1	NEW YORK STATE
2	DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL CONSERVATION:
3	
4	In the Matter of Applications for Permits pursuant to
5	Articles 17, 24 and 27 of the Environmental Conservation Law (ECL); Parts 373 (Hazardous Waste
6	Management Facilities), 663 (Freshwater Wetlands Permit Requirements), 750 (State Pollutant Discharge
7	Elimination System [SPDES] Permits) of Title 6 of the Official Compilation of Codes, Rules and Regulations
8	of the State of New York (6 NYCRR); Section 401 of the Federal Clean Water Act (CWA); and 6 NYCRR 608.9
9	(Water Quality Certifications),
10	by
11	CWM Chemical Services, L.L.C.
12	Applicant (RE: Residuals Management Unit-Two [RMU-2])
13	DEC Permit Application Nos.: 9-2934-00022/00225 9-2934-00022/00231
14	9-2934-00022/00232 9-2934-00022/00249
15	
16	NUMBER STATES OF THE STATES OF
17	NEW YORK STATE FACILITY SITING BOARD
18	
19	In the Matter of an Application for a Certificate of Environmental Safety and Public Necessity pursuant
20	to 6 NYCRR Part 361 (Siting of Industrial Hazardous Waste Facilities)
21	by
22	CWM Chemical Services, L.L.C.
23	Applicant (RE: Residuals Management Unit - Two
24	[RMU-2])
25	

```
1
           Proceedings held before DANIEL P. O'CONNELL,
    Administrative Law Judge, at the Lewiston-Porter
 2
    High School Auditorium, 4061 Creek Road, Youngstown,
 3
 4
    New York, taken on Wednesday, July 16, 2014,
    commencing at 1:00 p.m.
 5
 6
7
 8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	APPEARANCES:
2	COHEN & GRIGSBY BY: DANIEL M. DARRAGH, ESQ.
3	625 Liberty Avenue, 5th Floor Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15222
4	Appearing for the Siting Board.
5	
6	FACILITY SITING BOARD
7	
8	In the Matter of the Application of CWM Chemical Services, L.L.C. for a Certificate of Environmental Safety and Public Necessity, Residuals Management Unit-Two
	OHIC-1WO
10	PAUL D'AMATO, Chair-Designee for
11	NYS Department of Environmental Conservation
12	I WIN MADINET I Dogiovo o for
13	LYNN MARINELLI, Designee for NYS Department of Economic Development
14	MATTIEM EODGIGGT Dogianoo for
15	MATTHEW FORCUCCI, Designee for NYS Department of Health
16	DIERDRE K. SCOZZAFAVA, Designee
17	for New York State Department of State
18	DARRELL KAMINSKI, P.E., Designee for New York State Department of
19	Transportation
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	AD HOC MEMBERS
2	JOHN F. BENOIT LEE SIMONSON
3	A. SCOTT WEBER, Ph.D.
4	SUPPORT STAFF
5	LOUIS A. ALEXANDER, Assistant
6	Commissioner for Hearings and Mediation Services
7	MICHAEL CARUSO
9	Facility Siting Board Counsel
10	* * *
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
22	
23	
24	
25	

AFTERNOON SESSION

County.

JUDGE O'CONNELL: We'll go on the record now, please. Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. This is a Joint Administrative Hearing of the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation and the New York State Facility Siting Board. It's being held pursuant to Articles 27 and 70 of the Environmental Conservation Law of the State of New York and Parts 361 and 624 of Title 6 of the Official Compilation of Codes, Rules and Regulations of the State of New York to consider applications filed by CWM Chemical Services, L.L.C. for the proposed Residual Management Unit Two (RMU-2) landfill and related units in Niagara

The proposed RMU-2 landfill and related units would be constructed on property currently owned by CWM Chemical Services, L.L.C., located at 1550 Balmer Road, Model City (Niagara County), New York.

My name is Daniel O'Connell from the Department of Environmental Conservation Office of Hearings and Mediation Services. I'm the Administrative Law Judge assigned to conduct this joint public comment hearing. The Office of Hearings and Mediation Services is a distinct unit in the Department of Environmental

Conservation. It is separate from Counsel's Office, the various Program Units and the Regional Offices.

The sole purpose of the Office of Hearings and Mediation Services is to conduct public hearings such as this one.

Consistent with the requirements outlined in Environmental Conservation Law 27-1105, Governor Cuomo constituted a Facility Siting Board to review and decide CWM's application for a Certificate of Environmental Safety and Public Necessity. Members of the Siting Board are attending the public comment hearing.

They are Paul D'Amato, on behalf of the Department of Environmental Conservation. Matthew Forcucci, on behalf of the Department of Health.

Darrell Kaminski, on behalf of the Department of Transportation and three ad hoc members are here.

John Benoit, Lee Simonson and Scott Weber. Mr. D'Amato is DEC Commissioner Martens' designee to the Siting Board and is serving as Chair of the Board.

During today's public comment hearing sessions, members of the public will have an opportunity to comment about CWM's proposal, its application for a Certificate of Environmental Safety and Public Necessity and the applications pending before the

Department, as well as the draft Environmental Impact Statement. Written comment may also be presented now and will be weighed equally with oral statements made during the legislative hearing sessions held today.

In addition, written comments may be filed until September 5th, 2014 with my office; and I'll provide the address during the break.

A combined Notice of Joint Public Statement
Hearing and Notice of Extension of Public Comment
Period was published in the Department's Environmental
Notice Bulletin on June 11th, 2014 and in the
following newspapers; The Niagara Gazette and the
Buffalo News on June 11th, 2014, and the
Lewiston-Porter Sentinel on June 14th, 2014.

On June 11, 2014, radio announcements regarding the hearing were also broadcast at the following radio stations: WJJL, WLVL, WBEN and WGR.

Applicant has provided me with the Affidavits of Publication of the combined Notice in the local newspapers, Affidavits of broadcast of the radio announcement, and Affidavits of mailing to the landowners located within one-half mile of the facility.

An additional legislative hearing session will be held tonight and will begin at 6:30 p.m.

As I stated before we went on the record, anyone wishing to speak today must fill out a speaker card. The cards are available in the hallway on the table, right outside the entrance to the auditorium. So far I've collected about twenty-five cards.

If you have not completed a card and wish to speak, please fill out a card and give it to the DEC staff people at the table. They'll leave it here for me and I'll periodically pick it up.

I'll call your name when it's your turn to speak. As I indicated on the record, if a group of you could sit in this front row here (indicating), people are available in succession. Let me apologize now if I mispronounce your name. If I do mispronounce your name, please correct me. After I have called your name, please come forward and speak into the microphone. I ask you to use the podium, speak clearly and slowly.

As I mentioned before, a stenographic proceeding of today's proceedings is being made. I've asked the stenographer if she cannot hear you, you're going too quickly, to signal me. I'll ask you to slow down and speak up. It's very important that we get everything that everyone says today.

I request your cooperation when a speaker is

866-565-1929

talking. Please extend the same courtesies and respect that you would want when you are speaking.

If you do not wish to make an oral Statement, you may submit a written Statement by mail or e-mail or if you have a written Statement, you may hand it to me this evening. The address for mailing comments is Office of Hearings and Mediation Services, New York State Department of Environmental Conservation, 625 Broadway, First Floor, Albany, New York 12233-1550. The E-mail address is CWMRMU2@gw.dec.state.ny.us.

Now, there's a -- contact information is available at the table in front of the -- in the hallway in front of the auditorium. I'll also provide that information again and during a break, I would be happy to provide that contact information.

The Department staff will first provide a brief presentation concerning a proposal, which will be followed by members of the public.

The Department Staff speaker is Dave Denk. I ask Mr. Denk to please come to the microphone and make his presentation.

MR. DENK: Good afternoon, Judge O'Connell, ladies and gentlemen, Siting Board members, elected officials and representatives, my name is David Denk

and I'm the Regional Permit Administrator for the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation Region 9. CWM has proposed to construct and operate a new landfill or Residual Management Unit, RMU-2, within its existing Model City facility for the continued disposal of hazardous and industrial nonhazardous waste.

The proposed landfill would occupy approximately forty-three acres, have a design capacity of approximately four million cubic yards and a design life of ten to twenty years. The proposed RMU-2 landfill would be designed with a double composite liner system with primary and secondary systems for leachate collection. Upon reaching capacity, RMU-2 would be closed, utilizing the composite final cover to be maintained by CWM during the landfill's post-closure period.

CWM is proposing to construct and operate a number of new and modified waste storage treatment units. The new units would replace existing units, which would close during the course of RMU-2 construction. Another existing unit would be modified to manage leachate from RMU-2.

The DEC has tentatively determined that the part 373 Hazardous Waste Management Facility Permit

Modification Application is technically and administratively complete and has made a tentative determination to issue the Part 373 Permit Modification for the proposed RMU-2 landfill project.

A draft Part 373 Permit Modification has been prepared for public review. The DEC has also tentatively determined that the Part 663 Freshwater Wetlands Permit and Water Quality Certification Applications are technically and administratively complete and has made a tentative determination to issue that approval for CWM for land adjacent to freshwater wetlands of RV-8. A draft of that approval has been prepared for public review.

The State Environmental Quality Review Act requires the proposed project to undergo department review in conjunction with the permit applications.

CWM has prepared a Draft Environmental Impact

Statement or DEIS for this project. The proposed

RMU-2 landfill project is required to undergo regulatory and public involvement process to allow for the evaluation of the applications of DEIS and draft permits in relation to applicable New York State Laws and Regulations.

Hard copies of the permit applications, draft permits and DEIS are available for review at the

following locations: The Youngstown Free Library, 240 Lockport Street in Youngstown; Porter Town Hall, 3265 Creek Road, Town of Porter; DEC Region 9 Office, 270 Michigan Avenue in Buffalo; DEC Central Office at 625 Broadway in Albany. In addition, two new document repositories have been created to give the public greater access to these documents. The Ransomville Free Library at 3733 Ransomville Road in Ransomville and the Lewiston Free Library at 305 8th Street in Lewiston.

These documents will be placed at these two new locations tomorrow. Electronic copies of permit applications and DEIS are available through CWM's website. Electronic copies of the draft permits and CWM's compliance record is available through DEC's website.

The public comment period began May 7th, 2014. In response to request from the public, it's been extended to September 5th, 2014. All written comments must be submitted no later than September 5th, 2014. All written comments and those recorded at this hearing will be reviewed and considered prior to DEC's final decision on the matter.

There's copies of the fact sheet available here today. The fact sheet explains the project in greater

detail; explains where you can find paper and electronic versions of documents and how to submit written comments. There's another handout available with the list of document repositories and a list of the websites.

Thank you to the Lewiston-Porter school officials for the use of this facility and thank you for your attention.

JUDGE O'CONNELL: Member of New York State
Assembly, John Ceretto, followed by William Ross,
Chairman of Niagara County legislature.

MR. CERETTO: Good afternoon, everyone. I'm

John Ceretto. I'm the assemblyman for the New York

State District 145th. I just have a short comment I'd

like to read; a Statement, which I've already handed

in.

JUDGE O'CONNELL: Thank you.

MR. CERETTO: As both a resident of Niagara

County and as an elected official, I have opposed this expansion of CWM. Our first and primary concern must always be that of the health and safety of our residents.

For too long, Niagara County has been a dumping grounds of toxic waste and believe me, I'm a child of Love Canal. I understand the problems of toxic waste.

Our County has done more than its share and it's no longer appropriate to send this waste here.

As the assembly ranking member of Tourism

Committee I know that toxic waste landfills are not a good fit for our area. If you don't mind, I'd like to just say some personal things that have happened to me and most recently in the Spring.

With these chemical waste management trucks that are moving up and down the thruway. In the Spring, I was behind one when a wheel shaft came off and knocked off my front and back tires. Not only did it scare the bajeezers out of me, but it also took out three cars behind me and my life was in danger because of this.

I'm lucky to be here, I feel, to tell this story. It was very scary that day and for those reasons, I do want to say that it's just -- it's just when you're transporting this toxic waste around the State on the thruways, everybody's health and safety is truly in jeopardy. For those reasons, we should not be moving toxic waste around this State for the public concerns.

Also, I'd like to share another story. This happened about four or five years ago when I was a County Legislator. I actually came from a family that

a friend of mine that grew up where I grew up in Niagara Falls, I went to LaSalle Senior High School, he lived behind me and he calls me up late and night and says that he has a concern.

His concern was one of these toxic waste trucks going to CWM, the diaper was flapping and he and his children were hit by a moisture. He said he didn't know what to do, if it was a problem or not, so he gave me a call.

Of course I notified, at that time, the County
Health Department, which got in touch with him and
they said, take these clothes and put them in a
dumpster can, put it out of the house and they'll come
and inspect it.

They were fortunate enough there wasn't any danger to them, but I do want to say this; the people here in this area, they're residents, they want a quality of life. They do not want to have to worry about their children or themselves if they're riding their bikes in the neighborhood. That's not why we chose to live in this community.

We chose to live in this community because we care about our children and we want to raise our family here. Believe me, I've been fortunate. I have four children of my own that have went to this school

and they've done well. That's what we want, we want to make sure that our children and families are safe.

So for that -- so for those reasons and all the reasons that I said, it's time for the residents' voices to be heard and acted upon. We must listen. We must be heard. We must act now for our future generations. The time is now. Thank you.

JUDGE O'CONNELL: Is Chairman Ross here?

MR. ROSS: My name is William L. Ross.

Currently I'm the Chairman of the Niagara County

Legislature. I've been a member of the Legislature

for twenty-one years. Prior to that time, nine years

as a councilman in two different towns within Niagara

County.

You know as an elected local Government official, you have many priorities and there's many issues. Certainly in local Government, you're a service provider. The most important priority you have, our Assemblyman just did it, and that is the health, safety and well-being of our constituents and that's something that we must constantly work on.

I was very fortunate to be in the Niagara County Legislature where I had many colleagues that felt the same way; the same way on a hazardous landfill. As a matter of fact over the years, and it's not six months

ago or six years ago, I can go back twenty-one years myself and I remember some of the points that we made.

Some of the resolutions we put forth myself, both as a sponsor and a supporter, some of the doubt in the early days with tonnage blocking out school hours, number of trucks and as we move down through the calendar years, it became a little different when we got into the 2000s and we're looking at further expansion, the Niagara County certainly took a different stance and we were looking to stop this expansion.

And finally we had to get to the point where it was just beyond our Niagara County legislature but we thought the best way to fight the problem was to get the best environmental/legal expert that we could procure and I believe we did this in Mr. Abraham and we did that back in 2003, 2004 and we stayed with it because it was a collaborative. It was a cooperative and it was a continuous effort between the Niagara County Legislature and of course the Town of Lewiston because we believe so strongly in non-expansion of hazardous landfill.

So as I wrap it up, and I do have my department head coming up for health and the president of our Board of Health coming up and I will submit a very

in-depth, written comment before the September 5th deadline, but I think what our Board has to remember, sagging Board has to remember, what the priority is, doing the right thing and finally providing environmental justice for the Lewiston-Porter people and all of the residents of Niagara County.

JUDGE O'CONNELL: Our next set of speakers will be AJ Wright, Daniel Stapleton, Robert Bauer and James Hufnagel.

MR. WRIGHT: Good afternoon. Thank you for the opportunity to speak before you today and add dialogue to this important topic. My name is A.J. Wright and I'm the Senior Director of Government Relations at the Buffalo Niagara Partnership.

The Buffalo Niagara Partnership is the region's private sector economic development organization and regional chamber of commerce. We represent nearly two thousand members and a quarter of million employees across the Buffalo Niagara region. Our work has three main focuses; advocacy, business development and convening. By mobilizing members and strategic partners around common goals, we grow private investment and jobs in Buffalo Niagara.

On behalf of the members of the Partnership, I stand in strong support of the New York State

Department of Environmental Conservation's immediate approval of CWM Chemical Services L.L.C.'s proposal for its facility in Model City, New York to build and operate a new forty-four acre landfill, designated as Residuals Management Unit - Two (RMU-2). The proposed RMU-2 landfill and other units at CWM's existing facility will be used to dispose of and manage hazardous and industrial non-hazardous wastes and is the only in-state option for these services.

CWM's Model City facility plays an instrumental role in ensuring the cost-effective execution of the State's successful Brownfield Cleanup Program, BCP, as well as other critical remediation projects while boasting a proven track record of adherence to the highest levels of environmental stewardship.

The Partnership has identified the Brownfield Cleanup Program as one of the State's most impactful and successful economic development programs, and a key factor in the revitalization of Buffalo Niagara's economy and community. The BCP creates jobs, cleans up our region from dangerous toxins and puts unusable land back on the tax rolls, and without a well-run, safe facility like Model City, the program would not be nearly as successful.

CWM's state-of-the-art site has demonstrated not

only a conscientious commitment to the safe disposal of hazardous and industrial non-hazardous waste but a willingness to work closely and collaboratively with the NYS DEC through a partnership that includes the hosting on-site agency monitors that track and confirm CWM's compliance with numerous stringent regulations.

As the only hazardous waste facility in the State, CWM accepts waste from sites that are transitioning from blighted Brownfields to remediated, tax-generating properties, thereby offering operational, economical and environmental efficiencies for the NYS BCP and other remediation initiatives in the State.

Beyond this vital role, CWM is also a multimillion dollar contributor to the Niagara County tax
base while providing stable, competitive employment
for more than sixty local residents. The RMU-2
project will lead to over fifty-five million in
construction jobs, with a majority of that being spent
on local contractors.

Furthermore, while this application is for a new landfill, it truly is a continuation of CWM's existing operations. There would be no change to truck traffic, nor any change to the footprint of the facility or its visibility of the landfill from its

perimeter.

I've had the pleasure of touring CWM's facility at Model City and came away impressed with the professionalism demonstrated by all employees, the state-of-the-art, cutting edge technology used in the handling and storage of materials, and the overall process CWM emphasizes in its efforts to maintain its facility. CWM has demonstrated its belief in being a community partner and its employees are proud of the work they do, especially on their facility's impact on turning around so many former industrial sites otherwise unusable for revitalizing our region.

In conclusion, the Partnership strongly supports CWM's proposal to build and operate a new forty-four acre landfill and urges the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation to immediately finalize this site's Hazardous Waste Permit and Siting Certificate. We have had the opportunity to witness firsthand the positive economic impact that the Model City facility has not only here in Buffalo Niagara, but across New York State. We encourage New York State to allow CWM to continue its track record of success and safety. Thank you.

MR. DESTINO: Mr. O'Connell, my name is Johnny Destino. I believe I was supposed to be number three.

1 JUDGE O'CONNELL: Destino?

MR. DESTINO: Yes.

JUDGE O'CONNELL: Thank you.

MR. DESTINO: My name is John Destino. I'm an attorney in Niagara Falls. I'm also a Niagara Falls School Board member and Orleans, Niagara BOCES Board member. As an elected official charged with the wellness and education of our children, I stand before you today in opposition of this expansion.

In my opinion, increasing the capacity for additional hazardous waste, when all the evidence shows that our national capacity exceeds current demand, it's unacceptable. We've been working so hard to keep Western New York's image as a chemical waste dumping ground with the Inspector of Love Canal forever looming over us and the City of Niagara Falls, it's time to put an end to further expansion.

I strongly urge the Governor for revitalizing the Niagara region as a top priority, the State, DEC and this Siting Board to deny CWM's permit application for expansion. It's time for the Government to listen to the people who've opposed this for so long. The health and wellness of our region, both personal and economical, depends on you making the right decision. Thank you.

JUDGE O'CONNELL: Are you Mr. Stapleton?

MR. STAPLETON: Yes, I am.

JUDGE O'CONNELL: Thank you.

MR. STAPLETON: Good afternoon. Judge
O'Connell, Siting Board members and the members of the
communities that I serve, my name is Daniel Stapleton.
I'm the Public Health Director for Niagara County. I
have a short letter I'd like to read.

Niagara County has a rich and vibrant industrial history that has shaped our communities through both economic prosperity and scarred chemical contamination. We've all learned hard lessons that industry needs to be held to the highest standards of environmental protection as well as protection of both occupational and public health. New York State has spent billions of dollars cleaning up the mistakes of our past and has made significant strides in regulating industry towards waste reduction and even elimination.

As a county, a state and a country, industry produces materials smarter, creating far less waste than ever before. This has been recognized in the New York State Hazardous Waste Facility Siting Plan of 2010. With this Plan, the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation has determined that our

need for mega landfills for hazardous waste disposal is not the same as it was thirty, twenty or even ten years ago.

The Plan concludes that New York does not need to provide additional landfill capacity as current capacity is adequate. Niagara County does not need to provide additional landfill capacity on behalf of the North East United States at CWM.

But even if you dismiss the Siting Plan's conclusions and forge ahead with another unnecessary hazardous waste landfill in Niagara County, can it be done safely? Based on the submitted application, the answer seems to be no.

There are historic radiologic challenges at the CWM site that must be fully acknowledged, researched and documented. There is a need for a soil management plan that includes radiological screening before and during any significant movement of soil. Based on the submitted application, CWM would not screen or investigate subsurface soils before excavation.

Existing groundwater contamination compromises the applicant's ability to monitor a new landfill's leak detection system. The groundwater beneath the site is already contaminated by CWM and others' past operations. The application does not propose to fully

identify the contamination plumes west of the landfill, nor fully identify the site hydrology affecting the migration of this contamination.

Niagara County is home to so many industrial disposal disasters that have directly and negatively impacted hundreds, if not thousands, of our residents. We have learned the lessons of safety and caution. This hearing process will shine a light on our concerns. The hearing process must adequately address each of them before granting any approvals to the applicant.

Allow me to emphasize two significant concerns.

Excavations of small projects for repair and replacement of ancillary facilities provide a window into the risks major excavation poses. Since 2006, CWM has been under an obligation imposed by the Department of Health and the Department of Environmental Conservation to scan every excavation in six-inch layers for radioactivity.

This protocol applies to small excavations such as remediation of a surface spill, repair of a tank or pipeline or other small project. No major excavation has been allowed at CWM based upon the Department of Health's findings that there is no assurance that such an action would be safe.

Excavation of large amounts of contaminated soils risk airborne exposure of the nearby public to radioactive materials and workers could be at greater risk from radiation exposure during a large scale excavation if not carefully and diligently monitored during soil movement.

The small excavation protocol has resulted in the periodic elevated radiological detections, consistent with diffuse radiological contamination.

We've supported the New York State Department of Health's finding that without much more information, major excavations are too risky. The radiological scanning requirement has been instituted to date for small excavations has provided the safety and assurance to both this Department and the citizens of Niagara County that no undocumented release of elevated radiological constituents would occur when small quantities of on-site soils are moved.

However, a large excavation has the potential of larger uncontrolled releases of contaminated material. Common sense would dictate a greater level of control with the need for more diligent monitoring, compared to what is needed for small excavations. But even prior to allowing a major excavation, a full radiological history of the site must be assembled and

characterization of known and suspected areas of elevated radioactive materials below ground must be completed.

The Department of Health told CWM that these things would be required when denying CWM's 2004 request to drop the ban on major excavations. CWM's application includes none of these basic safety strategies. Let me repeat, CWM's application includes none of these basic safety strategies.

This Department demands an excavation plan which requires a detailed full site radiological history as well as a plan that requires acceptable levels of monitoring before, during and after any major excavation.

Niagara County is an extremely fortunate County in that almost all residents have access to public drinking water derived from the fresh water of the Niagara River. But this good fortune should never be an excuse to disregard the protection of the groundwater aquifer anywhere in the county. All groundwater should be treated as a potential drinking water source and as such, all due care is required to protect that resource.

My Department, Niagara County Department of Health, is concerned that simplistic groundwater

aquifer models depicting flow direction may be wrong across portions of the CWM site and in fact, a more complex glacial history formed our region resulting in underground valleys and erosion channels that have since been filled with a variety of deposits.

Hydrogeological data from soil borings and monitoring wells provided by CWM and the Army Corps of Engineers show that a sand and gravel aquifer is aligned in an east-west pattern, flowing to the west. This now covered underground valley underlies the central area of the CWM site where RMU-2 is proposed.

This is troubling news as CWM has installed monitoring wells into the aquifer on the north, east and south sides of the proposed hazardous waste landfill expansion, but none on the west side which is down gradient and the direction a contaminant would go should the proposed landfill leak.

It's also very concerning that PCB contamination of groundwater to the west has reached thirty-five thousand parts per billion, a significantly high level, but no remediation or characterization of this contamination has been required. It's noted that CWM operates a PCB landfill under the Toxic Substance Control Act and these concentrations seem far higher than could be accounted for by Legacy Waste; meaning

waste from the past.

Not only should the regulatory agencies consider a possible source, such an existing leaking landfill, we must consider how one could effectively monitor for a PCB leak from a new landfill when the baseline contamination is already extraordinarily high. Proper identification, area and depth as well as source determination of the contamination plume is inherently a logical step prior to allowing any additional landfill construction.

In closing, this Department trusts that the New York State Hazardous Waste Facility Siting Plan will be carefully reviewed and you will determine, as we have, and as I have, that continued operation of the CWM facility at this site is not necessary or in the public interest.

If it is determined more landfill capacity is necessary contrary to the conclusion of the New York State Hazardous Waste Facility Siting Plan, my department's expectation is that construction occurs in a manner that includes appropriate radiological screening that is at least as protective as what is required now under the small excavations protocol.

Prior to approving any construction, the monitoring strategy for the proposed landfill must be

evaluated further to consider how effective it would be given the contamination in the ground right now. Evidence is being brought forward during this hearing process that contradicts groundwater flow directions predicted by CWM and as a result shows that the monitoring strategy proposed by CWM would be ineffective.

For these reasons, the Niagara County Department of Health opposes this application.

JUDGE O'CONNELL: Robert Bauer.

MR. BAUER: Good afternoon. Judge O'Connell,
Board members, my name is Dr. Robert Bauer. I'm
president of the Board of Niagara County Department of
Health. The Niagara County Board of Health would like
to go on record as officially opposing the Residual
Management Unit hazardous waste landfill being
proposed at Chemical Waste Management in the Towns of
Lewiston and Porter. This is known as RMU-2.

The Niagara County Board of Health is the oversight governing body of the Niagara County

Department of Health under the provisions of the New York State Public Health Law. As a body with duties and responsibilities concerning the health of the citizens of Niagara County, it's our professional opinion that the proposed expansion of hazardous waste

landfill facilities at CWM or in Niagara County will have a negative impact on the health and welfare of our community.

We have concerns based upon the unaddressed issues that exist, which will be detailed by our Public Health Director in future correspondence. As an example, there are radiological health concerns relating to large scale excavations when the property does not have a detailed, full scale, completed site radiological history characterization.

As the Niagara County Board of Health, we point to the Hazardous Waste Facility Siting Plan which was adopted in October 2010. The Plan's intent was to assess the State's capacity for managing hazardous waste in accordance with State and Federal Law and to secure adequate availability of industrial hazardous waste treatment, storage and disposal facilities into the future. The final adoption of the Siting Plan is a prerequisite for the siting or significant expansion of certain new hazardous waste facilities in New York State.

The principal finding of the Hazardous Waste

Facility Siting Plan is that, based upon present

national capacity, there's no need for additional

hazardous waste facilities or expanded hazardous waste

management facility in New York State. The plan finds sufficient capacity within and beyond New York's borders for the management of the hazardous waste presently generated within the state.

As a result of good environment and business practices, pollution prevention and hazardous waste reduction efforts and economics, it's anticipated that the private sector will continue to develop and implement reuse, reduction and recycling options beyond existing practices.

Based upon the Siting Plan and supporting data, the Niagara County Board of Health would like to go on record as opposing the expansions of hazardous waste landfill capacity, specifically RMU-2, at Chemical Waste Management in Niagara County.

JUDGE O'CONNELL: Mr. Hufnagel?

MR. HUFNAGEL: Good afternoon, Your Honor. My name is James Hufnagel. The time has come for CWM to put a stop to this toxic waste dump. This business is -- this business is horribly incompatible with the top two industries in Niagara County; agriculture & tourism. The fact that this dump is here in Niagara County at all is purely an accident of history and an accident of geography.

The chemical industry has spawned its waste

866-565-1929

dump, left Niagara County years ago, yet we are still stuck with it. I've heard that one of the reasons it remains here is that a clay layer exists below it. If so, why does CWM have to rely on layers of impervious plastic to keep it from migrating downward into the water table?

Regardless, the problems have been with lateral seepage into the river and elsewhere around the dump. I have read in the newspapers the Point System is in effect regarding whether the expansion will take place or not. As if this panel will listen to us here today and then vote so many points on the merits or demerits of what you've heard.

No disrespect, but it seems to me like it's the Olympics guiding our figure skating competition and at the end you will all wave score cards above your head as to whether our health and safety and the health and safety of our children should be at risk for more decades.

I don't think this approach is ideal. I think it's a dodge by Governor Andrew Cuomo so he can avoid making the decision himself. This is an act of political cowardice on his part. He could shut this thing down tomorrow if he wanted to, just like he could band fracking tomorrow if he wanted to, but he's

taking the politically expedient cure and acting in his own political self-interest, letting someone else take responsibility over this decision as to whether to close the facility or not.

I think it's very poor leadership by Governor Cuomo. Thank you.

JUDGE O'CONNELL: Our next set of speakers are Jim Bittner, Ronald Quiett, Isabel Beeher and Garold Acker.

Is Mr. Bittner here?

MR. BITTNER: I think I'm the one on the list. I'm Jim Bittner.

JUDGE O'CONNELL: Bittner, thank you.

MR. BITTNER: First of all, I would like to thank the Department for allowing me to speak today on the proposal of the expansion of the landfill.

I'm Jim Bittner. I'm a partner in BittnerSinger Orchards in Appleton where we grow cherries,
peaches and apples. We run a U-pick operation and
also sell fruit in the wholesale market. I'm also
here today to speak on behalf of the Niagara County
Farm Bureau and the New York Farm Bureau. We're the
largest general farm organization in New York with
twenty-five thousand members.

Today both organizations like to voice their

oppositions to the planned expansion of this facility.

Our Orchard has been a family operation since 1915.

We currently farm about four hundred and fifty acres of fruit in Niagara County. We're here because

Niagara County has a unique climate and soils to allow us to consistently grow a wide range of high quality fruits.

Our farms are here because of the moderate climate due to two natural boundaries; Lake Ontario and the Niagara Escarpment. The lake moderates our Winter and temperatures and the escarpment keeps moderate temperatures during the Summers.

Our farms have rich, sandy loam soils which helps our fruit crop thrive. We strive to maintain quality land for future generations to feed hungry New Yorkers. Agriculture is a vital part of the community and roughly one-third of Niagara County is used to support seven hundred and sixty farms.

Niagara County produces a wide range of agricultural products; milk, beef, vegetable, fruit, wine, grains and horticultural items. The market value of these items is over a hundred and twenty-two million dollars a year. It directly affects the local economy. More importantly, farmers are stewards of the land. We work hard to make sure that our land

that we farm today will stay to keep us healthy. The proposed landfill has the potential of negatively impacting the stewardship farms have instilled on our land.

Adding to the discharge of PCBs and volatile and semi-volatile chemicals from the CWM facility would pose a risk to area farms. Landfill related activities are inherently risky. There's a concern about the radiation issues at this site. By bringing more hazardous waste into the County the threatened contamination increases.

There is not just the risk of leakage and migration of contaminants from this site, but also continuing instances of threats of spills, leaks, accidents on roads surrounding highways from trucks traveling to and from this facility. A new hazardous waste landfill is a serious threat to our environment with lasting implications.

Adding this kind of pollution to our waterways and farmland would make them unusable in future years. Niagara County is home to a vast wealth of natural resources including Niagara River, Niagara Falls and is part of the Great Lakes water shed; the largest freshwater surface in the world.

The addition of another hazardous waste landfill

in the large associated lagoons of Niagara County would add an unwise and unnecessary burden to our waters. This has not only impacted Western New Yorkers but millions of Americans/Canadians located near these bodies of water.

Not only are these environmental concerns associated with the new hazardous waste landfill, but the significant economic costs to the community as well. Reduction of property tax values, new housing construction, attracting of new, clean sustainable businesses, tourism and tax dollars are all a concern.

Recently Niagara County has experienced some positive, economic developments; especially the area tourism and New York State designated Niagara wine trail that runs through the heart of Niagara County, hosts thirteen wineries and rooms for community events.

The trail's popularity has increased tourism and tourism dollars. The trail supports not only wineries, but vineyards and local farmers who market their local goods. Why put all this in jeopardy and restrain opportunity of future growth by importing more hazardous waste into this County?

The proposed facility would have a detrimental impact on farmers. Therefore, I respectfully request

that the Siting Board deny the application to expand this facility.

I also respectively ask, since it's a very complicated application that's been submitted and prepared over the years, I'd like to request there be an extension to the public comment period. Right now it ends in September. This is a very complicated process, and I think the community needs a little more time to digest what's actually been submitted to the Department so they can review it and comment intelligently.

Thank you very much. I appreciate it.

JUDGE O'CONNELL: Next speaker, Mr. Quiett.

MR. QUIETT: I'm Ronald Quiett. I live at 1632 Youngstown-Lockport Road by Porter Centerville. It's about a mile and a half away from CWM.

Boy, the way they let stuff go over there at night and everything else. The stuff in the air, it's not as bad now as it used to be; I ended up losing my wife back in '95. Her lungs were so bad from the stuff in the air. She was on oxygen and she ended up passing away.

I had a lady friend for eight years, same thing happened to her. I told her, do not let the damn windows be open at night because the stuff is so bad

you can't stand it. It isn't as bad now as it used to be, but still, a lot of nights I go outside I still smell the stuff out there and I'm here because of it.

I had problems. I live next to the Six Mile Creek. Different spots you can see where the stuff is coming up because of the pressure and stuff that is going into the water. I've had the DEC there three different times to come and get samples of stuff coming up.

All of a sudden, two days later they happen to close it. This has happened over a couple of years. I'm sorry, I don't trust the DEC because that's their big revenue.

My son got some of the samples of stuff. He lives out-of-state. He took it to some places out-of-state to have the stuff tested. As soon as they found out where it came from, they would not do it because they didn't want to lose the business with the outfits that are doing that stuff and that's the problem you're running into.

I have trucks going by my house every day, because when the school is open, they're not allowed to go by the school buses and stuff like that. They go down the road. It's very rare that I ever see one of them that has a New York State license. They're

all from out-of-state.

We don't have this stuff around here. We don't have to be a dumping ground for it and I don't care to see any more of it. So that's all I have to say on it and hopefully that they don't approve it anymore.

JUDGE O'CONNELL: Come right up, please.

MS. BEEHER: Good afternoon to everyone. I'm Isabel Beeher from Lewiston, New York. I'd like to say "no" to CWM expansion, "no" to health threats, "no" to the land devastation.

When we give the State the land, we devastate the life on it as we did Love Canal. Thank you for this.

MR. ACKER: Good afternoon. My name is Garold Acker. I'd like to welcome you, Mr. Chairman and the Siting Board, to Lewiston. We're a very welcoming, friendly community. We're glad that you're here today to listen to our concerns.

One of my concerns is the representation on the Siting panel. I think the majority of you folks should actually be Niagara County residents. Whether you're with the DOT or Health Department or whatever organization you represent, it would have been nice if Governor Cuomo reached out to someone who is actually in the community to represent those organizations.

I wasn't able to get a clear answer from the folks that were manning the DEC table before I came in this afternoon, but they weren't even telling me how many people the ad hoc portion of your community is from Niagara County. I know Mr. Simonson is.

Hopefully the other two are as well, but no one can tell me that.

In any event, I'm here today as a lifelong resident of Lewiston. I was born at old St. Mary's fifty-eight years ago. My mom moved me into a home on Creek Road and for the last forty-seven of the last fifty-five years I've lived on Creek Road in two different places. I think that qualifies me to speak to -- directly to the issue of the traffic and the noise and the dirt and the filth that go up and down our streets, because I've had to put up with it for the majority of my life. Actually for the better part of the last thirty years, it's my understanding, since CWM has been operating down here.

I didn't prepare a Statement, I just want to check my talking points real quick.

I have many concerns, similar to those folks that have preceded me and those folks that will follow. Health and safety, the traffic and everything else which, if it hasn't been addressed, it will be

I'm sure in more detail by folks that come behind me.

My biggest fear is this; I know it's inevitable that one of these days, I'm going to wake up and I'm either going to turn on the TV or radio and I'm going to hear about a major, major problem. We've been lucky in that sense so far.

There's been close calls, there's been leaks and so on and so forth that have been managed, covered up, whatever you might say. It's only a matter of time before something huge happens; whether a major truck accident or whether it's a leak that they discover it can't be controlled.

You can't tell me with the volume of stuff going in and what's already there, that something's not going to happen. I don't need to be real smart to know it's only a matter of time before something significant happens.

That's what scares me. It scares me for me, it scares me for my children and my grandchildren that all live in this area and it should scare everybody in the room and it's something that I hope this Siting Board is concerned about as well.

A little bit about CWM. I never worked for CWM. I have a couple of acquaintances that do and I would like to say that the way that they portray themselves

as a community partner is positive. They're a large employer, from what I can tell they pay their employees a living wage; which is important in this day and time. They're very benevolent.

Every time you turn around, you read in the newspaper about donations they're making here, donations they're making there. It's already been spoken about the fact that they're a big taxpayer. I want to say this. We can do without all of that. We can survive.

I'm a taxpayer. I'm a proportional taxpayer and I should have as big of a voice as they do and I say, no. No more. You know, enough is enough. It truly is. Enough is enough. I agree -- I couldn't disagree more with the notion set forth by the DEC that Waste Management facilities are equitably distributed. I think it's a crock.

If that's the case, why are we taking waste from Canada? Why are we taking waste from Puerto Rico? Why are we taking waste from all over the northeast? Someone spoke earlier about what a good job this facility does for cleaning up Brown space. It may or may not be the case. I don't really think it is because I've read it isn't.

It would be one thing, which would be completely

unacceptable, if we were talking about stuff coming from New York State, you know, or Western New York. We're talking about crap that is being dumped in our laps from all over the world and that's just crazy. Enough is enough.

Please, Siting Board, put your political whatever aside and listen to us today. We don't want this facility here anymore. That's pretty much it.

I support the previous person's Statement, we need more time as a community to try to evaluate this huge, voluminous application. It takes me a long time to read it, let alone understand it. The time cutoff you have of September is much too quickly.

I was recently told lately -- parts of this are crazy. Is it true that you guys are considering a traffic pattern study that was done in 1993? I'm not expecting an answer, it's rhetorical. If that's true, back up and check yourselves. What are you guys doing evaluating a document of this scope and this importance based on traffic studies that were done in 1993?

Thank you for your time. I appreciate it and please keep us in mind.

JUDGE O'CONNELL: Paul McCollum, Kellen Murphy, John Battaglia and has Vincent Agnello returned yet

and Audrey Agnello?

Our next speaker please, Mr. McCollum.

MR. McCOLLUM: Good afternoon, everyone. Thanks for taking the time to listen to us today. I'm here to speak in favor of the new landfill, CWM.

JUDGE O'CONNELL: Please.

MR. McCOLLUM: Full disclosure; I'm a life-long resident of the Town of Cambria. My total working career has been in Niagara County. I'm a representative of the international Union of operating engineers. I represent about three hundred active and retired heavy equipment operators. We're the people that you see out there that build and repair your roads and bridges, such as the 190 project we have going up there by the Power Authority.

We build new facilities. We're currently building a new addition to the Factory Outlet Mall. We're doing a lot of positive things for the future. We also have to deal with our past and in the past, in the construction and in the industry in Western New York, there has been a lot of heavy industry in the past and has created a considerable amount of hazardous waste. We have to deal with that also.

Past technologies to deal with that waste weren't very good. It consisted mostly of digging a

hole, dumping waste and burying it. It resulted in facilities we have such as Love Canal. Obviously that's not a very good situation for us, but we've learned from those.

There's been a lot of new laws and lots of new technologies that have been developed from past industries' practices such as those. We've learned how to deal with those types of waste. We spent a lot of time, in the past thirty some years, cleaning up those wastes.

We learned from our past mistakes. Going forward, what do we do with the waste? The waste doesn't go away, it has to go somewhere. That is what CWM is. That's where the waste is going. We've learned how to do it, the industry has learned how to do it.

Instead of digging a hole and dumping it in, we built the secure landfills with liner systems, leachate collection systems that collect all of it.

Anything that leaks out, potentially, is workers.

I've been an OSHA Outreach Safety Health
Instructor for twenty-five years. We teach each of
our members how to deal -- how to work with hazardous
waste tactfully. There's been a lot of scare tactics
and stuff like that has been said here today.

We've learned over the years how to deal with it and how to work with it safely. Concerns about injuring the workforce are not needed. We have spent a lot of years learning how to do that type of work; do it safely and handle it so that we can all go home at night.

As far as the economic benefits of the facility, I'll let others talk about that, that are a lot more verse than I am on that. I am going to say a few things you probably really won't like to hear, but the reality is that CWM is there. People address the need for capacity.

Ask yourself this question; if the capacity wasn't needed, is CWM going to spend fifty-five million dollars in construction costs to build a landfill that is not needed or not used? So if they're going to spend the money in our community to do that, they're going to use it.

The reality of the property down there is that CWM is there. And talk about expansion; when people say "expansion", they think it's getting bigger. In a sense, it is. If you haven't looked at the map, look at the map on the way out and see where the property is.

It's a fifty acre parcel. Where the new

landfill is going to go is directly right in the center of CWM's property. It's not expanding the footprint of their property into any other towns or communities. It's going right smack in the middle of the property they already have that is surrounded by other landfills and other CWM processes.

It's making use of a fifty acre parcel of property that will never be used for anything else. Not that anybody wants to hear that, but you're never going to put -- any other kind of civic organization is not going to go in there. It's never going to be a playground or park or golf course or anything else. Never. Big word, never. If we can make the economic use of the property that is there, what else are we going to do?

To summarize a bit for the Siting Board, the economic benefits for the community are extensive.

Good jobs for -- construction jobs and the jobs of the people that work at CWM. Many thousands of hours that our members and other members of the construction building trades in Niagara County that live and work here, have put in thousands and thousands of hours over the years working there and they will in the new landfill. I emphasize we can do this work and do it safely. We're very well-trained workers and we can do

1 it safely.

Again, the new landfill will be right in the center of CWM's property. Their property will never be used for anything else. Based on these issues, I would ask that the Siting Board please approve the new landfill for CWM. Thank you for your time.

JUDGE O'CONNELL: Mr. Murphy?

MR. MURPHY: Hello. My name is Kellen Murphy. I live on Pletcher Road. I appreciate this opportunity to submit comments to the New York State DEC, members of the Siting Board, my friends and neighbors and my community. I feel any comments, opinions surrounding this proposed landfill expansion of CWM off of Baumer Road must take into account the historic uses of this property; most importantly its incorporation to Lake Ontario Water Works in 1943.

