

# State Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (SPDES) DISCHARGE PERMIT

SIC Code: 899	9 NAI	ICS Code:	61 <sup>-</sup>	1110	SPDES Number:	NY0270636
Discharge Class (CL)	scharge Class (CL): 02			DEC Number:	3-4838-00072/00001	
Toxic Class (TX):	N				Effective Date (EDP):	
Major-Sub Drainage I	Basin: 14	- 01			Expiration Date (ExDP):	
Water Index Number:	D-1	3-3	Item No.:	815- 248	Madification Dates (FDDM)	
Compact Area:	DR	ВС			Modification Dates (EDPM):	

This SPDES permit is issued in compliance with Title 8 of Article 17 of the Environmental Conservation Law of New York State and in compliance with the Clean Water Act, as amended, (33 U.S.C. '1251 et.seq.)

PERMITTEE NAME AND ADDRESS								
Name:	Kadampa Meditation Center New York	Attention:	A Oakunasta Admir Dinastan					
Street:	P.O. Box 447		Amy Schwartz, Admn Director					
City:	Glen Spey	State:	NY	Zip Code:	12737			
Email:	admin@kadampanewyork.org	Phone:	845-85	6-9000				

is authorized to discharge from the facility described below:

FACILITY NAME, A	DDRES	SS, AND PR	IM	ARY (	Dυ	JTFAI	L										
Name:	Kadampa Meditation Center New York																
Address / Location:	47 Swe	' Sweeney Road County: Sullivan								an							
City:	Glen S	pey							State:	NY	Zip	Zip Code: <b>12737</b>					
Facility Location:		Latitude:		41	0	28	,	45.5	" N	& Longitude:	7	<b>'</b> 4	0	47	7	<sup>'</sup> 26.1	" W
Primary Outfall No.:	001	Latitude:		41	0	28	,	37	" N	& Longitude:	7	<b>'</b> 4	0	47	,	' 36	" W
Outfall Description:	Treate	d Sanitary	Re	eceivir	ng	Wate	er:	Trib Cree		n Cabin	Class	s:		С	Sta	ndard:	C(T)

in accordance with: effluent limitations; monitoring and reporting requirements; other provisions and conditions set forth in this permit; and 6 NYCRR Part 750-1 and 750-2.

This permit and the authorization to discharge shall expire on midnight of the expiration date shown above and the permittee shall not discharge after the expiration date unless this permit has been renewed or extended pursuant to law. To be authorized to discharge beyond the expiration date, the permittee shall apply for permit renewal not less than 180 days prior to the expiration date shown above.

### **DISTRIBUTION:**

CO BWP - Permit Coordinator (permit.coordinator@dec.ny.gov) BWP - Permit Writer RWE RPA EPA Region II NYSEFC

Permit Administrator:				
Address:	625 Broadway Albany, NY 1223	33-1750		
Signature:		Date:	1	1

# Contents

SUMMARY OF ADDITIONAL OUTFALLS	3
DEFINITIONS	4
INTERIM PERMIT LIMITS, LEVELS AND MONITORING	5
FINAL PERMIT LIMITS, LEVELS AND MONITORING	6
DISCHARGE NOTIFICATION REQUIREMENTS	7
SCHEDULE OF COMPLIANCE	8
MONITORING LOCATIONS	
GENERAL REQUIREMENTS	11
RECORDING. REPORTING AND ADDITIONAL MONITORING REQUIREMENTS	13

SPDES Number: **NY0270636**Page 3 of 13 v.1.22

# SUMMARY OF ADDITIONAL OUTFALLS

Outfall	Wastewater Description	Outfal	Outfall Latitude					Outfall Longitude					
002	Treated Sanitary	41	0	28	,	37	" N	74	0	47	,	36	" W
Receiving Water: Groundwaters							Class: GA						

Total Flow, All Subsurface Disposal System(S): **1,120 GPD – Dormitory** 

MONITORING AND REPORTING REQUIREMENTS: NONE



SPDES Number: **NY0270636** Page 4 of 13 v.1.22

# **DEFINITIONS**

TERM	DEFINITION
7-Day Geo Mean	The highest allowable geometric mean of daily discharges over a calendar week.
7-Day Average	The average of all daily discharges for each 7-days in the monitoring period. The sample measurement is the highest of the 7-day averages calculated for the monitoring period.
12-Month Rolling Average (12 MRA)	The current monthly value of a parameter, plus the sum of the monthly values over the previous 11 months for that parameter, divided by the number of months for which samples were collected in the 12-month period.
30-Day Geometric Mean	The highest allowable geometric mean of daily discharges over a calendar month, calculated as the antilog of: the sum of the log of each of the daily discharges measured during a calendar month divided by the number of daily discharges measured during that month.
Action Level	Action level means a monitoring requirement characterized by a numerical value that, when exceeded, triggers additional permittee actions and department review to determine if numerical effluent limitations should be imposed.
Compliance Level / Minimum Level	A compliance level is an effluent limitation. A compliance level is given when the water quality evaluation specifies a Water Quality Based Effluent Limit (WQBEL) below the Minimum Level. The compliance level shall be set at the Minimum Level (ML) for the most sensitive analytical method as given in 40 CFR Part 136, or otherwise accepted by the Department.
Daily Discharge	The discharge of a pollutant measured during a calendar day or any 24-hour period that reasonably represents the calendar day for the purposes of sampling. For pollutants expressed in units of mass, the 'daily discharge' is calculated as the total mass of the pollutant discharged over the day. For pollutants with limitations expressed in other units of measurement, the 'daily discharge' is calculated as the average measurement of the pollutant over the day.
Daily Maximum	The highest allowable Daily Discharge.
Daily Minimum	The lowest allowable Daily Discharge.
Effective Date of Permit (EDP or EDPM)	The date this permit is in effect.
Effluent Limitations	Effluent limitation means any restriction on quantities, quality, rates and concentrations of chemical, physical, biological, and other constituents of effluents that are discharged into waters of the state.
Expiration Date of Permit (ExDP)	The date this permit is no longer in effect.
Instantaneous Maximum	The maximum level that may not be exceeded at any instant in time.
Instantaneous Minimum	The minimum level that must be maintained at all instants in time.
Monthly Average	The highest allowable average of daily discharges over a calendar month, calculated as the sum of each of the daily discharges measured during a calendar month divided by the number of daily discharges measured during that month.
Outfall	The terminus of a sewer system, or the point of emergence of any waterborne sewage, industrial waste or other wastes or the effluent therefrom, into the waters of the State.
Range	The minimum and maximum instantaneous measurements for the reporting period must remain between the two values shown.
Receiving Water	The classified waters of the state to which the listed outfall discharges.
Sample Frequency / Sample Type / Units	See NYSDEC's "DMR Manual for Completing the Discharge Monitoring Report for the SPDES" for information on sample frequency, type and units.

