Species Status Assessment

Common Name: Leatherback turtle **Date Updated:** September 2024

Scientific Name: *Dermochelys coriacea* **Updated by:** Katherine Lawson

Class: Reptilia

Family: Dermochelyidae

Species Synopsis *(a short paragraph which describes species taxonomy, distribution, recent trends, and habitat in New York):*

The leatherback is unique among sea turtles in that it has no hard, bony shell (NMFS and USFWS 1992). It is the only member of the family Dermochelyidae (NMFS and USFWS 1992, ALTRT 2006). Two subspecies, an Atlantic leatherback (Dermochelys coriacea coriacea) and a Pacific leatherback (Dermochelys coriacea schlegelii) have been described; however, genetics (Dutton et al. 1996) and morphology (Pritchard 1979) do not support the separation and thus, only one species is currently recognized. The leatherback is the most pelagic species of sea turtles (Morreale and Standora 1998). The species has the ability to regulate its body temperature, allowing it to travel farther north than other species (NMFS and USFWS 1992). It is found relatively often from May – November in the New York Bight region. The leatherback is most often seen along the south shore of Long Island and within Long Island Sound (Sadove and Cardinale 1993). Trends for the species in New York are unknown, although nesting data suggests a declining population in the Northwest Atlantic (NMFS and USFWS 2020).

I. Status

a. Current legal protected Status

i. **Federal:** Endangered **Candidate:** N/A

ii. **New York:** Endangered; SGCN

b. Natural Heritage Program

i. **Global:** G2

ii. **New York:** S1N **Tracked by NYNHP?:** Yes

Other Ranks:

-IUCN Red List: Vulnerable

-Northeast Regional SGCN: RSGCN

-CITES: Appendix I

-Canadian Species at Risk Act (SARA): Endangered

Status Discussion:

Leatherback turtles are listed as Endangered throughout their range and have been listed under the Endangered Species Act since 1970. In the U.S., the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) and the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) have joint jurisdiction of this species. Because the leatherback is a wide-ranging pelagic species, it is also protected by numerous international treaties including the Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Flora and Fauna (CITES), the Convention on Migratory Species, Specially Protected Areas and Wildlife Protocol of the Cartagena Convention, and the Inter-American Convention for the Protection and Conservation of Sea Turtles (NMFS 2013).

II. Abundance and Distribution Trends

Region	Present?	Abundance	Distribution	Time Frame	Listing status	SGCN?
North America	Yes	Declining	Unknown	Last 20-30 years		-
Northeastern US	Yes	Unknown	Unknown	1989-present		-
New York	Yes	Unknown	Unknown		Endangered	Yes
Connecticut	Yes	Declining	Unknown		Endangered	Yes
Massachusetts	Yes	Unknown	Unknown		Endangered	Yes
New Jersey	Yes	Unknown	Unknown		Endangered	Yes
Pennsylvania	No	-	-			-
Vermont	No	-	-			-
Ontario	No	-	-			-
Quebec	Yes	Unknown	Unknown		Endangered	-

Column options
Present?: Yes; No; Unknown; No data; (blank) or Choose an Item
Abundance and Distribution: Declining; Increasing; Stable; Unknown; Extirpated; N/A; (blank) or Choose an item
SGCN?: Yes; No; Unknown; (blank) or Choose an item

Monitoring in New York *(specify any monitoring activities or regular surveys that are conducted in New York):*

The main New York monitoring that occurs for the species is entanglement and stranding response provided by NY Marine Rescue Center. The New York State Energy Research and Development Authority (NYSERDA) ran aerial surveys from 2016-2019 prepared by Normandeau inc. that included data on sea turtle abundance (NYSERDA 2021). From 2016-2019, 47 leatherback turtles were recorded from aerial transects, and an additional approximately 270 turtles were not able to be identified to species (NYSERDA 2021). The New York State Department of State (NYSDOS) prepared an Offshore Atlantic Ocean Study in 2013 that modelled sea turtle abundance in New York based off of the North Atlantic Right Whale Consortium database, which details shipboard survey observations from 1978-2011 (NYSDOS 2013).

Due to the extensive range of the leatherback, many regional studies conduct research on the sea turtle, some of which include New York waters. The Atlantic Marine Assessment Program for Protected Species (AMAPPS), a multi-agency effort to survey protected species run jointly by NOAA, NMFS, BOEM, and the U.S. Navy, runs aerial surveys in New York waters. The majority of leatherback sea turtles were observed south of New Jersey. AMAPPS III (2020 – 2024) is currently running surveys that include New York Waters (NEFSC-SEFSC 2021). The U.S. Navy has developed a spatial density model for sea turtles off the Atlantic Coast that predicts monthly sea turtle presence in the Mid-Atlantic and elsewhere. The model applies a novel approach to using unspecific, hard-shell sightings in mapping (Sparks and DiMatteo 2023).

The OBIS-SEAMAP database reports sighting records from a number of compiled sources, including AMAPPS, (NEFSC-SEFSC 2021), NYSERDA, Robinson (et al. 2020), New York Aerial Surveys, and more.

Trends Discussion *(insert map of North American/regional distribution and status):*

Sadove and Cardinale (1993) gave a rough estimate of 500 – 800 leatherback turtles using the New York Bight region each year. Trends of leatherback turtles in New York are poorly understood. Strandings of leatherbacks are highly variable from year to year, with no significant patterns reported (DiGiovanni 2009; Figures 3 and 5). As a highly migratory marine species that is not sighted with any real frequency, it is difficult to evaluate trends. Most trend data that do exist come from nesting beaches. Unfortunately, there is still uncertainty as to where leatherbacks sighted in New York waters nest. There are seven distinct population segments for leatherback sea turtles throughout the world. One individual that was flipper-tagged on a nesting beach in French Guiana was recovered in New York waters (Morreale and Standora 1998). Whether all leatherbacks seen in the area nest in French Guiana is unknown, but unlikely. Leatherbacks off Atlantic Canada have been found to nest in French Guiana, Suriname, Trinidad, Costa Rica, Panama, Colombia, Grenada and Puerto Rico (Turtle Expert Working Group 2007). These locations suggest that most leatherback turtles found in New York belong to the Northwest Atlantic Distinct Population Segment (DPS).

In the 2013 IUCN Assessment, the Northwest Atlantic subpopulation was reported to be strongly increasing in abundance and predicted to contribute to a future increase in global leatherback populations, despite ongoing declines in other subpopulations like in the Pacific and Indian Oceans (Wallace et al. 2013). However, in the 2020 NMFS-USFWS Report, nesting rates post 2010 have begun to fall at most sites resulting in an overall decreasing trend in annual nesting activity in the NW Atlantic. The NW Atlantic Leatherback Working Group was convened in 2018 to assess regional declines and found between 2008 and 2017 a -9.32 % decline (CI -12.9 to -5.57) in regional abundance compared to a smaller decline rate for estimates that include earlier years (NMFS and USFWS 2020). Since this update, the IUCN Assessment for the NW Atlantic population has been reassessed again to endangered, though the global population assessments remains at “Vulnerable”.

