Environmental Laboratory Approval Program (ELAP) certified environmental laboratory, for analytical testing. The COC records established for the collected samples were maintained throughout laboratory handling. Copies of the COCs and complete analytical laboratory report are included as Appendix F.

Quality Assurance/Quality Control Samples

In addition to field samples, Quality Assurance/Quality Control (QA/QC) samples were collected to evaluate the effectiveness of the QA/QC procedures implemented during the field and laboratory activities associated with the project. The QA/QC sample included a trip blank sample that was analyzed for TCL VOCs.

Analytical Results

The following section summarizes and discusses the analytical results generated during the aforementioned monitoring event. For discussion purposes, this data is compared with the Standards Criteria and Guidance values (SCGs) applicable to groundwater: NYSDEC's June 1998 Ambient Water Quality Standards and Guidance Values and Groundwater Effluent Limitations in the Technical and Operational Guidance Series (TOGS) 1.1.1.

One or more VOCs were detected in each of the samples above the SCGs. The VOCs detected in the monitoring wells primarily consisted of chlorinated solvents and benzene.

Table 1 summarizes the groundwater pre- and post-remedial sampling results and compares the results to the applicable water quality standards. Figure 2 depict the locations of the monitoring wells as well as the pre- and post-remedial analytical results. The concentrations of contaminants have continued to decline in AL-1 and AL-7 since the remedial activities. While these concentrations remain above the 100 μ g/L threshold, they were significantly lower than the pre-remedial concentrations. The post-remedial concentrations in well AL-2 were generally consistent with pre-remedial concentrations.

Figure 3 depicts the groundwater contours for project area. As depicted on this figure groundwater flow is generally to the north / northwest. However, based on a review of this figure, flow conditions in the vicinity of these wells is generally to the southwest which is similar to hydrologic conditions identified in Section 1.6 CICP/OMP which indicated a localized flow to the southwest. As stated in this section, the water levels in these wells are likely unreliable due to the influence of building foundations and subsurface utilities. It should be noted that foundations and utilities were not removed during the building demolitions; therefore, flow conditions in this area would still be considered unreliable. Based on the fact that flow conditions have not significantly changed since the implementation remedial program, it is unlikely that impacts affecting the remedial effectiveness of the groundwater remedial action have occurred.

Summary and Conclusions

TVGA evaluated the present groundwater quality and the efficacy of the groundwater treatment at the Former Alumax Extrusions, Inc. Facility. The evaluation involved the collection and analysis of three groundwater

samples and a comparison of the analytical results to pre-remedial results and groundwater standards. The following conclusions are based on the findings of this monitoring event:

- The comparison of the pre- and post-remedial groundwater analytical results showed significant decreases in VOC concentrations in AL-1 and AL-7 and generally stagnant contaminant concentrations in AL-2.
- The IRMs that included the injection of zero valent iron appear to be effective in reducing contaminant concentrations in on-site groundwater.
- The IRM Work Plan states that the remedial goal of the site is for the total concentrations of chlorinated VOCs in AL-1 and AL-7 is 100 μ g/L or less. This remedial goal has not yet been attained. Once the clean-up standard is attained, NYSDEC will be notified and permission to abandon all site monitoring wells will be requested.
- Based on the fact that flow conditions have not significantly changed since the implementation remedial program, it is unlikely that impacts affecting the remedial effectiveness of the groundwater remedial action have occurred.

The next post-remedial groundwater sampling event is scheduled for 2012.

2.3 Engineering Controls

2.3.1 Surface Cover System

The long history of industrial use of the site has resulted in widespread, low level impacts to site-wide soils. To limit casual exposure to site soils, a soil surface cover system consisting of clean soil, pavement, and/or concrete will be constructed as the site. The purpose of the surface cover system will be to eliminate the potential for human contact with fill material and eliminate the potential for contaminated runoff from the property. The cover system will consist of one or more of the following types of clean material:

- Soil: 12 inches of vegetated soil cover underlain by a demarcation layer in outdoor vegetated areas.
- Asphalt: a minimum of six inches of material (asphalt and subbase material) in areas that will become roads, sidewalks, and parking lots.
- Concrete: a minimum of 6 inches of material (concrete and subbase material) in areas that will become slab-on-grade structures or for roads, sidewalks, and parking lots in lieu of asphalt.

2.3.2 Sub-Slab Vapor Mitigation

The former building that occupied the site contained a sub-slab venting system that was located over the residual source area. As previously indicated the building, including the sub slab vapor venting system, was demolished in early 2009. Therefore, the continued maintenance and operation of this vent system is no longer required.

For slab-on-grade structures, an 8-mil polyethylene vapor barrier will be placed beneath the concrete for new structures built in the portion of the site identified as the residual source area. The vapor barrier requirement is not required in areas other than the residual source area because volatile organic compounds were not found in significant quantities on any other portions of the site.

3.0 CONCLUSIONS & RECOMMENDATIONS

At the time of the site inspection, the Former Alumax Extrusions, Inc. Facility was in compliance with the CICP/OMP.

4.0 LIMITATIONS

The conclusions presented in this report are based on information gathered in accordance generally accepted professional consulting principles and practices. All conclusions reflect observable conditions existing at the time of the site inspection. Information provided by outside sources (individuals, agencies, laboratories, etc.), as cited herein, was used in the assessment of the site. The accuracy of the conclusions drawn from this assessment is, therefore, dependent upon the accuracy of information provided by these sources. Furthermore, TVGA is not responsible for the impacts of any changes in environmental standards, practices, or regulations subsequent to the performance of services.

This report is based upon the application of scientific principles and professional judgment to certain facts with resultant subjective interpretations. Professional judgments expressed herein are based upon the facts currently available within the limits of the existing data, scope of services, budget, and schedule. To the extent that more definitive conclusions are desired by the Client than are warranted by the current available facts, it is specifically TVGA's intent that the conclusions and recommendations stated herein will be intended as guidance and not necessarily a firm course of action except where explicitly stated as such. TVGA makes no warranties, expressed or implied including without limitation, warranties as to merchantability or fitness of a particular purpose. Furthermore, the information provided in this report is not to be construed as legal advice.

9

This assessment and report have been completed and prepared on behalf of and for the exclusive use of Chautauqua County. Any reliance on this report by a third party is at such party's sole risk. Furthermore, nothing contained in this report shall be construed as a warranty or affirmation by TVGA that the subject property described in this report are suitable collateral for any loan or that acquisition of such property by any lender through foreclosure proceedings or otherwise will pose no risk of potential environmental liability on the part of such lender.

N:\2010 Projects\2010.0128.03 Alumax Reporting\Engineering\Deliverables\Periodic Review Report - 2010.docx

FIGURES





ing \ CADD \ FIG

cts \ 2010.0128.03

2010

	AL-2					
	CONCENTRATION ug/L					
	5-31-00	1-16-03	2-10-09	2-22-11		
cis-1,2-DICHLOROETHENE	ND	ND	9.36	6.94		
BENZENE	ND	12	6.1	16.1		
CYCLOHEXANE	ND	2	ND	ND		
ETHYLBENZENE	ND	4	ND	ND		
VINYL CHLORIDE	ND	ND	3.7	ND		

LEGEND

INTERFACE GROUNDWATER MONITORING WELL

<u>NOTES</u>

BOLD CONCENTRÁTIONS EXCEED THE WATER QUALITY STANDARDS LISTED IN TOGS 1.1.1(JUNE

"ND" = PARAMETER WAS BELOW DETECTION LIMIT

"-" = PARAMETER WAS NOT ANALYZED

GROUNDWATER SAMPLING WAS PERFORMED JANUARY 2003, FEBRUARY, 2004, FEBRUARY 2009 AND FEBRUARY 2011

FORMER ALUMAX EXTRUSIONS SITE DUNKIRK, CHAUTAUQUA CO., N.Y.