# INTERIM PERMIT LIMITS, LEVELS AND MONITORING

OUTFALL	LIMITATIONS APPLY	RECEIVING WATER	EFFECTIVE	EXPIRING
001	All Year unless otherwise noted	Trib. of Fish Cabin Creek	EDP	FN (1)

	EFF	LUENT L	.IMITATIO	ON		MONITO	RING REQUIRE	MEN	TS	
PARAMETER							0 1	Loca	ation	FN
	Туре	Limit	Units	Limit	Units	Sample Frequency	Sample Type	Inf.	Eff.	
Flow	Monthly Average	5,500	GPD			Continuous	Recorder X		<	
рН	Daily Minimum	6.5	SU			4/Year	Grab		x	
рп	Daily Maximum	8.5	SU			4/ f ear	Glab		^	
Temperature	Daily Maximum	70	°F			4/Year	Grab		Х	
CBOD₅	Daily Maximum	5.0	mg/L	0.23	lbs/d	1/Year	Grab		X	(1)
Total Suspended Solids (TSS)	Daily Maximum	10.0	mg/L	0.46	lbs/d	1/Year	Grab		х	(1)
Settleable Solids	Daily Maximum	0.1	mL/L			4/Year	Grab		Х	
Dissolved Oxygen	Daily Minimum	7.0	mg/L			4/Year	Grab		Х	
Nitrogen, Ammonia (as NH₃)	Monthly Average	2.9	mg/L			1/Year	Grab		Х	
Total Phosphorus (as P)	Monthly Average	Monitor	mg/L			1/Year	Grab		Х	
EFFLUENT DISINFECTION Required All Year		Limit	Units	Limit	Units	Sample Frequency	Sample Type	Inf.	Eff.	FN
Coliform, Fecal	30-Day Geometric Mean	200	No./ 100 mL			4/Year	Grab		Х	
Coliform, Fecal	7-Day Geometric Mean	400	No./ 100 mL			4/Year	Grab		Х	
Chlorine, Total Residual	Daily Maximum	0.1	mg/L			Daily	Grab		Х	(3)

# **FOOTNOTES:**

- 1. The interim limits will last until the completion of the compliance schedule.
- 2. Effluent shall not exceed 15% and 15% of influent concentration values for CBOD<sub>5</sub> & TSS respectively.
- 3. If Chlorine is used as a disinfectant, monitoring shall be of total combined residual for both the contact tank and final effluent. Limitation applies to the final effluent.

SPDES Number: **NY0270636** Page 6 of 13 v.1.22

# FINAL PERMIT LIMITS, LEVELS AND MONITORING

OUTFALL	LIMITATIONS APPLY	RECEIVING WATER	EFFECTIVE	EXPIRING
001	All Year unless otherwise noted	Trib. of Fish Cabin Creek	FN (1)	ExDP

	EFF	LUENT L	IMITATIO	ON		MONITO	RING REQUIRE	EMEN	TS	
PARAMETER								Loc	ation	FN
	Туре	Limit	Units	Limit	Units	Sample Frequency	Sample Type	Inf.	Eff.	
Flow	Monthly Average	5,500	GPD			Continuous	Recorder		Х	
	Daily Minimum	6.5	SU			407				
pH	Daily Maximum	8.5	SU			4/Year	Grab		X	
Temperature	Daily Maximum	70	٥F			4/Year	Grab		Х	
CBOD₅	Daily Maximum	5.0	mg/L	0.23	lbs/d	1/Year	Grab	Х	Х	(2)
Total Suspended Solids (TSS)	Daily Maximum	10.0	mg/L	0.46	lbs/d	1/Year	Grab	х	х	(2)
Settleable Solids	Daily Maximum	0.1	mL/L			4/Year	Grab		Х	
Dissolved Oxygen	Daily Minimum	7.0	mg/L			4/Year	Grab		Х	
Nitrogen, Ammonia (as N) (June 1 <sup>st</sup> - Oct. 31 <sup>st</sup> )	Monthly Average	0.98	mg/L			1/Year	Grab		х	
Nitrogen, Ammonia (as N) (Nov 1 <sup>st</sup> - May 31 <sup>st</sup> )	Monthly Average	1.81	mg/L			1/Year	Grab		Х	
Total Phosphorus (as P)	Monthly Average	1.0	mg/L			1/Year	Grab		Х	
EFFLUENT DISINFECTION Required All Year		Limit	Units	Limit	Units	Sample Frequency	Sample Type	Inf.	Eff.	FN
Coliform, Fecal	30-Day Geometric Mean	200	No./ 100 mL			4/Year	Grab		х	
Coliform, Fecal	7-Day Geometric Mean	400	No./ 100 mL			4/Year	Grab		х	
Chlorine, Total Residual	Daily Maximum	0.03	mg/L			Daily	Grab		Х	(3,4)

# **FOOTNOTES:**

- (1) The final limits will be applicable upon the completion of the compliance schedule.
- (2) Effluent shall not exceed 15% and 15% of influent concentration values for CBOD<sub>5</sub> & TSS respectively.
- (3) This is a Compliance Level. The calculated WQBEL is 0.005 mg/L.
- (4) Sampling and reporting for total residual chlorine is only necessary if chlorine is used for disinfection, elsewhere in the treatment process, or the facility otherwise has reasonable potential to discharge chlorine. Otherwise, the permittee shall report NODI-9 on the DMR.

SPDES Number: **NY0270636** Page 7 of 13 v.1.22

# DISCHARGE NOTIFICATION REQUIREMENTS

- (a) The permittee shall install and maintain identification signs at all outfalls to surface waters listed in this permit, unless the Permittee has obtained a waiver in accordance with the Discharge Notification Act (DNA). Such signs shall be installed before initiation of any new discharge location.
- (b) Subsequent modifications to or renewal of this permit does not reset or revise the deadline set forth in (a) above, unless a new deadline is set explicitly by such permit modification or renewal.
- (c) The Discharge Notification Requirements described herein do not apply to outfalls from which the discharge is composed exclusively of storm water, or discharges to ground water.
- (d) The sign(s) shall be conspicuous, legible and in as close proximity to the point of discharge as is reasonably possible while ensuring the maximum visibility from the surface water and shore. The signs shall be installed in such a manner to pose minimal hazard to navigation, bathing or other water related activities. If the public has access to the water from the land in the vicinity of the outfall, an identical sign shall be posted to be visible from the direction approaching the surface water.

The signs shall have **minimum** dimensions of eighteen inches by twenty-four inches (18" x 24") and shall have white letters on a green background and contain the following information:

N.Y.S. PERMITTED DISCHARGE POINT
SPDES PERMIT No.: NY
OUTFALL No. :
For information about this permitted discharge contact:
Permittee Name:
Permittee Contact:
Permittee Phone: ( ) - ### - ####
OR:
NYSDEC Division of Water Regional Office Address:
NYSDEC Division of Water Regional Phone: ( ) - ### - ####

- (e) Upon request, the permittee shall make available electronic or hard copies of the sampling data to the public. In accordance with the RECORDING, REPORTING AND ADDITIONAL MONITORING REQUIREMENTS page of your permit, each DMR shall be maintained (either electronically or as a hard copy) on record for a period of five years.
- (f) The permittee shall periodically inspect the outfall identification sign(s) in order to ensure they are maintained, are still visible, and contain information that is current and factually correct. Signs that are damaged or incorrect shall be replaced within 3 months of inspection.

SPDES Number: **NY0270636**Page 8 of 13 v.1.22

# SCHEDULE OF COMPLIANCE

a) The permittee shall comply with the following schedule:

Outfall(s)	Compliance Action	Due Date
001	ENGINEERING REPORT The permittee shall submit an approvable engineering report prepared by a Professional Engineer licensed to practice engineering in New York State and detail the designs that will be used to comply with the final effluent limitations for Nitrogen, Ammonia (as N), Total Phosphorus and TRC. Approvable is defined as that which can be approved by the Department with only minimal revision. Minimal revision shall mean revised and resubmitted to the Department within sixty days of notification by the Department of the revisions that are necessary. All approvable engineering submissions must include the seal and signature of the professional engineer.  DESIGN SUBMITTAL The permittee shall submit an approvable Basis of Design Report, Engineering Plans,	EDP + 12 Months
	Specifications, and Construction Schedule for the implementation of final effluent limits for Nitrogen, Ammonia (as N), Total Phosphorus and TRC. Department approval is subject to SEQR and other permits, as needed.  BEGIN CONSTRUCTION	
	The permittee shall begin construction of the treatment facilities in accordance with the Department approved schedule.  COMPLETE CONSTRUCTION & COMMENCE OPERATION	
	The permittee shall complete construction and commence operation of the system, and comply with the final effluent limitations for Nitrogen, Ammonia (as N), Total Phosphorus and TRC.	December 31, 2027

The above compliance actions are one-time requirements. The permittee shall comply with the above compliance actions to the Department's satisfaction once. When this permit is administratively renewed by NYSDEC letter entitled "SPDES NOTICE/RENEWAL APPLICATION/PERMIT," the permittee is not required to repeat the submission(s) noted above. The above due dates are independent from the effective date of the permit stated in the "SPDES NOTICE/RENEWAL APPLICATION/PERMIT" letter.