In Florida, the number of leatherback nests increased from 98 nests in 1988 to 800-900 nests in the early 2000s (Stewart and Johnson 2006, NMFS and USFWS 2007). Standardized nest counts done from 1989 – 2006 found that leatherback nesting in Florida has increased by about 1.17% each year (Turtle Expert Working Group 2007). The growth rate in Puerto Rico from 1978 – 2005 was estimated to be around 1.10, as was the growth rate in the U.S. Virgin Islands (Turtle Expert Working Group 2007). Dutton et al. (2005) estimated that the leatherback population in this area increased 13% per year from 1994 – 2001. The annual growth rate at the British Virgin Islands was estimated to be 1.2 from 1994 – 2004 (Hastings 2003, Turtle Expert Working Group 2007).

Troeng et al. (2007) estimated that the nesting population of leatherbacks using Costa Rica’s Atlantic Coast declined by over 67%. The probability of growth in the nesting population was only 0.03 at the most important nesting beach in the central Caribbean from 1995 - 2005.

About 40% of the entire world population of leatherbacks is believed to nest in French Guiana and Suriname. The probability that the nesting population was growing from 1967 – 2005 was about 0.95 (Turtle Expert Working Group 2007). Leatherback nesting populations were also believed to be increasing in Guyana, Trinidad, and Brazil (Turtle Expert Working Group 2007).



Figure 1. Leatherback sea turtle distribution (NOAA 2024)

Figure 2. Range of the leatherback turtle in the U.S. Atlantic coast (USFWS 2013).



Figure 3. Areas of sightings of leatherback sea turtles in New York by Okeanos Foundation (Sadove and Cardinale 1993).



Figure 4. Conservation status of leatherback sea turtle in North America (NatureServe 2024).

III. New York Rarity *(provide map, numbers, and percent of state occupied)*

Leatherbacks are observed stranding in New York usually due to boat strikes or entanglements (Figure 5 and 6). Other occurrence points of leatherbacks come from aerial surveys, shipboard observations, and fishery observations (Figure 7 and 8).



Figure 5: New York sea turtle strandings 1980 through August 31, 2023 by NY Marine Rescue Center (Montello et al. 2023).



Figure 6. New York sea turtle strandings reported to NOAA Sea Turtle Stranding and Salvage Network (STSSN 2024), including data from NY Marine Rescue Center and the Atlantic Marine Conservation Society. Figure prepared by NYNHP.



Figure 7. Observations of leatherback sea turtles reported to OBIS-SEAMAP prior to 2015 (OBIS-SEAMAP 2024). Project data included from Atlantic Marine Assessment Program for Protected Species (AMAPPS), Cetacean and Turtle Assessment Program (CETAP), Montauk Commercial Whale Watch (Kopelman 2013), Mystic Aquarium Stranding Data (Smith 2014), Northeast Fisheries Science Center (NEFSC), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Robinson et al. (2020), and Southeast Fisheries Science Center (SEFSC). Figure prepared by NYNHP.



Figure 8. Observations of leatherback sea turtles reported to OBIS-SEAMAP after 2015 (OBIS-SEAMAP 2024). Project data included from Atlantic Marine Assessment Program for Protected Species (AMAPPS), New York State Department of Environmental Conservation Aerial Surveys (NYSDEC), and New York State Energy Research and Development Authority (NYSERDA). Figure Prepared by NYNHP.

Details of historic and current occurrence:

Unknown for New York. Sadove and Cardinale (1993) gave a rough estimate of 500-800 leatherbacks using the New York Bight region annually, based on surveys from the 1970s – 1990s.

Using data submitted to OBIS-SEAMAP (2024), records post 2005 can be estimated from Aerial and Shipboard based projects. OBIS-SEAMAP was founded in 2002, and compiles data from many sources. The sampling effort and data submission is dependent on each individual project, so may represent an incomplete representation of records and species distribution (see Figure 9). These observations were geographically filtered within the area described by the New York Ocean Action Plan (Figure 7). The increase in records could be reflective of an increase in effort post 2015, for example, NYSERDA and NYSDEC Aerial survey efforts began after 2015 (Figure 9). For the period 2005 – 2014, OBIS-SEAMAP has records of 37 leatherback sea turtles, and for 2015 – 2023, it has records of 81 sea turtles.



Figure 9. An example of biased record data for different years of sampling due to important survey project start dates. Only AMAPPS collected data prior to 2015, and NYSERDA was able to capture high numbers of sea turtles compared to AMAPPS during years they overlapped. Figure prepared by NYNHP.

New York's Contribution to Species North American Range:

Percent of North American Range in NY	Classification of NY Range	Distance to core population, if not in NY
1-25%	Peripheral	

Column options
Percent of North American Range in NY: 100% (endemic); 76-99%; 51-75%; 26-50%; 1-25%; 0%; Choose an item
Classification of NY Range: Core; Peripheral; Disjunct; (blank) or Choose an item

Sadove and Cardinale (1993) state that the leatherback is "one of the most abundant species of sea turtle in New York Bight." They estimated that the annual number of turtles using New York wasters was 500 – 800 animals, although they note that this is a "very rough" estimate. Unfortunately, no surveys have been conducted recently in New York.

Shoop and Kenney (1992) performed aerial and shipboard surveys and found about seven leatherbacks for every 1,000 km from Nova Scotia to Cape Hatteras, North Carolina. They estimated a population of 100-900 leatherbacks in this area during the summer. This was recognized as a minimum population based on animals at the surface.

IV. Primary Habitat or Community Type *(from NY crosswalk of NE Aquatic, Marine, or Terrestrial Habitat Classification Systems):*

a. Size/Waterbody Type: Pelagic, Estuarine, Brackish Shallow Subtidal, Brackish Deep Subtidal, Marine, Deep Subtidal, Shallow Subtidal

Habitat or Community Type Trend in New York

Habitat Specialist?	Indicator Species?	Habitat/ Community Trend	Time frame of Decline/Increase
No	Yes	Unknown	

Column options
Habitat Specialist and Indicator Species: Yes; No; Unknown; (blank) or Choose an item
Habitat/Community Trend: Declining; Stable; Increasing; Unknown; (blank) or Choose an item

Habitat Discussion:

The leatherback turtle has the largest range of any reptile species (ALTRT 2006). Because of the ability to regulate their body temperature, leatherbacks can tolerate colder waters than other species of sea turtles (ALTRT 2006, NMFS and USFWS 1992, NMFS and USFWS 2007). They have been documented as far north as 70°15'N (Gulliksen 1990) and as far south as 27°S (Boulon et al. 1988).