During the operation the Lake Ontario Water
Works and later on the Niagara Falls Storage site,
this property was used for storage of highly
radioactive isotopes including radium 238, radium 235,
radium 226, radon 222 and potassium 65 which was used
in processing radioactive uranium ore.

In my opinion, the Federal Government chose this site to accomplish its production and storage in some of the years most toxic and destructive substances for

several reasons. Its proximity to an abundant source of energy and hydroelectric generations that were then in the City of Niagara Falls. It's sparsely populated area in 1942. To gain seventy-five hundred acres in eminent domain they only had to remove a handful of farmers.

And thirdly, the soil consists mostly of silt and clay loams, which are custom deposits. They tend to hold water. This third reason, the clay soil, allows for easy creation of almost impermeable containment structures and clay paths to help keep contaminants on-site better than any other naturally occurring soils. It's not perfect, but it's a lot better than sand and gravel and it's all underneath us right here.

I don't live in the Village of Lewiston,
Youngstown or Ransomville. I don't live on top of the
Niagara Escarpment. I live less than a mile from this
place. My backyard is this school. You can walk
through the woods right here. I hear them play
football every Friday and I'm entirely in favor of
expanding this landfill one hundred percent.

It's the only appropriate use of this property in the future. It has all the natural characteristics of a good site for long-term storage of hazardous

waste. It's proposed expansion of forty-three and a half acres exists within the current footprint of an already existing landfill site.

Most importantly, it has already been contaminated and will continue to be contaminated for thousands of years. The half life of uranium 238 is sixteen hundred years. That means in the year 3542 there will be half as much radiation in the ground, below our feet, as there was when they stuck it there in 1942. We don't allow this property to be continued to be utilized as a hazardous waste containment site, which property will become next; an abandoned area in Batavia, maybe a hayfield in West Valley; there's already a low level radiation deposit site there.

A river in China? Maybe we should leave it all in China. Maybe we send it over there. I say we can no longer afford to pollute and contaminate whatever we feel like and move on as nobody will ever go there.

We have to manage lives of the land we already occupy so we can continue to occupy it for generations to come, not make it somebody else's problem to clean up.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: Did you get cancer yet?

MR. MURPHY: It's already at a ten percent rate.

Do you realize the incidents of childhood cancer in

1	this area are almost double what they expect to find?
2	That's from a 1991-2000 survey cancer in
3	Lewiston-Porter. It's already here. We already have
4	it here. Why do you want to put it on everybody else?
5	Why do you want everybody else to get cancer? Why
6	can't we man up and take a problem?
7	JUDGE O'CONNELL: Order. Stop.
8	THE WITNESS: We live in a society that benefits
9	greatly from technology.
10	JUDGE O'CONNELL: Mr. Murphy, I directed the
11	stenographer to stop.
12	MR. MURPHY: Thank you, sir.
13	JUDGE O'CONNELL: When there's quiet, I'll let
14	Mr. Murphy continue.
15	AUDIENCE MEMBER: We're not allowed to speak?
16	JUDGE O'CONNELL: You are, but I've asked you to
17	extend the courtesy to every speaker.
18	I have thirty-seven cards, I think we can get
19	through this, this afternoon if I don't impose a
20	timer.
21	Mr. Murphy, how much more do you have?
22	MR. MURPHY: Just a short paragraph, sir.
23	JUDGE O'CONNELL: We'll take a short break after
24	Mr. Murphy's comments. Please, go ahead, Mr. Murphy.
25	MR. MURPHY: We live in a society that benefits

greatly from technological advancements of manufactured products previous generations could hardly dream of. These products come at a cost.

Mining rare earth materials like nickel, zinc and lithium sulphur dioxides use acids to dissolve metal out of rock. We mine these metals from China, the acids seep into agriculture poisoning local farmers and livestock. A main component of solar panels is polysilicon, a semi-conductor used to transfer sunlight into electricity. The production of polysilicon can create silicon tetrachloride; a highly toxic byproduct that poses environmental hazards.

Denying this landfill will not make these pollutants and byproducts disappear, it moves them to another part of our State, another part of our country. Most assuredly a place that isn't already contaminated. This property is already contaminated. Keep the contaminants here. Don't spread them all over for future generations to deal with.

JUDGE O'CONNELL: We'll reconvene at two-thirty-five. Thank you.

(Whereupon a recess was taken.)

JUDGE O'CONNELL: If you could take your places, please. We have three speakers on deck; John Battaglia, Vincent Agnello and Audrey Agnello. As

requested, you've asked for the time clock. I'm imposing a five-minute limit, please. Mr. Battaglia.

MR. BATTAGLIA: Good afternoon. My name is John Battaglia. I'm a resident of the Village of Youngstown, New York. I'd like to thank Your Honor and the Government officials and CWM staff for hosting this public hearing and allowing us to prevent and safeguard abuse of the incoming decision affecting our community. I'm a Town of Porter resident and have lived in the Lewiston, Youngstown area for most of my life.

My family and I were all born in Niagara Falls,
New York. I moved to the Town of Lewiston in 1968
where I attended high school at Lewiston-Porter. I'm
a University of Buffalo engineering graduate and
professional engineer. My wife and I moved to
Youngstown in 1991, raising three children and several
canines. All my children went to Lewiston-Porter High
School. My friends and family have swam, fished and
played in and around the Town of Lewiston and Porter.

My experience over the past thirty years has been in waste management remediation materials and recycling recovery. I work with solid waste, hazardous waste, CMBs, soils, recyclables, radioactive storage and more, pretty much dealing in states of New

York, Pennsylvania, Massachusetts, Virginia, Florida and Texas. I also currently have some work at CWM and also work in New York State, across New York State at several landfill disposal facilities.

This experience provides me with a unique backdrop, understanding and putting environmental impacts into perspective. My company and staff have made numerous contributions to the improvement of our environment. We are very familiar with the area and have worked in many local landfill remediation and recycling projects. I've personally been a member of the LORAB committee; dealing and assessing issues regarding the radioactive material storage site on Pletcher Road.

I can say with firsthand knowledge I perform inspection certifications for Waste Management over the past thirty years and that CWM's facility is a professionally run facility with a quality staff.

There is words such as "risk factors". Environmental impact brings about many strong feelings.

I do not fear the operation of a properly managed facility. I went to Lew-Port schools and my children went to Lew-Port schools. I hope I see the day that my grandchildren attend my high school. I do not fear the landfill because I understand it and I'm

comfortable with the appropriate measures that are taken to ensure my family's safety.

When permitting a facility, the State and Federal regulators have a very high level of detail and proof must be maintained. In most cases, it takes several integrations of review and revisions to permit applications to ensure the most accurate detail is presented. The New York State DEC regional permit administrator goes to great lengths to assure this.

There's a benefit to the community to provide and inject information prepared under the direction of the regulators. The CWM facility in Lewiston or Town of Porter, has a host of landfill disposal facilities; so are Rochester, Seneca falls, Albany, and many other communities across New York as well.

The CWM facility is a well-rounded, environmentally sound operation. There's no measurable risk to human health or the environment from the facility. It's very impracticable to relocate or construct a new waste management facility. Instead it's more prudent and environmentally sound to expand the operations of an existing facility and use the revenues to further improve this site and provide long-term maintenance and monitoring of the facility as a whole.

CWM supports local companies and their employees. As a professional engineer, I'm obligated to protect human health and the environment. We work with CWM because we know the company is committed to protecting the environment and community. I urge New York State Siting Board to recognize the need for this facility for the safe handling and disposal of hazardous materials, for the jobs provided to our community and for the benefits received by our local Government. Thank you.

JUDGE O'CONNELL: Our next speaker, please.

MR. AGNELLO: Good afternoon Judge O'Connell,
Siting Board. My name is Vince Agnello. I live in
Youngstown, New York. Before I start my remarks, I
want to preface one comment. There's been a lot of
yelling and arguing in the audience here. I'm a past
president of Residents for Central Government. I
appreciate all of you residents for attending. If you
did want to make an impact, come up here and tell the
Siting Board exactly what you think.

Today I will focus my comments on the issue of economic and fiscal impact of CWM Chemical Services

Hazardous Waste Landfill prepared by Bonadio &

Company, L.L.P., Certified Public Accountants.

Certified Public Accountants or CPAs are used to

verify the company's adherence to GAAP, Generally
Accepted Accounting Practices in their financial
records by using audit procedures such as a sampling
of data, with the understanding that the remaining
numbers are also presumed correct.

Let me preface my remarks by stating that I'm a professor of law in the Department of Accounting and Law at Niagara University where I've completed thirty-one years of service. One topic I cover in my classes is liability of CPAs, so it's a topic with which I'm current and understand fully.

In addition, I have served as chair for the Department of Accounting and Law for over ten years. I wanted to cover this issue today because while many people have heard of CPAs, they do not really understand what they do and what they are certifying. While this economic and fiscal impact report was not an audit, CWM has promoted this document as being prepared and verified by a large, respected certified public accounting firm.

The most important part of the CPA's report is what they were engaged to complete and their opinion as to what they found and any limitations. This is important because it reveals to what extent the CPA firm is willing to be held legally liable to third

parties. It's the CPA's opportunity to let the public know what they did, how they did it and whether they meet established auditing standards.

So let's look at what Bonadio & Company is legally taking responsibility for in their report. They write, quote, "The information and assumptions underlying this analysis and the related estimates are the representations of CWM. Therefore, Bonadio & Company does not express an opinion on them.

Furthermore, there will usually be differences between the estimated and actual results, because events and circumstances frequently do not occur exactly as estimated; these differences may be material.", end quote. Material means significant and the differences may cause a reasonable person to change their opinion or mind.

This report does not state anywhere that this report was done to any established Government or economic analysis standard. More importantly, nowhere the document is Bonadio certifying any of their work and they stress that the numbers were given to them by CWM.

In summary, this report is worthless. This report is also flawed, in that it does not discuss the negative financial and economic impacts of another

thirty-two years of toxic landfilling in our community. Nor does it take into account that if CWM was denied the right to build a new toxic landfill, they'd still need to have some employees monitoring the site, perpetually, and the Town, County and School real estate taxes will still need to be paid.

So what are some of the negatives not included in the report? Each year, CWM discharges between twenty and thirty million gallons of liquids into the Niagara River. The EPA mandates that firms report toxic chemicals that have been released into the environment each year. The numbers reported by CWM, of what was dumped into the Niagara River are staggering. During a ten-year period, 1998 to 2008, CWM reported that they had dumped three thousand, seven hundred and forty pounds of toxins into the These are all toxic to humans. Most, if not all, are cancer causing. Three thousand, seven hundred forty pounds of toxins dumped into the water that forty-three million Americans and millions of Canadians rely on for safe drinking water; into the water that we and our children swim in each Summer and dumped into the water where people come to fish.

A few years ago, I read an article in the Sunday Buffalo News that left me totally shocked. Nine

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

thousand, five hundred people in Western New York are diagnosed with cancer each year. According to the New York State Department of Health, the Towns of Lewiston and Porter and the Sanborn area surrounding CWM's toxic landfill bears the highest percentage of cancers in the area and the State.

JUDGE O'CONNELL: Mr. Agnello, your time is up.

MR. AGNELLO: With all do respect, I've been fighting this for twelve years. I realize this is a very important decision. The five-minute limit is outrageous. I have one more page to read.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: Please let him finish.

MR. AGNELLO: You're holding this hearing to listen to our comments. Please listen to our comments. If I may finish now?

JUDGE O'CONNELL: You may.

MR. AGNELLO: This area has an overabundance of other diseases, especially those affecting children as well. This area has been identified as having high incidences of Lupus. Why does the report not include this negative impact? Who would dare say what monetary value to put on a loved one and especially a child with cancer?

Our community was once a great fishing community. What is the negative economic impact on

our community when fishing visitors are advised they should limit or not eat the fish? How many have decided not to return? A thriving fish area has an abundance of motel rooms and campsites. These are lacking in the Lewiston-Porter area.

In April 1997, President Clinton issued an Executive Order requiring each federal agency to assess risks of toxins that disproportionately affect children, many of which was on the list I just read. On April 7th, 1997, the United States and Canada signed the Bi-national Toxics Strategy developed under the Great Lakes Water Quality Agreement. The Bi-national Toxics Strategy was designed to reduce toxics in the Great Lakes.

How does granting permission to build a new toxic landfill fit with this Bi-national Toxics

Strategy and with the Toxic Substances Act? Is this within the strategy and goals of the USEPA Persistent, Bioaccumulative and Toxic Pollutants action plan?

What are the negative impacts that should have been included in the impact report?

What is the negative impact of all of the truck traffic on our roadways? The cost of rebuilding the roads was not included nor was the negative impact on housing values for those residents living on the truck

routes included in the report.

Developers who came to our community have fled once they discovered the environmental mess in our community.

In the New York State Hazardous Waste Facility Siting Plan the New York DEC reported that there is no need for a new toxic waste landfill.

In Chapter 9 it states, "The plan identifies hazardous waste generation in New York that requires management by all facility types; recycling, incineration, treatment, landfill and storage. Based on the capacity data and analysis, the Plan finds sufficient capacity within and beyond New York's borders for the management of the hazardous waste presently generated within the State.

The projection of the amount of hazardous waste that will be generated in the longer term is complicated by the variable nature of remedial waste generation. Based on the substantial number of past remedial cleanups and anticipated future projects within New York, however, it appears that national capacity exists for the management of this and other hazardous waste for at least another twenty years.

It would be circular logic to think that we should build a toxic hazardous waste landfill just to

create an impact. If the end goal is fiscal and economic impact, let's put all of the options on the table and select the best alternative. While I am not advocating this, building a new stadium for the Buffalo Bills in our community would have a greater positive impact than a toxic landfill.

It's time to stop the negatives in our community and start building a future for us, our children and grandchildren. Stop making money for CWM at the risk of our children and our community members. I am urging the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation and the Siting Board not to be duped by a worthless report. Contrary to the propaganda by CWM, we will be better off without them. Thank you. Thank you for that time.

JUDGE O'CONNELL: Audrey Agnello.

MS. AGNELLO: Good afternoon. My name is Audrey Agnello. I reside at 3314 East Avenue in the Town of Porter. I thank you for the opportunity to speak with you today. I'm speaking to follow up on what my husband, Vince Agnello, addressed, which is the report on the economic and fiscal impact of CWM's hazardous waste landfill, issued by Bonadio & Company, L.L.P., CPAs.

It is important that you fully understand the

implications of the cover letter in their report. I am speaking as an accountant and I have high regard for the Bonadio & Company, L.L.P. CPA firm, but unless one is well-versed in the various services that CPAs provide and how they state their findings, one could misunderstand what they are saying. Here are the facts as I see them.

First, CWM needs to demonstrate that a toxic waste landfill is needed, since the October 2010 New York State Hazardous Waste Facility Siting Plan stated that there is no need for a toxic waste landfill.

Second, CWM contracted with Bonadio & Company L.L.P. for services, but since we do not have a copy of their engagement letter or specifics on what they were supposed to do, we really cannot be sure.

Fact three; what we can be sure of, because it is stated in their letter, is that Bonadio & Company L.L.P. prepared estimates of the current and potential economic and fiscal impact of CWM based on the information and assumptions that CWM gave them.

Bonadio & Company specified very clearly in the letter that they do not, quote, "not express an opinion", end quote, on the underlying assumptions and information. They weren't negligent based on their arrangement with CWM, it was not their job to check on CWM's

assumptions and data. That information, unless CWM can prove otherwise, has not been audited or checked.

How much trust can we place in their estimates when they have not been verified and when they were provided by the company that paid for the report and needs a positive fiscal impact in order that the expansion be approved.

What about the other data that were not given to Bonadio & Company? Were all the possible fiscal and economic factors considered? We know that they were not, but again, the fault does not lie with Bonadio, they were just using data from CWM.

Lastly, back to the trust issue; before you are tempted to trust CWM's assertions, let me remind you that Waste Management, CWM's parent company, between 1992 and 1997, perpetrated one of the largest accounting frauds, until Enron in 2001. They falsely reported one point seven billion in fake earnings.

You now know the scope of the report that has been presented to you. Dear members of the Committee, please do not be misled by this report and do not approve CWM's application. Thank you.

JUDGE O'CONNELL: Before we continue, I'd like to note that Board member Lynn Marinelli is here on behalf of the New York State Department of Economic

Development and Dierdre Scozzafava is also here on behalf of the Secretary of State.

The next group of speakers will be Linda Schneekloth, Charles Lamb, Tim Henderson and Nils Olsen.

MS. SCHNEEKLOTH: Good afternoon. My name is Linda Schneekloth. Thank you all for being here for the opportunity to speak to you today. I'm going to speak on behalf of two organizations. One is NYPIRG; New York State Public Interest Research Group and also the Western New York Environmental Alliance.

I'll begin with comments from NYPIRG. NYPIRG is opposed to the expansion of the CWM site and suggests, as a practical matter, that this closing of it will have no adverse affect on the State of New York as most of the waste deposited there comes from sources outside of New York. They also cite, as many people have before us, that both DEC and EPA says we actually have adequate storage area and there's no need for hazardous waste disposal storage areas at this time.

They bring up an interesting point, which is the progress that is being made right now, the waste remediation has reduced the need for new landfill space. Landfill is the poorest option for being able to take care of toxic waste material. We need to find

other options for taking care of this or stop using them.

The community surrounding CWM's landfills have borne more than their fair share of New York's waste -- toxic waste burden. It's a gross miscarriage of justice and it's an environmental injustice issue that's going on right now. It's time to stop the dumping.

Allowing CWM landfill to expand will only worsen that injustice surrounding the community and ecosystem and I will submit that letter on behalf of NYPIRG.

We'd like to speak briefly for the Western New York Environmental Alliance. The Western New York Environmental Alliance is an organization of over a hundred different environmental organizations in Western New York, primarily in Erie and Niagara County. Their concerns are the protection of our natural resources, number one. The public health of our community and issues of environmental justice.

In 2009, one of the working groups, the Waste and Pollution Working Groups in the alliance actually contracted to have a study done called Mapping Waste, Setting the Stage to Clean Up Niagara. The research asked two different questions, what is the current state of waste in Western New York, as both active and

Legacy Waste and second is our region unduly burdened with waste.

This study was performed by the University of Buffalo. It was checked by the DEC and EPA using existing data available not necessarily (inaudible) but available on-line and was a map. What we found in the Legacy Waste issues that were like Super Funds, DEC classes of radioactivity material, et cetera. And active waste, which is water pollution, air pollution, transfer storage systems, which are the issues going on right now, is that yes, Western New York is unfairly burdened.

We did this for three counties. We did this for Erie, Niagara and Cattaraugus County and it turns out of the sixty-two counties in the State of New York, these three counties are on the top three of every category that we looked at. In other words, Western New York already has a huge environmental burden. Expanding the waste site will not help this in any way, shape or form.

The second issue has to do with what is our vision of Western New York; what we really want to see this area have. Turns out Western New York Regional Economic Development Council strategy calls for sustainable economic growth and improvement in the

region's image. Another expansion of toxic waste is not going to help our vision in any way, shape or form.

It's -- as a matter of fact, according to the Siting Plan, one point four percent of CWM's landfill is actually produced by New York State businesses.

New York State is taking the burden that perhaps should be expanded in a larger area.

Further, there's questions about public subsidies, such as low cost power, when those subsidies can be used for clean industries rather than toxic industries. It seems giving them subsidies will compete with new and different technologies that we need to take care of.

Every time hazardous waste is relocated to CWM, it helps to create a massive new Super Fund site with associated taxpayer liability well beyond financial assurances of the operator.

I'd like to mention two things. One is that we may be talking about this being safely operated, but we know that every single thing human beings make will some day fall apart. It may not be this generation, it may not be the next generation, but we are creating Legacy for future generations we have no control over.

We need to stop it. We just need to stop it.

Not just this landfill, we need to stop it. The implication for that means that you and I and all the rest of us are going to have to find new ways to live that don't depend on this toxic material that we have in our lifestyle we're used to.

Secondly, the irony of this CWM being on Model City. Remember Model City and Love Canal are part of the utopian project to make life wonderful and better for all of us at the turn of the last century with new hydroelectric power and the fact that both Love Canal and CWM are part of the same project now has given us a toxic Legacy is most unfortunate.

We know if CWM closes, it's going to be there for a very, very long time and we need to start taking care of it now. Thank you very much.

MR. LAMB: Hi. My name is Charles Lamb. I'm a clergyman. I've lived in the Town of Porter since 1979. I have a prepared Statement. I'm going to hand it in and depart from it and talk more off-the-cuff right now.

First of all, I'm very glad at the amount of booing on speakers who were in favor of the approval has stopped, because I'm convinced the facts will speak for themselves and the reasons to vote "no" on the permit will be so convincing that the truth will

come out.

And we're trusting you to look very carefully at the evidence that is presented and I'm confident you'll be convinced. Some of us have been going to hearings like this or similar to this for many years and the same things have been said over and over.

This is the showdown and this time it really counts.

You've heard about health concerns. You can trust, I think, what the Niagara County Health Department says about that. Even if there's already pollution here, it certainly isn't going to help by bringing in more.

When I taught environmental fraud at Niagara University, I used to bring in speakers from many environmental groups, but I also brought in speakers from Waste Management to be fair and CWM was asked if their trucks ever leak. They said, Oh, yes. They do, but take that up with the trucking company.

We have to take care of our children. That is a religious duty. Having all these trucks passing the school doesn't make sense and the Lewiston-Porter School Board has said so. Don't do that to us.

We know that there's leaks sometimes into the water. The Great Lakes are one of the greatest suppliers of fresh water in the whole world; a

resource becoming more and more precious. What sense does it make to put a toxic landfill in the watershed area?

What about the economy? The Niagara County legislature are the group that know us best, and we've elected them. Unanimously they say that CWM is a detriment to our community, economically, not a help. So many of these things have been said over and over but we're still saying them.

Just recently, six doctors from Niagara Family
Medicine have signed a letter, you'll be getting,
saying, "Say no to this for the sake of our children."

And you heard a few moments ago that the Department of Environmental Conservation already said, in the Siting Plan, there's no need for a toxic waste facility now. There's other ways of disposing of toxic waste.

I guess we're here today and tonight to say it's certainly not in the public interest to have it for all of the reasons that I've already given and so many more.

Over the years, those who have spoken up against CWM include the Town of Lewiston, the Village of Lewiston, the Village of Youngstown. And then speaking as a clergyman, I'm proud that the religious

community sees a religious duty to protect our children and environment. So no to CWM from the network of religious communities formerly the Council of Churches. The Presbyterian of Western New York.

The New York Conference of the United Church of Christ. A caucus of local Lewiston and Youngstown clergy. The Episcopal Diocese of Western New York.

The Environmental Task Force of the United Church of Christ and Disciples of Christ. And environmental you've heard from Residents of Responsible Government and Linda just spoke about other environmental groups.

That's not even all of it. Plus the people that you're hearing here saying we don't need it. It's not in the public interest. It's a detriment any way you look at it. We're counting on you to say "no" to this permit application and do the right thing.

MR. HENDERSON: My name is Tim Henderson and I've been active in the environmental movement for about thirty years now. I've been to hundreds of public hearings over the years, but today's is probably the most important one of all because if you do your job, it will be the last one.

If you listen to the people of this community and not those with ties to CWM, you will hear in their voices a plea for you to finally do the right thing

and close CWM down.

I've always been amazed at how hard we have to fight our own Government for what should be our basic right to safety, health and peace of mind. The right to live in a community free from the fear of what will happen to our water supply when the eight million tons of poisons already buried at CWM begin to leak into our creeks and well water.

The EPA has told us all landfills will eventually leak. The fear of what is happening to our air quality like when there's fires, like just last month in the lithium drum storage area, because rain was allowed to leak on the water reactive metal drums. Where is the science and state-of-the-art when fifty gallon drums of lithium are exposed to rain?

As representatives of New York State Government Departments, each of you took an oath of office to serve the residents of this State. Representatives from the Health Department, you must know that the cancer rates surrounding this facility are in many cases more than a hundred percent above levels expected.

Your reports all carry an additional note that states the high rates are not due to chance. You must know this, because it was your department that issued

1 the report.

The representative from the Department of
Transportation, you must have seen the accident
reports from overturned hazardous waste trucks in our
area; one less than a mile from this very school.

There was a fatality involving a CWM truck three years ago that had gone fifteen miles out of its way to avoid the blackout during school bus arrival times.

I remember that accident every day because it took the life of my son, Ryan. The representative from the DEC must know about the New York State Hazardous Waste Siting Plan that states, no additional landfill space is needed. Now if that is true and you helped write the final plan, why the hell are we even having these hearings?

There's currently eight million tons of hazardous waste and carcinogens buried beyond the gates of CWM in the landfill that will eventually leak, equivalent to four hundred Love Canals less than a mile from this school. How is that even remotely possible that it can be deemed in our community's best interest and they want to double that?

That's not a company looking to expand, that's an undeclared environmental disaster waiting to happen. This expansion shouldn't even be considered,

let alone be permitted. Permits are just licenses to pollute via State agency of the DEC whose admission it is to protect our environment.

RMU-2; Residual Management Unit Number 2, it sounds so scientific and business like it should be called by its proper name; Toxic D-U-M-P Number 2. It will certainly be another forty acres of land destroyed forever.

Now you have a very important job to do today and tonight. CWM's future is in your hands but more importantly this community and the people who you work for and took an oath of office to protect, their future is in your hands. Our safety, health and peace of mind for the next thirty years will be determined by you.

There will always be jobs at CWM by taking this site after closure. CWM will try to convince you today and tonight how important they are and how much they give to the community. More importantly, however, you need to understand how much they take away. Thank you.

JUDGE O'CONNELL: I'd like to stand this way so
I can face my friends and neighbors as well as the
Siting Board. My name is Nils Olsen. I reside at 650
Main Street in the Village of Youngstown. I am a

member of the faculty of the University of Buffalo Law School, where I teach the Environmental Justice Litigation Clinic. I'm here this afternoon on behalf of our client, Residents for Responsible Government, which will be seeking priority status before the Siting Board. I also will be representing the Lewiston-Porter Central School District, for whom I'm speaking tonight as well as the Niagara County Farm Bureau and Coalition of Local Faith. There's an unprecedented level of interest in trying to get the facts out to you so you do the right thing.

I begin by formally requesting an extension of time for comments from the public. The DEC has been meeting with CWM representatives for years developing the proposed permits and draft environmental impact statement at issue in these proceedings. The relevant documents are well over a thousand pages.

In order to provide the public effected by these proceedings any realistic opportunity for meaningful comment, we have to read it, have to think about it and try to develop comments, to do it in the Summer and get it done by September is simply not going to happen. I therefore request a ninety-day extension.

The failure to grant the extension, at least in my opinion, communicates the impression that the

public comments will not address the technical issues in any detail and that necessarily they will be worthy of less consideration than those from the professional engineers of CWM.

Given the history of this community, that is not an impression I don't think you want to leave. People care deeply about this and they're almost universally involved directly with the facility they're opposed to and they want to do it right. Not just dumping a pile of papers and telling them, go to it, is simply not adequate.

The hazardous waste, you've heard many times here again, the hazardous waste facility site was adopted about twenty-five years after the statutory deadline. The DEC quite properly concluded that no new hazardous waste landfills are necessary to accommodate New York's waste for the foreseeable future.

All this talk about, we have to have them, where will it go, what will happen; the DEC and EPA said it's totally unnecessary. The waste will find its way. The plan makes it clear that the commerce in hazardous waste is not local. Local generators frequently send their waste out-of-state. It's a national, in some cases international market and it

could be met perfectly adequately with excess capacity if this request is not granted.

There's one party that's going to benefit from this and that's CWM and Waste Management, the parent company. Given that fact and the issues we talked about, and we're going to talk about it some more is, is this expansion in the public interest?

I speak for the public most directly, I don't speak for the engineers, I don't speak for the waste generators. With respect to the Buffalo Partnership gentleman that left right after he spoke, had to drive back down to Buffalo, I met with him and talked to them about this issue. Waste Management is, I believe, a member of their organization it should probably be disclosed.

I would challenge anyone that says this needs to be necessary to accommodate Brownfields, to produce any evidence that supports that fact. All evidence shows that Brownfields can be cleaned up without the extraordinary expense of sending it to a hazardous waste landfill. I think at a minimum you ought to be very sure of that before you buy into it because the assertion is made without any evidence whatsoever.

The plan itself makes clear, in considering whether or not it's in the public interest, it's

important for you to consider the total amount of waste that's within the area, in which their request is made. That's page 9-5 of the Hazardous Waste Facility Siting Plan; a guidance for you, the Siting Board.

Closed landfills at CWM are permanent storage facilities, subject to perpetual care monitoring and treatment under the supervision and oversight of the DEC. When these closed landfills are considered along with the permitted capacity of RMU-1, CWM's currently permitted landfill, the amount of waste subject to long-term management in our community is nearly an unimaginable six million tons. You got to keep that in mind when you think about the expansion. Six million tons buried here forever.

I challenge you, DEC, to identify any other municipality in New York State that has any hazardous waste permanently buried at a commercial facility, much less six million tons. By my calculation it's nine million tons, close to six million cubic feet and the engineers can correct me if I'm wrong. It's an extraordinary burden on this community.

Approving CWM's request for a new landfill for disposal of an additional four million cubic yards, six million additional tons, is not any matter in the

community's interest and only further completes a picture of the Town of Lewiston, Town of Porter as a sacrifice zone for New York State's warped vision of environmental justice and equitable distribution of hazardous waste disposal all for us, none for anyone else.

That's apparently the definition of environmental justice. Think about environmental justice when you do your job; what does it mean. It means communities that are disproportionately affected by the regulatory actions of the State in this case. That's you gentlemen and it's all here.

If you approve six more million tons, in my opinion this area will go the way Alabama went. CWM doesn't talk about that town like that town doesn't exist anymore. Big landfill; schools closed, businesses went elsewhere.

Second, the economic effects of the proposed RMU-2 with tens of thousands of large trailer truck tractors carrying loads of hazardous waste for the next twenty-five, thirty years through well-established residential areas -- you should follow the truck route before you make your decision. It goes down Creek Road Extension; a lovely area. It also goes right, obviously, in front of the school and has

for thirty years.

Think of it; you're thinking about moving into the area, you have lovely kids, you've heard things about the beautiful homes, waterfront access. You go to the school and you see all these trucks going by, you say, "What are these trucks?" The superintendent says, "That's hazardous waste trucks from Chemical Waste Management. They drive eight to ten hours a day and dump their stuff a mile from the school where it will remain forever."

In my experience, parents are misdiverse. There are plenty of comparably excellent school districts that don't have this problem. How this benefits the school is beyond me. They pay school tax. It doesn't begin to address the cost that they impose.

You're going to hear a lot of talk about the costs and benefits. I was like to go to the great poet, Bob Dylan who many years ago penned the memorable line, "You don't need a weather man to know which way the wind blows." That aphorism applies directly to the question of the economic effects of the proposed RMU-2 here.

Do you really have to be an economist or sociologist to question what is the effect in an area that has beautiful historic homes, farms, orchards,

State parks, are putting in there one of the largest commercial hazardous waste disposal facilities in the United States. And having this go on for fifty years, sixty years, is that going to have a positive effect? What do you think?

You listen to the accountants, although I think the Agnellos pretty much shredded that. Property values within the towns are stagnant, are dropping. Associated property tax receipts are as well. The migration of new, young families from outside the area to the towns decreases as they find out about the environmental issues notoriously risk adverse. Comparable residential and educational opportunities exist elsewhere without hazardous waste traffic and disposal. You don't have to be a weather man to know which way that wind is blowing and it's not blowing in a very healthful way to the people of this community.

RRG is prepared to prove the obvious. Many beautiful historic homes in the area languish on the market, literally for years, and sales prices are lower than comparable homes in other areas, despite all the benefits I talked about. They're not located on the Niagara River and Lake Ontario. They don't have a private State Park for nine months of the year, Fort Niagara Park, yet it's very hard to sell houses

in the area because it's closest to the problem here.

Efforts to support clean, sustainable industry are hardly benefited by having this massive, heavy industry of trucking in and disposing of hazardous waste. They go elsewhere. They go to Lockport to promote the region's assets. They didn't come here, they went to Lockport.

Those sort of industries are not going to come here. We're the dump. We have other dumps, too. I won't even talk about them. We're talking about this one and the nine million tons of it here. No community wants to support its development with direct or indirect payments from the hazardous waste industry.

Come on. You wonder why these cities are not going along here. If the applicant's extravagant claims of a positive and productive influence on our economy and way of life were even half-true, other communities would be lining up to lure CWM away, as so-often occurs with more acceptable industrial enterprises through the efforts of local Economic Development Corporations.

That's not happening here. It's not going to happen here obviously. No one is going to say, "I want all this benefit in our town. Let's put it in

Lockport. Let's put it in Cambria." It's easy to drive down here and drive home if you're employed there.

JUDGE O'CONNELL: Mr. Olsen --

THE WITNESS: I know. I'll wrap it up as quickly as I can. Lawyers have a problem with that; I'm sure you're familiar.

Further harm to the well-being and reputation of our community from the expansion and continuous operation of CWM is apparent to anyone that wants to look. Thus, serious questions persist as to the effects of this massive accumulation of hazardous waste on our community's public health. Studies undertaken by the New York State Department of Health, the Center for Community Health, the New York Cancer Registry, and the Center for Environmental Health report statistically significant instances of cancer beyond that reasonably expected that are consistent with exposure to environmental contaminants in our community.

Efforts of the DEC and CWM to discount these conclusions point to the fact that there is no direct causation proven. You can't prove that this cancer is caused by CWM. They point their finger at the Niagara Falls Storage site.

We shouldn't have to prove that. You can't prove that. What you can prove is this is a facility that is not needed. This is a community that has had public health studies that have shown problems. It's not right to bring another one in no matter what causes it. Don't take a chance with the public health here.

Again, people who are thinking of moving here will be scared away by a community where it's necessary to do public health studies on a regular basis. There's lots of other communities that will never have a public health study done. They don't have these environmental issues.

Keep this in mind when you're concerned about what you do. Additionally, the Hazardous Waste Facility Siting Plan requires consideration of whether the proposed facility will promote moving up the hazardous waste treatment hierarchy for management of hazardous waste and employ sustainable options for the management of hazardous waste; page 9-6. Under controlling law, land disposal is considered the least desirable management option.

In fact, the Department of Environmental

Conservation is told to phase it out. Incredibly the

DEC has been ignoring this since the inception of the

landfill in the community. I'll skip the rest of it.

In 1971, SLF-1, secure a landfill, that's reassuring, the facility's first landfill was approved for a capacity of seven thousand, four hundred seven cubic yards. It doesn't sound so bad, seven thousand.

In 1990, SLF-12, secure landfill twelve -- they went through eleven others -- was approved with a capacity of nine hundred and forty thousand cubic yards; quite an increase. Doesn't sound like they're phasing out land disposal to me.

The current proposal for RMU-2 seeks approval for an estimated four million cubic yards of waste. So we've gone from seven thousand, four hundred cubic yards to four million. Take the hierarchy seriously, all right? They want to band them for a reason. There's better ways to deal with the waste, right? The engineers on the panel will know that.

There's all sorts of alternatives being developed; some of them right here. Having a cheap -- relatively cheap hazardous waste landfill competes with those opportunities; makes it all the harder.

I point to PCBs. There's a lot of ways to treat PCBs on-site. They don't have to send them here.

It's cheaper to send them here so those wastes don't get followed.

Finally, you look at it, there's literally hundreds of violations of their license; of their permits that have gone on over the years. These are not minor violations, there's fires, explosions, the unlawful burying of Mercury, which is prohibited by the land band.

All these things go on. If a new facility isn't necessary, don't make us look at that and ponder how much money can you find. I'm tired of hearing this is a really good deal for us; that somehow this community is going to be better off because of this huge, mega landfill. It's not even solid waste, it's hazardous waste.

Use your common sense. Is it really a benefit for the public here, particularly if it's not needed? Who is really going to benefit from this when people talk about it?

Hold them to their assertions. If they say it's necessary for Brownfields, not withstanding the Department of Environmental Conservation saying it's not necessary, make them prove it. They can't. Brownfields are not generally disposed of at commercial hazardous waste facilities.

I appreciate your time. I know I've run over; that's the purview of a lawyer. We're putting our

faith in you, those of us that have done this over the years. We have our skepticism. As Tim has said, we spend a lot of time at a lot of these meetings.

People here don't know what's going on half the time because there's so many of them.

This is a big one. This is like the last nail in the coffin for this community. You say "no" to it, it becomes news. There's no longer a commercial hazardous waste facility operating in this area. They'll still be paying taxes, they'll still be cleaning up their site, addressing new leaks that have occurred. They'll be studying the radioactivity of the site. All that will occur.

People will be employed. The operating engineers will still be there. Look at the big picture. Look at the interest of the community, not those who have a financial or other stake in the operation. Thank you.

JUDGE O'CONNELL: Next group is Rick Penale,
Marilyn Millen, Janie Tussing and Kim Hill. Is Mr.
Penale here? Marilyn Millen?

MS. MILLEN: Hello, I'm Marilyn Millen. I used to live at 1878 Baumer Road. The last thirty years I've seen, down the creek where I live, there would be no water there. A couple days later, it would be

filled right up and black silt would be running right down the creek.

I have moved to 180 -- 500 Willow Avenue, North Tonawanda and I got three letters from you guys stating you had my address, but you had my sister's name up there and I got the letters. She lived down here and she does not own the property and you got it that she owned the property down here.

I called up and they said, "Oh, we'll take care of that." I got two more letters, nothing was done. Still got her as owning the property down here. Because of that, she did not ever receive a letter stating about this meeting and I only live less than a mile. She does right now live less than a mile from here.

So I am against this expansion and this community, because there will be heartache for the next generation for the next twenty, thirty, fifty, hundred years. You will never be able to clean up this mess you made.

It should never have been approved thirty years ago. It's all about the big dollar money. We don't care about human beings no more. Think about your own children. How many of them live here?

So many people in this community have passed

away because of cancer. Sure, you can't say that you caused it, but you certainly haven't improved this community at all. Nobody want to come here to live; nobody's business or nothing. We just burying this community. Thank you.

JUDGE O'CONNELL: Is Janie Tussing here?
Mr. Hill?

MR. HILL: Judge, I'm a fellow Niagara County and Lewiston resident. My name is Kim Hill. I live over on Pletcher Road right behind the school. I just had a couple of common sense items I thought I'd touch on.

Some of the speakers are talking about putting in a new hazardous waste site like we've never had one before. It's there, it's been there. This community, none of it is probably alive, made a deal with the devil seventy-five years ago. Some other poor community is going to get it if we don't.

There's a couple of points that I wanted to make. CWM, if they go -- and this -- the extension project is not approved, they will not shut down. The DEC won't let them. They will have people here to monitor the landfill, but I ask you, a company that is making money is going to fall over itself to make sure that there's less instances than a company sitting

there not making money off of it.

I think it's there. I don't like it there. I'm not thrilled when I found out about it being there in the first place, but it is there.

The second part of the common sense facts I want to bring up is there's literally millions of dollars that CWM gives to this community. Lewiston is a thriving community. If you talk to people who are from other communities, they wonder how the heck we put on all the festivals, do the things that we do. A lot of it is because of the donations and tax money that come in from this community from CWM and say Modern also.

So if you shut that down -- I'm standing here in a school that is part of the Lew-Port School District that is hurting. Matter of fact, I suspect taxes will be going up shortly. They had to cancel adult education because they're low on money. I'm thinking what is going to happen if they stop getting millions of dollars they get from CWM?

Your taxes are going to go up and they're going to go up anyway, it's just how much is it going to go up? That's part of the problem there.

In conclusion, I feel that it's here. If they're making money off of it, it's going to be

watched a little more carefully. I do want to state that I live behind Lew-Port. I have no association whatsoever with CWM.

I work for the Buffalo News for thirty years.

I'm tourism management. I'm going to tourism

management school at NCCC. I have a Bachelor's Degree

from Buff State. Thank you.

JUDGE O'CONNELL: Our next group of speakers are Joseph Paduano, Brian Smith, Dennis Duling and Karen A. Rader.

MR. PADUANO: I'm Joseph Paduano. I reside at 4124 Calkins Road. I'm a hundred percent disabled Vietnam War Veteran. I'm also a retired professional safety engineer and am also a capitalist. What I have to say is based on life experiences and results of working for two of the best insurance companies in the world; Aetna Fireman's Fund as a safety engineer and loss control specialist.

I devoted my entire adult life for the safety and security of others. I served honorably and proudly as a military policeman in the United States Air Force. My experiences have given me the ability to reach, understand this problem in those three phases of my life I just explained. I will extrapolate here.

I've had the privilege of being exposed by inspecting, surveying large commercial accounts to determine their eligibility for commercial insurance. I've dealt with accounts where the annual premium was five hundred thousand dollars to thirty million dollars a year. That was twenty-five years ago. I have no idea, they must be skyrocketed by now.

I'm not a geologist or an arborist but my job took me from Buffalo to Mohawk Long Island and from Malone to White Plains. I see the same trees that are native to that area in this area, which means the soil must be the same. I'm not advocating that chemical waste should move to other parts of New York State. I'm advocating they get out of New York State.

There's millions of other acres unspoiled, and I've seen them at my job experience, if you can get the public surrounding and living in that area to accept you.

As far as what you contribute to the area of having the ability for different events in the school district, half of my family are educators in Niagara Falls. I would be happy to have a tax increase, I'm speaking permanently, to keep the school system as functional and well-known as this one is; happy to.

The fact that I live in the Town of Lewiston

is -- I couldn't -- my wife and I could have retired anywhere we chose. We chose this area because we're both from Western New York.

The other thing that I want to say is because of our -- we both worked for the insurance companies.

When we transferred, which we had to, three times in twelve years, the companies were very generous in their pocket money to help us move; paid for the move, bought our homes.

If CWM wants to move to another location, again, if the community will accept them, they can offer their employees the same thing. That's the capitalist in me. I want to see companies make money. I want them to prosper and profit, but I want them to do it for the health, safety and security of the inhabitants of wherever they are. Thank you.

JUDGE O'CONNELL: Mr. Smith.

MR. SMITH: My name is Brian Smith and I'm Associate Executive Director for Citizens Campaign for the Environment or CCE. Here today on behalf of our eight thousand members, I thank you for the opportunity to provide testimony today.

However, given the volume of information that needs to be reviewed and commented on and of course, the magnitude of impact that this facility has in the

community, CCE respectfully urges the Department to further extend the public comment period to ensure opportunities for meaningful public input.

We'll submit more extensive written comments by the end of the comment period. However I want to touch on a couple of priority issues today. The CCE, on behalf of our eight thousand members, is strongly opposed to the expansion of the CWM landfill. After four decades of being disproportionately burdened by hazardous waste landfill, this community has had enough.

From trucks carrying dangerous PCBs in our streets, to threatening the health of our school children located just a mile from the facility.