### INTERIM EFFLUENT LIMITS FOR PARAMETERS SUBJECT TO THIS SCHEDULE OF COMPLIANCE

046-11	Donomoton(o) Affortod	Interim E	ffluent L	_imit	Limita Ammir	Natas	Intonius I imita Evolus
Outfall	Parameter(s) Affected	Type	Type Limit		Limits Apply	Notes	Interim Limits Expire
001	Nitrogen, Ammonia (as NH <sub>3</sub> )	Monthly Avg	2.9	mg/l	All Year	-	December 31, 2027
001	Chlorine, Total Residual	Daily Max	0.1	mg/l	All Year	-	December 31, 2027
001	Total Phosphorus (as P)	Monthly Avg	Monitor	mg/l	All Year	-	December 31, 2027

SPDES Number: NY0270636

Page 9 of 13 v.1.22


b) The permittee shall submit a written notice of compliance or non-compliance with each of the above schedule dates

- c) no later than 14 days following each elapsed date, unless conditions require more immediate notice as prescribed in 6 NYCRR Part 750-1.2(a) and 750-2. All such compliance or non-compliance notification shall be sent to the locations listed under the section of this permit entitled RECORDING, REPORTING AND ADDITIONAL MONITORING REQUIREMENTS. Each notice of <u>non-compliance</u> shall include the following information:
  - 1. A short description of the non-compliance;
  - 2. A description of any actions taken or proposed by the permittee to comply with the elapsed schedule requirements without further delay and to limit environmental impact associated with the non-compliance;
  - 3. Any details which tend to explain or mitigate an instance of non-compliance; and
  - 4. An estimate of the date the permittee will comply with the elapsed schedule requirement and an assessment of the probability that the permittee will meet the next scheduled requirement on time.
- d) The permittee shall submit copies of any document required by the above schedule of compliance to the NYSDEC Regional Water Engineer and to the Bureau of Water Permits



# MONITORING LOCATIONS

The permittee shall take samples and measurements, to comply with the monitoring requirements specified in this permit, at the locations(s) specified below:



# **GENERAL REQUIREMENTS**

A. The regulations in 6 NYCRR Part 750 are hereby incorporated by reference and the conditions are enforceable requirements under this permit. The permittee shall comply with all requirements set forth in this permit and with all the applicable requirements of 6 NYCRR Part 750 incorporated into this permit by reference, including but not limited to the regulations in paragraphs B through I as follows:

# B. General Conditions

1.	Duty to comply	6 NYCRR 750-2.1(e) & 2.4
2.	Duty to reapply	6 NYCRR 750-1.16(a)
3.	Need to halt or reduce activity not a defense	6 NYCRR 750-2.1(g)
4.	Duty to mitigate	6 NYCRR 750-2.7(f)
5.	Permit actions	6 NYCRR 750-1.1(c), 1.18, 1.20 & 2.1(h)
6.	Property rights	6 NYCRR 750-2.2(b)
7.	Duty to provide information	6 NYCRR 750-2.1(i)
8.	Inspection and entry	6 NYCRR 750-2.1(a) & 2.3

### C. Operation and Maintenance

1.	Proper Operation & Maintenance	6 NYCRR 750-2.8
2.	Bypass	6 NYCRR 750-1.2(a)(17), 2.8(b) & 2.7
3.	Upset	6 NYCRR 750-1.2(a)(94) & 2.8(c)

### D. Monitoring and Records

1.	Monitoring and records	6 NYCRR 750-2.5(a)(2), 2.5(a)(6), 2.5(c)(1), 2.5(c)(2), & 2.5(d)
2.	Signatory requirements	6 NYCRR 750-1.8 & 2.5(b)

### E. Reporting Requirements

1.	Reporting requirements	6 NYCRR 750-2.5, 2.7 & 1.17
2.	Anticipated noncompliance	6 NYCRR 750-2.7(a)
3.	Transfers	6 NYCRR 750-1.17
4.	Monitoring reports	6 NYCRR 750-2.5(e)
5.	Compliance schedules	6 NYCRR 750-1.14(d)
6.	24-hour reporting	6 NYCRR 750-2.7(c) & (d)
7.	Other noncompliance	6 NYCRR 750-2.7(e)
8.	Other information	6 NYCRR 750-2.1(f)

### F. Planned Changes

- 1. The permittee shall give notice to the Department as soon as possible of planned physical alterations or additions to the permitted facility when:
  - a. The alteration or addition to the permitted facility may meet any of the criteria for determining whether facility is a new source in 40 CFR §122.29(b); or
  - b. The alteration or addition could significantly change the nature or increase the quantity of pollutants discharged. This notification applies to pollutants which are subject either to effluent limitations in the permit, or to notification requirements under 40 CFR §122.42(a)(1); or
  - c. The alteration or addition results in a significant change in the permittee's sludge use or disposal practices, and such alteration, addition, or change may justify the application of permit conditions that are different from or absent in the existing permit, including notification of additional use or disposal sites not reported during the permit application process or not reported pursuant to an approved land application plan.

In addition to the Department, the permittee shall submit a copy of this notice to the United States Environmental Protection Agency at the following address: U.S. EPA Region 2, Clean Water Regulatory Branch, 290 Broadway, 24th Floor, New York, NY 10007-1866.

SPDES Number: **NY0270636** Page 12 of 13 v.1.22

# GENERAL REQUIREMENTS (continued)

### G. Sludge Management

The permittee shall comply with all applicable requirements of 6 NYCRR Part 360.

### H. SPDES Permit Program Fee

The permittee shall pay to the Department an annual SPDES permit program fee within 30 days of the date of the first invoice, unless otherwise directed by the Department, and shall comply with all applicable requirements of ECL 72-0602 and 6 NYCRR Parts 480, 481 and 485. Note that if there is inconsistency between the fees specified in ECL 72-0602 and 6 NYCRR Part 485, the ECL 72-0602 fees govern.

## I. Water Treatment Chemicals (WTCs)

New or increased use and discharge of a WTC requires prior Department review and authorization. At a minimum, the permittee must notify the Department in writing of its intent to change WTC use by submitting a completed *WTC Notification Form* for each proposed WTC. The Department will review that submittal and determine if a SPDES permit modification is necessary or whether WTC review and authorization may proceed outside of the formal permit administrative process. The majority of WTC authorizations do not require SPDES permit modification. In any event, use and discharge of a WTC shall not proceed without prior authorization from the Department. Examples of WTCs include biocides, coagulants, conditioners, corrosion inhibitors, defoamers, deposit control agents, flocculants, scale inhibitors, sequestrants, and settling aids.

- 1. WTC use shall not exceed the rate explicitly authorized by this permit or otherwise authorized in writing by the Department.
- The permittee shall maintain a logbook of all WTC use, noting for each WTC the date, time, exact location, and amount of each dosage, and, the name of the individual applying or measuring the chemical. The logbook must also document that adequate process controls are in place to ensure that excessive levels of WTCs are not used.
- 3. The permittee shall submit a completed WTC Annual Report Form each year that they use and discharge WTCs. This form shall be submitted in electronic format and attached to either the December DMR or the annual monitoring report required below. The WTC Notification Form and WTC Annual Report Form are available from the Department's website at: <a href="http://www.dec.ny.gov/permits/93245.html">http://www.dec.ny.gov/permits/93245.html</a>

SPDES Number: NY0270636

Page 13 of 13 v.1.22

# RECORDING, REPORTING AND ADDITIONAL MONITORING REQUIREMENTS

- A. The monitoring information required by this permit shall be retained for a period of at least five years from the date of the sampling for subsequent inspection by the Department or its designated agent.
- B. <u>Annual SPDES Monitoring Reports</u>: An annual report shall be submitted to the Department by February 1<sup>st</sup> each year. The report shall summarize information for January to December of the previous year and shall be submitted electronically, or in hardcopy format, utilizing the SPDES Annual Report Form available on the Department's website.

