

Species Status Assessment

Common Name: Pine barrens bluet

Date Updated: March 2025

Scientific Name: *Enallagma recurvatum* **Minor Edits By:** NYSDEC Wildlife Section

Class: Insecta

Family: Coenagrionidae

Species Synopsis (a short paragraph which describes species taxonomy, distribution, recent trends, and habitat in New York):

The pine barrens bluet (*Enallagma recurvatum*) is a regional endemic species known only from New Jersey, New York, Rhode Island, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, and southern Maine (Abbott 2007, Massachusetts NHESP 2008). The species primarily inhabits acidic, coastal plain ponds with sandy substrate and emergent vegetation such as *Juncus militaris* (Bayonet rush) along the shoreline (Massachusetts NHESP 2003, Nikula *et al.* 2003, Lam 2004). In addition to the landscape typical of their habitat, in New York, some sites have a floating bog mat or a boggy edge in at least one area of the pond (New York Natural Heritage Program 2010).

In New York, *E. recurvatum* is known from 11 coastal plain ponds in Suffolk County on Long Island (New York Natural Heritage Program 2010). Locations were investigated as part of a special New York State Dragonfly and Damselfly Survey (NYSDDS) effort. All but one site were visited during the NYSDDS years, and two ponds visited during this time lacked any observations since 1990 (New York Natural Heritage Program 2010).

DEC is not aware of any additional data or new information on population trends or threats to this species since the last SWAP revision in 2015 to indicate a need for change in SGCN status

I. Status

a. Current legal protected Status

i. **Federal:** Not listed **Candidate:** No

ii. **New York:** Threatened

b. Natural Heritage Program

i. **Global:** G3

ii. **New York:** S1 **Tracked by NYNHP?:** Yes

Other Ranks:

-NYS 2025 SGCN Status: HPSGCN

-IUCN Red List: Least Concern

-Northeast Regional SGCN: RSGCN

Status Discussion:

White *et al.* (2010) calculated a revised draft S-rank of S3 from S2.

II. Abundance and Distribution Trends

Region	Present?	Abundance	Distribution	Time Frame	Listing status	SGCN?
North America	Yes	Unknown	Unknown			-
Northeastern US	Yes	Unknown	Stable			-
New York	Yes	Unknown	Stable	2005-2009		Yes
Connecticut	No data		-			-
Massachusetts	Yes	Unknown	Unknown		T	Yes
New Jersey	Yes	Unknown	Unknown			Yes
Pennsylvania	No	-	-			-
Vermont	No	-	-			-
Ontario	No	-	-			-
Quebec	No	-	-			-

Column options

Present?: Yes; No; Unknown; No data; (blank) or Choose an Item

Abundance and Distribution: Declining; Increasing; Stable; Unknown; Extirpated; N/A; (blank) or Choose an item

SGCN?: Yes; No; Unknown; (blank) or Choose an item

Monitoring in New York (*specify any monitoring activities or regular surveys that are conducted in New York*):

The New York State Dragonfly and Damselfly Survey (NYSDDS) was conducted from 2005-2009.

Trends Discussion (*insert map of North American/regional distribution and status*):

Population estimates have been made in recent years as part of a special effort during the New York Dragonfly and Damselfly Survey (White *et al.* 2010). Of the eleven sites where *E. recurvatum* is known to currently occur, four sites are estimated to have excellent viability. Some sites are in close proximity to each other, and the eleven sites may be grouped into four pond complexes. In two of the complexes 100–999 individuals were estimated in at least four of the ponds since 2005. Recent information on the species prior to 2005 is very limited, with records going back to 1988 or 1990 at a few sites (New York Natural Heritage Program 2011). New locations in recent years are likely due to increased survey effort rather than a population increase or expansion and may serve as baseline information to look at future trends. Long-term trends are unclear at this time.

III. New York Rarity (provide map, numbers, and percent of state occupied)




Figure 3. Occurrence records of the Pine Barrens bluet in New York (White *et al.* 2010).

Details of historic and current occurrence:

Observations since 1988 have been noted at Suffolk County sites and the species had been observed at three additional historical locations, one of which dates back to 1910 (New York Natural Heritage Program 2011).

From The New York Dragonfly and Damselfly Survey 2005-2009. Number of occurrences obtained from map by White *et al.* 2010.