Again, this community has had enough.

There is significant concern, from the EPA and others, about the long-term containment of hazardous waste in the landfill. We know that landfills will leak exposing the community and Great Lakes to dangerous hazardous waste. While monitoring a closed landfill in perpetuity will already be a major challenge, if we're bringing an additional four million cubic yards of hazardous waste over the decades to come, this is an unacceptable risk to public health and our Great Lakes.

Regarding our Great Lakes; in recent years have been unprecedented local, State and Federal investments in protecting these Great Lakes. More than one point six billion dollars has been invested in Great Lakes restoration to do, among other things, cleaning up the Legacy of toxic contamination we have in the Great Lakes. This includes a toxic hot spot or area of concern right here in the Niagara River.

Making these investments and cleaning up this toxic Legacy is a wise investment because the lakes contain ninety percent of the nation's fresh water supply, drinking water, to more than forty million people. They support multi-billion dollar industries.

The economic impact of healthy Great Lakes is far, far greater than anything CWM could ever dream to do. Expanding the CWM facility threatens to undermine these investments we're making in Great Lakes restoration and the efforts and our progress to restore the Great Lakes.

Aside from the significant environmental and public health impacts associated with CWM, and this has been said but I'm going to say it again, there's no need to expand the facility. A principal finding in the New York State Hazardous Waste Siting Plan is that there is no need for new or expanded hazardous

waste management in New York State.

Also want to touch on the fact that dumping hazardous waste in landfills is not the answer. It's not the answer here in Niagara County, it's not the answer anywhere. There's a hierarchy for managing hazardous waste, established in New York State law, that clearly indicates that landfilling is the least preferential method of managing hazardous waste.

Rather than depending upon antiquated hazardous waste disposal methods like land filling you must, instead, work towards more cost effective and environmentally friendly alternatives including first reducing the amount of waste that we're generating in the first place and also investing in things like recycling and reuse.

That all being said, it's been an important issue for CCE and its eight thousand members for many years and we're calling on the Siting Board to do the right thing and say "no" to expansion. Thank you.

JUDGE O'CONNELL: Can we go off the record, please.

(Whereupon a discussion was held off the record.)

JUDGE O'CONNELL: Mr. Duling? Can we go back on

MR. DULING: Your Honor and members of the

the record.

Siting Board, my name is Dennis Duling. I'm a retired professor of religion at Canisius College in Buffalo. I reside at 135 Main Street in Youngstown, New York on the Niagara River Gorge where the Niagara River goes past my house every day, Lake Ontario, two miles west of the low property and CWM facility and down river from CWM discharge outfall.

I'm very opposed to the expansion. I'm not going to give you all the reasons. I think everything that I have to say has been said pretty much today with possible exception of one point. Studies of residents in the communities around Model City by punitive cancer experts, which is reported in September 29th, 2008, concluded that despite higher rates of some cancers it's impossible to show that there's a cause and effect relationship with a hazardous waste landfill in its mitts.

This is a debated subject but there are studies elsewhere, for example, in Britain, that have made such connections and the experience of families here suggests otherwise. And the major point I want to make is that not a single expert on the study panel was from the well recognized field of environmental medicine, which specializes in such matters and attempts to educate physicians on such cause and

effect relationships.

That wasn't there. I'm going to give you my conclusions now. Given the Department of Environmental Conservation's mandates, its statements and health and economic factors in the community, the Department of Environmental Conservation and the Siting Board should recall the Department of Environmental Conservation's own historically informed moral obligation to be the people's trusted gatekeeper for health and safety.

Neither the Department of Environmental

Conservation nor the Siting Board, I would say, should
allow CWM to guide its decisions. Both must carefully
consider Department of Environmental Conservation
statements and environmental justice principles.

So, to the Department of Environmental
Conservation and Siting Board; please listen to the
majority of the people, please listen to their
representatives, please recall this historical mandate
of Department of Environmental Conservation in the
1970s. Please remember its statements about the need
and lack thereof. Please consider environmental
medicine and environmental justice and please reject
CWM's proposed permit to expand. Thank you.

MS. RADER: I'm Karen A. Rader. I was born and

raised in Niagara County. I've lived in Niagara

County over sixty some years and I'm from Sanborn and
belong to the Sierra Club and I'm pleased to say that

Sanborn was able to put on a festival this past

weekend without the help of CWM and we're putting
another one on at the end of the month without their
help.

You don't have to worry, I'm not going to talk about all this. This is a report shows that there are two hundred and fifteen hazardous waste sites in Erie and Niagara Counties. Of these, a hundred and six are in Niagara County. Many of them have been remediated and are inactive and are now Brownfields.

However, none of us would want to live on top of them or near them. We don't need any more here. This report does not include incinerated sites, water bodies or settling ponds. They're not even addressed. We have a very precious, as I heard someone else use, precious bodies of water here that we should not spoil.

When I'm out visiting my daughter, in one of the many places out there, her father-in-law talks about how they're going to try to get our Great Lakes water for them to use to make up for what little they have out there. In my generation of eight people in my

generation; myself, my sister and brother and five cousins, three of us have died from cancer. Three of us have cancer. One died from something else.

There's only one out of the eight that does not have cancer. In previous generations in my family, no one died from cancer.

I would just like to repeat that I think that we don't need any more dump sites in Niagara County.

We've had more than our share and we need to appreciate the water supply that we have here in Niagara and Lake Ontario. Thank you.

I've given you a DVD copy of this and I have a couple of more if anyone wants them.

JUDGE O'CONNELL: Thank you. Our next group, please. Bill Jolbert, Nancy Fortkort, Kenneth Warren and Jane Richardson.

Mr. Jolbert. Is that an "M" or an "R"?

MR. JOLBERT: "R". My name is Bill Jolbert from Ransomville. I apologize for my appearance. I came off of my farm where I spend a lot of time; a lot of time exposed to airborne, toxic materials that come off of CWM.

First of all, I'd like to really ask you to think about and extend the public hearing period. I know that this CWM calculated this hearing to make

sure that it was done in July when people are off on vacation; when people are not around, they're busy, so to limit the amount of people that would be in attendance of this.

So for that reason and for the reason that only people within a half mile radius of this site were notified is, in my opinion, ridiculous. CWM owns most of that property as far as I know and the fact that you have people who were not really notified.

You see the volume of information that's being presented. There's no way for us, as individuals, to fight this. We need to group together to band and to protect ourselves, protect our families. The only way we can do that is with time.

I'm here to ask the committee to please, please consider the lives of the people in this community. I know that most of you are not from this community, but you are -- and you have families. You have loved ones that you care for and we do too. So please, take our individuals into consideration.

Besides protecting the individuals of this region, you're also responsible for the Great Lakes. Every bit of runoff that comes from CWM, and there's a lot of it, ends up in the Great Lakes. As long as companies have a place to dump this toxic material,

there's no reason for them to stop generating it.

Yes, it costs them a lot of money, so what.

They just make it back up. As long as we continue to give them a place to dump this, there's no reason for them to reduce their emissions. There's no reason for them to recycle. In other countries they do give them this reason and their toxic materials and pollutants are significantly less than what we're driving here in the United States.

Every environmental disaster that's happened over the years has two things in common; one is the company that is lining their pockets with these projects bring up an expert witness that tells everybody how it's completely safe, there's no problems, we'll never have any problems. That's pretty predictable.

The other part of that is there's a Government agency that gives them approval to do it and we're begging you, please take our lives into consideration when you're making this decision, please. But we, as citizens, cannot expect the Department of Environmental Conservation or CWM will do the right thing.

We have to join together. We can't fight a multi-billion dollar company. We cannot do it alone.

Together we have to do this and that means -- and that means we must hold our officials who came up here and said all the right things, we need to hold their feet to the fire and make sure that they do the right thing. We need to make sure that they fund our legal defense.

Again, we're praying for you to do the right thing, but we can't let our lives depend on you doing the right thing. We need to get our Government officials together, make sure that we're funding this even if it costs us money to make sure that we defend ourselves.

I apologize. This is our lives. This is our financial well-being. This is our quality of lives that's on the line here. We're asking you, please, do the right thing. Thank you.

MS. FORTKORT: My name is Nancy Fortkort and I am a sister of St. Francis from Stella Niagara in Lewiston. I represent myself and the deep concern I have for our sixty sisters; twenty-nine of whom are infirm who live on our site on the lower Niagara River.

I'm concerned about our students. I'm concerned about the retreatants that come to our retreat center. I'm concerned about the Niagara University graduate

students who live at our facility part-time. I'm concerned about the people who come to the Theatre in the Mist.

Anyone who thinks this chemical dump is in the middle of nowhere, it's in the middle of a vibrant community. Now, what I'm asking for is if you'll humor this old lady and let her share some of her personal history with you since I've been fighting this chemical dump since the early seventies. I'll tell you my story.

At that time, the citizens of the lower Niagara River formed a citizens committee and began to look into the problems that we had. Also, because of my membership in that committee, I was appointed to the Citizens Advisory Committee of New York State and met monthly with Mario Cuomo also to discuss chemical waste and waste management and problems along our waterways.

It's because of that contact that I was privy to maybe some information that not every citizen knew.

But what I knew was that as a result of the Vietnam

War Peace Treaty, it was decided that the chemical or the radioactive waste from all over the world would be taken to this site here in Niagara County, which is now known as the Chemical Waste Management, but

it's -- it changes its name. I'll explain that.

They were told -- Mario Cuomo told me that they were told that they had this special machine that could make this radioactive material not radioactive. So nobody knew that much about it to inspect this machine. However, in the Citizens Committee, we had owners of big chemical plants and they went down, in the middle of the night, and took samples of the water. And we would see these trucks bringing this radioactive material down to the site and then in the middle of the night, it was taken to the Niagara River and dumped in the river and we had copies of the chemicals that were there.

It was reported to Department of Environmental Conservation who, in the morning, went down and checked it and because the Niagara River has such a rapid flow, it wasn't there anymore.

Now, of course, these people don't work in the middle of the night, we understand that, but we finally got enough proof that we could consider ourselves doing a lawsuit against this company because of this practice.

And the company changed its name. It became a new corporation. It was the initials of the names of the children of the owners, but it was the same

people. But, you know, every time they changed their name, you had to start a new lawsuit. These were very clever capitalists, if you want to call them that.

This is something that I personally have been opposing and fighting every opportunity that I get, and I hope that this is the opportunity that's going to make a difference and the expansion of this facility will be stopped.

Even if the things are going to be put in the middle of the present facility, the trucks that go there go by not only Lew-Port School, but when they complain enough, it goes down all the other streets and we all see them and those trucks are not heavily protected for those of us that live in the area. So please, please, let this be the end, do not let them expand.

JUDGE O'CONNELL: Kenneth Warren.

MR. WARREN: Thank you, Your Honor. DEC and Siting Board, my name is Kenneth Warren. I live in Ransomville. I stand here opposed to this application and permit issuance. I stand here in solidarity with my neighbors, farmers, small business owners, faith leaders and children in their interest to life, safe water, land and the human ecology of our nation that stands dependent upon clean, affordable water. A

water supply -- a groundwater supply presently under siege by fracking.

I expect my elected officials to defend and protect our territory from toxicity. You've heard the little old lady today; PCBs, radioactivity, cancer. This area is not a road to nowhere, but we've been placed in the position of being an abused spouse in a marriage to economic abuse and environmental injustice.

I'm here to tell you today that I don't say that "I do" with that marriage and I'm pleading with you not to be there in a corporate shotgun marriage that keeps this woman, our environment, abused by this corporation. Thank you very much.

JUDGE O'CONNELL: Ms. Richardson.

MS. RICHARDSON: My name is Jane Richardson.

I've lived in the Town of Porter for seventy-seven

years. I was fortunate enough to grow up during the

era before the chemical dump site. I grew up in a

clean, pristine environment which is no longer here.

Later in 1980, after the dump sites were going full bore, I did become ill. I succumbed to the environmental pollution that I was being surrounded with. I live on a seventy-five acre farm that has two wells; one to service the house and one for the

barnyard. We disconnected the one that services the house and capped off that pipe and we took the handle off the pump from the one that goes to the barnyard so no one would inadvertently drink that water.

I had a prepared Statement here, but much of what I have on here has already been reiterated by previous people, but I just want to say to the Siting Board, most of you people don't live in this area.

And although you're getting all this input, you have no idea what we, who live here, are going through.

I could try to describe to you what a migraine headache is like, but trust me, unless you've actually had one, you cannot imagine what it does to your body. And unless you have actually lived here in the Town of Porter and Lewiston for five or ten years, you have no idea what we are going through.

We've had these hearings before on previous occasions and you listened to us. Each and every time in the past, you had betrayed us and you went ahead and granted the permit anyhow, despite the overwhelming evidence. And I can only ask; what ever have the residents of the Town of Lewiston-Porter done to warrant such cruel and unusual punishment? I beseech you to listen to the people.

Don't listen to CWM. They have an agenda. They

will fill your ears with all kinds of propaganda. But listen to the people and I implore you, do not betray us this time. Do not grant this permit. Thank you.

JUDGE O'CONNELL: We'll take a ten-minute break and reconvene at four-ten please. Thank you.

(Whereupon a recess was taken.)

JUDGE O'CONNELL: Our next group will be Ed McGreevy, Claudia Carnes, Maribeth Coleman.

MR. MCGREEVY: Thank you. My name is Ed McGreevy. My address is 370 Howard Drive, Youngstown, New York. I've been a resident of the Town of Porter for more than fifteen years. When I moved to Porter, I did not know that a hazardous landfill existed here.

When I purchased a home in the Town of Porter, the realtor did not mention the existence of a hazardous waste landfill as an inducement to consider the area. After moving here, local residents provided information on the CWM landfill. Community organizations helped me begin to understand the potential consequences of the existence of a hazardous landfill. I wanted to express my thanks to those individuals and organizations.

I also wish to thank the local media for publishing articles, Letter to the Editor, providing additional information, on the low side, where the CWM

hazardous waste site is located. In the last month, there -- these have included Letters to the Editor offering opinion on CWM's application. I wish to thank the writers for sharing their views.

Unfortunately one of the writers, in a Letter to the Editor that appeared on July the 5th, attempted to support CWM's application by offering conclusions without offering facts to support the conclusions.

One example from that letter to the editor, quote,

"Over the years, engineers and scientists from local industries have made the effort to understand the site practice and become familiar with the long service personnel overseeing the operations have become comfortable with both." In the Letter to the Editor, no local industry is identified. No engineer. No scientist.

A second example of a number, quote, "The trucks' loads coming to the CWM facility are dirt only, partially filled with minor contaminants." In the Letter to the Editor, there's no definition of the term "minor" or the names of even one of the minor contaminants.

This was contradicted by a CWM staff during a tour that I took of the CWM site on July the 11th of this year. The CWM staff member conducting the tour

specifically said that the only waste -- that only waste above a certain level of toxicity was expected by CWM. Waste below that certain level of toxicity was delivered to Modern.

I made copies of that letter and have them available for anybody that is interested in seeing the other examples that were cited in the Letter to the Editor. More important, perhaps, may be reports that CWM sites receive toxic waste for more than half of all states and from foreign countries.

Question; should toxic waste be transported over very long distances? Thank you.

JUDGE O'CONNELL: Ms. Carnes.

MS. CARNES: Good afternoon. My name is Claudia Carnes. I live at 275 North Fourth Street in the Village of Lewiston. I purchased my home in the charming historic Village of Lewiston because of all the rich history and culture.

I especially enjoy the festivals, restaurants and events at Art Park. I love the ambiance that living near a river that runs through my community offers. The peaceful countryside and farms I pass while I'm driving to work in the morning, is also a reason why I treasure this area. Our school system, Lewiston-Porter, is a source of pride for all of us.

Knowing that there is eight million tons of carcinogens buried less than one mile from our school upsets me tremendously. We have lived with the scourge of hazardous waste trucks on our highways for long enough. CWM is not compatible with the life we are blessed with in this community.

Please protect our quality of life and close them down. We've been dumped on long enough. They've overstayed their welcome here. This community should be known as a tourist destination, not a hazardous dumping ground. Thank you.

MS. COLEMAN: My name is Maribeth Coleman. I live in the Village of Lewiston. My children and grandchildren live in the Town of Lewiston. I'm opposed to any expansion of CWM.

The rule to allow toxic material to be brought to Lewiston is in the past. What is done is done.

Trucking this material from thirty states, Puerto Rico and ironically Lewiston, is a travesty. It's caused medical harm to our residents. CWM trucks, often leaking, drive past this very school.

This area has a much higher level of cancer and multiple sclerosis. Enough is enough. This area does not produce toxic waste. Let states and companies who produce this material expose of it in their own

backyards. Nothing can be done about the past, but it's beyond reason to ask us to approve an expansion of a deadly waste land. Find someplace else to dump this hazardous material. We've done our bit. Enough is enough. Stop the expansion of CWM now.

JUDGE O'CONNELL: Our next group is Shirley Hamilton, Eileen LappHastings, Margery Beanflossom.

MS. HAMILTON: Good afternoon. My name is
Shirley Hamilton. I reside at 1155 Ontario Avenue in
Niagara Falls, New York. I currently serve as
president of the Niagara Falls Branch of the NAACP;
the National Association for the Advancement of
Colored People. I can assure you the NAACP considers
maintaining and developing a healthy environment and
environmental justice as a civil rights issue.

The Niagara Falls branch of the NAACP recently passed a resolution which I will -- which I have already submitted. It states, "Whereas the mission of the National Association for the Advancement of Colored People, NAACP, is to ensure the political, educational, social and economic equality of rights of all persons and to eliminate racial hatred and racial discrimination, we're asking the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation, the DEC, Commissioner of Policy 29 defined as Environmental

Justice and Permitting as the fair treatment and meaningful involvement of all people regardless to race, color or income with respect to the development, implementation and enforcement of environmental laws, regulations and policies.

Fair treatment means that no group of people, including a racial, ethnic or social economic group, should bear a disproportionate share of negative environmental consequences resulting from industrial, municipal and commercial operations or the execution of State, Federal, Local and Tribal programs and policies.

And whereas the New York State Hazardous Waste Facility Siting Plan was adopted by the Department of Environmental Conservation in 2010, and I also submitted a copy of that, and whereas the principal findings of the Siting Plan was there is no need for additional hazardous waste management facilities or expanded hazardous waste management capacity in New York State.

And whereas there's an estimated two million tons of hazardous waste permanently managed in closed landfills in Niagara County and Niagara Falls and another eight million tons in the Town of Porter.

And whereas this PCBs and hazardous waste was

imported from outside of New York State and whereas
Niagara County is the only area of the State to ever
host a commercial hazardous waste land disposal
facility.

And whereas there's an application pending to site approximately six million more tons of hazardous waste land disposal capacity in Niagara County, requiring upwards of a quarter of a million PCBs and hazardous waste fill trucks to travel New York State highways, through Niagara Falls, past our public schools, along our rural roads for an estimated thirty years.

Be it resolved that the NAACP Niagara Falls branch objects to this siting of any more hazardous waste land disposal capacity in Niagara County and the State of New York because the City of Niagara Falls and Niagara County already bears a disproportionate burden and because agencies have established there is no need for additional capacity."

I am speaking today to ask you to live up to the mission of the Department of Environmental

Conservation which is to conserve, improve, protect

New York natural resources and environment and to

prevent, abate and control water, land and air

pollution in order to enhance the health, safety,

welfare of the people of this State and their overall economic and social well-being.

The Department of Environmental Conservation's goal is to achieve, through simultaneous pursuit of environmental quality, public health, economic prosperity, social well-being, including environmental justice and the empowerment of individuals to participate in environmental decisions that affect their lives.

As you allow us to participate with this process, I would also ask you to allow us to share in your decision, to be part of that decision, to allow what was said to have meaning and have weight as you make your decision.

This is about social well-being, this is not about -- this is not only about this community, but any and all communities where this hazardous waste would travel. This is about enhancing the health of this community, this is about the health, safety and welfare of the people of New York State.

At times I think our regulatory agencies forget their mission. They forget why they were created and whom they are supposed to protect. They forget about people. They forgot about the people living at Tonawanda Coke where they found benzine levels

seventy-five times higher than the recommended guidelines until the Federal Government, the EPA, stepped in.

They forgot about the people living around the Peace Bridge when they failed to monitor the air during the Summer months; the busiest times. They are also forgetting about the people in Niagara Falls when they decided not to perform a cumulative air impact study and requiring constant air monitoring at Covanta.

I'm asking you to vote "no" on the CWM expansion. I'm asking you today to not forget about the mission when it comes to your decision. I'm asking you to please, don't forget about us, the people of this community; the people of New York State. Thank you.

JUDGE O'CONNELL: Is Eileen LappHastings or Margery Beanflossom here?

Our last group is Gina Gigliotti, Rebecca Strong and Amy Witryol.

MS. STRONG: I'm Rebecca Strong.

JUDGE O'CONNELL: What is your name again?

MS. STRONG: Rebecca Strong.

JUDGE O'CONNELL: Thank you.

MS. STRONG: I'm currently a resident of

Lockport, but I grew up in Niagara County and I grew up in Gasport. As a resident of Niagara County, we're the national stewards of the waters of the Niagara River and of Lake Ontario. In traditional communities, when we're born, we're first introduced to the place and to the elements and we're introduced into our human birth and given the privilege to steward that place.

What we know is there are limits to growth, right? We're learning this with the economic collapse. We're learning this with climate change. We're learning this all over the place and that we live on a finite planet.

As a human species, unlike most other species, we do not have a natural predator so that means we have to keep ourselves in check. I celebrate how many people showed up today and how many people have been showing up for this conversation; individuals who are saying "no".

"No" to the expansion of the CWM. It's my assessment that we have a moral obligation to protect, yes, the health of our children, but we also have the moral obligation to protect the quality of the air, the soil and the water.

These are the elements that sustain us. How can

we fight cancer without drawing the link to what we're doing to our environment? We have to use our intellect. We have to draw the link.

I ask the people making the decision, I ask us,
I speak today as a concerned citizen; who will benefit
from this expansion?

Now really, can we think like cathedral builders? Can we employ the kind of thinking that the people of the six nations model; thinking in seven generations, right?

So who will benefit from this expansion; a handful of individuals in a financial way and how long will that financial benefit last them? But when we think of the deficit we create to the web of life, to the water, to the quality of air, to the quality of soil, ultimately toxic waste production needs to be stopped.

We must employ our intelligence to limit ourselves in what we're doing to the earth; what we're doing to ourselves. What we do to the earth, we do to ourselves. What we do to the earth, we do to ourselves. What we do to the earth, we do to ourselves. What we do to the earth, we do to ourselves.

So we think who will benefit from this expansion? Less than one percent of one percent of

one percent of human beings who do not live in this community will benefit on a financial level for how long after they've purchased their fifteenth house or maybe their fourth? Is that what we're feeding, that pocket? And the deficit we're creating for generations to come is on our shoulders. We're the ancestors of the future.

So I leave us with this question; it's an ethic for the 21st century. Thank you for bringing environmental justice into the story. Thank you. Thank you. What would the water say if it could speak?

And the question; can we use this ethic for the 21st century? Will this decision benefit life, enhance life? When we say "life", yes, the life of the human community, the life of our human children, but also the life of the children of other species, the life of the soil, the life of the air, the life of the water.

Will this decision enhance life or will it not?
We know the answer. Will we use our intellect? Will
we live our moral obligation as ancestors to the
future to protect the life that we are given as
stewards and value that, more than putting a few green
pieces of paper, a human invention, in the pockets of

1 a few?

What do you want to wake up thinking about in the morning? What would the water say if it could speak? Thank you so much.

JUDGE O'CONNELL: Is Gina Gigliotti here?
Ms. Witryol.

MS. WITRYOL: I'm your last speaker for this afternoon. My name is Amy Witryol. I live in Lewiston. And Karen, if you want to open up Number 1, double click and then go up to view from beginning. That will be great. Thank you.

If hazardous waste and PCB dumps are so safe, so economic, why doesn't everyone want one? Next slide please. Why the State should say no. Number one, the State loses money on CWM.

I spent my career as a banker. I'm not an environmentalist. I came to this community and found an awful lot of people sick for reasons I couldn't understand that I think unfortunately I understand a lot better.

But I can tell you, looking at the financial information that CWM has submitted, and I'll submit this in detail, written testimony; the State is losing money. And this is not the single most important issue to us, but I put it Number 1, because I believe

it's the single most important issue to the State unfortunately.

Number 2, the facility is dangerous and poses unacceptable risk. Number 3, in my opinion the DEC is covering up a leaking radioactive waste site next-door to protect the CWM application. Number 4, the facility is primarily self-monitored and I will be expanding on that in written testimony as well.

We've never had two, full-time monitors out there.

We've never had two, full-time monitors out there.

And Number 5, the cumulative impacts, which I actually had a separate PowerPoint on and, Your Honor, depending upon the number of people tonight, if there's time at the end of that session -- I skipped that particular PowerPoint -- I'd be pleased to show that again.

And part of those cumulative impacts are recognized in the legislation passed by Governor Mario Cuomo that says you can't keep siting a hazardous waste landfill in the same place in the State over and over and over again. That law has been broken a number of times in the past. We're hoping that the law will be upheld this time.

Next slide, please. Why is CWM here? Not because they do a lot of business here. The two

largest dumps that I imagine they've referred to in their applications, which I haven't been able to read thoroughly yet, had original record decisions that called for on-site treatment that didn't need to go to any landfill. So the suggestion that waste disposed from a landfill, if not coming here, would have to go to another landfill is simply untrue. I'm sure there are many DER professionals at DEC who understand that.

Number 2, in comparison to at least one competitor I've looked at so far, whose waste analysis plans are rather lengthy and expensive, DEC provides exemptions for sampling incoming waste that other facilities don't get the benefits of. They do screening, but there are enormous loop holes in their screening practices. These exemptions save the companies millions and millions of dollars.

Lax monitoring, the biggest reason not to site RMU-2 is because it's in the footprint. You need the monitoring wells for RMU-1. I'm sure it will be a topic of extensive discussion which I'll discuss at least some of the key points of in a few more slides.

But I will also tell you that we not only host the only hazardous waste landfill in the State of New York, right next-door to it is a temporary storage site for radioactive waste that's been temporary here

since 1944 and also the State's second largest solid waste landfill, so we know landfills around here.

The monitoring in some of the regulations required for the solid waste landfill, Modern Corporation, more rigorous than the monitoring and regulatory requirements that DEC has decided to impose or I guess not impose on CWM.

CWM is the only facility that I know of, and I haven't done a national survey in the last few years, that doesn't pay a hazardous waste disposal tax. Its gross receipts are a fraction. I think it's difficult for any New Yorker to understand how, of all things, we could not have a hazardous waste disposal tax.

It costs twenty-five dollars to apply for a fishing license in New York State. It costs zero to apply for a hazardous waste landfill that the DEC has spent twelve years processing at our expense.

Another reason why I believe that CWM is here is they have a synergy to their solid waste operations throughout the State. And Mr. D'Amato knows better than anyone else, his region hosts large waste management landfills. Thankfully the Region 8 permit that was awarded to Waste Management in Orleans County was defeated and with the full support of the people of Orleans County, but it is a built-in sales force

and certainly gives the company an enormous bang for its buck when dealing with Government in New York; which as you can see I'll get to.

This company, for reasons that are absolutely astonishing to me, as an ex-banker, receives subsidies from the New York State Power Authority. Because airspace is permanent and fixed and capped for these permits, CWM can't make any more money and hire more people if they get a discount. All those funds go back to the head office in Houston.

When CWM transferred from the Power For Jobs to the Recharge Program, in my view, they violated the statute because the statute says you can't transfer in employees from other affiliates and have them count towards your head count for your electricity discounts. But they did that in the transition and of course their latest application, since I caught them and wrote to NYPIRG about it, was both CWM and Waste Management.

So when you read about the number of jobs at the site, first of all the one with the Siting Certificate says eighty jobs. They tell the press sixty-six. For the last couple of years, we haven't been able to find enough evidence beyond the total of thirty-seven affiliated with the CWM Outreach and that's when they

did two hundred thousand tons in 2012 and they've done a pittance since then. Thankfully this facility is near closing.

Last, but not least, as a tribute to the Mastercard commercials, Number 7, pay to pay politicians in the State of New York, priceless.

Next slide, please. Okay. This is not just about wrecking fifty acres. You've heard a number of people say, this place is so contaminated CWM spent thirty-five years trying to clean it up. They still can't clean it up.

Part of it is because Department of
Environmental Conservation has allowed them to put
monitoring wells in areas that are cheaper to clean up
because they have less contamination.

Next slide, please. This is not just about wrecking a neighborhood. Here, you see kind of a zoom out of that same photo of the massive facility, massively complex facility that we have even if the Federal Government hadn't brought in every defense activity known to man before they got here.

Next slide, please. Or about wrecking a community. There you see Fort Niagara, the Niagara River, our schools, a fish hatchery that had its beginnings from clay mining for CWM of all things.

Not a place I'd want to fish. And one of the most notorious storage sites in the United States, the Niagara Falls Storage Site, and just beneath it Modern.

Next slide, please. It's about people's health. Click, please. You've heard reference to New York State Department of Health Cancer study that covered a ten-year period that was covered just a few years ago here.

Next click, please. Children living in our school district had nearly double the rate of childhood cancers compared to the expected rate for the State.

Next click, please. The DEC was so concerned it asked an engineer to respond. The responses to comments this community was given last year on CWM's permit renewal, which I think was eight years late; massively complicated. You think the Siting Certificate is long, multiple that by a thousand.

DEC's response, it went -- provided with that comment was no, we're not going to check with the Department of Health. No, we're not going to consult an epidemiologist. We're going to say well, most of the cancers are up-radiant, upwind and I can't remember what else from the facility, but first of

all, our schools are not upgraded from this facility.

Secondly, if you have a neighborhood that is double the size of another neighborhood, it ought to have twice as many cancers, that's not the case here.

So when faced with these comments, the lack of response, the way for those of you who don't know, and including the Siting Board, when you submit public comments for a permit renewal and your questions, you get your answers simultaneously with the permit renewal.

So if there was a factual error or a gross misrepresentation, you better go get a lawyer because you don't get a second chance. How on earth are we expected to provide a response to comments when we can't even get some of these questions answered; like how many trucks are sampled. Gee, we don't know.

And I will be submitting in detail a list, which if I started today I am not sure I could finish by September 5th, of all the factual errors and omissions in the responses to comments that this community received.

I've asked repeatedly, everyone you talk to in the Department of Environmental Conservation, which most professionals will tell you this, probably ninety-nine percent of the staff of the department, to

find out who reviewed those responses to comments before they were issued last year. The short answer is nobody.

Next slide, please. You've heard references to our house statistics, we'll be talking about that more in detail. You see CWM over in the upper left-hand corner of the County and the areas of elevated incidents not likely due to chance that Mr. Henderson mentioned.

Relative to our variability and prevailing wind direction, CWM is smack at the source of this particular cancer cluster. I would have had a more recent map for you. It was a PILOT Program,
Mr. Forcucci could tell you. After the maps were published, the PILOT was over.

Next slide, please. This is colorectal cancer. CWM, it's only my crude rendition of Four Mile Creek which CWM discharges into. There's CWM (indicating.) There's the creek and there's the colorectal cancer, fifty to one hundred percent above expected.

Okay. Next slide, please. You've heard about the Great Lakes.

Next slide. Okay. This is really important. I have to tell you reading -- I'm a frequent visitor to the DEC FOIL site. Reading the correspondence between

the professionals hired by Niagara County and its

Health Department and the Department of Environmental

Conservation, we've got so many problems with

groundwater contamination moving off-site that's been
ignored.

I don't know if it's a matter of resource problems or they too are drinking out of a fire hose, but we're standing just one mile from the Epicenter of this chart from the annual, technical memorandum from the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers from Niagara Falls Storage Site which is adjacent to CWM's property. That is our temporary radioactive storage site from the 1940s.

You can see there's a little chart for population density. You have Toronto in the upper left-hand corner, you can see Erie, in the dark spot there is Buffalo and this slide is a tribute to Clyde Burrmaster. If you see him, tell him. I think it's one of his favorites. One of the most notorious FUSRAP sites in the nation.

Next slide. Where did that radioactive waste come from? The waste in producing this -- I can't remember if this is the bomb they dropped on Nagasaki or Hiroshima (indicating.) The stuff in the Niagara Falls storage site is as high as anything that comes

out of a reactor.

Next slide, please. The Niagara Falls Storage Site, now you can see we're looking northeast. CWM is up there to the left. You can see the second largest solid waste landfill in the State of New York and this nice -- ten-acre nicely mowed cap beneath it, which is called the Niagara Falls Storage Site.

Next slide, please. We've tried repeatedly to discuss with the Department of Environmental Conservation the issues of that storage site leaking. Usually, when there's an Army Corps remedial project, it's handled by a remedial professional from DER region.

But for some reason, the Niagara Falls Storage
Site is handled out of Albany by the same member of
staff who's been working with CWM for over twenty
years. That's a concern. I can tell you as a banker,
that's a major institutional problem.

Next click, please. In a FOIL request, remarkably I got a slide presentation that was given to internal staff last December that says, "Jim, here's responses to Amy's questions that we will discuss in the meeting.", and in boldface, "Not To Be Sent Back To Her". Your Honor, if that isn't a reason alone to grant me party status, I don't know what is.

Next click, please. His supervisor, who we invited to a meeting of the Restoration Advisory Board that the Army Corps of Engineers has refused to acknowledge or recognize, since we recognized that the cell is leaking, declined to dial into a meeting and let us send him slides and talk about why we think the cell was leaking. More troubling, he said he doesn't know anything about the brown water on the site.

This is the guy that signs the letter for the Department's position as an interested agency to the Army Corps of Engineers about this notorious site.

Next slide, please. Now, this is part of the internal slide show I was never supposed to see. This is a terrible description of the Niagara Falls Storage Site. Yes, it's ten acres. Yes, the contaminated soil is maybe three hundred seventy thousand cubic yards. There's lots of questions about inventory taken at the time.

Built between 1982 and 1986, used existing structures to obtain slurried waste. Slurried waste is radium-226. The amount considered safe for soil is one trillion of one curie. If we had one curie, we'd be worried. We'd be concerned. We'd be watching that site like a hawk. We not only have one curie, we have two thousand curies of radium 226, not in using

existing structures. They were put in a World War II era basement after they patched up the cracks and tried to fill in all the joints from the piping from this old water treatment plant. So I think the stability of what was represented to this staffer's colleagues is -- was quite questionable.

Next slide. Okay. Here is from the Restoration Advisory Board, this -- wild crazy people trying to scare you to death group. Looking at the Army Corps' remedial investigation, I should take this opportunity to tell you that the staffer watching that for Department of Environmental Conservation told me, back in 2003, that he disagreed with the Department's position they ought to leave that waste in the Niagara Falls Storage Site.

And coincidentally, CWM's engineer told me the exact same thing; that CWM hired a consultant. They said, leave that hot, dangerous stuff in the middle of this community. Why was that an outrageous comment from a regulator? Because the Army Corps hadn't started its remedial investigation, which was concluded five years later.

To me, that suggests someone who has formed an opinion and is looking for a way to get information, you know, by omitting it or otherwise. There's

examples there of huge increases in uranium and groundwater. Uranium was looked at. Certainly not the worst stuff we have out there, but the background level of uranium, when the Department of Energy first got here, in the order of three micrograms per liter and then the background kind of morphed up to nine micrograms per liter.

Within a few years, the Department of Energy was very alarmed that the detections in groundwater rose to sixty micrograms per liter. Look at the numbers on this chart. In 2003 we're up at nine hundred and fifty-eight and in ten years, we're up to seventeen hundred and forty and this guy in the Army Corps is telling us this cell is not leaking? What are we, stupid?

Next slide, please. And here is the explanation for where the suspicion is that the cell is leaking, in the south area, and underground utility pipelines that are transporting that contamination to well detections that were on a very steep increase.

Next slide, please. Then we go back to the secret, "not be sent back to Amy" slides that were given to, I guess, snooker DEC staff executive, where they say, "Increasing detections in this particular well suggests that the cell is leaking."

And it says at the bottom, well, they're comparing apples and oranges, knowing full well that those wells were just a few feet away from what they were being compared to ten years ago and the Army Corps explained why they had to replace the wells and couldn't sample in the exact, identical place.

If you believe this Department of Environmental Conservation staffer, all groundwater, all geology on this site is the same. What difference would it make that one sample was taken in one place and the other sample was taken in Timbuktu?

That wasn't the case. So remember, bogus explanation to their own staff. I'm not supposed to see it, maybe presumably because I know it's bogus.

Next slide, please. Here's the rationale that's given to Department of Environmental Conservation professionals to discredit the information put forth by the community. And for the sake of time, I'll prepare this in written comments. Let me say, it's ridiculous.

Next slide, please. Theory two, that the -it's the area of the south side of the cell that's
leaking; again, with comparison of Army Corps data and
the Army Corps telling us that the groundwater is
interacting with the sewer line, which makes perfect

1 sense to us.

Next slide. Again, this is the attempt of the Department of Environmental Conservation staffer to discredit that. If you know what's in the investigations, this information was cherry picked and misleading; and I will expand on that in written testimony.

Next slide, please. Again, I cannot overemphasize the severity of the problems at the Niagara Falls Storage Site. When I spoke to the head of the EPA Radiation Bureau, nearly ten years ago at this point, he was there in 1984 when the radioactive material was deposited in that World War II era basement. And he told me, "I never thought I'd be sitting here today still talking about getting that stuff out of there."

He expected there would have been a record of decision to get that stuff out of there by 1994.

Everyone wants that stuff out of there except the Department of Environmental Conservation staffer working on CWM permitting.

Next slide, please. Again, I just want to remind you how hot that place is. I can't say it enough.

Next slide, please. Okay. I didn't have time

to finish out this slide but what I will suggest, because of so many contradictions and inaccurate information from the Department of Environmental Conservation; for example, I've written the Department of Environmental Conservation five times that your excavation plan for radiation must include sampling for plutonium and I've never gotten a response except in a document -- don't quote me on which document it came in, but the Department of Environmental Conservation said well, we would expect plutonium and gamma rays to be collocated.

That's important because they're using image detection equipment. If you're not emitting gamma rays, your plutonium isn't going to get detected. It's more than an alpha emitter. There's no great detection equipment. You have to sample it and that costs money. It's really expensive. That's the stuff that never gets done at CWM. The most dangerous stuff, if it's the most expensive, it doesn't get done.

In any case, the Army Corps, because they were doing a massive investigation, they had the funds to do the sampling on CWM property; a property that was called a vicinity property that was contaminated with radioactive contamination that has not been closed out

yet; by the way, where they like to mitigate their wetlands which is another amazing aspect of this application.

The Army Corps sampled the plutonium and there was no gamma emitter and there was no caesium-137 or anything else. Even responding back to the Department of Environmental Conservation again to try to get clarity on this, when talking to the Department of Energy official who flew in here from Colorado for an Army Corps meeting we're being told, "Don't worry about sampling for plutonium because it will be collocated with the caesium."

I swear to God they laughed in my face. The mobility of caesium is very different. They said the caesium would have washed away years ago. That's ridiculous.

So I'm sure you will be invited to visit the site in the not-to-distant future. I would strongly urge you, wait until the hearings are over. Wait until the adjudicatory hearings are over. Get the benefit on all the information on radiation out there before you decide whether you want to make a site visit, because people who I know that walked the properties, not the tour on the dog and pony show for the annual CWM employee picnic, the people who

actually walked the site within three years, got cancer.

We know that one in three Americans are going to get cancer. We know that. We're not complaining we have cancer, we're complaining we have more than our share; just like our hazardous waste.

Next slide, please. CWM -- and this is -- again, I've asked so many people at every level in Albany and Buffalo, would you please, please, please talk to us about groundwater. This application never should have been declared complete.

CWM's expert, Golder Associates said, to my knowledge, before I started looking closely, a firm with hydrology expertise that is generally well regarded, they're saying that CWM's lower groundwater, which is contaminated, moves only three feet a year so don't worry. Nothing's leaving the site. That's the theory. We're just destroying our own property.

Next click, please. The Erie/Niagara County
Regional Planning Board, when looking where they
needed to implement public water first, looked here
because they found boron four and a half miles away
and estimated it took twenty years to get there. You
do the math, that's eleven hundred feet per year.
That's a quarter of a mile per year.

The Department of Environmental Conservation staffer knows about this. We've discussed it at meetings he's been in. You would think that someone would take a second look and nobody has. One explanation that I got from a Department of Environmental Conservation official was, well, you know the EPA does the same thing; they have one professional look at something and nobody else checks their work.

I don't know if you saw in September, I think maybe it was 60 Minutes, about an EPA deputy who told his supervisors that he worked for the CIA and didn't show up to work for two and a half years. For twenty years he got away with this. The United States Inspector General said the EPA is devoid of the most basic institutional checks and balances.

I can certainly tell you, from my experience being involved with a lot of mergers and acquisitions being a senior credit officer looking at what the sales side of the business, loan officers are doing, and reporting to an entirely separate management. We didn't even meet until we got to the Chairman of the Board and then additionally had an audit function that reported directly to the Board of Directors.

It's no wonder we've had such ridiculous,

horrible and painful decisions from the Department of Environmental Conservation at this site over many years.

Next clip, please. This is background. It was a well on River Road in Youngstown that documented the contamination. It was a guy that ran a greenhouse and went out of business, partly because his plants were dying and Cornell Extension helped him out and they traced it back to the well water and they found boron.

I don't have time to show you the cumulative impact slide show tonight. If I don't, I'll send it to you. It will take you through an automated review of the history and you'll see the four areas of CWM that boron was produced.

posts on monitoring and corrective actions.

Corrective actions is a euphemism for cleanup. It's like a teacher correcting your paper. You got it wrong, so you're going to try to get it right. These are usually taken after a permit is granted.

Next slide, please. Okay. CWM moving the goal

You don't have to prove you can clean up the site before Department of Environmental Conservation gives you a permit. And if you didn't get it cleaned up, the next time the permit renewal comes around you get more corrective actions. The football at the

bottom says "health" on it.

Next one, please. Okay. I said, back in 1977, the engineers advising the Regional Planning Board on groundwater quality and how to triage the instillation of public water and 2013, CWM said three feet. CWM bought this landfill operation what, Dan, '83, '84? It was SCA for a little while until it changed their name to Waste Management.

Next clip, please. 1985, we're at fourteen feet a year. I wonder why groundwater slowed. Maybe there was a glacier that came by between 1985 and 2013, you know, it's several times faster. What was happening in 1985, Waste Management wanted a landfill in a part of the site that wasn't so hot.

While I haven't looked at the regulatory impact, Department of Environmental Conservation knows it was in the mid '80s when REPA was really kind of reinvigorated and got its teeth. Let's see what the predecessor's hydrologist said about the flow rate of groundwater back in 1977.