Hard copy submission of the Annual Report shall be submitted to the Regional Water Engineer at the address below:

Department of Environmental Conservation
Regional Water Engineer, Region 3
21 South Putt Corners Road, New Paltz, New York, 12561-1696 Phone: (845) 256-3000

C. Monitoring and analysis shall be conducted using sufficiently sensitive test procedures approved under 40 CFR Part 136, unless other test procedures have been specified in this permit.

- D. Calculations which require averaging of measurements shall utilize an arithmetic mean unless otherwise specified in this permit.
- E. Unless otherwise specified, all information recorded on the DMRs shall be based upon measurements and sampling carried out during the most recently completed reporting period.
- F. Any laboratory test or sample analysis required by this permit for which the State Commissioner of Health issues certificates of approval pursuant to section 502 of the Public Health Law shall be conducted by a laboratory which has been issued a certificate of approval. Inquiries regarding laboratory certification should be directed to the New York State Department of Health, Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program.

Date: August 28, 2023 v.1.17 Permit Writer: Vijay Gandhi Water Quality Reviewer: Aseem K.

ater Quality Reviewer: Aseem K.

Full Technical Review

# SPDES Permit Fact Sheet Kadampa Meditation Center New York Kadampa Meditation Center New York NY0270636



Date: August 28, 2023 v.1.17 Permit Writer: Vijay Gandhi Water Quality Reviewer: Aseem K.

Full Technical Review

# Contents

Summary of Permit Changes	3
Administrative History	3
Facility Information	4
Site Overview	5
Existing Effluent Quality	7
Receiving Water Information	7
Critical Receiving Water Data & Mixing Zone	7
Permit Requirements	7
Whole Effluent Toxicity (WET) Testing	7
Anti-backsliding	7
Antidegradation	8
Discharge Notification Act Requirements	8
Schedule(s) of Compliance	8
OUTFALL AND RECEIVING WATER SUMMARY TABLE	9
POLLUTANT SUMMARY TABLE	9
Outfall 001	9
Appendix: Regulatory and Technical Basis of Permit Authorizations	13
Regulatory References	13
Outfall and Receiving Water Information	13
Existing Effluent Quality	14
Permit Requirements	14

Date: August 28, 2023 v.1.17 Permit Writer: Vijay Gandhi Water Quality Reviewer: Aseem K.

Full Technical Review

# Summary of Permit Changes

A State Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (SPDES) permit has been drafted for the Kadampa Meditation Center. The permit has been expired since 5/31/2016. The Department received the full P/C/I permit application dated, 5/11/2022. The following is a summary of the changes. The details of these changes are specified below and in the permit:

- Receiving water is a tributary of Fish Cabin Creek and it is a class C(T) stream, consistent
  with TOGS 1.3.3, effluent disinfection is required year-round due to the class of the
  receiving waterbody. Fecal coliform effluent limitations equal to the TBEL are specified
  year-round.
- Exempt from Mercury minimization program as a class 02 Facility
- Reduced Nitrogen, Ammonia with trout stream for summer (ammonia nitrogen of 0.98 mg/l) and winter (ammonia nitrogen of 1.81 mg/l) is applicable.
- Per TOGS 1.3.6, for facilities discharge less than 50,000 gpd to a lake watershed, a limit of 1.0 mg/l of total phosphorus is applicable.
- Added phosphorus limit as discharge to downstream that is a ponded water or lake
- Below DRBC's review threshold of 10,000 gallons per day and does not require DRBC limits for additional parameters.
- Reduced daily maximum effluent limitation for Total Residual Chlorine (TRC) of 0.03 mg/l.

This factsheet summarizes the information used to determine the effluent limitations (limits) and other conditions contained in the permit. General background information including the regulatory basis for the effluent limitations and other conditions are in the <a href="#">Appendix</a> linked throughout this factsheet.

# Administrative History

06/01/2005 The last full technical review was performed and the SPDES permit became

effective with a new five-year term and expiration date of 05/31/2010.

The permit was administratively renewed in 2010.

05/11/2022 The Kadampa Meditation Center New York submitted a PCI form to renew the

expired permit.

Date The Department published a notice of complete application in the Environmental

Notice Bulletin (ENB).

Date The Kadampa Meditation Center New York provided notice in the Name of

Newspaper. The publications contain information on the public notice process. The

public comment period commenced on Date.

The Notice of Complete Application, published in the <u>Environmental Notice Bulletin</u> and newspapers, contains information on the public notice process.

Date: August 28, 2023 v.1.17 Permit Writer: Vijay Gandhi

Water Quality Reviewer: Aseem K.

Full Technical Review

# **Facility Information**

This facility is a private facility that receives flow from domestic users, with effluent consisting of treated sanitary wastewater. The collection system consists of separate sewers. The facility does not have any significant industrial users (SIUs).

The current 5,500 GPD treatment plant consists of:

- Primary Treatment: Septic tank, Equalization Basin
- Secondary Treatment: Sand Filter
- Disinfection: UV disinfection

Sludge is hauled to a hauler.


The primary outfall (Outfall 001) discharges to the tributary of Fish Cabin Creek, Class-C, Standard -C(T), Major Drainage Basin -14 (Delaware), Sub Drainage Basin -01, Water Index Number: D-13-3. Outfall 002 is a groundwater discharge of treated sanitary wastewater of 1,120 GPD from the dormitory.

The facility does not have any planned improvements.


Date: August 28, 2023 v.1.17 Permit Writer: Vijay Gandhi Water Quality Reviewer: Aseem K.

Full Technical Review

# Site Overview



Date: August 28, 2023 v.1.17 Permit Writer: Vijay Gandhi Water Quality Reviewer: Aseem K.



Date: August 28, 2023 v.1.17 Permit Writer: Vijay Gandhi Water Quality Reviewer: Aseem K.

Full Technical Review

# **Existing Effluent Quality**

The <u>Pollutant Summary Table</u> presents the existing effluent quality and effluent limitations. The existing effluent quality was determined from the annual report submitted by the permittee.

# **Receiving Water Information**

The facility discharges via the following outfalls:

Outfall No.	SIC Code	Wastewater Type	Receiving Water
001	8999	Treated Sanitary Waste	Tributary of Fish Cabin Creek Class C(T)

**Reach Description:** Outfall is 6 CRR NY815.6-248) into a tributary of Fish cabin Creek. Downstream to the discharge is ponded water P161. Intermittent stream effluent limits (ISEL) have been applied because the 7Q10 low-flow of the this tributary having a very small watershed area. Stream flow was found to be intermittent or <0.1 cfs. Consistent with TOGS 1.3.1, the water quality standards will be applied as end-of-pipe limitations with no mixing or dilution. Below DRBC's review threshold of 10,000 gallons per day and does not require DRBC limits for additional parameters.

See the Outfall and Receiving Water Summary Table and Appendix for additional information.

# Critical Receiving Water Data & Mixing Zone

The 1Q10, 7Q10, and 30Q10 flows were used to calculate the acute, chronic, and human, aesthetic, wildlife (HEW) dilution ratios, respectively.

Dilution Ratio = (Facility Flow + Low Flow) / Facility Flow

Outfall No.	Acute Dilution Ratio A(A)	Chronic Dilution Ratio A(C)	Human, Aesthetic, Wildlife Dilution Ratio (HEW)	Basis
001	1:1	1:1	1;1	TOGS 1.3.1 ISEL Limits

Critical receiving water data are listed in the <u>Pollutant Summary Table</u> at the end of this fact sheet. <u>Appendix Link</u>

# Permit Requirements

The technology based effluent limitations (<u>TBELs</u>), water quality-based effluent limitations (<u>WQBELs</u>), <u>Existing Effluent Quality</u> and a discussion of the selected effluent limitation for each pollutant present in the discharge are provided in the <u>Pollutant Summary Table</u>.

