

State Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (SPDES) DISCHARGE PERMIT

SIC Code:	2813	NAICS Code:	325120		SPDES Number:	NY0270342		
Discharge Class (CL):		01			DEC Number:	6-4058-00198/00001		
Toxic Class (TX): T			Effective Date (EDP): EDP					
Major-Sub [Najor-Sub Drainage Basin: 09 - 01		Expiration Date (ExDP):	ExDP				
Water Index	k Number:	SL-5a	Item No.:	910 - 943	Madification Dates (EDDM):			
Compact Ar	rea:	a: IJC			Mounication Dates (EDPM).			

This SPDES permit is issued in compliance with Title 8 of Article 17 of the Environmental Conservation Law of New York State and in compliance with the Clean Water Act, as amended, (33 U.S.C. '1251 et.seq.)

PERMITTEE NAME AND ADDRESS							
Name: Air Products & Chemicals, Inc. Attention:							
Street:	1940 Air Products Blvd.	Jonathan Traynor					
City:	Allentown	State:	PA	Zip Code:	18106-5500		
Email:	traynojn@airproducts.com	Phone:	(610) 48	31-1416			

is authorized to discharge from the facility described below:

FACILITY NAME, ADDRESS, AND PRIMARY OUTFALL																			
Name:	Air Pr	r Products & Chemicals – Massena Facility																	
Address / Location:	Ponto	ontoon Bridge Road County: St. Lawrence					се												
City:	Masse	Massena State: NY Zip Code: 13662																	
Facility Location:		Latitude	e:	4	4 °	57	,	37	" N	&	Longitude	:	74	1 °	•	54	,	35	" W
Primary Outfall No.:	001	Latitude	e :	4	4 °	57	,	22	" N	"N & Longitude: 74 ° 55 ′ 04 "W			" W						
Wastewater Description:	Proce waste	ss water	Recei Wate	iving r:	Ma Ca	ssena nal	P	ower	NAICS	:	325120	Clas	s: C	;		Star	nda	rd:	C

and the additional outfalls listed in this permit, in accordance with: effluent limitations; monitoring and reporting requirements; other provisions and conditions set forth in this permit; and 6 NYCRR Part 750-1 and 750-2.

This permit and the authorization to discharge shall expire on midnight of the expiration date shown above and the permittee shall not discharge after the expiration date unless this permit has been renewed or extended pursuant to law. To be authorized to discharge beyond the expiration date, the permittee shall apply for permit renewal not less than 180 days prior to the expiration date shown above.

<u>DISTRIBUTION:</u> CO BWP - Permit Coordinator	Permit Administrator:						
BWP – Permit Writer	Address:	625 Broadway Albany, NY 12233-1750					
RWE RPA EPA Region II	Signature:		Date:	//			

DEFINITIONS

TERM	DEFINITION
7-Day Geo Mean	The highest allowable geometric mean of daily discharges over a calendar week.
7-Day Average	The average of all daily discharges for each 7-days in the monitoring period. The sample measurement is the highest of the 7-day averages calculated for the monitoring period.
12-Month Rolling Average (12 MRA)	The current monthly value of a parameter, plus the sum of the monthly values over the previous 11 months for that parameter, divided by the number of months for which samples were collected in the 12-month period.
30-Day Geometric Mean	The highest allowable geometric mean of daily discharges over a calendar month, calculated as the antilog of: the sum of the log of each of the daily discharges measured during a calendar month divided by the number of daily discharges measured during that month.
Action Level	Action level means a monitoring requirement characterized by a numerical value that, when exceeded, triggers additional permittee actions and department review to determine if numerical effluent limitations should be imposed.
Compliance Level / Minimum Level	A compliance level is an effluent limitation. A compliance level is given when the water quality evaluation specifies a Water Quality Based Effluent Limit (WQBEL) below the Minimum Level. The compliance level shall be set at the Minimum Level (ML) for the most sensitive analytical method as given in 40 CFR Part 136, or otherwise accepted by the Department.
Daily Discharge	The discharge of a pollutant measured during a calendar day or any 24-hour period that reasonably represents the calendar day for the purposes of sampling. For pollutants expressed in units of mass, the 'daily discharge' is calculated as the total mass of the pollutant discharged over the day. For pollutants with limitations expressed in other units of measurement, the 'daily discharge' is calculated as the average measurement of the pollutant over the day.
Daily Maximum	The highest allowable Daily Discharge.
Daily Minimum	The lowest allowable Daily Discharge.
Effective Date of Permit (EDP or EDPM)	The date this permit is in effect.
Effluent Limitations	Effluent limitation means any restriction on quantities, quality, rates and concentrations of chemical, physical, biological, and other constituents of effluents that are discharged into waters of the state.
Expiration Date of Permit (ExDP)	The date this permit is no longer in effect.
Instantaneous Maximum	The maximum level that may not be exceeded at any instant in time.
Instantaneous Minimum	The minimum level that must be maintained at all instants in time.
Monthly Average	The highest allowable average of daily discharges over a calendar month, calculated as the sum of each of the daily discharges measured during a calendar month divided by the number of daily discharges measured during that month.
Outfall	The terminus of a sewer system, or the point of emergence of any waterborne sewage, industrial waste or other wastes or the effluent therefrom, into the waters of the State.
Range	The minimum and maximum instantaneous measurements for the reporting period must remain between the two values shown.
Receiving Water	The classified waters of the state to which the listed outfall discharges.
Sample Frequency / Sample Type / Units	See NYSDEC's "DMR Manual for Completing the Discharge Monitoring Report for the SPDES" for information on sample frequency, type and units.

INTERIM PERMIT LIMITS, LEVELS AND MONITORING

OUTFALL	DESCRIPTION	RECEIVING WATER	EFFECTIVE	EXPIRING
001	Process wastewater (cooling tower blowdown, raw water reject, and steam condensate and compressor condensate)	Massena Power Canal	EDP	Commencement of Operation ¹

	EFF	LUENT L	IMITATIO	N		MONITO	ONITORING REQUIREMENT			
PARAMETER								Loca	FN	
	Туре	Limit	Units	Limit	Units	Sample Frequency	Sample Type	Inf.	Eff.	
No discharge is permitted	until Department a	cceptan	ce of Cert	ificate of	Comple	etion.				2,3

¹ Refer to <u>Schedule of Additional Submittals</u>.

PERMIT LIMITS, LEVELS AND MONITORING

OUTFALL	DESCRIPTION	RECEIVING WATER	EFFECTIVE	EXPIRING
001	Process wastewater (cooling tower blowdown, raw water reject, and steam condensate and compressor condensate)	Massena Power Canal	Commencement of Operation ²	ExDP

	EFF		ΛΙΤΑΤΙΟ	NC		ΜΟΝΙΤΟ	RING REQUIRE	EMEN	TS	
PARAMETER								Loca	ation	FN
	Туре	Limit	Units	Limit	Units	Sample Frequency	Sample Type	Inf.	Eff.	
Flow	Monthly Average	Monitor	MGD			Continuous	Recorder		Х	
Flow	Daily Maximum	Monitor	MGD			Continuous	Recorder		х	
	Daily Minimum	6.0	SU			1/day Grab			X	
рн	Daily Maximum	9.0	SU						Х	
Temperature	Daily Maximum	Monitor	٩F			1/day	Grab		x	
Total Suspended Solids (TSS)	Daily Maximum	15	mg/L			1/week	24-hr. Comp.		х	1
Mercury, Total	Monthly Average	0.7	ng/L			1/month	Grab		Х	1

OUTFALL	DESCRIPTION	RECEIVING WATER	EFFECTIVE	EXPIRING
002	Sanitary Wastewater	Groundwater	EDP	ExDP

No Monitoring Required

FOOTNOTES:

- 1. All 24-hour composite samples must be flow proportional.
- 2. This is an interim limit. Refer to the <u>Schedule of Additional Submittals</u> in this permit.
- Submittal of Discharge Monitoring Reports (DMRs) is not required until Commencement of Operation. Refer to the <u>Schedule of Additional Submittals</u> and the <u>Recording, Reporting and Additional Monitoring Requirements</u> in this permit.

² Refer to <u>Schedule of Additional Submittals</u>.

SPECIAL CONDITIONS

Consistent with <u>6 NYCRR Part 750-2.10</u>, the permittee is not authorized to commence construction until the Department has approved final engineering design documents. Additionally, the permittee is not authorized to discharge until construction is complete and the Department has accepted the Construction Completion Certification. If any changes are made to the approved design during construction, the permittee must notify the Department.

STORMWATER POLLUTION PREVENTION REQUIREMENTS

Stormwater discharges at this facility are required to obtain coverage under the current Multi-Sector General Permit (MSGP) Sector [C] (GP-0-23-001).

SPDES Number: NY0270342 Page 7 of 15 v.1.18 BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES (BMPs) FOR INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES

Note that for some facilities, especially those with few employees or limited industrial activities, some of the below BMPs may not be applicable. It is acceptable in these cases to indicate "Not Applicable" for the portion(s) of the BMP Plan that do not apply to your facility, along with an explanation.