FIGURE NO. 2



			Designed by:	Date:	COPYRICHT	2011			1
_	I CITY OF DUNKIKK				TVCA CONSULT	ANTS			
F			051	AUGUST 2011	ALL RIGHTS RE	SERVED			
=			rown hur	lok No	UNAUTHORIZED	DUPLICATION IS A			
				.011 000	VIOLATION OF 1	APPUCABLE LAWS			
			Yev	2024 0000 00					
			8		NOTE.				
			Checked by:	Urawing File No.	UNAUTHORIZED	ALTERATION OR			
		620 MAIN STREET			ADDITION TO A	MY SURVEY.			
Ē		BUFFALO, NEW YORK 14202-1906	1.46		DRAMINO, DESK	GN SPECIFICATION			
		P. 716.649.8739	Dwg Scale:	File Name:	PLAN OK KEPO				
3		F. 716.856.0981	Hodr. r - 200'	Faict Canot Community	THE NEW YORK	K STATE EDUCATION		i	
}					LAW.				
_		uno not the second	Vert:	COMPLIED AVE			REV DESC	CREPTION	DATE BY





TABLES

Table 1 Former Alumax Extrusions Site Summary of Analytical Results Groundwater Samples

	REGULATORY											
PARAMETER	VALUE (1)		A	L-1			AL	2			AL-7	
Collection Date		5/31/00	1/16/03	2/10/09	2/22/2011	5/31/00	1/16/03	2/10/09	2/22/2011	2/25/04	2/10/09	2/22/2011
		Pre-Remed	lal Results	Post-Reme	dial Results	Pre-Remed	ial Results	Post-Reme	dial Results	Pre-Remedial Results	Post-Reme	dial Results
Volatile Organic Compour	nds (ug/L)	- seamer	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	E Internet				DE NAME OF	in the state	A REAL PROPERTY.		
1,1-Dichloroethene	5		73	2								
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	5	1,500	9,400	1,280	1,140			9.36	6.94	1,100	600	473
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	5		39									
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	5											
Acetone	50											
Benzene	1		38	9.77	17.1		12	6.1	16.1			
Cyclohexane	5		64				2					
Ethylbenzene	5		6				4					
Methyl Cyclohexane	5		41									
n-Propylbenzene	5		a summer									
Toluene	5		43									
m,p-Xylene	5		17									
o-Xylene	5									a second		
Total Xylenes	5		H-13							29		
Trichloroethene	5	2,400	4,600	118	197					3,000	154	138
Vinyl chloride	2	240	740	977	825			3.7		160	331	271

Notes:

1. Regulatory values are derived from NYS Ambient Water Quality Standards TOGS 1.1.1 (Source of Drinking Water, groundwater).

2. Guidance value was used when standard was not available.

3. (-) = No regulatory value is associated with this compound.

4. Shaded values represent exceedances of the regulatory value.

5. ug/L = micrograms per Liter (equivalent to parts per billion (ppb)).

6. Only compounds with one or more detections are shown.

7. Blank spaces indicate that the analyte was not detected.

8. "NA" - parameter was not analyzed

APPENDIX A

NOVEMBER 2004 DEED RESTRICTIONS / PROPERTY INFORMATION

Chautauqua County Clerk

Return To:

PUBLIC ABSTRA	CT CORPORATION
DEFAULT SERVI	CES
31 E MAIN ST	3RD FL
ROCHESTER	NY 14614

AL	COA	INC

NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF E NVIRONMENTAL CONSERV ATION

COUNTY	\$	27.00
ST ED DEPT	ծ \$	4.75
	\$.00
	\$.00
	\$.00
	\$.00
CEA	\$	14.25
	\$.00
Total:	\$	46.00

STATE OF NEW YORK Chautauqua County Clerk

WARNING: THIS SHEET CONSTITUTES THE CLERK'S ENDORSEMENT, REQUIRED BY SECTION 316-a(5) & SECTION 319 OF THE REAL PROPERTY LAW OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK. DO NOT DETACH.

> Sandra K. Sopak County Clerk



Index	DEED BO	ООК	
Book	02560	Page	0509
No. Pa	ges O(07	
Instru	ment DE	CLAR-D	EEDS
Date :	11/22	/2004	
Time :	2:20	:53	
Control	L # 20	0411220	0133
INST#		DE 2004	1 007426

Employee ID LORENZOT

TRANSFER TAX

CONSIDERATN	\$.00
Transfer Tax	\$.00

DECLARATION of COVENANTS and RESTRICTIONS

THIS COVENANT is made the <u>3</u> day of November 2004, by ALCOA INC., a Pennsylvania corporation, as successor in interest to Alumax Inc., a Delaware corporation, whose address is Alcoa Corporate Center, 201 Isabella Street, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15212-5858 ("Alcoa").

WHEREAS Alcoa is the subject of Voluntary Agreement Index No. B9-0616-02-06, dated 08 August 2002 (the "Agreement") executed by Robert S. Bear (on behalf of Alcoa) and Susan I. Taluto, Deputy Commissioner – NYSDEC Water Quality and Environmental Remediation as part of the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation's (the "Department's) Voluntary Cleanup Program, namely that parcel of real property located at 320 South Roberts Road in the City of Dunkirk, County of Chautauqua, State of New York, which is part of lands conveyed by:

Warranty Deed made by Alumax Inc. to Alcoa, dated November 3, 2004 and recorded on November <u>22</u>, 2004 in Liber <u>2510</u> of Deeds at page <u>505</u>;

and being more particularly described in Appendix "A," attached to this declaration and made a part hereof, and hereinafter referred to as "the Property"; and

WHEREAS, the Department approved a remedy to eliminate or mitigate all significant threats to the environment presented by the contamination disposed at the Property and such remedy requires that the Property be subject to restrictive covenants.

NOW, THEREFORE, Alcoa, for itself and its successors and/or assigns, covenants that:

First, the Property subject to this Declaration of Covenants and Restrictions is as shown on a map attached to this declaration as Appendix "B" and made a part hereof, and consists of:

PARCEL A

ALL THAT TRACT OR PARCEL OF LAND, situate in the City of Dunkirk, County of Chautauqua and State of New York and more particularly described as follows:

BEGINNING on the centerline of Roberts Road at the point located 601.13

feet northwesterly along said centerline from the northerly line of lands of the Norfolk and Western Railroad, (former New York, Chicago and St. Louis Railroad); thence north 40° 28' east (assumed bearing) a distance of 396.0 feet to a point; thence north 81° 31' east a distance of 95.9 feet to a point; thence south 8° 39' east a distance of 514.37 feet to an iron pin; thence south 38° 16' west a distance of 114.28 feet to said centerline of Roberts Road; thence north 51° 44' west a distance of 456.6 feet along said centerline to the point or place of beginning.

PARCEL B

ALL THAT TRACT OR PARCEL OF LAND, situate in the City of Dunkirk, County of Chautauqua and State of New York and more particularly described as follows:

BEGINNING in the center line of the existing 30.3 foot pavement in Roberts Road at a point located 94.53 feet northwesterly along said centerline from the northwesterly line of lands of the New York, Chicago & St. Louis Railroad Company; thence north 51° 44' west along said centerline a distance of 50 feet to a point on line of lands now or formerly of Plymouth Tube Company; thence north 38° 16' east a distance of 114.28 feet to an iron pin and passing through an iron pin located 33 feet northeasterly along the last described course from the centerline of Roberts Road; thence north 8° 39' west a distance of 514.37 feet to an iron pin on point of lands now or formerly of Roblin Industries, Inc.; thence continuing along line of lands of Roblin Industries, north 81° 31' east a distance of 822 feet to an iron pin and south 8° 29' east 251.95 feet to a point on line of lands now or formerly of said Railroad Company; thence south 53° 33' west 219.15 feet to a monument; thence north 87° 18' west 24.88 feet to a monument; thence south 53° 33' west 137.59 feet to an iron pin; thence north 88° 30' west 111.6 feet to an iron pin; thence south 56° 19' 32" west 381.7 feet to a monument; thence south 38° 16' west, 102.49 feet to the point or place of beginning, and passing through an iron pin located 33 feet northeasterly along the last described course from the place of beginning.