### Whole Effluent Toxicity (WET) Testing

None of the seven criteria that are indicative of potential toxicity are applicable to this facility; therefore, WET testing is not included in the permit. <u>Appendix Link</u>

### Anti-backsliding

Example: The limitations contained in the permit are at least as stringent as the previous permit limits and there are no instances of backsliding.

Date: August 28, 2023 v.1.17 Permit Writer: Vijay Gandhi Water Quality Reviewer: Aseem K.

Full Technical Review

# Antidegradation

The permit contains effluent limitations which ensure that the best usages of the receiving waters will be maintained. The Notice of Complete Application published in the Environmental Notice Bulletin contains information on the State Environmental Quality Review (SEQR)<sup>1</sup> determination. Appendix Link

# Discharge Notification Act Requirements

In accordance with the Discharge Notification Act (ECL 17-0815-a), the permittee is required to post a sign at each point of wastewater discharge to surface waters, unless a waiver is obtained. This requirement is being continued from the previous permit.

Additionally, the permit contains a requirement to summarize, sign and retain the monitoring information for a period of three years from the date of the sampling for subsequent inspection by the Department. This requirement is being continued from the previous permit.

# Schedule(s) of Compliance

A Schedule of Compliance is being included<sup>2</sup> for the following items (Appendix Link):

- Compliance period for attainment of final effluent limits for Nitrogen, Ammonia (as N), Total Phosphorus (as P) and TRC.
- Submittal of an approvable engineering report summarizing the facility upgrades needed to comply with the final effluent limitations for Nitrogen, Ammonia (as N), Total Phosphorus (as P) and TRC.
- Submittal of approvable engineering design documents, including a basis of design report with the details of the upgrades needed to comply with the final effluent limitations.
- Construction milestones for the approved upgrades comply with final effluent limits by December 31, 2027.

**PAGE 8 OF 17** 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> As prescribed by 6 NYCRR Part 617

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Pursuant to 6 NYCRR 750-1.14

Date: August 28, 2023 v.1.17 Permit Writer: Vijay Gandhi

Water Quality Reviewer: Aseem K.

Full Technical Review

# **OUTFALL AND RECEIVING WATER SUMMARY TABLE**

				Wat	Water Index No. /	Major /					Critical	Dil	ution R	atio
Outfall	Latitude	Longitude	Receiving Water Name	er Clas s	Priority Waterbody Listing (PWL) No.	Sub Basin	Hardness (mg/l)	1Q10 (MGD)	7Q10 (MGD)	30Q10 (MGD)	Effluent Flow (GPD)	A(A)	A(C)	HEW
001	41° 28' 37" N	74° 47' 36" W	Trib. of Fish Cabin Creek	C(T)	DRBC PWL: 14 (Delaware)	14/D- 13-3	-	ISEI	ISEI	ISEI	5,500	1:1	1:1	1;1

# POLLUTANT SUMMARY TABLE

# Outfall 001

0.45-11.44	004	Description	escription of Wastewater: Treated Sanitary Waste												
Outfall #	001	Type of Tre	atment:	EQ tank,	Dosing tank	, Sand Filt	er, Dosing tank,	Sand Filt	er, UV disi	nfection					
			Exist	ing Discha	rge Data	-	TBELs		Wa	ater Quality	/ Data & W	QBELs			Basis for
Effluent Parameter	Units	Averaging Period	Permit Limit	Existing Effluent Quality <sup>3</sup>	# of Data Points Detects / Non- Detects	Limit	Basis	Ambient Bkgd. Conc.	Projected Instream Conc.	WQ Std. or GV	WQ Type	Calc. WQBEL	Basis for WQBEL	ML	Permit Requirement
<b>General Notes:</b> Existing discharge data was obtained from the application provided by the permittee. All applicable water quality standards were reviewed for development of the WQBELs. The standard and WQBEL shown below represent the most stringent.															
Flow Rate	GPD	30 Day Avg	5,500	-	-	5,500	Design Flow	Narrative their best		ions that w	ill impair the	e waters for	703.2	-	TBEL
	The flo	w limit is set	at the de	sign flow o	f the wastew	/ater treatm	ent facility.								
рН	SU	Minimum	6.5	-	-	6.5	TOGS 1.3.3			6.5 – 8.5	Dange	6.5 - 8.5	702.2		TBEL
		Maximum	8.5	-	-	8.5	1005 1.3.3	-	-	0.5 - 6.5	Range	0.5 - 6.5	703.3	-	IBEL
	Consisis appr		GS 1.3.31	for POTWs	s, TBELs refl	ect seconda	ary treatment star	ndards. Giv	ven that add	equate dilu	tion is not a	vailable, an e	ffluent limita	ation e	qual to the WQS
Temperature	°F	Daily Max	70	-	-	70	-	-	tempe	erature ove d at any tin	): No discha r 70F (21C) ne to stream r trout		704.2	-	TBEL
		ient limit of 70 ring of the effl			•		rge at a temperatur	e over 70F	(21C) shall b	oe permitted	l at any time	to streams clas	sified for tro	ut. The	erefore,
Dissolved Oxygen	mg/L	Daily Min	7.0	-	-	7.0	-	_	-	(TS) 7.0 mg/L	Narrative	7.0	TOGS 1.3.1	-	ISEL

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Existing Effluent Quality: Daily Max = 99% lognormal; Monthly Avg = 95% lognormal (for datasets with ≤3 nondetects); Daily Max = 99% delta-lognormal; Monthly Avg = 95% delta-lognormal (for datasets with >3 nondetects)

Date: August 28, 2023 v.1.17 Permit Writer: Vijay Gandhi Water Quality Reviewer: Aseem K.

002.7110.	T IVIG OT	Class UZ F	<u> </u>					- 411 1 0 0 11	ilical ixevie						
Outfall #	001	Description	of Wast	ewater: 1	reated San	itary Waste	)								
Outrail #	001	Type of Tre	atment:	EQ tank, l	Dosing tank	, Sand Filt	er, Dosing tank,	Sand Filt	er, UV disiı	nfection					
			Existi	ng Discha	rge Data	-	ΓBELs		Wa	iter Quality	y Data & Wo	QBELs			Dania fan
Effluent Parameter	Units	Averaging Period	Permit Limit	Quality	# of Data Points Detects / Non- Detects	Limit	Basis	Bkgd. Conc.	Projected Instream Conc.	Instream   WQ Std.   WQ Type		Calc. WQBEL	Basis for WQBEL	ML	Basis for Permit Requirement
(DO)	Consistent with TOGS 1.3.1, intermittent stream effluent limits (ISEL) are applied to effluent discharges to streams where little or no streamflow these limits represent the highest degree of treatment that can reasonably be achieved by a wastewater treatment facility treating domestic type w												able for dilution.		
5-day Carbonaceous Biochemical Oxygen Demand	mg/L	Daily Max	5.0	-	-	5.0	TOGS 1.3.1	-		issolved C		5.0	TOGS - 1.3.1	asie.	ISEL
(CBOD₅)	lbs/d	Daily Max	0.2	-	-	0.2	TOGS 1.3.1					0.23	1.5.1		
	% Rem	Minimum	85	-	-	85	TOGS 1.3.1					-			
	Consistent with TOGS 1.3.1, intermittent stream effluent limits (ISEL) are applied to effluent discharges to streams where little or no streamflow is available for dilution.  Additional Delaware River basin Commission (DRBC) requires CBOD5/BOD5 influent and effluent sampling with 85% minimum removal efficiency.														
	mg/L	Daily Max	10.0	-		10.0	TOGS 1.3.1								
Total Suspended Solids (TSS)				-				_	Narrative: industrial w	vastes or c	other	10 mg/l 0.46	TOGS	_	ISEL
	lbs/d	Daily Max	0.4	-		0.4	TOGS 1.3.1		deposition for their be	or impair	the waters	lbs/day	1.3.1		IOLL
	% Rem	Minimum	85	-		- 85	- TOGS 1.3.1		Tor their be	or usuges	. (100.2)				
		tent with TO	SS 1.3.1,	intermitter	nt stream eff	luent limits	l (ISEL) are applie	d to efflue	ı nt discharge	es to strea	ms where lit	tle or no stre	ı amflow is a	vailabl	e for dilution.
Settleable Solids	mL/L	Daily Max	0.1			0.1	TOGS 1.3.3B	-	Narrative: None from sewage, industrial wastes or other wastes that will cause deposition or impair the waters for their best usages. (703.2)		0.1	TOGS 1.3.1B	-	ISEL	
		tent with TO0 uent limitatior					ns should receive	the highe				easonably be	achieved b	y prac	tical technology.
Nitrogen, Ammonia (as NH <sub>3</sub> ) Jun. 1 <sup>st</sup> – Oct 31 <sup>st</sup>	mg/L	Monthly Avg	2.9	- -	uaily max is	2.9	-	-	0.98	0.98	H(WS)	0.98 (as N)	TOGS 1.1.1	-	WQBEL

Date: August 28, 2023 v.1.17 Permit Writer: Vijay Gandhi Water Quality Reviewer: Aseem K.