The major nesting assemblages of leatherback turtles are described above (See Trends Discussion). Researchers are uncertain about where newly hatched leatherbacks travel to, but it is believed that juveniles with a curved carapace length of <100cm remain in water that is at least 26°C (NMFS and USFWS 2007). An unknown proportion of adult leatherbacks travel into temperate waters after each nesting season (ALTRT 2006). While in these waters, leatherbacks appear to prefer continental shelf waters (Lazell 1980, Shoop and Kenney 1992, James 2000, Lawson and Gosselin 2003). While offshore, leatherbacks are found along thermal fronts and the edges of oceanic gyre systems (Collard 1990, Lutcavage 1996). All of these areas concentrate prey. Indeed, while foraging along the east coast of the U.S. and Canada, the distribution and movements of leatherbacks are believed to correlate with seasonally abundant prey (Bleakney 1965, Goff and Lien 1988, Shoop and Kenney 1992, James and Herman 2001).

In New York, leatherbacks are observed most frequently off the south shore of Long Island, and also occasionally in Long Island Sound (Sadove and Cardinale 1993).

V. Species Demographics and Life History

Breeder in NY?	Non-breeder in NY?	Migratory Only?	Summer Resident?	Winter Resident?	Anadromous/ Catadromous?
-	Yes	-	Yes	-	-

Column options
First 5 fields: Yes; No; Unknown; (blank) or Choose an item
Anadromous/Catadromous: Anadromous; Catadromous; (blank) or Choose an item

Species Demographics and Life History Discussion *(include information about species life span, reproductive longevity, reproductive capacity, age to maturity, and ability to disperse and colonize):*

The life expectancy of leatherbacks is unknown, but is estimated to be 45 to 50 years (NOAA 2024). They are believed to reach sexual maturity around between 9 and 20 years of age (NOAA 2024). The longest observed reproductive lifespan is 18 years, observed in South Africa (Hughes 1996).

Females nest nocturnally on beaches from March – July (NMFS and USFWS 1992). They exhibit some degree of site fidelity to their natal beach, but do not appear to be as strict as other species

of sea turtles (NMFS and USFWS 2007). This may make them more able to colonize new beaches. Male leatherbacks appear to exhibit some degree of site fidelity at breeding grounds (James et al. 2005). Mating is believed to occur near the nesting beach, although it is rarely observed (Godfrey and Barreto 1998, Reina et al. 2005).

Females deposit around 100 eggs in each of 5-7 nests a nesting season (NMFS and USFWS 1992). The interval between each nesting event is about 7-15 days (NMFS and USFWS 2020). The nesting events can occur on beaches hundreds of km apart; leatherbacks from Gabon traveled 2,000 – 4,500 km during the entire nesting season (Witt et al. 2008). Females reproduce every 2-4 years (NMFS and USFWS 2020). The nests incubate for 55-75 days. The sex of the hatchlings is dependent on the incubation temperature (NMFS and USFWS 1992).

Once eggs hatch, hatchlings travel into the pelagic environment. Very little is known about these "lost years." The survival in the first year of life has been estimated to be 0.0625 (Spotila et al. 1996).

Adult leatherbacks are known to travel long distances between nesting and foraging grounds. During the first year after nesting, leatherbacks have been observed traveling continuously and adjusting foraging behavior based on local conditions (Hays et al. 2006). Satellite-tracked leatherbacks nesting in Atlantic Costa Rica and Panama traveled into the Gulf of Mexico, along the east coast of North America to Nova Scotia, and over to the Azores Islands (Troeng et al. 2004, 2007; Evans et al. 2007). Those tagged in Florida tended to remain in North American continental shelf waters until winter, when they moved off the shelf. One traveled to the Mauritanian Coast and another to the north equatorial Atlantic (Eckert et al. 2006). Females, males and subadults who forage in the North Atlantic have been shown to make return migrations to key feeding areas (James et al. 2005). Seven discrete populations have been identified using genetic and geographic separation analyses (NMFS and USFWS 2020), including the Northwest Atlantic DPS which nests primarily in the southern United States and the Caribbean. In a genetic study of 122 stranded male leatherbacks found in Canada, the US, and Europe, all turtles were found to have originated from the NW Atlantic DPS (Roden et al. 2017). Similarly, in a study of leatherbacks caught as bycatch in the U.S. pelagic longline fishery, all turtles were traced back to NW Atlantic DPS stocks (Stewart et al. 2016). Bycatch leatherbacks from the Mid-Atlantic Bight and Northeast Coastal were majority from Trinidad nesting grounds, but also Costa Rica, Florida, St. Croix, and French Guiana (Stewart et al. 2016).

Feral pigs, dogs, mole crickets, raccoons, armadillos, monitor lizards, mongoose, civets, genets, ghost crabs, jackals, dipteran larvae, and army ants have all been documented to prey on leatherback eggs (NMFS and USFWS 2007). Fish and birds are known to prey on hatchlings (Vose and Shank 2003). Jaguars, killer whales, and sharks occasionally prey on adults (Long 1996, Pitman and Dutton 2004).

The role of disease on natural mortality of leatherbacks is poorly understood. Fibropapillomatosis has been documented in leatherbacks, although it is not as common as in other sea turtle species (Huerta et al. 2002). Fibropapillomatosis causes tumors that can hamper swimming, vision, feeding, and escape from predators (Herbst 1994).

VI. Threats *(from NY 2015 SWAP or newly described)*

One of the major threats to sea turtle populations in New York is fisheries interactions. Leatherback turtles can become trapped in pound nets, longline fisheries, trap fisheries, trawl fisheries, purse seines, and gill nets. Entanglements in fixed gear are known to be a threat in temperate coastal foraging habitats (James et al. 2005a). Ninety-two leatherbacks were documented as entangled in fixed pot gear from New York to Maine from 1990 – 2000 (Dwyer et

al. 2002). Turtles trapped in gear can drown or suffer serious injuries as a result of constriction by lines (NMFS and USFWS 1992) and prolonged entanglements may affect their ability to feed, dive, swim and reproduce (Balazs 1985). Trawlers that are not outfitted with Turtle Excluder Devices (TEDs) can entrap and drown sea turtles. Additionally, dredges can destroy habitat and crush or entrap sea turtles (NMFS and USFWS 1992).

Longline and gill net fisheries appear to be major problems for leatherbacks throughout their range (NMFS and USFWS 2007). The decline of the Mexican population of leatherbacks is believed to coincide with the growth of longline and coastal gill net fisheries in the Pacific (Eckert and Sarti 1997). An estimated 50,000 leatherbacks were taken as bycatch by the pelagic longline fishery in 2000 (Lewison et al. 2004). An estimated 3,000 leatherbacks are entangled in coastal gill nets annually off of Trinidad; about 1/3 of these are believed to die as a result (Lee Lum 2006). While bycatch rates vary widely between areas, Lewison et al. (2004) suggested that the overall bycatch levels are not sustainable.