New York’s Contribution to Species North American Range:

Percent of North American Range in NY	Classification of NY Range	Distance to core population, if not in NY
1-25%	Core	

Column options

Percent of North American Range in NY: 100% (endemic); 76-99%; 51-75%; 26-50%; 1-25%; 0%; Choose an item

Classification of NY Range: Core; Peripheral; Disjunct; (blank) or Choose an item

* *E. recurvatum* has a very small range restricted to scattered locations in the Northeastern U.S. It has only been found in New York, Maine, Massachusetts, and New Jersey

IV. Primary Habitat or Community Type (from NY crosswalk of NE Aquatic, Marine, or Terrestrial Habitat Classification Systems):

- a. Estuarine, brackish marsh, coastal plain pond

b. Alustrine, peatlands, bog/fen

Habitat or Community Type Trend in New York

Habitat Specialist?	Indicator Species?	Habitat/Community Trend	Time frame of Decline/Increase
Yes	No	Declining	

Column options

Habitat Specialist and Indicator Species: Yes; No; Unknown; (blank) or Choose an item

Habitat/Community Trend: Declining; Stable; Increasing; Unknown; (blank) or Choose an item

Habitat Discussion:

E. recurvatum does not require, or even often occur in, very pristine natural habitats, but it has a narrow range of tolerances.

V. Species Demographic, and Life History:

Breeder in NY?	Non-breeder in NY?	Migratory Only?	Summer Resident?	Winter Resident?	Anadromous/Catadromous?
Yes	-	-	Yes	Yes	-

Column options

First 5 fields: Yes; No; Unknown; (blank) or Choose an item

Anadromous/Catadromous: Anadromous; Catadromous; (blank) or Choose an item

Species Demographics and Life History Discussion (include information about species life span, reproductive longevity, reproductive capacity, age to maturity, and ability to disperse and colonize):

The flight season of *E. recurvatum* is generally restricted to the month of June, with adults rarely seen after that. Little has been published about the life stages of *E. recurvatum* specifically, but it is likely very similar to other better-studied species in the genus (NatureServe 2012).

E. recurvatum has a one-year life cycle. Eggs are laid in the summer and hatch by early fall. The nymphs undergo several molts through winter and spring before emergence, usually during the last week in May. Adult activity is almost exclusively limited to feeding and reproduction and like other smaller damselflies, *E. recurvatum* has a short adult lifespan of approximately 3-4 weeks (Massachusetts NHESP 2008).

VI. Threats (from NY 2015 SWAP or newly described):

Any activity which might lead to water contamination or the alteration of natural hydrology could impact *E. recurvatum* populations (NYS DEC 2005). Such threats might include roadway and agricultural runoff, ditching and filling, eutrophication and nutrient loading from fertilizers, herbicides, and septic systems, changes in dissolved oxygen content, and development (NYS DEC 2005). Groundwater withdrawal is a potential threat in lentic habitats on Long Island, as are invasive plant species such as *Phragmites* encroaching on pond shores which crowd out native emergent rushes and floating plants that are required for successful reproduction. The white water lily, which *E. recurvatum* depends on for oviposition, is an example of a native plant being replaced by invasives (New York Natural Heritage Program 2011). The introduction of grass carp is also a threat to coastal plain ponds on Long Island. A potential threat to *E. recurvatum* may be the impact of Canada goose (*Branta canadensis*) browse on native vegetation as they may decrease oviposition sites on *Juncus* or increase egg mortality through overgrazing (New York Natural Heritage Program 2011).

Kalkman *et al.* (2008) indicated that both emergence rates and/or species ranges may shift for odonate species as a result of climate change. However, the pine barrens bluet was classified as “not vulnerable/presumed stable” (PS) to predicted climate change in an assessment of vulnerability conducted by the New York Natural Heritage Program. Available evidence does not suggest that abundance and/or range extent within the geographical area assessed with change (increase/decrease) substantially by 2050. Actual range boundaries may change (Schlesinger *et al.* 2011).

Threat Level 1	Threat Level 2	Threat Level 3	Spatial Extent	Severity	Immediacy	Trend	Certainty
1. Residential and Commercial	1.1 Housing & Urban Areas	(habitat loss from lakeside development)	Choose an item.	Choose an item.	Choose an item.	Choose an item.	Choose an item.
6. Human Intrusions & Disturbance	6.1 Recreational Activities	6.1.1 Motor vehicles					
2. Agriculture & Aquaculture	7.2 Dams & Water Management/Use	(alteration of natural hydrology)	Choose an item.	Choose an item.	Choose an item.	Choose an item.	Choose an item.
9. Pollution	9.1 Domestic & Urban Wastewater	9.1.1 Domestic wastewater (lawn care)	Choose an item.	Choose an item.	Choose an item.	Choose an item.	Choose an item.
8. Invasive & Other Problematic Species	8.1 Invasive Non-Native Plants & Animals	8.1.3 Aquatic animals (grass carp)	Choose an item.	Choose an item.	Choose an item.	Choose an item.	Choose an item.
8. Invasive & Other Problematic Species	8.1 Invasive Non-Native Plants & Animals	8.1.4 Aquatic plants (Phragmites)	Choose an item.	Choose an item.	Choose an item.	Choose an item.	Choose an item.