Next clip, please. Between eighty-eight and three hundred and twenty-four feet a year; this is what the consultant for the operator said and I didn't have time to put in a slide that suggests Department of Environmental Conservation has its head in the

silt. Where the alteration of information that took place in 1985 occurred is also the gravel became densely packed sand to slow the rate of contamination off that site.

Next slide, please. What went on at Waste

Management headquarters at the time this data was

being altered or the analysis was being reinterpreted,

certainly they were like, "that's an outliner", and

they disappeared. As if you could even have an

outliner on the site because of the variability.

Next clip, please. CWM wrote off three and a half billion dollars of earnings it had falsely reported during the prior ten years.

Next clip, please. Waste Management violated the antifraud books and records and internal controls provisions of the Securities Exchange Act. They were defying their stockholders. Wouldn't it make sense for the Department of Environmental Conservation to go back and look at what their reporting was doing at that time?

Next slide, please. I'm not going to go through this in detail, but it's basically price fixing, price fixing, price fixing, violations, bribery.

Next slide, please. Exactly the same, except throw in mixed PCBs with oil and selling it as fuel.

Next slide, please. Same thing price fixing, price fixing, waste lagoon violations, anti-trust, explosion shut down incinerators. Thank you, Dr. Nils Olsen, who negotiated with Waste Management in 1994 and saved us from having a similar incinerator here.

Next slide, please. With CWM's poor environmental track record, I could not prove their expansion request. CWM in Indiana closed in recent years. An attorney for the City in that State said the State, quote "Would have to grant a permit to Satan before they could grant a permit to this outfit."

Next slide, please. Next, please. Okay.

Access reports, in reasonable time to review historical data. Your Honor, I've asked for every report prior to 1995 from the Department of Environmental Conservation. They don't, unfortunately, have a list of the reports. The application says they were relying on geology reports from 1985. I'd like to see all of the reports leading up to that.

We found some data that has a lot of significance that has been altered. It's kind of like a jigsaw puzzle. Therefore -- and believe me, after working on this for twelve years, I have no desire to

delay this process. I'd like my life back.

But if the Department of Environmental

Conservation -- because we tried for a year to talk to
them -- for whatever reason doesn't want to
reconstruct that data and figure out what is going on
at the groundwater at that site, Your Honor, I ask for
the availability of reports and the time to do that;
starting with list all of the reports that you have
that are related to engineering on the site, operation
of the site and the geology of the site that are
available in Albany or Buffalo.

Next slide, please. I thought I removed this one. This one is something that our County legislature used to describe the Department of Environmental Conservation. I make no apologies for forgetting to remove that, but that's how this community feels.

I can't -- we don't have enough time for me to list all of the problems and errors that we found. I hope that's the end of this segment. Your Honor, I'm -- can we click that again and hope that it will close? Yeah. Great. Aren't you happy that segment is over?

We have a couple of more segments, Your Honor, that I think are quite important but, as you know, I

wanted to go last to make sure that everyone in the public had an opportunity to speak. Would -- and this is the longest of the segments, by the way. I've got a couple of more that don't have very many slides. Would you like me to go through them or would you like me to step down?

JUDGE O'CONNELL: How long is the next section?

MS. WITRYOL: Karen, could you bring up number three? The public orientation, I can probably get through that one in five minutes and then I'm going to skip the fourth one and the fifth one is sixty seconds.

JUDGE O'CONNELL: I'd like to see this one then. Please, you may continue, Ms. Witryol.

MS. WITRYOL: Karen, next slide, please. I'm afraid this is more criticism for the Department of Environmental Conservation and even though I've read the instructions to clients from CWM's attorneys about, never lose your temper with the regulator on the theory that if we get angry or critical of them that they can't be objective.

I'm going to be blunt and have faith, despite my criticisms, my complaints and my anger and absolutely worse public participation process I've seen in twelve years. Just in the past sixty days, the Department of

Environmental Conservation will endeavor to be objective, regardless of what I say about you, and look at the substance.

This public notice that's on the radio in Lockport is about a place in Model City. People in Lewiston know where it is, probably in Porter, but most the people in Niagara County don't.

Next click. The notice was very troubling. It said that this is primarily about a hazardous and nonhazardous waste landfill. No, it's not. You don't need a TSCA permit or EPA permit or science certificate. You can go to Modern if you want to dump your waste.

I'd like to hear -- the TSCA permit is part of the permit that EPA retains authority for. If CWM wants permission to build a new landfill, they need a permit from DEC for all the chemical stuff, and that's an oversimplification of the regulation, but they still need the EPA to give them permission to take PCBs and no mention of enormous, enormous lagoons that are releasing chemicals into our air for most of the year.

Next slide, please. This is, you know, where we were told to go to, to find documents. It's high altitude. Let's hope it's a little more

understandable when we drop down.

Next slide, please. If you were able to find the twelve page fact sheet which started with a useful life of ten to twenty years, it's impossible, it's mathematically impossible to site four million whatever cubic yards and do it in ten years if you're applying for four hundred twenty-five thousand.

Even with a seventy-five thousand variance from in-State actions, that means you would have to do six hundred thousand tons per year. This application maxes out at five hundred thousand tons. I'm reading the fax sheet and wondering who the heck wrote it or who checked it, but it's twelve pages and I'm still trying to figure it out because when I go to the fax sheet -- next click -- it sends me to this laundry list; we got sixty days notice.

When I stood up here in the beginning of 2006, Your Honor, I thanked DEC Region 9 for giving us sixty days and holding the meeting here instead of that fire hall where CWM wanted it. Sixty days for just the scoping document and we're being given a hundred and twenty days for this mess. And at the bottom it says when we get the air permit, we'll let you know.

Where is the water division? Where is the air division? I'm supposed to prepare testimony in thirty

days on all these permits and try and gear it towards -- this is going to be my one chance to talk to the Siting Board. My one chance and the public's one chance.

How should I spend my time? I can't possibly read all of this and start searching for the data that's been falsified on the groundwater.

I can't tell people in the community what this means or walk Creek Road and say, make sure you get to the hearing because no one knew what this hearing was about or to get here and would not have been here today without the fine work of RRG with only thirty days notice. I thought there would be fifty people here this afternoon.

Next click, please. The community understands so far. Next click. We can go to the next click, please. It's as clear as mud. Hard copy availability; Albany, Buffalo, Youngstown, Youngstown.

Mr. Fiodaro (sic), you made reference to something in a package -- a letter from the Town of Lewiston; just asked for a hard copy so people in Lewiston can go into their Town Hall or library or people serving on the RMU-1 Citizens Advisory Committee could go look at didn't make the agenda for first Siting Board meeting two weeks ago.

Next slide. Can't get into Town Hall. Can't get into the library.

Next slide. Here, electronic copies of -- if you can figure out what's an application, what is a permit. Go look here, it says CWM's website. Click on that website. It says, Waste Management Model City and another laundry list.

I urge the Siting Board, go to the Department of Environmental Conservation's website, think of yourself as a member of the public; even an informed member of the public like someone from RRG. See if you can figure out what you ought to be reading and what is going to be used to make what decision by which agency.

Next slide, please. The Siting Certificate under application on the DEC website, I eventually found it on the Waste Management website, but there's a section called Siting Certificate and Permit Joint Hearing documents. There's no Siting Certificate Application there.

Next slide, please. That's easier. Next click, please. That would be easier than getting through the Department of Environmental Conservation's website.

Next click, please. And that's Mt. Everest. That would be easier than finding the documents and

getting through this complicated process.

Next slide, please. Why was there no public availability on the outset? I've been told twice in the past four days that the Department of Environmental Conservation would host a public availability.

By the way, the staffer involved in CWM in the Niagara Falls Storage Site is one of the people out there hosting the public information session; which is, again, horrifying to me. Why wouldn't we have immediately had a public availability session to answer questions and have a two-way dialogue?

I asked as many questions as I could have of

Department of Environmental Conservation and most of

the answers were, I don't know. When the ALJ and

Siting Board Chair are appointed, you can talk to them

about it.

I go to the Siting Board meeting and I find out I'm not allowed to talk about it. I don't have party status. It's not going to be decided until October.

Keep going, please. I go to the Siting Board meeting, Mr. D'Amato, and I don't know if Mr. Caruso is here today, and you vote on a Memorandum of Understanding that still hasn't been posted. And for all I know, the Siting Board voted to delegate all of

its authority to the ALJ; with such that I won't even be able to make a presentation to you on the fifteen points on the Siting Certificate that the ALJ could say, these aren't important.

I'll tell you why, and it has nothing to do with the skill or integrity of the ALJ. I'm about to show you why that's an enormous risk and also extremely disturbed, Mr. D'Amato, extremely disturbed that the letter that is simply referred to as "being in the package" about letting the community speak with the Siting Board about whatever way you want to run this, whatever you think is in the public good, to at least let us and CWM tell you how it might adversely or positively impact our ability to even participate in this massive nightmare.

Next slide, please. Here is the community.

Next click. The RMU-1 permit, as I said,
massive didn't get answers to the questions last year.
Factual inaccuracies, and I'm not nitpicking, but
major, major issues there where Department of
Environmental Conservation told us they didn't have
information relating to a plume of plutonium or
plutonium found in pipe scaling of a wastewater plant
that once serviced CWM properly when I know, in fact,
we were copied on the same E-mail. He's got it, yet

this response to common sense, "We don't know about it."

Let's say somebody else wrote the response. If a member of the public says, "Did you know about the plutonium at the Army Corps found fifty years later just a couple of years ago in the pipe scaling of the pipe that took the water off CWM's property?", wouldn't you pick up the phone and say, "Amy, I don't know about this. Send me the report." Not an order. As a matter of fact, the opposite.

And I will, Your Honor, give examples, innumerable, over the past year when I begged and pleaded for opportunities to have conversations with the Department of Environmental Conservation staff supposedly reviewing these issues.

Next clip, please. Draft Environmental Impact Statement. If I started on that tomorrow, I couldn't finish by September 5th.

Next clip, please. The RMU-2 modification. I presume the Siting Board has seen the application. When you met the first time in two weeks, you must have read everything by now so you could really maximize any technical testimony today and get the full understanding and be able to immediately reflect that into your thinking as opposed to memorize what we

told you and then maybe see what it relates to when you go and read these applications.

Next click. The Siting Certificate. I'm going to ask that the Siting Board hold another hearing so we can talk to you about all fifteen categories on the Siting Certificate. I'm going to show you why in just a minute.

Another click, please. Air permit. I haven't even been notified as to whether or not it's even been opposed yet, but they never even had an air permit. They count the density in the dust. They don't sample what's in it. When they were sampling many, many moons ago, they stopped sampling when a lagoon dried up and PCBs blew into the community. That's when they stopped doing air sampling, decades ago.

Next clip, please. Storm water. Water Division has found PCBs and VOCs in storm water discharges. This alone is a complicated permit. This alone, we were told years ago, we were going to get a hearing on it and who was dispatched to lobby the Water Division on behalf of the applicant? The same guy that oversees the NFSS and CWM. The one same person.

Maybe he works for the CIA as well.

Next clip, please. River discharges. Big disagreement with the Clean Water Act. CWM used the

new PCB detection method for mercury a few years ago for water that went into the Niagara River. They flunked. No wonder this (inaudible) permit is years behind and they are dumping it all at once figuring we won't have time, we won't have the hearing we were promised three years ago to look at those important and complicated issues.

Next clip, please. Wetlands. Oh, my gosh. I can't even -- I'm speechless on the wetlands mitigation that is proposed. Not only is the Department of State and everybody else concerned about preserving wetlands near the Great Lakes, but you want to build a new wetland on the part of the property where they actually burned plutonium that's never been investigated? That the Army Corps hadn't gone in and remediated because there was massive lagoons on there and beneath them are the Linde site residues (sic) from Tonawanda. The Army Corps can't go in there because CWM is busy using it.

Next click, please. Next click, please. RMU-1. Next click, please. The DEIS, I'm supposed to be done and finished talking to you by September 5th on all of these. RMU-2.

Click, please. Keep clicking. Here, this is what the Department of Environmental Conservation and

CWM are doing to this community with these applications. Piling on would be an understatement. We have never, ever received this kind of treatment before and I'm quite surprised if Region 9 is involved at all or maybe they're being overruled on the procedural aspects that didn't even make the Siting Board agenda two weeks ago for their first meeting.

Next slide, please. I'm requesting public extension through December 5th, provided we can get some assurance we got the reports that we need and we have a reasonable time to reconstruct that groundwater data and then look at the seven other permits and you might want to think about having the Water Division conduct a hearing for the three water permits.

Next click, please. Okay. Your Honor, depending on how tonight goes, I would like to show -- that slide show is automated so the Siting Board wouldn't have to listen to me speak on the cumulative impacts.

There's one more, the sixty seconds I would like to show that would be something to address one aspect, number five, please, of impacts and economic development in our area, Your Honor, and I won't speak because this one is automated as well. Sixty seconds, is that okay?

1 JUDGE O'CONNELL: Yes, it is. The problem is because you're not speaking, it's not being recorded. 2 3 I'm not sure what benefit you're going to have from 4 it. 5 MS. WITRYOL: We will hand in the slides. The only benefit is the music, which may not cue very 6 7 We will see. These are our tourism assets 8 (indicating.) Thank you, Your Honor. 9 JUDGE O'CONNELL: Before we adjourn this 10 session, I just want to check and make sure; is there 11 anyone else with a comment to make? We're adjourned 12 until six-thirty when we'll reconvene for the second 13 public comment session. 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

1	CERTIFICATION
2	STATE OF NEW YORK
3	COUNTY OF ERIE
4	I, LISA A. PETERSON, Notary Public in and for
5	the State of New York do hereby certify:
6	That the transcript appearing hereinbefore was
7	taken pursuant to notice at the time and place as
8	herein set forth; that said transcript was
9	stenographically recorded through machine shorthand by
10	me and thereafter computer transcribed into laser
11	printing.
12	I HEREBY CERTIFY, that the foregoing transcript
13	is a full, true and correct transcription of my
14	machine shorthand notes so taken.
15	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto subscribed
16	my name and affixed my stamp this 6th day of August
17	2014.
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	BY:
23	LISA A. PETERSON
24	
25	

WODD INDEX	1007 (2 (10 ((16	401 1.7	1 1 114 24 22 04 22
WORD INDEX	1997 62:6, 10 66:16	401 1:7	ability 24:22 94:22
	1998 60: <i>14</i>	4061 2:3	95:20 155:14
<0>		4124 94: <i>12</i>	able 41:1 67:24
00225 1:8	<2>		91:19 102:4 126:2
00231 1:13	2 77:4, 6 125:3	<5>	128:23 151:2 155:2
00232 1:13	126:9	5 125:11	156:24
00249 1: <i>14</i>	2000s 17:8	500 91:3	Abraham 17:16
	2001 66: <i>17</i>	5th 3:3 7:6 12:19,	absolutely 128:4
<1>	2003 17: <i>17</i> 136: <i>13</i>	20 18:1 113:6	149:23
1 124:9, 25	137:11	131:19 156:18	abundance 62:4
1:00 2:5	2004 17: <i>17</i> 27: <i>5</i>	158:22 159:9	abundant 50:1
11 7:15	2006 25:15 151:17		abuse 54:8 110:8
1155 116:9	2008 60:14 100:14	<6>	abused 110:7, 13
11th 7:11, 13 113:24	2009 68:20	6 1:6, 7, 8, 19 5:10	accept 95:18 96:11
12233-1550 9:9	2010 23:24 31:13	6:30 7:25	acceptable 27:12
135 100:3	65:9 117:15	60 143:11	85:20
145th 13:14	2012 129: <i>1</i>	608.9 1:8	Accepted 58:2
14th 7:14	2013 145:5, 11	624 5:10	accepts 20:8
15222 3:3	2014 2:4 7:6, 11, 13,	625 3:3 9:8 12:4 65 49:21	access 12:7 27:16
1550 5:18	14, 15 12:17, 19, 20		83:4 147:14
16 2:4 1632 38:14	161:17	650 77:24 663 1:5 11:7	accident 32:23, 24 42:11 76:3, 9
1032 38.14 17 1:4	21st 123:9, 14 222 49:21	6th 161:16	accidents 36:15
17 1:4 180 91:3	226 49:21 135:25	om 101:70	accommodate 79:17
1878 90:23	220 49:21 133:23 235 49:20	<7>	80:17
190 45: <i>14</i>	238 49:20 51:6	7 129:5	accomplish 49:24
190 43.14 1915 35:2	24 1:4	70 5:8	account 49:14 60:2
1940s 133:13	240 12: <i>1</i>	750 1:6	accountant 65:2
1942 50:4 51:10	27 1:4 5:8	7th 12:17 62:10	Accountants 57:24,
1943 49: <i>16</i>	270 12:3	7th 12.17 02.10	25 84:6
1944 127: <i>1</i>	27-1105 6:7	<8>	accounted 28:25
1968 54: <i>13</i>	27 1103 0.7 275 114: <i>15</i>	8 127:22	Accounting 58:2, 7,
1970s 101:21	29 116:25	80s 145:17	13, 20 66:17
1971 88:2	29th 100: <i>14</i>	83 145:6	accounts 95:2, 4
1977 145:2, 20	2 5 cm 100:17	84 145:6	accumulation 86:12
1979 71: <i>18</i>	<3>	8th 12:9	accurate 56:7
1980 110:21	3 125:4		achieve 119:4
1982 135:19	305 12:9	<9>	acids 53:5, 7
1984 139: <i>12</i>	3265 12:2	9 10:3 12:3 63:8	Acker 34:9 40:14, 15
1985 145:9, 11, 13	3314 64: <i>18</i>	151:18 159:4	acknowledge 135:4
146:2 147:20	3542 51:7	9-2934-00022 1:8, <i>13</i> ,	acknowledged 24:15
1986 135: <i>19</i>	361 1:19 5:10	13, 14	acquaintances 42:24
1990 88:6	370 112: <i>10</i>	95 38:20	acquisitions 143:18
1991 54: <i>17</i>	373 1:5 10:25 11:3,	9-5 81:3	acre 19:4 21:15
1991-2000 52:2	5	9-6 87:20	47:25 48:7 110:24
1992 66: <i>16</i>	3733 12:8		acres 10:9 35:3 50:4
1993 44:16, 21		< A >	51:2 77:7 95:15
1994 139: <i>18</i> 147: <i>4</i>	<4>	A.J 18: <i>12</i>	129:8 135: <i>15</i>
1995 147: <i>16</i>	4 125:6	abandoned 51:12	
		abate 118:24	
	1	1	1

Deposition of Degistative Hearing /
Act 1:8 11:14 16:6
28:24 33:22 62:17 146:16 157:25
acted 16:5
acting 34:1
action 25:25 62:19
actions 82:11 144:16,
17, 25 151:9
active 45:11 68:25 69:9 74:18
activities 36:8
activities 30.0
actual 59:11
AD 4:1 6:17 41:4
add 18:11 37:2
Adding 36:5, 19
addition 7:5 12:5
36:25 45:17 58:12
additional 7:24
22:11 24:5, 7 29:9
31:24 75:23 76:12 81:24, 25 97:22
112:25 117:18
118:19
Additionally 87:15
143:23
address 7:7 9:6, 10
25:9 47:11 79:1
83:15 91:5 112:10
159:21
addressed 41:25
64:21 102:17
addressing 90:11 adequate 24:6 31:16
67:19 79:11
adequately 25:9 80:1
adherence 19:14 58:1
adjacent 11:11
133:11
adjourn 160:9
adjourned 160:11
adjudicatory 141:20
Administrative 2:2
5:5, 22 administratively 11:2,
administratively 11.2,
Administrator 10:1
56:9
admission 77:2
11

Disposition contracts that the State of the
adopted 31:13 79:14 117:14
adoption 31:18
adult 93:17 94:19
Advancement 116:12,
19
advancements 53:1
adverse 67:15 84:12
adversely 155:13
advised 62:1
advising 145:3
Advisory 107:15
135:2 136:8 152:23
advocacy 18:20
advocating 64:4
95:12, 14
Aetna 94:17
affect 62:8 67:15
119:8
Affidavits 7:18, 20, 21
affiliated 128:25
affiliates 128: <i>14</i>
affixed 161:16
afford 51:17
affordable 109:25
afraid 149: <i>16</i>
afternoon 5:4 9:23
13:12 18:10 23:4
30:11 32:17 40:7, 14
30: <i>11</i> 32: <i>17</i> 40: <i>7</i> , <i>14</i> 41: <i>3</i> 45: <i>3</i> 52: <i>19</i>
54:3 57:12 64:17
67:6 78:3 114: <i>14</i>
116:8 124:8 152: <i>14</i>
agencies 29:2 118:18
119:2 <i>1</i>
agency 20:5 62:7
77:2 105:18 135:10
153: <i>14</i>
agenda 111:25
152:24 159:7
Agnello 44:25 45:1
53:25, 25 57:12, 13
61:7, 8, 13, 17 64:16,
17, 18, 21
Agnellos 84:7
ago 14:24 17:1, 1
24:3 33:1 41:10
60:24 73:13 76:7
83:18 91:22 92:17
/ / /

95:6 130:8 138:4

```
139:11 141:15
152:25 156:6 157:13,
15, 19 158:1, 6 159:7
agree 43:14
Agreement 62:12
agricultural 35:20
agriculture 32:21
35:16 53:7
ahead 24:10 52:24
111:19
air 38:18, 21 69:9
75:11 94:22 118:24
120:5, 8, 9 121:23
122:15 123:18
150:21 151:23, 24
157:8, 10, 15
airborne 26:2 103:21
airspace 128:7
AJ 18:8
Alabama 82:14
alarmed 137:9
Albany 9:9 12:5
56:14 134:15 142:9
148:11 152:18
ALEXANDER 4:3
aligned 28:9
alive 92:16
ALJ 154:15 155:1, 3,
Alliance 67:11 68:13,
14, 21
allow 11:20 21:22
25:12 35:5 51:10
101:13 115:16
119:10, 11, 12
allowed 25:23 39:22
52:15 75:13 129:13
154:19
allowing 26:24 29:9
34:15 54:7 68:9
allows 50:10
alpha 140:15
alteration 146:1
altered 146:7 147:23
alternative 64:3
alternatives 88:18
99:12
altitude 150:25
```

amazing 141:2 **ambiance** 114:20 Americans 37:4 60:20 142:3 amount 45:22 63:16 71:21 81:1, 11 99:13 104:3 135:21 amounts 26:1 **Amv** 120:20 124:8 137:22 156:8 **Amv's** 134:22 analysis 59:7, 19 63:12 126:10 146:7 **ancestors** 123:7, 22 ancillary 25:14 **Andrew** 33:21 **anger** 149:23 **angry** 149:20 announcement 7:21 announcements 7:15 **annual** 95:4 133:9 141:25 answer 24:13 41:1 44:17 99:3, 4, 5 123:21 132:2 154:12 **answered** 131:*15* answers 131:9 154:15 155:18 anticipated 32:7 63:20 **antifraud** 146:*15* antiquated 99:9 anti-trust 147:2 **anybody** 48:9 114:6 **anymore** 40:5 44:8 82:16 108:17 anyway 93:22 **apart** 70:22 **aphorism** 83:20 **apologies** 148:*15* apologize 8:13 103:19 106:13 **apparent** 86:10 apparently 82:7 appearance 103:19 APPEARANCES 3:1 appeared 113:6 **Appearing** 3:4 161:6 **appears** 63:21

amazed 75:2

Deposition of Legislative Hearing /
apples 34:19 138:2
Appleton 34:18
applicable 11:22
Applicant 1:8, 23
7:18 25:11 157:21
applicant's 24:22
85:16
Application 1:8, 15
3:4 6:9, 23 11:1
20:21 22:20 24:12,
19, 25 27:7, 8 30:9
38:1, 4 44:11 66:22
74:16 109:20 113:3,
74.10 109.20 113.3, 7 118:5 125:6
128:17 141:3 142:10
147:19 151:10 153:4,
16, 20 156:20
Applications 1:4
5:12 6:25 11:9, 16,
21, 24 12:13 56:7
126:2 157:2 159:2
applies 25:20 83:20
apply 127:14, 16
applying 151:7
appointed 107:14
154:16
appreciate 38:12
44:22 49:9 57:18
89:24 103:10
approach 33:20
appropriate 14:2
29:21 50:23 56:1
approval 11:11, 12
19:2 71:22 88:11
105:18
approvals 25:10
approve 40:5 49:5
66:22 82:13 116:2
approved 66:7 88:3,
7 91:2 <i>1</i> 92:2 <i>1</i>
approving 29:24
81:23
approximately 10:8,
10 118:6
April 62:6, 10
aquifer 27:20 28:1, 8,
13
arborist 95:8
area 14:5 15:17
28:11 29:7 36:7

Disposition contract Edges in our Sessions
37:13 42:20 50:4
51:12 52:1 54:10
55:9 61:4, 6, 17, 19
62:3, 5 67:19 69:23
70:8 73:3 75:12
76:5 81:2 82:14, 24
83:3, 24 84:10, 19
85:1 90:9 95:11, 11,
17, 19 96:2 98:8
109:14 110:6 111:8
112:17 114:24
115:22, 23 118:2
137:18 138:22
159:23
areas 27:1 67:20
82:22 84:21 129:14
132:7 144:13
arguing 57:16
Army 28:7 133:10
134: <i>11</i> 135: <i>3</i> , <i>11</i>
136:9, 20 137:13
138:4, 23, 24 140:21
141:4, 10 156:5
158:15, 18
arrangement 65:24
arrival 76:8
Art 114:20
article 60:24
Articles 1:4 5:8
112:24
aside 44:7 98:20
asked 8:20 52:16
54:1 68:24 72:16
130:15 131:22 142:8
130: <i>15</i> 131:22 142:8 147: <i>15</i> 152:2 <i>1</i>
154:13
asking 106:15 107:6
asking 106:15 107:6 116:23 120:11, 12, 14
aspect 141:2 159:21
aspects 159:6
assembled 26:25
Assembly 13:10 14:3
assemblyman 13:13
16: <i>19</i>
assertion 80:23
assertions 66:14 89:18
assess 31:14 62:8

assessing 55:12

```
assessment 121:21
assets 85:6 160:7
assigned 5:23
Assistant 4:3
Associate 96:19
associated 37:1, 7
70:17 84:9 98:21
Associates 142:12
association 94:2
116:12, 19
assumptions 59:6
65:20, 23 66:1
assurance 25:24
26:15 159:10
assurances 70:18
assure 56:9 116:13
assuredly 53:16
astonishing 128:5
attempt 139:2
attempted 113:6
attempts 100:25
attend 55:24
attendance 104:4
attended 54:14
attending 6:11 57:18
attention 13:8
attorney 22:5 147:9
attorneys 149:18
attracting 37:10
AUDIENCE 51:23
52:15 57:16 61:12
audit 58:3, 18 143:23
audited 66:2
auditing 59:3
Auditorium 2:3 8:4
9:13
Audrey 45:1 53:25
64:16, 17
August 161:16
Authority 45:15
128:6 150:15 155:1
automated 144:12
159:17, 24
availability 31:16
148:7 152:18 154:3,
6.11
available 8:3, 13
9:12 11:25 12:13, 15,
24 13:3 69:5, 6
114:6 148:11
```

Avenue 3:3 12:4 64:18 91:3 116:9 avoid 33:21 76:8 **awarded** 127:23 awful 124:18 < B > Bachelor's 94:6 **back** 14:11 17:1, 17 19:22 38:20 44:18 66:13 80:12 99:23 105:3 128:10 134:24 136:12 137:21, 22 141:6 144:9 145:2, 20 146:19 148:1 backdrop 55:6 **background** 137:3, 6 144:4 **backvard** 50:19 backyards 116:1 **bad** 38:19, 20, 25 39:1 88:5 bajeezers 14:12 **balances** 143:16 **Balmer** 5:18 **ban** 27:6 **band** 33:25 88:15 89:6 104:12 **bang** 128:1 banker 124:16 134:*17* **barnvard** 111:1, 3 base 20:16 **Based** 24:12, 18 25:23 31:4, 23 32:11 44:20 49:4 63:11, 19 65:19, 24 94:15 baseline 29:5 basement 136:2 139:14 **basic** 27:7, 9 75:3 143:16 basically 146:22 basis 87:11 **Batavia** 51:*13* Battaglia 44:25 53:25 54:2, 3, 4 **Bauer** 18:8 30:10, 11, 12

Deposition of Legislative Hearing
Baumer 49:14 90:23
BCP 19:12, 20 20:12
Beanflossom 116:7
120:18
bear 117:8
bears 61:5 118:17
beautiful 83:4, 25
84:19
becoming 73:1
beef 35:20
Beeher 34:8 40:7, 8
began 12: <i>17</i> 107: <i>12</i>
begged 156:12
begging 105:19
beginning 124:10
151:17
beginnings 129:25
behalf 6:13, 15, 16
18:24 24:7 34:21
I I
66:25 67:2, 9 68:11
78:3 96:20 97:7
157:21
beings 70:21 91:23
123:1
belief 21:8
believe 13:24 15:24
17:16, 21 21:25
80:14 124:25 127:18
138:7 147:24
belong 102:3
beneath 24:23 130:3
134:6 158:17
benefit 56:10 80:3
85:25 89:14, 16
122:5, 11, 13, 24
123:2, <i>14</i> 141:2 <i>1</i>
160:3, 6
benefited 85:3
benefits 47:7 48:17
52:8, 25 57:9 83:13,
17 84:22 126:13
benevolent 43:4
BENOIT 4:2 6:18
benzine 119:25
beseech 111:24
best 17:14, 15 64:3
73:5 76:21 94:16
betray 112:2
betrayed 111:19

Disposition contract the stressions
better 41:17 50:12,
<i>14</i> 64: <i>14</i> 71:8 88: <i>16</i>
89:11 124:20 127:20
131:12
beyond 17:13 20:14
32:2, 10 63:13 70:17
76:17 83:14 86:18
116:2 128:24
big 39:13 43:8, 12
48:13 82:16 90:6, 15
91:22 108:7 157:24
bigger 47:21
biggest 42:2 126:17
bikes 15:20
Bill 103:15, 18
billion 28:20 66:18
98: <i>4</i> 146: <i>12</i>
billions 23:16
Bills 64:5
Bi-national 62:11, 13,
16
Bioaccumulative
62:19
birth 121:7
bit 42:23 48:16
104:2 <i>3</i> 116: <i>4</i>
Bittner 34:8, 10, 11,
12, 13, 14, 17, 17
black 91: <i>1</i>
blackout 76:8
blessed 115:6
blew 157:14
blighted 20:9
blocking 17:5
blocking 17:5 blowing 84:16, 16
blows 83:20
blunt 149:22
BOARD 1:15 3:4, 4
4:8 5:7 6:8, 11, 20,
20 9:24 17:25 18:2,
3 22:6, 6, 20 23:5
30:12, 13, 14, 19
31:11 32:12 38:1
40:16 42:22 44:6
48:16 49:5, 11 57:6,
13, 20 64:12 66:24
72:22 77:24 78:6
81:5 99:18 100:1
101:7, 12, 17 109:19

111:8 131:7 135:2

Public Comment Afternoon Sessi
136:8 142:20 143:23
24 145:3 152:3, 25
153:8 154: <i>16</i> , <i>18</i> , <i>21</i> ,
25 155: <i>11</i> 156:20
157:4 159:7, <i>17</i>
boasting 19:14
Bob 83:18
BOCES 22:6
bodies 37:5 102:17,
19
body 30:20, 22
111: <i>13</i>
bogus 138:12, 14
boldface 134:23
bomb 133:23
Bonadio 57:23 59:4,
8, 20 64:23 65:3, 12,
17, 21 66:9, 11
booing 71:22
books 146:15
borders 32:3 63:14
bore 110:22
borings 28:6
born 41:9 54:12
101:25 121:5
borne 68:4
boron 142:22 144:9,
14
bottom 138:1 145:1
151:22
bought 96:9 145:6
boundaries 35:9
Boy 38:17
Branch 116:11, 16
118: <i>14</i>
break 7:7 9:14
52:23 112:4
Brian 94:9 96:18
bribery 146:23
Bridge 120:5
bridges 45:14
brief 9:16
briefly 68:12
bring 67:21 72:14 87:5 93:6 105:13
149:8
bringing 36:9 72:12
97:22 108:9 123:9
brings 55:20

Britain 100:19 **broadcast** 7:16, 20 **Broadway** 9:9 12:5 **broken** 125:21 **brother** 103:*1* **brought** 30:3 72:15 115:16 129:20 **Brown** 43:22 135:8 **Brownfield** 19:*12*, *16* **Brownfields** 20:9 80:17, 19 89:19, 22 102:13 **buck** 128:2 **Buff** 94:7 **Buffalo** 7:13 12:4 18:14, 15, 19, 23 19:19 21:20 54:15 60:25 64:5 69:4 78:1 80:10, 12 94:4 95:9 100:2 133:17 142:9 148:11 152:18 **build** 19:3 21:14 45:13, 16 47:15 60:3 62:15 63:25 150:16 158:*13* **builders** 122:8 **building** 45:17 48:21 64:4, 8 **built** 46:18 135:19 **built-in** 127:25 **Bulletin** 7:11 **burden** 37:2 68:5 69:18 70:7 81:22 118:18 **burdened** 69:1, 12 97:9 **Bureau** 34:22, 22 78:9 139:11 **buried** 75:7 76:17 81:15, 18 115:2 **burned** 158:14 Burrmaster 133:18 **burying** 46:*1* 89:*5* 92:4 **bus** 76:8 **buses** 39:23 busiest 120:6 business 18:20 32:5, *19*, *20* 39:*18* 77:*5*

92:4 109:22 125:25 143:20 144:7 businesses 37:11 70:6 82:17 busy 104:2 158:19 **buy** 80:22 **BY:** 161:22 byproduct 53:12 byproducts 53:14

< C > caesium 141:12, 14, 15 **caesium-137** 141:5 calculated 103:25 calculation 81:19 calendar 17:7 **Calkins** 94:12 call 8:10 15:9 109:3 **called** 8:15 68:22 77:6 91:9 126:4 134:7 140:24 153:18 **calling** 99:18 calls 15:3 42:7 69:24 **Cambria** 45:8 86:1 **Campaign** 96:19 campsites 62:4 **Canada** 43:19 62:10 Canadians 37:4 60:21 **Canal** 13:25 22:15 40:12 46:2 71:7, 10 **Canals** 76:19 cancel 93:17 cancer 51:23, 25 52:2, 5 60:18 61:2, 23 75:20 86:15, 17, 23 92:1 100:13 103:2, 3, 5, 6 110:5 115:22 122:*1* 130:7 132:12, 16, 19 142:2, 4, 5 cancers 61:5 100:15 130:12, 24 131:4 **canines** 54:18 Canisius 100:2 cap 134:6 capacity 10:9, 14 22:10, 12 24:5, 6, 7 29:17 31:14, 24 32:2,

13. 22 80:1 81:10 88:4, 8 117:19 118:7, 15.19 capitalist 94:14 96:12 capitalists 109:3 **capped** 111:2 128:7 carcinogens 76:17 115:2 card 8:2, 6, 7 **cards** 8:3, 5 33:16 52:18 care 15:23 27:22 40:3 67:25 68:1 70:14 71:15 72:19 79:7 81:7 91:9, 23 104:19 career 45:9 124:16 **carefully** 26:5 29:13 72:2 94:1 101:13 Carnes 112:8 114:13, 14.15 carry 75:23 **carrying** 82:20 97:12 cars 14:13 **CARUSO** 4:6 154:22 case 43:18, 23 82:11 131:4 138:12 140:21 cases 56:5 75:21 79:25 categories 157:5 category 69:17 cathedral 122:7 Cattaraugus 69:14 **caucus** 74:6 **caught** 128:17 causation 86:23 cause 59:15 100:16, 25

115:19

99:17

causes 87:6

causing 60:18

caution 25:7

17, 25 138:22

caused 86:24 92:2 **CCE** 96:20 97:1, 6 **celebrate** 121:*16* **cell** 135:5, 7 137:14, center 48:2 49:3

86:15, 16 106:24 Centerville 38:15 **Central** 12:4 28:11 57:17 78:7 century 71:9 123:9, 14 **Ceretto** 13:10, 12, 13, 18 **certain** 31:20 114:2, 3 **Certainly** 16:17 17:9 72:11 73:19 77:7 92:2 128:1 137:2 143:17 146:8 **Certificate** 1:15 3:8 6:9, 24 21:18 128:21 130:19 150:12 153:15, 18, 19 155:3 157:3, 6 **Certification** 11:8 **Certifications** 1:8 55:16 **Certified** 57:24, 25 58:19 certify 161:5, 12 certifying 58:16 59:20 cetera 69:8 Chair 6:20 58:12 154:16 **Chair-Designee** 3:9 **Chairman** 13:*11* 16:8, 10 40:15 143:22 challenge 80:16 81:16 97:22 challenges 24:14 **chamber** 18:17 **chance** 75:24 87:6 131:13 132:8 152:2, 3, 4 change 20:23, 24 59:15 121:11 **changed** 108:23 109:1 145:7 **changes** 108:*1* channels 28:4 Chapter 63:8 characteristics 50:24

characterization 27:1

28:21 31:10 charged 22:7 **Charles** 67:4 71:16 **charming** 114:*17* **chart** 133:9, 14 137:11 **cheap** 88:19, 20 **cheaper** 88:24 129:14 **check** 41:21 44:18 65:25 121:16 130:21 160:10 **checked** 66:2 69:4 108:16 151:13 checks 143:8, 16 **Chemical** 1:8, 22 3:4 5:12, 18 14:8 19:2 22:14 23:11 30:17 32:14, 25 57:22 83:7 95:12 107:4, 9, 16, 22, 25 108:7 110:19 150:17 **chemicals** 36:6 60:11 108:13 150:21 cherries 34:18 **cherry** 139:5 **child** 13:24 61:23 childhood 51:25 130:12 **children** 15:7, 19, 23, 25 16:2 22:8 33:18 42:19 54:17, 18 55:23 60:22 61:18 62:9 64:8, 10 72:19 73:12 74:2 91:24 97:14 108:25 109:23 115:13 121:22 123:16, 17 130:10 **China** 51:15, 16 53:6 **chose** 15:21, 22 49:23 96:2, 2 **Christ** 74:6, 9, 9 **Church** 74:5, 8 Churches 74:4 CIA 143:12 157:23 circular 63:24 circumstances 59:12 cite 67:17 **cited** 114:7 cities 85:15 citizen 107:20 122:5

14 47:*12*, *13* 63:*12*,

Deposition of Legislative Hearing /
citizens 26:15 30:24
96:19 105:21 107:11,
12, 15 108:6 152:23
City 5:19 10:5 19:3,
10, 23 21:3, 20 22:16
50:3 71:7, 7 100:12
118:16 147:9 150:5
153:6
civic 48:10
civil 116: <i>15</i>
claims 85:17
clarity 141:8
classes 58:10 69:8
Claudia 112:8 114: <i>14</i>
clay 33:3 50:8, 9, 11
129:25
Clean 1:8 37:10
51:21 68:23 70:11
85:2 91:19 109:25
110:20 129:10, 11, 14
144:2 <i>1</i> 157:25
cleaned 80:19 144:23
cleaning 23:16 43:22
46:9 90:11 98:6, 9
cleans 19:20
Cleanup 19: <i>12</i> , <i>17</i>
144: <i>17</i>
cleanups 63:20
clear 41: <i>1</i> 79:22
80:24 152:17
clearly 8:18 65:21
99:7
clergy 74:7
clergyman 71:17
73:25
clever 109:3
click 124:10 130:6,
10, 14 134:19 135:1
142:19 148:21 150:8
151:15 152:15, 16, 16
153:5, 21, 24 155:17
157:3, 8 158:20, 20,
21, 24 159:15
clicking 158:24
client 78:4
clients 149:18
climate 35:5, 9
121:11
Clinic 78: <i>3</i>
Clinton 62:6

clip 144:4 145:9, 21 146:11, 14 156:16, 19 157:16, 24 158:8 **clock** 54:1 close 10:21 34:4 39:11 42:7 75:1 81:20 115:7 148:22 **closed** 10:15 81:6, 9 82:16 97:20 117:22 140:25 147:8 **closely** 20:3 142:13 **closes** 71:13 closest 85:1 **closing** 29:11 67:14 129:3 **closure** 77:17 **clothes** 15:12 **Club** 102:*3* **cluster** 132:12 **Clyde** 133:*17* **CMBs** 54:24 Coalition 78:9 **Codes** 1:7 5:11 **coffin** 90:7 **COHEN** 3:2 coincidentally 136:16 **Coke** 119:25 **Coleman** 112:8 115:12, 12 collaborative 17:18 collaboratively 20:3 **collapse** 121:*11* colleagues 16:23 136:6 **collect** 46:19 collected 8:5 collection 10:14 46:19 **College** 100:2 collocated 140:11 141:12 **color** 117:*3* Colorado 141:9 **colorectal** 132:16, 19 **Colored** 116:*13*, *20* **combined** 7:8. 19 **come** 8:16 9:20 15:13 32:18 39:8

40:6 42:1 51:21

53:3 57:19 60:23

72:1 85:6, 8, 15 92:3 93:12 97:24 103:21 106:24 107:2 123:6 133:22 comes 67:16 104:23 120:13 133:25 144:24 comfortable 56:1 113:14 coming 17:24, 25 39:6. 9 44:1 113:18 126:6 commencing 2:5 **comment** 5:23 6:11, 21, 23 7:2, 9 12:17 13:14 18:1 38:6, 10 57:15 78:20 97:2, 5 130:21 136:19 160:11, 13 commented 96:24 **comments** 7:5 9:6 12:19, 21 13:3 49:10, 12 52:24 57:21 61:14, 15 67:12 78:13, 21 79:1 97:4 130:16 131:5, 8, 14, 20 132:1 138:19 **commerce** 18:*17* 79:22 commercial 81:18 84:2 89:23 90:8 95:2, 3 117:10 118:3 commercials 129:5 Commissioner 4:6 6:19 116:25 commitment 20:1 committed 57:4 Committee 14:4 55:12 66:20 104:15 107:12, 14, 15 108:6 152:24 **common** 18:22 26:21 89:14 92:11 93:5 105:11 156:1 communicates 78:25 communities 23:6, 10 48:4 56:15 74:3 82:10 85:19 87:11 93:9 100:12 119:17 121:5

community 15:21, 22 19:20 21:9 31:3 35:16 37:8, 16 38:8 40:17, 25 41:4 43:1 44:10 47:17 48:17 49:12 54:9 56:10 57:5, 9 60:2 61:24, 25 62:1 63:2, 4 64:5, 7, 10 68:3, 10, 19 73:7 74:1, 23 75:5 77:11, 19 79:5 81:12, 22 84:17 85:12 86:9, *15*, *20* 87:*3*, *9* 88:*1* 89:10 90:7, 16 91:17, 25 92:3, 5, 15, 18 93:7, 8, 12 96:11 97:1, 10, 15, 19 101:5 104:16, 17 107:6 112:18 114:21 115:6, 9 119:16, 19 120:15 123:2, 16 124:17 129:23 130:16 131:20 136:19 138:18 148:17 152:8, *15* 155:*10*, *16* 157:*14* 159:*1* community's 76:21 82:1 86:13 companies 57:1 94:16 96:5, 7, 13 104:25 115:24 126:16 company 55:7 57:4, 24 59:4, 9 64:23 65:3, 12, 17, 21 66:5, 9, 15 72:18 76:23 80:5 92:23, 25 105:12, 25 108:21, 23 128:1, 4 company's 58:1 **Comparable** 84:*13*, *21* comparably 83:12 compared 26:22 130:12 138:4 comparing 138:2 comparison 126:9 138:23 compatible 115:5 **compete** 70:13

beposition of Begisharve Hearing
competes 88:20
competition 33:15
competitive 20:16
competitive 20.10
competitor 126:10
Compilation 1:7 5:10
complain 109: <i>12</i>
complaining 142:4, 5
complaints 149:23
complete 11:2, 10
58:22 142: <i>11</i>
completed 8:6 27:3
31:9 58:8
completely 43:25
105:14
completes 82:1
complex 28:3 129:19
compliance 12:15
20:6
complicated 38:4, 7
63:18 130:18 154:1
157:18 158:7
component 53:8
composite 10:12, 15
compromises 24:21
computer 161: <i>10</i>
concentrations 28:24
concern 13:20 15:4,
5 36:8 37:11 97:16
98:8 106:19 134:17
concerned 27:25
42:22 87:14 106:23,
23, 25 107:2 122:5
130:14 135:23
158: <i>11</i>
concerning 9:17
28:18 30:23
concerns 14:22 25:9,
12 31:4, 7 37:6
40:18, 19 41:22 47:2
68:17 72:8
concluded 79:15
100:14 136:22
concludes 24:4
conclusion 21:13
29:18 93:24
conclusions 24:10
86:22 101:3 113:7, 8
conduct 5:23 6:4
159: <i>14</i>

conducting 113:25 Conference 74:5 confident 72:3 **confirm** 20:5 conjunction 11:16 connections 100:20 conscientious 20:1 consequences 112:20 117:9 **CONSERVATION** 1:2, 5 3:11 5:6, 9, 21 6:1, 7, 14 9:8 10:2 21:16 23:25 25:18 64:12 73:14 87:24 89:20 101:6, 12, 14, *17*, *20* 105:22 108:*15* 116:24 117:15 118:22 129:13 131:23 133:3 134:10 136:12 138:8, 16 139:3, 20 140:4, 5, 10 141:7 143:1, 6 144:2, 22 145:16, 25 146:18 147:17 148:3, 15 149:17 150:1 154:5, *14* 155:2*1* 156:*14* 158:25 Conservation's 19:1 101:4, 8 119:3 153:9, 23 **conserve** 118:22 consider 5:12 29:2, 4 30:1 81:1 101:14, 22 104:16 108:20 112:16 considerable 45:22 consideration 79:3 87:16 104:20 105:19 considered 12:22 66:10 76:25 81:9 87:21 135:21 considering 44:15 80:24 **considers** 116:*13* consisted 45:25 **Consistent** 6:6 26:9 86:18 consistently 35:6 consists 50:7

constant 120:9 constantly 16:21 constituents 16:20 26:17 constituted 6:8 **construct** 10:3, 18 56:20 constructed 5:17 construction 10:22 20:19 29:10, 20, 24 37:10 45:20 47:15 48:18, 20 **consult** 130:22 consultant 136:17 145:23 **contact** 9:11, 15 107:19 **contain** 98:11 containment 50:11 51:11 97:17 contaminant 28:16 contaminants 36:13 50:12 53:18 86:19 113:19, 22 contaminate 51:17 contaminated 24:24 26:1, 20 51:5, 5 53:17, 17 129:9 135:15 140:24 142:16 contamination 23:12 24:21 25:1, 3 26:9 28:18, 22 29:6, 8 30:2 36:11 98:6 129:15 133:4 137:19 140:25 144:6 146:3 continuation 20:22 **continue** 21:22 32:8 51:5, 20 52:14 66:23 105:3 149:14 **continued** 10:6 29:14 51:10 continuing 36:14 continuous 17:19 86:9 contracted 65:12 68:22 contractors 20:20 contradicted 113:23

contradictions 140:2 contradicts 30:4 **contrary** 29:18 64:13 contribute 95:19 contributions 55:8 contributor 20:15 control 26:21 28:24 70:24 94:18 118:24 controlled 42:12 controlling 87:21 **controls** 146:*15* convening 18:21 conversation 121:18 conversations 156:13 **convince** 77:17 **convinced** 71:23 72:4 convincing 71:25 cooperation 8:25 cooperative 17:18 **copied** 155:25 copies 11:24 12:12, *14*, *24* 108:*12* 114:*5* 153:3 **copv** 65:13 103:12 117:16 152:17, 21 **Cornell** 144:8 corner 132:7 133:16 **corporate** 110:*12* corporation 108:24 110:14 127:5 Corporations 85:22 **Corps** 28:7 133:10 134:11 135:3, 11 136:9, 20 137:13 138:5, *23*, *24* 140:*21* 141:4, 10 156:5 158:15, 18 **correct** 8:15 58:5 81:21 161:13 correcting 144:18 **corrective** 144:16, 17, correspondence 31:6 132:25 **cost** 53:3 62:23 70:10 83:15 99:11 cost-effective 19:11 costs 37:8 47:15 83:17 105:2 106:11

Deposition of Degislative Hearing
127:14, 15 140:17
Council 69:24 74:3
councilman 16:13
Counsel 4:8
Counsel's 6:1
count 128:14, 15
157:11
counties 69:13, 15, 16
102:11
counting 74:15
countries 105:6
114:10 country 23:20 53:16
countryside 114:22
counts 72:7
County 5:15, 19
13:11, 19, 23 14:1, 25
15:10 16:10, 14, 22
17:9, 13, 20 18:6
20:15 23:7, 9, 20
24:6, 11 25:4 26:16
27:15, 15, 20, 24 30:8,
13, 14, 19, 20, 24 31:1,
11 32:12, 15, 21, 23
33:1 34:21 35:4, 5,
17, 19 36:10, 21 37:1,
12, 15, 23 40:21 41:5
45:9 48:21 60:5
68:17 69:14 72:9
73:4 78:8 92:8 99:4
102:1, 2, 12 103:8
107:24 117:23 118:2,
7, 15, 17 121:1, 2
127:23, 25 132:7
133:1 142:19 148:13
150:7 161:2
couple 39:11 42:24
90:25 92:11, 19 97:6
103:13 128:23
103: <i>13</i> 128: <i>23</i> 148: <i>24</i> 149: <i>4</i> 156: <i>6</i>
course 10:21 15:10
17:20 48:12 96:24
108:18 128:17
courtesies 9:1
courtesy 52:17
cousins 103:2
Covanta 120:10
cover 10:15 58:9, 14
65:1
05.1

covered 28:10 42:8 130:7, 8 covering 125:5 cowardice 33:23 **CPA** 58:24 65:3 **CPAs** 57:25 58:10, 15 64:24 65:4 **CPA's** 58:21 59:1 **cracks** 136:2 **crap** 44:3 **crazy** 44:4, 15 136:8 **create** 53:11 64:1 70:16 122:14 created 12:6 45:22 119:22 creates 19:20 **creating** 23:21 70:23 123:5 creation 50:10 **credit** 143:19 Creek 2:3 12:3 39:5 41:11, 12 82:24 90:24 91:2 132:17, 19 152:9 creeks 75:8 **critical** 19:*13* 149:*20* **criticism** 149:*16* criticisms 149:23 crock 43:17 **crop** 35:14 **crude** 132:17 **cruel** 111:23 **cubic** 10:10 81:20, 24 88:5, 8, 12, 13 97:23 135:16 151:6 **cue** 160:6 **culture** 114:18 cumulative 120:8 125:11, 17 144:10 159:18 **Cuomo** 6:7 33:21 34:6 40:24 107:16 108:2 125:19 cure 34:1 curie 135:22, 22, 24 **curies** 135:25 **current** 22:12 24:5 51:2 58:11 65:18

68:24 88:11

currently 5:17 16:10 35:3 45:16 55:2 76:16 81:10 116:10 120:25 **custom** 50:8 **cutoff** 44:12 cutting 21:5 **CWA** 1:8 **CWM** 1:8, 22 3:4 5:12, 18 10:3, 16, 18 11:11, 17 13:20 15:6 19:2 20:8, 14 21:7, 8, 22 24:8, 15, 19, 24 25:16, 23 27:4 28:2, 7, 11, 12, 22 29:15 30:5, 6 31:1 32:18 33:4 36:6 38:16 40:9 41:19 42:23, 23 45:5 46:14 47:11, 14, 20 48:6, 19 49:6, 14 54:6 55:2 56:12, 16 57:1, 4, 22 58:18 59:8, 22 60:2, 8, 12, 15 64:9, 13 65:8, 12, 19, 20, 25 66:1, 12 67:13 68:9 70:15 71:6, 11, 13 72:16 73:6, 23 74:2, 24 75:1, 7 76:6, 18 77:16, 17 78:14 79:4 80:4 81:6 82:14 85:19 86:10, 21, 24 92:20 93:7, 12, 20 94:3 96:10 97:8 98:15, 16, 21 100:6, 7 101:13 102:5 103:22, 25 104:7, 23 105:22 111:25 112:18, 25 113:18, 23, 24, 25 114:3, 9 115:5, 15, 20 116:5 120:11 121:20 124:15, 22 125:6, 24 127:7, 8, 18 128:8, 11, *18*, *25* 129:9, *25* 132:6, 11, 17, 18, 18 134:*3*, *16* 136:*17* 139:2*1* 140:18, 23 141:25 142:7 144:13, *15* 145:5, 5 146:*11* 147:8 150:15 151:20

154:7 155:13, 24 157:22, 25 158:19 159:1 CWMRMU2@gw.dec.s tate.ny.us 9:10 CWM's 6:9, 23 12:13, 15 19:6, 10, 25 20:6, 22 21:2, 14 22:20 27:5, 6, 8 48:2 49:3 55:17 61:4 64:22 65:25 66:14, 15, 22 68:3 70:5 77:10 81:10, 23 101:24 113:3, 7 130:16 133:11 136:16 142:12, 15 147:6 149:18 153:5 156:7 < D > **D'AMATO** 3:9 6:13, 19 127:20 154:22 155:8 damn 38:24

Dan 145:6 danger 14:13 15:16 dangerous 19:21 97:12, 20 125:3 136:18 140:18 **DANIEL** 2:1 3:2 5:20 18:8 23:6 dare 61:21 dark 133:16 DARRAGH 3:2 **DARRELL** 3:18 6:16 data 28:6 32:11 58:4 63:12 66:1, 8, *12* 69:5 138:23 146:6 147:15, 22 148:5 152:6 159:12 date 26:13 **daughter** 102:21 **Dave** 9:19 **David** 9:25 **day** 14:16 39:21 43:4 55:24 70:22 76:9 83:8 100:5 161:16 days 17:5 39:10

42:3 90:25 149:25

Deposition of Legislative Hearing
151:16, 19, 20, 22
151:10, 19, 20, 22
deadline 18:2 79:15
deadly 116:3
deal 45:19, 23, 24
46:8, 23 47:1 53:19
88:16 89:10 92:16
dealing 54:25 55:12
128:2
dealt 95:4
Dear 66:20
death 136:9
debated 100:18
DEC 1:8 6:19 8:7
10:24 11:6 12:3, 4
20:4 22:19 39:7, 12
41:2 43:15 49:11
56:8 63:6 67:18
69:4, 8 76:11 77:2
78: <i>13</i> 79: <i>15</i> , 20 81:9.