- 1. <u>General</u> The permittee shall develop, maintain, and implement a Best Management Practices (BMP) plan to prevent releases of significant amounts of pollutants to the waters of the State through plant site runoff; spillage and leaks; sludge or waste disposal; and stormwater discharges including, but not limited to, drainage from raw material storage. The BMP plan shall be documented in narrative form and shall include the 13 minimum BMPs and any necessary plot plans, drawings, or maps. Other documents already prepared for the facility such as a Safety Manual or a Spill Prevention, Control and Countermeasure (SPCC) plan may be used as part of the plan and may be incorporated by reference. A copy of the current BMP plan shall be submitted to the Department as required in item (2.) below and a copy must be maintained at the facility and shall be available to authorized Department representatives upon request.
- 2. <u>Compliance Deadlines</u> The initial BMP plan shall be submitted in accordance with the Schedule of Submittals to the Regional Water Engineer. The BMP plan shall be implemented within 6 months of submission unless a different time frame is approved by the Department. The BMP plan <u>shall be reviewed annually</u> and shall be modified whenever (a) changes at the facility materially increase the potential for releases of pollutants; (b) actual releases indicate the plan is inadequate, or (c) a letter from the Department identifies inadequacies in the plan. The permittee shall certify in writing, as an attachment to the December Discharge Monitoring Report (DMR), that the annual review has been completed. Subsequent modifications to or renewal of this permit does not reset or revise these deadlines unless a new deadline is set explicitly by such permit modification or renewal.
- 3. Facility Review The permittee shall review all facility components or systems (including but not limited to material storage areas; in-plant transfer, process, and material handling areas; loading and unloading operations; storm water, erosion, and sediment control measures; process emergency control systems; and sludge and waste disposal areas) where materials or pollutants are used, manufactured, stored or handled to evaluate the potential for the release of pollutants to the waters of the State. In performing such an evaluation, the permittee shall consider such factors as the probability of equipment failure or improper operation, cross-contamination of storm water by process materials, settlement of facility air emissions, the effects of natural phenomena such as freezing temperatures and precipitation, fires, and the facility's history of spills and leaks. The relative toxicity of the pollutant shall be considered in determining the significance of potential releases. The review shall address all substances present at the facility that are identified in the SPDES application Form NY-2C (available at

https://www.dec.ny.gov/docs/permits_ej_operations_pdf/form2c.pdf) or that are required to be monitored for by the SPDES permit.

4. <u>13 Minimum BMPs:</u> Whenever the potential for a release of pollutants to State waters is determined to be present, the permittee shall identify BMPs that have been established to prevent or minimize such potential releases. Where BMPs are inadequate or absent, appropriate BMPs shall be established. In selecting appropriate BMPs, the permittee shall consider good industry practices and, where appropriate, structural measures such as secondary containment and erosion/sediment control devices and practices. USEPA guidance for development of stormwater elements of the BMP is available in *Developing Your Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan A Guide for Industrial Operators*, February 2009, EPA 833-B-09-002. As a minimum, the plan shall include the following BMPs:

1. BMP Pollution Prevention Team	6. Security	10. Spill Prevention & Response
2. Reporting of BMP Incidents	7. Preventive Maintenance	11. Erosion & Sediment Control
3. Risk Identification & Assessment	8. Good Housekeeping	12. Management of Runoff
4. Employee Training	9. Materials/Waste Handling,	13. Street Sweeping
5. Inspections and Records	Storage, & Compatibility	

BMPs FOR INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES (continued)

- 5. Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plans (SWPPPs) Required for Discharges of Stormwater from Construction <u>Activity to Surface Waters -</u> A SWPPP shall be developed prior to commencing any construction activity that will result in soil disturbance of one or more acres of uncontaminated area³. (Note: the disturbance threshold is 5000 SF in the New York City East of Hudson Watershed). The SWPPP shall conform to the current version of the SPDES General Permit for Stormwater Discharges from Construction Activity (CGP), including the New York Standards and Specifications for Erosion and Sediment Control and New York State Stormwater Management Design Manual. The permittee shall submit a copy of the SWPPP and any amendments thereto to the local governing body and any other authorized agency having jurisdiction or regulatory control over the construction activity at least 30 days prior to soil disturbance. The SWPPP shall be maintained on-site and submitted to the Department only upon request. When a SWPPP is required, a properly completed Notice of Intent (NOI) form shall be submitted (available at www.dec.ny.gov/chemical/43133.html) prior to soil disturbance. Note that submission of the NOI is required for informational purposes; the permittee is not eligible for and will not obtain coverage under any SPDES general permit for stormwater discharges. SWPPPs must be developed for subsequent site disturbances in accordance with the above requirements. The permittee is responsible for ensuring that the provisions of each SWPPP are properly. implemented.
- 6. <u>Required Sampling For "Hot Spot" Identification</u> Development of the BMP plan shall include sampling of waste stream segments for the purpose of pollutant "hot spot" identification. The economic achievability of effluent limits will not be considered until plant site "hot spot" sources have been identified, contained, removed or minimized through the imposition of site specific BMPs or application of internal facility treatment technology. For the purposes of this permit condition a "hot spot" is a segment of an industrial facility (including but not limited to soil, equipment, material storage areas, sewer lines etc.) which contributes elevated levels of problem pollutants to the wastewater and/or stormwater collection system of that facility. For the purposes of this definition, problem pollutants are substances for which treatment to meet a water quality or technology requirement may, considering the results of waste stream segment sampling, be deemed unreasonable. For the purposes of this definition, an elevated level is a concentration or mass loading of the pollutant in question which is sufficiently higher than the concentration of that same pollutant at the compliance monitoring location so as to allow for an economically justifiable removal and/or isolation of the segment and/or B.A.T. treatment of wastewaters emanating from the segment.

³ Uncontaminated area means soils which are free of contamination by any toxic or non-conventional pollutants identified in the tables of SPDES Application Form NY-2C. Disturbance of any size contaminated area(s) and the resulting discharge of contaminated stormwater is not authorized by this permit unless the discharge is under State or Federal oversight as part of a remedial program or after review by the Regional Water Engineer; nor is such discharge authorized by any SPDES general permit for stormwater discharges.

DISCHARGE NOTIFICATION REQUIREMENTS

- (a) The permittee shall install and maintain identification signs at all outfalls to surface waters listed in this permit, unless the Permittee has obtained a waiver in accordance with the Discharge Notification Act (DNA). Such signs shall be installed before initiation of any new discharge location.
- (b) Subsequent modifications to or renewal of this permit does not reset or revise the deadline set forth in (a) above, unless a new deadline is set explicitly by such permit modification or renewal.
- (c) The Discharge Notification Requirements described herein do not apply to outfalls from which the discharge is composed exclusively of storm water, or discharges to ground water.
- (d) The sign(s) shall be conspicuous, legible and in as close proximity to the point of discharge as is reasonably possible while ensuring the maximum visibility from the surface water and shore. The signs shall be installed in such a manner to pose minimal hazard to navigation, bathing or other water related activities. If the public has access to the water from the land in the vicinity of the outfall, an identical sign shall be posted to be visible from the direction approaching the surface water.

The signs shall have **minimum** dimensions of eighteen inches by twenty-four inches (18" x 24") and shall have white letters on a green background and contain the following information:

N.Y.S. PERMITTED DISCHARGE POINT
SPDES PERMIT No.: NY
OUTFALL No. :
For information about this permitted discharge contact:
Permittee Name:
Permittee Contact:
Permittee Phone: () - ### - ####
OR:
NYSDEC Division of Water Regional Office Address:
NYSDEC Division of Water Regional Phone: () - ### - ####

- (e) Upon request, the permittee shall make available electronic or hard copies of the sampling data to the public. In accordance with the RECORDING, REPORTING AND ADDITIONAL MONITORING REQUIREMENTS page of your permit, each DMR shall be maintained (either electronically or as a hard copy) on record for a period of five years.
- (f) The permittee shall periodically inspect the outfall identification sign(s) in order to ensure they are maintained, are still visible, and contain information that is current and factually correct. Signs that are damaged or incorrect shall be replaced within 3 months of inspection.

OUTFALL LOCATIONS



MONITORING LOCATION – Outfall 001

Influent sampling for Outfall 001 shall be conducted at a representative location before the admixture of any process flows or chemical additions. Effluent sampling for Outfall 001 shall be conducted downstream of all treatment processes and representative of the effluent at the point of discharge.

Below is the <u>proposed</u> facility flow schematic. Monitoring locations will be updated upon approval of the engineering report detailing the final treatment system design.



GENERAL REQUIREMENTS

A. The regulations in 6 NYCRR Part 750 are hereby incorporated by reference and the conditions are enforceable requirements under this permit. The permittee shall comply with all requirements set forth in this permit and with all the applicable requirements of 6 NYCRR Part 750 incorporated into this permit by reference, including but not limited to the regulations in paragraphs B through H as follows:

В.	Gen	neral Conditions	
	1.	Duty to comply	6 NYCRR 750-2.1(e) & 2.4
	2.	Duty to reapply	6 NYCRR 750-1.16(a)
	3.	Need to halt or reduce activity not a defense	6 NYCRR 750-2.1(g)
	4.	Duty to mitigate	6 NYCRR 750-2.7(f)
	5.	Permit actions	6 NYCRR 750-1.1(c), 1.18, 1.20 & 2.1(h)
	6.	Property rights	6 NYCRR 750-2.2(b)
	7.	Duty to provide information	6 NYCRR 750-2.1(i)
	8.	Inspection and entry	6 NYCRR 750-2.1(a) & 2.3
C.	Ope	eration and Maintenance	
	1.	Proper Operation & Maintenance	6 NYCRR 750-2.8
	2.	Bypass	6 NYCRR 750-1.2(a)(17), 2.8(b) & 2.7
	3.	Upset	6 NYCRR 750-1.2(a)(94) & 2.8(c)
D.	Mor	nitoring and Records	
	1.	Monitoring and records	6 NYCRR 750-2.5(a)(2), 2.5(a)(6), 2.5(c)(1), 2.5(c)(2), & 2.5(d)
	2.	Signatory requirements	6 NYCRR 750-1.8 & 2.5(b)
F	Rep	oorting Requirements	
	1.	Reporting requirements for non-POTWs	6 NYCRR 750-2.5, 2.6, 2.7, &1.17
	2.	Anticipated noncompliance	6 NYCRR 750-2.7(a)
	3.	Transfers	6 NYCRR 750-1.17
	4.	Monitoring reports	6 NYCRR 750-2.5(e)
	5.	Compliance schedules	6 NYCRR 750-1.14(d)
	6.	24-hour reporting	6 NYCRR 750-2.7(c) & (d)
	7.	Other noncompliance	6 NYCRR 750-2.7(e)
	8.	Other information	6 NYCRR 750-2.1(f)

F. Sludge Management

The permittee shall comply with all applicable requirements of 6 NYCRR Part 360.

