Periodic Review Report - 2013/2014

Former Churchville Ford, Inc. Site NYSDEC Voluntary Cleanup Program Site #V00658 Village of Churchville, Town of Riga, Monroe County, New York

> Prepared for: BLW Properties of Churchville, LLC 7520 State Route 415 Bath, New York 14810

> > Prepared by:



August 2014

Table of Contents

Page

| 1.0 | Executive Summary | 1 |
|-----|--|------|
| 2.0 | , Site Overview | 2 |
| 3.0 | Remedy Performance, Effectiveness, and Protectiveness | 4 |
| 4.0 | Institutional Controls/Engineering Control Plan Compliance | 5 |
| 5.0 | Monitoring Plan Compliance Report | 9 |
| 6.0 | Operation and Maintenance Plan Compliance Report | .12 |
| 7.0 | Conclusions and Recommendations | . 12 |

<u>Tables</u>

Table 1- Groundwater Results - VOCs

Table 2- Groundwater Results - Metals

<u>Figures</u>

- Figure 1- Site Location Map
- Figure 2- Groundwater Contours and Analytical Results June 2013
- Figure 3- Groundwater Contours and Analytical Results November 2013
- Figure 4- Groundwater Contours and Analytical Results June 2014

<u>Attachments</u>

- Attachment A- Site Inspection Forms
- Attachment B- Groundwater Sampling Logs
- Attachment C- Laboratory Analytical Data
- Attachment D Institutional and Engineering Controls Certification Form
- Attachment E Site Photographs (2013 Improvements)

1.0 Executive Summary

This Periodic Review Report (PRR) document is required as an element of ongoing site management at the former Churchville Ford site (hereinafter referred to as the "site") under the New York State (NYS) Voluntary Cleanup Program (VCP) administered by the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (NYSDEC). The Site was remediated in accordance with and subject to Voluntary Cleanup Agreement (VCA) # B8-0640-03-09, Site # V00658-8 which was executed on September 29, 2003 and amended on April 9, 2009.

The VCA was executed by former owners Joseph Ognibene and Antonio Gabriele. The most recent transfer of ownership occurred on December 1, 2011, changing from Meyers at Churchville, LLC to BLW Properties of Churchville, LLC. This report is intended to satisfy the reporting requirements set forth in the approved Site Management Plan (SMP), dated December 2011. The SMP was approved by the NYSDEC on January 3, 2012, signifying the beginning of the annual requirements set forth within the SMP. The purpose of this report is to present the findings of the bi-annual sampling of residual groundwater contamination and to certify that the site was in compliance with the protocols and controls established in the SMP during this reporting period.

The former Churchville Ford site is located at 111 South Main Street in the Village of Churchville, Town of Riga, Monroe County, New York (Figure 1). The site consists of approximately 6 acres and has been used as a commercial auto, boat and recreational vehicle sales and service facility in recent years. In 2002, subsurface soil and groundwater contamination was discovered during environmental investigation work completed in conjunction with the transfer of ownership of the property. A remedial investigation (RI) was conducted between 2004 and 2008.

Investigation results indicated that volatile organic compounds (VOCs), including the chlorinated VOCs tetrachloroethene (PCE), tricholorethene (TCE), and associated breakdown components, were detected in subsurface soils and groundwater. A source area was defined by the RI and contained elevated levels of PCE, TCE, and cis-1,2-dichloroethene (cis-1,2-DCE) in groundwater beneath the southwestern portion of the building at levels exceeding applicable groundwater standards. This area was formerly used for solvent and waste oil storage.

Based on the findings of the RI, remedial action was recommended to address chlorinated solvents detected in groundwater at levels exceeding NYSDEC Part 703.5 Groundwater Standards and NYSDEC guidance (Technical and Operational Guidance Series (TOGS) 1.1.1).

Remedial activities completed at the Site were conducted in accordance with procedures outlined in the approved RAWP for the former Churchville Ford Site dated December 2008 and a minor modification dated September 4, 2009. Remedial activities were completed at the Site between May 2009 and January 2010. In-situ chemical oxidation (ISCO) using injected sodium permanganate (NaMnO4) was initiated in June 2009 and completed in January 2010. The

injection process included the direct injection of NaMnO4 into the contaminated zone of the saturated soils and groundwater underlying the southwest portion of the building.

Additional soil vapor intrusion (SVI) sampling was conducted beneath the workshop floor slab after the oxidant injection was completed to determine if additional vapor intrusion mitigation or long-term monitoring measures were needed. As detailed in the Site Management Plan (SMP), a Sub-Slab Depressurization System (SSDS) was installed in June 2011 in the western portion of the building (workshop), precluding the need for further soil vapor intrusion sampling.

The effectiveness of the remedial program has been monitored through subsequent SVI and groundwater sampling. Post-remedial SVI and groundwater sampling results indicate that residual contamination persists in saturated soils and groundwater in the immediate vicinity of the former source area. Groundwater samples collected during this reporting period (June 2013 through June 2014) exceeded applicable groundwater standards and CVOC concentrations fluctuated during that time period. Further groundwater sampling in the source area will determine the long-term effectiveness of the remedy and natural attenuation of identified contaminants.

No areas of non-compliance were observed during the 3 inspection events in regards to the major components of the Site Management Plan (SMP). All Institutional and Engineering Controls were in place and in compliance during this reporting period. Significant improvements were made to one of the two Engineering Controls at the site during this period. All procedures outlined in the Monitoring Plan and Operation and Maintenance Plan were complied with during this reporting period.

Based on the observations made and results of the work completed during this reporting period, Lu Engineers does not recommend modification of the SMP at this time.

2.0 Site Overview

The former Churchville Ford site is located at 111 South Main Street in the Village of Churchville, Town of Riga, Monroe County, New York (Figure 1). The site consists of approximately 6 acres and has been used as a commercial auto, boat and recreational vehicle sales and service facility in recent years. The site is situated immediately north of Interstate Route 490 and Sanford Road. The majority of the site is generally flat but drops in elevation rather abruptly to Sanford Rd. to the south and gently to the adjacent property to the west.

The site is bound by residential and commercial land to the north, South Main Street and residential housing to the east, Sanford Road and Interstate Route 490 to the south and a commercial Camping World Recreational Vehicle sales facility to the west. The majority of the site is covered with asphalt pavement and the site sales/service building.

Contamination was discovered at the Site in 2002 during an environmental investigation conducted in conjunction with a property transfer. A Remedial Investigation (RI) was conducted between 2004 and 2008. Subsurface soil analytical results did not reveal VOCs, SVOCs, or metals above the Restricted Commercial Use (RCU) Guidance Values (6 New York Codes, Rules, and Regulation (NYCRR) Part 375-6), therefore, soil remediation was not warranted. Tetrachloroethene (PCE), trichloroethene (TCE), and cis-1,2-dichloroethene (cis-1,2-DCE) were detected in shallow groundwater beneath the southwestern portion of the building at levels exceeding 6 NYCRR Part 703 Class GA drinking water standards. This area was formerly used for solvent and waste oil storage. The extent of contamination appears to be limited to beneath the southwest portion of the site building (workshop area) and immediately west of the west wall of the building. Based on the findings of the RI, remedial action was recommended to address chlorinated solvents detected in groundwater at levels exceeding applicable guidance criteria.

Remedial activities completed at the Site were conducted in accordance with procedures outlined in the NYSDEC-approved RAWP for the Churchville Ford Site dated December 2008, with a minor modification dated September 4, 2009. Remedial activities were completed at the Site between May 2009 and January 2010. The remedial strategy involved treating groundwater and subsurface soils via In-Situ Chemical Oxidation (ISCO) using sodium permanganate (NaMnO4). When this chemical oxidant comes into contact with organic compounds such at TCE, PCE, and associated breakdown products, a reaction occurs oxidizing the organic contaminants to relatively benign compounds, such as carbon dioxide (CO2) and water (H2O). The chemical oxidant was introduced via a Geoprobe, Inc. GS2000 cart-mounted injection system and was administered through a series of shallow injection wells (primarily 4 to 11.5 feet with a maximum depth of 20 feet (ft)) to treat saturated soils, as well as groundwater. This was to target groundwater with chlorinated solvent concentrations in excess of 5 parts per billion (ppb) and 2 ppb for vinyl chloride.

Soil vapor intrusion (SVI) sampling was conducted after the oxidant injection was completed to determine if additional vapor intrusion mitigation or long-term indoor air monitoring measures were needed. Based on the results and as detailed in the SMP, a SSDS was installed in June 2011 in the western portion of the building. The presence of the SSDS precludes the need for monitoring of indoor air.

Implementation of the SMP requires the imposition of an Institutional Control (IC) in the form of a Deed Restriction (DR) that requires a) limiting the use and development of the property to commercial use, which also permits industrial use; b) compliance with the approved SMP; c) restricting the use of groundwater as a source of potable or process water, without necessary water quality treatment as determined by the New York State Department of Health (NYSDOH); and d) the property owner to complete and submit an annual certification of Institutional and Engineering Controls (IC/EC).

Long term management of remaining contamination, as required by the DR, includes plans for ECs including; 1) monitoring; 2) operation and maintenance; and 3) reporting. The specific ECs

implemented at the site include: a) semi-annual groundwater sampling of monitoring wells MW-3, MW-6, MW-13 and MW-JCL-02 for VOCs, iron and manganese; b) management and inspection of the existing soil cover system (the cap); and c) operation, maintenance and inspection of the SSDS.

3.0 Remedy Performance, Effectiveness, and Protectiveness

Based on post-remedial groundwater and SVI sampling conducted to date, residual groundwater and soil vapor contamination persists in the immediate source area on Site. On January 15, 2010, Lu Engineers completed the last remedial ISCO injection event. Eight (8) post-remedial groundwater sampling events and one (1) SVI sampling event have been conducted at the Site since the completion of the ISCO program. All nine (9) events were conducted in accordance with and as outlined in the RAWP and SMP. The following is a list of post-remedial sampling events conducted to date.

- February and August 2010 (per RAWP)
- December 2011 (per SMP)
- June and November 2012 (per SMP)
- June and November 2013 (per SMP)
- June 2014 (per SMP)

Tables 1 and 2, included as an attachment to this report, indicate bi-annual CVOC sample concentrations since June 2012 following full implementation of the protocols outlined in the approved SMP. Table 1 illustrates detected VOC concentrations in groundwater samples compared to the applicable NYSDEC 6 NYCRR Part 703.5 Class GA and TOGs 1.1.1 groundwater standards. Table 2 illustrates detected iron and manganese, known indicators of natural attenuation, in comparison to applicable groundwater standards. Both tables include graphical presentations of contaminant concentration trends in groundwater since June 2012.

Following a significant decrease in CVOC concentrations observed in the post-remedial 2010 groundwater sampling events, CVOC concentrations rebounded in 2011 and 2012, generally exceeding applicable groundwater standards in each well tested except MW-13. It is noted that no VOCs have been detected in MW-13 since June 2012.

As indicated in the 2012 Annual Report, wells MW-03, MW-JCL-02 and MW-06 all revealed CVOC detections exceeding NYSDEC Part 703.5 ground water standards in 2012. Sample results indicated a rise in PCE concentrations in source area wells MW-3, MW-JCL-02 and MW-6 over the December 2011 results. TCE and cis-1,2-dichloroethene concentrations dropped in MW-03 but increased in MW-JCL-02 compared to December 2011 results. CVOC concentrations

decreased significantly in June 2012. No VOCs were detected in well MW-13 during either sampling event.

Iron (Fe) and manganese (Mn) levels fluctuated between December 2011 and June 2012, generally dropping during that time period. Fe and Mn exceeded applicable groundwater standards in both 2012 sampling events for all wells except MW-03, which was below standards for both metals in June 2012. Due to the relatively low permeability of Site soils and previous remedial injection of NaMnO4 at the site, it is anticipated that Fe and Mn concentrations may fluctuate over time as oxidation occurs.

Source area samples collected from MW-03, MW-06, and MW-JCL-02 continue to exceed groundwater standards through the most recent sampling event conducted in June 2014.

The Institutional Controls established for the site have been and continue to be in strict compliance since SMP approval. Though documented residual contamination exists in the subsurface soils and groundwater in the site source area, these controls successfully minimize the potential for human exposure. The Engineering Controls established for the site also have been effective in greatly limiting the potential for human exposure to known site contaminants. The intended remedy continues to be protective of human health and effective for the current site use.

4.0 Institutional Controls/Engineering Control Plan Compliance

Since remaining contaminated soil, groundwater, and soil vapor exists beneath the Site, EC/ICs are required to protect human health and the environment. The EC/IC Plan is one component of the SMP and is subject to revision by NYSDEC.

Institutional Controls (ICs)

A series of ICs are required by the SMP to: (1) implement, maintain and monitor EC systems; (2) prevent future exposure to remaining contamination by controlling disturbances of the subsurface contamination; and, (3) limit the use and development of the site to commercial and industrial uses only. Adherence to these ICs on the Site is required by the Deed Restriction (DR) and implemented under the SMP.

The Institutional Controls developed for the site include the following three primary components:

<u>Landuse Restriction</u> – Site property use is limited to Commercial and Industrial uses only; the site is currently used as a commercial Recreational Vehicle sales and service facility and has fully met the requirements of this restriction throughout this reporting period.

<u>Groundwater Use Restriction</u> – Use of groundwater as a potable or process water source is prohibited; the site is currently connected to a supplied potable water source from the Village of Churchville and does not use site groundwater in any capacity, therefore fully meeting the requirements of this restriction throughout this reporting period.

<u>Site Management Plan (SMP)</u> – Compliance with the SMP is required, including required periodic certifications; the site is currently in strict compliance with all components of the site-specific SMP and has been throughout this reporting period.

Additional site restrictions that apply to the Controlled Property are:

- The property may not be used for a higher level of use, without additional remediation and amendment of the DR, as approved by the NYSDEC;
- All future activities on the property that will disturb remaining contaminated material must be conducted in accordance with the SMP;
- The potential for vapor intrusion must be evaluated for any buildings developed on the Site, any potential impacts that are identified must be monitored or mitigated;
- The Site owner or remedial party will submit to NYSDEC a written statement that certifies, under penalty of perjury, that: (1) controls employed at the Controlled Property are unchanged from the previous certification or that any changes to the controls were approved by the NYSDEC; and, (2) nothing has occurred that impairs the ability of the controls to protect public health and environment or that constitute a violation or failure to comply with the SMP.
- NYSDEC retains the right to access such Controlled Property at any time in order to evaluate the continued maintenance of any and all controls. This certification shall be submitted annually, or an alternate period of time that NYSDEC may allow and will be made by an expert that the NYSDEC finds acceptable.

Institutional Controls identified in the DR may not be discontinued without an amendment to or extinguishment of the DR.

Engineering Controls

Soil Cover System (Cap)

Exposure to remaining contamination in subsurface soil/fill, groundwater and soil vapor at the Site is prevented by a soil cover system placed over the Site (the "Cap"). This cover system is comprised of asphalt pavement, concrete-covered sidewalks, and concrete building slabs. Procedures for maintaining the Cap are documented in the Operation and Maintenance Plan in Section 4 of the SMP.

The Excavation Work Plan (EWP) in Appendix A of the SMP outlines the procedures required to be implemented in the event the cover system is breached, penetrated or temporarily removed, and any underlying remaining contamination is disturbed. Procedures for the inspection, maintenance and monitoring of this cover are provided in the Monitoring Plan included in Section 3 of the SMP.

As indicated on the Site Inspection Form included as Attachment A of this report, the Cap was overall in good condition in June 2013. The asphalt cover surrounding the building revealed some minor cracking and potholes along the north side of the site building, and some minor cracking along the west end of the building near the source area. The concrete floor in the workshop area of the building was and continues to be in very good condition. It is epoxy coated throughout the workshop, has revealed no evidence of significant cracking and is unchanged since inspection began in 2012.

In October 2013, improvements were made to the site cap (EC). Per the provisions outlined in the SMP, the NYSDEC was notified of the planned improvement. The former cap was milled and repaved with new asphalt, including in the contaminant source area immediately west of the site building. Approximately 2/3 of the site was repaved (central and eastern portions) as illustrated on Figure 3 and Figure 4. No soil was disturbed as part of the re-surfacing process, therefore no monitoring was required per the Excavation Work Plan (EWP) in the SMP. The cap replacement was completed in October 2013 and continued to function as new as of June 2014. No cracking or holes have been observed in the asphalt since it was replaced. It is noted that as a component of the cap replacement, TREC Environmental was contracted to install new flushmount protective boxes around all wells located within the repaving area. This included wells MW-03, MW-13, MW-JCL-02, and MW-JCL-03. The elevations of the solid PVC well risers

at each well did not change during the protective box replacements. Photographs of the new asphalt surface and well completions are included as Attachment E of this report.

Other 2013 Improvements

Two other site improvements were made during this reporting period, in September and October. Per the SMP the NYSDEC was notified of the planned improvements and all procedures outlined in the EWP were followed. The improvements included the following:

- Installation of a retaining wall along the south entrance of the site at Sanford Rd.
- Installation of a waterline from Bay 1 of the workshop on north side of the building to the edge of the asphalt/grass near northern property line.

Retaining Wall

A shallow trench excavation (approximately 2 feet deep) was installed for establishing proper drainage and as a base for the retaining wall. Appropriate air monitoring with a PID was conducted during all excavation activities. A representative soil sample was collected of the excavated soils and sent for laboratory analysis of TCL VOCs. Sample results were non-detect for VOCs. The retaining wall was constructed prior to the repaving of the site cap and subsequently new asphalt was paved up to both sides of the wall. The location of the wall is illustrated on Figure 3 and Figure 4 and laboratory analytical results are included in Attachment C of this report. Photographs are provided in Attachment E of this report.

Waterline Installation

In October 2013, a waterline was installed adjacent to the west side of the Bay 1 overhead door (eastern-most bay) of the workshop and running north across the paved area to the edge of the grass north of the building (see Figure 3). The trench excavation was dug four (4) feet deep and approximately one (1) foot wide. Soils were continuously screened with a PID during excavation and were placed on the asphalt. No elevated PID readings were observed during excavation and no stained soils or odors were noted.

A representative soil sample was collected and analyzed for TCL VOCs. Laboratory results indicated no VOCs were detected. Once the waterline was installed, the trench was backfilled with the excavated soils. The waterline was installed and backfilled prior to the repaving of the site cap. Laboratory analytical results are included in Attachment C of this report and photographs are provided in Attachment E.

<u>SSDS</u>

Exposure to remaining contamination in soil vapor beneath the building is prevented by a SSDS installed beneath the western portion of the shop area of the building. The SSDS was installed in June 2011 in accordance with the NYSDEC-approved May 2011 Sub-Slab Depressurization System Design prepared by Lu Engineers and the NYSDOH "Guidance for Evaluating Soil Vapor Intrusion in the State of New York (October 2006). The SSDS was installed by Mitigation Tech, a national Environmental Health Association (NEHA) certified mitigation contractor. The Procedures for the inspection and maintenance of this SSDS are provided in the Monitoring Plan included in Section 3 of the SMP.

Procedures for maintaining the SSDS are documented in the Operation and Maintenance Plan (Section 4 of the SMP). Procedures for monitoring the system are included in the Monitoring Plan (Section 3 of the SMP). The Monitoring Plan also addresses severe condition inspections in the event that a severe condition, which may affect controls at the Site, occurs. The active SSDS will not be discontinued unless prior written approval is granted by the NYSDEC.

As indicated on the Site Inspection Forms included as Attachment A of this report, the SSDS has operated as normal during this reporting period. No changes have been observed with the system or its performance since it was installed. During each site monitoring/inspection visit, both fans were generating the same amount of vacuum as the day they were installed. The Bay 3 fan continuously draws 0.8" WC and the Bay 5 fan continuously draws 0.5" WC. All system piping is in very good condition and is properly labeled. No air returns exist in proximity to the system exhaust on the building roof. No deficiencies have been observed with the SSDS and no changes are recommended.

The required IC/EC certification has been completed as a component of this report and a copy is included as Attachment D.

5.0 Monitoring Plan Compliance Report

The Monitoring Plan describes the measures for evaluating the performance and effectiveness of the remedy to reduce or mitigate contamination at the site, the soil cover system, and all affected site media identified in the table below.

Monitoring/Inspection Schedule

| Monitoring | Frequency* | Matrix | Analysis |
|------------|-------------------------------|-------------|--------------------|
| Program | | | |
| 1 | Biannually (seasonal high and | Groundwater | EPA Method 8260 |
| | low groundwater) | | EPA Method 6010 |
| | | | Manganese and Iron |
| | | | |
| 2 | Annually | SSDS | N/A |
| | | | |
| 3 | Biannually | Soil Cover | N/A |

* The frequency of events will be conducted as specified until otherwise approved by NYSDEC and NYSDOH

Monitoring activities completed during this reporting period (2013-2014) included the following:

- Bi-annual groundwater sampling of site wells MW-03, MW-JCL-02, MW-06, and MW-13
- Bi-annual inspection of site building SSDS (even though only required annually)
- Bi-annual inspection of the site soil cover system, including the asphalt surrounding the building (and source area) and concrete building floor (primarily in workshop area)

Groundwater Sampling

The following table summarizes the details of the groundwater sampling program to be completed during each bi-annual sampling event.

| Sample Type | Sample Location | Analytical | Frequency | QA/QC | Total |
|-------------|-----------------|------------|---------------|------------|-------|
| | | Parameters | | | |
| Groundwater | MW-03, 06, 13, | EPA 8260 | Semi-Annual | Trip Blank | 5 |
| | MW-JCL-02 | EPA 6010 | (twice each | (1) | |
| | | Manganese | year during | | |
| | | and Iron | seasonal high | | |
| | | | and low | | |
| | | | groundwater) | | |

Media Sampling and Analysis Summary

The previously-mentioned site wells were sampled bi-annually with dedicated bailers per the procedures outlined in the SMP. Each well was purged a minimum of 3 well volumes prior to sampling. Groundwater quality measurements including temperature, turbidity, pH, conductivity and ORP were collected during the purging process at each well. Purge water from each well was containerized in steel 55-gallon drums. At each well, samples were collected for

TCL VOCs by EPA Method 8260B, iron and manganese. Groundwater sampling logs are included as Attachment B of this report.

Results of the groundwater sampling conducted during this period are summarized in Tables 1 and 2 and on Figures 2, 3, and 4. Table 1 presents the analytical results of VOCs detected in groundwater from June 2012 through June 2014 compared to the applicable standards. Table 2 presents the analytical results of iron and manganese (natural attenuation indicators) from June 2012 through June 2014. Both tables include graphical presentations of the data in an effort to establish trends in the sample results. Figure 2 illustrates the detected VOCs and associated concentrations in groundwater that exceed applicable standards for June 2013. Figure 3 illustrates the detected VOCs and associated concentrations in groundwater that exceed applicable standards for November 2013. Figure 4 illustrates the detected VOCs and associated concentrations in groundwater that exceed applicable standards for June 2014. Each figure also illustrates groundwater contours based on water level measurements collected at each well during each sampling event. It is noted that groundwater generally flows south and west across the site, primarily following topography.

The following sections summarize the analytical results for each year within this reporting period.

<u>2013</u>

CVOC concentrations in the source area wells continued to fluctuate in both 2013 sampling events and site contaminants of concern (PCE, TCE, cis-1,2-DCE) continued to exceed applicable groundwater standards. Acetone was detected in source area wells MW-03 and MW-JCL-02 at concentrations exceeding applicable standards during both sampling events. Iron and manganese were detected at concentrations exceeding groundwater standards in both biannual sampling events in all four (4) wells tested, with the exception of in MW-03 in June 2013.

<u>2014</u>

Acetone was no longer detected in source area wells MW-03 and MW-JCL-02 in June 2014. In MW-03, PCE and cis-1,2-DCE concentrations decreased since November 2013, and the TCE concentration slightly increased in this well. In the adjacent deeper source area well MW-JCL-02, all three CVOCs increased slightly in concentration from the November 2013 results. PCE decreased in concentration slightly in MW-06 and MW-13 continued to be non-detect for VOCs. All of the wells tested revealed iron and manganese concentrations above applicable groundwater standards except for MW-06 which was below standards for both metals. It is

noted that the concentrations of these metals decreased in all four (4) wells since the November 2013 sampling event.

In conclusion, groundwater in the source area remained out of compliance with applicable NYSDEC ambient groundwater standards during this reporting period for the CVOCs of concern for the site. A copy of all laboratory analytical data is included as Attachment C of this report. It is noted that all groundwater samples were analyzed at Paradigm Environmental Services, Inc., an appropriately certified laboratory located in Rochester, New York. All sampling methods and QA/QC measures were adhered to during each sampling event as outlined in the approved SMP.

6.0 Operation and Maintenance Plan Compliance Report

The only ECs in place at the Site are the building floor slab, sidewalks and asphalt pavement, collectively referred to as the "Cap" or soil cover system, and an SSDS installed in the westernmost portion of the Site building (workshop area). Operation and maintenance is limited to periodic inspection of the Cap and SSDS, which are documented using the Site-Wide Inspection Form. Copies of the Site-Wide Inspection Form are included as Attachment A in this report. The Operation and Maintenance Plan located in the SMP describes the measures necessary to operate, monitor and maintain the mechanical components of the remedy selected for the Site. Descriptions of the Cap and SSDS inspections and conditions are provided in Section 4.0 of this report.

7.0 Conclusions and Recommendations

IC/EC Compliance

The requirements set forth in the SMP for all ICs were met during this reporting period. This includes the following:

<u>Landuse Restriction</u> – The site is currently used as a commercial recreational vehicle sales and service facility and has fully met the requirements of this restriction throughout this reporting period.

<u>Groundwater Use Restriction</u> – The site is currently connected to a supplied potable water source and does not use site groundwater in any capacity, therefore fully meeting the requirements of this restriction throughout this reporting period.

<u>Site Management Plan (SMP)</u> – The site is currently in compliance with all components of the site-specific SMP and all requirements have been met during this reporting period.

The requirements set forth in the SMP for all ECs were met during this reporting period. This includes the following:

<u>Soil Cover System (Cap) –</u> The site Cap, a component of the ECs established for the site, was in compliance with the SMP in June 2013, prior to replacement in October 2013. Following asphalt replacement per the provisions outlined in the SMP, the Cap met and continues to meet the necessary compliance requirements as established in the SMP. All requirements have been met during this reporting period.

<u>SSDS -</u> The SSDS, a component of the ECs established for the site, has operated as normal during this reporting period. No changes have been observed with the system or its performance since it was installed in 2011. All requirements have been met during this reporting period.

ISCO via injection was the primary remedial component employed in saturated shallow subsurface soils and groundwater at the site. CVOC contaminant destruction in the source area appeared to be temporarily effective. Likely due to dense, primarily fine-grained soils encountered in the saturated source area, radial influence during ISCO injection may have been limited. Contaminant rebound appears to have occurred since the implementation of the monitoring component of the SMP was initiated in 2012.

Based on post-remedial groundwater and SVI sampling conducted to date, residual groundwater and soil vapor contamination persists in the source area. It does not appear that residual contamination is migrating on site. The previously discussed site-specific ICs and ECs established as part of the SMP for this site continue to effectively achieve the remedial objectives for the site while establishing protection of human health. The continued effective performance of the ICs/ECs and improvements made to the site Cap have allowed the remedial objectives at this site to be achieved for this reporting period.

Lu Engineers recommends that the frequency of the PRR submission remain unchanged for the next time period. Lu Engineers also recommends that the Department considers discontinuing the bi-annual monitoring of monitoring well MW-13 due to five consecutive rounds of sampling resulting in no VOC detections.