USEPA NOI	I-iviajoi <i>i</i>	/Class 02 1	<u> </u>					i uli i ecii	nicai Revie						
Outfall #	001	Description of Wastewater: Treated Sanitary Waste													
Outian #	001	Type of Treatment: EQ tank, Dosing tank, Sand Filter, Dosing tank, Sand Filter, UV disinfection													
Effluent Parameter	Units	Averaging Period	Existing Discharge Data			TBELs		Water Quality Data & WQBELs							Dania fau
			Permit Limit	Existing Effluent Quality <sup>3</sup>	# of Data Points Detects / Non- Detects	Limit	Basis	Ambient Bkgd. Conc.	Projected Instream Conc.	WQ Std. or GV	WQ Type	Calc. WQBEL	Basis for WQBEL	ML	Basis for Permit Requirement
	lb/d	Monthly Avg	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
	The ammonia standards in terms of ammonia nitrogen of 0.98 mg/L were obtained from TOGS 1.1.1 using a pH of 7.5 and temperatures of 24°C for Trout s was no dilution due to intermittent nature of the stream, effluent limit equal to the water quality is applicable.								stream. As the						
	was no	dilution due	to interm	ittent natu	re of the stre	am, effluen	t limit equal to the	e water qu	ality is appl	icable.					
Nitrogen, Ammonia (as N) Nov. 1 <sup>st</sup> – May 31 <sup>st</sup>	mg/L	Monthly Avg	2.9	-	-	2.9	-	-	1.81	1.81	H(WS)	1.81 (as N)	TOGS 1.1.1	-	WQBEL
	lb/d	-	-		-			-	-	-	-	-			
	The ammonia standards in terms of ammonia nitrogen of 1.81 mg/L were obtained from TOGS 1.1.1 using a pH of 7.5 and temperatures of 10°C for T was no dilution due to intermittent nature of the stream, effluent limit equal to the water quality is applicable.									Trout	stream. As ther				
	was no	dilution due	to interm	ittent natu	re of the stre	am, effluen	t limit equal to the	e water qu	ality is appl	icable.	1				
Nitrite/ Nitrate/ TKN								-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	Below	DRBC's r	eview tl	nreshold	of 10.000	gallons r	er day and do	es not r	equire DF	RBC limit	ts so no re	auirement	S	• 1	
Total Nitrogen	lb/yr	12 Month Rolling Load	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	
	Below	Below DRBC's review threshold of 10,000 gallons per day and does not require DRBC limits so no requirements													
Total	mg/L	-	-	-	-	-	TOGS 1.3.6	-	1.0		: None in that will	1.0	TOGS 1.3.6		WQBEL
Phosphorus	lb/d	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	result in	growths of	-			
	lb/mon	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	algae, weeds and slimes that will	-		] -		
	lb/yr	12 Month Load	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	impair the their best	e waters for t usages.	-			
	Per TO	GS 1.3.6, for	facilities	discharge	less than 50	0,000 gpd to	o a lake watershe	ed, a limit o	of 1.0 mg/l c	of total pho	osphorus is a	applicable.			
Total Mercury	ng/L	Daily Max	-	-	-	-	ILCA	-	-					-	
	ng/L	12 MRA	-	-	-	-	EEQ								
							ı	•							

Date: August 28, 2023 v.1.17 Permit Writer: Vijay Gandhi Water Quality Reviewer: Aseem K.

Outfall #	001	Description of Wastewater: Treated Sanitary Waste													
		Type of Treatment: EQ tank, Dosing tank, Sand Filter, Dosing tank, Sand Filter, UV disinfection													
Effluent Parameter	Units		Existing Discharge Data			TBELs		Water Quality Data & WQBELs							Dania fam
		Averaging Period	Permit Limit	Existing Effluent Quality <sup>3</sup>	# of Data Points Detects / Non- Detects	Limit	Basis	Ambient Bkgd. Conc.	Projected Instream Conc.	WQ Std. or GV	WQ Type	Calc. WQBEL	Basis for WQBEL	ML	Basis for Permit Requirement
Coliform, Fecal	#/100 ml	30d Geo Mean	200	-	-	200	TOGS 1.3.3	-	Narrative: The monthly geometric mean, from a minimum of five examinations, shall not exceed 200.  TBE				TDFI		
		7d Geo Mean	400	-	-	400	TOGS 1.3.3	-					IDEL		
	Consistent with TOGS 1.3.3, effluent disinfection is required year-round due to the class of the receiving waterbody. Fecal coliform effluent limitations equal to the TBEL are specified.														
Total Residual Chlorine (TRC)	mg/L	Daily Max	0.1	-		0.1	TOGS 1.3.3	-	0.005	0.005	H(FC)	0.005	703.5	0.03	WQBEL
							main a permit re ation equal to the							nan the	e TBEL and less

Date: August 28, 2023 v.1.17 Permit Writer: Vijay Gandhi Water Quality Reviewer: Aseem K.

Full Technical Review

# Appendix: Regulatory and Technical Basis of Permit Authorizations

The Appendix is meant to supplement the factsheet for multiple types of SPDES permits. Portions of this Appendix may not be applicable to this specific permit.

# Regulatory References

The provisions of the permit are based largely upon 40 CFR 122 subpart C and 6 NYCRR Part 750 and include monitoring, recording, reporting, and compliance requirements, as well as general conditions applicable to all SPDES permits. Below are the most common citations for the requirements included in SPDES permits:

- Clean Water Act (CWA) 33 section USC 1251 to 1387
- Environmental Conservation Law (ECL) Articles 17 and 70
- Federal Regulations
  - o 40 CFR, Chapter I, subchapters D, N, and O
- State environmental regulations
  - o 6 NYCRR Part 621
  - o 6 NYCRR Part 750
  - o 6 NYCRR Parts 700 704 Best use and other requirements applicable to water classes
  - o 6 NYCRR Parts 800 941 Classification of individual surface waters
- NYSDEC water program policy, referred to as Technical and Operational Guidance Series (TOGS)
- USEPA Office of Water Technical Support Document for Water Quality-based Toxics Control, March 1991, Appendix E

The following is a guick guide to the references used within the factsheet:

SPDES Permit Requirements	Regulatory Reference								
Anti-backsliding	6 NYCRR 750-1.10(c)								
Best Management Practices (BMPS) for CSOs	6 NYCRR 750-2.8(a)(2)								
Environmental Benefits Permit Strategy (EBPS)	6 NYCRR 750-1.18, NYS ECL 17-0817(4), TOGS 1.2.2 (revised								
	January 25,2012)								
Exceptions for Type I SSO Outfalls (bypass)	6 NYCRR 750-2.8(b)(2), 40 CFR 122.41								
Mercury Multiple Discharge Variance	Division of Water Program Policy 1.3.10								
	(DOW 1.3.10)								
Mixing Zone and Critical Water Information	TOGS 1.3.1 & Amendments								
PCB Minimization Program	40 CFR Part 132 Appendix F Procedure 8, 6 NYCRR 750-1.13(a)								
-	and 750-1.14(f), and TOGS 1.2.1								
Pollutant Minimization Program (PMP)	6 NYCRR 750-1.13(a), 750-1.14(f), TOGS 1.2.1								
Schedules of Compliance	6 NYCRR 750-1.14								
Sewage Pollution Right to Know (SPRTK)	NYS ECL 17-0826-a, 6 NYCRR 750-2.7								
State Administrative Procedure Act (SAPA)	State Administrative Procedure Act Section 401(2), 6 NYCRR								
, ,	621.11(I)								
State Environmental Quality Review (SEQR)	6 NYCRR Part 617								
USEPA Effluent Limitation Guidelines (ELGs)	40 CFR Parts 405-471								
USEPA National CSO Policy	33 USC Section 1342(q)								
Whole Effluent Toxicity (WET) Testing	TOGS 1.3.2								
General Provisions of a SPDES Permit Department	NYCRR 750-2.1(i)								
Request for Additional Information									

# Outfall and Receiving Water Information

# **Impaired Waters**

The NYS 303(d) List of Impaired/TMDL Waters identifies waters where specific best usages are not fully supported. The state must consider the development of a Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) or other strategy to reduce the input of the specific pollutant(s) that restrict waterbody uses, in order to restore and protect such uses. SPDES permits must include effluent limitations necessary to implement a WLA of an EPA-approved TMDL (6 NYCRR 750-1.11(a)(5)(ii)), if applicable. In accordance with 6 NYCRR 750-1.13(a), permittees discharging to waters which are on the list but do not yet have a TMDL developed may be required to perform additional monitoring for the parameters causing the impairment. Accurate monitoring data is needed to

Permittee: Kadampa Meditation Center Facility: Kadampa meditation Center SPDES Number: NY0270636

Permit Writer: Vijay Gandhi Water Quality Reviewer: Aseem K.

USEPA Non-Major/Class 02 PCI Full Technical Review

determine the existing capabilities of the wastewater treatment plants and to assure that wasteload allocations (WLAs) are allocated equitably.

Date: August 28, 2023 v.1.17

# **Existing Effluent Quality**

The existing effluent quality is determined from a statistical evaluation of effluent data in accordance with TOGS 1.2.1 and the USEPA Office of Water, Technical Support Document for Water Quality-based Toxics Control, March 1991, Appendix E (TSD). The existing effluent quality is equal to the 95th (monthly average) and 99th (daily maximum) percentiles of the lognormal distribution of existing effluent data. When there are greater than three non-detects, a delta-lognormal distribution is assumed, and delta-lognormal calculations are used to determine the monthly average and daily maximum pollutant concentrations. Statistical calculations are not performed for parameters where there are less than ten data points. If additional data is needed, a monitoring requirement may be specified either through routine monitoring or a short-term high intensity monitoring program. The Pollutant Summary Table identifies the number of sample data points available.

# Permit Requirements

# **Basis for Effluent Limitations**

Sections 101, 301, 304, 308, 401, 402, and 405 of the CWA and Titles 5, 7, and 8 of Article 17 ECL, as well as their implementing federal and state regulations, and related guidance, provide the basis for the effluent limitations and other conditions in the permit.

When conducting a full technical review of an existing permit, the previous effluent limitations form the basis for the next permit. Existing effluent quality is evaluated against the existing effluent limitations to determine if these should be continued, revised, or deleted. Generally, existing limitations are continued unless there are changed conditions at the facility, the facility demonstrates an ability to meet more stringent limitations, and/or in response to updated regulatory requirements. Pollutant monitoring data is also reviewed to determine the presence of additional contaminants that should be included in the permit based on a reasonable potential analysis to cause or contribute to a water quality standards violation.

## Anti-backsliding

Anti-backsliding requirements are specified in the CWA sections 402(o) and 303(d)(4), ECL 17-0809, and regulations at 40 CFR 122.44(/) and 6 NYCRR 750-1.10(c) and (d). Generally, the relaxation of effluent limitations in permits is prohibited unless one of the specified exceptions applies, which will be cited on a case-by-case basis in this factsheet. Consistent with current case law4 and USEPA interpretation5 anti-backsliding requirements do not apply should a revision to the final effluent limitation take effect before the scheduled date of compliance for that final effluent limitation.

### Antidegradation Policy

New York State implements the antidegradation portion of the CWA based upon two documents: (1) Organization and Delegation Memorandum #85-40, "Water Quality Antidegradation Policy" (September 9, 1985); and, (2) TOGS 1.3.9, "Implementation of the NYSDEC Antidegradation Policy – Great Lakes Basin (Supplement to Antidegradation Policy dated September 9, 1985) (undated)." The permit for the facility contains effluent limitations which ensure that the existing best usage of the receiving waters will be maintained. To further support the antidegradation policy, SPDES applications have been reviewed in accordance with the State Environmental Quality Review Act (SEQR) as prescribed by 6 NYCRR Part 617.

### **Effluent Limitations**

In developing a permit, the Department determines the technology-based effluent limitations (TBELs) and then evaluates the water quality expected to result from technology controls to determine if any exceedances of water quality criteria in the receiving water might result. If there is a reasonable potential for exceedances of water quality criteria to occur, water quality-based effluent limitations (WQBELs) are developed. A WQBEL is designed

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> American Iron and Steel Institute v. Environmental Protection Agency, 115 F.3d 979, 993 n.6 (D.C. Cir. 1997)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> U.S. EPA, Water Quality Standards; Establishment of Numeric Criteria for Priority Toxic Pollutants for the State of California; 65 Fed. Reg. 31682, 31704 (May 18, 2000); Proposed Water Quality Guidance for the Great Lakes System, 58 Fed. Reg. 20802, 20837 & 20981 (April 16, 1993)

Date: August 28, 2023 v.1.17 Permit Writer: Vijay Gandhi Water Quality Reviewer: Aseem K.

Full Technical Review

to ensure that the water quality standards of receiving waters are met. In general, the CWA requires that the effluent limitations for a particular pollutant are the more stringent of either the TBEL or WQBEL.

# Technology-based Effluent Limitations (TBELs)

CWA sections 301(b)(1)(B) and 304(d)(1), 40 CFR 133.102, ECL section 17-0509, and 6 NYCRR 750-1.11 require technology-based controls, known as secondary treatment. These and other requirements are summarized in TOGS 1.3.3. Where the TBEL is more stringent than the WQBEL, the TBEL is applied as a limit in accordance with TOGS 1.3.3. Equivalent secondary treatment, as defined in 40 CFR 133.105, allow for effluent limitations of the more stringent of the consistently achievable concentrations or monthly/weekly averages of 45/65 mg/l, and the minimum monthly average of at least 65% removal. Consistently achievable concentrations are defined in 40 CFR 133.101(f) as the 95th percentile value for the 30-day (monthly) average effluent quality achieved by the facility in a period of two years. The achievable 7-day (weekly) average value is equal to 1.5 times the 30-day average value calculated above. Equivalent secondary treatment applies to those facilities where the principal treatment process is either a trickling filter or a waste stabilization pond; the treatment works provides significant biological treatment of municipal wastewater; and, the effluent concentrations consistently achievable through proper operation and maintenance of the facility cannot meet traditional secondary treatment requirements. There are no federal technology-based standards for toxic pollutants from POTWs. A statistical analysis of existing effluent data, as described in TOGS 1.2.1, may be used to establish other performance-based TBELs.