G. SPDES Permit Program Fee

The permittee shall pay to the Department an annual SPDES permit program fee within 30 days of the date of the first invoice, unless otherwise directed by the Department, and shall comply with all applicable requirements of ECL 72-0602 and 6 NYCRR Parts 480, 481 and 485. Note that if there is inconsistency between the fees specified in ECL 72-0602 and 6 NYCRR Part 485, the ECL 72-0602 fees govern.

H. Water Treatment Chemicals (WTCs)

New or increased use and discharge of a WTC requires prior Department review and authorization. At a minimum, the permittee must notify the Department in writing of its intent to change WTC use by submitting a completed *WTC Notification Form* for each proposed WTC. The Department will review that submittal and determine if a SPDES permit modification is necessary or whether WTC review and authorization may proceed outside of the formal permit administrative process. The majority of WTC authorizations do not require SPDES permit modification. In any event, use and discharge of a WTC shall not proceed without prior authorization from the Department. Examples of WTCs include biocides, coagulants, conditioners, corrosion inhibitors, defoamers, deposit control agents, flocculants, scale inhibitors, sequestrants, and settling aids.

- 1. WTC use shall not exceed the rate explicitly authorized by this permit or otherwise authorized by the Department.
- 2. The permittee shall maintain a logbook of all WTC use, noting for each WTC the date, time, exact location, and amount of each dosage, and the name of the individual applying or measuring the chemical. The logbook must also document that adequate process controls are in place to ensure excessive levels of WTCs are not used.
- 3. The permittee shall submit a completed WTC Annual Report Form each year that they use and discharge WTCs. This form shall be submitted in electronic format and attached to either the December DMR or the annual monitoring report required below. The WTC Notification Form and WTC Annual Report Form are available from the Department's website at: http://www.dec.ny.gov/permits/93245.html

RECORDING, REPORTING AND ADDITIONAL MONITORING REQUIREMENTS

- A. The monitoring information required by this permit shall be retained for a period of at least five years from the date of the sampling for subsequent inspection by the Department or its designated agent.
- B. <u>Discharge Monitoring Reports (DMRs)</u>: Completed DMR forms shall be submitted for each **one (1)** month reporting period in accordance with the DMR Manual available on Department's website.

DMRs must be submitted electronically using the electronic reporting tool (NetDMR) specified by NYSDEC. Instructions on the use of NetDMR can be found at <u>https://www.dec.ny.gov/chemical/103774.html</u>. **Hardcopy paper DMRs will only be accepted if a waiver from the electronic submittal requirements has been granted by DEC to the facility.**

The first monitoring period begins on the effective date of this permit, and, unless otherwise required, the reports are due no later than the 28th day of the month following the end of each monitoring period.

C. Additional information required to be submitted by this permit shall be summarized and reported to the Regional Water Engineer and Bureau of Water Permits at the following addresses:

Department of Environmental Conservation Division of Water, Bureau of Water Permits 625 Broadway, Albany, New York 12233-3505

Phone: (518) 402-8111

Department of Environmental Conservation Regional Water Engineer, Region 6 State Office Building, Watertown, New York, 13601-3787 Phone: (315) 785-2513

D. <u>Schedule of Additional Submittals:</u>

The permittee shall submit the following information to the Regional Water Engineer and to the Bureau of Water Permits, unless otherwise instructed:

Outfall(s)	SCHEDULE OF ADDITIONAL SUBMITTALS - Required Action	Due Date
001	INTERIM PROGRESS REPORT The permittee shall provide a status update for <i>Complete Construction</i> .	EDP + 9 months, and every 9 months thereafter
001	<u>COMPLETE CONSTRUCTION</u> The permittee shall complete construction of the disposal system in accordance with the approved engineering Design Documents.	Prior to Commence- ment of Operation
001	<u>CERTIFICATE OF COMPLETION</u> The permittee shall provide a Certificate of Completion ⁴ to the Department that the disposal system has been fully completed in accordance with the approved engineering Design Documents.	Prior to Commence- ment of Operation
001	<u>COMMENCEMENT OF OPERATION</u> Following receipt of Department acceptance of Certificate of Completion, the permittee shall comply with the final effluent limitations specified in this permit. Interim limits expire.	Upon Department Acceptance of Certificate of Completion

Outfall(s)	SCHEDULE OF ADDITIONAL SUBMITTALS - Required Action	Due Date
N/A	<u>BMP PLAN</u> The permittee shall submit and annually review a completed Best Management Practices (BMP) plan. The BMP plan shall be modified whenever: (a) changes at the facility materially increase the potential for releases of pollutants, (b) actual releases indicate the plan is inadequate, or (c) a letter from the Department identifies inadequacies in the plan. The permittee shall certify in writing, as an attachment to the December Discharge Monitoring Report (DMR), that the annual review has been completed. All BMP plan revisions must be submitted to the Regional Water Engineer within 30 days.	EDP + 6 Months, Annually thereafter on January 28 th
001	EMERGING CONTAMINANT SHORT-TERM MONITORING The permittee shall collect grab samples of both the influent and effluent from the facility's treatment system(s) associated with the identified outfall for Per-and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances (PFAS) utilizing EPA draft analytical method 1633 and 1,4-Dioxane (1,4-D) utilizing EPA Method 8270D SIM or 8270E SIM. The samples must represent normal discharge conditions and treatment operations and shall be obtained on a monthly basis for at least 3 consecutive months. The results shall be reported through the "Emerging Contaminants Survey for Industrial Facilities" found at: https://www.dec.ny.gov/chemical/127939.html The permittee shall complete the "Emerging Contaminants Investigation Checklist for Industrial Facilities" available at the above link. The Department may periodically request updates and/or additional monitoring to check progress on track down investigations. Elements of the checklist may be used as permit conditions in future permit modifications.	EDP + 6 months
001	PRIORITY POLLUTANT SCAN The permittee sample for all Priority Pollutants (ref. 40 Part 423, Appendix A) and submit the results to the Bureau of Water Permits within three (3) months of starting operation of the wastewater treatment plant.	Within 3 months of beginning operations
N/A	WATER TREATMENT CHEMICAL (WTC) ANNUAL REPORT FORM The permittee shall submit a completed WTC Annual Report Form each year that Water Treatment Chemicals are used. The form shall be attached to the December DMR.	Every January 28 th

Unless noted otherwise, the above actions are one-time requirements.

- E. Monitoring and analysis shall be conducted using sufficiently sensitive test procedures approved under 40 CFR Part 136, unless other test procedures have been specified in this permit.
- F. More frequent monitoring of the discharge(s), monitoring point(s), or waters of the State than required by the permit, where analysis is performed by a certified laboratory or where such analysis is not required to be performed by a certified laboratory, shall be included in the calculations and recording of the data on the corresponding DMRs.
- G. Calculations which require averaging of measurements shall utilize an arithmetic mean unless otherwise specified in this permit.
- H. Unless otherwise specified, all information recorded on the DMRs shall be based upon measurements and sampling carried out during the most recently completed reporting period.

I. Any laboratory test or sample analysis required by this permit for which the State Commissioner of Health issues certificates of approval pursuant to section 502 of the Public Health Law shall be conducted by a laboratory which has been issued a certificate of approval. Inquiries regarding laboratory certification should be directed to the New York State Department of Health, Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program.

Date: October 30, 2023 v.1.18 Permit Writer: Peter Maier Water Quality Reviewer: Evan Walters Full Technical Review

SPDES Permit Fact Sheet Air Products & Chemicals, Inc. Massena Green Hydrogen Facility NY0270342



Department of Environmental Conservation

Contents

Summary of Permit Changes	3
Administrative History	3
Facility Information	3
Site Overview	4
Enforcement History	4
Existing Effluent Quality	4
Interstate Water Pollution Control Agencies	4
Receiving Water Information	4
Impaired Waterbody Information	5
Critical Receiving Water Data & Mixing Zone	5
Permit Requirements	6
USEPA Effluent Limitation Guidelines (ELGs) Applicable to Facility	6
Antidegradation	6
Discharge Notification Act Requirements	6
Best Management Practices (BMPs) for Industrial Facilities	6
Stormwater Pollution Prevention Requirements	6
Mercury	6
Emerging Contaminant Monitoring	7
Schedule(s) of Additional Submittals	7
Special Conditions	7
OUTFALL AND RECEIVING WATER SUMMARY TABLE	8
POLLUTANT SUMMARY TABLE - Outfall 001	8
Appendix: Regulatory and Technical Basis of Permit Authorizations	
Regulatory References	13
Outfall and Receiving Water Information	13
Interstate Water Pollution Control Agencies	14
Existing Effluent Quality	14
Permit Requirements	

Date: October 30, 2023 v.1.18 Permit Writer: Peter Maier Water Quality Reviewer: Evan Walters Full Technical Review

Summary of Permit Changes

A new State Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (SPDES) permit has been drafted for the Massena Green Hydrogen Facility. The permit requirements are summarized below:

- Effluent limits for:
 - o pH
 - Total suspended solids
 - o Mercury
- Effluent monitoring requirements for:
 - Flow rate
 - o Temperature
 - Emerging contaminants (short-term monitoring)
 - Priority pollutant sampling (one-time requirement)
- Discharge Notification Act Requirements
- Development of a Best Management Practices plan
- A requirement to submittal design documentation and certify the construction of the new wastewater treatment facility

This fact sheet summarizes the information used to determine the effluent limitations (limits) and other conditions contained in the permit. General background information including the regulatory basis for the effluent limitations and other conditions are in the <u>Appendix</u> linked throughout this fact sheet.