16 86:21 87:25
92:22 109:18 116:24
125:4 126:8, 11
127:6, 16 130:14
132:25 137:23
150:17 151:18
153:16
decades 33:19 97:9,
24 157:15
December 134:21
159:9
decide 6:9 141:22
decided 62:3 107:22
120:8 127:6 154:20
decision 12:23 22:24
33:22 34:3 54:8
61:10 82:23 105:20
119:12, 12, 14 120:13
122:4 123:14, 20
139: <i>18</i> 153: <i>13</i>
decisions 101:13
119:8 126: <i>3</i> 144: <i>1</i>
deck 53:24
declared 142:11
declined 135:5
decreases 84:11
DEC's 12:15, 22
130:20
deemed 76:21

deep 106:19 **deeply** 79:7 **defeated** 127:24 **defend** 106:11 110:3 **defense** 106:6 129:20 **deficit** 122:14 123:5 **defined** 116:25 definition 82:7 113:20 **defying** 146:17 **Degree** 94:6 **DEIS** 11:18, 21, 25 12:13 158:21 **delay** 148:1 **delegate** 154:25 delivered 114:4 **demand** 22:13 **demands** 27:10 demerits 33:12 demonstrate 65:8 demonstrated 19:25 21:4, 8 **denied** 60:3 **Denk** 9:19, 20, 23, 25 **Dennis** 94:9 100:*1* **densely** 146:*3* **density** 133:15 157:11 deny 22:20 38:1 **denying** 27:5 53:13 **depart** 71:19 **DEPARTMENT** 1:2 3:11, 13, 15, 16, 18 5:6, 20, 25 6:14, 15, 16 7:1 9:8, 16, 19 10:2 11:15 15:11 17:23 19:*1* 21:*15* 23:24 25:17, 17, 23 26:10, 15 27:4, 10, 24, 24 29:11 30:8, 13, 21 34:15 38:10 40:22 58:7, 13 61:3 64:11 66:25 72:10 73:14 75:19, 25 76:2 86:14 87:23 89:20 97:1 101:3, 6, 7, 11, 14, 16, 20 105:21 108:14 116:24 117:14 118:21 119:3 129:12

133:2, 2 134:9 136:12 137:4, 8 138:7, 16 139:3, 20 140:3, 4, 9 141:6, 8 143:1, 5 144:1, 22 145:16, 24 146:18 147:*16* 148:2, *14* 149:16, 25 153:8, 23 154:4, 14 155:20 156:14 158:11, 25 **Departments** 75:17 **Department's** 7:10 29:20 135:10 136:13 **depend** 71:4 106:8 dependent 109:25 depending 99:9 125:13 159:16 **depends** 22:24 depicting 28:1 **deposit** 51:14 deposited 67:16 139:*13* **deposits** 28:5 50:8 **depth** 29:7 **deputy** 143:11 **DER** 126:8 134:12 **derived** 27:17 **describe** 111:*11* 148:14 description 135:14 **design** 10:9, 10 designated 19:4 37:14 **designed** 10:12 62:13 **Designee** 3:11, 13, 16, 18 6:19 desirable 87:22 **desire** 147:25 **despite** 84:21 100:14 111:20 149:22 destination 115:10 **DESTINO** 21:24, 25 22:1, 2, 4, 4 destroyed 77:8 destroying 142:18 destructive 49:25 **detail** 13:1 42:1 56:4, 7 79:2 124:23

131:17 132:6 146:22

detailed 27:11 31:5, 9 **detected** 140:*14* detection 24:23 140:13, 16 158:1 **detections** 26:8 137:9, 20, 24 determination 11:3, 10 29:8 **determine** 29:13 95:3 determined 10:24 11:7 23:25 29:17 77:14 **detriment** 73:7 74:14 detrimental 37:24 devastate 40:11 devastation 40:10 **develop** 32:8 78:21 developed 46:6 62:11 88:19 **Developers** 63:2 developing 78:14 116:14 **Development** 3:13 18:16, 20 19:18 67:1 69:24 85:12, 22 117:3 159:23 developments 37:13 **devil** 92:17 **devoid** 143:15 **devoted** 94:19 diagnosed 61:2 **dial** 135:5 **dialogue** 18:*11* 154:12 diaper 15:6 **dictate** 26:21 **died** 103:2, 3, 6 **DIERDRE** 3:16 67:1 difference 109:7 138:9 **differences** 59:10, 13, **different** 16:13 17:7, 10 39:5, 8 41:13 68:15, 24 70:13 95:20 141:14 **difficult** 127:11 diffuse 26:9 digest 38:9

130:7, 22 131:23, 25

digging 45:25 46:17 diligent 26:22 diligently 26:5 Diocese 74:7 dioxides 53:5 direct 85:12 86:22 directed 52:10 direction 28:1, 16 56:11 132:11 directions 30:4 directly 25:5 35:23 41:14 48:1 79:8 80:8 83:21 143:24 Director 18:13 23:7 31:6 96:19 Directors 143:24 dirt 41:15 113:18 disabled 94:12 disagree 43:14 disagreed 136:13 disagreement 157:25 disappeared 146:9 disaster 76:24 105:10 disasters 25:5 Discharge 1:6 36:5 100:7 discharges 60:8 132:18 157:17, 24 Disciples 74:9 disclosed 80:15 discount 86:21 128:9 discounts 128:16 discover 42:11 discovered 63:3
diligent 26:22 diligently 26:5 Diocese 74:7 dioxides 53:5 direct 85:12 86:22 directed 52:10 direction 28:1, 16 56:11 132:11 directions 30:4 directly 25:5 35:23 41:14 48:1 79:8 80:8 83:21 143:24 Director 18:13 23:7 31:6 96:19 Directors 143:24 dirt 41:15 113:18 disabled 94:12 disagree 43:14 disagreed 136:13 disagreement 157:25 disappeared 146:9 disaster 76:24 105:10 disasters 25:5 Discharge 1:6 36:5 100:7 discharges 60:8 132:18 157:17, 24 Disciples 74:9 disclosed 80:15 discount 86:21 128:9 discounts 128:16 discovered 63:3
diligently 26:5 Diocese 74:7 dioxides 53:5 direct 85:12 86:22 directed 52:10 direction 28:1, 16 56:11 132:11 directions 30:4 directly 25:5 35:23 41:14 48:1 79:8 80:8 83:21 143:24 Director 18:13 23:7 31:6 96:19 Directors 143:24 dirt 41:15 113:18 disabled 94:12 disagree 43:14 disagreed 136:13 disagreement 157:25 disappear 53:14 disappeared 146:9 disaster 76:24 105:10 disasters 25:5 Discharge 1:6 36:5 100:7 discharges 60:8 132:18 157:17, 24 Disciples 74:9 disclosure 45:7 disconnected 111:1 discount 86:21 128:9 discounts 128:16 discover 42:11 discovered 63:3
Diocese 74:7 dioxides 53:5 direct 85:12 86:22 directed 52:10 direction 28:1, 16 56:11 132:11 directions 30:4 directly 25:5 35:23 41:14 48:1 79:8 80:8 83:21 143:24 Director 18:13 23:7 31:6 96:19 Directors 143:24 dirt 41:15 113:18 disabled 94:12 disagree 43:14 disagreed 136:13 disagreement 157:25 disappeared 146:9 disaster 76:24 105:10 disasters 25:5 Discharge 1:6 36:5 100:7 discharges 60:8 132:18 157:17, 24 Disciples 74:9 disclosure 45:7 disconnected 111:1 discount 86:21 128:9 discovered 63:3
dioxides 53:5 direct 85:12 86:22 directed 52:10 direction 28:1, 16 56:11 132:11 directions 30:4 directly 25:5 35:23 41:14 48:1 79:8 80:8 83:21 143:24 Director 18:13 23:7 31:6 96:19 Directors 143:24 dirt 41:15 113:18 disabled 94:12 disagree 43:14 disagreed 136:13 disagreement 157:25 disappear 53:14 disappeared 146:9 disaster 76:24 105:10 disasters 25:5 Discharge 1:6 36:5 100:7 discharges 60:8 132:18 157:17, 24 Disciples 74:9 disclosure 45:7 disconnected 111:1 discount 86:21 128:9 discovered 63:3
direct 85:12 86:22 directed 52:10 direction 28:1, 16 56:11 132:11 directions 30:4 directly 25:5 35:23 41:14 48:1 79:8 80:8 83:21 143:24 Director 18:13 23:7 31:6 96:19 Directors 143:24 dirt 41:15 113:18 disabled 94:12 disagree 43:14 disagreement 157:25 disappear 53:14 disappeared 146:9 disaster 76:24 105:10 disasters 25:5 Discharge 1:6 36:5 100:7 discharges 60:8 132:18 157:17, 24 Disciples 74:9 disclosure 45:7 disconnected 111:1 discount 86:21 128:9 discovered 63:3
directed 52:10 direction 28:1, 16 56:11 132:11 directions 30:4 directly 25:5 35:23 41:14 48:1 79:8 80:8 83:21 143:24 Director 18:13 23:7 31:6 96:19 Directors 143:24 dirt 41:15 113:18 disabled 94:12 disagree 43:14 disagreed 136:13 disagreement 157:25 disappeared 146:9 disaster 76:24 105:10 disasters 25:5 Discharge 1:6 36:5 100:7 discharges 60:8 132:18 157:17, 24 Disciples 74:9 disclosed 80:15 discount 86:21 128:9 discounts 128:16 discovered 63:3
direction 28:1, 16 56:11 132:11 directions 30:4 directly 25:5 35:23 41:14 48:1 79:8 80:8 83:21 143:24 Director 18:13 23:7 31:6 96:19 Directors 143:24 dirt 41:15 113:18 disabled 94:12 disagree 43:14 disagreed 136:13 disagreement 157:25 disappeared 146:9 disaster 76:24 105:10 disasters 25:5 Discharge 1:6 36:5 100:7 discharges 60:8 132:18 157:17, 24 Disciples 74:9 disclosure 45:7 disconnected 111:1 discount 86:21 128:9 discovered 63:3
directions 30:4 directly 25:5 35:23 41:14 48:1 79:8 80:8 83:21 143:24 Director 18:13 23:7 31:6 96:19 Directors 143:24 dirt 41:15 113:18 disabled 94:12 disagree 43:14 disagreement 157:25 disappear 53:14 disappeared 146:9 disaster 76:24 105:10 disasters 25:5 Discharge 1:6 36:5 100:7 discharges 60:8 132:18 157:17, 24 Disciples 74:9 disclosed 80:15 discount 86:21 128:9 discounts 128:16 discovered 63:3
directions 30:4 directly 25:5 35:23 41:14 48:1 79:8 80:8 83:21 143:24 Director 18:13 23:7 31:6 96:19 Directors 143:24 dirt 41:15 113:18 disabled 94:12 disagree 43:14 disagreement 157:25 disappear 53:14 disappeared 146:9 disaster 76:24 105:10 disasters 25:5 Discharge 1:6 36:5 100:7 discharges 60:8 132:18 157:17, 24 Disciples 74:9 disclosed 80:15 discount 86:21 128:9 discounts 128:16 discovered 63:3
directly 25:5 35:23 41:14 48:1 79:8 80:8 83:21 143:24 Director 18:13 23:7 31:6 96:19 Directors 143:24 dirt 41:15 113:18 disabled 94:12 disagree 43:14 disagreed 136:13 disagreement 157:25 disappeared 146:9 disaster 76:24 105:10 disasters 25:5 Discharge 1:6 36:5 100:7 discharges 60:8 132:18 157:17, 24 Disciples 74:9 disclosed 80:15 discount 86:21 128:9 discounts 128:16 discovered 63:3
41:14 48:1 79:8 80:8 83:21 143:24 Director 18:13 23:7 31:6 96:19 Directors 143:24 dirt 41:15 113:18 disabled 94:12 disagree 43:14 disagreement 157:25 disappear 53:14 disappeared 146:9 disaster 76:24 105:10 disasters 25:5 Discharge 1:6 36:5 100:7 discharges 60:8 132:18 157:17, 24 Disciples 74:9 disclosed 80:15 discount 86:21 128:9 discounts 128:16 discovered 63:3
80:8 83:21 143:24 Director 18:13 23:7 31:6 96:19 Directors 143:24 dirt 41:15 113:18 disabled 94:12 disagree 43:14 disagreement 157:25 disappear 53:14 disappeared 146:9 disaster 76:24 105:10 disasters 25:5 Discharge 1:6 36:5 100:7 discharges 60:8 132:18 157:17, 24 Disciples 74:9 disclosed 80:15 discount 86:21 128:9 discounts 128:16 discovered 63:3
Director 18:13 23:7 31:6 96:19 Directors 143:24 dirt 41:15 113:18 disabled 94:12 disagree 43:14 disagreed 136:13 disagreement 157:25 disappear 53:14 disappeared 146:9 disaster 76:24 105:10 disasters 25:5 Discharge 1:6 36:5 100:7 discharges 60:8 132:18 157:17, 24 Disciples 74:9 disclosed 80:15 disclosure 45:7 disconnected 111:1 discount 86:21 128:9 discovered 63:3
31:6 96:19 Directors 143:24 dirt 41:15 113:18 disabled 94:12 disagree 43:14 disagreed 136:13 disagreement 157:25 disappear 53:14 disappeared 146:9 disaster 76:24 105:10 disasters 25:5 Discharge 1:6 36:5 100:7 discharges 60:8 132:18 157:17, 24 Disciples 74:9 disclosed 80:15 disclosure 45:7 disconnected 111:1 discount 86:21 128:9 discounts 128:16 discovered 63:3
Directors 143:24 dirt 41:15 113:18 disabled 94:12 disagree 43:14 disagreed 136:13 disagreement 157:25 disappear 53:14 disappeared 146:9 disaster 76:24 105:10 disasters 25:5 Discharge 1:6 36:5 100:7 discharges 60:8 132:18 157:17, 24 Disciples 74:9 disclosed 80:15 disclosure 45:7 disconnected 111:1 discount 86:21 128:9 discover 42:11 discovered 63:3
dirt 41:15 113:18 disabled 94:12 disagree 43:14 disagreed 136:13 disagreement 157:25 disappear 53:14 disappeared 146:9 disaster 76:24 105:10 disasters 25:5 Discharge 1:6 36:5 100:7 discharges 60:8 132:18 157:17, 24 Disciples 74:9 disclosed 80:15 disclosure 45:7 disconnected 111:1 discount 86:21 128:9 discover 42:11 discovered 63:3
disabled 94:12 disagree 43:14 disagreed 136:13 disagreement 157:25 disappear 53:14 disappeared 146:9 disaster 76:24 105:10 disasters 25:5 Discharge 1:6 36:5 100:7 discharges 60:8 132:18 157:17, 24 Disciples 74:9 disclosed 80:15 disclosure 45:7 discount 86:21 128:9 discounts 128:16 discover 42:11 discovered 63:3
disagree 43:14 disagreed 136:13 disagreement 157:25 disappear 53:14 disappeared 146:9 disaster 76:24 105:10 disasters 25:5 Discharge 1:6 36:5 100:7 discharges 60:8 132:18 157:17, 24 Disciples 74:9 disclosed 80:15 disclosure 45:7 disconnected 111:1 discount 86:21 128:9 discounts 128:16 discovered 63:3
disagreed 136:13 disagreement 157:25 disappear 53:14 disappeared 146:9 disaster 76:24 105:10 disasters 25:5 Discharge 1:6 36:5 100:7 discharges 60:8 132:18 157:17, 24 Disciples 74:9 disclosed 80:15 disclosure 45:7 disconnected 111:1 discount 86:21 128:9 discounts 128:16 discover 42:11 discovered 63:3
disagreement 157:25 disappear 53:14 disappeared 146:9 disaster 76:24 105:10 disasters 25:5 Discharge 1:6 36:5 100:7 discharges 60:8 132:18 157:17, 24 Disciples 74:9 disclosed 80:15 disclosure 45:7 disconnected 111:1 discount 86:21 128:9 discounts 128:16 discover 42:11 discovered 63:3
disappear 53:14 disappeared 146:9 disaster 76:24 105:10 disasters 25:5 Discharge 1:6 36:5 100:7 discharges 60:8 132:18 157:17, 24 Disciples 74:9 disclosed 80:15 disclosure 45:7 disconnected 111:1 discount 86:21 128:9 discounts 128:16 discover 42:11 discovered 63:3
disappeared 146:9 disaster 76:24 105:10 disasters 25:5 Discharge 1:6 36:5 100:7 discharges 60:8 132:18 157:17, 24 Disciples 74:9 disclosed 80:15 disclosure 45:7 disconnected 111:1 discount 86:21 128:9 discounts 128:16 discover 42:11 discovered 63:3
disaster 76:24 105:10 disasters 25:5 Discharge 1:6 36:5 100:7 discharges 60:8 132:18 157:17, 24 Disciples 74:9 disclosed 80:15 disclosure 45:7 disconnected 111:1 discount 86:21 128:9 discounts 128:16 discover 42:11 discovered 63:3
disasters 25:5 Discharge 1:6 36:5 100:7 discharges 60:8 132:18 157:17, 24 Disciples 74:9 disclosed 80:15 disclosure 45:7 disconnected 111:1 discount 86:21 128:9 discounts 128:16 discover 42:11 discovered 63:3
Discharge 1:6 36:5 100:7 discharges 60:8 132:18 157:17, 24 Disciples 74:9 disclosed 80:15 disclosure 45:7 disconnected 111:1 discount 86:21 128:9 discounts 128:16 discover 42:11 discovered 63:3
100:7 discharges 60:8 132:18 157:17, 24 Disciples 74:9 disclosed 80:15 disclosure 45:7 disconnected 111:1 discount 86:21 128:9 discounts 128:16 discover 42:11 discovered 63:3
discharges 60:8 132:18 157:17, 24 Disciples 74:9 disclosed 80:15 disclosure 45:7 disconnected 111:1 discount 86:21 128:9 discounts 128:16 discover 42:11 discovered 63:3
132:18 157:17, 24 Disciples 74:9 disclosed 80:15 disclosure 45:7 disconnected 111:1 discount 86:21 128:9 discounts 128:16 discover 42:11 discovered 63:3
Disciples 74:9 disclosed 80:15 disclosure 45:7 disconnected 111:1 discount 86:21 128:9 discounts 128:16 discover 42:11 discovered 63:3
disclosed 80:15 disclosure 45:7 disconnected 111:1 discount 86:21 128:9 discounts 128:16 discover 42:11 discovered 63:3
disclosure 45:7 disconnected 111:1 discount 86:21 128:9 discounts 128:16 discover 42:11 discovered 63:3
disconnected 111:1 discount 86:21 128:9 discounts 128:16 discover 42:11 discovered 63:3
discount 86:21 128:9 discounts 128:16 discover 42:11 discovered 63:3
discounts 128:16 discover 42:11 discovered 63:3
discover 42:11 discovered 63:3
discovered 63:3
11
discredit 138:17
139:4
discrimination 116:23
discuss 59:24 107:16
126:20 134:9, 23
discussed 143:2
discussion 99:22
126:20
diseases 61:18
dismiss 24:9
dispatched 157:20
dispatched 157:20 disposal 10:6 20:1 24:1 25:5 31:17

donations 43:6, 7
93:11
DOT 40:22
double 10:12 52:1
76:22 124:10 130:11
131:3
doubt 17:4
downward 33:5
Dr 30: <i>12</i> 147: <i>3</i>
draft 7:1 11:5, 12, 17,
21, 24 12:14 78:15
156:16
draw 122:3
drawing 122:1
dream 53:3 98:15
dried 157:13
drink 111:4
drinking 27:17, 21
60:21 98:12 133:7
drive 80:11 83:8
86:2, 2 112:10
115:21
driving 105:8 114:23
drop 27:6 151:1
dropped 133:23
dropping 84:8
drum 75:12
drums 75:13, 15
due 27:22 35:9
75:24 132:8
Duling 94:9 99:23, 25 100:1
dump 32:19, 22 33:1,
8 83:9 85:9 103:8
104:25 105:4 107:4,
9 110:19, 21 116:3
150: <i>12</i>
D-U-M-P 77:6
dumped 44:3 60:13,
15, 19, 23 108:12
115:8
dumping 13:23
22:15 40:3 46:1, 17
68:8 79:9 99:2
115: <i>11</i> 158: <i>4</i>
dumps 85:9 124:12
126: <i>1</i>
dumpster 15:13
duped 64:12

```
dust 157:11
duties 30:22
duty 72:20 74:1
DVD 103:12
dying 144:8
Dylan 83:18
< E >
earlier 43:21
early 17:5 107:9
earnings 66:18
146:12
ears 112:1
earth 53:4 122:19, 20,
21, 22 131:13
easier 153:21, 22, 25
East 24:8 28:13
64:18
east-west 28:9
easy 50:10 86:1
eat 62:2
ECL 1:5
ecology 109:24
Economic 3:13 18:16
19:18 21:19 23:11
37:8, 13 47:7 48:13,
17 57:22 58:17
59:19, 25 61:25 64:2,
22 65:19 66:10, 25
69:24, 25 82:18
83:21 85:21 98:14
101:5 110:8 116:21
117:7 119:2, 5
121:10 124:13
159:22
economical 20:11
22:24
economically 73:7
economics 32:7
economist 83:23
economy 19:20 35:24
73:4 85:18
ecosystem 68:10
Ed 112:7, 9
edge 21:5
Editor 112:24 113:2,
6, 9, 14, 20 114:8
educate 100:25
education 22:8 93:18
```

educational 84:13
116:2 <i>1</i>
educators 95:21
effect 33:10 83:24
84:4 100:16 101:1
effected 78:18
effective 30:1 99:11
effectively 29:4
effects 82:18 83:21 86:12
efficiencies 20:11
effort 17:19 113:11
I I
efforts 21:7 32:7
85:2, 21 86:21 98:18
eight 38:23 75:6
76:16 83:8 96:21
97:7 99:17 102:25
103:4 115:1 117:24
130:17
eighty 128:22
eighty-eight 145:21
Eileen 116:7 120:17
either 42:4
elected 9:24 13:19
16:15 22:7 73:6
110:3
electricity 53:10
128:15
Electronic 12:12, 14
13:2 153:3
elements 121:6, 25
elevated 26:8, 17
27:2 132:7
eleven 88:7 142:24
eligibility 95:3
eliminate 116:22
Elimination 1:6
23:19
else's 51:21
e-mail 9:4, 10 155:25
eminent 50:5
emissions 105:5
emitter 140:15 141:5
emitting 140: <i>13</i>
emphasize 25:12
48:24
emphasizes 21:7
employ 87:19 122:8,
18
I I

employed 86:2 90:14 **employee** 141:25 employees 18:18 21:4, 9 43:3 57:2 60:4 96:12 128:14 employer 43:2 employment 20:16 empowerment 119:7 encourage 21:21 **endeavor** 150:*1* ended 38:19, 21 ends 38:7 104:24 **energy** 50:2 137:4, 8 141:9 enforcement 117:4 engaged 58:22 engagement 65:14 engineer 54:16 57:2 94:14, 17 113:15 130:15 136:16 engineering 54:15 148:9 Engineers 28:8 45:11 79:4 80:9 81:21 88:17 90:15 113:10 133:10 135:3, *11* 145:*3* **enhance** 118:25 123:15, 20 **enhancing** 119:*18* **enjoy** 114:19 **enormous** 126:*14* 128:*1* 150:20, 20 155:7 **Enron** 66:17 **ensure** 56:2, 7 97:2 116:20 **ensuring** 19:*11* enterprises 85:21 **entire** 94:19 entirely 50:21 143:21 entrance 8:4 environment 32:5 36:17 55:9 56:18 57:3, 5 60:12 74:2 77:3 96:20 110:13. 20 116:14 118:23 122:2 **ENVIRONMENTAL**

8, 21, 25 6:7, 10, 14, 24 7:1, 10 9:8 10:2 11:14, 17 17:15 18:5 19:1, 15 20:11 21:16 23:14, 25 25:18 37:6 53:12 55:6, 19 63:3 64:11 67:11 68:6, 13, 14, 15, 19 69:18 72:13, 15 73:14 74:8, 9, 11, 18 76:24 78:2, *15* 82:4, 8, 8 84:*12* 86:16, 19 87:13, 23 89:20 98:20 100:23 101:4, 6, 8, 11, 14, 15, *16*, *20*, *22*, *23* 105:*10*, 22 108:14 110:8, 23 116:15, 24, 25 117:4, 9, 15 118:21 119:3, 5, *6*, *8* 123:*10* 129:*13* 131:23 133:2 134:9 136:12 138:7, 16 139:3, 20 140:3, 5, 9 141:7 143:1, 6 144:2, 22 145:16, 25 146:18 147:7, 17 148:2, 15 149:17 150:1 153:9. *23* 154:5, *14* 155:2*1* 156:*14*, *16* 158:25 environmentalist 124:17 environmentally 56:17, 21 99:12 **EPA** 60:10 67:18 69:4 75:9 79:20 97:16 120:2 139:11 143:7, 11, 15 150:11, 15, 19 Epicenter 133:8 epidemiologist 130:23 Episcopal 74:7 **equality** 116:21 equally 7:3 equipment 45:12 140:13, 16 equitable 82:4 equitably 43:16 equivalent 76:19 **era** 110:*19* 136:2 139:*13*

Erie 68:*16* 69:*14* 102:10 133:16 142:19 161:2 erosion 28:4 **error** 131:*11* **errors** 131:19 148:19 **Escarpment** 35:10, 11 50:18 especially 21:10 37:13 61:18, 22 114:19 **ESQ** 3:2 established 59:3, 18 82:22 99:6 118:18 estate 60:6 **estimated** 59:11, 13 88:12 117:21 118:11 142:23 **estimates** 59:7 65:18 66:3 et 69:8 **ethic** 123:8, 13 **ethnic** 117:7 **euphemism** 144:*17* evaluate 44:10 evaluated 30:1 evaluating 44:19 evaluation 11:21 evening 9:6 **event** 41:8 events 37:17 59:11 95:20 114:20 eventually 75:10 76:18 153:16 **Everest** 153:24 everybody 42:20 52:4, 5 105:14 158:11 everybody's 14:19 **evidence** 22:11 30:3 72:3 80:18, 18, 23 111:21 128:24 **exact** 136:17 138:6 exactly 57:20 59:12 146:24 **example** 31:7 100:19 113:9, 17 140:4 examples 114:7 137:1 156:11 **ex-banker** 128:5

1:2, 4, 19 3:8, 11 5:6,

Deposition of Legislative Hearing
excavation 24:20 25:15, 18, 22 26:1, 5, 7, 19, 24 27:10, 14 140:6
11
Excavations 25:13, 20
26:12, 14, 23 27:6
29:23 31:8
exceeds 22:12
excellent 83:12
exception 100:11
exception 100.77 excess 80:1
Exchange 146:16
excuse 27:19
execution 19: <i>11</i>
117: <i>10</i>
Executive 62:7 96:19
137:23
exemptions 126:12, 15
exist 31:5 82:16
84:14
existed 112:13
existence 112:15, 20
existing 10:5, 20, 22
19:6 20:22 24:21
29:3 32:10 51:3
56:22 69:5 135:19
136:1
exists 33:3 51:2
63:22
expand 38:1 56:22
68:9 76:23 98:23
101:24 109:16 139:6
expanded 31:25 70:8
98:25 117:19
expanding 48:2
50:22 69:19 98:16
125:8
expansion 13:20
17:9, 11 22:9, 17, 21
28:15 30:25 31:19
33:10 34:16 35:1
40:9 47:20, 21 49:13
51:1 66:7 67:13
70:1 76:25 80:7 81:14 86:9 91:16
97:8 99:19 100:8
109:7 115:15 116:2,
5 120:12 121:20
122:6, 11, 25 147:8
expansions 32:13
<u> </u>

expect 52:1 105:21 110:3 140:10 expectation 29:20 **expected** 75:22 86:18 114:2 130:12 131:14 132:20 139:17 expecting 44:17 expedient 34:1 **expense** 80:20 127:17 **expensive** 126:*11* 140:17, 19 experience 54:21 55:5 83:11 95:16 100:20 143:17 experienced 37:12 **experiences** 94:15, 22 **expert** 17:15 100:22 105:13 142:12 **expertise** 142:*14* **experts** 100:13 **explain** 108:*1* explained 94:24 138:5 **explains** 12:25 13:1 explanation 137:16 138:13 143:5 explosion 147:3 explosions 89:4 **expose** 115:25 **exposed** 75:15 95:1 103:2*1* exposing 97:19 **exposure** 26:2, 4 86:19 **express** 59:9 65:22 112:2*1* **extend** 9:1 52:17 97:2 103:24 **extended** 12:19 **Extension** 7:9 38:6 78:12, 23, 24 82:24 92:20 144:8 159:9 **extensive** 48:17 97:4 126:20 **extent** 58:24 extraordinarily 29:6 extraordinary 80:20 81:22 extrapolate 94:25

extravagant 85:16

extremely 27:15 155:7, 8 < F > **face** 77:23 141:13 **faced** 131:5 **Facilities** 1:5, 20 25:14 31:1, 17, 20, 25 43:16 45:16 46:2 55:4 56:13 81:7 84:2 89:23 117:18 126:*13* **FACILITY** 1:15 3:4 4:8 5:7 6:8 7:23 10:5, 25 13:7 19:3, 7, 10, 23 20:7, 25 21:2, 8, 20 23:23 29:12, 15, 19 31:12, 23 32:1 34:4 35:1 36:6, 16 37:24 38:2 43:22 44:8 47:7 55:17, 18, 22 56:3, 12, 16, 19, 20, 22, 24 57:7 63:5, 10 65:10 73:16 75:20 79:8, 13 81:4, 18 87:2, 16, 17 89:7 90:9 96:25 97:14 98:16, 23 100:6 107:1 109:8, 10 113:18 117:14 118:4 125:3, 7 127:8 129:2, 18, 19 130:25 131:1 **facility's** 21:10 88:3 fact 12:24, 25 16:25 28:2 32:22 43:8 65:16 70:4 71:10 80:5, 18 86:22 87:23 93:16 95:25 99:2 104:8 151:3 155:24 156:10 **factor** 19:19 **factors** 55:19 66:10 101:5 **Factory** 45:17 **facts** 65:7 71:23 78:11 93:5 113:8 **factual** 131:11, 19 155:19 **faculty** 78:1

failed 120:5 **failure** 78:24 **fair** 68:4 72:16 117:1, 6 **Faith** 78:9 90:1 109:22 149:22 **fake** 66:18 **fall** 70:22 92:24 **Falls** 15:2 22:5, 5, 16 36:22 49:18 50:3 54:12 56:14 86:25 95:22 116:10, 11, 16 117:23 118:10, 13, 16 120:7 130:3 133:10, *25* 134:2, *7*, *14* 135:14 136:15 139:10 154:8 **falsely** 66:17 146:12 falsified 152:7 **familiar** 55:9 86:7 113:12 **families** 16:2 84:10 100:20 104:13, 18 family 14:25 15:24 35:2 54:12, 19 73:10 95:21 103:5 family's 56:2 far 8:4 23:21 28:24 42:6 47:7 95:19 98:15, 15 104:8 126:10 152:16 **Farm** 34:22, 22, 23 35:3 36:1 78:8 103:20 110:24 farmers 35:24 37:20, 25 50:6 53:7 109:22 **farmland** 36:20 farms 35:8, 13, 18 36:3, 7 83:25 114:22 **faster** 145:12 fatality 76:6 father-in-law 102:22 **fault** 66:11 **favor** 45:5 50:21 71:22 **favorites** 133:*19* fax 151:12, 14 **fear** 42:2 55:21, 25 75:5, 10

Federal 1:8 31:15	financial 58:2 59:25	61:10	forth 17:3 42:8
49:23 56:4 62:7	70:17 90:17 106:14	fixed 128:7	43:15 138:17 161:8
98:2 117:11 120:2	122:12, 13 123:2	fixing 146:22, 23, 23	Fortkort 103:15
129:20	124:21	147:1, 2	106:17, 17
feed 35:15	find 13:1 52:1 67:25	flapping 15:6	fortunate 15:15, 24
feeding 123:4	71:3 79:21 84:11	flawed 59:24	16:22 27:15 110:18
feel 14:15 49:12	89:9 116:3 128:23	fled 63:2	fortune 27:18
51:18 93:24	132:1 150:24 151:2	flew 141:9	forty 60:16, 19 77:7
feelings 55:20	154:18	Floor 3:3 9:9	88:8 98:12 137:13
feels 148:17	finding 26:11 31:22	Florida 55:1	forty-four 19:4 21:14
feet 51:9 81:20	98:23 153:25	flow 28:1 30:4	forty-seven 41:11
106:3 138:3 142:16,	findings 25:24 65:5	108:17 145:19	forty-three 10:9 51:1
24 145:5, 9, 22	117: <i>17</i>	flowing 28:9	60:20
fellow 92:8	finds 32:1 63:12	flunked 158:3	forward 8:16 30:3
felt 16:23	fine 152:12	focus 57:21	46:12
festival 102:4	finger 86:24	focuses 18:20	found 39:17 58:23
festivals 93:10 114:19	finish 61:12, 15	FOIL 132:25 134:19	69:6 93:3 119:25
field 100:23	131:18 140:1 156:18	folks 40:20 41:2, 22,	124:17 142:22 144:9
fifteen 76:7 102:10	finished 158:22	23 42:1	147:22 148:19
112:12 155:2 157:5	finite 121:13	follow 41:24 64:20	153:17 155:23 156:5
fifteenth 123:3	Fiodaro 152:19	82:22	157:17
fifth 149:11	fire 106:4 133:7	followed 9:18 13:10	four 10:10 14:24
fifty 35:3 47:25	151:19	88:25	15:25 35:3 70:5
48:7 75:14 84:3	Fireman's 94:17	following 7:12, 16	76:19 81:24 88:4, 12,
91:18 129:8 132:20	fires 75:11 89:4	12: <i>1</i>	13, 14 97:9, 22
152:13 156:5	firm 58:20, 25 65:3	football 50:21 144:25	132:17 142:22
fifty-eight 41:10	142:13	footprint 20:24 48:3	144:13 151:5, 7
137:12	firms 60:10	51:2 126:18	154:4
fifty-five 20:18 41:12	First 9:9, 16 13:20	Force 74:8 94:22	fourteen 145:9
47:14	34:14 65:8 71:21	127:25	four-ten 112:5
fight 17:14 75:3	88:3 93:4 99:12, 14	FORCUCCI 3:13	Fourth 114:15 123:4
104:12 105:24 122:1	103:23 121:5 128:21	6:15 132:14	149:11
fighting 61:9 107:8	130:25 137:4 142:21	foregoing 161:12	fracking 33:25 110:2
109:5	152:25 156:2 <i>1</i> 159:7	foreign 114:10	fraction 127:11
figure 33:15 148:5	firsthand 21:19 55:15	foreseeable 79:17	Francis 106:18
151:14 153:4, 12	fiscal 57:22 58:17	forever 22:16 77:8	fraud 72:13
figuring 158:4	64:1, 22 65:19 66:6,	81:15 83:10	frauds 66:17
filed 5:12 7:5	9	forge 24:10	Free 12:1, 8, 9 75:5
fill 8:2, 7 112:1	fish 60:23 62:2, 3	forget 119:21, 22, 23	frequent 132:24
118:9 136:3	129:24 130:1	120:12, 14	frequently 59:12
filled 28:5 91:1	fished 54:19	forgetting 120:7	79:24
113:19	fishing 61:24 62:1	148:16	fresh 27:17 72:25
filling 99:10	127:15	forgot 119:24 120:4	98:11
filth 41:15	fit 14:5 62:16	form 69:20 70:3	Freshwater 1:5 11:7,
final 10:15 12:23	five 14:24 61:1 95:5	formally 78:12	12 36:24
31:18 76:14	103: <i>1</i> 111: <i>15</i> 136:22	formed 28:3 107:12	Friday 50:21
finalize 21:16	140:5 149:10 151:11	136:23	friend 15:1 38:23
finally 17:12 18:4	159:22	former 21: <i>11</i>	friendly 40:17 99:12
74:25 89:1 108:20	five-minute 54:2	formerly 74:3	friends 49:11 54:19
		Fort 84:25 129:23	77:23

Gorge 100:4 **front** 8:12 9:12.13 **generated** 32:4 63:15, *17* 84:*3* 85:*5*, *5* 89:*7* **gosh** 158:8 14:11 82:25 17 92:20 93:21, 22, 22 99:20, 23 109:10, 11 **gotten** 140:7 **fruit** 34:20 35:4, 14, generating 99:13 20 105:*1* 124:10 126:4, 6 governing 30:20 Government 16:15, fruits 35:7 **generation** 63:9, 19 128:9 131:12 137:21 **fuel** 146:25 70:22, 23 91:18 146:18, 21 149:1, 5 *17* 18:*13* 22:*21* 102:25 103:1 150:12, 24 151:14 49:23 54:6 57:10, 17 **full** 26:24 27:11 31:9 45:7 110:22 generations 16:7 152:16, 22, 24 153:5, 59:18 74:10 75:3, 16 35:15 50:2 51:20 8 154:18, 21 157:2 78:4 105:17 106:9 127:24 138:2 156:24 161:13 53:2, 19 70:24 103:5 158:18 120:2 128:2 129:20 122:10 123:6 goal 64:1 119:4 Governor 6:7 22:18 **full-time** 125:9, 10 **fully** 24:15, 25 25:2 generators 79:23 144:15 33:21 34:5 40:24 58:11 64:25 80:10 **goals** 18:22 62:18 125:18 **function** 143:23 generous 96:7 **God** 141:*13* gradient 28:16 functional 95:24 gentleman 80:11 goes 56:9 82:23, 25 graduate 54:15 **Fund** 70:16 94:17 **gentlemen** 5:4 9:24 100:4 109:12 111:3 106:25 106:5 82:12 159:16 grains 35:21 **funding** 106:10 geography 32:24 going 8:21 15:6 grandchildren 42:19 **Funds** 69:7 128:9 geologist 95:8 39:7, 21 42:3, 4, 4, 13, 55:24 64:9 115:14 140:22 **geology** 138:8 147:19 *15* 45:*15* 46:*11*, *14* **grant** 78:24 112:3 47:9, 14, 17, 18 48:1, **further** 17:8 22:17 148:10 134:25 147:10, 11 30:1 56:23 70:9 **getting** 47:21 73:11 4, 10, 11, 11, 15 67:8 **granted** 80:2 111:20 82:1 86:8 97:2 93:19 111:9 139:15 68:7 69:10 70:2 144:20 71:3, 13, 18 72:4, 11 **granting** 25:10 62:15 Furthermore 20:21 153:22 154:1 59:10 **gravel** 28:8 50:14 **Gigliotti** 120:*19* 78:22 80:3, 6 83:5, **FUSRAP** 133:20 124:5 16 84:4 85:8, 16, 23, 146:2 **Gina** 120:19 124:5 24 89:11, 16 90:4 Great 36:23 56:9 **future** 16:6 31:6, 18 92:18, 24 93:17, 19, 61:24 62:12, 14 35:15 36:20 37:22 give 8:7 12:6 40:11 21, 21, 22, 25 94:5 45:18 50:24 53:19 77:19 100:9 101:2 72:24 83:17 97:19, 63:20 64:8 70:24 105:4, 6 150:19 98:22 100:9 101:2 25 98:1, 3, 5, 7, 14, 17, 77:10, 13 79:18 156:11 102:8, 23 109:6, 9 *19* 102:23 104:22, 24 123:7, 23 141:18 **given** 30:2 59:21 110:21 111:10, 16 124:11 132:22 66:8 71:11 73:20 130:21, 22, 23 140:14 140:15 148:22 < G > 79:5 80:5 94:22 142:3 144:19 146:21 158:12 **GAAP** 58:1 96:23 101:3 103:12 148:5 149:10, 22 greater 12:7, 25 26:3, gain 50:4 121:7 123:23 130:16 152:2 153:13 154:20, 21 64:5 98:15 **gallon** 75:15 134:20 137:23 21 157:3, 6, 19 160:3 greatest 72:24 **Golder** 142:12 greatly 52:9 53:1 gallons 60:9 138:16 151:21 **gamma** 140:11, 13 **gives** 93:7 105:18 **golf** 48:12 green 123:24 141:5 128:1 144:23 Good 5:4 9:23 greenhouse 144:6 **Garold** 34:8 40:14 **giving** 70:12 151:18 13:12 14:5 18:10 **grew** 15:1, 1 110:19 glacial 28:3 Gasport 121:2 23:4 27:18 30:11 121:*1*, *1* gatekeeper 101:9 GRIGSBY 3:2 **glacier** 145:11 32:5, 17 40:7, 14 **gates** 76:18 **glad** 40:17 71:21 43:21 45:3, 25 46:3 **gross** 68:5 127:11 Gazette 7:12 **go** 5:3 17:1 28:16 48:18 50:25 54:3 131:11 30:15 32:12 38:17 **ground** 22:15 27:2 gear 152:1 57:12 64:17 67:6 39:2, 23, 24 41:15 89:10 114:14 116:8 30:2 40:3 51:8 Gee 131:16 **general** 34:23 143:15 46:13, 13 47:5 48:1, 155:12 115:*11* 11 51:18 52:24 **Generally** 58:1 89:22 **goods** 37:21 **grounds** 13:24

142:14