Climate change is believed to have major effects on sea turtles throughout their range. Climate change is expected to extend the foraging range of leatherback turtles north into higher latitude waters (NMFS and USFWS 2007). Although other species of sea turtles in New York experience cold-stunning in winter temperatures, and leatherback sea turtles have stranded in New York during that time, no records of cold-stunning exist for leatherbacks due to their ability to regulate their internal temperatures (Montello et al. 2022). Climate change is believed to be associated with rising water temperatures, as well as changes in ice cover, salinity, oxygen levels and circulation (IPCC 2007). These changes are likely to cause shifts in range and abundance of different species of algae, plankton and fish (IPCC 2007). These shifts could alter the suitability of New York habitat (as well as habitat in other parts of sea turtles' ranges) for occupancy by sea turtles. Changing currents as a result of climate change could affect sea turtle migration and survival of oceanic-stage juveniles (NMFS and USFWS 2007).

Climate change could have significant effects on leatherback turtles in other parts of their range as well. More nests could be destroyed as a result of the increasing abundance and severity of storms along the nesting range. Severe storms and rising sea levels could cause major problems on low-lying nesting beaches. Additionally, there is concern that rising temperatures could skew hatchling sex ratios towards a strong female bias (Mrosovsky et al. 1984; Hawkes et al. 2007). Rising sand temperatures have been documented at at least one nesting site (Hays et al. 2003). Leatherbacks do have a tendency to have individual nest placement preferences, and often deposit some clutches in the cooler tide zone of beaches, so this may not be a severe issue (Kamel and Mrosovsky 2004).

Coastal development can lead to destruction or degradation of sea turtle habitat, particularly on their nesting grounds. The construction of buildings, pilings, seawalls, rock revetments, groins, jetties, and sand bags degrades sea turtle nesting habitat (NMFS and USFWS 2007). Additionally, bright lighting near beaches can disorient hatchlings, and cause them to move towards the light rather than the ocean (McFarlane 1963, Philibosian 1976, Mann 1977, Ehrhart 1983). This misorientation can lead to increased risk from predators, entrapment in vegetation, dessication, and being hit by vehicles (NMFS and USFWS 1991). Some countries do have regulations on lighting by the beach, but the majority do not (NMFS and USFWS 2007). Unfortunately, the effects of development on turtles in the marine environment are difficult to monitor (NMFS and USFWS 2007).

Organochlorine contaminants, cadmium, copper, zinc, and toxic metals have all been identified in leatherbacks (Godley et al. 1998b; McKenzie et al. 1999; Caurant et al. 1999; Storelli and Marcotrigiano 2003). The effects that these contaminants may have on leatherbacks are currently unknown. High levels of organochloride pesticides have been found in the sand of a French Guiana nesting beach (Guirlet 2005); there is some speculation that this could explain low hatching

success on the beach (Girondot et al. 2007). Offloading of contaminants from nesting females to eggs has been documented in leatherbacks (Stewart et al. 2007). Oil spills are known to directly affect marine turtles (Yender and Mearns 2003), and could also lead to immunosuppression and chronic health issues (Sindermann et al. 1982).

Sea turtles could ingest or become entangled in marine debris, which can reduce food intake and digestive capacity and cause injury or mortality (Bjorndal et al. 1994; Sako and Horikoshi 2002). Leatherback turtles may be more at risk than other species, as debris tends to concentrate in convergence zones where turtles feed (Shoop and Kenney 1992, Lutcavage et al. 1997). The species feeds primarily upon jellyfish and may mistake plastics and balloons as prey and ingest them, causing blockages, starvation, absorption of toxic byproducts and other health issues (Plotkins and Amos 1989, ALTRT 2006). There have been reports of leatherbacks ingesting plastic bags, balloons, plastic and Styrofoam pieces, tar balls, plastic sheeting, and fishing gear (Hartog and Van Nierop 1984, Sadove and Morreale 1989, Lucas 1992, Starbird 2000). Sea turtles may occasionally be hit by vessels, which can cause mortality and severe injury. In Florida, over 17% of all stranded leatherbacks have evidence of vessel collisions, although it is possible that these collisions occur post-mortem (NMFS and USFWS 1992). Vessel collisions are believed to happen more often than reported throughout the range of this species (NMFS and USFWS 2007). In New York, most reported leatherback strandings are due to entanglement or vessel interaction (Montello et al. 2022).

While not included as a threat by the Recovery Plan or 5-Year Review, the Canadian Recovery Plan (ALTRT 2006) lists anthropogenic noise as a potential threat. Studies have shown that sea turtles exposed to certain levels of low frequency sound may spend more time at the surface and/or move out of the area (Lenhardt et al. 1983, O'Hara and Wilcox 1990). This could lead to the displacement of turtles from preferred foraging areas (O'Hara and Wilcox 1990; Moein et al. 1994). Additionally, sea turtles have been found to change swimming patterns and orientation in response to air guns, which are frequently used in oil and gas exploration (O'Hara 1990). The impact of anthropogenic noise on sea turtles requires future research, but surveyed experts report a belief that seismic surveys could pose a threat to turtles (Nelms et al. 2016). Leatherbacks can detect sound in water and air in frequencies that overlap with seismic airgun arrays, drilling, sonar, shipping, wind turbines, etc. (Dow Piniak et al. 2012).

The harvesting of adult leatherbacks and eggs is a problem throughout their range. While this is not a problem in the U.S., the wide-ranging nature of leatherbacks means that those that forage along the east coast of the U.S. may be threatened by exploitation in their nesting grounds. Poaching of adults for meat and/or oil and/or the collection of eggs for sale in local and foreign markets occurs in the British Virgin Islands, Dominican Republic, Jamaica, Puerto Rico, U.S. Virgin Islands and the Bahamas (Fleming 2001).

Threat Level 1	Threat Level 2	Threat Level 3	Spatial Extent	Severity	Immediacy	Trend	Certainty
1. Residential and Commercial	1.1 Housing & Urban Areas	1.1.1 Dense housing & urban areas (destruction/alteration of nearshore foraging areas from coastal development)	Choose an item.	Choose an item.	Choose an item.	Choose an item.	Choose an item.
1. Residential and Commercial	1.2 Commercial & Industrial Areas	1.2.1 Commercial & industrial areas (destruction/alteration of nearshore foraging areas from coastal development)	Choose an item.	Choose an item.	Choose an item.	Choose an item.	Choose an item.
1. Residential and Commercial	1.3 Tourism & Recreation Areas	-	Choose an item.	Choose an item.	Choose an item.	Choose an item.	Choose an item.
3. Energy Production & Mining	3.1 Oil & Gas Drilling	3.1.2 Offshore oil development (oil spills)	Choose an item.	Choose an item.	Choose an item.	Choose an item.	Choose an item.
4. Transportation & Service Corridors	4.3 Shipping Lanes	4.3.1 Shipping (ship strikes)	Choose an item.	Choose an item.	Choose an item.	Choose an item.	Choose an item.
5. Biological Resource Use	5.4 Fishing & Harvesting Aquatic Resources	5.4.2 Commercial fishing (bycatch and entanglement)	Choose an item.	Choose an item.	Choose an item.	Choose an item.	Choose an item.
6. Human Intrusions & Disturbance	6.1 Recreational Activities	6.1.4 Recreational boating	Choose an item.	Choose an item.	Choose an item.	Choose an item.	Choose an item.
7. Natural System Modifications	7.3 Other Ecosystem Modifications	7.3.1 Shoreline alteration (shoreline stabilization)	Choose an item.	Choose an item.	Choose an item.	Choose an item.	Choose an item.
7. Natural System Modifications	7.3 Other Ecosystem Modifications	7.3.1 Shoreline alteration (sea walls)	Choose an item.	Choose an item.	Choose an item.	Choose an item.	Choose an item.
9. Pollution	9.2 Industrial & Military Effluents	-	Choose an item.	Choose an item.	Choose an item.	Choose an item.	Choose an item.
9. Pollution	9.3 Agricultural & Forestry Effluents	-	Choose an item.	Choose an item.	Choose an item.	Choose an item.	Choose an item.
9. Pollution	9.4 Garbage & Solid Waste	-	Choose an item.	Choose an item.	Choose an item.	Choose an item.	Choose an item.

