
RECORD OF DECISION

192 Ralph Avenue Operable Unit Number 02: On-site Remedial Program State Superfund Project Brooklyn, Kings County Site Nos. 224042 & V00669 October 2013



Prepared by Division of Environmental Remediation New York State Department of Environmental Conservation

DECLARATION STATEMENT - RECORD OF DECISION

192 Ralph Avenue Operable Unit Number: 02 State Superfund Project Brooklyn, Kings County Site No. 224042 & V00669 October 2013

Statement of Purpose and Basis

This document presents the remedy for Operable Unit Number: 02: On-site Remedial Program of the 192 Ralph Avenue site, a Class 2 inactive hazardous waste disposal site. The remedial program was chosen in accordance with the New York State Environmental Conservation Law and Title 6 of the Official Compilation of Codes, Rules and Regulations of the State of New York (6 NYCRR) Part 375, and is not inconsistent with the National Oil and Hazardous Substances Pollution Contingency Plan of March 8, 1990 (40CFR300), as amended.

This decision is based on the Administrative Record of the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (the Department) for Operable Unit Number: 02 of the 192 Ralph Avenue site and the public's input to the proposed remedy presented by the Department. A listing of the documents included as a part of the Administrative Record is included in Appendix B of the ROD.

Description of Selected Remedy

During the course of the investigation certain actions, known as interim remedial measures (IRMs), were undertaken at the above referenced site. An IRM is conducted at a site when a source of contamination or exposure pathway can be effectively addressed before completion of the remedial investigation (RI) or feasibility study (FS). The IRM(s) undertaken at this site are discussed in Section 6.2.

Based on the implementation of the IRM(s), the findings of the investigation of this site indicate that the site no longer poses a threat to human health or the environment; therefore No Further Action is the selected remedy. The remedy may include continued operation of a remedial system if one was installed during the IRM and the implementation of any prescribed institutional controls/engineering controls (ICs/ECs) that have been identified as being part of the remedy for the site.

The IRM(s) conducted at the site attained the remediation objectives identified for this site in Section 6.5 for the protection of public health and the environment.

New York State Department of Health Acceptance

The New York State Department of Health (NYSDOH) concurs that the remedy for this site is protective of human health.

Declaration

The selected remedy is protective of human health and the environment, complies with State and Federal requirements that are legally applicable or relevant and appropriate to the remedial action to the extent practicable, and is cost effective. This remedy utilizes permanent solutions and alternative treatment or resource recovery technologies, to the maximum extent practicable, and satisfies the preference for remedies that reduce toxicity, mobility, or volume as a principal element.

October 18, 2013____

Date

Duscht

Robert W. Schick, P.E., Director Division of Environmental Remediation

RECORD OF DECISION

192 Ralph Avenue Brooklyn, Kings County Site No. 224042 & V00669 October 2013

SECTION 1: SUMMARY AND PURPOSE

The New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (the Department), in consultation with the New York State Department of Health (NYSDOH), has selected a remedy for the above referenced site. The disposal of hazardous wastes at the site resulted in threats to public health and the environment that were addressed by actions known as interim remedial measures (IRMs), which were undertaken at the site. An IRM is conducted at a site when a source of contamination or exposure pathway can be effectively addressed before completion of the remedial investigation (RI) or feasibility study (FS). The IRMs undertaken at this site are discussed in Section 6.2.

Based on the implementation of the IRM(s), the findings of the investigation of this site indicate that the site no longer poses a threat to human health or the environment. The IRM(s) conducted at the site attained the remediation objectives identified for this site, which are presented in Section 6.5, for the protection of public health and the environment. No Further Action is the remedy selected by this Record of Decision (ROD). A No Further Action remedy may include site management, which will include continued operation of any remedial system installed during the IRM and the implementation of any prescribed controls that have been identified as being part of the remedy for the site. This ROD identifies the IRM(s) conducted and discusses the basis for No Further Action.

The New York State Inactive Hazardous Waste Disposal Site Remedial Program (also known as the State Superfund Program) is an enforcement program, the mission of which is to identify and characterize suspected inactive hazardous waste disposal sites and to investigate and remediate those sites found to pose a significant threat to public health and environment.