Former Churchville Ford Site (#V00658-8)

Village of Churchville

Table 1 Groundwater Results - VOCs

Town of Riga

| | NVC | | | | | | | | | <u>15</u> u | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|--------|--------|---------|--------|--------|------------------|--------|-------------|--------|------------------|--------|--------|--------|------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| | Groundwater | dwater MW-03 | | | | | | MW-06 | | | | MW-13 | | | | MW-JCL-02 | | | | | |
| Detected Parameters ¹ | Standard ² | 2 Post Remediation | | | | | | Post Remediation | | | | Post Remediation | | | | Post Remediation | | | | | |
| | | Jun-12 | Nov-12 | Jun-13 | Nov-13 | Jun-14 | Jun-12 | Nov-12 | Jun-13 | Nov-13 | Jun-14 | Jun-12 | Nov-12 | Jun-13 | Nov-13 | Jun-14 | Jun-12 | Nov-12 | Jun-13 | Nov-13 | Jun-14 |
| Acetone | 50* | ND | ND | 2270 | 1,200 B | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | 314 | 626 B | ND |
| Benzene | 1 | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND |
| Methylene Chloride | 5 | ND | 995 J | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | 118 J | ND | ND | ND |
| Methyl Ethyl Ketone (2-butanone) | 50* | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND |
| Chloroform | 7 | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND |
| Dichlorodifluoromethane | 5 | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | 17.4 | 1.75 J | 3.59 | 3.15 | 4.01 | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | 90 J | ND | ND | ND | ND |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane | 5 | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND |
| Methyl-Tert-Butyl Ether (MTBE) | 10* | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND |
| Tetrachloroethene | 5 | 11,000 | 9,140 | 3480 | 14,000 | 7,530 | 14.7 | 8.51 | 8.89 | 11.9 | 9.01 | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | 1,600 | 480 | 812 | 659 | 1,910 |
| Trichloroethene | 5 | 8,940 | 4,760 | 5300 | 6,340 | 6,930 | 2.22 | 1.92 J | 1.5 J | 1.78 J | 1.47 J | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | 3,070 | 1,280 | 2240 | 1,900 | 2,770 |
| Vinyl chloride | 2 | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND |
| cis-1,2-Dichloroethene | 5 | 5,900 | 3,170 | 4030 | 7,380 | 6,150 | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | 2,490 | 1,490 | 2410 | 1,800 | 3,030 |

~ parameter detected above NYS Ambient Groundwater Standard or applicable NYSDEC Guidance Value

J - value is estimated

ND - Not detected above reporting limit

1 - Results presentend in ug/L or parts per billion (ppb)

2 - NYS Ambient Groundwater Standards (6 NYCRR Part 703.5)

* - NYSDEC Guidance Value (TOGS 1.1.1)





Former Churchville Ford Site (#V00658-8)

Village of Churchville Town of Riga

| | | MW-03 | | | | MW-06 | | | | MW-13 | | | | MW-JCL-02 | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|------------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|------------------|--------|--------|--------|------------------|--------|-----------|--------|------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| | Groundwater | | Post | Remedi | ation | | | Post Remediation | | | | Post Remediation | | | | Post Remediation | | | | | |
| Parameters ¹ | Standards ² | Jun-12 | Nov-12 | Jun-13 | Nov-13 | Jun-14 | Jun-12 | Nov-12 | Jun-13 | Nov-13 | Jun-14 | Jun-12 | Nov-12 | Jun-13 | Nov-13 | Jun-14 | Jun-12 | Nov-12 | Jun-13 | Nov-13 | Jun-14 |
| Iron | 300** | 134 | 7,370 | 229 | 1,740 | 789 | 360 | 378 | 1,340 | 1,110 | 102 D | 875 | 1,670 | 1,800 | 6,130 | 1,390 | 5,250 | 611 | 6140 | 10,600 | 4,630 |
| Manganese | 300** | 293 | 67,600 | 1,250 | 7,350 | 3,350 | 1,290 | 920 | 1,940 | 1,470 | 160 | 606 | 576 | 411 | 655 | 574 | 2,260 | 1,290 | 1580 | 2,710 | 2,190 |

Table 2 Groundwater Results - Metals

~ parameter detected above NYS Ambient Groundwater Standard or applicable NYSDEC Guidance Value

1 - Results presentend in parts per billion (ppb)

2 - NYS Ambient Groundwater Standards (6 NYCRR Part 703.5)

** - Sum total of Iron and Manganese results is 500 ug/L per NYSDEC Part 703.5 Class GA groundwater standards













| Grou Monitoring Well MW-03 MW-06 MW-13 MW-13 MW-22 MW-JCL-01 | ndwater Elevati PVC Elevation 591.76 591.73 | on - June 2014 Depth to Water 3.57 | Elevation (ft |
|--|--|--|-------------------------|
| Monitoring Well MW-03 MW-06 MW-13 MW-22 MW-JCL-01 MW/JCL-02 | PVC Elevation 591.76 591.73 | Depth to Water 3.57 | Elevation (ft) |
| MW-03 MW-06 MW-13 MW-22 MW-JCL-01 | 591.76 591.73 | 3.57 | A STATISTICS STATISTICS |
| MW-06 MW-13 MW-22 MW-JCL-01 | 591.73 | | 588.19 |
| MW-13 MW-22 MW-JCL-01 | | 4.15 | 587.58 |
| MW-22 MW-JCL-01 | 591.08 | 1.10 | 589.98 |
| MW-JCL-01 | 585.73 | 1.51 | 584.22 |
| MIM/ ICL 02 | 587.08 | 4.43 | 582.65 |
| IVIVV-JCL-02 | 591.51 | 4.73 | 586.78 |
| MW-22 MW-22 MW-22 | | | |
| MW-03: Manganese: 1 Acetone: 2,270 Tetrachloroeth Trichloroether cis-1,2-Dichlor MW-JCL-02: Iron: 6,140 Manganese: 1 Acetone: 314 | ,250 0 nene: 3,480 ne: 5,300 roethene: 4,030 | 0 | |
| Tetrachloroet | nene: 812 | | MW-13: |
| Trichloroether | ne: 2,240 | | Iron: 1,800 |
| cis-1,2-Dichlor | oethene: 2,41 | 0 | Manganese: |
| | NT 13 2 | A DECK DECK | 9 (4) |
| STO IN | 1 100 | | 0.000 |
| | 1 | - V | 0 |
| | 50 | | 10000 |
| S IL | (part) | | |
| A 100 10- | A | 1 1800 | |
| The | | 1.000 | |
| PARTY INCOME | | | Competition (|
| | N 1000 | | 2 4 1 |
| | | | |

| 06: 1,340 ganese: 1,940 chloroethene: 8.89 | DATE: JULY 2014 PROJECT NO: 50185-02 DRAWN/CHECKED: ED/SMK DATA SOURCE: ESRI BASEMAP |
|--|---|
| | FIGURE 2 GROUNDWATER CONTOURS AND ANALYTICAL RESULTS JUNE 2013 WILKINS RV, INC. RIGA, NY |
| Monitoring Well - Not Sampled Monitoring Well - Sampled | |
| Groundwater Contour June 2013 | ers on - sint |
| Groundwater Flow Direction | jine wsramo |
| Analytical results displayed in ug/L exceed NYCRR Part703.5 Groundwater Standards | |
| 1 inch = 40 feet | |
| 20 40 60 80 100 Feet | |
| - | |





A - Site Inspection Forms



SITE-WIDE INSPECTION FORM FORMER CHURCHVILLE FORD VCP SITE 6/14/13 Date: Name: Eric Detweifer Company: Lu Engineers

Position of person(s) conducting maintenance/inspection activities: Environmental Censultant

Document the following information during each biannual site visit for groundwater sampling:

1. Compliance with all ECs/ICs, including site usage

- 2. An evaluation of the condition and continued effectiveness of the Site Cap and SSDS Site Cap: Asphalt generally in good condition surrounding building. Some cracking and pot holes exist on north side of building with some patchine in place (not within source area); also some minor cracking and patched asphalt on west end of bldg. In source area (this area overall in good our ditron); <u>ISDS</u>: Interior work shop floor in very good condition throughout (unchanged) and epoxy could. SDS working as intended and drawing same vacuum 3. General site conditions at the time of the inspection revels as at time of install. Site is averall in very good condition, house keeping in workshop and is very good; no solvents or chemicals of concern obsorved
- 4. The site management activities being conducted including, where appropriate, confirmation sampling and a health and safety inspection This is first round of bi-annual Groundwater sampling for 2013 and site inspection (including SSDS); No an sampling veguired due to SSDS being in place; Monitoring wells Sampled per SMP = NW-3, MW-6, NW-13, MW-JCL-02 5. Compliance with permits and schedules included in the Operation and Maintenance Plan

6. Confirm that site records are up to date

Yes

7. Conduct a visual inspection of the complete SSDS (i.e., vent fan, piping, warning device,

labeling on systems, etc.). Both SSDS fans running as normal, vacuum generated at each point is same as at time of system install: Bay 3 fan drawing 0.8"wc, Bay 5 fan drawing 0.5" WC - All system piping in excellent condition and properly labeled

- 8. Conduct an inspection of all surfaces to which vacuum is applied. Workshop concrete floor in very good condition; no apparent new Cracking or settling observed; Epoxy coated throughout floor surface.
- 9. Inspect all components for condition and proper operation. Are both fans operational? Both SSDS fans are operational & functioning at same vacuum as at time of install
- 10. Inspect the exhaust or discharge point to verify that no air intakes have been located nearby. No air intakes have been added or located near SSDS exhaust
- 11. Identify and repair any leaks in accordance with Sections 4.3.1(a) and 4.3.4(a) of the NYSDOH Guidance (i.e.; with the systems running, smoke tubes will used to check for leaks through concrete cracks, floor joints and at the suction points and any leaks will be resealed until smoke is no longer observed flowing through the opening).

No leaks detected

12. Interview an appropriate occupant seeking comments and observations regarding the operation of the System.

Shop manger indicated that SSDS vacuum has not changed and is checked frequently

Any Questions or Service needed to the SSDS call MITIGATION TECH at 1-800-637-9228

End of Inspection Form

SITE-WIDE INSPECTION FORM FORMER CHURCHVILLE FORD VCP SITE

Date: 11/21/13 Name: Eriz Detweiter Company: Lu Eugineers

Position of person(s) conducting maintenance/inspection activities: Environmental Consultant

Document the following information during each biannual site visit for groundwater sampling:

1. Compliance with all ECs/ICs, including site usage Yes, all ECs/ICs in compliance

An evaluation of the condition and continued effectiveness of the Site Cap and SSDS
 Site Caps The cap is brand new as of 10/2014. former cap was milled and
 new asphalt was placed across majority of site; no subsurface soil was
 disturbed during ve-paving process.

 SSDS: This system still functioning as new and as intended per design; continu
 to draw same vacuum at each point as when installed (0.8 wc Bay 3, 0.5 wc Bay 5)

 General site conditions at the time of the inspection
 Site is well kept, free of clutter and chemicals; Overall in good condition;
 cleaning supplies properly stored, no solvents observed.

- 4. The site management activities being conducted including, where appropriate, confirmation sampling and a health and safety inspection Site management activities include: groundwater sampling of 4 site wells. (MW-3, MW-6, MW-13, MW-TCL-02) for VOC & Fe/Mu analysis; inspection of SSDS in Workship Portion of site building; cap inspection including asphalt & building slabs
- 5. Compliance with permits and schedules included in the Operation and Maintenance Plan Yes, this is the second round of groundwater sampling for 2013 (bi-annual and site inspection
- 6. Confirm that site records are up to date

Yes

7. Conduct a visual inspection of the complete SSDS (i.e., vent fan, piping, warning device, labeling on systems, etc.).

. Both SSDS fans are currently functioning as intended and at same Vacuum levels as when installed: Bay 3 fan drawing 0.8" wc Bay 5 fan drawing 0.5" wc

· All system piping properly labeled and in excellent condition

8. Conduct an inspection of all surfaces to which vacuum is applied. Concrete floor of workshop is in very good condition and unchanged since SSDS installation (no evidence of new cracking or settling). Floor has epoxy coating throughout workshop.

- 9. Inspect all components for condition and proper operation. Are both fans operational? All working components of system are in good condition and operational. Both vacuum fans operating as designed/intended
- 10. Inspect the exhaust or discharge point to verify that no air intakes have been located nearby. No air intakes near discharge points; same as at time of system install.
- 11. Identify and repair any leaks in accordance with Sections 4.3.1(a) and 4.3.4(a) of the NYSDOH Guidance (i.e.; with the systems running, smoke tubes will used to check for leaks through concrete cracks, floor joints and at the suction points and any leaks will be resealed until smoke is no longer observed flowing through the opening).

No leaks detected within system

12. Interview an appropriate occupant seeking comments and observations regarding the operation of the System.

Facility manager has indicated that the system has been continuously operational since install.

Any Questions or Service needed to the SSDS call MITIGATION TECH at 1-800-637-9228

End of Inspection Form

SITE-WIDE INSPECTION FORM FORMER CHURCHVILLE FORD VCP SITE Date: 6/19/14

Name: Eriz Detweiler

Company: Lu Engineers

Position of person(s) conducting maintenance/inspection activities: Environmental Consultant

Document the following information during each biannual site visit for groundwater sampling:

1. Compliance with all ECs/ICs, including site usage

Yes, all ECS/ICs appear to be in compliance

2. An evaluation of the condition and continued effectiveness of the Site Cap and SSDS

· Asphalt cap in excellent condition since replacement in October 2013. No cracks or potholes exist within the limits of the report area. Building floor slab in very good condition throughout.

•SSDS 5 is functioning as designed and continues to draw a vacuum at same level as at time of installation (0.8" we in Bay 3, 0.5" we in Bay 5)

3. General site conditions at the time of the inspection The site is generally very well kept and in good condition. Items are properly stored; no unusual chemicals or chemicals of concern observed. Site much improved since change of ownership.

Site much improved since change of ownership. 4. The site management activities being conducted including, where appropriate, confirmation sampling and a health and safety inspection

Site management activities include: sampling of 4 site monitoring wells (MW-3, MW-6, MW-JCL-02, MW-13) for TCL VOCs and Fe/Mn analysis, inspection of Cap and building slabs, inspection of SSDS 5. Compliance with permits and schedules included in the Operation and Maintenance Plan

- 5. Compliance with permits and schedules included in the Operation and Maintenance Plan Yes; this is first & round of bi-annual Sampling and inspection for 2014.
- Confirm that site records are up to date
 Yes

7. Conduct a visual inspection of the complete SSDS (i.e., vent fan, piping, warning device, labeling on systems, etc.).

Both SSDS fans are functioning as normal and generating same sub-slab vacuum as upon installation (Bay 3 fan drawing 0.8" wc and Bays fan drawing 0.5" wc); all piping in excellent condition and proper labeling is intact

- 8. Conduct an inspection of all surfaces to which vacuum is applied. Concrete workshop floor is in very good condition, un changed since SSDS installation. Epoxy coating throughout.
- 9. Inspect all components for condition and proper operation. Are both fans operational? Both fans are operational and manameters are functioning as normal.
- 10. Inspect the exhaust or discharge point to verify that no air intakes have been located nearby. No air intakes near discharge points; some as at time of System install.
- 11. Identify and repair any leaks in accordance with Sections 4.3.1(a) and 4.3.4(a) of the NYSDOH Guidance (i.e.; with the systems running, smoke tubes will used to check for leaks through concrete cracks, floor joints and at the suction points and any leaks will be resealed until smoke is no longer observed flowing through the opening).
- No leaks detected within system
- 12. Interview an appropriate occupant seeking comments and observations regarding the operation of the System.

Facility manager has indicated that the system has been continuously operational since install.

Any Questions or Service needed to the SSDS call MITIGATION TECH at 1-800-637-9228

End of Inspection Form

B - Groundwater Sampling Logs





| Project 1 Location Activity | Name Wi n ID M Time | 1kins RV : W-JCL 10:00 | -02 | Field Sam | l Sample II ple Time |) <u>MW-JC</u> 12:00 | <u>1-02_06-</u> 0 | -14-13 | Job #_ <u>50185-62</u> Sampling Event # <u>3</u> Date <u>6/14/13</u> |
|--|--|---|---|---|--|----------------------------------|---|---|--|
| SAMPL | ING NOT | ES | | | | | | | / / |
| Initial D Final De Screen L Total Vc [purge volu Volume of PURGE | epth to Wa pth to Wa Length blume Pur, time (milliliter Water in casi DATA | ater $4,7$ ter $35,7$ ged 16 rs per minute) 7 ng -2^{n} diamet | $\frac{13}{31} \frac{\text{fee}}{\text{fee}}$ $\frac{31}{\text{fee}}$ $\frac{1}{3}$ | et Meas et Well et Pumj lons PID n (minutes) x lons per foot | Surement P Depth p Intake De Well Head 0.00026 gal/m of depth, 4" dia | oint <u>TO</u> 35.75 pth | PR <u>fe</u> NA JA 3 gallons per | foot of dep | Well Diameter 2 ⁴ Well Integrity: Cap <u>/</u> Casing <u>/</u> Locked <u>/</u> oth Collar <u>/</u> |
| Time | Depth to Water (ft) | Purge Rate | Temp. | pH | Dissolved | Turbidity | Cond. | ORP | |
| 10.45 | Water (It) | | $\frac{(\text{deg. C})}{1r}$ | (units) | 02 (mg/L) | (NTU) | (mS/cm) | (mV) | Comments |
| 11:23 | 35.2 | | 140 . | 7.27 | | 23.9 | 1.2 | -21 | |
| 12:00 | 35,31 | | 15.10 | 7,80 | | 19 10 | 1 nea | ~7/ | |
| SAM | PLE | | 10.00 | | | 11:0 | 1.007 | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Pt EQUIPMI Type of P Type of T Type of W ANALYTI Parameter VOCs Fe, Mn | Inge Observate Inge Wate Inge Wate Under Qual ICAL PAH Valu 2x 4 1x 2 | TVATIONS: <u>C</u> TCONTAINER TUMENTAT UMENTAT UMENTAT THOPE A ity Meter: <u>1</u> AMETER: <u>Mes</u> <u>S</u> 0 ml 50 ml | Ilen, Slog ized:y FION JA Horiba U-2 S ample Col | <u>ktuj cie</u> 2 <u>c;</u> LaMot | <u>udy at b</u> | LOC LOC LOC Tron Flu | Calibra Calibra <u>ATION No</u> rell Vol <u>Acuete con</u> <u>A frost</u> ah with | ted: <u>OTES</u> = 5 ge mpletion top of bottom | Ithin 0.4 of dry I him bloc heaved NC vises is now of protective box |
| Signature: Checked B | | (mi Di | hil | | • | so s whe | ediment/ en J-plu | surface giz ven | weler canget in noved. |

÷

-

| Project Name <u>W</u> Location ID <u>N</u> Activity Time | <u> Ikins Ru</u> 1 <u>W-3</u> 10:00 | V | Field Samp | Sample II ple Time | MW-07 11:40 | 3_ 06-14-13 | J B S . I | ob #_50185-02_ ampling Event #_3_ Date6/14/13 |
|--|--|---|--|---|---|--|------------------------|---|
| SAMPLING NOTE | <u>US</u> | | | | | | | |
| Initial Depth to Wa Final Depth to Wat Screen Length Total Volume Purg [purge volume (milliliters Volume of Water in casin PURGE DATA | tter 3.5 15.6 10 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 12 15 12 12 12 13 12 13 12 13 | 57 feet 7 feet feet gall time duration er = 0.163 gall | Meas Well Pump ons PID (minutes) x ons per foot | Surement Po Depth D Intake De Well Head 0.00026 gal/m of depth, 4" dia | oint <u>TO</u> 21.35 ppth <u>N</u> illiliter] ameter = 0.65 | R feet NA A 3 gallons per fo | V V pot of depth | Vell Diameter <u>2</u> Vell Integrity: Cap <u>Casing</u> Locked <u>Collar heaved</u> |
| Time Depth to | Purge Rate | Temp. | pH | Dissolved | Turbidity | Cond. | ORP | |
| $10^{\circ}20$ (5 | (mi/min) | | (units) | O2 (mg/L) | (NTU) | (mS/cm) | (mV) | Comments |
| 11:00 13.5 | | 14.5 | 749 | | 74.4 | 1.060 | 17 | atter 5gr |
| 11:30 20.81 | | 14.1 | 7.77 | | 0.35 | 1.080 | 48 | after NGal |
| SAMPLE | | | | | | | | and 13 gad |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | | | | | | | | |
| Purge Obser | vations. | CIPHA 11.4 | -h1 14 a | a) van 116 | a) (left my | ++(110-4 | | |
| Purge Water | r Container | rized: | ves | in revisor | CUCITON | | new rust | y then cited again |
| | | | / | | | | | |
| EQUIPMENT DOC | <u>UMENTA</u> | <u>TION</u> | | | | | | |
| Type of Pump: N | JA - hai | lea | | | | | | |
| Type of Tubing: | | NA | | | | | | |
| Type of Water Qual | lity Meter: | Horiba U-2 | 22; LaMo | tte 2020 | | Calibrat | ed: | |
| | | _ | | | | | | |
| ANALYTICAL PAR | <u>RAMETER</u> | <u>(S</u> Semmle Cel | Ilantad | | | CATION NO | DTES | (\cdot , \cdot) |
| $\frac{1}{2}$ VOCs $\frac{1}{2}$ x 4 | $\frac{11105}{0}$ ml | <u>Sample Col</u> | llected | | | well vol | = 2.9 | (9 gul=3) |
| Fe. Mn 1x2 | 50 ml | | · · · · | | XA | fer Dung | ina 14 | cal water |
| | | | 1. 1 | _ | hea | vily rust | colored | = turbid/well beiled |
| •····································· | | | | _ | neo | uly dry) | continu | ie purging to |
| | | 10 | | | wi | Thin 6 of | dry y | ucter cleans after |
| Signature: | mi Di | tail | | | ev | acyating | 15 gal | total heared |
| Checked Bv: | | , -(| | _ | 120 | MOUTE LO | mphoto | VI TICLIVEC |
| | | | | _ | | | | |

Lu Engineers

| 5×., | 1 | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|---|---|---|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|---|
| Grour | ndwater Field | Sampling I Record | 9 | | Ŀ | | | | S oryn |
| Project Locatio Activity SAMPL | Name n ID y Time JNG NOT | Wilkins 1W+06 3:20 ES | <u>N</u> | Field Sam | d Sample I ple Time _ | D <u>Mw-a</u> _ <i>13:50</i> | <u>6_06-14-</u> | <u>13</u> | Job # <u>50185-02</u> Sampling Event # <u>3</u> Date <u>6/14/13</u> |
| Initial D Final Do Screen I Total Vo [purge volu Volume of PURGE | Depth to Wa epth to Wa Length olume Pur ume (millilite Water in casi DATA | fater $4/7$ ter 728 10 ged 75 rs per minute) , ng - 2" diamet | $\frac{5}{2} \qquad \frac{6}{14} \qquad \frac{6}{2}$ | et Mea <u>et</u> Well <u>et</u> Pum <u>llons</u> PID n (minutes) x lons per foot | surement P Depth p Intake Do Well Head 0.00026 gal/m of depth, 4" dj | oint <u>TC</u> pth pilliliter] ameter = 0.6: | DR fee 53 gallons per f | <u>≥t</u> − foot of dept | Well Diameter 2^{h} Well Integrity: Cap <u> </u> |
| | Depth to | Purge Rate | Temp. | рН | Dissolved | Turbidity | Cond | OPP | |
| 11mc | Water (ft) | (ml/min) | (deg. C) | (units) | O2 (mg/L) | (NTU) | (mS/cm) | (mV) | Comments |
| 12:25 | | | 17.5 | 7.62 | <u> </u> | 14,5 | 1.13 | 23 | |
| 13:50 | 17 87 | | 17.0 | 7.61 | <u> </u> | 7.42 | 1.17 | 86 | |
| 500 | IT VOL | | 16.6 | 7.68 | | 6.4 | 1.36 | 115 | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Pi | urge Obsei | vations: | | | | | | | |
| r | urge wate | Container | zed: | yes | | | | | |
| <u>QUIPM</u> | ENT DOC | UMENTAT | TION | • | | | | | |
| ype of P ype of T ype of V | Pump: b Yubing:_ <u>-4</u> Vater Qual | aler "HDPE ity Meter: <u>I</u> | NA Ioriba U-2 | 2; LaMot | te 2020 | | Calibrat | ed: | |
| NALYT arameter OCs | ICAL PAR Volu 2 x 4 | AMETERS mes S 0 ml 50mL | <u>ample Col</u> | lected | | <u>LOC</u> // <i>B</i> 4 | ATION NO | 0TES 2.59 in 1/2 1 | 4. draj |
| | | 6.7 | <u> </u> | · O | - | | | | |



| Project Name Location ID Activity Time | Wilkins R MW-12 12:00 | <u>V SMP</u> | Field Samj | Sample II ple Time | D <u>MW-12</u> 13:15 | 3_06-14-12 | Ja 2 S _ D | ob # <u>50185-02</u> ampling Event # <u>03</u> Date <u>6/14/13</u> |
|--|--|---|------------------------------------|---|--|------------------------------------|-----------------------------|--|
| SAMPLING N Initial Depth to Final Depth to Screen Length Total Volume [purge volume (mill Volume of Water in PURGE DATA | Water Water Water Uo Purged iliters per minute) casing - 2" diamet | D feet j feet gall x time duration ter = 0.163 gall | t Meas Well Pump lons PID | Surement P Depth p Intake De Well Head 0.00026 gal/m of depth, 4" di | oint <u>TC</u> 16.81 epth <u></u> illiliter] ameter = 0.65 | DR fee 53 gallons per f | W | Vell Diameter 2" Vell Integrity: Cap Casing Locked Collar |
| Time Depth 12:10 6.4 \$3:25 54pap/c | to Purge Rate (ft) (ml/min) | Temp. (dcg. C) 17, 7 /9, 2 | pH (units) 7,30 7,80 | Dissolved O2 (mg/L) | Turbidity (NTU) 7.17 28.9 | Cond. (mS/cm) 0.683 0.679 | ORP (mV) - 100 -86 | |
| Purge C Purge W EOUIPMENT I Type of Pump: Type of Tubing Type of Water of | bservations: /ater Containe DOCUMENTA bailer : <u>42" HDPE</u> Quality Meter: | rized: TION Horiba U-: | <u>үе</u> ς 22; LaMo | tte 2020 | | Calibra | ted: | |
| ANALYTICAL Parameter VOCs Fe, Mn Signature: Checked Pur | PARAMETER Volumes Lx 40 ml x Z.SOnd | <u>Sample Co</u> | <u>11ected</u> | | | CATION NO | OTES 2.5 gal | |



The local

| Project NameWilkins RV - SMP SamplingJob # 50185-02Location ID $M \sqrt{-13}$ Field Sample ID $M \sqrt{-13}$ Sampling Event # 0Activity Time $1121-13$ Sample TimeDate | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| SAMPLING NOTES 4.89 Initial Depth to Water 51 feet Measurement Point TOR Well Diameter 2 ^h | | | | |
| Final Depth to Water 0.65 feet Well Depth 16.81 feet Well Integrity: | | | | |
| Total Volume Purged Cap Cap | | | | |
| [purge volume (milliliters per minute) x time duration (minutes) x 0.00026 gal/milliliter] Locked | | | | |
| Volume of Water in casing $-2^{"}$ diameter = 0.163 gallons per foot of depth, 4" diameter = 0.653 gallons per foot of depth Collar | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Depth to TimePurge Rate (ml/min)Temp. (deg. C)pH (units)Dissolved O2 (mg/L)Turbidity (NTU)Cond. (mS/cm)ORP (mV)Comments | | | | |
| 11:45 9.9 7.40 3100 0.004 -31.2 | | | | |
| 12:00 12.9 7.21 127 0.329 88.5 | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Purge Observations: Slight Dday, low turbidity | | | | |
| Purge Water Containerized: <u>yes</u> | | | | |
| EQUIPMENT DOCUMENTATION | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Type of Fump: <u>NA – sample by baller</u> | | | | |
| Type of Water Quality Meter: <u>YSI Pro, LaMotte 2020</u> Calibrated: | | | | |

ANALYTICAL PARAMETERS

| Parameter | Volumes | Sample Collected | | |
|-----------|------------|------------------|--|--|
| VOCs | 2 x 40 ml | | | |
| Fe, Mn | 1 x 250 ml | | | |
| 6 | | | | |
| | | | | |

| Signature | »: |
|-----------|----|
| Checked | By |

| : | fin Deful | |
|-----|-------------------|--|
| By: | • • • • • • • • • | |

| 1 WEI 101 - 114 |
|------------------------------------|
| & New flushmount box was set too |
| low Lunky paving so j-plug won't |
| fit on and allow cover to bolt on. |
| will cut a re-survey PUC riser |
| |


feet

Well Integrity:

Cap ____

Casing

Locked Collar ____

Groundwater Sampling Field Record

| Project Name <u>Wilkins R</u> Location ID <u>Mu</u> Activity Time <u>10;30</u> | <u>V – SMP Sampl</u> - <u>3</u> | Field Sample ID MW-03_11-21-13 Sample Time110 | Job # <u>50185-02</u> Sampling Event #04 Date <u>11/21/13</u> |
|--|------------------------------------|--|---|
| SAMPLING NOTES | | | |
| Initial Denth to Water | 4.65 feet | Measurement Point TOR | Well Diameter <u>2</u> " |

| 4.65 | <u>feet</u> | Measurement P | oint _ |
|-------|-------------|---|--|
| 18.5 | feet | Well Depth | 2 |
| 10 | feet | Pump Intake De | epth _ |
| Alect | 8 gallons | PID Well Head | |
| | <u> </u> | $\begin{array}{c c} 4 & 65 & \text{feet} \\ \hline 8 & 5 & \text{feet} \\ \hline 10 & \text{feet} \\ \hline \hline 8 & 60 & \text{gallons} \end{array}$ | <u>4.65 feet</u> Measurement P <u>18,5 feet</u> Well Depth <u>10 feet</u> Pump Intake De <u>Actor</u> 8 gallons PID Well Head |

[purge volume (milliliters per minute) x time duration (minutes) x 0.00026 gal/milliliter]

Volume of Water in casing - 2" diameter = 0.163 gallons per foot of depth, 4" diameter = 0.653 gallons per foot of depth **PURGE DATA**

| Time | Depth to Water (ft) | Purge Rate (ml/min) | Temp. (deg. C) | pH (units) | Dissolved O2 (mg/L) | Turbidity (NTU) | Cond. (mS/cm) | ORP (mV) | Comments | |
|---------|-------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------|---------------|------------------------|--------------------|------------------|-------------|--------------|--|
| 10.25 | | | 14.8 | 7.24 | | 158 | | 1015- | 2.3 | |
| 10.56 | | | 13.5 | 7.45 | | 73.2 | 0,109 | 87.6 | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| A.0 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| *** ** | | | | | | | | | | |
| • | | | | | | | | | | |
| . * * . | | | | | | | | | | |
| , | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
|] | Purge Obse | ervations: | Witter & | turbidi | ty increa | ised dur | ing punge | no shee | n, no purple | |
|] | Purge Wat | er Containe | rized: <u>ye</u> | s-drun | nmed | ~ | | | 22 | |
| | | | TION | | | | | | | |
| OUIP | <u>QUIPMENT DOCUMENTATION</u> | | | | | | | | | |

Well Depth _____ 21.35

Pump Intake Depth

Type of Pump: <u>NA – sample by bailer</u> Type of Tubing: ________ Type of Water Quality Meter: <u>YSI Pro, LaMotte 2020</u>

ANALYTICAL PARAMETERS

| Parameter | <u>Volumes</u> | Sample Collected |
|-----------|------------------|------------------|
| VOCs | <u>2 x 40 ml</u> | YRS |
| Fe, Mn | 1 x 250 ml | Yes |

| Signature: | Findefin |
|-------------|----------|
| Checked By: | |

| 2. | 1 99 | = | we | II VO | <u> </u> |
|-------|--------|----|------|------------|----------|
| | | | | . <u> </u> | |
| ailed | within | 2' | ofdr | <u> </u> | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

Calibrated:



Groundwater Sampling Field Record

| Project Name Wil | Jo | ob # <u>50185-02</u> | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|-------------------------|----------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------|-------------|-----------------------|--|--|
| Location ID <u>MW-06</u> Field Sample ID <u>MW-06_11-21-13</u> | | | | | | | | ampling Event #24 | | |
| Activity Time | 12:45 | | Samp | le Time | 13:25 | | . D | ate $11/21/13$ | | |
| SAMPLING NOTES | | | | | | | | | | |
| Initial Depth to Wa | ater 4 | 8 feet | Meas | urement P | oint TO | R | W | Vell Diameter $2^{"}$ | | |
| Final Depth to Wat | ter <u> 6</u> | 80 feet | Well | Depth | 20,10 | feet | W | /ell Integrity: | | |
| Screen Length IO Feet Pump Intake Depth Cap | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total Volume Purg | Total Volume Purged 7.8 gallons PID Well Head Casing | | | | | | | | | |
| [purge volume (milliliter | s per minute) | time duration | (minutes) x (|).00026 gal/m .f.danth _A" di | illiliter] amatan = 0.65 | 2 collons mor fr | at af danth | Locked | | |
| PURGE DATA | ng – 2° diamet | er = 0.105 game | ons per 1001 d | n depin, 4 di | ameter $= 0.05$ | 5 ganons per n | ot of deput | | | |
| <u>r unus prim</u> | | | | | | | | | | |
| Depth to | Purge Rate | Temp. | pH | Dissolved | Turbidity | Cond. | ORP | Commonte | | |
| Time Water (ft) | (ml/min) | | (units) | 02 (mg/L) | (NTU) 112 O | (mS/cm) | (mv) | Comments | | |
| 1:00 | | $\frac{11.0}{\sqrt{2}}$ | 1.5C | | 101 118 | 0.00 | 112 5 | | | |
| 1.22 | - | | 1.40 | | 22.0 | N ALE | 1088 | | | |
| 1.2 | | 10.1 | 1.52 | | 20.8 | -0.005 | 100,0 | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | <u></u> | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| Purge Obse | rvations: | purge mate | r rose.11 | rust color | after rei | noving 1 | Lgal, c | leaved duning purge | | |
| Purge Wate | er Containe | rized: <u> </u> | es-din | M | | 0 | 0 , | ` | | |
| FOUDMENT DO | | TION | | | | | | | | |
| EQUIPMENT DO | | TION | | | | | | | | |
| Type of Pump: NA | – sample | by bailer | | | | | | | | |
| Type of Tubing: | NA | | | | | | | | | |
| Type of Water Qua | ality Meter | : <u>YSI Pro, I</u> | _aMotte 2 | 020 | | Calibra | ted: | | | |
| | | | | | | | 0 | | | |
| ANALYTICAL PA | RAMETE | <u>RS</u> Somela Co | Ilected | | | CATION N | <u>OTES</u> | 0 | | |
| <u>Parameter</u> <u>vol</u> | $\frac{\text{umes}}{40 \text{ ml}}$ | Sample Co | ollected | | 1.0 | vervor | 6100 | jack | | |
| $\frac{v_{OCS}}{Fe Mn} = \frac{2x}{1x}$ | $\frac{40 \text{ m}}{250 \text{ m}}$ | V | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | · | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 6-N | $\int \int$ | J | | | | | | | |
| Signature: | nu) | erre | | | | 1 | | | | |
| UTELNET DV. | | | | | | | | | | |



| Project Name <u>Wilkins RV – SMP S</u> Location ID <u>Mw-JCL-02</u> Activity Time <u>10:30</u> | ampling Field Sample ID Sample Time | MW-JCL-02_ 11:30 | .11-21-13 | Job # <u>50185-02</u> Sampling Event # <u>6</u> <u>4</u> Date <u>11 / 21 / 13</u> |
|--|---|--|--|---|
| SAMPLING NOTES | | | | . , |
| Initial Depth to Water <u>6.40</u> Final Depth to Water <u>35'</u> Screen Length <u>70</u> Total Volume Purged <u>74</u> [purge volume (milliliters per minute) x time dur Volume of Water in casing – 2" diameter = 0.163 <u>PURGE DATA</u> | feetMeasurement PofeetWell DepthfeetPump Intake DeggallonsPID Well Headation (minutes) x 0.00026 gal/mitgallons per foot of depth, 4" dia | Dint <u>TOR</u> 35.75 pth lliliter] meter = 0.653 gallon | feet s per foot of depth | Well Diameter Z ⁴ Well Integrity: Cap Casing Locked collar |
| Time Depth to Purge Rate Temp (ml/min) (deg | p. pH Dissolved | Turbidity Con | d. ORP | |
| 10:30 - 14,5 | 7.67 | 21.7 0.045 | $\frac{m}{2}$ $\frac{mv}{2}$ | Comments |
| 11:30 12. | 1 7.53 | 117 6 | $\frac{2}{11}$ $\frac{2}{100}$ $\frac{2}{2}$ | <u> </u> |
| 11:30 11.6 | 7.50 | 199 0.2 | <u>14</u> 207 | |
| | | | V 20.1 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Purge Observations: | bidity increased du | ring purge | | |
| Purge Water Containerized: | Yes"-chummed | | | |
| EQUIPMENT DOCUMENTATION | | | | |
| Type of Pump: <u>NA – sample by baile</u> Type of Tubing: | r | | | |
| Type of Water Quality Meter: YSI Pre- | o, LaMotte 2020 | Cal | ibrated: | |
| | | | | |
| ANALYTICAL PARAMETERS | Callested | LOCATIO | <u>N NOTES</u> | |
| VOCs 2 x 40 ml | Collected | $\frac{4}{2} \frac{8}{3} \frac{3}{3} \frac{3}{2} =$ | well vol. | |
| $\frac{1}{\text{Fe}, \text{Mn}} = \frac{1 \times 250 \text{ ml}}{1 \times 250 \text{ ml}}$ | | affer e | ackating | 2 well volumes |
| | | | | |
| | | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | |
| | 2 | | ······ | |
| Signature: <u>Encloyed</u> Checked By: | | | | |

| | Grou | ndwater Field | Samplin d Record | g | | RA | LUE Envirónmen | | | VIL | |
|-------------|---|--|---|--|---|---|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|---|
| | Project Locatio Activit | t Name on ID y Time | Wilkins MW-3 12:20 | RV | Field Sam | d Sample I ple Time _ | D MW-63 | 3 <u>-06-19-</u> 5 | J 1 <u>4</u> S I | ob # 50185-02 Sampling Event # 0 Date 6/19/14 | 5 |
| | SAMP Initial I Final D Screen Total V (purge vo Volume o PURGE | LING NOT Depth to Wa Depth to Wa Length Volume Pur lume (millilite f Water in case C DATA | ES vater 3.7 ater 12. 10 rged 9 rs per minute) ing - 2" diame | <u>fee</u> <u>fee</u> <u>gal</u> x time duratior ter = 0.163 gal | <u>t</u> Mea <u>t</u> Well <u>t</u> Pum lons PID (minutes) x lons per foot | surement P l Depth p Intake De Well Head 0.00026 gal/m of depth, 4" di | $\frac{21.35}{\text{epth}}$ | PR fea 3 gallons per f | V <u>et</u> V foot of depth | Vell Diameter _2 Vell Integrity: Cap Casing Locked Collar | |
| | Time | Depth to Water (ft) | Purge Rate (ml/min) | Temp. | pH (units) | Dissolved | Turbidity | Cond. | ORP | | ٦ |
| | | 5,5 | (moniny) | 16.4 | (units) | 02 (mg/L) | (NTU) | (mS/cm) | (mV) | Comments | |
| | | A .8 | | 13.4 | 7.60 | 1.56 | 44.0 | 1.03 | -212 | 70 | - |
| | | 11.6 | | 13.1 | 7.62 | 1.45 | 32.3 | 1.03 | -15.7 | Sqax | - |
| | | 13,8 | | 12.8 | 7.66 | 1.67 | 12.45 | 1.04 | -17.6 | logar | + |
| | | | | | | | | | 110 | origen | + |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | 1 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | 1 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | 1 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | 1 |
| l | P | urge Obser | vations: | douder + | hyoust in | rd | - Ne - | | | |] |
| | Р | urge Water | r Container | ized: | VIPS | na-punge | 2 Then c | Lean, NO | odor, NO. | sheen | |
| Ē | QUIPM | IENT DOC | UMENTA | FION | 402 | | | _ | | | |
| T T T | ype of I ype of 7 ype of V | Pump: Tubing: Water Qual | ity Meter: | VSI Pro, L | a Motte 20: | <u>-</u> 20 | Calib | prated: | | | |
| | NALYT irameter X×TCL Fe, Mu | TCAL PAR Volu V6C ₅ 44 | $\frac{AMETERS}{mes} = S$ $\frac{Dml(2)}{50ml}$ | <u>s</u> ample Coll yes yes | <u>ected</u> | - | LOC. 1 w | ATION NO e)\ Uol = Z -Y clean | <u>TES</u> 2.9 gal sample | | 2 |
| Sig Ch | gnature: ecked I | By: | in Det | i O | | | | | | | |

| Grour | ndwater Field | Sampline I Record | g | | Ŀ | | | | S |
|---|--|--|--|---|---|---|---|------------------------|--|
| Project Locatio Activit | Name <u> </u> | <u>JILKINS Í</u> AW-JCL 10:45 | 2v -02 | Field Sam | 1 Sample I) ple Time _ | D MW-JCL | -02_06-1 5 | <u>9-</u> 14 | Job # <u>50185-02</u> Sampling Event # <u>05</u> Date <u>6/19/14</u> |
| SAMPI Initial I Final D Screen Total V [purge vol Volume of PURGE | <u>JNG NOT</u> Depth to Wa lepth to Wa Length 'olume Pur lume (millilite f Water in cas <u>DATA</u> | ES ater 5.0 ater 35.3 70 ged $11.$ rs per minute) ing - 2" diame | 3 fee 58 fee 5 gal x time duration ter = 0.163 gal | <u>t</u> Meas <u>t</u> Well <u>t</u> Pump llons PID a (minutes) x llons per foot | surement P Depth p Intake Do Well Head 0.00026 gal/m of depth, 4" di | $\frac{\text{oint } TO}{35.75}$ $epth $ $\frac{N}{1000}$ $\frac{N}{1000}$ $\frac{N}{1000}$ $\frac{N}{1000}$ $\frac{N}{1000}$ $\frac{N}{1000}$ | 1 <u>R</u> <u>fe</u> NA 4 3 gallons per | foot of depth | Well Diameter 2" Well Integrity: Cap Casing Locked Collar |
| Time | Depth to Water (ft) | Purge Rate (ml/min) | Temp. (deg. C) | pH (units) | Dissolved O2 (mg/L) | Turbidity | Cond. | ORP | |
| 11:05 | 6.5 | | 16.2 | 8.14 | 3.05 | 1,87 | (ms/cm) | - 101.5 | Comments |
| L | 21.75 | | 13.4 | 7.85 | 2.02 | 9.63 | 1.21 | -88.6 | 5000 |
| 4 | 33.60 | | 13,5 | 7.87 | 2,00 | 37.0 | 1.23 | -91,6 | 10 rul |
| | 35.00 | | 13.4 | 7.88 | 2.02 | 79.4 | 1.21 | - 52.0 | 11 gul |
| F | Purge Obse | ervations: | light furl | pidity (chi | udy) after | removing | 10 ocl · M | | |
| P EOUIPN | 'urge Wate | r Container | rized: <u>ye</u> | 25 | | | - ر- د - | | shach |
| Type of Type of Type of | Pump: Tubing: Water Qua | Bailer lity Meter: | VST Prop | lus, La Mot | Te 2020 | Cali | brated: | | |
| ANALYT Paramete 2×TCL Fe,M | NOC5 | <u>RAMETER</u> <u>imes 5</u> <u>40 n1</u> 250 ml | <u>S</u> Sample Col | <u> lected</u> | - | <u>LOC</u> | ell vo | <u>OTES</u> = 5.0 g | al |
| Signature Checked | 9: By: | i De; | tel | | | | | | |

-

ř.

Groundwater Sampling Field Record

| Project Name | Wilkins RV |
|---------------|------------|
| Location ID | MW-06 |
| Activity Time | 1:50 |

Field Sample ID <u>MW-06_06-19-14</u> Sample Time <u>2:30</u>

-

Lu Engineers

Job # 50×-02 Sampling Event # 0×5 Date 6/19/14

Well Diameter Z

Cap_

Casing_

Locked

Collar

Well Integrity:

SAMPLING NOTES

Initial Depth to Water3.37feetFinal Depth to Water16.35feetScreen Length10feetTotal Volume Purged8.3gallo

 feet
 Measurement Point
 TOR

 feet
 Well Depth
 20.1
 feet

 feet
 Pump Intake Depth
 NA

 gallons
 PID Well Head
 NA



Volume of Water in casing -2" diameter = 0.163 gallons per foot of depth, 4" diameter = 0.653 gallons per foot of depth **PURGE DATA**

| | | Depth to | Purge Rate | Temp. | рН | Dissolved | Turbidity | Cond. | ORP | |
|------|--------------|-------------|---------------------------------------|------------------------|-----------|-----------|------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------|
| ╎╟╌ | ſime | Water (ft) | (ml/min) | (deg. C) | (units) | O2 (mg/L) | (NTU) | (mS/cm) | (mV) | Comments |
| | | 7.5 | | 18.3 | 7.76 | 2.47 | 1.85 | 0.95 | -8.8 | |
| 1 | | <u>9.15</u> | | 16.4 | 7.82 | 1.34 | 9.21 | 0,99 | 31.8 | 3 gal |
| | | 11.82 | | 15.7 | 7.75 | 1.74 | 11.40 | 1.13 | 52.9 | 5-5 gal |
| | | 17.65 | | 15.2 | 7.8 | 1.65 | 18.3 | 1.26 | 70.2 | 8.2 gal |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| - | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| - | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | 01 | | | | | | | | |
| | P D | urge Obse | rvations: | very a | lear, no | oder, no | sheen | 2 | | |
| | 1 | uige wate | r Container | 1zed: | yes | | | | | |
| EQU | U IPM | ENT DOC | UMENTA | ΓΙΟΝ | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| Тур | e of F | ump: | barler | | | | | | | |
| Тур | e of] | Tubing: | <u> </u> | | | <u>.</u> | | | | |
| Тур | e of V | Vater Qua | lity Meter: | YSI Pro P | his LaMa | #e2020 | Cali | brated: | | |
| | TVT | | | 0 | | | | | | |
| Para | meter | r Volu | MANE I EK | <u>S</u> Sample Col | lastad | | LOC | ATION NO | DTES | 0 |
| 2x | TCL | VOCs 4 | $O_{m0}(7)$ | Mec | lected | | <u>I</u> w | <u>en voi =</u> | 2-1g | el |
| Fe | Mu | Ix | 250ml | - 402 | | 1 | - pu | yec > vi | 20 | |
| - |) | | | | | | | | | |
| A.c. | | | | | | _ | <u> </u> | | | <u> </u> |
| | | | | | | - | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sign | Signature: | | | | | | | | | |
| Chec | ked l | Зу: | | | (<u></u> | | | | · <u>····</u> · | |
| _ | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | | 12 | | |

Groundwater Sampling **Field Record**

| Project Name _ | Wilkins RV |
|----------------|------------|
| Location ID | MW-13 |
| Activity Time | 1200 |

Field Sample ID <u>MW-13_06-19-14</u> Sample Time 1:30

SAMPLING NOTES

Initial Depth to Water _______ feet Final Depth to Water 2.17 feet Screen Length _____ feet

Measurement Point <u>TOR</u> Well Depth (16.81) Now 16.61 feet Pump Intake Depth NA NA

[purge volume (milliliters per minute) x time duration (minutes) x 0.00026 gal/milliliter]

Volume of Water in casing -2" diameter = 0.163 gallons per foot of depth, 4" diameter = 0.653 gallons per foot of depth **PURGE DATA**

Depth to Purge Rate Temp. pH Dissolved Turbidity Cond. ORP Time Water (ft) (ml/min) (deg. C) (units) O2 (mg/L) (NTU) (mS/cm) (mV) Comments 3.7 20.2 7.82 5.67 2,90 0.131 102.8 4.3 16.5 7.18 1.00 14.03 0.83 -93.1 Z.S.gal 2.8 18.Z 7.20 0,86 13,89 0.82 5 gal -95.5 3.3 18.4 7.20 0.67 14.14 0.83 -90 7.5 gal Purge Observations: very clear, no odor, no sheen Purge Water Containerized: ves **EQUIPMENT DOCUMENTATION** bailer Type of Pump:____ Type of Tubing:_____ Type of Water Quality Meter: VSI Proplus LaMote 2020 Calibrated: _____ ANALYTICAL PARAMETERS LOCATION NOTES Parameter Volumes * check new PVC viser elevation (for DTW) Sample Collected ZXTCLVOGS 40ml (2) I well vol= 2.4gal Yes Fe Mn 1x250ml Fast recharge yes Signature: _____ Checked By:



| Well | Diameter | 24 |
|------|------------|--------|
| Well | Integrity: | 1 |
| | Cap | V |
| | Casing_ | ~ |
| | Locked | \sim |
| h | Collar | V |

Job # 50185-07

Date 6/19

Sampling Event # 0 5

C - Analytical Data





Analytical Report For

Lu Engineers, Inc.

For Lab Project ID

132206

Referencing

Wilkins RV - SMP Semi-Annual GW Sampling

Prepared Monday, July 08, 2013

Any noncompliant QC parameters or other notes impacting data interpretation are flagged or documented on the final report or are noted below.

Certifies that this report has been approved by the Technical Director or Designee

This report is part of a multipage document and should only be evaluated in its entirety. The Chain of Custody provides additional sample information, including compliance with the sample condition requirements upon receipt.

179 Lake Avenue • Rochester, NY 14608 • (585) 647-2530 • Fax (585) 647-3311 • ELAP ID# 10958



| Client: | <u>Lu En</u> | <u>gineers, Inc.</u> | | | | | | |
|--------------------|--|-----------------------|--------|--------------|------------|---------------|--|--|
| Project Reference: | Wilkins RV - SMP Semi-Annual GW Sampling | | | | | | | |
| Sample Identifier: | MW | /-03_06-14-13 | | | | | | |
| Lab Sample ID: | 132 | 206-01 | | Date Samp | oled: 6/14 | 4/2013 | | |
| Matrix: | Water Date Received | | | | | | | |
| Metals | | | | | | | | |
| Analyte | | | Result | <u>Units</u> | Qualifier | Date Analyzed | | |
| Iron | | | 0.229 | mg/L | | 6/25/2013 | | |
| Manganese | | | 1.25 | mg/L | | 6/25/2013 | | |
| Method Referen | ice(s): | EPA 6010C EPA 3005 | | | | | | |
| Data File: | | 062513b | | | | | | |



| Client: | <u>Lu Engineers, Inc.</u> | | | | |
|------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|------------------|--------|---------------|
| Project Referenc | e: Wilkins RV - SMP Semi-Annu | ual GW Sampling | | | |
| Sample Identifi | ier: MW-03_06-14-13 | | | | |
| Lab Sample ID: | 132206-01 | | Date Sampled: | 6/1- | 4/2013 |
| Matrix: | Water | | Date Received: | 6/1- | 4/2013 |
| Volatile Org | anics | | | | |
| Analyte | | Result | <u>Units</u> Qua | lifier | Date Analyzed |
| 1,1,1-T | richloroethane | < 400 | ug/L | | 6/19/2013 |
| 1,1,2,2 | -Tetrachloroethane | < 400 | ug/L | | 6/19/2013 |
| 1,1,2-1 | Trichloroethane | < 400 | ug/L | | 6/19/2013 |
| 1,1-Dic | chloroethane | < 400 | ug/L | | 6/19/2013 |
| 1,1-Dic | chloroethene | < 400 | ug/L | | 6/19/2013 |
| 1,2,3-1 | Frichlorobenzene | < 1000 | ug/L | | 6/19/2013 |
| 1,2,4-1 | Frichlorobenzene | < 1000 | ug/L | | 6/19/2013 |
| 1,2-Dil | bromo-3-Chloropropane | < 2000 | ug/L | | 6/19/2013 |
| 1,2-Dil | bromoethane | < 400 | ug/L | | 6/19/2013 |
| 1,2-Dio | chlorobenzene | < 400 | ug/L | | 6/19/2013 |
| 1,2-Dio | chloroethane | < 400 | ug/L | | 6/19/2013 |
| 1,2-Die | chloropropane | < 400 | ug/L | | 6/19/2013 |
| 1,3-Die | chlorobenzene | < 400 | ug/L | | 6/19/2013 |
| 1,4-Die | chlorobenzene | < 400 | ug/L | | 6/19/2013 |
| 1,4-dic | oxane | < 4000 | ug/L | | 6/19/2013 |
| 2-Buta | inone | < 2000 | ug/L | | 6/19/2013 |
| 2-Hexa | anone | < 1000 | ug/L | | 6/19/2013 |
| 4-Metl | hyl-2-pentanone | < 1000 | ug/L | | 6/19/2013 |
| Acetor | ne | 2270 | ug/L | В | 6/19/2013 |
| Benzei | ne | < 140 | ug/L | | 6/19/2013 |
| Bromo | ochloromethane | < 1000 | ug/L | | 6/19/2013 |
| Bromo | odichloromethane | < 400 | ug/L | | 6/19/2013 |
| Bromo | oform | < 1000 | ug/L | | 6/19/2013 |
| Bromo | omethane | < 400 | ug/L | | 6/19/2013 |
| Carbo | n disulfide | < 400 | ug/L | | 6/19/2013 |
| Carboi | n Tetrachloride | < 400 | ug/L | | 6/19/2013 |
| Chloro | bbenzene | < 400 | ug/L | | 6/19/2013 |



| Client: Project Reference: | <u>Lu Eng</u> Wilkins | i <mark>neers, Inc.</mark> RV - SMP Semi-Ar | unual GW Sampling | | |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------|--|-------------------|----------------|-----------|
| Sample Identifier: | MW- | 03_06-14-13 | | | |
| Lab Sample ID: | 1322 | 06-01 | | Date Sampled: | 6/14/2013 |
| Matrix: | Wate | r | | Date Received: | 6/14/2013 |
| Chloroethan | e | | < 400 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 |
| Chloroform | | | < 400 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 |
| Chlorometha | ane | | < 400 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 |
| cis-1,2-Dichl | oroethene | | 4030 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 |
| cis-1,3-Dichl | oropropene | | < 400 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 |
| Cyclohexane | 1 | | < 2000 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 |
| Dibromochlo | oromethane | | < 400 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 |
| Dichlorodiflu | uoromethan | e | < 400 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 |
| Ethylbenzen | e | | < 400 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 |
| Freon 113 | | | < 400 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 |
| Isopropylber | nzene | | < 400 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 |
| m,p-Xylene | | | < 400 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 |
| Methyl aceta | ate | | < 400 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 |
| Methyl tert-l | butyl Ether | | < 400 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 |
| Methylcyclo | hexane | | < 400 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 |
| Methylene cl | hloride | | < 1000 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 |
| o-Xylene | | | < 400 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 |
| Styrene | | | < 1000 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 |
| Tetrachloroe | ethene | | 3480 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 |
| Toluene | | | < 400 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 |
| trans-1,2-Di | chloroethen | e | < 400 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 |
| trans-1,3-Di | chloroprope | ene | < 400 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 |
| Trichloroeth | iene | | 5300 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 |
| Trichloroflu | oromethane | | < 400 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 |
| Vinyl chlorid | le | | < 400 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 |
| Method Refere | ence(s): | EPA 8260B EPA 5030 | | | |
| Data File: | | X06142.D | | | |



Data File:

062513b

| Client: | <u>Lu Eng</u> | ineers, Inc. | | · | | | |
|--------------------|--|-----------------|--------|--------------|------|--------|---------------|
| Project Reference: | Wilkins RV - SMP Semi-Annual GW Sampling | | | | | | |
| Sample Identifier: | MW- | JCL-02_06-14-13 | | | | | |
| Lab Sample ID: | 1322 | 06-02 | | Date Samp | ed: | 6/14 | 4/2013 |
| Matrix: | Wate | er | | Date Receiv | ved: | 6/14 | 4/2013 |
| <u>Metals</u> | | | | | | | |
| <u>Analyte</u> | | | Result | <u>Units</u> | Qua | lifier | Date Analyzed |
| Iron | | | 6.14 | mg/L | | | 6/25/2013 |
| Manganese | | | 1.58 | mg/L | | | 6/25/2013 |
| Method Referen | ice(s): | EPA 6010C | | | | | |
| | | EPA 3005 | | | | | |

Lab Project ID: 132206



| Client: | <u>Lu Engineers. Inc.</u> | | | |
|--------------------|-----------------------------|---------------|------------------|----------------------|
| Project Reference: | Wilkins RV - SMP Semi-Annua | l GW Sampling | 5 | |
| Sample Identifier: | MW-JCL-02_06-14-13 | | | |
| Lab Sample ID: | 132206-02 | | Date Sampled: | 6/14/2013 |
| Matrix: | Water | | Date Received: | 6/14/2013 |
| Volatile Organic | S | | | |
| Analyte | | <u>Result</u> | <u>Units</u> Qua | lifier Date Analyzed |
| 1,1,1-Trichlo | proethane | < 50.0 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 |
| 1,1,2,2-Tetra | achloroethane | < 50.0 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 |
| 1,1,2-Trichle | proethane | < 50.0 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 |
| 1,1-Dichloro | oethane | < 50.0 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 |
| 1,1-Dichloro | oethene | < 50.0 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 |
| 1,2,3-Trichle | orobenzene | < 125 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 |
| 1,2,4-Trichle | orobenzene | < 125 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 |
| 1,2-Dibromo | o-3-Chloropropane | < 250 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 |
| 1,2-Dibrom | oethane | < 50.0 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 |
| 1,2-Dichloro | obenzene | < 50.0 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 |
| 1,2-Dichloro | bethane | < 50.0 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 |
| 1,2-Dichloro | opropane | < 50.0 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 |
| 1,3-Dichloro | obenzene | < 50.0 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 |
| 1,4-Dichloro | obenzene | < 50.0 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 |
| 1,4-dioxane | | < 500 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 |
| 2-Butanone | | < 250 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 |
| 2-Hexanone | | < 125 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 |
| 4-Methyl-2- | pentanone | < 125 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 |
| Acetone | | 314 | ug/L | B 6/19/2013 |
| Benzene | | < 17.5 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 |
| Bromochlor | romethane | < 125 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 |
| Bromodichl | oromethane | < 50.0 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 |
| Bromoform | | < 125 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 |
| Bromometh | lane | < 50.0 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 |
| Carbon disu | lfide | < 50.0 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 |
| Carbon Teti | rachloride | < 50.0 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 |
| Chlorobenz | ene | < 50.0 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 |



| Client: | <u>Lu En</u> g | <u>gineers, Inc.</u> | | | | | | |
|--------------------|----------------|--|--------|----------------|-------------|--|--|--|
| Project Reference: | Wilkin | Wilkins RV - SMP Semi-Annual GW Sampling | | | | | | |
| Sample Identifier: | MW | -JCL-02_06-14-13 | | | | | | |
| Lab Sample ID: | 132 | 206-02 | | Date Sampled: | 6/14/2013 | | | |
| Matrix: | Wat | er | | Date Received: | 6/14/2013 | | | |
| Chloroethan | e | | < 50.0 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 | | | |
| Chloroform | | | < 50.0 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 | | | |
| Chlorometha | ne | | < 50.0 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 | | | |
| cis-1,2-Dichl | oroethene | | 2410 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 | | | |
| cis-1,3-Dichl | oropropen | e | < 50.0 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 | | | |
| Cyclohexane | | | < 250 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 | | | |
| Dibromochlo | romethan | e | < 50.0 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 | | | |
| Dichlorodiflu | iorometha | ne | < 50.0 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 | | | |
| Ethylbenzen | e | | < 50.0 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 | | | |
| Freon 113 | | | < 50.0 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 | | | |
| Isopropylbei | nzene | | < 50.0 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 | | | |
| m,p-Xylene | | | < 50.0 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 | | | |
| Methyl aceta | te | | < 50.0 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 | | | |
| Methyl tert-l | outyl Ether | | < 50.0 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 | | | |
| Methylcyclol | nexane | | < 50.0 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 | | | |
| Methylene cl | hloride | | < 125 | ug/L | J 6/19/2013 | | | |
| o-Xylene | | | < 50.0 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 | | | |
| Styrene | | | < 125 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 | | | |
| Tetrachloroe | ethene | | 812 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 | | | |
| Toluene | | | < 50.0 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 | | | |
| trans-1,2-Di | chloroethe | ne | < 50.0 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 | | | |
| trans-1,3-Di | chloroprop | bene | < 50.0 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 | | | |
| Trichloroeth | ene | | 2240 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 | | | |
| Trichloroflu | oromethar | ie | < 50.0 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 | | | |
| Vinyl chlorid | le | | < 50.0 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 | | | |
| Method Refere | ence(s): | EPA 8260B EPA 5030 | | | | | | |
| Data File: | | X06143.D | | | | | | |



| | | | | Lab Projec | t ID: | 132 | 206 |
|--------------------|--------------|----------------------|-----------------|--------------|-------|--------|---------------|
| Client: | <u>Lu En</u> | <u>gineers, Inc.</u> | | | | | |
| Project Reference: | Wilkir | ns RV - SMP Semi-Ann | ual GW Sampling | | | | |
| Sample Identifier: | MW | /-13_06-14-13 | | | | | |
| Lab Sample ID: | 132 | 206-03 | | Date Sampl | ed: | 6/14 | 4/2013 |
| Matrix: | Wa | ter | | Date Receiv | /ed: | 6/14 | 4/2013 |
| <u>Metals</u> | | | | | | | |
| Analyte | | | Result | <u>Units</u> | Qua | lifier | Date Analyzed |
| Iron | | | 1.80 | mg/L | | | 6/25/2013 |
| Manganese | | | 0.411 | mg/L | | | 6/25/2013 |
| Method Refere | ence(s): | EPA 6010C | | | | | |
| Data File: | | ЕРА 3005 062513Ъ | | | | | |