9. Pollution	9.6 Excess Energy	9.6.3 Noise pollution	Choose an item.	Choose an item.	Choose an item.	Choose an item.	Choose an item.
11. Climate Change	11.1 Habitat Shifting & Alteration	-	Choose an item.	Choose an item.	Choose an item.	Choose an item.	Choose an item.

Table 2: threats to leatherback turtle.

Are there regulatory mechanisms that protect the species or its habitat in New York?

Yes: ✓ **No:** _____ **Unknown:** _____

If yes, describe mechanism and whether adequate to protect species/habitat:

The leatherback turtle is listed as an endangered species in New York and is protected by Environmental Conservation Law (ECL) section 11-0535 and the New York Code of Rules and Regulations (6 NYCRR Part 182). A permit is required for any proposed project that may result in a take of a species listed as Threatened or Endangered, including, but not limited to, actions that may kill or harm individual animals or result in the adverse modification, degradation or destruction of habitat occupied by the listed species. It is also protected as a federally-listed endangered species.

In addition, Article 17 of the ECL works to limit water pollution, and Article 14 presents the New York Ocean and Great Lakes Ecosystem Conservation Act. This act is responsible for the conservation and restoration of coastal ecosystems "so that they are healthy, productive and resilient and able to deliver the resources people want and need." Both of these help to protect the habitat of the leatherback turtle. Whether they are adequate to protect the habitat is currently unknown.

Describe knowledge of management/conservation actions that are needed for recovery/conservation, or to eliminate, minimize, or compensate for the identified threats:

The NY Marine Rescue Center should continue to carry out stranding and entanglement response for sea turtles. The Rescue Center rescues and rehabilitates injured and ill individuals. Before being released, rehabilitated sea turtles are sometimes given a satellite tag, which helps expand our knowledge on movements and habitat use. Placing PIT tags and/or satellite tags on as many individual turtles as possible will help to further our knowledge on leatherback turtle life history, and this practice should be encouraged. It is critical to determine where New York leatherback turtles travel and nest to help reduce the threats to the population during other stages of its life.

Long-term surveys to monitor the population of leatherback turtles in New York should be implemented. Sea turtle use of state waters was fairly well established by studies throughout the 1980s and 1990s, but not much work has been done in recent years. Monitoring would allow researchers to garner a better idea of population trends and habitat use of this species in the State and see if shifts in use have occurred. Additionally, further research into the effects of the various threats listed above on the leatherback turtle population in the State should be encouraged. Bycatch rates should be closely monitored, and research into reducing these rates would be beneficial.

In a report from the New York Bight Sea Turtle Workshop (Bonacci-Sullivan 2018), the following research and management recommendations were made: 1) Collect baseline data on presence and residence time; 2) Targeted nearshore aerial and vessel surveys; 3) reconsideration of size limits for tagging due to importance of juvenile turtles; 4) Collect information on the impact of the pound net fishery; 5) create a sea turtle nesting response plan; 6) support stranding-response programs; and 7) increase outreach efforts.

Education on this species and the importance of reporting ship strikes and entanglements is encouraged. Conservation actions following IUCN taxonomy are categorized in the table below.

Action Category	Action	Description
B.3 Outreach	B.3.1.4.0 Public outreach and information	Awareness & Communications
C.10 Institutional Development	C.10.2.0.0 External support and organizational development	Alliance and Partnership Development

Table 3: Recommended conservation actions for leatherback turtle.

The Comprehensive Wildlife Conservation Strategy (NYSDEC 2005) includes recommendations for the following actions for sea turtles.

Curriculum development:

____ To provide public outreach programs about local species and their environment within the Long Island Sound and the New York Bight. Partnering with agencies such as the New York State Marine Mammal and Sea Turtle Rescue Program, NYSDEC, NOAA, U.S. Coast Guard and local law enforcement, will allow the Riverhead Foundation to adhere to the actions listed in the sea turtle recovery plans more efficiently and effectively.

Fact sheet:

____ To provide literature for local communities, as well as law enforcement agencies, regarding sea turtles and their environment within the Long Island Sound and the New York Bight. The information distributed by the Riverhead Foundation to these people will provide a more effective response to strandings and sightings of animals.

Population monitoring:

____ Mark recapture studies will provide data on the diet composition of these animals between bodies of water. These results can be compared to historical studies to identify any shifts in prey species.

____ Determine sex composition of NY sea turtle populations. As the New York region is a critical developmental habitat for sea turtles it is important to understand if there is a sexual bias for this area. Historical studies were unable to obtain the sex of many live animals.

____ Radio and satellite tags can be combined with aerial and shipboard survey work to study abundance, distribution, and movements associated with seasonal changes.

____ Genetic studies should be conducted to identify stock structure and possibly understand broad scale movements.

____ Mark recapture studies will provide data on size class, and population structure. With these data comparisons can be made within years, between years and between bodies of water (e.g. Long Island Sound, Peconic Bay, Great South Bay, offshore waters) and also compared to stranded animals to understand how and if stranded animals can be used as a representative of the current population or a proxy for ecosystem health.

VII. References

Atlantic Leatherback Turtle Recovery Team (ALTRT). 2006. Recovery strategy for leatherback turtle (*Dermochelys coriacea*) in Atlantic Canada. Species at Risk Act Recovery Strategy Series. Fisheries and Oceans Canada, Ottawa, 45 pp.

Avens, L. and L. R. Goshe. 2007. Skeletochronological analysis of age and growth for leatherback sea turtles in the western North Atlantic. Pp. 223 *In* Frick, M. A. Panagopoulou, A. F. Rees, and K. Williams (compilers). Book of Abstracts, Twenty-seventh Annual Symposium on Sea Turtle Biology and Conservation. International Sea Turtle Society, Myrtle Beach, South Carolina, USA.