Administrative History

4/6/2023

The Air Products & Chemicals, Inc. submitted a NY-2C permit application, supplemental information, and a Preliminary Engineering Report.

As part of this application submission and pursuant to the requirements of Section 7(2) and Section 7(3) of the Climate Leadership and Community Protection Act (CLCPA), the Department has requested and received information regarding the project's consistency with the CLCPA.

The Notice of Complete Application, published in the <u>Environmental Notice Bulletin</u> and newspapers, contains information on the public notice process.

Facility Information

This is an industrial facility (SIC code 2813 – industrial gas manufacturing) that produces hydrogen gas by electrolysis. This facility is a categorical industry as defined in 40 CFR Subchapter N and certain requirements apply per this categorization (see <u>Effluent Limitation Guidelines</u>). Raw water is purchased from the Village of Massena and treated on-site before being used for hydrogen production. Effluent from Outfall 001 will consist of water (influent) treatment backwash, raw water reject, oily sump wastewater (from truck loading operations), cooling water blowdown, steam condensate, and compressor condensate. Outfall 001 has a design flow of 0.74 MGD and will discharge to the Massena Power Canal, Class C. Effluent from Outfall 002 will consist of treated sanitary wastewater (750 GPD septic system) discharging to groundwater. No effluent monitoring or limitations are proposed for Outfall 002, but this may be subject to modification if future design documentation submitted to DEC indicates the need to monitor or limit parameters.

The proposed wastewater facility is expected to be operational in 2026 and the wastewater treatment system will be designed to meet all effluent limitations presented in this fact sheet. Construction on the new wastewater treatment facilities cannot occur until the design documentation is approved by DEC. If the

Date: October 30, 2023 v.1.18 Permit Writer: Peter Maier Water Quality Reviewer: Evan Walters Full Technical Review

approved treatment system significantly alters the expected waste stream, the issued permit may require modification before the permittee can begin discharging.

Site Overview



Enforcement History

Compliance and enforcement information will be available on the EPA's <u>Enforcement and Compliance</u> <u>History Online (ECHO)</u> website.

Existing Effluent Quality

The <u>Pollutant Summary Table</u> presents the *projected* effluent quality and effluent limitations. The projected effluent quality was determined from the application and corresponding Preliminary Engineering Report submitted by the permittee on 4/6/2023. <u>Appendix Link</u>

Interstate Water Pollution Control Agencies

Outfalls 001 and 002 are located within the Great Lakes watershed and International Joint Commission (IJC) compact area. <u>Appendix Link</u>

Receiving Water Information

The facility proposes to discharge via the following outfalls:

Outfall No.	SIC Code	Wastewater Type	Receiving Water
001	2813	Water treatment backwash, oily sump wastewater, cooling water blowdown, steam condensate, compressor condensate	Massena Power Canal, Class C
002	N/A	Treated Sanitary	Groundwater, Class GA

Date: October 30, 2023 v.1.18 Permit Writer: Peter Maier Water Quality Reviewer: Evan Walters Full Technical Review

Reach Description: Outfall 001 discharges to the Massena Power Canal (Class C). The Grass River (Class B) is approximately 2.5 miles downstream of Outfall 001. The St. Lawrence River (Class A(S)) is approximately 9.5 miles downstream of Outfall 001.



The map above shows the approximate location of Outfall 001 relative to ambient RIBS stations and the general path of the effluent down to the St. Lawrence River. No RIBS stations are located along the Massena Canal and ambient pH and hardness values were calculated from data collected at a nearby facility. RIBS station 09-STLW-8.3, in the St. Lawrence River near the confluence with the Grass River, was used to establish ambient background concentrations.

See the <u>Outfall and Receiving Water Summary Table</u> and <u>Appendix</u> for additional information.

Impaired Waterbody Information

The Massena Power Canal, Grass River, and St. Lawrence River [portion 1] (PWL No. 0904-0014, 0904-0904, and 0901-0001, respectively) were listed on the 2020 <u>New York State Section 303(d) List</u> of Impaired/TMDL Waters due to polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) from contaminated sediment. Additionally, the St. Lawrence River is contaminated for dioxins and Mirex from contaminated sediment. TMDLs to address these impairments have not yet been developed and the proposed facility is not expected to discharge PCBs, dioxin, or Mirex.

Critical Receiving Water Data & Mixing Zone

The proposed Outfall 001 consists of a bankside discharge that flows over riprap to the Massena Power Canal, a vertical distance of about 10.5 feet. From this configuration, it is expected the effluent will have low velocity entering the Canal and achieve little mixing with the background flow. As such, the near-field dilution has been limited to 2:1 for acute and chronic dilution ratios. A CORMIX model was also developed, and while the input criteria were estimated/assumed, the model supports using a dilution of 2:1. The HEW dilution ratio was calculated after complete mixing with the receiving waterbody.

Outfall No.	Acute Dilution Ratio A(A)	Chronic Dilution Ratio A(C)	Human, Aesthetic, Wildlife Dilution Ratio (HEW)	Basis
001		2:1	4:1	TOGS 1.3.1

Critical receiving water data are listed in the <u>Pollutant Summary Table</u> at the end of this fact sheet. <u>Appendix</u> <u>Link</u>

Date: October 30, 2023 v.1.18 Permit Writer: Peter Maier Water Quality Reviewer: Evan Walters Full Technical Review

Permit Requirements

The technology based effluent limitations (<u>TBELs</u>), water quality-based effluent limitations (<u>WQBELs</u>), <u>Existing Effluent Quality</u> and a discussion of the selected effluent limitation for each pollutant present in the discharge are provided in the <u>Pollutant Summary Table</u>.

USEPA Effluent Limitation Guidelines (ELGs) Applicable to Facility

Best Practicable Control Technology Currently Available (BPT), Best Conventional Pollutant Control Technology (BCT), Best Available Technology Economically Achievable (BAT), and New Source Performance Standards (NSPS) limitations are based on Effluent Limitation Guidelines developed by USEPA for specific industries¹.

For the applicable categorical limitations under 40 CFR Part 415, Subpart AO, the following requirement was evaluated during this permit development process:

"There shall be no discharge of <u>process wastewater pollutants</u> to <u>navigable waters</u>, except as provided for <u>in part 419</u> of this chapter (<u>39 FR 16560</u>)."

The Massena Power Canal is "closed to navigation" per the U.S. Coast Pilot (NOAA, <u>CPB6 C04 WEB.pdf</u> (<u>noaa.gov</u>), 2023), although it is still a "water of the United States" as defined in CWA Section 502. As such, the proposed discharge is applicable to and in conformance of the 40 CFR Part 415, Subpart AO.

Antidegradation

The permit contains effluent limitations which ensure that the best usages of the receiving waters will be maintained. The Notice of Complete Application published in the Environmental Notice Bulletin contains information on the State Environmental Quality Review (SEQR)² determination. <u>Appendix Link</u>

Discharge Notification Act Requirements

In accordance with the Discharge Notification Act (ECL 17-0815-a), the permittee is required to post a sign at each point of wastewater discharge to surface waters, unless a waiver is obtained.

Additionally, the permit contains a requirement to make the DMR sampling data available to the public upon request.

Best Management Practices (BMPs) for Industrial Facilities

In accordance with 6 NYCRR 750-1.14(f) and 40 CFR 122.44(k), the permittee is required to develop and implement a BMP plan that prevents, or minimizes the potential for, the release of toxic or hazardous pollutants to state waters. The BMP plan requires annual review by the permittee.

Stormwater Pollution Prevention Requirements

The facility discharges stormwater associated with industrial activity and stormwater discharges at this facility are required to obtain coverage under the current Multi-Sector General Permit (MSGP) Sector [C] (GP-0-23-001).

Mercury³

The facility is a new discharger located within the Great Lakes basin; therefore, the permit includes a monthly average total mercury effluent limitation of 0.7 ng/L. As the facility is required to meet the water quality standard as an effluent limitation and is not subject to the multiple discharge variance (MDV), a mercury minimization plan (MMP) is not required. <u>Appendix Link</u>

¹ As promulgated under 40 CFR Parts 405 - 471

² As prescribed by 6 NYCRR Part 617

³ In accordance with DOW 1.3.10 Mercury – SPDES Permitting & Multiple Discharge Variance (MDV), December 30, 2020.

Date: October 30, 2023 v.1.18 Permit Writer: Peter Maier Water Quality Reviewer: Evan Walters Full Technical Review

Emerging Contaminant Monitoring

Emerging Contaminants, such as PFOA, PFOS, and 1,4-D, have been used in a wide variety of consumer and industrial products as well as in manufacturing processes for decades. These contaminants do not break down easily, therefore their presence in wastewater can remain a concern for years following their discontinued use. As the science surrounding these contaminants is still evolving, additional monitoring is needed to better understand potential sources and background levels. For more information on emerging contaminants. please see the NYSDEC Division of Water web page: https://www.dec.ny.gov/chemical/127939.html.

Pursuant to 6 NYCRR Part 750-1.13(b), the permit includes a short-term monitoring program to evaluate the influent and effluent discharge levels of Per-and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances (PFAS) and 1,4-Dioxane within the Schedule of Additional Submittals. This monitoring program is consistent with EPA PFAS guidance released in EPA guidance memos dated April 28, 2022, and December 5, 2022.