Second, unless prior written approval by the Department or, if the Department shall no longer exist, any New York State agency or agencies subsequently created to protect the environment of the State and the health of the State's citizens, hereinafter referred to as "the Relevant Agency," is first obtained, there shall be no construction, use or occupancy; disturbance or excavation of the Property that is inconsistent with the approved "Combined Institutional Control Plan and Operations and Maintenance Plan – Former Alumax Extrusions Site," Site No. V00589-9 (Combined Plan) and that results in unacceptable human exposure to contaminated soils.

Third, the owner of the Property shall be responsible to implement the Combined Plan or implementing any modifications to the Combined Plan after obtaining the written approval of the Relevant Agency. Fourth, the owner of the Property shall prohibit the Property from ever being used for purposes other than for restricted industrial or restricted commercial use without the express written waiver of such prohibition by the Relevant Agency.

Fifth, the owner of the Property shall prohibit the use of the groundwater underlying the Property without treatment rendering it safe for drinking water or industrial purposes, as appropriate, unless the user first obtains permission to do so from the Relevant Agency.

Sixth, the owner of the Property shall continue in full force and effect the prohibition against uses other than restricted commercial and/or industrial uses, and shall assure that any construction, use, occupancy, disturbance or excavation on the property shall be in conformance with the "Combined Plan" as institutional and engineering controls required under the Agreement, and shall continue to implement and annually report on the status, results and effectiveness of the operation, monitoring and maintenance requirements to the Relevant Agency unless the owner first obtains permission to discontinue to do so.

Seventh, this Declaration is and shall be deemed a covenant that shall run with the land and shall be binding upon all future owners of the Property, and shall provide that the owner and its successors and assigns consent to enforcement by the Relevant Agency of the prohibitions, restrictions and requirements set out in this Covenant, the Agreement, and the Combined Plan, and hereby covenant not to contest the authority of the Relevant Agency to seek enforcement.

Eighth, any deed of conveyance of the Property, or any portion thereof, shall recite, unless the Relevant Agency has consented to the termination of such covenants and restrictions, that said conveyance is subject to this Declaration of Covenants and Restrictions.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the undersigned has executed this instrument the day first above written.

ALCOA INC.

Bv:

Russell W. Porter, Jr. Vice President

Date: November ____, 2004

STATE OF PENNSYLVANIA

COUNTY OF ALLEGHENY

Personally appeared before me, the undersigned authority in and for the said county and state, on this 3^{AL} day of November, 2004, within my jurisdiction, the within named Russell W. Porter, Jr., who acknowledged that he is a Vice President of Alcoa Inc., a Pennsylvania corporation, and that for and on behalf of the said corporation, and as its act and deed, he executed the above and foregoing instrument, after first having been duly authorized by said corporation so to do.

)) SS:

)

My Commission Expires:

Notarial Seal
 Jacqueine L. Murtha, Notary Public
City Of Pittsburgh, Allegheny County
My Commission Expires Jan. 24, 2007
Member Pennsylvania Association Of Notaries

(SEAL)

Notary Public Mar Hu

APPENDIX "A"

PARCEL A

ALL THAT TRACT OR PARCEL OF LAND, situate in the City of Dunkirk, County of Chautauqua and State of New York and more particularly described as follows:

BEGINNING on the centerline of Roberts Road at the point located 601.13 feet northwesterly along said centerline from the northerly line of lands of the Norfolk and Western Railroad, (former New York, Chicago and St. Louis Railroad); thence north 40° 28' east (assumed bearing) a distance of 396.0 feet to a point; thence north 81° 31' east a distance of 95.9 feet to a point; thence south 8° 39' east a distance of 514.37 feet to an iron pin; thence south 38° 16' west a distance of 114.28 feet to said centerline of Roberts Road; thence north 51° 44' west a distance of 456.6 feet along said centerline to the point or place of beginning.

PARCEL B

ALL THAT TRACT OR PARCEL OF LAND, situate in the City of Dunkirk, County of Chautauqua and State of New York and more particularly described as follows:

BEGINNING in the center line of the existing 30.3 foot pavement in Roberts Road at a point located 94.53 feet northwesterly along said centerline from the northwesterly line of lands of the New York, Chicago & St. Louis Railroad Company; thence north 51° 44' west along said centerline a distance of 50 feet to a point on line of lands now or formerly of Plymouth Tube Company; thence north 38° 16' east a distance of 114.28 feet to an iron pin and passing through an iron pin located 33 feet northeasterly along the last described course from the centerline of Roberts Road; thence north 8° 39' west a distance of 514.37 feet to an iron pin on point of lands now or formerly of Roblin Industries, Inc.; thence continuing along line of lands of Roblin Industries, north \$1° 31' east a distance of 822 feet to an iron pin and south 8° 29' east 251.95 feet to a point on line of lands now or formerly of said Railroad Company; thence south 53° 33' west 219.15 feet to a monument; thence north 87° 18' west 24.88 feet to a monument; thence south 53° 33' west 137.59 feet to an iron pin; thence north 88° 30' west 111.6 feet to an iron pin; thence south 56° 19' 32" west 381.7 feet to a monument; thence south 38° 16' west, 102.49 feet to the point or place of beginning, and passing through an iron pin located 33 feet northeasterly along the last described course from the place of beginning.





http://gis.co.chautauqua.ny.us:8080/parcels/default.htm







City of Dunkirk, NY

OARS Main Page

æ - Click to go to GIS map

- Photo of property is available, click to view.

**** Commercial Property ** PROPERTY INFORMATION**

Current Owner Name CLIFFSTAR LLC Property Address 440 ROBERTS RD SE! Town Name Dunkirk Total Assessed Value \$204,240 (85.44% of Market Value) Full Market Value \$239,000 Land Assessed Value \$16,400 Property Type 464 - Office bldg. Lot Size Acres: 3.22 Front: 0 Depth: 0 Mailing Address 1 1 CLIFFSTAR AVE Mailing Address 2 Mailing City, State DUNKIRK, NY

Section, Block Lot # 79.16-2-4 Neighborhood Code 200 School District 60300 Swiss Code 060300 Parcel Status Active County Taxable \$204,240 Town Taxable \$204,240 School Taxable \$204,240 Village Taxable \$0 Tax Code **Bank Code**

PHYSICAL INFORMATION

of Bedrooms 0 # of Baths 0 # of Fireplaces 0 # of Kitchens 0

Mailing Zip Code 14048

Owner History	Deed Book	Deed Page	Sale Date	Valid Sale	Sale Price
CLIFFSTAR LLC	2705	426	8/17/2010	NO	\$1
Cliffstar Corporation,	2688	360	10/30/2009	NO	\$1,000,000
Star Wine LLC,	2587	453	11/16/2005	YES	\$400,000

COMMERCIAL INFORMATION

Property Class 464 - Office bldg.

- Building Sq. Footage 5,902
- Assessment Per Sq. Foot \$34.61

Property Use USED AS

E03 - Profssnl off

F04 - Cold storage

RENTABLE SQ. FT.

5,902 5,902

Site No. 1 Bldg No. 1

Actual Year Built 1990 **Effective Year Built**⁰

```
HISTORICAL SALE INFORMATION
```

Improvements Exemptions Tax Bill

http://www.oarsystem.com/ny/chautauquacounty/subject.asp?swis=060300&sbl=07901600020040000000

3/29/2011

Site No. 1 Use No. 1 Used As E03 - Profssnl off Acres 3.22 Valuation Dist 0 Rentable Sq. Ft. 5,902 Unit Code -Total Number Of Units Total Rent \$0

Site No. 1 Use No. 2 Used As F04 - Cold storage Acres 3.22 Valuation Dist 0 Rentable Sq. Ft. 5,902 Unit Code -Total Number Of Units Total Rent \$0 Rent Type -Lease Begin Lease Length ⁰ yrs Total Eff / 1 Bed Sq. Ft. Number Of 1 Bed Units Total 2 Bedroom Sq. Ft. Number Of 2 Bed Units Total 3 Bedroom Sq. Ft. Number Of 3 Bed Units

Rent Type -Lease Begin Lease Length ⁰ yrs Total Eff / 1 Bed Sq. Ft. Number Of 1 Bed Units Total 2 Bedroom Sq. Ft. Number Of 2 Bed Units Total 3 Bedroom Sq. Ft. Number Of 3 Bed Units





Improvements

Exemptions

Tax Bill

Page 1 of 2



City of Dunkirk, NY

OARS Main Page

æ - Click to go to GIS map

- Photo of property is available, click to view.