79:10, 20 82:14 83:4,

groundwater 24:21, 23 27:20, 21, 25 28:19 30:4 110:1 133:4 137:2, 9 138:8, 24 142:10, 15 145:4, 10, 20 148:6 152:7 159:11 group 8:11 67:3, 10 73:5 90:19 94:8 103:14 104:12 112:7 116:6 117:6, 7 120:19 136:9 groups 68:20, 21 72:15 74:11 grow 18:22 34:18 35:6 110:18 growth 37:22 69:25 121:9 guess 73:18 127:7 137:23 guidance 81:4 guide 101:13 guidelines 120:2 guiding 33:15 guy 135:9 137:13 144:6 157:21
guys 44:15, 18 91:4 < H > half 38:16 51:2, 6, 8 90:4 95:21 104:6 114:9 142:22 143:13 146:12 half-true 85:18 Hall 12:2 151:20 152:22 153:1 hallway 8:3 9:13 Hamilton 116:7, 8, 9 hand 9:5 71:18 160:5 handful 50:5 122:12 handled 134:12, 15 handling 21:6 57:7 handout 13:3 hands 77:10, 13 happen 39:10 42:15 75:6 76:25 78:23 79:20 85:24 93:19

happened 14:6, 24 38:24 39:11 105:10 happening 75:10 85:23 145:12 happens 42:10, 17 happy 9:15 95:22, 24 148:22 **Hard** 11:24 22:13 23:12 35:25 75:2 84:25 152:17, 21 **harder** 88:21 **harm** 86:8 115:20 **hatchery** 129:24 **hatred** 116:22 hawk 135:24 **hayfield** 51:*13* **Hazardous** 1:5, 20 10:6, 25 16:24 17:22 19:8 20:2, 7 21:17 22:11 23:23 24:1, 11 28:14 29:12, 19 30:16, 25 31:12, 14, 16, 20, 22, 25, 25 32:3, 6, 13 36:10, 16, 25 37:7, 23 45:23 46:23 50:25 51:11 54:24 57:8, 23 63:5, 9, 14, 16, 23, 25 64:22 65:10 67:20 70:15 76:4, 12, 17 79:12, 13, *16*, *23* 80:20 81:3, *17* 82:5, 20 83:7 84:2, *14* 85:4, *13* 86:*12* 87:15, 18, 19, 20 88:20 89:12, 23 90:9 92:14 97:10, 17, 20, 23 98:24, 25 99:3, 6, 8. 9 100:*17* 102:*10* 112:*13*, *16*, *20* 113:*1* 115:4, 10 116:4 117:13, 18, 19, 22, 25 118:3, 6, 9, 14 119:17 124:12 125:19 126:23 127:10, 13, 16 142:6 150:9 **hazards** 53:12 **head** 17:24 33:16 128:10, 15 139:10 145:25

headache 111:*12* headquarters 146:6 **Health** 3:15 6:15 13:21 14:19 15:11 16:20 17:24, 25 22:23 23:7, 15 25:17 27:4, 25 30:9, 14, 14, *19*, *21*, *22*, *23* 31:2, *6*, 7, 11 32:12 33:17, 17 40:9, 22 41:24 46:21 56:18 57:3 61:3 68:18 72:8, 9 75:4, 19 77:13 86:13, 14, 15, 16 87:4, 6, 10, 12 96:15 97:13, 25 98:21 101:5, 10 118:25 119:5, 18, 19 121:22 130:5, 7, 22 133:2 145:*1* healthful 84:17 Health's 25:24 26:11 **healthy** 36:1 98:14 116:14 hear 8:21 42:5 47:10 48:9 50:20 74:24 83:16 150:14 **heard** 16:5, 6 33:2, 13 58:15 72:8 73:13 74:10 79:12 83:3 102:18 110:4 129:8 130:6 132:4, 21 **Hearing** 5:5, 23 6:12, 21 7:4, 9, 16, 24 12:22 25:8, 9 30:3 54:7 61:13 74:13 89:9 103:24, 25 152:10, 10 153:19 157:4, 19 158:5 159:*14* **Hearings** 4:6 5:21, 24 6:3, 4 9:7 72:5 74:20 76:15 111:17 141:19, 20 heart 37:15 heartache 91:17 heavily 109:13 heavy 45:12, 21 85:3 **heck** 93:9 151:12 **held** 2:1 5:7 7:4, 25

23:13 58:25 99:22 **hell** 76:14 Hello 49:8 90:22 **help** 50:11 69:19 70:2 72:11 73:7 96:8 102:5, 7 **helped** 76:14 112:19 144:8 **helps** 35:14 70:16 Henderson 67:4 74:17, 17 132:8 hereinbefore 161:6 **hereunto** 161:15 **Hi** 71:*16* hierarchy 87:18 88:14 99:5 **High** 2:3 15:2 28:20 29:6 35:6 54:14, 18 55:24 56:4 61:19 65:2 75:24 133:25 150:24 **higher** 28:24 100:14 115:22 120:*1* **highest** 19:15 23:13 61:5 **highly** 49:19 53:11 **highways** 36:15 115:4 118:10 Hill 90:20 92:7, 8, 9 hire 128:8 **hired** 133:1 136:17 Hiroshima 133:24 **historic** 24:14 49:15 83:25 84:19 114:17 **historical** 101:*19* 147:15 historically 101:8 **history** 23:10 26:25 27:11 28:3 31:10 32:23 79:5 107:8 114:18 144:13 **hit** 15:7 **HOC** 4:1 6:17 41:4 **hold** 50:9 89:18 106:2, 3 157:4 **holding** 61:13 151:19 hole 46:1, 17 holes 126:14

Deposition of Legislative Hearing /
home 25:4 36:21
41:10 47:5 86:2
112:14 114:16
homes 83:4, 25 84:19,
21 96:9
Honor 32:17 54:5
99:25 109:18 125:12
134:24 147:15 148:6,
20, 24 151:18 156:11
159:15, 23 160:8
honorably 94:20
hope 42:21 55:23
109:6 148:20, 21
150:25
hopefully 40:5 41:6
hoping 125:22
horrible 144:1
horribly 32:20
horrifying 154:10
horticultural 35:21
hose 133:7
host 56:13 118:3
126:22 154:5
hosting 20:5 54:6
154:9
hosts 37:16 127:21
hot 98:7 136:18
139:2 <i>3</i> 145: <i>14</i>
hours 17:6 48:19, 22
83:8
house 15:13 39:21
100:5 110:25 111:2
123:3 132:5
houses 84:25
housing 37:9 62:25
Houston 128:10
Howard 112:10
Hufnagel 18:9 32:16,
17, 18
huge 42:10 44:11
69:18 89:11 137:1
human 56:18 57:3
70:21 91:23 109:24
121:7, 14 123:1, 16,
16, 25
humans 60:17
humor 107:7
hundred 35:3, 18, 22
45:11 50:4, 22 51:7
60:16, 19 61:1 68:15

75:21 76:19 88:4, 8, 13 91:19 94:12 95:5 102:10.11 129:1 132:20 135:16 137:11, 13 142:24 145:22 151:7, 10, 11, 21 **hundreds** 25:6 74:19 89:2 hungry 35:15 **hurting** 93:16 **husband** 64:21 hydroelectric 50:2 71:10 **Hydrogeological** 28:6 hydrologist 145:19 hydrology 25:2 142:14 < I > idea 95:7 111:10, 16

ideal 33:20 identical 138:6 identification 29:7 identified 19:16 61:19 113:15 identifies 63:8 identify 25:1, 2 81:16 **ignored** 133:5 **ignoring** 87:25 **II** 136:1 139:13 **ill** 110:22 image 22:14 70:1 140:12 **imagine** 111:*13* 126:*1* immediate 19:1 immediately 21:16 154:11 156:24 **Impact** 7:1 11:17 21:10, 19 31:2 37:25 55:20 57:19, 22 58:17 61:21, 25 62:21, 22, 24 64:1, 2, 6, 22 65:19 66:6 78:*15* 96:*25* 98:*14* 120:8 144:11 145:15 155:14 156:16 **impacted** 25:6 37:3 **impactful** 19:17 impacting 36:3

impacts 55:7 59:25 62:20 98:21 125:11, 17 159:19, 22 impermeable 50:10 impervious 33:4 implement 32:9 142:21 implementation 117:4 implication 71:2 implications 36:18 65:*1* **implore** 112:2 importance 44:20 important 8:23 16:18 18:12 43:3 58:21, 24 61:10 64:25 74:21 77:9, 18 81:1 99:16 114:8 124:2*4* 125:*1* 132:2*3* 140:12 148:25 155:4 158:6 importantly 35:24 49:15 51:4 59:19 77:11, 19 **imported** 118:*1* importing 37:22 **impose** 52:19 83:15 127:6, 7 **imposed** 25:16 imposing 54:2 impossible 100:15 151:4, 5 impracticable 56:19 impressed 21:3 impression 78:25 79:6 **improve** 56:23 118:22 improved 92:2 improvement 55:8 69:25 inaccuracies 155:19 inaccurate 140:2 **inactive** 102:*13* inadvertently 111:4 **inaudible** 69:5 158:3 inception 87:25

incinerated 102:16 incineration 63:11 incinerator 147:5 incinerators 147:3 **include** 61:20 73:23 102:16 140:6 **included** 60:7 62:21, 24 63:1 113:2 includes 20:4 24:17 27:7, 8 29:21 98:7 including 36:22 49:20 99:12 117:7 119:6 131:7 **income** 117:*3* **incoming** 54:8 126:12 incompatible 32:20 incorporation 49:16 increase 88:9 95:22 137:20 increased 37:18 increases 36:11 137:1 increasing 22:10 137:24 Incredibly 87:24 **in-depth** 18:1 **Indiana** 147:8 indicated 8:11 indicates 99:7 indicating 8:12 132:18 133:24 160:8 **indirect** 85:13 individuals 104:11, 20, *21* 112:22 119:7 121:18 122:12 inducement 112:16 **Industrial** 1:20 10:6 19:8 20:2 21:11 23:9 25:4 31:16 85:20 117:9 industries 32:21 46:7 70:11, 12 85:8 98:13 113:11 **industry** 23:13, 18, 20 32:25 45:20, 21 46:15 85:2, 4, 14 113:15 ineffective 30:7 inevitable 42:2 **infirm** 106:21 influence 85:17

incidences 61:20

incidents 51:25 132:8

Deposition of Legislative Hearing /
information 9:11, 14,
15 26:11 56:11 59:6
65:20, 23 66:1 96:23
104:10 107:20
112:18, 25 124:22
136:24 138:17 139:5
140:3 141:21 146:1
154:9 155:22
informed 101:8 153:10
inhabitants 96:15
inherently 29:8 36:8
initials 108:24
initiatives 20:12
inject 56:11
injuring 47:3
injustice 68:6, 10
110:9
innumerable 156:12
input 97:3 111:9
inspect 15:14 108:5
inspecting 95:2
inspection 55:16
Inspector 22:15
143:15
installed 28:12
instances 36:14
86:17 92:25
in-state 19:9 151:9
instillation 145:4
instilled 36:3
instituted 26:13
institutional 134:18
143:16
instructions 149:18
Instructor 46:22
instrumental 19:10
insurance 94:16 95:3
96:5
integrations 56:6
11
integrity 155:6
intellect 122:3 123:21
intelligence 122:18
intelligently 38:11
intent 31:13
interacting 138:25
interest 29:16 67:10
73:19 74:14 76:22
78:10 80:7, 25 82:1
90:16 109:23

interested 114:6 135:10 interesting 67:21 **internal** 134:21 135:13 146:15 international 45:10 79:25 **introduced** 121:5, 6 invention 123:25 **inventory** 135:17 invested 98:4 investigate 24:20 investigated 158:15 investigation 136:10, 21 140:22 investigations 139:5 investing 99:14 investment 18:23 98:10 **investments** 98:3, 9, 17 **invited** 135:2 141:17 **involved** 79:8 143:18 154:7 159:4 involvement 11:20 117:2 involving 76:6 ironically 115:19 **irony** 71:6 **Isabel** 34:8 40:8 **Island** 95:9 isotopes 49:20 **issuance** 109:21 issue 11:3. 11 41:14 57:21 58:14 66:13 68:6 69:21 78:16 80:13 99:17 116:15 124:25 125:1 **issued** 62:6 64:23 75:25 132:2 **issues** 16:*17* 31:*5* 36:9 49:4 55:12 68:19 69:7, 10 79:1 80:5 84:12 87:13 97:6 134:10 155:20 156:*15* 158:*7* items 35:21, 22 92:11 its 6:23 10:5 14:1 19:3 20:25, 25 21:7, 7, 8, 9, 22 32:25 49:15, 24 50:1 76:7

77:6 79:21 85:12 99:17 100:17 101:4, 13, 21 108:1, 23 127:10 128:2 129:24 133:1 136:21 145:18, *25* 155:*1*

< J > **James** 18:8 32:18 **Jane** 103:16 110:16 **Janie** 90:20 92:6 jeopardy 14:20 37:21 jigsaw 147:24 **Jim** 34:8, 12, 17 134:21 **job** 43:21 65:25 74:22 77:9 82:9 95:8, 16 **iobs** 18:23 19:20 20:19 48:18, 18, 18 57:8 77:16 128:11, 20, 22 **JOHN** 4:2 6:18 13:10, 13 22:4 44:25 53:24 54:3 **Johnny** 21:24 join 105:24 **Joint** 5:5, 23 7:8 153:18

joints 136:*3* **Jolbert** 103:15, 17, 18, 18 **Joseph** 94:9, 11 **Judge** 2:2 5:3, 22 9:23 13:9, 17 16:8 18:7 22:1, 3 23:1, 3, 4 30:10, 11 32:16 34:7. 13 38:13 40:6 44:24 45:6 49:7 52:7, 10, 13, 16, 23 53:20, 23 57:11, 12 61:7, 16 64:16 66:23

77:22 86:4 90:19 92:6, 8 94:8 96:17 99:20, 23 103:14 *7* 114:*13* 116:*6* 120:17, 22, 24 124:5

109:17 110:15 112:4.

149:7, 13 160:1, 9

July 2:4 104:1 113:6, 24 **June** 7:11, 13, 14, 15 justice 18:5 68:6, 19 78:2 82:4, 8, 9 101:15, 23 116:15 117:*1* 119:*7* 123:*10*

< K > **KAMINSKI** 3:18 6:16 **Karen** 94:9 101:25 124:9 149:8, 15 keep 22:14 33:5 36:1 44:23 50:11 53:18 81:13 87:14 95:23 121:16 125:19 154:21 158:24 **keeps** 35:11 110:13 **Kellen** 44:24 49:8 **Kenneth** 103:15 109:17, 19 **kev** 19:19 126:21 **kids** 83:*3* **Kim** 90:20 92:9 kind 36:19 48:10 122:8 129:17 137:6 145:17 147:23 159:3 kinds 112:1 knew 107:20, 21 108:5 152:10 **knocked** 14:10 **know** 14:4 15:8 16:15 41:5 42:2, 16 43:13 44:2 57:4 59:2 66:10, 19 70:21 71:13 72:23 73:5 75:19, 25 76:11 83:19 84:15 86:5 88:17 89:24 90:4 97:18 103:25 104:8, *17* 109:*1* 112:*13* 121:9 123:21 127:2, 8 131:6, 16 133:6 134:25 135:8 136:25 138:*14* 139:*4* 141:*23* 142:3, 4 143:7, 10

145:12 148:25 150:6,

23 151:23 154:15, 22,

Deposition of Legislative Hearing /
25 155:24 156:1, 4, 9
Knowing 115:1 138:2
knowledge 55:15
142:13
known 27:1 30:18
107:25 115:10
129:21
knows 127:20 143:2
145:16
143.10
< L >
L.L.C 1:8, 22 3:8
5:13, 18
L.L.C.'s 19:2
L.L.P 57:24 64:23
65:3, 13, 18
lack 101:22 131:5
lacking 62:5
ladies 5:4 9:24
lady 38:23 107:7
110:5
lagoon 147:2 157:13
lagoons 37:1 150:20
158: <i>16</i>
Lake 35:9, 10 49:16,
17 84:23 100:5
103:11 121:4
Lakes 36:23 62:12,
14 72:24 97:19, 25
98:1, 3, 5, 7, 10, 14, 17,
19 102:23 104:22, 24
132:22 158:12
Lamb 67:4 71:16, 16
land 11: <i>11</i> 19:22
35:15, 25, 25 36:4
40:10, 11 51:19 77:7
87:21 88:10 89:6
99:10 109:24 116:3
118:3, 7, 15, 24
landfill 5:14, 16 10:4,
8, 12 11:4, 19 16:24
17:22 19:4, 6 20:22,
25 21:15 24:5, 7, 11
25:2 28:15, 17, 23
29:3, 5, 10, 17, 25
30:16 31:1 32:14
34:16 36:2, 7, 17, 25
37:7 45:5 47:16
48:1, 24 49:2, 6, 13
50:22 51:3 53:13

Diep Carindricolli Edgestatione Stessionig
55:4, 10, 25 56:13
57:23 60:3 61:5
62:16 63:7, 11, 25
64:6, 23 65:9, 11
67:23, 24 68:9 70:5
71:1 73:2 76:13, 18
80:21 81:11, 23
82:16 88:1, 2, 3, 6, 20
89:12 92:23 97:8, 10,
18, 21 100:17 112:13,
16, 18, 21 125:20
126:5, 6, 7, 23 127:2,
4, 16 134:5 145:6, 13
150:10, 16
landfilling 60:1 99:7
landfills 14:4 24:1
46: <i>18</i> 48: <i>6</i> 68: <i>3</i>
75:9 79: <i>16</i> 81: <i>6</i> , 9
97:18 99:3 117:23
127:2, 22
landfill's 10:16 24:22
landowners 7:22
languish 84:19
LappHastings 116:7
120: <i>17</i>
laps 44:4
large 26:1, 4, 19 31:8 37:1 43:1 58:19
82: <i>19</i> 95:2 127:2 <i>1</i>
larger 26:20 70:8
largest 34:23 36:23
66:16 84:1 126:1
127:1 134:4
LaSalle 15:2
laser 161:10
lasting 36:18 Lastly 66:13
late 15:3 130:17
lately 44:14
lateral 33:7
latest 128:17
laughed 141:13
laundry 151: <i>15</i> 153:7 Law 1: <i>5</i> 2:2 5:9, 22
6:7 30:22 31:15
58:7, 8, 13 78:1 87:21 99:6 125:21,
87:21 99:0 125:21,
23
Laws 11:22 46:5

```
117:4
lawsuit 108:21 109:2
lawyer 89:25 131:12
Lawyers 86:6
Lax 126:17
layer 33:3
layers 25:19 33:4
leachate 10:14, 23
46:19
lead 20:18
leaders 109:23
leadership 34:5
leading 147:20
leak 24:23 28:17
29:5 42:11 72:17
75:7, 10, 13 76:19
97:19
leakage 36:12
leaking 29:3 115:21
125:5 134:10 135:5,
7 137:14, 17, 25
138:23
leaks 36:14 42:7
46:20 72:23 90:11
learned 23:12 25:7
46:4, 7, 11, 15, 15 47:1
learning 47:4 121:10,
11, 12
leave 8:8 51:15 79:6
123:8 136:14, 18
leaving 142:17
LEE 4:2 6:18
left 33:1 60:25
80:11 134:4
left-hand 132:6
133:16
Legacy 28:25 69:1, 7
70:24 71:12 98:6, 10
legal 17:15 106:5
legally 58:25 59:5
legislation 125:18
legislative 7:4, 24
Legislator 14:25
legislature 13:11
16:11, 11, 23 17:13,
20 73:5 148:14
lengths 56:9
lengthy 126:11
lessons 23:12 25:7
```

letter 23:8 65:1, 14,
17, 21 68:11 73:11
91:12 112:24 113:5,
9, 14, 20 114:5, 7
135:9 152:20 155:9
155:9 152:20 155:9
letters 91:4, 6, 10
113:2
letting 34:2 155:10
level 26:21 28:21
51:14 56:4 78:10
114:2, 3 115:22
123:2 137:4 142:8 levels 19: <i>15</i> 27: <i>12</i>
levels 19:15 27:12
75:2 <i>1</i> 119:25
Lewiston 12:9, 10
17:20 30:18 40:8, 16
41:9 50:16 54:10, 13,
20 56:12 61:3 73:23,
24 74:6 82:2 92:9
93:7 95:25 106:19
111: <i>15</i> 114: <i>16</i> , <i>17</i>
115: <i>13</i> , <i>14</i> , <i>17</i> , <i>19</i>
124:9 150: <i>6</i> 152: <i>21</i> ,
22
Lewiston-Porter 2:2
7:14 13:6 18:5 52:3
54:14, 18 62:5 72:21
78:7 111:22 114:25
Lew-Port 55:22, 23
93: <i>15</i> 94:2 109: <i>11</i>
liability 58:10 70:17
liable 58:25
Liberty 3:3
Library 12:1, 8, 9
152:22 153:2 license 39:25 89:2
127: <i>15</i>
licenses 77:1
lie 66:11
life 10:11 14:13
15:18 40:12 41:17
51:6 54:11 71:8
76:10 85:18 94:15,
10 24 100.22 115.5
<i>19</i> , <i>24</i> 109:23 115:5,
<i>7</i> 122: <i>14</i> 123: <i>14</i> , <i>15</i> ,
7 122:14 123:14, 15, 15, 15, 16, 17, 18, 18,
7 122:14 123:14, 15, 15, 15, 16, 17, 18, 18, 18, 20, 23 148:1 151:4
7 122:14 123:14, 15, 15, 15, 16, 17, 18, 18,

Deposition of Legislative Hearing /
lifestyle 71:5
light 25:8
limit 54:2 61:10
62:2 104:3 122:18
limitations 58:23
limits 121:9
Linda 67:3, 7 74:11
Linde 158:17
line 83:19 106:15
138:25
liner 10:13 46:18
lining 85:19 105:12
link 122:1, 3
liquids 60:9
LISA 161:4, 23
list 13:4, 4 34:11
62:9 131:17 147:18
148:8, 19 151:16
153:7
listen 16:5 22:21
33:11 40:18 44:7
45:4 61:14, 14 74:23
84:6 101:17, 18
111:24, 25 112:2
159:18
listened 111:18
liter 137:5, 7, 10
literally 84:20 89:1
93:6
lithium 53:5 75:12,
15
Litigation 78:3
little 17:7 38:8
42:23 94:1 102:24
110:5 133:14 145:7
150:25
live 15:21, 22 38:14
39:4 42:20 48:21
49:9 50:16, 17, 18
52:8, 25 57:13 71:3
75:5 90:23, 24 91:13,
14, 24 92:3, 9 94:2
95:25 102:14 106:21
107:1 109:14, 19 110:24 111:8, 10
114:15 115:13, 14
118:20 121:13 123:1,
22 124:8
lived 15:3 41:12
54:10 71:17 91:6

Disposition of Edges have Sessions
102:1 110:17 111:14
115:3
lives 39:15 51:19
104:16 105:19 106:8,
13, 14 119:9
livestock 53:8
living 43:3 62:25
95: <i>17</i> 114:2 <i>1</i> 119:2 <i>4</i>
120:4 130:10
loads 82:20 113:18 loam 35:13
loams 50:8
loan 143:20
lobby 157:20
local 7:19 16:15, 17
20:17, 20 35:23
37:20, 21 53:7 55:10
57:1, 9 74:6 78:9
79:23, 23 85:21 98:2
112:17, 23 113:10, 15
117: <i>11</i>
located 5:18 7:22
37:4 84:22 97:14
113: <i>1</i>
location 96:10
locations 12:1, 12
Lockport 12:2 85:5, 7 86: <i>I</i> 121: <i>I</i> 150:5
logic 63:24
logical 29:9
long 13:23 22:22
44:11 71:14 95:9
104:24 105:3 113:12
114: <i>12</i> 115: <i>5</i> , 8 122: <i>12</i> 123: <i>3</i> 130: <i>19</i>
149:7
longer 14:2 51:17
63:17 90:8 110:20
longest 149:3
long-term 50:25
56:24 81:12 97:17
look 47:22 59:4
72:2 74:15 86:11
89:1, 8 90:15, 16 107:12 137:10 143:4,
8 146:19 150:3
152:24 153:5 158:6 159:12

```
looked 47:22 69:17
126:10 137:2 142:21
145:15
looking 17:8, 10
76:23 124:21 134:3
136:9, 24 142:13, 20
143:19
looming 22:16
loop 126:14
LORAB 55:12
lose 39:18 149:19
loses 124:15
losing 38:19 124:23
loss 94:18
lot 39:2 45:18, 21
46:5, 8, 24 47:4, 8
50:13 57:15 83:16
88:22 90:3, 3 93:11
103:20, 20 104:24
105:2 124:18, 20
125:25 143:18
147:22
lots 46:5 87:11
135:17
LOUIS 4:3
Love 13:25 22:15
40:12 46:2 71:7, 10
76:19 114:20
loved 61:22 104:18
lovely 82:24 83:3
low 51:14 70:10
93:18 100:6 112:25
lower 84:21 106:21
107:11 142:15
lucky 14:15 42:6
lungs 38:20
Lupus 61:20
lure 85:19
LYNN 3:11 66:24
< M >
machine 108:3, 6
161:9. 14
magnitude 96:25
mail 9:4
mailing 7:21 9:6
main 18:20 53:8
77:25 100:3
```

maintain 21:7 35:14

```
maintained 10:16
56:5
maintaining 116:14
maintenance 56:24
major 25:15, 22
26:12, 24 27:6, 13
42:5, 5, 10 97:21
100:21 134:18
155:20, 20
majority 20:19 40:20
41:17 101:18
making 22:24 33:22
43:6, 7 48:7 64:9
92:24 93:1, 25 98:9,
17 105:20 122:4
Mall 45:17
Malone 95:10
man 52:6 83:19
84:15 129:21
manage 10:23 19:7
51:19
managed 42:8 55:22
117:22
Management 1:5, 8,
23 3:8 5:13 10:4, 25
14:8 19:5 24:16
30:16, 17 32:1, 3, 15
43:16 54:22 55:16
56:20 63:10, 14, 22
66:15 72:16 77:4
80:4, 13 81:12 83:8
87:18, 20, 22 94:5, 6
99:1 107:17, 25
117:18, 19 127:22, 23
128:19 143:21 145:8,
13 146:6, 14 147:4
153:6, 17
managing 31:14 99:5,
mandate 101:19
mandates 60:10
101:4
manner 29:21
manning 41:2
manufactured 53:2
map 47:22, 23 69:6
132:13
Mapping 68:22
maps 132:14
```

Deposition of Eegistative Hearing /
Margery 116:7
120: <i>18</i>
Maribeth 112:8
Marilyn 90:20, 21, 22
MARINELLI 3:11
66:24
Mario 107:16 108:2
125:18
market 34:20 35:21
37:20 79:25 84:20
marriage 110:8, 11, 12
Martens 6:19
Mary's 41:9
Massachusetts 55:1
massive 70:16 85:3
86:12 129:18 140:22 155:15, 18 158:16
massively 129:19
130:18
Mastercard 129:5
material 26:20 55:13
59:13, 14 67:25 69:8
71:4 104:25 108:4,
10 115:16, 18, 25
116:4 139:13
materials 21:6 23:21
26:3 27:2 53:4
54:22 57:8 103:21
105:7
math 142:24
mathematically 151:5 Matter 1:4, 15 3:4
12:23 16:25 42:9, 16
67:14 70:4 81:25
87:5 93:16 133:6
156:10
matters 100:24
MATTHEW 3:13
6:14
maxes 151:11
maximize 156:23
McCollum 44:24
45:2, 3, 7
McGreevy 112:8, 9, 10 mean 82:9
meaning 28:25
119: <i>13</i>
meaningful 78:19
97:3 117:2
1.1

Disposition of Edgeshove Sessions
means 51:7 59:14
71:2 82:10 95:11
106:1, 2 117:6
121:15 151:9 152:9
measurable 56:18
measures 56:1
media 112:23
Mediation 4:6 5:22,
24 6:4 9:7
medical 115:20
Medicine 73:11
100:24 101:23
meet 59:3 143:22
meeting 78: <i>14</i> 91: <i>13</i> 134: <i>23</i> 135: <i>2</i> , <i>5</i>
141:10 151:19
152:25 154:18, 22
159:7
meetings 90:3 143:3
mega 24:1 89:11
Member 13:9 14:3
16:11 22:6, 7 51:23
52:15 55:11 61:12
66:24 78:1 80:14 113:25 134:15
153:10, 11 156:4
MEMBERS 4:1 6:10,
17, 22 9:18, 24 18:18,
21, 24 23:5, 5 30:12
34:24 46:23 48:20,
20 49:11 64:10
66:20 96:21 97:7
99:17, 25
membership 107:14
memorable 83:19
memorandum 133:9
154:2 <i>3</i>
memorize 156:25
mention 70:19
112: <i>15</i> 150: <i>20</i>
mentioned 8:19 132:9
Mercury 89:5 158:1
mergers 143:18
merits 33:12
mess 63:3 91:20
151:22
met 80:1, 12 107:15
156:2 <i>1</i>

metal 53:5 75:13

metals 53:6 **method** 99:8 158:1 **methods** 99:10 MICHAEL 4:6 Michigan 12:4 **micrograms** 137:5, 7, 10 microphone 8:17 9:20 mid 145:17 **middle** 48:4 107:5.5 108:8, 11, 19 109:10 136:18 **migraine** 111:*11* migrating 33:5 migration 25:3 36:13 84:10 mile 7:22 38:16 39:4 50:18 76:5, 20 83:9 91:14, 14 97:14 104:6 115:2 132:17 133:8 142:25 miles 76:7 100:5 142:22 **military** 94:21 milk 35:20 **Millen** 90:20, 21, 22, 22 **million** 10:10 18:18 20:15, 18 35:23 47:15 60:9, 20 75:6 76:16 81:13, 15, 19, 20, 20, 24, 25 82:13 85:11 88:12, 14 95:5 97:2*3* 98:*1*2 115:*1* 117:21, 24 118:6, 8 151:5 millions 37:4 60:20 93:6, 19 95:15 126:16, 16 **mind** 14:5 44:23 59:16 75:4 77:14 81:14 87:14 mine 15:1 53:6 **minimum** 80:21 Mining 53:4 129:25 minor 89:4 113:19, 21, 21 **minute** 157:7

Minutes 143:11 149:10 miscarriage 68:5 misdiverse 83:11 misleading 139:6 misled 66:21 mispronounce 8:14, 14 misrepresentation 131:12 **mission** 116:18 118:2*1* 119:22 120:13 **Mist** 107:3 mistakes 23:16 46:11 misunderstand 65:6 **mitigate** 141:1 mitigation 158:10 mitts 100:17 mixed 146:25 **mobility** 141:14 mobilizing 18:21 **Model** 5:19 10:5 19:3, 10, 23 21:3, 19 71:6, 7 100:12 122:9 150:5 153:6 **models** 28:1 **moderate** 35:8, 12 moderates 35:10 **Modern** 93:13 114:4 127:4 130:4 150:12 Modification 11:1, 4, 5 156:19 **modified** 10:19, 22 **Mohawk** 95:9 moisture 15:7 **mom** 41:10 **moments** 73:13 monetary 61:22 money 47:17 64:9 89:9 91:22 92:24 93:1, 11, 18, 25 96:8, *13* 105:2 106:*11* 124:15, 24 128:8 140:17 monitor 24:22 29:4 92:23 120:5 monitored 26:5 monitoring 26:22 27:13 28:7, 13 29:25

Deposition of Legislative Hearing
30:6 56:24 60:4
81:7 97:20 120:9
126:17, 19 127:3, 5
120:17, 19 127.3, 3
monitors 20:5 125:9,
10
month 75:12 102:6
113:1
monthly 107:16
months 16:25 84:24
120:6
moons 157:13
moral 101:9 121:21,
23 123:22
morning 108:15
114:23 124:3
morphed 137:6
motel 62:4
move 17:6 51:18
95:13 96:8, 8, 10
moved 26:18 41:10
54:13, 16 91:3
112:12
movement 24:18
26:6 74:18
moves 53:14 142:16
moving 14:9, 21 83:2
87:8, <i>17</i> 112: <i>17</i>
133: <i>4</i> 144: <i>15</i>
mowed 134:6
Mt 153:24
mud 152:17
multi 20:14
multi-billion 98:13
105:25
multiple 115:23
130:19
municipal 117:10
municipality 81:17
Murphy 44:24 49:7,
8, 8 51:24 52:10, 12,
14, 21, 22, 24, 25
Murphy's 52:24
music 160:6
100.0
< N >
NAACP 116:11, 13,
16, 20 118:13
Nagasaki 133:23
11agasaki 155.25

name 5:20 8:10, 14, *15, 16* 9:25 16:9 18:12 21:24 22:4 23:6 30:12 32:18 40:14 49:8 54:3 57:13 64:17 67:6 71:16 74:17 77:6, 24 91:6 92:9 96:18 100:1 103:18 106:17 108:1, 23 109:2, 19 110:16 112:9 114:14 115:12 116:8 120:22 124:8 145:8 161:16 names 108:24 113:21 Nancy 103:15 106:17 nation 109:24 133:20 **national** 22:12 31:24 63:21 79:25 116:12. 19 121:3 127:9 nations 122:9 **nation's** 98:11 **native** 95:11 **natural** 35:9 36:21 50:24 68:18 118:23 121:15 naturally 50:12 **nature** 63:18 **NCCC** 94:6 near 37:5 102:15 114:21 129:3 158:12 nearby 26:2 **nearly** 18:17 19:24 81:12 130:11 139:11 **necessarily** 69:5 79:2 necessary 29:15, 18 79:16 80:17 87:10 89:8, 19, 21 **Necessity** 1:19 3:8 6:10, 25 **need** 24:1, 4, 6, 16 26:22 31:24 42:15 44:10 47:11 57:6 60:4, 6 63:7 65:11 67:19, 23, 25 70:14, 25, 25 71:1, 14 73:15 74:13 77:20 83:19 98:23, 25 101:21 102:15 103:8, 9 104:12 106:3, 5, 9 117:17 118:19 126:4,

18 150:11, 16, 19 159:10 **needed** 26:23 47:3, *14*, *16* 65:9 76:*13* 87:3 89:15 142:21 **needs** 23:13 38:8 65:8 66:6 80:16 96:24 122:16 negative 31:2 59:25 61:21, 25 62:20, 22, 24 117:8 **negatively** 25:5 36:2 **negatives** 60:7 64:7 negligent 65:24 negotiated 147:4 neighborhood 15:20 129:*17* 131:2, *3* neighbors 49:12 77:23 109:22 **Neither** 101:11 network 74:3 never 27:18 42:23 48:8, 9, 11, 13, 13 49:3 87:12 91:19, 21 92:14 105:15 125:10 135:13 139:14 140:7, *18* 142:*10* 149:*19* 157:10 158:14 159:3 **NEW** 1:1, 7, 15 2:4 3:16, 18 5:5, 7, 9, 11, 19 9:7, 9 10:1, 4, 19, 20 11:22 12:5, 11 13:9, 13 18:25 19:3, 4 20:21 21:14, 15, 21, 21 22:14 23:15, 22, 24 24:4, 22 26:10 29:5, 11, 18 30:21 31:20, 20 32:1, 2 34:22, 23 35:15 36:16 37:3, 7, 9, 10, 14 39:25 40:8 44:2, 2 45:5, 16, 17, 20 46:5, 5 47:25 48:23 49:2, 5, 10 54:5, 13, 25 55:3, 3 56:8, 15, 20 57:5, 14 60:3 61:1, 2 62:15 63:5, 6, 7, 9, 13, 21 64:4, 11 65:9 66:25 67:10, 11, 15, 17, 23 68:4, 12, 13,

16, 25 69:11, 15, 18, 22, 23 70:6, 7, 13, 16 71:3, 9 74:4, 5, 7 75:16 76:11 79:16, *17* 81:*17*, *23* 82:*3* 84:10 86:14, 15 89:7 90:11 92:14 95:13, *14* 96:*3* 98:*24*, *25* 99:1, 6 100:3 107:15 108:24 109:2 112:11 116:10, 23 117:13, 19 118:1, 9, 16, 23 119:20 120:15 126:23 127:12, 15 128:2, 6 129:6 130:6 134:5 150:16 158:1, *13* 161:2, 5 News 7:13 28:12 60:25 90:8 94:4 newspaper 43:6 **newspapers** 7:12, 20 33:9 next-door 125:5 126:24 **NFSS** 157:22 Niagara 5:14, 19 7:12 13:11, 18, 23 15:2 16:10, 13, 22 17:9, 13, 19 18:6, 14, 15, 19, 23 20:15 21:20 22:5, 5, 6, 16, 19 23:7, 9 24:6, 11 25:4 26:16 27:15, 18, 24 30:8, 13, 14, 19, 20, 24 31:1, 11 32:12, 15, 21, 22 33:1 34:21 35:4, 5, 10, 17, 19 36:21, 22, 22 37:1, 12, 14, 15 40:21 41:5 45:9 48:21 49:18 50:3, 18 54:12 58:8 60:10, 13 68:16, 23 69:14 72:9, 13 73:4, 10 78:8 84:23, 25 86:24 92:8 95:21 98:8 99:4 100:4.4 102:1, 1, 11, 12 103:8, *11* 106:*18*, *21*, *25* 107:11, 24 108:11, 16 116:10, 11, 16 117:23,

nail 90:6

23 118:2, 7, 10, 13, 15,
25 110.2, /, 10, 13, 13,
<i>16</i> , <i>17</i> 120:7 121: <i>1</i> , 2,
<i>3</i> 129:23, 23 130:3
133:1, 10, 24 134:2, 7,
14 135:14 136:14
139:10 142:19 150:7
154:8 158:2
Niagara's 19: <i>19</i>
nice 40:23 134:6
nicely 134:6
nickel 53:4
night 15:3 38:18, 25
47:6 108:8, 11, 19
nightmare 155:15
nights 39:2
Nils 67:4 77:24
147:3
nine 16:12 60:25
81:20 84:24 85:11
88:8 137:6, 11
ninety 98:11
ninety-day 78:23
ninety-nine 131:25
nitpicking 155:19
nobody's 92:4
noise 41:15
non-expansion 17:21
nonhazardous 10:7
150.10
150:10
non-hazardous 19:8
non-hazardous 19:8 20:2
non-hazardous 19:8 20:2 North 24:8 28:13
non-hazardous 19:8 20:2 North 24:8 28:13 91:3 114:15
non-hazardous 19:8 20:2 North 24:8 28:13
non-hazardous 19:8 20:2 North 24:8 28:13 91:3 114:15
non-hazardous 19:8 20:2 North 24:8 28:13 91:3 114:15 northeast 43:20 134:3
non-hazardous 19:8 20:2 North 24:8 28:13 91:3 114:15 northeast 43:20 134:3 Nos 1:8
non-hazardous 19:8 20:2 North 24:8 28:13 91:3 114:15 northeast 43:20 134:3 Nos 1:8 Notary 161:4
non-hazardous 19:8 20:2 North 24:8 28:13 91:3 114:15 northeast 43:20 134:3 Nos 1:8 Notary 161:4 note 66:24 75:23
non-hazardous 19:8 20:2 North 24:8 28:13 91:3 114:15 northeast 43:20 134:3 Nos 1:8 Notary 161:4 note 66:24 75:23 noted 28:22
non-hazardous 19:8 20:2 North 24:8 28:13 91:3 114:15 northeast 43:20 134:3 Nos 1:8 Notary 161:4 note 66:24 75:23
non-hazardous 19:8 20:2 North 24:8 28:13 91:3 114:15 northeast 43:20 134:3 Nos 1:8 Notary 161:4 note 66:24 75:23 noted 28:22 notes 161:14 Nothing's 142:17
non-hazardous 19:8 20:2 North 24:8 28:13 91:3 114:15 northeast 43:20 134:3 Nos 1:8 Notary 161:4 note 66:24 75:23 noted 28:22 notes 161:14 Nothing's 142:17
non-hazardous 19:8 20:2 North 24:8 28:13 91:3 114:15 northeast 43:20 134:3 Nos 1:8 Notary 161:4 note 66:24 75:23 noted 28:22 notes 161:14 Nothing's 142:17 Notice 7:8, 9, 11, 19
non-hazardous 19:8 20:2 North 24:8 28:13 91:3 114:15 northeast 43:20 134:3 Nos 1:8 Notary 161:4 note 66:24 75:23 noted 28:22 notes 161:14 Nothing's 142:17 Notice 7:8, 9, 11, 19 150:4, 8 151:16
non-hazardous 19:8 20:2 North 24:8 28:13 91:3 114:15 northeast 43:20 134:3 Nos 1:8 Notary 161:4 note 66:24 75:23 noted 28:22 notes 161:14 Nothing's 142:17 Notice 7:8, 9, 11, 19 150:4, 8 151:16 152:13 161:7
non-hazardous 19:8 20:2 North 24:8 28:13 91:3 114:15 northeast 43:20 134:3 Nos 1:8 Notary 161:4 note 66:24 75:23 noted 28:22 notes 161:14 Nothing's 142:17 Notice 7:8, 9, 11, 19 150:4, 8 151:16 152:13 161:7 notified 15:10 104:7,
non-hazardous 19:8 20:2 North 24:8 28:13 91:3 114:15 northeast 43:20 134:3 Nos 1:8 Notary 161:4 note 66:24 75:23 noted 28:22 notes 161:14 Nothing's 142:17 Notice 7:8, 9, 11, 19 150:4, 8 151:16 152:13 161:7
non-hazardous 19:8 20:2 North 24:8 28:13 91:3 114:15 northeast 43:20 134:3 Nos 1:8 Notary 161:4 note 66:24 75:23 noted 28:22 notes 161:14 Nothing's 142:17 Notice 7:8, 9, 11, 19 150:4, 8 151:16 152:13 161:7 notified 15:10 104:7,
non-hazardous 19:8 20:2 North 24:8 28:13 91:3 114:15 northeast 43:20 134:3 Nos 1:8 Notary 161:4 note 66:24 75:23 noted 28:22 notes 161:14 Nothing's 142:17 Notice 7:8, 9, 11, 19 150:4, 8 151:16 152:13 161:7 notified 15:10 104:7, 9 157:9 notion 43:15
non-hazardous 19:8 20:2 North 24:8 28:13 91:3 114:15 northeast 43:20 134:3 Nos 1:8 Notary 161:4 note 66:24 75:23 noted 28:22 notes 161:14 Nothing's 142:17 Notice 7:8, 9, 11, 19 150:4, 8 151:16 152:13 161:7 notified 15:10 104:7, 9 157:9 notion 43:15 notorious 130:2
non-hazardous 19:8 20:2 North 24:8 28:13 91:3 114:15 northeast 43:20 134:3 Nos 1:8 Notary 161:4 note 66:24 75:23 noted 28:22 notes 161:14 Nothing's 142:17 Notice 7:8, 9, 11, 19 150:4, 8 151:16 152:13 161:7 notified 15:10 104:7, 9 157:9 notion 43:15

notoriously 84:12 **not-to-distant** 141:18 **number** 10:19 17:6 21:25 63:19 68:18 77:4, 6 113:17 124:9, 14, 25 125:3, 4, 6, 11, 13, 22 126:9 128:20 129:5, 8 149:8 159:22 **numbers** 58:5 59:21 60:12 137:10 **numerous** 20:6 55:8 **NYCRR** 1:7, 8, 19 **NYPIRG** 67:9, 12, 12 68:11 128:18 **NYS** 3:11, 13, 15 20:4, 12

< 0 > oath 75:17 77:12 **objective** 149:21 150:2 **objects** 118:14 obligated 57:2 obligation 25:16 101:9 121:21, 23 123:22 **obtain** 135:20 **obvious** 84:18 Obviously 46:2 82:25 85:24 **occasions** 111:*18* occupational 23:15 occupy 10:8 51:20, 20 occur 26:17 59:12 90:13 **occurred** 90:12 146:2 **occurring** 50:13 occurs 29:20 85:20 O'CONNELL 2:1 5:3, 20 9:23 13:9, 17 16:8 18:7 21:24 22:1, 3 23:1, 3, 5 30:10, 11 32:16 34:7, *13* 38:*13* 40:*6* 44:*24* 45:6 49:7 52:7, 10, 13, 16, 23 53:20, 23 57:11, 12 61:7, 16 64:16 66:23 77:22 86:4 90:19 92:6