The Department will review the monitoring results and pursuant to 6 NYCRR 750-2.1(i) may notify the permittee of the need for further monitoring to identify potential sources as specified in the Emerging Contaminants Investigation Checklist for Industrial Facilities to determine whether cause exists to modify the permit to incorporate a pollutant minimization program per 6 NYCRR 750-1.14(f).

The Department will consider this information and progress made to track down and reduce or eliminate the source of the identified pollutants in determining if a permit modification is needed.

Schedule(s) of Additional Submittals

A schedule of additional submittals has been included for the following (Appendix Link) items:

- Initial and annually updated Best Management Practices (BMP) plan
- Annual Water Treatment Chemical Report
- Priority Pollutant Scan sampling requirement: to occur after the wastewater treatment plant begins operations
- Complete and certify the construction of wastewater treatment system to achieve compliance with the final effluent limitations specified in the permit

Special Conditions

Consistent with 6 NYCRR 750-2.10, the permittee is not allowed to commence construction until DEC has approved final design documents. Similarly, the permittee is not allowed to discharge until construction is complete, and DEC has accepted the construction completion certification. If any changes are made to the design during construction, the permittee must notify DEC and a determination must be made on whether the SPDES permit requires modification.

Date: October 30, 2023 v.1.18 Permit Writer: Peter Maier Water Quality Reviewer: Evan Walters Full Technical Review

OUTFALL AND RECEIVING WATER SUMMARY TABLE

					Water Index No. /	Major /					Critical	Dilution Ratio		
Outfall	Latitude	Longitude	Receiving Water Name	Water Class	Priority Waterbody Listing (PWL) No.	Sub Basin	Hardness (mg/l)	1Q10 (MGD)	7Q10 (MGD)	30Q10 (MGD)	Effluent Flow	A(A)	A(C)	HEW
001	44° 57' 22" N	74° 55' 04" W	Massena Power Canal	С	SL-5a PWL: 0901-0014	09 / 01	177 ⁴	-	3.9*	-	0.99 MGD	2:1	2:1	4:1
002	44° 54' 46" N	74° 54' 46" W	Groundwater	GA	-	09 / 01	-	-	-	-	750 GPD	-	-	-

*The ambient low flow condition is consistent with previous water quality reviews for discharges to the Massena Power Canal.

POLLUTANT SUMMARY TABLE - Outfall 001

0	001	Description	of Wast	tewater: F	Process wast	ewater (coc	ling tower blowd	own, raw w	ater reject, a	and steam o	condensate	e, and compre	essor conde	ensate).
Outrall #	001	Type of Tre	atment:	Equalizati	on, water tre	atment che	mical addition, flo	occulation, o	clarification,	and filtratio	n (expecte	ed).			
			Exist	ing Discha	irge Data	-	TBELs		Wa	ter Quality I	Data & WO	QBELs			Pagia for
Effluent Parameter	Units	Averaging Period	Permit Limit	Existing Effluent Quality	# of Data Points Detects / Non- Detects	Limit	Basis	Ambient Bkgd. Conc.	Projected Instream Conc.	WQ Std. or GV	WQ Type	Calc. WQBEL	Basis for WQBEL	ML	Permit Requirement
General Notes: This is a new facility, and no discharge data is available. The "existing effluent quality" data was taken from estimates provided in the NY-2C application. All applicable water quality standards were reviewed for development of the WQBELs. The standard and WQBEL shown below represent the most stringent.															
The TBELs pres the time of perm The water qualit	The TBELs presented in this table represent the most conservative treatment values that are presented in TOGS 1.2.1 for facilities that include filtration. This approach was taken since, at the time of permit drafting, the conceptual treatment system included filtration.														
	MGD	Monthly Average	-	0.74	Projected	Monitor	750-1.13 Monitor	Narrative:	No alteratio	ns that will	impair the	waters for	6 NYCRR		Monitor
Flow Rate	MGD	Daily Max	-	0.99	Projected	Monitor	750-1.13 Monitor	their best u	usages.				703.2	-	WOILIO
	Flow m	onitoring is ir	ncluded f	or informa	tional purpos	ses and to c	alculate pollutant	loadings.							
	SU	Minimum	-	8.0	Projected	6.0		7 75		65 95	Panga	No	6 NYCRR		TDEI
рН	SU	Maximum	I	9.6	Projected	9.0	1063 1.2.1 (J)	1.1*	-	0.5 - 0.5	Range	Potential	703.3	-	IDEL
Given the available dilution, an effluent limitation equal to the TBEL is protective of the WQS.															

⁴ Ambient hardness was calculated as the average of four samples from 2020 collected at a nearby facility along the Massena Power Canal.

⁶ Ambient pH was calculated as the average of four samples from 2020 collected at a nearby facility along the Massena Power Canal.

0	004	Descriptior	Description of Wastewater: Process wastewater (cooling tower blowdown, raw water reject, and steam condensate, and compressor condensate).													
Outrall #	001	Type of Tre	eatment:	Equalizati	on, water tre	atment che	mical addition, flo	occulation, o	clarification,	and filtratio	on (expecte	ed).				
			Exist	ing Discha	arge Data	-	TBELs		Wa	ter Quality	Data & W0	QBELs			Decio for	
Effluent Parameter	Units	Averaging Period	Permit Limit	Existing Effluent Quality	# of Data Points Detects / Non- Detects	Limit	Basis	Ambient Bkgd. Conc.	Projected Instream Conc.	WQ Std. or GV	WQ Type	Calc. WQBEL	Basis for WQBEL	ML	Permit Requirement	
Temperature	°F	Daily Max	-	87	Projected	Monitor	750-1.13 Monitor	-	Narrative (Non-Trout): The water temperature at the surface of a stream shall not be raised to more than 90F at any point and shall not be raised or lowered to more than 5F over the temperature that existed before the addition		-	Monitor				
	The dis found in	charge is ex n 6 NYCRR 7	pected to 704.2(b)(contribute 1). Consis	e some thern tent with 6 N	nal loading YCRR 750-	to the Massena F ·1.13(a), monitori	ower Cana	l but not in ed and may	any amoun [:] be used to	ts that will inform fut	cause a contr ure permitting	avention of decisions.	the th	nermal criteria	
Dissolved Oxygen	mg/L	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(Non- Trout) 4.0 mg/L	Narrative	No Reasonable Potential	6 NYCRR 703.3	-	No Limitation	
(DÓ)	The effluent is not expected to contain significant amounts of oxygen-demanding substances. Therefore, a limit for DO or BOD ₅ is not necessary to maintain the downstream water quality standard for dissolved oxygen.															
5-day Biochemical Oxygen	mg/L	-	-	18	Projected	48	TOGS 1.2.1 (G)	-	See Dissolved Oxygen -				703.3	-	No Limitation	
Demand (BOD₅)	A limit	for BOD₅ is n	ot neces	sary to ma	intain the do	wnstream v	vater quality stan	dard for dis	solved oxyg	gen.						
Total Suspended Solids	mg/L	Daily Max	-	11	Projected	15	TOGS 1.2.1 (B)	-	Narrative: None from sewage, industrial wastes or other wastes that will cause deposition or impair the waters for their best Usages					-	TBEL	
Collas	Given t	hat adequate	e dilution	is availabl	e, an effluen	t limitation e	equal to the TBEL	, and, cons	sistent with	TOGS 1.2.1	l, is protec	tive of water o	uality stand	dards.		
Nitrogen, Ammonia (as N)	mg/L	-	-	0.1	Projected	130	TOGS 1.2.1 (B)	0.019*	0.32	1.2	A(C)	No Reasonable Potential	6 NYCRR 703.5	-	No Limitation	

0		Descriptior	n of Was	tewater: F	vrocess wast	ewater (coo	oling tower blowde	own, raw wa	ater reject, a	and steam o	condensate	e, and compre	essor conde	nsate).
Outrall #	001	Type of Tre	atment:	Equalizati	on, water tre	atment che	mical addition, flc	occulation, c	larification,	and filtratio	on (expecte	∍d).			
			Exist	ing Discha	irge Data		TBELs		Wa	ter Quality [Data & WC	QBELs			Basis for
Effluent Parameter	Units	Averaging Period	Permit Limit	Existing Effluent Quality	# of Data Points Detects / Non- Detects	Limit	Basis	Ambient Bkgd. Conc.	Projected Instream Conc.	WQ Std. or GV	WQ Type	Calc. WQBEL	Basis for WQBEL	ML	Permit Requirement
June 1 st – Oct. 31 st	The WQS for Ammonia was determined from TOGS 1.1.1 from a summer pH of 7.7 (from one summer sample collected in 2020 at a nearby facility) and a temperature of 25°C (assumed value and consistent with TOGS 1.3.1E). The projected instream concentration was calculated using the projected effluent concentration of 0.1 mg/L and an ambient upstream concentration of 0.019 mg/L*. A multiplier ⁶ of 6.2 was applied to the maximum effluent concentration to account for the lack of actual effluent data. In accordance with TOGS 1.3.1E, the HEW dilution ratio was applied to calculate the projected instream concentration. A comparison of the projected instream concentration to the WQS indicates no reasonable potential to cause or contribute to a WQS violation. Therefore, no limitation is specified. *Ambient concentration calculated from the average of 31 summer samples taken from RIBS station 09-STLW-8.3 from 7/2005-10/2016.														
Nitrate-nitrite	mg/L	-	-	0.6	Projected	-	-	-	-	Narrative (nitrogen): None in amounts that will result in growths of algae, weeds and slimes that will impair the waters for their best usages.				-	No Limitation
	There i	There is no numeric WQS for nitrate or nitrite to class B or C waters.													
Total Organic Nitrogen (as N)	mg/L		_	1.2	Projected	-	-	-	-	Narrative amounts th of algae, v will impa	e (nitroger nat will res weeds and air the wate best usage	i): None in ult in growths I slimes that ers for their es.	6 NYCRR 703.2	-	No Limitation
	There i	There is no numeric WQS for total organic nitrogen to any classification of surface or groundwater.													
Total	mg/L	Daily Max	-	0.024	Projected	4.6	TOGS 1.2.1 (B)	-	-	Narrative: will resu	: None in a It in growth	imounts that is of algae,	6 NYCRR		No Limitation
Phosphorus (as P)	mg/L	Monthly Average	-	-	-	11	TOGS 1.2.1 (B)	-	-	weeds and the waters	d slimes th s for their t	at will impair pest usages.	703.2	-	
	The fac less tha	ility is not ex an 1.0 MGD.	pected to Given th) be a sign e expecter	ificant source	e of phosph	orus. Consistent a TBEL is also n	with TOGS ot needed a	1.3.3, no plat this time.	hosphorus I	limitations	will be impose	ed for facilitie	es wit	h a design flow
	ng/L	Daily Max	'	4.5	Projected		-	-	-	0.7	H(FC)	0.7	-	-	DOW 1.3.10
	See Me	ercury section	<u>n of this f</u>	act sheet.											
Total Aluminum	mg/L	Daily Max	-	0.25	Projected	6.1	TOGS 1.2.1 (B)	-	-	100 ionic	A(C)	No Reasonable Potential	6 NYCRR 703.5	-	No Limitation