** Commercial Property ** **PROPERTY INFORMATION**

Current Owner Name COUNTY OF CHAUTAUQUA Property Address 320 ROBERTS RD Town Name Dunkirk Total Assessed Value \$115,800 (85.44% of Market Value) Full Market Value \$135,500 Land Assessed Value \$12,600 Property Type 330 - Vacant comm Mailing Address 1 3 ERIE ST Mailing Address 2

Section, Block Lot # 79.16-2-5 Neighborhood Code 200 School District 60300 Swiss Code 060300 Parcel Status Active County Taxable \$0 Town Taxable \$0 School Taxable \$0 Village Taxable \$0 Tax Code Bank Code

of Bedrooms 0 # of Baths 0 # of Fireplaces 0 # of Kitchens 0

HISTORICAL SALE INFORMATION

Owner History	Deed Book	Deed Page	Sale Date	Valid Sale	Sale Price
COUNTY OF CHAUTAUQUA	2656	219	7/10/2008	NO	\$1
Alcoa, Inc.,	2560	505	11/3/2004	YES	\$700,000

COMMERCIAL INFORMATION

Property Class 330 - Vacant comm

Building Sq. Footage

Assessment Per Sq. Foot \$0.00

Property Use USED AS

F09 - Light mfg

RENTABLE SQ. FT. 153,993

Site No. 1 Use No. 1 Used As F09 - Light mfg Acres 8.82

Rent Type -Lease Begin Lease Length 0 yrs Total Eff / 1 Bed Sq. Ft.

```
Lot Size Acres: 8.82 Front: 0 Depth: 0
Mailing City, State MAYVILLE, NY
 Mailing Zip Code 14757
                                PHYSICAL INFORMATION
```

Valuation Dist 0 Rentable Sq. Ft. 153,993 Unit Code 10 - Bays Total Number Of Units 12 Total Rent \$0

Number Of 1 Bed Units Total 2 Bedroom Sq. Ft. Number Of 2 Bed Units Total 3 Bedroom Sq. Ft. Number Of 3 Bed Units

2009 CITY OF DUNKIRK DEMOLITION PERMIT

APPENDIX B

PLOT PLAN

This page shall be used for the drawing of a plot plan for all major construction and additions and in such other cases as the Building and Zoning Officer deems necessary.
 The plot plan shall show the location and size of the lot, locations and sizes of buildings and structures upon the premises (both existing and proposed) and their relationship to adjoining premises and public structure.

3. Locate and label clearly and distinctly all buildings and structures, show widths and depths of all yards, show names of all streets and indicate north with a north arrow.

SHOW DISTANCE FROM BUILDING TO SIDE, FRONT AND REAR LOT LINES



Frontage of Lot _____ ft.

CITY OF DUNKIRK, NEW YORK

APPLICATION FOR BUILDING AND ZONING PERMIT

ddress	
o	a building
cated at	
one	
Approved	
Disapproved	

Disapproved

Permit for <u>Demolish former Alumax structure to grade (No foundation or slab)</u>

Approved

Date issued

1/2/09

Street Name_

Application	CITY OF DUNKIRK, NEW	YORK	FEE			
& Permit No.09-00687	APPLICATION FOR BUILDING AND	AND ZONING PERMIT				
Date Ganua	uy 2,2009	Cost	D-			

construction will be issued unless this application blank is properly filled out. Two sets of plans, specifications and a plot plan must be submitted with your request.

INSTRUCTIONS

1. This application blank is to be filled out by typing or printing and must be submitted to the Building and Zoning Officer of the City of Dunkirk.

2. Completed Building Permit Application must be filed with the Building and Zoning Officer by the applicant or his representative.

3. The work covered by this application shall not be commenced before issuance of a Building Permit by the Building and Zoning Officer.

4. Upon approval of this application a Building Permit will be issued to the applicant by the Building and Zoning Officer. The Building Permit shall be posted upon the premises in a conspicuous place so as to be visible from the street throughout the period of construction.

5. No structure or use for which a Building Permit has been issued shall be occupied or used in whole or part upon completion for any purpose until a Certificate of Occupancy shall have been granted by the Building and Zoning Officer.

Application is hereby made for permission to DEMOLISH STRUCTURE TO GRADE
NO FOUNDATION OR SLAB REMOVAL (FORMER Alymax)
Type of Building INASTRIAL Type of Siding METAL
Type of Foundation Concepte
Size of Building 142,660 Str. wide
Building to be located on the front. rear side. Sec. , Block, Lot
Size of lot on which building is to be located is ft. wide,, ft. deep. Distance of
building to front property line is ft. The nearest right is . ft. and the nearest
left is ft. Rear yard is ft.
To be located in a zone at 320 S. Roberts Rd Street or Avenue.
on North, South, East, West side.
Owner CHAVTAQUA COUNTY Address 320 SOUTHREASENTS DOAD
Contractor CAMBRE Contractory Inc Address DUNNINK NEL-YOUL
By



ding and Zoning Officer of the City CORPORATE OFFICE: nt to the New York State Building NEW YORK ins, repairs, removal, demolition, or \$105 Lockport Road Lockport, NY 14094 Office: 716.625.6690 or use(s) as described above. The inances, and regulations. The appli-Fax: 716.625.6693 - said owner or owners; that he is duly

authorized to perform or have performed the said work and to make and file this application; that all statements made in this application are true to the best of his knowledge and belief; and that the work will be performed in the manner set forth in this application and the New York State Building Construction Code applicable to the proposed structure. In addition, application is hereby made for the issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy for the structure(s) or use(s) as described above. The applicant certifies that upon completion of the work upon the structure described above he will notify the Building and Zoning Officer so that the officer can or cause to be made a final inspection of the structure prior to issuing the Certificate of Occupancy.

CHRIS JULIANO

The estimated cost for performance of this application is \$20.2"

being duly sworn, deposes and says that he is the above petitioner and owner, or the authorized agent of the owner of the premises named and described herein, that he has secured, not secured compensation insurance to cover his employees, carried by

in accordance with the Workers Compensation Law of the State of New York, and that he hereby applies to the Building and Zoning Officer for permission to Natoush building, as set forth in said petition. The deponent further says that he has read and knows the contents of this petition, and that the same correctly states the estimated cost, use and location of the building to be creeted, altered or demolished.