Table 1. Threats to *Enallagma recurvatum*

Are there regulatory mechanisms that protect the species or its habitat in New York?

Yes: X

No:

Unknown:

If yes, describe mechanism and whether adequate to protect species/habitat:

The pine barrens bluet is listed as a threatened species in New York and is protected by Environmental Conservation Law (ECL) section 11-0535 and the New York Code of Rules and Regulations (6 NYCRR Part 182). A permit is required for any proposed project that may result in a take of a species listed as Threatened or Endangered, including, but not limited to, actions that may kill or harm individual animals or result in the adverse modification, degradation or destruction of habitat occupied by the listed species.

The Freshwater Wetlands Act provides protection for wetlands greater than 12.4 acres in size under Article 24 of the NYS Conservation Law. The Tidal Wetlands Act protects all tidal wetland habitats and adjacent areas under Article 25 of the NYS Conservation Law.

Describe knowledge of management/conservation actions that are needed for recovery/conservation, or to eliminate, minimize, or compensate for the identified threats:

Any efforts to reduce roadway and agricultural run-off, eutrophication, development of upland borders to ponds and resulting increased groundwater withdrawal, invasive plant and animal species, trampling of vegetation from recreation, and ditching and filling activities should be considered when managing for this species (NYS DEC 2005, White *et al.* 2010). Maintenance or restoration of native shoreline vegetation and surrounding upland habitat will benefit the pine barrens bluet, as females require native emergent vegetation for successful reproduction and spend much of their time in upland habitats away from the breeding pond (Gibbons *et al.* 2002, White *et al.* 2010). Many of the known sites on Long Island are located within or on preserves or protected lands, but threats might be present on adjacent lands. The Massachusetts NHESP (2003a) suggests that maintaining habitat in the upland areas surrounding breeding ponds is essential to conservation of the species, as newly emerged adults use these areas for maturing, roosting, and feeding.

Further monitoring is needed to define the extent of populations of *E. recurvatum* in New York. In addition, research is required to understand the habitat requirements and threats to this species. In particular, the impact of Canada geese at some of the locations in Suffolk County should be assessed to determine if bird browse decreases oviposition sites or increases egg mortality by overgrazing native rushes (White *et al.* 2010). A recovery plan for the species should be developed and appropriate management guidelines should be adopted for its persistence in known locations (NYS DEC 2005).

Action Category	Action	Description
A.1 Direct Habitat Management	A.1.0.0.0 Direct habitat management	Site/Area management
A.1 Direct Habitat Management	A.1.1.0.0 Manage plants, animals, fungi, or bacteria	Invasive/Problematic species control
B.3 Outreach	B.3.1.4.0 Public outreach and information	Awareness & Communications
C.6 Design and Plan Conservation	C.6.5.0.0 Conservation planning	Site/Area Protection
C.6 Design and Plan Conservation	C.6.5.0.0 Conservation planning	Resource/Habitat Protection
C.6 Design and Plan Conservation	C.6.5.1.3 Develop a conservation, management, or restoration plan for protected private lands	Habitat/Natural process restoration

Table 2. Recommended conservation actions for *Enallagma recurvatum*.

The Comprehensive Wildlife Conservation Strategy (NYSDEC 2005) includes recommendations for the following actions for odonates of coastal lakes and ponds, and for little bluet in particular.

Educational signs:

- _____ Educate the public not to introduce fish into historically fishless coastal plain ponds or new species of fish into coastal plain ponds where the species did not historically occur.

Habitat management:

- _____ Reduce or eliminate detrimental ATV use in and around coastal plain ponds supporting state threatened damselflies.
- _____ Where possible, remove introduced fish or other aquatic animals that may be detrimental to odonate populations through excessive predation on larvae.
- _____ Where possible, remove invasive, non-native plants from ponds and adjacent uplands that may significantly impact larval and adult odonate survival and reproduction.

Habitat monitoring:

- _____ Identify existing and potential locations of public water supply wells and ensure that present and future water withdrawals will not alter the normal range of variation of ground and pond water elevation.
- _____ Support and encourage habitat monitoring efforts that would complete the baseline assessment of habitat quality and threats.
- _____ Identify existing and potential sources of invasive species (including fish).
- _____ Compile existing baseline data on habitat quality and threats. Include pond water quality (pH, conductivity, nutrients, toxins), pond hydrographs (fluctuations in water level with time), presence of fish, presence of characteristic native plants and invasive species, history of ATV use, history of pesticide spraying for mosquito control, extent of upland habitat around each pond.