| Client: | Lu Engineers, Inc. | | | |
|--------------------|----------------------------|-----------------|------------------|----------------------|
| Project Reference: | Wilkins RV - SMP Semi-Annu | ual GW Sampling | | |
| Sample Identifier | : MW-13_06-14-13 | | | |
| Lab Sample ID: | 132206-03 | | Date Sampled: | 6/14/2013 |
| Matrix: | Water | | Date Received: | 6/14/2013 |
| Volatile Organ | nics | | | |
| Analyte | | Result | <u>Units</u> Qua | lifier Date Analyzed |
| 1,1,1-Trio | chloroethane | < 2.00 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 |
| 1,1,2,2-Te | etrachloroethane | < 2.00 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 |
| 1,1,2-Trio | chloroethane | < 2.00 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 |
| 1,1-Dichl | oroethane | < 2.00 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 |
| 1,1-Dichl | oroethene | < 2.00 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 |
| 1,2,3-Trie | chlorobenzene | < 5.00 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 |
| 1,2,4-Tri | chlorobenzene | < 5.00 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 |
| 1,2-Dibro | omo-3-Chloropropane | < 10.0 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 |
| 1,2-Dibro | omoethane | < 2.00 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 |
| 1,2-Dichl | orobenzene | < 2.00 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 |
| 1,2-Dichl | oroethane | < 2.00 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 |
| 1,2-Dichl | oropropane | < 2.00 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 |
| 1,3-Dichl | lorobenzene | < 2.00 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 |
| 1,4-Dichl | orobenzene | < 2.00 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 |
| 1,4-dioxa | ane | < 20.0 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 |
| 2-Butanc | one | < 10.0 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 |
| 2-Hexand | one | < 5.00 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 |
| 4-Methyl | l-2-pentanone | < 5.00 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 |
| Acetone | | < 10.0 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 |
| Benzene | | < 0.700 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 |
| Bromoch | lloromethane | < 5.00 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 |
| Bromodi | chloromethane | < 2.00 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 |
| Bromofo | rm | < 5.00 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 |
| Bromom | ethane | < 2.00 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 |
| Carbon d | lisulfide | < 2.00 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 |
| Carbon T | Fetrachloride | < 2.00 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 |
| Chlorobe | enzene | < 2.00 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 |



| Client: Project Ref | L ference: V | . u Engin Vilkins F | <mark>eers. Inc.</mark> RV - SMP Semi | -Annual GW Sampling | | |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|---------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------|
| Sample Io Lab Samp Matrix: | dentifier: ble ID: | MW-13 13220 Water | 3_06-14-13 6-03 | | Date Sampled: Date Received: | 6/14/2013 6/14/2013 |
| | Chloroethane | | | < 2.00 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 |
| | Chloroform | | | < 2.00 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 |
| | Chloromethane | | | < 2.00 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 |
| | cis-1,2-Dichloroe | ethene | | < 2.00 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 |
| | cis-1,3-Dichlorog | propene | | < 2.00 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 |
| | Cyclohexane | | | < 10.0 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 |
| | Dibromochloron | nethane | | < 2.00 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 |
| | Dichlorodifluoro | omethane | | < 2.00 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 |
| | Ethylbenzene | | | < 2.00 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 |
| | Freon 113 | | | < 2.00 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 |
| | Isopropylbenzer | ne | | < 2.00 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 |
| | m,p-Xylene | | | < 2.00 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 |
| | Methyl acetate | | | < 2.00 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 |
| | Methyl tert-buty | l Ether | | < 2.00 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 |
| | Methylcyclohexa | ane | | < 2.00 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 |
| | Methylene chlor | ide | | < 5.00 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 |
| | o-Xylene | | | < 2.00 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 |
| | Styrene | | | < 5.00 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 |
| | Tetrachloroethe | ene | | < 2.00 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 |
| | Toluene | | | < 2.00 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 |
| | trans-1,2-Dichlo | roethene | | < 2.00 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 |
| | trans-1,3-Dichlo | ropropen | e | < 2.00 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 |
| | Trichloroethene | è | | < 2.00 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 |
| | Trichlorofluoror | methane | | < 2.00 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 |
| | Vinyl chloride | | | < 2.00 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 |
| | Method Reference Data File: | (s): | EPA 8260B EPA 5030 K06140.D | | | |



| Client: | <u>Lu Engineers, Inc.</u> | | | | | | |
|--------------------|--|--------|------------------|-----------------------|--|--|--|
| Project Reference: | Wilkins RV - SMP Semi-Annual GW Sampling | | | | | | |
| Sample Identifier: | MW-06_06-14-13 | | | | | | |
| Lab Sample ID: | 132206-04 | | Date Sampled: | 6/14/2013 | | | |
| Matrix: | Water | | Date Received: | 6/14/2013 | | | |
| <u>Metals</u> | | | <u></u> | | | | |
| Analyte | | Result | <u>Units</u> Qua | llifier Date Analyzed | | | |
| Iron | | 1.34 | mg/L | 6/25/2013 | | | |
| Manganese | | 1.94 | mg/L | 6/25/2013 | | | |
| Method Reference | e(s): EPA 6010C | | | | | | |
| Data File: | EPA 3005 062513b | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |



| Client: | <u>Lu Engineers, Inc.</u> | | | |
|--------------------|---------------------------|-----------------|------------------|----------------------|
| Project Reference: | Wilkins RV - SMP Semi-Ann | ual GW Sampling | | |
| Sample Identifier: | MW-06_06-14-13 | | | |
| Lab Sample ID: | 132206-04 | | Date Sampled: | 6/14/2013 |
| Matrix: | Water | | Date Received: | 6/14/2013 |
| Volatile Organic | S | | | |
| <u>Analyte</u> | | Result | <u>Units</u> Qua | lifier Date Analyzed |
| 1,1,1-Trichlo | proethane | < 2.00 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 |
| 1,1,2,2-Tetra | achloroethane | < 2.00 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 |
| 1,1,2-Trichlo | proethane | < 2.00 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 |
| 1,1-Dichloro | ethane | < 2.00 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 |
| 1,1-Dichloro | ethene | < 2.00 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 |
| 1,2,3-Trichlo | orobenzene | < 5.00 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 |
| 1,2,4-Trichlo | orobenzene | < 5.00 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 |
| 1,2-Dibromo | o-3-Chloropropane | < 10.0 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 |
| 1,2-Dibromo | bethane | < 2.00 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 |
| 1,2-Dichloro | benzene | < 2.00 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 |
| 1,2-Dichloro | bethane | < 2.00 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 |
| 1,2-Dichloro | propane | < 2.00 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 |
| 1,3-Dichloro | benzene | < 2.00 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 |
| 1,4-Dichloro | benzene | < 2.00 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 |
| 1,4-dioxane | | < 20.0 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 |
| 2-Butanone | | < 10.0 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 |
| 2-Hexanone | | < 5.00 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 |
| 4-Methyl-2- | pentanone | < 5.00 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 |
| Acetone | | < 10.0 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 |
| Benzene | | < 0.700 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 |
| Bromochlor | omethane | < 5.00 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 |
| Bromodichl | oromethane | < 2.00 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 |
| Bromoform | | < 5.00 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 |
| Bromometh | ane | < 2.00 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 |
| Carbon disu | lfide | < 2.00 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 |
| Carbon Tetr | achloride | < 2.00 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 |
| Chlorobenze | ene | < 2.00 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 |



| Client: | Lu Engineers | Inc. | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|--|---------|--------|----------------|-------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Project Reference: | Wilkins RV - SMP Semi-Annual GW Sampling | | | | | | | | | |
| Sample Identifier: Lab Sample ID: | MW-06_06 132206-04 | 14-13 | | Date Sampled: | 6/14/2013 | | | | | |
| Matrix: | Water | | | Date Received: | 6/14/2013 | | | | | |
| Chloroethane | | | < 2.00 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 | | | | | |
| Chloroform | | | < 2.00 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 | | | | | |
| Chloromethane | 9 | | < 2.00 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 | | | | | |
| cis-1,2-Dichlor | oethene | | < 2.00 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 | | | | | |
| cis-1,3-Dichlor | opropene | | < 2.00 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 | | | | | |
| Cyclohexane | | | < 10.0 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 | | | | | |
| Dibromochloro | omethane | | < 2.00 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 | | | | | |
| Dichlorodifluo | romethane | | 3.59 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 | | | | | |
| Ethylbenzene | | | < 2.00 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 | | | | | |
| Freon 113 | | | < 2.00 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 | | | | | |
| Isopropylbenze | ene | | < 2.00 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 | | | | | |
| m,p-Xylene | | | < 2.00 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 | | | | | |
| Methyl acetate | | | < 2.00 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 | | | | | |
| Methyl tert-but | tyl Ether | | < 2.00 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 | | | | | |
| Methylcyclohe | xane | | < 2.00 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 | | | | | |
| Methylene chlo | oride | | < 5.00 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 | | | | | |
| o-Xylene | | | < 2.00 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 | | | | | |
| Styrene | | | < 5.00 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 | | | | | |
| Tetrachloroeth | iene | | 8.89 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 | | | | | |
| Toluene | | | < 2.00 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 | | | | | |
| trans-1,2-Dich | Ioroethene | | < 2.00 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 | | | | | |
| trans-1,3-Dich | loropropene | | < 2.00 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 | | | | | |
| Trichloroether | ıe | | 1.50 | ug/L | J 6/19/2013 | | | | | |
| Trichlorofluor | omethane | | < 2.00 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 | | | | | |
| Vinyl chloride | | | < 2.00 | ug/L | 6/19/2013 | | | | | |
| Method Reference | ce(s): EPA 82 EPA 50 X0614 | 0B 0 | | | | | | | | |



Method Blank Report

| Client: | <u>Lu Engineers, Inc.</u> |
|--------------------|--|
| Project Reference: | Wilkins RV - SMP Semi-Annual GW Sampling |
| Lab Project ID: | 132206 |
| SDG #: | 2206-01 |
| Matrix: | Water |

Volatile Organics

| Analyte | <u>Result</u> | <u>Units</u> | <u>Qualifier</u> | Date Analyzed |
|-----------------------------|---------------|--------------|------------------|----------------------|
| 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | <2.00 | ug/L | | 6/19/2013 |
| 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane | <2.00 | ug/L | | 6/19/2013 |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | <2.00 | ug/L | | 6/19/2013 |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane | <2.00 | ug/L | | 6/19/2013 |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | <2.00 | ug/L | | 6/19/2013 |
| 1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene | <5.00 | ug/L | | 6/19/2013 |
| 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene | <5.00 | ug/L | | 6/19/2013 |
| 1,2-Dibromo-3-Chloropropane | <10.0 | ug/L | | 6/19/2013 |
| 1,2-Dibromoethane | <2.00 | ug/L | | 6/19/2013 |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | <2.00 | ug/L | | 6/19/2013 |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | <2.00 | ug/L | | 6/19/2013 |
| 1,2-Dichloropropane | <2.00 | ug/L | | 6/19/2013 |
| 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | <2.00 | ug/L | | 6/19/2013 |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | <2.00 | ug/L | | 6/19/2013 |
| 1,4-dioxane | <20.0 | ug/L | | 6/19/2013 |
| 2-Butanone | <10.0 | ug/L | | 6/19/2013 |
| 2-Hexanone | <5.00 | ug/L | | 6/19/2013 |
| 4-Methyl-2-pentanone | <5.00 | ug/L | | 6/19/2013 |
| Acetone | 6.34 | ug/L | J | 6/19/2013 |
| Benzene | <0.700 | ug/L | | 6/19/2013 |
| Bromochloromethane | <5.00 | ug/L | | 6/19/2013 |
| Bromodichloromethane | <2.00 | ug/L | | 6/19/2013 |
| Bromoform | <5.00 | ug/L | | 6/19/2013 |
| Bromomethane | <2.00 | ug/L | | 6/19/2013 |
| Carbon disulfide | <2.00 | ug/L | | 6/19/2013 |
| Carbon Tetrachloride | <2.00 | ug/L | | 6/19/2013 |
| Chlorobenzene | <2.00 | ug/L | | 6/19/2013 |
| Chloroethane | <2.00 | ug/L | | 6/19/2013 |

This report is part of a multipage document and should only be evaluated in its entirety. The Chain of Custody provides additional sample information, including compliance with the sample condition requirements upon receipt.

Report Prepared Friday, June 28, 2013



Method Blank Report

| Client: | Lu Engineers. Inc. |
|--------------------|--|
| Project Reference: | Wilkins RV - SMP Semi-Annual GW Sampling |
| Lab Project ID: | 132206 |
| SDG #: | 2206-01 |
| Matrix: | Water |

Volatile Organics

| <u>Analyte</u> | | <u>Result</u> | <u>Units</u> | <u>Qualifier</u> | Date Analyzed |
|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------|--------------|------------------|----------------------|
| Chloroform | | <2.00 | ug/L | | 6/19/2013 |
| Chloromethane | | <2.00 | ug/L | | 6/19/2013 |
| cis-1,2-Dichloroethene | | <2.00 | ug/L | | 6/19/2013 |
| cis-1,3-Dichloropropen | e | <2.00 | ug/L | | 6/19/2013 |
| Cyclohexane | | <10.0 | ug/L | | 6/19/2013 |
| Dibromochloromethan | e | <2.00 | ug/L | | 6/19/2013 |
| Dichlorodifluorometha | ne | <2.00 | ug/L | | 6/19/2013 |
| Ethylbenzene | | <2.00 | ug/L | | 6/19/2013 |
| Freon 113 | | <2.00 | ug/L | | 6/19/2013 |
| Isopropylbenzene | | <2.00 | ug/L | | 6/19/2013 |
| m,p-Xylene | | 1.01 | ug/L | J | 6/19/2013 |
| Methyl acetate | | <2.00 | ug/L | | 6/19/2013 |
| Methyl tert-butyl Ether | | <2.00 | ug/L | | 6/19/2013 |
| Methylcyclohexane | | <2.00 | ug/L | | 6/19/2013 |
| Methylene chloride | | <5.00 | ug/L | | 6/19/2013 |
| o-Xylene | | <2.00 | ug/L | | 6/19/2013 |
| Styrene | | <5.00 | ug/L | | 6/19/2013 |
| Tetrachloroethene | | <2.00 | ug/L | | 6/19/2013 |
| Toluene | | <2.00 | ug/L | | 6/19/2013 |
| trans-1,2-Dichloroethe | ne | <2.00 | ug/L | | 6/19/2013 |
| trans-1,3-Dichloroprop | ene | <2.00 | ug/L | | 6/19/2013 |
| Trichloroethene | | <2.00 | ug/L | | 6/19/2013 |
| Trichlorofluoromethan | e | <2.00 | ug/L | | 6/19/2013 |
| Vinyl chloride | | <2.00 | ug/L | | 6/19/2013 |
| Method Reference(s): Data File: | EPA 8260B EPA 5030 X06136.D | | | | |
| QC Batch ID: OC Number: | voawu61913 1 | | | | |

This report is part of a multipage document and should only be evaluated in its entirety. The Chain of Custody provides additional sample information, including compliance with the sample condition requirements upon receipt.

Report Prepared Friday, June 28, 2013

| Comments: Approved By: | | | | | - | | | | | Manganese | Iron | | Analyte | | Field ID No.: | Field I continu | Client Job No.: | Client Job Site: | Client: | PARA |
|---------------------------|-------------------|--|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|------------------|--------------|--------------|----------------------------------|----------------|---------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------|
| * - Outside QC | | | | | | | | | | | | | Date Analyzed | | N/A | N/A | Semi-Annual (| Wilkins RV - S | Lu Engineers | ADIG |
| limits | | | | | | | | | | < TO'O > | < 0.100 | mg/L | Method Blank | | | | JW Sampling | MP | <u>Inc</u> | ž |
| | | | | | | | | | | 1.00 | 2.50 | mg/L | LCS Added | | | | | | - | 179 Lake Aven |
| | | | | | | | | | | 1.U4 | 2.39 | mg/L | LCS Recovered | Laborator | | | | | | ue, Rochester, N |
| | | | | | | | | | | 104 | 101 | % | LCS Recovery | y Report for) | | | | | | Y 14608 (585) (|
| ad je 1 | | | | | | | | | | 1,00 | 1.00 | mg/L | LCS Dup Added | Metals Analys | | | | | | 547-2530 F AX |
| | | | | | | | | | | 0.904 | 2.29 | mg/L | LCS Dup Recovered | sis in Water | | | | | | (585) 647-3311 |
| | | | | | | | | | | 90. 1 | 91.0 | % | LCS Dup Recovery | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | 0110 | 4.00 | . % | LCS Dup Percent Difference | | | Date Sample Date Receive | лашр те турс | Cample Trme | Lab Project N Lab Sample I | |
| | | | | | | | | | | 20.070 | 20.0% | 30 QQ | Percent Difference Limits | | | ă đ | <u>•</u> | | Vo.: | |
| | ELAP ID No.:10958 | | | | | | | | | | 90.0% - 120% | 00 00/ 1200/ | % Recovery Limits | | | N/A N/A | | Water | 132206 ICP LCS 6/24 w | |

- Caller

Volatile Analysis Report for Non-potable Water

Client: Lu Engineers, Inc.

PARADIGM

| Client Job Site: | Wilkins RV - SMP Semi-Annual GW Sampling | Lab Project Number: Lab Sample Number: | 132206 Blk 6/19 |
|--------------------|---|---|--------------------|
| Client Job Number: | N/A | | |
| Field Location: | N/A | Date Sampled: | N/A |
| Field ID Number: | N/A | Date Received: | N/A |
| Sample Type: | Water | Date Analyzed: | 06/19/2013 |

| Compound | Results in ug / L | Compound | Results in ug / L |
|-----------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|
| Acetone | JB 6.34 | 1,2-Dichloropropane | < 2.00 |
| Benzene | < 0.700 | cis-1,3-Dichloropropene | < 2.00 |
| Bromochloromethane | < 5.00 | trans-1,3-Dichloropropene | < 2.00 |
| Bromodichloromethane | < 2.00 | 1,4-Dioxane | < 20.0 |
| Bromoform | < 5.00 | Ethylbenzene | < 2.00 |
| Bromomethane | < 2.00 | Freon 113 | < 2.00 |
| 2-Butanone | < 10.0 | 2-Hexanone | < 5.00 |
| Carbon disulfide | < 2.00 | Isopropylbenzene | < 2.00 |
| Carbon Tetrachloride | < 2.00 | Methyl acetate | < 2.00 |
| Chlorobenzene | < 2.00 | Methyl tert-butyl Ether | < 2.00 |
| Chloroethane | < 2.00 | Methylcyclohexane | < 2.00 |
| Chloroform | < 2.00 | Methylene chloride | < 5.00 |
| Chloromethane | < 2.00 | 4-Methyl-2-pentanone | < 5.00 |
| Cyclohexane | < 10.0 | Styrene | < 5.00 |
| Dibromochloromethane | < 2.00 | 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane | < 2.00 |
| 1,2-Dibromo-3-Chloropropane | < 10.0 | Tetrachloroethene | < 2.00 |
| 1,2-Dibromoethane | < 2.00 | Toluene | < 2.00 |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | < 2.00 | 1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene | < 5.00 |
| 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | < 2.00 | 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene | < 5.00 |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | < 2.00 | 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | < 2.00 |
| Dichlorodifluoromethane | < 2.00 | 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | < 2.00 |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane | < 2.00 | Trichloroethene | < 2.00 |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | < 2.00 | Trichlorofluoromethane | < 2.00 |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | < 2.00 | Vinyl chloride | < 2.00 |
| cis-1,2-Dichloroethene | < 2.00 | m,p-Xylene | JB 1.01 |
| trans-1,2-Dichloroethene | < 2.00 | o-Xylene | < 2.00 |
| ELAP Number 10958 | Analytical Me | ethod: EPA 8260B | Data File: X06136.D |
| | Prep Met | hod: EPA 5030 | |

Comments: ug / L = microgram per Liter

Signature:

Signature: Bruce Hoogesteger: Technical Director This report is part of a multipage document and should only be evaluated in its entirety. Chain of Custody provides additional information, including compliance with sample condition 132206B1 Page 17 of 23

| | | | | | 15 | а. | | | | | | |
|----|----|---|-----|-----|----|------|----|---|----|----|----|---|
| | | | -24 | 44 | | 84 | 24 | 6 | | | | |
| | | 2 | 28 | | 88 | | | x | έ. | | | |
| | 12 | z | | | х. | - 22 | | | e | ε. | | |
| 14 | 2 | s | | | | - 64 | | | | ÷. | с. | |
| 2 | 83 | | | æ | R. | | | | | s | 2 | |
| æ | 24 | | | 22 | 12 | 10 | | | | | R | |
| æ | | 8 | | | | - 62 | | | | | £ | |
| 2 | | | | | н | | | | | | 5 | |
| æ | æ | | | 88 | c. | - | | | | | R | |
| 8 | | | | | H | | | | | 8 | F. | |
| 2 | ε. | | | | n | -18 | s | | | 8 | | |
| 2 | а, | | | | | | | s | 8 | ĸ | х. | |
| | 2 | 8 | 88 | | 5 | | | | s | | | |
| | | | - | | a | | 2 | 8 | | | | |
| | | | | 22 | ÷ | 22 | 5 | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | - 7 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | ж. | | | | P. | | | | | |
| | | | К | | | γ. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | - 1 | | | | | | |
| | | | | | г | | | | | | | |
| | | | - | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | ** | | ۰. | | | | | | | |
| | | | я. | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | ж. | | _ | 1 | ŀ | | | | | |
| | | | - | 1.4 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | н. | 17 | | - | | | | | | |
| | | | * | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | .4 | | r | ۰. | ÷. | | | | | |
| | | | ÷ | ۰. | | | ۰. | | | | | |
| | | | γ. | . 6 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | ••• | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | - | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 22 | - 1 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | ~ | з. | ۰. | | | | | | | |
| 2 | | | < | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | ** | Υ. | | | | | | | | |
| | | | P | . 4 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | • | | ۰. | | 1 | | | | | |
| | | | - | | | | ۶. | | | | | |
| | | | ÷ | | - | | | | | | | |
| | | | 08 | | | - | | | | | | |
| | | | ÷ | - | | | 2 | | | | | |
| | | | 2 | | - | 2 | | | | | | |
| | | | 6 | 1 | 6 | | × | | | | | 2 |
| | | | | . 7 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | 2 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |

179 Lake Avenue Rochester, New York 14608 (585) 647 - 2530 FAX (585) 647 - 3311

Volatile Analysis Report for Non-potable Water

Client: Lu Engineers, Inc.

| Field ID Number: Sample Type: | Client Job Number: | Client Job Site: |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------|
| WA Water | Semi-Annual GW Sampling N/A | Wilkins RV - SMP |
| Date Received: Date Analyzed: | Lab Sample Number: | Lab Project Number: |
| N/A 06/19/2013 | LCS 6/19 | 132206 |
| | | SDG# : 2206-01 |

| 1 | Chlorober | Toluene | Trichloroe | Benzene | 1,1-Dichlc | | Spiked C |
|--------------------|-----------|---------|------------|---------|------------|-----------|---------------|
| Por 10050 | nzene | | thene | | oroethene | | ompound |
| Data Eila: YOS138 | ~ 2.00 | < 2.00 | < 2.00 | < 0.700 | < 2.00 | in ug / L | Blank Results |
| כ | 50. O | 50.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | in ug / L | LCS Spiked |
| ata File: X06135.D | 46.4 | 45.4 | 49.2 | 47.6 | 49.4 | in ug / L | LCS Results |
| | 92.8 1 | 90.8 | 98.4 | 95.2 | 98.8 | Recovery | LCS Percent |
| | NA | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | in ug / L | MSD Spiked |
| | NA | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | in ug / L | MSD Results |
| Me | X | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | Recovery | MSD Percent |
| thod: EPA 8260B | X | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | % RPD | MS / MSD |

| | of Berlin | | | |
|----|---|---|---|--|
| | 2 11 | | | |
| | ာမ | | | |
| | | | | |
| | • D> | | | |
| | | | | |
| | * | | | |
| | T | | | |
| | ~~~ | | | |
| | | | | |
| | : T | | | |
| | 2.00 | | | |
| | 5.22.2 | | | |
| | ~ - | | | |
| | 1 C | | | |
| | - C - C - C - C - C - C - C - C - C - C | | | |
| | - | | 1 | |
| | | | | |
| | $\sim c_0$ | | ÷ | |
| | 2.147 | | | |
| | S | | | |
| | | 5 | | |
| | 2 . | | | |
| | . 37 | - | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| н | | | | |
| | | | | |
| ٥. | | | | |
| | | | | |
| - | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| - | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| 1 | | | | |
| - | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| C. | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| - | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| , | | | | |
| - | | | | |
| _ | | | | |

179 Lake Avenue Rochester, New York 14608 (585) 647 - 2530 FAX (585) 647 - 3311

Volatile Analysis Report for Surrogate Recoveries

Client: Lu Engineers, Inc.

| Client Job Site: | Wilkins RV - SMP | Lab Project Number: | 132206 | SDG Group: | 2206- |
|--------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|---------------|------------|-------|
| | Semi-Annual GW Sampling | | | - | |
| Client Job Number: | N/A | Data Campled: | 2 FUCI / 1/30 | | |
| | | Date Sampled: | 06/14/2013 | | |
| | | Date Received: | 06/14/2013 | | |
| Sample Type: | Water | Date Analyzed: | 06/19/2013 | | |

| | | | | | | r | |
|-------------------|----------------|----------------|--------------------|----------------|----------|----------|-------------------------------------|
| ELAP Number 10958 | 132206-04 | 132206-03 | 132206-02 | 132206-01 | LCS 6/19 | Blk 6/19 | Lab Sample Number |
| | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | Field Number |
| | MW-06_06-14-13 | MW-13_06-14-13 | MW-JCL-02_06-14-13 | MW-03_06-14-13 | N/A | N/A | Field Location |
| | 117 | 118 | 117 | 115 | 114 | 116 | Pentafluorobenzene % Recovery |
| | 96 | 98.5 | 97.6 | 98.2 | 96.6 | 96.8 | 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 % Recovery |
| Me | 110 | 111 | 107 | 108 | 110 | 108 | T oluene-d8 % Recovery |
| thod: EPA 8260B | 99 . & | 100 | 101 | 99.7 | 102 | 100 | 4-BFB % Recovery |

PARADIGM

179 Lake Avenue Rochester, New York 14608 (585) 647 - 2530 FAX (585) 647 - 3311

Volatile Analysis QC Limits

Limits effective: May 29,2013 Through: Jun 30,2013

| | | | | DDD I imite | Water Sni | ke limite | Water % RPI | O Limits |
|-----------------------|------------|-------------|---------|-------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|----------------|
| Spiked Compound | | Ke Limits | Lower % | Upper % | Lower % | Upper % | Lower % | Upper % |
| | | 108 | | 15.5 | 84.8 | 109 | 0 | 14.1 |
| 11,1-Dichloroethene | 02.0 | | | | 5 | 200 | c | 1000 |
| Benzene | 83.2 | 107 | 0 | 12.1 | 84.3 | 103 |) C |) - C. C |
| Trichloroethene | 85.0 | 105 | 0 | 11.8 | 86.4 | 103 | | 9.77 |
| Toluene | 84.1 | 104 | 0 | 11.4 | 85.7 | 102 | 0 | 10.4 |
| Chlorobenzene | 83.5 | 104 | 0 | 13.7 | 84.4 | 103 | 0 | 10.2 |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Surrogate* | Soil Surro | gate Limits | | | Water Surro | ogate Limits | | |
| (| Lower % | Upper % | | | Lower % | Upper % | | |
| Pentafluorobenzene | 80.0 | 120 | | | 80.0 | 120 | | |
| 1.2-Dichloroethane-d4 | 80.0 | 120 | | | 80.0 | 120 | | |
| Tohiene-D8 | 80.0 | 120 | | | 80.0 | 120 | | |
| | | | | | 2000 | 100 | | |
| 4-Bromofluorobenzene | 80.0 | 120 | | | 80.0 | 120 | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | Me | thod: EPA 8260 |
| ELAP Number 10958 | | | | | | | | |

and the warning limit will be investigated, but will not invalidate the batch. Note: When the lower acceptance limit is calculated to be below 10% recovery, a warning limit of 10% is established. Recoveries between the lower acceptance limit

*Due to an equipment change, generic limits are being used for surrogates until enough data are collected to generate new limits



Analytical Report Appendix

The reported results relate only to the samples as they have been received by the laboratory.

Each page of this document is part of a multipage report. This document may not be reproduced except in its entirety, without the prior consent of Paradigm Environmental Services, Inc.

All soil/sludge samples have been reported on a dry weight basis, unless qualified "reported as received". Other solids are reported as received.

Low level Volatiles blank reports for soil/solid matrix are based on a nominal 5 gram weight. Sample results and reporting limits are based on actual weight, which may be more or less than 5 grams.

The Chain of Custody provides additional information, including compliance with sample condition requirements upon receipt. Sample condition requirements are defined under the 2003 NELAC Standard, sections 5.5.8.3.1 and 5.5.8.3.2.

NYSDOH ELAP does not certify for all parameters. Paradigm Environmental Services or the indicated subcontracted laboratory does hold certification for all analytes where certification is offered by ELAP unless otherwise specified. Aliquots separated for certain tests, such as TCLP, are indicated on the Chain of Custody and final reports with an "A" suffix.

Data qualifiers are used, when necessary, to provide additional information about the data. This information may be communicated as a flag or as text at the bottom of the report. Please refer to the following list of analyte-specific, frequently used data flags and their meaning:

"<" = Analyzed for but not detected at or above the quantitation limit.

"E" = Result has been estimated, calibration limit exceeded.

"Z" = See case narrative.

"D" = Sample, Laboratory Control Sample, or Matrix Spike Duplicate results above Relative Percent Difference limit.

- "M" = Matrix spike recoveries outside QC limits. Matrix bias indicated.
- "B" = Method blank contained trace levels of analyte. Refer to included method blank report.

"V" = Sample concentration is >10 times the spike. No meaningful Spike Recovery can be calculated.

"J" = Result estimated between the quantitation limit and half the quantitation limit.

"L" = Laboratory Control Sample recovery outside accepted QC limits.

179 Lake Avenue • Rochester, NY 14608 • (585) 647-2530 • Fax (585) 647-3311 • ELAP ID# 10958



Page 22 of 23

| ON | \mathcal{I} |
|------|---------------|
| 2.01 | 1 |



Chain of Custody Supplement

| Client: | | Lu Engineers | Completed by: | Mail |
|--|----------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|------------------|
| Lab Project ID: | | 132206 | Date: | 6/14/23 |
| | | Sample Condition Per NELAC/ELAP 21 | on Requirements 0/241/242/243/244 | |
| Condition | N | ELAC compliance with the sample Yes | condition requirements upor No | n receipt N/A |
| Container Type | | | | |
| Co | omments | | | |
| Transferred to method compliant container | d- |] | | |
| Headspace (<1 mL) Co | omments | <u>Y</u> | | |
| Preservation Co | omments | | | |
| Chlorine Absent (<0.10 ppm per test Cc | t strip) omments | | | |
| Holding Time Co | omments | <u> </u> | | |
| Temperature Co | omments | X 3°Cicel 6 No astude seals di | 21612 6/14/13 ent delivered | from Sangles |
| Sufficient Sample Q Co |)uantity omments | | | |
| | | | | |



Analytical Report For

Lu Engineers, Inc.