Subscribed and sworn to before me this	
SI Lay of Starting of Dear Motory route and a manage country route Motory route and a manage country and the starting of th	B Chity Peritioner and Owner Agent of Owner MIT
Permission is hereby granted to	to building
at the location in Zone No as described	in the foregoing application.
This permit issued subject to compliance with a	Il applicable laws, ordinances, and regulations.
Estimated cost or value of construction	Variance required
is \$	Zoning Board Approval - date
Building Inspected and Approved	Zoning Board Disapproval - date
Plumbing Approved	Approved this day of 19
Certificate of Occupancy No.	Signed
[ssued	Building and Zoning Officer

Ō

100

APPENDIX C PHOTOGRAPHS



Photograph 1: Northwest corner of property facing southeast



Photograph 2: Southwest corner of property facing northeast



Photograph 3: Southeast corner of property facing northwest



Photograph 4: Northeast corner of property facing southwest

APPENDIX D

SITE MANAGEMENT PERIODIC REVIEW REPORT NOTICE – INSTITUTIONAL AND ENGINEERING CONTROLS CERTIFICATION FORM

Enclosure 1 NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL CONSERVATION Site Management Periodic Review Report Notice Institutional and Engineering Controls Certification Form

9	Site Details	Box	1
5	ite Name Closed Alumax Extrusions, Inc. Facility	•	
	te Address: 320 and 440 South Roberts Road Zip Code: 14048- ity/Town: Dunkirk (C) ounty: Chautauqua te Acreage:≈12		
21	eporting Period: December 06, 2007 to January 19, 2011		
	*	YES	NO
١.	Is the information above correct?	X	
v	If NO, include handwritten above or on a separate sheet.		
	Has some or all of the site property been sold, subdivided, merged, or undergone a tax map amendment during this Reporting Period?	X	
; .	Has there been any change of use at the site during this Reporting Period (see 6NYCRR 375-1.11(d))?	X	
•	Have any federal, state, and/or local permits (e.g., building, discharge) been issued for or at the property during this Reporting Period?	X	D
	If you answered YES to questions 2 thru 4, include documentation or evidence that documentation has been previously submitted with this certification form	;	
	Is the site currently undergoing development?		X
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Box 2	2
		YES	NO
	Is the current site use consistent with the use(s) listed below?	×	
	Are all ICs/ECs in place and functioning as designed?	\varkappa	D
	IF THE ANSWED TO SITUED OUESTION 6 OP 7 IS NO, sign and data balance	and	
	DO NOT COMPLETE THE REST OF THIS FORM.		
C	orrective Measures Work Plan must be submitted along with this form to address th	nese is:	sùes.

•

•

٠

SITE NO. V00589		Box 3
Description of In	stitutional Controls	
Parcel	Owner	Institutional Control
30-1-7 .2.1 (Old ID) 79.16-2-5 (new ID)	Chautauqua Co	Inty Ground Water Use Restriction Landuse Restriction Monitoring Plan O&M Plan Soil Management Plan
30-1-7.3 (old ID) 79.16-2-4 (new ID)	Chautauqua Cor	nty Ground Water Use Restriction Landuse Restriction Monitoring Plan O&M Plan Soil Management Plan
•		Box 4
Description of En	gineering Controls	
<u>Parcel</u> 30-1-7 .2.1(Old ID) 79.16-2-5 (new ID)	<u>Engli</u> Cove Vapo	eering Control System Mitigation
30-1-7.3 (014 ID) 79.16-24 (New ID)) Cove Vapo	System Mitigation
 Parcel: 30-1-7.3 Combined Institutional (filed 11/3/2004) 1) Landuse Restiction Commercial. 2) Ground water use 3) Soils Management 4) Surface Cover Systems 5) Ground water mont 6) Sub-Slab venting statement 	Control Plan/ Operation Restricted Industrial restriction. Plan. tem. itoring. ystem.	ns and Maintenance Plan (6/23/2004) and Deed Restriction
Parcel: 30-1-7.2.1 Combined Institutional (filed 11/3/2004)	Control Plan/ Operatio	ns and Maintenance Plan (6/23/2004)and Deed Restriction
1) Landuse Restiction Commercial	Restricted Industrial c	r Restricted

.

•

٠.

٠

the representation of the second seco

,

٠

•

•

٠

·

•

•

		•	
			Box 5
	Periodic Review Report (PRR) Certification Statements		. •
1.	I certify by checking "YES" below that:		
	 a) the Periodic Review report and all attachments were prepared under the direction reviewed by, the party making the certification; 	ection of,	and
	b) to the best of my knowledge and belief, the work and conclusions described are in accordance with the requirements of the site remedial program, and gene engineering practices; and the information presented is accurate and competence.	in this co erally acc	ertification epted
	engineering practices, and the information presented is accurate and compete.	YES	NO
		X	
2.	If this site has an IC/EC Plan (or equivalent as required in the Decision Document), fo or Engineering control listed in Boxes 3 and/or 4, I certify by checking "YES" below tha following statements are true:	r each in at all of th	istitutional ne
	(a) the Institutional Control and/or Engineering Control(s) employed at this site in the date that the Control was put in-place, or was last approved by the Department	s unchai ent;	nged since
	(b) nothing has occurred that would impair the ability of such Control, to protect the environment;	public h	ealth and
	(c) access to the site will continue to be provided to the Department, to evaluate including access to evaluate the continued maintenance of this Control;	the rem	nedy,
	(d) nothing has occurred that would constitute a violation or failure to comply with Management Plan for this Control; and	th the Sit	le
	(e) if a financial assurance mechanism is required by the oversight document for mechanism remains valid and sufficient for its intended purpose established in the	r the site	e, the nent.
	· · ·	YES	NO
		X	0
	IF THE ANSWER TO QUESTION 2 IS NO, sign and date below and DO NOT COMPLETE THE REST OF THIS FORM.		
ļ	A Corrective Measures Work Plan must be submitted along with this form to address t	hese iss	ues.
ົຣ	Signature of Owner, Remedial Party or Designated Representative Date		
			,
	-		

•

٠

*

ŧ

	CATIONS	,
SITE NO.	V00589	Derf
•		, D OX 0
SITE OWNER OR DESIGNATED R I certify that all information and statements in Boxes a statement made herein is punishable as a Class "A" i Penal Law. I <u>James (Manzella</u> at <u>620 Mai</u> print name am certifying as <u>Remedial Party</u> for the Site named in the Site Details Section of this for	EPRESENTATIVE SIG 2 and/or 3 are true. I ur misdemeanor, pursuant in Street, Buffalo, NY print business address	NATURE Iderstand that a false to Section 210.45 of the <u>14202</u> (Owner or Remedial Party)
\bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc		
Jomen (. 1) lang them		7-28-11
Signature of Owner or Remedial Party Rendering Cer	tification	Date
IC/EC CERTIFI	ICATIONS	
IC/EC CERTIFI Signa	ICATIONS ature	Box 7
IC/EC CERTIFI Signa I certify that all information in Boxes 4 and 5 are true. punishable as a Class "A" misdemeanor, pursuant to s	ICATIONS ature 1 understand that a fals Section 210.45 of the Pe	Box 7 e statement made herein is enal Law.
IC/EC CERTIFI Signal I certify that all information in Boxes 4 and 5 are true. punishable as a Class "A" misdemeanor, pursuant to S I JAME (Man 2) at 620 Mair	ACATIONS ature I understand that a fals Section 210.45 of the Pe Street, Buffalo, NY	Box 7 e statement made herein is enal Law. 14202
IC/EC CERTIFI Signal I certify that all information in Boxes 4 and 5 are true. punishable as a Class "A" misdemeanor, pursuant to S_{1} $Jame (Man 2c)_{h}$ at <u>620 Mair</u> print name	ACATIONS ature I understand that a fals Section 210.45 of the Pe Street, Buffalo, NY print business address	Box 7 e statement made herein is enal Law. 14202
IC/EC CERTIFI Signal I certify that all information in Boxes 4 and 5 are true. punishable as a Class "A" misdemeanor, pursuant to s 1 - Jame (Man 2e) at 620 Mair print name p am certifying as a for the <u>Remedial Party</u>	ACATIONS ature I understand that a fals. Section 210.45 of the Pe Street, Buffalo, NY print business address	Box 7 e statement made herein is enal Law. 14202
IC/EC CERTIFI Signal I certify that all information in Boxes 4 and 5 are true. punishable as a Class "A" misdemeanor, pursuant to s I = Jame (Man 2e) at 620 Mair print name at 620 Mair print name p	ACATIONS ature 1 understand that a false Section 210.45 of the Per o Street, Buffalo, NY point business address (Owner or R	Box 7 e statement made herein is enal Law. 14202 , emedial Party)
IC/EC CERTIFI Signal I certify that all information in Boxes 4 and 5 are true. punishable as a Class "A" misdemeanor, pursuant to s I = Jame (Man 2c)/a at 620 Mairprint name pam certifying as a for the Remedial Party	ACATIONS ature I understand that a false Section 210.45 of the Pe Street, Buffalo, NY Street, Buffalo, NY Orint business address (Owner or R	Box 7 e statement made herein is enal Law. 14202 emedial Party)
I certify that all information in Boxes 4 and 5 are true. punishable as a Class "A" misdemeanor, pursuant to s $I = \frac{Jame (Man 2e)}{print name}$ at <u>620 Mair</u> print name p	ACATIONS ature I understand that a false Section 210.45 of the Per Section 210.45 of the Per Sec	Box 7 e statement made herein is enal Law. 14202 emedial Party)
IC/EC CERTIFI Signal I certify that all information in Boxes 4 and 5 are true. punishable as a Class "A" misdemeanor, pursuant to S_{1} <u>Jame (Man 2ch</u> at <u>620 Mair</u> print name p am certifying as a for the <u>Remedial Party</u>	ACATIONS ature 1 understand that a fals Section 210.45 of the Pe or Street, Buffalo, NY print business address (Owner or R	Box 7 e statement made herein is enal Law. <u>14202</u> , emedial Party)
IC/EC CERTIFI Signal I certify that all information in Boxes 4 and 5 are true. punishable as a Class "A" misdemeanor, pursuant to s <u>JAME (Man 2ch</u> at <u>620 Mair</u> print name p arm certifying as a for the <u>Remedial Party</u> Signature of, for the Owner or Remedial Party; Rendering Certification	Ature I understand that a false Section 210.45 of the Period Street, Buffalo, NY orint business address (Owner or R Stamp (Required for PE	Box 7 e statement made herein is enal Law. 14202 emedial Party) $7 - 28 \cdot $ Date