⁶ As recommended from EPA's Technical Support Document, Chapter 3.3 PAGE 10 OF 18

Outfoll #	001	Description	n of Was	tewater: P	rocess wast	ewater (coo	oling tower blowd	own, raw w	ater reject, a	and steam o	condensat	e, and compre	essor conde	ensate	·).
Outiali #	001	Type of Tre	eatment:	Equalizati	on, water tre	atment che	mical addition, flo	occulation, o	clarification,	and filtratio	on (expecte	ed).			
			Exist	ing Discha	rge Data		TBELs		Wa	ter Quality	Data & W0	QBELs			D . (
Effluent Parameter	Units	Averaging Period	Permit Limit	Existing Effluent Quality	# of Data Points Detects / Non- Detects	Limit	Basis	Ambient Bkgd. Conc.	Projected Instream Conc.	WQ Std. or GV	WQ Type	Calc. WQBEL	Basis for WQBEL	ML	Basis for Permit Requirement
	Consis [®] there is	tent with TO no reasonal	GS 1.3.1I ble poten	E, when th tial to exce	e pH of the r ed the wate	eceiving war r quality sta	aterbody is 6.5 or Indard for aluminu	greater, te um and the	chnology-ba refore, no T	ased limits BEL or WQ	are adequ BEL is spo	ate to meet w ecified for this	ater quality parameter.	stand	ards. However,
	µg/L	-	-	3.0	Projected	1,400	TOGS 1.2.1 (B)	0.476	10	150	A(C)	No Reasonable Potential	6 NYCRR 703.5	-	No Limitation
Total Arsenic	The pro the ave estimat B-96-00 WQBE	ojected instre erage of 24 se tion and lack 07. A compa L is specified	eam conc amples fr of actual rison of t I. Given t	entration v om RIBS s effluent da he projecte ne expecte	vas calculate station 09-S1 ata. A metals ed instream ed effluent co	ed using the TLW-8.3 fro s translator concentration	e projected effluer m 4/2009-10/201 of 1.0 was applie on to the WQS ir n, a TBEL is also	nt concentr 6. A multipl d to conver ndicates no not required	ation of 3 µg ier ⁶ of 6.2 w t between tl reasonable d at this time	g/L and an /as applied ne total and potential t e.	ambient u to the proj l dissolvec o cause o	pstream conce lected effluent l form in accor r contribute to	entration of concentrat dance with a WQS vio	0.476 ion to EPA plation	à μg/L, taken as account for the Document 823- ι. Therefore, no
Total Darium	µg/L	-	-	64	Projected	1,200	TOGS 1.2.1 (B)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	No Limitation
TOLAI DANUM	There is no numeric WQS for barium to class B or C waters. Given the expected effluent concentration, a TBEL is also not needed at this time.														
	µg/L	-	-	71	Projected	1,800	TOGS 1.2.1 (B)	-	220	10,000	A(C)	No Reasonable Potential	6 NYCRR 703.5	-	No Limitation
Total Boron	The projected instream concentration was calculated using the estimated effluent concentration of 71 µg/L and an assumed negligible ambient upstream concentration. A multiplier ⁶ of 6.2 was applied to the projected effluent to account for the estimation and lack of actual effluent data. A comparison of the projected instream concentration to the WQS indicates no reasonable potential to cause or contribute to a WQS violation. Therefore, no WQBEL is specified. Given the expected effluent concentration, TBEL is also not required at this time.														
	µg/L	-	-	389	Projected	35,000	TOGS 1.2.1 (B)	120	1,270	3,557	A(C)	No Reasonable Potential	6 NYCRR 703.5	-	No Limitation
Total Fluoride	The pro the ave lack of Therefo	ojected instreerage of 37 sa actual effluer ore, no WQB	eam conc amples fr nt data. A EL is spe	entration v om RIBS s comparis cified. Giv	vas calculate tation 09-ST on of the pro en the expec	ed using the LW-8.3 from jected instr ted effluen	e estimated efflue m 4/2001-10/2016 eam concentratic t concentration, a	nt concentr 6. A multipli on to the We TBEL is al	ation of 389 er ⁶ of 6.2 wa QS indicates so not requi	μg/L and a as applied t s no reasor red at this t	an ambien to the proje nable poter time.	t upstream co ected effluent ntial to cause	ncentration to account f or contribut	of 12 or the e to a	0 ug/L taken as estimation and WQS violation.
Total Iran	µg/L	-	-	138	Projected	1,200	TOGS 1.2.1 (B)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	No Limitation
Total Iron	There i	s no numerio	WQS fo	r iron to cla	ass B or C w	aters. Give	n the expected ef	fluent conc	entration, a	TBEL is als	so not requ	uired at this tin	ne.		
Total	mg/L	-	-	27	Projected	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	No Limitation
Magnesium	There i	s no numerio	WQS fo	r magnesii	um to class E	3 or C wate	rs. Given the exp	ected efflue	ent concentr	ation, a TB	EL is also	not required a	t this time.		
	µg/L	-	-	14	Projected	5,000	TOGS 1.2.1 (B)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	No Limitation

0	004	Description	n of Was	tewater: F	Process wast	ewater (coo	oling tower blowd	own, raw wa	ater reject, a	and steam o	condensat	e, and compre	essor conde	nsate	;).
Outrail #	001	Type of Tre	eatment:	Equalizati	on, water tre	atment che	mical addition, flo	occulation, o	clarification,	and filtratio	n (expecte	ed).			
			Exist	ing Discha	arge Data		TBELs		Wa	ter Quality [Data & WO	QBELs			Pagia for
Effluent Parameter	Units	Averaging Period	Permit Limit	Existing Effluent Quality	# of Data Points Detects / Non- Detects	Limit	Basis	Ambient Bkgd. Conc.	Projected Instream Conc.	WQ Std. or GV	WQ Type	Calc. WQBEL	Basis for WQBEL	ML	Permit Requirement
Total Molybdenum	There i time.	is no numerio	c WQS fo	or molybde	num to any o	classificatio	on of surface or g	roundwater	. Given the	expected e	ffluent cor	centration, a	TBEL is als	o not	required at this
Total	µg/L	-	-	10	Projected	300	TOGS 1.2.1 (B)	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	No Limitation
Manganese	There i	There is no numeric WQS for manganese to class B or C waters. Given the expected effluent concentration, a TBEL is also not required at this time.													
Total Sulfate	mg/L	-	-	78	Projected	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	No Limitation
(as SO ₄)	There i	There is no numeric WQS for sulfate (as SO ₄) to class B or C waters.													
	µg/L	-	-	9.3	Projected	530	TOGS 1.2.1 (B)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	No Limitation
Total Titanium	There i time.	is no numerio	c WQS fo	or molybde	num to any o	classificatio	n of surface or g	roundwater	. Given the	expected e	ffluent cor	centration, a	TBEL is als	o not	required at this
	μg/L	-	-	8.0 total	Projected	40	TOGS 1.2.1 (C)	4.9 dissolved	27 dissolved	134 dissolved	A(C)	No Reasonable Potential	6 NYCRR 703.5	-	No Limitation
Total Zinc	The pro averag accour Docum Therefo	ojected instre e of 19 disso nt for the estir ient 823-B-96 ore, no WQB	eam conc olved zine mation an 6-007. A EL is spe	entration v c samples d lack of a compariso cified. Giv	vas calculate from RIBS ictual effluen n of the projutent ren the expect	d using the station 09-\$ t data. A me ected instre cted effluen	estimated effluer STLW-8.3 from 5 etals translator of eam concentration t concentration, a	nt concentra /2012-10/20 1.014 was a n to the WC TBEL is al	ation of 8 µg 016. A mult applied to c QS indicates so not requ	JL and an a iplier ⁶ of 6.2 onvert betw no reasona ired at this t	mbient up 2 was app een the to able poten ime.	stream conce lied to the pr tal and dissolv tial to cause o	entration of 4 ojected efflu ved form in a or contribute	l.9 μg uent c accor e to a	/L, taken as the oncentration to dance with EPA WQS violation.

Appendix: Regulatory and Technical Basis of Permit Authorizations

The Appendix is meant to supplement the fact sheet for multiple types of SPDES permits. Portions of this Appendix may not be applicable to this specific permit.