# Water Quality-Based Effluent Limitations (WQBELs)

In addition to the TBELs, permits must include additional or more stringent effluent limitations and conditions, including those necessary to protect water quality. CWA sections 101 and 301(b)(1)(C), 40 CFR 122.44(d)(1), and 6 NYCRR Parts 750-1.11 require that permits include limitations for all pollutants or parameters which are or may be discharged at a level which may cause or contribute to an exceedance of any State water quality standard adopted pursuant to NYS ECL 17-0301. Water quality standards can be found under 6 NYCRR Parts 700-704. The limitations must be stringent enough to ensure that water quality standards are met and must be consistent with any applicable WLA which may be in effect through a TMDL for the receiving water. These and other requirements are summarized in TOGS 1.1.1, 1.3.1, 1.3.2, 1.3.5 and 1.3.6. The Department considers a mixing zone analysis, critical flows, and reasonable potential analysis when developing a WQBEL.

# Mixing Zone Analyses

In accordance with TOGS 1.3.1., the Department may perform additional analysis of the mixing condition between the effluent and the receiving waterbody. Mixing zone analyses using plume dispersion modeling are conducted in accordance with the following:

"EPA Technical Support Document for Water Quality-Based Toxics Control" (March 1991); EPA Region VIII's "Mixing Zones and Dilution Policy" (December 1994); NYSDEC TOGS 1.3.1, "Total Maximum Daily Loads and Water Quality-Based Effluent Limitations" (July 1996); "CORMIX v11.0" (2019).

### Critical Flows

In accordance with TOGS 1.2.1 and 1.3.1, WQBELs are developed using dilution ratios that relate the critical low flow condition of the receiving waterbody to the critical effluent flow. The critical low flow condition used in the dilution ratio will be different depending on whether the limitations are for aquatic or human health protection. For chronic aquatic protection, the critical low flow condition of the waterbody is typically represented by the 7Q10 flow and is calculated as the lowest average flow over a 7-day consecutive period within 10 years. For acute aquatic protection, the critical low flow condition is typically represented by the 1Q10 and is calculated as the lowest 1-day flow within 10 years. However, NYSDEC considers using 50% of the 7Q10 to be equivalent to the 1Q10 flow. For the protection of human health, the critical low flow condition is typically represented by the 30Q10 flow and is calculated as the lowest average flow over a 30-day consecutive period within 10 years. However, NYSDEC considers using 1.2 x 7Q10 to be equivalent to the 30Q10. The 7Q10 or 30Q10 flow is used with the critical effluent flow to calculate

Date: August 28, 2023 v.1.17 Permit Writer: Vijay Gandhi Water Quality Reviewer: Aseem K.

Full Technical Review

the dilution ratio. The critical effluent flow can be the maximum daily flow reported on the permit application, the maximum of the monthly average flows from discharge monitoring reports for the past three years, or the facility design flow. When more than one applicable standard exists for aquatic or human health protection for a specific pollutant, a reasonable potential analysis is conducted for each applicable standard and corresponding critical flow to ensure effluent limitations are sufficiently stringent to ensure all applicable water quality standards are met as required by 40 CFR 122.44(d)(1)(i). For brevity, the pollutant summary table reports the results of the most conservative scenario.

## Reasonable Potential Analysis (RPA)

The Reasonable Potential Analysis (RPA) is a statistical estimation process, outlined in the 1991 USEPA Technical Support Document for Water Quality-based Toxics Control (TSD), Appendix E. This process uses existing effluent quality data and statistical variation methodology to project the maximum amounts of pollutants that could be discharged by the facility. This projected instream concentration (PIC) is calculated using the appropriate ratio and compared to the water quality standard (WQS). When the RPA process determines the WQS may be exceeded, a WQBEL is required. The procedure for developing WQBELs includes the following steps:

- 1) identify the pollutants present in the discharge(s) based upon existing data, sampling data collected by the permittee as part of the permit application or a short-term high intensity monitoring program, or data gathered by the Department;
- 2) identify water quality criteria applicable to these pollutants;
- 3) determine if WQBELs are necessary (i.e. reasonable potential analysis (RPA)). The RPA will utilize the procedure outlined in Chapter 3.3.2 of EPA's Technical Support Document (TSD). As outlined in the TSD, for parameters with limited effluent data the RPA may include multipliers to account for effluent variability; and,
- 4) calculate WQBELs (if necessary). Factors considered in calculating WQBELs include available dilution of effluent in the receiving water, receiving water chemistry, and other pollutant sources.

The Department uses modeling tools to estimate the expected concentrations of the pollutant in the receiving water and develop WQBELs. These tools were developed in part using the methodology referenced above. If the estimated concentration of the pollutant in the receiving water is expected to exceed the ambient water quality standard or guidance value (i.e. numeric interpretation of a narrative water quality standard), then there is a reasonable potential that the discharge may cause or contribute to an exceedance of any State water quality standard adopted pursuant to NYS ECL 17-0301. If a TMDL is in place, the facility's WLA for that pollutant is applied as the WQBEL.

For carbonaceous and nitrogenous oxygen demanding pollutants, the Department uses a model which incorporates the Streeter-Phelps equation. The equation relates the decomposition of inorganic and organic materials along with oxygen reaeration rates to compute the downstream dissolved oxygen concentration for comparison to water quality standards.

A Watershed Maximum Daily Load (WMDL) may be developed by the Department to account for the cumulative effect of multiple discharges of conservative toxic pollutants to ensure water quality standards are met in downstream segments. The WMDL uses a simple dilution model, assuming full mix in the receiving stream, to calculate the maximum allowable pollutant load that can be discharged and still meet water quality standards during critical low flow in downstream segments such as those with sensitive receptors (e.g. public water supply) or higher water classification. WQBELs are established to ensure that the cumulative mass load from point source discharges does not exceed the maximum allowable load to ensure permit limits are protective of water quality.

Date: August 28, 2023 v.1.17 Permit Writer: Vijay Gandhi Water Quality Reviewer: Aseem K.

Full Technical Review

### Minimum Level of Detection

Pursuant to 40 CFR 122.44(i)(1)(iv) and 6 NYCRR 750-2.5(d), SPDES permits must contain monitoring requirements using sufficiently sensitive test procedures approved under 40 CFR Part 136. A method is "sufficiently sensitive" when the method's minimum level (ML) is at or below the level of the effluent limitation established in the permit for the measured pollutant parameter; or the lowest ML of the analytical methods approved under 40 CFR Part 136. The ML represents the lowest level that can be measured within specified limitations of precision and accuracy during routine laboratory operations on most effluent matrices. When establishing effluent limitations for a specific parameter (based on technology or water quality requirements), it is possible that the calculated limitation will fall below the ML established by the approved analytical method(s). In these instances, the calculated limitation is included in the permit with a compliance level set equal to the ML of the most sensitive method.

# Monitoring Requirements

CWA section 308, 40 CFR 122.44(i), 6 NYCRR 750-1.13, and 750-2.5 require that monitoring be included in permits to determine compliance with effluent limitations. Additional effluent monitoring may also be required to gather data to determine if effluent limitations may be required. The permittee is responsible for conducting the monitoring and reporting results on Discharge Monitoring Reports (DMRs). The permit contains the monitoring requirements for the facility. Monitoring frequency is based on the minimum sampling necessary to adequately monitor the facility's performance and characterize the nature of the discharge of the monitored flow or pollutant. Variable effluent flows and pollutant levels may be required to be monitored at more frequent intervals than relatively constant effluent flow and pollutant levels (6 NYCRR 750-1.13). For industrial facilities, sampling frequency is based on guidance provided in TOGS 1.2.1. For municipal facilities, sampling frequency is based on guidance provided in TOGS 1.3.3.

### Other Conditions

### Schedules of Compliance

Schedules of compliance are included in accordance with 40 CFR Part 132 Attachment F, Procedure 9, 40 CFR 122.47 and 6 NYCRR 750-1.14. Schedules of compliance are intended to, in the shortest reasonable time, achieve compliance with applicable effluent standards and limitations, water quality standards, and other applicable requirements. Where the time for compliance is more than nine months, the schedule of compliance must include interim requirements and dates for their achievement. If the time necessary to complete the interim milestones is more than nine months, and not readily divisible into stages for completion, progress reports must be required.