Balazs, G. H. 1985. Impact of ocean debris on marine turtles: entanglement and ingestion. U.S. Department of Commerce, NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-SWFSC-54: 387-429.

Bjorndal, K. A., A. B. Bolten, and C. J. Lagueux. 1994. Ingestion of marine debris by juvenile sea turtles in coastal Florida habitats. Marine Pollution Bulletin 28(3): 154-158.

Bleakney, J. S. 1965. Reports of marine turtles from New England and eastern Canada. Canadian Field Naturalist 79: 120-128.

Bonacci-Sullivan, L., 2018. Summary Report of the New York Bight Sea Turtle Workshop. NYS Department of Environmental Conservation.

Boulon, R. H., K. L. Eckert, and S. A. Eckert. 1988. Dermochelys coriacea (leatherback sea turtle) migration. Herpetological Review 19(4): 88.

Caurant, F., P. Bustamante, M. Bordes and P. Miramand. 1999. Bioaccumulation of cadmium, copper and zinc in some tissues of three species of marine turtles stranded along the French Atlantic coasts. Marine Pollution Bulletin 38(12): 1085 - 1091.

Collard, S. B. 1990. Leatherback turtles feeding near a watermass boundary in the eastern Gulf of Mexico. Marine Turtle Newsletter 50: 12 - 14.

DiGiovanni, R. A. Jr. 2010. Summary of marine mammal and sea turtle strandings for June 2009 through May 2010. Riverhead Foundation for Marine Research and Preservation. 16 pp.

DiGiovanni, R. A. Jr., K. F. Durham and J. N. Wocial. Riverhead Foundation for Marine Research and Preservation's John H. Prescott Marine Mammal Rescue Assistance Grant Program Summary 2001-2010. Riverhead Foundation for Marine Research and Preservation. 11 pp.

DiGiovanni, R. Jr. 2009. Summary of marine mammal and sea turtle stranding summary for 2008. Riverhead Foundation for Marine Research and Preservation. 17 pp.

Dow Piniak W. E., Eckert, S. A., Harms, C. A. and Stringer, E. M. 2012. Underwater hearing sensitivity of the leatherback sea turtle (Dermochelys coriacea): Assessing the potential effect of anthropogenic noise. U.S. Dept. of the Interior, Bureau of Ocean Energy Management, Headquarters, Herndon, VA. OCS Study BOEM 2012-01156. 35pp.

Dutton, D. L., P. H. Dutton, M. Chaloupka and R. H. Boulon. 2005. Increase of a Caribbean leatherback turtle *Dermochelys coriacea* nesting population linked to long-term nest protection. Biological Conservation 126: 186-194.

Dutton, P. H., S. K. Davis, T. Guerra and D. Owens. 1996. Molecular phylogeny for marine turtles based on sequences of the ND4-leucine tRNA and control regions of mitochondrial DNA. Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution 5(3): 511 - 521.

Dwyer, K., Ryder, C. and Prescott, R. 2002. Anthropogenic mortality of leatherback turtles in Massachusetts waters. In Proceedings of the Twenty-Second Annual Symposium on Sea Turtle Conservation and Biology. In Press NMFS 1992.

Eckert, S. A. 2002. Distribution of juvenile leatherback sea turtles Dermochelys coriacea sightings. Marine Ecology Progress Series 230: 289 - 293.

Eckert, S. A. and L.M. Sarti. 1997. Distant fisheries implicated in the loss of the world's largest leatherback nesting population. Marine Turtle Newsletter 78, 2-7.

Eckert, S. A., D. Bagley, S. Kubis, L. Ehrhard, C. Johnson, K. Stewart and D. DeFreese. 2006. Internesting, post-nesting movements and foraging habitats of leatherback sea turtles (*Dermochelys coriacea*) nesting in Florida. Chelonian Conservation and Biology 5(2): 239 - 248.

Evans, D., C. Ordonez, S. Troeng, and C. Drews. 2007. Satellite tracking of leatherback turtles from Caribbean Central America reveals unexpected foraging grounds. Pp. 70-71 In Frick, M., A. Panagopoulou, A. F. Rees and K. Williams (compilers). Book of Abstracts, Twenty-seventh Annual Symposium on Sea Turtle Biology and Conservation. International Sea Turtle Society, Myrtle Beach, South Carolina, USA.

Fleming, E.H. 2001. Swimming against the tide: Recent surveys of exploitation, trade, and management of marine turtles in the northern Caribbean. TRAFFIC North America, Washington D.C., 161 p.

Girondot, M., M. H. Godfrey, L. Ponge and P. Rivalan. 2007. Modeling approaches to quantify leatherback nesting trends in French Guiana and Suriname. Chelonian Conservation and Biology 6(1): 37 - 46.

Godfrey, M. H. and R. Barreto. 1995. *Dermochelys coriacea* (Leatherback sea turtle) copulation. Herpetological Review 29(1): 40 - 41.

Godley, B. J., D. R. Thompson, S. Waldron, and R. W. Furness. 1998. The trophic status of marine turtles as determined by stable isotope analysis. Marine Ecology Progress Series 166: 277-284.

Goff, G. P. and J. Lien. 1988. Atlantic leatherback turtles, *Dermochelys coriacea*, in cold water off Newfoundland and Labrador. Canadian Field Naturalist 102: 1-5.

Hartog, J.C. den and M.M. Van Nierop. 1984. A study on the gut contents of six leathery turtles Dermochelys coriacea (Linnaeus) (Reptilia: Testudines: Dermochelyidae) from British waters and from the Netherlands. Zoologische Verhandelingen (Leiden) No. 209.

Hastings, M. 2003. A conservation success: leatherback turtles in the British Virgin Islands. Marine Turtle Newsletter 99: 5 - 7.

Hawkes, L. A., A. C. Broderick, M. H. Godfrey and B. J. Godley. 2007. Investigating the potential impacts of climate change on a marine turtle population. Global Change Biology 13: 923 - 932.

Hays, G. C., A. C. Broderick, F. Glen and B. J. Godley. 2003. Climate change and sea turtles: a 150-year reconstruction of incubation temperatures at a major marine turtle rookery. Global Change Biology 9: 642-646.

Hays, G. C., V. J. Hobson, J. D. Metcalfe, D. Righton and D. W. Sims. 2006. Flexible foraging movements of leatherback turtles across the North Atlantic Ocean. Ecology 87(10): 2647-2656.

Herbst. L. H. 1994. Fibropapillomatosis of marine turtles. Annual Review of Fish Diseases 4: 389 - 425.

Huerta, P., H. Pineda, A. Aguirre, T. Spraker, L. Sarti and A. Barragan. 2002. First confirmed case of fibropapilloma in a leatherback turtle (Dermochelys coriacea). Pp. 193 In Mosier, A., A. Foley and B. Brost (compilers). Proceedings of the Twentieth Annual Symposium on Sea Turtle Biology and Conservation. NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-SEFSC-477.