For Lab Project ID

134478

Referencing

Wilkins RV - SMP Semi-Annual Groundwater Sampling

Prepared

Monday, December 02, 2013

Any noncompliant QC parameters or other notes impacting data interpretation are flagged or documented on the final report or are noted below.

Certifies that this report has been approved by the Technical Director or Designee

This report is part of a multipage document and should only be evaluated in its entirety. The Chain of Custody provides additional sample information, including compliance with the sample condition requirements upon receipt.

179 Lake Avenue • Rochester, NY 14608 • (585) 647-2530 • Fax (585) 647-3311 • ELAP ID# 10958



| Client: | <u>Lu Engineers, Inc.</u> | | | | |
|--------------------|---------------------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|---------------|
| Project Reference: | Wilkins RV - SMP Semi-Ann | nual Groundwater | Sampling | | |
| Sample Identifier: | MW-JCL-02_11-21-13 | | | | |
| Lab Sample ID: | 134478-01 | | Date Sample | e d: 11/ | 21/2013 |
| Matrix: | Groundwater | | Date Receive | ed: 11/ | 21/2013 |
| <u>Metals</u> | | | | | |
| <u>Analyte</u> | | <u>Result</u> | <u>Units</u> | <u>Qualifier</u> | Date Analyzed |
| Iron | | 10.6 | mg/L | | 11/26/2013 |
| Manganese | | 2.71 | mg/L | | 11/26/2013 |
| Method Reference | ce(s): EPA 6010C | | | | |
| | EPA 3005 | | | | |
| Data File: | 112613a | | | | |



| Client: | <u>Lu Engineers, Inc.</u> | | | | |
|--------------------|-----------------------------|---------------|------------------|--------|---------------|
| Project Reference: | Wilkins RV - SMP Semi-Annua | l Groundwater | Sampling | | |
| Sample Identifier: | MW-JCL-02_11-21-13 | | | | |
| Lab Sample ID: | 134478-01 | | Date Sampled: | 11/2 | 21/2013 |
| Matrix: | Groundwater | | Date Received: | 11/2 | 21/2013 |
| Volatile Organics | Σ | | | | |
| <u>Analyte</u> | | <u>Result</u> | <u>Units</u> Qua | lifier | Date Analyzed |
| 1,1,1-Trichlo | roethane | < 100 | ug/L | | 11/22/2013 |
| 1,1,2,2-Tetra | chloroethane | < 100 | ug/L | | 11/22/2013 |
| 1,1,2-Trichlo | roethane | < 100 | ug/L | | 11/22/2013 |
| 1,1-Dichloroe | ethane | < 100 | ug/L | | 11/22/2013 |
| 1,1-Dichloroe | ethene | < 100 | ug/L | | 11/22/2013 |
| 1,2,3-Trichlo | robenzene | < 250 | ug/L | | 11/22/2013 |
| 1,2,4-Trichlo | robenzene | < 250 | ug/L | | 11/22/2013 |
| 1,2-Dibromo | -3-Chloropropane | < 500 | ug/L | | 11/22/2013 |
| 1,2-Dibromo | ethane | < 100 | ug/L | | 11/22/2013 |
| 1,2-Dichlorol | benzene | < 100 | ug/L | | 11/22/2013 |
| 1,2-Dichloroe | ethane | < 100 | ug/L | | 11/22/2013 |
| 1,2-Dichloroj | propane | < 100 | ug/L | | 11/22/2013 |
| 1,3-Dichlorol | benzene | < 100 | ug/L | | 11/22/2013 |
| 1,4-Dichlorol | benzene | < 100 | ug/L | | 11/22/2013 |
| 1,4-dioxane | | < 1000 | ug/L | | 11/22/2013 |
| 2-Butanone | | < 500 | ug/L | | 11/22/2013 |
| 2-Hexanone | | < 250 | ug/L | | 11/22/2013 |
| 4-Methyl-2-p | pentanone | < 250 | ug/L | | 11/22/2013 |
| Acetone | | 626 | ug/L I | 3 | 11/22/2013 |
| Benzene | | < 35.0 | ug/L | | 11/22/2013 |
| Bromochloro | omethane | < 250 | ug/L | | 11/22/2013 |
| Bromodichlo | promethane | < 100 | ug/L | | 11/22/2013 |
| Bromoform | | < 250 | ug/L | | 11/22/2013 |
| Bromometha | ine | < 100 | ug/L | | 11/22/2013 |
| Carbon disul | fide | < 100 | ug/L | | 11/22/2013 |
| Carbon Tetra | achloride | < 100 | ug/L | | 11/22/2013 |
| Chlorobenze | ne | < 100 | ug/L | | 11/22/2013 |



| Client: <u>Lu</u> | <u>ı Engineers, Inc.</u> | | | |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------|----------------|------------|
| Project Reference: W | ilkins RV - SMP Semi-Annua | l Groundwater | Sampling | |
| Sample Identifier: Lab Sample ID: | MW-JCL-02_11-21-13 134478-01 | | Date Sampled: | 11/21/2013 |
| Matrix: | Groundwater | | Date Received: | 11/21/2013 |
| Chloroethane | | < 100 | ug/L | 11/22/2013 |
| Chloroform | | < 100 | ug/L | 11/22/2013 |
| Chloromethane | | < 100 | ug/L | 11/22/2013 |
| cis-1,2-Dichloroet | hene | 1800 | ug/L | 11/22/2013 |
| cis-1,3-Dichloropr | opene | < 100 | ug/L | 11/22/2013 |
| Cyclohexane | | < 500 | ug/L | 11/22/2013 |
| Dibromochlorome | ethane | < 100 | ug/L | 11/22/2013 |
| Dichlorodifluorom | nethane | < 100 | ug/L | 11/22/2013 |
| Ethylbenzene | | < 100 | ug/L | 11/22/2013 |
| Freon 113 | | < 100 | ug/L | 11/22/2013 |
| Isopropylbenzene | | < 100 | ug/L | 11/22/2013 |
| m,p-Xylene | | < 100 | ug/L | 11/22/2013 |
| Methyl acetate | | < 100 | ug/L | 11/22/2013 |
| Methyl tert-butyl l | Ether | < 100 | ug/L | 11/22/2013 |
| Methylcyclohexan | e | < 100 | ug/L | 11/22/2013 |
| Methylene chlorid | e | < 250 | ug/L | 11/22/2013 |
| o-Xylene | | < 100 | ug/L | 11/22/2013 |
| Styrene | | < 250 | ug/L | 11/22/2013 |
| Tetrachloroethene | 9 | 659 | ug/L | 11/22/2013 |
| Toluene | | < 100 | ug/L | 11/22/2013 |
| trans-1,2-Dichloro | pethene | < 100 | ug/L | 11/22/2013 |
| trans-1,3-Dichloro | opropene | < 100 | ug/L | 11/22/2013 |
| Trichloroethene | | 1900 | ug/L | 11/22/2013 |
| Trichlorofluorome | ethane | < 100 | ug/L | 11/22/2013 |
| Vinyl chloride | | < 100 | ug/L | 11/22/2013 |
| Method Reference(s) Data File | : EPA 8260C EPA 5030 x09908 D | | | |



| Client: | <u>Lu Engineers, Inc.</u> | | | | |
|--------------------|----------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|---------|---------------|
| Project Reference: | Wilkins RV - SMP Semi-Annu | ual Groundwater | Sampling | | |
| Sample Identifier: | MW-03_11-21-13 | | | | |
| Lab Sample ID: | 134478-02 | | Date Sampled: | 11/ | 21/2013 |
| Matrix: | Groundwater | | Date Received: | 11/ | 21/2013 |
| <u>Metals</u> | | | | | |
| <u>Analyte</u> | | <u>Result</u> | <u>Units</u> Qu | alifier | Date Analyzed |
| Iron | | 1.74 | mg/L | | 11/26/2013 |
| Manganese | | 7.35 | mg/L | | 11/26/2013 |
| Method Reference | e(s): EPA 6010C | | | | |
| | EPA 3005 | | | | |
| Data File: | 112613a | | | | |


| Client: | <u>Lu Engineers, Inc.</u> | | | | |
|--------------------|---------------------------|-----------------|------------------|--------|---------------|
| Project Reference: | Wilkins RV - SMP Semi-Ann | ual Groundwater | Sampling | | |
| Sample Identifier: | MW-03_11-21-13 | | | | |
| Lab Sample ID: | 134478-02 | | Date Sampled: | 11/2 | 21/2013 |
| Matrix: | Groundwater | | Date Received: | 11/2 | 21/2013 |
| Volatile Organic | <u>s</u> | | | | |
| <u>Analyte</u> | | <u>Result</u> | <u>Units</u> Qua | lifier | Date Analyzed |
| 1,1,1-Trichlo | proethane | < 200 | ug/L | | 11/22/2013 |
| 1,1,2,2-Tetra | achloroethane | < 200 | ug/L | | 11/22/2013 |
| 1,1,2-Trichlo | proethane | < 200 | ug/L | | 11/22/2013 |
| 1,1-Dichloro | ethane | < 200 | ug/L | | 11/22/2013 |
| 1,1-Dichloro | ethene | < 200 | ug/L | | 11/22/2013 |
| 1,2,3-Trichlo | probenzene | < 500 | ug/L | | 11/22/2013 |
| 1,2,4-Trichlo | probenzene | < 500 | ug/L | | 11/22/2013 |
| 1,2-Dibromo | o-3-Chloropropane | < 1000 | ug/L | | 11/22/2013 |
| 1,2-Dibromo | bethane | < 200 | ug/L | | 11/22/2013 |
| 1,2-Dichloro | benzene | < 200 | ug/L | | 11/22/2013 |
| 1,2-Dichloro | ethane | < 200 | ug/L | | 11/22/2013 |
| 1,2-Dichloro | propane | < 200 | ug/L | | 11/22/2013 |
| 1,3-Dichloro | benzene | < 200 | ug/L | | 11/22/2013 |
| 1,4-Dichloro | benzene | < 200 | ug/L | | 11/22/2013 |
| 1,4-dioxane | | < 2000 | ug/L | | 11/22/2013 |
| 2-Butanone | | < 1000 | ug/L | | 11/22/2013 |
| 2-Hexanone | | < 500 | ug/L | | 11/22/2013 |
| 4-Methyl-2-j | pentanone | < 500 | ug/L | | 11/22/2013 |
| Acetone | | 1200 | ug/L I | В | 11/22/2013 |
| Benzene | | < 70.0 | ug/L | | 11/22/2013 |
| Bromochlore | omethane | < 500 | ug/L | | 11/22/2013 |
| Bromodichlo | promethane | < 200 | ug/L | | 11/22/2013 |
| Bromoform | | < 500 | ug/L | | 11/22/2013 |
| Bromometha | ane | < 200 | ug/L | | 11/22/2013 |
| Carbon disul | lfide | < 200 | ug/L | | 11/22/2013 |
| Carbon Tetra | achloride | < 200 | ug/L | | 11/22/2013 |
| Chlorobenze | ene | < 200 | ug/L | | 11/22/2013 |



| Client: | <u>Lu En</u> | <u>gineers, Inc.</u> | | | | |
|--------------------|--------------|-----------------------|-----------------|-------|----------------|------------|
| Project Reference: | Wilkir | ns RV - SMP Sem | i-Annual Ground | water | Sampling | |
| Sample Identifier: | MW | 7-03_11-21-13 | | | | |
| Lab Sample ID: | 134 | 478-02 | | | Date Sampled: | 11/21/2013 |
| Matrix: | Gro | undwater | | | Date Received: | 11/21/2013 |
| Chloroethane | 9 | | < | 200 | ug/L | 11/22/2013 |
| Chloroform | | | < | 200 | ug/L | 11/22/2013 |
| Chlorometha | ne | | < | 200 | ug/L | 11/22/2013 |
| cis-1,2-Dichle | oroethene | | 7 | 380 | ug/L | 11/22/2013 |
| cis-1,3-Dichl | oroproper | e | < | 200 | ug/L | 11/22/2013 |
| Cyclohexane | | | < | 1000 | ug/L | 11/22/2013 |
| Dibromochlo | romethan | e | < | 200 | ug/L | 11/22/2013 |
| Dichlorodiflu | iorometha | ne | < | 200 | ug/L | 11/22/2013 |
| Ethylbenzen | е | | < | 200 | ug/L | 11/22/2013 |
| Freon 113 | | | < | 200 | ug/L | 11/22/2013 |
| Isopropylber | nzene | | < | 200 | ug/L | 11/22/2013 |
| m,p-Xylene | | | < | 200 | ug/L | 11/22/2013 |
| Methyl aceta | te | | < | 200 | ug/L | 11/22/2013 |
| Methyl tert-b | outyl Ether | | < | 200 | ug/L | 11/22/2013 |
| Methylcycloł | nexane | | < | 200 | ug/L | 11/22/2013 |
| Methylene ch | nloride | | < | 500 | ug/L | 11/22/2013 |
| o-Xylene | | | < | 200 | ug/L | 11/22/2013 |
| Styrene | | | < | 500 | ug/L | 11/22/2013 |
| Tetrachloroe | thene | | 14 | -000 | ug/L | 11/22/2013 |
| Toluene | | | < | 200 | ug/L | 11/22/2013 |
| trans-1,2-Dic | chloroethe | ne | < | 200 | ug/L | 11/22/2013 |
| trans-1,3-Dic | chloroprop | ene | < | 200 | ug/L | 11/22/2013 |
| Trichloroeth | ene | | 6 | 340 | ug/L | 11/22/2013 |
| Trichlorofluc | oromethan | e | < | 200 | ug/L | 11/22/2013 |
| Vinyl chlorid | e | | < | 200 | ug/L | 11/22/2013 |
| Method Refere | nce(s): | EPA 8260C EPA 5030 | | | | |
| Data File: | | x09909.D | | | | |



| Client: | Lu Engineers, Inc. | | | | | | |
|--|--------------------|----------------------------------|--------------|------------------|---------------|--|--|
| Project Reference: Wilkins RV - SMP Semi-Annual Groundwater Sampling | | | | | | | |
| Sample Identifier: | MW-06_11-21-13 | | | | | | |
| Lab Sample ID: | 134478-03 | | Date Sample | ed: 11/ | 21/2013 | | |
| Matrix: | Groundwater | Date Received: 11/21/2013 | | | 21/2013 | | |
| <u>Metals</u> | | | | | | | |
| <u>Analyte</u> | | <u>Result</u> | <u>Units</u> | <u>Qualifier</u> | Date Analyzed | | |
| Iron | | 1.11 | mg/L | | 11/26/2013 | | |
| Manganese | | 1.47 | mg/L | | 11/26/2013 | | |
| Method Referenc | e(s): EPA 6010C | | | | | | |
| | EPA 3005 | | | | | | |
| Data File: | 112613a | | | | | | |



| Client: | <u>Lu Engineers, Inc.</u> | | | |
|--------------------|---------------------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------------|
| Project Reference: | Wilkins RV - SMP Semi-Ann | ual Groundwater | Sampling | |
| Sample Identifier: | MW-06_11-21-13 | | | |
| Lab Sample ID: | 134478-03 | | Date Sampled: | 11/21/2013 |
| Matrix: | Groundwater | | Date Received: | 11/21/2013 |
| Volatile Organic | <u>.s</u> | | | |
| <u>Analyte</u> | | <u>Result</u> | <u>Units</u> Qua | llifier Date Analyzed |
| 1,1,1-Trichl | oroethane | < 2.00 | ug/L | 11/22/2013 |
| 1,1,2,2-Tetr | achloroethane | < 2.00 | ug/L | 11/22/2013 |
| 1,1,2-Trichl | oroethane | < 2.00 | ug/L | 11/22/2013 |
| 1,1-Dichloro | bethane | < 2.00 | ug/L | 11/22/2013 |
| 1,1-Dichloro | bethene | < 2.00 | ug/L | 11/22/2013 |
| 1,2,3-Trichl | orobenzene | < 5.00 | ug/L | 11/22/2013 |
| 1,2,4-Trichl | orobenzene | < 5.00 | ug/L | 11/22/2013 |
| 1,2-Dibrom | o-3-Chloropropane | < 10.0 | ug/L | 11/22/2013 |
| 1,2-Dibrom | oethane | < 2.00 | ug/L | 11/22/2013 |
| 1,2-Dichloro | obenzene | < 2.00 | ug/L | 11/22/2013 |
| 1,2-Dichloro | bethane | < 2.00 | ug/L | 11/22/2013 |
| 1,2-Dichloro | opropane | < 2.00 | ug/L | 11/22/2013 |
| 1,3-Dichloro | obenzene | < 2.00 | ug/L | 11/22/2013 |
| 1,4-Dichloro | obenzene | < 2.00 | ug/L | 11/22/2013 |
| 1,4-dioxane | | < 20.0 | ug/L | 11/22/2013 |
| 2-Butanone | | < 10.0 | ug/L | 11/22/2013 |
| 2-Hexanone | 2 | < 5.00 | ug/L | 11/22/2013 |
| 4-Methyl-2- | pentanone | < 5.00 | ug/L | 11/22/2013 |
| Acetone | | < 10.0 | ug/L | 11/22/2013 |
| Benzene | | < 0.700 | ug/L | 11/22/2013 |
| Bromochlor | romethane | < 5.00 | ug/L | 11/22/2013 |
| Bromodichl | oromethane | < 2.00 | ug/L | 11/22/2013 |
| Bromoform | | < 5.00 | ug/L | 11/22/2013 |
| Bromometh | ane | < 2.00 | ug/L | 11/22/2013 |
| Carbon disu | lfide | < 2.00 | ug/L | 11/22/2013 |
| Carbon Tetr | achloride | < 2.00 | ug/L | 11/22/2013 |
| Chlorobenze | ene | < 2.00 | ug/L | 11/22/2013 |



| Client: Project Reference: | Lu Eng Wilkins | i neers, Inc. RV - SMP Semi- | Annual Groundw | vater | Sampling | |
|---|--------------------------|--|----------------|-----------|--|--------------------------|
| Sample Identifier: Lab Sample ID: Matrix: | MW- 1344 Grou | 06_11-21-13 78-03 ndwater | | | Date Sampled: Date Received: | 11/21/2013 11/21/2013 |
| Chloroethane | | | - 2 | 00 | ug/I | 11/22/2013 |
| Chloroform | | | < 2. | 00 | ug/L | 11/22/2013 |
| Chloromethar | no | | < 2. | 00 | ug/L | 11/22/2013 |
| cis 1.2 Dichlo | roothono | | < 2. | 00 | ug/L | 11/22/2013 |
| cis-1,2-Dichlo | ronronene | | < 2. | 00 | ug/L | 11/22/2013 |
| Cyclobeyane | nopropene | | < 2. | 00 1 0 | ug/L | 11/22/2013 |
| Dibromochlor | romethane | | < 10 | 00 | ug/L | 11/22/2013 |
| Dichlorodiflu | oromethan | 2 | 3 1 | 5 | ug/L | 11/22/2013 |
| Ethylbenzene | | | - 2 | 00 | ug/L | 11/22/2013 |
| Ethylbelizene Freen 113 | | | < 2. | 00 | ug/L | 11/22/2013 |
| Isopropylben | 7010 | | < 2. | 00 | ug/L | 11/22/2013 |
| m n-Xulene | Zene | | < 2. | 00 | ug/L | 11/22/2013 |
| Methyl acetat | ē | | < 2. | 00 | ug/L | 11/22/2013 |
| Methyl tert-h | utvl Fther | | < 2. | 00 | ug/L | 11/22/2013 |
| Methylcyclob | evane | | < 2. | 00 | ug/L | 11/22/2013 |
| Methylene ch | loride | | < 5 | 00 | ug/L | 11/22/2013 |
| o-Xvlene | lonae | | < 2 | 00 | ug/L | 11/22/2013 |
| Styrene | | | < 5 | 00 | ug/L | 11/22/2013 |
| Tetrachloroet | hene | | 11 | 9 | ug/L | 11/22/2013 |
| Toluene | linelle | | < 2 | ., | ug/L | 11/22/2013 |
| trans-1 2-Dick | hloroethen | 2 | < 2. | 00 | ug/L | 11/22/2013 |
| trans-1 3-Dick | hloronrone | ne | < 2 | 00 | ug/L | 11/22/2013 |
| Trichloroethe | ne ne | | 1.7 | v8 | ug/L | 11/22/2013 |
| Trichlorofluor | romethane | | < 2 | 00 | ug/L | 11/22/2013 |
| Vinvl chloride | | | < 2 | 00 | ug/L | 11/22/2013 |
| Method Referen | ice(s): | EPA 8260C EPA 5030 | | | ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~ | |
| Data File: | | x09910.D | | | | |



| Client: | Lu Engineers, Inc. | | | | | | | |
|--------------------|---|---------------|----------------|-----------------|---------------|--|--|--|
| Project Reference: | Wilkins RV - SMP Semi-Annual Groundwater Sampling | | | | | | | |
| Sample Identifier: | MW-13_11-21-13 | | | | | | | |
| Lab Sample ID: | 134478-04 | | Date Sampled | : 11/ | 21/2013 | | | |
| Matrix: | Groundwater Date Received: 11/21/2 | | | 21/2013 | | | | |
| <u>Metals</u> | | | | | | | | |
| <u>Analyte</u> | | <u>Result</u> | <u>Units</u> Q | <u>ualifier</u> | Date Analyzed | | | |
| Iron | | 6.13 | mg/L | | 11/26/2013 | | | |
| Manganese | | 0.655 | mg/L | | 11/26/2013 | | | |
| Method Reference | e(s): EPA 6010C | | | | | | | |
| | EPA 3005 | | | | | | | |
| Data File: | 112613a | | | | | | | |



| Client: | <u>Lu Engineers, Inc.</u> | | | | |
|--------------------|---------------------------|-----------------|------------------|--------|---------------|
| Project Reference: | Wilkins RV - SMP Semi-Ann | ual Groundwater | Sampling | | |
| Sample Identifier: | MW-13_11-21-13 | | | | |
| Lab Sample ID: | 134478-04 | | Date Sampled: | 11/2 | 21/2013 |
| Matrix: | Groundwater | | Date Received: | 11/2 | 21/2013 |
| Volatile Organic | <u>CS</u> | | | | |
| Analyte | | Result | <u>Units</u> Qua | lifier | Date Analyzed |
| 1,1,1-Trichl | oroethane | < 2.00 | ug/L | | 11/22/2013 |
| 1,1,2,2-Tetr | achloroethane | < 2.00 | ug/L | | 11/22/2013 |
| 1,1,2-Trichl | oroethane | < 2.00 | ug/L | | 11/22/2013 |
| 1,1-Dichloro | oethane | < 2.00 | ug/L | | 11/22/2013 |
| 1,1-Dichloro | oethene | < 2.00 | ug/L | | 11/22/2013 |
| 1,2,3-Trichl | orobenzene | < 5.00 | ug/L | | 11/22/2013 |
| 1,2,4-Trichl | orobenzene | < 5.00 | ug/L | | 11/22/2013 |
| 1,2-Dibrom | o-3-Chloropropane | < 10.0 | ug/L | | 11/22/2013 |
| 1,2-Dibrom | oethane | < 2.00 | ug/L | | 11/22/2013 |
| 1,2-Dichloro | obenzene | < 2.00 | ug/L | | 11/22/2013 |
| 1,2-Dichloro | oethane | < 2.00 | ug/L | | 11/22/2013 |
| 1,2-Dichloro | opropane | < 2.00 | ug/L | | 11/22/2013 |
| 1,3-Dichloro | obenzene | < 2.00 | ug/L | | 11/22/2013 |
| 1,4-Dichloro | obenzene | < 2.00 | ug/L | | 11/22/2013 |
| 1,4-dioxane | | < 20.0 | ug/L | | 11/22/2013 |
| 2-Butanone | | < 10.0 | ug/L | | 11/22/2013 |
| 2-Hexanone | | < 5.00 | ug/L | | 11/22/2013 |
| 4-Methyl-2- | pentanone | < 5.00 | ug/L | | 11/22/2013 |
| Acetone | | < 10.0 | ug/L | | 11/22/2013 |
| Benzene | | < 0.700 | ug/L | | 11/22/2013 |
| Bromochlor | romethane | < 5.00 | ug/L | | 11/22/2013 |
| Bromodichl | oromethane | < 2.00 | ug/L | | 11/22/2013 |
| Bromoform | | < 5.00 | ug/L | | 11/22/2013 |
| Bromometh | ane | < 2.00 | ug/L | | 11/22/2013 |
| Carbon disu | lfide | < 2.00 | ug/L | | 11/22/2013 |
| Carbon Tetr | rachloride | < 2.00 | ug/L | | 11/22/2013 |
| Chlorobenz | ene | < 2.00 | ug/L | | 11/22/2013 |



| Client: | <u>Lu En</u> g | <u>gineers, Inc.</u> | | | | |
|--------------------|----------------|----------------------|-------------------|------------|-------------|------------|
| Project Reference: | Wilkin | s RV - SMP Sen | ni-Annual Groundv | vater Samp | ling | |
| Sample Identifier: | MW | -13 11-21-13 | | | | |
| Lab Sample ID: | 134 | 478-04 | | Dat | e Sampled: | 11/21/2013 |
| Matrix: | Gro | undwater | | Dat | e Received: | 11/21/2013 |
| Chloroethane | | | < 2. | 00 1 | ug/L | 11/22/2013 |
| Chloroform | | | < 2. | 00 1 | ug/L | 11/22/2013 |
| Chlorometha | ne | | < 2. | 00 1 | ug/L | 11/22/2013 |
| cis-1.2-Dichlo | roethene | | < 2. | 00 1 | ug/L | 11/22/2013 |
| cis-1,3-Dichlo | ropropen | e | < 2. | 00 1 | ug/L | 11/22/2013 |
| Cvclohexane | 1 1 | | < 10 |).0 ı | ug/L | 11/22/2013 |
| Dibromochlo | romethan | e | < 2. | 00 1 | ug/L | 11/22/2013 |
| Dichlorodiflu | orometha | ne | < 2. | 00 1 | ug/L | 11/22/2013 |
| Ethylbenzene | | | < 2. | 00 1 | ug/L | 11/22/2013 |
| Freon 113 | | | < 2. | 00 1 | ug/L | 11/22/2013 |
| Isopropylben | zene | | < 2. | 00 1 | ug/L | 11/22/2013 |
| m,p-Xylene | | | < 2. | 00 1 | ug/L | 11/22/2013 |
| Methyl acetat | e | | < 2. | 00 1 | ug/L | 11/22/2013 |
| Methyl tert-b | utyl Ether | | < 2. | 00 1 | ug/L | 11/22/2013 |
| Methylcycloh | exane | | < 2. | 00 1 | ug/L | 11/22/2013 |
| Methylene ch | loride | | < 5. | 00 1 | ug/L | 11/22/2013 |
| o-Xylene | | | < 2. | 00 1 | ug/L | 11/22/2013 |
| Styrene | | | < 5. | 00 1 | ug/L | 11/22/2013 |
| Tetrachloroet | hene | | < 2. | 00 1 | ug/L | 11/22/2013 |
| Toluene | | | < 2. | 00 1 | ug/L | 11/22/2013 |
| trans-1,2-Dic | nloroethe | ne | < 2. | 00 1 | ug/L | 11/22/2013 |
| trans-1,3-Dic | nloroprop | ene | < 2. | 00 1 | ug/L | 11/22/2013 |
| Trichloroethe | ne | | < 2. | 00 1 | ug/L | 11/22/2013 |
| Trichlorofluo | romethan | e | < 2. | 00 1 | ug/L | 11/22/2013 |
| Vinyl chloride | 9 | | < 2. | 00 1 | ug/L | 11/22/2013 |
| Method Referen | ce(s): | EPA 8260C | | | | , , |
| Data File: | | EPA 5030 x09911.D | | | | |



| Client: | <u>Lu Engineers, Inc.</u> | | | |
|--------------------|-----------------------------|----------------|------------------|----------------------|
| Project Reference: | Wilkins RV - SMP Semi-Annua | al Groundwater | Sampling | |
| Sample Identifier: | Trip Blank_11-21-13 | | | |
| Lab Sample ID: | 134478-05 | | Date Sampled: | 11/21/2013 |
| Matrix: | Water | | Date Received: | 11/21/2013 |
| Volatile Organic | <u></u> | | | |
| <u>Analyte</u> | | <u>Result</u> | <u>Units</u> Qua | llifier Date Analyze |
| 1,1,1-Trichl | oroethane | < 2.00 | ug/L | 11/22/201 |
| 1,1,2,2-Tetr | achloroethane | < 2.00 | ug/L | 11/22/201 |
| 1,1,2-Trichl | oroethane | < 2.00 | ug/L | 11/22/201 |
| 1,1-Dichloro | bethane | < 2.00 | ug/L | 11/22/201 |
| 1,1-Dichloro | bethene | < 2.00 | ug/L | 11/22/201 |
| 1,2,3-Trichl | orobenzene | < 5.00 | ug/L | 11/22/201 |
| 1,2,4-Trichl | orobenzene | < 5.00 | ug/L | 11/22/201 |
| 1,2-Dibrom | o-3-Chloropropane | < 10.0 | ug/L | 11/22/201 |
| 1,2-Dibrom | oethane | < 2.00 | ug/L | 11/22/201 |
| 1,2-Dichloro | obenzene | < 2.00 | ug/L | 11/22/201 |
| 1,2-Dichloro | oethane | < 2.00 | ug/L | 11/22/201 |
| 1,2-Dichloro | opropane | < 2.00 | ug/L | 11/22/201 |
| 1,3-Dichloro | obenzene | < 2.00 | ug/L | 11/22/201 |
| 1,4-Dichloro | obenzene | < 2.00 | ug/L | 11/22/201 |
| 1,4-dioxane | | < 20.0 | ug/L | 11/22/201 |
| 2-Butanone | | < 10.0 | ug/L | 11/22/201 |
| 2-Hexanone | | < 5.00 | ug/L | 11/22/201 |
| 4-Methyl-2- | pentanone | < 5.00 | ug/L | 11/22/201 |
| Acetone | | < 10.0 | ug/L | 11/22/201 |
| Benzene | | < 0.700 | ug/L | 11/22/201 |
| Bromochlor | romethane | < 5.00 | ug/L | 11/22/201 |
| Bromodichl | oromethane | < 2.00 | ug/L | 11/22/201 |
| Bromoform | | < 5.00 | ug/L | 11/22/201 |
| Bromometh | ane | < 2.00 | ug/L | 11/22/201 |
| Carbon disu | lfide | < 2.00 | ug/L | 11/22/201 |
| Carbon Tetr | rachloride | < 2.00 | ug/L | 11/22/201 |
| Chlorobenz | ene | < 2.00 | ug/L | 11/22/201 |



| Client: | <u>Lu Engineers, Inc.</u> | | | |
|--------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|----------------|------------|
| Project Reference: | Wilkins RV - SMP Semi-Ar | nnual Groundwater | Sampling | |
| Sample Identifier: | Trip Blank_11-21-13 | | | |
| Lab Sample ID: | 134478-05 | | Date Sampled: | 11/21/2013 |
| Matrix: | Water | | Date Received: | 11/21/2013 |
| Chloroethane | | < 2.00 | ug/L | 11/22/2013 |
| Chloroform | | < 2.00 | ug/L | 11/22/2013 |
| Chloromethane | | < 2.00 | ug/L | 11/22/2013 |
| cis-1,2-Dichloro | oethene | < 2.00 | ug/L | 11/22/2013 |
| cis-1,3-Dichloro | propene | < 2.00 | ug/L | 11/22/2013 |
| Cyclohexane | | < 10.0 | ug/L | 11/22/2013 |
| Dibromochloro | methane | < 2.00 | ug/L | 11/22/2013 |
| Dichlorodifluor | omethane | < 2.00 | ug/L | 11/22/2013 |
| Ethylbenzene | | < 2.00 | ug/L | 11/22/2013 |
| Freon 113 | | < 2.00 | ug/L | 11/22/2013 |
| Isopropylbenze | ne | < 2.00 | ug/L | 11/22/2013 |
| m,p-Xylene | | < 2.00 | ug/L | 11/22/2013 |
| Methyl acetate | | < 2.00 | ug/L | 11/22/2013 |
| Methyl tert-but | yl Ether | < 2.00 | ug/L | 11/22/2013 |
| Methylcyclohex | ane | < 2.00 | ug/L | 11/22/2013 |
| Methylene chlor | ride | < 5.00 | ug/L | 11/22/2013 |
| o-Xylene | | < 2.00 | ug/L | 11/22/2013 |
| Styrene | | < 5.00 | ug/L | 11/22/2013 |
| Tetrachloroethe | ene | < 2.00 | ug/L | 11/22/2013 |
| Toluene | | < 2.00 | ug/L | 11/22/2013 |
| trans-1,2-Dichle | proethene | < 2.00 | ug/L | 11/22/2013 |
| trans-1,3-Dichle | propropene | < 2.00 | ug/L | 11/22/2013 |
| Trichloroethene | 9 | < 2.00 | ug/L | 11/22/2013 |
| Trichlorofluoro | methane | < 2.00 | ug/L | 11/22/2013 |
| Vinyl chloride | | < 2.00 | ug/L | 11/22/2013 |
| Method Reference | (s): EPA 8260C EPA 5030 | | | |



Method Blank Report

| Client: | <u>Lu Engineers, Inc.</u> |
|--------------------|---|
| Project Reference: | Wilkins RV - SMP Semi-Annual Groundwater Sampling |
| Lab Project ID: | 134478 |
| SDG #: | 4478-01 |
| Matrix: | Groundwater |

Metals

| | <u>Analyte</u> | | <u>Result</u> | <u>Units</u> | <u>Qualifier</u> | Date Analyzed |
|------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------|--------------|------------------|---------------|
| | Iron | | <0.100 | mg/L | | 11/26/2013 |
| | Manganese | | < 0.0150 | mg/L | | 11/26/2013 |
| | Method Reference(s): | EPA 6010C EPA 3005 | | | | |
| <i>°</i> ≩ | Data File: OC Batch ID: | 112613a 0C131125waters | | | | |
| | QC Number: | 1 | | | | |

Viget.