Regulatory References

•

The provisions of the permit are based largely upon 40 CFR 122 subpart C and 6 NYCRR Part 750 and include monitoring, recording, reporting, and compliance requirements, as well as general conditions applicable to all SPDES permits. Below are the most common citations for the requirements included in SPDES permits:

- Clean Water Act (CWA) 33 section USC 1251 to 1387
 - Environmental Conservation Law (ECL) Articles 17 and 70
- Federal Regulations
 - 40 ČFR, Chapter I, subchapters D, N, and O
- State environmental regulations
 - o 6 NYCRR Part 621
 - o 6 NYCRR Part 750
 - o 6 NYCRR Parts 700 704 Best use and other requirements applicable to water classes
 - o 6 NYCRR Parts 800 941 Classification of individual surface waters
- NYSDEC water program policy, referred to as Technical and Operational Guidance Series (TOGS)
- USEPA Office of Water Technical Support Document for Water Quality-based Toxics Control, March 1991, Appendix E

The following is a quick guide to the references used within the fact sheet:

SPDES Permit Requirements	Regulatory Reference
Anti-backsliding	6 NYCRR 750-1.10(c)
Best Management Practices (BMPS) for CSOs	6 NYCRR 750-2.8(a)(2)
Environmental Benefits Permit Strategy (EBPS)	6 NYCRR 750-1.18, NYS ECL 17-0817(4), TOGS 1.2.2 (revised January 25,2012)
Exceptions for Type I SSO Outfalls (bypass)	6 NYCRR 750-2.8(b)(2), 40 CFR 122.41
Mercury Multiple Discharge Variance	Division of Water Program Policy 1.3.10 (DOW 1.3.10)
Mixing Zone and Critical Water Information	TOGS 1.3.1 & Amendments
PCB Minimization Program	40 CFR Part 132 Appendix F Procedure 8, 6 NYCRR 750-1.13(a) and 750-1.14(f), and TOGS 1.2.1
Pollutant Minimization Program (PMP)	6 NYCRR 750-1.13(a), 750-1.14(f), TOGS 1.2.1
Schedules of Compliance	6 NYCRR 750-1.14
Sewage Pollution Right to Know (SPRTK)	NYS ECL 17-0826-a, 6 NYCRR 750-2.7
State Administrative Procedure Act (SAPA)	State Administrative Procedure Act Section 401(2), 6 NYCRR 621.11(I)
State Environmental Quality Review (SEQR)	6 NYCRR Part 617
USEPA Effluent Limitation Guidelines (ELGs)	40 CFR Parts 405-471
USEPA National CSO Policy	33 USC Section 1342(q)
Whole Effluent Toxicity (WET) Testing	TOGS 1.3.2
General Provisions of a SPDES Permit Department Request for Additional Information	NYCRR 750-2.1(i)

Outfall and Receiving Water Information

Impaired Waters

The <u>NYS 303(d) List of Impaired/TMDL Waters</u> identifies waters where specific best usages are not fully supported. The state must consider the development of a Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) or other strategy to reduce the input of the specific pollutant(s) that restrict waterbody uses, in order to restore and protect such uses. SPDES permits must include effluent limitations necessary to implement a WLA of an EPA-approved TMDL (6 NYCRR 750-1.11(a)(5)(ii)), if applicable. In accordance with 6 NYCRR 750-1.13(a), permittees discharging to waters which are on the list but do not yet have a TMDL developed may be required to perform additional monitoring for the parameters causing the impairment. Accurate monitoring data is needed to

Date: October 30, 2023 v.1.18 Permit Writer: Peter Maier Water Quality Reviewer: Evan Walters Full Technical Review

determine the existing capabilities of the wastewater treatment plants and to assure that wasteload allocations (WLAs) are allocated equitably.

Interstate Water Pollution Control Agencies

Some POTWs may be subject to regulations of interstate basin/compact agencies including: Interstate Sanitation Commission (ISC), International Joint Commission (IJC), Delaware River Basin Commission (DRBC), Ohio River Valley Water Sanitation Commission (ORSANCO), and the Susquehanna River Basin Commission (SRBC). Generally, basin commission requirements focus principally on water quality and not treatment technology. However, interstate/compact agency regulations for the ISC, IJC, DRBC and NYC Watershed contain explicit effluent limits which must be addressed during permit drafting. 6 NYCRR 750-2.1(d) requires SPDES permits for discharges that originate within the jurisdiction of an interstate water pollution control agency, to include any applicable effluent standards or water quality standards (WQS) promulgated by that interstate agency.

Existing Effluent Quality

The existing effluent quality is determined from a statistical evaluation of effluent data in accordance with TOGS 1.2.1 and the USEPA Office of Water, <u>Technical Support Document for Water Quality-based Toxics Control</u>, March 1991, Appendix E (TSD). The existing effluent quality is equal to the 95th (monthly average) and 99th (daily maximum) percentiles of the lognormal distribution of existing effluent data. When there are greater than three non-detects, a delta-lognormal distribution is assumed, and delta-lognormal calculations are used to determine the monthly average and daily maximum pollutant concentrations. Statistical calculations are not performed for parameters where there are less than ten data points. If additional data is needed, a monitoring requirement may be specified either through routine monitoring or a short-term high intensity monitoring program. The <u>Pollutant Summary Table</u> identifies the number of sample data points available.

Permit Requirements

Basis for Effluent Limitations

Sections 101, 301, 304, 308, 401, 402, and 405 of the CWA and Titles 5, 7, and 8 of Article 17 ECL, as well as their implementing federal and state regulations, and related guidance, provide the basis for the effluent limitations and other conditions in the permit.

When conducting a full technical review of an existing permit, the previous effluent limitations form the basis for the next permit. Existing effluent quality is evaluated against the existing effluent limitations to determine if these should be continued, revised, or deleted. Generally, existing limitations are continued unless there are changed conditions at the facility, the facility demonstrates an ability to meet more stringent limitations, and/or in response to updated regulatory requirements. Pollutant monitoring data is also reviewed to determine the presence of additional contaminants that should be included in the permit based on a reasonable potential analysis to cause or contribute to a water quality standards violation.

Anti-backsliding

Anti-backsliding requirements are specified in the CWA sections 402(o) and 303(d)(4), ECL 17-0809, and regulations at 40 CFR 122.44(*I*) and 6 NYCRR 750-1.10(c) and (d). Generally, the relaxation of effluent limitations in permits is prohibited unless one of the specified exceptions applies, which will be cited on a case-by-case basis in this fact sheet. Consistent with current case law⁷ and USEPA interpretation⁸ anti-backsliding requirements do not apply should a revision to the final effluent limitation take effect before the scheduled date of compliance for that final effluent limitation.

 ⁷ American Iron and Steel Institute v. Environmental Protection Agency, 115 F.3d 979, 993 n.6 (D.C. Cir. 1997)
 ⁸ U.S. EPA, Water Quality Standards; Establishment of Numeric Criteria for Priority Toxic Pollutants for the State of California; 65 Fed. Reg. 31682, 31704 (May 18, 2000); Proposed Water Quality Guidance for the Great Lakes System, 58 Fed. Reg. 20802, 20837 & 20981 (April 16, 1993)
 PAGE 14 OF 18

Date: October 30, 2023 v.1.18 Permit Writer: Peter Maier Water Quality Reviewer: Evan Walters Full Technical Review

Antidegradation Policy

New York State implements the antidegradation portion of the CWA based upon two documents: (1) Organization and Delegation Memorandum #85-40, "Water Quality Antidegradation Policy" (September 9, 1985); and, (2) TOGS 1.3.9, "Implementation of the NYSDEC Antidegradation Policy – Great Lakes Basin (Supplement to Antidegradation Policy dated September 9, 1985) (undated)." The permit for the facility contains effluent limitations which ensure that the existing best usage of the receiving waters will be maintained. To further support the antidegradation policy, SPDES applications have been reviewed in accordance with the State Environmental Quality Review Act (SEQR) as prescribed by 6 NYCRR Part 617.

Effluent Limitations

In developing a permit, the Department determines the technology-based effluent limitations (TBELs) and then evaluates the water quality expected to result from technology controls to determine if any exceedances of water quality criteria in the receiving water might result. If there is a reasonable potential for exceedances of water quality criteria to occur, water quality-based effluent limitations (WQBELs) are developed. A WQBEL is designed to ensure that the water quality standards of receiving waters are met. In general, the CWA requires that the effluent limitations for a particular pollutant are the more stringent of either the TBEL or WQBEL.

Technology-based Effluent Limitations (TBELs) for Industrial Facilities

A TBEL requires a minimum level of treatment for industrial point sources based on currently available treatment technologies and/or Best Management Practices (BMPs). CWA sections 301(b) and 402, ECL sections 17-0509, 17-0809 and 17-0811, and 6 NYCRR 750-1.11 require technology-based controls on effluents. TBELs are set based upon an evaluation of New Source Performance Standards (NSPS), Best Available Technology Economically Achievable (BAT), Best Conventional Pollutant Control Technology (BCT), Best Practicable Technology Currently Available (BPT), and/or Best Professional Judgment (BPJ).

USEPA Effluent Limitation Guidelines (ELGs) Applicable to Facility

In many cases, BPT, BCT, BAT and NSPS limitations are based on effluent guidelines developed by USEPA for specific industries, as promulgated under 40 CFR Parts 405-471. Applicable guidelines, pollutants regulated by these guidelines, and the effluent limitation derivation for facilities subject to these guidelines is in the <u>USEPA Effluent Limitation Guideline Calculations</u> Table.