The Department has issued this document in accordance with the requirements of New York State Environmental Conservation Law and 6 NYCRR Part 375. This document is a summary of the information that can be found in the site-related reports and documents.

SECTION 2: <u>CITIZEN PARTICIPATION</u>

The Department seeks input from the community on all remedies. A public comment period was held, during which the public was encouraged to submit comment on the proposed remedy. All comments on the remedy received during the comment period were considered by the Department in selecting a remedy for the site. Site-related reports and documents were made

available for review by the public at the following document repository:

Saratoga Library 8 Thomas S. Boyland St Brooklyn, NY 11233 Phone: 718-573-5224

A public meeting was also conducted. At the meeting, the findings of the remedial investigation (RI) and the feasibility study (FS) were presented along with a summary of the proposed remedy. After the presentation, a question-and-answer period was held, during which verbal or written comments were accepted on the propsed remedy.

Comments on the remedy received during the comment period are summarized and addressed in the responsiveness summary section of the ROD.

Receive Site Citizen Participation Information By Email

Please note that the Department's Division of Environmental Remediation (DER) is "going paperless" relative to citizen participation information. The ultimate goal is to distribute citizen participation information about contaminated sites electronically by way of county email listservs. Information will be distributed for all sites that are being investigated and cleaned up in a particular county under the State Superfund Program, Environmental Restoration Program, Brownfield Cleanup Program, Voluntary Cleanup Program, and Resource Conservation and Recovery Act Program. We encourage the public to sign up for one or more county listservs at http://www.dec.ny.gov/chemical/61092.html

SECTION 3: SITE DESCRIPTION AND HISTORY

Location: The site is located in an urban area in the southeastern part of the Bedford Stuyvesant section of Brooklyn. The site occupies 188 through 192 Ralph Avenue and is identified on the New York City Tax Map as Section 6, Block 1678, Lot 53.

Site Features: The main site feature is the existing three-story building on the property and an attached one-story addition with a basement at the rear (192 Ralph Avenue). The building structure is 20' x 80' occupying an area of approximately 0.037 acres.

Current Zoning/Use: The building at the site is zoned mixed Residential and Commercial (R6B) and is currently unoccupied. The surrounding properties are either zoned the same or as Residential 1 and 2 Family (primarily row house structures), which make up the majority of the area property uses. The row house property adjacent to the site building at 590 MacDonough Street has this latter zoning designation, while the adjacent 4-story, 14-unit apartment building to the south at 196 Ralph Avenue has the residential and commercial designation.

Past Use of the Site: Dry-cleaning operations (wet chemical) were conducted at the site from approximately 1946 until 1998. From 1998 until 2000 the site was used solely as a drop-shop dry-cleaning operation. The dry-cleaning operations took place in the 20 x 20 foot addition at the

rear of the building with the equipment located on the first floor. It appears that the site contamination came from releases of process chemicals into the basement area of the one-story building addition, which at the time had a dirt floor in the basement allowing migration of contaminants into the underlying soils and groundwater.

Site contamination was discovered in 2002 during an owner-initiated subsurface investigation within the basement area of the building. With the confirmation of waste disposal at the site the property owner entered the Department's Voluntary Cleanup Program (VCP) as a Volunteer in 2004. The VCP site is defined as the entire 80' x 20' parcel. The State Superfund site is a 20' x 20' area at the southern end of the parcel.

Operable Units: The site was divided into two operable units. An operable unit represents a portion of a remedial program for a site that for technical or administrative reasons can be addressed separately to investigate, eliminate or mitigate a release, threat of release or exposure pathway resulting from the site contamination. Operable Unit 2 (OU2) is the on-site source area. OU1 consists of the off-site groundwater and soil vapor plumes.

Site Geology and Hydrogeology: The general area geology is composed of outwash sand and gravel deposits. Locally, there are highly permeable fine to medium sands with some gravel. There appears to be a confining silt/clay layer of unknown thickness present in the site area around 60 to 70 feet below the ground surface. Groundwater is encountered at 35 to 40 feet below ground surface (~9 feet above sea level). The area groundwater flow is to the south/southeast.