1.85°

Ŕ

Ę.

| A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A |
|---------------------------------------|
|---------------------------------------|

PARADIGM

Į.

<u>QC Report for Laboratory Control Sample and Control Sample Duplicate</u>

Page 17 of 25

| Chent: | <u>ភិពក្នុ</u> រាក | TILET S | <u>, IIIC.</u> | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------|--------------------|--------------|----------------|---------------|---------------|----------|------------|--------------|------------|----------|------------|--------------|-----------------|------------|
| Project Reference: | Wilkins | s RV - S | MP Sei | mi-Annı | ual Groi | undwate | r Sampling | | | | | | | |
| Lab Project ID: | 134478 | ω | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| SDG #: | 4478-0 | Ā | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Matrix: | Ground | lwater | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Metals | | | | · | | | | | | | | | | |
| | LCS | LCSD | <u>Spike</u> | LCS | LCSD | LCS % | LCSD % | <u>% Rec</u> | <u>LCS</u> | LCSD | Relative % | <u>RPD</u> | <u>RPD</u> | Date |
| Analyte | Added | <u>Added</u> | Units | <u>Result</u> | <u>Result</u> | Recovery | Recovery | Limits | Outliers | Outliers | Difference | <u>Limit</u> | Outliers | Analyzed |
| Iron | 2.50 | 2.50 | mg/L | 2.45 | 2.47 | 98.1 | 98.9 | 85 - 115 | | | 0.830 | 20 | | 11/26/2013 |
| Manganese | 1.00 | 1.00 | mg/L | 0.982 | 0.979 | 98.2 | 97.9 | 85 - 115 | | | 0.347 | 20 | | 11/26/2013 |
| Method Reference(s): | EPA 60: EPA 30(| 10C | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Data File: | 112613 | д | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| QC Number: | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| QC Batch ID: | QC1311 | 25waters | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

compliance with the sample condition requirements upon receipt. This report is part of a multipage document and should only be evaluated in its entirety. The Chain of Custody provides additional sample information, including

. 0

| With the sample condition a Report Prepared Wedness | NC = Not Calculable. Applic ten times the spike added. | Method Refer QC Batch ID: | Manganese | <u>Analyte</u> Iron | Metals | Lab Sample ID: Sample Identifier: Matrix: | Project Reference: | Client: | | PA R |
|---|---|--|------------|--|--------|---|---------------------------|------------|------------|------|
| requirements tay, Novembe | able to RPD ij | ence(s); | 0.655 | <u>Sample</u> <u>Results</u> 6.13 | | 1344 MW- Grou | Wilkins | Lu Engi | | ADIG |
| s upon receip r 27, 2013 | ^r sample or di | EPA 6010C EPA 3005 112613a QC131125wa | mg/L | Result Units mg/L | | 78-04 13_11-21-3 ndwater | RV - SMP | neers, Inc | | Z |
| off. | uplicate rest | ters | 1.00 | Spike Added 2.50 | | G | Semi-Ann | l¥ - | QC Repo | |
| | ult is non-c | | 1.64 | <u>Spike</u> <u>Result</u> 8.44 | | | ual Grou | | nt for S | |
| | letect or estin | | 98.9 | Spike % Recovery 92.2 | | | ndwater Sa | | ample Spi | |
| A A A A | nated (see prin | | 75 - 125 | <u>% Rec</u> <u>Limits</u> 75 - 125 | | | umpling | | ke and Sa | |
| d famous | n ary report Custody n | | | <u>Spike</u> Outliers | - | | | | mple Du | |
| | for data flay | | 0.667 | Duplicate Result 6.13 | | | | | plicate | |
| | gs). Applicable | | 1.85 | Relative % Difference 0.0137 | | Date Samı Date Rece | | Lab Proje | SDG #: | |
| | to MS if so | | 20 | <u>RPD</u> Limit 20 | | ived: | | ct ID: 1 | | |
| | ample is grea | | | <u>RPD</u> Outliers | | L1/21/201 L1/21/201 | | 134478 | 1478-01 | |
| | ter or equal to | | 11/26/2013 | <u>Date</u> <u>Analyzed</u> 11/26/2013 | | ωω | o Andrea | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | Page 18 of | f 25 |



Method Blank Report

| Client: | <u>Lu Engineers, Inc.</u> |
|---------------------------|---|
| Project Reference: | Wilkins RV - SMP Semi-Annual Groundwater Sampling |
| Lab Project ID: | 134478 |
| SDG #: | 4478-01 |
| Matrix: | Groundwater |

Volatile Organics

| Analyte | <u>Result</u> | <u>Units</u> | <u>Qualifier</u> | <u>Date Analyzed</u> |
|-----------------------------|---------------|--------------|------------------|----------------------|
| 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | <2.00 | ug/L | | 11/22/2013 |
| 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane | <2.00 | ug/L | | 11/22/2013 |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | <2.00 | ug/L | | 11/22/2013 |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane | <2.00 | ug/L | | 11/22/2013 |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | <2.00 | ug/L | | 11/22/2013 |
| 1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene | <5.00 | ug/L | | 11/22/2013 |
| 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene | <5.00 | ug/L | | 11/22/2013 |
| 1,2-Dibromo-3-Chloropropane | <10.0 | ug/L | | 11/22/2013 |
| 1,2-Dibromoethane | <2.00 | ug/L | | 11/22/2013 |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | <2.00 | ug/L | | 11/22/2013 |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | <2.00 | ug/L | | 11/22/2013 |
| 1,2-Dichloropropane | <2.00 | ug/L | | 11/22/2013 |
| 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | <2.00 | ug/L | | 11/22/2013 |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | <2.00 | ug/L | | 11/22/2013 |
| 1,4-dioxane | <20.0 | ug/L | | 11/22/2013 |
| 2-Butanone | <10.0 | ug/L | | 11/22/2013 |
| 2-Hexanone | <5.00 | ug/L | | 11/22/2013 |
| 4-Methyl-2-pentanone | <5.00 | ug/L | | 11/22/2013 |
| Acetone | 21.2 | ug/L | | 11/22/2013 |
| Benzene | <0.700 | ug/L | | 11/22/2013 |
| Bromochloromethane | <5.00 | ug/L | | 11/22/2013 |
| Bromodichloromethane | <2.00 | ug/L | | 11/22/2013 |
| Bromoform | <5.00 | ug/L | | 11/22/2013 |
| Bromomethane | <2.00 | ug/L | | 11/22/2013 |
| Carbon disulfide | <2.00 | ug/L | | 11/22/2013 |
| Carbon Tetrachloride | <2.00 | ug/L | | 11/22/2013 |
| Chlorobenzene | <2.00 | ug/L | | 11/22/2013 |
| Chloroethane | <2.00 | ug/L | | 11/22/2013 |
| | | | | |

This report is part of a multipage document and should only be evaluated in its entirety. The Chain of Custody provides additional sample information, including compliance with the sample condition requirements upon receipt.

Report Prepared Monday, December 02, 2013



Method Blank Report

| Client: | Lu Engineers, Inc. |
|--------------------|---|
| Project Reference: | Wilkins RV - SMP Semi-Annual Groundwater Sampling |
| Lab Project ID: | 134478 |
| SDG #: | 4478-01 |
| Matrix: | Groundwater |

| Volatile Organics | | | | | |
|--|--|---------------|--------------|-----------|----------------------|
| <u>Analyte</u> | | <u>Result</u> | <u>Units</u> | Qualifier | <u>Date Analyzed</u> |
| Chloroform | | <2.00 | ug/L | | 11/22/2013 |
| Chloromethane | | <2.00 | ug/L | | 11/22/2013 |
| cis-1,2-Dichloroet | chene | <2.00 | ug/L | | 11/22/2013 |
| cis-1,3-Dichlorop | ropene | <2.00 | ug/L | | 11/22/2013 |
| Cyclohexane | | <10.0 | ug/L | | 11/22/2013 |
| Dibromochlorom | ethane | <2.00 | ug/L | | 11/22/2013 |
| Dichlorodifluoror | nethane | <2.00 | ug/L | | 11/22/2013 |
| Ethylbenzene | | <2.00 | ug/L | | 11/22/2013 |
| Freon 113 | | <2.00 | ug/L | | 11/22/2013 |
| Isopropylbenzene | 9 | <2.00 | ug/L | | 11/22/2013 |
| m,p-Xylene | | <2.00 | ug/L | | 11/22/2013 |
| Methyl acetate | | <2.00 | ug/L | | 11/22/2013 |
| Methyl tert-butyl | Ether | <2.00 | ug/L | | 11/22/2013 |
| Methylcyclohexa | ne | <2.00 | ug/L | | 11/22/2013 |
| Methylene chlorid | le | <5.00 | ug/L | | 11/22/2013 |
| o-Xylene | | <2.00 | ug/L | | 11/22/2013 |
| Styrene | | <5.00 | ug/L | | 11/22/2013 |
| Tetrachloroethen | e | <2.00 | ug/L | | 11/22/2013 |
| Toluene | | <2.00 | ug/L | | 11/22/2013 |
| trans-1,2-Dichlor | oethene | <2.00 | ug/L | | 11/22/2013 |
| trans-1,3-Dichlor | opropene | <2.00 | ug/L | | 11/22/2013 |
| Trichloroethene | | <2.00 | ug/L | | 11/22/2013 |
| Trichlorofluorom | ethane | <2.00 | ug/L | | 11/22/2013 |
| Vinyl chloride | | <2.00 | ug/L | | 11/22/2013 |
| Method Reference(s Data File: OC Batch ID: |): EPA 8260C EPA 5030 x09906.D yoaw112213 | | | | |

This report is part of a multipage document and should only be evaluated in its entirety. The Chain of Custody provides additional sample information, including compliance with the sample condition requirements upon receipt.

1

QC Number:



Client:

Lu Engineers, Inc.

Project Reference:

Wilkins RV - SMP Semi-Annual Groundwater Sampling

Lab Project ID:

134478

PARADIGM

QC Report for Laboratory Control Sample

| SDG #: | 4478-01 | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------|-------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|-----------------|-------------|
| Matrix: | Groundwater | | | | | | | |
| Volatile Organics | | | | | | | | |
| | | <u>Spike</u> | <u>Spike</u> | <u>LCS</u> | <u>LCS %</u> | <u>% Rec</u> | <u>LCS</u> | <u>Date</u> |
| Analyte | | Added | <u>Units</u> | <u>Result</u> | Recovery | Limits | <u>Outliers</u> | Analyzed |
| 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | | 50.0 | ug/L | 59.8 | 120 | 73.8 - 118.4 | * | 11/22/2013 |
| 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane | | 50.0 | ug/L | 52.8 | 106 | 80.8 - 117.8 | | 11/22/2013 |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | | 50.0 | ug/L | 49.8 | 99.6 | 77.3 - 109.8 | | 11/22/2013 |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane | | 50.0 | ug/L | 53.9 | 108 | 74.6 - 112.3 | | 11/22/2013 |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | | 50.0 | ug/L | 58.1 | 116 | 66.1 - 117.1 | | 11/22/2013 |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | | 50.0 | ug/L | 51.9 | 104 | 79.5 - 108.2 | | 11/22/2013 |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | | 50.0 | ug/L | 58.6 | 117 | 71.3 - 120.3 | | 11/22/2013 |
| 1,2-Dichloropropane | | 50.0 | ug/L | 53.3 | 107 | 78.3 - 105.9 | ¥ | 11/22/2013 |
| 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | | 50.0 | ug/L | 51.9 | 104 | 79.7 - 106.2 | | 11/22/2013 |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | | 50.0 | ug/L | 51.5 | 103 | 77.8 - 103.4 | | 11/22/2013 |
| Benzene | | 50.0 | ug/L | 53.8 | 108 | 83.8 - 109.8 | | 11/22/2013 |

compliance with the sample condition requirements upon receipt. This report is part of a multipage document and should only be evaluated in its entirety. The Chain of Custody provides additional sample information, including

Report Prepared Monday, December 02, 2013

Bromoform

50.0

50.0

ug/L

117

50.0 50.0

ug/L

58,4 55.0 42.0 61.6

123

72.3 - 123.6

11/22/2013 11/22/2013 11/22/2013 11/22/2013

ug/L ug/L

110 84.0

80.7 -76.2 -41.4 -

119.8 121 156.7

Bromodichloromethane

Bromomethane

Carbon Tetrachloride



Project Reference:

Wilkins RV - SMP Semi-Annual Groundwater Sampling

Client:

Lu Engineers, Inc.

PARADIGM

OC Report for Laboratory Control Sample

| Lab Project ID: | 134478 | | | | | | |
|-------------------|-------------|--------------|--------------|--------|--|---------------|----------------|
| SDG #: | 4478-01 | | | | | | |
| Matrix: | Groundwater | | | | | | |
| Volatile Organics | | | | | , a desta de la consta de la const | | |
| | | <u>Spike</u> | <u>Spike</u> | LCS | LCS % | <u>% Rec</u> | <u>LCS</u> |
| Analyte | | Added | <u>Units</u> | Result | <u>Recovery</u> | <u>Limits</u> | <u>Outlier</u> |
| Chlorobenzene | | 50.0 | ug/L | 51,3 | 103 | 80.8 - 104.3 | |
| Chloroethane | | 50.0 | ug/L | 55.5 | 111 | 77.6 - 121.5 | |
| Chloroform | | 50.0 | ug/L | 56.1 | 112 | 76.6 - 111.1 | * |
| Chloromethane | | 50.0 | ug/L | 59.8 | 120 | 80.6 - 135.8 | |

| (| | | | | | | |
|---------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------|----------|--------------|-----------------|------------|
| | <u>Spike</u> | <u>Spike</u> | LCS | LCS % | <u>% Rec</u> | <u>LCS</u> | Date |
| Analyte | Added | <u>Units</u> | Result | Recovery | Limits | Outliers | Analyzed |
| Chlorobenzene | 50.0 | ug/L | 51.3 | 103 | 80.8 - 104.3 | | 11/22/2013 |
| Chloroethane | 50.0 | ug/L | 55.5 | 111 | 77.6 - 121.5 | | 11/22/2013 |
| Chloroform | 50.0 | ug/L | 56.1 | 112 | 76.6 - 111.1 | * | 11/22/2013 |
| Chloromethane | 50.0 | ug/L | 59.8 | 120 | 80.6 - 135.8 | | 11/22/2013 |
| cis-1,3-Dichloropropene | 50.0 | ug/L | 56.1 | 112 | 85.2 - 114.3 | | 11/22/2013 |
| Dibromochloromethane | 50.0 | ug/L | 56.9 | 114 | 80.3 - 125.5 | | 11/22/2013 |
| Ethylbenzene | 50.0 | ug/L | 54.3 | 109 | 82.4 - 107.9 | * | 11/22/2013 |
| Methylene chloride | 50.0 | ug/L | 55.8 | 112 | 75.7 - 114.7 | | 11/22/2013 |
| Tetrachloroethene | 50.0 | ug/L | 53.9 | 108 | 74.9 - 119.8 | | 11/22/2013 |
| Toluene | 50.0 | ug/L | 53.8 | 108 | 81.6 - 110.3 | | 11/22/2013 |
| trans-1,2-Dichloroethene | 50.0 | ug/L | 58.1 | 116 | 75.3 - 114.5 | * | 11/22/2013 |
| trans-1,3-Dichloropropene | 50.0 | ug/L | 58.3 | 117 | 76.5 - 124.2 | | 11/22/2013 |
| Trichloroethene | 50.0 | ug/L | 54.4 | 109 | 81.7 - 111.6 | | 11/22/2013 |
| Trichlorofluoromethane | 50.0 | ug/L | 61.1 | 122 | 69.1 - 131 | | 11/22/2013 |
| Vinyl chloride | 50.0 | ug/L | 53.2 | 106 | 63.8 - 132.8 | | 11/22/2013 |

| PAR, | ADIGM | | | | | | | 6.25 | i 25 |
|-----------------------------|--|--------------------|-------------------|---------------|--------------------|------------------|--|----------|----------|
| | <u>OC R</u> | eport for La | boratory Co | ntrol Sam | ple | | | 22 | age 23 c |
| Client: | <u>Lu Engineers, Inc.</u> | | | | | | | D | Pa |
| Project Reference: | Wilkins RV - SMP Semi-Annu | ual Groundwa | ter Sampling | | | | | | |
| Lab Project ID: | 134478 | | | | | | | | |
| SDG #: | 4478-01 | | | | | | | | |
| Matrix: | Groundwater | | | | | | And and a second se | | |
| Volatile Organics | | | | | | | | | |
| | | <u>Spike</u> | <u>Spike</u> | <u>LCS</u> | LCS % | <u>% Rec</u> | LCS | Date | |
| Analyte | | Added | Units | Kesult | Kecovery | <u>situit</u> | <u>ounis</u> | нлатуден | |
| Method Reference(s): | EPA 8260C דיטא בחסח | | | | | | | | |
| Data File: | x09905.D | | | | | | | | |
| QC Number: | 1 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Several marginal QC ou | tliers; affected compounds were not | t detected in sam | ıples. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | ÷ | | |
| This report is part of a mi | ultipage document and should only b | be evaluated in it | s entirety. The (| hain of Custo | dy provides additi | onal sample info | rmation, includit | đđ | |
| รณาประการระการการระการ | มันการเป็นเป็นเป็นเป็นเป็นเป็นเป็นเป็นเป็นเป็น | بہ دیا ہے۔ | | | | | | | |

Report Prepared Monday, December 02, 2013

| Standard 5 day | Turnaround Time Availability contingent upon lab a | 0 | 4 6 5 ° | 4 11/21/13 12:10 2 | 3 11/21/13 13:25 X | 111/21/13 11:30 X | | WILKINS KV - SMP Semi-annus Groundwarter | PROJECT REFERENCE |
|---|---|-------------------|----------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|---|---|--|
| Basic EDD NYSDEC EDD | Report Supplements pproval; additional fees may apply. | | TRIP BLANK_11- | MW-13-11-21-13 | MW-06_11-21-13 | MW-JCL-02_11-21-13 | SAMPLE IDENTIFIER | Matrix Codes: AQ - Aqueous Liquid NQ - Non-Aqueous Liquid | 179 Lake Avenue, CLIENT: CLIEN |
| Relinquished By | Eve Detweiler Sua k | | | ¥ 3 2 / | 3 2 | WG 3 2 1 | ×-z+>z wmoon TO zmwzcz wzmz->+zon TCL VOGS 8260 FC, MA | WA - Water DW - Drinking Water WG - Groundwater WW - Wastewater AMW - Wastewater AMAL | Rochester, NY 14608 Office (585) 647-2530 Fax (585) HAIN OF CUSTODY INVOICE 1 Surve 20 DIDRESS: ZIP: VIS34 OFFIC: ATTN: |
| terTime 3 (532 terTime 3 (532 terTime | Ushtan 11-21-13 | en ulziliz @ 1528 | | | | | REMARKS | SO - Soil SD - Solid WP - Wip SL - Sludge PT - Paint CK - Cau | 647-3311 0: 134478 134478 Quotation #: MS67 Email: |
| | | lived | 2 | °0 c 1 ∧ 1 | 0 | 00- | PARADIGM LAB SAMPLE NUMBER | ulk AR - Air | Page 24 of 25 |





Chain of Custody Supplement

| Client: | Le Eng | Completed by: | \$5L |
|---|--|---------------------------------------|------------------|
| Lab Project ID: | 134478 | Date: | 11/20/13 |
| | Sample Condition Per NELAC/ELAP 21 | on Requirements 10/241/242/243/244 | |
| N Condition | ELAC compliance with the sample Yes | condition requirements upo No | n receipt N/A |
| Container Type Comments | X 13, ZV | | |
| Transferred to method- compliant container | | | |
| Headspace (<1 mL) Comments | X VoA | | |
| Preservation Comments | × | | |
| Chlorine Absent (<0.10 ppm per test strip) Comments | | | |
| Holding Time Comments | | | |
| Temperature Comments | 5°C iud delived | by hand on 1 | X Metals |
| Sufficient Sample Quantity Comments | | | |
| | | | |



| Client: | <u>Lu Engineers,</u> | <u>Inc.</u> | | | | | |
|--------------------|---|-------------|---------------|--------------|----------------------------|---------------|--|
| Project Reference: | Wilkins RV Semi-Annual Groundwater Sampling | | | | | | |
| Sample Identifier: | MW-JCL-02_ | 06-19-14 | | | | | |
| Lab Sample ID: | 142587-01 | | | Date Sample | l: 6/1 | 9/2014 | |
| Matrix: | Groundwate | r | | Date Receive | Received: 6/19/2014 | | |
| <u>Metals</u> | | | | | | | |
| <u>Analyte</u> | | | <u>Result</u> | <u>Units</u> | Qualifier | Date Analyzed | |
| Iron | | | 4.63 | mg/L | | 6/23/2014 | |
| Manganese | | | 2.19 | mg/L | | 6/23/2014 | |
| Method Referen | nce(s): EPA 6010 | С | | | | | |
| Data File: | EPA 3005 062314b | | | | | | |



| Client: | <u>Lu Engineers, Inc.</u> | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|---|---------------|------------------|----------------------|--|--|--|
| Project Reference: | Wilkins RV Semi-Annual Groundwater Sampling | | | | | | |
| Sample Identifier: | MW-JCL-02_06-19-14 | | | | | | |
| Lab Sample ID: | 142587-01 | | Date Sampled: | 6/19/2014 | | | |
| Matrix: | Groundwater | | Date Received: | 6/19/2014 | | | |
| Volatile Organics | | | | | | | |
| <u>Analyte</u> | | <u>Result</u> | <u>Units</u> Qua | lifier Date Analyzed | | | |
| 1,1,1-Trichlor | oethane | < 100 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 | | | |
| 1,1,2,2-Tetrac | hloroethane | < 100 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 | | | |
| 1,1,2-Trichlor | oethane | < 100 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 | | | |
| 1,1-Dichloroet | thane | < 100 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 | | | |
| 1,1-Dichloroet | thene | < 100 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 | | | |
| 1,2,3-Trichlor | obenzene | < 250 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 | | | |
| 1,2,4-Trichlor | obenzene | < 250 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 | | | |
| 1,2-Dibromo-3-Chloropropane | | < 500 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 | | | |
| 1,2-Dibromoe | thane | < 100 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 | | | |
| 1,2-Dichlorob | enzene | < 100 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 | | | |
| 1,2-Dichloroet | thane | < 100 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 | | | |
| 1,2-Dichlorop | ropane | < 100 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 | | | |
| 1,3-Dichlorob | enzene | < 100 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 | | | |
| 1,4-Dichlorob | enzene | < 100 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 | | | |
| 1,4-dioxane | | < 1000 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 | | | |
| 2-Butanone | | < 500 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 | | | |
| 2-Hexanone | | < 250 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 | | | |
| 4-Methyl-2-pe | entanone | < 250 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 | | | |
| Acetone | | < 500 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 | | | |
| Benzene | | < 35.0 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 | | | |
| Bromochloron | nethane | < 250 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 | | | |
| Bromodichlor | omethane | < 100 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 | | | |
| Bromoform | | < 250 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 | | | |
| Bromomethan | ie | < 100 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 | | | |
| Carbon disulfi | de | < 100 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 | | | |
| Carbon Tetrac | hloride | < 100 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 | | | |
| Chlorobenzen | е | < 100 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 | | | |

This report is part of a multipage document and should only be evaluated in its entirety. The Chain of Custody provides additional sample information, including compliance with the sample condition requirements upon receipt.

PARADIGM PARADIGM



| Client: | <u>Lu Er</u> | igineers, Inc. | | | | | | |
|--------------------|--------------|---|-------|----------------|-----------|--|--|--|
| Project Reference: | Wilki | Wilkins RV Semi-Annual Groundwater Sampling | | | | | | |
| Sample Identifier: | MV | V-JCL-02_06-19-14 | | | | | | |
| Lab Sample ID: | 142 | 2587-01 | | Date Sampled: | 6/19/2014 | | | |
| Matrix: | Gro | oundwater | | Date Received: | 6/19/2014 | | | |
| Chloroetha | ne | | < 100 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 | | | |
| Chloroform | L | | < 100 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 | | | |
| Chlorometh | nane | | < 100 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 | | | |
| cis-1,2-Dicł | loroethene | 9 | 3030 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 | | | |
| cis-1,3-Dich | loroprope | ne | < 100 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 | | | |
| Cyclohexan | e | | < 500 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 | | | |
| Dibromoch | loromethai | ne | < 100 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 | | | |
| Dichlorodif | luorometh | ane | < 100 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 | | | |
| Ethylbenze | ne | | < 100 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 | | | |
| Freon 113 | | | < 100 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 | | | |
| Isopropylbe | enzene | | < 100 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 | | | |
| m,p-Xylene | | | < 100 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 | | | |
| Methyl acet | ate | | < 100 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 | | | |
| Methyl tert | -butyl Ethe | r | < 100 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 | | | |
| Methylcyclo | ohexane | | < 100 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 | | | |
| Methylene | chloride | | < 250 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 | | | |
| o-Xylene | | | < 100 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 | | | |
| Styrene | | | < 250 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 | | | |
| Tetrachloro | oethene | | 1910 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 | | | |
| Toluene | | | < 100 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 | | | |
| trans-1,2-D | ichloroeth | ene | < 100 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 | | | |
| trans-1,3-D | ichloropro | pene | < 100 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 | | | |
| Trichloroet | hene | | 2770 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 | | | |
| Trichloroflu | uorometha | ne | < 100 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 | | | |
| Vinyl chlori | de | | < 100 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 | | | |
| Method Refer | rence(s): | EPA 8260C EPA 5030 | | | | | | |
| Data File: | | x143/0.D | | | | | | |

This report is part of a multipage document and should only be evaluated in its entirety. The Chain of Custody provides additional sample information, including compliance with the sample condition requirements upon receipt.