•

والمتعمل والمراجع والمراجع والمراجع

مر مراجعا محملاً فقدر

. .

man a dama a

GROUNDWATER SAMPLING LOGS

APPENDIX E

	JLTANTS	w	ELL S	SAM	PLIN	IG	LO	G	WEL	L ID:	AL-	1	
Project Name: Project Location	Annual Samp n: South Rob	ling for the A erts Road, Du	lumax Site unkirk NY	2			Proje Date	ect No: 2 e: 2/22	010.01 /2011	28.03			
Purge Informa	tion: [Well Ri	ser=Casing (C); all mea	asurem	ents to	roc]		Casing D	iamete	r (in):	2 [Volumo	e Conve	ersion = 0.16]
Visible Well Da Well Depth (ft):	mage/Commo	ents: NONE_ /۱.၅ Wa	ater Level	(ft):	7.	31		Height of	Water	Columr	n (ft):]2	.59
1 Well Volume	[WV] (gal):	2.01	3	Well V	olumes	(gal):	4	6.04					
Method of Purg	ing Bailer S	ubmersible /	Peristaltic	w/ dedi	icated tu	ibing /	/ Othe	er:					
Purge Field Pa	arameters	Purge Start	Time:	103	0								
Volume (gal)	ORP/Eh	pН	Temp.	Co	ond.	Tu	ırb.	[Totalize	r Start=		gal		
/ WV	(mV)	(SU)	(°C)	(mS	s/cm)	(N	TU)	00=12,4	7 %	Chara	acteristic	s	
Initial / 0		6.99	7,1	1.0	16	1	2	Clea	al -				
2./ /1		7.//	84	0.0	1.99		7	DO= Clear slis 9.26 ms/L Su		sht odar			
12		DRY	2	2.9	90		\mathbf{h}						
/3					U								
Total Volume P	ourged (gal): _	2.9	Pu	rge Co	mplete	Time:]	050	[Water I	_evel (ft.):	
Sampling Info	rmation: Da	te: $Z-ZZ$	-11_										
Sample Time:	1550	<u> </u>	iter Level(f	ft):			Sam	ple Analys	sis: TC		s (No.	of Bot	ttles: 2
Sampling Meth	od: Bailer Submers	VOCs ; (All ible- Rema) iinder; A	Perist	altic w/ // N	dedic Ianua	cated and a state	tubing- bw/- S/3	Rema S Pitch	inder; er; S	All <i>I</i> Sample (/ Cont's	
Sample Field P	arameters		_										
ORP/Eh	рН	Temp.	Con	ıd.	Turk	D .		~	0	haraot	arietice		
(mV)	(SU)	(°C)	(mS/c	cm)	(NTI	(ר	Ø:	9.18	ms/L				
	7.40	7.4	0.9	Z	4			clear					
Other Commer	nts:	<u>·</u>		1		1	L				_		
Sampler's Sign	ature:												

.

-

		W	ELL S		IG I	LO	G	WEL	L ID:	AL-2	
Project Name: Project Locatior	Annual Samp n: South Robe	ling for the Al erts Road, Du	umax Site Inkirk NY			Proj Date	ect No: e: 2/2	2010.012 2/2011	8.03		
Purge Informa	tion: [Well Ris	ser=Casing (0	C); all mea	surements to	TOC]		Casing	Diameter	(in): 2	[Volume C	conversion = 0.16
Visible Well Da Well Depth (ft): 1 Well Volume	mage/Comme 9 , 9 f [WV] (gal):	ents: NONE_ Wa 1, 94	ater Level (3	(ft): <u>7.</u> Well Volumes	(gal):		Height c 5. 8	of Water C	Column ((ft):	12.1
	ing. Baller/ Si				ning i		···				
Purge Field Pa		Purge Start	Time:	095			TT at a lia				
Volume (gal) / WV	(mV)	pH (SU)	(°C)	(mS/cm)	1u (N ⁻	IFD. TU)		er Start=	Charac	gai j	
Initial / 0	(((())))	6.87	4,5	0.837		3	9,53	Smskl	lear		
2.0 11		7.03	5.8	0.422	2	5	00= 8.82	ma/L_S	15 htly	closed	1
12		DR	16	VZ_{r}	7	9 a	1			\square	
/ /3										Ň	Ì
Total Volume P	urged (gal): _	2.7	Pu	rge Complete	Time:	_/	150)[V	Vater Le	evel (ft.):_	
Sampling Info	rmation: Da	te: Z-22	-11								
Sample Time: _	1340	Wa	ter Level(f	it):		Sam	ple Anal	lysis [:] TCI	VOCs	No. o	f Bottles: 2)
Sampling Meth	od: Bailer-) Submers	VOCs ; / All ible- Remá) // inder ; /	Peristaltic w	/dedic lanua	cated al gra	tubing- b w/- S	Remain S/S Pitche	nder; er; Sa	All // ample Co	onťs
Sample Field P	arameters					_					
ORP/Eh	рН	Temp.	Con	d. Tur	b.			, Cl	naracter	istics	
(mV)	(SU)	(°C)	(mS/c	cm) (NT	U)	10-	10.0	4 m3/L	/ -		
	'/.3]	6.0	0.45	52 30	/		5li	stitly c	loud	\mathbf{Y}	
Other Commer	its:								/	,	