Habitat research:

- _____ Support and encourage research that would increase knowledge of the impact of poorly known threats to odonates (e.g. water quality degradation, atmospheric deposition, invasive species, pesticide spraying).

_____ Support and encourage research projects that will help define preferred habitat in order to guide future monitoring, restoration and habitat protection efforts. Include both pond and adjacent upland habitats.

Habitat restoration:

_____ Wherever possible, fill in non-natural , deep water-retaining holes in coastal plain ponds.

_____ Identify existing and potential sources of nutrients, toxins, and other chemicals originating from human activities and reduce/eliminate/prevent these where possible.

Modify regulation:

_____ Ensure that aerial pesticide spraying does not occur over or in close proximity to ponds and adjacent uplands that support these state listed damselflies during the period of adult emergence and flight.

_____ Modify regulations to provide expanded protection for uplands adjacent to coastal plain ponds that support state threatened damselflies.

Population monitoring::

_____ Conduct surveys to obtain repeatable, relative abundance estimates for these species at known sites and newly discovered sites where access permission to conduct surveys is obtained (as indicated in the State Wildlife Grant Odonate Inventory Project).

Statewide baseline survey:

_____ Conduct surveys for these species at potential sites throughout the state (expected range for these species is Long Island and Lower New England ecoregion, possibly Westchester County only). These species are known from fewer than 10 locations in the state, but new populations probably remain to be discovered for all of the species. A currently approved, but not yet begun State Wildlife Grant Statewide Odonate Inventory Project will utilize volunteers, Natural Heritage Program and other staff to conduct these surveys.

VII. References

Murtaugh, Jenny. 2012. *Enallagma recurvatum* Status Assessment for the 2015 New York State Wildlife Action Plan. NYSDEC. Albany, New York.

NatureServe. 2025. NatureServe Explorer. Page last published 2/28/25.

https://explorer.natureserve.org/Taxon/ELEMENT_GLOBAL.2.110345/Enallagma_recurvatum. Accessed March 24, 2025.

Abbott, J. C. 2007. OdonataCentral: An online resource for the distribution and identification of Odonata. Texas Natural Science Center, The University of Texas at Austin. <<http://www.odonatacentral.org>>. Accessed 21 May 2012.

Carpenter, V. 1991. Dragonflies and damselflies of Cape Cod. The Cape Cod Museum of Natural History, Brewster, Massachusetts..

Donnelly, T. W. 2004. Distribution of North American Odonata. Part III: Calopterygidae, Lestidae, Coenagrionidae, Protoneuridae, Platydictidae. Bulletin of American Odonatology 8:33-99.

Gibbons, L.K., J.M. Reed, and F.S. Chew. 2002. Habitat requirements and local persistence of three damselfly species (Odonata: Coenagrionidae). Journal of Insect Conservation 6:47-55.

IUCN 2012. IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. Version 2012.2. <www.iucnredlist.org>. Accessed 12 February 2013.

- Kalkman, V. J., V. Clausnitzer, K. B. Dijkstra, A. G. Orr, D. R. Paulson, and J. van Tol. 2008. Global diversity of dragonflies (Odonata) in freshwater. *Hydrobiologia* 595:351-363.
- Lam, E. 2004. Damselflies of the northeast: A guide to the species of eastern Canada and the northeastern United States. Biodiversity books, Forest Hills, New York.
- Massachusetts NHESP. 2008. Massachusetts rare species fact sheet. Massachusetts Division of Fisheries & Wildlife, Westborough, MA.
<http://www.mass.gov/dfwele/dfw/nhesp/species_info/ mesa_list/ mesa_list.htm>. Accessed 21 May 2012.
- NatureServe. 2011. NatureServe Explorer: An online encyclopedia of life [web application]. Version 7.1. NatureServe, Arlington, Virginia. <<http://www.natureserve.org/explorer>>. Accessed 30 April 2012.
- New York Natural Heritage Program. 2010. Biotics database. New York Natural Heritage Program. New York State Department of Environmental Conservation. Albany, NY.
- Nikula, B., J. L. Loose, and M. R. Burne. 2003. A field guide to the dragonflies and damselflies of Massachusetts. Massachusetts NHESP, Westborough, MA.
- Schlesinger, M.D., J.D. Corser, K.A. Perkins, and E.L. White. 2011. Vulnerability of at-risk species to predict climate change in New York. New York Natural Heritage Program, Albany, NY.

Originally prepared by	Jenny Murtaugh
Date first prepared	May 21, 2012
First revision	Samantha Hoff (February 4, 2014)
Latest revision	