Operable Unit (OU) Number 02 is the subject of this document.

A Record of Decision will be issued for OU 01 in the future.

A site location map is attached as Figure 1.

SECTION 4: LAND USE AND PHYSICAL SETTING

The Department may consider the current, intended, and reasonably anticipated future land use of the site and its surroundings when evaluating a remedy for soil remediation. For this site, alternatives (or an alternative) that restrict(s) the use of the site to restricted-residential use (which allows for commercial use and industrial use) as described in Part 375-1.8(g) were/was evaluated in addition to an alternative which would allow for unrestricted use of the site.

A comparison of the results of the investigation to the appropriate standards, criteria and guidance values (SCGs) for the identified land use and the unrestricted use SCGs for the site contaminants is included in the Tables for the media being evaluated in Exhibit A.

SECTION 5: ENFORCEMENT STATUS

Potentially Responsible Parties (PRPs) are those who may be legally liable for contamination at a site. This may include past or present owners and operators, waste generators, and haulers.

No PRPs have been documented to date.

The Department and Brooklyn Properties 5, LLC (Volunteer), entered into a Voluntary Cleanup Agreement (VCA) on February 20, 2004. The agreement was amended on January 12, 2012 to add Hubbell Mountain, LLC as an additional Volunteer to the VCA. The agreement obligates the parties to implement a full remedial program for the site. The Volunteers are subject to legal actions by the state for recovery of all on-site response costs the state has incurred.

The off-site portion of the site investigation is beyond the scope of the on-site VCA and is being investigated under the State Superfund as Operable Unit 1.

SECTION 6: SITE CONTAMINATION

6.1: <u>Summary of the Remedial Investigation</u>

A Remedial Investigation (RI) has been conducted. The purpose of the RI was to define the nature and extent of any contamination resulting from previous activities at the site. The field activities and findings of the investigation are described in the RI Report.

The following general activities are conducted during an RI:

- Research of historical information,
- Geophysical survey to determine the lateral extent of wastes,
- Test pits, soil borings, and monitoring well installations,
- Sampling of waste, surface and subsurface soils, groundwater, and soil vapor,
- Sampling of surface water and sediment,
- Ecological and Human Health Exposure Assessments.

The analytical data collected on this site includes data for:

- groundwater
- soil
- soil vapor
- indoor air

6.1.1: <u>Standards, Criteria, and Guidance (SCGs)</u>

The remedy must conform to promulgated standards and criteria that are directly applicable or that are relevant and appropriate. The selection of a remedy must also take into consideration guidance, as appropriate. Standards, Criteria and Guidance are hereafter called SCGs.

To determine whether the contaminants identified in various media are present at levels of concern, the data from the RI were compared to media-specific SCGs. The Department has developed SCGs for groundwater, surface water, sediments, and soil. The NYSDOH has developed SCGs for drinking water and soil vapor intrusion. The tables found in Exhibit A list the applicable SCG in the footnotes. For a full listing of all SCGs see: http://www.dec.ny.gov/regulations/61794.html

6.1.2: <u>RI Results</u>

The data have identified contaminants of concern. A "contaminant of concern" is a hazardous waste that is sufficiently present in frequency and concentration in the environment to require evaluation for remedial action. Not all contaminants identified on the property are contaminants of concern. The nature and extent of contamination and environmental media requiring action are summarized in Exhibit A. Additionally, the RI Report contains a full discussion of the data. The contaminant(s) of concern identified for this Operable Unit at this site is/are:

TETRACHLOROETHYLENE (PCE)

Based on the investigation results, comparison to the SCGs, and the potential public health and environmental exposure routes, certain media and areas of the site required remediation. These media were addressed by the IRM(s) described in Section 6.2. More complete information can be found in the RI Report and the IRM Construction Completion Report.

6.2: Interim Remedial Measures

An interim remedial measure (IRM) is conducted at a site when a source of contamination or exposure pathway can be effectively addressed before issuance of the Record of Decision.