100

	JLTANTS	w	ELL S	SAMPL	ING	LO	G	WEL	LID: AL-7
Project Name: Project Locatio	Annual Samp n: South Rob	oling for the A erts Road, Du	lumax Site unkirk NY	9		Proj Date	ect No: 20 e: 2/22/	010.01 2011	28.03
Purge Informa	tion: [Well Ri	ser=Casing (C); all mea	asurements t	o TOC]		Casing Di	iamete	er (in): 2 [Volume Conversion = 0.16]
Visible Well Da	mage/Comme	ents: NONE_							
Well Depth (ft)	11.29	<u> </u>	ater Level	(ft):, (94	<u> </u>	Height of	Water	Column (ft): <u>7, 34</u>
1 Well Volume	[WV] (gal):	1,49	3	Well Volume	es (gal):		1,48		
Method of Purg	ing: Bailer / S	ubmersible /	Peristaltic	w/ dedicated	l tubing /	/ Othe	er:		
Purge Field Pa	arameters	Purge Start	Time:	1230					
Image Image Project Name: An Project Location: S Purge Information Visible Well Dama Well Depth (ft): 1 Well Volume [W Method of Purging Purge Field Parar Volume (gal) / WV Initial / 0 /, 5 / 2 / 3 Total Volume Purge Sampling Information Sample Time: / 3 ORP/Eh (mV) 9 Other Comments:	ORP/Eh	рН	Temp.	Cond.	Tu	ırb.	[Totalizer	Start=	gal]
/ WV	(mV)	(SU)	(°C)	(mS/cm)	(N	TU)	D0= 1	msk	Characteristics
Initial / 0		7.64	3.Z	0.Z3Z	- 2	7	10.3	8	clear
1.5 11		7.75	5.2	0.219	8r,	74	do= 9.50	ms	Cloudy storted clear BIK
/2		DRY	Q	1.7	ga	/		/	$ \land \land $
/3									
Total Volume F	Purged (gal): _	1.7	Pu	rge Complet	e Time:		755	[Water Level (ft.):]
Sampling Info	rmation: Da	te: 2-22	2-11						
Sample Time:	1400	Wa	iter Level(f	ft):		Sam	ple Analys	is: TC	CL VOCs No. of Bottles: 2
Sampling Meth	od: Bailer Submers	VOCs ; All ible- Rema) // inder;/	Peristaltic v All //	w/dedic Manua	cated	tubing- bw/- S/S	Rema S Pitch	inder; All // er; Sample Cont's
Sample Field F	arameters		_						
ORP/Eh	рН	Temp.	Con	id. Tu	urb.		1	ſ	
(mV)	(SU)	(°C)	(mS/d	cm) (N	TU)	00	= ms/L	~	
	9.40	4.9	0.2	-16 2	7	10.	59		Clear
Other Commer	nts:								
Sampler's Sign	ature:								

CHAIN-OF-CUSTODY/LABORATORY ANALYTICAL RESULTS

APPENDIX F

- 1 -

RECEIVED MAR 0 4 2011 TVGA

Analytical Report Cover Page

<u>TVGA</u>

For Lab Project # 11-0757 Issued February 28, 2011 This report contains a total of 6 pages

The reported results relate only to the samples as they have been received by the laboratory.

Any noncompliant QC parameters having impact on the data are flagged or documented on the final report.

All soil/sludge samples have been reported on a dry weight basis, unless qualified "reported as received". Other solids are reported as received.

Each page of this document is part of a multipage report. This document may not be reproduced except in its entirety, without the prior consent of Paradigm Environmental Services, Inc.

The Chain of Custody provides additional information, including compliance with sample condition requirements upon receipt. Sample condition requirements are defined under the 2003 NELAC Standard, sections 5.5.8.3.1 and 5.5.8.3.2.

NYSDOH ELAP does not certify for all parameters. Paradigm Environmental Services or the indicated subcontracted laboratory does hold certification for all analytes where certification is offered by ELAP unless otherwise specified.

Data qualifiers are used, when necessary, to provide additional information about the data. This information may be communicated as a flag or as text at the bottom of the report. Please refer to the following list of frequently used data flags and their meaning:

- "<" = analyzed for but not detected at or above the reporting limit.
- "E" = Result has been estimated, calibration limit exceeded.
- "Z" = See case narrative.
- "D" = Duplicate results outside QC limits. May indicate a non-homogenous matrix.
- "M" = Matrix spike recoveries outside QC limits. Matrix bias indicated.
- "B" = Method blank contained trace levels of analyte. Refer to included method blank report.

Client: TVGA

Client Job Site:	Alumax	Lab Project Number:	11-0757		
		Lab Sample Number:	2925		
Client Job Number:	2010.0128.03				
Field Location:	Al-1	Date Sampled:	02/22/2011		
Field ID Number:	N/A	Date Received:	02/23/2011		
Sample Type:	Water	Date Analyzed:	02/25/2011		

Halocarbons	Results in ug / L	Aromatics	Results in ug / L
Bromodichloromethane	< 20.0	Benzene	17.1
Bromomethane	< 20.0	Chlorobenzene	< 20.0
Bromoform	< 50.0	Ethylbenzene	< 20.0
Carbon Tetrachloride	< 20.0	Toluene	< 20.0
Chloroethane	< 20.0	m,p-Xylene	< 20.0
Chloromethane	< 20.0	o-Xylene	< 20.0
2-Chloroethyl vinyl Ether	< 100	Styrene	< 50.0
Chloroform	< 20.0	1,2-Dichlorobenzene	< 20.0
Dibromochloromethane	< 20.0	1,3-Dichlorobenzene	< 20.0
1,1-Dichloroethane	< 20.0	1,4-Dichlorobenzene	< 20.0
1,2-Dichloroethane	< 20.0		
1,1-Dichloroethene	< 20.0	Ketones	Results in ug / L
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	1,140	Acetone	< 100
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	< 20.0	2-Butanone	< 100
1,2-Dichloropropane	< 20.0	2-Hexanone	< 50.0
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	< 20.0	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	< 50.0
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	< 20.0		
Methylene chloride	< 50.0	Miscellaneous	Results in ug / L
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	< 20.0	Carbon disulfide	< 20.0
Tetrachloroethene	< 20.0	Vinyl acetate	< 50.0
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	< 20.0		
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	< 20.0		
Trichloroethene	197		
Trichlorofluoromethane	< 20.0		
Vinyl chloride	825		
ELAP Number 10958	Method	: EPA 8260B	Data File: V82632.D

Comments: ug / L = microgram per Liter

Signature:

Bruce Hoogesteger: Technical Director
This report is part of a multipage document and should only be evaluated in its entirety. Chain of Custody provides additional information, including compliance with sample condition
requirements upon receipt.
110757V1.XLS

Client: TVGA

Client Job Site:	Alumax	Lab Project Number: Lab Sample Number:			
Client Job Number:	2010.0128.03	·			
Field Location:	Al-2	Date Sampled:	02/22/2011		
Field ID Number:	N/A	Date Received:	02/23/2011		
Sample Type:	Water	Date Analyzed:	02/25/2011		

Halocarbons	Results in ug / L	Aromatics	Results in ug / L
Bromodichloromethane	< 2.00	Benzene	16.1
Bromomethane	< 2.00	Chlorobenzene	< 2.00
Bromoform	< 5.00	Ethylbenzene	< 2.00
Carbon Tetrachloride	< 2.00	Toluene	< 2.00
Chloroethane	< 2.00	m,p-Xylene	< 2.00
Chloromethane	< 2.00	o-Xylene	< 2.00
2-Chloroethyl vinyl Ether	< 10.0	Styrene	< 5.00
Chloroform	< 2.00	1,2-Dichlorobenzene	< 2.00
Dibromochloromethane	< 2.00	1,3-Dichlorobenzene	< 2.00
1,1-Dichloroethane	< 2.00	1,4-Dichlorobenzene	< 2.00
1,2-Dichloroethane	< 2.00		··· ·
1,1-Dichloroethene	< 2.00	Ketones	Results in ug / L
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	6.94	Acetone	< 10.0
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	< 2.00	2-Butanone	< 10.0
1,2-Dichloropropane	< 2.00	2-Hexanone	< 5.00
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	< 2.00	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	< 5.00
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	< 2.00		
Methylene chloride	< 5.00	Miscellaneous	Results in ug / L
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	< 2.00	Carbon disulfide	< 2.00
Tetrachloroethene	< 2.00	Vinyl acetate	< 5.00
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	< 2.00		
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	< 2.00		
Trichloroethene	< 2.00		
Trichlorofluoromethane	< 2.00		
Vinyl chloride	< 2.00		
ELAP Number 10958	Method:	EPA 8260B	Data File: V82631.D

Comments: ug / L = microgram per Liter

Signature:

Bruce Hoogesteger: Technical Director This report is part of a multipage document and should only be evaluated in its entirety. Chain of Custody provides additional information, including compliance with sample condition requirements upon receipt. 110757V2.XLS