Hughes, G. R. 1996. Nesting of the leatherback turtle (*Dermochelys coriacea*) in Tongaland, KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa, 1963-1995. Chelonian Conservation Biology 2(2): 153-158.

Innis, C.J., Finn, S., Kennedy, A., Burgess, E., Norton, T., Manire, C.A., Harms, C., 2019. A Summary of Sea Turtles Released from Rescue and Rehabilitation Programs in the United States, with Observations on Re-Encounters. Chelonian Conservation and Biology 18, 3. https://doi.org/10.2744/CCB-1335.1

Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPPC). 2007. Summary for Policy Makers. In Solomon, S., D. Quin, M. Manning, Z. Chen, M. Marquis, K. B. Averyt, M. Tignor and H. L. Miller (eds.), Climate Change 2007: Impacts, Adaption and Vulnerability. Contribution of Working Group II to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA.

James, M. C. 2000. Distribution of the leatherback turtle (*Dermochelys coriacea*) in Atlantic Canada: evidence from the observer program, aerial surveys and a volunteer network of fish harvesters. M.S. thesis. Biology Department, Acadia University, Wolfville, Nova Scotia, 71 pp.

James, M. C. and T. B. Herman. 2001. Feeding of *Dermochelys coriacea* on Medusae in the northwest Atlantic. Chelonian Conservation and Biology 4(1): 202-205.

James, M. C., C. A. Ottensmeyer, and R. A. Myers. 2005a. Identification of high-use habitat and threats to leatherback sea turtles in northern waters: new directions for conservation. Ecology Letters 8: 195 - 201.

James, M. C., S. A. Eckert, and R. A. Myers. 2005b. Migratory and reproductive movements of male leatherback turtles (*Dermochelys coriacea*). Marine Biology 147: 845-853.

Kamel, S. J. and N. Mrosovsky. 2004. Nest site selection in leatherbacks, *Dermochelys coriacea*: individual patterns and their consequences. Animal Behaviour 68: 357 - 366.

Lawson, J. W. and J.-F. Gosselin. 2003. SARCEP 2002 pilot cetacean survey - Atlantic Canada. Department of Fisheries and Oceans, SARCEP. 14 pp.

Lazell, J. D., Jr. 1980. New England waters: critical habitat for marine turtles. Copeia 1980: 290 - 295.

Lee Lum, L. 2006. Assessment of incidental sea turtle catch in the artisanal gillnet fishery in Trinidad and Tobago, West Indies. Applied Herpetology 3: 357 - 368.

Lewison, R. L., S. A. Freeman, and L. B. Crowder. 2004. Quantifying the effects of fisheries on threatened species: the impact of pelagic longlines on loggerhead and leatherback sea turtles. Ecology Letters. 7:221-231.

Long, D. J. 1996. Records of white shark-bitten leatherback sea turtles along the central California coast. Pp. 317-319 *In* Klimley, A. P. and D. G. Ainley (eds.). Great White Sharks: The Biology of *Carcharodon carcharias*. Academic Press, San Diego, California.

Lutcavage, M. 1996. Planning your next meal: leatherback travel routes and ocean fronts. Pp. 174 - 178 In Keinath, J. A., D. E. Barnard, J. A. Musick and Bell (compilers), Proceedings of the Fifteenth Annual Symposium on Sea Turtle Biology and Conservation. NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-SEFSC-387.

Lutcavage, M. E., P. Plotkin, B. Witherington and P. L. Lutz. 1997. Human impacts on sea turtle survival. Pp. 387-409 In Lutz, P. L. and J. A. Musick (eds.). The Biology of Sea Turtles. CRC Press, Boca Raton, Florida.

McKenzie, C., B. J. Godley, R. W. Furness, and D. E. Wells. 1999. Concentrations and patterns of organochlorine contaminants in marine turtles from Mediterranean and Atlantic waters. Marine Environmental Research 47: 117-135.

Moein, S. E., Musick, J. A., Keinath, J. A., Barnard, D. E., Lenhardt, M. and George, R. 1994. Evaluation of seismic sources for repelling sea turtles from hopper dredges: final report submitted to the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers waterways experiment station., pp. 33. Gloucester Point, VA.: Virginia Institute of Marine Science, College of William and Mary.

Montello, M., K. Hansen, V. Gluck, R. Murray, W. Kapp, A. Jelaska, and M. Oerth. 2023 Annual Report 2022/2023: Marine Mammal and Sea Turtle Response in New York State New York Marine Rescue Center Submitted to the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation. 19pp.

Montello, M.A., Goulder, K.D., Pisciotta, R.P., McFarlane, W.J., 2022. Historical Trends in New York State Cold-Stunned Sea Turtle Stranding-to-Release: 1998–2019. Chelonian Conservation and Biology 21, 74–87. https://doi.org/10.2744/CCB-1506.1

Morreale, S. J. and E. A. Standora. 1998. Early life stage ecology of sea turtles in northeastern U.S. waters. U.S. Dep. Commer. NOAA Tech. Mem. NMFS-SEFSC-413. 49pp.

Mrosovsky, N., P. H. Dutton, and C. P. Whitmore. 1984. Sex ratios of two species of sea turtle nesting in Suriname. Canadian Journal of Zoology 62(11): 2227 - 2239.

National Marine Fisheries Service and U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 2020. Endangered Species Act status review of the leatherback turtle (Dermochelys coriacea). Report to the National Marine Fisheries Service Office of Protected Resources and U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.

National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) and U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS). 2007. Leatherback sea turtle (*Dermochelys coriacea*) 5-year review: summary and evaluation. National Marine Fisheries Service Office of Protected Resources, Silver Spring, Maryland. 81 pp.

National Marine Fisheries Service and U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (NMFS and USFWS). 1992. Recovery Plan for Leatherback Turtles in the U.S. Caribbean, Atlantic and Gulf of Mexico. National Marine Fisheries Service, Washington, D.C.

NatureServe. 2024. NatureServe Explorer. Page last published 11/1/24. https://explorer.natureserve.org/Taxon/ELEMENT_GLOBAL.2.103708/Dermochelys_coriacea. Accessed November 20, 2024.

Nelms, S.E., Piniak, W.E.D., Weir, C.R., Godley, B.J., 2016. Seismic surveys and marine turtles: An underestimated global threat? Biological Conservation 193, 49–65. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2015.10.020

New York Department of State (NYSDOS), 2013. Offshore Atlantic Ocean Study. P. 144

New York State Energy Research and Development Authority (NYSERDA), 2021. Digital Aerial Baseline Survey of Marine Wildlife in Support of Offshore Wind Energy: Spatial and Temporal Marine Wildlife Distributions in the New York Offshore Planning Area, Summer 2016–Spring 2019 Final Report Volume 3: Results (Turtles) (No. 21– 7c). Prepared by Normandeau Assoc.

NOAA Fisheries, 2024. Leatherback Turtle | NOAA Fisheries [WWW Document]. NOAA. URL https://www.fisheries.noaa.gov/species/leatherback-turtle (accessed 9.6.24).