Best Professional Judgement (BPJ)

For substances that are not explicitly limited by regulations, the permit writer is authorized to use BPJ in developing TBELs. Consistent with section 402(a)(1) of the CWA, and NYS ECL section 17-0811, the Department is authorized to issue a permit containing "any further limitations necessary to ensure compliance with water quality standards adopted pursuant to state law". BPJ limitations may be set on a case-by-case basis using any reasonable method that takes into consideration the criteria set forth in 40 CFR 125.3. Applicable state regulations include 6 NYCRR 750-1.11. The BPJ limitation considers the existing technology present at the facility, the statistically calculated existing effluent quality for that parameter, and any unique or site-specific factors relating to the facility. Technology limitations generally achievable for various treatment technologies are included in TOGS 1.2.1, Attachment C. These limitations may be used for the listed parameters when the technology employed at the facility is listed.

Water Quality-Based Effluent Limitations (WQBELs)

In addition to the TBELs, permits must include additional or more stringent effluent limitations and conditions, including those necessary to protect water quality. CWA sections 101 and 301(b)(1)(C), 40 CFR 122.44(d)(1), and 6 NYCRR Parts 750-1.11 require that permits include limitations for all pollutants or parameters which are or may be discharged at a level which may cause or contribute to an exceedance of any State water quality standard adopted pursuant to NYS ECL 17-0301. Water quality standards can be found under 6 NYCRR Parts 700-704. The limitations must be stringent enough to ensure that water quality standards are met and must be consistent with any applicable WLA which may be in effect through a TMDL for the receiving water. These and other requirements are summarized in TOGS 1.1.1, 1.3.1,

Date: October 30, 2023 v.1.18 Permit Writer: Peter Maier Water Quality Reviewer: Evan Walters Full Technical Review

1.3.2, 1.3.5 and 1.3.6. The Department considers a mixing zone analysis, critical flows, and reasonable potential analysis when developing a WQBEL.

Mixing Zone Analyses

In accordance with TOGS 1.3.1., the Department may perform additional analysis of the mixing condition between the effluent and the receiving waterbody. Mixing zone analyses using plume dispersion modeling are conducted in accordance with the following:

"EPA Technical Support Document for Water Quality-Based Toxics Control" (March 1991); EPA Region VIII's "Mixing Zones and Dilution Policy" (December 1994); NYSDEC TOGS 1.3.1, "Total Maximum Daily Loads and Water Quality-Based Effluent Limitations" (July 1996); "CORMIX v11.0" (2019).

Critical Flows

In accordance with TOGS 1.2.1 and 1.3.1, WQBELs are developed using dilution ratios that relate the critical low flow condition of the receiving waterbody to the critical effluent flow. The critical low flow condition used in the dilution ratio will be different depending on whether the limitations are for aquatic or human health protection. For chronic aquatic protection, the critical low flow condition of the waterbody is typically represented by the 7Q10 flow and is calculated as the lowest average flow over a 7-day consecutive period within 10 years. For acute aguatic protection, the critical low flow condition is typically represented by the 1Q10 and is calculated as the lowest 1-day flow within 10 years. However, NYSDEC considers using 50% of the 7Q10 to be equivalent to the 1Q10 flow. For the protection of human health, the critical low flow condition is typically represented by the 30Q10 flow and is calculated as the lowest average flow over a 30-day consecutive period within 10 years. However, NYSDEC considers using 1.2 x 7Q10 to be equivalent to the 30Q10. The 7Q10 or 30Q10 flow is used with the critical effluent flow to calculate the dilution ratio. The critical effluent flow can be the maximum daily flow reported on the permit application, the maximum of the monthly average flows from discharge monitoring reports for the past three years, or the facility design flow. When more than one applicable standard exists for aquatic or human health protection for a specific pollutant, a reasonable potential analysis is conducted for each applicable standard and corresponding critical flow to ensure effluent limitations are sufficiently stringent to ensure all applicable water quality standards are met as required by 40 CFR 122.44(d)(1)(i). For brevity, the pollutant summary table reports the results of the most conservative scenario.

Reasonable Potential Analysis (RPA)

The Reasonable Potential Analysis (RPA) is a statistical estimation process, outlined in the 1991 USEPA Technical Support Document for Water Quality-based Toxics Control (TSD), Appendix E. This process uses existing effluent quality data and statistical variation methodology to project the maximum amounts of pollutants that could be discharged by the facility. This projected instream concentration (PIC) is calculated using the appropriate ratio and compared to the water quality standard (WQS). When the RPA process determines the WQS may be exceeded, a WQBEL is required. The procedure for developing WQBELs includes the following steps:

1) identify the pollutants present in the discharge(s) based upon existing data, sampling data collected by the permittee as part of the permit application or a short-term high intensity monitoring program, or data gathered by the Department;

2) identify water quality criteria applicable to these pollutants;

3) determine if WQBELs are necessary (i.e. reasonable potential analysis (RPA)). The RPA will utilize the procedure outlined in Chapter 3.3.2 of EPA's Technical Support Document (TSD). As outlined in the TSD, for parameters with limited effluent data the RPA may include multipliers to account for effluent variability; and,

4) calculate WQBELs (if necessary). Factors considered in calculating WQBELs include available dilution of effluent in the receiving water, receiving water chemistry, and other pollutant sources.

Date: October 30, 2023 v.1.18 Permit Writer: Peter Maier Water Quality Reviewer: Evan Walters Full Technical Review

The Department uses modeling tools to estimate the expected concentrations of the pollutant in the receiving water and develop WQBELs. These tools were developed in part using the methodology referenced above. If the estimated concentration of the pollutant in the receiving water is expected to exceed the ambient water quality standard or guidance value (i.e. numeric interpretation of a narrative water quality standard), then there is a reasonable potential that the discharge may cause or contribute to an exceedance of any State water quality standard adopted pursuant to NYS ECL 17-0301. If a TMDL is in place, the facility's WLA for that pollutant is applied as the WQBEL.

For carbonaceous and nitrogenous oxygen demanding pollutants, the Department uses a model which incorporates the Streeter-Phelps equation. The equation relates the decomposition of inorganic and organic materials along with oxygen reaeration rates to compute the downstream dissolved oxygen concentration for comparison to water quality standards.

A Watershed Maximum Daily Load (WMDL) may be developed by the Department to account for the cumulative effect of multiple discharges of conservative toxic pollutants to ensure water quality standards are met in downstream segments. The WMDL uses a simple dilution model, assuming full mix in the receiving stream, to calculate the maximum allowable pollutant load that can be discharged and still meet water quality standards during critical low flow in downstream segments such as those with sensitive receptors (e.g. public water supply) or higher water classification. WQBELs are established to ensure that the cumulative mass load from point source discharges does not exceed the maximum allowable load to ensure permit limits are protective of water quality.

Monitoring Requirements

CWA section 308, 40 CFR 122.44(i), 6 NYCRR 750-1.13, and 750-2.5 require that monitoring be included in permits to determine compliance with effluent limitations. Additional effluent monitoring may also be required to gather data to determine if effluent limitations may be required. The permittee is responsible for conducting the monitoring and reporting results on Discharge Monitoring Reports (DMRs). The permit contains the monitoring requirements for the facility. Monitoring frequency is based on the minimum sampling necessary to adequately monitor the facility's performance and characterize the nature of the discharge of the monitored flow or pollutant. Variable effluent flows and pollutant levels may be required to be monitored at more frequent intervals than relatively constant effluent flow and pollutant levels (6 NYCRR 750-1.13). For industrial facilities, sampling frequency is based on guidance provided in TOGS 1.2.1. For municipal facilities, sampling frequency is based on guidance provided in TOGS 1.3.3.

Other Conditions

Mercury

The multiple discharge variance (MDV) for mercury was developed in accordance with 6 NYCRR 702.17(h) "to address widespread standard or guidance value attainment issues including the presence of a ubiquitous pollutant or naturally high levels of a pollutant in a watershed." The first MDV was issued in October 2010, and subsequently revised and reissued in 2015; each subsequent iteration of the MDV is designed to build off the previous version, to make reasonable progress towards the water quality standard (WQS) of 0.7 ng/L dissolved mercury. The MDV is necessary because human-caused conditions or sources of mercury prevent attainment of the WQS and cannot be remedied (i.e., mercury is ubiquitous in New York waters at levels above the WQS and compliance with a water quality based effluent limitation (WQBEL) for mercury cannot be achieved with demonstrated effluent treatment technologies). The Department has determined that the MDV is consistent with the protection of public health, safety, and welfare. During the effective period of this MDV, any increased risks to human health are mitigated by fish consumption advisories issued periodically by the NYSDOH.

All surface water SPDES permittees are eligible for authorization by the MDV provided they meet the requirements specified in DOW 1.3.10.

Date: October 30, 2023 v.1.18 Permit Writer: Peter Maier Water Quality Reviewer: Evan Walters Full Technical Review

Schedules of Compliance

Schedules of compliance are included in accordance with 40 CFR Part 132 Attachment F, Procedure 9, 40 CFR 122.47 and 6 NYCRR 750-1.14. Schedules of compliance are intended to, in the shortest reasonable time, achieve compliance with applicable effluent standards and limitations, water quality standards, and other applicable requirements. Where the time for compliance is more than nine months, the schedule of compliance must include interim requirements and dates for their achievement. If the time necessary to complete the interim milestones is more than nine months, and not readily divisible into stages for completion, progress reports must be required.

Schedule(s) of Additional Submittals

Schedules of Additional Submittals are used to summarize the deliverables required by the permit not identified in a separate Schedule of Compliance.

Best Management Practices (BMP) for Industrial Facilities

BMP plans are authorized for inclusion in NPDES permits pursuant to Sections 304(e) and 402 (a)(1) of the Clean Water Act, and 6 NYCRR 750-1.14(f). The regulations pertaining to BMPs are promulgated under 40 CFR Part 125, Subpart K. These regulations specifically address surface water discharges.