PARADIGM PARADIGM



| Client: | <u>Lu Engineers, Inc.</u> | | | | | | |
|--------------------|---|---------------|----------------|----------|---------------|--|--|
| Project Reference: | Wilkins RV Semi-Annual Groundwater Sampling | | | | | | |
| Sample Identifier: | MW-03_06-19-14 | | | | | | |
| Lab Sample ID: | 142587-02 | | Date Sampled | 6/1 | 9/2014 | | |
| Matrix: | Groundwater Date Received: 6/19/ | | | /2014 | | | |
| <u>Metals</u> | | | | | | | |
| <u>Analyte</u> | | <u>Result</u> | <u>Units</u> Q | ualifier | Date Analyzed | | |
| Iron | | 0.789 | mg/L | | 6/23/2014 | | |
| Manganese | | 3.35 | mg/L | | 6/23/2014 | | |
| Method Reference | re(s): EPA 6010C | | | | | | |
| | EPA 3005 | | | | | | |
| Data File: | 062314b | | | | | | |



| Client: | <u>Lu Engineers, Inc.</u> | | | | |
|--------------------|----------------------------|----------------|------------------|--------|---------------|
| Project Reference: | Wilkins RV Semi-Annual Gro | oundwater Samp | ling | | |
| Sample Identifier: | MW-03_06-19-14 | | | | |
| Lab Sample ID: | 142587-02 | | Date Sampled: | 6/19 | 9/2014 |
| Matrix: | Groundwater | | Date Received: | 6/19 | 9/2014 |
| Volatile Organics | 1 | | | | |
| <u>Analyte</u> | | <u>Result</u> | <u>Units</u> Qua | lifier | Date Analyzed |
| 1,1,1-Trichlo | roethane | < 200 | ug/L | | 6/23/2014 |
| 1,1,2,2-Tetra | chloroethane | < 200 | ug/L | | 6/23/2014 |
| 1,1,2-Trichlo | roethane | < 200 | ug/L | | 6/23/2014 |
| 1,1-Dichloroe | ethane | < 200 | ug/L | | 6/23/2014 |
| 1,1-Dichloroe | ethene | < 200 | ug/L | | 6/23/2014 |
| 1,2,3-Trichlo | robenzene | < 500 | ug/L | | 6/23/2014 |
| 1,2,4-Trichlo | robenzene | < 500 | ug/L | | 6/23/2014 |
| 1,2-Dibromo | -3-Chloropropane | < 1000 | ug/L | | 6/23/2014 |
| 1,2-Dibromo | ethane | < 200 | ug/L | | 6/23/2014 |
| 1,2-Dichlorol | benzene | < 200 | ug/L | | 6/23/2014 |
| 1,2-Dichloroe | ethane | < 200 | ug/L | | 6/23/2014 |
| 1,2-Dichlorop | propane | < 200 | ug/L | | 6/23/2014 |
| 1,3-Dichlorol | benzene | < 200 | ug/L | | 6/23/2014 |
| 1,4-Dichlorol | benzene | < 200 | ug/L | | 6/23/2014 |
| 1,4-dioxane | | < 2000 | ug/L | | 6/23/2014 |
| 2-Butanone | | < 1000 | ug/L | | 6/23/2014 |
| 2-Hexanone | | < 500 | ug/L | | 6/23/2014 |
| 4-Methyl-2-p | entanone | < 500 | ug/L | | 6/23/2014 |
| Acetone | | < 1000 | ug/L | | 6/23/2014 |
| Benzene | | < 70.0 | ug/L | | 6/23/2014 |
| Bromochloro | omethane | < 500 | ug/L | | 6/23/2014 |
| Bromodichlo | romethane | < 200 | ug/L | | 6/23/2014 |
| Bromoform | | < 500 | ug/L | | 6/23/2014 |
| Bromometha | ne | < 200 | ug/L | | 6/23/2014 |
| Carbon disulf | fide | < 200 | ug/L | | 6/23/2014 |
| Carbon Tetra | chloride | < 200 | ug/L | | 6/23/2014 |
| Chlorobenzer | ne | < 200 | ug/L | | 6/23/2014 |



| Client: | <u>Lu En</u> | <u>gineers, Inc.</u> | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|--------------|---|--------|----------------|-----------|--|--|--|
| Project Reference: | Wilkiı | Wilkins RV Semi-Annual Groundwater Sampling | | | | | | |
| Sample Identifier: Lab Sample ID: | MW 142 | 7-03_06-19-14 587-02 | | Date Sampled: | 6/19/2014 | | | |
| Matrix: | Gro | undwater | | Date Received: | 6/19/2014 | | | |
| Chloroethan | e | | < 200 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 | | | |
| Chloroform | | | < 200 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 | | | |
| Chlorometha | ane | | < 200 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 | | | |
| cis-1,2-Dichl | oroethene | | 6150 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 | | | |
| cis-1,3-Dichl | oroproper | ie | < 200 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 | | | |
| Cyclohexane | | | < 1000 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 | | | |
| Dibromochle | oromethan | e | < 200 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 | | | |
| Dichlorodifl | uorometha | ine | < 200 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 | | | |
| Ethylbenzen | e | | < 200 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 | | | |
| Freon 113 | | | < 200 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 | | | |
| Isopropylbe | nzene | | < 200 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 | | | |
| m,p-Xylene | | | < 200 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 | | | |
| Methyl aceta | ite | | < 200 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 | | | |
| Methyl tert-l | outyl Ethe | | < 200 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 | | | |
| Methylcyclo | hexane | | < 200 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 | | | |
| Methylene c | hloride | | < 500 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 | | | |
| o-Xylene | | | < 200 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 | | | |
| Styrene | | | < 500 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 | | | |
| Tetrachloroe | ethene | | 7530 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 | | | |
| Toluene | | | < 200 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 | | | |
| trans-1,2-Di | chloroethe | ne | < 200 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 | | | |
| trans-1,3-Di | chloroprop | bene | < 200 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 | | | |
| Trichloroeth | ene | | 6930 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 | | | |
| Trichloroflu | oromethar | ie | < 200 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 | | | |
| Vinyl chloric | le | | < 200 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 | | | |
| Method Refere | ence(s): | EPA 8260C EPA 5030 | | | | | | |
| Data File: | | x14377.D | | | | | | |



| Client: | <u>Lu Engineers, Inc.</u> | | | | | | |
|--------------------|---|---------------|-----------------|---------|---------------|--|--|
| Project Reference: | Wilkins RV Semi-Annual Groundwater Sampling | | | | | | |
| Sample Identifier: | MW-13_06-19-14 | | | | | | |
| Lab Sample ID: | 142587-03 | | Date Sampled: | 6/1 | 9/2014 | | |
| Matrix: | Groundwater Date Received: 6/19/2 | | | 9/2014 | | | |
| <u>Metals</u> | | | | | | | |
| <u>Analyte</u> | | <u>Result</u> | <u>Units</u> Qu | alifier | Date Analyzed | | |
| Iron | | 1.39 | mg/L | | 6/23/2014 | | |
| Manganese | | 0.574 | mg/L | | 6/23/2014 | | |
| Method Referenc | e(s): EPA 6010C | | | | | | |
| | EPA 3005 | | | | | | |
| Data File: | 062314b | | | | | | |



| Client: | <u>Lu Engineers, Inc.</u> | | | | | | | |
|--------------------|----------------------------|---|------------------|--------|---------------|--|--|--|
| Project Reference: | Wilkins RV Semi-Annual Gro | Wilkins RV Semi-Annual Groundwater Sampling | | | | | | |
| Sample Identifier: | MW-13_06-19-14 | | | | | | | |
| Lab Sample ID: | 142587-03 | | Date Sampled: | 6/19 | 9/2014 | | | |
| Matrix: | Groundwater | | Date Received: | 6/19 | 9/2014 | | | |
| Volatile Organic | <u>.</u> | | | | | | | |
| Analyte | | <u>Result</u> | <u>Units</u> Qua | lifier | Date Analyzed | | | |
| 1,1,1-Trichle | oroethane | < 2.00 | ug/L | | 6/23/2014 | | | |
| 1,1,2,2-Tetra | achloroethane | < 2.00 | ug/L | | 6/23/2014 | | | |
| 1,1,2-Trichle | oroethane | < 2.00 | ug/L | | 6/23/2014 | | | |
| 1,1-Dichloro | bethane | < 2.00 | ug/L | | 6/23/2014 | | | |
| 1,1-Dichloro | bethene | < 2.00 | ug/L | | 6/23/2014 | | | |
| 1,2,3-Trichle | orobenzene | < 5.00 | ug/L | | 6/23/2014 | | | |
| 1,2,4-Trichle | orobenzene | < 5.00 | ug/L | | 6/23/2014 | | | |
| 1,2-Dibromo | o-3-Chloropropane | < 10.0 | ug/L | | 6/23/2014 | | | |
| 1,2-Dibromoethane | | < 2.00 | ug/L | | 6/23/2014 | | | |
| 1,2-Dichloro | obenzene | < 2.00 | ug/L | | 6/23/2014 | | | |
| 1,2-Dichloro | bethane | < 2.00 | ug/L | | 6/23/2014 | | | |
| 1,2-Dichloro | ppropane | < 2.00 | ug/L | | 6/23/2014 | | | |
| 1,3-Dichloro | obenzene | < 2.00 | ug/L | | 6/23/2014 | | | |
| 1,4-Dichloro | bbenzene | < 2.00 | ug/L | | 6/23/2014 | | | |
| 1,4-dioxane | | < 20.0 | ug/L | | 6/23/2014 | | | |
| 2-Butanone | | < 10.0 | ug/L | | 6/23/2014 | | | |
| 2-Hexanone | | < 5.00 | ug/L | | 6/23/2014 | | | |
| 4-Methyl-2- | pentanone | < 5.00 | ug/L | | 6/23/2014 | | | |
| Acetone | | < 10.0 | ug/L | | 6/23/2014 | | | |
| Benzene | | < 0.700 | ug/L | | 6/23/2014 | | | |
| Bromochlor | omethane | < 5.00 | ug/L | | 6/23/2014 | | | |
| Bromodichl | oromethane | < 2.00 | ug/L | | 6/23/2014 | | | |
| Bromoform | | < 5.00 | ug/L | | 6/23/2014 | | | |
| Bromometh | ane | < 2.00 | ug/L | | 6/23/2014 | | | |
| Carbon disu | lfide | < 2.00 | ug/L | | 6/23/2014 | | | |
| Carbon Tetr | rachloride | < 2.00 | ug/L | | 6/23/2014 | | | |
| Chlorobenze | ene | < 2.00 | ug/L | | 6/23/2014 | | | |



| Client: | <u>Lu Er</u> | igineers, Inc. | | | | | | |
|--------------------|--------------|---|--------|----------------|-----------|--|--|--|
| Project Reference: | Wilki | Wilkins RV Semi-Annual Groundwater Sampling | | | | | | |
| Sample Identifier: | MV | V-13_06-19-14 | | | | | | |
| Lab Sample ID: | 142 | 2587-03 | | Date Sampled: | 6/19/2014 | | | |
| Matrix: | Gro | oundwater | | Date Received: | 6/19/2014 | | | |
| Chloroethan | е | | < 2.00 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 | | | |
| Chloroform | | | < 2.00 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 | | | |
| Chlorometha | ane | | < 2.00 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 | | | |
| cis-1,2-Dichl | oroethene | 2 | < 2.00 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 | | | |
| cis-1,3-Dichl | oroprope | ne | < 2.00 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 | | | |
| Cyclohexane | <u>.</u> | | < 10.0 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 | | | |
| Dibromochlo | oromethai | ie | < 2.00 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 | | | |
| Dichlorodiflu | uorometha | ane | < 2.00 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 | | | |
| Ethylbenzen | e | | < 2.00 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 | | | |
| Freon 113 | | | < 2.00 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 | | | |
| Isopropylbe | nzene | | < 2.00 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 | | | |
| m,p-Xylene | | | < 2.00 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 | | | |
| Methyl aceta | ite | | < 2.00 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 | | | |
| Methyl tert-l | butyl Ethe | r | < 2.00 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 | | | |
| Methylcyclo | hexane | | < 2.00 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 | | | |
| Methylene cl | hloride | | < 5.00 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 | | | |
| o-Xylene | | | < 2.00 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 | | | |
| Styrene | | | < 5.00 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 | | | |
| Tetrachloroe | ethene | | < 2.00 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 | | | |
| Toluene | | | < 2.00 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 | | | |
| trans-1,2-Di | chloroethe | ene | < 2.00 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 | | | |
| trans-1,3-Di | chloropro | pene | < 2.00 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 | | | |
| Trichloroeth | iene | | < 2.00 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 | | | |
| Trichloroflu | orometha | ne | < 2.00 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 | | | |
| Vinyl chlorid | le | | < 2.00 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 | | | |
| Method Refere | ence(s): | EPA 8260C EPA 5030 | | | | | | |
| Data File: | | x14376.D | | | | | | |



| Client: | <u>Lu Engineers, Inc.</u> | | | | | | |
|--------------------|---|---------------|--------------|------------------|---------------|--|--|
| Project Reference: | Wilkins RV Semi-Annual Groundwater Sampling | | | | | | |
| Sample Identifier: | MW-06_06-19-14 | | | | | | |
| Lab Sample ID: | 142587-04 | | Date Sampl | ed: 6/19 | 9/2014 | | |
| Matrix: | Groundwater Date Received: 6/1 | | | | 9/2014 | | |
| <u>Metals</u> | | | | | | | |
| <u>Analyte</u> | | <u>Result</u> | <u>Units</u> | <u>Qualifier</u> | Date Analyzed | | |
| Iron | | 0.102 | mg/L | D | 6/23/2014 | | |
| Manganese | | 0.160 | mg/L | | 6/23/2014 | | |
| Method Referenc | e(s): EPA 6010C | | | | | | |
| | EPA 3005 | | | | | | |
| Data File: | 062314b | | | | | | |



| Client: | <u>Lu Engineers, Inc.</u> | | | | | | | |
|--------------------|----------------------------|---|------------------|--------|---------------|--|--|--|
| Project Reference: | Wilkins RV Semi-Annual Gro | Wilkins RV Semi-Annual Groundwater Sampling | | | | | | |
| Sample Identifier: | MW-06_06-19-14 | | | | | | | |
| Lab Sample ID: | 142587-04 | | Date Sampled: | 6/19 | 9/2014 | | | |
| Matrix: | Groundwater | | Date Received: | 6/19 | 9/2014 | | | |
| Volatile Organic | <u>'S</u> | | | | | | | |
| <u>Analyte</u> | | <u>Result</u> | <u>Units</u> Qua | lifier | Date Analyzed | | | |
| 1,1,1-Trichl | oroethane | < 2.00 | ug/L | | 6/23/2014 | | | |
| 1,1,2,2-Tetr | achloroethane | < 2.00 | ug/L | | 6/23/2014 | | | |
| 1,1,2-Trichl | oroethane | < 2.00 | ug/L | | 6/23/2014 | | | |
| 1,1-Dichloro | bethane | < 2.00 | ug/L | | 6/23/2014 | | | |
| 1,1-Dichloro | bethene | < 2.00 | ug/L | | 6/23/2014 | | | |
| 1,2,3-Trichl | orobenzene | < 5.00 | ug/L | | 6/23/2014 | | | |
| 1,2,4-Trichl | orobenzene | < 5.00 | ug/L | | 6/23/2014 | | | |
| 1,2-Dibrom | o-3-Chloropropane | < 10.0 | ug/L | | 6/23/2014 | | | |
| 1,2-Dibrom | oethane | < 2.00 | ug/L | | 6/23/2014 | | | |
| 1,2-Dichloro | obenzene | < 2.00 | ug/L | | 6/23/2014 | | | |
| 1,2-Dichloro | bethane | < 2.00 | ug/L | | 6/23/2014 | | | |
| 1,2-Dichloro | opropane | < 2.00 | ug/L | | 6/23/2014 | | | |
| 1,3-Dichloro | obenzene | < 2.00 | ug/L | | 6/23/2014 | | | |
| 1,4-Dichloro | obenzene | < 2.00 | ug/L | | 6/23/2014 | | | |
| 1,4-dioxane | | < 20.0 | ug/L | | 6/23/2014 | | | |
| 2-Butanone | | < 10.0 | ug/L | | 6/23/2014 | | | |
| 2-Hexanone | • | < 5.00 | ug/L | | 6/23/2014 | | | |
| 4-Methyl-2- | pentanone | < 5.00 | ug/L | | 6/23/2014 | | | |
| Acetone | | < 10.0 | ug/L | | 6/23/2014 | | | |
| Benzene | | < 0.700 | ug/L | | 6/23/2014 | | | |
| Bromochlor | omethane | < 5.00 | ug/L | | 6/23/2014 | | | |
| Bromodichl | oromethane | < 2.00 | ug/L | | 6/23/2014 | | | |
| Bromoform | | < 5.00 | ug/L | | 6/23/2014 | | | |
| Bromometh | ane | < 2.00 | ug/L | | 6/23/2014 | | | |
| Carbon disu | lfide | < 2.00 | ug/L | | 6/23/2014 | | | |
| Carbon Tetr | achloride | < 2.00 | ug/L | | 6/23/2014 | | | |
| Chlorobenze | ene | < 2.00 | ug/L | | 6/23/2014 | | | |



| Client: | <u>Lu Engineers, Inc.</u> | | | |
|--------------------------------------|--|--------------------|----------------|-----------|
| Project Reference: | Wilkins RV Semi-Annua | l Groundwater Samp | oling | |
| Sample Identifier: Lab Sample ID: | MW-06_06-19-14 142587-04 | | Date Sampled: | 6/19/2014 |
| Matrix: | Groundwater | | Date Received: | 6/19/2014 |
| Chloroethane | | < 2.00 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 |
| Chloroform | | < 2.00 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 |
| Chloromethan | e | < 2.00 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 |
| cis-1,2-Dichlo | roethene | < 2.00 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 |
| cis-1,3-Dichlo | ropropene | < 2.00 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 |
| Cyclohexane | | < 10.0 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 |
| Dibromochlor | omethane | < 2.00 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 |
| Dichlorodifluc | romethane | 4.01 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 |
| Ethylbenzene | | < 2.00 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 |
| Freon 113 | | < 2.00 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 |
| Isopropylbenz | ene | < 2.00 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 |
| m,p-Xylene | | < 2.00 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 |
| Methyl acetate | | < 2.00 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 |
| Methyl tert-butyl Ether | | < 2.00 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 |
| Methylcyclohexane | | < 2.00 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 |
| Methylene chloride | | < 5.00 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 |
| o-Xylene | | < 2.00 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 |
| Styrene | | < 5.00 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 |
| Tetrachloroethene | | 9.01 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 |
| Toluene | | < 2.00 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 |
| trans-1,2-Dichloroethene | | < 2.00 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 |
| trans-1,3-Dichloropropene | | < 2.00 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 |
| Trichloroethene | | 1.47 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 |
| Trichlorofluoromethane | | < 2.00 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 |
| Vinyl chloride | | < 2.00 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 |
| Method Reference Data File: | ce(s): EPA 8260C EPA 5030 x14375.D | | | |



| Client: | <u>Lu Engineers, Inc.</u> | | | |
|-----------------------------|---------------------------|----------------|------------------|----------------------|
| Project Reference: | Wilkins RV Semi-Annual Gr | oundwater Samp | ling | |
| Sample Identifier: | Trip Blank T-511 | | | |
| Lab Sample ID: | 142587-05 | | Date Sampled: | 6/19/2014 |
| Matrix: | Water | | Date Received: | 6/19/2014 |
| Volatile Organics | | | | |
| <u>Analyte</u> | | <u>Result</u> | <u>Units</u> Qua | lifier Date Analyzed |
| 1,1,1-Trichloro | ethane | < 2.00 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 |
| 1,1,2,2-Tetrach | loroethane | < 2.00 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 |
| 1,1,2-Trichloro | ethane | < 2.00 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 |
| 1,1-Dichloroetl | hane | < 2.00 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 |
| 1,1-Dichloroetl | hene | < 2.00 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 |
| 1,2,3-Trichloro | benzene | < 5.00 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 |
| 1,2,4-Trichloro | benzene | < 5.00 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 |
| 1,2-Dibromo-3-Chloropropane | | < 10.0 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 |
| 1,2-Dibromoethane | | < 2.00 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 |
| 1,2-Dichlorobe | nzene | < 2.00 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 |
| 1,2-Dichloroetl | hane | < 2.00 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 |
| 1,2-Dichloropr | opane | < 2.00 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 |
| 1,3-Dichlorobe | nzene | < 2.00 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | | < 2.00 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 |
| 1,4-dioxane | | < 20.0 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 |
| 2-Butanone | | < 10.0 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 |
| 2-Hexanone | | < 5.00 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 |
| 4-Methyl-2-per | ntanone | < 5.00 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 |
| Acetone | | < 10.0 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 |
| Benzene | | < 0.700 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 |
| Bromochlorom | ethane | < 5.00 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 |
| Bromodichloro | omethane | < 2.00 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 |
| Bromoform | | < 5.00 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 |
| Bromomethane | e | < 2.00 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 |
| Carbon disulfid | le | < 2.00 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 |
| Carbon Tetrach | ıloride | < 2.00 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 |
| Chlorobenzene | 9 | < 2.00 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 |



| Client: | <u>Lu En</u> | <u>gineers, Inc.</u> | | | |
|---|-------------------|--|--------|---------------------------------|------------------------|
| Project Reference: | Wilki | ns RV Semi-Annual Groundwater Sampling | | | |
| Sample Identifier: Lab Sample ID: Matrix: | Trij 142 Wa | o Blank T-511 587-05 ter | | Date Sampled: Date Received: | 6/19/2014 6/19/2014 |
| Chloroetha | ne | | < 2.00 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 |
| Chloroforn | 1 | | < 2.00 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 |
| Chloromet | hane | | < 2.00 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 |
| cis-1,2-Dic | hloroethene | ! | < 2.00 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 |
| cis-1,3-Dic | hloropropei | ie | < 2.00 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 |
| Cyclohexar | ie | | < 10.0 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 |
| Dibromoch | loromethar | e | < 2.00 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 |
| Dichlorodi | fluorometha | ine | < 2.00 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 |
| Ethylbenze | ene | | < 2.00 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 |
| Freon 113 | | | < 2.00 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 |
| Isopropylbenzene | | < 2.00 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 | |
| m,p-Xylene | | < 2.00 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 | |
| Methyl acetate | | < 2.00 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 | |
| Methyl tert-butyl Ether | | < 2.00 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 | |
| Methylcyclohexane | | < 2.00 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 | |
| Methylene chloride | | < 5.00 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 | |
| o-Xylene | | | < 2.00 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 |
| Styrene | | < 5.00 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 | |
| Tetrachloroethene | | < 2.00 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 | |
| Toluene | | < 2.00 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 | |
| trans-1,2-Dichloroethene | | | < 2.00 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 |
| trans-1,3-Dichloropropene | | < 2.00 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 | |
| Trichloroethene | | < 2.00 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 | |
| Trichlorofluoromethane | | < 2.00 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 | |
| Vinyl chlor | Vinyl chloride | | < 2.00 | ug/L | 6/23/2014 |
| Method Refe Data File: | rence(s): | EPA 8260C EPA 5030 x14374.D | | | |



Analytical Report Appendix

The reported results relate only to the samples as they have been received by the laboratory.

Each page of this document is part of a multipage report. This document may not be reproduced except in its entirety, without the prior consent of Paradigm Environmental Services, Inc.

All soil/sludge samples have been reported on a dry weight basis, unless qualified "reported as received". Other solids are reported as received.

Low level Volatiles blank reports for soil/solid matrix are based on a nominal 5 gram weight. Sample results and reporting limits are based on actual weight, which may be more or less than 5 grams.

The Chain of Custody provides additional information, including compliance with sample condition requirements upon receipt. Sample condition requirements are defined under the 2003 NELAC Standard, sections 5.5.8.3.1 and 5.5.8.3.2.

NYSDOH ELAP does not certify for all parameters. Paradigm Environmental Services or the indicated subcontracted laboratory does hold certification for all analytes where certification is offered by ELAP unless otherwise specified. Aliquots separated for certain tests, such as TCLP, are indicated on the Chain of Custody and final reports with an "A" suffix.

Data qualifiers are used, when necessary, to provide additional information about the data. This information may be communicated as a flag or as text at the bottom of the report. Please refer to the following list of analyte-specific, frequently used data flags and their meaning:

"<" = Analyzed for but not detected at or above the quantitation limit.

"E" = Result has been estimated, calibration limit exceeded.

"Z" = See case narrative.

"D" = Sample, Laboratory Control Sample, or Matrix Spike Duplicate results above Relative Percent Difference limit.

"M" = Matrix spike recoveries outside QC limits. Matrix bias indicated.

"B" = Method blank contained trace levels of analyte. Refer to included method blank report.

"V" = Sample concentration is >10 times the spike. No meaningful Spike Recovery can be calculated.

"J" = Result estimated between the quantitation limit and half the quantitation limit.

"L" = Laboratory Control Sample recovery outside accepted QC limits.

"P" = Concentration differs by more than 40% between the primary and secondary analytical columns.

179 Lake Avenue • Rochester, NY 14608 • (585) 647-2530 • Fax (585) 647-3311 • ELAP ID# 10958

| Availability contingent upon lab approv Availability contingent upon lab approv Standard 5 day Batch QC Rush 3 day Category A Rush 2 day Category B Rush 1 day Other please indicate: Other | $\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | DATE COLLECTED MILLING MILLI |
|--|--|--|
| Image: second component second component second | MW-JCL-02_06-19-14 MW-03_06-19-14 MW-06_06-19-14 MW-06_06-19-14 TRIP BLANK T-SII Jer Label Jer Label | 179 Lake Avenue, Roci INI: I |
| piled By navisjed By elved By elved @ Lab By | $ \begin{array}{c} $ | AIN OF CUSTODY AIN OF CUSTODY INVO |
| 6/19/14 PateFlime DateFlime Col19/14 3:52 DateFlime Col19/14 1822 DateFlime JateFlime DateFlime | St ind b received Ch | ICE TO: ICE |
| P.I.F. | 9/14 @ 1615 | d WP-Wipe OL-OII the CK-Caulk AR-AIT NUMBER |
2012



Chain of Custody Supplement

| Client: | Ly Eng | Completed by: | millyvil |
|---|--|--|--------------------------|
| Lab Project ID: | 142587 | Date: | Q Q 1Q |
| | Sample Condit Per NELAC/ELAP : | <i>ion Requirements</i> 210/241/242/243/244 | . / |
| Condition | NELAC compliance with the sampl Yes | e condition requirements upo No | n receipt N/A |
| Container Type Comme | ents | | |
| Transferred to method- | | | |
| Compliant container Headspace (<1 mL) Comme | ents | | |
| Preservation Comme | ents | | |
| Chlorine Absent (< 0.10 ppm per test stri j Comme | p) ents | | |
| Holding Time | ents | | |
| Temperature Comme | ents5° | al hand delived |) recud 6/19/14 @ 1615hz |
| Sufficient Sample Quant | ity | | |
| | | | |

2013 IMPROVEMENTS – ANALYTICAL DATA

SOIL SAMPLE RESULTS (RETAINING WALL TRENCH & WATERLINE TRENCH)



Analytical Report For

Lu Engineers, Inc.

For Lab Project ID

133745

Referencing

Wilkins RV, 50185-02

Prepared Wednesday, October 02, 2013

Any noncompliant QC parameters or other notes impacting data interpretation are flagged or documented on the final report or are noted below.

Certifies that this report has been approved by the Technical Director or Designee

This report is part of a multipage document and should only be evaluated in its entirety. The Chain of Custody provides additional sample information, including compliance with the sample condition requirements upon receipt.

179 Lake Avenue • Rochester, NY 14608 • (585) 647-2530 • Fax (585) 647-3311 • ELAP ID# 10958



Lab Project ID: 133745

| Client: | <u>Lu Engineers, Inc.</u> | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|--|------------------------|---------------------------|--|
| Project Reference: | Wilkins RV, 50185-02 | | | | |
| Sample Identifier: Lab Sample ID: Matrix: | Ret. Wall soil 133745-01 Soil | Date/Time Sampled: 9/30/2013 12:20 Date Received: 9/30/2013 | | | |
| Volatile Organic | 2 | | | | |
| <u>Analyte</u> | | <u>Result</u> | <u>Units</u> Qualifier | <u>Date/Time Analyzed</u> | |
| 1,1,1-Trichle | proethane | < 8.37 | ug/Kg | 10/1/2013 19:50 | |
| 1,1,2,2-Tetra | achloroethane | < 8.37 | ug/Kg | 10/1/2013 19:50 | |
| 1,1,2-Trichlo | proethane | < 8.37 | ug/Kg | 10/1/2013 19:50 | |
| 1,1-Dichloro | ethane | < 8.37 | ug/Kg | 10/1/2013 19:50 | |
| 1,1-Dichloro | ethene | < 8.37 | ug/Kg | 10/1/2013 19:50 | |
| 1,2,3-Trichlo | orobenzene | < 20.9 | ug/Kg | 10/1/2013 19:50 | |
| 1,2,4-Trichlo | orobenzene | < 20.9 | ug/Kg | 10/1/2013 19:50 | |
| 1,2-Dibromo | o-3-Chloropropane | < 41.8 | ug/Kg | 10/1/2013 19:50 | |
| 1,2-Dibromo | oethane | < 8.37 | ug/Kg | 10/1/2013 19:50 | |
| 1,2-Dichloro | benzene | < 8.37 | ug/Kg | 10/1/2013 19:50 | |
| 1,2-Dichloro | ethane | < 8.37 | ug/Kg | 10/1/2013 19:50 | |
| 1,2-Dichloro | propane | < 8.37 | ug/Kg | 10/1/2013 19:50 | |
| 1,3-Dichloro | benzene | < 8.37 | ug/Kg | 10/1/2013 19:50 | |
| 1,4-Dichloro | benzene | < 8.37 | ug/Kg | 10/1/2013 19:50 | |
| 1,4-dioxane | | < 83.7 | ug/Kg | 10/1/2013 19:50 | |
| 2-Butanone | | < 41.8 | ug/Kg | 10/1/2013 19:50 | |
| 2-Hexanone | | < 20.9 | ug/Kg | 10/1/2013 19:50 | |
| 4-Methyl-2- | pentanone | < 20.9 | ug/Kg | 10/1/2013 19:50 | |
| Acetone | | < 41.8 | ug/Kg | 10/1/2013 19:50 | |
| Benzene | | < 8.37 | ug/Kg | 10/1/2013 19:50 | |
| Bromochlor | omethane | < 20.9 | ug/Kg | 10/1/2013 19:50 | |
| Bromodichle | oromethane | < 8.37 | ug/Kg | 10/1/2013 19:50 | |
| Bromoform | | < 20.9 | ug/Kg | 10/1/2013 19:50 | |
| Bromometha | ane | < 8.37 | ug/Kg | 10/1/2013 19:50 | |
| Carbon disu | lfide | < 8.37 | ug/Kg | 10/1/2013 19:50 | |
| Carbon Tetra | achloride | < 8.37 | ug/Kg | 10/1/2013 19:50 | |
| Chlorobenze | ene | < 8.37 | ug/Kg | 10/1/2013 19:50 | |
| Chloroethan | e | < 8.37 | ug/Kg | 10/1/2013 19:50 | |

This report is part of a multipage document and should only be evaluated in its entirety. The Chain of Custody provides additional sample information, including compliance with the sample condition requirements upon receipt.