The following IRM(s) has/have been completed at this site based on conditions observed during the RI.

IRM Soil Vapor Extraction

The Interim Remedial Measure Work Plan dated June 2007 was approved July 11, 2007. The approved IRM Work Plan consists of the installation of a soil vapor extraction (SVE) system beneath the former dry-cleaners and adjacent management office to remediate volatile organic compounds (VOCs) sub-slab soil contamination and minimize vapor intrusion into the on-site building. Concurrent with the installation of the SVE system, a new concrete floor and a vapor barrier was installed in the basement of the former dry-cleaner (formerly a dirt floor) to further minimize the potential for vapor intrusion. The approved IRM work plan has been implemented, and the installed SVE system is currently operational. The remediation goal of the SVE IRM is to meet protection of groundwater cleanup objective (SCO) for PCE of 1.3 ppm. This would also meet the restricted residential SCO.

6.3: <u>Summary of Environmental Assessment</u>

This section summarizes the assessment of existing and potential future environmental impacts presented by the site. Environmental impacts may include existing and potential future exposure pathways to fish and wildlife receptors, wetlands, groundwater resources, and surface water.

Based upon the resources and pathways identified and the toxicity of the contaminants of ecological concern at this site, a Fish and Wildlife Resources Impact Analysis (FWRIA) was deemed not necessary for OU 02.

Nature and Extent of Contamination: Based upon investigations conducted to date the primary contaminant of concern at the site is the dry cleaning solvent tetrachloroethylene (also known as perchloroethylene, perc or PCE).

Chemical concentrations are reported in parts per billion (ppb) for water, soil samples are reported in parts per million (ppm) while air samples are reported in micrograms per cubic meter (ug/m3).

Soil Contamination: The significant soil contamination at the site is primarily limited to the area under the building footprint. The shallow soils are contaminated with PCE in the range of 10 to 344 ppm. The restricted residential soil cleanup objective (SCO) for PCE is 19 ppm.

Groundwater Contamination: Groundwater beneath the building footprint is contaminated with PCE above groundwater standard of 5 ppb. PCE has also migrated from the site area downgradient to the south southeast under the adjoining sidewalks and streets. PCE contamination in the upper part of the aquifer (~ 40 feet below ground surface) ranges from approximately 2.4 to 320 ppb in the vicinity of the site in February, 2013.

Soil Vapor: Soil vapor measurements taken from the five extraction wells in the basement of the building showed PCE concentrations ranged from 678 to 19,000 ug/m3 during the latest monitoring event.

Indoor Air: A post-IRM indoor air sample in the southern 20'x 20' portion of the parcel defined as the State Superfund site showed PCE at a concentration of 7.46 ug/m3. Three post-IRM indoor air samples were taken in the remainder of the parcel and contained a maximum PCE concentration of 6.71 ug/m3.

6.4: <u>Summary of Human Exposure Pathways</u>

This human exposure assessment identifies ways in which people may be exposed to site-related contaminants. Chemicals can enter the body through three major pathways (breathing, touching or swallowing). This is referred to as *exposure*.

People will not come into contact with contaminated soils since they are located at depth and beneath a building foundation. Contaminated groundwater at the site is not used for drinking or other purposes and the site is served by a public water supply that obtains water from a different

source not affected by this contamination. Volatile organic compounds in groundwater may move into the soil vapor (air spaces within the soil), which in turn may move into overlying buildings and affect indoor air quality. This process, which is similar to the movement of radon gas from the subsurface into the indoor air of buildings, is referred to as soil vapor intrusion. A soil vapor extraction system has been installed beneath the on-site building to prevent the indoor air quality from being affected by the contamination in soil vapor beneath the building. The potential for off-site inhalation exposures due to soil vapor intrusion is being investigated as part of a separate investigation (Operable Unit 1).

6.5: <u>Summary of the Remediation Objectives</u>

The objectives for the remedial program have been established through the remedy selection process stated in 6 NYCRR Part 375. The goal for the remedial program is to restore the site to pre-disposal conditions to the extent feasible. At a minimum, the remedy shall eliminate or mitigate all significant threats to public health and the environment presented by the contamination identified at the site through the proper application of scientific and engineering principles.