94:8 96:17 99:20, 23 103:14 109:17 110:15 112:4, 7 114:13 116:6 120:17, 22, 24 124:5 149:7, *13* 160:*1*, 9 **October** 31:13 65:9 154:20 **offer** 96:11 **offering** 20:10 113:3, 7.8 offers 114:22 **Office** 5:21, 24 6:1, 3 7:6 9:7 12:3, 4 75:17 77:12 128:10 **officer** 143:19 **officers** 143:20 Offices 6:2 **Official** 1:7 5:10 13:19 16:16 22:7 141:9 143:6 officially 30:15 **officials** 9:25 13:7 54:6 106:2, 10 110:3 **off-site** 133:4 **off-the-cuff** 71:*19* **Oh** 72:*17* 91:9 158:8 oil 146:25 Okay 129:7 132:21, 23 136:7 139:25 144:15 145:2 147:13 159:15, 25 **old** 41:9 107:7 110:5 136:4 **Olsen** 67:5 77:24 86:4 147:4 **Olympics** 33:15 **omissions** 131:*19* **omitting** 136:25 **once** 61:24 63:3 155:24 158:4 one-half 7:22 ones 104:18 **one-third** 35:17 **on-line** 69:6 **on-site** 20:5 26:18 50:12 88:23 126:4 **Ontario** 35:9 49:16, *17* 84:23 100:5 103:11 116:9 121:4

open 38:25 39:22 124:9 operate 10:3, 18 19:4 21:14 **operated** 70:20 operates 28:23 operating 41:19 45:10 90:9, 14 operation 29:14 34:19 35:2 49:17 55:21 56:17 86:10 90:18 145:6 148:9 operational 20:11 operations 20:23 24:25 56:22 113:13 117:10 127:19 **operator** 70:18 145:23 operators 45:12 opinion 22:10 30:25 49:23 58:22 59:9, 15 65:22 78:25 82:14 104:7 113:3 125:4 136:24 **opinions** 49:*13* opportunities 84:13 88:2*1* 97:*3* 156:*13* opportunity 6:22 18:11 21:18 37:22 49:10 59:1 64:19 67:8 78:19 96:22 109:5, 6 136:10 149:2 **opposed** 13:19 22:22 67:13 79:8 97:8 100:8 109:20 115:15 156:25 157:10 opposes 30:9 **opposing** 30:15 32:13 109:5 **opposite** 156:10 opposition 22:9 oppositions 35:1 **option** 19:9 67:24 87:22 **options** 32:9 64:2 68:1 87:19 **oral** 7:3 9:3 oranges 138:2 Orchard 35:2

beposition of Eegistative Hearing
Orchards 34:18
83:25
Order 52:7 62:7
66:6 78:18 118:25
137:5 156:9
ore 49:22
organization 18:16
34:23 40:23 48:10
68: <i>14</i> 80: <i>14</i>
organizations 34:25
40:25 67:9 68:15
112:19, 22
orientation 149:9
original 126:3
Orleans 22:6 127:23,
25
OSHA 46:21
ought 80:21 131:3
136:14 153:12
outfall 100:7
outfit 147:12
outfits 39:19
Outlet 45:17
outlined 6:6
outliner 146:8, 10
out-of-state 39:15, 16
40:1 79:24
outrageous 61:11
136:19
Outreach 46:21
128:25
outset 154:3
outside 8:4 39:2
67:17 84:10 118:1
overabundance 61:17
overall 21:6 119:1
overemphasize 139:9
overruled 159:5
overseeing 113:13
oversees 157:22
oversight 30:20 81:8
oversimplification
150:18
overstayed 115:9
overturned 76:4
overwhelming 111:21
owned 5:17 91:8
owners 108:7, 25
109:22
1.1

1 0
owning 91: <i>11</i>
owns 104:7
oxygen 38:21
< P >
P.E 3:18
p.m 2:5 7:25
package 152:20
155:10
packed 146:3
Paduano 94:9, 11, 11
page 61:11 81:3
87:20 151:3
pages 78:17 151:13
paid 60:6 66:5 96:8
painful 144: <i>1</i>
panel 33:11 40:20
88:17 100:22
panels 53:8
paper 13:1 123:25
144:18
papers 79:10
paragraph 52:22
parcel 47:25 48:7
parent 66:15 80:4
parents 83:11
_
park 48:12 84:24, 25
114:20
parks 84:1
Part 1:19 10:24
11:3, 5, 7 33:23
35:16 36:23 41:17
53:15, 15 58:21 71:7,
11 93:5, 15, 23
105: <i>17</i> 119: <i>12</i>
125: <i>17</i> 129: <i>12</i>
135:12 145:13
150: <i>14</i> 158: <i>13</i>
partially 113:19
participate 119:8, 10
155: <i>14</i>
participation 149:24
particular 125:15
132:12 137:24
132: <i>12</i> 137: <i>24</i> particularly 89: <i>15</i>
132: <i>12</i> 137: <i>24</i> particularly 89: <i>15</i> parties 59: <i>1</i>
132:12 137:24 particularly 89:15 parties 59:1 partly 144:7
132: <i>12</i> 137: <i>24</i> particularly 89: <i>15</i> parties 59: <i>1</i>

ublic Comment Afternoon Sessio
Partnership 18:14, 15, 24 19:16 20:4 21:13
80:10
Parts 1:5 5:9 28:20 44:14 95:13
part-time 107: <i>1</i>
party 80:3 134:25 154:19
pass 114:22 passed 91:25 116:17
125:18
passing 38:22 72:20
patched 136:2
paths 50:11
pattern 28:9 44:16 PAUL 3:9 6:13
44:2 <i>4</i>
pay 43:2 83:14
127:10 129:5, 5
paying 90:10
payments 85: <i>13</i> PCB 28: <i>18</i> , <i>23</i> 29: <i>5</i>
124: <i>12</i> 158: <i>1</i>
PCBs 36:5 88:22, 23
97:12 110:5 117:25
118:8 146:25 150:20
157:14, 17 peace 75:4 77:13
107:22 120:5
peaceful 114:22
peaches 34:19
Penale 90:19, 21
pending 6:25 118:5 penned 83:18
Pennsylvania 3:3
55: <i>1</i>
people 8:8, 13 15:16
18:5 22:22 41:4
45:12 47:11, 20
48: <i>19</i> 58: <i>15</i> 60: <i>23</i> 61: <i>1</i> 67: <i>17</i> 74: <i>12</i> , <i>23</i>
77:11 79:6 84:17
87:8 89:16 90:4, 14
91:25 92:22 93:8 98: <i>13</i> 101: <i>18</i> 102:25
104:1, 2, 3, 6, 9, 16 107:2 108:18 109:1
111:7, 8, 24 112:2
116:13, 20 117:2, 6
119:1, 20, 24, 24

```
120:4, 7, 15, 15
121:17, 17 122:4, 9
124:18 125:13
127:24 128:9 129:9
136:8 141:23, 25
142:8 150:5, 7 152:8,
13, 21, 23 154:8
people's 101:9 130:5
percent 50:22 51:24
70:5 75:21 94:12
98:11 122:25, 25
123:1 131:25 132:20
percentage 61:5
perfect 50:13 138:25
perfectly 80:1
perform 55:15 120:8
performed 69:3
perimeter 21:1
Period 7:10 10:17
12:17 38:6 60:14
97:2, 5 103:24 130:8
periodic 26:8
periodically 8:9
permanent 81:6
128:7
permanently 81:18
95:23 117:22
permission 62:15
150:16, 19
Permit 1:6, 8 10:1,
25 11:3, 5, 8, 16, 24
12:12 21:17 22:20
56:6, 8 71:25 74:16
101:24 109:21
111:20 112:3 127:22
130:17 131:8, 9
144:20, 23, 24 147:10,
11 150:11, 11, 14, 15,
17 151:23 153:5, 18
155:17 157:8, 10, 18
158:3
Permits 1:4, 6 11:22,
25 12:14 77:1 78:15
89:3 128:8 152:1
159:12, 14
permitted 77:1 81:10,
11
permitting 56:3
117:1 139:21
```

partners 18:22

Deposition of Legislative Hearing /
perpetrated 66:16
perpetual 81:7
perpetually 60:5
perpetuity 97:21
persist 86:11
Persistent 62:18
person 59:15 157:22
personal 14:6 22:23
107:8
personally 55:11
109:4
personnel 113:13
persons 116:22
person's 44:9
perspective 55:7
PETERSON 161:4, 23
Ph.D 4:3
phase 87:24
phases 94:24
phasing 88:10
phone 156:8
photo 129:18
physicians 100:25
pick 8:9 156:8
picked 139:5
picnic 141:25
picture 82:2 90:16
pieces 123:25
pile 79:9
Piling 159:2
PILOT 132:13, 15
pipe 111:2 155:23
156:6, 7
pipeline 25:22
pipelines 137:18
piping 136:3
pittance 129:2
Pittsburgh 3:3
place 33:10 50:19
53:16 66:3 93:4
99:14 104:25 105:4
121:6, 8, 12 125:20
121.0, 6, 72 123.20 129:9 130:1 138:6,
10 139:23 146:2
150:5 161:7
placed 12:11 110:7
places 39:15 41:13
53:23 102:22
Plains 95:10
20010

way combined again to restaining
Plan 23:23, 24 24:4, 17 27:10, 12 29:12, 19 31:12, 18, 23 32:1, 11 62:19 63:6, 8, 12 65:10 70:5 73:15 76:12, 14 79:22 80:24 81:4 87:16 98:24 117:14, 17
140:6
planet 121:13
planned 35:1
Planning 142:20
145: <i>3</i>
plans 126:11
Plan's 24:9 31:13
plant 136:4 155:23
plants 108:7 144:7
plastic 33:5
play 50:20
played 54:20
playground 48:12
plays 19:10
plea 74:25
pleaded 156:13
pleading 110:11
please 5:4 8:7, 15, 16
9:1, 20 40:6 44:6, 23
45:2, 6 49:5 52:24
53:24 54:2 57:11
61:12, 14 66:21
99:21 101:17, 18, 19,
21, 22, 23 103:15
104:15, 15, 19 105:19,
20 106:15 109:15, 15
112:5 115:7 120: <i>14</i>
124: <i>14</i> 125: <i>24</i> 129: <i>7</i> ,
<i>16</i> , 22 130:5, 6, <i>10</i> , <i>14</i>
132:4, 16, 21 134:2, 8,
<i>19</i> 135: <i>1</i> , <i>12</i> 137: <i>16</i> ,
<i>21</i> 138: <i>15</i> , <i>21</i> 139: <i>8</i> ,
22, 25 142:7, 9, 9, 9,
19 144:4, 15 145:2, 9,
<i>21</i> 146:5, <i>11</i> , <i>14</i> , <i>21</i> ,
24 147:1, 6, 13, 13
148: <i>12</i> 149: <i>14</i> , <i>15</i>
150:2 <i>3</i> 151:2 152: <i>15</i> ,
<i>17</i> 153: <i>15</i> , 2 <i>1</i> , 22, 24
154:2, <i>21</i> 155: <i>16</i>
156: <i>16</i> , <i>19</i> 157: <i>8</i> , <i>16</i> ,

24 158:8, 20, 20, 21,
<i>24</i> 159:8, <i>15</i> , <i>22</i>
pleased 102:3 125:15
pleasure 21:2
plenty 83:12
Pletcher 49:9 55:14
92:10
plume 29:8 155:22
plumes 25:1
Plus 74:12
plutonium 140:7, 10,
<i>14</i> 141: <i>4</i> , <i>11</i> 155:22,
<i>23</i> 156:5 158: <i>14</i>
pocket 96:8 123:5
pockets 105:12
123:25
podium 8: <i>17</i>
poet 83:18
point 17:12 31:11
33:9 66:18 67:21
70:5 86:22, 24 88:22
98: <i>4</i> 100: <i>11</i> , <i>21</i>
139: <i>12</i>
points 17:2 33: <i>12</i> 41:2 <i>1</i> 92: <i>19</i> 126:2 <i>1</i>
41:2 <i>1</i> 92: <i>19</i> 126:2 <i>1</i>
155: <i>3</i>
poisoning 53:7
poisons 75:7
policeman 94:21
policies 117:5, 12
Policy 116:25
political 33:23 34:2
44:6 116:20
politically 34:1
politicians 129:6
Pollutant 1:6
pollutants 53:14
62:19 105:7
pollute 51:17 77:2
pollution 32:6 36:19
68:21 69:9, 9 72:11
110:23 118:25
polysilicon 53:9, 11
ponder 89:8
ponds 102:17
pony 141:24
poor 34:5 92:17
147:6
poorest 67:24

```
popularity 37:18
populated 50:3
population 133:15
Porter 12:2, 3 30:18
38:15 54:9, 20 56:13
61:4 64:19 71:17
82:2 110:17 111:15
112:11, 12, 14 117:24
150:6
portion 41:4
portions 28:2
portray 42:25
pose 36:7
poses 25:15 53:12
125:3
position 110:7
135:10 136:14
positive 21:19 37:13
43:1 45:18 64:6
66:6 84:4 85:17
positively 155:14
possible 29:3 66:9
76:21 100:11
possibly 152:5
post-closure 10:17
posted 154:24
posts 144:16
potassium 49:21
potential 26:19
27:21 36:2 65:18
112:20
potentially 46:20
pounds 60:16, 19
Power 45:15 70:10
71:10 128:6, 11
PowerPoint 125:12, 15
practical 67:14
practice 108:22
113:12
practices 32:6, 10
46:7 58:2 126:15
praying 106:7
preceded 41:23
precious 73:1 102:18,
19
predator 121:15
predecessor's 145:19
predictable 105:16
predicted 30:5
```

Deposition of Legislative Hearing
preface 57:15 58:6
preferential 99:8
premium 95:4
prepare 41:20
138:19 151:25
prepared 11:6, 13, 17
38:5 56:11 57:23
58:19 65:18 71:18
84:18 111:5
prerequisite 31:19
Presbyterian 74:4
present 31:23 109:10
-
presentation 9:17, 21
134:20 155:2
presented 7:2 56:8
66:20 72:3 104:11
presently 32:4 63:15
110:1
preserving 158:12
president 17:24
30:13 57:17 62:6
116: <i>11</i>
press 128:22
pressure 39:6
presumably 138:14
presume 156:20
presumed 58:5
pretty 44:8 54:25
84:7 100:10 105:16
prevailing 132:10
prevent 54:7 118:24
prevention 32:6
previous 44:9 53:2
103:5 111:7, 17
price 146:22, 22, 23
147:1, 2
priceless 129:6
prices 84:20
pride 114:25
-
primarily 68:16
125:7 150:9
primary 10:13 13:20
principal 31:22
98:23 117:16
principles 101:15
printing 161:11
prior 12:22 16:12
26:24 29:9, 24
146:13 147:16
priorities 16:16

priority 16:18 18:3 22:19 78:5 97:6 **pristine** 110:20 private 18:16, 22 32:8 84:24 **privilege** 95:*1* 121:7 **privv** 107:19 **probably** 47:10 74:21 80:15 92:16 131:24 149:9 150:6 **problem** 15:8 17:14 39:20 42:5 51:21 52:6 83:13 85:1 86:6 93:23 94:23 134:18 160:1 **problems** 13:25 33:7 39:4 87:4 105:15, 15 107:13, 17 133:3, 7 139:9 148:19 procedural 159:6 procedures 58:3 proceeding 8:19 **Proceedings** 2:*1* 8:*20* 78:16, 19 **process** 11:20 21:7 25:8, 9 30:4 38:8 119:11 148:1 149:24 154:*1* processes 48:6 processing 49:22 127:17 **procure** 17:16 **produce** 80:17 115:24, 25 produced 70:6 144:*14* **produces** 23:21 35:19 producing 133:22 production 49:24 53:10 122:16 productive 85:17 products 35:20 53:2, professional 30:24 54:16 57:2 79:3 94:13 134:12 143:8 professionalism 21:4 professionally 55:18 professionals 126:8

131:2*4* 133:*1* 138:*17* **professor** 58:7 100:2 **profit** 96:14 **Program** 6:2 19:12, *17*, *23* 128:*12* 132:*13* **programs** 19:18 117:11 **progress** 67:22 98:18 prohibited 89:5 **project** 11:4, 15, 18, 19 12:25 20:18 25:22 45:14 71:8, 11 92:21 134:11 projection 63:16 **projects** 19:13 25:13 55:11 63:20 105:13 promised 158:6 **promote** 85:6 87:17 promoted 58:18 **proof** 56:5 108:20 propaganda 64:13 112:*1* **Proper** 29:6 77:6 **properly** 55:21 79:15 155:24 properties 20:10 141:24 **property** 5:17 31:8 37:9 47:19, 23 48:2, 3, 5, 8, 14 49:3, 3, 15, 19 50:23 51:10, 12 53:17 84:7, 9 91:7, 8, *11* 100:6 104:8 133:11 140:23, 23, 24 142:*18* 156:*7* 158:*13* proportional 43:11 **proposal** 6:23 9:17 19:2 21:14 34:16 88:11 **propose** 24:25 **proposed** 5:13, 16 10:3, 8, 11 11:4, 15, 18 19:5 28:11, 14, 17 29:25 30:6, 17, 25 36:2 37:2*4* 49:*13* 51:1 78:15 82:18 83:22 87:17 101:24 158:10 proposing 10:18

prosperity 23:11 119:6 **protect** 27:23 57:3 74:1 77:3, 12 104:13, *13* 110:*4* 115:*7* 118:22 119:23 121:21, 23 123:23 125:6 **protected** 109:*14* **protecting** 57:5 98:3 104:21 **protection** 23:14, 14 27:19 68:17 protective 29:22 **protocol** 25:20 26:7 29:23 **proud** 21:9 73:25 **proudly** 94:21 **prove** 66:2 84:18 86:23 87:1, 2, 2 89:21 144:21 147:7 **proven** 19:14 86:23 **provide** 7:6 9:13, 15, 16 24:5, 7 25:14 56:10, 23 65:5 78:18 96:22 131:14 **provided** 7:18 26:14 28:7 57:8 66:5 112:17 130:20 159:9 **provider** 16:18 **provides** 55:5 126:11 **providing** 18:4 20:16 112:24 provisions 30:21 146:16 proximity 50:1 **prudent** 56:21 **Public** 1:19 3:8 5:23 6:4, 10, 11, 21, 22, 24 7:8, 9 9:18 11:6, 13, 20 12:6, 17, 18 14:22 23:7, 15 26:2 27:16 29:16 30:22 31:6 38:6 54:7 57:24, 25 58:20 59:1 67:10 68:18 70:9 73:19 74:14, 20 78:13, 18 79:1 80:7, 8, 25 86:13 87:4, 6, 10, 12 89:15 95:17 97:2, 3,

prosper 96:14

25 98:21 103:24 118:10 119:5 131:7 142:21 145:5 149:2, 9, 24 150:4 153:10, 11 154:2, 5, 9, 11 155:12 156:4 159:8 160:13 161:4 **Publication** 7:19 **public's** 152:*3* published 7:10 132:15 publishing 112:24 **Puerto** 43:19 115:18 **pump** 111:3 punishment 111:23 **punitive** 100:13 purchased 112:14 114:16 123:3 **purely** 32:23 purpose 6:3 pursuant 1:4, 19 5:8 161:7 **pursuit** 119:*4* **purview** 89:25 **put** 15:12, 13 17:3 22:17 32:19 37:21 41:16 44:6 48:10, 22 52:4 61:22 64:2 73:2 85:25 86:1 93:10 102:4 109:9 124:25 129:*13* 136:*1* 138:17 145:24 **puts** 19:21 **putting** 55:6 84:1 89:25 92:13 102:5 123:24 **puzzle** 147:24

<Q>qualifies 41:13 Quality 1:8 11:8, 14 15:18 35:6, 15 55:18 62:12 75:11 106:14 115:7 119:5 121:23 122:15, 15 145:4 quarter 18:18 118:8 142:25 question 47:13 83:21, 24 114:11 123:8, 13 questionable 136:6 **questions** 68:24 70:9 86:11 131:8, 15 134:22 135:17 154:*1*2, *1*3 155:*1*8 quick 41:21 quickly 8:22 44:13 86:6 **quiet** 52:13 **Quiett** 34:8 38:13, 14, 14 **quite** 79:15 88:9 136:6 148:25 159:4 **quote** 59:6, 14 65:22, 23 113:9, 17 140:8

147:10 < R > race 117:3 racial 116:22, 22 117:7 **Rader** 94:10 101:25, 25 **radiation** 26:4 36:9 51:8, 14 139:11 140:6 141:21 **radio** 7:15, 16, 20 42:4 150:4 **radioactive** 26:3 27:2 49:20, 22 54:24 55:13 107:23 108:4, *4*, *10* 125:5 126:25 133:12, 21 139:12 140:25 radioactivity 25:19 69:8 90:12 110:5 radiologic 24:14 radiological 24:17 26:8, 9, 12, 17, 25 27:11 29:21 31:7, 10 **radium** 49:20, 20, 21 135:25 radium-226 135:21 **radius** 104:6 **radon** 49:21 rain 75:12, 15 raise 15:23

raised 102:1

raising 54:17 ran 144:6 range 35:6, 19 ranking 14:3 **Ransomville** 12:7, 8, 8 50:17 103:19 109:20 rapid 108:17 rare 39:24 53:4 rate 51:24 130:11, 12 145:19 146:3 rates 75:20, 24 100:15 **rationale** 138:*15* rays 140:11, 14 **reach** 94:23 reached 28:19 40:24 reaching 10:14 **reactive** 75:13 **reactor** 134:*1* **read** 13:15 23:8 33:9 43:5, 24 44:12 60:24 61:11 62:9 78:20 126:2 128:20 149:17 152:6 156:22 157:2 **reading** 132:24, 25 151:11 153:12 **real** 41:21 42:15 60:6 realistic 78:19 reality 47:11, 19 realize 51:25 61:9 really 43:23 47:10 58:15 65:15 69:22 72:7 83:23 89:10, 14, 16 103:23 104:9 122:7 132:23 140:17 145:17 156:22 **realtor** 112:15 **reason** 50:9 88:15 104:5, 5 105:1, 4, 5, 7 114:24 116:2 126:17 127:18 134:14, 24 148:4 reasonable 59:15 147:14 159:11 reasonably 86:18 reasons 14:17, 20

50:1 71:24 73:20 100:9 124:18 128:4 reassuring 88:3 **Rebecca** 120:19, 21, 23 rebuilding 62:23 **recall** 101:7, 19 **receipts** 84:9 127:11 **receive** 91:12 114:9 **received** 57:9 131:21 159:3 receives 128:5 recess 53:22 112:6 **Recharge** 128:*12* **recognize** 57:6 135:4 recognized 23:22 100:23 125:18 135:4 recommended 120:1 reconstruct 148:5 159:11 reconvene 53:20 112:5 160:12 **record** 5:3 8:1, 11 12:15 19:14 21:22 30:15 32:13 99:20, *22*, *24* 126:*3* 139:*17* 147:7 **recorded** 12:21 160:2 161:9 **records** 58:3 146:15 **recovery** 54:23 recyclables 54:24 recycle 105:6 **recycling** 32:9 54:23 55:11 63:10 99:15 **reduce** 62:13 105:5 **reduced** 67:23 **reducing** 99:*13* **reduction** 23:18 32:7, 9 37:9 reference 130:6 152:19 references 132:4 **referred** 126:1 155:9 **reflect** 156:24 **refused** 135:*3* regard 65:2 **regarded** 142:*15* **regarding** 7:15 33:10 55:13 98:1

16:3, 4 30:8 33:2

Deposition of Legislative Hearing
Regardless 33:7
117:2 150:2
Region 10:3 12:3
18:19 19:21 21:12
22:19, 23 28:3 69:1
104:22 127:21, 22
134:13 151:18 159:4
Regional 6:2 10:1
18:17 56:8 69:23
142:20 145:3
region's 18:15 70:1
85:6
Registry 86:16
regular 87:10
regulating 23:18
regulation 150:18
Regulations $1:7 \ 5:11$
11:23 20:6 117:5
127:3
regulator 136:20
149: <i>19</i>
regulators 56:4, 12
regulatory 11:20
29:2 82:11 119:21
127:6 145:15
reinterpreted 146:7
reinvigorated 145:18
reiterated 111:6
reject 101:23
related 5:14, 16 36:7
59:7 148:9
relates 157:1
relating 31:8 155:22
relation 11:22
Relations 18:13
relationship 100:16
relationships 101:1
Relative 132:10
relatively 88:20
release 26:16
released 60:11
releases 26:20
releasing 150:21
relevant 78:16
religion 100:2
religious 72:20 73:25
74:1, 3
relocate 56:20
relocated 70:15
II

rely 33:4 60:21 **relying** 147:19 remain 83:10 remaining 58:4 remains 33:3 remarkably 134:20 remarks 57:14 58:6 remedial 63:18, 20 134:11, 12 136:10, 21 remediated 20:9 102:12 158:16 remediation 19:13 20:12 25:21 28:21 54:22 55:10 67:23 remember 17:2 18:2, *3* 71:7 76:9 101:2*1* 130:25 133:23 138:12 **remind** 66:14 139:23 remotely 76:20 remove 50:5 148:16 **removed** 148:12 **rendition** 132:*17* renewal 130:17 131:8, 10 144:24 **REPA** 145:17 repair 25:13, 21 45:13 **repeat** 27:8 103:7 repeatedly 131:22 134:8 **replace** 10:20 138:5 replacement 25:14 report 58:17, 21 59:5, 17, 18, 23, 24 60:8, 10 61:20 62:21 63:1 64:13, 21 65:1 66:5, *19, 21 76:1 86:17* 102:9, 16 147:16 156:9 **reported** 60:12, 15 63:6 66:18 100:13 108:14 143:24 146:13 **reporting** 143:21 146:19 **reports** 75:23 76:4 114:8 147:14, 18, 19, 20 148:7, 8 159:10

represent 18:17 40:23, 25 45:11 106:19 representation 40:19 representations 59:8 representative 45:10 76:2, 10 representatives 9:25 75:16, 18 78:14 101:19 represented 136:5 representing 78:6 reputation 86:8 request 8:25 12:18 27:6 37:25 38:5 78:23 80:2 81:2, 23 134:19 147:8 requested 54:1 requesting 78:12 159:8 **required** 11:19 27:5, 22 28:22 29:23 127:4 requirement 26:13 **Requirements** 1:6 6:6 127:6 requires 11:15 27:11, 12 63:9 87:16 **requiring** 62:7 118:8 120:9 **Research** 67:10 68:23 researched 24:15 reside 64:18 77:24 94:11 100:3 116:9 **resident** 13:18 41:9 45:8 54:4, 9 92:9 112:11 120:25 121:2 residential 82:22 84:13 residents 13:22 15:17 16:4 18:6 20:17 25:6 27:16 40:21 57:17, 18 62:25 74:10 75:18 78:4 100:12 111:22 112:17 115:20 **Residual** 5:13 10:4 30:15 77:4 **Residuals** 1:8, 23 3:8

19:5 **residues** 158:*17* resolution 116:17 resolutions 17:3 **resolved** 118:*13* **resource** 27:23 73:1 133:6 resources 36:22 68:18 118:23 respect 9:2 61:8 80:10 117:3 respected 58:19 respectfully 37:25 97:1 respectively 38:3 **respond** 130:15 responding 141:6 response 12:18 130:20 131:6, 14 140:7 156:*1*, *3* **responses** 130:*15* 131:20 132:*1* 134:22 responsibilities 30:23 responsibility 34:3 59:5 **Responsible** 74:10 78:4 104:22 rest 71:3 88:1 restaurants 114:19 restoration 98:5, 18 135:2 136:7 **restore** 98:19 restrain 37:22 result 30:5 32:5 107:21 **resulted** 26:7 46:1 **resulting** 28:*3* 117:9 **results** 59:11 94:15 retains 150:15 retired 45:12 94:13 96:1 100:1 retreat 106:24 retreatants 106:24 **return** 62:3 returned 44:25 **reuse** 32:9 99:15 reveals 58:24 **revenue** 39:*13* revenues 56:23

repositories 12:6 13:4

Deposition of Degislative Hearing /
review 6:8 11:6, 13, 14, 16, 25 38:10 56:6
144:12 147:14
reviewed 12:22
29:13 96:24 132:1
reviewing 156:15 revisions 56:6
revitalization 19:19
revitalizing 21:12
22:18
rhetorical 44:17
rich 23:9 35:13
114:18
Richardson 103:16
110:15, 16, 16
Rick 90:19
Rico 43:19 115:18
ridiculous 104:7
138:20 141: <i>16</i>
143:25
riding 15:19
right 8:4 18:4 22:24
30:2 38:6 40:6 48:1,
4 49:2 50:15, 20
60:3 67:22 68:7
69:11 71:20 74:16,
25
87:5 88:15, 16, 19
91:1, 1, 14 92:10
98:8 99:19 105:22
106:3, 4, 7, 9, 16
121:10 122:10
126:24 144:19
rights 116:15, 21
rigorous 127:5
risk 26:2, 4 33:18
36:7, 12 55:19 56:18
64:9 84:12 97:24
125:4 155:7
risks 25:15 62:8
risky 26:12 36:8
River 27:18 33:8
36:22 51:15 60:10,
13, 17 84:23 98:8
100:4, 4, 6 106:22
107:12 108:11, 12, 16 114:21 121:4 129:24
114:21 121:4 129:24 144:5 157:24 158:2
177.5 157.27 150.2

RMU-1 81:10 126:19 152:23 155:17 158:20 **RMU-2** 1:8, 23 5:14, 16 10:4, 11, 14, 21, 23 11:4, 19 19:5, 6 20:17 28:11 30:18 32:14 77:4 82:19 83:22 88:11 126:18 156:19 158:23 **Road** 2:3 5:19 12:3. 8 38:15 39:24 41:11, 12 49:9, 14 55:14 82:24 90:23 92:10 94:12 110:6 144:5 152:9 **roads** 36:15 45:14 62:24 118:11 roadways 62:23 **Robert** 18:8 30:10, 12 Rochester 56:14 **rock** 53:6 **role** 19:11 20:14 rolls 19:22 **Ronald** 34:8 38:14 room 42:21 **rooms** 37:16 62:4 **rose** 137:9 **Ross** 13:10 16:8, 9, 9 **roughly** 35:17 **route** 82:23 **routes** 63:1 row 8:12 **RRG** 84:18 152:12 153:11 **rule** 115:16 **Rules** 1:7 5:11 run 34:19 55:18 89:24 155:11 running 39:20 91:1 **runoff** 104:23 runs 37:15 114:21 **rural** 118:*11* **RV-8** 11:12 **Ryan** 76:10 $\langle S \rangle$ sacrifice 82:3

safe 16:2 19:23 20:1

25:25 57:7 60:21

105:14 109:23 124:12 135:21 safeguard 54:8 **safely** 24:12 47:2, 5 48:25 49:1 70:20 **Safety** 1:19 3:8 6:10, 24 13:21 14:19 16:20 21:23 25:7 26:14 27:7, 9 33:17, 18 41:24 46:21 56:2 75:4 77:13 94:14, 17, *19* 96:*15* 101:*10* 118:25 119:19 **sagging** 18:*3* sake 73:12 138:18 sales 84:20 127:25 143:20 **sample** 138:6, 10, 11 140:16 157:11 **sampled** 131:*16* 141:4 samples 39:8, 14 108:8 sampling 58:3 126:12 140:6, 23 141:11 157:12, 13, 15 **Sanborn** 61:4 102:2, sand 28:8 50:14 146:3 **sandy** 35:13 **Satan** 147:11 save 126:15 **saved** 147:5 saw 143:10 saving 65:6 73:9, 12 74:13 89:20 121:19 142:15 says 8:24 15:4 67:18 72:10 80:16 83:7 125:19 128:13, 22 134:21 138:1 145:1 147:19 151:22 153:5, 6 156:4 **SCA** 145:7 scale 26:4 31:8, 9 scaling 155:23 156:6 scan 25:18

scare 14:11 42:20 46:24 136:9 scared 87:9 scares 42:18, 18, 19 scarred 23:11 scary 14:16 **Schneekloth** 67:4, 6, 7 **School** 2:3 13:6 15:2, 25 17:5 22:6 39:22, 23 50:19 54:14, 19 55:24 60:5 72:21, 22 76:5, 8, 20 78:2, 7 82:25 83:5, 9, 12, 14, 14 92:10 93:15, 15 94:6 95:20, 23 97:13 109:11 114:24 115:2, 21 130:11 schools 55:22, 23 82:16 118:11 129:24 131:1 science 75:14 150:11 scientific 77:5 **scientist** 113:16 scientists 113:10 sclerosis 115:23 **scope** 44:19 66:19 **scoping** 151:21 score 33:16 **SCOTT** 4:3 6:18 scourge 115:4 SCOZZAFAVA 3:16 67:1 screen 24:19 screening 24:17 29:22 126:14, 15 searching 152:6 **Second** 65:12 69:1, 21 82:18 93:5 113:*17* 127:*1* 131:*13* 134:4 143:4 160:12 secondary 10:13 **Secondly** 71:6 131:2 seconds 149:12 159:20, 24 **secret** 137:22 Secretary 67:2 **Section** 1:7 149:7 153:18 **sector** 18:16 32:8

scanning 26:13

Deposition of Legislative Hearing
secure 31:16 46:18
88:2, 6
Securities 146:16
security 94:20 96:15
see 39:5, 24 40:4
45:13 47:23 55:23
65:7 69:22 83:5
95:10 96:13 104:10
108:9 109:13 128:3
129:17, 23 132:6
133:14, 16, 18 134:3,
135:14, 10, 16 134:3, 4 135:13 138:14
144:13 145:18
147:20 149:13
153:11 157:1 160:7
seeing 114:6
seeking 78:5
seeks 88:11
seen 76:3 90:24
95:16 149:24 156:20
seep 53:7
seepage 33:8
sees 74:1
segment 148:20, 22
segments 148:24
149: <i>3</i>
select 64:3
self-interest 34:2
self-monitored 125:7
sell 34:20 84:25
selling 146:25
semi-conductor 53:9
semi-volatile 36:6
send 14:2 51:16
79:24 88:23, 24
135:6 144:11 156:9
sending 80:20
sends 151:15
Seneca 56:14
Senior 15:2 18:13
143:19
sense 26:21 42:6
47:22 72:21 73:1
89:14 92:11 93:5
I I
139:1 146:17 156:1
Sent 134:24 137:22
Sentinel 7:14
separate 6:1 125:12
143:21
H

1 Diep Critini neolit Edges harrie See aromg
September 7:6 12:19, 20 18:1 38:7 44:13 78:22 100:14 131:19 143:10 156:18 158:22
serious 36:17 86:11
seriously 88:14
serve 23:6 75:18
116: <i>10</i>
served 58:12 94:20
service 16:18 58:9
110:25 113:12
serviced 155:24
Services 1:8, 22 3:8
4:6 5:13, 18, 22, 24
6:4 9:7 19:2, 9
57:22 65: <i>4</i> , <i>13</i> 111: <i>1</i>
serving 6:20 152:23
session 7:24 125:14
154:9, <i>11</i> 160: <i>10</i> , <i>13</i>
sessions 6:21 7:4
set 18:7 34:7 43:15
161:8
Setting 68:23
settling 102: <i>17</i>
seven 35:18 60:16,
18 66:18 88:4, 4, 5,
<i>13</i> 122:9 159: <i>12</i>
seventeen 137:12
seventies 107:9
seventy 135:16
seventy-five 50:4
92: <i>17</i> 110: <i>24</i> 120: <i>1</i>
151:8
seventy-seven 110:17
severity 139:9
sewer 138:25
shaft 14:10
shape 69:20 70:2
shaped 23:10
share 14:1, 23 68:4
103:9 107:7 117:8
119:11 142:6
sharing 113:4
shed 36:23
sheet 12:24, 25 151:3,
12, 15
shine 25:8
Shirley 116:6, 9

shocked 60:25

```
short 13:14 23:8
52:22, 23 132:2
shorthand 161:9, 14
shortly 93:17
shotgun 110:12
shoulders 123:6
show 28:8 100:15
125:15 135:13
141:24 143:13
144:10, 11 155:6
157:6 159:16, 17, 21
showdown 72:7
showed 121:17
showing 121:18
shown 87:4
shows 22:12 30:5
80:19 102:9
shredded 84:7
shut 33:23 92:21
93:14 147:3
sic 152:19 158:17
sick 124:18
side 28:15 112:25
138:22 143:20
sides 28:14
siege 110:2
Sierra 102:3
signal 8:22
signed 62:11 73:11
significance 147:23
significant 23:17
24:18 25:12 31:19
37:8 42:17 59:14
86:17 97:16 98:20
significantly 28:20
105:8
signs 135:9
silicon 53:11
silt 50:7 91:1 146:1
similar 41:22 72:5
147:5
SIMONSON 4:2
6:18 41:5
simplistic 27:25
simply 78:22 79:10
126:7 155:9
simultaneous 119:4
simultaneously 131:9
Singer 34:18
```

single 70:21 100:22
124: <i>24</i> 125: <i>1</i>
sir 52:12, 22
sister 103:1 106:18
sisters 106:20
sister's 91:5
sit 8:12
site 19:25 24:15, 24
25:2 26:25 27:11
28:2, 11 29:15 31:9
36:9, 13 49:18, 24
50:25 51:3, 11, 14
55:13 56:23 60:5
67:13 69:19 70:16
77:17 79:13 86:25
90:11, 13 92:14
104:6 106:21 107:24
108:10 110:19 113:1,
11, 24 118:6 125:5
126: <i>17</i> , <i>25</i> 128:2 <i>1</i>
130: <i>3</i> 132:25 133: <i>11</i> , <i>12</i> , 25 134: <i>3</i> , 7, <i>10</i> , <i>15</i>
135:8, 11, 15, 24
136: <i>15</i> 138: <i>9</i> 139: <i>10</i>
141:18, 22 142:1, 17
144:2, 22 145: <i>14</i>
146:4, 10 148:6, 9, 10,
10 151:5 154:8
158: <i>17</i>
sites 20:8 21:11
102:10, 16 103:8
110:21 114:9 130:2
133:20
site's 21:17
SITING 1:15, 20 3:4,
4 4:8 5:7 6:8, 11, 20
9:24 21:17 22:20
23:5, 23 24:9 29:12,
19 31:12, 18, 19, 23
32:11 38:1 40:16, 20
42:21 44:6 48:16
49:5, 11 57:6, 13, 20
63:6 64:12 65:10
70:5 73:15 76:12
77:24 78:6 81:4, 4
87:16 98:24 99:18
100: <i>1</i> 101:7, <i>12</i> , <i>17</i>
109:19 111:7 117:14,
<i>17</i> 118: <i>14</i> 125: <i>19</i>
128:21 130:18 131:7

Deposition of Legislative Hearing
152:3, 25 153:8, 15, 18, 19 154:16, 18, 21,
25 155:3, 11 156:20
157:3, 4, 6 159:6, 17
sitting 92:25 139:15
situation 46:3
six 16:25 17:1 39:4
73:10 81:13, 14, 19, 20, 25 82:13 98:4
102:11 118:6 122:9
151:9
six-inch 25:19
sixteen 51:7
six-thirty 160:12
sixty 20:17 35:18
84:4 102:2 106:20
137:10 149:11, 25
151:16, 18, 20 159:20,
24
sixty-six 128:22
sixty-two 69:15
size 131:3
skating 33:15
skepticism 90:2 skill 155:6
skip 88:1 149:11
skipped 125:14
skyrocketed 95:7
SLF-1 88:2
SLF-12 88:6
slide 124: <i>13</i> 125: <i>24</i>
129:7, 16, 22 130:5
132:4, 16, 21, 23
133:17, 21 134:2, 8,
20 135:12, 13 136:7
137:16, 21 138:15, 21
139:2, 8, 22, 25 140: <i>1</i>
145:24 146:5, 21, 24
147:1, 6, 13 148:12
149:15 150:23 151:2
153:1, 3, 15, 21 154:2
155:16 159:8, 17
slides 126:21 135:6
137:22 149:4 160:5
slow 8:22 146:3
slowed 145:10
slowly 8:18
slurried 135:20, 20
smack 48:4 132:11

Dispositioned Edgishave Realong
small 25:13, 20, 22
26:7, 14, 18, 23 29:23
109:22
smart 42:15
smarter 23:21
smell 39: <i>3</i>
Smith 94:9 96:17, 18,
18
snooker 137:23
social 116:21 117:7
119:2, <i>6</i> , <i>15</i>
society 52:8, 25
sociologist 83:24
soil 24:16, 18 26:6
28:6 50:7, 9 95:11
121: <i>24</i> 122: <i>16</i>
123:18 135:16, 21
soils 24:20 26:2, 18
35:5, <i>13</i> 50: <i>13</i> 54: <i>24</i>
solar 53:8
sole 6: <i>3</i>
solid 54:23 89:12
127:1, 4, 19 134:5
solidarity 109:21
somebody 51:21
156:3
someplace 116:3
something's 42:14
son 39:14 76:10
so-often 85:20
soon 39:16
sorry 39:12 sort 85:8
sorts 88:18
sound 56:17, 21 88:5,
9
sounds 77:5
source 27:22 29:3, 7
50:1 114:25 132:11
sources 67:16
south 28:14 137:18 138:22
space 43:22 67:24 76:13
sparsely 50:3 spawned 32:25
SPDES 1:6
speak 8:2, 7, 11, 16,
Speak 0.2, /, 11, 10,

17, *23* 18:*11* 34:*15*,

21 41:13 45:5 52:15

```
64:19 67:8, 9 68:12
71:24 80:8, 9, 9
122:5 123:12 124:4
149:2 155:10 159:18,
23
speaker 8:2, 25 9:19
38:13 45:2 52:17
57:11 124:7
speakers 18:7 34:7
53:24 67:3 71:22
72:14. 15 92:13 94:8
speaking 9:2 64:20
65:2 73:25 78:8
95:23 118:20 160:2
special 108:3
specialist 94:18
specializes 100:24
species 121:14, 14
123:17
specifically 32:14
114:1
specifics 65:14
specified 65:21
speechless 158:9
spend 47:14, 17 90:3
103:20 152:5
spent 20:19 23:16
46:8 47:3 124:16
127:17 129:9
spill 25:21
spills 36:14
spoil 102:20
spoke 43:21 74:11
80:11 139:10
spoken 43:8 73:22
sponsor 17:4
spot 98:7 133:16
spots 39:5
spouse 110:7
spread 53:18
Spring 14:7, 9
St 41:9 106:18
stability 136:5
stable 20:16
stadium 64:4
STAFF 4:3 8:8 9:16.