Lab Project ID: 133745

| Client: | <u>Lu Engineers, In</u> | <u>IC.</u> | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Project Reference: | Wilkins RV, 5018 | | | |
| Sample Identifier: Lab Sample ID: Matrix: | Ret. Wall soil 133745-01 Soil | | Date/Time Sampl Date Received: | ed: 9/30/2013 12:20 9/30/2013 |
| Chloroform | | < 8.37 | ug/Kg | 10/1/2013 19:50 |
| Chlorometha | ine | < 8.37 | ug/Kg | 10/1/2013 19:50 |
| cis-1,2-Dichl | oroethene | < 8.37 | ug/Kg | 10/1/2013 19:50 |
| cis-1,3-Dichl | oropropene | < 8.37 | ug/Kg | 10/1/2013 19:50 |
| Cyclohexane | | < 41.8 | ug/Kg | 10/1/2013 19:50 |
| Dibromochlo | oromethane | < 8.37 | ug/Kg | 10/1/2013 19:50 |
| Dichlorodiflu | ioromethane | < 8.37 | ug/Kg | 10/1/2013 19:50 |
| Ethylbenzen | e | < 8.37 | ug/Kg | 10/1/2013 19:50 |
| Freon 113 | | < 8.37 | ug/Kg | 10/1/2013 19:50 |
| Isopropylbe | nzene | < 8.37 | ug/Kg | 10/1/2013 19:50 |
| m,p-Xylene | | < 8.37 | ug/Kg | 10/1/2013 19:50 |
| Methyl aceta | te | < 8.37 | ug/Kg | 10/1/2013 19:50 |
| Methyl tert-l | outyl Ether | < 8.37 | ug/Kg | 10/1/2013 19:50 |
| Methylcyclo | nexane | < 8.37 | ug/Kg | 10/1/2013 19:50 |
| Methylene cl | ıloride | < 20.9 | ug/Kg | 10/1/2013 19:50 |
| o-Xylene | | < 8.37 | ug/Kg | 10/1/2013 19:50 |
| Styrene | | < 20.9 | ug/Kg | 10/1/2013 19:50 |
| Tetrachloroe | ethene | < 8.37 | ug/Kg | 10/1/2013 19:50 |
| Toluene | | < 8.37 | ug/Kg | 10/1/2013 19:50 |
| trans-1,2-Die | chloroethene | < 8.37 | ug/Kg | 10/1/2013 19:50 |
| trans-1,3-Die | chloropropene | < 8.37 | ug/Kg | 10/1/2013 19:50 |
| Trichloroeth | ene | < 8.37 | ug/Kg | 10/1/2013 19:50 |
| Trichloroflue | oromethane | < 8.37 | ug/Kg | 10/1/2013 19:50 |
| Vinyl chloric | e | < 8.37 | ug/Kg | 10/1/2013 19:50 |
| Method Refere | nce(s): EPA 8260B EPA 5035A | | | |

x08668.D

Any Volatiles soil results that are less than 200 ug/Kg, including Non Detects, may be biased low, per ELAP method 5035 guidance document from 11/15/2012.

This report is part of a multipage document and should only be evaluated in its entirety. The Chain of Custody provides additional sample information, including compliance with the sample condition requirements upon receipt.

Data File:



Analytical Report Appendix

The reported results relate only to the samples as they have been received by the laboratory.

Each page of this document is part of a multipage report. This document may not be reproduced except in its entirety, without the prior consent of Paradigm Environmental Services, Inc.

All soil/sludge samples have been reported on a dry weight basis, unless qualified "reported as received". Other solids are reported as received.

Low level Volatiles blank reports for soil/solid matrix are based on a nominal 5 gram weight. Sample results and reporting limits are based on actual weight, which may be more or less than 5 grams.

The Chain of Custody provides additional information, including compliance with sample condition requirements upon receipt. Sample condition requirements are defined under the 2003 NELAC Standard, sections 5.5.8.3.1 and 5.5.8.3.2.

NYSDOH ELAP does not certify for all parameters. Paradigm Environmental Services or the indicated subcontracted laboratory does hold certification for all analytes where certification is offered by ELAP unless otherwise specified. Aliquots separated for certain tests, such as TCLP, are indicated on the Chain of Custody and final reports with an "A" suffix.

Data qualifiers are used, when necessary, to provide additional information about the data. This information may be communicated as a flag or as text at the bottom of the report. Please refer to the following list of analyte-specific, frequently used data flags and their meaning:

"<" = Analyzed for but not detected at or above the quantitation limit.

"E" = Result has been estimated, calibration limit exceeded.

"Z" = See case narrative.

"D" = Sample, Laboratory Control Sample, or Matrix Spike Duplicate results above Relative Percent Difference limit.

"M" = Matrix spike recoveries outside QC limits. Matrix bias indicated.

"B" = Method blank contained trace levels of analyte. Refer to included method blank report.

"V" = Sample concentration is >10 times the spike. No meaningful Spike Recovery can be calculated.

"J" = Result estimated between the quantitation limit and half the quantitation limit.

"L" = Laboratory Control Sample recovery outside accepted QC limits.

"P" = Concentration differs by more than 40% between the primary and secondary analytical columns.

179 Lake Avenue • Rochester, NY 14608 • (585) 647-2530 • Fax (585) 647-3311 • ELAP ID# 10958

This report is part of a multipage document and should only be evaluated in its entirety. The Chain of Custody provides additional sample information, including compliance with the sample condition requirements upon receipt.

| Sample Condition: Per NELAC/ELAP 210/ Receipt Parameter Container Type: Comments: Preservation: Comments: Holding Time: Comments: Temperature: | 191/20/13 12:20 2 4 4 5 5 6 6 6 7 7 7 8 8 9 9 10 10 10 | DATE TIME OC | PROJECT NAME/SITE NAME: |
|--|--|--|---|
| <u></u> | NE | © ⊳ ฆ ด Men | |
| 43/244 Y Y NELAC Compliance Y N N N N N N N N N N N N | Ret. Wall Soil | S: SAMPLE LOCATION/FIELD ID | REPORT TO: Lic Engineers 175 Sully's Trail 175 Sully's Trail 175 Sully's Trail 175 Sully's Trail 175 Sully's Trail 175 Sully's Trail |
| Sampled By Sampled By Received @ Lab By | | х - л - > З л m o з c z 9 л m z - > - z o o 82(co TCL VCC CREQUESTED ANALYSIS | 2, Rochester, NY 14608 Office (585) 647-2530 Fax (585) 647- CHAIN OF CUSTODY INVOICE TO: INVOICE TO: Suite 201 ADDRESS: ZIP/45324 CITY: STATE: ZIP/45324 CITY: FAX: ATTIN: ATTIN: |
| 13:45 13:30 13:56 P.I.F. | | Quotation # | 3311 LAB PROJECT #: CLIENT PROJECT #: 133745 SUI85-02 ZIP: TURNAROUND TIME: (WORKING DAYS) TURNAROUND TIME: (WORKING DAYS) STD OTH STD OTH |

20/2



Chain of Custody Supplement

| Client: | Ly Engineers | Completed by: | Mail |
|---|---|--|-------------------|
| Lab Project ID: | 133745 | Date: | 4130/3 |
| | Sample Conditi Per NELAC/ELAP 2 | on Requirements 10/241/242/243/244 | |
| Condition | NELAC compliance with the sample Yes | condition requirements upo No | on receipt N/A |
| Container Type | | 25935 | |
| Comme | ents | | |
| Transferred to method- compliant container | | | \rightarrow |
| Headspace (<1 mL) Comme | ents | | |
| Preservation Comm | ents | | |
| Chlorine Absent (<0.10 ppm per test stri Comm | p) | | $\Box \not \Box$ |
| Holding Time | ents | | |
| Temperature Comm | ents <u>S</u> °Cicel | | |
| Sufficient Sample Quant | ity | | |
| | | | |



Lab Project ID: 133838

| Client: | <u>Lu Engineers, Inc.</u> | | | | |
|--------------------|--------------------------------|---------------|------------------|--------|---------------|
| Project Reference: | Wilkins RV - Churchville SMP M | onitoring 50 | 185-02 | | |
| Sample Identifier: | Waterline Excavation - 01 | | | | |
| Lab Sample ID: | 133838-01 | | Date Sampled: | 10/ | 7/2013 |
| Matrix: | Soil | | Date Received: | 10/ | 7/2013 |
| Volatile Organic | <u>s</u> | | | | |
| <u>Analyte</u> | | <u>Result</u> | <u>Units</u> Qua | lifier | Date Analyzed |
| 1,1,1-Trichlo | proethane | < 3.75 | ug/Kg | | 10/7/2013 |
| 1,1,2,2-Tetra | achloroethane | < 3.75 | ug/Kg | | 10/7/2013 |
| 1,1,2-Trichlo | proethane | < 3.75 | ug/Kg | | 10/7/2013 |
| 1,1-Dichloro | ethane | < 3.75 | ug/Kg | | 10/7/2013 |
| 1,1-Dichloro | ethene | < 3.75 | ug/Kg | | 10/7/2013 |
| 1,2,3-Trichlo | probenzene | < 9.37 | ug/Kg | | 10/7/2013 |
| 1,2,4-Trichlo | probenzene | < 9.37 | ug/Kg | | 10/7/2013 |
| 1,2-Dibromo | o-3-Chloropropane | < 18.7 | ug/Kg | | 10/7/2013 |
| 1,2-Dibromo | bethane | < 3.75 | ug/Kg | | 10/7/2013 |
| 1,2-Dichloro | benzene | < 3.75 | ug/Kg | | 10/7/2013 |
| 1,2-Dichloro | ethane | < 3.75 | ug/Kg | | 10/7/2013 |
| 1,2-Dichloro | propane | < 3.75 | ug/Kg | | 10/7/2013 |
| 1,3-Dichloro | benzene | < 3.75 | ug/Kg | | 10/7/2013 |
| 1,4-Dichloro | benzene | < 3.75 | ug/Kg | | 10/7/2013 |
| 1,4-dioxane | | < 37.5 | ug/Kg | | 10/7/2013 |
| 2-Butanone | | < 18.7 | ug/Kg | | 10/7/2013 |
| 2-Hexanone | | < 9.37 | ug/Kg | | 10/7/2013 |
| 4-Methyl-2- | pentanone | < 9.37 | ug/Kg | | 10/7/2013 |
| Acetone | | < 18.7 | ug/Kg | | 10/7/2013 |
| Benzene | | < 3.75 | ug/Kg | | 10/7/2013 |
| Bromochlor | omethane | < 9.37 | ug/Kg | | 10/7/2013 |
| Bromodichlo | promethane | < 3.75 | ug/Kg | | 10/7/2013 |
| Bromoform | | < 9.37 | ug/Kg | | 10/7/2013 |
| Bromometha | ane | < 3.75 | ug/Kg | | 10/7/2013 |
| Carbon disul | lfide | < 3.75 | ug/Kg | | 10/7/2013 |
| Carbon Tetra | achloride | < 3.75 | ug/Kg | | 10/7/2013 |
| Chlorobenze | ene | < 3.75 | ug/Kg | | 10/7/2013 |
| | | | - 0/0 | | |

This report is part of a multipage document and should only be evaluated in its entirety. The Chain of Custody provides additional sample information, including compliance with the sample condition requirements upon receipt.



Lab Project ID: 133838

| Client: | <u>Lu En</u> | <u>gineers, Inc.</u> | | | |
|-----------------|---------------------|-------------------------|---------------|----------------|-----------|
| Project Referen | ce: Wilkir | ns RV - Churchville SMP | Monitoring 50 | 185-02 | |
| Sample Identi | fier: Wat | terline Excavation - 01 | | | |
| Lab Sample ID | : 133 | 838-01 | | Date Sampled: | 10/7/2013 |
| Matrix: | Soil | | | Date Received: | 10/7/2013 |
| Chlor | oethane | | < 3.75 | ug/Kg | 10/7/2013 |
| Chlor | oform | | 2.57 | ug/Kg J | 10/7/2013 |
| Chlor | omethane | | < 3.75 | ug/Kg | 10/7/2013 |
| cis-1,2 | 2-Dichloroethene | | < 3.75 | ug/Kg | 10/7/2013 |
| cis-1,3 | 3-Dichloroproper | ie | < 3.75 | ug/Kg | 10/7/2013 |
| Cyclo | hexane | | < 18.7 | ug/Kg | 10/7/2013 |
| Dibro | mochloromethan | e | < 3.75 | ug/Kg | 10/7/2013 |
| Dichlo | orodifluorometha | ne | < 3.75 | ug/Kg | 10/7/2013 |
| Ethyll | oenzene | | < 3.75 | ug/Kg | 10/7/2013 |
| Freon | 113 | | < 3.75 | ug/Kg | 10/7/2013 |
| Isopro | opylbenzene | | < 3.75 | ug/Kg | 10/7/2013 |
| m,p-X | ylene | | < 3.75 | ug/Kg | 10/7/2013 |
| Methy | /l acetate | | < 3.75 | ug/Kg | 10/7/2013 |
| Methy | /l tert-butyl Ether | | < 3.75 | ug/Kg | 10/7/2013 |
| Methy | lcyclohexane | | < 3.75 | ug/Kg | 10/7/2013 |
| Methy | lene chloride | | < 9.37 | ug/Kg | 10/7/2013 |
| o-Xyle | ene | | < 3.75 | ug/Kg | 10/7/2013 |
| Styre | ne | | < 9.37 | ug/Kg | 10/7/2013 |
| Tetra | chloroethene | | < 3.75 | ug/Kg | 10/7/2013 |
| Tolue | ne | | < 3.75 | ug/Kg | 10/7/2013 |
| trans- | 1,2-Dichloroethe | ne | < 3.75 | ug/Kg | 10/7/2013 |
| trans- | 1,3-Dichloroprop | bene | < 3.75 | ug/Kg | 10/7/2013 |
| Trichl | oroethene | | < 3.75 | ug/Kg | 10/7/2013 |
| Trichl | orofluoromethan | e | < 3.75 | ug/Kg | 10/7/2013 |
| Vinyl | chloride | | < 3.75 | ug/Kg | 10/7/2013 |
| Metho | d Reference(s): | EPA 8260B | | | |
| D-1 5 | 1 | EPA 5035 Modified | | | |
| Data F | ne: | x00/04.D | | | |

Any Volatiles soil results that are less than 200 ug/Kg, including Non Detects, may be biased low, per ELAP method *5035 guidance document from 11/15/2012.*

This report is part of a multipage document and should only be evaluated in its entirety. The Chain of Custody provides additional sample information, including compliance with the sample condition requirements upon receipt.



Analytical Report Appendix

The reported results relate only to the samples as they have been received by the laboratory.

Each page of this document is part of a multipage report. This document may not be reproduced except in its entirety, without the prior consent of Paradigm Environmental Services, Inc.

All soil/sludge samples have been reported on a dry weight basis, unless qualified "reported as received". Other solids are reported as received.

Low level Volatiles blank reports for soil/solid matrix are based on a nominal 5 gram weight. Sample results and reporting limits are based on actual weight, which may be more or less than 5 grams.

The Chain of Custody provides additional information, including compliance with sample condition requirements upon receipt. Sample condition requirements are defined under the 2003 NELAC Standard, sections 5.5.8.3.1 and 5.5.8.3.2.

NYSDOH ELAP does not certify for all parameters. Paradigm Environmental Services or the indicated subcontracted laboratory does hold certification for all analytes where certification is offered by ELAP unless otherwise specified. Aliquots separated for certain tests, such as TCLP, are indicated on the Chain of Custody and final reports with an "A" suffix.

Data qualifiers are used, when necessary, to provide additional information about the data. This information may be communicated as a flag or as text at the bottom of the report. Please refer to the following list of analyte-specific, frequently used data flags and their meaning:

"<" = Analyzed for but not detected at or above the quantitation limit.

"E" = Result has been estimated, calibration limit exceeded.

"Z" = See case narrative.

"D" = Sample, Laboratory Control Sample, or Matrix Spike Duplicate results above Relative Percent Difference limit.

"M" = Matrix spike recoveries outside QC limits. Matrix bias indicated.

"B" = Method blank contained trace levels of analyte. Refer to included method blank report.

"V" = Sample concentration is >10 times the spike. No meaningful Spike Recovery can be calculated.

"J" = Result estimated between the quantitation limit and half the quantitation limit.

"L" = Laboratory Control Sample recovery outside accepted QC limits.

"P" = Concentration differs by more than 40% between the primary and secondary analytical columns.

179 Lake Avenue • Rochester, NY 14608 • (585) 647-2530 • Fax (585) 647-3311 • ELAP ID# 10958

| | | Dete/Time | the w | Received @ Lab By | Other EDD | | Other please indicate: | | Other please indicate: |
|----------------------------------|--|--|---|--|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|
| | 270 PILE | Date/Time | | Received By | | | Calegoly b | 8 | Rush 1 day |
| | 7 | Date/Time | | Relinquished By | NYSDEC EDD | | Category A | | Rush 3 day |
| | Inter Cost. | 21 51/4/ % | North C | sampled by | Basic EDD | | Batch QC | | Standard 5 day |
| | Tatal Cost | 10/11/15 | STANK (| | fees may apply. | proval; additional | nt upon lab ap | ability continger | Avail |
| | 0621 201 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1 | | | | plements | Report Supp | | nd Time | Turnarou |
| | No Custoon Seal | Henry C | | | | | | | 10 |
| | p 9 8 | | | | | | | | 0 |
| | | | | | | | | | 8 |
| | | | | | | | | | 4 0 |
| | | | | | | | | |) (J) |
| | | | | | | | | | ω 4 |
| | 13 | 4 | | - | | | | | 2 |
| 0 | use report informing | A Pla | × | 1 05 10-1 | line Excavation | Water | 8 | 10:30 | 1 16 7 13 |
| PARADIGM LAB SAMPLE NUMBER | REMARKS | | TCL VO(s 8260 | ×−ਸ਼⊣>Ξ のつつの つの лтდ≦cz のлтz->⊣z00 | SAMPLE IDENTIFIER | | 〒 ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ | TIME COLLECTED | DATE COLLECTED |
| | | ANALYSIS | REQUESTED | | | | | | |
| OL - Oil AR - Air | SD - Solid WP - Wipe PT - Paint CK - Caulk | ater SO - Soil sr SL - Sludge | DW - Drinking Wa WW - Wastewate | WA - Water WG - Groundwater | s: queous Liquid on-Aqueous Liquid | - Matrix Code: AQ - AQ NQ - NQ | | ignitioning | SANDN |
| 1-205, 000 | eletwentereturersin | | | ATTN: | Detwoler | ATTN: BCC | NCE | RV- (W | PROJ Wilkins |
| | Email: | MANC | | PHONE | 8-8202 | PHONE: 27 | | | |
| | Quotation #: | STATE: A CUB | | 21P: 14534 OTT: | SFOR STATE NY | SUN VIII | | | 1 |
| | LAB PROJECT ID | |) | | | ADDRESS: | | A U G | |
| | | OICE TO: | W | | | | |)))) |)) |
| | | • | CUSTODY | CHAIN OF | | | | | |
| 5 | | ax (585) 647-3311 | Office (585) 647-2530 F | าบe, Rochester, NY 14608 | 179 Lake Aver | | | | |

242



Chain of Custody Supplement

| Client: | Ly Eng | Completed by: | Mulail |
|---|---|---------------------------------------|------------------|
| Lab Project ID: | 13383 8 | Date: | 10/7/13 |
| , | Sample Condition Per NELAC/ELAP 23 | on Requirements 10/241/242/243/244 | |
| Condition | NELAC compliance with the sample Yes | condition requirements upo No | n receipt N/A |
| Container Type | | × 5035 | |
| Comments | | | |
| Transferred to method- compliant container | | | |
| Headspace (<1 mL) Comments | | | |
| Preservation Comments | | | |
| Chlorine Absent (<0.10 ppm per test strip) Comments | | | |
| Holding Time Comments | | | |
| Temperature Comments | 15°C No custo | Ely seals hand de | livered |
| Sufficient Sample Quantity Comments | | | |
| | | | |

D - Institutional and Engineering Controls Certification Form





Enclosure 2 NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL CONSERVATION Site Management Periodic Review Report Notice Institutional and Engineering Controls Certification Form



| Si | Site Details te No. V00658 | Box 1 | |
|------------------------------|---|--|----------------|
| Si | te Name Churchville Ford, Inc. | | |
| Sit Cit Cc Sit | te Address: 111 South Main Street Zip Code: 14428 ty/Town: Churchville bunty: Monroe te Acreage: 6.0 | | |
| Re | eporting Period: January 31, 2013 to July 07, 2014 | | |
| | | YES | NO |
| 1. | Is the information above correct? | × | |
| | If NO, include handwritten above or on a separate sheet. | | |
| 2. | Has some or all of the site property been sold, subdivided, merged, or undergone a tax map amendment during this Reporting Period? | a | × |
| 3. | Has there been any change of use at the site during this Reporting Period (see 6NYCRR 375-1.11(d))? | | × |
| 4. | Have any federal, state, and/or local permits (e.g., building, discharge) been issued for or at the property during this Reporting Period? | | X |
| | | | |
| | If you answered YES to questions 2 thru 4, include documentation or evidence that documentation has been previously submitted with this certification for | ce n. | |
| 5. | If you answered YES to questions 2 thru 4, include documentation or evidence that documentation has been previously submitted with this certification form is the site currently undergoing development? | ce n. | × |
| 5. | If you answered YES to questions 2 thru 4, include documentation or evidence that documentation has been previously submitted with this certification form is the site currently undergoing development? | ce n. D Box 2 | × |
| 5. | If you answered YES to questions 2 thru 4, include documentation or evidence that documentation has been previously submitted with this certification form is the site currently undergoing development? | ce n. Box 2 YES | X NO |
| 5. | If you answered YES to questions 2 thru 4, include documentation or evidence that documentation has been previously submitted with this certification form is the site currently undergoing development? | ce n. Box 2 YES X | ÌX NO □ |
| 5. 6. 7. | If you answered YES to questions 2 thru 4, include documentation or evidence that documentation has been previously submitted with this certification form is the site currently undergoing development? Is the current site use consistent with the use(s) listed below? Commercial and Industrial Are all ICs/ECs in place and functioning as designed? | ce n. Box 2 YES X X | X NO |
| 5. 6. 7. | If you answered YES to questions 2 thru 4, include documentation or evidence that documentation has been previously submitted with this certification form Is the site currently undergoing development? Is the current site use consistent with the use(s) listed below? Commercial and Industrial Are all ICs/ECs in place and functioning as designed? IF THE ANSWER TO EITHER QUESTION 6 OR 7 IS NO, sign and date below DO NOT COMPLETE THE REST OF THIS FORM. Otherwise continue. | Box 2 YES | NO |
| 5. 6. 7. | If you answered YES to questions 2 thru 4, include documentation or evidend that documentation has been previously submitted with this certification for Is the site currently undergoing development? Is the current site use consistent with the use(s) listed below? Commercial and Industrial Are all ICs/ECs in place and functioning as designed? IF THE ANSWER TO EITHER QUESTION 6 OR 7 IS NO, sign and date below DO NOT COMPLETE THE REST OF THIS FORM. Otherwise continue. | Box 2 YES | NO U |
| 5. 6. 7. A (| If you answered YES to questions 2 thru 4, include documentation or evidend that documentation has been previously submitted with this certification for Is the site currently undergoing development? Is the current site use consistent with the use(s) listed below? Commercial and Industrial Are all ICs/ECs in place and functioning as designed? IF THE ANSWER TO EITHER QUESTION 6 OR 7 IS NO, sign and date below DO NOT COMPLETE THE REST OF THIS FORM. Otherwise continue. Corrective Measures Work Plan must be submitted along with this form to address gnature of Owner, Remedial Party or Designated Representative Date | ce n. Box 2 YES X X and these iss | NO |

| Description o | f Institutional Controls | |
|--|---|---|
| Parcel | <u>Owner</u> | Institutional Control |
| 143.17-1-50 | BLW Properties of Churchville, LLC | • |
| | | Ground Water Use Restriction Landuse Restriction Site Management Plan |
| Site use is limited Groundwater use Compliance with Periodic certifica | I to Commercial and industrial uses. e is prohibited. a Site Management Plan is required. tions are required. | |
| Site use is limited Groundwater use Compliance with Periodic certifica | to Commercial and industrial uses. e is prohibited. a Site Management Plan is required. tions are required. | Box |
| Site use is limited Groundwater use Compliance with Periodic certifica Description o | to Commercial and industrial uses. e is prohibited. a Site Management Plan is required. tions are required. f Engineering Controls | Box |
| Site use is limited Groundwater use Compliance with Periodic certifica Description o <u>Parcel</u> | to Commercial and industrial uses. e is prohibited. a Site Management Plan is required. tions are required. f Engineering Controls <u>Engineering Control</u> | Box |
| 1. Site use is limited 2. Groundwater use 3. Compliance with 4. Periodic certifica Description o <u>Parcel</u> 143.17-1-50 | to Commercial and industrial uses. e is prohibited. a Site Management Plan is required. tions are required. f Engineering Controls <u>Engineering Control</u> | Box |
| Site use is limited Groundwater use Compliance with Periodic certifica Description o | to Commercial and industrial uses. e is prohibited. a Site Management Plan is required. tions are required. f Engineering Controls | |

| | Box 5 |
|---|--|
| | Periodic Review Report (PRR) Certification Statements |
| | I certify by checking "YES" below that: |
| | a) the Periodic Review report and all attachments were prepared under the direction of, and reviewed by, the party making the certification; |
| | b) to the best of my knowledge and belief, the work and conclusions described in this certification are in accordance with the requirements of the site remedial program, and generally accepted and compete |
| | engineering practices, and the mormation presented is accurate and compete. YES NO |
| | X 🗆 |
| | If this site has an IC/EC Plan (or equivalent as required in the Decision Document), for each Institutiona or Engineering control listed in Boxes 3 and/or 4, I certify by checking "YES" below that all of the following statements are true: |
| | (a) the Institutional Control and/or Engineering Control(s) employed at this site is unchanged sin the date that the Control was put in-place, or was last approved by the Department; |
| | (b) nothing has occurred that would impair the ability of such Control, to protect public health and the environment; |
| | (c) access to the site will continue to be provided to the Department, to evaluate the remedy, including access to evaluate the continued maintenance of this Control; |
| | (d) nothing has occurred that would constitute a violation or failure to comply with the Site Management Plan for this Control; and |
| | (e) if a financial assurance mechanism is required by the oversight document for the site, the mechanism remains valid and sufficient for its intended purpose established in the document. |
| | YES NO |
| | × □ |
| | IF THE ANSWER TO QUESTION 2 IS NO, sign and date below and DO NOT COMPLETE THE REST OF THIS FORM. Otherwise continue. |
| | A Corrective Measures Work Plan must be submitted along with this form to address these issues. |
| | |
| ; | Signature of Owner, Remedial Party or Designated Representative Date |

| IC CERTIFICATIONS SITE NO. V00658 | |
|--|--|
| | Box 6 |
| SITE OWNER OR DESIGNATED REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE I certify that all information and statements in Boxes 1,2, and 3 are true. I understand that a false statement made herein is punishable as a Class "A" misdemeanor, pursuant to Section 210.45 of the Penal Law. | |
| I <u>Eric Defweiler</u> at <u>Lu Engineers-175 Sully</u> print name print business address am certifying as <u>Remedial Party (in Engineers)</u> (Owner | <u>s Trail, fittsh</u> ad, NY, or Remedial Party) |
| for the Site named in the Site Details Section of this form. | |
| Signature of Owner, Remedial Party, or Designated Representative Date | /14 |

IC/EC CERTIFICATIONS

Box 7

Professional Engineer Signature

I certify that all information in Boxes 4 and 5 are true. I understand that a false statement made herein is punishable as a Class "A" misdemeanor, pursuant to Section 210.45 of the Penal Law.

<u>Print name</u> at <u>175 Sullys Trail</u> <u>Pittsford M</u> print business address (Owner or Remedial Party) OF NEW 08-15-14 Stamp FESSION Signature of Professional Engineer, for the Owner or Date Remedial Party, Rendering Certification (Required for PE)



Site Photographs – 2013 Improvements 111 South Main Street, Churchville, NY



Photo 1. View to north, shallow retaining wall trench excavation.





Photo 2. View to south, asphalt cut and shallow trench excavation.



Photo 3. View to southwest, retaining wall construction at N. Sanford Rd. entrance.

Photo 4. View to west, new retaining wall construction.



Photo 5. View to east, asphalt cutting for waterline installation.



Photo 6. View of asphalt removal prior to trenching for waterline.



Site Photographs – 2013 Improvements

111 South Main Street, Churchville, NY



Photo 7. View of waterline trench excavation.



Photo 8. View of interior concrete coring for waterline access.



Photo 9. View to south, new waterline & spigot installed.



10/11/2013



Photo 11. View of new protective curb boxes & new asphalt pavement at MW-3, MW-JCL-02.



Photo 12. View of new pavement & box, MW-13.