The remedial action objectives for this site are:

Groundwater

RAOs for Public Health Protection

Prevent contact with, or inhalation of volatiles, from contaminated groundwater.

RAOs for Environmental Protection

• Remove the source of ground or surface water contamination.

<u>Soil</u>

RAOs for Public Health Protection

• Prevent inhalation of or exposure from contaminants volatilizing from contaminants in soil.

RAOs for Environmental Protection

• Prevent migration of contaminants that would result in groundwater or surface water contamination.

<u>Soil Vapor</u>

RAOs for Public Health Protection

• Mitigate impacts to public health resulting from existing, or the potential for, soil vapor intrusion into buildings at a site.

SECTION 7: <u>SUMMARY OF SELECTED REMEDY</u>

Based on the results of the investigations at the site, the IRM that has been performed, and the evaluation presented here, the Department has selected No Further Action as the remedy for the site. This No Further Action remedy includes continued operation of the SVE/SSDS system, a deed restriction, and the maintenance of the existing site cover (ICs/ECs) as the remedy for the site. The Department believes that this remedy is protective of human health and the

environment and satisfies the remediation objectives described in Section 6.5. The elements of the IRM already completed and the institutional and engineering controls are listed below:

1. Continued operation, maintenance and monitoring of the Soil Vapor Extraction System (SVE), new concrete floor and vapor barrier installed as part of the Interim Remedial Measure (IRM).

2. A site cover currently exists and will be maintained to allow for restricted residential use of the site. Any site redevelopment will maintain a site cover, which may consist either of the structures such as buildings, pavement, sidewalks comprising the site development or a soil cover in areas where the upper two feet of exposed surface soil will exceed the applicable soil cleanup objectives (SCOs). Where a soil cover is required it will be a minimum of two feet of soil, meeting the SCOs for cover material as set forth in 6 NYCRR Part 375-6.7(d) for restricted residential use. The soil cover will be placed over a demarcation layer, with the upper six inches of the soil of sufficient quality to maintain a vegetation layer. Any fill material brought to the site will meet the requirements for the identified site use as set forth in 6 NYCRR Part 375-6.7(d).

3. Imposition of an institutional control in the form of an environmental easement for the controlled property that:

• requires the remedial party or site owner to complete and submit to the Department a periodic certification of institutional and engineering controls in accordance with Part 375-1.8 (h)(3);

• allows the use and development of the controlled property for restricted residential, commercial and industrial uses as defined by Part 375-1.8(g), although land use is subject to local zoning laws;

• restricts the use of groundwater as a source of potable or process water, without necessary water quality treatment as determined by the NYSDOH or County DOH;

• requires compliance with the Department approved Site Management Plan.

4. A Site Management Plan is required, which includes the following:

a. an Institutional and Engineering Control Plan that identifies all use restrictions and engineering controls for the site and details the steps and media-specific requirements necessary to ensure the following institutional and/or engineering controls remain in place and effective:

Institutional Controls: The Environmental Easement discussed in Paragraph 3 above.

Engineering Controls: The site cover discussed in Paragraph 2, a vapor barrier, and the soil vapor extraction system discussed in Paragraph 1 above.

This plan includes, but may not be limited to:

- an Excavation Plan which details the provisions for management of future excavations in areas of remaining contamination;
- descriptions of the provisions of the environmental easement including any land use, and/or groundwater use restrictions;
- a provision for evaluation of the potential for soil vapor intrusion should the on-site building become occupied and for any buildings developed on the site, including provision for implementing actions recommended to address exposures related to soil vapor intrusion;
- provisions for the management and inspection of the identified engineering controls;

• maintaining site access controls and Department notification; and

• the steps necessary for the periodic reviews and certification of the institutional and/or engineering controls.

b. a Monitoring Plan to assess the performance and effectiveness of the remedy. The plan includes, but may not be limited to:


- monitoring of groundwater to assess the performance and effectiveness of the remedy;
- a schedule of monitoring and frequency of submittals to the Department;


• monitoring for vapor intrusion for any buildings re-occupied or developed on the site, as may be required by the Institutional and Engineering Control Plan discussed above.

c. an Operation and Maintenance (O&M) Plan to ensure continued operation, maintenance, optimization, monitoring, inspection, and reporting of any mechanical or physical components of the remedy. The plan includes, but is not limited to:

• compliance monitoring of treatment systems to ensure proper O&M as well as providing the data for any necessary permit or permit equivalent reporting;

- maintaining site access controls and Department notification; and
- providing the Department access to the site and O&M records.











Figure 1A Site Map 192 Ralph Avenue Brooklyn, Kings County Site No. V00669









Nature and Extent of Contamination

This section describes the findings of the Remedial Investigation for all environmental media that were evaluated. As described in Section 6.1, samples were collected from various environmental media to characterize the nature and extent of contamination.

For each medium for which contamination was identified, a table summarizes the findings of the investigation. The tables present the range of contamination found at the site in the media and compares the data with the applicable SCGs for the site. The contaminants are volatile organic compounds (VOCs). For comparison purposes, the SCGs are provided for each medium that allows for unrestricted use. For soil the Restricted Use SCGs identified in Section 4 and Section 6.1.1 are also presented. See Figure 2 for the location of sampling points.

Groundwater

Post-IRM groundwater samples were collected from overburden monitoring wells near the site. The samples were collected to assess groundwater conditions on and adjacent to the site. The results indicate that contamination in groundwater at the site exceeds the SCGs for volatile organic compounds at shallow and intermediate depths. Additional off-site volatile organic compound groundwater contamination has been detected further downgradient of the site. In the vicinity of the site, overburden groundwater flows to the southeast . The depth to groundwater is approximately 37 feet. See Figure 4. That further off-site contamination is being investigated separately and will be the subject of separate PRAP/ROD at a later date. The area is supplied by public water with no water supply wells in the vicinity.

Table 1 - Groundwater

Detected Constituents	Concentration Range Detected (ppb) ^a	SCG ^b (ppb)	Frequency Exceeding SCG				
VOCs							
Tetrachloroethene	ND - 320	5	3 of 7				
Trichloroethene	ND - 6.5	5	1 of 7				
Cis-1,2-dichloroethene	ND - 6.0	5 1 of 7					

a - ppb: parts per billion, which is equivalent to micrograms per liter, ug/L, in water.

b- SCG: Standard Criteria or Guidance - Ambient Water Quality Standards and Guidance Values (TOGs 1.1.1), 6 NYCRR Part 703, Surface water and Groundwater Quality Standards, and Part 5 of the New York State Sanitary Code (10 NYCRR Part 5).

Groundwater contamination identified during the RI will be addressed during a separate investigation.

Soil

Subsurface soil samples were collected at the site during the RI. Prior to the soil vapor extraction IRM subsurface soil samples were collected from a depth of 1 - 30 feet to assess soil contamination impacts to

groundwater. The results indicate that soils at the site exceed the unrestricted SCG for volatile organics, specifically tetrachloroethene. The restricted use SCG for tetrachloroethene was exceeded to a lesser extent.

Table 2 - Soil

Detected Constituents	Concentration Range Detected (ppm) ^a	Unrestricted SCG ^b (ppm)	Frequency Exceeding Unrestricted SCG	Restricted Use SCG ^c (ppm)	Frequency Exceeding Restricted SCG		
VOCs							
Tetrachloroethene	ND - 344	1.3	5 of 24	19	4 of 24		

a - ppm: parts per million, which is equivalent to milligrams per kilogram, mg/kg, in soil;

b - SCG: Part 375-6.8(a), Unrestricted Soil Cleanup Objectives.

c - SCG: Part 375-6.8(b), Restricted Use Soil Cleanup Objectives for the Protection of Public Health for Restricted Residential Use, unless otherwise noted.

Soil contamination identified during the RI was addressed during the IRM described in Section 6.2.