Northeast Fisheries Science Center, Southeast Fisheries Science Center (SEFSC). 2024. 2023 Annual Report of a Comprehensive Assessment of Marine Mammal, Marine Turtle, and Seabird Abundance and Spatial Distribution in U.S. waters of the Western North Atlantic Ocean. AMAPPS III. NOAA. https://doi.org/10.25923/11cy-0j88

O'Hara, J. and J.R. Wilcox. 1990. Avoidance responses of loggerhead turtles, *Caretta caretta*, to low frequency sound. Copeia 1990(2): 564-567.

OBIS SEAMAP (2024) Ocean Biodiversity Information System. Intergovernmental Oceanographic Commission of UNESCO. https://obis.org. Data Download with relevant Project specific citations available as an appendix.

Pitman, R. L. and P. H. Dutton. 2004. Killer whale predation on a leatherback turtle in the northeast Pacific. Pacific Science 58(3): 497-498.

Pritchard, P. C. H. 1979. Encyclopedia of Turtles. T. F. H. Publications, Inc. Neptune, New Jersey, 895 pp.

Reina, R. D., K. J. Abernathy, G. J. Marshall, and J. R. Spotila. 2005. Respiratory frequency, dive behaviour and social interactions of leatherback turtles, *Dermochelys coriacea* during the inter-nesting interval. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 316: 1 - 16.

Roden, S.E., Stewart, K.R., James, M.C., Dodge, K.L., Dell'Amico, F., Dutton, P.H., 2017. Genetic fingerprinting reveals natal origins of male leatherback turtles encountered in the Atlantic Ocean and Mediterranean Sea. Mar Biol 164, 181. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00227-017-3211-0

Sadove, S. S. and P. Cardinale. 1993. Species composition and distribution of marine mammals and sea turtles in the New York Bight. Final Report to U.S. Dept. of the Interior, Fish and Wildlife Service Southern New England-New York Bight Coastal Fisheries Project. Charlestown, RI.

Sadove, S. S. and S. J. Morreale. 1989. Marine mammal and sea turtle encounters with marine debris in the New York Bight and the northeast Atlantic. Draft. Okeanos Ocean Research Foundation. 12 p.

Sako, T. and K. Horikoshi. 2002. Marine debris ingested by green turtles in the Ogasawara Islands, Japan. Pp. 305 *In* Seminoff, J. A. (compiler). Proceedings of the Twenty-second Annual Symposium on Sea Turtle Biology and Conservation. NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-SEFSC-503.

Sea Turtle Stranding and Salvage Network (STSSN), 2024. Data Summary and Visual Application. New York State Data Download August 2024. Southeast Fisheries Science Center.

Shoop, C. R. and R. D. Kenney. 1992. Seasonal distributions and abundances of loggerhead and leatherback sea turtles in waters of the north eastern United States. Herpetological Monographs 6: 43 - 67.

Sindermann, C. J., R. Lloyd, S. L. Vader and W. R. P. Bourne. 1982. Implications of oil pollution in production of disease in marine organisms [and discussion]. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London B 297: 385-399.

Sparks, L., DiMatteo, A., 2023. Sea Turtle Distribution and Abundance on the East Coast of the United States (No. 12), Naval Undersea Warfare Center Division, Newport RI Technical Report.

Spotila, J. R., A. E. Dunham, A. J. Leslie, A. C. Steyermark, P. T. Plotkin and F. V. Paladino. 1996. Worldwide population decline of *Dermochelys coriacea*: are leatherback turtles going extinct? Chelonian Conservation and Biology 2(2): 209 - 222.

Starbird, C. 2000. Dermochelys coriacea (leatherback sea turtle) fishing net ingestion. Herpetological Review 31(1): 43.

Stewart, K. R., E. L. LaCasella, S. E. Roden, M. P. Jensen, L. W. Stokes, S. P. Epperly, and P. H. Dutton. 2016. Nesting population origins of leatherback turtles caught as bycatch in the U.S. pelagic longline fishery. Ecosphere 7(3):e01272. 10.1002/ecs2.1272

Stewart, K. and C. Johnson. 2006. Dermochelys coriacea -- Leatherback sea turtle. In Meylan, P. A. (ed.), Biology and Conservation of Florida Turtles. Chelonian Research Monographs 3: 144 - 157.

Stewart, K., J. M. Keller, C. Johnson and J. R. Kucklick. 2007. Baseline contaminant concentrations in leatherback sea turtles and maternal transfer to eggs confirmed. Pp. 61 *In* Frick, M., A. Panagopoulou, A. F. Rees and K. Williams (compilers). Book of Abstracts, Twenty-seventh Annual Symposium on Sea Turtle Biology and Conservation. International Sea Turtle Society, Myrtle Beach, South Carolina, USA.

Storelli, M. M. and G. O. Marcotrigiano. 2003. Heavy metal residues in tissues of marine turtles. Marine Pollution Bulletin 46: 397 - 400.

Troeng, S., D. Chacon, and B. Dick. 2004. Possible decline in leatherback turtle *Dermochelys coriacea* nesting along the coast of Caribbean Central America. Oryx 38(4): 395 - 403.

Troeng, S., E. Harrison, D. Evans, A. de Haro, and E. Vargas. 2007. Leatherback turtle nesting trends and threats at Tortuguero, Costa Rica. Chelonian Conservation and Biology 6(1): 117 - 122.

Turtle Expert Working Group. 2007. An Assessment of the Leatherback Turtle Population in the Atlantic Ocean. NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-SEFSC-555, 116 pp.

Vose, F. E. and B. V. Shank. 2003. Predation on loggerhead and leatherback post-hatchlings by gray snapper. Marine Turtle Newsletter 99: 11-14.

Wallace, B.P., Tiwari, M. & Girondot, M. 2013. Dermochelys coriacea. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species 2013: e.T6494A43526147. https://dx.doi.org/10.2305/IUCN.UK.2013-2.RLTS.T6494A43526147.en. Accessed on 05 September 2024.

Witt, M. J., A. C. Broderick, M. S. Coyne, A. Formia, S. Ngouessono, R. J. Parnell, G.-P. Sounguet and B. J. Godley. 2008. Satellite tracking highlights difficulties in the design of effective protected areas for Critically Endangered leatherback turtles Dermochelys coriacea during the inter-nesting period. Oryx 42(2): 296-300.

Yender, R. A. and A. J. Mearns. 2003. Case studies of spills that threaten sea turtles. Pp. 69-86 *In* Shigenaka, G. (ed.). Oil and Sea Turtles: Biology, Planning, and Response. NOAA, National Ocean Service, Office of Response and Restoration, Seattle, Washington.

Originally prepared by	Amanda Bailey
Date first prepared	April 26, 2013
First revision	July 30, 2013 (Kimberley Corwin)
Latest revision	September 25, 2024 (Katherine Lawson)