Client: TVGA

Cli	ient Job Site:	Alumax	Lab Project Number:			
			Lab Sample Number:	2927		
Cli	ent Job Number:	2010.0128.03				
Fie	eld Location:	Al-7	Date Sampled:	02/22/2011		
Fie	eld ID Number:	N/A	Date Received:	02/23/2011		
Sa	mple Type:	Water	Date Analyzed:	02/25/2011		

Halocarbons	Results in ug / L	Aromatics	Results in ug / L
Bromodichloromethane	< 10.0	Benzene	< 3.50
Bromomethane	< 10.0	Chlorobenzene	< 10.0
Bromoform	< 25.0	Ethylbenzene	< 10.0
Carbon Tetrachloride	< 10.0	Toluene	< 10.0
Chloroethane	< 10.0	m,p-Xylene	< 10.0
Chloromethane	< 10.0	o-Xylene	< 10.0
2-Chloroethyl vinyl Ether	< 50.0	Styrene	< 25.0
Chloroform	< 10.0	1,2-Dichlorobenzen	e < 10.0
Dibromochloromethane	< 10.0	1,3-Dichlorobenzen	e < 10.0
1,1-Dichloroethane	< 10.0	1,4-Dichlorobenzen	e < 10.0
1,2-Dichloroethane	< 10.0		
1,1-Dichloroethene	< 10.0	Ketones	Results in ug / L
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	473	Acetone	< 50.0
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	< 10.0	2-Butanone	< 50.0
1,2-Dichloropropane	< 10.0	2-Hexanone	< 25.0
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	< 10.0	4-Methyl-2-pentano	ne < 25.0
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	< 10.0		
Methylene chloride	< 25.0	Miscellaneous	Results in ug / L
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	< 10.0	Carbon disulfide	< 10.0
Tetrachloroethene	< 10.0	Vinyl acetate	< 25.0
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	< 10.0		
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	< 10.0		
Trichloroethene	138		
Trichlorofluoromethane	< 10.0		
Vinyl chloride	271		
ELAP Number 10958	Method:	EPA 8260B	Data File: V82633.D

Comments: ug / L = microgram per Liter

Bruce Hoogesteger: Technical Director

Signature:

This report is part of a multipage document and should only be evaluated in its entirety. Chain of Custody provides additional information, including compliance with sample condition requirements upon receipt. 110757V3.XLS

Client: TVGA

Client Job Site:	Alumax	Lab Project Number:	11-0757
		Lab Sample Number:	2928
Client Job Number:	2010.0128.03		
Field Location:	Trip Blank	Date Sampled:	02/22/20
Field ID Number:	N/A	Date Received:	02/23/20
Sample Type:	Water	Date Analyzed:	02/24/20

Halocarbons	Results in ug / L	Aromatics	Results in ug / L
Bromodichloromethane	< 2.00	Benzene	< 0.700
Bromomethane	< 2.00	Chlorobenzene	< 2.00
Bromoform	< 5.00	Ethylbenzene	< 2.00
Carbon Tetrachloride	< 2.00	Toluene	< 2.00
Chloroethane	< 2.00	m,p-Xylene	< 2.00
Chloromethane	< 2.00	o-Xylene	< 2.00
2-Chloroethyl vinyl Ether	< 10.0	Styrene	< 5.00
Chloroform	< 2.00	1,2-Dichlorobenzene	< 2.00
Dibromochloromethane	< 2.00	1,3-Dichlorobenzene	< 2.00
1,1-Dichloroethane	< 2.00	1,4-Dichlorobenzene	< 2.00
1,2-Dichloroethane	< 2.00		
1,1-Dichloroethene	< 2.00	Ketones	Results in ug / L
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	< 2.00	Acetone	< 10.0
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	< 2.00	2-Butanone	< 10.0
1,2-Dichloropropane	< 2.00	2-Hexanone	< 5.00
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	< 2.00	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	< 5.00
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	< 2.00		
Methylene chloride	< 5.00	Miscellaneous	Results in ug / L
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	< 2.00	Carbon disulfide	< 2.00
Tetrachloroethene	< 2.00	Vinyl acetate	< 5.00
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	< 2.00		
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	< 2.00		
Trichloroethene	< 2.00		
Trichlorofluoromethane	< 2.00		
Vinyl chloride	< 2.00		
ELAP Number 10958	Method:	EPA 8260B	Data File: V82597.D

Comments: ug / L = microgram per Liter

Signature:

Bruce Hoogesteger: Technical Director This report is part of a multipage document and should only be evaluated in its entirety. Chain of Custody provides additional information, including compliance with sample condition requirements upon receipt. 110757V4.XLS

02/22/2011

02/23/2011

02/24/2011

NVIRON	IMENTA	٩L	REPORT TO: INVOICE TO:																
ERVICE	S, INC.		COMPANY: TVGA			COMPAN	íY:							LAB PROJ	ECT #:	CLIE	NT PROJI	:CT #:	
179 Lake Avenue			ADDRESS:	620 Main Street		ADDRES	S:							/ŀØ	757	/ ZC	10.012	4.03	1
chester, NY 1	4608		CITY: E	Buffalo STATE: NY	ZIP: 14202	CITY:				s	ATE:		ZIP:	TURNARO	UND TIME:	(WORKIN	IG DAYS)		
6) 647-2530 *	(800) 724-199	97	PHONE: 7	16-849-8739 FAX: 716-856-0	0981	PHONE:				FAX:]			STD	то	THE
PROJECT NAME/SITE NAME:			ATTN: 🖊	Andy Benkleman		ATTN:								1	2	3	5	Г	
Ah	ımax		COMMENTS	:												/			
			TRENIP.				R	EQUE	STED		LYSIS	S	N TO ST						
		с		an is na particular i con a construction provinci de la construcción de la constru	S. C. S. G. ST. S.	C						10.000.000.000.000.000							900 <i>F</i> 3
		O M			м	N N	<u></u>												
DATE	TIME	P	G R		A T	MA	<u> تق</u>							DEM	PKC		PAR		AB
DATE	111416	s		SAMPLE LOGATION/FIELD ID	R	BI	[]							NEW/			SAMF	LE NUME	3ER
		і Т			×	RE													
		E		,		R S	826												
2/22/11	1330		$\left \times\right $	Al-1	Aq	2	X										à	9 2	2 3
}	1340			Al-2	Aq	2	X										a	92	16
	1400		\mathbf{X}	AI-7	Aq	2	X										2	9 4	2 7
2/22/11				Trip Black	Ag	1	X										2	92	28
Phradign	folicy				10	1				++							\square		+
EAH 2/2						$\overline{\mathbf{x}}$	+			++			/					++,	1
/	1		┼──┼			+	+			++					$\overline{}$		++	$+ \not$	+
		```	+	Alla		<u> </u>	$\mathbb{H}$		$\vdash$	++	+	A					++	┢╋	+
			$\left  \right\rangle$				+			++	A					$\rightarrow$	$\mathbf{J}$	+	+
/				- Andrew			+		$\vdash$	╞╌╡	$\square$						+	┥╍┝	+
LAB USE		k h +																	
cceptable or	note deviatio	n:	co	NTAINER TYPE: PRESERVA	ATIONS:	X		HOL	DING TIN	ME:	X		TE	MPERATURI	ĽΣ ,	ļ			
				<b>,</b>										61	icec	1			
mpled By:	for the	U	In	Date/Time: Re - 2/27/11/1400	linquished I	By:							Date/1	'ime:		Total C	Cost:		
linquished B	/: /: /:	A I	N. 00	Date/Time:	ceived By:	_							Date/1	Time:					
	entre !	P	X SHK	$\frac{1}{10} \frac{1}{10} \frac$		ab By:							Date/1	ime:		P.I.F.			
ceiveu by.	V	LI		7/77/ 13		Q1.		+1 1		Im	~ h	2/2	3/1	1106	$\mathbf{O}$				