Soil Vapor

The evaluation of the potential for soil vapor intrusion resulting from the presence of site related soil or groundwater contamination was evaluated by the sampling of soil vapor and indoor air inside structures. At this site due to the presence of buildings in the impacted area a full suite of samples were collected to evaluate whether soil vapor intrusion was occurring.

Soil vapor samples were collected from the five sub-slab vapor extraction wells in the basement of the dry cleaner building. Additional soil vapor samples were taken from beneath the Ralph Avenue sidewalks to the east and an adjacent building to the south. Indoor air and outdoor air samples from the former dry cleaner and adjacent properties were also collected at this time. (See Figure 3) The samples were collected to assess the potential for soil vapor intrusion. The results indicate tetrachloroethene (PCE) was detected at elevated levels in the vapor extraction wells with much lower levels beneath the sidewalks and the adjacent building's slab, and the pre-IRM concentrations in indoor air were lower than the background outdoor air (sample OA-1), which was collected off-site on an adjacent properties back yard. This elevated off-site detection in outdoor air will be investigated further in the off-site investigation currently underway.

APPENDIX A

Responsiveness Summary

RESPONSIVENESS SUMMARY

192 Ralph Avenue Operable Unit No. 2: On-site Remedial Program State Superfund Project Brooklyn, Kings County, New York Site Nos. 224042 and V00669

The Proposed Remedial Action Plan (PRAP) for the 192 Ralph Avenue site was prepared by the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (the Department) in consultation with the New York State Department of Health (NYSDOH) and was issued to the document repositories on August 30, 2013. The PRAP outlined the remedial measure proposed for the contaminated soil, groundwater, and soil vapor at the 192 Ralph Avenue site.

The release of the PRAP was announced by sending a notice to the public contact list, informing the public of the opportunity to comment on the proposed remedy.

A public meeting was held on September 17, 2013, which included a presentation of the remedial investigation and feasibility study (RI/FS) for the 192 Ralph Avenue site as well as a discussion of the proposed remedy. The meeting provided an opportunity for citizens to discuss their concerns, ask questions and comment on the proposed remedy. These comments have become part of the Administrative Record for this site. The public comment period for the PRAP ended on September 30, 2013.

This responsiveness summary responds to all questions and comments raised during the public comment period. The following are the comments received, with the Department's responses:

No public comments were received.

APPENDIX B

Administrative Record

Administrative Record

192 Ralph Avenue Operable Unit No. 2: On-site Remedial Program State Superfund Project Brooklyn, Kings County, New York Site Nos. 224042 and V00669

- 1. *Proposed Remedial Action Plan for the 192 Ralph Avenue site, Operable Unit No. 2,* dated August 2013, prepared by the Department.
- 2. Referral Memorandum dated August 18, 2008 for referral for a state funded remedial program.
- 3. The Department and Brooklyn Properties 5, LLC entered into a Voluntary Cleanup Agreement, Contract No. W2-0977-03-11, dated February 20, 2004.
- 4. The Department and Brooklyn Properties 5, LLC and Hubbell Mountain, LLC, amended the Voluntary Cleanup Agreement, Contract No. W2-1163-12-01, dated February 1, 2012 adding Hubbell Mountain, LLC as a volunteer.
- 5. Voluntary Investigation Work Plan for 188-192 Ralph Avenue, Brooklyn, New York, prepared by Berninger Enivronmental, Inc., July 2004
- 6. Interim Remedial Measure & Supplemental Investigation Work Plan, 188-192 Ralph Avenue, Brooklyn, New York, prepared by Berninger Enivronmental, Inc., June 2007
- 7. Supplemental Investigation Report, 188-192 Ralph Avenue, Brooklyn, New York, prepared by Berninger Enivronmental, Inc., September 2008
- 8. Remedial Action Work Plan, 188-192 Ralph Avenue, Brooklyn, New York, prepared by Berninger Enivronmental, Inc., February 2011
- 9. Interim Remedial Measure Construction Completion Report, 188-192 Ralph Avenue, Brooklyn, New York, prepared by Berninger Enivronmental, Inc., July 2013