19 54:6 55:7, 18
113:23, 25 131:25
134:16, 21 137:23
```

```
staffer 136:11 138:8
139:3, 20 143:2
154:7
staffer's 136:5
Stage 68:23
staggering 60:14
stagnant 84:8
stake 90:17
stamp 161:16
stance 17:10
stand 18:25 22:8
39:1 77:22 109:20,
21
standard 59:19
standards 23:13 59:3
standing 93:14 133:8
stands 109:25
Stapleton 18:8 23:1,
2, 4, 6
start 57:14 64:8
71:14 109:2 152:6
started 131:18
136:21 142:13 151:3
156:17
starting 148:8
STATE 1:1, 6, 7, 15
3:16, 17, 18 5:6, 7, 9,
11 9:8 10:2 11:14,
22 13:9, 14 14:19, 21
18:25 20:8, 13 21:15,
21, 22 22:19 23:15,
20, 23, 24 26:10
29:12, 19 30:22
31:15, 21 32:1, 4
37:14 39:25 40:11
44:2 49:10 53:15
55:3, 3 56:3, 8 57:6
59:17 61:3, 6 63:5,
15 64:11 65:5, 10
66:25 67:2, 10, 15
68:25 69:15 70:6, 7
75:16, 18 76:11 77:2
81:17 82:11 84:1, 24
86:14 94:1, 7 95:13,
14 98:2, 24 99:1, 6
107:15 116:23
117:11, 13, 20 118:1,
2, 9, 16 119:1, 20
120:16 124:14, 15, 23
125:1, 20 126:23
```

138:13 156:14

1	Deposition of Legislative Hearing /
	127:15, 20 128:6
	129:6 130:7, 13
	134:5 147:9, 10
	158:11 161:2, 5
	stated 8:1 65:10, 17
	Statement 7:2, 8 9:3,
	4, 5 11:18 13:15
	41:20 44:9 71:18
	78:16 111:5 156:17
	statements 7:3 101:4,
	15, 21
	state-of-the-art 19:25
	21:5 75:14
	States 24:8 54:25
	62:10 63:8 75:24
	76:12 84:3 94:21
	105:9 114:10 115:18,
	24 116:18 130:2
	143:14
	State's 19:12, 17
	31:14 82:3 127:1
	stating 58:6 91:5, 13
	stations 7:17
	statistically 86:17
	statistics 132:5
	status 78:5 134:25
	154:20
	statute 128:13, 13
	statutory 79:14
	statutory 73.14 stay 36:1
	, ,
	stayed 17:17
	steep 137:20
	Stella 106:18
	stenographer 8:21
	52:11
	stenographic 8:19
	stenographically
	161:9
	step 29:9 149:6
	_
	stepped 120:3
	steward 121:8
	stewards 35:24 121:3
	123:24
	stewardship 19:15
	36:3
	stockholders 146:17
	stood 151:17
	stood 131.17 stop 17:10 32:19
	52:7, 11 64:7, 9 68:1,
1	

7 70:25, 25 71:*1* 93:19 105:1 116:5 **stopped** 71:23 109:8 122:17 157:13, 15 storage 10:19 21:6 31:17 49:18, 19, 24 50:25 54:25 55:13 63:11 67:19, 20 69:10 75:12 81:6 86:25 126:24 130:2, 3 133:11, 12, 25 134:2, 7, 10, 14 135:14 136:15 139:10 154:8 **Storm** 157:16, 17 story 14:16, 23 107:10 123:10 strategic 18:21 strategies 27:8, 9 **strategy** 29:25 30:6 62:11, 13, 17, 18 69:24 **Street** 12:2, 9 77:25 100:3 114:15 streets 41:16 97:13 109:12 stress 59:21 **strides** 23:17 stringent 20:6 strive 35:14 **strong** 18:25 55:20 120:19, 21, 21, 23, 23, 25 **strongly** 17:21 21:13 22:18 97:7 141:18 structures 50:11 135:20 136:*1* stuck 33:2 51:9 **students** 106:23 107:1 studies 44:20 86:13 87:4, 10 100:11, 18 study 44:16 68:22 69:3 87:12 100:22 120:9 130:7 **studying** 90:12 **stuff** 38:17. 18. 21. 25 39:3, 5, 6, 8, 14, 16, 19, 23 40:2 42:13 44:1 46:25 83:9 133:24

18, 19 140:17, 19 150:17 **stupid** 137:*15* **subject** 81:7, 11 100:18 **submit** 9:4 13:2 17:25 49:10 68:11 97:4 124:22 131:7 submitted 12:20 24:12, 19 38:4, 9 116:18 117:16 124:22 submitting 131:17 **subscribed** 161:*15* **subsidies** 70:10, 11, *12* 128:5 Substance 28:23 150:3 substances 49:25 62:17 substantial 63:19 subsurface 24:20 **success** 21:23 **successful** 19:12, 18, 24 succession 8:13 succumbed 110:22 **sudden** 39:10 **sufficient** 32:2 63:13 **suggest** 140:1 suggestion 126:5 suggests 67:13 100:21 136:23 137:25 145:24 sulphur 53:5 summarize 48:16 **summary** 59:23 **Summer** 60:22 78:21 120:6 **Summers** 35:12 **Sunday** 60:24 sunlight 53:10 **Super** 69:7 70:16 superintendent 83:6 supervision 81:8 supervisor 135:1 supervisors 143:12 suppliers 72:25 **supply** 75:6 98:12 103:10 110:1, 1

SUPPORT 4:3 18:25 35:18 44:9 85:2, 12 98:13 113:7, 8 127:24 supported 26:10 supporter 17:4 supporting 32:11 **supports** 21:13 37:19 57:1 80:18 supposed 21:25 65:*15* 119:*23* 135:*13* 138:13 151:25 158:21 supposedly 156:15 sure 16:2 35:25 42:1 65:15, 16 80:22 86:7 92:*1*, *24* 104:*1* 106:4, 5, 10, 11 126:7, *19* 131:*18* 141:*17* 149:1 152:9 160:3, 10 surface 25:21 36:24 surprised 159:4 surrounded 48:5 110:23 surrounding 36:15 49:13 61:4 68:3, 10 75:20 95:17 survey 52:2 127:9 surveying 95:2 **survive** 43:10 **suspect** 93:16 suspected 27:1 **suspicion** 137:*17* **sustain** 121:25 sustainable 37:10 69:25 85:2 87:19 swam 54:19 swear 141:*13* swim 60:22 synergy 127:19 **System** 1:6 10:13 24:23 33:9 95:23 114:24 systems 10:13 46:18, 19 69:10 <T>

136:18 137:3 139:16,

table 8:3, 8 9:12

Deposition of Englishative Hearing
33:6 41:2 64:3
tactfully 46:24
tactics 46:24
take 15:12 33:10
I I
34:3 49:14 52:6, 23
53:23 60:2 67:25
70:14 72:18, 19
77:20 87:6 88:14
91:9 104:19 105:19
112:4 136:10 143:4
144:12 150:19
taken 2:4 53:22
56:2 107:24 108:11
112:6 135:18 138:10,
11 144:20 161:7, 14
takes 44:11 56:5
talk 47:8, 20 71:19
79:19 80:6 82:15
83:16 85:10 89:17
93:8 102:8 131:22
135:6 142:10 148:3
152:2 154:16, 19
157:5
talked 80:5, 12 84:22
talking 9:1 41:21 44:1, 3 70:20 85:10 92:13 132:5 139:15
44:1, 3 70:20 85:10
92:13 132:5 139:15
141:8 158:22
talks 102:22
tank 25:21
Task 74:8
taught 72:13
tax 19:22 20:15
37:9, 11 83:14 84:9
93:11 95:22 127:10,
13
taxes 60:6 90:10
93:16, 21
tax-generating 20:10
taxpayer 43:8, 11, 11
70: <i>17</i>
teach 46:22 78:2
teacher 144: <i>18</i>
technical 79:1 133:9
156:23
technically 11:1,9
technological 53:1
technologies 45:24
46:6 70:13

Disposition of Edges nove Session
technology 21:5 52:9
teeth 145:18
tell 14:15 41:7
42:13 43:2 57:19
107:10 110:10
124:2 <i>1</i> 126:22
128:22 131:24
132:14, 24 133:18
134:17 136:11
143: <i>17</i> 152:8 155: <i>5</i> ,
13
telling 41:3 79:10
137:14 138:24
tells 105:13
temper 149:19
temperatures 35:11,
12
temporary 126:24, 25
133:12
tempted 66:14
ten 10:11 24:2
51:24 58:13 83:8
111: <i>15</i> 135: <i>15</i>
137:12 138:4 139:11
146:13 151:4, 6
ten-acre 134:6
tend 50:8
ten-minute 112:4
tens 82:19
tentative 11:2, 10
tentatively 10:24 11:7
ten-year 60:14 130:8
term 63:17 113:21
terrible 135:14
territory 110:4
tested 39:16
testimony 96:22
124:23 125:8 139:7
151:25 156:23
tetrachloride 53:11
Texas 55:2
Thank 13:6, 7, 17
16:7 18: <i>10</i> 21: <i>23</i>
22:3, 25 23:3 34:6,
13, 15 38:12 40:12
44:22 49:6 52:12
53:21 54:5 57:10
64:14, 14, 19 66:22
67: <i>7</i> 71: <i>15</i> 77:2 <i>1</i>

done Comment i itemoon bessio
96:16, 21 99:19
101:24 103:11.14
106:16 109:18
110:14 112:3, 5, 9, 23
113:4 114:12 115:11
120:16, 24 123:9, 10,
11 124:4, 11 147:3
160:8
thanked 151:18
Thankfully 127:22
129:2
Thanks 45:3 112:21
Theatre 107:2
Theory 138:21
142:18 149:20
thereof 101:22
thing 18:4 33:24
38:23 43:25 70:2 <i>1</i>
74:16, 25 78:11 96:4, 12 99:19 105:23
106:5, 8, 9, 16 136:17
143:7 147: <i>1</i>
things 14:6 27:5
45: <i>18</i> 47: <i>10</i> 70: <i>19</i> 72: <i>6</i> 73: <i>8</i> 83: <i>3</i> 89:7
93:10 98:5 99:14
105:11 106:3 109:9
127:12 129:25
think 18:2 33:20, 20
34:5, 11 38:8 40:20
41:13 43:17, 23
47:21 52:18 57:20
63:24 72:9 78:20
79:6 80:21 81:14
82:8 83:2 84:5, 6
91:23 93:2 100:9
103:7, 24 119:2 <i>1</i>
122:7, 14, 24 124:19
127:11 130:17, 18
133:18 135:6 136:4
143:3, 10 148:25
153:9 155: <i>12</i> 159: <i>13</i>
thinking 83:2 87:8
93:18 122:8, 9 124:2
156:25
thinks 107:4
third 50:9 58:25
thirdly 50:7
thirteen 37:16

thirty 24:2 41:18
thirty 24:2 41: <i>18</i> 46:9 54:2 <i>1</i> 55: <i>17</i>
60:9 74:19 77:14
82:21 83:1 90:23
91:18, 21 94:4 95:5
115:18 118:11
151:25 152:12
thirty-five 28:19
129:10
thirty-one 58:9
thirty-seven 52:18
128:24
thirty-two 60: <i>1</i>
thoroughly 126:3
thought 17:14 92:11
139: <i>14</i> 148: <i>12</i>
152:13
thousand 18:18
28:20 34:24 60:15,
18 61:1 78:17 88:4,
5, 8, 13 95:5 96:21
97:7 99:17 129:1
130:19 135:16, 25
151:7, 8, 10, 11
thousands 25:6
48:19, 22, 22 51:6
82:19
threat 36:17
threatened 36:10
threatening 97:13
threatens 98:16
threats 36:14 40:9
three 6:17 14:12
18:19 21:25 39:7
45:11 53:24 54:17
60:15, 18 65:16
69:13, 16, 16 76:6
91:4 94:23 96:6
103:2, 2 135:16
137:5 142:1, 3, 16
I .
145:5, 22 146:11
149:9 158:6 159:14
thrilled 93:3
thrive 35:14
thriving 62:3 93:8
throw 146:25
thruway 14:9
thruways 14:19
ties 74:24

90:18 92:5 94:7

Tim 67:4 74:17 90:2
Timbuktu 138:11
time 15:10 16:4, 7,
12 22:17, 21 32:18
38:9 42:9, 16 43:4, 5
44:10, 11, 12, 22 45:4
46:9 49:6 54:1 61:7
64:7, 15 67:20 68:7
70:15 71:14 72:7
78:13 89:24 90:3, 4
103:20, 21 104:14
107:11 109:1 111:18
112:3 125:14, 23
135:18 138:18
120.25 144.10 24
139:25 144:10, 24 145:24 146:6, 20
147:14 148:7, 18
152:5 156:2 <i>1</i> 158:5
159: <i>11</i> 161:7
timer 52:20
times 39:8 76:8
79: <i>1</i> 2
120:1, 6 125:22
140:5 145:12
tired 89:9
tires 14:11
Title 1:6 5:10
today 7:4 8:2, 24
12:25 18:11 22:9
33:11 34:15, 21, 25
36: <i>1</i> 40: <i>17</i> 41:8
44:7 45:4 46:25
57:21 58:14 64:20
67:8 73:18 77:9, 18
96:20, 22 97:6
100.10 110.5 10
100:10 110:5, 10
118:20 120:12
118:20 120:12 121:17 122:5 131:18
118:20 120:12
118:20 120:12 121:17 122:5 131:18 139:15 152:12 154:23 156:23
118:20 120:12 121:17 122:5 131:18 139:15 152:12
118:20 120:12 121:17 122:5 131:18 139:15 152:12 154:23 156:23
118:20 120:12 121:17 122:5 131:18 139:15 152:12 154:23 156:23 today's 6:21 8:20 74:20
118:20 120:12 121:17 122:5 131:18 139:15 152:12 154:23 156:23 today's 6:21 8:20 74:20 told 27:4 38:24
118:20 120:12 121:17 122:5 131:18 139:15 152:12 154:23 156:23 today's 6:21 8:20 74:20 told 27:4 38:24 44:14 75:9 87:24
118:20 120:12 121:17 122:5 131:18 139:15 152:12 154:23 156:23 today's 6:21 8:20 74:20 told 27:4 38:24 44:14 75:9 87:24 108:2, 2, 3 136:12, 16
118:20 120:12 121:17 122:5 131:18 139:15 152:12 154:23 156:23 today's 6:21 8:20 74:20 told 27:4 38:24 44:14 75:9 87:24 108:2, 2, 3 136:12, 16 139:14 141:10
118:20 120:12 121:17 122:5 131:18 139:15 152:12 154:23 156:23 today's 6:21 8:20 74:20 told 27:4 38:24 44:14 75:9 87:24 108:2, 2, 3 136:12, 16 139:14 141:10 143:11 150:24 154:3
118:20 120:12 121:17 122:5 131:18 139:15 152:12 154:23 156:23 today's 6:21 8:20 74:20 told 27:4 38:24 44:14 75:9 87:24 108:2, 2, 3 136:12, 16 139:14 141:10 143:11 150:24 154:3 155:21 157:1, 19
118:20 120:12 121:17 122:5 131:18 139:15 152:12 154:23 156:23 today's 6:21 8:20 74:20 told 27:4 38:24 44:14 75:9 87:24 108:2, 2, 3 136:12, 16 139:14 141:10 143:11 150:24 154:3 155:21 157:1, 19 tomorrow 12:12
118:20 120:12 121:17 122:5 131:18 139:15 152:12 154:23 156:23 today's 6:21 8:20 74:20 told 27:4 38:24 44:14 75:9 87:24 108:2, 2, 3 136:12, 16 139:14 141:10 143:11 150:24 154:3 155:21 157:1, 19

Tonawanda 91:4 119:25 158:18 tonight 7:25 73:18 77:10, 18 78:8 125:13 144:11 159:16 tonnage 17:5 tons 75:6 76:16 81:13, 15, 19, 20, 25 82:13 85:11 115:1 117:22, 24 118:6 129:1 151:10, 11 top 22:19 32:21 50:17 69:16 102:14 topic 18:12 58:9, 10 126:20 **Toronto** 133:*15* **total** 45:8 81:1 128:24 totally 60:25 79:21 touch 15:11 92:11 97:6 99:2 tour 113:24, 25 141:24 touring 21:2 **Tourism** 14:*3* 32:22 37:11, 14, 18, 19 94:5, 5 160:7 tourist 115:10 **Town** 12:2, 3 17:20 45:8 54:9, 13, 20 56:12 60:5 64:18 71:17 73:23 82:2, 2, 15, 15 85:25 95:25 110:17 111:14, 22 112:11, 14 115:14 117:24 152:20, 22 153:*1* towns 16:13 30:17 48:3 61:3 84:8, 11 toxic 13:24, 25 14:4, 18, 21 15:5 28:23 32:19 49:25 53:12 60:1, 3, 11, 17 61:5 62:16, 17, 19 63:7, 25 64:6 65:8, 11 67:25 68:5 70:1, 12 71:4, 12 73:2, 15, 17 77:6 98:6, 7, 9 103:21

104:25 105:7 114:9, 11 115:16, 24 122:16 toxicity 110:4 114:2, **Toxics** 62:11, 13, 14, 16 toxins 19:21 60:16, 19 62:8 **traced** 144:9 track 19:14 20:5 21:22 147:7 tractors 82:20 trades 48:21 traditional 121:4 traffic 20:24 41:14, 24 44:16, 20 62:23 84:14 trail 37:15, 19 **trailer** 82:19 **trail's** 37:18 transcribed 161:10 **transcript** 161:6, 8, 12 transcription 161:13 transfer 53:9 69:10 128:13 transferred 96:6 128:11 transition 128:16 transitioning 20:9 **Transportation** 3:19 6:17 76:3 transported 114:11 transporting 14:18 137:19 travel 118:9 119:18 traveling 36:16 **travesty** 115:19 **treasure** 114:24 treat 88:22 **treated** 27:21 treatment 10:19 31:17 63:11 81:8 87:18 117:1, 6 126:4 136:4 159:3 **Treaty** 107:22 trees 95:10 tremendously 115:3 triage 145:4 **Tribal** 117:*11* **tribute** 129:4 133:17

tried 134:8 136:3 148:3 **trillion** 135:22 troubling 28:12 135:7 150:8 truck 20:23 42:10 62:22, 25 76:6 82:19, 23 trucking 72:18 85:4 115:18 **trucks** 14:8 15:5 17:6 36:*15* 39:*21* 72:17, 20 76:4 83:5, 6, 7 97:12 108:9 109:10, 13 113:18 115:4, 20 118:9 131:16 **true** 44:15, 17 76:13 161:13 truly 14:20 20:22 43:13 **trust** 39:12 66:3, 13, *14* 72:9 111:*12* **trusted** 101:9 trusting 72:2 trusts 29:11 **truth** 71:25 **try** 44:10 77:17 78:21 102:23 111:11 141:7 144:*19* 152:*1* trying 78:10 129:10 136:8 151:14 **TSCA** 150:11, 14 turn 8:10 42:4 43:5 71:9 **turning** 21:11 turns 69:14, 23 **Tussing** 90:20 92:6 **TV** 42:4 twelve 61:9 88:6 96:7 127:17 147:25 149:24 151:3, 13 twenty 10:11 24:2 60:9 63:23 91:18 134:16 142:23 143:*13* 151:*4*, 22 twenty-five 8:5 34:24 46:22 79:14 82:21 95:6 127:14 151:7

Deposition of Engineering / 1	1 8 8		
twenty-four 145:22	understands 152:15	use 8:17 13:7 47:18	violations 89:2, 4
twenty-nine 106:20	understatement 159:2	48:7, 14 50:23 53:5	146:23 147:2
twenty-one 16:12	undertaken 86:14	56:22 89:14 102:18,	Virginia 55:1
17:1	undocumented 26:16	24 122:2 123:13, 21	visibility 20:25
twenty-two 35:22	unduly 69:1	useful 151:3	vision 69:22 70:2
11			
twice 131:4 154:3	unfairly 69:12	USEPA 62:18	82:3
Two 1:23 5:14 12:5,	unfortunate 71:12	uses 49:15	visit 141:17, 23
11 16:13 18:17 19:5	Unfortunately 113:5	usually 59:10 134:11	visiting 102:21
25:12 32:21 35:9	124:19 125:2 147:18	144:20	visitor 132:24
39:10 41:6, 12 67:9	unimaginable 81:13	utility 137:18	visitors 62:1
68:24 70:19 91:10	Union 45:10	utilized 51:11	vital 20:14 35:16
94:16 100:5 102:10	unique 35:5 55:5	utilizing 10:15	VOCs 157:17
105:11 110:24	Unit 1:23 5:14, 25	utopian 71:8	voice 34:25 43:12
117:21 125:9, 10, 25	10:4, 22 19:5 30:16		voices 16:5 74:25
129:1 135:25 138:21	77:4	< V >	volatile 36:5
143:13 152:25	United 24:8 62:10	vacation 104:2	volume 42: <i>13</i> 96: <i>23</i>
156:21 159:7	74:5, 8 84:3 94:21	valley 28:10 51:13	104:10
two-thirty-five 53:21	105:9 130:2 143:14	valleys 28:4	voluminous 44:11
two-way 154:12	units 5:14, 16 6:2	value 35:22 61:22	vote 33:12 71:24
type 47:4	10:20, 20, 20 19:6	123:24	120:11 154:23
types 46:8 63:10	Unit-Two 1:8 3:9	values 37:9 62:25	voted 154:25
	universally 79:7	84:8	
< U >	University 54:15	variability 132:10	< W >
U.S 133:10	58:8 69:3 72:14	146:10	wage 43:3
ultimately 122:16	78: <i>I</i> 106:25	variable 63:18	wait 141:19, 19
unacceptable 22:13	unlawful 89:5	variance 151:8	waiting 76:24
44:1 97:24 125:4	unnecessary 24:10	variety 28:5	wake 42:3 124:2
unaddressed 31:4	37:2 79:21	various 6:2 65:4	walk 50:19 152:9
Unanimously 73:6	unprecedented 78:10	vast 36:21	walked 141:23 142:1
uncontrolled 26:20	98:2	vegetable 35:20	want 9:2 14:17
undeclared 76:24	unspoiled 95:15	verified 58:19 66:4	15:16, 17, 18, 23 16:1,
undergo 11:15, 19	untrue 126:7	verify 58:1	1 39:18 41:20 43:9
underground 28:4, 10	unusable 19:21	verse 47:9	44:7 52:4, 5 57:15,
137:18	21:12 36:20	versions 13:2	19 69:22 76:22 79:6,
underlies 28:10	unusual 111:23	Veteran 94:13	9 85:25 88:15 92:3
underlying 59:7	unwise 37:2	vibrant 23:9 107:5	93:5 94:1 96:4, 13,
65:23	upgraded 131:1	vicinity 140:24	13, 14 97:5 99:2
undermine 98:16	upheld 125:23	Vietnam 94:13	100:21 102:14 109:3
underneath 50:14	U-pick 34:19	107:21	111:7 124:2, 9, 13
understand 13:25	upper 132:6 133:15	view 124:10 128:12	130:1 139:22 141:22
44:12 55:25 58:11,	up-radiant 130:24	views 113:4	148:4 150:12 155:11
16 64:25 77:20	upsets 115:3	Village 50:16 54:4	158:12 159:13
94:23 108:19 112:19	upwards 118:8	73:23, 24 77:25	160:10
113:11 124:19, 19	upwind 130:24	114:16, 17 115:13	wanted 33:24, 25
126:8 127:12	uranium 49:22 51:6	Vince 57:13 64:21	58:14 92:19 112:21
understandable 151:1	137:1, 2, 4	Vincent 44:25 53:25	145:13 149:1 151:20
understanding 41:18	urge 22:18 57:5	vineyards 37:20	wants 48:9 85:12
55:6 58:4 154:24	141:19 153:8	violated 128:12	86:10 96:10 103:13
156:24	urges 21:15 97:1	146:14	139:19 150:16
130.24	0	140.14	137.17 130.10
	urging 64:11		

War 94: <i>13</i> 107:22
136: <i>1</i> 139: <i>13</i>
warped 82:3
warrant 111:23
Warren 103:15
109:17, 18, 19
washed 141:15
Waste 1:5, 20 10:7,
19, 25 13:24, 25 14:2,
4, 8, 18, 21 15:5 20:2,
7,8 21:17 22:11,14
23:18, 21, 23 24:1, 11
28:14, 25 29:1, 12, 19
30:16, 17, 25 31:12,
15, 17, 20, 22, 25, 25
32:3, 6, 13, 15, 19, 25
36:10, 17, 25 37:7, 23
43:15, 18, 19, 20
45:23, 24 46:1, 8, 12,
12, 14, 24 51:1, 11
54:22, 23, 24 55:16
56:20 57:23 63:5, 7,
9, 14, 16, 18, 23, 25
64:23 65:9, 10, 11
66:15 67:16, 20, 22,
25 68:5, 5, 20, 22, 25
69:1, 2, 7, 9, 19 70:1,
15 72:16 73:15, 17
76:4, 12, 17 79:12, 13,
16, 17, 21, 23, 24 80:4,
9, 13, 21 81:2, 3, 11,
18 82:5, 20 83:7, 8
84:2, 14 85:5, 13
86:13 87:15, 18, 19,
20 88:12, 16, 20
89:12, 13, 23 90:9
92:14 95:13 97:10,
18, 20, 23 98:24 99:1,
3, 6, 8, 10, 13 100:17
102:10 107:17, 17, 23,
25 112: <i>16</i> 113: <i>1</i>
114:1, 2, 3, 9, 11
115:4, 24 116:3
117:13, 18, 19, 22, 25
118:3, 7, 9, 15 119:17
122:16 124:12 125:5,
20 126:5, 10, 12, 23,
25 127:2, 4, 10, 13, 16,
19, 21, 23 128:18
133:21, 22 134:5

135:20, 20 136:14 142:6 145:8, 13 146:5, 14 147:2, 4 150:10, 13 153:6, 17 wastes 19:8 46:10 88:24 wastewater 155:23 watched 94:1 **watching** 135:23 136:11 **Water** 1:8, 8 11:8 27:17, 17, 22 33:6 36:23 37:5 39:7 49:16, 17 50:9 60:19, 21, 22, 23 62:12 69:9 72:24, 25 75:6, 8, 13 90:25 98:11, 12 102:16, 19, 23 103:10 108:9 109:24, 25 110:1 111:4 118:24 121:24 122:15 123:11, 19 124:3 135:8 136:*4* 142:2*1* 144:9 145:5 151:24 156:7 157:16, 16, 17, 20, 25 158:2 159:13, 14 waterfront 83:4 waters 37:3 121:3 watershed 73:2 waterways 36:19 107:18 wave 33:16 way 16:24, 24 17:14 38:17 42:25 47:23 69:20 70:2 74:14 76:7 77:22 79:22 82:14 83:20 84:16, *17* 85:*18* 104:*11*, *13* 122:12 131:6 136:24 141:*1* 149:*3* 154:*7* 155:*11* ways 71:3 73:16 88:16, 22 **WBEN** 7:17 wealth 36:21 weather 83:19 84:15 web 122:14 **WEBER** 4:3 6:18

website 12:14, 16 153:5, 6, 9, 16, 17, 23 websites 13:5 Wednesday 2:4 weekend 102:5 weeks 152:25 156:21 159:7 weighed 7:3 **weight** 119:*13* **welcome** 40:15 115:9 welcoming 40:16 **welfare** 31:2 119:1, 20 **well** 7:1 16:1 19:13 23:14 27:12 29:7 37:9 41:6 42:22 56:15 61:19 70:17 75:8 77:23 78:8, 17 82:21 84:9 100:23 125:8 130:23 137:19, *25* 138:*1*, *2* 140:*10* 142:14 143:6 144:5, 9 157:23 159:24 160:7 well-being 16:20 86:8 106:14 119:2, 6, 15 well-known 95:24 wellness 22:8, 23 well-rounded 56:16 **well-run** 19:22 wells 28:7, 13 110:25 126:19 129:14 138:3, 5 well-trained 48:25 well-versed 65:4 went 8:1 15:2, 25 54:18 55:22, 23 82:14, 17 85:7 88:7 108:7, 15 111:19 130:20 144:7 146:5 158:2 we're 17:8 34:22 35:*4* 40:*16*, *17* 44:*3* 45:12, 16, 18 48:25 52:15 71:5 72:2 73:9, 18 74:15 80:6 85:9, 10 89:25 96:2 97:22 98:17 99:13,

106:7, 10, 15 116:23 121:2, 5, 5, 6, 10, 11, 12 122:1, 19, 19 123:4, 5, 6 125:22 130:21, 22, 23 133:8 134:3 137:11, 12 141:10 142:4, 5, 18 145:9 151:21 160:11 west 25:1 28:9, 15, 19 51:13 100:5 Western 22:14 37:3 44:2 45:20 61:1 67:11 68:12, 13, 16, 25 69:11, 17, 22, 23 74:4, 7 96:3 **wetland** 158:*13* **Wetlands** 1:5 11:8, 12 141:2 158:8, 9, 12 We've 22:13 23:12 26:10 42:5 46:3, 7, *14* 47:*1* 73:*5* 88:*13* 92:14 103:9 110:6 111:17 115:8 116:4 125:10 133:3 134:8 143:2, 25 **WGR** 7:17 whatsoever 80:23 94:3 wheel 14:10 **WHEREOF** 161:*15* White 95:10 wholesale 34:20 who've 22:22 wide 35:6, 19 wife 38:20 54:16 96:*1* wild 136:8 **William** 13:10 16:9 willing 58:25 willingness 20:3 **Willow** 91:3 wind 83:20 84:16 132:10 window 25:14 **windows** 38:25 wine 35:21 37:14 wineries 37:16, 20 Winter 35:11 wise 98:10

18 102:5 105:8, *18*

Deposition of Legislative Hearing /
wish 8:6 9:3 112:23 113:3
wishing 8:2
withstanding 89:19
witness 21:18 52:8
86:5 105:13 161:15
Witryol 120:20
124:6, 7, 8 149:8, 14,
<i>15</i> 160:5
WJJL 7:17
WLVL 7:17
woman 110: <i>13</i>
wonder 85:15 93:9
143:25 145:10 158:3
wonderful 71:8
wondering 151:12
woods 50:20
word 48:13
words 55:19 69:17
work 16:21 18:19
20:3 21:10 35:25
46:23 47:2, 4 48:19,
21, 24 54:23 55:2, 3
57:3 59:20 77:11
94:4 99:11 108:18
114:23 143:9, 13
152:12
worked 42:23 55:10
96:5 143:12
workers 26:3 46:20
48:25
workforce 47:3
working 22:13 45:8
48:23 68:20, 21
94:16 134:16 139:21
147:25
Works 49:16, 18
157:23
world 36:24 44:4
72:25 94:17 107:23
136:1 139:13
worried 135:23
worry 15:18 102:8
141:10 142:17
worse 149:24
worsen 68:9
worst 137:3
worthless 59:23
64:13
H

worthy 79:2
wrap 17:23 86:5
wrecking 129:8, 17, 22
Wright 18:8, 10, 12
write 59:6 76:14
writers 113:4, 5
Written 7:2, 5 9:4, 5
12:19, 21 13:3 18:1
97:4 124:23 125:8
138:19 139:6 140:4
wrong 28:1 81:21
144:19
wrote 128:18 146:11
151:12 156:3

< Y > yards 10:10 81:24 88:5, 9, 12, 14 97:23 135:17 151:6 **Yeah** 148:22 year 35:23 51:7 60:8, 12 61:2 84:24 95:6 113:25 130:16 132:2 142:16, 24, 25 145:10, 22 148:3 150:22 151:10 155:18 156:12 years 10:11 14:24 16:12, 12, 25 17:1, 1, 7 24:3 33:1 36:20 38:5, 23 39:11 41:10, 12, 18 46:9, 22 47:1, 4 48:23 49:25 51:6, 7 54:21 55:17 58:9. *13* 60:*1*, 24 61:9 63:23 72:5 73:22 74:19, 20 76:7 77:14 78:14 79:14 82:21 83:1, 18 84:3, 4, 20 89:3 90:2, 23 91:19, 21 92:17 94:4 95:6 96:7 98:1 99:18 102:2 105:11 110:18 111:15 112:12 113:10 118:12 127:9, *17* 128:23 129:*10* 130:8, 17 134:17 136:22 137:8, 12 138:4 139:11 141:15 142:1, 23 143:13, 14

144:3 146:13 147:9, *25* 149:25 151:4, *6* 156:5, 6 157:19 158:*1*, *3*, *6* **yelling** 57:16 **YORK** 1:1, 7, 15 2:4 3:16, 18 5:5, 7, 9, 11, 19 9:7, 9 10:2 11:22 13:9, 13 18:25 19:3 21:15, 21, 21 23:15, 23. 24 24:4 26:10 29:12, 18 30:22 31:20 32:*1* 34:22, 23 37:14 39:25 40:8 44:2, 2 45:21 49:10 54:5, 13 55:1, 3, 3 56:8, 15 57:6, 14 61:1, 3 63:5, 6, 9, 21 64:11 65:10 66:25 67:10, 11, 15, 17 68:13, 13, 16, 25 69:11, 15, 18, 22, 23 70:6, 7 74:4, 5, 7 75:16 76:11 81:17 82:3 86:14, 15 95:13, 14 96:3 98:24 99:1, 6 100:3 107:15 112:11 116:10, 23 117:13, 20 118:1, 9, *16*, *23* 119:20 120:*15* 126:24 127:15 128:2, 6 129:6 130:6 134:5 161:2, 5 Yorker 127:12 **Yorkers** 35:16 37:4 York's 22:14 32:2 63:13 68:4 79:17 young 84:10 Youngstown 2:3 12:1, 2 50:17 54:5, 10, 17 57:14 73:24 74:6 77:25 100:3 112:10 144:5 152:18, **Youngstown-Lockport** 38:15

zinc 53:4 zone 82:3 zoom 129:17

< Z >

zero 127:15